Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 25, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Form N-CSR **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED** MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES **Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147** Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter) Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) Maureen A. Gemma Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Name and Address of Agent for Services) (617) 482-8260 (Registrant s Telephone Number) September 30 Date of Fiscal Year End September 30, 2011 Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report September 30, 2011

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2011 Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund	4
California Municipal Bond Fund	5
New York Municipal Bond Fund	6
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	7
Financial Statements	8
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	36
Federal Tax Information	37
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	38
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	39
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	41
Management and Organization	44
Important Notices	47

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2011 Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds (the Funds) are closed-end funds traded on the NYSE Amex that are designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, federal alternative minimum tax and, in state-specific funds, state personal income taxes.

Economic and Market Conditions

The U.S. economic recovery began to sputter during the spring and summer of 2011, backsliding on ongoing news of the sovereign debt crisis in the euro zone, stubbornly high unemployment and a still-weak housing market at home, and rising fiscal and political uncertainty in our nation s capital. The economic slowdown prompted the financial markets to shift from a risk-on to a decidedly risk-off stance by the close of the 12-month period ending September 30, 2011, with risk-associated assets such as stocks and commodities selling off, while Treasury bonds and other safe-haven assets rallied.

U.S. real gross domestic product (GDP) increased to an annualized rate of 2.5% in the third calendar quarter of 2011, according to an advance estimate released by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, up from an annualized growth rate of 1.3% for the second quarter of 2011. Both of these measures were down from previous periods, as annualized GDP growth rates for the third and fourth quarters of 2010 came in at 2.6% and 3.1%, respectively.

In the municipal bond market, despite a glut of supply as the Build America Bond program ended and some bearish market predictions that caused the market to begin selling off in late 2010, municipal bonds began to rally early in the new year and ended solidly in positive territory for the 12 months ending September 30, 2011. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index (the Muni Bond Index)¹ a broad measure of the performance of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. rose 3.88% during that one-year period. This gain in the Muni Bond Index reflected a dearth of new supply during a period of slow growth in the U.S. economy. It also demonstrated the market s renewed interest in state and local government debt, spurred in part by the absence of widespread municipal defaults, as had been forecast in late 2010. Municipal bonds with intermediate maturities performed best during the 12 months under review, with the Barclays Capital 7 Year Municipal Bond Index¹ rising 4.65%, compared with annual returns of 4.31% and 1.28%, respectively, for the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Long 22+ Index)¹, the Funds primary benchmark, and the Barclays Capital 1-3 Year U.S. Government/Credit Bond Index¹.

Management Discussion

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, each of the Municipal Bond Funds produced returns at net asset value (NAV) that, to a greater or lesser degree, underperformed the broad municipal bond market, as measured by the Long 22+ Index.

The Funds were hedged to various degrees using a strategy management traditionally employs to help mitigate the potential interest-rate risk associated with the Funds overall investment strategy. Generally speaking, the Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds at the longer end of the maturity spectrum in order to capture their typically higher yields and greater income payments. Management tends to hedge against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps to provide downside protection. For the 12-month period ending September 30, 2011, the hedging strategy was a drag on relative performance, as the ratio of municipal yields to U.S. Treasury yields of similar maturities remained relatively high. Thus, the more hedged any of the Funds was, the less well it performed.

Management holds leveraged investments in each of the Funds. The use of leverage⁴ has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage has the impact of magnifying a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. On balance during the up-and-down course of the 12-month period, the Funds leverage had a modestly positive impact on their relative performance versus the benchmark. States and municipalities have seen budget difficulties over the past three fiscal years, but they also have made significant progress in addressing these budget concerns. Thus, as we look ahead, we are cautiously optimistic. However, as a slowing U.S. economy is likely to impact state tax revenues, we will continue to monitor closely the

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

efforts of states and municipalities to address fiscal shortfalls.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 7.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

^	١.
. 4	,
4	

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2011 Management s Discussion of Fund Performance (continued)

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund recorded positive performance at NAV for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, but lagged slightly behind the results of its benchmark, the Long 22+ Index. The Fund s hedging position an ongoing strategy that management has employed to help mitigate potential interest-rate risk in both up and down market environments detracted from its performance versus the Long 22+ Index. On the upside, however, the Fund s overexposure to high-quality bonds (AA-rated⁵ and above) contributed to its relative performance, compared to the Long 22+ Index, as higher-quality muni bonds performed well during the period.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund also produced a positive return at NAV for the 12-month period, but it, too, underperformed the Long 22+ Index. Performance was hurt by the Fund s overweight to zero-coupon bonds issued by California school districts, where an oversupply of bonds and state-specific credit concerns hurt prices. Positive contributions to relative performance came from the water and sewer sector and the education sector, as well as from the Fund s overexposure to high-quality bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund underperformed the Long 22+ Index at NAV. Security selection in hospital bonds and water and sewer bonds detracted from Fund performance compared to the Long 22+ Index. Conversely, the Fund s overweight to high-quality education bonds and its overexposure to higher-quality bonds contributed to relative performance compared to the Long 22+ Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 7.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance <u>Municipal Bond Fund</u> September 30, 2011 <u>Performance²</u>	
Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA	
NYSE Amex Symbol Inception Date	EIM 8/30/02
% Average Annual Total Returns at NAV	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	3.89 2.66 5.56
% Average Annual Total Returns at market price, NYSE Amex	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	-3.87 3.23 5.37
% Premium/Discount to NAV (9/30/11)	-1.67
% Market Yields ³	
Market Yield Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield	7.42 11.42
% Leverage ⁴	
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	41.69
F	Since Inception ive
	0/20/02

One Year

Years

8/30/02

Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	4.31	4.17	5.27
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)			
Average at NAV	4.97	4.48	5.62
Fund Profile			

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows

AAA	11.1	BBB	10.2
AA	56.9	BB	0.2
A	21.0	D	0.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 7.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund September 30, 2011 Performance ²	
Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson	
NYSE Amex Symbol Inception Date	EVM 8/30/02
% Average Annual Total Returns at NAV	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	0.48 1.51 4.34
% Average Annual Total Returns at market price, NYSE Amex	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	-0.43 3.01 4.85
% Premium/Discount to NAV (9/30/11)	4.51
% Market Yields ³	
Market Yield Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield	6.92 11.87
% Leverage ⁴	
RIB	43.62

			Since Inception
		Five	
% Comparative Performance ¹	One Year	Years	8/30/02

Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	4.31	4.17	5.27
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average at NAV	3.96	3.43	5.04
Fund Profile			

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows

AAA	9.3	BBB	4.0
AA	55.3	BB	2.1
А	29.3		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 7.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund September 30, 2011 Performance ²	
Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA	
NYSE Amex Symbol Inception Date	ENX 8/30/02
% Average Annual Total Returns at NAV	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	3.37 3.10 5.09
% Average Annual Total Returns at market price, NYSE Amex	
One Year Five Years Since Inception	2.56 4.22 5.34
% Premium/Discount to NAV (9/30/11)	2.13
% Market Yields ³	
Market Yield Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield	6.08 10.28
% Leverage ⁴	
RIB	40.82
	Since Inception

		Five	
% Comparative Performance ¹	One Year	Years	8/30/02
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	4.31	4.17	5.27
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds Average at NAV	3.52	3.80	5.32
Fund Profile			

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁵

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁴ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows

AAA	15.4	BBB	9.3
AA	52.1	Not Rated	2.3
А	20.9		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 7.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2011 Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- ^{1.} Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital 7 Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities ranging from 6-8 years. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Barclays Capital 1-3 Year U.S. Government/ Credit Bond Index measures the performance of U.S. Treasuries, government-related and investment-grade U.S. corporate securities with maturities ranging from 1-3 years. Unless otherwise stated, indices do not reflect any applicable sales charges, commissions, leverage, taxes or other expenses of investing. Lipper Average reflects the average annual total return of funds in the same Lipper classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest directly in an index or Lipper classification.
- ^{2.} Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- ^{3.} Market yields are calculated by dividing the last regular distribution per common share in the period (annualized) by the market price. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates (41.70% for CA, 40.83% for NY) except for Municipal Bond Fund, which assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. The distribution declared on September 30, 2011 and October 31, 2011 reflects a reduction of the monthly distribution for the Municipal Bond Fund. Distributions may be composed of tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ^{4.} Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of NAV). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus Floating Rate Notes.
- ^{5.} Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is shown.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

The views expressed in this report are those of portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. The commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 169.2%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Electric Utilities 1.3%		
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 7,110	\$ 7,862,380
Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	3,425	3,629,610
		\$ 11,491,990
General Obligations 7.8%		
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority,	\$ 8,320	\$ 9,026,701
5.75%, 7/1/32 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed),	3,000	3,170,370
5.00%, 8/15/37	6,465	7,106,910
Georgia, 5.00%, 7/1/29	10,000	11,519,900
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ North East, TX, Independent School District, (PSF	2,000	2,189,520
Guaranteed), 5.25%, 2/1/28 Northside, TX, Independent School District, (PSF	2,000	2,473,580
Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 Northside, TX, Independent School District, (PSF	180	189,182
Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 ⁽¹⁾	12,250	12,874,872
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	6,750	7,494,120

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE	CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL	BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	2,000	2,218,740
Port of Houston Authority, TX, (Harris County),		
$5.00\%, 10/1/35^{(2)}$	7,500	8,280,075

\$ 66,543,970

Hospital 9.9%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
(Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	\$ 11,940	\$ 11,824,063
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	2 5 00	0.460.005
(Cottage Health System), 5.00%, 11/1/40	2,500	2,460,325
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	5 000	4.061.000
(John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36	5,000	4,961,000
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	11,050	11,146,908
(Kaiser Permanente), 5.25%, 3/1/45 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper	11,030	11,140,908
Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/25	605	581,006
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper	005	581,000
Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	2,610	2,287,012
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper	2,010	2,207,012
Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27	1,870	1,804,868
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper	1,070	1,001,000
Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34	5,685	5,541,511
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific	,	
Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33	3,900	3,892,317
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority,		
(Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36	7,190	7,339,983
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing		
Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38	8,310	1,733,965
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing		
Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	10,000	1,730,200
Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh		
Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32	8,165	8,326,095
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health		
System), 5.00%, 11/15/38	4,295	4,089,699
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health		
System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	10,000	9,753,600
South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist		
Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42	100	100,474
South Miami, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Baptist	000	004.000
Health), 5.00%, 8/15/42 ⁽¹⁾	900	904,266
Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance	6 10 5	6 107 674
Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40	6,105	6,197,674

\$ 84,674,966

Industrial Development Revenue 0.8%

St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$ 7,235	\$ 7,146,950
		\$ 7,146,950
Insured Electric Utilities 5.9%		
insured Electric Ounties 5.9%		
American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State		
Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,498,550
Long Island, NY, Power Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy),	1,350	1,488,294
(XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41	13,895	13,040,874
Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (AGM),	2,735	2,911,845
5.125%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper),	18,340	18,563,564
(BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	7,840	8,716,826
		\$ 50,219,953

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.1%		
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/15/16, 5.25%, 11/15/36	\$ 525	\$ 636,720
		\$ 636,720
Insured General Obligations 14.8%		
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$ 3,750	\$ 4,499,625
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36	12,155	\$ 4,499,023 8,630,779
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF	10,055	7,961,549
Guaranteed), 4.00%, 8/15/40	14,330	14,317,246
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/21 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District	15,550	10,428,297
No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	50,650	31,821,876
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC),	7,000	7,225,750
5.00%, 8/1/32	8,955	9,611,043
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC),	95	98,835
4.75%, 2/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	10,950	11,392,052
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	12,750	13,035,722

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG),		
3.75%, 7/1/31	8,325	7,661,914
		\$ 126,684,688
Insured Hospital 17.7%		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health),		
(BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,733,450
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05% , $8/15/38^{(1)}$	11,000	11,181,390
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany		
Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39	3,950	4,113,451
Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany		
Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/44	1,050	1,093,166
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health),		
(AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	11,500	11,767,145