

HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD

Form 10-Q

August 08, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED June 30, 2011
Commission File Number 1-34073
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated**

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

31-0724920
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

41 South High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43287
Registrant's telephone number (614) 480-8300

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 863,323,099 shares of Registrant's common stock (\$0.01 par value) outstanding on June 30, 2011.

HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INCORPORATED
INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	78
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010</u>	78
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	79
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	80
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	81
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	82
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	5
<u>Executive Overview</u>	6
<u>Discussion of Results of Operations</u>	9
<u>Risk Management and Capital:</u>	
<u>Credit Risk</u>	31
<u>Market Risk</u>	51
<u>Liquidity Risk</u>	54
<u>Operational Risk</u>	57
<u>Compliance Risk</u>	58
<u>Capital</u>	58
<u>Business Segment Discussion</u>	62
<u>Additional Disclosures</u>	76
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	133
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	133

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	133
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	133
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	133
<u>Signatures</u>	135
<u>Exhibit 12.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 12.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents

Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

The following listing provides a comprehensive reference of common acronyms and terms used throughout the document:

2010 Form 10-K	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010
ABL	Asset Based Lending
ACL	Allowance for Credit Losses
AFCRE	Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate
ALCO	Asset & Liability Management Committee
ALLL	Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses
ARM	Adjustable Rate Mortgage
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ATM	Automated Teller Machine
AULC	Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments
AVM	Automated Valuation Methodology
C&I	Commercial and Industrial
CDARS	Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service
CDO	Collateralized Debt Obligations
CDs	Certificates of Deposit
CFPB	Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection
CMO	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations
CPP	Capital Purchase Program
CRE	Commercial Real Estate
DDA	Demand Deposit Account
DIF	Deposit Insurance Fund
Dodd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
EESA	Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008
EPS	Earnings Per Share
ERISA	Employee Retirement Income Security Act
EVE	Economic Value of Equity
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FDICIA	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991
FFIEC	Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
FHFA	Federal Housing Finance Agency
FHLB	Federal Home Loan Bank
FHLMC	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
FICA	Federal Insurance Contributions Act
FICO	Fair Isaac Corporation
FNMA	Federal National Mortgage Association
Franklin	Franklin Credit Management Corporation
FSP	Financial Stability Plan
FTE	Fully-Taxable Equivalent
FTP	Funds Transfer Pricing
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America

Table of Contents

GSIFI	Globally Systemically Important Financial Institution
GSE	Government Sponsored Enterprise
HASP	Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan
HCER Act	Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010
IPO	Initial Public Offering
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
ISE	Interest Sensitive Earnings
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
LTV	Loan to Value
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
MRC	Market Risk Committee
MSR	Mortgage Servicing Rights
NALs	Nonaccrual Loans
NAV	Net Asset Value
NCO	Net Charge-off
NPAs	Nonperforming Assets
NSF / OD	Nonsufficient Funds and Overdraft
OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OCI	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
OCR	Optimal Customer Relationship
OLEM	Other Loans Especially Mentioned
OREO	Other Real Estate Owned
OTTI	Other-Than-Temporary Impairment
Plan	Huntington Bancshares Retirement Plan
Reg E	Regulation E, of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act
REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust
SAD	Special Assets Division
SBA	Small Business Administration
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SERP	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan
SIFIs	Systemically Important Financial Institutions
Sky	Sky Financial Group, Inc.
Financial	
SRIP	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan
Sky Trust	Sky Bank and Sky Trust, National Association
TAGP	Transaction Account Guarantee Program
TARP	Troubled Asset Relief Program
TARP	Series B Preferred Stock
Capital	
TCE	Tangible Common Equity
TDR	Troubled Debt Restructured Loan
TLGP	Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program
Treasury	U.S. Department of the Treasury
UCS	Uniform Classification System
Unizan	Unizan Financial Corp.
UPB	Unpaid Principal Balance
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
VA	U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs

VIE Variable Interest Entity
WGH Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

When we refer to we, our, and us in this report, we mean Huntington Bancshares Incorporated and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates that we refer only to the parent company, Huntington Bancshares Incorporated. When we refer to the Bank in this report, we mean our only bank subsidiary, The Huntington National Bank, and its subsidiaries.

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

We are a multi-state diversified regional bank holding company organized under Maryland law in 1966 and headquartered in Columbus, Ohio. Through the Bank, we have 145 years of servicing the financial needs of our customers. Through our subsidiaries, we provide full-service commercial and consumer banking services, mortgage banking services, automobile financing, equipment leasing, investment management, trust services, brokerage services, customized insurance service programs, and other financial products and services. Our over 600 banking offices are located in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. Selected financial services and other activities are also conducted in various other states. International banking services are available through the headquarters office in Columbus, Ohio and a limited purpose office located in the Cayman Islands and another limited purpose office located in Hong Kong. Our foreign banking activities, in total or with any individual country, are not significant.

This MD&A provides information we believe necessary for understanding our financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. The MD&A included in our 2010 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2010 Form 10-K. This MD&A should also be read in conjunction with the financial statements, notes and other information contained in this report.

Our discussion is divided into key segments:

Executive Overview - Provides a summary of our current financial performance, and business overview, including our thoughts on the impact of the economy, legislative and regulatory initiatives, and recent industry developments. This section also provides our outlook regarding our expectations for the remainder of 2011.

Discussion of Results of Operations - Reviews financial performance from a consolidated Company perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues helpful for understanding performance trends. Key consolidated average balance sheet and income statement trends are also discussed in this section.

Risk Management and Capital - Discusses credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance risks, including how these are managed, as well as performance trends. It also includes a discussion of liquidity policies, how we obtain funding, and related performance. In addition, there is a discussion of guarantees and / or commitments made for items such as standby letters of credit and commitments to sell loans, and a discussion that reviews the adequacy of capital, including regulatory capital requirements.

Business Segment Discussion - Provides an overview of financial performance for each of our major business segments and provides additional discussion of trends underlying consolidated financial performance.

Additional Disclosures - Provides comments on important matters including forward-looking statements, critical accounting policies and use of significant estimates, recent accounting pronouncements and developments, and acquisitions.

A reading of each section is important to understand fully the nature of our financial performance and prospects.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Summary of 2011 Second Quarter Results

For the quarter, we reported net income of \$145.9 million, or \$0.16 per common share, compared with \$126.4 million, or \$0.14 per common share, in the prior quarter (*see Table 1*).

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income was \$407.2 million for the quarter, down \$1.1 million, or less than 1%, from the prior quarter. The decline primarily reflected a 1% (3% annualized) decrease in average earning assets and a 2 basis point decline in the fully-taxable equivalent net interest margin to 3.40% from 3.42%.

The provision for credit losses in the 2011 second quarter was \$35.8 million, down \$13.6 million, or 28% from the prior quarter. The decline in provision expense reflected a combination of lower NCOs and the reduction of Criticized loans throughout the entire loan and lease portfolio. The reduction in Criticized loans reflected the resolution of problem credits for which reserves had previously been established. The current quarter's provision for credit losses was \$61.7 million less than total NCOs.

Total noninterest income increased \$18.8 million, or 8%, from the prior quarter. This reflected an increase in other income due to higher market related gains and capital markets income, service charges on deposit accounts due to higher NSF / OD fees, electronic banking reflecting higher activity levels, and bank owned life insurance income. Total noninterest expense declined \$2.3 million, or 1%, from the prior quarter. This reflected a decrease in other expense due to the prior quarter's additions to litigation reserves. Partially offsetting this decline were increases in professional services for costs supporting regulatory and litigation efforts, deposit and other insurance, outside data processing and other services due to higher appraisal costs and system upgrade expenses, and marketing expense reflecting higher advertising costs.

Credit quality performance in the 2011 second quarter reflected continued improvement in the overall loan portfolio. NCOs and nonaccrual loans declined 41% and 3%, respectively, from the prior quarter. The NAL, NPA and Criticized asset ratios all showed continued improvement in the quarter. The ALLL and ACL coverage ratios fell slightly to 2.74% and 2.84%, from 2.96% and 3.07%, respectively, but remain sufficient and appropriate. NPAs fell by 5% in the quarter.

On July 21, 2011, we announced that our board of directors had declared a quarterly common stock cash dividend of \$0.04 per common share, up from the prior quarterly dividend of \$0.01. The dividend is payable on October 3, 2011, to shareholders of record on September 19, 2011. We are very pleased that our financial strength and performance have improved to the point that enabled us to take this action.

Business Overview

General

Our general business objectives are: (1) grow revenue and profitability, (2) improve cross-sell and share-of-wallet across all business segments, (3) grow key fee businesses (existing and new), (4) improve credit quality, including lower NCOs and NALs, (5) reduce noncore CRE exposure, and (6) continue to improve our overall management of risk.

Throughout last year, and continuing into this year, we are taking advantage of what we view as an opportunity to make significant investments in strategic initiatives to position us for more profitable and sustainable long-term growth. This includes implementing our Fair Play banking philosophy value proposition for our consumer customers, increasing share-of-wallet, investing in expanding existing business, and launching new businesses.

Our emphasis on cross-sell, coupled with consumer customers increasingly being attracted by the benefits offered through our Fair Play banking philosophy, with programs such as 24-Hour Grace on overdrafts and more recently the launch of Asterisk-Free Checking and Huntington Plus Checking, is having a positive effect. The percentage of consumer households with over four products at the end of the 2011 second quarter was 71.3%, up from 69.4% at the end of last year. And for the first half of this year, consumer checking account households grew at a 9.9% annualized rate, up from 6.8% for full year 2010.

Table of Contents

Economy

Borrower and consumer confidence and the sustainability of the slow economic recovery remain major factors impacting growth opportunities for the remainder of 2011. Unfortunately, during the first half of 2011, a number of issues have emerged that could negatively impact the recovery. These additional risks include the U.S. debt ceiling discussions, the budget issues in local governments, and the continued economic and political instability in Europe as well as the political instability in the Middle East with its ramifications on the cost of oil translating to higher gas prices. In addition, above average office vacancy rates in large metropolitan areas indicate the possibility for some continued softness in commercial real estate in 2011. Within our footprint states of Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, real estate has generally remained weak, in line with national trends, reflecting capacity overhang created by weakness in economic growth prior to the recovery. However, there are some signs that our footprint states have been experiencing cyclical recovery in line with, and in certain instances stronger than, the national average. They include:

From January 2009 through May 2011, an increase in total payroll for all footprint states, with all but West Virginia (one of our smaller regions) exceeding the national average.

Manufacturing that is expected to continue to improve, although near-term weakness is likely as a result of the negative impact of high energy prices on demand and supply bottlenecks created by the crisis in Japan. From May 2010 to May 2011, unemployment rates declined for all of our footprint states.

Since its low in January 2009, exports have grown faster than the U.S. average in all footprint states except Kentucky.

State and local fiscal conditions will likely remain tight in the next year, although rising tax revenue should gradually reduce strains.

For now, we continue to believe the economy is likely to remain fragile and not show much growth throughout the remainder of 2011.

Legislative and Regulatory

Regulatory reforms continue to be adopted which impose additional restrictions on current business practices. Recent actions affecting us included an amendment to Reg E relating to certain overdraft fees for consumer deposit accounts and the rules and regulations that have been issued pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act.

Durbin Amendment The Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act instructed the Federal Reserve to establish the rate merchants pay banks for electronic clearing of debit card transactions (i.e., the interchange rate). The Federal Reserve recently issued its final rule establishing standards for debit card interchange fees and prohibiting network exclusivity arrangements and routing restrictions. The final rule establishes standards for assessing whether debit card interchange fees received by debit card issuers are reasonable and proportional to the costs incurred by issuers for electronic debit transactions. Under the final rule, the maximum permissible interchange fee that an issuer may receive for an electronic debit transaction will be the sum of 21 cents per transaction, 1 cent fraud prevention adjustment, and 5 basis points multiplied by the value of the transaction. This provision regarding debit card interchange fees will become effective on October 1, 2011. Based on the final rule, we expect our 2011 fourth quarter electronic banking income to decline from the 2011 second quarter level by approximately 50%.

Recent Industry Developments

Foreclosure Documentation On June 30, 2011, the OCC issued OCC Bulletin 2011-29 clarifying their expectations for the oversight and management of mortgage foreclosure activities by national banks and directing national banks to perform a self-assessment no later than September 30, 2011. We believe that, with the self-assessments Huntington has performed and is currently performing, we are in compliance with the OCC expectation for self-assessment.

Mortgage Servicing Rights MSR fair values are estimated based on residential mortgage servicing revenue in excess of estimated market costs to service the underlying loans. Historically, the estimated market cost to service has been stable. Due to changes in the regulatory environment related to loan servicing and foreclosure activities, costs to service may potentially increase, however the potential impact on the market costs to service remains uncertain. Certain large residential mortgage loan servicers entered into consent orders with banking regulators in April 2011, which require the banks to remedy deficiencies and unsafe or unsound practices and to enhance residential mortgage servicing and foreclosure processes. It is unclear what impact this may ultimately have on market costs to service. At

June 30, 2011, we estimated a 25% increase to our loan servicing market cost assumption would result in a fair value impairment charge of approximately \$8.3 million.

Representation and Warranty Reserve We primarily conduct our loan sale and securitization activity with FNMA and FHLMC. In connection with these and other sale and securitization transactions, we make representations and warranties that the loans meet certain criteria, such as collateral type and underwriting standards. We may be required to repurchase individual loans and / or indemnify these organizations against losses due to material breaches of these representations and warranties. At June 30, 2011, we had a reserve for such losses of \$24.5 million, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents

Expectations

The lack of prospects for meaningful economic improvement, higher interest rates, and wider spreads between short-term and long-term interest rates over the remainder of this year is a challenge. Further, borrower and consumer confidence remain fragile. And while we now have clarity on the amount and timing of the pending reduction in debit card interchange fees, this nevertheless represents a reduction in fee income. All of these combined represent meaningful revenue growth headwinds.

Net income is expected to grow from the current quarter level throughout the rest of the year, primarily reflecting modest revenue growth and disciplined expense control.

We believe the momentum we are seeing in loan and low cost deposit growth will continue. This, coupled with a stable net interest margin, is expected to contribute to modest growth in net interest income. Our C&I portfolio is expected to continue to show meaningful growth. We believe period-end balances in our C&I and automobile loan portfolios position us for continued growth in average balances for these portfolios as we head into the third quarter. We anticipate our total core deposits will increase, reflecting continued growth in consumer households and business relationships. Further, we expect the shift toward lower-cost noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing demand deposit accounts will continue.

Noninterest income is expected to grow modestly in the 2011 second half. The primary driver is expected to be service charge income as the benefits from our Fair Play banking philosophy continue to gain momentum commensurate with consumer household growth and increased product penetration. Mortgage banking income will likely show only modest, if any, growth throughout the second half of the year. As described above, electronic banking income in the fourth quarter is expected to decline by approximately 50% as the new interchange fee structure will be implemented October 1, 2011. We also expect to see continued growth in the earnings contribution from other key fee income activities including capital markets, treasury management services, and brokerage, reflecting the impact of our cross-sell and product penetration initiatives throughout the company, as well as the positive impact from strategic initiatives.

In addition, expense levels are expected to remain relatively stable.

Nonaccrual loans and net charge-offs are expected to continue to decline throughout the year.

We anticipate the effective tax rate for the remainder of the year to approximate 35% of income before income taxes less approximately \$40.0 million of permanent tax differences over the remainder of 2011 primarily related to tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits.

Table of Contents

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section provides a review of financial performance from a consolidated perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues important for a complete understanding of performance trends. Key Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and Statement of Income trends are discussed. All earnings per share data are reported on a diluted basis. For additional insight on financial performance, please read this section in conjunction with the Business Segment Discussion.

Table of Contents**Table 1 Selected Quarterly Income Statement Data (1)**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Interest income	\$ 492,137	\$ 501,877	\$ 528,291	\$ 534,669	\$ 535,653
Interest expense	88,800	97,547	112,997	124,707	135,997
Net interest income	403,337	404,330	415,294	409,962	399,656
Provision for credit losses	35,797	49,385	86,973	119,160	193,406
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	367,540	354,945	328,321	290,802	206,250
Service charges on deposit accounts	60,675	54,324	55,810	65,932	75,934
Mortgage banking income	23,835	22,684	53,169	52,045	45,530
Trust services	30,392	30,742	29,394	26,997	28,399
Electronic banking	31,728	28,786	28,900	28,090	28,107
Insurance income	16,399	17,945	19,678	19,801	18,074
Brokerage income	20,819	20,511	16,953	16,575	18,425
Bank owned life insurance income	17,602	14,819	16,113	14,091	14,392
Automobile operating lease income	7,307	8,847	10,463	11,356	11,842
Securities gains (losses)	1,507	40	(103)	(296)	156
Other income	45,503	38,247	33,843	32,552	28,784
Total noninterest income	255,767	236,945	264,220	267,143	269,643
Personnel costs	218,570	219,028	212,184	208,272	194,875
Outside data processing and other services	43,889	40,282	40,943	38,553	40,670
Net occupancy	26,885	28,436	26,670	26,718	25,388
Deposit and other insurance expense	23,823	17,896	23,320	23,406	26,067
Professional services	20,080	13,465	21,021	20,672	24,388
Equipment	21,921	22,477	22,060	21,651	21,585
Marketing	20,102	16,895	16,168	20,921	17,682
Amortization of intangibles	13,386	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,398	3,931	10,502	12,047	4,970
Automobile operating lease expense	5,434	6,836	8,142	9,159	9,667
Other expense	29,921	48,083	38,537	30,765	33,377
Total noninterest expense	428,409	430,699	434,593	427,309	413,810
Income before income taxes	194,898	161,191	157,948	130,636	62,083
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	48,980	34,745	35,048	29,690	13,319
Net income	\$ 145,918	\$ 126,446	\$ 122,900	\$ 100,946	\$ 48,764
Dividends on preferred shares	7,704	7,703	83,754	29,495	29,426
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 138,214	\$ 118,743	\$ 39,146	\$ 71,451	\$ 19,338
Average common shares basic	863,358	863,359	757,924	716,911	716,580

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Average common shares diluted (2)	867,469	867,237	760,582	719,567	719,387
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.03
Net income per common share diluted	0.16	0.14	0.05	0.10	0.03
Cash dividends declared per common share	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Return on average total assets	1.11%	0.96%	0.90%	0.76%	0.38%
Return on average common shareholders equity	11.6	10.3	3.8	7.4	2.1
Return on average tangible common shareholders equity (3)	13.3	12.7	5.6	10.0	3.8
Net interest margin (4)	3.40	3.42	3.37	3.45	3.46
Efficiency ratio (5)	62.7	64.7	61.4	60.6	59.4
Effective tax rate	25.1	21.6	22.2	22.7	21.5
Revenue FTE					
Net interest income	\$ 403,337	\$ 404,330	\$ 415,294	\$ 409,962	\$ 399,656
FTE adjustment	3,834	3,945	3,708	2,631	2,490
Net interest income (4)	407,171	408,275	419,002	412,593	402,146
Noninterest income	255,767	236,945	264,220	267,143	269,643
Total revenue (4)	\$ 662,938	\$ 645,220	\$ 683,222	\$ 679,736	\$ 671,789

Table of Contents

- (1) Comparisons for presented periods are impacted by a number of factors. Refer to Significant Items.
- (2) For periods presented prior to their repurchase, the impact of the convertible preferred stock issued in 2008 and the warrants issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in 2008 related to Huntington's participation in the voluntary Capital Purchase Program was excluded from the diluted share calculation because the result was more than basic earnings per common share (anti-dilutive) for those periods. The convertible preferred stock and warrants were repurchased in December 2010 and January 2011, respectively.
- (3) Net income excluding expense for amortization of intangibles for the period divided by average tangible common shareholders' equity. Average tangible common shareholders' equity equals average total common shareholders' equity less average intangible assets and goodwill. Expense for amortization of intangibles and average intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (4) On a fully-taxable equivalent (FTE) basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (5) Noninterest expense less amortization of intangibles and goodwill impairment divided by the sum of FTE net interest income and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses).

Table of Contents**Table 2 Selected Year to Date Income Statement Data(1)**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Interest income	\$ 994,014	\$ 1,082,432	\$ (88,418)	(8)%
Interest expense	186,347	288,883	(102,536)	(35)
Net interest income	807,667	793,549	14,118	2
Provision for credit losses	85,182	428,414	(343,232)	(80)
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	722,485	365,135	357,350	98
Service charges on deposit accounts	114,999	145,273	(30,274)	(21)
Mortgage banking income	46,519	70,568	(24,049)	(34)
Trust services	61,134	56,164	4,970	9
Electronic banking	60,514	53,244	7,270	14
Insurance income	34,344	36,934	(2,590)	(7)
Brokerage income	41,330	35,327	6,003	17
Bank owned life insurance income	32,421	30,862	1,559	5
Automobile operating lease income	16,154	24,145	(7,991)	(33)
Securities gains	1,547	125	1,422	1,138
Other income	83,750	57,853	25,897	45
Total noninterest income	492,712	510,495	(17,783)	(3)
Personnel costs	437,598	378,517	59,081	16
Outside data processing and other services	84,171	79,752	4,419	6
Net occupancy	55,321	54,474	847	2
Deposit and other insurance expense	41,719	50,822	(9,103)	(18)
Professional services	33,545	47,085	(13,540)	(29)
Equipment	44,398	42,209	2,189	5
Marketing	36,997	28,835	8,162	28
Amortization of intangibles	26,756	30,287	(3,531)	(12)
OREO and foreclosure expense	8,329	16,500	(8,171)	(50)
Automobile operating lease expense	12,270	19,733	(7,463)	(38)
Other expense	78,004	63,689	14,315	22
Total noninterest expense	859,108	811,903	47,205	6
Income before income taxes	356,089	63,727	292,362	459
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	83,725	(24,774)	108,499	N.R.
Net income	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501	\$ 183,863	208%
Dividends declared on preferred shares	15,407	58,783	(43,376)	(74)
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 256,957	\$ 29,718	\$ 227,239	765%
Average common shares basic	863,358	716,450	146,908	21%

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Average common shares diluted (2)	867,353	718,990	148,363	21
Per common share				
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.26	650%
Net income per common share diluted	0.30	0.04	0.26	650
Cash dividends declared	0.02	0.02		
Return on average total assets	1.03%	0.35%	0.68%	194%
Return on average common shareholders equity	11.0	1.6	9.4	588
Return on average tangible common shareholders equity (3)	13.4	3.2	10.2	319
Net interest margin (4)	3.41	3.47	(0.06)	(2)
Efficiency ratio (5)	63.7	59.7	4.0	7
Effective tax rate (benefit)	23.5	(38.9)	62.4	N.R.
Revenue FTE				
Net interest income	\$ 807,667	\$ 793,549	\$ 14,118	2%
FTE adjustment	7,779	4,738	3,041	64
Net interest income (4)	815,446	798,287	17,159	2
Noninterest income	492,712	510,495	(17,783)	(3)
Total revenue (4)	\$ 1,308,158	\$ 1,308,782	\$ (624)	%

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

(1) Comparisons for presented periods are impacted by a number of factors. Refer to Significant Items.

Table of Contents

- (2) For all periods presented, the impact of the convertible preferred stock issued in 2008 and the warrants issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in 2008 related to Huntington's participation in the voluntary Capital Purchase Program was excluded from the diluted share calculation because the result was more than basic earnings per common share (anti-dilutive) for the periods. The convertible preferred stock and warrants were repurchased in December 2010 and January 2011, respectively.
- (3) Net income excluding expense for amortization of intangibles for the period divided by average tangible common shareholders' equity. Average tangible common shareholders' equity equals average total common shareholders' equity less average intangible assets and goodwill. Expense for amortization of intangibles and average intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (4) On a fully-taxable equivalent (FTE) basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (5) Noninterest expense less amortization of intangibles and goodwill impairment divided by the sum of FTE net interest income and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses).

Significant Items

Definition of Significant Items

From time-to-time, revenue, expenses, or taxes, are impacted by items judged by us to be outside of ordinary banking activities and / or by items that, while they may be associated with ordinary banking activities, are so unusually large that their outsized impact is believed by us at that time to be infrequent or short-term in nature. We refer to such items as Significant Items. Most often, these Significant Items result from factors originating outside the Company; e.g., regulatory actions / assessments, windfall gains, changes in accounting principles, one-time tax assessments / refunds, litigation actions, etc. In other cases, they may result from our decisions associated with significant corporate actions out of the ordinary course of business; e.g., merger / restructuring charges, recapitalization actions, goodwill impairment, etc.

Even though certain revenue and expense items are naturally subject to more volatility than others due to changes in market and economic environment conditions, as a general rule volatility alone does not define a Significant Item. For example, changes in the provision for credit losses, gains / losses from investment activities, asset valuation writedowns, etc., reflect ordinary banking activities and are, therefore, typically excluded from consideration as a Significant Item.

We believe the disclosure of Significant Items provides a better understanding of our performance and trends to ascertain which of such items, if any, to include or exclude from an analysis of our performance; i.e., within the context of determining how that performance differed from expectations, as well as how, if at all, to adjust estimates of future performance accordingly. To this end, we adopted a practice of listing Significant Items in our external disclosure documents (e.g., earnings press releases, investor presentations, Forms 10-Q and 10-K).

Significant Items for any particular period are not intended to be a complete list of items that may materially impact current or future period performance.

Significant Items Influencing Financial Performance Comparisons

Earnings comparisons were impacted by the Significant Items summarized below.

1. **Litigation Reserve.** During the 2011 first quarter, \$17.0 million of additions to litigation reserves were recorded as other noninterest expense. This resulted in a negative impact of \$0.01 per common share.
2. **Franklin Relationship.** Our relationship with Franklin was acquired in the Sky Financial acquisition in 2007. Significant events relating to this relationship following the acquisition, and the impacts of those events on our reported results were as follows:

On March 31, 2009, we restructured our relationship with Franklin. During the 2010 first quarter, a \$38.2 million (\$0.05 per common share) net tax benefit was recognized, primarily reflecting the increase in the net deferred tax asset relating to the assets acquired from the March 31, 2009 restructuring.

During the 2010 second quarter, the remaining portfolio of Franklin-related loans (\$333.0 million of residential mortgages, and \$64.7 million of home equity loans) was transferred to loans held for sale. At the time of the transfer, the loans were marked to the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell, of \$323.4 million, resulting in \$75.5 million of charge-offs, and the provision for credit losses commensurately increased \$75.5 million (\$0.07 per common share).

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the earnings impact of the above-mentioned significant items for periods affected by this Results of Operations discussion:

Table 3 Significant Items Influencing Earnings Performance Comparison

	June 30, 2011		Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		June 30, 2010	
	After-tax	EPS	After-tax	EPS	After-tax	EPS
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>						
Net income	\$ 145,918		\$ 126,446		\$ 48,764	
Earnings per share, after-tax		\$ 0.16		\$ 0.14		\$ 0.03
Change from prior quarter	\$	0.02		0.09		0.02
Change from prior quarter	%	14.3%		180.0%		200.0%
Change from year-ago	\$	\$ 0.13		\$ 0.13		\$ 0.43
Change from year-ago	%	433%		1,300%		(107.5)%

Significant Items	Earnings		Earnings		Earnings	
	favorable (unfavorable) impact:	(1)	EPS	(1)	EPS	(1)
Franklin-related loans transferred to held for sale	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (75,500)	\$ (0.07)
Litigation reserves addition			(17,028)	(0.01)		
(1) Pretax unless otherwise noted.						

	June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	After-tax	EPS	After-tax	EPS
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>				
Net income	\$ 272,364		\$ 88,501	
Earnings per share, after-tax		\$ 0.30		\$ 0.04
Change from a year-ago	\$	0.26		6.51
Change from a year-ago	%	650%		101%

Significant Items	Earnings		Earnings	
	favorable (unfavorable) impact:	(1)	EPS	(1)
Franklin-related loans transferred to held for sale	\$	\$	\$ (75,500)	\$ (0.07)
Net tax benefit recognized (2)			38,222	0.05
Litigation reserves addition	(17,028)	(0.01)		
(1) Pretax unless otherwise noted.				

(2) After-tax.

Pretax, Pre-provision Income Trends

One non-GAAP performance measurement that we believe is useful in analyzing our underlying performance trends is pretax, pre-provision income. This is the level of pretax earnings adjusted to exclude the impact of: (a) provision expense, (b) investment securities gains/losses, which are excluded because securities market valuations may become particularly volatile in times of economic stress, (c) amortization of intangibles expense, which is excluded because the return on tangible common equity is a key measurement we use to gauge performance trends, and (d) certain other items identified by us (*see Significant Items*) that we believe may distort our underlying performance trends.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects pretax, pre-provision income for each of the past five quarters:

Table 4 Pretax, Pre-provision Income (1)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Income before income taxes	\$ 194,898	\$ 161,191	\$ 157,948	\$ 130,636	\$ 62,083
Add: Provision for credit losses	35,797	49,385	86,973	119,160	193,406
Less: Securities gains (losses)	1,507	40	(103)	(296)	156
Add: Amortization of intangibles	13,386	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141
Less: Litigation reserves addition		(17,028)			
Total pretax, pre-provision income	\$ 242,574	\$ 240,934	\$ 260,070	\$ 265,237	\$ 270,474

Change in total pretax, pre-provision income:

Prior quarter change amount	\$ 1,640	\$ (19,136)	\$ (5,167)	\$ (5,237)	\$ 18,645
Prior quarter change percent	1%	(7)%	(2)%	(2)%	7%

(1) Pretax, pre-provision income is a non-GAAP financial measure. Any ratio utilizing this financial measure is also non-GAAP. This financial measure has been included as it is considered to be an important metric with which to analyze and evaluate our results of operations and financial strength. Other companies may calculate this financial measure differently.

Pretax, pre-provision income was \$242.6 million in the 2011 second quarter, up \$1.6 million, or 1%, from the prior quarter. As discussed in the sections that follow, the increase from the prior quarter primarily reflected higher revenue partially offset by higher noninterest expense after consideration of the prior quarter Significant Item.

Net Interest Income / Average Balance Sheet**2011 Second Quarter versus 2010 Second Quarter**

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$5.0 million, or 1%, from the year-ago quarter. This reflected the benefit of a \$1.4 billion, or 3%, increase in average earning assets and a 6 basis points decline in the FTE net interest margin. The increase in average earning assets reflected a combination of factors including:

\$1.4 billion, or 4%, increase in average total loans and leases.

\$0.3 billion, or 3%, increase in average total available-for-sale and other securities and held-to-maturity securities.

The 6 basis points decline in the FTE net interest margin reflected a reduction in derivatives income, lower loan and securities yields, partially offset by the positive impacts of increases in low cost deposits and improved deposit pricing.

Table of Contents

The following table details the change in our average loans and leases and deposits:

Table 5 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2010 Second Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Second Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Loans/Leases				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,370	\$ 12,244	\$ 1,126	9%
Commercial real estate	6,233	7,364	(1,131)	(15)
Total commercial	19,603	19,608	(5)	
Automobile	5,954	4,634	1,320	28
Home equity	7,874	7,544	330	4
Residential mortgage	4,566	4,608	(42)	(1)
Other loans	538	695	(157)	(23)
Total consumer	18,932	17,481	1,451	8
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,535	\$ 37,089	\$ 1,446	4%
Deposits				
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,806	\$ 6,849	\$ 957	14%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,565	5,971	(406)	(7)
Money market deposits	12,879	11,103	1,776	16
Savings and other domestic time deposits	4,778	4,677	101	2
Core certificates of deposit	8,079	9,199	(1,120)	(12)
Total core deposits	39,107	37,799	1,308	3
Other deposits	2,147	2,568	(421)	(16)
Total deposits	\$ 41,254	\$ 40,367	\$ 887	2%

The \$1.4 billion, or 4%, increase in average total loans and leases primarily reflected:

\$1.3 billion, or 28%, increase in the average automobile portfolio. Automobile lending is a core competency and continued area of growth. The growth from the year-ago quarter exhibited further penetration within our historical geographic footprint, as well as the positive impact of our expansion into Eastern Pennsylvania and selected New England states. Origination quality remained high.

\$1.1 billion, or 9%, increase in the average C&I portfolio. Growth from the year-ago quarter reflected the benefits from our strategic initiatives including large corporate, asset based lending, automobile floor plan lending, and equipment finance. In addition, traditional middle-market loans continued to grow despite line utilization rates that remained well below historical norms.

\$0.3 billion, or 4%, increase in average home equity portfolio, reflecting continued slower runoff due to the low interest rate environment.

Partially offset by:

\$1.1 billion, or 15%, decrease in average CRE loans reflecting the continued execution of our plan to reduce the CRE exposure, primarily in the noncore CRE segment. This reduction is expected to continue through 2011, reflecting normal amortization, paydowns, refinancing, and restructures.

The \$0.9 billion, or 2%, increase in average total deposits from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$1.3 billion, or 3%, growth in average total core deposits. The drivers of this change were a \$1.8 billion, or 16%, growth in average money market deposits, and a \$1.0 billion, or 14%, growth in average noninterest-bearing demand deposits. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.1 billion, or 12%, decline in average core certificates of deposit and a \$0.4 billion, or 7%, decrease in average interest-bearing demand deposits.

Partially offset by:

\$0.4 billion, or 16%, decline in other deposits including a \$0.2 billion, or 11%, decline in average brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, and a \$0.2 billion, or 29%, decrease in other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more, which reflected a strategy of reducing such noncore funding.

Table of Contents**2011 Second Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter**

FTE net interest income decreased \$1.1 million, or less than 1%, from the 2011 first quarter. This reflected a 1% (3% annualized) decrease in average earning assets and a decrease in the FTE net interest margin to 3.40% from 3.42%.

The decrease in average earning assets reflected a combination of factors including:

\$0.5 billion, or 5% (22% annualized), decrease in average available-for-sale and other and held-to-maturity securities given the low level of interest rates and the incremental cost to grow interest-bearing deposits.

Certain higher cost deposits were allowed to mature without replacement, resulting in a reduction to the securities portfolio.

\$0.2 billion decline in loans held for sale as our mortgage pipeline slowed considerably during the current quarter and sales of prior originations were completed.

The 2 basis points decline in the FTE net interest margin reflected a reduction in derivatives income and lower loan yields, partially offset by the positive impact of increases in low cost deposits and improved deposit pricing.

The following table details the change in our average loans / leases and deposits:

Table 6 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2011 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		Change	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Amount	Percent
Loans/Leases				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,370	\$ 13,121	\$ 249	2%
Commercial real estate	6,233	6,524	(291)	(4)
Total commercial	19,603	19,645	(42)	
Automobile	5,954	5,701	253	4
Home equity	7,874	7,728	146	2
Residential mortgage	4,566	4,465	101	2
Other consumer	538	559	(21)	(4)
Total consumer	18,932	18,453	479	3
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,535	\$ 38,098	\$ 437	1%
Deposits				
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,806	\$ 7,333	\$ 473	6%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,565	5,357	208	4
Money market deposits	12,879	13,492	(613)	(5)
Savings and other domestic time deposits	4,778	4,701	77	2
Core certificates of deposit	8,079	8,391	(312)	(4)
Total core deposits	39,107	39,274	(167)	
Other deposits	2,147	2,390	(243)	(10)
Total deposits	\$ 41,254	\$ 41,664	\$ (410)	(1)%

The \$0.4 billion, or 1% (5% annualized), increase in average total loans and leases reflected:

\$0.2 billion, or 2% (8% annualized), growth in the average C&I portfolio. The growth in the C&I portfolio during the second quarter came from several business lines including business banking, large corporate, middle market, asset based lending, and equipment finance. The growth was also evident across our

geographic footprint, further contributing to the diversity of the portfolio. Non-automobile floorplan C&I utilization rates were little changed from the end of the prior quarter. In contrast, automobile floor plan utilization rates were down, primarily reflecting the slowdown in production by Japanese manufacturers. \$0.3 billion, or 4% (18% annualized), growth in the average automobile portfolio. We continued to originate very high quality loans with attractive returns. We focus on larger, multi-franchised, well-capitalized dealers that are rarely reliant on the success of one franchise to generate profitability. While the used automobile market remained very strong, we increased our originations of new vehicle loans, which reflected a reduction by the captive finance companies in the number and magnitude of incentive programs offered through dealers due to supply concerns.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$0.3 billion, or 4% (18% annualized), decline in average CRE loans, primarily as a result of our on-going strategy to reduce our exposure to the commercial real estate market. We were successful in reducing exposure across virtually all of the CRE project types that we actively manage through our concentration management process. The decline in noncore CRE accounted for the vast majority of the decline in the total CRE portfolio. The noncore CRE portfolio declines reflected paydowns, refinancing, and NCOs. The core CRE portfolio continued to exhibit high quality characteristics with minimal downgrade or NCO activity.

The \$0.4 billion, or 1% (4% annualized), decrease in average total deposits from the 2011 first quarter reflected:

\$0.6 billion, or 5% (18% annualized), decline in average money market deposits, reflecting lowered pricing on our money market accounts.

\$0.3 billion, or 4% (15% annualized), decrease in average core certificates of deposit as rates offered on new certificates of deposits declined.

Partially offset by:

\$0.5 billion, or 6% (26% annualized), increase in average noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts. This was driven primarily by growth in commercial noninterest-bearing demand deposits related to government finance and business banking.

\$0.2 billion, or 4% (16% annualized), growth in interest-bearing demand deposits, primarily driven by consumer checking account growth.

Table of Contents

Tables 7 and 8 reflect quarterly average balance sheets and rates earned and paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Table 7 Consolidated Quarterly Average Balance Sheets

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		Fourth	2010		Change 2Q11 vs. 2Q10	
	Second	First		Third	Second	Amount	Percent
Assets							
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	\$ 131	\$ 130	\$ 218	\$ 282	\$ 309	\$ (178)	(58)%
Trading account securities	112	144	297	110	127	(15)	(12)
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement	21						
Loans held for sale	181	420	779	663	323	(142)	(44)
Available-for-sale and other securities:							
Taxable	8,428	9,108	9,747	8,876	8,369	59	1
Tax-exempt	436	445	449	365	389	47	12
Total available-for-sale and other securities	8,864	9,553	10,196	9,241	8,758	106	1
Held-to-maturity securities taxable	174					174	
Loans and leases: (1)							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	13,370	13,121	12,767	12,393	12,244	1,126	9
Commercial real estate:							
Construction	554	611	716	989	1,279	(725)	(57)
Commercial	5,679	5,913	6,082	6,084	6,085	(406)	(7)
Commercial real estate	6,233	6,524	6,798	7,073	7,364	(1,131)	(15)
Total commercial	19,603	19,645	19,565	19,466	19,608	(5)	
Consumer:							
Automobile	5,954	5,701	5,520	5,140	4,634	1,320	28
Home equity	7,874	7,728	7,709	7,567	7,544	330	4
Residential mortgage	4,566	4,465	4,430	4,389	4,608	(42)	(1)
Other consumer	538	559	576	653	695	(157)	(23)
Total consumer	18,932	18,453	18,235	17,749	17,481	1,451	8
Total loans and leases	38,535	38,098	37,800	37,215	37,089	1,446	4
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,128)	(1,231)	(1,323)	(1,384)	(1,506)	378	(25)
Net loans and leases	37,407	36,867	36,477	35,831	35,583	1,824	5

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total earning assets	48,018	48,345	49,290	47,511	46,606	1,412	3
Cash and due from banks	1,068	1,299	1,187	1,618	1,509	(441)	(29)
Intangible assets	652	665	679	695	710	(58)	(8)
All other assets	4,160	4,291	4,313	4,277	4,384	(224)	(5)
Total assets	\$ 52,770	\$ 53,369	\$ 54,146	\$ 52,717	\$ 51,703	\$ 1,067	2%
Liabilities and Shareholders							
Equity							
Deposits:							
Demand deposits							
noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,806	\$ 7,333	\$ 7,188	\$ 6,768	\$ 6,849	\$ 957	14%
Demand deposits							
interest-bearing	5,565	5,357	5,317	5,319	5,971	(406)	(7)
Money market deposits	12,879	13,492	13,158	12,336	11,103	1,776	16
Savings and other domestic							
deposits	4,778	4,701	4,640	4,639	4,677	101	2
Core certificates of deposit	8,079	8,391	8,646	8,948	9,199	(1,120)	(12)
Total core deposits	39,107	39,274	38,949	38,010	37,799	1,308	3
Other domestic time							
deposits of \$250,000 or							
more	467	606	737	690	661	(194)	(29)
Brokered deposits and							
negotiable CDs	1,333	1,410	1,575	1,495	1,505	(172)	(11)
Deposits in foreign offices	347	374	443	451	402	(55)	(14)
Total deposits	41,254	41,664	41,704	40,646	40,367	887	2
Short-term borrowings	2,112	2,134	2,134	1,739	966	1,146	119
Federal Home Loan Bank							
advances	97	30	112	188	212	(115)	(54)
Subordinated notes and							
other long-term debt	3,249	3,525	3,558	3,672	3,836	(587)	(15)
Total interest-bearing							
liabilities	38,906	40,020	40,320	39,477	38,532	374	1
All other liabilities	913	994	993	952	924	(11)	(1)
Shareholders equity	5,145	5,022	5,645	5,520	5,398	(253)	(5)
Total liabilities and							
shareholders equity	\$ 52,770	\$ 53,369	\$ 54,146	\$ 52,717	\$ 51,703	\$ 1,067	2%

(1) For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table of Contents**Table 8 Consolidated Quarterly Net Interest Margin Analysis**

Fully-taxable equivalent basis (1)	Average Rates (2)				
	2011 Second	First	Fourth	2010 Third	Second
Assets					
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	0.22%	0.11%	0.63%	0.21%	0.20%
Trading account securities	1.59	1.37	1.98	1.20	1.74
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement	0.09				
Loans held for sale	4.97	4.08	4.01	5.75	5.02
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
Taxable	2.59	2.53	2.42	2.77	2.85
Tax-exempt	4.02	4.70	4.59	4.70	4.62
Total available-for-sale and other securities	2.66	2.63	2.52	2.84	2.93
Held-to-maturity securities taxable	2.96				
Loans and leases: (3)					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	4.31	4.57	4.94	5.14	5.31
Commercial real estate:					
Construction	3.37	3.36	3.07	2.83	2.61
Commercial	3.90	3.93	3.92	3.91	3.69
Commercial real estate	3.84	3.88	3.83	3.76	3.49
Total commercial	4.16	4.34	4.56	4.64	4.63
Consumer:					
Automobile	5.06	5.22	5.46	5.79	6.46
Home equity	4.49	4.54	4.64	4.74	5.26
Residential mortgage	4.62	4.76	4.82	4.97	4.70
Other consumer	7.76	7.85	7.92	7.10	6.84
Total consumer	4.79	4.90	5.04	5.19	5.49
Total loans and leases	4.47	4.61	4.79	4.90	5.04
Total earning assets	4.14%	4.24%	4.29%	4.49%	4.63%
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity					
Deposits:					
Demand deposits					
noninterest-bearing		%	%	%	%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.22
Money market deposits	0.40	0.50	0.77	0.86	0.93
	0.74	0.81	0.90	0.99	1.07

Savings and other domestic deposits					
Core certificates of deposit	2.04	2.07	2.11	2.31	2.68
Total core deposits	0.82	0.89	1.05	1.18	1.33
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	1.01	1.08	1.21	1.28	1.37
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	0.89	1.11	1.53	2.21	2.56
Deposits in foreign offices	0.26	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.19
Total deposits	0.82	0.90	1.06	1.21	1.37
Short-term borrowings	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.21
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0.88	2.98	0.95	1.25	1.93
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	2.39	2.34	2.15	2.15	2.05
Total interest-bearing liabilities	0.91%	0.99%	1.11%	1.25%	1.41%
Net interest rate spread	3.19%	3.21%	3.16%	3.24%	3.22%
Impact of noninterest-bearing funds on margin	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.24
Net interest margin	3.40%	3.42%	3.37%	3.45%	3.46%

(1) FTE yields are calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.

(2) Loan and lease and deposit average rates include impact of applicable derivatives, non-deferrable fees, and amortized deferred fees.

(3) For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table of Contents**2011 First Six Months versus 2010 First Six Months**

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income for the six-month period of 2011 increased \$17.2 million, or 2%, from the comparable year-ago period. This reflected the benefit of a 4% increase in average total earning assets partially offset by a decrease in the net interest margin to 3.41% from 3.47%. The increase in average earning assets reflected a combination of factors including:

\$1.3 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases.

\$0.7 billion, or 7%, increase in average total available-for-sale and other and held-to-maturity securities.

The 6 basis points decrease in the net interest margin reflected reduction in derivatives income, lower loan yields, and lower securities yields, partially offset by the positive impact of increases in low cost deposits and improved deposit pricing.

The following table details the change in our reported loans and deposits:

Table 9 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits 2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Loans/Leases				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,246	\$ 12,279	\$ 967	8%
Commercial real estate	6,377	7,520	(1,143)	(15)
Total commercial	19,623	19,799	(176)	(1)
Automobile	5,829	4,443	1,386	31
Home equity	7,801	7,541	260	3
Residential mortgage	4,516	4,543	(27)	(1)
Other consumer	548	709	(161)	(23)
Total consumer	18,694	17,236	1,458	8
Total loans and leases	\$ 38,317	\$ 37,035	\$ 1,282	3%
Deposits				
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,571	\$ 6,739	\$ 832	12%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,462	5,844	(382)	(7)
Money market deposits	13,184	10,723	2,461	23
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,740	4,645	95	2
Core certificates of deposit	8,234	9,586	(1,352)	(14)
Total core deposits	39,191	37,537	1,654	4
Other deposits	2,268	2,759	(491)	(18)
Total deposits	\$ 41,459	\$ 40,296	\$ 1,163	3%

The \$1.3 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases primarily reflected:

\$1.4 billion, or 31%, increase in the average automobile portfolio. Automobile lending is a core competency and continued area of growth. The growth from the year-ago period exhibited further penetration within our historical geographic footprint, as well as the positive impact of our expansion into Eastern Pennsylvania and selected New England states. Origination quality remained high.

\$1.0 billion, or 8%, increase in the average C&I portfolio. Growth from the year-ago period reflected the benefits from our strategic initiatives including large corporate, asset based lending, automobile floor plan lending, and equipment finance. Traditional middle-market loans continued to grow despite line utilization

rates that remain well below historical norms.

\$0.3 billion, or 3%, increase in the average home equity portfolio, reflecting higher originations and continued slower runoff.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$1.1 billion, or 15%, decrease in average CRE loans reflecting the continued execution of our plan to reduce the CRE exposure, primarily in the noncore CRE segment. This reduction is expected to continue through 2011, reflecting normal amortization, paydowns, and refinancing.

The \$1.2 billion, or 3%, increase in average total deposits reflected:

\$1.7 billion, or 4%, growth in average total core deposits. The drivers of this change were a \$2.5 billion, or 23%, growth in average money market deposits, and a \$0.8 billion, or 12%, growth in average noninterest-bearing demand deposits. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.4 billion, or 14%, decline in average core certificates of deposit and a \$0.4 billion, or 7%, decrease in average interest-bearing demand deposits.

Partially offset by:

\$0.5 billion, or 18%, decline in other deposits including a \$0.3 billion, or 18%, decline in average brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, and a \$0.1 billion, or 21%, decrease in other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more, reflecting a strategy of reducing such noncore funding.

Table of Contents**Table 10 Consolidated YTD Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Margin Analysis**

Fully-taxable equivalent basis (1) (<i>dollar amounts in millions</i>)	YTD Average Balances				YTD Average Rates (2)	
	Six Months Ended		Change		Six Months Ended June	
	June 30, 2011	2010	Amount	Percent	30, 2011	2010
Assets						
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	\$ 130	\$ 328	\$ (198)	(60)%	0.17%	0.19%
Trading account securities	128	112	16	14	1.47	1.92
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement	11		11		0.09	
Loans held for sale	300	334	(34)	(10)	4.36	5.00
Available-for-sale and other securities:						
Taxable	8,766	8,197	569	7	2.56	2.89
Tax-exempt	441	418	23	6	4.37	4.49
Total available-for-sale and other securities	9,207	8,615	592	7	2.65	2.97
Total held-to-maturity securities	87		87		2.95	
Loans and leases: (3)						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	13,246	12,279	967	8	4.44	5.45
Commercial real estate:						
Construction	582	1,344	(762)	(57)	3.37	2.64
Commercial	5,795	6,176	(381)	(6)	3.91	3.64
Commercial real estate	6,377	7,520	(1,143)	(15)	3.86	3.46
Total commercial	19,623	19,799	(176)	(1)	4.25	4.70
Consumer:						
Automobile	5,829	4,443	1,386	31	5.14	6.54
Home equity	7,801	7,541	260	3	4.51	5.42
Residential mortgage	4,516	4,543	(27)	(1)	4.69	4.79
Other consumer	548	709	(161)	(23)	7.80	6.92
Total consumer	18,694	17,236	1,458	8	4.85	5.61
Total loans and leases	38,317	37,035	1,282	3	4.54	5.12
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,179)	(1,508)	329	(22)		
Net loans and leases	37,138	35,527	1,611	5		
Total earning assets	48,180	46,424	1,756	4	4.19%	4.72%
Cash and due from banks	1,183	1,634	(451)	(28)		
Intangible assets	659	717	(58)	(8)		

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

All other assets	4,224	4,436	(212)	(5)		
Total assets	\$ 53,067	\$ 51,703	\$ 1,364	3%		
Liabilities and Shareholders						
Equity						
Deposits:						
Demand deposits						
noninterest-bearing	\$ 7,571	\$ 6,739	\$ 832	12%	%	%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,462	5,844	(382)	(7)	0.09	0.22
Money market deposits	13,184	10,723	2,461	23	0.45	0.96
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,740	4,645	95	2	0.78	1.13
Core certificates of deposit	8,234	9,586	(1,352)	(14)	2.05	2.81
Total core deposits	39,191	37,537	1,654	4	0.86	1.42
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	536	680	(144)	(21)	1.05	1.41
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	1,372	1,673	(301)	(18)	1.00	2.52
Deposits in foreign offices	360	406	(46)	(11)	0.23	0.19
Total deposits	41,459	40,296	1,163	3	0.86	1.46
Short-term borrowings	2,123	947	1,176	124	0.17	0.21
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	63	196	(133)	(68)	1.36	2.28
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	3,386	3,948	(562)	(14)	2.36	2.15
Total interest-bearing liabilities	39,460	38,648	812	2	0.95	1.51
All other liabilities	952	935	17	2		
Shareholders equity	5,084	5,381	(297)	(6)		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 53,067	\$ 51,703	\$ 1,364	3%		
Net interest rate spread					3.20	3.21
Impact of noninterest-bearing funds on margin					0.21	0.26
Net interest margin					3.41%	3.47%

(1) FTE yields are calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.

(2) Loan, lease, and deposit average rates include the impact of applicable derivatives, non-deferrable fees, and amortized deferred fees.

(3) For purposes of this analysis, nonaccrual loans are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table of Contents**Provision for Credit Losses**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 2, the Credit Risk section, and the Franklin-related Impacts section.)

The provision for credit losses is the expense necessary to maintain the ALLL and the AULC at levels appropriate to absorb our estimate of inherent credit losses in the loan and lease portfolio and the portfolio of unfunded loan commitments and letters-of-credit.

The provision for credit losses for the 2011 second quarter was \$35.8 million, down \$13.6 million, or 28%, from the prior quarter and down \$157.6 million, or 81%, from the year-ago quarter. The provision for credit losses for the first six-month period of 2011 was \$85.2 million, down \$343.2 million, or 80%, from the year-ago period. These declines reflected a combination of lower NCOs and a reduction in commercial Criticized loans. The reduction in commercial Criticized loans reflected the resolution of problem credits for which reserves had been previously established. The current quarter's provision for credit losses was \$61.7 million less than total NCOs and the provision for credit losses for the first six-month period of 2011 was \$177.4 million less than total NCOs (see Credit Quality discussion).

Noninterest Income

The following table reflects noninterest income for each of the past five quarters:

Table 11 Noninterest Income

(dollar amounts in thousands)	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 60,675	\$ 54,324	\$ 55,810	\$ 65,932	\$ 75,934
Mortgage banking income	23,835	22,684	53,169	52,045	45,530
Trust services	30,392	30,742	29,394	26,997	28,399
Electronic banking	31,728	28,786	28,900	28,090	28,107
Insurance income	16,399	17,945	19,678	19,801	18,074
Brokerage income	20,819	20,511	16,953	16,575	18,425
Bank owned life insurance income	17,602	14,819	16,113	14,091	14,392
Automobile operating lease income	7,307	8,847	10,463	11,356	11,842
Securities gains (losses)	1,507	40	(103)	(296)	156
Other income	45,503	38,247	33,843	32,552	28,784
Total noninterest income	\$ 255,767	\$ 236,945	\$ 264,220	\$ 267,143	\$ 269,643

The following table details mortgage banking income and the net impact of MSR hedging activity for each of the past five quarters:

Table 12 Mortgage Banking Income

(dollar amounts in thousands, except as noted)	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Mortgage banking income					
Origination and secondary marketing	\$ 11,522	\$ 19,799	\$ 48,236	\$ 35,840	\$ 19,778
Servicing fees	12,417	12,546	11,474	12,053	12,178
Amortization of capitalized servicing	(9,052)	(9,863)	(13,960)	(13,003)	(10,137)
Other mortgage banking income	4,259	3,769	4,789	4,966	3,664
Sub-total	19,146	26,251	50,539	39,856	25,483
MSR valuation adjustment ⁽¹⁾	(8,292)	774	31,319	(12,047)	(26,221)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Net trading gains (losses) related to MSR hedging	12,981	(4,341)	(28,689)	24,236	46,268
Total mortgage banking income	\$ 23,835	\$ 22,684	\$ 53,169	\$ 52,045	\$ 45,530
Mortgage originations (in millions)	\$ 916	\$ 929	\$ 1,827	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,161
Average trading account securities used to hedge MSR's (in millions)	22	46	184	23	28
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights ⁽²⁾	189,740	202,559	196,194	161,594	179,138
Total mortgages serviced for others (in millions) ⁽²⁾	16,315	16,456	15,933	15,713	15,954
MSR % of investor servicing portfolio	1.16%	1.23%	1.23%	1.03%	1.12%
Net impact of MSR hedging					
MSR valuation adjustment ⁽¹⁾	\$ (8,292)	\$ 774	\$ 31,319	\$ (12,047)	\$ (26,221)
Net trading gains (losses) related to MSR hedging	12,981	(4,341)	(28,689)	24,236	46,268
Net interest income related to MSR hedging	84	99	713	32	58
Net gain (loss) of MSR hedging	\$ 4,773	\$ (3,468)	\$ 3,343	\$ 12,221	\$ 20,105

(1) The change in fair value for the period represents the MSR valuation adjustment, net of amortization of capitalized servicing.

(2) At period end.

Table of Contents**2011 Second Quarter versus 2010 Second Quarter**

Noninterest income decreased \$13.9 million, or 5%, from the year-ago quarter.

Table 13 Noninterest Income 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2010 Second Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Second Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 60,675	\$ 75,934	\$ (15,259)	(20)%
Mortgage banking income	23,835	45,530	(21,695)	(48)
Trust services	30,392	28,399	1,993	7
Electronic banking	31,728	28,107	3,621	13
Insurance income	16,399	18,074	(1,675)	(9)
Brokerage income	20,819	18,425	2,394	13
Bank owned life insurance income	17,602	14,392	3,210	22
Automobile operating lease income	7,307	11,842	(4,535)	(38)
Securities gains (losses)	1,507	156	1,351	866
Other income	45,503	28,784	16,719	58
Total noninterest income	\$ 255,767	\$ 269,643	\$ (13,876)	(5)%

The \$13.9 million, or 5%, decrease in total noninterest income from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$21.7 million, or 48%, decrease in mortgage banking income. This primarily reflected a \$15.4 million decrease in MSR net hedging income and an \$8.3 million, or 42%, decrease in origination and secondary marketing income, as originations decreased 21% from the year-ago quarter.

\$15.3 million, or 20%, decline in service charges on deposit accounts, reflecting lower personal service charges due to the implementation of the amendment to Reg E and lower underlying activity levels.

\$4.5 million, or 38%, decline in automobile operating lease income reflecting the impact of a declining portfolio as a result of having exited that business in 2008.

Partially offset by:

\$16.7 million, or 58%, increase in other income, of which \$10.8 million was associated with SBA gains and servicing. Also contributing to the growth were increases from the sale of interest rate protection products and capital markets activities.

\$3.6 million, or 13%, increase in electronic banking income, reflecting an increase in debit card transaction volume and new account growth.

\$3.2 million, or 22%, increase in bank owned life insurance income.

\$2.4 million, or 13%, increase in brokerage income, primarily reflecting increased sales of investment products.

\$2.0 million, or 7%, increase in trust services income, due to a \$10.3 billion increase in total trust assets, including a \$2.5 billion increase in assets under management. This increase reflected improved market values and net growth in accounts.

Table of Contents**2011 Second Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter**

Noninterest income increased \$18.8 million, or 8%, from the prior quarter.

Table 14 Noninterest Income 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2011 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		Change	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 60,675	\$ 54,324	\$ 6,351	12%
Mortgage banking income	23,835	22,684	1,151	5
Trust services	30,392	30,742	(350)	(1)
Electronic banking	31,728	28,786	2,942	10
Insurance income	16,399	17,945	(1,546)	(9)
Brokerage income	20,819	20,511	308	2
Bank owned life insurance income	17,602	14,819	2,783	19
Automobile operating lease income	7,307	8,847	(1,540)	(17)
Securities gains	1,507	40	1,467	3,668
Other income	45,503	38,247	7,256	19
Total noninterest income	\$ 255,767	\$ 236,945	\$ 18,822	8%

The \$18.8 million, or 8%, increase in total noninterest income from the prior quarter reflected:

\$7.3 million, or 19%, increase in other income, reflecting SBA gains, higher market-related gains and capital markets income.

\$6.4 million, or 12%, increase in service charges on deposit accounts, primarily reflecting an increase in personal services charges, mostly due to higher NSF/OD fees.

\$2.9 million, or 10%, increase in electronic banking income, reflecting higher activity levels.

2011 First Six Months versus 2010 First Six Months

Noninterest income for the first six-month period of 2011 decreased \$17.8 million, or 3%, from the comparable year-ago period.

Table 15 Noninterest Income 2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 114,999	\$ 145,273	\$ (30,274)	(21)%
Mortgage banking income	46,519	70,568	(24,049)	(34)
Trust services	61,134	56,164	4,970	9
Electronic banking	60,514	53,244	7,270	14
Insurance income	34,344	36,934	(2,590)	(7)
Brokerage income	41,330	35,327	6,003	17
Bank owned life insurance income	32,421	30,862	1,559	5
Automobile operating lease income	16,154	24,145	(7,991)	(33)
Securities gains	1,547	125	1,422	1,138
Other income	83,750	57,853	25,897	45
Total noninterest income	\$ 492,712	\$ 510,495	\$ (17,783)	(3)%

Table of Contents

The following table details mortgage banking income and the net impact of MSR hedging activity for the first six-month period of 2011 and 2010:

Table 16 Year to Date Mortgage Banking Income and Net Impact of MSR Hedging

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except as noted)</i>	Six Months Ended June		YTD Change 2011 vs	
	2011	30, 2010	Amount	Percent
Mortgage Banking Income				
Origination and secondary marketing	\$ 31,321	\$ 33,364	\$ (2,043)	(6)%
Servicing fees	24,963	24,596	367	1
Amortization of capitalized servicing	(18,915)	(20,202)	1,287	(6)
Other mortgage banking income	8,028	6,874	1,154	17
Subtotal	45,397	44,632	765	2
MSR valuation adjustment (1)	(7,518)	(31,993)	24,475	(77)
Net trading gains related to MSR hedging	8,640	57,929	(49,289)	(85)
Total mortgage banking income	\$ 46,519	\$ 70,568	\$ (24,049)	(34)%
Mortgage originations (in millions)	\$ 1,845	\$ 2,030	\$ (185)	(9)%
Average trading account securities used to hedge MSRs (in millions)	34	23	11	48
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights (2)	189,740	179,138	10,602	6
Total mortgages serviced for others (in millions) (2)	16,315	15,954	361	2
MSR % of investor servicing portfolio	1.16%	1.12%	0.04%	357%
Net Impact of MSR Hedging				
MSR valuation adjustment (1)	\$ (7,518)	\$ (31,993)	\$ 24,475	(77)%
Net trading gains related to MSR hedging	8,640	57,929	(49,289)	(85)
Net interest income related to MSR hedging	183	227	(44)	(19)
Net impact of MSR hedging	\$ 1,305	\$ 26,163	\$ (24,858)	(95)%

(1) The change in fair value for the period represents the MSR valuation adjustment, excluding amortization of capitalized servicing.

(2) At period end.

The \$17.8 million, or 3%, decrease in total noninterest income reflected:

\$30.3 million, or 21%, decline in service charges on deposit accounts, reflecting lower personal service charges due to the implementation of the amendment to Reg E and lower underlying activity levels.

\$24.0 million, or 34%, decrease in mortgage banking income. This primarily reflected a \$24.9 million decrease in MSR net hedging income and a \$2.0 million, or 6%, decrease in origination and secondary marketing income, as originations decreased 9% from the year-ago period.

Partially offset by:

\$25.9 million, or 45%, increase in other income, of which \$20.2 million was associated with SBA gains and loan fees. Also contributing to the growth were increases from the sale of interest rate protection products and capital markets activities.

\$7.3 million, or 14%, increase in electronic banking income, reflecting an increase in debit card transaction volume and new account growth.

\$6.0 million, or 17%, increase in brokerage income, primarily reflecting increased sales of investment products.

\$5.0 million, or 9%, increase in trust services income, due to a \$10.3 billion increase in total trust assets, including a \$2.5 billion increase in assets under management. This increase reflected improved market values and net growth in accounts.

For additional information regarding noninterest income, see the Legislative and Regulatory section located within the Executive Overview.

Table of Contents**Noninterest Expense***(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 1.)*

The following table reflects noninterest expense for each of the past five quarters:

Table 17 Noninterest Expense

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Personnel costs	\$ 218,570	\$ 219,028	\$ 212,184	\$ 208,272	\$ 194,875
Outside data processing and other services	43,889	40,282	40,943	38,553	40,670
Net occupancy	26,885	28,436	26,670	26,718	25,388
Deposit and other insurance expense	23,823	17,896	23,320	23,406	26,067
Professional services	20,080	13,465	21,021	20,672	24,388
Equipment	21,921	22,477	22,060	21,651	21,585
Marketing	20,102	16,895	16,168	20,921	17,682
Amortization of intangibles	13,386	13,370	15,046	15,145	15,141
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,398	3,931	10,502	12,047	4,970
Automobile operating lease expense	5,434	6,836	8,142	9,159	9,667
Other expense	29,921	48,083	38,537	30,765	33,377
Total noninterest expense	\$ 428,409	\$ 430,699	\$ 434,593	\$ 427,309	\$ 413,810
Number of employees (full-time equivalent), at period-end	11,457	11,319	11,341	11,279	11,117

2011 Second Quarter versus 2010 Second Quarter

Noninterest expense increased \$14.6 million, or 4%, from the year-ago quarter.

Table 18 Noninterest Expense 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2010 Second Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Second Quarter		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 218,570	\$ 194,875	\$ 23,695	12%
Outside data processing and other services	43,889	40,670	3,219	8
Net occupancy	26,885	25,388	1,497	6
Deposit and other insurance expense	23,823	26,067	(2,244)	(9)
Professional services	20,080	24,388	(4,308)	(18)
Equipment	21,921	21,585	336	2
Marketing	20,102	17,682	2,420	14
Amortization of intangibles	13,386	15,141	(1,755)	(12)
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,398	4,970	(572)	(12)
Automobile operating lease expense	5,434	9,667	(4,233)	(44)
Other expense	29,921	33,377	(3,456)	(10)
Total noninterest expense	\$ 428,409	\$ 413,810	\$ 14,599	4%
	11,457	11,117	340	3%

Number of employees (full-time equivalent), at period-end

The \$14.6 million, or 4%, increase in total noninterest expense from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$23.7 million, or 12%, increase in personnel costs, primarily reflecting a 3% increase in full-time equivalent staff in support of strategic initiatives, as well as higher benefit related expenses, including costs associated with the reinstatement of our 401(k) plan matching contribution in May 2010.

\$3.2 million, or 8%, increase in outside data processing and other service, reflecting higher costs associated with the implementation of strategic initiatives.

\$2.4 million, or 14%, increase in marketing expense, reflecting higher advertising costs.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$4.3 million, or 18%, decrease in professional services, reflecting lower legal costs, as collection activities declined, and consulting expenses.

\$4.2 million, or 44%, decline in automobile operating lease expense as that portfolio continued to run-off.

\$3.5 million, or 10%, decrease in other expense, primarily reflecting a decline in expenses related to representations and warranties losses on mortgage loans sold.

2011 Second Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter

Noninterest expense decreased \$2.3 million, or 1%, from the prior quarter.

Table 19 Noninterest Expense 2011 Second Quarter vs. 2011 First Quarter

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		Change	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 218,570	\$ 219,028	\$ (458)	%
Outside data processing and other services	43,889	40,282	3,607	9
Net occupancy	26,885	28,436	(1,551)	(5)
Deposit and other insurance expense	23,823	17,896	5,927	33
Professional services	20,080	13,465	6,615	49
Equipment	21,921	22,477	(556)	(2)
Marketing	20,102	16,895	3,207	19
Amortization of intangibles	13,386	13,370	16	
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,398	3,931	467	12
Automobile operating lease expense	5,434	6,836	(1,402)	(21)
Other expense	29,921	48,083	(18,162)	(38)
Total noninterest expense	\$ 428,409	\$ 430,699	\$ (2,290)	(1)%

Number of employees (full-time equivalent), at period-end

11,457 11,319 138 1%

The \$2.3 million, or 1%, decrease in total noninterest expense from the prior quarter reflected:

\$18.2 million, or 38%, decrease in other expense, primarily reflecting the prior quarter's \$17.0 million addition to litigation reserves.

Partially offset by:

\$6.6 million, or 49%, increase in professional services, reflecting higher costs supporting regulatory and litigation efforts.

\$5.9 million, or 33%, temporary increase in deposit and other insurance expenses.

\$3.6 million, or 9%, increase in outside data processing and other services, reflecting higher appraisal costs and system upgrade expenses.

\$3.2 million, or 19%, increase in marketing expense, reflecting higher advertising costs.

Table of Contents**2011 First Six Months versus 2010 First Six Months**

Noninterest expense for the first six-month period of 2011 increased \$47.2 million, or 6%, from the comparable year-ago period.

Table 20 Noninterest Expense 2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 437,598	\$ 378,517	\$ 59,081	16%
Outside data processing and other services	84,171	79,752	4,419	6
Net occupancy	55,321	54,474	847	2
Deposit and other insurance expense	41,719	50,822	(9,103)	(18)
Professional services	33,545	47,085	(13,540)	(29)
Equipment	44,398	42,209	2,189	5
Marketing	36,997	28,835	8,162	28
Amortization of intangibles	26,756	30,287	(3,531)	(12)
OREO and foreclosure expense	8,329	16,500	(8,171)	(50)
Automobile operating lease expense	12,270	19,733	(7,463)	(38)
Other expense	78,004	63,689	14,315	22
Total noninterest expense	\$ 859,108	\$ 811,903	\$ 47,205	6%

The \$47.2 million, or 6%, increase in total noninterest expense reflected:

\$59.1 million, or 16%, increase in personnel costs, primarily reflecting an increase in full-time equivalent staff in support of strategic initiatives, as well as higher benefit related expenses, including the reinstatement of our 401(k) plan matching contribution in May of 2010.

\$14.3 million, or 22%, increase in other expense, primarily reflecting the 2011 first quarter \$17.0 million addition to litigation reserves.

\$8.2 million, or 28%, increase in marketing expense, reflecting higher advertising costs.

Partially offset by:

\$13.5 million, or 29%, decrease in professional services, reflecting lower legal costs, as collection activities declined, and consulting expenses.

\$8.2 million, or 50%, decline in OREO and foreclosure expenses as OREO balances declined 72% in the current period.

\$7.5 million, or 38%, decline in automobile operating lease expense as that portfolio continued to run-off having exited that business in 2008.

Provision for Income Taxes

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 2.)

The provision for income taxes in the 2011 second quarter was \$49.0 million. This compared with a provision for income taxes of \$34.7 million in the 2011 first quarter and a provision for income taxes of \$13.3 million in the 2010 second quarter. All three quarters include the benefits from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits. At June 30, 2011, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$432.7 million. Based on both positive and negative evidence and our level of forecasted future taxable income, there was no impairment to the deferred tax asset at June 30, 2011. The total disallowed deferred tax asset for regulatory capital purposes decreased to \$48.2 million at June 30, 2011, from \$89.9 million at March 31, 2011.

The IRS completed audits of our consolidated federal income tax returns for tax years through 2007. The IRS, various states, and other jurisdictions remain open to examination, including Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Illinois. The IRS and the Commonwealth of Kentucky have proposed adjustments to our previously filed tax returns. We believe that our tax positions related to such proposed adjustments are correct and supported by

applicable statutes, regulations, and judicial authority, and intend to vigorously defend them. It is possible the ultimate resolution of the proposed adjustments, if unfavorable, may be material to the results of operations in the period it occurs. However, although no assurance can be given, we believe the resolution of these examinations will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position.

Table of Contents**RISK MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL**

Risk awareness, identification and assessment, reporting, and active management are key elements in overall risk management. We manage risk to an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile strategy through a control framework and by monitoring and responding to potential risks. We believe that our primary risk exposures are credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance risk. More information on risk can be found in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of our 2010 Form 10-K and subsequent filings with the SEC. Additionally, the MD&A included in our 2010 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2010 Form 10-K. Our definition, philosophy, and approach to risk management have not materially changed from the discussion presented in the 2010 Form 10-K.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss if a counterparty is not able to meet the agreed upon terms of the financial obligation. The majority of our credit risk is associated with lending activities, as the acceptance and management of credit risk is central to profitable lending. We also have significant credit risk associated with our available-for-sale and other investment securities portfolio (*see Investment Securities Portfolio discussion*). While there is credit risk associated with derivative activity, we believe this exposure is minimal. The significant change in the economic conditions and the resulting changes in borrower behavior over the past several years resulted in our focusing significant resources to the identification, monitoring, and managing of our credit risk. In addition to the traditional credit risk mitigation strategies of credit policies and processes, market risk management activities, and portfolio diversification, we added more quantitative measurement capabilities utilizing external data sources, enhanced use of modeling technology, and internal stress testing processes. The continued expansion of our portfolio management resources demonstrates our commitment to maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile.

Loan and Lease Credit Exposure Mix

At June 30, 2011, our loans and leases totaled \$39.1 billion, representing a \$1.0 billion, or 3%, increase compared to \$38.1 billion at December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting growth in the consumer loan portfolio. The automobile portfolio represented 56% of the total consumer portfolio growth, reflecting an increase in automobile sales across the industry, as well as our expansion into the New England market. The home equity and residential mortgage portfolios both increased modestly compared to December 31, 2010. All of the growth within the consumer portfolio was consistent with our focus on high quality borrowers. Total commercial loans were little changed as the growth in the C&I portfolio was offset by a decline in the CRE portfolio.

At June 30, 2011, commercial loans and leases totaled \$19.7 billion, and represented 50% of our total credit exposure. Our commercial portfolio is diversified along product type, size, and geography within our footprint and is comprised of the following (*see Commercial Credit discussion*):

C&I C&I loans and leases are made to commercial customers for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases, or other projects. The majority of these borrowers are customers doing business within our geographic regions. C&I loans and leases are generally underwritten individually and secured with the assets of the company and/or the personal guarantee of the business owners. The financing of owner occupied facilities is considered a C&I loan even though there is improved real estate as collateral. This treatment is a function of the credit decision process, which focuses on cash flow from operations of the business to repay the debt. The operation, sale, rental, or refinancing of the real estate is not considered the primary repayment source for these types of loans. As we look to grow our C&I portfolio, we have further developed our ABL capabilities by adding experienced ABL professionals to take advantage of market opportunities resulting in better leveraging of the manufacturing base in our primary markets. Also, our Equipment Finance area is targeting larger equipment financings in the manufacturing sector in addition to our core products. We also expanded our large corporate banking group with sufficient resources to ensure we appropriately recognize and manage the risks associated with these types of lending.

CRE CRE loans consist of loans for income-producing real estate properties, real estate investment trusts, and real estate developers. We mitigate our risk on these loans by requiring collateral values that exceed the loan amount and underwriting the loan with projected cash flow in excess of the debt service requirement. These loans are made to finance properties such as apartment buildings, office and industrial buildings, and retail shopping centers, and are

repaid through cash flows related to the operation, sale, or refinance of the property.

Construction CRE Construction CRE loans are loans to individuals, companies, or developers used for the construction of a commercial or residential property for which repayment will be generated by the sale or permanent financing of the property. Our construction CRE portfolio primarily consists of retail, residential (land, single family, and condominiums), office, and warehouse product types. Generally, these loans are for construction projects that have been presold or preleased, or have secured permanent financing, as well as loans to real estate companies with significant equity invested in each project. These loans are underwritten and managed by a specialized real estate lending group that actively monitors the construction phase and manages the loan disbursements according to the predetermined construction schedule.

Table of Contents

Total consumer loans and leases were \$19.4 billion at June 30, 2011, and represented 50% of our total loan and lease credit exposure. The consumer portfolio was primarily diversified among home equity loans and lines-of-credit, residential mortgages, and automobile loans and leases (*see Consumer Credit discussion*).

Automobile Automobile loans and leases are primarily comprised of loans made through automotive dealerships and include exposure in selected states outside of our primary banking markets. No state outside of our primary banking markets represented more than 5% of our total automobile portfolio at June 30, 2011. Our automobile lease portfolio represents an immaterial portion of the total portfolio as we exited the automobile leasing business during the 2008 fourth quarter.

Home equity Home equity lending includes both home equity loans and lines-of-credit. This type of lending, which is secured by a first-lien or second-lien on the borrower's residence, allows customers to borrow against the equity in their home. Given the current low interest rate environment, many borrowers have utilized the line-of-credit home equity product as the primary source of financing their home. As a result, the proportion of the home equity portfolio secured by a first-lien has increased significantly over the past three years, positively impacting the portfolio's performance, and providing a positive basis regarding the expected future performance of this portfolio. Real estate market values at the time of origination directly affect the amount of credit extended and, in the event of default, subsequent changes in these values impact the severity of losses. We actively manage the extension of credit and the amount of credit extended through a combination of criteria including debt-to-income policies and LTV policy limits.

Residential mortgage Residential mortgage loans represent loans to consumers for the purchase or refinance of a residence. These loans are generally financed over a 15-year to 30-year term, and in most cases, are extended to borrowers to finance their primary residence. Generally, our practice is to sell a significant portion of our fixed-rate originations in the secondary market. As such, the majority of the loans in our portfolio are ARMs. These ARMs primarily consist of a fixed-rate of interest for the first 3 to 5 years, and then adjust annually. These loans comprised approximately 54% of our total residential mortgage loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. We are subject to repurchase risk associated with residential mortgage loans sold in the secondary market. This activity has increased recently reflecting the overall market conditions and GSE activity and an appropriate level of allowance has been established to address the repurchase risk inherent in the portfolio (*refer to the Operational Risk section for additional discussion*).

Other consumer This portfolio primarily consists of consumer loans not secured by real estate or automobiles, including personal unsecured loans.

Table 21 Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		2010		2010		2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
Commercial: ⁽¹⁾										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,544	34%	\$ 13,299	35%	\$ 13,063	34%	\$ 12,425	33%	\$ 12,392	34%
Commercial real estate:										
Construction	591	2	587	2	650	2	738	2	1,106	3
Commercial	5,573	14	5,711	15	6,001	16	6,174	16	6,078	16
Total commercial real estate	6,164	16	6,298	17	6,651	18	6,912	18	7,184	19
Total commercial	19,708	50	19,597	52	19,714	52	19,337	51	19,576	53
Consumer:										
Automobile	6,190	16	5,802	15	5,614	15	5,385	14	4,847	13
Home equity	7,952	20	7,784	20	7,713	20	7,690	21	7,510	20
Residential mortgage	4,751	12	4,517	12	4,500	12	4,511	12	4,354	12
Other consumer	525	2	546	1	566	1	578	2	683	2
Total consumer	19,418	50	18,649	48	18,393	48	18,164	49	17,394	47

Total loans and leases	\$ 39,126	100%	\$ 38,246	100%	\$ 38,107	100%	\$ 37,501	100%	\$ 36,970	100%
------------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	------	-----------	------	-----------	------	-----------	------

(1) There were no commercial loans outstanding that would be considered a concentration of lending to a particular industry or group of industries.

Table of Contents

The table below provides our total loan and lease portfolio segregated by the type of collateral securing the loan or lease:

Table 22 Loan and Lease Portfolio by Collateral Type

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		2010		2010		2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,
Secured loans:										
Real estate commercial	\$ 9,781	25%	\$ 9,931	26%	\$ 10,389	27%	\$ 10,516	28%	\$ 10,698	29%
Real estate consumer	12,703	32	12,300	32	12,214	32	12,201	33	11,968	32
Vehicles	7,594	19	7,333	19	7,134	19	6,652	18	6,054	16
Receivables/Inventory	4,171	11	3,819	10	3,763	10	3,524	9	3,511	9
Machinery/Equipment	1,784	5	1,787	5	1,766	5	1,763	5	1,812	5
Securities/Deposits	802	2	778	2	734	2	730	2	780	2
Other	1,095	3	1,139	3	990	2	1,097	2	1,120	4
Total secured loans and leases	37,930	97	37,087	97	36,990	97	36,483	97	35,943	97
Unsecured loans and leases	1,196	3	1,159	3	1,117	3	1,018	3	1,027	3
Total loans and leases	\$ 39,126	100%	\$ 38,246	100%	\$ 38,107	100%	\$ 37,501	100%	\$ 36,970	100%

Commercial Credit

In commercial lending, on-going credit management is dependent on the type and nature of the loan. We monitor all significant exposures on an on-going basis. All commercial credit extensions are assigned internal risk ratings reflecting the borrower's probability-of-default and loss-given-default (severity of loss). This two-dimensional rating methodology provides granularity in the portfolio management process. The probability-of-default is rated and applied at the borrower level. The loss-given-default is rated and applied based on the specific type of credit extension and the quality and lien position associated with the underlying collateral. The internal risk ratings are assessed at origination and updated at each periodic monitoring event. There is also extensive macro portfolio management analysis on an on-going basis. As an example, the retail properties class of the CRE portfolio and manufacturing loans within the C&I portfolio have each received more frequent evaluation at the individual loan level given the weak environment and our portfolio composition. We continually review and adjust our risk-rating criteria based on actual experience, which provides us with the current risk level in the portfolio and is the basis for determining an appropriate allowance amount for this portfolio.

Our Credit Review group performs testing to provide an independent review and assessment of the quality and / or risk of new loan originations. This group is part of our Risk Management area, and conducts portfolio reviews on a risk-based cycle to evaluate individual loans, validate risk ratings, as well as test the consistency of credit processes. Similarly, to provide consistent oversight, a centralized portfolio management team monitors and reports on the performance of small business loans, which are included within the commercial loan portfolio.

All loans categorized as Classified (*see Note 3 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*) are managed by our SAD. The SAD is a specialized credit group that handles the day-to-day management of workouts, commercial recoveries, and problem loan sales. Its responsibilities include developing and implementing action plans, assessing risk ratings, and determining the adequacy of the allowance, the accrual status, and the ultimate collectability of the Classified loan portfolio.

Our commercial portfolio is diversified by customer size, as well as geographically throughout our footprint. No outstanding commercial loans and leases comprised an industry or geographic concentration of lending. Certain segments of our commercial portfolio are discussed in further detail below.

C&I PORTFOLIO

We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio through origination policies, concentration limits, on-going loan level reviews and portfolio level reviews, recourse requirements, and continuous portfolio risk management activities. Our origination policies for this portfolio include loan product-type specific policies such as LTV and debt service coverage ratios, as applicable.

While C&I borrowers have been challenged by the weak economy, problem loans have trended downward, reflecting a combination of proactive risk identification as well as some relative improvement in the economic conditions. Nevertheless, some borrowers may no longer have sufficient capital to withstand the extended stress. As a result, these borrowers may not be able to comply with the original terms of their credit agreements. We continue to focus attention on the portfolio management process to proactively identify borrowers that may be facing financial difficulty and to assess all potential solutions. The impact of the economic environment is further evidenced by the level of line-of-credit activity, as borrowers continued to maintain relatively low utilization percentages.

Table of Contents

As shown in the following table, C&I loans and leases totaled \$13.5 billion at June 30, 2011:

Table 23 Commercial and Industrial Loans and Leases by Class

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2011			
	Commitments		Loans Outstanding	
Class:	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Owner occupied	\$ 4,259	21%	\$ 3,870	29%
Other commercial and industrial	16,288	79	9,674	71
Total	\$ 20,547	100%	\$ 13,544	100%

The difference in the composition between the commitments and loans and leases outstanding in the other commercial and industrial class results from a significant amount of working capital lines-of-credit and businesses have reduced these borrowings. The funding percentage associated with the lines-of-credit has been a significant indicator of credit quality. Generally, borrowers that fully utilize their line-of-credit consistently, over time, have a higher risk profile. This represents one of many credit risk factors we utilize in assessing the credit risk portfolio of individual borrowers and the overall portfolio.

CRE PORTFOLIO

We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio specific to CRE lending, focusing on the quality of the developer, and the specifics associated with each project. Generally, we: (1) limit our loans to 80% of the appraised value of the commercial real estate, (2) require net operating cash flows to be 125% of required interest and principal payments, and (3) if the commercial real estate is nonowner occupied, require that at least 50% of the space of the project be preleased.

Each CRE loan is classified as either core or noncore. We separated the CRE portfolio into these categories in order to provide more clarity around our portfolio management strategies and to provide an additional level of transparency. We believe segregating the noncore CRE from core CRE improves our ability to understand the nature, performance prospects, and problem resolution opportunities, thus allowing us to continue to deal proactively with any emerging credit issues.

A CRE loan is generally considered core when the borrower is an experienced, well-capitalized developer in our Midwest footprint, and has either an established meaningful relationship with us that generates an acceptable return on capital or demonstrates the prospect of becoming one. The core CRE portfolio was \$4.0 billion at June 30, 2011, representing 65% of total CRE loans. The performance of the core portfolio met our expectations based on the consistency of the asset quality metrics within the portfolio. Based on our extensive project level assessment process, including forward-looking collateral valuations, we continue to believe the credit quality of the core portfolio is stable. A CRE loan is generally considered noncore based on the lack of a substantive relationship outside of the loan product, with no immediate prospects for meeting the core relationship criteria. The noncore CRE portfolio declined from \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2010, to \$2.2 billion at June 30, 2011, and represented 35% of total CRE loans. Of the loans in the noncore portfolio at June 30, 2011, 62% were categorized as Pass, 95% had guarantors, 99% were secured, and 95% were located within our geographic footprint. However, it is within the noncore portfolio where most of the credit quality challenges exist. For example, \$0.3 billion, or 12%, of related outstanding balances, are classified as NALs. SAD administered \$1.0 billion, or 45%, of total noncore CRE loans at June 30, 2011. We expect to exit the majority of noncore CRE relationships over time through normal repayments and refinancings, possible sales should economically attractive opportunities arise, or the reclassification to a core CRE relationship if it expands to meet the core criteria.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a segregation of the CRE portfolio as of June 30, 2011:

Table 24 Core Commercial Real Estate Loans by Property Type and Property Location

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2011								Total Amount	%
	Ohio	Michigan	Pennsylvania	Indiana	Kentucky	Florida	Virginia	Other		
Core portfolio:										
Retail properties	\$ 488	\$ 91	\$ 74	\$ 93	\$ 8	\$ 39	\$ 30	\$ 344	\$ 1,167	19%
Office	330	103	95	18	10	1	38	52	647	10
Multi family	269	85	60	32	30	1	26	60	563	9
Industrial and warehouse	237	81	21	43	3	2	6	83	476	8
Other commercial real estate	725	128	38	48		20	53	120	1,132	18
Total core portfolio	2,049	488	288	234	51	63	153	659	3,985	65
Total noncore portfolio	1,200	366	131	185	30	102	49	116	2,179	35
Total	\$ 3,249	\$ 854	\$ 419	\$ 419	\$ 81	\$ 165	\$ 202	\$ 775	\$ 6,164	100%

Credit quality data regarding the ACL and NALs, segregated by core CRE loans and noncore CRE loans, is presented in the following table:

Table 25 Commercial Real Estate Core vs. Noncore Portfolios

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2011					
	Ending Balance	Prior NCOs	ACL \$	ACL %	Credit Mark (1)	Nonaccrual Loans
Total core	\$ 3,985	\$ 11	\$ 140	3.51%	3.78%	\$ 26
Noncore SAD (2)	988	322	236	23.89	42.60	240
Noncore Other	1,191	13	95	7.98	8.97	26
Total noncore	2,179	335	331	15.19	26.49	266
Total commercial real estate	\$ 6,164	\$ 346	\$ 471	7.64%	12.55%	\$ 292
				December 31, 2010		
Total core	\$ 4,042	\$ 5	\$ 160	3.96%	4.08%	\$ 16
Noncore SAD (2)	1,400	379	329	23.50	39.80	307
Noncore Other	1,209	5	105	8.68	9.06	41
Total noncore	2,609	384	434	16.63	27.33	348
Total commercial real estate	\$ 6,651	\$ 389	\$ 594	8.93%	13.96%	\$ 364

- (1) Calculated as $(\text{Prior NCOs} + \text{ACL } \$) / (\text{Ending Balance} + \text{Prior NCOs})$.
- (2) Noncore loans managed by SAD, the area responsible for managing loans and relationships designated as Classified Loans.

As shown in the above table, the ending balance of the CRE portfolio at June 30, 2011, declined \$0.5 billion, or 7%, compared with December 31, 2010. Of this decline, 85% occurred in the noncore segment of the portfolio administered by the SAD, and was a result of payoffs and NCOs as we actively focus on the noncore portfolio to reduce our overall CRE exposure. This reduction demonstrates our continued commitment to maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile. We anticipate further noncore CRE declines in future periods based on our strategy to reduce our overall CRE exposure. The reduction in the core segment is a result of limited origination activity reflecting our strategy to reduce our overall CRE exposure. We will continue to support our core developer customers as appropriate, however, we do not believe that significant additional CRE activity is appropriate given our current exposure in CRE lending and the current economic conditions.

Also as shown above, substantial reserves for the noncore portfolio have been established. At June 30, 2011, the ACL related to the noncore portfolio was 15.19%. The combination of the existing ACL and prior NCOs represents the total credit actions taken on each segment of the portfolio. From this data, we calculate a credit mark that provides a consistent measurement of the cumulative credit actions taken against a specific portfolio segment. We believe the combined credit activity is appropriate for each of the CRE segments.

Table of Contents**Retail Properties**

Our portfolio of total CRE loans secured by retail properties totaled \$1.7 billion, or approximately 4%, of total loans and leases, at June 30, 2011. Loans within this portfolio segment declined \$0.1 billion, or 5%, from \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2010. Credit approval in this portfolio segment is generally dependent on preleasing requirements, and net operating income from the project must cover debt service by specified percentages when the loan is fully funded. The weakness of the economic environment in our geographic regions continued to impact the projects that secure the loans in this portfolio class. Lower occupancy rates, reduced rental rates, and the expectation these levels will remain stressed for the foreseeable future may adversely affect some of our borrowers' ability to repay these loans. We have increased the level of credit risk management activity on this portfolio segment, and we analyze our retail property loans in detail by combining property type, geographic location, and other data, to assess and manage our credit risks. We review the majority of this portfolio segment on a monthly basis.

Consumer Credit

Consumer credit approvals are based on, among other factors, the financial strength and payment history of the borrower, type of exposure, and the transaction structure. We make extensive use of portfolio assessment models to continuously monitor the quality of the portfolio, which may result in changes to future origination strategies. The on-going analysis and review process results in a determination of an appropriate allowance for our consumer loan and lease portfolio.

AUTOMOBILE PORTFOLIO

Our strategy in the automobile portfolio continued to focus on high quality borrowers as measured by both FICO and internal custom scores, combined with appropriate LTVs, terms, and a reasonable level of profitability. We discontinued automobile leasing in 2008 with the portfolio in run-off mode thereafter. Our strategy and operational capabilities allow us to appropriately manage the origination quality across the entire portfolio, including our newer markets. Although increased origination volume and the expansion into new markets can be associated with increased risk levels, we believe our strategy and operational capabilities significantly mitigate these risks.

We have continued to consistently execute our value proposition while taking advantage of market opportunities that allow us to grow our automobile loan portfolio. The significant growth in the portfolio over the past two years was accomplished while maintaining our consistently high credit quality metrics. As we further execute our strategies and take advantage of these opportunities, we are developing alternative plans to address any growth in excess of our established portfolio concentration limits, including both securitizations and loan sales.

RESIDENTIAL-SECURED PORTFOLIOS

The properties securing our residential mortgage and home equity portfolios are primarily located within our footprint. The continued stress on home prices has caused the performance in these portfolios to remain weaker than historical levels. We continue to evaluate all of our policies and processes associated with managing these portfolios to provide as much clarity as possible.

In the 2011 first quarter, we implemented a more conservative position regarding NCOs in our residential mortgage portfolio by accelerating the timing of charge-off recognition. In addition, we established an immediate charge-off process regardless of the delinquency status for short sale situations. Both of these policy changes resulted in accelerated recognition of residential mortgage charge-offs totaling \$6.8 million in the 2011 first quarter. Further, in the 2011 second quarter, we implemented a policy change regarding the placement of loans on nonaccrual status in both our home equity and residential mortgage portfolios. This policy change resulted in accelerated placement of loans on nonaccrual status totaling \$6.7 million in the home equity portfolio and \$8.0 million in the residential mortgage portfolio.

Table 26 Selected Home Equity and Residential Mortgage Portfolio Data

(dollar amounts in millions)

		Home Equity		Residential Mortgage	
		Secured by first-lien	Secured by second-lien		
06/30/11	12/31/10	06/30/11	12/31/10	06/30/11	12/31/10

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Ending balance	\$ 3,398	\$ 3,041	\$ 4,554	\$ 4,672	\$ 4,751	\$ 4,500
Portfolio weighted average LTV ratio ⁽¹⁾	70%	70%	80%	80%	78%	77%
Portfolio weighted average FICO score ⁽²⁾	748	745	734	733	729	721

Table of Contents

	Home Equity				Residential Mortgage (3)	
	Secured by first-lien		Secured by second-lien		2011	2010
	2011	2010	Six Months Ended June 30,			
			2011	2010		
Originations	\$ 918	\$ 552	\$ 435	\$ 329	\$ 751	\$ 694
Origination weighted average LTV ratio ⁽¹⁾	71%	69%	82%	78%	84%	80%
Origination weighted average FICO score ⁽²⁾	768	765	758	755	757	761

(1) The LTV ratios for home equity loans and home equity lines-of-credit are cumulative and reflect the balance of any senior loans. LTV ratios reflect collateral values at the time of loan origination.

(2) Portfolio weighted average FICO scores reflect currently updated customer credit scores whereas origination weighted average FICO scores reflect the customer credit scores at the time of loan origination.

(3) Represents only owned-portfolio originations.

Home Equity Portfolio

Our home equity portfolio (loans and lines-of-credit) consists of both first-lien and second-lien mortgage loans with underwriting criteria based on minimum credit scores, debt-to-income ratios, and LTV ratios. We offer closed-end home equity loans which are generally fixed-rate with principal and interest payments, and variable-rate interest-only home equity lines-of-credit which do not require payment of principal during the 10-year revolving period of the line-of-credit.

At June 30, 2011, approximately 43% of our home equity portfolio was secured by first-lien mortgages. The credit risk profile is substantially reduced when we hold a first-lien position. During the first six-month period of 2011, more than 65% of our home equity portfolio originations were secured by a first-lien mortgage. We focus on high quality borrowers primarily located within our footprint. The majority of our home equity line-of-credit borrowers consistently pay more than the required interest-only amount. Additionally, since we focus on developing complete relationships with our customers, many of our home equity borrowers are utilizing other products and services. We believe we have underwritten credit conservatively within this portfolio. We have not originated home equity loans or lines-of-credit with an LTV at origination greater than 100%, except for infrequent situations with high quality borrowers. However, continued declines in housing prices have decreased the value of the collateral for this portfolio and have caused a portion of the portfolio to have an LTV greater than 100%.

For certain home equity loans and lines-of-credit, we may utilize an AVM or an other model-driven value estimate during the credit underwriting process. We utilize a series of credit parameters to determine the appropriate valuation methodology. While we believe an AVM estimate is an appropriate valuation source for a portion of our home equity lending activities, we continue to re-evaluate all of our policies on an on-going basis, specifically related to the December 2010 FFIEC guidelines regarding property valuation. The intent of these guidelines is to ensure complete independence in the requesting and review of real estate valuations associated with loan decisions. We are committed to appropriate valuations for all of our real estate lending, and do not anticipate significant impacts to our loan decision process as a result of these guidelines. We update values as appropriate, and in compliance with applicable regulations, for loans identified as higher risk. Loans are identified as higher risk based on performance indicators and the updated values are utilized to facilitate our portfolio management processes, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

We continue to make origination policy adjustments based on our assessment of an appropriate risk profile, as well as industry actions. In addition to origination policy adjustments, we take actions, as necessary, to manage the risk profile of this portfolio.

Residential Mortgage Portfolio

We focus on higher quality borrowers and underwrite all applications centrally, often through the use of an automated underwriting system. We do not originate residential mortgages that allow negative amortization or allow the borrower multiple payment options.

All residential mortgages are originated based on a completed full appraisal during the credit underwriting process. We update values on a regular basis in compliance with applicable regulations to facilitate our portfolio management, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

A majority of the loans in our portfolio have adjustable rates. These ARMs comprised approximately 54% of our total residential mortgage loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, ARM loans that were expected to have rates reset totaled \$1.6 billion through 2014. These loans scheduled to reset are primarily associated with loans originated subsequent to 2007, and as such, are not subject to the most significant declines in value. Given the quality of our borrowers and the relatively low current interest rates, we believe that we have a relatively limited exposure to ARM reset risk. Nonetheless, we have taken actions to mitigate our risk exposure. We initiate borrower contact at least six months prior to the interest rate resetting, and have been successful in converting many ARMs to fixed-rate loans through this process. Our ARM portfolio has performed substantially better than the fixed-rate portfolio in part due to this proactive management process. Additionally, when borrowers are experiencing payment difficulties, loans may be reunderwritten based on the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

Table of Contents

Several government actions were enacted that impacted the residential mortgage portfolio, including various refinance programs which positively affected the availability of credit for the industry. We are utilizing these programs to enhance our existing strategy of working closely with our customers.

Credit Quality

We believe the most meaningful way to assess overall credit quality performance is through an analysis of credit quality performance ratios. This approach forms the basis of most of the discussion in the sections immediately following: NPAs and NALs, TDRs, ACL, and NCOs. In addition, we utilize delinquency rates, risk distribution and migration patterns, and product segmentation in the analysis of our credit quality performance.

Credit quality performance in the 2011 second quarter reflected continued improvement in the loan portfolio relating to NCO activity, as well as some improvement in delinquency trends. Key credit quality metrics also showed improvement, including a 5% decline in NPAs and an 11% decline in the level of Criticized commercial loans compared to the prior quarter. The reduction in NPAs was achieved despite a more conservative policy on residential mortgage and home equity loans implemented during the current quarter. New NPA inflows increased in the current quarter compared to the prior quarter as a result of the more conservative policy. We anticipate lower inflows in future quarters.

Our ACL declined \$63.3 million to \$1,112.2 million, or 2.84% of period-end loans and leases at June 30, 2011, from \$1,175.4 million, or 3.07% at March 31, 2011. This decline reflected a reduction to the commercial-related ACL as a result of an overall reduction in the level of commercial Criticized loans and NCOs on loans with specific reserves, partially offset by a slight increase in the consumer-related ACL as a result of consumer loan growth.

NPAs, NALs, AND TDRs

NPAs and NALs

(This section should be read in conjunction with the Franklin-related Impacts section.)

NPAs consist of (1) NALs, which represent loans and leases no longer accruing interest, (2) impaired loans held for sale, (3) OREO properties, and (4) other NPAs. Any loan in our portfolio may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to the policies described below when collection of principal or interest is in doubt.

C&I and CRE loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 90-days past due. With the exception of residential mortgage loans guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest, residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due. First-lien and second-lien home equity loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively. Automobile and other consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status, but are generally charged-off when the loan is 120-days past due. When interest accruals are suspended, accrued interest income is reversed with current year accruals charged to earnings and prior year amounts generally charged-off as a credit loss. When, in our judgment, the borrower's ability to make required interest and principal payments has resumed and collectability is no longer in doubt, the loan or lease is returned to accrual status.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects period-end NALs and NPAs detail for each of the last five quarters:

Table 27 Nonaccrual Loans and Leases and Nonperforming Assets

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,
Nonaccrual loans and leases:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 229,327	\$ 260,397	\$ 346,720	\$ 398,353	\$ 429,561
Commercial real estate	291,500	305,793	363,692	478,754	663,103
Residential mortgage	59,853	44,812	45,010	82,984	86,486
Home equity	33,545	25,255	22,526	21,689	22,199
Total nonaccrual loans and leases	614,225	636,257	777,948	981,780	1,201,349
Other real estate owned, net					
Residential	20,803	28,668	31,649	65,775	71,937
Commercial	17,909	25,961	35,155	57,309	67,189
Total other real estate owned, net	38,712	54,629	66,804	123,084	139,126
Impaired loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾					242,227
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 652,937	\$ 690,886	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702
Nonaccrual loans as a % of total loans and leases	1.57%	1.66%	2.04%	2.62%	3.25%
Nonperforming assets ratio ⁽²⁾	1.67	1.80	2.21	2.94	4.24
Nonperforming Franklin assets:					
Residential mortgage	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Home equity					
OREO	883	5,971	9,477	15,330	24,515
Impaired loans held for sale					242,227
Total nonperforming Franklin assets	\$ 883	\$ 5,971	\$ 9,477	\$ 15,330	\$ 266,742

(1) The June 30, 2010, figure represents NALs associated with the transfer of Franklin-related residential mortgage and home equity loans to loans held for sale. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell.

(2) This ratio is calculated as NPAs divided by the sum of loans and leases, impaired loans held for sale, and net other real estate.

The \$37.9 million decline in NPAs compared with March 31, 2011, primarily reflected:

\$31.1 million, or 12%, decline in C&I NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including payoffs. The decline was associated with loans throughout our footprint, with no specific geographic concentration. The reduction was achieved despite an increase in the level of new NALs compared to the prior quarter level. The increased inflows was primarily the result of one large relationship.

\$14.3 million, or 5%, decline in CRE NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including borrower payments and payoffs. The reduction was achieved despite an increase in the level of new NALs compared to the prior quarter level. The increased level of inflows was primarily centered in three relatively large relationships, and we do not believe this increase to be an indication of a reversal of the overall declining trend of new NALs. We continue to be focused on early recognition of risks through our on-going portfolio management processes.

\$15.9 million, or 29%, decline in OREO, primarily reflecting continued declines in both the commercial and residential segments. We continue to be active in the on-going management of our OREO portfolio as lower inflow levels combined with aggressive sales activities resulted in the continued declining trend in our OREO levels.

Partially offset by:

\$15.0 million, or 34%, increase in residential mortgage NALs, primarily reflecting a change to our nonaccrual policy (*see Consumer Credit section*).

\$8.3 million, or 33%, increase in home equity NALs, primarily reflecting a change to our nonaccrual policy (*see Consumer Credit section*).

Table of Contents

As part of our loss mitigation process, we reunderwrite, modify, or restructure loans when borrowers are experiencing payment difficulties, based on the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

Compared with December 31, 2010, NPAs decreased \$191.8 million, or 23%, primarily reflecting:

\$117.4 million, or 34%, decline in C&I NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including payoffs. The decline was associated with loans throughout our footprint, with no specific geographic concentration. From an industry perspective, improvement in the manufacturing-related segment accounted for a significant portion of the decrease.

\$72.2 million, or 20%, decline in CRE NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including borrower payments and payoffs. This decline was a direct result of our on-going proactive management of these credits by our SAD.

\$28.1 million, or 42%, decrease in OREO properties, reflecting lower inflow levels combined with aggressive sales activities.

Partially offset by:

\$14.8 million, or 33%, increase in residential mortgage NALs, primarily reflecting a change in our nonaccrual policy (*see Consumer Credit section*).

\$11.0 million, or 49%, increase in home equity NALs, primarily reflecting a change in our nonaccrual policy (*see Consumer Credit section*).

NPA activity for each of the past five quarters was as follows:

Table 28 Nonperforming Asset Activity

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Nonperforming assets, beginning of period	\$ 690,886	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702	\$ 1,918,368
New nonperforming assets	210,255	192,044	237,802	278,388	171,595
Franklin-related impact, net	(5,088)	(3,506)	(5,853)	(251,412)	(86,715)
Returns to accruing status	(68,429)	(70,886)	(100,051)	(111,168)	(78,739)
Loan and lease losses	(74,945)	(128,730)	(126,047)	(151,013)	(173,159)
Other real estate owned gains (losses)	388	1,492	(5,117)	(5,302)	2,483
Payments	(73,009)	(87,041)	(191,296)	(210,612)	(140,881)
Sales	(27,121)	(57,239)	(69,550)	(26,719)	(30,250)
Nonperforming assets, end of period	\$ 652,937	\$ 690,886	\$ 844,752	\$ 1,104,864	\$ 1,582,702

As discussed previously, residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due, with the exception of residential mortgage loans guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest, and first-lien and second-lien home equity loans and lines-of-credit are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects period-end accruing loans and leases 90 days or more past due for each of the last five quarters:

Table 29 Accruing Past Due Loans and Leases

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,
Accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more:					
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Residential mortgage (excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. government)	33,975	41,858	53,983	56,803	47,036
Home equity	17,451	24,130	23,497	27,160	26,797
Other consumer	6,227	7,578	10,177	11,423	9,533
Total, excl. loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	57,653	73,566	87,657	95,386	83,366
Add: loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	76,979	94,440	98,288	94,249	95,421
Total accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more, including loans guaranteed by the U.S. government	\$ 134,632	\$ 168,006	\$ 185,945	\$ 189,635	\$ 178,787

Ratios: (1)

Excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.15%	0.19%	0.23%	0.25%	0.23%
Guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.19	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26
Including loans guaranteed by the U.S. government, as a percent of total loans and leases	0.34	0.44	0.49	0.51	0.49

(1) Ratios are calculated as a percentage of related loans and leases.

Loans guaranteed by the U.S. government accrue interest at the rate guaranteed by the government agency. We are reimbursed from the government agency for reasonable expenses incurred in servicing loans. The FHA reimburses us for 66% of expenses, and the VA reimburses us at a maximum percentage of guarantee which is established for each individual loan. We have not experienced either material losses in excess of guarantees caps or significant delays or rejected claims from the related government entity.

The over 90-day delinquency ratio for total loans not guaranteed by a U.S. government agency was 0.15% at June 30, 2011, representing an 8 basis point decline compared with December 31, 2010. This decline reflected the sale of

certain loans in this category.

TDR Loans

TDRs are modified loans in which a concession is provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Loan modifications are considered TDRs when the concessions provided are not available to the borrower through either normal channels or other sources. However, not all loan modifications are TDRs. Our standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. Each potential loan modification is reviewed individually and the terms of the loan are modified to meet a borrower's specific circumstances at a point in time. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. Our ALLL is largely driven by updated risk ratings assigned to commercial loans, updated borrower credit scores on consumer loans, and borrower delinquency history in both the commercial and consumer portfolios. As such, the provision for credit losses is impacted primarily by changes in borrower payment performance rather than the TDR classification. TDRs can be classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. Nonaccrual TDRs are included in NALs whereas accruing TDRs are excluded from NALs as it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected.

In the workout of a problem loan, many factors are considered when determining the most favorable resolution. For consumer loans, we evaluate the ability and willingness of the borrower to make contractual or reduced payments, the value of the underlying collateral, and the costs associated with the foreclosure or repossession, and remarketing of the collateral. For commercial loans, we consider similar criteria and also evaluate the borrower's business prospects.

Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage loan TDRs Residential mortgage TDRs represent loan modifications associated with traditional first-lien mortgage loans in which a concession has been provided to the borrower. Residential mortgages identified as TDRs involve borrowers who are unable to refinance their mortgages through our normal mortgage origination channels or through other independent sources. Some, but not all, of the loans may be delinquent. Modifications can include adjustments to rates and/or principal. Modified loans identified as TDRs are aggregated into pools for analysis. Cash flows and weighted average interest rates are used to calculate impairment at the pooled-loan level. Once the loans are aggregated into the pool, they continue to be classified as TDRs until contractually repaid or charged-off. No consideration is given to removing individual loans from the pools.

Residential mortgage loans not guaranteed by a U.S. government agency such as the FHA, VA, and the USDA, including restructured loans, are reported as accrual or nonaccrual based upon delinquency status. NALs are those that are greater than 150-days contractually past due. Loans guaranteed by U.S. government organizations continue to accrue interest upon delinquency.

Residential mortgage loan TDR classifications resulted in an impairment adjustment of \$0.2 million during the 2011 second quarter, and \$2.2 million for the first six-month period of 2011. Prior to the TDR classification, residential mortgage loans individually had minimal ALLL associated with them because the ALLL is calculated on a total pooled-portfolio basis.

Other Consumer loan TDRs Generally, these are TDRs associated with home equity borrowings and automobile loans. We make similar interest rate, term, and principal concessions as with residential mortgage loan TDRs. The TDR classification for these other consumer loans resulted in an impairment adjustment of \$0.2 million during the 2011 second quarter, and \$0.7 million for the first six-month period of 2011.

Commercial loan TDRs Commercial accruing TDRs represent loans most often rated as Classified and are no more than 90-days past due on contractual principal and interest, but undergo a modification. Accruing TDRs often result from loans rated as Classified receiving a concession at terms that are not considered a market transaction for us. The TDR remains in accruing status as long as the customer is less than 90 days past due on payments per the restructured loan terms and no loss is probable.

Commercial nonaccrual TDRs result from either: (1) an accruing commercial TDR being placed on nonaccrual status (at June 30, 2011, approximately \$7.3 million of our commercial nonaccrual TDRs represented this situation); or (2) a workout where an existing commercial NAL is restructured and a concession is given. At June 30, 2011, approximately \$70.4 million of our commercial nonaccrual TDRs resulted from such workouts. Frequently, these workouts restructure the NAL so that two or more new notes are created. The primary note is underwritten based upon our normal underwriting standards at current market rates and is sized so projected cash flows are sufficient to repay contractual principal and interest. The terms on the secondary note(s) vary by situation, and may include notes that defer interest payments until after the primary note is repaid. Creating two or more notes often allows the borrower to continue a project or weather a temporary economic downturn and allows us to right-size a loan based upon the current expectations for a project's performance. If we believe the outstanding balance will be collected, the note is considered for return to accrual status upon the borrower sustaining sufficient cash flows for a six-month period of time. This six-month period could extend before or after the restructure date. Subordinated notes created in the workout are charged-off immediately. If, during or after the restructuring, a charge-off occurs, any interest or principal payments received are applied to first reduce the outstanding balance. After the outstanding balance has been satisfied, any further payments are recorded as recoveries.

As the loans are already considered Classified, an adequate ALLL has been recorded when appropriate. Consequently, a TDR classification on commercial loans does not usually result in significant additional reserves. We consider removing the TDR status on commercial loans if the loan is at a market rate of interest and after the loan has performed in accordance with the restructured terms for a sustained period of time, generally one year.

Table of Contents

The table below presents our accruing and nonaccruing TDRs at period-end for each of the past five quarters:

Table 30 Accruing and Nonaccruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,
Troubled debt restructured loans accruing:					
Residential mortgage	\$ 313,772	\$ 333,492	\$ 328,411	\$ 304,356	\$ 281,473
Other consumer	75,036	78,488	76,586	73,210	65,061
Commercial	240,126	206,462	222,632	157,971	141,353
Total troubled debt restructured loans accruing	628,934	618,442	627,629	535,537	487,887
Troubled debt restructured loans nonaccruing:					
Residential mortgage	14,378	8,523	5,789	10,581	11,337
Other consumer	140	14			
Commercial	77,745	37,858	33,462	33,236	90,266
Total troubled debt restructured loans nonaccruing	92,263	46,395	39,251	43,817	101,603
Total troubled debt restructured loans	\$ 721,197	\$ 664,837	\$ 666,880	\$ 579,354	\$ 589,490

ACL

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

We maintain two reserves, both of which in our judgment are appropriate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio: the ALLL and the AULC. Combined, these reserves comprise the total ACL. Our Credit Administration group is responsible for developing the methodology assumptions and estimates used in the calculation, as well as determining the appropriateness of the ACL. The ALLL represents the estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the reported date. Additions to the ALLL result from recording provision expense for loan losses or increased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating downgrades, while reductions reflect charge-offs, recoveries, decreased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating upgrades, or the sale of loans. The AULC is determined by applying the transaction reserve process to the unfunded portion of the loan exposures adjusted by an applicable funding expectation.

A provision for credit losses is recorded to adjust the ACL to the level we have determined to be appropriate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio. The provision for credit losses in the 2011 second quarter was \$35.8 million, compared with \$49.4 million in the prior quarter and \$193.4 million in the year-ago quarter. The decline in provision expense reflects improved credit migration as shown by a combination of lower NCOs and the reduction of commercial Criticized loans.

We regularly evaluate the appropriateness of the ACL by performing on-going evaluations of the loan and lease portfolio, including such factors as the differing economic risks associated with each loan category, the financial condition of specific borrowers, the level of delinquent loans, the value of any collateral and, where applicable, the existence of any guarantees or other documented support. We evaluate the impact of changes in interest rates and overall economic conditions on the ability of borrowers to meet their financial obligations when quantifying our exposure to credit losses and assessing the appropriateness of our ACL at each reporting date. In addition to general economic conditions and the other factors described above, we also consider the impact of declining residential real

estate values and the diversification of CRE loans, particularly loans secured by retail properties.

Our ACL evaluation process includes the on-going assessment of credit quality metrics, and a comparison of certain ACL benchmarks to current performance. While the total ACL balance has declined in recent quarters, all of the relevant benchmarks improved as a result of the asset quality improvement. The coverage ratios of NALs, Criticized, and Classified loans have significantly improved in recent quarters despite the decline in the ACL level. For example, the ACL coverage ratio associated with NALs was 181% at June 30, 2011, compared with 166% at December 31, 2010 and 120% at June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects activity in the ALLL and the AULC for each of the last five quarters:

Table 31 Quarterly Allowance for Credit Losses Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period	\$ 1,133,226	\$ 1,249,008	\$ 1,336,352	\$ 1,402,160	\$ 1,477,969
Loan and lease losses	(128,701)	(199,007)	(205,587)	(221,144)	(312,954)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	31,167	33,924	33,336	36,630	33,726
Net loan and lease losses	(97,534)	(165,083)	(172,251)	(184,514)	(279,228)
Provision for loan and lease losses	36,948	49,301	84,907	118,788	203,633
Allowance for assets sold	(1,514)			(82)	(214)
Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period	\$ 1,071,126	\$ 1,133,226	\$ 1,249,008	\$ 1,336,352	\$ 1,402,160
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, beginning of period	\$ 42,211	\$ 42,127	\$ 40,061	\$ 39,689	\$ 49,916
Provision for (reduction in) unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit losses	(1,151)	84	2,066	372	(10,227)
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, end of period	\$ 41,060	\$ 42,211	\$ 42,127	\$ 40,061	\$ 39,689
Total allowance for credit losses, end of period	\$ 1,112,186	\$ 1,175,437	\$ 1,291,135	\$ 1,376,413	\$ 1,441,849
Allowance for loan and lease losses as % of:					
Total loans and leases	2.74%	2.96%	3.28%	3.56%	3.79%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	174	178	161	136	117
Nonperforming assets	164	164	148	121	89
Total allowance for credit losses as % of:					
Total loans and leases	2.84%	3.07%	3.39%	3.67%	3.90%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	181	185	166	140	120
Nonperforming assets	170	170	153	125	91

The reduction in the ALLL, compared with both March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, reflected a decline in the commercial portfolio ALLL as a result of NCOs on loans with specific reserves, and an overall reduction in the level of commercial Criticized loans. Commercial Criticized loans are commercial loans rated as OLEM, Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss. As shown in the table below, commercial Criticized loans declined \$0.3 billion from March 31, 2011, and \$0.7 billion from December 31, 2010, reflecting significant upgrade and payment activity.

Table 32 Criticized Commercial Loan Activity

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Criticized commercial loans, beginning of period	\$ 2,660,792	\$ 3,074,481	\$ 3,637,533	\$ 4,106,602	\$ 4,608,610
New additions / increases	250,422	169,884	289,850	407,514	280,353
Advances	44,442	61,516	52,282	75,386	79,392
Upgrades to Pass	(271,698)	(238,518)	(382,713)	(391,316)	(409,092)
Payments	(231,819)	(294,564)	(401,302)	(408,698)	(331,145)
Loan losses	(72,989)	(112,008)	(121,169)	(151,955)	(121,516)
Criticized commercial loans, end of period	\$ 2,379,150	\$ 2,660,792	\$ 3,074,481	\$ 3,637,533	\$ 4,106,602

The entire loan and lease portfolio has shown steadily improving credit quality trends throughout 2010 and 2011, and we believe that early identification of problem loans and aggressive action plans for these problem loans, combined with originating high quality new loans will result in continued improvement in our key credit quality metrics. However, the continued weakness in the residential real estate market and the overall economic conditions remained stressed, and additional risks emerged during the first six-month period of 2011. These additional risks include the U.S. debt ceiling discussions, the budget issues in local governments, the political instability in the Middle East with its ramifications on the cost of oil, European instability, and the flattening of the economic growth in the current quarter compared to the prior quarter. Continued high unemployment, among other factors, has slowed any significant recovery. In the near-term, we anticipate a continued high unemployment rate and the concern around the U.S., state, and local government budget issues will impact the financial condition of some of our retail and commercial borrowers. The pronounced downturn in the residential real estate market that began in early 2007 has resulted in significantly lower residential real estate values. We have significant exposure to loans secured by residential real estate and continue to be an active lender in our communities. The impact of the downturn in real estate values has had a significant impact on some of our borrowers as evidenced by the higher delinquencies and NCOs experienced over the past three years. We do not anticipate any meaningful economic improvement in the near-term. All of these factors are impacting consumer confidence, as well as business investments and acquisitions. Given the combination of these noted factors, we believe that our ACL is appropriate and its coverage level is reflective of the quality of our portfolio and the operating environment.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects the allocation of our ACL among our various loan categories during each of the past five quarters:

Table 33 Allocation of Allowance for Credit Losses (1)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011		2010							
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,					
Commercial										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 281,016	35%	\$ 299,564	35%	\$ 340,614	34%	\$ 353,431	33%	\$ 426,767	34%
Commercial real estate	463,874	16	511,068	17	588,251	18	654,219	18	695,778	19
Total commercial	744,890	51	810,632	52	928,865	52	1,007,650	51	1,122,545	53
Consumer										
Automobile	55,428	16	50,862	15	49,488	15	44,505	14	41,762	13
Home equity	146,444	20	149,370	20	150,630	20	154,323	21	117,708	20
Residential mortgage	98,992	12	96,741	12	93,289	12	93,407	12	79,105	12
Other consumer	25,372	1	25,621	1	26,736	1	36,467	2	41,040	2
Total consumer	326,236	49	322,594	48	320,143	48	328,702	49	279,615	47
Total allowance for loan and lease losses	1,071,126	100%	1,133,226	100%	1,249,008	100%	1,336,352	100%	1,402,160	100%
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments	41,060		42,211		42,127		40,061		39,689	
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 1,112,186		\$ 1,175,437		\$ 1,291,135		\$ 1,376,413		\$ 1,441,849	

(1) Percentages represent the percentage of each loan and lease category to total loans and leases.

The consumer-related ALLL at June 30, 2011, increased \$6.1 million, or 2%, from December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting increased loan-related balances over the first six-month period of 2011. The home equity-related ALLL decreased slightly as a result of lower delinquency levels, and to a lesser extent, improvement in the weighted average FICO score for the portfolio.

The table below reflects activity in the ALLL and AULC for the first six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Table 34 Year to Date Allowance for Credit Losses Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period	\$ 1,249,008	\$ 1,482,479
Loan and lease losses	(327,708)	(577,176)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	65,091	59,467
Net loan and lease losses	(262,617)	(517,709)
Provision for loan and lease losses	86,249	437,604
Allowance for assets sold	(1,514)	(214)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period	\$ 1,071,126	\$ 1,402,160
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, beginning of period	\$ 42,127	\$ 48,879
Provision for (reduction in) unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit losses	(1,067)	(9,190)
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, end of period	\$ 41,060	\$ 39,689
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 1,112,186	\$ 1,441,849
Allowance for loan and lease losses as % of:		
Total loans and leases	2.74%	3.79%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	174	117
Nonperforming assets	164	89
Total allowance for credit losses as % of:		
Total loans and leases	2.84%	3.90%
Nonaccrual loans and leases	181	120
Nonperforming assets	170	91

Table of Contents

NCOs

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Item 2 and the Franklin-related Impacts section.)

Any loan in any portfolio may be charged-off prior to the policies described below if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

C&I and CRE loans are either charged-off or written down to net realizable value at 90-days past due. Automobile loans and other consumer loans are charged-off at 120-days past due. First-lien and second-lien home equity loans are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively. Residential mortgages are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral at 150-days past due.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects NCO detail for each of the last five quarters.

Table 35 Quarterly Net Charge-off Analysis

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Net charge-offs by loan and lease type:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 18,704	\$ 42,191	\$ 59,124	\$ 62,241	\$ 58,128
Commercial real estate:					
Construction	4,145	28,400	11,084	17,936	45,562
Commercial	23,450	39,283	33,787	45,725	36,169
Commercial real estate	27,595	67,683	44,871	63,661	81,731
Total commercial	46,299	109,874	103,995	125,902	139,859
Consumer:					
Automobile	2,255	4,712	7,035	5,570	5,436
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	25,441	26,715	29,175	27,827	44,470
Residential mortgage ^{(2), (3)}	16,455	18,932	26,775	18,961	82,848
Other consumer	7,084	4,850	5,271	6,254	6,615
Total consumer	51,235	55,209	68,256	58,612	139,369
Total net charge-offs	\$ 97,534	\$ 165,083	\$ 172,251	\$ 184,514	\$ 279,228
Net charge-offs annualized percentages:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	0.56%	1.29%	1.85%	2.01%	1.90%
Commercial real estate:					
Construction	2.99	18.59	6.19	7.25	14.25
Commercial	1.65	2.66	2.22	3.01	2.38
Commercial real estate	1.77	4.15	2.64	3.60	4.44
Total commercial	0.94	2.24	2.13	2.59	2.85
Consumer:					
Automobile	0.15	0.33	0.51	0.43	0.47
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	1.29	1.38	1.51	1.47	2.36
Residential mortgage ^{(2), (3)}	1.44	1.70	2.42	1.73	7.19
Other consumer	5.27	3.47	3.66	3.83	3.81
Total consumer	1.08	1.20	1.50	1.32	3.19
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	1.01%	1.73%	1.82%	1.98%	3.01%

- (1) The 2010 second quarter included net charge-offs totaling \$14,678 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related home equity loans to loans held for sale and \$1,262 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.
- (2) The 2010 second quarter included net charge-offs totaling \$60,822 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related residential mortgage loans to loans held for sale and \$3,403 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.
- (3) The 2010 fourth quarter included net charge-offs of \$16,389 thousand related to the sale of certain underperforming residential mortgage loans.

In assessing NCO trends, it is helpful to understand the process of how these loans are treated as they deteriorate over time. The allowance for loans established at origination is consistent with the level of risk associated with the original underwriting. As a part of our normal portfolio management process for commercial loans, the loan is periodically reviewed and the allowance is increased or decreased as warranted. If the quality of a loan has deteriorated, it migrates to a lower quality risk rating, requiring a higher reserve amount. Charge-offs, if necessary, are generally recognized in a period after the specific allowance was established. If the previously established allowance exceeds that needed to satisfactorily resolve the problem loan, a reduction in the overall level of the allowance could be recognized. In summary, if loan quality deteriorates, the typical credit sequence would be periods of allowance building, followed by periods of higher NCOs as the previously established allowance is utilized. Additionally, an increase in the allowance either precedes or is in conjunction with increases in NALs. When a loan is classified as NAL, it is evaluated for specific allowance or charge-off. As a result, an increase in NALs does not necessarily result in an increase in the allowance or an expectation of higher future NCOs.

Table of Contents

2011 Second Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter

C&I NCOs declined \$23.5 million, or 56%. CRE NCOs decreased \$40.1 million, or 59%. These declines were evident across our geographic footprint and generally associated with small relationships. The performance of both portfolios was consistent with our expectations. Based on asset quality trends, we continue to anticipate this lower level of CRE NCOs in future quarters.

Automobile NCOs declined \$2.5 million, or 52%, and reflected historically lower delinquency levels during the current quarter, the continued high credit quality of originations, and a strong resale market for used vehicles. Home equity NCOs declined \$1.3 million, or 5%. This performance was consistent with our expectations for the portfolio given the economic conditions in our markets. We continue to manage the default rate through focused delinquency monitoring as virtually all defaults for second-lien home equity loans incur significant losses primarily due to insufficient equity in the collateral property.

Residential mortgage NCOs declined \$2.5 million, or 13%. The current quarter included Franklin-related net charge-offs of \$0.6 million, and the prior quarter included \$6.8 million of NCOs related to a change in loss recognition policy (*see Consumer Credit section*) and Franklin-related net recoveries of \$3.1 million. Excluding these impacts, residential mortgage NCOs increased \$0.7 million, consistent with our expectations.

The following table reflects NCO activity for the first six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents**Table 36 Year to Date Net Charge-off Analysis**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Net charge-offs by loan and lease type:		
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 60,895	\$ 133,567
Commercial real estate:		
Construction	32,545	79,988
Commercial	62,733	87,042
Commercial real estate	95,278	167,030
Total commercial	156,173	300,597
Consumer:		
Automobile	6,967	13,967
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	52,156	82,371
Residential mortgage ⁽²⁾	35,387	107,159
Other loans	11,934	13,615
Total consumer	106,444	217,112
Total net charge-offs	\$ 262,617	\$ 517,709
Net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	0.92%	2.18%
Commercial real estate:		
Construction	11.18	11.90
Commercial	2.17	2.82
Commercial real estate	2.99	4.44
Total commercial	1.59	3.04
Consumer:		
Automobile	0.24	0.63
Home equity ⁽¹⁾	1.34	2.18
Residential mortgage ⁽²⁾	1.57	4.72
Other loans	4.36	3.84
Total consumer	1.14	2.52
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	1.37%	2.80%

- (1) The 2010 first six-month period included net charge-offs totaling \$14,678 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related home equity loans to loans held for sale and \$4,991 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.
- (2) The 2010 first six-month period included net charge-offs totaling \$60,822 thousand associated with the transfer of Franklin-related residential mortgage loans to loans held for sale and \$11,525 thousand of other Franklin-related net charge-offs.

2011 First Six Months versus 2010 First Six Months

C&I NCOs decreased \$72.7 million, or 54%. CRE NCOs decreased \$71.8 million, or 43%. These declines primarily reflected significant credit quality improvement in the underlying portfolio as well as our on-going proactive credit management practices.

Automobile NCOs decreased \$7.0 million, or 50%, reflected our consistent high quality origination profile, as well as a continued strong market for used automobiles. This focus on origination quality has been the primary driver for the improvement in this portfolio in the current period compared with the year-ago period. Origination quality remained high.

Table of Contents

Home equity NCOs declined \$30.2 million, or 37%. The first six-month period of 2010 included \$19.7 million of Franklin-related NCOs compared with no Franklin-related NCOs in the current period. Excluding the Franklin-related impacts, home equity NCOs decreased \$10.5 million compared with the first six-month period of 2010. The performance was consistent with our expectations for the portfolio.

Residential mortgage NCOs declined \$71.8 million, or 67%. The first six-month period of 2010 included \$72.3 million of Franklin-related net charge-offs, while the first six-month period of 2011 included \$6.8 million of NCOs related to a change in loss recognition policy (*see Consumer Credit section*) and Franklin-related net recoveries of \$2.5 million. Excluding these impacts, residential mortgage NCOs decreased \$3.8 million compared with the first six-month period of 2010. The performance was consistent with our expectations for the portfolio.

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND OTHER SECURITIES PORTFOLIO

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 4 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

During the first six-month period of 2011, we recorded \$4.3 million of credit OTTI losses. This amount was comprised of \$3.2 million related to the pooled-trust-preferred securities, \$0.9 million related to the CMO securities, and \$0.2 million related to the Alt-A mortgage-backed securities. Given the continued disruption in the housing markets, we may be required to recognize additional credit OTTI losses in future periods with respect to our available-for-sale and other securities portfolio. The amount and timing of any additional credit OTTI will depend on the decline in the underlying cash flows of the securities. If our intent to hold temporarily impaired securities changes in future periods, we may be required to recognize noncredit OTTI through income, which will negatively impact earnings.

Alt-A Mortgage-Backed, Pooled-Trust-Preferred, and Private-Label CMO Securities

Our three highest risk segments of our investment portfolio are the Alt-A mortgage-backed, pooled-trust-preferred, and private-label CMO portfolios. The Alt-A mortgage-backed securities and pooled-trust-preferred securities are in the asset-backed securities portfolio. The performance of the underlying securities in each of these segments continued to reflect the economic environment. Each of these securities in these three segments is subjected to a rigorous review of its projected cash flows. These reviews are supported with analysis from independent third parties.

The following table presents the credit ratings for our Alt-A mortgage-backed, pooled-trust-preferred, and private label CMO securities as of June 30, 2011:

Table 37 Credit Ratings of Selected Investment Securities (1)

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Average Credit Rating of Fair Value Amount				
			AAA	AA +/-	A +/-	BBB +/-	<BBB-
Private-label CMO securities	\$ 97.7	\$ 88.8	\$ 3.3	\$ 6.6	\$ 20.5	\$ 8.2	\$ 50.2
Alt-A mortgage-backed securities	62.1	55.5		26.4	10.9		18.2
Pooled-trust-preferred securities	228.7	110.3			26.3		84.0
Total at June 30, 2011	\$ 388.5	\$ 254.6	\$ 3.3	\$ 33.0	\$ 57.7	\$ 8.2	\$ 152.4
Total at December 31, 2010	\$ 435.8	\$ 284.6	\$ 41.2	\$ 33.8	\$ 29.7	\$ 15.1	\$ 164.8

(1) Credit ratings reflect the lowest current rating assigned by a nationally recognized credit rating agency. Negative changes to the above credit ratings would generally result in an increase of our risk-weighted assets, and a reduction to our regulatory capital ratios.

The following table summarizes the relevant characteristics of our pooled-trust-preferred securities portfolio at June 30, 2011. Each security is part of a pool of issuers and supports a more senior tranche of securities except for the I-Pre TSL II, MM Comm II and MM Comm III securities which are the most senior class.

Table of Contents**Table 38 Trust-preferred Securities Data**

June 30, 2011

(dollar amounts in thousands)

Deal Name	Par Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Rating	Lowest Currently Performing	Actual	Expected	Subordination
							# of Issuers	Deferrals and Defaults as a % of Remaining	
Alesco II ⁽¹⁾	\$ 41,447	\$ 31,540	\$ 11,249	\$ (20,291)	C	32/38	14%	16%	%
Alesco IV ⁽¹⁾	20,864	8,243	459	(7,784)	C	31/42	17	26	
ICONS	20,000	20,000	13,418	(6,582)	BB	28/29	3	13	56
I-Pre TSL II	36,680	36,582	26,329	(10,253)	A	27/28	3	11	74
MM Comm II	20,970	20,041	19,712	(329)	BB	4/7	5	3	17
MM Comm III	11,081	10,587	7,344	(3,243)	CC	6/11	7	12	28
Pre TSL IX ⁽¹⁾	5,014	3,995	1,561	(2,434)	C	33/48	27	22	
Pre TSL X ⁽¹⁾	17,684	9,915	3,475	(6,440)	C	35/55	40	29	
Pre TSL XI ⁽¹⁾	25,362	22,725	7,647	(15,078)	C	44/64	29	21	
Pre TSL XIII ⁽¹⁾	28,073	22,703	7,653	(15,050)	C	45/65	31	22	
Reg Diversified ⁽¹⁾	25,500	7,499	484	(7,015)	D	23/44	46	34	
Soloso ⁽¹⁾	12,500	3,906	721	(3,185)	C	42/68	29	21	
Tropic III	31,000	31,000	10,232	(20,768)	CC	25/45	39	28	28
Total	\$ 296,175	\$ 228,736	\$ 110,284	\$ (118,452)					

- (1) Security was determined to have OTTI. As such, the book value is net of recorded credit impairment.
- (2) For purposes of comparability, the lowest credit rating expressed is equivalent to Fitch ratings even where the lowest rating is based on another nationally recognized credit rating agency.
- (3) Includes both banks and/or insurance companies.
- (4) Excess subordination percentage represents the additional defaults in excess of both current and projected defaults that the CDO can absorb before the bond experiences credit impairment. Excess subordinated percentage is calculated by (a) determining what percentage of defaults a deal can experience before the bond has credit impairment, and (b) subtracting from this default breakage percentage both total current and expected future default percentages.

Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss due to changes in market values of assets and liabilities. We incur market risk in the normal course of business through exposures to market interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, credit spreads, and expected lease residual values. We have identified two primary sources of market risk: interest rate risk and price risk.

Interest Rate Risk**OVERVIEW**

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings and value arising from changes in market interest rates. Interest rate risk arises from timing differences in the repricings and maturities of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (reprice risk), changes in the expected maturities of assets and liabilities arising from embedded options, such as borrowers' ability to prepay residential mortgage loans at any time and depositors' ability to redeem certificates of deposit before maturity (option risk), changes in the shape of the yield curve where interest rates increase or decrease in a non-parallel fashion (yield curve risk), and changes in spread relationships between different yield curves, such as U.S. Treasuries and LIBOR (basis risk).

INCOME SIMULATION AND ECONOMIC VALUE ANALYSIS

Interest rate risk measurement is performed monthly. Two broad approaches to modeling interest rate risk are employed: income simulation and economic value analysis. An income simulation analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of forecasted ISE to changes in market rates over a one-year time period. Although bank owned life insurance, automobile operating lease assets, and excess cash balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank are classified as noninterest-earning assets, and the net revenue from these assets is recorded in noninterest income and noninterest expense, these portfolios are included in the interest sensitivity analysis because they have attributes similar to interest-earning assets. EVE analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of the values of period-end assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. EVE analysis serves as a complement to ISE analysis as it provides risk exposure estimates for time periods beyond the one-year simulation period.

Table of Contents

The models used for these measurements take into account prepayment speeds on mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and consumer installment loans, as well as cash flows of other assets and liabilities. Balance sheet growth assumptions are also considered in the ISE analysis. The models include the effects of derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and other types of interest rate options.

The baseline scenario for ISE analysis, with which all other scenarios are compared, is based on market interest rates implied by the prevailing yield curve as of the period-end. Alternative interest rate scenarios are then compared with the baseline scenario. These alternative interest rate scenarios include parallel rate shifts on both a gradual and an immediate basis, movements in interest rates that alter the shape of the yield curve (e.g., flatter or steeper yield curve), and no changes in current interest rates for the entire measurement period. Scenarios are also developed to measure short-term repricing risks, such as the impact of LIBOR-based interest rates rising or falling faster than the prime rate. The simulations for evaluating short-term interest rate risk exposure are scenarios that model gradual +/-100 and +/-200 basis points parallel shifts in market interest rates over the next one-year period beyond the interest rate change implied by the current yield curve. We assumed market interest rates would not fall below 0% over the next one-year period for the scenarios that used the -100 and -200 basis points parallel shift in market interest rates. The table below shows the results of the scenarios as of June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010. All of the positions were within the board of directors policy limits as of June 30, 2011.

Table 39 Interest Sensitive Earnings at Risk

Basis point change scenario	Interest Sensitive Earnings at Risk (%)			
	-200	-100	+100	+200
Board policy limits	-4.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%
June 30, 2011	-2.5	-1.5	1.3	1.9
December 31, 2010	-3.2	-1.8	0.3	0.0

The ISE at risk reported as of June 30, 2011, for the +200 basis points scenario shows a significant change to an asset sensitive near-term interest rate risk position compared with December 31, 2010. The ALCO's strategy is to be near-term asset-sensitive to a rising rate scenario. The primary factor contributing to this change is the 2011 first quarter termination of \$4.6 billion of interest rate swaps maturing through June 2012.

The following table shows the income sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. A portfolio with 100% sensitivity would indicate that interest income and expense will change with the same magnitude and direction as interest rates. A portfolio with 0% sensitivity is insensitive to changes in interest rates. For the +200 basis points scenario, total interest-sensitive income is 37.7% sensitive to changes in market interest rates, while total interest-sensitive expense is 41.1% sensitive to changes in market interest rates. However, net interest income at risk for the +200 basis points scenario has an asset-sensitive near-term interest rate risk position because of the larger base of total interest-sensitive income relative to total interest-sensitive expense.

Table 40 Interest Income/Expense Sensitivity

Basis point change scenario	Percent of Total Earning Assets (1)	Percent Change in Interest Income/Expense for a Given Change in Interest Rates Over / (Under) Base Case Parallel Ramp			
		-200	-100	+100	+200
		Total loans	81%	-17.6%	-24.3%
Total investments and other earning assets	19	-16.3	-21.0	34.3	24.6
Total interest sensitive income		-16.9	-23.0	39.6	37.7

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total interest-bearing deposits	67	-11.0	-15.7	37.2	37.1
Total borrowings	11	-13.8	-26.6	58.7	61.6
Total interest-sensitive expense		-11.5	-17.5	40.7	41.1

(1) At June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

The primary simulations for EVE at risk assume immediate +/-100 and +/-200 basis points parallel shifts in market interest rates beyond the interest rate change implied by the current yield curve. The table below outlines the June 30, 2011, results compared with December 31, 2010. All of the positions were within the board of directors policy limits.

Table 41 Economic Value of Equity at Risk

Basis point change scenario	Economic Value of Equity at Risk (%)			
	-200	-100	+100	+200
Board policy limits	-12.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%	-12.0%
June 30, 2011	-1.4	1.4	-2.9	-6.8
December 31, 2010	-0.5	1.3	-4.0	-8.9

The EVE at risk reported as of June 30, 2011, for the +200 basis points scenario shows a change to a lower long-term liability sensitive position compared with December 31, 2010. The primary factor contributing to this change is the 2011 first quarter termination of \$4.6 billion of interest rate swaps maturing through June 2012.

The following table shows the economic value sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. The change in economic value for each portfolio is measured as the percent change from the base economic value for that portfolio. For the +200 basis points scenario, total net tangible assets decreased in value 3.4% to changes in market interest rates, while total net tangible liabilities increased in value 2.8% to changes in market interest rates.

Table 42 Economic Value Sensitivity

Basis point change scenario	Percent of Total Net Tangible Assets (1)	Percent Change in Economic Value for a Given Change in Interest Rates Over / (Under) Base Case Parallel Shocks			
		-200	-100	+100	+200
		Total loans	74%	1.4%	1.1%
Total investments and other earning assets	17	3.8	2.7	-3.3	-6.6
Total net tangible assets (2)		1.8	1.4	-1.6	-3.4
Total deposits	78	-2.6	-1.4	1.5	3.0
Total borrowings	10	-1.4	-0.8	0.7	1.4
Total net tangible liabilities (3)		-2.4	-1.4	1.4	2.8

(1) At June 30, 2011.

(2) Tangible assets excluding ALLL.

(3) Tangible liabilities excluding AULC.

MSRs

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 6 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

At June 30, 2011, we had a total of \$189.7 million of capitalized MSRs representing the right to service \$16.3 billion in mortgage loans. Of this \$189.7 million, \$105.0 million was recorded using the fair value method, and \$84.7 million was recorded using the amortization method. When we actively engage in hedging, the MSR asset is recorded using the fair value method.

MSR fair values are very sensitive to movements in interest rates as expected future net servicing income depends on the projected outstanding principal balances of the underlying loans, which can be greatly reduced by prepayments.

Prepayments usually increase when mortgage interest rates decline and decrease when mortgage interest rates rise. We have employed strategies to reduce the risk of MSR fair value changes or impairment. In addition, we engage a third party to provide valuation tools and assistance with our strategies with the objective to decrease the volatility from MSR fair value changes. However, volatile changes in interest rates can diminish the effectiveness of these hedges. We typically report MSR fair value adjustments net of hedge-related trading activity in the mortgage banking income category of noninterest income. Changes in fair value between reporting dates are recorded as an increase or a decrease in mortgage banking income.

Table of Contents

MSRs recorded using the amortization method generally relate to loans originated with historically low interest rates, resulting in a lower probability of prepayments and, ultimately, impairment. MSR assets are included in other assets and presented in Table 12 and Table 16.

Price Risk

Price risk represents the risk of loss arising from adverse movements in the prices of financial instruments that are carried at fair value and are subject to fair value accounting. We have price risk from trading securities, securities owned by our broker-dealer subsidiaries, foreign exchange positions, equity investments, investments in securities backed by mortgage loans, and marketable equity securities held by our insurance subsidiaries. We have established loss limits on the trading portfolio, on the amount of foreign exchange exposure that can be maintained, and on the amount of marketable equity securities that can be held by the insurance subsidiaries.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future commitments resulting from external macro market issues, investor and customer perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to us, such as war, terrorism, or financial institution market specific issues. We manage liquidity risk at both the Bank and the parent company.

Bank Liquidity and Sources of Liquidity

Our primary sources of funding for the Bank are retail and commercial core deposits. At June 30, 2011, these core deposits funded 74% of total assets. At June 30, 2011, total core deposits represented 95% of total deposits, an increase from 93% at December 31, 2010.

Core deposits are comprised of interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, money market deposits, savings and other domestic deposits, consumer certificates of deposit both over and under \$250,000, and nonconsumer certificates of deposit less than \$250,000. Noncore deposits consist of brokered money market deposits and certificates of deposit, foreign time deposits, and other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more comprised primarily of public fund certificates of deposit more than \$250,000.

Core deposits may increase our need for liquidity as certificates of deposit mature or are withdrawn before maturity and as nonmaturity deposits, such as checking and savings account balances, are withdrawn.

Demand deposit overdrafts that have been reclassified as loan balances were \$15.9 million, \$13.1 million, and \$18.2 million at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more and brokered deposits and negotiable CDs totaled \$1.9 billion, \$2.2 billion, and \$2.1 billion at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect deposit composition and short-term borrowings detail for each of the past five quarters:

Table 43 Deposit Composition

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		2010		2010		2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,
By Type										
Demand deposits										
noninterest-bearing	\$ 8,210	20%	\$ 7,597	18%	\$ 7,217	17%	\$ 6,926	17%	\$ 6,463	16%
Demand deposits										
interest-bearing	5,642	14	5,532	13	5,469	13	5,347	13	5,850	15
Money market deposits	12,643	31	13,105	32	13,410	32	12,679	31	11,437	29
Savings and other domestic										
deposits	4,752	11	4,762	12	4,643	11	4,613	11	4,652	12
Core certificates of deposit	7,936	19	8,208	20	8,525	20	8,765	21	8,974	23
Total core deposits	39,183	95	39,204	95	39,264	93	38,330	93	37,376	95
Other domestic deposits of										
\$250,000 or more	436	1	531	1	675	2	730	2	678	2
Brokered deposits and										
negotiable CDs	1,486	4	1,253	3	1,532	4	1,576	4	1,373	3
Deposits in foreign offices	297		378	1	383	1	436	1	422	
Total deposits	\$ 41,402	100%	\$ 41,366	100%	\$ 41,854	100%	\$ 41,072	100%	\$ 39,849	100%
Total core deposits:										
Commercial	\$ 13,541	35%	\$ 12,785	33%	\$ 12,476	32%	\$ 12,262	32%	\$ 11,515	31%
Consumer	25,642	65	26,419	67	26,788	68	26,068	68	25,861	69
Total core deposits	\$ 39,183	100%	\$ 39,204	100%	\$ 39,264	100%	\$ 38,330	100%	\$ 37,376	100%

Table 44 Federal Funds Purchased and Repurchase Agreements

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		2010		2010	
	June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	September 30,
Balance at period-end						
Federal Funds purchased and securities						
sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,017	\$ 1,966	\$ 1,773	\$ 1,012	\$ 1,012
Other short-term borrowings	40	34	75	86	81	81
Weighted average interest rate at						
period-end						
Federal Funds purchased and securities						
sold under agreements to repurchase	0.15%	0.17%	0.19%	0.22%	0.17%	0.17%
Other short-term borrowings	0.69	0.92	0.53	0.40	0.36	0.36

Maximum amount outstanding at month-end during the period

Federal Funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$	2,361	\$	2,091	\$	2,084	\$	1,773	\$	1,012
Other short-term borrowings		50		86		108		99		81

Average amount outstanding during the period

Federal Funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$	2,067	\$	2,064	\$	2,045	\$	1,645	\$	907
Other short-term borrowings		45		69		89		94		59

Weighted average interest rate during the period

Federal Funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	0.15%	0.17%	0.19%	0.21%	0.19%
Other short-term borrowings	0.58	0.52	0.38	0.35	0.50

To the extent we are unable to obtain sufficient liquidity through core deposits, we may meet our liquidity needs through sources of wholesale funding. These sources include other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more, brokered deposits and negotiable CDs, deposits in foreign offices, short-term borrowings, FHLB advances, other long-term debt, and subordinated notes. At June 30, 2011, total wholesale funding was \$7.6 billion, a decrease from \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The Bank also has access to the Federal Reserve's discount window. These borrowings are secured by commercial loans and home equity lines-of-credit. The Bank is also a member of the FHLB, and as such, has access to advances from this facility. These advances are generally secured by residential mortgages, other mortgage-related loans, and available-for-sale securities. Information regarding amounts pledged, for the ability to borrow if necessary, and the unused borrowing capacity at both the Federal Reserve Bank and the FHLB, is outlined in the following table:

Table 45 Federal Reserve and FHLB Borrowing Capacity

<i>(dollar amounts in billions)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Loans and securities pledged:		
Federal Reserve Bank	\$ 9.8	\$ 9.7
FHLB	7.5	7.8
Total loans and securities pledged	\$ 17.3	\$ 17.5
Total unused borrowing capacity at Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB	\$ 9.6	\$ 8.8

We can also obtain funding through other methods including: (1) purchasing federal funds, (2) selling securities under repurchase agreements, (3) the sale or maturity of investment securities, (4) the sale or securitization of loans, (5) the sale of national market certificates of deposit, (6) paydowns and/or securitization arising from the relatively shorter-term structure of our commercial loans and automobile loans, and (7) the issuance of common and preferred stock.

The Company is currently considering an automobile loan securitization transaction during the second half of 2011. The potential securitization is expected to be between \$1.0 billion and \$1.3 billion depending on existing liquidity needs, capital planning decisions, and market pricing. At June 30, 2011, and through the date of this filing, the Company has not yet identified the specific loans that would be securitized or finalized terms of the securitization, including whether the securitization would be recorded as a sale or as secured financing and, therefore, has not reclassified the loans to loans held for sale.

At June 30, 2011, we believe the Bank has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations for the foreseeable future.

Parent Company Liquidity

The parent company's funding requirements consist primarily of dividends to shareholders, debt service, income taxes, operating expenses, funding of nonbank subsidiaries, repurchases of our stock, and acquisitions. The parent company obtains funding to meet obligations from interest received from the Bank, interest and dividends received from direct subsidiaries, net taxes collected from subsidiaries included in the federal consolidated tax return, fees for services provided to subsidiaries, and the issuance of debt securities.

At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, the parent company had \$0.6 billion, \$0.6 billion and \$0.9 billion, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents. The decrease from June 30, 2010, primarily reflected the net impact of the equity and debt public offerings offset by repurchase of our TARP Capital in the 2010 fourth quarter, along with dividend payments on our common and preferred stock. Appropriate limits and guidelines are in place to ensure the parent company has sufficient cash to meet operating expenses and other commitments during 2011 without relying on subsidiaries or capital markets for funding.

During the 2010 fourth quarter, we completed a public offering and sale of 146.0 million shares of common stock at a price of \$6.30 per share, or \$920.0 million in aggregate gross proceeds. Also during the 2010 fourth quarter, we completed the public offering and sale of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% Subordinated Notes due 2020. We used the net proceeds from these transactions to repurchase our TARP Capital. On January 19, 2011, we repurchased the warrant we had issued to the Treasury at an agreed upon purchase price of \$49.1 million. The warrant had entitled the Treasury to purchase 23.6 million shares of common stock.

On July 21, 2011, we announced that the board of directors had declared a quarterly common stock cash dividend of \$0.04 per common share, up from the prior quarterly dividend of \$0.01. The dividend is payable on October 3, 2011, to shareholders of record on September 19, 2011. Based on the dividend increase to \$0.04 per common share, cash demands required for common stock dividends are estimated to be approximately \$34.5 million per quarter, up from \$8.6 million per quarter. Based on the current dividend, cash demands required for Series A Preferred Stock are estimated to be approximately \$7.7 million per quarter.

Based on a regulatory dividend limitation, the Bank could not have declared and paid a dividend to the parent company at June 30, 2011, without regulatory approval. We do not anticipate that the Bank will need to request regulatory approval to pay dividends in the near future. To help meet any additional liquidity needs, we have an open-ended, automatic shelf registration statement filed and effective with the SEC, which permits us to issue an unspecified amount of debt or equity securities.

With the exception of the common and preferred dividends previously discussed, the parent company does not have any significant cash demands. There are no maturities of parent company obligations until 2013, when a debt maturity of \$50.0 million is payable. There are no maturities of Bank obligations until 2012, when a debt maturity of \$64.9 million is payable. It is our policy to keep operating cash on hand at the parent company to satisfy any cash demands for the next 12 months.

Considering the factors discussed above, and other analyses that we have performed, we believe the parent company has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

In the normal course of business, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements. These arrangements include financial guarantees contained in standby letters-of-credit issued by the Bank and commitments by the Bank to sell mortgage loans.

Standby letters-of-credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. Most of these arrangements mature within two years and are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Standby letters-of-credit are included in the determination of the amount of risk-based capital that the parent company and the Bank are required to hold.

Through our credit process, we monitor the credit risks of outstanding standby letters-of-credit. When it is probable that a standby letter of credit will be drawn and not repaid in full, losses are recognized in the provision for credit losses. At June 30, 2011, we had \$0.6 billion of standby letters-of-credit outstanding, of which 79% were collateralized. Included in this \$0.6 billion are letters-of-credit issued by the Bank that support securities that were issued by our customers and remarketed by The Huntington Investment Company, our broker-dealer subsidiary.

We enter into forward contracts relating to the mortgage banking business to hedge the exposures we have from commitments to extend new residential mortgage loans to our customers and from our mortgage loans held for sale. At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, we had commitments to sell residential real estate loans of \$400.2 million, \$998.7 million, and \$735.1 million, respectively. These contracts mature in less than one year.

We do not believe that off-balance sheet arrangements will have a material impact on our liquidity or capital resources.

Operational Risk

As with all companies, we are subject to operational risk. Operational risk is the risk of loss due to human error; inadequate or failed internal systems and controls; violations of, or noncompliance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, or ethical standards; and external influences such as market conditions, fraudulent activities, disasters, and security risks. We continuously strive to strengthen our system of internal controls to ensure compliance with laws, rules, and regulations, and to improve the oversight of our operational risk.

To mitigate operational risks, we have established a senior management Operational Risk Committee and a senior management Legal, Regulatory, and Compliance Committee. The responsibilities of these committees, among other duties, include establishing and maintaining management information systems to monitor material risks and to identify potential concerns, risks, or trends that may have a significant impact and ensuring that recommendations are developed to address the identified issues. Both of these committees report any significant findings and recommendations to the Risk Management Committee. Additionally, potential concerns may be escalated to our Board Risk Oversight Committee, as appropriate.

The goal of this framework is to implement effective operational risk techniques and strategies, minimize operational and fraud losses, and enhance our overall performance.

Representation and Warranty Reserve

We primarily conduct our loan sale and securitization activity with FNMA and FHLMC. In connection with these and other securitization transactions, we make certain representations and warranties that the loans meet certain criteria, such as collateral type and underwriting standards. We may be required to repurchase individual loans and / or indemnify these organizations against losses due to a loan not meeting the established criteria. We have a reserve for such losses, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities. The reserves were estimated based on historical and expected repurchase activity, average loss rates, and current economic trends. The level of mortgage loan repurchase losses depends upon economic factors, investor demand strategies and other external conditions containing a level of uncertainty and risk that may change over the life of the underlying loans. We do not believe we have sufficient information to estimate the range of reasonably possible loss related to representation and warranty exposure.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects activity in the representations and warranties reserve:

Table 46 Summary of Reserve for Representations and Warranties on Mortgage Loans Serviced for Others

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Reserve for representations and warranties, beginning of period	\$ 23,785	\$ 20,170	\$ 18,026	\$ 10,519	\$ 5,920
Assumed reserve for representations and warranties				7,000	
Reserve charges	(365)	(270)	(4,242)	(1,787)	(1,875)
Provision for representations and warranties	1,076	3,885	6,386	2,294	6,474
Reserve for representations and warranties, end of period	\$ 24,496	\$ 23,785	\$ 20,170	\$ 18,026	\$ 10,519

Foreclosure Documentation

Compared to the high volume servicers, we service a relatively low volume of residential mortgage foreclosures, with approximately 4,400 foreclosure cases as of June 30, 2011, in states that require foreclosures to proceed through the courts. We have reviewed and are continuing to review our residential foreclosure process. We have no reason to conclude that foreclosures were filed that should not have been filed. We have and are strengthening our processes and controls to ensure that our foreclosure processes do not have the deficiencies identified in those institutions which are the subject of the consent orders between the high volume servicers and their respective federal regulators.

Compliance Risk

Financial institutions are subject to several laws, rules, and regulations emanating at both the federal and state levels. These broad-based mandates include, but are not limited to, expectations on anti-money laundering, lending limits, client privacy, fair lending, community reinvestment, and other important areas. Recently, the volume and complexity of regulatory changes has added to the overall compliance risk. We have invested in various resources to help ensure we meet expectations, and we have a team of compliance experts dedicated to ensuring our conformance. We require training for our colleagues for several broad-based laws and regulations. For example, all of our colleagues are expected to pass courses on anti-money laundering and customer privacy. Those colleagues who are engaged in lending activities must also take training related to flood disaster protection, equal credit opportunity, fair lending, and / or a variety of other courses related to the extension of credit. We set a high standard of expectation for adherence to compliance management and seek to continuously enhance our performance.

Capital

Capital is managed both at the Bank and on a consolidated basis. Capital levels are maintained based on regulatory capital requirements and the economic capital required to support credit, market, liquidity, and operational risks inherent in our business, and to provide the flexibility needed for future growth and new business opportunities. Shareholders' equity totaled \$5.3 billion at June 30, 2011, an increase of \$0.3 billion, or 5%, from December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting an increase in retained earnings. We believe our current level of capital is adequate.

TARP Capital

As discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K, we fully exited our TARP relationship during the 2011 first quarter by repurchasing for \$49.1 million the ten-year warrant we had issued to the Treasury as part of the TARP. Refer to the 2010 Form 10-K for a complete discussion regarding the issuing and repayment of our TARP Capital.

Table of Contents**Capital Adequacy**

The following table presents risk-weighted assets and other financial data necessary to calculate certain financial ratios that we use to measure capital adequacy:

Table 47 Capital Adequacy

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011		December 31,	2010	
	June 30,	March 31,		September 30,	June 30,
Consolidated capital calculations:					
Common shareholders equity	\$ 4,890	\$ 4,676	\$ 4,618	\$ 3,867	\$ 3,742
Preferred shareholders equity	363	363	363	1,700	1,696
Total shareholders equity	5,253	5,039	4,981	5,567	5,438
Goodwill	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)
Other intangible assets	(202)	(215)	(229)	(244)	(259)
Other intangible assets deferred tax liability (1)	71	75	80	85	91
Total tangible equity (2)	4,678	4,455	4,388	4,964	4,826
Preferred shareholders equity	(363)	(363)	(363)	(1,700)	(1,696)
Total tangible common equity (2)	\$ 4,315	\$ 4,092	\$ 4,025	\$ 3,264	\$ 3,130
Total assets	\$ 53,050	\$ 52,949	\$ 53,820	\$ 53,247	\$ 51,771
Goodwill	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)	(444)
Other intangible assets	(202)	(215)	(229)	(244)	(259)
Other intangible assets deferred tax liability (1)	71	75	80	85	91
Total tangible assets (2)	\$ 52,475	\$ 52,365	\$ 53,227	\$ 52,644	\$ 51,159
Tier 1 capital	\$ 5,352	\$ 5,179	\$ 5,022	\$ 5,480	\$ 5,317
Preferred shareholders equity	(363)	(363)	(363)	(1,700)	(1,696)
Trust-preferred securities	(565)	(570)	(570)	(570)	(570)
REIT-preferred stock	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)
Tier 1 common equity (2)	\$ 4,374	\$ 4,196	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,160	\$ 3,001
Risk-weighted assets (RWA)	\$ 44,080	\$ 43,024	\$ 43,471	\$ 42,759	\$ 42,486
Tier 1 common equity / RWA ratio (2)	9.92%	9.75%	9.29%	7.39%	7.06%
Tangible equity / tangible asset ratio (2)	8.91	8.51	8.24	9.43	9.43
	8.22	7.81	7.56	6.20	6.12

Tangible common equity / tangible asset ratio (2)

Tangible common equity / RWA ratio (2)	9.79	9.51	9.26	7.63	7.37
--	-------------	------	------	------	------

(1) Other intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.

(2) Tangible equity, Tier 1 common equity, tangible common equity, and tangible assets are non-GAAP financial measures. Additionally, any ratios utilizing these financial measures are also non-GAAP. These financial measures have been included as they are considered to be critical metrics with which to analyze and evaluate financial condition and capital strength. Other companies may calculate these financial measures differently.

Capital continued to strengthen as period-end capital ratios improved compared to December 31, 2010. Our Tier 1 common risk-based ratio improved 63 basis points to 9.92% at June 30, 2011 compared to 9.29% at December 31, 2010. This increase primarily reflected the combination of an increase in retained earnings and an improvement in OCI.

The Tier 1 common risk-based ratio is the metric that has gained prominence with regulators. The recent international banking Basel III accord sets this ratio minimum at 7.0% with an additional buffer of up to 2.5% for a GSIFI. While we are not a GSIFI, the Dodd-Frank Act requires that any bank with assets over \$50.0 billion would be subject to additional scrutiny. U.S. regulators have identified such qualifying banks as SIFIs. With \$53.1 billion in assets at June 30, 2011, we are at the lower range of the SIFI group. Although we do not know at this time how much, if any, our required buffer will be, we believe that our current period-end capital ratios are well positioned.

Regulatory Capital

Regulatory capital ratios are the primary metrics used by regulators in assessing the safety and soundness of banks. We intend to maintain both our and the Bank's risk-based capital ratios at levels at which both would be considered Well-capitalized by regulators. The Bank is primarily supervised and regulated by the OCC, which establishes regulatory capital guidelines for banks similar to those established for bank holding companies by the Federal Reserve Board.

Regulatory capital primarily consists of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. The sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital equals our total risk-based capital. The following table reflects changes and activity to the various components utilized in the calculation of our consolidated Tier 1, Tier 2, and total risk-based capital amounts during the first six-month period of 2011.

Table of Contents**Table 48 Consolidated Regulatory Capital Activity**

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Common Shareholders Equity (1)	Preferred Shareholders Equity	Tier 1 Capital			Total Tier 1 Capital
			Qualifying Core Capital (2)	Disallowed Goodwill & Intangible assets	Disallowed Other Adjustments (net)	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 4,815	\$ 363	\$ 620	\$ (607)	\$ (169)	\$ 5,022
Earnings	272					272
Changes to disallowed adjustments				31	(6)	25
Dividends	(33)					(33)
Repurchase of TARP Capital warrant	(49)					(49)
Repurchase of qualifying trust preferred securities			(5)			(5)
Disallowance of deferred tax assets					113	113
Other	7					7
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 5,012	\$ 363	\$ 615	\$ (576)	\$ (62)	\$ 5,352

	Total risk-based capital					Total risk-based capital
	Qualifying		Tier 2 Capital	Tier 1 Capital (from above)	Total	
	Qualifying ACL	Subordinated Debt				
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 552	\$ 711	\$ 1,263	\$ 5,022	\$ 6,285	
Change in qualifying subordinated debt		(56)	(56)		(56)	
Change in qualifying ACL	6		6		6	
Changes to Tier 1 Capital (see above)				330	330	
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 558	\$ 655	\$ 1,213	\$ 5,352	\$ 6,565	

(1) Excludes accumulated other comprehensive income and minority interest.

(2) Includes minority interest.

The following table presents our regulatory capital ratios at both the consolidated and Bank levels for each of the past five quarters:

Table 49 Regulatory Capital Ratios

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

		2011			2010	
		June 30,	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,
Total risk-weighted assets (in millions)	Consolidated	\$ 44,080	\$ 43,024	\$ 43,471	\$ 42,759	42,486
	Bank	43,907	42,750	43,281	42,503	42,249
Tier 1 leverage ratio	Consolidated	10.25%	9.80%	9.41%	10.54%	10.45%
	Bank	7.62	7.23	6.97	6.85	6.54
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	12.14	12.04	11.55	12.82	12.51
	Bank	9.01	8.87	8.51	8.28	7.80
Total risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	14.89	14.85	14.46	15.08	14.79
	Bank	13.17	13.11	12.82	12.69	12.23

The increase in our consolidated Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios compared with December 31, 2010 primarily reflected earnings from the first six-month period of 2011 and a reduction in the disallowed deferred tax asset, partially offset by a slight increase in risk-weighted assets and the negative impact related to the repurchase of the TARP warrants.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2011, our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital in excess of the minimum level required to be considered Well-capitalized were \$2.7 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. The Bank had Tier 1 and total risk-based capital in excess of the minimum level required to be considered Well-capitalized of \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2011.

Other Capital Matters

On July 21, 2011, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.04 per common share, payable in October 2011. This represented an increase from a cash dividend of \$0.01 per common share that has been declared for the past several quarters.

We consider disciplined capital management as a key objective, with dividends representing one component. Our strong capital ratios and expectations for continued earnings growth positions us to continue to actively explore additional capital management opportunities.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SEGMENT DISCUSSION****Overview**

This section reviews financial performance from a business segment perspective and should be read in conjunction with the Discussion of Results of Operations, Note 18 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and other sections for a full understanding of our consolidated financial performance.

Business segment results are determined based upon our management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the business segments. The process is designed around our organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived are not necessarily comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions.

Funds Transfer Pricing

We use an active and centralized FTP methodology to attribute appropriate net interest income to the business segments. The intent of the FTP methodology is to eliminate all interest rate risk from the business segments by providing matched duration funding of assets and liabilities. The result is to centralize the financial impact, management, and reporting of interest rate and liquidity risk in the Treasury / Other function where it can be centrally monitored and managed. The Treasury / Other function charges (credits) an internal cost of funds for assets held in (or pays for funding provided by) each business segment. The FTP rate is based on prevailing market interest rates for comparable duration assets (or liabilities), and includes an estimate for the cost of liquidity (liquidity premium). Deposits of an indeterminate maturity receive an FTP credit based on a combination of vintage-based average lives and replicating portfolio pool rates. Other assets, liabilities, and capital are charged (credited) with a four-year moving average FTP rate. The denominator in the net interest margin calculation has been modified to add the amount of net funds provided by each business segment for all periods presented.

Optimal Customer Relationship (OCR)

Our OCR initiative is a cross-business segment strategy designed to increase overall customer profitability and retention by deepening product and service penetration. We believe this can be accomplished by taking our broad array of services and products and delivering them through a rigorous and disciplined sales management process that is supported by robust sales and cross-referral technology.

OCR was introduced in late 2009. To date much effort has been spent on defining processes, sales training, and systems development to fully capture and measure OCR performance metrics. This quarter, we are introducing OCR-related metrics for consumers. We anticipate introducing OCR-related metrics for commercial customers later this year. This timing reflects the more complex nature of commercial relationships.

Consumer OCR Performance

For retail customers, there are two key performance metrics: (1) the number of services penetration per consumer checking account household, and (2) the annualized revenue generated.

We use the checking account since it typically represents the primary banking relationship product. Further, in our definition of a checking account household, we only count a product or service once. We believe this is a better metric in that consumer behavior and loyalty are driven more by the variety of products used rather than just the number of products. For example, a household that has one checking account and one mortgage, we count as having two services. A household with four checking accounts, we count as having one service. The household relationship utilizing two services is viewed likely to be more profitable and loyal, even though it has a smaller number of accounts. The overall objective, therefore, is to decrease the percentage 1-3 services per consumer checking account households, while increasing the percentage of those with over 4 services.

The second key performance metric is the number of consumer checking account households. The growth in number of households is a result of both new sales of checking accounts and improved retention of checking account households. The overall objective is to grow the number of households, along with an increase in product penetration.

Table of Contents

The following table presents consumer checking account household OCR metrics:

Table 50 Consumer Checking Household OCR Cross-sell Report

	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Number of households	1,042,424	1,015,951	993,272	980,167	962,328
Product Penetration by Number of Services					
1 Service	4.5%	4.9%	5.3%	5.5%	5.4%
2-3 Services	24.2	24.6	25.3	26.0	26.4
4+ Services	71.3	70.5	69.4	68.5	68.2
Total revenue (in millions)	\$ 260.0	\$ 248.6	\$ 240.3	\$ 239.6	\$ 245.0

Table 51 Net Income by Business Segment

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Retail and Business Banking	\$ 101,895	\$ 56,407
Regional and Commercial Banking	51,184	17,395
AFCRE	85,425	(26,576)
WGH	17,595	22,305
Treasury/Other	16,265	18,970
Total net income	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501

Average Loans/Leases and Deposits by Business Segment

The segregation of total average loans and leases and total average deposits by business segment for the first six-month period of 2011, is presented in the following table:

Table 52 Average Loans/Leases and Deposits by Business Segment

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011					
	Retail and Business Banking	Regional and Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury /	TOTAL
		Other				
Average Loans/Leases						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,002	\$ 7,599	\$ 1,789	\$ 766	\$ 90	\$ 13,246
Commercial real estate	448	327	5,425	177		6,377
Total commercial	3,450	7,926	7,214	943	90	19,623
Automobile			5,829			5,829
Home equity	6,977	12	1	786	25	7,801
Residential mortgage	1,035	4		3,472	5	4,516
Other consumer	402	5	133	43	(35)	548
Total consumer	8,414	21	5,963	4,301	(5)	18,694

Total loans and leases	\$ 11,864	\$ 7,947	\$ 13,177	\$ 5,244	\$ 85	\$ 38,317
Average Deposits						
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 3,630	\$ 2,017	\$ 410	\$ 1,369	\$ 145	\$ 7,571
Demand deposits interest-bearing	4,471	90	44	851	6	5,462
Money market deposits	8,044	1,214	256	3,670		13,184
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,577	14	12	137		4,740
Core certificates of deposit	8,048	29	3	150	4	8,234
Total core deposits	28,770	3,364	725	6,177	155	39,191
Other deposits	189	210	49	1,253	567	2,268
Total deposits	\$ 28,959	\$ 3,574	\$ 774	\$ 7,430	\$ 722	\$ 41,459

Table of Contents**Retail and Business Banking****Table 53 Key Performance Indicators for Retail and Business Banking**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 473,053	\$ 417,671	\$ 55,382	13%
Provision for credit losses	58,358	91,004	(32,646)	(36)
Noninterest income	200,842	198,544	2,298	1
Noninterest expense	458,775	438,430	20,345	5
Provision for income taxes	54,867	30,374	24,493	81
Net income	\$ 101,895	\$ 56,407	\$ 45,488	81%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	5,853	5,398	455	8%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 13,243	\$ 13,158	\$ 85	1
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	11,864	11,795	69	1
Total average deposits (in millions)	28,959	28,482	477	2
Net interest margin	3.28%	2.96%	0.32%	11
NCOs	\$ 83,012	\$ 190,651	\$ (107,639)	(56)
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	1.40%	3.23%	(1.83)%	(57)
Return on average common equity	14.4	8.0	6.4	80

eop End of Period.

2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

Retail and Business Banking reported net income of \$101.9 million for the first six-month period of 2011. This was an increase of \$45.5 million, or 81%, compared with the year-ago period.

Results for the current year continued to be positively impacted by an increase in the number of households and improved product penetration, along with deposit balance growth and deposit spread management. The positive impact has been attained through increased marketing expenses related to direct mail and media strategy changes implemented in early 2011 that continue to drive higher deposit spreads with a 33 basis point increase over the year-ago period. The marketing efforts created strong account and household production when compared to the year-ago period. Provision for credit losses for the first six-month period was lower than the year-ago period as loan credit quality benefitted from aggressive account management and disciplined centralized underwriting. Finally, loan balances are up 1% over the year-ago period, and also have a 10 basis point improvement in the portfolio spread.

The increase in net income reflected a combination of factors including:

\$55.4 million, or 13%, increase in net interest income.

\$32.6 million, or 36%, decline in the provision of credit losses.

Partially offset by:

\$20.3 million, or 5%, increase in noninterest expense.

The increase in net interest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.5 billion, or 2%, increase in average total deposits.

33 basis point increase in our deposit spread.

Table of Contents

Partially offset by:

\$6.0 million of lower equity funding related to lower rate environment.

Total average loans and leases were up slightly in the first six-month period of 2011, compared to the first six-month period in 2010. This reflected:

\$95 million sale of SBA loans involving an \$11.6 million gain referenced below.

\$87 million, or 3%, increase in our C&I (Business Banking) portfolio.

\$82 million, or 1%, increase in the consumer portfolio.

Partially offset by:

\$0.1 billion, or 18%, decrease in the CRE portfolio reflecting our commitment to reduce exposure to CRE loans.

The increase in total average deposits from the year-ago period reflected:

\$1.0 billion, or 14%, increase in average money market deposits.

\$0.4 billion, or 13%, increase in noninterest-bearing demand deposits.

\$0.3 billion, or 8%, increase in interest-bearing demand deposits.

Partially offset by:

\$1.3 billion, or 14% decrease in core certificates of deposit.

The decrease in the provision for credit losses from the year-ago period reflected:

\$107.6 million, or 56%, decrease in commercial NCOs. Expressed as an annualized percentage of related average balance, NCOs decreased to 1.40% in the first six-month period of 2011 from 3.23% in the year-ago period. The overall decline in NCOs was the result of improved credit quality of the portfolio.

The increase in noninterest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$19.9 million, or 191%, increase in other income, which reflected increased gains on sale of SBA loans and loan fees.

\$7.2 million, or 14%, increase in electronic banking income, which reflected higher activation rates on new and existing cards coupled with higher transaction volumes.

\$2.3 million, or 28%, increase in mortgage banking income driven by higher refinance requests beginning late in the 2010 second quarter.

Partially offset by:

\$28.8 million, or 25%, decrease in deposit service charge income due to changes in Reg E and the launch of Huntington's 24-Hour Grace[®] feature on all consumer checking accounts in September 2010.

The increase in noninterest expense from the year-ago period reflected:

\$12.0 million, or 9%, increase in personnel costs, which represent an 8% increase in full-time equivalent employees in support of strategic initiatives, such as the introduction of the in-store branches during the 2010 fourth quarter and the first six-month period of 2011.

\$7.8 million, or 35%, increase in marketing expenses, which primarily reflected a greater focus on direct mail and media campaigns to drive deposit account growth. Our brand advertising did not start until June 2010, so 2011 is a more normalized run rate.

Partially offset by:

\$8.3 million, or 3%, decrease in other expenses, primarily due to a \$2.6 million decrease in OREO losses, \$2.7 million decrease in amortization of intangibles, and \$1.0 million decrease in foreclosure-related expenses.

Table of Contents**Regional and Commercial Banking****Table 54 Key Performance Indicators for Regional and Commercial Banking**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 117,467	\$ 101,716	\$ 15,751	15%
Provision for credit losses	7,427	53,876	(46,449)	(86)
Noninterest income	60,627	53,667	6,960	13
Noninterest expense	91,922	74,746	17,176	23
Provision for income taxes	27,561	9,366	18,195	194
Net income	\$ 51,184	\$ 17,395	\$ 33,789	194%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	642	502	140	28%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 8,851	\$ 8,070	\$ 781	10
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	7,947	7,290	657	9
Total average deposits (in millions)	3,574	3,065	509	17
Net interest margin	3.00%	2.79%	0.21%	8
NCOs	\$ 26,089	\$ (12,127)	\$ 38,216	N.R.
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	0.66%	(0.33)%	0.99%	N.R.
Return on average common equity	15.0	5.2	9.8	188

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

Regional and Commercial Banking reported net income of \$51.2 million for the first six-month period of 2011. This was an increase of \$33.8 million, or 194%, compared with the year-ago period.

Contributing to the increase in net income was growth in both net interest income and noninterest income due to the successful execution of our strategic initiatives. In addition, current year results continue to reflect significant improvement in provision for credit losses, resulting from the proactive treatment of problem credits since mid-2009, an improved credit environment, and increased recoveries.

Significant investments have been made in our sales process, which entails robust customer relationship planning, as well as a renewed investment in technology, including a referral tracking system and new customer relationship management system. These investments have resulted in a 45% increase in loan originations in the first six-month period of 2011 compared to the year-ago period. Additionally, the Commercial Relationship Manager sales teams were educated on the importance of liquidity solutions by partnering with Treasury Management to deliver customer-focused solutions. This partnership, combined with the value of depository solutions, enabled our relationship managers to shift from a lending focus to a broader solutions-based, cross-selling approach including depository solutions.

The increase in net income reflected a combination of factors including:

\$15.8 million, or 15%, increase in net interest income.

\$7.0 million, or 13%, increase in noninterest income.

\$46.4 million, or 86%, decline in the provision of credit losses.

Partially offset by:

\$17.2 million, or 23%, increase in noninterest expense, due to our strategic initiatives investments.

Table of Contents

The increase in net interest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.7 billion, or 9%, increase in total average loans and leases.

\$0.6 billion, or 21%, increase in average core deposits.

21 basis point increase in the net interest margin due to a 38 basis point increase in the commercial loan spread. The commercial loan spread increase reflected lower cost of funds on our renewals. In addition, as the liquidity position of the Bank improved in 2010, the liquidity premium was lowered for new and renewed loans.

The increase in total average loans and leases from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.4 billion, or 10%, increase in the core middle market loan portfolio average balance. The majority of this growth was due to marketing efforts and community development within our Michigan and Cleveland markets.

\$0.3 billion, or 49%, increase in the large corporate portfolio average balance due to establishing relationships with targeted prospects within our footprint.

\$0.2 billion, or 21%, increase in the equipment finance portfolio average balance which reflected our focus on developing vertical strategies in business aircraft, rail, and syndications.

The increase in total average deposits from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.6 billion, or 21%, increase in average core deposits reflected a \$0.5 billion increase in average money market deposits.

Strategic initiatives to deepen customer relationships, new and innovative product offerings, pricing discipline, and sales and retention initiatives.

Targeted money market promotions and sales campaigns for loans and other products. They served as an effective door opener to drive success in ultimately obtaining operating accounts supported with treasury management solutions to promote customer retention.

Best practices from each region were shared and institutionalized.

A money desk was created to assist commercial bankers with tailored pricing solutions for customers having complex large dollar depository needs. This additional support and expertise provided additional value and helped our bankers win relationships and encouraged their expanded prospecting efforts.

The decrease in the provision for credit losses from the year-ago period reflected:

Improved credit quality of the portfolio.

Partially offset by:

\$38.2 million increase in NCOs. Expressed as a percentage of related average balance, NCOs increased to 0.66% in the first six-month period of 2011 from net recoveries of 0.33% in the year-ago period. The increase in NCOs was the result of proactive treatment of problem credits in the portfolio.

Table of Contents

The increase in noninterest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$4.4 million, or 108%, increase in derivatives revenue which reflected increased sales and trading activities.

\$2.9 million, or 284%, increase in brokerage income due to the transfer of our institutional sales business to our business segment from WGH during the six-month period of 2011.

\$2.1 million, or 87%, increase in capital markets income resulting from strategic investments made over the last year in these types of products and services.

Partially offset by:

\$1.4 million, or 46%, decrease in operating lease income as lease originations were structured as direct finance leases beginning in the 2009 second quarter.

\$1.4 million, or 6%, decrease in deposit service charge income.

The increase in noninterest expense from the year-ago period reflected:

\$14.4 million, or 50%, increase in personnel costs, which represent a 28% increase in FTE employees. This increase in personnel is attributable to our strategic investments in our core footprint markets, vertical strategies, and product capabilities.

\$3.8 million, or 9%, increase in other expenses, which reflected increased marketing and business development expenses due to expanded marketing efforts and community development.

Partially offset by:

\$1.0 million, or 42%, decrease in operating lease expense.

Table of Contents**Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate****Table 55 Key Performance Indicators for Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 177,130	\$ 161,214	\$ 15,916	10%
Provision for credit losses	(10,071)	165,308	(175,379)	106
Noninterest income	29,525	37,256	(7,731)	(21)
Noninterest expense	85,304	74,048	11,256	15
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	45,997	(14,310)	60,307	N.R.
Net income (loss)	\$ 85,425	\$ (26,576)	\$ 112,001	N.R.%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	281	255	26	10%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 13,156	\$ 12,725	\$ 431	3
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	13,177	12,854	323	3
Total average deposits (in millions)	774	654	120	18
Net interest margin	2.66%	2.47%	0.19%	8
NCOs	\$ 102,160	\$ 215,344	\$ (113,184)	(53)
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	1.55%	3.35%	(1.80)%	(54)
Return on average common equity	24.4	(6.1)	30.5	N.R.

N.R. Not relevant, as denominator of calculation is a loss in prior period compared with income in current period.

2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

AFCRE reported net income of \$85.4 million for the first six-month period of 2011. This was an increase of \$112.0 million compared with the year-ago period.

Results for the current year continued to be significantly and positively impacted by lower provisions for credit losses due to reductions in required reserve levels as the underlying credit quality of the portfolios continued to improve and / or stabilize. This was in contrast to the year-ago period, which included higher provisions for credit losses in order to increase reserves due to economic and CRE-related weaknesses in our markets. Also contributing to the increase in net income, was growth in net interest income. This primarily reflected the benefit of a higher net interest margin due to improved risk-based pricing. Growth in average total loans and leases reflected the positive impact of an increase in auto finance loan production, which is on pace to exceed the record production levels in 2010, partially offset by the planned continued reduction in our CRE exposure.

The increase in net income reflected a combination of factors including:

\$15.9 million, or 10%, increase in net interest income.

\$175.4 million, or 106%, decline in the provision of credit losses.

Partially offset by:

\$11.3 million, or 15%, increase in noninterest expense.

The increase in net interest income from the year-ago period reflected:

19 basis point increase in the net interest margin. This increase primarily reflected the continuation of a risk-based pricing strategy in the CRE portfolio that began in early 2009 and has resulted in improved spreads on CRE loan renewals as well as new business originated.

\$0.3 billion, or 3%, increase in total average loans and leases.

Table of Contents

The increase in total average loans and leases from the year-ago period reflected:

\$1.4 billion, or 31%, increase in the average consumer automobile portfolio. This increase resulted from continued strong origination levels. Total production for the first six months of 2011 was \$1.8 billion compared to \$1.6 billion for the year-ago period. Contributing to this increase was the positive impact of our expansion into eastern Pennsylvania and New England.

Partially offset by:

\$1.0 billion, or 13%, decrease in our average commercial portfolio. This decrease primarily reflected a \$1.1 billion decrease in CRE loans offset, in part, by a \$0.4 billion increase in automobile floor plan loans. The decline in CRE loans continued to reflect our managed reduction of this overall exposure.

The increase in total average deposits from the year-ago period reflected:

\$100 million, or 16%, increase in average core deposits reflecting our commitment to strengthening relationships with core customers and prospects as well as new commercial automobile dealer relationships developed in 2010 and 2011.

The decrease in the provision for credit losses from the year-ago period reflected:

\$105.9 million, or 53%, decrease in commercial NCOs. Expressed as a percentage of related average balances, commercial NCOs decreased to 2.62% in the first six months of 2011 from 4.86% in the year-ago period.

\$7.0 million, or 50%, decrease in indirect automobile-related NCOs. As a percentage of related average balances, indirect automobile-related NCOs were 0.24% in the first six months of 2011 compared to 0.63% in the year-ago period. This decrease reflected our consistent focus on high credit quality of originations combined with a very strong resale market for used vehicles.

A reduction in required reserve levels, primarily due to lower levels of commercial NALs which totaled \$293 million at June 30, 2011, down 58% compared to \$703 million a year earlier.

The decrease in noninterest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$8.0 million, or 33%, decrease in operating lease income resulting from the continued runoff of that portfolio as we exited that business at the end of 2008.

The increase in noninterest expense from the year-ago period reflected:

\$15.3 million, or 36%, increase in other expenses, primarily reflecting a \$10.4 million increase in allocated costs associated with higher production and other activity levels. In addition, other expense in the year-ago period was reduced by \$3.8 million of OREO-related gains. There were no comparable OREO gains in the current six-month period.

\$3.4 million, or 30%, increase in personnel costs, which primarily related to higher origination related activities, including automobile lending market expansion and the rebuilding of the CRE team.

Partially offset by:

\$7.5 million, or 38%, decrease in operating lease expense resulting from the continued runoff of that portfolio.

Table of Contents**Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending****Table 56 Key Performance Indicators for Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	
	2011	2010	Amount	Percent
Net interest income	\$ 95,930	\$ 76,885	\$ 19,045	25%
Provision for credit losses	29,468	26,717	2,751	10
Noninterest income	133,592	157,401	(23,809)	(15)
Noninterest expense	172,985	173,253	(268)	
Provision for income taxes	9,474	12,011	(2,537)	(21)
Net income	\$ 17,595	\$ 22,305	\$ (4,710)	(21)%
Number of employees (full-time equivalent)	2,114	2,145	(31)	(1)%
Total average assets (in millions)	\$ 6,549	\$ 6,066	\$ 483	8
Total average loans/leases (in millions)	5,244	4,679	565	12
Total average deposits (in millions)	7,430	6,877	553	8
Net interest margin	2.24%	2.25%	(0.01)%	
NCOs	\$ 35,440	\$ 32,470	\$ 2,970	9
NCOs as a % of average loans and leases	1.35%	1.39%	(0.04)%	(3)
Return on average common equity	5.3	7.7	(2.4)	(31)
Mortgage banking origination volume (in millions)	\$ 1,844	\$ 2,030	\$ (186)	(9)
Noninterest income shared with other business segments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 20,447	\$ 18,692	\$ 1,755	9
Total assets under management (in billions) eop	15.2	12.7	2.5	20
Total trust assets (in billions) eop	61.2	50.9	10.3	20

(1) Amount is not included in noninterest income reported above.

eop End of Period.

2011 First Six Months vs. 2010 First Six Months

WGH reported net income of \$17.6 million for the first six-month period of 2011. This was a decrease of \$4.7 million, or 21%, compared with the year-ago period.

Results for the current year were impacted by a decrease in mortgage banking revenue which reflected a decline in the net impact of MSR hedging. The other businesses within the WGH segment experienced significant growth, with increased revenues for the six-month period in 2011 when compared to the year-ago period. For first the six-month period in 2011, an increase in residential charge-offs reflected a policy change, whereas non-residential NCO activity has decreased when compared to the same period in 2010. A focus on structured investment sales increased brokerage commissions and market value improvements contributed to an increase in trust income in the first six-month period of 2011 when compared to the year-ago period.

The decrease in net income reflected a combination of factors including:

\$23.8 million, or 15%, decrease in noninterest income.

\$2.8 million, or 10%, increase in the provision for credit losses.

Partially offset by:

\$19.0 million, or 25%, increase in net interest income.

Table of Contents

The increase in net interest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.6 billion, or 12%, increase in average total loans and leases.

\$0.6 billion, or 8%, increase in average total deposits.

Partially offset by:

1 basis point decrease in the net interest margin.

The increase in total average loans and leases from the year-ago period reflected:

\$0.4 billion, or 15%, increase in the residential mortgage portfolio.

The increase in average total deposits from the year-ago period reflected:

Increased money market, demand deposit, and brokered deposit balances.

The increase in the provision for credit losses from the year-ago period reflected:

\$4.3 million, or 15%, increase in our consumer NCOs. During the 2011 first quarter, we implemented a more conservative position regarding NCOs by accelerating the timing of charge-off recognition. This policy change resulted in an \$8.1 million increase in NCOs when compared with the first six-month period of 2010.

Partially offset by:

\$1.4 million, or 52%, decrease in total commercial NCOs.

The decrease in noninterest income from the year-ago period reflected:

\$26.4 million, or 42%, decrease in mortgage banking income due primarily to a \$24.9 million decline in the net impact of MSR hedging.

\$2.8 million, or 49%, decrease in other income, which reflected Institutional Sales revenue recorded in WGH during 2010, and recorded in Regional and Commercial Banking in 2011.

Partially offset by:

\$5.0 million, or 9%, increase in trust service income reflected a \$10.3 billion increase in total trust assets (including \$2.5 billion increase in assets under management) due to improved market values and net growth in accounts.

\$1.4 million, or 6%, increase in brokerage income. Brokerage commissions increased \$2.1 million, and were offset by \$0.7 million of higher commissions shared with other segments. The increase in brokerage commissions reflected improved sales of structured investment products.

The decrease in noninterest expense from the year-ago period reflected:

\$10.3 million, or 13%, decrease in other expenses.

Partially offset by:

\$10.0 million, or 11%, increase in personnel costs, which reflected higher benefit related expenses, including the reinstatement of our 401(k) plan matching contribution in May 2010.

Table of Contents**FRANKLIN-RELATED IMPACTS****Provision for Credit Losses**

The following table details the Franklin-related impact to the provision for credit losses for each of the past five quarters:

Table 57 Provision for Credit Losses Franklin-Related Impact

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Provision for (reduction to) credit losses:					
Franklin	\$ 0.6	\$ (3.1)	\$ (4.6)	\$	\$ 80.0
Non-Franklin	35.2	52.5	91.6	119.2	113.4
Total	\$ 35.8	\$ 49.4	\$ 87.0	\$ 119.2	\$ 193.4
Total net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin related to transfer to loans held for sale	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 75.5
Franklin unrelated to transfer to loans held for sale	0.6	(3.1)	(4.6)		4.5
Non-Franklin	96.9	168.2	176.9	184.5	199.2
Total	\$ 97.5	\$ 165.1	\$ 172.3	\$ 184.5	\$ 279.2
Provision for (reduction to) credit losses in excess of net charge-offs:					
Franklin	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Franklin	(61.7)	(115.7)	(85.3)	(65.3)	(85.8)
Total	\$ (61.7)	\$ (115.7)	\$ (85.3)	\$ (65.3)	\$ (85.8)

NPAs

The table below details the Franklin-related impact to NPAs for each of the last five quarters:

Table 58 Nonperforming Assets Franklin-Related Impact

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Nonperforming assets					
Franklin	\$ 0.8	\$ 6.0	\$ 9.5	\$ 15.3	\$ 266.7
Non-Franklin	652.1	684.9	835.3	1,089.6	1,316.0
Total	\$ 652.9	\$ 690.9	\$ 844.8	\$ 1,104.9	\$ 1,582.7
Total loans and leases	\$ 39,126.4	\$ 38,245.8	\$ 38,106.5	\$ 37,500.6	\$ 36,969.7
Total other real estate, net	38.7	54.6	66.8	123.1	139.1
Impaired loans held for sale					242.2

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total	39,165.1	38,300.4	38,173.3	37,623.7	37,351.0
Franklin	0.8	6.0	9.5	15.3	266.7
Non-Franklin	\$ 39,164.3	\$ 38,294.4	\$ 38,163.8	\$ 37,608.4	\$ 37,084.3
NPA ratio					
Total	1.67%	1.80%	2.21%	2.94%	4.24%
Non-Franklin	1.67	1.79	2.19	2.90	3.55

Table of Contents**NCOs**

The following table details the Franklin-related impact to NCOs for each of the past five quarters:

Table 59 Quarterly Net Charge-off Analysis Franklin-Related Impact

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
Total home equity net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Franklin	25.4	26.7	29.2	26.7	28.6
Total	\$ 25.4	\$ 26.7	\$ 29.2	\$ 27.8	\$ 44.5
Total home equity net charge-offs annualized percentages:					
Total	1.29%	1.38%	1.51%	1.47%	2.36%
Non-Franklin	1.29	1.38	1.51	1.41	1.53
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Franklin	0.6	(3.1)	(4.4)	3.4	64.2
Non-Franklin	15.9	22.0	31.2	15.6	18.6
Total	\$ 16.5	\$ 18.9	\$ 26.8	\$ 19.0	\$ 82.8
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs annualized percentages:					
Total	1.44%	1.70%	2.42%	1.73%	7.19%
Non-Franklin	1.39	1.98	2.82	1.42	1.74
Total consumer net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Franklin	0.6	(3.1)	(4.4)	4.5	80.2
Non-Franklin	50.6	58.3	72.7	54.1	59.2
Total	\$ 51.2	\$ 55.2	\$ 68.3	\$ 58.6	\$ 139.4
Total consumer net charge-offs annualized percentages:					
Total	1.08%	1.20%	1.50%	1.32%	3.19%
Non-Franklin	1.07	1.26	1.59	1.22	1.39
Total net charge-offs (recoveries):					
Franklin	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Franklin	0.6	(3.1)	(4.6)		80.0
Non-Franklin	96.9	168.2	176.9	184.5	199.2
Total	\$ 97.5	\$ 165.1	\$ 172.3	\$ 184.5	\$ 279.2

Total net charge-offs annualized
percentages:

Total	1.01%	1.73%	1.82%	1.98%	3.01%
Non-Franklin	1.01	1.77	1.87	1.98	2.17

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the Franklin-related impact to NCOs for the first six-month periods of 2011 and 2010:

Table 60 Year to Date Net Charge-off Analysis Franklin-Related Impact

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Total home equity net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$	\$ 19.7
Non-Franklin	52.2	62.7
Total	\$ 52.2	\$ 82.4
Total home equity net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.34%	2.18%
Non-Franklin	1.34	1.68
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$ (2.5)	\$ 72.3
Non-Franklin	37.9	34.9
Total	\$ 35.4	\$ 107.2
Total residential mortgage net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.57%	4.72%
Non-Franklin	1.68	1.66
Total consumer net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$ (2.5)	\$ 92.1
Non-Franklin	108.9	125.0
Total	\$ 106.4	\$ 217.1
Total consumer net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.14%	2.52%
Non-Franklin	1.17	1.49
Total net charge-offs (recoveries):		
Franklin	\$ (2.5)	\$ 91.5
Non-Franklin	265.1	426.2
Total	\$ 262.6	\$ 517.7
Total net charge-offs annualized percentages:		
Total	1.37%	2.80%
Non-Franklin	1.38	2.33

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Forward-Looking Statements

This report, including MD&A, contains certain forward-looking statements, including certain plans, expectations, goals, projections, and statements, which are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. Statements that do not describe historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may be identified by words such as expect, anticipate, believe, intend, estimate, plan, target, goal, or similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as will, may, might, should, would, could, or similar variations. The forward-looking statements are intended to be subject to the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

While there is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete, below are certain factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained or implied in the forward-looking statements: (1) worsening of credit quality performance due to a number of factors such as the underlying value of the collateral could prove less valuable than otherwise assumed and assumed cash flows may be worse than expected; (2) changes in economic conditions; (3) movements in interest rates; (4) competitive pressures on product pricing and services; (5) success, impact, and timing of our business strategies, including market acceptance of any new products or services introduced to implement our Fair Play banking philosophy; (6) changes in accounting policies and principles and the accuracy of our assumptions and estimates used to prepare our financial statements; (7) extended disruption of vital infrastructure; (8) the final outcome of significant litigation; (9) the nature, extent, and timing of governmental actions and reforms, including the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as future regulations which will be adopted by the relevant regulatory agencies, including the CFPB, to implement the Dodd-Frank Act's provisions; and (10) the outcome of judicial and regulatory decisions regarding practices in the residential mortgage industry, including among other things the processes followed for foreclosing residential mortgages. Additional factors that could cause results to differ materially from those described above can be found in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and documents subsequently filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and are based on information available at that time. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events except as required by federal securities laws. As forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties, caution should be exercised against placing undue reliance on such statements.

Risk Factors

Information on risk is discussed in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of our 2010 Form 10-K. Additional information regarding risk factors can also be found in the Risk Management and Capital discussion of this report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Significant Estimates

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to establish critical accounting policies and make accounting estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect amounts recorded and reported in our financial statements. Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2010 Form 10-K as supplemented by this report lists significant accounting policies we use in the development and presentation of our financial statements. This MD&A, the significant accounting policies, and other financial statement disclosures identify and address key variables and other qualitative and quantitative factors necessary for an understanding and evaluation of our company, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

An accounting estimate requires assumptions about uncertain matters that could have a material effect on the financial statements if a different amount within a range of estimates were used or if estimates changed from period to period. Estimates are made under facts and circumstances at a point in time, and changes in those facts and circumstances could produce results that significantly differ from when those estimates were made.

Our most significant accounting estimates relate to our ACL, fair value measurements, and income taxes and deferred tax assets. These significant accounting estimates and their related application are discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents***Fair Value Measurements***

The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value inherently result in a higher degree of financial statement volatility. We estimate the fair value of a financial instrument using a variety of valuation methods. Where financial instruments are actively traded and have quoted market prices, quoted market prices are used for fair value. We characterize active markets as those where transaction volumes are sufficient to provide objective pricing information, with reasonably narrow bid/ask spreads, and where received quoted prices do not vary widely. When the financial instruments are not actively traded, other observable market inputs, such as quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, may be used, if available, to determine fair value. Inactive markets are characterized by low transaction volumes, price quotations that vary substantially among market participants, or in which minimal information is released publicly. When observable market prices do not exist, we estimate fair value primarily by using cash flow and other financial modeling methods. Our valuation methods consider factors such as liquidity and concentration concerns and, for the derivatives portfolio, counterparty credit risk. Other factors such as model assumptions, market dislocations, and unexpected correlations can affect estimates of fair value. Changes in these underlying factors, assumptions, or estimates in any of these areas could materially impact the amount of revenue or loss recorded.

The FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of financial instruments that considers the attributes specific to particular assets or liabilities and establishes a three-level hierarchy for determining fair value based on the transparency of inputs to each valuation as of the fair value measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices of identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 inputs that are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable.

At the end of each quarter, we assess the valuation hierarchy for each asset or liability measured. As necessary, assets or liabilities may be transferred within hierarchy levels due to changes in availability of observable market inputs at the measurement date. The fair values measured at each level of the fair value hierarchy, as well as additional discussion regarding fair value measurements, can be found in Note 13 of the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Below is a brief description of how fair value is determined for categories that have unobservable inputs.

Available-for-sale securities

Consist of certain asset-backed securities, pooled-trust-preferred securities, private-label CMOs, and municipal securities for which fair value is estimated. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of these securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions. Generally, there are only limited trades of similar instruments and a discounted cash flow approach is used to determine fair value.

MSRs

MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Although sales of MSRs do occur, the precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. Fair value is determined on an income approach model based upon month-end interest rate curve and prepayment assumptions.

Automobile loans

Effective January 1, 2010, we consolidated an automobile loan securitization that previously had been accounted for as an off-balance sheet transaction. We elected to account for the automobile loan receivables and the associated notes payable at fair value per guidance supplied in ASC 825, Financial Instruments .

The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of the automobile loan receivables included a projection of expected losses and prepayment of the underlying loans in the portfolio and a market assumption of interest rate spreads. Certain interest rates are available from similarly traded securities while other interest rates are developed internally based on similar asset-backed security transactions in the market. The associated notes payable are valued based upon interest rates for similar financial instruments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

Note 2 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted during 2011 and the expected impact of accounting pronouncements recently issued but not yet required to be adopted. To the extent the adoption of new accounting standards materially affect financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity, the impacts are discussed in the applicable section of this MD&A and the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Item 1: Financial Statements
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except number of shares)</i>	2011	2010	
	June 30,	December 31,	June 30,
Assets			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 983,882	\$ 847,888	\$ 1,125,776
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	116,698	135,038	289,468
Trading account securities	98,771	185,404	106,858
Loans held for sale (includes \$222,880, \$754,117 and \$404,817 respectively, measured at fair value) (1)	224,860	793,285	777,843
Available-for-sale and other securities	8,099,716	9,895,244	8,803,718
Held-to-maturity securities	670,478		
Loans and leases (includes \$400,935, \$522,717 and \$657,213 respectively, measured at fair value) (2)	39,126,452	38,106,507	36,969,695
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,071,126)	(1,249,008)	(1,402,160)
Net loans and leases	38,055,326	36,857,499	35,567,535
Bank owned life insurance	1,480,203	1,458,224	1,436,433
Premises and equipment	528,590	491,602	492,859
Goodwill	444,268	444,268	444,268
Other intangible assets	201,864	228,620	258,811
Accrued income and other assets	2,145,383	2,482,570	2,467,269
Total assets	\$ 53,050,039	\$ 53,819,642	\$ 51,770,838
Liabilities and shareholders equity			
Liabilities			
Deposits	\$ 41,402,355	\$ 41,853,898	\$ 39,848,507
Short-term borrowings	2,022,946	2,040,732	1,093,218
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	220,224	172,519	599,798
Other long-term debt (includes \$231,017, \$356,089 and \$494,512 respectively, measured at fair value) (2)	1,635,247	2,144,092	2,569,934
Subordinated notes	1,496,461	1,497,216	1,195,210
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,020,163	1,130,643	1,025,735
Total liabilities	47,797,396	48,839,100	46,332,402
Shareholders equity			
Preferred stock authorized 6,617,808 shares;			
5.00% Series B Non-voting, Cumulative Preferred Stock, par value of \$0.01 and liquidation value per share of \$1,000			1,333,433
8.50% Series A Non-cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, par value of \$0.01 and liquidation value per share of \$1,000	362,507	362,507	362,507
Common stock	8,643	8,642	7,175

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Capital surplus	7,588,248	7,630,093	6,739,069
Less treasury shares, at cost	(9,357)	(8,771)	(9,235)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(122,543)	(197,496)	(84,398)
Retained (deficit) earnings	(2,574,855)	(2,814,433)	(2,910,115)
Total shareholders equity	5,252,643	4,980,542	5,438,436
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 53,050,039	\$ 53,819,642	\$ 51,770,838
Common shares authorized (par value of \$0.01)	1,500,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,500,000,000
Common shares issued	864,310,281	864,195,369	717,487,003
Common shares outstanding	863,323,099	863,319,435	716,622,592
Treasury shares outstanding	987,182	875,934	864,411
Preferred shares issued	1,967,071	1,967,071	1,967,071
Preferred shares outstanding	362,507	362,507	1,760,578

(1) Amounts represent loans for which Huntington has elected the fair value option. See Note 13.

(2) Amounts represent certain assets and liabilities of a consolidated VIE for which Huntington has elected the fair value option. See Note 15.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>				
Interest and fee income				
Loans and leases				
Taxable	\$ 428,266	\$ 467,268	\$ 862,227	\$ 946,389
Tax-exempt	3,028	1,302	5,731	2,015
Available-for-sale and other securities				
Taxable	54,603	59,614	112,254	118,601
Tax-exempt	2,320	2,859	5,196	5,950
Held-to-maturity securities taxable	1,287		1,287	
Other	2,633	4,610	7,319	9,477
Total interest income	492,137	535,653	994,014	1,082,432
Interest expense				
Deposits	68,304	114,822	144,100	243,124
Short-term borrowings	856	515	1,805	991
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	215	1,035	435	2,247
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	19,425	19,625	40,007	42,521
Total interest expense	88,800	135,997	186,347	288,883
Net interest income	403,337	399,656	807,667	793,549
Provision for credit losses	35,797	193,406	85,182	428,414
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	367,540	206,250	722,485	365,135
Service charges on deposit accounts	60,675	75,934	114,999	145,273
Mortgage banking income	23,835	45,530	46,519	70,568
Trust services income	30,392	28,399	61,134	56,164
Electronic banking income	31,728	28,107	60,514	53,244
Insurance income	16,399	18,074	34,344	36,934
Brokerage income	20,819	18,424	41,330	35,326
Bank owned life insurance income	17,602	14,392	32,421	30,862
Automobile operating lease income	7,307	11,842	16,154	24,145
Net gains on sales of investment securities	1,689	2,980	5,894	9,410
Impairment losses on investment securities:				
Impairment recoveries (losses) on investment securities	1,218	5,193	11,094	(3,207)
Noncredit-related (recoveries) losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)	(1,400)	(8,017)	(15,441)	(6,078)
Net impairment losses on investment securities	(182)	(2,824)	(4,347)	(9,285)
Other income	45,503	28,785	83,750	57,854

Total noninterest income	255,767	269,643	492,712	510,495
Personnel costs	218,570	194,875	437,598	378,517
Outside data processing and other services	43,889	40,670	84,171	79,752
Net occupancy	26,885	25,388	55,321	54,474
Deposit and other insurance expense	23,823	26,067	41,719	50,822
Professional services	20,080	24,388	33,545	47,085
Equipment	21,921	21,585	44,398	42,209
Marketing	20,102	17,682	36,997	28,835
Amortization of intangibles	13,386	15,141	26,756	30,287
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,398	4,970	8,329	16,500
Automobile operating lease expense	5,434	9,667	12,270	19,733
Other expense	29,921	33,377	78,004	63,689
Total noninterest expense	428,409	413,810	859,108	811,903
Income before income taxes	194,898	62,083	356,089	63,727
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	48,980	13,319	83,725	(24,774)
Net income	145,918	48,764	272,364	88,501
Dividends on preferred shares	7,704	29,426	15,407	58,783
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 138,214	\$ 19,338	\$ 256,957	\$ 29,718
Average common shares basic	863,358	716,580	863,358	716,450
Average common shares diluted	867,469	719,387	867,353	718,990
Per common share:				
Net income basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.04
Net income diluted	0.16	0.03	0.30	0.04
Cash dividends declared	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

In thousands, share amounts)	Preferred Stock				Common Stock		Capital Surplus	Treasury Stock	Accumulated		
	Series B Shares	Series B Amount	Series A Shares	Series A Amount	Shares	Amount			Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings (Deficit)	
ended June 30,											
Beginning of period	1,398	\$ 1,325,008	363	\$ 362,507	716,741	\$ 7,167	\$ 6,731,796	(980)	\$ (11,465)	\$ (156,985)	\$ (2,922,026)
Effect of change in principle for of variable s, net of tax of										(4,249)	(3,462)
Ending of period	1,398	\$ 1,325,008	363	\$ 362,507	716,741	\$ 7,167	\$ 6,731,796	(980)	\$ (11,465)	(161,234)	\$ (2,925,488)
Net Income:											88,501
Goodwill impairment											
Available-for-sale securities not sold gains										3,951	
Available-for-sale securities arising period, net of provision for net realized										69,779	
Changes (losses) on hedging derivatives accumulated										774	
Changes for pension - retirement										2,332	
Comprehensive loss Common stock					537	5	2,264				
Series B stock retention		8,425									(8,425)
Dividends declared: (\$0.02 per share)											(14,332)
Series B (\$25.00 per share)											(34,952)
Series A (\$42.50 per share)											(15,406)

of the fair value												
compensation							6,609					
used							199					(22
activity			209	3			(1,799)	116	2,230			9
of period	1,398	\$ 1,333,433	363	\$ 362,507	717,487	\$ 7,175	\$ 6,739,069	(864)	\$ (9,235)	\$ (84,398)	\$ (2,910,115)	
ended June 30,												
ning of period	\$		363	\$ 362,507	864,195	\$ 8,642	\$ 7,630,093	(876)	\$ (8,771)	\$ (197,496)	\$ (2,814,433)	
e Income:												272,364
ted impairment												
ses) on debt												
expected to be												
												10,037
gains												
ailable-for-sale												
rities arising												
od, net of												
n for net realized												57,504
ns (losses) on												
ng derivatives												2,212
umulated												
ses for pension												
- retirement												5,200
ensive income												
warrants												
common stock							(49,100)					
s declared:												
02 per share)												(17,269)
es A (\$42.50 per												(15,407)
of the fair value												
compensation							7,523					
used							56					(40
activity			115	1			(324)	(111)	(586)			(70
of period	\$		363	\$ 362,507	864,310	\$ 8,643	\$ 7,588,248	(987)	\$ (9,357)	\$ (122,543)	\$ (2,574,855)	

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents
Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	85,182	428,414
Depreciation and amortization	142,800	135,957
Change in current and deferred income taxes	40,889	123,436
Net sales (purchases) of trading account securities	86,633	(23,201)
Originations of loans held for sale	(1,093,814)	(1,336,732)
Principal payments on and proceeds from loans held for sale	1,612,097	1,383,151
Securities (gains) losses	(1,547)	(125)
Other, net	45,751	(14,752)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	1,190,355	784,649
Investing activities		
Increase (decrease) in interest bearing deposits in banks	9,471	18,042
Proceeds from:		
Maturities and calls of available-for-sale and other securities	1,054,306	1,691,002
Maturities of held-to-maturity securities	2,738	
Sales of available-for-sale and other securities	2,697,629	2,303,397
Purchases of available-for-sale and other securities	(2,342,790)	(3,985,907)
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	(204,040)	
Net proceeds from sales of loans	305,950	199,196
Net loan and lease activity, excluding sales	(1,602,756)	(814,944)
Proceeds from sale of operating lease assets	36,184	11,783
Purchases of premises and equipment	(71,827)	(32,121)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate	40,060	44,888
Other, net	122	1,442
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(74,953)	(563,222)
Financing activities		
Increase (decrease) in deposits	(456,356)	(650,432)
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	17,698	166,533
Maturity/redemption of subordinated notes	(5,000)	(83,870)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	200,000	450,000
Maturity/redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(152,397)	(19,317)
Maturity/redemption of long-term debt	(501,575)	(415,484)
Repurchase of Warrant to the Treasury	(49,100)	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(15,407)	(50,358)
Dividends paid on common stock	(17,244)	(14,247)
Other, net	(27)	180

Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(979,408)	(616,995)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	135,994	(395,568)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	847,888	1,521,344
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 983,882	\$ 1,125,776
Supplemental disclosures:		
Income taxes paid (refunded)	\$ 42,817	\$ (148,210)
Interest paid	221,191	309,420
Non-cash activities		
Dividends accrued, paid in subsequent quarter	15,941	23,390
<i>See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.</i>		

Table of Contents**Huntington Bancshares Incorporated****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Huntington reflect all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals which are, in the opinion of Management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position, the results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. These Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared according to the rules and regulations of the SEC and, therefore, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted. The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Huntington's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K (2010 Form 10-K), which include descriptions of significant accounting policies, as updated by the information contained in this report, should be read in conjunction with these interim financial statements.

For statement of cash flows purposes, cash and cash equivalents are defined as the sum of Cash and due from banks which includes amounts on deposit with the Federal Reserve and Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements.

In conjunction with applicable accounting standards, all material subsequent events have been either recognized in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or disclosed in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATE**Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-6 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820):**

Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The ASU amends Subtopic 820-10 with new disclosure requirements and clarification of existing disclosure requirements. New disclosures include the amount of significant transfers in and out of levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the reconciliation for level 3 activity is required on a gross rather than net basis. The ASU provides additional guidance related to the level of disaggregation in determining classes of assets and liabilities and disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. The amendments are effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to provide the reconciliation for level 3 activity on a gross basis which is effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (See Note 13).

ASU 2010-20 Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The ASU requires expanded disclosure about the credit quality of the loan portfolio in the notes to financial statements, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class. The disaggregation of information is based on how the lender develops its ACL and how it manages its credit exposure. The disclosures related to period-end balances are effective for annual or interim reporting periods ending after December 15, 2010, and were first included in the 2010 Form 10-K. The disclosures of activity that occurs during the reporting period are effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (See Note 3).

ASU 2011-02 Receivables (Topic 310), A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The ASU amends Subtopic 310-40 to clarify existing guidance related to a creditor's evaluation of whether a restructuring of debt is considered a TDR. The amendments add additional clarity in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The updated guidance and related disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retroactively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. As a result of applying these amendments, Huntington may identify receivables that are considered newly impaired. For the purposes of measuring impairment on those receivables, Huntington would apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on Huntington's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASU 2011-03 Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860), Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. The ASU amends Topic 860 to remove from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed

terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The updated guidance and requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011, and should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. Management does not believe the amendment will have a material impact on Huntington's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ASU 2011-04 Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The ASU amends Topic 820 to add both additional clarifications to existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and changes to existing principles and disclosure guidance. Clarifications were made to the relevancy of the highest and best use valuation concept, measurement of an instrument classified in an entity's shareholder's equity and disclosure of quantitative information about the unobservable inputs for level 3 fair value measurements. Changes to existing principles and disclosures included measurement of financial instruments managed within a portfolio, the application of premiums and discounts in fair value measurement, and additional disclosures related to fair value measurements. The updated guidance and requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011, and should be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted. Management does not believe the principle amendments will have a material impact on Huntington's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASU 2011-05 Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The ASU amends Topic 220 to require an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. An entity is also required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The amendments do not change items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income, only the format for presentation. The updated guidance and requirements are effective for financial statements issued for the fiscal years, and the interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The amendments should be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted.

3. LOANS / LEASES AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES**Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition**

The following table provides a detail listing of Huntington's loan and lease portfolio at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Loans and leases:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 13,544,366	\$ 13,063,293	\$ 12,392,309
Commercial real estate	6,164,084	6,651,156	7,183,817
Automobile	6,190,245	5,614,711	4,846,566
Home equity	7,952,350	7,713,154	7,510,393
Residential mortgage	4,751,083	4,500,366	4,354,287
Other consumer	524,324	563,827	682,323
Loans and leases	39,126,452	38,106,507	36,969,695
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,071,126)	(1,249,008)	(1,402,160)
Net loans and leases	\$ 38,055,326	\$ 36,857,499	\$ 35,567,535

As shown in the table above, the primary loan and lease portfolios are: C&I, CRE, automobile, home equity, residential mortgage, and other consumer. For ACL purposes, these portfolios are further disaggregated into classes. The classes within the C&I portfolio are: owner occupied and other C&I. The classes within the CRE portfolio are: retail properties, multi family, office, industrial and warehouse, and other CRE. The classes within the home equity portfolio are: first-lien loans and second-lien loans. The automobile, residential mortgage, and other consumer

portfolios are not further segregated into classes.

Pledged Loans and Leases

The Bank has access to the Federal Reserve's discount window and advances from the FHLB Cincinnati. As of June 30, 2011, these borrowings and advances are secured by \$17.3 billion of loans and securities.

Franklin Relationship

Franklin is a specialty consumer finance company. On March 31, 2009, Huntington entered into a transaction with Franklin in which a Huntington wholly-owned REIT subsidiary (REIT) exchanged certain noncontrolling equity interests for a 100% interest in Franklin Asset Merger Sub, LLC (Merger Sub), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Franklin. The equity interests provided to Franklin by REIT were pledged by Franklin as collateral for the Franklin commercial loans.

Table of Contents

During the 2011 second quarter, Franklin's equity interests in REIT were voluntarily surrendered in return for a reduction of a portion of defaulted commercial loans as a result of a default under the Legacy Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2011, Franklin does not own any equity interests in REIT.

Loan Purchases and Sales

The following table summarizes significant portfolio loan purchase and sale activity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
Portfolio loans purchased during the:							
Three-month period ended June 30, 2011	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Six-month period ended June 30, 2011							
Portfolio loans with allowance sold or transferred to loans held for sale during the:							
Three-month period ended June 30, 2011					87,215		87,215
Six-month period ended June 30, 2011					87,215		87,215
Portfolio loans without allowance sold or transferred to loans held for sale during the:							
Three-month period ended June 30, 2011	69,483	8,330					77,813
Six-month period ended June 30, 2011	155,482	56,123			83,542		295,147

NALs and Past Due Loans

Loans are considered past due when the contractual amounts due with respect to principal and interest are not received within 30 days of the contractual due date.

Any loan in any portfolio may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to the policies described below when collection of principal or interest is in doubt.

All classes within the C&I and CRE portfolios are placed on nonaccrual status at 90-days past due. Residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due, with the exception of residential mortgages guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest. First-lien and second-lien home equity portfolio are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively. Automobile and other consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status, but are generally charged-off when the loan is 120-days past due. For all classes within all loan portfolios, when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any accrued interest income is reversed with current year accruals charged to interest income, and prior year amounts charged-off as a credit loss. For all classes within all loan portfolios, cash receipts received on NALs are applied entirely against principal until the loan or lease has been collected in full, after which time any additional cash receipts are recognized as interest income.

Table of Contents

Regarding all classes within all portfolios, when, in Management's judgment, the borrower's ability to make required principal and interest payments resumes and collectability is no longer in doubt, and the loan has been brought current with respect to principal and interest, the loan or lease is returned to accrual status. For these loans that have been returned to accrual status, cash receipts are applied according to the contractual terms of the loan.

The following table presents NALs by loan class:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011 June 30,	2010 December 31,
Commercial and industrial:		
Owner occupied	\$ 113,211	\$ 138,822
Other commercial and industrial	116,116	207,898
Total commercial and industrial	229,327	346,720
Commercial real estate:		
Retail properties	51,354	96,644
Multi family	42,467	44,819
Office	38,943	47,950
Industrial and warehouse	54,621	39,770
Other commercial real estate	104,115	134,509
Total commercial real estate	291,500	363,692
Automobile		
Home equity:		
Secured by first-lien	14,897	10,658
Secured by second-lien	18,648	11,868
Residential mortgage	59,853	45,010
Other consumer		
Total nonaccrual loans	\$ 614,225	\$ 777,948

Table of Contents

The following table presents an aging analysis of loans and leases, including past due loans, by loan class: ⁽¹⁾
June 30, 2011

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Past Due			Total	Current	Total Loans and Leases	90 or more days past due and accruing
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90 or more days				
Commercial and industrial:							
Owner occupied	\$ 16,087	\$ 9,357	\$ 67,787	\$ 93,231	\$ 3,777,056	\$ 3,870,287	\$
Other commercial and industrial	16,229	9,334	71,642	97,205	9,576,874	9,674,079	
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 32,316	\$ 18,691	\$ 139,429	\$ 190,436	\$ 13,353,930	\$ 13,544,366	\$
Commercial real estate:							
Retail properties	\$ 6,129	\$ 6,036	\$ 39,315	\$ 51,480	\$ 1,626,467	\$ 1,677,947	\$
Multi family	8,227	1,358	29,057	38,642	1,020,775	1,059,417	
Office	4,096	2,065	31,930	38,091	978,582	1,016,673	
Industrial and warehouse	4,673		31,232	35,905	737,324	773,229	
Other commercial real estate	5,320	3,020	78,922	87,262	1,549,556	1,636,818	
Total commercial real estate	\$ 28,445	\$ 12,479	\$ 210,456	\$ 251,380	\$ 5,912,704	\$ 6,164,084	\$
Automobile	\$ 38,764	9,314	\$ 4,419	\$ 52,497	\$ 6,137,748	\$ 6,190,245	\$ 4,419
Home equity:							
Secured by first-lien	14,215	8,302	23,206	45,723	3,352,931	3,398,654	8,309
Secured by second-lien	29,936	16,571	27,790	74,297	4,479,399	4,553,696	9,142
Residential mortgage	141,599	37,854	164,806	344,259	4,406,824	4,751,083	110,954(2)
Other consumer	7,644	2,458	1,808	11,910	512,414	524,324	1,808

December 31, 2010

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Past Due			Total	Current	Total Loans and Leases	90 or more days past due and accruing
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90 or more days				
Commercial and industrial:							
Owner occupied	\$ 16,393	\$ 9,084	\$ 80,114	\$ 105,591	\$ 3,717,872	\$ 3,823,463	\$
Other commercial and industrial	34,723	35,698	110,491	180,912	9,058,918	9,239,830	

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total commercial and industrial	\$ 51,116	\$ 44,782	\$ 190,605	\$ 286,503	\$ 12,776,790	\$ 13,063,293	\$
Commercial real estate:							
Retail properties	\$ 23,726	\$ 694	\$ 72,856	\$ 97,276	\$ 1,664,941	\$ 1,762,217	\$
Multi family	8,993	8,227	31,519	48,739	1,072,877	1,121,616	
Office	20,888	6,032	36,401	63,321	1,059,806	1,123,127	
Industrial and warehouse	4,073	7,782	13,006	24,861	828,091	852,952	
Other commercial real estate	45,792	9,243	91,718	146,753	1,644,491	1,791,244	
Total commercial real estate	\$ 103,472	\$ 31,978	\$ 245,500	\$ 380,950	\$ 6,270,206	\$ 6,651,156	\$
Automobile	\$ 47,981	12,246	\$ 7,721	\$ 67,948	\$ 5,546,763	\$ 5,614,711	\$ 7,721
Home equity:							
Secured by first-lien	14,810	8,166	18,630	41,606	2,999,146	3,040,752	7,972
Secured by second-lien	36,488	16,551	27,392	80,431	4,591,971	4,672,402	15,525
Residential mortgage	115,290	57,580	197,280	370,150	4,130,216	4,500,366	152,271(3)
Other consumer	7,204	2,280	2,456	11,940	551,887	563,827	2,456

(1) NALs are included in this aging analysis based on the loan s past due status.

(2) Includes \$76,979 thousand guaranteed by the U.S. government.

(3) Includes \$98,288 thousand guaranteed by the U.S. government.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Credit Losses**

Huntington maintains two reserves, both of which reflect Management's judgment regarding the appropriate level necessary to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio: the ALLL and the AULC. Combined, these reserves comprise the total ACL. The determination of the ACL requires significant estimates, including the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows on impaired loans and leases, consideration of current economic conditions, and historical loss experience pertaining to pools of homogeneous loans and leases, all of which may be susceptible to change.

The appropriateness of the ACL is based on Management's current judgments about the credit quality of the loan portfolio. These judgments consider on-going evaluations of the loan and lease portfolio, including such factors as the differing economic risks associated with each loan category, the financial condition of specific borrowers, the level of delinquent loans, the value of any collateral and, where applicable, the existence of any guarantees or other documented support. Further, Management evaluates the impact of changes in interest rates and overall economic conditions on the ability of borrowers to meet their financial obligations when quantifying our exposure to credit losses and assessing the appropriateness of our ACL at each reporting date. In addition to general economic conditions and the other factors described above, additional factors also considered include: the impact of declining residential real estate values; the diversification of CRE loans, particularly loans secured by retail properties; and the amount of C&I loans to businesses in areas of Ohio and Michigan that have historically experienced less economic growth compared with other footprint markets. Also, the ACL assessment includes the on-going assessment of credit quality metrics, and a comparison of certain ACL benchmarks to current performance. Management's determinations regarding the appropriateness of the ACL are reviewed and approved by the Company's board of directors.

The ACL is increased through a provision for credit losses that is charged to earnings, based on Management's quarterly evaluation of the factors previously mentioned, and is reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries, and the ACL associated with securitized or sold loans.

The ALLL consists of two components: (1) the transaction reserve, which includes specific reserves related to loans considered to be impaired and loans involved in troubled debt restructurings, and (2) the general reserve. The transaction reserve component includes both (1) an estimate of loss based on pools of commercial and consumer loans and leases with similar characteristics and (2) an estimate of loss based on an impairment review of each C&I and CRE loan greater than \$1 million. For the C&I and CRE portfolios, the estimate of loss based on pools of loans and leases with similar characteristics is made by applying a PD factor and a LGD factor to each individual loan based on a continuously updated loan grade, using a standardized loan grading system. The PD factor and LGD factor are determined for each loan grade using statistical models based on historical performance data. The PD factor considers on-going reviews of the financial performance of the specific borrower, including cash flow, debt-service coverage ratio, earnings power, debt level, and equity position, in conjunction with an assessment of the borrower's industry and future prospects. The LGD factor considers analysis of the type of collateral and the relative LTV ratio. These reserve factors are developed based on credit migration models that track historical movements of loans between loan ratings over time and a combination of long-term average loss experience of our own portfolio and external industry data using a 24-month calculation period.

In the case of more homogeneous portfolios, such as automobile loans, home equity loans, and residential mortgage loans, the determination of the transaction reserve also incorporates PD and LGD factors, however, the estimate of loss is based on pools of loans and leases with similar characteristics. The PD factor considers current credit scores unless the account is delinquent, in which case a higher PD factor is used. The LGD factor considers analysis of the type of collateral and the relative LTV ratio. Credit scores, models, analyses, and other factors used to determine both the PD and LGD factors are updated frequently to capture the recent behavioral characteristics of the subject portfolios, as well as any changes in loss mitigation or credit origination strategies, and adjustments to the reserve factors are made as needed.

The general reserve consists of economic reserve and risk-profile reserve components. The economic reserve component considers the potential impact of changing market and economic conditions on portfolio performance. The risk-profile component considers items unique to our structure, policies, processes, and portfolio composition, as well as qualitative measurements and assessments of the loan portfolios including, but not limited to, management quality,

concentrations, portfolio composition, industry comparisons, and internal review functions.

The estimate for the AULC is determined using the same procedures and methodologies as used for the ALLL. The loss factors used in the AULC are the same as the loss factors used in the ALLL while also considering a historical utilization of unused commitments. The AULC is reflected in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

The following table presents ALLL and AULC activity by portfolio segment for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
Three-month period ended June 30, 2011:							
ALLL balance, beginning of period	\$ 299,563	\$ 511,068	\$ 50,862	\$ 149,371	\$ 96,741	\$ 25,621	\$ 1,133,226
Loan charge-offs	(28,230)	(40,723)	(6,877)	(27,359)	(17,330)	(8,182)	(128,701)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	9,526	13,128	4,622	1,918	875	1,098	31,167
Provision for loan and lease losses	157	(19,599)	6,821	22,514	20,220	6,835	36,948
Allowance for loans sold or transferred to loans held for sale					(1,514)		(1,514)
ALLL balance, end of period	\$ 281,016	\$ 463,874	\$ 55,428	\$ 146,444	\$ 98,992	\$ 25,372	\$ 1,071,126
AULC balance, beginning of period							
Provision for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit	\$ 30,706	\$ 8,433	\$	\$ 2,241	\$ 1	\$ 830	\$ 42,211
	635	(1,801)		8		7	(1,151)
AULC balance, end of period	\$ 31,341	\$ 6,632	\$	\$ 2,249	\$ 1	\$ 837	\$ 41,060
ACL balance, end of period	\$ 312,357	\$ 470,506	\$ 55,428	\$ 148,693	\$ 98,993	\$ 26,209	\$ 1,112,186
Six-month period ended June 30, 2011:							
ALLL balance, beginning of period	\$ 340,614	\$ 588,251	\$ 49,488	\$ 150,630	\$ 93,289	\$ 26,736	\$ 1,249,008
Loan charge-offs	(81,965)	(117,371)	(16,852)	(55,682)	(40,351)	(15,487)	(327,708)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	21,070	22,093	9,885	3,526	4,964	3,553	65,091
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,297	(29,099)	12,907	47,970	42,604	10,570	86,249
Allowance for loans sold or transferred to loans held for sale					(1,514)		(1,514)
ALLL balance, end of period	\$ 281,016	\$ 463,874	\$ 55,428	\$ 146,444	\$ 98,992	\$ 25,372	\$ 1,071,126

AULC balance, beginning of period	\$ 32,726	\$ 6,158	\$ 2,348	\$ 1	\$ 894	\$ 42,127	
Provision for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit	(1,385)	474	(99)		(57)	(1,067)	
AULC balance, end of period	\$ 31,341	\$ 6,632	\$ 2,249	\$ 1	\$ 837	\$ 41,060	
ACL balance, end of period	\$ 312,357	\$ 470,506	\$ 55,428	\$ 148,693	\$ 98,993	\$ 26,209	\$ 1,112,186

Any loan in any portfolio may be charged-off prior to the policies described below if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

C&I and CRE loans are either charged-off or written down to net realizable value at 90-days past due. Automobile loans and other consumer loans are charged-off at 120-days past due. First-lien and second-lien home equity loans are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively. Residential mortgages are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral at 150-days past due.

Table of Contents

Credit Quality Indicators

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality for C&I and CRE loans, and for purposes of determining an appropriate ACL level for these loans, Huntington utilizes the following categories of credit grades:

Pass = Higher quality loans that do not fit any of the other categories described below.

OLEM = Potentially weak loans. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet represent a risk given certain specific circumstances. If the potential weaknesses are not monitored or mitigated, the asset may weaken or inadequately protect Huntington's position in the future.

Substandard = Inadequately protected loans by the borrower's ability to repay, equity, and/or the collateral pledged to secure the loan. These loans have identified weaknesses that could hinder normal repayment or collection of the debt.

It is likely Huntington will sustain some loss if any identified weaknesses are not mitigated.

Doubtful = Loans that have all of the weaknesses inherent in those loans classified as Substandard, with the added elements of the full collection of the loan is improbable and that the possibility of loss is high.

The categories above, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of the loan or lease and subsequently updated as appropriate.

Commercial loans categorized as OLEM, Substandard, or Doubtful are considered Criticized loans. Commercial loans categorized as Substandard or Doubtful are also considered Classified loans.

For all classes within all consumer loan portfolios, each loan is assigned a specific PD factor that is generally based on the borrower's most recent credit bureau score (FICO), which we update quarterly. A FICO credit bureau score is a credit score developed by Fair Isaac Corporation based on data provided by the credit bureaus. The FICO credit bureau score is widely accepted as the standard measure of consumer credit risk used by lenders, regulators, rating agencies, and consumers. The higher the FICO credit bureau score, the higher likelihood of repayment and therefore, an indicator of lower credit risk.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loan and lease balances by credit quality indicator:

June 30, 2011

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Credit Risk Profile by UCS classification				Total
	Pass	OLEM	Substandard	Doubtful	
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 3,407	\$ 121	\$ 341	\$ 1	\$ 3,870
Other commercial and industrial	9,022	203	442	7	9,674
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 12,429	\$ 324	\$ 783	\$ 8	\$ 13,544
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 1,382	\$ 101	\$ 195	\$	\$ 1,678
Multi family	878	60	121		1,059
Office	835	99	83		1,017
Industrial and warehouse	650	32	91		773
Other commercial real estate	1,154	126	355	2	1,637
Total commercial real estate	\$ 4,899	\$ 418	\$ 845	\$ 2	\$ 6,164
	Credit Risk Profile by FICO score (1)				Total
	750+	650-749	<650	Other (2)	
Automobile	\$ 2,889	\$ 2,489	\$ 689	\$ 123	\$ 6,190
Home equity:					
Secured by first-lien	1,916	1,166	306	10	3,398
Secured by second-lien	2,192	1,719	642	1	4,554
Residential mortgage	2,205	1,635	743	168	4,751
Other consumer	197	221	90	16	524

December 31, 2010

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Credit Risk Profile by UCS classification				Total
	Pass	OLEM	Substandard	Doubtful	
Commercial and industrial:					
Owner occupied	\$ 3,265	\$ 159	\$ 393	\$ 6	\$ 3,823
Other commercial and industrial	8,435	265	525	15	9,240
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 11,700	\$ 424	\$ 918	\$ 21	\$ 13,063
Commercial real estate:					
Retail properties	\$ 1,284	\$ 128	\$ 350	\$	\$ 1,762
Multi family	899	79	144		1,122
Office	868	122	133		1,123
Industrial and warehouse	668	72	113		853
Other commercial real estate	1,221	88	481	1	1,791
Total commercial real estate	\$ 4,940	\$ 489	\$ 1,221	\$ 1	\$ 6,651
	Credit Risk Profile by FICO score (1)				Total
	750+	650-749	<650	Other (2)	

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Automobile	\$	2,516	\$	2,267	\$	725	\$	107	\$	5,615
Home equity:										
Secured by first-lien		1,644		1,082		314		1		3,041
Secured by second-lien		2,224		1,768		679		1		4,672
Residential mortgage		1,978		1,580		796		146		4,500
Other consumer		207		235		102		20		564

(1) Reflects currently updated customer credit scores.

(2) Reflects deferred fees and costs, loans in process, loans to legal entities, etc.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans

For all classes within the C&I and CRE portfolios, all loans with an outstanding balance of \$1 million or greater are evaluated on a quarterly basis for impairment. Generally, consumer loans within any class are not individually evaluated on a regular basis for impairment.

Once a loan has been identified for an assessment of impairment, the loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. This determination requires significant judgment and use of estimates, and the eventual outcome may differ significantly from those estimates.

When a loan in any class has been determined to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. When the present value of expected future cash flows is used, the effective interest rate is the original contractual interest rate of the loan adjusted for any premium or discount. When the contractual interest rate is variable, the effective interest rate of the loan changes over time. A specific reserve is established as a component of the ALLL when a loan has been determined to be impaired. Subsequent to the initial measurement of impairment, if there is a significant change to the impaired loan's expected future cash flows, or if actual cash flows are significantly different from the cash flows previously estimated, Huntington recalculates the impairment and appropriately adjusts the specific reserve. Similarly, if Huntington measures impairment based on the observable market price of an impaired loan or the fair value of the collateral of an impaired collateral dependent loan, Huntington will adjust the specific reserve if there is a significant change in either of those bases.

When a loan within any class is impaired, the accrual of interest income is discontinued unless the receipt of principal and interest is no longer in doubt. Interest income on TDRs is accrued when all principal and interest is expected to be collected under the post-modification terms. Cash receipts received on nonaccruing impaired loans within any class are generally applied entirely against principal until the loan has been collected in full, after which time any additional cash receipts are recognized as interest income. Cash receipts received on accruing impaired loans within any class are applied in the same manner as accruing loans that are not considered impaired.

Table of Contents

The following table presents summarized data for impaired loans and the related ALLL by portfolio segment:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
ALLL at June 30, 2011: <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Portion of ending balance:							
Attributable to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 36,307	\$ 61,445	\$ 994	\$ 1,511	\$ 14,974	\$ 527	\$ 115,758
Attributable to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	244,709	402,429	54,434	144,933	84,018	24,845	955,368
Total ALLL balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 281,016	\$ 463,874	\$ 55,428	\$ 146,444	\$ 98,992	\$ 25,372	\$ 1,071,126
ALLL associated with portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans and Leases at June 30, 2011: <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Portion of loans and leases at June 30, 2011:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	165,909	394,930	29,059	37,067	334,150	8,910	970,025
Collectively evaluated for impairment	13,378,457	5,769,154	6,161,186	7,915,283	4,416,933	515,414	38,156,427
	\$ 13,544,366	\$ 6,164,084	\$ 6,190,245	\$ 7,952,350	\$ 4,751,083	\$ 524,324	\$ 39,126,452

Total loans
evaluated for
impairment

Portfolio loans
acquired with
deteriorated credit
quality

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Table of Contents

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Automobile	Home Equity	Residential Mortgage	Other Consumer	Total
ALLL at December 31, 2010 <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Portion of ALLL balance at December 31, 2010:							
Attributable to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 63,307	\$ 65,130	\$ 1,477	\$ 1,498	\$ 11,780	\$ 668	\$ 143,860
Attributable to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	277,307	523,121	48,011	149,132	81,509	26,068	1,105,148
ALLL balance at December 31, 2010:	\$ 340,614	\$ 588,251	\$ 49,488	\$ 150,630	\$ 93,289	\$ 26,736	\$ 1,249,008
ALLL associated with portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans and Leases at December 31, 2010: <i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Portion of loans and leases at December 31, 2010:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	198,120	310,668	29,764	37,257	334,207	9,565	919,581
Collectively evaluated for impairment	12,865,173	6,340,488	5,584,947	7,675,897	4,166,159	554,262	37,186,926

Total loans evaluated for impairment	\$	13,063,293	\$	6,651,156	\$	5,614,711	\$	7,713,154	\$	4,500,366	\$	563,827	\$	38,106,507
--	----	------------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	---------	----	------------

Portfolio loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
--	----	--	----	--	----	--	----	--	----	--	----	--	----	--

Table of Contents

The following tables present detailed impaired loan information by class: ^{(1), (2)}

	June 30, 2011			Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	Ending Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Balance	Interest Income Recognized	Average Balance	Interest Income Recognized
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
<i>With no related allowance recorded:</i>							
Commercial and industrial:							
Owner occupied	\$ 1,762	\$ 1,976	\$	\$ 4,863	\$ 11	\$ 8,540	\$ 17
Other commercial and industrial	4,511	4,740		6,303	86	7,491	125
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 6,273	\$ 6,716	\$	\$ 11,166	\$ 97	\$ 16,031	\$ 142
Commercial real estate:							
Retail properties	\$ 24,501	\$ 40,136	\$	\$ 13,465	\$ 13	\$ 16,790	\$ 13
Multi family	13,788	14,348		14,401	155	11,332	311
Office	3,305	3,639		1,937		1,935	
Industrial and warehouse	3,940	3,952		2,383	5	2,584	5
Other commercial real estate	32,347	66,065		25,637	161	25,202	358
Total commercial real estate	\$ 77,881	\$ 128,140	\$	\$ 57,823	\$ 334	\$ 57,843	\$ 687
Automobile	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Home equity:							
Secured by first-lien							
Secured by second-lien							
Residential mortgage							
Other consumer							
<i>With an allowance recorded:</i>							
Commercial and industrial:							
Owner occupied	\$ 57,220	\$ 82,153	\$ 11,025	\$ 57,007	\$ 648	\$ 64,712	\$ 862
Other commercial and industrial	102,416	139,282	25,282	97,528	824	106,087	1,301
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 159,636	\$ 221,435	\$ 36,307	\$ 154,535	\$ 1,472	\$ 170,799	\$ 2,163
Commercial real estate:							
Retail properties	\$ 89,801	\$ 115,219	\$ 14,826	\$ 101,804	\$ 731	\$ 94,802	\$ 1,111
Multi family	26,070	30,532	4,449	28,600	264	33,078	495
Office	24,996	41,298	4,686	29,746	37	29,210	143
Industrial and warehouse	59,781	69,742	14,418	44,774	251	40,595	422
Other commercial real estate	116,401	151,593	23,066	83,647	542	76,843	637
Total commercial real estate	\$ 317,049	\$ 408,384	\$ 61,445	\$ 288,571	\$ 1,825	\$ 274,528	\$ 2,808

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Automobile	\$ 29,059	\$ 29,059	\$ 994	\$ 29,335	\$ 647	\$ 29,478	\$ 1,307
Home equity:							
Secured by first-lien	22,835	22,835	678	22,851	236	22,085	462
Secured by second-lien	14,232	14,232	833	15,542	176	15,930	363
Residential mortgage	334,150	356,418	14,974	342,576	3,353	338,535	6,810
Other consumer	8,910	8,910	527	9,041	161	9,216	332

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2010		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Ending Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
<i>With no related allowance recorded:</i>			
Commercial and industrial:			
Owner occupied	\$ 13,750	\$ 26,603	\$
Other commercial and industrial	11,127	22,688	
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 24,877	\$ 49,291	\$
Commercial real estate:			
Retail properties	\$ 31,972	\$ 67,487	\$
Multi family	5,058	5,675	
Office	2,270	3,562	
Industrial and warehouse	3,305	6,912	
Other commercial real estate	26,807	58,996	
Total commercial real estate	\$ 69,412	\$ 142,632	\$
Automobile	\$	\$	\$
Home equity:			
Secured by first-lien			
Secured by second-lien			
Residential mortgage			
Other consumer			
<i>With an allowance recorded:</i>			
Commercial and industrial:			
Owner occupied	\$ 63,951	\$ 85,279	\$ 14,322
Other commercial and industrial	109,292	154,424	48,986
Total commercial and industrial	\$ 173,243	\$ 239,703	\$ 63,308
Commercial real estate:			
Retail properties	\$ 74,732	\$ 120,051	\$ 14,846
Multi family	38,758	39,299	7,760
Office	26,595	31,261	9,466
Industrial and warehouse	34,588	44,168	10,453
Other commercial real estate	66,583	104,485	22,604
Total commercial real estate	\$ 241,256	\$ 339,264	\$ 65,129
Automobile	\$ 29,764	\$ 29,764	\$ 1,477
Home equity:			
Secured by first-lien	20,553	20,675	511
Secured by second-lien	16,704	17,060	987

Residential mortgage	334,207	347,571	11,780
Other consumer	9,565	9,565	668

- (1) These tables do not include loans fully charged-off.
- (2) All automobile, home equity, residential mortgage, and other consumer impaired loans included in the tables below are considered impaired due to their status as a TDR.

Table of Contents**4. AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND OTHER SECURITIES**

Listed below are the contractual maturities (under 1 year, 1-5 years, 6-10 years, and over 10 years) of available-for-sale and other securities at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010		June 30, 2010	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury:						
Under 1 year	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
1-5 years	52,103	52,301	52,425	51,781	49,997	50,328
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total U.S. Treasury	52,103	52,301	52,425	51,781	49,997	50,328
Federal agencies:						
mortgage-backed securities:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	48,243	48,332				
6-10 years	440,304	451,708	656,176	664,793	716,844	731,350
Over 10 years	3,360,382	3,422,665	4,077,655	4,089,611	3,689,229	3,774,601
Total Federal agencies:						
mortgage-backed securities	3,848,929	3,922,705	4,733,831	4,754,404	4,406,073	4,505,951
TLGP securities:						
Under 1 year	155,637	156,303	156,450	157,931		
1-5 years			25,230	25,536	182,552	184,757
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total TLGP securities	155,637	156,303	181,680	183,467	182,552	184,757
Other agencies:						
Under 1 year	153,241	154,039	158,273	159,288	187,627	188,549
1-5 years	1,059,456	1,054,447	1,898,867	1,885,230	1,692,684	1,703,421
6-10 years	13,391	13,788	13,082	13,359	11,030	11,478
Over 10 years			500	499		
Total other agencies	1,226,088	1,222,274	2,070,722	2,058,376	1,891,341	1,903,448
Total U.S. Government backed agencies	5,282,757	5,353,583	7,038,658	7,048,028	6,529,963	6,644,484
Municipal securities:						
Under 1 year	855	855				
1-5 years	150,878	152,783	149,151	148,587	26,393	27,164
6-10 years	143,735	145,798	124,552	125,656	87,428	90,904
Over 10 years	130,948	133,260	182,341	181,472	254,786	257,848

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total municipal securities	426,416	432,696	456,044	455,715	368,607	375,916
Private-label CMO:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years						
6-10 years	14,140	14,374	10,429	10,887	13,820	14,031
Over 10 years	83,597	74,396	124,080	111,038	412,882	380,580
Total private-label CMO	97,737	88,770	134,509	121,925	426,702	394,611
Asset-backed securities:						
Under 1 year			19,669	19,694	40,000	40,138
1-5 years	553,221	557,370	697,001	700,749	588,876	592,301
6-10 years	124,826	126,418	323,411	323,995	168,382	169,246
Over 10 years	290,794	165,742	301,326	162,684	365,201	218,940
Total asset-backed securities (1)	968,841	849,530	1,341,407	1,207,122	1,162,459	1,020,625
Covered bonds:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	600,888	600,055	379,711	367,209		
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total covered bonds	600,888	600,055	379,711	367,209		
Corporate debt:						
Under 1 year						
1-5 years	409,283	407,969	329,988	323,389		
6-10 years						
Over 10 years						
Total corporate debt	409,283	407,969	329,988	323,389		
Other:						
Under 1 year	750	750	800	802	300	308
1-5 years	8,220	8,447	7,810	8,009	6,722	6,884
6-10 years	704	735	1,007	1,037	1,104	1,222
Over 10 years						
Non-marketable equity securities	303,661	303,661	308,722	308,722	304,915	304,915
Marketable equity securities	54,126	53,520	53,944	53,286	55,436	54,753
Total other	367,461	367,113	372,283	371,856	368,477	368,082
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 8,153,383	\$ 8,099,716	\$ 10,052,600	\$ 9,895,244	\$ 8,856,208	\$ 8,803,718

- (1) Amounts at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010 include automobile asset backed securities with a fair value of \$239 million, \$509 million and \$562 million, respectively, which meet the eligibility requirements for the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility, or TALF, administered by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Table of Contents

Other securities at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010 include \$165.6 million of stock issued by the FHLB of Cincinnati, \$29.3 million, \$37.4 million, and \$45.7 million, respectively, of stock issued by the FHLB of Indianapolis, and \$108.8 million, \$105.7 million and \$93.6 million, respectively, of Federal Reserve Bank stock.

Other securities also include corporate debt and marketable equity securities. Non-marketable equity securities are valued at amortized cost. At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, Huntington did not have any material equity positions in FNMA or FHLMC.

The following tables provide amortized cost, fair value, and gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income by investment category at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
June 30, 2011				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 52,103	\$ 198	\$	\$ 52,301
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	3,848,929	80,855	(7,079)	3,922,705
TLGP securities	155,637	666		156,303
Other agencies	1,226,088	2,288	(6,102)	1,222,274
Total U.S. Government backed securities	5,282,757	84,007	(13,181)	5,353,583
Municipal securities	426,416	7,698	(1,418)	432,696
Private-label CMO	97,737	994	(9,961)	88,770
Asset-backed securities	968,841	5,801	(125,112)	849,530
Covered bonds	600,888	3,193	(4,026)	600,055
Corporate debt	409,283	454	(1,768)	407,969
Other securities	367,461	424	(772)	367,113
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 8,153,383	\$ 102,571	\$ (156,238)	\$ 8,099,716

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 52,425	\$	\$ (644)	\$ 51,781
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	4,733,831	71,901	(51,328)	4,754,404
TLGP securities	181,680	1,787		183,467
Other agencies	2,070,722	4,874	(17,220)	2,058,376
Total U.S. Government backed securities	7,038,658	78,562	(69,192)	7,048,028
Municipal securities	456,044	6,154	(6,483)	455,715
Private-label CMO	134,509	1,236	(13,820)	121,925
Asset-backed securities	1,341,407	6,563	(140,848)	1,207,122
Covered bonds	379,711		(12,502)	367,209
Corporate debt	329,988	24	(6,623)	323,389
Other securities	372,283	364	(791)	371,856

Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 10,052,600	\$ 92,903	\$ (250,259)	\$ 9,895,244
---	----------------------	------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
June 30, 2010				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 49,997	\$ 331	\$	\$ 50,328
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	4,406,073	102,435	(2,557)	4,505,951
TLGP securities	182,552	2,205		184,757
Other agencies	1,891,341	12,108	(1)	1,903,448
Total U.S. Government backed securities	6,529,963	117,079	(2,558)	6,644,484
Municipal securities	368,607	7,334	(25)	375,916
Private-label CMO	426,702	534	(32,625)	394,611
Asset-backed securities	1,162,459	4,805	(146,639)	1,020,625
Covered bonds				
Corporate debt				
Other securities	368,477	367	(762)	368,082
Total available-for-sale and other securities	\$ 8,856,208	\$ 130,119	\$ (182,609)	\$ 8,803,718

The following tables provide detail on investment securities with unrealized losses aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2011						
U.S. Treasury	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	647,974	(7,079)			647,974	(7,079)
TLGP securities						
Other agencies	753,680	(6,057)	4,169	(45)	757,849	(6,102)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	1,401,654	(13,136)	4,169	(45)	1,405,823	(13,181)
Municipal securities	133,740	(1,358)	3,760	(60)	137,500	(1,418)
Private-label CMO			59,611	(9,961)	59,611	(9,961)
Asset-backed securities			154,837	(125,112)	154,837	(125,112)
Covered bonds	239,895	(4,026)			239,895	(4,026)
Corporate debt	338,080	(1,768)			338,080	(1,768)
Other securities	1,494	(11)	2,383	(761)	3,877	(772)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 2,114,863	\$ (20,299)	\$ 224,760	\$ (135,939)	\$ 2,339,623	\$ (156,238)

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2010						
U.S. Treasury	\$ 51,781	\$ (644)	\$	\$	\$ 51,781	\$ (644)
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	1,424,431	(51,328)			1,424,431	(51,328)
TLGP securities						
Other agencies	1,217,074	(17,134)	4,771	(86)	1,221,845	(17,220)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	2,693,286	(69,106)	4,771	(86)	2,698,057	(69,192)
Municipal securities	201,370	(6,363)	3,700	(120)	205,070	(6,483)
Private-label CMO			85,617	(13,820)	85,617	(13,820)
Asset-backed securities	214,983	(2,129)	146,866	(138,719)	361,849	(140,848)
Covered bonds	367,209	(12,502)			367,209	(12,502)
Corporate debt	288,660	(6,623)			288,660	(6,623)
Other securities			41,218	(791)	41,218	(791)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 3,765,508	\$ (96,723)	\$ 282,172	\$ (153,536)	\$ 4,047,680	\$ (250,259)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Less than 12 Months		Over 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2010						
U.S. Treasury	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Federal agencies:						
Mortgage-backed securities	257,773	(2,557)			257,773	(2,557)
TLGP securities						
Other agencies			250	(1)	250	(1)
Total U.S. Government backed securities	257,773	(2,557)	250	(1)	258,023	(2,558)
Municipal securities	3,992	(8)	3,803	(17)	7,795	(25)
Private-label CMO			337,044	(32,625)	337,044	(32,625)
Asset-backed securities	77,834	(7,990)	206,835	(138,649)	284,669	(146,639)
Covered bonds						
Corporate debt						
Other securities	39,427	(519)	811	(243)	40,238	(762)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 379,026	\$ (11,074)	\$ 548,743	\$ (171,535)	\$ 927,769	\$ (182,609)

The following table is a summary of realized securities gains and losses for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains on sales of securities	\$ 9,623	\$ 8,105	\$ 16,358	\$ 14,881
Gross (losses) on sales of securities	(7,934)	(5,125)	(10,464)	(5,471)
Net gain on sales of securities	1,689	2,980	5,894	9,410
Other-than-temporary impairment recorded	(182)	(2,824)	(4,347)	(9,285)
Total securities gain (loss)	\$ 1,507	\$ 156	\$ 1,547	\$ 125

Security Impairment

Huntington evaluates its available-for-sale securities portfolio on a quarterly basis for OTTI. Huntington assesses whether OTTI has occurred when the fair value of a debt security is less than the amortized cost basis at period-end. Under these circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred; (1) if Huntington intends to sell the security; (2) if it is more likely than not Huntington will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of the expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis. For securities Huntington does not expect to sell or it is not more likely than not to be required to sell, credit-related OTTI, represented by the expected loss in principal, is recognized in earnings, while noncredit-related OTTI is recognized in OCI. For securities which Huntington does expect to sell, all OTTI is recognized in earnings. Noncredit-related OTTI results from other factors, including increased liquidity spreads and extension of the security. Presentation of OTTI is made in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income on a gross basis with a reduction for the amount of OTTI recognized in OCI.

Table of Contents

Huntington applied the related OTTI guidance on the debt security types listed below.

Alt-A mortgage-backed and private-label CMO securities are collateralized by first-lien residential mortgage loans. The securities are valued by a third party specialist using a discounted cash flow approach and proprietary pricing model. The model uses inputs such as estimated prepayment speeds, losses, recoveries, default rates that are implied by the underlying performance of collateral in the structure or similar structures, discount rates that are implied by market prices for similar securities, collateral structure types, and house price depreciation / appreciation rates that are based upon macroeconomic forecasts.

Pooled-trust-preferred securities are CDOs backed by a pool of debt securities issued by financial institutions. The collateral generally consists of trust-preferred securities and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies, and insurance companies. A full cash flow analysis is used to estimate fair values and assess impairment for each security within this portfolio. We engaged a third party specialist with direct industry experience in pooled-trust-preferred securities valuations to provide assistance in estimating the fair value and expected cash flows for each security in this portfolio. Relying on cash flows is necessary because there was a lack of observable transactions in the market and many of the original sponsors or dealers for these securities are no longer able to provide a fair value that is compliant with ASC 820.

For the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the following tables summarize by debt security type, total OTTI losses, unrealized OTTI losses included in OCI, and OTTI recognized in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for securities evaluated for impairment as described above.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	Alt-A Mortgage-backed	Pooled- trust-preferred	Private- label CMO	Total
2011				
Total OTTI recoveries (losses) (unrealized and realized)	\$ (166)	\$ 432	\$ 952	\$ 1,218
Unrealized OTTI (recoveries) losses recognized in OCI	108	(432)	(1,076)	(1,400)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$ (58)	\$	\$ (124)	\$ (182)

2010				
Total OTTI recoveries (losses) (unrealized and realized)	\$ 399	\$ 3,001	\$ 1,793	\$ 5,193
Unrealized OTTI (recoveries) losses recognized in OCI	(959)	(3,001)	(4,057)	(8,017)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$ (560)	\$	\$ (2,264)	\$ (2,824)

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	Alt-A Mortgage-backed	Pooled- trust-preferred	Private- label CMO	Total
2011				
Total OTTI (losses) recoveries (unrealized and realized)	\$ 936	\$ 6,830	\$ 3,328	\$ 11,094
Unrealized OTTI losses (recoveries) recognized in OCI	(1,166)	(10,037)	(4,238)	(15,441)

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$	(230)	\$	(3,207)	\$	(910)	\$	(4,347)
2010								
Total OTTI (losses) recoveries (unrealized and realized)	\$	(4,177)	\$	2,352	\$	(1,382)	\$	(3,207)
Unrealized OTTI losses (recoveries) recognized in OCI		2,975		(5,567)		(3,486)		(6,078)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$	(1,202)	\$	(3,215)	\$	(4,868)	\$	(9,285)

Table of Contents

The following table rolls forward the unrealized OTTI recognized in OCI on debt securities held by Huntington for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 86,797	\$ 126,347	\$ 100,838	\$ 124,408
Reductions from sales of securities with credit impairment	(1,054)		(1,054)	
Noncredit impairment on securities not previously considered credit impaired		786		8,909
Change due to improvement in expected cash flows	(1,996)	(9,513)	(16,037)	(17,660)
Additional noncredit impairment on securities with previous credit impairment	1,650	710	1,650	2,673
Balance, end of period	\$ 85,397	\$ 118,330	\$ 85,397	\$ 118,330

The following table rolls forward the OTTI recognized in earnings on debt securities held by Huntington for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 as follows.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 58,701	\$ 57,781	\$ 54,536	\$ 53,801
Reductions from sales	(4,481)	(1,993)	(4,481)	(4,474)
Credit losses not previously recognized		392		1,558
Additional credit losses	182	2,432	4,347	7,727
Balance, end of period	\$ 54,402	\$ 58,612	\$ 54,402	\$ 58,612

The fair values of these assets have been impacted by various market conditions. The unrealized losses were primarily the result of wider liquidity spreads on asset-backed securities and increased market volatility on nonagency mortgage and asset-backed securities that are collateralized by certain mortgage loans. In addition, the expected average lives of the asset-backed securities backed by trust-preferred securities have been extended, due to changes in the expectations of when the underlying securities would be repaid. The contractual terms and / or cash flows of the investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost. Huntington does not intend to sell, nor does it believe it will be required to sell these securities until the fair value is recovered, which may be maturity and, therefore, does not consider them to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

As of June 30, 2011, Management has evaluated all other investment securities with unrealized losses and all non-marketable securities for impairment and concluded no additional OTTI is required.

5. HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES

These are debt securities that Huntington has the intent and ability to hold until maturity. The debt securities are carried at amortized cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method.

Huntington transferred \$469.1 million of federal agencies, mortgage-backed securities from the available-for-sale securities portfolio to the held-to-maturity securities portfolio in the 2011 second quarter. The securities were reclassified at fair value at the date of transfer. At the time of the transfer, \$0.5 million of unrealized net gains were recognized in OCI. The amounts in OCI will be recognized in earnings over the remaining life of the securities as an offset to the adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the amortization of the premium on the same transferred

securities, resulting in an immaterial impact on net income.

Additionally, during the 2011 second quarter, Huntington purchased \$204.3 million of federal agencies, mortgage-backed securities which were classified directly into the held-to-maturity portfolio.

Table of Contents

Listed below are the contractual maturities (under 1 year, 1-5 years, 6-10 years, and over 10 years) of held-to-maturity securities at June 30, 2011. There were no securities classified as held-to-maturity at December 31, 2010 or June 30, 2010.

	June 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>		
Federal agencies: mortgage-backed securities:		
Under 1 year	\$	\$
1-5 years		
6-10 years		
Over 10 years	670,478	670,145
Total Federal agencies: mortgage-backed securities	670,478	670,145
Total U.S. Government backed agencies	670,478	670,145
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 670,478	\$ 670,145

The following table provides amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value by investment category at June 30, 2011:

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>				
June 30, 2011				
Federal Agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 670,478	\$ 1,947	\$ (2,280)	\$ 670,145
Total U.S. Government backed securities	670,478	1,947	(2,280)	670,145
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 670,478	\$ 1,947	\$ (2,280)	\$ 670,145

6. LOAN SALES AND SECURITIZATIONS**Residential Mortgage Loans**

The following table summarizes activity relating to residential mortgage loans sold with servicing retained for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>				
Residential mortgage loans sold with servicing retained	\$ 492,015	\$ 803,000	\$ 1,749,518	\$ 1,539,015
Pretax gains resulting from above loan sales (1)	12,565	18,661	45,244	33,424

(1) Recorded in other noninterest income.

A MSR is established only when the servicing is contractually separated from the underlying mortgage loans by sale or securitization of the loans with servicing rights retained. At initial recognition, the MSR asset is established at its fair value using assumptions consistent with assumptions used to estimate the fair value of existing MSRs carried at

fair value in the portfolio. At the time of initial capitalization, MSR assets are grouped into one of two categories depending on whether or not Huntington intends to actively hedge the asset. MSR assets are recorded using the fair value method if Huntington will actively engage in hedging the asset and recorded using the amortization method if no active hedging will be performed. MSR assets are included in accrued income and other assets. Any increase or decrease in the fair value of MSR assets carried under the fair value method, as well as amortization or impairment of MSR assets recorded using the amortization method, is recorded as an increase or decrease in mortgage banking income, which is included in noninterest income.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the changes in MSRs recorded using either the fair value method or the amortization method for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Fair Value Method:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Fair value, beginning of period	\$ 119,207	\$ 162,106	\$ 125,679	\$ 176,427
Change in fair value during the period due to:				
Time decay (1)	(1,390)	(1,536)	(2,764)	(3,208)
Payoffs (2)	(4,528)	(6,800)	(10,400)	(13,677)
Changes in valuation inputs or assumptions (3)	(8,292)	(21,365)	(7,518)	(27,137)
Fair value, end of period:	\$ 104,997	\$ 132,405	\$ 104,997	\$ 132,405

(1) Represents decrease in value due to passage of time, including the impact from both regularly scheduled loan principal payments and partial loan paydowns.

(2) Represents decrease in value associated with loans that paid off during the period.

(3) Represents change in value resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates and prepayment spreads.

Amortization Method:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Carrying value, beginning of year	\$ 83,352	\$ 45,446	\$ 70,516	\$ 38,165
New servicing assets created	4,525	7,944	19,978	16,741
Impairment charge		(4,856)		(4,856)
Amortization and other	(3,135)	(1,801)	(5,752)	(3,317)
Carrying value, end of period	\$ 84,742	\$ 46,733	\$ 84,742	\$ 46,733
Fair value, end of period	\$ 95,829	\$ 47,565	\$ 95,829	\$ 47,565

MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. While sales of MSRs occur, the precise terms and conditions are typically not readily available. Therefore, the fair value of MSRs is estimated using a discounted future cash flow model. The model considers portfolio characteristics, contractually specified servicing fees and assumptions related to prepayments, delinquency rates, late charges, other ancillary revenues, costs to service, and other economic factors. Changes in the assumptions used may have a significant impact on the valuation of MSRs.

A summary of key assumptions and the sensitivity of the MSR value at June 30, 2011, to changes in these assumptions follows:

	Decline in fair value due to
	10% 20%
	adverse adverse

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Actual	change	change
Constant prepayment rate	11.93%	\$ (5,954)	\$ (11,214)
Spread over forward interest rate swap rates	494bps	(2,279)	(4,557)

MSR values are very sensitive to movements in interest rates as expected future net servicing income depends on the projected outstanding principal balances of the underlying loans, which can be greatly impacted by the level of prepayments. Huntington hedges the fair value portfolio of MSRs against changes in value attributable to changes in interest rates through a combination of derivative instruments and trading securities.

Total servicing fees included in mortgage banking income amounted to \$12.4 million and \$12.2 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six-month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010, servicing fees totaled \$25.0 million and \$24.6 million, respectively.

Automobile Loans and Leases

The Company is currently considering an automobile loan securitization transaction during the second half of 2011. The potential securitization is expected to be between \$1.0 billion and \$1.3 billion. At June 30, 2011, and through the date of this filing, the Company has not yet identified the specific loans that would be securitized or finalized terms of the securitization, including whether the securitization would be recorded as a sale or as secured financing and, therefore, has not reclassified the loans to loans held for sale.

Table of Contents**7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

A rollforward of goodwill by business segment for the first six-month period of 2011 was as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Retail & Business Banking	Regional & Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury/ Other	Huntington Consolidated
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 286,824	\$ 16,169	\$	\$ 98,951	\$ 42,324	\$ 444,268
Adjustments						
Balance, end of period	\$ 286,824	\$ 16,169	\$	\$ 98,951	\$ 42,324	\$ 444,268

Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis at October 1 of each year or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. No events or changes in circumstances since the October 1, 2010, annual impairment test were noted that would indicate it was more likely than not a goodwill impairment exists.

At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, Huntington's other intangible assets consisted of the following:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
June 30, 2011			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (241,368)	\$ 135,478
Customer relationship	104,574	(38,912)	65,662
Other	25,164	(24,440)	724
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (304,720)	\$ 201,864
December 31, 2010			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (219,311)	\$ 157,535
Customer relationship	104,574	(34,751)	69,823
Other	25,164	(23,902)	1,262
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (277,964)	\$ 228,620
June 30, 2010			
Core deposit intangible	\$ 376,846	\$ (193,989)	\$ 182,857
Customer relationship	104,574	(30,386)	74,188
Other	25,164	(23,398)	1,766
Total other intangible assets	\$ 506,584	\$ (247,773)	\$ 258,811

The estimated amortization expense of other intangible assets for the remainder of 2011 and the next five years is as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Amortization Expense

2011	\$	26,570
2012		46,075
2013		40,511
2014		35,858
2015		19,758
2016		6,606

Table of Contents**8. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The components of other comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Pretax	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After-tax
Noncredit-related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	\$ 1,400	\$ (490)	\$ 910
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	95,468	(33,242)	62,226
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	(1,507)	527	(980)
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	 95,361	 (33,205)	 62,156
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	 (18)	 6	 (12)
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period	25,590	(8,956)	16,634
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	4,000	(1,400)	2,600
 Total other comprehensive income	 \$ 124,933	 \$ (43,555)	 \$ 81,378

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	Pretax	Tax (Expense) Benefit	After-tax
Noncredit-related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	\$ 8,017	(2,806)	5,211
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	70,354	(24,897)	45,457
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	(156)	55	(101)
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	 78,215	 (27,648)	 50,567
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	 (206)	 72	 (134)
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period	(3,883)	1,359	(2,524)
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	1,794	(628)	1,166

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total other comprehensive income	\$ 75,920	\$ (26,845)	\$ 49,075
		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Pretax	Tax (expense) Benefit	After-tax
Non credit related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	\$ 15,441	(5,404)	10,037
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	89,743	(31,266)	58,477
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	(1,547)	541	(1,006)
Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	103,637	(36,129)	67,508
Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	52	(19)	33
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period	3,404	(1,192)	2,212
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	8,000	(2,800)	5,200
Total other comprehensive income	\$ 115,093	\$ (40,140)	\$ 74,953

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	Pretax	Tax (expense) Benefit	After-tax
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>			
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for consolidation of variable interest entities	\$ (6,365)	\$ 2,116	\$ (4,249)
Non credit related impairment recoveries (losses) on debt securities not expected to be sold	6,078	(2,127)	3,951
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the period	108,281	(38,301)	69,980
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income	(125)	44	(81)
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities	 114,234	 (40,384)	 73,850
 Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale equity securities	 (185)	 65	 (120)
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives used in cash flow hedging relationships arising during the period	1,190	(416)	774
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plan assets and liabilities	3,588	(1,256)	2,332
 Total other comprehensive income	 \$ 112,462	 \$ (39,875)	 \$ 72,587

Activity in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were as follows:

	Unrealized gains and (losses) on debt securities (1)	Unrealized gains and (losses) on equity securities	Unrealized gains and (losses) on cash flow hedging derivatives	Unrealized gains (losses) for pension and other post-retirement obligations	Total
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>					
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ (103,060)	\$ (322)	\$ 58,865	\$ (112,468)	\$ (156,985)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for consolidation of variable interest entities	(4,249)				(4,249)
Period change	73,850	(120)	774	2,332	76,836
 Balance, June 30, 2010	 \$ (33,459)	 \$ (442)	 \$ 59,639	 \$ (110,136)	 \$ (84,398)

Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	(101,290)	(427)	35,710	(131,489)	\$ (197,496)
Period change		67,508	33	2,212	5,200	74,953
Balance, June 30, 2011	\$	(33,782)	\$ (394)	\$ 37,922	\$ (126,289)	\$ (122,543)

- (1) Amount at June 30, 2011 includes \$0.5 million of net unrealized gains on securities transferred from the available-for-sale securities portfolio to the held-to-maturity securities portfolio. The net unrealized gains will be recognized in earnings over the remaining life of the security using the effective interest method.

9. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Repurchase of Outstanding TARP Capital and Warrant to Repurchase Common Stock

In 2008, Huntington received \$1.4 billion of equity capital by issuing to the Treasury 1.4 million shares of TARP Capital and a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 23.6 million shares of Huntington's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at an exercise price of \$8.90 per share. As approved by the Federal Reserve Board, the Treasury, and our other banking regulators, on December 22, 2010, Huntington repurchased all 1.4 million shares of our TARP Capital held by the Treasury totaling \$1.4 billion. Huntington used the net proceeds from the issuance of common stock and subordinated debt, as well as other funds, to redeem the TARP Capital. On January 19, 2011, Huntington repurchased the warrant originally issued to the Treasury for a purchase price of \$49.1 million.

Share Repurchase Program

Huntington did not repurchase any shares for the three-month or six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Dividends on common stock

On July 21, 2011, Huntington announced that the board of directors had declared a quarterly common stock cash dividend of \$0.04 per common share, up from the prior quarterly dividend of \$0.01. The dividend is payable on October 3, 2011, to shareholders of record on September 19, 2011.

Table of Contents**10. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share is the amount of earnings (adjusted for dividends declared on preferred stock) available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period adjusted to include the effect of potentially dilutive common shares. Potentially dilutive common shares include incremental shares issued for stock options, restricted stock units and awards, distributions from deferred compensation plans, and the conversion of Huntington's convertible preferred stock. Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive. For diluted earnings per share, net income available to common shares can be affected by the conversion of Huntington's convertible preferred stock. Where the effect of this conversion would be dilutive, net income available to common shareholders is adjusted by the associated preferred dividends and deemed dividend. The calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for each of the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Basic earnings per common share:				
Net income	\$ 145,918	\$ 48,764	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501
Preferred stock dividends, deemed dividend and accretion of discount	(7,704)	(29,426)	(15,407)	(58,783)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 138,214	\$ 19,338	\$ 256,957	\$ 29,718
Average common shares issued and outstanding	863,358	716,580	863,358	716,450
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.04
Diluted earnings per common share				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 138,214	\$ 19,338	\$ 256,957	\$ 29,718
Effect of assumed preferred stock conversion				
Net income applicable to diluted earnings per share	\$ 138,214	\$ 19,338	\$ 256,957	\$ 29,718
Average common shares issued and outstanding	863,358	716,580	863,358	716,450
Dilutive potential common shares:				
Stock options and restricted stock units and awards	3,171	1,957	3,084	1,685
Shares held in deferred compensation plans	940	850	911	855
Conversion of preferred stock				
Dilutive potential common shares:	4,111	2,807	3,995	2,540
Total diluted average common shares issued and outstanding	867,469	719,387	867,353	718,990
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.04

Approximately 15.3 million and 19.2 million options to purchase shares of common stock outstanding at the end of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive. The weighted average exercise price for these options was \$19.69 per share and \$19.22 per share at the end of each respective period.

11. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Huntington sponsors nonqualified and incentive share-based compensation plans. These plans provide for the granting of stock options and other awards to officers, directors, and other employees. Compensation costs are included in personnel costs on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Stock options are granted at the closing market price on the date of the grant. Options granted typically vest ratably over three years or when other conditions are met.

Options granted prior to May 2004 have a term of ten years. All options granted after May 2004 have a term of seven years.

Huntington uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based compensation expense. Forfeitures are estimated at the date of grant based on historical rates and reduce the compensation expense recognized. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant. Expected volatility is based on the estimated volatility of Huntington's stock over the expected term of the option. The expected dividend yield is based on the dividend rate and stock price at the date of the grant. The following table illustrates the weighted-average assumptions used in the option-pricing model for options granted in the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Assumptions				
Risk-free interest rate	2.20%	2.83%	2.35%	2.90%
Expected dividend yield	0.61	0.69	0.59	0.81
Expected volatility of Huntington's common stock	30.0	60.0	31.3	60.0
Expected option term (years)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

Weighted-average grant date fair value per share \$ **2.05** \$ 3.19 \$ **2.20** \$ 2.76

The following table illustrates total share-based compensation expense and related tax benefit for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>				
Share-based compensation expense	\$ 3,898	\$ 3,676	\$ 7,523	\$ 6,609
Tax (expense) benefit	1,364	1,287	2,633	2,313

Huntington's stock option activity and related information for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, was as follows:

	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
<i>(amounts in thousands, except years and per share amounts)</i>				
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	21,862	\$ 15.96		
Granted	128	6.79		
Exercised	(47)	4.21		
Forfeited/expired	(1,362)	15.18		
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	20,581	\$ 15.98	2.6	\$ 7,576
Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2011 (1)	20,322	\$ 16.11	2.6	\$ 7,303
Exercisable at June 30, 2011	15,900	\$ 19.07	1.8	\$ 2,329

(1) The number of options expected to vest includes an estimate of expected forfeitures. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the amount by which the fair value of underlying stock exceeds the in-the-money option exercise price. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, cash received for the exercises of stock options was \$0.2 million and the tax benefit realized from stock option exercises was less than \$0.1 million. There were no exercises of stock options for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

Huntington also grants restricted stock units and awards. Restricted stock units and awards are issued at no cost to the recipient, and can be settled only in shares at the end of the vesting period. Restricted stock awards provide the holder with full voting rights and cash dividends during the vesting period. Restricted stock units do not provide the holder

with voting rights or cash dividends during the vesting period, but do accrue a dividend equivalent that is paid upon vesting, and are subject to certain service restrictions. The fair value of the restricted stock units and awards is the closing market price of the Huntington's common stock on the date of award.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the status of Huntington's restricted stock units and restricted stock awards as of June 30, 2011, and activity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011:

<i>(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share	Restricted Stock Awards (1)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2011	5,511	\$ 5.78	466	\$ 5.24
Granted	697	7.45		
Vested	(42)	8.76	(376)	5.72
Forfeited	(156)	6.04		
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	6,010	\$ 5.94	90	\$ 3.24

- (1) Includes restricted stock awards granted under the Second Amended and Restated 2007 Stock and Long-Term Incentive Plan to certain executives as a portion of their annual base salary. These awards are 100% vested as of the grant date and are not subject to any requirement of future service. However, the shares are subject to restrictions regarding sale, transfer, pledge, or disposition until certain conditions are met. All awards vested in the 2011 second quarter.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of nonvested shares granted for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were \$7.45 and \$5.64, respectively. The total fair value of awards vested was \$2.7 million and \$0.3 million during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested awards was \$18.9 million with a weighted-average expense recognition period of 1.5 years.

Of the remaining 43.4 million shares of common stock authorized for issuance at June 30, 2011, 27.1 million were outstanding and 16.3 million were available for future grants. Huntington issues shares to fulfill stock option exercises and restricted stock units from available authorized shares. At June 30, 2011, Management believes there are adequate authorized shares available to satisfy anticipated stock option exercises in 2011.

12. BENEFIT PLANS

Huntington sponsors the Plan, a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees hired or rehired prior to January 1, 2010. The Plan provides benefits based upon length of service and compensation levels. The funding policy of Huntington is to contribute an annual amount that is at least equal to the minimum funding requirements but not more than the amount deductible under the Internal Revenue Code. There is no required minimum contribution for 2011, although Huntington contributed \$50.0 million to the Plan in March 2011.

In addition, Huntington has an unfunded defined benefit post-retirement plan that provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits to retired employees who have attained the age of 55 and have at least 10 years of vesting service under this plan. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1993, post-retirement healthcare benefits are based upon the employee's number of months of service and are limited to the actual cost of coverage. Life insurance benefits are a percentage of the employee's base salary at the time of retirement, with a maximum of \$50,000 of coverage. The employer paid portion of the post-retirement health and life insurance plan was eliminated for employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010. Eligible employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010, who elect retiree medical coverage, will pay the full cost of this coverage. Huntington will not provide any employer paid life insurance to employees retiring on and after March 1, 2010. Eligible employees will be able to convert or port their existing life insurance at their own expense under the same terms that are available to all terminated employees.

The following table shows the components of net periodic benefit expense of the Plan and the Post-Retirement Benefit Plan:

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Pension Benefits		Post Retirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 5,413	\$ 5,051	\$	\$
Interest cost	7,518	7,217	405	433
Expected return on plan assets	(10,823)	(10,528)		
Amortization of transition asset	(1)	2		
Amortization of prior service cost	(1,442)	(1,442)	(338)	(338)
Amortization of gains	5,874	3,747	(106)	(176)
Settlements	1,750	1,725		
Benefit expense	\$ 8,289	\$ 5,772	\$ (39)	\$ (81)

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Pension Benefits Six Months Ended June 30,		Post Retirement Benefits Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 10,826	\$ 10,102	\$ 810	\$ 866
Interest cost	15,036	14,434		
Expected return on plan assets	(21,646)	(21,056)		
Amortization of transition asset	(2)	4		
Amortization of prior service cost	(2,884)	(2,884)	(676)	(676)
Amortization of gains	11,748	7,494	(212)	(351)
Settlements	3,500	3,450		
Benefit expense	\$ 16,578	\$ 11,544	\$ (78)	\$ (161)

The Bank, as trustee, held all Plan assets at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010. The Plan assets consisted of investments in a variety of Huntington mutual funds and Huntington common stock as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011		Fair Value December 31, 2010		June 30, 2010	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Cash	\$ 26					
Cash equivalents:						
Huntington funds - money market	1,255		25		2,736	1
Other					26	
Fixed income:						
Huntington funds - fixed income funds	173,781	33	133,330	28	132,883	31
Corporate obligations					1,084	
U.S. Government Agencies					1,013	
Equities:						
Huntington funds	328,476	62	318,155	66	266,183	63
Other equity mutual funds	4,267	1				
Huntington common stock	25,754	4	26,969	6	21,756	5
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 533,559	100%	\$ 478,479	100%	\$ 425,681	100%

Investments of the Plan are accounted for at cost on the trade date and are reported at fair value. All of the Plan's investments at June 30, 2011, are classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. In general, investments of the Plan are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate risk, credit risk, and overall market volatility. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is reasonably possible changes in the values of investments will occur in the near term and such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the Plan assets.

The investment objective of the Plan is to maximize the return on Plan assets over a long time period, while meeting the Plan obligations. At June 30, 2011, Plan assets were invested 67% in equity investments and 33% in bonds, with an average duration of 3.5 years on bond investments. Although it may fluctuate with market conditions, Management has targeted a long-term allocation of Plan assets of 69% in equity investments and 31% in bond investments.

Huntington also sponsors other nonqualified retirement plans, the most significant being the SERP and the SRIP. The SERP provides certain former officers and directors, and the SRIP provides certain current officers and directors of Huntington and its subsidiaries with defined pension benefits in excess of limits imposed by federal tax law.

Huntington has a defined contribution plan that is available to eligible employees. In the 2009 first quarter, the Plan was amended to eliminate employer matching contributions effective on or after March 15, 2009. Prior to March 15, 2009, Huntington matched participant contributions, up to the first 3% of base pay contributed to the Plan. Half of the employee contribution was matched on the 4th and 5th percent of base pay contributed to the Plan. Effective May 1, 2010, Huntington reinstated the employer matching contribution to the defined contribution Plan.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the costs of providing the SERP, SRIP, and defined contribution plans:

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
SERP & SRIP	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.4	\$ 1.6
Defined Contribution Plan	3.8	2.1	7.5	2.1
Benefit Cost	\$ 4.5	\$ 3.0	\$ 8.9	\$ 3.7

13. FAIR VALUES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Huntington follows the fair value accounting guidance under ASC 820 and ASC 825.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy was established for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Transfers in and out of Level 1, 2, or 3 are recorded at fair value at the beginning of the reporting period.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Financial Instrument	Hierarchy	Valuation methodology
<i>Mortgage loans held for sale</i>	Level 2	Huntington elected to apply the fair value option for mortgage loans originated with the intent to sell which are included in loans held for sale. Mortgage loans held for sale are estimated using security prices for similar product types. At June 30, 2011, mortgage loans held for sale had an aggregate fair value of \$222.9 million and an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$214.7 million. Interest income on these loans is recorded in interest and fee income—loans and leases. Included in mortgage banking income were net gains resulting from origination and sale of these loans, including net realized gains of \$11.7 million and \$19.4 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Of such gains, the change in fair value while held as loans were \$1.8 million and \$8.8 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Net gains resulting from origination and sale of these loans, including net realized gains of \$44.5 million and \$34.5 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Of such gains, the change in fair value while held as loans were \$7.9 million and \$11.3 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010,

respectively.

*Available-for-sale securities
&
trading account securities*

- | | |
|---------|---|
| Level 1 | Consist primarily of U.S. Treasury and money market mutual funds, which have quoted prices. |
| Level 2 | Consist of U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed and other agency securities, municipal securities, and other securities for which an active market is not available. Third party pricing services provide a fair value estimate based upon trades of similar financial instruments. |
| Level 3 | Consist of certain asset-backed securities, pooled-trust-preferred securities, private-label CMOs, and municipal securities for which fair value is estimated. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of these securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions. Generally, there are only limited trades of similar instruments and a discounted cash flow approach is used to determine fair value. |

Table of Contents

Financial Instrument	Hierarchy	Valuation methodology
<i>Automobile loans</i>	Level 3	Consists of automobile loan receivables measured at fair value. The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of the automobile loan receivables included projections of expected losses and prepayment of the underlying loans in the portfolio and a market assumption of interest rate spreads. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes reflected in interest and fee income other and noninterest income for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.1 million and \$(3.3) million, respectively, which is net of a \$2.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively net gain associated with instrument specific credit risk. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$(1.4) million and \$4.3 million, respectively, which is net of a \$2.2 million and \$0.6 million, respectively net gain associated with instrument specific credit risk. Instrument specific credit risk was determined based on estimated credit losses inherent in the beginning period fair value calculation as compared to actual credit losses incurred during the period plus estimated credit losses inherent in the end of period fair value calculation.
<i>MSRs</i>	Level 3	MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Although sales of MSRs do occur, the precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. Fair value is determined on an income approach model based upon month-end interest rate curve and prepayment assumptions.
<i>Derivatives</i>	Level 1	Consist of exchange traded options and forward commitments to deliver mortgage-backed securities which are valued using quoted prices.
	Level 2	Consist of basic asset and liability conversion swaps and options, and interest rate caps. These derivative positions are valued using a discounted cash flow method that incorporates current market interest rates.
	Level 3	Consist primarily of interest rate lock agreements related to mortgage loan commitments. The determination of fair value includes assumptions related to the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan, which is a significant unobservable assumption.
<i>Securitization trust notes payable</i>	Level 2	Consists of certain securitization trust notes payable related to the automobile loans measured at fair value. The notes payable are valued based on interest rates for similar financial instruments. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes reflected in interest expense - subordinated notes and other long-term debt and noninterest

income for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$(1.4) million and \$(5.9) million, respectively. The net gains and losses, before tax, from fair value changes for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$(3.6) million and \$(2.2) million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Adjustments (1)	December 31, 2010
Assets					
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$	\$ 754,117	\$	\$	\$ 754,117
Trading account securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	47,430				47,430
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		10,860			10,860
Federal agencies: Other agencies		24,853			24,853
Municipal securities		30,205			30,205
Other securities	69,017	3,039			72,056
	116,447	68,957			185,404
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	51,781				51,781
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		4,754,404			4,754,404
TLGP securities		183,467			183,467
Federal agencies: Other agencies (3)		2,058,376			2,058,376
Municipal securities		305,909	149,806		455,715
Private-label CMO			121,925		121,925
Asset-backed securities		1,044,438	162,684		1,207,122
Covered bonds		367,209			367,209
Corporate debt		323,389			323,389
Other securities	53,286	9,848			63,134
	105,067	9,047,040	434,415		9,586,522
Automobile loans			522,717		522,717
MSRs			125,679		125,679
Derivative assets	23,514	390,361	2,817	(70,559)	346,133
Liabilities					
Securitization trust notes payable			356,089		356,089
Derivative liabilities		3,990	233,399	1,851	239,240
Other liabilities					

Table of Contents

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			Netting Adjustments (1)	Balance at June 30, 2010
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets					
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$	\$ 404,817	\$	\$	\$ 404,817
Trading account securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities					
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		15,026			15,026
Federal agencies: Other agencies					
Municipal securities		23,170			23,170
Other securities	64,285	4,377			68,662
	64,285	42,573			106,858
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities	50,328				50,328
Federal agencies:					
Mortgage-backed		4,505,951			4,505,951
TLGP securities	184,757				184,757
Federal agencies: Other agencies	1,874,835	28,613			1,903,448
Municipal securities		113,788	262,128		375,916
Private-label CMO			394,611		394,611
Asset-backed securities		801,685	218,940		1,020,625
Covered bonds					
Corporate debt					
Other securities	55,061	8,106			63,167
	2,164,981	5,458,143	875,679		8,498,803
Automobile loans	470,825		186,388		657,213
MSRs			132,405		132,405
Derivative assets	1,663	454,249	8,469	(84,912)	379,469
Liabilities					
Securitization trust notes payable	494,512				494,512
Derivative liabilities	13,682	265,499	1,977		281,158

Other liabilities

(1) Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.

- (2) During the 2011 second quarter, Huntington transferred \$469.1 million of federal agencies, mortgage-backed securities from the available-for-sale securities portfolio to the held-to-maturity securities portfolio. These securities are valued at amortized cost and no longer classified within the fair value hierarchy. All amounts were previously classified as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.
- (3) Amounts were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 in the 2010 fourth quarter due to lack of sufficient market activity for these securities.

The tables below present a rollforward of the balance sheet amounts for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, for financial instruments measured on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3. The classification of an item as Level 3 is based on the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 measurements may also include observable components of value that can be validated externally. Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

Table of Contents

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 119,207	\$ (832)	\$ 135,276	\$ 115,546	\$ 165,599	\$ 458,851	\$
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(14,210)	1,411		59	9	1,127	
Included in OCI				(110)	3,293		
Purchases			1,760				
Sales				(20,958)			
Repayments						(59,043)	
Issuances							
Settlements		(161)	(13,236)	(5,767)	(3,159)		
Transfers in / out of Level 3							
Balance, end of period	\$ 104,997	\$ 418	\$ 123,800	\$ 88,770	\$ 165,742	\$ 400,935	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (14,210) \$ 1,250 \$ \$ (1,164) \$ 3,293 \$ 1,127 \$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 162,106	\$ (833)	\$ 318,597	\$ 462,731	\$ 219,079	\$ 183,845	\$
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(29,701)	5,547		(1,742)	(445)	4,845	
Included in OCI				14,277	4,387		
Purchases							
Sales				(57,394)	(793)		
Repayments						(2,302)	
Issuances							
Settlements		1,778	(56,469)	(23,261)	(3,288)		
Transfers in / out of Level 3							
Balance, end of period	\$ 132,405	\$ 6,492	\$ 262,128	\$ 394,611	\$ 218,940	\$ 186,388	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (29,701) \$ 5,330 \$ \$ 12,535 \$ 3,942 \$ 4,845 \$

Table of Contents

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 125,679	\$ 966	\$ 149,806	\$ 121,925	\$ 162,684	\$ 522,717	\$
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(20,682)	(293)		(383)	(3,261)	(1,384)	
Included in OCI				3,617	13,590		
Purchases			1,760				
Sales				(20,958)			
Repayments						(120,398)	
Issuances							
Settlements		(255)	(27,766)	(15,431)	(7,271)		
Transfers in / out of Level 3							
Balance, end of period	\$ 104,997	\$ 418	\$ 123,800	\$ 88,770	\$ 165,742	\$ 400,935	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (20,682) \$ (548) \$ 1,774 \$ 13,590 \$ (1,384) \$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010**

Available-for-sale securities

		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
	MSRs	instruments	securities	label	backed	loans	investments
				CMO	securities		
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 176,427	\$ (4,236)	\$ 11,515	\$ 477,319	\$ 407,098	\$	\$ 25,872
Total gains / losses:							
Included in earnings	(44,022)	8,939		(3,832)	(4,495)	10,104	
Included in OCI				24,967	8,574		
Purchases							
Sales				(57,394)	(2,631)		
Repayments						(3,735)	
Issuances							
Settlements		1,789	(73,024)	(46,449)	(5,533)		
Transfers in / out of Level 3 (1)			323,637		(184,073)	180,019	(25,872)
Balance, end of period	\$ 132,405	\$ 6,492	\$ 262,128	\$ 394,611	\$ 218,940	\$ 186,388	\$

The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or OCI) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date

\$ (44,022) \$ 8,733 \$ 21,135 \$ 4,079 \$ 10,104 \$

(1) Transfers in / out of Level 3 include a transfer in of \$323.6 million relating to municipal securities, due to lack of observable market data, a transfer out of \$184.1 million of securities, and a transfer in of \$180.0 million of loans both related to the consolidation of a 2009 automobile trust.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the classification of gains and losses due to changes in fair value, recorded in earnings for Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

		Available-for-sale securities					
		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	MSRs	instruments	securities	label CMO	backed securities	loans	investments
Classification of gains and losses in earnings:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (14,210)	\$ (774)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(124)	(59)		
Interest and fee income				183	68	(2,786)	
Noninterest income		2,185				3,913	
Total	\$ (14,210)	\$ 1,411	\$	\$ 59	\$ 9	\$ 1,127	\$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010**

		Available-for-sale securities					
		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	MSRs	instruments	securities	label CMO	backed securities	loans	investments
Classification of gains and losses in earnings:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (29,701)	\$ 5,547	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(2,264)	(560)		
Interest and fee income				522	115	(3,180)	
Noninterest income						8,025	
Total	\$ (29,701)	\$ 5,547	\$	\$ (1,742)	\$ (445)	\$ 4,845	\$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

		Available-for-sale securities					
		Derivative	Municipal	Private-	Asset-	Automobile	Equity
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	MSRs	instruments	securities	label CMO	backed securities	loans	investments

Classification of gains and losses in earnings:

Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (20,682)	\$ 662	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(912)	(3,436)		
Interest and fee income				529	175	(5,225)	
Noninterest income		(955)				3,841	
Total	\$ (20,682)	\$ (293)	\$	\$ (383)	\$ (3,261)	\$ (1,384)	\$

**Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010**

Available-for-sale securities

	MSRs	Derivative instruments	Municipal securities	Private-label CMO	Asset-backed securities	Automobile loans	Equity investments
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>							
Classification of gains and losses in earnings:							
Mortgage banking income (loss)	\$ (44,022)	\$ 8,939	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities gains (losses)				(4,868)	(4,417)		
Interest and fee income				1,036	(78)	(4,400)	
Noninterest income						14,504	
Total	\$ (44,022)	\$ 8,939	\$	\$ (3,832)	\$ (4,495)	\$ 10,104	\$

Table of Contents**Assets and Liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis**

Certain assets and liabilities may be required to be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to their initial recognition. These assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis; however, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment. At June 30, 2011, assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were as follows:

	Fair Value at June 30, 2011	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Gains/(Losses) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>					
Impaired loans	\$ 83.7	\$	\$	\$ 83.7	\$ (21.2)
Accrued income and other assets	38.7			38.7	\$ (1.1)

Periodically, Huntington records nonrecurring adjustments of collateral-dependent loans measured for impairment when establishing the ACL. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan. Appraisals are generally obtained to support the fair value of the collateral and incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties and cost of construction. In cases where the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell, an impairment charge is recognized. At June 30, 2011, Huntington identified \$83.7 million of impaired loans for which the fair value is recorded based upon collateral value. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, nonrecurring fair value impairment of \$21.2 million were recorded within the provision for credit losses.

Other real estate owned properties are initially valued based on appraisals and third party price opinions, less estimated selling costs. At June 30, 2011, Huntington had \$38.7 million of OREO assets. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, fair value losses of \$1.1 million were recorded within noninterest expense.

Fair values of financial instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of Huntington's financial instruments at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, are presented in the following table:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010		June 30, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets:						
Cash and short-term assets	\$ 1,100,580	\$ 1,100,580	\$ 982,926	\$ 982,926	\$ 1,415,244	\$ 1,415,244
Trading account securities	98,771	98,771	185,404	185,404	106,858	106,858
Loans held for sale	224,860	224,860	793,285	793,285	777,843	777,843
Available-for-sale and other securities	8,099,716	8,099,716	9,895,244	9,895,244	8,803,718	8,803,718
Held-to-maturity securities	670,478	670,145				
Net loans and direct financing leases	38,055,326	36,738,480	36,857,499	35,403,910	35,567,535	34,048,771
Derivatives	306,540	306,540	346,133	346,133	379,469	379,469
Financial Liabilities:						

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Deposits	(41,402,355)	(41,566,202)	(41,853,898)	(41,993,567)	(39,848,507)	(40,110,589)
Short-term borrowings	(2,022,946)	(1,990,819)	(2,040,732)	(1,982,545)	(1,093,218)	(1,085,958)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(220,224)	(220,224)	(172,519)	(172,519)	(599,798)	(599,798)
Other long-term debt	(1,635,247)	(1,644,067)	(2,144,092)	(2,157,358)	(2,569,934)	(2,562,062)
Subordinated notes	(1,496,461)	(1,457,274)	(1,497,216)	(1,377,851)	(1,195,210)	(1,008,921)
Derivatives	(215,833)	(215,833)	(239,240)	(239,240)	(281,158)	(281,158)

The short-term nature of certain assets and liabilities result in their carrying value approximating fair value. These include trading account securities, customers' acceptance liabilities, short-term borrowings, bank acceptances outstanding, FHLB advances, and cash and short-term assets, which include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, and federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. Loan commitments and letters-of-credit generally have short-term, variable-rate features and contain clauses that limit Huntington's exposure to changes in customer credit quality. Accordingly, their carrying values, which are immaterial at the respective balance sheet dates, are reasonable estimates of fair value. Not all the financial instruments listed in the table above are subject to the disclosure provisions of ASC Topic 820.

Table of Contents

Certain assets, the most significant being operating lease assets, bank owned life insurance, and premises and equipment, do not meet the definition of a financial instrument and are excluded from this disclosure. Similarly, mortgage and nonmortgage servicing rights, deposit base, and other customer relationship intangibles are not considered financial instruments and are not included above. Accordingly, this fair value information is not intended to, and does not, represent Huntington's underlying value. Many of the assets and liabilities subject to the disclosure requirements are not actively traded, requiring fair values to be estimated by Management. These estimations necessarily involve the use of judgment about a wide variety of factors, including but not limited to, relevancy of market prices of comparable instruments, expected future cash flows, and appropriate discount rates.

The following methods and assumptions were used by Huntington to estimate the fair value of the remaining classes of financial instruments:

Held-to-maturity securities

Fair values are determined by using models that are based on security-specific details, as well as relevant industry and economic factors. The most significant of these inputs are quoted market prices, and interest rate spreads on relevant benchmark securities.

Loans and direct financing leases

Variable-rate loans that reprice frequently are based on carrying amounts, as adjusted for estimated credit losses. The fair values for other loans and leases are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses and employ interest rates currently being offered for loans and leases with similar terms. The rates take into account the position of the yield curve, as well as an adjustment for prepayment risk, operating costs, and profit. This value is also reduced by an estimate of probable losses and the credit risk associated in the loan and lease portfolio. The valuation of the loan portfolio reflected discounts that Huntington believed are consistent with transactions occurring in the market place.

Deposits

Demand deposits, savings accounts, and money market deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair values of fixed-rate time deposits are estimated by discounting cash flows using interest rates currently being offered on certificates with similar maturities.

Debt

Fixed-rate, long-term debt is based upon quoted market prices, which are inclusive of Huntington's credit risk. In the absence of quoted market prices, discounted cash flows using market rates for similar debt with the same maturities are used in the determination of fair value.

14. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as either an asset or a liability (in accrued income and other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities, respectively) and measured at fair value.

Derivatives used in Asset and Liability Management Activities

A variety of derivative financial instruments, principally interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and collars are used in asset and liability management activities to protect against the risk of adverse price or interest rate movements. These instruments provide flexibility in adjusting Huntington's sensitivity to changes in interest rates without exposure to loss of principal and higher funding requirements. Huntington records derivatives at fair value, as further described in Note 13. Collateral agreements are regularly entered into as part of the underlying derivative agreements with Huntington's counterparties to mitigate counterparty credit risk. At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, aggregate credit risk associated with these derivatives, net of collateral that has been pledged by the counterparty, was \$38.5 million, \$39.9 million, and \$42.8 million, respectively. The credit risk associated with interest rate swaps is calculated after considering master netting agreements.

At June 30, 2011, Huntington pledged \$201.4 million of investment securities and cash collateral to counterparties, while other counterparties pledged \$101.3 million of investment securities and cash collateral to Huntington to satisfy collateral netting agreements. In the event of credit downgrades, Huntington could be required to provide \$4.3 million of additional collateral.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the gross notional values of derivatives used in Huntington's asset and liability management activities at June 30, 2011, identified by the underlying interest rate-sensitive instruments:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Fair Value Hedges	Cash Flow Hedges	Total
Instruments associated with:			
Loans	\$	\$ 5,555,000	\$ 5,555,000
Investment securities		50,000	50,000
Deposits	958,912		958,912
Subordinated notes	598,000		598,000
Other long-term debt	35,000		35,000
Total notional value at June 30, 2011	\$ 1,591,912	\$ 5,605,000	\$ 7,196,912

The following table presents additional information about the interest rate swaps used in Huntington's asset and liability management activities at June 30, 2011:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Notional Value	Average Maturity (years)	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Rate Receive	Pay
Asset conversion swaps receive fixed generic	\$ 5,605,000	1.9	\$ 55,374	1.65%	0.65%
Liability conversion swaps receive fixed generic	1,591,912	4.1	69,285	2.53	0.32
Total swap portfolio	\$ 7,196,912	2.4	\$ 124,659	1.84%	0.58%

These derivative financial instruments were entered into for the purpose of managing the interest rate risk of assets and liabilities. Consequently, net amounts receivable or payable on contracts hedging either interest earning assets or interest bearing liabilities were accrued as an adjustment to either interest income or interest expense. The net amounts resulted in an increase to net interest income of \$28.1 million and \$48.4 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the net amounts resulted in an increase to net interest income of \$62.0 million and \$106.4 million, respectively.

In connection with securitization activities, Huntington purchased interest rate caps with a notional value totaling \$0.9 billion. These purchased caps were assigned to the securitization trust for the benefit of the security holders. Interest rate caps were also sold totaling \$0.9 billion outside the securitization structure. Both the purchased and sold caps are marked to market through income.

In connection with the sale of Huntington's Class B Visa® shares, Huntington entered into a swap agreement with the purchaser of the shares. The swap agreement adjusts for dilution in the conversion ratio of Class B shares resulting from the Visa® litigation. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of the swap liability of \$1.4 million is an estimate of the exposure liability based upon Huntington's assessment of the probability-weighted potential Visa® litigation losses and certain fixed payments required to be made through the term of the swap.

The following table presents the fair values at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010 of Huntington's derivatives that are designated and not designated as hedging instruments. Amounts in the table below are presented gross without the impact of any net collateral arrangements.

Table of Contents

Asset derivatives included in accrued income and other assets:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Interest rate contracts designated as hedging instruments	\$ 124,659	\$ 127,346	\$ 119,483
Interest rate contracts not designated as hedging instruments	253,310	263,015	334,766
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	3,793	2,845	1,554
Total contracts	\$ 381,762	\$ 393,206	\$ 455,803

Liability derivatives included in accrued expenses and other liabilities

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Interest rate contracts designated as hedging instruments	\$	\$	\$
Interest rate contracts not designated as hedging instruments	208,928	233,805	267,397
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	4,336	3,107	
Total contracts	\$ 213,264	\$ 236,912	\$ 267,397

Fair value hedges are purchased to convert deposits and subordinated and other long-term debt from fixed-rate obligations to floating rate. The changes in fair value of the derivative are, to the extent that the hedging relationship is effective, recorded through earnings and offset against changes in the fair value of the hedged item.

The following table presents the change in fair value for derivatives designated as fair value hedges as well as the offsetting change in fair value on the hedged item for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rate contracts				
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging deposits (1)	\$ 7,185	\$ 2,269	\$ 909	\$ 5,581
Change in fair value of hedged deposits (1)	(7,117)	(1,856)	(1,080)	(5,012)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging subordinated notes (2)	14,392	12,718	5,237	16,361
Change in fair value of hedged subordinated notes (2)	(14,392)	(12,718)	(5,237)	(16,361)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging other long-term debt (2)	969	2,035	389	2,553
Change in fair value of hedged other long-term debt (2)	(969)	(2,035)	(389)	(2,553)

- (1) Effective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in Interest expense - deposits in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Any resulting ineffective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in noninterest income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.
- (2) Effective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in Interest expense - subordinated notes and other long-term debt in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. Any resulting ineffective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized in noninterest income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated

Statements of Income.

For cash flow hedges, interest rate swap contracts were entered into that pay fixed-rate interest in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate interest without the exchange of the contract's underlying notional amount, which effectively converts a portion of its floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate debt. This reduces the potentially adverse impact of increases in interest rates on future interest expense. Other LIBOR-based commercial and industrial loans as well as investment securities were effectively converted to fixed-rate by entering into contracts that swap certain variable-rate interest payments for fixed-rate interest payments at designated times.

To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives' fair value will not be included in current earnings but are reported as a component of OCI in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity. These changes in fair value will be included in earnings of future periods when earnings are also affected by the changes in the hedged cash flows. To the extent these derivatives are not effective, changes in their fair values are immediately included in noninterest income.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the gains and (losses) recognized in OCI and the location in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income of gains and (losses) reclassified from OCI into earnings for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 for derivatives designated as effective cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives (effective portion)		Location of gain or (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings (effective portion)	Amount of (gain) or loss reclassified from accumulated OCI into earnings (effective portion)	
	Six Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,	
<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	2011	2010		2011	2010
Interest rate contracts					
Loans	\$ (3,210)	\$ 47,434	Interest and fee income loans and leases	\$ 7,627	\$ (73,381)
Investment Securities	468		Interest and fee income investment securities		
FHLB Advances			Interest expense subordinated notes and other long-term debt		2,216
Deposits			Interest expense deposits		
Subordinated notes			Interest expense subordinated notes and other long-term debt		(837)
Other long term debt			Interest expense subordinated notes and other long-term debt	13	
Total	\$ (2,742)	\$ 47,434		\$ 7,640	\$ (72,002)

During the next twelve months, Huntington expects to reclassify to earnings \$35.0 million of after-tax unrealized gains on cash flow hedging derivatives currently in OCI.

The following table details the gains and (losses) recognized in noninterest income on the ineffective portion on interest rate contracts for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships				
Interest rate contracts				
Loans	(350)	(293)	114	574
FHLB Advances				

Derivatives used in trading activities

Various derivative financial instruments are offered to enable customers to meet their financing and investing objectives and for their risk management purposes. Derivative financial instruments used in trading activities consisted predominantly of interest rate swaps, but also included interest rate caps, floors, and futures, as well as foreign exchange options. Interest rate options grant the option holder the right to buy or sell an underlying financial instrument for a predetermined price before the contract expires. Interest rate futures are commitments to either purchase or sell a financial instrument at a future date for a specified price or yield and may be settled in cash or

through delivery of the underlying financial instrument. Interest rate caps and floors are option-based contracts that entitle the buyer to receive cash payments based on the difference between a designated reference rate and a strike price, applied to a notional amount. Written options, primarily caps, expose Huntington to market risk but not credit risk. Purchased options contain both credit and market risk. The interest rate risk of these customer derivatives is mitigated by entering into similar derivatives having offsetting terms with other counterparties. The credit risk to these customers is evaluated and included in the calculation of fair value.

The net fair values of these derivative financial instruments, for which the gross amounts are included in accrued income and other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, were \$46.4 million, \$46.3 million, and \$43.5 million, respectively. The total notional values of derivative financial instruments used by Huntington on behalf of customers, including offsetting derivatives, were \$9.8 billion, \$9.8 billion, and \$9.5 billion at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, respectively. Huntington's credit risks from interest rate swaps used for trading purposes were \$252.8 million, \$263.0 million, and \$334.8 million at the same dates, respectively.

Table of Contents**Derivatives used in mortgage banking activities**

Huntington also uses certain derivative financial instruments to offset changes in value of its MSR's. These derivatives consist primarily of forward interest rate agreements and forward mortgage securities. The derivative instruments used are not designated as hedges. Accordingly, such derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in mortgage banking income. The following table summarizes the derivative assets and liabilities used in mortgage banking activities:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Derivative assets:			
Interest rate lock agreements	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,817	\$ 8,469
Forward trades and options	580	20,669	109
Total derivative assets	2,682	23,486	8,578
Derivative liabilities:			
Interest rate lock agreements	(323)	(1,445)	(79)
Forward trades and options	(2,246)	(883)	(13,682)
Total derivative liabilities	(2,569)	(2,328)	(13,761)
Net derivative asset (liability)	\$ 113	\$ 21,158	\$ (5,183)

The total notional value of these derivative financial instruments at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, was \$1.7 billion, \$2.6 billion, and \$3.1 billion, respectively. The total notional amount at June 30, 2011, corresponds to trading assets with a fair value of \$8.4 million and trading liabilities with a fair value of \$0.8 million. Total MSR hedging gains and (losses) for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were \$13.1 million and \$46.3 million, respectively, and \$8.8 million and \$58.2 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. Included in total MSR hedging gains and losses for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were gains and (losses) related to derivative instruments of \$12.6 million and \$46.1 million, respectively, and \$9.0 million and \$57.6 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, and June 30, 2010, respectively. These amounts are included in mortgage banking income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

15. VIEs**Consolidated VIEs**

Consolidated VIEs at June 30, 2011, consisted of the Franklin 2009 Trust and certain loan securitization trusts. Loan securitizations include automobile loan and lease securitization trusts formed in 2009, 2008, and 2006. Huntington has determined the trusts are VIEs. Huntington has concluded that it is the primary beneficiary of these trusts because it has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and it has either the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The carrying amount and classification of the trusts' assets and liabilities included in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet are as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011				
	Franklin 2009 Trust	2009 Trust	2008 Trust	2006 Trust	Total
Assets:					
Cash	\$	\$ 23,767	\$ 17,097	\$ 62,862	\$ 103,726

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Loans and leases		400,935	201,795	928,688	1,531,418
Allowance for loan and lease losses			(1,796)	(8,265)	(10,061)
Net loans and leases		400,935	199,999	920,423	1,625,083
Accrued income and other assets	1,588	1,839	839	3,694	7,960
Total assets	\$ 1,588	\$ 426,541	\$ 217,935	\$ 986,979	\$ 1,736,769
Liabilities:					
Other long-term debt	\$	\$ 231,017	\$ 67,142	\$ 581,944	\$ 880,103
Accrued interest and other liabilities	1,096	480	131	123	1,830
Total liabilities	\$ 1,096	\$ 231,497	\$ 67,273	\$ 582,067	\$ 881,933

Table of Contents**Trust-Preferred Securities**

Huntington has certain wholly-owned trusts whose assets, liabilities, equity, income, and expenses are not included within Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. These trusts have been formed for the sole purpose of issuing trust-preferred securities, from which the proceeds are then invested in Huntington junior subordinated debentures, which are reflected in Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as subordinated notes. The trust securities are the obligations of the trusts, and as such, are not consolidated within Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. A list of trust-preferred securities outstanding at June 30, 2011, follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Rate	Principal amount of subordinated note/ debenture issued to trust (1)	Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary (2)
Huntington Capital I	0.97%(3)	\$ 138,816	\$ 6,186
Huntington Capital II	0.87(4)	55,093	3,093
Huntington Capital III	6.69	114,086	10
BancFirst Ohio Trust Preferred	8.54	23,220	619
Sky Financial Capital Trust I	8.56	64,333	1,856
Sky Financial Capital Trust II	3.22(5)	30,929	929
Sky Financial Capital Trust III	1.65(6)	77,320	2,320
Sky Financial Capital Trust IV	1.70(6)	77,320	2,320
Prospect Trust I	3.53(7)	6,186	186
Total		\$ 587,303	\$ 17,519

(1) Represents the principal amount of debentures issued to each trust, including unamortized original issue discount.

(2) Huntington's investment in the unconsolidated trusts represents the only risk of loss.

(3) Variable effective rate at June 30, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 0.70.

(4) Variable effective rate at June 30, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 0.625.

(5) Variable effective rate at June 30, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 2.95.

(6) Variable effective rate at June 30, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 1.40.

(7) Variable effective rate at June 30, 2011, based on three month LIBOR + 3.25.

Each issue of the junior subordinated debentures has an interest rate equal to the corresponding trust securities distribution rate. Huntington has the right to defer payment of interest on the debentures at any time, or from time-to-time for a period not exceeding five years, provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related debentures. During any such extension period, distributions to the trust securities will also be deferred and Huntington's ability to pay dividends on its common stock will be restricted. Periodic cash payments and payments upon liquidation or redemption with respect to trust securities are guaranteed by Huntington to the extent of funds held by the trusts. The guarantee ranks subordinate and junior in right of payment to all indebtedness of the Company to the same extent as the junior subordinated debt. The guarantee does not place a limitation on the amount of additional indebtedness that may be incurred by Huntington.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit Partnerships

Huntington makes certain equity investments in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital, to facilitate the sale of additional affordable housing product offerings, and to assist in achieving goals associated with the Community Reinvestment Act. The primary activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants. Generally, these types of investments are funded through a combination of debt and equity.

Huntington does not have the power to direct the activities of these VIEs that most significantly affect their economic performance and is not the primary beneficiary. Huntington uses the equity method to account for the majority of its investments in these entities. These investments are included in accrued income and other assets. At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, Huntington had commitments of \$326.9 million, \$316.0 million, and \$232.9 million, respectively, of which \$279.0 million, \$260.1 million, and \$222.5 million, respectively, were funded. The unfunded portion is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents**16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES****Commitments to extend credit**

In the ordinary course of business, Huntington makes various commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The contractual amounts of these financial agreements at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, were as follows:

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Contract amount represents credit risk:			
Commitments to extend credit			
Commercial	\$ 7,003	\$ 5,933	\$ 5,703
Consumer	5,708	5,406	4,936
Commercial real estate	474	546	773
Standby letters of credit	573	607	516

Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates, are variable-rate, and contain clauses that permit Huntington to terminate or otherwise renegotiate the contracts in the event of a significant deterioration in the customer's credit quality. These arrangements normally require the payment of a fee by the customer, the pricing of which is based on prevailing market conditions, credit quality, probability of funding, and other relevant factors. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the contract amounts are not necessarily indicative of future cash requirements. The interest rate risk arising from these financial instruments is insignificant as a result of their predominantly short-term, variable-rate nature.

Standby letters-of-credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. Most of these arrangements mature within two years. The carrying amount of deferred revenue associated with these guarantees was \$1.5 million, \$2.2 million, and \$2.1 million at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Through the Company's credit process, Huntington monitors the credit risks of outstanding standby letters-of-credit. When it is probable that a standby letter-of-credit will be drawn and not repaid in full, losses are recognized in the provision for credit losses. At June 30, 2011, Huntington had \$0.6 billion of standby letters-of-credit outstanding, of which 79% were collateralized. Included in this \$0.6 billion total are letters-of-credit issued by the Bank that support securities that were issued by customers and remarketed by The Huntington Investment Company, the Company's broker-dealer subsidiary.

Huntington uses an internal grading system to assess an estimate of loss on its loan and lease portfolio. This same grading system is used to monitor credit risk associated with standby letters-of-credit. Under this grading system as of June 30, 2011, approximately \$72.4 million of the standby letters-of-credit were rated strong with sufficient asset quality, liquidity, and good debt capacity and coverage; approximately \$441.7 million were rated average with acceptable asset quality, liquidity, and modest debt capacity; and approximately \$58.5 million were rated substandard with negative financial trends, structural weaknesses, operating difficulties, and higher leverage.

Commercial letters-of-credit represent short-term, self-liquidating instruments that facilitate customer trade transactions and generally have maturities of no longer than 90 days. The goods or cargo being traded normally secures these instruments.

Commitments to sell loans

Huntington enters into forward contracts relating to its mortgage banking business to hedge the exposures from commitments to make new residential mortgage loans with existing customers and from mortgage loans classified as loans held for sale. At June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, Huntington had commitments to sell residential real estate loans of \$400.2 million, \$998.7 million, and \$735.1 million, respectively. These contracts mature in less than one year.

Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state, city and foreign jurisdictions. Federal income tax audits have been completed through 2007. Various state and other jurisdictions remain open to examination for tax years 2005 and forward.

Table of Contents

The IRS and the Commonwealth of Kentucky have proposed adjustments to the Company's previously filed tax returns. Management believes the tax positions taken by the Company related to such proposed adjustments were correct and supported by applicable statutes, regulations, and judicial authority, and intends to vigorously defend them. It is possible the ultimate resolution of the proposed adjustments, if unfavorable, may be material to the results of operations in the period it occurs. However, although no assurance can be given, Management believes the resolution of these examinations will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position.

Huntington accounts for uncertainties in income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. At June 30, 2011, Huntington had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$12.5 million in income tax liability related to tax positions. Total interest accrued on the unrecognized tax benefits amounted to \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2011. Due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. However, any ultimate settlement is not expected to be material to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as a whole. Huntington recognizes interest and penalties on income tax assessments or income tax refunds in the financial statements as a component of its provision for income taxes. Huntington does not anticipate the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within the next 12 months.

Litigation

The nature of Huntington's business ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations, and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. When the Company determines it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted, it vigorously defends itself. The Company will consider settlement of cases when, in Management's judgment, it is in the best interests of both the Company and its shareholders to do so.

On at least a quarterly basis, Huntington assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. For matters where it is probable the Company will incur a loss and the amount can be reasonably estimated, Huntington establishes an accrual for the loss. Once established, the accrual is adjusted as appropriate to reflect any relevant developments. For matters where a loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be estimated, no accrual is established.

In certain cases, exposure to loss exists in excess of the accrual to the extent such loss is reasonably possible, but not probable. Management believes an estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses, in excess of amounts accrued, for current legal proceedings is from \$0 to approximately \$160.0 million at June 30, 2011. For certain other cases, Management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss at this time. Any estimate involves significant judgment, given the varying stages of the proceedings (including the fact that many of them are currently in preliminary stages), the existence of multiple defendants in several of the current proceedings whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous unresolved issues in many of the proceedings, and the inherent uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such proceedings. Accordingly, Management's estimate will change from time-to-time, and actual losses may be more or less than the current estimate.

While the final outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel, and available insurance coverage, Management believes that the amount it has already accrued is adequate and any incremental liability arising from the Company's legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position as a whole. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Company's consolidated financial position in a particular period.

The Bank is a defendant in three lawsuits, which collectively may be material, arising from its commercial lending, depository, and equipment leasing relationships with Cyberco Holdings, Inc. (Cyberco), based in Grand Rapids, Michigan. In November 2004, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the IRS raided the Cyberco facilities and Cyberco's operations ceased. An equipment leasing fraud was uncovered, whereby Cyberco sought financing from equipment lessors and financial institutions, including the Bank, allegedly to purchase computer equipment from Teleservices Group, Inc. (Teleservices). Cyberco created fraudulent documentation to close the financing transactions while, in fact, no computer equipment was ever purchased or leased from Teleservices which proved to be a shell

corporation.

The following supplements the discussion of certain matters previously reported in Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) of the 2010 Form 10-K for events occurring during the first six-month period of 2011:

On June 22, 2007, a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan (District Court) was filed by El Camino Resources, Ltd, ePlus Group, Inc., and Bank Midwest, N.A., all of whom had lending relationships with Teleservices, against Cyberco and the Bank, alleging that Cyberco defrauded plaintiffs and converted plaintiffs' property through various means in connection with the equipment leasing scheme and alleges that the Bank aided and abetted Cyberco in committing the alleged fraud and conversion. The complaint further alleges that the Bank's actions entitle one of the plaintiffs to recover \$1.9 million from the Bank as a form of unjust enrichment. In addition, plaintiffs claimed direct damages of approximately \$32.0 million and additional consequential damages in excess of \$20.0 million. On July 1, 2010, the District Court issued an Opinion and Order adopting in full a federal magistrate's recommendation for summary judgment in favor of the Bank on all claims except the unjust enrichment claim, and a partial summary judgment was entered on July 1, 2010. The Bank has requested an opportunity to file a motion for summary judgment on the remaining unjust enrichment claim against it. A motion for reconsideration filed by the plaintiffs regarding the partial summary judgment was denied. Pre-motion conferences have not yet been scheduled.

Table of Contents

The Bank is also involved with the Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings of both Cyberco, filed on December 9, 2004, and Teleservices, filed on January 21, 2005. The Cyberco bankruptcy trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against the Bank on December 8, 2006, seeking over \$70.0 million he alleges was transferred to the Bank. The Bank responded with a motion to dismiss and all but the preference claims were dismissed on January 29, 2008. The Cyberco bankruptcy trustee alleges preferential transfers in the amount of \$9.7 million. Since January 2008, the case has not progressed due, principally, to the adversary proceeding in the Teleservices bankruptcy case.

The Teleservices bankruptcy trustee filed an adversary proceeding against the Bank on January 19, 2007, seeking to avoid and recover alleged transfers that occurred in two ways: (1) checks made payable to the Bank to be applied to Cyberco's indebtedness to the Bank, and (2) deposits into Cyberco's bank accounts with the Bank. A trial was held as to only the Bank's defenses in the 2010 fourth quarter. Subsequently, the trustee filed a summary judgment motion on her affirmative case, alleging the fraudulent transfers to the Bank totaled approximately \$73.0 million and seeking judgment in that amount (which includes the \$9.7 million alleged to be preferential transfers by the Cyberco bankruptcy trustee). On March 17, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court issued an Opinion determining the alleged transfers made to the Bank were not received in good faith from the time period of April 30, 2004, through November 2004, and that the Bank had failed to show a lack of knowledge of the avoidability of the alleged transfers from November 17, 2003, through April 30, 2004.

In the pending bankruptcy cases of Cyberco and Teleservices, the Bank moved to substantively consolidate the two bankruptcy estates, principally on the ground that Teleservices was the alter ego and a mere instrumentality of Cyberco at all times. On July 2, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court issued an Opinion denying the Bank's motions for substantive consolidation of the two bankruptcy estates. The Bank has appealed this ruling and the appeal is pending.

17. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The parent company condensed financial statements, which include transactions with subsidiaries, are as follows.

Balance Sheets

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$ 644,183	\$ 615,167	\$ 933,546
Due from The Huntington National Bank	954,565	954,565	954,565
Due from non-bank subsidiaries	223,408	225,560	254,352
Investment in The Huntington National Bank	3,846,588	3,515,597	3,304,908
Investment in non-bank subsidiaries	791,230	790,248	810,228
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	114,076	110,181	164,589
Total assets	\$ 6,574,050	\$ 6,211,318	\$ 6,422,188
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$ 100	\$ 687
Long-term borrowings	932,434	937,434	637,434
Dividends payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	388,973	293,242	345,631
Total liabilities	1,321,407	1,230,776	983,752
Shareholders' equity (2)	5,252,643	4,980,542	5,438,436
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 6,574,050	\$ 6,211,318	\$ 6,422,188

(1) Includes restricted cash of \$125,000.

(2) See Huntington's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity.

Table of Contents**Statements of Income**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Income				
Dividends from				
The Huntington National Bank	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-bank subsidiaries	25,000		31,000	18,000
Interest from				
The Huntington National Bank	20,211	20,724	40,396	41,740
Non-bank subsidiaries	2,259	2,986	4,955	6,449
Other	439	379	1,040	2,076
Total income	47,909	24,089	77,391	68,265
Expense				
Personnel costs	9,575	11,981	14,330	13,018
Interest on borrowings	8,728	5,734	17,422	11,275
Other	10,465	13,212	20,030	25,905
Total expense	28,768	30,927	51,782	50,198
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	19,141	(6,838)	25,609	18,067
Income taxes	(3,051)	(105)	(1,015)	15,744
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	22,192	(6,733)	26,624	2,323
Increase (decrease) in undistributed net income of:				
The Huntington National Bank	140,784	60,891	258,900	101,058
Non-bank subsidiaries	(17,058)	(5,394)	(13,160)	(14,880)
Net income	\$ 145,918	\$ 48,764	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501

Table of Contents**Statements of Cash Flows**

<i>(dollar amounts in thousands)</i>	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 272,364	\$ 88,501
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	(284,538)	(104,178)
Depreciation and amortization	369	510
Other, net	87,922	(87,960)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	76,117	(103,127)
Investing activities		
Repayments from subsidiaries	63,198	31,572
Advances to subsidiaries	(23,535)	(307,051)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	39,663	(275,479)
Financing activities		
Payment of borrowings	(5,000)	(604)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(15,407)	(50,358)
Dividends paid on common stock	(17,244)	(14,247)
Redemption of Warrant to the Treasury	(49,100)	
Other, net	(13)	822
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(86,764)	(64,387)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	29,016	(442,993)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	615,167	1,376,539
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 644,183	\$ 933,546
Supplemental disclosure:		
Interest paid	\$ 17,422	\$ 11,275

18. SEGMENT REPORTING

During the 2010 fourth quarter, Huntington reorganized our business segments to better align certain business unit reporting with segment executives to accelerate cross-sell results and provide greater focus on the execution of strategic plans. We have four major business segments: Retail and Business Banking, Regional and Commercial Banking, Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate, and Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending. A Treasury / Other function includes our insurance business and other unallocated assets, liabilities, revenue, and expense. All periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period classification.

Segment results are determined based upon the Company's management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the business segments. The process is designed around the Company's

organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived are not necessarily comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions. A description of each segment and table of financial results is presented below.

Retail and Business Banking: The Retail and Business Banking segment provides a wide array of financial products and services including but not limited to loans, deposits, investment, and treasury management services to our consumer and small business customers. Huntington serves customers primarily through our traditional banking network of over 600 branches as well as our convenience branches located in grocery stores and retirement centers in Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Indiana, West Virginia, and Kentucky. In addition to our extensive branch network, customers can access Huntington through online banking, mobile banking, 24-hour telephone banking, and over 1,300 ATMs.

Huntington has established a Fair Play banking philosophy and is building a reputation for meeting the banking needs of consumers in a manner which makes them feel supported and appreciated. In 2010, Huntington brought innovation to the checking account by providing consumers with a 24-hour grace period to correct a shortfall in an account and avoid the associated overdraft fees. Huntington believes customers are recognizing this and other efforts as key differentiators and it is earning us more customers and deeper relationships.

Table of Contents

Business Banking is a dynamic and growing part of Huntington's business and we are committed to being the bank of choice for small businesses in our markets. Business Banking is defined as companies with revenues less than \$15 million and consists of approximately 130,000 businesses. Huntington continues to develop products and services that are designed specifically to meet the needs of small business. Huntington continues to look for ways to help companies find solutions to their capital needs, from our program helping businesses that had struggled in the economic downturn but are now showing several quarters of profitability, to our participation in the Small Business Administration programs. As of March 31, 2011, the SBA reported Huntington ranked first in our footprint and third in the nation in the number of SBA loans originated for the first six months of the SBA fiscal year.

Regional and Commercial Banking: This segment provides a variety of banking products and services to customers within our primary banking markets that generally have larger credit exposures and sales revenues compared with our Retail and Business Banking customers. Huntington products in this segment include commercial loans, international trade, treasury management, leasing, capital market services including interest rate risk protection products, and mezzanine investment capabilities. Regional and Commercial Banking also focuses on financial solutions for corporate and institutional customers including investment banking, sales and trading of securities, and retirement plan services. The Regional and Commercial Banking team has significantly expanded its equipment leasing capabilities, as well as focused on serving the commercial banking needs of key verticals including not-for-profit organizations, healthcare entities, and large corporations. Commercial bankers personally deliver these products and services directly and with cross-segment product partners. Huntington consistently strives to develop extensive relationships with clients creating defined relationship plans which identify needs and offer solutions.

The primary focus for Regional and Commercial Banking is our ability to gain a deeper relationship with our existing customers and to increase our market share through our unique customer solution strategy. This includes a comprehensive cross-sell approach to capture the untapped opportunities within our customer and prospect community. This strategy embodies a shift from credit-only focus, to a total customer solution approach with an increasing share-of-wallet.

The Regional and Commercial Banking business model includes eleven regional markets driven by local execution. These markets are supported by expertise in large corporate and middle market segments, by capabilities in treasury management and equipment finance, and by vertical strategies within the healthcare and not-for-profit industries. The commercial portfolio includes a distribution across industries and segments which resembles the market demographics of our footprint. A strategic focus of Regional and Commercial Banking is to target underpenetrated markets within our footprint and capitalize on opportunities in industries such as not-for-profit and healthcare. In addition, Regional and Commercial Banking expanded the leadership, investment, and capabilities for treasury management and equipment finance. With our investments in treasury management, Huntington differentiated itself through our implementation experience and the speed at which products and services are delivered to our customers. In equipment finance, Huntington distinguished itself through aggressive business development and local service delivery and by strategically aligning with our bank partners to drive market share. The increase in originations during the current period reflected the strategic decision to enter three new markets: business aircraft finance, rail industry finance, and lender finance.

Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate: This segment provides lending and other banking products and services to customers outside of our normal retail and commercial banking segments. Our products and services are delivered through highly specialized relationship-focused bankers and our cross segment product partners. Huntington creates well-defined relationship plans which identify needs where solutions are developed and customer commitments are obtained.

The Automotive Finance team services automobile dealerships, its owners, and consumers buying automobiles through these dealerships. Huntington has provided new and used automobile financing and dealer services throughout the Midwest since the early 1950s. This consistency in the market and our focus on working with strong dealerships, has allowed us to actively deepen relationships while building a strong reputation. Huntington has a dominant market share position within our Midwest footprint as evidenced by a #1 share in two of our core states: Ohio and Kentucky (AutoCount 2010). The Automotive team serves customers within our footprint and we have recently expanded into the New England area.

The Commercial Real Estate team serves professional real estate developers, and REITs. Huntington has a clear focus on experienced, well-managed, well-capitalized top tier real estate developers who are capable of operating in all economic phases of the real estate industry. Most of our customers are located within our footprint.

Table of Contents

Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending: This segment consists of our wealth management, government banking, and home lending businesses. In wealth management, Huntington provides financial services to high net worth clients in our primary banking markets and Florida. Huntington Wealth Advisors delivers a comprehensive solution through a unified sales team providing private banking, investment, insurance, and trust services. Aligned with the eleven regional commercial banking markets, this coordinated service model delivers products and services directly and through the other segment product partners. A fundamental point of differentiation is our commitment to be in the market, working closely with clients and their other advisors to identify needs, offer solutions and provide ongoing advice in an optimal client experience.

The Government Finance Group provides financial products and services to government and other public sector entities in our primary banking markets. A locally based team of relationship managers works with clients to meet their public finance, brokerage, trust, lending, and treasury management needs.

Home Lending originates and services consumer loans and mortgages for customers who are generally located in our primary banking markets. Consumer and mortgage lending products are primarily distributed through the Retail and Business Banking segment, as well as through commissioned loan originators. Closely aligned, our Community Development group serves an important role as it focuses on delivering on our commitment to the communities Huntington serves.

The segment also includes the related businesses of investment management, investment servicing, custody, corporate trust and retirement plan services. Huntington Asset Advisors provides investment management services through a variety of internal and external channels, including advising the Huntington Funds, our proprietary family of funds. Huntington Asset Services offers administrative and operational support to fund complexes, including fund accounting, transfer agency, administration, and distribution services. Our retirement plan services business offers fully bundled and third party distribution of a variety of qualified and non-qualified plan solutions, and the national settlements business focuses on providing banking solutions to the litigation settlement market.

Table of Contents

Listed below is certain operating basis financial information reconciled to Huntington's June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010, reported results by business segment:

Income Statements (<i>dollar amounts in thousands</i>)	Three Months Ended June 30,					Huntington Consolidated
	Retail & Business Banking	Regional & Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury/ Other	
2011						
Net interest income	\$ 237,208	60,029	89,281	47,030	(30,211)	\$ 403,337
Provision for credit losses	34,664	1,458	(14,855)	14,530		35,797
Noninterest income	106,414	31,389	16,146	66,841	34,977	255,767
Noninterest expense	236,638	48,241	42,177	86,807	14,546	428,409
Income taxes	25,312	14,602	27,337	4,387	(22,658)	48,980
Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 47,008	\$ 27,117	\$ 50,768	\$ 8,147	\$ 12,878	\$ 145,918
2010						
Net interest income	\$ 214,266	\$ 50,885	84,170	38,958	11,377	\$ 399,656
Provision for credit losses	23,030	12,669	47,669	30,028	80,010	193,406
Noninterest income	103,899	28,274	20,156	87,190	30,124	269,643
Noninterest expense	223,653	39,192	35,023	89,378	26,564	413,810
Income taxes	25,019	9,554	7,572	2,360	(31,186)	13,319
Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 46,463	\$ 17,744	\$ 14,062	\$ 4,382	\$ (33,887)	\$ 48,764
Six Months Ended June 30,						
Income Statements (<i>dollar amounts in thousands</i>)	Retail & Business Banking	Regional & Commercial Banking	AFCRE	WGH	Treasury/ Other	Huntington Consolidated
2011						
Net interest income	\$ 473,053	117,467	177,130	95,930	(55,913)	\$ 807,667
Provision for credit losses	58,358	7,427	(10,071)	29,468		85,182
Noninterest income	200,842	60,627	29,525	133,592	68,126	492,712
Noninterest expense	458,775	91,922	85,304	172,985	50,122	859,108
Income taxes	54,867	27,561	45,997	9,474	(54,174)	83,725
Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 101,895	\$ 51,184	\$ 85,425	\$ 17,595	\$ 16,265	\$ 272,364
2010						
Net interest income	\$ 417,671	101,716	161,214	\$ 76,885	\$ 36,063	\$ 793,549

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Provision for credit losses	91,004	53,876	165,308	26,717	91,509	428,414
Noninterest income	198,544	53,667	37,256	157,401	63,627	510,495
Noninterest expense	438,430	74,746	74,048	173,253	51,426	811,903
Income taxes	30,374	9,366	(14,310)	12,011	(62,215)	(24,774)
Operating/reported net income (loss)	\$ 56,407	\$ 17,395	\$ (26,576)	\$ 22,305	\$ 18,970	\$ 88,501

<i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	Assets at			Deposits at		
	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Retail & Business Banking	\$ 13,456	\$ 13,088	\$ 13,169	\$ 28,325	\$ 29,298	\$ 28,467
Regional & Commercial Banking	9,223	8,720	8,137	3,539	3,538	2,850
AFCRE	13,296	13,233	12,834	819	753	761
WGH	6,748	6,971	6,299	7,708	7,449	6,784
Treasury / Other	10,327	11,808	11,332	1,011	816	987
Total	\$ 53,050	\$ 53,820	\$ 51,771	\$ 41,402	\$ 41,854	\$ 39,849

Table of Contents

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures for the current period can be found in the Market Risk section of this report, which includes changes in market risk exposures from disclosures presented in Huntington's 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Huntington maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Huntington's Management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Huntington's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, Huntington's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, Huntington's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have not been any significant changes in Huntington's internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Huntington's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

In accordance with the instructions to Part II, the other specified items in this part have been omitted because they are not applicable or the information has been previously reported.

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

Information required by this item is set forth in Note 16 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

Information required by this item is set forth in Part 1 Item 2.- Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Index

This report incorporates by reference the documents listed below that we have previously filed with the SEC. The SEC allows us to incorporate by reference information in this document. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be a part of this document, except for any information that is superseded by information that is included directly in this document.

Table of Contents

This information may be read and copied at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The SEC also maintains an Internet web site that contains reports, proxy statements, and other information about issuers, like us, who file electronically with the SEC. The address of the site is <http://www.sec.gov>. The reports and other information filed by us with the SEC are also available at our Internet web site. The address of the site is <http://www.huntington.com>. Except as specifically incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, information on those web sites is not part of this report. You also should be able to inspect reports, proxy statements, and other information about us at the offices of the NASDAQ National Market at 33 Whitehall Street, New York, New York.

Exhibit Number	Document Description	Report or Registration Statement	SEC File or Registration Number	Exhibit Reference
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated December 20, 2006 by and among Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, Penguin Acquisition, LLC and Sky Financial Group, Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 22, 2006.	000-02525	2.1
3.1	Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.	000-02525	3(i)
3.2	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2007	000-02525	3.1
3.3	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 7, 2008	000-02525	3.1
3.4	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Restatement of Charter.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2010	001-34073	3.1
3.5	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of April 22, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 22, 2008	000-02525	3.1
3.6	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of April 22, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 22, 2008	000-02525	3.2
3.7	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of November 12, 2008.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 12, 2008	001-34073	3.1
3.8	Articles Supplementary of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as of December 31, 2006.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006	000-02525	3.4
3.9	Bylaws of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated, as amended and restated, as of April 22, 2010.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2010.	001-34073	3.2
4.1	Instruments defining the Rights of Security Holders reference is made to Articles Fifth, Eighth, and Tenth of Articles of Restatement of Charter, as amended and supplemented. Instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt will be furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission			

	upon request.			
10.1	* Management Incentive Plan for Covered Officers	Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders	001-34073	A
12.1	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.			
12.2	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.			
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification Chief Executive Officer.			
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification Chief Financial Officer.			
32.1	Section 1350 Certification Chief Executive Officer.			
32.2	Section 1350 Certification Chief Financial Officer.			
101**	The following material from Huntington's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity, (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.			

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Furnished, not filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
(Registrant)

Date: August 8, 2011

/s/ Stephen D. Steinour
Stephen D. Steinour
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and
President

Date: August 8, 2011

/s/ Donald R. Kimble
Donald R. Kimble
Sr. Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer