BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS INVESTMENT QUALITY FUND

Form N-CSR November 03, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-08349

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniHoldings

Investment Quality Fund, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2016

Date of reporting period: 08/31/2016

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

AUGUST 31, 2016

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
The Markets in Review	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
<u>Trust Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	55
Statements of Operations	57
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	59
Statements of Cash Flows	61
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	63
Notes to Financial Statements	69
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	80
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	81
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	85
Officers and Trustees	86
Additional Information	89

2 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

Uneven economic outlooks and the divergence of monetary policies across regions have been the overarching themes driving financial markets over the past couple of years. In the latter half of 2015, investors were focused largely on the timing of the Federal Reserve's (the Fed) decision to end its near-zero interest rate policy. The Fed ultimately hiked rates in December, while, in contrast, the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan increased stimulus, even introducing negative interest rates. The U.S. dollar had strengthened considerably, causing profit challenges for U.S. companies that generate revenues overseas, and pressuring emerging market currencies and commodities prices. Also during this time period, oil prices collapsed due to excess global supply. China showed signs of slowing economic growth and declining confidence in the country's policymakers stoked worries about the potential impact on the global economy. Risk assets (such as equities and high yield bonds) struggled as volatility increased.

The elevated market volatility spilled over into 2016, but as the first quarter wore on, fears of a global recession began to fade, allowing markets to calm and risk assets to rebound. Central bank stimulus in Europe and Japan, combined with a more tempered outlook for rate hikes in the United States, helped bolster financial markets. A softening in U.S. dollar strength brought relief to U.S. exporters and emerging market economies, and oil prices rebounded as the world slargest producers agreed to reduce supply.

Volatility spiked in late June when the United Kingdom shocked investors with its vote to leave the European Union. Uncertainty around how the British exit might affect the global economy and political landscape drove investors to high-quality assets, pushing already low global yields to even lower levels. However, risk assets recovered swiftly in July as economic data suggested that the consequences had thus far been contained to the United Kingdom.

With a number of factors holding interest rates down central bank accommodation, an aging population in need of income, and institutions such as insurance companies and pension plans needing to meet liabilities assets offering decent yield have become increasingly scarce. As a result, income-seeking investors have stretched into riskier assets despite high valuations in many sectors.

Market volatility touched a year-to-date low in August, which may be a signal that investors have become complacent given persistent macro risks: Geopolitical turmoil continues to loom. A surprise move from the Fed i.e., raising rates sooner than expected has the potential to roil markets. And perhaps most likely to stir things up the U.S. presidential election.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to adjust accordingly as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Rob Kapito
President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

Sincerely

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of August 31, 2016

	6-montn	12-montn
U.S. large cap equities	13.60%	12.55%
(S&P 500® Index)		

	(0.12)		U.S. small cap equities
International equities 10.35 (0.12)	(0.12)	10.35	(Russell 2000® Index) International equities
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,			
Far East Index)			•
Emerging market equities 22.69 11.83	11.83	22.69	Emerging market equities
(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)			(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)
3-month Treasury bills 0.17 0.23	0.23	0.17	3-month Treasury bills
(BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month			(BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month
U.S. Treasury Bill Index)			U.S. Treasury Bill Index)
U.S. Treasury securities 2.22 7.35	7.35	2.22	U.S. Treasury securities
(BofA Merrill Lynch			(BofA Merrill Lynch
10-Year U.S. Treasury			10-Year U.S. Treasury
Index)			Index)
U.S. investment grade bonds 3.68 5.97	5.97	3.68	U.S. investment grade bonds
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S.			(Bloomberg Barclays U.S.
Aggregate Bond Index)			Aggregate Bond Index)
Tax-exempt municipal 3.35 7.03	7.03	3.35	Tax-exempt municipal
bonds (S&P Municipal			bonds (S&P Municipal
Bond Index)			Bond Index)
U.S. high yield bonds 15.56 9.12	9.12	15.56	U.S. high yield bonds
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer			(Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer
Capped Index)			Capped Index)

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended August 31, 2016 Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds generated positive performance for the period, thanks to falling interest rates and a favorable supply-and-demand environment. Interest rates were volatile in 2015 (bond prices rise as rates fall) leading up to a long-awaited rate hike from the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) that ultimately came in December. However, ongoing reassurance from the Fed that rates would be increased gradually and would likely remain low overall resulted in strong demand for fixed income investments. Investors favored the relative yield and stability of municipal bonds amid bouts of volatility resulting from uneven U.S. economic data, volatile oil prices, global growth concerns, geopolitical risks (particularly the United Kingdom's decision to leave the European Union), and widening central bank divergence—i.e., policy easing outside the United States while the Fed was posturing to commence policy tightening. During the 12 months ended August 31, 2016, municipal bond funds garnered net inflows of approximately \$57 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained relatively strong from a historical perspective at \$393 billion (though lower than the \$425 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 61%) as issuers continued to take advantage of low interest rates and a flatter yield curve to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of August 31, 2016

6 months: 3.35% 12 months: 7.03%

A Closer Look at Yields

From August 31, 2015 to August 31, 2016, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 98 basis points (bps) from 3.10% to 2.12%, while 10-year rates fell by 74 bps from 2.16% to 1.42% and 5-year rates decreased 47 bps from 1.33% to 0.86% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve experienced significant flattening over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattening by 100 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattening by 76 bps.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds broadly outperformed U.S. Treasuries with the greatest outperformance experienced in longer-term issues. In absolute terms, the positive performance of muni bonds was driven largely by falling interest rates as well as a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. More broadly, municipal bonds benefited from the greater appeal of tax-exempt investing in light of the higher tax rates implemented in 2014. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized distress among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding California, New York, Texas and Florida have exhibited markedly improved credit fundamentals during the slow national recovery. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities have seen their bond prices decline noticeably and remain vulnerable to additional price deterioration. On the local level, Chicago s credit quality downgrade is an outlier relative to other cities due to its larger pension liability and inadequate funding remedies. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of August 31, 2016, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the US municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from US federal income taxes or subject to the alternative minimum tax. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

4 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Trust s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts—obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very diffi-

cult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Trust s NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if a Trust was not leveraged. In addition, the Trusts may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trusts incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment advisor will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to \$\frac{3}{3}\$ of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Trust s obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), a TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, commodity, index, market, and/or other asset without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the

transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. The Trusts successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 5

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust s (BBK) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from regular federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BBK
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$18.22) ¹	4.94%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.73%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0750
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9000
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	36%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV	
BBK ^{1,2}	26.29%	14.53%	
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%	

¹ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

2	The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and
	performance based on NAV.

3 Average return.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world s central banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.

Given the flattening of the yield curve, the Trust s exposure to longer-duration assets and longer-term bonds had a positive impact on performance. Positions in the health care, utility and transportation sectors also aided results. The Trust s exposure to lower-coupon and zero-coupon bonds, both of which outperformed, further boosted returns. In addition, positions in lower-rated investment-grade bonds contributed positively due to their additional yield and strong price performance.

The Trust utilized U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a potential rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance due to the strength in the Treasury market.

6 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$18.22	\$15.23	19.63%	\$18.41	\$15.21
Net Asset Value	\$17.89	\$16.49	8.49%	\$18.11	\$16.28

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Health	24%	23%
County/City/Special District/School District	17	16
Transportation	15	13
Education	14	16
Utilities	13	13
State	9	9
Corporate	5	6
Tobacco	2	3
Housing	1	1

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2016	1%
2017	2
2018	7
2019	8
2020	8

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	8/31/16	8/31/15
AAA/Aaa	4%	6%
AA/Aa	47	43
A	27	27
BBB/Baa	11	11
BB/Ba	5	6
В	1	
N/R ²	5	7

1	For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These
	rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of
	BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency.
	Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

² The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2016 and August 31, 2015, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 3% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 7

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust s (BAF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax, including the alternative minimum tax and Florida intangible property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, in September 2008, the Board gave approval to permit the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographic location since municipal obligations issued by any state or municipality that provides income exempt from regular federal income tax would now satisfy the foregoing objective and policy.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BAF
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$15.79) ¹	5.21%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.20%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0685
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8220
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	37%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV	
BAF ^{1, 2}	19.92%	10.57%	
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%	

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

	Edgar Filling. BENONTOES WORTH TOES IN COME TO THE TOTAL TOT
2	The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
3	Average return.
ΓΙ	Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. ne following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:
	Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world s central banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.
	The use of leverage, which augments income and amplifies the effect of interest-rate movements, was a positive to performance during the past 12 months given that yields declined. However, leverage had less of an impact in the second half of the period since the Fed s interest rate increase in December 2015 increased the costs of short-term financing.
	Positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years and longer helped performance. In addition to providing above-average yields, longer-dated bonds gained the most from the flattening of the yield curve.
	The Trust s holdings in AA and A rated bonds, which generally outperformed AAA rated securities, provided an additional boost to the Trust 12-month results. At the sector level, positions in transportation, tax-backed (local), and utilities issues contributed positively.
	The Trust s more-seasoned holdings, while producing generous yields compared to current market rates, detracted from performance. The prices of many of these investments declined due to the premium amortization that occurred as the bonds approached their first call dates. (A call is when an issuer redeems a bond prior to its maturity date; premium is amount by which a bond trades above its \$100 par value.)
	The Trust utilized a mix of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance at a time in which the Treasury market finished with positive returns.

ANNUAL REPORT

8

AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Market Price and Net Asset	Value Per Share Summary
----------------------------	-------------------------

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.79	\$13.89	13.68%	\$ 16.63	\$ 13.81
Net Asset Value	\$16.56	\$15.80	4.81%	\$ 16.76	\$ 15.63

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Transportation	28%	28%
County/City/Special District/School District	27	31
Utilities	17	17
Health	14	13
State	6	6
Education	5	3
Tobacco	1	1
Corporate	1	
Housing	1	1

Call/Maturity Schedule²

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2016	%
2017	
2018	13
2019	7
2020	2

² Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	8/31/16	8/31/15
AAA/Aaa	3%	3%
AA/Aa	74	74
A	19	20
BBB/Baa	4	3

¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 9

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust s (BYM) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BYM
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$15.55) ¹	5.09%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.99%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0660
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7920
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	36%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV
$BYM^{1,2}$	20.23%	12.71%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%

1 All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

2	The Trust	s discount to NAV,	, which narrowed during the period	d, accounts for the difference	e between performance	based on price and performance	e based on
	NAV.						

3 Average return.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world s central banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.

The Trust s duration positioning made the largest contribution to absolute performance, given that municipal yields fell significantly during the period. (Duration is a measure of interest-rate sensitivity.) The Trust s exposure to longer-term bonds also had a positive impact on returns. In addition, the Trust s fully invested posture enabled it to capitalize on the rally in municipal securities. At the sector level, the largest contributions to the Trust s performance came from its positions in the tax-backed (local) and transportation sectors. The use of leverage also helped augment returns at a time of strong market performance.

The Trust utilized U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a potential rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance due to the strength in the Treasury market.

10 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.55	\$13.67	13.75%	\$ 16.33	\$ 13.56
Net Asset Value	\$16.22	\$15.21	6.64%	\$ 16.40	\$ 15.05

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Transportation	28%	25%
County/City/Special District/School District	25	27
Utilities	12	11
State	11	11
Health	11	13
Education	7	7
Tobacco	3	3
Corporate	2	3
Housing	1	

Call/Maturity Schedule²

• man - man - my - man -	
Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2016	2%
2017	7
2018	16
2019	8
2020	8

² Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	8/31/16	8/31/15
AAA/Aaa	14%	15%
AA/Aa	54	57
A	24	21
BBB/Baa	7	6
N/R	1	1

1	For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These
	rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of
	BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency.
	Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 11

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II s (BLE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE MKT	BLE
Initial Offering Date	July 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$16.34) ¹	5.40%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.54%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0735
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8820
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV
BLE ^{1,2}	22.33%	12.21%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%

¹ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

	Edgar Filling. BENOTH TOOK MOTH TOEBINGO INVESTIGATION QUILLITY TO TO
	The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
	Average return.
Γ.	Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. he following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:
	Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world scentral banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall. The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.
	Leverage amplifies the effect of interest-rate movements, which was a positive to performance during the past 12 months given that yields declined.
	Positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years and higher helped performance. In addition to providing above-average yields, longer-dated bonds gained the most from the flattening of the yield curve. In addition, the Trust s long duration positioning proved beneficial at a time of declining rates. (Duration is a measure of interest-rate sensitivity.)
	The Trust s holdings in AA, A and BBB rated bonds, which generally outperformed AAA rated securities, provided an additional boost to the Trust s 12-month results. At the sector level, positions in transportation, health care and utilities issues contributed positively.
	The Trust utilized U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a potential rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance due to the strength in the Treasury market.

12 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Market Price and Net Asset	Value Per Share Summary
----------------------------	-------------------------

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 16.34	\$ 14.18	15.23%	\$ 16.88	\$ 14.18
Net Asset Value	\$ 16.12	\$ 15.25	5.70%	\$ 16.24	\$ 15.07

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Transportation	22%	22%
Utilities	17	16
County/City/Special District/School District	13	15
Health	12	12
State	11	9
Education	10	9
Corporate	7	10
Tobacco	7	5
Housing	1	2

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2016	4%
2017	3
2018	2
2019	7
2020	3

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	8/31/16	8/31/15
AAA/Aaa	7%	7%
AA/Aa	43	40
A	20	23
BBB/Baa	17	15
BB/Ba	4	5
В	2	1
N/R^2	7	9

- ¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- ² The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2016 and August 31, 2015, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 2% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 13

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund s (MFL) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and to provide shareholders with the opportunity to own shares the value of which is exempt from Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade (as rated or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable quality by the investment adviser) municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, in September 2008, the Board gave approval to permit the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographic location since municipal obligations issued by any state or municipality that provides income exempt from regular federal income tax would now satisfy the foregoing objective and policy.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MFL
Initial Offering Date	September 26, 1997
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$15.86) ¹	5.41%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.56%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0715
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8580
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	40%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV		
MFL ^{1,2}	19.37%	10.56%		
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%		

1	All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.
2	The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to neither a premium nor discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
3	Average return.
Γh	Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. e following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:
	Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world s central banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.
	Given the relative strength of longer-term bonds, the Trust s exposure to longer-duration assets and the long end of the yield curve had a positive impact on performance.
	The use of leverage, which augments income and amplifies the effect of interest-rate movements, was a positive during the past 12 months given that yields declined. In addition, the Trust s fully invested posture enabled it to capitalize on the rally in municipal securities.
	The Trust s allocation to AA rated bonds, which outperformed AAA rated securities, had a positive impact on performance. At the sector level, investments in health care and utilities issues contributed positively.
	The Trust utilized U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a potential rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance due to the strength in the Treasury market.
14	ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.86	\$14.06	12.80%	\$16.42	\$13.85
Net Asset Value	\$15.86	\$15.18	4.48%	\$16.08	\$15.02

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Transportation	37%	36%
Utilities	14	17
State	14	9
Health	12	10
County/City/Special District/School District	12	18
Education	9	5
Tobacco	1	1
Housing	1	2
Corporate	1	2

¹ Represents less than 1% of total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 1%

 2016
 1%

 2017
 2

 2018
 11

 2019
 21

 2020
 4

Credit Quality Allocation²

	8/31/16	8/31/15
AAA/Aaa	6%	5%
AA/Aa	65	62
A	25	29
BBB/Baa	4	4
N/R		

2

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 15

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2016

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. s (MVF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of an aggregate of the Trust s net assets (including proceeds from the issuance of any preferred stock) and the proceeds of any borrowing for investment purposes, in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust primarily invests in long term municipal obligations rated investment grade at the time of investment (or, if unrated, are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality) and in long term municipal obligations with maturities of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Trust may invest up to 20% of its total assets in securities rated below investment grade or deemed equivalent at the time of purchase. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE MKT	MVF
Initial Offering Date	September 29, 1988
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2016 (\$10.77) ¹	5.52%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.75%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0495
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.5940
Economic Leverage as of August 31, 2016 ⁴	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended August 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV	
$MVF^{1,2}$	18.70%	9.96%	
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ³	21.14%	12.26%	

- ² The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
- 3 Average return.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generated strong performance in the annual period. Municipals were aided by the sharp decline in Treasury yields, which was brought about by the slow global economy and the accommodative policies of the world s central banks. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) The yield curve flattened, as intermediate- and longer-term bonds generated the largest gains while shorter-term issues produced much smaller returns. In addition, lower-rated securities generally outpaced their higher-quality counterparts.

At the sector level, the largest contributions to the Trust s performance came from positions in the health care and transportation sectors. Given the relative strength of longer-term bonds, the Trust s exposure to longer-duration assets and the long end of the yield curve had a positive impact on performance. Additionally, the Trust s exposure to lower-rated credits aided performance as yield spreads generally tightened over the period. The Trust s position in zero-coupon bonds, which generated stronger price performance than current-coupon bonds, further contributed to results.

The use of leverage helped augment returns at a time of strong market performance. However, leverage had less of an impact in the second half of the period since the Fed s interest rate increase in December 2015 increased the costs of short-term financing.

The Trust utilized U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage exposure to a potential rise in interest rates, which had a slightly negative impact on performance due to the strength in the Treasury market.

16 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

	8/31/16	8/31/15	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 10.77	\$ 9.65	11.61%	\$ 11.26	\$ 9.44
Net Asset Value	\$ 10.38	\$ 10.04	3.39%	\$ 10.49	\$ 9.94

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation

	8/31/16	8/31/15
Health	26%	24%
Transportation	24	22
Education	10	11
County/City/Special District/School District	10	10
Corporate	9	11
State	7	4
Utilities	6	10
Tobacco	4	4
Housing	4	4

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2016	5%
2017	7
2018	14
2019	19
2020	15

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Credit Quality Allocation¹

	8/31/16	8/31/15	
AAA/Aaa	9%	9%	
AA/Aa	40	46	
A	23	23	
BBB/Baa	14	13	
BB/Ba	3	3	
В	2	1	
N/R^2	9	5	

- For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P s or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings
- ² The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2016 and August 31, 2015, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade representing 2% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016 17

Schedule of Investments August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Alabama 1.4%	(000)	, muo
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/19 (a)	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,314,519
City of Hoover Alabama Board of Education, Refunding, Special Tax, Capital Outlay Warrants,	, -,,	+ -,,
4.25%, 2/15/40	1,275	1,345,813
		2,660,332
Arizona 7.2%		2,000,332
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Phoenix Children s Hospital, Series A,		
5.00%, 2/01/42	2,200	2,478,146
City of Phoenix Arizona IDA, Refunding RB, Basis Schools, Inc. Projects, 5.00%, 7/01/45 (b)	460	501,069
County of Pinal Arizona Electric District No. 3, Refunding RB, Electric System, 4.75%, 7/01/31	3,750	4,272,900
Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior:		
5.00%, 12/01/32	1,500	1,874,730
5.00%, 12/01/37	2,065	2,653,442
University Medical Center Corp., RB, 6.50%, 7/01/19 (a)	500	579,370
University Medical Center Corp., Refunding RB, 6.00%, 7/01/21 (a)	900	1,113,714
		13,473,371
Arkansas 3.5%		13,473,371
City of Benton Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 6/01/39	905	1,006,822
City of Fort Smith Arkansas Water & Sewer Revenue, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 10/01/40		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1,240	1,368,005
City of Hot Springs Arkansas, RB, Wastewater, 5.00%, 12/01/38	1,200	1,413,432
City of Little Rock Arkansas, RB, 4.00%, 7/01/41	2,025	2,229,221
County of Pulaski Arkansas Public Facilities Board, RB, 5.00%, 12/01/42	465	548,123
		6,565,603
California 20.8%		3,5 32,332
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health:		
Series A, 3.25%, 11/15/36	820	851,094
Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31	1,900	2,270,595
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health System/West,	1,700	2,210,373
Series A, 3.00%, 3/01/39 (c)	710	700,834
California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29	2,285	2,304,879
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 11/01/45	1,550	1,696,320
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Loma Linda University Medical	1,330	1,090,320
	440	500 422
Center, Series A, 5.25%, 12/01/56 (b)	440	509,423
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB, John Muir Health, Series	205	214.704
A, 4.00%, 8/15/46	285	314,794
Carlsbad California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 0.00%, 5/01/34 (d)	1,000	1,095,090
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
•	(000)	value
California (continued)	¢ 2,000	¢ 2.264.100
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT, 5.75%, 3/01/34	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,364,100
Dinuba California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.75%, 8/01/19 (a)	500	574,280
Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D,	1.650	4 (50 000
0.00%, 8/01/34 (d)	1,650	1,672,209
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC),		
0.00%, 8/01/38 (e)	8,000	3,780,160
Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B:		
0.00%, 8/01/30 (e)	1,500	1,038,435
0.00%, 8/01/33 (e)	4,000	1,617,440
0.00%, 8/01/39 (d)	2,000	1,913,240
San Diego Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 0.00%, 8/01/33 (d)	2,800	3,301,844
State of California, GO, Refunding, Various Purposes: 5.00%, 2/01/38	3,000	3,591,300
5.0076, 2/01/50	5,000	3,371,300

4.00%, 10/01/44	1,080	1,194,556	
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:			
5.75%, 4/01/31	2,000	2,250,960	
6.00%, 3/01/33	1,000	1,177,980	
6.50%, 4/01/33	1,950	2,236,748	
5.50%, 3/01/40	2,350	2,699,703	
		39,155,984	
Colorado 1.4%			
City & County of Denver Colorado, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 8/01/46	545	602,770	
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series D, 6.25%, 10/01/33	1,070	1,184,822	
Park Creek Metropolitan District, Refunding RB, Senior Limited Property Tax (AGM), 6.00%,			
12/01/20 (a)	750	904,590	
		2,692,182	
Connecticut 1.1%		2,072,102	
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB:			
Lawrence & Memorial Hospital, Series F, 5.00%, 7/01/36	550	615,675	
Trinity Health Corp., 3.25%, 12/01/36	100	103,640	
South Central Connecticut Regional Water Authority, Refunding RB, Thirty Second, Series B,			
4.00%, 8/01/36	1,235	1,394,476	
		2,113,791	
Delaware 2.6%		_,,	
County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., Indian River Power LLC Project, 6.00%,			
10/01/40	1,200	1,347,984	
Delaware Transportation Authority, RB:			
5.00%, 6/01/45	2,000	2,406,380	
5.00%, 6/01/55	950	1,116,801	
		4.871.165	
		7,071,103	

Portfolio Abbreviations

AGC	Assured Guarantee Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	DFA	Development Finance Agency	IDB	Industrial Development Board
AGM		EDA	Economic Development Authority	ISD	Independent School District
AMBAC		EDC	Economic Development Corp.	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	ERB	Education Revenue Bonds	M/F	Multi-Family National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds	GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds	NPFGC	
BAM BARB BHAC CAB COP	Build America Mutual Assurance Co. Building Aid Revenue Bonds Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. Capital Appreciation Bonds Certificates of Participation	GO GTD HFA HRB IDA	General Obligation Bonds Guaranteed Housing Finance Agency Housing Revenue Bonds Industrial Development Authority	PILOT PSF Q-SBLF RB S/F	Payment in Lieu of Taxes Permanent School Fund Qualified School Bond Loan Fund Revenue Bonds Single-Family

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Florida 4.6%	(000)	, 11110	
Capital Trust Agency Inc., RB, M/F Housing, The Gardens Apartment Project, Series A,			
4.75%, 7/01/40	\$ 600	\$ 621,870	
City of Tampa Florida, RB, Baycare Health System, Series A, 4.00%, 11/15/46	900	988,794	
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, AMT, Seaport Department, Series B, 6.00%, 10/01/31	4,135	5,206,668	
County of Miami-Dade Florida Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, University of Miami,	020	1 004 221	
Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/45 County of Orange Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RR, Moyfleyyan Retirement County	920	1,094,321	
County of Orange Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Mayflower Retirement Center, 5.00%, 6/01/36	125	136,914	
Stevens Plantation Community Development District, Special Assessment, Series A,	123	130,714	
7.10%, 5/01/35 (f)(g)	860	601,716	
		,	
		8,650,283	
Hawaii 0.2%		0,030,203	
Hawaii State Department of Budget & Finance, Refunding RB, Special Purpose, Senior Living,			
Kahala Nui, 5.25%, 11/15/37	400	451,320	
Idaho 1.1%			
Idaho Health Facilities Authority, RB, St. Lukes Health System Project, Series A, 5.00%, 3/01/39	500	583,885	
Idaho Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Group, Series B, 6.25%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,250	1,404,637	
		1,988,522	
Illinois 4.6%			
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding ARB, O Hare International Airport Passenger Facility Charge,	1.600	1 (00 2(0	
Series B, AMT, 4.00%, 1/01/29 City of Chicago Illinois Midway International Airport, Refunding GARB, 2nd Lien, Series A,	1,600	1,699,360	
5.00%, 1/01/41	870	994,819	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB, Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40	665	738,170	
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Rush University Medical Center, Series C, 6.63%, 5/01/19 (a)	650	751,192	
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB:		, ,	
OSF Healthcare System, Series A, 6.00%, 5/15/39	1,010	1,172,408	
Roosevelt University Project, 6.50%, 4/01/44	1,000	1,102,840	
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB, 6.00%, 6/01/28	1,150	1,376,159	
State of Illinois, GO, 5.00%, 2/01/39	665	721,505	
		8,556,453	
Iowa 1.3%			
Iowa Higher Education Loan Authority, Refunding RB, Private College Facility:	550	570 427	
Drake University Project, 3.00%, 4/01/34 Upper Iowa University Project, 5.75%, 9/01/20 (a)	550 500	570,427 591,665	
Upper Iowa University Project, 6.00%, 9/01/20 (a)	1,000	1,193,090	
opper lowa oniversity froject, 0.00%, 7/01/20 (a)	1,000	1,173,070	
		2 255 192	
Kansas 2.5%		2,355,182	
County of Seward Kansas Unified School District No. 480, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 9/01/39	4,000	4,667,920	
Kentucky 3.0%	.,500	.,007,720	
County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Norton			
Healthcare, Inc., 4.00%, 10/01/35	1,315	1,450,340	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Kentucky (continued)			
Kentucky Bond Development Corp., Refunding RB, Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.,			
4.00%, 5/01/35	\$ 550	\$ 610,957	
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A,	1 920	2 122 550	
5.38%, 1/01/40 Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, RB, Downtown Crossing Project,	1,830	2,123,550	
Convertible CAB, 1st Tier, Series C (d):			
0.00%, 7/01/34	500	456,700	
0.00%, 7/01/39	830	741,090	
		•	

0.00%, 7/01/43	270	241,901	
		5,624,538	
Louisiana 1.9%			
City of Alexandria Louisiana Utilities, RB, 5.00%, 5/01/39	860	1,005,856	
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB,			
Westlake Chemical Corp. Project, Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35	1,050	1,258,908	
Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, RB, Belle Chasse Educational Foundation Project, 6.50%,			
5/01/31	400	456,964	
Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 11/01/45	760	804,848	
		3,526,576	
Maryland 0.8%			
County of Anne Arundel Maryland Consolidated, Special Taxing District, Villages at Two Rivers			
Project:			
5.13%, 7/01/36	170	179,581	
5.25%, 7/01/44	170	179,247	
County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/45	1,000	1,225,770	
		1,584,598	
Massachusetts 1.7%		-,,	
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Issue, Series N,			
5.00%, 12/01/46	390	474,513	
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB:			
Emmanuel College Issue, Series A, 4.00%, 10/01/46	865	911,243	
International Charter School, 5.00%, 4/15/40	400	448,740	
WGBH Educational Foundation Issue, 3.00%, 1/01/42	1,415	1,413,967	
		3,248,463	
Michigan 1.6%		3,210,103	
Michigan Finance Authority, RB, Detroit Water & Sewage Disposal System, Senior Lien, Series 2014			
C-2, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/44	240	264,422	
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit Group, Series C,		- ,	
4.00%, 12/01/32	2,100	2,280,579	
State of Michigan Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.25%, 10/15/38	495	550,193	
		•	
		3,095,194	
Minnesota 3.7%		3,073,174	
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC),			
6.50%, 11/15/38	3,890	4,333,849	
City of St. Cloud Minnesota, Refunding RB, CentraCare Health System, Series A, 3.25%, 5/01/39	435	446,262	
2-1, 2-2 2-1, 3-2-1, 3-2-10, 3-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	.00	,	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Minnesota (continued)	(111)		
Minnesota Higher Education Facilities Authority, RB, College of St. Benedict, Series 8-K:			
5.00%, 3/01/37	\$ 660	\$ 789,505	
4.00%, 3/01/43	385	418,614	
Minnesota Higher Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, University of St. Thomas, Series 8-L, 4.00%, 4/01/39	380	426,581	
Minnesota Municipal Power Agency, RB, 4.00%, 10/01/41	440	492,664	
		6,907,475	
Mississippi 3.1%			
County of Warren Mississippi, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone Bonds, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	400	448,284	
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Special Obligation:	400	440,204	
CAB, Hinds Community College District (AGM), 5.00%, 4/01/36	845	953,109	
County of Jackson Limited Tax Note (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/32	1,750	1,951,968	
University of Southern Mississippi, RB, Campus Facilities Improvements Project, 5.38%, 9/01/19 (a)	2,100	2,388,456	
	_,	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		5,741,817	
Missouri 2.1%			
Missouri Development Finance Board, RB, Annual Appropriation Sewer System, Series B,			
5.00%, 11/01/41	900	1,003,770	
Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB:			
A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 5.25%, 10/01/31	500	581,055	
A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 4.25%, 10/01/32	320	354,826	
A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, 5.00%, 10/01/39	500	585,630	
Heartland Regional Medical Center, 4.13%, 2/15/43	300	321,312	
University of Central Missouri, Series C-2, 5.00%, 10/01/34	1,000	1,181,270	
		4,027,863	
Nebraska 4.1%			
Central Plains Energy Project Nebraska, RB, Gas Project No. 3, 5.00%, 9/01/42	600	675,972	
County of Douglas Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 3, Refunding RB, Health Facilities Nebraska Methodist Health System, 5.00%, 11/01/45	400	469,636	
County of Sarpy Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 1, Refunding RB, Nebraska Medicine,	100	105,050	
3.00%, 5/15/46	1,925	1,855,065	
Gretna Public Schools, GO, Refunding School Building, 3.00%, 12/15/39	920	921,159	
Lincoln Airport Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 7/01/40	520	581,027	
Nebraska Public Power District, Refunding RB, Series A:		ŕ	
5.00%, 1/01/32	250	294,262	
4.00%, 1/01/44	400	431,016	
Public Power Generation Agency, Refunding RB:			
3.13%, 1/01/35	1,155	1,178,851	
3.25%, 1/01/36	1,295	1,328,010	
		7,734,998	
Nevada 1.5%			
City of Las Vegas Nevada, Special Assessment, No. 809 Summerlin Area, 5.65%, 6/01/23	1,080 Par	1,089,623	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Nevada (continued)			
County of Clark Nevada, Refunding ARB, Department of Aviation, Subordinate Lien, Series A-2,			
4.25%, 7/01/36	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,110,240	
County of Clark Nevada, Refunding RB, Alexander Dawson School Nevada Project, 5.00%, 5/15/29	575	577,145	
N 12.00/		2,777,008	
New Jersey 12.0%	915	36,060	
	7.5	20,000	

County of Middlesex New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB, Heldrich Center Hotel, Sub-Series B,

County of Middlesex New Jersey Improvement Additionty, RB, Heidrich Center Hotel, Sub-Series B,			
6.25%, 1/01/37 (f)(g)			
New Jersey EDA, RB, AMT:			
Continental Airlines, Inc. Project, Series B, 5.63%, 11/15/30	660	767,032	
Goethals Bridge Replacement Project (AGM), 5.13%, 7/01/42	200	230,770	
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, Special Assessment, Kapkowski Road Landfill Project,			
6.50%, 4/01/28	7,500	9,390,975	
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:			
College of New Jersey, 3.50%, 7/01/31	900	966,186	
University of Medicine & Dentistry, Series B, 7.13%, 6/01/19 (a)	630	737,875	
University of Medicine & Dentistry, Series B, 7.50%, 6/01/19 (a)	800	945,128	
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, St. Barnabas Health Care			
System, Series A:			
4.63%, 7/01/23	510	576,657	
5.00%, 7/01/25	500	590,955	
5.63%, 7/01/37	1,700	1,992,791	
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	45	46,541	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Series E, 5.00%, 1/01/45	1,860	2,221,677	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:			
CAB, Transportation System, Series A, 0.00%, 12/15/35 (e)	1,000	446,010	
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/44	2,030	2,263,755	
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/45	900	1,002,051	
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/46	400	445,036	
		22,659,499	
New Mexico 0.3%		22,039,499	
New Mexico Hospital Equipment Loan Council, Refunding RB, Presbyterian Healthcare Services,			
5.00%, 8/01/44	450	539,811	
New York 6.2%	430	339,611	
City of New York, New York, GO, Sub-Series A-1:			
Fiscal 2014, 5.00%, 8/01/35	1,580	1,901,704	
Fiscal 2017, 4.00%, 8/01/36	565	636,902	
	303	030,902	
City of New York, New York Industrial Development Agency, RB, PILOT, Queens Baseball Stadium	925	936,202	
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/39 Counting of Navy Vark Tobacca Trust IV, Refunding RP, Sattlement Ress Through Turbo, Series A	923	930,202	
Counties of New York Tobacco Trust IV, Refunding RB, Settlement Pass-Through Turbo, Series A,	900	051 426	
6.25%, 6/01/41 (b) Exis Takasan Assat Securitization Comp. Refunding RR. Assat Rocked, Series A. 5.00%, 6/01/45		951,426 1,160,046	
Erie Tobacco Asset Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/45 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 11/15/39	1,160 2,300	2,816,557	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

	Par		
M In . I	(000)	X 7. 1	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB:	¢ 200	¢ 006.840	
2nd Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, Class 3, 6.38%, 7/15/49 3 World Trade Center Project, Class 2, 5.38%, 11/15/40 (b)	\$ 800	\$ 906,840 483,554	
	405	465,334	
New York Transportation Development Corp., Refunding RB, American Airlines, Inc., AMT:	400	115 506	
5.00%, 8/01/26	400 895	445,596 983,972	
5.00%, 8/01/31 Niceous Area Development Comp. Refunding RP. Solid Wests Disposed Facility. Covents Energy	693	983,972	
Niagara Area Development Corp., Refunding RB, Solid Waste Disposal Facility, Covanta Energy Project, Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 11/01/42 (b)	400	408,560	
110Ject, Selies A, Alvi1, 5.25 %, 11/01/72 (b)	400	400,500	
		11,631,359	
North Carolina 0.4%			
City of Durham North Carolina Water & Sewer Utility System Revenue, Refunding RB,			
3.00%, 8/01/40 (c)	770	780,518	
North Dakota 0.4%			
City of Fargo North Dakota, GO, Series D, 4.00%, 5/01/37	225	249,480	
County of Burleigh North Dakota, Refunding RB, St. Alexius Medical Center Project, Series A,			
5.00%, 7/01/21 (a)	480	571,368	
		820,848	
Ohio 1.1%		020,010	
City of Dayton Ohio Airport Revenue, Refunding ARB, James M Cox Dayton International Airport,			
AMT, 4.00%, 12/01/32	2,000	2,137,540	
Oklahoma 2.4%	2,000	2,137,310	
Edmond Public Works Authority, RB, 4.00%, 7/01/41	960	1,068,000	
Oklahoma City Public Property Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/39	720	853,862	
Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, RB, State System of Higher Education Master Real	720	855,802	
Property, Series F, 4.00%, 6/01/36 (c)	670	742,132	
Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority, RB, Power Supply System, Series A, 4.00%, 1/01/38	620	677,183	
Oklahoma Water Resources Board, RB, Clean Water Program, 4.00%, 4/01/40	990	1,112,196	
Oktanoma Water Resources Board, RB, escan Water Flogram, 4.00%, 4/01/40	770	1,112,190	
0. 440		4,453,373	
Oregon 3.2%			
County of Deschutes Oregon Hospital Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, St. Charles Health	ć0. 7	656 105	
System, Inc., Series A, 4.00%, 1/01/46 (c)	605	656,437	
County of Lane Oregon School District No. 19 Springfield, GO, CAB, Series B, 0.00%, 6/15/40 (e)	1,000	491,890	
Klamath Falls Intercommunity Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Sky Lakes Medical Center			
Project:			
3.00%, 9/01/35	705	701,961	
3.00%, 9/01/41	600	592,704	
5.00%, 9/01/46	210	250,862	
Oregon Health & Science University, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/19 (a)	750	854,700	
Oregon Health & Science University, Refunding RB, Series B, 4.00%, 7/01/46	575	647,094	
State of Oregon State Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/45	1,475	1,750,722	
		5,946,370	
Pennsylvania 3.4%		-,,- , - , -	
County of Allegheny Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, U.S. Steel Corp. Project, 6.55%, 12/01/27	1,695	1,678,067	
,,	Par	, ,	
	- **-		
Mondada I Donada	(000)	¥7-1	
Municipal Bonds Panneylvania (continued)	(000)	Value	
Pennsylvania (continued)			
Delaware River Port Authority, RB:	d 1.700	ф. 1.700.140	
4.50%, 1/01/32	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,729,140	
Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40	2,600	2,923,674	
		6,330,881	
Rhode Island 5.1%			

Rhode Island Commerce Corp., RB, Airport Corporation:			
5.00%, 7/01/41	270	321,251	
5.00%, 7/01/46	335	396,690	
Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., RB, Hospital Financing, LifeSpan Obligation,		,	
Series A (AGC), 7.00%, 5/15/19 (a)	1,000	1,167,590	
Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Hospital Financing, LifeSpan			
Obligation Group, 4.00%, 5/15/36	300	322,731	
Rhode Island Turnpike & Bridge Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/40	1,905	2,299,564	
State of Rhode Island, COP, School for the Deaf Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 4/01/28	900	987,498	
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB:			
Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/40	1,000	1,113,760	
Series B, 4.50%, 6/01/45	2,730	2,921,346	
		9,530,430	
South Carolina 0.6%			
County of Lexington South Carolina Health Services District Inc., RB, Lexington Medical Center,			
3.00%, 11/01/33	1,040	1,037,202	
Tennessee 3.0%			
Chattanooga Health Educational & Housing Facility Board, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A,			
5.25%, 1/01/40	1,950	2,247,433	
County of Chattanooga-Hamilton Tennessee Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/44	875	1,009,269	
County of Memphis-Shelby Tennessee Sports Authority, Inc., Refunding RB, Memphis Arena Project,			
Series A, 5.38%, 11/01/28	275	311,284	
Johnson City Health & Educational Facilities Board, RB, Mountain States Health, Series A, 5.00%,			
8/15/42	800	896,848	
Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County Health & Educational Facilities Board,			
RB, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	675	814,097	
Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County Health & Educational Facilities Board,	205	451.562	
Refunding RB, Lipscomb University Project, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/45	385	451,563	
		5,730,494	
Texas 9.3%			
County of Harris Texas Health Facilities Development Corp., Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann			
Healthcare System, Series B, 7.25%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,750	2,005,483	
County of Harris Texas Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB, CAB, Senior Lien, Series G			
(NPFGC), 0.00%, 11/15/41 (e)	11,690	3,839,113	
County of Matagorda Texas Navigation District No. 1, Refunding RB, Central Power & Light Co.,			
Project, Series A, 6.30%, 11/01/29	1,500	1,701,450	
County of Midland Texas Fresh Water Supply District No. 1, RB, CAB, City of Midland Project,	10.760	4 662 014	
Series A, 0.00%, 9/15/38 (e) Leader ISD, CO. Pefording, Co. Pefording, CAP, Series D, 0.00%, 9/15/35 (c)	10,760	4,663,814	
Leander ISD, GO, Refunding, Go, Refunding, CAB, Series D, 0.00%, 8/15/35 (e)	4,000	2,029,480	
Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 3/15/38	760	924,722	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Texas (continued)		
Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., RB, Senior Lien, LBJ Infrastructure Group LLC, 7.00%, 6/30/40	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,398,300
Utah 0.2%		17,562,362
Utah State Charter School Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Mountainville Academy, 4.00%,		
4/15/42	400	427,344
Vermont 1.9%	400	727,577
University of Vermont & State Agricultural College, Refunding RB:		
4.00%, 10/01/37	1,515	1,694,482
4.00%, 10/01/43	865	958,835
Vermont Student Assistance Corp., RB, Series A, 4.13%, 6/15/30	905	978,513
		,
		3,631,830
Virginia 0.6%		
Virginia Small Business Financing Authority, RB, Senior Lien, Elizabeth River Crossings OpCo LLC	000	1.040.201
Project, AMT, 6.00%, 1/01/37	880	1,048,291
Washington 0.9%		
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, MultiCare Health System, Series B (AGC),	1 400	1 (17 252
6.00%, 8/15/19 (a)	1,400	1,617,252
West Virginia 1.3%		
County of Berkeley Public Service Sewer District, Refunding RB, (BAM):	205	450.717
5.00%, 6/01/36	385	459,717
3.25%, 6/01/41	385	395,253
3.38%, 6/01/46	580	601,976
West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group:		
3.00%, 6/01/36	385	382,713
3.25%, 6/01/39	645	654,152
		2,493,811
Wisconsin 1.9%		
Public Finance Authority, Refunding RB, National Gypsum Co., AMT, 4.00%, 8/01/35	280	288,086
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:		
Medical College of Wisconsin, Inc., 4.00%, 12/01/46	600	657,180
The Monroe Clinic, Inc., 3.00%, 2/15/35	1,055	1,057,458
The Monroe Clinic, Inc., 4.00%, 2/15/38	770	831,954
WPPI Energy Power Supply Systems, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	665	791,437
		3,626,115
Total Municipal Bonds - 130.0%		244,475,968
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (h)		
Colorado 2.1%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health, Series C-7 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/18 (a)	3,750	4,023,413
Connecticut 1.7%	-,	,,,,,
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit		
Group, 5.00%, 12/01/45	2,611	3,182,814
Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par	
	(000)	X7.1
Tender Option Bond Trusts (h)	(000)	Value
Georgia 2.6%	¢ 4.002	¢ 4.954.754
City of Atlanta Georgia Water & Wastewater Revenue, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 11/01/43	\$ 4,003	\$ 4,854,754

Nebraska 1.0%		
County of Sarpy Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 1, Refunding RB, Nebraska Medicine,		
4.00%, 5/15/51	1,725	1,854,591
New Jersey 0.8%		
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%,		
6/15/36 (i)	1,400	1,547,075
New York 13.8%		
City of New York New York, GO, Fiscal 2015, Series B, 4.00%, 8/01/32	3,990	4,543,333
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Water & Sewer System, Fiscal		
2009, Series A:		
5.75%, 6/15/18 (a)	104	113,002
5.75%, 6/15/40	346	377,929
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer		2 ,
System:		
2nd General Resolution, Fiscal 2013, Series CC, 5.00%, 6/15/47	6,000	7,146,122
2nd General Resolution, Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	405	456,779
Series A, 4.75%, 6/15/17 (a)	565	583,970
Series A, 4.75%, 6/15/30	2,435	2,514,370
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47 (i)	2,500	2,947,130
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated	2,300	2,777,130
Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43	2,505	2,993,438
State of New York Dormitory Authority, RB, New York University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18 (a)	2,199	2,373,008
State of New York Thruway Authority, Refunding RB, Transportation, Personal Income Tax, Series	4,177	2,373,000
A, 5.00%, 3/15/31	1,560	1 952 260
A, 3.00%, 3/13/31	1,500	1,852,360
		25,901,441
Ohio 1.8%		
County of Montgomery Ohio, RB, Catholic Health, Series C-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 4/28/18 (a)	1,260	1,350,556
Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, RB, Cleveland Clinic Health, Series A, 5.25%,		
1/01/33	2,000	2,121,920
		3,472,476
Texas 1.0%		3,472,470
City of San Antonio Texas Public Service Board, RB, Electric & Gas Systems, Junior Lien,		
5.00%, 2/01/43	1,580	1,890,359
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to	1,500	1,090,339
Total Numeripal Bonus Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts 24.8%		46,726,923
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost \$257,463,041) 154.8%		291,202,891
Short-Term Securities 1.1%	Shares	
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class, 0.41% (j)(k)	2,139,553	2,139,553
Total Short-Term Securities	,,	,,
(Cost \$2,139,553) 1.1%		2,139,553
Total Investments (Cost \$259,602,594) 155.9%		293,342,444
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.1)%		(260,964)
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (13.3)%		(25,074,721)
MATERIAL ALIENTALISM VALUE (1997)		(70,000,000)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (42.5)%

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

22 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

(79,900,000)

\$ 188,106,759

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

Notes to Schedule of investments

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (c) When-issued security.
- (d) Step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate as of period end.
- (e) Zero-coupon bond.
- (f) Non-income producing security.
- (g) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default of interest payments.
- (h) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Trust. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (i) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement. The aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from February 15, 2019 to June 15, 2019, is \$2,411,642. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.
- (j) During the year ended August 31, 2016, investments in issuers considered to be affiliates of the Trust for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

	Shares Held at August 31,	Net	Shares Held at August 31,	Value at August 31,	
Affiliate	2015	Activity	2016	2016	Income
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class		2,139,553	2,139,553	\$ 2,139,553	\$ 2,733
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	6,015,344	(6,015,344)			340
Total				\$ 2,139,553	\$ 3,073

(k) Current yield as of period end.

Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of Period End

Futures Contracts

				Unrealized Appreciation
Contracts			Notional	
Short	Issue	Expiration	Value	(Depreciation)
(7)	5-Year U.S. Treasury Note	December 2016	\$ 848,750	\$ 1,303
(40)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	December 2016	\$5,236,875	12,210
(46)	Long U.S. Treasury Bond	December 2016	\$7,837,250	9,910
(14)	Ultra U.S. Treasury Bond	December 2016	\$2,624,563	(9,558)
Total				\$ 13,865

Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure

As of period end, the fair values of derivative financial instruments located in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities were as follows:

Assets Derivative Financial Instruments		Commodity Contracts	 Equity Contracts	Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts	nterest Rate ontracts	Other Contracts	Total
Futures contracts	Net unrealized appreciation ¹				\$ 23,423		\$ 23,423
Liabilities Derivative Financial Instruments							
Futures contracts	Net unrealized depreciation ¹				\$ 9,558		\$ 9,558

Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on futures contracts, if any, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

For the year ended August 31, 2016, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statement of Operation was as follows:

Net Realized Gain (Loss) from: Futures contracts Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:	Commodity Contracts	 Equity Contracts	Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts	Interest Rate Contracts \$ (780,272)	Other Contracts	Total \$ (780,272)	
Futures contracts				\$ (15,754)		\$ (15,754)	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

Average Quarterly Balances of Outstanding Derivative Financial Instruments

Futures contracts:

Average notional value of contracts short

\$ 12,687,227

For more information about the Trust s investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	7	Γotal
Assets:					
Investments:					
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 291,202,891		\$ 29	1,202,891
Short-Term Securities	\$ 2,139,553				2,139,553
Total	\$ 2,139,553	\$ 291,202,891		\$ 293	3,342,444
Derivative Financial Instruments ²					
Assets:					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 23,423			\$	23,423
Liabilities:					
Interest rate contracts	(9,558)				(9,558)
Total	\$ 13,865			\$	13,865

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of period end, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

			Level	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for futures contracts	\$ 297,050			\$ 297,050
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates		\$ (25,054,116)		(25,054,116)
VMTP Shares at Liquidation Value		(79,900,000)		(79,900,000)
Total	\$ 297,050	\$ (104,954,116)		\$ (104,657,066)

During the year ended August 31, 2016, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

² Derivative financial instruments are futures contracts which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument.

Schedule of Investments August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Alabama 3.1%		
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC) (a):		
6.00%, 6/01/19	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,143,060
6.13%, 6/01/19	1,000	1,146,460
City of Birmingham Water Works Board, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 1/01/41 (b)	1,675	1,871,829
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	335	388,064
		4,549,413
California 15.8%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38	2,155	2,353,777
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	1,120	1,340,024
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	1,175	1,269,858
County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41	1,400	1,519,644
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/33	1,025	1,303,380
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding LRB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/19 (a)	3,210	3,612,598
Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/21 (a)	1,000	1,223,070
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Water, Refunding RB, Series B (AGC),	·	
5.38%, 8/01/19 (a)	1,125	1,276,796
State of California, GO, Refunding, 4.00%, 9/01/35 (b)	1,675	1,903,336
State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I:	1 000	1.257.660
5.50%, 11/01/30 5.50%, 11/01/31	1,000	1,257,660
·	1,500	1,881,885
State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33	505	625,852
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B,	200	454.005
5.50%, 8/01/40	380	471,895
University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38	2,355	2,866,082
		22,905,857
Colorado 3.9%		
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Sub-System, Series B, 5.25%, 11/15/32	3,250	3,965,195
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 5/15/19 (a)	1,425	1,625,711
		5,590,906
Florida 8.1%	4.505	5 262 216
City of Jacksonville Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/31	4,525	5,362,216
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	205	252,447 5,275,873
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/38	4,215 745	903,439
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/32	743	·
Coordin 210/		11,793,975
Georgia 2.1% City of Atlanta Georgia Department of Aviation, Refunding GARB, Series C, 6.00%, 1/01/30	2,500	3,035,875
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Illinois 22.2%		
City of Chicago Illinois, GARB, 3rd Lien: O Hare International Airport, Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	\$ 3,740	\$ 4,537,405
O Hare International Airport, Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41 O Hare International Airport, Series A, 5.75%, 1/01/39	. ,	\$ 4,537,405 964,747
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding GARB, O Hare International Airport, 3rd Lien, Series C (AGC),	825	
5.25%, 1/01/30 City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority PR:	1,000	1,124,940
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB: Federal Transit Administration, Section 5309, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,300	1,454,466

Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	3,185	3,546,911	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/40	3,000	3,330,090	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Federal Transit Administration,	5,000	3,330,070	
Section 5309 (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/28	3,000	3,258,540	
City of Chicago Illinois Wastewater Transmission, RB, 2nd Lien, 5.00%, 1/01/42	1,480	1,629,421	
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:	1,100	1,029,121	
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,250	1,456,625	
5.25%, 12/01/43	3,000	3,438,840	
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Carle Foundation, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/41	1,885	2,259,700	
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Presence Health Network, Series C, 4.00%, 2/15/41	570	577,296	
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:	370	377,290	
5.50%, 6/01/23	915	1,074,759	
6.00%, 6/01/28	260	311,132	
State of Illinois, GO:	200	311,132	
5.25%, 2/01/31	610	687,671	
5.25%, 2/01/32	1,000	1,125,230	
5.50%, 7/01/33	1,000	1,136,180	
5.50%, 7/01/38	270	304,301	
3.30 %, 1101130	270	304,301	
		22 210 254	
Y 1' 1 0.0'		32,218,254	
Indiana 1.9%			
Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A	0.415	2.696.077	
(AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38	2,415	2,686,977	
Louisiana 1.0%			
City of New Orleans Louisiana Aviation Board, Refunding GARB, Restructuring (AGC):	277	416.000	
Series A-1, 6.00%, 1/01/23	375	416,932	
Series A-2, 6.00%, 1/01/23	150	166,406	
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/29	790	868,368	
		1,451,706	
Massachusetts 0.7%			
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Emmanuel College Issue, Series A,			
4.00%, 10/01/46	1,000	1,053,460	
	1,000	1,053,460	
4.00%, 10/01/46	1,000 1,700	1,053,460 1,908,505	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3%	,	· ·	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36	,	· ·	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,	1,700	1,908,505	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,	1,700	1,908,505 1,387,377	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,	1,700	1,908,505	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a) Minnesota 2.8%	1,700	1,908,505 1,387,377	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a) Minnesota 2.8% City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC):	1,700	1,908,505 1,387,377 3,295,882	
4.00%, 10/01/46 Michigan 2.3% City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a) Minnesota 2.8%	1,700 1,205	1,908,505 1,387,377	

4,107,578

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Mississippi 2.3% Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM),		
6.88%, 12/01/40	\$ 1,500	\$ 2,034,225
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Mississippi State University		
Improvement Project, 5.25%, 8/01/38	1,000	1,228,520
		3,262,745
Nebraska 0.3%		10 - 1 - 2 -
County of Sarpy Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 1, Refunding RB, 3.00%, 5/15/46	505	486,653
Nevada 5.5% County of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 5.00%, 6/01/38	5,410	5,787,618
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Emilied Tax, 5.00%, 0.01738 County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/19 (a)	2,000	2,253,620
(a)	_,	_,,
		8,041,238
New Jersey 5.2%		0,011,250
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Series F, 3.00%, 7/01/40 (b)	430	429,987
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Virtua Health, Series A (AGC),		
5.50%, 7/01/38	1,300	1,459,120
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	1,540	1,636,235
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System: Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38	2,000	2,166,280
Series AA, 5.50%, 6/15/39	1,620	1,849,910
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-,020	-,,,,,,
		7,541,532
New York 4.0%		,,011,004
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-4 (AGC),		
5.50%, 1/15/29	2,465	2,739,034
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB:		
Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/38	1,565	1,871,224
Series A-1, 5.25%, 11/15/39	1,000	1,223,810
		5 924 069
Ohio 0.4%		5,834,068
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1,		
5.25%, 2/15/31	470	572,883
Pennsylvania 2.6%		
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/43	1,720	2,033,470
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO, 5.25%, 6/01/37	1,500	1,765,905
		3,799,375
South Carolina 3.0%	1.505	1 000 246
County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25%, 12/01/38 State of South Carolina Public Service Authority, Refunding RB:	1,525	1,889,246
Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/46	1,000	1,182,020
Series E, 5.25%, 12/01/55	1,000	1,205,630
		4,276,896
Texas 13.5%		
Austin Community College District Public Facility Corp., RB, Educational Facilities Project, Round		
Rock Campus, 5.25%, 8/01/18 (a)	2,000	2,175,680
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 3/01/37	980	1,191,886
City of Frisco Texas ISD, GO, School Building (AGC), 5.50%, 8/15/41	3,365 Par	3,801,104
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Texas (continued)	(000)	
City of Houston Texas Combined Utility System Revenue, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien,		
Series A (AGC):		
5.38%, 5/15/19 (a)	\$ 945	\$ 1,061,499
6.00%, 5/15/19 (a)	2,100	2,393,958
6.00%, 5/15/19 (a)	2,465	2,810,051

6.00%, 11/15/35	135	154,482	
6.00%, 11/15/36	115	131,596	
5.38%, 11/15/38	55	61,198	
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health,			
Series A (AGC):			
6.50%, 1/01/19 (a)	205	231,750	
6.50%, 7/01/37	795	888,905	
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, 5.50%, 5/15/33	1,000	1,222,800	
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, 1st Tier:			
(AGM), 6.00%, 1/01/43	1,000	1,184,860	
Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/19 (a)	1,500	1,674,630	
Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 3/15/38	440	535,366	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		10.510.765	
77. 1. 4.6		19,519,765	
Virginia 1.1%			
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 1/01/43	370	434,473	
Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,000	1,131,450	
		1,565,923	
Washington 1.5%		-,,	
City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/36	1,025	1,196,144	
State of Washington, GO, Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 2/01/36	795	932,965	
State of Washington, Go, Various Luposes, Genes B, 3.23%, 201130	173	732,703	
		2,129,109	
Wisconsin 0.9%			
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Medical College of Wisconsin,			
Inc., 4.00%, 12/01/46	1,170	1,281,501	
Total Municipal Bonds 104.2%		151,001,571	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)			
Alabama 0.8%			
	1.000	1 120 770	
Auburn University, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 6/01/41	1,000	1,120,770	
California 8.8%	10.600	10.714.647	
San Marcos Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.25%, 8/01/31	10,680	12,714,647	
Connecticut 1.1%			
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Credit			
Group, 5.00%, 12/01/45	1,306	1,591,407	
District of Columbia 0.6%			
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A,			
6.00%, 10/01/18 (a)(d)	759	843,587	
Illinois 5.6%			
State of Illinois Toll Highway Authority, RB, Senior:			
Priority, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40	825	991,323	
Priority, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/18	2,999	3,194,760	
Series B, 5.00%, 1/01/40	3,329	4,002,290	
		•	

8,188,373

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c) Kentucky 0.7%	Par (000)	Value	
Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27	\$ 898	\$ 986,711	
Michigan 2.2% Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 5.00%, 10/15/45 Nebraska 1.4%	2,650	3,170,619	
County of Sarpy Nebraska Hospital Authority No. 1, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 5/15/51 Nevada 4.8%	1,860	1,999,733	
County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO, Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/18 (a) Las Vegas Valley Water District, GO, Refunding, Series C, 5.00%, 6/01/28	2,000 4,100	2,195,440 4,792,304	
		6,987,744	
New Jersey 6.4% New Jersey EDA, RB, School Facilities Construction (AGC): 6.00%, 12/15/18 (a)	986	1,104,905	
6.00%, 12/15/16 (a)	14	15,635	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/38 (d)	6,020	7,056,584	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36 (d)	1,000	1,105,053	
		9,282,177	
New York 14.1%			
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System, 2nd General Resolution:			
Series BB, 5.25%, 6/15/44	4,993	5,986,924	
Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/45	3,019	3,580,676	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	900	989,154	
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47 (d)	1,000	1,178,852	
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series C-1, 5.25%, 11/15/56	2,540	3,180,334	
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated			
Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43	2,955	3,531,182	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	Par (000)	Value	
New York (continued)	(000)	, arac	
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center Project, 5.75%, 11/15/51 (d)	\$ 1,740	\$ 2,089,713	
		20,536,835	
North Carolina 2.0%			
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Duke University Project, Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/55 Pennsylvania 0.5%	2,400	2,924,016	
County of Westmoreland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 8/15/38 Texas 5.5%	580	689,545	
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Baylor Health Care System			
Project, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	4,456	5,205,924	
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects System, Series A, 5.50%, 9/01/41	2,310	2,753,913	
V. 1. 0.5		7,959,837	
Utah 0.7% City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41	1,005	1,103,868	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 55.2%		80,099,869	
Total Long-Term Investments		00,022,002	
(Cost \$206,309,197) 159.4%		231,101,440	

Short-Term Securities 0.5%

Shares

BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class, 0.41% (e)(f)	704,474	704,474
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$704,474) 0.5%		704,474
Total Investments (Cost \$207,013,671) 159.9%		231,805,914
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (1.8)%		(2,547,384)
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including		
Interest Expense and Fees Payable (29.0)%		(42,131,630)
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (29.1)%		(42,200,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$	144,926,900

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) When-issued security.
- (c) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Trust. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (d) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement. The aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to July 1, 2020, is \$7,490,025. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.
- (e) During the year ended August 31, 2016, investments in issuers considered to be affiliates of the Trust for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at August 31, 2015	Net Activity	Shares Held at August 31, 2016	Value August 31, 2016	_	Net come
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional						
Class		704,474	704,474	\$ 704,474	\$	646
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	953,611	(953,611)				125
Total				\$ 704,474	\$	771

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

Unrealized

(f) Current yield as of period end.

Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of Period End

Futures Contracts

			Appreciation	
Contracts			Notional	
Short	Issue	Expiration	Value (Depreciation)	
(16)	5-Year U.S. Treasury Note	December 2016	\$ 1,940,000 \$ 2,978	
(31)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	December 2016	\$ 4,058,578 9,463	
(18)	Long U.S. Treasury Bond	December 2016	\$ 3,066,750 3,878	
(5)	Ultra U.S. Treasury Bond	December 2016	\$ 937,344 (3,414)	
Total	· ·		\$ 12,905	

Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure

As of period end, the fair values of derivative financial instruments located in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities were as follows:

		Commodity	Credit	Equity	Foreign Currency Exchange	Interest Rate	Other	
Assets Derivative Finance	cial Instruments	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Futures contracts	Net unrealized appreciation ¹					\$ 16,319		\$ 16,319
Liabilities Derivative Fir	nancial Instruments							
Futures contracts	Net unrealized depreciation ¹					\$ 3,414		\$ 3,414

¹ Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on futures contracts, if any, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

For the year ended August 31, 2016, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operation was as follows:

Average Quarterly Balances of Outstanding Derivative Financial Instruments

Futures contracts: Average notional value of contracts purchased. \$ 4,595,0631 Average notional value of contracts short \$ 8,184,162

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2016

Actual amounts for the period are shown due to limited outstanding derivative financial instruments as of each quarter.

For more information about the Trust s investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	\$ 231,101,440		\$ 231,101,440
\$ 704,474			704,474
\$ 704,474	\$ 231,101,440		\$ 231,805,914
	\$ 704,474	\$ 231,101,440 \$ 704,474	\$ 231,101,440 \$ 704,474

Derivative Financial Instruments ²		
Assets:		
Interest rate contracts	\$ 16,319	\$ 16,319
Liabilities:		
Interest rate contracts	(3,414)	(3,414)
Total	\$ 12,905	\$ 12,905

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

² Derivative financial instruments are futures contracts which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument.

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of period end, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for futures contracts	\$ 145,800			\$ 145,800
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates		\$ (42,089,435)		(42,089,435)
VMTP Shares at Liquidation Value		(42,200,000)		(42,200,000)
-				
Total	\$ 145,800	\$ (84,289,435)		\$ (84,143,635)

During the year ended August 31, 2016, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments August 31, 2016

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Alabama 4.0%			
City of Birmingham Alabama Airport Authority, ARB, (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/40	\$ 5,800	\$ 6,648,192	
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital			
(AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/19 (a)	1,495	1,708,875	
City of Birmingham Albama, GO, Convertible CAB, Series A1, 0.00%, 3/01/45 (b)	1,165	1,230,927	
County of Jefferson Alabama, RB, Limited Obligation School, Series A, 4.75%, 1/01/25	2,800	2,816,268	
State of Alabama Docks Department, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 10/01/40	3,800	4,512,538	
		16,916,800	
Alaska 0.3%			
Alaska Industrial Development & Export Authority, RB, Providence Health Services, Series A,			
5.50%, 10/01/41	1,070	1,247,320	
Arizona 0.8%			
Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/38	1,500	1,583,370	
State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM):			
5.00%, 10/01/27	1,250	1,395,462	
5.25%, 10/01/28	250	280,980	
		3,259,812	
California 14.7%			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, St. Joseph Health System, Series A,			
5.75%, 7/01/39	625	709,037	
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, Bay Area Toll Bridges, 1st Lien,			
Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/28 (a)	10,100	13,696,206	
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series A, (AGM):			
5.00%, 5/01/17 (a)	3,040	3,130,926	
5.00%, 11/01/32	4,960	5,106,171	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente, Series A,			
5.00%, 4/01/42	1,620	1,887,624	
Coast Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/18			
(a)(b)	7,450	8,078,482	
Monterey Peninsula Community College District, GO, CAB, Series C (AGM) (a)(c):	10.575	6.751.700	
0.00%, 2/01/18	13,575	6,751,798	
0.00%, 2/01/18	14,150	6,679,224	
Mount San Antonio Community College District, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2008, Series A, 0.00%, 8/01/43 (b)	1,580	1,403,609	