STEEL DYNAMICS INC Form 10-Q/A November 14, 2002

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q/A

[X] Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the period ended September 30, 2002

OR

[] Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 0-21719

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| Indiana | 35-1929476 |
|---|----------------------------------|
| (State or other jurisdiction | (I.R.S. employer |
| of incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |
| 6714 Pointe Inverness Way, Suite 200, For (Address of principal executive offi | |
| Registrant's telephone number, inc | luding area code: (260) 459-3553 |
| Securities registered pursuant | to Section 12(b) of the Act: |

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days

Yes [X] No []

As of November 5, 2002, Registrant had outstanding shares of 47,556,779 Common Stock.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. Table of Contents

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

| | Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001. |
|---------|---|
| | Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures |
| | |
| | PART II. Other Information |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings |
| Item 6. | Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K |
| | Signature |
| | Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer |

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share data)

| | 1 | otember 2002 |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|
| | (ur | naudited |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 24,17 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 70,53 |
| Accounts receivable-related parties | | 22,12 |
| Inventories | | 135,48 |
| Deferred taxes | | 6,06 |
| Other current assets | | 3,28 |
| Total current assets | | 261,66 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | | 935 , 70 |
| Restricted cash | | 2,60 |

| Other assets | 32,03 |
|--|-------------------|
| Total assets | \$ 1,232,01 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | |
| Current liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 35 , 76 |
| Accounts payable-related parties | 22,20 |
| Accrued interest | 6,20 |
| Other accrued expenses | 40,86 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 27,57 |
| Total current liabilities | 132,61 |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | 522 , 16 |
| Deferred taxes | 58 , 35 |
| Minority interest | 4,80 |
| Other long-term contingent liabilities | 21,98 |
| Commitments and contingencies | |
| Stockholders' equity: | |
| Common stock voting, \$.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; | |
| 49,941,251 and 49,586,473 shares issued; and 47,555,337 and | |
| 45,743,473 shares outstanding, as of September 30, 2002 and | |
| December 31, 2001, respectively Treasury stock, at cost; 2,385,914 and 3,843,000 shares, at | 49 |
| September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively | (28,88 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 346,84 |
| Retained earnings | 180,72 |
| Other accumulated comprehensive loss | (7,08 |
| | |

| Total stockholders' equity | 492,09 |
|--|-------------|
| | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,232,01 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

1

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (in thousands, except per share data)

| Three | Months | Ended |
|-------|----------|-------|
| Se | eptember | r 30, |
| | | |
| 2002 | | 2001 |
| | _ | |

| Net Sales: | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Unrelated parties Related parties | \$ 203,137 37,560 | \$ 129,227 27,580 |
| Related parties | | |
| Total net sales | 240,697 | 156,807 |
| Cost of goods sold | 167,942 | 134,888 |
| Gross profit | 72,755 | 21,919 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 15,679 | 12,821 |
| Operating income | 57,076 | 9,098 |
| Interest expense | 10,580 | 5,201 |
| Other (income) expense | (113) | 446 |
| Income before income taxes and extraordinary item | 46,609 | 3,451 |
| Income taxes | 17,478 | 1,329 |
| Income before extraordinary item Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment, | 29,131 | 2,122 |
| net of tax benefit of \$1,216 | | |
| Net income | \$ 29,131 ======= | \$ 2,122 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | |
| Income before extraordinary item Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.05 |
| Net income | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.05 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 47,545 | 45 , 723 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | |
| Income before extraordinary item Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.05 |
| Net income | \$ 0.61 | \$ 0.05 |
| Weighted average number of shares and share | | |
| equivalents outstanding | 47,854 | 45,929 ====== |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | \$ 29,131 | \$ 2,122 |
| Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment Depreciation and amortization | 15,716 | 11 , 766 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,427 | (1,784 |
| Minority interest Changes in certain assets and liabilities: | (193) | 311 |
| Accounts receivable | (3,031) | 6 , 706 |
| Inventories | (20,731) | (522 |
| Other assets | 1,510 | 11,197 |
| Accounts payableAccounts payable | 12,396 1,289 | 64 (72 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,209 | (72 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 43,514 | 29,788 |
| Net cash used in investing activity: | | |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | (65,890) | (27,307 |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 8,298 | 11,483 |
| Repayments of long-term debt Issuance of common stock, net of expenses and proceeds | (10,235) | (17,629 |
| and tax benefits from exercise of stock options | 549 | 298 |
| Debt issuance costs | (810) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (2,198) | (5,848 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (24,574) | (3,367 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 48,747 | 18,170 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 24,173 | \$ 14,803 ====== |
| | | |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Cash paid for interest | \$ 15,691 | \$ 8,781 |
| Cash paid for federal and state income taxes | \$ 2,647 | \$ |
| Issuance of common stock from treasury to | | |
| extinguish portion of long-term debt | \$ | \$ |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

Principles of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Steel Dynamics, Inc. (SDI), together with its subsidiaries (the company), including New Millennium Building Systems LLC, after elimination of the significant intercompany accounts and transactions. Minority interest represents the minority shareholders' proportionate share in the equity or income of the company's consolidated subsidiaries.

Use of Estimates. These financial statements are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and, accordingly, include amounts that are based on management's estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and in the notes thereto. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim period results. These financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the company's 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Inventories

Inventories are stated at lower of cost (principally standard cost which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis) or market. Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|---|--|
| Raw Materials Supplies Work-in-progress Finished Goods | \$ 51,477 50,104 12,140 21,766 | \$ 44,807 42,258 8,512 22,791 |
| | \$135,487 | \$118,368 |

3. Earnings Per Share

Diluted earnings per share amounts are based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the year. Common equivalent shares are excluded from the computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect. The difference between basic and diluted earnings per share for the company is solely attributable to the dilutive effect of stock options. The reconciliations of the weighted average common shares for basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Ni |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 20 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of stock options | 47,545 309 | 45,723 206 | 47,0 3 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares and share equivalents outstanding | 47,854 | 45,929 | 47 , 3 |
| | ====== | ====== | ==== |

4. Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the company's components of comprehensive income (loss), net of related tax, for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| Net income available to common shareholders Cumulative effect of an accounting change Unrealized loss on derivative instrument | \$ 29,131 (966) | \$ 2,122 (2,752) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 28,165 | \$ (630) ======= |

The company realized no net gain or loss from hedging activities during the three month period ended September 30, 2002 and realized a gain of approximately \$45,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2002, which resulted in a net effect of zero for the period since inception of the hedge. The company realized a gain of approximately \$41,000 for the three-month period ended September 30, 2001, which resulted in a net effect of zero for the nine months ended September 30, 2001.

4

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Segment Information

The company has two reportable segments: steel operations and steel scrap substitute operations. The steel operations segment includes the company's flat rolled division and structural and rail division. The flat rolled division sells a broad range of hot-rolled, cold-rolled and coated steel products, including a large variety of specialty products such as thinner gauge hot-rolled products and galvanized products. The flat rolled division sells directly to end-users

and service centers located primarily in the Midwestern United States and these products are used in numerous industry sectors, including the automotive, construction and commercial industries. The company began significant construction of its structural and rail division in May 2001 and commenced limited structural production in June 2002. The company expects to ramp up the structural operations through regular product introductions and be fully operational by the end of 2002. In addition, the company expects to commence production of rails during the first quarter of 2003. This facility is designed to produce and sell structural steel beams, pilings, and other steel components directly to end-users and service centers for the construction, transportation and industrial machinery markets. This facility is also designed to produce and sell a variety of standard and premium grade rails for the railroad industry.

Steel scrap substitute operations include the revenues and expenses associated with the company's wholly owned subsidiary, Iron Dynamics. Since operational start-up processes at Iron Dynamics were halted in 2001, IDI's costs are composed of those expenses required to maintain the facility and further evaluate the project and its related benefits.

Revenues included in the category "All Other" are from two subsidiary operations that are below the quantitative thresholds required for reportable segments. These revenues are from the fabrication of trusses, girders, steel joist and steel decking for the non-residential construction industry; from the further processing, or slitting, and sale of certain steel products; and from the resale of certain secondary and excess steel products. In addition, "All Other" also includes certain unallocated corporate accounts, such as the company's senior secured credit facilities, senior unsecured notes, and certain other investments.

The company's operations are primarily organized and managed by operating segment. Operating segment performance and resource allocations are primarily based on operating results before income taxes. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are consistent with those described in Note 1 to the financial statements. Intersegment sales and any related profits are eliminated in consolidation. The external net sales of the company's steel operations include sales to non-U.S. companies of \$2.7 and \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 and \$6.7 million and \$5.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Segment results for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30 are as follows (in thousands):

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----|---------|---------|
| | | 2002 | | 2001 | 2002 |
| | | | | | |
| STEEL OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Net sales | | | | | |
| External | \$ | 221,312 | \$ | 137,374 | \$ 558, |
| Other segments | | 12,710 | | 10,251 | 37, |
| Operating income | | 63,311 | | 12,288 | 120, |
| Assets | : | 1,021,477 | | 870,087 | 1,021, |
| STEEL SCRAP SUBSTITUTE OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Net sales | | | | | |
| External | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| Other segments | | | | | |
| Operating loss | | (2,271) | | (2,046) | (7, |
| Assets | | 151,404 | | 155,106 | 151, |

| ALL OTHER | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Net sales | | | |
| External | \$ 19,385 | \$ 19,433 | \$ 62, |
| Other segments | 162 | 18 | |
| Operating loss | (4,293) | (871) | (7, |
| Assets | 160,150 | 149,745 | 160, |
| | | | |
| ELIMINATIONS | | | |
| Net sales | | | |
| External | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other segments | (12,872) | (10,269) | (38, |
| Operating income (loss) | 329 | (273) | (1, |
| Assets | (101,016) | (86,465) | (101, |
| | | | |
| CONSOLIDATED | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 240,697 | \$ 156,807 | \$ 621, |
| Operating income | 57,076 | 9,098 | 104, |
| Assets | 1,232,015 | 1,088,473 | 1,232, |
| | ========== | | |

5

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Condensed Consolidating Information

Certain 100% owned subsidiaries of SDI, one of which was incorporated in 2000 and the others in 2002 have fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the indebtedness relating to the issuance of \$200.0 million of Senior Notes in March 2002 and due 2009. Set forth below are condensed consolidating financial statements of the company, including the guarantors. The following condensed consolidating financial statements present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of (i) SDI (in each case, reflecting investments in its consolidated subsidiaries under the equity method of accounting), (ii) the guarantor subsidiaries of SDI, (iii) the non-guarantor subsidiaries of SDI, and (iv) the eliminations necessary to arrive at the information for the company on a consolidated basis. The condensed consolidating financial statements should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the company and the company's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (in thousands):

| AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 | PARENT | GUAR | ANTORS | 00 | MBINED UARANTORS |
|--------------------------|--------------|------|--------|----|---------------------|
| Cash | \$ 19,549 | \$ | 214 | \$ | 4,410 |
| Accounts receivable | 91,975 | | | | 10,576 |
| Inventories | 119,315 | | | | 17,415 |
| Other current assets | 9,589 | | | | 38 |
| | | | | | |
| Total current assets | 240,428 | | 214 | | 32,439 |

| Property, plant and equipment, net Other assets | 750,943 199,376 | 45,408 43,713 | 139,463 257 |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Total assets | \$ 1,190,747 | \$ | \$ 172,159 |
| Accounts payable Accrued expenses Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 43,013 44,271 11,592 | 13,286 125 | 11,565 2,734 16,002 |
| Total current liabilities Other liabilities Long-term debt Minority interest | 98,876 81,307 516,353 629 | 13,411 | 30,301 (838) 8,506 |
| Common stock Treasury stock Additional paid in capital Retained earnings Other accumulated comprehensive loss | 499 (28,889) 346,849 182,027 (6,904) | 45,332 16 30,576 | 172,164 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 493,582 | 75,924 | 134,190 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,190,747 | \$ 89,335 | \$ 172,159 |
| AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001 Cash Accounts receivable Inventories Other current assets | \$ 77,407 78,461 100,709 32,973 | \$ 83 (16) | \$ 751 10,375 17,680 1,095 |
| Total current assets Property, plant and equipment, net Other assets | 289,550 703,896 90,044 | 67 7,822 | 29,901 148,270 1,405 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,083,490 | \$ 7,889 | \$ 179,576 |
| Accounts payable Accrued expenses Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 40,081 28,165 2,337 | \$ 1 | \$ 8,204 2,585 43,696 |
| Total current liabilities Other liabilities Long-term debt Minority interest | 70,583 61,308 532,350 639 | 1 | 54,485 2,728 21,876 |
| Common stock Treasury stock Additional paid in capital Retained earnings Other accumulated comprehensive loss | 495 (46,526) 337,733 132,264 (5,356) | 1 16 7,871 | 133,351 (32,864) |
| | | | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 418,610 | 7,888 | 100,487 |

6

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income (in thousands):

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED, SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

| SEFTEMBER 30, 2002 | PARENT | GUARANTORS | COMBINED NON-GUARANTO |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Net sales Cost of good sold | \$ 234,022 161,246 | \$ | \$ 19,547 19,576 |
| Gross profit Selling, general and administration | 72,776 | 97 | (29) 2,385 |
| Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other (income) expense | 59,258 10,209 13,883 | (97) (14,092) | (2,414) 440 (7) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net loss of subsidiaries Income tax (expense) benefit Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 35,166 (13,298) 7,017 | 13,995 (5,248) | (2,847) 1,068 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 28,885 ====== | \$ 8,747 ====== | \$ (1,779) ======= |
| FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED, SEPTEMBER 30, 2001 | | | |
| Net sales Cost of good sold | \$ 147,624 127,087 | \$ | \$ 19,452 18,080 |
| Gross profit Selling, general and administration | 20,537 10,061 | 5 | 1,372 2,472 |
| Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other (income) expense | 10,476 3,727 9,066 | (5) (9,172) | (1,100) 2,036 (9) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net loss of subsidiaries Income tax (expense) benefit Equity in net income of subsidiaries | (2,317) 997 3,715 | 9,167 (3,529) | (3,127) 1,204 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 2,395 | \$ 5,638 | \$ (1,923) ======= |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income (in thousands):

11

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED, SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

| | PARENT | GUARANTORS | COMBINED NON-GUARANTOR |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Net sales Cost of good sold | \$ 596,764 443,867 | \$ | \$ 63,010 61,513 |
| Gross profit Selling, general and administration | 152,897 41,516 | 104 | 1,497 7,070 |
| Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other (income) expense | 111,381 18,330 | (104) (36,063) | (5,573) 1,627 |
| <pre>Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in net income of subsidiaries and extraordinary items Income tax (expense) benefit</pre> | | | (7,183) 2,722 |
| Income (loss) before equity in net income of subsidiaries and extraordinary items Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment, | 33,452 | 22,702 | (4,461) |
| net of tax benefit of \$1,216 Equity in net income of subsidiaries | (1,564) 17,826 | | (464,) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 49,714 | | \$ (4,925) |

7

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

| | PARENT | GUARANTORS | COMBINED NON-GUARANTORS |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Net sales Cost of good sold | \$ 444,782 378,153 | \$ | \$ 53,178 46,713 |
| Gross profit Selling, general and administration | 66,629 27,546 | 12 | 6,465 16,772 |
| Operating income (loss) Interest expense Other (income) expense | 39,083 12,509 26,375 | (12) (27,446) | 10,307 3,002 (9) |

Income (loss) before income taxes and

| equity in net income of subsidiaries | 199 | 27,434 | (13,300) |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Income tax (expense) benefit | 149 | (10,254) | 5,109 |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 8,990 | | |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 9,040 | \$ 17,180 | \$ (8,191) |
| | | | |

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands):

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

| | PARENT | GUARANTORS |
|---|------------|------------|
| Net cash provided by operations | \$ 106,436 | \$ 131 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (129,069) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (35,225) | |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (57,858) | 131 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 77,407 | 83 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 19,549 | \$ 214 |
| FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operations | \$ 40,243 | \$ 33,492 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (46,195) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 11,028 | (33,440) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 5,076 | 52 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 8,924 | 40 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 14,000 | \$ 92 |

7. Pittsboro, Indiana Acquisition

On July 29, 2002, the company announced that it entered into a definitive agreement with Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC to purchase Qualitech's special bar quality mini-mill assets located in Pittsboro, Indiana. The company agreed to pay \$45 million for the assets and announced plans to invest between \$60 and \$70 million of additional capital to convert the Qualitech mini-mill to the production of between 500,000 and 600,000 annual tons of merchant and reinforcing bar products. The company agreed to close the transaction within 25 days, subject to certain conditions and approvals. However, on August 2, 2002, Nucor Corporation filed suit against Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC in Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court, seeking to enjoin Qualitech from selling its assets to the company by reason of rights they allege under a prior purchase agreement. On August 6, 2002, the court entered a temporary restraining order prohibiting Qualitech from closing under the company's purchase agreement pending a preliminary injunction hearing scheduled for August 19, 2002. On

August 7, 2002, the company intervened in this lawsuit to assert its rights under its purchase agreement with Qualitech. On August 19, 2002, after the conclusion of evidence at the preliminary injunction hearing, the Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court ruled that Nucor "has little, if any, chance of prevailing at a trial based upon the evidence presented" and denied Nucor's injunction request. On August 20, 2002, Nucor filed a notice of appeal to the Indiana Court of Appeals, and the appeal is currently pending. On September 6, 2002, the company closed the purchase and acquired the asset, not withstanding the pendency for the litigation. Discovery is continuing and the court has set a date of January 13, 2003, for a trial on the merits.

8

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion contains forward looking statements that involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements as a result of these risks and uncertainties, including those set forth in our Form 10-K under "Forward Looking Statements" and under "Risk Factors." You should read the following discussion in conjunction with "Selected Financial Data" set forth in our Form 10-K and our consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this filing.

OVERVIEW

We own and operate two state-of-the-art, low-cost mini-mills: a flat-rolled mini-mill located in Butler, Indiana, with an estimated annual production capacity of 2.2 million tons, and a newly built structural steel and rail mini-mill located in Columbia City, Indiana, with an annual production capacity of 1.3 million tons, depending on product mix. We are currently operating our Butler mini-mill at an annualized capacity of 2.4 million tons to meet current market demand; however, we may not be able to sustain this elevated production level through the remainder of the year.

Our Butler mini-mill produces a broad range of high quality hot-rolled, cold-rolled and coated steel products, including a large variety of high value-added and high margin specialty products such as thinner gauge rolled products and galvanized products. We sell these products directly to end-users, intermediate steel processors and steel service centers primarily in the Midwestern United States. Our products are used in numerous industry sectors, including the automotive, construction and commercial industries. In May 2002, we announced plans to construct a low-cost, coil coating facility at our Butler mini-mill that will further increase our range of value-added capabilities. We have started construction of the facility and expect to commence coating operations in the middle of 2003. The coating facility is currently expected to have an annual production capacity of 240,000 tons and is estimated to cost between \$25 and \$30 million.

In May 2001, we began construction of a new state-of-the-art structural steel and rail mini-mill in Columbia City, Indiana. Our Columbia City mini-mill is designed to have an annual production capacity of up to 1.3 million tons and produce structural steel and rails at a higher quality and lower cost than comparable mills. We expect to spend approximately \$315 million to construct this mini-mill, of which approximately \$298 million has been spent as of September 30, 2002. We commenced structural steel operations and shipped our first structural products to initial customers in late June 2002. We expect to ramp up these operations through regular product introductions and be fully

operational by the first quarter 2003. In addition, we expect to commence production of standard rail during the first quarter of 2003 and premium rail during the second quarter of 2003. Our structural steel operation is designed to produce steel products for the construction, transportation and industrial machinery markets. Our rail manufacturing operation is designed to produce a variety of rail products for the railroad industry.

On July 29, 2002, the company announced that it entered into a definitive agreement with Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC to purchase Qualitech's special bar guality mini-mill assets located in Pittsboro, Indiana. The company agreed to pay \$45 million for the assets and announced plans to invest between \$60 and \$70 million of additional capital to convert the Qualitech mini-mill to the production of between 500,000 and 600,000 annual tons of merchant and reinforcing bar products. The company agreed to close the transaction within 25 days, subject to certain conditions and approvals. However, on August 2, 2002, Nucor Corporation filed suit against Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC in Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court, seeking to enjoin Qualitech from selling its assets to the company by reason of rights they allege under a prior purchase agreement. On August 6, 2002, the court entered a temporary restraining order prohibiting Qualitech from closing under the company's purchase agreement pending a preliminary injunction hearing scheduled for August 19, 2002. On August 7, 2002, the company intervened in this lawsuit to assert its rights under its purchase agreement with Qualitech. On August 19, 2002, after the conclusion of evidence at the preliminary injunction hearing, the Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court ruled that Nucor "has little, if any, chance of prevailing at a trial based upon the evidence presented" and denied Nucor's injunction request. On August 20, 2002, Nucor filed a notice of appeal to the Indiana Court of Appeals, and the appeal is currently pending. On September 6, 2002, the company closed the purchase and acquired the asset, not withstanding the pendency for the litigation. Discovery is continuing and the court has set a date of January 13, 2003, for a trial on the merits.

NET SALES

Our total net sales are a factor of net tons shipped, product mix and related pricing. Our net sales are determined by subtracting product returns, sales discounts, return allowances and claims from total sales. We charge premium prices for certain grades of steel, dimensions of product, or certain smaller volumes, based on our cost of production. We also charge marginally higher prices for our value-added products from our cold mill. These products include hot-rolled and cold-rolled galvanized products and cold-rolled products.

COST OF GOODS SOLD

Our cost of goods sold represents all direct and indirect costs associated with the manufacture of our products. The principal elements of these costs are steel scrap and scrap substitutes, alloys, natural gas, argon, direct and indirect labor benefits, electricity, oxygen, electrodes and depreciation. Steel scrap and scrap substitutes represent the most significant component of our cost of goods sold.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

Selling, general and administrative expenses are comprised of all costs associated with our sales, finance and accounting, materials and transportation, and administrative departments. These costs include labor and benefits, professional services, financing cost amortization, property taxes, profit sharing expense and start-up costs associated with new projects. 9

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense consists of interest associated with our senior credit facilities and other debt agreements as described in the notes to our financial statements set forth in our Form 10K, net of capitalized interest costs that are related to construction expenditures during the construction period of capital projects.

OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE

Other income consists of interest income earned on our cash balances and any other non-operating income activity, including insurance proceeds from litigation efforts. Other expense consists of any non-operating costs, including permanent impairments of reported investments and settlement costs from litigation efforts.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 COMPARED WITH THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

Net Sales. Our net sales were \$240.7 million, with total shipments of 598,000 net tons for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to net sales of \$156.8 million, with total shipments of 500,000 net tons for the three months ended September 30, 2001, an increase in net sales of \$83.9 million, or 53%, and an increase in total shipments of 98,000 net tons, or 20%. During the third quarter of 2002, the average consolidated selling price per ton increased approximately \$89, or 28%, in comparison to the same period in 2001 and increased approximately \$63, or 19%, in comparison to the second quarter of 2002. We are currently experiencing similar selling prices in our order backlog for the fourth quarter of 2002.

We sold approximately 16% and 17% of our net sales to Heidtman Steel Products, Inc (or affiliates) (Heidtman) for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Cost of Goods Sold. Cost of goods sold was \$167.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$134.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$33.0 million, or 25%, which was primarily volume related. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold represented approximately 70% and 86% for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. During the third quarter, this reduction in cost of goods sold as a percentage of net sales is primarily the direct result of operating efficiencies derived from increased production levels. Steel scrap represented approximately 51% and 46% of our total cost of goods sold for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. We experienced a steady decline in scrap pricing from the second quarter of 2000 through the first quarter of 2002; however, this downward trend ended in the second quarter of 2002. The average scrap cost per hot band ton produced during the third quarter of 2002 averaged \$29, or 29%, more than in the first quarter of 2002 and averaged \$14, or 11%, more than the third quarter of 2001. We experienced a narrowing of our gross margin throughout 2001 as our average sales price per ton decreased more rapidly than our average scrap cost per ton; however, during the second quarter of 2002, our gross margin strengthened as our average product pricing increased by a greater degree than our average scrap cost. Our gross margin continued to strengthen during the third quarter of 2002 as scrap pricing remained competitive and as we realized greater efficiencies through increased production.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$15.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$12.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 22%. This increase is primarily the result of increased performance-related employee incentive programs. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses represented approximately 7% and 8% for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. During the three months ended September 30, 2002, we did not incur start-up expenses classified as selling, general and administrative costs. The costs related to the production ramp-up at our structural and rail mill were recorded within cost of goods sold, as compared to start-up costs of \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2001, which were recorded as part of selling, general and administrative costs.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$10.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$5.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$5.4 million, or 103%. Gross interest expense increased 33% to \$11.1 million and capitalized interest decreased 83% to \$541,000, for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to the same period in 2001. This decrease resulted from the reduction of interest required to be capitalized with respect to our structural and rail mill since construction was substantially complete at June 30, 2002.

Other (Income) Expense. Other income was \$113,000 and other expense was \$446,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, resulting in an increase to income of \$559,000, or 125%.

Income Taxes. Our income tax provision was \$17.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in 2001, an increase of \$16.2 million. Our effective tax rate was 37.5% during 2002, as compared to 38.5% during 2001. During the fourth quarter of 2001, we recorded a \$1.9 million deferred tax asset valuation allowance related to foreign tax credits that may not be fully realized. This allowance is still outstanding at September 30, 2002.

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002 COMPARED WITH NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001

Net Sales. Our net sales were \$621.3 million, with total shipments of 1,788,000 net tons for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to net sales of \$468.5 million, with total shipments of 1,498,000 net tons for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase

10

in net sales of \$152.8 million, or 33%, and an increase in total shipments of 290,000 net tons, or 19%. During the first nine months of 2002, the average consolidated selling price per ton increased approximately \$35, or 11%, in comparison to the same period in 2001. We sold approximately 16% and 18% of our net sales to Heidtman for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Cost of Goods Sold. Cost of goods sold was \$468.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$395.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$72.6 million, or 18%, which was primarily volume related. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold represented approximately 75% and 84% for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Steel scrap represented approximately 46% and 45%

of our total cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. We experienced a steady decline in scrap pricing from the second quarter of 2000 through the first quarter of 2002; however, this downward trend ended in the second quarter of 2002. The average scrap cost per hot band ton produced during the first nine months of 2002 averaged \$2, or 2%, more than in the same period of 2001. We experienced a narrowing of our gross margin throughout 2001 as our average sales price per ton decreased more rapidly than our average scrap cost per ton; however, during the second quarter of 2002, our gross margin strengthened as our average product pricing increased by a greater degree than our average scrap cost. Our gross margin continued to strengthen during the third quarter of 2002 as scrap pricing remained competitive and as we realized greater efficiencies through increased production.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$48.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$44.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$3.7 million, or 8%. This increase is primarily the result of increased performance-related employee incentive programs. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses represented approximately 8% and 10% for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Start-up costs were \$13.0 million, all of which were related to construction and production ramp-up of our structural and rail mill, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to start-up costs of \$16.4 million, of which Iron Dynamics represents \$11.0 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, a decrease of \$3.4 million, or 21%.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$19.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$14.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$5.7 million, or 40%. Gross interest expense increased 15% to \$30.6 million and capitalized interest decreased 1% to \$10.7 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to the same period in 2001. This decrease resulted from the reduction of interest required to be capitalized with respect to our structural and rail mill since construction was substantially complete at June 30, 2002. The 15% increase in our year to date gross interest expense despite a less than 1% increase in net debt (total debt, including other long-term contingent liabilities, less cash and cash equivalents) was due to an increase in our average interest rate primarily driven by the March 26, 2002, refinancing of our capital structure, in which we introduced higher priced public debt components

Other (Income) Expense. Other expense was \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$220,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$3.7 million. During the first quarter of 2002, we recorded settlement costs in association with the Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Company Ltd. (NSM) related lawsuits. On May 6, 2002, we settled the remaining NSM-related lawsuit, which was outstanding on March 31, 2002. Accordingly, we reflected a settlement cost of \$4.5 million, net of any insurance proceeds, in our financial results for the first quarter of 2002.

Income Taxes. Our income tax provision was \$30.3 million, net of a \$1.2 million tax benefit related to our extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to \$5.3 million for the same period in 2001. Our effective tax rate was 37.5% during 2002, as compared to 38.5% during 2001. During the fourth quarter of 2001, we recorded a \$1.9 million deferred tax asset valuation allowance related to foreign tax credits that may not be fully realized. This allowance is still outstanding at September 30, 2002.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our business is capital intensive and requires substantial expenditures for, among other things, the purchase and maintenance of equipment used in our

steelmaking and finishing operations and to remain compliant with environmental laws. Our short-term and long-term liquidity needs arise primarily from capital expenditures, working capital requirements and principal and interest payments related to our outstanding indebtedness. We have met these liquidity requirements with cash provided by operations, equity, long-term borrowings, state and local grants and capital cost reimbursements.

CASH FLOWS

For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, cash provided by operating activities was \$109.1 million, as compared to \$53.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, an increase of \$55.9 million, or 105%. Cash used in investing activities, which represented capital investments, was \$125.1 million and \$52.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Approximately 63% of our capital investment costs incurred during the first nine months of 2002 were utilized in construction efforts related to our structural steel and rail mill and substantially all of the remaining costs incurred related to our third quarter purchase of the steel producing assets located in Pittsboro, Indiana. Cash used in financing activities was \$38.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, as compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. This decrease in funds due to financing activities was the result of our change in capital structure after the first quarter 2002 refinancing activities and the result of a decrease in debt associated with Iron Dynamics due to an agreement with the Iron Dynamics lenders to extinguish the debt under the Iron Dynamics credit agreement at the end of March 2002.

11

On January 28, 2002, we entered into an agreement with the Iron Dynamics lenders to extinguish the debt under the Iron Dynamics credit agreement at the end of March 2002. We complied with each of the settlement requirements, thus constituting full and final settlement of all of Iron Dynamics' obligations and our guarantees under the IDI credit agreement, causing the IDI credit agreement to terminate. In meeting the requirements of the settlement agreement, we paid \$15.0 million in cash and issued an aggregate of \$22.0 million, or 1.5 million shares of our common stock during March 2002. In addition, if IDI resumes operations by January 27, 2007, and generates positive cash flow (as defined in the settlement agreement), we are required to make contingent future payments in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$22.0 million.

LIQUIDITY

We believe the principal indicators of our liquidity are our cash position, remaining availability under our bank credit facilities and excess working capital. During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, our cash position decreased \$54.1 million to \$24.2 million and our working capital position decreased \$65.0 million, or 34%, to \$129.1 million, as compared to December 31, 2001. As of September 30, 2002, \$75.0 million under our senior secured revolving credit facility remained undrawn and available. Our ability to draw down the revolver is dependent upon continued compliance with the financial covenants and other covenants contained in the senior credit agreement.

Our ability to meet our debt service obligations and reduce our total debt will depend upon our future performance, which in turn, will depend upon general economic, financial and business conditions, along with competition, legislation and regulation, factors that are largely beyond our control. In addition, we cannot assure you that our operating results, cash flow and capital resources will be sufficient for repayment of our indebtedness in the future.

We believe that based upon current levels of operations and anticipated growth, cash flow from operations, together with other available sources of funds, including additional borrowings under our senior secured credit agreement, will be adequate for the next twelve months for making required payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness and for funding anticipated capital expenditures and working capital requirements.

INFLATION

We believe that inflation has not had a material effect on our results of operations.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

We have incurred, and in the future will continue to incur, capital expenditures and operating expenses for matters relating to environmental control, remediation, monitoring and compliance. We believe, apart from our dependence on environmental construction and operating permits for our existing and proposed manufacturing facilities, such as our structural steel and rail mill project in Columbia City, Indiana, that compliance with current environmental laws and regulations is not likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity; however, environmental laws and regulations have changed rapidly in recent years and we may become subject to more stringent environmental laws and regulations in the future.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK

In the normal course of business our market risk is limited to changes in interest rates. We utilize long-term debt as a primary source of capital. A portion of our debt has an interest component that resets on a periodic basis to reflect current market conditions. We manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through the use of an interest rate swap. We agree to exchange, at specific intervals, the difference between fixed rate and floating rate interest amounts calculated on an agreed upon notional amount. This interest differential paid or received is recognized in the consolidated statements of income as a component of interest expense. At September 30, 2002, no material changes had occurred related to our interest rate risk from the information disclosed in the Annual Report of Steel Dynamics, Inc. and on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Within 90 days prior to the date of this report, the we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the our management, including the our President and Chief Executive Officer along with the our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the company (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic SEC filings. There have been no significant changes in these internal controls or in other factors which could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date we carried out the evaluation.

12

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On July 29, 2002, the company announced that it entered into a definitive agreement with Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC to purchase Qualitech's special bar quality mini-mill assets located in Pittsboro, Indiana. The company agreed to pay \$45 million for the assets and announced plans to invest between \$60 and \$70 million of additional capital to convert the Qualitech mini-mill to the production of between 500,000 and 600,000 annual tons of merchant and reinforcing bar products. The company agreed to close the transaction within 25 days, subject to certain conditions and approvals. However, on August 2, 2002, Nucor Corporation filed suit against Qualitech Steel SBQ LLC in Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court, Cause Number 32D01-0208-CT-24, seeking to enjoin Qualitech from selling its assets to the company by reason of rights they allege under a prior purchase agreement. On August 6, 2002, the court entered a temporary restraining order prohibiting Qualitech from closing under the company's purchase agreement pending a preliminary injunction hearing scheduled for August 19, 2002. On August 7, 2002, the company intervened in this lawsuit to assert its rights under its purchase agreement with Qualitech. On August 19, 2002, after the conclusion of evidence at the preliminary injunction hearing, the Hendricks County, Indiana Superior Court ruled that Nucor "has little, if any, chance of prevailing at a trial based upon the evidence presented" and denied Nucor's injunction request. On August 20, 2002, Nucor filed a notice of appeal to the Indiana Court of Appeals, and the appeal is currently pending. On September 6, 2002, the company closed the purchase and acquired the asset, not withstanding the pendency for the litigation. Discovery is continuing and the court has set a date of January 13, 2003, for a trial on the merits.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (A) Exhibits -
- (B) Reports on Form 8-K for the quarter ended September 30, 2002: None filed.

Filed herewith

Items 2 through 5 of Part II are not applicable for this reporting period and have been omitted.

13

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Steel Dynamics, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

November 14, 2002

STEEL DYNAMICS, INC.

By: /s/ TRACY L. SHELLABARGER

Tracy L. Shellabarger Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

Certifications

- I, Keith E. Busse, certify that:
 - I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Steel Dynamics, Inc.;
 - 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
 - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
 - 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "evaluation Date"); and
 - c. Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
 - 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:
 - a. All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data ad have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's

internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

November 14, 2002

By: /s/ KEITH E. BUSSE Keith E. Busse President and Chief Executive Officer

14

- I, Tracy L. Shellabarger, certify that:
 - I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Steel Dynamics, Inc.;
 - Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
 - 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
 - 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - d. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - e. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "evaluation Date"); and
 - f. Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
 - 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors:

- c. All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data ad have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- d. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 7. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

November 14, 2002

By:

/s/ TRACY L. SHELLABARGER

Tracy L. Shellabarger Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

15

w roman",times,serif;color:#000000;'>and

any other relevant documents filed with the SEC, as well as any amendments or supplements to those documents. because

they contain important information. А free copy of the Proxy Statement/Prospectus, as well as other filings containing information about Old National and United, may be obtained at the SEC s Internet site (http://www.sec.gov). You will also be able to obtain these documents, free of charge, from Old National at www.oldnational.com under the

tab Investor Relations and then under the heading Financial Information or from United by accessing United s website at www.ubat.com under the heading About Us and then under the tab Investor Relations and then under the tab SEC Filings. Old National and United and certain of their directors and executive officers may

be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from the shareholders of United in connection with the proposed merger. Information about the directors and executive officers of Old National is set forth in the proxy statement for Old National s 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, as filed with the SEC

on а Schedule 14A on March 14, 2014. Information about the directors and executive officers of United is set forth in United s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on February 28, 2014. Additional information regarding the interests of those participants and other persons who may be deemed

participants in the transaction may be obtained by reading the Proxy Statement/Prospectus regarding the proposed merger. Free copies of this document may be obtained as described in the preceding paragraph.

5 Disclosures Forward-Looking Statements This presentation contains certain

forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, but are not limited to, descriptions of Old National s financial condition, results of operations, asset and credit quality trends and profitability and statements about the expected timing, completion, financial benefit and other effects

of the proposed mergers. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of the words anticipate, believe, expect, intend, could and should, and other words of similar meaning. These forward-looking statements express management s current expectations or forecasts of future events and, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties

and there are а number of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to: market, economic, operational, liquidity, credit and interest rate risks associated with Old National's business; competition; government legislation

and policies (including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and its related regulations); ability of Old National to execute its business plan, including the proposed acquisitions of United Bancorp, Inc. and LSB Financial Corp.; changes in the economy which could materially impact credit quality

trends and the ability to generate loans and gather deposits; failure or circumvention 0 f Old National s internal controls; failure or disruption of our information systems; failure to adhere to or significant changes in accounting, tax or regulatory practices or requirements; new legal obligations or liabilities or unfavorable resolutions of litigations;

other matters discussed in this presentation and other factors identified in Old National s Annual Report on Form 10-K and other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These forwardlooking statements are made only as of the date of this presentation, and Old National undertakes no obligation to release

revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or conditions after the date of this presentation. Non-GAAP Financial Measures These slides contain non-GAAP financial measures. For purposes of Regulation G, a non-GAAP financial measure is а numerical measure of the registrant's historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that

excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP in the statement of income, balance sheet or statement of cash flows (or equivalent statements) of the issuer; or includes

amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measure so calculated and presented. In this regard, GAAP refers to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. Pursuant to the requirements of Regulation G, Old National Bancorp

has provided reconciliations within the slides, as necessary, of the non-GAAP financial measure to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

6

Old National Bancorp to Acquire LSB Financial Corp. Expansion in Lafayette is a key part of ONB s strategy. Consistent with ONB s goal of transforming the franchise into higher growth markets Significantly enhances ONB s presence in the strong college market with a #3 pro forma deposit market share ranking LSB (NASDAQ: LSBI), the largest bank headquartered in Lafayette, offers 5 branches and \$312 million of deposits in Lafayette, Indiana, more than doubling ONB s presence in the area. (1) Pending branches from UBMI transaction

7 1 Excluding Chicago-Naperville-Elgin IL-IN-WI, Cincinnati OH-KY-IN and Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN MSAs 2 Tippecanoe county employment figures as of January 28, 2014 Using U.S. census data, SNL Financial FDIC Summary of Deposits data as of June 30, 2013 2 nd fastest household growth in Indiana 5 th most populated in Indiana 1 with 207k 8 th out of

```
184
in
Forbes
 2013
Best
Small
Places
for
Business and Careers
Recently selected by GE to house a $100 million jet
engine assembly factory
Top
Employers
2
:
Purdue
University
15,612
Subaru
of
Indiana
3,700
Wabash
National
3,323
Notable business operations in Lafayette
Projected HHI & Pop. Change 13-18
Lafayette MSA
Branches
Deposits
Mkt. Share
1
JPMorgan Chase & Co.
6
$541,594
20.1%
2
First Merchants Corp.
10
493,776
18.4%
3
Pro Forma
8
```

384,137 14.3% 3 Regions Financial Corp. 8 358,511 13.3% 4 LSB Financial Corp. 5 314,955 11.7% 5 Salin Bancshares Inc. 7 152,581 5.7% 6 Huntington Bancshares Inc. 3 131,237 4.9% 7 Lafayette Community Bancorp 4 130,852 4.9% 8 Farmers and Merchants Bancorp 2 96,173 3.6% 9 First Financial Bancorp. 4 93,126 3.5% 10 Fifth Third Bancorp 4 87,493 3.3% 11 Benton Financial Corp. 2 77,733 2.9% 12 Old National Bancorp 3

69,182 2.6%Market Total 70 2,690,656 Lafayette-West Lafayette, IN MSA 16.1% 19.2% 21.1% 23.6%3.6% 2.8%2.1% 4.6% US Midwest Indiana Lafayette HHI Pop. HHI Pop. HHI Pop. HHI Pop.

Bob Jones President and CEO Old National Bancorp

9 Transaction Highlights

2.269 common shares 1 of

| ONB |
|---------------|
| + |
| \$10.63 |
| |
| per |
| share |
| in |
| cash |
| (fixed) |
| for |
| each |
| |
| common |
| share |
| of |
| LSBI |
| |
| Approximately |
| 75% |
| stock |
| / |
| 25% |
| |
| cash |
| |
| Assumes |
| 3.6 |
| million |
| shares |
| of |
| ONB |
| common |
| stock |
| |
| issued |
| |
| Expected |
| to |
| be |
| immediately |
| accretive |
| in |
| 2015 |
| by |
| |
| approximately |
| \$7.5 |
| million, |
| or |
| \$.03 |
| per |
| share |
| |
| Modest |
| |

tangible

book value dilution of approximately 2% expected at closing, inclusive of \$8.2 million of pre-tax merger and acquisition charges Projected capital ratios exceed well-capitalized guidelines Expected to create goodwill and intangibles of approximately \$43.0 million No additional common equity raise necessary Transaction anticipated to close mid

to late 4Q14, subject to regulatory and LSBI shareholder approval and other customary closing conditions Approximately 40% of non-interest expense, or \$4.4 million on а pre-tax basis, expected to be fully achieved in 2015 1 The exchange ratio is subject to adjustment under certain circumstances as provided in the

merger agreement. 2 Includes the in-the-money value of the outstanding stock options. 3 See Appendix for Non GAAP Reconciliation Modeling assumes merger and acquisition charges to be booked in 4Q14 Consideration **Financial Impact** Operating Efficiencies Capital Closing Loan credit mark estimated at \$11.7 million, or 4.5% of total gross

loans

Loan interest rate mark estimated at \$13.0 million, or 5.0% of total gross loans Loan Mark Deal Value \$41.67 per LSBI share, or approximately \$66.7 million in the aggregate 2 , assuming an ONB price of \$13.68 (closing price as of June 3, 2014) 13.0x LTM earnings with

cost

savings

158% price / tangible book value 3 (3/31/14)

10 Transaction Rationale Compelling Strategic Rationale

Meaningful extension

in Lafayette that strengthens ONB s overall Indiana footprint by reinforcing its high-quality markets Adds 5 full-service branches with \$312 million in deposits and \$261 million in loans 2 Further distances ONB from the \$10B Durbin threshold with over \$11.6B in pro forma assets at close Well-defined,

| operating |
|------------------------------|
| efficiencies |
| that |
| drive |
| enhanced |
| operating |
| |
| leverage |
| and |
| profitability Einensielle |
| Financially |
| Attractive |
| Allows |
| Old |
| National |
| |
| to |
| prudently |
| and |
| accretively |
| deploy |
| excess |
| capital, |
| based |
| upon |
| conservative |
| assumptions |
| Approximately |
| \$7.5 |
| million, |
| or |
| \$.03 |
| |
| per |
| share, accretive |
| to |
| 2015 |
| earnings |
| cannings |
| Tangible |
| book |
| value |
| dilution |
| of |
| |
| approximately 2% |
| |
| at |
| closing |
| |

Tangible

book value earn back period of approximately 5 years 3 (inclusive of acquisition costs) Internal rate of return exceeds internal hurdle Low Risk Opportunity Comprehensive due diligence completed, including extensive credit review In-market transaction with an established and complementary customer base and business mix Retention of key

management members

Positive relationship with Lafayette management and board Financial data as of March 31, 2014, per SNL Financial and Company documents Modeling assumes merger and acquisition charges to be booked in 4Q14 Consideration of 2.269 common shares 1 of ONB + \$10.63 per share in cash (fixed) for

| each |
|---------------|
| |
| common |
| share |
| of |
| LSBI |
| Implied |
| Transaction |
| value |
| of |
| approximately |
| \$41.67 |
| per |
| LSBI |
| share |
| and |
| \$66.7 |
| million |
| |
| in |
| the |
| aggregate, |
| assuming |
| ONB |
| price |
| of |
| \$13.68 |
| (closing |
| price |
| as |
| of |
| June |
| 3, |
| 2014) |
| 1 |
| The |
| exchange |
| ratio |
| |
| is |
| subject |
| to |
| adjustment |
| under |
| certain |
| circumstances |
| as |
| provided |
| in |
| the |
| merger |
| agreement. |
| 2 |
| |

| Excludes |
|-------------|
| loans |
| held |
| for |
| sale |
| 3 |
| Defined |
| as |
| the |
| number |
| of |
| years |
| for |
| pro |
| forma |
| TBV |
| per |
| share |
| to |
| exceed |
| stand-alone |
| projected |
| TBV |
| per |
| share, |
| inclusive |
| of |
| acquisition |
| costs |
| |

11 Covering Durbin Anticipated 2015 earnings per share accretion

from recent acquisitions 1 : Tower Financial Corp. \$.08 to \$.10 United Bancorp \$.06 LSB Financial Corp. \$.03 Durbin amendment expected to impact earnings \$.04 to \$.07 per share aftertax on an annualized basis Actual 2015 Durbin impact expected to impact earnings \$.02 to \$.04 per share after-tax (due to July 1 st , 2015 effective date) \$.17 to \$.19 cumulative accretion Our recent acquisitions are expected to cover our anticipated Durbin impact 1 Anticipated earnings accretion at each respective deal s announcement date

12 Summary ONB has a proven track record of performance Last four bank deals met or exceeded expectations on expense saves, internal rate of return, earnings per share accretion, credit performance and tangible book value earn back Financially attractive Meaningful earnings accretion expected while retaining strong capital position Achievable cost saves expected Revenue potential (not built into model) from Wealth Management and

Insurance Efficient use of capital Integration experience and retention of key management minimizes execution risk Strategic fill-in of attractive Lafayette market expected to enhance franchise value

Old National Bancorp Thank You Q&A

Old National Bancorp Appendix

15 Returned to community bank model 2004 2005 Sold nonstrategic market Clarksville, TN 5 branches 2006 Sold nonstrategic market O Fallon, IL 1 branch 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Acquired St. Joseph Capital Entry into Northern IN market February, 2007 Acquired 65 Charter One branches throughout Indiana March, 2009 Bancorp Enhanced

Acquired Monroe Bloomington, IN presence January, 2011 Acquired IN Community Entry into Columbus, IN September, 2012 FDIC-assisted acquisition of Integra Bank July, 2011 Sold nonstrategic market Chicago-area -4 branches Consolidation of

21 branches Acquired 24 MI / IN branches July, 2013 Consolidation of 2 branches Consolidation of 8 branches Consolidation of 1 branch Consolidation of 10 branches Consolidation of 12 branches Consolidation of 44 branches Consolidation of 5 branches Sold 12 branches Consolidation of 22 branches Purchased 182 + 23 pending Sold 22 Consolidated 128 Acquired Tower Financial Enhancing Ft. Wayne, IN presence April, 2014 Pending acquisition of United Bancorp Entry into Ann Arbor, MI 2014 Consolidation of 3 branches Pending acquisition of LSB Financial Corp., Lafayette, IN Transforming Old National s Landscape

Transforming Old National s Landscape Pending UBMI and LSBI locations

17

New markets since 2004 Per SNL Financial 2014 map includes pending UBMI locations Market MSA Population

Adding Markets with Greater Populations 2004 Bloomington, IN 162,131 Kalamazoo, MI 328,709 South Bend, IN 319,575 Indianapolis, IN 1,913,665 >50k <50k Counties with Populations Columbus, IN 77,943 Ft. Wayne, IN 421,029 Lafayette, IN 205,437 Louisville, KY 1,247,256 Ann Arbor, MI 348,690 2014

18 An Experienced Acquirer Partner Monroe Integra Bank Indiana community BofA - 24 Branches Tower Financial United Bancorp¹ Deal Type Traditional FDIC-Assisted Traditional Branch Deal Traditional Traditional Principal Geography Bloomington, IN Evansville, IN Columbus, IN / I-65 Corridor Southwest MI / Northern IN Fort Wayne, IN Ann Arbor, MI **Purchase Price** \$84 NM \$79 \$23 \$108 \$173 **Total Assets** \$838 \$1,900 \$985 \$756 \$681 \$919 % Stock Consideration 100% NM 100% 0% 71% 80% Announce Date 10/6/2010 7/29/2011

1/25/2012 1/9/2013 9/10/2013 1/8/2014 Close Date 1/1/2011 7/29/2011 9/15/2012 7/12/2013 4/25/2014 Early 3Q14 Cost Saves 30% 75% 35% N/A 35% 32% Credit Mark 5.5% to 6.5% N/A 12.0% N/A 10.2% 8.6% Interest Rate Mark 3.0% N/A 4.4% N/A 3.8% 3.5% EPS Accretion (First Full Year) \$.06 to \$.07 \$0.23 \$.06 to \$.08 \$.03 to \$.05 \$.08 to \$.10 \$0.06 **Deposit Premium** 5.5% 1.0% 1.6% 2.9% 9.7% 13.0% At deal announcement date \$ in millions 1 Anticipated closing early 3Q14

NM = Not Meaningful

19 MMDA & Sav. 30.7% Retail 33.3% Jumbo 5.6% Non-int.

bearing 14.1% NOW & Trans. 16.2% MMDA & Sav. 73.2% Retail 10.3% Jumbo 3.8% Non-int. bearing 7.6% NOW & Trans. 5.1% 1-4 Family 35.5% Multifamily 2.1% CRE 19.7% C&D 2.8% Farm & Ag. 4.5% C&I 17.2% Cons. & Other 18.2% Balance Sheet Mix as of March 31, 2014 Old National LSBI Pro Forma Old National LSBI Pro Forma Per SNL Financial/company documents as of March 31, 2014, including Tower and pending United transactions 1-4 Family 35.9% Multifamily 2.8% CRE 20.0% C&D 2.8% Farm & Ag. 4.4% C&I 16.7% Cons. & Other

17.4% 1-4 Family 44.2% Multifamily 18.8%CRE 28.3%C&D 1.8% Farm & Ag. 2.5% C&I 4.0% Cons. & Other 0.4% MMDA & Sav. 71.7% Retail 11.1% Jumbo 3.8% Non-int. bearing 7.8% NOW & Trans. 5.5%

20 Estimated Merger and Acquisition Charges HR-related expenses \$2.6 Processing and communication expense 3.8 Professional fees 1.0 Occupancy expense .4 Marketing .4 Total estimated merger and acquisition charges1 \$8.2 \$ in millions, pre-tax 1 Modeling assumes merger and acquisition charges to be booked in 4Q14

21 Non GAAP Reconciliation Deal Price Per Share to LSBI s TBV GAAP Shareholders Equity at March 31, 2014 \$41,283 Deduct: Goodwill and Intangibles 0 Tangible Common Shareholders Equity 41,283 Common Shares Issued and Outstanding at Period End 1566.9 Tangible Common Book Value at March 31, 2014 \$26.35 Deal Consideration Per Common Share \$41.67 Price to Tangible Book Value 158% \$ in millions Per SNL Financial based on LSBI company filings

22 ONB s Peer Group 1st Source Corporation SRCE Heartland Financial USA, Inc. HTLF BancFirst Corporation BANF **IBERIABANK** Corporation IBKC BancorpSouth, Inc. BXS MB Financial, Inc. **MBFI** Bank of Hawaii Corporation BOH Park National Corporation PRK **Chemical Financial Corporation** CHFC Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. **PNFP** Commerce Bancshares, Inc. CBSH Prosperity Bancshares, Inc. PB Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. CFR Renasant Corp. RNST F.N.B. Corporation **FNB** S&T Bancorp, Inc. **STBA** First Commonwealth Financial Corporation FCF Susquehanna Bancshares, Inc. **SUSQ** First Financial Bancorp. FFBC **Trustmark Corporation** TRMK First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. FIBK **UMB** Financial Corporation UMBF First Merchants Corporation FRME United Bankshares, Inc. UBSI First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. FMBI Valley National Bancorp VLY FirstMerit Corporation FMER WesBanco, Inc. WSBC

Fulton Financial FULT Wintrust Financial Corporation WTFC Glacier Bancorp, Inc. GBCI Like-size, publicly-traded financial services companies, generally in the Midwest, serving comparable demographics with comparable services as ONB

23

Old National Investor Relations Contact Additional information can be found on the Investor Relations web pages at www.oldnational.com Investor Inquiries: Lynell J. Walton, CPA SVP Director of Investor Relations 812-464-1366 lynell.walton@oldnational.com