SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC Form DEF 14A March 05, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant þ

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- **b** Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Sonic Automotive, Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement is							
	Form	n or Schedule and the date of its filing.					
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:					
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:					
	(3)	Filing Party:					
	(4)	Date Filed:					

Table of Contents

6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109 Charlotte, North Carolina 28212

March 5, 2010

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 21, 2010, at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina. We look forward to greeting personally those stockholders who are able to attend.

The accompanying formal Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement describe the matters on which action will be taken at the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting on April 21, 2010, it is important that your shares be represented. To ensure that your vote will be received and counted, please sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy at your earliest convenience. Your vote is important regardless of the number of shares you own.

On behalf of the Board of Directors

Sincerely,

O. BRUTON SMITH
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF MEETING

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Audit Committee Report

SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

EOUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2009

CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

ADDITIONAL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER INFORMATION

Table of Contents

VOTING YOUR PROXY IS IMPORTANT

PLEASE SIGN AND DATE YOUR PROXY AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

NOTICE OF MEETING

Charlotte, NC March 5, 2010

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Sonic Automotive, Inc. (Sonic) will be held at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina on Wednesday, April 21, 2010, at 10:30 a.m. (the Annual Meeting), for the following purposes as described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

- 1. To elect nine directors:
- 2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Sonic s independent public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2010; and
- 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Only holders of record of Sonic s Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock (collectively, the Voting Stock) at the close of business on February 22, 2010 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you are urged to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy promptly in the envelope provided. Returning your proxy does not deprive you of your right to attend the Annual Meeting and to vote your shares in person.

Stephen K. Coss

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Important Note: To vote shares of Voting Stock at the Annual Meeting (other than in person at the meeting), a stockholder must return a proxy. The return envelope enclosed with the proxy card requires no postage if mailed in the United States of America.

Table of Contents

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

March 5, 2010

GENERAL

Introduction

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Sonic Automotive, Inc. (Sonic or the Company) will be held on April 21, 2010 at 10:30 a.m., at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina (the Annual Meeting), for the purposes set forth in the accompanying notice. Only holders of record of Sonic s Class A Common Stock (the Class A Common Stock) and Class B Common Stock (the Class B Common Stock and, together with the Class A Common Stock, the Common Stock or Voting Stock) at the close of business on February 22, 2010 (the Record Date) will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement and form of proxy are furnished to stockholders in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting, and at any and all adjournments thereof, and are first being sent to stockholders on or about March 15, 2010.

Proxies in the accompanying form, properly executed and duly returned and not revoked, will be voted at the Annual Meeting, including adjournments. Where a specification is made by means of the ballot provided in the proxies regarding any matter presented at the Annual Meeting, such proxies will be voted in accordance with the specification. If no specification is made, proxies will be voted (i) in favor of electing Sonic s nine nominees to the Board of Directors; (ii) in favor of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent accountants of Sonic and its subsidiaries for the year ending December 31, 2010; and (iii) in the discretion of the proxy holders on any other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors currently knows of no other business that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. Proxies should be sent to American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038.

Revoking Your Proxy

Stockholders who execute proxies may revoke them at any time before they are exercised by delivering a written notice to Stephen K. Coss, the Secretary of Sonic, either at the Annual Meeting or prior to the meeting date at Sonic s principal executive offices at 6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212, by executing and delivering a later-dated proxy, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Ownership of Voting Stock

Sonic currently has authorized under its Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Charter) 100,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, of which 40,109,558 shares were outstanding as of the Record Date and are entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting, and 30,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, of which 12,029,375 shares were outstanding as of the Record Date and are entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, holders of Class A Common Stock will have one vote per share, and holders of Class B Common Stock will have ten votes per share. All outstanding shares of Voting Stock are entitled to vote as a single class on all proposals submitted to a vote at the Annual Meeting. A quorum being present, directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast and each of the other proposals referred to in the accompanying Notice of Meeting will become

effective if a majority of the votes cast by shares entitled to vote on the proposal are cast in favor thereof. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, brokers who are voting shares held in street name have the discretion to vote shares on routine matters but not on non-routine matters. Routine matters include ratification of independent public accountants. Non-routine matters include the election of directors. Broker non-votes and abstentions will be counted to determine a quorum. For elections of directors, withheld votes and broker non-votes will not be counted toward that nominee s achievement of a plurality. Abstentions and broker non-votes on other matters, including ratification of independent public accountants, are not considered to have been voted for or against such proposals and have the practical effect of reducing the number of affirmative votes required to achieve a majority by reducing the total number of shares from which the majority of votes cast is calculated.

Table of Contents

A holder of Voting Stock who signs a proxy card may withhold votes as to any director-nominee by writing the name of the nominee in the space provided on the proxy card. A holder of Voting Stock may not vote for more than nine nominees.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Sonic s Voting Stock as of February 22, 2010, by (i) each stockholder known by Sonic to own beneficially more than five percent of a class of the outstanding Voting Stock, (ii) each director and nominee to the Board of Directors of Sonic, (iii) each named executive officer of Sonic listed in the Summary Compensation Table, and (iv) all directors and executive officers of Sonic as a group. Except as otherwise indicated below, each of the persons named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the securities beneficially owned by them as set forth opposite their name, subject to community property laws where applicable.

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock(1)	Percentage of Outstanding Class A Common Stock	Number of Shares of Class B Common Stock	Percentage of Outstanding Class B Common Stock	Percentage of All Outstanding Voting Stock(2)
O. Bruton Smith (3)	751,852	1.8%	11,052,500(4)	91.9%	22.3%
Sonic Financial Corporation (3)			8,881,250(4)	73.8%	17.0%
B. Scott Smith (3)(5)	624,416	1.5%	976,875(6)	8.1%	3.0%
David P. Cosper (7)	171,085	*			*
David B. Smith (5)(8)	196,133	*			*
Jeff Dyke (9)	217,535	*			*
William R. Brooks (10)	96,733	*			*
William I. Belk (10)(11)	56,023	*			*
Victor H. Doolan (10)	20,278	*			*
Robert Heller (10)(12)	89,023	*			*
Robert L. Rewey (10)	53,023	*			*
David C. Vorhoff (10)	18,097	*			*
All directors and executive					
officers as a group					
(11 persons) (5)	2,224,512	5.3%	12,029,375	100.0%	26.5%
BlackRock, Inc. (13)	2,921,370	7.3%			5.6%
FMR LLC (and related					
persons) (14)	5,913,398	14.3%			11.1%
Loomis, Sayles & Co., L.P. (15)	2,069,583	5.2%			4.0%
Paul P. Rusnak (16)	4,300,000	10.7%			8.2%

^{*} Less than one percent.

⁽¹⁾ Includes those shares of Class A Common Stock shown below as to which the following persons currently have a right, or will have the right within 60 days after February 22, 2010, to acquire beneficial ownership through the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units: (i) Messrs. Bruton Smith, 725,211 shares; Scott Smith, 509,058 shares; Cosper, 58,889 shares; David Smith, 100,219 shares; Dyke, 105,217 shares; Brooks, 65,000 shares; Belk, 20,000 shares; Heller, 40,000 shares; and Rewey, 30,000 shares; and (ii) all directors and

executive officers as a group, 1,653,594 shares.

- (2) The percentage of total voting power of Sonic is as follows: (i) O. Bruton Smith, 69.1%; Sonic Financial Corporation, 55.4%; B. Scott Smith, 6.5%; BlackRock, Inc., 1.8%; FMR LLC (and related persons), 3.7%; Loomis, Sayles & Co., L.P., 1.3%; Paul P. Rusnak, 2.7%; and less than 1% for all other stockholders shown, and (ii) all directors and executive officers as a group, 75.6%.
- (3) The address for O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith and Sonic Financial Corporation (SFC) is 5401 East Independence Boulevard, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212.
- (4) The amount of Class B Common Stock shown for O. Bruton Smith consists of 2,171,250 shares owned directly by Mr. Smith and 8,881,250 shares owned directly by SFC, of which 5,474,593 shares are pledged as security for loans. Mr. Smith owns the majority of SFC s outstanding capital stock and, accordingly, is deemed to have sole voting and investment power with respect to the Class B Common Stock held by SFC.

2

Table of Contents

- (5) Includes 69,686 shares of Class A Common Stock held by SMDA Development 1, LLC, in which Messrs. B. Scott and David Smith are members. Each of Messrs. B. Scott and David Smith disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest, if any, therein.
- (6) Approximately 778,890 shares of Class B Common Stock are pledged to secure loans and 20,875 shares of Class A Common Stock are held in a margin account.
- (7) Includes 64,550 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock, which will vest on March 19, 2010.
- (8) Includes 5,246 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock, which will vest on April 18, 2010.
- (9) Includes 35,000 and 7,321 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock, which will vest on March 13, 2010 and April 18, 2010, respectively.
- (10) Includes 13,100 restricted shares of Class A Common Stock for each of Messrs. Brooks, Belk, Doolan, Heller, Rewey and Vorhoff, which will vest on April 20, 2010.
- (11) Includes 6,000 shares held by Mr. Belk s children. Mr. Belk disclaims beneficial ownership of all securities held by his children.
- (12) Approximately 26,000 shares are held in a margin account. Mr. Heller shares voting and dispositive power over 26,000 shares with his wife.
- (13) The address of this entity is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022. The Schedule 13G filed by BlackRock, Inc. on or about January 29, 2010 indicates that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power and sole dispositive power as to all of the 2,921,370 shares shown.
- (14) The address of this entity is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109. The information provided is based on a Schedule 13G filed by FMR LLC (and related persons) on or about February 16, 2010. That filing indicates that FMR LLC has sole voting power as to 1,691,444 of the shares shown. That filing also indicates that FMR LLC and Edward C. Johnson 3d have sole dispositive power as to all of the 5,913,398 shares shown. That filing further indicates that Fidelity Management and Research Company, as investment advisor to various investment companies and a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, is the beneficial owner of 4,117,494 of the shares, including 327,294 shares which those investment companies would have the right to acquire beneficial ownership of assuming the conversion of Sonic s 5% Convertible Senior Notes due 2029 (5% Convertible Notes) and Fidelity Low Priced Stock Fund, an investment company, beneficially owns 2,800,000 of the shares, but that neither Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d nor FMR LLC has sole voting power with respect to such shares, which voting power resides with the Board of Trustees of the various Fidelity Funds that beneficially own the shares. The filing also indicates that Pyramis Global Advisors, LLC (PGA), as investment advisor to various entities and a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, is the beneficial owner of 674,389 shares which those entities would have the right to acquire beneficial ownership of assuming the conversion of Sonic s 5% Convertible Notes, with Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC each having sole dispositive power and sole voting power over these shares, and Pyramis Global Advisors Trust Company (PGATC), as investment manager, a bank and a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, is the beneficial owner of 1,212,515 shares, including 302,635 shares which PGATC as investment manager of various accounts would have the right to acquire beneficial ownership of assuming the conversion of Sonic s 5% Convertible Notes, with Mr. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC each having sole dispositive power over 1,121,515 of these shares and sole voting power over 1,017,055 of these shares. The address for PGA and PGATC is 900 Salem Street, Smithfield, Rhode

Island 02917.

- (15) The address of this entity is One Financial Center, Boston, Massachusetts 02111. The information provided is based on a Schedule 13G filed by Loomis, Sayles & Co., L.P. on or about February 16, 2010. That filing indicates that Loomis, Sayles & Co., L.P. has sole voting power with respect to 1,724,226 of the shares shown and sole dispositive power as to all of the 2,069,583 shares shown. Loomis, Sayles & Co., L.P. disclaims any beneficial interest in any of the shares.
- (16) The address of this owner is 325 W. Colorado Boulevard, PO Box 70489, Pasadena, California 91117-7489. The information provided is based on a Schedule 13D filed by Paul P. Rusnak on or about April 17, 2009. That filing indicates that Paul P. Rusnak has sole voting power and sole dispositive power as to all of the 4,300,000 shares shown.

3

Table of Contents

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees for Election as Directors of Sonic

Nine directors currently serve on Sonic s Board of Directors. Under our Bylaws, the director nominees chosen to succeed those directors whose terms expire at an annual meeting of stockholders are elected by the stockholders for a one-year term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders. Any director appointed by the Board of Directors as a result of a newly created directorship or to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders. All directors terms expire and their successors will be elected at the Annual Meeting and each annual meeting of stockholders thereafter.

At the Annual Meeting, we intend to vote the proxies in the accompanying form for the election of O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David B. Smith, William I. Belk, William R. Brooks, Victor H. Doolan, Robert Heller, Robert L. Rewey and David C. Vorhoff to the Board of Directors. All of these individuals have consented to serve, if elected, for a one-year term until the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders or until his successor is elected and qualified, except as otherwise provided in our Charter and Bylaws. All of the nominees are presently directors of Sonic and are standing for re-election. Due to the passing of long-time director, William P. Benton, in February 2009, and the prior resignation of another director, two seats on the Board of Directors will be vacant following the Annual Meeting. Because the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the NCG Committee) of our Board of Directors has not selected a qualified candidate or candidates, the Board of Directors has elected not to fill these vacancies at the Annual Meeting. If for any reason any nominee named above is not a candidate when the election occurs, we intend to vote proxies in the accompanying form for the election of the other nominees named above and may vote them for any substitute nominee or, in lieu thereof, our Board of Directors may reduce the number of directors in accordance with our Charter and Bylaws.

Directors

O. Bruton Smith, 83, is the Founder of Sonic. He is also the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Sonic and has served as such since Sonic s organization in January 1997, and he currently is a director and executive officer of many of Sonic s subsidiaries. Mr. Smith has worked in the retail automobile industry since 1966. Mr. Smith is also the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, a director and controlling stockholder of Speedway Motorsports, Inc. (SMI). SMI is a public company whose shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE). Among other things, SMI owns and operates the following NASCAR racetracks: Atlanta Motor Speedway, Bristol Motor Speedway, Charlotte Motor Speedway, Infineon Raceway, Las Vegas Motor Speedway, New Hampshire Motor Speedway, Texas Motor Speedway and Kentucky Speedway. He is also an executive officer or a director of most of SMI s operating subsidiaries.

B. Scott Smith, 42, is the Co-Founder of Sonic. He is also President, Chief Strategic Officer and a director of Sonic. Prior to his appointment as President in March 2007, Mr. Smith served as Sonic s Vice Chairman and Chief Strategic Officer since October 2002. Mr. Smith was President and Chief Operating Officer of Sonic from April 1997 until October 2002. Mr. Smith has been a Sonic director since its organization in January 1997. Mr. Smith also serves as a director and executive officer of many of Sonic s subsidiaries. Mr. Smith, who is the son of O. Bruton Smith and the brother of David B. Smith, has been an executive officer of Town & Country Ford since 1993, and was a minority owner of both Town & Country Ford and Fort Mill Ford before Sonic s acquisition of those dealerships in 1997. Mr. Smith became the General Manager of Town & Country Ford in November 1992 where he remained until his appointment as President and Chief Operating Officer of Sonic in April 1997. Mr. Smith has over 20 years experience in the automobile dealership industry.

David B. Smith, 35, is our Executive Vice President and a director since October 2008 and has served in Sonic s organization since October 2000. Prior to being named a director and Executive Vice President of Sonic, Mr. Smith, also a son of O. Bruton Smith and the brother of B. Scott Smith, served as Sonic s Senior Vice President of Corporate Development since March 2007. Prior to that appointment, Mr. Smith served as Sonic s Vice President of Corporate Strategy from October 2005 to March 2007, and also served prior to that time as Dealer Operator of Sonic s Arnold Palmer Cadillac dealership from January 2004 to October 2005, Sonic s Fort Mill Ford dealership from January 2003 to January 2004 and Sonic s Town and Country Ford dealership from October 2000 to December 2002.

4

Table of Contents

William I. Belk, 60, has been a director of Sonic since March 1998. Mr. Belk is currently affiliated with Southeast Investments, NC, Inc., a FINRA member firm headquartered in Charlotte, NC. Mr. Belk s past professional experience includes serving as a North Carolina District Court Judge, serving as a partner in the investment banking firm Carolina Financial Group, Inc., and serving in the positions of Chairman and director for certain Belk stores, a retail department store chain. Mr. Belk has also previously served as a director of Monroe Hardware Co., Inc., a wholesaler of hardware materials. Mr. Belk is an attorney with an LLM Taxation.

William R. Brooks, 60, has been a director of Sonic since its organization in January 1997. Mr. Brooks also served as Sonic s initial Treasurer, Vice President and Secretary from January 1997 to April 1997. Since December 1994, Mr. Brooks has been the Vice President, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and a director of SMI, became Executive Vice President of SMI in February 2004 and became Vice Chairman in 2008. Mr. Brooks also serves as an executive officer and a director for various operating subsidiaries of SMI. Before the formation of SMI in December 1994, Mr. Brooks was the Vice President of Charlotte Motor Speedway (formerly Lowe s Motor Speedway) and a Vice President and director of Atlanta Motor Speedway.

Victor H. Doolan, 69, has been a director of Sonic since July 2005. Prior to being appointed as a director of Sonic, Mr. Doolan served for approximately three years as president of Volvo Cars North America until his retirement in March 2005. Prior to joining Volvo, Mr. Doolan served as the Executive Director of the Premier Automotive Group, the luxury division of Ford Motor Company from July 1999 to June 2002. Mr. Doolan also enjoyed a 23-year career with BMW, culminating with his service as President of BMW of North America from September 1993 to July 1999. Mr. Doolan has worked in the automotive industry for approximately 36 years. Mr. Doolan currently serves as a director of BlueFire Ethanol Fuels, Inc.

Robert Heller, 70, has been a director of Sonic since January 2000. Mr. Heller served as a director of FirstAmerica Automotive, Inc. from January 1999 until its acquisition by Sonic in December 1999. Mr. Heller was a director and Executive Vice President of Fair, Isaac and Company from 1994 until 2001, where he was responsible for strategic relationships and marketing. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Heller was President and Chief Executive Officer of Visa U.S.A. Mr. Heller is a former Governor of the Federal Reserve System, and has had an extensive career in banking, international finance, government service and education. Mr. Heller currently serves as director of Bank of Marin and Chairman of the Board of Marin General Hospital.

Robert L. Rewey, 71, has been a director of Sonic since December 2001. Mr. Rewey served as the Group Vice President of Ford Motor Company s North American Operations and Global Sales, Marketing and Customer Services from January 2000 until his retirement in April 2001. During his career with Ford, Mr. Rewey also served as President of Lincoln Mercury Division and then Ford Division and Group Vice President of North American sales, marketing and customer service. He has served on the board of directors for Volvo Cars and Mazda Corporation. In his prior positions, Mr. Rewey was responsible for initiating Ford s global brand, motorsports and marketing executive development strategies. He also implemented innovations in Six Sigma for sales and marketing and developed short term vehicle leasing. Mr. Rewey has served as a member of the Board of Visitors, Fuqua School, Duke University and the Dean s Council, Fisher School of Business, Ohio State University. Mr. Rewey currently serves as a director of SMI and of LoJack Corporation, a public company traded on the Nasdaq.

David C. Vorhoff, 54, has been a director of Sonic since April 2007. Mr. Vorhoff is a co-founding Partner of McColl Partners, LLC, and has served as a Managing Director of the firm since its founding in 2001. Headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, McColl Partners provides investment banking services to middle-market companies and financial institutions, and advises clients in three primary areas: mergers and acquisitions; raising private capital; and strategic advisory and valuation assignment. Prior to 2001, Mr. Vorhoff was a Managing Director of Banc of America Securities Health Care Group and of NationsBanc Montgomery Securities Health Care Group in New York, and of NationsBank Capital Markets mergers and acquisitions group in Charlotte. Mr. Vorhoff also served as a director of

Star Scientific, a public company traded on the Nasdaq, from October 2005 to September 2007.

Board and Committee Member Independence

Because Mr. Bruton Smith holds more than 50% of the voting power of Sonic s Common Stock, Sonic qualifies as a controlled company for purposes of the NYSE s listing standards and is, therefore, not required to comply with all of the requirements of those listing standards, including the requirement that a listed company have

5

Table of Contents

a majority of independent directors. Nevertheless, Sonic is committed to having its board membership in favor of independent directors as evidenced by Sonic s Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Our Board of Directors has determined that currently a majority of Sonic s directors, including Messrs. Belk, Doolan, Heller, Rewey and Vorhoff, and all of the members of Sonic s board committees, are independent within the meaning of the NYSE s current listing standards and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Board s determination was based on its assessment of each director s relationship with Sonic and the materiality of that relationship in light of all relevant facts and circumstances, not only from the standpoint of the director in his or her individual capacity, but also from the standpoint of the persons to which the director is related and organizations with which the director is affiliated. The Board of Directors applied Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence, which the Board adopted to assist it in evaluating the independence of each of its directors, and also considered the following transactions, relationships or arrangements. For Mr. Doolan, the Board of Directors considered his former affiliation with an automobile manufacturer. For Mr. Rewey, the Board of Directors considered his position as director of SMI and any transactions between Sonic and its subsidiaries and SMI and its subsidiaries. The Board of Directors also considered Mr. Rewey s position as a director of LoJack and any transactions between LoJack and Sonic and its subsidiaries and as a director of Dealer Tire, LLC and any relationships between Dealer Tire, LLC and Sonic and its subsidiaries or its executive officers. The Board of Directors determined that none of these transactions, relationships or arrangements impaired any of these individuals independence and that each of the independent directors met the Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence.

Board Meetings and Committees of the Board

Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings. Our Board of Directors held twelve meetings during 2009. Each of the directors attended 75% or more of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and committees of the Board on which the director served.

Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors. The non-management directors meet in executive session without members of management present prior to or after each board meeting. Mr. Belk, as lead independent director, presides over these executive sessions of non-management directors.

Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders. Pursuant to the Board of Directors policy, all directors are strongly encouraged to attend our annual stockholders meetings. All of our directors attended last year s annual stockholders meeting.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight. Sonic s principal executive officer, Mr. O. Bruton Smith, also serves as the chairman of Sonic s board. Because of Mr. O. Bruton Smith s extensive business experience (and in particular the automotive industry), his founding of Sonic and his significant equity ownership in Sonic, and in light of the majority of independent directors on Sonic s board, Sonic has determined it is appropriate that Mr. Smith serve in both roles. Sonic s lead independent director, Mr. William I. Belk, presides over executive sessions of non-management directors without the presence of management, and coordinates feedback to the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of the non-employee directors regarding business issues and Board management.

It is management is responsibility to manage risk and bring to the Board of Directors attention the most material risks to Sonic. Sonic is Board of Directors, including through Board Committees comprised solely of independent directors, regularly reviews various areas of significant risk to Sonic, and advises and directs management on the scope and implementation of policies, strategic initiatives and other actions designed to mitigate various types of risks. Specific examples of risks primarily overseen by the full Board of Directors include competition risks, industry risks, economic risks, liquidity risks, business operations risks and risks related to acquisitions and dispositions. Sonic is Audit Committee regularly reviews with management and the independent auditors significant financial risk

exposures and the processes management has implemented to monitor, control and report such exposures. Specific examples of risks primarily overseen by the Audit Committee include risks related to the preparation of Sonic s financial statements, disclosure controls and procedures, internal controls and procedures required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, accounting, financial and auditing risks, treasury risks (insurance, credit and debt), matters reported to the Audit Committee through the Internal Audit Department and through anonymous reporting procedures, risks posed by significant litigation matters, and compliance with applicable laws

6

Table of Contents

and regulations. Sonic s NCG Committee monitors compliance with Sonic s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, evaluates proposed affiliate transactions for compliance with Sonic s Charter and applicable contracts, and reviews compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to corporate governance. Sonic s Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates potential risks related to the attraction and retention of talent, and risks related to the design of compensation programs established by the Compensation Committee for Sonic s executive officers.

Committees of the Board of Directors and their Charters. The Board of Directors of Sonic has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the NCG Committee. Each of these committees acts pursuant to a written charter, which was adopted by the Board of Directors and most recently amended in February 2006 for the Audit Committee and NCG Committee and December 2007 for the Compensation Committee.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Heller (chairman), Belk, Doolan and Vorhoff. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Rewey (chairman), Belk, Doolan and Heller. The NCG Committee currently consists of Messrs. Vorhoff (chairman), Doolan and Rewey. Set forth below is a summary of the principal functions of each committee.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee appoints Sonic s independent accountants, reviews and approves the scope and results of audits performed by them and the Company s internal auditors, and reviews and approves the independent accountant s fees for audit and non-audit services. It also reviews certain corporate compliance matters and reviews the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company s internal accounting and financial controls, its significant accounting policies, and its financial statements and related disclosures. A more detailed description of the Audit Committee s duties and responsibilities can be found in its charter. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Heller, Belk, Doolan and Vorhoff qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the current rules of the SEC, is financially literate as that term is defined by the rules of the NYSE, has accounting or related financial management expertise and is independent under the rules and regulations of the SEC, including as defined in Rule 10A-3(b)(1), and the current listing standards of the NYSE. The Audit Committee met twelve times during 2009.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to Sonic s accounting policies, reporting policies, internal controls, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, and the integrity of Sonic s financial reports. The Audit Committee manages Sonic s relationship with Sonic s independent accountants, who are ultimately accountable to the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate as such term is defined by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and independent as such term is defined by the current rules of the NYSE and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of Sonic as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 with management and Ernst & Young LLP, Sonic s independent accountants. Management has the responsibility for preparing the financial statements, certifying that Sonic s financial statements are complete, accurate, and prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and implementing and maintaining internal controls and attesting to internal control over financial reporting. The independent accountants have the responsibility for performing an independent audit of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also discussed and reviewed with the independent accountants all matters required by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in SAS No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1 AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in Rule 3200T. With and without management present, the Audit Committee discussed and reviewed the results of the independent accountants audit of the financial statements.

During 2009, the Audit Committee met twelve times, including meetings to discuss the interim financial information contained in each quarterly earnings announcement for the quarters ended December 31, 2008,

7

Table of Contents

March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009 with the chief financial officer and the independent accountants prior to public release. In addition, the Audit Committee regularly monitored the progress of management and the independent accountants in assessing Sonic s compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including their findings, required resources and progress throughout the year.

In discharging its oversight responsibility as to the audit process, the Audit Committee received from the independent accountants the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant s independence. The Audit Committee met separately with management, internal auditors and the independent accountants to discuss, among other things, the adequacy and effectiveness of Sonic s internal accounting and financial controls, the internal audit function s organization, responsibilities, budget and staffing and reviewed with both the independent accountants and the internal auditors their audit plans, audit scope, and identification of audit risks.

Based on these reviews and discussions with management and the independent accountants, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board and the Board approved that Sonic s audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Committee also recommended the appointment of the independent accountants, Ernst & Young LLP, as Sonic s independent accountants for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the Board concurred in such recommendation.

Robert Heller, Chairman William I. Belk Victor H. Doolan David C. Vorhoff

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee administers certain compensation and employee benefit plans of Sonic and annually reviews and determines compensation of all executive officers of Sonic. The Compensation Committee administers the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan (the Stock Option Plan), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Amended and Restated Incentive Compensation Plan (the Incentive Compensation Plan), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the Stock Incentive Plan) and certain other employee stock plans, approves individual grants of equity-based compensation under the plans it administers and periodically reviews Sonic s executive compensation programs and takes action to modify programs that yield payments or benefits not closely related to Sonic s or its executives performance. The Compensation Committee also periodically reviews compensation of non-management directors and makes recommendations to the full Board, who determines the amount of such compensation. In formulating its recommendation to the full board, the Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of management. The Board of Directors has determined that all committee members are independent as defined in the current listing standards of the NYSE and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Compensation Committee met six times during 2009.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The NCG Committee is responsible for identifying individuals who are qualified to serve as directors of Sonic and for recommending qualified nominees to the Board of Directors for election or re-election as directors of Sonic. The NCG Committee will consider director nominees submitted by stockholders in accordance with the provisions of Sonic s Bylaws. The NCG Committee is also responsible for recommending committee members and chairpersons of committees of our Board of Directors and for establishing a system for, and monitoring the process of, performance reviews of the Board of Directors and its committees. Finally, the NCG Committee is responsible for developing and recommending to the Board of Directors a set of corporate governance principles applicable to Sonic and for monitoring compliance with Sonic s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Board of Directors has determined that all committee members are independent as defined in the current

listing standards of the NYSE and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The NCG Committee met four times during 2009.

The NCG Committee has a process of identifying and evaluating potential nominees for election as members of the Board of Directors, which includes considering recommendations by directors and management

8

Table of Contents

and may include engaging third party search firms to assist the NCG Committee in identifying and evaluating potential nominees. The NCG Committee has adopted a policy that stockholder nominees for director will be treated the same as nominees submitted by other directors or management.

As set forth in Sonic s Bylaws, Sonic s Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charter of Sonic s Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the NCG Committee considers potential nominees for directors from all sources, develops information from many sources concerning the potential nominee, and makes a decision whether to recommend any potential nominee for consideration for election as a member of the Board of Directors. Sonic s qualification standards for directors are set forth in its Corporate Governance Guidelines. These standards include the director s or nominee s:

independent judgment;

ability to qualify as an independent director (as defined under applicable SEC rules and regulations and NYSE listing standards);

ability to broadly represent the interests of all stockholders and other constituencies;

maturity and experience in policy making decisions;

time commitments, including service on other boards of directors;

business skills, background and relevant expertise that are useful to Sonic and its future needs;

willingness and ability to serve on committees of the board of directors; and

other factors relevant to the NCG Committee s determination.

As stated in Sonic s Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board of Directors should be composed ideally of persons having a diversity of skills, background and expertise that are useful to Sonic and its future and ongoing needs. With this goal in mind, when considering potential nominees for the Board of Directors, the NCG Committee considers the standards above and each potential nominee s individual qualifications in light of the composition and needs of the Board of Directors at such time and its anticipated composition and needs in the future, but a director nominee should not be chosen nor excluded based on race, color, gender, national origin or sexual orientation.

Based on this process, the NCG Committee identified and recommended that Messrs. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David B. Smith, William I. Belk, William R. Brooks, Victor H. Doolan, Robert Heller, Robert L. Rewey and David C. Vorhoff be nominated for re-election to the Board of Directors. In determining each nomination was appropriate and that each is qualified to serve on the Board of Directors, the NCG Committee considered the following:

Mr. William I. Belk: Mr. Belk has extensive consumer retail experience, serving in many positions of responsibility over a lengthy previous career in Belk Stores, a retail department store chain in the Southeastern U.S. controlled by the Belk family; has served on Sonic s Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee for almost 12 years; and has further served as Sonic s Lead Independent Director since August 2002.

Mr. William R. Brooks: Mr. Brooks has significant accounting and financial management expertise, having served as Chief Financial Officer of SMI, a publicly traded corporation, for approximately 16 years; Mr. Brooks has further served on Sonic s Board of Directors since the company s inception in 1997; and Mr. Brooks further serves as an

officer and director of SFC, which is the largest stockholder of Sonic.

Mr. Victor H. Doolan: Mr. Doolan has significant expertise in the automotive industry, and particularly in manufacturing, sales and marketing, serving previously as President of Volvo Cars North America, as Executive Director of the Premier Automotive Group (the luxury division of Ford Motor Company during his tenure), and a 23-year career with BMW culminating with his service as President of BMW of North America; and has served on Sonic s Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since July 2005.

Mr. Robert Heller: Mr. Heller has significant expertise in economics, business, banking and consumer finance, having served previously as a Governor of the Federal Reserve System, President and Chief Executive Officer of Visa U.S.A., and as a director and Executive Vice President of Fair, Isaac and Company; and has served on Sonic s Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee for more than 10 years.

9

Table of Contents

Mr. Robert L. Rewey: Mr. Rewey has significant expertise in the automotive industry, and particularly in manufacturing, sales and marketing; during a lengthy and distinguished career with Ford Motor Company, Mr. Rewey held numerous positions of authority, including Group Vice President of Ford Motor Company s North American Operations and Global Sales, Marketing and Customer Services, President of the Ford Division, President of the Lincoln Mercury Division; he is a director of SMI and LoJack Corporation; and has served on Sonic s Board of Directors, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for more than 8 years.

Mr. B. Scott Smith: Mr. Smith is the Co-Founder of Sonic; has served as an executive officer and director of Sonic since the company s inception in 1997; has over 20 years of experience working in the automobile dealership industry; is the son of Mr. O. Bruton Smith, the Chairman, CEO and controlling stockholder; and owns, directly and indirectly, a substantial percentage of Sonic s outstanding common stock that provides him with a significant level of voting power of Sonic.

Mr. David B. Smith: Mr. Smith has over 10 years of experience working in the automobile dealership industry; has served in several key roles as a manager and officer of Sonic over his almost 10 years of employment with the company; and is the son of Mr. O. Bruton Smith, the Chairman, CEO and controlling stockholder of Sonic.

Mr. O. Bruton Smith: Mr. Smith is the Founder of Sonic; has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sonic since the company s inception in 1997; owns, directly and indirectly, a significant percentage of Sonic s outstanding common stock that provides him with majority voting power of Sonic; and has extensive expertise in the automotive dealership industry, having worked in the industry since 1966.

Mr. David C. Vorhoff: Mr. Vorhoff has significant expertise in investment banking and mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Vorhoff is a co-founding Partner of McColl Partners, LLC, an investment banking firm headquartered in Charlotte, NC, and has served as a Managing Director of the firm since its founding in 2001; prior investment banking experience as a Managing Director of Banc of America Securities Health Care Group and of NationsBanc Montgomery Securities Health Care Group in NewYork, and of NationsBank Capital Markets mergers and acquisitions group in Charlotte; and has served on Sonic s Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since April 2007.

How to Communicate with the Board of Directors and Non-Management Directors. Stockholders or interested parties wishing to communicate with our Board of Directors, or any of our individual directors, including the lead independent director presiding over non-management executive sessions, may do so by sending a written communication addressed to the respective director(s), or in the case of communications to the entire Board of Directors addressed to the attention of Sonic s Corporate Secretary, in care of Sonic Automotive, Inc., 6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212. Stockholders or interested parties wishing to communicate with our non-management directors as a group may do so by sending a written communication to William I. Belk, as lead independent director, at this address. Any communication addressed to any director that is received at Sonic s principal office will be delivered or forwarded to the respective director(s) as soon as practicable. Any communication addressed to the Board of Directors, in general, will be promptly delivered or forwarded to each director.

Stockholder Nominations of Directors

Stockholders may recommend a director candidate for consideration by the NCG Committee by submitting the candidate s name in accordance with provisions of our Bylaws that require advance notice to Sonic and certain other information. In general, under the Bylaws, the written notice must be received by Sonic s Corporate Secretary not less than sixty (60) and not more than ninety (90) days prior to the annual meeting. The notice must contain, among other things, the nominee s name, date of birth, business and residential addresses and the information that would be required to be disclosed about the nominee pursuant to the SEC s rules in a proxy statement and, with respect to the

stockholder submitting the nomination and anyone acting in concert with that stockholder, the name and business addresses of the stockholder and the person acting in concert with the stockholder, a representation that the stockholder is a record holder of Voting Stock, a description of all arrangements, understandings or relationships between or among the stockholder, any person acting in concert with the stockholder and the nominee and the class and number of shares of Voting Stock beneficially owned by the stockholder and any person acting in concert with that stockholder. A stockholder who is interested in

10

Table of Contents

recommending a director candidate should request a copy of Sonic s Bylaw provisions by writing to Stephen K. Coss, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, at Sonic s principal executive offices.

SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the principal independent registered public accounting firm of Sonic for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. Ernst & Young LLP has acted in such capacity for Sonic since the Audit Committee approved the engagement of Ernst & Young LLP and the dismissal of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Sonic sprincipal independent registered public accounting firm on June 9, 2008.

Ernst & Young LLP s reports on the financial statements for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. However, in connection with Ernst & Young LLP s reports on the financial statements issued in connection with their audit for the year ended December 31, 2008, Ernst & Young LLP included an explanatory paragraph stating that at that time there was uncertainty related to Sonic s ability to remain in compliance with certain debt covenants through 2009 and that this condition s impact on Sonic s liquidity raised substantial doubt about Sonic s ability to continue as a going concern at the end of fiscal year 2008. During the two fiscal years and any subsequent period preceding the date of the dismissal of Deloitte & Touche LLP, there were no disagreements with Deloitte & Touche LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Deloitte & Touche LLP would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in connection with its report. During the same periods, there were no reportable events as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009 and during any subsequent period through the date of engagement, neither Sonic nor any person on its behalf consulted with Ernst & Young LLP regarding any matters or events set forth in Item 302(a)(2)(i) or (ii) of Regulation S-K.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the Audit Committee s selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. Nevertheless, the Board is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice and will reconsider whether to retain Ernst & Young LLP if the stockholders fail to ratify the Audit Committee s selection. In addition, even if the stockholders ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee may in its discretion appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that a change is in the best interests of Sonic.

Fees and Services

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, fees for services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP and Ernst & Young LLP were as follows:

Deloitte & Ernst & Deloitte & Ernst &
Touche LLP Young LLP Touche LLP Young LLP
2008 2008 2009 2009

Audit Fees (1)

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

Recurring Audit and Quarterly Reviews	\$ 71,560	\$ 1,228,500	\$	\$ 1,098,500
Registration Statements and Related				
Services				190,505
Audit-Related Fees (2)			264,660	621,746
Tax Fees (3)				
Tax Compliance Services				
Tax Planning and Advice		120,669	19,939	77,339
All Other Fees (4)		1,500		1,500

⁽¹⁾ Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered in connection with or related to the audit of our consolidated annual financial statements, for the review of interim consolidated financial statements in

Table of Contents

Form 10-Qs, for services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including registration statements, and for services related to compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Certain of Ernst & Young LLP s fees will be billed in 2010 as services are rendered in connection with the audit of Sonic s financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

- (2) Audit-related fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our audited or interim consolidated financial statements and are not reported under the heading Audit Fees.
- (3) Tax fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.
- (4) All other fees consist of fees billed in the respective year for products and services other than the services reported in other categories. Other fees consist of an on-line accounting literature service.

The Audit Committee considers the provision of these non-audit services to be compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP s independence.

Pre-approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all services provided by Sonic s independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approved all of the services provided in 2009. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee has delegated its pre-approval authority to its chairman. The chairman in turn reports to the Audit Committee at least quarterly on audit and non-audit services he pre-approved since his last report.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2009 Executive Officer Compensation Program

The policy of the Compensation Committee is to:

link executive compensation to Sonic s business strategy and performance to attract, retain and reward key executive officers;

provide performance incentives and equity-based compensation to align the long-term interests of executive officers with those of Sonic s stockholders; and

offer salaries, incentive performance pay opportunities and perquisites that are competitive in the marketplace.

Sonic s executive compensation program is comprised primarily of two components: annual cash compensation, paid in the form of annual salary and performance-based bonuses, and long-term compensation, paid principally in the form of performance-based restricted shares of, and options to purchase, Sonic s Class A Common Stock. This compensation program is designed to place emphasis on performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee typically reviews and adjusts base salaries and awards of cash bonuses and equity-based compensation in the first quarter of each year based on several factors, including management s recommendations approved by the

Chief Executive Officer. Management s recommendations are developed under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer through a collaborative process involving members of Sonic s senior management team. The President and the Chief Financial Officer presented management s written recommendations, reports and proposals on 2009 compensation to the Compensation Committee. These recommendations and proposals addressed topics such as base salaries, overall structure, target levels and payout levels for the annual cash bonus program under Sonic s Incentive Compensation Plan, equity awards to executive officers and a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, and management s rationale for these recommendations. The Compensation Committee considered these recommendations before determining compensation.

12

Table of Contents

Annual Cash Compensation

Annual cash compensation for Sonic s executive officers consists of a base salary and the potential for an annual performance-based cash bonus. The annual cash compensation paid by Sonic to its executive officers during 2009 was targeted to be competitive principally in relation to other automotive retailing companies (such as those included in the Peer Group Index in the performance graph appearing in our annual report to stockholders). While the Compensation Committee analyzes the competitiveness of annual cash compensation paid by Sonic to its executives in comparison to data from comparable companies, the Compensation Committee has not adopted any specific benchmarks for compensation of Sonic s executives in comparison to other companies. In December 2007, the Compensation Committee engaged the Hay Group, an independent consulting firm that specializes in executive compensation, to provide an analysis of the competitiveness of annual cash compensation paid by Sonic to its executives and to advise on 2008 executive officer compensation based on two peer groups selected by the Hay Group, one consisting of the auto retail sector and the second a broader group consisting of the auto retail sector plus several other companies identified by Hay Group as comparable to Sonic. In its report, Hay Group provided a comparison of financial and operating metrics; a comparison of base salary, total cash compensation and total direct compensation of each executive officer; a performance analysis of total shareholder return for one year and three years, sales growth, compounded EBIT and return on investment and a competitive analysis of the compensation structure of Sonic s Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer. The Compensation Committee referred to this report when determining executive compensation for 2008 and also in 2009. The Compensation Committee also considered the compensation of executives of some retail companies not included in the Peer Group Index, including Advance Auto Parts, Inc., AutoZone, Inc., Circuit City Stores, Inc., Dollar Tree Stores, Inc., Family Dollar Stores, Inc., Genuine Parts Co., Hertz Global Holding, Inc., IKON Office Solutions and Office Depot, Inc. In preparation for determining executive compensation for 2010, the Compensation Committee again engaged Hay Group in July 2009 to provide an analysis of the competitiveness of base salaries, total cash compensation (base salary plus cash bonus) and total direct compensation (total cash compensation plus the value of long-term incentives), as well as a review of perquisites and executive benefits, paid by Sonic to its executive officers in comparison to Sonic s publicly traded automotive retail peer companies (Asbury Automotive Group, Inc., AutoNation, Inc., CarMax, Inc., Group 1 Automotive, Inc., Lithia Motors, Inc., and Penske Automotive Group, Inc.) and certain other companies recommended by Hay Group as appropriate for comparison (Advance Auto Parts, Inc., AutoZone, Inc., Avis-Budget Group, Inc., Dollar Tree Stores, Inc., Family Dollar Stores, Inc., Genuine Parts Co., Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. and The Pep Boys Manny, Moe & Jack).

Annual Salary

The base salaries of Sonic s executive officers and adjustments to executive officers base salaries are generally based upon a subjective evaluation of the executive s performance by the Compensation Committee, executive compensation of comparable companies and management s recommendations. The Compensation Committee s evaluation is based upon non-quantitative factors such as the current responsibilities of each executive officer, the compensation of similarly situated executive officers of comparable companies, the performance of each executive officer during the prior calendar year (including subjective and objective evaluations of the performance of business units and functions under the particular executive s supervision), and competitive factors and retention purposes. In February 2009, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in the annual base salary of Mr. David Smith to \$605,000 retroactive to January 1, 2009, in consideration of his recent promotion by Sonic s Board of Directors to the position of Executive Vice President, his current responsibilities in that executive officer position, and the compensation of similarly situated officers of Sonic. The Compensation Committee did not adjust the base salaries of any other executive officer for fiscal 2009.

Performance-Based Cash Bonuses

During 2009, Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Smith participated in the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan (the Incentive Compensation Plan). Compensation under the Incentive Compensation Plan is intended to provide highly-qualified executives and other key employees with an incentive to devote their best efforts to Sonic and enhance the value of Sonic for the benefit of stockholders. After consideration of management s recommendations, in March 2009, the Compensation Committee established

13

Table of Contents

objective, performance-based goals and potential bonus award amounts for Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Smith for the performance period beginning January 1, 2009 and ending December 31, 2009, with annual cash bonuses (if any) to be paid as soon as administratively practicable following the Compensation Committee s determination of the extent to which the specified performance goals were achieved. The amount of potential performance-based cash bonus for these individuals was based on a percentage of their respective annual base salary at the time the performance criteria were established. The Compensation Committee established two categories of performance goals for each of the executive officers: defined earnings per share (EPS) levels and customer satisfaction performance for Sonic s dealerships in specified major brands.

EPS was selected as the primary performance goal with the objective to closely align the executive officers cash bonuses with profitability delivered to Sonic s stockholders during 2009. For purposes of the Incentive Compensation Plan performance goals in 2009, EPS was defined as (A) Sonic s net income determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), adjusted to fix the income tax rate on continuing and discontinued operations at 40.0% and to take into account the timing of the disposition of dealerships during 2009 such that the budget and actual performance of dealerships disposed of during 2009 shall be included in the calculation only for the period up to the date of such disposition, and excluding the effects of (i) any gain or loss recognized by Sonic on the disposition of dealerships (including asset or lease impairment charges related to a decision to sell a particular dealership), (ii) asset write-downs and impairment charges, (iii) any adjustments to the estimated goodwill impairment charge recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008, (iv) debt restructuring charges and costs and (v) the cumulative effect of any changes in GAAP during 2009, divided by (B) a diluted weighted average share count of 40,000,000 shares. Giving consideration to the severely negative economic conditions, credit market conditions and auto retail industry conditions prevailing in late 2008 and early 2009, and the difficulty in forecasting the timing and extent of a future recovery in the U.S. economy and vehicle sales, the Committee determined that for the 2009 cash bonus program, the EPS minimum, target and maximum objectives would be determined by reference to the actual volume of industry-wide new vehicles sold in the United States during the 2009 calendar year as reported by Autodata Corporation (the 2009 Industry Volume Level). The performance objectives established for defined EPS levels applicable to each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Smith were established by the Compensation Committee on March 30, 2009 as follows: for a 2009 Industry Volume Level of 9.0 million, the target EPS objective was \$0.00, the maximum EPS objective was \$0.02 and there would be no EPS bonus payable for less than the target EPS objective; for a 2009 Industry Volume Level of 10.0 million, the minimum EPS objective was \$0.06, the target EPS objective was \$0.07 and the maximum EPS objective was \$0.08; and for a 2009 Industry Volume Level of 11.0 million, the minimum EPS objective was \$0.32, the target EPS objective was \$0.40 and the maximum objective was \$0.44. The EPS-Based bonus payable was computed as a percentage of the respective executive officer s annual base salary, with no bonus paid for performance below the minimum objective for defined EPS (and at a 2009 Industry Volume Level of 9.0 million, no EPS bonus paid below the target EPS objective); a bonus of 35% of annual base salary for achieving the minimum objective for defined EPS; a bonus of 95% of annual base salary for achieving the target objective for defined EPS; and a maximum bonus of 130% of annual base salary for achieving the maximum objective for defined EPS. For performance achieved that fell between two defined objectives, the Compensation Committee determined that the bonus payable would equal a pro rata amount of the bonus level between the two applicable defined objectives. The bonus payable would not exceed 130% of annual base salary for performance achieved above the maximum objective. In addition, if Sonic s achieved defined EPS for 2009 was less than the break-even level of \$0.00, or a loss, no EPS bonus would be paid pursuant to the Incentive Compensation Plan, regardless of the 2009 Industry Volume Level. The target objective for defined EPS established by the Committee at specified 2009 Industry Volume Levels were selected to align closely with the mid-point of the range of management s internal forecast at such time for net income from continuing operations for 2009 at the specified 2009 Industry Volume Levels, giving effect to management s internal forecast at such time for anticipated loss from discontinued operations for 2009, other anticipated relevant information, and fixing the share count at management s forecast at such time for diluted weighted average share count for 2009. After establishing the target objectives for the specified 2009 Industry Volume Levels, the minimum objectives for defined EPS at the specified

2009 Industry Volume Levels were established at 80% of the target objective at such specified 2009 Industry Volume Level, and the maximum objectives for defined EPS at the specified 2009 Industry Volume Levels were established at 110% of the target

14

Table of Contents

objective at such specified 2009 Industry Volume Level. In establishing these bonus award amounts and performance goals, the Compensation Committee expressly reserved the right to reduce bonus awards in the event that the Compensation Committee determined that subjective or other factors warranted a reduction.

Customer satisfaction (CSI) performance in specified major brands was selected as the other performance goal in order to align the executive officers cash bonuses with two other important company goals: meeting the expectations of our dealership customers and meeting the expectations of our manufacturers. The CSI performance objective was based on the percentage of Sonic s dealerships in specified major brands, as reported by the applicable manufacturer, which met or exceeded their applicable manufacturer s objective CSI standard for approval of dealership acquisitions for the performance period ending as of December 31, 2009. Only dealerships owned by Sonic for the entire 2009 calendar year were to be included in determining achievement of the CSI performance goals. The performance objectives established for CSI performance applicable to each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, Dyke and David Smith were established by the Compensation Committee on March 30, 2009 as follows: no bonus paid for performance below the minimum objective of 65% of such dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; a bonus of 10% of annual base salary for achieving the minimum objective of 65% of such dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; a bonus of 20% of annual base salary for achieving the target objective of 70% of such dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance; and a maximum bonus of 30% of annual base salary for achieving the maximum objective of 75% of such dealerships achieving the requisite CSI performance. For performance achieved that fell between two defined objectives, the Compensation Committee determined that the bonus payable would equal a pro rata amount of the bonus level between the two applicable defined objectives. For performance achieved above the maximum objective, the bonus payable for the CSI component would be capped out at 30% of annual base salary. In establishing these bonus award amounts and performance goals, the Compensation Committee expressly reserved the right to reduce bonus awards in the event that the Compensation Committee determined that subjective or other factors warranted a reduction. In establishing the potential bonus awards for each executive officer, the Compensation Committee chose to more heavily weight the EPS component to more closely tie the executive s bonus to the profitability the stockholders receive. Consistent with the terms of the Incentive Compensation Plan in effect at such time, the Compensation Committee also capped the aggregate cash bonus payable to any executive officer at a \$3 million maximum amount.

On February 26, 2010, based on management s report regarding Sonic s performance against the performance-based goals, the Compensation Committee certified that the objective, performance-based criteria for the defined EPS component had been met at the maximum objective level because Sonic achieved a defined EPS of \$0.70 for a 2009 Industry Volume Level of 10.4 million and the CSI component had been met at the maximum objective level because 82.0% of the applicable dealerships had met or exceeded the requisite CSI performance. This resulted in bonuses for each criterion as follows: 130% of annual base salary for the defined EPS component and 30% of annual base salary for the CSI component. As a result, the Compensation Committee authorized award amounts for each of the executive officers for the specified levels of achievement within those performance categories in the following amounts: \$1,760,000 for Bruton Smith; \$1,520,000 for Scott Smith, \$1,120,000 for David Cosper, \$1,200,000 for Jeff Dyke and \$968,000 for David Smith. The Compensation Committee approved payment of these award amounts on or promptly after February 26, 2010.

Long-term Equity Compensation

The Compensation Committee believes that equity-based compensation is an effective means of aligning the long-term interests of Sonic s key officers and employees with those of its stockholders and provides incentives to attract and retain and to encourage equity ownership by key officers and employees providing service to Sonic and its subsidiaries upon whose efforts Sonic s success and future growth depends. Sonic s long-term compensation program is based principally upon awards of performance-based restricted shares of and options to purchase Sonic s Class A Common Stock under the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan (the Stock Option Plan) and the Sonic

Automotive, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the Stock Incentive Plan). Awards of stock options or restricted stock are based upon a subjective evaluation of the executive sperformance by the Compensation Committee and management s recommendations submitted to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee sevaluation considers a number of non-quantitative factors, including the responsibilities of the

15

Table of Contents

individual officers for and contribution to Sonic s operating results (in relation to other recipients of Sonic equity awards), and their expected future contributions, as well as prior awards to the particular executive officer.

In March 2009, giving consideration to the very difficult economic environment for the automobile retail industry, the difficulty in forecasting anticipated EPS during the 2009 calendar year for purposes of performance-based restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants, and the potential dilutive impact of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants consistent in dollar value to historical grants to Sonic s executive officers at the low market stock price for Sonic at the time (closing price of \$1.81 per share on March 30, 2009), the Compensation Committee determined it was in the best interests of Sonic s stockholders to grant stock options to executive officers of Sonic for the 2009 calendar year rather than performance-based restricted stock and restricted stock units. On March 30, 2009, the Compensation Committee awarded stock options to purchase shares of Class A common stock in the following amounts: Mr. O. Bruton Smith, 183,333; Mr. B. Scott Smith, 158,333; Mr. David Cosper, 116,667; Mr. Jeff Dyke, 125,000; and Mr. David Smith, 100,833. The stock options have an exercise price equal to the closing stock price on the date of grant (\$1.81) and vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee again evaluated the form of equity compensation grants to executive officers, and, after giving consideration to the more stabilized economic conditions, automotive industry conditions and market valuation of Sonic s stock, has determined to return to awards of performance-based restricted stock and restricted stock units to the executive officers during 2010.

For additional details concerning the options and restricted stock granted to and held by the executive officers during the 2009 calendar year, see Compensation of Executive Officers, Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2009, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2009 Year-End and Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2009.

Deferred Compensation Plan and Other Benefits

Executive officers of Sonic (including the Chief Executive Officer) were also eligible to participate in the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Plan) during the 2009 calendar year. For 2009, executive officers could elect to defer a portion of their annual cash compensation, up to 75% of base salary and up to 100% of eligible incentive bonus amounts. During 2009, Sonic made cash matching contributions of 20% of the amount deferred by the employee, not to exceed \$10,000 per year in matching contributions, but Sonic has since suspended such cash matching contributions effective January 1, 2010. Sonic may also make supplemental contributions for eligible employees to make up for the additional matching contributions the employees would have received under Sonic s 401(k) plan in the absence of legal limitations on the amount of compensation that could be considered under the 401(k) plan (e.g., \$245,000 for 2009). Sonic s contributions generally vest based on an employee s full years of Deferred Plan participation with 20% vesting for each year so that an employee is fully vested after five years of participation. Participation in the Deferred Plan is offered annually to a select group of our management and highly compensated employees. Contributions by participants in the Deferred Plan, including the executive officers, are credited with a rate of return (positive or negative) based on deemed investments selected by a participant from among several different investment funds offered by the third-party administrator of the Deferred Plan, with such deemed earnings determined by the actual market performance of the investment funds selected by the participant. Messrs. Scott Smith and Cosper were participants in the Deferred Plan during 2009, and Mr. Cosper received Company contributions, the amount of which is reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the particular Compensation of Executive Officers Summary Compensation Table. Please see the discussion executive officer in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2009 for further information about the Deferred Plan. under

Each of the executive officers of Sonic was also afforded the use of company demonstrator vehicles for personal use during 2009. Personal use of company vehicles is a common competitive perquisite afforded to executives in the automobile dealership industry with both publicly-held and privately-owned dealership companies. During 2009, each of Messrs. Bruton Smith, Scott Smith, Cosper, David Smith and Dyke was afforded the use of Company vehicles for

personal use, the imputed value of which was \$87,378 for Mr. Bruton Smith and \$35,427 for Mr. Scott Smith, and the imputed value for such other executive officers is reflected in the All Other Compensation column for the particular executive officer in Compensation of Executive Officers Summary Compensation Table.

16

Table of Contents

Executive officers of Sonic (including the Chief Executive Officer) were also eligible in 2009 to participate in various benefit plans on similar terms to those provided to other employees of Sonic. These benefit plans provided to employees of Sonic, including the executive officers, are intended to provide a safety net of coverage against various events, such as death, disability and retirement.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

On December 7, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved and adopted the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP) to be effective as of January 1, 2010. In connection with the adoption of the SERP, the Compensation Committee authorized the establishment of an irrevocable grantor trust known as a rabbi trust for the purpose of accumulating assets from which SERP liabilities may be paid.

The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that will be unfunded for federal tax purposes. The SERP is intended to be a top hat plan available only to a select group of management or highly compensated employees. The SERP is subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). The purpose of the SERP is to attract and retain key employees by providing a retirement benefit in addition to the benefits provided by Sonic s tax-qualified and other nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee designates the employees who will become SERP participants and may require that such employees execute a participation agreement as a condition of participation. On December 7, 2009, the Compensation Committee designated David P. Cosper, Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, and Jeff Dyke, Executive Vice President of Operations, as Tier 1 participants in the SERP effective as of January 1, 2010, but in each case subject to his execution of a participation agreement. The Compensation Committee also administers the SERP and has the discretionary authority to make, amend, interpret and enforce appropriate rules for the administration of the SERP and to utilize its discretion to decide all questions and interpretations that may arise in connection with the SERP.

Upon a participant s normal retirement, the SERP generally provides an accrued benefit in the form of an annual payment equal to a specified percentage of the participant s final average salary for a period of 15 years. Final average salary generally means the average of the participant s highest three annual base salaries during the last five plan years prior to the participant s separation from service with Sonic. A participant is generally eligible for the normal retirement benefit upon retirement after reaching age 65 or age 55 with at least 10 years of employment with Sonic. When the Compensation Committee designates an employee as a SERP participant, it also designates the employee as a Tier 1 participant, Tier 2 participant or Tier 3 participant. The annual payments to a Tier 1 participant are 50% of final average salary. The annual payments to a Tier 3 participant are 35% of final average salary.

Participants are subject to a vesting schedule for their SERP benefits based on their Years of Plan Service (i.e., a 365-day period of employment beginning on the effective date of participation and each anniversary thereof). Unless otherwise specified by the Compensation Committee, participants vest in their SERP benefits as follows:

Years of Plan Service	Percent Vested
Less than 1	0%
At least 1 but less than 2	20%
At least 2 but less than 3	40%
At least 3 but less than 4	60%
At least 4 but less than 5	80%
5 or more	100%

Table of Contents

However, Mr. Cosper will vest in his SERP benefits as follows:

Years of Plan Service	Percent Vested
Less than 2	0%
At least 2 but less than 4	20%
At least 4 but less than 6	50%
At least 6 but less than 8	75%
8 or more	100%

Participants also become 100% vested upon normal retirement, death while employed with Sonic, disability (as defined in the SERP) while employed with Sonic or a change in control (as defined in the SERP) while employed with Sonic.

If a participant leaves Sonic before qualifying for normal retirement, the participant s accrued benefit generally is reduced for early retirement (in addition to application of the vesting schedule). The benefit is reduced by 10% for each year the participant s payment commencement date precedes the earliest date the participant would have been eligible for normal retirement. The reduction for early retirement does not apply to Mr. Cosper.

Generally, payment of benefits begins the first of the month following the month in which normal retirement or early retirement occurs. If the participant is a specified employee under Section 409A of the Code, the first payment following normal or early retirement generally must be postponed for six months following termination. Subsequent annual payments will be paid on the anniversary of the date the initial installment otherwise would have been made.

If a participant terminates employment with Sonic within 2 years after a change in control, the participant will receive his normal retirement benefit or reduced early retirement benefit, as applicable, in a lump sum payment based on the present value of his unpaid, vested accrued benefit.

If a participant dies during the 15-year payment period, payments continue to the participant s surviving spouse (if any). If a participant dies before terminating employment with Sonic, the lump sum value of his accrued benefit (calculated as if the date of death were the date of normal retirement) will be paid to his designated beneficiary. If a participant becomes disabled while employed with Sonic, the participant will be entitled to a regular SERP benefit payable for 15 years (calculated as if the date of disability were the date of normal retirement).

If a participant is terminated for cause or it is discovered after termination that the participant could have been terminated for certain reasons constituting cause, the participant will forfeit all benefits under the SERP, including any remaining unpaid benefits if already in pay status. If already in pay status, the SERP also provides that the participant must repay Sonic all benefit amounts previously received. Under the SERP, reasons constituting cause include material breach of the participant s obligations in any employment agreement which is not timely remedied, the participant s breach of any applicable restrictive covenants, conviction of a felony, actions involving moral turpitude, willful failure to comply with reasonable and lawful directives of Sonic s Board of Directors or the participant s superiors, chronic absenteeism, willful or material misconduct, illegal use of controlled substances, and if applicable, the final and non-appealable determination by a court of competent jurisdiction that the participant willfully and knowingly filed a fraudulent certification under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

In addition, the SERP provides that benefits are forfeited if a participant fails to comply with certain restrictive covenants related to Sonic and its business, including any remaining unpaid benefits if already in pay status. If already in pay status, the SERP also provides that the participant must repay Sonic all benefit amounts previously received.

Subject to limited exceptions, these restrictive covenants generally prohibit (i) disclosing or using in any unauthorized manner any of Sonic s confidential or proprietary information, (ii) employing or soliciting employees of Sonic, its affiliates or subsidiaries, (iii) interfering with Sonic s relationships with its vendors, (iv) competing with Sonic within any Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or county in which Sonic or any of its subsidiaries has a place of business, and (v) disparaging Sonic, its subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, business or products. These restrictive covenants generally apply while a participant in the SERP, and if later, during the two-year period following separation from service with Sonic (except that the confidentiality and non-disparagement restrictions do not expire).

18

Table of Contents

If a rabbi trust exists at the time of a change in control of Sonic, the SERP requires that Sonic contribute, at the time of the change in control and then on each anniversary thereof, cash or liquid securities sufficient so that the value of assets in the rabbi trust at least equals the total value of all accrued benefits under the SERP.

The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to amend or terminate the SERP at any time (provided that an amendment generally cannot reduce a participant s vested accrued benefits as of the date of the amendment). The SERP can be terminated and liquidated as permitted by Section 409A of the Code.

Federal Income Tax Considerations

As noted above, the compensation paid to Sonic s executive officers is based primarily on the performance of Sonic. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) generally limits Sonic s annual federal income tax deduction for compensation paid to certain covered employees (generally, the Chief Executive Officer and certain other executive officers subject to Section 162(m) of the Code) to \$1 million with respect to each such executive officer, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based. Executive officer compensation attributable to the exercise of stock options granted under the Stock Option Plan and Stock Incentive Plan, awards of performance-based restricted stock or performance-based restricted stock units pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan and annual cash bonuses paid under the Incentive Compensation Plan generally are intended to qualify as fully deductible performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee intends to continue to manage Sonic s executive compensation program in a manner that will preserve federal income tax deductions. However, the Compensation Committee also must approach executive compensation in a manner which will attract, motivate and retain key personnel whose performance increases the value of Sonic. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee may from time to time exercise its discretion to award compensation that may not be deductible under Section 162(m) of the Code when in its judgment such award would be in the interests of Sonic.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of SEC Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in Sonic s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and this Proxy Statement.

Robert L. Rewey, Chairman William I. Belk Victor H. Doolan Robert Heller

19

Compensation of Executive Officers

The following table sets forth compensation paid by or on behalf of Sonic to the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of Sonic and to Sonic s other named executive officers for services rendered during Sonic s fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009:

Summary Compensation Table

ncipal Position(s)	Year		Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)		Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	I	Plan C	Deferred ompensat	l ion 2	All Other mpensation (\$)
th	2009	\$ 1	1,100,000	\$	\$		\$ 181,500	\$	1,760,000	\$	\$, , ,
ef Executive	2008	1	1,100,000			855,450(2)			330,000			93,042
rector utive officer)	2007]	1,100,000			580,800(3)	393,300		1,117,935			108,811
	2009	\$	950,000	\$	\$		\$ 156,750	\$	1,520,000	\$	\$, , ,
f Strategic	2008		950,000			684,360(2)			285,000			43,034
rector n, Chief Strategic rector through 7)	2007		950,000			464,640(3)	314,640		965,489			101,136
er	2009	\$	700,000	\$	\$		\$ 115,500	\$	1,120,000	\$	\$	36,573(6)
and Chief	2008	·	700,000	·	·	427,725(2)	, -,	·	210,000	,		36,286
er e President, Chief er and Treasurer 13, 2007)	2007		600,000			1,258,400(3)	174,800		609,783			32,180
n President	2009	\$	605,000	\$	\$		\$ 99,825	\$	968,000	\$	\$	25,337(7)
	2008		400,000			154,209(2)			120,000			20,274
and Director												
President of ginning October	2009 2008	\$	750,000 656,250	\$ 225,000	\$	285,150(2)	\$ 123,750	\$	1,200,000	\$	\$	13,500(8) 17,820

- (1) Both Stock and Option Awards are valued based on the grant date fair value as calculated under the provisions of Stock Compensation, in the Accounting Standards Codification. The Stock and Option Awards vest in various increments over a three-year period. See Note 10 to Sonic s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2009 for the valuation assumptions used in determining the fair value of the awards.
- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of the maximum grant, which was believed at the time of grant to be probable. These Stock Awards were forfeited in their entirety based on final certification of performance targets for 2008.
- (3) Represents the grant date fair value of the maximum grant, which was believed at the time of grant to be probable. These Stock Awards were reduced based on final certification of performance targets for 2007 to the following amounts: O. Bruton Smith to \$563,376; B. Scott Smith to \$450,701; and David P. Cosper to \$1,249,688.
- (4) The perquisites for O. Bruton Smith include the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$87,378. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (5) The perquisites for B. Scott Smith include the imputed value of Company-provided demo vehicles. The imputed value of the demo vehicles was \$35,427. The value assigned to the demo vehicles was calculated under rules established by the Internal Revenue Service. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.

20

Table of Contents

- (6) The perquisites for David P. Cosper include the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company and Company matching and supplemental contributions under the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (7) The perquisites for David B. Smith include the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.
- (8) The perquisites for Jeff Dyke include the imputed value of demo vehicles provided by the Company. The incremental cost of demo vehicles is not calculable because those vehicles are provided to the executive by our dealership subsidiaries.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2009

The following table sets forth information regarding all grants of awards made to the named executive officers during 2009 under any plan.

A 11

					Estimated Future	Stock Award	er All Other k Option ds:Awards:	Exercise or Base	Closing	G Dat
			ed Future Payo		Payouts Under Equity Incentive	of Share	Number of es		Market Price	Val
		Non-Equit	ty Incentive Pla	ın Awards(1)	Plan Award	ds of Stocl		Option	on	Stoc
	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	MaximumT (\$)	hreshbh dyfet xi (#) (#) (‡	imum		Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date	O _I Aw
n Smith	3/30/2009(2)	\$ 495,000	\$ 1,265,000	\$ 1,760,000			102 222	ф 1 O1	ф 1 O1	¢ 101
Smith	3/30/2009(3) 3/30/2009(2)	\$ 427,500	\$ 1,092,500	\$ 1,520,000			183,333	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.81	\$ 181
Simui	3/30/2009(2)	Ψ ¬21,300	ψ 1,072,300	ψ 1,520,000			158,333	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.81	\$ 156
Cosper	3/30/2009(2)	\$ 315,000	\$ 805,000	\$ 1,120,000			,	·	•	·
	3/30/2009(3)						116,667	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.81	\$ 115
. Smith	3/30/2009(2) 3/30/2009(3)	\$ 272,250	\$ 695,750	\$ 968,000			100,833	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.81	\$ 99
e	3/30/2009(2) 3/30/2009(3)	\$ 337,500	\$ 862,500	\$ 1,200,000			125,000	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.81	\$ 123

- (1) Amounts earned for 2009 are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Grants issued pursuant to the Incentive Compensation Plan.

- (3) Grants issued pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (4) Option Awards are valued based on the grant date fair value as calculated under the provisions of Stock Compensation, in the Accounting Standards Codification. The Option Awards vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period. See Note 10 to Sonic s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2009 for the valuation assumptions used in determining the fair value of the awards.

For a description of additional terms of the compensation and grants disclosed in the tables above, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Employment Agreements

Sonic has an employment agreement (the Employment Agreement) with Mr. Cosper. Under the Employment Agreement, Sonic agreed to employ Mr. Cosper through March 2, 2009, subject to automatic extension for successive one-year periods. The Employment Agreement sets forth the basic terms of employment for Mr. Cosper, including provisions for annual base salary, annual performance-based cash bonus and eligibility to participate in Sonic s equity compensation plans and benefit programs.

The Employment Agreement contains restrictive covenants that prohibit, during periods defined in the Employment Agreement and subject to certain limited exceptions, Mr. Cosper from (i) competing with Sonic, (ii) employing or soliciting Sonic s employees, (iii) interfering with Sonic s relationships with its customers or vendors and (iv) disclosing or using in an unauthorized manner any of Sonic s confidential or proprietary

21

Table of Contents

information. Sonic will not be obligated to pay Mr. Cosper any applicable severance if he violates the non-competition provisions of his Employment Agreement. These restrictive covenants generally apply for a period of two years following the later of the expiration or termination of employment under the Employment Agreement. The restrictive covenants limit Mr. Cosper s competitive activities within any Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or county in which Sonic has a place of business on the date of expiration or termination of the Employment Agreement.

For a description of additional terms of the Employment Agreements, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2009 Year-End

The following table sets forth information concerning outstanding equity awards for each executive officer as of December 31, 2009:

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Option Awards(1) Stock Awards

Award	Securities Underlying	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised	Option	Option	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have
Grant	(#)	(#)	Unearned	Exercise	Expiration	Not Vested	Not Vested	Not Vested
Date	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Options (#)	Price (\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)(2)	(#)
10/5/2000	100,000			\$ 7.94	10/5/2010			
0/11/2001	100,000			\$ 16.51	10/11/2011			
0/23/2002	100,000			\$ 16.20	10/23/2012			
2/19/2004	100,000			\$ 23.78	2/19/2014			
4/21/2005	100,000			\$ 19.23	4/21/2015			
2/9/2006	90,000			\$ 23.94	2/9/2016			
3/19/2007						29,100(3)	\$ 302,349	
3/19/2007	45,000			\$ 28.04	3/19/2017			
3/30/2009		183,333		\$ 1.81	3/30/2019			
4/28/2000	50,000			\$ 11.19	4/28/2010			
10/5/2000	50,000			\$ 7.94	10/5/2010			
0/11/2001	50,000			\$ 16.51	10/11/2011			
0/23/2002	50,000			\$ 16.20	10/23/2012			
2/19/2004	50,000			\$ 23.78	2/19/2014			
4/21/2005	75,000			\$ 19.23	4/21/2015			
2/9/2006	72,000			\$ 23.94	2/9/2016			

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

				23,280(3)	\$ 241,879
36,000		\$ 28.04	3/19/2017		
	158,333	\$ 1.81	3/30/2019		
				14,550(3)	\$ 151,175
				50,000(3)	\$ 519,500
20,000		\$ 28.04	3/19/2017		
	116,667	\$ 1.81	3/30/2019		
3.000		\$ 16.20	10/23/2012		
•					
•		· ·			
		· ·			
•		· ·			
•		· ·			
,		· ·			
,		,		5,246(3)	\$ 54,506
	100,833	\$ 1.81	3/30/2019	, , ,	
20,000	,	\$ 21.23	10/19/2015		
33,500		\$ 26.42	4/19/2016		
,				35,000(4)	\$ 363,650
10,050		\$ 30.07	4/17/2017	, , ,	
				7,321(3)	\$ 76,065
	125,000	\$ 1.81	3/30/2019	,	
		22			
	20,000 3,000 2,000 2,000 10,000 8,000 20,000 14,405 7,203 20,000 33,500	20,000 116,667 3,000 2,000 2,000 10,000 8,000 20,000 14,405 7,203 100,833 20,000 33,500	20,000 116,667 \$ 28.04 \$ 1.81 3,000 2,000 \$ 16.20 2,000 \$ 15.90 2,000 \$ 26.36 10,000 \$ 23.42 8,000 \$ 19.23 20,000 \$ 21.23 14,405 7,203 \$ 30.07 100,833 \$ 1.81 20,000 \$ 32.23 33,500 \$ 1.81	20,000 \$ 28.04 3/19/2017 3,000 \$ 16.20 10/23/2012 2,000 \$ 15.90 4/21/2013 2,000 \$ 23.42 4/21/2014 8,000 \$ 21.23 10/19/2015 14,405 \$ 26.42 4/19/2016 7,203 \$ 1.81 3/30/2019 20,000 \$ 21.23 10/19/2015 \$ 26.42 4/19/2016 \$ 30.07 4/18/2017 100,833 \$ 1.81 3/30/2019 20,000 \$ 21.23 10/19/2015 33,500 \$ 26.42 4/19/2016 10,050 \$ 30.07 4/17/2017 125,000 \$ 1.81 3/30/2019	\$ 28.04

- (1) Options granted on October 5, 2000 and October 11, 2001 cliff vest six months from the date of grant. Options granted on April 28, 2000, October 23, 2002 (for Mr. David Smith), April 21, 2003, October 23, 2003, April 21, 2004, April 21, 2005, October 19, 2005 and March 30, 2009 vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on October 23, 2002 (for Messrs. O. Bruton and B. Scott Smith) and April 18, 2007 cliff vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on February 19, 2004 vest 1/3 on the first anniversary of the date of grant and the remaining 2/3 on December 22, 2005. Options granted on February 9, 2006 and April 19, 2006 vest in two equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted on March 19, 2007 vest on March 19, 2008.
- (2) Market value based on the December 31, 2009 closing market price of our Class A Common Stock of \$10.39 per share.
- (3) The unvested equity incentive plan award shares or units granted to Mr. O. Bruton Smith, Mr. B. Scott Smith and Mr. Cosper cliff vest on March 19, 2010. The unvested equity incentive plan award shares granted to Mr. David Smith and Mr. Jeff Dyke cliff vest on April 18, 2010.
- (4) The unvested equity incentive plan award shares or units granted to Mr. Jeff Dyke cliff vest on March 13, 2010.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2009

The following table sets forth information concerning each exercise of stock options and each vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units during 2009 for each of the named executive officers on an aggregated basis.

	Option Awards		Stock Awards				
	Number of Shares Acquired on	Value Realized	Number of Shares Acquired on	Value Realized			
	Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)	Vesting (#)	on Vesting (\$)(1)			
O. Bruton Smith B. Scott Smith David P. Cosper David B. Smith Jeff Dyke		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	13,650 10,920 31,850 2,461 5,723	\$ 27,300 \$ 21,840 \$ 45,546 \$ 7,728 \$ 17,970			

(1) Represents aggregate dollar amount realized upon vesting based on the closing price of the Class A Common Stock on the date of vesting of restricted stock units or restricted stock as follows: for Messrs. O. Bruton Smith and B. Scott Smith on March 23, 2009 at a closing price of \$2.00 per share, Mr. Cosper on February 28, 2009 at a closing price of \$1.43 per share and Messrs. David Smith and Jeff Dyke on April 19, 2009 at a closing price of \$3.14 per share.

Pension Benefits

Under the SERP, whose terms are described above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan , no executive officers were entitled to participate as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

23

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2009

The following table sets forth information concerning contributions and other activity for each named executive officer under the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Plan) during 2009:

	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)(2)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
O. Bruton Smith	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
B. Scott Smith	\$	\$	\$ (16,706)	\$ (178,850)	\$
David P. Cosper	\$ 87,500	\$ 13,150(3)	\$ (4,604)	\$ (214,342)	\$ 73,721(4)
David B. Smith	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Jeff Dyke	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

- (1) Executive contributions and employer contributions are included in the salary amounts reported on the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Earnings on plan balances are not included in the salary amounts reported on the Summary Compensation Table.
- (3) Amount includes Company matching contributions of \$10,000 made during 2009 and Company supplemental contributions of \$3,150 made in 2009 but attributable to matching contributions Mr. Cosper would have received under the Company s 401(k) Plan for 2008 in the absence of legal limitations on compensation considered for 401(k) Plan purposes.
- (4) Mr. Cosper has elected to receive his account balance under the Deferred Plan in three substantially equal annual installments following his separation from service with the Company.

The Company offers its executive officers and other key employees the opportunity to participate in the Deferred Plan. We believe the Deferred Plan is an important tool for recruiting key employees and assists in employee retention. The Deferred Plan was amended and restated in 2008 in connection with requirements under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 409A). Beginning in 2009, our non-employee directors also became eligible to participate in the Deferred Plan. The following is a brief description of certain material terms of the Deferred Plan.

Under the Deferred Plan, executive officers can elect to defer receipt of salary and certain bonus payments. For 2009, eligible employees could elect to defer up to 75% of base salary and up to 100% of eligible incentive bonus amounts, without a maximum dollar limit on the amount of compensation that could be deferred. Beginning in 2009, non-employee directors also can elect to defer up to 100% of their annual retainer and meeting fees payable for their service on our Board of Directors and the applicable committees of our Board of Directors. Deferral elections generally are required to be made prior to the beginning of each year in accordance with Section 409A. Participants are always 100% vested in their own deferrals.

During 2009, the Company made matching contributions of 20% of the amount deferred by each employee, not to exceed \$10,000 per year in matching contributions, but Sonic has since suspended such cash matching contributions effective January 1, 2010. The Company may also contribute supplemental amounts for eligible employees to make up for the additional matching contributions the employees would have received under the Company s 401(k) plan in

the absence of legal limitations on the amount of compensation that could be considered under the 401(k) plan (e.g., \$245,000 for 2009). The matching and supplemental contributions (and related deemed earnings) generally vest based on an employee s full years of participation under the Deferred Plan, with 20% vesting after one full year of participation and increasing an additional 20% each year thereafter with 100% vesting after five full years of participation. Participants also become 100% vested in the event of qualifying retirement, disability, death, a change in control of the Company or termination of the Deferred Plan. The Company also has the discretion to make additional contributions to the Deferred Plan and to set the vesting schedule for any such amounts. Non-employee directors do not receive Company contributions.

24

Table of Contents

Contributions by participants in the Deferred Plan, including the executive officers and non-employee directors, are credited with a rate of return (positive or negative) based on deemed investments selected by a participant from among a number of investment funds, with such deemed earnings determined by the actual market performance of the investment funds selected by the participant. Participants generally may change their deemed investment selections on a daily basis. The Company is not required to make actual investments that correspond to the investment crediting options selected by participants. The following table lists each deemed investment choice available under the Deferred Plan and its annual return for the calendar year ending December 31, 2009:

Fund	2009 Annual Rate of Return
NVIT Money Market 1	0.04%
PIMCO VIT Real Return Admin	18.35%
PIMCO VIT Total Return Admin	14.03%
AllianceBernstein VPS International Value A	34.68%
Dreyfus Stock Index Initial	26.33%
Oppenheimer VA Capital Appreciation NS	44.52%
Nationwide NVIT Mid Cap Index 1	36.76%
Royce Capital Small Cap	35.20%
Ivy VIP Small Cap Growth	34.72%
Oppenheimer VA Global Securities NS	39.77%
T. Rowe Price Equity Income II	25.25%

At the time a participant makes an election to defer compensation under the Deferred Plan, the participant also must select the time and form of distribution for such deferred amount. A participant can elect to defer compensation for a specified period of time or until retirement or other termination of service. If a participant defers compensation to a specified future date, he or she can elect payment in a lump sum or in two to five annual installments. Compensation that is deferred until retirement or other termination of service can be paid in a lump sum or in up to ten annual installments. Account balances less than a designated threshold may be distributed in a lump sum. For certain specified employees subject to special rules under Section 409A (including the named executive officers), deferred compensation payments that are triggered by termination of service must be delayed for at least six months following termination. Participants will automatically receive their account balances in a lump sum distribution if service is terminated within two years after a change of control. Hardship withdrawals also may be available in the event of an unforeseen financial emergency beyond the participant s control. For compensation that was deferred and vested before 2005 (and, therefore, not subject to Section 409A), a participant can request a withdrawal at any time (for a minimum of \$5,000), subject to forfeiture of 10% of the withdrawal amount and suspension from participation in the Deferred Plan for the next calendar year. Participants can change their prior distribution elections only in limited circumstances, and in the case of amounts subject to Section 409A, only in accordance with the restrictions under Section 409A (including an opportunity provided in 2008 to change prior distribution elections pursuant to transition rules under Section 409A). All distributions are made in cash.

Amounts deferred under the Deferred Plan and related earnings are held in a rabbi trust that has been established by the Company to hold assets separate from other Company assets for the purpose of paying future benefits. However, in order to maintain the tax-deferred status of the Deferred Plan, the assets of the rabbi trust are available to general creditors of the Company in the event of the Company s insolvency. Participants do not have an ownership interest in rabbi trust assets or in any other specific assets of the Company with respect to their Deferred Plan benefits. Participants are unsecured general creditors of the Company with respect to payment of their benefits under the

Deferred Compensation Plan.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control

The Employment Agreement provides for certain benefits upon termination of Mr. Cosper s employment. In each of these instances, any of Sonic s obligations to make cash payments to Mr. Cosper following the

25

Table of Contents

termination of his employment is contingent upon him complying with the restrictive covenants contained in his Employment Agreement. These restrictive covenants prohibit, during periods defined in the Employment Agreement and subject to certain limited exceptions, (i) competing with Sonic, (ii) employing or soliciting Sonic s employees, (iii) interfering with Sonic s relationships with its customers or vendors and (iv) disclosing or using in an unauthorized manner any of Sonic s confidential or proprietary information. These restrictive covenants generally limit Mr. Cosper s competitive activities within any Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or county in which Sonic has a place of business on the date of expiration or termination of the Employment Agreement and apply for a period of two years following the later of the expiration or termination of employment under the Employment Agreement.

In the event Mr. Cosper s employment is terminated by Sonic for cause, Sonic is only obligated to pay him his salary and provide him with fringe benefits through the date of termination.

The Employment Agreement also provides for severance arrangements in the event of a termination of Mr. Cosper s employment by Sonic without cause or if Sonic elects to not renew Mr. Cosper s employment. If Mr. Cosper s employment were terminated without cause, the Employment Agreement provides that he would be entitled to receive his annual salary as of the date of termination for one year and an amount equal to the average annual bonuses he previously received and that shares of restricted stock granted pursuant to the Employment Agreement vest upon the termination. Under the terms of Mr. Cosper s employment agreement, cash amounts payable to Mr. Cosper would be paid: (i) one-half on the last business day of the seventh full month following the date of termination and (ii) the remainder in six equal monthly installments commencing at the end of the eighth full month following the date of termination. Finally, the Employment Agreement provides that Mr. Cosper s options to purchase Sonic s Class A Common Stock, if any, that immediately vest upon termination of the Employment Agreement are subject to forfeiture and Sonic s obligation to provide fringe benefits under the Employment Agreement terminates, if he violates the restrictive covenants in the Employment Agreement.

In the event Mr. Cosper s employment is terminated for any other reason not addressed above, he will be entitled to his salary and fringe benefits through the date of termination. For a description of additional terms of the Employment Agreements, see Employment Agreements.

Payments upon Termination

Based on the foregoing and the terms of the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the estimated present value of the payments the named executive officers could have received upon termination without cause as of December 31, 2009 are as follows.

	Salary and Bonus	Stock Awards(1)(2)		
O. Bruton Smith	\$	\$ 302,349		
B. Scott Smith		241,879		
David P. Cosper	1,820,000	670,675		
David B. Smith		54,506		
Jeff Dyke		439,715		

(1) Represents the value of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards, as applicable, that would have vested upon termination without cause based on the closing market price of Sonic s Class A Common stock on December 31, 2009 of \$10.39.

(2) If termination occurs due to death or disability, the value of the stock awards that would have vested upon such termination would have been as follows: Mr. O. Bruton Smith, \$277,153; Mr. B. Scott Smith, \$221,723, Mr. Cosper, \$614,785, Mr. David B. Smith, \$48,450 and Mr. Jeff Dyke, \$400,959.

A participant in the Deferred Plan who terminates employment due to death, disability or retirement after reaching age 65 (or after reaching age 55 with 10 years of continuous service) becomes fully vested in the portion of his account that is attributable to unvested Company contributions. In addition, if separation from the Company is due to death, the participant s remaining account balance is automatically paid in a lump sum. Mr. Cosper was the

26

Table of Contents

only named executive officer who had an account balance under the Deferred Plan as of December 31, 2009. The amount in his account attributable to unvested Company contributions as of December 31, 2009 was \$22,375. See Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2009.

Payments upon a Change of Control

Sonic does not have special arrangements with its named executive officers that provide those named executive officers with any rights upon a change of control. Options to purchase our Class A Common Stock under the 1997 Stock Option Plan, including those held by our named executive officers, and stock options and stock awards under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan held by our named executive officers would immediately vest and become exercisable upon a change of control. The estimated present value of the stock options and awards in the event of a change in control in 2009 is as follows.

	Value of Options(1)	Value of Stock Awards(2)
O. Bruton Smith	\$ 1,572,997	\$ 302,349
B. Scott Smith	1,358,497	241,879
David P. Cosper	1,001,003	670,675
David B. Smith	865,147	54,506
Jeff Dyke	1,072,500	439,715

- (1) Represents in-the-money value options to purchase Class A Common Stock that would have vested upon a change of control based on the closing market price of Sonic s Class A Common Stock on December 31, 2009 of \$10.39.
- (2) Represents the value of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards, as applicable, that would have vested upon a change of control based on the closing market price of Sonic s Class A Common stock on December 31, 2009 of \$10.39.

In the event of a change in control, a participant in the Deferred Plan becomes fully vested in the portion of his account that is attributable to unvested Company contributions. In addition, if a participant separates from service with the Company within twenty-four months following a change in control, the unpaid balance of the participant s account is automatically paid in a lump sum. Mr. Cosper was the only named executive officer who had an account balance under the Deferred Plan as of December 31, 2009. The amount in his account attributable to unvested Company contributions as of December 31, 2009 was \$22,375. See Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2009.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table sets forth information concerning shares of our Class A Common Stock that may be issued under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2009.

(c)
Number of securities
(a) (b) remaining
Weighted-average

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights		available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1) Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders(4)	4,013,500(2)	\$ 24	4.43(3)	3,498,265(2) 210,364	
Total	4,013,500	\$ 24	4.43(3)(5)	3,708,628(2)	

⁽¹⁾ Includes the Stock Option Plan, the Stock Incentive Plan, the Sonic Automotive, Inc. Formula Stock Option Plan for Independent Directors (the Directors Plan), the Sonic Automotive, Inc. 2005 Formula Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the 2005 Formula Plan) and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Employee Plan). Grants under the Employee Plan were suspended for 2009.

Table of Contents

- (2) Includes 200,000 shares to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights under the Directors Plan that was terminated following stockholder approval of the 2005 Formula Plan at the 2005 annual stockholders meeting. Because the Directors Plan was terminated, no options remain available for issuance under that plan. Includes 1,882,516 shares to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options under the Stock Option Plan that terminated in 2007. Because the Stock Option Plan terminated, no options remain available for issuance under that plan.
- (3) Does not include the exercise price of options under the Employee Plan because no such options are outstanding.
- (4) Includes the Nonqualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Nonqualified ESPP). Grants under the Nonqualified ESPP were suspended for 2009.
- (5) Does not include the exercise price of options under the Nonqualified ESPP because no such options are outstanding.

Nonqualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Nonqualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Nonqualified ESPP) was adopted by the Board of Directors of Sonic on December 11, 1998. The Nonqualified ESPP has not been approved by Sonic s stockholders. The purpose of the Nonqualified ESPP is to provide employees of certain subsidiaries that are not able to participate in Sonic s Employee Plan with a similar opportunity to acquire an ownership interest in Sonic. Both the Nonqualified ESPP and the Employee Plan permit eligible employees to purchase shares of Class A Common Stock at a discount from the market price. The terms of the Nonqualified ESPP are substantially similar to the terms of the Employee Plan, which has been approved by Sonic s stockholders.

The total number of shares of Class A Common Stock that were reserved for issuance under the Nonqualified ESPP is 300,000. Approximately 210,364 additional shares remain available for future option grants under the Nonqualified ESPP.

Employees of participating subsidiaries generally are eligible for the Nonqualified ESPP if they work for Sonic and its subsidiaries on a full-time or part-time basis, are regularly scheduled to work more than twenty hours per week, are customarily employed more than five months in a calendar year and have completed one year of continuous service. Employees who are officers or directors of Sonic or any participating employer are not eligible to participate in the Nonqualified ESPP. In addition, employees who own or hold options to purchase (or who are treated under certain tax rules as owning or holding options to purchase) 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of stock of Sonic or any subsidiary also are not eligible to participate in the Nonqualified ESPP.

Options generally are granted under the Nonqualified ESPP as of each January 1 to all eligible employees who elect to participate. However, grants under the Nonqualified ESPP have been suspended and no grants have been made since January 1, 2005. The Compensation Committee designates the number of shares of Class A Common Stock that can be purchased under each option, which number will be the same for each option granted on the same date and which also will be the same number of shares available under an option granted on the same date pursuant to the Employee Plan. The options have an exercise price per share equal to the lesser of (i) 85% of the fair market value per share of the Class A Common Stock on the date of grant or (ii) 85% of such fair market value on the date of exercise. No option can be granted which would permit a participant to purchase more than \$25,000 worth of stock under the Nonqualified ESPP during the calendar year.

A participant can make contributions to the Nonqualified ESPP by after-tax payroll deduction or direct payment. To the extent that a participant has made contributions to the Nonqualified ESPP, his or her option will be exercised automatically to purchase Class A Common Stock on each exercise date during the calendar year in which the option is granted. The exercise dates generally are the last business day of March, June, September and December on which the NYSE is open for trading. The participant s accumulated contributions as of each exercise date will be used to purchase whole shares of Class A Common Stock at the applicable option price, limited to the number of shares available for purchase under the option. The exercisability of options may accelerate in the event of a change in control of Sonic.

28

Table of Contents

Options granted under the Nonqualified ESPP expire on the last exercise date of the calendar year in which granted. However, if a participant withdraws from the Nonqualified ESPP or terminates employment, the option may expire earlier.

In the event of certain changes in the capital stock of Sonic due to a reorganization, stock split, stock dividend, merger or other similar event, appropriate adjustments generally will be made to the shares of Class A Common Stock available for issuance under the Nonqualified ESPP, the shares of Class A Common Stock covered by outstanding options and the exercise price per share.

The Board of Directors of Sonic generally can amend, suspend or terminate the Nonqualified ESPP at any time. However, no amendment, suspension or termination may adversely affect the rights of the participant under an outstanding option without the participant s consent. The Board of Directors suspended the Nonqualified ESPP effective December 31, 2005.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2009

The following table sets forth the compensation of Sonic s non-employee directors for services rendered in 2009. Directors who are also employees of Sonic do not receive compensation (other than their compensation as employees of Sonic) for their service on the Board of Directors.

Change

	Fees Earned				in Pension Value and Nonqualified Non-Equity Deferred					
Name	in	Paid Cash (\$)		Stock Awards \$)(1)(2)	Option		compensati oEarning© (\$)		ion	Total (\$)
William I. Belk William P. Benton(3) William R. Brooks Victor H. Doolan Robert Heller Robert L. Rewey David C. Vorhoff	\$ 1 \$ 5 \$ 8 \$ 9 \$ 7	94,852 5,977 62,352 62,352 96,852 95,352 67,977	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	94,844 94,844 94,844 94,844 94,844	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	189,696 15,977 147,196 177,196 191,696 170,196 182,821

⁽¹⁾ The non-employee directors have the following stock and option awards outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Director	Outstanding Option Awards	Outstanding Stock Awards
William I. Belk	20,000	13,100

Edgar Filing: SONIC AUTOMOTIVE INC - Form DEF 14A

William P. Benton	0	0
William R. Brooks	65,000	13,100
Victor H. Doolan	0	13,100
Robert Heller	40,000	13,100
Robert L. Rewey	30,000	13,100
David C. Vorhoff	0	13,100

- (2) Both Stock and Option Awards are valued based on the grant date fair value as calculated under the provisions of Stock Compensation, in the Accounting Standards Codification. See Note 10 to Sonic s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2009 for the valuation assumptions used in determining the fair value of the awards.
- (3) Mr. Benton passed away in February 2009.

Each non-employee director receives a \$35,000 annual cash retainer payable in quarterly installments. Sonic s lead independent director and the chairperson of the audit committee receive an additional annual cash

29

Table of Contents

retainer of \$12,500. The chairperson of the compensation committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$10,000, and the chairperson of the nominating and corporate governance committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$7,500. Each non-employee director also receives \$2,000 for each board meeting attended in person and \$1,000 for each board meeting attended telephonically. In addition, committee members receive the following fees for attending meetings of a committee on which they serve: \$2,000 for each audit committee meeting attended in person or telephonically; and \$1,500 for each other committee meeting attended in person and \$1,000 for each other committee meeting attended telephonically. Beginning in 2009, non-employee directors became eligible to participate in the Deferred Plan and can elect to defer up to 100% of their annual cash retainer and meeting fees under the Deferred Plan. No non-employee directors elected to participate in the Deferred Plan for 2009. Please see the discussion under

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans for 2009 for further information about the Deferred Plan.

Non-employee directors also receive automatic grants of restricted stock during each year of service under the 2005 Formula Plan. The annual grant of restricted stock is made to each eligible non-employee director on the first business day following each annual meeting of Sonic s stockholders. The number of restricted shares of Class A Common Stock granted to an eligible non-employee director each year generally will equal \$60,000 divided by the average closing sale price of the Class A Common Stock on the NYSE for the twenty trading days immediately prior to the grant date (rounded up to the nearest whole share). However, the 2005 Formula Plan was amended with stockholder approval at the annual stockholders meeting in 2009 to limit the number of shares that could be granted to a non-employee director during 2009 to 15,000 shares. Subject to the director s continued service on Sonic s Board, the restricted stock will vest in full on the first anniversary of the grant date or, if earlier, the day before the next annual meeting of Sonic s stockholders following the grant date. If a non-employee director initially becomes a member of Sonic s Board of Directors during any calendar year, but after the meeting of Sonic s stockholders for that year, the non-employee director will receive a restricted stock grant upon his or her appointment to the Board with the number of shares determined as described above. Subject to the director s continued service on Sonic s Board, the restricted stock will vest in full on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Shares of restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, pledged or otherwise transferred to the extent they remain unvested. A director holding restricted stock will have the right to vote his or her shares of restricted stock and receive dividends (if any), although dividends paid in shares will be considered restricted stock. If a director s service on the Board terminates for any reason, all shares of restricted stock not vested at the time of such termination are forfeited. Upon either the consummation of a tender or exchange offer that constitutes a change in control (as defined in the 2005 Formula Plan) of Sonic or the third business day prior to the effective date of any other change in control of Sonic, all outstanding restricted stock generally will become fully vested.

CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

The SFC Pledge

On February 17, 2006, Sonic entered into secured syndicated credit facility (the Old Facility) that provided up to \$1.2 billion in borrowing availability for Sonic for new vehicle inventory floor plan financing, used vehicle inventory floor plan financing and for working capital and general corporate purposes, including acquisitions and capital expenditures. During 2009, Sonic entered into several amendments to the Old Facility that, among other things, reduced the amount available for borrowing under the Old Facility and limited the use of proceeds from those borrowings. During the term of the Old Facility, the amount available for borrowing was subject to a borrowing base calculation that based on the value of the collateral pledged to secure the Old Facility, including the value of 5,000,000 shares of SMI s common stock pledged to secure the Old Facility by SFC, an entity owned and controlled by Mr. O. Bruton Smith and his family members.

On January 15, 2010, Sonic entered into amended and restated syndicated credit agreement dated January 15, 2010 with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., DCFS USA LLC, BMW Financial Services NA, LLC, Toyota Motor Credit Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Wachovia Bank, National Association, Comerica Bank and World Omni Financial Corp., as Lenders and Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as LC issuer (the Revolving Facility). The Revolving Facility has a borrowing

30

Table of Contents

limit of \$150 million, which may be expanded up to \$215 million in total credit availability upon satisfaction of certain conditions. The Revolving Facility is available for acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital and general corporate purposes. The amount available for borrowing under the Revolving Facility is reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the aggregate face amount of any outstanding letters of credit under the Revolving Facility and is subject to compliance with a borrowing base. The borrowing base is calculated based on the value of eligible accounts, eligible inventory, eligible equipment and 5,000,000 shares of common stock of SMI pledged as collateral by SFC. Although Sonic does not pay SFC a fee for this pledge of SMI common stock, Mr. O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith and David B. Smith may be considered to have a material interest in this pledge and its terms.

Other Transactions

Sonic leases office space in Charlotte from a subsidiary of SFC for a majority of its headquarters personnel. Annual aggregate rent under this lease was approximately \$633,990 in 2009. Because Mr. O. Bruton Smith and his family own 100% of SFC, under applicable SEC regulations, the amount of Mr. O. Bruton Smith s, Mr. B. Scott Smith s and Mr. David B. Smith s interest in this transaction may be deemed to be \$633,990.

Sonic rents various aircraft owned by SFC, subject to their availability, primarily for business-related travel by Sonic executives. Sonic incurred costs in an aggregate amount of approximately \$271,771 for the use of these aircraft during 2009. Because Mr. O. Bruton Smith and his family own 100% of SFC, under applicable SEC regulations, the amount of Mr. O. Bruton Smith s, Mr. B. Scott Smith s and Mr. David B. Smith s interest in this transaction may be deemed to be \$271,771.

Certain of Sonic s dealerships purchase the Z-Max oil additive product from Oil Chem Research Company, a subsidiary of SMI, for resale to service customers of the dealerships in the ordinary course of business. Total purchases by Sonic dealerships either directly through Oil Chem or indirectly through an Oil Chem distributor totaled approximately \$1,490,549 in 2009. Because Mr. O. Bruton Smith and SFC own collectively approximately 68.4% of SMI, under applicable SEC regulations, the amount of Mr. O. Bruton Smith s, Mr. B. Scott Smith s and Mr. David B. Smith s interest in this transaction may be deemed to be approximately \$1,019,535.

Policies and Procedures for Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Affiliates

Pursuant to its written charter, the NGC Committee reviews and evaluates all transactions between Sonic and its affiliates and considers issues of possible conflicts of interest if such issues arise. In addition, transactions between Sonic and its affiliates are reviewed by its full Board of Directors and/or its independent directors in accordance with the terms of Sonic s Charter, its senior credit facilities and the indentures governing its outstanding senior subordinated notes. These documents require, subject to certain exceptions, that a transaction between Sonic and an affiliate:

be made in good faith and in writing and be on terms no less favorable to Sonic than those obtainable in arm s-length transaction between Sonic and an unrelated third party;

involving aggregate payments in excess of \$500,000, be (i) approved by a majority of the members of Sonic s Board of Directors and a majority of Sonic s independent directors or (ii) Sonic must receive an opinion as to the financial fairness of the transaction from an investment banking or appraisal firm of national standing; and

involving aggregate value in excess of:

\$2.0 million, be approved by a majority of Sonic s disinterested directors; and

\$5.0 million, must be approved by the majority of Sonic s disinterested directors of Sonic s Board of Directors or Sonic must obtain a written opinion as to the financial fairness of the transaction from an investment banking firm of national standing or other recognized independent expert with experience appraising the terms and conditions of the type of such transaction.

31

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires Sonic s directors, certain officers and persons who own more than 10% of Sonic s Voting Stock to file reports on ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Additionally, SEC regulations require that Sonic identify in its proxy statements any individuals for whom one of the referenced reports was not filed on a timely basis during the most recent fiscal year or prior fiscal years. To Sonic s knowledge, based solely on review of reports furnished to it, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its directors, officers and more than 10% beneficial owners were complied with on a timely basis, except for the following late filings: one Form 4 filing for Mr. Cosper reporting a sale transaction by his daughter over which Mr. Cosper could have been deemed to have indirect beneficial ownership, one Form 4 filing by each of Messrs. O. Bruton Smith and B. Scott Smith reporting the delivery of shares to Sonic to satisfy withholding tax obligations due upon vesting of restricted stock units and the Form 3 (initial statement of ownership of securities) and one Form 4 reporting three purchases by Mr. Paul P. Rusnak.

ADDITIONAL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER INFORMATION

Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Committee Charters

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to our officers, directors and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, along with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Categorical Standards for Determination of Director Independence and each of our committee charters are available on our website at www.sonicautomotive.com. Copies of these documents are also available without charge upon written request to Sonic Automotive, Inc., Attn: Corporate Secretary, 6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212.

We will disclose information pertaining to amendments or waivers to provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that apply to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions and that relate to the elements of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics enumerated in the SEC s rules and regulations by posting this information on our website at www.sonicautomotive.com. The information on our website is not a part of this proxy statement.

Other Matters that May Be Considered at the Annual Meeting

In the event that any matters other than those referred to in the accompanying Notice of Meeting should properly come before and be considered at the Annual Meeting, it is intended that proxies in the accompanying form will be voted thereon in accordance with the judgment of the person or persons voting such proxies.

Expenses of Solicitation

Sonic will pay the cost of solicitation of proxies, including the cost of assembling and mailing this Proxy Statement and the enclosed materials. In addition to the use of the mails, proxies may be solicited personally or by telephone or email by corporate officers and employees of Sonic without additional compensation. Sonic intends to request brokers and banks holding stock in their names or in the names of nominees to solicit proxies from their customers who own our stock, where applicable, and will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses of mailing proxy materials to their customers.

Stockholder Proposals for 2011 Annual Stockholders Meeting

The deadline for submission of stockholder proposals to be considered for inclusion in the proxy materials relating to the 2011 annual stockholders meeting is November 15, 2010. Any such proposal received after this date will be considered untimely and may be excluded from the proxy materials.

The deadline for submission of stockholder proposals to be presented at the 2011 annual stockholders meeting, but for which we may not be required to include in the proxy materials relating to such meeting, is

32

Table of Contents

February 20, 2011. Any such proposal received after this date will be considered untimely and will be excluded from such meeting.

Proposals should be addressed to the attention of the Secretary of Sonic at our principal executive offices.

Delivery of Proxy Statements and Annual Reports

As permitted by the 1934 Act, only one copy of this Proxy Statement and the annual report is being delivered to stockholders residing at the same address, unless such stockholders have notified Sonic of their desire to receive multiple copies of the Proxy Statement or annual report.

Sonic will promptly deliver, upon oral or written request, a separate copy of the Proxy Statement or annual report to any stockholder residing at a shared address to which only one copy was mailed. Requests for additional copies of this year s Proxy Statement or annual report, requests to receive multiple copies of future proxy statements or annual reports and requests to receive only one copy of future proxy statements or annual reports should be directed to Stephen K. Coss, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, at Sonic s principal executive offices, 6415 Idlewild Road, Suite 109, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212 or by phone at (704) 566-2400.

Directions to attend the Annual Meeting, where you may vote in person, can be found at the following weblink: http://www.charlottemotorspeedway.com/fans/directions/. Information on that website or available by such weblink is not incorporated into or a part of this proxy statement or any of our filings with the SEC.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on April 21, 2010:

The Company s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, form of proxy card, 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and 2009 Annual Report are available at: www.proxydocs.com/SAH

33

APPENDIX A

SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC. PROXY

Charlotte, North Carolina

THIS PROXY IS BEING SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SONIC AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

The undersigned hereby appoints Mr. David P. Cosper and Mr. Stephen K. Coss as proxies, each with the power to appoint his Substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as designated on the reverse side, all shares of the Voting Stock of Sonic Automotive, Inc. held of record by the undersigned on February 22, 2010, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 21, 2010 at 10:30 a.m., at Charlotte Motor Speedway, Smith Tower, 600 Room, U.S. Highway 29 North, Concord, North Carolina, or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ALL NOMINEES in Item 1 and FOR Item 2. This appointment of proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed by the undersigned stockholder(s). If no direction is given, this proxy will be voted FOR ALL NOMINEES in Item 1 and FOR in Item 2.

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees: O. Bruton Smith, B. Scott Smith, David B. Smith, William I. Belk, William R. Brooks, Victor H. Doolan, Robert Heller, Robert L. Rewey and David C. Vorhoff (Mark only one of the following boxes.)

- o VOTE FOR ALL nominees listed above, except vote withheld as to the following nominee(s) (if any): = _
- o VOTE WITHHELD as to all nominees
- 2. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS SONIC S INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS
 - o FOR o AGAINST o ABSTAIN

In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

PLEASE MARK, SIGN BELOW, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY IN THE ENVELOPE FURNISHED.

Please sign exactly as name appears on this Proxy.

When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Class A Common Stock Shares:
Class B Common Stock Shares:
Dated: <u>— –</u> , 2010
Signature
Printed Name:
Signature, if held jointly:
Printed Name:
o Please check the box if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Directions to attend the Annual Meeting, where you may vote in person, can be found at the following weblink: http://www.charlottemotorspeedway.com/fans/directions/. Information on that website or available by such weblink is not incorporated into or a part of the proxy statement or this proxy card or any of our filings with the SEC.
Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on April 21, 2010:
The Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, form of proxy card, 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and 2009 Annual Report are available at: www.proxydocs.com/SAH
A-1