EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II Form N-CSRS June 06, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21217

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02109 (Zip code)

Alan R. Dynner
The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 482-8260

Date of fiscal year September 30

end:

Date of reporting period: March 31, 2005

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

| Edgar Eili | na. EATON | VANCE INCLU | RED CALIFORNIA | | DOND ELIND II | Form NI CCDC |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| ⊏uyai ⊓iiii | ilig. EATON | VAINCE INSUI | 160 CALIFORIN <i>I</i> | 1 MONICIPAL 1 | וו שמוטם שמוטפ | - FUIIII IN-UONO |

Semiannual Report March 31, 2005

EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUNDS

CLOSED-END FUNDS:

Insured Municipal II

Insured California II

Insured Florida

Insured Massachusetts

Insured Michigan

Insured New Jersey

Insured New York II

Insured Ohio

Insured Pennsylvania

IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS AND PROXY VOTING

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy only applies to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e. fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and it s underlying Portfolio will file a schedule of its portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to Portfolio securities during the 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

| Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Thomas J. Fetter President |
| |
| The municipal bond market is a center of capital formation for states, municipalities and, in some cases, private economic initiatives. In this edition of our continuing educational series, we will discuss industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds. IDR bonds have long been used as a financing mechanism by local governments to provide assistance to local employers and encourage job retention and creation within their communities. |
| |
| IDR bonds finance private activities that benefit the public |
| IDR bonds are issued by municipal authorities to finance projects and facilities used by private corporations. Historically, IDR bonds have represented a partnership between the private and public sectors—a source of dedicated funding for companies and a source of job creation in projects beneficial to local communities. The Private-Activities—provision of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 permits issuance of tax-exempt bonds for specific activities, including pollution control; gas and electric service; water distribution; wastewater systems; solid waste disposal; airports and selected transportation projects; and other industrial projects. |
| The Act also placed a cap on the dollar amount that may be raised for IDR bonds in each state, limiting the amount to \$50 per person/per state/per year, with a \$150 million maximum. These limitations provide protection against potential abuse and ensure that tax-exempt IDR bonds will indeed be issued for projects that will benefit the public. |
| IDR bonds finance utility-related projects and other industrial initiatives |
| Typically, IDR bonds provide financing for manufacturing, processing or utility facilities. Historically, about one-half of these bonds have been issued to finance pollution control facilities for manufacturers and electric utilities. As many utilities and manufacturers have been ordered to comply with stricter environmental and fuel standards, pollution control bonds have helped finance the retrofits of existing plants. Other IDR bonds have served as inducements from state and local issuers to locate plants or build new facilities, in the hope that such construction might generate further economic growth for a community. |

IDR bonds are secured by corporate revenues ont those of state or local governments...

IDR bond issues are secured by the credit of the underlying corporation. The municipal issuing authority acts solely as a conduit to permit tax-exempt financing. The corporation pledges to make payments sufficient to meet all debt service obligations. Unlike some revenue issues, IDR bonds are backed by revenues of the entire corporation, not solely by those of the project being financed.

Because IDR bonds are backed by corporate revenues and not by the taxing authority of a state or local jurisdiction, they have historically provided coupon premiums above those of general obligations and other more traditional revenue bonds. Bonds may be either collateralized or unsecured. Collateralized bonds have a lien against the company s assets, which may provide bond holders enhanced bargaining power in the event of a bankruptcy. Unsecured bonds have no such lien.

While providing new opportunities, IDR bonds require rigorous analysis...

While IDR bonds may provide unusual investment opportunities, they also may entail increased risk and, therefore, demand especially intensive analysis. At Eaton Vance, we have credit analysts and resources dedicated to IDR bond research.

IDR bonds represent a key segment of the municipal bond market and should remain an important source of capital formation. In our view, the experience and resources needed to evaluate these issues further demonstrates the value of professional management. We will continue to look for opportunities in this sector of the municipal market.

Sincerely,

/s/ Thomas J. Fetter Thomas J. Fetter President May 4, 2005

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

| Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2005 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MARKET RECAP |
| |
| The U.S. economy continued to generate moderate growth during the six months ended March 31, 2005. While higher gasoline and energy prices pinched consumers, the weak U.S. dollar raised inflationary concerns and helped push interest rates higher. |
| After a promising recovery in 2004, slower growth in early 2005 |
| The nation s Gross Domestic Product grew by 3.1% in the first quarter of 2005, according to preliminary Commerce Department figures, following a 3.8% rise in the fourth quarter of 2004. Manufacturing activity, which had expanded strongly in the second half of 2004, slackened somewhat in the first quarter of 2005, amid slower industrial production and weakening demand for durable goods. |
| Consumer spending, which helped fuel the economic recovery over the past year, weakened considerably, as higher fuel costs and rising interest rates on loans and mortgages prompted consumers to tighten their belts. Capital spending also slowed, as businesses curtailed new investments in plants and factories, while reducing the pace of investment in productivity-enhancing equipment and software. Residential construction remained relatively strong, although slightly off the torrid pace set in 2004. |
| After recovering dramatically in 2004, job creation weakened somewhat in early 2005 |
| The nation s labor markets strengthened during the year, although the pace of job creation weakened at the close of the period. Hiring picked up during the year in areas that had suffered large technology sector layoffs. Also, manufacturing, financial services, business services, trucking, shipping, construction, energy, health care, and media also generated new jobs. In the first quarter of 2005, however, employers showed some reticence in hiring practices, as they were forced to cope with unpredictable fuel cost hikes. |
| Municipal bond yields were 99% of Treasury yields |
| |
| |

Principal and interest payments of Treasury securities are guaranteed by the U.S. government.

| *GO yields are a compilation of a representative variety of general obligations and are not necessarily representative of a Fund s yield. | , |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Statistics as of March 31, 2005. | |
| | |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Source: Bloomberg, L.P.

The Federal Reserve continued to raise short-term interest rates in 2005...

The Federal Reserve pushed short-term rates higher, suggesting it will continue to raise rates to keep the economy from growing too quickly and, thereby, reviving inflation. Beginning in June 2004, the Fed increased its Federal Funds rate a key short-term interest rate barometer on eight occasions, raising that benchmark from 1.00% to 3.00%, including its most recent rate hike in May 2005.

The municipal bond market posted a modest gain for the year, slightly outperforming the Treasury market. For the six months ended March 31, 2005, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21.%.*

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the various portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for an Eaton Vance fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund.

^{*} It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.

| Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005 INVESTMENT UPDATE |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 4.49% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$14.82 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.98 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.500 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 4.07% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$15.03 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.13 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.98, the Fund had a market yield of 6.68% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 10.28%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| William H. Ahern Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| The U.S. economy expanded moderately during the period, despite concerns over rising fuel costs. Manufacturing rebounded somewhat, while financial services, business services, transportation, construction, energy and health care posted strong job growth. The U.S. jobless rate was 5.2% in March 2005, down from 5.7% a year ago. |
| Insured* transportation bonds constituted the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005. The Fund s investments represented a broad range of transportation projects, including bridge and tunnel authorities, highway and turnpike authorities, a monorail project and an urban skywalk. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) were a significant investment. The Fund s investments included state and municipal issuers alike, ranging from large urban centers to local school district bond issuers. GOs are backed by the taxing power of the issuing jurisdiction. |
| Insured* water revenue bonds were key investments for the Fund. Amid more stringent environmental regulations, many communities have issued bonds to finance improvements to water filtration and discharge facilities. Water utilities have historically represented a relatively stable revenue source. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information

as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| One Year | 3.81% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 9.22 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 10.05% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 9.69 |
| | |

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005 INVESTMENT UPDATE |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 4.37% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$14.58 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.73 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.474 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 3.73% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.51 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.57 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.73, the Fund had a market yield of 6.44% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 10.92%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Cynthia J. Clemson Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| |
| California s economy generated good job growth in late 2004 and early 2005. The business services sector added the largest number of jobs, with construction and financial services also making significant contributions. The government sector, subject to budgetary restraints, remained a sore spot in the state economy. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 5.8%, down from 6.4% a year ago. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) constituted the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005. The Fund s investments included issues of the state—whose credit rating has been modestly upgraded within the past year—as well as issues for local school districts and assessment districts. |
| Insured* lease revenue/certificates of participation (COPs) were major investments. COPs provide cost-effective, lease financing for borrowers at the state, county and municipal level for a broad range of public works projects. |
| Insured* special assessment revenue bonds remained among the Fund s prominent holdings. The Fund s investments included issues that financed housing redevelopment projects, as well as various infrastructure-related projects. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 38% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when

redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information

as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 5.21% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 7.90 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 3.72% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 7.40 |

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 41.05% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENT UPDATE |
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of -1.34% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in share price from \$14.75 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.10 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.465 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 4.42% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.52 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.69 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.10, the Fund had a market yield of 6.60% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 10.15%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Craig Brandon Portfolio Manager |
| |
| Management Discussion |
| |
| Florida s economy continued to expand during the period. Residential construction and service sectors remained very strong, a result of a population growth rate twice that of the U.S. rate. Despite a severe hurricane season, even tourism grew in 2004, boosted by the weak U.S. dollar. The state s jobless rate was 4.5% in March 2005, down from 4.8% a year ago. |
| |
| Insured* special tax revenue bonds were the Fund s largest sector weightings at March 31, 2005. These bonds are used to build or expand facilities or infrastructure. |
| |
| The Fund remained very selective within the hospital sector, given the industry s increasing competition and cost pressures. The Fund focused on facilities with good management, marketable health care specialties and sound underlying fundamentals. |
| |
| Insured* transportation bonds also provided opportunities for the Fund. Investments included issues for highway and road construction projects, and port facility improvement projects. |
| |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |
| Past performance is no quarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in not asset |
| Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the |

Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

Performance(7)

Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)
One Year -0.37%
Life of Fund (11/29/02) 5.84

Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)
One Year 4.70%
Life of Fund (11/29/02) 7.71

- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 35.00% combined federal and state intangibles tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax and state intangibles tax.

| Eaton Vance Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENT UPDATE |
| |
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 9.29% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$15.57 on September 30, 2004 to \$16.52 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.474 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 3.35% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.87 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.92 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grademunicipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$16.52, the Fund had a market yield of 5.74% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.33%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |
| |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| Robert B. MacIntosh Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| The Massachusetts economy made progress in 2004 and 2005, although not yet achieving pre-recession employment levels. Business services, health care, education and residential building remained areas of strong job creation. The manufacturing sector continued to struggle. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 4.9%, down from 5.4% a year ago and below the national rate. |
| Insured* private and public education bonds remained among the Fund s largest sector weightings at March 31, 2005. The Fund s investments included some of the Commonwealth s well-regarded colleges and universities that enjoy strong applicant demand. |
| Insured* lease revenue/certificates of participation (COPs) were large investments. These bonds provided flexible and cost effective financing for Massachusetts projects. COPs and lease revenue bonds typically finance the purchase of equipment and facilities, and are secured by lease payments by the borrower. |
| Insured* transportation bonds were a large investment for the Fund. The Fund s investments focused mainly on state turnpike authority issues, which have financed major improvements in recent years. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |
| Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market |

performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 9.69% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 13.56 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 6.43% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 8.72 |
| | |

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 38.45% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 INVESTMENT UPDATE |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 10.55% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$15.49 on September 30, 2004 to \$16.60 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.474 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 2.67% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in net asset value per share from \$14.84 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.77 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$16.60, the Fund had a market yield of 5.71% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.14%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| William H. Ahern Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| Michigan s economy continued to set a lackluster pace and remained the only state in the nation where employment has declined in the past year. The largest losses were in the manufacturing, retail and government areas. There were pockets of strength in the construction sector. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 7.5%, up from 7.1% a year ago. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) constituted the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005. Given the state s unsteady economy, management focused on issues of fiscally sound school districts and building authorities. |
| Insured* special tax revenue bonds constituted another large investment sector. These high-quality bonds were issued to finance improvements, such as renewal projects in downtown Detroit and airport-related projects. These bonds are backed by a variety of special taxes approved solely for the projects. |
| Hospital bonds were also a large investment for the fund. In a very competitive and cost-conscious environment, the Fund focused on acute care facilities that management believes are well managed, financially strong and leading care providers in their respective communities. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |
| Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market |

performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

| 8.22% |
|-------|
| 13.11 |
| |
| |
| |
| 5.38% |
| 7.60 |
| |

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.

- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 37.54% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENT UPDATE |
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 1.14% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in share price from \$15.49 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.18 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.480 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 3.90% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.99 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.09 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$15.18, the Fund had a market yield of 6.32% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 10.68%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Robert B. MacIntosh Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| In late 2004 and early 2005, New Jersey enjoyed good job growth, the state s strongest since 2000. Leisure, business services, trade, transportation, and utilities generated the fastest job growth. Not surprisingly, manufacturing remained among the state s weakest sectors. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 4.4%, down from 5.2% a year ago. |
| Insured* public education bonds were the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005. The Fund s investments included issues for a community college, a four-year university and the state medical and dental school. These institutions have enjoyed stable revenues in a range of economic climates. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) constituted a large commitment by the Fund. Investments were dominated by city, township and board of education issues. These local GO s provided high quality and excellent liquidity. |
| Insured* transportation bonds were a major focus of the Fund. Investments included bonds for a marine terminal in Newark, as well as river, transportation, port and highway authorities throughout the state, all key elements of the New Jersey economy. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |
| Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the |

Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

Performance(7)

Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)
One Year 1.78%
Life of Fund (11/29/02) 9.57

Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)
One Year 5.42%
Life of Fund (11/29/02) 9.29

- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 40.83% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.

| Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENT UPDATE |
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 3.94% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$14.46 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.55 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.482 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 4.13% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.91 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.03 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.55, the Fund had a market yield of 6.62% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 11.04%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| Thomas J. Fetter Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| During 2004 and into early 2005, New York State posted positive job growth for the first time since 2000. Job creation in business services, education, health care and tourism have been the main drivers of growth. Gains in finance have been modest, while the state continued to lose manufacturing jobs at a faster rate than the nation as a whole. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 5.1%, down from 6.1% a year ago. |
| Insured* private education bonds were the Fund s largest weighting at March 31, 2005. The Fund emphasized dormitory authority bonds, which helped finance the building of living quarters and classroom facilities for some of the state s leading colleges and universities. |
| Transportation is vital to New York s position as the nation s economic capital and insured* transportation bonds have played a major role in the Fund. Holdings included issues for public transit, bridges and tunnels, ports and highway authorities. |
| Insured* water and sewer bonds represented a major investment. The Fund s holdings financed improvements to water and wastewater facilities and included issues for New York City and for the upstate City of Niagara Falls. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when

redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 1.84% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 7.85 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 6.53% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 9.36 |

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 40.01% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured Ohio Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INVESTMENT UPDATE |
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 1.62% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in share price from \$15.20 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.98 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.461 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 3.10% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of no change in net asset value per share from \$14.64 on September 30, 2004 to March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.98, the Fund had a market yield of 6.09% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 10.13%.(4) The dividend declared on April 29, 2005 reflects a reduction of the monthly dividend of \$0.005 per share. |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| Thomas J. Fetter Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| Ohio s economy generated job growth in 2004 and early 2005, benefiting from the strength in the service sector. Business services, education and health care were areas of job growth. However, while losses in the key manufacturing sector slowed, a continuing focus on productivity and restructurings limited the extent of the recovery. The state s March 2005 jobless rate was 6.4%, up slightly from 6.1% a year ago. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) were the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005, represented predominantly by school district bonds. Management focused on communities with a relatively broad local economic base, stable property tax revenues and manageable foreseeable borrowing needs. |
| Insured* public education bonds remained a large commitment in the Fund. Management emphasized universities within the Ohio state university system, as well as a community college well-known in the state for its cooperative and clinical studies programs. |
| Selected Puerto Rico bonds offered opportunities for further diversification by sector, issuer and coupon. The Fund s Puerto Rico investments included electric utilities, lease revenue bonds, special tax revenues and transportation bonds. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 1.26% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 8.31 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 6.46% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 7.25 |
| | |

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 39.88% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Eaton Vance Insured Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005 INVESTMENT UPDATE |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The Fund |
| Based on share price (traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 2.79% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in share price from \$14.98 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.93 on March 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.469 in monthly dividends.(1) |
| Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 3.49% for the six months ended March 31, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.41 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.46 on March 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions. |
| In comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of longer-term, investment-grade, municipal debt securities made up of issues larger than \$50 million had a total return of 1.21% for the six months ended March 31, 2005.(2) |
| Based on the last dividend of the semi-annual period and a share price of \$14.93, the Fund had a market yield of 6.28% at March 31, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.97%.(4) |
| Rating Distribution(5),(6) |
| By total investments |
| |

| * Private insurance does not decrease the risk of loss of principal associated with this investment. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| Thomas M. Metzold Portfolio Manager |
| Management Discussion |
| Pennsylvania saw positive job growth in 2004 for the first time since 2000, although growth was uneven around the state. Business, education, tourism, construction and health care were major contributors. Manufacturing, especially in western Pennsylvania, continued to shed jobs. Pennsylvania s March 2005 jobless rate was 5.2%, down from 5.5% a year ago. |
| Insured* general obligations (GOs) were the Fund s largest sector weighting at March 31, 2005. The Fund s investments focused on city, county and school district bonds in areas with a relatively strong tax base and solid economic resources, two key variables for investors in general obligations. |
| Insured* transportation bonds remained a significant commitment for the Fund. Investments focused predominantly on port authority and Pennsylvania turnpike issues. The bonds have financed repairs and expansions needed to accommodate the Commonwealth s increasing commercial and passenger traffic. |
| Insured* private education bonds constituted a large investment. Historically less sensitive to economic changes, the Fund s investments included state and county bonds that financed the construction of university housing and teaching facilities. |
| At March 31, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 38% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares). |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information as of March 31, 2005

| Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| One Year | -0.84% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 8.59 |
| | |
| | |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) | |
| One Year | 3.38% |
| Life of Fund (11/29/02) | 7.12 |
| | |

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax; income may be subject to state income tax.

- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the most recent dividend per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 37.00% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

| | Tax-Exempt Investments - 157.4% | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value | |
| | General Obligations - 7.5% | California, 5.25%, | | |
| \$ | 4,500 | 4/1/30 California, 5.50%, | \$ 4,682,925 | |
| | 2,215 | 11/1/33 New York City, NY, | 2,373,616 | |
| | 4,000 | 5.25%, 1/15/33 | 4,169,640 \$ 11,226,181 | |
| | Hospital - 5.8% | | φ 11,220,161 | |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Daughters of Charity | | |
| \$ | 650 | Health System), 5.25%, 7/1/30 | \$ 668,635 | |
| | | Cuyahoga County, OH, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), | | |
| | 380 | 5.50%, 1/1/29 Hawaii Pacific | 400,429 | |
| | 500 | Health, 5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health | 509,575 | |
| | 1,000 | System), 5.375%, 11/15/35 | 1,035,220 | |
| | | Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley | | |
| | 1,500 | Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 | 1,518,855 | |
| | | South Miami, FL, Health Facility Authority, | | |
| | 4,500 | (Baptist Health), 5.25%, 11/15/33 | 4,623,210 | |
| | .,- 20 | , | \$ 8,755,924 | |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 12.4% | Duelto County CA | | |
| | | Burke County, GA, Development Authority, (Georgia Power Co.), (FGIC), 4.75%, | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | 5/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,473,240 | |
| | 2,500 | Burlington, KS, PCR, (Kansas Gas & Electric Co.), (MBIA), 5.30%, | 2,645,825 | |
| | | | | |

| 0 0 | | | |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | 6/1/31 | |
| | | Chelan County, WA, | |
| | | Public Utility District | |
| | | No. 1, | |
| | | (Columbia River), | |
| | 22,685 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/23 | 9,006,626 |
| | 22,063 | Jea, FL, Electric | 9,000,020 |
| | | System, (FSA), | |
| | 3,900 | 5.00%, 10/1/34 | 3,962,283 |
| | | Municipal Energy | |
| | | Agency, NE, (Power | |
| | | Supply System), | |
| | 1,500 | (FSA), 5.00%, 4/1/36 | 1,542,210 |
| | | | \$ 18,630,184 |
| Insured-General Obl | ligations - 19.6% | | |
| | | Alvin, TX, | |
| | | Independent School | |
| | | District, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 1,600 | 3.25%, 2/15/27 | \$ 1,265,440 |
| | | Butler County, KS, | |
| | | Unified School | |
| | | District No. 394, | |
| | 2,550 | (FSA), 3.50%, 9/1/24 | 2,176,144 |
| | | California, (XLCA), Variable Rate, | |
| | | 9.955%, 10/1/28 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | |
| | 1,640 | | 1,777,776 |
| | 1,515 | Chicago, IL, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/42 | 1,534,801 |
| | 1,515 | Chicago, IL, Board of | 1,334,001 |
| | | Education, (FGIC), | |
| | 10,000 | 0.00%, 12/1/23 | 3,875,600 |
| | , | Desert Sands, CA, | 2,0.2,000 |
| | | Unified School | |
| | | District, | |
| | | (Election of 2001), | |
| | 1,000 | (FSA), 5.00%, 6/1/24 | 1,043,650 |
| | | King County, WA, | |
| | 4,830 | (MBIA), 5.25%, 1/1/34 | 5,030,445 |
| | 4,030 | Philadelphia, PA, | 3,030,443 |
| | | (FSA), Variable Rate, | |
| | 2,080 | 9.92%, 9/15/31 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 2,203,718 |
| | 2,000 | 7.7270, 7/13/31 | 2,203,710 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| rincipal Amount 000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| | | Security | v aluc |
| nsured-General Obligations (continued) | | Dhoeniy A7 | |
| | | Phoenix, AZ, (AMBAC), 3.00%, | |
| \$ | 1,270 | 7/1/28 | \$ 974,827 |
| | · | Port Orange, FL, Capital | 7 |
| | | Improvements, (FGIC), | |
| | 5,490 | 5.00%, 10/1/35 | 5,660,574 |
| | | Washington, (Motor | |
| | | Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA), | |
| | 10,000 | 0.00%, 12/1/23 | 3,898,900 |
| | | | \$ 29,441,875 |
| usured-Hospital - 2.6% | | | |
| \$ | 3,000 | Maryland HEFA, | \$ 3,934,920 |
| | , | (Medlantic/Helix Issue), | , , , , , , |
| | | (FSA), | |
| | | Variable Rate, 10.665%, | |
| | | | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| | | 0.41.5 (20./2)/2) | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | 8/15/38 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | \$ 3,934,920 |
| Participation - 2.9% | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 4,250 | 5.125%, 2/1/34 | \$ 4,386,595 |
| | | | \$ 4,386,595 |
| Insured-Private Education - 3.8% | | | |
| | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), | |
| \$ | 2,500 | (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 | \$ 3,019,900 |
| | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin | |
| | | College), (XLCA), | |
| | 2,500 | 5.25%, 7/1/33 | 2,614,700 |
| | | | \$ 5,634,600 |
| Insured-Public Education - 9.3% | | | |
| | | Central Michigan University, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,500 | 4.75%, 10/1/29 | \$ 1,514,220 |
| | | College of Charleston, SC, Academic and Administrative | |
| | 3,500 | Facilities, (XLCA), 5.125%, 4/1/30 | 3,615,675 |
| | | Florida Education System, Housing Facility Revenue, | |
| | | (International University), (MBIA), | |
| | 750 | 4.50%, 7/1/34 University of California, | 722,775 |
| | 5,335 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/1/27 | 5,489,555 |
| | | University of Massachusetts Building Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 2,500 | 5.25%, 11/1/29 | 2,661,600 |
| | | | \$ 14,003,825 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue - 1.8% | | Tarana WA G | |
| \$ | 2 575 | Tacoma, WA, Sewer Revenue, (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/31 | \$ 2,626,722 |
| Ф | 2,575 | 3.00%, 12/1/31 | \$ 2,626,732 |
| Inguined Chaoriel Assessment Deviance 150 | | | \$ 2,626,732 |
| Insured-Special Assessment Revenue - 1.5% | | San Jose, CA, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 2,165 | Variable Rate, 9.955%, 8/1/32 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | \$ 2,287,734 |
| φ | 2,103 | 0/1/34\-/*\/ | \$ 2,287,734 \$ 2,287,734 |

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

| Principal Amount | Consuiter | Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| (000's omitted) Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 6.4% | Security | value |
| filsureu-special Tax Revenue - 0.470 | Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, (McCormick | |
| \$ 4,000 | Place Expansion), IL, (MBIA), 5.25%, 6/15/42 | \$ 4,183,280 |
| | Utah Transportation Authority Sales Tax, (FSA), | |
| 5,325 | 5.00%, 6/15/32 | 5,442,469 |
| | | \$ 9,625,749 |
| Insured-Transportation - 37.6% | Central, TX, Regional Mobility Authority, (FGIC), | |
| \$ 1,000 | 5.00%, 1/1/45 | \$ 1,012,630 |
| | Chicago, IL, Transportation, (Skywalk), (AMBAC), | |
| 4,000 | 5.25%, 1/1/31 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | 4,321,720 |
| 11,900 | 0.00%, 9/1/22 | 4,992,407 |
| | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| 12,390 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 4,607,593 |
| | Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway | |
| 2,345 | System, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/39 Massachusetts | 2,380,949 |
| | Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway | |
| 1,500 | System, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 1,521,120 |
| 1,500 | Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan Highway | 1,021,120 |
| 3,835 | System, (MBIA), Variable Rate, 9.995%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 3,996,990 |
| | Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas | |
| | Monorail-1st Tier), | |
| 13,885 | (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20 | 6,721,173 |
| 1,200 | North Texas Tollway Authority, (FSA), 4.50%, 1/1/38 | 1,130,412 |

| | South Carolina | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| | Transportation | |
| | Infrastructure, | |
| | (AMBAC), | |
| 5,000 | 5.25%, 10/1/31 | 5,264,000 |
| | Texas Turnpike | |
| 10,000 | Authority, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42 ⁽⁴⁾ | 10,113,100 |
| 10,000 | Triborough Bridge and | 10,113,100 |
| | Tunnel Authority, NY, | |
| | (MBIA), | |
| 10,000 | 5.00%, 11/15/32 | 10,283,400 |
| · | | \$ 56,345,494 |
| L | | Ψ 30,343,474 |
| Insured-Utilities - 8.5% | Los Angeles, CA, | |
| | Department of Water and Power, | |
| \$ 6,500 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 | \$ 6,584,305 |
| Ψ 0,500 | Philadelphia, PA, Gas | ψ 0,504,505 |
| | Works Revenue, | |
| | (FSA), | |
| 6,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 | 6,153,780 |
| | | \$ 12,738,085 |
| | | \$ 12,730,003 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer - 12.7% | Atlanta CA Water | |
| | Atlanta, GA, Water and Sewer, (FGIC), | |
| 0.040 | 5.00%, 11/1/38 ⁽⁵⁾ | ¢ 2.276.902 |
| \$ 2,240 | Atlanta, GA, Water | \$ 2,276,893 |
| | and Wastewater, | |
| | (MBIA), | |
| 4,895 | 5.00%, 11/1/39 | 4,978,264 |
| 7,073 | Birmingham, AL, | T, 2 / U, 2UT |
| | Waterworks and Sewer | |
| | Board, (MBIA), | |
| 8,155 | 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 8,351,699 |
| | New York City, NY, | |
| | Municipal Water | |
| | Finance Authority, | |
| | (Water and Sewer | |
| | System), (AMBAC), | 1 000 005 |
| 1,950 | 5.00%, 6/15/38 Dittalyyrah, DA, Water | 1,999,335 |
| | Pittsburgh, PA, Water and Sewer Authority, | |
| | (AMBAC), | |
| | Variable Rate, | |
| 1,275 | 10.304%, 12/1/27 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 1,426,495 |
| 1,213 | 10.00 170, 12/1/27 | |
| | | \$ 19,032,686 |
| | | |
| | | |
| Principal Amount | 2 | *7.1 |
| (000's omitted) | Security | Value |
| Insured-Water Revenue - 14.1% | | |
| | Contra Costa, CA, | |
| | Water District, (FSA), Variable Rate, | |
| d | 9.959%, 10/1/32 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | ¢ 2.524.601 |
| \$ 2,330 | 9.959%, 10/1/32 Detroit, MI, Water | \$ 2,534,691 |
| | Supply System, | |
| | (MBIA), Variable | |
| | Rate, | |
| 3,450 | 9.82%, 7/1/34 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 3,712,959 |
| 7,000 | Metropolitan Water | 7,223,510 |
| , | District, CA, (FGIC), | · |
| | | |

| | | 5.00%, 10/1/36 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|--------------|--|
| | | San Antonio, TX, | | | |
| | | Water Revenue, | | | |
| | 2.050 | (FGIC), | | 2 00 0 0 0 | |
| | 2,870 | 5.00%, 5/15/23 Texas Southmost | | 2,986,063 | |
| | | Regional Water | | | |
| | | Authority, (MBIA), | | | |
| | 4,610 | 5.00%, 9/1/32 | | 4,702,799 | |
| | | | \$ | 21,160,022 | |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 0.7% | | | • | | |
| | | Metropolitan | | | |
| | | Transportation | | | |
| | | Authority of New York, | | | |
| | | Lease Contract, | | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.125%, 1/1/29 | \$ | 1,029,810 | |
| | , | , | \$ | 1,029,810 | |
| Other Revenue - 0.9% | | | Ψ | 1,020,010 | |
| Other Revenue - 0.970 | | Capital Trust Agency, | | | |
| | | FL, (Seminole Tribe | | | |
| | | Convention), | | | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 8.95%, 10/1/33 | \$ | 1,400,000 | |
| | | | \$ | 1,400,000 | |
| Special Tax Revenue - 3.8% | | | | | |
| | | Massachusetts Bay | | | |
| | | Transportation | | | |
| | | Authority, Sales Tax, | _ | | |
| \$ | 3,155 | 5.00%, 7/1/29 New Jersey EDA, | \$ | 3,388,628 | |
| | | (Cigarette Tax), | | | |
| | 750 | 5.50%, 6/15/24 | | 781,373 | |
| | | New Jersey EDA, | | | |
| | 1,480 | (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/29 | | 1,555,954 | |
| | 1,400 | 5.15 /0, 0/15/27 | ¢ | | |
| m 55% | | | \$ | 5,725,955 | |
| Transportation - 5.5% | | Puerto Rico Highway | | | |
| | | and Transportation Authority, | | | |
| \$ | 7 000 | 5.125%, 7/1/43 | \$ | 8 167 600 | |
| φ | 7,980 | 5.12570, 111145 | | 8,167,690 | |
| Total Tay-Eve | empt Investments - 157.4% | | \$ | 8,167,690 | |
| (ide | entified cost \$227,687,885) | | \$ | 236,154,061 | |
| | ets, Less Liabilities - 0.9% | | \$ | 1,419,219 | |
| | ed Shares Plus Cumulative | | | | |
| | npaid Dividends - (58.3)% | | \$ | (87,502,339) | |
| Net Ass | . A 1' 11 . C | | | | |
| 1001135 | ets Applicable to Common Shares - 100.0% | | | 150,070,941 | |

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 84.6% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 4.7% to 34.8% of total investments.

- (1) When-issued security.
- (2) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$21,875,283 or 14.6% of the Fund's net assets.
- (3) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover when-issued securities.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

| | Tax-Exempt Investments - 158.0% | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Principal Amount 000's omitted) | G 1011 : 50g | Security | Value |
| | General Obligations - 5.9% | California, 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 775 | 6/1/34 | \$ 790,128 |
| | 900 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/30 | 936,585 |
| | 1,465 | California, 5.50%, 11/1/33 | 1,569,909 |
| | -, | | \$ 3,296,622 |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 10.5% | | , ,,,,,, |
| | | Glendale Electric, (MBIA), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 3,475 | 2/1/32 Puerto Rico Electric | \$ 3,566,497 |
| | | Power Authority, (FSA), Variable Rate, | |
| | 1,650 | 8.39%, 7/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,869,730 |
| | | Sacramento Municipal Electric Utility District, (FSA), | |
| | 455 | Variable Rate, 9.957%, 8/15/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | 493,466 |
| | | | \$ 5,929,693 |
| | Insured-General Obligations - 37.8% | | |
| | | California, (AMBAC), 4.25%, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 3/1/27 | \$ 936,730 |
| | 1,250 | California, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/27 | 1,283,775 |
| | 1,230 | California, (XLCA), Variable Rate, | 1,203,773 |
| | 415 | 9.955%, 10/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | 449,864 |
| | | Clovis Unified School District, | ., |
| | 5,000 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/20 | 2,350,050 |
| | | Laguna Salada Union School District, (FGIC), | |
| | 2,000 | 0.00%, 8/1/22 | 837,580 |
| | 2,000 | Long Beach Unified School District, (Election of 1999), | 031,300 |
| | 2,350 | (FSA), 5.00%, 8/1/31 | 2,409,431 |
| | _,, | Los Angeles Unified School District, (FGIC), | , 32, 12 |
| | 1,710 | 5.375%, 7/1/25 | 1,819,406 |
| | 1,945 | | 1,988,957 |

| | Los Osos Community Services, Wastewater |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Assessment District, (MBIA), |
| | 5.00%, 9/2/33 Mount Diablo Unified School District, (FSA), |
| 1,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/25 1,031,510 |
| | San Diego Unified School District, (MBIA), Variable Rate, |
| 735 | 11.455%, 7/1/24 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ 1,055,364 |
| | San Mateo County Community College District, |
| 4,300 | (Election of 2001), (FGIC), 0.00%, 9/1/21 1,903,825 |
| | Santa Ana Unified School District, (MBIA), |
| 1,750 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 1,800,172 |
| | Simi Valley Unified School District, (MBIA), |
| 1,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/28 1,038,860 |
| | Union Elementary School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, |
| 3,200 | 9/1/22 1,336,800 |
| | Union Elementary School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, |
| 2,600 | 9/1/23 1,023,828 |
| | * 21.2 55.472 |

\$ 21,266,152

| Principal Amount | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | |
| Participation - 21.0% | | | |
| | | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue, | |
| \$ | 4,000 | (FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37 | \$ 4,051,960 |
| | | California Public Works Board Lease Revenue, (Department | |
| | 4,250 | of General Services), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/27 ⁽⁴⁾ | 4,368,192 |
| | ,,200 | Orange County Water District Certificates of Participation, | ,,000,172 |
| | 2,250 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/15/34 | 2,303,190 |
| | · | San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), | . / |
| | 1,075 | 5.00%, 6/1/32 | 1,097,704 |
| | | | \$ 11,821,046 |
| Insured-Public Education - 14.3% | | | |

| | | California State University, (AMBAC), | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------|
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.00%, 11/1/33 | \$ | 4,107,760 |
| | 3,790 | University of California, (FGIC), 5.125%, 9/1/31 | | 3,929,624 |
| | | | \$ | 8,037,384 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue - 6.3% | | | | |
| | | Los Angeles Wastewater Treatment System, (FGIC), | | |
| \$ | 3,425 | 5.00%, 6/1/28 | \$ | 3,531,894 |
| | | | \$ | 3,531,894 |
| Insured-Special Assessment Revenue - 18.5% | | | | |
| · | | Cathedral City Public Financing Authority, | | |
| | | (Housing | | |
| | 0.500 | Redevelopment), | _ | 2 544 525 |
| \$ | 2,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Cathedral City Public | \$ | 2,564,525 |
| | | Financing Authority, (Tax Allocation | | |
| | | Redevelopment), | | |
| | 2,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/33 | | 2,564,525 |
| | | Irvine Public Facility and Infrastructure Authority | | |
| | | Assessment, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 1,750 | 5.00%, 9/2/26 | | 1,804,670 |
| | | Murrieta Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | | |
| | 2,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 | | 2,052,240 |
| | | San Jose Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | | |
| | | Variable Rate, 9.955%, | | |
| | 1,335 | 8/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | 1,410,681 |
| | | | \$ | 10,396,641 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 8.7% | | | | |
| | | San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District | | |
| | | Sales Tax Revenue, | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 7/1/31 | \$ | 1,024,990 |
| | | San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.125%, | | |
| | 3,750 | 7/1/36 | | 3,871,050 |
| | | | \$ | 4,896,040 |

See notes to financial statements

16

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------|
| Insured-Transportation - 15.4% | | Society | | . 200 |
| | | California Infrastructure and Economic Development, | | |
| \$ | 4.000 | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 | \$ | 4,125,160 |
| | , | Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, | · | , , , , |
| | 2,250 | (FGIC), 5.25%, 7/1/30 | | 2,369,048 |
| | | San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, | | |
| | 6,670 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/27 | | 2,154,143 |
| | 0,070 | 1/13/27 | \$ | 8,648,351 |
| Insured-Utilities - 3.2% | | | Ψ | 0,010,551 |
| insured cultures 5.2% | | Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, | | |
| | | (FGIC), 5.125%, | | |
| \$ | 1,750 | 7/1/41 | \$ | 1,785,088 |
| | | | \$ | 1,785,088 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.3% | | | | |
| | | East Bay Municipal Utility District Water System, (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 5,700 | 5.00%, 6/1/38 | \$ | 5,810,010 |
| | | | \$ | 5,810,010 |
| Insured-Water Revenue - 1.6% | | | | |
| | | Contra Costa Water District, (FSA), Variable Rate, | | |
| \$ | 835 | 9.959%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | \$ | 908,355 |
| | | | \$ | 908,355 |
| Water Revenue - 4.5% | | | | |
| | | California Water Resource, (Central Valley), | | |
| \$ | 2,500 | 5.00%, 12/1/29 | \$ | 2,544,050 |
| | | | \$ | 2,544,050 |
| | mpt Investments - 158.0% entified cost \$86,314,446) | | \$ | 88,871,326 |
| | ets, Less Liabilities - 2.0% | | \$ | 1,113,955 |
| | ed Shares Plus Cumulative | | φ | 1,113,733 |
| Ur | npaid Dividends - (60.0)% | | \$ (| 33,752,096) |
| Net Asse | ets Applicable to Common | | | |
| | Shares - 100.0% | | \$ | 56,233,185 |

| AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc. | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | |

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 93.4% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 31.9% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$6,187,460 or 11.0% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as an inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

17

Eaton Vance Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| | Tax-Exempt Investments - 157.8% | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | Tax-Exempt investments - 137.8% | Security | | Value |
| (**** | Escrowed / Prerefunded - 5.4% | 200000 | | |
| | | Jacksonville Electric Authority, (Water and Sewer Revenue), Prerefunded to 4/1/06, 5.25%, | | |
| \$ | 2,000 | 10/1/31 | \$ | 2,052,680 |
| | | | \$ | 2,052,680 |
| | Hospital - 5.9% | Highlands County, Health Facility Authority, (Adventist | | |
| \$ | 1,160 | Health), 5.25%, 11/15/23 | \$ | 1,203,871 |
| ¥ | 1,100 | South Miami Health Facility Authority, (Baptist Health), | Ψ | -,=00,071 |
| | 1,000 | 5.25%, 11/15/33 | | 1,027,380 |
| | | | \$ | 2,231,251 |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 12.1% | | | |
| | | Deltona, Utility System Revenue, (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | 5.00%, 10/1/33 | \$ | 1,545,540 |
| | 2,435 | JEA Electric System Revenue, (FSA), 4.75%, 10/1/34 | | 2,435,755 |
| | -, | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FSA), | | |
| | 500 | Variable Rate, 8.39%, | | 566 505 |
| | 500 | 7/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | ф | 566,585 |
| | Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 3.0% | | \$ | 4,547,880 |
| | insured-Esciowed / Fictionaled - 5.0% | Dade County, Professional Sports Franchise Facility, (MBIA), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.25%, | | |
| \$ | 1,025 | 10/1/30 | \$ | 1,117,106 |
| | , | | \$ | 1,117,106 |
| | Insured-General Obligations - 9.1% | | | |
| | | Florida Board of Education Capital Outlay, (Public Education), (MBIA), 5.00%, | | |
| \$ | 1,345 | 6/1/32 | \$ | 1,384,987 |
| | 2,000 | Florida Board of Education Capital Outlay, | | 2,059,460 |
| | | | | |

| | | (Public Education), (MBIA), 5.00%, 6/1/32 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | \$ 3,444,447 |
| | Insured-Hospital - 18.5% | | |
| | | Coral Gables Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist Health | |
| | | System of South Florida), (FSA), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 8/15/29 Jacksonville | \$ 1,030,510 |
| | | Economic Development Commission, | |
| | | (Mayo Clinic), (MBIA), 5.50%, | |
| | 1,500 | 11/15/36 | 1,615,005 |
| | | Miami-Dade County, Health Facilities Authority, (Miami | |
| | 1.500 | Children's Hospital), (AMBAC), 5.125%, | 1.552.005 |
| | 1,500 | 8/15/26 Sarasota County, | 1,553,895 |
| | | Public Hospital Board, (Sarasota | |
| | 1,510 | Memorial Hospital), (MBIA), 5.25%, 7/1/24 ⁽³⁾ | 1,647,546 |
| | 1,310 | Sarasota County, Public Hospital Board, (Sarasota | 1,017,510 |
| | 1.000 | Memorial Hospital), (MBIA), 5.50%, | 1.125.200 |
| | 1,000 | 7/1/28 | 1,125,300 \$ 6,972,256 |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Miscellaneous - 11.5% | | Miami-Dade County, (Professional Sports Franchise), | |
| \$ | 1,500 | (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 | \$ 1,503,960 |
| | | Village Center Community Development District, | |
| | 0.550 | (MBIA), 5.00%, | 0.001.575 |
| | 2,750 | 11/1/32 | 2,831,565 |
| nsured-Pooled Loans - 3.3% | | | \$ 4,335,525 |
| institut i ooled Louis - 3.3 // | | Florida Municipal Loan Council Revenue, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 1,520 | 0.00%, 4/1/23 | \$ 632,031 |
| | | Florida Municipal Loan Council Revenue, (MBIA), | |
| | 1,520 | 0.00%, 4/1/24 | 596,402 |
| | | | \$ 1,228,433 |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| Insured-Sewer Revenue - 2.7% | | Di II G | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Pinellas County, Sewer, (FSA), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 10/1/32 | \$ 1,030,580 |
| Ψ | 1,000 | 10/1/32 | |
| | | | \$ 1,030,580 |
| Insured-Special Assessment Revenue - 7.6% | | Inlinator Crost | |
| | | Julington Creek, Plantation Community Development | |
| | | District, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 2,780 | 5.00%, 5/1/29 | \$ 2,858,980 |
| | | | \$ 2,858,980 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 39.8% | | | |
| • | | Bay County, Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 5.125%, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 9/1/27 | \$ 1,041,880 |
| | | Bay County, Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 5.125%, | |
| | 1,250 | 9/1/32 | 1,295,400 |
| | | Dade County, Special Obligation Residual Certificates, | |
| | 1,000 | (AMBAC), Variable Rate, 9.915%, 10/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1,027,780 |
| | 1,000 | Jacksonville Capital Improvements, | 1,027,700 |
| | | (AMBAC), | |
| | 1,500 | 5.00%, 10/1/30 | 1,538,175 |
| | 3,750 | Jacksonville Transportation, (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/31 | 3,832,387 |
| | | Jacksonville, Excise Tax, (FGIC), 5.125%, | |
| | 1,275 | 10/1/27 Miami-Dade County, Special Obligation, (MBIA), | 1,328,958 |
| | 225 | 5.00%, 10/1/37 | 227 277 |
| | 225 | Orange County Tourist Development, (AMBAC), | 227,277 |
| | 1,740 | 5.125%, 10/1/25 | 1,813,063 |
| | ., | Orange County Tourist Development, (AMBAC), | , , |
| | 750 | Variable Rate, 10.29%, | 920.225 |
| | 750 | 10/1/30 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ Orange County, Sales | 830,235 |
| | 1,000 | Tax, (FGIC), 5.125%, 1/1/23 | 1,055,780 |
| | ., | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | ,, |
| | 440 | Variable Rate, 10.286%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 477,330 |
| | 1,120 | Sunrise Public Facility, (MBIA), 0.00%, 10/1/20 | 537,342 |
| | 1,120 | 10/1/20 | 337,372 |

Eaton Vance Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| Principal Amount | | g : | *** |
|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| (000's omitted) | I 1000 | Security | Value |
| | Insured-Transportation - 16.8% | Florida Turnpike Authority, Water & Sewer Revenue, | |
| \$ | 1,500 | (Department of Transportation), (FGIC), 4.50%, 7/1/27 | \$ 1,467,900 |
| | | Miami-Dade County, Expressway Authority, (FGIC), | |
| | 1,500 | 5.00%, 7/1/33 Port Palm Beach District, (Improvements), (XLCA), | 1,545,255 |
| | 1,605 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 611,152 |
| | | Port Palm Beach District, (Improvements), (XLCA), | |
| | 1,950 | 0.00%, 9/1/25 | 700,908 |
| | | Port Palm Beach District, (Improvements), (XLCA), | |
| | 1,700 | 0.00%, 9/1/26 | 577,541 |
| | 330 | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (FSA), Variable Rate, 9.955%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 363,670 |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| | 940 | (MBIA), Variable Rate, 10.862%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1,072,098 |
| | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | \$ 6,338,524 |
| | Insured-Utility - 4.2% | | |
| | | Daytona Beach, Utility System Revenue, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,550 | 5.00%, 11/15/32 | \$ 1,592,083 |
| | | | \$ 1,592,083 |
| | Insured-Water and Sewer - 16.3% | | |
| | | JEA Water and Sewer | |
| \$ | 1,500 | System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 | \$ 1,505,130 |
| | 2,000 | Marco Island Utility System, (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/27 | 2,072,140 |
| | | Marion County Utility System, (MBIA), | |
| | 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/33 | 1,030,790 |
| | 1,000 | Sunrise Utility System, (AMBAC), 5.00%, | 1,049,660 |
| | | | |

| | 10/1/28 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| | Tampa Bay Water Utility System, (FGIC), Variable Rate, |
| 500 | 0 6.90%, 10/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ 505,525 |
| | \$ 6,163,245 |
| Transportation - 1.6% | % |
| | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, |
| \$ 250 | 0 5.125%, 7/1/39 \$ 256,558 |
| | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, |
| 350 | 0 5.125%, 7/1/43 358,232 |
| | \$ 614,790 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 157.8% (identified cost \$57,614,533 | |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 1.8% | % \$ 695,696 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | re |
| Unpaid Dividends - (59.6)% | % \$ (22,503,607) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common | n |
| Shares - 100.0% | % \$ 37,725,476 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Florida municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 91.8% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.2% to 49.0% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$4,843,223 or 12.8% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as an inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (4) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.

Eaton Vance Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| | Security | | Value |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Mh | | |
| | | | |
| | System), | | |
| 1,500 | 5.75%, 7/1/32 | \$ | 1,624,770 |
| | | | |
| | ` | | |
| 1,000 | 5.75%, 7/1/29 | | 1,031,380 |
| | | \$ | 2,656,150 |
| | | | , , |
| | Massachusetts College | | |
| | | | |
| | Escrowed to Maturity, | | |
| 3,000 | 0.00%, 5/1/26 | \$ | 1,074,450 |
| | | | |
| | (FGIC), | | |
| | Variable Rate, | | |
| 1,000 | 9.274%, 7/1/32(1)(2) | | 1,254,790 |
| | | \$ | 2,329,240 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2,000 | 8/1/28 | \$ | 2,216,740 |
| 1.000 | Maynard, (MBIA), | | 1 100 000 |
| 1,020 | | | 1,133,220 |
| 500 | 4.50%, 7/15/29 | | 493,060 |
| | | \$ | 3,843,020 |
| | | | |
| | Massachusetts HEFA, | | |
| | | | |
| 1,750 | | \$ | 1,769,180 |
| -, | Massachusetts HEFA, | ¥ | ,, |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1,210 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 5/15/25 | | 1,242,743 |
| , . | | \$ | 3,011,923 |
| | | | · · |
| | | | |
| | Massachusetts | | |
| | | | |
| 1,750 | | \$ | 1,806,245 |
| 1,000 | Plymouth County | | 1,031,180 |
| | | | |
| | (AIVIDAC), | | |
| | 1,000 1,000 1,000 2,000 1,020 500 1,750 | 1,500 5.75%, 7/1/32 Massachusetts HEFA, (South Shore Hospital), 1,000 5.75%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts College Building Authority, (MBIA), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26 Puerto Rico, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, (FGIC), Variable Rate, 9.274%, 7/1/32(1)(2) Massachusetts, (MBIA), 5.25%, 8/1/28 Maynard, (MBIA), 1,020 5.50%, 2/1/22 Sandwich, (MBIA), 500 4.50%, 7/15/29 Massachusetts HEFA, (Harvard Pilgrim Health), (FSA), 1,750 5.00%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts HEFA, (New England Medical Center), (FGIC), 5.00%, 1,210 5/15/25 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (MBIA), 1,750 5.125%, 2/1/34 | Massachusetts HEFA, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.75%, 7/1/32 Massachusetts HEFA, (South Shore Hospital), 1,000 5.75%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts College Building Authority, (MBIA), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26 Puerto Rico, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, (FGIC), Variable Rate, 9.274%, 7/1/32(1)(2) Massachusetts, (MBIA), 5.25%, 8/1/28 \$ Maynard, (MBIA), 1,020 \$ Sow, 2/1/22 Sandwich, (MBIA), 5.50%, 2/1/29 \$ Sandwich, (MBIA), 4.50%, 7/15/29 \$ Massachusetts HEFA, (Harvard Pilgrim Health), (FSA), 5.00%, 7/1/28 \$ Massachusetts HEFA, (New England Medical Center), (FGIC), 5.00%, 5/15/25 \$ Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (MBIA), 1,750 5.125%, 2/1/34 \$ Plymouth County Correctional Facility, |

| | | 5 000 444 100 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | 5.00%, 4/1/22 Puerto Rico Public | | |
| | | Building Authority, | | |
| | | (CIFG), | | |
| | 1,000 | Variable Rate, | | 1 220 960 |
| | 1,000 | 10.705%, 7/1/36(1)(2) | ď | 1,230,860 |
| | | | \$ | 4,068,285 |
| Insured-Miscellaneous - 8.5% | | Massachusetts | | |
| | | Development Finance | | |
| | | Agency, (WGBH | | |
| | | Educational Equation | | |
| | | Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.375%, | | |
| \$ | 2,100 | 1/1/42 | \$ | 2,214,072 |
| | | | \$ | 2,214,072 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount | | Canneiter | | Value |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| Insured-Pooled Loans - 3.5% | | Puerto Rico Municipal | | |
| | | Finance Agency, (FSA), | | |
| | | Variable Rate, 9.956%, | | |
| \$ | 800 | 8/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ | 899,208 |
| | | | \$ | 899,208 |
| Insured-Private Education - 20.2% | | Mh | | |
| | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston | | |
| | | University), (XLCA), | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.375%, 5/15/39 Massachusetts | \$ | 1,090,140 |
| | | Development Finance | | |
| | | Agency, | | |
| | | (Boston University), | | |
| | 1,000 | (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 | | 1,207,960 |
| | 1,000 | Massachusetts | | 1,207,500 |
| | | Development Finance | | |
| | | Agency, (Franklin W. Olin | | |
| | | College), (XLCA), | | |
| | 1,500 | 5.25%, 7/1/33 | | 1,568,820 |
| | | Massachusetts IFA, | | |
| | | (Tufts University), (MBIA), | | |
| | 1,400 | 4.75%, 2/15/28 | | 1,404,592 |
| | | | \$ | 5,271,512 |
| Insured-Public Education - 17.6% | | | | |
| | | Massachusetts College | | |
| | | Building Authority, (XLCA), | | |
| \$ | 700 | 5.50%, 5/1/39 | \$ | 802,935 |
| φ | 700 | Massachusetts HEFA, | ф | 002,933 |
| | | (University of Massachusetts), | | |
| | | (FGIC), 5.125%, | | |
| | 1,000 | 10/1/34 Massachusetts HEFA, | | 1,035,490 |
| | 1,150 | (Worcester State | | 1,186,926 |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| | | College), | | |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| | | 11/1/32 | | |
| | | University of | | |
| | | Massachusetts Building Authority, | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.125%, | | |
| | 1,500 | (AMBAC), 3.125 %, 11/1/34 | | 1,563,840 |
| | 1,500 | 11/1/31 | \$ | 4,589,191 |
| I 10 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | | | Ф | 4,369,191 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 9.1% | | Martha's Vineyard | | |
| | | Land Bank, (AMBAC), | | |
| \$ | 1,280 | 5.00%, 5/1/32 ⁽³⁾ | \$ | 1,319,859 |
| Ψ | 1,200 | Massachusetts State | Ψ | 1,517,057 |
| | | Special Obligation - | | |
| | | Convention | | |
| | | Center, (FGIC), 5.25%, | | |
| | 1,000 | 1/1/29 | | 1,061,480 |
| | | | \$ | 2,381,339 |
| Insured-Transportation - 14.9% | | | | |
| | | Massachusetts | | |
| | | Turnpike Authority, | | |
| | | (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 5,700 | 0.00%, 1/1/28 Massachusetts | \$ | 1,804,563 |
| | | Turnpike Authority, | | |
| | | Metropolitan Highway | | |
| | | System, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 1,250 | 5.00%, 1/1/39 | | 1,269,162 |
| | | Massachusetts | | |
| | | Turnpike Authority, | | |
| | | Metropolitan Highway | | |
| | | System, (MBIA), | | |
| | 415 | Variable Rate, 9.995%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | 432,530 |
| | 413 | Puerto Rico Highway | | +32,330 |
| | | and Transportation | | |
| | | Authority, | | |
| | | (MBIA), Variable Rate, | | |
| | 335 | $10.862\%, 7/1/36^{(1)(2)}$ | | 382,078 |
| | | | \$ | 3,888,333 |
| | | | | |

Eaton Vance Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Insured-Water and Sew | ver - 9.9% | | |
| | | Massachusetts Water Resource Authority, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 2,500 | 5.00%, 8/1/32 | \$ 2,570,125 |
| | | | \$ 2,570,125 |
| Private Education - 9.3 | % | | |
| | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | |
| \$ | 500 | (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), 5.75%, 7/1/33 | \$ 519,200 |
| | | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, | |
| | 750 | (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33 | 764,940 |
| | , | Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western | , , , , , , |
| | (00 | New England College), 6.125%, | (22.070 |
| | 600 | 12/1/32 Massachusetts HEFA, (Boston College), | 632,070 |
| | 500 | 5.125%, 6/1/24 | 520,330 |
| | | | \$ 2,436,540 |
| Transportation - 4.7% | | | |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| \$ | 1,200 | 5.125%, 7/1/43 | \$ 1,228,224 |
| | | | \$ 1,228,224 |
| | Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 158.6% (identified cost \$39,551,757) | | \$ 41,387,162 |
| F | Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 0.8% Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | \$ 202,711 |
| | Unpaid Dividends - (59.4)% | | \$ (15,500,000) |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common | | |
| | Shares - 100.0% | | \$ 26,089,873 |

 $AMBAC \hbox{ -- AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.} \\$

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 84.7% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.0% to 26.0% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$4,199,466 or 16.1% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

Eaton Vance Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| Dringing LAmount | Tax-Exempt Investments - 159.2% | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | Education - 2.3% | Security | Value |
| | Education = 2.5 % | Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, | |
| \$ | 500 | (Hillsdale College), 5.00%, 3/1/35 | \$ 506,080 |
| | | | \$ 506,080 |
| | Electric Utilities - 5.8% | Michigan Strategic Fund, (Detroit Edison Pollution Control), | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 5.45%, 9/1/29 | \$ 1,299,187 |
| | | | \$ 1,299,187 |
| | Hospital - 18.6% | | |
| | | Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Oakwood Hospital), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.75%, 4/1/32 | \$ 1,045,140 |
| | | Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Sparrow Obligation | |
| | 1,500 | Group), 5.625%, 11/15/36 | 1,540,650 |
| | | Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health), | |
| | 1,500 | 5.375%, 12/1/30 | 1,558,080 |
| | | | \$ 4,143,870 |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 2.4% | Michigan Strategic Fund Resource Recovery, | |
| \$ | 500 | (Detroit Edison Co.), (XLCA), 5.25%, 12/15/32 | \$ 522,500 |
| | | | \$ 522,500 |
| | Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 11.4% | Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (St. John Health | |
| | | System), Escrowed to Maturity, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,150 | 5.00%, 5/15/28 | \$ 1,174,449 |
| | | Puerto Rico, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, (FGIC), | |
| | 1,095 | Variable Rate, 9.274%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,373,995 |
| | | | \$ 2,548,444 |
| | Insured-General Obligations - 35.2% | Allacar D. L. C. L. L. | |
| \$ | 500 | Allegan Public School District, (FSA), 5.00%, 5/1/30 | \$ 517,400 |
| | | | |

| | | Detroit School District, (School Bond Loan | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------------------|
| | | Fund), (FSA), | | |
| | 1,550 | 5.125%, 5/1/31 | | 1,599,507 |
| | | Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, | | |
| | 1,960 | (Devos Place), (MBIA), 0.00%, 12/1/27 | | 623,143 |
| | | Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, | | |
| | 4,000 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 12/1/30 | | 1,081,880 |
| | 750 | Greenville Public Schools, (MBIA), 5.00%, 5/1/25 | | 770,940 |
| | | Melvindle-Northern Allen Park School District, (Building | | |
| | 1,000 | and Site), (FSA), 5.00%, 5/1/28 | | 1,030,810 |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | Okemos Public School | | |
| \$ | 1,330 | District, (MBIA), 0.00%, 5/1/19 Reed City Public | \$ | 686,626 |
| | 1,500 | Schools, (FSA), 5.00%, 5/1/29 | | 1,550,265 |
| | | | \$ | 7,860,571 |
| Insured-Hospital - 9.7% | | Michigan Hospital | | |
| | | Finance Authority, Mid-Michigan | | |
| \$ | 500 | Obligation Group, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/15/32 | \$ | 510,605 |
| | | Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Revenue, (William | | |
| | 4.500 | Beaumont Hospital), (MBIA), 5.25%, | | 4 652 000 |
| | 1,590 | 11/15/35 | \$ | 1,652,932 2,163,537 |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | Þ | 2,105,35/ |
| Participation - 13.7% | | | | |
| | | Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), | | |
| \$ | 1,750 | 0.00%, 8/15/22 | \$ | 753,865 |
| | | Michigan House of Representatives, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 2,615 | 0.00%, 8/15/23 | | 1,065,508 |
| | | Puerto Rico Public Building Authority, (CIFG), | | |
| | 1,000 | Variable Rate, 10.705%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | 1,230,860 |
| | 1,000 | 10.705/0, 1/1/50(-/-/ | \$ | 3,050,233 |
| | | | Ψ | ,, |

| Insured-Public Education - 11.6% | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| \$ | 250 | Central Michigan University, (AMBAC), 4.75%, 10/1/29 | \$ 252,370 |
| | 1,500 | Central Michigan University, (AMBAC), 5.05%, 10/1/32 ⁽³⁾ | 1,549,065 |
| | | Lake Superior University, (AMBAC), | |
| | 750 | 5.125%, 11/15/26 | \$ 778,590 2,580,025 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue - 7.3% | | | |
| \$ | 1,250 | Detroit Sewer Disposal, (FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/31 | \$ 1,279,475 |
| | 340 | Detroit Sewer Disposal, (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/30 | 351,132 |
| | | | \$ 1,630,607 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 18.4% | | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | Lansing Building Authority, (MBIA), 5.00%, 6/1/29 | \$ 1,546,035 |
| | , | Wayne Charter County, (Airport Hotel-Detroit | ,, |
| | | Metropolitan Airport), (MBIA), 5.00%, | |
| | 1,500 | 12/1/30 Ypsilanti Community Utilities Authority, (San Sewer | 1,536,840 |
| | 1,000 | System), (FGIC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 | 1,023,610 |
| | | | \$ 4,106,485 |
| Insured-Transportation - 4.6% | | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | Michigan Trunk Line, (FSA), 5.00%, 11/1/25 | \$ 1,028,750 |
| | | | \$ 1,028,750 |

Eaton Vance Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Insured-Utility - 7.0% | | | |
| | | Lansing Board Water Supply, Steam and Electric Utility, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | (FSA), 5.00%, 7/1/25 | \$ 1,035,470 |
| | | Lansing Board Water Supply, Steam and Electric Utility, | |
| | 510 | (FSA), 5.00%, | 520.260 |
| | 510 | 7/1/26 | 528,268 |
| | | | \$ 1,563,738 |
| Insured-Water Revenue - 11.2% | | D. C. W. | |
| \$ | 1,600 | Detroit Water Supply System, (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/30 | \$ 1,634,752 |
| | , | Detroit Water Supply System, (MBIA), Variable Rate, | |
| | 800 | 9.82%, 7/1/34 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 860,976 |
| | | | \$ 2,495,728 |
| | empt Investments - 159.2% dentified cost \$34,077,034) | | \$ 35,499,755 |
| Other Ass | sets, Less Liabilities - 1.4% | | \$ 306,560 |
| Auction Prefer | red Shares Plus Cumulative | | |
| | Jnpaid Dividends - (60.6)% | | \$ (13,501,526) |
| Net Ass | sets Applicable to Common | | |
| | Shares - 100.0% | | \$ 22,304,789 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 83.2% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.5% to 25.7% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$3,465,831 or 15.5% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

23

Eaton Vance Insured New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| Tax-Exempt Investments - 156.3% | | | |
|----------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Hospital - 2.9% | | | |
| | | Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health), | |
| \$ | 500 | 5.75%, 2/15/34 | \$ 517,310 |
| | | New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Capital Health | |
| | 610 | System), 5.375%, | 622 100 |
| | 010 | 7/1/33 | 623,188 \$ 1,140,498 |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 5.0% | | | \$ 1,140,498 |
| ilisaled-Escrowed / Frererunded - 5.0% | | Puerto Rico, | |
| | | Prerefunded to 7/1/12, (FGIC), | |
| | | Variable Rate, | |
| \$ | 1,550 | 9.274%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,944,924 |
| | | | \$ 1,944,924 |
| Insured-General Obligations - 23.0% | | D (FGA) | |
| \$ | 2,260 | Bayonne, (FSA), 0.00%, 7/1/22 Bayonne, (FSA), | \$ 1,016,661 |
| | 2,415 | 0.00%, 7/1/23 | 1,028,017 |
| | | Bordentown Regional School District Board of Education, | |
| | 1,500 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 1/15/30 ⁽³⁾ | 1 5 40 005 |
| | 1,300 | Chester Township Board of Education, | 1,549,995 |
| | 500 | (FSA), 4.50%, 3/1/35 | 485,180 |
| | | Florence Township Fire District No. 1, (MBIA), | |
| | 265 | 5.125%, 7/15/28 | 279,996 |
| | | Florence Township Fire District No.1, (MBIA), | |
| | 170 | 5.125%, 7/15/29 | 179,236 |
| | 5 500 | Irvington Township, (FSA), 0.00%, | 1.004.070 |
| | 5,500 | 7/15/26 Jersey City, (FSA), | 1,984,950 |
| | 1,250 | 5.25%, 9/1/23 Washington Township and Mercer County Board of | 1,334,250 |
| | 1,000 | Education, (FGIC), 5.00%, 1/1/27 | 1,030,230 |
| | · | | \$ 8,888,515 |
| | | | , ,- |

| Insured-Hospital - 10.5% | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | New Jersey Health Care Facilities, | |
| | | (Englewood | |
| | | Hospital), | |
| \$ | 2,750 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/31 | \$ 2,820,235 |
| Ψ | 2,750 | New Jersey Health | Ψ 2,020,233 |
| | | Care Facilities, | |
| | | (Jersey City Medical Center), (AMBAC), | |
| | 1,200 | 5.00%, 8/1/41 | 1,222,872 |
| | | | \$ 4,043,107 |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | | |
| Participation - 13.6% | | Lafayette Yard, | |
| | | Community | |
| | | Development | |
| | | Corporation, (Hotel and | |
| | | Conference Center), | |
| r. | 2.670 | (FGIC), 5.00%, | Ф 2.707.520 |
| \$ | 2,670 | 4/1/35 Middlesex County, | \$ 2,727,538 |
| | | (MBIA), 5.00%, | |
| | 1,250 | 8/1/31 Puerto Rico Public | 1,276,775 |
| | | Building Authority, | |
| | | (CIFG), | |
| | 1,000 | Variable Rate, 10.705%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,230,860 |
| | 1,000 | 10.705 %, 111750 | \$ 5,235,173 |
| | | | ¢ 0,200,170 |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Pooled Loans - 2.8% | | Puerto Rico Municipal | |
| | | Finance Agency, | |
| | | (FSA), | |
| \$ | 950 | Variable Rate, 9.955%, 8/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 1,067,809 |
| · | 127 | J. 1, <u>_</u> . | \$ 1,067,809 |
| Insured-Private Education - 2.7% | | | . , ., |
| | | | |
| | | New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, | |
| _ | | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | Facilities Authority, | \$ 1,035,820 |
| | 1,000 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), | \$ 1,035,820 \$ 1,035,820 |
| \$ Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | 1,000 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 | |
| | 1,000 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), | |
| | 1,000 1,400 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/29 | |
| Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), | \$ 1,035,820 |
| Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | 1,400 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/29 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), | \$ 1,035,820 \$ 1,425,466 |
| Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/29 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/27 | \$ 1,035,820 |
| Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | 1,400 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/29 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), | \$ 1,035,820 \$ 1,425,466 |
| Insured-Public Education - 25.3% | 1,400 | Facilities Authority, (Kean University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Monmouth, (Brookdale Community College), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/29 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational | \$ 1,035,820 \$ 1,425,466 |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| | | New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | (Rowan University), | |
| | 1,500 | (FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/30 | 1,562,340 |
| | | University of New Jersey Medicine and Dentistry, | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | |
| | 4,490 | 4/15/32 | 4,632,288 |
| | | | \$ 9,782,575 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue - 6.1% | | | |
| | | Long Branch Sewer Authority, (FGIC), | |
| \$ | 900 | 4.75%, 6/1/23 Passaic Valley Sewer Commissioners, (FGIC), | \$ 935,055 |
| | 2,100 | 2.50%, 12/1/32 | 1,407,378 |
| | | | \$ 2,342,433 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 10.4% | | | |
| · | | Garden State New Jersey Preservation Trust, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 10,000 | 0.00%, 11/1/28 | \$ 3,189,200 |
| | | New Jersey EDA, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (MBIA), | |
| | 750 | 5.25%, 7/1/26 | 831,308 |
| | | | \$ 4,020,508 |
| Insured-Transportation - 22.5% | | | |
| | | Delaware River and Bay Authority, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 780 | 5.00%, 1/1/33 | \$ 805,483 |
| | | Newark Housing Authority, (Newark Marine | , |
| | 000 | Terminal), (MBIA), | 022.017 |
| | 800 | 5.00%, 1/1/23 Newark Housing | 833,016 |
| | | Authority, (Newark Marine | |
| | 1,500 | Terminal), (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 1,542,720 |
| | | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (FSA), | |
| | | Variable Rate, 9.955%, | |
| | 1,290 | 11/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,440,685 |
| | | | |

See notes to financial statements

24

Eaton Vance Insured New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| Insured-Transportation (continued) | | Security | | value |
| and thurspotunon (commune) | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 7/1/33 | \$ | 1,037,210 |
| | | South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 950 | 5.00%, 11/1/29 | | 970,796 |
| | | South Jersey Transportation Authority, (FGIC), | | |
| | 2,000 | 5.00%, 11/1/33 | | 2,073,440 |
| | | | \$ | 8,703,350 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer - 4.7% | | Middleson Country | | |
| | | Middlesex County Improvements Authority Utilities | | |
| | | System, (Perth | | |
| \$ | 4,500 | Amboy), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 | \$ | 1,806,930 |
| Ψ | 4,500 | 0.00%, 7/1/24 | \$ | 1,806,930 |
| Insured-Water Revenue - 4.7% | | | Ψ | 1,000,750 |
| insuled water revenue 1.776 | | Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority, Water Revenue, | | |
| \$ | 1,800 | (XLCA), 4.75%, 4/1/33 | \$ | 1,806,534 |
| | | | \$ | 1,806,534 |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 4.0% | | Navy Jamay EDA | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | New Jersey EDA, (School Facilities), 5.00%, 6/15/26 | \$ | 1,541,040 |
| | | | \$ | 1,541,040 |
| Private Education - 3.3% | | | | |
| | | New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute of | | |
| | | Technology), 5.25%, | | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 7/1/32 | \$ | 1,282,288 |
| 0 . 1 | | | \$ | 1,282,288 |
| Senior Living / Life Care - 1.5% | | New Jersey EDA, | | |
| \$ | 600 | (Fellowship Village), 5.50%, 1/1/25 | \$ | 593,184 |
| | | | \$ | 593,184 |
| Special Tax Revenue - 5.1% | | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), | | |
| \$ | 150 | (Cigarette Tax), 5.50%, 6/15/24 | \$ | 156,275 |
| | 500 | | | 512,845 |
| | | | | |

| | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), 5.50%, 6/15/31 | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 500 | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/29 | 525,660 |
| 750 | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/34 | 786,240 |
| | · | \$ 1,981,020 |

Principal Amount

| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Transportation - 8.2% | | | |
| | | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 5.00%, 9/1/38 | \$ 1,283,775 |
| | | South Jersey Port Authority, (Marine Terminal), | |
| | 1,825 | 5.10%, 1/1/33 | 1,872,304 |
| | | | \$ 3,156,079 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 156.3% (identified cost \$57,333,808) | | | \$ 60,371,787 |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 2.0% | | \$ | 756,560 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | | |
| Unpaid Dividends - (58.3)% | | | \$ (22,503,143) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common | | | |
| Shares - 100.0% | | | \$ 38,625,204 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 83.9% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.0% to 27.2% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$5,684,278 or 14.7% of the Fund's net assets.

⁽²⁾ Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.

⁽³⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

| | Tax-Exempt Investments - 155.1% | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| Principal Amount | · | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| | General Obligations - 5.9% | New York, 5.25%, | | |
| \$ | 500 | 8/15/26 | \$ | 527,805 |
| | | New York, NY, 5.25%, | · | . ,, |
| | 1,650 | 1/15/28 | | 1,725,471 |
| | | | \$ | 2,253,276 |
| | Hospital - 2.0% | | | |
| | | Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, | | |
| ф | 750 | (Huntington Hospital), | ф | 777 410 |
| \$ | 750 | 5.875%, 11/1/32 | \$ | 777,412 |
| | | | \$ | 777,412 |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 14.1% | Lana Island Dansa | | |
| | | Long Island Power Authority Electric Systems Revenue, | | |
| \$ | 6,800 | (FSA), 0.00%, 6/1/22 | \$ | 3,086,316 |
| | | Long Island Power Authority, (NY Electric System), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| | 2,250 | 9/1/34 | | 2,321,190 |
| | | | \$ | 5,407,506 |
| | Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 6.3% | | | |
| | | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Petroleum Tax | | |
| | | Fund, (FGIC), Prerefunded to 11/15/11, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 11/15/31 | \$ | 1,081,490 |
| | | New York City Trust Cultural Resources, (Museum of | | |
| | | History), Prerefunded to 7/1/09, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 580 | Variable Rate, 12.109%, 7/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | 691,766 |
| | | Puerto Rico, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, (FGIC), | | |
| | 500 | Variable Rate, 9.274%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | 627 205 |
| | 500 | // 1/ 3 L \ - /\=/ | ф | 627,395 |
| | 1.0.1011.1.1012 | | \$ | 2,400,651 |
| \$ | Insured-General Obligations - 10.1% 2,245 | New York Dormitory | \$ | 2,312,193 |
| φ | 2,243 | Authority, (School Districts Financing Program), | φ | 2,312,173 |
| | | i manonig i rogram), | | |

| | | (MBIA), 5.00%, | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | 10/1/30 | | |
| | | Sachem School District, (MBIA), 5.00%, | | |
| | 1,500 | 6/15/27 | | 1,565,010 |
| | , , , | | \$ | 3,877,203 |
| | | | Ф | 3,677,203 |
| | Insured-Hospital - 12.2% | New York City Health | | |
| | | and Hospital Corp., | | |
| | | (Health Systems), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 2/15/23 | \$ | 1,295,175 |
| | , | New York Dormitory | | , |
| | | Authority, (Memorial | | |
| | | Sloan-Kettering | | |
| | | Cancer Center), | | |
| | 6,125 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 | | 2,214,616 |
| | | New York Dormitory | | |
| | | Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | | |
| | | | | |
| | 3,365 | Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 | | 1,154,801 |
| | 5,303 | (MDIA), 0.00 /0, 1/11/2/ | ф | |
| | | | \$ | 4,664,592 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| (000 s offitted) | Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | Security | | value |
| | Participation - 3.2% | | | |
| | 1 articipation - 3.2 % | Puerto Rico Public | | |
| | | Building Authority, | | |
| | | (CIFG), | | |
| | | Variable Rate, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 10.705%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ | 1,230,860 |
| | | | \$ | 1,230,860 |
| | Insured-Miscellaneous - 5.4% | | | |
| | | New York City | | |
| | | Cultural Resource | | |
| | | Trust, (Museum of | | |
| | | Modern Art), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.125%, | | |
| \$ | 2,000 | 7/1/31 | \$ | 2,074,580 |
| | | | \$ | 2,074,580 |
| | Insured-Private Education - 26.6% | | | |
| | | New York City | | |
| | | Industrial | | |
| | | Development Agency, | | |
| | | (New York University), | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 7/1/31 | \$ | 1,023,900 |
| | | New York Dormitory | | |
| | | Authority, | | |
| | | (Brooklyn Law | | |
| | | School), (XLCA), | | |
| | 2,500 | 5.125%, 7/1/30 | | 2,596,900 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (FIT | | |
| | | Student Housing | | |
| | | Corp.), (FGIC), | | |
| | 2,265 | 5.00%, 7/1/29 | | 2,347,152 |
| | 1,500 | New York Dormitory | | 1,540,725 |
| | | Authority, (Fordham | | |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| | University), | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/32 | |
| | New York Dormitory Authority, (New York | |
| | University), | |
| | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | |
| 1,000 | 7/1/31 | 1,024,450 |
| -,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | New York Dormitory | 2,021,100 |
| | Authority, (Skidmore | |
| | College), | |
| 500 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/33 | 515,845 |
| | New York Dormitory Authority, (University | |
| | of Rochester), | |
| | (MBIA), 5.00%, | |
| 1,125 | (MBIA), 5.00 \(\lambda\), 7/1/27 | 1,148,805 |
| | | \$ 10,197,777 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 16.8% | | ψ 10,171,111 |
| msureu-speciai Tax Revenue - 10.8% | Metropolitan | |
| | Transportation | |
| | Authority, Petroleum | |
| | Tax Fund, | |
| | (FGIC), 5.25%, | |
| \$ 2,000 | 11/15/31 | \$ 2,109,100 |
| | New York City Transitional Finance | |
| | Authority, (MBIA), | |
| 2,450 | 4.75%, 5/1/23 | 2,484,128 |
| | Sales Tax Asset | , , , , |
| | Recievables Corp., | |
| | (AMBAC), | |
| 1,900 | 4.50%, 10/15/33 | 1,853,716 |
| | | \$ 6,446,944 |
| Insured-Transportation - 16.0% | | |
| | Port Authority of New | |
| | York and New Jersey, (FSA), | |
| | Variable Rate, | |
| \$ 835 | 9.955%, 11/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 932,536 |
| - | Puerto Rico Highway | - >5 2, 550 |
| | and Transportation | |
| | Authority, | |
| | (AMBAC), Variable | |
| 1,000 | Rate, 9.195%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,085,130 |
| 1,000 | Puerto Rico Highway | 1,005,150 |
| | and Transportation | |
| | Authority, (MBIA), | |
| 2,000 | 5.00%, 7/1/33 | 2,074,420 |
| | Triborough Bridge and | |
| | Tunnel Authority, | |
| 2000 | (MBIA), | 2.057.700 |
| 2,000 | 5.00%, 11/15/32 | 2,056,680 |
| | | \$ 6,148,766 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund II as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Principal Amount

| (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----|------------------------|
| | Insured-Water and Sewer - 14.4% | | | |
| | | New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | | |
| \$ | 3,000 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/15/38 ⁽³⁾ | \$ | 3,075,900 |
| | | Niagara Falls, Public Water Authority and | | |
| | 2.400 | Sewer System, (MBIA), 5.00%, | | 2.469.544 |
| | 2,400 | 7/15/34 | ¢ | 2,468,544 5,544,444 |
| | Insured-Water Revenue - 2.7% | | \$ | 3,344,444 |
| | nisured-water revenue - 2.7 % | Buffalo Municipal Water Finance Authority, (FSA), | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.125%, 7/1/32 | \$ | 1,035,410 |
| | | | \$ | 1,035,410 |
| | Other Revenue - 1.6% | | | |
| | | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, | | |
| \$ | 500 | Variable Rate, 11.608%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ | 632,630 |
| Ψ | 300 | 11.000 %, 10/1/32 | \$ | 632,630 |
| | Private Education - 5.3% | | Ψ | 032,030 |
| | Thine Balance Copie | Dutchess County, Industrial Development Agency, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | (Marist College), 5.00%, 7/1/22 | \$ | 1,024,720 |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | | |
| | 1,000 | (St. Francis College), 5.00%, 10/1/34 | | 1,012,450 |
| | 1,000 | 5.00 %, 10/1/54 | \$ | 2,037,170 |
| | Transportation - 9.4% | | Ψ | 2,037,170 |
| | | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 9/1/38 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, | \$ | 1,027,020 |
| | 2,500 | 5.125%, 1/1/31 | | 2,574,500 |
| D | | | \$ | 3,601,520 |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |

| Water Revenue - 3.1% | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | New York Environmental Facilities Corp., | |
| \$ | 1,250 | 4.50%, 11/15/34 | \$ 1,207,463 |
| | | | \$ 1,207,463 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 155.1% (identified cost \$57,225,218) | | | \$ 59,538,204 |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 3.5% Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | | \$ 1,348,723 |
| Unpaid Dividends - (58.6)% | | | \$ (22,502,543) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common | | | |
| Shares - 100.0% | | | \$ 38,384,384 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 82.3% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.1% to 29.4% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$5,200,317 or 13.5% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

| | Tax-Exempt Investments - 156.5% | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Principal Amount | Tax-Exempt investments - 150.5 // | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| | Electric Utilities - 2.8% | Puerto Rico Electric Power | |
| \$ | 1,000 | Authority, 5.125%, 7/1/29 | \$ 1,034,940 |
| | , | • | \$ 1,034,940 |
| | General Obligations - 2.8% | | |
| | | Granville School District, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/24 | \$ 1,033,410 |
| | | | \$ 1,033,410 |
| | Hospital - 2.6% | Cuyahoga County, | |
| | | (Cleveland Clinic Health System), | |
| \$ | 900 | 5.50%, 1/1/29 | \$ 948,384 |
| | | | \$ 948,384 |
| | Insured-Bond Bank - 2.6% | | |
| | | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 4.50%, 8/1/36 | \$ 958,300 |
| | | | \$ 958,300 |
| | Insured-Electric Utilities - 10.4% | | |
| | | Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 0.00%, 2/15/25 | \$ 1,502,080 |
| | | Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 1,775 | 0.00%, 2/15/26 | 630,622 |
| | | Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 5,000 | 0.00%, 2/15/27 | 1,679,700 |
| | | | \$ 3,812,402 |
| | Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 1.7% | | |
| | | University of Akron, Prerefunded to 1/1/10, (FGIC), | |
| | | Variable Rate, 8.91%, | |
| \$ | 500 | 1/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 616,100 |
| | | | \$ 616,100 |
| | Insured-General Obligations - 56.7% | Ashtabula School District, | |
| | | (Construction Improvements), | |
| \$ | 1,500 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/30(3) | \$ 1,541,715 |
| | | Cincinnati School District, (School Improvements), (FSA), | |
| | 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/22 | 1,041,270 |

| | Cleveland, Municipal School District, (FSA), | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/27 | 1,037,540 |
| | Columbus School District, | |
| 1,500 | (FSA), 5.00%, 12/1/32 | 1,554,015 |
| | Cuyahoga Community | |
| | College District, | |
| | (AMBAC), | |
| 2,500 | 5.00%, 12/1/32 | 2,576,975 |

| Principal Amount | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| ф | Insured-General Obligations (continued) | Jefferson County, (AMBAC), 4.75%, | * 1.101.401 |
| \$ | 1,190 | 12/1/34 Olentangy School District, (School Facility Construction and Improvements), (MBIA), | \$ 1,181,491 |
| | 2,500 | 5.00%, 12/1/30 | 2,569,525 |
| | 2,400 | Plain School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/27 | 750,816 |
| | 1,400 | Powell, (FGIC), 5.50%, 12/1/32 | 1,517,110 |
| | | Springboro Community School District, | |
| | 2,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 12/1/32 Tecumseh School | 2,586,250 |
| | 750 | District, (FGIC), 4.75%, 12/1/31 | 754,868 |
| | | Trotwood-Madison School District, (School | |
| | 2,600 | Improvements), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/30 | 2,677,402 |
| | | Zanesville School District, (School Improvements), | |
| | 1,000 | (MBIA), 5.05%, 12/1/29 | 1,041,650 |
| | | | \$ 20,830,627 |
| | Insured-Hospital - 7.1% | II. ''. C | |
| | | Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Childrens Hospital), | |
| \$ | 1,000 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 5/15/32 | \$ 1,028,420 |
| | | Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Childrens Hospital), | |
| | 1,500 | (FGIC), 5.125%, 5/15/28 | 1,564,875 |
| | | | \$ 2,593,295 |
| | Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 10.8% | | |
| | | Cleveland, (Cleveland Stadium), (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,400 | 5.25%, 11/15/27 | \$ 1,463,252 |
| | | Puerto Rico Public Building Authority, (CIFG), | |
| | 1,000 | Variable Rate, 10.705%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1,230,860 |
| | 235 | Puerto Rico Public | 248,959 |
| | | Buildings Authority, | • |

| | | Government | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Facilities Revenue, (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/36 | |
| | | Summit County, (Civic Theater Project), (AMBAC), | |
| | 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/33 | 1,024,120 |
| | | | \$ 3,967,191 |
| | Insured-Pooled Loans - 0.9% | | |
| | | Puerto Rico Municipal Finance Agency, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 280 | Variable Rate, 9.956%, 8/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 314,723 |
| | | | \$ 314,723 |
| I | nsured-Public Education - 14.6% | | |
| | | Cincinnati Technical and Community College, | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 3,000 | 10/1/28 | \$ 3,101,760 |
| | 1,170 | Ohio University, (FSA), 5.25%, 12/1/23 | 1,254,100 |
| | | University of Cincinnati, (AMBAC), 5.00%, | |
| | 1,000 | 6/1/31 | 1,027,810 |
| | | | \$ 5,383,670 |

See notes to financial statements

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 15.9% | | | |
| \$ | 4,315 | Hamilton County, Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/22 | \$ 1,853,767 |
| | 5,000 | Hamilton County, Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/23 | 2,025,450 |
| | 1,000 | Hamilton County, Sales Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/1/24 | 382,260 |
| | 1,000 | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | 362,200 |
| | 875 | Variable Rate, 7.089%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 924,490 |
| | | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), Variable Rate, 10.286%, | |
| | 615 | 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 667,177 |
| | | | \$ 5,853,144 |
| Insured-Transportation - 15.1% | | Cleveland Airport System, | |
| \$ | 4,500 | (FSA), 5.00%, 1/1/31 | \$ 4,588,605 |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| | 885 | (AMBAC), Variable Rate, 9.195%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 960,340 |
| | | | \$ 5,548,945 |
| Pooled Loans - 4.5% | | | |
| | | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, | |
| | | (Garfield Heights), 5.25%, | |
| \$ | 1,500 | 5/15/23 Rickenbacker Port Authority Capital Funding, | \$ 1,474,095 |
| | 190 | (Oasbo), 5.375%, 1/1/32 | 199,853 |
| | | | \$ 1,673,948 |
| Private Education - 8.0% | | | |
| | | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Authority, | |
| | | (Oberlin College), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 10/1/33 Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Authority, | \$ 1,026,820 |
| | | (Oberlin College), Variable Rate, 7.41%, | |
| | 1,000 | 10/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Ohio Higher Educational | 1,039,940 |
| | | Facilities Commission, (John Carroll University), | |
| | 850 | 5.25%, 11/15/33 | 893,860 |
| | | | \$ 2,960,620 |

| Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 156.5% (identified cost \$55,526,265) | \$ 57,529,699 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 3.0% | \$ 1,107,185 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | |
| Unpaid Dividends - (59.5)% | \$ (21,879,821) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common | |
| Shares - 100.0% | \$ 36,757,063 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 86.7% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.4% to 31.5% of total investments.

- (1) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$5,753,630 or 15.7% of the Fund's net assets.
- (2) Security has been issued as an inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (4) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

| Tax-Exempt Investments - 158.7% | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Principal Amount | | G- '' | V-1 |
| (000's omitted) Electric Utilities - 0.8% | | Security | Value |
| Electric Utilities - 0.8% | | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Variable Rate, | |
| \$ | 325 | 7.699%, 7/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | \$ 347,711 |
| | | | \$ 347,711 |
| Hospital - 8.2% | | T | |
| \$ | 750 | Lancaster County Hospital Authority, 5.50%, 3/15/26 | \$ 779,932 |
| | | Lebanon County Health Facility Authority, (Good Samaritan | |
| | 350 | Hospital), 6.00%, 11/15/35 | 363,713 |
| | | Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, | |
| | 1,500 | (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 | 1,518,855 |
| | | Pennsylvania HEFA, (UPMC Health System), | |
| | 750 | 6.00%, 1/15/31 | 809,887 |
| | | | \$ 3,472,387 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities - 4.6% | | | |
| | | Lehigh County IDA, Pollution Control, (PPL Electric | |
| \$ | 1,500 | Utilities Corp.), (FGIC), 4.70%, 9/1/29 | \$ 1,481,265 |
| | | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FSA), | |
| | 400 | Variable Rate, | 470.004 |
| | 400 | 10.64%, 7/1/29 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | 479,904 |
| Incomed Economical / Ducf1-1-2-50 | | | \$ 1,961,169 |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 3.5% | | Pennsylvania | |
| | | Turnpike Commision, Oil Franchise Tax, | |
| œ. | 1.000 | (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 4.75%, | d 1001200 |
| \$ | 1,000 400 | 12/1/27 Puerto Rico, (FGIC), | \$ 1,004,200 501,916 |
| | | Prerefunded to 7/1/12, | 23,710 |
| | | | |

| | | Variable Rate, 9.274%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----|---------------|
| | | | \$ | 1,506,116 |
| Insured-Gas Utilities - 5.4% | | | | |
| | | Philadelphia Natural Gas Works, (FSA), | | |
| ¢. | 1 255 | 5.125%, 8/1/31 | ¢. | 1 202 526 |
| \$ | 1,355 | Philadelphia Natural | \$ | 1,393,536 |
| | | Gas Works, (FSA), | | |
| | | Variable Rate, | | |
| | 875 | 7.40%, 7/1/28 ⁽²⁾ | | 902,484 |
| | | | \$ | 2,296,020 |
| nsured-General Obligations - 40.1% | | | | |
| insured Seneral Songanons 1017/2 | | Armstrong County, | | |
| | | (MBIA), 5.40%, | | |
| \$ | 1,650 | 6/1/31 | \$ | 1,767,595 |
| | | Butler School District, (FSA), | | |
| | 4,300 | 5.00%, 4/1/31 | | 4,433,601 |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Canon McMillan | | ,, |
| | | School District, | | |
| | 1 015 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/33 | | 1,083,972 |
| | 4,845 | Canon McMillan | | 1,003,7/4 |
| | | School District, | | |
| | | (FGIC), 5.25%, | | |
| | 500 | 12/1/34 | | 527,040 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | | Value |
| | | Security | | v aruc |
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | Gateway, School District | | |
| | | Alleghany County, | | |
| | | (FGIC), | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | 5.00%, 10/15/32 | | \$ 1,029,030 |
| | | McKeesport School | | |
| | 2,555 | District, (MBIA), 0.00%, 10/1/21 | | 1,136,592 |
| | 2,333 | Pennridge School | | 1,130,392 |
| | | District, (MBIA), 5.00%, | | |
| | 2,000 | 2/15/29 | | 2,058,220 |
| | 500 | Philadelphia, (FSA), | | 500.015 |
| | 500 | 5.00%, 9/15/31 Philadelphia, (FSA), | | 509,915 |
| | 300 | 5.25%, 9/15/25 | | 315,375 |
| | | Philadelphia, (FSA), | | 2 - 2 , 3 . 8 |
| | | Variable Rate, 9.92%, | | |
| | 585 | 9/15/31 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ Pina Pinhland School | | 619,796 |
| | | Pine-Richland School District, (FSA), 5.00%, | | |
| | 1,000 | 9/1/29 | | 1,023,360 |
| | | Upper Clair Township | | |
| | | School District, (FSA), | | |
| | 2,500 | 5.00%, 7/15/32 | | 2,560,375 |
| | | | | \$ 17,064,871 |
| nsured-Hospital - 6.1% | | | | |
| · · | | Southcentral | | |
| | | Pennsylvania General | | |
| | | Authority, | | |
| | | (York Hospital/Wellspan | | |
| | | Health), (MBIA), | | |
| \$ | 1,500 | 5.25%, 5/15/31 | | \$ 1,564,110 |
| | 1,000 | | | 1,024,540 |

| | | Washington County Hospital Authority, (Washington | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | Hospital), (AMBAC), 5.125%, 7/1/28 | | |
| | | | \$ | 2,588,650 |
| Insured-Industrial Development Revenue - 4.1% | | | | |
| \$ | 1,700 | Allegheny County IDA, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/29 | \$ | 1,745,798 |
| | | | \$ | 1,745,798 |
| Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 7.4% | | | | |
| | | Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development | | |
| \$ | 1,300 | Lease Revenue, (FSA), 5.125%, 10/1/26 | \$ | 1,347,047 |
| | | Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development | | |
| | 1,700 | Lease Revenue, (FSA), 5.25%, 10/1/30 | | 1,779,849 |
| | | | \$ | 3,126,896 |
| Insured-Private Education - 16.4% | | | | |
| | | Chester County IDA Educational Facility, (Westtown | | |
| \$ | 1,000 | School), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/31 | \$ | 1,023,210 |
| | | Delaware County, (Villanova University), (MBIA), | · | |
| | 3,365 | 5.00%, 12/1/28 | | 3,422,171 |
| | | Pennsylvania HEFA, (Temple University), (MBIA), | | |
| | 2,500 | 5.00%, 4/1/29 ⁽⁴⁾ | | 2,543,100 |
| | | | \$ | 6,988,481 |
| | | | | |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount | | Committee | | Value |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| (000's omitted) | Insured-Public Education - 9.7% | Security | | value |
| | insured-rubile Education - 9.170 | Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College | | |
| \$ | 2,400 | of Technology), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 5/1/32 Pennsylvania HEFA, | \$ | 2,524,392 |
| | | (Clarion University Foundation), (XLCA), 5.00%, | | |
| | 1,000 | 7/1/33 | | 1,022,650 |
| | | Pennsylvania HEFA, (University of the Science in | | |
| | 600 | Philadelphia), (XLCA), 4.75%, 11/1/33 | | 593,040 |
| | | | \$ | 4,140,082 |
| | Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 10.4% | | • | , ., |
| | | Pittsburgh and | | |
| | | Allegheny County Public Auditorium, | | |
| dr. | 4.250 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | ф | 4 400 106 |
| \$ | 4,350 | 2/1/29 | \$ \$ | 4,429,126 |
| | Insured-Transportation - 22.1% | | Ф | 4,429,126 |
| | insured-11ansportation - 22.1 // | Allegheny County Port Authority, (FGIC), | | |
| \$ | 2,000 | 5.00%, 3/1/25 | \$ | 2,060,000 |
| | | Allegheny County Port Authority, (FGIC), | | |
| | 1,000 | 5.00%, 3/1/29 | | 1,024,920 |
| | | Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission Registration Fee, | | |
| | | (AMBAC), 5.00%, | | |
| | 1,500 | 7/15/31 Pennsylvania Turnpike | | 1,546,605 |
| | | Commission, (AMBAC), | | |
| | 3,750 | 5.00%, 7/15/41 | | 3,819,788 |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | | |
| | 815 | (MBIA), Variable Rate, 10.862%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | 929,532 |
| | 013 | 111130 1117 | \$ | 9,380,845 |
| | Insured-Water and Sewer - 14.6% | | Ψ | >,500,045 |
| | indica in del did benefit 17.0/0 | Erie Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, | | |
| \$ | 1,555 | 12/1/25 | \$ | 539,321 |

| | Erie Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2,155 | 12/1/25 747,419 |
| | Erie Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, |
| 1,920 | 12/1/26 627,341 |
| | Pennsylvania University Sewer Authority, (MBIA), |
| 2,500 | 5.00%, 11/1/26 2,569,725 |
| | Philadelphia Water & Wastewater, (FGIC), Variable Rate, |
| 1,000 | 9.915%, 11/1/31 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority, (AMBAC), |
| | Variable Rate, |
| 580 | 10.304%, 12/1/27 ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ 648,916 |
| | \$ 6,205,812 |

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Transportation - 5.3% | | | |
| | | Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, | |
| \$ | 1,400 | 5.00%, 7/1/28 | \$ 1,432,200 |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| | 800 | 5.125%, 7/1/43 | 818,816 |
| | | | \$ 2,251,016 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 158.7% (identified cost \$65,514,359) | | | \$ 67,504,980 |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 2.4% | | | \$ 1,032,722 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | | |
| Unpaid Dividends - (61.1)% | | | \$ (26,000,000) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common | | | |
| Shares - 100.0% | | | \$ 42,537,702 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2005, 91.0% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.4% to 26.6% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At March 31, 2005, the aggregate value of the securities is \$4,600,865 or 10.8% of the Fund's net assets.

⁽²⁾ Security has been issued as an inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.

(3) Security has been issued as a leveraged inverse floater bond. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2005.

(4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of March 31, 2005

| | Insured | d Municipal Fund II | Insured | California Fund II | Insured Florida Fund | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|--|
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Investments - | | | | | | | |
| Identified cost | \$ | 227,687,885 | \$ | 86,314,446 | \$ | 57,614,533 | |
| Unrealized appreciation | | 8,466,176 | | 2,556,880 | | 1,918,854 | |
| Investments, at value | \$ | 236,154,061 | \$ | 88,871,326 | \$ | 59,533,387 | |
| Cash | \$ | - | \$ | 261,261 | \$ | - | |
| Receivable for investments sold | | 738,492 | | - | | - | |
| Receivable from the Transfer Agent | | | | 11,305 | | | |
| Interest receivable | | 3,238,927 | | 1,010,437 | | 1,076,359 | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 85,106 | | 2,506 | | 2,506 | |
| Total assets | \$ | 240,216,586 | \$ | 90,156,835 | \$ | 60,612,252 | |
| Liabilities | Ψ | 240,210,300 | Ψ | 70,130,633 | Ψ | 00,012,232 | |
| Payable for daily variation margin on open financial futures | ¢. | 442.500 | | 127 500 | | 04.107 | |
| contracts Payable for | \$ | 412,500 | \$ | 137,500 | \$ | 94,187 | |
| when-issued securities | | 1,715,000 | | _ | | _ | |
| Due to bank | | 463,208 | | - | | 258,010 | |
| Accrued expenses | | 52,598 | | 34,054 | | 30,972 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 2,643,306 | \$ | 171,554 | \$ | 383,169 | |
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid | | | | | | | |
| dividends Net assets | | 87,502,339 | | 33,752,096 | | 22,503,607 | |
| applicable to common | | | | | | | |
| shares Sources of Net | \$ | 150,070,941 | \$ | 56,233,185 | \$ | 37,725,476 | |
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of | \$ | 99,161 | \$ | 38,586 | \$ | 25,686 | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| shares | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--|
| authorized | | | | | | | |
| Additional | | | | | | | |
| paid-in capital | | 140,606,199 | | 54,694,831 | | 36,411,447 | |
| Accumulated | | | | | | | |
| net realized | | | | | | | |
| loss (computed | | | | | | | |
| on the basis of | | | | | | | |
| identified cost) | | (1,524,070) | | (1,767,899) | | (1,027,541) | |
| Accumulated | | | | | | | |
| undistributed | | | | | | | |
| net investment | | | | | | | |
| income | | 1,447,898 | | 367,887 | | 214,058 | |
| Net unrealized | | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | | |
| (computed on | | | | | | | |
| the basis of | | | | | | | |
| identified cost) | | 9,441,753 | | 2,899,780 | | 2,101,826 | |
| Net assets | | | | | | | |
| applicable to | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | |
| shares | \$ | 150,070,941 | \$ | 56,233,185 | \$ | 37,725,476 | |
| Auction | | , i | | | | | |
| Preferred | | | | | | | |
| Shares Issued | | | | | | | |
| and | | | | | | | |
| Outstanding | | | | | | | |
| (Liquidation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| preference of | | | | | | | |
| \$25,000 per | | | | | | | |
| share) | | | | | | | |
| | | 3,500 | | 1,350 | | 900 | |
| Common | | | | | | | |
| Shares | | | | | | | |
| Outstanding | | | | | | | |
| _ | | 9,916,105 | | 3,858,604 | | 2,568,569 | |
| Net Asset | | 7,710,103 | | 3,030,004 | | 2,300,309 | |
| Value Per | | | | | | | |
| Common | | | | | | | |
| Share | | | | | | | |
| Net assets | | | | | | | |
| applicable to | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | |
| shares ÷ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | |
| shares issued | | | | | | | |
| and | ¢ | 15 12 | ¢ | 1457 | ¢ | 14.60 | |
| outstanding | \$ | 15.13 | \$ | 14.57 | \$ | 14.69 | |
| | | | | | | | |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of March 31, 2005

| | Insured I | Massachusetts Fund | Insured | Michigan Fund | Insured | New Jersey Fund | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|--|
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Investments - | | | | | | | |
| Identified cost | \$ | 39,551,757 | \$ | 34,077,034 | \$ | 57,333,808 | |
| Unrealized appreciation | | 1,835,405 | | 1,422,721 | | 3,037,979 | |
| Investments, at value | \$ | 41,387,162 | \$ | 35,499,755 | \$ | 60,371,787 | |
| Cash | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 90,214 | |
| Receivable from the Transfer Agent | | 4,669 | | 2,749 | | 4,058 | |
| Interest receivable | | 555,691 | | 548,405 | | 781,416 | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 2,506 | | _ | | _ | |
| Total assets | \$ | 41,950,028 | \$ | 36,050,909 | \$ | 61,247,475 | |
| Liabilities Payable for daily variation margin on open financial futures | | | | | | | |
| contracts | \$ | 68,750 | \$ | 48,125 | \$ | 103,125 | |
| Due to bank Payable to affiliate for Trustees' fees | | 262,083 | | 175,767 | | 50 | |
| Accrued expenses | | 29,322 | | 20,702 | | 15,953 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 360,155 | \$ | 244,594 | \$ | 119,128 | |
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid | | | | | | | |
| dividends Net assets | | 15,500,000 | | 13,501,526 | | 22,503,143 | |
| applicable to common | ¢ | 27,000,072 | ¢ | 22 204 790 | ď | 29 (25 204 | |
| shares Sources of Net Assets | \$ | 26,089,873 | \$ | 22,304,789 | \$ | 38,625,204 | |
| Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares | | | | | | | |
| authorized | \$ | 17,490 24,776,177 | \$ | 15,101 21,387,317 | \$ | 25,592 36,269,495 | |
| | | , <u>, </u> | | ,,. | | , , | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| Additional | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| paid-in capital | | | | |
| Accumulated | | | | |
| net realized | | | | |
| loss (computed | | | | |
| on the basis of | | | | |
| identified cost) | (996,538) | (751,853) | (1,381,188) | |
| Accumulated | , , , | · · · · | , , , , , , | |
| undistributed | | | | |
| net investment | | | | |
| income | 285,889 | 184,916 | 416,151 | |
| Net unrealized | • | , | , | |
| appreciation | | | | |
| (computed on | | | | |
| the basis of | | | | |
| identified cost) | 2,006,855 | 1,469,308 | 3,295,154 | |
| Net assets | , i | , , | | |
| applicable to | | | | |
| common | | | | |
| shares | \$ 26,089,873 | \$ 22,304,789 | \$ 38,625,204 | |
| Auction | · · · | , , | • | |
| Preferred | | | | |
| Shares Issued | | | | |
| and | | | | |
| Outstanding | | | | |
| (Liquidation | | | | |
| preference of | | | | |
| | | | | |
| \$25,000 per | | | | |
| share) | | | | |
| | 620 | 540 | 900 | |
| Common | | | | |
| Shares | | | | |
| Outstanding | | | | |
| | 1,749,009 | 1,510,114 | 2,559,181 | |
| Net Asset | | · | | |
| Value Per | | | | |
| Common | | | | |
| Share | | | | |
| Net assets | | | | |
| applicable to | | | | |
| common | | | | |
| shares ÷ | | | | |
| common | | | | |
| shares issued | | | | |
| and | | | | |
| outstanding | \$ 14.92 | \$ 14.77 | \$ 15.09 | |
| - | | | | |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of March 31, 2005

| | Insured New York Fund II | | Insu | Insured Ohio Fund | | Insured Pennsylvania Fund | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|--|
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Investments - | | | | | | | |
| Identified cost Unrealized | \$ | 57,225,218 | \$ | 55,526,265 | \$ | 65,514,359 | |
| appreciation Investments, at | | 2,312,986 | | 2,003,434 | | 1,990,621 | |
| value | \$ | 59,538,204 | \$ | 57,529,699 | \$ | 67,504,980 | |
| Cash | \$ | 681,689 | \$ | 418,536 | \$ | 177,969 | |
| Receivable from the Transfer Agent | | - | | 4,573 | | 2,809 | |
| Interest receivable | | 802,646 | | 850,780 | | 971,907 | |
| Prepaid expenses | | - | | 2,505 | | - | |
| Total assets | \$ | 61,022,539 | \$ | 58,806,093 | \$ | 68,657,665 | |
| Liabilities Payable for daily variation margin on open financial futures | | | | | | | |
| contracts Accrued | \$ | 105,875 | \$ | 137,500 | \$ | 103,812 | |
| expenses | | 29,737 | | 31,709 | | 16,151 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 135,612 | \$ | 169,209 | \$ | 119,963 | |
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid | | | | | | | |
| dividends Net assets | | 22,502,543 | | 21,879,821 | | 26,000,000 | |
| applicable to common | | | | | | | |
| shares Sources of Net Assets | \$ | 38,384,384 | \$ | 36,757,063 | \$ | 42,537,702 | |
| Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares | | | | | | | |
| authorized | \$ | 25,543 | \$ | 25,116 | \$ | 29,409 | |
| Additional | | 26.106.645 | | 25 502 210 | | 41 (00 004 | |
| paid-in capital Accumulated | | 36,196,645 (631,685) | | 35,593,318 (1,125,423) | | 41,680,994 (1,701,462) | |
| net realized loss (computed | | (031,003) | | (1,143,443) | | (1,701,702) | |

| on the basis of identified cost) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Accumulated undistributed net investment | | | | |
| income | 232,191 | 89,358 | 251,598 | |
| Net unrealized appreciation (computed on the basis of | | | | |
| identified cost) | 2,561,690 | 2,174,694 | 2,277,163 | |
| Net assets applicable to common | | | | |
| shares Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding | \$ 38,384,384 | \$ 36,757,063 | \$ 42,537,702 | |
| (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | | | | |
| | 900 | 875 | 1,040 | |
| Common Shares Outstanding | | | | |
| | 2,554,347 | 2,511,569 | 2,940,855 | |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and | | | | |
| outstanding | \$ 15.03 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 14.46 | |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| | Insured 1 | Municipal Fund II | Insured C | California Fund II | Insured | Florida Fund | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|--------------|--|
| Investment Income | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 6,296,949 | \$ | 2,268,015 | \$ | 1,540,511 | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 6,296,949 | \$ | 2,268,015 | \$ | 1,540,511 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee | \$ | 654,877 | \$ | 247,262 | \$ | 165,471 | |
| Trustees' fees and expenses Legal and accounting | | 3,707 | | 2,925 | | 653 | |
| services Printing and | | 20,757 | | 16,922 | | 15,530 | |
| postage | | 7,702 | | 3,703 | | 3,425 | |
| Custodian fee | | 58,337 | | 24,968 | | 19,315 | |
| Transfer and dividend disbursing agent | | 59,597 | | 23,840 | | 16,783 | |
| Preferred shares remarketing | | 37,371 | | 23,010 | | 10,703 | |
| agent fee | | 109,076 | | 42,071 | | 28,048 | |
| Miscellaneous | | 34,925 | | 14,577 | | 16,940 | |
| Total expenses | \$ | 948,978 | \$ | 376,268 | \$ | 266,165 | |
| Deduct - | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of custodian fee | \$ | 6,304 | \$ | 8,246 | \$ | 1,046 | |
| Reduction of investment adviser fee | | 179,103 | | 67,435 | | 45,128 | |
| Total expense | | 179,103 | | 07,433 | | 43,126 | |
| reductions | \$ | 185,407 | \$ | 75,681 | \$ | 46,174 | |
| Net expenses | \$ | 763,571 | \$ | 300,587 | \$ | 219,991 | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 5,533,378 | \$ | 1,967,428 | \$ | 1,320,520 | |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Ψ | 3,533,370 | Ψ | 1,507,720 | Ψ | 1,020,020 | |
| Net realized gain (loss) - | | | | | | | |
| Investment transactions (identified cost | | | | | | | |
| basis) | \$ | 518,562 | \$ | 31,059 | \$ | 335,331 | |
| Financial futures contracts | | (2,144,380) | | (844,010) | | (463,109) | |
| Net realized loss | \$ | (1,625,818) | \$ | (812,951) | \$ | (127,778) | |
| Change in unrealized appreciation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| (depreciation) - | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Investments | | | | |
| (identified cost | | | | |
| basis) | \$ 940,677 | \$ 468,010 | \$ 197,268 | |
| Financial | | | | |
| futures contracts | 1,817,545 | 667,187 | 397,002 | |
| Net change in unrealized | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | |
| (depreciation) | \$ 2,758,222 | \$ 1,135,197 | \$ 594,270 | |
| Net realized and | | | | |
| unrealized gain | \$ 1,132,404 | \$ 322,246 | \$ 466,492 | |
| Distributions to | | | | |
| preferred | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | |
| From net | | | | |
| investment | | | | |
| income | \$ (691,327) | \$ (228,005) | \$ (154,951) | |
| Net increase in net assets from | | | | |
| operations | \$ 5,974,455 | \$ 2,061,669 | \$ 1,632,061 | |
| | | | | |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| | Insured Massachusetts Fund | | Insured N | Insured Michigan Fund | | Insured New Jersey Fund | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|----|-------------------------|--|
| Investment | | | | Ü | | · | |
| Income | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 1,078,114 | \$ | 939,271 | \$ | 1,570,545 | |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| investment | ф | 1.050.114 | ф | 020 271 | Φ. | 1.550.545 | |
| income | \$ | 1,078,114 | \$ | 939,271 | \$ | 1,570,545 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Investment | | 444.400 | Φ. | 00.427 | ф. | 160.450 | |
| adviser fee Trustees' fees | \$ | 114,403 | \$ | 99,125 | \$ | 168,452 | |
| and expenses | | 652 | | 72 | | 704 | |
| Legal and | | 032 | | 12 | | 704 | |
| accounting | | | | | | | |
| services | | 15,499 | | 15,117 | | 14,797 | |
| Printing and | | | | | | | |
| postage | | 4,342 | | 2,200 | | 2,906 | |
| Custodian fee | | 14,965 | | 11,213 | | 16,268 | |
| Transfer and | | | | | | | |
| dividend | | | | | | | |
| disbursing | | | | | | | |
| agent | | 11,814 | | 8,969 | | 14,856 | |
| Preferred | | | | | | | |
| shares remarketing | | | | | | | |
| agent fee | | 19,321 | | 16,830 | | 28,048 | |
| Miscellaneous | | 15,213 | | 15,312 | | 13,782 | |
| Total | | 15,213 | | 15,312 | | 13,782 | |
| expenses | \$ | 196,209 | \$ | 168,838 | \$ | 259,813 | |
| Deduct - | - | | - | 200,020 | * | 207,000 | |
| Reduction of | | | | | | | |
| custodian fee | \$ | 729 | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 1,921 | |
| Reduction of | - | , =, | - | 2,2 0 0 | * | -,, | |
| investment | | | | | | | |
| adviser fee | | 31,631 | | 27,034 | | 45,941 | |
| Total expense | _ | | | | _ | | |
| reductions | \$ | 32,360 | \$ | 28,534 | \$ | 47,862 | |
| Net expenses | \$ | 163,849 | \$ | 140,304 | \$ | 211,951 | |
| Net | | | | | | | |
| investment | ¢ | 014 265 | ¢ | 709 067 | ¢ | 1 259 504 | |
| income Realized and | \$ | 914,265 | \$ | 798,967 | \$ | 1,358,594 | |
| Unrealized | | | | | | | |
| Gain (Loss) | | | | | | | |
| Net realized | | | | | | | |
| gain (loss) - | | | | | | | |
| Investment | | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | | |
| (identified | ¢ | 60,834 | ¢ | 126 557 | ¢ | 125 740 | |
| cost basis) Financial | \$ | 00,634 | \$ | 136,557 | \$ | 135,749 | |
| futures | | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (399,973) | | (331,792) | | (581,976) | |
| | | (,) | | (· · /··· - / | | (,) | |

| Net realized loss Change in | \$ (339,139) | \$ (195,235) | \$ (446,227) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | | | |
| Investments (identified | | | |
| cost basis) | \$ 100,655 | \$ (110,491) | \$ 304,835 |
| Financial | | | |
| futures contracts | 323,040 | 220,893 | 446,663 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation | 323,010 | 220,093 | 110,000 |
| (depreciation) | \$ 423,695 | \$ 110,402 | \$ 751,498 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders | \$ 84,556 | \$ (84,833) | \$ 305,271 |
| From net investment income Net increase in net assets from | \$ (91,481) | \$ (105,824) | \$ (161,090) |
| operations | \$ 907,340 | \$ 608,310 | \$ 1,502,775 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| | Insured New York Fund II | | Insure | d Ohio Fund | Insured Per | Insured Pennsylvania Fund | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| Investment Income | | | | | | · | |
| Interest Total | \$ | 1,538,415 | \$ | 1,497,778 | \$ | 1,770,545 | |
| investment income | \$ | 1,538,415 | \$ | 1,497,778 | \$ | 1,770,545 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee | \$ | 167,263 | \$ | 162,038 | \$ | 189,172 | |
| Trustees' fees and expenses | | 653 | | 653 | | 578 | |
| Legal and accounting services | | 16,596 | | 15,527 | | 15,088 | |
| Printing and postage | | 2,059 | | 3,905 | | 2,730 | |
| Custodian fee | | 19,666 | | 18,607 | | 18,502 | |
| Transfer and dividend disbursing | | | | | | | |
| agent Preferred | | 18,355 | | 17,138 | | 16,073 | |
| shares remarketing | | | | | | | |
| agent fee | | 28,048 | | 27,269 | | 32,241 | |
| Miscellaneous Total | | 22,908 | | 16,441 | | 18,738 | |
| expenses | \$ | 275,548 | \$ | 261,578 | \$ | 293,122 | |
| Deduct - | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of custodian fee | \$ | 2,623 | \$ | 2,183 | \$ | 1,740 | |
| Reduction of investment | | | | | | | |
| adviser fee | | 45,617 | | 44,192 | | 51,592 | |
| Total expense reductions | \$ | 48,240 | \$ | 46,375 | \$ | 53,332 | |
| Net expenses | \$ | 227,308 | \$ | 215,203 | \$ | 239,790 | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 1,311,107 | \$ | 1,282,575 | \$ | 1,530,755 | |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | | | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) - | | | | | | | |
| Investment transactions (identified | | | | | | | |
| cost basis) Financial | \$ | 378,591 | \$ | 102,516 | \$ | 123,259 | |
| futures contracts | | (559,546) | | (582,202) | | (664,015) | |

| Net realized loss Change in unrealized appreciation | \$ | (180,955) | \$ | (479,686) | \$ | (540,756) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| Investments (identified cost basis) | \$ | 65,537 | \$ | 100,885 | \$ | 234,137 |
| Financial | Ψ | 05,557 | Ψ | 100,003 | Ψ | 254,157 |
| futures contracts | | 476,036 | | 408,119 | | 531,379 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation | ¢ | E41 572 | ď | 500.004 | ¢ | 7(5.51) |
| (depreciation) Net realized and unrealized | \$ | 541,573 | \$ | 509,004 | \$ | 765,516 |
| gain Distributions to preferred shareholders | \$ | 360,618 | \$ | 29,318 | \$ | 224,760 |
| From net investment income Net increase in net assets | \$ | (152,619) | \$ | (179,946) | \$ | (208,551) |
| from operations | \$ | 1,519,106 | \$ | 1,131,947 | \$ | 1,546,964 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| Increase | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|----------------|
| (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
| Net Assets | Insured | Municipal Fund II | Insured C | California Fund II | Insured | l Florida Fund |
| From | | | | | | |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 5,533,378 | \$ | 1,967,428 | \$ | 1,320,520 |
| Net realized | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | (1.525.010) | | (010.051) | | (105.550) |
| contracts | | (1,625,818) | | (812,951) | | (127,778) |
| Net change in | | | | | | |
| unrealized | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial futures | | | | | | |
| | | 2,758,222 | | 1,135,197 | | 594,270 |
| contracts Distributions to | | 2,736,222 | | 1,155,197 | | 394,270 |
| preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (691,327) | | (228,005) | | (154,951) |
| Net increase in | | (0)1,321) | | (220,003) | | (131,331) |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 5,974,455 | \$ | 2,061,669 | \$ | 1,632,061 |
| Distributions to | · | - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | ,, | • | , , |
| common | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | (4,960,532) | \$ | (1,828,256) | \$ | (1,193,204) |
| Total | | | | | | |
| distributions to | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (4,960,532) | \$ | (1,828,256) | \$ | (1,193,204) |
| Capital share | | | | | | |
| transactions - | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | Φ. | | ф | 44.515 | Φ. | 75.150 |
| shareholders Net increase in | \$ | - | \$ | 44,715 | \$ | 75,159 |
| | | | | | | |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| capital share transactions | \$ | | \$ | 44,715 | \$ | 75,159 |
| Net increase in | ψ | - | ф | 44,713 | φ | 13,139 |
| net assets | \$ | 1,013,923 | \$ | 278,128 | \$ | 514,016 |
| Net Assets | ψ | 1,013,723 | Ψ | 210,120 | φ | 517,010 |
| Applicable to | | | | | | |
| PPCuoic to | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Common | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Shares | | | |
| At beginning of | | | |
| period | \$ 149,057,018 | \$ 55,955,057 | \$ 37,211,460 |
| At end of | | | |
| period | \$ 150,070,941 | \$ 56,233,185 | \$ 37,725,476 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in | | | |
| net assets applicable to common shares | | | |
| At end of | | | |
| period | \$ 1,447,898 | \$ 367,887 | \$ 214,058 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| Increase (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Net Assets | Insured M | Iassachusetts Fund | Insured 1 | Michigan Fund | Insured N | New Jersey Fund |
| From | moured ivi | aussuchusetts i und | mourea | Tremgan r and | Insured 1 | tew sersey rand |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 914,265 | \$ | 798,967 | \$ | 1,358,594 |
| Net realized | Ф | 914,203 | Ф | 790,907 | J. | 1,336,394 |
| | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (339,139) | | (195,235) | | (446,227) |
| Net change in | | | | | | |
| unrealized | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | 423,695 | | 110,402 | | 751,498 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (91,481) | | (105,824) | | (161,090) |
| Net increase in | | | | | | |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 907,340 | \$ | 608,310 | \$ | 1,502,775 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | (828,535) | \$ | (715,497) | \$ | (1,227,958) |
| Total | | , , | | , , , | | |
| distributions to | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (828,535) | \$ | (715,497) | \$ | (1,227,958) |
| Capital share | | (| | (, , , , , , | | () / |
| transactions - | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 28,944 | \$ | 16,297 | \$ | 24,057 |
| Net increase in | | - 1 | * | -, -, | * | , |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| capital share | | | | | | |
| transactions | \$ | 28,944 | \$ | 16,297 | \$ | 24,057 |
| Net increase | | | - | ., ., | * | ,,,,, |
| (decrease) in | | | | | | |
| net assets | \$ | 107,749 | \$ | (90,890) | \$ | 298,874 |
| | Ť | ,/ •> | * | (= , = > 0) | ¥ | , |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----|------------|----|------------|
| At beginning of | | | | | |
| period | \$ 25,982,124 | \$ | 22,395,679 | \$ | 38,326,330 |
| At end of | | | | | |
| period | \$ 26,089,873 | \$ | 22,304,789 | \$ | 38,625,204 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | |
| At end of | 207.000 | ф | 104.016 | ф | 416.151 |
| period | \$ 285,889 | \$ | 184,916 | \$ | 416,151 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2005

| Increase (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|------------------|--------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Net Assets | Incurad | New York Fund II | Inque | ed Ohio Fund | Inquend Da | nnsylvania Fund |
| From | ilisuicu | New Tork Fund II | IIISUI | ed Ollio Fulld | ilisuicu re | anisyivama runu |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net | | | | | | |
| investment | _ | | _ | | _ | |
| income | \$ | 1,311,107 | \$ | 1,282,575 | \$ | 1,530,755 |
| Net realized | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (180,955) | | (479,686) | | (540,756) |
| Net change | | (100,522) | | (173,000) | | (5.0,750) |
| in unrealized | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | 541,573 | | 509,004 | | 765,516 |
| Distributions | | | | | | |
| to preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (152,619) | | (179,946) | | (208,551) |
| Net increase | | (==,==,) | | (=12,5210) | | (===,===) |
| in net assets | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 1,519,106 | \$ | 1,131,947 | \$ | 1,546,964 |
| Distributions | Ψ | 1,515,100 | Ψ | 1,131,517 | Ψ | 1,5 10,501 |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| investment | ¢ | (1.220.847) | ¢ | (1.155.090) | ф | (1 279 217) |
| income | \$ | (1,229,847) | \$ | (1,155,989) | \$ | (1,378,217) |
| Total | | | | | | |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (1,229,847) | \$ | (1,155,989) | \$ | (1,378,217) |
| Capital share | | | | | | |
| transactions - | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of | | | | | | |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 6,484 | \$ | 35,223 | \$ | 16,708 |
| Net increase | \$ | 6,484 | \$ | 35,223 | \$ | 16,708 |
| in net assets | Í | | | , , | | |
| from capital | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| share transactions | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----|------------|
| Net increase in net assets | \$ 295,743 | \$ 11,181 | \$ | 185,455 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | | |
| At beginning of period | \$ 38,088,641 | \$ 36,745,882 | \$ | 42,352,247 |
| At end of period | \$ 38,384,384 | \$ 36,757,063 | \$ | 42,537,702 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | |
| At end of period | \$ 232,191 | \$ 89,358 | \$ | 251,598 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2004

| Increase (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|----------------|
| Net Assets | Insured | Municipal Fund II | Insured (| California Fund II | Insure | d Florida Fund |
| From | | • | | | | |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| Net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 11,516,757 | \$ | 4,083,192 | \$ | 2,718,049 |
| Net realized | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (950,131) | | (1,215,578) | | (1,063,436) |
| Net change | | | | | | |
| in unrealized | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | 4 242 796 | | 1 122 207 | | 1 057 404 |
| contracts | | 4,243,786 | | 1,132,287 | | 1,057,424 |
| Distributions | | | | | | |
| to preferred shareholders | | | | | | |
| snarenoiders | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (797,793) | | (291,894) | | (196,442) |
| From net | | (121,122) | | (=> =,0> -) | | (-2 4, 1 12) |
| realized gain | | (171,657) | | (14,985) | | (16,866) |
| Net increase | | | | | | |
| in net assets | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 13,840,962 | \$ | 3,693,022 | \$ | 2,498,729 |
| Distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | ¢. | (0.017.512) | ¢. | (2 (52 102) | ¢. | (2.200.570) |
| income | \$ | (9,917,512) | \$ | (3,652,192) | \$ | (2,380,578) |
| From net | | (1,566,389) | | (231,517) | | (203,870) |
| realized gain Total | | (1,300,389) | | (231,317) | | (203,870) |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (11,483,901) | \$ | (3,883,709) | \$ | (2,584,448) |
| Capital share | * | (,,) | ¥ | (-,,) | Ψ | (=,= = -,0) |
| transactions - | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of | | | | | | |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 126,364 | \$ | 62,350 | \$ | 111,072 |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| Net increase in net assets from capital | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----|------------|------------------|
| transactions | \$ 126,364 | \$ | 62,350 | \$ 111,072 |
| Net increase (decrease) in | 2 402 425 | • | (100.005) | 27.272 |
| net assets Net Assets | \$ 2,483,425 | \$ | (128,337) | \$ 25,353 |
| Applicable to Common Shares | | | | |
| At beginning | | | | |
| of year | \$ 146,573,593 | \$ | 56,083,394 | \$ 37,186,107 |
| At end of year | \$ 149,057,018 | \$ | 55,955,057 | \$ 37,211,460 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | |
| At end of | | | | |
| year | \$ 1,566,379 | \$ | 456,720 | \$ 241,693 |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2004

| Increase (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Net Assets | Insured N | Massachusetts Fund | Insure | d Michigan Fund | Insured N | lew Jersey Fund |
| From | msured 1 | viassaciusetts i uita | moure | a mienigan i ana | moured iv | iew sersey i und |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| Net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 1,935,791 | \$ | 1,666,354 | \$ | 2,854,199 |
| Net realized | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (828,128) | | (492,853) | | (1,121,698) |
| Net change | | | | | | |
| in unrealized | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | 1,438,657 | | 877,179 | | 2,041,208 |
| Distributions | | | | | | |
| to preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (120,070) | | (134,802) | | (171,798) |
| From net | | | | | | |
| realized gain | | (28,935) | | - | | (38,781) |
| Net increase | | | | | | |
| in net assets | | | | | | |
| from | | 2 205 215 | | 4 04 5 050 | | 2.7/2.420 |
| operations | \$ | 2,397,315 | \$ | 1,915,878 | \$ | 3,563,130 |
| Distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | |
| - Enom not | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment income | \$ | (1,654,712) | \$ | (1,429,865) | \$ | (2,453,611) |
| From net | Ф | (1,034,712) | J) | (1,429,803) | J) | (2,433,011) |
| realized gain | | (392,319) | | | | (525,716) |
| Total | | (392,319) | | - | | (323,710) |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (2,047,031) | \$ | (1,429,865) | \$ | (2,979,327) |
| Capital share | <u> </u> | (=,0,001) | Ψ | (1, 12),000) | Ψ | (=,>,>,==1) |
| transactions - | | | | | | |
| Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of | | | | | | |
| distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 46,328 | \$ | 16,409 | \$ | 55,739 |
| | | • | | • | | • |

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II - Form N-CSRS

| Net increase in net assets from capital | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------|----|------------------|----|------------|--|
| transactions | \$ | 46,328 | \$ | 16,409 | \$ | 55,739 | |
| Net increase in net assets | \$ | 396,612 | \$ | 502,422 | \$ | 639,542 | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | Ť | 370,012 | Ť | 30 2, 122 | Ť | 000,012 | |
| At beginning of year | \$ | 25,585,512 | \$ | 21,893,257 | \$ | 37,686,788 | |
| At end of year | \$ | 25,982,124 | \$ | 22,395,679 | \$ | 38,326,330 | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | | | | | |
| At end of year | \$ | 291,640 | \$ | 207,270 | \$ | 446,605 | |

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2004

| Increase (Decrease) in | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Net Assets | Insured I | New York Fund II | Insure | ed Ohio Fund | Insured Pe | nnsylvania Fund |
| From | | | | | | |
| operations - | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 2,758,687 | \$ | 2,642,851 | \$ | 3,139,699 |
| Net realized | | | | | | |
| loss from | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| transactions | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | (482,428) | | (906,301) | | (1,394,029) |
| Net change in | | | | | | |
| unrealized | | | | | | |
| appreciation | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) | | | | | | |
| from | | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| and financial | | | | | | |
| futures | | | | | | |
| contracts | | 1,049,417 | | 962,405 | | 1,197,414 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | | | | | | |
| income | | (161,738) | | (215,359) | | (243,715) |
| From net | | | | | | |
| realized gain | | (40,869) | | (6,904) | | (32,614) |
| Net increase in | | | | | | |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 3,123,069 | \$ | 2,476,692 | \$ | 2,666,755 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | |
| investment | _ | | _ | | _ | |
| income | \$ | (2,459,266) | \$ | (2,330,988) | \$ | (2,755,136) |
| From net | | (7.62.02.6) | | (02.042) | | (112.505) |
| realized gain | | (563,836) | | (82,913) | | (412,505) |
| Total | | | | | | |
| distributions to | | | | | | |
| common | dr. | (2.022.102) | ф | (0.412.001) | ¢. | (2.167.641) |
| shareholders | \$ | (3,023,102) | \$ | (2,413,901) | \$ | (3,167,641) |
| Capital share | | | | | | |
| transactions - Reinvestment | | | | | | |
| of distributions | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 4,566 | \$ | 73,548 | \$ | 31,524 |
| Net increase in | φ | 4,500 | φ | 13,340 | φ | 31,324 |
| net assets from | | | | | | |
| capital | | | | | | |
| transactions | \$ | 4,566 | \$ | 73,548 | \$ | 31,524 |
| | Ψ | .,500 | Ψ | 70,010 | Ψ | 01,021 |

| Net increase (decrease) in | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| net assets | \$ 104,533 | \$ 136,339 | \$ (469,362) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares | | | |
| At beginning of | | | |
| year | \$ 37,984,108 | \$ 36,609,543 | \$ 42,821,609 |
| At end of year | \$ 38,088,641 | \$ 36,745,882 | \$ 42,352,247 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares | | | |
| At end of year | \$ 303,550 | \$ 142,718 | \$ 307,611 |

See notes to financial statements

43

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | Insured Municipal Fund II | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|---|----|-----------------------|
| | Six Mo | onths Ended | | • | | | | |
| | Marcl | h 31, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
| | (Unai | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 2 | 004 ⁽¹⁾ | • | 20 | 003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
| Net asset value - | (Citat | | | | | | 20 | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 15.030 | 9 | \$ | 14.790 | | \$ | 14.325 ⁽³⁾ |
| Income (loss) from | - | 201000 | | | | | 7 | - 110 - 20 |
| operations | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.558 | 9 | \$ | 1.162 | | \$ | 0.879 |
| Net realized and | | | | | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.112 | | | 0.334 | | | 0.508 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | | (0.070) | | | (0.080) | | | (0.071) |
| From net realized | | , | | | , | | | |
| gain | | - | | | (0.017) | | | - |
| Total income from | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.600 | 9 | \$ | 1.399 | | \$ | 1.316 |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | (0.500) | 9 | \$ | (1.001) | | \$ | (0.714) |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | | (0.158) | | | - |
| Total distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.500) | 9 | \$ | (1.159) | | \$ | (0.714) |
| Preferred and | | | | | | | | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | - | 9 | \$ | - | | \$ | (0.048) |
| Preferred Shares | | | | | | | | |
| underwriting | | | | | | | | |
| discounts | \$ | - | 9 | \$ | - | | \$ | (0.089) |
| Net asset value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 15.130 | 9 | \$ | 15.030 | | \$ | 14.790 |
| Market value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.980 | 9 | \$ | 14.820 | | \$ | 14.000 |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 4.07% | | | 10.00% | | | 8.46% ⁽⁵⁾ |
| Total Investment | | | | | 10.0070 | | | 5 |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 4.400 | | | 14.500 | | | $2.67\%^{(5)}$ |
| v alue | | 4.49% | | | 14.59% | | | 2.01% |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | C' M | 4 5 1 1 | Insured Mu | ured Municipal Fund II | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | | Year Ended September 30, $2004^{(1)}$ $2003^{(1)(2)}$ | | | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 150,071 | | \$ | 149,057 | \$ 146,574 | | | |
| Net expenses(6) | | 1.02%(7) | | | 1.00% | 0.86% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.01% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.00% | $0.84\%^{(7)}$ | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 7.34% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.92% | 7.14% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 6% | | | 34% | 79% | | | |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.26%(7) | 1.24% | 1.09%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.25%(7) | 1.24% | $1.07\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | $7.10\%^{(7)}$ | 7.68% | 6.91% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.540 | \$ 1.127 | \$ 0.851 |

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | 0.65% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.63% | 0.57% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | 0.64% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.62% | 0.56% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.65%(7) | 4.94% | 4.72% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Expenses | 0.80%(7) | 0.78% | $0.72\%^{(7)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction | $0.79\%^{(7)}$ | 0.77% | $0.71\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.50% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.79% | 4.57% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Senior Securities: | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 67,878 | \$ 67,599 | \$ 66,893 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | | Insured California Fund II | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|
| | Six Mo | onths Ended | | | | | |
| | | h 31, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, | | | |
| | (Una | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 20 | 03 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | (2) | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.510 | \$ | 14.560 | \$ | 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.510 | \$ | 1.060 | \$ | 0.822 | |
| Net realized and | | | | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | | | | | | |
| (loss) | | 0.083 | | (0.022) | | 0.281 | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | |
| income | | (0.059) | | (0.076) | | (0.050) | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.004) | | - | |
| Total income from | _ | | _ | | _ | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.534 | \$ | 0.958 | \$ | 1.053 | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | Φ. | (0.47.4) | ф | (0.040) | Φ. | (0.675) | |
| income | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (0.948) | \$ | (0.675) | |
| From net realized | | | | (0.060) | | | |
| gain Total distributions to | | - | | (0.060) | | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| common shareholders | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (1.009) | \$ | (0.675) | |
| Preferred and | Ф | (0.474) | Ф | (1.008) | Ф | (0.675) | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | (0.054) | |
| Preferred Shares | Ψ | | Ψ | | Ψ | (0.034) | |
| underwriting | | | | | | | |
| discounts | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | (0.089) | |
| Net asset value - End | Ψ | | Ψ' | | 4 | () | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.570 | \$ | 14.510 | \$ | 14.560 | |
| Market value - End | | | • | | • | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.730 | \$ | 14.580 | \$ | 13.800 | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 3.73% | | 6.84% | | $6.62\%^{(5)}$ | |
| Total Investment | | 3.13/0 | | 0.04 /0 | | 0.0270 | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | 40.0== | | 1.050 (5) | |
| value | | 4.37% | | 13.27% | | $1.06\%^{(5)}$ | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured California Fund II | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------|--------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | | 30, 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 56,233 | | \$ | 55,955 | ; | \$ | 56,083 |
| Net expenses(6) | | $1.09\%^{(7)}$ | | | 1.09% | | | $0.98\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.06% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.08% | | | 0.96% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 6.97% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.27% | | | 6.75% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 1% | | | 13% | | | 36% |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| of average net assets | | | |
| applicable to common | | | |
| shares): | | | |
| Expenses(6) | 1.33%(7) | 1.33% | 1.22%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.30% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.32% | $1.20\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.73% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.03% | 6.51% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.492 | \$ 1.025 | \$ 0.793 |

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | 0.69%(7) | 0.68% | 0.64% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | 0.67% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.67% | 0.63% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.36% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.54% | 4.46% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Expenses | 0.84%(7) | 0.83% | $0.80\%^{(7)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian fee reduction | $0.82\%^{(7)}$ | 0.82% | 0.79% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.21%(7) | 4.39% | 4.30%(7) |
| Senior Securities: | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 66,656 | \$ 66,455 | \$ 66,545 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | Insured Florida Fund | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Six M | onths Ended | | | | | | |
| | Marc | ch 31, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
| | (Una | nudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | |
| Net asset value - | | , | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.520 | \$ | 14.550 | \$ 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | | | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | • | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.515 | \$ | 1.062 | \$ 0.788 | | | |
| Net realized and | | | | (4) | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.180 | | $0.002^{(4)}$ | 0.319 | | | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | | (0.060) | | (0.077) | (0.060) | | | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.007) | - | | | |
| Total income from | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.635 | \$ | 0.980 | \$ 1.047 | | | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | (0.465) | \$ | (0.930) | \$ (0.675) | | | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.080) | - | | | |
| Total distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.465) | \$ | (1.010) | \$ (0.675) | | | |
| Preferred and | | | | | | | | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ (0.058) | | | |
| Preferred Shares | | | | | | | | |
| underwriting | | | | | | | | |
| discounts | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ (0.089) | | | |
| Net asset value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.690 | \$ | 14.520 | \$ 14.550 | | | |
| Market value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.100 | \$ | 14.750 | \$ 14.100 | | | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁵⁾ | | 4.42% | | 7.12% | 6.37% (6) | | | |
| Total Investment | | 270 | | | 0.0. | | | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁵⁾ | | (1.24)0/ | | 12 200/ | $3.08\%^{(6)}$ | | | |
| v arue | | (1.34)% | | 12.29% | 3.08% | | | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured Florida Fund | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------|--------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | | er 30, 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 37,725 | | \$ | 37,211 | | \$ | 37,186 |
| Net expenses ⁽⁷⁾ | | 1.18%(8) | | | 1.14% | | | $1.04\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁷⁾ | | 1.17%(8) | | | 1.14% | | | $0.98\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁷⁾ | | 7.00%(8) | | | 7.30% | | | 6.45%(8) |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 11% | | | 19% | | | 29% |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| of average net assets | | | |
| applicable to common | | | |
| shares): | | | |
| Expenses ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.41%(8) | 1.38% | 1.29%(8) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.40%(8) | 1.38% | 1.23%(8) |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁷⁾ | 6.76%(8) | 7.06% | $6.20\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.497 | \$ 1.027 | \$ 0.757 |

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------------|
| Net expenses | 0.73%(8) | 0.71% | $0.69\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net expenses after | | | |
| custodian fee reduction | 0.73%(8) | 0.71% | $0.65\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.39%(8) | 4.55% | 4.25%(8) |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Expenses | $0.88\%^{(8)}$ | 0.86% | $0.86\%^{(8)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction | $0.88\%^{(8)}$ | 0.86% | $0.82\%^{(8)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.24%(8) | 4.40% | 4.08%(8) |
| Senior Securities: | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 66,921 | \$ 66,348 | \$ 66,319 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | | | |
| share(10) | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | |
| share(10) | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) The per share amount does not reflect the actual net realized and unrealized gain/loss for the period because of the timing of reinvested shares of the Fund and the amount of per share realized gains and losses at such time.
- (5) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (7) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (8) Annualized.
- (9) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (10) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | | | Insured Massach | nusetts Fund | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | | onths Ended | | | | | | |
| | | h 31, 2005 | | Year Ended | September 30, | er 30, | | |
| | (Una | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 20 | 003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | (3) | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.870 | \$ | 14.670 | \$ | 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | ф | 0.522 | ф | 1 100 | ф | 0.000 | | |
| income Net realized and | \$ | 0.523 | \$ | 1.109 | \$ | 0.823 | | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.053 | | 0.350 | | 0.411 | | |
| Distributions to | | 0.055 | | 0.550 | | 0.411 | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | | (0.052) | | (0.069) | | (0.058) | | |
| From net realized | | (0.00-) | | (01002) | | (31323) | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.017) | | - | | |
| Total income from | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.524 | \$ | 1.373 | \$ | 1.176 | | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | _ | | _ | | _ | | | |
| income | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (0.948) | \$ | (0.675) | | |
| From net realized | | | | (0.225) | | | | |
| gain Total distributions to | | - | | (0.225) | | - | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (1.173) | \$ | (0.675) | | |
| Preferred and | Ψ | (0.474) | Ψ | (1.173) | Ψ | (0.073) | | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (0.066) | | |
| Preferred Shares | | | | | | | | |
| underwriting | | | | | | | | |
| discounts | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (0.090) | | |
| Net asset value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.920 | \$ | 14.870 | \$ | 14.670 | | |
| Market value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | ф | 16.520 | ф | 15.570 | ф | 14.450 | | |
| shares) Total Investment | \$ | 16.520 | \$ | 15.570 | \$ | 14.450 | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | (5) | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 3.35% | | 9.74% | | $7.22\%^{(5)}$ | | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | (5) | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 9.29% | | 16.66% | | 5.61% ⁽⁵⁾ | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured Massachusetts Fund | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | | Year Ended | d September 30, | er 30, 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 26,090 | | \$ | 25,982 | \$ | 25,586 | |
| Net expenses(6) | | 1.26%(7) | | | 1.24% | | $1.10\%^{(7)}$ | |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.25% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.24% | | 1.06% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 6.97% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.58% | | 6.73% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 3% | | | 39% | | 81% | |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Expenses(6) | 1.50%(7) | 1.48% | 1.36%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.49% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.48% | 1.32%(7) |
| Net investment | | | |
| income(6) | 6.73% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.34% | 6.47%(7) |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.505 | \$ 1.074 | \$ 0.791 |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | $0.79\%^{(7)}$ | 0.77% | 0.73%(7) |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | 0.79% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.77% | 0.70% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.39% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.72% | 4.42% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------|----|--------|----|----------------------|
| Expenses | | 0.94%(7) | | 0.92% | | $0.90\%^{(7)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian | | | | | | |
| fee reduction | | $0.94\%^{(7)}$ | | 0.92% | | $0.87\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | | 4.24% ⁽⁷⁾ | | 4.57% | | 4.25% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares | | | | | | |
| outstanding | | 620 | | 620 | | 620 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ | 67,080 | \$ | 66,907 | \$ | 66,270 |
| Involuntary liquidation | Ψ | 07,000 | Ψ | 00,507 | Ψ | 00,270 |
| preference per preferred | | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002 to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at the beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | G: M | d F 1 1 | Insured Michigan Fund | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Marc | onths Ended h 31, 2005 | | Year Ended | 1 September 30, | |
| | (Una | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.840 | \$ | 14.520 | \$ 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | |
| Income (loss) from operations | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 0.529 | \$ | 1.105 | \$ 0.824 | |
| Net realized and | Ψ | 0.52) | Ψ | 1.103 | Ψ 0.02- | |
| unrealized gain (loss) | | (0.055) | | 0.252 | 0.262 | |
| Distributions to | | (0.055) | | 0.232 | 0.202 | |
| preferred | | | | | | |
| shareholders from net | | | | | | |
| investment income | | (0.070) | | (0.089) | (0.058) | |
| Total income from | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.404 | \$ | 1.268 | \$ 1.028 | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | |
| common shareholders | | | | | | |
| From net investment | _ | | _ | | 4 | |
| income | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (0.948) | \$ (0.675) | |
| Total distributions to common shareholders | \$ | (0.474) | \$ | (0.049) | ¢ (0.675) | |
| Preferred and Common | Э | (0.474) | ф | (0.948) | \$ (0.675) | |
| shares offering costs | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ (0.068) | |
| Preferred Shares | <u> </u> | | * | | φ (σ.σσσ) | |
| underwriting discounts | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ (0.090) | |
| Net asset value - End of | | | | | Ì | |
| period (Common | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.770 | \$ | 14.840 | \$ 14.520 | |
| Market value - End of | | | | | | |
| period (Common | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 16.600 | \$ | 15.490 | \$ 14.410 | |
| Total Investment Return | | | | | (5) | |
| on Net Asset Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 2.67% | | 8.96% | 6.12% ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| Total Investment Return | | | | | | |
| on Market Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 10.55% | | 14.60% | 5.31% ⁽⁵⁾ | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured Michigan Fund | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------|--------|----|-----------------------|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | | 003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 22,305 | | \$ | 22,396 | \$ | 21,893 |
| Net expenses(6) | | 1.26%(7) | | | 1.28% | | $1.14\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.24% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.27% | | 1.09% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 7.08% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.56% | | 6.75% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 4% | | | 8% | | 79% |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.50% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.52% | 1.41%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.48% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.51% | 1.36% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.84% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.32% | 6.48%(7) |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.511 | \$ 1.070 | \$ 0.792 |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | 0.79%(7) | 0.79% | $0.75\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | $0.78\%^{(7)}$ | 0.78% | $0.71\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.43%(7) | 4.69% | 4.42% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|----|--------|----|----------------|
| Expenses | | $0.94\%^{(7)}$ | | 0.94% | | 0.93%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | | | | |
| fee reduction | | 0.93%(7) | | 0.93% | | $0.89\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | | 4.28%(7) | | 4.54% | | 4.25%(7) |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares | | | | | | |
| outstanding | | 540 | | 540 | | 540 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ | 66,308 | \$ | 66,475 | \$ | 65,543 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | Ψ | 00,500 | Ψ | 00,175 | Ψ | 00,5 15 |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this number by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | Six Mo | nths Ended | Insured New Jer | sey Fund | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|------------------------|--|
| | March 31, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
| | | idited) ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Net asset value - | (Ollad | idited) | | 004 | 20 | 103 | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.990 | \$ | 14.760 | \$ | 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | |
| Income (loss) from | * | | - | | * | - 110-20 | |
| operations | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.531 | \$ | 1.117 | \$ | 0.826 | |
| Net realized and | | | | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.112 | | 0.361 | | 0.489 | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | | |
| investment income | | (0.063) | | (0.067) | | (0.058) | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.015) | | - | |
| Total income from | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.580 | \$ | 1.396 | \$ | 1.257 | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | |
| From net | | (0.400) | | (0.060) | | (0.455) | |
| investment income | \$ | (0.480) | \$ | (0.960) | \$ | (0.675) | |
| From net realized | | | | (0.200) | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.206) | | - | |
| Total distributions to common | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.480) | \$ | (1.166) | \$ | (0.675) | |
| Preferred and | Ф | (0.480) | Ф | (1.166) | Ф | (0.073) | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | (0.058) | |
| Preferred Shares | Ψ | | Ψ | | Ψ | (0.030) | |
| underwriting | | | | | | | |
| discounts | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | (0.089) | |
| Net asset value - | , | | <u>, </u> | | · · | (01007) | |
| End of period | | | | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 15.090 | \$ | 14.990 | \$ | 14.760 | |
| Market value - End | | | · | | · | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 15.180 | \$ | 15.490 | \$ | 14.520 | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 3.90% | | 9.83% | | $7.89\%^{(5)}$ | |
| Total Investment | | 3.70 /0 | | 7.03 /0 | | 1.07/ | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 1 1 40 | | 15 270 | | 6.14% ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| value | | 1.14% | | 15.37% | | 6.14% | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | C' M | 4 5 1 1 | Insured Ne | w Jersey Fund | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 30 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Data | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 38,625 | | \$ 38,326 | \$ 37,687 | |
| Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.10%(7) | | 1.13% | 1.03%(7) | |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.09% ⁽⁷⁾ | | 1.13% | 0.99% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | $7.00\%^{(7)}$ | | 7.54% | 6.69% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 4% | | 22% | 68% | |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Expenses(6) | 1.34%(7) | 1.37% | 1.28%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.33%(7) | 1.37% | $1.24\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.76% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.30% | 6.44%(7) |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.513 | \$ 1.081 | \$ 0.795 |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | $0.70\%^{(7)}$ | 0.71% | 0.69% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | 0.69% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.71% | 0.66% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.44%(7) | 4.73% | 4.43%(7) |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such action not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Expenses | 0.85%(7) | 0.86% | 0.85%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction | $0.84\%^{(7)}$ | 0.86% | $0.82\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.29%(7) | 4.58% | 4.26% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Senior Securities: | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 67,920 | \$ 67,588 | \$ 66,875 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | | | |
| share(9) | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number or preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | | | Insured New Yo | ork Fund II | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| | Six Mo | nths Ended | | | | | |
| | March | n 31, 2005 | | | | | |
| | (Unai | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended Se 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 20 | 03 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Net asset value - | (Ollac | idited) | | 2004 | 20 | 03 | |
| Beginning of | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| period (Common | \$ | 14.910 | \$ | 14.870 | \$ | 14.325 (3) | |
| shares) | Э | 14.910 | Ф | 14.870 | \$ | 14.323 | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | ф | 0.512 | ф | 1.000 | ф | 0.010 | |
| income | \$ | 0.513 | \$ | 1.080 | \$ | 0.818 | |
| Net realized and | | 0.440 | | 0.000 | | 0.645 | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.149 | | 0.223 | | 0.617 | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | | |
| investment income | | (0.060) | | (0.063) | | (0.057) | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.016) | | - | |
| Total income from | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.602 | \$ | 1.224 | \$ | 1.378 | |
| Less distributions | | | | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | | |
| investment income | \$ | (0.482) | \$ | (0.963) | \$ | (0.686) | |
| From net realized | | | | () | | () | |
| gain | | _ | | (0.221) | | _ | |
| Total distributions | | | | (| | | |
| to common | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.482) | \$ | (1.184) | \$ | (0.686) | |
| Preferred and | Ψ | (002) | Ψ | (11101) | <u> </u> | (0.000) | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | | | | | | | |
| capital | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | (0.058) | |
| Preferred Shares | Ф | - | Φ | - | Ą | (0.038) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| underwriting discounts | \$ | | \$ | | ¢ | (0.090) | |
| | Ф | - | Ф | - | \$ | (0.089) | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | |
| End of period | \$ | 15.020 | ¢ | 14.010 | ¢ | 14.970 | |
| (Common shares) | ф | 15.030 | \$ | 14.910 | \$ | 14.870 | |
| Market value - End | | | | | | | |
| of period | ė. | 14.550 | 45 | 14.460 | <i>*</i> | 12.710 | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.550 | \$ | 14.460 | \$ | 13.710 | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net | | ~ (4) | | $8.75\%^{(4)(10)}$ | | a a = ~ (5) | |
| Asset Value | | 4.13% (4) | | 8.75% | | 8.87% ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | |
| Return on Market | | (4) | | (4))(10) | | (5) | |
| Value | | 3.94% (4) | 14 | .39% ⁽⁴⁾⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ | | 0.38% (5) | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured New York Fund II | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------|--------|--|----------|-----------------------|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | |), 20 | 003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 38,384 | | \$ | 38,089 | | \$ | 37,984 |
| Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.19%(7) | | | 1.14% | | | $1.03\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.18% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.13% | | | 0.98% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 6.80% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.31% | | | 6.65% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 9% | | | 28% | | | 66% |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| of average net assets | | | |
| applicable to common | | | |
| shares): | | | |
| Expenses(6) | 1.43%(7) | 1.38% | 1.28%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.42% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.37% | 1.23%(7) |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.57% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.07% | $6.40\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.496 | \$ 1.045 | \$ 0.787 |

The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| of average total net | | | |
| assets): | | | |
| Net expenses | $0.75\%^{(7)}$ | 0.71% | $0.68\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net expenses after | | | |
| custodian fee reduction | $0.75\%^{(7)}$ | 0.71% | 0.65%(7) |
| Net investment income | 4.30% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.58% | 4.40% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Expenses | $0.90\%^{(7)}$ | 0.86% | 0.85%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction | $0.90\%^{(7)}$ | 0.86% | $0.82\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.15% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.43% | 4.23%(7) |
| Senior Securities: | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 67,652 | \$ 67,323 | \$ 67,209 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
- (10) During the year ended September 30, 2004, the investment adviser reimbursed the Fund for a net loss realized on the disposal of an investment in violation of restrictions. The reimbursement was less than \$0.01 per common share and had no effect on total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value for the year ended September 30, 2004.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | | | Insured Oh | io Fund | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Six M | onths Ended | | | | | | |
| | March 31, 2005 | | | Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
| | | audited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | |
| | (Una | audited) | | 2004 | 2003 | | | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | . (3) | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.640 | \$ | 14.620 | \$ 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | | | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.511 | \$ | 1.054 | \$ 0.776 | | | |
| Net realized and | | | | | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | 0.022 | | 0.018 | 0.402 | | | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | | | |
| investment income | | (0.072) | | (0.086) | (0.060) | | | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | (0.003) | _ | | | |
| Total income from | | | | , | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.461 | \$ | 0.983 | \$ 1.118 | | | |
| Less distributions to | · · | | · · | | , | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | | |
| From net | | | | | | | | |
| investment income | \$ | (0.461) | \$ | (0.930) | \$ (0.675) | | | |
| From net realized | Ψ | (0.401) | Ψ | (0.550) | ψ (0.073) | | | |
| gain | | | | (0.033) | | | | |
| Total distributions | | | | (0.033) | | | | |
| to common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.461) | \$ | (0.963) | \$ (0.675) | | | |
| Preferred and | Φ | (0.401) | Φ | (0.903) | \$ (0.073) | | | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | ф | | ¢. | | ¢ (0.060) | | | |
| capital | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ (0.060) | | | |
| Preferred Shares | | | | | | | | |
| underwriting | | | | | . (0.000) | | | |
| discounts | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ (0.088) | | | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | | |
| End of period | | 44.646 | | 44.640 | | | | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.640 | \$ | 14.640 | \$ 14.620 | | | |
| Market value - End | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.980 | \$ | 15.200 | \$ 14.430 | | | |
| Total Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 3.10% | | 6.94% | $6.85\%^{(5)}$ | | | |
| Total Investment | | 5.10% | | 0.5170 | 0.037 | | | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | | |
| Value ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | _ , (5) | | | |
| Value | | 1.62% | | 12.49% | 5.46% ⁽⁵⁾ | | | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured Ohio Fund | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------|--------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September 2004 ⁽¹⁾ | | | · 30, 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 36,757 | | \$ | 36,746 | | \$ | 36,610 |
| Net expenses(6) | | 1.17%(7) | | | 1.17% | | | 1.05%(7) |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.16% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.16% | | | 0.99% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 6.92% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.30% | | | 6.38% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 4% | | | 25% | | | 32% |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Expenses(6) | 1.41%(7) | 1.41% | 1.30%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.40% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.40% | 1.24% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.68% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.06% | 6.13%(7) |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.493 | \$ 1.019 | \$ 0.746 |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | $0.74\%^{(7)}$ | 0.73% | 0.69% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | 0.73% ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.72% | 0.65% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.35% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.55% | 4.21% ⁽⁷⁾ |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Expenses | | 0.89%(7) | 0.88% | $0.86\%^{(7)}$ |
| Expenses after custodian | | | | |
| fee reduction | | $0.88\%^{(7)}$ | 0.87% | $0.82\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | | 4.20% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.40% | 4.04% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Senior Securities: | | | | |
| Total preferred shares outstanding | | 875 | 875 | 875 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ | 67,014 | \$ 66,999 | \$ 66,841 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | 4 | 27.000 | 25.000 | 27.000 |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ | 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | | |
| share(9) | \$ | 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current maket price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

| | | | Insured Penns | sylva | nia Fund | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|----|-----------------------|--|
| | | Six Months Ended | | | • | | | | |
| | | March 31, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, | | | | | |
| | (Unai | udited) ⁽¹⁾ | | 20 | 04 ⁽¹⁾ | | 20 | 003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Net asset value - | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | | | | | | | | (2) | |
| (Common shares) | \$ | 14.410 | \$ | | 14.580 | | \$ | 14.325 ⁽³⁾ | |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment | | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | 0.521 | \$ | | 1.068 | | \$ | 0.811 | |
| Net realized and | | | | | | | | | |
| unrealized gain | | | | | | | | | |
| (loss) | | 0.069 | | | (0.066) | | | 0.331 | |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | | |
| preferred | | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders - | | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | | |
| income | | (0.071) | | | (0.083) | | | (0.060) | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | | (0.011) | | | - | |
| Total income from | | | | | | | | | |
| operations | \$ | 0.519 | \$ | | 0.908 | | \$ | 1.082 | |
| Less distributions to | | | | | | | | | |
| common | | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | | | | | | | | |
| From net investment | | | | | | | | | |
| income | \$ | (0.469) | \$ | | (0.938) | | \$ | (0.681) | |
| From net realized | | | | | | | | | |
| gain | | - | | | (0.140) | | | - | |
| Total distributions to | | | | | | | | | |
| common | | (0.460) | | | (4.050) | | | (0.604) | |
| shareholders | \$ | (0.469) | \$ | | (1.078) | | \$ | (0.681) | |
| Preferred and | | | | | | | | | |
| Common shares | | | | | | | | | |
| offering costs | | | | | | | | | |
| charged to paid-in | ф | | \$ | | | | ф | (0.056) | |
| capital | \$ | - | \$ | | - | | \$ | (0.056) | |
| Preferred Shares | | | | | | | | | |
| underwriting discounts | \$ | | \$ | | | | \$ | (0.090) | |
| Net asset value - End | Φ | - | Φ | | - | | Ф | (0.090) | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| of period (Common shares) | \$ | 14.460 | \$ | | 14.410 | | \$ | 14.580 | |
| Market value - End | Ψ | 14.400 | φ | | 14.410 | | φ | 14.500 | |
| of period (Common | | | | | | | | | |
| shares) | \$ | 14.930 | \$ | | 14.980 | | \$ | 14.330 | |
| Total Investment | Ψ | 14.930 | Φ | | 14.900 | | φ | 14.550 | |
| Return on Net Asset | | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | 3.49% ⁽⁴⁾ | | | $6.43\%^{(4)}$ | | | 6.63% ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| Total Investment | | J.77/0 | | | 0.73/0 | | | 0.0570 | |
| Return on Market | | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | $2.79\%^{(4)}$ | | | 12.57% ⁽⁴⁾ | | | $4.80\%^{(5)}$ | |
| , aruc | | 2.17/0 | | | 12.3170 | | | 7.00 /0 | |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

| | Insured Pennsylvania Fund | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------|----|---------------------------------|--|
| | Marc | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) ⁽¹⁾ | | Year Ended September $2004^{(1)}$ | | | · 30, 2003 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
| Ratios/Supplemental | | | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | \$ | 42,538 | | \$ | 42,352 | \$ | 42,822 | |
| Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.13%(7) | | | 1.12% | | 1.03%(7) | |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | | 1.12% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 1.11% | | 0.97% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾ | | 7.15% ⁽⁷⁾ | | | 7.37% | | 6.64% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Portfolio Turnover | | 4% | | | 17% | | 34% | |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios and net investment income per share would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares): | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Expenses(6) | 1.37%(7) | 1.36% | 1.28%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | |
| fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾ | 1.36% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.35% | 1.22%(7) |
| Net investment | | | |
| income ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.90% ⁽⁷⁾ | 7.13% | 6.39% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | | | |
| per share | \$ 0.503 | \$ 1.033 | \$ 0.780 |

The ratios reported are based on net assets applicable solely to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Net expenses | $0.70\%^{(7)}$ | 0.69% | 0.68% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net expenses after custodian fee reduction | $0.70\%^{(7)}$ | 0.69% | 0.64% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Net investment income | 4.45% ⁽⁷⁾ | 4.58% | 4.37%(7) |

The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a reduction of the investment adviser fee and, during the year ended September 30, 2003, a reimbursement of expenses by the Adviser. Had such actions not been taken, the ratios would have been as follows:

| Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets): | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----|--------|----|----------------------|
| Expenses | 0.85%(7) | | 0.84% | | 0.84%(7) |
| Expenses after custodian | | | | | |
| fee reduction | $0.85\%^{(7)}$ | | 0.84% | | $0.80\%^{(7)}$ |
| Net investment income | 4.30% ⁽⁷⁾ | | 4.43% | | 4.20% ⁽⁷⁾ |
| Senior Securities: | | | | | |
| Total preferred shares | | | | | |
| outstanding | 1,040 | | 1,040 | | 1,040 |
| Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ 65,902 | \$ | 65,723 | \$ | 66,178 |
| Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred | , •= | · | | , | |
| share(9) | \$ 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |
| Approximate market value per preferred | | | | | |
| share ⁽⁹⁾ | \$ 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 25,000 |

- (1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.
- (2) For the period from the start of business, November 29, 2002, to September 30, 2003.
- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2005

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II (Insured Municipal Fund II), Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II (Insured California Fund II), Eaton Vance Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Massachusetts Fund), Eaton Vance Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Massachusetts Fund), Eaton Vance Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Michigan Fund), Eaton Vance Insured New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund (Insured New Jersey Fund), Eaton Vance Insured Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (Insured New York Fund II), Eaton Vance Insured Ohio Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Ohio Fund), and Eaton Vance Insured Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (Insured Pennsylvania Fund) (individually referred to as the Fund or collectively the Funds) are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. Each of the Funds was organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts by an Agreement and Declaration of Trust dated October 3, 2002. Each Fund's investment objective is to achieve current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and taxes in its specified state. Each Fund seeks to achieve its objective by investing primarily in high grade municipal obligations that are insured as to the timely payment of principal and interest.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by each Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation - Municipal bonds are normally valued on the basis of valuations furnished by a pricing service. Taxable obligations, if any, for which price quotations are readily available are normally valued at the mean between the bid and asked prices. Futures contracts and options on futures contracts listed on the commodity exchanges are valued at closing settlement prices. Interest rate swaps are normally valued on the basis of valuations furnished by a broker. Short-term obligations, maturing in sixty days or less, are valued at amortized cost, which approximates value. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees.

B Investment Transactions - Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from such transactions are determined using the specific identification method. Securities purchased or sold on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis may be settled a month or more after the transaction date. The securities so purchased are subject to market fluctuations during this period. To the extent that when-issued or delayed delivery purchases are outstanding, the Fund instructs the custodian to segregate assets in a separate account, with a current value at least equal to the amount of its purchase commitments.

C Income - Interest income is determined on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or discount.

D Federal Taxes - Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt income, including any net realized gain on investments. Therefore, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. At September 30, 2004, certain Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryovers which will reduce taxable income arising from future net realized gain on investments, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryovers are as follows:

Fund Amount Expires
Insured Michigan Fund \$ 113,378 September 30, 2012

Insured Ohio Fund 37,328 September 30, 2012

Additionally, at September 30, 2004, Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured California Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, Insured Massachusetts Fund, Insured Michigan Fund, Insured New Jersey Fund, Insured New York Fund II, Insured Ohio Fund, and Insured Pennsylvania Fund had net capital losses of \$854,540, \$1,310,426, \$1,128,423, \$816,432, \$631,302, \$1,125,725, \$694,063, \$884,190, and \$1,415,291 respectively, attributable to security transactions incurred after October 31, 2003. These are treated as arising on the first day of each Fund's taxable year ending September 30, 2005.

In addition, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations,

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

which are exempt from regular federal income taxes when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

- E Organization and Offering Costs Costs incurred by each Fund in connection with its organization have been expensed. Costs incurred by each Fund in connection with the offerings of the common shares and preferred shares were recorded as a reduction of capital paid in excess of par applicable to common shares.
- F Financial Futures Contracts Upon the entering of a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit (initial margin) either in cash or securities an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price indicated in the financial futures contract. Subsequent payments are made or received by a Fund (margin maintenance) each day, dependent on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded for book purposes as unrealized gains or losses by a Fund. A Fund's investment in financial futures contracts is designed for both hedging against anticipated future changes in interest rates and investment purposes. Should interest rates move unexpectedly, a Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss.
- G Options on Financial Futures Contracts Upon the purchase of a put option on a financial futures contract by a Fund, the premium paid is recorded as an investment, the value of which is marked-to-market daily. When a purchased option expires, a Fund will realize a loss in the amount of the cost of the option. When a Fund enters into a closing sale transaction, a Fund will realize a gain or loss depending on whether the sales proceeds from the closing sale transaction are greater or less than the cost of the option. When a Fund exercises a put option, settlement is made in cash. The risk associated with purchasing put options is limited to the premium originally paid.
- H Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- I Indemnifications Under each Fund's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund and shareholders are indemnified against personal liability for the obligations of each Fund. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.
- J Expense Reduction Investors Bank & Trust Company (IBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the respective custodian agreements, IBT receives a fee reduced by credits which are determined based on the average daily cash balances each Fund maintains with IBT. All credit balances used to reduce the Funds' custodian fees are reported as a reduction of total expenses in the Statement of Operations.
- K Interim Financial Statements The interim financial statements relating to March 31, 2005 and for the six months then ended have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of the Funds' management reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

2 Auction Preferred Shares (APS)

Each Fund issued Auction Preferred Shares on January 15, 2003 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs were recorded as a reduction of capital of the common shares of each Fund. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at a rate which was established at the offering of each Fund's APS and generally have been reset every seven days thereafter by an auction unless a special dividend period has been set. Initially, the Insured Municipal Fund II elected an Initial Dividend Period for Series B of 360 days. Effective January 10, 2005, a special dividend period was set on the Series B shares of the Insured Municipal Fund II. The dividend rate, which matures on January 8, 2006, is 2.198%. Series A and Series B are identical in all respects except for the dates of reset for the dividend rates. Auction Preferred Shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2005 and dividend rate ranges for the six months ended March 31, 2005 are as indicated below:

| | Preferred Shares | Dividends Rate |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Trust | Issued and Outstanding | Ranges |
| Insured Municipal II Series | | |
| A | 1,750 | 0.89% - 2.05% |
| Insured Municipal II Series | | % - |
| В | 1,750 | 1.062.198% |
| Insured California Fund II | 1,350 | 0.70% - 2.26% |
| Insured Florida Fund | 900 | 0.10% - 2.05% |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Trust | Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding | Dividends Rate Ranges |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | 620 | 0.849% - 2.01% |
| Insured Michigan Fund | 540 | 1.20% - 2.06% |
| Insured New Jersey Fund | 900 | 0.75% - 1.95% |
| Insured New York Fund II | 900 | 0.90% - 2.06% |
| Insured Ohio Fund | 875 | 1.37% - 2.01% |
| Insured Pennsylvania Fund | 1,040 | 1.00% - 2.11% |

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Fund at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if any Fund is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS shall remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years' dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the Common Shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Fund is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in each Trust's By-Laws and the Investment Company Act of 1940. Each Fund pays an annual fee equivalent to 0.25% of the preferred shares liquidation value for the remarketing efforts associated with the preferred auction.

3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income, after payments of any dividends on any outstanding APS. Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions of realized capital gains, if any, are made at least annually. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. Each dividend payment period for the APS is generally seven days. Effective January 10, 2005, the Series B shares of the Insured Municipal Fund II set a special dividend period of 365 days. The Insured Municipal Fund II Series B pays accumulated dividends on the first business day of each month. Final payment will be made on January 8, 2006. The applicable dividend rates for Auction Preferred Shares on March 31, 2005 are listed below. For the six months ended March 31, 2005, the amount of dividends each Fund paid to Auction Preferred shareholders and average APS dividend rates for such period were as follows:

| Fund | APS Dividend Rates as of March 31, 2005 | P Sha from no inc | evidends Paid to referred reholders et investment come for six months ended arch 31, | Average APS Dividend Rates for the six months ended March 31, 2005 |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Insured Municipal Fund II | 2000 | | | 2000 |
| Series A Insured Municipal Fund II | 1.95% | \$ | 349,604 | 1.61% |
| Series B | 2.198% | | 341,723 | 1.55% |
| Insured California Fund II | 2.26% | | 228,005 | 1.35% |
| Insured Florida Fund | 1.95% | | 154,951 | 1.38% |
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | 2.01% | | 91,481 | 1.18% |
| Insured Michigan Fund | 2.06% | | 105,824 | 1.59% |
| Insured New Jersey Fund | 1.70% | | 161,090 | 1.44% |
| | 2.06% | | 152,619 | 1.38% |

Insured New York

Fund II

| Insured Ohio Fund | 2.01% | 179,946 | 1.65% |
|----------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Insured Pennsylvania | | | |
| Fund | 2.40% | 208,551 | 1.62% |

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid in capital.

4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee, computed at an annual rate of 0.55% of each Fund's average weekly gross assets, was earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. Except for Trustees of each Fund who are not members of EVM's organization, officers and Trustees receive remuneration for their services to each Fund out of such investment adviser fee. For the six months ended March 31, 2005, the fee was equivalent to 0.55% (annualized) of each Fund's average weekly gross assets and amounted to \$654,877, \$247,262, \$165,471, \$114,403, \$99,125, \$168,452, \$167,263, \$162,038 and \$189,172 for Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured California Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, Insured Massachusetts Fund, Insured Michigan Fund, Insured New Jersey Fund,

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Insured New York Fund II, Insured Ohio Fund and Insured Pennsylvania Fund, respectively. EVM also serves as the administrator of the Funds, but currently receives no compensation.

In addition, EVM has contractually agreed to reimburse the Fund for fees and other expenses in the amount of 0.15% of average weekly total assets of each Fund during the first five full years of each Fund's operations, 0.10% of average weekly total assets of each Fund in year six, and 0.05% in year seven. For the six months ended March 31, 2005, EVM contractually waived \$178,603, \$67,435, \$45,128, \$31,201, \$27,034, \$45,941, \$45,617, \$44,192 and \$51,592 for Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured California Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, Insured Massachusetts Fund, Insured Michigan Fund, Insured New Jersey Fund, Insured New York Fund II, Insured Ohio Fund and Insured Pennsylvania Fund, respectively. EVM has also agreed to reduce the investment adviser fee by an amount equal to that portion of commissions paid to broker dealers in execution of portfolio transactions attributed to the Fund that is consideration for third-party research services. For the six months ended March 31, 2005, EVM waived \$500 and \$430 of its advisory fee for Insured Municipal Fund II and Insured Massachusetts Fund, respectively.

Certain officers and one Trustee of each Fund are officers of the above organization.

5 Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than U.S. Government securities and short-term obligations, for the six months ended March 31, 2005 were as follows:

| Insured Municipal Fund II | |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Purchases | \$ 15,169,482 |
| Sales | 15,572,405 |
| Insured California Fund II | |
| Purchases | \$ 1,728,220 |
| Sales | 775,290 |
| Insured Florida Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 6,539,834 |
| Sales | 6,464,172 |
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 1,559,050 |
| Sales | 1,388,867 |
| | |
| | |
| Insured Michigan Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 1,637,162 |
| Sales | 1,517,015 |
| Insured New Jersey Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 2,360,559 |
| Sales | 2,809,520 |
| Insured New York Fund II | |
| Purchases | \$ 5,709,913 |
| Sales | 6,000,573 |
| Insured Ohio Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 2,128,990 |
| Sales | 2,483,478 |
| Insured Pennsylvania Fund | |
| Purchases | \$ 2,493,440 |
| Sales | 2,722,344 |

$\ \, 6\ \, \text{Federal Income Tax Basis of Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)}$

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) in value of the investments owned by each Fund at March 31, 2005, as computed for Federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Insured Municipal Fund II | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 227,582,487 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 9,489,747 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (918,173) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 8,571,574 |
| Insured California Fund II | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 86,275,030 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,612,825 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (16,529) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,596,296 |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Insured Florida Fund | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 57,595,854 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,937,533 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | - |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,937,533 |
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 39,535,391 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,861,328 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (9,557) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,851,771 |
| Insured Michigan Fund | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 34,059,344 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,453,490 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (13,079) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 1,440,411 |
| Insured New Jersey Fund | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 57,331,116 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 3,052,495 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (11,824) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 3,040,671 |
| Insured New York Fund II | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 57,204,692 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,400,707 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (67,195) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,333,512 |
| Insured Ohio Fund | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 55,475,594 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,085,000 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (30,895) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,054,105 |
| Insured Pennsylvania Fund | |
| Aggregate Cost | \$ 65,502,101 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,024,085 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (21,206) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,002,879 |

7 Shares of Beneficial Interest

The Declaration of Trust permits the Funds to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional \$0.01 par value common shares. Transactions in common shares were as follows:

Insured Municipal Fund II

Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited)

Year Ended September 30, 2004

| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | - | 8,524 | | |
| Net increase | - | 8,524 | | |
| | Insured Cali | fornia Fund II | | |
| | Six Months Ended | | | |
| | March 31, 2005 | Year Ended | | |
| | (Unaudited) | September 30, 2004 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 3,060 | 4,287 | | |
| Net increase | 3,060 | 4,287 | | |
| | Insured Florida Fund | | | |
| | Six Months Ended | | | |
| | March 31, 2005 | Year Ended | | |
| | (Unaudited) | September 30, 2004 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 5,085 | 7,561 | | |
| Net increase | 5,085 | 7,561 | | |
| | Insured Mass | sachusetts Fund | | |
| | Six Months Ended | | | |
| | March 31, 2005 | Year Ended | | |
| | (Unaudited) | September 30, 2004 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 1,814 | 3,155 | | |
| Net increase | 1,814 | 3,155 | | |
| | ,- | -, | | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| | Insured Michigan Fund Six Months Ended | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) | Year Ended September 30, 2004 | | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | (1 | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 1,070 | 1,114 | | | |
| Net increase | 1,070 | 1,114 | | | |
| | Insured Ne | ew Jersey Fund | | | |
| | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) | Year Ended September 30, 2004 | | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | (1 | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 1,585 | 3,742 | | | |
| Net increase | 1,585 | 3,742 | | | |
| | Insured Ne | w York Fund II | | | |
| | Six Months Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited) | Year Ended September 30, 2004 | | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 441 | 303 | | | |
| Net increase | 441 | 303 | | | |

Insured Ohio Fund

| | Six Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | March 31, 2005 | Year Ended | | |
| | (Unaudited) | September 30, 2004 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 2,343 | 5,040 | | |
| Net increase | 2,343 | 5,040 | | |
| | Insured Pennsylvania Fund | | | |
| | Six Months Ended | | | |
| | March 31, 2005 | Year Ended | | |
| | (Unaudited) | September 30, 2004 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to | | | | |
| the Fund's dividend | | | | |
| reinvestment plan | 1,103 | 2,128 | | |
| Net increase | 1,103 | 2,128 | | |

8 Financial Instruments

Each Fund may trade in financial instruments with

off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of its investing activities to assist in managing exposure to various market risks. These financial instruments include futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment each Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and does not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at March 31, 2005 is as follows:

Futures Contracts

| | Expiration | | | Aggregate | | Net U | Jnrealized |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Fund | Date | Contracts | Position | Cost | Value | App | reciation |
| Insured | | 600 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Municipal II | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (67,800,577) | \$ (66,825,000) | \$ | 975,577 |
| Insured | | 200 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| California II | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (22,617,900) | \$ (22,275,000) | \$ | 342,900 |
| | | 137 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Insured Florida | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (15,441,347) | \$ (15,258,375) | \$ | 182,972 |
| Insured | | 100 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Massachusetts | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (11,308,950) | \$ (11,137,500) | \$ | 171,450 |
| Insured | | 70 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Michigan | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (7,842,837) | \$ (7,796,250) | \$ | 46,587 |
| Insured New | | 150 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Jersey | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (16,963,425) | \$ (16,706,250) | \$ | 257,175 |
| Insured New | | 154 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| York II | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (17,400,454) | \$ (17,151,750) | \$ | 248,704 |
| | | 200 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Insured Ohio | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (22,446,260) | \$ (22,275,000) | \$ | 171,260 |
| Insured | | 151 U.S. Treasury | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania | 06/05 | Bond | Short | \$ (17,104,167) | \$ (16,817,625) | \$ | 286,542 |

At March 31, 2005, each Fund had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover margin requirements on open future contracts.

9 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the custodian agreement between the Funds and IBT, IBT may in its discretion advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft by the Funds, the Funds are obligated to repay IBT at the current rate of interest charged by IBT for secured loans (currently, a rate above the federal funds rate). This obligation is payable on demand to IBT. IBT has a lien on Fund assets to the extent of any overdraft. At March 31, 2005, the Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, the Insured Massachusetts Fund, and the Insured Michigan Fund had payments due to IBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$463,208, \$258,010, \$262,083, and \$175,767, respectively.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (the Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have dividends and capital gains distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (the Shares) of the same Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by PFPC Inc. as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the net asset value per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the net asset value per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by the Plan Agent. Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not shares are reinvested.

If your shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that your shares be re-registered in your name with each Fund's transfer agent, PFPC Inc., or you will not be able to participate.

The Plan Agent's service fee for handling distributions will be paid by each Fund. Each participant will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Plan Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Plan Agent to have the Plan Agent sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Plan Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Plan Agent.

Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Plan Agent, PFPC Inc., at 1-800-331-1710.

APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION IN DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan.

The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan.

Please print exact name on account

Shareholder signature Date

Shareholder signature Date

Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign.

YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY.

This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address:

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds c/o PFPC Inc. P.O. Box 43027 Providence, RI 02940-3027 800-331-1710

Number of Employees

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end, nondiversified, management investment company and has no employees.

Number of Shareholders

As of March 31, 2005, our records indicate that there are 38, 11, 5, 6, 11, 10, 20, 23 and 54 registered shareholders for Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured California Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, Insured Massachusetts Fund, Insured Michigan Fund, Insured New Jersey Fund, Insured New York Fund II, Insured Ohio Fund and Insured Pennsylvania Fund, respectively, and approximately 5,000, 1,700, 1,300, 900, 900, 1,600, 1,500, 1,500 and 2,000 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks, and financial intermediaries for Insured Municipal Fund II, Insured California Fund II, Insured Florida Fund, Insured Massachusetts Fund, Insured Michigan Fund, Insured New Jersey Fund, Insured New York Fund II, Insured Ohio Fund and Insured Pennsylvania Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. The Eaton Vance Building 255 State Street Boston, MA 02109 1-800-225-6265

American Stock Exchange symbols

| Insured Municipal Fund II | EIV | Insured New Jersey Fund | EMJ |
|----------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|
| Insured California Fund II | EIA | Insured New York Fund II | NYH |
| Insured Florida Fund | EIF | Insured Ohio Fund | EIO |
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | MAB | Insured Pennsylvania Fund | EIP |
| Insured Michigan Fund | MIW | | |

BOARD OF TRUSTEES' ANNUAL APPROVAL OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS

The investment advisory agreements between each of Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Insured Michigan Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Insured New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund II, Eaton Vance Insured Ohio Municipal Bond Fund, and Eaton Vance Insured Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund (collectively the "Funds" or individually the "Fund"), and the investment adviser, Eaton Vance Management ("Eaton Vance"), each provide that the advisory agreement will continue in effect from year to year so long as its continuance is approved at least annually (i) by a vote of a majority of the noninterested Trustees of the Fund cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of voting on such approval and (ii) by the Trustees of the Fund or by vote of a majority of the outstanding interests of the Fund.

In considering the annual approval of the investment advisory agreements between each Fund and the investment adviser, the Special Committee of the Board of Trustees considered information that had been provided throughout the year at regular Board meetings, as well as information furnished to the Special Committee for a series of meetings held in February and March in preparation for a Board meeting held on March 21, 2005 to specifically consider the renewal of the investment advisory agreements. Such information included, among other things, the following:

An independent report comparing the advisory fees of each Fund with those of comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the expense ratio of each Fund to those of comparable funds;

Information regarding Fund investment performance in comparison to relevant peer groups of funds and appropriate indices;

The economic outlook and the general investment outlook in relevant investment markets;

Eaton Vance's results and financial condition and the overall organization of the investment adviser;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of Fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Eaton Vance's management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants;

The resources devoted to Eaton Vance's compliance efforts undertaken on behalf of the funds it manages and the record of compliance with the investment policies and restrictions and with policies on personal securities transactions;

The quality, nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance and its affiliates; and

The terms of the advisory agreement and the reasonableness and appropriateness of the particular fee paid by the Fund for the services described therein

The Special Committee also considered the investment adviser's municipal bond portfolio management capabilities, including information relating to the education, experience, and number of investment professionals and other personnel who provide services under the investment advisory agreements. Specifically, the Special Committee considered the investment adviser's 30-person municipal bond team, which includes six portfolio managers and nine credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Special Committee noted that the investment adviser's municipal bond team affords the investment adviser extensive in-house research capabilities in addition to the other resources available to the investment adviser. The Special Committee also took into account the time and attention to be devoted by senior management to the Funds and the other funds in the complex. The Special Committee evaluated the level of

BOARD OF TRUSTEES' ANNUAL APPROVAL OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS CONT'D

skill required to manage the Funds and concluded that the human resources available at the investment adviser were appropriate to fulfill effectively its duties on behalf of the Funds.

In its review of comparative information with respect to each Fund's investment performance, the Special Committee concluded that each Fund has performed within a range that the Special Committee deemed competitive. With respect to its review of investment advisory fees, the Special Committee concluded that the fees paid by each Fund are within the range of those paid by comparable funds within the mutual fund industry. In reviewing the information regarding the expense ratio of each Fund, the Special Committee concluded that each Fund's expense ratio is within a range it deemed to be competitive in comparison with comparable funds within the mutual fund industry.

In addition to the factors mentioned above, the Special Committee reviewed the level of the investment adviser's profits in providing investment management services for each Fund and for all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Special Committee also reviewed the level of profits of Eaton Vance and its affiliates in providing administration services for each Fund and for all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Special Committee also considered a contractual waiver of advisory fees for the first seven years of the Fund's operations. In addition, the Special Committee considered the fiduciary duty assumed by the investment adviser in connection with the services rendered to each Fund and the business reputation of the investment adviser and its financial resources. The Trustees concluded that in light of the services rendered, the profits realized by the investment adviser are not unreasonable. The Special Committee also considered the fact that the Fund is not continuously offered and concluded that, in light of the level of the investment adviser's profits with respect to the Fund, the implementation of breakpoints is not appropriate.

The Special Committee did not consider any single factor as controlling in determining whether or not to renew the investment advisory agreements. Nor are the items described herein all the matters considered by the Special Committee. In assessing the information provided by Eaton Vance and its affiliates, the Special Committee also took into consideration the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds which provides a large variety of shareholder services. The Special Committee also considered that the investment adviser had entered into a Shareholder Servicing Agreement with UBS Securities LLC, whereby the investment adviser (and not the Fund) would pay UBS Securities LLC to provide upon request certain market data and other reports to support shareholder services pursuant to the agreement.

Based on its consideration of the foregoing factors and conclusions, and such other factors and conclusions as it deemed relevant, and assisted by independent counsel, the Special Committee concluded that the renewal of the investment advisory agreements, including the fee structures (described herein), is in the interests of shareholders.

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds

Officers
Thomas J. Fetter
President and Portfolio
Manager of Insured
New York Municipal Bond
Fund II and Insured
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund
James B. Hawkes

James B. Hawkes
Vice President and Trustee
William H. Ahern, Jr.
Vice President and Portfolio
Manager of Insured
Municipal Bond Fund II
and Insured Michigan
Municipal Bond Fund
Craig R. Brandon

Vice President and Portfolio Manager of Insured Florida Municipal Bond Fund

Cynthia J. Clemson Vice President and Portfolio

Manager of Insured California Municipal Bond

Fund II

Robert B. MacIntosh Vice President and Portfolio Manager of Insured Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund and Insured

Bond Fund and Insured New Jersey Municipal

Bond Fund

Thomas M. Metzold

Vice President and Portfolio Manager of Insured

Pennsylvania Bond Fund James L O'Connor

Treasurer

Alan R. Dynner

Secretary

Paul M. O'Neil

Chief Compliance Officer

Frustees

Samuel L. Hayes, III William H. Park Ronald A. Pearlman Norton H. Reamer Lynn A. Stout

Investment Adviser and Administrator of Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds

Eaton Vance Management
The Eaton Vance Building
255 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

Custodian
Investors Bank & Trust Company
200 Clarendon Street
Boston, MA 02116

Transfer Agent and Dividend Disbursing Agent
PFPC Inc.
Attn: Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds

P.O. Box 43027 Providence, RI 02940-3027 (800) 331-1710

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds
The Eaton Vance Building
255 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

1557-5/05 9IMBIISRC

| Item 2. Code of Ethics |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122. |
| Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert |
| The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, Samuel L. Hayes, III and Norton H. Reamer, each an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial experts. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm). Previously, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (UAM) (a holding company owning institutional investment management firms). Mr. Hayes is the Jacob H. Schiff Professor of Investment Banking Emeritus of the Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Mr. Reamer is the President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Asset Management Finance Corp. (a specialty finance company serving the investment management industry) and is President of Unicorn Corporation (an investment and financial advisory services company). Formerly, Mr. Reamer was Chairman of Hellman, Jordan Management Co., Inc. (an investment management company) and Advisory Director of Berkshire Capital Corporation (an investment banking firm), Chairman of the Board of UAM and Chairman, President and Director of the UAM Funds (mutual funds). |
| Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services |
| Not required in this filing |
| Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed registrants |
| Not required in this filing. |
| Item 6. Schedule of Investments |
| Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR. |

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. The investment adviser will generally support company management on proposals relating to environmental and social policy issues, on matters regarding the state of organization of the company and routine matters related to corporate administration which are not expected to have a significant economic impact on the company or its shareholders. On all other matters, the investment adviser will review each matter on a case-by-case basis and reserves the right to deviate from the Policies guidelines when it believes the situation warrants such a deviation. The Policies include voting guidelines for matters relating to, among other things, the election of directors, approval of independent auditors, executive compensation, corporate structure and anti-takeover defenses. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to members of senior management of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. Such members of senior management will determine if a conflict exists. If a conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended September 30, 2004 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov.

| Item 8. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Ma | nagement Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers. |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|

No such purchases this period.

Item 9. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Effective February 7, 2005, the Governance Committee of the Board of Trustees revised the procedures by which a Fund s shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board of Trustees to add the following (highlighted):

The Governance Committee shall, when identifying candidates for the position of Independent Trustee, consider any such candidate recommended by a shareholder of a Fund if such recommendation contains (i)sufficient background information concerning the candidate, including evidence the candidate is willing to serve as an Independent Trustee if selected for the position; and (ii) is received in a sufficiently timely manner (and in any event no later than the date specified for receipt of shareholder proposals in any applicable proxy statement with respect to a Fund). Shareholders shall be directed to address any such recommendations in writing to the attention of the Governance Committee, c/o the Secretary of the Fund. The Secretary shall retain copies of any shareholder recommendations which meet the foregoing requirements for a period of not more than 12 months following receipt. The Secretary shall have no obligation to acknowledge receipt of any shareholder recommendations.

Item 10. Controls and Procedures

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 11. Exhibits

| (a)(1) | Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2). |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| (a)(2)(i) | Treasurer s Section 302 certification. |
| (a)(2)(ii) | President s Section 302 certification. |

(b) Combined Section 906 certification.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund II

By: /s/ Thomas J. Fetter Thomas J. Fetter President

Date: May 11, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ James L. O Connor James L. O Connor

Treasurer

Date: May 11, 2005

By: /s/ Thomas J. Fetter Thomas J. Fetter President

Date: May 11, 2005