

BioMed Realty Trust Inc
Form 10-Q
May 05, 2011

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q
QUARTERLY REPORT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011
Commission File Number: 1-32261 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)
000-54089 (BioMed Realty, L.P.)
BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-1142292 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)
20-1320636 (BioMed Realty, L.P.)
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

17190 Bernardo Center Drive
San Diego, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92128
(Zip Code)

(858) 485-9840

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.
BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes ☐ No ☐
Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.
BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes ☐ No ☐
Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

BioMed Realty, L.P.:

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☒

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

Yes ☐ No ☒

BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of outstanding shares of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of May 5, 2011 was 131,248,832.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to we, us, our or our company refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to our operating partnership or the operating partnership refer to BioMed Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. operates as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P. As of March 31, 2011, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. owned an approximate 97.8% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 2.2% partnership interest (including long term incentive plan units) in BioMed Realty, L.P. As the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership's day-to-day management and control.

There are a few differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in the disclosure in this report. We believe it is important to understand the differences between our company and our operating partnership in the context of how BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. operate as an interrelated consolidated company. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of BioMed Realty, L.P. As a result, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. itself does not hold any indebtedness but guarantees some of the secured and unsecured debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty, L.P. holds substantially all the assets of the company and holds the ownership interests in the company's joint ventures. BioMed Realty, L.P. conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., which are generally contributed to BioMed Realty, L.P. in exchange for partnership units, BioMed Realty, L.P. generates the capital required by the company's business through BioMed Realty, L.P.'s operations, by BioMed Realty, L.P.'s direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness or through the issuance of partnership units.

Noncontrolling interests and stockholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and those of BioMed Realty, L.P. The operating partnership and long term incentive plan units in BioMed Realty, L.P. that are not owned by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. are accounted for as partners' capital in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s financial statements include the interests of joint venture partners. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the BioMed Realty, L.P. level as well as the limited partnership unitholders of BioMed Realty, L.P., not including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. The differences between stockholders' equity and partners' capital result from the differences in the equity issued at the BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and the BioMed Realty, L.P. levels.

We believe combining the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. into this single report:

- better reflects how management and the analyst community view the business as a single operating unit, enhances investor understanding of our company by enabling them to view the business as a whole and in the same manner as management,

- is more efficient for our company and results in savings in time, effort and expense, and

- is more efficient for investors by reducing duplicative disclosure and providing a single document for their review.

Table of Contents

To help investors understand the significant differences between our company and our operating partnership, this report presents the following separate sections for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P.:

- consolidated financial statements,

the following notes to the consolidated financial statements:

- Debt,

- Equity / Partners Capital, and

- Earnings Per Share / Unit,

- Liquidity and Capital Resources in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and

- Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

This report also includes separate Item 4. Controls and Procedures sections and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. in order to establish that the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. have made the requisite certifications and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. are compliant with Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. §1350.

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. AND BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	5
<u>Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:	5
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	9
Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty, L.P.:	11
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	11
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	12
<u>Consolidated Statements of Capital for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	13
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	14
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)</u>	15
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. (Unaudited)</u>	17
<u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	42
<u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	54
<u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u>	55
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	56

<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	56
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	56
<u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	56
<u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	56
<u>Item 4 Reserved</u>	56
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	56
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	57
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	58
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.1</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Investments in real estate, net	\$ 3,538,560	\$ 3,536,114
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships	56,287	57,265
Cash and cash equivalents	19,351	21,467
Restricted cash	6,687	9,971
Accounts receivable, net	7,358	5,874
Accrued straight-line rents, net	110,981	106,905
Acquired above-market leases, net	28,069	30,566
Deferred leasing costs, net	121,658	125,060
Deferred loan costs, net	13,473	11,499
Other assets	56,656	55,033
Total assets	\$ 3,959,080	\$ 3,959,754
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$ 629,640	\$ 657,922
Exchangeable senior notes, net	199,613	199,522
Unsecured senior notes, net	645,081	247,571
Unsecured line of credit	51,000	392,450
Security deposits	11,585	11,749
Dividends and distributions payable	31,086	27,029
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	88,116	98,826
Derivative instruments	2,231	3,826
Acquired below-market leases, net	7,565	7,963
Total liabilities	1,665,917	1,646,858
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized: 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$230,000,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per share), 9,200,000 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	222,413	222,413
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 131,239,482 and 131,046,509 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	1,312	1,310
Additional paid-in capital	2,369,922	2,371,488
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(68,908)	(70,857)
Dividends in excess of earnings	(241,894)	(221,176)
Total stockholders' equity	2,282,845	2,303,178

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Noncontrolling interests	10,318	9,718
Total equity	2,293,163	2,312,896
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 3,959,080	\$ 3,959,754

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenues:		
Rental	\$ 80,217	\$ 70,600
Tenant recoveries	24,581	20,826
Other income	747	1,330
Total revenues	105,545	92,756
Expenses:		
Rental operations	20,517	17,851
Real estate taxes	10,681	8,722
Depreciation and amortization	33,835	28,915
General and administrative	7,421	6,269
Acquisition related expenses	320	150
Total expenses	72,774	61,907
Income from operations	32,771	30,849
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(648)	(277)
Interest income	125	20
Interest expense	(21,316)	(21,260)
(Loss)/gain on derivative instruments	(1,011)	150
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(43)	(821)
Net income	9,878	8,661
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(107)	(121)
Net income attributable to the Company	9,771	8,540
Preferred stock dividends	(4,241)	(4,241)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 5,530	\$ 4,299
Net income per share available to common stockholders:		
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	129,771,733	98,229,996
Diluted	132,764,842	102,577,329

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

		Accumulated							
	Series A			Dividends		Total		Noncontrolling	
	Preferred	Common Stock		Additional	Other	in	Stockholder	Interests	Total
	Stock	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Comprehensive	Excess of	Equity		Equity
				Capital	(Loss)/Income	Earnings			
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 222,413	131,046,509	\$ 1,310	\$ 2,371,488	\$ (70,857)	\$ (221,176)	\$ 2,303,178	\$ 9,718	\$ 2,312,896
Net issuances of unvested restricted common stock		180,792	2	(2,390)			(2,388)		(2,388)
Conversion of OP units to common stock		12,181		(30)			(30)	30	
Vesting of share-based awards				1,871			1,871		1,871
Reallocation of equity to noncontrolling interests				(1,017)			(1,017)	1,017	
Common stock dividends						(26,248)	(26,248)		(26,248)
OP unit distributions								(598)	(598)
Net income						9,771	9,771	107	9,878
Preferred stock dividends						(4,241)	(4,241)		(4,241)
Unrealized loss on marketable securities					(2,266)		(2,266)	(51)	(2,317)
Amortization of deferred interest costs					1,726		1,726	39	1,765
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net					2,489		2,489	56	2,545
Balance at March 31,	\$ 222,413	131,239,482	\$ 1,312	\$ 2,369,922	\$ (68,908)	\$ (241,894)	\$ 2,282,845	\$ 10,318	\$ 2,293,163

2011

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income available to common stockholders and noncontrolling interests	\$ 5,637	\$ 4,420
Other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net	2,569	2,927
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,765	1,786
Equity in other comprehensive income/(loss) of unconsolidated partnerships	28	(14)
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net	(52)	(245)
Reclassification on sale of marketable securities		(538)
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	(2,317)	
Total other comprehensive income	1,993	3,916
Comprehensive income	7,630	8,336
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(151)	(113)
Comprehensive income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 7,479	\$ 8,223

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 9,878	\$ 8,661
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
(Gain)/loss on extinguishment of debt	(398)	821
Loss/(gain) on derivative instruments	1,011	(150)
Gain on sale of marketable securities		(865)
Depreciation and amortization	33,835	28,915
Allowance for doubtful accounts	324	115
Revenue reduction attributable to acquired above-market leases	2,497	306
Revenue recognized related to acquired below-market leases	(398)	(1,201)
Revenue reduction attributable to lease incentives	518	500
Compensation expense related to restricted common stock and LTIP units	1,871	1,789
Amortization of deferred loan costs	1,058	1,204
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable	(497)	(467)
Amortization of debt discounts	141	177
Loss from unconsolidated partnerships	945	277
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	192	348
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,765	1,786
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	3,284	51
Accounts receivable	(1,570)	1,519
Accrued straight-line rents	(4,314)	(6,915)
Deferred leasing costs	(2,462)	(379)
Other assets	(6,174)	(11,036)
Security deposits	(164)	74
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	62	2,195
Net cash provided by operating activities	41,404	27,725
Investing activities:		
Purchases of interests in and additions to investments in real estate and related intangible assets	(41,898)	(71,727)
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities		1,227
Funds held in escrow for acquisitions	(875)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,773)	(70,500)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from common stock offering		15,797
Payment of common stock offering costs		(371)

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Payment of deferred loan costs	(2,383)	(5,773)
Unsecured line of credit proceeds	70,200	178,742
Unsecured line of credit payments	(411,650)	(181,844)

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(27,292)	(1,812)
Secured term loan repayments		(100,000)
Repurchases of exchangeable senior notes due 2026		(6,311)
Proceeds from exchangeable senior notes due 2030		180,000
Proceeds from unsecured senior notes	397,460	
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net	(52)	(245)
Distributions to operating partnership unit and LTIP unit holders	(509)	(429)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(22,280)	(13,860)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(4,241)	(4,241)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(747)	59,653
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(2,116)	16,878
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,467	19,922
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 19,351	\$ 36,800
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$1,494 and \$1,645, respectively)	\$ 16,452	\$ 17,507
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Accrual for preferred stock dividends declared	\$ 4,241	\$ 4,241
Accrual for common stock dividends declared	26,248	14,043
Accrual for distributions declared for operating partnership unit and LTIP unit holders	598	425
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets	21,755	10,588
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except unit data)

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Investments in real estate, net	\$ 3,538,560	\$ 3,536,114
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships	56,287	57,265
Cash and cash equivalents	19,351	21,467
Restricted cash	6,687	9,971
Accounts receivable, net	7,358	5,874
Accrued straight-line rents, net	110,981	106,905
Acquired above-market leases, net	28,069	30,566
Deferred leasing costs, net	121,658	125,060
Deferred loan costs, net	13,473	11,499
Other assets	56,656	55,033
Total assets	\$ 3,959,080	\$ 3,959,754
LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL		
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$ 629,640	\$ 657,922
Exchangeable senior notes, net	199,613	199,522
Unsecured senior notes, net	645,081	247,571
Unsecured line of credit	51,000	392,450
Security deposits	11,585	11,749
Distributions payable	31,086	27,029
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	88,116	98,826
Derivative instruments	2,231	3,826
Acquired below-market leases, net	7,565	7,963
Total liabilities	1,665,917	1,646,858
Capital:		
Partners' capital:		
Preferred units, 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred units, \$230,000,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per unit), 9,200,000 units issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	222,413	222,413
Limited partners' capital, 2,989,069 and 3,001,250 units issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	10,536	9,918
General partner's capital, 131,239,482 and 131,046,509 units issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	2,127,988	2,150,314
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(67,556)	(69,549)
Total partners' capital	2,293,381	2,313,096
Noncontrolling interests deficit	(218)	(200)
Total capital	2,293,163	2,312,896

Total liabilities and capital	\$ 3,959,080	\$ 3,959,754
--------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In thousands, except unit data)
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Revenues:		
Rental	\$ 80,217	\$ 70,600
Tenant recoveries	24,581	20,826
Other income	747	1,330
Total revenues	105,545	92,756
Expenses:		
Rental operations	20,517	17,851
Real estate taxes	10,681	8,722
Depreciation and amortization	33,835	28,915
General and administrative	7,421	6,269
Acquisition related expenses	320	150
Total expenses	72,774	61,907
Income from operations	32,771	30,849
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(648)	(277)
Interest income	125	20
Interest expense	(21,316)	(21,260)
(Loss)/gain on derivative instruments	(1,011)	150
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(43)	(821)
Net income	9,878	8,661
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	18	6
Net income attributable to the Operating Partnership	9,896	8,667
Preferred unit distributions	(4,241)	(4,241)
Net income available to the unitholders	\$ 5,655	\$ 4,426
Net income per unit attributable to unitholders:		
Basic and diluted earnings per unit	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04
Weighted-average units outstanding:		
Basic	132,701,731	101,135,825
Diluted	132,701,731	102,577,329

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITAL
(In thousands, except unit data)
(Unaudited)

	Preferred Series A		Limited Partners Capital		General Partner's Capital		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Partner Equity	Noncontrolling Interests Deficit	Total Equity
	Units	Amount	Units	Amount	Units	Amount	(Loss)/Income	Equity		
Balance at December 31, 2010	9,200,000	\$ 222,413	3,001,250	\$ 9,918	131,046,509	\$ 2,150,314	\$ (69,549)	\$ 2,313,096	\$ (200)	\$ 2,312,896
Net issuances of unvested restricted OP units					180,792	(2,388)		(2,388)		(2,388)
Conversion of P units			(12,181)	30	12,181	(30)				
Resting of share-based wards						1,871		1,871		1,871
Reallocation of equity to limited partners				1,061		(1,061)				
Distributions		(4,241)		(598)		(26,248)		(31,087)		(31,087)
Net income		4,241		125		5,530		9,896	(18)	9,878
Unrealized loss on marketable securities							(2,317)	(2,317)		(2,317)
Amortization of deferred interest costs							1,765	1,765		1,765
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net							2,545	2,545		2,545
Balance at March 31, 2011	9,200,000	\$ 222,413	2,989,069	\$ 10,536	131,239,482	\$ 2,127,988	\$ (67,556)	\$ 2,293,381	\$ (218)	\$ 2,293,163

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income available to unitholders and noncontrolling interests	\$ 5,637	\$ 4,420
Other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net	2,569	2,927
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,765	1,786
Equity in other comprehensive income/(loss) of unconsolidated partnerships	28	(14)
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net	(52)	(245)
Reclassification on sale of marketable securities		(538)
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	(2,317)	
Total other comprehensive income	1,993	3,916
Comprehensive income	\$ 7,630	\$ 8,336

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 9,878	\$ 8,661
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
(Gain)/loss on extinguishment of debt	(398)	821
Loss/(gain) on derivative instruments	1,011	(150)
Gain on sale of marketable securities		(865)
Depreciation and amortization	33,835	28,915
Allowance for doubtful accounts	324	115
Revenue reduction attributable to acquired above-market leases	2,497	306
Revenue recognized related to acquired below-market leases	(398)	(1,201)
Revenue reduction attributable to lease incentives	518	500
Compensation expense related to share-based payments	1,871	1,789
Amortization of deferred loan costs	1,058	1,204
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable	(497)	(467)
Amortization of debt discounts	141	177
Loss from unconsolidated partnerships	945	277
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	192	348
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,765	1,786
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	3,284	51
Accounts receivable	(1,570)	1,519
Accrued straight-line rents	(4,314)	(6,915)
Deferred leasing costs	(2,462)	(379)
Other assets	(6,174)	(11,036)
Security deposits	(164)	74
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	62	2,195
Net cash provided by operating activities	41,404	27,725
Investing activities:		
Purchases of interests in and additions to investments in real estate and related intangible assets	(41,898)	(71,727)
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities		1,227
Funds held in escrow for acquisitions	(875)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,773)	(70,500)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of OP units		15,426
Payment of deferred loan costs	(2,383)	(5,773)

Unsecured line of credit proceeds	70,200	178,742
-----------------------------------	--------	---------

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Unsecured line of credit payments	(411,650)	(181,844)
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(27,292)	(1,812)
Secured term loan repayments		(100,000)
Repurchases of exchangeable senior notes due 2026		(6,311)
Proceeds from exchangeable senior notes due 2030		180,000
Proceeds from unsecured senior notes	397,460	
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net	(52)	(245)
Distributions paid to unitholders	(22,789)	(14,289)
Distributions paid to preferred unitholders	(4,241)	(4,241)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(747)	59,653
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(2,116)	16,878
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,467	19,922
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 19,351	\$ 36,800
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$1,494 and \$1,645, respectively)	\$ 16,452	\$ 17,507
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Accrual for unit distributions declared	\$ 26,846	\$ 14,468
Accrual for preferred unit distributions declared	4,241	4,241
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets	21,755	10,588
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

**BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.
BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)**

1. Organization of the Parent Company and Description of Business

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the "Parent Company"), was incorporated in Maryland on April 30, 2004. On August 11, 2004, the Parent Company commenced operations after completing its initial public offering. The Parent Company operates as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT") focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry principally through its subsidiary, BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership" and together with the Parent Company referred to as the "Company"). The Company's tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. The Company's properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Diego, San Francisco, Seattle, Maryland, Pennsylvania and New York/New Jersey.

The Parent Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of March 31, 2011, owned a 97.8% percentage interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 2.2% percentage interest in the Operating Partnership is held by limited partners. Each partner's percentage interest in the Operating Partnership is determined based on the number of operating partnership units and long-term incentive plan units ("LTIP units" and together with the operating partnership units, the "OP units") owned as compared to total OP units (and potentially issuable OP units, as applicable) outstanding as of each period end and is used as the basis for the allocation of net income or loss to each partner.

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and eliminations, consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been recorded. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes therein included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, partnerships and limited liability companies it controls, and variable interest entities for which the Company has determined itself to be the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The Company consolidates entities the Company controls and records a noncontrolling interest for the portions not owned by the Company. Control is determined, where applicable, by the sufficiency of equity invested and the rights of the equity holders, and by the ownership of a majority of the voting interests, with consideration given to the existence of approval or veto rights granted to the minority stockholder. If the minority stockholder holds substantive participating rights, it overcomes the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder. In contrast, if the minority stockholder simply holds protective rights (such as consent rights over certain actions), it does not overcome the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder.

Investments in Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies

The Company evaluates its investments in limited liability companies and partnerships to determine whether such entities may be a variable interest entity, or VIE, and, if a VIE, whether the Company is the primary beneficiary. Generally, an entity is determined to be a VIE when either (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest, (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf

of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest. The primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct matters that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Company considers a variety of factors in identifying the entity that holds the power to direct matters that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance including, but not limited to, the ability to direct financing, leasing, construction and other operating decisions and activities. In addition, the Company considers the rights of other investors to participate in policy making decisions, to replace or remove the manager and to liquidate or sell the entity. The obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits when a reporting entity is affiliated with a VIE must be based on ownership, contractual, and/or other pecuniary interests in that VIE. The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary in five VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Selected financial data of the VIEs at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 386,297	\$ 375,428
Total assets	425,360	414,993
Total debt	147,000	147,000
Total liabilities	159,089	161,697

If the foregoing conditions do not apply, the Company considers whether a general partner or managing member controls a limited partnership or limited liability company. The general partner in a limited partnership or managing member in a limited liability company is presumed to control that limited partnership or limited liability company. The presumption may be overcome if the limited partners or members have either (1) the substantive ability to dissolve the limited partnership or limited liability company or otherwise remove the general partner or managing member without cause or (2) substantive participating rights, which provide the limited partners or members with the ability to effectively participate in significant decisions that would be expected to be made in the ordinary course of the limited partnership's or limited liability company's business and thereby preclude the general partner or managing member from exercising unilateral control over the partnership or company. If these criteria are met and the Company is the general partner or the managing member, as applicable, the consolidation of the partnership or limited liability company is required.

Except for investments that are consolidated, the Company accounts for investments in entities over which it exercises significant influence, but does not control, under the equity method of accounting. These investments are recorded initially at cost and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions. Under the equity method of accounting, the Company's net equity in the investment is reflected in the consolidated balance sheets and its share of net income or loss is included in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the carrying value of the Company's investments in unconsolidated partnerships or limited liability companies may be impaired on an other than temporary basis. An investment is impaired only if management's estimate of the fair-value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment on an other than temporary basis. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying value of the investment over the fair-value of the investment. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's unconsolidated investments in partnerships or limited liability companies was impaired as of March 31, 2011.

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Land	\$ 578,753	\$ 578,753
Land under development	47,920	47,920
Buildings and improvements	3,186,329	3,160,392
Construction in progress	94,218	91,027
	3,907,220	3,878,092
Accumulated depreciation	(368,660)	(341,978)
	\$ 3,538,560	\$ 3,536,114

Table of Contents***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed***

The Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If impairment exists due to the inability to recover the carrying value of a long-lived asset, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair-value of the property. The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the values of its investments in long-lived assets. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Although the Company's strategy is to hold its properties over the long-term, if the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to the lower of the carrying amount or fair-value, and such loss could be material. As of and through March 31, 2011, no assets have been identified as impaired and no such impairment losses have been recognized.

Deferred Leasing Costs

Leasing commissions and other direct costs associated with obtaining new or renewal leases are recorded at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases, with remaining terms ranging from less than one year to approximately 21 years as of March 31, 2011. Deferred leasing costs also include the net carrying value of acquired in-place leases and acquired management agreements.

Deferred leasing costs, net at March 31, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Balance at March 31, 2011	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquired in-place leases	\$ 216,674	\$ (132,269)	\$ 84,405
Acquired management agreements	18,557	(11,514)	7,043
Deferred leasing and other direct costs	44,191	(13,981)	30,210
	\$ 279,422	\$ (157,764)	\$ 121,658

Deferred leasing costs, net at December 31, 2010 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Balance at December 31, 2010	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquired in-place leases	\$ 216,674	\$ (126,484)	\$ 90,190
Acquired management agreements	18,557	(11,132)	7,425
Deferred leasing and other direct costs	40,531	(13,086)	27,445
	\$ 275,762	\$ (150,702)	\$ 125,060

Revenue Recognition

The Company commences revenue recognition on its leases based on a number of factors. In most cases, revenue recognition under a lease begins when the lessee takes possession of or controls the physical use of the leased asset. Generally, this occurs on the lease commencement date. In determining what constitutes the leased asset, the Company evaluates whether the Company or the lessee is the owner, for accounting purposes, of the tenant

improvements. If the Company is the owner, for accounting purposes, of the tenant improvements, then the leased asset is the finished space and revenue recognition begins when the lessee takes possession of the finished space, typically when the improvements are substantially complete. If the Company concludes that it is not the owner, for accounting purposes, of the tenant improvements (the lessee is the owner), then the leased asset is the unimproved space and any tenant improvement allowances funded under the lease are treated as lease incentives, which reduce revenue recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining non-cancelable term of the respective lease. In these circumstances, the Company begins revenue recognition when the lessee takes possession of the unimproved space for the lessee to construct improvements. The determination of who is the owner, for accounting purposes, of the tenant improvements determines the nature of the leased asset and when revenue recognition under a lease begins. The Company considers a number of different factors to evaluate whether it or the lessee is the owner of the tenant improvements for accounting purposes. These factors include:

- whether the lease stipulates how and on what a tenant improvement allowance may be spent;
- whether the tenant or landlord retain legal title to the improvements;

Table of Contents

the uniqueness of the improvements;
the expected economic life of the tenant improvements relative to the length of the lease;
the responsible party for construction cost overruns; and
who constructs or directs the construction of the improvements.

The determination of who owns the tenant improvements, for accounting purposes, is subject to significant judgment. In making that determination, the Company considers all of the above factors. However, no one factor is determinative in reaching a conclusion.

All leases are classified as operating leases and minimum rents are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases are included in accrued straight-line rents on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and contractually due but unpaid rents are included in accounts receivable. Existing leases at acquired properties are reviewed at the time of acquisition to determine if contractual rents are above or below current market rents for the acquired property. An identifiable lease intangible asset or liability is recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate that reflects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between (1) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (2) the Company's estimate of the fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases at acquisition, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the leases and any fixed rate renewal periods (based on the Company's assessment of the likelihood that the renewal periods will be exercised). The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. The capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases and any fixed-rate renewal periods, if applicable. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off.

Acquired above-market leases, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Acquired above-market leases	\$ 43,138	\$ 43,138
Accumulated amortization	(15,069)	(12,572)
	\$ 28,069	\$ 30,566

Acquired below-market leases, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Acquired below-market leases	\$ 40,156	\$ 40,156
Accumulated amortization	(32,591)	(32,193)
	\$ 7,565	\$ 7,963

Lease incentives, net, which is included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Lease incentives	\$ 30,065	\$ 27,062
Accumulated amortization	(6,216)	(5,698)

\$ 23,849 \$ 21,364

Rental operations expenses, consisting of real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance costs, are subject to recovery from tenants under the terms of lease agreements. Amounts recovered are dependent on several factors, including occupancy and lease terms. Revenues are recognized in the period the expenses are incurred. The reimbursements are recorded in revenues as tenant recoveries, and the expenses are recorded in rental operations expenses, as the Company is generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, has discretion in selecting the supplier and bears the credit risk.

Table of Contents

On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the recoverability of tenant balances, including rents receivable, straight-line rents receivable, tenant improvements, deferred leasing costs and any acquisition intangibles. When it is determined that the recoverability of tenant balances is not probable, an allowance for expected losses related to tenant receivables, including straight-line rents receivable, utilizing the specific identification method, is recorded as a charge to earnings. Upon the termination of a lease, the amortization of tenant improvements, deferred leasing costs and acquisition intangible assets and liabilities is accelerated to the expected termination date as a charge to their respective line items and tenant receivables are written off as a reduction of the allowance in the period in which the balance is deemed to be no longer collectible. For financial reporting purposes, a lease is treated as terminated upon a tenant filing for bankruptcy, when a space is abandoned and a tenant ceases rent payments, or when other circumstances indicate that termination of a tenant's lease is probable (e.g., eviction). Lease termination fees are recognized in other income when the related leases are canceled, the amounts to be received are fixed and determinable and collectability is assured, and when the Company has no continuing obligation to provide services to such former tenants. The effect of lease terminations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Rental revenues	\$	\$
Other income	729	78
Total revenue	729	78
Rental operations expense	238	9
Depreciation and amortization	282	
Total expenses	520	9
Net effect of lease terminations	\$ 209	\$ 69

Investments

The Company, through its Operating Partnership, holds investments in equity securities in certain publicly-traded companies and privately-held companies primarily involved in the life science industry. The Company does not acquire investments for trading purposes and, as a result, all of the Company's investments in publicly-traded companies are considered "available-for-sale" and are recorded at fair-value. Changes in the fair-value of investments classified as available-for-sale are recorded in other comprehensive income. The fair-value of the Company's equity securities in publicly-traded companies is determined based upon the closing trading price of the equity security as of the balance sheet date. Investments in equity securities of privately-held companies are generally accounted for under the cost method, because the Company does not influence any operating or financial policies of the companies in which it invests. The classification of investments is determined at the time each investment is made, and such determination is reevaluated at each balance sheet date. The cost of investments sold is determined by the specific identification method, with net realized gains and losses included in other income. For all investments in equity securities, if a decline in the fair-value of an investment below its carrying value is determined to be other-than-temporary, such investment is written down to its estimated fair-value with a non-cash charge to earnings. The factors that the Company considers in making these assessments include, but are not limited to, market prices, market conditions, available financing, prospects for favorable or unfavorable clinical trial results, new product initiatives and new collaborative agreements.

Table of Contents

Investments in equity securities, which are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Equity securities in publicly-traded companies, initial cost basis	\$ 4,557	\$ 4,133
Unrealized loss	(2,391)	(73)
Equity securities in publicly-traded companies, fair-value(1)	2,166	4,060
Equity securities in privately-held companies, initial cost basis(2)		
Total equity securities, fair-value(3)	\$ 2,166	\$ 4,060

- (1) Determination of fair-value is classified as Level 1 in the fair-value hierarchy based on the use of observable market-based inputs.
- (2) Investments in equity securities in privately-held companies are initially recorded at fair-value based on unobservable inputs, which are classified as Level 3 in the fair-value hierarchy.
- (3) The valuation of the Company's investments in equity securities in total is classified as Level 1 of the fair-value hierarchy due to the de minimis value of the Company's investments in equity securities of privately-held companies.

The Company's investments in two publicly traded securities currently have a fair market value that is less than the Company's initial cost basis in these securities due to decreases in their respective stock prices during the three months ended March 31, 2011. However, management has the intent and ability to retain the investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in market value. Management will continue to periodically evaluate whether any investments, the market value of which is less than the Company's initial cost basis, should be considered other-than-temporarily-impaired.

The Company's remaining investments consisted of equity securities in privately-held companies, which were determined to have a de minimis fair-value at receipt. This was the result of substantial doubt about the ability to realize value from the sale of such investments due to an illiquid or non-existent market for the securities and the ongoing financial difficulties of the companies that issued the equity securities.

Share-Based Payments

All share-based payments to employees are recognized in the income statement based on their fair-value. Through March 31, 2011, the Company had only awarded restricted stock of the Parent Company and LTIP units of the Operating Partnership under its incentive award plan, which are valued based on the closing market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, and had not granted any stock options. The fair-value of all share-based payments is amortized to general and administrative expense and rental operations expense over the relevant service period, adjusted for anticipated forfeitures.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair-Value

The Company measures financial instruments and other items at fair-value where required under GAAP, but has elected not to measure any additional financial instruments and other items at fair-value as permitted under fair-value option accounting guidance.

Fair-value measurement is determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair-value measurements, there is a fair-value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the

hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair-value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair-value hierarchy, the level in the fair-value hierarchy within which the entire fair-value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair-value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair-value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Table of Contents

The Company has used interest rate swaps to manage its interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The fair-values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair-value measurements. In adjusting the fair-value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair-value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of March 31, 2011, the Company has determined that the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions is not significant. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair-value hierarchy (see Note 9).

The valuation of the Company's investments in equity securities of publicly-traded companies utilizes Level 1 inputs, based on the closing trading price of the securities as of the balance sheet date. The valuation of the Company's investments in equity securities of private companies utilizes Level 3 inputs (including any discounts applied to the valuations). However, as of March 31, 2011, the Company's aggregate investment in equity securities of private companies was immaterial.

No other assets or liabilities are measured at fair-value on a recurring basis, or have been measured at fair-value on a non-recurring basis subsequent to initial recognition, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011.

Derivative Instruments

The Company records all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair-value. In determining the fair-value of its derivatives, the Company considers the credit risk of its counterparties and the Company. These counterparties are generally larger financial institutions engaged in providing a variety of financial services. These institutions generally face similar risks regarding adverse changes in market and economic conditions, including, but not limited to, fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and credit spreads. The ongoing disruptions in the financial markets have heightened the risks to these institutions. While management believes that its counterparties will meet their obligations under the derivative contracts, it is possible that defaults may occur.

The accounting for changes in the fair-value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair-value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair-value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair-value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair-value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting.

Table of Contents

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. If charges relating to the hedged transaction are being deferred pursuant to redevelopment or development activities, the effective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative are also deferred in other comprehensive income on the consolidated balance sheet, and are amortized to the income statement once the deferred charges from the hedged transaction begin again to affect earnings. The ineffective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. The Company assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives that are not classified as hedges, changes in the fair-value of the derivative are recognized directly in earnings in the period in which the change occurs.

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known or expected cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

The Company's primary objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with the Company's unsecured line of credit. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with the Company's unsecured line of credit and secured term loan (see Note 9). The Company formally documents the hedging relationships for all derivative instruments, has historically accounted for its interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges, and does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

Management's Estimates

Management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reporting of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and reported amounts of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Segment Information

The Company's properties share the following similar economic and operating characteristics: (1) they have similar forecasted returns (measured by capitalization rate at acquisition), (2) they are generally occupied almost exclusively by life science tenants that are public companies, government agencies or their subsidiaries, (3) they are generally located near areas of high life science concentrations with similar demographics and site characteristics, (4) the majority of properties are designed specifically for life science tenants that require infrastructure improvements not generally found in standard properties, and (5) the associated leases are primarily triple-net leases, generally with a fixed rental rate and scheduled annual escalations, that provide for a recovery of close to 100% of operating expenses. Consequently, the Company's properties qualify for aggregation into one reporting segment.

3. Equity of the Parent Company

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Parent Company issued restricted stock awards to the Company's employees totaling 321,044 shares of common stock (129,342 shares of common stock were surrendered to the Company and subsequently retired in lieu of cash payments for taxes due on the vesting of restricted stock and 10,910 shares were forfeited during the same period), which are included in the total of common stock outstanding as of the period end (see Note 6).

Table of Contents

The Parent Company also maintains a Dividend Reinvestment Program and a Cash Option Purchase Plan (collectively, the DRIP Plan) to provide existing stockholders of the Parent Company with an opportunity to invest automatically the cash dividends paid upon shares of the Parent Company's common stock held by them, as well as permit existing and prospective stockholders to make voluntary cash purchases. Participants may elect to reinvest a portion of, or the full amount of cash dividends paid, whereas optional cash purchases are normally limited to a maximum amount of \$10,000. In addition, the Parent Company may elect to establish a discount ranging from 0% to 5% from the market price applicable to newly issued shares of common stock purchased directly from the Parent Company. The Parent Company may change the discount, initially set at 0%, at its discretion, but may not change the discount more frequently than once in any three-month period. Shares purchased under the DRIP Plan shall be, at the Parent Company's option, purchased from either (1) authorized, but previously unissued shares of common stock, (2) shares of common stock purchased in the open market or privately negotiated transactions, or (3) a combination of both. As of and through March 31, 2011, all shares issued to participants in the DRIP Plan have been acquired through purchases in the open market.

Common Stock, Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding 131,239,482 shares of the Parent Company's common stock and 2,593,538 and 395,531 operating partnership and LTIP units, respectively. A share of the Parent Company's common stock and the operating partnership and LTIP units have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. The operating partnership and LTIP units are further discussed below in this Note 3.

7.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

As of March 31, 2011, the Parent Company had outstanding 9,200,000 shares of 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or Series A preferred stock. Dividends are cumulative on the Series A preferred stock from the date of original issuance in the amount of \$1.84375 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share. Dividends on the Series A preferred stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year. Following a change of control, if the Series A preferred stock is not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq Global Market, holders will be entitled to receive (when and as authorized by the board of directors and declared by the Parent Company) cumulative cash dividends from, but excluding, the first date on which both the change of control and the delisting occurs at an increased rate of 8.375% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to an annual rate of \$2.09375 per share) for as long as the Series A preferred stock is not listed. The Series A preferred stock does not have a stated maturity date and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption provisions. Upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the Series A preferred stock will rank senior to the Parent Company's common stock with respect to the payment of distributions and other amounts. The Parent Company is not allowed to redeem the Series A preferred stock before January 18, 2012, except in limited circumstances to preserve its status as a REIT. On or after January 18, 2012, the Parent Company may, at its option, redeem the Series A preferred stock, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends on such Series A preferred stock up to, but excluding the redemption date. Holders of the Series A preferred stock generally have no voting rights except for limited voting rights if the Parent Company fails to pay dividends for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive) and in certain other circumstances. The Series A preferred stock is not convertible into or exchangeable for any other property or securities of the Parent Company.

Dividends and Distributions

The following table lists the dividends and distributions declared by the Company and the Operating Partnership during the three months ended March 31, 2011:

Amount Per	Dividend and Distribution	Dividend and
		Distribution

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Declaration Date	Securities Class	Share/Unit	Period Covered	Payable Date	Amount (in thousands)
March 14, 2011	Common stock and OP units	\$ 0.20000	January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011	April 15, 2011	\$ 26,846
March 14, 2011	Series A preferred stock/units	\$ 0.46094	January 16, 2011 to April 15, 2011	April 15, 2011	\$ 4,241

Table of Contents

Total 2011 dividends and distributions declared through March 31, 2011:

Common stock and OP units	\$ 26,846
Series A preferred stock/units	4,241
	\$ 31,087

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets relate primarily to the OP units in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Parent Company. In conjunction with the formation of the Company, certain persons and entities contributing interests in properties to the Operating Partnership received operating partnership units. In addition, certain employees of the Operating Partnership received LTIP units in connection with services rendered or to be rendered to the Operating Partnership. Limited partners who have been issued OP units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their OP units, which right with respect to LTIP units is subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions. The Parent Company may elect to acquire those OP units in exchange for shares of the Parent Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits, stock dividends, issuance of stock rights, specified extraordinary distributions and similar events, or pay cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of the Parent Company's common stock at the time of redemption. With respect to the noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, noncontrolling interests with the redemption provisions that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. Since the OP units comprising the noncontrolling interests contain such a provision, the Company evaluated this guidance, including the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that the OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity.

The Company evaluates individual noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling interest as permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Any noncontrolling interest that fails to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value as of the end of the period in which the determination is made.

The redemption value of the OP units not owned by the Parent Company, had such units been redeemed at March 31, 2011, was approximately \$54.5 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company's common stock of \$18.22 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding March 31, 2011.

The following table shows the vested ownership interests in the Operating Partnership were as follows:

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units	Percentage of Total	Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units	Percentage of Total
BioMed Realty Trust	129,844,404	97.8%	129,603,445	97.8%
Noncontrolling interest consisting of:				
Operating partnership and LTIP units held by employees and related parties	2,341,408	1.8%	2,268,873	1.7%
Operating partnership and LTIP units held by third parties	588,801	0.4%	588,801	0.5%
Total	132,774,613	100.0%	132,461,119	100.0%

A charge is recorded each period in the consolidated statements of income for the noncontrolling interests proportionate share of the Company's net income. An additional adjustment is made each period such that the carrying value of the noncontrolling interests equals the greater of (1) the noncontrolling interests' proportionate share of equity as of the period end, or (2) the redemption value of the noncontrolling interests as of the period end, if such interests are classified as temporary equity. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded an increase to the carrying value of noncontrolling interests of approximately \$1.0 million (a corresponding decrease was recorded to additional paid-in capital) due to changes in their aggregate ownership percentage to reflect the noncontrolling interests' proportionate share of equity.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had an 87.5% interest in the limited liability company that owns the Ardenwood Venture property. This entity is consolidated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Equity interests in this partnership not owned by the Company are classified as a noncontrolling interest on the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011. Subject to certain conditions, the Company has the right to purchase the other member's interest or sell its own interest in the Ardenwood Venture limited liability company ("buy-sell option"). The estimated fair-value of this option is not material and the Company believes that it will have adequate resources to settle the option if exercised.

4. Capital of the Operating Partnership***Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units***

As of March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 133,833,020 operating partnership units and 395,531 LTIP units. An operating partnership unit and an LTIP unit have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. In conjunction with the formation of the Operating Partnership, certain persons and entities contributing interests in properties to the Operating Partnership received operating partnership units. In addition, certain employees of the Operating Partnership have received LTIP units in connection with services rendered or to be rendered to the Operating Partnership. Limited partners who have been issued OP units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their OP units, which right with respect to LTIP units is subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions. The general partner of the Operating Partnership may elect to acquire OP units upon redemption in exchange for shares of the Parent Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits, stock dividends, issuance of stock rights, specified extraordinary distributions and similar events, or pay cash based upon the fair-market value of an equivalent number of shares of the Parent Company's common stock at the time of redemption. The Parent Company owned 97.8% of the partnership interests in the Operating Partnership at March 31, 2011, is the Operating Partnership's general partner and is responsible for the management of the Operating Partnership's business. As the general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company effectively controls the ability to issue common stock of the Parent Company upon a limited partner's notice of redemption. In addition, the general partner of the Operating Partnership has generally acquired OP units upon a limited partner's notice of redemption in exchange for shares of the Parent Company's common stock. The redemption provisions of OP units owned by limited partners that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated in accordance with applicable accounting guidance to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. The Operating Partnership evaluated this guidance, including the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that these OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity.

LTIP units represent a profits interest in the Operating Partnership for services rendered or to be rendered by the LTIP unitholder in its capacity as a partner, or in anticipation of becoming a partner, in the Operating Partnership. Initially, LTIP units do not have full parity with operating partnership units of the Operating Partnership with respect to liquidating distributions, although LTIP unitholders receive the same quarterly per unit distributions as operating partnership units and may vote the LTIP units from the date of issuance. The LTIP units are subject to vesting requirements, which lapse over a specified period of time (normally three to five years from the date of issuance). In addition, the LTIP units are generally subject to a two-year lock-up period from the date of issuance during which time the LTIP units may not be redeemed or sold by the LTIP unitholder. Upon the occurrence of specified events, LTIP units may over time achieve full parity with operating partnership units of the Operating Partnership for all purposes. Upon achieving full parity, and after the expiration of any vesting and lock-up periods, LTIP units may be redeemed for an equal number of shares of the Parent Company's common stock or cash, at the Parent Company's election, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership.

The following table shows the vested ownership interests (excluding unvested LTIP units) in the Operating Partnership:

March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Operating	Operating

	Partnership Units and LTIP Units	Percentage of Total	Partnership Units and LTIP Units	Percentage of Total
BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.	129,844,404	97.8%	129,603,445	97.8%
Noncontrolling interest consisting of:				
OP units held by employees and related parties	2,341,408	1.8%	2,268,873	1.7%
OP units held by third parties	588,801	0.4%	588,801	0.5%
Total	132,774,613	100.0%	132,461,119	100.0%

Table of Contents

An adjustment is made each period pursuant to the reallocation provisions of the Operating Partnership's partnership agreement and the applicable accounting guidance, such that the carrying value of the limited partners' equity equals the limited partners' proportionate share of total partners' equity as of the period end. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership recorded an increase to the carrying value of limited partners' capital of approximately \$1.1 million (a corresponding decrease was recorded to general partners' capital) due to changes in their aggregate ownership percentage to reflect the limited partners' proportionate share of equity.

The redemption value of the OP units owned by the limited partners, had such units been redeemed at March 31, 2011, was approximately \$54.5 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company's common stock of \$18.22 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding March 31, 2011.

7.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units

Pursuant to the Operating Partnership's partnership agreement, the Operating Partnership's 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred units, or Series A preferred units were issued to the Parent Company in exchange for contributed proceeds of approximately \$222.4 million following the Parent Company's issuance of Series A preferred stock. The Operating Partnership's Series A preferred units are only redeemable for cash equal to a redemption price of \$25.00 per unit, plus all accrued and unpaid distributions on such Series A preferred units up to, but excluding the redemption date, if and when shares of the Series A preferred stock are redeemed by the Parent Company, which may not occur before January 18, 2012, except in limited circumstances where necessary to preserve the Parent Company's status as a REIT. On or after January 18, 2012, the Parent Company may, at its option, redeem the Series A preferred stock, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid distributions on such Series A preferred stock up to, but excluding the redemption date.

As of March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 9,200,000 Series A preferred units. Distributions are cumulative on the Series A preferred units from the date of original issuance in the amount of \$1.84375 per unit each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per unit. Distributions on the Series A preferred units are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year. Following a change of control of the Parent Company, if the Series A preferred stock of the Parent Company is not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the American Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq Global Market, holders of the Series A preferred stock would be entitled to receive (when and as authorized by the board of directors of the Parent Company and declared by the Parent Company), cumulative cash dividends from, but excluding, the first date on which both the change of control and the delisting occurs at an increased rate of 8.375% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to an annual rate of \$2.09375 per share) for as long as the Series A preferred stock is not listed. The Series A preferred stock does not have a stated maturity date and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption provisions. Upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the Series A preferred units will rank senior to the OP units with respect to the payment of distributions and other amounts. Holders of the Series A preferred stock generally have no voting rights except for limited voting rights if the Parent Company fails to pay dividends for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive) and in certain other circumstances. The Series A preferred stock is not convertible into or exchangeable for any other property or securities of the Parent Company.

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries are reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. If noncontrolling interests are determined to be redeemable, they are carried at the greater of carrying value or their redemption value as of the balance sheet date and reported as temporary equity. Consolidated net income is reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest.

Noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets relate primarily to ownership interests in consolidated limited liability companies or partnerships that are not owned by the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership evaluates individual noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling interest as permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Any noncontrolling interest that fails to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value as of the end of the period in which the determination is made.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had an 87.5% interest in the limited liability company that owns the Ardenwood Venture property. This entity is consolidated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Equity interests in this entity not owned by the Operating Partnership are classified as a noncontrolling interest on the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2011. Subject to certain conditions, the Operating Partnership has the right to purchase the other member's interest or sell its own interest in the Ardenwood Venture limited liability company (buy-sell option). The estimated fair-value of this option is not material and the Operating Partnership believes that it will have adequate resources to settle the option if exercised.

5. Debt

Debt of the Parent Company

The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness. All debt is held directly or indirectly by the Operating Partnership; however, the Parent Company has guaranteed the Operating Partnership's Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2026 (the Notes due 2026), Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030 (the Notes due 2030), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2016 (the Notes due 2016), and Unsecured Senior Notes due 2020 (the Notes due 2020) as discussed below.

Table of Contents***Debt of the Operating Partnership***

A summary of the Operating Partnership's outstanding consolidated debt as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows (principal balance in thousands):

	Stated Fixed Interest Rate	Effective Interest Rate	Principal Balance March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	Maturity Date
Mortgage Notes Payable					
Ardentech Court (1)	7.25%	5.06%	\$ 4,205	\$ 4,237	July 1, 2012
Center for Life Science Boston	7.75%	7.75%	344,744	345,577	July 1, 2014
500 Kendall Street (Kendall D)	6.38%	5.45%	63,749	64,230	December 1, 2018
6828 Nancy Ridge Drive	7.15%	5.38%	6,458	6,488	September 1, 2012
Road to the Cure (2)	6.70%	5.78%		14,696	January 31, 2014
10255 Science Center Drive (2)	7.65%	5.04%		10,800	July 1, 2011
Shady Grove Road	5.97%	5.97%	147,000	147,000	September 1, 2016
Sidney Street	7.23%	5.11%	27,153	27,395	June 1, 2012
Sorrento West LLC	7.42%	2.72%	13,181	13,247	November 10, 2011
9865 Towne Centre Drive	7.95%	7.95%	17,572	17,636	June 1, 2013
900 Uniqema Boulevard	8.61%	5.61%	963	1,011	May 1, 2015
			625,025	652,317	
Unamortized premiums			4,615	5,605	
Mortgage notes payable, net			629,640	657,922	
Notes due 2026	4.50%	6.45%	19,800	19,800	October 1, 2026
Unamortized discount (3)			(187)	(278)	
Notes due 2026, net			19,613	19,522	
Notes due 2030	3.75%	3.75%	180,000	180,000	January 15, 2030
Exchangeable senior notes, net			199,613	199,522	
Notes due 2016	3.85%	3.99%	400,000		April 15, 2016
Unamortized discount (4)			(2,539)		
Notes due 2016, net			397,461		
Notes due 2020	6.13%	6.27%	250,000	250,000	April 15, 2020
Unamortized discount (5)			(2,380)	(2,429)	
Notes due 2020, net			247,620	247,571	
Unsecured senior notes, net			645,081	247,571	
Unsecured line of credit	1.36%	1.36%	51,000	392,450	August 1, 2011

Total consolidated debt	\$ 1,525,334	\$ 1,497,465
-------------------------	--------------	--------------

- (1) In April 2011, the Operating Partnership voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage note pertaining to the Ardentech Court property, in the amount of approximately \$4.6 million including a prepayment premium of \$361,000, prior to its maturity date.
- (2) During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$25.5 million pertaining to the Road to the Cure and the 10255 Science Center Drive properties, prior to their respective maturity dates.
- (3) The unamortized debt discount will be amortized through October 1, 2011, the first date at which the holders of the Notes due 2026 may require the Operating Partnership to repurchase the Notes due 2026.
- (4) The unamortized debt discount will be amortized through April 15, 2016, the maturity date of the Notes due 2016.
- (5) The unamortized debt discount will be amortized through April 15, 2020, the maturity date of the Notes due 2020.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Notes Payable, net

The Operating Partnership's \$350.0 million mortgage loan, which is secured by the Company's Center for Life Science | Boston property in Boston, Massachusetts, includes a financial covenant relating to a minimum amount of net worth. Management believes that it was in compliance with this covenant as of March 31, 2011. Other than the financial covenant related to the Center for Life Science | Boston mortgage, no other financial covenants are required on the remaining mortgage notes payable.

Premiums were recorded upon assumption of the mortgage notes payable at the time of the related property acquisition to account for above-market interest rates. Amortization of these premiums is recorded as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the respective note using a method that approximates the effective-interest method.

The Operating Partnership has the ability and intends to repay any principal and accrued interest due in 2011 through the use of cash from operations or borrowings from its unsecured line of credit.

Unsecured Line of Credit

The Operating Partnership's unsecured line of credit with KeyBank National Association (KeyBank) and other lenders has a borrowing capacity of \$720.0 million and a maturity date of August 1, 2011. The unsecured line of credit bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Operating Partnership's option, either (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus a spread which ranges from 100 to 155 basis points, depending on the Company's leverage, or (2) the higher of (a) the prime rate then in effect plus a spread which ranges from 0 to 25 basis points, or (b) the federal funds rate then in effect plus a spread which ranges from 50 to 75 basis points, in each case, depending on the Company's leverage. Subject to the administrative agent's reasonable discretion, the Operating Partnership may increase the amount of the unsecured line of credit to \$1.0 billion upon satisfying certain conditions. In addition, the Operating Partnership, at its sole discretion, may extend the maturity date of the unsecured line of credit to August 1, 2012 after satisfying certain conditions under its control and paying an extension fee based on the then current facility commitment. At maturity, the Operating Partnership may refinance the unsecured line of credit, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or it may execute the extension option. The Operating Partnership has deferred the loan costs associated with the subsequent amendments to the unsecured line of credit, which are being amortized to expense with the unamortized loan costs from the original debt facility over the remaining term. At March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had \$51.0 million in outstanding borrowings on its unsecured line of credit, with a weighted-average interest rate of 1.4% (excluding the effect of interest rate swaps). At March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had additional borrowing capacity under the unsecured line of credit of up to approximately \$661.2 million (net of outstanding letters of credit issued by the Operating Partnership and drawable on the unsecured line of credit of approximately \$7.8 million).

The terms of the credit agreement for the unsecured line of credit includes certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends and the incurrence of additional indebtedness and liens. The terms also require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amounts of the Company's net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, the maximum amount of secured, and secured recourse indebtedness, leverage ratio and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable the Parent Company to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Parent Company will not make distributions with respect to common stock or other equity interests in an aggregate amount for the preceding four fiscal quarters in excess of 95% of funds from operations, as defined, for such period, subject to other adjustments. Management believes that it was in compliance with the covenants as of March 31, 2011.

Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2026, net

On September 25, 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$175.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2026. The Notes due 2026 are general senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. Interest at a rate of 4.50% per annum is payable on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning on April 1, 2007, until the stated maturity date of October 1, 2026. The terms of the Notes due 2026 are governed by an indenture, dated September 25, 2006, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The Notes due 2026 contain an exchange settlement feature, which provides that the Notes due 2026 may, on

or after September 1, 2026 or under certain other circumstances, be exchangeable for cash (up to the principal amount of the Notes due 2026) and, with respect to excess exchange value, into, at the Operating Partnership's option, cash, shares of the Parent Company's common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock at the then applicable exchange rate. The initial exchange rate was 26.4634 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes due 2026, representing an exchange price of approximately \$37.79 per share. If certain designated events occur on or prior to October 6, 2011 and a holder elects to exchange Notes due 2026 in connection with any such transaction, the Operating Partnership will increase the exchange rate

Table of Contents

by a number of additional shares of common stock based on the date the transaction becomes effective and the price paid per share of common stock in the transaction, as set forth in the indenture governing the Notes due 2026. The exchange rate may also be adjusted under certain other circumstances, including the payment of quarterly cash dividends by the Parent Company in excess of \$0.29 per share of its common stock. As a result of past increases in the Parent Company's quarterly cash dividend, the exchange rate is currently 26.8135 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes due 2026 or an exchange price of approximately \$37.29 per share of the Parent Company's common stock. The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2026, in whole or in part, at any time to preserve the Parent Company's status as a REIT or at any time on or after October 6, 2011 for cash at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. The holders of the Notes due 2026 have the right to require the Operating Partnership to repurchase the Notes due 2026, in whole or in part, for cash on each of October 1, 2011, October 1, 2016 and October 1, 2021, or upon the occurrence of a designated event, in each case for a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2026 plus accrued and unpaid interest. The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2026 do not require compliance with any financial covenants.

As the Operating Partnership may settle the Notes due 2026 in cash (or other assets) on conversion, it separately accounts for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the Operating Partnership's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component of the convertible debt is included in the additional paid-in capital section of stockholders' equity and the value of the equity component is treated as original issue discount for purposes of accounting for the debt component of the debt security. The resulting debt discount is accreted as additional interest expense over the non-cancelable term of the instrument.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of the equity component recognized was approximately \$14.0 million.

Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030

On January 11, 2010, the Operating Partnership issued \$180.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2030. The Notes due 2030 are general senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. Interest at a rate of 3.75% per annum is payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2010, until the stated maturity date of January 15, 2030. The terms of the Notes due 2030 are governed by an indenture, dated January 11, 2010, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The Notes due 2030 contain an exchange settlement feature, which provides that the Notes due 2030 may, at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day preceding the maturity date, be exchangeable for shares of the Parent Company's common stock at the then applicable exchange rate. As the exchange feature for the Notes due 2030 must be settled in the common stock of the Parent Company, accounting guidance applicable to convertible debt instruments that permit the issuer to settle all or a portion of the exchange feature in cash upon conversion does not apply. The initial exchange rate was 55.0782 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes due 2030, representing an exchange price of approximately \$18.16 per share of the Parent Company's common stock. If certain designated events occur on or prior to January 15, 2015 and a holder elects to exchange Notes due 2030 in connection with any such transaction, the Company will increase the exchange rate by a number of additional shares of the Parent Company's common stock based on the date the transaction becomes effective and the price paid per share of the Parent Company's common stock in the transaction, as set forth in the indenture governing the Notes due 2030. The exchange rate may also be adjusted under certain other circumstances, including the payment of quarterly cash dividends by the Parent Company in excess of \$0.14 per share of its common stock.

The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2030, in whole or in part, at any time to preserve the Parent Company's status as a REIT or at any time on or after January 21, 2015 for cash at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. The holders of the Notes due 2030 have the right to require the Operating Partnership to repurchase the Notes due 2030, in whole or in part, for cash on each of January 15, 2015, January 15, 2020 and January 15, 2025, or upon the occurrence of a designated event, in each case for a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2030 plus accrued and unpaid interest. The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2030 do not require compliance with any financial covenants.

Table of Contents

Unsecured Senior Notes due 2016, net

On March 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2016. The purchase price paid by the underwriters was 99.365% of the principal amount and the Notes due 2016 have been recorded on the consolidated balance sheet net of the discount. The Notes due 2016 are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. However, the Notes due 2016 are effectively subordinated to the Operating Partnership's existing and future mortgages and other secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness) and to all existing and future preferred equity and liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including guarantees provided by the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries under the Operating Partnership's unsecured line of credit. Interest at a rate of 3.85% per year is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2011, until the stated maturity date of April 15, 2016. The terms of the Notes due 2016 are governed by a base indenture and supplemental indenture, each dated March 30, 2011, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2016, in whole or in part, at any time for cash at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2016 being redeemed; or (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the adjusted treasury rate plus 30 basis points, plus in each case, accrued and unpaid interest.

The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2016 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by the Operating Partnership and which require the Operating Partnership to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2011.

Unsecured Senior Notes due 2020, net

On April 29, 2010, the Operating Partnership issued \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2020. The purchase price paid by the initial purchasers was 98.977% of the principal amount and the Notes due 2020 have been recorded on the consolidated balance sheet net of the discount. The Notes due 2020 are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. However, the Notes due 2020 are effectively subordinated to the Operating Partnership's existing and future mortgages and other secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness) and to all existing and future preferred equity and liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including guarantees provided by the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries under the Operating Partnership's unsecured line of credit. Interest at a rate of 6.125% per year is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2010, until the stated maturity date of April 15, 2020. The terms of the Notes due 2020 are governed by an indenture, dated April 29, 2010, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2020, in whole or in part, at any time for cash at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2020 being redeemed; or (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the adjusted treasury rate plus 40 basis points, plus in each case, accrued and unpaid interest.

The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2020 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by the Operating Partnership and which require the Operating Partnership to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2011.

On January 12, 2011, in accordance with the registration rights agreement entered into among the Parent Company, the Operating Partnership and the initial purchasers of the Notes due 2020, the Operating Partnership completed its exchange offer to exchange all of the outstanding unregistered Notes due 2020 for an equal principal amount of a new issue of 6.125% Senior Notes due 2020 pursuant to an effective registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the

Securities and Exchange Commission. A total of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of the original Notes due 2020, representing 100% of the outstanding principal amount of the original Notes due 2020, was tendered and received prior to the expiration of the exchange offer. The terms of the Notes due 2020 are substantially identical to the original Notes due 2020, except for transfer restrictions and registration rights relating to the original Notes due 2020.

Table of Contents

Interest expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 11,377	\$ 11,858
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable	(497)	(467)
Amortization of deferred interest costs (see Note 9)	1,765	1,786
Derivative instruments (see Note 9)	1,645	4,123
Secured term loan		1,170
Exchangeable senior notes	1,911	1,963
Unsecured senior notes	3,914	
Amortization of debt discount	141	177
Unsecured line of credit	1,496	1,152
Amortization of deferred loan fees	1,058	1,143
Capitalized interest	(1,494)	(1,645)
Total interest expense	\$ 21,316	\$ 21,260

As of March 31, 2011, principal payments due for the Operating Partnership's consolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) were as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 69,968
2012	44,879
2013	25,370
2014	339,020
2015	6,253
Thereafter(1)	1,040,335
	\$ 1,525,825

- (1) Includes \$19.8 million in principal payments of the Notes due 2026 based on a contractual maturity date of October 1, 2026 and \$180.0 million in principal payments of the Notes due 2030 based on a contractual maturity date of January 15, 2030.

6. Earnings Per Share of the Parent Company

Instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are considered participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, are considered in computing basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method for calculating earnings per share when a company's capital structure includes either two or more classes of common stock or common stock and participating securities. Basic earnings per share under the two-class method is calculated based on dividends declared on common shares and other participating securities (distributed earnings) and the rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings, which represents net income remaining after deduction of dividends accruing during the period. The undistributed earnings are allocated to all outstanding common shares and participating securities based on the relative percentage of each security to the total number of outstanding participating securities. Basic earnings per share represents the summation of the distributed and undistributed earnings per share class divided by the total number of shares.

Table of Contents

Through March 31, 2011 all of the Company's participating securities (including the OP units) received dividends/distributions at an equal dividend/distribution rate per share/unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average restricted stock outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 has been deducted from net income available to common stockholders to calculate basic earnings per share. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 includes the outstanding OP units (both vested and unvested) in the weighted-average shares, and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership has been added back to net income available to common stockholders. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the restricted stock was anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share and was therefore excluded. As a result, diluted earnings per share was calculated based upon net income available to common stockholders less net income allocable to unvested restricted stock and distributions in excess of earnings attributable to unvested restricted stock. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2010 includes the outstanding OP units (both vested and unvested) and restricted stock in the weighted-average shares, and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership has been added to net income available to common stockholders in calculating diluted earnings per share. No shares were issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Notes due 2026 (originally issued in 2006 see Note 5) as the common stock price at March 31, 2011 and 2010 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, shares issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 (originally issued in 2010 see Note 5) were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share based on the if converted method for the three months ended March 31, 2011. No other shares were considered anti-dilutive for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Basic earnings per share:		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 5,530	\$ 4,299
Less: net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to participating securities	(298)	(188)
Net income attributable to common stockholders basic	\$ 5,232	\$ 4,111
Diluted earnings per share:		
Net income attributable to common stockholders basic	\$ 5,232	\$ 4,111
Add: net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to dilutive participating securities		188
Add: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in operating partnership	125	127
Net income attributable to common stockholders and participating securities	\$ 5,357	\$ 4,426
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	129,771,733	98,229,996
Incremental shares from assumed conversion:		
Unvested restricted stock		1,289,597
Operating partnership and LTIP units	2,993,109	3,057,736
Diluted	132,764,842	102,577,329

Basic and diluted earnings per share:

Net income per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$	0.04	\$	0.04
---	----	------	----	------

7. Earnings Per Unit of the Operating Partnership

Instruments granted in equity-based payment transactions are considered participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, are considered in computing basic earnings per unit under the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method for calculating earnings per unit when a company's capital structure includes either two or more classes of common equity or common equity and participating securities. Basic earnings per unit under the two-class method is calculated based on distributions declared on the OP units and other participating securities (distributed earnings) and the rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings, which represents net income remaining after deduction of distributions accruing during the period. The undistributed earnings are allocated to all outstanding OP units and participating securities based on the relative percentage of each security to the total number of outstanding participating securities. Basic earnings per unit represents the summation of the distributed and undistributed earnings per unit class divided by the total number of OP units.

Table of Contents

Through March 31, 2011 all of the Operating Partnership's participating securities received distributions at an equal distribution rate per unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average unvested OP units outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 has been deducted from net income available to unitholders to calculate basic earnings per unit. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 the unvested OP units were anti-dilutive to the calculation of earnings per unit and were therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per unit, and diluted earnings per unit is calculated based upon net income attributable to unitholders. The calculation of diluted earnings per unit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 includes unvested OP units in the weighted-average shares, and diluted earnings per unit is calculated based upon net income available to the unitholders. No shares of common stock of the Parent Company were contingently issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Notes due 2026 (originally issued in 2006 see Note 5) as the common stock price at March 31, 2011 and 2010 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, units issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 (originally issued in 2010 see Note 5) were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per unit based on the if converted method for the three months ended March 31, 2011. No other units were considered anti-dilutive for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per unit (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Basic earnings per unit:		
Net income available to unitholders	\$ 5,655	\$ 4,426
Less: net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to participating securities	(310)	(203)
Net income attributable to unitholders basic	\$ 5,345	\$ 4,223
Diluted earnings per unit:		
Net income attributable to unitholders basic	\$ 5,345	\$ 4,223
Add: net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to dilutive participating securities		203
Net income attributable to unitholders	\$ 5,345	\$ 4,426
Weighted-average units outstanding:		
Basic	132,701,731	101,135,825
Incremental units from assumed conversion/vesting:		
Unvested units		1,441,504
Diluted	132,701,731	102,577,329
Basic and diluted earnings per unit:		
Net income per unit attributable to unitholders, basic and diluted:	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04

Table of Contents**8. Investment in Unconsolidated Partnerships**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include investments in two limited liability companies with Prudential Real Estate Investors (PREI), which were formed in the second quarter of 2007, and in 10165 McKellar Court, L.P. (McKellar Court), a limited partnership with Quidel Corporation, the tenant which occupies the McKellar Court property. One of the PREI limited liability companies, PREI II LLC, is a VIE; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. PREI will bear the majority of any losses. The other PREI limited liability company, PREI I LLC, does not qualify as a VIE. In addition, consolidation is not required as the Company does not control the limited liability companies. The McKellar Court partnership is a VIE; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The limited partner at McKellar Court is the only tenant in the property and will bear the majority of any losses. As it does not control the limited liability companies or the partnership, the Company accounts for them under the equity method of accounting. Significant accounting policies used by the unconsolidated partnerships that own these properties are similar to those used by the Company. General information on the PREI limited liability companies and the McKellar Court partnership (each referred to in this footnote individually as a partnership and collectively as the partnerships) as of March 31, 2011 was as follows:

Name	Partner	Company s Ownership Interest	Company s Economic Interest	Date Acquired
PREI I LLC(1)	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
PREI II LLC(2)	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
McKellar Court(3)	Quidel Corporation	22%	22%(4)	September 30, 2004

- (1) PREI I LLC owns a portfolio of properties in Cambridge, Massachusetts comprised of a stabilized laboratory/office building totaling 184,445 square feet located at 320 Bent Street, a partially leased laboratory/office building totaling 420,000 square feet located at 301 Binney Street, a 37-unit apartment building, an operating garage facility on Rogers Street with 503 spaces, an operating below grade garage facility at Kendall Square with approximately 1,400 spaces, and a building at 650 East Kendall Street that can support up to 280,000 rentable square feet of laboratory and office space. The 650 East Kendall Street site also includes a below grade parking facility.

Each of the PREI operating agreements includes a put/call option whereby either member can cause the limited liability company to sell certain properties in which it holds leasehold interests to the Company at any time after the fifth anniversary and before the seventh anniversary of the acquisition date. However, the put/call option may be terminated prior to exercise under certain circumstances. The put/call option purchase price is based on a predetermined return on capital invested by PREI. If the put/call option is exercised, the Company believes that it would have adequate resources to fund the purchase price.

The PREI joint ventures \$203.3 million secured acquisition and interim loan facility with KeyBank bears interest at a rate equal to, at the option of the PREI joint ventures, either (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus 350 basis points or (2) the higher of (a) the prime rate then in effect, (b) the federal funds rate then in effect plus 50 basis points or (c) one-month LIBOR plus 450 basis points, and requires interest only monthly payments until the maturity date, February 10, 2012. At maturity, the PREI joint ventures may refinance the secured acquisition and interim loan facility, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or they may repay the principal balance. Pursuant to the loan facility, the Company executed guaranty agreements in which it guaranteed the full completion of the construction and any tenant improvements at the 301 Binney Street property if PREI I LLC was unable or unwilling to complete the project. On March 11, 2009, the PREI joint ventures jointly entered into an interest rate cap agreement, which was intended to have the effect of hedging variability in future interest

payments on the \$203.3 million secured acquisition and interim loan facility above a strike rate of 2.5% (excluding the applicable credit spread) through February 10, 2011. At March 31, 2011, there were \$203.3 million in outstanding borrowings on the secured acquisition and interim loan facility, with a contractual interest rate of 3.8% (including the applicable credit spread).

On February 13, 2008, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company's joint venture with PREI I LLC entered into a secured construction loan facility with certain lenders to provide borrowings of up to approximately \$245.0 million in connection with the construction of 650 East Kendall Street, a life sciences building located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. On August 3, 2010, the maturity date of the secured construction loan facility was extended from August 13, 2010 to February 13, 2011. On January 11, 2011, the maturity date was further extended from February 13, 2011 to August 13, 2011. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the construction loan. At maturity, the wholly owned subsidiary may refinance the loan, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or it may repay the principal balance of the construction loan. At March 31, 2011, there were \$203.5 million in outstanding borrowings on the secured construction loan facility, with a contractual interest rate of 1.8% (including the applicable credit spread).

- (2) As part of a larger transaction which included the acquisition by PREI I LLC of the Cambridge portfolio described above, PREI II LLC acquired a portfolio of properties in April 2007. It disposed of its acquired properties in 2007 at no material gain or loss. The total sale price included approximately \$4.0 million contingently payable in June 2012 pursuant to a put/call option, exercisable on the earlier of the extinguishment or expiration of development restrictions placed on a portion of the development rights included in the disposition. The Company's remaining investment in PREI II LLC (maximum exposure to losses) was approximately \$814,000 at March 31, 2011.
- (3) The McKellar Court partnership holds a property comprised of a two-story laboratory/office building totaling 72,863 rentable square feet located in San Diego, California. The Company's investment in the McKellar Court partnership (maximum exposure to losses) was approximately \$12.5 million at March 31, 2011. In December 2009, the Operating Partnership provided funding in the form of a promissory note to the McKellar Court partnership in the amount of \$10.3 million, which matures at the earlier of (a) January 1, 2020, or (b) the day that the limited partner exercises an option to purchase the Operating Partnership's ownership interest. Loan proceeds were utilized to repay a mortgage with a third party. Interest-only payments on the promissory note are due monthly at a fixed rate of 8.15% (the rate may adjust higher after January 1, 2015), with the principal balance outstanding due at maturity.
- (4) The Company's economic interest in the McKellar Court partnership entitles it to 75% of the extraordinary cash flows after repayment of the partners' capital contributions and 22% of the operating cash flows.

Table of Contents

The Company acts as the operating member or partner, as applicable, and day-to-day manager for the partnerships. The Company is entitled to receive fees for providing construction and development services (as applicable) and management services to the PREI joint ventures. The Company earned approximately \$272,000 and \$527,000 in fees for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for services provided to the PREI joint ventures, which are reflected in tenant recoveries and other income in the consolidated statements of income.

The condensed combined balance sheets for all of the Company's unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets:		
Investments in real estate, net	\$ 616,164	\$ 620,430
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	8,238	7,914
Intangible assets, net	11,857	12,303
Other assets	26,098	26,412
 Total assets	 \$ 662,357	 \$ 667,059
Liabilities and members' equity:		
Mortgage notes payable and secured construction loan	\$ 417,077	\$ 415,933
Other liabilities	17,063	18,101
Members' equity	228,217	233,025
 Total liabilities and equity	 \$ 662,357	 \$ 667,059
 Company's net investment in unconsolidated partnerships	 \$ 56,287	 \$ 57,265

The condensed combined statements of operations for the unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	2010
Total revenues	\$ 9,354	\$ 7,728
 Rental operations expenses and real estate taxes	 5,769	 4,415
Depreciation and amortization	4,597	3,305
Professional fees	228	404
Interest expense, net of interest income	3,435	2,482
 Total expenses	 14,029	 10,606
 Net loss	 \$ (4,675)	 \$ (2,878)
 Company's equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	 \$ (648)	 \$ (277)

Table of Contents**9. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments**

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had two interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million under which at each monthly settlement date the Company either (1) receives the difference between a fixed interest rate (the Strike Rate) and one-month LIBOR if the Strike Rate is less than LIBOR or (2) pays such difference if the Strike Rate is greater than LIBOR. The interest rate swaps hedge the Company's exposure to the variability on expected cash flows attributable to changes in interest rates on the first interest payments, due on the date that is on or closest after each swap's settlement date, associated with the amount of LIBOR-based debt equal to each swap's notional amount. These interest rate swaps, with a notional amount of \$150.0 million (interest rate of 5.8%, including the applicable credit spread), are currently intended to hedge interest payments associated with the Company's unsecured line of credit. No initial investment was made to enter into the interest rate swap agreements.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had deferred interest costs of approximately \$54.4 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to forward starting swaps, which were settled with the corresponding counterparties in March and April 2009 for approximately \$86.5 million. The forward starting swaps were entered into to mitigate the Company's exposure to the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in future interest rates associated with a forecasted issuance of fixed-rate debt, with interest payments for a minimum of ten years. In June 2009 the Company closed on \$368.0 million in fixed-rate mortgage loans secured by its 9865 Towne Centre Drive and Center for Life Science | Boston properties (see Note 5). The deferred interest costs will be amortized as additional interest expense over a remaining period of approximately eight years.

The following is a summary of the terms of the interest rate swaps and the forward starting swaps and their fair-values, which are included in derivative instruments on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

					Fair-Value(1)	
					March	December
					31,	31,
	Notional	Strike	Effective Date	Expiration Date	2011	2010
	Amount	Rate				
	\$ 115,000	4.673%	October 1, 2007	August 1, 2011	\$ (1,707)	\$ (2,928)
	35,000	4.700%	October 10, 2007	August 1, 2011	(524)	(898)
Interest rate swaps	150,000				(2,231)	(3,826)
Other(2)					1	26
Total derivative instruments	\$ 150,000				\$ (2,230)	\$ (3,800)

(1) Fair-value of derivative instruments does not include any related accrued interest payable, which is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

(2) A stock purchase warrant was received in connection with an early lease termination in September 2009 and was recorded as a derivative instrument. Changes in the fair-value of the stock purchase warrant are included in earnings in the period in which they occur.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair-value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings.

The Company's use of proceeds from its March 2011 unsecured debt offering to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness on its unsecured line of credit caused the amount of variable-rate indebtedness to fall below the combined notional value of the outstanding interest rate swaps on March 30, 2011, causing the Company to be overhedged. As a result, the Company re-performed tests to assess the effectiveness of its interest rate swaps. Although the interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million passed the assessment tests at March 31, 2011 and the \$115.0 million swap continued to qualify for hedge accounting, the \$35.0 million swap no longer qualifies for hedge accounting. From the date that hedge accounting was discontinued on the \$35.0 million swap, changes in the fair-value associated with this interest rate swap were recorded directly to earnings, resulting in the recognition of a gain of approximately \$31,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, which is included as a component of loss on derivative instruments. The Company accelerated the reclassification of amounts deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings related to the hedged forecasted transactions that became probable of not occurring during the period in which the Company was overhedged. This resulted in a cumulative charge to earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 of approximately \$1.0 million.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded a total loss on derivative instruments of \$1.0 million, primarily related to the reduction in the amount of the variable-rate indebtedness relating to the remaining \$150.0 million interest rate swaps (see above), hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges due to mismatches in maturity dates and interest rate reset dates between the interest rate swaps and corresponding debt and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company recorded a total gain on derivative instruments of \$150,000 primarily related to changes in the fair-value of a stock purchase warrant held by the Company, which are recorded directly to the consolidated income statement as they occur.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to earnings during the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The change in net unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative instruments includes reclassifications of net unrealized losses from accumulated other comprehensive loss as (1) an increase to interest expense of \$3.4 million and \$5.9 million, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and (2) a loss on derivative instruments of \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and a gain on derivative instruments of \$150,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$8.3 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to interest expense. In addition, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately \$106,000 and \$288,000, respectively, of settlement payments on interest rate swaps have been deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss and will be amortized over the useful lives of the related development or redevelopment projects.

The following is a summary of the amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income related to the derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion):		
Cash flow hedges		
Interest rate swaps	\$ (62)	\$ (1,140)

The following is a summary of the amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to the derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income (effective portion):		
Cash flow hedges		
Interest rate swaps(1)	\$ (1,645)	\$ (4,123)
Forward starting swaps(2)	(1,765)	(1,786)
Total interest rate swaps	\$ (3,410)	\$ (5,909)

- (1) Amount represents payments made to swap counterparties for the effective portion of interest rate swaps that were recognized as an increase to interest expense for the periods presented (the amount was recorded as an increase and corresponding decrease to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the same accounting period).

- (2) Amount represents reclassifications of deferred interest costs from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to the Company's previously settled forward starting swaps.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the amount of (loss)/gain recognized in income as a loss on derivative instruments related to the ineffective portion of the derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Amount of (loss)/gain recognized in income (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing):		
Cash flow hedges		
Interest rate swaps	\$ (451)	\$ 56
Ineffective interest rate swaps	(535)	
Total interest rate swaps	(986)	56
Other derivative instruments	(25)	94
Total (loss)/gain on derivative instruments	\$ (1,011)	\$ 150

10. Fair-Value of Financial Instruments

The Company is required to disclose fair-value information about all financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair-value. The Company's disclosures of estimated fair-value of financial instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair-value. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair-value amounts.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, security deposits, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximate fair-value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

The Company utilizes quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value of its fixed-rate and variable-rate debt, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, the Company calculates the fair-value of its mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on a currently available market rate assuming the loans are outstanding through maturity and considering the collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. The carrying value of interest rate swaps, as well as the underlying hedged liability, if applicable, are reflected at their fair-value (see the Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair-Value section under Note 2). The Company relies on quotations from a third party to determine these fair-values.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate fair-value and the carrying value of the Company's financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Fair-Value	Carrying Value	Fair-Value	Carrying Value
Mortgage notes payable(1)	\$ 702,351	\$ 629,640	\$ 729,561	\$ 657,922
Notes due 2026(2)	19,800	19,613	23,244	19,522
Notes due 2030	214,320	180,000	209,128	180,000
Notes due 2016(3)	396,520	397,461		
Notes due 2020(4)	264,275	247,620	262,950	247,571
Unsecured line of credit	50,873	51,000	388,567	392,450

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Derivative instruments(5)	(2,230)	(2,230)	(3,800)	(3,800)
Investments(6)	2,166	2,166	4,060	4,060

- (1) Carrying value includes \$4.6 million and \$5.6 million of debt premium as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (2) Carrying value includes \$187,000 and \$278,000 of debt discount as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (3) Carrying value includes \$2.5 million of debt discount as of March 31, 2011.
- (4) Carrying value includes \$2.4 million of debt discount as of both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.
- (5) The Company's derivative instruments are reflected in other assets and derivative instruments (liability account) on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets based on their respective balances (see Note 9).
- (6) The Company's investments are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (see Investments section in Note 2).

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

As used herein, the terms we, us, our or the Company refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, any of our subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner, which may be referred to herein as the operating partnership. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. We make statements in this report that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise, and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, seeks, approximately, intends, plans, or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements: adverse economic or real estate developments in the life science industry or in our target markets, including the inability of our tenants to obtain funding to run their businesses; our dependence upon significant tenants; our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all, including the continued availability of our unsecured line of credit; general economic conditions, including downturns in the national and local economies; volatility in financial and securities markets; defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants; our inability to compete effectively; increased interest rates and operating costs; our inability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions, developments and dispositions; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction; our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations; reductions in asset valuations and related impairment charges; the loss of services of one or more of our executive officers; our failure to qualify or continue to qualify as a REIT; failure to maintain our investment grade credit ratings with the rating agencies; government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements; the effects of earthquakes and other natural disasters; lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance; and changes in real estate, zoning and other laws and increases in real property tax rates. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this report. In addition, we discussed a number of material risks in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Overview

We operate as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. Our tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. Our properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Diego, San Francisco, Seattle, Maryland, Pennsylvania and New York/New Jersey.

At March 31, 2011, we owned or had interests in a portfolio of 85 properties, representing 147 buildings with an aggregate of approximately 12.2 million rentable square feet.

Table of Contents

The following reflects the classification of our properties between stabilized properties (operating properties in which more than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), lease up (operating properties in which less than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), long-term lease up (our Pacific Research Center property), development (properties that are currently under development through ground up construction), redevelopment (properties that are currently being prepared for their intended use), pre-development (development properties that are engaged in activities related to planning, entitlement, or other preparations for future construction) and development potential (representing management's estimates of rentable square footage if development of these properties was undertaken) at March 31, 2011:

	Consolidated Portfolio			Unconsolidated Partnership Portfolio			Total Portfolio		
			Percent of Rentable Square Feet			Percent of Rentable Square Feet			Percent of Rentable Square Feet
	Properties	Feet	Leased	Properties	Feet	Leased	Properties	Feet	Leased
Stabilized	53	6,643,542	99.1%	4	72,863	100.0%	57	6,716,405	99.1%
Lease up	22	2,899,960	67.2%	3	881,695	47.5%	25	3,781,655	62.6%
Current operating portfolio	75	9,543,502	89.4%	7	954,558	51.5%	82	10,498,060	85.9%
Long-term lease up	1	1,389,517	24.0%			n/a	1	1,389,517	24.0%
Total operating portfolio	76	10,933,019	81.1%	7	954,558	51.5%	83	11,887,577	78.7%
Development	1	176,000	100.0%			n/a	1	176,000	100.0%
Redevelopment			n/a			n/a			n/a
Pre-development	1	152,145				n/a	1	152,145	
Total property portfolio	78	11,261,164	80.3%	7	954,558	51.5%	85	12,215,722	78.0%
Development potential		2,626,000						2,626,000	
Total portfolio		13,887,164						14,841,722	

Factors Which May Influence Future Operations

Our long-term corporate strategy is to continue to focus on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. As of March 31, 2011, our current operating portfolio (which includes both the consolidated portfolio and unconsolidated partnership portfolio) was 85.9% leased to 150 tenants. As of December 31, 2010, our current operating portfolio was 84.4% leased to 154 tenants. The increase in the overall leased percentage was due to an increase in the leased square footage during the period of approximately 184,000 square feet within the current operating portfolio.

Leases representing approximately 2.9% of our leased square footage expire during 2011 and leases representing approximately 5.1% of our leased square footage expire during 2012. Our leasing strategy for 2011 focuses on leasing currently vacant space, negotiating renewals for leases scheduled to expire during the year, and identifying new tenants or existing tenants seeking additional space to occupy the spaces for which we are unable to negotiate such renewals. We may proceed with additional new developments and acquisitions, as real estate and capital market conditions permit.

As a direct result of the recent economic recession, we believe that the fair-values of some of our properties may have declined below their respective carrying values. However, to the extent that a property has a substantial remaining estimated useful life and management does not believe that the property will be disposed of prior to the end of its useful life, it would be unusual for undiscounted cash flows to be insufficient to recover the property's carrying value. We presently have the ability and intent to continue to own and operate our existing portfolio of properties and expected undiscounted future cash flows from the operation of the properties are expected to be sufficient to recover the carrying value of each property. Accordingly, we do not believe that the carrying value of any of our properties is impaired. If our ability and/or our intent with regard to the operation of our properties otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to the lower of the carrying amount or fair-value less costs to sell, and such loss could be material.

A discussion of additional factors which may influence future operations can be found below under Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies

A complete discussion of our critical accounting policies can be found in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

The following table sets forth the basis for presenting the historical financial information for same properties (all properties except redevelopment/development, new properties and corporate entities), redevelopment/development properties (properties that were entirely or primarily under redevelopment or development during either of the three months ended March 31, 2011 or 2010), new properties (properties that were not owned for each of the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and were not under redevelopment/development), and corporate entities (legal entities performing general and administrative functions and fees received from our PREI joint ventures), in thousands:

	Redevelopment/Development							
	Same Properties		Properties		New Properties		Corporate	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Rental	\$ 68,699	\$ 70,443	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 11,511	\$ 150	\$ 2	\$ 2
Tenant recoveries	21,380	20,606			2,844	36	357	183
Other income	738	107			1		8	1,223
Total revenues	\$ 90,817	\$ 91,156	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 14,356	\$ 186	\$ 367	\$ 1,408

Rental Revenues. Rental revenues increased \$9.6 million to \$80.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$70.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010, and the commencement of leases. Same property rental revenues decreased \$1.7 million, or 2.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in same property rental revenues was primarily a result of decreases in lease rates related to lease extensions at certain properties (which had the effect of decreasing rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis), lease expirations, and the full amortization of below-market intangible assets in 2010, partially offset by the commencement of new leases in 2011 and 2010, and increases in lease rates related to Consumer Price Index adjustments and lease extensions (increasing rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis).

Tenant Recoveries. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$3.8 million to \$24.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$20.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010. Same property tenant recoveries increased \$774,000, or 3.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of the commencement of new leases, and higher rental operations expenses.

The percentage of recoverable expenses recovered at our properties increased to 78.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to 78.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010 and the commencement of new leases.

Other Income. Other income was \$747,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Other income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily comprised consideration received related to early lease terminations and development fees earned from our PREI joint ventures. Other income for the three months ended March 31, 2010 primarily comprised realized gains from the sale of equity investments in the amount of \$865,000 and development fees earned from our PREI joint ventures. Termination payments received for terminated leases for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 aggregated \$729,000 and \$78,000, respectively.

The following table shows operating expenses for same properties, redevelopment/development properties, new properties, and corporate entities, in thousands:

	Redevelopment/Development							
	Same Properties		Properties		New Properties		Corporate	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Rental operations	\$ 17,646	\$ 16,577	\$	\$	\$ 1,526	\$ 13	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,261
Real estate taxes	8,892	8,698			1,789	24		
Depreciation and amortization	26,512	28,838			7,323	78		
Total expenses	\$ 53,050	\$ 54,113	\$	\$	\$ 10,638	\$ 115	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,261

Table of Contents

Rental Operations Expense. Rental operations expense increased \$2.6 million to \$20.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$17.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010. Same property rental operations expense increased \$1.1 million, or 6.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the commencement of new leases. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded bad debt expense of \$324,000 and \$115,000, respectively.

Real Estate Tax Expense. Real estate tax expense increased \$2.0 million to \$10.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$8.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010. Same property real estate tax expense increased \$194,000, or 2.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$4.9 million to \$33.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$28.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010, partially offset by an adjustment for a cumulative understatement of depreciation expense related to an operating property of approximately \$1.0 million that was recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and that we determined was not material to our previously issued consolidated financial statements.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million to \$7.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$6.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in aggregate compensation costs due to higher headcount as compared to the prior year.

Acquisition Related Expenses. Acquisition related expenses increased to \$320,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$150,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in potential acquisition activities in 2011 as compared to the prior year.

Equity in Net Loss of Unconsolidated Partnerships. Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships increased \$371,000 to \$648,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$277,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increased loss primarily reflects depreciation commencing and ceasing of capitalizing interest on a vacant property that was under development in 2010 being placed into service.

Interest Expense. Interest cost incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$22.8 million compared to \$22.9 million for three months ended March 31, 2010. Total interest cost incurred decreased primarily as a result of decreases in the average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings, partially offset by an increase in total debt outstanding. Interest expense for both the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$21.3 million related to the decrease in interest cost incurred offset by a decrease in capitalized interest. For a detail of interest expense see Note 5 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere herein.

(Loss)/Gain on Derivative Instruments. The loss on derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was primarily related to approximately \$1.0 million of other comprehensive loss being reclassified to the consolidated income statement due to mismatches in forecasted transactions on interest rate swaps. The gain on derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily related to changes in the fair-value of a stock purchase warrant, which are recorded directly to the consolidated income statement as they occur.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$25.5 million pertaining to the Road to the Cure and the 10255 Science Center Drive properties, prior to their maturity date. The prepayments resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$43,000 (representing a prepayment penalty and the write-off of deferred loan fees partially offset by the write off of unamortized debt premium). During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we repurchased \$6.3 million face value of our Notes due 2026 at par. The repurchase resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$254,000 (representing the write-off of deferred loan fees and unamortized debt discount) and the write-off of approximately \$567,000 of deferred loan fees related to the prepayment of \$100.0 million of the outstanding borrowings on our secured term loan.

Noncontrolling Interests. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased \$14,000 to \$107,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$121,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease

in noncontrolling interests was due to a reduction in the percentage of noncontrolling interests due to the redemption of certain OP units for shares of our common stock and our common stock offerings in April 2010 and September 2010.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows*****Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

	2011	2010 (In thousands)	Change
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 41,404	\$ 27,725	\$ 13,679
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,773)	(70,500)	27,727
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(747)	59,653	(60,400)
Ending cash and cash equivalents balance	19,351	36,800	(17,449)

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$13.7 million to \$41.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$27.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to cash flow generated by acquisitions and cash rent starts on new leases.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$27.7 million to \$42.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$70.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease reflects the absence of property acquisitions during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$60.4 million to net cash used in financing activities of \$747,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$59.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease primarily reflects the absence of property acquisitions during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The proceeds from the issuance of our Notes due 2016 in March 2011 were primarily used to repay balances due under our unsecured line of credit and mortgages payable.

Funds from Operations

We present funds from operations, or FFO, available to common shares and OP units because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002). As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of loan origination costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Our computation may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

Table of Contents

Our FFO available to common shares and OP units and a reconciliation to net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except share data) was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Net income available to the common stockholders	\$ 5,530	\$ 4,299
Adjustments:		
Noncontrolling interests in operating partnership(1)	125	127
Interest expense on Notes due 2030(2)	1,688	1,506
Depreciation and amortization unconsolidated partnerships	921	662
Depreciation and amortization consolidated entities	33,835	28,915
Depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interest of consolidated joint ventures	(26)	(22)
Funds from operations available to common shares and units diluted	\$ 42,073	\$ 35,487
Funds from operations per share diluted	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.32
Weighted-average common shares and units outstanding diluted(2)	144,167,342	112,491,405

- (1) Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership is included in net income available to unitholders of the operating partnership as reflected in the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty, L.P., included elsewhere herein.
- (2) Reflects interest expense adjustment of the Notes due 2030 based on the if converted method. The three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 include 9,914,076 shares of common stock potentially issuable pursuant to the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 based on the if converted method, and the three months ended March 31, 2011 include 1,488,424 shares of unvested restricted stock, which are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

In this Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. section, the term the Company refers only to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. on an unconsolidated basis, and excludes the operating partnership and all other subsidiaries. For further discussion of the liquidity and capital resources of the Company on a consolidated basis, see the section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P. below.

The Company's business is operated primarily through the operating partnership. The Company issues public equity from time to time, but does not otherwise generate any capital itself or conduct any business itself, other than incurring certain expenses in operating as a public company which are fully reimbursed by the operating partnership. The Company itself does not hold any indebtedness, and its only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of the operating partnership. The Company's principal funding requirement is the payment of dividends on its common and preferred shares. The Company's principal source of funding for its dividend payments is distributions it receives from the operating partnership.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company owned an approximate 97.8% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 2.2% partnership interest (including LTIP units) in the operating partnership. As the sole general partner of the operating partnership, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership's day-to-day management and control.

The liquidity of the Company is dependent on the operating partnership's ability to make sufficient distributions to the Company. The primary cash requirement of the Company is its payment of dividends to its stockholders. The Company also guarantees some of the operating partnership's debt, as discussed further in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein. If the operating partnership fails to fulfill certain of its debt requirements, which trigger the Company's guarantee obligations, then the Company will be required to fulfill its cash payment commitments under such guarantees. However, the Company's only significant asset is its investment in the operating partnership.

Table of Contents

We believe the operating partnership's sources of working capital, specifically its cash flow from operations, and borrowings available under its unsecured line of credit, are adequate for it to make its distribution payments to the Company and, in turn, for the Company to make its dividend payments to its stockholders. However, we cannot assure you that the operating partnership's sources of capital will continue to be available at all or in amounts sufficient to meet its needs, including its ability to make distribution payments to the Company. The unavailability of capital could adversely affect the operating partnership's ability to pay its distributions to the Company, which would in turn, adversely affect the Company's ability to pay cash dividends to its stockholders.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to the Company's stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

The Company may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem the operating partnership's outstanding debt, the Company's shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

For the Company to maintain its qualification as a REIT, it must pay dividends to its stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of its ordinary taxable income. While historically the Company has satisfied this distribution requirement by making cash distributions to its stockholders, it may choose to satisfy this requirement by making distributions of cash or other property, including, in limited circumstances, the Company's own stock. As a result of this distribution requirement, the operating partnership cannot rely on retained earnings to fund its on-going operations to the same extent that other companies whose parent companies are not REITs can. The Company may need to continue to raise capital in the equity markets to fund the operating partnership's working capital needs, acquisitions and developments.

The Company is a well-known seasoned issuer with an effective shelf registration statement which was amended in November 2010 that allows the Company to register an unspecified amount of various classes of equity securities and the operating partnership to register an unspecified amount of various classes of debt securities. As circumstances warrant, the Company may issue equity from time to time on an opportunistic basis, dependent upon market conditions and available pricing. When the Company receives proceeds from preferred or common equity issuances, it is required by the operating partnership's partnership agreement to contribute the proceeds from its equity issuances to the operating partnership in exchange for preferred or partnership units of the operating partnership. The operating partnership may use the proceeds to repay debt, including borrowings under its unsecured line of credit, develop new or existing properties, acquire properties, or for general corporate purposes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P.

In this Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P. section, the terms we, our and us refer to the operating partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries or our operating partnership and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together with their consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., or our Parent Company, is our sole general partner and consolidates our results of operations for financial reporting purposes. Because we operate on a consolidated basis with our Parent Company, the section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. should be read in conjunction with this section to understand our liquidity and capital resources on a consolidated basis.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to our Parent Company's stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

Table of Contents

The remaining principal payments due for our consolidated and our proportionate share of unconsolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) as of March 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Consolidated indebtedness:							
Fixed-rate mortgages	\$ 18,968	\$ 44,879	\$ 25,370	\$ 339,020	\$ 6,253	\$ 190,535	\$ 625,025
Unsecured line of credit	51,000						51,000
Notes due 2026						19,800	19,800
Notes due 2030						180,000	180,000
Notes due 2016						400,000	400,000
Notes due 2020						250,000	250,000
Total consolidated indebtedness	69,968	44,879	25,370	339,020	6,253	1,040,335	1,525,825
Share of unconsolidated indebtedness:							
Secured acquisition loan facility		40,650					40,650
Secured construction loan	40,709						40,709
Total share of unconsolidated indebtedness	40,709	40,650					81,359
Total indebtedness	\$ 110,677	\$ 85,529	\$ 25,370	\$ 339,020	\$ 6,253	\$ 1,040,335	\$ 1,607,184

In April 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage note pertaining to the Ardentech Court property, in the amount of approximately \$4.6 million including a prepayment penalty of \$361,000, prior to its maturity date. Additional consolidated mortgage note maturities through 2012 include mortgages on our 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive, Sidney Street and Sorrento West properties, with outstanding balances of \$6.5 million, \$27.2 million and \$13.2 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2011.

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for scheduled debt maturities, construction obligations, renovations, expansions, capital commitments and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically, and the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that we pursue. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we entered into construction contracts and lease agreements, with a remaining commitment totaling approximately \$72.2 million related to tenant improvements, leasing commissions and construction-related capital expenditures.

We expect to satisfy our short-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital and cash provided by our operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, the issuance of additional equity or debt securities and the use of net proceeds from the disposition of non-strategic assets. Our rental revenues, provided by our leases, generally provide cash inflows to meet our debt service obligations, pay general and administrative expenses, and fund regular distributions. We expect to satisfy our long-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital, cash provided by operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of additional equity or debt securities. We also expect to use funds available under our unsecured line of credit to finance acquisition and development activities and capital expenditures on an interim basis. Our unsecured line of credit has a maturity date of August 1, 2011, which may be extended to August 1, 2012 at our sole discretion, after satisfying certain conditions and paying an extension fee based on the then current facility commitment. The secured acquisition and interim loan facility has a maturity date of February 10, 2012. The secured construction loan has a maturity date of August 13, 2011. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the secured construction loan. At maturity, we may refinance the loan, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or we may repay the principal balance of the secured construction loan. In addition, we earned an investment grade rating which we believe will provide us with continued access to the

unsecured debt markets, providing us with an additional source of long term financing.

On March 30, 2011, we issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of our Notes due 2016. The net proceeds from the issuance were utilized to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness on our unsecured line of credit and for other general corporate and working capital purposes. The terms of the base indenture and supplemental indenture for the Notes due 2016 requires compliance with various financial covenants including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by us and requires us to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage.

Table of Contents

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s total capitalization at March 31, 2011 was approximately \$4.3 billion and comprised the following:

	Shares/Units at March 31, 2011	Aggregate Principal Amount or Dollar Value Equivalent (In thousands)	Percent of Total Capitalization
Debt:			
Mortgage notes payable(1)		\$ 625,025	14.5%
Notes due 2026(2)		19,800	0.5%
Notes due 2030		180,000	4.2%
Notes due 2016(3)		400,000	9.3%
Notes due 2020(4)		250,000	5.8%
Unsecured line of credit		51,000	1.2%
Total debt		1,525,825	35.5%
Equity:			
Common shares, operating partnership and LTIP units outstanding(5)	134,228,551	2,553,027	59.2%
7.375% Series A Preferred shares outstanding(6)	9,200,000	230,000	5.3%
Total capital		2,783,027	64.5%
Total capitalization		\$ 4,308,852	100.0%

(1) Amount excludes debt premiums of \$4.6 million recorded upon the assumption of the outstanding indebtedness in connection with our purchase of the corresponding properties.

(2) Amount excludes a debt discount of \$187,000.

(3) Amount excludes a debt discount of \$2.5 million.

(4) Amount excludes a debt discount of \$2.4 million.

(5) Aggregate principal amount based on the market closing price of the common stock of our Parent Company of \$19.02 per share on the last trading day of the quarter (March 31, 2011). Limited partners who have been issued OP units have the right to require the operating partnership to redeem part or all of their OP units, which right with respect to LTIP units is subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions. We may elect to acquire those OP units in exchange for shares of our Parent Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment. At March 31, 2011, 131,239,482 of the outstanding OP units had been issued to our Parent Company upon receipt of the net proceeds from the issuance of an equal number of shares of our Parent Company's common stock.

(6) Based on the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share of our Parent Company's 7.375% Series A preferred stock (we have issued a corresponding number of 7.375% Series A preferred units).

Although our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur, our Parent Company's board of directors has adopted a policy of targeting our indebtedness at approximately 50% of our total asset book value. At March 31, 2011, the ratio of debt to total asset book value was approximately 38.5%. However, our Parent Company's board of directors may from time to time modify our debt policy in light of current economic or market conditions including, but not limited to, the relative costs of debt and equity capital, market conditions for debt and equity securities and fluctuations in the market price of our Parent Company's common stock. Accordingly, we may increase or decrease our debt to total asset book value ratio beyond the limit described above. In addition, the terms of the indentures governing our Notes due 2016 and Notes due 2020 require compliance with various financial covenants including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by us and require us to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. The terms of the credit agreement governing our unsecured line of credit also require compliance with financial ratios relating to the Company's fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, the maximum amount of secured and secured recourse indebtedness and leverage ratio. For more detail regarding the terms governing our indebtedness, see Note 5 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere herein.

Table of Contents

We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem our outstanding debt, OP units or preferred units (subject to the repurchase or redemption of an equivalent number of shares of common stock or preferred stock by our Parent Company) or other securities, and our Parent Company may seek to repurchase or redeem its outstanding shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities, in each case in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2011, we had investments in the following unconsolidated partnerships: (1) McKellar Court limited partnership, which owns a single tenant occupied property located in San Diego; and (2) two limited liability companies with PREI, which own a portfolio of properties primarily located in Cambridge, Massachusetts (see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein for more information).

The McKellar Court partnership is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. The limited partner at McKellar Court is the only tenant in the property and will bear a disproportionate amount of any losses. We, as the general partner, will receive 22% of the operating cash flows and 75% of the gains upon sale of the property. We account for our general partner interest using the equity method. The assets of the McKellar Court partnership were \$14.7 million and the liabilities were \$10.5 million at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Our equity in net income of the McKellar Court partnership was \$225,000 and \$282,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In December 2009, we provided funding in the form of a promissory note to the McKellar Court partnership in the amount of \$10.3 million, which matures at the earlier of (1) January 1, 2020, or (2) the day that the limited partner exercises an option to purchase our ownership interest. Interest-only payments on the promissory note are due monthly at a fixed rate of 8.15% (the rate may adjust higher after January 1, 2015), with the principal balance outstanding due at maturity.

PREI II LLC is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. PREI will bear the majority of any losses incurred. PREI I LLC does not qualify as a VIE. In addition, consolidation is not required as we do not control the limited liability companies. In connection with the formation of the PREI joint ventures in April 2007, we contributed 20% of the initial capital. However, the amount of cash flow distributions that we receive may be more or less based on the nature of the circumstances underlying the cash distributions due to provisions in the operating agreements governing the distribution of funds to each member and the occurrence of extraordinary cash flow events. We account for our member interests using the equity method for both limited liability companies. The assets of the PREI joint ventures were \$647.7 million and \$652.3 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the liabilities were \$423.6 million at both March 31, 2011 and December 2010. Our equity in net loss of the PREI joint ventures was \$873,000 and \$559,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We have been the primary beneficiary in five other VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Our proportionate share of outstanding debt related to our unconsolidated partnerships is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

Name	Ownership Percentage	Interest Rate(2)	Principal Amount(1)		Maturity Date
			March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
PREI I LLC and PREI II LLC(3)	20%	3.8%	\$ 40,650	\$ 40,650	February 10, 2012
PREI I LLC(4)	20%	1.8%	40,709	40,481	August 13, 2011
Total			\$ 81,359	\$ 81,131	

- (1) Amount represents our proportionate share of the total outstanding indebtedness for each of the unconsolidated partnerships.
- (2) Effective or weighted-average interest rate of the outstanding indebtedness as of March 31, 2011, including the effect of an interest rate cap.

Table of Contents

- (3) Amount represents our proportionate share of the total draws outstanding under a secured acquisition and interim loan facility, which bears interest at a rate equal to, at the option of our PREI joint ventures, either (a) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus 350 basis points or (b) the higher of (i) the prime rate then in effect, (ii) the federal funds rate then in effect plus 50 basis points or (iii) one-month LIBOR plus 450 basis points, and requires interest only monthly payments until the maturity date.
- (4) Amount represents our proportionate share of a secured construction loan, which bears interest at a LIBOR-indexed variable rate. The secured construction loan was executed by a wholly owned subsidiary of PREI I LLC in connection with the construction of the 650 East Kendall Street property (initial borrowings of \$84.0 million on February 13, 2008 were used in part to repay a portion of the secured acquisition and interim loan facility). The remaining balance is being utilized to fund construction costs at the property. At maturity, we may refinance the loan, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or we may repay the principal balance of the secured construction loan. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the secured construction loan.

Cash Distribution Policy

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2004. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including the requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. It is our intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income, *i.e.*, taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Code and applicable regulations thereunder.

In April 2009, in an effort to maintain financial flexibility in light of the current capital markets environment, we reset our annual dividend rate on shares of our common stock to \$0.44 per share, starting in the second quarter of 2009. We subsequently increased our annual dividend rate on shares of our common stock to \$0.56 per share, starting in the fourth quarter of 2009, to \$0.60 per share, starting in the second quarter of 2010, to \$0.68 per share, starting in the third quarter of 2010, and again to \$0.80 per share, starting in the first quarter of 2011. While the change to our dividend level in the first quarter of 2011 represents our current expectation, the actual dividend payable in the future will be determined by our board of directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration and, as a result, the actual dividend payable in the future may vary from the current rate. The decision to declare and pay dividends on shares of our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, debt maturities, the availability of debt and equity capital, applicable REIT and legal restrictions and the general overall economic conditions and other factors.

The following table provides historical dividend information for our common and preferred stock for the prior two fiscal years and the three months ended March 31, 2011:

Quarter Ended	Date Declared	Date Paid	Dividend per Common Share	Dividend per Preferred Share
March 31, 2009	March 16, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.33500	\$ 0.46094
June 30, 2009	June 15, 2009	July 15, 2009	0.11000	0.46094
September 30, 2009	September 15, 2009	October 15, 2009	0.11000	0.46094

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2009	December 15, 2009	January 15, 2010	0.14000	0.46094
March 31, 2010	March 15, 2010	April 15, 2010	0.14000	0.46094
June 30, 2010	June 15, 2010	July 15, 2010	0.15000	0.46094
September 30, 2010	September 15, 2010	October 15, 2010	0.17000	0.46094
December 31, 2010	December 15, 2010	January 17, 2011	0.17000	0.46094
March 31, 2011	March 14, 2011	April 15, 2011	0.20000	0.46094

Table of Contents

Inflation

Some of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. These provisions generally increase rental rates during the terms of the leases either at fixed rates or indexed escalations (based on the Consumer Price Index or other measures). We may be adversely impacted by inflation on the leases that do not contain indexed escalation provisions. In addition, most of our leases require the tenant to pay an allocable share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance costs, real estate taxes and insurance. This may reduce our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation, assuming our properties remain leased and tenants fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for such expenses.

Portions of our unsecured line of credit bear interest at a variable rate, which will be influenced by changes in short-term interest rates, and will be sensitive to inflation.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our future income, cash flows and fair-values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we believe we are exposed is interest rate risk. Many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control contribute to interest rate risk.

As of March 31, 2011, our consolidated debt consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

		Percent of Total Debt	Effective Interest Rate at March 31, 2011
Fixed interest rate(2)	\$ 1,474,334	96.7%	5.59%
Variable interest rate(3)	51,000	3.3%	1.36%
Total/weighted-average effective interest rate	\$ 1,525,334	100.0%	5.44%

(1) Principal balance includes only consolidated indebtedness.

(2) Includes nine mortgage notes payable secured by certain of our properties (including \$4.6 million of unamortized premium), our Notes due 2026 (including \$187,000 of unamortized debt discount), our Notes due 2030, our Notes due 2020 (including \$2.5 million of unamortized debt discount), and our Notes due 2016 (including \$2.4 million of unamortized debt discount).

(3) Includes our unsecured line of credit, which bears interest based on a LIBOR-indexed variable interest rate, plus a credit spread. The stated effective rate for the variable interest debt excludes the impact of any interest rate swap agreements. We have entered into two interest rate swaps, which are intended to have the effect of initially fixing the interest rates on \$150.0 million of our variable rate debt at weighted average interest rates of approximately 4.7% (excluding applicable credit spreads for the underlying debt).

To determine the fair-value of our outstanding consolidated indebtedness, we utilize quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, we calculate the fair-value of our mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on an estimate of current lending rates, assuming the debt is outstanding through maturity and considering the notes collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to the debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. At March 31, 2011, the fair-value of the fixed-rate debt was estimated to be \$1.6 billion compared to the net carrying value of \$1.5 billion (includes \$4.6 million of unamortized debt premium, \$187,000 of unamortized debt discount associated with our Notes due 2026, \$2.5 million of unamortized debt discount associated with our Notes due 2020, and \$2.4 million of unamortized debt discount associated with our Notes due 2016). At March 31, 2011, the fair-value of the variable-rate debt was estimated to be \$50.9 million compared to the net carrying value of \$51.0 million. We do not believe that the interest rate risk represented by our fixed-rate debt or the risk of changes in the credit spread related to our variable-rate debt was material as of March 31, 2011 in relation to total assets of \$4.0 billion and equity market capitalization of \$2.8 billion of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s common stock and preferred stock, and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s OP units.

Based on the outstanding unhedged balances of our consolidated indebtedness at March 31, 2011, a 1% change in interest rates would not change our interest cost as all of our consolidated indebtedness is effectively fixed rate debt. Based on the outstanding unhedged balances of our proportionate share of the outstanding balance for the PREI joint ventures secured loan and secured construction loan at March 31, 2011, a 1% change in interest rates would change our interest costs included in our equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships by approximately \$814,000 per year. This amount was determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. This analysis does not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of the magnitude discussed above, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no changes in our financial structure.

Table of Contents

In order to modify and manage the interest rate characteristics of our outstanding debt and to limit the effects of interest rate risks on our operations, we may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps and treasury locks in order to mitigate our interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. The use of these types of instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates carries additional risks, including counterparty credit risk, the enforceability of hedging contracts and the risk that unanticipated and significant changes in interest rates will cause a significant loss of basis in the contract. To limit counterparty credit risk we will seek to enter into such agreements with major financial institutions with high credit ratings. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks and will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related amounts incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging activities. We do not enter into such contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty, L.P.)

BioMed Realty, L.P. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty, L.P. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty, L.P. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty, L.P. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and

operation of BioMed Realty, L.P.'s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that BioMed Realty, L.P.'s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Table of Contents

There has been no change in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Although we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings nor is any legal proceeding threatened against us that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are no material changes to the risk factors described under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to our business.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, our Parent Company issued, net of forfeitures, an aggregate of 180,792 shares of its common stock in connection with restricted stock awards under its incentive award plan for no cash consideration, in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. For each share of common stock issued by our Parent Company in connection with such an award, the operating partnership issued a restricted operating partnership unit to our Parent Company. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the operating partnership issued, net of forfeitures, an aggregate of 180,792 restricted operating partnership units to our Parent Company, as required by the operating partnership's partnership agreement.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
4.1	Indenture, dated March 30, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.(1)
4.2	Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated March 30, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 3.85% Senior Notes due 2016 and guarantee thereof.(1)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

/s/ ALAN D. GOLD

Alan D. Gold
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN

Greg N. Lubushkin
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: May 5, 2011

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.
By: BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.
Its general partner

/s/ ALAN D. GOLD

Alan D. Gold
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN

Greg N. Lubushkin
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: May 5, 2011

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
4.1	Indenture, dated March 30, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.(1)
4.2	Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated March 30, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 3.85% Senior Notes due 2016 and guarantee thereof.(1)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2011.