

NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

Form 10-K

April 01, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

Commission file number: 001-16123

NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-3504638
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

212 West 35th Street, 2nd Floor New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10001
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 356-9500

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Shares, par value \$0.02 per share

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (all as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$32,531,000 as of the last business day of the registrant's second fiscal quarter of 2012.

As of March 29, 2013 there were 35,311,888 shares issued and outstanding of the registrant's Common Shares, par value \$0.02 per share.

Table of Contents**EXPLANATORY NOTE**

The Company has restated its audited consolidated financial statements and other financial information for the year ended December 31, 2011, and its unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012, three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. This restatement was caused by losses related to understated merchant chargeback reserves in each of the affected periods. The Company identified the matter through an internal review of its chargeback reserves during the 2012 year-end closing process. The incident involved violations of Company policies and procedures by a member of senior management in Universal Processing Services of Wisconsin, LLC, (UPS), d/b/a Newtek Merchant Solutions of WI, in the Electronic payment processing segment which resulted in the understatement of chargeback loss reserves for a group of merchants introduced to the Company by a single independent sales agent. The Company and its Audit Committee retained a consulting firm with extensive expertise in the credit card payments industry to conduct a detailed and in-depth investigation in the areas in which the former employee was involved. Also included was an analysis of the steps appropriate to ensure future compliance with the Company's accounting policies and practices relating to merchant chargeback reserves and to determine if the foregoing matter required modification of its conclusions regarding the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Both the internal and independent investigations were completed prior to issuance of these financial statements. Based on those investigations it was concluded that the incident was an isolated event specifically related to the one independent sales agent and its group of merchants. There were no other irregularities noted by either the internal or independent reviews that could have affected the Company's consolidated financial results. In addition, the Audit Committee engaged special counsel to review the work of both the internal investigation team and the independent consultant, and advise the Company on the matter and the adequacy of the Company's response in particular. Special counsel issued a report fully coincident with the foregoing conclusions. The Company has begun the collection process to ascertain if any of the loss can be recouped by the Company.

On February 1, 2013, the Company announced the provision for a charge-back loss in its Electronic payment processing segment of \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Subsequent to this announcement, management concluded that the correction of the understatements required the Company restate its financial statements for the periods ended December 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012, and that this provision for chargeback loss be spread over the affected periods beginning with the fourth quarter of 2011 and throughout 2012. See the further discussion of this matter in Note 26 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See, also, Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

The impact over the periods is as follows:

(Amounts In Thousands)	For the			
	Quarter and Year Ended	For the Quarter Ended		
	12/31/11	3/31/12	6/30/12	9/30/12
Electronic Payment Processing Expense	\$ 244	\$ 472	\$ (16)	\$ 275
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (244)	\$ (472)	\$ 16	\$ (275)
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ (146)	\$ (284)	\$ 10	\$ (165)
Income (loss) per share Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$
Income (loss) per share Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$ (0.01)
Deferred tax asset	\$ 98			
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 244	\$ 528	\$ 518	\$ 683

The Company's management has determined that the Company had material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting at the end of each such period as described in more detail in Item 9A. Controls and Procedures of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item		Page
	<u>PART I</u>	
1.	<u>Business</u>	4
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	16
1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	24
2.	<u>Properties</u>	24
3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	24
4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	24
	<u>PART II</u>	
5.	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	25
6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	25
7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26
7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	63
8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	63
9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	63
9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	63
9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	65
	<u>PART III</u>	
10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	66
11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	71
12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	80
13.	<u>Certain Relationships, Related Party Transactions and Director Independence</u>	81
14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	81
	<u>PART IV</u>	
15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	82
	<u>Signatures</u>	84
	Exhibits Index	
	<u>Financial Statements</u>	85

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Overview

Newtek Business Services, Inc. (we, the Company or Newtek), *The Small Business Authority* provides financial and business services to the small- and medium-sized business market. Our website, www.thesba.com, and our heightened branding strategy enable us to offer small businesses the ability to grow and prosper by obtaining from us:

Electronic Payment Processing: Credit card, debit card, check conversion and ACH processing solutions

Ecommerce Services: Combinations of payment processing, online shopping cart tools, web site design, web hosting and web related services which enable businesses to establish a presence and commercial capability on the Internet in a quick and simple fashion

Business Lending: Business loans for working capital, to acquire or expand a business or for the purchase of machinery and equipment

Managed Technology Solutions: Full service web hosting, including domain registration and online shopping cart tools; cloud computing plans and customized web design and development services

The Newtek Advantage : A mobile, real-time operating platform for business intelligence putting all critical business transactions in real-time and enabling a business to access data on a smartphone, tablet, laptop or PC for eCommerce, credit/ debit transactions, website statistics, payroll, insurance and business loans.

Data Backup, Storage and Retrieval: Fast, secure, off-site data backup, storage and retrieval

Accounts Receivable Financing: Receivable financing and management services, lines of credit collateralized by receivable accounts

Insurance Services: Nationwide commercial, health and benefits, and personal lines of insurance

Payroll: Payroll management processing and employee tax filing.

During 2012 we derived revenue through the sale of a business service or product to over 100,000 business accounts. We use state of the art, web-based proprietary technology to provide low cost products and services to our small- and medium-sized business clients. We acquire our customers through internal and independent sales teams and referrals through alliances with Fortune 500[®] companies, community banks, credit unions and others, all of whom have elected to offer one or more of our business services and financial products rather than try to provide them directly for their customers or members. Our alliance partners have historically interfaced with Newtek through their use of our patented, proprietary NewTracker[®] referral and tracking system which enables complete transparency in the referral process. In 2012, we continued our major emphasis on placing resources behind the development of our coordinated marketing and media program focused on our branding strategy, featuring *The Small Business Authority* website, all intended to present the Company to the small, independent business audience as the authoritative presence in the small- and medium-sized business space across many areas of operations.

History

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Newtek is a corporation formed under the laws of New York that serves as a holding company for several wholly- and majority-owned subsidiaries. We were founded in 1998 to provide debt and equity financing to small- and medium-sized businesses. We have since developed our branded line of business and financial products and services for the small- and medium-sized business market. At December 31, 2012, we had 6 principal subsidiaries, and 30 other minor controlled entities, most of which are direct providers of financial and business services.

Initially, Newtek was the sponsor of a total of 16 certified capital companies, which we call Capcos, now reduced to 12. We have not created any new Capcos since 2005, although we continue to make investments in and loans to small businesses through our existing Capcos and meet the goals of the Capco programs. We are now concentrating our efforts on becoming The Small Business Authority by creating a distribution channel for business and financial services provided by our subsidiaries, affiliates or marketing partners for the small- and medium-sized business market supported by a coordinated direct, national advertising campaign implemented in September 2012. At present, we are placing 190 sixty second commercials on television each month.

Table of Contents

Business Strategy

Key elements of our strategy to grow our business are:

Continue to focus our business model to serve the small- and medium-sized business market. We are focused on developing and marketing business and financial products and services aimed at the small- and medium-sized business market. Our target market represents a very significant marketplace in the United States based on non-farm private gross domestic product (GDP). According to statistics published by the U.S. Small Business Administration (the SBA), approximately 51% of the GDP in the United States comes from small businesses and approximately 99% of businesses in the United States which have one or more employees fit into this market segment. Our business model is to get that market to view us as The Small Business Authority and come to depend on us as their source for business and financial services as well as the business information they need. We intend to continue to leverage the Newtek® and The Small Business Authority® brands as a one-stop-shop provider for the small- and medium sized business market.

Continue to implement a strategy of acquiring customers and processing their business at low cost. We seek to acquire customers at a low cost through a national strategy centered on our alliance partners, internet marketing, coordinated marketing, social media and our NewTracker technology. Our alliance partners use our proprietary NewTracker referral system to refer customers to us for sales and customer tracking and processing. NewTracker distributes the referral to our appropriate business segment or segments for fulfillment while keeping our alliance partners up to date on the customer s progress in real time with detailed documentation. We use the same proprietary system as our gateway for direct sales through our websites and our BizExec program. In addition, during 2012 we placed significant resources into direct media advertising under the banner of our The Small Business Authority mark. This ties together significant national media exposure through television and radio advertising, design and production of our Small Business Index and SB Market Sentiment Surveys reflecting our polling and assessment of business conditions for small- and medium-sized businesses, the active use of social media marketing, and website (www.thesba.com). Notwithstanding our emphasis on our alliance partners as a source of customers, in January 2013 we opened small offices in California and Kentucky consisting of industry professionals in small business lending and merchant processing customer acquisition. We believe that this additional channel will enable these businesses to grow without adding material costs.

Continue to develop our state-of-the-art technology to process business applications and financial transactions. We continue to update our proprietary systems to take advantage of technological advances that provide state of the art enhancements in client service and process controls which lead to lower costs. During 2012 we completed the development of the Newtek Advantage , our Internet (or cloud) based operating platform, which has a patent pending, to integrate customer reports for all of our business services in a simple, straightforward mobile application accessible by the business in real time and at any time.

Continue our focus on the Internet and The Small Business Authority mark. Our major goal continues to be to focus the Small Business Authority branding strategy and to establish thesba.com as the online destination spot for small business. During 2012 we revised and updated this website to add additional features. Features of thesba.com that have impact on small business owners include:

Free monthly newsletters designed and written for independent, small business owners

The Small Business Authority Index

The Small Business Authority Market Sentiment Survey

Regular news reports and updates about the economy for the small business owner

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Informative and engaging SB authority informational videos

Pertinent information on acquiring products and services for independent business owners to prosper

Continue to fulfill our obligations under the current Capco programs. Our emphasis is on continuing our exemplary regulatory compliance program in order to complete successfully the investment cycles for all Capcos. At December 31, 2012, we reached the final minimum investment requirements in all Capco programs in which we participated. We believe this ensures that 100% of the tax credits related to the programs are beyond risk of recapture. In addition, as of that date, all of the cash payments required to be made to the investors have been made. As the Capcos reach 100 percent investment we will seek to decertify them as Capcos, liquidate their remaining assets and thereby reduce our operational costs, particularly the legal and accounting costs associated with compliance. Four of our original Capcos have reached this stage.

Table of Contents

Principal Business Segments

Overview

The Company's principal business segments, which we operate in a coordinated manner in order to provide business and financial services to the small- and medium-sized business market, are:

Electronic Payment Processing: Marketing third party credit card processing and check approval services to the small- and medium-sized business market under the name of Newtek Merchant Solutions.

Managed Technology Solutions: Offers shared and dedicated web hosting, data storage and backup services, cloud computing plans and related services to the small- and medium-sized business market.

Small Business Finance: This segment is comprised of Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc. (NSBF), a nationally licensed, SBA lender that originates, sells and services loans to qualifying small businesses, which are partially guaranteed by the SBA, and CDS Business Services, Inc. d/b/a Newtek Business Credit (NBC), which provides receivable financing, revolving lines of credit and management services. An additional subsidiary, Small Business Lending, Inc., engages in loan servicing for non-SBA loans.

All Other: Businesses formed from investments made through Capco programs and others which cannot be aggregated with other operating segments, including insurance and payroll processing.

Corporate Activities: Corporate implements business strategy, directs marketing, provides technology oversight and guidance, coordinates and integrates activities of the segments, contracts with alliance partners, acquires customer opportunities and owns our proprietary NewTracker referral system. This segment includes revenue and expenses not allocated to other segments, including interest income, Capco management fee income and corporate operations expenses.

Capcos: Twelve certified capital companies which invest in small- and medium-sized businesses. They generate non-cash income from tax credits and non-cash interest and insurance expenses in addition to cash management fees and expenses.

Financial information for each segment can be found in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, Segment Results and Note 24-Segment Reporting to the Consolidated Financial Statements, below.

Electronic Payment Processing

Newtek Merchant Solutions (NMS) markets credit and debit card processing services, check approval services and ancillary processing equipment and software to merchants who accept credit cards, debit cards, checks and other non-cash forms of payment. New merchants are acquired through several sales channels. Our primary focus is on developing new merchant sales leads as a result of internal sales efforts and our direct marketing under *The Small Business Authority* brand. NMS has targeted the marketing of its array of services under agreements with alliance partners, which are principally financial institutions, including banks, credit unions and other related businesses that are able to refer potential customers to NMS through Newtek's NewTracker referral system. In addition, we enter into agreements with independent sales agents throughout the country. These referring organizations and associations are typically paid a percentage of the processing revenue derived from the respective merchants that they successfully refer to us. In 2012, we processed merchant transactions with a sales volume exceeding \$4.2 billion, up over \$400 million from the previous year, including merchant portfolios operated by our other subsidiaries which are serviced by NMS. Our customer base and the related sales volume processed by us has grown significantly during each year of operations since 2002 through a combination of organic growth in customers as well as selective merchant portfolio acquisitions. Our merchant base has grown from approximately 1,200 merchants at the end of 2002 to approximately 14,100 merchants at the end of 2012. In January 2013, we made significant changes in the management of this segment, adding Eric Turille, with over 20 years of experience in large scale payment processing acquisition businesses, including as the President of First National Bank of Omaha, Merchant Services, COO and Head of Sales at Vital Processing and COO of Retriever Merchant Services. Mr. Turille has also served on several VISA and MasterCard committees. We intend to build the growth and increased profitability of this segment with Mr. Turille's expertise and knowledge.

We maintain two main customer service and sales support offices in Milwaukee, Wisconsin and Brownsville, Texas with additional specialists located in Phoenix, Arizona and New York. Our personnel at these locations assist merchants with initial installation of equipment and on-going service, as well as any other special processing needs that they may have.

Table of Contents

Because we are not a bank, we are unable to belong to and directly access the Visa® and MasterCard® bankcard associations and we must be sponsored by a bank in order to process bankcard transactions. We are currently registered with Visa® and MasterCard® through the sponsorship of two banks that are members of the card associations, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and, since January 2011 Redwood Merchant Services, a division of Westamerica.

Our electronic payment processing businesses rely on our ability to obtain data processing services. There are two aspects to the processing: the initial authorization of a payment (referred to as the front-end processing) and the merchant credit and cardholder charge transaction (the back end processing). In 2009, we signed agreements with Wells Fargo Bank to diversify our processing operations and with First Data Corporation to reduce our costs. We contract with several large-scale data processing companies to provide the front-end and back-end processing (Chase Paymentech Solutions, LLC, First Data Merchant Services Corporation (multiple platforms), Total Systems Services, Inc. (TSYS), and National Processing Company (NPC)); these multiple platforms allow us to compete more effectively, reduce our risk of reliance on any one source, and give us the option of utilizing different processors to match the needs of particular merchants or situations. As our merchant base has grown, we believe that we have been able to achieve greater economies of scale in terms of negotiating the cost structure for providing such settlement services.

During 2012 we continued to work with an affiliate, Secure Gateway Services, LLC, which has the software and experience to provide a processing gateway facility for our payment processing business. This has resulted in 2012 in integrating customer payment processing data with our Newtek Advantage software platform providing a mobile application allowing the customer to monitor charge processing activity in real time.

As a result of our exposure to liability for merchant fraud, charge-backs and other losses inherent in the merchant payment processing business, we have developed practices and policies which attempt to assess and reduce these risks. Activities in which we engage in order to mitigate such risks are:

underwriting the initial application of a merchant to identify unusual risks, structuring the relationship in a manner consistent with acceptable risks and, where possible, obtaining a personal or parent corporation guarantee from the merchant;

monitoring the daily and monthly activity of each merchant to identify any departures from normative charging behavior of each merchant and monitoring the largest of our merchants and those with high levels of refunds or charge-backs, so as to ensure an opportunity to address any credit or charge-back liability problems at the earliest possible time; and

requiring high-risk merchants to agree to the establishment of cash reserves to protect us against merchant failures to pay for charge-backs and other fees, and making adjustments in these reserves as merchant experience indicates.

Our development and growth are focused on selling our services to internally generated referrals, merchant referrals identified for us by our alliance partners, and, with increasing emphasis in January 2013, by our independent sales representatives, at which time we added a team of professionals experienced in the development and management of large scale independent sales forces. We are still different than most electronic payment processing companies who acquire their clients primarily through independent agents. We believe that our business model provides us with a competitive advantage by enabling us to acquire new electronic payment processing merchants at a lower cost level for third-party commissions than the industry average. Our business model allows us to own the customer as well as the stream of residual payments, as opposed to models which rely more heavily on independent sales agents.

Managed Technology Solutions

Through our subsidiary, CrystalTech Web Hosting, Inc. d/b/a/ Newtek Technology Services® (NTS), we provide website hosting, dedicated server hosting, cloud hosting, web design and development, internet marketing, ecommerce, data storage and backup, and other related services to more than 132,000 customer accounts in 120 countries.

NTS provides a full suite of outsourced IT infrastructure services, including shared server hosting, dedicated server hosting, and cloud server (virtual) instances under the Newtek Technology Services®, Newtek Web Services®, Newtek Web Hosting, and CrystalTech® brands, for which it receives recurring monthly fees, as well as other fees such as set-up fees, consulting fees, domain name registration, among others. Ninety percent of all fees are paid in advance by credit card.

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

NTS has recognized the continuing decline in Microsoft being utilized in the design of web sites and the market shift to Linux, Nginx and a proliferation of Word Press sites being built on non-Microsoft based platforms. This decline has caused a marked downward trend in the historical site count of NTS relating to Microsoft hosted sites. NTS has responded by launching Linux Apache and Linux Nginx platforms within its environment and created associated control panels, service/support and billing to participate more fully in 100% of the market as compared to the present 33% of the new web design growth represented by Microsoft. All platforms are available within NTS's Cloud and non-cloud environment and are fully managed offerings as compared to our competitors. In addition, Newtek has created a proprietary platform and filed an associated patent for Newtek Advantage which leverages NTS's underlying technologies to deliver real time information and actionable business intelligence to its existing and new customer base.

Table of Contents

NTS has launched a complete line of cloud based business and eCommerce packages, Cloud Spaces, to streamline the decision process for business owners and accommodate designers and developers that wish to build sites in both Microsoft and Linux environments.

NTS's Cloud offerings provide for a consumption-based hosting model that allows customers to pay only for the resources they need, which not only saves them money compared to traditional server hosting, but also enables them to scale larger or smaller on demand.

NTS delivers services not just to customers seeking hosting, but also to wholesalers, resellers, and web developers by offering a range of tools for them to build, resell, and deliver their web content. NTS primarily uses the Microsoft Windows® 2008 R2 platform to power its technology. Microsoft has described NTS as one of the largest hosting services in the world providing Microsoft Windows hosting. NTS currently operates a 5,000 square foot fortress-strength data center located in Scottsdale, Arizona, utilizing redundant networking, electrical and back-up systems, affording customers what management believes to be a state-of-the-art level of performance and security. NTS is PCI certified, Service Organization Control 1 (SOC 1) audited, and is currently completing a SASE 16 audit, all of which mean that it meets the highest industry standards for data security.

Throughout its operations as a Newtek company, over seventy percent of new NTS customers have come as a result of referrals without material expenditures by the Company for marketing or advertising. Many of NTS's competitors are very price sensitive, offering minimal services at cut-rate pricing. While being cost competitive with most Linux- and Windows-based web hosting services, NTS has emphasized higher quality uptime, service and support as well as multiple control panel environments for the designer and developer community.

NTS has diversified its product offerings to small- and medium-sized businesses under different brands, all under Newtek Technology Services, including Newtek Hosting, Newtek Web Services, Newtek Data Storage® and Newtek Web Design and Development®. NTS focuses specifically on select markets such as restaurants, financial institutions, medical practices, law firms, accountants, retail and technology service providers for channel business and reselling.

NTS has also launched a turnkey hosting service to meet financial institution needs for dedicated servers, hosting and/or data storage, enabling these entities to comply with strict regulatory requirements that demand very high security protocols and practices be in place.

Small Business Finance

We originate SBA loans and offer accounts receivable financing and other lending products essential for small- and medium-sized businesses. In addition, we provide small business loan servicing and consulting to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc. (NSBF) specializes in originating, servicing and selling small business loans guaranteed by the SBA for the purpose of acquiring commercial real estate, machinery, equipment and inventory and to refinance debt and fund franchises, working capital and business acquisitions. NSBF is one of 14 SBA licensed Small Business Lending Corporations that provide loans nationwide under the Federal Section 7(a) loan program (SBA 7(a) loans). NSBF has received preferred lender program (PLP) status, a designation whereby the SBA authorizes the most experienced SBA lenders to place SBA guarantees on loans without seeking prior SBA review and approval. Being a national lender, PLP status allows NSBF to serve its clients in an expedited manner since it is not required to present applications to the SBA for concurrent review and approval. In December 2010, Standard & Poor's (S&P) Ratings Services added NSBF to their Select Servicer List which has been helpful to the Company in obtaining additional outside servicing contracts.

We originate loans ranging from \$50,000 to \$5,000,000 to both startup and existing businesses, which use the funds for a wide range of business needs including:

opening, expanding or acquiring a business or franchise: \$50,000 to \$5,000,000;

financing working capital:

SBA term loans: at least \$50,000

Purchase equipment: \$25,000 to \$5,000,000

Table of Contents

purchasing owner-occupied commercial real estate and leasehold improvements: up to \$5,000,000; and

refinancing existing non-real-estate business debt: \$25,000 to \$5,000,000.

Late in 2009, we were selected by the FDIC as its contractor to manage and service portfolios of SBA 7(a) loans acquired by the FDIC from failed financial institutions. In addition, we assist the FDIC in the packaging of these loans for sale. During November 2012, the FDIC was successful in selling a significant group of loans with our assistance. Our existing servicing facilities and personnel perform these activities supplemented by contract workers as needed. The size of the portfolio we will service for the FDIC varies and depends on the level of bank failures and the needs of the FDIC in managing portfolios acquired from those banks. As of December 31, 2012, we were servicing approximately \$110,400,000 in loans under this contract, in addition to the other approximately \$418,300,000 loans we service.

In December 2010 and December 2011, NSBF structured a securitization transaction which enabled it to sell approximately \$43,500,000 of the unguaranteed portions of loans it has originated in previous years. The securities sold were rated AA by S&P. A second securitization transaction was completed in March 2013 wherein NSBF sold approximately \$23,569,000 of the unguaranteed portions of additional loans with an additional pool of \$5,880,000 of funding for future loans. These securities were sold with an A-rating by S&P. NSBF typically retains the unguaranteed portions of the loans it originates and incurs related warehouse financing costs. With the sales, NSBF was able to create significant liquidity which was used to pay down the warehouse line and to fund new loans. We expect to continue this process as market and other conditions permit.

We also offer accounts receivable financing and management services through CDS Business Services, Inc. d/b/a Newtek Business Credit (NBC). Through this service, small- and medium-sized businesses can obtain \$10,000 to \$2,000,000 per month through the sale of their trade receivables or through a revolving line of credit collateralized by receivable accounts. In addition, NBC offers back office receivables services for small businesses, such as billing and cash collections.

In addition, we offer merchant cash advance services to our small business customers, under which merchants obtain cash by selling future credit card receivables at a discount. Under this program, the merchant receives the purchase amount upfront and agrees to have a set percentage of the credit card sales deducted from its daily deposits and remitted back until the purchase amount is repaid; in most cases we process the payment streams. We offer these services as an agent for third party providers and are not taking on credit risk in connection with these services.

All Other: Insurance and Payroll Processing Services

We offer small business insurance products and services through Newtek Insurance Agency, LLC (NIA), which is licensed in 50 states. We serve as a retail and wholesale agency specializing in the sale of personal, commercial and health/benefits lines insurance products to customers of all our affiliated companies as well as our alliance partners. We offer insurance products from multiple insurance carriers providing a wide range of choice for our customers. We have formed a strategic alliance with American International Group, E-Insure, Credit Union National Association, Pershing and others to provide agent services to small business clients. We are continuing our efforts to implement programs with alliance partners to market commercial and personal insurance. In December 2012, NIA working with another of our companies acquired a portfolio of insurance business from a major health care insurance agency based in the New York City area. This has added approximately 340 group health insurance policies that we are servicing and will form the basis on which we plan to grow this aspect of the insurance business. We expect also that recent health care legislation will increase the demand for these services among small and medium-sized businesses.

During 2011 we placed significant emphasis on developing the business conducted by our majority-owned, affiliated company operating under the trade name of Newtek Payroll Services. This investment was originally made in 2010, and enables the Company to offer an array of industry standard and very competitively priced payroll management, payment and tax reporting services to small- and medium-sized businesses. Based in New York, Newtek Payroll Services has built up its business during 2012 to approximately 355 customers in 35 states with total payroll under management of approximately 1,660 employees, of which approximately 20% were Newtek employees, an increase in customer count of 393% and employee count of 137%. These payroll services are being marketed through all of our available channels including the alliance partnerships and our direct marketing campaigns.

Table of Contents

Corporate Activities

Corporate implements business strategy, directs marketing, provides technology oversight and guidance, coordinates and integrates activities of the segments, contracts with alliance partners, acquires customer opportunities and owns our proprietary NewTracker referral system and all other intellectual property rights. This segment includes revenue and expenses not allocated to other segments, including interest income, Capco management fee income and corporate operations expenses.

Certified Capital Companies

We have deemphasized our Capco business in favor of growing our operating businesses and do not anticipate creating any new Capcos. While observing all requirements of the Capco programs and, in particular, financing qualified businesses meeting applicable state requirements as to limitations on the proportion of ownership of qualified businesses, we have been able to use this funding source as a means to facilitate the growth of our businesses, which are strategically focused on providing goods and services to small businesses such as those in which our Capcos invest. We continue to invest in and lend to small businesses through our existing Capcos and meet the goals of the Capco programs.

Marketing

Overview

We position ourselves as a provider of business and financial services to the small to medium business sector in the United States. Through integrated marketing and sales of each service line we control our customer's experience in order to provide high quality service to both our marketing partners and potential customers. We reach potential customers through our multi-channel approach featuring direct, indirect and direct outbound solicitation efforts.

Although we continue to utilize and grow our core marketing channel of strategic alliance partners, we have initiated a direct marketing strategy to the small- and medium-sized business customers through our new go to market brand, The Small Business Authority. Through this brand we are establishing ourselves as the authority in each of the service lines we provide through a coordinated radio and television advertising campaign built around our new web presence, www.thesba.com. We continue to market through our bilingual 24/7 call center which we believe is a valuable feature for most small business owners that need help during non-business hours and on weekends. We use web-based applications as an in-house tool to help our employees and associates to be efficient, smart and productive. Instead of using expensive, six-figured salaried employees that a typical bank or an insurance agency would use to market financial products and business services to small- and medium-sized business customers, we use technology and dedicated loyal non-executive-salary-plus-bonus employees. The addition of the direct to market strategy through promotion of our new web site supports our goal to maintain costs and retain greater margin on each transaction as well as providing our competent in house business service specialists the ability to create a second and third product and service opportunities.

We believe that our business service specialists on all product lines understand the needs of the small business owner. Each business service specialists in the enterprise has recently completed our Newtek University which provides in depth training and techniques in identifying qualified opportunities across all of Newtek's service offerings. We conduct telephone interviews and targeted surveys with our customers across all product lines to deepen our understanding of their needs. We have tailored our procedures so our small- and medium-sized business customers do not have to fill out multiple handwritten forms or type multiple data entry screens, which we believe is the most aggravating factor facing our customers. We have modeled our back-office and business operations after customer centered operational models. We stress our responsive customer service and we endeavor to excel in addressing and resolving issues and problems that our customers may face. We are now providing our 24/7 customer service functions in Spanish as well as English to service the growing Hispanic-owned and -operated small business customer base in the United States.

We also market our services through referrals from our alliance partners such as AIG, Credit Union National Association, Iberia Bank, Pershing, New York Community Bank, and Morgan Stanley Smith Barney, using our proprietary NewTracker referral system as well as direct referrals from our new web presence, www.thesba.com. Additional referrals are obtained from individual professionals in geographic markets that have signed up to provide referrals and earn commissions through our BizExec and TechExec Programs. These individuals are traditionally information technology professionals, CPAs, independent insurance agents and sales and/or marketing professionals. In addition, electronic payment processing services are marketed through independent sales representatives and web technology and ecommerce services are marketed through internet-based marketing and third-party resellers. A common thread across all business lines relates to acquiring customers at low cost. We seek to bundle our marketing efforts through our brand, our portal, our proprietary NewTracker technology, our new web presence as *The Small Business Authority* and one easy entry point of contact.

Table of Contents

We have implemented a multi-channel marketing strategy that consists of:

Direct: In September 2012, the Company launched a redesign of the corporate website, www.thesba.com. The goal of the new site is to focus on helping business owners succeed by providing them high quality business services, testimonials, case studies and a daily blog. In addition, the site delivers promotions to aid in acquiring clients and creating higher levels of customer referrals. The website is consistent with Newtek's national television campaign and on-line messaging, positioning it as a national provider of business services and financial products to the more than 27 million small and independently owned and operated businesses across the United States.

In September 2012 Newtek also selected Ocean Media, LLC as their media planner and buyer. Ocean Media specializes in media planning and buying for the delivery of effective television and advertising strategies. It has created innovative media campaigns for several companies such as Priceline.com, Angieslist.com and Overstock.com. Newtek commercials are aired on CNN, Fox Business, Fox News, Headline News, MSNBC and the Outdoor channel.

To supplement our direct marketing efforts, we have developed two proprietary vehicles to enhance the visibility and credibility of *The Small Business Authority* and the related website *thesba.com*. The Small Business Index was developed internally and trademarked during 2011. It is a monthly assessment of various factors indicative of business conditions in the small business market. Since its introduction, it has received a great deal of publicity and is now quoted in numerous national publications. In addition, we also introduced in 2011 our internally developed *SB Market Sentiment Survey*, which captures responses from our website visitors on topics of significant importance to our small business customers. This survey has also gained much national attention. We believe that both of these efforts add significantly to our marketing efforts and further support our efforts to become the one-stop-shop for all small businesses.

A final addition to our direct marketing efforts is our effort to give *The Small Business Authority* a social media presence. We have dedicated staff familiar with the latest developments in social media and we have been active in placing blog articles, videos and special promotions on numerous social media sites. We distribute this type of content multiple times daily and attempt to engage with customers and others on a similar basis. Our staff follows numerous blog sites related to our businesses and attempts to post relevant materials and information that both addresses small and medium sized business needs and interests and identifies the ways in which *The Small Business Authority* can address many of those needs.

Indirect: Our alliance partners market one or more of our services to their customer base or members, and utilize NewTracker to submit referrals to Newtek from either their website or directly by their staff. Through our BizExec and TechExec Programs, we are recruiting individual professionals such as insurance agents, lawyers and accountants, or website designers or software developers, who utilize NewTracker through a link to NewTracker from their own site or the establishment of a new website.

Direct Outbound: We combined all data assets into a seamless, enterprise-wide, accessible master database in order to facilitate cross marketing, selling and servicing, real-time data mining and business intelligence. We have established a dedicated team to use our master database for cross marketing, selling and servicing.

The Newtek Referral System

Our proprietary NewTracker[®] referral system, on which a patent has been issued, allows us to process new business utilizing a web-based, centralized processing point. In-bound referrals from alliance partners, our website and other sources are transmitted to our businesses to provide the service or services our customers need. Our trained representatives use these web-based applications as a tool to acquire and process data through telephonic interviews, eliminating the need for face-to-face contact and the requirement that a customer complete multiple paper forms or data entry for multiple product lines. This approach is customer friendly, allows us to process applications very efficiently and allows us to store client information for further processing and cross-selling efforts while offering what we believe to be the highest level of customer service. It also assures our alliance partners full transaction transparency. This system permits our alliance partners to have a window to our back office processing 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to see every communication and interaction between our sales and processing representatives and their referred customers while still preserving the privacy of customer or alliance partner sensitive data on the application. NewTracker enables the processing and tracking of services in a manner similar to the bar code system used by overnight delivery services. We believe that NewTracker is a key differentiating component of our business. It enables us to scale our business services rapidly to meet the demands of our customers. NewTracker enables our alliance partners to offer our services immediately, without having to invest in marketing materials, sales and marketing personnel, training, licensing or office space. Because their customers or members are driven by our technology to our processing centers, which can handle increased volume of transactions without having to add specialized staff or infrastructure, there is no need for additional investment by our alliance partners. NewTracker additionally provides direct interface to business owners/operators accessing our new web site as they provide basic information regarding their need so that our Business Service Specialists can immediately respond to inquiry for any of our service offerings. The Company is also beginning to explore the possibility of marketing some aspect of the patented technology as it represents a unique capability for sales organizations as it not only manages intake of business but enables real time management of client

service functions.

Table of Contents

Alliances

Each of the operating businesses benefit from the receipt of significant numbers of customer referrals from our alliance partners, pursuant to agreements negotiated and structured by our holding company management and staff. We are focused on using strategic business affiliations to identify likely small- to medium-sized business customers and others to be serviced by our operating businesses. We seek to ally Newtek with companies and organizations that wish to offer one or more of our principal business lines to their customers or members. We provide one-stop shopping for alliance partners that want to launch or expand their business services. For example, many credit unions are serving small business owners with consumer lending applications, but can use our alliance with Credit Union National Association and scores of small to large credit unions and community banks to expand their offering of services. We are also able to private label any of our business services for any alliance partner.

These alliance partners are able to provide greater service to their customers and members and derive a steady flow of referral payments from us. On the other hand, our operating companies are receiving significant numbers of referrals for our services in the areas of small business loans, insurance and electronic payment processing and are thus acquiring customers at a low cost. NewTracker, our proprietary, internally developed referral system technology, facilitates this transfer of information and also permits our customer service representatives, their supervisors and the referring alliance partners to observe the real-time processing of each referral, from intake to completion. For example, an alliance partner financial advisor who refers a brokerage customer for electronic payment processing, can track our processing of their client and know when decisions are made, what they are, when the referral fees are earned, as well as observe and oversee the operational performance of our customer service representatives. The process is analogous to the bar code system used by overnight delivery services to track the movement of a package, where critical processing points are input and the customer is able to access the company's password-protected web site and monitor the movement of the package from pick-up to delivery.

We have entered into agreements to provide one or more business services with numerous national and regional businesses or organizations including, but not limited to:

Morgan Stanley Smith Barney

New York Community Bank

Credit Union National Association (CUNA)

Microsoft

Pershing

Members 1st Federal Credit Union

Ent Federal Credit Union

IBERIABANK

Bellco Credit Union

Wright Patt Credit Union

SpaceCoast Credit Union

General Motors Minority Dealers Association

E Insure Services, Inc.

Intellectual Property

Newtek has developed software which is the core of its NewTracker referral system and in September 2006 filed a patent application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office covering NewTracker.

NTS uses specialized software to conduct its business under a perpetual, royalty-free license from its developer, the former owner of CrystalTech, acquired at the time of our acquisition of the business.

We have several trademarks and service marks, all of which are of material importance to us. The following trademarks and service marks are the subject of trademark registrations issued by the United States Patent Trademark Office:

1. AT NEWTEK, WE DO IT BETTER
2. BIZEXEC
3. CRYSTALTECH
4. CRYSTALTECH WEB HOSTING
5. CT & Design

Table of Contents

6. NEWTEK
7. NEWTEK BIZEXEC
8. NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES
9. NEWTEK BUSINESS SOLUTIONS
10. NEWTEK + NEWT LOGO
11. NEWTEK REFERRAL SYSTEM
12. NEWTEK TECHNOLOGY SERVICES
13. NEWTEK WEB SERVICES
14. NEWTRACKER
15. WEBCONTROLCENTER
16. NEWTEK BUSINESS CREDIT
17. NEWTEK DATA STORAGE
18. NEWTEK WEB DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT
19. NEWTEK WEB HOSTING
20. WE DO IT BETTER
21. A NEW WAY TO THINK ABOUT SMALL-BUSINESS IT
22. THE CLOUD AUTHORITY

23. THESBA.COM THE SMALL AUTHORITY POWERED BY NEWTEK

24. THE SMALL BUSINESS AUTHORITY

25. THE SMALL BUSINESS AUTHORITY HOUR

26. NEWTPAY PRO

27. NEWTPAY

28. NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. + NEWT LOGO

29. THE CLOUD AUTHORITY + DESIGN

30. THE SMALL BUSINESS AUTHORITY HOUR + DESIGN

31. THESBA

32. THE SBAUTHORITY INDEX

33. THE SMALL BUSINESS AUTHORITY INDEX

34. THESBA INDEX

35. NEWTEK SITECENTER

36. NEWTEK PAYROLL

37. CONTINUOUS CYBER SECURITY SCANNING

38. THE BUSINESS AUTHORITY

39. CLOUD AUTHORITY

The following trademarks and service marks are the subject of pending trademark applications filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

1. THESBA.COM THE SMALL BUSINESS AUTHORITY + DESIGN
2. NEWTEK INSURED CLOUD COMPUTING
3. NEWTEK INSURED HOSTING
4. INSURED CLOUD COMPUTING
5. NEWTEK INSURED MERCHANT PROCESSING
6. NEWTEK INSURED PAYROLL
7. THE PAYROLL AUTHORITY
8. THE ECOMMERCE AUTHORITY
9. THE MOBILE APPLICATION AUTHORITY
10. THE HEALTH INSURANCE AUTHORITY
11. THE TECH AUTHORITY
12. THE IT AUTHORITY
13. THE SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY AUTHORITY
14. THE TECHNOLOGY AUTHORITY
15. NEWTEK INSURED ECOMMERCE
16. NEWTEK INSURED MERCHANT SERVICES
17. ECOMMERCE IN THE CLOUD
18. CLOUD ECOMMERCE

19. NEWTEK ADVANTAGE

20. NEWTEK EDGE

21. NEWTEK HOSTING

Table of Contents

Government Regulation

Overview

Newtek's electronic payment processing, lending, insurance, and Capco operations are subject to regulation by federal, state and professional governing bodies. In addition, our financial institution customers, which include commercial banks and credit unions, operate in markets that are subject to rigorous regulatory oversight and supervision. The compliance of our products and services with these requirements depends on a variety of factors including the particular functionality, the interactive design and the charter or license of the financial institution. Our financial services customers must independently assess and determine what is required of them under these regulations and are responsible for ensuring that our systems and the design of their websites conform to their regulatory obligations. New laws or regulations are frequently adopted in these areas that require constant compliance and could increase our costs.

Certified Capital Companies

In return for the Capcos making investments in the targeted companies, the states provide tax credits, generally equal to funds invested in the Capco by the insurance companies that provide the funds to the Capcos. In order to maintain its status as a Capco and to avoid recapture or forfeiture of the tax credits, each Capco must meet a number of specific investment requirements, including a minimum investment schedule all of which have been met prior to required dates by all of our Capcos. As a result, we believe there is no basis for a loss of tax credits.

Each of the state Capco programs has a requirement that a Capco, in order to maintain its certified status, must meet certain investment requirements, both qualitative and quantitative, all of which the Company's Capcos have met. These include limitations on the initial size of the recipients of the Capco funds, including the number of their employees, the location within the respective state of the recipients and the recipients' commitment to remain therein for a specified period of time, the types of business conducted by the recipients, and the terms of the investments in the recipients.

The states of Louisiana, Colorado and Texas and the state of New York have added to their Capco programs limitations on the equity investment Capcos can make in qualified businesses. These programs or program changes seek to preclude a Capco from owning all or a majority of the voting equity of the invested business. While Newtek has made profitable majority-owned investments in the past, we have also made minority or passive investments in qualified businesses. Newtek's Capcos are in full compliance with all investment limitations, and management foresees no significant difficulty in continuing to remain in compliance.

When each of Newtek's Capcos has invested in qualified businesses an amount equal to 100% of its initial certified capital, it is able to decertify (terminate its status as a Capco) and no longer be subject to any state Capco regulation. Upon voluntary decertification, the programs in about half of the states require that a Capco share any distributions to its equity holders with the state sponsoring the Capco. For those states that require a share of distributions, the sharing percentages vary, but are generally from 10 to 30%, usually on distributions above a specified internal rate of return for the equity owners of the Capco. States not requiring distributions are Texas and New York (Programs 1, 2 and 3). At this time, Newtek does not believe that the sharing requirements will have a material impact on the company's financial condition or operations. Three of Newtek's Capcos have reached the 100% investment level and a fourth, our Wisconsin based Capco, met its statutory requirements and voluntarily decertified and was subsequently dissolved. While the Company continues to attempt to achieve full (100%) investment in all of its Capcos, circumstances of individual programs may make this unachievable. If and when such an event arises, the Capco and the Company will evaluate alternatives and may seek to dissolve the Capco as permitted under the particular program. Such an event would represent a failure of the Capco but would not carry with it any financial consequences to the Company.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we and the companies in which we hold a controlling interest had a total of 310 employees, of which 303 were full-time employees. We believe our labor relations are good; none of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Confidentiality Agreements

All our employees have signed confidentiality agreements, and it is our standard practice to require newly hired employees and, when appropriate, independent consultants, to execute confidentiality agreements. These agreements provide that the employee or consultant may not use or disclose confidential information except in the performance of his or her duties for the Company, or in other limited circumstances. The steps taken by us may not, however, be adequate to prevent the misappropriation of our proprietary rights or technology.

Table of Contents

Revenues and Assets by Geographic Area

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, virtually all of our revenue was derived from customers in the United States, although we provide pre-paid web site hosting services to customers in approximately 120 countries.

Available Information

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Commission and in accordance with those requirements file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy the reports, proxy statements and other information that we file with the Commission under the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act at the Commission's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20549. Please call 1-800-SEC-0339 for information about the Commission's Public Reference Room. The Commission also maintains a web site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission. The address of the Commission's web site is <http://www.sec.gov>. Our principal offices are located at 212 West 35th Street, 2nd Floor, New York, NY, 10001 and our telephone number is (212) 356-9500. Our websites are <http://www.thesba.com> and <http://www.newtekbusinessservices.com>. We make available through our websites, free of charge, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Commission. These documents may be directly accessed at <http://investor.newtekbusinessservices.com>. Information contained on our website is not a part of this report.

Competition

We compete in a large number of markets for the sale of services to small- and medium-sized businesses. Each of our principal operating companies competes not only against suppliers in its particular state or region of the country but also against suppliers operating on a national or even a multi-national scale. None of the markets in which our companies compete are dominated by a small number of companies that could materially alter the terms of the competition.

Our Electronic payment processing segment competes with entities including Heartland Payment Systems, First National Bank of Omaha and Paymentech, L.P. Our Web hosting segment competes with 1&1, Hosting.com, Discount ASP, Maxum ASP, GoDaddy®, Yahoo!®, BlueHost®, iPowerWeb® and Microsoft Live among others. Our Small Business Finance segment competes with regional and national banks and non-bank lenders. Intuit® is bundling electronic payment processing, web hosting and payroll services similar to ours in offerings that compete in the same small- to midsize-business market.

In many cases, we believe the competitors of our companies are not as able as we are to take advantage of changes in business practices due to technological developments and, for those with a larger size, are unable to offer the personalized service that many small business owners and operators seem to want.

While we compete with many different providers in our various businesses, we have been unable to identify any direct and comprehensive competitors that deliver the same broad suite of services focused on the needs of the small- and medium-sized business market with the same marketing strategy as we do. Some of our competitive advantages include:

Our compatible products such as our e-commerce offerings that we are able to bundle to increase sales, reduce costs and reduce risks for our customers and enable us to sell two, three, or four products at the same time;

Our proprietary NewTracker referral system, which allows us to process new business utilizing a web-based, centralized processing point and provides back end scalability;

Our focus on developing and marketing business services and financial products and services aimed at the small- and medium-sized business market;

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Our scalability, which allows us to size our business services capabilities very quickly to meet customer and market needs;

Our ability to offer personalized service and competitive rates;

A strategy of multiple channel distribution, which gives us maximum exposure in the marketplace;

High quality customer service 24x7x365 across all business lines, with a focus primarily on absolute customer service;

Our telephonic interview process, as opposed to requiring handwritten or data-typing processes, which allows us to offer high levels of customer service and satisfaction, particularly for small business owners who do not get this service from our competitors; and

Our NewTracker Portal, which allows our alliance partners to offer a centralized access point for their small- to medium-sized business clients as part of their larger strategic approach to marketing and allows such partners to demonstrate that they are focused on providing a suite of services to the small business market in addition to their core service.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 A. RISK FACTORS.

The following is a summary of the risk factors that we believe are most relevant to our business. These are factors that, individually or in the aggregate, we think could cause our actual results to differ significantly from anticipated or historical results. *If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the value of our common shares could decline and stockholders may lose all or part of their investment.* You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, unless required by law.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS GENERALLY

Our success depends on our ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive and highly regulated industries in which we operate.

We face intense competition in providing web hosting services, processing electronic payments and originating SBA loans, as well as in the other industries in which we or our affiliated companies operate. Low barriers to entry often result in a steady stream of new competitors entering certain of these businesses. Current and potential competitors are or may be better established, substantially larger and have more capital and other resources than we do. If we expand into additional geographical markets, we will face competition from others in those markets as well. In addition, some of the industries in which we operate are highly regulated and we cannot assure you that we will continue to be in full compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations. Failure to maintain full compliance or if new laws limit or eliminate some of the benefits of our business lines, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Our success depends upon our ability to enforce and maintain our intellectual property rights.

Our success depends, in significant part, on the proprietary nature of our technology, including both patentable and non-patentable intellectual property related to our NewTracker referral system. We have filed one patent application with the United States Patent office but there can be no assurance that such patent will be granted. To the extent that a competitor is able to reproduce or otherwise capitalize on our technology, it may be difficult, expensive or impossible for us to obtain necessary legal protection. In addition to patent protection of intellectual property rights, we consider elements of our product designs and processes to be proprietary and confidential. We rely upon employee, consultant and vendor non-disclosure agreements and contractual provisions and a system of internal safeguards to protect our proprietary information. However, any of our registered or unregistered intellectual property rights may be challenged or exploited by others in the industry, which might harm our operating results. We have several trademarks and service marks which are of material importance to us. Litigation, which could result in substantial cost to and diversion of our efforts, may be necessary to enforce our trademarks or to determine the enforceability, scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. Adverse determinations in any litigation or interference proceeding could subject us to costs related to changing brand names and a loss of established brand recognition.

Our businesses depend on our ability to attract and retain key personnel and any loss of ability to attract these personnel could adversely affect us.

Our success depends upon the ability of our affiliated companies and other companies in which we invest to attract and retain qualified personnel and our ability to supplement those capabilities with our senior management personnel. Competition for qualified employees is intense. If our affiliated companies lose the services of key personnel, or are unable to attract additional qualified personnel, the business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of us or one or more of our affiliated companies could be materially adversely affected. It can take a significant period of time to identify and hire personnel with the combination of skills and attributes required in carrying out our strategy.

Our business relies heavily on the expertise of our senior management. The loss of the services of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, and it is likely that it will be difficult to find adequate replacements.

Our businesses depend upon the ability to utilize the Internet for the conduct of a significant portion of their business; disruption to that system could make it impossible for them to continue to conduct their current businesses.

Possible disruption to the normal functioning of the Internet through, for example, power failure or terrorist sabotage, could make it impossible for aspects of the lending, electronic payment processing, web hosting and in fact our referral system to function. In the event of a major disruption, and assuming that such disruptions would be long-lived, we would be required to make extensive changes in the way these companies do business. There is no assurance that we will have the time and resources to make these changes.

Table of Contents

Our success depends on our ability to use effectively our electronic referral and information processing systems.

We have developed an electronic referral and processing system for the applications necessary for the sales of each of our business lines other than web site hosting. This system is critical to our ability to process such business with a low cost advantage and to obtain referrals from our alliance partners. In particular, the ability to access the referral system and to track the progress of a referred customer is a major feature of the perceived attractiveness of our system. If this referral system should develop problems which we cannot address, it would have a material negative impact on our business strategy. In addition, our ability to provide business services increasingly depends on our capacity to store, retrieve, process and manage significant amounts of data. Interruption or loss of our information processing capabilities through loss of stored data, breakdown or malfunctioning of computer equipment and software systems, telecommunications failure or damage caused by acts of nature or other disruption, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR ELECTRONIC PAYMENT PROCESSING BUSINESS

NMS relies on a bank sponsor, which has substantial discretion with respect to certain elements of our business practices, in order to process bankcard transactions. If the sponsorship is terminated, and we are not able to secure or transfer the respective merchant portfolio to a new bank sponsor or sponsors, the business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of electronic payment processing business could be materially adversely affected. If the sponsorship is terminated, and we are not able to secure or transfer the merchant portfolios to new bank sponsors, we will not be able to conduct our electronic payment processing business. We also rely on service providers who are critical to our business.

Because we are not a bank, we are unable to belong to and directly access the Visa® and MasterCard® bankcard associations. The Visa® and MasterCard® operating regulations require us to be sponsored by a bank in order to process bankcard transactions. We are currently sponsored by two banks. If the sponsorship is terminated and we are unable to secure a bank sponsor for the merchant portfolios, we will not be able to process bankcard transactions for the affected portfolios. Consequently, the loss of both of our sponsorships would have a material adverse effect on our business. Furthermore, our agreement with our sponsoring banks gives the sponsoring banks substantial discretion in approving certain elements of our business practices, including our solicitation, application and qualification procedures for merchants, the terms of our agreements with merchants, the processing fees that we charge, our customer service levels and our use of independent sales organizations and independent sales agents. We cannot guarantee that our sponsoring banks' actions under these agreements will not be detrimental to us.

Other service providers, some of whom are our competitors, are necessary for the conduct of our business. The termination by our service providers of these arrangements with us or their failure to perform these services efficiently and effectively may adversely affect our relationships with the merchants whose accounts we serve and may cause those merchants to terminate their processing agreements with us.

If NMS or its processors or bank sponsors fail to adhere to the standards of the Visa® and MasterCard® bankcard associations, our registrations with these associations could be terminated and we could be required to stop providing payment processing services for Visa® and MasterCard®.

Substantially all of the transactions NMS processes involve Visa® or MasterCard®. If we, our bank sponsors or our processors fail to comply with the applicable requirements of the Visa® and MasterCard® bankcard associations, Visa® or MasterCard® could suspend or terminate our registration. The termination of our registration or any changes in the Visa® or MasterCard® rules that would impair our registration could require us to stop providing payment processing services, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

On occasion, NMS experiences increases in interchange and sponsorship fees. If we cannot pass along these increases to our merchants, our profit margins will be reduced.

Our electronic payment processing subsidiary pays interchange fees or assessments to bankcard associations for each transaction we process using their credit, debit and gift cards. From time to time, the bankcard associations increase the interchange fees that they charge processors and the sponsoring banks, which generally pass on such increases to us. From time to time, our sponsoring banks increase their fees as well. If we are not able to pass these fee increases along to merchants through corresponding increases in our processing fees, our profit margins in this line of business will be reduced.

Table of Contents

Unauthorized disclosure of merchant or cardholder data, whether through breach of our computer systems or otherwise, could expose us to liability and business losses.

Through our electronic payment processing subsidiary, we collect and store sensitive data about merchants and cardholders, and we maintain a database of cardholder data relating to specific transactions, including payment, card numbers and cardholder addresses, in order to process the transactions and for fraud prevention and other internal processes. If anyone penetrates our network security or otherwise misappropriates sensitive merchant or cardholder data, we could be subject to liability or business interruption. While we subject these systems to periodic independent testing and review, we cannot guarantee that our systems will not be penetrated in the future. If a breach of our system occurs, we may be subject to liability, including claims for unauthorized purchases with misappropriated card information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims. Similar risks exist with regard to the storage and transmission of such data by our processors. In the event of any such a breach, we may also be subject to a class action lawsuit. Small businesses are less prepared for the complexities of safeguarding cardholder data than their larger counterparts. In the event of noncompliance by a customer of card industry rules, we could face fines from payment card networks. There can be no assurance that we would be able to recover any such fines from such customer.

NMS is liable if our processing merchants refuse or cannot reimburse charge-backs resolved in favor of their customers.

If a billing dispute between a merchant and a cardholder is not ultimately resolved in favor of the merchant, the disputed transaction is charged back to the merchant's bank and credited to the account of the cardholder. If we or our processing banks are unable to collect the charge-back from the merchant's account, or if the merchant refuses or is financially unable due to bankruptcy or other reasons to reimburse the merchant's bank for the charge-back, we bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder's bank. Most of our merchants deliver products or services when purchased, so a contingent liability for charge-backs is unlikely to arise, and credits are issued on returned items. However, some of our merchants do not provide services until sometime after a purchase, which increases the potential for contingent liability and future charge backs. We and the sponsoring bank can require that merchants maintain cash reserves under our control to cover charge back liabilities but such reserves may not be sufficient to cover the liability or may not even be available to us in the event of a bankruptcy or other legal action.

NMS has potential liability for customer or merchant fraud.

Credit card fraud occurs when a merchant's customer uses a stolen card (or a stolen card number in a card-not-present transaction) to purchase merchandise or services. In a traditional card-present transaction, if the merchant swipes the card, receives authorization for the transaction from the card issuing bank and verifies the signature on the back of the card against the paper receipt signed by the customer, the card issuing bank remains liable for any loss. In a fraudulent card-not-present transaction, even if the merchant receives authorization for the transaction, the merchant is liable for any loss arising from the transaction. Many of our business customers are small and transact a substantial percentage of their sales over the Internet or by telephone or mail orders. Because their sales are card-not-present transactions, these merchants are more vulnerable to customer fraud than larger merchants, and we could experience charge-backs arising from cardholder fraud more frequently with these merchants.

Merchant fraud occurs when a merchant, rather than a customer, knowingly uses a stolen or counterfeit card or card number to record a false sales transaction or intentionally fails to deliver the merchandise or services sold in an otherwise valid transaction. Anytime a merchant is unable to satisfy a charge-back, we are responsible for that charge-back unless we have required that a cash reserve be established. We cannot assure that the systems and procedures we have established to detect and reduce the impact of merchant fraud are or will be effective. Failure to effectively manage risk and prevent fraud could increase our charge-back liability and adversely affect our results of operations.

Our payment processing systems may fail due to factors beyond our control, which could interrupt our business or cause us to lose business and likely increase our costs.

We depend on the uninterrupted operations of our computer network systems, software and our processors' data centers. Defects in these systems or damage to them due to factors beyond our control could cause severe disruption to our business and other material adverse effects on our payment processing businesses.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS OF WEBSITE HOSTING

NTS operates in a highly competitive industry in which technological change can be rapid.

The information technology business and its related technology involve a broad range of rapidly changing technologies. Our equipment and the technologies on which it is based may not remain competitive over time, and others may develop superior technologies that render our products non-competitive without significant additional capital expenditures. Some of our competitors are significantly larger and have substantially greater market presence as well as greater financial, technical, operational, marketing and other resources and experience than we do. In the event that such a competitor expends significant sales and marketing resources in one or several markets, we may not be able to compete successfully in such markets. We believe that competition will continue to increase, placing downward pressure on prices. Such pressure could adversely affect our gross margins if we are not able to reduce our costs commensurate with such price reductions. There can be no assurances that we will remain competitive.

Our website hosting business depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of its computer and communications hardware systems and infrastructure.

Despite precautions taken by NTS against possible failure of its systems, interruptions could result from natural disasters, power loss, the inability to acquire fuel for our backup generators, telecommunications failure, terrorist attacks and similar events. NTS also leases telecommunications lines from local, regional and national carriers whose service may be interrupted. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed by any damage or failure that interrupts or delays our operations. There can be no assurance that our insurance will cover all of the losses or compensate NTS for the possible loss of clients occurring during any period that NTS is unable to provide service.

Our inability to maintain the integrity of our infrastructure and the privacy of confidential information would materially affect our business.

The NTS infrastructure is potentially vulnerable to physical or electronic break-ins, viruses or similar problems. If our security measures are circumvented, it could jeopardize the security of confidential information stored on NTS's systems, misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in NTS's operations. We may be required to make significant additional investments and efforts to protect against or remedy security breaches. Security breaches that result in access to confidential information could damage our reputation and expose us to a risk of loss or liability. The security services that NTS offers in connection with customers' networks cannot assure complete protection from computer viruses, break-ins and other disruptive problems. The occurrence of these problems may result in claims against NTS or us or liability on our part. These claims, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could result in costly litigation and could harm our business and reputation and impair NTS's ability to attract and retain customers.

Our business depends on Microsoft Corporation and others for the licenses to use software as well as other intellectual property in the website hosting business.

NTS's managed technology business is built on technological platforms relying on the Microsoft Windows® products and other intellectual property that NTS currently licenses. As a result, if we are unable to continue to have the benefit of those licensing arrangements or if the products upon which NTS's platform is built become obsolete, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR SMALL BUSINESS FINANCE BUSINESSES

We depend on outside financing.

Our SBA lending and receivables financing businesses depend on outside financing to support their loan making and acquisition of receivables. Termination of the credit lines for any reason would have a material adverse effect on our business, including but not limited to, the liquidation of the guaranteed loan and receivables portfolios to pay down the lines. If funds from such sale were insufficient to completely pay down the line of credit, the holding company and certain of its subsidiaries would be responsible for any short fall. In December 2010 and again in December 2011, the Company securitized a portion of its unguaranteed, retained loan portions of its SBA 7(a) loans; the Company anticipates using securitizations to ultimately fund future loan creation, assuming the market for such securitizations continues to exist and future securitizations can be executed on an economic basis beneficial to the Company. Although a securitization potentially provides a long term funding source for the Company's SBA lender, it does not provide liquidity in the short term for funding SBA loans. Because its resources will be insufficient to maintain current SBA loan originations, failure of our SBA lender to arrange a line to fund and warehouse the origination of unguaranteed, retained loan portions would materially impact our business. In addition, our receivables financing company depends on a line of

credit which matures in February 2014. Loss of this line and our inability to replace it would materially impact the business.

Table of Contents

We have specific risks associated with Small Business Administration (SBA) loans.

We have generally sold the guaranteed portion of SBA loans in the secondary market. Such sales have resulted in our earning premiums and creating a stream of servicing income. There can be no assurance that we will be able to continue originating these loans, or that a secondary market will exist for, or that we will continue to realize premiums upon the sale of the guaranteed portions of the SBA 7(a) loans.

Since we sell the guaranteed portion of substantially our entire SBA 7(a) loan portfolio, we incur credit risk on the non-guaranteed portion of the SBA loans. We share pro rata with the SBA in any recoveries. In the event of default on an SBA loan, our pursuit of remedies against a borrower is subject to SBA approval, and where the SBA establishes that its loss is attributable to deficiencies in the manner in which the loan application has been prepared and submitted, the SBA may decline to honor its guarantee with respect to our SBA loans or it may seek the recovery of damages from us. If we should experience significant problems with our underwriting of SBA loans, such failure to honor a guarantee or the cost to correct the problems could have a material adverse effect on us. Although the SBA has never declined to honor its guarantees with respect to SBA loans made by us since our acquisition of the lender in 2003, no assurance can be given that the SBA would not attempt to do so in the future.

Curtailed of the government-guaranteed loan programs could cut off an important segment of our business.

Although the program has been in existence since 1953, there can be no assurance that the federal government will maintain the SBA program, or that it will continue to guarantee loans at current levels. If we cannot continue making and selling government-guaranteed loans, we will generate fewer origination fees and our ability to generate gains on sale of loans will decrease. From time-to-time, the government agencies that guarantee these loans reach their internal budgeted limits and cease to guarantee loans for a stated time period. In addition, these agencies may change their rules for loans. Also, Congress may adopt legislation that would have the effect of discontinuing or changing the programs. Non-governmental programs could replace government programs for some borrowers, but the terms might not be equally acceptable. If these changes occur, the volume of loans to small business and industrial borrowers of the types that now qualify for government-guaranteed loans could decline, as could the profitability of these loans.

An increase in non-performing assets would reduce our income and increase our expenses.

If our level of non-performing assets in our SBA lending and receivable financing businesses rise in the future, it could adversely affect our revenue and earnings. Non-performing assets are primarily loans on which borrowers are not making their required payments or receivables for which the customer has not made timely payment. Non-performing assets also include loans that have been restructured to permit the borrower to have smaller payments and real estate that has been acquired through foreclosure of unpaid loans. To the extent that our financial assets are non-performing, we will have less cash available for lending and other activities.

Our reserve for credit losses may not be sufficient to cover unexpected losses.

Our business depends on the behavior of our customers. In addition to our credit practices and procedures, we maintain a reserve for credit losses on our SBA loans and accounts receivable portfolio, which management has judged to be adequate given the loans we originate and receivables we purchase. We periodically review our reserve for adequacy considering current economic conditions and trends, collateral values, charge-off experience, levels of past due loans and non-performing assets, and we adjust our reserve accordingly. However, because of the poor current economic conditions caused by the recession, our reserves may prove inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We could be adversely affected by weakness in the residential housing and commercial real estate markets.

Continued weakness in residential home and commercial real estate values could impair our ability to collect on defaulted SBA loans as real estate is pledged in many of our SBA loans as part of the collateral package.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR INSURANCE AGENCY BUSINESS

We depend on third parties, particularly property and casualty insurance companies, to supply the products marketed by our agents.

Our contracts with property and casualty insurance companies typically provide that the contracts can be terminated by the supplier without cause. Our inability to enter into satisfactory arrangements with these suppliers or the loss of these relationships for any reason would adversely affect the results of our new insurance business. Also, our inability to obtain these products at competitive prices could make it difficult for us to compete with larger and better capitalized providers of such insurance services.

Table of Contents

If we fail to comply with government regulations, our insurance agency business could be adversely affected.

Our insurance agency business is subject to comprehensive regulation in the various states in which we plan to conduct business. Our success will depend in part upon our ability to satisfy these regulations and to obtain and maintain all required licenses and permits. Our failure to comply with any statutes and regulations could have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, the adoption of additional statutes and regulations, changes in the interpretation and enforcement of current statutes and regulations or the expansion of our business into jurisdictions that have adopted more stringent regulatory requirements than those in which we currently conduct business could have a material adverse effect on us.

We do not have any control over the commissions our insurance agency expects to earn on the sale of insurance products which are based on premiums and commission rates set by insurers and the conditions prevalent in the insurance market.

Our insurance agency earns commissions on the sale of insurance products. Commission rates and premiums can change based on the prevailing economic and competitive factors that affect insurance underwriters. In addition, the insurance industry has been characterized by periods of intense price competition due to excessive underwriting capacity and periods of favorable premium levels due to shortages of capacity. We cannot predict the timing or extent of future changes in commission rates or premiums or the effect any of these changes will have on the operations of our insurance agency.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR PAYROLL PROCESSING BUSINESS

Unauthorized disclosure of employee data, whether through breach of our computer systems or otherwise, could expose us to liability and business losses.

Through our payroll processing subsidiary, we collect and store sensitive data about individuals, in order to process the transactions and for other internal processes. If anyone penetrates our network security or otherwise misappropriates sensitive individual data, we could be subject to liability or business interruption. While we subject these systems to periodic independent testing and review, we cannot guarantee that our systems will not be penetrated in the future. If a breach of our system occurs, we may be subject to liability, including claims for impersonation or other similar fraud claims. In the event of any such a breach, we may also be subject to a class action lawsuit. Any significant violations of data privacy could result in the loss of business, litigation and regulatory investigations and penalties that could damage our reputation, and the growth of our business could be adversely affected.

Our systems may be subject to disruptions that could adversely affect our business and reputation.

Our payroll business relies heavily on our payroll, financial, accounting and other data processing systems. If any of these systems fails to operate properly or becomes disabled even for a brief period of time, we could suffer financial loss, a disruption of our business, liability to clients, regulatory intervention or damage to our reputation. We have disaster recovery plans in place to protect our businesses against natural disasters, security breaches, military or terrorist actions, power or communication failures or similar events. Despite our preparations, our disaster recovery plans may not be successful in preventing the loss of client data, service interruptions, and disruptions to our operations or damage to our important facilities.

If we fail to adapt our technology to meet client needs and preferences, the demand for our services may diminish.

Our businesses operate in industries that are subject to rapid technological advances and changing client needs and preferences. In order to remain competitive and responsive to client demands, we continually upgrade, enhance and expand our existing solutions and services. If we fail to respond successfully to technology challenges, the demand for our services may diminish.

Table of Contents

Our payroll business could incur unreimbursed costs or damages due to delays in processing inherent in the banking system.

Our payroll processing business generally determines the availability of customer (employer) funds prior to making payments to employees or taxing authorities, and such employer funds are generally transferred in to our accounts prior to making payments out. Due to the structure of the banking system however, there are times when we may make payroll or tax payments and not immediately receive the funds to do so from the employer. There can be no assurance that the procedures we have in place to prevent these occurrences or mitigate the damages will be sufficient to prevent loss to our business.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPCO BUSINESS

The Capco programs and the tax credits they provide are created by state legislation and implemented through regulation, and such laws and rules are subject to possible action to repeal or retroactively revise the programs for political, economic or other reasons. Such an attempted repeal or revision would create substantial difficulty for the Capco programs and could, if ultimately successful, cause us material financial harm.

The tax credits associated with the Capco programs and provided to our Capcos' investors are to be utilized by the investors over a period of time, which is typically ten years. Much can change during such a period and it is possible that one or more states may revise or eliminate the tax credits. Any such revision or repeal could have a material adverse economic impact on our Capcos, either directly or as a result of the Capcos' insurer's actions. Any such final state action that jeopardizes the tax credits could result in the provider of our Capco insurance assuming partial or full control of the particular Capco in order to minimize its liability under the Capco insurance policies issued to our investors.

During 2002, a single legislator in Louisiana introduced such a proposed bill, on which no action was taken, and in Colorado in 2003 and 2004 bills to modify (not repeal) its Capco program were introduced; the 2003 Colorado legislation was defeated in a legislative committee. The 2004 Colorado legislation was adopted but implementing regulations made clear the application of the new rules only to investments made after passage. There can be no assurance that we will not be subject to further legislative or regulatory action which might adversely impact our Capco business, or that we will be able to successfully challenge any such action.

Because our Capcos are subject to requirements under state law, a failure of any of them to meet these requirements could subject the Capco and our stockholders to the loss of one or more Capcos.

Despite the fact that we have met all applicable minimum requirements of the Capco programs in which we still participate, each Capco remains subject to state regulation until it has invested 100 percent of its funds and otherwise remained in full legal compliance. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to do so. A major regulatory violation, while not fatal to our Capco business, would materially increase the cost of operating the Capcos.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMMON SHARES

Our shares may be delisted.

If we do not continue to meet the requirements for continued listing on the NASDAQ Capital Market our common shares could be delisted. One such requirement is maintaining a minimum bid price for shares of \$1.00. As compliance with the minimum trading price for common shares is beyond our control, there can be no assurance that the price will remain above \$1.00 indefinitely and, therefore, no assurance that the threat of delisting can be avoided. In the event that the common shares are delisted, there can be no assurance that an active public market for our shares can be sustained or that current trading levels can be sustained or not diminished.

The application of the penny stock rules to our common shares if we are no longer listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market could limit the trading and liquidity of the common shares, adversely affect the market price of our Common Shares and increase your transaction costs to sell those shares.

If we are no longer listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market, as long as the trading price of our common shares is below \$5.00 per share, open-market trading will be subject to the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors and otherwise have the effect of limiting the trading activity of the common shares, reducing the liquidity of an investment in the common shares and increasing the transaction costs for their sales and purchases.

Table of Contents

Two of our stockholders, one a current and one a former executive officer, beneficially own approximately 24% of our common shares, and are able to exercise significant influence over the outcome of most stockholder actions.

Although there is no agreement or understanding between them, because of their ownership of our shares, Messrs. Sloane and Rubin will be able to have significant influence over actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors, the adoption of amendments to the certificate of incorporation, approval of stock incentive plans and approval of major transactions such as a merger or sale of assets. This could delay or prevent a change in control of our company, deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common shares as part of a change in control and have a negative effect on the market price of our common shares.

Future issuances of our common shares or other securities, including preferred shares, may dilute the per share book value of our common shares or have other adverse consequences to our common stockholders.

Our board of directors has the authority, without the action or vote of our stockholders, to issue all or part of the approximately 19,000,000 authorized but unissued shares of our common stock. Our business strategy relies upon investments in and acquisitions of businesses using the resources available to us, including our common shares. We have made acquisitions during each of the years from 2002 to 2005 involving the issuance of our common shares and we expect to make additional acquisitions in the future using our common shares. Additionally, we anticipate granting additional options or restricted stock awards to our employees and directors in the future. We may also issue additional securities, through public or private offerings, in order to raise capital to support our growth, including in connection with possible acquisitions or in connection with purchases of minority interests in affiliated companies or Capcos. Future issuances of our common shares will dilute the percentage of ownership interest of current stockholders and could decrease the per share book value of our common shares. In addition, option holders may exercise their options at a time when we would otherwise be able to obtain additional equity capital on more favorable terms.

Pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, our board of directors is authorized to issue, without action or vote of our stockholders, up to 1,000,000 shares of blank check preferred shares, meaning that our board of directors may, in its discretion, cause the issuance of one or more series of preferred shares and fix the designations, preferences, powers and relative participating, optional and other rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions thereof, including the dividend rate, conversion rights, voting rights, redemption rights and liquidation preference, and to fix the number of shares to be included in any such series. The preferred shares so issued may rank superior to the common shares with respect to the payment of dividends or amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, or both. In addition, the shares of preferred stock may have class or series voting rights.

The authorization and issuance of blank check preferred shares could have an anti-takeover effect detrimental to the interests of our stockholders.

Our certificate of incorporation allows our board of directors to issue preferred shares with rights and preferences set by the board without further stockholder approval. The issuance of these blank check preferred shares could have an anti-takeover effect detrimental to the interests of our stockholders. For example, in the event of a hostile takeover attempt, it may be possible for management and the board to impede the attempt by issuing the preferred shares, thereby diluting or impairing the voting power of the other outstanding common shares and increasing the potential costs to acquire control of us. Our board has the right to issue any new shares, including preferred shares, without first offering them to the holders of common shares, as they have no preemptive rights.

We know of no other publicly-held company that sponsors and operates Capcos as a material part of its business. As such, there are, to our knowledge, no other companies against which investors may compare our Capco business segment, and its operations, results of operations and financial and accounting structures.

In the absence of any meaningful peer group comparisons for our Capco business, investors may have a difficult time understanding and judging the strength of our business. This, in turn, may have a depressing effect on the value of our shares.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and New York law place restrictions on our stockholders' ability to recover from our directors for breaches of their duties.

As permitted by New York law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation limits the liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of a director's fiduciary duty except for liability in certain instances. As a result of these provisions and New York law, stockholders have restrictions and limitations upon their rights to recover from directors for breaches of their duties. In addition, our certificate of incorporation provides that we must indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Failure to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting may lead investors and others to lose confidence in our financial data.

In evaluating the effectiveness of its internal controls over financial reporting in connection with the preparation of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, management concluded that there was a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to accounting for one bank account holding funds belonging to customers of our merchant processing subsidiary. These material weaknesses made it possible for a former senior manager to utilize funds in this account to conceal knowledge of growing merchant processing chargeback losses among a group of merchants solicited by one of the Company's agents. Following discovery, this resulted in the need for the restatement of the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, together with financial statements for the quarters ended March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012, the periods over which these losses occurred.

The Company is in the process of remediating these material weaknesses and has, among other things, replaced the manager responsible, strengthened its internal control team by hiring a very seasoned Chief Risk Officer augmented its finance team and is implementing and modifying certain accounting and internal control procedures. If the Company fails to otherwise maintain effective controls over financial reporting in the future, it could again result in a material misstatement of its financial statements that might not be prevented or detected on a timely basis and which could then cause investors and others to lose confidence in the Company's financial statements, which in turn could have a negative effect on the value of the Company's equity securities.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.**

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

We conduct our principal business activities in facilities leased from unrelated parties at market rates. Our headquarters are located in New York, New York. Our operating subsidiaries have properties which are material to the conduct of their business as noted below. In addition, our Capcos maintain offices in each of the states in which they operate.

Below is a list of our leased offices and space as of December 31, 2012 which are material to the conduct of our business:

Location	Lease expiration	Purpose	Approx. sq. ft
8521 E. Princess Drive Phoenix, AZ 85255	Jun 2014	Managed technology solutions data center	6,000
212 West 35 th Street New York, NY 10001	Oct 2014	Lease of principal executive offices (Corporate activities and SBA lending)	5,700
1904 West Parkside Lane Suite 201 Phoenix, AZ 85027	Jan 2015	Managed technology solutions offices	10,900
1440 Broadway New York, New York 10018	Oct 2015	Sublet - former principal executive offices	23,000
301 Mexico Street Suite H3-A Brownsville, TX 78520	Jun 2017	Newtek Insurance Agency, customer service and sales support offices (All Other segment)	17,500
6737 W. Washington St. Suite 2275 West Allis, WI 53214	Nov 2017	Electronic payment processing WI offices	10,910
60 Hempstead Avenue West Hempstead, NY 11552	Apr 2019	Newtek Small Business Finance; Newtek Business Credit Offices (Lending segment) and NY Capco offices	11,000 ⁽¹⁾

(1) In February 2013, the Company increased the area under lease in its West Hempstead facility to approximately 22,000 square feet. We believe that our leased facilities are adequate to meet our current needs and that additional facilities are available to meet our development and expansion needs in existing and projected target markets.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company may from time to time be party to lawsuits and claims. The Company evaluates such matters on a case by case basis and its policy is to contest vigorously any claims it believes are without compelling merit. The Company is currently involved in various litigation matters. Management has reviewed all legal claims against the Company with counsel and has taken into consideration the views of such counsel, as to the outcome of the claims. In management's opinion, final disposition of all such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

- (a) Market Information: Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol NEWT. High and low prices for the common stock over the previous two years are set forth below, based on the highest and lowest daily closing price during that period.

Period	High	Low
First Quarter: January 1, 2011 Through March 31, 2011	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.60
Second Quarter: April 1, 2011 Through June 30, 2011	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.20
Third Quarter: July 1, 2011 Through September 30, 2011	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.28
Fourth Quarter: October 1, 2011 Through December 31, 2011	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.06
First Quarter: January 1, 2012 Through March 31, 2012	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.18
Second Quarter: April 1, 2012 Through June 30, 2012	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.14
Third Quarter: July 1, 2012 Through September 30, 2012	\$ 2.09	\$ 1.24
Fourth Quarter: October 1, 2012 Through December 31, 2012	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.76

Holders: As of March 14, 2013 there were approximately 181 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends: No dividends were declared or paid in 2010, 2011 or 2012.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,943,050 shares	\$1.44/share	3,405,768 shares
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	None	None

- (b) Not applicable.

- (c) Share Repurchases: The following share repurchases were made during the months shown during the fourth quarter of 2012:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased
---------------	--	---	--	--

	Under the Plans or Programs			
October 2012				
November 2012				
December 2012	22,000	\$	1.84	22,000

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.****Introduction and Certain Cautionary Statements**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is intended to assist in the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to the results of operations and financial position of the Company together with its subsidiaries. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes.

The statements in this Annual Report may contain forward-looking statements relating to such matters as anticipated future financial performance, business prospects, legislative developments and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, we note that a variety of factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results expressed in the forward looking statements such as intensified competition and/or operating problems in its operating business projects and their impact on revenues and profit margins or additional factors as described under Risk Factors above.

We also need to point out that our Capcos operate under a different set of rules in each of the six jurisdictions and that these place varying requirements on the structure of our investments. In some cases, particularly in Louisiana, we do not control the equity or management of a qualified business.

Executive Overview

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded net income of \$5,557,000 on revenues of \$131,130,000. Net income improved by \$2,334,000, from net income of \$3,223,000 in 2011 (restated); pretax income was \$9,439,000, a \$7,410,000 improvement over the \$2,029,000 pretax income in 2011 (restated). Total revenues increased by \$5,791,000, or 4.6%, from \$125,339,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 principally due to increased revenues in the Small business finance and Electronic payment processing segments. The total net change in fair value recorded was a loss of \$1,121,000, the majority of which was attributable to the Small business finance segment. The \$4,503,000 improvement over the prior year was primarily related to the reversal of a fair value gain recorded in connection with the guaranteed portions of SBA 7(a) loans transferred in secondary market transactions occurring in 2010, but sales status was delayed until 2011. Total expenses increased \$2,884,000 to \$120,570,000 for the year ended 2012 from \$117,686,000 for 2011 (restated); increases in electronic payment processing costs, salaries and benefits, interest and provision for loan losses, were offset by decreases in depreciation and amortization, lease restructuring charges, and other general and administrative costs.

Contributing to the pretax income of \$9,439,000 in 2012 were improvements in the Electronic payment processing, Small business finance, Capco and Corporate segments, which were offset by a decrease in pretax income for Managed technology and an increased pretax loss in the All Other segment. The Electronic payment processing segment gross margin decreased on a percentage basis, primarily due to a \$1,300,000 provision for charge-back losses recognized in 2012 related to a group of merchants affiliated with one of its independent sales agents. This decline was offset in part by an increase in margin due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, increases in certain fees and additional services provided to our merchants. The improvement in the Corporate segment pretax income resulted from reductions in salaries and benefits and the amortization of accrued lease restructuring charges recorded in the year ago period.

One of the primary contributors for Newtek's continued profitability was the Small business finance segment which generated pretax income of \$8,094,000 as compared to \$4,135,000 in 2011, an increase of \$3,959,000. The primary driver was servicing fee income which increased by \$3,763,000, or 121% over the year ago period resulting from increases related to third party servicing as well as an increase in our own servicing portfolio. The segment originated \$107,425,000 of SBA loans during 2012 with an average sales price of 114.16%, up from 111.35% in 2011. The Small business lender also continued to benefit from a contract with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to provide servicing in connection with failures of banks holding SBA guaranteed loans.

The Electronic payment processing segment recorded a 14% increase in pretax net income, which grew to \$7,041,000 from \$6,157,000 over the year ago period (restated). Revenue increased by \$3,008,000 or 4% to \$85,489,000 during 2012 compared to 2011. The increase was related to growth in processing volumes, fee increases and additional services provided to our merchants. Processing revenue less processing costs decreased slightly from 15.9% to 15.6% in 2012; this reduction in margin was due largely to a \$1,300,000 provision for charge-back losses.

Table of Contents

As discussed in Note 26, the Company has restated its audited consolidated financial and other financial information for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2011, and for each of the quarters ended in 2012 in connection with the understated merchant chargeback reserves in each of the affected periods. This restatement was caused by losses related to understated merchant chargeback reserves in each of the affected periods. The Company identified the matter through an internal review of its chargeback reserves during the 2012 year-end closing process. The incident involved violations of Company policies and procedures by a member of senior management in the Electronic payment processing segment which resulted in the understatement of chargeback loss reserves for a group of merchants introduced to the Company by a single independent sales agent. Management concluded that the correction of the understatements required that the Company restate its financial statements for the periods ended December 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012 and that this provision for chargeback loss be spread over the affected periods beginning with the fourth quarter of 2011 and throughout 2012.

Pretax net income for Managed technology solutions decreased from \$4,837,000 to \$4,254,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012. While segment revenue decreased by 5% due primarily to a reduction in web hosting, the number of customers using our cloud computing services and the average revenue per plan increased from the year ago period. It continues to be management's intent to increase revenue and margin per plan through higher service offerings to customers which include cloud-based applications.

In April 2012, the Company closed a \$15,000,000 credit facility with Summit comprised of a \$10,000,000 term loan, which was drawn at closing, and a \$5,000,000 delayed draw term loan to be made upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The \$5,000,000 second tranche of this loan will not be drawn by the Company. The funds obtained were used primarily for general corporate purposes including the origination of SBA 7(a) loans. The terms of this financing agreement are discussed more fully in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements - Notes Payable.

As part of our new marketing campaign launched in 2012, the Company presented its re-designed website, which better emphasized the Company's branding strategy and offers easier use for the customer. In addition, the Company premiered its new magazine, *The Small Business Authority Observer*, a semi-annual publication focused on helping business owners increase their sales, reduce their costs and minimize their risks. Our new marketing campaign includes national exposure focusing on generating awareness of our products and services to qualified small business owners. Our direct media advertising campaign under our banner NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*, includes national television commercials, online display and search media parameters. We continue production of *The Small Business Authority Index*® and *The SBA Market Sentiment Survey*® reflecting our polling and assessment of business conditions for small businesses.

In March 2013, the Company closed its third securitization issuing an additional \$20,909,000 in notes with an A-rating under S&P; see Note 27 to the Consolidated Financial Statement - Subsequent Events.

Business Segment Results:

The results of the Company's reportable business segments are discussed below.

Table of Contents**Electronic Payment Processing**

(In thousands):	2012	2011 (Restated)	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Electronic payment processing	\$ 85,483	\$ 82,475	\$ 80,920	4%	2%
Interest income	6	11	16	(46%)	(31%)
Total revenue	85,489	82,486	80,936	4%	2%
Expenses:					
Electronic payment processing costs	72,183	69,388	68,177	4%	2%
Salaries and benefits	3,991	3,995	4,101	%	(3%)
Professional fees	323	270	346	20%	(22%)
Depreciation and amortization	743	1,419	1,632	(48%)	(13%)
Insurance expense related party	61	51	34	20%	50%
Other general and administrative costs	1,147	1,206	962	(5)%	25%
Total expenses	78,448	76,329	75,252	3%	1%
Income before income taxes	\$ 7,041	\$ 6,157	\$ 5,684	14%	8%

2012

EPP revenue increased \$3,008,000 or 4% between years. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases, both card association and third-party processor cost increases passed through to merchants (other than debit card transactions) and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase of 3% in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods. In addition, growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 7% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant, due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. The overall increase in revenue between years was adversely impacted by approximately 6% due to the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions, as well as the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods.

Electronic payment processing costs (EPP costs) increased \$2,795,000 or 4% between years. The increase in EPP costs includes a provision for charge-back losses of \$1,271,000 and \$244,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to a group of merchants affiliated with one of its independent sales agents. Subsequent to December 31, 2012, significant cardholder transaction charge-backs in excess of related cash reserves held at one of the Company's sponsor banks were noted to have occurred for the particular agent's group of merchants. As a result of the exhaustion of such related cash reserves and the likelihood of additional charge-backs continuing in 2013 relating to such merchants, management concluded that based on an analysis of past processing activity of such merchants (who are no longer processing with the Company) that an additional provision for charge-back losses was required as of December 31, 2012. As discussed more fully in Note 26, this loss was the result of violations of credit policy by a senior member of management in the EPP division. The activities and decisions of this manager lead to the \$1,271,000 and \$244,000 charge-back provision in 2012 and 2011, respectively, for chargebacks incurred by a group of merchants unilaterally approved by the senior manager. The overall increase in EPP costs between years was also affected by lower interchange costs on debit card transactions. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants, as well as others. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) decreased from 15.9% in 2011 to 15.6% in 2012. The decrease in margin due to the \$1,271,000 provision for charge-backs was 1.5%. The negative impact on the margin percentage of the additional charge-back loss provision was partially offset by the impact on revenues and EPP costs of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes also noted above, net of higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$2,889,000 or 38% between years, as well as changes in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed. Overall, the decrease in margin dollars was \$213,000 between years.

Table of Contents

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$676,000 or 10% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$676,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. During 2012, office relocation costs of approximately \$50,000 were incurred. Payroll related costs decreased \$4,000 as a decline in average headcount of 2% between years was substantially offset by increased costs related to payroll taxes and employee benefits. Professional fees increased \$53,000 due to several new consulting arrangements entered into during 2012 which were partially offset by lower audit fees between years.

Income before income taxes increased \$884,000 to \$7,041,000 in 2012 from \$6,157,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was principally due to the decrease in depreciation and amortization cost of \$676,000 between years and was partially offset by the decrease in the margin (operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs) of \$213,000 due to the reasons noted above.

2011- Restated

Electronic payment processing revenue increased \$1,555,000 or 2% between years due to organic growth of 2%. Revenue from acquired portfolios decreased overall revenue growth by less than 1% due to merchant attrition and other factors. Revenue from organic growth increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods of 6%. In addition, organic growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 2% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant. The increase in the average monthly processing volume per merchant is due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Total revenue from non-acquired business in 2011 was adversely impacted by approximately 6% due to the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods as well as price decreases under certain merchant processing contracts.

Electronic payment processing costs increased \$1,211,000, including \$244,000 for merchant charge-back losses, or 2% between years. Electronic payment processing costs resulting from acquired portfolios had the overall effect of decreasing such costs by less than 1% between years. Electronic payment processing costs associated with non-acquired business had the effect of increasing electronic payment processing costs by less than 2% between years. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants as well as others. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 15.7% in 2010 to 15.9% in 2011. The effect of a lower margin from acquired portfolios decreased the gross margin percentage by 0.3%. The introduction of new, higher margin products and services in both 2010 and 2011 and the impact on revenues and EPP costs as a result of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above, more than offset the impact in 2011 of lower margins realized due to a change in the mix of merchants and their related transaction processing activity between years. The increase in margin dollars was \$344,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$134,000 or 2% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$213,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs increased \$79,000 as an increase in marketing related costs of \$169,000 was partially offset by cost reductions in salaries and wages and professional fees between years. Marketing expense increased in conjunction with the launch of NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*, a new marketing campaign initiated by the Company beginning in January 2011.

Income before income taxes increased \$473,000 to \$6,157,000 in 2011 from \$5,684,000 in 2010. The increase in income before income taxes was due to the increase in the dollar margin of operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs of \$344,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in other expenses between years.

Table of Contents**Electronic Payment Processing Segment Restated Quarterly Periods of Fiscal Year 2012****Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011**

(In thousands):	Three months ended September 30:			
	2012 Restated	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Electronic payment processing	\$ 21,686	\$ 20,703	\$ 983	5%
Interest income	1	4	(3)	(75)%
Total revenue	21,687	20,707	980	5%
Expenses:				
Electronic payment processing costs	18,356	17,760	596	3%
Salaries and benefits	1,099	975	124	13%
Professional fees	79	55	24	44%
Depreciation and amortization	171	379	(208)	(55)%
Insurance expense related party	48		48	100%
Other general and administrative costs	192	298	(106)	(36)%
Total expenses	19,945	19,467	478	2%
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,742	\$ 1,240	\$ 502	40%

Electronic payment processing (EPP) revenue increased \$983,000 or 5% between years. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases, both card association and third-party processor cost increases passed through to merchants (other than debit card transactions) and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase of 3% in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods. In addition, growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 8% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant, due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Although total revenue increased between years, 2012 revenue was negatively impacted by approximately 6% due to the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions, as well as the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods.

Electronic payment processing costs increased \$596,000 or 3% between years. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 14.2% in 2011 to 15.4% in 2012. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants, as well as others; however, the segment had an increase in EPP costs related to the following:

- (1) Provision for charge-back losses of \$275,000 recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2012 related to a group of merchants under one independent sales agent;
- (2) Higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$1,036,000 or 63% between years per their agreements as a result of the pricing and cost changes noted above as well as increases in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed related to such sales agents.

The above increases in EPP costs were offset by the following:

(1) an increase in margin attributable to the introduction of new, higher margin products and services during 2011;

(2) the impact on revenues and EPP costs as a result of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above.

Overall, the increase in margin dollars was \$387,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$118,000 or 7% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$208,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs, which increased \$90,000 between years, included an increase of \$117,000 in other payroll related costs, a decrease in allocated marketing costs of \$56,000 and other cost increases of \$29,000, principally in professional fees related to servicing previously acquired portfolios.

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes increased \$502,000 to \$1,742,000 in 2012 from \$1,240,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was principally due to the increase in the margin (operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs) of \$387,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in depreciation and amortization cost of \$208,000 between years.

Table of Contents**Nine months Ended September 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011**

(In thousands):	Nine months ended September 30:			
	2012 (Restated)	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Electronic payment processing	\$ 63,674	\$ 61,504	\$ 2,170	4%
Interest income	4	8	(4)	(50)%
Total revenue	63,678	61,512	2,166	4%
Expenses:				
Electronic payment processing costs	53,542	52,483	1,059	2%
Salaries and benefits	3,186	3,027	159	5%
Professional fees	198	174	24	14%
Depreciation and amortization	581	1,140	(559)	(49)%
Insurance expense related party	48		48	100%
Other general and administrative costs	867	900	(33)	(4)%
Total expenses	58,422	57,724	698	1%
Income before income taxes	\$ 5,256	\$ 3,788	\$ 1,468	39%

EPP revenue increased \$2,170,000 or 4% between years. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases, both card association and third-party processor cost increases passed through to merchants (other than debit card transactions) and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase of 3% in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods. In addition, growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 8% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant, due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Total revenue in 2012 was down by approximately 7% due to the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions, as well as the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods.

Electronic payment processing costs increased \$1,059,000 or 2% between years. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 14.7% in 2011 to 15.9% in 2012. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants, as well as others; however, the segment had an increase in EPP costs related to the following:

- (1) Provision for charge-back losses of \$732,000 recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 related to a group of merchants under one independent sales agent;
- (2) Higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$2,848,000 or 56% between years per their agreements as a result of the pricing and cost changes noted above as well as increases in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed related to such sales agents.

The above increases in EPP costs were offset by the following:

- (1) an increase in margin attributable to the introduction of new, higher margin products and services during 2011;

(2) the impact on revenues and EPP costs as a result of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above. Overall, the increase in margin dollars was \$1,111,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$361,000 or 7% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$559,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs increased \$198,000 or 5% between years. During 2012, office relocation costs of approximately \$50,000 were incurred. Other payroll related costs increased \$159,000. Marketing and advertising costs decreased \$56,000 while all other costs increased \$45,000 including \$24,000 in professional fees principally related to servicing previously acquired portfolios.

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes increased \$1,468,000 to \$5,256,000 in 2012 from \$3,788,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was principally due to the increase in the margin (operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs) of \$1,111,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in depreciation and amortization cost of \$559,000 between years.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011**

(In thousands):	Three months ended June 30:			
	2012 (Restated)	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Electronic payment processing	\$ 21,371	\$ 20,714	\$ 657	3%
Interest income	2	3	(1)	(33)%
Total revenue	21,373	20,717	656	3%
Expenses:				
Electronic payment processing costs	17,833	17,628	205	1%
Salaries and benefits	1,022	988	34	3%
Professional fees	56	61	(5)	(8)%
Depreciation and amortization	169	380	(211)	(56)%
Other general and administrative costs	373	316	57	18%
Total expenses	19,453	19,373	80	%
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,920	\$ 1,344	\$ 576	43%

Electronic payment processing (EPP) revenue increased \$657,000 or 3% between years due to organic growth. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods of 3%. In addition, growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 9% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant. The increase in the average monthly processing volume per merchant is due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Total revenue in 2012 was adversely impacted by approximately 9% due to the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions as well as the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods.

Electronic payment processing costs increased \$205,000 or 1% between years. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants as well as others. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 14.9% in 2011 to 16.6% in 2012. The increase in margin is due to the impact on revenues and EPP costs resulting from the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above net of higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$882,000 or 51% between years as well as changes in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed. Overall, the increase in margin dollars was \$452,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$125,000 or 7% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$211,000 between periods as the result of a previously acquired portfolio of intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs, which increased \$86,000 between years, included approximately \$50,000 in office relocation costs during 2012.

Income before income taxes increased \$576,000 to \$1,920,000 in 2012 from \$1,344,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was due to the increase in the dollar margin of operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs of \$452,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in depreciation and amortization cost between years.

Table of Contents**Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011**

(In thousands):	Six months ended June 30:			
	2012 (Restated)	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Electronic payment processing	\$ 41,988	\$ 40,801	\$ 1,187	3%
Interest income	3	4	(1)	(25)%
Total revenue	41,991	40,805	1,186	3%
Expenses:				
Electronic payment processing costs	35,186	34,722	464	1%
Salaries and benefits	2,087	2,052	35	2%
Professional fees	120	119	1	1%
Depreciation and amortization	410	762	(352)	(46)%
Other general and administrative costs	674	603	71	12%
Total expenses	38,477	38,258	219	1%
Income before income taxes	\$ 3,514	\$ 2,547	\$ 967	38%

Electronic payment processing (EPP) revenue increased \$1,187,000 or 3% between years due to organic growth. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods of 3%. In addition, growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 8% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant. The increase in the average monthly processing volume per merchant is due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Total revenue in 2012 was adversely impacted by approximately 8% due to the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions as well as the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods.

Electronic payment processing costs increased by \$464,000 between years. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 14.9% in 2011 to 16.2% in 2012. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants, as well as others; however, the segment had an increase in EPP costs related to the following:

- (1) Provision for charge-back losses of \$456,000 recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012 related to a group of merchants under one independent sales agent;
- (2) Higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$1,812,000 or 52% between years per their agreements as a result of the pricing and cost changes noted above as well as increases in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed related to such sales agents.

The above increases in EPP costs were offset by the following:

- (1) an increase in margin attributable to the introduction of new, higher margin products and services during 2011;

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

(2) the impact on revenues and EPP costs as a result of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above. Overall, the increase in margin dollars was \$723,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$245,000 or 7% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$352,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs increased \$107,000 or 4% between years. During 2012, office relocation costs of approximately \$50,000 were incurred.

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes increased \$967,000 to \$3,514,000 in 2012 from \$2,547,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was due to the increase in the dollar margin of operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs of \$723,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in depreciation and amortization cost between years.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Restated) and 2011

(In thousands):	Three months ended March 31:			
	2012 (Restated)	2011	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Electronic payment processing	\$ 20,617	\$ 20,087	\$ 530	3%
Interest income	1	2	(1)	(50)%
Total revenue	20,618	20,089	529	3%
Expenses:				
Electronic payment processing costs	17,353	17,094	259	2%
Salaries and benefits	1,065	1,065		%
Professional fees	64	59	5	8%
Depreciation and amortization	241	381	(140)	(37)%
Other general and administrative costs	301	286	15	5%
Total expenses	19,024	18,885	139	1%
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,594	\$ 1,204	\$ 390	32%

Electronic payment processing (EPP) revenue increased \$530,000 or 3% between years due to organic growth. Revenue increased due to a combination of growth in processing volumes, selective fee increases and additions to services provided to our merchants. Processing volumes were favorably impacted by an increase in the average number of processing merchants under contract between periods of 3%. In addition, organic growth in revenue between periods increased due to an increase of approximately 8% in the average monthly processing volume per merchant. The increase in the average monthly processing volume per merchant is due in part to the addition of several larger volume processing merchants as well as year-over-year growth in processing volumes from existing merchants. Total revenue in 2012 was adversely impacted by approximately 8% due to the overall pricing mix of merchant sales volumes realized between periods as well as the effect of lower pass-through pricing on debit card transactions due to government mandated limits on underlying interchange costs for such transactions.

Electronic payment processing costs increased \$259,000 or 2% between years. Processing revenues less electronic payment processing costs (margin) increased from 14.9% in 2011 to 15.8% in 2012. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, the EPP segment began experiencing lower EPP costs as interchange costs on debit card transactions were reduced for interchange plus priced merchants, as well as others; however, the segment had an increase in EPP costs related to the following:

- (1) Provision for charge-back losses of \$472,000 recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2012 related to a group of merchants under one independent sales agent;
- (2) Higher residual payments to sales agents which increased \$930,000 or 54% between years per their agreements as a result of the pricing and cost changes noted above as well as increases in the mix of merchant sales volumes processed related to such sales agents.

The above increases in EPP costs were offset by the following:

(1) an increase in margin attributable to the introduction of new, higher margin products and services during 2011;

(2) the impact on revenues and EPP costs as a result of the debit card pricing and interchange cost changes noted above.

Overall, the increase in margin dollars was \$271,000 between years.

Excluding electronic payment processing costs, other costs decreased \$120,000 or 7% between years. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$140,000 between periods as the result of previously acquired portfolio intangible assets becoming fully amortized between periods. Remaining costs increased only \$20,000 or 1% between years.

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes increased \$390,000 to \$1,594,000 in 2012 from \$1,204,000 in 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was due to the increase in the dollar margin of operating revenues less electronic payment processing costs of \$271,000 due to the reasons noted above and the decrease in other depreciation and amortizations cost between years.

Table of Contents**Managed Technology Solutions**

(In thousands):	2012	2011	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Web hosting and design	\$ 18,211	\$ 19,183	\$ 19,164	(5%)	0%
Interest income		1	4	(100%)	(75%)
Total revenue	18,211	19,184	19,168	(5%)	0%
Expenses:					
Salaries and benefits	5,216	4,755	4,910	10%	(3%)
Interest	80	104	107	(23%)	(3%)
Professional fees	465	692	551	(33%)	26%
Depreciation and amortization	1,214	1,387	1,828	(13%)	(24%)
Insurance expense related party	17	4	27	325%	(85)%
Other general and administrative costs	6,965	7,405	7,042	(6%)	5%
Total expenses	13,957	14,347	14,465	(3%)	(1%)
Income before income taxes	\$ 4,254	\$ 4,837	\$ 4,703	(12%)	3%

2012

Revenue is derived primarily from recurring fees from hosting websites, including monthly contracts for shared hosting, dedicated servers and cloud instances (the plans). In addition, less than 2% of revenues are derived from contracted services to design web sites. Revenue between years decreased \$973,000, or 5%, to \$18,211,000 in 2012. The decrease in revenues included a decrease in web hosting revenue of \$750,000 and web design revenues of \$223,000 between years. The decrease in web hosting revenue is the result of a decrease in the average monthly number of total plans by 5,631 or 10% between years to 50,720 plans in 2012 from 56,351 plans in 2011. Partially offsetting the decrease in web hosting revenue resulting from the decline in plans was an increase in the average monthly revenue per plan of 5% to \$29.92 in 2012 from \$28.37 in 2011. The increase in the average revenue per plan reflects a growth in cloud instances and customers purchasing higher cost plans including additional options and services. The average number of cloud instances increased by 267 to an average of 634 from 367 in 2011 reflecting the Company's introduction of a customer scalable cloud offering in 2011. The decrease in the average total plans occurred in the shared and dedicated segments. The average monthly number of dedicated server plans in 2012, which generate a higher monthly fee versus shared hosting plans, decreased by 355 between years, or 19%, to an average of 1,485 from an average of 1,840 in 2011. The average monthly number of shared hosting plans in 2012 decreased by 5,542, or 10%, to an average of 48,601 from 54,143 in 2011. Competition from other web hosting providers as well as alternative website services continues to have a negative effect on web hosting plan count and revenue growth.

It continues to be management's intent to increase revenues and margin per plan through higher service offerings to customers, although this may result in a lower number of plans in place overall. In addition, management has begun to lessen its dependency on the Microsoft web platform by broadening its platform capabilities to include more open source web applications which have become increasingly more attractive to web developers and resellers.

Total expenses of \$13,957,000 in 2012 declined 3% from \$14,347,000 in 2011. Salaries and benefits increased \$461,000 or 10% between years to \$5,216,000. The growth in salaries and benefits is principally due to adding additional staffing in customer service areas and executive management as well as wage rate increases between periods. Depreciation and amortization cost decreased \$173,000 between years to \$1,214,000 due to reduced capital expenditures in recent years as a result of lower replacement costs for new equipment overall, more efficient use of existing equipment within the data center for shared and dedicated plans and the utilization of cloud architecture to more efficiently provide services to customers. The decrease of \$227,000 in professional fees was primarily due to a decrease in web design development costs as a result of a decrease in web design revenues between years. In addition, professional fees also decreased due to the timing of the incurrence of security assurance services between years. Other general and administrative costs decreased \$440,000 or 6% between years. Included in other expenses in 2011 was an expense of \$190,000 resulting from the resolution of a licensing dispute. Excluding the one-time settlement in 2011, other expense decreased \$250,000 between years. Increases in domain costs of \$50,000 and hardware maintenance and support of \$121,000, principally due to the restructuring of previous contracts in those areas, were more than offset by a reduction in bad debt expense of \$184,000

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

due to lower revenues in 2012 and improved collection efforts between years. In addition, lease expense and utility costs decreased by \$237,000 between years due a new lease resulting from moving to a new management office location in 2012 and lower data center utility costs.

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes decreased 12% or \$583,000 to \$4,254,000 in 2012 from \$4,837,000 in 2011. The decrease in profitability is principally due to a decline in web hosting revenue between years as increases in revenue per site have not offset an overall decline in revenue due to site attrition and, additionally, such decline in revenue has only been partially offset by cost reductions (including the impact of a \$190,000 licensing settlement cost in 2011).

2011

Revenue is derived primarily from recurring fees from hosting websites, including monthly contracts for shared hosting, dedicated servers, and cloud instances (the plans). In addition, revenues are derived from contracted services to design web sites. Revenue between years increased \$19,000, or less than 1%, to \$19,183,000 in 2011. The increase in revenues included an increase in web design revenues of \$177,000 to \$532,000 in 2011 and offset by a decrease in web hosting revenue of \$158,000. The decrease in web hosting revenue is the result of a decrease in the average monthly number of total plans by 4,575 or 8% between years to 56,351 plans in 2011 from 60,926 plans in 2010. Partially offsetting the decrease in web hosting revenue resulting from the decline in such plans was an increase in the average revenue per plan of 7% to \$330.98 from \$308.73. The increase in the average revenue per plan reflects a growth in cloud instances and customers purchasing higher-cost plans including additional options and services. The average number of cloud instances increased by 174, or 90%, to an average of 367 from 193 for 2010 reflecting the Company's introduction of a customer scalable cloud offering in 2011. The decrease in the average total plans is mainly reflected in the shared and dedicated segments. The average monthly number of dedicated server plans for 2011, which generate a higher monthly fee versus shared hosting plans, decreased by 306 between periods, or 14%, to an average of 1,840 from an average of 2,146 for 2010. The average monthly number of shared hosting plans for 2011 decreased by 4,444, or 8%, to an average of 54,143 from 58,587 for 2011. Competition from other web hosting providers as well as alternative website services continues to have a negative effect on web hosting plan count and revenue growth.

Customer service and competitive pricing by NTS lowered the rate of attrition between years, but sales and marketing efforts have yet to provide sufficient new accounts to keep the overall plan count from declining. It is management's intent to increase revenues and margin per plan through higher service offerings to customers, although this may result in a lower number of plans in place overall.

Total expenses decreased by 1% or \$118,000 in 2011 compared to 2010. The decrease in total expenses includes a decrease in salaries and benefits between years of \$155,000 and a \$441,000 decrease in depreciation and amortization due to reduced capital expenditures in recent years as a result of lower replacement costs for new equipment overall, more efficient use of existing equipment within the data center for shared and dedicated plans and the utilization of cloud architecture to more efficiently provide services to customers. The \$155,000 decrease in salaries and benefits was principally due to an increase in capitalized wages for internally used software of \$53,000 and an additional amount charged to other Newtek segments (\$114,000) between years which is reflected as a reduction of salaries and wages for segment reporting purposes. The increase of \$141,000 in professional fees was primarily due to an increase in audit fees for a SOC 1 engagement and an increase in web design costs in direct connection with the additional service offering to customers for custom website development. The \$363,000 increase in other general and administrative costs is primarily due to a one-time expense of \$190,000 as a result of the resolution of a licensing dispute and an increase of \$136,000 in marketing expense. Marketing expense increased in conjunction with the launch of NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*, a new marketing campaign initiated by the Company in January 2011.

Income before income taxes increased 3% or \$134,000 to \$4,837,000 for 2011 from \$4,703,000 for 2010. The improvement in profitability resulted primarily from the decrease in salaries and benefits and depreciation and amortization.

Table of Contents**Small Business Finance**

(In thousands):	2012	2011	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Premium on loan sales	\$ 12,367	\$ 12,468	\$ 2,428	(1)%	414%
Servicing fee	6,861	3,098	2,564	121%	21%
Interest income	3,370	2,545	1,747	32%	46%
Management fees related party	293	585	585	(50)%	%
Other income	2,517	2,329	2,047	8%	14%
Total revenue	25,408	21,025	9,371	21%	124%
Net change in fair value of:					
SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse		(3,366)	3,366	(100)%	(200)%
SBA loans held for sale	(163)	265	413	(162)%	(36)%
SBA loans held for investment	(851)	(2,392)	(285)	(64)%	739%
Warrants	(111)			(100)%	%
Total net change in fair value	(1,125)	(5,493)	3,494	80%	(257)%
Expenses:					
Salaries and benefits	6,124	4,689	3,620	31%	30%
Interest	3,836	2,030	1,916	89%	6%
Management fees related party			460	0%	(100)%
Professional fees	700	474	450	48%	5%
Depreciation and amortization	919	893	790	3%	13%
Provision for loan loss	805	751	1,849	7%	(59)%
Insurance expense-related party	20			(100)%	%
Other general and administrative costs	3,785	2,560	1,735	48%	48%
Total expenses	16,189	11,397	10,820	42%	5%
Income before income taxes	\$ 8,094	\$ 4,135	\$ 2,045	96%	102%

Business Overview

The Small business finance segment is comprised of NSBF which is a non-bank SBA lender that originates, sells and services loans for its own portfolio as well as portfolios of other institutions, and NBC which provides accounts receivable financing and billing services to business. Revenue is derived primarily from premium income generated by the sale of the guaranteed portions of SBA loans, interest income on SBA loans held for investment and held for sale, servicing fee income on the guaranteed portions of SBA loans sold, servicing income for loans originated by other lenders for which NSBF is the servicer, and financing and billing services, classified as other income above, provided by NBC. Most SBA loans originated by NSBF charge an interest rate equal to the Prime rate plus an additional percentage amount; the interest rate resets to the current Prime rate on a monthly or quarterly basis, which will result in changes to the amount of interest accrued for that month and going forward and a re-amortization of a loan's payment amount until maturity.

Accounting Policy

On October 1, 2010, the Company elected to utilize the fair value option for SBA 7(a) loans funded on or after that date. For these fair value loans, premium on loan sales equals the cash premium and servicing asset paid by the purchaser in the secondary market, the discount created on the unguaranteed portion from the sale which formerly reduced premium income is

Table of Contents

now included in the fair value line item, and, by not capitalizing various transaction expenses, the salaries and benefits and loan processing expense lines portray a value closer to the cash cost to operate the lending business. The fair value measurement, currently recorded as a 7.5% upfront discount of the unguaranteed principal balance of SBA loans held for investment, is based upon internal quantitative data on our portfolio with respect to historical default rates and future expected losses as well as the investor price paid for the senior interest in our unguaranteed loans with respect to the 2013 securitized transaction, and adjusted for the estimated servicing and interest income to be retained by the trust over an estimated repayment term of three years. This was further adjusted to reflect the estimated default rate on the senior notes based on the default rate on our loan portfolio, assuming a worst case scenario of no recoveries. Should the performance of the underlying loans to the senior notes change, this could impact the assumptions used in the estimated repayment term as well as the estimated default rate and thus result in a higher or lower discount rate taken in the future; management reviews these assumptions regularly. If a loan measured at fair value is subsequently impaired, then the fair value of the loan is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the impaired loans involve management's judgment in the use of market data and third party estimates regarding collateral values. Such estimates are further discounted by 20% - 80% to reflect the cost of liquidating the various assets under collateral. Any subsequent increases or decreases in any of the inputs would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in the reserve for loan loss or fair value of SBA loans, depending on whether the loan was originated prior or subsequent to October 1, 2010. Because the loans bear interest at a variable rate, NSBF does not have to factor in interest rate risk.

Consideration in arriving at the provision for loan loss includes past and current loss experience, current portfolio composition, future estimated cash flows, and the evaluation of real estate and other collateral as well as current economic conditions. For all loans originated on or prior to September 30, 2010, management performed a loan-by-loan review for the estimated uncollectible portion of non-performing loans; subsequent to September 30, 2010, management began recording all loan originations on a fair value basis which requires a valuation reduction of the unguaranteed portion of loans held for investment to a level that takes into consideration future losses. This valuation reduction is reflected in the line item above: Net Change in Fair Value of SBA Loans Held for Investment.

Small Business Finance Summary

	For the Year ended					
	2012		December 31, 2011		2010	
	#		#		#	
(In thousands):	Loans	\$ Amount	Loans	\$ Amount	Loans	\$ Amount
Loans sold/transferred during the period	105	84,743	102	73,871	101	57,517
Loans originated during the period	104	107,425	102	97,129	106	65,655
Loans that achieved sale status, originated in prior period			47	30,783		
Premium income recognized (1)		\$ 12,367		\$ 12,468		\$ 2,428
Average sale price as a percent of principal balance (2)		114.16%		111.35%		110.33%

(1) Of the total premium recognized for the year ended December 31, 2011, \$3,196,000 was from previously originated loans that achieved sale status as a result of the warranty period expiring.

(2) Premiums greater than 110.00% must be split 50/50 with the SBA.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized \$12,367,000 of premium income from 105 loans sold aggregating \$84,743,000. During 2011, the Company recognized \$9,272,000 of premium income from 102 loans sold totaling \$73,871,000 not subject to the premium warranty, and 47 loans aggregating \$30,783,000 previously subject to the premium warranty that achieved sale status during 2011. The decrease in premium income for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared with the prior period was due entirely to the reversal of the fair value adjustment of \$3,196,000 associated with SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse, which increased premium income for the same amount for the year ended December 31, 2011. Sale prices on guaranteed loan sales averaged 114.16% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared with 111.35% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**Servicing Portfolios and related Servicing fee income**

(In thousands):	Year ended			% Change	
	2012	December 31, 2011	2010	2012	2011
Total NSBF originated servicing portfolio (1)	\$ 351,736	\$ 286,113	\$ 218,223	23%	31%
Third party servicing portfolio	176,988	136,971	73,886	29%	85%
Aggregate servicing portfolio	\$ 528,724	\$ 423,084	\$ 292,109	25%	45%
Total servicing income earned NSBF portfolio	\$ 2,298	\$ 1,635	\$ 1,784	41%	(8)%
Total servicing income earned external portfolio	\$ 4,564	\$ 1,466	\$ 784	211%	87%
Total servicing income earned	\$ 6,862	\$ 3,101	\$ 2,568	121%	21%

(1) Of this amount, the total average NSBF originated portfolio earning servicing income was \$238,590,000, \$192,126,000 and \$159,873,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The \$3,761,000 improvement in total servicing income was attributable primarily to third party loan servicing, which increased by \$3,098,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The twelve month average third party servicing portfolio increased from \$96,398,000 to \$200,737,000 for the 2011 and 2012 annual periods, respectively. In addition, servicing fees received from the SBA on repurchased loans increased by \$154,000 and the remaining increase of \$512,000 was attributable to the expansion of the NSBF portfolio, in which we earn servicing income, which increased from an average of \$192,126,000 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 to an average of \$238,590,000 for the same twelve month period in 2012. This increase was the direct result of increased loan originations throughout 2011 and 2012.

Interest income increased by \$825,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. This increase was attributable to an additional \$1,169,000 of interest income as a result of the average outstanding performing portfolio of SBA loans held for investment increasing to \$48,512,000 from \$29,649,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Results for 2011 included \$344,000 in interest earned from SBA loans transferred, subject to recourse; all transferred loans achieved sales status as of December 31, 2011.

Other income increased by \$188,000 primarily due to a \$277,000 increase in revenues at NBC. Fees earned on receivable advances increased by \$118,000 which was attributable to an increase in the average of financed receivables from \$4,937,000 in 2011, to \$6,025,000 in 2012. Annual fees, due diligence fees and under minimum fees increased by an aggregate of \$237,000 year over year, while commissions, late fees and billing service revenue, along with other miscellaneous fees decreased by an aggregate of \$78,000 year over year. Increases in other income attributable to NBC was offset by an \$86,000 reduction in other income at NSBF attributable to recognizing fewer expense recoveries and packaging fees.

The increase in the net change in fair value associated with SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse, is the direct result of all previously transferred loans having achieved sale status during 2011 as well as the SBA removing the warranty provision allowing the Company to recognize premium income on the date of sale. During the year ended December 31, 2011, as a result of the expiration of the premium warranty, all previously transferred loans were recognized as sales, thereby reducing the corresponding fair value adjustment by \$3,366,000. The change in fair value associated with SBA loans held for sale is related to the total amount of loans converted from partially funded to fully funded status during a given period. The decrease in the change in fair value on SBA loans held for investment is a result of reducing the upfront discount recognized on unguaranteed loans, from 11% to 9.5% during the first quarter of 2012 and further reduced to 7.5% at December 31, 2012. This reduction was determined based upon internal quantitative data on our portfolio with respect to historical default rates and future expected losses as well as the investor price paid for the senior interest in our unguaranteed loans with respect to our two securitized transactions, adjusted for the estimated servicing and interest income retained by the trust over an estimated repayment term of three years and further adjusted to reflect the estimated default rate on the senior notes based on the default rate on our loan portfolio, assuming a worst case scenario of no recoveries. During the year ended December 31, 2012, loans originated and held for investment aggregated \$24,076,000 resulting in a corresponding fair value loss of \$851,000, representing an improvement of \$1,542,000 over 2011. In connection with the Summit financing transaction which closed in April 2012, the Company recorded a fair value loss of \$111,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 to reflect the fair value of warrants issued as part of the transaction. The warrants have since been replaced to remove the anti-dilutive provision which reflected management's and Summit's original intent regarding the nature of the warrants, and as such will no longer be marked to market.

Table of Contents

Salaries and benefits increased by \$1,435,000 primarily due to increases in salaries, bonus accrual, as well as the addition of staff in the originating, servicing and liquidation departments for both internal and external loan portfolios. Combined headcount increased by 22% from an average of 51 employees for the year ended December 31, 2011, to an average of 62 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest expense increased by \$1,806,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with the same period in 2011, due primarily to \$1,422,000 of interest expense associated with the Summit financing transaction which closed in April 2012. While the Summit financing was transacted with the parent company, these funds were provided to and utilized by NSBF; as a result, the corresponding expense has been recorded in the lending segment. The \$1,422,000 includes interest, payment-in-kind interest, discount on the valuation of the warrant and amortization of deferred financing costs. Additionally, NSBF experienced an increase in interest expense of \$624,000 in connection with the closing of the second securitization transaction in December 2011 and an additional \$56,000 increase related to the Capital One line of credit which increased from an average outstanding balance of \$7,654,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$10,674,000 for the same period in 2012. NBC experienced an increase in interest expense of \$55,000 under the Sterling credit facility due to increased borrowings under the Sterling credit facility as a result of an increasing portfolio. Other interest expense paid to third party increase by \$20,000. These increases were offset by a reduction of \$344,000 attributable to the liability for SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse, which was reduced to zero in 2011 and a decrease of interest expense at NBC by \$27,000 due to the write off of the remaining deferred financing cost under the Wells Fargo line in 2011.

Professional fees for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$226,000 when compared with the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to an increase in consulting fees associated with third party servicing, due diligence fees, search fees and fees associated with the trustee for the loan portfolio securitization.

Loan Loss Reserves and Fair Value Discount

(In thousands):	Year ended December 31:			% Change	
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011
Total reserves and discount, beginning of year	\$ 5,566	\$ 3,844	\$ 3,985	45%	(4)%
Provision for loan loss	805	751	1,849	7%	(59)%
Discount, loans held for investment at fair value (1)	851	2,393	285	(64)%	740%
Charge offs (net of recoveries)	(1,130)	(1,422)	(2,275)	(21)%	(37)%
Total reserves and discount, end of year	\$ 6,092	\$ 5,566	\$ 3,844	9%	45%
Gross portfolio balance, end of year	\$ 64,609	\$ 47,030	\$ 31,760	37%	48%
Total impaired nonaccrual loans, end of year	\$ 6,757	\$ 6,766	\$ 7,990	%	(15)%

- (1) The upfront discount taken on unguaranteed loans was reduced from 11% to 9.5% during the first quarter of 2012 and further reduced to 7.5% at December 31, 2012 based upon internal quantitative data on our portfolio with respect to historical default rates and future expected losses. The Company also utilized the investor price paid for the senior interest in our unguaranteed loans with respect to the 2013 securitized transaction, adjusted for the estimated servicing and interest income to be retained by the trust over an estimated repayment term of three years. This was further adjusted to reflect the estimated default rate on the senior notes based on the default rate on our loan portfolio, assuming a worst case scenario of no recoveries.

The combined provision for loan loss and net change in fair value decreased from \$3,144,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$1,656,000 for year ended December 31, 2012, a net decrease of \$1,488,000 period over period. The allowance for loan loss together with the cumulative adjustment related to SBA loans held for investment increased from \$5,566,000 or 11.8% of the gross portfolio balance of \$47,030,000 at December 31, 2011 to \$6,092,000 or 9.4% of the gross portfolio balance of \$64,609,000 at December 31, 2012. This decrease in reserve percentage is a result of the decrease in the upfront discount from 11% to 7.5% as well as the positive performance of the portfolio. Total impaired non-accrual loans decreased from \$6,766,000 or 14.4% of the total portfolio at December 31, 2011 to \$6,757,000 or 10.5% at December 31, 2012 with \$2,417,000 or 35.7% and \$2,189,000 or 32.4% of the allowance for loan losses being allocated against such impaired non-accrual loans, respectively. The year over year reduction in non-performing loan percentage results from an improvement in the overall economic climate, as well as a shift in the underwriting and origination of loans from start-up type businesses to existing businesses and business acquisitions. The year over year reduction in the specific reserve reflects both the relatively high level of overall collateralization on the non-performing portfolio as well as the increase in the portion of that portfolio making periodic payments pending return to performing status,

reducing the need for a specific reserve at this time.

Table of Contents

Other general and administrative costs increased by \$1,225,000 due primarily to the increase in loan originating, processing and servicing costs in the amount of \$397,000 as a result of the increase in loans originated and portfolios serviced and an increase of \$490,000 in loan recovery costs, which includes expenses and losses associated with the sale of foreclosed properties and collateral preservation costs, as compared with the same period in 2011. Additionally, marketing costs increased by \$204,000 primarily in conjunction with the launch of NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*, a new marketing campaign initiated by the Company in May 2012.

The increase of loan originations and the size of the portfolio, combined with improvements in sale pricing as well as servicing and interest, generated by the addition to and enhanced performance of the portfolio, and an increase in third party servicing, were sufficient to offset additional salaries, servicing and origination expenses. The resulting pretax income of \$8,094,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 was a 96% improvement over pretax income of \$4,135,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

2011

Revenue is derived primarily from premium income generated by the sale of the guaranteed and unguaranteed portions of SBA loans. Additionally, the Company derives revenue from interest income on SBA loans held for investment and held for sale, servicing fee income on the guaranteed portions of SBA loans previously sold, servicing income for loans originated by other lenders for which NSBF is the servicer, and financing and billing services, classified as other income above, provided by NBC. Most SBA loans originated by NSBF charge an interest rate equal to the Prime rate plus an additional percentage amount; the interest rate resets to the current Prime rate on a monthly or quarterly basis, which will result in changes to the amount of interest accrued for that month and going forward and a re-amortization of a loan's payment amount until maturity.

The implementation of ASC Topic 860 *Transfers and Servicing*, which became effective January 1, 2010, delayed the recognition of premium income for sales of the guaranteed portions of SBA loans. In 2010 and prior, SBA Form 1086 required, as part of the transferor's representations and warranties, that the transferor repay any premium received from the transferee if either the SBA 7(a) loan borrower prepays the loan within 90 days of the transfer settlement date or fails to make one of its first three loan payments after the settlement date in a timely fashion and then proceeds to default within 275 days of the settlement date. Under ASC Topic 860, such recourse precludes sale treatment of the transferred guaranteed portions during this warranty period; rather NSBF was required to account for this as a financing arrangement with the transferee. Until the warranty period expired such transferred loans were classified as SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse with a matching liability *Liability on SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse*. In January 2011, the SBA issued a notice stating that Form 1086 would be revised to remove all reference to a warranty period for loans sold in the secondary market. This change became effective February 7, 2011 and allowed the Company to recognize premium income concurrent with the date of transfer, as was done prior to January 1, 2010.

In 2010, the Company elected the fair value option for valuing *Liability on SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse*, and also elected to utilize the fair value option for SBA 7(a) loans funded on or after that date. As a result, the Company recorded changes in the fair value for the guaranteed portions that were not transferred at period end (*SBA loans held for sale*) and for loans transferred in the secondary market that did not achieve sale status under ASC Topic 860 (*SBA loans transferred, subject to recourse*). At the expiration of the warranty period, the sale of the guaranteed portions of these loans as well as the corresponding gain was recognized into premium income, and the asset and liability eliminated.

On October 1, 2010, the Company elected to utilize the fair value option for SBA 7(a) loans funded on or after that date. For loans funded on or after October 1, 2010, the income statement separates the revenue and expense components mixed into premium on loan sales: premium on loan sales equals the cash premium and servicing asset paid by purchaser in the secondary market, the discount created on the unguaranteed portion is included in the fair value line item, and by not capitalizing various transaction expenses the salary and benefit and loan processing costs expense lines portray a value closer to the cash cost to operate the lending business.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company originated 102 loans totaling \$97,129,000 and recognized \$9,272,000 of premium income from 102 loans sold aggregating \$73,871,000 which were not subject to the premium warranty and \$3,196,000 in premium income associated with 47 loans aggregating \$30,783,000 which were subject to the premium warranty and previously transferred, and achieved sale status during 2011. Because of the premium warranty in existence

Table of Contents

during 2010 that delayed sale recognition, the Company recorded premium income for the year ended December 31, 2010 for 54 of the 101, or \$25,965,000 of the \$57,517,000 guaranteed loans transferred, representing the \$2,428,000 in premium income and a corresponding fair value gain as discussed below. Premiums on guaranteed loan sales for the twelve months averaged 111.35% with 1% servicing in 2011 compared with 110.33% with 1% servicing in 2010.

Servicing fee income increased by \$534,000 due primarily to revenue generated by the FDIC servicing contract, which totaled \$1,389,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared with \$784,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010; the FDIC contracts, for both servicing and consulting, run through December 2014. The revenue for the latter is included in other income. In addition, the average NSBF originated serviced portfolio increased from \$142,719,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$192,126,000 for the same period in 2011. This improvement was the direct result of an increase in loan originations which began at the end of 2009. This increase was partially offset by a \$174,000 reduction in servicing fee income related to guaranteed loan repurchases by the SBA.

Interest income increased by \$798,000 due primarily to interest on SBA loans, which increased by \$892,000 as a result of the growth and positive performance of the portfolio; the average outstanding performing portfolio of SBA loans held for investment increased from \$21,504,000 to \$29,649,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. In addition, interest earned from SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse, decreased by \$96,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011; all of the transferred loans which had been subject to premium warranty achieved sales status, and interest earned from SBA loans transferred ceased during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Other income increased by \$282,000 primarily due to an increase in revenue earned by Newtek Business Credit. Fees earned on receivables purchased and billing services increased by \$164,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared with the year ended December 31, 2010, which was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of clients serviced as well as an increase in the amount of receivables purchased from existing clients. Additionally, \$198,000 of packaging fee income, which had previously been capitalized at NSBF, is now recognized upfront as a result of the adoption of fair value accounting for loans funded on or after October 1, 2010. NSBF also realized an increase of \$58,000 in other loan related revenue such as late fees and recoveries year over year. These increases were partially offset by a \$132,000 reduction in income earned under the FDIC consulting contract in the current year.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a net fair value gain of \$3,366,000 related to 101 guaranteed loans transferred aggregating \$56,735,000, of which 54 achieved sale status during the year. During the same period 2011, as a result of the elimination of the premium warranty, all remaining loans transferred during 2010 achieved sale status in 2011, thereby reversing the corresponding fair value adjustment by a net amount of \$3,366,000. In addition, the Company recorded a fair value gain of \$265,000 for SBA loans held for sale. For the year ended December 31, 2011, loans originated and held for investment aggregated \$22,308,000 resulting in a corresponding fair value loss of \$2,392,000.

Salaries and benefits increased by \$1,069,000 primarily due to the addition of staff in the originating, servicing and liquidation departments, as well as an increase in staff to service outside contracts. Combined headcount increased by 18.6% from an average of 43 for the year ended December 31, 2010 to an average of 51 for the year ended December 31, 2011. Additionally, prior to October 1, 2010, certain direct salary and benefit costs to originate loans were deferred and amortized over the contractual life of the SBA loan using a method that approximated the effective interest method. For the year ended December 31, 2010, this equated to \$201,000 of expense being deferred. Subsequent to September 30, 2010, the Company began recording the origination of loans based on the fair value method which requires the upfront expensing of such direct costs.

Interest expense increased by \$114,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010, due primarily to an increase of \$318,000 of interest expense associated with NSBF financing agreements with Capital One Bank and the securitization of \$27,779,000 comprising a \$16,000,000 transaction in December 2010, and a second in December 2011 totaling \$11,779,000, which increased the average debt outstanding from \$12,156,000 to \$21,966,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Interest expense on NSBF debt increased from \$748,000 to \$1,065,000 period over period, while the average interest rate decreased from 6.40% to 4.74%. The average debt outstanding at NBC increased from \$3,757,000 to \$4,560,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011 resulting in an increase to interest expense of \$32,000, while the average interest rate decreased from 7.33% to 6.26% during the same period. Additionally, the amortization of deferred financing costs by NSBF decreased by \$167,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 when compared with the prior year. The decrease in amortized of deferred financing costs for 2011 was due to the acceleration of amortization in connection with the repayment of the term loan with Capital One in the fourth quarter of 2010. The amortization of deferred financing costs associated with the line of credit held by NBC increased by \$33,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared with the prior period. This increase was due to the acceleration of amortization in connection with the refinancing of the term loan with Wells Fargo, and the securing of a new term loan with Sterling National Bank in the first quarter 2011. In addition, interest expense on SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse, decreased by \$96,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Consideration in arriving at the provision for loan loss includes past and current loss experience, current portfolio composition, future estimated cash flows, and the evaluation of real estate and other collateral as well as current economic conditions. For all loans originated on or prior to September 30, 2010, management performed a loan-by-loan review for the estimated uncollectible portion of non-performing loans. Subsequent to September 30, 2010, management began recording all loan originations on a fair value basis which requires a valuation reduction of the unguaranteed portion of loans held for investment to a level that takes into consideration future losses. This valuation reduction is reflected in the line item above: Net Change in Fair Value of SBA Loans Held for Investment.

The decrease in the loan loss provision of \$1,098,000 from \$1,849,000 in 2010 to \$751,000 in 2011 was offset by a \$2,107,000 increase in the net change in fair value of SBA loans held for investment for loans originated subsequent to September 30, 2010. The combined provision for loan loss and net change in fair value of SBA loans held for investment increased from \$2,135,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$3,144,000 for the corresponding period in 2011. This net increase of \$1,009,000 period over period is consistent with the expansion of the unguaranteed portfolio during 2011. The allowance for loan loss including the net change in fair value increased from \$3,845,000 or 12.1% of the gross portfolio balance of \$31,759,000 at December 31, 2010 to \$5,567,000 or 11.8% of the gross portfolio balance of \$47,030,000 at December 31, 2011. This decrease as a percentage of the gross portfolio balance reflects the positive performance of the portfolio, resulting in a return to more historical levels of reserves. Total impaired non-accrual loans decreased from \$7,990,000 or 26.3% of the total portfolio at December 31, 2010 to \$6,766,000 or 15% at December 31, 2011 with \$2,516,000 or 31.5% and \$2,417,000 or 35.7% of the allowance for loan losses being allocated against such impaired non-accrual loans, respectively. The year over year reduction in non-performing loans results from an improvement in the overall economic climate and less delinquent loans in the portfolio. The year over year reduction in the specific reserve reflects both the overall collateralization on the non-performing portfolio as well as the increase in the portion of that portfolio making periodic payments pending return to performing status reducing the need for a specific reserve at this time.

Other general and administrative costs increased by \$825,000 due primarily to the Company recording loan related expenses based on the fair value method which requires the upfront expensing of such direct costs as well as an increase in such loan processing and servicing costs as a result of the increase in loans originated during the current year. Additionally, marketing costs increased by \$194,000 primarily in conjunction with the launch of NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*, a new marketing campaign initiated by the Company in January 2011.

The increase in premium income combined with improvements in servicing, interest, and other income generated by the addition to and enhanced performance of the portfolio, were sufficient to offset an increase in compensation and other expenses, and resulted in an improvement to income before income taxes of \$2,090,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, when compared to the same period of 2010.

Table of Contents**All Other**

(In thousands):	2012	2011	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Insurance commissions	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,071	\$ 886	12%	21%
Insurance commissions related party	153	127	180	20%	(29)%
Other income	419	627	400	(33)%	57%
Other income related party	82			100%	0%
Interest income	2	10	61	(80)%	(84)%
Total revenue	1,860	1,835	1,527	1%	20%
Expenses:					
Salaries and benefits	2,040	1,881	1,563	8%	20%
Other than temporary decline in value of Investments			510	0%	(100)%
Professional fees	253	181	174	40%	4%
Depreciation and amortization	36	80	135	(55)%	(41)%
Insurance expense related party	9			100%	0%
Other general and administrative costs	560	427	567	31%	(25)%
Total expenses	2,898	2,569	2,949	13%	(13)%
Loss before income taxes	\$ (1,038)	\$ (734)	\$ (1,422)	(41)%	48%

The All other segment includes revenues and expenses primarily from Newtek Insurance Agency, LLC, Newtek Payroll Services and qualified businesses that received investments made through the Company's Capcos which cannot be aggregated with other operating segments.

2012

Total revenue increased by 1%, or \$25,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ago period. Total insurance commissions increased by \$159,000 during 2012 compared with 2011, due primarily to an increase in force placed insurance commissions, as well as an increase in related party commission revenue. The \$126,000 decrease in combined other income in 2012 was due to a \$337,000 gain on an investment held by an equity method investee which was sold in 2011. Partially offsetting this decrease was a \$225,000 improvement in revenue by Newtek Payroll Services, which increased the number of clients from 93 in 2011 to 262 in 2012. All related party revenue, which represents insurance commissions earned on policies sold by NIA and fees charged by Newtek Payroll Services, LLC to Newtek and subsidiaries, are eliminated upon consolidation.

Salaries and benefits increased by \$159,000, and other general and administrative costs increased by \$133,000 during 2012 both of which were due primarily to activities related to Newtek Payroll Services (PAY). Average headcount increased by 30% between years, and the increase in other expense related to servicing the new clients added at PAY. The \$72,000 increase in professional fees was attributable to broker commissions for insurance policies sold by NIA during 2012.

2011

Insurance commission revenue increased by \$185,000, or 21%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the prior year primarily due to an increase in agency bill policies and the expansion of the force placed insurance portfolio. Related party commission revenue decreased in 2011 as compared with 2010 due to a reduction in commissions earned on the company's group health policies. The increase in other income was primarily due to a \$337,000 gain on an investment held by an equity method investee sold in 2011. Interest income decreased in 2011 as a result of a reduction in invested cash.

Salaries and benefits increased by \$318,000 or 20% to \$1,881,000 for 2011, as compared to \$1,563,000 for 2010 primarily due to the addition of five employees in our new payroll services entity, Newtek Payroll Services. The \$510,000 decrease in other than temporary decline was related primarily to a cost investment which was written-off in the prior year. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$55,000, or 41%, as a result of

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

fixed assets that had become fully depreciated. Other general administrative costs decreased by \$140,000 or 25%, to \$427,000 for 2011 as compared to \$567,000 for 2010 due to decreases in insurance, travel and other general office expenses.

Table of Contents**Corporate activities**

(In thousands):	2012	2011	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Management fees related party	\$ 776	\$ 1,067	\$ 2,217	(27)%	(52)%
Interest income	4	21	24	(81)%	(13)%
Other income	5	4	16	25%	(75)%
Total revenue	785	1,092	2,257	(28)%	(52)%
Expenses:					
Salaries and benefits	4,943	5,695	5,178	(13)%	10%
Professional fees	969	1,193	1,165	(19)%	2%
Depreciation and amortization	118	163	312	(28)%	(48)%
Lease restructuring charges (amortization)		990		(100)%	100%
Insurance expense related party	57	72	119	(21)%	(39)%
Other general and administrative costs	2,209	3,148	2,418	(30)%	30%
Total expenses	8,296	11,261	9,192	(26)%	23%
Loss before income taxes	\$ (7,511)	\$ (10,169)	\$ (6,935)	26%	(46)%

The Corporate activities segment implements business strategy, directs marketing, provides technology oversight and guidance, coordinates and integrates activities of the other segments, contracts with alliance partners, acquires customer opportunities, and owns our proprietary NewTracker referral system and all other intellectual property rights. This segment includes revenue and expenses not allocated to other segments, including interest income, Capco management fee income, and corporate operating expenses. These operating expenses consist primarily of internal and external public accounting expenses, internal and external corporate legal expenses, corporate officer salaries, sales and marketing expense and rent for the principal executive offices.

2012

Revenue is derived primarily from management fees earned from the Capcos. Related party management fee revenue declined 27% or \$291,000 to \$776,000 for 2012 from \$1,067,000 for 2011. Related party management fees, which are eliminated upon consolidation, will continue to decline in the future as the Capcos mature and utilize their cash. If a Capco does not have current or projected cash sufficient to pay management fees, then such fees are not accrued.

Salaries and benefits decreased by 13% or \$752,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the prior year due to staff reductions in accounting, finance and executive personnel. The \$224,000 decline in professional fees during 2012 compared with 2011 was primarily related to a one-time payment in the prior year for costs related to securing a subtenant at the 1440 Broadway location. In addition, legal fees and accounting and audit fees also decreased in the current year. Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$45,000 year over year due to fixed assets becoming fully depreciated over the twelve month period. The decrease in other general and administrative costs of \$648,000 is primarily attributable to various one-time expenses incurred in 2011 including a \$236,000 bad debt charge related to an uncollectible loan, a \$250,000 accrual related to a contract dispute, and an accrual for commercial rent taxes. In addition, the Company continued to benefit through cost savings in connection with the corporate office relocation which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2011 including a credit to rent expense of approximately \$291,000 from the reduction in the accrued lease loss for the year ended December 31, 2012. Other decreases in IT costs, travel and office expenses were offset by an increase of \$33,000 in advertising and marketing expense, related to new national marketing campaigns designed to increase awareness of the Company as NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority*. In sum, total expenses decreased \$2,965,000, or 26%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the prior year.

2011

Management fee revenue declined 52% or \$1,150,000 to \$1,067,000 for 2011, from \$2,217,000 for 2010. Management fees, which are eliminated upon consolidation, will continue to decline in the future as the Capcos mature and utilize their cash. If a Capco does not have current

or projected cash sufficient to pay management fees, then such fees are not accrued.

Table of Contents

Total expenses increased \$2,069,000, or 23%, for 2011 as compared to 2010. Salaries and benefits increased by \$517,000 or 10% to \$5,695,000 for 2011 as compared to \$5,178,000 for 2010 due to increased salary costs primarily related to executive and employee stock compensation of approximately \$269,000 in 2011 versus \$57,000 in 2010, and \$276,000 of severance pay related to the departure of an executive officer recognized in 2011. As described in Note 20, the lease restructuring charges of \$990,000 in 2011 represents the accrued loss recognized on the present value of the amount by which the rent and other direct costs paid by the Company to the landlord exceeds any rent paid to the Company by its tenant under the new sublease over the remainder of the lease term, which expires in October 2015. As a result of the relocation and sublease, the Company anticipates reduced rent expense in the future. Other general and administrative costs increased \$730,000 or 30% to \$3,148,000 for 2011 from 2010 due to several factors. The Company had an increase of \$496,000 in marketing relating to the launch of NEWTEK® *The Small Business Authority* and the WABC radio advertising campaign, experienced a \$236,000 bad debt charge related to an uncollectible loan, as well as a \$250,000 accrual related to a contract dispute and \$86,000 in stock compensation to the Board of Directors. These increased in expenses were partially offset by \$330,000 of decreases in telephone, computer, travel and other expenses.

Table of Contents**Capco**

As described in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted fair value accounting for its financial assets and financial liabilities concurrent with its election of the fair value option for substantially all credits in lieu of cash, notes payable in credits in lieu of cash and prepaid insurance. These are the financial assets and liabilities associated with the Company's Capco notes that are reported within the Company's Capco segment. The tables below reflect the effects of the adoption of fair value measurement on the income and expense items (income from tax credits, interest expense and insurance expense) related to the revalued financial assets and liability for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. In addition, the net change to the revalued financial assets and liability for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is reported in the line "Net change in fair market value of Credits in lieu of cash and Notes payable in credits in lieu of cash" on the consolidated statements of income.

The Company does not anticipate creating any new Capcos in the foreseeable future and the Capco segment will continue to incur losses going forward. The Capcos will continue to earn cash investment income on their cash balances and incur cash management fees and operating expenses. The amount of cash available for investment and to pay management fees will be primarily dependent upon future returns generated from investments in qualified businesses. Income from tax credits will consist solely of accretion of the discounted value of the declining dollar amount of tax credits the Capcos will receive in the future; the Capcos will continue to incur non-cash interest expense.

(In thousands):	2012	2011	2010	% Change	
				2012	2011
Revenue:					
Income from tax credits	\$ 522	\$ 1,390	\$ 2,380	(62)%	(42)%
Interest income	40	42	51	(5)%	(18)%
Other income	121	65	11	86%	491%
Total revenue	683	1,497	2,442	(54)%	(39)%
Net change in fair value of:					
Credits in lieu of cash and Notes payable in credits in lieu of cash	3	(131)	38	(102)%	(445)%
Expenses:					
Interest expense	567	1,282	2,452	(56)%	(48)%
Management fees - related party	1,069	1,653	2,342	(35)%	(29)%
Professional fees	293	438	498	(33)%	(12)%
Other general and administrative costs	158	190	386	(17)%	(51)%
Total expenses	2,087	3,563	5,678	(41)%	(37)%
Loss before income taxes	\$ (1,401)	\$ (2,197)	\$ (3,198)	(36)%	(31)%

2012

Revenue is derived primarily from non-cash income from tax credits. The decrease in total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 versus 2011 reflects the effect of the declining dollar amount of tax credits remaining in 2012 partially offset by a \$100,000 gain on the sale of an investment with a zero carrying basis recognized in 2012. The amount of future income from tax credits revenue will fluctuate with future interest rates. However, over future periods through 2016, the amount of tax credits and, therefore, the income the Company will recognize, will decrease to zero.

Expenses consist primarily of management fees and non-cash interest expense. Related party management fees decreased 35%, or \$584,000, to \$1,069,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 from \$1,653,000 for the same period ended 2011. Related party management fees, which are eliminated upon consolidation, are expected to continue to decline in the future as the Capcos mature and utilize their cash. Interest expense decreased 56%, or \$715,000, from \$1,282,000 to \$567,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of the declining dollar amount of tax credits payable in 2012.

Table of Contents

Professional fees decreased by \$145,000 from \$438,000 to \$293,000, between periods primarily due to a reduction in consulting fees related to one of our Capcos which is now decertified, and a decrease in audit fees which were lowered as a result of the reduced activity in this segment. Other expense declined as a result of a reduction of bank charges related to escrow accounts that were terminated over the course of 2012, as well as reductions in rent, filing fees and other miscellaneous expenses occurring as a result of the maturation of a number of Capcos.

2011

Revenue is derived primarily from non-cash income from tax credits. The decrease in total revenue for 2011 versus 2010 reflects the effect of the declining dollar amount of tax credits remaining in 2011 slightly offset by the recovery of an investment previously written off. The amount of future income from tax credits revenue will fluctuate with future interest rates. However, over future periods through 2016, the amount of tax credits, and therefore the income the Company will recognize, will decrease to zero.

Expenses consist primarily of management fees and non-cash interest expense. Management fees decreased 29%, or \$689,000, to \$1,653,000 for 2011 from \$2,342,000 in 2010. Management fees, which are eliminated upon consolidation, are expected to decline in the future as the Capcos mature and utilize their cash. Interest expense decreased 48%, or \$1,170,000, to \$1,282,000 for 2011 from \$2,452,000 in 2010 as a result of the declining amount of tax credits payable in 2011 versus 2010. Professional fees decreased \$60,000 as a result of a reduction in legal and consulting fees. The \$196,000 decrease in other general and administrative costs was primarily due to a decrease in rent expenses of \$80,000 resulting from income received from a sublease recorded as an offset to the account, to a one-time adjustment for a loan that was previously written off and a receivable recorded for the guaranteed portion of \$51,000 and also due to a recognition of a loss in 2010 of approximately \$70,000 on the sublease of an existing leasehold which did not recur in 2011, offset by a \$5,000 increase in Salaries and benefits expenses.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Cash requirements and liquidity needs over the next twelve months are anticipated to be funded primarily through operating results, available cash and cash equivalents, existing credit lines, proposed new credit lines, and additional securitizations of the Company's SBA lender's unguaranteed loan portions. As more fully described below, the Company's SBA lender will require additional funding sources to maintain current SBA loan originations in the latter part of 2013 under anticipated conditions; although the failure to find these sources may require the reduction in the Company's SBA lending and related operations, it will not impair the Company's overall ability to operate.

In order to operate, the Company's SBA lender depends on the continuation of the SBA 7(a) guaranteed loan program of the United States Government. The Company's SBA lender depends on the availability of purchasers for SBA loans held for sale transferred to the secondary markets and the premium earned therein to support its lending operations. At this time the secondary market for the SBA loans held for sale is robust.

The Company's SBA lender has historically financed the operations of its lending business through loans or credit facilities from various lenders and will need to continue to do so in the future. Such lenders invariably require a security interest in the SBA loans as collateral which, under the applicable law, requires the prior approval of the SBA. If the Company should ever be unable to obtain the approval for its financing arrangements from the SBA, it would likely be unable to continue to make loans.

As an alternative to holding indefinitely the portions of SBA loans remaining after sale of the guaranteed portions in the SBA supervised secondary market, the Company has undertaken to securitize these unguaranteed portions. In December 2010, the first such securitization trust established by the Company issued notes to one investor in the amount of \$16,000,000 which received an S&P rating of AA. A second securitization, an amendment to the original transaction, was completed in December 2011, and resulted in an additional \$14,900,000 of notes issued to the same investor. The SBA lender used the cash generated from the first transaction to retire its outstanding term loan from Capital One, N.A. and to fund a \$3,000,000 account which during the first quarter of 2011 purchased unguaranteed portions originated subsequent to the securitization transaction. Similarly, the proceeds from the second securitization in 2011 were used to pay down its outstanding term loan with Capital One, N.A., and to fund a \$5,000,000 account used to purchase unguaranteed portions of loans in the first quarter of 2012. While this securitization process can provide a long-term funding source for the SBA lender, there is no certainty that it can be conducted on an economic basis. In addition, the securitization mechanism itself does not provide liquidity in the short term for funding SBA loans.

In December 2010, the SBA lender entered into a new revolving loan agreement with Capital One, N.A. for up to \$12,000,000 to be used to fund the guaranteed portions of SBA loans and to be repaid with the proceeds of the sale in the secondary market of those portions. Also, in June 2011, the SBA lender entered into a new revolving loan agreement with Capital One, N.A. for up to \$15,000,000 to be used to fund the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans and to be repaid with the proceeds of loan repayments from the borrowers as well as excess cash flow of NSBF. As a result of these two facilities, the SBA lender was able to increase the amount of loans it can fund at any one time.

In April 2010, the Company refinanced the existing line of credit between Capital One and NTS into a five year term loan for \$2,083,000.

Through February 28, 2011, the receivables financing unit, NBC, utilized a \$10,000,000 line of credit provided by Wells Fargo Bank to purchase and warehouse receivables. On February 28, 2011, NBC entered into a three year line of credit of up to \$10,000,000 with Sterling National Bank which replaced the Wells Fargo line. In December 2012, an amendment was signed providing that upon the achievement of certain profitability levels, the maximum amount of the line of credit under the Agreement can be increased from \$10,000,000 to \$15,000,000 at a later date upon NBC's request. The Amendment also extended the maturity date from February 28, 2014 to February 28, 2016. There is no cross collateralization between the Sterling lending facility and the Capital One term loan and credit facility; however, a default under the Capital One term loan or line of credit will create a possibility of default under the Sterling line of credit. The availability of the Sterling line of credit and the performance of the Capital One term loans are subject to compliance with certain covenants and collateral requirements as set forth in their respective agreements, as well as limited restrictions on distributions or loans to the Company by the respective debtor, none of which are material to the liquidity of the Company. At December 31, 2012, the Company and its subsidiaries were in full compliance with applicable loan covenants. The Company guarantees these loans for the subsidiaries up to the amount borrowed; in addition, the Company deposited \$750,000 with Sterling to collateralize the guarantee. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's unused sources of liquidity consisted of \$217,000 available through the Sterling National Bank line of credit.

Table of Contents

In April 2012, the Company closed a \$15,000,000 credit facility with Summit comprised of a \$10,000,000 term loan, which was drawn at closing, and a \$5,000,000 delayed draw term loan to be made upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. The \$5,000,000 second tranche of this loan will not be drawn by the Company. The funds were used primarily for general corporate purposes including the origination of SBA 7(a) loans.

Restricted cash of \$8,456,000 as of December 31, 2012 is primarily held in NSBF and the Capcos. The majority, or \$4,306,000 of restricted cash, is related to NSBF, and \$1,933,000 has been set aside as a reserve in the event payments are insufficient to cover interest and/or principal with respect to the securitization, and \$75,000 set aside for servicer and prepaid interest fees. The remaining \$2,298,000 represents payments collected due to participants and amounts owed to the SBA. For the Capcos, restricted cash can be used in managing and operating the Capcos, making qualified investments, to repay debt obligations, and for the payment of taxes on Capco taxable income. The Company also has \$2,710,000 in cash held by the Capcos restricted for use in managing and operating the Capco, making qualified investments and for the payment of income taxes. The remaining balance of \$1,440,000 includes \$750,000 in connection with the Sterling line of credit, and other amounts provided by sponsoring banks in connection with credit card processing and by customers for insurance premiums.

In summary, Newtek generated and used cash as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (4,354)	\$ 11,405	\$ (2,208)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(22,498)	(17,810)	(6,336)
Net cash provided by financing activities	29,880	7,224	6,345
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,028	819	(2,199)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	11,201	10,382	12,581
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 14,229	\$ 11,201	\$ 10,382

Net cash flows from operating activities decreased \$15,759,000 to \$(4,354,000) for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$11,405,000 provided during the year ended December 31, 2011. This change primarily reflects the increase in broker receivable and increases in prepaid expenses, accrued interest receivable and other assets recorded during 2012. Broker receivables arise from loans traded but not settled before period end and represent the amount of cash due from the purchasing broker; the amount varies depending on loan origination volume and timing of sales at year end. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company originated \$83,349,000 of SBA loans held for sale and received proceeds from the originations of SBA loans held for sale of \$84,743,000 compared with \$74,546,000 in originations of SBA loans held for sale and \$274,000 of SBA loans transferred subject to premium recourse, for a total use of \$(74,820,000) during 2011. For the same year ago period, the Company returned \$30,783,000 from transfers of SBA loans held for sale accounted for as financings (Liability for SBA loans transferred, subject to premium recourse), and received proceeds of \$73,871,000 from the sale of SBA loans, \$27,855,000 from the sale of SBA loans achieving sale status. In addition to SBA loan activities, the Company provided receivables financing which used \$2,490,000 of cash as compared to provided cash of \$1,469,000 in 2011.

Net cash (used in) investing activities primarily includes the originations and repayments of the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans, purchase of fixed assets and customer accounts, changes in restricted cash and investments in qualified businesses. Net cash (used in) investing activities decreased by \$4,688,000 to cash used of \$(22,498,000) for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to cash use of \$(17,810,000) for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due primarily to a greater amount of SBA loans originated for investment for the year ended \$(24,190,000) in 2012, versus \$(22,269,000) in 2011 and a \$1,651,000 increase in investments in qualified businesses over the year ago period. In addition, purchases of fixed assets and customer merchant and insurance accounts increased by \$1,557,000.

Net cash flows provided by financing activities is related to an increase in the net borrowings on bank lines of credit, which increased from \$1,033,000 in 2011 to \$18,387,000 in 2012, and \$10,000,000 in proceeds from a term loan payable. Net cash flows provided by financing activities increased by \$22,656,000 to cash provided of \$29,880,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from cash provided of \$7,224,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The primary reason for the increase was restricted cash related to the securitization decreased by \$5,100,000, of which \$5,000,000 had been designated as a pre-funding account which was used to purchase unguaranteed portions of SBA 7(a) loans during 2012. The current year

Table of Contents

provision of \$18,387,000 reflects \$15,489,000 of proceeds from the Company's line with Capital One for the origination of SBA loans, as well as \$2,898,000 of proceeds from the CDS credit line with Sterling used to purchase additional receivables. In addition, the Company made principal payments on the Capital One term note made to NTS of \$417,000 for the period.

The net \$3,028,000 increase in cash and cash equivalents in 2012 reflects the proceeds from borrowings on bank lines of credit and a term note payable, offset by an increase in broker receivable from the prior period, an increase in SBA loans originated for investment and debt issued under the securitization transaction issued in the year ago period.

Financing Activities

Newtek historically obtained long-term financing to fund its investments and operations primarily through the issuance of notes to insurance companies through the Capco programs. Through December 31, 2012, Newtek has received in aggregate \$235,718,000 in proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, Capco warrants, and Newtek common shares through the Capco programs. Newtek's Capcos last issued notes in 2005 and the Company does not anticipate participating in future Capco programs. In 2004, Newtek raised \$20,762,000 (net of related offering costs) in a secondary public offering. Newtek's principal funding requirements have been costs related to the Capco programs (\$152,125,000), the acquisitions of NTS and Vistar (the combination of which totaled \$9,836,000), investments in Capco qualified businesses, SBA 7(a) loans, receivable purchases, and working capital needs resulting from operating and business development activities of its consolidated operating entities. In 2010, NTS entered into a new term loan with Capital One, N.A., the proceeds of which were used to refinance the pre-existing term loan between NTS and Capital One, N.A. Also in 2010, NSBF entered into a new revolving loan agreement with Capital One, N.A. for up to \$12,000,000 to be used to fund the guaranteed portions of SBA loans and to be repaid with the proceeds of the sale in the secondary market of those portions. In June 2011, the SBA lender entered into a new revolving loan agreement with Capital One, N.A. for up to \$15,000,000 to be used to fund the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans and to be repaid with the proceeds of loan repayments from the borrowers as well as excess cash flow of NSBF. As a result of these two facilities, the SBA lender was able to increase the amount of loans it can fund at any one time. In February 2011, NBC entered into a three year line of credit of up to \$10,000,000 with Sterling National Bank which replaced the Wells Fargo line.

Credit Lines and Term Loans

In April 2010, the Company closed two five-year term loans aggregating \$14,583,000 with Capital One, N.A., of which \$12,500,000 refinanced NSBF's pre-existing facility with GE (the "NSBF Portion") and \$2,083,000 refinanced the pre-existing term loan between Capital One and NTS. In December 2010, the outstanding balance of the NSBF portion and all accrued interest thereon was repaid in full from the proceeds of a securitization transaction, discussed below. The interest rate on the NTS term loan and on the former NSBF term loan is variable based on the monthly London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") rate plus 4.25% or Prime plus 2.25%, but no lower than 5.75%, with interest paid in arrears along with each monthly principal payment due. The NTS term loan is collateralized by all of NTS's assets and Newtek guarantees the repayment obligations. The NSBF term loan was collateralized by all of NSBF's assets and Newtek guaranteed the repayment obligations. The agreement includes such financial covenants at the parent company level with its consolidated subsidiaries of a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum EBITDA requirements and minimum cash requirements held at Capital One. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants set in this line.

Also in December 2010, NSBF closed a credit facility with Capital One for up to a maximum amount of \$6,000,000 initially, expandable to \$12,000,000. The credit facility, often referred to as a "wet funding" credit line, is used to fund those portions of SBA 7(a) loans made by NSBF that are guaranteed by the SBA, with funds received on the sale of those guaranteed portions of the SBA 7(a) loans by NSBF reducing the facility. The outstanding balance of the credit facility bears a variable per annum rate of interest equal to the rate of interest publicly announced from time to time by Capital One as its prime rate plus 1%. In June 2011, NSBF obtained from Capital One, N.A. an increase in its total revolving credit facility from \$12,000,000 to \$27,000,000. In October 2011, the term of the revolver was extended by nine months through September 2013, at which time the outstanding balance will be converted into a three year term loan. This increase in the line will enable the Company to finance both the government-guaranteed and non-guaranteed portions of SBA 7(a) loans. As of December 31, 2011, NSBF had \$8,364,000 outstanding under the lines of credit. The interest rate on the \$12,000,000 portion of the facility, collateralized by the government guaranteed portion of SBA 7(a) loans, is set at prime plus 1.00%, and there is a quarterly facility fee equal to 25 basis points on the unused portion of the revolving credit calculated as of the end of each calendar quarter. The interest rate on the \$15,000,000 portion of the facility, collateralized by the non-guaranteed portion of SBA 7(a) loans, is set at prime plus 1.875%, and there is a quarterly facility fee equal to 25 basis points on the unused portion of the revolving credit calculated as of the end of each calendar quarter. The agreement includes financial covenants at the parent company level with its consolidated subsidiaries including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum EBITDA requirements and minimum cash requirements held at Capital One. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants set in this line.

Table of Contents

In February 2011, NBC entered into a three year line of credit with Sterling National Bank (Sterling) in an amount up to \$10,000,000. In December 2012, an amendment was signed providing that upon the occurrence of certain events, the maximum amount of the line of credit under the Agreement can be increased from \$10,000,000 to \$15,000,000 at a later date upon NBC's request. The Amendment also extended the maturity date from February 28, 2014 to February 28, 2016. This facility is and will be used to purchase receivables and for other working capital purposes. The interest rate is set at 5.00% or Prime plus 2.00%, whichever is higher, with interest on the line to be paid monthly in arrears. The line is and will be collateralized by the receivables purchased, as well as all other assets of NBC. The line is guaranteed by the Company; in addition, the Company deposited \$750,000 with Sterling to collateralize the guarantee. The agreement includes such financial covenants as minimum tangible net worth and maximum leverage ratio. The Company is subject to meeting a maximum leverage ratio test and a future net loss test. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants set in this line.

Securitization Transactions

In December 2010, NSBF created a financing channel for the sale of the unguaranteed portions of SBA 7(a) loans held on its books and financed by a term loan in the maximum amount of \$12,500,000 from Capital One, N.A. NSBF transferred the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans of \$19,615,000, and an additional \$3,000,000 in loans issued subsequent to the transaction, to a special purpose entity created for this purpose, Newtek Small Business Loan Trust 2010-1 (the Trust), which in turn issued notes (the securitization notes) for the par amount of \$16,000,000 against the assets in a private placement. The Trust is only permitted to purchase the unguaranteed portion of SBA 7(a) loans, issue asset-backed securities, and make payments on the securities. The Trust issued a single series of securitization notes to pay for the unguaranteed portions it acquired from NSBF and will be dissolved when those securities have been paid in full. The primary source for repayment of the securitization notes is the cash flows generated from the unguaranteed portion of SBA 7(a) loans now owned by the Trust; principal on the securitization notes will be paid by cash flow in excess of that needed to pay various fees related to the operation of the Trust and interest on the debt. The securitization notes have an expected maturity of about five years based on the expected performance of the underlying collateral and structure of the debt and a legal maturity of 30 years from the date of issuance. The assets of the Trust are legally isolated and are not available to pay NSBF's creditors. NSBF continues to retain rights to cash reserves and all residual interests in the Trust and will receive servicing income. Proceeds from this transaction were used to repay the Capital One, N.A. loan and for general corporate and lending purposes. Because the Company determined that as the primary beneficiary of the Trust it needed to consolidate the Trust into its financial statements, it continues to recognize the securitization notes in Notes payable. The investors and the Trust have no recourse to any of NSBF's other assets for failure of debtors to pay when due; however, NSBF's parent, Newtek, has provided a limited guaranty to the investors in the Trust in an amount not to exceed 10% of the original issuance amount (or \$1,600,000), to be used after all of the assets of the Trust have been exhausted. The notes were issued with an AA rating from S&P based on the underlying collateral.

In December 2011, NSBF entered into a Supplemental Indenture by which the original \$16,000,000 of securitization notes were amended to reflect a new initial principal amount of \$12,880,000 as a result of principal payments made, and additional notes were issued in an initial principal amount of \$14,899,000, so that the initial aggregate principal amount of all notes as of December 31, 2011 totaled \$27,779,000. The notes are backed by approximately \$40,500,000 of the unguaranteed portions of loans originated, and include an additional \$5,000,000 to be originated and issued to the Trust by NSBF under the SBA loan program. The notes retained their AA rating under S&P, and the final maturity date of the amended notes is March 22, 2037. The proceeds of the transaction have been and will be used to repay debt and originate new loans.

In March 2013, NSBF transferred the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans of \$23,569,000, and an additional \$5,900,000 in loans issued subsequent to the transaction, to a special purpose entity Newtek Small Business Loan Trust 2013-1 (the Trust). The Trust in turn issued securitization notes for the par amount of \$20,909,000 against the assets in a private placement. The notes received an A rating by S&P, and the final maturity date of the amended notes is June 25, 2038. The proceeds of the transaction have been and will be used to repay debt and originate new loans.

Table of Contents**Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations**

The following chart represents Newtek's obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012, other than Capco debt repayment discussed above, for future cash payments under debt, lease and employment agreements (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Bank Notes Payable (a)	\$ 39,823	\$ 13,405(b)(c)	\$ 18,130(b)(c)	\$	\$ 8,288(d)
Securitization Notes Payable (e)	22,039				22,039
Capital Leases	632	199	433		
Operating Leases	8,852	3,392	3,961	1,108	391
Employment Agreements	316	316			
Totals	\$ 71,662	\$ 17,312	\$ 22,524	\$ 1,108	\$ 30,718

(a) Interest rates range from 4.25% to 5.75%.

(b) Includes:

\$2,100,000 Capital One note payable over a five year period commencing 2010. As of December 31, 2012, the amount outstanding was approximately \$1,007,000.

(c) Includes:

\$12,000,000 Capital One guaranteed line due September 2013, and \$15,000,000 Capital One unguaranteed line, which in September 2013, converts to 3 year term. Also includes \$10,000,000 Sterling line, which matures in February 2016. As of December 31, 2012, the combined amount outstanding on the Capital One lines was approximately \$23,854,000, and the balance outstanding on the Sterling line was \$6,674,000.

(d) Includes:

\$10,000,000, or \$8,288,000 net of discount, Second Lien Credit Facility issued by Summit Partners Credit Advisors, L.P

(e) Includes:

\$23,218,000, or \$22,039,000 net of discount, of securitization notes with a legal maturity of 30 years bearing interest at the rate of 3.95%; actual principal payments will be paid by cash flow in excess of that needed to pay various fees related to the operation of the Trust and interest on the debt.

Financing Activities: Capcos

We have deemphasized our Capco business in favor of growing our operating businesses and do not anticipate creating any new Capcos in the foreseeable future.

Overview

A Capco is either a corporation or a limited liability company established in and chartered by one of the nine jurisdictions that currently have authorizing legislation: Alabama, District of Columbia, Florida, Louisiana, Colorado, New York, Texas and Wisconsin (Missouri has an older program which pre-dates the start of our business and in which we do not participate). Aside from seed capital provided by an organizer such as Newtek, a Capco will issue debt and equity instruments exclusively to insurance companies and the Capcos are then authorized under the respective state statutes to make targeted equity or debt investments in companies. In some states, the law permits Capco investments in majority-owned or primarily controlled companies. In others, such as Louisiana, Colorado, Texas and the most recent programs in New York, there are some limitations on the percentage of ownership a Capco may acquire in a qualified business. In conjunction with the Capcos investment in these companies, the Capcos may also provide loans to the companies. In most cases, the tax credits provided by the states are equal to the par amount of investment by the insurance companies in the securities of the Capcos, which can be utilized by them generally over a period of four to ten years. These credits are unaffected by the returns or lack of returns on investments made by the Capcos.

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Our Capcos had arranged for the repayment of a portion of the Capco notes by The National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh and The American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company, both affiliates of Chartis, Inc. As of December 31, 2012, all of the required cash payments have been made by these insurers for all of the Capcos. The balance of the repayment obligations for all of our Capcos will result from the availability to the investors of the state tax credits.

Table of Contents

Income from Capco Tax Credits

In general, the Capcos issue debt and equity instruments to insurance company investors. For a description of the debt and equity instruments and warrants issued by Newtek's Capcos, see Note 2 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Capcos then make targeted investments, as defined under the respective state/jurisdiction statutes, with the funds raised. Each Capco has a contractual arrangement with the particular state/jurisdiction that entitles the Capco to receive (earn) tax credits from the state/jurisdiction upon satisfying quantified, defined investment percentage thresholds and time requirements. In order for the Capcos to maintain their state or jurisdiction-issued certifications, the Capcos must make targeted investments in accordance with these requirements, which requirements are consistent with Newtek's overall business strategy. Each Capco statute provides specific rules and regulations under which the Capcos must operate. For example, the State of Louisiana program precludes the Capco from making controlling and majority-owned investments. Accordingly, investments made by the Louisiana Capco are considered portfolio companies and are majority-owned operated and controlled by their boards of directors and management. These portfolio companies operate independently of Newtek although Newtek participates on the board of directors of these companies (but in all cases we do not control a majority of the board of director positions unless there is a default under the terms of the investment) and makes available to them technology, services and products to sell.

Each Capco also has separate, contractual arrangements with the insurance company investors obligating the Capco to pay interest on the aforementioned debt instruments. The Capco may satisfy this interest obligation by delivering the tax credits or paying cash. The insurance company investors have the legal right to receive and use the tax credits and would, in turn, use these tax credits to reduce their respective state tax liabilities in an amount usually equal to 100% (110% in some cases in Louisiana) of their investments in the Capcos. The tax credits generally can be utilized over a four to ten-year period and in some instances are transferable and can be carried forward. Newtek's revenue from tax credits may be used solely for the purpose of satisfying the Capcos' obligations to the insurance company investors.

A description is set forth above of the manner in which Newtek and its Capcos account for the tax credit income. See **Critical Accounting Policies** Revenue Recognition.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates include:

allowance for loan losses;

sales and servicing of SBA loans;

chargeback reserves;

fair value measurement used to value certain financial assets and financial liabilities;

valuation of intangible assets and goodwill including the values assigned to acquired intangible assets;

stock-based compensation; and

income tax valuation allowance.

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Management continually evaluates its accounting policies and the estimates it uses to prepare the consolidated financial statements. In general, the estimates are based on historical experience, on information from third-party professionals and on various other sources and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the facts and circumstances at the time such estimates are made. The Company's critical accounting policies are reviewed periodically with the audit committee of the board of directors. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if:

it requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made; and

changes in the estimate, or the use of different estimating methods, could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, which are included in Item 15 in this Form 10-K filing. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically indicated by Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America.

Table of Contents

Certain of our accounting policies are deemed critical, as they require management's highest degree of judgment, estimates and assumptions. The following critical accounting policies are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies or estimates.

Fair Value Measurements

As discussed in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 3, Fair Value Measurements we adopted fair value accounting effective January 1, 2008. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price) and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels for disclosure purposes. The Company carries its credits in lieu of cash, prepaid insurance and notes payable in credits in lieu of cash at fair value. The Company also carries impaired loans, servicing asset and other real estate owned at fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority (Level 1) to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and gives the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). An asset or liability's classification within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of significant input to its valuation. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.
- Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes certain U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities, corporate debt securities, derivative contracts and residential mortgage loans held-for-sale.
- Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes certain private equity investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, residential mortgage servicing rights, and highly structured or long-term derivative contracts.

Revenue Recognition

Electronic payment processing revenue: Electronic payment processing and fee income is derived from the electronic processing of credit and debit card transactions that are authorized and captured through third-party networks. Typically, merchants are charged for these processing services on a percentage of the dollar amount of each transaction plus a flat fee per transaction. Certain merchant customers are charged miscellaneous fees, including fees for handling charge-backs or returns, monthly minimum fees, statement fees and fees for other miscellaneous services. Revenues derived from the electronic processing of MasterCard® and Visa® sourced credit and debit card transactions are reported gross of amounts paid to sponsor banks.

Web hosting revenue: Managed technology solutions revenue is primarily derived from monthly recurring service fees for the use of its web hosting, web design and software support services. Customer set-up fees are billed upon service initiation and are recognized as revenue over the estimated customer relationship period of 2.5 years. Payment for web hosting and related services, excluding cloud plans, is generally received one month to one year in advance. Deferred revenues represent customer payments for web hosting and related services in advance of the reporting period date. Revenue for cloud related services is based on actual consumption used by a cloud customer.

Income from tax credits: Following an application process, a state will notify a company that it has been certified as a Capco. The state or jurisdiction then allocates an aggregate dollar amount of tax credits to the Capco. However, such amount is neither recognized as income nor otherwise recorded in the financial statements since it has yet to be earned by the Capco. The Capco is entitled to earn tax credits upon satisfying defined investment percentage thresholds within specified time requirements. Newtek has Capcos in seven states and the District of Columbia. Each statute requires that the Capco invest a threshold percentage of certified capital (the funds provided by the insurance company investors) in businesses defined as

Table of Contents

qualified within the time frames specified. As the Capco meets these requirements, it avoids grounds under the statute for its disqualification for continued participation in the Capco program. Such a disqualification, or decertification as a Capco results in a permanent recapture of all or a portion of the allocated tax credits. The proportion of the possible recapture is reduced over time as the Capco remains in general compliance with the program rules and meets the progressively increasing investment benchmarks. As the Capco progresses in its investments in Qualified Businesses and, accordingly, places an increasing proportion of the tax credits beyond recapture, it earns an amount equal to the non-recapturable tax credits and records such amount as income, with a corresponding asset called credits in lieu of cash in the balance sheet.

The amount earned and recorded as income is determined by multiplying the total amount of tax credits allocated to the Capco by the percentage of tax credits immune from recapture (the earned income percentage) at that point. To the extent that the investment requirements are met ahead of schedule, and the percentage of non-recapturable tax credits is accelerated, the present value of the tax credit earned is recognized currently and the asset, credits in lieu of cash, is accreted up to the amount of tax credits deliverable to the certified investors. The obligation to deliver tax credits to the certified investors is recorded as notes payable in credits in lieu of cash. On the date the tax credits are utilizable by the certified investors, the Capco decreases credits in lieu of cash with a corresponding decrease to notes payable in credits in lieu of cash.

Interest and SBA Loan Fees: Interest income on loans is recognized as earned. Loans are placed on non-accrual status if they exceed 90 days past due with respect to principal or interest and, in the opinion of management, interest or principal on individual loans is not collectible, or at such earlier time as management determines that the collectability of such principal or interest is unlikely. Such loans are designated as impaired non-accrual loans. All other loans are defined as performing loans. When a loan is designated as non-accrual, the accrual of interest is discontinued, and any accrued but uncollected interest income is reversed and charged against current operations. While a loan is classified as non-accrual and the future collectability of the recorded loan balance is doubtful, collections of interest and principal are generally applied as a reduction to principal outstanding.

The Company passes certain expenditures it incurs to the borrower, such as forced placed insurance, insufficient funds fees, or fees it assesses, such as late fees, with respect to managing the loan. These expenditures are recorded when incurred. Due to the uncertainty with respect to collection of these passed through expenditures or assessed fees, any funds received to reimburse the Company are recorded on a cash basis as other income.

Insurance commissions: Revenues are comprised of commissions earned on premiums paid for insurance policies and are recognized at the time the commission is earned. At that date, the earnings process has been completed and the Company can estimate the impact of policy cancellations for refunds and establish reserves. The reserve for policy cancellations is based on historical cancellation experience adjusted by known circumstances.

Other income: Other income represents revenues derived from operating units that cannot be aggregated with other business segments. In addition, other income represents one time recoveries or gains on investments. Revenue is recorded when there is strong evidence of an agreement, the related fees are fixed, the service and, or product has been delivered, and the collection of the related receivable is assured.

Capco Debt Issuance: The Capco notes require, as a condition precedent to the funding of the notes, that insurance be purchased to cover the risks associated with the operation of its Capcos. This insurance has been purchased from Chartis Specialty Insurance Company and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, both subsidiaries of Chartis, Inc. (Chartis), an international insurer. In order to comply with this condition precedent to the funding, the notes closing is structured as follows: (1) the certified investors wire their funds directly into an escrow account; (2) the escrow agent, pursuant to the requirements under the note and escrow agreement, automatically and simultaneously funds the purchase of the insurance contract from the proceeds received. Newtek's Capco is not entitled to the use and benefit of the net proceeds received until the escrow agent has completed the purchase of the insurance. The Chartis insurance subsidiaries noted above are A+ credit rated by S&P.

Under the terms of this insurance, which is for the benefit of the certified investors, the Capco insurer incurs the primary obligation to repay the certified investors a substantial portion of the debt (including all cash payments) as well as to make compensatory payments in the event of a loss of the availability of the related tax credits. The Capco remains secondarily liable for such payments and must periodically assess the likelihood that it will become primarily liable and, if necessary, record a liability at that time. The parent company, Chartis, has not guaranteed the obligations of its subsidiary insurers, although it has committed to move the payment obligations to an affiliated company in the event the Capco insurer is materially downgraded in its credit rating.

Table of Contents

Investment Accounting and Valuation: The various interests that the Capcos and Newtek acquire as a result of their investments are accounted for under three methods: consolidation, equity method and cost method. The applicable accounting method is generally determined based on our voting interest in a company and whether the company is a variable interest entity where we are the primary beneficiary, and quarterly valuations are performed so as to keep our records current in reflecting the operations of all of its investments.

Companies in which we directly or indirectly owns more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities, those Newtek has effective control over, or are deemed as a variable interest entity that needs to be consolidated, are generally accounted for under the consolidation method of accounting. Under this method, an investment's results of operations are reflected within the consolidated statement of operations. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions are eliminated. The results of operations and cash flows of a consolidated entity are included through the latest interim period in which Newtek owned a greater than 50% direct or indirect voting interest, exercised control over the entity for the entire interim period or was otherwise designated as the primary beneficiary. Upon dilution of voting interest at or below 50%, or upon occurrence of a triggering event requiring reconsideration as to the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, the accounting method is adjusted to the equity or cost method of accounting, as appropriate, for subsequent periods.

Companies that are not consolidated, but over which we exercise significant influence, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Whether or not Newtek exercises significant influence with respect to a company depends on an evaluation of several factors including, among others, representation on the board of directors and ownership level, which is generally a 20% to 50% interest in the voting securities, including voting rights associated with Newtek's holdings in common, preferred and other convertible instruments. Under the equity method of accounting, a company's accounts are not reflected within our consolidated statements of income; however, Newtek's share of the investee's earnings or losses are reflected in other income in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Companies not accounted for under the consolidation or the equity method of accounting are accounted for under the cost method of accounting, for which quarterly valuations are performed. Under this method, our share of the earnings or losses of such companies is not included in the consolidated statements of income, but the investment is carried at historical cost. In addition, cost method impairment charges are recognized as necessary, in the consolidated statements of income if circumstances suggest that this is an other than temporary decline in the value of the investment, particularly due to losses. Subsequent increases in value, if any, of the underlying companies are not reflected in our financial statements until realized in cash. We record as income amounts previously written off only when and if we receive cash in excess of its remaining investment balance.

On a quarterly basis, the investment committee of each Capco meets to evaluate each of our investments. Newtek considers several factors in determining whether an impairment exists on the investment, such as the companies' net book value, cash flow, revenue growth and net income. In addition, the investment committee looks at larger variables, such as the economy and the particular company's industry, to determine if an other than temporary decline in value exists in each Capco's and Newtek's investment.

Impairment of Goodwill: Management of the Company considers the following to be some examples of important indicators that may trigger an impairment review outside its annual goodwill impairment review: (i) significant under-performance or loss of key contracts acquired in an acquisition relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; (ii) significant changes in the manner or use of the acquired assets or in the Company's overall strategy with respect to the manner or use of the acquired assets or changes in the Company's overall business strategy; (iii) significant negative industry or economic trends; (iv) increased competitive pressures; (v) a significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period of time; and (vi) regulatory changes. In assessing the recoverability of our goodwill and intangibles, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. The fair value of an asset could vary, depending upon the estimating method employed, as well as assumptions made. This may result in a possible impairment of the intangible assets and/or goodwill, or alternatively an acceleration in amortization expense. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the goodwill was determined to not be impaired.

SBA Loan Held for Investment: For loans that completed funding before October 1, 2010, SBA loans held for investment are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances adjusted for charge-offs, net deferred loan origination costs and the allowance for loan losses. For loans that completed funding on or after October 1, 2010, management elected to fair value SBA loans held for investment within the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs utilizing Level 3 unobservable inputs which reflect the Company's own expectations about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset (including assumptions about risk). The Company considers the pricing reflected in its securitization activities to be the best indicator of the fair value discount used to measure loans held for investment. If a loan measured at fair value is subsequently impaired, then the fair value of the loan is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Because the loans bear interest at a variable rate, NSBF does not have to factor in interest rate risk.

Table of Contents

Allowance for SBA Loan Losses: For loans funded before October 1, 2010, the allowance for loan losses for performing loans is established by management through provisions for loan losses charged against income. The amount of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective, as it requires making material estimates which may vary from actual results. Management's ongoing estimates of the allowance for loan losses are particularly affected by the changing composition of the loan portfolio over the last few years as well as other portfolio characteristics, such as industry concentrations and loan collateral. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is reviewed by management on a monthly basis at a minimum, and as adjustments become necessary, are reflected in operations during the periods in which they become known. Considerations in this evaluation include past and anticipated loss experience, risks inherent in the current portfolio and evaluation of real estate collateral as well as current economic conditions. In the opinion of management, the allowance, when taken as a whole, is adequate to absorb estimated loan losses inherent in the Company's entire loan portfolio. The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as either loss, doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors.

For loans funded on or after October 1, 2010, the loan is reported at its fair value. Changes in the value of the loan, whether performing or impaired, are reported as a net change in the fair value of SBA loans held for investment.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Other factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status and collateral value. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Impairment of a loan is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Impaired loans for which the carrying amount is based on fair value of the underlying collateral are included in assets and reported at estimated fair value on a non-recurring basis, both at initial recognition of impairment and on an on-going basis until recovery or charge-off of the loan amount. The determination of impairment involves management's judgment in the use of market data and third party estimates regarding collateral values. For loans funded before October 1, 2010, the impairment of a loan resulted in management establishing an allowance for loan losses through provisions for loan losses charged against income; for subsequent loans at fair value, impairment results in a net change in the fair value of SBA loans held for investment. Amounts deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses or reduces the fair value and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance or increases the fair value.

The Company's charge-off policy is based on a loan-by-loan review for which the estimated uncollectible portion of nonperforming loans is charged off against the corresponding loan receivable and the allowance for possible loan losses or against the reduction in fair value.

SBA Loans Held for Sale: For guaranteed portions funded, but not yet traded at each measurement date, management elected to fair value SBA loans held for sale within the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value utilizing Level 2 assets. These inputs include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments or have values determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market. The secondary market for the guaranteed portions is extremely robust with broker dealers acting as primary dealers. NSBF sells regularly into the market and can quickly price its loans for sale. The Company values the guaranteed portion based on market prices equal to the guaranteed loan amount plus a premium that includes both an upfront cash payment (utilizing quoted prices) and the value of a stream of payments representing servicing income received in excess of NSBF's servicing cost (valued using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market).

Table of Contents

Securitization Activities: NSBF engaged in securitizations of the unguaranteed portions of its SBA 7(a) loans. Because the transfer of these assets did not meet the criteria of a sale, these transactions were treated as a secured borrowing. NSBF continues to recognize the assets of the secured borrowing in loans held for investment and recognize the associated financing in Bank notes payable.

Sales and Servicing of SBA Loans: NSBF originates loans to customers under the SBA program that generally provides for SBA guarantees of 50% to 90% of each loan, subject to a maximum guarantee amount. This guaranteed portion is generally sold to a third party via an SBA regulated secondary market transaction utilizing SBA Form 1086 for a price equal to the guaranteed loan amount plus a premium that includes both an upfront cash payment and the fair value of future net servicing income. Prior to October 1, 2010, NSBF recognized the revenue item Premium on loan sales net of capitalized loan expenses and the discount on the retained unguaranteed portion; subsequent to the adoption of fair value of SBA 7(a) loans on October 1, 2010, NSBF recognizes premium on loan sales as equal to the cash premium plus the fair value of the servicing income. Revenue is recognized on the trade date of the guaranteed portion, except as described below.

Upon recognition of each loan sale, the Company retains servicing responsibilities and receives servicing fees of a minimum of 1% of the guaranteed loan portion sold. The Company is required to estimate its adequate servicing compensation in the calculation of its servicing asset. The purchasers of the loans sold have no recourse to the Company for failure of customers to pay amounts contractually due.

Subsequent measurements of each class of servicing assets and liabilities may use either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method. NSBF has chosen to apply the amortization method to its servicing asset, amortizing the asset in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income on the underlying sold guaranteed portion of the loans and assessing the servicing asset for impairment based on fair value at each reporting date. In the event future prepayments are significant or impairments are incurred and future expected cash flows are inadequate to cover the unamortized servicing assets, additional amortization or impairment charges would be recognized. In evaluating and measuring impairment of servicing assets, NSBF stratifies its servicing assets based on year of loan and loan term which are the key risk characteristics of the underlying loan pools. The Company uses an independent valuation specialist to estimate the fair value of the servicing asset by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, using assumptions of prepayments, defaults, servicing costs and discount rates that NSBF believes market participants would use for similar assets. If NSBF determines that the impairment for a stratum is temporary, a valuation allowance is recognized through a charge to current earnings for the amount the amortized balance exceeds the current fair value. If the fair value of the stratum were to later increase, the valuation allowance may be reduced as a recovery. However, if NSBF determines that impairment for a stratum is other than temporary, the value of the servicing asset and any related valuation allowance is written-down.

Management's impairment analysis indicated no valuation adjustment for 2012 and 2011.

Share-Based Compensation. The Company records all share-based payments to employees based on their fair values using an option-pricing model at the date of grant.

Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed based upon the differences between the financial statement and income tax basis of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be realized or settled. If available evidence suggests that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is required to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

New Accounting Standards

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-02, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, which permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with Subtopic 350-30 (ASU 2011-08). This new standard is effective for fiscal years that begin after September 15, 2012; the Company is still evaluating the impact of adopting of this standard, but it is not anticipated to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing these consolidated financial statements with the SEC.

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Impact of Inflation

The impact of inflation and changing prices on our results of operations is not material.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We consider the principal types of risk in our business activities to be fluctuations in interest rates and loan portfolio valuations and the availability of the secondary market for our SBA loans held for sale. Risk management systems and procedures are designed to identify and analyze our risks, to set appropriate policies and limits and to continually monitor these risks and limits by means of reliable administrative and information systems and other policies and programs.

Our SBA lender primarily lends at an interest rate of prime, which resets on a quarterly basis, plus a fixed margin. Our receivable financing business purchases receivables priced to equate to a similar prime plus a fixed margin structure. The Capital One term loan and revolver loan, the securitization notes and the Sterling line of credit are on a prime plus a fixed factor basis. As a result the Company believes it has matched its cost of funds to its interest income in its financing activities. However, because of the differential between the amount lent and the smaller amount financed a significant change in market interest rates will have a material effect on our operating income. In periods of sharply rising interest rates, our cost of funds will increase at a slower rate than the interest income earned on the loans we have made; this should improve our net operating income, holding all other factors constant. However, a reduction in interest rates, as has occurred since 2008, has and will result in the Company experiencing a reduction in operating income; that is interest income will decline more quickly than interest expense resulting in a net reduction of benefit to operating income.

Our lender depends on the availability of secondary market purchasers for the guaranteed portions of SBA loans and the premium received on such sales to support its lending operations. At this time the secondary market for the guaranteed portions of SBA loans is robust but during the 2008 and 2009 financial crisis the Company had difficulty selling its loans for a premium; although not expected at this time, if such conditions did recur our SBA lender would most likely cease making new loans and could experience a substantial reduction in profitability.

We do not have significant exposure to changing interest rates on invested cash which was approximately \$22,685,000 at December 31, 2012. We do not purchase or hold derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. All of our transactions are conducted in U.S. dollars and we do not have any foreign currency or foreign exchange risk. We do not trade commodities or have any commodity price risk.

We believe that we have placed our demand deposits, cash investments and their equivalents with high credit-quality financial institutions. Invested cash is held almost exclusively at financial institutions with ratings from S&P of A- or better. The Company invests cash not held in interest free checking accounts or bank money market accounts mainly in U.S. Treasury only money market instruments or funds and other investment-grade securities. As of December 31, 2012, cash deposits in excess of FDIC and SIPC insurance totaled approximately \$3,781,000 and funds held in U.S. Treasury only money market funds or equivalents in excess of SIPC insurance totaled approximately \$1,849,000.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Our consolidated financial statements and related notes begin on Page F-1, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer), evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of the period covered by this report and did not provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, under the direction of the Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer), the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on the evaluation and the material weaknesses in internal control identified below, the Company's Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer) concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective and also concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012, as previously reported and determined to be effective, were not effective.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As management, we are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for Newtek Business Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we have conducted an assessment, including testing, using the criteria in *Internal Control-Integral Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's system of internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because of its inherent limitation, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

As a result of this assessment, management identified material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. As a smaller reporting company, the effectiveness of The Company's internal control over financial reporting is not required to be audited by our independent registered public accounting firm.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

The material weaknesses are related to the identification of a charge-back loss at UPS, which was identified and resulted in restating the financial statements for the periods ended December 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012. The primary factors contributing to the material weaknesses were:

1. Systemic violation of established UPS policies and procedures by key personnel at UPS including those pertaining to underwriting and approving new accounts, reporting of policy violations, reserve funds, and payment of sales agents. The conduct at issue primarily consisted of:
 - a. Certain accounts brought to UPS by an independent sales agent that did not meet UPS' written Application Criteria & Underwriting policy were approved by a senior manager at UPS although he had no authority to do so. The manager also approved payment of commissions to the sales agent that violated UPS' policies.
 - b. The same UPS senior manager directed the release of reserve funds for certain accounts that were experiencing high chargebacks and for which reserve should have been increased. This violation of reserve policy led to a reduction in reserves which might otherwise been available to reduce financial loss to the Company.
 - c. Other personnel at UPS who became aware of the violations did not inform the Credit Committee or Board of UPS or report them to the Company, notwithstanding the Company's Whistle Blower Hotline.
2. No financial control was in place to review the merchant reserve account which would have identified the chargeback losses.

3. The Company lacked a detective control for oversight of the merchant cash reserve account by the accounting department.
4. A lack of financial oversight and controls around funds disbursement related to the merchant reserve account.
5. A failure of the UPS accounting department to note abnormal changes in residual stream and residual discrepancies.

Table of Contents

As a result of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described above, the Company's management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective based on the criteria in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

With the oversight of our Audit Committee, the Company conducted an internal review and commenced a remediation plan to address the causes of the material weaknesses primarily through the development and implementation of formal policies, improved processes and documented procedures, implementation of reports as well as the hiring of qualified personnel. Actions completed to date include the following:

1. The senior manager responsible for violations of the Company's policy was immediately terminated and removed as a manager and officer of UPS.
2. The Company replaced the former senior manager and President of UPS. The new senior manager and President of UPS has extensive industry experience and is directly responsible for the day to day operations of our electronic payment processing business in UPS and has been charged with designing and implementing a plan to address each of the deficiencies identified herein.
3. The Audit Committee retained a consulting firm with extensive expertise in the credit card payments industry to conduct a full investigation of the activities related to the said violations of policy and recommend enhancements to UPS' control systems. The review was completed and findings and recommendations were communicated to the Audit Committee and the UPS Board of Managers and shared with legal counsel, Newtek's Board of Directors and Newtek's Executive Management.
4. The Audit Committee also retained special counsel to review all work done in the internal investigation, the investigation by the consulting firm and the underlying violations. A report has been presented to the Audit Committee to provide a basis for the Committee decisions regarding the internal controls and matters related to this incident.
5. The Company will provide additional annual training on the Code of Conduct and related policies, in addition to receiving employee certifications. In particular, training will be centered on avenues for employee reporting of questionable activities. Certifications for all policies will be supplemented with periodic live training.
6. The Company terminated its Internal Auditor and hired a Chief Credit and Risk Officer who will report directly to the Board of Directors on compliance and supervision for the Company's primary operating subsidiaries and evaluating their credit policies, risk, controls, policies and procedures.
7. The Credit Committee of UPS was reorganized to appoint new members and to establish a new Credit Committee meeting schedule. In addition, representatives of Senior Company management will attend those meetings as observers.
8. The Board of Managers of UPS established new delegations of authority for approving new merchants, boarding new accounts and wiring funds from the merchant reserve account.
9. The Controller at UPS is now reviewing the merchant cash reserve account as part of the monthly close process.
10. Monthly credit meetings will be held at all subsidiary levels with attendance by the Chief Credit and Risk Officer.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The following is a brief summary of the background of each of our directors, which includes specific information about each director's experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the board to the conclusion that the individual is qualified to serve on our board, in light of our business and structure.

David C. Beck**Age: 70****Director since: 2002**

Mr. Beck has been Managing Director of Copia Capital, LLC (Copia), a private equity investment firm, since September 1998. Prior to founding Copia, Mr. Beck was CEO of Universal Savings Bank, Milwaukee, WI and First Interstate Corporation of Wisconsin, a publicly traded company. Mr. Beck also served as Chairman of Universal Savings Bank's holding company, Universal Saving Banc Holdings, Inc., from November 2002 until September 2009. He is a certified public accountant. Mr. Beck's more than 30 years experience in the financial services industry qualifies him to serve on our board of directors. His experience and insight gained as a managing director of a private equity firm also provide a significant addition to the board of directors.

Sam Kirschner**Age 64****Director since: 2010**

Mr. Kirschner has, since he co-founded MayerCap, LLC in 2003, been a Managing Member of the company. MayerCap, LLC manages investments in hedge funds, as a fund-of-funds, and is headquartered in New York City. MayerCap, LLC places particular emphasis on investing in newer and smaller hedge funds. Mr. Kirschner has also been since 1986 president of Nexus Family Business Consulting where he has specialized in advising owners, boards and senior executive of major family-owned businesses and large domestic and foreign banks on matters of succession planning, estate planning and strategic mergers and acquisitions. He has also consulted on the identification and recruitment of senior executives. Mr. Kirschner holds a Ph.D. in clinical psychology and has taught at both New York University School of Continuing & Professional Studies and the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Kirschner has many years of experience in working with small to medium sized firms and addressing the many issues which they face in growing their businesses. He is also very well versed in the latest developments in the social media area and has been very helpful in advising the Company on its product development and social media initiatives and this experience provides a significant addition to the board of directors.

Salvatore F. Mulia**Age: 65****Director since: 2005**

Mr. Mulia has been a financial advisor at RTM Financial Services, Westport, CT, with an emphasis on leasing and lending advisory services since February 2003. From February 2001 to February 2003 Mr. Mulia was Executive Vice President of Pitney Bowes Capital Corp, Shelton, CT which was engaged in providing financial services to business customers. Prior to that, Mr. Mulia held senior management positions within General Electric's Financial Services Division, GE Capital Corporation (GECC), and from 1980 through 1993 he was responsible for developing new products and business initiatives in financial services. During his tenure at GECC Mr. Mulia was a principal in GEVEST, GECC's investment banking unit, where he headed syndication and led acquisition teams which acquired leasing companies with combined assets of \$3 billion including: TransAmerica Leasing, Chase Manhattan's leasing subsidiary and LeaseAmerica. Mr. Mulia has many years of experience with major financial companies working with smaller to mid-sized companies needing capital and debt. His understanding of the dynamics of these businesses has been particularly helpful in addressing similar issues of the Company and this experience provides a significant addition to the board of directors.

Barry Sloane**Age: 53****Director since: 1999**

Mr. Sloane is the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company and has been an executive officer of each of the Company-sponsored certified capital companies for more than five years. From September 1993 through July 1995, Mr. Sloane was a Managing Director of Smith Barney, Inc. While there, he directed the Commercial and Residential Real Estate Securitization Unit, and he was national sales manager for institutional mortgage and asset backed securities sales. From April 1991 through September 1993, Mr. Sloane was founder and President of Aegis Capital Markets, a consumer loan origination and securitization business which was eventually taken public

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

with the name of Aegis Consumer Funding. From October 1988 through March 1991, Mr. Sloane was Senior Vice President of Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, where he was responsible for directing sales of mortgage-backed securities. From August 1982 to September 1988 Mr. Sloane was a senior mortgage security salesman and trader for Bear Stearns, L.F. Rothschild, E.F. Hutton and Paine Webber. Mr. Sloane's broad business and financial experience and his knowledge of the Company's businesses has been of great value to the other members of the board.

Table of Contents

The Board and Board Meetings

Newtek's Board of Directors and management are committed to responsible corporate governance to ensure that the Company is managed for the long-term benefit of its shareholders. To that end, the Board of Directors and management periodically review and update, as appropriate, the Company's corporate governance policies and practices. In doing so, the Board and management review published guidelines and recommendations of institutional shareholder organizations and current best practices of similarly situated public companies. The Board of Directors and management also regularly evaluate and, when appropriate, revise the Company's corporate governance policies and practices in accordance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and listing standards issued by the SEC and The NASDAQ[®] Stock Market, Inc. (NASDAQ) where the Company's Common Shares are listed and traded.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, the Board of Directors held a total of 6 meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and at least 75% of the meetings of all committees on which he served.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Company has adopted corporate governance guidelines titled "Governance Guidelines" which are available at the Investor Relations page of www.thesba.com. The Governance Guidelines are also available in print to any shareholder who requests them. These principles were adopted by the Board of Directors to best ensure that the Board is independent from management, that the Board adequately performs its function as the overseer of management and to help ensure that the interests of the Board and management align with the interests of the shareholders.

On an annual basis, each director and executive officer is obligated to complete a Directors' and Officers' Questionnaire which requires disclosure of any transactions with the Company in which the Director or executive officer, or any member of his or her immediate family, have a direct or indirect material interest.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors currently has two standing committees: the Audit Committee and the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Each member of these committees is independent as defined by applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules. Each of the committees has a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, which is available the Investor Relations page of on our website at www.thesba.com.

The Board of Directors' Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Beck as Chair, Mulia and Kirschner and operates pursuant to its written charter. The Audit Committee held 8 meetings during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Audit Committee is authorized to examine and approve the audit report prepared by the independent auditors of the Company, to review and select the independent auditors to be engaged by the Company, to review the internal audit function and internal accounting controls and to review and approve conflict of interest or related party transactions and audit policies.

Director Beck, Chair of the Audit Committee, has been determined by the Board of Directors to be a financial expert and independent under applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that all members of the audit committee are financially literate as that term is defined by applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules.

The Company's Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee consists of Messrs. Mulia, as Chair, Beck and Kirschner, all of whom are non-employee directors within the meaning of the SEC rules. The Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates the compensation and benefits of the directors, officers and employees, recommends changes, and monitors and evaluates employee performance. The Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met 6 times during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is generally responsible for identifying corporate governance issues, creating corporate governance policies, identifying and recommending potential candidates for election to the Board and reviewing executive and director compensation and performance.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors is required by the Governance Guidelines to have a majority of members who meet the applicable independence requirements of the NASDAQ and any applicable rule or law. Each of the following non-employee directors is independent and has no relationship to the Company, except as a director and shareholder.

David C. Beck

Salvatore F. Mulia

67

Table of Contents

Sam Kirschner

The Board of Directors has determined that each of its directors, with the exception of Mr. Sloane, qualifies as independent as defined by applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules. In making this determination, the Board has concluded that none of these members has a relationship which, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. It is the policy of the Board of Directors to hold executive sessions of the independent directors meeting without management at regular intervals and as requested by a director. David C. Beck presides over these meetings of the independent directors. All members of the Board of Directors are encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In 2012, Mr. Sloane attended the Annual Meeting of shareholders and, Mr. Kirschner, Mr. Beck and Mr. Mulia attended by telephone.

Leadership Structure

Mr. Sloane has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 1999 and as President since 2008. We continue to believe that our leadership structure is appropriate since Mr. Sloane has over 25 years of experience in our industry or related businesses, and under his leadership our management team has executed a strategy that has significantly improved our earnings growth, cash flow stability and competitiveness.

Board Risk Oversight

While management is responsible for identifying, assessing and managing risk, our Board is responsible for risk oversight with a focus on the most significant risks facing the company. The Board's risk oversight includes, but is not limited to, the following risks:

strategic;

operational;

compliance; and

reputational.

At the end of each year, management and the Board jointly develop a list of major risks that the company prioritizes in the following year. In 2012 the Board focused on the following areas of risk:

management compensation;

determining Newtek's long-term growth;

strategic and operational planning, including acquisitions and the evaluation of the Company's capital structure and long term debt financing; and

legal and regulatory compliance.

The Board has delegated responsibility for the oversight of specific risks to Board committees. The Audit committee oversees risks associated with

the Company's financial statements and financial reporting;

mergers and acquisitions;

internal controls over financial reporting;

credit and liquidity;

information technology; and

security and litigation issues.

The Compensation, Governance and Nominating committee considers the risks associated with:

compensation policies and practices;

management resources, structure, succession planning and management development;

overall governance practices and the structure and leadership of the Board; and

related person transactions and the code of conduct for all employees, officers and directors.

The Board is kept informed of each committee's risk oversight and any other activities deemed to engender risk via periodic reports from management and the committee chairs. Our Board recognizes the importance of risk oversight, and its role is consistent with the Board's leadership structure, the CEO and the senior management of the Company. Our senior management is responsible for assessing and managing risk exposure and the Board and committees of the Board provide the oversight consistent with those efforts.

Table of Contents

Director Nominations

In considering whether to recommend any particular candidate for inclusion in the Board of Directors slate of recommended director nominees, the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee applies the criteria set forth in the Governance Guidelines. These criteria include the candidate's integrity, business acumen, knowledge of our business and industry, experience, diligence, absence of conflicts of interest and the ability to act in the interest of all shareholders. The committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria, and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for each prospective nominee. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of our directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will best allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities.

Shareholders may recommend individuals to the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee for consideration as potential director candidates by submitting their names, together with appropriate biographical information and background materials. The recommendation should be sent to the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, c/o Matthew G. Ash, Secretary, Newtek Business Services, Inc., 212 West 35th Street, 2nd floor, New York, New York 10001. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background material has been provided on a timely basis, the committee will evaluate shareholder-recommended candidates by following substantially the same process, and applying substantially the same criteria, as it follows for candidates recommended by our Board or others. If the Board of Directors determines to nominate a shareholder-recommended candidate and recommends his or her election, then his or her name will be included in the proposal for election for the next annual meeting.

Shareholders also have the right under our Bylaws to nominate director candidates, without any action or recommendation on the part of the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or the Board, by following the procedures set forth under Shareholder Proposals in our proxy statement. Candidates nominated by shareholders in accordance with the procedures set forth in our Bylaws may be included in our proxy statement and solicitation for the next annual meeting.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

All members of the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are independent directors, and none of them are present or past employees or paid officers of ours or any of our subsidiaries. No member of the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee has had any relationship with us requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. None of our executive officers has served on the board or compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity, one of whose executive officers has served on our Board or Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

Our Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics, referred to as our Code of Conduct, which applies to all directors and employees, including the principal executive, financial and accounting officers. A copy of the Code of Conduct will be made available upon request directed to the executive offices of the Company and may be viewed on the Investor Relations page of on our web site www.thesba.com. In addition, we post on our website all disclosures that are required by law or NASDAQ listing standards concerning any amendments to, or waivers from, any provision of the Code. We also post on our website any amendments to, or waivers from, our Code of Conduct and Ethics that apply to our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer.

The Audit Committee or the Board of Directors reviews all potential related party transactions on an ongoing basis, and all such transactions must be approved by the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors. We have not adopted written procedures for review of, or standards for approval of, these transactions, but instead the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors intends to review such transactions on a case by case basis. In addition, the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or the Board of Directors reviews and approves all compensation-related policies involving our directors and executive officers.

A major shareholder and former president of the Company, Jeffrey G. Rubin, directly and indirectly through entities and organizations in which he has a material interest, earns gross residual payments on merchant processing revenue he generates for the Company, a portion of which is then paid to his support staff for their efforts. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company paid him and his related entities gross residuals of approximately \$3,155,000, \$1,649,000 and \$1,180,000, respectively. We anticipate that Mr. Rubin and his related entities will continue to earn gross residual profits on the merchant process revenue he generates for the Company in 2013.

Table of Contents**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC and the NASDAQ initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of Common Shares of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on Forms 3 and 4, and amendments to such forms, provided to the Company by its directors and executive officers and greater than 10% shareholders during 2012, all such required reports were timely filed.

Director Compensation

The Board has adopted a plan for compensation of non-employee directors which gives effect to the time and effort required of each of them in the performance of their duties. During 2012 compensation was paid in cash and is set forth in the table below. Since November 10, 2010, Directors are paid the following annual fees:

for participation on the Board: \$50,000;

as chair of a Committee: \$20,000;

as committee member: \$5,000.

Director Summary Compensation Table ⁽¹⁾

	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
David C. Beck	75,000						75,000
Salvatore F. Mulia	75,000						75,000
Sam Kirschner	60,000						60,000

- (1) Barry Sloane, the Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, is not included in this table as he was an employee of the Company in 2012 and thus received no compensation for his services as a Director. The compensation received by Mr. Sloane as an employee of the Company is shown in the Summary Compensation Table below.

Table of Contents**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The executive officers of Newtek, and their ages, as of December 31, 2012, are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Barry Sloane	53	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and Secretary
Craig J. Brunet	64	Executive Vice President, Chief Information Officer
Jennifer Eddelson	40	Executive Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer

Barry Sloane is the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and Secretary of the Company and has been an executive officer of each of the Company-sponsored certified capital companies for more than five years. Previously, from September 1993 through July 1995, Mr. Sloane was a Managing Director of Smith Barney, Inc. While there, he directed the Commercial and Residential Real Estate Securitization Unit and, prior to that time, he was national sales manager for institutional mortgage and asset backed securities sales. From April 1991 through September 1993, he was founder and President of Aegis Capital Markets, a consumer loan origination and securitization business which was eventually taken public with the name of Aegis Consumer Funding. From October 1988 through March 1991, Mr. Sloane was Senior Vice President of Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, where he was responsible for directing sales of mortgage-backed securities. From August 1982 to September 1988 Mr. Sloane was a senior mortgage security salesman and trader for Bear Stearns, L.F. Rothschild, E.F. Hutton and Paine Webber.

Craig J. Brunet has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer since January 1, 2012. Mr. Brunet previously served as Executive Vice President Strategic Planning and Marketing since July, 2006 and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company's Harvest Strategies subsidiary since June, 2001. From 1984-1989, Mr. Brunet served as Director of Strategic Planning for AT&T, where he managed all special development and modifications to standard AT&T products to include non-standard pricing, terms and conditions, hardware and software strategic initiatives, FCC Tariffs, as well as joint venture and/or integration requirements for the top 50 AT&T accounts. In 1989, Mr. Brunet joined Entergy Corporation as Executive Vice President responsible for managing and directing the overall Entergy System retail and wholesale marketing effort including strategy development, policy preparation and administration, market development and market analysis and research. During his tenure with Entergy, he served as Chairman of the Strategic Planning Committee of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and served on the Board of Directors of Entergy Enterprises guiding decisions on unregulated activities including strategic acquisition and investments in generation, distribution and new technology assets domestically and internationally. From 1993-1996, Mr. Brunet served as Chairman, CEO and President of First Pacific Networks, a leader in the initial development and deployment of broadband technologies in the United States and Europe. During this period, he was also Chairman of the Board of Credit Depot Corporation, a publicly traded multi-state mortgage company and served as Chairman of both the audit committee and compensation committee.

Jennifer Eddelson is a certified public accountant and has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since July 1, 2011. She has been employed by the Company since 2004 as Corporate Controller, Vice President of Financial Reporting since 2006, and in these and her current capacities has had a principal responsibility for the development and implementation of the Company's accounting policies and practices. Previously, Ms. Eddelson practiced as certified public accountant with Janover, LLC and provided accounting and tax-related services to the Company.

The individuals who served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer during 2012, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table below, are referred to below as the named executive officers.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

All of our compensation programs are designed to attract and retain key employees and to motivate them to achieve, and reward them for achieving, superior performance. Different programs are geared to shorter- and longer-term performance, with the goal of increasing shareholder value over the long-term. Executive compensation programs impact all employees by setting general levels of compensation and helping to create an environment of goals, rewards and expectations. Because we believe the performance of every employee is important to our success, we are mindful of the effect of executive compensation and incentive programs on all of our employees.

Table of Contents

We believe that the compensation of our executives should reflect their success as a management team, rather than just as individuals, in attaining key operating objectives, such as growth of sales, growth of operating earnings and earnings per share and growth or maintenance of market share and long-term competitive advantage, and ultimately, in attaining an increased market price for our stock. We believe that the performance of our executives in managing our Company, considered in light of general economic and specific company, industry and competitive conditions, should be the basis for determining their overall compensation. We also believe that their compensation should not be based on the short-term performance of our stock, whether favorable or unfavorable, but rather that the price of our stock will, in the long-term, reflect our operating performance and, ultimately, the management of the Company by our executives. We seek to have the long-term performance of our stock reflected in executive compensation through our equity incentive programs, including stock options and restricted stock awards.

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee supervises the design and implementation of compensation policies for all executive officers (which include the named executive officers) and overall incentive equity awards to all employees of the Company. Decisions regarding the non-equity compensation of executive officers, other than named executive officers, are made by the Chief Executive Officer within the compensation philosophy set by the Committee. Decisions regarding the non-equity compensation of named executive officers are made by the Chief Executive Officer and the Committee for consistency with the Company's compensation policies.

The Chief Executive Officer semi-annually reviews the performance of each member of the senior executive team, including named executive officers (other than himself whose performance is reviewed by the Committee). The conclusions reached and recommendations based on these reviews, including with respect to salary adjustments and annual award amounts, are then presented to the Committee by the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee will review and approve the recommendations for consistency with the Company's compensation policies.

Setting Executive Compensation

During the course of each fiscal year, it has been the practice of the Chief Executive Officer to review the history of all the elements of each executive officer's total compensation and the Chief Executive Officer may also compare the compensation of the executive officers with that of the executive officers in an appropriate market comparison group of companies with a capitalization similar to that of the Company. We seek to set compensation levels that are perceived as fair, internally and externally, and competitive with overall compensation levels at other companies in our industry, including larger companies from which we may want to recruit employees. However, the Company does not establish individual objectives in the range of comparative data for each individual or for each element of compensation. Typically, the Chief Executive Officer sets compensation with respect to the executive officers who report to him and presents it to the Committee for conformity with the Company's overall compensation policies. The named executive officers are not present at the time of these deliberations. The Committee then performs a similar review of the Chief Executive Officer's total compensation and makes compensation decisions with respect to such officer, who does not participate in that determination.

We choose to pay each element of compensation in order to attract and retain the necessary executive talent, reward annual performance and provide incentive for balanced focus on long-term strategic goals as well as short-term performance. The amount of each element of compensation is determined by or under the direction of our Committee, which uses the following factors to determine the amount of salary and other benefits to pay each named executive officer:

performance against corporate and individual objectives for the year;

difficulty of achieving desired results in the coming year;

value of their unique skills and capabilities to support long-term performance;

performance of their general management responsibilities; and

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

contribution as a member of the executive management team.

We do not establish individual goals but focus on the overall profitable growth of our business.

Based on the foregoing objectives, we have structured the Company's annual and long-term incentive-based cash and non-cash executive compensation to motivate executives to achieve the business goals set by the Company and reward the executives for achieving such goals.

There is no pre-established policy or target for the allocation between either cash or non-cash compensation. Historically we have granted a majority of total compensation to executive officers in the form of cash compensation.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the principal components of compensation for named executive officers were:

base salary;

performance-based incentive compensation based on the Company's and the executive's performance; and

retirement and other benefits made available to all employees.

Base Salary

The Company provides named executive officers and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Executive base salaries continue to reflect our operating philosophy, our performance driven corporate culture and business direction, with each salary determined by the skills, experience and performance level of the individual executive, and the needs and resources of the Company. Base salaries are targeted to market levels based on reviews of published salary surveys and the closest related peer company compensation since we do not believe that Newtek has any peer companies. Base salary ranges for named executive officers are determined for each executive based on his or her position and responsibility by using market data from published salary surveys such as Equilar, and the Company generally attempts to fix each named executive officer's salary within the range. We believe that the Company's most direct competitors for executive talent are not necessarily restricted to those companies that are included in the peer company index used to compare shareholder returns, but encompass a broader group of companies engaged in the recruitment and retention of executive talent in competition with the Company.

During the review of base salaries for senior level executives, including the named executive officers, we primarily consider:

an internal review of each executive's compensation both individually and relative to other executive officers;

individual performance of the executive; and

a review of the Company's revenue growth, net income and cash flow metrics relative to the Company's annual plan as established by the Board.

Salary levels are typically considered annually as part of the Company's performance review process as well as upon a promotion or other change in job responsibility. Merit based increases to salaries are based on the Chief Executive Officer's assessment of the individual's performance. Merit based increases to the salaries of named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer are recommended by the Chief Executive Officer and confirmed by the Committee and those for the Chief Executive officer are determined by the Committee.

Annual Bonus

Annual bonuses may be awarded to executive officers under the Company's cash bonus plan. The Company creates a bonus pool based on annually determined percentage of the salaries of all employees which it accrues as an expense. Payments under the plan are based on the Company's overall performance as determined by the Chief Executive Officer and the Committee. The Committee determines any bonus for the Chief Executive Officer based on, among other things, a review of the Company's revenue growth, net income and cash flow relative to the Company's annual plans as established by the Board. The Chief Executive Officer in consultation with the Committee with respect to the named executive officers, or in consultation with the named executive officers and other senior level officers with respect to lower level employees, determines annual bonuses for other employees based on such employee's performance. Factors considered include the achievement of business plans, defined goals and performance relative to other companies of a similar size and business strategy. The mix and weighting of the factors vary, depending on the business segment and the executive's responsibilities. The level of achievement and overall contribution by the executive determines the level of bonus.

Equity-Based Compensation

From time to time, at the discretion of the Committee, the Company grants equity-based awards, such as stock options or restricted stock to the named executive officers and other employees to create a clear and strong alignment between compensation and shareholder return and to enable the named executive officers and other employees to develop and maintain a stock ownership position in the company that will vest over time and act as an incentive for the employee to remain with the Company. Restricted stock and options may be granted pursuant to the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan or its 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. The Company's 2000 Incentive Stock and Deferred Compensation Plan remains in existence but no additional option awards may be made under it since 2010 in accordance with its terms. During the Company's early years of operation, through approximately 2005, we relied more frequently than at present on equity-based awards due to the limited resources available to the Company to attract and retain qualified employees and executives. During that period the Company paid very little in the form of cash bonuses using instead equity-based awards. Currently, the cash flow of the Company, permits a more balanced approach, allowing a combination of cash and equity awards to implement the Company's compensation policies.

Table of Contents

Under applicable accounting rules, we are required to measure the value of equity awards based on the fair value of the award on the grant date. The cost is recognized in our statement of operations over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period.

Options are awarded at the average of the highest and lowest sale price of the Company's Common Shares on the NASDAQ market on the date of the grant (the Market Value). In certain limited circumstances, the Committee may grant options to an executive at an exercise price in excess of the Market Value of the Company's Common Shares on the grant date. The Committee has never granted options with an exercise price that is less than the Market Value of the Company's common shares on the grant date, nor has it granted options which are priced on a date other than the grant date.

Options granted by the Committee typically vest over the first two to five years of the ten-year option term, although in certain cases we have granted options that have vested immediately. Vesting rights cease upon termination of employment and vested options granted prior to 2008 may be exercised within one year of termination (other than termination for cause) and those granted in 2008 and subsequent which have vested have 90 days after termination in which to exercise. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a shareholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents.

Upon a change of control, or if earlier, the execution of an agreement to effect a change of control, all options and restricted stock awards under the Company's 2000 Incentive Stock and Deferred Compensation Plan, its 2003 Stock Incentive Plan and its 2010 Stock Incentive Plan become fully vested and immediately exercisable, notwithstanding any other provision of the plan or any agreement.

Benefits and Perquisites

Our executives are generally not entitled to benefits that are not available to all of our employees. In this regard, it should be noted that we do not provide pension arrangements, post-retirement health coverage or similar benefits for our executives or employees. The Committee periodically reviews the levels of benefits provided to executive officers. The named executive officers participate in the Company's 401(k) savings plan and other benefit plans on the same basis as other similarly situated employees. The Company has adopted a match for the Company's 401(k) savings plan which consists of a discretionary match of 50% of the first 2% of employee contributions up to a maximum of 1% of the employee's compensation. At the Company's discretion the match may be in the form of cash or Company Common Shares. In 2012, a match of \$105,992 in Common Shares was approved and paid in March 2013.

The perquisites we provided in fiscal 2012 are as follows. We paid the premiums on life insurance policies for and certain travel costs for Mr. Sloane the Chief Executive Officer in the amount of \$4,432. In addition, we paid Mr. Brunet, the Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer, relocation expenses in the amount of \$4,700.

Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer

The Committee determined the compensation for Barry Sloane, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President for 2012. While recognizing the Chief Executive Officer's leadership in building a highly talented management team and in driving the Company forward, Mr. Sloane's salary was maintained at \$350,000 for 2012 to which was added a bonus of \$300,000 which was paid in 2012. Mr. Sloane received a \$100,000 bonus in 2011 and a \$250,000 bonus in 2010. In addition Mr. Sloane received an award of 75,000 and 400,000 restricted Common Shares in 2013 and 2011, respectively with a value as of the date of award \$138,750 and \$680,000, respectively. The Committee has determined that this salary and bonus package is competitive with the labor market median for someone with his skills and talents and is reflective of the Company's current cash and financial position and the status of the Company's Common Shares. Mr. Sloane's base compensation has remained unchanged from 2005 through 2012.

Compensation of the Other Named Executive Officers

The Committee approved the 2012 compensation for Craig J. Brunet, Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer, and Jennifer Eddelson, Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer as recommended to it by the Chief Executive Officer.

As with the Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Brunet's base salary was maintained at, \$276,000 in both 2011 and 2012. In 2012, Mr. Brunet received an award of 10,000 restricted Common Shares valued at \$18,500 and a cash bonus of \$41,400. In 2011, Mr. Brunet was awarded 50,000 restricted Common Shares valued at \$85,000 and did not receive a cash bonus. In 2012 Mr. Brunet received a cash bonus of \$41,400 which was paid in 2012. Ms. Eddelson's base salary was increased to \$240,000 on March 1, 2012. Ms. Eddelson's total salary for 2012 was \$230,833 and in addition received a cash bonus of \$50,000 paid in 2012, and 10,000 restricted Common Shares valued at \$18,500. Ms. Eddelson received a cash bonus in 2011 of \$35,000 which was paid in 2012, and 35,000 restricted Common Shares valued at \$56,000. The Chief Executive Officer and

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

the Committee have determined that these compensation packages are competitive with the labor market median for managers with their skills and talents and are reflective of the Company's current cash and financial position and the status of the Company's Common Shares.

Table of Contents

Conclusion

Attracting and retaining talented and motivated management and employees is essential to creating long-term shareholder value. Offering a competitive, performance-based compensation program helps to achieve this objective by aligning the interests of the executive officers and other key employees with those of shareholders. We believe that the Company's 2012 compensation program met those objectives.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

At our 2011 annual meeting 98.94% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on executive compensation proposal were in favor of holding an advisory vote of shareholders on executive compensation, and 82.69% of the votes cast were in favor of holding that advisory vote every three (3) years. The Compensation, Corporate governance and Nominating Committee reviewed these results and determined that our shareholders should vote on a say-on-pay proposal every three years. Accordingly, the next say-on-pay vote will be at our 2014 annual meeting.

COMPENSATION RISK ASSESSMENT

Our Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee aims to establish company-wide compensation policies and practices that reward contributions to long-term shareholder value and do not promote unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. In furtherance of this objective, the Committee conducted an assessment of our compensation arrangements, including those for our named executive officers. The assessment process included, among other things, a review of our (1) compensation philosophy, (2) compensation mix and (3) cash and equity-based incentive plans.

In its review, among other factors, the Committee considered the following:

Our revenue model and our cash incentive plan encourage our employees to focus on creating a stable, predictable stream of revenue over multiple years, rather than focusing on current year revenue at the expense of succeeding years.

The distribution of compensation among our core compensation elements has effectively balanced short-term performance and long-term performance.

Our cash and equity-based incentive awards in conjunction with management efforts focus on both near-term and long-term goals.

Our cash and equity-based incentive awards contain a range of performance levels and payouts, to discourage executives from taking risky actions to meet a single target with an all or nothing result of compensation or no compensation.

Our executives are encouraged to hold a meaningful number of our Common Shares pursuant to our stock ownership policy. Based upon this assessment, our Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee believes that our company-wide compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis for fiscal 2012 required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

THE COMPENSATION, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Salvatore F. Mulia, Chairman

David C. Beck

Sam Kirschner

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following tables set forth the aggregate compensation earned by the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer, and next most highly compensated executive officers during 2012 and the two previous years which we refer to as named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Option Award (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Change in Pension Value and All Nonqualified other compensation in excess of \$10,000			Total (\$)
						Non-Equity Compensation (\$)	Deferred Compensation (\$)	Earnings (\$)	
Barry Sloane, CEO	2012	350,000	300,000 ⁽⁴⁾	138,780 ⁽⁷⁾					788,750
	2011	350,000	100,000 ⁽³⁾	680,000					1,130,000
	2010	350,000	250,000 ⁽¹⁾						600,000
Craig J. Brunet, EVP and Chief Information Officer	2012	276,000	41,400 ⁽⁴⁾	18,500 ⁽⁷⁾					317,400
	2011	276,000		85,000					361,000
	2010	276,000	41,400 ⁽²⁾	13,250					330,650
Jennifer Eddelson, EVP and Chief Accounting Officer ⁽⁷⁾	2012	230,833	50,000 ⁽⁴⁾	74,500 ⁽⁸⁾					355,333
	2011	92,500 ⁽⁸⁾	35,000 ⁽³⁾	25,500					243,833

- (1) Cash bonus awarded for 2010 performance, \$125,000 of which was paid in 2010 and \$125,000 was paid in the first quarter of 2011.
- (2) Cash bonus awarded for 2009 performance and paid in 2010.
- (3) Cash bonus awarded for 2010 performance and paid in 2011.
- (4) Cash bonus awarded for 2011 performance and paid in 2012.
- (5) The value reported for Stock and Option Awards is the aggregate grant date fair value of options or restricted stock awards granted to the named executive officers in the years shown, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding adjustments for forfeiture assumptions. The assumptions for making the valuation determinations are set forth in the footnote titled "Stock-Based Compensation" to our financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.
- (6) Effective July 1, 2011.
- (7) Stock grants awarded for 2012 performance, granted 2013.
- (8) \$18,500 was for stock grants awarded for 2012 performance, granted in 2013; \$56,000 was for stock grants awarded for 2011 performance, granted in 2012.

Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to our Common Shares that may be issued under our equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽²⁾	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders ⁽¹⁾	1,943,050	\$ 1.44	3,405,768
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders			
Total	1,943,050	\$ 1.44	3,405,768

Table of Contents

- (1) Consists of 4,250,000 common shares under the Company's 2000 Stock Incentive and Deferred Compensation Plan, 1,000,000 common shares under the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, and 1,650,000 common shares under the Company's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan.
- (2) Excludes 1,148,400 restricted stock rights which have a zero exercise price.

GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS

The following reflects all grants to our named executive officers awarded in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, all of which vest on July 1, 2014.

Name	Grant date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Barry Sloane, CEO											
Craig J. Brunet, EVP, CIO											
Jennifer C. Eddelson, EVP, CAO	04/12/12							35,000			56,000

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2012 YEAR END

The following table reflects all outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 31, 2012:

Name	Option Awards (1)					Number of Shares or Units that have not Vested		Stock Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights that have not Vested		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that have not Vested (\$)	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Vested (#)	Market Value of Stock that have not Vested (\$)	Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights that have not Vested (#)	Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that have not Vested (\$)		
Barry Sloane, CEO								400,000(2)	680,000		
Craig J. Brunet, EVP, CIO	100,000 ⁽¹⁾			1.57	12/21/15			50,000(2)	85,000		
	100,000			1.50	05/18/18			10,600(2)	18,323		
Jennifer C. Eddelson, EVP, CAO	10,000 ⁽¹⁾			1.57	12/21/15						
	20,000			1.50	05/18/18			50,000(2)	80,450		

(1) These options are fully vested.

(2) These shares of restricted stock shall vest on the earliest of the following to occur: (a) July 1, 2014; (b) a Change in Control (as defined in the plan) of the Company; or (c) His/her death or total disability.

OPTIONS EXERCISED AND STOCK VESTED

There were no stock options exercised nor restricted Common Shares vested during 2012 for the named executive officers.

Employment Agreements

The Company has entered into separate employment agreements with the following three executive officers:

Barry Sloane, as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary; and

Craig J. Brunet, as Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer; and

Jennifer Eddelson, as Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Barry Sloane, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, is responsible for implementing the policies adopted by the Company's Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Mr. Sloane's employment agreement provides for:

A twelve month term through March 31, 2013 at an annual base salary of \$350,000;

at least one annual salary review by the Board of Directors;

participation in any discretionary bonus plan established for senior executives;

retirement and medical plans, customary fringe benefits, vacation and sick leave; and

\$2 million of split-dollar life insurance coverage.

Mr. Brunet's employment agreement provides for:

A twelve month term through March 31, 2013 at an annual base salary of \$276,000;

at least one annual salary review by the Board of Directors;

participation in any discretionary bonus plan established for senior executives; and

retirement and medical plans, customary fringe benefits, vacation and sick leave.

Ms. Eddelson's employment agreement provides for:

A twelve month term through May 31, 2013 at an annual base salary of \$240,000;

at least one annual salary review by the Board of Directors;

participation in any discretionary bonus plan established for senior executives; and

retirement and medical plans, customary fringe benefits, vacation and sick leave.

Payments upon Change of Control

Mr. Sloane's employment agreement provides for a payment in the event of non-renewal of his employment in an amount equal to one and one half (1.5) times, or in the case of a change of control or termination other than for cause of the agreement an amount equal to two (2) times, the sum of (i) the executive's base salary in effect at the time of termination, plus (ii) the amount of any incentive compensation paid with respect to the immediately preceding fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

Mr. Brunet's and Ms. Eddelson's employment agreements provide for a payment in the case of termination other than for cause or in connection with a change in control of the agreement equal to one (1) times the sum of (i) the executive's base salary in effect at the time of termination, plus (ii) the amount of any incentive compensation paid with respect to the immediately preceding fiscal year. Mr. Brunet's employment agreement also provides for a payment in the case of non-renewal equal to one (1) times the sum of (i) the executive's base salary in effect at the time of termination, plus (ii) the amount of any incentive compensation paid with respect to the immediately preceding fiscal year.

Each employment agreement contains a non-competition provision that requires the employee to devote substantially his full business time and efforts to the performance of the employee's duties under the agreement. The employee is not prohibited, however, from:

 serving on the boards of directors of, and holding offices or positions in, companies or organizations which, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, will not present conflicts of interest with the Company; or

 investing in any business dissimilar from the Company's or, solely as a passive or minority investor, in any business.

Under each of the employment agreements, the Company may terminate an employee's employment for "just cause" as defined in the agreement, and upon the termination, no severance benefits are available. If the employee voluntarily terminates his employment for "good reason" as defined in the agreement, or the employee's employment terminates during the term of the agreement due to death, disability, or retirement after age 62, the employee will be entitled to a continuation of his salary and benefits from the date of termination through the remaining term of the agreement. The employee is able to terminate voluntarily his agreement by providing 60 days written notice to the Board of Directors, in which case the employee is entitled to receive only his compensation, vested rights and benefits up to the date of termination.

Table of Contents**Post Termination Payments**

The table below reflects the amount of compensation that would be payable to the executive officers under existing arrangements if the hypothetical termination of employment events described above had occurred on December 31, 2012, given their compensation and service levels as of such date. All payments are payable by the Company in a lump sum unless otherwise noted.

These benefits are in addition to benefits available regardless of the occurrence of such an event, such as currently exercisable stock options, and benefits generally available to salaried employees, such as distributions under the Company's 401(k) plan, disability benefits, and accrued vacation pay. In addition, in connection with any termination of Mr. Sloane's employment, the Company may determine to enter into an agreement or to establish an arrangement providing additional benefits or amounts, or altering the terms of benefits described below, as the Compensation, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee deems appropriate.

Name	Post Termination Payments		
	Change in Control	Non-Renewal	Termination without Cause
Barry Sloane, CEO	\$ 800,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 800,000
Craig Brunet, EVP, CIO	\$ 276,000	\$ 276,000	\$ 276,000
Jennifer Eddelson, EVP, CAO	\$ 240,000		\$ 240,000

The actual amounts that would be paid upon Mr. Sloane's and Mr. Brunet's termination of employment can be determined only at the time of their separation from the Company.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The Company did not have any nonqualified deferred compensation in the year ended December 31, 2012.

Pension Benefits

The Company had no obligation under pension benefit plans to the named executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

Tax and Accounting Implications*Deductibility of Executive Compensation*

As part of its role, the Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides that the Company may not deduct compensation of more than \$1,000,000 that is paid to certain individuals. The Company believes that compensation paid by the Company is generally fully deductible for federal income tax purposes. However, in certain situations, the Committee may, in the future, approve compensation that will not meet these requirements in order to ensure competitive levels of total compensation for its executive officers.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Beginning on January 1, 2006, the Company began accounting for stock-based payments under its three incentive stock plans in accordance with the requirements of FASB Statement 123(R).

Table of Contents**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The table below shows the number of our common shares beneficially owned as of March 27, 2013 by:

each person or group known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common shares;

each director and nominee for director;

each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading Executive Compensation; and

all of our current directors and executive officers of the company as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each 5% holder, director or executive officer is determined by the rules of the SEC, and the information does not necessarily indicate beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares over which the person or entity has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares that the person or entity can acquire within 60 days of March 27, 2013 through the exercise of any stock option or other right. For purposes of computing the percentage of outstanding shares of common shares held by each person or entity, any shares that the person or entity has the right to acquire within 60 days after March 27, 2013 are deemed to be outstanding with respect to such person or entity but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of ownership of any other person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, each person or entity has sole investment and voting power (or shares such power with his or her spouse) over the shares set forth in the following table. The inclusion in the table below of any shares deemed beneficially owned does not constitute an admission of beneficial ownership of those shares. As of March 27, 2013 there were 35,311,888 common shares issued and outstanding.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾	Total Beneficial			Percent of Class
	Shares Owned	Right to Acquire ⁽²⁾	Ownership ⁽³⁾	
David C. Beck	188,154	39,685	227,839	*
Craig J. Brunet	83,384	200,000	283,384	*
Jennifer C. Eddelson	13,370	30,000	43,370	*
Sam Kirschner	69,303		69,303	*
Salvatore F. Mulia	60,695	27,780	88,475	*
Jeffrey G. Rubin ⁽⁴⁾	4,291,505		4,291,505	13.30%
Barry Sloane	4,700,266		4,700,266	14.57%
All current directors and executive Officers as a group (7 persons)			9,704,142	30.08%

* Less than 1% of total common shares outstanding as of March 27, 2013.

(1) Unless otherwise stated, the address of each person listed is c/o Newtek Business Services, Inc., 212 W. 35th Street, 2nd floor, New York, New York 10001.

(2) Number of shares underlying stock options which are exercisable as of March 27, 2013, or which become exercisable 60 days thereafter.

(3) As of March 27, 2013 except with respect to Mr. Rubin as of March 14, 2007.

(4) Resigned as President March 7, 2008.

Table of Contents**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS, RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The Company may from time to time provide business services to executives of the Company or their family members. These transactions are conducted at arm's length and do not represent a material portion of the Company's revenues.

The Company's Code of Conduct provides that when any potential conflict exists, it must be properly disclosed and an appropriate determination made by the Company. The Chairman and CEO is ultimately responsible for the determination. The Company's policies and procedures were followed in connection with all of the above.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

CohnReznick LLP served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

Fees for professional services rendered to the Company by CohnReznick LLP during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

Audit Fees	\$ 725
Audit Related Fees	60
All Other Fees	
Total Fees	\$ 785

Fees for professional services rendered to the Company by CohnReznick LLP during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

Audit Fees	\$ 775
Audit Related Fees	105
All Other Fees	
Total Fees	\$ 880

Audit Fees: The audit fees for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of the Company's annual financial statements, assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, consents and other services required to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm.

Audit-Related Fees: All other fees billed to the Company by CohnReznick LLP during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for non-audit services and assurance and related services for attestations not required by law.

Tax Fees: No fees were billed to the Company by CohnReznick LLP during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 for professional services rendered in connection with tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

All Other Fees: None.

In accordance with the Audit Committee Charter, all of the foregoing audit and non-audit fees paid to and the related services provided by CohnReznick LLP were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.**

(a)(1) Financial Statements.

(a)(2) Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed herewith or are incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Newtek Business Services, Inc., as amended (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 3.1 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010).
3.2	Bylaws of Newtek Business Services, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Newtek's Registration Statement on Form S-4, No. 333-115615, filed August 11, 2000).
10.1	Employment Agreement with Barry Sloane, dated April 9, 2012, (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.1 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012, filed May 10, 2012).
10.2	Employment Agreement with Craig J. Brunet, dated April 9, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.2 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012, filed May 10, 2012).
10.3	Employment Agreement with Jennifer Eddelson, dated June 1, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012, filed August 6, 2012).
10.4	Lease and Master Services Agreement dated March 15, 2007 between CrystalTech Web Hosting, Inc. and i/o Data Centers (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed May 15, 2007).
10.5.1	Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2010, between CrystalTech Web Hosting, Inc., Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc. and Capital One, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.16.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 4, 2010).
10.5.2	Guaranty of Payment and Performance, dated as of April 30, 2010, between Newtek Business Services, Inc. and Capital One Bank, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.16.2 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 4, 2010).
10.6.1	Newtek Business Services, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.17 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 14, 2010).
10.6.2	Form of ISO Stock Option Agreement for Newtek's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.17.2 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010).
10.6.3	Form of Non-ISO Stock Option Agreement for Newtek's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.17.3 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010).
10.6.4	Form of Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement for Newtek's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.17.4 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010).
10.6.5	Form of Restricted Share Award Agreement for Newtek's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.17.5 to Newtek's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, filed August 12, 2010.)
10.7.1	Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, between Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc. and Capital One Bank, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.18.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 20, 2010, as amended on March 2, 2011).

Edgar Filing: NEWTEK BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.7.2 Guaranty Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, between Newtek Business Services, Inc. and Capital One Bank, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.18.2 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 20, 2010, as amended on March 2, 2011).

Table of Contents

10.7.3	Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2011, by and between Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc. and Capital One, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.8.3 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 21, 2011).
10.7.4	Amended and Restated Guaranty of Payment and Performance, dated as of June 16, 2011, by and between Newtek Business Services, Inc., and Capital One, N.A. (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.8.4 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 21, 2011).
10.7.5	Amendment to Loan Documents, dated October 6, 2011, by and among Newtek Small Business Finance, Inc., Capital One Bank, N.A. and each of the guarantors listed on the signature pages thereto (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.8.5 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 11, 2011).
10.8.1	Newtek Small Business Loan Trust Class A Notes, dated December 22, 2010 (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.19.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 23, 2010).
10.8.2	Amended Newtek Small Business Loan Trust Class A Notes, dated December 29, 2011 (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.19.2 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 5, 2012).
10.8.3	Additional Newtek Small Business Loan Trust Class A Notes, dated December 29, 2011 (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.19.3 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 5, 2012).
10.9.1	Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2011, by and between CDS Business Services, Inc. and Sterling National Bank (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.10.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 3, 2011).
10.9.2	Guaranty, dated as of February 28, 2011, by and between Newtek Business Services, Inc. and Sterling National Bank (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.10.2 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 3, 2011).
10.9.3	Amendment No. 1, dated December 5, 2012, to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2011, by and between CDS Business Services, Inc. and Sterling National Bank (Incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.9.3 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 11, 2012).
10.10.1	Credit Agreement by and between Newtek Business Services, Inc. and Several Lenders dated as of April 25, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 1, 2012).
10.10.2	Warrant issued to Summit Partners Credit Advisers, L.P., dated April 25, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10.1 to Newtek's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 1, 2012).
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant filed herewith.
23.1	Consent of CohnReznick LLP filed herewith.
31.1	Certification by Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as amended filed herewith.
31.2	Certification by Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act, as amended filed herewith.
32.1	Certification by Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
32.2	Certification by Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document