

CONOCOPHILLIPS
Form 10-Q
November 01, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-32395

ConocoPhillips

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

01-0562944

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

600 North Dairy Ashford, Houston, TX 77079

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

281-293-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The registrant had 1,599,556,644 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding at September 30, 2007.

**CONOCOPHILLIPS
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>Part I Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Income Statement</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	3
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Supplementary Information Condensed Consolidating Financial Information</u>	26
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	59
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	59
<u>Part II Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	60
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	61
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	62
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	62
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	63
<u>Signature</u>	64
<u>Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges</u>	
<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	
<u>Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Consolidated Income Statement****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues and Other Income				
Sales and other operating revenues*	\$ 46,062	48,076	134,752	142,131
Equity in earnings of affiliates	1,314	1,196	3,749	3,320
Other income	557	313	1,696	537
Total Revenues and Other Income	47,933	49,585	140,197	145,988
Costs and Expenses				
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	30,862	30,551	88,397	93,454
Production and operating expenses	2,620	2,640	7,669	7,549
Selling, general and administrative expenses	569	650	1,700	1,826
Exploration expenses	218	197	739	443
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	2,052	2,137	6,092	5,282
Impairment expropriated assets			4,588	
Impairments	188	267	285	317
Taxes other than income taxes*	4,583	4,853	13,654	13,661
Accretion on discounted liabilities	81	74	241	207
Interest and debt expense	391	308	1,017	783
Foreign currency transaction gains	(20)	(50)	(198)	(10)
Minority interests	25	21	65	60
Total Costs and Expenses	41,569	41,648	124,249	123,572
Income before income taxes	6,364	7,937	15,948	22,416
Provision for income taxes	2,691	4,061	8,428	10,063
Net Income	\$ 3,673	3,876	7,520	12,353
Net Income Per Share of Common Stock				
<i>(dollars)</i>				
Basic	\$ 2.26	2.35	4.60	7.90
Diluted	2.23	2.31	4.54	7.78
Dividends Paid Per Share of Common Stock				
<i>(dollars)</i>				
	\$.41	.36	1.23	1.08

Average Common Shares Outstanding (*in thousands*)

Basic	1,622,456	1,652,623	1,635,128	1,564,423
Diluted	1,644,267	1,675,839	1,657,244	1,587,892

**Includes excise taxes on petroleum products sales:*

	\$ 3,954	4,098	11,864	12,010
--	-----------------	-------	---------------	--------

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Balance Sheet****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars	
	September	December
	30	31
	2007	2006
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,379	817
Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance of \$64 million in 2007 and \$45 million in 2006)	11,867	13,456
Accounts and notes receivable related parties	1,911	650
Inventories	5,312	5,153
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,170	4,990
Total Current Assets	23,639	25,066
Investments and long-term receivables	30,145	19,595
Loans and advances related parties	1,598	1,118
Net properties, plants and equipment	87,407	86,201
Goodwill	29,374	31,488
Intangibles	899	951
Other assets	365	362
Total Assets	\$ 173,427	164,781
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 14,629	14,163
Accounts payable related parties	1,628	471
Notes payable and long-term debt due within one year	405	4,043
Accrued income and other taxes	4,741	4,407
Employee benefit obligations	740	895
Other accruals	1,935	2,452
Total Current Liabilities	24,078	26,431
Long-term debt	21,471	23,091
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	6,561	5,619
Joint venture acquisition obligation related party	6,445	
Deferred income taxes	20,924	20,074
Employee benefit obligations	3,419	3,667
Other liabilities and deferred credits	2,416	2,051
Total Liabilities	85,314	80,933
Minority Interests	1,180	1,202

Common Stockholders Equity

Common stock (2,500,000,000 shares authorized at \$.01 par value)

Issued (2007 1,717,176,211 shares; 2006 1,705,502,609 shares)

Par value	17	17
Capital in excess of par	42,554	41,926
Grantor trusts (at cost: 2007 43,259,722 shares; 2006 44,358,585 shares)	(746)	(766)
Treasury stock (at cost: 2007 74,359,845 shares; 2006 15,061,613 shares)	(5,479)	(964)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,930	1,289
Unearned employee compensation	(133)	(148)
Retained earnings	46,790	41,292
 Total Common Stockholders Equity	 86,933	 82,646
 Total	 \$ 173,427	 164,781

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars	
	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	
	2007	2006
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 7,520	12,353
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Non-working capital adjustments		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	6,092	5,282
Impairment expropriated assets	4,588	
Impairments	285	317
Dry hole costs and leasehold impairments	355	141
Accretion on discounted liabilities	241	207
Deferred taxes	55	273
Undistributed equity earnings	(1,472)	(1,007)
Gain on asset dispositions	(1,316)	(64)
Other	28	(296)
Working capital adjustments*		
Decrease in accounts and notes receivable	411	172
Increase in inventories	(334)	(1,922)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	430	(669)
Increase in accounts payable	1,052	181
Decrease (increase) in taxes and other accruals	(305)	911
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	17,630	15,879
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc.**		(14,285)
Capital expenditures and investments, including dry hole costs**	(7,907)	(11,513)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	3,057	246
Long-term advances/loans to affiliates	(449)	(632)
Collection of advances/loans to affiliates	66	115
Other	24	
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(5,209)	(26,069)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Issuance of debt	824	15,263
Repayment of debt	(6,141)	(4,325)
Issuance of company common stock	251	145
Repurchase of company common stock	(4,501)	(675)
Dividends paid on company common stock	(2,009)	(1,684)
Other	(289)	(123)

Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(11,865)	8,601
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	6	71
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	562	(1,518)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	817	2,214
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 1,379	696

* *Net of acquisition and disposition of businesses.*

** *Net of cash acquired.*

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****ConocoPhillips****Note 1 Interim Financial Information**

The interim-period financial information presented in the financial statements included in this report is unaudited and includes all known accruals and adjustments, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position of ConocoPhillips and its results of operations and cash flows for such periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. The acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc. was reflected in our balance sheet beginning at March 31, 2006, and was reflected in our results of operations beginning April 1, 2006. To enhance your understanding of these interim financial statements, see the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles

Effective April 1, 2006, we implemented Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 04-13, Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty. Issue No. 04-13 requires purchases and sales of inventory with the same counterparty and entered into in contemplation of one another to be combined and reported net (i.e., on the same income statement line). Exceptions to this are exchanges of finished goods for raw materials or work-in-progress within the same line of business, which are only reported net if the transaction lacks economic substance. The implementation of Issue No. 04-13 did not have a material impact on net income.

The table below shows the actual nine months ended September 30, 2007, sales and other operating revenues, and purchased crude oil, natural gas and products under Issue No. 04-13, and the respective pro forma amounts had this new guidance been effective for the period included in this report prior to April 1, 2006.

	Millions of Dollars Nine Months Ended September 30	
	Actual	Pro Forma
	2007	2006
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 134,752	135,474
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	88,397	86,797

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). This Interpretation provides guidance on recognition, classification and disclosure concerning uncertain tax liabilities. The evaluation of a tax position requires recognition of a tax benefit if it is more likely than not it will be sustained upon examination. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. The adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

At January 1, 2007, we had unrecognized tax benefits of \$912 million. Included in this balance was \$468 million which, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

We recognize accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense, and penalties in production and operating expenses. At January 1, 2007, accrued liabilities for interest and penalties totaled \$99 million, net of accrued income taxes. See Note 21 Income Taxes, for additional information about income taxes.

Note 3 Acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc.

On March 31, 2006, ConocoPhillips completed the \$33.9 billion acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc., an independent exploration and production company that held a substantial position in North American natural gas proved reserves, production and exploratory acreage.

The final allocation of the purchase price to specific assets and liabilities was completed in the first quarter of 2007. It was based on the final outside appraisal of the fair value of Burlington Resources long-lived assets and the conclusion of the fair value determination of all other Burlington Resources assets and liabilities.

The following table summarizes the final purchase price allocation of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of March 31, 2006:

	Millions of Dollars
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,238
Accounts and notes receivable	1,432
Inventories	229
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	108
Investments and long-term receivables	268
Properties, plants and equipment	28,176
Goodwill	16,787
Intangibles	107
Other assets	46
Total Assets	\$ 50,391
Accounts payable	\$ 1,487
Notes payable and long-term debt due within one year	1,009
Accrued income and other taxes	697
Employee benefit obligations - current	248
Other accruals	254
Long-term debt	3,330
Asset retirement obligations	730
Accrued environmental costs	174
Deferred income taxes	7,849
Employee benefit obligations	347
Other liabilities and deferred credits	397
Common stockholders' equity	33,869
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 50,391

All of the goodwill was assigned to the Worldwide Exploration and Production reporting unit. Of the \$16,787 million of goodwill, \$7,953 million relates to net deferred tax liabilities arising from differences

Table of Contents

between the allocated financial bases and deductible tax bases of the acquired assets. None of the goodwill is deductible for tax purposes.

The following table presents pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of 2006.

	Millions of Dollars
Pro Forma	
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 144,036
Net income	12,747
Net income per share of common stock (<i>dollars</i>)	
Basic	7.71
Diluted	7.60

The pro forma information is not intended to reflect the actual results that would have occurred if the companies had been combined during the period presented, nor is it intended to be indicative of the results of operations that may be achieved by ConocoPhillips in the future.

Note 4 Restructuring

In connection with the acquisition of Burlington Resources, we implemented a restructuring program as part of the effort to capture the synergies of combining the two companies. Under this program, we recorded accruals totaling \$230 million in 2006 for employee severance payments, site closings, incremental pension benefit costs associated with workforce reductions, and employee relocations. Approximately 600 positions were identified for elimination during 2006, most of which were in the United States. During 2007, an additional 35 positions were identified for elimination.

Of the total accrual, \$224 million was reflected in the Burlington Resources purchase price allocation as an assumed liability, and \$6 million related to ConocoPhillips was reflected in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2006. The following table summarizes activity related to the non-pension portion of the accrual in the first nine months of 2007:

	Millions of Dollars
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 120
Benefit payments	(57)
Adjustments	17
Balance at September 30, 2007*	\$ 80

**Includes current liabilities of \$35 million. All workforce reductions are expected to be completed by March 2008. Some costs for site closings, continuation of employee benefits, and employee relocations are expected to extend beyond one year from September 30, 2007.*

Table of Contents**Note 5 Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)**

In June 2006, ConocoPhillips acquired a 24 percent interest in West2East Pipeline LLC (West2East), a company holding a 100 percent interest in Rockies Express Pipeline LLC (Rockies Express). West2East is a VIE, but we are not the primary beneficiary. We use the equity method of accounting for our investment. We issued a guarantee for 24 percent of the \$2 billion in credit facilities of Rockies Express. In addition, we have a guarantee for 24 percent of \$600 million of Floating Rate Notes due 2009 issued by Rockies Express in September 2007. See Note 13 Guarantees, for additional information.

In June 2005, ConocoPhillips and OAO LUKOIL (LUKOIL) created the OOO Naryanmarneftegaz (NMNG) joint venture to develop resources in the Timan-Pechora province of Russia. The NMNG joint venture is a VIE, but we are not the primary beneficiary. We use the equity method of accounting for this investment. At September 30, 2007, the book value of our investment in the venture was \$1,403 million.

See Note 11 Debt, for information about the liquidation of Phillips 66 Capital II.

Note 6 Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	Millions of Dollars	
	September 30 2007	December 31 2006
Crude oil and petroleum products	\$ 4,500	4,351
Materials, supplies and other	812	802
	\$ 5,312	5,153

Inventories valued on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis totaled \$4,156 million and \$4,043 million at September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively. The remainder of our inventories is valued under various methods, including first-in, first-out and weighted average. The excess of current replacement cost over LIFO cost of inventories amounted to \$5,977 million and \$4,178 million at September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Note 7 Assets Held for Sale

In 2006, we commenced asset rationalization efforts that led to the classification of certain assets as held for sale under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. Accordingly, at December 31, 2006, we classified \$3,051 million of non-current assets and \$604 million of non-current liabilities as current assets and current liabilities, respectively.

During the first nine months of 2007, a portion of these held-for-sale assets were sold, additional assets met the held-for-sale criteria, and other assets no longer met the held-for-sale criteria. As a result, at September 30, 2007, we classified \$1,352 million of non-current assets as Prepaid expenses and other current assets on our consolidated balance sheet and we classified \$193 million of non-current liabilities as current liabilities, consisting of \$142 million in Accrued income and other taxes and \$51 million in Other accruals. We expect the disposal of these assets to be substantially completed by the end of 2008.

Table of Contents

The major classes of non-current assets and non-current liabilities held for sale at September 30, 2007, classified as current were:

	Millions of Dollars
Assets	
Investments and long-term receivables	\$ 53
Net properties, plants and equipment	1,215
Goodwill	65
Intangibles	2
Other assets	17
 Total assets reclassified	 \$ 1,352
 Exploration and Production (E&P)	 \$ 214
Refining and Marketing (R&M)	1,138
	\$ 1,352
 Liabilities	
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	\$ 28
Deferred income taxes	142
Other liabilities and deferred credits	23
 Total liabilities reclassified	 \$ 193
 E&P	 \$ 29
R&M	164
	\$ 193

Note 8 Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables**Investments in Venezuela**

See the Expropriated Assets section of Note 10 Impairments, for information on the complete impairment of our investments in the Hamaca and Petrozuata projects.

EnCana Business Ventures

In October 2006, we announced a business venture with EnCana Corporation (EnCana) to create an integrated North American heavy-oil business. The transaction closed on January 3, 2007, and consists of two 50/50 business ventures, a Canadian upstream general partnership, FCCL Oil Sands Partnership (FCCL), and a U.S. downstream limited liability company, WRB Refining LLC (WRB). We use the equity method of accounting for both entities, with the operating results of our investment in FCCL reflecting its use of the full-cost method of accounting for oil and gas exploration and development activities.

FCCL's operating assets consist of the Foster Creek and Christina Lake steam-assisted gravity drainage bitumen projects, both located in the eastern flank of the Athabasca oil sands in northeast Alberta. A subsidiary of EnCana is the operator and managing partner of FCCL. We are obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus accrued interest, to FCCL over a 10-year period beginning in 2007. For additional information on this obligation, see Note 17 Joint Venture Acquisition Obligation.

WRB's operating assets consist of the Wood River and Borger refineries, located in Roxana, Illinois, and Borger, Texas, respectively. As a result of our contribution of these two assets to WRB, a basis difference of \$5.0 billion was created due to the fair value of the contributed assets recorded by WRB exceeding their

Table of Contents

historic book value. The difference is amortized and recognized as a benefit evenly over a period of 25 years, which is the estimated remaining useful life of the refineries at the closing date. The basis difference at September 30, 2007, was approximately \$4.9 billion. We are the operator and managing partner of WRB. EnCana is obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus accrued interest, to WRB over a 10-year period beginning in 2007. For the Wood River refinery, operating results are shared 50/50 starting upon formation. For the Borger refinery, we are entitled to 85 percent of the operating results in 2007, 65 percent in 2008, and 50 percent in all years thereafter.

LUKOIL

Our ownership interest in LUKOIL was 20.0 percent at September 30, 2007, based on 851 million issued shares. For financial reporting under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, treasury shares held by LUKOIL are not considered outstanding for determining our equity-method ownership interest in LUKOIL. Our ownership interest, based on estimated shares outstanding, was 20.5 percent at September 30, 2007.

At September 30, 2007, the book value of our ordinary share investment in LUKOIL was \$10,496 million. Our share of the net assets of LUKOIL was estimated to be \$7,939 million. This basis difference of \$2,557 million is primarily being amortized on a unit-of-production basis and recognized as a reduction of earnings. On September 30, 2007, the closing price of LUKOIL shares on the London Stock Exchange was \$83.00 per share, making the total market value of our LUKOIL investment \$14,119 million.

Loans to Related Parties

As part of our normal ongoing business operations and consistent with industry practice, we invest and enter into numerous agreements with other parties to pursue business opportunities, which share costs and apportion risks among the parties as governed by the agreements. Included in such activity are loans made to certain affiliated companies. Significant loans to affiliated companies at September 30, 2007, included the following:

\$648 million in loan financing, including \$74 million of accrued interest, to Freeport LNG for the construction of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) facility. We expect to provide loan financing of approximately \$631 million for the construction of the facility, excluding accrued interest.

\$303 million in loan financing, including \$25 million of accrued interest, to Varandey Terminal Company associated with the costs of a terminal expansion. We expect our total obligation for the terminal expansion to be approximately \$410 million at current exchange rates, excluding interest to be accrued during construction.

\$608 million of project financing, including \$34 million of accrued interest, to Qatargas 3, an integrated project to produce and liquefy natural gas from Qatar's North field. Our maximum exposure to this financing structure is \$1.2 billion, excluding accrued interest.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Properties, Plants and Equipment**

The company's investment in properties, plants and equipment (PP&E), with accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization (Accum. DD&A), was:

	Millions of Dollars					
	September 30, 2007			December 31, 2006		
	Gross PP&E	Accum. DD&A	Net PP&E	Gross PP&E	Accum. DD&A	Net PP&E
E&P	\$ 99,574	28,799	70,775	88,592	21,102	67,490
Midstream	332	167	165	330	157	173
R&M	19,252	4,506	14,746	22,115	5,199	16,916
LUKOIL Investment						
Chemicals						
Emerging Businesses	1,167	130	1,037	1,006	98	908
Corporate and Other	1,355	671	684	1,229	515	714
	\$ 121,680	34,273	87,407	113,272	27,071	86,201

Suspended Wells

The following table reflects the net changes in suspended exploratory well costs during the first nine months of 2007:

	Millions of Dollars Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Beginning balance at January 1	\$ 537
Additions pending the determination of proved reserves	100
Reclassifications to proved properties	(24)
Sales of suspended well investment	(22)
Charged to dry hole expense	(10)
Ending balance at September 30	\$ 581

The following table provides an aging of suspended well balances at September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006:

	Millions of Dollars	
	September 30 2007	December 31 2006
Exploratory well costs capitalized for a period of one year or less	\$ 167	225
Exploratory well costs capitalized for a period greater than one year	414	312
Ending balance	\$ 581	537
Number of projects that have exploratory well costs that have been capitalized for a period greater than one year	36	22

Table of Contents

The following table provides a further aging of those exploratory well costs that have been capitalized for more than one year after drilling is completed, as of September 30, 2007:

Project	Total	Millions of Dollars					2002	2001
		2006	2005	Suspended Since				
				2004	2003			
Alpine satellite Alaska (2)	\$ 21					21		
Kashagan Kazakhstan (1)	18				9		9	
Aktote Kazakhstan (2)	19			7	12			
Kairan Kazakhstan (2)	13			13				
Gumusut Malaysia (2)	30		6	11	13			
Malikai Malaysia (2)	45	16	19	10				
Plataforma Deltana Venezuela (2)	21		6	15				
Uge Nigeria (1)	15		15					
Su Tu Trang Vietnam (2)	23	7	8		8			
Caldita Australia (1)	33		33					
Enochdhu/Finlaggen U.K. (1)	11	11						
Humphrey U.K. (2)	12	12						
Clair U.K. (1)	17	17						
K4 U.K. (2)	12	12						
West Sak Alaska (2)	10	6	3	1				
Jasmine U.K. (1)	28	28						
Twenty projects of less than \$10 million each (1)(2)	86	36	33	2	11	4		
Total of 36 projects	\$ 414	145	123	59	53	25	9	

(1) Additional appraisal wells planned.

(2) Appraisal drilling complete; costs being incurred to assess development.

Note 10 Impairments Expropriated Assets

On January 31, 2007, Venezuela's National Assembly passed a law allowing the president of Venezuela to pass laws on certain matters by decree. On February 26, 2007, the president of Venezuela issued a decree (the Nationalization Decree) mandating the termination of the then-existing structures related to our heavy-oil ventures and oil production risk contracts and the transfer of all rights relating to our heavy-oil ventures and oil production risk contracts to joint ventures (*empresas mixtas*) that will be controlled by the Venezuelan national oil company or its subsidiaries. On June 26, 2007, we announced we had been unable to reach agreement with respect to our migration to an *empresa mixta* structure mandated by the Nationalization Decree. In response, *Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA)* or its affiliates directly assumed the activities associated with ConocoPhillips' interests in the Petrozuata and Hamaca heavy-oil ventures and the offshore Corocoro oil development project. Based on Venezuelan statements that the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela occurred on June 26, 2007, management determined such expropriation required a complete impairment, under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, of our investments in the Petrozuata and Hamaca heavy-oil ventures and the offshore Corocoro oil development project. Accordingly, we recorded a non-cash impairment, including allocable goodwill, of \$4,588 million before-tax (\$4,512 million after-tax) in the second quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents

The impairment included equity-method investments and properties, plants and equipment. Also, this expropriation of our oil interests is viewed as a partial disposition of our Worldwide Exploration and Production reporting unit and, under the guidance in SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, required an allocation of goodwill to the expropriation event. The amount of goodwill impaired as a result of this allocation was \$1,925 million.

Negotiations continue between ConocoPhillips and Venezuelan authorities concerning appropriate compensation for the expropriation of the company's interests. We continue to preserve all our rights with respect to this situation, including our rights under the contracts we signed and under international and Venezuelan law. We continue to evaluate our options in realizing adequate compensation for the value of our oil investments and operations in Venezuela and expect to file a request for international arbitration on November 2, 2007.

We believe the value of our expropriated Venezuelan oil operations substantially exceeds the historical cost-based carrying value plus goodwill allocable to those operations. Although negotiations continue with Venezuelan authorities, it is not possible to predict with any certainty the outcome of these negotiations. Additionally, should we pursue other means of dispute resolution, U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require a claim that is the subject of litigation be presumed to not be probable of realization. Accordingly, any compensation for our expropriated assets was not considered when making the impairment determination, since to do so could result in the recognition of compensation for the expropriation prior to its realization.

At December 31, 2006, we had recorded 1,088 million barrels of oil equivalent of proved reserves related to Petrozuata and Hamaca, and 17 million barrels of oil equivalent of proved reserves related to Corocoro. The loss of proved reserves related to these projects will be reflected as a downward adjustment in our 2007 reserves.

Other Impairments

During the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, we recognized the following net impairments, excluding impairments of expropriated assets:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30 2007	2006	September 30 2007	2006
Asset write-downs				
E&P				
United States	\$		1	40
International	151	7	326	17
R&M				
Goodwill and intangible assets	10	130	8	130
Other	21	130	70	130
Corporate	8		8	
Increase in fair value of previously impaired assets R&M	(2)		(128)	
	\$ 188	267	285	317

Table of Contents

During the third quarter and nine-month period of 2007, we recorded property impairments primarily for:
The write-down of held-for-sale assets to fair value, less cost to sell.

Changes in asset retirement obligations for properties at the end of their economic life.

The write-down of abandoned properties or projects.

In addition and in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the nine-month period of 2007 included a \$128 million gain for the subsequent increase in the fair value of certain assets impaired in the prior year to primarily reflect finalized sales agreements. This gain was netted with write-downs into the Impairments line of the consolidated income statement.

Impairments during the third quarter of 2006 included \$266 million associated with planned asset dispositions in our E&P and R&M segments. Impairments for the nine-month period of 2006 also included a \$40 million property impairment as a result of our decision to withdraw an application for a proposed liquefied natural gas regasification terminal. We also impaired properties due to changes in asset retirement obligation estimates for properties at the end of their economic life.

Note 11 Debt

In September 2007, we replaced our \$5 billion and \$2.5 billion revolving credit facilities with a \$7.5 billion revolving credit facility expiring in September 2012. The new facility contains the same terms as the previous facilities. The facility may be used as direct bank borrowings, as support for the ConocoPhillips \$7.5 billion commercial paper program, as support for the ConocoPhillips Qatar Funding Ltd. \$1.5 billion commercial paper program, or as support for issuances of letters of credit totaling up to \$750 million. At September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, we had no outstanding borrowings under our credit facilities, but \$41 million in letters of credit had been issued at both dates. Under both commercial paper programs, there was \$603 million of commercial paper outstanding at September 30, 2007, compared with \$2,931 million at December 31, 2006.

At September 30, 2007, we had classified \$603 million of short-term debt as long-term debt, based on our ability and intent to refinance the obligation on a long-term basis under our revolving credit facilities.

At December 31, 2006, Phillips 66 Capital II (Trust II), an unconsolidated VIE, had outstanding \$350 million of 8% Capital Securities (Capital Securities). The sole asset of Trust II was \$361 million of the company's 8% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due 2037 (Subordinated Debt Securities II). Effective January 15, 2007, we redeemed the Subordinated Debt Securities II at a premium of \$14 million, plus accrued interest, resulting in the immediate redemption of the Capital Securities. Upon redemption of the Capital Securities, Trust II was liquidated.

In January 2007, we redeemed our \$153 million 7.25% Notes due 2007 upon their maturity. In February 2007, we reduced our Floating Rate Five-Year Term Note due 2011 from \$5 billion to \$4 billion, with a subsequent reduction in July 2007 to \$3 billion. In April 2007, we redeemed our \$1 billion Floating Rate Notes due 2007 upon their maturity.

In May 2007, Polar Tankers, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary, issued an offering of \$645 million 5.951% Notes due 2037. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by ConocoPhillips and ConocoPhillips Company.

On October 31, 2007, we redeemed \$300 million of ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company's Floating Rate Notes due 2009 at par plus accrued interest.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Contingencies and Commitments**

In the case of all known non-income-tax-related contingencies, we accrue a liability when the loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. We do not reduce these liabilities for potential insurance or third-party recoveries. If applicable, we accrue receivables for probable insurance or other third-party recoveries. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we adopted FIN 48, effective January 1, 2007. FIN 48 requires a cumulative probability-weighted loss accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles and Note 21 Income Taxes, for additional information about income-tax related contingencies.

Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our financial statements. As we learn new facts concerning contingencies, we reassess our position both with respect to accrued liabilities and other potential exposures. Estimates that are particularly sensitive to future changes include contingent liabilities recorded for environmental remediation, tax and legal matters. Estimated future environmental remediation costs are subject to change due to such factors as the uncertain magnitude of cleanup costs, the unknown time and extent of such remedial actions that may be required, and the determination of our liability in proportion to that of other responsible parties. Estimated future costs related to tax and legal matters are subject to change as events evolve and as additional information becomes available during the administrative and litigation processes.

Environmental

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. These may result in obligations to remove or mitigate the effects on the environment of the placement, storage, disposal or release of certain chemical, mineral and petroleum substances at various sites. When we prepare our financial statements, we record accruals for environmental liabilities based on management's best estimates, using all information that is available at the time. We measure estimates and base liabilities on currently available facts, existing technology, and presently enacted laws and regulations, taking into consideration the likely effects of societal and economic factors. When measuring environmental liabilities, we also consider our prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites, other companies' cleanup experience, and data released by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or other organizations. We consider unasserted claims in our determination of environmental liabilities and we accrue them in the period that they are both probable and reasonably estimable.

Although liability of those potentially responsible for environmental remediation costs is generally joint and several for federal sites and frequently so for state sites, we are usually only one of many companies cited at a particular site. Due to the joint and several liabilities, we could be responsible for all of the cleanup costs related to any site at which we have been designated as a potentially responsible party. If we were solely responsible, the costs, in some cases, could be material to our, or one of our segments', results of operations, capital resources or liquidity. However, settlements and costs incurred in matters that previously have been resolved have not been material to our results of operations or financial condition. We have been successful to date in sharing cleanup costs with other financially sound companies. Many of the sites at which we are potentially responsible are still under investigation by the EPA or the state agencies concerned. Prior to actual cleanup, those potentially responsible normally assess the site conditions, apportion responsibility and determine the appropriate remediation. In some instances, we may have no liability or may attain a settlement of liability. Where it appears that other potentially responsible parties may be financially unable to bear their proportional share, we consider this inability in estimating our potential liability and adjust our accruals accordingly.

Table of Contents

As a result of various acquisitions in the past, we assumed certain environmental obligations. Some of these environmental obligations are mitigated by indemnifications made by others for our benefit and some of the indemnifications are subject to dollar limits and time limits. We have not recorded accruals for any potential contingent liabilities that we expect to be funded by the prior owners under these indemnifications.

We are currently participating in environmental assessments and cleanups at numerous federal Superfund and comparable state sites. After an assessment of environmental exposures for cleanup and other costs, we make accruals on an undiscounted basis (except for those acquired in a purchase business combination, which we record on a discounted basis) for planned investigation and remediation activities for sites where it is probable that future costs will be incurred and these costs can be reasonably estimated. At September 30, 2007, our balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$1,042 million, compared with \$1,062 million at December 31, 2006. We expect to incur the majority of these expenditures within the next 30 years. We have not reduced these accruals for possible insurance recoveries. In the future, we may be involved in additional environmental assessments, cleanups and proceedings.

Legal Proceedings

Our legal organization applies its knowledge, experience, and professional judgment to the specific characteristics of our cases, employing a litigation management process to manage and monitor the legal proceedings against us. Our process facilitates the early evaluation and quantification of potential exposures in individual cases. This process also enables us to track those cases which have been scheduled for trial, as well as the pace of settlement discussions in individual matters. Based on our professional judgment and experience in using these litigation management tools and available information about current developments in all our cases, our legal organization believes there is only a remote likelihood that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Other Contingencies

We have contingent liabilities resulting from throughput agreements with pipeline and processing companies not associated with financing arrangements. Under these agreements, we may be required to provide any such company with additional funds through advances and penalties for fees related to throughput capacity not utilized. In addition, at September 30, 2007, we had performance obligations secured by letters of credit totaling \$1,041 million (of which \$41 million was issued under the provisions of our revolving credit facilities, and the remainder was issued as direct bank letters of credit) and various purchase commitments for materials, supplies, services and items of permanent investment incident to the ordinary conduct of business.

See Note 10 Impairments, for additional information about expropriated assets in Venezuela and the contingencies related to receiving adequate compensation for our oil interests in Venezuela.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Guarantees**

At September 30, 2007, we were liable for certain contingent obligations under various contractual arrangements as described below. We recognize a liability, at inception, for the fair value of our obligation as a guarantor for newly issued or modified guarantees. Unless the carrying amount of the liability is noted, we have not recognized a liability either because the guarantees were issued prior to December 31, 2002, or because the fair value of the obligation is immaterial.

Construction Completion Guarantees

In June 2006, we issued a guarantee for 24 percent of the \$2 billion in credit facilities of Rockies Express Pipeline LLC (Rockies Express), which will be used to construct a natural gas pipeline across a portion of the United States. At September 30, 2007, Rockies Express had \$1,758 million outstanding under the credit facilities, with our 24 percent guarantee equaling \$422 million. The maximum potential amount of future payments to third-party lenders under the guarantee is estimated to be \$480 million, which could become payable if the credit facility is fully utilized and Rockies Express fails to meet its obligations under the credit agreement. In addition, we also have a guarantee for 24 percent of \$600 million of Floating Rate Notes due 2009 issued by Rockies Express in September 2007. It is anticipated that construction completion will be achieved at the end of 2009, and refinancing will take place at that time, making the debt non-recourse. At September 30, 2007, the total carrying value of these guarantees to third-party lenders was \$12 million. See Note 5 Variable Interest Entities (VIEs), for additional information.

In December 2005, we issued a construction completion guarantee for 30 percent of the \$4.0 billion in loan facilities of Qatargas 3, which will be used to construct an LNG train in Qatar. Of the \$4.0 billion in loan facilities, ConocoPhillips has committed to provide \$1.2 billion. The maximum potential amount of future payments to third-party lenders under the guarantee is estimated to be \$850 million, which could become payable if the full debt financing is utilized and completion of the Qatargas 3 project is not achieved. The project financing will be non-recourse upon certified completion, which is expected in 2010. At September 30, 2007, the carrying value of the guarantee to the third-party lenders was \$11 million. For additional information, see Note 8 Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables.

Guarantees of Joint-Venture Debt

At September 30, 2007, we had guarantees outstanding for our portion of joint-venture debt obligations, which have terms of up to 17 years. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees is approximately \$130 million. Payment would be required if a joint venture defaults on its debt obligations.

Other Guarantees

The Mery Sweeny, L.P. (MSLP) joint-venture project agreement requires the partners in the venture to pay cash calls to cover operating expenses in the event the venture does not have enough cash to cover operating expenses after setting aside the amount required for debt service over the next 17 years. Although there is no maximum limit stated in the agreement, the intent is to cover short-term cash deficiencies should they occur. Our maximum potential future payments under the agreement are currently estimated to be \$100 million, assuming such a shortfall exists at some point in the future due to an extended operational disruption.

In February 2003, we entered into two agreements establishing separate guarantee facilities of \$50 million each for two LNG ships. Subject to the terms of each such facility, we will be required to make payments should the charter revenue generated by the respective ship fall below certain specified minimum thresholds, and we will receive payments to the extent that such revenues exceed

Table of Contents

those thresholds. The net maximum future payments that we may have to make over the 20-year terms of the two agreements could be up to \$100 million in total. To the extent we receive any such payments, our actual gross payments over the 20 years could exceed that amount. In the event either ship is sold or a total loss occurs, we also may have recourse to the sales or insurance proceeds to recoup payments made under the guarantee facilities.

We have guarantees of the residual value of leased corporate aircraft. The maximum potential payment under these guarantees at September 30, 2007, was \$150 million.

We have other guarantees with maximum future potential payment amounts totaling \$350 million, which consist primarily of dealer and jobber loan guarantees to support our marketing business, guarantees to fund the short-term cash liquidity deficits of certain joint ventures, two small construction completion guarantees, a guarantee associated with a pending lawsuit, guarantees relating to the startup of a refining joint venture, a guarantee supporting a third-party pipeline construction and guarantees of the lease payment obligations of a joint venture. The carrying amount recorded for these other guarantees, at September 30, 2007, was \$55 million. These guarantees generally extend up to 15 years and payment would be required only if the dealer, jobber or lessee goes into default, if the joint ventures have cash liquidity issues, if construction projects are not completed, if guaranteed parties default on lease payments, or if an adverse decision occurs in the pending lawsuit.

Indemnifications

Over the years, we have entered into various agreements to sell ownership interests in certain corporations and joint ventures and have sold several assets, including downstream and midstream assets, certain exploration and production assets, and downstream retail and wholesale sites that gave rise to qualifying indemnifications. Agreements associated with these sales include indemnifications for taxes, environmental liabilities, permits and licenses, employee claims, real estate indemnity against tenant defaults, and litigation. The terms of these indemnifications vary greatly. The majority of these indemnifications are related to environmental issues, the term is generally indefinite and the maximum amount of future payments is generally unlimited. The carrying amount recorded for these indemnifications at September 30, 2007, was \$457 million. We amortize the indemnification liability over the relevant time period, if one exists, based on the facts and circumstances surrounding each type of indemnity. In cases where the indemnification term is indefinite, we will reverse the liability when we have information the liability is essentially relieved or amortize the liability over an appropriate time period as the fair value of our indemnification exposure declines. Although it is reasonably possible future payments may exceed amounts recorded, due to the nature of the indemnifications, it is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount of future payments. Included in the carrying amount recorded were \$280 million of environmental accruals for known contamination that is included in asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs at September 30, 2007. For additional information about environmental liabilities, see Note 12 Contingencies and Commitments.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Financial Instruments and Derivative Contracts**

Derivative assets and liabilities were:

	Millions of Dollars	
	September	December
	30	31
	2007	2006
Derivative Assets		
Current	\$ 545	924
Long-term	97	82
	\$ 642	1,006
Derivative Liabilities		
Current	\$ 533	681
Long-term	58	126
	\$ 591	807

These derivative assets and liabilities appear as prepaid expenses and other current assets, other assets, other accruals, or other liabilities and deferred credits on the balance sheet.

Note 15 Comprehensive Income

ConocoPhillips comprehensive income was as follows:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net income	\$ 3,673	3,876	7,520	12,353
After-tax changes in:				
Defined benefit pension plans				
Net prior service cost	5		15	
Net actuarial loss	8		38	
Non-sponsored plans			(3)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	1,320	(32)	2,596	906
Hedging activities	(2)	(5)	(5)	2
Comprehensive income	\$ 5,004	3,839	10,161	13,261

Accumulated other comprehensive income in the equity section of the balance sheet included:

	Millions of Dollars	
	September	December
	30	31
	2007	2006
Defined benefit pension plans	\$ (615)	(665)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	4,554	1,958
Deferred net hedging loss	(9)	(4)

Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 3,930	1,289
--	-----------------	-------

Table of Contents**Note 16 Supplemental Cash Flow Information**

	Millions of Dollars	
	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	
	2007	2006
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Issuance of stock and options for the acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc.	\$	16,343
Investment in an upstream business venture through issuance of an acquisition obligation	7,313	
Investment in a downstream business venture through contribution of non-cash assets and liabilities	2,415	
Cash Payments		
Interest	\$ 650	514
Income taxes	7,969	9,313

Note 17 Joint Venture Acquisition Obligation

On January 3, 2007, we closed on a business venture with EnCana Corporation. As part of this transaction, we expect to add approximately 400 million barrels of oil equivalent to our proved reserves in 2007. In addition, we are obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus accrued interest, over a 10-year period, beginning in 2007, to the upstream business venture, FCCL Oil Sands Partnership, formed as a result of the transaction. An initial cash contribution of \$188 million was made upon closing in January.

Quarterly principal and interest payments of \$237 million began in the second quarter of 2007, and will continue until the balance is paid. This obligation is reflected as a liability on our September 30, 2007, consolidated balance sheet. Of the principal obligation amount, approximately \$586 million is short-term and is included in the Accounts payable related parties line on our consolidated balance sheet. The principal portion of these payments is presented on our consolidated statement of cash flows as an other financing activity. Interest accrues at a fixed annual rate of 5.3 percent on the unpaid principal balance. Fifty percent of the quarterly interest payment is reflected as an investment purchase and is included in the Capital expenditures and investments line on our consolidated statement of cash flows.

Table of Contents**Note 18 Employee Benefit Plans
Pension and Postretirement Plans**

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	Millions of Dollars					
	Pension Benefits September 30				Other Benefits September 30	
	2007		2006		2007	2006
	U.S.	Int l.	U.S.	Int l.		
<u>Three Months Ended</u>						
Service cost	\$ 44	25	44	22	3	4
Interest cost	57	41	54	34	12	12
Expected return on plan assets	(51)	(37)	(43)	(31)		
Amortization of prior service cost	3	1	2	2	3	4
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	15	12	22	10	(5)	(4)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 68	42	79	37	13	16
<u>Nine Months Ended</u>						
Service cost	\$ 132	73	130	65	10	11
Interest cost	171	120	157	99	34	35
Expected return on plan assets	(153)	(109)	(126)	(91)		
Amortization of prior service cost	8	5	7	6	10	14
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	46	35	66	30	(15)	(12)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 204	124	234	109	39	48

During the first nine months of 2007, we contributed \$415 million to our domestic qualified and non-qualified plans and \$135 million to our international benefit plans. We currently expect to contribute a total of \$440 million to our domestic plans and \$195 million to our international plans in 2007.

Table of Contents**Note 19 Related Party Transactions**

Significant transactions with related parties were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	September 30	September 30	September 30
	2007	2006*	2007	2006*
Revenues and other income (a)	\$ 2,465	2,391	7,967	6,610
Purchases (b)	4,156	1,966	11,455	5,286
Operating expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses (c)	103	103	309	282
Net interest income (d)	25	2	80	10

* Restated to include additional related party amounts.

- (a) We sold natural gas to DCP Midstream and crude oil to the Malaysian Refining Company Sdn. Bhd (MRC), among others, for processing and marketing. Natural gas liquids, solvents and petrochemical feedstocks were sold to Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem), gas oil and hydrogen feedstocks were sold to Excel Paralubes, and refined products were sold primarily to CFJ Properties and LUKOIL. Natural gas, crude oil, blendstock and other intermediate products were sold to WRB Refining LLC. We also sold various international marketing companies to LUKOIL in the second quarter of 2007. In addition, we charged several of our affiliates, including CPChem, Mery Sweeny L.P. (MSLP), and Hamaca Holding LLC, (up through June 25, 2007) for the use of common facilities, such as steam generators, waste and water treaters, and warehouse facilities.
- (b) We purchased refined products from WRB Refining. We purchased natural gas and natural gas liquids from DCP Midstream and CPChem for use in our refinery processes and other feedstocks from various affiliates. We purchased crude oil from LUKOIL, upgraded crude oil from Petrozuata C.A. (up through June 25, 2007) and refined products from MRC. We also paid fees to various pipeline equity companies for transporting finished refined products and a price upgrade to MSLP for heavy crude processing. We purchased base oils and fuel products from Excel Paralubes for use in our refinery and specialty businesses.
- (c) We paid processing fees to various affiliates. Additionally, we paid crude oil transportation fees to pipeline equity companies.
- (d) We paid and/or received interest to/from various affiliates, including FCCL Oil Sands Partnership. Elimination amounts related to our equity percentage share of profit or loss on the above transactions were not material.

Table of Contents

Note 20 Segment Disclosures and Related Information

We have organized our reporting structure based on the grouping of similar products and services, resulting in six operating segments:

- 1) **E&P** This segment primarily explores for, produces and markets crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids on a worldwide basis. At September 30, 2007, our E&P operations were producing in the United States, Norway, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada, Nigeria, Ecuador, Argentina, offshore Timor Leste in the Timor Sea, Australia, China, Indonesia, Algeria, Libya, Vietnam, and Russia. The E&P segment's U.S. and international operations are disclosed separately for reporting purposes.
- 2) **Midstream** This segment gathers, processes and markets natural gas produced by ConocoPhillips and others, and fractionates and markets natural gas liquids, primarily in the United States and Trinidad. The Midstream segment primarily consists of our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream.
- 3) **R&M** This segment purchases, refines, markets and transports crude oil and petroleum products, mainly in the United States, Europe and Asia. At September 30, 2007, we owned or had an interest in 12 refineries in the United States, one in the United Kingdom, one in Ireland, two in Germany, and one in Malaysia. The R&M segment's U.S. and international operations are disclosed separately for reporting purposes.
- 4) **LUKOIL Investment** This segment represents our investment in the ordinary shares of LUKOIL, an international, integrated oil and gas company headquartered in Russia. At September 30, 2007, our ownership interest was 20 percent, based on issued shares, and 20.5 percent, based on estimated shares outstanding.
- 5) **Chemicals** This segment manufactures and markets petrochemicals and plastics on a worldwide basis. The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in CPChem.
- 6) **Emerging Businesses** The Emerging Businesses segment represents our investment in new technologies or businesses outside our normal scope of operations. Activities within this segment are currently focused on power generation and other items, such as carbon-to-liquids, technology solutions, and alternative energy and programs, such as advanced hydrocarbon processes, energy conversion technologies, new petroleum-based products, and renewable fuels.

Corporate and Other includes general corporate overhead, most interest income and expense, restructuring charges, and various other corporate activities. Corporate assets include all cash and cash equivalents.

We evaluate performance and allocate resources based on net income. Intersegment sales are at prices that approximate market.

See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for information affecting the comparability of sales and other operating revenues presented in the following tables of our segment disclosures.

Table of Contents**Analysis of Results by Operating Segment**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Sales and Other Operating Revenues				
E&P				
United States	\$ 9,416	9,040	27,153	27,157
International	5,559	6,552	17,052	21,076
Intersegment eliminations U.S.	(1,612)	(1,564)	(4,264)	(4,286)
Intersegment eliminations international	(1,927)	(1,869)	(4,844)	(5,244)
E&P	11,436	12,159	35,097	38,703
Midstream				
Total sales	1,182	1,012	3,396	3,212
Intersegment eliminations	(39)	(265)	(143)	(796)
Midstream	1,143	747	3,253	2,416
R&M				
United States	24,369	25,240	69,022	73,681
International	9,178	10,107	27,606	27,819
Intersegment eliminations U.S.	(113)	(211)	(376)	(612)
Intersegment eliminations international	(2)	(5)	(7)	(14)
R&M	33,432	35,131	96,245	100,874
LUKOIL Investment				
Chemicals	2	3	8	10
Emerging Businesses				
Total sales	150	167	450	483
Intersegment eliminations	(105)	(133)	(310)	(361)
Emerging Businesses	45	34	140	122
Corporate and Other	4	2	9	6
Consolidated sales and other operating revenues	\$ 46,062	48,076	134,752	142,131
Net Income (Loss)				
E&P				
United States	\$ 1,225	995	3,196	3,476
International	857	909	(1,189)	4,285

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Total E&P	2,082	1,904	2,007	7,761
Midstream	104	169	291	387
R&M				
United States	873	1,444	3,648	3,174
International	434	20	1,153	388
Total R&M	1,307	1,464	4,801	3,562
LUKOIL Investment	387	487	1,169	1,123
Chemicals	110	142	260	394
Emerging Businesses	3	11	(10)	7
Corporate and Other	(320)	(301)	(998)	(881)
Consolidated net income	\$ 3,673	3,876	7,520	12,353

Table of Contents

	Millions of Dollars	
	September	December
	30	31
	2007	2006
Total Assets		
E&P		
United States	\$ 34,550	35,523
International	56,414	48,143
Goodwill	25,617	27,712
Total E&P	116,581	111,378
Midstream	2,026	2,045
R&M		
United States	24,737	22,936
International	9,225	9,135
Goodwill	3,757	3,776
Total R&M	37,719	35,847
LUKOIL Investment	10,622	9,564
Chemicals	2,331	2,379
Emerging Businesses	1,104	977
Corporate and Other	3,044	2,591
Consolidated total assets	\$ 173,427	164,781

Note 21 Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 was 42 percent and 53 percent, respectively, compared with 51 percent and 45 percent for the same two periods of 2006. The change in the effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2007, versus the third quarter of 2006, was primarily due to a tax rate increase enacted in the United Kingdom in the third quarter of 2006, the effect of our asset rationalization efforts, and a tax rate decrease enacted in Germany in the third quarter of 2007. The change in the effective rate for the nine months of 2007, compared with the same period of 2006, was primarily due to the impact of the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela in the second quarter of 2007 (see Note 10 Impairments, for additional information). This impact was partially offset by a higher proportion of income in higher-tax-rate jurisdictions for the first nine months of 2006. The effective tax rate in excess of the domestic federal statutory rate of 35 percent was primarily due to the impact of foreign taxes.

Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for additional information about the adoption of this Interpretation.

Unrecognized tax benefits increased to \$1,120 million at September 30, 2007, mainly due to increases occurring in the second quarter related to tax positions taken during the current year. Included in this balance is \$676 million which, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate.

We and our subsidiaries file tax returns in the U.S. Federal jurisdiction and in many foreign and state jurisdictions. Audits in major jurisdictions, including the United States, Canada, Norway and the United Kingdom, are generally complete through 2001. Issues in dispute for audited years and audits for subsequent years are ongoing and in various

stages of completion in the many jurisdictions in which we operate around the world. As a consequence, the balance in unrecognized tax benefits can be expected to fluctuate from period to period. It is reasonably possible that such changes could be significant when compared to our total unrecognized tax benefits, but the amount of change is not estimable.

Table of Contents

Note 22 New Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for its measurement and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. We use fair value measurements to measure, among other items, purchased assets and investments, leases, derivative contracts and financial guarantees. We also use them to assess impairment of properties, plants and equipment, intangible assets and goodwill. The Statement does not apply to share-based payment transactions and inventory pricing. We plan to adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2008. We continue to evaluate the Statement, but we do not expect any significant impact to our consolidated financial statements, other than additional disclosures.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This Statement permits an entity to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other items similar to financial instruments at fair value, with all subsequent changes in fair value for the financial instrument reported in earnings. By electing the fair value option in conjunction with a derivative, an entity can achieve an accounting result similar to a fair-value hedge without having to comply with complex hedge accounting rules. We plan to adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2008, and do not expect any significant impact to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Supplementary Information Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

We have various cross guarantees among ConocoPhillips, ConocoPhillips Company, ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II, with respect to publicly held debt securities. ConocoPhillips Company is wholly owned by ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company. ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II are indirect, wholly owned subsidiaries of ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips and ConocoPhillips Company have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II, with respect to their publicly held debt securities. Similarly, ConocoPhillips has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips Company with respect to its publicly held debt securities. In addition, ConocoPhillips Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips with respect to its publicly held debt securities. All guarantees are joint and several. The following condensed consolidating financial information presents the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for:

ConocoPhillips, ConocoPhillips Company, ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II (in each case, reflecting investments in subsidiaries utilizing the equity method of accounting).

All other non-guarantor subsidiaries of ConocoPhillips.

The consolidating adjustments necessary to present ConocoPhillips results on a consolidated basis. This condensed consolidating financial information should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars								
Three Months Ended September 30, 2007								
	ConocoPhillips		ConocoPhillips		ConocoPhillips		All	
	ConocoPhillips	Funding	Canada	Canada	Funding	Funding	Other	Consolidating
	Company	Company	Company	Company	Company	Company	Subsidiaries	Adjustment
			I		II			Consolidated
								Total
Income Statement								
Revenues and Other Income								
Sales and other operating revenues	\$	30,130					15,932	46,062
Equity in earnings of affiliates	3,731	3,227					602	1,314
Other income		(74)					631	557
Intercompany revenues	1	814	30	21	13		4,648	(5,527)
Total Revenues and Other Income	3,732	34,097	30	21	13		21,813	(11,773)
Costs and Expenses								
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products		26,477					9,211	(4,826)
Production and operating expenses		1,054					1,588	(22)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4	365					212	(12)
Exploration expenses		29					189	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		388					1,664	
Impairment expropriated assets								
Impairments		16					172	
Taxes other than income taxes		1,363					3,291	(71)
Accretion on discounted liabilities		12					69	
Interest and debt expense	85	236	28	20	14		604	(596)
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses		6		83	44		(153)	
Minority interests							25	

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Total Costs and Expenses	89	29,946	28	103	58	16,872	(5,527)	41,569
Income (loss) before income taxes	3,643	4,151	2	(82)	(45)	4,941	(6,246)	6,364
Provision for income taxes	(30)	581		11	6	2,123		2,691
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 3,673	3,570	2	(93)	(51)	2,818	(6,246)	3,673

27

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars
Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips Company	ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Income Statement						
Revenues and Other Income						
Sales and other operating revenues	\$	30,727		17,349		48,076
Equity in earnings of affiliates	3,972	2,460		1,005	(6,241)	1,196
Other income		253		60		313
Intercompany revenues	28	673	38	4,654	(5,393)	
Total Revenues and Other Income	4,000	34,113	38	23,068	(11,634)	49,585
Costs and Expenses						
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products		25,463		9,977	(4,889)	30,551
Production and operating expenses		1,090		1,573	(23)	2,640
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3	427		231	(11)	650
Exploration expenses		18		179		197
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		438		1,699		2,137
Impairments		166		101		267
Taxes other than income taxes		1,498		3,423	(68)	4,853
Accretion on discounted liabilities		14		60		74
Interest and debt expense	172	275	28	235	(402)	308
Foreign currency transaction gains				(50)		(50)
Minority interests				21		21
Total Costs and Expenses	175	29,389	28	17,449	(5,393)	41,648
Income before income taxes	3,825	4,724	10	5,619	(6,241)	7,937
	(51)	934	3	3,175		4,061

Provision for income
taxes

Net Income	\$ 3,876	3,790	7	2,444	(6,241)	3,876
-------------------	----------	-------	---	-------	---------	-------

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars								
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007								
	ConocoPhillips		ConocoPhillips		ConocoPhillips		All	
	ConocoPhillips	Funding	Funding	Funding	Funding	Other	Consolidating	Total
	Company	Company	Company	Company	Company	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
	Company	Company	I	II	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated	
Income Statement								
Revenues and Other Income								
Sales and other operating revenues	\$	87,022				47,730		134,752
Equity in earnings of affiliates	7,623	6,881				1,927	(12,682)	3,749
Other income	4	(254)				1,946		1,696
Intercompany revenues	148	2,303	90	60	37	13,215	(15,853)	
Total Revenues and Other Income	7,775	95,952	90	60	37	64,818	(28,535)	140,197
Costs and Expenses								
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products		74,279				27,831	(13,713)	88,397
Production and operating expenses		3,249				4,484	(64)	7,669
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13	1,053				676	(42)	1,700
Exploration expenses		75				664		739
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		1,111				4,981		6,092
Impairment expropriated assets		1,925				2,663		4,588
Impairments		(8)				293		285
Taxes other than income taxes		4,161				9,701	(208)	13,654
Accretion on discounted liabilities		40				201		241
Interest and debt expense	296	882	84	58	40	1,483	(1,826)	1,017
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses		16		181	121	(516)		(198)
Minority interests						65		65

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Total Costs and Expenses	309	86,783	84	239	161	52,526	(15,853)	124,249
Income (loss) before income taxes	7,466	9,169	6	(179)	(124)	12,292	(12,682)	15,948
Provision for income taxes	(54)	2,255	2	9	4	6,212		8,428
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 7,520	6,914	4	(188)	(128)	6,080	(12,682)	7,520

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars
 Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006

	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips Company	ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Income Statement						
Revenues and Other Income						
Sales and other operating revenues	\$	90,113		52,018		142,131
Equity in earnings of affiliates	12,585	8,627		2,841	(20,733)	3,320
Other income		302		235		537
Intercompany revenues	49	1,898	64	11,489	(13,500)	
Total Revenues and Other Income	12,634	100,940	64	66,583	(34,233)	145,988
Costs and Expenses						
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products		75,380		30,410	(12,336)	93,454
Production and operating expenses		3,493		4,129	(73)	7,549
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13	1,177		675	(39)	1,826
Exploration expenses		49		394		443
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		1,276		4,006		5,282
Impairments		204		113		317
Taxes other than income taxes		4,439		9,422	(200)	13,661
Accretion on discounted liabilities		43		164		207
Interest and debt expense	392	656	52	535	(852)	783
Foreign currency transaction gains				(10)		(10)
Minority interests				60		60
Total Costs and Expenses	405	86,717	52	49,898	(13,500)	123,572
Income before income taxes	12,229	14,223	12	16,685	(20,733)	22,416
	(124)	2,357	4	7,826		10,063

Provision for income
taxes

Net Income	\$ 12,353	11,866	8	8,859	(20,733)	12,353
-------------------	-----------	--------	---	-------	----------	--------

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars
At September 30, 2007

	ConocoPhillips Company	ConocoPhillips Company	Australia Funding Company	ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I	ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Balance Sheet								
Assets								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	73			1	1,678	(373)	1,379
Accounts and notes receivable	73	11,200	331	12	4	18,760	(16,602)	13,778
Inventories		2,942				2,374	(4)	5,312
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4	825				2,341		3,170
Total Current Assets	77	15,040	331	12	5	25,153	(16,979)	23,639
Investments, loans and long-term receivables*	89,198	79,337	1,700	1,473	997	45,498	(186,460)	31,743
Net properties, plants and equipment		17,198				70,196	13	87,407
Goodwill		12,757				16,617		29,374
Intangibles		813				86		899
Other assets	8	147	4	5	5	445	(249)	365
Total Assets	89,283	125,292	2,035	1,490	1,007	157,995	(203,675)	173,427
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity								
Accounts payable	18	18,668		9	4	14,160	(16,602)	16,257
Notes payable and long-term debt due within one year		12	300			93		405
Accrued income and other taxes		518		1		4,125	97	4,741
		434				305	1	740

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Employee benefit obligations								
Other accruals	45	705	35	33	23	1,096	(2)	1,935
Total Current Liabilities	63	20,337	335	43	27	19,779	(16,506)	24,078
Long-term debt	4,393	6,000	1,699	1,250	848	7,281		21,471
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs		1,003				5,558		6,561
Joint venture acquisition obligation						6,445		6,445
Deferred income taxes	(3)	3,199		26	16	17,675	11	20,924
Employee benefit obligations		2,197				1,222		3,419
Other liabilities and deferred credits*	4,623	32,473		149	100	30,349	(65,278)	2,416
Total Liabilities	9,076	65,209	2,034	1,468	991	88,309	(81,773)	85,314
Minority interests		(19)				1,201	(2)	1,180
Retained earnings	40,268	29,842	1	(159)	(102)	33,480	(56,540)	46,790
Other stockholders equity	39,939	30,260		181	118	35,005	(65,360)	40,143
Total	\$ 89,283	125,292	2,035	1,490	1,007	157,995	(203,675)	173,427

* Includes intercompany loans.

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars
At December 31, 2006

	ConocoPhillips Company	ConocoPhillips Funding Company	ConocoPhillips Australia Company	ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I	ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Balance Sheet								
Assets								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 116				1	1,042	(342)	817
Accounts and notes receivable	65	13,233	22	10	2	17,224	(16,450)	14,106
Inventories		2,906				2,247		5,153
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11	895		10	7	4,067		4,990
Total Current Assets	76	17,150	22	20	10	24,580	(16,792)	25,066
Investments, loans and long-term receivables*	86,292	58,530	2,000	1,241	841	28,372	(156,563)	20,713
Net properties, plants and equipment		19,072				67,122	7	86,201
Goodwill		15,226				16,262		31,488
Intangibles		852				99		951
Other assets	10	141	5	35	24	195	(48)	362
Total Assets	86,378	110,971	2,027	1,296	875	136,630	(173,396)	164,781
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity								
Accounts payable	68	16,641		5	3	14,367	(16,450)	14,634
Notes payable and long-term debt due within one year	3,431	525				87		4,043
Accrued income and other taxes		732				3,577	98	4,407
Employee benefit obligations		464				431		895

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Other accruals	50	804	24	16	10	1,565	(17)	2,452
Total Current Liabilities	3,549	19,166	24	21	13	20,027	(16,369)	26,431
Long-term debt	6,521	6,036	1,999	1,250	848	6,437		23,091
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs		1,095				4,524		5,619
Deferred income taxes	(8)	2,969		16	10	17,086	1	20,074
Employee benefit obligations		2,379				1,288		3,667
Other liabilities and deferred credits*	29	28,306				22,300	(48,584)	2,051
Total Liabilities	10,091	59,951	2,023	1,287	871	71,662	(64,952)	80,933
Minority interests		(19)				1,221		1,202
Retained earnings	34,756	22,939	4	29	26	28,029	(44,491)	41,292
Other stockholders equity	41,531	28,100		(20)	(22)	35,718	(63,953)	41,354
Total	\$ 86,378	110,971	2,027	1,296	875	136,630	(173,396)	164,781

*Includes intercompany loans.

Table of Contents

	Millions of Dollars						
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007						
	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	Total
		Australia	Canada	Canada	All Other	Consolidating	
	ConocoPhillips	Funding	Funding	Funding	All Other	Consolidating	Total
	Company	Company	Company	Company	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
	ConocoPhillips	Company	Company	I	II	Consolidated	Consolidated
Statement of Cash Flows							
Cash Flows From Operating Activities							
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	\$ 11,862	(2,048)	7		8,473	(664)	17,630
Cash Flows From Investing Activities							
Acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc. Capital expenditures and investments, including dry hole costs		(1,821)			(6,288)	202	(7,907)
Proceeds from asset dispositions		1,299			2,604	(846)	3,057
Long-term advances/loans to affiliates		(143)			(2,486)	2,180	(449)
Collection of advances/loans to affiliates		954			1	(889)	66
Other	1	22			1		24
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	1	311			(6,168)	647	(5,209)

**Cash Flows From
Financing
Activities**

Issuance of debt	(36)	2,179		861	(2,180)	824
Repayment of debt	(5,564)	(561)		(905)	889	(6,141)
Issuance of company common stock	251					251
Repurchase of company common stock	(4,501)					(4,501)
Dividends paid on company common stock	(2,009)		(7)	(626)	633	(2,009)
Other	(4)	76		(1,005)	644	(289)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(11,863)	1,694	(7)	(1,675)	(14)	(11,865)

**Effect of
Exchange Rate
Changes on Cash
and Cash
Equivalents**

				6		6
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(43)		636	(31)	562
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		116		1	1,042	(342)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$	73		1	1,678	(373)
						1,379

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars
 Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006

	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips Company	Australia Funding Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Statement of Cash Flows						
Cash Flows From Operating Activities						
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 28,139	2,881		5,780	(20,921)	15,879
Cash Flows From Investing Activities						
Acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc.				(14,285)		(14,285)
Capital expenditures and investments, including dry holes	(17,494)	(2,760)		(9,404)	18,145	(11,513)
Proceeds from asset dispositions		4		242		246
Long-term advances/loans to affiliates and other investments	(14,989)	(241)	(1,992)	(3,771)	20,361	(632)
Collection of advances/loans to affiliates		2,513		1,107	(3,505)	115
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(32,483)	(484)	(1,992)	(26,111)	35,001	(26,069)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities						
Issuance of debt	12,968	18,369	2,000	2,287	(20,361)	15,263
Repayment of debt	(6,400)	(1,259)		(171)	3,505	(4,325)
Issuance of company common stock	145					145
Repurchase of company common stock	(675)					(675)
Dividends paid on company common stock	(1,684)	(20,000)	(1)	(748)	20,749	(1,684)
Other	(10)	(58)	(7)	18,097	(18,145)	(123)

Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	4,344	(2,948)	1,992	19,465	(14,252)	8,601
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents				71		71
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(551)		(795)	(172)	(1,518)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		613		1,601		2,214
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	62		806	(172)	696

Table of Contents**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's Discussion and Analysis contains forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements relating to our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations, and intentions, that are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words intends, believes, expects, plans, scheduled, anticipates, estimates, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. We do not undertake to update, revise or correct any of the forward-looking information. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the disclosures under the heading: CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995 beginning on page 58.

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT AND EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

ConocoPhillips is an international, integrated energy company. We are the third-largest integrated energy company in the United States, based on market capitalization and proved reserves. At September 30, 2007, we had total assets of \$173 billion. Our stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol COP.

Our Exploration and Production (E&P) segment had net income of \$2,082 million in the third quarter of 2007. This compares with a net loss of \$2,404 million in the second quarter of 2007, and net income of \$1,904 million in the third quarter of 2006. In the second quarter of 2007, we recorded a non-cash impairment of \$4,588 million before-tax (\$4,512 million after-tax) related to the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela. For additional information, see the Expropriated Assets section of Note 10 Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Crude oil and natural gas prices, along with refining margins, are driven by market factors over which we have no control. The results for the third quarter of 2007, compared with the second quarter of 2007, were impacted by an increase in crude oil prices. Industry crude oil prices for West Texas Intermediate averaged \$75.48 per barrel in the third quarter of 2007, or \$10.59 per barrel higher than the second quarter of 2007. Crude oil prices were influenced by steady growth in the demand for oil, coupled with supply concerns. Anticipated supply increases were not viewed as sufficient to meet the seasonal demand increase in the second half of the year.

Industry natural gas prices for Henry Hub decreased during the third quarter of 2007 to \$6.16 per million British thermal units (MMBTU), down \$1.39 per MMBTU from the second quarter of 2007. Natural gas prices trended lower during the third quarter of 2007 as inventory storage levels increased. Liquefied natural gas (LNG) imports into the United States increased during July and August, helping to create the high inventory levels. The United States attracted a higher level of imports than previously expected due to lower natural gas prices in Europe. The decrease in prices due to higher storage levels was partially offset by hurricane concerns, as well as temperatures during August that were higher than anticipated. As hurricane season progressed without any major hurricanes impacting the Gulf of Mexico natural gas production region, Henry Hub prices moved lower.

Our Refining and Marketing segment had net income of \$1,307 million in the third quarter of 2007, compared with \$2,358 million in the second quarter of 2007, and \$1,464 million in the third quarter of 2006. Third-quarter 2007 realized refining and marketing margins were significantly lower than the previous period, as gasoline prices declined and diesel prices did not keep pace with the rise in crude oil prices due to market supply and demand conditions for refined products.

Table of Contents

On January 3, 2007, we closed on the business venture with EnCana Corporation to create an integrated North American heavy-oil business. The venture consists of two 50/50 business ventures, a Canadian upstream general partnership, FCCL Oil Sands Partnership, and a U.S. downstream limited liability company, WRB Refining LLC. We use the equity method of accounting for both entities, and the transaction is reflected in our results of operations beginning in the first quarter of 2007.

On March 31, 2006, we completed the \$33.9 billion acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc. (Burlington Resources). This acquisition is reflected in our results of operations beginning in the second quarter of 2006.

In July 2007, we announced plans to repurchase up to \$15 billion of our common stock through the end of 2008. We repurchased \$2.5 billion of our common stock in the third quarter of 2007 and expect to repurchase \$2 billion to \$3 billion under this program in the fourth quarter of 2007.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, discussion of results for the three- and nine-month periods ending September 30, 2007, is based on a comparison with the corresponding periods of 2006.

Consolidated Results

A summary of net income (loss) by business segment follows:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Exploration and Production (E&P)	\$ 2,082	1,904	2,007	7,761
Midstream	104	169	291	387
Refining and Marketing (R&M)	1,307	1,464	4,801	3,562
LUKOIL Investment	387	487	1,169	1,123
Chemicals	110	142	260	394
Emerging Businesses	3	11	(10)	7
Corporate and Other	(320)	(301)	(998)	(881)
Net income	\$ 3,673	3,876	7,520	12,353

Net income was \$3,673 million in the third quarter of 2007, compared with \$3,876 million in the third quarter of 2006. For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, net income was \$7,520 million and \$12,353 million, respectively.

The results for the third quarter of 2007 decreased primarily due to lower refining and marketing margins in the R&M segment, as well as lower equity earnings from our investment in LUKOIL. These decreases were partially offset by the net impact of asset rationalization efforts in our E&P and R&M segments, as well as the settlement of retroactive adjustments for crude oil quality differentials on Trans-Alaska Pipeline System shipments (Quality Bank).

Additionally, the lower results were partially offset by the impact of changes in tax laws and higher crude oil prices in the E&P segment.

Table of Contents

The lower results in the nine-month period were primarily the result of a complete impairment (\$4,512 million after-tax) of our oil interests in Venezuela, resulting from their expropriation in June of 2007. The nine-month period of 2007 benefited from the net impact of asset rationalization efforts, as well as the Alaska Quality Bank settlements. See the **Segment Results** section for additional information on our segment results.

Income Statement Analysis

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased 10 percent in the third quarter of 2007 and 13 percent in the nine-month period, reflecting results from WRB Refining LLC, our new downstream business venture with EnCana. The improved results for both 2007 periods were partially offset by lower equity earnings from:

Hamaca and Petrozuata, our heavy-oil joint ventures in Venezuela, primarily due to the expropriation of our oil interests during the second quarter of 2007.

Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC, our chemicals joint venture, due to lower olefins and polyolefins margins.

DCP Midstream, our midstream joint venture, primarily due to higher operating costs and a positive tax adjustment included in 2006 results.

Earnings from our investment in LUKOIL were lower during the third quarter of 2007 due to an alignment of estimated net income to reported results, as well as higher estimated operating costs.

Other income increased significantly during the third quarter and nine-month period of 2007. The increase in both 2007 periods was primarily due to higher net gains on asset dispositions associated with asset rationalization efforts. In addition, other income increased due to the Alaska Quality Bank settlements. These increases were partially offset by the inclusion of a benefit related to business interruption insurance in 2006 results.

Exploration expenses increased during the first nine months of 2007, partially reflecting the amortization of unproved North American leaseholds obtained in the Burlington Resources acquisition and the impairment of an international exploration license. The increase also reflects higher dry hole costs and geological and geophysical expenses.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) increased 15 percent in the nine-month period of 2007, primarily resulting from the addition of Burlington Resources' assets in the E&P segment's depreciable asset base.

Impairment of expropriated assets reflects a non-cash impairment of \$4,588 million before-tax related to the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela recorded in the second quarter of 2007. For additional information, see the **Expropriated Assets** section of Note 10 **Impairments**, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Interest and debt expense increased 27 percent in the third quarter of 2007 and 30 percent in the nine-month period.

The increase in both 2007 periods is primarily due to the interest expense component of the Alaska Quality Bank settlements, as well as higher expense associated with the funding requirements for the business venture with EnCana. The increase in the third quarter of 2007 is partially offset by lower average debt levels compared with the third quarter of 2006.

Our effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 was 42 percent and 53 percent, respectively, compared with 51 percent and 45 percent for the corresponding periods of 2006. The change

Table of Contents

in the effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2007 was primarily due to a tax rate increase enacted in the United Kingdom in the third quarter of 2006, the effect of asset rationalization efforts, and a tax rate decrease enacted in Germany in the third quarter of 2007. The change in the effective rate for the nine-month period was primarily due to the impact of the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela in the second quarter of 2007 (see Note 10 Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information). This impact was partially offset by a higher proportion of income in higher-tax-rate jurisdictions for the nine months of 2006. Foreign currency transaction gains in the first nine months of 2007 primarily reflect the strengthening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar.

Table of Contents**Segment Results
E&P**

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	Millions of Dollars			
Net Income (Loss)				
Alaska	\$ 765	425	1,807	1,877
Lower 48	460	570	1,389	1,599
United States	1,225	995	3,196	3,476
International	857	909	(1,189)	4,285
	\$ 2,082	1,904	2,007	7,761

Dollars Per Unit

Average Sales Prices

Crude oil (per barrel)				
United States	\$ 72.00	67.25	62.70	63.05
International	74.03	67.45	65.19	65.27
Total consolidated	73.01	67.37	63.99	64.30
Equity affiliates*	44.60	46.98	44.30	47.36
Worldwide E&P	71.34	65.04	61.80	62.18
Natural gas (per thousand cubic feet)				
United States	5.36	5.98	6.01	6.21
International	5.75	5.87	6.24	6.23
Total consolidated	5.56	5.92	6.13	6.22
Equity affiliates*		.32	.30	.30
Worldwide E&P	5.56	5.91	6.13	6.21
Natural gas liquids (per barrel)				
United States	47.73	42.68	43.34	41.86
International	48.63	44.89	44.21	43.84
Total consolidated	48.09	43.62	43.71	42.78
Equity affiliates*				
Worldwide E&P	48.09	43.62	43.71	42.78

Millions of Dollars

Worldwide Exploration Expenses

General administrative; geological and geophysical; and lease rentals	\$ 144	142	384	302
Leasehold impairment	51	37	196	89
Dry holes	23	18	159	52

\$ 218 197 739 443

** Excludes our equity share of LUKOIL reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.*

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Thousands of Barrels Daily				
Operating Statistics				
Crude oil produced				
Alaska	241	234	261	265
Lower 48	103	119	104	101
United States	344	353	365	366
Europe	203	240	210	246
Asia Pacific	83	111	91	109
Canada	17	26	19	25
Middle East and Africa	73	126	80	103
Other areas	10	9	10	6
Total consolidated	730	865	775	855
Equity affiliates*				
Canada	29		27	
Russia and Caspian	15	15	15	15
Venezuela		89	56	102
	774	969	873	972
Natural gas liquids produced				
Alaska	15	11	18	18
Lower 48	73	75	71	58
United States	88	86	89	76
Europe	11	11	12	12
Asia Pacific	13	20	13	20
Canada	26	28	29	23
Middle East and Africa	1	1	2	1
	139	146	145	132
Millions of Cubic Feet Daily				
Natural gas produced**				
Alaska	116	123	113	150
Lower 48	2,219	2,320	2,210	1,953
United States	2,335	2,443	2,323	2,103
Europe	793	955	932	1,061

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Asia Pacific	575	670	592	579
Canada	1,069	1,154	1,118	930
Middle East and Africa	124	134	130	129
Other areas	20	23	21	16
Total consolidated	4,916	5,379	5,116	4,818
Equity affiliates*				
Venezuela		8	6	9
	4,916	5,387	5,122	4,827

**Excludes our equity share of LUKOIL reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.*

***Represents quantities available for sale. Excludes gas equivalent of natural gas liquids shown above.*

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
Mining operations				
Syncrude produced	27	23	24	20

The E&P segment explores for, produces and markets crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids on a worldwide basis. It also mines deposits of oil sands in Canada to extract the bitumen and upgrade it into a synthetic crude oil. At September 30, 2007, our E&P operations were producing in the United States, Norway, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada, Nigeria, Ecuador, Argentina, offshore Timor Leste in the Timor Sea, Australia, China, Indonesia, Algeria, Libya, Vietnam, and Russia.

Net income for the E&P segment increased 9 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to the negative impact of changes in tax laws on the results for the third quarter of 2006. The increase also resulted from higher crude oil prices and a net benefit associated with asset rationalization efforts. In addition, the third quarter of 2007 was impacted by the Quality Bank settlements. These increases were partially offset by lower crude oil and natural gas production, as well as lower natural gas prices and higher operating costs.

Net income for the E&P segment was \$2,007 million in the nine-month period of 2007, compared with net income of \$7,761 million in the corresponding period of 2006. In the second quarter of 2007, we recorded a non-cash impairment of \$4,588 million before-tax (\$4,512 million after-tax) related to the expropriation of our oil interests in Venezuela. For additional information, see the Expropriated Assets section of Note 10 Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference. The results for the nine-month period reflect this impairment of expropriated assets in Venezuela, as well as higher DD&A expense, operating costs and taxes, and lower realized crude oil and natural gas prices. These decreases were partially offset by a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts and net foreign exchange gains.

See the Business Environment and Executive Overview section for additional information on industry crude oil and natural gas prices.

U.S. E&P

Net income from our U.S. E&P operations increased 23 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to higher crude oil prices and sales volumes, as well as the Alaska Quality Bank settlements recorded in the third quarter of 2007. These increases were partially offset by lower natural gas prices and production, higher operating costs, and higher production taxes in Alaska.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 decreased 8 percent, primarily due to higher operating costs, lower crude oil and natural gas prices, and higher production taxes in Alaska. These decreases were partially offset by higher gas production, as well as the Alaska Quality Bank settlements recorded in 2007. In addition, results included gains on the sale of assets in Alaska and the Gulf of Mexico.

U.S. E&P production on a barrel-of-oil-equivalent (BOE) basis averaged 821,000 BOE per day in the third quarter of 2007, a decrease of 3 percent from 846,000 BOE per day in the third quarter of 2006. Production was impacted in 2007 by normal field decline, offset slightly by less downtime in Alaska and new production from satellite fields in Alaska.

Table of Contents

International E&P

Net income from our international E&P operations decreased 6 percent in the third quarter of 2007. The decrease in net income was primarily due to lower crude oil production and, to a lesser extent, lower natural gas production. In addition, the results reflect higher operating costs and a decrease in natural gas prices. These decreases were partially offset by a U.K. tax increase enacted in the third quarter of 2006, as well as higher crude oil prices and a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts.

Our international E&P operations reported a net loss of \$1,189 million in the nine-month period of 2007, compared with net income of \$4,285 million in the corresponding period of 2006. The results were impacted by the impairment of expropriated assets, lower crude oil production, and higher operating costs. These decreases were partially offset by a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts and higher natural gas production.

International E&P production averaged 911,000 BOE per day in the third quarter of 2007, a decrease of 22 percent from 1,167,000 BOE per day in the third quarter of 2006. Production was impacted by the expropriation of our Venezuelan oil projects, our exit from Dubai, planned and unplanned downtime in Australia and the North Sea, production sharing contract impacts, and the effect of asset dispositions. These decreases were slightly offset by production volumes from our upstream business venture with EnCana.

Estimated production for the first six months of 2007 at Petrozuata and Hamaca was 83,000 net barrels per day of crude oil after application of disproportionate OPEC restrictions imposed by the Venezuelan government for January through mid-May, 2007. The estimated net loss attributable to our Venezuelan operations for the first six months of 2007 was \$4,393 million, including the \$4,512 million (after-tax) impairment of our expropriated Venezuelan oil assets.

ConocoPhillips' 40 percent interest in Block 2 of Plataforma Deltana, a natural gas region on Venezuela's continental shelf, was not included in the Nationalization Decree. We continue to evaluate our opportunities for commercial development of Block 2.

In October of 2007, the president of Ecuador issued a decree increasing the amount of government royalty entitlement on crude oil production to 99 percent of any increase in the price of crude oil above a contractual reference price. This decree was published into law effective October 18, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of this law on our operations.

Our Canadian Syncrude mining operations produced 27,000 barrels per day in the third quarter of 2007, compared with 23,000 barrels per day in the third quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents**Midstream**

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	Millions of Dollars			
Net Income*	\$ 104	169	291	387
<i>*Includes DCP Midstream-related net income:</i>	\$ 90	128	216	312
	Dollars Per Barrel			
Average Sales Prices				
U.S. natural gas liquids*				
Consolidated	\$ 48.62	44.10	43.85	41.16
Equity	47.73	43.00	42.86	40.49

** Prices are based on index prices from the Mont Belvieu and Conway market hubs that are weighted by natural gas liquids component and location mix.*

	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
Operating Statistics				
Natural gas liquids extracted*	216	210	208	209
Natural gas liquids fractionated**	168	138	173	143

**Includes our share of equity affiliates.*

***Excludes DCP Midstream.*

The Midstream segment purchases raw natural gas from producers and gathers natural gas through an extensive network of pipeline gathering systems. The natural gas is then processed to extract natural gas liquids from the raw gas stream. The remaining residue gas is marketed to electrical utilities, industrial users, and gas marketing companies. Most of the natural gas liquids are fractionated separated into individual components like ethane, butane

and propane and marketed as chemical feedstock, fuel, or blendstock. The Midstream segment consists of our equity investment in DCP Midstream, LLC, as well as our other natural gas gathering and processing operations, and natural gas liquids fractionation and marketing businesses, primarily in the United States and Trinidad.

Net income from the Midstream segment decreased 38 percent in the third quarter of 2007 and 25 percent in the first nine months of 2007, primarily due to a positive tax adjustment included in the 2006 results. In addition, the results for both 2007 periods reflect a gradual shift in natural gas purchase contract terms that are more favorable to natural gas producers. Earnings from DCP Midstream were lower in both 2007 periods, primarily due to increased operating costs, mainly repairs, maintenance and asset integrity work. These decreases were slightly offset by higher natural gas liquids prices.

Table of Contents**R&M**

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	Millions of Dollars			
Net Income				
United States	\$ 873	1,444	3,648	3,174
International	434	20	1,153	388
	\$ 1,307	1,464	4,801	3,562
	Dollars Per Gallon			
U.S. Average Sales Prices*				
Gasoline				
Wholesale	\$ 2.32	2.27	2.23	2.13
Retail	2.43	2.46	2.38	2.28
Distillates wholesale	2.36	2.31	2.18	2.15

* Excludes excise taxes.

	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
Operating Statistics				
Refining operations*				
United States				
Crude oil capacity**	2,037	2,208	2,034	2,208
Crude oil runs	1,980	2,127	1,938	1,990
Capacity utilization (percent)	97%	96	95%	90
Refinery production	2,177	2,334	2,139	2,173
International				
Crude oil capacity**	687	693	693	637
Crude oil runs	574	617	616	586
Capacity utilization (percent)	84%	89	89%	92
Refinery production	593	643	634	613
Worldwide				
Crude oil capacity**	2,724	2,901	2,727	2,845
Crude oil runs	2,554	2,744	2,554	2,576
Capacity utilization (percent)	94%	95	94%	91
Refinery production	2,770	2,977	2,773	2,786

*Includes our share of equity affiliates, except

for our share of LUKOIL, which is reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.

***Weighted-average crude oil capacity for the three-and nine-month periods. Actual capacity at September 30, 2007 and 2006, was 2,037,000 and 2,208,000 barrels per day, respectively, for our domestic refineries, 669,000 and 693,000 barrels per day, respectively, for our international refineries and 2,706,000 and 2,901,000 barrels per day, respectively, worldwide.*

Petroleum products sales volumes

United States				
Gasoline	1,212	1,369	1,256	1,309
Distillates	869	848	853	827
Other products	439	519	473	530
	2,520	2,736	2,582	2,666
International	629	749	694	772
	3,149	3,485	3,276	3,438

Table of Contents

The R&M segment's operations encompass refining crude oil and other feedstocks into petroleum products (such as gasoline, distillates and aviation fuels); buying, selling and transporting crude oil; and buying, transporting, distributing and marketing petroleum products. R&M has operations mainly in the United States, Europe and Asia Pacific.

Net income from the R&M segment decreased 11 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to lower realized refining and marketing margins and a business interruption insurance benefit recognized in the prior year. This decrease was further attributed to the net impact of our contribution of assets to WRB Refining LLC (WRB), our downstream business venture with EnCana. These decreases were largely offset by a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts and the impact of a tax law change in Germany.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 increased 35 percent. This increase was primarily due to a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts, higher Gulf and East Coast refining volumes, higher realized refining and marketing margins, and the tax law change in Germany. The increase was partially offset by the net impact of the contribution of assets to WRB, as well as the business interruption insurance benefit recognized in the prior year.

U.S. R&M

Net income from our U.S. R&M operations decreased 40 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to lower refining and marketing margins. In addition, net income decreased due to the inclusion of a benefit related to business interruption insurance in the results for 2006, as well as the net impact of our contribution of assets to WRB. These decreases were slightly offset by a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 increased 15 percent, primarily due to higher Gulf and East Coast refining volumes, higher realized refining and marketing margins and a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts. The increase was partially offset by the net impact associated with the contribution of assets to WRB and the inclusion of a benefit related to business interruption insurance in 2006 results.

Our U.S. refining capacity utilization rate was 97 percent in the third quarter of 2007, a slight improvement from the third-quarter 2006 rate of 96 percent.

International R&M

Net income from our international R&M operations was \$434 million in the third quarter of 2007 and \$1,153 million in the first nine months of 2007, compared with net income of \$20 million and \$388 million, respectively, in the corresponding periods of 2006. The increases in both 2007 periods were primarily due to a net benefit from asset rationalization efforts, as well as a tax law change in Germany during the third quarter of 2007. The results for the first nine months of 2007 also benefited from a slight increase in refining and marketing margins. The increase in the third quarter of 2007 was slightly offset by lower refining and marketing margins.

Our international refining capacity utilization rate was 84 percent in the third quarter of 2007, compared with 89 percent in the third quarter of 2006. The Wilhelmshaven refinery in Germany was temporarily shut down during the month of August due to economic conditions.

Table of Contents**LUKOIL Investment**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net Income	\$ 387	487	1,169	1,123
Operating Statistics*				
Net crude oil production (thousands of barrels daily)	390	388	404	347
Net natural gas production (millions of cubic feet daily)	249	288	278	244
Net refinery crude oil processed (thousands of barrels daily)	226	164	210	165

**Represents our net share of our estimate of LUKOIL's production and processing.*

This segment represents our investment in the ordinary shares of LUKOIL, an international, integrated oil and gas company headquartered in Russia, which we account for under the equity method. As of September 30, 2007, our ownership interest in LUKOIL was 20 percent based on 851 million issued shares. Our ownership interest based on estimated shares outstanding, used for equity-method accounting, was 20.5 percent at September 30, 2007.

Because LUKOIL's accounting cycle close and preparation of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles financial statements occur subsequent to our reporting deadline, our equity earnings and statistics for our LUKOIL investment are estimated, based on current market indicators, historical production and cost trends of LUKOIL, and other objective data. Once the difference between actual and estimated results is known, an adjustment is recorded. This estimate-to-actual adjustment will be a recurring component of future period results.

In addition to our estimate of our equity share of LUKOIL's earnings, this segment reflects the amortization of the basis difference between our equity interest in the net assets of LUKOIL and the historical cost of our investment in LUKOIL, and also includes the costs associated with our employees seconded to LUKOIL and accruals for dividend withholding taxes.

Net income from the LUKOIL Investment segment decreased 21 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to an alignment of estimated net income to reported results, as well as higher estimated operating costs. These decreases were partially offset by higher estimated volumes and petroleum product prices, as well as an increase in our equity ownership.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 increased 4 percent, primarily due to higher estimated volumes, an increase in our equity ownership, and higher estimated petroleum product prices. These increases were partially offset by the alignment of estimated net income to reported results, as well as higher estimated operating costs.

Table of Contents**Chemicals**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net Income	\$ 110	142	260	394

The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent interest in Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem), which we account for under the equity method. CPChem uses natural gas liquids and other feedstocks to produce petrochemicals. These products are then marketed and sold, or used as feedstocks to produce plastics and commodity chemicals.

Net income from the Chemicals segment decreased 23 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to lower olefins and polyolefins margins and, to a lesser extent, lower margins from aromatics and styrenics.

Net income for the first nine months of 2007 decreased 34 percent, reflecting lower margins from olefins and polyolefins and higher expense resulting from planned turnarounds and unplanned maintenance at certain facilities.

Emerging Businesses

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net Income (Loss)				
Power	\$ 21	26	33	60
Other	(18)	(15)	(43)	(53)
	\$ 3	11	(10)	7

The Emerging Businesses segment represents our investment in new technologies or businesses outside our normal scope of operations. Activities within this segment are currently focused on power generation and other items, such as carbon-to-liquids, technology solutions, and alternative energy and programs, such as advanced hydrocarbon processes, energy conversion technologies, new petroleum-based products, and renewable fuels.

The Emerging Businesses segment reported net income of \$3 million in the third quarter of 2007, compared with net income of \$11 million in the corresponding quarter of 2006. The first nine months of 2007 resulted in a net loss of \$10 million, compared with net income of \$7 million in the first nine months of 2006. Both periods reflect lower margins from the Immingham power plant in the United Kingdom.

Table of Contents**Corporate and Other**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net Income (Loss)				
Net interest	\$ (195)	(242)	(663)	(602)
Corporate general and administrative expenses	(49)	(35)	(126)	(100)
Acquisition/merger-related costs	(11)	(32)	(40)	(76)
Other	(65)	8	(169)	(103)
	\$ (320)	(301)	(998)	(881)

Net interest consists of interest and financing expense, net of interest income and capitalized interest, as well as premiums incurred on the early retirement of debt. Net interest decreased 19 percent in the third quarter of 2007, primarily due to lower average debt levels, as well as higher amounts of interest being capitalized. These items were slightly offset by the net impact of the interest components of the Quality Bank settlements. Net interest increased 10 percent in the first nine months of 2007, primarily due to the net impact of the Quality Bank settlements and a premium on the early retirement of debt, partially offset by higher amounts of interest being capitalized.

Corporate general and administrative expenses increased 40 percent in the third quarter of 2007 and 26 percent in the first nine months of 2007. The increase in both periods was primarily due to increased benefit-related expenses.

Acquisition/merger-related costs include seismic relicensing and other transition costs associated with the Burlington Resources acquisition.

The category *Other* includes certain foreign currency transaction gains and losses, and environmental costs associated with sites no longer in operation. Results from *Other* were primarily impacted by foreign currency losses in 2007.

Table of Contents**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY****Financial Indicators**

	Millions of Dollars	
	At	At December
	September	31
	30	2006
	2007	2006
Notes payable and long-term debt due within one year	\$ 405	4,043
Total debt*	\$ 21,876	27,134
Minority interests	\$ 1,180	1,202
Common stockholders' equity	\$ 86,933	82,646
Percent of total debt to capital**	20%	24
Percent of floating-rate debt to total debt	27%	41

**Total debt includes notes payable and long-term debt due within one year, and long-term debt, as shown on our consolidated balance sheet.*

***Capital includes total debt, minority interests and common stockholders' equity.*

To meet our short- and long-term liquidity requirements, we look to a variety of funding sources. Cash generated from operating activities is the primary source of funding. In addition, during the first nine months of 2007, we raised \$3,057 million from the sale of assets. During the first nine months, available cash was used to support our ongoing capital expenditures and investments program, repurchase shares of our common stock, repay debt, provide loan financing to certain equity affiliates, pay dividends, and meet the funding requirements related to the business venture with EnCana Corporation (EnCana), which closed January 3, 2007. Total dividends paid on our common stock during the first nine months were \$2,009 million. During the first nine months of 2007, cash and cash equivalents increased \$562 million to \$1,379 million.

In addition to cash flows from operating activities and proceeds from asset sales, we rely on our cash balance, commercial paper and credit facility programs, and our shelf registration statements, to support our short- and long-term liquidity requirements. We anticipate these sources of liquidity will be adequate to meet our funding requirements through 2008, including our capital spending program, our share repurchase programs, dividend payments, required debt payments and the funding requirements related to our business venture with EnCana.

Significant Sources of Capital***Operating Activities***

During the first nine months of 2007, cash of \$17,630 million was provided by operating activities, an 11 percent increase from cash from operations of \$15,879 million in the corresponding period of 2006. Contributing to the increase was a lower inventory build in the 2007 period, partially related to the formation of the WRB downstream business venture; the impact of the Burlington Resources acquisition late in the first quarter of 2006; and higher U.S. refining and marketing margins in 2007. These positive factors were partially offset by the absence of dividends from our Venezuelan operations in 2007 and lower crude oil and natural gas prices.

While the stability of our cash flows from operating activities benefits from geographic diversity and the effects of upstream and downstream integration, our short- and long-term operating cash flows are highly dependent upon prices for crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids, as well as refining and marketing margins. During the first nine months of 2007 and 2006, we benefited from favorable crude oil and

Table of Contents

natural gas prices, as well as refining margins. The sustainability of these prices and margins is driven by market conditions over which we have no control. Absent other mitigating factors, as these prices and margins fluctuate, we would expect a corresponding change in our operating cash flows.

The level of our production volumes of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids also impacts our cash flows. These production levels are impacted by such factors as acquisitions and dispositions of fields, field production decline rates, new technologies, operating efficiency, weather conditions, the addition of proved reserves through exploratory success, and the timely and cost-effective development of those proved reserves. While we actively manage certain of these factors, production levels can cause variability in cash flows, although historically this variability has not been as significant as that experienced with commodity prices.

In addition, the level and quality of output from our refineries impacts our cash flows. The output at our refineries is impacted by such factors as operating efficiency, maintenance turnarounds, feedstock availability and weather conditions. We actively manage the operations of our refineries and, typically, any variability in their operations has not been as significant to cash flows as that experienced with refining margins.

In 2006, we received approximately \$1.1 billion in distributions from two heavy-oil projects in Venezuela. The majority of these distributions represented operating results from previous years. We did not receive a distribution related to these projects in the first nine months of 2007. See the Outlook section for additional discussion concerning our operations in Venezuela.

Asset Sales

Proceeds from asset sales during the first nine months of 2007 were \$3,057 million, compared with \$246 million for the same period of 2006. The increase is mainly due to our ongoing asset rationalization efforts.

Commercial Paper and Credit Facilities

In September 2007, we replaced our \$5 billion and \$2.5 billion revolving credit facilities with a \$7.5 billion revolving credit facility expiring in September 2012. The new facility contains the same terms as the previous facilities. The facility may be used as direct bank borrowings, as support for the ConocoPhillips \$7.5 billion commercial paper program, as support for the ConocoPhillips Qatar Funding Ltd. \$1.5 billion commercial paper program, or as support for issuances of letters of credit totaling up to \$750 million. At September 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, we had no outstanding borrowings under our credit facilities, but \$41 million in letters of credit had been issued at both dates. Under both commercial paper programs, there was \$603 million of commercial paper outstanding at September 30, 2007, compared with \$2,931 million at December 31, 2006.

At September 30, 2007, our primary funding source for short-term working capital needs was the ConocoPhillips \$7.5 billion commercial paper program, a portion of which may be denominated in other currencies (limited to euro 3 billion equivalent). Commercial paper maturities are generally limited to 90 days. Based on \$603 million of commercial paper outstanding and \$41 million of issued letters of credit, we had access to \$6.9 billion in unused borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility at September 30, 2007.

In October 2007, Standard and Poors Rating Service and Fitch both increased their ratings on our senior long-term debt and our short-term debt. Standard and Poors increased our long-term rating from A- to A and our short-term rating from A-2 to A-1. Fitch increased our long-term rating from A- to A and our short-term rating from F2 to

Table of Contents**Shelf Registrations**

We have a universal shelf registration statement on file with the SEC under which we, as a well-known seasoned issuer, have the ability to issue and sell an indeterminate amount of various types of debt and equity securities. We also have on file with the SEC a shelf registration statement under which ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II, both wholly owned subsidiaries, could issue an indeterminate amount of senior debt securities, fully and unconditionally guaranteed by ConocoPhillips and ConocoPhillips Company.

Minority Interests

At September 30, 2007, we had outstanding \$1,180 million of equity in less than wholly owned consolidated subsidiaries held by minority interest owners, including a minority interest of \$508 million in Ashford Energy Capital S.A. and a minority interest of \$646 million related to Darwin LNG, located in northern Australia.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our normal ongoing business operations and consistent with industry practice, we enter into numerous agreements with other parties to pursue business opportunities, which share costs and apportion risks among the parties as governed by the agreements. At September 30, 2007, we were liable for certain contingent obligations under the following contractual arrangements:

Qatargas 3: Qatargas 3 is an integrated project to produce and liquefy natural gas from Qatar's North field. We own a 30 percent interest in the project. Our interest is held through a jointly owned company, Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (3), for which we use the equity method of accounting. Qatargas 3 secured project financing of \$4 billion in December 2005, consisting of \$1.3 billion of loans from export credit agencies (ECA), \$1.5 billion from commercial banks, and \$1.2 billion from ConocoPhillips. The ConocoPhillips loan facilities have substantially the same terms as the ECA and commercial bank facilities. Prior to project completion certification, all loans, including the ConocoPhillips loan facilities, are guaranteed by the participants, based on their respective ownership interests. Accordingly, our maximum exposure to this financing structure is \$1.2 billion, excluding accrued interest. Upon completion certification, which is expected in 2010, all project loan facilities, including the ConocoPhillips loan facilities, will become non-recourse to the project participants. At September 30, 2007, Qatargas 3 had \$2.0 billion outstanding under all the loan facilities, of which ConocoPhillips provided \$608 million, including \$34 million of accrued interest.

Rockies Express Pipeline LLC: In June 2006, we issued a guarantee for 24 percent of the \$2.0 billion in credit facilities of Rockies Express Pipeline LLC (Rockies Express), which will be used to construct a natural gas pipeline across a portion of the United States. The maximum potential amount of future payments to third-party lenders under the guarantee is estimated to be \$480 million, which could become payable if the credit facility is fully utilized and Rockies Express fails to meet its obligations under the credit agreement. At September 30, 2007, Rockies Express had \$1,758 million outstanding under the credit facilities, with our 24 percent guarantee equaling \$422 million. In addition, we have a 24 percent guarantee on \$600 million of Floating Rate Notes due 2009 issued by Rockies Express in September 2007. It is anticipated that construction completion will be achieved at the end of 2009, and refinancing will take place at that time, making the debt non-recourse.

Table of Contents

Other: At September 30, 2007, we had guarantees outstanding for our portion of joint-venture debt obligations, which have terms of up to 17 years. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees was approximately \$130 million. Payment would be required if a joint venture defaults on its debt obligations.

For additional information about guarantees, see Note 13 Guarantees, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Capital Requirements

Our debt balance at September 30, 2007, was \$21.9 billion, a decrease of \$5.3 billion during the first nine months of 2007.

On February 9, 2007, we announced plans to repurchase \$4 billion of our common stock in 2007. On July 9, 2007, we announced plans to repurchase up to \$15 billion of our common stock through the end of 2008. This amount included \$2 billion remaining under the \$4 billion program announced in February 2007. During the first nine months of 2007, we repurchased 59.3 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$4.5 billion, including 177,000 shares at a cost of \$14 million from a consolidated Burlington Resources grantor trust. We anticipate fourth-quarter 2007 share repurchases to be \$2 billion to \$3 billion.

In December 2005, we entered into a credit agreement with Qatargas 3 to provide loan financing of approximately \$1.2 billion, excluding accrued interest for the construction of an LNG train in Qatar. This financing will represent 30 percent of the project's total debt financing. Through September 30, 2007, we had provided \$608 million in loan financing, including \$34 million of accrued interest. See the Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements section for additional information on Qatargas 3.

In 2004, we finalized our transaction with Freeport LNG Development, L.P. (Freeport LNG) to participate in an LNG receiving terminal in Quintana, Texas. We entered into a credit agreement with Freeport LNG to provide loan financing of approximately \$631 million, excluding accrued interest for the construction of the facility, which began in early 2005. Through September 30, 2007, we had provided \$648 million in loan financing, including \$74 million of accrued interest.

In the fall of 2004, ConocoPhillips and LUKOIL agreed to the expansion of the Varandey terminal as part of our investment in the OOO Naryanmarnftegaz (NMNG) joint venture. We have an obligation to provide loan financing to Varandey Terminal Company for 30 percent of the costs of the terminal expansion, but we will have no governance or ownership interest in the terminal. We estimate our total loan obligation for the terminal expansion to be approximately \$410 million at current exchange rates, excluding interest to be accrued during construction. This amount will be adjusted as the project's cost estimate and schedule are updated and the ruble exchange rate fluctuates. Through September 30, 2007, we had provided \$303 million in loan financing, including \$25 million of accrued interest.

Our loans to Qatargas 3, Freeport LNG and Varandey Terminal Company are included in the Loans and advances related parties line on our consolidated balance sheet.

On January 3, 2007, we closed on the previously announced business venture with EnCana. As part of this transaction, we are obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus accrued interest, over a 10-year period beginning in 2007, to the upstream business venture formed as a result of the transaction. An initial cash contribution of \$188 million was made upon closing in January. Quarterly principal and interest payments of \$237 million began in the second quarter of 2007, and will continue until the balance is paid. This obligation is reflected as a liability on our September 30, 2007, consolidated balance sheet. Of the principal obligation amount, approximately \$586 million is short-term and is included in the Accounts

Table of Contents

payable related parties line on our consolidated balance sheet. The principal portion of these payments is presented on our consolidated statement of cash flows as an other financing activity. Interest accrues at a fixed annual rate of 5.3 percent on the unpaid principal balance. Fifty percent of the quarterly interest payment is reflected as an investment purchase and is included in the Capital expenditures and investments line on our consolidated statement of cash flows.

Effective January 15, 2007, we redeemed the 8% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due 2037, at a premium of \$14 million, plus accrued interest. This redemption resulted in the immediate redemption by Phillips 66 Capital II of \$350 million of 8% Capital Securities. See Note 11 Debt, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information.

Also, in January 2007, we redeemed our \$153 million 7.25% Notes due 2007 upon their maturity. In February 2007, we reduced our Floating Rate Five-Year Term Note due 2011 from \$5 billion to \$4 billion, with a subsequent reduction in July 2007 to \$3 billion. In April 2007, we redeemed our \$1 billion Floating Rate Notes due 2007 upon their maturity.

In May 2007, Polar Tankers Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary, issued an offering of \$645 million 5.951% Notes due 2037. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by ConocoPhillips and ConocoPhillips Company.

On October 31, 2007, we redeemed \$300 million of ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company's Floating Rate Notes due 2009 at par plus accrued interest.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual purchase obligations at September 30, 2007, were estimated to be \$118 billion, an increase of \$25 billion from the amount reported at December 31, 2006, of \$93 billion. The increase primarily results from the EnCana joint venture acquisition obligation, as well as mostly higher crude oil, natural gas and NGL prices, and commodity derivative positions.

Table of Contents**Capital Spending****Capital Expenditures and Investments**

	Millions of Dollars	
	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30	
	2007	2006
E&P		
United States Alaska	\$ 471	615
United States Lower 48	2,085	1,388
International	4,339	4,829
	6,895	6,832
Midstream	2	2
R&M		
United States	617	1,128
International	135	1,356
	752	2,484
LUKOIL Investment		1,962
Chemicals		
Emerging Businesses	127	46
Corporate and Other	131	187
	\$ 7,907	11,513
United States	\$ 3,306	3,358
International	4,601	8,155
	\$ 7,907	11,513

E&P**UNITED STATES****Alaska**

During the first nine months of 2007, we continued development drilling in the Greater Kuparuk Area (including the West Sak development), the Greater Prudhoe Area, and the Alpine field and Alpine satellite fields. Work on a project to upgrade the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System pump stations continued with the first pump station placed on line in February 2007.

Lower 48 States

Onshore, we focused on natural gas developments in the San Juan Basin of New Mexico, the Lobo Trend of South Texas, the Bossier and Cotton Valley Trends of East Texas and North Louisiana, the Barnett Shale Trend of North Texas, and the Anadarko Basin of western Oklahoma. We also continue to pursue oil development in the Williston Basin of Montana and North Dakota, as well as oil and gas developments in southern Louisiana and in the Permian Basin of West Texas. In addition, we invested funds on a new gas development project in the Piceance Basin of northwest Colorado.

Offshore, expenditures were primarily focused on the Ursa development in the Gulf of Mexico.

CANADA

During the first nine months of 2007, we continued with the development of our Surmont heavy-oil project, where steam injection began in the second quarter, and initial production began in October of

Table of Contents

2007. We also continued the development of our conventional oil and gas reserves in western Canada. In addition, we invested approximately \$284 million related to our initial cash contribution and quarterly interest payments to the upstream business venture with EnCana. See Note 17 Joint Venture Acquisition Obligation, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information.

EUROPE

In the U.K. and Norwegian sectors of the North Sea, funds were invested during the first nine months of 2007 for development of the Britannia satellite fields, Callanish and Brodgar, where production is expected to begin in 2008; the Alvheim project, where production is scheduled to begin in the first quarter of 2008; the Statfjord Late-Life Project, where production began in October 2007; and continued development of the Ekofisk Area.

MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA

Libya

During the first nine months of 2007, funds were expended to continue the development of the Waha concessions.

Qatar

In Qatar, work continued on Qatargas 3, an integrated project to produce and liquefy natural gas from Qatar's North field.

Algeria

In Algeria, during the first nine months of 2007, funds were invested in three fields in Block 405A, the Menzel Lejmat North field, the Ourhoud field, and the EMK (El Merk) oil field unit.

RUSSIA AND CASPIAN

Russia

Through OOO Naryanmarneftegaz, a joint venture with LUKOIL, we are working to develop the Yuzhno Khylychuy field in the northern part of Russia's Timan-Pechora province.

Caspian

We continued to participate in construction activities to develop the Kashagan field on the Kazakhstan shelf in the Caspian Sea. Kashagan Phase I Development is in the execution phase, aiming for first production in 2010. The revised Kashagan Development Plan was submitted to the Republic of Kazakhstan Authority at the end of June 2007, incorporating reconfigured offshore design and related cost increases and schedule delays. In August, the Republic of Kazakhstan triggered dispute proceedings under the North Caspian Sea Production Sharing Agreement. In October, Kazakhstan enacted a revised subsoil law allowing termination of contracts that violate national security. Negotiations are currently under way in order to reach a resolution.

ASIA PACIFIC

Indonesia

During the first nine months of 2007, we continued to invest funds on the development of the Belanak, Kerisi, Hiu, Belut, and Suban Phase II projects.

Table of Contents

China

Work continued on the development of Phase II of the Peng Lai 19-3 field, as well as concurrent development of the nearby Peng Lai 25-6 field in 2007.

R&M

In the United States, we expended funds during the first nine months of 2007 related to sustaining and improving the existing business with a focus on reliability, energy efficiency, capital maintenance and regulatory compliance. Work also continued on projects to increase crude oil capacity, expand conversion capability and increase clean product yield. An expansion at our Ferndale refinery resulted in a 4 percent increase in the refinery's crude oil capacity and improved energy efficiency. In addition, we commissioned a new coker at the Borger refinery, part of WRB Refining LLC, our downstream business venture with EnCana.

Internationally, our focus during the first nine months of 2007 was on projects related to reliability, safety and the environment.

Emerging Businesses

In October 2007, we purchased a 50 percent interest in Sweeny Cogeneration LP (SCLP). SCLP provides steam and electric power to the Sweeny refinery complex with any excess power sold into the market. We will account for this joint venture using the equity method of accounting.

Contingencies

Legal and Tax Matters

We accrue for non-income-tax-related contingencies when a loss is probable and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), effective January 1, 2007. FIN 48 requires a cumulative probability-weighted loss accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain. Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Environmental

We are subject to the same numerous international, federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, as are other companies in the petroleum exploration and production, refining and crude oil and refined product marketing and transportation businesses. For a discussion of the most significant of these environmental laws and regulations, including those with associated remediation obligations, see the Environmental section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages 85 through 88 of our 2006 Form 10-K. We, from time to time, receive requests for information or notices of potential liability from the Environmental Protection Agency and state environmental agencies alleging that we are a potentially responsible party under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) or an equivalent state statute. On occasion, we also have been made a party to cost recovery litigation by those agencies or by private parties. These requests, notices and lawsuits assert potential liability for remediation costs at various sites that typically are not owned by us, but allegedly

Table of Contents

contain wastes attributable to our past operations. As of December 31, 2006, we reported we had been notified of potential liability under CERCLA and comparable state laws at 64 sites around the United States. At September 30, 2007, we had resolved five of these sites and had received five new notices of potential liability, leaving 64 unresolved sites where we have been notified of potential liability.

At September 30, 2007, our balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$1,042 million, compared with \$1,062 million at December 31, 2006. We expect to incur a substantial majority of these expenditures within the next 30 years.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, and as with other companies engaged in similar businesses, environmental costs and liabilities are inherent in our operations and products, and there can be no assurance that material costs and liabilities will not be incurred. However, we currently do not expect any material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position as a result of compliance with environmental laws and regulations.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for its measurement and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. We use fair value measurements to measure, among other items, purchased assets and investments, leases, derivative contracts and financial guarantees. We also use them to assess impairment of properties, plants and equipment, intangible assets and goodwill. The Statement does not apply to share-based payment transactions and inventory pricing. We plan to adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2008. We continue to evaluate the Statement, but we do not expect any significant impact to our consolidated financial statements, other than additional disclosures.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This Statement permits an entity to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other items similar to financial instruments at fair value, with all subsequent changes in fair value for the financial instrument reported in earnings. By electing the fair value option in conjunction with a derivative, an entity can achieve an accounting result similar to a fair-value hedge without having to comply with complex hedge accounting rules. We plan to adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2008, and we do not expect any significant impact to our consolidated financial statements.

OUTLOOK

Alaska

A special session of the Alaskan legislature began in October 2007 at the request of the governor to review Alaska's petroleum profits tax. The governor submitted a bill proposing changes to the current production tax system. If adopted by the legislature, those changes would substantially increase taxes paid by Alaska oil producers.

Venezuela

Negotiations continue between ConocoPhillips and Venezuelan authorities concerning appropriate compensation for the expropriation of the company's oil interests. We continue to preserve all our rights with respect to this situation, including our rights under the contracts we signed and under international and Venezuelan law. We continue to evaluate our options in realizing adequate compensation for the

Table of Contents

value of our oil investments and operations in Venezuela and expect to file a request for international arbitration on November 2, 2007.

Canada

On October 25, 2007, the Alberta government announced a new royalty regime for the province's non-renewable energy resources. The new royalty regime will use a sliding scale system based on price and volume and is designed to increase the government's royalty share. The new regime is effective January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of this royalty change on our Canadian operations.

Other

In E&P, we expect our fourth-quarter 2007 production to be 50,000 to 60,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day higher than the level in the third quarter of 2007 due to normal seasonality and the completion of our summer maintenance program.

In R&M, we expect our crude oil capacity utilization in the fourth quarter of 2007 to be in the mid-90 percent range.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can identify our forward-looking statements by the words anticipate, estimate, believe, continue, could, intend, may, plan, potential, predict, should, projection, forecast, goal, guidance, outlook, effort, target and similar expressions.

We based the forward-looking statements relating to our operations on our current expectations, estimates and projections about ourselves and the industries in which we operate in general. We caution you that these statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that we cannot predict. In addition, we based many of these forward-looking statements on assumptions about future events that may prove to be inaccurate. Accordingly, our actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what we have expressed or forecast in the forward-looking statements. Any differences could result from a variety of factors, including the following:

Fluctuations in crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices, refining and marketing margins and margins for our chemicals business.

The operation and financing of our midstream and chemicals joint ventures.

Potential failure or delays in achieving expected reserve or production levels from existing and future oil and gas development projects due to operating hazards, drilling risks and the inherent uncertainties in predicting oil and gas reserves and oil and gas reservoir performance.

Unsuccessful exploratory drilling activities.

Failure of new products and services to achieve market acceptance.

Unexpected changes in costs or technical requirements for constructing, modifying or operating facilities for exploration and production projects, manufacturing or refining.

Unexpected technological or commercial difficulties in manufacturing, refining, or transporting our products, including synthetic crude oil and chemicals products.

Lack of, or disruptions in, adequate and reliable transportation for our crude oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids, LNG and refined products.

Table of Contents

Inability to timely obtain or maintain permits, including those necessary for construction of LNG terminals or regasification facilities, comply with government regulations, or make capital expenditures required to maintain compliance.

Failure to complete definitive agreements and feasibility studies for, and to timely complete construction of, announced and future LNG and refinery projects and related facilities.

Potential disruption or interruption of our operations due to accidents, extraordinary weather events, civil unrest, political events or terrorism.

International monetary conditions and exchange controls.

Liability for remedial actions, including removal and reclamation obligations, under environmental regulations.

Liability resulting from litigation.

General domestic and international economic and political developments, including armed hostilities, expropriation of assets, changes in governmental policies relating to crude oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids or refined product pricing and taxation, other political, economic or diplomatic developments, and international monetary fluctuations.

Changes in tax and other laws, regulations (including alternative energy mandates), or royalty rules applicable to our business.

Inability to obtain economical financing for projects, construction or modification of facilities and general corporate purposes.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information about market risks for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, does not differ materially from that discussed under Item 7A of ConocoPhillips Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2007, with the participation of our management, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and our Executive Vice President, Finance, and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) carried out an evaluation, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of ConocoPhillips disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Act). Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Vice President, Finance, and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were operating effectively as of September 30, 2007.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act, in the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The following is a description of reportable legal proceedings including those involving governmental authorities under federal, state and local laws regulating the discharge of materials into the environment for this reporting period. The following proceedings include those matters that arose during the third quarter of 2007 and any material developments with respect to those matters previously reported in ConocoPhillips' 2006 Form 10-K or first or second-quarter 2007 Form 10-Qs. While it is not possible to accurately predict the final outcome of these pending proceedings, if any one or more of such proceedings were decided adversely to ConocoPhillips, we expect there would be no material effect on our consolidated financial position. Nevertheless, such proceedings are reported pursuant to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's regulations.

Our U.S. refineries are implementing two separate consent decrees, regarding alleged violations of the Federal Clean Air Act, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), six states and one local air pollution agency. Some of the requirements and limitations contained in the decree provide for stipulated penalties for violations. Stipulated penalties under the decrees are not automatic, but must be requested by one of the agency signatories. As part of periodic reports under the decree and/or other reports required by permits or regulations, we occasionally report matters which could be subject to request for stipulated penalties. If a specific request for stipulated penalties meeting the reporting threshold set forth in U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules is made pursuant to these decrees based on a given reported exceedance, we will separately report that matter and the amount of the proposed penalty.

New Matters

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) conducted an audit of the Los Angeles refinery to assess compliance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations related to fugitive emissions. As a result of the audit, SCAQMD issued three Notices of Violations (NOVs) alleging multiple counts of non-compliance. SCAQMD has not yet specified a penalty for these alleged violations. We are currently assessing these allegations and expect to work with SCAQMD toward a resolution of these NOVs.

On September 25, 2007, the Sweeny refinery received a draft order to resolve a July 6, 2007, Notice of Enforcement (NOE) relating to alleged violations of the Texas Clean Air Act. The allegations relate to compliance with limitations contained in the refinery's Title V operating permit and one emission event. The draft order proposes a penalty of \$294,300. We are evaluating the draft order and expect to work with the agency to resolve the matter.

In October 2007, we received a Complaint from the U.S. EPA alleging violations of the Clean Water Act related to a 2006 oil spill at our Bayway refinery and proposing a penalty of \$156,000. We have begun discussions with the EPA to settle this matter and will work with the agency to resolve this matter.

Matters Previously Reported

In March 2007, the Sweeny refinery received a series of NOEs from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). These NOEs generally relate to emission events such as flaring and other unplanned releases. The TCEQ proposed a penalty of \$487,120 in a draft order received August 30, 2007. We expect to work with the TCEQ toward a resolution of this matter.

Table of Contents

On November 9, 2006, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) issued a demand seeking civil penalties for 33 NOV's between October 2005 and October 2006. The NOV's alleged violations of various BAAQMD regulations or permit requirements at our San Francisco area refinery. During the third quarter of 2007, we settled this matter with a payment of \$185,500 to BAAQMD.

In March 2005, ConocoPhillips Pipe Line Company (CPPL) received a Notice of Probable Violation and Proposed Civil Penalty from the Department of Transportation's Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (DOT) alleging violation of DOT operation and safety regulations at certain facilities in Kansas, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, Wyoming and Nebraska. DOT is proposing penalties in the amount of \$184,500. An information hearing was held on September 24, 2007. CPPL will provide additional information in support of its position. A DOT ruling is not anticipated until the first quarter of 2008.

In July 2004, Polar Tankers, Inc. notified the U.S. Coast Guard of possible environmental violations onboard the vessel Polar Discovery. On June 29, 2005, the U.S. Attorney's office in Anchorage issued a subpoena to Polar Tankers for records regarding the possible environmental violations onboard that vessel. Polar Tankers and the U.S. Attorney's office in Anchorage settled the matter on October 23, 2007, with the filing of a plea agreement. Under the agreement, Polar Tankers pled guilty to one count of failing to properly maintain an oil record book, agreed to the payment of a \$500,000 fine and a payment of \$2 million to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. Polar Tankers further agreed to implement an enhanced environmental compliance program monitored by an independent third party during a three-year probation period.

In August 2004, Polar Tankers, Inc. self-reported to the U.S. Coast Guard that a company employee had disclosed to management potential environmental violations onboard the vessel Polar Alaska. The potential violations related to allegations that certain actions may have resulted in the discharge of one or more wastewater streams potentially having concentrations of oil exceeding an applicable regulatory limit of 15 parts per million. On September 1, 2004, the U.S. Attorney's office in Anchorage issued a subpoena to ConocoPhillips Company and Polar Tankers for records relating to the company's report of potential violations. As part of the plea agreement relating to the Polar Discovery, the U.S. Attorney declined prosecution of this matter.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents**Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**
Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased*	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs**	Millions of Dollars Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs**
July 1-31, 2007	3,388,555	\$ 84.51	3,385,700	\$ 14,821
August 1-31, 2007	14,596,063	79.18	14,595,700	13,665
September 1-30, 2007	12,623,357	84.65	12,613,100	12,598
Total	30,607,975	\$ 82.03	30,594,500	

**Includes the repurchase of common shares from company employees in connection with the company's broad-based employee incentive plans.*

***On January 12, 2007, we announced a stock repurchase program that provided for the repurchase of up to \$1 billion of the company's common stock. On February 9, 2007, we announced plans to repurchase \$4 billion of our common stock*

in 2007, including the \$1 billion announced on January 12, 2007. On July 9, 2007, we announced plans to repurchase up to \$15 billion of the company's common stock through the end of 2008, which includes the \$2 billion remaining under the previously announced \$4 billion stock buyout authorization. Acquisitions for the share repurchase programs are made at management's discretion, at prevailing prices, subject to market conditions and other factors. Repurchases may be increased, decreased or discontinued at any time without prior notice. Shares of stock repurchased under the plans are held as treasury shares.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

The following information is filed herewith in lieu of including on Form 8-K in Item 5.02, Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

On October 26, 2007, Randy L. Limbacher, president, Exploration & Production Americas, gave the Company notice that he would be departing ConocoPhillips effective October 31, 2007. In recognition of Mr. Limbacher's service to the Company following our acquisition of Burlington Resources Inc., the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved the following with respect to Mr. Limbacher's compensation arrangements: (i) continuing eligibility for consideration under the Performance Share Program (on a pro-rata basis for periods in which he participated more than 12 months); (ii) continuing eligibility for consideration under the 2007 Variable Cash Incentive Program (on a pro-rata basis); and (iii) the following modifications to the terms of Mr. Limbacher's equity awards:

Vesting of 18,929 shares of restricted stock granted April 4, 2006, which were scheduled to vest on April 4, 2008.

Vesting of 12,261 shares of restricted stock granted January 25, 2006, which were scheduled to vest on January 25, 2009.

With respect to the January 25, 2006, award of 43,275 options to purchase shares of ConocoPhillips common stock with an exercise price of \$62.9925, the unvested portion of the award will become exercisable concurrent with Mr. Limbacher's departure date and the award will remain exercisable until October 31, 2010.

In making the foregoing decisions, the Compensation Committee considered Mr. Limbacher's agreement to waive the right to severance payments under the Burlington Resources Executive Change in Control Severance Plan.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits

12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32 Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONOCOPHILLIPS

/s/ Rand C. Berney

Rand C. Berney

Vice President and Controller
(Chief Accounting and Duly Authorized
Officer)

October 31, 2007

64

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibits

- 12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32 Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.