CRDENTIA CORP Form DEF 14A October 25, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.) Filed by the Registrant \acute{y} Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O Check the appropriate box: Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 Crdentia Corp. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant) Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): No fee required. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: (1)(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant (3)to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): (4)Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

> (5)Total fee paid:

0

0

0

ý

0

0

ý 0

0

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)Amount Previously Paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number. October 27, 2005

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Crdentia Corp. to be held at the offices of Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105, on Tuesday, November 8, 2005 at 9:30 a.m., Pacific Time. Details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting are given in the attached Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

It is important that your shares be represented at the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please complete, sign, date and promptly return the accompanying proxy in the enclosed postage-prepaid envelope.

If you send in your proxy card and then decide to attend the Annual Meeting to vote your shares in person, you may still do so. Your proxy is revocable in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Proxy Statement.

Your Board of Directors recommends that you vote in favor of the four proposals outlined in this Proxy Statement. Please refer to the Proxy Statement for detailed information on each of the proposals.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued interest in our company. We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/ James D. Durham

James D. Durham Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer CRDENTIA CORP. 14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75254 (972) 850-0780

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 8, 2005

To the Stockholders of Crdentia Corp.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Crdentia Corp., a Delaware corporation (the Company), will be held at the offices of Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105, on Tuesday, November 8, 2005, at 9:30 a.m., Pacific Time, for the following purposes:

1. Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to Effect a Reverse Split of the Company s Common Stock. To amend the Company s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of the Company s common stock.

2. Election of Directors. To elect two (2) Class III directors to the Board of Directors to hold office until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

3. **Ratification of Independent Auditors**. To ratify the appointment of KBA Group LLP as independent auditors for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005.

4. **Amendment to Employment Agreement with James D. Durham**. To approve an amendment to the Company's employment agreement with James D. Durham, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, to provide for a bonus to be paid upon a sale of the Company.

5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement which is attached and made a part hereof.

The Board of Directors of the Company has fixed the close of business on October 10, 2005 as the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. For ten days prior to the meeting, a complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available for examination by any stockholder, for any purpose relating to the meeting, during ordinary business hours at our principal offices located at 14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you are urged to mark, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as promptly as possible in the postage-prepaid envelope provided to ensure your representation and the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Should you receive more than one proxy because your shares are registered in different names and addresses, each proxy should be returned to ensure that all of your shares will be voted. If you send in your proxy card and then decide to attend the Annual Meeting to vote your shares in person, you may still do so. Your proxy is revocable in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Proxy Statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ James D. Durham

James D. Durham Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Dallas, Texas

October 27, 2005

Mailed to Stockholders

on or about October 27, 2005

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held November 8, 2005

INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING

General Information

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the stockholders of Crdentia Corp., a Delaware corporation (Crdentia or the Company), in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies in the accompanying form for use in voting at the annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held on Tuesday, November 8, 2005, at 9:30 a.m., Pacific Time, at the offices of Morrison & Foerster LLP, 425 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. The shares represented by the proxies received, properly marked, dated, executed and not revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting.

Our complete mailing address is 14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75254. Our Internet Web site address is *www.crdentia.com*. Our annual reports on Form 10-KSB, quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge in electronic or paper form upon request to us after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). All materials filed by us with the SEC can also be obtained at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20549 or through the SEC s Web site at *www.sec.gov*. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

Solicitation, Record Date and Voting Procedures

The solicitation of proxies will be conducted by mail and we will bear all attendant costs. These costs will include the expense of preparing and mailing proxy materials for the Annual Meeting and reimbursements paid to brokerage firms and others for their expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation material regarding the Annual Meeting to beneficial owners of our common stock. We may conduct further solicitation personally, telephonically or by facsimile through our officers, directors and regular employees, none of whom will receive additional compensation for assisting with the solicitation.

The close of business on October 10, 2005 has been fixed as the record date (the Record Date) for determining the holders of shares of our capital stock entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the close of business on the Record Date, we had 30,544,642 shares

of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and 183,028 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. (Unless otherwise indicated, all share amounts in this Proxy Statement have been adjusted to reflect the one-for-three reverse split of our common stock effected in June 2004.) The presence at the Annual Meeting of a majority of these shares of common stock and Series C convertible preferred stock, either in person or by proxy, voting together as a single class, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. The Company s Secretary, Vicki L. Smith, will tabulate votes cast by proxy and act as inspector of elections to tabulate votes cast in person at the Annual Meeting. Each outstanding share of common stock on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on all matters, and each outstanding share of Series C convertible preferred stock on the Record Date is entitled to one hundred votes on all matters.

Under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, an abstaining vote and a broker non-vote are counted as present and are, therefore, included for purposes of determining whether a quorum of shares is present at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions are included in determining the number of shares voted on the proposals submitted to stockholders (other than the election of directors) and will have the same effect as a no vote on such proposals. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular matter because the nominee does not have the discretionary voting power with respect to that matter and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. Broker non-votes, and shares as to which proxy authority

has been withheld with respect to any matter, are generally not deemed to be entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether stockholders approval of that matter has been obtained.

With regard to the election of directors, votes may be cast in favor of, or withheld from, each nominee. The directors, however, will be elected by plurality vote, and votes that are withheld will be excluded entirely from the vote and will have no effect. Stockholders may not cumulate votes in the election of directors. All other matters to be acted upon by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting will require the approval of the holders of a majority of the outstanding common stock and Series C convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. With respect to such matters, abstentions will have the effect of negative votes, and broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining whether any of those proposals have been approved.

The Proxy

The persons named as proxyholders, James D. Durham and James J. TerBeest, were selected by our Board of Directors and currently serve as executive officers of the Company.

All shares represented by each properly executed, unrevoked proxy received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted in the manner specified therein. If no specification is made on the proxy as to any one or more of the proposals, shares of our common stock or Series C convertible preferred stock represented by the proxy will be voted as to the proposal for which no specification is given as follows: <u>FOR</u> the approval of the amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of our common stock; <u>FOR</u> the election of Joseph M. DeLuca and James D. Durham as Class III directors to the Board of Directors to hold office until their successors are duly elected and qualified; <u>FOR</u> the ratification of the appointment of KBA Group LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005; and <u>FOR</u> the amendment to the employment agreement with James D. Durham, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, to provide for a bonus to be paid upon a sale of the Company. We presently do not know of any other business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting.

Revocability of Proxy

If the shares of common stock or Series C convertible preferred stock are held in your name, you may revoke your proxy given pursuant to this solicitation at any time before the proxy card is voted by: (i) delivering to the Company (to the attention of Vicki L. Smith, our Secretary), at the address of our principal executive offices, a written notice of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or (ii) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. If your shares are held in street name, you should follow the directions provided by your broker regarding how to revoke your proxy. Your attendance at the Annual Meeting after having executed and delivered a valid proxy card will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of your proxy. You will be required to give oral notice of your intention to vote in person to the inspector of elections at the Annual Meeting.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO OUR AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO EFFECT A REVERSE STOCK SPLIT OF OUR COMMON STOCK

Overview

The Board of Directors has approved a proposal to amend our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of all outstanding shares of our common stock at an exchange ratio ranging from one-for-two to one-for-ten. The Board of Directors has recommended that this proposal be presented to our stockholders for approval. To that end, you are now being asked to vote upon an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect this reverse stock split whereby a number of outstanding shares of our common stock between and including two and ten will be combined into one share of our common stock. Pending stockholder approval, the Board of Directors will have the sole discretion pursuant to Section 242(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law to elect, as it determines to be in the best interests of Crdentia and its stockholders, whether or not to effect a reverse stock split, and if so, the number of shares of our common stock between and including two and ten that will be combined into one share of our common stock, at any time before the first anniversary of this Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors believes that stockholder approval of an amendment granting the Board of Directors this discretion, rather than approval of a specified exchange ratio, provides the Board of Directors with maximum flexibility to react to then-current market conditions and, therefore, is in the best interests of Crdentia and its stockholders. We previously effected a one-for-three reverse split of our common stock in June 2004.

The text of the form of the proposed amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation is attached to this Proxy Statement as <u>Appendix A</u>. By approving this amendment, stockholders will approve an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to which any number of outstanding shares between and including two and ten would be combined into one share of our common stock and would authorize the Board of Directors to file such amendment as determined in the manner described herein. The Board of Directors may also elect not to do any reverse split.

If approved by the stockholders, and following such approval, the Board of Directors determines that effecting a reverse stock split is in the best interests of Crdentia and its stockholders, the reverse stock split will become effective upon the filing of such amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. The amendment filed thereby will contain the number of shares selected by the Board of Directors within the limits set forth in this proposal to be combined into one share of our common stock.

If the Board of Directors elects to effect a reverse stock split following stockholder approval, the number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock would be reduced in accordance with an exchange ratio determined by the Board of Directors within the limits set forth in this proposal. Except for adjustments that may result from the treatment of fractional shares as described below, each stockholder will hold the same percentage of our outstanding common stock immediately following the reverse stock split as such stockholder held immediately prior to the reverse stock split. Currently, Crdentia is authorized to issue up to a total of 160,000,000 shares of capital stock, consisting of 10,000,000 shares of our capital stock. Thus, immediately following the reverse stock split, the total number of authorized shares of capital stock would remain at 160,000,000 shares of preferred stock and 150,000,000 shares of common stock and preferred stock would remain unchanged at \$0.0001 per share as well. Currently, the Board of Directors does not have any definite plans with regard to the authorized but unissued shares of our common stock following the reverse stock split.

The Board of Directors believes that a reverse stock split may be desirable for a number of reasons. First, the Board of Directors believes that a reverse stock split may better enable us to list our stock on a national exchange or market. Second, the Board of Directors believes that a reverse stock split could improve the marketability and liquidity of our common stock. Third, the Board of Directors believes that a reverse stock split is desirable in order

to increase our common stock price in the near term while we continue to progress towards achieving our business objectives.

Our common stock is currently quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (OTC Bulletin Board). The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of Crdentia and its stockholders to list our common stock on a national exchange or market. Alternative markets like the OTC Bulletin Board or the pink sheets maintained by the National Quotation Bureau, Inc. are generally considered to be less efficient and not as widely followed as other exchanges or markets like those operated by the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. (Nasdaq) or the American Stock Exchange.

In order for us to list our common stock on a market operated by Nasdaq or the American Stock Exchange, we must satisfy certain listing standards, some of which standards require a minimum bid price. For example, certain listing standards of the Nasdaq Capital Market would require that our common stock have a minimum bid price of at least \$4.00 per share and certain listing standards of the Nasdaq National Market would require that our common stock have a minimum bid price of at least \$5.00 per share. In addition, certain of the listing standards of the American Stock Exchange would require that our common stock have a minimum bid price of at least \$5.00 per share. In addition, certain of the listing standards of the American Stock Exchange would require that our common stock have a minimum bid price of at least \$3.00 per share. As of September 15, 2005, the high bid price for our common stock as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$1.65 per share.

The Board of Directors expects that a reverse stock split of our common stock will increase the market price of our common stock so that we would be better able to satisfy the minimum bid price listing standards of a national market or exchange like Nasdaq or the American Stock Exchange. However, the effect of a reverse split upon the market price of our common stock cannot be predicted with any certainty, and the history of similar reverse stock split for companies in like circumstances is varied. It is possible that the per share price of our common stock after the reverse split will not rise in proportion to the reduction in the number of shares of our common stock outstanding resulting from the reverse stock split, and there can be no assurance that the market price per post-reverse split share will either exceed or remain in excess of the minimum bid price for a sustained period of time. The market price of our common stock may be based also on other factors that may be unrelated to the number of shares outstanding, including our future performance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board of Directors believes that the proposed reverse stock split, when implemented within the proposed exchange ratio range, may result in the market price of our common stock rising to the level necessary to satisfy the minimum bid price requirement.

The Board of Directors also believes that the increased market price of our common stock expected as a result of implementing a reverse stock split will improve the marketability and liquidity of our common stock and will encourage interest and trading in our common stock. Because of the trading volatility often associated with low-priced stocks, many brokerage houses and institutional investors have internal policies and practices that either prohibit them from investing in low-priced stocks or tend to discourage individual brokers from recommending low-priced stocks to their customers. Some of those policies and practices may function to make the processing of trades in low-priced stocks economically unattractive to brokers. Additionally, because brokers commissions on low-priced stocks generally represent a higher percentage of the stock price than commissions on higher-priced stocks, the current average price per share of our common stock can result in individual stockholders paying transaction costs representing a higher percentage of their total share value than would be the case if the share price were substantially higher. It should be noted that the liquidity of our common stock may be adversely affected by the proposed reverse stock split given the reduced number of shares that would be outstanding after the reverse stock split. The Board of Directors is hopeful, however, that the anticipated higher market price will reduce, to some extent, the negative effects on the liquidity and marketability of the common stock inherent in some of the policies and practices of institutional investors and brokerage houses described above.

The Board of Directors is hopeful that the price of our common stock will increase as a result of improvements in our business. The Board of Directors believes that the market price of our common stock will increase to the extent we are able to achieve commercial success over time. Nevertheless, the Board of Directors believes that a reverse stock split is desirable because of the anticipated higher market price of our common stock resulting from such action.

If the reverse stock split is approved by our stockholders, it will be effected, if at all, only upon a determination by the Board

of Directors that a reverse stock split (with an exchange ratio determined by the Board of Directors as described above) is in the best interests of Crdentia and its stockholders. The determination by the Board of Directors as to whether the reverse split will be effected, if at all, will be based upon certain factors, including meeting the listing requirements for a national market or exchange like Nasdaq or the American Stock Exchange, existing and expected marketability and liquidity of our common stock, prevailing market conditions and the likely effect on the market price of our common stock. If the Board of Directors determines to effect the reverse stock split, the Board of Directors will consider certain factors in selecting the specific exchange ratio, including the overall market conditions at the time and the recent trading history of our common stock.

Notwithstanding approval of the reverse stock split by the stockholders, the Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, abandon the proposed amendment and determine prior to the effectiveness of any filing with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware not to effect the reverse stock split prior to the one-year anniversary of this Annual Meeting, as permitted under Section 242(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law. If the Board of Directors fails to implement any of the reverse stock splits prior to the one-year anniversary of this Annual Meeting, stockholder approval again would be required prior to implementing any reverse stock split.

Effects of the Reverse Stock Split

After the effective date of the proposed reverse stock split, each stockholder will own a reduced number of shares of our common stock. However, the proposed reverse stock split will affect all of our stockholders uniformly and will not affect any stockholder s percentage ownership interest in us, except to the extent that the reverse stock split results in any of our stockholders owning a fractional share as described below. Proportionate voting rights and other rights and preferences of the holders of our common stock will not be affected by the proposed reverse stock split (other than as a result of the payment of cash in lieu of fractional shares). For example, a holder of 2% of the voting power of the outstanding shares of common stock immediately prior to the reverse stock split would continue to hold 2% of the voting power of the outstanding shares of common stock immediately after the reverse stock split. The number of stockholders of record will not be affected by the proposed reverse stock split (except to the extent that any stockholder holds only a fractional share interest and receives cash for such interest after the proposed reverse stock split).

The reverse stock split would not reduce the number of shares of Series C convertible preferred stock that are outstanding. Rather, the reverse stock split would reduce the number of shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of our Series C convertible preferred stock by increasing the conversion price of the Series C convertible preferred stock in proportion to the exchange ratio of the reverse stock split in accordance with the terms of the Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of the Series C convertible preferred stock. We currently have 183,028 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock issued and outstanding, each of which is convertible into one hundred shares of our common stock. The par value of the Series C convertible preferred stock would remain at \$0.0001 per share following the effective time of the reverse stock split, and the number of shares of Series C convertible preferred stock issued and outstanding would remain unchanged.

Although the proposed reverse stock split will not affect the rights of stockholders or any stockholder s proportionate equity interest in Crdentia, subject to the treatment of fractional shares, the number of authorized shares of common stock and preferred stock will not be reduced. This will increase significantly the ability of the Board of Directors to issue authorized and unissued shares without further stockholder action. The issuance in the future of such additional authorized shares may have the effect of diluting the earnings per share and book value per share, as well as the stock ownership and voting rights, of the currently outstanding shares of common stock. The effective increase in the number of authorized but unissued shares of common stock may be construed as having an anti-takeover effect by permitting the issuance of shares to purchasers who might oppose a hostile takeover bid or oppose any efforts to amend or repeal certain provisions of our Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws.

The proposed reverse stock split will reduce the number of shares of common stock available for issuance upon exercise of our outstanding stock options in proportion to the exchange ratio of the reverse stock split and will effect a proportionate increase in the exercise price of such

outstanding stock options. In connection with the proposed reverse stock split, the number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise or conversion of outstanding stock options will be rounded to the nearest whole share and no cash payment will be made in respect of such rounding.

If the proposed reverse stock split is implemented, it will increase the number of stockholders of Crdentia who own odd lots of less than 100 shares of our common stock and decrease the number of stockholders who own whole lots of 100 shares or more of our common stock. Brokerage commission and other costs of transactions in

odd lots are generally higher than the costs of transactions of more than 100 shares of common stock. In addition, certain listing standards of exchanges or markets like those operated by Nasdaq or the American Stock Exchange may require that we have a certain minimum number of holders of whole lots.

Our common stock is currently registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and we are subject to the periodic reporting and other requirements of the Exchange Act. The proposed reverse stock split will not affect the registration of the common stock under the Exchange Act. If the proposed reverse stock split is implemented (and depending on whether we choose thereafter to list our common stock on another market or exchange), our common stock will continue to be reported on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol CRDE.

The proposed reverse stock split will not affect the par value of our common stock. As a result, on the effective date of the reverse stock split, the stated capital on our balance sheet attributable to the common stock will be reduced in proportion to the exchange ratio selected by the Board of Directors in the manner described above, and the additional paid-in capital account shall be credited with the amount by which the stated capital is reduced. The per share net income or loss and net book value of our common stock will be increased because there will be fewer shares of our common stock outstanding.

Effective Date

The proposed reverse stock split would become effective as of 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time on the date of filing of a Certificate of Amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation with the office of the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. Except as explained below with respect to fractional shares, on the effective date, shares of common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior thereto will be combined and converted, automatically and without any action on the part of the stockholders, into new shares of common stock in accordance with reverse stock split ratio determined by the Board of Directors within the limits set forth in this proposal.

Payment for Fractional Shares

No fractional shares of common stock will be issued as a result of the proposed reverse stock split. Instead, stockholders who otherwise would be entitled to receive fractional shares, upon surrender to the exchange agent of such certificates representing such fractional shares, will be entitled to receive cash in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying (i) the fair market value of our common stock as determined by our Board of Directors on the effective date by (ii) the number of shares of our common stock held by such stockholder that would otherwise have been exchanged for such fractional share interest. For purposes of determining the amount of cash to be distributed to holders of fractional shares, the fair market value of our common stock shall be the closing price as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on the effective date.

Exchange of Stock Certificates

As soon as practicable after the effective date, stockholders will be notified that the reverse split has been effected. Our transfer agent will act as exchange agent for purposes of implementing the exchange of stock certificates. We refer to such person as the exchange agent. Holders of pre-reverse split shares will be asked to surrender to the exchange agent certificates representing pre-reverse split shares in exchange for certificates representing post-reverse split shares in accordance with the procedures to be set forth in a letter of transmittal to be sent by us. No

new certificates will be issued to a stockholder until such stockholder has surrendered such stockholder s outstanding certificate(s) together with the properly completed and executed letter of transmittal to the exchange agent. Stockholders should not destroy any stock certificate and should not submit any certificates until requested to do so.

Accounting Consequences

The par value per share of our common stock would remain unchanged at \$0.0001 per share after the reverse stock split. As a result, on the effective date of the reverse split, the stated capital on our balance sheet attributable to the common stock will be reduced proportionally, based on the exchange ratio of the reverse stock split, from its present amount, and the additional paid-in capital account shall be credited with the amount by which the stated capital is reduced. The per share common stock net income or loss and net book value will be increased

because there will be fewer shares of our common stock outstanding. We do not anticipate that any other accounting consequences would arise as a result of the reverse stock split.

No Appraisal Rights

Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, our stockholders are not entitled to appraisal rights with respect to our proposed amendments to our charter to effect the reverse stock split, and we will not independently provide our stockholders with any such rights.

Material Federal U.S. Income Tax Consequences of the Reverse Stock Split

The following is a summary of important tax considerations of the proposed reverse stock split. It addresses only stockholders who hold the pre-reverse split shares and post-reverse split shares as capital assets. It does not purport to be complete and does not address stockholders subject to special rules, such as financial institutions, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, dealers in securities, mutual funds, foreign stockholders, stockholders who hold the pre-reverse split shares as part of a straddle, hedge or conversion transaction or other risk reduction strategy, stockholders who hold the pre-reverse split shares as qualified small business stock within the meaning of Section 1202 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), stockholders who are subject to the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code and stockholders who acquired their pre-reverse split shares pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation. This summary is based upon current law, which may change, possibly even retroactively. It does not address tax considerations under state, local, foreign and other laws. Furthermore, we have not obtained a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service or an opinion of legal or tax counsel with respect to the consequences of the reverse stock split. Each stockholder is advised to consult his or her tax advisor as to his or her own situation.

The reverse stock split is intended to constitute a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368 of the Code. Assuming the reverse split qualifies as a reorganization, a stockholder generally will not recognize gain or loss on the reverse stock split, except (as discussed below) to the extent of cash, if any, received in lieu of a fractional share interest in the post-reverse split shares. The aggregate tax basis of the post-reverse split shares exchanged therefor (excluding any portion of the holder s basis allocated to fractional shares), and the holding period of the post-reverse split shares received will include the holding period of the post-reverse split shares exchanged.

A holder of the pre-reverse split shares who receives cash in lieu of a fractional share interest in the post-reverse split shares will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the portion of the tax basis of the pre-reverse split shares allocated to the fractional share interest and the cash received. Such gain or loss will be a capital gain or loss and will be short term if the pre-reverse split shares were held for one year or less and long term if held more than one year. It is assumed for this purpose that cash will be paid in lieu of fractional shares only as a mechanical rounding off of fractions resulting from the exchange rather than separately bargained-for consideration. It is also assumed that the reverse split is not being undertaken to increase any shareholder s proportionate ownership of the Company.

No gain or loss will be recognized by us as a result of the reverse stock split.

Required Vote

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock and Series C convertible preferred stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting together as a single class is required to approve the amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. Abstentions will have the same effect as no votes on this proposal, whereas broker non-votes will have no effect.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FOR</u> THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO OUR AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO EFFECT A REVERSE STOCK SPLIT OF OUR COMMON STOCK

PROPOSAL NO. 2

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

General

Our Board of Directors is currently comprised of three classes of directors generally consisting of two directors in each class with staggered three-year terms. The directors in each class serve for their respective terms or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Upon expiration of the term of a particular class, directors elected to the class will serve for a term of three years following expiration of the term.

The purpose of this proposal is to nominate two directors for election to our Board of Directors for a term ending upon the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The two candidates receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the shares entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be elected directors. The nominees for election have agreed to serve if elected, and our management has no reason to believe that the nominees will be unavailable to serve. If any nominee is unable or declines to serve as a director at the time of the Annual Meeting, the proxies will be voted for any nominee who may be designated by our present Board of Directors to fill the vacancy. Unless otherwise instructed, the proxy holders will vote the proxies received by them FOR the nominees named below.

No arrangement or understanding exists between any nominee and any other person or persons pursuant to which any nominee was or is to be selected as a director or nominee. None of the nominees has any family relationship to any other nominee or to any executive officer of Crdentia Corp., except for Mr. Durham, who is our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

Nominees for Term Ending Upon the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Joseph M. DeLuca, 49, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2002. Since March 1996, Mr. DeLuca has served as a managing member of Healthcare Investment Visions LLC, a research, business development and management consultancy located in the San Francisco, California area. From 1985 to 1995, Mr. DeLuca served as President of JDA, a consulting firm he founded which provided information systems strategy, vendor selection, development, implementation and management services to healthcare provider organizations. From 1984 to 1985, Mr. DeLuca served as a senior manager with Computer Synergy Inc., a public company which developed hospital information systems. Mr. DeLuca received a bachelor of arts degree in biology from Lawrence University and a masters degree of arts-health services administration from the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

James D. Durham, 58, has been our Chief Executive Officer and the Chairman of our Board of Directors since his founding of Crdentia in August 2002. From September 1993 to June 2000, Mr. Durham served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of QuadraMed Corporation, a public company which offers a suite of software products and services focused on the financial and clinical needs of hospitals. Mr. Durham received a bachelor of science degree in

industrial engineering from the University of Florida and a masters degree in business administration from the University of California at Los Angeles. Mr. Durham is a certified public accountant.

Directors with Term Ending Upon the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Thomas F. Herman, 65, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since September 2003. Since January 2004, Mr. Herman has served as the managing partner of Oak Harbor Partners, LLC, a boutique financial services firm that specializes in mergers, acquisitions and financed business expansion. From June 2003 to January 2004, Mr. Herman served as Chief Operating Officer of Good Guys, Inc., a consumer electronics retailer. From July 2001 to June 2003, Mr. Herman served as managing partner of Oak Harbor Partners, LLC. From December 1998 to July 2001, Mr. Herman served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Employment Law Learning Tech, a distance learning company focused on employment law. Mr. Herman received a bachelor of science degree in political science from the University of Oregon and a masters degree in business administration from the University of California at Berkeley.

C. Fred Toney, 39, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since December 2003. Since December 2001, Mr. Toney has served as a managing member of MedCap Management & Research LLC, the general partner of MedCap Partners L.P. and MedCap Partners Offshore, Ltd. MedCap Management & Research LLC is an investment advisory firm specializing in healthcare, life sciences and medical technology and devices. From February 2001 to November 2001, Mr. Toney served as President and Chief Executive Officer of HealthCentral.com, Inc., a provider of healthcare e-commerce to consumers, through the sale of its five primary operating divisions, and from July 1999 to February 2001 as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Toney previously served as senior managing partner, director of research and research analyst at Pacific Growth Equities, Inc., an investment banking and institutional brokerage firm. Mr. Toney previously served as research analyst or associate at Volpe, Welty & Company, an investment banking firm; RCM Capital Management, an investment management firm; Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Securities Corporation, an investment banking and institutional brokerage firm, an pharmaceutical manufacturing firm. Mr. Toney received a bachelor of arts degree in economics and English from the University of California at Davis.

Directors with Term Ending Upon the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Robert J. Kenneth, 69, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2002. Since March 1971, Mr. Kenneth has served as President of Kenneth Associates, a privately held company that he founded which provides staffing and professional services to hospitals and physicians in California, focused on on-site billing staff and management as well as off-site billing services with a goal of reducing accounts receivable. Mr. Kenneth has served on the Board of Trustees of St. Francis Memorial Hospital and the Board of Overseers for the University of California School of Nursing and is a member of the Healthcare Financial Management Association and the American Guild of Patient Accounts Managers. Mr. Kenneth received a bachelor of arts degree in business administration from Roosevelt University and a masters degree in business administration from Golden Gate University.

Robert P. Oliver, 78, has served as a member of our Board of Directors since October 2002. Since 1970, Mr. Oliver has served as the President of CorDev Financial, Inc., a privately held company that he founded specializing in growth-oriented executive and operational consulting, as well as mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Oliver has also served in a variety of management positions in companies in the fields of computer service and software, automotive manufacturing and distribution, publishing, international pipeline construction and real estate development. Mr. Oliver received a bachelor of science degree in engineering from the United States Naval Academy.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Mr. Toney served as President and Chief Executive Officer of HealthCentral.com, Inc., a provider of healthcare e-commerce to consumers, from February 2001 to November 2001 and served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from July 1999 to February 2001. HealthCentral.com, Inc. filed a petition for Chapter 11 reorganization under the federal bankruptcy code in October 2001.

Board Committees and Meetings

Our Board of Directors held twelve meetings during our fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. Our Board of Directors has an audit committee and a compensation committee. Each director attended 75% or more of the aggregate of (i) the total number of meetings of our Board of Directors (held during the period for which such person was a director) and (ii) the total number of meetings held by all committees of our Board of Directors on which the director served (during the periods that he served).

Although the Board of Directors does not have a formal policy regarding attendance by members of the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting, it encourages directors to attend. Each of our then-current directors attended the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Our compensation committee currently consists of two directors, Mr. Kenneth, who serves as chairman of the committee, and Mr. Herman, and is primarily responsible for reviewing and approving our general compensation policies and setting compensation levels for our executive officers. The committee held three meetings during our 2004 fiscal year.

Our audit committee currently consists of three directors, Mr. DeLuca, who serves as chairman of the committee, Mr. Oliver and Mr. Herman. Each of the members of the audit committee is independent as defined pursuant to Rule 4200 of the National Association of Securities Dealers listing standards and as required by applicable law and the SEC. Our Board of Directors has designated Mr. Herman as the audit committee s financial expert.

The audit committee generally meets at least quarterly to review our financial statements and to perform its other functions. The audit committee held nine meetings during our 2004 fiscal year.

Given our limited operating history, our Board of Directors has yet not formed a nominating committee for the election of directors. Currently, our full Board of Directors designates nominees for election to the Board of Directors at each Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Historically, the Board of Directors has not adopted a formal policy concerning stockholder recommendations regarding the election of directors. The absence of such a policy does not mean, however, that a recommendation would not have been considered had one been received. Although our Board of Directors has historically designated nominees for election, the Board of Directors will consider nominations submitted by our stockholders, and our Bylaws contain provisions which address the process by which a stockholder may nominate an individual to stand for election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting. To date, the Board of Directors has not received any recommendations from stockholders requesting that it consider a candidate for inclusion among the slate of nominees in the Proxy Statement.

In evaluating director nominees, the Board of Directors considers a number of factors, including the appropriate size of the Board of Directors; the knowledge, skills and experience of nominees, including experience in business, finance, administration or healthcare in light of prevailing business conditions and the knowledge, skills and experience already possessed by other members of the Board of Directors; experience with accounting rules and practices; and the desire to balance the considerable benefit of continuity with the periodic injection of the fresh perspective provided by new members. The Board of Directors goal is to assemble a Board of Directors that brings a variety of perspectives and skills derived from high quality business and professional experience. In doing so, the Board of Director also considers candidates with appropriate non-business backgrounds. Other than the foregoing there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Board of Directors and any nominating committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The Board of Directors identifies nominees by first evaluating the current members of the Board of Directors willing to continue in service. Current members of the Board of Directors with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination, balancing the value of continuity of service by existing members of the Board of Directors with that of obtaining a new perspective. If any member of the Board of Directors does not wish to continue in service or if the Board of Directors decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, the Board of Directors then identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. Current members of the Board of Directors are polled for suggestions as to individuals meeting the desired criteria. Research may also be performed to identify qualified individuals. To date, the Board of Directors has not engaged third parties to identify or evaluate or assist in identifying potential nominees, although it reserves the right in the future to retain a third party search firm, if necessary.

Stockholders seeking to nominate persons for election as directors at our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders should submit such nominations in writing to Crdentia Corp., c/o Corporate Secretary, 14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75254. In order to ensure that our Board of Directors has a reasonable opportunity to evaluate such nominations, such nominations must be submitted no later than June 29, 2006.

Historically, we have not adopted a formal process for stockholder communications with the Board of Directors. Nevertheless, every effort has been made to ensure that the views of stockholders are heard by the Board of Directors or individual directors, as applicable, and that appropriate responses are provided to stockholders in a timely manner.

Code of Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. The Code of Ethics contains general guidelines for conducting the business of our company consistent

with the highest standards of business ethics, and is intended to qualify as a code of ethics within the meaning of Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Item 406 of Regulation S-K. The Code of Ethics is filed as Exhibit 14.1 to our annual report on Form 10-KSB/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, as filed with the SEC on April 29, 2005 (the Annual Report).

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees our financial reporting process on behalf of our Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed the audited financial statements in our Annual Report with management, including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The Audit Committee reviewed with KBA Group LLP, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of these audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of our accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, the Audit Committee discussed with KBA Group LLP their independence from management and our company, received from KBA Group LLP the written disclosures and the letter required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, and considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the auditors independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with KBA Group LLP the overall scope of their audit. The Audit Committee met with KBA Group LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examination, their evaluation of our internal controls and the overall quality of our financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004 for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee and our Board of Directors also recommended the ratification of the selection of KBA Group LLP as our independent auditors for 2005.

This report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Proxy Statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

The foregoing report has been furnished by the Audit Committee.

Joseph M. DeLuca

Robert P. Oliver

Thomas F. Herman

Required Vote

The two candidates receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the shares of common stock and Series C convertible preferred stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and voting together as a single class will be elected as directors of Crdentia Corp. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE <u>FOR</u> EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED ABOVE.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of September 15, 2005 unless otherwise noted, by:

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors and nominees;

each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock; and

all of our executive officers, directors and nominees as a group.

Information with respect to beneficial ownership has been furnished by each executive officer, director, nominee or beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and includes voting and investment power with respect to the securities. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 30,544,634 shares of our common stock outstanding as of September 15, 2005. The number of shares of common stock beneficially owed by each person and the percentage ownership of each person include any shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of our Series C convertible preferred stock held by such persons or underlying options or warrants held by such persons that are exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005, if any.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address for the following stockholders is c/o Crdentia Corp., 14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75254.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned
Executive Officers, Directors and Nominees:		
James D. Durham (1)	6,516,217	19.28%
Pamela G. Atherton (2)	1,456,051	4.70%
William S. Leftwich (3)		*
Joseph M. DeLuca (4)	153,412	*
Thomas F. Herman (5)	25,231	*
Robert J. Kenneth (6)	231,738	*
Robert P. Oliver (7)	146,922	*
C. Fred Toney (8)	35,361,571	60.42%

5% Stockholders:			
MedCap Partners, L.P. (9)			
500 Third Street, Suite 535 San Francisco, CA 94107	35,336,340	60.40%	
Cynthia Permenter	00,000,010	00.1078	
12107 Leuders Lane			
Dallas, Texas 75230	4,847,250	15.87%	
Robin D. Riddle			
14114 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600			
Dallas, Texas 75240	2,068,055	6.77%	
All directors, executive officers and nominees as a group (9 persons) (10)	44,691,142	71.76%	

*

Indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the total outstanding common stock.

(1) Includes (i) 399,666 shares of common stock held with his spouse, Sandra J. Durham, as community property; (ii) 13,333 shares of common stock held by Paine Webber as custodian for the IRA FBO James D. Durham; (iii) 296,320 shares of common stock held by the James D. Durham Living Trust (1997), as amended; (iv) 66,666 shares of common stock held by RCMJ, LLC, as its managing member; (v) 2,000,000 shares of restricted common stock held by Mr. Durham; (vi) 488,473 shares of common stock held by Durham Properties, LLC; (vii) 922,426 shares of common stock issuable within 60 days of September 15, 2005 upon the exercise of rights pursuant to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 15, 2002; (viii) 433,333 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005; (ix) 5,417 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock held by Durham Properties, LLC which are convertible into 541,700 shares of common stock; and (x) warrants to purchase 13,543 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock which are convertible into 1,354,300 shares of common stock.

(2) Includes (i) 133,310 shares of common stock held by Ms. Atherton; (ii) 900,000 shares of restricted common stock held by Ms. Atherton; and (iii) 422,741 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005.

(3) Mr. Leftwich resigned as Chief Financial Officer on September 15, 2004.

(4) Includes (i) 33,333 shares of common stock held by Mr. DeLuca; (ii) 15,793 shares of common stock held by the DeLuca Trust dated 1/7/2000; (iii) 54,748 shares of common stock held by Health Care Investment Visions, LLC; (iv) 41,667 shares of common stock issuable within 60 days of September 15, 2005 upon conversion of a certain convertible secured promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$25,000 issued to the DeLuca Trust dated 1/7/2000; and (v) 7,871 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005. Mr. DeLuca is the trustee of the DeLuca Trust dated 1/7/2000 and is a managing member of Health Care Investment Visions, LLC. Mr. DeLuca disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the DeLuca Trust dated 1/7/2000 and Health Care Investment Visions, LLC, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

(5) Consists of 25,231 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005.

(6) Includes (i) 33,333 shares of common stock held by Mr. Kenneth; (ii) 194,470 shares of common stock held by the Kenneth Family Trust U/A 3/11/87; and (iii) 3,935 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005.

(7) Includes (i) 142,987 shares held by the RP Oliver Community Property Trust; and (ii) 3,935 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005.

(8) Includes (i) 7,381,440 shares of common stock held by MedCap Partners L.P.; (ii) 173,438 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock convertible into 17,343,800 shares of common stock held by MedCap Partners L.P.; (iii) warrants to purchase 100,111 shares of Series C preferred stock which are convertible into 10,011,100 shares of common stock; (iv) warrants to purchase 6,000 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock which are convertible into 600,000 shares of common stock; and (v) 25,231 shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005. C. Fred Toney, a member of the Board of Directors, is managing partner of MedCap Management &

Research, LLC, the general partner of MedCap Partners L.P. and MedCap Partners Offshore, Ltd. Mr. Toney disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by MedCap Partners, L.P., except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

(9) Includes (i) 7,381,440 shares of common stock held by MedCap Partners L.P.; (ii) 173,438 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock convertible into 17,343,800 shares of common stock held by MedCap Partners L.P.; (iii) warrants to purchase 100,111 shares of Series C preferred stock which are convertible into 10,011,100 shares of common stock; and (iv) warrants to purchase 6,000 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock which are convertible into 600,000 shares of common stock.

(10) Includes (i) 178,855 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock convertible into 17,885,500 shares of common stock; (ii) 41,667 shares of common stock issuable within 60 days of September 15, 2005 upon conversion of a certain convertible secured promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$25,000 issued to the DeLuca Trust dated 1/7/2000; (iii) warrants to purchase 113,654 shares of Series C convertible preferred stock which are convertible into 11,365,400 shares of common stock; (iv) warrants to purchase 6,000 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock which are convertible into 600,000 shares of common stock and (v) 1,844,703 shares subject to options and purchase rights exercisable within 60 days of September 15, 2005.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2004 with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be issued under currently outstanding equity compensation plans.

	Α			В	С	
Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options		Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A)	
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders (1)	49,999		\$	5.10	750,001	
Equity Compensation Plans not Approved by Security Holders (2)	4,206,124		\$	0.85		

(1) Consists of our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

(2) Consists of (i) an option to purchase 33,333 shares of common stock granted to Thomas F. Herman on December 16, 2003; (ii) an option to purchase 33,333 shares of common stock granted to C. Fred Toney on December 16, 2003; (iii) an option to purchase 206,074 shares of common stock granted to Pamela Atherton on December 22, 2003; (iv) an option to purchase 2,333,333 shares of common stock granted to James D. Durham on December 31, 2003; (v) rights of Mr. Durham to purchase up to 922,426 shares of our common stock granted to a Common Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 15, 2002; (vi) an option to purchase 27,626 shares of common stock granted to William S. Leftwich on April 8, 2004; (vii) an option to purchase 433,333 shares of common stock granted to James D. Durham on August 3, 2004; and (viii) an option to purchase of common stock granted to Pamela G. Atherton on August 3, 2004.

In December 2003, we issued to each of Thomas F. Herman and C. Fred Toney, members of our Board of Directors, an option to purchase 33,333 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.88 in connection with their respective appointments to our Board of Directors. Such options have a ten year term and vest over a three year period, with one third of the shares subject to the option vesting after the first year and the remainder of the shares subject to the option vesting in equal amounts over the next twenty-four months thereafter.

In April 2004 William S. Leftwich was granted options to purchase 110,504 shares of common stock. In connection with a Separation Agreement and General Release, in September 2004, Mr. Leftwich s rights with respect to all but 27,626 shares covered by such options were terminated. All of such options have since expired unexercised.

On December 22, 2003, we issued Pamela G. Atherton an option to purchase up to 206,074 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.88 per share. On August 3, 2004 we granted Ms. Atherton an option to purchase up to 216,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$3.10.

On August 3, 2004, we granted James D. Durham an option to purchase up to 433,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$3.10. In May 2002, we entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement with Mr. Durham pursuant to which he is entitled to purchase 922,426 shares of our common stock at \$0.0003 per share. In December 2002, we issued to Mr. Durham an option to purchase up to 2,333,333 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.30 per share.

For further discussion of these options, please see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Employment, Bonus and Option Agreements with Executive Officers.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER MATTERS

Our Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information as to persons who serve as our executive offices as of September 15, 2005.

Name	Age	Position
James D. Durham	58	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
Pamela G. Atherton	57	President
James J. TerBeest	58	Chief Financial Officer
Vicki L. Smith	38	Vice President of Finance and Secretary

For information regarding Mr. Durham, see PROPOSAL NO. 2 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.

Pamela G. Atherton has been our President since August 2002. From January 2001 to May 2002, Ms. Atherton served as the Vice President in a division of Appriss, Inc., a voice application service provider specializing in providing innovative voice solutions for the healthcare industry. From March 1996 to July 2000, Ms. Atherton served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Aperture Credentialing, Inc., a company she founded which provides data management of physician information for provider credentialing. From February 1992 to January 1997, Ms. Atherton served as Chief Executive Officer of Resource Factor, a privately held female business enterprise that she founded, which specialized in per diem and mobile nurse/allied health staffing and provided nurses nationwide to perform physician office site visits and HEDIS data collection. From 1982 to 1992, Ms. Atherton held numerous field and corporate positions at Humana, Inc., including corporate director of nursing/allied heath recruitment and retention. Ms. Atherton received a Bachelor of Arts degree in English from Kentucky Southern College and a master s degree in English from the University of Louisville.

James J. TerBeest has served as our Chief Financial Officer since November 2004. Mr. TerBeest served in public accounting in the audit department of Ernst & Young for 23 years. The last nine years of his tenure with Ernst & Young was as a partner supervising large national and international audit clients. From January 1993 until November 2004 Mr. TerBeest served as Chief Financial Officer for companies in the health care and home improvement industries. Four of the last 11 years he has been a partner in a small CPA firm that he co-founded in 2000, and has functioned as a contract CFO for a number of clients. Mr. TerBeest graduated from the University of Wisconsin Whitewater with a B.S. degree in accounting. He has been a Certified Public Accountant since 1973. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and several state societies.

Vicki L. Smith has been our Vice President of Finance since September 2004. From May 1997 to May 2002, Ms. Smith served as Controller at Efficient Networks, Inc, a worldwide developer and supplier of high-speed digital subscriber

line customer premises equipment for the broadband communications market. From February 1996 to May 1997, Ms Smith served as Assistant Controller of Financial Security Services, Inc., a private company providing financial and billing services to independent security alarm dealers. From July 1994 to February 1996, Ms. Smith serviced as Technical Reporting Manager for Club Corporation International, a company which owns and operates golf courses, business clubs and destination resorts worldwide. Ms. Smith began her career at the international public accounting firm of KPMG Peat Marwick and held various audit positions there from January 1991 to July 1994. Ms. Smith received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Accounting from the University of Texas at Austin and is a certified public accountant.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our President and our former Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. We refer to our Chief Executive Officer and these other executive officers as our named executive officers in this Proxy Statement.

Summary Compensation Table

Long-Term

					nsation Award Securities				
		Annu: Compens		Restricted	Underlying	All Ot	her		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Award(s)	Options/SARS	S Compens	sation		
James D. Durham <i>Chairman and</i> <i>Chief Executive</i>	2004 2003	\$316,103 \$200,000			433,333 2,333,333				
Officer	2002	\$66,667			922,426(2)				
Pamela G. Atherton President	2004 2003 2002	\$175,000 \$71,934(3)		\$2,667(4)	216,666 206,074	\$26,36	1(5)		
William S. Leftwich(1) Former Chief Financial	2004	\$158,288			27,626(6)				
Times New Roman" SIZE="2">									
GHRH(b)		11		335,314		(89,769)	245,545	335,314	(81,84
Other(c)	1	18		4,050,000		(1,612,500)	2,437,500	4,050,000	(1,556,25
Total intangible assets			1	19,617,873		(10,768,004)	8,849,869	19,617,873	(10,307,38
Total goodwill and intangible assets			\$2	29,731,244	\$	(10,768,004)	\$ 18,963,240	\$ 29,731,244	\$ (10,307,38

 (a) Goodwill was recorded from the Inovio AS acquisition in January 2005 and from the acquisition of VGX in June 2009 for \$3.9 million and \$6.2 million, respectively.

(b) CELLECTRA[®] and GHRH are developed technologies that were recorded from the acquisition of VGX.

(c) Other intangible assets represent the fair value of acquired contracts and intellectual property from the Inovio AS acquisition.

Aggregate amortization expense on intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$461,000 and \$471,000, respectively. Estimated aggregate amortization expense for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is \$1.6 million for the remainder of fiscal year 2012, \$1.8 million for 2013, \$943,000 for 2014, \$870,000 for 2015, \$816,000 for 2016 and \$775,000 for 2017.

8. Stockholders Equity

The following is a summary of the Company s authorized and issued common and preferred stock as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

		Outstan	Outstanding as of		
		March 31,	December 31,		
Authorized	Issued	2012	2012		

Common Stock, par \$0.001	300,000,000	134,968,394	134,968,394	134,968,394
Series A Preferred Stock, par \$0.001	1,000	817		
Series B Preferred Stock, par \$0.001	1,000	750		
Series C Preferred Stock, par \$0.001	1,091	1,091	26	26
Series D Preferred Stock, par \$0.001	1,966,292	1,966,292		

Common Stock

In December 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering relating to the sale and issuance of 7,699,712 units to certain institutional investors, consisting of 7,699,712 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,774,784 additional shares of common stock. These units, which were purchased for \$0.5195 per unit, include the partial exercise of the underwriter s overallotment option of 962,465 additional units at the public offering price. The units consist of one share of common stock and 0.75 of a warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The warrants have a term of five years and an exercise price of \$0.65 per share. The Company may call the warrants if the closing bid price of the common stock has been at least \$1.30 over 20 trading days and certain other conditions are met. The Company received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$3.7 million, after deducting the underwriter s discounts and other offering expenses payable by the Company. The Company valued the registered warrants issued in connection with the December 2011 financing as of the issuance date using the Black Scholes pricing model and recorded a current liability on the consolidated balance sheet of \$1.9 million. The warrants were subsequently revalued as of December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012 to \$2.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, and the company recorded the increase in fair value to Change in fair value of common stock warrants on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, none of these warrants had been exercised.

In January 2011, the Company entered into investor purchase agreements with investors relating to the issuance and sale of (a) 21,130,400 shares of common stock, and (b) warrants to purchase a total of 10,565,200 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.40 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$24.3 million. The shares of common stock and warrants were sold in units, consisting of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.50 of a share of common stock, at a purchase

price of \$1.15 per unit. The Warrants have a five-year term from the date of issuance and are first exercisable commencing on the 180th day after the date of issuance. The Company may call the warrants if the closing bid price of the common stock has been at least \$2.80 over 20 trading days and certain other conditions are met. The Company received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$23.0 million, after deducting the placement agent s fee and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company. The Company valued the registered warrants issued in connection with the January 2011 financing as of the issuance date using the Black Scholes pricing model and recorded a current liability on the condensed consolidated balance sheet of \$11.7 million. The warrants were subsequently revalued at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012 to \$3.2 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, and the Company recorded the increase in fair value of \$2.0 million to Change in fair value of common stock warrants on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, none of these warrants had been exercised.

In August 2010, the Company entered into an At-The-Market Equity Distribution Agreement (the ATM Agreement) with an outside placement agent (the Placement Agent), under which the Company may, from time to time, offer and sell its common stock having aggregate sales proceeds of up to \$25.0 million through or to the Placement Agent, for resale. Sales of the Company s common stock through the Placement Agent, if any, can be made by means of ordinary brokers transactions on the NYSE Amex or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale or as otherwise agreed upon by the Company and the Placement Agent. The Placement Agent will use commercially reasonable efforts to sell the Company s common stock from time to time, based upon instructions from the Company. The Company will pay the Placement Agent a commission, or allow a discount, as the case may be, in each case equal to 3.0% of the gross sales proceeds of any common stock sold through the Placement Agent under the ATM Agreement. The Company has agreed to reimburse the Placement Agent for certain expenses incurred by them in connection with the transactions contemplated by the ATM Agreement, up to an aggregate of \$30,000, plus up to an additional \$5,000 per calendar quarter related to ongoing maintenance, due diligence expenses and other expenses associated therewith.

No shares of common stock were sold under the ATM Agreement during the three months ended March 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, the Company has sold a total of 3,023,577 shares of common stock under the ATM Agreement. The sales were made at a weighted average price of \$1.25 per share with net proceeds to the Company of \$3.7 million, after deducting commissions and other fees.

The Company accounts for registered common stock warrants issued in July 2009, January 2011 and December 2011 under the authoritative guidance on accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company s own stock, on the understanding that in compliance with applicable securities laws, the registered warrants require the issuance of registered securities upon exercise and do not sufficiently preclude an implied right to net cash settlement. The Company classifies registered warrants on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as a current liability which is revalued at each balance sheet date subsequent to the initial issuance. Determining the appropriate fair-value model and calculating the fair value of registered warrants requires considerable judgment, including estimating stock price volatility and expected warrant life. The Company develops its estimates based on historical data. A small change in the estimates used may have a relatively large change in the estimated valuation. The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to value the registered warrants. Changes in the fair walue of common stock warrants.

Warrants

The following table summarizes the warrants outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

				As of March 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011		31, 2011	
Issued in Connection With:		ercise rice	Expiration Date	Number of Warrants		mmon Stock rrant Liability	Number of Warrants		mmon Stock rant Liability
			•						v
December 2011 financing	\$	0.65	December 6, 2016	5,774,784	\$	3,233,879	5,774,784	\$	1,963,427
January 2011 financing	\$	1.4	January 27, 2016	10,565,200		5,176,946	10,565,200		3,169,560
July 2009 financing	\$	3.38	July 1, 2014	333,333		6,667	333,333		43,332
Warrants assumed in June 2009			March 24, 2013-						
Merger	\$ 0.0	5-1.28	April 28, 2016	4,920,527			4,920,530		
August 2007 consulting services	\$	3.00	August 3, 2012	150,000			150,000		
Total				21,743,844	\$	8,417,492	21,743,847	\$	5,176,319

In October 2011, warrants expired to purchase 2,364,394 shares of our common stock issued in connection with our October 2006 registered offering with foreign investors.

Stock Options

The Company has one active stock and cash-based incentive plan, the Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan), pursuant to which the Company has granted stock options and restricted stock awards to executive officers, directors and employees. The plan was adopted on March 31, 2007, approved by the stockholders on May 4, 2007, approved by the stockholders as amended and restated on August 25, 2009 and May 14, 2010. On May 14, 2010 the stockholders approved to increase the aggregate number of shares available for grant under the Incentive Plan by 2,000,000 shares and to provide that the aggregate number of shares available for grant under the plan will automatically increase on January 1 of each year beginning in 2011 by a number of shares equal to the lesser of (1) 2,055,331 shares or (2) such lesser number of shares as the Board of Directors may determine. On January 1, 2012 the number of securities available for future issuance increased by 2,055,331 shares. At March 31, 2012, the Incentive Plan reserved 9,860,662 shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of incentive awards granted and to be granted at future dates. At March 31, 2012, the Company had 2,031,371 shares of common stock available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the plan. The awards granted and available for future grant under the Incentive Plan generally vest over three years and have a maximum contractual term of ten years. The Incentive Plan terminates by its terms on March 31, 2017.

The Incentive Plan supersedes all of the Company s previous stock option plans, which include the Amended 2000 Stock Option Plan and the VGX Equity Compensation Plan, under which the Company had options to purchase 1,643,933 and 7,577,516 shares of common stock outstanding, respectively, at March 31, 2012. The terms and conditions of the options outstanding under these plans remain unchanged.

9. Net loss per share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss for the year by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted loss per share is calculated in accordance with the treasury stock method and reflects the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted to common stock. Since the effect of the assumed exercise of common stock options and other convertible securities was anti-dilutive for all periods presented, basic and diluted loss per share are the same.

The following table summarizes potential common shares that were excluded from diluted net loss per share calculation because of their anti-dilutive effect:

Common Stock Equivalents	As of March 31, 2012	As of March 31, 2011
Options to purchase common stock	16,495,527	14,292,434
Warrants to purchase common stock	21,743,844	18,336,330
Convertible preferred stock	38,233	38,233
Total	38,277,604	32,666,997

10. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company estimates the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility and expected option life. The Company amortizes the fair value of the awards expected to vest on a straight-line basis. All option grants are amortized over the requisite service period of the awards. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility. The expected life of options granted is based on historical expected life. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant. The forfeiture rate is based on historical data, and the Company records stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. The dividend yield is based on the fact that no dividends have been paid historically and none are currently expected to be paid.

The assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted to employees and directors for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

	Three Months I	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Risk-free interest rate	0.36%-0.84%	1.52%-1.89%		
Expected volatility	117%-132%	134%		
Expected life in years	4	4		
Dividend yield				
Forfeiture rate	10%	11%		

Total compensation cost for the Company s stock plan that has been recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$505,000 and \$902,000, respectively, of which \$234,000 and \$193,000 was included in research and development expenses and \$271,000 and \$709,000 was included in general and administrative expenses, respectively.

As of March 31, 2012, there was \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements for Inovio stock options, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

The weighted average grant date fair value per share was \$0.48 and \$0.80 for employee stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

There was no restricted stock granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012 or 2011.

The fair value of options granted to non-employees at the measurement dates was estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model. Total stock-based compensation for options granted to non-employees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$98,000 and \$79,000, respectively.

VGX Animal Health, a majority owned subsidiary of VGX, has adopted a 2007 equity incentive plan for the issuance of options to employees and consultants. There were no options granted under this plan during the three months ended March 31, 2012 or 2011.

11. Related-Party Transactions

VGX International Inc.

The Company conducts transactions with its affiliated entity, VGX Int 1.

In July 2011 the Company purchased an additional 145,000 shares of VGX Int 1 at a price of approximately \$0.71 per share in connection with a common stock rights offering. The rights offering, however, reduced the Company s ownership percentage to approximately 16.1%.

On October 7, 2011, the Company entered into a Collaborative Development and License Agreement (the Agreement) with VGX Int 1. Under the Agreement, the Company and VGX Int 1 will co-develop the Company s SynCotherapeutic vaccines for hepatitis B and C infections (the Products). Under the terms of the Agreement, VGX Int 1 will receive marketing rights for the Products in Asia, excluding Japan, and in return will fully fund IND-enabling and initial Phase I and II clinical studies with respect to the Products. The Company will receive from VGX Int 1 payments based on the achievement of clinical milestones and royalties based on sales of the Products in the licensed territories, retaining all commercial rights to the Products in all other territories.

On March 24, 2010, the Company entered into a Collaboration and License Agreement (the VGX Int 1 Agreement) with VGX Int 1. Under the VGX Int 1 Agreement, the Company granted VGX Int 1 an exclusive license to Inovio s SynConiversal influenza vaccine delivered with electroporation to be developed in certain countries in Asia (the Product). As consideration for the license granted to VGX Int 1, the Company received payment of \$3.0 million, and will receive research support, annual license maintenance fees and royalties on net Product sales. The Company recorded the \$3.0 million as deferred revenue from affiliated entity, and will recognize it as revenue over the eight year expected period of the Company s performance obligation. In addition, contingent upon achievement of clinical and regulatory milestones, the Company will receive development payments over the term of the VGX Int 1 Agreement. The VGX Int 1 Agreement also provides Inovio with exclusive rights to supply devices for clinical and commercial purposes (including single use components) to VGX Int 1 for use in the Product. The term of the VGX Int 1 Agreement commenced upon execution and will extend on a country by country basis until the last to expire of all Royalty Periods for the territory (as such term is defined in the VGX Int 1 Agreement) for any Product in that country, unless the VGX Int 1 Agreement is terminated earlier in accordance with its provisions as a result of breach, by mutual agreement, or by VGX Int 1 s right to terminate without cause upon prior written notice.

Under these Agreements, the future event based payments do not meet the criteria of a milestone in accordance with the authoritative guidance as they are solely based on the performance of the collaborators.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized revenue from VGX Int 1 of \$106,000 and \$94,000, respectively, which consisted of licensing and other fees. Operating expenses related to VGX Int 1 for the three months

ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 include \$190,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, related to biologics manufacturing. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 we had an accounts receivable balance of \$21,000 and \$20,000, respectively, from VGX Int 1 and its subsidiaries.

In June 2011, Bryan Kim, a member of VGX Int 1 s Board of Directors and former President and Chief Executive Officer of VGX Int 1, terminated his employment with the Company as Vice President of Asian Operations. In September 2010, Young Park, a member of VGX Int 1 s Board of Directors, terminated his employment with the Company as General Counsel. Mr. Park currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of VGX Int 1.

In August 2010, Dr. J. Joseph Kim, the Company s CEO, resigned from his position on the VGX Int 1 Board of Directors. Dr. Kim previously served as Chief Executive Officer of VGX Int 1 prior to the Company s acquisition of VGX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in June 2009.

OncoSec Medical Incorporated

On March 24, 2011, the Company completed the sale of certain assets related to certain non-DNA vaccine technology and intellectual property relating to selective electrochemical tumor ablation (SECTA) to OncoSec Medical Incorporated, or OncoSec, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement dated March 14, 2011 by and between the Company and OncoSec.

The President and Chief Executive Officer of OncoSec previously served as the Company s Vice President of Finance and Operations. Additionally, the Company s Chairman, Dr. Avtar Dhillon, is also the non-executive Chairman of OncoSec.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the Company had an accounts receivable balance of \$18,000 from OncoSec.

The Company has received payment of \$500,000 from OncoSec as of March 31, 2012 and will receive an additional \$2.5 million in scheduled payments over a period of approximately three years from the closing date and a royalty on any potential commercial product sales related to the SECTA technology if and when a product is approved. No receivable has been recorded for the \$2.5 million due from OncoSec as collection of the funds is not reasonably assured.

On September 28, 2011, the Company signed an amended agreement with OncoSec extending the term of the second payment owed to the Company in exchange for a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock of OncoSec. The warrant received was a five-year warrant with an exercise price of \$1.20 per share. (See Note 6 for further discussion.)

On March 24, 2012, the Company signed a second amended agreement with OncoSec further extending the term of the payments owed to the Company in exchange for a warrant to purchase 3,000,000 shares of common stock of OncoSec. The warrant received was a five-year warrant with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. (See Note 6 for further discussion.)

Pursuant to a cross-license agreement dated March 21, 2011, the Company obtained a fully paid-up, exclusive, worldwide license to certain of the SECTA technology patents in the field of gene or nucleic acids, outside of those encoding cytokines, delivered by electroporation. The Company also granted to OncoSec a non-exclusive, worldwide license to certain non-SECTA technology patents in the SECTA field for the following consideration:

(a) a fee for any sublicense of the Company s technology;

(b) a royalty on net sales of any business developed with the Company s technology; and

(c) repayment by OncoSec for any amount the Company pays to a licensor of our technology that is a direct result of the license.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains forward-looking statements, as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential or continue, the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we, nor any other person, assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. We are under no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the filing of this Quarterly Report to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us that attempt to advise interested parties of the factors that affect our business, including without limitation the disclosures made in Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report under the Caption Risk Factors and under the captions Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Risk Factors and in our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Risk factors that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include but are not limited to: our history of losses; our lack of products that have received regulatory approval; uncertainties inherent in clinical trials and product development programs, including but not limited to the fact that pre-clinical and clinical results may not be indicative of results achievable in other trials or for other indications, that the studies or trials may not be successful or achieve desired results, that pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may not commence or be completed in the time periods anticipated, that results from one study may not necessarily be reflected or supported by the results of other similar studies, that results from an animal study may not be indicative of results achievable in human studies, that clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, that the outcome of any clinical trial is uncertain and failure can occur at any time during the clinical trials; the availability of funding; the ability to manufacture vaccine candidates; the availability or potential availability of alternative therapies or treatments for the conditions targeted by us or our collaborators, including alternatives that may be more efficacious or cost-effective than any therapy or treatment that we and our collaborators hope to develop; whether our proprietary rights are enforceable or defensible or infringe on rights of others or can withstand claims of invalidity; and the impact of government healthcare proposals.

General

We are engaged in the discovery, development, and delivery of a new generation of vaccines, called synthetic vaccines, focused on cancers and infectious diseases. Our SynCon[®] technology enables the design of universal vaccines capable of providing cross-protection against new, unmatched strains of pathogens such as influenza. Our electroporation DNA delivery technology uses brief, controlled electrical pulses to increase cellular DNA vaccine uptake. Initial human data has shown this method can safely and significantly increase gene expression and immune responses. Our clinical programs include HPV/cervical cancer (therapeutic), avian influenza (preventive), prostate cancer (therapeutic), leukemia (therapeutic), HCV and HIV vaccines. We are advancing preclinical research for a universal seasonal/pandemic influenza vaccine as well as other products. Our partners and collaborators include University of Pennsylvania, Drexel University, National Microbiology Laboratory of the Public Health Agency of Canada, Program for Appropriate Technology in Health/Malaria Vaccine Initiative (PATH or MVI), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Merck, ChronTech, University of Southampton, United States Military HIV Research Program (USMHRP), U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID), HIV Vaccines Trial Network (HVTN) and Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

All of our potential human products are in research and development phases. We have not generated any revenues from the sale of any such products, and we do not expect to generate any such revenues for at least the next several years. We earn revenue from license fees and milestone revenue, collaborative research and development agreements, grants and government contracts. Our product candidates will require significant additional research and development efforts, including extensive preclinical and clinical testing. All product candidates that we advance to clinical testing will require regulatory approval prior to commercial use, and will require significant costs for commercialization. We may not be successful in our research and development efforts, and we may never generate sufficient product revenue to be profitable.

Recent Developments

On December 6, 2011, we completed an underwritten public offering relating to the sale and issuance of 7,699,712 units to certain institutional investors, consisting of 7,699,712 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,774,784 additional shares of common stock. These units include the partial exercise of the underwriter s overallotment option of 962,465 additional units at the public offering price. The units consist of one share of common stock and 0.75 of a warrant to purchase one share of common stock, at a purchase price of \$0.5195 per unit. The warrants have a term of five years and an exercise price of \$0.65 per share. We may call the warrants if the closing bid price of our common stock has been at least \$1.30 over 20 trading days and certain other conditions are met. We received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$3.7 million, after deducting the underwriter s discounts and other offering expenses payable.

On October 7, 2011, we entered into a Collaborative Development and License Agreement (the Agreement) with VGX Int 1. Under the Agreement, we will co-develop with VGX Int 1 our SynCon therapeutic vaccines for hepatitis B and C infections (the Products). Under the terms of the agreement, VGX Int 1 will receive marketing rights for the Products in Asia, excluding Japan, and in return will fully fund IND-enabling and initial Phase I and II clinical studies with respect to the Products. We will receive from VGX Int 1 payments based on the achievement of clinical milestones and royalties based on sales of the Products in the licensed territories, retaining all commercial rights to the Products in all other territories.

On September 27, 2011, we entered into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Science and Technology Directorate Plum Island Animal Disease Center. This collaboration will evaluate the efficacy of Inovio s SynCon vaccines for foot & mouth disease (FMD) in important animal models including cattle, sheep, and pigs.

On January 27, 2011, we entered into investor purchase agreements with investors relating to the issuance and sale of (a) 21,130,400 shares of common stock, and (b) warrants to purchase a total of 10,565,200 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.40 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$24.3 million. The shares of common stock and warrants were sold in units, consisting of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.50 of a share of common stock, at a purchase price of \$1.15 per unit. The warrants have a five-year term from the date of issuance and are first exercisable commencing on the 180th day after the date of issuance. We may call the warrants if the closing bid price of the common stock has been at least \$2.80 over 20 trading days and certain other conditions are met. We received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$23.0 million, after deducting the placement agent s fee and other estimated offering expenses.

As of March 31, 2012, we had an accumulated deficit of \$218.3 million. We expect to continue to incur substantial operating losses in the future due to our commitment to our research and development programs, the funding of preclinical studies, clinical trials and regulatory activities and the costs of general and administrative activities.

Critical Accounting Policies

The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that are, in management s view, important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management s judgment. Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our audited consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. There have been no changes to our critical accounting policies during the three months ended March 31, 2012. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. We base our estimates on experience and on various assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Our critical accounting policies include:

Revenue Recognition.

Grant revenue

We receive non-refundable grants under available government programs. Government grants towards current expenditures are recorded as revenue when there is reasonable assurance that we have complied with all conditions necessary to receive the grants, collectability is reasonably assured, and as the expenditures are incurred.

License fee and milestone revenue

We have adopted a strategy of co-developing or licensing our gene delivery technology for specific genes or specific medical indications. Accordingly, we have entered into collaborative research and development agreements and have received funding for pre-clinical research and clinical trials. Prior to the adoption of the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, we analyzed our multiple element arrangements to determine whether the identified deliverables could be accounted for individually as

separate units of accounting. The delivered item(s) were considered a separate unit of accounting if all of the following criteria were met: (1) the delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis; (2) there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s); and (3) if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. If these criteria were not met, the deliverable was combined with other deliverables in the arrangement and accounted for as a combined unit of accounting.

For new collaborative agreements or material modifications of existing collaborative agreements entered into after December 31, 2010, we follow the provisions of ASU No. 2009-13. In order to account for the multiple-element arrangements, we identify the deliverables included within the agreement and evaluate which deliverables represent separable units of accounting. Analyzing the arrangement to identify deliverables requires the use of judgment, and each deliverable may be an obligation to deliver services, a right or license to use an asset, or another performance obligation. A delivered item is considered a separate unit of accounting when the delivered item has value to the collaborator on a standalone basis based on the consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances for each arrangement.

Arrangement consideration is allocated at the inception of the agreement to all identified units of accounting based on their relative selling price. The relative selling price for each deliverable is determined using vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE), of selling price or third-party evidence of selling price if VSOE does not exist. If neither VSOE nor third-party evidence of selling price exists, we use our best estimate of the selling price for the deliverable. The amount of allocable arrangement consideration is limited to amounts that are fixed or determinable. The consideration received is allocated among the separate units of accounting, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between delivered and undelivered elements can impact revenue recognition but do not change the total revenue recognized under any agreement.

Upfront license fee payments are recognized upon delivery of the license if facts and circumstances dictate that the license has standalone value from the undelivered items, the relative selling price allocation of the license is equal to or exceeds the upfront license fee, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, our price to the collaborator is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Upfront license fee payments are deferred if facts and circumstances dictate that the license does not have standalone value. The determination of the length of the period over which to defer revenue is subject to judgment and estimation and can have an impact on the amount of revenue recognized in a given period.

Prior to the adoption of ASU No. 2010-17, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): *Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition* (Milestone Method), we recognized non-refundable milestone payments upon the achievement of specified milestones upon which we had earned the milestone payment, provided the milestone payment was substantive in nature and the achievement of the milestone was not reasonably assured at the inception of the agreement. We deferred payments for milestone events that were reasonably assured and recognized them ratably over the minimum remaining period of our performance obligations. Payments for milestones that were not reasonably assured were treated as the culmination of a separate earnings process and were recognized as revenue when the milestones were achieved.

Effective January 1, 2011, we adopted on a prospective basis the Milestone Method of ASU No. 2010-17. Under the Milestone Method, we will recognize consideration that is contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone is substantive in its entirety. A milestone is considered substantive when it meets all of the following criteria:

- 1. The consideration is commensurate with either the entity s performance to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of the value of the delivered item(s) as a result of a specific outcome resulting from the entity s performance to achieve the milestone,
- 2. The consideration relates solely to past performance, and

3. The consideration is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the arrangement. A milestone is defined as an event (i) that can only be achieved based in whole or in part on either the entity s performance or on the occurrence of a specific outcome resulting from the entity s performance, (ii) for which there is substantive uncertainty at the date the arrangement is entered into that the event will be achieved and (iii) that would result in additional payments being due to the Company.

Research and Development Expenses. Since our inception, virtually all of our activities have consisted of research and development efforts related to developing our electroporation technologies and DNA vaccines. Research and development expenses consist of expenses incurred in performing research and development activities including salaries and benefits, facilities and other overhead expenses, clinical trials, contract

Table of Contents

services and other outside expenses. Research and development expenses are charged to operations as they are incurred.

Valuation and Impairment Evaluations of Goodwill and Intangible Assets. Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of the net assets of acquired businesses. As of March 31, 2012, our intangible assets resulting from the acquisition of VGX and Inovio AS, and additional intangibles including previously capitalized patent costs and license costs, net of accumulated amortization, totaled \$8.8 million. Intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives ranging from 5 to 18 years. We are concurrently conducting pre-clinical, Phase I, and Phase II trials using acquired intangibles, and we have entered into certain significant licensing agreements for use of these acquired intangibles.

Historically we have recorded patents at cost and amortized these costs using the straight-line method over the expected useful lives of the patents or 17 years, whichever is less. Patent costs consist of the consideration paid for patents and related legal costs. Effective June 1, 2009, in connection with our acquisition of VGX, all new patent costs are being expensed as incurred. Patent costs currently capitalized will continue to be amortized over the expected life of the patent. The effect of this change was immaterial to prior periods. We record license costs based on the fair value of consideration paid and amortize using the straight-line method over the shorter of the expected useful life of the underlying patents or the term of the related license agreement.

The determination of the value of such intangible assets requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect our consolidated financial statements. We assess potential impairments to intangible assets when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recovered. Our judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators and future cash flows related to intangible assets are based on operational performance of our acquired businesses, market conditions and other factors. If impairment is indicated, we reduce the carrying value of the intangible asset to fair value. While our current and historical operating and cash flow losses are potential indicators of impairment, we believe the future cash flows to be received from our intangible assets will exceed the intangible assets carrying value, and accordingly, we have not recognized any impairment losses through March 31, 2012.

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are measured for impairment annually, or when events indicate that impairment exists. Our accounting policy with respect to reviewing goodwill for impairment is a two step process. The first step of the impairment test compares the fair value of our reporting unit with its carrying value including allocated goodwill. If the carrying value of our reporting unit exceeds its fair value, then the second step of the impairment test is performed to measure the impairment loss, if any. We test goodwill for impairment at the entity level, which is considered our reporting unit. Our estimate of fair value is determined using both the Discounted Cash Flow method of the Income Approach and the Guideline Public Company method of the Market Approach. The Discounted Cash Flow method estimates future cash flows of our business for a certain discrete period and then discounts them to their present value. The Guideline Public Company method computes value indicators (multiples) from the operating data of the selected publicly traded guideline companies. After these multiples were evaluated, appropriate value indicators were selected and applied to the operating statistics of the reporting unit to arrive at indications of value. Specifically, we relied upon the application of Total Invested Capital based valuation multiples for each guideline company. In applying the Income and Market Approaches, premiums and discounts were determined and applied to estimate the fair values of the reporting unit. To arrive at the indicated value of equity under each approach, we then assigned a relative weighting to the resulting values from each approach to determine whether the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, thus requiring step two of the impairment test.

We conduct the impairment test annually on November 30th for each fiscal year for which goodwill is evaluated for impairment. We are also aware of the requirement to evaluate goodwill for impairment at other times should circumstances arise. To date, we have concluded that the fair value of the reporting unit significantly exceeded the carrying value and therefore, step two of the impairment test has never been performed.

Although there are inherent uncertainties in this assessment process, the estimates and assumptions we use are consistent with our internal planning. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record an impairment charge on all or a portion of our goodwill and intangible assets. Furthermore, we cannot predict the occurrence of future impairment-triggering events nor the impact such events might have on our reported asset values. Future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that goodwill or other intangible assets associated with our acquired businesses are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Stock-based Compensation. We have equity incentive plans under which we have granted incentive stock options, restricted stock units and non-qualified stock options.

Our employee stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair-value of the award and is recognized as an expense ratably over the requisite service period of the award. Determining the appropriate fair-value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires considerable judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, expected option life and forfeiture rates. We develop our estimates based on historical data. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in future periods, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. A small change in the estimates used may have a relatively large change in the estimated valuation. We use the Black-Scholes pricing model to value stock option awards. We recognize

compensation expense using the straight-line amortization method.

Our non-employee stock-based compensation awards are measured at either the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. If the fair value of the equity instruments issued is used, it is measured at each reporting date using the stock price and other measurement assumptions as of the earlier of (i) the date at which a commitment for performance by the counterparty to earn the equity instruments is reached, or (ii) the date at which the counterparty sperformance is completed.

Registered Common Stock Warrants. We account for registered common stock warrants pursuant to the authoritative guidance on accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company s own stock, on the understanding that in compliance with applicable securities laws, the registered warrants require the issuance of registered securities upon exercise and do not sufficiently preclude an implied right to net cash settlement. We classify registered warrants on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as a current liability, which is revalued at each balance sheet date subsequent to the initial issuance. Determining the appropriate fair-value model and calculating the fair value of registered warrants requires considerable judgment including estimating stock price volatility and expected warrant life. We develop our estimates based on historical data. A small change in the estimates used may have a relatively large change in the estimated valuation. We use the Black-Scholes pricing model to value the registered warrants. Changes in the fair market value of the warrants are reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of operations as Change in fair value of common stock warrants.

Adoption of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We describe below recent pronouncements that may have a significant effect on our financial statements. We do not discuss recent pronouncements that are not anticipated to have an impact on or are unrelated to our financial condition, results of operations, or related disclosures.

Accounting Standards Update, (ASU), No. 2011-04 In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an ASU, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards, or IFRS. This update amends Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of certain existing fair value measurement guidance and expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. We have adopted ASU 2011-04 as of January 1, 2012 and it has not had a significant impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows but on presentation and disclosure only.

ASU No. 2011-05 In June 2011, the FASB issued an ASU, Presentation of Comprehensive Income . ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We have adopted ASU 2011-05 as of January 1, 2012 and it has not had an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows but on presentation and disclosure only.

ASU No. 2011-08 In September 2011, the FASB issued an ASU, Goodwill Impairment Testing . For entities testing goodwill for impairment, ASU 2011-08 allows the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of a reporting unit in step 1 of the impairment test. The two-step impairment test would be required only if the fair value of a reporting unit is qualitatively determined to be more likely than not less than the carrying amount. We have adopted the amendment provisions of ASU 2011-08 as of January 1, 2012 and it has not had an impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Results of Operations

Revenue. We had total revenue of \$1.7 million and \$3.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively. Revenue primarily consists of license fees and grants and government contracts.

Revenue from license fees and milestone revenue was \$131,000 and \$120,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded grant and miscellaneous revenue of \$1.6 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to less revenue recognized from our contract with the NIAID of \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to \$2.7 million for the same period in 2011 due to the timing of work performed. The NIAID contract, which was modified in September 2011, has an initial term of five years with two one-year options (period of performance is September 30, 2008 September 29, 2015 including the two options). The current approved funding of the contract for the five years is \$23.0 million with option years six and seven valued at \$1.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, for a

total potential value of \$25.3 million, and will fund research and development for HIV DNA-based vaccines delivered via our proprietary electroporation system. The decrease is also attributable to no revenue recognized under our PATH Malaria Vaccine Initiative (MVI) contract for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to \$142,000 for the same period in 2011. PATH is an international nonprofit organization funded by private donors. We have a research program and agreement with the PATH MVI to evaluate in a preclinical feasibility study our SynCon[®] DNA vaccine development platform to target antigens from *Plasmodium* species and deliver them intradermally using the CELLECTRA[®] electroporation device. The initial agreement with MVI was for \$685,000 and was completed in February 2010. In September 2010 we entered into an amended agreement with PATH to further this study in non-human primates. The amended agreement had a total value of \$804,000 and was completed in August 2011. The overall decrease in grants and miscellaneous revenue was also due to less revenue recognized from our subcontracts with Drexel University of \$31,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to \$81,000 for the same period in 2011.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, were \$4.0 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. The decrease for the three-month period year over year, was primarily due to \$603,000 in lower costs related to work performed for the NIAID contract and \$541,000 in lower clinical expenses related to biologics manufacturing. These decreases were partially offset by \$379,000 in higher compensation and related expenses due to increased employee headcount, \$150,000 in higher outside services related to various research and development projects, and \$107,000 in higher engineering and professional services for device improvements.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses, which include business development expenses and the amortization of intangible assets, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, were \$2.5 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. The decrease for the three-month period year over year was primarily due to a decrease in employee stock-based compensation, salary, severance and legal expenses of \$438,000, \$211,000, \$116,000 and \$67,000, respectively, among other variances.

Stock-based Compensation. Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award reduced by estimated forfeitures, and is recognized as expense over the employee s requisite service period. Total compensation cost for our stock plans for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$505,000 and \$902,000, respectively. From these amounts, \$234,000 and \$193,000 were included in research and development expenses and \$271,000 and \$709,000 were included in general and administrative expenses, respectively. The decrease for the three-month period year over year was primarily due to a lower valuation of the employee stock options granted during the period and no severance related stock-based compensation expense recognized in 2012.

Interest and Other Income, net. Interest and other income, net, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$32,000 and \$2,000 respectively. The increase was primarily due to a higher interest rate earned on our short-term investment accounts.

Change in fair value of common stock warrants. The change in fair value of common stock warrants for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$(3.5 million) and \$2.3 million, respectively. The decrease is primarily due to the revaluation of registered common stock warrants issued by us in January 2011 and December 2011. We revalue warrants at each balance sheet date to fair value. If unexercised, the warrants will expire at various dates between August 2012 and December 2016.

Gain (Loss) from investment in affiliated entity. Gain (loss) is a result of the change in the fair market value of the investment as of March 31, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our primary uses of cash have been to finance research and development activities including clinical trial activities in the oncology, DNA vaccines and other immunotherapy areas of our business. Since inception, we have satisfied our cash requirements principally from proceeds from the sale of our company equity securities and government grants.

Working Capital and Liquidity

As of March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$13.9 million, as compared to \$20.9 million as of December 31, 2011. The decrease in working capital during the three months ended March 31, 2012 was primarily due to expenditures related to our research and development activities and various general and administrative expenses related to legal, consultants, accounting and audit, and corporate development, as well as an increase in the valuation of our registered common stock warrants that are classified as a current liability on the consolidated balance sheet.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$5.4 million and \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$(784,000) and \$(1.6 million) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The variance was primarily the result of timing differences in short-term investment purchases, sales and maturities.

There was no cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to \$24.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This was primarily due to the proceeds from our January 2011 financing as well as our At-The-Market Equity Distribution Agreement in 2011.

In December 2011, we completed an underwritten public offering relating to the sale and issuance of 7,699,712 units to certain institutional investors, consisting of 7,699,712 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of up to 5,774,784 additional shares of common stock. These units include the partial exercise of the underwriter s overallotment option of 962,465 additional units at the public offering price. The units consist of one share of common stock and 0.75 of a warrant to purchase one share of common stock, at a purchase price of \$0.5195 per unit. The warrants have a term of five years and an exercise price of \$0.65 per share. We may call the warrants if the closing bid price of our common stock has been at least \$1.30 over 20 trading days and certain other conditions are met. We received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$3.7 million, after deducting the underwriter s discounts and other offering expenses payable.

In January 2011, we entered into investor purchase agreements with investors relating to the issuance and sale of (a) 21,130,400 shares of common stock, and (b) warrants to purchase a total of 10,565,200 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.40 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$24.3 million. The shares of common stock and warrants were sold in units, consisting of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.50 of a share of common stock, at a purchase price of \$1.15 per unit. We received net proceeds from the transaction of approximately \$23.0 million, after deducting the placement agent s fee and offering expenses payable.

As of March 31, 2012, we had an accumulated deficit of \$218.3 million. We have operated at a loss since 1994, and we expect to continue to operate at a loss for some time. The amount of the accumulated deficit will continue to increase, as it will be expensive to continue research and development efforts. If these activities are successful and if we receive approval from the FDA to market our DNA vaccine products, then we will need to raise additional funding to market and sell the approved vaccine products and equipment. We cannot predict the outcome of the above matters at this time. We are evaluating potential collaborations as an additional way to fund operations. We will continue to rely on outside sources of financing to meet our capital needs into the third quarter of 2013.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to adverse changes in financial and commodity market prices and rates. We are exposed to market risk primarily in the area of changes in United States interest rates and conditions in the credit markets, and the recent fluctuations in interest rates and availability of funding in the credit markets primarily impact the performance of our investments. We do not have any material foreign currency or other derivative financial instruments. Under our current policies, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. We attempt to increase the safety and preservation of our invested principal funds by limiting default risk, market risk and reinvestment risk. We mitigate default risk by investing in investment grade securities.

Fair Value measurements

We account for our common stock warrants pursuant to the authoritative guidance on accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company s own stock, on the understanding that in compliance with applicable securities laws, the registered warrants require the issuance of registered securities upon exercise and do not sufficiently preclude an implied right to net cash settlement. We classify registered warrants on the consolidated balance sheet as a current liability that is revalued at each balance sheet date subsequent to the initial issuance.

The investment in affiliated entity represents our ownership interest in the Korean based company, VGX Int 1. We report this investment at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet using the closing price of VGX Int 1 s shares of common stock as listed on the Korean Stock Exchange.

Common stock warrants that we have received to purchase shares of OncoSec are classified on the consolidated balance sheet as a long-term asset that is revalued at each balance sheet date subsequent to the initial receipt.

Foreign Currency Risk

We have operated primarily in the United States and most transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2012, have been made in United States dollars. Accordingly, we have not had any material exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuations, with the exception of the valuation of our equity investment in VGX Int 1 which is denominated in South Korean Won. We do not have any foreign currency hedging instruments in place.

Certain transactions related to us are denominated primarily in foreign currencies, including Euros, British Pounds, Canadian Dollars, South Korean Won and Singapore Dollars. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets where we conduct business, including the impact of the existing crisis in the global financial markets in such countries and the impact on both the United States dollar and the noted foreign currencies.

We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. We do not engage in exchange rate hedging or hold or issue foreign exchange contracts for trading purposes. Currently, we do not expect the impact of fluctuations in the relative fair value of other currencies to be material in 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Based on an evaluation carried out as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were effective as of March 31, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a 15(f) and 15d 15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following Risk Factors do not reflect any material changes to the Risk Factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, which we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2012. You should carefully consider and evaluate each of the following factors as well as the other information in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including our financial statements and the related notes, in evaluating our business and prospects. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business and financial results could be harmed. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline. You should also consider the more detailed description of our business contained in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We have incurred losses since inception, expect to incur significant net losses in the foreseeable future and may never become profitable.

We have experienced significant operating losses to date; as of March 31, 2012 our accumulated deficit was approximately \$218.3 million. We have generated limited revenues, primarily consisting of license and grant revenue, and interest income. We expect to continue to incur substantial additional operating losses for at least the next several years as we advance our clinical trials and research and development activities. We may never successfully commercialize our vaccine product candidates or electroporation-based synthetic vaccine delivery technology and thus may never have any significant future revenues or achieve and sustain profitability.

We have limited sources of revenue and our success is dependent on our ability to develop our vaccine and other product candidates and electroporation equipment.

We do not sell any products and may not have any other products commercially available for several years, if at all. Our ability to generate future revenues depends heavily on our success in:

developing and securing United States and/or foreign regulatory approvals for our product candidates, including securing regulatory approval for conducting clinical trials with product candidates;

developing our electroporation-based DNA delivery technology; and

commercializing any products for which we receive approval from the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities. Our electroporation equipment and product candidates will require extensive additional clinical study and evaluation, regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions, substantial investment and significant marketing efforts before we generate any revenues from product sales. We are not permitted to market or promote our electroporation equipment and product candidates before we receive regulatory approval from the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities. If we do not receive regulatory approval for and successfully commercialize any products, we will not generate any revenues from sales of electroporation equipment and products, and we may not be able to continue our operations.

None of our human vaccine product candidates has been approved for sale, and we may not develop commercially successful vaccine products.

Our human vaccine programs are in the early stages of research and development, and currently include vaccine product candidates in discovery, pre-clinical studies and Phase I and II clinical studies. There are limited data regarding the efficiency of synthetic vaccines compared with conventional vaccines, and we must conduct a substantial amount of additional research and development before any regulatory authority will approve any of our vaccine product candidates. The success of our efforts to develop and commercialize our vaccine product candidates could fail for a number of reasons. For example, we could experience delays in product development and clinical trials. Our vaccine product candidates could be found to be ineffective or unsafe, or otherwise fail to receive necessary regulatory clearances. The products, if safe and effective, could be difficult to manufacture on a large scale or uneconomical to market, or our competitors could develop superior vaccine products more quickly and efficiently or more effectively market their competing products.

In addition, adverse events, or the perception of adverse events, relating to vaccines and vaccine delivery technologies may negatively impact our ability to develop commercially successful vaccine products. For example, pharmaceutical companies have

been subject to claims that the use of some pediatric vaccines has caused personal injuries, including brain damage, central nervous system damage and autism. These and other claims may influence public perception of the use of vaccine products and could result in greater governmental regulation, stricter labeling requirements and potential regulatory delays in the testing or approval of our potential products.

We will need substantial additional capital to develop our synthetic vaccine and electroporation delivery technology and other product candidates and for our future operations.

Conducting the costly and time consuming research, pre-clinical and clinical testing necessary to obtain regulatory approvals and bring our vaccine delivery technology and product candidates to market will require a commitment of substantial funds in excess of our current capital. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, among others:

the progress of our current and new product development programs;

the progress, scope and results of our pre-clinical and clinical testing;

the time and cost involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;

the cost of manufacturing our products and product candidates;

the cost of prosecuting, enforcing and defending against patent infringement claims and other intellectual property rights;

competing technological and market developments; and

our ability and costs to establish and maintain collaborative and other arrangements with third parties to assist in potentially bringing our products to market.

Additional financing may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Domestic and international capital markets have been experiencing heightened volatility and turmoil, making it more difficult to raise capital through the issuance of equity securities. Furthermore, as a result of the recent volatility in the capital markets, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce, and in some cases cease to provide, funding to borrowers. To the extent we are able to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities or we issue securities in connection with another transaction, the ownership position of existing stockholders could be substantially diluted. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of preferred stock or debt securities, these securities are likely to have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock and may involve significant fees, interest expense, restrictive covenants and the granting of security interests in our assets. Fluctuating interest rates could also increase the costs of any debt financing we may obtain. Raising capital through a licensing or other transaction involving our intellectual property could require us to relinquish valuable intellectual property rights and thereby sacrifice long-term value for short-term liquidity.

Our failure to successfully address ongoing liquidity requirements would have a substantially negative impact on our business. If we are unable to obtain additional capital on acceptable terms when needed, we may need to take actions that adversely affect our business, our stock price and our ability to achieve cash flow in the future, including possibly surrendering our rights to some technologies or product opportunities, delaying our clinical trials or curtailing or ceasing operations.

We depend upon key personnel who may terminate their employment with us at any time and we may need to hire additional qualified personnel in order to obtain financing, pursue collaborations or develop or market our product candidates.

The success of our business strategy will depend to a significant degree upon the continued services of key management, technical and scientific personnel and our ability to attract and retain additional qualified personnel and managers, including personnel with expertise in clinical trials, government regulation, manufacturing, marketing and other areas. Competition for qualified personnel is intense among companies, academic institutions and other organizations. If we are unable to attract and retain key personnel and advisors, it may negatively affect our ability to successfully develop, test, commercialize and market our products and product candidates.

We face intense and increasing competition and many of our competitors have significantly greater resources and experience.

Many other companies are pursuing other forms of treatment or prevention for diseases that we target. For example, many of our competitors are working on developing and testing H5N1, H1N1 and universal influenza vaccines, and several H1N1 vaccines developed by our competitors have been approved for human use. Our competitors and potential competitors include large pharmaceutical and medical device companies and more established biotechnology companies. These companies have significantly greater financial and other resources and greater expertise than us in research and development, securing government contracts and grants to support research and development efforts, manufacturing, pre-clinical and clinical testing, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing. This may make it easier for them to respond more quickly than us to new or changing opportunities, technologies or market needs. Many of these competitors operate large, well-funded research and development programs and have significant products

approved or in development. Small companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large pharmaceutical companies or through acquisition or development of intellectual property rights. Our potential competitors also include academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations that conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for product and clinical development and marketing. Research and development by others may seek to render our technologies or products obsolete or noncompetitive.

If we lose or are unable to secure collaborators or partners, or if our collaborators or partners do not apply adequate resources to their relationships with us, our product development and potential for profitability will suffer.

We have entered into, or may enter into, distribution, co-promotion, partnership, sponsored research and other arrangements for development, manufacturing, sales, marketing and other commercialization activities relating to our products. For example, in the past we have entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Merck. The amount and timing of resources applied by our collaborators are largely outside of our control.

Wyeth terminated one of our existing collaboration agreements. If any of our other current or future collaborators breaches or terminates our agreements, or fails to conduct our collaborative activities in a timely manner, our commercialization of products could be diminished or blocked completely. It is possible that collaborators will change their strategic focus, pursue alternative technologies or develop alternative products, either on their own or in collaboration with others. Further, we may be forced to fund programs that were previously funded by our collaborators, and we may not have, or be able to access, the necessary funding. The effectiveness of our partners, if any, in marketing our products will also affect our revenues and earnings.

We desire to enter into new collaborative agreements. However, we may not be able to successfully negotiate any additional collaborative arrangements and, if established, these relationships may not be scientifically or commercially successful. Our success in the future depends in part on our ability to enter into agreements with other highly-regarded organizations. This can be difficult due to internal and external constraints placed on these organizations. Some organizations may have insufficient administrative and related infrastructure to enable collaborations with many companies at once, which can extend the time it takes to develop, negotiate and implement a collaboration. Once news of discussions regarding possible collaborations are known in the medical community, regardless of whether the news is accurate, failure to announce a collaborative agreement or the entity s announcement of a collaboration with another entity may result in adverse speculation about us, resulting in harm to our reputation and our business.

Disputes could also arise between us and our existing or future collaborators, as to a variety of matters, including financial and intellectual property matters or other obligations under our agreements. These disputes could be both expensive and time-consuming and may result in delays in the development and commercialization of our products or could damage our relationship with a collaborator.

A small number of licensing partners and government contracts account for a substantial portion of our revenue.

We currently derive, and in the past we have derived, a significant portion of our revenue from a limited number of licensing partners and government grants and contracts. For example, during the year ended December 31, 2011, the NIAID and the PATH Malaria Vaccine Initiative (MVI) accounted for approximately 80% and 8%, of our consolidated revenue, respectively. If we fail to sign additional future contracts with major licensing partners and the government, if a contract is delayed or deferred, or if an existing contract expires or is cancelled and we fail to replace the contract with new business, our revenue would be adversely affected.

We have agreements with government agencies, which are subject to termination and uncertain future funding.

We have entered into agreements with government agencies, such as the NIAID and the US Army, and we intend to continue entering into these agreements in the future. Our business is partially dependent on the continued performance by these government agencies of their responsibilities under these agreements, including adequate continued funding of the agencies and their programs. We have no control over the resources and funding that government agencies may devote to these agreements, which may be subject to annual renewal and which generally may be terminated by the government agencies at any time.

Government agencies may fail to perform their responsibilities under these agreements, which may cause them to be terminated by the government agencies. In addition, we may fail to perform our responsibilities under these agreements. Many of our government agreements are subject to audits, which may occur several years after the period to which the audit relates. If an audit identifies significant unallowable costs, we could incur a material charge to our earnings or reduction in our cash position. As a result, we may be unsuccessful entering, or ineligible to enter, into future government agreements.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly.

We expect our operating results to be subject to quarterly fluctuations. Our net loss and other operating results will be affected by numerous factors, including:

variations in the level of expenses related to our electroporation equipment, product candidates or future development programs;

expenses related to corporate transactions, including ones not fully completed;

addition or termination of clinical trials or funding support;

any intellectual property infringement lawsuit in which we may become involved;

any legal claims that may be asserted against us or any of our officers;

regulatory developments affecting our electroporation equipment and product candidates or those of our competitors;

our execution of any collaborative, licensing or similar arrangements, and the timing of payments we may make or receive under these arrangements; and

if any of our products receives regulatory approval, the levels of underlying demand for our products. If our quarterly operating results fall below the expectations of investors or securities analysts, the price of our common stock could decline substantially. Furthermore, any quarterly fluctuations in our operating results may, in turn, cause the price of our stock to fluctuate substantially. We believe that quarterly comparisons of our financial results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.

If we are unable to obtain FDA approval of our products, we will not be able to commercialize them in the United States.

We need FDA approval prior to marketing our electroporation equipment and products in the United States. If we fail to obtain FDA approval to market our electroporation equipment and product candidates, we will be unable to sell our products in the United States, which will significantly impair our ability to generate any revenues.

This regulatory review and approval process, which includes evaluation of pre-clinical studies and clinical trials of our products as well as the evaluation of our manufacturing processes and our third-party contract manufactures facilities, is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. To receive approval, we must, among other things, demonstrate with substantial evidence from well-controlled clinical trials that our electroporation equipment and product candidates are both safe and effective for each indication for which approval is sought. Satisfaction of the approval requirements typically takes several years and the time needed to satisfy them may vary substantially, based on the type, complexity and novelty of the product. We do not know if or when we might receive regulatory approvals for our electroporation equipment and any of our product candidates currently under development. Moreover, any approvals that we obtain may not cover all of the clinical indications for which we are seeking approval, or could contain significant limitations in the form of narrow indications, warnings, precautions or contra-indications with respect to conditions of use. In such event, our ability to generate revenues from such products would be greatly reduced and our business would be harmed.

The FDA has substantial discretion in the approval process and may either refuse to consider our application for substantive review or may form the opinion after review of our data that our application is insufficient to allow approval of our electroporation equipment and product candidates. If the FDA does not consider or approve our application, it may require that we conduct additional clinical, pre-clinical or manufacturing validation studies and submit that data before it will reconsider our application. Depending on the extent of these or any other studies, approval of any applications that we submit may be delayed by several years, or may require us to expend more resources than we have available. It is also possible that additional studies, if performed and completed, may not be successful or considered sufficient by the FDA for approval or even to make our applications approvable. If any of these outcomes occur, we may be forced to abandon one or more of our applications for approval, which might significantly harm our business and prospects.

It is possible that none of our products or any product we may seek to develop in the future will ever obtain the appropriate regulatory approvals necessary for us or our collaborators to commence product sales. Any delay in obtaining, or an inability to obtain, applicable regulatory approvals would prevent us from commercializing our products, generating revenues and achieving and sustaining profitability.

Clinical trials involve a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results.

Clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is uncertain. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. The results of pre-clinical studies and early clinical trials of our products may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. Results from one study may not be reflected or supported by the results of similar studies. Results

of an animal study may not be indicative of results achievable in human studies. Human-use equipment and product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy traits despite having progressed through pre-clinical studies and initial clinical testing. The time required to obtain approval by the FDA and similar foreign authorities is unpredictable but typically takes many years following the commencement of clinical trials, depending upon numerous factors. In addition, approval policies, regulations, or the type and amount of clinical data necessary to gain approval may change. We have not obtained regulatory approval for any human-use products.

Our products could fail to complete the clinical trial process for many reasons, including the following:

we may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities that our electroporation equipment and a product candidate are safe and effective for any indication;

the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities for approval;

the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our clinical trials;

we may not be successful in enrolling a sufficient number of participants in clinical trials;

we may be unable to demonstrate that our electroporation equipment and a product candidate s clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks;

we may be unable to demonstrate that our electroporation equipment and a product candidate presents an advantage over existing therapies, or over placebo in any indications for which the FDA requires a placebo-controlled trial;

the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from pre-clinical studies or clinical trials;

the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates may not be sufficient to support the submission of a new drug application or other submission or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;

the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve the manufacturing processes or facilities of us or third-party manufacturers with which we or our collaborators contract for clinical and commercial supplies; and

the approval policies or regulations of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical testing could result in increased costs to us and delay or limit our ability to generate revenues.

Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical testing could significantly affect our product development costs. We do not know whether planned clinical trials will begin on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. In addition, ongoing clinical trials may not be completed on schedule, or at all. The commencement and completion of clinical trials can be delayed for a number of reasons, including delays related to:

obtaining regulatory approval to commence a clinical trial;

adverse results from third party clinical trials involving gene based therapies and the regulatory response thereto;

reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs and trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;

future bans or stricter standards imposed on gene based therapy clinical trials;

manufacturing sufficient quantities of our electroporation equipment and product candidates for use in clinical trials;

obtaining institutional review board, or IRB, approval to conduct a clinical trial at a prospective site;

slower than expected recruitment and enrollment of patients to participate in clinical trials for a variety of reasons, including competition from other clinical trial programs for similar indications;

conducting clinical trials with sites internationally due to regulatory approvals and meeting international standards;

retaining patients who have initiated a clinical trial but may be prone to withdraw due to side effects from the therapy, lack of efficacy or personal issues, or who are lost to further follow-up; and

collecting, reviewing and analyzing our clinical trial data.

Clinical trials may also be delayed as a result of ambiguous or negative interim results. In addition, a clinical trial may be suspended or terminated by us, the FDA, the IRB overseeing the clinical trial at issue, any of our clinical trial sites with respect to that site, or other regulatory authorities due to a number of factors, including:

failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols;

inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial sites by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold;

unforeseen safety issues; and

lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial.

If we experience delays in completion of, or if we terminate, any of our clinical trials, the commercial prospects for our electroporation equipment and our product candidates may be harmed and our ability to generate product revenues will be delayed. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of a product candidate. Further, delays in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

We and our collaborators rely on third parties to conduct our clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we and our collaborators may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates.

We and our collaborators have entered into agreements with CROs to provide monitors for and to manage data for our on-going clinical programs. We and the CROs conducting clinical trials for our electroporation equipment and product candidates are required to comply with current good clinical practices, or GCPs, regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA for all of our products in clinical development. The FDA enforces GCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators and trial sites. If we or the CROs conducting clinical trials of our product candidates fail to comply with applicable GCPs, the clinical data generated in the clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA may require additional clinical trials before approving any marketing applications.

If any relationships with CROs terminate, we or our collaborators may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs. In addition, these third-party CROs are not our employees, and we cannot control whether or not they devote sufficient time and resources to our on-going clinical programs or perform trials efficiently. These CROs may also have relationships with other commercial entities, including our competitors, for whom they may also be conducting clinical studies or other drug development activities, which could harm our competitive position. If CROs do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements, or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates. As a result, our financial results and the commercial prospects for our product candidates would be harmed, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed. Cost overruns by or disputes with our CROs may significantly increase our expenses.

Even if our products receive regulatory approval, they may still face future development and regulatory difficulties.

Even if United States regulatory approval is obtained, the FDA may still impose significant restrictions on a product s indicated uses or marketing or impose ongoing requirements for potentially costly post-approval studies. This governmental oversight may be particularly strict with respect to gene based therapies. Our products will also be subject to ongoing FDA requirements governing the labeling, packaging, storage, advertising, promotion, recordkeeping and submission of safety and other post-market information. In addition, manufacturers of drug products and their facilities are subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities for compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, regulations. If we or a regulatory agency discover previously unknown problems with a product, such as adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or problems with the facility where the product is manufactured, a regulatory agency may impose restrictions on that product, the manufacturer or us, including requiring withdrawal of the product from the

market or suspension of manufacturing. If we, our product candidates or the manufacturing facilities for our product candidates fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, a regulatory agency may:

issue Warning Letters or untitled letters;

impose civil or criminal penalties;

suspend regulatory approval;

suspend any ongoing clinical trials;

refuse to approve pending applications or supplements to applications filed by us;

impose restrictions on operations, including costly new manufacturing requirements; or

seize or detain products or require us to initiate a product recall.

Even if our products receive regulatory approval in the United States, we may never receive approval or commercialize our products outside of the United States.

In order to market any electroporation equipment and product candidates outside of the United States, we must establish and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process in other countries may include all of the risks detailed above regarding FDA approval in the United States as well as other risks. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another, but a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may have a negative effect on the regulatory process in others. Failure to obtain regulatory approval in other countries or any delay or setback in obtaining such approval could have the same adverse effects detailed above regarding FDA approval in the United States. Such effects include the risks that our product candidates may not be approved for all indications requested, which could limit the uses of our product candidates and have an adverse effect on their commercial potential or require costly, post-marketing follow-up studies.

We face potential product liability exposure and, if successful claims are brought against us, we may incur substantial liability.

The use of our electroporation equipment and synthetic vaccine candidates in clinical trials and the sale of any products for which we obtain marketing approval expose us to the risk of product liability claims. Product liability claims might be brought against us by consumers, health care providers, pharmaceutical companies or others selling or otherwise coming into contact with our products. For example, pharmaceutical companies have been subject to claims that the use of some pediatric vaccines has caused personal injuries, including brain damage, central nervous system damage and autism, and these companies have incurred material costs to defend these claims. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we could incur substantial liabilities. In addition, regardless of merit or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for our product candidates;

impairment of our business reputation;

withdrawal of clinical trial participants;

costs of related litigation;

distraction of management s attention from our primary business;

substantial monetary awards to patients or other claimants;

loss of revenues; and

inability to commercialize our products.

We have obtained product liability insurance coverage for our clinical trials, but our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to reimburse us for any expenses or losses we may suffer. Moreover, insurance coverage is becoming increasingly expensive, and, in the future, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses due to liability. On occasion, large judgments have been awarded in class action lawsuits based on products that had unanticipated side effects. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against us could cause our stock price to decline and, if judgments exceed our insurance coverage, could adversely affect our business.

We currently have no marketing and sales organization and have no experience in marketing products. If we are unable to establish marketing and sales capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our products, we may not be able to generate product revenues.

We currently do not have a sales organization for the marketing, sales and distribution of our electroporation equipment and product candidates. In order to commercialize any products, we must build our marketing, sales, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services. We contemplate establishing our own sales force or seeking third-party partners to sell our products. The establishment and development of our own sales force to market any products we may develop will be expensive and time consuming and could delay any product launch, and we may not be able to successfully develop this capability. We will also have to compete with other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to recruit, hire, train and retain marketing and sales personnel. To the extent we rely on third parties to commercialize our approved products, if any, we will receive lower revenues than if we commercialized these products ourselves. In addition, we may have little or no control over the sales efforts of third parties involved in our commercialization efforts. In the event we are unable to develop our own marketing and sales force or collaborate with a third-party marketing and sales organization, we would not be able to commercialize our product candidates which would negatively impact our ability to generate product revenues.

If any of our products for which we receive regulatory approval does not achieve broad market acceptance, the revenues that we generate from their sales will be limited.

The commercial success of our electroporation equipment and product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval from the FDA or other regulatory authorities will depend upon the acceptance of these products by both the medical community and patient

population. Coverage and reimbursement of our product candidates by third-party payors, including government payors, generally is also necessary for optimal commercial success. The degree of market acceptance of any of our approved products will depend on a number of factors, including:

our ability to provide acceptable evidence of safety and efficacy;

the relative convenience and ease of administration;

the prevalence and severity of any actual or perceived adverse side effects;

limitations or warnings contained in a product s FDA-approved labeling, including, for example, potential black box warnings

availability of alternative treatments;

pricing and cost effectiveness;

the effectiveness of our or any future collaborators sales and marketing strategies;

our ability to obtain sufficient third-party coverage or reimbursement; and

the willingness of patients to pay out of pocket in the absence of third-party coverage. If our electroporation equipment and product candidates are approved but do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians, health care payors and patients, we may not generate sufficient revenue from these products, and we may not become or remain profitable. In addition, our efforts to educate the medical community and third-party payors on the benefits of our product candidates may require significant resources and may never be successful.

We are subject to uncertainty relating to reimbursement policies which, if not favorable to our product candidates, could hinder or prevent our products commercial success.

Our ability to commercialize our electroporation equipment and product candidates successfully will depend in part on the extent to which governmental authorities, private health insurers and other third-party payors establish appropriate coverage and reimbursement levels for our product candidates and related treatments. As a threshold for coverage and reimbursement, third-party payors generally require that drug products have been approved for marketing by the FDA. Third-party payors also are increasingly challenging the effectiveness of and prices charged for medical products and services. We may not be able to obtain third-party coverage or reimbursement for our products in whole or in part.

Healthcare reform measures could hinder or prevent our products commercial success.

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions there have been, and we anticipate there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory changes to the healthcare system that could impact our ability to sell any of our products profitably. In the United States, the Federal government recently passed healthcare reform legislation, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or the ACA. The provisions of the ACA are effective on various dates over the next several years. While many of the details regarding the implementation of the ACA are yet to be determined, we believe there will be continuing trends towards expanding coverage to more individuals, containing health care costs

and improving quality. At the same time, the rebates, discounts, taxes and other costs associated with the ACA are expected to be a significant cost to the pharmaceutical industry.

The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payors of healthcare services to make and implement healthcare reforms may adversely affect:

our ability to set a price we believe is fair for our products;

our ability to generate revenues and achieve or maintain profitability;

the availability of capital; and

our ability to obtain timely approval of our products. If we fail to comply with applicable healthcare regulations, we could face substantial penalties and our business, operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Certain federal and state healthcare laws and regulations pertaining to fraud and abuse and patients rights may be applicable to our business. We could be subject to healthcare fraud and abuse and patient privacy regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business, without limitation. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include:

the federal healthcare program Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, people from soliciting, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, for an item or service or the purchasing or ordering of a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

federal false claims laws which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent;

The ACA expands the government s investigative and enforcement authority and increases the penalties for fraud and abuse, including amendments to both the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Statute to make it easier to bring suit under those statutes;

the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which prohibits executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters and which also imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;

the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which among other things, strictly regulates drug product marketing, prohibits manufacturers from marketing drug products for off-label use and regulates the distribution of drug samples; and

state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Additionally, the compliance environment is changing, with more states, such as California and Massachusetts, mandating implementation of compliance programs, compliance with industry ethics codes, and spending limits, and other states, such as Vermont, Maine, and Minnesota requiring reporting to state governments of gifts, compensation, and other remuneration to physicians. Under the ACA, starting in 2012, pharmaceutical companies will be required to record any transfers of value made to doctors and teaching hospitals and to disclose such data to HHS, with initial disclosure to HHS due in 2013. These laws all provide for penalties for non-compliance. The shifting regulatory environment, along with the requirement to comply with multiple jurisdictions with different compliance and/or reporting requirements, increases the possibility that a company may run afoul of one or more laws.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. Any penalties, damages, fines, curtailment or restructuring of our operations could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management s attention from the operation of our business. Moreover, achieving and sustaining compliance with applicable federal and state privacy, security and fraud laws may prove costly.

If we and the contract manufacturers upon whom we rely fail to produce our systems and product candidates in the volumes that we require on a timely basis, or fail to comply with stringent regulations, we may face delays in the development and commercialization of our electroporation equipment and product candidates.

We manufacture some components of our electroporation systems and utilize the services of contract manufacturers to manufacture the remaining components of these systems and our product supplies for clinical trials. The manufacture of our systems and product supplies requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. Manufacturers often encounter difficulties in production, particularly in scaling up for commercial production. These problems include difficulties with production costs and yields, quality control, including stability of the equipment and product candidates and quality assurance testing, shortages of qualified personnel, as well as compliance with strictly enforced federal, state and foreign regulations. If we or our manufacturers were to encounter any of these difficulties or our manufacturers otherwise fail to comply with their obligations to us, our ability to provide our electroporation equipment to our partners and products to patients in our clinical trials or to commercially launch a product would be jeopardized. Any delay or interruption in the supply of clinical trial supplies could delay the completion of our clinical trials, increase the costs associated with maintaining our clinical trial program and, depending upon the period of delay, require us to commence new trials at significant additional expense or terminate the trials completely.

In addition, all manufacturers of our products must comply with cGMP requirements enforced by the FDA through its facilities inspection program. These requirements include, among other things, quality control, quality assurance and the generation and maintenance of records and documentation. Manufacturers of our products may be unable to comply with these cGMP requirements and with other FDA, state and foreign

regulatory requirements. We have little control over our manufacturers compliance with these regulations and standards. A failure to comply with these requirements may result in fines and civil penalties, suspension of production, suspension or delay in product approval, product seizure or recall, or withdrawal of product approval. If the safety of any product is compromised due to our or our manufacturers failure to adhere to applicable laws or for other reasons, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our products, and we may be held liable for any injuries sustained as a

result. Any of these factors could cause a delay of clinical trials, regulatory submissions, approvals or commercialization of our products, entail higher costs or result in our being unable to effectively commercialize our products. Furthermore, if our manufacturers fail to deliver the required commercial quantities on a timely basis, pursuant to provided specifications and at commercially reasonable prices, we may be unable to meet demand for our products and would lose potential revenues.

Our failure to successfully acquire, develop and market additional product candidates or approved products would impair our ability to grow.

We may acquire, in-license, develop and/or market additional products and product candidates. The success of these actions depends partly upon our ability to identify, select and acquire promising product candidates and products.

The process of proposing, negotiating and implementing a license or acquisition of a product candidate or approved product is lengthy and complex. Other companies, including some with substantially greater financial, marketing and sales resources, may compete with us for the license or acquisition of product candidates and approved products. We have limited resources to identify and execute the acquisition or in-licensing of third-party products, businesses and technologies and integrate them into our current infrastructure. Moreover, we may devote resources to potential acquisitions or in-licensing opportunities that are never completed, or we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such efforts. We may not be able to acquire the rights to additional product candidates on terms that we find acceptable, or at all.

In addition, future acquisitions may entail numerous operational and financial risks, including:

exposure to unknown liabilities;

disruption of our business and diversion of our management s time and attention to develop acquired products or technologies;

incurrence of substantial debt or dilutive issuances of securities to pay for acquisitions;

higher than expected acquisition and integration costs;

increased amortization expenses;

difficulty and cost in combining the operations and personnel of any acquired businesses with our operations and personnel;

impairment of relationships with key suppliers or customers of any acquired businesses due to changes in management and ownership; and

inability to retain key employees of any acquired businesses. Further, any product candidate that we acquire may require additional development efforts prior to commercial sale, including extensive clinical testing and approval by the FDA and applicable foreign regulatory authorities. All product candidates are prone to risks of failure typical of product development, including the possibility that a product candidate will not be shown to be sufficiently safe and effective for approval by regulatory authorities.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials and we and our third-party manufacturers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our and our third-party manufactures activities involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials, including the components of our product candidates and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. In the event of an accident, state or federal authorities may curtail the use of these materials and interrupt our business operations. If we are subject to any liability as a result of our or our third-party manufactures activities involving hazardous materials, our business and financial condition may be adversely affected.

We may be subject to stockholder litigation, which would harm our business and financial condition.

We may have actions brought against us by stockholders relating to the Merger, past transactions, changes in our stock price or other matters. Any such actions could give rise to substantial damages, and thereby have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity, or results of operations. Even if an action is not resolved against us, the uncertainty and expense associated with stockholder actions could harm our business, financial condition and reputation. Litigation can be costly, time-consuming and disruptive to business operations. The defense of lawsuits could also result in diversion of our management s time and attention away from business operations, which could harm our business.

Our results of operations and liquidity needs could be materially affected by market fluctuations and general economic conditions.

Our results of operations could be materially affected by economic conditions generally, both in the United States and elsewhere around the world. Recently, concerns over inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the United States mortgage market and a declining residential real estate market in the United States have contributed to increased volatility and

diminished expectations for the economy and the markets going forward. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have precipitated an economic recession. Domestic and international capital markets have also been experiencing heightened volatility and turmoil. These events and the continuing market upheavals may have an adverse effect on us. In the event of a continuing market downturn, our results of operations could be adversely affected. Our future cost of equity or debt capital and access to the capital markets could be adversely affected, and our stock price could decline. There may be disruption in or delay in the performance of our third-party contractors and suppliers. If our contractors, suppliers and partners are unable to satisfy their contractual commitments, our business could suffer. In addition, we maintain significant amounts of cash and cash equivalents at one or more financial institutions that are in excess of federally insured limits. Given the current instability of financial institutions, we may experience losses on these deposits.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

It is difficult and costly to generate and protect our intellectual property and our proprietary technologies, and we may not be able to ensure their protection.

Our commercial success will depend in part on obtaining and maintaining patent, trademark, trade secret, and other intellectual property protection relating to our electroporation equipment and product candidates, as well as successfully defending these intellectual property rights against third-party challenges.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions for which important legal principles remain unresolved. The laws and regulations regarding the breadth of claims allowed in biotechnology patents has evolved over recent years and continues to undergo review and revision, both in the United States. The biotechnology patent situation outside the United States can be even more uncertain depending on the country. Changes in either the patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property. Accordingly, we cannot predict the breadth of claims that may be allowed or enforced in our licensed patents, our patents or in third-party patents, nor can we predict the likelihood of our patents surviving a patent validity challenge.

The degree of future protection for our intellectual property rights is uncertain, because legal decision-making can be unpredictable, thereby often times resulting in limited protection, which may not adequately protect our rights or permit us to gain or keep our competitive advantage, or resulting in an invalid or unenforceable patent. For example:

we, or the parties from whom we have acquired or licensed patent rights, may not have been the first to file the underlying patent applications or the first to make the inventions covered by such patents;

the named inventors or co-inventors of patents or patent applications that we have licensed or acquired may be incorrect, which may give rise to inventorship and ownership challenges;

others may develop similar or alternative technologies, or duplicate any of our products or technologies that may not be covered by our patents, including design-arounds;

pending patent applications may not result in issued patents;

the issued patents covering our products and technologies may not provide us with any competitive advantages or have any commercial value;

the issued patents may be challenged and invalidated, or rendered unenforceable;

the issued patents may be subject to reexamination, which could result in a narrowing of the scope of claims or cancellation of claims found unpatentable;

we may not develop or acquire additional proprietary technologies that are patentable;

our trademarks may be invalid or subject to a third party s prior use; or

our ability to enforce our patent rights will depend on our ability to detect infringement, and litigation to enforce patent rights may not be pursued due to significant financial costs, diversion of resources, and unpredictability of a favorable result or ruling. We depend, in part, on our licensors and collaborators to protect a portion of our intellectual property rights. In such cases, our licensors and collaborators may be primarily or wholly responsible for the maintenance of patents and prosecution of patent applications relating to important areas of our business. If any of these parties fail to adequately protect these products with issued patents, our business and prospects would be harmed significantly.

We also may rely on trade secrets to protect our technology, especially where we do not believe patent protection is appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. Although we use reasonable efforts to protect our trade secrets, our employees, consultants, contractors, outside scientific collaborators and other advisors may unintentionally or willfully disclose our trade secrets to competitors. Enforcing a claim that a third-party entity illegally obtained and is using any of our trade secrets is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States are sometimes less willing to protect trade secrets. Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge, methods and know-how.

If we or our licensors fail to obtain or maintain patent protection or trade secret protection for our product candidates or our technologies, third parties could use our proprietary information, which could impair our ability to compete in the market and adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and attain profitability.

If we are sued for infringing intellectual property rights of third parties, it will be costly and time consuming, and an unfavorable outcome in that litigation would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Other companies may have or may acquire intellectual property rights that could be enforced against us. If they do so, we may be required to alter our technologies, pay licensing fees or cease activities. If our products or technologies infringe the intellectual property rights of others, they could bring legal action against us or our licensors or collaborators claiming damages and seeking to enjoin any activities that they believe infringe their intellectual property rights.

Because patent applications can take many years to issue, and there is a period when the application remains undisclosed to the public, there may be currently pending applications unknown to us or reissue applications that may later result in issued patents upon which our products or technologies may infringe. There could also be existing patents of which we are unaware that our products or technologies may infringe. In addition, if third parties file patent applications or obtain patents claiming products or technologies also claimed by us in pending applications or issued patents, we may have to participate in interference or derivation proceedings in the United States Patent and Trademark Office to determine priority or derivation of the invention. If third parties file oppositions in foreign countries, we may also have to participate in opposition proceedings in foreign tribunals to defend the patentability of our filed foreign patent applications.

If a third party claims that we infringe its intellectual property rights, it could cause our business to suffer in a number of ways, including:

we may become involved in time-consuming and expensive litigation, even if the claim is without merit, the third party s patent is invalid or we have not infringed;

we may become liable for substantial damages for past infringement if a court decides that our technologies infringe upon a third party s patent;

we may be enjoined by a court to stop making, selling or licensing our products or technologies without a license from a patent holder, which may not be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all, or which may require us to pay substantial royalties or grant cross-licenses to our patents; and

we may have to redesign our products so that they do not infringe upon others patent rights, which may not be possible or could require substantial investment or time.

If any of these events occur, our business could suffer and the market price of our common stock may decline.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock is expected to be volatile and an investment in our common stock could decline substantially in value.

In light of our small size and limited resources, as well as the uncertainties and risks that can affect our business and industry, our stock price is expected to be highly volatile and can be subject to substantial drops, with or even in the absence of news affecting our business. Period to period comparisons are not indicative of future performance. The following factors, in addition to the other risk factors described in this annual report, and the potentially low volume of trades in our common stock, may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock, some of which are beyond our control:

developments concerning any research and development, clinical trials, manufacturing, and marketing efforts or collaborations;

fluctuating public or scientific interest in the potential for influenza pandemic or other applications for our vaccine or other product candidates;

our announcement of significant acquisitions, strategic collaborations, joint ventures or capital commitments;

fluctuations in our operating results

announcements of technological innovations;

new products or services that we or our competitors offer;

the initiation, conduct and/or outcome of intellectual property and/or litigation matters;

changes in financial or other estimates by securities analysts or other reviewers or evaluators of our business;

conditions or trends in bio-pharmaceutical or other healthcare industries;

regulatory developments in the United States and other countries;

negative perception of gene based therapy;

changes in the economic performance and/or market valuations of other biotechnology and medical device companies;

additions or departures of key personnel;

sales or other transactions involving our common stock;

sales or other transactions by executive officers or directors involving our common stock;

changes in accounting principles;

global unrest, terrorist activities, and economic and other external factors; and

catastrophic weather and/or global disease pandemics.

The stock market in general has recently experienced relatively large price and volume fluctuations. In particular, the market prices of securities of smaller biotechnology and medical device companies have experienced dramatic fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating results of these companies. Continued market fluctuations could result in extreme volatility in the price of the common stock, which could cause a decline in the value of the common stock. In addition, price volatility may increase if the trading volume of our common stock remains limited or declines.

Anti-takeover provisions under our charter documents and Delaware law could delay or prevent a change of control which could limit the market price of our common stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation contains provisions that could delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our board of directors that our stockholders might consider favorable. Some of these provisions include:

the authority of our board of directors to issue shares of undesignated preferred stock and to determine the rights, preferences and privileges of these shares, without stockholder approval;

all stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called meeting of stockholders and not by written consent; and

the elimination of cumulative voting.

In addition, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporate Law, which may prohibit certain business combinations with stockholders owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock. These and other provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and Delaware law could make it more difficult for stockholders or potential acquirers to obtain control of our board of directors or initiate actions that are opposed by the then-current board of directors, including to delay or impede a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving our company. Any delay or prevention of a change of control transaction or changes in our board of directors could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock and we do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have paid no cash dividends on our common stock to date, and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. In addition, the terms of any future debt or credit facility may preclude or limit our ability to pay any dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be your sole source of potential gain for the foreseeable future.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit

Number	Description of Document
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
Exhibit 101.I	NS XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.5	SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
Exhibit 101.0	CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
Exhibit 101.I	LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

- Exhibit 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- * This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

INOVIO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

/s/ J. JOSEPH KIM J. Joseph Kim President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 9, 2012

Date: May 9, 2012

By:

By:

/s/ PETER KIES Peter Kies Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer