

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-K
February 25, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009
OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-6028

LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

35-1140070
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

150 N. Radnor Chester Road, Suite A305, Radnor,
Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

19087
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (484) 583-1400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock	New York and Chicago
\$3.00 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A	New York and Chicago
6.75% Capital Securities	New York
6.75% Trust Preferred Securities, Series F (1)	New York

(1) Issued by Lincoln National Capital VI. Payments of distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption are guaranteed by Lincoln National Corporation.

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the shares of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates (based upon the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange) as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$5.2 billion.

As of February 19, 2010, 302,261,792 shares of common stock of the registrant were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Selected portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, scheduled for May 27, 2010, have been incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Lincoln National Corporation

Table of Contents

Item		Page
	PART I	
1.	Business	1
	Overview	1
	Business Segments and Other Operations	3
	Retirement Solutions	3
	Retirement Solutions – Annuities	3
	Retirement Solutions – Defined	
	Contribution	8
	Insurance Solutions	10
	Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance	10
	Insurance Solutions – Group Protection	14
	Other Operations	15
	Reinsurance	16
	Reserves	16
	Investments	17
	Ratings	17
	Regulatory	18
	Employees	25
	Available Information	25
1A.	Risk Factors	26
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	42
2.	Properties	43
3.	Legal Proceedings	43
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	43
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	44
	PART II	
5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	45
6.	Selected Financial Data	46
7.		47
		3

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language	48
Introduction	49
Executive Summary	49
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	54
Acquisitions and Dispositions	72
Results of Consolidated Operations	74
Results of Retirement Solutions	79
Retirement Solutions – Annuities	79
Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution	87
Results of Insurance Solutions	94
Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance	94
Insurance Solutions – Group Protection	103

Item		Page
	Results of Other Operations	106
	Realized Loss	110
	Consolidated Investments	116
	Reinsurance	139
	Review of Consolidated Financial Condition	140
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	140
	Other Matters	149
	Other Factors Affecting Our Business	149
	Recent Accounting Pronouncements	149
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	150
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	159
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	262
9A.	Controls and Procedures	263
9B.	Other Information	263
PART III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	264
11.	Executive Compensation	264
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	264
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	265
14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	265
PART IV		
15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	266
	Signatures	267
	Index to Financial Statement Schedules	FS-1
	Index to Exhibits	E-1

PART I

The “Business” section and other parts of this Form 10-K contain forward-looking statements that involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations, and containing words such as “believes,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “expects” or similar words are forward-looking statements. Our actual results may differ materially from the projected results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and in the “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” in “Part II – Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” (“MD&A”) of the Form 10-K. Our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements (“Notes”) are presented in “Part II – Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Item 1. Business

OVERVIEW

Lincoln National Corporation (“LNC,” which also may be referred to as “Lincoln,” “we,” “our” or “us”) is a holding company, which operates multiple insurance and retirement businesses through subsidiary companies. Through our business segments, we sell a wide range of wealth protection, accumulation and retirement income products and solutions. These products include fixed and indexed annuities, variable annuities, universal life insurance (“UL”), variable universal life insurance (“VUL”), linked-benefit UL, term life insurance, mutual funds and group life insurance. LNC was organized under the laws of the state of Indiana in 1968. We currently maintain our principal executive offices in Radnor, Pennsylvania. “Lincoln Financial Group” is the marketing name for LNC and its subsidiary companies. As of December 31, 2009, LNC had consolidated assets of \$177.4 billion and consolidated stockholders’ equity of \$11.7 billion.

We provide products and services in two operating businesses and report results through four segments as follows:

Business	Corresponding Segments
Retirement Solutions	Annuities Defined Contribution
Insurance Solutions	Life Insurance Group Protection

We also have Other Operations, which includes the financial data for operations that are not directly related to the business segments, unallocated corporate items and the ongoing amortization of deferred gain on the indemnity reinsurance portion of the sale of our former reinsurance segment to Swiss Re Life & Health America Inc. (“Swiss Re”) in the fourth quarter of 2001. Unallocated corporate items include investment income on investments related to the amount of statutory surplus in our insurance subsidiaries that is not allocated to our business units and other corporate investments, interest expense on short-term and long-term borrowings and certain expenses, including restructuring and merger-related expenses. Other Operations also includes our run-off institutional pension business, the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re and the results of our remaining media businesses.

As a result of entering agreements of sale for Lincoln National (UK) plc (“Lincoln UK”) and Delaware Management Holdings, Inc. (“Delaware”) during 2009, we have reported the results of these businesses as discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and the assets and liabilities as held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented. For further information, see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” below.

The results of Lincoln Financial Network (“LFN”) and Lincoln Financial Distributors (“LFD”), our retail and wholesale distributors, respectively, are included in the segments for which they distribute products. LFD distributes our individual products and services, defined contribution (“DC”) plans and corporate-owned UL and VUL (“COLI”) and bank-owned UL and VUL (“BOLI”) products and services. The distribution occurs primarily through consultants, brokers, planners, agents, financial advisors, third party administrators (“TPAs”) and other intermediaries. Insurance Solutions – Group Protection distributes its products and services primarily through employee benefit brokers, TPAs and other employee benefit firms. As of December 31, 2009, LFD had approximately 600 internal and external wholesalers (including sales managers). As of December 31, 2009, LFN offered LNC and non-proprietary products and advisory services through a national network of approximately 7,700 active producers who placed business with us within the last twelve months.

Financial information in the tables that follow is presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), unless otherwise indicated. We provide revenues, income (loss) from operations and assets attributable to each of our business segments and Other Operations, as well as revenues derived inside and outside the U.S. for the last three fiscal years, in Note 23.

Revenues by segment (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Revenues			
Operating revenues:			
Retirement Solutions:			
Annuities	\$2,301	\$2,438	\$2,535
Defined Contribution	926	932	986
Total Retirement Solutions	3,227	3,370	3,521
Insurance Solutions:			
Life Insurance	4,293	4,259	4,189
Group Protection	1,713	1,640	1,500
Total Insurance Solutions	6,006	5,899	5,689
Other Operations	467	534	578
Excluded realized loss, pre-tax	(1,200)	(573)	(183)
Amortization of deferred gain from reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance, pre-tax	3	3	9
Amortization of deferred front-end loads (“DFEL”) associated with benefit ratio unlocking, pre-tax	(4)	(9)	-
Total revenues	\$8,499	\$9,224	\$9,614

Acquisitions and Dispositions

On January 4, 2010, LNC and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lincoln National Investment Companies, completed the sale of the outstanding capital stock of Delaware, our former subsidiary, to Macquarie Bank Limited, pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated as of August 18, 2009. Delaware provided investment products and services to individuals and institutions. We currently expect to receive cash consideration at closing of approximately \$405 million, after-tax. The closing purchase price is subject to post-closing adjustments, including an adjustment based on the final closing balance sheet as determined under the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

In addition, certain of our subsidiaries, including The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company (“LNL”), our primary insurance subsidiary, have entered into investment advisory agreements with Delaware dated January 4, 2010, pursuant to which Delaware will continue to manage the majority of the general account insurance assets of the subsidiaries. The investment advisory agreements will have 10-year terms, and we may terminate them without cause, subject to a purchase price adjustment of up to \$84 million in the event that all of the agreements with our subsidiaries are terminated. The amount of the potential adjustment will decline on a pro rata basis over the 10-year term of the advisory agreements.

On October 1, 2009, we completed the sale of the capital stock of Lincoln UK to SLF of Canada UK Limited for proceeds of \$307 million, after-tax, subject to customary post-closing adjustments. We retained Lincoln UK’s pension plan assets and liabilities. The former Lincoln UK segment primarily focused on providing life and retirement income

products in the United Kingdom.

On January 8, 2009, the Office of Thrift Supervision approved our application to become a savings and loan holding company and our acquisition of Newton County Loan & Savings, FSB (“NCLS”), a federally regulated savings bank located in Indiana. We contributed \$10 million to the capital of NCLS. We closed on our purchase of NCLS on January 15, 2009.

On November 12, 2007, Lincoln Financial Media Company (“LFMC”), our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into two stock purchase agreements with Raycom Holdings, LLC (“Raycom”). Pursuant to one of the agreements, LFMC agreed to sell to Raycom all of the outstanding capital stock of three of LFMC’s wholly-owned subsidiaries: WBTV, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WBTV, Charlotte, North Carolina; WCSC, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WCSC, Charleston, South Carolina; and WWBT, Inc., the owner and operator of television station WWBT, Richmond, Virginia. The transaction closed on March 31, 2008, and LFMC received proceeds of \$546 million. Pursuant to the other agreement, LFMC agreed to sell to Raycom all of the outstanding capital stock of Lincoln Financial Sports, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of LFMC. This transaction closed on November 30, 2007, and LFMC received \$42 million of proceeds.

On November 12, 2007, LFMC also entered into a stock purchase agreement with Greater Media, Inc., to sell all of the outstanding capital stock of LFMC of North Carolina, the owner and operator of radio stations WBT(AM), Charlotte, North Carolina; WBT-FM, Chester, South Carolina; and WLNK(FM), Charlotte, North Carolina. This transaction closed on January 31, 2008, and LFMC received proceeds of \$100 million. More information on these LFMC transactions can be found in our Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2007, and in Note 3.

On April 3, 2006, we completed our merger with Jefferson-Pilot Corporation (“Jefferson-Pilot”), pursuant to which Jefferson-Pilot merged into one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Prior to the merger, Jefferson-Pilot, through its subsidiaries, offered full lines of individual life, annuity and investment products, and group life insurance products, disability income and dental contracts, and it operated television and radio stations and a sports broadcasting network.

For further information about acquisitions and divestitures, see Note 3.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS AND OTHER OPERATIONS

RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS

Overview

The Retirement Solutions business, with principal operations in Radnor, Pennsylvania; Fort Wayne, Indiana; Hartford, Connecticut; and Greensboro, North Carolina and additional operations in Concord, New Hampshire and Arlington Heights, Illinois, provides its products through two segments: Annuities and Defined Contribution. The Annuities segment provides tax-deferred growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering individual fixed annuities, including indexed annuities, and variable annuities. The Defined Contribution segment provides employer-sponsored fixed and variable annuities and mutual fund-based programs in the 401(k), 403(b) and 457 plan marketplaces. Products for both segments are distributed through a wide range of intermediaries including both affiliated and unaffiliated channels including advisors, consultants, brokers, banks and wirehouses.

Retirement Solutions – Annuities

Overview

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment provides tax-deferred growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering fixed and variable annuities. The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment offers non-qualified and qualified fixed and variable annuities to individuals. The “fixed” and “variable” classification describes whether we or the contract holders bear the investment risk of the assets supporting the contract. This also determines the manner in which we earn investment margin profits from these products, either as investment spreads for fixed products or as asset-based fees charged to variable products.

Annuities have several features that are attractive to customers. First, they provide tax-deferred growth in the underlying principal, thereby deferring the tax consequences of the growth in value until withdrawals are made from the accumulation values, often at lower tax rates occurring during retirement. Second, annuities are unique in that contract holders can select a variety of payout alternatives to help provide an income flow for life. Many annuity contracts include guarantee features (living and death benefits) that are not found in any other investment vehicle and, we believe, make annuities attractive especially in times of economic uncertainty. Over the last several years, the individual annuities market has seen an increase in competition with respect to guarantee features.

Products

In general, an annuity is a contract between an insurance company and an individual or group in which the insurance company, after receipt of one or more premium payments, agrees to pay an amount of money either in one lump sum or on a periodic basis (i.e., annually, semi-annually, quarterly or monthly), beginning on a certain date and continuing for a period of time as specified in the contract. Periodic payments can begin within twelve months after the premium is received (referred to as an immediate annuity) or at a future date in time (referred to as a deferred annuity). This retirement vehicle helps protect an individual from outliving his or her money and can be either a fixed annuity or a variable annuity.

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment’s deposits (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Deposits			
Variable portion of variable annuity	\$4,007	\$6,690	\$9,135
Fixed portion of variable annuity	3,194	3,433	2,795
Total variable annuity	7,201	10,123	11,930
Fixed indexed annuity	2,182	1,078	755
Other fixed annuity	979	529	772
Total annuity deposits	\$10,362	\$11,730	\$13,457

Variable Annuities

A variable annuity provides the contract holder the ability to direct the investment of premium deposits into one or more sub-accounts offered through the product (“variable portion”) or into a fixed account with a guaranteed return (“fixed portion”). The value of the variable portion of the contract holder’s account varies with the performance of the underlying sub-accounts chosen by the contract holder. The underlying assets of the sub-accounts are managed within a special insurance series of mutual funds. The contract holder’s return is tied to the performance of the segregated assets underlying the variable annuity (i.e. the contract holder bears the investment risk associated with these investments). The value of the fixed portion is guaranteed by us and recorded in our general account liabilities. Variable annuity account values were \$59.4 billion, \$44.5 billion and \$62.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, including the fixed portion of variable accounts of \$4.0 billion, \$3.6 billion and \$3.5 billion, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We charge mortality and expense assessments and administrative fees on variable annuity accounts to cover insurance and administrative expenses. These assessments are built into accumulation unit values, which when multiplied by the number of units owned for any sub-account equals the contract holder’s account value for that sub-account. The fees that we earn from these contracts are reported as insurance fees on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). In addition, for some contracts, we collect surrender charges that range from 0% to 10% of withdrawals when contract holders surrender their contracts during the surrender charge period, which is generally higher during the early years of a contract. Our individual variable annuity products have a maximum surrender charge period of ten years.

We offer A-share, B-share, C-share, L-share and bonus variable annuities, although not with every annuity product. The differences in these relate to the sales charge and fee structure associated with the contract.

- An A-share has a front-end sales charge and no back-end contingent deferred sales charge, also known as a surrender charge. The net premium (premium less front-end charge) is invested in the contract, resulting in full liquidity and

lower mortality and expense assessments over the long term than those in other share classes.

- A B-share has a seven-year surrender charge that is only paid if the account is surrendered or withdrawals are in excess of contractual free withdrawals within the contract's specified surrender charge period. The entire premium is invested in the contract, but it offers limited liquidity during the surrender charge period.
- A C-share has no front-end sales charge or back-end surrender charge. Accordingly, it offers maximum liquidity but mortality and expense assessments are higher than those for A-share or B-share during the surrender charge period. A persistency credit is applied beginning in year eight so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels.

- An L-share has a four to five year surrender charge that is only paid if the account is surrendered or withdrawals are in excess of contractual free withdrawals within the contract's specified surrender charge period. The differences between the L-share and the B-share are the length of the surrender charge period and the fee structure. L-shares have a shorter surrender charge period, so for the added liquidity, mortality and expense assessments are higher. We offer L-share annuity products with persistency credits that are applied in all years after surrender charges are no longer applicable so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels.
- A bonus annuity is a variable annuity contract that offers a bonus credit to a contract based on a specified percentage (typically ranging from 2% to 5%) of each deposit. The entire premium plus the bonus are invested in the sub-accounts supporting the contract. It has a seven to nine-year surrender charge. The expenses are slightly more than those for a B-share. We offer bonus annuity products with persistency credits that are applied in all years after surrender charges are no longer applicable so that the total charge to the customer is consistent with B-share levels.

We offer guaranteed benefit riders with certain of our variable annuity products, such as a guaranteed death benefit ("GDB"), a guaranteed withdrawal benefit ("GWB"), a guaranteed income benefit ("GIB") and a combination of such benefits. Most of our variable annuity products also offer the choice of a fixed option that provides for guaranteed interest credited to the account value.

We design and actively manage the features and structure of our guaranteed benefit riders to maintain a competitive suite of products consistent with profitability and risk management goals. In late 2008 and early 2009, in light of changes in the variable annuity marketplace driven by financial market conditions, we made changes to our riders to reduce our exposure to equity market volatility and interest rate movements while compensating us for increasing costs to provide the benefits. The changes include, but are not limited to, implementing investment restrictions for all new rider sales and for the majority of in-force policies with guaranteed riders, raising the charge for guaranteed benefit riders, reducing roll-up periods and eliminating certain features.

Approximately 92%, 91% and 91% of variable annuity separate account values had a GDB rider as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The GDB features currently offered include those where we contractually guarantee to the contract holder that upon death, we will return no less than: the total deposits made to the contract, adjusted to reflect any partial withdrawals; the total deposits made to the contract, adjusted to reflect any partial withdrawals, plus a minimum return; or the highest contract value on a specified anniversary date adjusted to reflect any partial withdrawals following the contract anniversary.

Approximately 23%, 26% and 28% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, had a Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage rider. The Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage one-year benefit is a GWB rider that offers the contract holder a guarantee equal to the initial deposit (or contract value, if elected after issue), adjusted for any subsequent purchase payments or withdrawals. Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage one-year allows an owner to step up the guarantee amount automatically on the benefit anniversary to the current contract value if the contract value is greater than the guarantee amount at the time of step up. To receive the full amount of the guarantee, annual withdrawals are limited to 5% of the guaranteed amount. Withdrawals will continue until the longer of when the guarantee is equal to zero or for the rest of the owner's life ("single life version") or the life of the owner or owner's spouse ("joint life version") as long as withdrawals begin after attained age 65 and are limited to 5% of the guaranteed amount. Withdrawals in excess of the applicable maximum in any contract year are assessed any applicable surrender charges, and the guaranteed amount is recalculated.

We offer other product riders including i4LIFE® Advantage and 4LATER® Advantage. The i4LIFE® rider, on which we have received a U.S. patent, allows variable annuity contract holders access and control during the income distribution phase of their contract. This added flexibility allows the contract holder to access the account value for transfers, additional withdrawals and other service features like portfolio rebalancing. Approximately 11%, 11% and

9% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, have elected an i4LIFE® Advantage feature. In general, GIB is an optional feature available with i4LIFE® Advantage that guarantees regular income payments will not fall below 75% of the highest income payment on a specified anniversary date (reduced for any subsequent withdrawals). Approximately 94%, 92% and 88% of i4LIFE® Advantage account values elected the GIB feature as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. 4LATER® Advantage provides a minimum income base used to determine the GIB floor when a client begins income payments under i4LIFE® Advantage. The income base is equal to the initial deposit (or contract value, if elected after issue) and increases by 15% every three years (subject to a 200% cap). The owner may step up the income base on or after the third anniversary of rider election or of the most recent step-up (which also resets the 200% cap).

The Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus are hybrid benefit riders combining aspects of GWB and GIB. Both benefit riders allow the contract holder the ability to take income at a maximum rate of 5% of the guaranteed amount when they are above the lifetime income age or income through i4LIFE[®] Advantage with the GIB. Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus provide higher income if the contract holder delays withdrawals, including both a 5% enhancement to the guaranteed amount each year a withdrawal is not taken for a specified period of time and an annual step-up of the guaranteed amount to the current contract value. The Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus provides an additional benefit, which is a return of principal at the end of the seventh year if the customer has not taken any withdrawals. Contract holders under both the Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus are subject to restrictions on the allocation of their account value within the various investment choices. Approximately 17% and 8% of variable annuity account values as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, had a Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage or Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage Plus rider.

To mitigate the increased risks associated with guaranteed benefits, we developed a dynamic hedging program. The customized dynamic hedging program uses equity and interest rate futures positions, interest rate and variance swaps, as well as equity-based options depending upon the risks underlying the guarantees. Our program is designed to offset both positive and negative changes in the carrying value of the guarantees. However, while we actively manage these hedge positions, the hedge positions may not be effective to exactly offset the changes in the carrying value of the guarantees due to, among other things, the time lag between changes in their values and corresponding changes in the hedge positions, high levels of volatility in the equity markets, contract holder behavior, management decisions not to fully hedge every risk and divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and hedging indices, which is referred to as basis risk. For more information on our hedging program, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives” and “Realized Loss” in the MD&A. For information regarding risks related to guaranteed benefits, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in the equity markets, interest rates and/or volatility affect the profitability of our products with guaranteed benefits; therefore, such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business and profitability.”

Fixed Annuities

A fixed annuity preserves the principal value of the contract while guaranteeing a minimum interest rate to be credited to the accumulation value. We offer single and flexible premium fixed deferred annuities to the individual annuities market. Single premium fixed deferred annuities are contracts that allow only a single premium to be paid. Flexible premium fixed deferred annuities are contracts that allow multiple premium payments on either a scheduled or non-scheduled basis. Our fixed annuities include both traditional fixed-rate and fixed indexed annuities. With fixed deferred annuities, the contract holder has the right to surrender the contract and receive the current accumulation value less any applicable surrender charge and, if applicable, a market value adjustment (“MVA”). Depending on market conditions, MVAs can, for some products, be less than zero, which means the MVA results in an increase to the amount received by the contract holder.

Fixed indexed annuities allow the contract holder to elect an interest rate linked to the performance of the Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) 500 Index[®] (“S&P 500”). The indexed interest rate is guaranteed never to be less than zero. Our fixed indexed annuities provide contract holders a choice of a traditional fixed-rate account and one or more different indexed accounts. A contract holder may elect to change allocations at renewal dates, either annually or biannually. At each renewal date, we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed component (i.e. reset the caps, spreads or participation rates), subject to guarantees.

Fixed annuity contracts are general account obligations. We bear the investment risk for fixed annuity contracts. To protect from premature withdrawals, we impose surrender charges. Surrender charges are typically applicable during

the early years of the annuity contract, with a declining level of surrender charges over time. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line and what we credit to our fixed annuity contract holders' accounts. In addition, with respect to fixed indexed annuities, we purchase options that are highly correlated to the indexed account allocation decisions of our contract holders, such that we are closely hedged with respect to indexed interest for the current reset period. For more information on our hedging program for fixed indexed annuities, see "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives" and "Realized Loss" in the MD&A.

Individual fixed annuity account values were \$15.9 billion, \$14.0 billion and \$14.4 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Approximately \$11.8 billion of individual fixed annuity account values as of December 31, 2009, were still within the surrender charge period.

Our fixed annuity product offerings as of December 31, 2009, consisted of traditional fixed-rate and fixed indexed deferred annuities, as well as fixed-rate immediate annuities with various payment options, including lifetime incomes. In addition to traditional fixed-rate immediate annuities, in 2007 we introduced Lincoln SmartIncomeSM Inflation Annuity. This product provides lifetime income with annual adjustments to keep pace with inflation. It uses a patent-pending design to preserve access to remaining principal, also adjusted annually for inflation, for premature death or unexpected needs. The traditional fixed-rate deferred annuity products include the Lincoln ClassicSM (Single and Flexible Premium), Lincoln SelectSM and Lincoln ChoicePlusSM Fixed annuities. The fixed indexed deferred annuity products include the Lincoln OptiPoint®, Lincoln OptiChoiceSM, Lincoln New Directions® and Lincoln Future Point® annuities. The fixed indexed annuities offer one or more of the following indexed accounts:

- The Performance Triggered Indexed Account pays a specified rate, declared at the beginning of the indexed term, if the S&P 500 value at the end of the indexed term is the same or greater than the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the indexed term;
- The Point to Point Indexed Account compares the value of the S&P 500 at the end of the indexed term to the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the term. If the S&P 500 at the end of the indexed term is higher than the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the term, then the percentage change, up to the declared indexed interest cap, is credited to the indexed account;
- The Monthly Cap Indexed Account reflects the monthly changes in the S&P 500 value over the course of the indexed term. Each month, the percentage change in the S&P 500 value is calculated, subject to a monthly indexed cap that is declared at the beginning of the indexed term. At the end of the indexed term, all of the monthly change percentages are summed to determine the rate of indexed interest that will be credited to the account; and
- The Monthly Average Indexed Account compares the average monthly value of the S&P 500 to the S&P 500 value at the beginning of the term. The average of the S&P 500 values at the end of each of the twelve months in the indexed term is calculated. The percentage change of the average S&P 500 value to the starting S&P 500 value is calculated. From that amount, the indexed interest spread, which is declared at the beginning of the indexed term, is subtracted. The resulting rate is used to calculate the indexed interest that will be credited to the account.

If the S&P 500 values produce a negative indexed interest rate, no indexed interest is credited to the indexed account. During 2009, we added new traditional fixed annuity products, Lincoln MYGuaranteeSM Plus and Lincoln GrowSmartSM Fixed Annuity, with multi-year guarantee periods that vary from 3-10 years to allow consumers greater flexibility.

We introduced the Lincoln Living IncomeSM Advantage in 2007. Available with certain of our fixed indexed annuities, it provides the contract holder a guaranteed lifetime withdrawal benefit. Withdrawals in excess of the free amount are assessed any applicable surrender charges, and the guaranteed withdrawal amount is recalculated.

Many of our fixed annuities have an MVA. If a contract with an MVA is surrendered during the surrender charge period, both a surrender charge and an MVA may be applied. The MVA feature increases or decreases the contract value of the annuity based on a decrease or increase in interest rates. We updated our MVA formula, during 2009, which provides better protection when changes in available asset yields are not in line with changes in Treasury rates. Individual fixed annuities with an MVA feature constituted 55%, 46% and 40% of total fixed annuity account values as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Distribution

The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment distributes its individual fixed and variable annuity products through LFD. LFD's distribution channels give the Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment access to its target markets. LFD distributes the segment's products to a large number of financial intermediaries, including LFN. The financial intermediaries include wire/regional firms, independent financial planners, financial institutions and managing general

agents.

Competition

The annuities market is very competitive and consists of many companies, with no one company dominating the market for all products. The Annuities segment competes with numerous other financial services companies. The main factors upon which entities in this market compete are distribution channel access and the quality of wholesalers, investment performance, cost, product features, speed to market, brand recognition, financial strength ratings, crediting rates and client service.

7

Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution

Overview

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment provides employers the ability to offer tax-deferred retirement savings plans to their employees, primarily through 403(b) and 401(k) retirement savings plans. We provide a variety of plan investment vehicles, including individual and group variable annuities, group fixed annuities and mutual funds. We also offer a broad array of plan services including plan recordkeeping, compliance testing, participant education and other related services.

DC plans are a popular employee benefit offered by many employers across a wide spectrum of industries and by employers large and small. Some plans include employer matching of contributions, which can increase participation by employees. Growth in the number of DC plans has occurred as these plans have been used as replacements for frozen or eliminated defined benefit retirement plans. In general, DC plans offer tax-deferred contributions and investment growth, thereby deferring the tax consequences of both the contributions and investment growth until withdrawals are made from the accumulated values, often at lower tax rates occurring during retirement.

Lincoln's 403(b) assets accounted for 58% of total assets under management in this segment as of December 31, 2009. The 401(k) business accounted for 43% of our deposits during 2009 for this segment.

Products and Services

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment currently brings four primary offerings to the employer-sponsored market: LINCOLN DIRECTORSM group variable annuity, LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program, Lincoln SmartFuture® program and Multi-Fund® variable annuity.

The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment's deposits (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Deposits			
Variable portion of variable annuity	\$1,586	\$2,170	\$2,355
Fixed portion of variable annuity	331	369	351
Total variable annuity	1,917	2,539	2,706
Fixed annuity	1,011	812	754
Mutual fund	2,024	2,196	2,090
Total annuity and mutual fund deposits	\$4,952	\$5,547	\$5,550

LINCOLN DIRECTORSM and Multi-Fund® products are variable annuities. LINCOLN ALLIANCE® and Lincoln SmartFuture® programs are mutual fund-based programs. This suite of products covers the 403(b), 401(k) and 457 marketplace. These 403(b), 401(k) and 457 plans are tax-deferred, DC plans offered to employees of an entity to enable them to save for retirement. The 403(b) plans are available to employees of educational institutions, not-for-profit healthcare organizations and certain other not-for-profit entities; 401(k) plans are generally available to employees of for-profit entities; and 457 plans are available to government employees and certain employees of non-profit organizations. The investment options for our annuities encompass the spectrum of asset classes with varying levels of risk and include both equity and fixed income. Healthcare clients accounted for 43%, 45% and 43% of account values for these products as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM group variable annuity is a 401(k) DC retirement plan solution available to micro- to small-sized businesses, typically those that have DC plans with less than \$3 million in account values. The LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM product offers participants a broad array of investment options from several fund families and a fixed account. In 2009, we updated our LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM product, which now offers more than 90 investment options and will be positioned as our primary product in the micro to small 401(k) plan marketplace. This product includes fiduciary support for plan sponsors, accumulation strategies and tools for plan participants and offers our patented distribution option, i4LIFE[®] Advantage. In 2008, the investment options were significantly enhanced with the addition of the funds that had been offered only through the Lincoln American Legacy Retirement[®] group variable annuity. Lincoln American Legacy Retirement[®] was merged into LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM group variable annuity in 2008 and is no longer offered as a standalone product for new sales. LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM group variable annuity has the option of being serviced through a TPA or fully serviced by Lincoln. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 90% of LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM clients were serviced through TPAs. The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment earns revenue through asset charges, investment management fees, surrender charges and recordkeeping fees from this product. We also receive fees from the underlying mutual funds companies for the services we provide and we also earn investment margins on assets in the fixed account. Account values for LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM group variable annuity were \$5.9 billion, \$4.9 billion and \$7.8 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Deposits for LINCOLN DIRECTORSSM group variable annuity were \$1.2 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$1.6 billion during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The LINCOLN ALLIANCE[®] program is a DC retirement plan solution aimed at mid to large employers, typically those that have DC plans with \$15 million or more in account value. The target market is primarily for-profit corporations, educational institutions and healthcare providers. The program bundles our traditional fixed annuity products with the employer's choice of retail mutual funds, along with recordkeeping, plan compliance services and customized employee education services. Included in the product offering is the LIFESPAN[®] learning program, which provides participants with educational materials and one-on-one guidance for retirement planning assistance. The program allows the use of any retail mutual fund. We earn fees for our recordkeeping and educational services and the services we provide to mutual fund accounts. We also earn investment margins on fixed annuities. The retail mutual funds associated with this program are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as we do not have any ownership interest in them. LINCOLN ALLIANCE[®] program account values were \$13.4 billion, \$9.4 billion and \$9.5 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Lincoln SmartFuture[®] program is a DC retirement plan solution aimed at small to mid to large employers, typically those that have DC plans with between \$3 million to \$15 million or more in account value. The target market is primarily for-profit corporations, educational institutions and healthcare providers. The Lincoln SmartFuture[®] program was introduced in 2008 and is built on the LINCOLN ALLIANCE[®] platform. Like LINCOLN ALLIANCE[®], the program bundles our traditional fixed annuity products with retail mutual funds, recordkeeping, plan compliance services and employee education services using the LIFESPAN[®] learning program, which is described further above. However, the Lincoln SmartFuture[®] program allows the employer to choose from a list of over 100 retail mutual funds chosen by us, which consists of a broad range of low-cost funds. Services for this program are typically not customized for each employer. We earn fees for our recordkeeping and educational services and the services we provide to mutual fund accounts. We also earn investment margins on fixed annuities. The retail mutual funds associated with this program are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, as we do not have any ownership interest in them. Lincoln SmartFuture[®] program account values were \$223 million and \$104 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Multi-Fund[®] Variable Annuity is a DC retirement plan solution with full-bundled administrative services and high quality investment choices marketed to small- to mid-sized healthcare, education, governmental and not-for-profit plans. The product can be sold either to the employer through the Multi-Fund[®] group variable annuity contract or

directly to the individual through the Multi-Fund® Select variable annuity contract. Included in the product offering is the LIFESPAN® learning program, which is described further above. We earn mortality and expense charges, investment income on the fixed account and surrender charges from this product. We also receive fees for services that we provide to the underlying mutual fund accounts. The Multi-Fund® variable annuity is currently available in all states except New York. Account values for the Multi-Fund® variable annuity were \$10.9 billion, \$9.7 billion and \$13.3 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Multi-Fund® program deposits represented 13%, 15% and 17% of the segment's deposits in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Also within this segment, we have created the Lincoln Unifier® service offering to further assist employers meet the administrative challenges of bringing retirement plans into compliance with the new 403(b) regulations. Lincoln Unifier® includes common remitter administration, compliance monitoring and proactive transaction monitoring.

Distribution

DC products are primarily distributed by LFD. The wholesalers distribute these products through advisors, consultants, banks, wirehouses, TPAs and individual planners. Although the Multi-Fund® program is sold primarily by affiliated advisors, certain non-affiliated advisors can also distribute the product. The LINCOLN ALLIANCE® program and the Lincoln SmartFuture® program are sold primarily through consultants and affiliated advisors. LINCOLN DIRECTORS group variable annuity is sold primarily by TPAs and individual planners and is in the early stages of introduction to wirehouses and banks.

Competition

The DC marketplace is very competitive and is comprised of many providers, with no one company dominating the market for all products. We compete with numerous other financial services companies. The main factors upon which entities in this market compete are distribution channel access and the quality of wholesalers, investment performance, cost, product features, speed to market, brand recognition, financial strength ratings, crediting rates and client service.

INSURANCE SOLUTIONS

The Insurance Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Life Insurance and Group Protection. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including COLI and BOLI products. The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment offers group life, disability and dental insurance primarily in the small- to mid-sized employer marketplace for their eligible employees.

Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance

Overview

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment, with principal operations in Greensboro, North Carolina and Hartford, Connecticut and additional operations in Concord, New Hampshire and Fort Wayne, Indiana, focuses on the creation and protection of wealth for its clients through the manufacturing of life insurance products. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product (which is a UL policy linked with riders that provide for long-term care costs) and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including COLI and BOLI products.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment primarily targets the affluent to high net worth markets, defined as households with at least \$250,000 of financial assets. For those individual policies we sold in 2009, the average face amount (excluding term and MoneyGuard® products) was \$1 million and average first year premiums paid were approximately \$50,000.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment also offers COLI and BOLI products and services to small- to mid-sized banks and mid- to large-sized corporations, mostly through executive benefit brokers.

Products

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment sells primarily interest/market-sensitive products (UL and VUL), including COLI and BOLI products, and term products. The segment’s sales (in millions) were as follows:

Sales by Product	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
UL:			
Excluding MoneyGuard®	\$397	\$525	\$597
MoneyGuard®	67	50	40
Total UL	464	575	637
VUL	36	54	77
COLI and BOLI	51	84	91
Term/whole life	59	28	32
Total sales	\$610	\$741	\$837

UL and VUL sales (including COLI and BOLI), represent first year commissionable premiums plus 5% of excess premium received, including an adjustment for internal replacements of approximately 50% of commissionable premiums; whole life and term sales represent 100% of first year paid premiums; and linked-benefit sales represent 15% of premium deposits.

The segment generally has higher sales in the second half of the year than in the first half of the year. Approximately 44% and 46% of total sales were in the first half of 2009 and 2008, respectively; however, in 2007, approximately 50% of total sales were in the first half of the year. In 2007, this was due to the transition of our product portfolio to the new unified product portfolio.

Life policies’ in-force face amount (in millions) were as follows:

In-Force Face Amount	As of December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
UL and other	\$291,879	\$310,198	\$299,598
Term insurance	248,726	235,023	235,919
Total in-force face amount	\$540,605	\$545,221	\$535,517

Mortality margins, morbidity margins (for linked-benefit products), investment margins (through spreads or fees), net expense charges (expense charges assessed to the contract holder less expenses incurred to manage the business) and surrender fees drive life insurance profits. Mortality margins represent the difference between amounts charged to the customer to cover the mortality risk and the actual cost of reinsurance and death benefits paid. Mortality charges are either specifically deducted from the contract holder’s policy account value (i.e., cost of insurance assessments or “COIs”) or are embedded in the premiums charged to the customer. In either case, these amounts are a function of the rates priced into the product and level of insurance in force (less reserves previously set aside to fund benefits). Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience.

Similar to the annuity product classifications described above, life products can be classified as “fixed” or “variable” contracts. This classification describes whether we or the contract holders bear the investment risk of the assets supporting the policy. This also determines the manner in which we earn investment margin profits from these products, either as investment spreads for fixed products or as asset-based fees charged to variable products.

We offer four categories of life insurance products consisting of:

Interest-sensitive Life Insurance (Primarily UL)

Interest-sensitive life insurance products provide life insurance with account (cash) values that earn rates of return based on company-declared interest rates. Contract holder account values are invested in our general account investment portfolio, so we bear the risk of investment performance. Some of our UL contracts include secondary guarantees, which are explained more fully below.

In a UL contract, contract holders have flexibility in the timing and amount of premium payments and the amount of death benefit, provided there is sufficient account value to cover all policy charges for mortality and expenses for the coming period. Under certain contract holder options and market conditions, the death benefit amount may increase or decrease. Premiums received on a UL product, net of expense loads and charges, are added to the contract holder's account value. The client has access to their account value (or a portion thereof) through contractual liquidity features such as loans, partial withdrawals and full surrenders. Loans and withdrawals reduce the death benefit amount payable and are limited to certain contractual maximums (some of which are required under state law), and interest is charged on all loans. Our UL contracts assess surrender charges against the policies' account values for full or partial face amount surrenders that occur during the contractual surrender charge period. Depending on the product selected, surrender charge periods can range from 0 to 20 years.

We also offer a fixed indexed UL product that functions similarly to a traditional UL policy, with the added flexibility of allowing contract holders to have portions of their account value earn interest credits linked to the performance of the S&P 500. The indexed interest rate is guaranteed never to be less than 1%. Our fixed indexed UL policy provides contract holders a choice of a traditional fixed rate account and several different indexed accounts. A contract holder may elect to change allocations annually for amounts in the indexed accounts and quarterly for new premiums into the policy. Prior to each new allocation, we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed components, subject to minimum guarantees.

As mentioned previously, we offer survivorship versions of our individual UL products. These products insure two lives with a single policy and pay death benefits upon the second death.

Sales results are heavily influenced by the series of UL products with secondary guarantees. A UL policy with a secondary guarantee can stay in force, even if the base policy account value is zero, as long as secondary guarantee requirements have been met. The secondary guarantee requirement is based on the evaluation of a reference value within the policy, calculated in a manner similar to the base policy account value, but using different assumptions as to expense charges, COI charges and credited interest. The assumptions for the secondary guarantee requirement are listed in the contract. As long as the contract holder funds the policy to a level that keeps this calculated reference value positive, the death benefit will be guaranteed. The reference value has no actual monetary value to the contract holder; it is only a calculated value used to determine whether or not the policy will lapse should the base policy account value be less than zero.

Unlike other GDB designs, our secondary guarantee benefits maintain the flexibility of a traditional UL policy, which allows a contract holder to take loans or withdrawals. Although loans and withdrawals are likely to shorten the time period of the guaranteed death benefit, the guarantee is not automatically or completely forfeited, as is sometimes the case with other death benefit guarantee designs. The length of the guarantee may be increased at any time through additional excess premium deposits. Secondary guarantee UL face amount in force was \$110.4 billion, \$99.0 billion and \$83.9 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For information on the reserving requirements for this business, see "Regulatory" below and "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition" in the MD&A.

We manage investment margins (i.e., the difference between the amount the portfolio earns compared to the amount that is credited to the customer) by seeking to maximize current yields, in line with asset/liability and risk management targets, while crediting a competitive rate to the customer. Crediting rates are typically subject to guaranteed minimums specified in the underlying life insurance contract. Interest-sensitive life account values (including MoneyGuard® and the fixed portion of VUL) were \$27.3 billion, \$27.5 billion and \$26.5 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Linked-benefit Life Products

Linked-benefit life products combine UL with long-term care insurance through the use of riders. The first rider allows the contract holder to accelerate death benefits on a tax-free basis in the event of a qualified long-term care need. The second rider extends the long-term care insurance benefits for an additional period of time if the death benefit is fully depleted for the purposes of long-term care. If the long-term care benefits are never used, the policy provides a tax-free death benefit to the contract holder's heirs. Linked-benefit life products generate earnings through investment, mortality and morbidity margins. MoneyGuard® products are linked-benefit life products.

VUL

VUL products are UL products that provide a return on account values linked to an underlying investment portfolio of sub-accounts offered through the product. The value of the contract holder's account varies with the performance of the sub-accounts chosen by the contract holder. The underlying assets of the sub-accounts are managed within a special insurance series of mutual funds. Premiums, net of expense loads and charges for mortality and expenses, received on VUL products are invested according to the contract holder's investment option selection. As the return on the investment portfolio increases or decreases, the account value of the VUL policy will increase or decrease. As with fixed UL products, contract holders have access, within contractual maximums, to account values through loans, withdrawals and surrenders. Surrender charges are assessed during the surrender charge period, ranging from 0 to 20 years depending on the product. The investment choices we offer in VUL products are the same, in most cases, as the investment choices offered in our individual variable annuity contracts.

In addition, VUL products offer a fixed account option that is managed by us. Investment risk is borne by the customer on all but the fixed account option. We charge fees for mortality costs and administrative expenses as well as asset-based investment management fees. VUL account values (excluding the fixed portion of VUL) were \$4.5 billion, \$4.3 billion and \$6.0 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We also offer survivorship versions of our individual VUL products. These products insure two lives with a single policy and pay death benefits upon the second death.

We also offer an enhanced single life version of our secondary guarantee VUL products with a survivorship option. These products combine the lapse protection elements of UL with the upside potential of a traditional VUL product, giving clients the flexibility to choose the appropriate balance between protection and market risk that meets their individual needs. The combined single life and survivorship face amount in force of these products was \$5.3 billion, \$4.9 billion and \$4.0 billion as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Term Life Insurance

Term life insurance provides a fixed death benefit for a scheduled period of time. It usually does not offer cash values. Scheduled policy premiums are required to be paid at least annually. Products offering a return of premium benefit payable at the end of a specified period are also available.

Distribution

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment's products are sold through LFD. LFD provides the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment with access to financial intermediaries in the following primary distribution channels: wire/regional firms; independent planner firms (including LFN); financial institutions; and managing general agents/independent marketing organizations. LFD primarily distributes COLI and BOLI products to 14 intermediaries who specialize in the executive benefits market and are serviced through a network of internal and external sales professionals

Competition

The life insurance industry is very competitive and consists of many companies with no one company dominating the market for all products. As of the end of 2008, the latest year for which data is available, there were 976 life insurance companies in the U.S., according to the American Council of Life Insurers.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment competes on product design and customer service. The Insurance Solutions –Life Insurance segment designs products specifically for the high net worth and affluent markets. In addition to the growth opportunity offered by its target market, our product breadth, design innovation, competitiveness, speed to market, customer service, underwriting and risk management and extensive distribution network all contribute to the strength of the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. On average, the development of products takes approximately six months. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment implemented several major product upgrades and/or new features, including important UL, VUL, linked-benefit and term product enhancements in 2009. With respect to customer service, management tracks the speed, accuracy and responsiveness of service to customers’ calls and transaction requests. Further, the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment tracks the turnaround time and quality for various client services such as processing of applications.

Underwriting

In the context of life insurance, underwriting is the process of evaluating medical and non-medical information about an individual and determining the effect these factors statistically have on life expectancy or mortality. This process of evaluation is often referred to as risk classification. Of course, no one can accurately predict how long any individual will live, but certain risk factors can affect life expectancy and are evaluated during the underwriting process.

Claims Administration

Claims services are delivered to customers from the Greensboro, North Carolina and Concord, New Hampshire home offices. Claims examiners are assigned to each claim notification based on coverage amount, type of claim and the experience of the examiner. Claims meeting certain criteria are referred to senior claim examiners. A formal quality assurance program is carried out to ensure the consistency and effectiveness of claims examining activities. A network of in-house legal counsel, compliance officers, medical personnel and an anti-fraud investigative unit also support claim examiners. A special team of claims examiners, in conjunction with claims management, focus on more complex claims matters such as long-term care claims, claims incurred during the contestable period, beneficiary disputes, litigated claims and the few invalid claims that are encountered.

The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment maintains a centralized claim service center in order to minimize the volume of clerical and repetitive administrative demands on its claims examiners while providing convenient service to policy owners and beneficiaries.

Insurance Solutions – Group Protection

Overview

The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment based in Omaha, Nebraska offers group non-medical insurance products, principally term life, disability and dental, to the employer marketplace through various forms of contributory and noncontributory plans. Most of the segment's group contracts are sold to employers with fewer than 500 employees.

The segment's insurance premiums (in millions) by product line were as follows:

Insurance Premiums by Product Line	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Life	\$584	\$541	\$494
Disability	692	672	601
Dental	149	150	136
Total non-medical	1,425	1,363	1,231
Medical	154	154	149
Total insurance premiums	\$1,579	\$1,517	\$1,380

Products

Group Life Insurance

We offer employer-sponsored group term life insurance products including basic, optional and voluntary term life insurance to employees and their dependents. Additional benefits may be provided in the event of a covered individual's accidental death or dismemberment.

Group Disability Insurance

We offer short- and long-term employer-sponsored group disability insurance, which protects an employee against loss of wages due to illness or injury. Short-term disability generally provides benefits for up to 26 weeks following a short waiting period, ranging from 1 to 30 days. Long-term disability provides benefits following a longer waiting period, usually between 30 and 180 days and provides benefits for a longer period, at least 2 years and typically extending to normal (Social Security) retirement age.

Group Dental

We offer employer-sponsored group dental insurance, which covers a portion of the cost of eligible dental procedures for employees and their dependents. Products offered include indemnity coverage, which does not distinguish benefits based on a dental provider's participation in a network arrangement, and a Preferred Provider Organization ("PPO") product that does reflect the dental provider's participation in the PPO network arrangement, including agreement with network fee schedules.

Distribution

The segment's products are marketed primarily through a national distribution system, including approximately 140 managers and marketing representatives. The managers and marketing representatives develop business through employee benefit brokers, TPAs and other employee benefit firms.

Competition

The group protection marketplace is very competitive. Principal competitive factors include particular product features, price, quality of customer service and claims management, technological capabilities, financial strength and claims-paying ratings. In the group insurance market, the Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment competes with a limited number of major companies and selected other companies that focus on these products.

Underwriting

The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment's underwriters evaluate the risk characteristics of each employee group. Generally, the relevant characteristics evaluated include employee census information (such as age, gender, income and occupation), employer industry classification, geographic location, benefit design elements and other factors. The segment employs detailed underwriting policies, guidelines and procedures designed to assist the underwriter to properly assess and quantify risks. The segment uses technology to efficiently review, price and issue smaller cases, utilizing its underwriting staff on larger, more complex cases. Individual underwriting techniques (including evaluation of individual medical history information) may be used on certain covered individuals selecting larger benefit amounts. For voluntary and other forms of employee paid coverages, minimum participation requirements are used to obtain a better spread of risk and minimize the risk of anti-selection.

Claims Administration

Claims for the Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment are managed by a staff of experienced claim specialists. Disability claims management is especially important to segment results, as results depend on both the incidence and the length of approved disability claims. The segment employs nurses and rehabilitation specialists to help evaluate medical conditions and develop return to work plans. Independent medical reviews are routinely performed by external medical professionals to further evaluate conditions as part of the claim management process.

OTHER OPERATIONS

Other Operations includes the results of operations that are not directly related to the business segments, unallocated corporate items and the ongoing amortization of deferred gain on the indemnity reinsurance portion of the sale of our former reinsurance segment to Swiss Re in the fourth quarter of 2001. Unallocated corporate items include investment income on investments related to the amount of statutory surplus in our insurance subsidiaries that is not allocated to our business units and other corporate investments, such as our remaining radio properties, interest expense on short-term and long-term borrowings, our closed block of run-off pension business in the form of group

annuity and insured funding-type of contracts with assets under management of approximately \$1.9 billion as of December 31, 2009, and certain expenses, including restructuring and merger-related expenses. Other Operations also includes the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of the indemnity reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re and the results of our remaining media businesses.

Revenues (in millions) from Other Operations were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Operating Revenues			
Insurance premiums	\$4	\$4	\$3
Net investment income	307	358	372
Amortization of deferred gain on business sold through reinsurance	73	74	74
Media revenues (net)	68	85	107
Other revenues and fees	15	13	22
Total operating revenues	\$467	\$534	\$578

REINSURANCE

We follow the industry practice of reinsuring a portion of our life insurance and annuity risks with unaffiliated reinsurers. In a reinsurance transaction, a reinsurer agrees to indemnify another insurer for part or all of its liability under a policy or policies it has issued for an agreed upon premium. We use reinsurance to protect our insurance subsidiaries against the severity of losses on individual claims and unusually serious occurrences in which a number of claims produce an aggregate extraordinary loss. We also use reinsurance to improve our results by leveraging favorable reinsurance pricing. Although reinsurance does not discharge the insurance subsidiaries from their primary liabilities to their contract holders for losses insured under the insurance policies, it does make the assuming reinsurer liable to the insurance subsidiaries for the reinsured portion of the risk. Because we bear the risk of nonpayment by one or more of our reinsurers, we primarily cede reinsurance to well-capitalized, highly rated reinsurers.

We reinsure approximately 45% to 50% of the mortality risk on newly issued non-term life insurance contracts and approximately 30% to 35% of total mortality risk including term insurance contracts. Our policy for this program is to retain no more than \$10 million on a single insured life issued on fixed and VUL insurance contracts. Additionally, the retention per single insured life for term life insurance and for COLI is \$2 million for each type of insurance.

Portions of our deferred annuity business have been reinsured on a modified coinsurance (“Modco”) basis with other companies to limit our exposure to interest rate risks. In a Modco program, the reinsurer shares proportionally in all financial terms of the reinsured policies (i.e. premiums, expenses, claims, etc.) based on their respective quota share of the risk.

In addition, we acquire other reinsurance to cover products other than as discussed above with retentions and limits that management believes are appropriate for the circumstances.

We obtain reinsurance from a diverse group of reinsurers and we monitor concentration and financial strength ratings of our principal reinsurers. Swiss Re represents our largest exposure. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the amounts recoverable from reinsurers were \$6.4 billion and \$8.4 billion, respectively, of which \$3.0 billion and \$4.5 billion was recoverable from Swiss Re for the same periods, respectively.

For more information regarding reinsurance, see “Reinsurance” in the MD&A and Note 9. For risks involving reinsurance, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – We face a risk of non-collectibility of reinsurance, which could materially affect our results of operations.”

RESERVES

The applicable insurance laws under which insurance companies operate require that they report, as liabilities, policy reserves to meet future obligations on their outstanding policies. These reserves are the amounts that, with the additional premiums to be received and interest thereon compounded annually at certain assumed rates, are calculated to be sufficient to meet the various policy and contract obligations as they mature. These laws specify that the reserves shall not be less than reserves calculated using certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates and methods of valuation.

For more information on reserves, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives” and “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations” in the MD&A.

See “Regulatory” below for information on permitted practices and proposed regulations that may impact the amount of statutory reserves necessary to support our current insurance liabilities.

For risks related to reserves, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors – Changes in interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and may result in increased contract withdrawals.”

INVESTMENTS

An important component of our financial results is the return on invested assets. Our investment strategy is to balance the need for current income with prudent risk management, with an emphasis on generating sufficient current income to meet our obligations. This approach requires the evaluation of risk and expected return of each asset class utilized, while still meeting our income objectives. This approach also permits us to be more effective in our asset-liability management because decisions can be made based upon both the economic and current investment income considerations affecting assets and liabilities. Investments by our insurance subsidiaries must comply with the insurance laws and regulations of the states of domicile.

We do not use derivatives for speculative purposes. Derivatives are used for hedging purposes and income generation. Hedging strategies are employed for a number of reasons including, but not limited to, hedging certain portions of our exposure to changes in our GDB, GWB and GIB liabilities, interest rate fluctuations, the widening of bond yield spreads over comparable maturity U.S. Government obligations and credit, foreign exchange and equity risks. Income generation strategies include credit default swaps through replication synthetic asset transactions. These derivatives synthetically create exposure in the general account to corporate debt, similar to investing in the credit markets.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our most significant investment in one issuer was our investment securities issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation with a fair value of \$4.8 billion and \$3.5 billion, or 6% and 5% of our invested assets portfolio totaling \$75.9 billion and \$66.5 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our most significant investment in one industry was our investment securities in the collateralized mortgage obligation industry with a fair value of \$6.9 billion and \$6.8 billion, or 9% and 10% of the invested assets portfolio, respectively.

For additional information on our investments, including carrying values by category, quality ratings and net investment income, see “Consolidated Investments” in the MD&A, as well as Notes 1 and 5.

RATINGS

The Nationally Recognized Statistical Ratings Organizations rate the financial strength of our principal insurance subsidiaries and the debt of LNC. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities.

Rating agencies rate insurance companies based on financial strength and the ability to pay claims, factors more relevant to contract holders than investors. We believe that the ratings assigned by nationally recognized, independent rating agencies are material to our operations. There may be other rating agencies that also rate our securities, which we do not disclose in our reports.

Insurer Financial Strength Ratings

The insurer financial strength rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch Ratings (“Fitch”), Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – A++ to S
- Fitch – AAA to C
- Moody’s – Aaa to C

- S&P – AAA to R

As of February 19, 2010, the financial strength ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our securities, or us, were as follows:

	A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
Insurer Financial Strength Ratings				
LNL	A+	A+	A2	AA-
	(2nd of 16)	(5th of 21)	(6th of 21)	(4th of 21)
Lincoln Life & Annuity Co. of New York ("LLANY")	A+	A+	A2	AA-
	(2nd of 16)	(5th of 21)	(6th of 21)	(4th of 21)
First Penn-Pacific Life Insurance Co. ("FPP")	A+	A+	A2	A+
	(2nd of 16)	(5th of 21)	(6th of 21)	(5th of 21)

A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position in the insurance industry and make it more difficult for us to market our products, as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings.

Debt Ratings

The long-term credit rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – aaa to rs
- Fitch – AAA to D
- Moody's – Aaa to C
- S&P – AAA to D

As of February 19, 2010, our long-term credit ratings, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our long-term credit, were as follows:

A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
a-	BBB	Baa2	A-
(7th of 23)	(9th of 21)	(9th of 21)	(7th of 22)

The short-term credit rating scales of A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P are characterized as follows:

- A.M. Best – AMB-1+ to d
- Fitch – F1+ to D
- Moody's – P-1 to NP
- S&P – A-1+ to D

As of February 19, 2010, our short-term credit ratings, as published by the principal rating agencies that rate our short-term credit, were as follows:

A.M. Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
AMB-1	F2	P-2	A-2
(2nd of 6)	(3rd of 7)	(2nd of 4)	(3rd of 10)

A downgrade of our debt ratings could affect our ability to raise additional debt with terms and conditions similar to our current debt, and accordingly, likely increase our cost of capital. In addition, a downgrade of these ratings could make it more difficult to raise capital to refinance any maturing debt obligations, to support business growth at our insurance subsidiaries and to maintain or improve the current financial strength ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries described above.

All ratings are on outlook negative, with the exception of S&P, which is stable. All of our ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies, and therefore, no assurance can be given that our principal insurance subsidiaries or LNC can maintain these ratings. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

REGULATORY

Insurance Regulation

Our insurance subsidiaries, like other insurance companies, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states, territories and countries in which they are licensed to do business. The extent of such regulation varies, but generally has its source in statutes that delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to supervisory agencies. In the U.S., this power is vested in state insurance departments.

In supervising and regulating insurance companies, state insurance departments, charged primarily with protecting contract holders and the public rather than investors, enjoy broad authority and discretion in applying applicable insurance laws and regulation for that purpose. Our principal insurance subsidiaries, LNL, LLANY and FPP, are domiciled in the states of Indiana, New York and Indiana, respectively.

The insurance departments of the domiciliary states exercise principal regulatory jurisdiction over our insurance subsidiaries. The extent of regulation by the states varies, but in general, most jurisdictions have laws and regulations governing standards of solvency, adequacy of reserves, reinsurance, capital adequacy, licensing of companies and agents to transact business, prescribing and approving policy forms, regulating premium rates for some lines of business, prescribing the form and content of financial statements and reports, regulating the type and amount of investments permitted and standards of business conduct. Insurance company regulation is discussed further under “Insurance Holding Company Regulation” and “Restrictions on Subsidiaries’ Dividends and Other Payments.”

As part of their regulatory oversight process, state insurance departments conduct periodic, generally once every three to five years, examinations of the books, records, accounts, and business practices of insurers domiciled in their states. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, we have not received any material adverse findings resulting from state insurance department examinations of our insurance subsidiaries conducted during this three-year period.

State insurance laws and regulations require our U.S. insurance companies to file financial statements with state insurance departments everywhere they do business, and the operations of our U.S. insurance companies and accounts are subject to examination by those departments at any time. Our U.S. insurance companies prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices and procedures prescribed or permitted by these departments. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) has approved a series of statutory accounting principles that have been adopted, in some cases with minor modifications, by virtually all state insurance departments.

The NAIC allows our U.S. insurance subsidiaries to include certain deferred tax assets in our statutory capital and surplus, but we are not able to consider the benefit from this when calculating available dividends.

A new statutory reserving standard, Actuarial Guideline 43, Commissioners Annuity Reserve Valuation Method for Variable Annuities (“VACARVM”), replaced previous statutory reserving practices for variable annuities with guaranteed benefits, such as GWBs. VACARVM was adopted by the NAIC in September 2008 and was effective as of December 31, 2009. The effect of the adoption was dependent upon several factors, including account values, market conditions, the carrying value of derivative and other assets as compared to the carrying value of the reserves (whose change in value may be uncorrelated with the new reserving requirements) they supported and the use of captive or third-party reinsurance that existed as of December 31, 2009. For more information on VACARVM and our use of captive reinsurance structures, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources” in the MD&A.

Insurance Holding Company Regulation

LNC and its primary insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation pursuant to the insurance holding company laws of the states of Indiana and New York. These insurance holding company laws generally require an insurance holding company and insurers that are members of such insurance holding company’s system to register with the insurance department authorities, to file with it certain reports disclosing information, including their capital structure, ownership, management, financial condition, and certain inter-company transactions, including material transfers of assets and inter-company business agreements and to report material changes in that information. These laws also require that inter-company transactions be fair and reasonable and, under certain circumstances, prior approval of the insurance departments must be received before entering into an inter-company transaction. Further, these laws require that an insurer’s contract holders’ surplus following any dividends or distributions to shareholder affiliates is reasonable in relation to the insurer’s outstanding liabilities and adequate for its financial needs.

In general, under state holding company regulations, no person may acquire, directly or indirectly, a controlling interest in our capital stock unless such person, corporation or other entity has obtained prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner for such acquisition of control. Pursuant to such laws, in general, any person acquiring, controlling or holding the power to vote, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting securities of an insurance company, is presumed to have "control" of such company. This presumption may be rebutted by a showing that control does not exist in fact. The insurance commissioner, however, may find that "control" exists in circumstances in which a person owns or controls a smaller amount of voting securities. To obtain approval from the insurance commissioner of any acquisition of control of an insurance company, the proposed acquirer must file with the applicable commissioner an application containing information regarding: the identity and background of the acquirer and its affiliates; the nature, source and amount of funds to be used to carry out the acquisition; the financial statements of the acquirer and its affiliates; any potential plans for disposition of the securities or business of the insurer; the number and type of securities to be acquired; any contracts with respect to the securities to be acquired; any agreements with broker-dealers; and other matters.

Other jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are licensed to transact business may have similar or additional requirements for prior approval of any acquisition of control of an insurance or reinsurance company licensed or authorized to transact business in those jurisdictions. Additional requirements in those jurisdictions may include re-licensing or subsequent approval for renewal of existing licenses upon an acquisition of control. As further described below, laws that govern the holding company structure also govern payment of dividends to us by our insurance subsidiaries.

Restrictions on Subsidiaries' Dividends and Other Payments

We are a holding company that transacts substantially all of our business directly and indirectly through subsidiaries. Our primary assets are the stock of our operating subsidiaries. Our ability to meet our obligations on our outstanding debt and to pay dividends and our general and administrative expenses depends on the surplus and earnings of our subsidiaries and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain insurance department regulatory restrictions as to the transfer of funds and payment of dividends to the holding company. Under Indiana laws and regulations, our Indiana insurance subsidiaries, including our primary insurance subsidiary, LNL, may pay dividends to LNC without prior approval of the Indiana Insurance Commissioner (the "Commissioner"), only from unassigned surplus or must receive prior approval of the Commissioner to pay a dividend if such dividend, along with all other dividends paid within the preceding 12 consecutive months, would exceed the statutory limitation. The current statutory limitation is the greater of 10% of the insurer's contract holders' surplus, as shown on its last annual statement on file with the Commissioner or the insurer's statutory net gain from operations for the previous 12 months, but in no event to exceed statutory unassigned surplus. As discussed above, we may not consider the benefit from the statutory accounting principles relating to our insurance subsidiaries' deferred tax assets in calculating available dividends. Indiana law gives the Commissioner broad discretion to disapprove requests for dividends in excess of these limits. New York, the state of domicile of our other major insurance subsidiary, LLANY, has similar restrictions, except that in New York it is the lesser of 10% of surplus to contract holders as of the immediately preceding calendar year or net gain from operations for the immediately preceding calendar year, not including realized capital gains.

Indiana law also provides that following the payment of any dividend, the insurer's contract holders' surplus must be reasonable in relation to its outstanding liabilities and adequate for its financial needs, and permits the Commissioner to bring an action to rescind a dividend which violates these standards. In the event that the Commissioner determines that the contract holders' surplus of one subsidiary is inadequate, the Commissioner could use his or her broad discretionary authority to seek to require us to apply payments received from another subsidiary for the benefit of that insurance subsidiary. For information regarding dividends paid to us during 2009 from our insurance subsidiaries, see "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow" in the MD&A.

Risk-Based Capital ("RBC")

The NAIC has adopted RBC requirements for life insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks. The requirements provide a means of measuring the minimum amount of statutory surplus appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations based on its size and risk profile. There are five major risks involved in determining the requirements:

Category	Name	Description
Asset risk - affiliates	C-0	Risk of assets' default for certain affiliated investments
Asset risk - other	C-1	Risk of assets' default of principal and interest or

Insurance risk	C-2	fluctuation in fair value Risk of underestimating liabilities from business already written or inadequately pricing business to be written in the future
Interest rate risk, health credit risk and market risk	C-3	Risk of losses due to changes in interest rate levels, risk that health benefits prepaid to providers become the obligation of the health insurer once again and risk of loss due to changes in market levels associated with variable products with guarantees
Business risk	C-4	Risk of general business

A company's risk-based statutory surplus is calculated by applying factors and performing calculations relating to various asset, premium, claim, expense and reserve items. Regulators can then measure adequacy of a company's statutory surplus by comparing it to the RBC determined by the formula. Under RBC requirements, regulatory compliance is determined by the ratio of a company's total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its company action level of RBC (known as the RBC ratio), also as defined by the NAIC. Accordingly, factors that have an impact on the total adjusted capital of our insurance subsidiaries, such as the permitted practices discussed above, will also affect their RBC levels.

Four levels of regulatory attention may be triggered if the RBC ratio is insufficient:

- "Company action level" – If the RBC ratio is between 75% and 100%, then the insurer must submit a plan to the regulator detailing corrective action it proposes to undertake;
- "Regulatory action level" – If the RBC ratio is between 50% and 75%, then the insurer must submit a plan, but a regulator may also issue a corrective order requiring the insurer to comply within a specified period;
- "Authorized control level" – If the RBC ratio is between 35% and 50%, then the regulatory response is the same as at the "Regulatory action level," but in addition, the regulator may take action to rehabilitate or liquidate the insurer; and
- "Mandatory control level" – If the RBC ratio is less than 35%, then the regulator must rehabilitate or liquidate the insurer.

As of December 31, 2009, the RBC ratios of LNL, LLANY and FPP reported to their respective states of domicile and the NAIC all exceeded the "company action level." We believe that we will be able to maintain the RBC ratios of our insurance subsidiaries in excess of "company action level" through prudent underwriting, claims handling, investing and capital management. However, no assurances can be given that developments affecting the insurance subsidiaries, many of which could be outside of our control, will not cause the RBC ratios to fall below our targeted levels. These developments may include, but may not be limited to: changes to the manner in which the RBC ratio is calculated; new regulatory requirements for calculating reserves, such as principles based reserving; economic conditions leading to higher levels of impairments of securities in our insurance subsidiaries' general accounts; and an inability to finance life reserves including the issuing of letters of credit supporting captive reinsurance structures.

See "Item 1A. Risk Factors – A decrease in the capital and surplus of our insurance subsidiaries may result in a downgrade to our credit and insurer financial strength ratings."

Privacy Regulations

In the course of our business, we collect and maintain personal data from our customers including personally identifiable non-public financial and health information, which subjects us to regulation under federal and state privacy laws. These laws require that we institute certain policies and procedures in our business to safeguard this information from improper use or disclosure. If the federal or state regulators establish further regulations for addressing customer privacy, we may need to amend our policies and adapt our internal procedures.

Federal Initiatives

The U.S. federal government does not directly regulate the insurance industry; however, federal initiatives from time to time can impact the insurance industry.

Health Care Reform Legislation

In 2009, the House and the Senate passed health care reform legislation. Many details will need to be worked out before a final bill emerges, but both the House and Senate bills as passed include a number of provisions that provide

for new or increased taxes to help finance the cost of these reforms, substantive changes to existing health care laws and the addition of new health care and related laws, each of which could potentially impact some of our lines of businesses.

Financial Reform Legislation

In 2009, the House and the Senate both considered legislation related to financial regulatory reform. In December 2009, the House passed H.R. 4173, "The Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2009," a wide-ranging bill that includes a number of reforms. The bill includes, among other things, a new harmonized fiduciary standard for broker-dealers and investment advisers, the creation of the Consumer Financial Protection Agency, the creation of a pre-funded resolution trust to cover the costs of winding down certain failing institutions, the creation of the Federal Insurance Office within the Treasury Department and provisions relating to executive compensation. The current version of the bill would require insurance companies to contribute funds to the resolution trust, but the amount the Company would have to contribute is currently unknown. Similar legislation is currently under consideration, in draft form, in the Senate Banking Committee. The House bill will have to be reconciled before a single bill could be sent to the President and signed into law.

Stimulus Legislation

In reaction to the recession, credit market illiquidity and global financial crisis experienced during the latter part of 2008 and into 2009, Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”) on October 3, 2008, and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”) which was signed into law on February 17, 2009, in an effort to restore liquidity to the U.S. credit markets and to stimulate the U.S. economy. The ARRA and the Troubled Asset Relief Program (“TARP”) authorized the purchase of “troubled assets” from financial institutions, including insurance companies. Pursuant to the authority granted under the TARP, the U.S. Treasury also adopted the Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”), the Generally Available Capital Access Program and the Exceptional Financial Recovery Assistance Program.

TARP CPP

On November 13, 2008, we filed an application to participate in the CPP that was established under the EESA. On January 8, 2009, the Office of Thrift Supervision approved our application to become a savings and loan holding company and our acquisition of NCLS, a federally regulated savings bank located in Indiana. We contributed \$10 million to the capital of NCLS and closed on our purchase on January 15, 2009. On May 8, 2009, the U.S. Treasury granted us preliminary approval to participate in the CPP. On July 10, 2009, we issued, in a private placement, \$950 million of Series B preferred stock and a warrant for 13,049,451 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$10.92 per share to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP. See “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow – Financing Activities” for more information about our preferred stock issuance.

Participation in the CPP subjects us to increased oversight by the U.S. Treasury. The U.S. Treasury has the power to unilaterally amend the terms of the purchase agreement to the extent required to comply with changes in applicable statutes and to inspect our corporate books and records through our federal banking regulators. In addition, the U.S. Treasury has the right to appoint two directors to our Board if we miss dividend payments on the preferred stock as discussed below. Participation in the CPP may also subject us to increased Congressional scrutiny.

In connection with participating in the CPP, because we registered as a savings and loan holding company, we are subjected to new legal and regulatory requirements, including minimum capital requirements, regulation and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision.

We are also subject to certain restrictions, including limits on incentive compensation for certain executives and employees for the duration of the U.S. Treasury’s investment. We are subject to limits on increasing the dividend on our common stock and redeeming capital stock (unless the U.S. Treasury consents), both of which apply until the third anniversary of the U.S. Treasury’s investment unless we redeem the Series B preferred shares in whole or the U.S. Treasury transfers all of the Series B preferred stock to third parties.

Financial Crisis Responsibility Fee

In January 2010, the President proposed as a part of its budget proposal a new “financial crisis responsibility fee” on certain financial institutions as a means to recoup any shortfall in revenues resulting from the TARP program, so that the program does not add to the federal budget deficit. As proposed, the fee would apply to financial institutions, including bank holding companies, thrift holding companies, insured depositories, and insurance companies that own one of these entities, with over \$50 billion in assets, regardless of whether the firm participated in the TARP program. The fee as proposed is expected to be an assessment of 15 basis points against a calculated “covered liabilities” amount and would be in place for a minimum of 10 years. Details as to the precise calculation of “covered liabilities” are still unclear. Legislation implementing this fee will need to be introduced and passed by Congress

before this tax would take effect.

Federal Tax Legislation

In June 2001, the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (“EGTRRA”) was enacted. EGTRRA contains provisions that will continue in effect, near term, the significantly lower individual tax rates. These may have the effect of reducing the benefits of tax deferral on the inside build-up of annuities and life insurance products. EGTRRA also includes provisions that eliminate the estate tax for a single year in 2010, while also replacing the step-up in basis rule applicable to property held in a decedent’s estate with a modified carryover basis rule. EGTRRA also reduces the gift tax rate in 2010 to the highest marginal rate (35% in 2010). Some of these changes might hinder our sales and result in the increased surrender of insurance and annuity products. These provisions all expire after 2010, unless extended. Should these provisions not be extended, the higher marginal tax rates on individuals could have a positive impact upon the sale of our insurance and annuity products.

In May 2003, the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (“JGTRRA”) was enacted. Individual taxpayers are the principal beneficiaries of JGTRRA, which accelerated certain of the income tax rate reductions enacted originally under the EGTRRA, as well as reduced the long-term capital gains and dividend tax rates to 15%. On May 17, 2006, the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2006 (“TIPRA”) was signed into law. TIPRA extended the lower capital gains and dividends rates through the end of 2010. Unless further extended, these rate reductions expire after 2010. Should the lower capital gains and dividend rates not be extended beyond 2010, the higher rates on investment income could have a positive impact on the sale of our insurance and annuity products.

On August 17, 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (“PPA”) was signed into law. The PPA makes numerous changes to pension and other tax laws including: permanence for the EGTRRA enacted pension provisions including higher annual contribution limits for DC plans and IRAs as well as catch-up contributions for persons over age 50; clarification of the safest available annuity standard for the selection of an annuity as a distribution option for DC plans; expansion of investment advice options for DC plan participants and IRA owners; more stringent funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans and clarification of the legal status of hybrid (cash balance) pension plans; non-pension related tax changes, such as the codification of COLI best practices, bringing more certainty to this market segment; permanence for EGTRRA enacted tax benefits for Section 529 college savings plans; and favorable tax treatment for long-term care insurance included as a rider to or on annuity products. We expect many of these changes to have a beneficial effect upon various segments of our business lines.

On February 1, 2010, the Obama Administration submitted to Congress its fiscal year 2011 budget proposal. Included therein are policy and tax recommendations that could have an effect upon our company and our products and many were originally proposed in last year’s budget submission to Congress. Included among the various proposed policy recommendations are modifications to the dividend received deduction for life insurance company separate accounts, a permanent extension of the unemployment insurance surtax at the 8% rate, codification of the economic substance doctrine, a doctrine that generally denies tax benefits from a transaction that does not meaningfully change a taxpayer’s economic position other than tax consequences and the imposition of a Financial Crisis Responsibility Fee upon financial services firms with assets in excess of \$50 billion. If these proposed changes were enacted into law or, if applicable, changed through the rulemaking process, they could have an adverse effect upon the company’s profitability. The budget also proposes changes to the tax laws that would affect individuals that purchase products offered and sold through our various business lines, including such items as expanding the pro-rata disallowance for COLI, changes to the estate tax, the expiration of lower marginal rates and lower capital gains and dividends rates for certain upper-income taxpayers, allowing partial annuitization of non-qualified annuity contracts, the creation of an auto-enrollment IRA program for small employers and encouraging increased use of qualified plans through tax credits to defray start up costs. Some of these proposed changes, should they become law, would have the potential to improve the attractiveness of our products to consumers and enhance our sales. Other provisions could have the opposite effect. The submission of the Administration’s budget to Congress begins the Budget Resolution process that, if successfully passed, could potentially include some combination of the provisions described above. However, most of the proposed changes contained in either the Administration’s budget submission to Congress or included in a Congressional Budget Resolution should one be passed, would still require separate legislation that would have to move through both houses of Congress before being signed into law by the President.

Patriot Act

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (“Patriot Act”) contains anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and mandates the implementation of various new regulations applicable to broker-dealers and other financial services companies, including insurance companies. The Patriot Act seeks to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. Anti-money laundering laws outside of the U.S. contain provisions that may be different, conflicting or

more rigorous. The increased obligations of financial institutions to identify their customers, watch for and report suspicious transactions, respond to requests for information by regulatory authorities and law enforcement agencies, and share information with other financial institutions require the implementation and maintenance of internal practices, procedures and controls.

Employee Retirement Income Security Act (“ERISA”) Considerations

ERISA is a comprehensive federal statute that applies to U.S. employee benefit plans sponsored by private employers and labor unions. Plans subject to ERISA include pension and profit sharing plans and welfare plans, including health, life and disability plans. ERISA provisions include reporting and disclosure rules, standards of conduct that apply to plan fiduciaries and prohibitions on transactions known as “prohibited transactions,” such as conflict-of-interest transactions and certain transactions between a benefit plan and a party in interest. ERISA also provides for a scheme of civil and criminal penalties and enforcement. Our insurance, asset management, plan administrative services and other businesses provide services to employee benefit plans subject to ERISA, including services where we may act as an ERISA fiduciary. In addition to ERISA regulation of businesses providing products and services to ERISA plans, we become subject to ERISA’s prohibited transaction rules for transactions with those plans, which may affect our ability to enter transactions, or the terms on which transactions may be entered, with those plans, even in businesses unrelated to those giving rise to party in interest status.

Broker-Dealer, Securities and Savings and Loan Regulation

In addition to being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, some of our separate accounts as well as mutual funds that we sponsor are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the shares of certain of these entities are qualified for sale in some or all states and the District of Columbia. We also have several subsidiaries that are registered as broker-dealers under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and are subject to federal and state regulation, including but not limited to the Financial Industry Regulation Authority’s (“FINRA”) net capital rules. In addition, we have several subsidiaries that are investment advisors registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Agents and employees registered or associated with any of our broker-dealer subsidiaries are subject to the Exchange Act and to examination requirements and regulation by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), FINRA and state securities commissioners. Regulation also extends to various LNC entities that employ or control those individuals. The SEC and other governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations, as well as state securities commissions in the U.S., have the power to conduct administrative proceedings that can result in censure, fines, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or suspension and termination or limitation of the activities of the regulated entity or its employees.

Our U.S. banking operations are subject to federal and state regulation. As a result of its ownership of NCLS, which was approved on January 8, 2009, LNC is considered to be a savings and loan holding company and, along with NCLS, is subject to annual examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision of the U.S. Department of Treasury. Federal and state banking laws generally provide that no person may acquire control of LNC, and gain indirect control of NCLS, without prior regulatory approval. Generally, beneficial ownership of 10% or more of the voting securities of LNC would be presumed to constitute control.

Environmental Considerations

Federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations apply to our ownership and operation of real property. Inherent in owning and operating real property are the risk of hidden environmental liabilities and the costs of any required clean-up. Under the laws of certain states, contamination of a property may give rise to a lien on the property to secure recovery of the costs of clean-up, which could adversely affect our commercial mortgage lending. In several states, this lien has priority over the lien of an existing mortgage against such property. In addition, in some states and under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), we may be liable, as an “owner” or “operator,” for costs of cleaning-up releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at a property mortgaged to us. We also risk environmental liability when we foreclose on a property mortgaged to us. Federal legislation provides for a safe harbor from CERCLA liability for secured lenders that foreclose and sell the mortgaged real estate, provided that certain requirements are met. However, there are circumstances in which actions taken could still expose us to CERCLA liability. Application of various other federal and state environmental laws could also result in the imposition of liability on us for costs associated with environmental hazards.

We routinely conduct environmental assessments for real estate we acquire for investment and before taking title through foreclosure to real property collateralizing mortgages that we hold. Although unexpected environmental liabilities can always arise, based on these environmental assessments and compliance with our internal procedures, we believe that any costs associated with compliance with environmental laws and regulations or any clean-up of properties would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. We have implemented a patent strategy designed to protect innovative aspects of our products and

processes which we believe distinguish us from competitors. We currently own four issued U.S. patents and have additional patent applications pending in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Our currently issued U.S. patents will expire between 2015 and 2021. We intend to continue to file patent applications as we develop new products, technologies and patentable enhancements.

We regard our patents as valuable assets and intend to vigorously protect them against infringement. However, complex legal and factual determinations and evolving laws make patent protection uncertain, and while we believe our patents provide us with a competitive advantage, we cannot be certain that patents will be issued from any of our pending patent applications or that any issued patents will have sufficient breadth to offer meaningful protection. In addition, our issued patents may be successfully challenged, invalidated, circumvented or found unenforceable so that our patent rights would not create an effective competitive barrier. We have in the past instituted litigation against competitors to enforce our intellectual property rights with success. For example, during 2009 we won a \$13 million judgment that upheld the validity of one of our patents and found infringement by the defendants. We are currently reviewing the judgment and its applicability in relation to other potentially infringing parties.

Finally, we have an extensive portfolio of trademarks and service marks that we consider important in the marketing of our products and services, including, among others, the trademarks of the Lincoln National and Lincoln Financial names, the Lincoln silhouette logo and the combination of these marks. Trademark registrations may be renewed indefinitely subject to continued use and registration requirements. We regard our trademarks as valuable assets in marketing our products and services and protect them against infringement.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2009, we had a total of 8,208 employees. In addition, we had a total of 1,331 planners and agents who had active sales contracts with one of our insurance subsidiaries. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We consider our employee relations to be good.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other documents with the SEC under the Exchange Act. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, including LNC, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

We also make available, free of charge, on or through our Internet website <http://www.lincolnfinancial.com>, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

The information on the website listed above is not, and should not, be considered part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document. This website is, and is only intended to be, an inactive textual reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks described below before investing in our securities. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected. In that case, the value of our securities could decline substantially.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may affect our ability to meet liquidity needs, access to capital and cost of capital.

The capital and credit markets have experienced extreme volatility and disruption for more than twelve months. During this period, the markets exerted downward pressure on availability of liquidity and credit capacity for certain issuers.

We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt and dividends on our capital stock, to maintain our securities lending activities and to replace certain maturing liabilities. Without sufficient liquidity, we will be forced to curtail our operations, and our business will suffer. As a holding company with no direct operations, our principal asset is the capital stock of our insurance subsidiaries. Our ability to meet our obligations for payment of interest and principal on outstanding debt obligations and to pay dividends to shareholders and corporate expenses depends significantly upon the surplus and earnings of our subsidiaries and the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us. Payments of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us by our insurance subsidiaries are restricted by the applicable laws and regulations of their respective jurisdictions, including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. Changes in these laws could constrain the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us in sufficient amounts and at times necessary to meet our debt obligations and corporate expenses. For our insurance and other subsidiaries, the principal sources of our liquidity are insurance premiums and fees, annuity considerations and cash flow from our investment portfolio and assets, consisting mainly of cash or assets that are readily convertible into cash. At the holding company level, sources of liquidity in normal markets also include a variety of short-term liquid investments and short- and long-term instruments, including credit facilities, commercial paper and medium- and long-term debt.

In the event that current resources do not satisfy our needs, we may have to seek additional financing. The availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit to the financial services industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that customers or lenders could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects if we incur large investment losses or if the level of our business activity decreases due to a market downturn. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. See “Item 1. Business – Ratings” for a complete description of our ratings. Our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all.

Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital and credit markets may also limit our access to capital required to operate our business, most significantly our insurance operations. Such market conditions may limit our ability to replace, in a timely manner, maturing liabilities; satisfy statutory capital requirements; generate fee income and market-related revenue to meet liquidity needs; and access the capital necessary to grow our business. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital, issue shorter term securities than we prefer or bear an unattractive cost of capital which could decrease our profitability and significantly reduce our financial flexibility. Recently, our credit spreads have shown considerable volatility. A widening of our credit spreads could increase the interest rate we must pay on any new debt obligation we may issue. Our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and statutory

capital position could be materially adversely affected by disruptions in the financial markets.

Difficult conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations and we expect any recovery to be slow.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally, both in the U.S. and elsewhere around the world. The stress experienced by global capital markets that began in the second half of 2007, substantially increased during the second half of 2008 and continued through the first part of 2009. Concerns over unemployment, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S. contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the markets going forward. These events and the reemergence of market upheavals may have an adverse effect on us, in part because we have a large investment portfolio and are also dependent upon customer behavior. Our revenues are likely to decline in such circumstances and our profit margins could erode. In addition, in the event of extreme prolonged market events, such as the global credit crisis, we could incur significant losses. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2009, our earnings were unfavorably affected by realized investment losses and impairments of intangible assets of \$1.1 billion. Even in the absence of a market downturn, we are exposed to substantial risk of loss due to market volatility.

Factors such as consumer spending, business investment, government spending, the volatility and strength of the capital markets and inflation all affect the business and economic environment and, ultimately, the amount and profitability of our business. In an economic downturn characterized by higher unemployment, lower family income, lower corporate earnings, lower business investment and lower consumer spending, the demand for our financial and insurance products could be adversely affected. In addition, we may experience an elevated incidence of claims and lapses or surrenders of policies. Our contract holders may choose to defer paying insurance premiums or stop paying insurance premiums altogether. Adverse changes in the economy could affect earnings negatively and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our participation in the TARP CPP subjects us to additional restrictions, oversight and costs, and has other potential consequences, which could materially affect our business, results and prospects.

On July 10, 2009, in connection with the TARP CPP, we issued and sold to the U.S. Treasury 950,000 shares of Series B preferred stock together with a related warrant to purchase up to 13,049,451 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$10.92 per share, in accordance with the terms of the TARP CPP, for an aggregate purchase price of \$950 million. Access to TARP CPP was an important component of our strategy to enhance our capital position and financial flexibility. We believe that the amount of our participation in the TARP CPP offers us the ability to exit the program, if necessary, to manage the potential material consequences to our businesses from the potential restrictions, oversight and costs of participation, which include the following:

- Our acceptance of the TARP CPP funds could cause us to be perceived as having greater capital needs and weaker overall financial prospects than those of our competitors that have stated that they are not participating in the TARP CPP, which could adversely affect our competitive position and results;
- Receipt of the TARP CPP funds subjects us to restrictions, oversight and costs that may have an adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock. For example, the ARRA and recently promulgated regulations thereunder contain significant limitations on the amount and form of bonus, retention and other incentive compensation that participants in the TARP CPP may pay to executive officers and senior management. These provisions may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain executive officers and other key personnel. Other regulatory initiatives applicable to participants in federal funding programs may also be forthcoming as the U.S. Government continues to address dislocations in the financial markets. Compliance with such current and potential regulation and scrutiny may significantly increase our costs, impede the efficiency of our internal business processes, require us to increase our regulatory capital and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner;
- Future federal statutes may adversely affect the terms of the TARP CPP that are applicable to us and the Treasury Department may amend the terms of our agreement with them unilaterally if required by future statutes, including in a manner materially adverse to us;
- Our participation in the TARP CPP imposes additional restrictions on our ability to increase our common stock dividend. In particular, we would need to obtain the U.S. Treasury's consent for any increase in our current quarterly dividend of \$0.01 per share of our common stock, as well as any stock repurchase, until the third anniversary of such investment unless, prior to such third anniversary, we redeem all of the shares of Series B preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury or the U.S. Treasury transfers such preferred stock to third parties. We are also unable to repurchase or redeem shares of our common stock or any series of preferred stock outstanding unless all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods on the Series B preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury are fully paid; and
- If we do not repurchase the warrant from the U.S. Treasury when we repay the investment, the U.S. Treasury will liquidate the warrant, which will dilute the ownership interest of our existing holders of common stock.

If our businesses do not perform well and/or their estimated fair values decline or the price of our common stock does not increase, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our goodwill or to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred income tax asset, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Goodwill represents the excess of the acquisition price incurred to acquire subsidiaries and other businesses over the fair value of their net assets as of the date of acquisition. As of December 31, 2009, we had a total of \$3.0 billion of goodwill on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, of which \$2.2 billion related to our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment and \$440 million related to our Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment. We test goodwill at least annually for indications of value impairment with consideration given to financial performance and other relevant factors. In addition, certain events, including a significant and adverse change in legal factors or the business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator or unanticipated competition, would cause us to review the carrying amounts of goodwill for impairment. Impairment testing is performed based upon estimates of the fair value of the “reporting unit” to which the goodwill relates. The reporting unit is the operating segment or a business one level below that operating segment if discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management at that level. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill is impaired and written down to its fair value, and a charge is reported in impairment of intangibles on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). For the year ended December 31, 2009, we took total pre-tax impairment charges of \$680 million, primarily related to our annuities business.

Subsequent reviews of goodwill could result in additional impairment of goodwill during 2010, and such write downs could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position, but will not affect the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries. For more information on goodwill, see Note 10 and “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” in the MD&A.

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are assessed periodically by management to determine if they are realizable. Factors in management’s determination include the performance of the business, including the ability to generate capital gains from a variety of sources and tax planning strategies. If, based on available information, it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax asset will not be realized, then a valuation allowance must be established with a corresponding charge to net income. Such valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position, but will not affect the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries.

Because we are a holding company with no direct operations, the inability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to us in sufficient amounts would harm our ability to meet our obligations.

We are a holding company and we have no direct operations. Our principal asset is the capital stock of our insurance subsidiaries.

At the holding company level, sources of liquidity in normal markets include a variety of short- and long-term instruments, including credit facilities, commercial paper and medium- and long-term debt. However, our ability to meet our obligations for payment of interest and principal on outstanding debt obligations and to pay dividends to shareholders, repurchase our securities and pay corporate expenses depends primarily on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us. Under Indiana laws and regulations, our Indiana insurance subsidiaries, including LNL, our primary insurance subsidiary, may pay dividends to us without prior approval of the Commissioner up to a certain threshold, or must receive prior approval of the Commissioner to pay a dividend if such dividend, along with all other dividends paid within the preceding 12 consecutive months exceed the statutory limitation. The current Indiana statutory limitation is the greater of 10% of the insurer’s contract holders’ surplus, as shown on its last annual statement on file with the Commissioner or the insurer’s statutory net gain from operations for the prior calendar year.

In addition, payments of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us by our insurance subsidiaries are restricted by the applicable laws of their respective jurisdictions requiring that our insurance subsidiaries hold a specified amount of minimum reserves in order to meet future obligations on their outstanding policies. These regulations specify that the minimum reserves shall be calculated to be sufficient to meet future obligations, after giving consideration to future required premiums to be received, and are based on certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates and methods of valuation, which are subject to change. In order to meet their claims-paying obligations, our insurance subsidiaries regularly monitor their reserves to ensure we hold sufficient amounts to cover actual or expected contract and claims payments. At times, we may determine that reserves in excess of the minimum may be needed to ensure sufficiency.

Changes in these laws can constrain the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to advance or repay funds to us in sufficient amounts and at times necessary to meet our debt obligations and corporate expenses. For example, in September of 2008, the NAIC adopted a new statutory reserving standard for variable annuities known as VACARVM, which was effective as of December 31, 2009. This reserving requirement replaced the previous statutory reserving practices for variable annuities with guaranteed benefits, and any change in reserving practices has the potential to increase or decrease statutory reserves from previous levels. Requiring our insurance subsidiaries to hold additional reserves has the potential to constrain their ability to pay dividends to the holding company.

Investments of our insurance subsidiaries support their statutory reserve liabilities. As of December 31, 2009, 67% of these investments were available-for-sale (“AFS”) fixed maturity securities of various holdings, types and maturities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. Beginning in 2008 and continuing into 2009, the capital and credit markets experienced an unusually high degree of volatility. As a result, the market for fixed income securities has experienced illiquidity, increased price volatility, credit downgrade events and increased expected probability of default. Securities that are less liquid are more difficult to value and may be hard to sell, if desired. These market disruptions have led to increased impairments of securities in the general accounts of our insurance subsidiaries, thereby reducing contract holders’ surplus.

The earnings of our insurance subsidiaries also impact contract holders’ surplus. Principal sources of earnings are insurance premiums and fees, annuity considerations and income from our investment portfolio and assets, consisting mainly of cash or assets that are readily convertible into cash. Recent economic conditions have resulted in lower earnings in our insurance subsidiaries. Lower earnings constrain the growth in our insurance subsidiaries’ capital, and therefore, can constrain the payment of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us.

In addition, the amount of surplus that our insurance subsidiaries could pay as dividends is constrained by the amount of surplus they hold to maintain their financial strength ratings, to provide an additional layer of margin for risk protection and for future investment in our businesses. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we believe that our insurance subsidiaries have sufficient liquidity to meet their contract holder obligations and maintain their operations.

The result of the difficult economic and market conditions in reducing the contract holders’ surplus of our insurance subsidiaries has affected our ability to pay shareholder dividends and to engage in share repurchases. We have taken actions to reduce the holding company’s liquidity needs, including reducing our quarterly common dividend to \$0.01 per share, as well as to increase the capital of our insurance subsidiaries through our \$690 million common stock offering in June 2009 and participation in the TARP CPP. In the event that current resources do not satisfy our current needs, we may have to seek additional financing, which may not be available or only available with unfavorable terms and conditions. For a further discussion of liquidity, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources” in the MD&A.

The difficulties faced by other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks and other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty. In addition, with respect to secured transactions, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure due to it. We also may have exposure to these financial institutions in the form of unsecured debt instruments, derivative transactions and/or equity investments. There can be no assurance that any such losses or impairments to the carrying value of these assets would not materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Furthermore, we distribute a significant amount of our insurance, annuity and mutual fund products through large financial institutions. We believe that the mergers of several of these entities, as well as the negative impact of the markets on these entities, has disrupted and may lead to further disruption of their businesses, which may have a negative effect on our production levels.

Our participation in a securities lending program and a reverse repurchase program subjects us to potential liquidity and other risks.

We participate in a securities lending program for our general account whereby fixed income securities are loaned by our agent bank to third parties, primarily major brokerage firms and commercial banks. The borrowers of our securities provide us with collateral, typically in cash, which we separately maintain. We invest such cash collateral in other securities, primarily in commercial paper and money market or other short term funds. Securities with a fair value of \$479 million were on loan under the program as of December 31, 2009. Securities loaned under such transactions may be sold or repledged by the transferee. We were liable for cash collateral under our control of \$501 million as of December 31, 2009.

We participate in a reverse repurchase program for our general account whereby we sell fixed income securities to third parties, primarily major brokerage firms, with a concurrent agreement to repurchase those same securities at a determined future date. The borrowers of our securities provide us with cash collateral which is typically invested in fixed maturity securities. The fair value of securities pledged under reverse repurchase agreements was \$359 million as of December 31, 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, substantially all of the securities on loan under the program could be returned to us by the borrowers at any time. Collateral received under the reverse repurchase program cannot be returned prior to maturity; however, market conditions on the repurchase date may limit our ability to enter into new agreements. The return of loaned securities or our inability to enter into new reverse repurchase agreements would require us to return the cash collateral associated with such securities. In addition, in some cases, the maturity of the securities held as invested collateral (i.e., securities that we have purchased with cash received from the third parties) may exceed the term of the related securities and the market value may fall below the amount of cash received as collateral and invested. If we are required to return significant amounts of cash collateral on short notice and we are forced to sell securities to meet the return obligation, we may have difficulty selling such collateral that is invested in securities in a timely manner, and we may be forced to sell securities in a volatile or illiquid market for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize under normal market conditions, or both. In addition, under stressful capital market and economic conditions, such as those conditions we have experienced in the last twelve months, liquidity broadly deteriorates, which may further restrict our ability to sell securities.

Our reserves for future policy benefits and claims related to our current and future business as well as businesses we may acquire in the future may prove to be inadequate.

We establish and carry, as a liability, reserves based on estimates of how much we will need to pay for future benefits and claims. For our insurance products, we calculate these reserves based on many assumptions and estimates, including, but not limited to, estimated premiums we will receive over the assumed life of the policy, the timing of the event covered by the insurance policy, the lapse rate of the policies, the amount of benefits or claims to be paid and the investment returns on the assets we purchase with the premiums we receive.

As part of our transition plan related to the rescission of a reinsurance treaty covering disability income business, we conducted a reserve study to determine the adequacy of the reserves to cover contract holder obligations during the fourth quarter of 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we increased reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and wrote off certain receivables related to the rescission that were deemed to be uncollectible, which resulted in a \$33 million unfavorable effect to net income.

The sensitivity of our statutory reserves and surplus established for our variable annuity base contracts and riders to changes in the equity markets will vary depending on the magnitude of the decline. The sensitivity will be affected by the level of account values relative to the level of guaranteed amounts, product design and reinsurance. Statutory reserves for variable annuities depend upon the cumulative equity market impacts on the business in force, and therefore, result in non-linear relationships with respect to the level of equity market performance within any reporting period.

The assumptions and estimates we use in connection with establishing and carrying our reserves are inherently uncertain. Accordingly, we cannot determine with precision the ultimate amount or the timing of the payment of actual benefits and claims or whether the assets supporting the policy liabilities will grow to the level we assume prior to payment of benefits or claims. If our actual experience is different from our assumptions or estimates, our reserves may prove to be inadequate in relation to our estimated future benefits and claims.

Because the equity markets and other factors impact the profitability and expected profitability of many of our products, changes in equity markets and other factors may significantly affect our business and profitability.

The fee revenue that we earn on equity-based variable annuities and VUL insurance policies is based upon account values. Because strong equity markets result in higher account values, strong equity markets positively affect our net income through increased fee revenue. Conversely, a weakening of the equity markets results in lower fee income and may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and capital resources.

The increased fee revenue resulting from strong equity markets increases the expected gross profits (“EGPs”) from variable insurance products as do better than expected lapses, mortality rates and expenses. As a result, higher EGPs may result in lower net amortized costs related to deferred acquisition costs (“DAC”), deferred sales inducements (“DSI”), value of business acquired (“VOBA”), DFEL and changes in future contract benefits. However, a decrease in the equity markets, as well as worse than expected increases in lapses, mortality rates and expenses, depending upon their significance, may result in higher net amortized costs associated with DAC, DSI, VOBA, DFEL and changes in future contract benefits and may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and capital resources. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2008, we reset our baseline of account values from which EGPs are projected, which we refer to as our “reversion to the mean” (“RTM”) process. As a result of this and the impact of the volatile capital market conditions on our annuity reserves, we had a cumulative unfavorable prospective unlocking of \$223 million, after-tax. If unfavorable economic conditions return, additional unlocking of our RTM assumptions could be possible in future periods. However, if we were to have unlocked our RTM assumption in the corridor as of December 31, 2009, we would have recorded a favorable prospective unlocking of approximately \$300 million, pre-tax, as a result of improved market conditions in 2009. For further information about our RTM process, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” in the MD&A.

Changes in the equity markets, interest rates and/or volatility affect the profitability of our products with guaranteed benefits; therefore, such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business and profitability.

Certain of our variable annuity products include guaranteed benefit riders. These include GDB, GWB and GIB riders. Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® (a form of GIB rider) features have elements of both insurance benefits accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification™ (“ASC”) (“benefit reserves”) and embedded derivatives accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC (“embedded derivative reserves”). The benefit reserves resulting from a benefit ratio unlocking component are calculated in a manner consistent with our GDB, as described below. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserves based on the specific characteristics of each guaranteed living benefit feature. The amount of reserves related to GDB for variable annuities is tied to the difference between the value of the underlying accounts and the GDB, calculated using a benefit ratio approach. The GDB reserves take into account the present value of total expected GDB payments, the present value of total expected GDB assessments over the life of the contract, claims paid to date and assessments to date. Reserves for our GIB and certain GWB with lifetime benefits are based on a combination of fair value of the underlying benefit and a benefit ratio approach that is based on the projected future payments in excess of projected future account values. The benefit ratio approach takes into account the present value of total expected GIB payments, the present value of total expected GIB assessments over the life of the contract, claims paid to date and assessments to date. The amount of reserves related to those GWB that do not have lifetime benefits is based on the fair value of the underlying benefit.

Both the level of expected payments and expected total assessments used in calculating the benefit ratio are affected by the equity markets. The liabilities related to fair value are impacted by changes in equity markets, interest rates and volatility. Accordingly, strong equity markets will decrease the amount of reserves that we must carry, and strong equity markets, increases in interest rates and decreases in volatility will generally decrease the reserves calculated using fair value. Conversely, a decrease in the equity markets will increase the expected future payments used in the benefit ratio approach, which has the effect of increasing the amount of reserves. Also, a decrease in the equity market along with a decrease in interest rates and an increase in volatility will generally result in an increase in the reserves calculated using fair value, which are the conditions we have experienced recently.

Increases in reserves would result in a charge to our earnings in the quarter in which the increase occurs. Therefore, we maintain a customized dynamic hedge program that is designed to mitigate the risks associated with income volatility around the change in reserves on guaranteed benefits. However, the hedge positions may not be effective to

exactly offset the changes in the carrying value of the guarantees due to, among other things, the time lag between changes in their values and corresponding changes in the hedge positions, high levels of volatility in the equity markets and derivatives markets, extreme swings in interest rates, contract holder behavior different than expected, a strategic decision to under- or over-hedge in reaction to extreme market conditions or inconsistencies between economic and statutory reserving guidelines and divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and hedging indices. For example, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we experienced a breakage on our guaranteed living benefits net derivatives results of \$(137) million, \$176 million and \$(136) million, respectively, pre-tax and before the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds and funds withheld reinsurance liabilities. Breakage is defined as the difference between the change in the value of the liabilities, excluding the amount related to the non-performance risk component, and the change in the fair value of the derivatives. Breakage can be positive or negative. The non-performance risk factor is required under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires us to consider our own credit standing, which is not hedged, in the valuation of certain of these liabilities. A decrease in our own credit spread could cause the value of these liabilities to increase, resulting in a reduction to net income. Conversely, an increase in our own credit spread could cause the value of these liabilities to decrease, resulting in an increase to net income.

In addition, we remain liable for the guaranteed benefits in the event that derivative counterparties are unable or unwilling to pay, and we are also subject to the risk that the cost of hedging these guaranteed benefits increases, resulting in a reduction to net income. These, individually or collectively, may have a material adverse effect on net income, financial condition or liquidity.

Changes in interest rates may cause interest rate spreads to decrease and may result in increased contract withdrawals.

Because the profitability of our fixed annuity and interest-sensitive whole life, UL and fixed portion of defined contribution and VUL insurance business depends in part on interest rate spreads, interest rate fluctuations could negatively affect our profitability. Changes in interest rates may reduce both our profitability from spread businesses and our return on invested capital. Some of our products, principally fixed annuities, interest-sensitive whole life, UL and the fixed portion of VUL insurance, have interest rate guarantees that expose us to the risk that changes in interest rates will reduce our spread, or the difference between the amounts that we are required to pay under the contracts and the amounts we are able to earn on our general account investments intended to support our obligations under the contracts. Declines in our spread or instances where the returns on our general account investments are not enough to support the interest rate guarantees on these products could have a material adverse effect on our businesses or results of operations.

In periods of increasing interest rates, we may not be able to replace the assets in our general account with higher yielding assets needed to fund the higher crediting rates necessary to keep our interest-sensitive products competitive. We therefore may have to accept a lower spread and thus lower profitability or face a decline in sales and greater loss of existing contracts and related assets. In periods of declining interest rates, we have to reinvest the cash we receive as interest or return of principal on our investments in lower yielding instruments then available. Moreover, borrowers may prepay fixed-income securities, commercial mortgages and mortgage-backed securities in our general account in order to borrow at lower market rates, which exacerbates this risk. Because we are entitled to reset the interest rates on our fixed rate annuities only at limited, pre-established intervals, and since many of our contracts have guaranteed minimum interest or crediting rates, our spreads could decrease and potentially become negative. Increases in interest rates may cause increased surrenders and withdrawals of insurance products. In periods of increasing interest rates, policy loans and surrenders and withdrawals of life insurance policies and annuity contracts may increase as contract holders seek to buy products with perceived higher returns. This process may lead to a flow of cash out of our businesses. These outflows may require investment assets to be sold at a time when the prices of those assets are lower because of the increase in market interest rates, which may result in realized investment losses. A sudden demand among consumers to change product types or withdraw funds could lead us to sell assets at a loss to meet the demand for funds.

Our requirements to post collateral or make payments related to declines in market value of specified assets may adversely affect our liquidity and expose us to counterparty credit risk.

Many of our transactions with financial and other institutions, including settling futures positions, specify the circumstances under which the parties are required to post collateral. The amount of collateral we may be required to post under these agreements may increase under certain circumstances, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, under the terms of some of our transactions, we may be required to make payments to our counterparties related to any decline in the market value of the specified assets.

Losses due to defaults by others could reduce our profitability or negatively affect the value of our investments.

Third parties that owe us money, securities or other assets may not pay or perform their obligations. These parties include the issuers whose securities we hold, borrowers under the mortgage loans we make, customers, trading counterparties, counterparties under swaps and other derivative contracts, reinsurers and other financial

intermediaries. These parties may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, downturns in the economy or real estate values, operational failure, corporate governance issues or other reasons. A further downturn in the U.S. and other economies could result in increased impairments.

Defaults on our mortgage loans and write downs of mortgage equity may adversely affect our profitability.

Our mortgage loans face default risk and are principally collateralized by commercial properties. Mortgage loans are stated on our balance sheet at unpaid principal balance, adjusted for any unamortized premium or discount, deferred fees or expenses, and are net of valuation allowances. We establish valuation allowances for estimated impairments as of the balance sheet date based on information, such as the market value of the underlying real estate securing the loan, any third party guarantees on the loan balance or any cross collateral agreements and their impact on expected recovery rates. As of December 31, 2009, there were nine impaired mortgage loans, or less than 1% of total mortgage loans, and eight commercial mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent. The performance of our mortgage loan investments, however, may fluctuate in the future. In addition, some of our mortgage loan investments have balloon payment maturities. An increase in the default rate of our mortgage loan investments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Further, any geographic or sector exposure in our mortgage loans may have adverse effects on our investment portfolios and consequently on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition. While we seek to mitigate this risk by having a broadly diversified portfolio, events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular geographic region or sector may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are exposed.

For information about our risk of write downs of mortgage equity, see “Consolidated Investments – Standby Real Estate Equity Commitments” and “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Uses of Capital” in the MD&A.

Our investments are reflected within our consolidated financial statements utilizing different accounting bases, and, accordingly, there may be significant differences between cost and fair value that are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements.

Our principal investments are in fixed maturity and equity securities, mortgage loans on real estate, policy loans, short-term investments, derivative instruments, limited partnerships and other invested assets. The carrying value of such investments is as follows:

- Fixed maturity and equity securities are classified as AFS, except for those designated as trading securities, and are reported at their estimated fair value. The difference between the estimated fair value and amortized cost of such securities (i.e., unrealized investment gains and losses) is recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss) (“OCI”), net of adjustments to DAC, contract holder related amounts and deferred income taxes;
- Fixed maturity and equity securities designated as trading securities, which in certain cases support reinsurance arrangements, are recorded at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in realized loss. However, offsetting the changes to fair value of the trading securities are corresponding changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liability associated with the underlying reinsurance arrangement. In other words, the investment results for the trading securities, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurers through the contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangements. However, there are trading securities associated with the disability income business for which the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re was rescinded, and therefore, we now retain the gains and losses on those securities;
- Short-term investments include investments with remaining maturities of one year or less, but greater than three months, at the time of acquisition and are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value;
- Mortgage loans on real estate are carried at unpaid principal balances, adjusted for any unamortized premiums or discounts and deferred fees or expenses, net of valuation allowances;
- Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances;
- Real estate joint ventures and other limited partnership interests are carried using the equity method of accounting; and
- Other invested assets consist principally of derivatives with positive fair values. Derivatives are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in income from non-qualifying derivatives and derivatives in fair value hedging relationships. Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships are reflected as a separate component of other comprehensive income or loss.

Investments not carried at fair value on our consolidated financial statements, principally, mortgage loans, policy loans and real estate, may have fair values which are substantially higher or lower than the carrying value reflected on our consolidated financial statements. In addition, unrealized losses are not reflected in net income unless we realize the losses by either selling the security at below amortized cost or determine that the decline in fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary (i.e., impaired). Each of such asset classes is regularly evaluated for impairment under the accounting guidance appropriate to the respective asset class.

Our valuation of fixed maturity, equity and trading securities may include methodologies, estimations and assumptions which are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Fixed maturity, equity and trading securities and short-term investments, which are reported at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, represented the majority of our total cash and invested assets. Pursuant to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC, we have categorized these securities into a three-level hierarchy, based on the priority of the inputs to the respective valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3).

The determination of fair values in the absence of quoted market prices is based on valuation methodologies, securities we deem to be comparable and assumptions deemed appropriate given the circumstances. The fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on available market information and judgments about financial instruments, including estimates of the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows and the credit standing of the issuer or counterparty. Factors considered in estimating fair value include coupon rate, maturity, estimated duration, call provisions, sinking fund requirements, credit rating, industry sector of the issuer and quoted market prices of comparable securities. The use of different methodologies and assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of significantly increasing/decreasing or high/low interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of our securities if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the current financial environment. In such cases, more securities may fall to Level 3 and thus require more subjectivity and management judgment. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation, as well as valuation methods which are more sophisticated or require greater estimation, thereby resulting in values which may be less than the value at which the investments may be ultimately sold. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of securities as reported within our consolidated financial statements and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Some of our investments are relatively illiquid and are in asset classes that have been experiencing significant market valuation fluctuations.

We hold certain investments that may lack liquidity, such as privately placed fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans, policy loans and other limited partnership interests. These asset classes represented 24% of the carrying value of our total cash and invested assets as of December 31, 2009. Even some of our very high quality assets have been more illiquid as a result of the recent challenging market conditions.

If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice in excess of normal cash requirements or are required to post or return collateral in connection with our investment portfolio, derivatives transactions or securities lending activities, we may have difficulty selling these investments in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

The reported value of our relatively illiquid types of investments, our investments in the asset classes described in the paragraph above and, at times, our high quality, generally liquid asset classes, do not necessarily reflect the lowest current market price for the asset. If we were forced to sell certain of our assets in the current market, there can be no assurance that we would be able to sell them for the prices at which we have recorded them and we might be forced to sell them at significantly lower prices.

We invest a portion of our invested assets in investment funds, many of which make private equity investments. The amount and timing of income from such investment funds tends to be uneven as a result of the performance of the underlying investments, including private equity investments. The timing of distributions from the funds, which depends on particular events relating to the underlying investments, as well as the funds' schedules for making distributions and their needs for cash, can be difficult to predict. As a result, the amount of income that we record from these investments can vary substantially from quarter to quarter. Recent equity and credit market volatility may reduce investment income for these types of investments.

In addition, other external factors may cause a drop in value of investments, such as ratings downgrades on asset classes. For example, Congress has proposed legislation to amend the U.S. Bankruptcy Code to permit bankruptcy courts to modify mortgages on primary residences, including an ability to reduce outstanding mortgage balances. Such actions by bankruptcy courts may impact the ratings and valuation of our residential mortgage-backed investment securities.

The determination of the amount of allowances and impairments taken on our investments is highly subjective and could materially impact our results of operations or financial position.

The determination of the amount of allowances and impairments varies by investment type and is based upon our periodic evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. Management updates its evaluations regularly and reflects changes in allowances and impairments in operations as such evaluations are revised. There can be no assurance that our management has accurately assessed the level of impairments taken and allowances reflected in our financial statements. Furthermore, additional impairments may need to be taken or allowances provided for in the future. Historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments or allowances.

We adopted updates to the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC for our debt securities effective January 1, 2009. This adoption required that an other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) loss be separated into the amount representing the decrease in cash flows expected to be collected, or “credit loss,” which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to all other factors, or “noncredit loss,” which is recognized in OCI. In addition, the requirement for management to assert that it has the intent and ability to hold an impaired security until recovery was replaced by the requirement for management to assert if it either has the intent to sell the debt security or if it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

We regularly review our AFS securities for declines in fair value that we determine to be other-than-temporary. For an equity security, if we do not have the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period of time to allow for a recovery in value, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost of the equity security is written down to the current fair value, with a corresponding change to realized gain (loss) on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). When assessing our ability and intent to hold the equity security to recovery, we consider, among other things, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the equity security as well as the cause of decline, a fundamental analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer.

For a debt security, if we intend to sell a security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the debt security is below amortized cost, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income. If we do not intend to sell a debt security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss), as this is also deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded in OCI to unrealized OTTI on AFS securities on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity, as this is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment. Net OTTI recognized in net income (loss) was \$392 million, \$851 million and \$261 million, pre-tax, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The portion of OTTI recognized in OCI for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$275 million, pre-tax.

Related to our unrealized losses, we establish deferred tax assets for the tax benefit we may receive in the event that losses are realized. The realization of significant realized losses could result in an inability to recover the tax benefits and may result in the establishment of valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets. Realized losses or impairments may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial position.

We will be required to pay interest on our capital securities with proceeds from the issuance of qualifying securities if we fail to achieve capital adequacy or net income and stockholders’ equity levels.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$1.5 billion in principal amount of capital securities outstanding. All of the capital securities contain covenants that require us to make interest payments in accordance with an alternative coupon satisfaction mechanism (“ACSM”) if we determine that one of the following triggers exists as of the 30th day prior to an interest payment date, or the “determination date”:

1. LNL’s RBC ratio is less than 175% (based on the most recent annual financial statement filed with the State of Indiana); or
2. (i) The sum of our consolidated net income for the four trailing fiscal quarters ending on the quarter that is two quarters prior to the most recently completed quarter prior to the determination date is zero or negative, and (ii) our consolidated stockholders’ equity (excluding accumulated OCI and any increase in stockholders’ equity resulting from

the issuance of preferred stock during a quarter), or “adjusted stockholders’ equity,” as of (x) the most recently completed quarter and (y) the end of the quarter that is two quarters before the most recently completed quarter, has declined by 10% or more as compared to the quarter that is ten fiscal quarters prior to the last completed quarter, or the “benchmark quarter.”

The ACSM would generally require us to use commercially reasonable efforts to satisfy our obligation to pay interest in full on the capital securities with the net proceeds from sales of our common stock and warrants to purchase our common stock with an exercise price greater than the market price. We would have to utilize the ACSM until the trigger events above no longer existed, and, in the case of test 2 above, our adjusted stockholders’ equity amount increased or declined by less than 10% as compared to the adjusted stockholders’ equity at the end of the benchmark quarter for each interest payment date as to which interest payment restrictions were imposed by test 2 above.

If we were required to utilize the ACSM and were successful in selling sufficient shares of common stock or warrants to satisfy the interest payment, we would dilute the current holders of our common stock. Furthermore, while a trigger event is occurring and if we do not pay accrued interest in full, we may not, among other things, pay dividends on or repurchase our capital stock. Our failure to pay interest pursuant to the ACSM will not result in an event of default with respect to the capital securities, nor will a nonpayment of interest, unless it lasts for ten consecutive years, although such breaches may result in monetary damages to the holders of the capital securities.

In recent quarters, we have triggered the net income test as a result of quarterly consolidated net losses, and we may continue to trigger the net income test looking forward to future quarters. However, our efforts to raise capital in the form of equity in the second and third quarters of 2009 resulted in no trigger of the overall stockholders' equity test looking forward to the quarters ending March 31, 2010, and June 30, 2010.

The calculations of RBC, net income (loss) and adjusted stockholders' equity are subject to adjustments and the capital securities are subject to additional terms and conditions as further described in supplemental indentures filed as exhibits to our Forms 8-K filed on March 13, 2007, May 17, 2006, and April 20, 2006.

A decrease in the capital and surplus of our insurance subsidiaries may result in a downgrade to our credit and insurer financial strength ratings.

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, including the amount of statutory income or losses generated by our insurance subsidiaries (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions), the amount of additional capital our insurance subsidiaries must hold to support business growth, changes in reserving requirements, such as VACARVM and principles based reserving, our inability to secure capital market solutions to provide reserve relief, such as issuing letters of credit to support captive reinsurance structures, changes in equity market levels, the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio, the value of certain derivative instruments that do not get hedge accounting, changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, as well as changes to the NAIC RBC formulas. The RBC ratio is also affected by the product mix of the in-force book of business (i.e., the amount of business without guarantees is not subject to the same level of reserves as the business with guarantees). Most of these factors are outside of our control. Our credit and insurer financial strength ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. The RBC ratio of LNL is an important factor in the determination of the credit and financial strength ratings of LNC and its subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings. In addition, in extreme scenarios of equity market declines, the amount of additional statutory reserves that we are required to hold for our variable annuity guarantees may increase at a rate greater than the rate of change of the markets. Increases in reserves reduce the statutory surplus used in calculating our RBC ratios. To the extent that our statutory capital resources are deemed to be insufficient to maintain a particular rating by one or more rating agencies, we may seek to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financing, which may be on terms not as favorable as in the past. Alternatively, if we were not to raise additional capital in such a scenario, either at our discretion or because we were unable to do so, our financial strength and credit ratings might be downgraded by one or more rating agencies. For more information on risks regarding our ratings, see "A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to market products, increase the number or value of policies being surrendered and/or hurt our relationships with creditors" below.

A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to market products, increase the number or value of policies being surrendered and/or hurt our relationships with creditors.

Nationally recognized rating agencies rate the financial strength of our principal insurance subsidiaries and rate our debt. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities. Each of the rating agencies reviews its ratings periodically, and our current ratings may not be maintained in the future. In late September and early October of 2008, A.M. Best, Fitch, Moody's and S&P each revised their outlook for the U.S. life insurance sector from stable to negative. We believe that the rating agencies continue to have the life insurance industry on negative outlook until a sustained recovery in the general economy.

Our financial strength ratings, which are intended to measure our ability to meet contract holder obligations, are an important factor affecting public confidence in most of our products and, as a result, our competitiveness. A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position in the insurance industry by making it more difficult for us to market our products as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings and by leading to increased withdrawals by current customers seeking companies with higher financial strength ratings. This could lead to a decrease in fees as net outflows of assets increase, and therefore, result in lower fee income. Furthermore, sales of assets to meet customer withdrawal demands could also result in losses, depending on market conditions. The interest rates we pay on our borrowings are largely dependent on our credit ratings. A downgrade of our debt ratings could affect our ability to raise additional debt, including bank lines of credit, with terms and conditions similar to our current debt, and accordingly, likely increase our cost of capital.

As a result of raising capital of approximately \$2.1 billion in the second and third quarters of 2009, Moody's, S&P, Fitch and A.M. Best affirmed our debt ratings and the financial strength ratings of LNL, LLANY and FPP. Our ratings outlook remains negative, with the exception of S&P, which revised its outlook to stable from negative. All of our ratings and ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies, and therefore, no assurance can be given that our principal insurance subsidiaries or we can maintain these ratings. See "Item 1. Business – Ratings" for a complete description of our ratings.

Certain blocks of our insurance business purchased from third-party insurers under indemnity reinsurance agreements may require us to place assets in trust, secure letters of credit or return the business, if the financial strength ratings and/or capital ratios of certain insurance subsidiaries are not maintained at specified levels.

Under certain indemnity reinsurance agreements, one of our insurance subsidiaries, LLANY, provides 100% indemnity reinsurance for the business assumed, however, the third-party insurer, or the "cedent," remains primarily liable on the underlying insurance business. Under these types of agreements, as of December 31, 2009, we held statutory reserves of approximately \$3.4 billion. These indemnity reinsurance arrangements require that our subsidiary, as the reinsurer, maintain certain insurer financial strength ratings and capital ratios. If these ratings or capital ratios are not maintained, depending upon the reinsurance agreement, the cedent may recapture the business, or require us to place assets in trust or provide letters of credit at least equal to the relevant statutory reserves. Under the largest indemnity reinsurance arrangement, we held approximately \$2.2 billion of statutory reserves as of December 31, 2009. LLANY must maintain an A.M. Best financial strength rating of at least B+, an S&P financial strength rating of at least BB+ and a Moody's financial strength rating of at least Ba1, as well as maintain a RBC ratio of at least 160% or an S&P capital adequacy ratio of 100%, or the cedent may recapture the business. Under two other arrangements, by which we established approximately \$1 billion of statutory reserves, LLANY must maintain an A.M. Best financial strength rating of at least B++, an S&P financial strength rating of at least BBB- and a Moody's financial strength rating of at least Baa3. One of these arrangements also requires LLANY to maintain an RBC ratio of at least 185% or an S&P capital adequacy ratio of 115%. Each of these arrangements may require LLANY to place assets in trust equal to the relevant statutory reserves. As of December 31, 2009, LLANY's RBC ratio exceeded 600%. See "Item 1. Business – Ratings" for a complete description of our ratings.

If the cedent recaptured the business, LLANY would be required to release reserves and transfer assets to the cedent. Such a recapture could adversely impact our future profits. Alternatively, if LLANY established a security trust for the cedent, the ability to transfer assets out of the trust could be severely restricted, thus negatively impacting our liquidity.

Our businesses are heavily regulated and changes in regulation may reduce our profitability.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to extensive supervision and regulation in the states in which we do business. The supervision and regulation relate to numerous aspects of our business and financial condition. The primary purpose of the supervision and regulation is the protection of our insurance contract holders, and not our investors. The extent of regulation varies, but generally is governed by state statutes. These statutes delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to state insurance departments. This system of supervision and regulation covers, among other things:

- Standards of minimum capital requirements and solvency, including RBC measurements;
- Restrictions of certain transactions between our insurance subsidiaries and their affiliates;
- Restrictions on the nature, quality and concentration of investments;
- Restrictions on the types of terms and conditions that we can include in the insurance policies offered by our primary insurance operations;
- Limitations on the amount of dividends that insurance subsidiaries can pay;

- The existence and licensing status of the company under circumstances where it is not writing new or renewal business;
- Certain required methods of accounting;
- Reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes; and
- Assignment of residual market business and potential assessments for the provision of funds necessary for the settlement of covered claims under certain policies provided by impaired, insolvent or failed insurance companies.

We may be unable to maintain all required licenses and approvals and our business may not fully comply with the wide variety of applicable laws and regulations or the relevant authority's interpretation of the laws and regulations, which may change from time to time. Also, regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to grant, renew or revoke licenses and approvals. If we do not have the requisite licenses and approvals or do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the insurance regulatory authorities could preclude or temporarily suspend us from carrying on some or all of our activities or impose substantial fines. Further, insurance regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to issue orders of supervision, which permit such authorities to supervise the business and operations of an insurance company. As of December 31, 2009, no state insurance regulatory authority had imposed on us any substantial fines or revoked or suspended any of our licenses to conduct insurance business in any state or issued an order of supervision with respect to our insurance subsidiaries, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, LFN and LFD, as well as our variable annuities and variable life insurance products, are subject to regulation and supervision by the SEC and FINRA. LNC, as a savings and loan holding company and NCLS are subject to regulation and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision. As a savings and loan holding company, we would also be subject to the requirement that our activities be financially-related activities as defined by federal law (which includes insurance activities). These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies and self-regulatory organizations broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the subsidiaries from carrying on their businesses in the event that they fail to comply with such laws and regulations. Finally, our radio operations require a license, subject to periodic renewal, from the Federal Communications Commission to operate. While management considers the likelihood of a failure to renew remote, any station that fails to receive renewal would be forced to cease operations.

Recently, there has been an increase in potential federal initiatives that would affect the insurance industry. In January 2010, the White House proposed as a part of its budget proposal a new "financial crisis responsibility fee" on certain financial institutions as a means to recoup any shortfall in revenues resulting from the TARP program, so that the program does not add to the federal budget deficit. As proposed, the fee would apply to financial institutions, including bank holding companies, thrift holding companies, insured depositories, and insurance companies that own one of these entities, with over \$50 billion in assets, regardless of whether the firm participated in the TARP program. The fee as proposed is expected to be an assessment of 15 basis points against a calculated "covered liabilities" amount and would be in place for a minimum of 10 years. Details as to the precise calculation of "covered liabilities" are still unclear. Further, legislation implementing this fee will need to be introduced and passed by Congress before this tax would take effect. In December 2009, the House passed H.R. 4173, "The Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2009," a wide-ranging bill that includes a number of reforms. The bill includes, among other things, a new harmonized fiduciary standard for broker-dealers and investment advisers, the creation of the Consumer Financial Protection Agency, the creation of a pre-funded resolution trust to cover the costs of winding down certain failing institutions, the creation of the Federal Insurance Office within the Treasury Department and provisions relating to executive compensation. The bill would require financial institutions, including insurance companies, to contribute funds to the resolution trust. The ultimate impact of any of these federal initiatives on our results of operations, liquidity or capital resources is currently indeterminable.

Many of the foregoing regulatory or governmental bodies have the authority to review our products and business practices and those of our agents and employees. In recent years, there has been increased scrutiny of our businesses by these bodies, which has included more extensive examinations, regular sweep inquiries and more detailed review of disclosure documents. These regulatory or governmental bodies may bring regulatory or other legal actions against us if, in their view, our practices, or those of our agents or employees, are improper. These actions can result in substantial fines, penalties or prohibitions or restrictions on our business activities and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Attempts to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline 38 may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The Model Regulation entitled “Valuation of Life Insurance Policies,” commonly known as “Regulation XXX” or “XXX,” requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and UL policies with secondary guarantees. In addition, Actuarial Guideline 38 (“AG38”) clarifies the application of XXX with respect to certain UL insurance policies with secondary guarantees. Virtually all of our newly issued term and the great majority of our newly issued UL insurance products are now affected by XXX and AG38.

As a result of this regulation, we have established higher statutory reserves for term and UL insurance products and changed our premium rates for term life insurance products. We also have implemented reinsurance and capital management actions to mitigate the capital impact of XXX and AG38, including the use of letters of credit to support the reinsurance provided by captive reinsurance subsidiaries. In addition, although formal details have not been provided, we anticipate the rating agencies may require a portion of these letters of credit to be included in our leverage calculations, which would pressure our leverage ratios and potentially our ratings. Therefore, we cannot provide assurance that there will not be regulatory, rating agency or other challenges to the actions we have taken to date. The result of those potential challenges could require us to increase statutory reserves or incur higher operating and/or tax costs. In addition, as a result of current capital market conditions and disruption in the credit markets, our ability to secure additional letters of credit or to secure them at current costs may impact the profitability of term and UL insurance products. See “Results of Insurance Solutions – Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance” in the MD&A for a further discussion of our capital management in connection with XXX.

In light of the current downturn in the credit markets and the increased spreads on asset-backed debt securities, we also cannot provide assurance that we will be able to continue to implement actions to mitigate the impact of XXX or AG38 on future sales of term and UL insurance products. If we are unable to continue to implement those actions, we may be required to increase statutory reserves, incur higher operating costs and lower returns on products sold than we currently anticipate or reduce our sales of these products. We also may have to implement measures that may be disruptive to our business. For example, because term and UL insurance are particularly price-sensitive products, any increase in premiums charged on these products in order to compensate us for the increased statutory reserve requirements or higher costs of reinsurance may result in a significant loss of volume and adversely affect our life insurance operations.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the FASB or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of GAAP, which is periodically revised and/or expanded. Accordingly, from time to time we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards or guidance that are incorporated into the FASB ASC. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

For example, the SEC has proposed that large accelerated filers in the U.S. be required to report financial results in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board rather than GAAP, beginning with their fiscal year 2014 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. The Form 10-K would include audited IFRS financial statements for the transitional year, as well as the two preceding fiscal years. Thus, an issuer adopting IFRS in 2014 would need to file audited IFRS financial statements for fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014 in its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 2014. Despite the movement toward convergence of GAAP and IFRS, IFRS will be a complete change to our accounting and reporting and converting to IFRS will impose special demands on issuers in the areas of governance, employee training, internal controls, contract fulfillment and disclosure. IFRS will affect how we manage our business, as it will likely affect other business processes such as design of compensation plans, product design, etc.

Legal and regulatory actions are inherent in our businesses and could result in financial losses or harm our businesses.

We are, and in the future may be, subject to legal actions in the ordinary course of our insurance and investment management operations, both domestically and internationally. Pending legal actions include proceedings relating to aspects of our businesses and operations that are specific to us and proceedings that are typical of the businesses in which we operate. Some of these proceedings have been brought on behalf of various alleged classes of

complainants. In certain of these matters, the plaintiffs are seeking large and/or indeterminate amounts, including punitive or exemplary damages. Substantial legal liability in these or future legal or regulatory actions could have a material financial effect or cause significant harm to our reputation, which in turn could materially harm our business prospects. For more information on pending material legal proceedings, see Note 14.

Changes in U.S. federal income tax law could increase our tax costs and make the products that we sell less desirable.

Changes to the Internal Revenue Code, administrative rulings or court decisions could increase our effective tax rate and lower our net income. For example, on February 1, 2010, the Treasury Department released the “General Explanations of the Administration’s Fiscal Year 2011 Revenue Proposals” including proposals which, if enacted, would affect the taxation of life insurance companies and certain life insurance products. The statutory changes contemplated by the Administration’s revenue proposals would, if enacted into law, change the method used to determine the amount of dividend income received by a life insurance company on assets held in separate accounts used to support products, including variable life insurance and variable annuity contracts, that are eligible for the dividend received deduction. The dividend received deduction reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between our actual tax expense and expected amount determined using the federal statutory tax rate of 35%. Our income tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2009, included a separate account dividend received deduction benefit of \$77 million. In addition, the proposals would affect the treatment of COLI policies by limiting the availability of certain interest deductions for companies that purchase those policies. If proposals of this type were enacted, our sale of COLI, variable annuities and variable life products could be adversely affected and our actual tax expense could increase, reducing earnings.

Our enterprise risk management policies and procedures may leave us exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risk, which could negatively affect our businesses or result in losses.

We have devoted significant resources to develop our enterprise risk management policies and procedures and expect to continue to do so in the future. Nonetheless, our policies and procedures to identify, monitor and manage risks may not be fully effective. Many of our methods of managing risk and exposures are based upon our use of observed historical market behavior or statistics based on historical models. As a result, these methods may not predict future exposures, which could be significantly greater than the historical measures indicate, such as the risk of pandemics causing a large number of deaths. Other risk management methods depend upon the evaluation of information regarding markets, clients, catastrophe occurrence or other matters that is publicly available or otherwise accessible to us, which may not always be accurate, complete, up-to-date or properly evaluated. Management of operational, legal and regulatory risks requires, among other things, policies and procedures to record properly and verify a large number of transactions and events, and these policies and procedures may not be fully effective.

We face a risk of non-collectibility of reinsurance, which could materially affect our results of operations.

We follow the insurance practice of reinsuring with other insurance and reinsurance companies a portion of the risks under the policies written by our insurance subsidiaries (known as “ceding”). As of December 31, 2009, we ceded \$342.6 billion of life insurance in force to reinsurers for reinsurance protection. Although reinsurance does not discharge our subsidiaries from their primary obligation to pay contract holders for losses insured under the policies we issue, reinsurance does make the assuming reinsurer liable to the insurance subsidiaries for the reinsured portion of the risk. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$6.4 billion of reinsurance receivables from reinsurers for paid and unpaid losses, for which they are obligated to reimburse us under our reinsurance contracts. Of this amount, \$3.0 billion related to the sale of our reinsurance business to Swiss Re in 2001 through an indemnity reinsurance agreement. Swiss Re has funded a trust to support this business. The balance in the trust changes as a result of ongoing reinsurance activity and was \$1.9 billion as of December 31, 2009. As a result of Swiss Re’s S&P financial strength rating dropping below AA-, Swiss Re was required to fund an additional trust to support this business of approximately \$1.4 billion as of December 31, 2009, which was established during the fourth quarter of 2009. Furthermore, approximately \$1.3 billion of the Swiss Re treaties are funds withheld structures where we have a right of offset on assets backing the reinsurance receivables.

The balance of the reinsurance is due from a diverse group of reinsurers. The collectibility of reinsurance is largely a function of the solvency of the individual reinsurers. We perform annual credit reviews on our reinsurers, focusing on, among other things, financial capacity, stability, trends and commitment to the reinsurance business. We also require assets in trust, letters of credit or other acceptable collateral to support balances due from reinsurers not authorized to transact business in the applicable jurisdictions. Despite these measures, a reinsurer's insolvency, inability or unwillingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance contract, especially Swiss Re, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Significant adverse mortality experience may result in the loss of, or higher prices for, reinsurance.

We reinsure a significant amount of the mortality risk on fully underwritten, newly issued, individual life insurance contracts. We regularly review retention limits for continued appropriateness and they may be changed in the future. If we were to experience adverse mortality or morbidity experience, a significant portion of that would be reimbursed by our reinsurers. Prolonged or severe adverse mortality or morbidity experience could result in increased reinsurance costs, and ultimately, reinsurers not willing to offer coverage. If we are unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient, we would either have to be willing to accept an increase in our net exposures or revise our pricing to reflect higher reinsurance premiums. If this were to occur, we may be exposed to reduced profitability and cash flow strain or we may not be able to price new business at competitive rates.

Catastrophes may adversely impact liabilities for contract holder claims and the availability of reinsurance.

Our insurance operations are exposed to the risk of catastrophic mortality, such as a pandemic, an act of terrorism, natural disaster or other event that causes a large number of deaths or injuries. Significant influenza pandemics have occurred three times in the last century, but the likelihood, timing or severity of a future pandemic cannot be predicted. Additionally, the impact of climate change could cause changes in weather patterns, resulting in more severe and more frequent natural disasters such as forest fires, hurricanes, tornados, floods and storm surges. In our group insurance operations, a localized event that affects the workplace of one or more of our group insurance customers could cause a significant loss due to mortality or morbidity claims. These events could cause a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any period and, depending on their severity, could also materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

The extent of losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. Pandemics, natural disasters and man-made catastrophes, including terrorism, may produce significant damage in larger areas, especially those that are heavily populated. Claims resulting from natural or man-made catastrophic events could cause substantial volatility in our financial results for any fiscal quarter or year and could materially reduce our profitability or harm our financial condition. Also, catastrophic events could harm the financial condition of our reinsurers and thereby increase the probability of default on reinsurance recoveries. Accordingly, our ability to write new business could also be affected.

Consistent with industry practice and accounting standards, we establish liabilities for claims arising from a catastrophe only after assessing the probable losses arising from the event. We cannot be certain that the liabilities we have established or applicable reinsurance will be adequate to cover actual claim liabilities, and a catastrophic event or multiple catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Competition for our employees is intense, and we may not be able to attract and retain the highly skilled people we need to support our business.

Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and retain key people. Intense competition exists for the key employees with demonstrated ability, and we may be unable to hire or retain such employees, particularly in light of compensation restrictions that will be applicable to us in connection with our participation in the TARP CPP. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of our key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operations due to their skills, knowledge of our business, their years of industry experience and the potential difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement employees. We compete with other financial institutions primarily on the basis of our products, compensation, support services and financial position. Sales in our businesses and our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if we are unsuccessful in attracting and retaining key employees, including financial advisors, wholesalers and other employees, as well as independent distributors of our products.

Our sales representatives are not captive and may sell products of our competitors.

We sell our annuity and life insurance products through independent sales representatives. These representatives are not captive, which means they may also sell our competitors' products. If our competitors offer products that are more attractive than ours, or pay higher commission rates to the sales representatives than we do, these representatives may concentrate their efforts in selling our competitors' products instead of ours.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our copyrights, trademarks, patents, trade secrets and know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability, which represents a diversion of resources that may be significant in amount and may not prove successful. Additionally, complex legal and factual determinations and evolving laws and court interpretations make the scope of protection afforded our intellectual property uncertain, particularly in relation to our patents. While we believe our patents provide us with a competitive advantage, we cannot be certain that any issued patents will be interpreted with sufficient breadth to offer meaningful protection. In addition, our issued patents may be successfully challenged, invalidated, circumvented or found unenforceable so that our patent rights would not create an effective competitive barrier. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce the protection of our intellectual property assets could have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to compete.

We also may be subject to costly litigation in the event that another party alleges our operations or activities infringe upon another party's intellectual property rights. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that could be infringed by our products, methods, processes or services. Any party that holds such a patent could make a claim of infringement against us. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, trade secret or license usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant liability for damages. If we were found to have infringed a third-party patent or other intellectual property rights, we could incur substantial liability, and in some circumstances could be enjoined from providing certain products or services to our customers or utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses, or alternatively could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Intense competition could negatively affect our ability to maintain or increase our profitability.

Our businesses are intensely competitive. We compete based on a number of factors, including name recognition, service, the quality of investment advice, investment performance, product features, price, perceived financial strength and claims-paying and credit ratings. Our competitors include insurers, broker-dealers, financial advisors, asset managers and other financial institutions. A number of our business units face competitors that have greater market share, offer a broader range of products or have higher financial strength or credit ratings than we do.

In recent years, there has been substantial consolidation and convergence among companies in the financial services industry resulting in increased competition from large, well-capitalized financial services firms. Many of these firms also have been able to increase their distribution systems through mergers or contractual arrangements. Furthermore, larger competitors may have lower operating costs and an ability to absorb greater risk while maintaining their financial strength ratings, thereby allowing them to price their products more competitively. We expect consolidation to continue and perhaps accelerate in the future, thereby increasing competitive pressure on us.

Anti-takeover provisions could delay, deter or prevent our change in control, even if the change in control would be beneficial to LNC shareholders.

We are an Indiana corporation subject to Indiana state law. Certain provisions of Indiana law could interfere with or restrict takeover bids or other change in control events affecting us. Also, provisions in our articles of incorporation, bylaws and other agreements to which we are a party could delay, deter or prevent our change in control, even if a change in control would be beneficial to shareholders. In addition, under Indiana law, directors may, in considering the best interests of a corporation, consider the effects of any action on shareholders, employees, suppliers and customers of the corporation and the communities in which offices and other facilities are located, and other factors the directors consider pertinent. One statutory provision prohibits, except under specified circumstances, LNC from engaging in any business combination with any shareholder who owns 10% or more of our common stock (which shareholder, under the statute, would be considered an "interested shareholder") for a period of five years following the time that such shareholder became an interested shareholder, unless such business combination is approved by the board of directors prior to such person becoming an interested shareholder. In addition, our articles of incorporation contain a provision requiring holders of at least three-fourths of our voting shares then outstanding and entitled to vote at an election of directors, voting together, to approve a transaction with an interested shareholder rather than the simple majority required under Indiana law.

In addition to the anti-takeover provisions of Indiana law, there are other factors that may delay, deter or prevent our change in control. As an insurance holding company, we are regulated as an insurance holding company and are subject to the insurance holding company acts of the states in which our insurance company subsidiaries are domiciled. The insurance holding company acts and regulations restrict the ability of any person to obtain control of an insurance company without prior regulatory approval. Under those statutes and regulations, without such approval

(or an exemption), no person may acquire any voting security of a domestic insurance company, or an insurance holding company which controls an insurance company, or merge with such a holding company, if as a result of such transaction such person would “control” the insurance holding company or insurance company. “Control” is generally defined as the direct or indirect power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person and is presumed to exist if a person directly or indirectly owns or controls 10% or more of the voting securities of another person. Similarly, as a result of our ownership of NCLS, LNC is considered to be a savings and loan holding company. Federal banking laws generally provide that no person may acquire control of LNC, and gain indirect control of NCLS without prior regulatory approval. Generally, beneficial ownership of 10% or more of the voting securities of LNC would be presumed to constitute control.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2009, LNC and our subsidiaries owned or leased approximately 3.7 million square feet of office space. We leased 0.3 million square feet of office space in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for our former Investment Management segment and for LFN. We leased 0.2 million square feet of office space in Radnor, Pennsylvania for our corporate center and for LFD. We owned or leased 0.8 million square feet of office space in Fort Wayne, Indiana, primarily for our Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirements Solutions – Defined Contribution segments. We owned or leased 0.8 million square feet of office space in Greensboro, North Carolina, primarily for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. We owned or leased 0.3 million square feet of office space in Omaha, Nebraska, primarily for our Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment. An additional 1.3 million square feet of office space is owned or leased in other U.S. cities for branch offices. As provided in Note 14, the rental expense on operating leases for office space and equipment was \$55 million for 2009. This discussion regarding properties does not include information on investment properties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding legal proceedings, see “Regulatory and Litigation Matters” in Note 14, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

During the fourth quarter of 2009, no matters were submitted to security holders for a vote.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Executive Officers of the Registrant as of February 20, 2010, were as follows:

Name	Age (2)	Position with LNC and Business Experience During the Past Five Years
Dennis R. Glass	60	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (since July 2007). President, Chief Operating Officer and Director (April 2006 - July 2007). President and Chief Executive Officer, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). President and Chief Operating Officer, Jefferson-Pilot (2001 - April 2006).
Lisa M. Buckingham	44	Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer (since December 2008). Senior Vice President, Global Talent, Thomson Reuters, a provider of information and services for businesses and professionals (April 2008 - November 2008). Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Thomson Corporation (2002 - April 2008).
Charles C. Cornelio	50	President, Defined Contribution (since December 2009). Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer (November 2008-December 2009). Senior Vice President, Shared Services and Chief Information Officer (April 2006 - November 2008). Executive Vice President, Technology and Insurance Services, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). Senior Vice President, Jefferson-Pilot (1997 - 2004).
Frederick J. Crawford	46	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (since November 2008). Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (2005 - November 2008). Vice President and Treasurer (2001 - 2004).
Robert W. Dineen	60	President, Lincoln Financial Network, and CEO, Lincoln Financial Advisors (1) (since 2002). Senior Vice President, Managed Asset Group, Merrill Lynch & Co., a diversified financial services company (2001 - 2002).
Heather C. Dzielak	41	Senior Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer (since January 2009). Senior Vice President, Retirement Income Security Ventures (September 2006 - January 2009). Vice President, Lincoln National Life Insurance Company (1) (December 2003 - September 2006).
Wilford H. Fuller	39	President and CEO, Lincoln Financial Distributors (1) (since February 2009). Head, Distribution, Global Wealth Management, Merrill Lynch & Co., a diversified financial services company (2007-2009). Head, Distribution, Managed Solutions Group, Merrill Lynch & Co. (2005-2007). National Sales Manager, Merrill Lynch & Co. (2000-2005).
Mark E. Konen	50	President, Insurance and Retirement Solutions (since July 2008 and February 2009 respectively). President, Individual Markets (April 2006 - July 2008). Executive Vice President, Life and Annuity Manufacturing, Jefferson-Pilot (2004 - April 2006). Executive Vice President, Product/Financial Management, Jefferson-Pilot (2002 - 2004).

- (1) Denotes an affiliate of LNC.
- (2) Age shown is based on the officer's age as of February 20, 2010.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Stock Market and Dividend Information

Our common stock is traded on the New York and Chicago stock exchanges under the symbol LNC. As of January 29, 2010, the number of shareholders of record of our common stock was 11,183. The dividend on our common stock is declared each quarter by our Board of Directors if we are eligible to pay dividends and the Board determines that we will pay dividends. In determining dividends, the Board takes into consideration items such as our financial condition, including current and expected earnings, projected cash flows and anticipated financing needs. For potential restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, see "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources" in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 21 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in Item 8. The following table presents the high and low prices for our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange during the periods indicated and the dividends declared per share during such periods:

	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
2009				
High	\$25.59	\$19.99	\$27.82	\$28.10
Low	4.90	5.52	14.34	21.99
Dividend declared	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
2008				
High	\$58.11	\$56.80	\$59.99	\$45.50
Low	45.50	45.18	39.83	4.76
Dividend declared	0.415	0.415	0.415	0.210

(b) Not Applicable

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table summarizes our stock repurchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 (dollars in millions, except per share data):

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1)	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) (2)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	(d)
				Approximate Dollar Value of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (3)

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

10/1/09 - 10/31/09	367	\$26.48	-	\$ 1,204
11/1/09 - 11/30/09	10,823	24.35	-	1,204
12/1/09 - 12/31/09	-	-	-	1,204

(1) Of the total number of shares purchased, no shares were received in connection with the exercise of stock options and related taxes and 11,190 shares were withheld for taxes on the vesting of restricted stock. For the quarter ended December 31, 2009, there were no shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs.

(2) On February 23, 2007, our Board approved a \$2 billion increase to our existing securities repurchase authorization, bringing the total authorization at that time to \$2.6 billion. At December 31, 2009, our security repurchase authorization was \$1.2 billion. The security repurchase authorization does not have an expiration date. However, in the fourth quarter of 2008, we announced a suspension of share repurchased under this program. The amount and timing of share repurchase depends on key capital ratios, rating agency expectations, the generation of free cash flow and an evaluation of the costs and benefits associated with alternative uses of capital. The shares repurchased in connection with the awards described in footnote (1) are not included in our security repurchase. As required under the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program, repurchases of the Company's outstanding preferred and common stock are subject to certain restrictions (unless the U.S. Treasury consents). In addition to these restrictions, in connection with this arrangement, the Company will comply with enhanced compensation restrictions for certain executives and employees.

(3) As of the last day of the applicable month.

(d) Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

For information on securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, see "Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters," which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data (in millions, except per share data) should be read in conjunction with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements presented in Item 8. Some previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Total revenues	\$8,499	\$9,224	\$9,614	\$8,002	\$4,649
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(415)	(10)	1,199	1,199	761
Net income (loss)	(485)	57	1,215	1,316	831
Per share data (1) (2):					
Income (loss) from continuing operations - basic	\$(1.60)	\$(0.04)	\$4.44	\$4.75	\$4.40
Income (loss) from continuing operations - diluted	(1.60)	(0.04)	4.37	4.68	4.32
Net income (loss) - basic	(1.85)	0.22	4.50	5.21	4.80
Net income (loss) - diluted	(1.85)	0.22	4.43	5.13	4.72
Common stock dividends	0.040	1.455	1.600	1.535	1.475

	As of December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Assets	\$177,433	\$163,136	\$191,435	\$178,495	\$124,860
Long-term debt	5,050	4,731	4,618	3,458	1,333
Stockholders' equity	11,700	7,977	11,718	12,201	6,384
Per common share data (1):					
Stockholders' equity including accumulated other comprehensive income (3)	\$36.02	\$31.15	\$44.32	\$44.21	\$36.69
Stockholders' equity excluding accumulated					

other comprehensive income (3)	36.89	42.09	43.46	41.99	33.66
Market value of common stock	24.88	18.84	58.22	66.40	53.03

- (1) Per share amounts were affected by the issuance of 112.3 million shares for the acquisition of Jefferson-Pilot in 2006 and the retirement of less than 1 million, 9.3 million, 15.4 million, 16.9 million, and 2.3 million shares of common stock during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
- (2) For discussion of the reduction of net income (loss) available to common shareholders see Note 15.
- (3) Per share amounts are calculated under the assumption that our Series A preferred stock has been converted to common stock, but exclude Series B preferred stock balances as it is non-convertible.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) is intended to help the reader understand the financial condition as of December 31, 2009, compared with December 31, 2008, and the results of operations in 2009 and 2008, compared with the immediately preceding year of Lincoln National Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. Unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires, “LNC,” “Lincoln,” “Company,” “we,” “our” or “us” refer to Lincoln National Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements (“Notes”) presented in “Part II – Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data,” as well as “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” above.

See Note 2 for a detailed discussion of how the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification™ (“ASC”) is now the single source of authoritative United States of America generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) recognized by the FASB. Accordingly, we have revised all references to GAAP accounting standards in this filing to reflect the appropriate references in the new FASB ASC.

In this report, in addition to providing consolidated revenues and net income (loss), we also provide segment operating revenues and income (loss) from operations because we believe they are meaningful measures of revenues and the profitability of our operating segments. Income (loss) from operations is net income recorded in accordance with GAAP excluding the after-tax effects of the following items, as applicable:

- Realized gains and losses associated with the following (“excluded realized loss”):
 - § Sales or disposals of securities;
 - § Impairments of securities;
- § Change in the fair value of embedded derivatives within certain reinsurance arrangements and the change in the fair value of our trading securities;
- § Change in the fair value of the derivatives we own to hedge our guaranteed death benefit (“GDB”) riders within our variable annuities, which is referred to as “GDB derivatives results”;
- § Change in the fair value of the embedded derivatives of our guaranteed living benefit (“GLB”) riders within our variable annuities accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC (“embedded derivative reserves”), net of the change in the fair value of the derivatives we own to hedge the changes in the embedded derivative reserves, the net of which is referred to as “GLB net derivative results”; and
- § Changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities related to index call options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations applicable to future reset periods for our indexed annuity products accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC (“indexed annuity forward-starting option”).
- Change in reserves accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the FASB ASC resulting from benefit ratio unlocking on our GDB and GLB riders (“benefit ratio unlocking”);
 - Income (loss) from the initial adoption of new accounting standards;
 - Income (loss) from reserve changes (net of related amortization) on business sold through reinsurance;
 - Gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt;
 - Losses from the impairment of intangible assets; and
 - Income (loss) from discontinued operations.

Income (loss) from operations available to common stockholders is net income (loss) available to common stockholders (used in the calculation of earnings (loss) per share) in accordance with GAAP, excluding the after-tax effects of the items above and any acceleration of our Series B preferred stock discount as a result of repayment prior

to five years from the date of issuance.

Operating revenues represent GAAP revenues excluding the pre-tax effects of the following items, as applicable:

- Excluded realized loss;
- Amortization of deferred front-end loads (“DFEL”) arising from changes in GDB and GLB benefit ratio unlocking;
- Amortization of deferred gains arising from the reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance; and
- Revenue adjustments from the initial adoption of new accounting standards.

Operating revenues and income (loss) from operations are the financial performance measures we use to evaluate and assess the results of our segments. Accordingly, we report operating revenues and income (loss) from operations by segment in Note 23. Our management and Board of Directors believe that operating revenues and income (loss) from operations explain the results of our ongoing businesses in a manner that allows for a better understanding of the underlying trends in our current businesses because the excluded items are unpredictable and not necessarily indicative of current operating fundamentals or future performance of the business segments, and, in many instances, decisions regarding these items do not necessarily relate to the operations of the individual segments. In addition, we believe that our definitions of operating revenues and income (loss) from operations will provide investors with a more valuable measure of our performance because it better reveals trends in our business.

We use our prevailing corporate federal income tax rate of 35% while taking into account any permanent differences for events recognized differently in our financial statements and federal income tax returns when reconciling our non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measure. Operating revenues and income (loss) from operations do not replace revenues and net income as the GAAP measures of our consolidated results of operations.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods' financial information.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS – CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE

Certain statements made in this report and in other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (“PSLRA”). A forward-looking statement is a statement that is not a historical fact and, without limitation, includes any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate or imply future results, performance or achievements, and may contain words like: “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “estimate,” “project,” “will,” “shall” and other words or phrases with similar meaning in connection with a discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these include statements relating to future actions, trends in our businesses, prospective services or products, future performance or financial results and the outcome of contingencies, such as legal proceedings. We claim the protection afforded by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the PSLRA.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from the results contained in the forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to vary materially, some of which are described within the forward-looking statements, include, among others:

- Deterioration in general economic and business conditions, both domestic and foreign, that may affect foreign exchange rates, premium levels, claims experience, the level of pension benefit costs and funding and investment results;
- Economic declines and credit market illiquidity could cause us to realize additional impairments on investments and certain intangible assets, including goodwill and a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, which may reduce future earnings and/or affect our financial condition and ability to raise additional capital or refinance existing debt as it matures;
- Uncertainty about the impact of existing or new stimulus legislation on the economy;
- The cost and other consequences of our participation in the Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”), including the impact of existing regulation and future regulations to which we may become subject;
- Legislative, regulatory or tax changes, both domestic and foreign, that affect the cost of, or demand for, our subsidiaries' products, the required amount of reserves and/or surplus, or otherwise affect our ability to conduct business, including changes to statutory reserves and/or risk-based capital (“RBC”) requirements related to secondary guarantees under universal life and variable annuity products such as Actuarial Guideline (“AG”) 43 (“AG43,” also known as Commissioners Annuity Reserve Valuation Method for Variable Annuities or “VACARVM”); restrictions on revenue sharing and 12b-1 payments; and the potential for U.S. Federal tax reform;

- The initiation of legal or regulatory proceedings against us, and the outcome of any legal or regulatory proceedings, such as: adverse actions related to present or past business practices common in businesses in which we compete; adverse decisions in significant actions including, but not limited to, actions brought by federal and state authorities and extra-contractual and class action damage cases; new decisions that result in changes in law; and unexpected trial court rulings;
- Changes in interest rates causing a reduction of investment income, the margins of our subsidiaries' fixed annuity and life insurance businesses and demand for their products;
- A decline in the equity markets causing a reduction in the sales of our subsidiaries' products, a reduction of asset-based fees that our subsidiaries charge on various investment and insurance products, an acceleration of amortization of deferred acquisition costs ("DAC"), value of business acquired ("VOBA"), deferred sales inducements ("DSI") and DFEL and an increase in liabilities related to guaranteed benefit features of our subsidiaries' variable annuity products;
- Ineffectiveness of our various hedging strategies used to offset the impact of changes in the value of liabilities due to changes in the level and volatility of the equity markets and interest rates;

- A deviation in actual experience regarding future persistency, mortality, morbidity, interest rates or equity market returns from the assumptions used in pricing our subsidiaries' products, in establishing related insurance reserves and in the amortization of intangibles that may cause an increase in reserves and/or a reduction in assets, resulting in a corresponding decrease in net income;
- Changes in GAAP that may result in unanticipated changes to our net income;
- Lowering of one or more of LNC's debt ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and the adverse impact such action may have on LNC's ability to raise capital and on its liquidity and financial condition;
- Lowering of one or more of the insurer financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries and the adverse impact such action may have on the premium writings, policy retention, profitability of our insurance subsidiaries and liquidity;
- Significant credit, accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect the value of certain investments in our portfolios requiring that we realize losses on such investments;
- The impact of acquisitions and divestitures, restructurings, product withdrawals and other unusual items, including our ability to integrate acquisitions and to obtain the anticipated results and synergies from acquisitions;
- The adequacy and collectibility of reinsurance that we have purchased;
- Acts of terrorism, a pandemic, war or other man-made and natural catastrophes that may adversely affect our businesses and the cost and availability of reinsurance;
- Competitive conditions, including pricing pressures, new product offerings and the emergence of new competitors, that may affect the level of premiums and fees that our subsidiaries can charge for their products;
- The unknown impact on our subsidiaries' businesses resulting from changes in the demographics of their client base, as aging baby-boomers move from the asset-accumulation stage to the asset-distribution stage of life; and
- Loss of key management, financial planners or wholesalers.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") include additional factors that could impact our businesses and financial performance, including "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors," "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the risk discussions included in this section under "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates," "Consolidated Investments" and "Reinsurance," which are incorporated herein by reference. Moreover, we operate in a rapidly changing and competitive environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors.

Further, it is not possible to assess the impact of all risk factors on our businesses or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. In addition, we disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this report.

INTRODUCTION

Executive Summary

We are a holding company that operates multiple insurance and retirement businesses through subsidiary companies. Through our business segments, we sell a wide range of wealth protection, accumulation and retirement income products and solutions. These products include fixed and indexed annuities, variable annuities, universal life insurance ("UL"), variable universal life insurance ("VUL"), linked-benefit UL, term life insurance and mutual funds.

We provide products and services in two operating businesses and report results through four business segments as follows:

	Corresponding Segments
Business Retirement Solutions	Annuities Defined Contribution
Insurance Solutions	Life Insurance Group Protection

Our individual products and services and defined contribution plans are distributed primarily through consultants, brokers, planners, agents and other intermediaries with sales and marketing support provided by approximately 540 wholesalers within Lincoln Financial Distributors (“LFD”), our wholesaling distributor. Our Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment distributes its products and services primarily through employee benefit brokers, third party administrators (“TPAs”) and other employee benefit firms with sales support provided by its group and retirement sales specialists. Our retail distributor, Lincoln Financial Network, offers proprietary and non-proprietary products and advisory services through a national network of approximately 7,700 active producers who placed business with us within the last twelve months.

These operating businesses and their segments are described in “Part I – Item 1. Business” above.

We also have Other Operations, which includes the financial data for operations that are not directly related to the business segments. Other Operations also includes investments related to the excess capital in our insurance subsidiaries; investments in media properties and other corporate investments; benefit plan net assets; the unamortized deferred gain on indemnity reinsurance related to the sale of reinsurance to Swiss Re in 2001; the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re; our run-off Institutional Pension business; and external debt.

Our former Lincoln UK and Investment Management segments are reported in discontinued operations for all periods presented. See “Acquisitions and Dispositions” and Note 3 below for more information.

For information on how we derive our revenues, see the discussion in results of operations by segment below.

Current Market Conditions

Subsequent to the first quarter of 2009, the capital and credit markets improved following a period of extreme volatility and disruption that affected both equity market returns and interest rates. During this period, credit spreads widened across asset classes and reduced liquidity in the credit markets. The price of our common stock increased during 2009 to close at \$24.88 on December 31, 2009, as compared to \$18.84 on December 31, 2008, after having traded at a low of \$4.90 during the first quarter of 2009. Analysts and economists noted in January 2009 that the U.S. economy lost more jobs in 2008 than in any year subsequent to World War II and projected that the economic recovery might take longer than previously expected. We also experienced a series of ratings downgrades primarily from February 2009 to May 2009 as depressed capital markets continued to strain our liquidity as we prepared to fund debt maturities in the second quarter of 2009; however, during June of 2009 and following the announcement about our planned capital actions discussed below, all four of the major independent rating agencies affirmed our financial strength ratings, and Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) improved its outlook on our company to stable from negative. For more information about ratings, see “Part I – Item 1. Business – Ratings.”

Although market conditions have improved, we expect earnings will continue to be unfavorably impacted by the prior significant decline in the equity markets. Due to these challenges, the capital markets had a significant effect on our segment income (loss) from operations and consolidated net loss during 2009. In the face of these capital market challenges, we continue to focus on building our businesses through these difficult markets and beyond by developing and introducing high quality products, expanding distribution in new and existing key accounts and channels and targeting market segments that have high growth potential while maintaining a disciplined approach to managing our expenses. During 2009, we experienced modestly lower deposits but significantly higher net flows than in 2008.

The markets have primarily impacted the following areas:

Adequacy of Our Liquidity and Capital Positions

The continued adequacy of our capital resources to meet requirements of our businesses and our holding company depends upon such factors as market conditions and our ability to access sources of liquidity. In addition, market volatility impacts the level of capital required to support our businesses.

Given this dynamic and challenging environment that began in the fourth quarter of 2008, we have taken the following measures to prudently and actively manage our liquidity and capital positions as discussed below in “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources”:

- We reduced our dividend in October 2008 from \$0.415 to \$0.21 per share, and we further reduced the dividend in February 2009 from \$0.21 to \$0.01 per share, which, along with the prior reduction, increased our cash flow by \$400 million annually.
- We launched an expense reduction initiative in December 2008 that was intended primarily to adjust capacity of volume-sensitive areas to the reduction in volumes we were experiencing related to the challenging economic environment and to improve efficiencies across the entire organization. We completed this initiative in June 2009 and achieved an annualized gross general and administrative expense reduction that will improve our capital position by \$140 million to \$160 million annually, after-tax.
- We suspended any further stock repurchase activity in October 2008.
- We issued \$690 million of common stock and \$500 million of senior notes during the second quarter of 2009.
- We issued \$950 million of preferred stock and a common stock warrant through the U.S. Treasury’s Troubled Asset Relief Program (“TARP”) CPP in the third quarter of 2009, as discussed below in “TARP CPP.”
- We also issued \$300 million of senior notes during the fourth quarter of 2009, of which most of the proceeds will be used to pay the maturity of the \$250 million floating rate senior note due on March 12, 2010.
- We completed the sale of the Lincoln UK in October 2009 for proceeds of \$307 million, after-tax, subject to customary post-closing adjustments.
- We completed the sale of Delaware, our investment management operation, in January 2010 for proceeds of approximately \$405 million, after-tax, subject to customary post-closing adjustments.

Earnings from Account Values

Our asset-gathering segments – Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution – are the most sensitive to the equity markets. We discuss the earnings impact of the equity markets on account values and the related asset-based earnings below in “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Equity Market Risk – Impact of Equity Market Sensitivity.” From the end of 2008 to December 31, 2009, our account values were up \$23.2 billion driven by strong deposits, positive net flows and recent improvements in the equity markets. While our ending variable account values as of December 31, 2009, were modestly higher than as of December 31, 2008, the daily average account values were much lower than the corresponding period in the prior year, consistent with the reduction in our asset-based earnings. Accordingly, we may continue to report lower asset-based fees and higher DAC and VOBA amortization relative to expectations or prior periods.

Investment Income on Alternative Investments

We believe that overall market conditions in both the equity and credit markets caused our alternative investments portfolio, which consists primarily of hedge funds and various limited partnership investments, to under-perform relative to our long-term return expectations. During 2009, the most significant unfavorable impact from these investments was related to audit adjustments from the completion of calendar-year financial statement audits of our

investees, determined and recognized during the second quarter of 2009. The audit reports that we received for these investees reflected a lower equity balance than the unaudited financial statements that we used as the basis for valuation at year end 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. These investments impact primarily our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment and to a lesser extent our other segments. See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Investments – Valuation of Alternative Investments” and “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” for additional information on our investment portfolio and further discussion of the nature of the audit adjustments referred to above.

Variable Annuity Hedge Program Results

We offer variable annuity products with living benefit guarantees. As described below in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives – Guaranteed Living Benefits,” we use derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to the risks and earnings volatility that result from the GLB embedded derivatives in certain of our variable annuity products. The change in fair value of these instruments tends to move in the opposite direction of the change in embedded derivative reserves.

The non-performance risk (“NPR”) factors affect the discount rate used in the calculation of the GLB embedded derivative reserve. The NPR factors are impacted by our holding company’s credit default swap (“CDS”) spreads adjusted for items, such as the liquidity of our holding company CDS. Because the guaranteed benefit liabilities are contained within our insurance subsidiaries, we apply items, such as the impact of our insurance subsidiaries’ claims-paying ratings compared to holding company credit risk and the over-collateralization of insurance liabilities, in order to determine factors that are representative of a theoretical market participant’s view of the NPR of the specific liability within our insurance subsidiaries. This had an unfavorable effect during 2009 attributable to narrowing of credit spreads. These results are excluded from the Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Defined Contribution segments’ operating revenues and income from operations. See “Realized Loss – Operating Realized Gain – GLB” for information on our methodology for calculating the NPR.

We also offer variable products with death benefit guarantees. As described below in “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations – Guaranteed Death Benefits,” we use derivative instruments to attempt to hedge the income statement impact in the opposite direction of the GDB benefit ratio unlocking for movements in equity markets. These results are excluded from income (loss) from operations.

Variable Annuity Business Model

In order to address the realities of the current market conditions in the variable annuity marketplace, in late January 2009, we introduced changes to our GLB riders including increased rider fees, reduced roll-up periods and tighter investment restrictions on new business and a large percentage of in-force account value. Increased equity market implied volatility and falling interest rates have increased the cost of providing GLBs. The January product changes reduce benefits provided under the contracts while also compensating us for increasing costs to provide the benefits. In addition, in October 2009, we removed the ability for contract holders to double their guaranteed amount if held for 10 years without withdrawal or an implied 7.2% roll-up.

Credit Losses, Impairments and Unrealized Losses

Related to our investments in fixed income and equity securities, we experienced net realized losses that reduced net income by \$286 million for 2009 and included credit-related write-downs of securities for other-than-temporary impairments (“OTTI”) of \$255 million. Although economic conditions have improved, we expect a continuation of some level of OTTI. If we were to experience another period of weakness in the economic environment like we did in late 2008 and early 2009, it could lead to increased credit defaults, resulting in additional write-downs of securities for OTTI.

Increased liquidity in several market segments and improved credit fundamentals (i.e., market improvement and narrowing credit spreads) as of December 31, 2009, compared to December 31, 2008, have resulted in the \$4.5 billion decrease in gross unrealized losses on the available-for-sale (“AFS”) fixed maturity securities in our general account as of December 31, 2009.

TARP CPP

On November 13, 2008, we filed an application to participate in the CPP that was established under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”). On January 8, 2009, the Office of Thrift Supervision approved our application to become a savings and loan holding company and our acquisition of Newton County Loan & Savings, FSB, a federally regulated savings bank, located in Indiana. We contributed \$10 million to the capital of Newton County Loan & Savings, FSB, and closed on the purchase on January 15, 2009. On May 8, 2009, the U.S. Treasury granted us preliminary approval to participate in the CPP. On July 10, 2009, we issued, in a private placement, \$950 million of Series B preferred stock and a warrant for 13,049,451 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of

\$10.92 per share to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP. See “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow – Financing Activities” for more information about our preferred stock issuance.

We are subject to certain restrictions, notably, limits on incentive compensation for certain executives and employees for the duration of the U.S. Treasury’s investment. We are also subject to limits on increasing the dividend on our common stock and redeeming capital stock (unless the U.S. Treasury consents), both of which apply until the third anniversary of the U.S. Treasury’s investment unless we redeem the Series B preferred shares in whole or the U.S. Treasury transfers all of the Series B preferred stock to third parties.

Industry Trends

We continue to be influenced by a variety of trends that affect the industry.

Financial Environment

The level of long-term interest rates and the shape of the yield curve can have a negative impact on the demand for and the profitability of spread-based products such as fixed annuities and UL. A flat or inverted yield curve and low long-term interest rates will be a concern if new money rates on corporate bonds are lower than overall life insurer investment portfolio yields. Equity market performance can also impact the profitability of life insurers, as product demand and fee revenue from variable annuities and fee revenue from pension products tied to separate account balances often reflect equity market performance. A steady economy is important as it provides for continuing demand for insurance and investment-type products. Insurance premium growth, with respect to group life and disability products, for example, is closely tied to employers' total payroll growth. Additionally, the potential market for these products is expanded by new business creation. See "Current Market Conditions" above for further discussion of the current impact of volatility in the capital markets.

Economic Environment

The National Bureau of Economic Research, a panel of economists charged with officially designating business cycles, announced that a U.S. recession began in December of 2007. While the economy is believed to have rebounded during the third quarter of 2009, analysts believe that unemployment will remain high well into 2010, likely restraining consumption. Analysts do not expect the labor market to regain the jobs lost during the recession until 2012 or beyond. The slow recovery of the U.S. economy is likely to result in businesses and consumers spending less, including on the products the insurance industry markets and sells.

Demographics

In the coming decade, a key driver shaping the actions of the insurance industry will be the escalation of income protection and wealth accumulation goals and needs of the retiring baby-boomers. As a result of increasing longevity, retirees will need to accumulate sufficient savings to finance retirements that may span 30 or more years. Helping the baby-boomers to accumulate assets for retirement and subsequently to convert these assets into retirement income represents an opportunity for the insurance industry.

Insurers are well positioned to address the baby-boomers' rapidly increasing need for savings tools and for income protection. We believe that, among insurers, those with strong brands, high financial strength ratings and broad distribution, are best positioned to capitalize on the opportunity to offer income protection products to baby-boomers.

Moreover, the insurance industry's products, and the needs they are designed to address, are complex. We believe that individuals approaching retirement age will need to seek information to plan for and manage their retirements. In the workplace, as employees take greater responsibility for their benefit options and retirement planning, they will need information about their possible individual needs. One of the challenges for the insurance industry will be the delivery of this information in a cost effective manner.

Competitive Pressures

The insurance industry remains highly competitive, especially in this post-recessionary environment. The product development and product life cycles have shortened in many product segments, leading to more intense competition with respect to product features. Larger companies have the ability to invest in brand equity, product development, technology and risk management, which are among the fundamentals for sustained profitable growth in the life

insurance industry. In addition, several of the industry's products can be quite homogeneous and subject to intense price competition. Sufficient scale, financial strength and financial flexibility are becoming prerequisites for sustainable growth in the life insurance industry. Larger market participants tend to have the capacity to invest in additional distribution capability and the information technology needed to offer the superior customer service demanded by an increasingly sophisticated industry client base.

Regulatory Changes

The insurance industry is regulated at the state level, with some products and services also subject to federal regulation. As life insurers introduce new and often more complex products, regulators refine capital requirements and introduce new reserving standards for the life insurance industry. Regulations recently adopted or currently under review can potentially impact the reserve and capital requirements of the industry.

Issues and Outlook

Going into 2010, significant issues include:

- Potential unstable credit markets that can impact our financing alternatives, spreads and other-than-temporary securities impairments;
- Potential volatile equity markets that have a significant impact on our hedge program performance and revenues;
- Continuation of the low interest rate environment, which affects the investment margins and reserve levels for many of our products, such as fixed annuities, UL and the fixed portion of defined contribution and VUL business;
- Possible additional intangible asset impairments, such as goodwill, if the financial performance of our reporting units deteriorates, market observable transactions of businesses similar to ours occur that imply lower market valuations, our market capitalization remains below book value for a prolonged period of time or business valuation assumptions (such as discount rates and equity market volatility) are adversely affected;
- Achieving continued sales success with our portfolio of products, including marketplace acceptance of new variable annuity features, as well as retaining management and wholesaler talent to maintain our competitive position;
- Maturity of credit facilities in the first quarter of 2011 and related letters of credit (“LOCs”) that may remain outstanding until the first quarter of 2012 that support our life insurance business, and evolving treatment of reserve financing by rating agencies; and
- Continuing focus by the government on tax and healthcare reform including potential changes in company dividends-received deduction (“DRD”) calculations, which may affect the value and profitability of our products and overall earnings.

In the face of these issues and potential issues, we expect to focus on the following throughout 2010:

- Increasing our product development activities together with identifying future product development initiatives, with a focus on further reducing risk related to guaranteed benefit riders available with certain variable annuity contracts;
- Making targeted strategic investments in our businesses to grow revenues and further spur productivity, particularly in Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution and Insurance Solutions – Group Protection, with technology upgrades and new products for the voluntary market and an expanded distribution focus for our group business;
- Managing our expenses aggressively through process improvement initiatives combined with continued financial discipline and execution excellence throughout our operations;
- Executing on financing strategies addressing the statutory reserve strain and expiring credit facilities related to our secondary guarantee UL products in order to manage our capital position effectively in accordance with our pricing guidelines; and
- Closely monitoring our capital and liquidity positions taking into account the fragile economic recovery and changing statutory accounting and reserving practices.

For additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have identified the accounting policies below as critical to the understanding of our results of operations and our financial position. In applying these critical accounting policies in preparing our financial statements, management must use critical assumptions, estimates and judgments concerning future results or other developments, including the likelihood, timing or amount of one or more future events. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our assumptions, estimates and judgments based upon historical experience and various other information that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. For a detailed discussion of other significant accounting policies, see Note 1.

DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL

Accounting for intangible assets requires numerous assumptions, such as estimates of expected future profitability for our operations and our ability to retain existing blocks of life and annuity business in force. Our accounting policies for DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL impact the Retirement Solutions – Annuities, Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution, Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance and Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segments.

Acquisition costs for variable annuity and deferred fixed annuity contracts and UL and VUL policies are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits (“EGPs”) derived from the contracts. Acquisition costs are those costs that vary with and are related primarily to new or renewal business. These costs include commissions and other expenses that vary with new business volume. The costs that we defer are recorded as an asset on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as DAC for products we sold or VOBA for books of business we acquired. In addition, we defer costs associated with DSI and revenues associated with DFEL. DSI is included within other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and, when amortized, increases interest credited and reduces income. DFEL is a liability included within other contract holder funds on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, and when amortized, increases product expense charge revenues and income.

EGPs vary based on a number of sources including policy persistency, mortality, fee income, investment margins, expense margins and realized gains and losses on investments, including assumptions about the expected level of credit-related losses. Each of these sources of profit is, in turn, driven by other factors. For example, assets under management and the spread between earned and credited rates drive investment margins; net amount at risk (“NAR”) drives the level of cost of insurance (“COI”) charges and reinsurance premiums. The level of separate account assets under management is driven by changes in the financial markets (equity and bond markets, hereafter referred to collectively as “equity markets”) and net flows. Realized gains and losses on investments include amounts resulting from differences in the actual level of impairments and the levels assumed in calculating EGPs.

Our DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL balances (in millions) by business segment as of December 31, 2009, were as follows:

	Retirement Solutions		Insurance Solutions		Other Operations	Total
	Annuities	Defined Contribution	Life Insurance	Group Protection		
DAC and VOBA	\$2,381	\$ 538	\$6,412	\$159	\$20	\$9,510
DSI	320	3	-	-	-	323
Total	2,701	541	6,412	159	20	9,833
DFEL	182	-	1,156	-	-	1,338
Net total	\$2,519	\$ 541	\$5,256	\$159	\$20	\$8,495

Note: The above table includes acquisition costs associated with whole life and term life insurance products and group life and disability policies that are amortized over periods of 10 to 30 years for life products and up to 15 years for group products on either a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium of the related policies depending on the block of business. No DAC is being amortized for fixed and variable payout annuities.

On a quarterly basis, we may record an adjustment to the amounts included within our Consolidated Balance Sheets for DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL with an offsetting benefit or charge to revenue or expense for the impact of the difference between future EGPs used in the prior quarter and the emergence of actual and updated future EGPs in the current quarter (“retrospective unlocking”). In addition, in the third quarter of each year, we conduct our annual comprehensive review of the assumptions and the projection models used for our estimates of future gross profits underlying the amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and the calculations of the embedded derivatives and reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees. These assumptions include investment margins, mortality, retention, rider utilization and maintenance expenses (costs associated with maintaining records relating to insurance and individual and group annuity contracts and with the processing of premium collections, deposits, withdrawals and commissions). Based on our review, the cumulative balances of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL, included on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, are adjusted with an offsetting benefit or charge to revenue or amortization expense to reflect such change (“prospective unlocking – assumption changes”). We

may also identify and implement actuarial modeling refinements (“prospective unlocking – model refinements”) that result in increases or decreases to the carrying values of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL, embedded derivatives and reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees. The primary distinction between retrospective and prospective unlocking is that retrospective unlocking is driven by the difference between actual gross profits compared to EGPs each period, while prospective unlocking is driven by changes in assumptions or projection models related to our projections of future EGPs.

In discussing our results of operations below in this MD&A, we refer to favorable and unfavorable unlocking. With respect to DAC, VOBA and DSI, favorable unlocking refers to a decrease in the amortization expense in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to an increase in the amortization expense in the period. With respect to DFEL, favorable unlocking refers to an increase in the amortization income in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to a decrease in the amortization income in the period. With respect to the calculations of the embedded derivatives and reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees, favorable unlocking refers to a decrease in reserves in the period, whereas unfavorable unlocking refers to an increase in reserves in the period.

For illustrative purposes, the following presents the hypothetical impacts to EGPs and DAC (1) amortization attributable to changes in assumptions from those our model projections assume, assuming all other factors remain constant:

Actual Experience Differs From Those Our Model Projections Assume	Hypothetical Impact to Net Income for EGPs	Hypothetical Impact to Net Income for DAC (1) Amortization	Description of Expected Impact
Higher equity markets	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income and decrease to changes in reserves.
Lower equity markets	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income and increase to changes in reserves.
Higher investment margins	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to interest rate spread on our fixed product line, including fixed portion of variable.
Lower investment margins	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to interest rate spread on our fixed product line, including fixed portion of variable.
Higher credit losses	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to realized gains on investments.
Lower credit losses	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to realized gains on investments.
Higher lapses	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income, partially offset by decrease to benefits due to shorter contract life.
Lower lapses	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income, partially offset by increase to benefits due to longer contract life.
Higher death claims	Unfavorable	Unfavorable	Decrease to fee income and increase to changes in reserves due to shorter contract life.
Lower death claims	Favorable	Favorable	Increase to fee income and decrease to changes in reserves due to longer contract life.

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in future contract benefits.

Details underlying the increase (decrease) to income from continuing operations from our prospective unlocking as a result of our annual comprehensive review (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Insurance fees:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	\$3	\$(1)	\$(1)
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	20	(28)	26
Total insurance fees	23	(29)	25
Realized gain (loss):			
Indexed annuity forward-starting option	-	-	1
GLB	(26)	48	2
Total realized gain (loss)	(26)	48	3
Total revenues	(3)	19	28
Interest credited:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	-	-	(1)
Total interest credited	-	-	(1)
Benefits:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	1	1	2
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	(2)	85	-
Total benefits	(1)	86	2
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses:			
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	10	(2)	(12)
Retirement Solutions - Defined Contribution	(8)	-	3
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	33	(81)	21
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	35	(83)	12
Total benefits and expenses	34	3	13
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(37)	16	15
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	(12)	6	5
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(25)	\$10	\$10

During the fourth quarter of 2009, there was a \$100 million, after-tax, unfavorable prospective unlocking due primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves and modifying our fund assumptions with regard to our hedged indices.

Because equity market movements have a significant impact on the value of variable annuity and VUL products and the fees earned on these accounts, EGPs could increase or decrease with movements in the equity markets; therefore, significant and sustained changes in equity markets have had and could in the future have an impact on DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization for our variable annuity, annuity-based 401(k) business and VUL business. The table above excludes the impact of our prospective unlocking that we recognized in the fourth quarter of 2008, which is described below.

As equity markets do not move in a systematic manner, we reset the baseline of account values from which EGPs are projected, which we refer to as our “reversion to the mean” (“RTM”) process. Under our RTM process, on each valuation date, future EGPs are projected using stochastic modeling of a large number of future equity market scenarios in conjunction with best estimates of lapse rates, interest rate spreads and mortality to develop a statistical distribution of the present value of future EGPs for our variable annuity, annuity-based 401(k) and VUL blocks of business. Because future equity market returns are unpredictable, the underlying premise of this process is that best estimate projections of future EGPs need not be affected by random short-term and insignificant deviations from expectations in equity

market returns. However, long-term or significant deviations from expected equity market returns require a change to best estimate projections of EGPs and prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and changes in future contract benefits. The statistical distribution is designed to identify when the equity market return deviations from expected returns have become significant enough to warrant a change of the future equity return EGP assumption.

The stochastic modeling performed for our variable annuity blocks of business as described above is used to develop a range of reasonably possible future EGPs. We compare the range of the present value of the future EGPs from the stochastic modeling to that used in our amortization model. A set of intervals around the mean of these scenarios is utilized to calculate two separate statistical ranges of reasonably possible EGPs. These intervals are then compared again to the present value of the EGPs used in the amortization model. If the present value of EGP assumptions utilized for amortization were to exceed the margin of the reasonable range of statistically calculated EGPs, a revision of the EGPs used to calculate amortization would occur. If a revision is deemed necessary, future EGPs would be re-projected using the current account values at the end of the period during which the revision occurred along with a revised long-term annual equity market gross return assumption such that the re-projected EGPs would be our best estimate of EGPs.

Notwithstanding these intervals, if a severe decline or advance in equity markets were to occur or should other circumstances, including contract holder behavior, suggest that the present value of future EGPs no longer represents our best estimate, we could determine that a revision of the EGPs is necessary.

Our practice is not necessarily to unlock immediately after exceeding the first of the two statistical ranges, but, rather, if we stay between the first and second statistical range for several quarters, we would likely unlock. Additionally, if we exceed the ranges as a result of a short-term market reaction, we would not necessarily unlock. However, if the second statistical range is exceeded for more than one quarter, it is likely that we would unlock. While this approach reduces adjustments to DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL due to short-term equity market fluctuations, significant changes in the equity markets that extend beyond one or two quarters could result in a significant favorable or unfavorable unlocking.

Our long-term equity market growth assumption rate is 9%, which is used in the determination of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization for the variable component of our variable annuity and VUL products, as this component is related primarily to underlying investments in equity funds within the separate accounts. This variable appreciation rate is before the deduction of our contract fees. The actual variable appreciation rate in 2008 was significantly lower than the assumed rate with October of 2008 representing the worst returns in 21 years. The negative returns in the fourth quarter of 2008 resulted in the piercing of the outer corridor in our Retirement Solutions businesses and our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment. Although the piercing of the outer corridor does not automatically result in a resetting of our RTM assumption, we determined that the significance of unfavorable equity markets experienced during 2008 and the recessionary economic environment required a prospective unlocking related to RTM in the fourth quarter of 2008. If unfavorable economic conditions return, additional unlocking of our RTM assumptions could be possible in future periods. However, if we were to have unlocked our RTM assumption in the corridor as of December 31, 2009, we would have recorded a favorable prospective unlocking of approximately \$300 million, pre-tax, as a result of improved market conditions in 2009.

Details underlying our fourth quarter 2008 prospective unlocking related to RTM and the impact of the volatile capital market conditions on our annuity reserves (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2008
Insurance fees:	
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	\$26
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	16
Total insurance fees	42
Realized gain (loss):	
GLB	70
Total realized gain (loss)	70
Total revenues	112
Interest credited:	
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	37
Total interest credited	37
Benefits:	
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	8
Retirement Solutions - Defined Contribution	1
Total benefits	9
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses:	
Retirement Solutions - Annuities	305
Retirement Solutions - Defined Contribution	39
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	65
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	409
Total benefits and expenses	455
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	(343)
Federal income tax benefit	(120)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(223)

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but are subject to impairment tests conducted at least annually. Intangibles that do not have indefinite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We are required to perform a two-step test in our evaluation of the carrying value of goodwill. In Step 1 of the evaluation, the fair value of each reporting unit is determined and compared to the carrying value of the reporting unit. If the fair value is greater than the carrying value, then the carrying value of the reporting unit is deemed to be recoverable, and Step 2 is not required. If the fair value estimate is less than the carrying value, it is an indicator that impairment may exist, and Step 2 is required. In Step 2, the reporting unit's goodwill implied fair value is determined. The reporting unit's fair value as determined in Step 1 is assigned to all of its net assets (recognized and unrecognized) as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination as of the date of the impairment test. If the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill is impaired and written down to its fair value. Refer to Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements for goodwill and intangible assets by reporting unit.

We use October 1 as the annual date for goodwill and other intangible assets impairment testing. However, when factors indicate that impairment may exist, we would complete an interim test to reassess our conclusions related to goodwill recoverability. We performed an interim test of goodwill impairment as of March 31, 2009, in response to the capital markets crisis experienced in early 2009. All of our reporting units passed the Step 1 analysis, except for our Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting unit, which required the completion of a Step 2 analysis. In our Step 2 analysis, we estimated the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill. We assigned the reporting unit’s fair value determined in Step 1 to all of its net assets (recognized and unrecognized) as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination as of March 31, 2009. Based upon our Step 2 analysis, we recorded a goodwill impairment of \$600 million for the Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting unit that was attributable primarily to higher discount rates related to higher debt costs and equity market volatility, deterioration in equity market levels that impacted our variable account values and lower annuity sales.

Our Step 1 analysis as of March 31, 2009, for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance and Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting units utilized primarily a discounted cash flow valuation technique, although limited available market data was also considered. In determining the estimated fair value of these reporting units, we considered discounted cash flow calculations, the level of our own share price and assumptions that market participants would make in valuing these reporting units. Our fair value estimations were based primarily on an in-depth analysis of projected future cash flows and relevant discount rates, which considered market participant inputs (“income approach”). The discounted cash flow analysis required us to make judgments about revenues, earnings projections, capital market assumptions and discount rates.

The key assumptions used in the analysis to determine the fair value of these reporting units as of March 31, 2009, included:

- New business for 10 years and run off of cash flows on in-force and new business for the life of the reporting unit;
- Expense synergies assumption of 25% that would be expected to be realized in a market-participant transaction similar to prior market observable transactions and prior company experience;
- Adjustments of several assumptions in our projections to reflect conservatism in the near-term as a result of the current volatility in the capital markets, including:
 - § Lower equity market returns for two years;
 - § Lower alternative investment income returns for two years; and
 - § Higher costs associated with LOCs related to reserve securitizations;
- Discount rates ranging from 11.0% to 16.0% that were based on the weighted average cost of capital for each of our reporting units adjusted for the risks associated with the operations. We used 11.0% for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance reporting unit and 16.0% for our Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting unit.

For our other reporting units, we used other available information, including market data obtained through strategic reviews and other analysis, to support our Step 1 conclusions.

As part of our annual review process, we performed a Step 1 goodwill impairment analysis on all of our reporting units as of October 1, 2009. The Step 1 analysis for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance and Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting units included a roll forward of the estimated fair value of the reporting units from March 31, 2009. In this roll forward, we identified the significant assumptions that impact the estimated fair value, evaluated how the assumptions had changed since March 31 to October 1 and quantified the approximate impact to the estimated fair value. The key valuation drivers that were evaluated included: sales projections, changes in interest rates, changes in equity markets and growth of business in force or account values. We also updated our estimates of discount rates based upon current market observable inputs. Discount rates ranging from 10% to 14.5% were used, which were based on the weighted average cost of capital for each of our reporting units adjusted for the risks associated with the operations. We used 10% for our Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance reporting unit and 14% for

our Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting unit. These discount rates reflected improvement since March 31, 2009, due primarily to significantly lower debt costs and lower equity risk premiums used as inputs into the discount rate calculations.

As of October 1, 2009, the estimated fair value of the reporting units within our Retirement Solutions and Insurance Solutions businesses was greater than the carrying value, and Step 2 was not required. Subsequent to the goodwill impairment that we recorded for our Retirement Solutions – Annuities reporting unit in the first quarter of 2009, there was improvement in the equity markets that resulted in an increase to our fair value estimate for this reporting unit. From March 31, 2009, to October 1, 2009, the estimated fair value of the Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance reporting unit was favorably impacted by lower discount rates and, to a lesser extent, the continued improvement in the equity markets, partially offset by a lower base of sales related to the temporary decline in sales experienced in early 2009 during the capital markets crisis.

We also completed a Step 1 and Step 2 analysis for our Media reporting unit as of October 1, 2009, as a result of continued deterioration in the radio market. We have recorded several impairments over the past two years due to declining forecasts for advertising revenues. Consequently, we recorded goodwill impairment and Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) license intangible impairment for our media reporting unit as follows:

- \$79 million of goodwill impairment and \$30 million of FCC license impairment during the fourth quarter of 2009;
- \$81 million of goodwill impairment and \$77 million of FCC license impairment during the fourth quarter of 2008; and
- \$83 million of goodwill impairment and \$56 million of FCC license impairment during the second quarter of 2008.

If current market conditions were to deteriorate to levels experienced during the end of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, and, in particular, if our share price were to remain below book value per share for an extended period of time or deteriorate further, we may need to perform interim goodwill impairment tests in addition to our annual test as of October 1, 2010. Subsequent reviews of goodwill could result in impairment of goodwill during 2010. Factors that could result in impairment include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Prolonged period of our book value exceeding our market capitalization;
- Valuations of mergers or acquisitions of companies or blocks of business that would provide relevant market-based inputs for our impairment assessment that could support different conclusions regarding the estimated fair value of our reporting units;
- Deterioration in key assumptions used in our income approach estimates of fair value, such as higher discount rates from higher stock market volatility, widening credit spreads or a further decline in interest rates;
- Lower earnings projections due to spread compression, lower account values from unfavorable equity markets and significantly lower expectations for future sales which would reduce future earnings expectations;
- Higher than expected impairments of invested assets; and
- Prolonged inability to execute future Valuation of Life Insurance Policies Model Regulation (“XXX”) or AG38 reinsurance transactions for our life insurance business due to unavailability of financing resulting in higher capital requirements.

To illustrate the effect that changes in valuation assumptions could have on our estimate of our reporting units’ fair values, the following presents the hypothetical impact to segment implied fair value (in millions, except where otherwise noted) associated with specified sensitivities:

	Retirement Solutions - Annuities	Insurance Solutions Life Insurance	Group Protection	Other Operations - Media
Carrying value as of December 31, 2009:				
Goodwill	\$440	\$2,189	\$274	\$91
Net assets (1)	2,824	8,169	1,078	177
Estimated fair value as of December 31, 2009 (in billions)	4.9 to 5.7	8.5 to 9.0	1.4 to 1.6	0.2
Hypothetical estimated reduction in implied fair value attributable to:				
100 basis point increase in discount rate	300	900	200	20
10% decline in forecasted sales and related expenses	67	225	100	N/A
10% decline in equity markets	200	20	N/A	N/A
10% decline in operating margin	N/A	N/A	N/A	15
100 basis point decline in revenue share	N/A	N/A	N/A	15
100 basis point decline in market growth rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	15

- (1) Excludes unrealized balances included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Investments

Our primary investments are in fixed maturity securities, including corporate and government bonds, asset and mortgage-backed securities and redeemable preferred stock, and equity securities, mortgage loans and policy loans. Our fixed maturity and equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value with the difference from amortized cost included in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The difference is net of related DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and amounts that would be credited to contract holders, if realized, and taxes. We also have trading securities that consist of fixed maturity and equity securities in designated portfolios, some of which support modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangements.

Investment Valuation

We adopted the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC for all our financial instruments effective January 1, 2008. For detailed discussions of the methodologies and assumptions used to determine the fair value of our financial instruments and a summary of our financial instruments carried at fair value as of December 31, 2009, see Notes 1, 2 and 22 of this report.

Subsequent to the adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, we did not make any material changes to the valuation techniques or models used to determine the fair value of the assets we carry at fair value. As part of our on-going valuation process, we assess the reasonableness of all our valuation techniques or models and make adjustments as necessary.

Fixed maturity, equity, trading securities and short-term investments, which are reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, represented the majority of our total cash and invested assets. Our measurement of fair value is based on assumptions used by market participants in pricing the asset or liability, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset or non-performance risk, which would include our own credit risk. Our estimate of an exchange price is the price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell the asset or transfer the liability (“exit price”) in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability, as opposed to the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or receive a liability (“entry price”). Pursuant to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, we categorize our financial instruments carried at fair value into a three-level fair value hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the respective valuation technique. The three-level hierarchy for fair value measurement is defined as follows:

- Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date, as we prohibit “blockage discounts” for large holdings of unrestricted financial instruments where quoted prices are readily and regularly available for an identical asset or liability in an active market;
- Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value can be determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies; and
- Level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable inputs in situations where there is little or no market activity for the asset or liability, we make estimates and assumptions related to the pricing of the asset or liability, including assumptions regarding risk.

The following summarizes our investments carried at fair value by pricing source and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC hierarchy level (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Priced by third party pricing services	\$293	\$52,270	\$-	\$52,563
Priced by independent broker quotations	-	-	3,270	3,270
Priced by matrices	-	7,310	-	7,310
Priced by other methods (1)	-	-	1,468	1,468
Total	\$293	\$59,580	\$4,738	\$64,611
Percent of total	0	% 93	% 7	% 100

(1) Represents primarily securities for which pricing models were used to compute the fair values.

The Level 1 securities primarily consist of certain U.S. Treasury and agency fixed maturity securities and exchange-traded common stock.

The Level 2 assets include fixed maturity securities priced principally through independent pricing services including most U.S. Treasury and agency securities as well as the majority of U.S. and foreign corporate securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, state and political subdivision securities, foreign government securities, and asset-backed securities as well as equity securities, including non-redeemable preferred stock, priced by independent pricing services. Management reviews the valuation methodologies used by the pricing services on an ongoing basis and ensures that any valuation methodologies are justified.

Level 3 assets include fixed maturity securities priced principally through independent broker quotes or market standard valuation methodologies. This level consists of less liquid fixed maturity securities with very limited trading activity or where less price transparency exists around the inputs to the valuation methodologies including: U.S. and foreign corporate securities (including below investment grade private placements); residential mortgage-backed securities; asset-backed securities; and other fixed maturity securities such as structured securities. Equity securities classified as Level 3 securities consist principally of common stock of privately held companies and non-redeemable preferred stock where there has been very limited trading activity or where less price transparency exists around the inputs to the valuation. For the categories and associated fair value of our available-for-sale fixed maturity securities classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, see Notes 1 and 22.

Our investment securities are valued using market inputs, including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. In addition, market indicators and industry and economic events are monitored, and further market data is acquired if certain triggers are met. Credit risk is also incorporated and considered in the valuation of our investment securities as we incorporate the issuer's credit rating and a risk premium, if warranted, due to the issuer's industry and the security's time to maturity. The credit rating is based upon internal and external analysis of the issuer's financial strength. For certain security types, additional inputs may be used, or some of the inputs described above may not be applicable. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. In order to validate the pricing information and broker/dealer quotes, we employ, where possible, procedures that include comparisons with similar observable positions, comparisons with subsequent sales, discussions with senior business leaders and brokers as well as observations of general market movements for those asset classes. The broker/dealer quotes are non-binding. Our broker-quoted only securities are generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. It is possible that different valuation techniques and models, other than those described above, could produce materially different estimates of fair values.

Changes in our investments carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy result from changes in market conditions, as well as changes in our portfolio mix and increases and decreases in fair values as a result of those classifications. During 2009, there were no material changes in investments classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further detail, see Note 22.

See "Consolidated Investments" below for a summary of our investments in available-for-sale securities backed by pools of residential mortgages.

We adopted updates to the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, effective January 1, 2009. The FASB provided additional guidance on estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability and additional guidance on circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly.

This guidance does not change the objective of a fair value measurement. That is, even when there has been a significant decrease in market activity for a security, the fair value objective remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell the security in an orderly transaction (i.e., not a forced liquidation or distressed sale), between market participants at the measurement date in the current inactive market (i.e., an "exit price" notion).

The FASB provided additional guidance on estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. The FASB also provided additional guidance on circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. Specifically, the guidance provided factors that indicate that a market is not active, including:

-

Few recent transactions based on volume and level of activity in the market, therefore there is not sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis;

- Price quotations are not based on current information;
- Price quotations vary substantially either over time or among market makers;
- Indexes that previously were highly correlated with the fair values of the asset are demonstrably uncorrelated with recent fair values;
- Abnormal, or significant increases in, liquidity risk premiums or implied yields for quoted prices when compared with reasonable estimates using realistic assumptions of credit and other nonperformance risk for the asset class;
- Abnormally wide bid-ask spread or significant increases in the bid-ask spread; and
- Little information is released publicly.

After evaluating all factors and considering the significance and relevance of each factor, the reporting entity shall use its judgment in determining whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when the market for that asset is not active. The factors should be considered in relation to the normal market activity for the asset. As of December 31, 2009, we evaluated the markets that our securities trade in and concluded that none were inactive. We will continue to re-evaluate this conclusion, as needed, based on market conditions.

We use an internationally recognized pricing service as our primary pricing source, and we generally do not obtain multiple prices for our financial instruments. We generally use prices from the pricing service rather than broker quotes as we have documentation from the pricing service on the observable market inputs that they use to determine the prices in contrast to the broker quotes where we have limited information on the pricing inputs.

Our primary third party pricing service has policies and processes to ensure that they are using objectively verifiable observable market data. The pricing service regularly reviews the evaluation inputs for securities covered, including broker quotes, executed trades and credit information, as applicable. If the pricing service determines it does not have sufficient objectively verifiable information about a security's valuation, they discontinue providing a valuation for the security. The pricing service regularly publishes and updates a summary of inputs used in their valuations by major security type. In addition, we have policies and procedures in place to review the process that is utilized by the third party pricing service and the output that is provided to ensure we are in agreement with the output provided by the pricing service. On a periodic basis, we test the pricing for a sample of securities to evaluate the inputs and assumptions used by the pricing service. In addition, we perform a check on prices provided by our primary pricing service to ensure that they are not stale or unreasonable by reviewing the prices for unusual changes from period to period based on certain parameters or for lack of change from one period to the next. If such anomalies in the pricing are observed, we verify the price provided by our pricing service with another pricing source.

As of December 31, 2009, we only obtained multiple prices for 54 available-for-sale and trading securities. These multiple prices were primarily related to instances where the vendor was providing a price for the first time and we also received a broker quote. In these instances, we used the price from the pricing service due to the higher reliability as discussed above.

For certain available-for-sale and trading securities, such as synthetic convertibles, index-linked certificates of deposit and collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), we obtain a broker quote when sufficient information, such as security structure or other market information, is not available to produce an evaluation. For broker-quoted only securities, quotes from market makers or broker-dealers are obtained from sources recognized to be market participants. In order to validate the pricing information and broker-dealer quotes, we employ, where possible, procedures that include comparisons with similar observable positions, comparisons with subsequent sales, discussions with senior business leaders and brokers and observations of general market movements for those security classes. For those securities trading in less liquid or illiquid markets with limited or no pricing information, we use unobservable inputs in order to measure the fair value of these securities. In cases where this information is not available, such as for privately placed securities, fair value is estimated using an internal pricing matrix. This matrix relies on management's judgment concerning the discount rate used in calculating expected future cash flows, credit quality, industry sector performance and expected maturity.

Broker-quoted securities are adjusted based solely on receipt of updated quotes from market makers or broker-dealers recognized as market participants. Generally, the price for a security on this list is based on a quote from a single broker or market maker. As of December 31, 2009, we used broker quotes for 238 securities as our final price source, representing less than 5% of total securities owned.

Valuation of Alternative Investments

Recognition of investment income on alternative investments is delayed due to the availability of the related financial statements, which are generally obtained from the partnerships' general partners, as our venture capital, real estate and oil and gas portfolios are generally reported to us on a three-month delay, and our hedge funds are reported to us on a one-month delay. In addition, the impact of audit adjustments related to completion of calendar-year financial statement audits of the investees are typically received during the first or second quarter of each calendar year. Accordingly, our investment income from alternative investments for any calendar year period may not include the complete impact of the change in the underlying net assets for the partnership for that calendar year period.

Annually, typically during the first or second quarter, we obtain audited financial statements for our alternative investment partnerships for the preceding calendar year and recognize adjustments to the extent that the audited equity of the investee differs from the equity used for reporting in prior quarters. Recorded audit adjustments impact our investment income on alternative investments in the period that the adjustments are recorded.

Write-Downs for OTTI and Allowance for Losses

We adopted updates to the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC for our debt securities effective January 1, 2009, which replaced the requirement for management to assert that it has the intent and ability to hold an impaired security until recovery with the requirement for management to assert if it either has the intent to sell the security or if it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. Under this new accounting guidance, if management intends to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, an OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the debt security's amortized cost basis and its fair value as of the balance sheet date. If management does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), an OTTI is considered to have occurred. In this instance, the total OTTI must be bifurcated into the amount related to the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, with the remaining amount of the total OTTI attributed to other factors (referred to as the noncredit portion) recognized as a separate component in other comprehensive income (loss) ("OCI").

As a result of the adoption, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment, resulting in an increase of \$102 million to our January 1, 2009, opening balance of retained earnings with a corresponding decrease to accumulated OCI, to reclassify the noncredit portion of previously other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities. In addition, the amortized cost basis of debt securities for which a noncredit OTTI loss was previously recognized was increased by \$199 million, or the amount of the cumulative effect adjustment, pre-DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and tax. The fair value of our debt securities did not change as a result of the adoption.

We regularly review our AFS securities for declines in fair value that we determine to be other-than-temporary. For an equity security, if we do not have the ability and intent to hold the security for a sufficient period of time to allow for a recovery in value, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost of the equity security is written down to the current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). When assessing our ability and intent to hold the equity security to recovery, we consider, among other things, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the equity security as well as the cause of the decline, a fundamental analysis of the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer.

For a debt security, if we intend to sell a security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the debt security is below amortized cost, we conclude that an OTTI has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). If we do not intend to sell a debt security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell a debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, but the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the debt security (referred to as the credit loss), we conclude that an OTTI has occurred, and the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss), as this amount is deemed the credit portion of the OTTI. The remainder of the decline to fair value is recorded in OCI – unrealized OTTI on AFS securities on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, as this amount is considered a noncredit (i.e., recoverable) impairment.

When determining our intention regarding the sale of a security, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, sales of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to capitalize on favorable pricing. The credit loss on a security is based upon our estimate of the decrease in expected cash flows or our best estimate of credit deterioration.

We recognized an OTTI loss of \$434 million during 2009, of which \$255 million was recognized in net income on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) related to credit losses and \$179 million was recognized in OCI on our Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity related to noncredit losses, respectively. For additional details, see "Consolidated Investments" below and Notes 2 and 5.

For certain securitized fixed maturity securities with contractual cash flows, including asset-backed securities, we use our best estimate of cash flows for the life of the security to determine whether there is an OTTI of the security. In addition, we review for other indicators of impairment as required by the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC.

Based on our evaluation of securities with an unrealized loss as of December 31, 2009, we do not believe that any additional OTTI, other than those already reflected in the financial statements, are necessary. As of December 31, 2009, there were available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses totaling \$2.7 billion, pre-tax, and prior to the impact of DAC, VOBA, DSI and other contract holder funds.

As the discussion above indicates, there are risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in the fair value of investments are other-than-temporary. These include subsequent significant changes in general overall economic conditions, as well as specific business conditions affecting particular issuers, future financial market effects such as interest rate spreads, stability of foreign governments and economies, future rating agency actions and significant accounting, fraud or corporate governance issues that may adversely affect certain investments. In addition, there are often significant estimates and assumptions that we use to estimate the fair values of securities, including projections of expected future cash flows and pricing of private securities. We continually monitor developments and update underlying assumptions and financial models based upon new information.

Write-downs and allowances for losses on select mortgage loans, real estate and other investments are established when the underlying value of the property is deemed to be less than the carrying value. All mortgage loans that are impaired have an established allowance for credit loss. Changing economic conditions impact our valuation of mortgage loans. Increasing vacancies, declining rents and the like are incorporated into the discounted cash flow analysis that we perform for monitored loans and may contribute to the establishment of (or an increase in) an allowance for credit losses. In addition, we continue to monitor the entire commercial mortgage loan portfolio to identify risk. Areas of current emphasis are the hotel mortgage loan portfolio and retail, office and industrial properties that have deteriorating credits or have experienced debt coverage reduction. Where warranted, we have established or increased loss reserves based upon this analysis.

Derivatives

We use derivative instruments to manage a variety of equity market and interest rate risks that are inherent in many of our life insurance and annuity products. Assessing the effectiveness of these hedging programs and evaluating the carrying values of the related derivatives often involve a variety of assumptions and estimates. We use derivatives to hedge equity market risks, interest rate risk and foreign currency exposures that are embedded in our annuity and life insurance product liabilities or investment portfolios. Derivatives held as of December 31, 2009, contain industry standard terms. Our accounting policies for derivatives and the potential impact on interest spreads in a falling rate environment are discussed in "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk." See Note 6 for additional information on our accounting for derivatives.

We measure our derivative instruments at fair value, and as a result of adopting the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC on January 1, 2008, we decreased income from continuing operations by \$16 million. The impact to revenue is reported in realized loss and such amount along with the associated federal income taxes is excluded from income (loss) from operations of our segments. For a detailed description of the impact of adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, see Note 2.

Subsequent to the adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, we did not make any material changes to valuation techniques or models used to determine the fair value of the liabilities we carry at fair value. As part of our on-going valuation process, we assess the reasonableness of all our valuation techniques or models and make adjustments as necessary.

Our insurance liabilities that contain embedded derivatives are valued based on a stochastic projection of scenarios of the embedded derivative fees, benefits and expenses. The scenario assumptions, at each valuation date, are those we view to be appropriate for a hypothetical market participant and include assumptions for capital markets, actuarial lapse, benefit utilization, mortality, risk margin, administrative expenses and a margin for profit. In addition, an NPR component is determined at each valuation date that reflects our risk of not fulfilling the obligations of the underlying liability. The spread for the NPR is added to the discount rates used in determining the fair value from the net cash flows. We believe these assumptions are consistent with those that would be used by a market participant; however, as the related markets develop we will continue to reassess our assumptions. It is possible that different valuation techniques and assumptions could produce a materially different estimate of fair value.

The adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC increased our exposure to earnings fluctuations from period to period due to volatility of the fair value inputs in the current economic environment, including the inclusion of the NPR into the calculation of the GLB embedded derivative liability. For additional information, see our discussion in “Realized Loss” below and “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

The following summarizes the percentages of our future contract benefits (embedded derivatives) carried at fair value on a recurring basis by the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC hierarchy levels:

	As of December 31, 2009			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Future contract benefits (embedded derivatives)	0%	3%	97%	100%

Changes of our future contract benefits carried at fair value and classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy result from changes in market conditions, as well as changes in mix and increases and decreases in fair values as a result of those classifications. During 2009, there were no material changes in future contract benefits classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For more information, see Notes 1 and 22.

Guaranteed Living Benefits

We have a dynamic hedging strategy designed to mitigate selected risk and income statement volatility caused by changes in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities associated with the Lincoln SmartSecurity® Advantage guaranteed withdrawal benefit (“GWB”) feature and our i4LIFE® Advantage and 4LATER® Advantage guaranteed income benefit (“GIB”) features that are available in our variable annuity products. We modified our hedging strategy during the fourth quarter of 2009 in anticipation of the adoption of VACARVM as of December 31, 2009. We have certain GLB variable annuity products with GWB and GIB features that are embedded derivatives. Certain features of these guarantees, notably our GIB, 4LATER® and Lincoln Lifetime IncomeSM Advantage features, have elements of both insurance benefits accounted for under the Financial Services – Insurance – Claim Costs and Liabilities for Future Policy Benefits Subtopic of the FASB ASC (“benefit reserves”) and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. In addition to mitigating selected risk and income statement volatility, the hedge program is also focused on a long-term goal of accumulating assets that could be used to pay claims under these benefits, recognizing that such claims are likely to begin no earlier than approximately a decade in the future.

If we were to experience unfavorable capital markets as we did late in 2008, then we would expect greater liabilities associated with the contractual guarantees. However, the relationship between the components of the guarantees, namely, the embedded derivative reserves and the benefit reserves, is not linear. As the exposure to net amount at risk increases, the relative portion of the projected benefits that is accounted for as benefit reserves increases relative to the portion that is accounted for as embedded derivative reserves.

The hedging strategy is designed such that changes in the value of the hedge contracts move in the opposite direction of changes in GLB embedded derivative reserves. This dynamic hedging strategy utilizes options on U.S.-based equity indices, futures on U.S.-based and international equity indices and variance swaps on U.S.-based equity indices, as well as interest rate futures and swaps. The notional amounts of the underlying hedge instruments are such that the magnitude of the change in the value of the hedge instruments due to changes in equity markets, interest rates and implied volatilities is designed to offset the magnitude of the change in the fair value of the GLB guarantees caused by those same factors. As of December 31, 2009, the fair value of the embedded derivative reserve, before adjustment for the required NPR factors, for GWB, the i4LIFE® Advantage GIB and the 4LATER® Advantage GIB were valued at \$298 million, \$192 million and \$153 million, respectively. See “Realized Loss – Operating Realized Gain – GLB” for information on how we determine our NPR.

As part of our current hedging program, equity market, interest rate and market implied volatility conditions are monitored on a daily basis. We rebalance our hedge positions based upon changes in these factors as needed. While we actively manage our hedge positions, these positions may not completely offset changes in the fair value embedded derivative reserve caused by movements in these factors due to, among other things, differences in timing between when a market exposure changes and corresponding changes to the hedge positions, extreme swings in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities, realized market volatility, contract holder behavior, divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices, divergence between the actual and expected performance of the hedge instruments or our ability to purchase hedging instruments at prices consistent with our desired risk and return trade-off. This hedging strategy is managed on a combined basis with the hedge for our GDB features.

For more information on our GDB hedging strategy, see the discussion in “Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations” below.

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value of our derivative assets, which hedge both our GLB and GDB features, and including margins generated by futures contracts, was \$1.0 billion. As of December 31, 2009, the sum of all GLB liabilities at fair value, excluding the NPR adjustment, and GDB reserves was \$713 million, comprised of \$643 million for GLB liabilities and \$70 million for the GDB reserves. The fair value of the hedge assets exceeded the liabilities by \$327 million. However, the relationship of hedge assets to the liabilities for the guarantees may vary in any given reporting period due to market conditions, hedge performance and/or changes to the hedging strategy.

Approximately 40% of our variable annuity account values contain a GWB rider as of December 31, 2009. Declines in the equity markets increase our exposure to potential benefits under the GWB contracts, leading to an increase in our existing liability for those benefits. For example, a GWB contract is “in the money” if the contract holder’s account balance falls below the guaranteed amount. As of December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, 53% and 91% respectively, of all GWB in-force contracts were “in the money,” and our exposure to the guaranteed amounts, after reinsurance, as of December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, was \$2.1 billion and \$5.0 billion, respectively. Our exposure before reinsurance for these same periods was \$2.4 billion and \$5.7 billion, respectively. However, the only way the GWB contract holder can monetize the excess of the guaranteed amount over the account value of the contract is upon death or through a series of withdrawals that do not exceed a specific percentage per year of the guaranteed amount. If, after the series of withdrawals, the account value is exhausted, the contract holder will receive a series of annuity payments equal to the remaining guaranteed amount, and, for our lifetime GWB products, the annuity payments can continue beyond the guaranteed amount. The account value can also fluctuate with equity market returns on a daily basis resulting in increases or decreases in the excess of the guaranteed amount over account value.

As a result of these factors, the ultimate amount to be paid by us related to GWB guarantees is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than \$2.1 billion, net of reinsurance. Our fair value estimates of the GWB liabilities, which are based on detailed models of future cash flows under a wide range of market-consistent scenarios, reflect a more comprehensive view of the related factors and represent our best estimate of the present value of these potential liabilities. The market-consistent scenarios used in the determination of the fair value of the GWB liabilities are similar to those used by an investment bank to value derivatives for which the pricing is not transparent and the aftermarket is nonexistent or illiquid. In our calculation, risk-neutral Monte Carlo simulations resulting in over 10 million scenarios are utilized to value the entire block of guarantees. The market-consistent scenario assumptions, at each valuation date, are those we view to be appropriate for a hypothetical market participant. The market consistent inputs include assumptions for the capital markets (e.g., implied volatilities, correlation among indices, risk-free swap curve, etc.), policyholder behavior (e.g., policy lapse, benefit utilization, mortality, etc.), risk margins, administrative expenses and a margin for profit. We believe these assumptions are consistent with those that would be used by a market participant; however, as the related markets develop, we will continue to reassess our assumptions. It is possible that different valuation techniques and assumptions could produce a materially different estimate of fair value.

For information on our GLB hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Loss” below.

The following table presents our estimates of the potential instantaneous impact to realized loss, which could result from sudden changes that may occur in equity markets, interest rates and implied market volatilities (in millions) at the levels indicated in the table and excludes the net cost of operating the hedging program. The amounts represent the estimated difference between the change in the portion of GLB reserves that is calculated on a fair value basis and the change in the value of the underlying hedge instruments after the amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and taxes. These impacts do not include any estimate of retrospective or prospective unlocking that could occur, nor do they estimate any change in the NPR component of the GLB reserve or any estimate of impacts to our GLB benefit ratio unlocking. These estimates are based upon the recorded reserves as of January 4, 2010, and the related hedge instruments in place as of that date. The effects presented in the table below are not representative of the aggregate impacts that could result if a combination of such changes to equity market returns, interest rates and implied volatilities occurred.

	In-Force Sensitivities			
	-20%	-10%	-5%	5%
Equity market return	\$(33)	\$(8)	\$(2)	\$(2)

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

	-50 bps	-25 bps	+25 bps	+50 bps
Interest rates	\$(4)	\$(1)	\$(1)	\$(5)
	-4%	-2%	2%	4%
Implied volatilities	\$(16)	\$(8)	\$6	\$8

The following table shows the effect (dollars in millions) of indicated changes in instantaneous shifts in equity market returns, interest rate scenarios and market implied volatilities:

	Assumptions of Changes In			Hypothetical	
	Equity Market Return	Interest Rate Yields	Market Implied Volatilities	Impact to Net Income	
Scenario 1	-5	%	-12.5 bps	+1	% \$ (8)
Scenario 2	-10	%	-25.0 bps	+2	% (23)
Scenario 3	-20	%	-50.0 bps	+4	% (83)

The actual effects of the results illustrated in the two tables above could vary significantly depending on a variety of factors, many of which are out of our control, and consideration should be given to the following:

- The analysis is only valid as of this particular business day due to changing market conditions, contract holder activity, hedge positions and other factors;
- The analysis assumes instantaneous shifts in the capital market factors and no ability to rebalance hedge positions prior to the market changes;
- The analysis assumes constant exchange rates and implied dividend yields;
- Assumptions regarding shifts in the market factors, such as assuming parallel shifts in interest rate and implied volatility term structures, may be overly simplistic and not indicative of actual market behavior in stress scenarios;
- It is very unlikely that one capital market sector (e.g., equity markets) will sustain such a large instantaneous movement without affecting other capital market sectors; and
- The analysis assumes that there is no tracking or basis risk between the funds and/or indices affecting the GLBs and the instruments utilized to hedge these exposures. Tracking or basis risk in the fourth quarter of 2009 increased earnings by \$26 million.

S&P 500 Index® (“S&P 500”) Benefits

We also have in place a hedging program for our indexed annuities and indexed UL. These contracts permit the holder to elect a fixed interest rate return or a return where interest credited to the contracts is linked to the performance of the S&P 500. Contract holders may elect to rebalance among the various accounts within the product at renewal dates, either annually or biannually. At the end of each 1-year or 2-year indexed term we have the opportunity to re-price the indexed component by establishing different caps, spreads or specified rates, subject to contractual guarantees. We purchase options that are highly correlated to the portfolio allocation decisions of our contract holders, such that we are economically hedged with respect to equity returns for the current reset period. The mark-to-market of the options held generally offsets the change in value of the embedded derivative within the indexed annuity, both of which are recorded as a component of realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

The Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC require that we calculate fair values of index options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations in future reset periods. These fair values represent an estimate of the cost of the options we will purchase in the future, discounted back to the date of the balance sheet, using current market indicators of volatility and interest rates. Changes in the fair values of these liabilities are included as a component of realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). For information on our S&P 500 benefits hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Loss” below.

Future Contract Benefits and Other Contract Holder Obligations

Reserves

Reserves are the amounts that, with the additional premiums to be received and interest thereon compounded annually at certain assumed rates, are calculated to be sufficient to meet the various policy and contract obligations as they mature. Establishing adequate reserves for our obligations to contract holders requires assumptions to be made regarding mortality and morbidity. The applicable insurance laws under which insurance companies operate require that they report, as liabilities, policy reserves to meet future obligations on their outstanding contracts. These laws specify that the reserves shall not be less than reserves calculated using certain specified mortality and morbidity tables, interest rates, and methods of valuation.

The reserves reported in our financial statements contained herein are calculated in accordance with GAAP and differ from those specified by the laws of the various states and carried in the statutory financial statements of the life insurance subsidiaries. These differences arise from the use of mortality and morbidity tables, interest, persistency and other assumptions that we believe to be more representative of the expected experience for these contracts than those required for statutory accounting purposes and from differences in actuarial reserving methods.

The assumptions on which reserves are based are intended to represent an estimation of experience for the period that policy benefits are payable. If actual experience is better than or equal to the assumptions, then reserves should be adequate to provide for future benefits and expenses. If experience is worse than the assumptions, additional reserves may be required. This would result in a charge to our net income during the period the increase in reserves occurred. The key experience assumptions include mortality rates, policy persistency and interest rates. We periodically review our experience and update our policy reserves for new issues and reserve for all claims incurred, as we believe appropriate.

Guaranteed Death Benefits

The reserves related to the GDB features available in our variable annuity products are based on the application of a “benefit ratio” (the present value of total expected benefit payments over the life of the contract divided by the present value of total expected assessments over the life of the contract) to total variable annuity assessments received in the period. The level and direction of the change in reserves will vary over time based on the emergence of the benefit ratio and the level of assessments associated with the variable annuity.

We utilize a delta hedging strategy for variable annuity products with a GDB feature, which uses futures on U.S.-based equity market indices to hedge against movements in equity markets. The hedging strategy is designed such that changes in the value of the hedge contracts move in the opposite direction of equity market driven changes in the reserve for GDB contracts subject to the hedging strategy. Because the GDB reserves are based upon projected long-term equity market return assumptions, and because the value of the hedging contracts will reflect current capital market conditions, the quarterly changes in values for the GDB reserves and the hedging contracts may not exactly offset each other. Despite these short-term fluctuations in values, we intend to continue to hedge our long-term GDB exposure in order to mitigate the risk associated with falling equity markets. Account balances covered in this hedging program represent approximately 93% of total account balances for variable annuities with a guaranteed death benefit other than account value at time of death. As of December 31, 2009, the GDB reserves were \$70 million.

For information on our GDB hedging results, see our discussion in “Realized Loss” below.

Deferred Gain on Sale of the Reinsurance Segment

In 2001, we sold our reinsurance operation to Swiss Re Life & Health America Inc. (“Swiss Re”). The transaction involved a series of indemnity reinsurance transactions combined with the sale of certain stock companies that comprised our reinsurance operation. The gain related to the indemnity reinsurance transactions was recorded as a deferred gain in the liability section of our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The deferred gain is being amortized into income at the rate that earnings on the reinsured business are expected to emerge, over a period of 15 years. In addition, because we have not been relieved of our legal liabilities to the underlying ceding companies with respect to the portion of the business indemnity reinsured by Swiss Re, the reserves for the underlying reinsurance contracts as well as a corresponding reinsurance recoverable from Swiss Re will continue to be carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets during the run-off period of the underlying reinsurance business. This is particularly relevant in the case of the exited personal accident reinsurance lines of business where the underlying reserves are based upon various estimates that are subject to considerable uncertainty.

Because of ongoing uncertainty related to the personal accident business, the reserves related to these exited business lines carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009, may ultimately prove to be either excessive or deficient. For instance, in the event that future developments indicate that these reserves should be increased, we would record a current period non-cash charge to record the increase in reserves. Because Swiss Re is responsible for paying the underlying claims to the ceding companies, we would record a corresponding increase in reinsurance recoverable from Swiss Re. However, we would not take the full benefit in earnings for the recording of the increase in the reinsurance recoverable in the period of the change. Rather, we would increase the deferred gain recognized upon the closing of the indemnity reinsurance transaction with Swiss Re and would report a cumulative amortization "catch-up" adjustment to the deferred gain balance as increased earnings recognized in the period of change. Any amount of additional increase to the deferred gain above the cumulative amortization "catch-up" adjustment must continue to be deferred and will be amortized into income in future periods over the remaining period of expected run-off of the underlying business. No cash would be transferred between Swiss Re and us as a result of these developments.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Pursuant to the accounting rules for our obligations to employees under our various pension and other postretirement benefit plans, we are required to make a number of assumptions to estimate related liabilities and expenses. Our most significant assumptions are those for the weighted-average discount rate on our benefit obligation liability and expected return on plan assets. The discount rate assumptions are determined using an analysis of current market information and the projected benefit flows associated with these plans. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is initially established at the beginning of the plan year based on historical and projected future rates of return and is the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested in the plan. See Note 1 and Note 18 for more information on our accounting for employee benefit plans.

The following presents our estimates of the hypothetical impact to net income (in millions) for the year ended December 31, 2009, associated with sensitivities related to these significant assumptions:

	U.S. Pension Plans	U.S. Other Postretirement Benefits
The Effect of Changes in the Rate of Return on Plan Assets		
Increase (decrease) by 100 basis points	\$4	\$ -
The Effect of Changes in the Discount Rate on Net Periodic Benefit Expense		
Increase (decrease) by 100 basis points	5	1

Due to the recent equity market recovery experienced during the second half of 2009, partially offset by a decrease in our discount rate assumption on benefit obligations for 2010, we expect the U.S. net periodic pension benefit expense in 2010 will be lower than what was experienced in 2009. To illustrate the potential impact, the following provides our actual expense for 2008 and 2009 and our current assumption for expense (in millions) for 2010 by segment:

	Retirement Solutions		Insurance Solutions		Other Operations	Total
	Annuities	Defined Contribution	Life Insurance	Group Protection		
2009	\$11	\$7	\$12	\$7	\$1	\$38
2010	4	2	6	1	1	14
Expected decrease from 2009	\$(7)	\$(5)	\$(6)	\$(6)	\$-	\$(24)
2008	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$(2)	\$(10)

We retained the Lincoln UK pension plan after the sale of this business, and we expect our related pension expense for 2010 to be approximately \$1 million when assuming an average exchange rate of 1.75 pounds sterling to U.S. dollars, which will be reflected within Other Operations.

See "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Uses of Capital – Pension Contributions" below for a discussion of our required future contributions to our pension plans.

Contingencies

Management establishes separate reserves for each contingent matter when it is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. The outcomes of contingencies, which relate to corporate litigation and regulatory matters, are inherently difficult to predict, and the reserves that have been established for the estimated settlement are subject to

significant changes. It is possible that the ultimate cost to LNC, including the tax-deductibility of payments, could exceed the reserve by an amount that would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period. See Note 14 for more information on our contingencies.

Stock-Based Incentive Compensation

Determining the fair value of stock options at the grant date requires judgment, including estimates for the average risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected exercise behavior, expected dividend yield and expected forfeitures. If any of those assumptions differ significantly from actual, stock-based compensation expense could be impacted, which could have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations in a particular quarterly or annual period. See Note 20 for more information on our stock-based incentive compensation plans.

Because the volatility of our share price has been higher than historical levels in recent years, the annualized volatility measure that we use to calculate future stock option values continues to increase, resulting in an increase to the fair value of option awards granted to employees and directors.

Income Taxes

Management uses certain assumptions and estimates in determining the income taxes payable or refundable for the current year, the deferred income tax liabilities and assets for items recognized differently in its financial statements from amounts shown on its income tax returns, and the federal income tax expense. Determining these amounts requires analysis and interpretation of current tax laws and regulations. Management exercises considerable judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting income tax liabilities and assets. These judgments and estimates are re-evaluated on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change.

The application of GAAP requires us to evaluate the recoverability of our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce our deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realizable. Considerable judgment and the use of estimates are required in determining whether a valuation allowance is necessary, and if so, the amount of such valuation allowance. In evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, we consider many factors, including: the nature and character of the deferred tax assets and liabilities; taxable income in prior carryback years; future reversals of existing temporary differences; the length of time carryovers can be utilized; and any tax planning strategies we would employ to avoid a tax benefit from expiring unused. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets, including our capital loss deferred tax asset, will be realized. For additional information on our income taxes, see Note 7.

Changes to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, administrative rulings or court decisions could increase our effective tax rate. In this regard, on August 16, 2007, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) issued a revenue ruling that purports, among other things, to modify the calculation of separate account deduction for dividends received by life insurance companies. Subsequently, the IRS issued another revenue ruling that suspended the August 16 ruling and announced a new regulation project on the issue.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

As of August 18, 2009, LNC and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lincoln National Investment Companies, entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Macquarie Bank Limited (“MBL”), pursuant to which we agreed to sell to MBL all of the outstanding capital stock of Delaware Management Holdings, Inc., our former subsidiary, or “Delaware,” which provides investment products and services to individuals and institutions. This transaction closed on January 4, 2010, and we received cash consideration at closing of approximately \$405 million, after-tax, subject to customary post-closing adjustments.

The transaction is expected to be neutral to earnings per share assuming reinvestment of net proceeds back into core insurance businesses. We expect a modest gain on disposal, which will be recorded as of the close of the transaction. The actual gain or loss may differ from our expected result depending upon, among other things, the

actual purchase price after closing adjustments.

On October 1, 2009, we completed the previously announced sale of the capital stock of Lincoln National (UK) plc to SLF of Canada UK Limited for proceeds of \$307 million, after-tax, subject to customary post-closing adjustments. We retained Lincoln UK's pension plan assets and liabilities. The results of Lincoln National (UK) plc and its subsidiaries comprised the former Lincoln UK segment. The Lincoln UK segment primarily focused on providing life and retirement income products in the U.K. In the third quarter of 2009, there was a \$55 million adjustment to the loss on disposition of our Lincoln UK segment as a result of finalizing treatment of the UK pension, refining certain tax estimates and closing out various hedges put in place at the time of the announcement.

Accordingly, we have reported the results of these businesses as discontinued operations on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and the assets and liabilities as held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented.

Due to the sale of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management operations, we expect that our remaining businesses will have to absorb some overhead costs in 2010 that were previously allocated to these former businesses. The following table provides the estimated unfavorable effect (in millions) to income from operations by segment:

	Retirement Solutions		Insurance Solutions		Other	Total
	Annuities	Defined Contribution	Life Insurance	Group Protection	Operations	
Overhead absorption	\$(6)	\$(3)	\$(6)	\$(2)	\$(8)	\$(25)
Associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	1	-	1	-	-	2
Federal income tax benefit	2	1	2	1	3	9
Net unfavorable effect	\$(3)	\$(2)	\$(3)	\$(1)	\$(5)	\$(14)

For additional information about acquisitions and divestitures, see “Part I – Item 1. Business – Acquisitions and Dispositions” and Note 3.

RESULTS OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS

Net Income

Details underlying the consolidated results, deposits, net flows and account values (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Revenues						
Insurance premiums	\$2,064	\$2,018	\$1,852	2	% 9	%
Insurance fees	2,922	3,067	2,996	-5	% 2	%
Net investment income	4,178	4,130	4,297	1	% -4	%
Realized loss:						
Total OTTI losses on securities	(667)	(851)	(261)	22	%	NM
Portion of loss recognized in OCI	275	-	-		NM	NM
Net OTTI losses on securities recognized in earnings	(392)	(851)	(261)	54	%	NM
Realized gain (loss), excluding OTTI losses on securities	(754)	316	86		NM	267 %
Total realized loss	(1,146)	(535)	(175)		NM	NM
Amortization of deferred gain on business sold						
through reinsurance	76	76	84	0	% -10	%
Other revenues and fees	405	468	560	-13	% -16	%
Total revenues	8,499	9,224	9,614	-8	% -4	%
Benefits and Expenses						
Interest credited	2,463	2,502	2,435	-2	% 3	%
Benefits	2,836	3,059	2,425	-7	% 26	%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	2,794	3,138	2,795	-11	% 12	%
Interest and debt expense	197	281	284	-30	% -1	%
Impairment of intangibles	730	381	-	92	%	NM
Total benefits and expenses	9,020	9,361	7,939	-4	% 18	%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes						
	(521)	(137)	1,675		NM	NM
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	(106)	(127)	476	17	%	NM
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(415)	(10)	1,199		NM	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of federal income taxes						
	(70)	67	16		NM	NM
Net income (loss)	\$(485)	\$57	\$1,215		NM	-95 %

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Revenues						
Operating revenues:						
Retirement Solutions:						
Annuities	\$2,301	\$2,438	\$2,535	-6	% -4	%
Defined Contribution	926	932	986	-1	% -5	%
Total Retirement Solutions	3,227	3,370	3,521	-4	% -4	%
Insurance Solutions:						
Life Insurance	4,293	4,259	4,189	1	% 2	%
Group Protection	1,713	1,640	1,500	4	% 9	%
Total Insurance Solutions	6,006	5,899	5,689	2	% 4	%
Other Operations	467	534	578	-13	% -8	%
Excluded realized loss, pre-tax	(1,200)	(573)	(183)		NM	NM
Amortization of deferred gain arising from reserve changes on business sold through reinsurance, pre-tax	3	3	9	0	% -67	%
Amortization of DFEL associated with benefit ratio unlocking, pre-tax	(4)	(9)	-	56	%	NM
Total revenues	\$8,499	\$9,224	\$9,614	-8	% -4	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Net Income (Loss)						
Income (loss) from operations:						
Retirement Solutions:						
Annuities	\$353	\$193	\$485	83	% -60	%
Defined Contribution	133	123	181	8	% -32	%
Total Retirement Solutions	486	316	666	54	% -53	%
Insurance Solutions:						
Life Insurance	569	541	719	5	% -25	%
Group Protection	124	104	114	19	% -9	%
Total Insurance Solutions	693	645	833	7	% -23	%
Other Operations	(237)	(183)	(174)	-30	% -5	%
Excluded realized loss, after-tax	(780)	(373)	(120)		NM	NM
Gain on early extinguishment of debt, after-tax	42	-	-		NM	NM
Income (loss) from reserve changes (net of related amortization) on business sold through reinsurance, after-tax	2	2	(7)	0	% 129	%
Impairment of intangibles, after-tax	(710)	(297)	-		NM	NM
Benefit ratio unlocking, after-tax	89	(120)	1	174	%	NM
Income (loss) from continuing operations, after-tax	(415)	(10)	1,199		NM	NM

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, after-tax	(70)	67	16	NM		NM
Net income (loss)	\$(485)	\$57	\$1,215	NM	-95	%

75

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Deposits						
Retirement Solutions:						
Annuities	\$10,362	\$11,730	\$13,457	-12	% -13	%
Defined Contribution	4,952	5,547	5,550	-11	% 0	%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	4,451	4,493	4,413	-1	% 2	%
Total deposits	\$19,765	\$21,770	\$23,420	-9	% -7	%

Net Flows

Retirement Solutions:						
Annuities	\$3,893	\$4,090	\$4,991	-5	% -18	%
Defined Contribution	995	781	337	27	% 132	%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	2,421	2,822	2,645	-14	% 7	%
Total net flows	\$7,309	\$7,693	\$7,973	-5	% -4	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Account Values						
Retirement Solutions:						
Annuities	\$74,281	\$57,455	\$75,113	29	% -24	%
Defined Contribution	35,302	28,878	36,058	22	% -20	%
Insurance Solutions - Life Insurance	31,744	31,753	32,558	0	% -2	%
Total account values	\$141,327	\$118,086	\$143,729	20	% -18	%

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Net income decreased due primarily to the following:

- Impairment of goodwill in 2009 of \$600 million for Retirement Solutions – Annuities due to continued market volatility, the corresponding increase in discount rates and lower annuity sales and \$109 million impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets related to our remaining radio clusters during 2009 attributable primarily to declining results and forecasted advertising revenues, compared to a \$297 million impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets during 2008 attributable to declines in advertising revenues for the entire radio market and impairment of our Lincoln UK goodwill due to deterioration in the market:
 - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” above for additional information on our goodwill impairment; and
 - § However, these non-cash impairments did not impact our liquidity and will not impact our future liquidity;
- The overall unfavorable GLB net derivatives results, excluding unlocking, during 2009, which was due to a reduction in the NPR component of the liability that is not included in the hedge program attributable to a narrowing of credit spreads, compared to favorable GLB net derivatives results during 2008 as the NPR adjustment was favorable attributable primarily to widening credit spreads that more than offset the unfavorable GLB hedge program performance due to extreme market conditions (see “Realized Loss” below for more information on our GLB liability and derivative performance);
- The \$114 million loss on disposition of our Lincoln UK segment during 2009 (see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” above and Note 3 for additional information on the disposition of our discontinued operations);
-

Lower earnings from our variable annuity and mutual fund (within our Defined Contribution segment) products as a result of declines in the equity markets;

- The \$64 million unfavorable impact from the rescission of the reinsurance agreement on certain disability income business sold to Swiss Re in the first quarter of 2009, as discussed in “Reinsurance” below; and unfavorable adjustments in the fourth quarter of 2009 to increase reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and to write off certain receivables related to the rescission; and
- The overall unfavorable GDB derivatives results, excluding unlocking, during 2009 due primarily to more favorable equity market performance.

The decrease in net income was partially offset by the following:

- A decrease in realized losses on our AFS securities attributable primarily to lower OTTI;
- A \$125 million unfavorable prospective unlocking (a \$119 million decrease from assumption changes and a \$6 million decrease from model refinements) of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees due primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves, modifying our fund assumptions, higher maintenance expenses and lower investment spreads than our model projections assumed in 2009, compared to a \$212 million unfavorable prospective unlocking (a \$178 million decrease from assumption changes and a \$34 million decrease from model refinements) due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity markets in 2008:
 - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- A \$36 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees during 2009 due primarily to the overall performance of our GLB derivative program (see “Realized Loss” below for more information on our GLB derivative performance), partially offset by lower lapses and higher equity market performance than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$111 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking during 2008 due primarily to the impact of lower equity market performance and premiums received, higher death claims and expected GDB claims than our model projections assumed;
- A \$42 million gain in the first quarter of 2009 associated with the early extinguishment of long-term debt;
- Higher net investment income driven primarily by higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows, and higher invested assets as a result of issuances of common stock, preferred stock and debt, partially offset by less favorable investment income on alternative investments due primarily to a deterioration of the capital markets (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments) as well as holding higher cash balances related to our short-term liquidity strategy during the recent volatile markets that has reduced our portfolio yield;
- A reduction in federal income tax expense due primarily to the release of a state income tax liability and favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD, foreign tax credit adjustments and other items;
- Lower broker-dealer expenses due primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products, lower interest and debt expenses as a result of a decline in interest rates and average balances of outstanding debt in 2009, lower merger expenses as many of our integration efforts related to our acquisition of Jefferson-Pilot have been completed and the implementation of several expense initiatives, partially offset by restructuring charges related to many of these initiatives and higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; and
- The \$16 million impact of the initial adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC on January 1, 2008.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Net income decreased due primarily to the following:

- An increase in realized losses on our AFS securities attributable primarily to higher OTTI;
- Impairment of goodwill and our FCC license intangible assets on our media business attributable primarily to declines in advertising revenues for the entire radio market and impairment of our Lincoln UK goodwill due to deterioration in the market; however, these non-cash impairments will not impact our future liquidity;
- A \$212 million unfavorable prospective unlocking (a \$178 million decrease from assumption changes and a \$34 million decrease from model refinements) of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity

markets in 2008, compared to a \$10 million favorable prospective unlocking (a \$24 million increase from assumption changes due primarily to lower lapses and expenses and higher interest rates than our model projections assumed net of a \$14 million decrease from model refinements) in 2007:

- § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- A \$111 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and the reserves for annuity and life insurance products with living benefit and death benefit guarantees in 2008 due primarily to the impact of lower equity market performance and premiums received and higher death claims and expected GDB claims than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$39 million favorable retrospective unlocking in 2007 due primarily to the impact of higher equity market performance and persistency and lower expenses than our model projections assumed;

- Higher benefits due primarily to an increase in the change in GDB reserves from an increase in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the decline in account values from the unfavorable equity markets and the increase in reserves for products with secondary guarantees from continued growth of business in force and the effects of model refinements along with higher mortality experience due to an increase in the average attained age of the in-force block and lower benefits in the first quarter of 2007 related to a purchase accounting adjustment to the opening balance sheet of Jefferson-Pilot;
- Lower earnings from our variable annuity and mutual fund (within our Defined Contribution segment) products as a result of declines in account values caused by decreases in the equity markets;
- Lower net investment income attributable primarily to less favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments and prepayment and bond makewhole premiums due primarily to deterioration of the capital markets (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments); and
- The \$16 million impact of the initial adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC on January 1, 2008.

The decrease in net income was partially offset by the following:

- Favorable GLB net derivatives results due primarily to the inclusion in 2008 of an NPR adjustment as required under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC attributable primarily to our widening credit spreads;
- Lower DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest and excluding unlocking, due primarily to declines in variable account values from unfavorable equity markets during 2008;
- The loss on disposition of our discontinued operations in 2007;
- Growth in insurance fees driven by increases in life insurance in force as a result of new sales and favorable persistency partially offset by unfavorable equity markets and adjustments during the second quarter of 2007 resulting from adjusting account values for certain of our life insurance policies and modifying the accounting for certain of our life insurance policies;
- A reduction in federal income tax expense due primarily to lower income from continuing operations, favorable tax audit adjustments, and favorable tax return true-ups driven primarily by the separate account DRD and other items; and
- Lower broker-dealer expenses due primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products, lower merger expenses as many of our integration efforts related to our acquisition of Jefferson-Pilot have been completed and lower incentive compensation accruals as a result of lower earnings and production performance relative to planned goals.

The foregoing items are discussed in further detail in results of operations by segment discussions and “Realized Loss” below. In addition, for a discussion of the earnings impact of the equity markets, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Equity Market Risk – Impact of Equity Market Sensitivity.”

RESULTS OF RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS

The Retirement Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Annuities and Defined Contribution. The Retirement Solutions – Annuities segment provides tax-deferred investment growth and lifetime income opportunities for its clients by offering individual fixed annuities, including indexed annuities, and variable annuities. The Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segment provides employer-sponsored variable and fixed annuities and mutual-fund based programs in the 401(k), 403(b) and 457 marketplaces.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

Retirement Solutions – Annuities

Income from Operations

Details underlying the results for Retirement Solutions – Annuities (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year			
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008		
Operating Revenues							
Insurance premiums (1)	\$89	\$136	\$118	-35	% 15		%
Insurance fees	841	972	998	-13	% -3		%
Net investment income	1,037	972	1,032	7	% -6		%
Operating realized gain	54	38	8	42	%		NM
Other revenues and fees (2)	280	320	379	-13	% -16		%
Total operating revenues	2,301	2,438	2,535	-6	% -4		%
Operating Expenses							
Interest credited	682	704	659	-3	% 7		%
Benefits	242	215	174	13	% 24		%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	983	1,381	1,058	-29	% 31		%
Total operating expenses	1,907	2,300	1,891	-17	% 22		%
Income from operations before taxes	394	138	644	186	% -79		%
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	41	(55)	159	175	%		NM
Income from operations	\$353	\$193	\$485	83	% -60		%

(1) Insurance premiums includes primarily our single premium immediate annuities, which have a corresponding offset in benefits for changes in reserves.

(2) Other revenues and fees consists primarily of broker-dealer earnings that are subject to market volatility.

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$10 million unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders in 2009 due primarily to higher maintenance expenses partially offset by higher expense assessments than our model projections assumed and modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves, compared to a \$210 million

unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our GDB riders in 2008 due primarily to significantly unfavorable equity markets:

- § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- A \$29 million favorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders during 2009 due primarily to lower lapses and higher equity market performance than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$50 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders in 2008 due primarily to the impact of lower equity market performance than our model projections assumed; and

- Higher net investment income, partially offset by higher interest credited, excluding unlocking, driven primarily by higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to positive net flows, actions implemented since the third quarter of 2008 to reduce interest crediting rates and an increase in investment income on surplus investments due primarily to more favorable investment income on alternative investments, partially offset by our liquidity strategy of maintaining higher cash balances during the recent volatile markets that has reduced our portfolio yield by 20 basis points for 2009;
§ See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- An increase in federal income tax expense due primarily to an increase in earnings, partially offset by more favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD, foreign tax credit adjustments and other items in 2009;
- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values due to unfavorable equity markets;
- Higher benefits due primarily to an increase in the growth in benefit reserves from higher expected GDB benefit payments;
- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding amortization of DAC and VOBA, due primarily to higher account-value-based trail commissions driven by positive net flows, partially offset by the impact of unfavorable equity markets on account values, higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals and higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans (see discussion in “Additional Information” below);
- Higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization, net of interest and excluding unlocking, due primarily to the reduction in EGPs discussed in “Additional Information” below; and
- A less favorable net broker-dealer margin attributable primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Income from operations for this segment decreased due primarily to the following:

- A \$210 million unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our GDB riders (discussed above) in 2008, compared to a \$7 million favorable prospective unlocking (an \$18 million favorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to favorable interest rates, maintenance expenses and persistency, partially offset by less favorable asset-based fees than our model projections assumed, net of an \$11 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements) in 2007;
§ See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- A \$50 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI, DFEL and reserves for our guarantee riders (discussed above) in 2008, compared to a \$21 million favorable retrospective unlocking in 2007 due primarily to lower lapses and higher equity market performance than our model projections assumed;
- Higher benefits from an increase in the change in GDB reserves due to an increase in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the decline in account values due to the unfavorable equity markets;
 - Lower net investment income attributable primarily to less favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments due to deterioration of the capital markets (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments);
- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values due to unfavorable equity markets, partially offset by increased surrender charges and higher average expense assessment rates due to continued growth in rider elections that have incremental charges associated with them; and

- A less favorable net broker-dealer margin attributable primarily to lower sales of non-proprietary products and lower earnings due to the unfavorable equity markets.

The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, due primarily to lower DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest, driven by the declines in our variable account values from unfavorable equity markets during 2008 and lower incentive compensation accruals as a result of lower earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; and
- A reduction in federal income tax expense related to a favorable tax return true-up driven primarily by the separate account DRD and other items in 2008, compared to an unfavorable tax return true-up and other items in 2007.

Additional Information

We are in the process of completing a conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform valuation platform. This conversion is a continuation of merger-related activities to harmonize methods and processes and involves an upgrade to a critical platform for our financial reporting and analysis capabilities. As part of this conversion process, we are harmonizing actuarial models and assumptions that exist between similar blocks of business within our actuarial models. Not unlike our prospective unlocking exercise, this may result in one-time gain and loss adjustments, but we would expect little net impact to earnings trends. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of this exercise, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of differences upon completion of the conversion. We expect to substantially complete some phases of the conversion in the first half of 2010.

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, the equity markets unfavorably impacted our average variable account values and the resulting fees earned on these accounts. Additionally, weaker credit fundamentals negatively impacted our investment margins and increased our realized losses on investments, including OTTI. As a result, we lowered the projected EGPs for this segment, which will result in higher DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL amortization and lower earnings for this segment.

We saw an increase in deposits into fixed annuity products toward the early part of 2009 in response to the volatile equity markets. Deposits moderated in the fourth quarter of 2009, as customers shifted deposits back into variable annuity products as equity markets improved, and we expect this trend to continue in 2010 with improving economic conditions.

We expect to allocate more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses will result in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. See "Acquisitions and Dispositions" for additional details. Additionally, we plan to make strategic investments during 2010 that will result in higher expenses.

We experienced higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2009 when compared to 2008, but we expect a favorable decline in 2010. For details, see "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans."

Although the segment's results during 2009 were unfavorably impacted by lower average account values and the economic environment, its overall net flows were strong in a challenging economic environment, driving our account values as of December 31, 2009, higher than they were as of December 31, 2008. New deposits are an important component of net flows and key to our efforts to grow our business. Although deposits do not significantly impact current period income from operations, they are an important indicator of future profitability.

The other component of net flows relates to the retention of the business. An important measure of retention is the lapse rate, which compares the amount of withdrawals to the average account values. The overall lapse rate for our annuity products was 8%, 9% and 10% for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

See Note 11 below for information on contractual guarantees to contract holders related to GDB features for our Retirement Solutions business.

We expect to manage the effect of changing market investment returns by managing interest rate spreads for near-term income from operations through a combination of crediting rate actions and portfolio management. Our expectation includes the assumption that there are no significant changes in net flows in or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectation.

Our fixed annuity business includes products with crediting rates that are reset on an annual basis and are not subject to surrender charges. Account values for these products, including the fixed portion of variable, were \$7.0 billion as of December 31, 2009, with 70% already at their minimum guaranteed rates. The average crediting rates for these products were approximately 37 basis points in excess of average minimum guaranteed rates. Our ability to retain annual reset annuities will be subject to current competitive conditions at the time interest rates for these products reset. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk on Fixed Insurance Business – Falling Rates.”

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below. For detail on the operating realized gain, see “Realized Loss” below.

Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, account values and net flows (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Insurance Fees						
Mortality, expense and other assessments	\$860	\$935	\$989	-8	% -5	%
Surrender charges	36	45	39	-20	% 15	%
DFEL:						
Deferrals	(56)	(50)	(45)	-12	% -11	%
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	3	25	(1)	-88	%	NM
Retrospective unlocking	2	7	-	-71	%	NM
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	(4)	10	16	NM	-38	%
Total insurance fees	\$841	\$972	\$998	-13	% -3	%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Account Values						
Variable portion of variable annuities	\$55,368	\$40,925	\$58,643	35	% -30	%
Fixed portion of variable annuities	3,999	3,617	3,470	11	% 4	%
Total variable annuities	59,367	44,542	62,113	33	% -28	%
Fixed annuities, including indexed	15,941	14,038	14,352	14	% -2	%
Fixed annuities ceded to reinsurers	(1,027)	(1,125)	(1,352)	9	% 17	%
Total fixed annuities	14,914	12,913	13,000	15	% -1	%
Total account values	\$74,281	\$57,455	\$75,113	29	% -24	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Averages						
Daily variable account values, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$46,551	\$52,111	\$54,210	-11	% -4	%
Daily S&P 500	947.53	1,220.72	1,476.71	-22	% -17	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Net Flows on Account Values					
Variable portion of variable annuity deposits	\$ 4,007	\$ 6,690	\$ 9,135	-40 %	-27 %
Variable portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(4,034)	(4,813)	(5,089)	16 %	5 %
Variable portion of variable annuity net flows	(27)	1,877	4,046	NM	-54 %
Fixed portion of variable annuity deposits	3,194	3,433	2,795	-7 %	23 %
Fixed portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(493)	(549)	(644)	10 %	15 %
Fixed portion of variable annuity net flows	2,701	2,884	2,151	-6 %	34 %
Total variable annuity deposits	7,201	10,123	11,930	-29 %	-15 %
Total variable annuity withdrawals	(4,527)	(5,362)	(5,733)	16 %	6 %
Total variable annuity net flows	2,674	4,761	6,197	-44 %	-23 %
Fixed indexed annuity deposits	2,182	1,078	755	102 %	43 %
Fixed indexed annuity withdrawals	(636)	(441)	(245)	-44 %	-80 %
Fixed indexed annuity net flows	1,546	637	510	143 %	25 %
Other fixed annuity deposits	979	529	772	85 %	-31 %
Other fixed annuity withdrawals	(1,306)	(1,837)	(2,488)	29 %	26 %
Other fixed annuity net flows	(327)	(1,308)	(1,716)	75 %	24 %
Total annuity deposits	10,362	11,730	13,457	-12 %	-13 %
Total annuity withdrawals	(6,469)	(7,640)	(8,466)	15 %	10 %
Total annuity net flows	\$ 3,893	\$ 4,090	\$ 4,991	-5 %	-18 %

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Other Changes to Account Values					
Interest credited and change in market value on variable, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 11,995	\$ (22,187)	\$ 3,988	154 %	NM
Transfers from the fixed portion of variable annuity products to the variable portion of variable annuity products	2,475	2,798	2,440	-12 %	15%

We charge contract holders mortality and expense assessments on variable annuity accounts to cover insurance and administrative expenses. These assessments are a function of the rates priced into the product and the average daily variable account values. Average daily account values are driven by net flows and the equity markets. In addition, for our fixed annuity contracts and for some variable contracts, we collect surrender charges when contract holders

surrender their contracts during their surrender charge periods to protect us from premature withdrawals. Insurance fees include charges on both our variable and fixed annuity products, but exclude the attributed fees on our GLB products; see “Realized Loss – Operating Realized Gain – GLB” below for discussion of these attributed fees.

Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Net Investment Income						
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$955	\$901	\$914	6	% -1	%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	5	3	10	67	% -70	%
Alternative investments (2)	-	(2)	1	100	%	NM
Surplus investments (3)	77	67	101	15	% -34	%
Broker-dealer	-	3	6	-100	% -50	%
Total net investment income	\$1,037	\$972	\$1,032	7	% -6	%
Interest Credited						
Amount provided to contract holders	\$730	\$733	\$746	0	% -2	%
Opening balance sheet adjustment (4)	-	-	(4)	NM	100	%
DSI deferrals	(75)	(95)	(116)	21	% 18	%
Interest credited before DSI amortization	655	638	626	3	% 2	%
DSI amortization:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	-	37	(2)	-100	%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	-	1	NM	-100	%
Retrospective unlocking	(5)	7	(1)	NM		NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	32	22	35	45	% -37	%
Total interest credited	\$682	\$704	\$659	-3	% 7	%

(1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

(3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the impact of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.

(4) Net adjustment to the opening balance sheet of Jefferson-Pilot finalized in 2007.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Interest Rate Spread					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.50	% 5.79	% 5.87	% (29)	(8)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond make whole premiums	0.03	% 0.02	% 0.06	% 1	(4)
Alternative investments	0.00	% -0.01	% 0.00	% 1	(1)
Net investment income yield on reserves	5.53	% 5.80	% 5.93	% (27)	(13)

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Amount provided to contract holders	3.77	%	3.84	%	3.74	%	(7)	10
Opening balance sheet adjustment	0.00	%	0.00	%	-0.02	%	-		2
Interest rate credited to contract holders	3.77	%	3.84	%	3.72	%	(7)	12
Interest rate spread	1.76	%	1.96	%	2.21	%	(20)	(25)

Note: The yields, rates and spreads above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Other Information						
Average invested assets on reserves	\$17,363	\$15,784	\$15,924	10	% -1	%
Average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable	18,249	17,263	17,560	6	% -2	%
Transfers from the fixed portion of variable annuity products to the variable portion of variable annuity products	(2,475)	(2,798)	(2,440)	12	% -15	%
Net flows for fixed annuities, including the fixed portion of variable	3,920	2,213	945	77	% 134	%

A portion of our investment income earned is credited to the contract holders of our fixed annuity products, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, and what we credit to our fixed annuity contract holders' accounts, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding the amounts attributable to our surplus investments, reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, inter-segment cash management program interest expense and interest on collateral divided by average invested assets on reserves. The average invested assets on reserves is calculated based upon total invested assets, excluding hedge derivatives and collateral. The average crediting rate is calculated as interest credited before DSI amortization, plus the immediate annuity reserve change (included within benefits) divided by the average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, net of coinsured account values. Fixed account values reinsured under modified coinsurance agreements are included in account values for this calculation. Changes in commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums, investment income on alternative investments and surplus investment income can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

Benefits

Benefits for this segment include changes in reserves of immediate annuity account values driven by premiums, changes in GDB and GLB benefit reserves and our expected costs associated with purchases of derivatives used to hedge our GDB benefit ratio unlocking.

Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses						
Commissions	\$628	\$646	\$732	-3	% -12	%
General and administrative expenses	318	330	330	-4	% 0	%
Taxes, licenses and fees	20	26	21	-23	% 24	%
Total expenses incurred, excluding broker-dealer	966	1,002	1,083	-4	% -7	%
DAC and VOBA deferrals	(624)	(686)	(774)	9	% 11	%
Total pre-broker-dealer expenses incurred, excluding amortization, net of interest	342	316	309	8	% 2	%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	10	303	(28)	-97	%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	-	16		NM	-100 %
Retrospective unlocking	(19)	88	(32)		NM	NM
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	360	343	415	5	% -17	%
Broker-dealer expenses incurred	290	331	378	-12	% -12	%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$983	\$1,381	\$1,058	-29	% 31	%
DAC and VOBA Deferrals						
As a percentage of sales/deposits	6.0	% 5.8	% 5.8	%		

Commissions and other costs that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. We have certain trail commissions that are based upon account values that are expensed as incurred rather than deferred and amortized. These trail commissions were approximately \$165 million, \$151 million and \$155 million for the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Broker-dealer expenses that vary with and are related to sales are expensed as incurred and not deferred and amortized. Fluctuations in these expenses correspond with fluctuations in other revenues and fees.

Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution

Income from Operations

Details underlying the results for Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Operating Revenues						
Insurance fees	\$183	\$222	\$259	-18	% -14	%
Net investment income	732	695	709	5	% -2	%
Other revenues and fees	11	15	18	-27	% -17	%
Total operating revenues	926	932	986	-1	% -5	%
Operating Expenses						
Interest credited	445	430	418	3	% 3	%
Benefits	(3)	9	-	NM		NM
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	301	341	315	-12	% 8	%
Total operating expenses	743	780	733	-5	% 6	%
Income from operations before taxes	183	152	253	20	% -40	%
Federal income tax expense	50	29	72	72	% -60	%
Income from operations	\$133	\$123	\$181	8	% -32	%

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$5 million favorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI and reserves for our guarantee riders in 2009 due primarily to a compensation-related change in our wholesaling distribution organization that lowered deferrals as a percentage of total expenses incurred and lower maintenance expenses than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$26 million unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI and reserves for our GDB riders in 2008 due primarily to continued significantly unfavorable equity markets:
 - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- Higher net investment income, partially offset by higher interest credited, driven primarily by higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, attributable primarily to transfers from variable to fixed since the third quarter of 2008, actions implemented during the third quarter of 2009 to reduce interest crediting rates and more favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments due to the improvement in the capital markets, partially offset by our liquidity strategy of maintaining higher cash balances during the recent volatile markets that has reduced our portfolio yield by 13 basis points for 2009:
 - § See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information;
- A \$1 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DSI and reserves for our guarantee riders in 2009 due primarily to higher lapses and maintenance expenses and lower equity market performance than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$9 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DSI in 2008 due primarily to higher lapses, maintenance expenses and future GDB claims than our model projections assumed; and
- Lower benefits from a decrease in the change in GDB reserves due to a decrease in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the increase in account values due to the improvement in the equity markets in

2009.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values resulting from the unfavorable equity markets and an overall shift in business mix toward products with lower expense assessment rates;
- A reduction in federal income tax expense in 2008 due primarily to favorable tax return true-ups driven by the separate account DRD and other items; and
- Higher underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, due primarily to higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans (see discussion in “Additional Information” below) and higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals.

87

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Income from operations for this segment decreased due primarily to the following:

- A \$26 million unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes of DAC, VOBA, DSI and reserves for our GDB riders (discussed above) in 2008, compared to a \$2 million unfavorable prospective unlocking from assumption changes in 2007 due primarily to higher lapse rates and lower asset-based fees, partially offset by lower expenses than our model projections assumed:
 - § See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- Lower insurance fees driven primarily by lower average daily variable account values resulting from the unfavorable equity markets and an overall shift in business mix toward products with lower expense assessment rates;
 - Lower net investment income attributable primarily to less favorable investment income on surplus and alternative investments due to deterioration of the capital markets partially offset by higher average fixed account values (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments);
- Higher interest credited driven primarily by higher average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, driven by transfers from variable to fixed;
- Higher benefits from an increase in the change in GDB reserves due to an increase in our expected GDB benefit payments attributable primarily to the decline in account values due to the unfavorable equity markets; and
 - A \$9 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA and DSI (discussed above) in 2008 compared to a \$4 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking in 2007 due primarily to higher lapses and less favorable asset-based fees than our model projections assumed.

The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, due primarily to lower DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest, driven by the declines in our variable account values from unfavorable equity markets during 2008, the implementation of several expense management controls and practices that are focused on aggressively managing expenses and lower incentive compensation accruals as a result of lower earnings and production performance relative to planned goals; and
- A reduction in federal income tax expense related to a favorable tax return true-up in 2008.

Additional Information

We expect to allocate more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses will result in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. See “Acquisitions and Dispositions” for additional details. Additionally, we plan to make strategic investments during 2010 to improve our infrastructure and product offerings that will result in higher expenses.

We experienced higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2009 when compared to 2008, but we expect a favorable decline in 2010. For details, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

New deposits are an important component of net flows and key to our efforts to grow our business. Although deposits do not significantly impact current period income from operations, they are an important indicator of future profitability.

The other component of net flows relates to the retention of the business. An important measure of retention is the lapse rate, which compares the amount of withdrawals to the average account values. The overall lapse rate for our annuity products was 13%, 15% and 15% for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our lapse rate is negatively impacted by the continued net outflows from our oldest and largest block of annuities business, which is also our most profitable product line in this segment, due to the fact that it is a very mature block with much of the account values out of surrender period. The proportion of this product to our total account values was 31%, 34% and 37% for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Due to this expected overall shift in business mix towards products with lower returns, a substantial increase in new deposit production will be necessary to maintain earnings at current levels.

See Note 11 below for information on contractual guarantees to contract holders related to GDB features for our Retirement Solutions business.

We expect to manage the effect of changing market investment returns by managing interest rate spreads for near-term income from operations through a combination of crediting rate actions and portfolio management. Our expectation includes the assumption that there are no significant changes in net flows in or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectation. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, account values and net flows (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Insurance Fees						
Annuity expense assessments	\$ 157	\$ 197	\$ 234	-20	% -16	%
Mutual fund fees	22	19	17	16	% 12	%
Total expense assessments	179	216	251	-17	% -14	%
Surrender charges	4	6	8	-33	% -25	%
Total insurance fees	\$ 183	\$ 222	\$ 259	-18	% -14	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Averages						
Daily variable account values, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 11,315	\$ 14,935	\$ 18,043	-24	% -17	%
Daily S&P 500	947.53	1,220.72	1,476.71	-22	% -17	%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Account Values						
Variable portion of variable annuities	\$ 12,953	\$ 10,588	\$ 17,876	22	% -41	%
Fixed portion of variable annuities	6,107	6,037	5,893	1	% 2	%
Total variable annuities	19,060	16,625	23,769	15	% -30	%
Fixed annuities	6,139	5,601	4,996	10	% 12	%
Total annuities	25,199	22,226	28,765	13	% -23	%
Mutual funds	10,103	6,652	7,293	52	% -9	%
Total annuities and mutual funds	\$ 35,302	\$ 28,878	\$ 36,058	22	% -20	%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Account Value Roll Forward – By Product						
Total Micro – Small Segment:						
Balance at beginning-of-period	\$4,888	\$7,798	\$7,535	-37	% 3	%
Gross deposits	1,157	1,531	1,594	-24	% -4	%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,273)	(1,740)	(1,931)	27	% 10	%
Net flows	(116)	(209)	(337)	44	% 38	%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	(2)	(8)	(5)	75	% -60	%
Inter-product transfer (1)	-	(653)	-	100	%	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	1,093	(2,040)	605	154	%	NM
Balance at end-of-period	\$5,863	\$4,888	\$7,798	20	% -37	%
Total Mid – Large Segment:						
Balance at beginning-of-period	\$9,540	\$9,463	\$6,975	1	% 36	%
Gross deposits	2,954	2,933	2,771	1	% 6	%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,110)	(871)	(724)	-27	% -20	%
Net flows	1,844	2,062	2,047	-11	% 1	%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	12	(55)	(17)	122	%	NM
Inter-product transfer (1)	-	653	-	-100	%	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	2,257	(2,583)	458	187	%	NM
Balance at end-of-period	\$13,653	\$9,540	\$9,463	43	% 1	%
Total Multi-Fund® and Other Variable Annuities:						
Balance at beginning-of-period	\$14,450	\$18,797	\$19,146	-23	% -2	%
Gross deposits	841	1,083	1,185	-22	% -9	%
Withdrawals and deaths	(1,574)	(2,155)	(2,558)	27	% 16	%
Net flows	(733)	(1,072)	(1,373)	32	% 22	%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	(1)	(2)	(6)	50	% 67	%
Inter-segment transfer	-	295	-	-100	%	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	2,071	(3,568)	1,030	158	%	NM
Balance at end-of-period	\$15,787	\$14,450	\$18,797	9	% -23	%
Total Annuities and Mutual Funds:						
Balance at beginning-of-period	\$28,878	\$36,058	\$33,656	-20	% 7	%
Gross deposits	4,952	5,547	5,550	-11	% 0	%
Withdrawals and deaths	(3,957)	(4,766)	(5,213)	17	% 9	%
Net flows	995	781	337	27	% 132	%
Transfers between fixed and variable accounts	9	(65)	(28)	114	%	NM
Inter-segment transfer	-	295	-	-100	%	NM
Investment increase and change in market value	5,420	(8,191)	2,093	166	%	NM

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Balance at end-of-period (2)	\$35,302	\$28,878	\$36,058	22	%	-20	%
------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----	---	-----	---

(1) On September 30, 2008, there was a transfer relating to the Lincoln Employee 401(k) Plan from LINCOLN DIRECTORS to LINCOLN ALLIANCE®.

(2) Includes mutual fund account values. Mutual funds are not included in the separate accounts reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as we do not have any ownership interest in them.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Net Flows on Account Values						
Variable portion of variable annuity deposits	\$1,586	\$2,170	\$2,355	-27	% -8	%
Variable portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(1,888)	(2,708)	(3,212)	30	% 16	%
Variable portion of variable annuity net flows	(302)	(538)	(857)	44	% 37	%
Fixed portion of variable annuity deposits	331	369	351	-10	% 5	%
Fixed portion of variable annuity withdrawals	(737)	(991)	(912)	26	% -9	%
Fixed portion of variable annuity net flows	(406)	(622)	(561)	35	% -11	%
Total variable annuity deposits	1,917	2,539	2,706	-24	% -6	%
Total variable annuity withdrawals	(2,625)	(3,699)	(4,124)	29	% 10	%
Total variable annuity net flows	(708)	(1,160)	(1,418)	39	% 18	%
Fixed annuity deposits	1,011	812	754	25	% 8	%
Fixed annuity withdrawals	(667)	(557)	(724)	-20	% 23	%
Fixed annuity net flows	344	255	30	35	%	NM
Total annuity deposits	2,928	3,351	3,460	-13	% -3	%
Total annuity withdrawals	(3,292)	(4,256)	(4,848)	23	% 12	%
Total annuity net flows	(364)	(905)	(1,388)	60	% 35	%
Mutual fund deposits	2,024	2,196	2,090	-8	% 5	%
Mutual fund withdrawals	(665)	(510)	(365)	-30	% -40	%
Mutual fund net flows	1,359	1,686	1,725	-19	% -2	%
Total annuity and mutual fund deposits	4,952	5,547	5,550	-11	% 0	%
Total annuity and mutual fund withdrawals	(3,957)	(4,766)	(5,213)	17	% 9	%
Total annuity and mutual fund net flows	\$995	\$781	\$337	27	% 132	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Other Changes to Account Values						
Interest credited and change in market value on variable, excluding the fixed portion of variable	\$ 2,843	\$ (5,942)	\$ 1,287	148	%	NM
Transfers from the fixed portion of variable annuity products to the variable portion of variable annuity products	(176)	(461)	(29)	62	%	NM

We charge expense assessments to cover insurance and administrative expenses. Expense assessments are generally equal to a percentage of the daily variable account values. Average daily account values are driven by net flows and the equity markets. Our expense assessments include fees we earn for the services that we provide to our mutual fund programs. In addition, for both our fixed and variable annuity contracts, we collect surrender charges when contract holders surrender their contracts during the surrender charge periods to protect us from premature withdrawals.

Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Net Investment Income						
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$681	\$655	\$646	4	% 1	%
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	5	7	6	-29	% 17	%
Alternative investments (2)	1	(6)	2	117	%	NM
Surplus investments (3)	45	39	55	15	% -29	%
Total net investment income	\$732	\$695	\$709	5	% -2	%
Interest Credited	\$445	\$430	\$418	3	% 3	%

(1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

(3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the impact of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Interest Rate Spread						
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.76	% 5.89	% 6.03	% (13)	(14))
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.04	% 0.06	% 0.06	% (2)	-)
Alternative investments	0.01	% -0.05	% 0.02	% 6	(7))
Net investment income yield on reserves	5.81	% 5.90	% 6.11	% (9)	(21))
Interest rate credited to contract holders	3.70	% 3.79	% 3.83	% (9)	(4))
Interest rate spread	2.11	% 2.11	% 2.28	% 0	(17))

Note: The yields, rates and spreads above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Other Information						
Average invested assets on reserves	\$11,815	\$11,113	\$10,712	6	% 4	%
Average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable	12,024	11,330	10,935	6	% 4	%
Transfers from the fixed portion of variable annuity products to the variable portion of						

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

variable annuity products	176	461	29	-62	%	NM
Net flows for fixed annuities, including the fixed portion of variable	(62)	(367)	(531)	83	%	31 %

A portion of our investment income earned is credited to the contract holders of our fixed annuity products, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments supporting the fixed annuity product line, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts, and what we credit to our fixed annuity contract holders' accounts, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding the amounts attributable to our surplus investments, reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, inter-segment cash management program interest expense and interest on collateral, divided by average invested assets on reserves. The average invested assets on reserves are calculated based upon total invested assets, excluding hedge derivatives. The average crediting rate is calculated as interest credited before DSI amortization, divided by the average fixed account values, including the fixed portion of variable annuity contracts. Commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums, investment income on alternative investments and surplus investment income can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

Benefits

Benefits for this segment include changes in GDB and GLB benefit reserves and our expected costs associated with purchases of derivatives used to hedge our GDB benefit ratio unlocking.

Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses						
Commissions	\$64	\$72	\$81	-11	% -11	%
General and administrative expenses	221	220	218	0	% 1	%
Taxes, licenses and fees	12	13	14	-8	% -7	%
Total expenses incurred	297	305	313	-3	% -3	%
DAC deferrals	(69)	(94)	(92)	27	% -2	%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	228	211	221	8	% -5	%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(8)	39	3	NM		NM
Retrospective unlocking	2	14	6	-86	% 133	%
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	79	77	85	3	% -9	%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$301	\$341	\$315	-12	% 8	%
DAC Deferrals						
As a percentage of annuity sales/deposits	2.4	% 2.8	% 2.7	%		

Commissions and other costs that vary with and are related primarily to the sale of annuity contracts are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. We have certain trail commissions that are based upon account values that are expensed as incurred rather than deferred and amortized. These trail commissions were approximately \$36 million, \$35 million and \$36 million for the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We do not pay commissions on sales of our mutual fund products, and distribution expenses associated with the sale of these mutual fund products are expensed as incurred.

RESULTS OF INSURANCE SOLUTIONS

The Insurance Solutions business provides its products through two segments: Life Insurance and Group Protection. The Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance segment offers wealth protection and transfer opportunities through term insurance, a linked-benefit product (which is a UL policy linked with riders that provide for long-term care costs) and both single and survivorship versions of UL and VUL, including corporate-owned UL and VUL (“COLI”) and bank-owned UL and VUL (“BOLI”) products. The Insurance Solutions – Group Protection segment offers group life, disability and dental insurance to employers.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance

Income from Operations

Details underlying the results for Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year			
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008		
Operating Revenues							
Insurance premiums	\$ 392	\$ 360	\$ 351	9	%	3	%
Insurance fees	1,901	1,880	1,734	1	%	8	%
Net investment income	1,975	1,988	2,069	-1	%	-4	%
Other revenues and fees	25	31	35	-19	%	-11	%
Total operating revenues	4,293	4,259	4,189	1	%	2	%
Operating Expenses							
Interest credited	1,184	1,202	1,173	-1	%	2	%
Benefits	1,374	1,372	1,089	0	%	26	%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	921	877	842	5	%	4	%
Total operating expenses	3,479	3,451	3,104	1	%	11	%
Income from operations before taxes							
	814	808	1,085	1	%	-26	%
Federal income tax expense	245	267	366	-8	%	-27	%
Income from operations	\$ 569	\$ 541	\$ 719	5	%	-25	%

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- A \$7 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DFEL and secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves from assumption changes due primarily to lower investment spreads and higher expenses, mortality and lapse rates than our model projections assumed in 2009, compared to a \$53 million unfavorable prospective unlocking (a \$34 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements and a \$19 million unfavorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to the impact of significantly unfavorable equity markets on our VUL block of business, partially offset by adjustments to secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves) in 2008:

§ See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;

- Growth in insurance fees and net investment income driven by an increase in business in force, partially offset by increases in benefits and underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, excluding unlocking, the inter-company reinsurance transaction effective December 31, 2008 (discussed below), and the transfer of a closed block of life insurance policies to a third party (discussed below); and
- A reduction in federal income tax expense due primarily to favorable tax return true-ups in the first quarter of 2009.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower net investment income due primarily to unfavorable results from our investment income on alternative investments (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information) and the inter-company reinsurance transaction effective December 31, 2008, discussed in “Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” below; and
- The transfer of a closed block of life insurance policies to a third party discussed in “Additional Information” below, which resulted in reductions in insurance fees, net investment income, interest credited, benefits and underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Income from operations for this segment decreased due primarily to the following:

- A \$53 million unfavorable prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DFEL and secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves (discussed above) in 2008, compared to a \$4 million favorable prospective unlocking (a \$12 million favorable unlocking from assumption changes due primarily to lower lapses and expenses and higher interest rates than our model projections assumed, net of an \$8 million unfavorable unlocking from model refinements) in 2007:
§ See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL” for more information;
- A \$24 million unfavorable retrospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, and DFEL in 2008 due primarily to lower premiums received, higher death claims and lower investment income on alternative investments and prepayment and bond makewhole premiums than our model projections assumed, compared to a \$28 million favorable retrospective unlocking in 2007 due primarily to higher persistency, higher investment income on alternative investments and prepayment and bond makewhole premiums and lower expenses than our model projections assumed, partially offset by the impact of a correction to account values;
- An increase in benefits due primarily to an increase in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves from continued growth of business in force and the effects of model refinements along with higher mortality due to an increase in the average attained age of the in-force block as a result of targeting higher net worth individuals and lower benefits in the first quarter of 2007 related to a purchase accounting adjustment to the opening balance sheet of Jefferson-Pilot; and
- Lower net investment income due primarily to unfavorable results from our investment income on alternative investments (see “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information on our alternative investments) and prepayment and bond makewhole premiums due to deterioration of the financial markets, the inter-company reinsurance transaction effective October 2007 and the merger of several of our insurance subsidiaries, discussed in “Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain” below, and certain assumption changes in the fourth quarter of 2007.

The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by growth in insurance fees driven by an increase in business in force as a result of new sales and favorable persistency and an increase in the average attained age of the in-force block as a result of targeting higher net worth individuals and by a \$41 million reduction related to the impact of the correction to account values and modifications of accounting related to certain insurance contracts during the second quarter of 2007.

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

Strategies to Address Statutory Reserve Strain

Our insurance subsidiaries have statutory surplus and RBC levels above current regulatory required levels. As mentioned below, approximately 64% of our life sales for 2009 consisted of products containing secondary guarantees, which require reserving practices under AG38. Our insurance subsidiaries are employing strategies to reduce the strain of increasing AG38 and XXX statutory reserves associated with secondary guarantee UL and term products. As discussed further below, we have been successful in executing reinsurance solutions to reduce the amount of statutory reserves required to support these products and releasing capital to Other Operations. We expect to regularly execute such transactions as we continue to sell products that are subject to these reserving requirements, and we also plan to refinance prior transactions as discussed further below. Recently, we introduced new secondary guarantee UL products that achieve our return requirements without dependency on such reinsurance solutions.

Included in the LOCs issued as of December 31, 2009, reported in the credit facilities table below in “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Financing Activities,” was approximately \$1.7 billion of LOCs supporting the reinsurance obligations of Lincoln National Reinsurance Company (Barbados) Limited (“LNBAR”) on UL business with secondary guarantees. The underlying credit facilities mature in the first quarter of 2011; however, the LOCs may remain outstanding until the first quarter of 2012. We expect to replace these existing LOCs with a mix of long-term financing solutions as well as new shorter-term LOCs. We previously executed a long-term structured solution of approximately \$400 million in 2007. LOCs and related capital market alternatives lower the capital impact of secondary guarantee UL products. An inability to obtain the necessary LOC capacity or other capital market alternatives could impact our returns on our in-force secondary guarantee UL business. However, we believe that our insurance subsidiaries have sufficient capital to support the increase in statutory reserves if such structures are not available. See “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors – Attempts to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline 38 may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations” for further information on XXX reserves.

As of December 31, 2009, we released approximately \$400 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our term products as a result of executing on a letter of credit transaction with a third party to support an inter-company reinsurance arrangement. As part of this transaction, we entered into a \$550 million 10-year LOC related to this business. For more information on this transaction, see our current report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010. This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business, which we transferred to Other Operations where we maintain capital not allocated to our businesses. The cost of the letter of credit reflected in underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses, together with the impact of lower net investment income associated with assets shifting from backing reserves in this segment to surplus in Other Operations, will reduce this segment’s quarterly income from operations beginning in 2010 by approximately \$7 million, \$4 million of which is simply a shift to Other Operations due to the transfer of invested assets.

As of December 31, 2008, we released approximately \$240 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our UL products with secondary guarantees as a result of executing on an inter-company reinsurance transaction. This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business. These invested assets were transferred to Other Operations to our surplus portfolio as excess capital, which caused an approximate \$4 million per quarter ongoing reduction in this segment’s net investment income.

As of December 31, 2007, we reduced statutory reserves related primarily to legal entity consolidation by \$344 million, which caused an approximate \$5 million per quarter ongoing reduction in this segment’s net investment income. This reduction in statutory reserves was primarily a result of the merger of several of our insurance subsidiaries. In October 2007, we released approximately \$300 million of capital that had previously supported statutory reserves related to our secondary guarantee UL products as a result of executing on an inter-company reinsurance transaction. This reduction in capital lowered the level of invested assets required to support the reserves of this business. These invested assets were transferred to Other Operations to our surplus portfolio as excess capital, which caused an approximate \$5 million per quarter ongoing reduction in this segment’s net investment income.

Additional Information

We are in the process of completing a conversion of our actuarial valuation systems to a uniform valuation platform. This conversion is a continuation of merger-related activities to harmonize methods and processes and involves an upgrade to a critical platform for our financial reporting and analysis capabilities. As part of this conversion process, we are harmonizing methods, assumptions and granularity of calculations that exist between similar blocks of business within our actuarial models, which is particularly important in our life insurance segment given that we currently run on two different systems dating back to the merger with Jefferson-Pilot. Not unlike our prospective

unlocking exercise, this may result in one-time gain and loss adjustments, but we would expect little net impact to earnings trends. Although we expect some differences to emerge as a result of this exercise, based upon the current status of these efforts, we are not able to provide an estimate or range of differences upon completion of the conversion. We expect to substantially complete some phases of the conversion in the first half of 2010.

We expect to allocate more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses will result in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses.

See “Acquisitions and Dispositions” for additional details. Additionally, we plan to make strategic investments during 2010 that will also result in higher expenses.

We experienced higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2009 when compared to 2008, but we expect a favorable decline in 2010. For details, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

Effective March 31, 2009, we transferred a closed block of business consisting of certain UL and VUL insurance products to a third party. During the fourth quarter of 2009, one of our insurance subsidiaries executed a separate agreement whereby we assumed the mortality risk associated with this business on a yearly-renewable basis. As a result of these transactions, this segment's income from operations was reduced by approximately \$6 million per quarter as a result of reductions in insurance fees and net investment income, partially offset by reductions in interest credited and benefits, that we had not experienced prior to these transactions. The assumption of the mortality risk associated with this business on a yearly-renewable basis resulted in an approximate \$13 million per quarter ongoing increase in insurance premiums offset by an increase in benefits. The unfavorable impact to this segment's income from operations was partially offset by an approximate \$2 million increase to income from operations in Other Operations, as a result of having higher net investment income due to the transfer of invested assets from Insurance Solutions – Life Insurance attributable to its reduction in capital as a result of the transfer of this business to a third party; therefore, we expect our net impact from this transaction to our consolidated net income was a reduction of \$4 million per quarter.

A portion of the retrospective and prospective unlocking of DAC, VOBA, DFEL and secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves in 2008 resulted in an unfavorable recurring earnings impact of \$7 million per quarter that began in the third quarter of 2008.

On January 1, 2010, we implemented a 20 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which is expected to increase spreads by approximately 3 basis points. On March 1, 2009, we implemented a 15 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which has increased spreads by approximately 5 basis points. On June 1, 2008, we implemented a 10 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which has increased spreads by approximately 5 basis points. On June 1, 2007, we implemented a 10 basis point decrease in crediting rates on most interest-sensitive products not already at contractual guarantees, which has increased spreads approximately 5 basis points.

As of December 31, 2009, 76% of interest-sensitive account values had crediting rates at contract guaranteed levels, and 12% had crediting rates within 50 basis points of contractual guarantees. Going forward, we expect to be able to manage the effects of spreads on near-term income from operations through a combination of rate actions and portfolio management, which assumes no significant changes in net flows into or out of our fixed accounts or other changes that may cause interest rate spreads to differ from our expectations. For information on interest rate spreads and the interest rate risk due to falling interest rates, see "Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

Sales are not recorded as a component of revenues (other than for traditional products) and do not have a significant impact on current quarter income from operations but are indicators of future profitability. Generally, we have higher sales during the second half of the year with the fourth quarter being our strongest.

Insurance Premiums

Insurance premiums relate to traditional products and are a function of the rates priced into the product and the level of insurance in force. Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience.

Insurance Fees

Details underlying insurance fees, sales, net flows, account values and in-force face amount (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Insurance Fees						
Mortality assessments	\$1,299	\$1,321	\$1,223	-2	% 8	%
Expense assessments	759	707	653	7	% 8	%
Surrender charges	112	69	59	62	% 17	%
DFEL:						
Deferrals	(439)	(379)	(364)	-16	% -4	%
Amortization, net of interest:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	20	12	-	67	%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	(25)	26	100	%	NM
Retrospective unlocking	15	35	(9)	-57	%	NM
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking						
	135	140	146	-4	% -4	%
Total insurance fees	\$1,901	\$1,880	\$1,734	1	% 8	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Sales by Product						
UL:						
Excluding MoneyGuard®	\$397	\$525	\$597	-24	% -12	%
MoneyGuard®	67	50	40	34	% 25	%
Total UL	464	575	637	-19	% -10	%
VUL	36	54	77	-33	% -30	%
COLI and BOLI	51	84	91	-39	% -8	%
Term/whole life	59	28	32	111	% -13	%
Total sales	\$610	\$741	\$837	-18	% -11	%

Net Flows						
Deposits	\$4,451	\$4,493	\$4,413	-1	% 2	%
Withdrawals and deaths	(2,030)	(1,671)	(1,768)	-21	% 5	%
Net flows	\$2,421	\$2,822	\$2,645	-14	% 7	%
Contract holder assessments	\$2,996	\$2,791	\$2,521	7	% 11	%

	As of December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Account Values						
UL (1)	\$24,994	\$25,199	\$24,223	-1	% 4	%
VUL (1)	4,468	4,251	6,040	5	% -30	%
Interest-sensitive whole life	2,282	2,303	2,295	-1	% 0	%
Total account values	\$31,744	\$31,753	\$32,558	0	% -2	%
In-Force Face Amount						
UL and other (1)	\$291,879	\$310,198	\$299,598	-6	% 4	%
Term insurance (2)	248,726	235,023	235,919	6	% 0	%
Total in-force face amount	\$540,605	\$545,221	\$535,517	-1	% 2	%

(1)Effective with the March 31, 2009, transfer of certain life insurance policies to a third party, UL and VUL account values were reduced by \$938 million and \$640 million, respectively, and UL and other face amount in force was reduced by \$20.9 billion.

(2)Excludes \$19.8 billion of face amount in force associated with our assumption of the mortality risk effective October 1, 2009, on the block of business mentioned in footnote one above.

Insurance fees relate only to interest-sensitive products and include mortality assessments, expense assessments (net of deferrals and amortization related to DFEL) and surrender charges. Mortality and expense assessments are deducted from our contract holders' account values. These amounts are a function of the rates priced into the product and premiums received, face amount in force and account values. Insurance in force, in turn, is driven by sales, persistency and mortality experience. In-force growth should be considered independently with respect to term products versus UL and other products, as term products have a lower profitability relative to face amount compared to whole life and interest-sensitive products.

Sales in the table above and as discussed above were reported as follows:

- UL (excluding linked-benefit products) and VUL (including COLI and BOLI) – first year commissionable premiums plus 5% of excess premiums received, including an adjustment for internal replacements of approximately 50% of commissionable premiums;
- MoneyGuard® (our linked-benefit product) – 15% of premium deposits; and
- Whole life and term – 100% of first year paid premiums.

UL and VUL products with secondary guarantees represented approximately 40% of interest-sensitive life insurance in force as of December 31, 2009, and approximately 64% of sales for 2009. Actuarial Guideline 37, or Variable Life Reserves for Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits, and AG38 impose additional statutory reserve requirements for these products.

Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

Details underlying net investment income, interest credited (in millions) and our interest rate spread were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Net Investment Income					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	\$1,942	\$1,902	\$1,873	2	% 2
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums (1)	12	16	36	-25	% -56
Alternative investments (2)	(69)	(11)	54	NM	NM
Surplus investments (3)	90	81	106	11	% -24
Total net investment income	\$1,975	\$1,988	\$2,069	-1	% -4
Interest Credited	\$1,184	\$1,202	\$1,173	-1	% 2

(1) See “Consolidated Investments – Commercial Mortgage Loan Prepayment and Bond Makewhole Premiums” below for additional information.

(2) See “Consolidated Investments – Alternative Investments” below for additional information.

(3) Represents net investment income on the required statutory surplus for this segment and includes the impact of investment income on alternative investments for such assets that are held in the portfolios supporting statutory surplus versus the portfolios supporting product liabilities.

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Basis Point Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Interest Rate Yields and Spread					
Attributable to interest-sensitive products:					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.93	% 5.91	% 6.06	% 2	(15)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.04	% 0.05	% 0.13	% (1)	(8)
Alternative investments	-0.25	% -0.03	% 0.21	% (22)	(24)
Net investment income yield on reserves	5.72	% 5.93	% 6.40	% (21)	(47)
Interest rate credited to contract holders	4.23	% 4.33	% 4.44	% (10)	(11)
Interest rate spread	1.49	% 1.60	% 1.96	% (11)	(36)
Attributable to traditional products:					
Fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate and other, net of investment expenses	5.99	% 6.13	% 6.25	% (14)	(12)
Commercial mortgage loan prepayment and bond makewhole premiums	0.01	% 0.03	% 0.07	% (2)	(4)
Alternative investments	0.00	% -0.03	% 0.01	% 3	(4)
Net investment income yield on reserves	6.00	% 6.13	% 6.33	% (13)	(20)

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Averages						
Attributable to interest-sensitive products:						
Invested assets on reserves (1)	\$27,824	\$27,003	\$25,787	3	% 5	%
Account values - universal and whole life (1)	27,674	27,286	25,907	1	% 5	%
Attributable to traditional products:						
Invested assets on reserves	4,896	5,058	5,063	-3	% 0	%

(1) We experienced declines in our average calculations for invested assets on reserves and account values attributable to interest-sensitive products during 2009 as a result of the transfer of certain life insurance policies to a third party effective March 31, 2009, which reduced these balances by \$927 million and \$938 million, respectively, on that date.

A portion of the investment income earned for this segment is credited to contract holder accounts. Invested assets will typically grow at a faster rate than account values because of the AG38 reserve requirements, which cause statutory reserves to grow at a faster rate than account values. Invested assets are based upon the statutory reserve liabilities and are therefore affected by various reserve adjustments, including capital transactions providing relief from AG38 reserve requirements, which leads to a transfer of invested assets from this segment to Other Operations for use in other corporate purposes. We expect to earn a spread between what we earn on the underlying general account investments and what we credit to our contract holders' accounts. The interest rate spread for this segment represents the excess of the yield on invested assets on reserves over the average crediting rate on interest-sensitive products. The yield on invested assets on reserves is calculated as net investment income, excluding amounts attributable to our surplus investments and reverse repurchase agreement interest expense, divided by average invested assets on reserves. In addition, we exclude the impact of earnings from affordable housing tax credit securities, which is reflected as a reduction to federal income tax expense, from our spread calculations. Traditional products use interest income to build the policy reserves. Commercial mortgage loan prepayments and bond makewhole premiums and investment income on alternative investments can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors, and, therefore, may contribute to investment income results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

Benefits

Details underlying benefits (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Benefits						
Death claims direct and assumed	\$2,260	\$2,177	\$1,944	4	% 12	%
Death claims ceded	(993)	(966)	(810)	-3	% -19	%
Reserves released on death	(394)	(360)	(338)	-9	% -7	%
Net death benefits	873	851	796	3	% 7	%
Change in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(2)	8	(3)	NM		NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	76	3	-100	%	NM
Other	233	134	60	74	% 123	%

Change in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves - reinsurance	15	21	-	-29	%		NM
Other benefits (1)	255	282	233	-10	%	21	%
Total benefits	\$1,374	\$1,372	\$1,089	0	%	26	%
Death claims per \$1,000 of inforce	1.63	1.58	1.51	3	%	5	%

(1) Other benefits includes primarily traditional product changes in reserves and dividends.

Benefits for this segment includes claims incurred during the period in excess of the associated reserves for its interest-sensitive and traditional products. In addition, benefits includes the change in secondary guarantee life insurance product reserves. The reserve for secondary guarantees is impacted by changes in expected future trends of expense assessments causing unlocking adjustments to this liability similar to DAC, VOBA and DFEL. Additionally, we establish a reserve for reinsurance margin (reinsurance premiums paid less death benefit recoveries) and amortize this margin over the life of the expected insurance assessments for certain blocks of secondary guarantee UL business. When we experience unfavorable mortality, particularly on higher face amount claims, our reinsurance recoveries can increase significantly and are deferred, which reduces the amount by which the expense for the direct claims are offset by reinsurance. The reinsurance on our secondary guarantee UL business is excess of loss reinsurance, and this block has a large range of face amounts, both of which contribute to volatility in our actual experience of reinsurance recoveries as compared to our expectations.

Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses						
Commissions	\$676	\$795	\$898	-15	% -11	%
General and administrative expenses	455	424	445	7	% -5	%
Taxes, licenses and fees	115	119	115	-3	% 3	%
Total expenses incurred	1,246	1,338	1,458	-7	% -8	%
DAC and VOBA deferrals	(900)	(1,016)	(1,134)	11	% 10	%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	346	322	324	7	% -1	%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest:						
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	33	34	(15)	-3	%	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	-	(49)	36	100	%	NM
Retrospective unlocking	42	71	(51)	-41	% 239	%
Amortization, net of interest, excluding unlocking	496	495	544	0	% -9	%
Other intangible amortization	4	4	4	0	% 0	%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$921	\$877	\$842	5	% 4	%
DAC and VOBA Deferrals						
As a percentage of sales	147.5	% 137.1	% 135.5	%		

Commissions and other general and administrative expenses that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and for our interest-sensitive products are generally amortized over the lives of the contracts in relation to EGPs. For our traditional products, DAC and VOBA are amortized on either a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium of the related contracts, depending on the block of business.

When comparing DAC and VOBA deferrals as a percentage of sales for 2009 and 2008, the increase is a result of incurred deferrable general and administrative expenses declining at a rate lower than sales.

Insurance Solutions – Group Protection

Income from Operations

Details underlying the results for Insurance Solutions – Group Protection (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Operating Revenues						
Insurance premiums	\$1,579	\$1,517	\$1,380	4	% 10	%
Net investment income	127	117	115	9	% 2	%
Other revenues and fees	7	6	5	17	% 20	%
Total operating revenues	1,713	1,640	1,500	4	% 9	%
Operating Expenses						
Interest credited	2	2	-	0	%	NM
Benefits	1,117	1,107	999	1	% 11	%
Underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses						
expenses	403	371	326	9	% 14	%
Total operating expenses	1,522	1,480	1,325	3	% 12	%
Income from operations before taxes	191	160	175	19	% -9	%
Federal income tax expense	67	56	61	20	% -8	%
Income from operations	\$124	\$104	\$114	19	% -9	%

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Income from Operations by Product Line						
Life	\$42	\$34	\$41	24	% -17	%
Disability	79	64	64	23	% 0	%
Dental	(2)	2	4	NM	-50	%
Total non-medical	119	100	109	19	% -8	%
Medical	5	4	5	25	% -20	%
Total income from operations	\$124	\$104	\$114	19	% -9	%

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Income from operations for this segment increased due to the following:

- More favorable total non-medical loss ratio experience, slightly below the low end of our expected range;
- Growth in insurance premiums driven by normal, organic business growth in our non-medical products; and
- Higher net investment income driven by an increase in investment income on surplus investments.

The increase in income from operations was partially offset by an increase to underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses due primarily to higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans (see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans” for additional information), an increase in paid premiums and higher incentive compensation accruals as a result of higher earnings and production performance relative to planned goals, partially offset by higher costs of investments in strategic initiatives associated with realigning our marketing and distribution structure in 2008.

During 2009, we experienced exceptional short- and long-term disability loss ratios due primarily to favorable claims incidence and termination experience. We attribute the recent favorable incidence and termination experience in our long-term disability line of business to be related, at least in part, to the impact of the challenging economic environment on our insureds. In addition, we experienced favorable life loss ratios during 2009 due primarily to favorable mortality and life waiver experience, all of which we do not expect will be sustainable indefinitely. Consequently, we expect to experience non-medical loss ratios in 2010 around 70%-71%, which continues to be around the low end of our historical expected range of 71% to 74%.

We expect to allocate more overhead costs to this segment during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses will result in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses. See “Acquisitions and Dispositions” for additional details. Additionally, we plan to make strategic investments during 2010 that will also result in higher expenses.

We experienced higher expenses attributable to our U.S. pension plans during 2009 when compared to 2008, but we expect a favorable decline in 2010. For details, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.”

Sales relate to long-duration contracts sold to new contract holders and new programs sold to existing contract holders. We believe that the trend in sales is an important indicator of development of business in force over time.

Management focuses on trends in loss ratios to compare actual experience with pricing expectations because group-underwriting risks change over time. We expect normal fluctuations in our composite non-medical loss ratios of this segment, as claim experience is inherently uncertain. As discussed further above, we expect favorable loss ratio experience in 2010.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Income from operations for this segment decreased due to the following:

- Less favorable total non-medical loss ratio experience, although still on the low end of our expected range; and
- An increase to underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses due primarily to growth in our business in force, higher 401(k) expenses, higher costs of investments in strategic initiatives associated with realigning our marketing and distribution structure and an increase in the allocation of expenses to this segment.

The decrease in income from operations was partially offset by a growth in insurance premiums driven by normal, organic business growth in our non-medical products and favorable persistency.

We provide information about this segment’s operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

Insurance Premiums

Details underlying insurance premiums (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Insurance Premiums by Product Line						
Life	\$584	\$541	\$494	8	% 10	%
Disability	692	672	601	3	% 12	%
Dental	149	150	136	-1	% 10	%
Total non-medical	1,425	1,363	1,231	5	% 11	%
Medical	154	154	149	0	% 3	%
Total insurance premiums	\$1,579	\$1,517	\$1,380	4	% 10	%
Sales	\$361	\$316	\$326	14	% -3	%

Our cost of insurance and policy administration charges are embedded in the premiums charged to our customers. The premiums are a function of the rates priced into the product and our business in force. Business in force, in turn, is driven by sales and persistency experience. Sales in the table above are the combined annualized premiums for our life, disability and dental products.

The business represented as “medical” consists primarily of our non-core EXEC-U-CARE® product. This product provides an insured medical expense reimbursement vehicle to executives for non-covered health plan costs. This product produces significant revenues and benefits expenses for this segment but only a limited amount of income. Discontinuance of this product would significantly impact segment revenues, but not income from operations.

Net Investment Income

We use our interest income to build the associated policy reserves, which are a function of our insurance premiums and the yields on our invested assets.

Benefits and Interest Credited

Details underlying benefits and interest credited (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Benefits and Interest Credited by Product Line						
Life	\$420	\$401	\$360	5	% 11	%
Disability	443	456	406	-3	% 12	%
Dental	121	117	104	3	% 13	%
Total non-medical	984	974	870	1	% 12	%
Medical	135	135	129	0	% 5	%
Total benefits and interest credited	\$1,119	\$1,109	\$999	1	% 11	%
Loss Ratios by Product Line						
Life	72.0	% 73.9	% 73.0			
Disability	64.0	% 67.9	% 67.5			
Dental	81.7	% 78.3	% 76.6			
Total non-medical	69.1	% 71.4	% 70.7			
Medical	87.9	% 87.6	% 87.0			

Note: Loss ratios presented above are calculated using whole dollars instead of dollars rounded to millions.

Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses

Details underlying underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Underwriting, Acquisition, Insurance and Other Expenses						
Commissions	\$176	\$168	\$164	5	% 2	%
General and administrative expenses	204	186	151	10	% 23	%
Taxes, licenses and fees	36	39	34	-8	% 15	%
Total expenses incurred	416	393	349	6	% 13	%
DAC and VOBA deferrals	(59)	(58)	(54)	-2	% -7	%
Total expenses recognized before amortization	357	335	295	7	% 14	%
DAC and VOBA amortization, net of interest	46	36	31	28	% 16	%
Total underwriting, acquisition, insurance and other expenses	\$403	\$371	\$326	9	% 14	%

DAC and VOBA Deferrals

As a percentage of insurance premiums	3.7	%	3.8	%	3.9	%
---------------------------------------	-----	---	-----	---	-----	---

Expenses, excluding broker commissions, that vary with and are related primarily to the production of new business are deferred to the extent recoverable and are amortized on either a straight-line basis or as a level percent of premium of the related contracts depending on the block of business. Broker commissions, which vary with and are related to paid premiums, are expensed as incurred. The level of expenses is an important driver of profitability for this segment as group insurance contracts are offered within an environment that competes on the basis of price and service.

RESULTS OF OTHER OPERATIONS

Other Operations includes investments related to the excess capital in our insurance subsidiaries; investments in media properties and other corporate investments; benefit plan net assets; the unamortized deferred gain on indemnity reinsurance related to the sale of reinsurance to Swiss Re in 2001; the results of certain disability income business due to the rescission of a reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re; the Institutional Pension business, which is a closed-block of pension business, the majority of which was sold on a group annuity basis, and is currently in run-off; and external debt. We are actively managing our remaining radio station clusters to maximize performance and future value.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

Loss from Operations

Details underlying the results for Other Operations (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Operating Revenues						
Insurance premiums	\$4	\$4	\$3	0	% 33	%
Net investment income	307	358	372	-14	% -4	%
Amortization of deferred gain on business						
sold through reinsurance	73	74	74	-1	% 0	%
Media revenues (net)	68	85	107	-20	% -21	%
Other revenues and fees	15	13	22	15	% -41	%
Total operating revenues	467	534	578	-13	% -8	%
Operating Expenses						
Interest credited	148	171	185	-13	% -8	%
Benefits	258	113	146	128	% -23	%
Media expenses	53	60	56	-12	% 7	%
Other expenses	127	181	197	-30	% -8	%
Interest and debt expense	261	281	284	-7	% -1	%
Total operating expenses	847	806	868	5	% -7	%
Loss from operations before taxes	(380)	(272)	(290)	-40	% 6	%
Federal income tax benefit	(143)	(89)	(116)	-61	% 23	%
Loss from operations	\$(237)	\$(183)	\$(174)	-30	% -5	%

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

Loss from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- The \$64 million unfavorable impact in the first quarter of 2009 of the rescission of the reinsurance agreement on certain disability income business sold to Swiss Re as discussed in “Reinsurance” below, which resulted in pre-tax increases in benefits of \$78 million, interest credited of \$15 million and other expenses of \$5 million, partially offset by a \$34 million tax benefit, and unfavorable results of our run-off disability income business due primarily to an increase in reserves as a result of our review of the adequacy of reserves supporting this business and the write-off of certain receivables related to the rescission in the fourth quarter of 2009 of \$33 million;
- Lower net investment income related to our short-term liquidity strategy during the recent volatile markets that has reduced our portfolio yield and lower dividend income from our holdings of Bank of America common stock due to

dividend rate cuts, partially offset by higher invested assets driven by distributable earnings received from our insurance segments, issuances of common stock, preferred stock and debt, and proceeds from the sale of Lincoln UK, partially offset by transfers to other segments for OTTI; and

- Lower media earnings related primarily to the general weakening of the U.S. economy causing substantial declines in revenues throughout the radio market.

The increase in loss from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower other expenses attributable primarily to higher merger-related expenses in 2008 as a result of higher system integration work related to our administrative systems and relocation costs associated with the move of our corporate office, favorable state income tax true-ups in 2009 and lower branding expenses in 2009 due to cost save initiatives, partially offset by restructuring charges of \$22 million in 2009 related to expense reduction initiatives that are discussed further below;
- Lower interest and debt expenses as a result of a decline in interest rates that affect our variable rate borrowings and lower average balances of outstanding debt in 2009; and
- More favorable tax items that impacted the effective tax rate related primarily to changes in tax preferred investments.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

Loss from operations for this segment increased due primarily to the following:

- Lower media earnings related primarily to declines in discretionary business spending, such as advertising, caused by the general weakening of the U.S. economy in 2008 causing the media market revenues to decline faster than expected;
- Lower net investment income from a reduction in invested assets driven by transfers to other segments for OTTI, share repurchases and dividends paid to stockholders as these items exceeded the distributable earnings received from our insurance segments, dividends received from our other segments and issuances of debt; and
- Less favorable tax items that impacted the effective tax rate related primarily to changes in tax preferred investments.

The increase in loss from operations was partially offset by the following:

- Lower other expenses due primarily to higher merger-related expenses as a result of higher system integration work related to our administrative systems, a separation benefit related to the retirement of a key executive and a net expense related to changes in our employee benefit plans in 2007, partially offset by restructuring charges associated with expense initiatives, relocation costs associated with the move of our corporate office and increases in litigation expense and incentive compensation expense in 2008; and
- Lower benefits due to unfavorable mortality in our Institutional Pension business in 2007.

Additional Information

We expect a lower loss from operations for Other Operations in 2010 than was experienced in 2009. The expected decrease is attributable primarily to the following:

- Lower expenses attributable to the completion of our expense reduction initiatives in 2009, partially offset by expected increases in branding costs, investments in strategic initiatives and higher allocated overhead costs during 2010, as the disposal of our Lincoln UK and Investment Management businesses will result in a reallocation of overhead expenses to our remaining businesses (see “Acquisitions and Dispositions” for additional details);
- Higher investment income from an increase in the distributable earnings that will be received from our insurance segments due to expected less challenging economic conditions; and
- The unfavorable impact of the rescission in 2009 of the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re for disability income business that we do not expect to recur.

The inclusion of run-off disability income business results within Other Operations due to the rescission of the Swiss Re reinsurance agreement mentioned above may create volatility in earnings going forward. As part of our transition

plan related to the rescission, we conducted a study during the fourth quarter of 2009 to determine the adequacy of the reserves related to this disability business, which resulted in a \$33 million charge to our earnings for an increase in reserves and a reduction of certain receivables that were deemed to be uncollectible.

Sustained market volatility and the challenging economic environment continue to put pressure on many industries and companies, including our own. After reviewing the impact of this difficult economy on our anticipated sales and business activities, we initiated actions in the fourth quarter of 2008 to streamline operations, reduce expenses and ensure that staffing levels were aligned with expected business activity. Additionally, we initiated a second expense reduction initiative in the second quarter of 2009, as discussed below. We focused on reducing the workforce, reducing capital spending and addressing corporate-wide discretionary spending.

As a result of shrinking revenues due to the impact of unfavorable equity markets on our asset management businesses and a reduction in sales volumes caused by the unfavorable economic environment, we launched further initiatives to reduce expenses, including a 12% workforce reduction that was substantially completed in the second quarter of 2009, that we believe will improve our capital position and preserve profits. The restructuring costs associated with these layoffs are included in other expenses within Other Operations. See Note 17 for additional information.

We provide information about this segment's operating revenue and operating expense line items, the period in which amounts are recognized, key drivers of changes and historical details underlying the line items and their associated drivers below.

Net Investment Income and Interest Credited

We utilize an internal formula to determine the amount of capital that is allocated to our business segments. Investment income on capital in excess of the calculated amounts is reported in Other Operations. If regulations require increases in our insurance segments' statutory reserves and surplus, the amount of capital retained by Other Operations would decrease and net investment income would be negatively impacted. In addition, as discussed below in "Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Alternative Sources of Liquidity," we maintain an inter-segment cash management program where certain subsidiaries can borrow from or lend money to the holding company to meet short-term borrowing needs. The inter-segment cash management program affects net investment income for Other Operations, as all inter-segment eliminations are reported within Other Operations.

Write-downs for OTTI decrease the recorded value of our invested assets owned by our business segments. These write-downs are not included in the income from operations of our operating segments. When impairment occurs, assets are transferred to the business segments' portfolios and will reduce the future net investment income for Other Operations, but should not have an impact on a consolidated basis unless the impairments are related to defaulted securities. Statutory reserve adjustments for our business segments can also cause allocations of invested assets between the affected segments and Other Operations.

The majority of our interest credited relates to our reinsurance operations sold to Swiss Re in 2001. A substantial amount of the business was sold through indemnity reinsurance transactions resulting in some of the business still flowing through our consolidated financial statements. The interest credited corresponds to investment income earnings on the assets we continue to hold for this business. There is no impact to income or loss in Other Operations or on a consolidated basis for these amounts because interest earned on the blocks that continue to be reinsured is passed through to Swiss Re in the form of interest credited.

Benefits

Benefits are recognized when incurred for Institutional Pension products and disability income business.

Other Expenses

Details underlying other expenses (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Other Expenses					
Merger-related expenses	\$17	\$52	\$104	-67	% -50
Restructuring charges for expense initiatives	34	8	-	NM	NM

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Branding	18	33	36	-45	%	-8	%
Retirement Income Security Ventures	9	11	9	-18	%	22	%
Taxes, licenses and fees	(16) 7	13		NM	-46	%
Net expenses related to changes in benefit plans	-	-	4		NM	-100	%
Other	65	70	31	-7	%	126	%
Total other expenses	\$127	\$181	\$197	-30	%	-8	%

Other in the table above includes expenses that are corporate in nature including charitable contributions, certain litigation reserves, amortization of media intangible assets with a definite life, other expenses not allocated to our business segments and inter-segment expense eliminations.

Merger-related expenses were the result of actions undertaken by us to eliminate duplicate operations and functions as a result of the Jefferson-Pilot merger along with costs related to the implementation of our new unified product portfolio and other initiatives. These actions were substantially completed during 2009. Our cumulative integration expense was approximately \$225 million, pre-tax, which excludes amounts capitalized or recorded as goodwill.

Starting in December 2008, we implemented a restructuring plan in response to the current economic downturn and sustained market volatility, which focused on reducing expenses. The expenses associated with this initiative are reported in restructuring charges for expense initiatives above. Our cumulative pre-tax charges amounted to \$42 million for severance, benefits and related costs associated with the plan for workforce reduction and other restructuring actions.

Interest and Debt Expense

Our current level of interest expense may not be indicative of the future due to, among other things, the timing of the use of cash, the availability of funds from our inter-company cash management program and the future cost of capital. For additional information on our financing activities, see “Review of Consolidated Financial Condition – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources of Liquidity and Cash Flow – Financing Activities” below.

REALIZED LOSS

Details underlying realized loss, after-DAC (1) (in millions) were as follows:

Pre-Tax	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Operating realized gain:						
Indexed annuity net derivatives results	\$-	\$-	\$2	NM	-100	%
GLB	54	38	6	42	%	NM
Total operating realized gain	54	38	8	42	%	NM
Realized loss related to certain investments	(572)	(1,040)	(127)	45	%	NM
Gain on certain reinsurance derivative/ trading securities	70	3	2	NM	50	%
GLB net derivatives results	(502)	399	(48)	NM		NM
GDB derivatives results	(201)	58	-	NM		NM
Indexed annuity forward-starting option	4	7	(10)	-43	%	170 %
Gain on sale of subsidiaries/businesses	1	-	-	NM		NM
Total excluded realized loss	(1,200)	(573)	(183)	NM		NM
Total realized loss	\$(1,146)	\$(535)	\$(175)	NM		NM

After-Tax	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	
Operating realized gain:						
Indexed annuity net derivatives results	\$-	\$-	\$1	NM	-100	%
GLB	35	25	4	40	%	NM
Total operating realized gain	35	25	5	40	%	NM
Realized loss related to certain investments	(372)	(676)	(83)	45	%	NM
Gain on certain reinsurance derivative/ trading securities	46	2	1	NM	100	%
GLB net derivatives results	(326)	258	(31)	NM		NM
GDB derivative results	(131)	38	-	NM		NM
Indexed annuity forward-starting option	2	5	(7)	-60	%	171 %
Gain on sale of subsidiaries/businesses	1	-	-	NM		NM
Total excluded realized loss	(780)	(373)	(120)	NM		NM
Total realized loss	\$(745)	\$(348)	\$(115)	NM		NM

(1)DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL and changes in other contract holder funds and funds withheld reinsurance liabilities.

For factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in this section, see “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” and “Forward-Looking Statements – Cautionary Language” above.

For information on our counterparty exposure see “Part II – Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.”

Comparison of 2009 to 2008

GLB net derivatives results declined due primarily to the NPR component of the liability being unfavorable in 2009 attributable to a narrowing of credit spreads and a reduction in the overall level of the liability before the application of the NPR to the discount rate. See “GLB Net Derivatives Results” below for a discussion of how our NPR adjustment is determined. This decline was partially offset by significantly more favorable GLB hedge program performance in 2009 relative to 2008. In 2008, the result was largely driven by extremely volatile capital markets. At the end of the second quarter of 2009, we made a strategic decision to reduce our interest rate coverage as we prepared for the adoption of VACARVM, which was effective for statutory accounting on December 31, 2009. The reduced coverage on rates resulted in favorable performance for the second half of 2009; however, this strategic risk position was mitigated on December 31, 2009.

The 2009 unfavorable prospective unlocking for assumption changes related primarily to modifying the valuation of variable annuity products that have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves and modifying our fund assumptions with regard to our hedged indices. The 2008 favorable unlocking for assumption changes related primarily to assumptions associated with the GLB reserves reflecting primarily updates to implied ultimate volatility.

The 2008 unfavorable prospective DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL unlocking related to the GLB reserves reflecting the impact of incorporating the change in EGPs resulting from the change in assumptions for the reserves discussed above into the DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL models.

The unfavorable decline in GDB derivative results was attributable primarily to the favorable equity markets in 2009 as compared to unfavorable in 2008.

The decline in the realized loss related to certain investments was attributable primarily to the lower OTTI. This is due to general improvement in the credit markets and the change in the accounting for impairments under the Investments – Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the FASB ASC that is effective for impairments recorded after January 1, 2009. For a further explanation of this change, see Note 2. For more information on realized losses on certain investments, see “Consolidated Investments – Realized Loss Related to Investments” below.

The gain on certain reinsurance derivative/trading securities in 2009 was due primarily to the rescission of the Swiss Re indemnity reinsurance agreement covering certain disability income business, whereby we released the embedded derivative liability related to the funds withheld nature of the reinsurance agreement. Prior to the rescission of the Swiss Re indemnity reinsurance agreement, the fluctuations in the fair value of the trading securities mostly offset the fair value fluctuations in the embedded derivative of the reinsurance agreement with the net difference reported as a realized gain or loss. The release of this embedded derivative liability increased net income by approximately \$31 million in the first quarter of 2009. Since the rescission, this line item is impacted by market conditions as we now have trading securities that are no longer supporting an embedded derivative liability due to the rescission causing us to release that liability. Consequently, we may experience more volatility in the fluctuation of this component of realized gain or loss in the future. During 2009, the value of these trading securities increased due to changes in interest rates. For more information, see “Reinsurance” below and Note 9.

Comparison of 2008 to 2007

The favorable GLB net derivatives results in 2008 were attributable to the following:

- The inclusion in 2008 of an NPR adjustment as a result of the adoption of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC and its component of determining the fair value of the embedded derivative

liability due primarily to our widening credit spreads;

- Hedge program effectiveness; and
- Favorable unlocking.

In 2008, our hedge was generally effective, excluding the effects of unlocking and the NPR adjustment, with changes in reserves largely offset by the increase in market value of the hedge assets. There were several largely offsetting factors, both favorable and unfavorable, that led to this result. Significant unfavorable items included: poor underlying fund performance relative to the hedge instruments used; volatile capital market conditions that resulted in non-linear changes in reserves that our derivatives are not specifically designed to mitigate; and losses from the strengthening of the dollar as compared to the euro, pound and yen. A large portion of these unfavorable results in 2008 was attributable to overall market performance during October 2008 and four specific days in September on which capital markets were extremely volatile, including the first market day after the Lehman bankruptcy and the day Congress originally failed to pass the original EESA legislation. Significant favorable items included movements in swap spreads and a change in the characteristics of certain GIB features that resulted in lower liabilities than had been assumed in establishing our hedge positions. As account values declined, the characteristics of certain GIB features shifted towards benefit reserves as opposed to embedded derivative reserves.

The 2008 favorable GLB change in reserves hedged related primarily to assumption changes that reflected updates to implied volatility assumptions, which, overall, reduced the fair value of the embedded derivatives. The 2007 unfavorable GLB change in reserves hedged related primarily to assumption changes that reflected improved persistency experience, which increased future expected claims leading to an increase in liabilities.

The 2008 unfavorable GLB DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL prospective unlocking was due primarily to the impact on the DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL models of the aforementioned assumption changes made in calculating the reserves hedged and the continued significantly unfavorable equity markets.

During 2008, the change in fair value of GDB derivatives was favorable due to certain favorable movements in swap spreads and implied volatilities, partially offset by fund underperformance of our hedges, losses from the strengthening of the dollar as compared to the euro, pound and yen, and volatile capital market conditions.

For a discussion of the increase in realized losses on certain investments see “Consolidated Investments – Realized Loss Related to Investments” below.

Operating Realized Gain

Details underlying operating realized gain (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Indexed Annuity Net Derivatives Results					
Change in fair value of S&P 500 call options	\$(82)	\$203	\$(1)	NM	NM
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives	84	(204)	6	141 %	NM
Associated amortization expense of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	(2)	1	(3)	NM	133 %
Total indexed annuity net derivatives results	-	-	2	NM	-100 %
GLB					
Pre-DAC (1) amount	70	69	15	1 %	NM
Associated amortization expense of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL:					
Retrospective unlocking (2)	20	12	-	67 %	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	(36)	(43)	(9)	16 %	NM
Total GLB	54	38	6	42 %	NM

Total Operating Realized Gain	\$54	\$38	\$8	42	%	NM
-------------------------------	------	------	-----	----	---	----

(1) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

(2) Related primarily to the emergence of gross profits.

112

Operating realized gain includes the following:

Indexed Annuity Net Derivative Results

Indexed annuity net derivatives results represent the net difference between the change in the fair value of the S&P 500 call options that we hold and the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities of our indexed annuity products. The change in the fair value of the liability for the embedded derivative represents the amount that is credited to the indexed annuity contract.

GLB

Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® features have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. For our GLBs that meet the definition of an embedded derivative under the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the FASB ASC, we record them at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in realized loss on our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). In bifurcating the embedded derivative, we attribute to the embedded derivative the portion of total fees collected from the contract holder that relates to the GLB riders (the “attributed fees”). These attributed fees represent the present value of future claims expected to be paid for the GLB at the inception of the contract (the “net valuation premium”) plus a margin that a theoretical market participant would include for risk/profit (the “risk/profit margin”).

Our methodology for calculating the NPR component of the embedded derivative reserve utilizes an extrapolated 30-year NPR spread curve applied to a series of expected cash flows over the expected life of the embedded derivative. Our cash flows consist of both expected fees to be received from contract holders and benefits to be paid, and these cash flows are different on a pre- and post- NPR basis are different. The difference in the cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2009, resulted in an increase to the GLB reserve liability in excess of the liability that we hedge. We utilize a model based on our holding company’s CDS spreads adjusted for items, such as the liquidity of our holding company CDS. Because the guaranteed benefit liabilities are contained within our insurance subsidiaries, we apply items, such as the impact of our insurance subsidiaries’ claims-paying ratings compared to holding company credit risk and the over-collateralization of insurance liabilities, in order to determine factors that are representative of a theoretical market participant’s view of the NPR of the specific liability within our insurance subsidiaries. Details underlying the NPR component and associated impact to our GLB embedded derivative reserves (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	As of December 31, 2009		As of September 30, 2009		As of June 30, 2009		As of March 31, 2009 (1)		As of December 31, 2008	
10-year CDS spread	1.68	%	2.49	%	5.52	%	23.25	%	6.34	%
NPR factor related to 10-year CDS spread	0.08	%	0.20	%	0.82	%	1.49	%	1.23	%
Unadjusted embedded derivative liability	\$643		\$1,014		\$1,197		\$3,064		\$3,416	

(1) We experienced significant widening of our CDS spreads during the first quarter of 2009. We compared our CDS spreads to those of our peer companies with similar holding company ratings and determined that our company specific spreads were significantly wider due to the market’s concerns over our holding company liquidity. As a result, we reduced the spreads used in the calculation of our NPR factors to be in line with our peers. Therefore, the starting point for our spreads was reduced over the entire term structure with the 10-year at 8.45%.

The \$546 million change in the NPR component of the liability from December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2009, was attributable primarily to change in the NPR factors and the decrease in the unadjusted embedded derivative liability. Estimating what the absolute amount of the NPR effect will be period to period is difficult due to the utilization of all cash flows and the shape of the spread curve. For 2009, the spread curve flattened significantly. Currently, we estimate that if the NPR factors as of December 31, 2009, were to have been zero along all points on the spread curve, then the NPR offset to the unadjusted liability would have resulted in an unfavorable impact to net income of \$29 million, pre-DAC* and tax. Alternatively, if the NPR factors were 20 basis points higher along all points on the spread curve as of December 31, 2009, then there would have been a favorable impact to net income of \$48 million, pre-DAC* and tax. Changing market conditions could cause this relationship to deviate significantly in future periods. Sensitivity within this range is primarily a result of volatility in our CDS spreads and the slope of the CDS spread term structure.

* DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

We include the risk/profit margin portion of the GLB attributed rider fees in operating realized gain and include the net valuation premium of the GLB attributed rider fees in excluded realized loss. For our Retirement Solutions – Annuities and Retirement Solutions – Defined Contribution segments, the excess of total fees collected from the contract holders over the GLB attributed rider fees is reported in insurance fees.

Realized Loss Related to Certain Investments

See “Consolidated Investments – Realized Loss Related to Investments” below.

Gain on Certain Reinsurance Derivative/Trading Securities

Gain on certain reinsurance derivative/trading securities represents changes in the fair values of total return swaps (embedded derivatives) theoretically included in our various modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangements that have contractual returns related to various assets and liabilities associated with these arrangements and changes in the fair value of trading securities, which in certain cases support these arrangements.

GLB Net Derivatives Results and GDB Derivatives Results

Details underlying GLB net derivatives results and GDB derivative results (in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
GLB Net Derivatives Results					
Net valuation premium, net of reinsurance	\$115	\$80	\$51	44	% 57
Change in reserves hedged:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	(258)	164	(6)	NM	NM
Prospective unlocking - model refinements	(9)	-	8	NM	-100 %
Other	3,064	(3,365)	(305)	191	% NM
Change in market value of derivative assets	(2,934)	3,377	167	NM	NM
Hedge program effectiveness					
(ineffectiveness)	(137)	176	(136)	NM	229 %
Change in reserves not hedged (NPR component)					
	(546)	536	-	NM	NM
Change in derivative assets not hedged (NPR component)	15	(20)	-	175	% NM
Associated amortization expense of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	-	(46)	-	100	% NM
Retrospective unlocking (1)	(176)	252	(13)	NM	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	227	(546)	50	142	% NM
Loss from the initial adoption of new accounting guidance, after-DAC (2) (3)					
	-	(33)	-	100	% NM
Total GLB net derivatives results	\$(502)	\$399	\$(48)	NM	NM
GDB Derivatives Results					
Change in fair value of derivatives	(226)	75	(2)	NM	NM
Associated amortization expense of DAC,					

VOBA, DSI and DFEL:

Retrospective unlocking (1)	(93)	25	-	NM	NM
Amortization, excluding unlocking	118	(42)	2	NM	NM
Total GDB derivatives results	\$(201)	\$58	\$-	NM	NM

(1) Related primarily to the emergence of gross profits.

(2) This new accounting guidance was included in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC.

(3) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

GLB Net Derivatives Results

Our GLB net derivatives results are comprised of the net valuation premium, the change in the GLB embedded derivative reserves and the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments we own to hedge them, including the cost of purchasing the hedging instruments.

Our GWB, GIB and 4LATER® features have elements of both benefit reserves and embedded derivative reserves. We calculate the value of the embedded derivative reserve and the benefit reserve based on the specific characteristics of each GLB feature. We record the embedded derivative reserve on our GLBs at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We use derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to the risks and earnings volatility that result from changes in the GLB embedded derivatives reserves. The change in fair value of these derivative instruments is designed to generally offset the change in embedded derivative reserves. In the table above, we have presented the components of our GLB results, which can be volatile especially when sudden and significant changes in equity markets and/or interest rates occur. When we assess the effectiveness of our hedge program, we exclude the impact of the change in the component of the embedded derivative reserves related to the required NPR. We do not attempt to hedge the change in the NPR component of the liability. As of December 31, 2009, the net effect of the NPR resulted in a \$33 million increase in the liability for our GLB embedded derivative reserves. See above for information regarding the effect of the NPR on the GLB net derivatives results for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. For additional information on our guaranteed benefits, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Derivatives – Guaranteed Living Benefits” above.

GDB Derivatives Results

Our GDB derivatives results represent the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments we own to hedge the change in our benefit ratio unlocking, excluding our expected cost of the hedging instruments.

Indexed Annuity Forward-Starting Option

Details underlying indexed annuity forward-starting option (dollars in millions) were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Indexed Annuity Forward-Starting Option					
Pre-DAC (1) amounts:					
Prospective unlocking - assumption changes	\$-	\$-	\$1	NM	-100
Other	7	(7) (23) 200	% 70
Associated amortization expense of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL	(3) 4	12	NM	-67
Gain from the initial adoption of new accounting guidance, after-DAC (1) (2)	-	10	-	-100	% NM
Total	\$4	\$7	\$(10) -43	% 170

(1) This new accounting guidance was included in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC.

(2) DAC refers to the associated amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI and DFEL.

The liability for the forward-starting option reflects changes in the fair value of embedded derivative liabilities related to index call options we may purchase in the future to hedge contract holder index allocations applicable to future

reset periods for our indexed annuity products accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging and the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topics of the FASB ASC. These fair values represent an estimate of the cost of the options we will purchase in the future, discounted back to the date of the balance sheet, using current market indications of volatility and interest rates, which can vary significantly from period to period due to a number of factors and therefore can provide results that are not indicative of the underlying trends.

CONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

Details underlying our consolidated investment balances (in millions) were as follows:

	As of December 31,		Percentage of Total Investments			
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Investments						
AFS securities:						
Fixed maturity	\$60,818	\$48,141	80.1	%	72.3	%
Equity	278	254	0.4	%	0.4	%
Trading securities	2,505	2,333	3.3	%	3.5	%
Mortgage loans on real estate	7,178	7,715	9.5	%	11.6	%
Real estate	174	125	0.2	%	0.2	%
Policy loans	2,898	2,921	3.8	%	4.4	%
Derivative instruments	1,010	3,397	1.3	%	5.1	%
Alternative investments	696	776	0.9	%	1.2	%
Other investments	361	848	0.5	%	1.3	%
Total investments	\$75,918	\$66,510	100.0	%	100.0	%

Investment Objective

Invested assets are an integral part of our operations. We follow a balanced approach to investing for both current income and prudent risk management, with an emphasis on generating sufficient current income, net of income tax, to meet our obligations to customers, as well as other general liabilities. This balanced approach requires the evaluation of expected return and risk of each asset class utilized, while still meeting our income objectives. This approach is important to our asset-liability management because decisions can be made based upon both the economic and current investment income considerations affecting assets and liabilities. For a discussion on our risk management process, see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

Investment Portfolio Composition and Diversification

Fundamental to our investment policy is diversification across asset classes. Our investment portfolio, excluding cash and invested cash, is composed of fixed maturity securities, mortgage loans on real estate, real estate (either wholly-owned or in joint ventures) and other long-term investments. We purchase investments for our segmented portfolios that have yield, duration and other characteristics that take into account the liabilities of the products being supported.

We have the ability to maintain our investment holdings throughout credit cycles because of our capital position, the long-term nature of our liabilities and the matching of our portfolios of investment assets with the liabilities of our various products.

Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities Portfolios

Fixed maturity securities and equity securities consist of portfolios classified as AFS and trading. Mortgage-backed and private securities are included in both AFS and trading portfolios.

Details underlying our fixed maturity and equity securities portfolios by industry classification (in millions) are presented in the below tables. These tables agree in total with the presentation of AFS securities in Note 5; however, the categories below represent a more detailed breakout of the AFS portfolio; therefore, the investment classifications listed below do not agree to the investment categories provided in Note 5.

	As of December 31, 2009					
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses and OTTI	Fair Value	% Fair Value	
Fixed Maturity AFS Securities						
Industry corporate bonds:						
Financial services	\$8,260	\$248	\$341	\$8,167	13.3	%
Basic industry	2,304	116	57	2,363	3.9	%
Capital goods	2,995	149	26	3,118	5.1	%
Communications	2,817	200	51	2,966	4.9	%
Consumer cyclical	2,589	141	66	2,664	4.4	%
Consumer non-cyclical	5,568	380	16	5,932	9.8	%
Energy	4,251	290	22	4,519	7.4	%
Technology	1,121	76	4	1,193	2.0	%
Transportation	1,224	85	15	1,294	2.1	%
Industrial other	709	35	11	733	1.2	%
Utilities	8,941	415	81	9,275	15.2	%
Corporate asset-backed securities ("ABS"):						
Collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") and credit-linked notes ("CLNs")						
Commercial real estate ("CRE") CDOs	735	11	296	450	0.7	%
Credit card	54	-	24	30	0.0	%
Home equity	265	9	9	265	0.4	%
Manufactured housing	1,099	1	428	672	1.1	%
Auto loan	122	1	11	112	0.2	%
Other	220	5	-	225	0.4	%
Other	230	12	3	239	0.4	%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"):						
Non-agency backed	2,436	49	354	2,131	3.5	%
Collateralized mortgage and other obligations ("CMOs"):						
Agency backed	4,494	252	23	4,723	7.8	%
Non-agency backed	1,697	5	454	1,248	2.1	%
Mortgage pass-throughs ("MPTS"):						
Agency backed	2,912	64	14	2,962	4.9	%
Non-agency backed	69	-	8	61	0.1	%
Municipals:						
Taxable	1,900	13	53	1,860	3.1	%
Tax-exempt	35	-	-	35	0.1	%
Government and government agencies:						
United States	963	85	14	1,034	1.7	%
Foreign	1,345	53	39	1,359	2.2	%
Hybrid and redeemable preferred stock	1,402	36	250	1,188	2.0	%
Total fixed maturity AFS securities	60,757	2,731	2,670	60,818	100.0	%

Edgar Filing: LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-K

Equity AFS Securities	382	21	125	278
Total AFS securities	61,139	2,752	2,795	61,096
Trading Securities (1)	2,342	243	80	2,505
Total AFS and trading securities	\$63,481	\$2,995	\$2,875	\$63,601

117

	As of December 31, 2008					% Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value		
Fixed Maturity AFS Securities						
Industry corporate bonds:						
Financial services	\$8,199	\$68	\$1,210	\$7,057	14.6	%
Basic industry	2,241	15	353	1,903	4.0	%
Capital goods	2,660	34	222	2,472	5.1	%
Communications	2,580	43	221	2,402	5.0	%
Consumer cyclical	2,865	33	459	2,439	5.1	%
Consumer non-cyclical	4,254	87	206	4,135	8.6	%
Energy	2,949	47	246	2,750	5.7	%
Technology	766	9	71	704	1.5	%
Transportation	1,219	20	119	1,120	2.3	%
Industrial other	715	16	37	694	1.4	%
Utilities	8,186	103	677	7,612	15.8	%
Corporate ABS:						
CDOs and CLNs	796	7	630	173	0.4	%
CRE CDOs	60	-	23	37	0.1	%
Credit card	165	-	73	92	0.2	%
Home equity	1,107	1	411	697	1.4	%
Manufactured housing	148	2	28	122	0.3	%
Other	196	1	18	179	0.4	%
CMBS:						
Non-agency backed	2,535	9	625	1,919	4.0	%
CMOs:						
Agency backed	5,068	180	29	5,219	10.8	%
Non-agency backed	1,996	1	746	1,251	2.6	%
MPTS:						
Agency backed	1,619	55	-	1,674	3.5	%
Non-agency backed	141	-	47	94	0.2	%
Municipals:						
Taxable	109	2	1	110	0.2	%
Tax-exempt	3	-	-	3	0.0	%
Government and government agencies:						
United States	1,148	166	25	1,289	2.7	%
Foreign	1,093	72	133	1,032	2.1	%
Redeemable preferred stock	1,563	6	607	962	2.0	%
Total fixed maturity AFS securities	54,381	977	7,217	48,141	100.0	%
Equity AFS Securities	428	9	183	254		
Total AFS securities	54,809	986	7,400	48,395		
Trading Securities (1)	2,306	256	229	2,333		
Total AFS and trading securities	\$57,115	\$1,242	\$7,629	\$50,728		

(1) Certain of our trading securities support our modified coinsurance arrangements (“Modco”) and the investment results are passed directly to the reinsurers. Refer below to the “Trading Securities” section for further details.

AFS Securities

The general intent of the AFS accounting guidance is to reflect stockholders' equity as if unrealized gains and losses were actually recognized, and it is necessary that we consider all related accounting adjustments that would occur upon such a hypothetical recognition of unrealized gains and losses. Such related balance sheet effects include adjustments to the balances of DAC, VOBA, DFEL, other contract holder funds and deferred income taxes. Adjustments to each of these balances are charged or credited to accumulated OCI. For instance, DAC is adjusted upon the recognition of unrealized gains or losses because the amortization of DAC is based upon an assumed emergence of gross profits on certain insurance business. Deferred income tax balances are also adjusted because unrealized gains or losses do not affect actual taxes currently paid.

The quality of our AFS fixed maturity securities portfolio, as measured at estimated fair value and by the percentage of fixed maturity securities invested in various ratings categories, relative to the entire fixed maturity AFS security portfolio (in millions) was as follows:

NAIC Designation	Rating Agency Equivalent Designation	As of December 31, 2009			As of December 31, 2008		
		Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total
Investment Grade Securities							
1	Aaa / Aa / A	\$ 35,041	\$ 35,924	59.1 %	\$ 31,847	\$ 29,651	61.5 %
2	Baa	20,294	20,725	34.1 %	19,181	16,056	33.4 %
Total investment grade securities		55,335	56,649	93.2 %	51,028	45,707	94.9 %
Below Investment Grade Securities							
3	Ba	3,221	2,695	4.4 %	2,189	1,695	3.5 %
4	B	1,470	948	1.6 %	772	516	1.1 %
5	Caa and lower	426	265	0.4 %	250	130	0.3 %
6	In or near default	305	261	0.4 %	142	93	0.2 %
Total below investment grade securities		5,422	4,169	6.8 %	3,353	2,434	5.1 %
Total fixed maturity AFS securities		\$ 60,757	\$ 60,818	100.0 %	\$ 54,381	\$ 48,141	100.0 %
Total securities below investment grade as a percentage of total fixed maturity AFS securities							
		8.9 %	6.8 %		6.2 %	5.1 %	

Comparisons between the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) ratings and rating agency designations are published by the NAIC. The NAIC assigns securities quality ratings and uniform valuations, which are used by insurers when preparing their annual statements. The NAIC ratings are similar to the rating agency designations of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations for marketable bonds. NAIC ratings 1 and 2 include bonds generally considered investment grade (rated Baa3 or higher by Moody’s, or rated BBB- or higher by S&P and Fitch), by such ratings organizations. However, securities rated NAIC 1 and NAIC 2 could be below investment grade by the rating agencies, which is a result of the changes in the RBC rules for RMBS securities that were effective December 31, 2009, for statutory reporting. NAIC ratings 3 through 6 include bonds generally considered below investment grade (rated Ba1 or lower by Moody’s, or rated BB+ or lower by S&P and Fitch).

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, 80.3% and 92.3%, respectively, of the total publicly traded and private securities in an unrealized loss status were rated as investment grade. See Note 5 for maturity date information for our fixed maturity investment portfolio. Our gross unrealized losses on AFS securities decreased \$4.6 billion in 2009, which was attributable primarily to increased liquidity in several market segments and improved credit fundamentals, partially offset by, the cumulative adjustment of the recognition of OTTI, which resulted in the \$165 million increase in amortized cost in AFS securities as discussed in Note 2. As more fully described in Note 5, we regularly review our investment holdings for OTTI. We believe the unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2009, does not represent OTTI as we do not intend to sell these debt securities, it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, the estimated future cash flows are equal to or greater than the amortized cost basis of the debt securities, or we have the ability and intent to hold the equity securities for a period of time sufficient for recovery. For further information on our AFS securities unrealized losses, see “Additional Details on our Unrealized Losses on AFS Securities” below.

The estimated fair value for all private securities was \$8.0 billion and \$7.1 billion as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing approximately 11% of total invested assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Trading Securities

Trading securities, which in certain cases support reinsurance funds withheld and our Modco reinsurance agreements, are carried at estimated fair value and changes in estimated fair value are recorded in net income as they occur. Investment results for these certain portfolios, including gains and losses from sales, are passed directly to the reinsurers through the contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangements. Offsetting these amounts in certain cases are corresponding changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liability associated with the underlying reinsurance arrangement. See Notes 1 and 9 for more information regarding our accounting for Modco.

Mortgage-Backed Securities (“MBS”) (Included in AFS and Trading Securities)

Our fixed maturity securities include MBS. These securities are subject to risks associated with variable prepayments. This may result in differences between the actual cash flow and maturity of these securities than that expected at the time of purchase. Securities that have an amortized cost greater than par and are backed by mortgages that prepay faster than expected will incur a reduction in yield or a loss. Those securities with an amortized cost lower than par that prepay faster than expected will generate an increase in yield or a gain. In addition, we may incur reinvestment risks if market yields are lower than the book yields earned on the securities. Prepayments occurring slower than expected have the opposite impact. We may incur reinvestment risks if market yields are higher than the book yields earned on the securities and we are forced to sell the securities. The degree to which a security is susceptible to either gains or losses is influenced by: the difference between its amortized cost and par; the relative sensitivity of the underlying mortgages backing the assets to prepayment in a changing interest rate environment; and the repayment priority of the securities in the overall securitization structure.

We limit the extent of our risk on MBS by prudently limiting exposure to the asset class, by generally avoiding the purchase of securities with a cost that significantly exceeds par, by purchasing securities backed by stable collateral and by concentrating on securities with enhanced priority in their trust structure. Such securities with reduced risk typically have a lower yield (but higher liquidity) than higher-risk MBS. At selected times, higher-risk securities may be purchased if they do not compromise the safety of the general portfolio. As of December 31, 2009, we did not have a significant amount of higher-risk, trust structured MBS. A significant amount of assets in our MBS portfolio are either guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises or are supported in the securitization structure by junior securities enabling the assets to achieve high investment grade status.

Our exposure to subprime mortgage lending is limited to investments in banks and other financial institutions that may be impacted by subprime lending and direct investments in ABS CDOs, ABS and residential mortgage-backed securities (“RMBS”). Mortgage-related ABS are backed by home equity loans and RMBS are backed by residential mortgages. These securities are backed by loans that are characterized by borrowers of differing levels of creditworthiness: prime, Alt-A and subprime. Prime lending is the origination of residential mortgage loans to customers with excellent credit profiles. Alt-A lending is the origination of residential mortgage loans to customers who have prime credit profiles but lack documentation to substantiate income. Subprime lending is the origination of loans to customers with weak or impaired credit profiles.

The slowing U.S. housing market, increased interest rates for non-prime borrowers and relaxed underwriting standards over the last several years have led to higher delinquency rates for residential mortgage loans and home equity loans. We expect delinquency rates and loss rates on residential mortgages and home equity loans to increase in the future; however, we continue to expect to receive payments in accordance with contractual terms for a significant amount of our securities, largely due to the seniority of the claims on the collateral of the securities that we own. The tranches of the securities will experience losses according to their seniority level with the least senior (or most junior), typically the unrated residual tranche, taking the initial loss. The credit ratings of our securities reflect the seniority of the securities that we own. Our RMBS had a market value of \$9.9 billion and an unrealized loss of

\$620 million, or 6%, as of December 31, 2009. The unrealized loss was due primarily to deteriorating fundamentals and a general level of illiquidity in the market resulting in price declines in many structured products.

The market value of AFS securities and trading securities backed by subprime loans was \$435 million and represented less than 1% of our total investment portfolio as of December 31, 2009. AFS securities represent \$423 million, or 97%, and trading securities represent \$12 million, or 3%, of the subprime exposure as of December 31, 2009. AFS securities and trading securities rated A or above represented 63% of the subprime investments and \$213 million in market value of our subprime investments was backed by loans originating in 2005 and forward. The tables below summarize our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of residential mortgages (in millions):

Type	Fair Value as of December 31, 2009				
	Prime Agency	Prime/ Non-Agency	Alt-A	Subprime	Total
CMOs and MPTS	\$7,612	\$907	\$475	\$-	\$8,994
ABS home equity	-	-	249	423	672
Total by type (1)	\$7,612	\$907	\$724	\$423	\$9,666
Rating					
AAA	\$7,590	\$290	\$161	\$197	\$8,238
AA	5	36	121	24	186
A	17	44	49	43	153
BBB	-	34	16	32	82
BB and below	-	503	377	127	1,007
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$7,612	\$907	\$724	\$423	\$9,666
Origination Year					
2004 and prior	\$2,874	\$305	\$279	\$214	\$3,672
2005	902	176	210	156	1,444
2006	319	146	191	51	707
2007	1,273	280	44	-	1,597
2008	360	-	-	-	360
2009	1,884	-	-	2	1,886
Total by origination year (1)	\$7,612	\$907	\$724	\$423	\$9,666
Total AFS securities					\$61,096
Total AFS RMBS as a percentage of				15.8	%

total AFS
securities

Total Prime/non-agency,
Alt-A and subprime as a
percentage of total AFS
securities

3.4 %

- (1) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$220 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$220 million in trading securities consisted of \$192 million prime, \$16 million Alt-A and \$12 million subprime.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch Ratings, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

Type	Amortized Cost as of December 31, 2009					
	Prime Agency	Prime/Non-Agency	Alt-A	Subprime	Total	
CMOs and MPTS	\$7,313	\$1,211	\$648	\$-	\$9,172	
ABS home equity	-	-	388	711	1,099	
Total by type (1)	\$7,313	\$1,211	\$1,036	\$711	\$10,271	
Rating						
AAA	\$7,291	\$316	\$185	\$236	\$8,028	
AA	5	49	155	33	242	
A	16	50	66	75	207	
BBB	-	49	30	57	136	
BB and below	1	747	600	310	1,658	
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$7,313	\$1,211	\$1,036	\$711	\$10,271	
Origination Year						
2004 and prior	\$2,725	\$353	\$355	\$305	\$3,738	
2005	861	246	292	251	1,650	
2006	304	195	307	150	956	
2007	1,190	417	82	-	1,689	
2008	341	-	-	-	341	
2009	1,892	-	-	5	1,897	
Total by origination year (1)	\$7,313	\$1,211	\$1,036	\$711	\$10,271	
Total AFS securities					\$61,139	
Total AFS RMBS as a percentage of total AFS securities					16.8	%
Total Prime/non-agency, Alt-A and subprime as a percentage of total AFS securities					4.8	%

- (1) Does not include the amortized cost of trading securities totaling \$235 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$235 million in trading securities consisted of \$196 million prime, \$22 million Alt-A and \$17 million subprime.
- (2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch Ratings, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

None of these investments include any direct investments in subprime lenders or mortgages. We are not aware of material exposure to subprime loans in our alternative asset portfolio.

The following summarizes our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of consumer loan asset-backed securities (in millions):

Rating	Credit Card (1)		As of December 31, 2009 Auto Loans		Total	
	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost
AAA	\$239	\$239	\$225	\$220	\$464	\$459
BBB	26	26	-	-	26	26
Total by rating (1)(2)(3)	\$265	\$265	\$225	\$220	\$490	\$485
Total AFS securities					\$61,096	\$61,139
Total by rating as a percentage of total AFS securities					0.8	% 0.8

(1) Additional indirect credit card exposure through structured securities is excluded from this table. See “Credit-Linked Notes” in Note 5.

(2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch Ratings, Moody’s and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

(3) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$2 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$2 million in trading securities consisted of credit card securities.

The following summarizes our investments in AFS securities backed by pools of commercial mortgages (in millions):

Type	As of December 31, 2009							
	Multiple Property Fair Value	Property Amortized Cost	Single Property Fair Value	Property Amortized Cost	CRE CDOs Fair Value	CRE CDOs Amortized Cost	Total Fair Value	Total Amortized Cost
CMBS	\$2,047	\$ 2,301	\$84	\$ 135	\$-	\$ -	\$2,131	\$ 2,436
CRE CDOs	-	-	-	-	30	54	30	54
Total by type (1)	\$2,047	\$ 2,301	\$84	\$ 135	\$30	\$ 54	\$2,161	\$ 2,490
Rating								
AAA	\$1,474	\$ 1,440	\$49	\$ 50	\$9	\$ 15	\$1,532	\$ 1,505
AA	285	319	7	10	-	-	292	329
A	122	189	9	13	19	36	150	238
BBB	100	130	7	20	2	3	109	153
BB and below	66	223	12	42	-	-	78	265
Total by rating (1)(2)	\$2,047	\$ 2,301	\$84	\$ 135	\$30	\$ 54	\$2,161	\$ 2,490
Origination Year								
2004 and prior	\$1,382	\$ 1,469	\$63	\$ 67	\$13	\$ 16	\$1,458	\$ 1,552
2005	379	432	20	60	9	15	408	507
2006	150	230	1	8	8	23	159	261
2007	136	170	-	-	-	-	136	170
Total by origination year (1)	\$2,047	\$ 2,301	\$84	\$ 135	\$30	\$ 54	\$2,161	\$ 2,490
Total AFS securities							\$61,096	\$ 61,139
Total AFS CMBS as a percentage of total AFS securities							3.5 %	4.1 %

(1) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$83 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$83 million in trading securities consisted of \$81 million CMBS and \$2 million CRE CDOs.

(2) For the table above, credit ratings shown in the document are based on ratings provided by the major credit rating agencies (Fitch Ratings, Moody's and S&P) or are based on internal ratings for those securities where external

ratings are not available. For securities where the ratings assigned by the major rating agencies are not equivalent, the second highest of the three ratings assigned is used.

Monoline insurers provide guarantees on debt for issuers, often in the form of credit wraps, which enhance the credit of the issuer. Monoline insurers guarantee the timely repayment of bond principal and interest when a bond issuer defaults and generally provide credit enhancement for bond issues such as municipal bonds and private placements as well as other types and structures of securities. Our direct exposure represents our bond holdings of the actual Monoline insurers. Our insured bonds represent our holdings in bonds of other issuers that are insured by Monoline insurers.

The following summarizes our exposure to Monoline insurers (in millions):

Monoline Name	As of December 31, 2009						
	Direct Exposure (1)	Insured Bonds (2)	Total Amortized Cost	Total Unrealized Gain	Total Unrealized Loss and OTTI	Total Fair Value	
AMBAC	\$-	\$258	\$258	\$1	\$67	\$192	
ASSURED GUARANTY LTD	31	-	31	-	11	20	
FGIC	-	88	88	-	33	55	
FSA	-	62	62	-	4	58	
MBIA	11	157	168	10	28	150	
MGIC	12	6	18	-	3	15	
PMI GROUP INC	27	-	27	-	14	13	
RADIAN GROUP INC	20	-	20	-	7	13	
XL CAPITAL LTD	72	63	135	23	8	150	
Total by Monoline insurer (3)	\$173	\$634	\$807	\$34	\$175	\$666	
Total AFS securities			\$61,139	\$2,752	\$2,795	\$61,096	
Total by Monoline insurer as a percentage of total AFS securities			1.3	% 1.2	% 6.3	% 1.1	%

(1) Additional direct exposure through credit default swaps with a notional value totaling \$98 million is excluded from this table.

(2) Additional indirect insured exposure through structured securities is excluded from this table. See "Credit-Linked Notes" in Note 5.

(3) Does not include the fair value of trading securities totaling \$32 million, which support our Modco reinsurance agreements because investment results for these agreements are passed directly to the reinsurers. The \$32 million in trading securities consisted of \$12 million of direct exposure and \$20 million of insured exposure. This table also excludes insured exposure totaling \$13 million for a guaranteed investment tax credit partnership.

Credit-Linked Notes

See "Credit-Linked Notes" section in Note 5.

Additional Details on our Unrealized Losses on AFS Securities

When considering unrealized gain and loss information, it is important to recognize that the information relates to the status of securities at a particular point in time and may not be indicative of the status of our investment portfolios subsequent to the balance sheet date. Further, because the timing of the recognition of realized investment gains and losses through the selection of which securities are sold is largely at management's discretion, it is important to consider the information provided below within the context of the overall unrealized gain or loss position of our investment portfolios. These are important considerations that should be included in any evaluation of the potential impact of unrealized loss securities on our future earnings.

We have no concentrations of issuers or guarantors of fixed maturity and equity securities. The composition by industry categories of securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring for potential changes in unrealized loss status (in millions) for our securities that we believe were most at risk of impairment, was as follows:

	As of December 31, 2009								
	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI			
CMOs	\$175	36.8	% \$280	37.3	% \$105	38.1	%		
ABS	31	6.5	% 91	12.1	% 60	21.8	%		
Banking	98	20.6	% 137	18.2	% 39	14.2	%		
Property and casualty insurers	42	8.8	% 70	9.3	% 28	10.2	%		
CMBS	3	0.6	% 30	4.0	% 27	9.8	%		
Non-captive diversified	57	12.0	% 63	8.4	% 6	2.2	%		
Non-agency	1	0.2	% 4	0.5	% 3	1.1	%		
Financial - other	29	6.1	% 31	4.1	% 2	0.7	%		
Industrial - other	4	0.8	% 6	0.8	% 2	0.7	%		
Gaming	21	4.4	% 22	2.9	% 1	0.4	%		
Airlines	2	0.4	% 3	0.4	% 1	0.4	%		
Electric	2	0.4	% 3	0.4	% 1	0.4	%		
Retailers	1	0.2	% 1	0.1	% -	0.0	%		
Refining	5	1.0	% 5	0.7	% -	0.0	%		
Chemicals	3	0.6	% 3	0.4	% -	0.0	%		
Real estate investment trusts	1	0.2	% 1	0.1	% -	0.0	%		
Lodging	2	0.4	% 2	0.3	% -	0.0	%		
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring	\$477	100.0	% \$752	100.0	% \$275	100.0	%		
Total AFS securities	\$61,096		\$61,139		\$2,795				
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring as a percentage of total AFS securities	0.8	%	1.2	%	9.8	%			

In addition, as discussed in Note 1, we perform detailed analysis of our AFS securities, including those presented above as well as other AFS securities. For selected information on these AFS securities in a gross unrealized loss position backed by pools, as of December 31, 2009, see Note 5.

As of December 31, 2008

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
Non-captive diversified	\$83	30.6 %	\$140	31.4 %	\$57	32.4 %
Automotive	34	12.6 %	70	15.7 %	36	20.5 %
Gaming	10	3.7 %	43	9.7 %	33	18.8 %
Property and casualty	27	10.0 %	51	11.4 %	24	13.5 %
Non-captive consumer	10	3.7 %	20	4.5 %	10	5.7 %
ABS	9	3.4 %	16	3.7 %	7	4.0 %
Entertainment	56	20.8 %	59	13.2 %	3	1.7 %
Refining	2	0.7 %	5	1.1 %	3	1.7 %
CMBS	2	0.7 %	4	0.9 %	2	1.1 %
Banking	23	8.5 %	24	5.4 %	1	0.6 %
Retailers	1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %	-	0.0 %
CMOs	6	2.2 %	6	1.3 %	-	0.0 %
Media - non-cable	5	1.9 %	5	1.1 %	-	0.0 %
Paper	1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %	-	0.0 %
Pharmaceuticals	1	0.4 %	1	0.2 %	-	0.0 %
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring	\$270	100.0 %	\$446	100.0 %	\$176	100.0 %
Total AFS securities	\$48,395		\$54,809		\$7,400	
Total securities subject to enhanced analysis and monitoring as a percentage of total AFS securities	0.6 %		0.8 %		2.4 %	

The composition by industry categories of all securities in unrealized loss status (in millions), was as follows:

As of December 31, 2009

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI	% Unrealized Loss and OTTI
ABS	\$1,290	7.8 %	\$2,061	10.6 %	\$771	27.6 %
Banking	1,973	12.0 %	2,462	12.8 %	489	17.5 %
CMOs	1,797	10.8 %	2,266	11.8 %	469	16.8 %
CMBS	809	4.9 %	1,163	6.0 %	354	12.7 %
Property and casualty insurers	621	3.7 %	709	3.7 %	88	3.1 %
Electric	986	5.9 %	1,037	5.3 %	51	1.8 %
Local authorities	927	5.6 %	970	5.0 %	43	1.5 %
Media - non-cable	277	1.7 %	318	1.6 %	41	1.5 %
Paper	217	1.3 %	257	1.3 %	40	1.4 %
Financial - other	260	1.6 %	292	1.5 %	32	1.1 %
Real estate investment trusts	434	2.6 %	461	2.4 %	27	1.0 %
Non-captive diversified	211	1.3 %	237	1.2 %	26	0.9 %
Life	298	1.8 %	322	1.7 %	24	0.9 %
Gaming	194	1.2 %	217	1.1 %	23	0.8 %
Entertainment	210	1.3 %	230	1.2 %	20	0.7 %
Owned no guarantee	283	1.7 %	302	1.6 %	19	0.7 %
Non-agency	102	0.6 %	121	0.6 %	19	0.7 %
Sovereigns	174	1.0 %	192	1.0 %	18	0.6 %
Pipelines	299	1.8 %	314	1.6 %	15	0.5 %
Municipal	362	2.2 %	376	1.9 %	14	0.5 %
Diversified manufacturing	310	1.9 %	324	1.7 %	14	0.5 %
Distributors	337	2.0 %	350	1.8 %	13	0.5 %
Non-captive consumer	115	0.7 %	128	0.7 %	13	0.5 %
Metals and mining	248	1.5 %	261	1.3 %	13	0.5 %
Conventional 30 year	829	5.0 %	841	4.3 %	12	0.4 %
Industrial - other	156	0.9 %	167	0.9 %	11	0.4 %
Retailers	152	0.9 %	163	0.8 %	11	0.4 %
Industries with unrealized losses						
less than \$10 million	2,718	16.3 %	2,843	14.6 %	125	4.5 %
Total by industry	\$16,589	100.0 %	\$19,384	100.0 %	\$2,795	100.0 %
Total AFS securities	\$61,096		\$61,139		\$2,795	
Total by industry as a percentage of total AFS securities	27.2 %		31.7 %		100.0 %	

As of December 31, 2008

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
ABS	\$1,198	4.0 %	\$2,380	6.4 %	\$1,182	16.1 %
Banking	3,553	12.0 %	4,586	12.3 %	1,033	14.0 %
CMOs	1,636	5.5 %	2,411	6.5 %	775	10.5 %
CMBSs	1,632	5.5 %	2,257	6.1 %	625	8.4 %
Electric	2,906	9.8 %	3,231	8.7 %	325	4.4 %
Pipelines	1,501	5.1 %	1,763	4.8 %	262	3.5 %
Real estate investment trusts	649	2.2 %	900	2.4 %	251	3.4 %
Property and casualty insurers	746	2.5 %	999	2.7 %	253	3.4 %
Metals and mining	599	2.0 %	767	2.1 %	168	2.3 %
Paper	397	1.3 %	528	1.4 %	131	1.8 %
Retailers	539	1.8 %	668	1.8 %	129	1.7 %
Life	543	1.8 %	667	1.8 %	124	1.7 %
Media - non-cable	750	2.5 %	867	2.3 %	117	1.6 %
Food and beverage	1,201	4.1 %	1,306	3.5 %	105	1.4 %
Gaming	205	0.7 %	303	0.8 %	98	1.3 %
Diversified manufacturing	686	2.3 %	774	2.1 %	88	1.2 %
Non-captive diversified	198	0.7 %	281	0.8 %	83	1.1 %
Building materials	463	1.6 %	545	1.5 %	82	1.1 %
Owned no guarantee	208	0.7 %	290	0.8 %	82	1.1 %
Independent	533	1.8 %	615	1.7 %	82	1.1 %
Home construction	227	0.8 %	308	0.8 %	81	1.1 %
Distributors	890	3.0 %	971	2.6 %	81	1.1 %
Technology	511	1.7 %	582	1.6 %	71	1.0 %
Financial - other	357	1.2 %	427	1.2 %	70	0.9 %
Non-captive consumer	177	0.6 %	246	0.7 %	69	0.9 %
Automotive	171	0.6 %	238	0.6 %	67	0.9 %
Integrated	424	1.4 %	490	1.3 %	66	0.9 %
Transportation services	373	1.3 %	439	1.2 %	66	0.9 %
Wirelines	557	1.9 %	617	1.7 %	60	0.8 %
Refining	285	1.0 %	340	0.9 %	55	0.7 %
Oil field services	550	1.9 %	604	1.6 %	54	0.7 %
Wireless	225	0.8 %	278	0.8 %	53	0.7 %
Chemicals	473	1.6 %	522	1.4 %	49	0.7 %
Non-agency	94	0.3 %	141	0.4 %	47	0.6 %
Healthcare	431	1.5 %	477	1.3 %	46	0.6 %
Entertainment	485	1.6 %	529	1.4 %	44	0.6 %
Health insurance	334	1.1 %	376	1.0 %	42	0.6 %

	As of December 31, 2008									
	Fair Value	% Fair Value		Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost		Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss		
(Continued from Above)										
Sovereigns	118	0.4	%	159	0.4	%	41	0.6	%	
Industrial - other	366	1.2	%	404	1.1	%	38	0.5	%	
Brokerage	182	0.6	%	219	0.6	%	37	0.5	%	
Consumer products	434	1.5	%	469	1.3	%	35	0.5	%	
Airlines	72	0.2	%	101	0.3	%	29	0.4	%	
Lodging	85	0.3	%	112	0.3	%	27	0.4	%	
Packaging	158	0.5	%	184	0.5	%	26	0.4	%	
Railroads	232	0.8	%	257	0.7	%	25	0.3	%	
Local authorities	31	0.2	%	45	0.1	%	14	0.2	%	
Construction machinery	238	0.8	%	250	0.7	%	12	0.2	%	
Utility - other	76	0.3	%	86	0.2	%	10	0.1	%	
Government sponsored	15	0.0	%	26	0.1	%	11	0.1	%	
Media - cable	156	0.5	%	167	0.5	%	11	0.1	%	
Industries with unrealized losses										
less than \$10 million	741	2.5	%	809	2.2	%	68	0.9	%	
Total by industry	\$29,611	100.0	%	\$37,011	100.0	%	\$7,400	100.0	%	
Total AFS securities	\$48,395			\$54,809			\$7,400			
Total by industry as a percentage of total AFS securities	61.2	%		67.5	%		100.0	%		

Unrealized Loss on Below Investment Grade AFS Fixed Maturity Securities

Gross unrealized losses on AFS fixed maturity securities below investment grade fixed maturity securities represented 47.5% and 12.9% of total gross unrealized losses on all AFS securities as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Generally, below investment grade fixed maturity securities are more likely than investment grade securities to develop credit concerns. The remaining 52.5% and 87.1% of the gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, relate to investment grade AFS securities. The ratios of estimated fair value to amortized cost reflected in the table below were not necessarily indicative of the market value to amortized cost relationships for the securities throughout the entire time that the securities have been in an unrealized loss position nor are they necessarily indicative of these ratios subsequent to December 31, 2009.

Details underlying fixed maturity securities below investment grade and in an unrealized loss position (in millions) were as follows:

Aging Category	Ratio of Amortized Cost to Fair Value	As of December 31, 2009					
		Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss and OTTI			
< or = 90 days	Above 70%	\$ 192	\$ 211	\$ 19			
	40% to 70%	163	307	144			
	Below 40%	12	44	32			
Total < or = 90 days		367	562	195			
>90 days but < or = 180 days	Above 70%	32	33	1			
	40% to 70%	-	-	-			
	Below 40%	2	6	4			
Total >90 days but < or = 180 days		34	39	5			
>180 days but < or = 270 days	Above 70%	-	-	-			
	40% to 70%	18	25	7			
	Below 40%	-	1	1			
Total >180 days but < or = 270 days		18	26	8			
>270 days but < or = 1 year	Above 70%	51	60	9			
	40% to 70%	18	30	12			
	Below 40%	3	13	10			
Total >270 days but < or = 1 year		72	103	31			
>1 year	Above 70%	1,776	2,023	247			
	40% to 70%	802	1,403	601			
	Below 40%	61	303	242			
Total >1 year		2,639	3,729	1,090			
Total below investment grade		\$ 3,130	\$ 4,459	\$ 1,329			
Total AFS securities		\$ 61,096	\$ 61,139	\$ 2,795			
Total below investment grade as a percentage of total AFS securities		5.1	%	7.3	%	47.5	%

Aging Category	Ratio of Amortized Cost to Fair Value	Fair Value	As of December 31, 2008				
			Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss			
< or = 90 days	Above 70%	\$ 253	\$ 268	\$ 15			
	40% to 70%	17	31	14			
	Below 40%	1	5	4			
Total < or = 90 days		271	304	33			
>90 days but < or = 180 days	Above 70%	291	336	45			
	40% to 70%	41	66	25			
	Below 40%	-	-	-			
Total >90 days but < or = 180 days		332	402	70			
>180 days but < or = 270 days	Above 70%	310	349	39			
	40% to 70%	83	140	57			
	Below 40%	9	37	28			
Total >180 days but < or = 270 days		402	526	124			
>270 days but < or = 1 year	Above 70%	114	141	27			
	40% to 70%	35	66	31			
	Below 40%	9	28	19			
Total >270 days but < or = 1 year		158	235	77			
>1 year	Above 70%	501	605	104			
	40% to 70%	339	604	265			
	Below 40%	98	376	278			
Total >1 year		938	1,585	647			
Total below investment grade		\$ 2,101	\$ 3,052	\$ 951			
Total AFS securities		\$ 48,395	\$ 54,809	\$ 7,400			
Total below investment grade as a percentage of total AFS securities		4.3	%	5.6	%	12.9	%

Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

The following summarizes key information on mortgage loans (in millions):

Property Type	As of December 31, 2009			As of December 31, 2009		
	Carrying Value	%		Carrying Value	%	
			State Exposure			
Office building	\$ 2,483	34 %	CA	\$ 1,476	20 %	
Industrial	1,907	27 %	TX	613	9 %	
Retail	1,688	24 %	MD	426	6 %	
Apartment	664	9 %	FL	324	5 %	
Hotel/Motel	207	3 %	VA	318	4 %	
Mixed use	132	2 %	TN	308	4 %	
Other commercial	97	1 %	AZ	301	4 %	
	\$ 7,178	100 %	WA	286	4 %	
			IL	265	4 %	
			NC	261	4 %	
			GA	242	3 %	
Geographic Region			PA	208	3 %	
Pacific	\$ 1,868	25 %	NV	204	3 %	
South Atlantic	1,712	24 %	OH	195	3 %	
East North Central	723	10 %	IN	172	2 %	
Mountain	706	10 %	MA	155	2 %	
West South Central	648	9 %	MN	153	2 %	
Middle Atlantic	477	7 %	NJ	141	2 %	
East South Central	438	6 %	NY	128	2 %	
West North Central	397	6 %	SC	126	2 %	
			Other states			
New England	209	3 %	under 2%	876	12 %	
	\$ 7,178	100 %		\$ 7,178	100 %	

As discussed above in “Current Market Conditions,” the global financial markets and credit market conditions experienced a period of extreme volatility and disruption that began in the second half of 2007 and continued and substantially increased throughout 2008 that led to a decrease in the overall liquidity and availability of capital in the mortgage loan market, and in particular a decrease in activity by securitization lenders. These conditions and the overall economic downturn put pressure on the fundamentals of mortgage loans through rising vacancies, falling rents and falling property values.

Loan-to-value and debt-service coverage ratios are measures commonly used to assess the quality of mortgage loans. The loan-to-value ratio compares the principal amount of the loan to the fair value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan, and is commonly expressed as a percentage. Loan-to-value ratios greater than 100% percent indicate that the principal amount is greater than the collateral value. Therefore, all else being equal, a smaller loan-to-value ratio generally indicates a higher quality loan. The debt-service coverage ratio compares a property's net operating income to its debt-service payments. Debt-service coverage ratios less than 1.0 times indicates that property operations do not generate enough income to cover its current debt payments. Therefore, all else being equal, a larger debt-service coverage ratio generally indicates a higher quality loan. The following summarizes our loan-to-value and debt-service coverage ratios (in millions):

Loan-to-Value	As of December 31, 2009		
	Principal Amount	%	Debt-Service Coverage
Less than 65%	\$4,834	67.4	% 1.67
65% to 75%	1,986	27.7	% 1.39
Greater than 75%	352	4.9	% 0.81
Total mortgage loans	\$7,172	100.0	%

All mortgage loans that are impaired have an established allowance for credit loss. Changing economic conditions impact our valuation of mortgage loans. Changing vacancies and rents are incorporated into the discounted cash flow analysis that we perform for monitored loans and may contribute to the establishment of (or an increase or decrease in) an allowance for credit losses. In addition, we continue to monitor the entire commercial mortgage loan portfolio to identify risk. Areas of emphasis are properties that have deteriorating credits or have experienced debt coverage reduction. Where warranted, we have established or increased loss reserves based upon this analysis. There were nine impaired mortgage loans as of December 31, 2009, or less than 1% of the total dollar amount of mortgage loans, and no impaired mortgage loans as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2009, there were eight commercial mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent. As of December 31, 2008, there were no commercial mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent. The carrying value on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2009, was \$36 million, or less than 1% of total mortgage loans. The total principal and interest past due on the mortgage loans that were two or more payments delinquent as of December 31, 2009, was \$2 million. See Note 1 for more information regarding our accounting policy relating to the impairment of mortgage loans.

Alternative Investments

The carrying value of our consolidated alternative investments by business segment (in millions), which consists primarily of investments in limited partnerships, was as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
Retirement Solutions:		
Annuities	\$85	\$89
Defined Contribution	65	72
Insurance Solutions:		
Life Insurance	485	603
Group Protection	32	8
Other Operations	29	4
Total alternative investments	\$696	\$776

Income (loss) derived from our consolidated alternative investments by business segment (in millions) was as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			Change Over Prior Year	
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008
Retirement Solutions:					
Annuities	\$ 3	\$ (7)	\$ 17	143 %	NM
Defined Contribution	2	(8)	17	125 %	NM
Insurance Solutions:					