SLM CORP Form 10-Q November 09, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007 or
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-13251

SLM Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

52-2013874

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12061 Bluemont Way, Reston, Virginia

20190

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(703) 810-3000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o $No \, b$

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class

Outstanding at October 31, 2007

Voting common stock, \$.20 par value

413,998,316 shares

GLOSSARY

Listed below are definitions of key terms that are used throughout this document.

Borrower Benefits Borrower Benefits are financial incentives offered to borrowers who qualify based on pre-determined qualifying factors, which are generally tied directly to making on-time monthly payments. The impact of Borrower Benefits is dependent on the estimate of the number of borrowers who will eventually qualify for these benefits and the amount of the financial benefit offered to the borrower. We occasionally change Borrower Benefits programs in both amount and qualification factors. These programmatic changes must be reflected in the estimate of the Borrower Benefits discount.

Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee All holders of FFELP Consolidation Loans are required to pay to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) an annual 105 basis point Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee on all outstanding principal and accrued interest balances of FFELP Consolidation Loans purchased or originated after October 1, 1993, except for loans for which consolidation applications were received between October 1, 1998 and January 31, 1999, where the Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee is 62 basis points.

Constant Prepayment Rate (**CPR**) A variable in life of loan estimates that measures the rate at which loans in the portfolio pay before their stated maturity. The CPR is directly correlated to the average life of the portfolio. CPR equals the percentage of loans that prepay annually as a percentage of the beginning of period balance.

Core Earnings In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). In addition to evaluating the Company's GAAP-based financial information, management evaluates the Company's business segments on a basis that, as allowed under the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, differs from GAAP. We refer to management s basis of evaluating our segment results as Core Earnings presentations for each business segment and we refer to these performance measures in our presentations with credit rating agencies and lenders. While Core Earnings results are not a substitute for reported results under GAAP, we rely on Core Earnings performance measures in operating each business segment because we believe these measures provide additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by management.

Our Core Earnings performance measures are the primary financial performance measures used by management to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Accordingly, financial information is reported to management on a Core Earnings basis by reportable segment, as these are the measures used regularly by our chief operating decision maker. Our Core Earnings performance measures are used in developing our financial plans and tracking results, and also in establishing corporate performance targets and determining incentive compensation. Management believes this information provides additional insight into the financial performance of the Company's core business activities. Our Core Earnings performance measures are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Core Earnings net income reflects only current period adjustments to GAAP net income. Accordingly, the Company's Core Earnings presentation does not represent another comprehensive basis of accounting.

See NOTE 11 TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Segment Reporting and MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BUSINESS SEGMENTS Limitations of Core Earnings for further discussion of the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP, as well as reconciliations between Core Earnings and GAAP.

In prior filings with the SEC of SLM Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K and quarterly report on Form 10-Q, Core Earnings has been labeled as Core net income or Managed net income in certain instances.

1

Table of Contents

Direct Loans Student loans originated directly by ED under the FDLP.

ED The U.S. Department of Education.

Embedded Fixed Rate/Variable Rate Floor Income Embedded Floor Income is Floor Income (see definition below) that is earned on off-balance sheet student loans that are in securitization trusts sponsored by us. At the time of the securitization, the value of Embedded Fixed Rate Floor Income is included in the initial valuation of the Residual Interest (see definition below) and the gain or loss on sale of the student loans. Embedded Floor Income is also included in the quarterly fair value adjustments of the Residual Interest.

Exceptional Performer (EP) Designation The EP designation is determined by ED in recognition of a servicer meeting certain performance standards set by ED in servicing FFELP Loans. Upon receiving the EP designation, the EP servicer receives 99 percent reimbursement on default claims on federally guaranteed student loans for all loans serviced for a period of at least 270 days before the date of default and will no longer be subject to the 3 percent Risk Sharing (see definition below) on these loans. The EP servicer is entitled to receive this benefit as long as it remains in compliance with the required servicing standards, which are assessed on an annual and quarterly basis through compliance audits and other criteria. The annual assessment is in part based upon subjective factors which alone may form the basis for an ED determination to withdraw the designation. If the designation is withdrawn, the 3 percent Risk Sharing may be applied retroactively to the date of the occurrence that resulted in noncompliance. The College Cost Reduction Act of 2007 eliminated the EP designation effective October 1, 2007. See MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Other Developments Exceptional Performer.

FDLP The William D. Ford Federal Direct Student Loan Program.

FFELP The Federal Family Education Loan Program, formerly the Guaranteed Student Loan Program.

FFELP Consolidation Loans Under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) borrowers with multiple eligible student loans may consolidate them into a single student loan with one lender at a fixed rate for the life of the loan. The new note is considered a FFELP Consolidation Loan. Typically a borrower may consolidate his student loans only once unless the borrower has another eligible loan to consolidate with the existing FFELP Consolidation Loan. The borrower rate on a FFELP Consolidation Loan is fixed for the term of the loan and is set by the weighted average interest rate of the loans being consolidated, rounded up to the nearest 1/8th of a percent, not to exceed 8.25 percent. In low interest rate environments, FFELP Consolidation Loans provide an attractive refinancing opportunity to certain borrowers because they allow borrowers to consolidate variable rate loans into a long-term fixed rate loan. Holders of FFELP Consolidation Loans are eligible to earn interest under the Special Allowance Payment (SAP) formula (see definition below).

FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans Education loans to students or parents of students that are guaranteed or reinsured under the FFELP. The loans are primarily Stafford loans but also include PLUS and HEAL loans.

Fixed Rate Floor Income We refer to Floor Income (see definition below) associated with student loans whose borrower rate is fixed to term (primarily FFELP Consolidation Loans and Stafford Loans originated on or after July 1, 2006) as Fixed Rate Floor Income.

Floor Income FFELP student loans generally earn interest at the higher of a floating rate based on the Special Allowance Payment or SAP formula (see definition below) set by ED and the borrower rate, which is fixed over a period of time. We generally finance our student loan portfolio with floating rate debt over all interest rate levels. In low and/or declining interest rate environments, when the fixed borrower rate is higher than the rate produced by the

SAP formula, our student loans earn at a fixed rate while the interest on our floating rate debt continues to decline. In these interest rate environments, we earn additional spread income that we refer to as Floor Income. Depending on the type of the student loan and when it was originated, the borrower rate is either fixed to term or is reset to a market rate each July 1. As a result, for loans where the

2

Table of Contents

borrower rate is fixed to term, we may earn Floor Income for an extended period of time, and for those loans where the borrower interest rate is reset annually on July 1, we may earn Floor Income to the next reset date. In accordance with new legislation enacted in 2006, lenders are required to rebate Floor Income to ED for all new FFELP loans disbursed on or after April 1, 2006.

The following example shows the mechanics of Floor Income for a typical fixed rate FFELP Consolidation Loan (with a commercial paper-based SAP spread of 2.64 percent):

Fixed Borrower Rate

7.25%
SAP Spread over Commercial Paper Rate

(2.64)%

Floor Strike Rate⁽¹⁾
4.61%

(1) The interest rate at which the underlying index (Treasury bill or commercial paper) plus the fixed SAP spread equals the fixed borrower rate. Floor Income is earned anytime the interest rate of the underlying index declines below this rate.

Based on this example, if the quarterly average commercial paper rate is over 4.61 percent, the holder of the student loan will earn at a floating rate based on the SAP formula, which in this example is a fixed spread to commercial paper of 2.64 percent. On the other hand, if the quarterly average commercial paper rate is below 4.61 percent, the SAP formula will produce a rate below the fixed borrower rate of 7.25 percent and the loan holder earns at the borrower rate of 7.25 percent. The difference between the fixed borrower rate and the lender s expected yield based on the SAP formula is referred to as Floor Income. Our student loan assets are generally funded with floating rate debt, so when student loans are earning at the fixed borrower rate, decreases in interest rates may increase Floor Income.

Graphic Depiction of Floor Income:

Floor Income Contracts We enter into contracts with counterparties under which, in exchange for an upfront fee representing the present value of the Floor Income that we expect to earn on a notional amount of underlying student loans being economically hedged, we will pay the counterparties the Floor Income earned on that notional amount over the life of the Floor Income Contract. Specifically, we agree to pay the counterparty the difference, if positive, between the fixed borrower rate less the SAP (see definition below) spread and the average of the applicable interest rate index on that notional amount, regardless of the actual balance of underlying student loans, over the life of the contract. The contracts generally do not extend over the life of the underlying student loans. This contract effectively locks in the amount of Floor Income we will earn over the period of the contract. Floor Income Contracts are not considered effective hedges under

3

Table of Contents

SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and each quarter we must record the change in fair value of these contracts through income.

GSE The Student Loan Marketing Association was a federally chartered government-sponsored enterprise and wholly owned subsidiary of SLM Corporation that was dissolved under the terms of the Privatization Act (see definition below) on December 29, 2004.

HEA The Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended.

Lender Partners Lender Partners are lenders who originate loans under forward purchase commitments to Sallie Mae where we own the loans from inception or acquire the loans soon after origination.

Managed Basis We generally analyze the performance of our student loan portfolio on a Managed Basis, under which we view both on-balance sheet student loans and off-balance sheet student loans owned by the securitization trusts as a single portfolio, and the related on-balance sheet financings are combined with off-balance sheet debt. When the term Managed is capitalized in this document, it is referring to Managed Basis.

Merger On April 16, 2007, the Company announced that a buyer group (Buyer Group) led by J.C. Flowers & Co. (J.C. Flowers) signed a definitive agreement (Merger Agreement) to acquire the Company for approximately \$25.3 billion or \$60.00 per share of common stock. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, J.C. Flowers and certain other private equity investors, including Friedman Fleischer & Lowe, would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$4.4 billion and own 50.2 percent, and Bank of America (NYSE: BAC) and JPMorgan Chase (NYSE: JPM) each would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$2.2 billion and each would own 24.9 percent of the surviving entity. The remainder of the purchase price is expected to be funded by debt. The Company s independent board members unanimously approved the agreement and recommended that its shareholders approve the agreement. The Company s shareholders approved the Merger Agreement during a special meeting of shareholders held on August 15, 2007. (See also Merger Agreement filed with the SEC on the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007.) Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the Company was not permitted to pay dividends on its common stock prior to the consummation of the proposed transaction. This restriction has been terminated. The Buyer Group has since repudiated the Merger Agreement and the Company has filed a lawsuit in Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group. Under guidance from the Delaware Court of Chancery at a scheduling hearing on November 5, 2007, the Company has elected to pursue an expedited decision on its October 19, 2007 motion for partial judgment on the pleadings. Specifically, the Company is seeking an expedited ruling that its interpretation of the Merger Agreement as it pertains to a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) is the correct interpretation. The effect of this election will be that trial is expected to commence on an undetermined date after Thanksgiving 2008, rather than in mid-July 2008. See MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments.

Preferred Lender List Most higher education institutions select a small number of lenders to recommend to their students and parents. This recommended list is referred to as the Preferred Lender List.

Preferred Channel Originations Preferred Channel Originations are comprised of: 1) student loans that are originated by lenders with forward purchase commitment agreements with Sallie Mae and are committed for sale to Sallie Mae, such that we either own them from inception or, in most cases, acquire them soon after origination, and 2) loans that are originated by internally marketed Sallie Mae brands.

Private Education Consolidation Loans Borrowers with multiple Private Education Loans (defined below) may consolidate them into a single loan with Sallie Mae. The interest rate on the new loan is variable rate with the spread

set at the lower of the average weighted spread of the underlying loans (available only to Sallie Mae customers) or a new spread as a result of favorable underwriting criteria.

Private Education Loans Education loans to students or parents of students that are not guaranteed or reinsured under the FFELP or any other federal or private student loan program. Private Education Loans include loans for traditional higher education, undergraduate and graduate degrees, and for alternative

4

Table of Contents

education, such as career training, private kindergarten through secondary education schools and tutorial schools. Traditional higher education loans have repayment terms similar to FFELP loans, whereby repayments begin after the borrower leaves school. Repayment for alternative education or career training loans generally begins immediately.

Privatization Act The Student Loan Marketing Association Reorganization Act of 1996.

Reconciliation Legislation The Higher Education Reconciliation Act of 2005, which reauthorized the student loan programs of the HEA and generally became effective as of July 1, 2006.

Residual Interest When we securitize student loans, we retain the right to receive cash flows from the student loans sold to trusts we sponsor in excess of amounts needed to pay servicing, derivative costs (if any), other fees, and the principal and interest on the bonds backed by the student loans. The Residual Interest, which may also include reserve and other cash accounts, is the present value of these future expected cash flows, which includes the present value of Embedded Fixed Rate Floor Income described above. We value the Residual Interest at the time of sale of the student loans to the trust and at the end of each subsequent quarter.

Retained Interest The Retained Interest includes the Residual Interest (defined above) and servicing rights (as the Company retains the servicing responsibilities).

Risk Sharing When a FFELP loan defaults, the federal government guarantees 97 percent of the principal balance plus accrued interest (98 percent on loans disbursed before July 1, 2006) and the holder of the loan generally must absorb the remaining three percent not guaranteed as a Risk Sharing loss on the loan. FFELP student loans originated after October 1, 1993 are subject to Risk Sharing on loan default claim payments unless the default results from the borrower s death, disability or bankruptcy. FFELP loans serviced by a servicer that has EP designation (see definition above) from ED are subject to one-percent Risk Sharing for claims filed on or after July 1, 2006 and before October 1, 2007.

Special Allowance Payment (SAP) FFELP student loans originated prior to April 1, 2006 generally earn interest at the greater of the borrower rate or a floating rate determined by reference to the average of the applicable floating rates (91-day Treasury bill rate or commercial paper) in a calendar quarter, plus a fixed spread that is dependent upon when the loan was originated and the loan s repayment status. If the resulting floating rate exceeds the borrower rate, ED pays the difference directly to us. This payment is referred to as the Special Allowance Payment or SAP and the formula used to determine the floating rate is the SAP formula. We refer to the fixed spread to the underlying index as the SAP spread. For loans disbursed after April 1, 2006, FFELP loans effectively only earn at the SAP rate, as the excess interest earned when the borrower rate exceeds the SAP rate (Floor Income) must be refunded to ED.

Variable rate PLUS Loans and SLS Loans earn SAP only if the variable rate, which is reset annually, exceeds the applicable maximum borrower rate. For PLUS loans disbursed on or after January 1, 2000, this limitation on SAP was repealed effective April 1, 2006.

Title IV Programs and Title IV Loans Student loan programs created under Title IV of the HEA, including the FFELP and the FDLP, and student loans originated under those programs, respectively.

Variable Rate Floor Income For FFELP Stafford student loans whose borrower interest rate resets annually on July 1, we may earn Floor Income or Embedded Floor Income (see definitions above) based on a calculation of the difference between the borrower rate and the then current interest rate. We refer to this as Variable Rate Floor Income because Floor Income is earned only through the next reset date.

Wholesale Consolidation Loans During 2006, we implemented a loan acquisition strategy under which we began purchasing a significant amount of FFELP Consolidation Loans, primarily via the spot market, which augments our traditional FFELP Consolidation Loan origination process. Wholesale Consolidation Loans are considered incremental volume to our core acquisition channels, which are focused on the retail marketplace with an emphasis on our brand strategy.

5

SLM CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q INDEX September 30, 2007

Part I. Financia	<u>l Information</u>	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	-
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	45
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	104
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	10′
Part II. Other I	<u>nformation</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	108
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	109
<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	110
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	110
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	11
Item 5.	Other Information	11
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	11
Signatures		112
EX-31.1		
EX-31.2		
EX-32.1		
EX-32.2		
	6	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SLM CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

	eptember 30, 2007 (Unaudited)	D	December 31, 2006
Assets FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans (net of allowance for losses of \$30,655 and \$8,701, respectively) FFELP Consolidation Loans (net of allowance for losses of \$26,809 and \$11,614, respectively) Private Education Loans (net of allowance for losses of \$454,100 and \$308,346, respectively) Other loans (net of allowance for losses of \$21,738 and \$20,394, respectively) Investments	\$ 34,108,560 71,370,681 13,675,571 1,193,405	\$	24,840,464 61,324,008 9,755,289 1,308,832
Available-for-sale Other	4,152,071 92,976		2,464,121 99,330
Total investments Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash and investments Retained Interest in off-balance sheet securitized loans Goodwill and acquired intangible assets, net Other assets	4,245,047 7,794,954 4,999,369 3,238,637 1,354,141 8,835,025		2,563,451 2,621,222 3,423,326 3,341,591 1,371,606 5,585,943
Total assets	\$ 150,815,390	\$	116,135,732
Liabilities Short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Other liabilities	\$ 33,008,374 108,860,988 3,934,267	\$	3,528,263 104,558,531 3,679,781
Total liabilities	145,803,629		111,766,575
Commitments and contingencies			
Minority interest in subsidiaries	10,054		9,115
Stockholders equity Preferred stock, par value \$.20 per share, 20,000 shares authorized; Series A: 3,300 and 3,300 shares issued, respectively, at stated value of \$50 per share;	565,000		565,000

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Series B: 4,000 and 4,000 shares issued, respectively, at stated value of \$100 per share

per snare		
Common stock, par value \$.20 per share, 1,125,000 shares authorized;		
439,660 and 433,113 shares issued, respectively	87,932	86,623
Additional paid-in capital	2,847,748	2,565,211
Accumulated other comprehensive income (net of tax of \$128,716 and		
\$183,684, respectively)	245,352	349,111
Retained earnings	2,437,639	1,834,718
Stockholders equity before treasury stock	6,183,671	5,400,663
Common stock held in treasury: 25,544 and 22,496 shares, respectively	1,181,964	1,040,621
Total stockholders equity	5,001,707	4,360,042
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 150,815,390	\$ 116,135,732

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

SLM CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Septem	nths Ended aber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2007	2006	2007	2006		
	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)		
Interest income:						
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans	\$ 545,618	\$ 364,621	\$ 1,507,680	\$ 1,000,211		
FFELP Consolidation Loans	1,145,473	916,091	3,247,573	2,579,017		
Private Education Loans	392,737	254,747	1,060,509	729,796		
Other loans	25,990	24,550	80,416	71,398		
Cash and investments	211,303	141,083	466,731	361,847		
Total interest income	2,321,121	1,701,092	6,362,909	4,742,269		
Total interest expense	1,879,811	1,363,271	5,109,130	3,660,122		
Net interest income	441,310	337,821	1,253,779	1,082,147		
Less: provisions for loan losses	142,600	67,242	441,130	194,957		
Net interest income after provisions for loan						
losses	298,710	270,579	812,649	887,190		
Other income (loss):						
Gains on student loan securitizations		201,132	367,300	902,417		
Servicing and securitization revenue	28,883	187,082	413,808	368,855		
Losses on loans and securities, net	(25,163)	(13,427)	(67,051)	(24,899)		
Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging	, ,	, , ,	,	,		
activities, net	(487,478)	(130,855)	(22,881)	(94,875)		
Guarantor servicing fees	45,935	38,848	115,449	99,011		
Debt management fees	76,306	122,556	243,865	304,329		
Collections revenue	52,788	57,913	195,442	181,951		
Other	106,684	87,923	292,121	234,380		
Total other income (loss)	(202,045)	551,172	1,538,053	1,971,169		
Operating expenses:						
Salaries and benefits	185,741	179,910	563,723	523,977		
Other	170,158	173,584	547,150	469,428		
Total operating expenses	355,899	353,494	1,110,873	993,405		
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority						
interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	(259,234)	468,257	1,239,829	1,864,954		
Income taxes	84,449	203,686	499,187	722,559		
	(343,683)	264,571	740,642	1,142,395		

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) before minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	77	1,099	1,778	3,544
Net income (loss) Preferred stock dividends	(343,760) 9,274	263,472 9,221	738,864 27,523	1,138,851 26,309
Net income (loss) attributable to common stock	\$ (353,034)	\$ 254,251	\$ 711,341	\$ 1,112,542
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (.85)	\$.62	\$ 1.73	\$ 2.71
Average common shares outstanding	412,944	410,034	411,958	411,212
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (.85)	\$.60	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.56
Average common and common equivalent shares outstanding	412,944	449,841	420,305	452,012
Dividends per common share	\$	\$.25	\$.25	\$.72

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

8

Table of Contents

SLM CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts) (Unaudited)

erred ock	Con	nmon Stock Sha	res	Preferred	Common	Additional Paid-In	Comprehensive	Retained
ares	Issued	Treasury	Outstanding	Stock	Stock	Capital	Income (Loss)	Earnings
00,000	430,753,515	(19,078,488)	411,675,027	\$ 565,000	\$ 86,151	\$ 2,440,565	5 \$ 370,204	\$ 1,775,948
								263,472
							98,168	
							(7,845)	
								(101,995)
								(2,875)
								(6,183)
	836,344	4,996	841,340		167	25,380)	
						163	3	(163)
						6,695	5	
						18,048	3	
		(2,159,827)	(2,159,827)					
		(861,576)	(861,576)					

					(134,033)	(134,033)		
\$ 1,928,204	\$ 460,527	\$ 2,490,851	\$ 86,318	\$ 565,000	409,360,931	(22,228,928)	431,589,859	00,000
\$ 2,790,674	\$ 265,388	\$ 2,721,554	\$ 87,219	\$ 565,000	412,618,259	(23,477,044)	436,095,303	00,000
(343,760)								
	(12,914)							
	(7,208)							
	86							
(2,875)								
(6,236) (1)								
		86,182	713		3,565,038		3,565,038	
(163)		163						
		31,105						
		8,744						
					(2,067,201)	(2,067,201)		
\$ 2,437,639	\$ 245,352	\$ 2,847,748	\$ 87,932	\$ 565,000	414,116,096	(25,544,245)	439,660,341	00,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

9

Table of Contents

SLM CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts) (Unaudited)

erred ock	Com	nmon Stock Sha	res	Preferred	Common	Additional Paid-In	Other Comprehensive Income	Retained
ares	Issued	Treasury	Outstanding	Stock	Stock	Capital	(Loss)	Earnings
00,000	426,483,527	(13,346,717)	413,136,810	\$ 565,000	\$ 85,297	\$ 2,233,647	\$ 367,910	\$ 1,111,743
								1,138,851
							91,356	
							1,256	
							5	
								(296,081)
								(8,625)
								(17,200)
	5,106,332	58,745	5,165,077		1,021	157,331		
						484	ı	(484)
						44,654		
						54,735		
		(2,159,827)	(2,159,827)					

			Edgar Filing:	SL	M CORP	- Form 10	-Q			
		(5,395,979)	(5,395,979)							
		(1,385,150)	(1,385,150)							
00,000	431,589,859	(22,228,928)	409,360,931	\$	565,000	\$ 86,318	\$	5 2,490,851	\$ 460,527	\$ 1,928,204
00,000	433,112,982	(22,496,170)	410,616,812	\$	565,000	\$ 86,623	\$	5 2,565,211	\$ 349,111	\$ 1,834,718
										738,864
									(103,014)	
									(309)	
									(436)	
										(102,658)
										(8,625)
										(18,414) (1)
	6,547,359	35,364	6,582,723			1,309)	180,376		
								484		(484)
								46,579		
								55,098		
										(5,761)
		(3,083,439)	(3,083,439)							
00,000	439,660,341	(25,544,245)	414,116,096	\$	565,000	\$ 87,932	\$	5 2,847,748	\$ 245,352	\$ 2,437,639

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

10

SLM CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, Restated			
	2007 (Unaudited)	2006 (Unaudited)		
Operating activities				
Net income	\$ 738,864	\$ 1,138,851		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:				
Gains on student loan securitizations	(367,300)	(902,417)		
Losses on sales of loans and securities, net	67,051	24,899		
Stock-based compensation cost	65,193	62,081		
Unrealized (gains)/losses on derivative and hedging activities, excluding equity				
forwards	(129,078)	(193,972)		
Unrealized (gains)/losses on derivative and hedging activities equity forwards	73,467	181,616		
Provisions for loan losses	441,130	194,957		
Minority interest, net	(1,239)	(5,639)		
Mortgage loans originated	(528,241)	(1,030,296)		
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans	585,853	1,052,750		
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash-other	127	(148,312)		
(Increase) in accrued interest receivable	(1,018,465)	(722,659)		
Increase in accrued interest payable	157,082	167,418		
Adjustment for non-cash (income)/loss related to Retained Interest	142,225	147,839		
(Increase) decrease in other assets, goodwill and acquired intangible assets, net	(269,818)	390,679		
Increase in other liabilities	649,274	394,756		
Total adjustments	(132,739)	(386,300)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	606,125	752,551		
Investing activities				
Student loans acquired	(31,057,701)	(27,121,113)		
Loans purchased from securitized trusts (primarily loan consolidations)	(3,944,000)	(5,903,077)		
Reduction of student loans:				
Installment payments	8,532,193	7,846,175		
Proceeds from securitization of student loans treated as sales	1,976,599	19,521,365		
Proceeds from sales of student loans	777,982	94,578		
Other loans originated	(2,967,425)	(1,302,201)		
Other loans repaid	3,007,256	1,159,201		
Other investing activities, net	(204,634)	(110,866)		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(65,822,245)	(58,882,238)		
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	73,199	2,866		
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities	64,214,984	59,393,499		
Purchases of held-to-maturity and other securities	(330,050)	(559,098)		

Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity securities and other securities (Increase) in restricted cash on-balance sheet trusts Return of investment from Retained Interest Purchase of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	434,771 (1,696,092) 199,345	635,268 (424,200) 66,781 (289,162)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(26,805,818)	(5,872,222)
Financing activities		
Short-term borrowings issued	5,027,546	15,854,385
Short-term borrowings repaid	(6,870,392)	(15,860,749)
Long-term borrowings issued	1,567,602	7,682,583
Long-term borrowings repaid	(3,078,229)	(4,284,140)
Borrowings collateralized by loans in trust issued	18,953,651	6,203,019
Borrowings collateralized by loans in trust repaid	(4,295,630)	(3,860,982)
Asset-backed commercial paper conduits net activity	20,391,717	7,303
Other financing activities, net	(54,790)	(64,886)
Excess tax benefit from the exercise of stock-based awards	29,535	27,445
Common stock issued	159,832	144,448
Net settlements on equity forward contracts	(184,793)	(45,906)
Common stock repurchased	(142,927)	(469,846)
Common dividends paid	(102,658)	(296,081)
Preferred dividends paid	(27,039)	(25,825)
Net cash provided by financing activities	31,373,425	5,010,768
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,173,732	(108,903)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,621,222	2,498,655
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 7,794,954	\$ 2,389,752
Cash disbursements made for: Interest	\$ 4,966,249	\$ 3,117,085
Income taxes	\$ 704,206	\$ 574,220

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

11

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited, consolidated financial statements of SLM Corporation (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending December 31, 2007. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006, as presented, was derived from the audited financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2006. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes included in the Company s 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the balances as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 to be consistent with classifications adopted for 2007.

Restatement of Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

The Company restated its 2006 quarterly consolidated statements of cash flows as more fully described within the Company s 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K at Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies Statement of Cash Flows Restatement of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and Note 21, Restatement of Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited). The restatements solely affected the classification of items in operating, investing and financing activities, and had no impact on the net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents set forth in the consolidated statements of cash flows for any of the previously reported periods. The restatements did not affect the Company s consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of income or consolidated statements of changes in stockholders equity. Accordingly, the Company s historical revenues, net income, earnings per share, total assets and total stockholders equity remain unchanged.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This statement permits entities an irrevocable election to measure many

financial instruments and certain other items at fair value, on an instrument-by-instrument basis. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring derivative instruments and the hedged assets and liabilities differently, without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Most recognized financial assets and liabilities are eligible items for the measurement option established by the

12

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

statement. There are a few exceptions, including an investment in a subsidiary or an interest in a variable interest entity that is required to be consolidated, certain obligations related to post-employment benefits, assets or liabilities recognized under leases, various deposits and financial instruments classified as shareholders—equity. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each reporting date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its financial statements. The statement will be effective beginning January 1, 2008.

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value within GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not change which types of instruments are carried at fair value, but rather establishes the framework for measuring fair value. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of SFAS No. 157 on its financial statements.

Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, which amends SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. This statement was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2007.

This statement:

Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset as the result of (i) a transfer of the servicer s financial assets that meet the requirement for sale accounting; (ii) a transfer of the servicer s financial assets to a qualifying special-purpose entity in a guaranteed mortgage securitization in which the transferor retains all of the resulting securities and classifies them as either available-for-sale or trading securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities; or (iii) an acquisition or assumption of an obligation to service a financial asset that does not relate to financial assets of the servicer or its consolidated affiliates.

Requires all separately recognized servicing assets or liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.

Permits an entity to either (i) amortize servicing assets or liabilities in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or loss and assess servicing assets or liabilities for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date (amortization method); or (ii) measure servicing assets or

liabilities at fair value at each reporting date and report changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur (fair value measurement method). The method must be chosen for each separately recognized class of servicing asset or liability.

At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under SFAS No. 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity s exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.

13

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Requires separate presentation of servicing assets and liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities.

The adoption of SFAS No. 156 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements as the Company did not elect to carry its servicing rights at fair value through earnings.

Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and SFAS No. 140. This statement was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2007.

This statement:

Requires that all interests in securitized financial assets be evaluated to determine if the interests are free standing derivatives or if the interests contain an embedded derivative;

Clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are exempt from the requirements of SFAS No. 133;

Clarifies that the concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not an embedded derivative;

Allows a hybrid financial instrument containing an embedded derivative that would have required bifurcation under SFAS No. 133 to be measured at fair value as one instrument on a case by case basis; and

Amends SFAS Statement No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition of a qualifying special purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to beneficial interests other than another derivative financial instrument.

In January 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, Implementation Issues No. B39, Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call Options That Are Exercisable Only by the Debtor (Amended), and No. B40, Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Securitized Interests in Prepayable Financial Assets. The guidance clarifies various aspects of SFAS No. 155 and will require the Company to either (1) separately record embedded derivatives that may reside in the Company s Residual Interest and on-balance sheet securitization debt, or (2) if embedded derivatives exist that require bifurcation, record the entire Residual Interest at fair value with changes in the fair value of the Company s Residual Interest and on-balance sheet securitization debt in their entirety. This standard is prospectively applied in 2007 for new

securitizations and does not apply to the Company s existing Residual Interest or on-balance sheet securitization debt that settled prior to 2007.

If material embedded derivatives exist within the Residual Interest that require bifurcation, the Company will most likely elect to carry the entire Residual Interest at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in earnings. This election could have a material impact on earnings, as prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 155, changes in the fair value of these Residual Interests would have been recorded through other comprehensive income (except for impairment which is recorded through income). In the first quarter of 2007, the Company elected this option related to the Private Education Loan securitization which settled in the first quarter of 2007 and as a result, has recorded related unrealized gains/losses through earnings that, prior to the

14

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

adoption of SFAS No. 155, would have been recorded through other comprehensive income (except for any impairment required to be recognized).

The Company has concluded, based on its current securitization deal structures, that its on-balance sheet securitization debt will not be materially impacted upon the adoption of SFAS No. 155 as embedded derivatives will not have a material value. Accordingly, there was no impact for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as it relates to on-balance sheet securitization debt.

2. Allowance for Student Loan Losses

The Company s provisions for student loan losses represent the periodic expense of maintaining an allowance sufficient to absorb losses, net of recoveries, inherent in the student loan portfolios. The evaluation of the provisions for student loan losses is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant changes. The Company believes that the allowance for student loan losses is appropriate to cover probable losses inherent in the student loan portfolios.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for student loan losses for both the Private Education Loan and federally insured student loan portfolios for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 2006			Nine Months September 2007			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 451,987	\$	268,562	\$ 328,661	\$	219,062	
Provisions for student loan losses	137,220		61,864	429,386		184,480	
Charge-offs	(86,440)		(37,954)	(264,745)		(108,107)	
Recoveries	8,685		5,652	23,301		18,081	
Net charge-offs	(77,755)		(32,302)	(241,444)		(90,026)	
Balance before reductions for student loan sales and							
securitizations	511,452		298,124	516,603		313,516	
Adjustments for student loan sales and securitizations	112		(4,781)	(5,039)		(20,173)	
Balance at end of period	\$ 511,564	\$	293,343	\$ 511,564	\$	293,343	

The following table summarizes the total provisions for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

		onths Ended ember 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Private Education Loans FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans Mortgage and consumer loans	\$ 99,687 37,533 5,380	3,315	\$ 380,093 49,293 11,744	\$ 175,133 9,347 10,477	
Total provisions for loan losses	\$ 142,600	\$ 67,242	\$ 441,130	\$ 194,957	
	15				

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

2. Allowance for Student Loan Losses (Continued)

The third quarter 2007 FFELP provision included a cumulative \$30 million adjustment of non-recurring provision expense for student loans related to the repeal of the Exceptional Performer program (and the resulting increase in the Company s Risk Sharing allowance) due to the passage of the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 on September 27, 2007.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for student loan losses for Private Education Loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. The provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, included an update to the Company s projected default rates reflecting an increased gross charge-off expectation which was somewhat offset by an increase in expected life-of-loan recoveries.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2007		2006		2007		2006
Balance at beginning of period Provision for Private Education Loan	\$ 427,904	\$	251,582	\$	308,346	\$	204,112
losses	99,687		58,549		380,093		175,133
Charge-offs	(82,176)		(36,845)		(251,860)		(105,564)
Recoveries	8,685		5,652		23,301		18,081
Net charge-offs	(73,491)		(31,193)		(228,559)		(87,483)
Balance before securitization of Private Education Loans Reduction for securitization of Private	454,100		278,938		459,880		291,762
Education Loans			(3,964)		(5,780)		(16,788)
Balance at end of period	\$ 454,100	\$	274,974	\$	454,100	\$	274,974
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) Net charge-offs as a percentage of	5.12%		3.19%		5.69%		3.06%
average loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized) Allowance as a percentage of the ending	4.61%		2.95%		5.18%		2.82%
total loan balance Allowance as a percentage of ending	3.21%		3.24%		3.21%		3.24%
loans in repayment	7.70%		6.91%		7.70%		6.91%

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Allowance coverage of net charge-offs				
(annualized)	1.56	2.22	1.49	2.35
Average total loans	\$ 12,705,773	\$ 8,078,690	\$ 11,663,982 \$	8,348,271
Ending total loans	\$ 14,129,671	\$ 8,497,374	\$ 14,129,671 \$	8,497,374
Average loans in repayment	\$ 5,696,049	\$ 3,878,857	\$ 5,373,462 \$	3,821,361
Ending loans in repayment	\$ 5,895,619	\$ 3,980,466	\$ 5,895,619 \$	3,980,466

16

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

2. Allowance for Student Loan Losses (Continued)

Delinquencies

The table below presents the Company s Private Education Loan delinquency trends as of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006, and September 30, 2006. Delinquencies have the potential to adversely impact earnings if the account charges off and results in increased servicing and collection costs.

	September 30, 2007		Decembe 2006	,	September 30, 2006	
(Dollars in millions)	Balance	%	Balance	%	Balance	%
Loans in-school/grace/deferment ⁽¹⁾ Loans in forbearance ⁽²⁾ Loans in repayment and percentage of each status:	\$ 7,966 701		\$ 5,218 359		\$ 4,497 341	
Loans current Loans delinquent 31-60 days ⁽³⁾ Loans delinquent 61-90 days ⁽³⁾ Loans delinquent greater than 90 days ⁽³⁾	5,186 275 156 279	88.0% 4.7 2.6 4.7	4,214 250 132 255	86.9% 5.1 2.7 5.3	3,462 209 121 188	87.0% 5.3 3.0 4.7
Total Private Education Loans in repayment	5,896	100%	4,851	100%	3,980	100%
Total Private Education Loans, gross Private Education Loan unamortized discount	14,563 (433)		10,428 (365)		8,818 (321)	
Total Private Education Loans Private Education Loan allowance for losses	14,130 (454)		10,063 (308)		8,497 (275)	
Private Education Loans, net	\$ 13,676		\$ 9,755		\$ 8,222	
Percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment	40.5%	,	46.5%		45.1%	
Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment	12.0%	,	13.1%		13.0%	

Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance

10.6%

6.9%

7.9%

- (1) Loans for borrowers who still may be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on their loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.
- (2) Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making full payments due to hardship or other factors consistent with the established loan program servicing procedures and policies.
- (3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

17

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

3. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include the following:

	Average Amortization	As of September 30, 2007 Accumulated					
(Dollars in millions)	Period	Gross	Amorti		Net		
Intangible assets subject to amortization:							
Customer, services, and lending relationships	12 years	\$ 379	\$	(147)	\$ 232		
Tax exempt bond funding	10 years						
Software and technology	7 years	95		(74)	21		
Non-compete agreements	2 years	12		(10)	2		
Total Intangible assets not subject to amortization:		486		(231)	255		
Trade name and trademark	Indefinite	115			115		
Total acquired intangible assets		\$ 601	\$	(231)	\$ 370		

	Average Amortization	As of December 31, 2006 Accumulated					
(Dollars in millions)	Period	Gross	Amo	rtization	Net		
Intangible assets subject to amortization:							
Customer, services, and lending relationships	12 years	\$ 367	\$	(115)	\$ 252		
Tax exempt bond funding	10 years	46		(37)	9		
Software and technology	7 years	94		(62)	32		
Non-compete agreements	2 years	12		(9)	3		
Total Intangible assets not subject to amortization:		519		(223)	296		
Trade name and trademark	Indefinite	106			106		
Total acquired intangible assets		\$ 625	\$	(223)	\$ 402		

The Company recorded intangible impairment and amortization of acquired intangibles totaling \$19 million and \$37 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$59 million and \$68 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company will continue to amortize its intangible assets with definite useful lives over their remaining estimated useful lives.

A summary of changes in the Company s goodwill by reportable segment (see Note 11, Segment Reporting) is as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2006			Adjustr	nents	September 30, 2007		
Lending Asset Performance Group Corporate and Other		\$	406 349 215	\$	1 28 (15)	\$	407 377 200	
Total		\$	970	\$	14	\$	984	
	18							

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

3. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets (Continued)

Acquisitions are accounted for under the purchase method of accounting as defined in SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The Company allocates the purchase price to the fair value of the acquired tangible assets, liabilities and identifiable intangible assets as of the acquisition date as determined by an independent appraiser. Goodwill associated with the Company s acquisitions is reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, and is addressed further in Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies, within the Company s 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

4. Student Loan Securitization

Securitization Activity

The Company securitizes its student loan assets and for transactions qualifying as sales, retains a Residual Interest and servicing rights (as the Company retains the servicing responsibilities), all of which are referred to as the Company s Retained Interest in off-balance sheet securitized loans. The Residual Interest is the right to receive cash flows from the student loans and reserve accounts in excess of the amounts needed to pay servicing, derivative costs (if any), other fees, and the principal and interest on the bonds backed by the student loans. The investors of the securitization trusts have no recourse to the Company s other assets should there be a failure of the trusts to pay when due.

19

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

4. Student Loan Securitization (Continued)

The following table summarizes the Company s securitization activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Those securitizations listed as sales are off-balance sheet transactions and those listed as financings remain on-balance sheet.

			oer 30,								
			2007			2006					
		I	Loan	Pre-]	Loan	Pre	-	
	No.					No.					
	of	Aı	mount	Tax		of	\mathbf{A}	mount	Tax		
(Dollars in millions)	Transactio	nSec	uritized	Gain	Gain%Tra	nsactio	nSec	uritized	Gai	n	Gain%
Securitizations sales:											
FFELP Stafford/PLUS loans		\$		\$	%		\$		\$		%
FFELP Consolidation Loans						2		4,001	1	9	.5
Private Education Loans						1		1,088	18	32	16.7
Total securitizations sales				\$	%	3		5,089	\$ 20)1	4.0%
Securitization financings: FFELP Stafford/PLUS Loans	(1)										
FFELP Consolidation Loans(1			2,493			1		3,001			
Total securitizations financing	gs 1		2,493			1		3,001			
Total securitizations	1	\$	2,493			4	\$	8,090			

	Nine Months Ended September 3							30,			
		2007			2006						
		Loan	Pre-				L	oan	P	re-	
	No.				No.						
	of	Amount	Tax		of		Am	ount	T	ax	
(Dollars in millions)	Transactio	Securitized	Gain	Gain% Tr	ansact	ionS	ecu:	ritized	G	ain	Gain%
Securitizations sales: FFELP Stafford/PLUS loan FFELP Consolidation Loan		\$	\$	%	2		\$	5,004 9,503	\$	17 55	.3% .6

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Private Education Loans	1	2,000	367	18.4	3	5,088	830	16.3
Total securitizations sales	1	2,000	\$ 367	18.4%	9	19,595	\$ 902	4.6%
Securitization financings: FFELP Stafford/PLUS	2	7.004						
Loans ⁽¹⁾ FFELP Consolidation	2	7,004						
Loans ⁽¹⁾	3	11,480			2	6,002		
Total securitizations financings	5	18,484			2	6,002		
Total securitizations	6	\$ 20,484			11	\$ 25,597		

In certain securitizations there are terms within the deal structure that result in such securitizations not qualifying for sale treatment and accordingly, they are accounted for on-balance sheet as variable interest entities (VIEs). Terms that prevent sale treatment include: (1) allowing the Company to hold certain rights that can affect the remarketing of certain bonds, (2) allowing the trust to enter into interest rate cap agreements after the initial settlement of the securitization, which do not relate to the reissuance of third party beneficial interests or (3) allowing the Company to hold an unconditional call option related to a certain percentage of the securitized assets.

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

4. Student Loan Securitization (Continued)

Key economic assumptions used in estimating the fair value of Residual Interests at the date of securitization resulting from the student loan securitization sale transactions completed during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,								
		2007			2006				
		FFELP	Private		FFELP	Private			
	FFELP	Consolidation	Education	FFELP	Consolidation	Education			
	Stafford ⁽¹⁾	Loans(1)	Loans(1)	$Stafford^{(1)}$	Loans	Loans			
Prepayment speed (annual rate)	2)				6%	4%			
Interim status									
Repayment status									
Life of loan repayment status									
Weighted average life					7.9 yrs.	9.2 yrs.			
Expected credit losses (% of									
principal securitized)					.09%	4.75%			
Residual cash flows discounted a	at								
(weighted average)					11.0%	12.7%			

	Nine Months Ended September 30,									
	2007			2006						
	FFELP	Private		FFELP	Private					
	FFELPConsolidation	Education	FFELP	Consolidation	Education					
	$Stafford^{(1)} Loans^{(1)}$	Loans	Stafford	Loans	Loans					
Prepayment speed (annual										
rate) ⁽²⁾			*	6%	4%					
Interim status		0%								
Repayment status		4-7%								
Life of loan repayment status		6%								
Weighted average life		9.4 yrs.	3.7 yrs.	8.2 yrs.	9.4 yrs.					
Expected credit losses (% of										
principal securitized)		4.69%	.15%	.19%	4.79%					
Residual cash flows		12.5%	12.4%	10.8%	12.9%					
discounted at (weighted										

average)

- (1) No securitizations qualified for sale treatment in the period.
- (2) Effective December 31, 2006, the Company implemented Constant Prepayment Rates (CPR) curves for Residual Interest valuations that are based on the number of months since entering repayment that better reflect the CPR as the loan seasons. Under this methodology, a different CPR is applied to each year of a loan s seasoning. Previously, the Company applied a CPR that was based on a static life of loan assumption, irrespective of seasoning, or, in the case of FFELP Stafford and PLUS loans, the Company used a vector approach in applying the CPR. The repayment status CPR depends on the number of months since first entering repayment or as the loans seasons through the portfolio. Life of loan CPR is related to repayment status only and does not include the impact of the loan while in interim status. The CPR assumption used for all periods includes the impact of projected defaults.
- * CPR of 20 percent for 2006, 15 percent for 2007 and 10 percent thereafter.

21

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

4. Student Loan Securitization (Continued)

Retained Interest in Securitized Receivables

The following tables summarize the fair value of the Company's Residual Interests, included in the Company's Retained Interest (and the assumptions used to value such Residual Interests), along with the underlying off-balance sheet student loans that relate to those securitizations in transactions that were treated as sales as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

			A	s of Septeml	2007		
		ELP	Cons	olidation	Private		
(Dollars in millions)	Stafford and PLUS		Loan Trusts ⁽¹⁾		Education Loan Trusts ⁽⁵⁾		Total
Fair value of Residual Interests ⁽²⁾	\$	472	\$	688	\$	2,079	\$ 3,239
Underlying securitized loan balance ⁽³⁾	1	0,010		16,216		14,281	40,507
Weighted average life	2.	9 yrs.		7.4 yrs.		7.1 yrs.	
Prepayment speed (annual rate) ⁽⁴⁾							
Interim status		0%		N/A		0%	
Repayment status		3-38%		3-8%		1-30%	
Life of loan repayment status		21%		6%		9%	
Expected credit losses (% of student loan							
principal)		.11%		.15%		4.46%	
Residual cash flows discount rate		12.1%		10.4%		12.5%	

		FELP	Con	solidation	P	rivate	
(Dollars in millions)		afford and LUS		Loan rusts ⁽¹⁾		lucation Loan Frusts	Total
Fair value of Residual Interests ⁽²⁾	\$	701	\$	676	\$	1,965	\$ 3,342
Underlying securitized loan balance ⁽³⁾ Weighted average life		14,794 2.9 yrs.		17,817 7.3 yrs.		13,222 7.2 yrs.	45,833
Prepayment speed (annual rate)							

Interim status	0%	N/A	0%
Repayment status	0-43%	3-9%	4-7%
Life of loan repayment status	24%	6%	6%
Expected credit losses (% of student loan			
principal)	.06%	.07%	4.36%
Residual cash flows discount rate	12.6%	10.5%	12.6%

- (1) Includes \$167 million and \$151 million related to the fair value of the Embedded Floor Income as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Changes in the fair value of the Embedded Floor Income are primarily due to changes in the interest rates and the paydown of the underlying loans.
- At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Company had unrealized gains (pre-tax) in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$281 million and \$389 million, respectively, that related to the Retained Interests.
- (3) In addition to student loans in off-balance sheet trusts, the Company had \$61.9 billion and \$48.6 billion of securitized student loans outstanding (face amount) as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, in on-balance sheet securitization trusts.
- (4) Effective December 31, 2006, the Company implemented CPR curves for Residual Interest valuations that are based on seasoning (the number of months since entering repayment). Under this methodology, a different CPR is applied to each year of a loan s seasoning. Previously, the Company applied a CPR that was based on a static life of loan assumption, and, in the case of FFELP Stafford and PLUS loans, the Company applied a vector approach, irrespective of seasoning. Repayment status CPR used is based on the number of months since first entering repayment (seasoning). Life of loan CPR is related to repayment status only and does not include the impact of the loan while in interim status. The CPR assumption used for all periods includes the impact of projected defaults.
- As discussed in Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments the Company adopted SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments effective January 1, 2007. As a result, the Company elected to carry the Residual Interest on the Private Education Loan securitization which settled in the first quarter of 2007 at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The fair value of this Residual Interest at September 30, 2007 was \$382 million inclusive of a net \$5 million fair value gain adjustment recorded since settlement.

22

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

4. Student Loan Securitization (Continued)

The Company recorded impairments to the Retained Interests of \$90 million and \$4 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$137 million and \$148 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. The impairment charges were the result of FFELP loans prepaying faster than projected through loan consolidations (\$31 million and \$4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$54 million and \$97 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively), impairment to the Floor Income component of the Company s Retained Interest due to increases in interest rates during the period (\$0 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$24 million and \$51 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively), and an increase in prepayments and acceleration of defaults related to Private Education Loans (\$59 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007).

As of September 30, 2007 the Company updated the following assumptions used to calculate the fair value of the Residual Interests: (1) the prepayment assumption related to Private Education Loans was increased from 6 percent to 9 percent to account for the Company s continued expectation of increased consolidation activity, (2) the expected credit losses assumed for the FFELP loans have been increased to account for the Company s higher percentage of Risk Sharing resulting from the new legislation; and (3) the timing of expected defaults of Private Education Loans was accelerated based on the most current information the Company has observed. The overall expectation of Private Education Loan defaults did not materially change; however, acceleration of the timing has the effect of decreasing the value of the Company s Residual Interests. The changes in these assumptions related to the Company s Private Education Loan Residual Interests and FFELP Residual Interests resulted in a \$196 million and \$11 million reduction in fair value, respectively. The Company also assessed the appropriateness of the current risk premium which is added to the risk free rate for the purpose of arriving at a discount rate in light of the current economic and credit uncertainty that exists in the market. This discount rate is applied to the projected cash flows to arrive at a fair value representative of the current economic conditions. The Company concluded that the current risk premium is appropriate as it takes into account the current level of cash flow uncertainty and lack of liquidity that may exist with the Residual Interests.

23

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

4. Student Loan Securitization (Continued)

The table below shows the Company s off-balance sheet Private Education Loan delinquency trends as of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006.

	September 30, 2007		30,	December 31, 2006				September 30, 2006			
(Dollars in millions)	В	alance	%	В	alance	%	В	alance	%		
Loans in-school/grace/deferment ⁽¹⁾ Loans in forbearance ⁽²⁾ Loans in repayment and percentage of each status:	\$	6,126 1,251		\$	5,608 822		\$	6,861 901			
Loans current Loans delinquent 31-60 days ⁽³⁾ Loans delinquent 61-90 days ⁽³⁾ Loans delinquent greater than 90 days ⁽³⁾		6,524 192 71 116	94.5% 2.8 1.0 1.7		6,419 222 60 91	94.5% 3.3 .9 1.3		5,281 164 68 90	94.3% 2.9 1.2 1.6		
Total off-balance sheet Private Education Loans in repayment		6,903	100%		6,792	100%		5,603	100%		
Total off-balance sheet Private Education Loans, gross	\$	14,280		\$	13,222		\$	13,365			

⁽¹⁾ Loans for borrowers who still may be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on their loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.

⁽²⁾ Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making full payments due to hardship or other factors consistent with the established loan program servicing procedures and programs.

⁽³⁾ The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

5. Derivative Financial Instruments

Summary of Derivative Financial Statement Impact

The following tables summarize the fair values and notional amounts or number of contracts of all derivative instruments at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and their impact on other comprehensive income and earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, \$11 million (none of which is in restricted cash and investments on the balance sheet) and \$418 million (of which \$53 million is in restricted cash and investments on the balance sheet) fair value, respectively, of available-for-sale investment securities and \$445 million and \$28 million, respectively, of cash were pledged as collateral against these derivative instruments.

(Dollars in millions)	_	Cash ot. 3 0) 007	ecen		Fair ept. 30, I 2007	Dece		Tra ept. 30, I 2007)ece	_		ember 31, 2006
Fair Values ⁽¹⁾ Interest rate swaps Floor/Cap contracts Futures Equity forwards	\$	(11)	\$	(9)	\$ (235)	\$	(355)	\$ 40 (296) (101)	\$	(111) (200) (213)	\$ (206) (296) (101)	\$ (475) (200) (213)
Cross currency interest rate swaps					3,273		1,440	(-)		(- /	3,273	1,440
Total	\$	(11)	\$	(9)	\$ 3,038	\$	1,085	\$ (357)	\$	(524)	\$ 2,670	\$ 552
(Dollars in billions) Notional Values Interest rate swaps Floor/Cap contracts Futures Cross currency interest rate swaps Other ⁽²⁾	\$	1.9	\$	2.1	\$ 15.6 23.8	\$	15.6 23.0	\$ 193.4 39.9 .6	\$	162.0 21.5 .6	\$ 210.9 39.9 .6 23.9 .5	\$ 179.7 21.5 .7 23.0 2.0
Total	\$	1.9	\$	2.2	\$ 39.4	\$	38.6	\$ 234.5	\$	186.1	\$ 275.8	\$ 226.9
(Shares in millions) Contracts Equity forwards								48.2		48.2	48.2	48.2

- (1) Fair values reported are exclusive of collateral held and/or pledged.
- Other includes embedded derivatives bifurcated from newly issued on-balance sheet securitization debt, as a result of adopting SFAS No. 155 (see Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments). In addition, for December 31, 2006, other consisted of an embedded derivative (\$2 billion notional) bifurcated from the convertible debenture issuance that relates primarily to certain contingent interest and conversion features of the debt. All of the embedded derivatives have had a de minimis fair value since bifurcation.

25

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

5. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

	Three Months Ended September 30, Cash Flow Fair Value Trading							tal
(Dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
Changes to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax Change in fair value to cash flow hedges Amortization of effective hedges ⁽¹⁾	\$ (7)	\$ (11) 4	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (7)	\$ (11) 4
Change in accumulated other comprehensive income, net	\$ (7)	\$ (7)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (7)	\$ (7)
Earnings Summary Amortization of closed futures contracts gains/losses in interest expense ⁽²⁾ Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities Realized Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities Unrealized	\$	\$ (6)	\$ 22	\$ (20)	\$ (33) (476)	\$ (18) (93)	\$ (33) (454)	\$ (6) (18) (113)
Total earnings impact	\$	\$ (6)	\$ 22	\$ (20)	\$ (509)	\$ (111)	\$ (487)	\$ (137)

	Cash Flow					Months E Value	_	tember 30, ading)	Total			
(Dollars in millions)	20	007	20	006	2007	2006	2007	2006	20	007	20	006	
Changes to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax Change in fair value to cash flow													
hedges Amortization of effective hedges ⁽¹⁾	\$	(1) 1	\$	(9) 11	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	(1) 1	\$	(9) 11	

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Change in accumulated other comprehensive income, net	\$	\$ 2	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2
Earnings Summary Amortization of closed futures contracts gains/losses in interest expense ⁽²⁾ Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities Realized Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities Unrealized	\$ (2)	\$ (17)	\$	8	\$ 23		\$ (79) 18	\$ (107) (11)	\$ (2) (79) 56	\$ (17) (107) 12
Total earnings impact	\$ (2)	\$ (17)	\$ 38	8	\$ 23	3 \$	\$ (61)	\$ (118)	\$ (25)	\$ (112)

⁽¹⁾ The Company expects to amortize \$.1 million of after-tax net losses from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next 12 months related to closed futures contracts that were hedging the forecasted issuance of debt instruments that were outstanding as of September 30, 2007.

⁽²⁾ For futures contracts that qualify as SFAS No. 133 hedges where the hedged transaction occurs.

⁽³⁾ Includes net settlement income/expense related to trading derivatives and realized gains and losses related to derivative dispositions.

⁽⁴⁾ The change in the fair value of cash flow and fair value hedges represents amounts related to ineffectiveness.

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

5. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

Previously, the Company hedged the full fair value of certain fixed rate U.S. dollar denominated unsecured debt for SFAS No. 133 hedge accounting purposes. The widening of the Company's credit spreads due to the Merger announcement (see Note 12, Merger Related Developments) resulted in certain hedge relationships no longer qualifying for hedge accounting as full fair value hedges. Those relationships, which no longer qualified for hedge accounting as full fair value hedges, were terminated and re-designated as hedges of changes in fair value due to changes in benchmark interest rates only, in the second quarter of 2007. The basis adjustment related to the hedged items as of the termination date is being amortized over the remaining life of the hedged items.

6. Stockholders Equity

The following table summarizes the Company s common share repurchases, issuances and equity forward activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Three I End Septem	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(Shares in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Common shares repurchased:					
Open market		2.2		2.2	
Equity forwards		.9		5.4	
Benefit plans ⁽¹⁾	2.1	.1	3.1	1.4	
Total shares repurchased	2.1	3.2	3.1	9.0	
Average purchase price per share	\$ 48.47	\$ 48.76	\$ 46.35	\$ 52.55	
Common shares issued	3.6	.8	6.6	5.2	
Equity forward contracts:					
Outstanding at beginning of period	48.2	45.9	48.2	42.7	
New contracts		3.2		10.9	
Exercises		(.9)		(5.4)	
Outstanding at end of period	48.2	48.2	48.2	48.2	
	15.7	5.7	15.7	5.7	

Authority remaining at end of period to repurchase or enter into equity forwards

(1) Includes shares withheld from stock option exercises and vesting of performance stock for employees tax withholding obligations and shares tendered by employees to satisfy option exercise costs.

27

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

6. Stockholders Equity (Continued)

As of September 30, 2007, the expiration dates and purchase prices for outstanding equity forward contracts were as follows:

Year of Maturity	Outstanding Contracts	Range of Purchase Prices	Weighted Average Purchase Price
	(in millions of shares)		
2008	7.3	\$43.50 - \$44.00	\$ 43.80
2009	14.7	46.00 - 54.74	53.66
2010	15.0	54.74	54.74
2011	9.1	49.75 - 53.76	51.91
2012	2.1	46.30 - 46.70	46.40
	48.2		\$ 51.86

The closing price of the Company s common stock on September 30, 2007 was \$49.67. Should the market value of the Company s stock fall below certain initial trigger prices, the counterparty to the contract has a right to terminate the contract and settle all or a portion at the original contract price. For equity forward contracts outstanding at September 30, 2007, these initial trigger prices range from \$23.93 per share to \$30.11 per share.

Depending on market conditions and the economic terms negotiated with counterparties, the Company may enter into agreements to terminate certain of its equity forward purchase contracts. The Company anticipates that, if it were to enter into any such terminations, these contracts would likely be settled using the net cash settlement method. At any time, the Company may also repurchase shares in the open market, enter into new equity forward positions or utilize other programs that have similar economic results in connection with its share repurchase program.

28

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

6. Stockholders Equity (Continued)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income includes the after-tax change in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments, unrealized gains and losses on derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges, and the defined benefit pension plans adjustment. The following table presents the cumulative balances of the components of other comprehensive income as of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006.

	Sep	tember 30, 2007	Dec	cember 31, 2006	September 30, 2006		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments ⁽¹⁾ Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives ⁽²⁾ Defined benefit pension plans:	\$	237,349 (7,879)	\$	340,363 (7,570)	\$	473,671 (11,304)	
Net prior service cost Net gain		(23) 15,905		(24) 16,342			
Total defined benefit pension plans ⁽³⁾ Minimum pension liability adjustment ⁽⁴⁾		15,882		16,318		(1,840)	
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	245,352	\$	349,111	\$	460,527	

29

⁽¹⁾ Net of tax expense of \$123,928, \$179,244 and \$251,941 as of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Net of tax benefit of \$4,436, \$4,347 and \$6,512 as of September 30, 2007, December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

⁽³⁾ Net of tax expense of \$9,224 and \$8,787 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

⁽⁴⁾ Net of tax benefit of \$991 as of September 30, 2006.

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

7. Earnings (Loss) per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share (EPS) are calculated using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations follows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 2006					nths Ended nber 30, 2006		
Numerator:	Φ.	(2.52.02.1)	.	221221	4	=11011	Φ.		
Net income (loss) attributable to common stock Adjusted for debt expense of convertible debentures	\$	(353,034)	\$	254,251	\$	711,341	\$	1,112,542	
(Co-Cos), net of taxes				17,962				49,239	
Adjusted for non-taxable unrealized gains on equity				7				, , , ,	
forwards ⁽²⁾				(707)				(3,528)	
Net income (loss) attributable to common stock, adjusted	\$	(353,034)	\$	271,506	\$	711,341	\$	1,158,253	
Denominator (shares in thousands):									
Weighted average shares used to compute basic EPS		412,944		410,034		411,958		411,212	
Effect of dilutive securities:									
Dilutive effect of Co-Cos Dilutive effect of stock antique nonvected deformed				30,312				30,312	
Dilutive effect of stock options, nonvested deferred compensation, nonvested restricted stock, restricted stock									
units, Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and equity	7								
forwards ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾				9,495		8,347		10,488	
Dilutive potential common shares ⁽⁵⁾				39,807		8,347		40,800	
Dilutive potential common shares.				39,807		0,347		40,000	
Weighted average shares used to compute diluted EPS		412,944		449,841		420,305		452,012	
Net earnings (loss) per share:									
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$	(.85)	\$.62	\$	1.73	\$	2.71	
Dilutive effect of Co-Cos ⁽¹⁾								(.07)	
Dilutive effect of equity forwards ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ Dilutive effect of stock options, nonvested deferred				(.01)				(.01)	
compensation, nonvested restricted stock, restricted stock									
units, and ESPP ⁽³⁾				(.01)		(.04)		(.07)	

Diluted earnings (loss) per common share

\$ (.85) \$

.60

1.69

2.56

- (1) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-8, The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share, requires the shares underlying Co-Cos to be included in diluted EPS computations regardless of whether the market price trigger or the conversion price has been met, using the if-converted method. On July 25, 2007, the Co-Cos were called at par.
- (2) SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, and the additional guidance provided by EITF Topic No. D-72, Effect of Contracts That May Be Settled in Stock or Cash on the Computation of Diluted Earnings per Share, require both the denominator and the numerator to be adjusted in calculating the potential impact of the Company s equity forward contracts on diluted EPS. Under this guidance, the impact can be dilutive when: (1) the average share price during the period is lower than the respective strike prices on the Company s equity forward contracts, and (2) the Company recorded an unrealized gain or loss on derivative and hedging activities related to its equity forward contracts.
- (3) Reflects the potential dilutive effect of additional common shares that are issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options, nonvested deferred compensation, nonvested restricted stock, restricted stock units, and the outstanding commitment to issue shares under the ESPP, determined by the treasury stock method.
- (4) Reflects the potential dilutive effect of equity forward contracts, determined by the reverse treasury stock method.
- (5) For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, stock options and equity forwards of approximately 59 million shares and 60 million shares, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, stock options and equity forwards of approximately 60 million shares and 54 million shares, respectively, were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

30

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

8. Pension Plans

Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

Net periodic pension cost included the following components:

	T	hree Mon Septem			Nine Months Ende September 30,			
	2007			2006	2007	2006		
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$	1,775	\$	2,072	\$ 5,325	\$	6,218	
Interest cost on projected benefit obligations		3,083		2,862	9,251		8,586	
Expected return on plan assets		(4,493)		(4,070)	(13,481)		(12,208)	
Net amortization and deferral		(180)		123	(539)		367	
Total net periodic pension cost	\$	185	\$	987	\$ 556	\$	2,963	

Employer Contributions

The Company previously disclosed in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 that it did not expect to contribute to its qualified pension plan (the Qualified Plan) in 2007. As of September 30, 2007, the Company had made no contributions to its Qualified Plan.

9. Income Taxes

The following table summarizes the Company s unrecognized tax benefits:

	As of Ja	nuary 1, 2007
Gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits	\$	113,334
Total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective		
tax rate		38,325
Total amount of interest and penalties recognized in the statement of operations and the		
statement of financial position		16,418

The Company adopted the provisions of the FASB s Financial Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN No. 48, the Company recognized a \$6 million increase in its liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was accounted for as a reduction to the

January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. In addition, unrecognized tax benefits of \$3 million are currently treated as a pending refund claim, reducing the above balance of total unrecognized tax benefits that if recognized would affect the effective tax rate.

In the first and second quarters of 2007, the Company adjusted its federal unrecognized tax benefits to reflect the outcome of several issues that were addressed with the IRS as a part of the 2003-2004 exam cycle, primarily regarding the timing of recognition of certain income and deduction items. Several other less significant amounts of uncertain tax benefits were also added during these quarters and the third quarter of 2007. In total, as of September 30, 2007, the Company has gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$189 million, unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate of \$43 million, as well as total interest and penalties recognized in the statements of operations and financial position of \$19 million.

31

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

9. Income Taxes (Continued)

Reasonably Possible Significant Increases/Decreases within Twelve Months

U.S. Federal Tax Uncertainties

The IRS issued a Revenue Agent's Report (RAR) during the second quarter of 2007 concluding the primary exam of the Company s 2003 and 2004 U.S. federal tax returns. However, the exam of these years remains open pending the conclusion of the separate IRS audit of an entity in which the Company is an investor (any results of which are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s unrecognized tax benefit amounts). In addition, during the third quarter of 2007, the Company filed an administrative-level appeal related to one unagreed item originating from the Company s 2004 U.S. federal tax return. An estimate of the range of the possible change to the balance of the Company s unrecognized tax benefits that may result from resolution of the remaining unagreed item cannot at this time be made, pending further development of the appeals process.

In addition, the IRS is beginning the examination of the Company s 2005 and 2006 federal income tax returns. It is reasonably possible that issues that arise during the exam may create the need for an increase in unrecognized tax benefits. Until the exam proceeds further, an estimate of any such amounts cannot currently be made.

Other Tax Uncertainties

In the event that the Company is not contacted for exam by additional tax authorities by the end of 2007, it is reasonably possible that there will be a decrease in the Company s unrecognized tax position liability, due to the tolling of various statute of limitations periods. When considering both tax and interest amounts, such change could be approximately \$5 million to \$7 million.

Tax Years Remaining Subject to Exam

The Company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns at the U.S. federal level, in most U.S. states, and various foreign jurisdictions. U.S. federal income tax returns filed for years prior to 2003 have been audited and are now resolved. As shown in the table below, the Company s primary operating subsidiary has been audited by the listed states through the year shown, again with all issues resolved. Other combinations of subsidiaries, tax years, and jurisdictions remain open for review, subject to statute of limitations periods (typically 3 to 4 prior years).

State	Year audited through
Florida	2000
Indiana	2000
Pennsylvania	2000
California	2002

Missouri	2003
New York	2003
Texas	2004

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense and penalties in operating expenses.

32

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

10. Contingencies

On October 8, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group seeking a declaration that the Buyer Group repudiated the Merger Agreement, that no Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) has occurred and that the Company may terminate the agreement and collect the \$900 million termination fee (see Note 12, Merger-Related Developments).

On April 6, 2007, the Company was served with a putative class action suit by several borrowers in federal court in California. The complaint, which was amended on April 12, 2007, alleges violations of California Business & Professions Code 17200, breach of contract, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, violation of consumer legal remedies act and unjust enrichment. The complaint challenges the Company s FFELP billing practices as they relate to use of the simple daily interest method for calculating interest. On June 19, 2007, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss the amended complaint. On September 14, 2007, the court entered an order denying Sallie Mae s Motion to Dismiss. The court did not comment on the merits of the allegations or the plaintiffs—case but instead merely determined that the allegations stated a claim sufficient under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On September 17, 2007, the court entered a scheduling order that set July 8, 2008, as the start date for the trial. Discovery has commenced and is scheduled to continue through May 30, 2008. The Company believes these allegations lack merit and will continue to vigorously defend itself in this case. The Company filed an answer on September 28, 2007, denying any liability.

On January 25, 2007, the Attorney General of Illinois filed a lawsuit against one of the Company subsidiaries, Arrow Financial Services, LLC (AFS), in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois alleging that AFS violated the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act. The lawsuit seeks to enjoin AFS from violating the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act and from engaging in debt management and collection services in or from the State of Illinois. The lawsuit also seeks to rescind certain agreements to pay back debt between AFS and Illinois consumers, to pay restitution to all consumers who have been harmed by AFS salleged unlawful practices, to impose a statutory civil penalty of \$50,000 and to impose a civil penalty of \$50,000 per violation (\$60,000 per violation if the consumer is 65 years of age or older). The lawsuit alleges that as of January 25, 2007, 660 complaints against AFS have been filed with the Office of the Illinois Attorney General since 1999 and over 800 complaints have been filed with the Better Business Bureau. As of September 30, 2007, the Company owned 88 percent of the membership interests in AFS Holdings, LLC, the parent company of AFS. Management cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit or its effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

The Company is also subject to various claims, lawsuits and other actions that arise in the normal course of business. Most of these matters are claims by borrowers disputing the manner in which their loans have been processed or the accuracy of the Company s reports to credit bureaus. In addition, the collections subsidiaries in the Company s asset performance group are routinely named in individual plaintiff or class action lawsuits in which the plaintiffs allege that the Company has violated a federal or state law in the process of collecting their accounts. Management believes that these claims, lawsuits and other actions will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations. Finally, from time to time, the Company receives information and document requests from

state attorneys general and Congressional committees concerning certain of its business practices. The Company s practice has been and continues to be to cooperate with the state attorneys general and Congressional committees and to be responsive to any such requests.

33

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting

The Company has two primary operating segments as defined in SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information — the Lending operating segment and the Asset Performance Group (APG), formerly known as Debt Management Operations (DMO), operating segment. The Lending and APG operating segments meet the quantitative thresholds for reportable segments identified in SFAS No. 131. Accordingly, the results of operations of the Company s Lending and APG business segments are presented below. The Company has smaller operating segments including the Guarantor Servicing, Student Loan Servicing, and Upromise operating segments as well as certain other products and services provided to colleges and universities that do not meet the quantitative thresholds identified in SFAS No. 131. Therefore, the results of operations for these smaller operating segments and the revenues and expenses associated with these other products and services are combined with corporate overhead and other corporate activities within the Corporate and Other reportable segment.

The management reporting process measures the performance of the Company s operating segments based on the management structure of the Company as well as the methodology used by management to evaluate performance and allocate resources. Management, including the Company s chief operating decision maker, evaluates the performance of the Company s operating segments based on their profitability. As discussed further below, management measures the profitability of the Company s operating segments based on Core Earnings net income. Accordingly, information regarding the Company s reportable segments is provided on a Core Earnings basis. The Company s Core Earnings performance measures are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Core Earnings net income reflects only current period adjustments to GAAP net income as described below. Unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management reporting. The management reporting process measures the performance of the operating segments based on the management structure of the Company and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution. The Company s operating segments are defined by the products and services they offer or the types of customers they serve, and they reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Intersegment revenues and expenses are netted within the appropriate financial statement line items consistent with the income statement presentation provided to management. Changes in management structure or allocation methodologies and procedures may result in changes in reported segment financial information.

The Company s principal operations are located in the United States, and its results of operations and long-lived assets in geographic regions outside of the United States are not significant. In the Lending segment, no individual customer accounted for more than 10 percent of its total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. United Student Aid Funds, Inc. (USA Funds) is the Company s largest customer in both the APG and Corporate and Other segments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, it accounted for 24 percent and 32 percent, respectively, of the aggregate revenues generated by the Company s APG and Corporate and Other segments. No other customers accounted for more than 10 percent of total revenues in those segments for these reporting periods.

Lending

In the Company s Lending operating segment, the Company originates and acquires both federally guaranteed student loans, which are administered by the U.S. Department of Education (ED), and Private Education Loans, which are not federally guaranteed. Private Education Loans are primarily used by borrowers to supplement FFELP loans to meet the rising cost of education. The Company manages student loans for

34

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

nearly 10 million student and parent customers; its Managed student loan portfolio totaled \$160 billion at September 30, 2007, of which \$132 billion or 83 percent are federally insured. In addition to education lending, the Company also originates mortgage and consumer loans with the intent of selling the majority of such loans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company originated \$769 million in mortgage and consumer loans of which \$528 million were mortgages held for sale. The Company s mortgage and consumer loan portfolio totaled \$578 million at September 30, 2007.

In addition to its federally insured FFELP products, the Company originates and acquires Private Education Loans which consist of two general types: (1) those that are designed to bridge the gap between the cost of higher education and the amount financed through either capped federally insured loans or the borrowers resources, and (2) those that are used to meet the needs of students who attend non-Title IV eligible institutions where FFELP loans are not available (such as career training, distance learning and lifelong learning programs). Most higher education Private Education Loans are made in conjunction with a FFELP Stafford loan and as such are marketed through the same channel as FFELP loans by the same sales force. Unlike FFELP loans, Private Education Loans are subject to the full credit risk of the borrower. The Company manages this additional risk through loan underwriting standards and a combination of higher interest rates and loan origination fees that compensate the Company for the higher risk.

Asset Performance Group (APG)

The Company s APG operating segment provides a wide range of accounts receivable and collections services including student loan default aversion services, defaulted student loan portfolio management services, contingency collections services for student loans and other asset classes, and accounts receivable management and collection for purchased portfolios of receivables that are delinquent or have been charged off by their original creditors, as well as sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans. The Company s APG segment serves the student loan marketplace through a broad array of default management services on a contingency fee or other pay-for-performance basis to 14 FFELP guarantors and for campus-based programs.

In addition to collecting on its own purchased consumer loan receivables and mortgage loans, the APG segment provides receivable management and collection services for large federal agencies, credit card clients and other holders of consumer debt.

Corporate and Other

The Company s Corporate and Other reportable segment includes the aggregate activity of its smaller operating segments, primarily its Guarantor Servicing, Student Loan Servicing, and Upromise operating segments. The Corporate and Other reportable segment also includes several smaller products and services, as well as corporate overhead.

In the Guarantor Servicing operating segment, the Company provides a full complement of administrative services to FFELP guarantors including guarantee issuance, account maintenance, and guarantee fulfillment. In the Student Loan Servicing operating segment, the Company provides a full complement of activities required to service student loans on behalf of lenders who are unrelated to the Company. Such servicing activities generally commence once a loan has been fully disbursed and include sending out payment coupons to borrowers, processing borrower payments, originating and disbursing FFELP Consolidation Loans on behalf of the lender, and other administrative activities required by ED. In the Upromise operating segment, the

35

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Company markets and administers saving-for-college plans and also provides administration services for college savings plans.

Corporate overhead includes all of the typical headquarter functions such as executive management, accounting and finance, human resources and marketing.

Measure of Profitability

The tables below include the condensed operating results for each of the Company s reportable segments. Management, including the chief operating decision maker, evaluates the Company on certain performance measures that the Company refers to as Core Earnings performance measures for each operating segment. While Core Earnings results are not a substitute for reported results under GAAP, the Company relies on Core Earnings performance measures to manage each operating segment because it believes these measures provide additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by management.

Core Earnings performance measures are the primary financial performance measures used by management to develop the Company s financial plans, track results, and establish corporate performance targets and incentive compensation. Management believes this information provides additional insight into the financial performance of the core business activities of its operating segments. Accordingly, the tables presented below reflect. Core Earnings operating measures reviewed and utilized by management to manage the business. Reconciliation of the Core Earnings segment totals to the Company s consolidated operating results in accordance with GAAP is also included in the tables below.

36

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Segment Results and Reconciliations to GAAP

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 Total									
			Corporate and	Core		Total				
(Dollars in millions)	Lending	Lending APG		Earnings	$Adjustments^{(3)}$	GAAP				
Interest income: FFELP Stafford and Other Student										
Loans	\$ 729	\$	\$	\$ 729	\$ (183)	\$ 546				
FFELP Consolidation Loans	1,445			1,445	(300)	1,145				
Private Education Loans	753			753	(360)	393				
Other loans	26			26		26				
Cash and investments	251		6	257	(46)	211				
Total interest income	3,204		6	3,210	(889)	2,321				
Total interest expense	2,534	7	5	2,546	(667)	1,879				
Net interest income (loss)	670	(7)	1	664	(222)	442				
Less: provisions for loan losses	200			200	(57)	143				
Net interest income (loss) after										
provisions for loan losses	470	(7)	1	464	(165)	299				
Fee income		76	46	122	,	122				
Collections revenue		53		53		53				
Other income	46		63	109	(486)	(377)				
Total other income	46	129	109	284	(486)	(202)				
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾	164	94	79	337	19	356				
Income (loss) before income taxes and										
minority interest in net earnings of	0.45	•	0.1		(c=0)	(A.F.C.)				
subsidiaries	352	28	31	411	(670)	(259)				
Income tax expense (benefit) ⁽²⁾ Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	130	11	11	152	(67)	85				

Net income (loss) \$ 222 \$ 17 \$ 20 \$ 259 \$ (603) \$ (344)

- (2) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.
- (3) Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:

		T	hre	e Months E	Ended September 30, 2007						
		Net pact of	Net Impact of Derivative Accounting		NI.	ot Impact	Net Impact of				
		ritization			Net Impact of Floor Income		Acquired				
(Dollars in millions)	Accounting						Intangibles	Total			
Net interest income (loss) Less: provisions for loan losses	\$	(215) (57)	\$	33	\$	(40)	\$	\$ (222) (57)			
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses Fee income		(158)		33		(40)		(165)			
Collections revenue Other income (loss)		1		(487)				(486)			
Total other income (loss) Operating expenses		1		(487)			19	(486) 19			
Total pre-tax Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	\$	(157)	\$	(454)	\$	(40)	\$ (19)	(670)			
Income tax benefit Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries								(67)			
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAF	•							\$ (603)			

37

Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$4 million, \$2 million, and \$2 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

	Total											
(Dollars in millions)					Corporate and			Core			Total	
	Lending		APG		Other		Earnings		Adjı	ustments(3)	GAAP	
Interest income:												
FFELP Stafford and Other Student												
Loans	\$	702	\$		\$		\$	702	\$	(337)	\$	365
FFELP Consolidation Loans		1,242						1,242		(326)		916
Private Education Loans		558						558		(303)		255
Other loans		24						24				24
Cash and investments		207				3		210		(69)		141
Total interest income		2,733				3		2,736		(1,035)		1,701
Total interest expense		2,124		6		4		2,134		(771)		1,363
Net interest income (loss)		609		(6)		(1)		602		(264)		338
Less: provisions for loan losses		80		, ,		` ´		80		(13)		67
Net interest income (loss) after												
provisions for loan losses		529		(6)		(1)		522		(251)		271
Fee income				122		39		161		. ,		161
Collections revenue				58				58				58
Other income		46				41		87		245		332
Total other income		46		180		80		306		245		551
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾		156		91		70		317		37		354
Income (loss) before income taxes and												
minority interest in net earnings of												
subsidiaries		419		83		9		511		(43)		468
Income tax expense ⁽²⁾		155		31		3		189		15		204
Minority interest in net earnings of												
subsidiaries				1				1				1
Net income (loss)	\$	264	\$	51	\$	6	\$	321	\$	(58)	\$	263

- Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$8 million, \$4 million, and \$4 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.
- (2) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.
- (3) Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:

		T Net pact of		e Months E et Impact of		ed Septembe et Impact		2006 Net npact of			
		ritization	D	erivative		of Floor	Aco	quired			
(Dollars in millions)	Acc	ounting	Accounting		Income		Inta	ngibles	To	otal	
Net interest income (loss) Less: provisions for loan losses	\$	(229) (13)	\$	18	\$	(53)	\$		\$	(264) (13)	
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses Fee income		(216)		18		(53)				(251)	
Collections revenue Other income		376		(131)						245	
Total other income (loss) Operating expenses		376		(131)				37		245 37	
Total pre-tax Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	\$	160	\$	(113)	\$	(53)	\$	(37)		(43)	
Income tax expense Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries										15	
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	•								\$	(58)	
		38									

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

	Total											
					_	orate 1d		Core			T	otal
(Dollars in millions)	Le	nding	A	PG		her	Ea	rnings	Adju	stments(3)	G	AAP
Interest income:												
FFELP Stafford and Other Student												
Loans	\$	2,143	\$		\$		\$	2,143	\$	(635)		1,508
FFELP Consolidation Loans		4,167						4,167		(920)		3,247
Private Education Loans		2,104						2,104		(1,043)		1,061
Other loans		80						80				80
Cash and investments		595				15		610		(143)		467
Total interest income		9,089				15		9,104		(2,741)		6,363
Total interest expense		7,125		20		16		7,161		(2,052)		5,109
Net interest income (loss)		1,964		(20)		(1)		1,943		(689)		1,254
Less: provisions for loan losses		644				1		645		(204)		441
Net interest income (loss) after												
provisions for loan losses		1,320		(20)		(2)		1,298		(485)		813
Fee income				244		115		359		, ,		359
Collections revenue				196				196				196
Other income		150				162		312		671		983
Total other income		150		440		277		867		671		1,538
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾		517		284		251		1,052		59		1,111
Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of												
subsidiaries		953		136		24		1,113		127		1,240
Income tax expense ⁽²⁾		352		51		9		412		87		499
Minority interest in net earnings of		334		<i>J</i> 1		7		+14		07		サフフ
subsidiaries				2				2				2
Net income	\$	601	\$	83	\$	15	\$	699	\$	40	\$	739

- Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$26 million, \$9 million, and \$12 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.
- (2) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.
- (3) Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:

		Nine Months Ended Septemb Net Net Impact Impact of of						2007 Net pact of		
	Secu	Securitization		Derivative		Impact of Floor		Acquired		
(Dollars in millions)	Acc	ounting	Acc	ounting		ncome	Intar	ngibles	T	otal
Net interest income (loss) Less: provisions for loan losses	\$	(649) (204)	\$	79	\$	(119)	\$		\$	(689) (204)
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses Fee income Collections revenue		(445)		79		(119)				(485)
Other income		694		(23)						671
Total other income (loss) Operating expenses		694		(23)				59		671 59
Total pre-tax Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	\$	249	\$	56	\$	(119)	\$	(59)		127
Income tax expense Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries										87
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP									\$	40

39

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006

	Total										
					Corpo an			Core			Total
(Dollars in millions)	Ler	nding	\mathbf{A}	PG	Oth	er	Ea	rnings	Adju	stments(3)	GAAP
Interest income:											
FFELP Stafford and Other Student											
Loans		2,070	\$		\$		\$	2,070	\$	(1,070)	\$ 1,000
FFELP Consolidation Loans		3,385						3,385		(806)	2,579
Private Education Loans		1,472						1,472		(742)	730
Other loans		71						71			71
Cash and investments		507				5		512		(150)	362
Total interest income	,	7,505				5		7,510		(2,768)	4,742
Total interest expense		5,687		17		6		5,710		(2,050)	3,660
Net interest income (loss)		1,818		(17)		(1)		1,800		(718)	1,082
Less: provisions for loan losses		215		. ,		. ,		215		(20)	195
Net interest income (loss) after											
provisions for loan losses		1,603		(17)		(1)		1,585		(698)	887
Fee income		,		304		99		403		,	403
Collections revenue				182				182			182
Other income		138				95		233		1,153	1,386
Total other income		138		486		194		818		1,153	1,971
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾		481		266		178		925		68	993
Income before income taxes and											
minority interest in net earnings of											
subsidiaries		1,260		203		15		1,478		387	1,865
Income tax expense ⁽²⁾		466		75		6		547		175	722
Minority interest in net earnings of		.00		, 0		Ü		0.,		1,0	
subsidiaries				4				4			4
Net income	\$	794	\$	124	\$	9	\$	927	\$	212	\$ 1,139

- Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$26 million, \$9 million, and \$13 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.
- (2) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.
- (3) Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:

	Im	Net pact of	Nine Months E Net Impact of			ed Septembo Jet Impact				
	Secu	ritization	De	erivative	1	of Floor	Ac	of quired		
(Dollars in millions)	Acc	counting	Ac	counting		Income	Inta	angibles	T	otal
Net interest income (loss) Less: provisions for loan losses	\$	(668) (20)	\$	108	\$	(158)	\$		\$	(718) (20)
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses Fee income	r	(648)		108		(158)				(698)
Collections revenue Other income		1,248		(95)						1,153
Total other income (loss) Operating expenses		1,248		(95)				68		1,153 68
Total pre-tax Core Earnings adjustments t GAAP	o \$	600	\$	13	\$	(158)	\$	(68)		387
Income tax expense Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries										175
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAl	P								\$	212
		40								

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Summary of Core Earnings Adjustments to GAAP

The adjustments required to reconcile from the Company s Core Earnings results to its GAAP results of operations relate to differing treatments for securitization transactions, derivatives, Floor Income related to the Company s student loans, and certain other items that management does not consider in evaluating the Company s operating results. The following table reflects aggregate adjustments associated with these areas for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

		ee Mon Ended tember	Ni	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(Dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2	2007	2006		
Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:							
Net impact of securitization accounting ⁽¹⁾	\$ (15	7) \$	160	\$	249	\$	600
Net impact of derivative accounting ⁽²⁾	(45	4)	(113)		56		13
Net impact of Floor Income ⁽³⁾	(4	0)	(53)		(119)		(158)
Net impact of acquired intangibles ⁽⁴⁾	(1	9)	(37)		(59)		(68)
Net tax effect ⁽⁵⁾	6	7	(15)		(87)		(175)
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	\$ (60	3) \$	(58)	\$	40	\$	212

- Securitization: Under GAAP, certain securitization transactions in the Company's Lending operating segment are accounted for as sales of assets. Under the Company's Core Earnings presentation for the Lending operating segment, the Company presents all securitization transactions on a Core Earnings basis as long-term non-recourse financings. The upfront gains on sale from securitization transactions as well as ongoing servicing and securitization revenue presented in accordance with GAAP are excluded from Core Earnings net income and replaced by the interest income, provisions for loan losses, and interest expense as they are earned or incurred on the securitization loans. The Company also excludes transactions with its off-balance sheet trusts from Core Earnings net income as they are considered intercompany transactions on a Core Earnings basis.
- Derivative accounting: Core Earnings net income excludes periodic unrealized gains and losses arising primarily in the Company s Lending operating segment, and to a lesser degree in the Company s Corporate and Other reportable segment, that are caused primarily by the one-sided mark-to-market derivative valuations prescribed by SFAS No. 133 on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment under GAAP. Under the Company s Core Earnings presentation, the Company recognizes the economic effect of these hedges, which generally results in any cash paid or received being recognized ratably as an expense or revenue over the hedged

item s life. Core Earnings net income also excludes the gain or loss on equity forward contracts that under SFAS No. 133, are required to be accounted for as derivatives and are marked-to-market through GAAP net income.

- Floor Income: The timing and amount (if any) of Floor Income earned in the Company s Lending operating segment is uncertain and in excess of expected spreads. Therefore, the Company excludes such income from Core Earnings net income when it is not economically hedged. The Company employs derivatives, primarily Floor Income Contracts and futures, to economically hedge Floor Income. As discussed above in Derivative Accounting, these derivatives do not qualify as effective accounting hedges and therefore, under GAAP, are marked-to-market through the gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net line on the income statement with no offsetting gain or loss recorded for the economically hedged items. For Core Earnings net income, the Company reverses the fair value adjustments on the Floor Income Contracts and futures economically hedging Floor Income and includes the amortization of net premiums received (net of Eurodollar futures contracts realized gains or losses) in income.
- (4) **Acquired Intangibles:** The Company excludes goodwill and intangible impairment and amortization of acquired intangibles.
- Net Tax Effect: Such tax effect is based upon the Company s Core Earnings effective tax rate for the year. The net tax effect results primarily from the exclusion of the permanent income tax impact of the equity forward contracts.

41

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

12. Merger-Related Developments

On April 16, 2007, the Company announced that the Buyer Group signed the Merger Agreement to acquire the Company for approximately \$25.3 billion or \$60.00 per share of common stock. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, J.C. Flowers & Co. and certain other private equity investors, including Friedman Fleischer & Lowe, would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$4.4 billion and own 50.2 percent, and Bank of America (NYSE: BAC) and JPMorgan Chase (NYSE: JPM) each would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$2.2 billion and each would own 24.9 percent of the surviving entity. The remainder of the purchase price is expected to be funded by debt. The Company s independent board members unanimously approved the agreement and recommended that its shareholders approve the agreement. The Company s shareholders approved the Merger Agreement at a special meeting of shareholders held on August 15, 2007. (See also Merger Agreement filed with the SEC on the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007.) Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the Company was not permitted to pay dividends on its common stock prior to the consummation of the proposed transaction. This restriction has been terminated. See below.

The termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, was granted on June 18, 2007. On June 1, 2007, the Buyer Group filed with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) its Interagency Notice of Change in Control with respect to the Sallie Mae Bank. As of the date of this Report, the FDIC has not acted on that notice.

On July 11, 2007, the Company announced that the Buyer Group informed the Company that it believed that legislative proposals then pending before the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate could result in a failure of the conditions to the closing of the Merger to be satisfied.

On September 26, 2007, J.C. Flowers & Co., on behalf of itself and the Buyer Group, asserted that the Buyer Group believed that the conditions to closing under the Merger Agreement, if the closing were to occur on that day, would not be satisfied as a result of changes in the legislative and economic environment. On October 2, 2007, the Buyer Group again asserted that it believed that, if the conditions to the closing of the Merger were required to be measured on that day, the conditions to the Buyer Group s obligation to close would not be satisfied, asserted that a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) had occurred and made a proposal to acquire the Company at a significantly lower price and upon substantially different terms instead of honoring its obligations under the Merger Agreement. On October 3, 2007, the Company notified the Buyer Group that all conditions to closing of the Merger had been satisfied, and set November 5, 2007 as the closing date of the Merger. In response, the Buyer Group sent a letter to the Company on October 8, 2007 asserting that the conditions to closing of the Merger had not been satisfied because of, among other things, the alleged occurrence of a Material Adverse Effect under the terms of the Merger Agreement.

On October 8, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group, which includes J.C. Flowers & Co., JPMorgan Chase, and Bank of America. The lawsuit seeks a declaration that the Buyer Group repudiated the Merger Agreement, that no Material Adverse Effect has occurred and that the Company may terminate the agreement and collect the \$900 million termination fee. On October 12, 2007, the Company requested

an expedited trial. On October 15, 2007, the Buyer Group filed an answer and counterclaims and filed a response opposing the Company s request for an expedited trial. On October 22, 2007, the Court held a scheduling conference to set a schedule for trial. Pursuant to the Court s directions at the scheduling conference, effective October 23, 2007, the Buyer Group waived the Company s obligation under the Merger Agreement to comply with, among other things, the covenants that limited the conduct of the Company s business. The Company and Buyer Group have since served discovery requests on

42

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

12. Merger-Related Developments (Continued)

each other. Under guidance from the Delaware Court of Chancery at a scheduling hearing on November 5, 2007, the Company has elected to pursue an expedited decision on its October 19, 2007 motion for partial judgment on the pleadings. Specifically, the Company is seeking an expedited ruling that its interpretation of the Merger Agreement as it pertains to a Material Adverse Effect is the correct interpretation. The effect of this election will be that trial is expected to commence on an undetermined date after Thanksgiving 2008, rather than in mid-July 2008.

Financing Considerations if the Merger Closes

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the Company would continue to have publicly traded debt securities and as a result would continue comprehensive financial reporting about its business, financial condition and results of operations. Bank of America and JPMorgan Chase have committed to provide debt financing for the transaction and to provide additional liquidity to the Company prior to and after the closing date, subject to customary terms and conditions.

The Company s existing unsecured debt will remain outstanding if the Merger is consummated, and such outstanding debt will not be equally and ratably secured with the new acquisition-related debt. The acquisition financing is expected to be structured with the intent to accommodate the repayment of any outstanding unsecured debt as it matures. If the Merger closes, the Company expects it to have no material impact on the Company s outstanding asset-backed debt and expects to remain an active participant in the asset-backed securities market.

Financing Considerations if the Merger does not Close

On April 16, 2007, after the Company announced the transaction, Moody s Investor Services, Standard & Poor s and Fitch Ratings placed the long-term and short-term ratings on the Company s senior unsecured debt under review for possible downgrade, and secondary market credit spreads on the Company s outstanding senior unsecured bonds widened significantly. These factors limited the Company s access to new sources of senior unsecured funds at borrowing costs comparable to those available before the announcement. On June 1, 2007, Standard & Poor s downgraded the Company s senior unsecured debt rating to BBB+ from A. On July 2, 2007, Fitch Ratings downgraded the Company s long-term issuer default rating (IDR) and senior unsecured debt rating to BBB from A+. On August 1 2007, Moody s downgraded the Company s corporate credit rating to Baa1 from A2. In the near term, the Compandoes not expect to rely on the unsecured debt market as a source of liquidity due to the high cost and restrictive covenants likely to be associated with such financing. As a result, student loan asset-backed securities financings are expected to be its primary source of cost-effective financing for the immediate future.

On April 30, 2007, Bank of America and JPMorgan Chase provided the Company with new aggregate \$30 billion asset-backed commercial paper conduit facilities (collectively, the Interim ABCP Facility). Generally, the Interim ABCP Facility effectively terminates on the earliest of (1) the Merger closing (2) 90 calendar days after the date of termination of the Merger Agreement or (3) 90 calendar days after February 15, 2008. If the Merger Agreement is terminated or the Merger does not close, the Company s liquidity could be materially adversely affected as a result of

the prospective termination of the Company s Interim ABCP Facility. The Company is in substantive discussions with various financing sources concerning the replacement of the Interim ABCP Facility, should it be necessary, and believes that this source of liquidity can be replaced in a timely manner. In addition, any new issuance of unsecured debt will likely be subject to much wider spreads and more restrictive terms than the Company has historically experienced. The Company

43

SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) (Information at September 30, 2007 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 is unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

12. Merger-Related Developments (Continued)

expects to remain an active participant in the asset-backed securities market if the Merger Agreement is terminated or the Merger does not close.

Accounting Considerations Related to the Transaction

If the Merger is consummated, the transaction would be accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The fair values of the tangible assets and liabilities and the intangible assets acquired by the Buyer Group, as well as the related goodwill associated with the transaction would be pushed down to the Company. Thus, all of the Company s assets and liabilities would have a new basis of accounting and therefore previous unamortized premiums, discounts and reserves related to those assets and liabilities would be written-off upon closing. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities would be recognized as goodwill. Since the Company would be the acquired enterprise, expenses incurred in connection with the transaction would be expensed as incurred. Transaction fees that are contingent upon the closing would be recognized if the transaction closes. Transaction fees that are not contingent on the closing would be expensed as incurred, and included in operating expense. These expenses totaled \$42 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. If the transaction closes, vesting would accelerate on all stock-based compensation awards, and as a result, all deferred compensation related to those awards would be expensed.

44

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

FORWARD-LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements and information that are based on management s current expectations as of the date of this document. When used in this report, the words anticipate, intend and expect and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results to be materially different from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. These factors include, among others, the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the merger agreement (the Merger Agreement) for the buyer group (the Buyer Group) led by J.C. Flowers & Co. (J.C. Flowers), Bank of America (NYSE:BAC) and JPMorgan Chase (NYSE:JPM) to acquire (the Merger) SLM Corporation, more commonly known as Sallie Mae, and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company); the outcome of any legal proceedings that may be instituted by us or against us and others relating to the Merger Agreement; the inability to complete the Merger due to the failure to obtain shareholder approval or the failure to satisfy other conditions to completion of the Merger; the failure to obtain the necessary debt financing arrangements set forth in commitment letters received in connection with the Merger; the effect of the announcement of the Merger on our customer relationships, operating results and business generally; the amount of the costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the Merger and the actual terms of certain financings that will be obtained for the Merger; the impact of the substantial indebtedness incurred to finance the consummation of the Merger; increased costs, fees, expenses or other charges related to the interim asset-backed commercial paper facilities extended by Bank of America and JPMorgan Chase for use during the period between executing the Merger Agreement and the closing of the Merger, including any potential foreclosure on the student loans under those facilities following their termination; if the Merger Agreement is terminated, increased financing costs and more limited liquidity; changes in the terms of student loans and the educational credit marketplace arising from the implementation of applicable laws and regulations and from changes in these laws and regulations, which may reduce the volume, average term and yields on student loans under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) or result in loans being originated or refinanced under non-FFELP programs or may affect the terms upon which banks and others agree to sell FFELP loans to the Company. In addition, a larger than expected increase in third party consolidations of our FFELP loans could materially adversely affect our results of operations. The Company could also be affected by changes in the demand for educational financing or in financing preferences of lenders, educational institutions, students and their families; incorrect estimates or assumptions by management in connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements; changes in the composition of our Managed FFELP and Private Education Loan portfolios; a significant decrease in our common stock price, which may result in counterparties terminating equity forward positions with us, which, in turn, could have a materially dilutive effect on our common stock; changes in the general interest rate environment and in the securitization markets for education loans, which may increase the costs or limit the availability of financings necessary to initiate, purchase or carry education loans; changes in projections of losses from loan defaults; changes in prepayment rates and credit spreads; and changes in the demand for debt management services and new laws or changes in existing laws that govern debt management services. The Company does not undertake any obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements to conform the statement to actual results or changes in the Company s expectations.

OVERVIEW

We are the largest source of funding, delivery and servicing support for education loans in the United States. Our primary business is to originate, acquire and hold both federally guaranteed student loans and Private Education Loans, which are not federally guaranteed or privately insured. The primary source of our

45

Table of Contents

earnings is from net interest income earned on those student loans as well as gains on the sales of such loans in off-balance sheet securitization transactions. We also earn fees for pre-default and post-default receivables management services on student loans, such that we are engaged in every phase of the student loan life cycle—from originating and servicing student loans to default prevention and ultimately the collection on defaulted student loans. Through recent acquisitions, we have expanded our receivables management services to a number of different asset classes outside of student loans. SLM Corporation, more commonly known as Sallie Mae, is a holding company that operates through a number of subsidiaries. References in this report to the—Company—refer to SLM Corporation and its subsidiaries.

We have used both internal growth and strategic acquisitions to attain our leadership position in the education finance marketplace. Our sales force, which delivers our products on campuses across the country, is the largest in the student loan industry. The core of our marketing strategy is to promote our on-campus brands, which generate student loan originations through our Preferred Channel. Loans generated through our Preferred Channel are more profitable than loans acquired through other acquisition channels because we own them earlier in the student loan s life and generally incur lower costs to acquire such loans. We have built brand leadership through the Sallie Mae name, the brands of our subsidiaries and those of our lender partners. These sales and marketing efforts are supported by the largest and most diversified servicing capabilities in the industry, providing an unmatched array of services to borrowers. In recent years, borrowers have been consolidating their FFELP Stafford loans into FFELP Consolidation Loans in much greater numbers such that FFELP Consolidation Loans now constitute 55 percent of our Managed loan portfolio. FFELP Consolidation Loans are marketed directly to consumers and we believe they will continue to be an important loan acquisition channel. We continue to expand our offerings in the Private Education Loan marketplace that we market both on campus and direct-to-consumers.

We have expanded into a number of fee-based businesses, most notably, our Asset Performance Group (APG), formerly known as Debt Management Operations (DMO), business. Our APG business provides a wide range of accounts receivable and collections services including student loan default aversion services, defaulted student loan portfolio management services, contingency collections services for student loans and other asset classes, and accounts receivable management and collection for purchased portfolios of receivables that are delinquent or have been charged off by their original creditors as well as sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans. In the purchased receivables business, we focus on a variety of consumer debt types with emphasis on charged off credit card receivables and distressed mortgage receivables. We purchase these portfolios at a discount to their face value, and then use both our internal collection operations coupled with third party collection agencies to maximize the recovery on these receivables.

We manage our business through two primary operating segments: the Lending operating segment and the APG operating segment. Accordingly, the results of operations of the Company s Lending and APG segments are presented separately below under BUSINESS SEGMENTS. These operating segments are considered reportable segments under the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, based on quantitative thresholds applied to the Company s financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

A discussion of the Company s critical accounting policies, which include premiums, discounts and Borrower Benefits, securitization accounting and Retained Interests, provisions for loan losses, derivative accounting and the effects of Consolidation Loan activity on estimates, can be found in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. There have been no material changes to these policies during the third quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Condensed Statements of Income

	5	Three M End Septem 2007	led ber			Increa (Decre \$		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 2006			Increa (Decrea \$			
Net interest income Less: provisions for loan	\$	442	\$	338	\$	104	31%	\$	1,254	\$	1,082	\$	172	16%
losses		143		67		76	113		441		195		246	126
Net interest income after provisions for loan losses		299		271		28	10		813		887		(74)	(8)
Gains on student loan securitizations Servicing and				201		(201)	(100)		367		902		(535)	(59)
securitization revenue Losses on loans and		29		187		(158)	(84)		414		369		45	12
securities, net Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging		(25)		(13)		(12)	(92)		(67)		(25)		(42)	(168)
activities, net		(487)		(131)		(356)	(272)		(23)		(95)		72	76
Guarantor servicing fees		46		39		7	18		115		99		16	16
Debt management fees		76		122		(46)	(38)		244		304		(60)	(20)
Collections revenue		53		58		(5)	(9)		196		182		14	8
Other income		106		88		18	20		292		235		57	24
Operating expenses		356		354		2	1		1,111		993		118	12
Pre-tax income (loss)		(259)		468		(727)	(155)		1,240		1,865		(625)	(34)
Income taxes		85		204		(119)	(58)		499		722		(223)	(31)
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				1		(1)	(100)		2		4		(2)	(50)
Net income (loss) Preferred stock		(344)		263		(607)	(231)		739		1,139		(400)	(35)
dividends		9		9					28		26		2	8
Net income (loss) attributable to common	¢	(252)	ď	254	¢	(607)	(221)0/	¢	711	¢	1 112	¢	(402)	(26)0/
stock	Þ	(353)	\$	254	\$	(607)	(231)%	\$	711	Ф	1,113	Ф	(402)	(36)%
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$	(.85)	\$.62	\$	(1.47)	(237)%	\$	1.73	\$	2.71	\$	(.98)	(36)%
	\$	(.85)	\$.60	\$	(1.45)	(242)%	\$	1.69	\$	2.56	\$	(.87)	(34)%

Diluted earnings (loss) per common share

Dividends per common

share \$.25 \$ (.25) (100)% \$.25 \$.72 \$ (.47) (65)%

47

Condensed Balance Sheets

	September 30, December 31,			amban 21	Increase (Decrease)				
	Sep	2007	Dec	2006		(Decrea	se) %		
Assets									
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans, net	\$	34,108	\$	24,841	\$	9,267	37%		
FFELP Consolidation Loans, net		71,371		61,324		10,047	16		
Private Education Loans, net		13,676		9,755		3,921	40		
Other loans, net		1,193		1,309		(116)	(9)		
Cash and investments		12,040		5,185		6,855	132		
Restricted cash and investments		4,999		3,423		1,576	46		
Retained Interest in off-balance sheet securitized loans		3,239		3,341		(102)	(3)		
Goodwill and acquired intangible assets, net		1,354		1,372		(18)	(1)		
Other assets		8,835		5,586		3,249	58		
Total assets	\$	150,815	\$	116,136	\$	34,679	30%		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity									
Short-term borrowings	\$	33,008	\$	3,528	\$	29,480	836%		
Long-term borrowings		108,861		104,559		4,302	4		
Other liabilities		3,934		3,680		254	7		
Total liabilities		145,803		111,767		34,036	30		
Minority interest in subsidiaries		10		9		1	11		
Stockholders equity before treasury stock		6,184		5,401		783	14		
Common stock held in treasury		1,182		1,041		141	14		
Total stockholders equity		5,002		4,360		642	15		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	150,815	\$	116,136	\$	34,679	30%		

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated Earnings Summary

Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, our net loss was \$344 million, or \$.85 diluted loss per share, compared to net income of \$263 million, or \$.60 diluted earnings per share, for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The effective tax rate in those periods was (33) percent and 43 percent, respectively. The movement in the effective tax rate was primarily driven by the permanent tax impact of excluding non-taxable gains and losses on our equity forward contracts which are marked to market through earnings under the FASB s SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Pre-tax income decreased by \$727 million versus the year-ago quarter, primarily due to a \$356 million increase in net losses on derivative and hedging activities, which was

comprised primarily of unrealized losses on our equity forward contracts. Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities were (\$487) million in the third quarter of 2007 compared to (\$131) million in the year-ago quarter.

In the third quarter of 2007, we did not complete an off-balance sheet securitization and as a result we did not recognize any securitization gains compared to a \$201 million pre-tax securitization gain recognized in the year-ago quarter. In the third quarter of 2007, servicing and securitization income was \$29 million, a \$158 million decrease over the year-ago quarter. This decrease was primarily due to an \$86 million increase in impairment losses and to a \$62 million increase in the unrealized fair value loss adjustment related to a portion of our Retained Interests, as discussed above. Both of these changes were primarily a result of FFELP Stafford consolidation activity, Private Education Loan consolidation activity and the timing of expected default activity.

48

Table of Contents

Net interest income after provisions for loan losses increased by \$28 million versus the third quarter of 2006. The increase was due to the \$103 million increase in net interest income, offset by a \$76 million increase in the provisions for loan losses. The increase in net interest income was primarily due to an increase of \$35 billion in the average balance of on-balance sheet interest earning assets, offset by a decrease in the student loan spread, including the impact of Wholesale Consolidation Loans (see NET INTEREST INCOME Student Loan Spread Analysis *On-Balance Sheet*). The provisions for Private Education Loan losses and FFELP loan losses increased by \$42 million and \$34 million, respectively, versus the year-ago quarter. The increase in the provision for Private Education Loan losses was primarily due to a further seasoning and mix of the portfolio and an increase in delinquencies and charge-offs related in part to operational challenges encountered from a call center move (see LENDING SEGMENT Allowance for Private Education Loan Losses). The increase in the provision for FFELP loan losses was primarily due to the repeal of the Exceptional Performer program due to the passage of the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 on September 27, 2007, which resulted in a higher Risk Sharing percentage for the Company (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS).

Fee and other income and collections revenue decreased \$26 million from \$307 million in the third quarter of 2006 to \$281 million in the third quarter of 2007. This decrease was primarily due to legislative changes in the federal regulations governing the rehabilitated FFELP loan policy in the third quarter of 2006 that resulted in a one-time acceleration of revenue recognized in the third quarter of 2006. Operating expenses of \$356 million for the third quarter of 2007 remained relatively consistent compared to \$354 million for the third quarter of 2006.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, our net income decreased by 35 percent to \$739 million (\$1.69 diluted earnings per share) from net income of \$1.1 billion (\$2.56 diluted earnings per share) in the year-ago period. The effective tax rate in those periods was 40 percent and 39 percent, respectively. Pre-tax income decreased by \$625 million versus the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to a \$535 million decrease in gains on student loan securitizations. The securitization gains in the first nine months of 2007 were the result of one Private Education Loan securitization that had a pre-tax gain of \$367 million or 18.4 percent of the amount securitized. In the year-ago period, there were three Private Education Loan securitizations that had total pre-tax gains of \$830 million or 16.3 percent of the amount securitized.

In the first nine months of 2007, servicing and securitization income was \$414 million, a \$45 million increase over the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase can primarily be attributed to the increase of higher yielding Private Education Loan Residual Interests as a percentage of the total Residual Interest.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, net losses on derivative and hedging activities were \$23 million, a decrease of \$72 million from the net losses of \$95 million in the year-ago period. The change in net losses was not caused by any significant changes of specific derivative and hedging relationships, but was generally due to changes in the fair value of derivatives that were non-qualifying hedges.

Net interest income after provisions for loan losses decreased by \$74 million versus the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The decrease was due to the year-over-year increase in the provision for loan losses of \$246 million, which offset the year-over-year \$172 million increase in net interest income. The increase in net interest income was primarily due to an increase of \$28 billion in the average balance of on-balance sheet interest earning assets offset by a decrease in the student loan spread, including the impact of Wholesale Consolidation Loans (see NET INTEREST INCOME Student Loan Spread Analysis *On-Balance Sheet*). The provisions for Private Education Loan losses and FFELP loan losses increased by \$205 million and \$40 million, respectively. The increase in the provision for Private Education Loan losses was primarily due to a further seasoning and mix of the portfolio and an increase in delinquencies and charge-offs related in part to operational challenges encountered from a call center move

(see LENDING SEGMENT Allowance for Private Education Loan Losses). The increase in the provision for FFELP loan

49

losses was primarily due to the repeal of the Exceptional Performer program as discussed above (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Other Developments *Exceptional Performer*).

Fee and other income and collections revenue increased \$27 million from \$820 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$847 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Operating expenses increased by \$117 million year-over-year. This increase in operating expenses was primarily due to \$42 million in Merger-related expenses incurred in 2007 and Upromise costs of \$65 million in 2007 versus \$8 million in 2006 due to the Upromise acquisition occurring in August 2006.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Average Balance Sheets

The following table reflects the rates earned on interest earning assets and paid on interest bearing liabilities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. This table reflects the net interest margin for the entire Company on a consolidated basis.

		Three M 2007	Ionths End	ed	September 2006	•		Nine M 2007	September 2006	•		
]	Balance	Rate]	Balance	Rate]	Balance	Rate]	Balance	Rate
Average Assets FFELP Stafford and												
Other Student Loans FFELP Consolidation	\$	32,576	6.64%	\$	21,194	6.83%	\$	30,106	6.70%	\$	20,433	6.54%
Loans Private Education		69,289	6.56		54,968	6.61		66,590	6.52		53,829	6.41
Loans		12,706	12.26		8,079	12.51		11,664	12.16		8,348	11.69
Other loans		1,192	8.65		1,133	8.59		1,272	8.46		1,132	8.44
Cash and investments		14,625	5.73		9,915	5.65		10,861	5.75		8,618	5.61
Total interest earning assets		130,388	7.06%		95,289	7.08%		120,493	7.06%		92,360	6.86%
Non-interest earning assets		9,928			8,707			9,612			8,442	
Total assets	\$	140,316		\$	103,996		\$	130,105		\$	100,802	
Average Liabilities and Stockholders Equity												
Short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings	\$	21,052 109,887	6.06% 5.63	\$	3,994 91,668	5.70% 5.65	\$	9,894 111,082	6.16% 5.60	\$	4,186 88,803	5.18% 5.27
Total interest bearing liabilities		130,939	5.70%		95,662	5.65%		120,976	5.65%		92,989	5.26%

Non-interest bearing					
liabilities	4,315	4,110	4,301	3,772	
Stockholders equity	5,062	4,224	4,828	4,041	
Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$	140,316	\$ 103,996	\$ 130,105	\$ 100,802	
Net interest margin	1.	34%	1.41%	1.39%	1.57%

50

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following rate/volume analysis illustrates the relative contribution of changes in interest rates and asset volumes.

	In	crease	A	(Dec ttributab	rease rease) le to Change in		
	(Decrease)		Rate		V	olume	
Three months ended September 30, 2007 vs. three months ended September 30, 2006 Interest income	\$	620	\$	(29)	\$	649	
Interest expense	Ψ	517	Ψ	12	Ψ	505	
Net interest income	\$	103	\$	(41)	\$	144	
Nine months ended September 30, 2007 vs. nine months ended September 30, 2006 Interest income Interest expense	\$	1,621 1,449	\$	142 350	\$	1,479 1,099	
Net interest income	\$	172	\$	(208)	\$	380	

The changes in net interest income are primarily due to fluctuations in the student loan spread discussed below, as well as the growth of our student loan portfolio and the level of cash and investments we may hold on our balance sheet for liquidity purposes. In connection with the Merger Agreement, we increased our liquidity portfolio to higher than historical levels. The liquidity portfolio has a negative net interest margin, so the increase in this portfolio reduced net interest income by approximately \$8 million for the third quarter of 2007. See also Student Loans Student Loan Spread Analysis On-Balance Sheet.

Student Loans

For both federally insured student loans and Private Education Loans, we account for premiums paid, discounts received and certain origination costs incurred on the origination and acquisition of student loans in accordance with SFAS No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases. The unamortized and unaccreted portion of the premiums and discounts, respectively, is included in the carrying value of the student loan on the consolidated balance sheet. We recognize income on our student loan portfolio based on the expected yield of the student loan after giving effect to the amortization of purchase premiums and the accretion of student loan discounts, as well as interest rate reductions and rebates expected to be earned through Borrower Benefits programs. Discounts on Private Education Loans are deferred and accreted to income over the lives of the student loans. In the table below, this accretion of discounts is netted with the amortization of the premiums.

Student Loan Spread

An important performance measure closely monitored by management is the student loan spread. The student loan spread is the difference between the income earned on the student loan assets and the interest paid on the debt funding those assets. A number of factors can affect the overall student loan spread such as:

the mix of student loans in the portfolio, with FFELP Consolidation Loans having the lowest spread and Private Education Loans having the highest spread;

the premiums paid, borrower fees charged and capitalized costs incurred to acquire student loans which impact the spread through subsequent amortization;

the type and level of Borrower Benefits programs for which the student loans are eligible;

51

the level of Floor Income and, when considering the Core Earnings spread, the amount of Floor Income-eligible loans that have been hedged through Floor Income Contracts; and

funding and hedging costs.

The student loan spread is highly susceptible to liquidity, funding and interest rate risk. These risks are discussed separately in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K at LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES and in the RISK FACTORS discussion.

Student Loan Spread Analysis On-Balance Sheet

The following table analyzes the reported earnings from student loans on-balance sheet, before provision and before the effect of Wholesale Consolidation Loans. For an analysis of our student loan spread for the entire portfolio of Managed student loans on a similar basis to the on-balance sheet analysis, see LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT Student Loan Spread Analysis Core Earnings Basis.

	Three Mont Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2007	2006	2007	2006			
On-Balance Sheet							
Student loan yield, before Floor Income	8.13%	8.17%	8.12%	7.86%			
Gross Floor Income	.04	.02	.03	.04			
Consolidation Loan Rebate Fees	(.60)	(.67)	(.61)	(.67)			
Borrower Benefits	(.11)	(.13)	(.12)	(.12)			
Premium and discount amortization	(.15)	(.15)	(.16)	(.14)			
Student loan net yield	7.31	7.24	7.26	6.97			
Student loan cost of funds	(5.62)	(5.64)	(5.59)	(5.25)			
Student loan spread, before Interim ABCP Facility							
$fees^{(1)(2)}$	1.69	1.60	1.67	1.72			
Interim ABCP Facility fees ⁽²⁾	(.06)		(.04)				
Student loan spread ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	1.63%	1.60%	1.63%	1.72%			
Average Balances							
On-balance sheet student loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 106,825	\$ 83,909	\$ 101,891	\$ 82,498			

⁽¹⁾ Excludes the effect of the Wholesale Consolidation Loan portfolio on the student loan spread and average balances.

⁽²⁾ The Interim ABCP Facility fees are the commitment and liquidity fees that related to a new financing facility in connection with the Merger. See Note 12, Merger-Related Developments to the consolidated financial statements.

1.53% 1.59% 1.54% 1.72%

(3) Student loan spread including the effect of Wholesale Consolidation Loans

The table above shows the various items that impact our student loan spread. Gross Floor Income is impacted by the level of interest rates, and the percentage of the FFELP portfolio eligible to earn Floor Income. The spread impact from Consolidation Loan Rebate Fees fluctuates as a function of the percentage of FFELP Consolidation Loans on our balance sheet. Borrower Benefits are generally impacted by the amount of Borrower Benefits being offered as well as the payment behavior of the underlying loans. Premium and discount amortization is generally impacted by the prices we pay for loans and amounts capitalized related to such purchases or originations. Premium and discount amortization is also impacted by prepayment behavior of the underlying loans.

In the second half of 2006, we implemented a new loan acquisition strategy under which we began purchasing FFELP Consolidation Loans outside of our normal origination channels, primarily via the spot market. We refer to this volume as our Wholesale Consolidation Channel. FFELP Consolidation Loans acquired through this channel are considered incremental volume to our core acquisition channels, which are

52

Table of Contents

focused on the retail marketplace with an emphasis on our internal brand strategy. Wholesale Consolidation Loans generally command significantly higher premiums than our originated FFELP Consolidation Loans, and as a result, Wholesale Consolidation Loans have lower spreads. Since Wholesale Consolidation Loans are acquired outside of our core loan acquisition channels and have different yields and return expectations than the rest of our FFELP Consolidation Loan portfolio, we have excluded the impact of the Wholesale Consolidation Loan volume from the student loan spread analysis to provide more meaningful period-over-period comparisons on the performance of our student loan portfolio.

FEDERAL AND STATE TAXES

The Company is subject to federal and state income taxes. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was (33) percent versus 43 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was 40 percent versus 39 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The effective tax rate reflects the permanent impact of the exclusion of the gains or losses on equity forward contracts recognized under SFAS No. 150.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The results of operations of the Company s Lending and Asset Performance Group (APG) operating segments are presented below. These defined business segments operate in distinct business environments and are considered reportable segments under SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, based on quantitative thresholds applied to the Company s consolidated financial statements. In addition, we provide other complementary products and services, including guarantor and student loan servicing, through smaller operating segments that do not meet such thresholds and are aggregated in the Corporate and Other reportable segment for financial reporting purposes.

The management reporting process measures the performance of the Company s operating segments based on the management structure of the Company as well as the methodology used by management to evaluate performance and allocate resources. In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). In addition to evaluating the Company s GAAP-based financial information, management, including the Company s chief operation decision maker, evaluates the performance of the Company s operating segments based on their profitability on a basis that, as allowed under SFAS No. 131, differs from GAAP. We refer to management s basis of evaluating our segment results as Core Earnings presentations for each business segment and we refer to these performance measures in our presentations with credit rating agencies and lenders. Accordingly, information regarding the Company s reportable segments is provided herein based on Core Earnings, which are discussed in detail below.

Our Core Earnings are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Core Earnings net income reflects only current period adjustments to GAAP net income as described below. Unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management reporting and as a result, our management reporting is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution. The Company s operating segments are defined by the products and services they offer or the types of customers they serve, and they reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Intersegment revenues and expenses are netted within the appropriate financial statement line items consistent with the income statement presentation provided to management. Changes in management structure or allocation methodologies and procedures may result in changes in reported segment financial information.

Core Earnings are the primary financial performance measures used by management to develop the Company s financial plans, track results, and establish corporate performance targets and incentive compensation. While Core Earnings are not a substitute for reported results under GAAP, the Company relies on Core Earnings in operating its business because Core Earnings permit management to make meaningful period-to-period comparisons of the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by

53

Table of Contents

management. Management believes this information provides additional insight into the financial performance of the core business activities of our operating segments. Accordingly, the tables presented below reflect. Core Earnings which is reviewed and utilized by management to manage the business for each of the Company is reportable segments. A further discussion regarding. Core Earnings is included under Limitations of Core Earnings and Pre-tax Difference between. Core Earnings and GAAP by Business Segment.

The LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT section includes all discussion of income and related expenses associated with the net interest margin, the student loan spread and its components, the provisions for loan losses, and other fees earned on our Managed portfolio of student loans. The ASSET PERFORMANCE GROUP (APG) BUSINESS SEGMENT section reflects the fees earned and expenses incurred in providing accounts receivable management and collection services. Our CORPORATE AND OTHER BUSINESS SEGMENT section includes our remaining fee businesses and other corporate expenses that do not pertain directly to the primary operating segments identified above.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 Corporate					
	Le	nding	\mathbf{A}	PG		Other
Interest income:						
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans	\$	729	\$		\$	
FFELP Consolidation Loans		1,445				
Private Education Loans		753				
Other loans		26				6
Cash and investments		251				6
Total interest income		3,204				6
Total interest expense		2,534		7		5
Net interest income (loss)		670		(7)		1
Less: provisions for loan losses		200				
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses		470		(7)		1
Fee income				76		46
Collections revenue				53		
Other income		46				63
Total other income		46		129		109
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾		164		94		79
operating expenses		101		, .		,,
Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of						
subsidiaries		352		28		31
Income tax expense ⁽²⁾		130		11		11
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries						
Core Earnings net income	\$	222	\$	17	\$	20

- Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$4 million, \$2 million, and \$2 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.
- (2) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.

54

Three Months Ended

Table of Contents

September 30, 2006 Corporate and Other Lending **APG** Interest income: FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans \$ 702 \$ FFELP Consolidation Loans 1,242 Private Education Loans 558 Other loans 24 Cash and investments 207 3 Total interest income 2,733 3 Total interest expense 2,124 6 4 609 Net interest income (loss) (6)(1) Less: provisions for loan losses 80 Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses 529 (1) (6) 39 Fee income 122 Collections revenue 58 Other income 41 46 Total other income 46 180 80 Operating expenses⁽¹⁾ 156 91 70 Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries 419 9 83 Income tax expense⁽²⁾ 155 31 3 Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries 1 51 \$ Core Earnings net income \$ 264 \$ 6

Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$8 million, \$4 million, and \$4 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.

⁽²⁾ Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.

Nine Months Ended

Table of Contents

September 30, 2007 Corporate and Other Lending **APG** Interest income: FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans \$ \$ 2,143 \$ FFELP Consolidation Loans 4,167 2,104 Private Education Loans Other loans 80 Cash and investments 595 15 Total interest income 9,089 15 Total interest expense 7,125 20 16 1,964 Net interest income (loss) (20)(1) Less: provisions for loan losses 644 1 Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses 1,320 (20)(2) 244 Fee income 115 Collections revenue 196 Other income 150 162 Total other income 150 440 277 Operating expenses⁽¹⁾ 517 284 251 Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of 953 subsidiaries 136 24 Income tax expense⁽²⁾ 352 51 9 2 Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries \$ Core Earnings net income \$ 601 \$ 83 15

Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$26 million, \$9 million, and \$12 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.

⁽²⁾ Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006				
	Lending	APG	Corporate and Other		
Interest income:					
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans	\$ 2,070	\$	\$		
FFELP Consolidation Loans	3,385				
Private Education Loans	1,472				
Other loans	71				
Cash and investments	507		5		
Total interest income	7,505		5		
Total interest expense	5,687	17	6		
Net interest income (loss)	1,818	(17)	(1)		
Less: provisions for loan losses	215		()		
Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses	1,603	(17)	(1)		
Fee income	1,000	304	99		
Collections revenue		182			
Other income	138		95		
Total other income	138	486	194		
Operating expenses ⁽¹⁾	481	266	178		
Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of					
subsidiaries	1,260	203	15		
Income tax expense ⁽²⁾	466	75	6		
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries		4			
Core Earnings net income	\$ 794	\$ 124	\$ 9		

Limitations of Core Earnings

While GAAP provides a uniform, comprehensive basis of accounting, for the reasons described above, management believes that Core Earnings are an important additional tool for providing a more complete understanding of the Company s results of operations. Nevertheless, Core Earnings are subject to certain general and specific limitations that investors should carefully consider. For example, as stated above, unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management reporting. Our Core Earnings are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Unlike GAAP, Core

Operating expenses for the Lending, APG, and Corporate and Other reportable segments include \$26 million, \$9 million, and \$13 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense.

⁽²⁾ Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for each individual reportable segment.

Earnings reflect only current period adjustments to GAAP. Accordingly, the Company s Core Earnings presentation does not represent a comprehensive basis of accounting. Investors, therefore, may not compare our Company s performance with that of other financial services companies based upon Core Earnings. Core Earnings results are only meant to supplement GAAP results by providing additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely used by management, the Company s board of directors, rating agencies and lenders to assess performance.

Other limitations arise from the specific adjustments that management makes to GAAP results to derive Core Earnings results. For example, in reversing the unrealized gains and losses that result from SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, on derivatives that do not

57

qualify for hedge treatment, as well as on derivatives that do qualify but are in part ineffective because they are not perfect hedges, we focus on the long-term economic effectiveness of those instruments relative to the underlying hedged item and isolate the effects of interest rate volatility, changing credit spreads and changes in our stock price on the fair value of such instruments during the period. Under GAAP, the effects of these factors on the fair value of the derivative instruments (but not on the underlying hedged item) tend to show more volatility in the short term. While our presentation of our results on a Core Earnings basis provides important information regarding the performance of our Managed portfolio, a limitation of this presentation is that we are presenting the ongoing spread income on loans that have been sold to a trust managed by us. While we believe that our Core Earnings presentation presents the economic substance of our Managed loan portfolio, it understates earnings volatility from securitization gains. Our Core Earnings results exclude certain Floor Income, which is real cash income, from our reported results and therefore may understate earnings in certain periods. Management s financial planning and valuation of operating results, however, does not take into account Floor Income because of its inherent uncertainty, except when it is economically hedged through Floor Income Contracts.

Pre-tax differences between Core Earnings and GAAP by Business Segment

Our Core Earnings are the primary financial performance measures used by management to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Accordingly, financial information is reported to management on a Core Earnings basis by reportable segment, as these are the measures used regularly by our chief operating decision maker. Our Core Earnings are used in developing our financial plans and tracking results, and also in establishing corporate performance targets and determining incentive compensation. Management believes this information provides additional insight into the financial performance of the Company s core business activities. Core Earnings net income reflects only current period adjustments to GAAP net income, as described in the more detailed discussion of the differences between Core Earnings and GAAP that follows, which includes further detail on each specific adjustment required to reconcile our Core Earnings segment presentation to our GAAP earnings.

	Three Months Ended September 30,											
	2007				2006							
	Le	nding	A	PG		rporate and Other	Le	nding	A	PG	í	porate and other
Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:												
Net impact of securitization accounting	\$	(157)	\$		\$		\$	160	\$		\$	
Net impact of derivative accounting		4				(458)		(14)				(99)
Net impact of Floor Income		(40)						(53)				
Amortization of acquired intangibles		(5)		(5)		(9)		(30)		(5)		(2)
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP	\$	(198)	\$	(5)	\$	(467)	\$	63	\$	(5)	\$	(101)

Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2007			2006			
		Corporate and			Corporate and		
Lending	APG	Other	Lending	APG	Other		

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP:							
Net impact of securitization accounting \$	249	\$	\$	\$ 6	500	\$	\$
Net impact of derivative accounting	130		(74)	1	195		(182)
Net impact of Floor Income	(119)			(1	158)		
Amortization of acquired intangibles	(23)	(14)	(22)	((51)	(13)	(4)
Total Core Earnings adjustments to GAAP \$	237	\$ (14)	\$ (96)	\$ 5	586	\$ (13)	\$ (186)

¹⁾ **Securitization:** Under GAAP, certain securitization transactions in our Lending operating segment are accounted for as sales of assets. Under the Company s Core Earnings presentation for the Lending

operating segment, we present all securitization transactions on a Core Earnings basis as long-term non-recourse financings. The upfront gains on sale from securitization transactions as well as ongoing servicing and securitization revenue presented in accordance with GAAP are excluded from Core Earnings net income and replaced by the interest income, provisions for loan losses, and interest expense as they are earned or incurred on the securitization loans. We also exclude transactions with our off-balance sheet trusts from Core Earnings net income as they are considered intercompany transactions on a Core Earnings basis.

The following table summarizes the securitization adjustments in our Lending operating segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Three I End Septem	ded	Nine M End Septem	led
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Core Earnings securitization adjustments:				
Net interest income on securitized loans, after provisions for loan				
losses	\$ (158)	\$ (216)	\$ (445)	\$ (647)
Gains on student loan securitizations		201	367	902
Servicing and securitization revenue	29	187	414	369
Intercompany transactions with off-balance sheet trusts	(28)	(12)	(87)	(24)
Total Core Earnings securitization adjustments	\$ (157)	\$ 160	\$ 249	\$ 600

2) **Derivative Accounting:** Core Earnings net income excludes periodic unrealized gains and losses arising primarily in our Lending operating segment, and to a lesser degree in our Corporate and Other reportable segment, that are caused primarily by the one-sided mark-to-market derivative valuations prescribed by SFAS No. 133 on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment under GAAP. Under the Company's Core Earnings presentation, we recognize the economic effect of these hedges, which generally results in any cash paid or received being recognized ratably as an expense or revenue over the hedged item's life. Core Earnings also excludes the gain or loss on equity forward contracts that under SFAS No. 133, are required to be accounted for as derivatives and are marked-to-market through earnings.

SFAS No. 133 requires that changes in the fair value of derivative instruments be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria, as specified by SFAS No. 133, are met. We believe that our derivatives are effective economic hedges, and as such, are a critical element of our interest rate risk management strategy. However, some of our derivatives, primarily Floor Income Contracts, certain basis swaps and equity forward contracts (discussed in detail below), do not qualify for hedge treatment as defined by SFAS No. 133, and the stand-alone derivative must be marked-to-market in the income statement with no consideration for the corresponding change in fair value of the hedged item. The gains and losses described in gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net are primarily caused by interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate volatility, changing credit spreads and changes in our stock price during the period as well as the volume and term of derivatives not receiving hedge treatment.

Our Floor Income Contracts are written options that must meet more stringent requirements than other hedging relationships to achieve hedge effectiveness under SFAS No. 133. Specifically, our Floor Income Contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment because the paydown of principal of the student loans underlying the Floor

Income embedded in those student loans does not exactly match the change in the notional amount of our written Floor Income Contracts. Under SFAS No. 133, the upfront payment is deemed a liability and changes in fair value are recorded through income throughout the life of the contract. The change in the value of Floor Income Contracts is primarily caused by changing interest rates that cause the amount of Floor Income earned on the underlying student loans and paid to the counterparties to vary. This is economically offset by the change in value of the student loan portfolio, including our Retained Interests, earning Floor Income but that offsetting change in value is not recognized under SFAS No. 133. We believe the Floor Income Contracts are economic hedges because they effectively fix the amount of Floor Income earned over the contract period, thus eliminating the timing and uncertainty that changes in interest rates can

59

Table of Contents

have on Floor Income for that period. Prior to SFAS No. 133, we accounted for Floor Income Contracts as hedges and amortized the upfront cash compensation ratably over the lives of the contracts.

Basis swaps are used to convert floating rate debt from one interest rate index to another to better match the interest rate characteristics of the assets financed by that debt. We primarily use basis swaps to change the index of our floating rate debt to better match the cash flows of our student loan assets that are primarily indexed to a commercial paper, Prime or Treasury bill index. In addition, we use basis swaps to convert debt indexed to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) to 3-month LIBOR debt. SFAS No. 133 requires that when using basis swaps, the change in the cash flows of the hedge effectively offset both the change in the cash flows of the asset and the change in the cash flows of the liability. Our basis swaps hedge variable interest rate risk, however they do not meet this effectiveness test because our FFELP student loans can earn at either a variable or a fixed interest rate depending on market interest rates. We also have basis swaps that do not meet the SFAS No. 133 effectiveness test that economically hedge off-balance sheet instruments. As a result, under GAAP these swaps are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in the income statement.

Under SFAS No. 150, equity forward contracts that allow a net settlement option either in cash or the Company s stock are required to be accounted for as derivatives in accordance with SFAS No. 133. As a result, we account for our equity forward contracts as derivatives in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and mark them to market through earnings. They do not qualify as effective SFAS No. 133 hedges, as a requirement to achieve hedge accounting is the hedged item must impact net income and the settlement of these contracts through the purchase of our own stock does not impact net income.

The table below quantifies the adjustments for derivative accounting under SFAS No. 133 on our net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 when compared with the accounting principles employed in all years prior to the SFAS No. 133 implementation.

	Three I End Septem	ded	Nine M End Septen	ded
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Core Earnings derivative adjustments: Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net, included in other income ⁽¹⁾ Less: Realized losses on derivative and hedging activities, net ⁽¹⁾	\$ (487) 33	\$ (131) 18	\$ (23) 79	\$ (95) 107
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net ⁽¹⁾ Other pre-SFAS No. 133 accounting adjustments	(454)	(113)	56	12 1
Total net impact of SFAS No. 133 derivative accounting	\$ (454)	\$ (113)	\$ 56	\$ 13

⁽¹⁾ See *Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities* below for a detailed breakdown of the components of both the realized and unrealized losses on derivative and hedging activities.

Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities

SFAS No. 133 requires net settlement income/expense on derivatives and realized gains/losses related to derivative dispositions (collectively referred to as realized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities) that do not qualify as hedges under SFAS No. 133 to be recorded in a separate income statement line item below net interest income. The table below summarizes the realized losses on derivative and hedging activities, and where they are reclassified to on a Core Earnings basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2	2007	2	006	2	007	2	006
Reclassification of realized losses on derivative and hedging activities: Net settlement expense on Floor Income Contracts reclassified to net interest income Net settlement expense on interest rate swaps reclassified to net interest income	\$	(14) (19)	\$	(8) (10)	\$	(31) (48)	\$	(41) (66)
Total reclassifications of realized losses on derivative and hedging activities Add: Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net ⁽¹⁾		(33) (454)		(18) (113)		(79) 56		(107) 12
Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net	\$	(487)	\$	(131)	\$	(23)	\$	(95)

Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net is comprised of the following unrealized mark-to-market gains (losses):

	En	Months ded iber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Floor Income Contracts	\$ (149)	\$ (90)	\$ (63)	\$ 142	
Equity forward contracts	(458)	(99)	(74)	(182)	
Basis swaps	132	98	154	30	
Other	21	(22)	39	22	

Total unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net

\$ (454) \$ (113)

13) \$ 56

\$ 12

Unrealized gains and losses on Floor Income Contracts are primarily caused by changes in interest rates. In general, an increase in interest rates results in an unrealized gain and vice versa. Unrealized gains and losses on equity forward contracts fluctuate with changes in the Company s stock price. Unrealized gains and losses on basis swaps result from changes in the spread between indices, and differences in the repricing frequency of the pay and receive legs of the basis swaps.

3) **Floor Income:** The timing and amount (if any) of Floor Income earned in our Lending operating segment is uncertain and in excess of expected spreads. Therefore, we exclude such income from Core Earnings net income when it is not economically hedged. We employ derivatives, primarily Floor Income Contracts and futures, to economically hedge Floor Income. As discussed above in Derivative Accounting, these derivatives do not qualify as effective accounting hedges and therefore, under GAAP, they are marked-to-market through the gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net line on the income statement with no offsetting gain or loss recorded for the economically hedged items. For Core Earnings net income, we reverse the fair value adjustments on the Floor Income Contracts and futures economically hedging Floor Income and include the amortization of net premiums received (net of Eurodollar futures contracts realized gains or losses) in income.

61

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Floor Income adjustments in our Lending operating segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

		Three M End Septem	led		Nine Months E September 3			
	20	007	2	006	2	2007	2	2006
Core Earnings Floor Income adjustments:								
Floor Income earned on Managed loans, net of payments on Floor								
Income Contracts	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Amortization of net premiums on Floor Income Contracts and								
futures in net interest income		(40)		(53)		(119)		(158)
Total Core Earnings Floor Income adjustments	\$	(40)	\$	(53)	\$	(119)	\$	(158)

⁴⁾ **Acquired Intangibles:** We exclude goodwill and intangible impairment and amortization of acquired intangibles. These amounts totaled \$19 million and \$37 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$59 million and \$68 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT

In our Lending business segment, we originate and acquire federally guaranteed student loans, which are administered by ED, and Private Education Loans, which are not federally guaranteed. Most of our Private Education Loans are made in conjunction with a FFELP Stafford loan and as a result are marketed through the same marketing channels as FFELP Stafford loans. While FFELP student loans and Private Education Loans have different overall risk profiles due to the federal guarantee of the FFELP student loans, they share many of the same characteristics such as similar repayment terms, the same marketing channel and sales force, and are originated and serviced on the same servicing platform. Finally, where possible, the borrower receives a single bill for both the federally guaranteed and privately underwritten loans.

The following table summarizes the Core Earnings results of operations for our Lending business segment.

	Three Months				9	,		Nine M	Iont	hs	%		
	S	Ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease) 2007 vs.		Ended September 30,				Incre (Decr 2007	ease ease)		
	20	07	4	2006	200	06	20	007	2	2006	200	06	
Core Earnings interest income: FFELP Stafford and Other Student													
	\$	729	\$	702		4%	\$ 2	2,143	\$	2,070		4%	
FFELP Consolidation Loans	1	,445		1,242		16	. 4	4,167		3,385		23	
Private Education Loans		753		558		35	,	2,104		1,472		43	
Other loans		26		24		8		80		71		13	
Cash and investments		251		207		21		595		507		17	
Total Core Earnings interest income	3	,204		2,733		17	9	9,089		7,505		21	
Total Core Earnings interest expense		,534		2,124		19	,	7,125		5,687		25	
Net Core Earnings interest income		670		609		10		1,964		1,818		8	
Less: provisions for loan losses		200		80		150		644		215		200	
Net Core Earnings interest income													
after provisions for loan losses		470		529		(11)		1,320		1,603		(18)	
Other income		46		46		. ,		150		138		9	
Operating expenses		164		156		5		517		481		7	
Income before income taxes and minority interest in net earnings of													
subsidiaries		352		419		(16)		953		1,260		(24)	
Income tax expense		130		155		(16)		352		466		(24)	
Core Earnings net income	\$	222	\$	264		(16)%	\$	601	\$	794		(24)%	

Summary of our Managed Student Loan Portfolio

The following tables summarize the components of our Managed student loan portfolio and show the changing composition of our portfolio.

Ending Balances, net

	September 30, 2007									
	FFELP Stafford	FFELI			Pı	rivate				
	and	Consolida	tion		Edu	ucation				
	Other ⁽¹⁾	Loans]	Total FFELP	L	oans		Total		
On-balance sheet:										
In-school	\$ 14,114	\$	\$	14,114	\$	6,219	\$	20,333		
Grace and repayment	19,154	70,0	082	89,236		8,344		97,580		
Total on-balance sheet, gross On-balance sheet unamortized	33,268	70,0	082	103,350		14,563		117,913		
premium/(discount) On-balance sheet allowance for	871	1,3	316	2,187		(433)		1,754		
losses	(31)		(27)	(58)		(454)		(512)		
Total on-balance sheet, net	34,108	71,3	371	105,479		13,676		119,155		
Off-balance sheet:										
In-school	1,197			1,197		3,446		4,643		
Grace and repayment	8,814	16,2	216	25,030		10,834		35,864		
Total off-balance sheet, gross Off-balance sheet unamortized	10,011	16,2	216	26,227		14,280		40,507		
premium/(discount) Off-balance sheet allowance for	167	2	188	655		(338)		317		
losses	(16)		(5)	(21)		(199)		(220)		
Total off-balance sheet, net	10,162	16,6	599	26,861		13,743		40,604		
Total Managed	\$ 44,270	\$ 88,0	\$	132,340	\$	27,419	\$	159,759		
% of on-balance sheet FFELP	32%		68%	100%						
% of Managed FFELP	33%		67%	100%		~		100~		
% of total	28%		55%	83%		17%		100%		

		December 31, 2006	
FFELP	FFELP		Private
	Consolidation	1	Education

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Stafford and

	Other ⁽¹⁾	Lo	oans	Total FFELP	-	Loans	Total
On-balance sheet: In-school Grace and repayment	\$ 9,745 14,530		60,348	\$ 9,745 74,878	\$	4,353 6,075	\$ 14,098 80,953
Total on-balance sheet, gross On-balance sheet unamortized premium/(discount)	24,275 575		60,348 988	84,623 1,563		10,428 (365)	95,051 1,198
On-balance sheet allowance for losses	(9		(12)	(21)		(308)	(329)
Total on-balance sheet, net	24,841		61,324	86,165		9,755	95,920
Off-balance sheet: In-school Grace and repayment	2,047 12,747		17,817	2,047 30,564		3,892 9,330	5,939 39,894
Total off-balance sheet, gross Off-balance sheet unamortized	14,794		17,817	32,611		13,222	45,833
premium/(discount) Off-balance sheet allowance for	244		497	741		(303)	438
losses	(10)	(3)	(13)		(86)	(99)
Total off-balance sheet, net	15,028		18,311	33,339		12,833	46,172
Total Managed	\$ 39,869	\$	79,635	\$ 119,504	\$	22,588	\$ 142,092
% of on-balance sheet FFELP % of Managed FFELP % of total	29 33 28	%	71% 67% 56%	100% 100% 84%		16%	100%

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans, but also includes federally insured PLUS and HEAL loans.

Average Balances:

	FFEL Staffor			Three Month	s En	ded Septemb		0, 2007 Private		
	and					E	ducation			
	Other ⁽	(1)	-	Loans		Total FFELP		Loans		Total
On-balance sheet Off-balance sheet	\$ 32,5 10,6		\$	69,289 16,881	\$	101,865 27,548	\$	12,706 13,978	\$	114,571 41,526
Total Managed	\$ 43,2	43	\$	86,170	\$	129,413	\$	26,684	\$	156,097
% of on-balance sheet FFELP % of Managed FFELP % of Total		32% 33% 28%		68% 67% 55%		100% 100% 83%		17%		100%
	FFELP Stafford		Three Months		s En	s Ended September 30, 2006 Private				
	and			solidation			E	ducation		
	Other ⁽	(1)	-	Loans	-	Total FFELP		Loans		Total
On-balance sheet Off-balance sheet	\$ 21,1 18,5		\$	54,968 17,538	\$	76,162 36,096	\$	8,079 12,130	\$	84,241 48,226
Total Managed	\$ 39,7	52	\$	72,506	\$	112,258	\$	20,209	\$	132,467
% of on-balance sheet FFELP % of Managed FFELP % of Total		28% 35% 30%		72% 65% 55%		100% 100% 85%		15%		100%
	FFEL Staffor			Nine Months	s End	ded Septemb), 2007 Private		
	and		Con	solidation		Total	E	ducation		
	Other ⁽	(1)	-	Loans	-	FFELP		Loans		Total
On-balance sheet Off-balance sheet	\$ 30,1 12,1		\$	66,590 17,415	\$	96,696 29,549	\$	11,664 13,646	\$	108,360 43,195
Total Managed	\$ 42,2	40	\$	84,005	\$	126,245	\$	25,310	\$	151,555

% of on-balance sheet FFELP	31%	69%	100%		
% of Managed FFELP	33%	67%	100%		
% of Total	28%	55%	83%	17%	100%

			, 2006							
		FFELP tafford	FFELP Consolidation							
		and					lucation			
	C	Other ⁽¹⁾		Loans]	Total FFELP]	Loans		Total
On-balance sheet Off-balance sheet	\$	20,432 20,791	\$	53,830 14,706	\$	74,262 35,497	\$	8,348 10,530	\$	82,610 46,027
Total Managed	\$	41,223	\$	68,536	\$	109,759	\$	18,878	\$	128,637
% of on-balance sheet FFELP		28%		72%		100%				
% of Managed FFELP		38%		62%		100%				
% of Total		32%		53%		85%		15%		100%

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans, but also includes federally insured PLUS and HEAL loans.

Net Interest Income

The changes in net interest income are primarily due to fluctuations in the student loan spread discussed below, as well as the growth of our student loan portfolio and the level of cash and investments we may hold on our balance sheet for liquidity purposes. In connection with the Merger Agreement, we increased our liquidity portfolio to higher than historical levels. The liquidity portfolio has a negative net interest margin, so the increase in this portfolio reduced net interest income by \$8 million for the third quarter of 2007.

Student Loan Spread Analysis Core Earnings Basis

The following table analyzes the earnings from our portfolio of Managed student loans on a Core Earnings basis (see BUSINESS SEGMENTS Pre-tax Differences between Core Earnings and GAAP). The Core Earnings Basis student loan spread analysis presentation and certain components used in the calculation differ from the on-balance sheet student loan spread analysis presentation. The Core Earnings basis presentation, when compared to our on-balance sheet presentation, is different in that it:

includes the net interest margin related to our off-balance sheet student loan securitization trusts. This includes any related fees or costs such as the Consolidation Loan Rebate Fees, premium/discount amortization and Borrower Benefits yield adjustments;

includes the reclassification of certain derivative net settlement amounts. The net settlements on certain derivatives that do not qualify as SFAS No. 133 hedges are recorded as part of the gain (loss) on derivative and hedging activities, net line item on the income statement and are therefore not recognized in the student loan spread. Under this presentation, these gains and losses are reclassified to the income statement line item of the economically hedged item. For our Core Earnings basis student loan spread, this would primarily include: (a) reclassifying the net settlement amounts related to our written Floor Income Contracts to student loan interest income and (b) reclassifying the net settlement amounts related to certain of our basis swaps to debt interest expense;

excludes unhedged Floor Income earned on the Managed student loan portfolio; and

includes the amortization of upfront payments on Floor Income Contracts in student loan income that we believe are economically hedging the Floor Income.

As discussed above, these differences result in the Core Earnings basis student loan spread not being a GAAP-basis presentation. Management relies on this measure to manage our Lending business segment. Specifically, management uses the Core Earnings basis student loan spread to evaluate the overall economic effect that certain factors have on our student loans either on-balance sheet or off-balance sheet. These factors include the overall mix of student loans in our portfolio, acquisition costs, Borrower Benefits program costs, Floor Income and funding and hedging costs.

Management believes that it is important to evaluate all of these factors on a Managed Basis to gain additional information about the economic effect of these factors on our student loans under management. Management believes that this additional information assists us in making strategic decisions about the Company s business model for the Lending business segment, including among other factors, how we acquire or originate student loans, how we fund acquisitions and originations, what Borrower Benefits we offer and what type of loans we purchase or originate. While management believes that the Core Earnings basis student loan spread is an important tool for evaluating the Company s performance for the reasons described above, it is subject to certain general and specific limitations that investors should carefully consider. See BUSINESS SEGMENTS Limitations of Core Earnings. One specific limitation is that the Core Earnings basis student loan spread includes the spread on loans that we have sold to

66

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the Core Earnings basis student loan spreads before provision and before the effect of Wholesale Consolidation Loans (except as otherwise noted).

	Sep	Three Mo tember 30, 2007	Ended tember 30, 2006	Sep	Nine Mor tember 30, 2007	Ended tember 30, 2006
Core Earnings basis student loan yield		8.31%	8.33%		8.31%	7.99%
Consolidation Loan Rebate Fees		(.54)	(.56)		(.55)	(.55)
Borrower Benefits		(.10)	(.11)		(.11)	(.08)
Premium and discount amortization		(.15)	(.16)		(.16)	(.16)
Core Earnings basis student loan net yield Core Earnings basis student loan cost of		7.52	7.50		7.49	7.20
funds		(5.71)	(5.70)		(5.68)	(5.36)
Core Earnings basis student loan spread, before Interim ABCP Facility fees ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Interim ABCP Facility fees ⁽²⁾		1.81 (.04)	1.80		1.81 (.03)	1.84
Core Earnings basis student loan spread $^{(1)(3)}$		1.77%	1.80%		1.78%	1.84%
Core Earnings basis student loan spread by product: FFELP Loan Spread, before Interim ABCP	ds					
Facility fees ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		1.02%	1.17%		1.06%	1.28%
Private Education Loan Spread, before Interim ABCP Facility fees ⁽²⁾ Private Education Loan Spread, after		5.43	5.25		5.33	5.08
provision and before Interim ABCP Facility fees ⁽²⁾		3.29	3.83		2.33	3.70
Average Balances: On-balance sheet student loans ⁽¹⁾ Off-balance sheet student loans	\$	106,825 41,526	\$ 83,909 48,226	\$	101,891 43,195	\$ 82,498 46,055
Managed student loans	\$	148,351	\$ 132,135	\$	145,086	\$ 128,553

⁽¹⁾ Excludes the effect of the Wholesale Consolidation Loan portfolio on the student loan spread and average balances.

The Interim ABCP Facility fees are the commitment and liquidity fees that related to a new financing facility in connection with the Merger. See Note 12, Merger-Related Developments to the consolidated financial statements.

Core Earnings basis student loan 1.69% 1.79% 1.71% 1.84% spread including the effect of

Wholesale Consolidation Loans

The Company s Core Earnings basis student loan spread before Interim ABCP Facility fees and the impact of Wholesale Consolidation Loans remained relatively consistent over all periods presented above. The primary drivers of changes in the spread are changes in portfolio composition, Borrower Benefits, premium amortization, and cost of funds. The FFELP loan spread declined over all periods presented above as the mix of the FFELP portfolio shifted toward the lower yielding Consolidation Loan product. The Private Education Loan spreads before provision continued to increase due primarily to a change in the mix of the portfolio to more direct-to-consumer loans (Tuition AnswerSM loans). The changes in the Private Education Loan spreads after provision for all periods was primarily due to the timing and amount of provision associated with our allowance for Private Education Loan Losses as discussed below in Private Education Loans Allowance for Private Education Loan Losses.

67

Table of Contents

The following table presents a projection of the average Managed balance of FFELP Consolidation Loans for which its Fixed Rate Floor Income has already been economically hedged through Floor Income Contracts for the period October 1, 2007 to June 30, 2010. These loans are both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet and the related hedges do not qualify under SFAS No. 133 accounting as effective hedges.

	October 1, 2007 to			
(Dollars in billions)	December 31, 2007	2008	2009	2010
Average balance of FFELP Consolidation Loans whose Floor Income is economically hedged (Managed Basis)	\$ 16	\$ 15	\$ 10	\$ 2

Private Education Loans

All Private Education Loans are initially acquired on-balance sheet. In securitizations of Private Education Loans that are treated as sales, the loans are no longer owned by us, and they are accounted for off-balance sheet. For our Managed Basis presentation in the table below, when Private Education Loans are sold to securitization trusts, we reduce the on-balance sheet allowance for loan losses for amounts previously provided and then re-establish the allowance for these loans in the off-balance sheet section. The total allowance of both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet loan losses results in the Managed Basis allowance for loan losses. The off- balance sheet allowance is lower than the on-balance sheet allowance when measured as a percentage of ending loans in repayment because of the different mix of loans on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet.

When Private Education Loans in our securitized trusts that settled before September 30, 2005, become 180 days delinquent, we typically exercise our contingent call option to repurchase these loans at par value out of the trust and record a loss (which is reflected in losses on loans and securities, net in the income statement) for the difference in the par value paid and the fair market value of the loan at the time of purchase. If these loans reach the 212-day delinquency, a charge-off for the remaining balance of the loan is triggered. On a Managed Basis, the losses recorded under GAAP for loans repurchased at day 180 are reversed and the full amount is charged off in the month in which the loan is 212 days delinquent. We do not hold the contingent call option for all trusts settled after September 30, 2005 and as such, the loans are charged off in these trusts.

68

Activity in the Allowance for Private Education Loan Losses

The provision for student loan losses represents the periodic expense of maintaining an allowance sufficient to absorb losses, net of recoveries, inherent in the portfolio of Private Education Loans.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for Private Education Loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

T Septe	hree Mor mber 30,	nce Sh nths E Septe	eet nded mber 30, S	T Septe	Off-Bala hree Mo mber 30,	nce Sh nths E Septe	eet nded mber 30, S	T Septe	Manago Three Moi mber 30,	nths]	Ended
\$ es	428 100 (82) 8	\$	252 58 (37) 6	\$	183 44 (28)	\$	92 14 (10)	\$	611 144 (110) 8	\$	344 72 (47) 6
	(74) 454		(31) 279		(28) 199		(10) 96		(102) 653		(41) 375
\$	454	\$	(4) 275	\$	199	\$	4 100	\$	653	\$	375
2	5.12%		3.19%		1.60%		.68%		3.16%		1.70%
	4.61% 3.21%		2.95% 3.24%		1.38% 1.43%		.59%		2.78%2.33%		1.52% 1.74%
	T Septe 2 2 \$	Three Mor September 30, 2007 \$ 428 \$ 100 (82) 8 (74) 454 \$ 454	On-Balance Sh Three Months E September 30, Septem 2007 2 \$ 428 \$ \$ 100 (82) 8 (74) 454 \$ 454 \$ \$ 454 \$	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, Septembe	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, September 30, September 2007 2006 2 \$ 428 \$ 252 \$ \$ 100	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, September 30, September 30, 2007 \$ 428 \$ 252 \$ 183 S 100 58 44 (82) (37) (28) 8 6 (74) (31) (28) 454 279 199 (4) \$ 454 \$ 275 \$ 199 4.61% 2.95% 1.38%	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, Septembe	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended Three Months Ended September 30, September	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended Off-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended Three Months Ended Table of Three Months Ended Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended September 30, September 3	On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Allowance as a percentage of ending loans in repayment Average coverage of net charge-offs	7.70%	6.91%	2.88%	1.79%	5.10%	3.92%	
(annualized)	1.56	2.22	1.74	2.62	1.61	2.32	
Average total loans	\$ 12,706	\$ 8,079	\$ 13,978	\$ 12,130	\$ 26,684	\$ 20,209	
Ending total loans Average loans in	\$ 14,130	\$ 8,497	\$ 13,942	\$ 13,079	\$ 28,072	\$ 21,576	
repayment Ending loans in	\$ 5,696	\$ 3,879	\$ 7,124	\$ 5,667	\$ 12,820	\$ 9,546	
repayment	\$ 5,896	\$ 3,980	\$ 6,903	\$ 5,603	\$ 12,799	\$ 9,583	

69

		On-Balar Nine Mont	ths l	Sheet Ended		Off-Balar Nine Mon	nce ths l			Manage Nine Mon	ths	Ended
	горо	2007	o p	2006	·	2007	o P	2006	·P·	2007	очр	2006
Allowance at beginning of period Provision for Private	\$	308	\$	204	\$	86	\$	78	\$	394	\$	282
Education Loan losses Charge-offs Recoveries		380 (251) 23		175 (105) 18		186 (79)		19 (14)		566 (330) 23		194 (119) 18
Net charge-offs		(228)		(87)		(79)		(14)		(307)		(101)
Balance before securitization of Private Education Loans Reduction for securitization of Private		460		292		193		83		653		375
Education Loans		(6)		(17)		6		17				
Allowance at end of period	\$	454	\$	275	\$	199	\$	100	\$	653	\$	375
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) Net charge-offs as a percentage of average		5.69%		3.06%		1.53%		.36%		3.36%		1.51%
loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized	.)	5.18%		2.82%		1.33%		.31%		2.98%		1.35%
Allowance as a percentage of the ending total loan balance Allowance as a	3	3.21%		3.24%		1.43%		.77%		2.33%		1.74%
percentage of ending loans in repayment Average coverage of ne	f	7.70%		6.91%		2.88%		1.79%		5.10%		3.92%
charge-offs (annualized) Average total loans Ending total loans		1.49 11,664 14,130	\$ \$	2.35 8,348 8,497	\$ \$	1.89 13,646 13,942	\$ \$	5.44 10,530 13,079	\$ \$	1.59 25,310 28,072	\$ \$	2.77 18,878 21,576
Average loans in repayment	\$	5,373	\$	3,821	\$	6,848	\$	5,127	\$	12,221	\$	8,948
Ending loans in repayment	\$	5,896	\$	3,980	\$	6,903	\$	5,603	\$	12,799	\$	9,583

Toward the end of 2006 and through mid-2007, we experienced lower pre-default collections, resulting in increased levels of charge-off activity in our Private Education Loan portfolio. As this portfolio seasons and due to shifts in its mix and certain economic factors, we expected and have seen charge-off rates increase from the historically low levels experienced in prior years. Additionally, the increase was significantly impacted by other factors. In the second half of 2006, we relocated responsibility for certain Private Education Loan collections from our Nevada call center to a new call center in Indiana. This transfer presented us with unexpected operational challenges that resulted in lower collections that have negatively impacted the Private Education Loan portfolio. In addition, in late 2006, APG also revised certain procedures, including its use of forbearance, to better optimize our long-term collection strategies. These developments have resulted in increased later stage delinquency levels and associated higher charge-offs.

We have been aggressively remediating these issues, including transferring experienced collection personnel to the new call center and conducting extensive training and monitoring. Beginning in mid-2007, APG also instituted more precise analytic collection strategies and new systematic enhancements to better manage the challenges posed by the volume, seasoning and shift in the portfolio mix. Due to the remedial actions in place, we anticipate the negative trends caused by the operational difficulties will improve over the remainder of 2007 and 2008.

70

The anticipated level of delinquency and net charge-offs into 2008 is reflected in higher loss provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The higher provisioning occurred predominantly in the first and second quarters of 2007 using increased projected default rates which stabilized in the third quarter of 2007. Through our status-based allowance methodology, the provision is correlated to both the current level of delinquency in the portfolio and the expected rate of charge-off associated with each repayment status category. The gross charge-off rates are reduced by the expected life-of-loan recoveries anticipated on the charged-off portfolio to arrive at a net charge-off expectation.

Delinquencies

The tables below present our Private Education Loan delinquency trends as of September 30, 2007 and 2006. Delinquencies have the potential to adversely impact earnings through increased servicing and collection costs in the event the delinquent accounts charge off.

			nce Sheet P Loan Delin	Private Educa quencies	tion
	September 30, Se 2007				er 30,
	Ba	alance	%	Balance	%
Loans in-school/grace/deferment ⁽¹⁾	\$	7,966		\$ 4,497	
Loans in forbearance ⁽²⁾		701		341	
Loans in repayment and percentage of each status:					
Loans current		5,186	88.0%	3,462	87.0%
Loans delinquent 31-60 days ⁽³⁾		275	4.7	209	5.3
Loans delinquent 61-90 days ⁽³⁾		156	2.6	121	3.0
Loans delinquent greater than 90 days ⁽³⁾		279	4.7	188	4.7
Total Private Education Loans in repayment		5,896	100%	3,980	100%
Total Private Education Loans, gross		14,563		8,818	
Private Education Loan unamortized discount		(433)		(321)	
Total Private Education Loans		14,130		8,497	
Private Education Loan allowance for losses		(454)		(275)	
Private Education Loans, net	\$	13,676		\$ 8,222	
Percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment			40.5%		45.1%
Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment			12.0%		13.0%
Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance			10.6%		7.9%

(1)

Loans for borrowers who still may be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.

- (2) Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making full payments due to hardship or other factors, consistent with the established loan program servicing policies and procedures.
- (3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

71

Off-Balance Sheet Private Education

Table of Contents

			Loan Delir			1011
	September 30, 2007			•	Septembe 2006	
	Ba	alance	%	В	alance	%
Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1)	\$	6,126		\$	6,861	
Loans in forbearance ⁽²⁾		1,251			901	
Loans in repayment and percentage of each status:						
Loans current		6,524	94.5%		5,281	94.3%
Loans delinquent 31-60 days ⁽³⁾		192	2.8		164	2.9
Loans delinquent 61-90 days ⁽³⁾		71	1.0		68	1.2
Loans delinquent greater than 90 days ⁽³⁾		116	1.7		90	1.6
Total Private Education Loans in repayment		6,903	100%		5,603	100%
Total Private Education Loans, gross		14,280			13,365	
Private Education Loan unamortized discount		(338)			(286)	
Total Private Education Loans		13,942			13,079	
Private Education Loan allowance for losses		(199)			(100)	
Private Education Loans, net	\$	13,743		\$	12,979	
Percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment			48.3%			41.9%
Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment			5.5%			5.7%
Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance			15.3%			13.9%

	_	Loan Delin	rivate Education equencies Septembe 2006	er 30,
	Balance	%	Balance	%
Loans in-school/grace/deferment ⁽¹⁾ Loans in forbearance ⁽²⁾	\$ 14,092 1,952		\$ 11,358 1,242	
Loans in repayment and percentage of each status:	1,752		1,272	
Loans current	11,710	91.5%	8,743	91.2%
Loans delinquent 31-60 days ⁽³⁾	467	3.6	373	3.9
Loans delinquent 61-90 days ⁽³⁾	227	1.8	189	2.0
Loans delinquent greater than 90 days ⁽³⁾	395	3.1	278	2.9

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Private Education Loans in repayment	12,799	100%	9,583	100%
Total Private Education Loans, gross Private Education Loan unamortized discount	28,843 (771)		22,183 (607)	
Total Private Education Loans Private Education Loan allowance for losses	28,072 (653)		21,576 (375)	
Private Education Loans, net	\$ 27,419		\$ 21,201	
Percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment		44.4%		43.2%
Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment		8.5%		8.8%
Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance		13.2%		11.5%

⁽¹⁾ Loans for borrowers who still may be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.

72

⁽²⁾ Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making full payments due to hardship or other factors, consistent with the established loan program servicing policies and procedures.

⁽³⁾ The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

Table of Contents

Forbearance Managed Basis Private Education Loans

Private Education Loans are made to parent and student borrowers in accordance with our underwriting policies. These loans generally supplement federally guaranteed student loans, which are subject to federal lending caps. Private Education Loans are not federally guaranteed nor insured against any loss of principal or interest. Traditional student borrowers use the proceeds of these loans to obtain higher education, which increases the likelihood of obtaining employment at higher income levels than would be available without the additional education. As a result, the borrowers repayment capability improves between the time the loan is made and the time they enter the post-education work force. We generally allow the loan repayment period on traditional higher education Private Education Loans to begin six months after the borrower leaves school (consistent with our federally regulated FFELP loans). This provides the borrower time after graduation to obtain a job to service the debt. For borrowers that need more time or experience other hardships, we permit additional delays in payment or partial payments (both referred to as forbearances) when we believe additional time will improve the borrower s ability to repay the loan. Forbearance is also granted to borrowers who may experience temporary hardship after entering repayment, when we believe that it will increase the likelihood of ultimate collection of the loan. Such forbearance is granted within established policies that include limits on the number of forbearance months granted consecutively and limits on the total number of forbearance months granted over the life of the loan. In some instances of forbearance, we require good-faith payments or continuing partial payments. Exceptions to forbearance policies are permitted in limited circumstances and only when such exceptions are judged to increase the likelihood of ultimate collection of the loan.

Forbearance does not grant any reduction in the total repayment obligation (principal or interest) but does allow for the temporary cessation of borrower payments (on a prospective and/or retroactive basis) or a reduction in monthly payments for an agreed period of time. The forbearance period extends the original term of the loan. While the loan is in forbearance, interest continues to accrue and is capitalized as principal upon the loan re-entering repayment status. Loans exiting forbearance into repayment status are considered current regardless of their previous delinquency status.

Forbearance is used most heavily immediately after the loan enters repayment. As a result, forbearance levels are impacted by the timing of loans entering repayment and are generally at higher levels in the first quarter. As indicated in the tables below that show the composition and status of the Managed Private Education Loan portfolio by number of months aged from the first date of repayment, the percentage of loans in forbearance decreases the longer the loans have been in repayment. At September 30, 2007, loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance are 16.9 percent for loans that have been in repayment one to twenty-four months. The percentage drops to 5.0 percent for loans that have been in repayment more than 48 months. Approximately 77 percent of our Managed Private Education Loans in forbearance have been in repayment less than 24 months. These borrowers are essentially extending their grace period as they transition to the workforce. Forbearance continues to be a positive collection tool for the Private Education Loans as we believe it can provide the borrower with sufficient time to obtain employment and income to support his or her obligation. Forbearance policies were tightened in late 2006 and no additional policy changes have taken place to date. The increase in use of forbearance is attributed to improved borrower contact procedures and current economic conditions. Loans in forbearance are reserved commensurate with the default expectation of this specific loan status.

73

The tables below show the composition and status of the Private Education Loan portfolio by number of months aged from the first date of repayment:

		Months Si	ince Entering	Repayment After	
	1 to 24	25 to 48	More than 48	Sept. 30,	
September 30, 2007	Months	Months	Months	2007(1)	Total
Loans in-school/grace/deferment Loans in forbearance Loans in repayment Oddys Loans in repayment Oddys Loans in repayment Oddys delinquent 61-90 days delinquent greater than	\$ 1,496 6,733 269 145	\$ 339 2,916 126 53	\$ 117 2,061 72 29 65	\$ 14,092	\$ 14,092 1,952 11,710 467 227
Total	\$ 8,858	\$ 3,549	\$ 2,344	\$ 14,092	\$ 28,843
Unamortized discount Allowance for loan losses					(771) (653)
Total Managed Private Education Loans, net					\$ 27,419
Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance	16.9%	9.6%	5.0%		% 13.2%

⁽¹⁾ Includes all loans in-school/grace/deferment.

			Months S	Since Entering		
		1 to 24	25 to 48	More than 48	After Sept. 30,	
September 30, 2006		Months	Months	Months	$2006^{(1)}$	Total
Loans in-school/grace	e/deferment	\$	\$	\$	\$ 11,358	\$ 11,358
Loans in forbearance		956	203	83		1,242
Loans in repayment	current	5,055	2,050	1,638		8,743
Loans in repayment	delinquent 31-60 days	208	94	71		373
Loans in repayment	delinquent 61-90 days	120	41	28		189
Loans in repayment	delinquent greater than					
90 days		156	77	45		278

Total	\$ 6,495	\$ 2,465	\$ 1,865	\$ 11,358	3	\$ 22,183
Unamortized discount Allowance for loan losses						(607) (375)
Total Managed Private Education Loans, net						\$ 21,201
Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance	14.7%	8.2%	4.5%		%	11.5%

(1) Includes all loans in-school/grace/deferment.

The table below stratifies the portfolio of loans in forbearance by the cumulative number of months the borrower has used forbearance as of the dates indicated. As detailed in the table below, 6 percent of loans currently in forbearance have deferred their loan repayment more than 24 months, which is 1 percent lower versus the year-ago quarter.

	i	Septembe 2007	,	September 30, 2006			
Cumulative number of months borrower has used forbearance		bearance alance	% of Total		bearance alance	% of Total	
Up to 12 months 13 to 24 months More than 24 months	\$	1,373 473 106	70% 24 6	\$	902 259 81	72% 21 7	
Total	\$	1,952	100%	\$	1,242	100%	

Total Provisions for Loan Losses

The following tables summarize the total loan provisions on both an on-balance sheet basis and a Managed Basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

Total on-balance sheet loan provisions

		Three M End Septem	led			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	007	20	006	2	007	2	2006	
Private Education Loans	\$	100	\$	58	\$	380	\$	175	
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans		38		3		49		9	
Mortgage and consumer loans		5		6		12		11	
Total on-balance sheet provisions for loan losses	\$	143	\$	67	\$	441	\$	195	

Total Managed Basis loan provisions

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	007	20	006	2	007	2	2006	
Private Education Loans FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans Mortgage and consumer loans	\$	144 51 5	\$	72 2 6	\$	566 69 9	\$	194 12 9	
Total Managed Basis provisions for loan losses	\$	200	\$	80	\$	644	\$	215	

The third quarter 2007 FFELP provision included cumulative adjustments of non-recurring provision expense of \$30 million and \$44 million for on-balance sheet and Managed student loans, respectively, related to the repeal of the Exceptional Performer program (and the resulting increase in our Risk Sharing allowance) due to the passage of the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 on September 27, 2007 (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Other Developments *Exceptional Performer*).

Total Loan Net Charge-offs

The following tables summarize the net charge-offs for all loan types on both an on-balance sheet basis and a Managed Basis for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. The majority of Private Education Loan charge-offs occur on-balance sheet due to the contingent call feature in off-balance sheet securitization trusts that settled before September 30, 2005, which is discussed in more detail at LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT Private Education Loans.

Total on-balance sheet loan net charge-offs

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	20	007	20	006	2	007	20	006	
Private Education Loans FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans	\$	74 4	\$	31 1	\$	228 13	\$	87 3	
Mortgage and consumer loans		3		1		7		4	
Total on-balance sheet loan net charge-offs	\$	81	\$	33	\$	248	\$	94	

75

Total Managed loan net charge-offs

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	007	20	006	2	007	2	2006	
Private Education Loans	\$	102	\$	41	\$	307	\$	101	
FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans Mortgage and consumer loans		3		1 1		24 7		3	
Total Managed loan net charge-offs	\$	112	\$	43	\$	338	\$	108	

The increase in net charge-offs on FFELP Stafford and Other student loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the year-ago period was the result of a legislative change in 2006 which lowered the federal guaranty on claims filed to 99 percent from 100 percent. See LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT Allowance for Private Education Loan Losses for a discussion of net charge-offs related to our Private Education Loans.

Student Loan Premiums as a Percentage of Principal

The following table presents student loan premiums paid as a percentage of the principal balance of student loans acquired for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	7	Γhree Μ 200 ′	Ionths En 7	ded	Septemb 2000	,	Nine Months Ended September 3 2007 2006					
	V	olume	Rate	1	Volume	Rate	V	Volume	Rate	7	olume	Rate
Student loan premiums paid:												
Sallie Mae brands	\$	5,468	1.38%	\$	4,393	1.05%	\$	12,364	1.41%	\$	9,368	.81%
Lender partners		2,373	2.69		2,361	1.83		8,132	2.86		10,178	1.81
Total Preferred Channel		7,841	1.78		6,754	1.32		20,496	1.98		19,546	1.33
Other purchases ⁽¹⁾		1,062	4.77		2,183	4.05		6,252	5.24		2,851	3.95
Total base purchases		8,903	2.13		8,937	1.99		26,748	2.75		22,397	1.66
Consolidation originations		821	2.54		1,682	2.22		2,008	2.58		3,432	2.44
Total	\$	9,724	2.17%	\$	10,619	2.03%	\$	28,756	2.73%	\$	25,829	1.77%

⁽¹⁾ Primarily includes spot purchases (including Wholesale Consolidation Loans), other commitment clients, and subsidiary acquisitions.

The increase in premiums paid as a percentage of principal balance for Sallie Mae brands over the prior year is primarily due to the increase in loans where we pay the origination fee and/or federal guaranty fee on behalf of

borrowers, a practice we call zero-fee lending. Premiums paid on Lender partners volume were similarly impacted by zero-fee lending. The borrower origination fee will be gradually phased out by the Reconciliation Legislation from 2007 to 2010.

The Other purchases category includes the acquisition of Wholesale Consolidation Loans which totaled \$950 million at a rate of 4.77 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2007. At September 30, 2007, Wholesale Consolidation Loans totaled \$8.2 billion.

We include in Consolidation originations the 50 basis point Consolidation Loan origination fee paid on each FFELP Stafford loan that we consolidate, including loans that are already in our portfolio. The consolidation originations premium paid percentage is calculated on only consolidation volume that is incremental to our portfolio. This percentage is largely driven by the mix of FFELP Stafford loans consolidated in this quarter.

76

Student Loan Acquisitions

The following tables summarize the components of our student loan acquisition activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007				
	FFELP	Private	Total		
Preferred Channel	\$ 5,080	\$ 2,761	\$ 7,841		
Wholesale Consolidations	950		950		
Other commitment clients	29	53	82		
Spot purchases	30		30		
Consolidations from third parties	755	66	821		
Acquisitions from off-balance sheet securitized trusts, primarily					
consolidations	796	140	936		
Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts	536	76	612		
Total on-balance sheet student loan acquisitions	8,176	3,096	11,272		
Consolidations to SLM Corporation from off-balance sheet securitized trusts Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts off-balance sheet securitized	(796)	(140)	(936)		
trusts	115	118	233		
Total Managed student loan acquisitions	\$ 7,495	\$ 3,074	\$ 10,569		

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006				l	
	F	FELP	P	rivate		Total
Preferred Channel	\$	4,146	\$	2,608	\$	6,754
Other commitment clients		195		61		256
Spot purchases		1,927				1,927
Consolidations from third parties		1,648		34		1,682
Acquisitions from off-balance sheet securitized trusts, primarily consolidations		2,377		74		2,451
Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts		448		22		470
Total on-balance sheet student loan acquisitions Consolidations to SLM Corporation from off-balance sheet securitized		10,741		2,799		13,540
trusts		(2,377)		(74)		(2,451)
Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts off-balance sheet securitized trusts		151		79		230
Total Managed student loan acquisitions	\$	8,515	\$	2,804	\$	11,319

	Nine Months Ended			
	Sep	tember 30, 2	007	
	FFELP	Private	Total	
Preferred Channel	\$ 14,193	\$ 6,303	\$ 20,496	
Wholesale Consolidations	4,937		4,937	
Other commitment clients	223	57	280	
Spot purchases	1,035		1,035	
Consolidations from third parties	1,834	174	2,008	
Acquisitions from off-balance sheet securitized trusts, primarily				
consolidations	3,541	441	3,982	
Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts	1,692	227	1,919	
Total on-balance sheet student loan acquisitions	27,455	7,202	34,657	
Consolidations to SLM Corporation from off-balance sheet securitized trusts	(3,541)	(441)	(3,982)	
Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts off-balance sheet securitized				
trusts	396	416	812	
Total Managed student loan acquisitions	\$ 24,310	\$ 7,177	\$ 31,487	

77

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006					
	FFELP	Private	Total			
Preferred Channel Other commitment clients Spot purchases Consolidations from third parties Acquisitions from off-balance sheet securitized trusts, primarily consolidations Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts	\$ 13,557 397 2,390 3,389 5,813 1,170	\$ 5,989 64 43 90 74	\$ 19,546 461 2,390 3,432 5,903 1,244			
Total on-balance sheet student loan acquisitions Consolidations to SLM Corporation from off-balance sheet securitized trusts Capitalized interest, premiums and discounts off-balance sheet securitized trusts	26,716 (5,813) 475	6,260 (90) 256	32,976 (5,903) 731			
Total Managed student loan acquisitions	\$ 21,378	\$ 6,426	\$ 27,804			

As shown in the above tables, off-balance sheet FFELP Stafford loans that consolidate with us become an on-balance sheet interest earning asset. This activity results in impairments of our Retained Interests in securitizations, but this is offset by an increase in on-balance sheet interest earning assets, for which we do not record an offsetting gain.

The following table includes on-balance sheet asset information for our Lending business segment.

FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans, net FFELP Consolidation Loans, net Private Education Loans, net	Sept	December 31, 2006		
	\$	34,108	\$	24,841
FFELP Consolidation Loans, net		71,371		61,324
Private Education Loans, net		13,676		9,755
Other loans, net		1,193		1,309
Investments ⁽¹⁾		16,801		8,175
Retained Interest in off-balance sheet securitized loans		3,239		3,341
Other ⁽²⁾		7,460		4,859
Total assets	\$	147,848	\$	113,604

⁽¹⁾ Investments include cash and cash equivalents, investments, restricted cash and investments, leveraged leases, and municipal bonds.

Other assets include accrued interest receivable, goodwill and acquired intangible assets, and other non-interest earning assets.

Preferred Channel Originations

We originated \$8.9 billion in student loan volume through our Preferred Channel in the quarter ended September 30, 2007 versus \$7.8 billion in the quarter ended September 30, 2006.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2007, our internal lending brands grew 25 percent over the year-ago quarter, and comprised 65 percent of our Preferred Channel Originations, up from 59 percent in the year-ago quarter. Our internal lending brands combined with our other lender partners comprised 93 percent of our Preferred Channel Originations for the current quarter, versus 87 percent for the year-ago quarter; together these two segments of our Preferred Channel grew 20 percent over the year-ago quarter.

78

Table of Contents

The following tables further itemize our Preferred Channel Originations by type of loan and source.

		nths Ended aber 30,	En	Months ded aber 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Preferred Channel Originations Type of Loan				
Stafford	\$ 4,977	\$ 4,257	\$ 11,703	\$ 10,559
PLUS	820	856	1,944	2,087
GradPLUS	262	144	479	144
Total FFELP	6,059	5,257	14,126	12,790
Private Education Loans	2,793	2,574	6,331	5,829
Total	\$ 8,852	\$ 7,831	\$ 20,457	\$ 18,619

	,	I'hree N	1on	ths End	ed S	Septembe	r 30,							
						Increa		Nine M	Iont	hs Ende	d Se	September 30, Increase		
		2007		2006		(Decrea	ise)	2007		2006			(Decrea	se)
	F	FELP	F	FELP		\$	%	F	FFELP	F	FELP		\$	%
FFELP Preferred														
Channel														
Originations Sourc	e													
Internal lending														
brands	\$	3,201	\$	2,402	\$	799	33%	\$	7,236	\$	5,257	\$	1,979	38%
Other lender partners		2,255		1,962		293	15		5,146		4,685		461	10
Total before														
JPMorgan Chase		5,456		4,364		1,092	25		12,382		9,942		2,440	25
JPMorgan Chase		603		893		(290)	(32)		1,744		2,848		(1,104)	(39)
Total	\$	6,059	\$	5,257	\$	802	15%	\$	14,126	\$	12,790	\$	1,336	10%

Three M	onths Ended	l Septem	ber 30,				
		Inci	ease	Nine M	Ionths Ended	Septemb	er 30,
						Incre	ease
2007	2006	(Deci	rease)	2007	2006	(Decr	ease)
Private	Private	\$	%	Private	Private	\$	%

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Private Preferred								
Channel Originations								
Source								
Internal lending brands	\$ 2,560	\$ 2,223	\$ 337	15%	\$ 5,769	\$ 4,680	\$ 1,089	23%
Other lender partners	190	262	(72)	(27)	433	763	(330)	(43)
Total before JPMorgan								
Chase	2,750	2,485	265	11	6,202	5,443	759	14
JPMorgan Chase	43	89	(46)	(52)	129	386	(257)	(67)
Total	\$ 2,793	\$ 2,574	\$ 219	9%	\$ 6,331	\$ 5,829	\$ 502	9%

	T	hree M	ree Months Ended September 30,							Nine Months Ended September 30,						
						Increa	se						Increas	se		
	2	2007		2006		(Decrea	se)		2007		2006		(Decreas	se)		
	T	otal	,	Total		\$	%		Total		Total		\$	%		
Total Preferred																
Channel																
Originations Sourc	e															
Internal lending																
brands	\$	5,761	\$	4,625	\$	1,136	25%	\$	13,005	\$	9,937	\$	3,068	31%		
Other lender partners		2,445		2,224		221	10		5,579		5,448		131	2		
Total before																
JPMorgan Chase		8,206		6,849		1,357	20		18,584		15,385		3,199	21		
JPMorgan Chase		646		982		(336)	(34)		1,873		3,234		(1,361)	(42)		
Total	\$	8,852	\$	7,831	\$	1,021	13%	\$	20,457	\$	18,619	\$	1,838	10%		

Net acquisitions

Ending balance

Internal consolidations(2)

Off-balance sheet securitizations Repayments/claims/resales/other

Student Loan Activity

The following tables summarize the activity in our on-balance sheet, off-balance sheet and Managed portfolios of FFELP student loans and Private Education Loans and highlight the effects of Consolidation Loan activity on our FFELP portfolios.

	FFELP Stafford and Other ⁽¹⁾	FFELP Consolidation Loans			Total FFELP	E d	Total Private lucation Loans	Total On- Balance Sheet Portfolio		
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third	\$ 31,503	\$	68,109	\$	99,612	\$	11,014	\$	110,626	
parties			755		755		66		821	
Consolidations to third parties	(663)		(228)		(891)		(12)		(903)	
Net consolidations	(663)		527		(136)		54		(82)	
Acquisitions	5,344		1,281		6,625		2,889		9,514	

1,808

2,293

(839)

71,371

4,681

(1,647)

(429)

\$ 34,108

On-Balance Sheet
Three Months Ended September 30, 2007

6,489

646

(1,268)

\$

\$ 105,479

Off-Ralance Sheet

2,943

130

(411)

13,676

9,432

776

(1,679)

119,155

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 Total											
	_	FELP tafford and	d			Private Total Education			В	otal Off- salance Sheet		
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third	Other ⁽¹⁾]	Loans	FFELP		Loans		Portfolio			
	\$	11,362	\$	17,167	\$	28,529	\$	14,048	\$	42,577		
parties Consolidations to third parties		(211)		(54)		(265)		(29)		(294)		

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Net consolidations Acquisitions	(211) 63	(54) 52	(265) 115	(29) 119	(294) 234
Net acquisitions	(148)	(2)	(150)	90	(60)
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations	(461)	(185)	(646)	(130)	(776)
Repayments/claims/resales/other	(591)	(281)	(872)	(265)	(1,137)
Ending balance	\$ 10,162	\$ 16,699	\$ 26,861	\$ 13,743	\$ 40,604

Managed Portfolio Three Months Ended September 30, 2007

							m . 1		Total	
	FFEL Staffo		FFELP			Total Private	N	Ianaged		
	and Other	Co	Consolidation Loans		Total FFELP		lucation Loans	P	Basis Portfolio	
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third	\$ 42,8	865 \$	85,276	\$	128,141	\$	25,062	\$	153,203	
parties			755		755		66		821	
Consolidations to third parties	(8	374)	(282)		(1,156)		(41)		(1,197)	
Net consolidations	(8	374)	473		(401)		25		(376)	
Acquisitions	5,4	07	1,333		6,740		3,008		9,748	
Net acquisitions	4,5	333	1,806		6,339		3,033		9,372	
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations	(2,1	08)	2,108							
Repayments/claims/resales/other	(1,0)20)	(1,120)		(2,140)		(676)		(2,816)	
Ending balance	\$ 44,2	270 \$	88,070	\$	132,340	\$	27,419	\$	159,759	
Total Managed Acquisitions ⁽³⁾	\$ 5,4	07 \$	2,088	\$	7,495	\$	3,074	\$	10,569	

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans and also includes PLUS and HEAL loans.

⁽²⁾ Represents loans that we either own on-balance sheet or loans that we consolidated from our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.

⁽³⁾ The Total Managed Acquisitions line includes incremental consolidations from third parties and acquisitions.

On-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 Total

		FFELP Stafford and Other ⁽¹⁾		solidation Loans	Total FFELP	P Ed	Total rivate ucation Loans	В	otal On- alance Sheet ortfolio
Beginning balance	\$	21,391	\$	54,055	\$ 75,446	\$	6,833	\$	82,279
Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third parties				1,648	1,648		34		1,682
Consolidations to third parties		(729)		(367)	(1,096)		(4)		(1,100)
Net consolidations		(729)		1,281	552		30		582
Acquisitions		5,014		1,702	6,716		2,691		9,407
Net acquisitions		4,285		2,983	7,268		2,721		9,989
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾		(2,397)		4,813	2,416		83		2,499
Off-balance sheet securitizations				(4,066)	(4,066)		(1,008)		(5,074)
Repayments/claims/resales/other		(665)		(583)	(1,248)		(407)		(1,655)
Ending balance	\$	22,614	\$	57,202	\$ 79,816	\$	8,222	\$	88,038

Off-Balance Sheet Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

	FFELP Stafford and Other ⁽¹⁾		onsolidation Loans	Total FFELP		Total Private Education Loans		В	otal Off- alance Sheet ortfolio
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third parties	\$ 20,5	35 \$	5 15,140	\$ 35	,675	\$	12,190	\$	47,865
Consolidations to third parties	(7	(26)	(119)	((845)		(11)		(856)
Net consolidations	(7	26)	(119)	((845)		(11)		(856)
Acquisitions		96	55		151		79		230
Net acquisitions	(6	(30)	(64)	((694)		68		(626)
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾	(2,1	85)	(231)	,	,416)		(83)		(2,499)
Off-balance sheet securitizations Repayments/claims/resales/other	(5	47)	4,066 (166)		,066 (713)		1,008 (204)		5,074 (917)

\$ 17,173 \$ 18,745 \$ 35,918

Managed Portfolio Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

\$ 12,979

48,897

		Th	ree Months	En	ded Septer	nbe	r 30, 2006		
	FFELP tafford				•		Total Private		Total Ianaged
	and Other ⁽¹⁾		nsolidation Loans		Total FFELP		lucation Loans	P	Basis Portfolio
Beginning balance Net consolidations:	\$ 41,926	\$	69,195	\$	111,121	\$	19,023	\$	130,144
Incremental consolidations from third parties			1,648		1,648		34		1,682
Consolidations to third parties	(1,455)		(486)		(1,941)		(15)		(1,956)
Net consolidations	(1,455)		1,162		(293)		19		(274)
Acquisitions	5,110		1,757		6,867		2,770		9,637
Net acquisitions	3,655		2,919		6,574		2,789		9,363
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations	(4,582)		4,582						
Repayments/claims/resales/other	(1,212)		(749)		(1,961)		(611)		(2,572)
Ending balance	\$ 39,787	\$	75,947	\$	115,734	\$	21,201	\$	136,935
Total Managed Acquisitions ⁽³⁾	\$ 5,110	\$	3,405	\$	8,515	\$	2,804	\$	11,319

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans and also includes PLUS and HEAL loans.

81

⁽²⁾ Represents loans that we either own on-balance sheet or loans that we consolidated from our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.

⁽³⁾ The Total Managed Acquisitions line includes incremental consolidations from third parties and acquisitions.

On-Balance Sheet
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

	FFELP Stafford and Other ⁽¹⁾		Con	FFELP asolidation Loans]	Total FFELP		Total Private Education Loans		otal On- Balance Sheet Portfolio
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third	\$	24,841	\$	61,324	\$	86,165	\$	9,755	\$	95,920
parties				1,834		1,834		174		2,008
Consolidations to third parties		(1,943)		(673)		(2,616)		(29)		(2,645)
Net consolidations		(1,943)		1,161		(782)		145		(637)
Acquisitions		16,103		5,977		22,080		6,586		28,666
Net acquisitions		14,160		7,138		21,298		6,731		28,029
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾		(3,788)		5,803		2,015		399		2,414
Off-balance sheet securitizations		(1,105)		(2,894)		(3,999)		(1,871)		(1,871)
Repayments/claims/resales/other		(1,103)		(2,894)		(3,999)		(1,338)		(5,337)
Ending balance	\$	34,108	\$	71,371	\$	105,479	\$	13,676	\$	119,155

Off-Balance Sheet Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007												
		FFELP Stafford and Other ⁽¹⁾		FFELP Consolidation Loans		Total FFELP		Total Private Education Loans		otal Off- salance Sheet ortfolio			
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third parties	\$	15,028	\$	18,311	\$	33,339	\$	12,833	\$	46,172			
Consolidations to third parties		(831)		(181)		(1,012)		(65)		(1,077)			
Net consolidations Acquisitions		(831) 237		(181) 159		(1,012) 396		(65) 417		(1,077) 813			
Net acquisitions		(594)		(22)		(616)		352		(264)			
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations		(1,332)		(683)		(2,015)		(399) 1,871		(2,414) 1,871			

Repayments/claims/resales/other	(2,940)	(907)	(3,847)	(914)	(4,761)
Ending balance	\$ 10 162	\$ 16 699	\$ 26 861	\$ 13 743	\$ 40 604

Managed Portfolio Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

									Total	
	FFELP tafford]	Total Private	Managed		
	and Other ⁽¹⁾		solidation Loans]	Total FFELP		ducation Loans	P	Basis Portfolio	
Beginning balance Net consolidations:	\$ 39,869	\$	79,635	\$	119,504	\$	22,588	\$	142,092	
Incremental consolidations from third parties			1,834		1,834		174		2,008	
Consolidations to third parties	(2,774)		(854)		(3,628)		(94)		(3,722)	
Net consolidations	(2,774)		980		(1,794)		80		(1,714)	
Acquisitions	16,340		6,136		22,476		7,003		29,479	
Net acquisitions	13,566		7,116		20,682		7,083		27,765	
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations	(5,120)		5,120							
Repayments/claims/resales/other	(4,045)		(3,801)		(7,846)		(2,252)		(10,098)	
Ending balance	\$ 44,270	\$	88,070	\$	132,340	\$	27,419	\$	159,759	
Total Managed Acquisitions ⁽³⁾	\$ 16,340	\$	7,970	\$	24,310	\$	7,177	\$	31,487	

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans and also includes PLUS and HEAL loans.

⁽²⁾ Represents loans that we either own on-balance sheet or loans that we consolidated from our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.

⁽³⁾ The Total Managed Acquisitions line includes incremental consolidations from third parties and acquisitions.

		FELP tafford	Ni	One Months		alance She ded Septer	nber	· 30, 2006 Total Private		otal On- Balance	
	(and Other ⁽¹⁾		solidation Loans]	Total FFELP	Education Loans		Sheet Portfolio-		
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third parties Consolidations to third parties	\$	19,988	\$	54,859 3,389 (1,775)	\$	74,847 3,389 (3,197)	\$	7,757 43 (11)	\$	3,432 (3,208)	
Net consolidations Acquisitions		(1,422) 15,114		1,614 2,401		192 17,515		32 6,127		224 23,642	
Net acquisitions		13,692		4,015		17,707		6,159		23,866	
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations Repayments/claims/resales/other		(4,772) (5,034) (1,260)		9,914 (9,638) (1,948)		5,142 (14,672) (3,208)		203 (4,737) (1,160)		5,345 (19,409) (4,368)	
Ending balance	\$	22,614	\$	57,202	\$	79,816	\$	8,222	\$	88,038	

		FELP tafford	Ni	Of ine Months		alance She led Septer	nber	30, 2006 Fotal rivate		otal Off- salance	
	and Other ⁽¹⁾			solidation Loans	Total FFELP		Education Loans		Sheet Portfolio		
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third parties	\$	20,670	\$	10,575	\$	31,245	\$	8,680	\$	39,925	
Consolidations to third parties		(1,591)		(574)		(2,165)		(21)		(2,186)	
Net consolidations Acquisitions		(1,591) 302		(574) 172		(2,165) 474		(21) 256		(2,186) 730	
Net acquisitions		(1,289)		(402)		(1,691)		235		(1,456)	
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations		(4,634) 5,034		(508) 9,638		(5,142) 14,672		(203) 4,737		(5,345) 19,409	

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Repayments/claims/resales/other	(2,608)		(558) (3,166)		(470)	(3,636)		
Ending balance	\$ 17,173	\$	18,745	\$	35,918	\$ 12,979	\$ 48,897	

	Managed Portfolio Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006											
	_	FELP tafford				•		Total Private	N	Total Ianaged		
		and other ⁽¹⁾		olidation Loans]	Total FFELP	Education Loans		P	Basis ortfolio		
Beginning balance Net consolidations: Incremental consolidations from third	\$	40,658	\$	65,434	\$	106,092	\$	16,437	\$	122,529		
parties Consolidations to third parties		(3,013)		3,389 (2,349)		3,389 (5,362)		43 (32)		3,432 (5,394)		
Net consolidations Acquisitions		(3,013) 15,416		1,040 2,573		(1,973) 17,989		11 6,383		(1,962) 24,372		
Net acquisitions		12,403		3,613		16,016		6,394		22,410		
Internal consolidations ⁽²⁾ Off-balance sheet securitizations		(9,406)		9,406								
Repayments/claims/resales/other		(3,868)		(2,506)		(6,374)		(1,630)		(8,004)		
Ending balance	\$	39,787	\$	75,947	\$	115,734	\$	21,201	\$	136,935		
Total Managed Acquisitions ⁽³⁾	\$	15,416	\$	5,962	\$	21,378	\$	6,426	\$	27,804		

⁽¹⁾ FFELP category is primarily Stafford loans and also includes PLUS and HEAL loans.

⁽²⁾ Represents loans that we either own on-balance sheet or loans that we consolidated from our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.

⁽³⁾ The Total Managed Acquisitions line includes incremental consolidations from third parties and acquisitions.

The increase in consolidations to third parties in 2006 reflects FFELP lenders reconsolidating FFELP Consolidation Loans using the Direct Loan program as a pass-through entity, a practice which was restricted by The Higher Education Reconciliation Act of 2005, as of July 1, 2006.

During 2006, we introduced Private Education Consolidation Loans as a separate product line and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we added \$80 million of net incremental volume of Private Education Consolidation Loans. This incremental volume is of higher credit quality than the volume that consolidated away from us. We expect this product line to continue to grow in the future and we will aggressively employ this and other tools to protect our portfolio against third-party consolidation of our Private Education Loans.

Other Income Lending Business Segment

The following table summarizes the components of other income, net, for our Lending business segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

		Three Encore	ded			Nine Months Ended September 30			
	20	007	20	006	2007		2	006	
Late fees	\$	34	\$	29	\$	101	\$	86	
Gains on sales of mortgages and other loan fees		2		5		10		12	
Gains on sales of student loans		2				21			
Other		8		12		18		40	
Total other income, net	\$	46	\$	46	\$	150	\$	138	

The Company periodically sells student loans. The timing and amount of loan sales impacts the amount of recognized gains on sales of student loans. In the second quarter of 2007, we sold \$770 million of FFELP Stafford and Consolidation student loans, the majority of which were serviced by third parties. The decrease in the Other category versus the prior year is due to the shift of origination volume to Sallie Mae Bank. Prior to this shift, we earned servicing fees for originated Private Education Loans on behalf of third party lenders prior to our acquisition of those loans. This revenue stream has been more than offset by capturing the net interest income earned by acquiring these loans earlier.

Operating Expense Lending Business Segment

The following table summarizes the components of operating expenses for our Lending business segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

Three Mor	nths	Nine M	Ionths
Ended		End	led
September	30,	Septem	ber 30,
2007	2006	2007	2006

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Sales and originations	\$ 84	\$ 81	\$ 264	\$ 244
Servicing and information technology	56	49	167	151
Corporate overhead	24	26	86	86
Total operating expenses	\$ 164	\$ 156	\$ 517	\$ 481

Operating expenses for our Lending business segment include costs incurred to service our Managed student loan portfolio and acquire student loans, as well as other general and administrative expenses. Operating expenses for the Lending business segment also include stock option compensation expense of \$4 million and \$8 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$26 million, for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

84

ASSET PERFORMANCE GROUP (APG) BUSINESS SEGMENT

The following table includes the Core Earnings results of operations for our APG business segment.

					%					%		
	,	Three Months Ended			Increase		Nine I En	ıs	Increase			
	;	Septen	iber (30,	(Decrease) 2007 vs.		Septen	nber 3	30,	(Decrease) 2007 vs.		
	2	2007		006	2006	2007		7 2006		2006		
Contingency fee income	\$	65	\$	103	(37)%	\$	207	\$	261	(21)%		
Other fee income		11		19	(42)		37		43	(14)		
Collections revenue	53 58			(9)	196			182	8			
Total income		129		180	(28)		440		486	(9)		
Operating expenses		94		91	3		284		266	7		
Net interest expense	7 6		17		20		17	18				
Income before income taxes and												
minority interest in net earnings of												
subsidiaries		28		83	(66)		136		203	(33)		
Income tax expense		11		31	(65)		51		75	(32)		
Income before minority interest in net												
earnings of subsidiaries		17		52	(67)		85		128	(34)		
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries		1				2		4	(50)			
Core Earnings net income	\$ 17 \$ 51		(67)%	\$ 83 \$			124	(33)%				

The decrease in contingency fee income for the third quarter of 2007 versus the year-ago quarter was primarily due to a legislative change in July 2006 governing the rehabilitated loan policy which reduced the number of consecutive payments to qualify for a loan rehabilitation from twelve months to nine months. This accelerated process added approximately \$30 million of incremental revenue in the third quarter of 2006. To a lesser extent, the third quarter of 2007 was negatively impacted by a lower rate earned on consolidating defaulted loans due to legislative changes in 2006 as well as lower performance in default prevention.

The decrease in collections revenue for the third quarter of 2007 versus the year-ago quarter was primarily due to the write-downs of certain purchased paper portfolios. Declines in real estate values, general economic uncertainty as well as lengthening the assumed lifetime collection period have resulted in write-downs related to the mortgage purchased paper portfolio. Specifically, the mortgage purchased paper portfolio had impairments of \$11 million (which equals approximately 1 percent of the carry value of these portfolios) in the third quarter of 2007 compared to impairments of \$5 million in the third quarter of 2006. General economic uncertainty has also resulted in lengthening the assumed lifetime collection period related to the non-mortgage portfolio.

Revenues from United Student Aid Funds, Inc. (USA Funds) represented 29 percent and 36 percent, respectively, of total APG revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and 27 percent and 32 percent, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

85

Purchased Paper Non-Mortgage

	Three M Ende Septemb	ed		Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2007		006		2007	2006	
Face value of purchases for the period	\$ 1,741	\$	865	\$	3,881	\$	1,857
Purchase price for the period	134		79		358		154
% of face value purchased	7.7%		9.2%		9.2%		8.3%
Gross cash collections (GCC)	\$ 118	\$	81	\$	357	\$	263
Collections revenue	43		49		158		152
Collections revenue as a% of GCC	36%		61%		44%		58%
Carrying value of purchases	\$ 448	\$	193	\$	448	\$	193

The amount of face value of purchases in any quarter is a function of a combination of factors including the amount of receivables available for purchase in the marketplace, average age of each portfolio, the asset class of the receivables, and competition in the marketplace. As a result, the percentage of face value purchased will vary from quarter to quarter. The decrease in collections revenue as a percentage of gross cash collections (GCC) versus the prior year can primarily be attributed to the increase in new portfolio purchases in the third quarter of 2007. Typically, revenue recognition based on a portfolio s effective interest rate is a lower percentage of cash collections in the early stages of servicing a portfolio.

Purchased Paper Mortgage/Properties

		Three M End Septemb	ed			os 0,		
	2007			2006		007	2	006
Face value of purchases for the period	\$	102	\$	140	\$	827	\$	463
Collections revenue		10		9		38		30
Collateral value of purchases		85		147		775		510
Purchase price for the period		57		114		581		388
Purchase price as a % of collateral value		67%		78%		75%		76%
Carrying value of purchases	\$	937	\$	503	\$	937	\$	503

The purchase price for sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans is generally determined as a percentage of the underlying collateral, but we also consider a number of additional factors when pricing mortgage loan portfolios to attain a targeted yield. Therefore, the purchase price as a percentage of collateral value can fluctuate depending on the mix of sub-performing versus non-performing mortgages in the portfolio, the projected timeline to resolution of loans in the portfolio and the level of private mortgage insurance associated with particular assets.

Contingency Inventory

The following table presents the outstanding inventory of receivables that are currently being serviced through our APG business.

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

		Septemark 200		December 31, 2006		
Contingency: Student loans Other		\$	8,353 1,550	\$	6,971 1,667	
Total		\$	9,903	\$	8,638	
	86					

The \$1.3 billion increase in the contingency inventory from December 31, 2006 is primarily due to higher placements of defaulted loans.

Operating Expenses APG Business Segment

For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, operating expenses for the APG business segment totaled \$94 million and \$91 million, respectively, and included \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, of stock option compensation expense. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, operating expenses for this segment totaled \$284 million and \$266 million, respectively, and included \$9 million of stock option compensation expense for both periods.

At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the APG business segment had total assets of \$2.2 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively.

CORPORATE AND OTHER BUSINESS SEGMENT

The following table includes Core Earnings results of operations for our Corporate and Other business segment.

	Three Months				%		Nine M	Iontl	%	
	Se		Ended ember 30,		Increase (Decrease) 2007 vs.	End Septem			30,	Increase (Decrease) 2007 vs.
	20	007	20	006	2006	2	2007		006	2006
Net interest income (loss) after provisions										
for loan losses	\$	1	\$	(1)	200%	\$	(2)	\$	(1)	(100)%
Guarantor servicing fees		46		39	18		115		99	16
Loan servicing fees		6		8	(25)		17		23	(26)
Upromise		28		8	250	78		8		875
Other		29		25	16	67		64		5
Total fee and other income		109		80	36		277		194	43
Operating expenses		79		70	13		251		178	41
Income before income taxes		31		9	244		24		15	60
Income tax expense		11		3	267	9		6		50
Core Earnings net income	\$	20	\$	6	233%	\$	15	\$	9	67%

The increase in income from Upromise for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 from the year ago periods is the result of a full three and nine months of income, as Upromise was acquired in August 2006.

USA Funds, the nation s largest guarantee agency, accounted for 83 percent and 81 percent, respectively, of guarantor servicing fees and 16 percent and 24 percent, respectively, of revenues associated with other products and services for the quarters ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

Operating Expenses Corporate and Other Business Segment

The following table summarizes the components of operating expenses for our Corporate and Other business segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	E	Months nded mber 30,	En	Months ded aber 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Operating expenses	\$ 27	\$ 48	\$ 84	\$ 115
Upromise Corporate overhead	24 28	8 14	66 101	8 55
Total operating expenses	\$ 79	\$ 70	\$ 251	\$ 178

Operating expenses for our Corporate and Other business segment include direct costs incurred to service loans for unrelated third parties and to perform guarantor servicing on behalf of guarantor agencies, as well as information technology expenses related to these functions. The \$73 million increase in operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the year-ago period was primarily due to a \$58 million increase in Upromise expenses and \$42 million in Merger-related fees. Stock option compensation expense included in operating expenses for this segment totaled \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and totaled \$12 million and \$13 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Corporate and Other business segment had total assets of \$777 million and \$999 million, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Except in the case of acquisitions, which are discussed separately, our APG and Corporate and Other business segments are not capital intensive businesses, and as such, a minimal amount of debt and equity capital is allocated to these segments. Therefore, the following LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES discussion is concentrated on our Lending business segment.

Our primary funding objective is to maintain cost-effective liquidity to fund the growth in our Managed portfolio of student loans. Upon the announcement of the Merger on April 16, 2007, credit spreads on our unsecured debt widened considerably, significantly increasing our cost of accessing the unsecured debt markets (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS-Merger Related Developments). In the near term, we do not expect to rely on the unsecured debt market as a source of liquidity, due to the high cost and restrictive covenants likely to be associated with such financing. As a result, student loan asset-backed securities financings, including asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) facilities and term asset-backed securities (ABS) are expected to be our primary source of cost-effective financing for the immediate future.

We have built a highly liquid and deep market for our student loan asset-backed securities. We securitized \$20.5 billion in student loans in six transactions in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$25.6 billion in eleven transactions in the year-ago period. Asset-backed securities financings, including term ABS, ABCP borrowings and other secured financings, comprised 75 percent of our Managed debt outstanding at

September 30, 2007, versus 70 percent at September 30, 2006. On April 30, 2007, in connection with the Merger, we entered into new aggregate interim \$30 billion asset-backed commercial paper conduit facilities (collectively, the Interim ABCP Facility), which provided us with significant additional liquidity. Generally, the Interim ABCP Facility effectively terminates on the earliest of (1) the Merger closing, (2) 90 calendar days after the date of termination of the Merger Agreement or (3) 90 calendar days after February 15, 2008. We are in substantive discussions with various financing sources concerning the replacement of the Interim ABCP Facility, should it be necessary, and believe that this source of liquidity can be replaced in a timely manner.

In the third quarter, as with similarly sized financial services companies, adverse conditions in the financial markets increased the Company s cost of issuance in the term ABS market, and increased spreads on our existing ABCP financings. Because of this increase in the cost of issuance, the Company chose not to

88

Table of Contents

issue in the term ABS market in August and September of 2007. The Company resumed the issuance of term ABS in October 2007, and expects to continue to access the term ABS market on a regular basis throughout the remainder of 2007 and in 2008. To the extent the current increased cost of borrowing in the term ABS market persists, it will have a negative impact on the company s overall future cost of funds.

As noted above, since the announcement of the Merger (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments), the asset-backed securities markets have been the Company s only source of cost-effective financing. As a result we have significant long-term funding, credit spread and liquidity exposure to those markets. A long-term disruption in the asset-backed securities markets that limits our ability to raise funds or significantly increases the cost of those funds could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Additionally, the Company's liquidity may be impacted if the Merger Agreement is terminated or the Merger transaction does not close, primarily as a result of the prospective termination of the Company's Interim ABCP Facility. The Company is in substantive discussions with various financing sources concerning the replacement of the Interim ABCP Facility, should it be necessary, and believes that this source of liquidity can be replaced in a timely manner. As a result, we believe we will have sufficient sources of liquidity to meet the cash needs of the Company. We would also expect to continue to have ready access to our \$6.5 billion revolving credit facilities, our existing \$6.0 billion ABCP facility, our cash and investment portfolio and the term ABS markets as sources of liquidity. See Note 12, Merger-Related Developments *Financing Considerations if the Merger does not Close* to the consolidated financial statements. Whether the Merger is consummated or not, as stated above, we do not expect to rely on the unsecured debt markets as a significant source of liquidity in the near term, due to the high cost and restrictive covenants likely to be associated with such financing.

The following table details our primary sources of liquidity and the available capacity at September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006.

	- 2	ember 30, 2007 de Capacity]	December 31, 2006 Available Capacity
Sources of primary liquidity: Unrestricted cash and liquid investments ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$	11,936	\$	4,720
Unused commercial paper and bank lines of credit	Φ	6,500	Ф	6,500
ABCP borrowing capacity		5,758		1,047
Interim ABCP Facility borrowing capacity		4,897		,
Total sources of primary liquidity		29,091		12,267
Sources of stand-by liquidity:				
Unencumbered FFELP student loans ⁽²⁾		16,340		28,070
Total sources of primary and stand-by liquidity	\$	45,431	\$	40,337

⁽¹⁾ Excludes \$11 million and \$365 million of investments pledged as collateral related to certain derivative positions and \$93 million and \$99 million of other non-liquid investments classified at September 30, 2007

and December 31, 2006, respectively, as cash and investments on our balance sheet in accordance with GAAP.

(2) Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, certain asset sales required the approval of the Buyer Group prior to the Merger. On October 23, 2007, the Buyer Group waived the Company s obligation to obtain such approvals. See RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments.

We believe our currently unencumbered FFELP student loan portfolio provides an excellent source of potential or stand-by liquidity because of the well-developed market for securitizations and whole loan sales of government guaranteed student loans. In addition to the assets listed in the table above, we hold on-balance sheet a number of other unencumbered assets, consisting primarily of Private Education Loans, Retained Interests and other assets. At September 30, 2007, we had a total of \$48.3 billion of unencumbered assets, including goodwill and acquired intangibles. On October 2, 2007, the Company received approximately \$3.0 billion of cash in exchange for a similar amount of FFELP student loans encumbered on September 30,

89

2007. Upon receipt of this cash, total unencumbered assets were \$51.3 billion with no change in overall liquidity in the table above.

In addition to liquidity, a major objective when financing our business is to minimize interest rate risk by aligning the interest rate and reset characteristics of our Managed assets and liabilities, generally on a pooled basis, to the extent practicable. In this process we use derivative financial instruments extensively to reduce our interest rate and foreign currency exposure. This interest rate risk management helps us to stabilize our student loan spread in various and changing interest rate environments.

Managed Borrowings

The following tables present the ending balances of our Managed borrowings at September 30, 2007 and 2006 and average balances and average interest rates of our Managed borrowings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. The average interest rates include derivatives that are economically hedging the underlying debt, but do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS No. 133. (See BUSINESS SEGMENTS Pre-tax differences Between Core Earnings and GAAP by Business Segment Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities.)

Ending Balances

					1	As of Sept	eml	oer 30,						
				2007			2006							
			End	ing Balan	ce		Ending Balance							
		hort 'erm		Long Term	N	Total Ianaged Basis		Short Term		Long Term	Total Managed Basis			
Unsecured borrowings Indentured trusts (on-balance	\$	7,410	\$	37,973	\$	45,383	\$	3,595	\$	41,549	\$	45,144		
sheet) ABCP borrowings (on-balance		149		2,513		2,662		75		3,109		3,184		
sheet) Securitizations (on-balance	,	25,103		242		25,345				4,966		4,966		
sheet) Securitizations (off-balance				65,105		65,105				44,840		44,840		
sheet) Other		359		43,887		43,887 359				54,153		54,153		
Total	\$:	33,021	\$	149,720	\$	182,741	\$	3,670	\$	148,617	\$	152,287		

Average Balances

Three N	Months End	ed Septemb	er 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
200	7	200	6	200	7	2006						
Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average					
Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate					

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Unsecured borrowings	\$ 45,117	5.69%	\$ 44,615	5.75%	\$ 46,915	5.66% \$	42,813	5.42%
Indentured trusts								
(on-balance sheet)	2,715	4.91	3,224	4.74	2,813	4.80	3,309	4.49
ABCP borrowings								
(on-balance sheet)	17,733	6.17	4,864	5.67	9,328	6.18	4,854	5.26
Securitizations								
(on-balance sheet)	65,160	5.68	42,831	5.68	61,539	5.67	41,871	5.30
Securitizations								
(off-balance sheet)	44,773	5.79	52,986	5.73	46,694	5.78	49,702	5.40
Other	215	5.14	128	5.25	382	5.28	142	4.87
Total	\$ 175,713	5.75%	\$ 148,648	5.70%	\$ 167,671	5.71% \$	142,691	5.35%

90

Unsecured On-Balance Sheet Financing Activities

The following table presents the senior unsecured credit ratings assigned by major rating agencies as of September 30, 2007. Each of the Company s debt ratings are under review with negative implications due to the pending Merger.

	S&P	Moody s	Fitch
Short-term unsecured debt	A-2	P-2	F3
Long-term senior unsecured debt	BBB+	Baa1	BBB

The table below presents our unsecured on-balance sheet term funding by funding source for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Debt the Th I Sept	1	Debt Issued For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			onths	ths Outsta			anding at		
	<u>-</u>		2007 2006		2006	2007		2006				
Convertible debentures	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1,996	
Retail notes			148		59		415		4,192		4,018	
Foreign currency denominated notes ⁽¹⁾			794		161		2,269		12,803		11,039	
Extendible notes							999		5,748		5,246	
Global notes (Institutional)			2,054		1,348		3,999		21,857		21,044	
Medium-term notes (Institutional)									596		1,799	
Other									187		2	
Total	\$	\$	2,996	\$	1,568	\$	7,682	\$	45,383	\$	45,144	

In addition to the term issuances reflected in the table above, we also use our commercial paper program for short-term liquidity purposes. The average balance of commercial paper outstanding was \$109 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. There was no average balance outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The maximum daily amount outstanding was \$2.2 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. There was no amount outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 or for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

⁽¹⁾ All foreign currency denominated notes are hedged using derivatives that exchange the foreign denomination for U.S. dollars.

Securitization Activities

Securitization Program

Securitization financings:

The following table summarizes our securitization activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Those securitizations listed as sales are off-balance sheet transactions and those listed as financings remain on-balance sheet.

		Three Months Ended September 30,											
		200)7		2006								
		Loan				Loan							
	No.				No.								
	of	Amount	Pre-Tax	K	of	Amount	Pre-Tax						
(Dollars in millions)	Transactio	offecuritize	d Gain	Gain%Tra	nsactio	Securitized	Gain	Gain%					
Securitizations sales:													
FFELP Stafford/PLUS loans		\$	\$	%		\$	\$	%					
FFELP Consolidation Loans					2	4,001	19	.5					
Private Education Loans					1	1,088	182	16.7					
Total securitizations sales			\$	%	3	5,089	\$ 201	4.0%					
Securitization financings: FFELP Stafford/PLUS Loans	s(1)												
FFELP Consolidation Loans		2,493			1	3,001							
Total securitizations financing	gs 1	2,493			1	3,001							
Total securitizations	1	\$ 2,493			4	\$ 8,090							

		Nine Months Ended September 30,										
		20	07	2006								
	Loan				Loan							
	No.				No.							
	of	Amount	Pre-Tax		of	A	mount	Pre	e-Tax			
(Dollars in millions)	Transacti	o Se curitized	Gain	Gain% Tra	nsactio	nSec	curitized	G	ain	Gain%		
Securitizations sales: FFELP Stafford/PLUS loan	18	\$	\$	%	2	\$	5,004	\$	17	.3%		
FFELP Consolidation Loan	ıs				4		9,503		55	.6		
Private Education Loans	1	2,000	367	18.4	3		5,088		830	16.3		
Total securitizations sales	1	2,000	\$ 367	18.4%	9		19,595	\$	902	4.6%		

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

FFELP Stafford/PLUS				
Loans ⁽¹⁾	2	7,004		
FFELP Consolidation				
Loans ⁽¹⁾	3	11,480	2	6,002
Total securitizations				
financings	5	18,484	2	6,002
-				
Total securitizations	6	\$ 20,484	11	\$ 25,597

In certain securitizations there are terms within the deal structure that result in such securitizations not qualifying for sale treatment and accordingly, they are accounted for on-balance sheet as variable interest entities (VIEs). Terms that prevent sale treatment include: (1) allowing us to hold certain rights that can affect the remarketing of certain bonds, (2) allowing the trust to enter into interest rate cap agreements after the initial settlement of the securitization, which do not relate to the reissuance of third party beneficial interests or (3) allowing us to hold an unconditional call option related to a certain percentage of the securitized assets.

Our Private Education Loan gain on sale percentages are significantly higher than our FFELP gain on sale percentages primarily for two reasons: (1) the significantly higher excess spread earned by the Residual Interest holder which is primarily due to the higher spreads to index the Company earns on the underlying Private Education Loans compared to FFELP loans (see LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT Core Earnings Basis Student Loan Spreads by Loan Type for further discussion regarding average student loan spreads by loan type) and (2) the weighted average life of the Private Education Loan securitizations are longer. The weighted average life for the first quarter of 2007 Private Education Loan securitization was 9.4 years. The Constant Prepayment Rate (CPR) assumption we use to determine the fair value of the Residual Interest impacts the weighted average life of the securitization. See the Company s 2006 Form 10-K, Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, Student Loan Securitization, for a sensitivity analysis of the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the Residual Interest.

92

Retained Interest in Securitized Receivables

The following tables summarize the fair value of the Company's Residual Interests, included in the Company's Retained Interest (and the assumptions used to value such Residual Interests), along with the underlying off-balance sheet student loans that relate to those securitizations in transactions that were treated as sales as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

	As of September 30, 2007								
		FELP afford	Cons	olidation	P	rivate			
	and PLUS		Loan Trusts ⁽¹⁾		Education Loan Trusts ⁽⁵⁾			Total	
Fair value of Residual Interests ⁽²⁾	\$	472	\$	688	\$	2,079	\$	3,239	
Underlying securitized loan balance ⁽³⁾	Ψ	10,010	Ψ	16,216	Ψ	14,281	Ψ	40,507	
Weighted average life	2	2.9 yrs.		7.4 yrs.		7.1 yrs.			
Prepayment speed (annual rate) ⁽⁴⁾									
Interim status		0%		N/A		0%			
Repayment status		3-38%		3-8%		1-30%			
Life of loan repayment status		21%		6%		9%			
Expected credit losses (% of student loan									
principal)		.11%		.15%		4.46%			
Residual cash flows discount rate		12.1%		10.4%		12.5%			

	As of December 31, 2006								
		FELP afford	Con	solidation	P	rivate			
	and		Loan		Education Loan				
	F	PLUS	T	rusts ⁽¹⁾	T	rusts		Total	
Fair value of Residual Interests ⁽²⁾	\$	701	\$	676	\$	1,965	\$	3,342	
Underlying securitized loan balance ⁽³⁾		14,794		17,817		13,222		45,833	
Weighted average life		2.9 yrs.		7.3 yrs.		7.2 yrs.			
Prepayment speed (annual rate)									
Interim status		0%		N/A		0%			
Repayment status		0-43%		3-9%		4-7%			
Life of loan repayment status		24%		6%		6%			
Expected credit losses (% of student loan									
principal)		.06%		.07%		4.36%			
Residual cash flows discount rate		12.6%		10.5%		12.6%			

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$167 million and \$151 million related to the fair value of the Embedded Floor Income as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Changes in the fair value of the Embedded Floor

Income are primarily due to changes in the interest rates and the paydown of the underlying loans.

- (2) At September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had unrealized gains (pre-tax) in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$281 million and \$389 million, respectively, that related to the Retained Interests.
- In addition to student loans in off-balance sheet trusts, we had \$61.9 billion and \$48.6 billion of securitized student loans outstanding (face amount) as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, in on-balance sheet securitization trusts.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Effective December 31, 2006, the Company implemented CPR curves for Residual Interest valuations that are based on seasoning (the number of months since entering repayment). Under this methodology, a different CPR is applied to each year of a loan seasoning. Previously, we applied a CPR that was based on a static life of loan assumption, and, in the case of FFELP Stafford and PLUS loans, we applied a vector approach, irrespective of seasoning. Repayment status CPR used is based on the number of months since first entering repayment (seasoning). Life of loan CPR is related to repayment status only and does not include the impact of the loan while in interim status. The CPR assumption used for all periods includes the impact of projected defaults.
- As discussed in Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments the Company adopted SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments effective January 1, 2007. As a result, the Company elected to carry the Residual Interest on the Private Education Loan securitization which settled in the first quarter of 2007 at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The fair value of this Residual Interest at September 30, 2007 was \$382 million inclusive of a net \$5 million fair value gain adjustment recorded since settlement.

93

Securitizations are, and will continue to be, the primary source of long-term financing and liquidity. Our securitizations are structured such that we are not obligated to provide any material level of financial, credit or liquidity support to any of the trusts, thus limiting our exposure to the recovery of the Retained Interest asset on the balance sheet for off-balance sheet securitizations or to the loss of the earnings spread for loans securitized on-balance sheet. While all of our Retained Interests are subject to some prepayment risk, Retained Interests from our FFELP Stafford securitizations have significant prepayment risk primarily arising from borrowers opting to consolidate their Stafford/PLUS loans. When consolidation activity is higher than projected, the increase in prepayments could materially impair the value of our Retained Interest. However, this negative effect on our Retained Interest is somewhat offset by the loans that consolidate back onto our balance sheet, which we view as trading one interest bearing asset for another, whereas loans that consolidate with third parties represent a complete loss of future economics to the Company. We discuss our short-term liquidity risk, including a table of our sources of liquidity at the beginning of this LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES section.

Off-Balance Sheet Net Assets

The following table summarizes our off-balance sheet net assets at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 on a basis equivalent to our GAAP on-balance sheet trusts, which presents the assets and liabilities in the off-balance sheet trusts as if they were being accounted for on-balance sheet rather than off-balance sheet. This presentation, therefore, includes a theoretical calculation of the premiums on student loans, the allowance for loan losses, and the discounts and deferred financing costs on the debt. This presentation is not, nor is it intended to be, a liquidation basis of accounting. (See also LENDING BUSINESS SEGMENT Summary of our Managed Student Loan Portfolio *Ending Balances, net* and LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES Managed Borrowings *Ending Balances*, earlier in this section.)

	September 30, 2007			December 31, 2006		
Off-Balance Sheet Assets:						
Total student loans, net	\$	40,604	\$	46,172		
Restricted cash and investments		3,352		4,269		
Accrued interest receivable		1,610		1,467		
Total off-balance sheet assets		45,566		51,908		
Off-Balance Sheet Liabilities:						
Debt, par value		43,997		50,058		
Debt, unamortized discount and deferred issuance costs		(110)		(193)		
Total debt		43,887		49,865		
Accrued interest payable		334		405		
Total off-balance sheet liabilities		44,221		50,270		
Off-Balance Sheet Net Assets	\$	1,345	\$	1,638		

Servicing and Securitization Revenue

Servicing and securitization revenue, the ongoing revenue from securitized loan pools accounted for off-balance sheet as QSPEs, includes the interest earned on the Residual Interest asset and the revenue we receive for servicing the loans in the securitization trusts. Interest income recognized on the Residual Interest is based on our anticipated yield determined by estimating future cash flows each quarter.

94

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the components of servicing and securitization revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Sept	Three Mor September 30, 2007		Ended tember 30, 2006	Sept	Nine Morember 30, 2007	nths Ended September 30, 2006	
Servicing revenue	\$	69	\$	87	\$	221	\$	254
Securitization revenue, before Net								
Embedded Floor Income, impairment and		110		102		221		257
unrealized fair value adjustment		110		103		331		257
Servicing and securitization revenue,								
before Net Embedded Floor Income,								
impairment and unrealized fair value								
adjustment		179		190		552		511
Embedded Floor Income		4		2		8		12
Less: Floor Income previously recognized								
in gain calculation		(2)		(1)		(4)		(6)
Not Each odded Elega Lacons		2		1		4		6
Net Embedded Floor Income		2		1		4		6
Servicing and securitization revenue,								
before impairment and unrealized fair								
value adjustment		181		191		556		517
Unrealized fair value adjustment ⁽¹⁾		(62)				(5)		
Retained Interest impairment		(90)		(4)		(137)		(148)
Total servicing and securitization revenue	\$	29	\$	187	\$	414	\$	369
Average off-balance sheet student loans	\$	41,526	\$	48,226	\$	43,195	\$	46,027
Tiverage off barance sheet student found	Ψ	11,520	Ψ	10,220	Ψ	13,173	Ψ	10,027
Average balance of Retained Interest	\$	3,378	\$	3,381	\$	3,457	\$	2,965
Servicing and securitization revenue as a								
percentage of the average balance of off-balance sheet student loans								
		.28%		1 5 107		1 2001		1.07%
(annualized)		.28%		1.54%		1.28%		1.07%

⁽¹⁾ The Company adopted SFAS No. 155 on January 1, 2007. SFAS No. 155 requires the Company to identify and bifurcate embedded derivatives from the Residual Interest. However, SFAS No. 155 does allow the Company to elect to carry the entire Residual Interest at fair value through earnings rather than bifurcate such embedded derivatives. For the off-balance sheet securitizations that settled in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company elected to carry the entire Residual Interest recorded at fair value through earnings. As a result of this election, all changes in the fair value of the Residual Interests for those securitizations are recorded through earnings. Management anticipates electing to carry future Residual Interests at fair value through

earnings. For securitizations settling prior to January 1, 2007, changes in the fair value of Residual Interests will continue to be recorded in other comprehensive income.

Servicing and securitization revenue is primarily driven by the average balance of off-balance sheet student loans, the amount of and the difference in the timing of Embedded Floor Income recognition on off-balance sheet student loans, Retained Interest impairments, and the fair value adjustment related to those Residual Interests where the Company has elected to carry such Residual Interests at fair value through earnings under SFAS No. 155 as discussed in the above table. The increase in securitization revenue, before net Embedded Floor Income and impairment and unrealized gain, from 2006 to 2007, is primarily due to the continued increase in the amount of Private Education Loan Residual Interests as a percentage of the total Residual Interests.

95

Table of Contents

Servicing and securitization revenue can be negatively impacted by impairments of the value of our Retained Interest, caused primarily by the effect of higher than expected consolidation activity on FFELP Stafford/PLUS student loan securitizations and the effect of market interest rates on the Embedded Floor Income included in the Retained Interest. The majority of the consolidations bring the loans back on-balance sheet, so for those loans, we retain the value of the asset on-balance sheet versus in the trust.

The Company recorded impairments to the Retained Interests of \$90 million and \$4 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$137 million and \$148 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. The impairment charges were the result of FFELP loans prepaying faster than projected through loan consolidations (\$31 million and \$4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$54 million and \$97 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively), impairment to the Floor Income component of the Company s Retained Interest due to increases in interest rates during the period (\$0 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$24 million and \$51 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively), and an increase in prepayments and acceleration of defaults related to Private Education Loans (\$59 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007).

As of September 30, 2007, the Company updated the following assumptions used to calculate the fair value of the Residual Interests: (1) The prepayment assumption related to Private Education Loans was increased from 6 percent to 9 percent to account for the Company s continued expectation of increased consolidation activity (2) the expected credit losses assumed for the FFELP loans have been increased to account for our higher percentage of Risk Sharing resulting from the new legislation (see RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Legislative Developments); and (3) the timing of expected defaults of Private Education Loans was accelerated based on the most current information the Company has observed. The overall expectation of Private Education Loan defaults did not materially change; however, acceleration of the timing has the effect of decreasing the value of our Residual Interests. The changes in these assumptions related to the Company s Private Education Loan Residual Interests and FFELP Residual Interests resulted in a \$196 million and \$11 million reduction in fair value, respectively. The Company also assessed the appropriateness of the current risk premium which is added to the risk free rate for the purpose of arriving at a discount rate in light of the current economic and credit uncertainty that exists in the market. This discount rate is applied to the projected cash flows to arrive at a fair value representative of the current economic conditions. The Company concluded that the current risk premium is appropriate as it takes into account the current level of cash flow uncertainty and lack of liquidity that may exist with the Residual Interests.

96

Interest Rate Risk Management

Asset and Liability Funding Gap

The tables below present our assets and liabilities (funding) arranged by underlying indices as of September 30, 2007. In the following GAAP presentation, the funding gap only includes derivatives that qualify as effective SFAS No. 133 hedges (those derivatives which are reflected in net interest margin, as opposed to those reflected in the gains/(losses) on derivatives and hedging activities, net line on the income statement). The difference between the asset and the funding is the funding gap for the specified index. This represents our exposure to interest rate risk in the form of basis risk and repricing risk, which is the risk that the different indices may reset at different frequencies or may not move in the same direction or at the same magnitude.

Management analyzes interest rate risk on a Managed basis, which consists of both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet assets and liabilities and includes all derivatives that are economically hedging our debt whether they qualify as effective hedges under SFAS No. 133 or not. Accordingly, we are also presenting the asset and liability funding gap on a Managed basis in the table that follows the GAAP presentation.

GAAP Basis

Index (Dollars in billions)	Frequency of Variable Resets	A	Assets	Fu	nding ⁽¹⁾	inding Gap
3 month Commercial paper	daily	\$	94.4	\$		\$ 94.4
3 month Treasury bill	weekly		8.1		.2	7.9
Prime	annual		.6			.6
Prime	quarterly		1.4			1.4
Prime	monthly		12.1			12.1
PLUS Index	annual		1.7			1.7
3-month LIBOR	daily					
3-month LIBOR	quarterly		1.5		101.1	(99.6)
1-month LIBOR ⁽²⁾	monthly				13.2	(13.2)
CMT/CPI index	monthly/quarterly				4.3	(4.3)
Non Discrete reset ⁽³⁾	monthly				2.8	(2.8)
Non Discrete reset ⁽⁴⁾	daily/weekly		15.3		15.5	(.2)
Fixed Rate ⁽⁵⁾			15.7		13.7	2.0
Total		\$	150.8	\$	150.8	\$

⁽¹⁾ Funding includes all derivatives that qualify as hedges under SFAS No. 133.

⁽²⁾ Funding includes a portion of Interim ABCP Facility.

⁽³⁾ Funding consists of auction rate securities.

⁽⁴⁾ Assets include restricted and non-restricted cash equivalents and other overnight type instruments. Funding includes a portion of Interim ABCP Facility.

Assets include receivables and other assets (including Retained Interests, goodwill and acquired intangibles). Funding includes other liabilities and stockholders equity (excluding Series B Preferred Stock).

The funding gaps in the above table are primarily interest rate mismatches in short-term indices between our assets and liabilities. We address this issue typically through the use of basis swaps that primarily convert quarterly 3-month LIBOR to other indices that are more correlated to our asset indices. These basis swaps do not qualify as effective hedges under SFAS No. 133 and as a result the effect on the funding index is not included in our interest margin and is therefore excluded from the GAAP presentation.

97

Managed Basis

Index (Dollars in billions)	Frequency of Variable Resets	Assets	Funding ⁽¹⁾	Funding Gap
3 month Commercial paper	daily	\$ 116.7	\$ 12.1	\$ 104.6
3 month Treasury bill	weekly	11.7	10.6	1.1
Prime	annual	1.0	.2	.8
Prime	quarterly	7.0	6.0	1.0
Prime	monthly	19.6	16.3	3.3
PLUS Index	annual	2.7	4.6	(1.9)
3-month LIBOR	daily		99.4	(99.4)
3-month LIBOR	quarterly	1.5	1.5	
1-month LIBOR ⁽²⁾	monthly		12.2	(12.2)
Non Discrete reset ⁽³⁾	monthly		2.5	(2.5)
Non Discrete reset ⁽⁴⁾	daily/weekly	18.7	15.5	3.2
Fixed Rate ⁽⁵⁾		11.6	9.6	2.0
Total		\$ 190.5	\$ 190.5	\$

- Funding includes all derivatives that management considers economic hedges of interest rate risk and reflects how we internally manage our interest rate exposure.
- (2) Funding includes a portion of Interim ABCP Facility.
- (3) Funding consists of auction rate securities.
- (4) Assets include restricted and non-restricted cash equivalents and other overnight type instruments. Funding includes a portion of Interim ABCP Facility.
- (5) Assets include receivables and other assets (including Retained Interests, goodwill and acquired intangibles). Funding includes other liabilities and stockholders equity (excluding Series B Preferred Stock).

To the extent possible, we generally fund our assets with debt (in combination with derivatives) that has the same underlying index (index type and index reset frequency). When it is more economical, we also fund our assets with debt that has a different index and/or reset frequency than the asset, but only in instances where we believe there is a high degree of correlation between the interest rate movement of the two indices. For example, we use daily reset 3-month LIBOR to fund a large portion of our daily reset 3-month commercial paper indexed assets. In addition, we use quarterly reset 3-month LIBOR to fund a portion of our quarterly reset Prime rate indexed Private Education Loans. We also use our Non Discrete reset and 1-month LIBOR funding (asset-backed commercial paper program and auction rate securities) to fund various asset types. In using different index types and different index reset frequencies to fund our assets, we are exposed to interest rate risk in the form of basis risk and repricing risk, which is the risk that the different indices that may reset at different frequencies will not move in the same direction or at the same magnitude. We believe that this risk is low as all of these indices are short-term with rate movements that are highly correlated over a long period of time. We use interest rate swaps and other derivatives to achieve our risk management objectives.

When compared with the GAAP presentation, the Managed Basis presentation includes all of our off-balance sheet assets and funding, and also includes basis swaps that primarily convert quarterly 3-month LIBOR to other indices that are more correlated to our asset indices.

98

Weighted Average Life

The following table reflects the weighted average life of our Managed earning assets and liabilities at September 30, 2007.

(Averages in Years)	On-Balance Sheet	Off-Balance Sheet	Managed
Earning assets			
Student loans	9.3	6.1	9.2
Other loans	5.3		5.3
Cash and investments	.2	.1	.2
Total earning assets	8.1	5.6	8.1
Borrowings			
Short-term borrowings	.3		.3
Long-term borrowings	6.7	6.1	6.5
Total borrowings	5.2	6.1	5.4

Long-term debt issuances likely to be called by us or putable by the investor have been categorized according to their call or put dates rather than their maturity dates.

COMMON STOCK

The following table summarizes the Company s common share repurchases, issuances and equity forward activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

	Eı	Three Months Ended September 30,		Months ded aber 30,
(Shares in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006
Common shares repurchased: Open market Equity forwards Benefit plans ⁽¹⁾	2.1	2.2 .9 .1	3.1	2.2 5.4 1.4
Total shares repurchased	2.1	3.2	3.1	9.0
Average purchase price per share	\$ 48.47	\$ 48.76	\$ 46.35	\$ 52.55
Common shares issued	3.6	.8	6.6	5.2
Equity forward contracts:				

Edgar Filing: SLM CORP - Form 10-Q

Outstanding at beginning of period	48.2	45.9	48.2	42.7
New contracts		3.2		10.9
Exercises		(.9)		(5.4)
Outstanding at end of period	48.2	48.2	48.2	48.2
Authority remaining at end of period to repurchase or enter into				
equity forwards	15.7	5.7	15.7	5.7

⁽¹⁾ Includes shares withheld from stock option exercises and vesting of performance stock for employees tax withholding obligations and shares tendered by employees to satisfy option exercise costs.

As of September 30, 2007, the expiration dates and purchase prices for outstanding equity forward contracts were as follows:

	Outstanding	Weighted Average Purchase				
Year of Maturity	Contracts (in millions of shares)	Purchase Prices		Price		
2008	7.3	\$43.50 - \$44.00	\$	43.80		
2009	14.7	46.00 - 54.74		53.66		
2010	15.0	54.74		54.74		
2011	9.1	49.75 - 53.76		51.91		
2012	2.1	46.30 - 46.70		46.40		
	48.2		\$	51.86		

The closing price of the Company s common stock on September 30, 2007 was \$49.67. Should the market value of our stock fall below certain initial trigger prices, the counterparty to the contract has a right to terminate the contract and settle all or a portion at the original contract price. For equity forward contracts outstanding at September 30, 2007, these initial trigger prices range from \$23.93 per share to \$30.11 per share.

Depending on market conditions and the economic terms negotiated with counterparties, the Company may enter into agreements to terminate certain of its equity forward purchase contracts. The Company anticipates that, if it were to enter into any such terminations, these contracts would likely be settled using the net cash settlement method. At any time, the Company may also repurchase shares in the open market, enter into new equity forward positions or utilize other programs that have similar economic results in connection with its share repurchase program.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Legislative Developments

On September 27, 2007, the President signed into law the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 (the Act or the CCRAA of 2007), legislation that cuts funding for the FFELP program by \$20 billion over the next five years as estimated by the Congressional Budget Office and will impact our business. The Act:

Reduces special allowance payments to for-profit lenders and not-for-profit lenders for both Stafford and Consolidation Loans disbursed after October 2, 2007 by 0.55 percentage points and .40 percentage points, respectively;

Reduces special allowance payments to for-profit lenders and not-for-profit lenders for PLUS loans by 0.85 percentage points and 0.70 percentage points, respectively;

Doubles lender origination fees on all loan types, from 0.5 percent to 1.0 percent;

For loans first disbursed after October 1, 2012, reduces default insurance to 95 percent of the unpaid principal of such loans;

Eliminates Exceptional Performer designation (and the monetary benefit associated with it) effective October 1, 2007;

Reduces default collections retention by guaranty agencies from 23 percent to 16 percent;

Reduces the guaranty agency account maintenance fee from 0.10 percent to 0.06 percent,

Requires ED to develop and then implement a pilot auction for participation in the FFELP Parent PLUS loan program, by state, effective July 1, 2009; and

100

Table of Contents

Effective October 1, 2007, provides loan forgiveness for all FDLP borrowers, including consolidation borrowers, in certain public service jobs who make 120 monthly payments.

Although the direct effect of the provisions of the Act will be to reduce our margins on FFELP loans (which generate less than half of our Core Earnings net interest income), the net effect of the Act could be significantly mitigated by the market share and other opportunities it creates and the steps the Company might take to capitalize on those opportunities.

On October 10, 2007, The House of Representatives passed HR 3056, the Tax Collection Responsibility Act of 2007, by vote of 232 to 173. If enacted, this legislation would repeal the authority of the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) to contract with private collection agencies for certain federal tax collections. The Company s subsidiary, Pioneer Credit Recovery, is one of two agencies participating in the IRS pilot, testing the use of private collectors in improving federal tax collections. The Senate is not expected to act on corresponding repeal legislation this year. However, the Senate Appropriations Committee has reported a limitation on the funds that could be spent on administering this program next year. Fee income currently generated from federal tax collections activity is currently de minimis to our APG business segment results of operations.

On October 30, 2007, the House and Senate passed S. 2258, The Third Higher Education Extension Act of 2007, which extends the authorization of the Higher Education Act for through March 31, 2008. The reauthorization of the Higher Education Act remains one of the outstanding issues for this Congress. The Senate passed its version of reauthorization, S. 1642, on July 24, 2007. It is expected that the House Education and Workforce Committee could mark-up its version of the reauthorization bill within the next month and could include previously-passed legislation, such as the House-passed Sunshine Act, H.R. 890.

Merger-Related Developments

On April 16, 2007, the Company announced that the Buyer Group signed the Merger Agreement to acquire the Company for approximately \$25.3 billion or \$60.00 per share of common stock. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, J.C. Flowers & Co. and certain other private equity investors, including Friedman Fleischer & Lowe, would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$4.4 billion and own 50.2 percent, and Bank of America (NYSE: BAC) and JPMorgan Chase (NYSE: JPM) each would, upon consummation, invest approximately \$2.2 billion and each would own 24.9 percent of the surviving entity. The remainder of the purchase price is expected to be funded by debt. The Company s independent board members unanimously approved the agreement and recommended that its shareholders approve the agreement. The Company s shareholders approved the Merger Agreement at a special meeting of shareholders held on August 15, 2007. (See also Merger Agreement filed with the SEC on the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 18, 2007.) Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the Company was not permitted to pay dividends on its common stock prior to the consummation of the proposed transaction. This restriction has been terminated. See below.

The termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, was granted on June 18, 2007. On June 1, 2007, the Buyer Group filed with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) its Interagency Notice of Change in Control with respect to the Sallie Mae Bank. As of the date of this Report, the FDIC has not acted on that notice.

On July 11, 2007, the Company announced that the Buyer Group informed the Company that it believed that legislative proposals then pending before the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate could result in a failure of the conditions to the closing of the Merger to be satisfied.

On September 26, 2007, J.C. Flowers & Co., on behalf of itself and the Buyer Group, asserted that the Buyer Group believed that the conditions to closing under the Merger Agreement, if the closing were to occur on that day, would not be satisfied as a result of changes in the legislative and economic environment. On October 2, 2007, the Buyer Group again asserted that it believed that, if the conditions to the closing of the Merger were required to be measured on that day, the conditions to the Buyer Group s obligation to close would not be satisfied, asserted that a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) had

101

Table of Contents

occurred and made a proposal to acquire the Company at a significantly lower price and upon substantially different terms instead of honoring its obligations under the Merger Agreement. On October 3, 2007, the Company notified the Buyer Group that all conditions to closing of the Merger had been satisfied, and set November 5, 2007 as the closing date of the Merger. In response, the Buyer Group sent a letter to the Company on October 8, 2007 asserting that the conditions to closing of the Merger had not been satisfied because of, among other things, the alleged occurrence of a Material Adverse Effect under the terms of the Merger Agreement.

On October 8, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group, which includes J.C. Flowers & Co., JPMorgan Chase, and Bank of America. The lawsuit seeks a declaration that the Buyer Group repudiated the Merger Agreement, that no Material Adverse Effect has occurred and that the Company may terminate the agreement and collect the \$900 million termination fee. On October 12, 2007, the Company requested an expedited trial. On October 15, 2007, the Buyer Group filed an answer and counterclaims and filed a response opposing the Company s request for an expedited trial. On October 22, 2007, the Court held a scheduling conference to set a schedule for trial. Pursuant to the Court s directions at the scheduling conference, effective October 23, 2007, the Buyer Group waived the Company s obligation under the Merger Agreement to comply with, among other things, the covenants that limited the conduct of the Company s business. The Company and Buyer Group have since served discovery requests on each other. Under guidance from the Delaware Court of Chancery at a scheduling hearing on November 5, 2007, the Company has elected to pursue an expedited decision on its October 19, 2007 motion for partial judgment on the pleadings. Specifically, the Company is seeking an expedited ruling that its interpretation of the Merger Agreement as it pertains to a Material Adverse Effect is the correct interpretation. The effect of this election will be that trial is expected to commence on an undetermined date after Thanksgiving 2008, rather than in mid-July 2008.

Other Developments

Exceptional Performer

By a letter dated September 28, 2007, ED informed us that Sallie Mae, Inc. is designated as an Exceptional Performer for the period beginning October 19, 2006. As stated above, the Act eliminates EP designation effective October 1, 2007.

Department of Education Negotiated Rulemaking

On June 12, 2007, ED published in the Federal Register a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. The Company submitted comments on August 10, 2007 to ED s proposed FFELP regulations. ED published final regulations on November 1, 2007, which will be effective on July 1, 2008. The final regulations codify changes regarding 16 topics affecting FFELP loans, including deferment simplification, disability and death discharge, interest capitalization, record retention and reporting, as well as changes regarding prohibited inducements and permissible activities, and requirements schools must meet in providing recommended or preferred lenders to students and their parents.

State Attorney General Investigations

On April 11, 2007, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York under which we agreed to adopt the New York Attorney General s Code of Conduct governing student lending and donate \$2 million to a national fund devoted to educating college bound students about their loan options. Under the agreement, the Company did not admit, and expressly denied, that our conduct constituted any violation of law. The Code of Conduct, among other things, precludes the Company from providing anything more than nominal value to any employees of an institution of higher education and requires additional disclosures to borrowers and schools under certain circumstances. We cannot predict the effect that adopting the Code of Conduct

will have on our future business prospects. Under the settlement agreement, we certified implementation of its terms on August 15, 2007.

102

Table of Contents

Separate from the settlement agreement with the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York, the attorneys general of the States of Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Missouri, New Jersey, Ohio, Tennessee and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts have served civil investigative demands or requests for documents on the Company seeking information concerning our relationships with schools. The Company has responded to these requests by providing responsive documents.

SEC, House and Senate

The SEC is conducting an investigation into trading of SLM stock prior to the public release of the President s budget on February 5, 2007. We are cooperating with the SEC and have provided the requested information and documents. Before the SEC investigation commenced, U.S. Senator Edward Kennedy, chairman of the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, and U.S. Representatives George Miller and Barney Frank, chairmen of the House of Representatives Committee on Education and Labor and Committee on Financial Services, respectively, separately submitted requests for information regarding certain SLM stock sales by SLM s Chairman of the Board of Directors Albert L. Lord. We have cooperated with the Senate and House Committee counsel to provide the requested information.

The U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Education and Labor submitted requests to the Company seeking information regarding our marketing practices in the student loan business. We have cooperated with committee counsel in order to provide the requested information.

The U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions submitted requests to the Company seeking information regarding our marketing practices in the student loan business and our collections practices on delinquent and defaulted FFELP student loans. We have cooperated with committee counsel in order to provide the requested information.

On June 7, 2007, the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Education and Labor requested information from the Company about the qualifying factors and criteria borrowers and schools must meet to obtain the best loan rates and other borrower benefits. In addition, in a letter to the Company dated June 13, 2007, Senator Christopher J. Dodd, Chairman of the United States Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, requested documents that reflect the Company s recent private education loan underwriting criteria, including the factors and relative weights assigned to those factors that the Company considers in its underwriting. The Company has responded to these requests.

103

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The effect of short-term movements in interest rates on our results of operations and financial position has been limited through our interest rate risk management. The following tables summarize the effect on earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 and the effect on fair values at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, based upon a sensitivity analysis performed by management assuming a hypothetical increase in market interest rates of 100 basis points and 300 basis points while funding spreads remain constant. The Effect on earnings tables below apply the increase in market interest rates to all variable-rate financial instruments. The Effect on fair values tables below apply the increase in market interest rates to all fixed-rate financial instruments, including derivatives. An increase in market interest rates would have minimal impact to the fair value of variable-rate financial instruments due to the frequency of interest rate resets. Changes in spreads between indices (basis risk) would have an additional impact to that presented in the following tables. For a discussion of basis risk and a presentation of the asset and liability funding gap by index, see MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES Interest Rate Risk Management Asset and Liability Funding Gap. This analysis does not consider any potential impairment to our Residual Interests that may result from a higher discount rate that would be used to compute the present value of the cash flows if long-term interest rates increased. See the Company s 2006 Form 10-K, Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, Student Loan Securitization, which details the potential decrease to fair value that could occur.

	Three withins Ended September 50,												
	2007						2006						
	Interest				tes:				Interest	terest Rates:			
		Change f Increas 100 Ba Point	e of sis		Change f Increase 300 Ba Point	e of sis	(Change Increas 100 Ba Point	se of asis	(Change Increa 300 B Poin	se of asis	
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)		\$	%		\$	%		\$	%		\$	%	
Effect on Earnings													
Increase/(decrease) in pre-tax net income before unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging	¢	(2)	(1)0/	¢	(6)	(2).0/	¢	1	0/	Ф	2	01	
activities	\$	(2)	(1)%	\$	(6)	(3)%	\$	1	%	\$	3	%	
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities		169	37		261	57		144	127		236	209	
Increase in net income before taxes	\$	167	64%	\$	255	99%	\$	145	31%	\$	239	51%	
Increase in diluted earnings per common share	\$.259	31%	\$.399	47%	\$.210	35%	\$.360	60%	

Three Months Ended September 30.

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended September 30,

		200	7			2006 Interest Rates:					
		Interest 1	Ra	tes:							
	Change f Increas 100 Ba Point	se of asis		Change increas 300 Ba Point	se of asis		Change Increas 100 Ba Poin	se of asis		Change Increas 300 Bs Poin	se of asis
ollars in millions, except per share amounts)	\$	%		\$	%		\$	%		\$	%
fect on Earnings crease/(decrease) in pre-tax net income before realized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging tivities realized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging	\$ 17	1%	\$	44	4%	\$	(6)		% \$	(24)	(1)
tivities	169	304		261	469		144	1,163		236	1,913
crease in net income before taxes	\$ 186	15%	\$	305	25%	\$	138	7%	\$	212	11%
crease in diluted earnings per common share	\$.297	18%	\$.508	30%	\$.210	8%	\$.360	14%

At September 30, 2007

		Interest Rates:									
			Change for Increase 100 Bas Points	of sis		Change for Increase 300 Bas Points	e of Sis				
(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value		\$	%		\$	%				
Effect on Fair Values											
Assets Total FFELP student loans	\$ 108,0)15 \$	(200)	%	\$	(382)	%				
Private Education Loans	\$ 106,0 16,0		(209)	70	Ф	(362)	70				
Other earning assets	18,2		(24)			(69)					
Other assets	13,4		(725)	(5)		(1,312)	(10)				
Total assets	\$ 155,7	27 \$	(958)	(1)%	\$	(1,763)	(1)%				
Liabilities											
Interest bearing liabilities	\$ 137,7	709 \$	(1,495)	(1)%	\$	(3,451)	(3)%				
Other liabilities	3,9	934	652	17		1,936	49				
Total liabilities	\$ 141,6	543 \$	(843)	(1)%	\$	(1,515)	(1)%				

Total liabilities

		At Decem	ber 31, 20 Interest	es:						
		Change fr Increase 100 Bas Points	of	Change fr Increase 300 Bas Points	of is					
(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value	\$	%	\$	%					
(Solars in minors)	v uruc	Ψ	70	Ψ	,0					
Effect on Fair Values										
Assets										
Total FFELP student loans \$	87,797	\$ (182)	%	\$ (313)	%					
Private Education Loans	12,063									
Other earning assets	9,950	(38)		(109)	(1)					
Other assets	10,299	(436)	(4)	(750)	(7)					
Total assets \$	120,109	\$ (656)	(1)%	\$ (1,172)	(1)%					
Liabilities										
Interest bearing liabilities \$	108,142	\$ (1,427)	(1)%	\$ (3,610)	(3)%					
Other liabilities	3,680	877	24	2,613	71					

A primary objective in our funding is to minimize our sensitivity to changing interest rates by generally funding our floating rate student loan portfolio with floating rate debt. However, we can have a fixed versus floating mismatch in funding if the student loan earns Floor Income at the fixed borrower rate and the funding remains floating.

\$ 111.822

(550)

(997)

(1)%

During the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, certain FFELP student loans were earning Floor Income and we locked in a portion of that Floor Income through the use of futures and Floor Income Contracts. The result of these hedging transactions was to convert a portion of the fixed rate nature of student loans to variable rate, and to fix the relative spread between the student loan asset rate and the variable rate liability.

In the above table, under the scenario where interest rates increase 100 and 300 basis points, the changes in pre-tax net income before the unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities is primarily due to the impact of (i) our off-balance sheet hedged FFELP Consolidation Loan securitizations and the related Embedded Floor Income recognized as part of the gain on sale, which results in a decrease in payments on the written Floor contracts that more than offset impairment losses on the Embedded Floor Income in the Residual Interest; (ii) variable rate assets being funded with fixed rate debt and (iii) fixed rate assets being funded with variable debt. The first two items will generally cause income to increase when interest rates increase, whereas, the third item will generally offset this increase. In the 100 and 300 basis point scenario for the three months ended September 30, 2007, item (iii) had a greater impact than item (i) resulting in a net loss. In the prior year period, items (i) and (ii) had a greater impact than item (iii) resulting in a net gain for both the 100 and 300 basis point scenarios.

In the 100 and 300 basis point scenario for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, item (ii) resulted in a net gain. In the prior year period, item (iii) resulted in a net loss for both scenarios.

In addition to interest rate risk addressed in the preceding tables, the Company is also exposed to risks related to foreign currency exchange rates and the equity price of its own stock. Foreign currency exchange risk is primarily the result of foreign denominated debt issued by the Company. As it relates to the Company s corporate unsecured and securitization debt programs used to fund the Company s business, the Company s policy is to use cross currency interest rate swaps to swap all foreign denominated debt payments (fixed and floating) to U.S. dollar LIBOR using a fixed exchange rate. In the tables above, there would be an immaterial impact on earnings if exchange rates were to decrease or increase, due to the terms of the hedging instrument

106

Table of Contents

and hedged items matching. The balance sheet interest bearing liabilities would be affected by a change in exchange rates, however, the change would be materially offset by the cross currency interest rate swaps in other assets or other liabilities. In addition, the Company has foreign exchange risk as a result of international operations; however, the exposure is minimal at this time.

Equity price risk of the Company s own stock is due to equity forward contracts used in the Company s share repurchase program. A hypothetical decrease in the Company s stock price per share of \$5.00 and \$10.00 would result in a \$241 million and \$482 million unrealized loss on derivative and hedging, respectively. In addition to the net income impact, other liabilities would increase by the aforementioned amounts. Stock price decreases can also result in the counterparty exercising its right to demand early settlement on a portion of or the total contract depending on trigger prices set in each contract. The initial trigger prices as of September 30, 2007 range from approximately \$23.93 to \$30.11. At September 28, 2007, the closing price of the Company s stock was \$49.67. With the \$5.00 and \$10.00 decrease in unit stock price above, none of these triggers would be met and no counterparty would have the right to early settlement.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of September 30, 2007. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, concluded that, as of September 30, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (b) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

107

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On October 8, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group seeking a declaration that the Buyer Group repudiated the Merger Agreement, that no Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) has occurred and that the Company may terminate the agreement and collect the \$900 million termination fee (see MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments .)

On April 6, 2007, the Company was served with a putative class action suit by several borrowers in federal court in California. The complaint, which was amended on April 12, 2007, alleges violations of California Business & Professions Code 17200, breach of contract, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, violation of consumer legal remedies act and unjust enrichment. The complaint challenges the Company s FFELP billing practices as they relate to use of the simple daily interest method for calculating interest. On June 19, 2007, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss the amended complaint. On September 14, 2007, the court entered an order denying Sallie Mae s Motion to Dismiss. The court did not comment on the merits of the allegations or the plaintiffs—case but instead merely determined that the allegations stated a claim sufficient under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On September 17, 2007, the court entered a scheduling order that set July 8, 2008, as the start date for the trial. Discovery has commenced and is scheduled to continue through May 30, 2008. The Company believes these allegations lack merit and will continue to vigorously defend itself in this case. The Company filed an answer on September 28, 2007, denying any liability.

On January 25, 2007, the Attorney General of Illinois filed a lawsuit against one of the Company subsidiaries, Arrow Financial Services, LLC (AFS), in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois alleging that AFS violated the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act. The lawsuit seeks to enjoin AFS from violating the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act and from engaging in debt management and collection services in or from the State of Illinois. The lawsuit also seeks to rescind certain agreements to pay back debt between AFS and Illinois consumers, to pay restitution to all consumers who have been harmed by AFS salleged unlawful practices, to impose a statutory civil penalty of \$50,000 and to impose a civil penalty of \$50,000 per violation (\$60,000 per violation if the consumer is 65 years of age or older). The lawsuit alleges that as of January 25, 2007, 660 complaints against AFS have been filed with the Office of the Illinois Attorney General since 1999 and over 800 complaints have been filed with the Better Business Bureau. As of September 30, 2007, the Company owned 88 percent of the membership interests in AFS Holdings, LLC, the parent company of AFS. Management cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit or its effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

We are also subject to various claims, lawsuits and other actions that arise in the normal course of business. Most of these matters are claims by borrowers disputing the manner in which their loans have been processed or the accuracy of our reports to credit bureaus. In addition, the collections subsidiaries in our asset performance group are routinely named in individual plaintiff or class action lawsuits in which the plaintiffs allege that we have violated a federal or state law in the process of collecting their accounts. Management believes that these claims, lawsuits and other actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Finally, from time to time, we receive information and document requests from state attorneys general and Congressional committees concerning certain of our business practices. Our practice has been and continues to be to cooperate with the state attorneys general and Congressional committees and to be responsive to any such requests.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

If the Merger Agreement is terminated, our ability to fund our operations could be materially adversely affected.

On April 16, 2007, the Company announced that a Buyer Group led by J.C. Flowers & Co. signed a definitive agreement to acquire the Company for approximately \$25.3 billion or \$60.00 per share of common stock. See MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments in this Form 10-Q. As a result of the Company s announcement, Moody s Investor Services, Standard & Poor s and Fitch Ratings placed the long and short-term ratings on our senior unsecured debt under review for possible downgrade and secondary market credit spreads on our outstanding senior unsecured bonds widened significantly. As a consequence, since the Merger announcement we have relied primarily on our Interim ABCP Facility and the term asset-backed securities markets as our primary sources of liquidity. If the Merger agreement is terminated or the Merger does not close, our liquidity could be materially adversely affected as a result of the prospective termination of the Company s Interim ABCP Facility. We are in substantive discussions with various financing sources concerning the replacement of this facility, should it be necessary, and believe that this source of liquidity can be replaced in a timely manner. In addition, any new issuance of unsecured debt will likely be subject to much wider spreads and more restrictive terms than we have historically experienced. Moreover, the price of our stock could be materially adversely affected. In such circumstances, if the stock price were to fall below \$30.11, we may be required to settle our equity forward contracts in a manner that could have a materially dilutive effect on our common stock, as more fully described within the Company s 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K at Item 1A. Risk Factors LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES.

On October 8, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Delaware Court of Chancery against the Buyer Group seeking a declaration that the Buyer Group repudiated the Merger Agreement, that no Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement) has occurred and that the Company may terminate the agreement and collect the \$900 million termination fee (see MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS RECENT DEVELOPMENTS Merger-Related Developments .)

Our derivative counterparties may terminate their positions with the Company if its credit ratings fall to certain levels and the Company could incur substantial additional costs to replace any terminated positions.

The majority of our ISDA Master Agreements with our counterparties relating to non-equity forward transactions provide that the counterparty may declare a Termination Event and terminate its positions if a Designated Event occurs and the unsecured and unsubordinated long-term debt rating of the Company falls below a pre-determined level or the Company s unsecured and unsubordinated long-term debt is not rated. For purposes of these ISDA Master Agreements, the execution of the Merger Agreement constituted a Designated Event. Therefore under the agreements, the counterparties would have a right to terminate their positions if the Company s unsecured and unsubordinated long-term debt rating fell below either of the pre-determined levels which is typically Baa3 for Moody s and BBB-from S&P. As of September 30, 2007, our ratings were above those levels. In addition we have entered into agreements with counterparties holding substantially all of our non-equity forward derivative transactions under which the counterparties have agreed to waive their rights to declare a Termination Event based upon the execution of the Merger Agreement for a limited period of time, which, in most cases, is through the closing date of the Merger. Depending upon interest rates and exchange rates, the Company could be liable for substantial payments to terminate the positions. In addition, the Company may not be able to replace any terminated positions or may incur substantial additional costs to do so. Our liquidity could be adversely affected by these additional payments and costs.

We could experience cash flow delays or shortfalls if a guaranty agency defaults on its guaranty obligation.

The CCRAA, among other things, reduces default collections retention by guaranty agencies from 23 percent to 16 percent and the guaranty account maintenance fee from 0.10 percent to 0.06 percent. These reductions could adversely affect the results of operations of certain guaranty agencies. A deterioration in the

109

financial status of a guaranty agency and its ability to honor guaranty claims on defaulted student loans could result in a failure of that guaranty agency to make its guaranty payments in a timely manner, if at all. The financial condition of a guaranty agency can be adversely affected if it submits a large number of reimbursement claims to ED, which results in a reduction of the amount of reimbursement that ED is obligated to pay the guaranty agency. ED may also require a guaranty agency to return its reserve funds to ED upon a finding that the reserves are unnecessary for the guaranty agency to pay its FFELP expenses or to serve the best interests of the FFELP.

If ED has determined that a guaranty agency is unable to meet its guaranty obligations, the loan holder may submit claims directly to ED, and ED is required to pay the full guaranty claim. However, ED s obligation to pay guaranty claims directly in this fashion is contingent upon ED making the determination that a guaranty agency is unable to meet its guaranty obligations. ED may not ever make this determination with respect to a guaranty agency and, even if ED does make this determination, payment of the guaranty claims may not be made in a timely manner, which could result in cash flow delays. If these delays are extensive or frequent, we may experience cash flow shortfalls.

As of September 30, 2007, approximately fifty percent of the Company s Managed FFELP loan portfolio was guaranteed by USA Funds.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table summarizes the Company s common share repurchases during the third quarter of 2007 pursuant to the stock repurchase program (see Note 6, Stockholders Equity, to the consolidated financial statements) first authorized in September 1997 by the Board of Directors. Since the inception of the program, which has no expiration date, the Board of Directors has authorized the purchase of up to 317.5 million shares as of September 30, 2007.

				Maximum Number
			Total Number of Shares	of Shares That
	Total Number	verage Price	Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced	May Yet Be Purchased Under
(Common shares in millions)	of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	aid per Share	Plans or Programs	the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾
Period:				
July 1 July 31, 2007	.1	\$ 53.95		15.7
August 1 August 31, 2007	2.0	48.26		15.7
September 1 September 30, 2007				15.7
Total third quarter of 2007	2.1	\$ 48.47		

⁽¹⁾ The total number of shares purchased includes: i) shares purchased under the stock repurchase program discussed above, and ii) shares purchased in connection with the exercise of stock options and vesting of performance stock to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding obligations and shares tendered by employees

to satisfy option exercise costs (which combined totaled 2.1 million shares for the third quarter of 2007).

(2) Reduced by outstanding equity forward contracts.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Nothing to report.

110

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At a special meeting of shareholders held on August 15, 2007, the following proposals were approved by the margins indicated:

1. To approve and adopt the Merger Agreement:

For	Against	Abstain		
279,723,010	296,475	2,227,009		

2. To approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there were insufficient votes at the time of the meeting:

For	Against	Abstain
259,703,045	20,377,657	2,165,792

Item 5. Other Information

Nothing to report.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are furnished or filed, as applicable:

- 31.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

111

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

SLM CORPORATION (Registrant)

By: /s/ SANDRA L. MASINO
Sandra L. Masino
Senior Vice President
Accounting, Credit and Loan Portfolio Analysis
(Principal Accounting Officer and
Duly Authorized Officer)

Date: November 8, 2007

112