

MORGAN STANLEY
Form FWP
March 07, 2019

Amendment no. 1 dated March 7, 2019 relating to

Free Writing Prospectus No. 1,709
Registration Statement Nos. 333-221595; 333-221595-01
Dated March 6, 2019
Filed Pursuant to Rule 433

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC Allocation Securities

Linked to a Basket of Indices Due March 28, 2024

Fully and Unconditionally Guaranteed by Morgan Stanley

Principal at Risk Securities

Investment Description

These Allocation Securities (the “Securities”) are unsubordinated and unsecured debt securities issued by Morgan Stanley Finance LLC (“MSFL”) and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Morgan Stanley. The return on the Securities is linked to a weighted basket of indices (the “Basket”) consisting of the S&P 500 Index, the MSCI EAFE® Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM, each of which we refer to as an “Underlying” and together as the “Underlyings.” On the Final Valuation Date, different weightings will be allocated to the Underlyings based on their respective performances, as follows: between 92% and 98% (to be set on the Trade Date) for the Underlying with the highest return (whether positive or negative), between 8% and 2% (to be set on the Trade Date) for the Underlying with the second-highest return (whether positive or negative), and 0% for the Underlying with the lowest return. At maturity, if the Allocated Basket Return is positive, MSFL will pay the Principal Amount plus a return equal to the Allocated Basket Return. However, if the Allocated Basket Return is negative, you will be fully exposed to the negative Allocated Basket Return and MSFL will repay less than the full Principal Amount, if anything, resulting in a loss of principal that is proportionate to the negative Allocated Basket Return. These long-dated Securities are designed for investors who seek an opportunity to earn a return based on the allocated performance of the Underlyings, as set forth herein, and who are willing to risk their principal and forgo current income in exchange for the exposure to the allocated performance of the Underlyings. **Investing in the Securities involves significant risks. MSFL will not pay any interest on the Securities, and you may lose some or all of your Principal Amount. Any payment on the Securities is subject to our creditworthiness. If we default on our payment obligations, you may not receive any amounts owed to you under the terms of the Securities, and you could lose your entire investment.**

Features Key Dates*

q **Performance Allocation Feature:** At maturity, different weightings will be allocated to the Underlyings based on their respective performances. MSFL will pay the Principal Amount plus any positive Allocated Basket Return or reduced by any negative Allocated Basket Return.

q **Full Downside Market Exposure to the Allocated Basket Return:** If the Allocated Basket Return is negative, MSFL will repay less than the full Principal Amount at maturity, if anything, resulting in a loss of principal to

investors that is proportionate to the negative Allocated Basket Return. Accordingly, you may lose some or all of your Principal Amount. Any payment on the Securities is subject to our creditworthiness.

Trade Date	March 27, 2019
Settlement Date	March 29, 2019
Final Valuation Date**	March 25, 2024
Maturity Date**	March 28, 2024

* Expected

** Subject to postponement in the event of a Market Disruption Event or for non-Index Business Days. See “Postponement of Final Valuation Date and Maturity Date” under “Additional Terms of the Securities.”

NOTICE TO INVESTORS: THE SECURITIES ARE SIGNIFICANTLY RISKIER THAN CONVENTIONAL DEBT INSTRUMENTS. THE SECURITIES DO NOT GUARANTEE THE REPAYMENT OF THE FULL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AT MATURITY, AND THE SECURITIES HAVE DOWNSIDE MARKET RISK SIMILAR TO THE UNDERLYINGS, WHICH CAN RESULT IN A LOSS OF SOME OR ALL OF YOUR INVESTMENT AT MATURITY. THIS MARKET RISK IS IN ADDITION TO THE CREDIT RISK INHERENT IN PURCHASING OUR DEBT OBLIGATIONS. YOU SHOULD NOT PURCHASE THE SECURITIES IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND OR ARE NOT COMFORTABLE WITH THE SIGNIFICANT RISKS INVOLVED IN INVESTING IN THE SECURITIES. THE SECURITIES WILL NOT BE LISTED ON ANY SECURITIES EXCHANGE.

YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE RISKS DESCRIBED UNDER “KEY RISKS” BEGINNING ON PAGE 5 OF THIS FREE WRITING PROSPECTUS BEFORE PURCHASING ANY SECURITIES. EVENTS RELATING TO ANY OF THOSE RISKS, OR OTHER RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET VALUE OF, AND THE RETURN ON, YOUR SECURITIES

Security Offering

We are offering Allocation Securities Linked to a Basket of Indices. The Securities are offered at a minimum investment of \$1,000 in denominations of \$10 and integral multiples thereof. The Initial Level of each Underlying will be determined on the Trade Date.

Underlying	Initial Level	Basket Weightings*	CUSIP	ISIN
S&P 500® Index (Bloomberg ticker: SPX)		92% to 98% for the Underlying with the highest	61768X473	US61768X4732
MSCI EAFE® Index (Bloomberg ticker: MXEA)		return, 8% to 2% for the Underlying with the second-highest return and 0% for the Underlying with the lowest return.		

MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM
 (Bloomberg ticker: MXEF)

** The actual percentages that will be applicable to the Underlyings that ultimately have the two highest returns will be set on the Trade Date.*

See “Additional Information about Morgan Stanley, MSFL and the Securities” on page 2. The Securities will have the terms set forth in the accompanying prospectus, prospectus supplement and index supplement and this free writing prospectus.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these Securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this free writing prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement, index supplement and prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense. The Securities are not deposits or savings accounts and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency or instrumentality, nor are they obligations of, or guaranteed by, a bank.

Estimated value on the Trade Date	Approximately \$9.819 per Security, or within \$0.30 of that estimate. See “Additional Information about Morgan Stanley, MSFL and the Securities” on page 2.		
	Price to Public	Underwriting Discount ⁽¹⁾	Proceeds to Us ⁽²⁾
Per Security	\$10.00	\$0	\$10.00
Total	\$	\$	\$

(1) UBS Financial Services Inc. will act as placement agent at an issue price of \$10 per Security. All sales of the Securities will be made to certain fee-based advisory accounts for which UBS Financial Services Inc. is an investment advisor and will not receive a sales commission. For more information, please see “Supplemental Plan of Distribution; Conflicts of Interest” on page 26 of this free writing prospectus.

(2) See “Use of Proceeds and Hedging” on page 25.

The agent for this offering, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, is our affiliate and a wholly owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. See “Supplemental Plan of Distribution; Conflicts of Interest” on page 26 of this free writing prospectus.

Morgan Stanley UBS Financial Services Inc.

Additional Information about Morgan Stanley, MSFL and the Securities

Morgan Stanley and MSFL have filed a registration statement (including a prospectus, as supplemented by a prospectus supplement and an index supplement) with the SEC for the offering to which this communication relates. Before you invest, you should read the prospectus in that registration statement, the prospectus supplement, the index supplement and any other documents relating to this offering that Morgan Stanley and MSFL have filed with the SEC for more complete information about Morgan Stanley, MSFL and this offering. You may get these documents for free by visiting EDGAR on the SEC website at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, Morgan Stanley, MSFL, any underwriter or any dealer participating in this offering will arrange to send you the prospectus, the prospectus supplement and the index supplement if you so request by calling toll-free 1-(800)-584-6837.

You may access the accompanying prospectus supplement, index supplement and prospectus on the SEC website at www.sec.gov as follows:

t Prospectus supplement dated November 16, 2017:
http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/895421/000095010317011241/dp82788_424b2-seriesa.htm

t Index supplement dated November 16, 2017:
http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/895421/000095010317011283/dp82797_424b2-indexsupp.htm

t Prospectus dated November 16, 2017:
http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/895421/000095010317011237/dp82798_424b2-base.htm

References to “MSFL” refer only to MSFL, references to “Morgan Stanley” refer only to Morgan Stanley and references to “we,” “our” and “us” refer to MSFL and Morgan Stanley collectively. In this document, the “Securities” refers to the Allocation Securities that are offered hereby. Also, references to the accompanying “prospectus”, “prospectus supplement” and “index supplement” mean the prospectus filed by MSFL and Morgan Stanley dated November 16, 2017, the prospectus supplement filed by MSFL and Morgan Stanley dated November 16, 2017 and the index supplement filed by MSFL and Morgan Stanley dated November 16, 2017, respectively.

You should rely only on the information incorporated by reference or provided in this free writing prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement, index supplement and prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. We are not making an offer of these securities in any state where the offer is not permitted. You should not assume that the information in this free writing prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement, index supplement and prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of this document.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

The Issue Price of each Security is \$10. This price includes costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the Securities, which are borne by you, and, consequently, the estimated value of the Securities on the Trade Date will be less than \$10. We estimate that the value of each Security on the Trade Date will be approximately \$9.819, or within \$0.30 of that estimate. Our estimate of the value of the Securities as determined on the Trade Date will be set forth in the final pricing supplement.

What goes into the estimated value on the Trade Date?

In valuing the Securities on the Trade Date, we take into account that the Securities comprise both a debt component and a performance-based component linked to the Underlying. The estimated value of the Securities is determined using our own pricing and valuation models, market inputs and assumptions relating to the Underlyings, instruments based on the Underlyings, volatility and other factors including current and expected interest rates, as well as an interest rate related to our secondary market credit spread, which is the implied interest rate at which our conventional fixed rate debt trades in the secondary market.

What determines the economic terms of the Securities?

In determining the economic terms of the Securities, including the Basket Weighting percentages, we use an internal funding rate, which is likely to be lower than our secondary market credit spreads and therefore advantageous to us. If the issuing, selling, structuring and hedging costs borne by you were lower or if the internal funding rate were higher, one or more of the economic terms of the Securities would be more favorable to you.

What is the relationship between the estimated value on the Trade Date and the secondary market price of the Securities?

The price at which MS & Co. purchases the Securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the Underlyings, may vary from, and be lower than, the estimated value on the Trade Date, because the secondary market price takes into account our secondary market credit spread as well as the bid-offer spread that MS & Co. would charge in a secondary market transaction of this type and other factors. However, because the costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the Securities are not fully deducted upon issuance, for a period of up to 6 months following the Settlement Date, to the extent that MS & Co. may buy or sell the Securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the Underlyings, and to our secondary market credit spreads, it would do so based on values higher than the estimated value. We expect that those higher values will also be reflected in your brokerage account statements.

MS & Co. currently intends, but is not obligated, to make a market in the Securities, and, if it once chooses to make a market, may cease doing so at any time.

Investor Suitability

The Securities may be suitable for you if:

t You fully understand the risks inherent in an investment in the Securities, including the risk of loss of your entire initial investment.

t You can tolerate a loss of some or all of your investment and are willing to make an investment that has the same downside market risk as the Underlyings included in the Basket.

t You understand the characteristics of the Underlyings.

t You believe that the Allocated Basket Return will be positive.

t You believe that one Underlying will outperform the other Underlyings, but are uncertain as to which Underlying will perform best. Therefore, you prefer an investment that allocates a higher weighting to the Underlying with the best performance

t You can tolerate fluctuations in the price of the Securities prior to maturity that may be similar to or exceed the downside fluctuations in the level of the Underlyings.

t You do not seek current income from your investment and are willing to forgo dividends paid on the Underlyings included in the Basket.

The Securities may not be suitable for you if:

t You do not fully understand the risks inherent in an investment in the Securities, including the risk of loss of some or all of your initial investment.

t You require an investment designed to provide a full return of principal at maturity.

t You cannot tolerate a loss of some or all of your investment and are unwilling to make an investment that has the same downside market risk as the Underlyings included in the Basket.

t You do not understand the characteristics of the Underlyings.

t You believe that the Allocated Basket Return will be negative or that the Allocated Basket return will not be sufficiently positive to provide you with your desired return.

t You believe that the level of all three Underlyings will decline over the term of the Securities or that none of the three Underlyings will appreciate sufficiently for a positive Allocated Basket Return.

t You cannot tolerate fluctuations in the price of the Securities prior to maturity that may be similar to or exceed the downside fluctuations in the level of the Underlyings.

- t You are willing to hold the Securities to maturity, as set forth on the cover of this free writing prospectus, and accept the risk that there may be little or no secondary market for the Securities.
- t You seek current income from this investment or prefer to receive the dividends paid on the Underlyings included in the Basket.
- t You are willing to assume our credit risk for all payments under the Securities, and understand that if we default on our obligations you may not receive any amounts due to you and could lose your entire investment.
- t You are unable or unwilling to hold the Securities to maturity, as set forth on the cover of this free writing prospectus, or you seek an investment for which there will be an active secondary market.
- t You are not willing to assume our credit risk for all payments under the Securities.

The investor suitability considerations identified above are not exhaustive. Whether or not the Securities are a suitable investment for you will depend on your individual circumstances, and you should reach an investment decision only after you and your investment, legal, tax, accounting and other advisors have carefully considered the suitability of an investment in the Securities in light of your particular circumstances. You should also review carefully the sections entitled “Key Risks” beginning on page 5 of this free writing prospectus and “Risk Factors” beginning on page 7 of the accompanying prospectus for risks related to an investment in the Securities. For additional information about the Underlyings, see the information set forth under “The S&P 500® Index,” “The MSCI EAFE Index” and “The MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM” beginning on page 15.

Terms

Issuer Morgan Stanley Finance LLC

Guarantor: Morgan Stanley

Issue Price (per Security) \$10.00

Principal Amount \$10.00 per Security (subject to a minimum investment of 100 Securities).

Term Approximately 5 years

Basket The Securities are linked to a weighted Basket consisting of the S&P 500[®] Index, the MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM, each of which we refer to as an “Underlying” and together as the “Underlyings.”

MSFL will pay you a cash Payment at Maturity linked to the performance of the Basket during the term of the Securities, as follows:

Payment at Maturity (per Security) \$10 + [\$10 × Allocated Basket Return];

If the Allocated Basket Return is negative, you will lose 1% of your Principal Amount for every 1% of the negative Allocated Basket Return, resulting in a loss of principal proportionate to the negative Allocated Basket Return. Under these circumstances, you will lose some, and possibly all, of your Principal Amount.

Trade Date March 27, 2019

Settlement Date March 29, 2019

Final Valuation Date March 25, 2024*

Maturity Date March 28, 2024*

For each Underlying, the return of such Underlying is calculated as:

Underlying Return

$\frac{\text{Final Level} - \text{Initial Level}}{\text{Initial Level}}$

On the Final Valuation Date, the Allocated Basket Return is calculated as:

Allocated Basket Return $(\text{Best Underlying Return} \times 92\% \text{ to } 98\%^*) + (\text{Second-Best Underlying Return} \times 8\% \text{ to } 2\%^*) + (\text{Worst Underlying Return} \times 0\%)$

*The actual applicable percentages will be determined on the Trade Date.

Best Underlying Return The Underlying Return of the Underlying with the highest Underlying Return (whether positive or negative).

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Second-Best Underlying Return The Underlying Return of the Underlying with the second-highest Underlying Return (whether positive or negative).

*Subject to postponement in the event of a Market Disruption Event or for non-Index Business Days. See “Postponement of Final Valuation Date and Maturity Date” under “Additional Terms of the Securities.”

Worst Underlying Return The Underlying Return of the Underlying with the lowest Underlying Return (whether positive or negative).

Initial Level With respect to each Underlying, the Closing Level of such Underlying on the Trade Date.

Final Level With respect to each Underlying, the Closing Level of such Underlying on the Final Valuation Date.

CUSIP/ISIN 61768X473 / US61768X4732

Trustee The Bank of New York Mellon

Calculation Agent Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
 (“MS & Co.”)

Investment Timeline

Trade Date The Initial Levels are determined. The actual applicable percentages to be used in calculating the Allocated Basket Return are set.

The Final Levels and Allocated Basket Return are determined as of the Final Valuation Date.

At maturity, MSFL will pay you:

Maturity Date \$10 + [\$10 × Allocated Basket Return]

If the Allocated Basket Return is negative, MSFL will pay you less than the Principal Amount per Security, if anything, resulting in a loss of principal that is proportionate to the negative Allocated Basket Return. In this scenario, you will lose some, and possibly all, of your Principal Amount.

Investing in the Securities involves significant risks. You may lose some or all of your PRINCIPAL AMOUNT. Any payment on the Securities is subject to our creditworthiness. If WE were to default on OUR payment obligations, you may not receive any amounts owed to you under the Securities and you could lose your entire investment.

Key Risks

An investment in the Securities involves significant risks. Some of the risks that apply to the Securities are summarized here, but we urge you to also read the “Risk Factors” section of the accompanying prospectus. You should also consult your investment, legal, tax, accounting and other advisers before you invest in the Securities.

Your investment in the Securities may result in a loss of your initial investment. The terms of the Securities differ from those of ordinary debt securities in that we will not pay you interest on the Securities or guarantee to pay you any of the Principal Amount of the Securities at maturity. Instead, we will pay you at maturity for each \$10 Principal Amount of Securities that you hold an amount in cash based upon the allocated performance of the Basket, as measured on the Final Valuation Date. If the Allocated Basket Return is positive, MSFL will repay the Principal Amount at maturity and pay a return equal to the Allocated Basket Return. However, if the Allocated Basket Return is negative, you will be exposed to the full negative Allocated Basket Return and MSFL will pay you an amount that is less than the full Principal Amount at maturity, if anything, resulting in a loss of principal that is proportionate to the negative Allocated Basket Return. **Accordingly, you could lose your entire initial investment.**

You may incur a loss on your investment if you sell your Securities prior to maturity. You should be willing to hold your Securities to maturity. If you are able to sell your Securities prior to maturity in the secondary market, you may have to sell them at a loss relative to your initial investment even if the Underlyings have not decreased from their respective Initial Levels at the time of sale.

Changes in the value of one of the Underlyings may offset changes in the value of the others.

Movements in the values of the Underlyings may not correlate with each other. At a time when the value of one Underlying increases in value, the value of the other Underlyings may not increase as much, or may even decline in value. Therefore, in calculating the Allocated Basket Return, increases in the value of one Underlying may be moderated, or wholly offset, by lesser increases or declines in the value of the other Underlyings. Although the best-performing Underlying will be the most heavily weighted Underlying and the worst-performing Underlying will be weighted at zero, the return of the best-performing Underlying may not be positive or may not be large enough to counterbalance the negative Underlying Return from the second-best-performing Underlying. In such a case, the allocation of the weightings of the Underlyings based on their respective performances will not prevent you from losing some or all of your investment. If the Allocated Basket Return is negative, you will receive at maturity an amount that is less than the amount of your original investment in the Securities, and which could be zero.

No interest payments. MSFL will not make any interest payments in respect of the Securities.

The Securities are subject to our credit risk, and any actual or anticipated changes to our credit ratings or credit spreads may adversely affect the market value of the Securities. You are dependent on our ability to pay all amounts due on the Securities at maturity and therefore you are subject to our credit risk. If we default on our obligations under the Securities, your investment would be at risk and you could lose some or all of your investment.

As a result, the market value of the Securities prior to maturity will be affected by changes in the market's view of our creditworthiness. Any actual or anticipated decline in our credit ratings or increase in the credit spreads charged by the market for taking our credit risk is likely to adversely affect the market value of the Securities.

As a finance subsidiary, MSFL has no independent operations and will have no independent assets. As a finance subsidiary, MSFL has no independent operations beyond the issuance and administration of its securities and will have no independent assets available for distributions to holders of MSFL securities if they make claims in respect of such securities in a bankruptcy, resolution or similar proceeding. Accordingly, any recoveries by such holders will be limited to those available under the related guarantee by Morgan Stanley and that guarantee will rank *pari passu* with all other unsecured, unsubordinated obligations of Morgan Stanley. Holders will have recourse only to a single claim against Morgan Stanley and its assets under the guarantee. Holders of securities issued by MSFL should accordingly assume that in any such proceedings they would not have any priority over and should be treated *pari passu* with the claims of other unsecured, unsubordinated creditors of Morgan Stanley, including holders of Morgan Stanley-issued securities.

The amount payable on the Securities is not linked to the levels of the Underlyings at any time other than the Final Valuation Date. The Allocated Basket Return will be based on the Final Levels of the Underlyings on the Final Valuation Date, subject to postponement for non-Index Business Days and certain Market Disruption Events. Even if some or all of the Underlyings appreciate prior to the Final Valuation Date but then drop by the Final Valuation Date, the Payment at Maturity may be significantly less than it would have been had the Payment at Maturity been linked to the levels of the Underlyings prior to such drop. Although the actual levels of the Underlyings on the stated Maturity Date or at other times during the term of the Securities may be higher than their Final Levels, the Payment at Maturity will be based solely on the Final Levels of the Underlyings on the Final Valuation Date as compared to their Initial Levels.

The market price of the Securities may be influenced by many unpredictable factors. Several factors, many of which are beyond our control, will influence the value of the Securities in the secondary market and the price at which MS & Co. may be willing to purchase or sell the Securities in the secondary market, including:

o the value of each of the Underlyings at any time,

o the volatility (frequency and magnitude of changes in price or value) of each of the Underlyings,

o dividend rates on the securities included in each of the Underlyings,

o interest and yield rates in the market,

o geopolitical conditions and economic, financial, political, regulatory or judicial events that affect the Underlyings or stock markets generally and which may affect the Final Levels,

o the time remaining until the Securities mature, and

- o any actual or anticipated changes in our credit ratings or credit spreads.

Some or all of these factors will influence the price that you will receive if you are able to sell your Securities prior to maturity, as the Securities are comprised of both a debt component and a performance-based component linked to the Underlyings, and these are the types of factors that also generally affect the values of debt securities and derivatives linked to the Underlyings. Generally, the longer the time remaining to maturity, the more the market price of the Securities will be affected by the other factors described above. For example, you may have to sell your Securities at a substantial discount from the Principal Amount of \$10 per Security if the values of the Underlyings at the time of sale are below, at or moderately above their Initial Levels or if market interest rates rise. You cannot predict the future performance of the Underlyings based on their historical performance. If the Allocated Basket Return is negative, you will receive at maturity an amount that is less than the \$10 Principal Amount of each Security (and which could be zero) by an amount proportionate to negative Allocated Basket Return. There can be no assurance that there will be any positive Allocated Basket Return such that you will receive at maturity an amount in excess of the Principal Amount of the Securities, or any amount at all.

Investing in the Securities is not equivalent to investing in the Underlyings. Investing in the Securities is not equivalent to investing in the Underlyings or investing in the component stocks of the Underlyings. Investors in the Securities will not have voting rights or rights to receive dividends or other distributions or any other rights with respect to stocks that constitute the Underlyings.

The rate we are willing to pay for securities of this type, maturity and issuance size is likely to be lower than the rate implied by our secondary market credit spreads and advantageous to us. Both the lower rate and the inclusion of costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the Securities in the Issue Price reduce the economic terms of the Securities, cause the estimated value of the Securities to be less than the Issue Price and will adversely affect secondary market prices. Assuming no change in market conditions or any other relevant factors, the prices, if any, at which dealers, including MS & Co., may be willing to purchase the Securities in secondary market transactions will likely be significantly lower than the Issue Price, because secondary market prices will exclude the issuing, selling, structuring and hedging-related costs that are included in the Issue Price and borne by you and because the secondary market prices will reflect our secondary market credit spreads and the bid-offer spread that any dealer would charge in a secondary market transaction of this type as well as other factors.

The inclusion of the costs of issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the Securities in the Issue Price and the lower rate we are willing to pay as issuer make the economic terms of the Securities less favorable to you than they otherwise would be.

However, because the costs associated with issuing, selling, structuring and hedging the Securities are not fully deducted upon issuance, for a period of up to 6 months following the Settlement Date, to the extent that MS & Co.

may buy or sell the Securities in the secondary market, absent changes in market conditions, including those related to the Underlyings, and to our secondary market credit spreads, it would do so based on values higher than the estimated value, and we expect that those higher values will also be reflected in your brokerage account statements.

The estimated value of the Securities is determined by reference to our pricing and valuation models, which may differ from those of other dealers and is not a maximum or minimum secondary market price. These pricing and valuation models are proprietary and rely in part on subjective views of certain market inputs and certain assumptions about future events, which may prove to be incorrect. As a result, because there is no market-standard way to value these types of securities, our models may yield a higher estimated value of the Securities than those generated by others, including other dealers in the market, if they attempted to value the Securities. In addition, the estimated value on the Trade Date does not represent a minimum or maximum price at which dealers, including MS & Co., would be willing to purchase your Securities in the secondary market (if any exists) at any time. The value of your Securities at any time after the date of this free writing prospectus will vary based on many factors that cannot be predicted with accuracy, including our creditworthiness and changes in market conditions. See also “The market price of the Securities may be influenced by many unpredictable factors” above.

There are risks associated with investments in securities linked to the value of foreign equity (and especially emerging markets) securities. The MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM, two of the Underlyings, are linked to the value of foreign equity securities. Investments in securities linked to the value of foreign equity securities involve risks associated with the securities markets in those countries, including risks of volatility in those markets, governmental intervention in those markets and cross-shareholdings in companies in certain countries. Also, there is generally less publicly available information about foreign companies than about U.S. companies that are subject to the reporting requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and foreign companies are subject to accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards and requirements different from those applicable to U.S. reporting companies. The prices of securities issued in foreign markets may be affected by political, economic, financial and social factors in those countries, or global regions, including changes in government, economic and fiscal policies and currency exchange laws. In addition, the stocks included in the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM have been issued by companies in various emerging markets countries, which pose further risks in addition to the risks associated with investing in foreign equity markets generally. Countries with emerging markets may have relatively unstable governments, may present the risks of nationalization of businesses, restrictions on foreign ownership and prohibitions on the repatriation of assets, and may have less protection of property rights than more developed countries. The economies of countries with emerging markets may be based on only a few industries, may be highly vulnerable to changes in local or global trade conditions, and may suffer from extreme and volatile debt burdens or inflation rates. Local securities markets may trade a small number of securities and may be unable to respond effectively to increases in trading volume, potentially making prompt liquidation of holdings difficult or impossible at times. Moreover, the economies in such countries may differ favorably or unfavorably from the economy in the United States in such respects as growth of gross national product, rate of inflation, capital reinvestment, resources, self-sufficiency and balance of payment positions.

**The levels of the MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM are subject to currency t
exchange rate risk.** Because the levels of the MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM are related to the U.S. dollar value of stocks underlying the

respective indices, holders of the Securities will be exposed to currency exchange rate risk with respect to the currencies in which the component securities of the MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM trade. Exchange rate movements for a particular currency are volatile and are the result of numerous factors specific to that country including the supply of, and the demand for, those currencies, as well as government policy, intervention or actions, but are also influenced significantly from time to time by political or economic developments, and by macroeconomic factors and speculative actions related to each region. Further, currencies of emerging economies are often subject to more frequent and larger central bank interventions than the currencies of developed countries and are also more likely to be affected by drastic changes in monetary or exchange rate policies of the relevant country. The net exposure will depend on the extent to which the currencies of the component countries strengthen or weaken against the U.S. dollar and the relative weight of each currency. If, taking into account such weighting, the dollar strengthens against the currencies of the component securities of the MSCI EAFE[®] Index or the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM, the level of the relevant Underlying will be adversely affected and the Payment at Maturity on the Securities may be reduced.

Of particular importance to potential currency exchange risk are:

o existing and expected rates of inflation;

o existing and expected interest rate levels;

o the balance of payments; and

o the extent of governmental surpluses or deficits in the countries represented in the relevant index and the United States.

All of these factors are, in turn, sensitive to the monetary, fiscal and trade policies pursued by the governments of the countries represented in the MSCI EAFE[®] Index and the MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM, the United States and other countries important to international trade and finance.

tThe Securities will not be listed on any securities exchange and secondary trading may be limited. The Securities will not be listed on any securities exchange. Therefore, there may be little or no secondary market for the Securities. MS & Co. currently intends, but is not obligated, to make a market in the Securities and, if it once chooses to make a market, may cease doing so at any time. When it does make a market, it will generally do so for transactions of routine secondary market size at prices based on its estimate of the current value of the Securities, taking into account its bid/offer spread, our credit spreads, market volatility, the notional size of the proposed sale, the cost of unwinding any related hedging positions, the time remaining to maturity and the likelihood that it will be able to resell the Securities. Even if there is a secondary market, it may not provide enough liquidity to allow you to

trade or sell the Securities easily. Since other broker-dealers may not participate significantly in the secondary market for the Securities, the prices at which you may be able to trade your Securities is likely to depend on the price, if any, at which MS & Co. is willing to transact. If, at any time, MS & Co. were to cease making a market in the Securities, it is likely that there would be no secondary market for the Securities. Accordingly, you should be willing to hold your Securities to maturity.

Potential conflict of interest. As Calculation Agent, MS & Co. will determine the Initial Levels, the Final Levels, the Basket Weightings, the Allocated Basket Return and whether any Market Disruption Event has occurred, and will calculate the amount payable at maturity, if any. Moreover, certain determinations made by MS & Co., in its capacity as Calculation Agent, may require it to exercise discretion and make subjective judgments, such as with respect to the occurrence or non-occurrence of Market Disruption Events and the selection of a Successor Index or calculation of a Final Level in the event of a discontinuance of the Underlying or a Market Disruption Event. These potentially subjective determinations may adversely affect the payout to you at maturity, if any. For further information regarding these types of determinations, see “Additional Terms of the Securities—Postponement of Final Valuation Date and Maturity Date,” “—Discontinuance of any Underlying; Alteration of Method of Calculation,” “—Calculation Agent and Calculations” below. In addition, MS & Co. has determined the estimated value of the Securities on the Trade Date.

Hedging and trading activity by our subsidiaries could potentially adversely affect the value of the Securities.

One or more of our affiliates and/or third-party dealers expect to carry out hedging activities related to the Securities, including trading in the stocks that constitute the Underlyings, in futures or options contracts on the Underlyings or the constituent stocks of the Underlyings, as well as in other instruments related to the Underlyings. As a result, these entities may be unwinding or adjusting hedge positions during the term of the Securities, and the hedging strategy may involve greater and more frequent dynamic adjustments to the hedge as the Final Valuation Date approaches. MS & Co. and some of our other affiliates also trade the stocks that constitute the Underlyings, in futures or options contracts on the constituent stocks of the Underlyings, as well as in other instruments related to the Underlyings, on a regular basis as part of their general broker-dealer and other businesses. Any of these hedging or trading activities on or prior to the Trade Date could potentially increase the Initial Levels of the Underlyings, and, therefore, could increase the levels at or above which the Underlyings must close on the Final Valuation Date so that investors do not suffer a loss on their initial investment in the Securities. Additionally, such hedging or trading activities during the term of the Securities, including on the Final Valuation Date, could adversely affect the Closing Levels of the Underlyings on the Final Valuation Date, and, accordingly, the amount of cash payable at maturity, if any.

Uncertain tax treatment. Please note that the discussions in this free writing prospectus concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the Securities supersede the discussions contained in the accompanying prospectus supplement.

Subject to the discussion under “What Are the Tax Consequences of the Securities” in this free writing prospectus, although there is uncertainty regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of an investment in the Securities due to the lack of governing authority, in the opinion of our counsel, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP (“our counsel”), under current law, and based on current market conditions, each Security should be treated as a single financial contract that is an “open transaction” for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, because our counsel’s opinion is based in part on market conditions as of the date of this free writing prospectus, it is subject to confirmation on the Trade Date.

If the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) were successful in asserting an alternative treatment for the Securities, the timing and character of income on the Securities might differ significantly from the tax treatment described herein. For example, under one possible treatment, the IRS could seek to recharacterize the Securities as debt instruments. In that event, U.S. Holders (as defined below) would be required to accrue into income original issue discount on the Securities every year at a “comparable yield” determined at the time of issuance and recognize all income and gain in respect of the Securities as ordinary income. We do not plan to request a ruling from the IRS regarding the tax treatment of the Securities, and the IRS or a court may not agree with the tax treatment described in this free writing prospectus.

In 2007, the U.S. Treasury Department and the IRS released a notice requesting comments on the U.S. federal income tax treatment of “prepaid forward contracts” and similar instruments. The notice focuses in particular on whether to require holders of these instruments to accrue income over the term of their investment. It also asks for comments on a number of related topics, including the character of income or loss with respect to these instruments; whether short-term instruments should be subject to any such accrual regime; the relevance of factors such as the exchange-traded status of the instruments and the nature of the underlying property to which the instruments are linked; the degree, if any, to which income (including any mandated accruals) realized by Non-U.S. Holders (as defined below) should be subject to withholding tax; and whether these instruments are or should be subject to the “constructive ownership” rule, which very generally can operate to recharacterize certain long-term capital gain as ordinary income and impose an interest charge. While the notice requests comments on appropriate transition rules and effective dates, any Treasury regulations or other guidance promulgated after consideration of these issues could materially and adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in the Securities, possibly with retroactive effect.

Both U.S. and Non-U.S. Holders should read carefully the discussion under “What Are the Tax Consequences of the Securities” in this free writing prospectus and consult their tax advisers regarding all aspects of the U.S. federal tax consequences of an investment in the Securities as well as any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.

Hypothetical Payments on the Securities

The table and examples below are hypothetical and provided for illustrative purposes only. They do not purport to be representative of every possible scenario concerning positive or negative Allocated Basket Returns. You cannot predict the Allocated Basket Return or the Final Level of any Underlying on the Final Valuation Date. You should not take these examples as an indication or assurance of the expected performance of the Basket. The examples illustrate the Payment at Maturity for a \$10.00 Security on a hypothetical offering of the Securities, based on the following terms:*

t	Principal amount (per Security): \$10.00
t	S&P 500® Index Initial Level: 2,500
t	MSCI EAFE® Index Initial Level: 1,700
t	MSCI Emerging Markets Index SM Initial Level: 1,000

Basket Weightings: 92% for the Underlying with the highest Underlying Return, 8% for the Underlying with the second-best Underlying Return and 0% for the Underlying with the lowest Underlying Return.

*The actual Initial Levels and Basket Weighting percentages will be determined on the Trade Date.

Allocated Basket Return	Payment at Maturity (Per Security)	Total Return on the Securities
100.00%	\$20.00	100.00%
90.00%	\$19.00	90.00%
80.00%	\$18.00	80.00%
00.00%	\$17.00	00.00%
N0.00%	\$16.00	N0.00%
M0.00%	\$15.00	M0.00%
L0.00%	\$14.00	L0.00%
K0.00%	\$13.00	K0.00%
J0.00%	\$12.00	J0.00%
I0.00%	\$11.00	I0.00%
M.00%	\$10.50	M.00%
H.00%	\$10.00	H.00%

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

-5.00%	\$9.50	-5.00%
-10.00%	\$9.00	-10.00%
-20.00%	\$8.00	-20.00%
-30.00%	\$7.00	-30.00%
-40.00%	\$6.00	-40.00%
-50.00%	\$5.00	-50.00%
-60.00%	\$4.00	-60.00%
-70.00%	\$3.00	-70.00%
-80.00%	\$2.00	-80.00%
-90.00%	\$1.00	-90.00%
-100.00%	\$0.00	-100.00%

Example 1

t S&P 500® Index Final Level:
3,750
(Underlying Return: 50%) (Basket
Weighting: 92%)

t MSCI EAFE® Index Final Level:
2,125
(Underlying Return: 25%) (Basket
Weighting: 8%)

t MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM
Final Level: 800
(Underlying Return: -20%) (Basket
Weighting: 0%)

t Payment at Maturity: \$14.80
(Return on \$10.00 investment:
48.00%)

Example 2

t S&P 500® Index Final Level: 750
(Underlying Return: -70%) (Basket
Weighting: 0%)

t MSCI EAFE® Index Final Level:
1,785
(Underlying Return: 5%) (Basket
Weighting: 92%)

t MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM
Final Level: 400
(Underlying Return: -60%) (Basket
Weighting: 8%)

t Payment at Maturity: \$9.98
(Return on \$10.00 investment:
-0.20%)

Example 3

t S&P 500® Index Final Level:
1,250
(Underlying Return: -50%) (Basket
Weighting: 92%)

t MSCI EAFE® Index Final Level:
680
(Underlying Return: -60%) (Basket
Weighting: 8%)

t MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM
Final Level: 200
(Underlying Return: -80%) (Basket
Weighting: 0%)

t Payment at Maturity: \$4.92
(Return on \$10.00 investment:
-50.80%)

In Example 1, the return of the best-performing Underlying (S&P 500[®] Index) is 50% and the return of the second-best-performing Underlying (MSCI EAFE[®] Index) is 25%.

$$\text{Allocated Basket Return} = [(50\% \times 92\%) + (25\% \times 8\%) + (-20\% \times 0\%)] = 48.00\%$$

As a result, we pay \$10.00 + (\$10.00 × 48.00%), or \$14.80, at maturity.

In Example 2, the return of the best-performing Underlying (MSCI EAFE[®] Index) is 5% and the return of the second-best-performing Underlying (MSCI Emerging Markets IndexSM) is -50%.

$$\text{Allocated Basket Return} = [(5\% \times 92\%) + (-60\% \times 8\%) + (-70\% \times 0\%)] = -0.20\%$$

As a result, we pay \$10.00 + (\$10.00 × -0.20%), or \$9.98, at maturity.

If the Best Underlying Return is not sufficiently positive to offset the decline in the Second-Best Underlying Return, you will lose some of your initial investment.

In Example 3, the return of the best-performing Underlying (S&P 500[®] Index) is -50% and the return of the second-best-performing Underlying (MSCI EAFE[®] Index) is -60%.

$$\text{Allocated Basket Return} = [(-50\% \times 92\%) + (-60\% \times 8\%) + (-80\% \times 0\%)] = -50.80\%$$

As a result, we pay \$10.00 + (\$10.00 × -50.80%), or \$4.92, at maturity.

If both the Best Underlying Return and the Second-Best Underlying Return are negative, you will lose some or all of your initial investment.

What Are the Tax Consequences of the Securities?

Prospective investors should note that the discussion under the section called “United States Federal Taxation” in the accompanying prospectus supplement does not apply to the Securities issued under this free writing prospectus and is superseded by the following discussion.

The following summary is a general discussion of the principal U.S. federal income tax consequences and certain estate tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of the Securities. This discussion applies only to investors in the Securities who:

t purchase the Securities in the original offering; and

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31,				
	2004(1)	2003(2)	2002(3)	2001	2000
Statements of Income Data:					
Net revenues	\$ 1,451,449	\$ 1,380,945	\$ 1,252,196	\$ 1,131,915	\$ 1,014,201
Net income	114,008	115,992	115,595	71,917	57,006
Basic net income per common share	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.17	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.09
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 2.27	\$ 2.15	\$ 2.08	\$ 1.29	\$ 1.06
Balance Sheet Data:					
Total assets	\$ 1,107,664	\$ 1,043,435	\$ 795,656	\$ 695,782	\$ 620,332
Long-term obligations, including					
current maturities	480,858	500,763	269,368	293,689	343,478
Stockholders' equity	406,185	365,948	351,309	242,798	146,242

- (1) Net income for 2004 reflects the write-off of deferred debt issuance costs of \$2.7 million associated with the November 2004 refinancing.
- (2) The balance sheet data at December 31, 2003 reflects the issuance of convertible senior notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million and the concurrent repurchase of common stock with \$100.0 million of the proceeds. Net income per share for 2003 reflects the effect of the share repurchase.
- (3) Net income for 2002 reflects the impact of the favorable outcome of an income tax dispute that was settled in the fourth quarter of 2002. The components of this impact include: income tax benefit of \$11.1 million, interest income of \$4.0 million and related professional fee expense of \$1.7 million. Effective January 1, 2002, Apria adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 and accordingly ceased to amortize goodwill.

Apria did not pay any cash dividends on its common stock during any of the periods set forth in the table above.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Apria operates in the home healthcare segment of the healthcare industry and provides services in the home respiratory therapy, home infusion therapy and home medical equipment areas. In all three lines, Apria provides patients with a variety of clinical and administrative support services and related products and supplies, most of which are prescribed by a physician as part of a care plan. Apria provides these

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

services to patients in the home throughout the United States through approximately 475 branch locations.

Strategy. Key elements of Apria's strategy are as follows:

Place principal focus on growth in its core businesses of home respiratory therapy, home infusion therapy and home medical equipment. Offering all three service lines gives Apria a competitive advantage with its managed care, hospital and physician customers, enabling it to maintain a diversified revenue base. Through specific growth initiatives that enhance the company's clinical offering, Apria continues its emphasis on growth in the home respiratory therapy line, which historically has produced higher gross margins than its home infusion therapy and home medical equipment service lines.

Supplement internal growth with strategic acquisitions. Apria operates in a highly fragmented market, which provides an opportunity to drive growth through acquisition of complementary businesses.

Develop and apply best practices and productivity improvement programs throughout the company with the aim of achieving greater standardization and enhanced productivity. Success with such programs results in reduced costs and increased margins and cash flows. Apria has developed and implemented standardized clinical and delivery models, billing and collection practices, purchasing processes and common operating procedures. Apria continues to focus resources on identifying opportunities for further productivity improvements.

Evaluate opportunities to expand offerings in home healthcare. Apria believes that with the aging of the U.S. population, the resulting increases in medical costs and utilization of healthcare services will lead to an expansion of the number of services provided in the home. Providing such services in the home should reduce patient costs as well as enhance patient convenience. Technological advances are also expected to contribute to additional expansion of the domestic home healthcare market. Apria will continue to evaluate new business opportunities. The focus is on identifying the unfulfilled healthcare needs of Apria's existing patient population and evaluating the potential business opportunity associated with each. Management expects that these opportunities, upon determining their viability and scalability, will result in the future expansion of services that Apria will offer in the home.

Critical Accounting Policies. Apria's management considers the accounting policies that govern revenue recognition and the determination of the net realizable value of accounts receivable to be the most critical in relation to the company's consolidated financial statements. These policies require the most complex and subjective judgments of management. Additionally, the accounting policies related to goodwill, long-lived assets and income taxes require significant judgment.

Revenue and Accounts Receivable. Revenues are recognized on the date services and related products are provided to patients and are recorded at amounts estimated to be received under reimbursement arrangements with third-party payors, including private insurers, prepaid health plans, Medicare and Medicaid. Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which Apria operates, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable values. Inherent in these estimates is the risk that they will have to be revised or updated as additional information becomes available. Specifically, the complexity of many third-party billing arrangements and the uncertainty of reimbursement amounts for certain services from certain payors may result in adjustments to amounts originally recorded. Such adjustments are typically identified and recorded at the point of cash application, claim denial or account review. Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts which provides for those accounts from which payment is not expected to be received, although services were provided and revenue was earned. Upon determination that an account is uncollectible, it is written-off and charged to the allowance.

Management performs various analyses to evaluate accounts receivable balances to ensure that recorded amounts reflect estimated net realizable value. Management applies specified percentages to the accounts receivable aging to estimate the amount that will ultimately be uncollectible and therefore should be reserved. The percentages are increased as the accounts age; accounts aged in excess of 360 days are reserved at 100%. Management establishes and monitors these percentages through analyses of historical realization data, accounts receivable aging trends, other operating trends, the extent of contracted business and business combinations. Also considered are relevant business conditions such as governmental and managed care payor claims processing procedures and system changes. If indicated by such analyses, management may periodically adjust the uncollectible estimate and corresponding percentages. Further, focused reviews of certain large and/or problematic payors are performed to determine if their respective reserve levels are appropriate.

Goodwill and Long-lived Assets. Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets of the acquired business. Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is tested annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment. Also, management tests for impairment of its intangible assets and long-lived assets on an ongoing basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Apria's goodwill impairment test is conducted at a reporting unit level and compares each reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. The company has determined that its geographic regions are reporting units under SFAS No. 142. The measurement of fair value for each region is based on an evaluation of future discounted cash flows and is further tested using a multiple of earnings approach. In projecting its reporting units' cash flows, management considers industry growth rates and trends, known and potential reimbursement reductions, cost structure changes and local circumstances specific to a region. Based on its

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

tests and reviews, no impairment of its goodwill, intangible assets or other long-lived assets existed at December 31, 2004. However, future events or changes in current circumstances could affect the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill and long-lived assets. Should an asset be deemed impaired, an impairment loss would be recognized, to the extent the carrying value of the asset exceeded its estimated fair market value.

Income Taxes. Apria provides for income taxes in accordance with provisions specified in SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Accordingly, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. These differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future, based on tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which temporary differences become deductible. In making an assessment regarding the probability of realizing a benefit from these deductible differences, management considers the company's current and past performance, the market environment in which the company operates, tax planning strategies and the length of carryforward periods. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to amounts that are more likely than not to be realized. Further, the company provides for income tax issues not yet resolved with federal, state and local tax authorities.

Segment Reporting. Apria's branch locations are organized into geographic regions. Each region consists of a number of branches and a regional office that provides key support services such as billing, purchasing, equipment maintenance, repair and warehousing. Management evaluates operating results on a geographic basis and, therefore, views each region as an operating segment. All regions provide the same products and services, including respiratory therapy, infusion therapy and home medical equipment and supplies. Additional support services are provided at a corporate level and management continues to evaluate opportunities to gain efficiencies and cost savings by consolidating regional functions. For financial reporting purposes, all the company's operating segments are aggregated into one reportable segment in accordance with the aggregation criteria of SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information.

Change in Accounting Principle. Effective January 1, 2002, Apria adopted SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. This statement superseded SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of, and amended other guidance related to the accounting and reporting of long-lived assets. SFAS No. 144 requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. Discontinued operations are to be measured similarly to other long-lived assets classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Future operating losses will no longer be recognized before they occur. SFAS No. 144 also broadened the presentation of discontinued operations to include a component of an entity when operations and cash flows can be clearly distinguished, and established criteria to determine when a long-lived asset is held for sale. Adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on Apria's consolidated financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In December 2002, SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, was issued. This statement amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition and guidance for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The company has complied with the expanded financial statement disclosure requirements in its consolidated financial statements. See SFAS No. 123R.

In October 2002, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 02-17, which addresses issues raised in the interpretation of SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets and the identification and valuation of intangible assets. EITF 02-17 provides guidance on determining when, as a result of a business combination, a customer-related intangible asset exists that should be separately valued from goodwill. EITF 02-17 is effective for business combinations consummated and goodwill impairment tests performed after October 25, 2002. Adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, an interpretation of SFAS Nos. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FIN No. 34, Disclosure of Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. FIN No. 45 elaborates on the disclosure requirements for the interim and annual financial statements of the guarantor. It also requires that a guarantor recognize a liability at the inception of the guarantee for the fair value of the obligation undertaken. Apria was required to adopt the recognition provisions of FIN No. 45 beginning January 1, 2003, while the disclosure provisions became effective at December 31, 2002. Adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

FIN No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, was originally issued in January 2003 and subsequently revised in December 2003. FIN No. 46, as revised, requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. FIN No. 46 also requires certain disclosures about variable interest entities in which a company has a

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

significant interest, regardless of whether consolidation is required. Application of FIN No. 46 is required for potential variable interest entities commonly referred to as special purpose entities for periods ending after December 15, 2003. Application of the provisions is required for all other variable interest entities by the end of the first reporting period that ends after March 15, 2004. The company currently is not a beneficiary of any variable interest entities, therefore the adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. The statement is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. Adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs*, which amends and clarifies previous guidance on the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and spoilage. Abnormal amounts of these costs should be recognized as current period charges rather than as a portion of inventory cost. Additionally, SFAS No. 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities, which refers to a range of production levels within which ordinary variations are expected. The statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Apria does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 151 to have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. This statement replaces SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS No. 123R requires a company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award. The cost will be recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). Adoption of SFAS No. 123R is required as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. Accordingly, Apria will adopt the statement at the beginning of its third quarter in fiscal 2005, on July 1, 2005. Management is currently evaluating the statement and its transition provisions. The impact of adoption on the results of operations cannot be estimated at this time as it is dependent on the level of future share-based awards. However, had SFAS No. 123R been adopted in prior periods, the effect would have approximated the SFAS No. 123 proforma disclosures presented in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*, an amendment of APB No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. This statement eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. If the future cash flows of the entity are not expected to change significantly as a result of the transaction, then the exchange shall be measured based on the recorded amount of the nonmonetary assets relinquished, rather than on the fair values of the exchanged assets. The statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges beginning after June 15, 2005. Apria does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 153 to have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

Net Revenues. Net revenues were \$1,451 million in 2004, up from \$1,381 million in 2003 and \$1,252 million in 2002. Growth rates were 5.1% and 10.3% in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The revenue growth, net of reductions explained below, resulted from volume increases and the acquisition of complementary businesses. Apria's acquisition strategy generally results in the rapid integration of acquired businesses into existing operating locations. This rapid integration limits Apria's ability to separately track the amount of revenue generated by an acquired business. Estimating the net revenue contribution from acquisitions therefore requires certain assumptions. Based on these assumptions and its analysis, Apria estimates that approximately \$82.3 million of the net revenue growth in 2004 was derived from acquisitions.

Revenue growth in 2004 was negatively affected by the Medicare reimbursement reduction for respiratory medications that went into effect January 1, 2004. Also, revenues declined as Apria transitioned out of the Gentiva CareCentrix Inc. contract which management chose not to renew for 2004 due to contract pricing differences. The effect in 2004 of the Medicare reduction was \$15.2 million and the reduction to revenues resulting from the Gentiva transition was \$47.4 million. Further, Apria is experiencing increased pricing pressure from its managed care customers as these organizations seek to lower costs by obtaining more favorable pricing from providers such as Apria. Managed care organizations are also evaluating alternative delivery models for certain products and services, which include those provided by Apria. This potential change may cause Apria to provide reduced levels of certain products and services in the future, resulting in a corresponding reduction in revenue.

The following table sets forth a summary of net revenues by service line:

Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Home respiratory therapy	\$ 990,857	\$ 930,406	\$ 830,972
Home infusion therapy	246,662	241,860	229,190
Home medical equipment/other	213,930	208,679	192,034
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total net revenues	\$1,451,449	\$1,380,945	\$1,252,196
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

Respiratory Therapy. Respiratory therapy revenues are derived primarily from the provision of oxygen systems, home ventilators, sleep apnea equipment, nebulizers, respiratory medications and related services. Revenues from the respiratory therapy service line increased in 2004 by 6.5% when compared to 2003 and increased by 12.0% in 2003 when compared to 2002. This growth was primarily driven by volume increases and acquisitions of respiratory therapy businesses. The Medicare reimbursement reduction for respiratory medications caused a decline of 1.6% in the revenue growth rates for 2004. The growth in this service line was also reduced by the non-renewal of the Gentiva contract; the related decline represented 4.2% of prior year respiratory revenues.

Infusion Therapy. The infusion therapy service line involves the administration of a drug or nutrient directly into the body intravenously through a needle or catheter. Infusion therapy services also include administering enteral nutrients directly into the gastrointestinal tract through a feeding tube. Infusion therapy revenues increased 2.0% in 2004 versus 2003 and 5.5% in 2003 versus 2002. Growth in enteral nutrition, one of the strongest contributors in the infusion line was impacted by the Gentiva contract exit. The decline in Gentiva infusion revenues in 2004, virtually all of which had been derived from enteral nutrition, represented 1.1% of prior year infusion revenues.

Home Medical Equipment/Other. Home medical equipment/other revenues are derived from the provision of equipment to assist patients with ambulation, safety and general care in and around the home. Home medical equipment/other revenues increased by 2.5% in 2004 from 2003 and by 8.7% in 2003 from 2002. Rehabilitation revenue growth in 2004 has been considerably lower than in 2003, largely as a result of action taken by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, that required previously qualified orders for power mobility devices to be re-screened against stricter qualification standards. This process resulted in the delay and cancellation of many orders in the first half of 2004, particularly in the first quarter. In the past, the rehabilitation product business was a strong contributor to the growth in the home medical equipment/other line. Further, the decline in Gentiva revenues in home medical equipment/other represented 3.0% of prior year home medical equipment/other revenues. Diabetic supplies, historically a very small percentage of the home medical equipment line, grew significantly in 2004. This growth is directly attributable to an acquisition early in the year of a company that entered the diabetic supply market just prior to being acquired.

Medicare and Medicaid Reimbursement. In 2004, approximately 38% of Apria's revenues were reimbursed under arrangements with Medicare and Medicaid. No other third-party payor represents 8% or more of the company's revenues. The majority of the company's revenues are derived from fees charged for patient care under fee-for-service arrangements. Revenues derived from capitation arrangements represent less than 10% of total net revenues for all periods presented.

Medicare Reimbursement. In December 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, which is herein referred to as the Medicare Modernization Act, became law. The Medicare Modernization Act includes a number of provisions that affect Medicare Part B reimbursement policies for items and services provided by Apria, the most significant of which are:

Reimbursement reductions for five durable medical equipment categories, including oxygen Reimbursement for most of these categories is based on the median price paid for such items on behalf of beneficiaries of federal employee health benefit plans, or FEHBP. The new fee schedules went into effect January 1, 2005. The reimbursement reduction for oxygen, however, is delayed until the Office of the Inspector General provides the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, with the additional data required to establish pricing. Providers are currently being reimbursed for oxygen based on 2004 fee schedules, with an indication from CMS that no retroactive adjustment will be made once the new pricing is in effect. Further, a freeze on annual payment increases for durable medical equipment has been instituted from 2004 through 2008.

Reimbursement reduction for inhalation drugs The previous reimbursement rate of 95% of the average wholesale price was reduced to 80% of the average wholesale price, effective January 1, 2004. Beginning in January 2005, reimbursement for these drugs was further reduced through a shift to the manufacturer-reported average sales price, as defined by the Medicare Modernization Act, plus 6%, plus a separate dispensing fee per patient episode. The dispensing fees for 2005 have been established at \$57.00 for a 30-day supply of medications and \$80.00 for a 90-day supply.

Establishment of a competitive bidding program Such a program would require that suppliers wishing to provide certain items to beneficiaries submit bids to Medicare. The program, for as yet unspecified durable medical equipment items and services, is to be

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

transitioned into (i) 10 of the largest metropolitan statistical areas in 2007; (ii) 80 of the largest metropolitan statistical areas in 2009; and (iii) additional areas after 2009. The legislation contains special provisions for rural areas.

Reimbursement for home infusion therapy under Medicare Part D -- Currently, a limited number of infusion therapies, supplies and equipment is covered by Medicare Part B. The Medicare Modernization Act provides expanded coverage for home infusion drugs. The industry is currently working with CMS to further define the coverage and payment policies that will govern the administration of this benefit, which takes effect in 2006.

Incentives for expansion of Medicare Part C -- The Medicare Modernization Act includes financial incentives for managed care plans to expand their provision of Medicare Advantage plans in 2006 in a stated effort to attract more Medicare beneficiaries to managed care models. The company maintains contracts to provide respiratory, infusion and medical equipment and related services to a significant number of managed care plans nationwide, and believes that the Medicare Advantage expansion represents a growth opportunity starting in mid-2006.

Apria's management estimates that the revision to inhalation drug reimbursement in 2004 resulted in a revenue reduction from 2003 levels of approximately \$15 million. Once the 2005 oxygen fee schedules are released, management will provide an estimate of the aggregate impact of all reimbursement reductions that will be in effect for 2005. The impact of the competitive bidding program scheduled to commence in 2007 cannot be estimated at this time.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 contained several provisions that lowered Apria's Medicare reimbursement levels. Subsequent legislation -- the Medicare Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 and the Medicare, Medicaid and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 -- mitigated some of the effects of the original legislation. The Medicare Modernization Act also addressed some of the issues pending from the earlier legislation. However, still pending from the 1997 Legislation is the streamlined authority granted to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, to increase or reduce the reimbursement for home medical equipment, including oxygen, by up to 15% each year under an inherent reasonableness authority. In December 2002, CMS issued an interim final rule that establishes a process by which such adjustments may be made. The rule applies to all Medicare Part B services except those paid under a physician fee schedule, a prospective payment system, or a competitive bidding program. As of this date, neither CMS nor the durable medical equipment regional carriers have used the expedited authority.

Medicaid Reimbursement. Since 2001, some states have adopted alternative pricing methodologies for certain drugs and biologicals under the Medicaid program. In at least 22 states, these changes have reduced the level of reimbursement received by Apria without a corresponding offset or increase to compensate for the service costs incurred. In several of those states, Apria has elected to stop accepting new Medicaid patient referrals for the affected drugs. Apria is continuing to provide services to patients already on service, and for those who receive other Medicaid-covered respiratory, home medical equipment or infusion therapies, if the reimbursement levels for those services remain adequate. Further, some states are considering other reductions in Medicaid reimbursement as they work through their respective state's budget process. Apria management cannot predict the outcome of such budget negotiations and whether other states will consider reductions as well.

Gross Profit. Gross margins were 71.9% in 2004, 72.7% in 2003 and 72.8% in 2002. The margin declined by 0.3% due to the Medicare reimbursement reductions for respiratory medications and was further impacted by the non-renewal of the Gentiva contract. Also, as noted above, Apria's revenue line has been adversely affected by pricing pressures from its managed care partners. However, Apria has been successful in negotiating favorable product pricing with the company's suppliers, thereby minimizing the impact of the revenue pricing pressures on the gross margin.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts. The provision for doubtful accounts is based on management's estimate of the net realizable value of accounts receivable after considering actual write-offs of specific receivables. Accounts receivable estimated to be uncollectible are provided for by applying specific percentages to each receivables aging category, which is determined by the number of days the receivable is outstanding. For 2004, 2003 and 2002, the provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of net revenues was 3.3%, 3.7% and 3.6%, respectively. The improvement in 2004 when compared to 2003 is partially due to strong cash collections in late 2004 and a focused effort to increase cash applications. Also impacting the provision was a lower allowance requirement indicated by the company's analysis of subsequent realization data.

Selling, Distribution and Administrative. Selling, distribution and administrative expenses are comprised of expenses incurred in direct support of operations and those associated with administrative functions. Expenses incurred by the operating locations include salaries and other expenses in the following functional areas: selling, distribution, clinical, intake, reimbursement, warehousing and repair. Many of these operating costs are directly variable with revenue growth patterns. Some are also very sensitive to market-driven price fluctuations such as facility lease and fuel costs. The administrative expenses include overhead costs incurred by the operating locations and corporate support functions. These expenses do not vary as closely with revenue growth as do the operating costs. Selling, distribution and administrative expenses, expressed as percentages of net revenues, were 54.3% in 2004, 54.2% in 2003 and 54.7% in 2002. The effect of the Medicare reimbursement reduction on this percentage in 2004 was an increase of 0.6%. Expenses in 2004 reflect savings resulting from management's focus on productivity and controls placed on labor expenses beginning late in the third quarter of 2003. Management is currently implementing additional productivity initiatives aimed at further reducing costs to mitigate the effects of the 2005 Medicare reimbursement reductions. The

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

decrease in 2003 when compared to 2002 is largely due to one-time costs charged in 2002 that included executive termination costs and professional fees associated with the favorable outcome and settlement of an income tax dispute. See **Income Tax Expense**.

Amortization of Goodwill and Intangible Assets. Amortization of intangible assets was \$6.7 million in 2004, \$3.7 million in 2003 and \$2.7 million in 2002. The increase in amortization of intangible assets in 2004 is largely due to increased acquisition activity and the valuation of certain customer relationships acquired in the business combinations. The increase in 2003, when compared to 2002, is also due to a higher level of acquisition activity.

Interest Expense and Income and Write-off of Deferred Debt Issuance Costs. Interest expense was \$20.7 million in 2004, \$15.8 million in 2003 and \$15.0 million in 2002. Interest income was \$678,000, \$786,000 and \$4.2 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Interest expense was higher in 2004 due to the impact of a full year of interest on the \$250 million convertible senior notes versus five months recorded in 2003. The interest increases were partially offset by interest reductions resulting from a decrease in borrowings under the credit agreement due to scheduled principal amortization payments and by the expiration in March 2003 of two interest rate swap agreements that had fixed interest at higher rates on a portion of Apria's debt. Interest expense increased in 2003 from 2002 due to the issuance of the convertible senior notes in August 2003. The additional interest was offset by lower interest on the bank loans due to a reduction in the principal balance and the full year's effect of the lower applicable interest margin on the \$175 million term loan resulting from a June 2002 amendment to the credit agreement.

Interest income in 2002 reflects a \$4.0 million interest refund received in conjunction with the favorable outcome of an income tax dispute. Further, in 2004, the company wrote-off \$2.7 million in unamortized debt issuance costs in conjunction with the November 2004 refinancing of the company's bank loans. See **Long-term Debt**.

Income Tax Expense. Income taxes were \$64.3 million, \$70.6 million and \$52.4 million for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and were provided at the effective tax rates expected to be applicable for each year. The income tax provision for 2002 was reduced by a benefit of \$11.1 million that resulted from the favorable outcome of an income tax dispute which was settled in the fourth quarter of 2002.

As a result of settling the tax dispute, Apria utilized approximately \$34.2 million of its previously limited \$57.0 million net operating loss carryforward during 2002. Such net operating loss carryforward was generated prior to 1992 and utilization had been limited to \$5.0 million per year in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 382. Prior to 2002, the \$57.0 million net operating loss carryforward was not recognized for financial statement reporting purposes as management believed it was unlikely that they would be used before expiration. The remaining net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$22.8 million was excluded from the related deferred tax assets and has expired unused.

Apria utilized \$5.0 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards in 2004. The remaining federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$12.1 million expired unused on December 31, 2004. The company utilized its remaining alternative minimum tax credit carryforward of \$6.1 million in 2003. Additionally, the company has various apportioned state net operating loss carryforwards of \$12.3 million, net of federal tax benefit, as of December 31, 2004.

The company believes it has adequately provided for income tax issues not yet resolved with federal, state and local tax authorities. At December 31, 2004, \$19.2 million, net of tax benefit, was accrued for such federal, state and local tax matters. Although not probable, the most adverse resolution of these federal, state and local issues could result in additional charges to earnings in future periods in addition to the \$19.2 million currently provided. Based upon a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances, the company does not believe the ultimate resolution of tax issues for all open tax periods will have a materially adverse effect upon its results of operations or financial condition.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Apria's principal source of liquidity is its operating cash flow, which is supplemented by a \$500 million revolving credit facility. Apria's ability to generate operating cash flows in excess of its operating needs has afforded it the ability, among other things, to pursue its acquisition strategy and fund patient service equipment purchases to support revenue growth, while continuing to reduce long-term debt. Apria's management believes that its operating cash flow and revolving credit line will continue to be sufficient to fund its operations and growth strategies. However, sustaining the current cash flow levels is dependent on many factors, some of which are not within Apria's control, such as government reimbursement levels and the financial health of its payors.

Cash Flow. Cash provided by operating activities in 2004 was \$276.0 million compared to \$263.9 million in 2003 and \$262.0 million in 2002. The increase in 2004, when compared to 2003, is largely due to an increase in net income before items not requiring cash and the timing of disbursements processed against accounts payable and other accruals. These items were partially offset by a larger increase in accounts receivable in 2004 than in 2003, which resulted from acquisition activity. Operating cash flow was relatively flat between 2003 and 2002 despite the fact that 2003 reflects income tax payments totaling \$50.4 million as compared to income tax refunds of \$3.2 million in 2002.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Cash used in investing activities was \$285.8 million, \$242.6 million and \$195.4 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The increase in 2004 is primarily due to increased acquisition activity. Cash used in investing activities increased in 2003 when compared to 2002 due to higher levels of acquisition activity and patient service equipment expenditures.

In 2004, cash used by financing activities was \$111.4 million compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$112.9 million in 2003, and cash used in financing activities of \$49.7 million in 2002. Cash used in 2004 primarily relates to the \$100 million repurchase of the company's common stock and the scheduled principal payments made against the term loans prior to the November 2004 refinancing. Cash provided in 2003 reflects the net proceeds received upon issuance of \$250 million of 3.375% convertible senior notes. Also, the company repurchased a total of \$118.5 million of its common stock in 2003, \$100 million of which was purchased with the convertible note proceeds.

Contractual Cash Obligations. The following table summarizes Apria's long-term cash payment obligations to which the company is contractually bound:

<i>(in millions)</i>	For the Year Ending December 31,						
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010+	Total
Revolving loan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 225	\$ -	\$ 225
Convertible senior notes	-	-	-	-	-	250	250
Capital lease obligations	3	1	-	-	-	-	4
Other long-term debt	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
Operating leases	64	53	36	21	10	14	198
Deferred acquisition payments	9	-	-	-	-	-	9
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 78	\$ 54	\$ 36	\$ 21	\$ 235	\$ 264	\$ 688

Accounts Receivable. Accounts receivable before allowance for doubtful accounts increased by \$29.5 million during 2004, which is primarily attributable to the revenue increase, particularly from acquisitions. Days sales outstanding (calculated as of each period-end by dividing accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts, by the 90-day rolling average of net revenues) were 52 days at December 31, 2004 compared to 50 at December 31, 2003. The increase in days sales outstanding from the end of 2003 to the end of 2004 is primarily due to the acquisition activity in 2004. The time-consuming processes of converting patient files onto Apria's systems and obtaining provider numbers from governmental payors routinely delay billing of the newly acquired business. Consequently, the related cash receipts are delayed, causing a temporary increase in accounts receivable and days sales outstanding.

Accounts aged in excess of 180 days were 21.3% of total receivables at December 31, 2004 and 18.6% at the end of 2003. At December 31, 2004, accounts aged in excess of 180 days for certain summary payor categories were as follows: Medicare 19%; Medicaid 25%; self-pay 33% and managed care/other 21%.

Unbilled Receivables. Included in accounts receivable are earned but unbilled receivables of \$36.3 million and \$33.9 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Delays, ranging from a day up to several weeks, between the date of service and billing can occur due to delays in obtaining certain required payor-specific documentation from internal and external sources. Earned but unbilled receivables are aged from date of service and are considered in Apria's analysis of historical performance and collectibility. The higher unbilled amount at December 31, 2004 is largely due to acquisitions effected during 2004, for the reasons noted above.

Inventories and Patient Service Equipment. Inventories consist primarily of pharmaceuticals and disposable products used in conjunction with patient service equipment. Patient service equipment consists of respiratory and home medical equipment that is provided to in-home patients for the course of their care plan, normally on a rental basis, and subsequently returned to Apria for redistribution after cleaning and maintenance is performed.

The branch locations serve as the primary point from which inventories and patient service equipment are delivered to the patient. The branches are supplied with inventory and equipment from the regional warehouses, which coordinate purchasing with the corporate office. The regions are also responsible for repairs and scheduled maintenance of patient service equipment, which adds to the frequent movement of equipment between the region and branch locations. Further, the majority of Apria's patient service equipment is located in patients' homes. While the utilization varies widely between equipment types, on the average, approximately 80% of the equipment is on rent at any given time. Inherent in this asset flow is the fact that losses will occur. Management has successfully instituted a number of controls over the company's inventories and patient service equipment to minimize such losses. Depending on the product type, the company performs physical inventories on an annual or quarterly basis. Inventory and patient service equipment balances in the financial records are adjusted to reflect the results of these physical inventories. Inventory and patient service equipment losses for 2004, 2003 and 2002, were \$2.0 million, \$2.4 million, and \$1.2

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

million, respectively. There can be no assurance that Apria will be able to maintain its current level of control over inventories and patient service equipment. Continued revenue growth is directly dependent on Apria's ability to fund its inventory and patient service equipment requirements.

Long-term Debt. Apria's credit agreement with Bank of America and a syndicate of lenders was amended and restated effective November 23, 2004. The amendment increased the limit of the company's senior secured credit agreement to \$500 million from \$400 million. Prior to the amendment, the credit facilities consisted of a \$100 million revolving credit facility, a \$125 million five-year term loan and a \$175 million seven-year term loan. These loans were eliminated in favor of a \$500 million revolving credit facility, from which proceeds were used to pay off the remaining balances on the previous loans. The maturity date of the new revolver is November 23, 2009.

The senior secured credit agreement permits Apria to select one of two variable interest rates. One option is the base rate, which is expressed as the higher of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (b) the Bank of America prime rate. The other option is the Eurodollar rate, which is based on the London Interbank Offered Rate. Interest on outstanding balances under the senior secured credit agreement is determined by adding a margin to the Eurodollar rate or base rate in effect at each interest calculation date. The applicable margin for the revolving credit facility is based on Apria's debt rating as determined by S&P or Moody's with respect to the credit facility. The applicable margin ranges from 0.75% to 1.50% for Eurodollar loans and from zero to 0.50% for base rate loans. The effective interest rate at December 31, 2004, after consideration of the effect of the swap agreements described below, was 3.51%. The senior credit agreement also requires payment of commitment fees ranging from 0.15% to 0.375% (also based on Apria's debt rating) on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility. See *Hedging Activities*.

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facility are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of Apria. At December 31, 2004, the company was in compliance with all of the financial covenants required by the credit agreement.

On December 31, 2004 outstanding borrowings on the revolving credit facility were \$224.8 million. Outstanding letters of credit totaled \$3.8 million and credit available under the revolving facility was \$271.4 million.

Convertible Senior Notes. In August 2003, Apria issued convertible senior notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250 million under an indenture between Apria and U.S. Bank National Association. The notes were issued in a private placement at an issue price of \$1,000 per note (100% of the principal amount at maturity) and were subsequently registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The notes will mature on September 1, 2033, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased by Apria. Apria may redeem some or all of the notes at any time after September 8, 2010 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest and contingent interest, if any, to the redemption date. The holders of the notes may require Apria to repurchase some or all of the notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest, up to but excluding the applicable repurchase date, initially on September 1, 2008, and subsequently on September 1 of 2010, 2013, 2018, 2023 and 2028, or at any time prior to their maturity following a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture. Any notes that Apria is required to repurchase will be paid for in cash, pursuant to the terms of a December 2004 amendment to the indenture which eliminated the company's option to pay part of the repurchase price in shares of common stock.

The notes bear interest at the rate of 3.375% per year. Interest on the notes is payable on September 1 and March 1 of each year, beginning on March 1, 2004. Also, during certain periods commencing on September 8, 2010, Apria will pay contingent interest on the interest payment date for the applicable interest period if the average trading price of the notes during the five trading days ending on the third day immediately preceding the first day of the applicable interest period equals or exceeds 120% of the principal amount of the notes. The contingent interest payable per note will equal 0.25% per year of the average trading price of such note during the applicable five trading-day reference period. Further, the notes are convertible during certain periods into shares of Apria common stock, initially at a conversion rate of 28.6852 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, subject to adjustment in certain events, under certain circumstances as outlined in the indenture.

Hedging Activities. Apria is exposed to interest rate fluctuations on its underlying variable rate long-term debt. Apria's policy for managing interest rate risk is to evaluate and monitor all available relevant information, including but not limited to, the structure of its interest-bearing assets and liabilities, historical interest rate trends and interest rate forecasts published by major financial institutions. The tools Apria may utilize to moderate its exposure to fluctuations in the relevant interest rate indices include, but are not limited to: (1) strategic determination of repricing periods and related principal amounts, and (2) derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements, caps or collars. Apria does not use derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

At December 31, 2004, Apria had two interest rate swap agreements in effect to fix its LIBOR-based variable rate debt. The terms of such agreements are as follows: a three-year agreement with a notional amount of \$25 million and a fixed rate of 3.04%, expiring December 2005; and a four-year agreement with a notional amount of \$25 million and a fixed rate of 3.42%, expiring December 2006. Apria also had two swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$50 million and a fixed rate of 2.43% that expired December 2004.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

The swap agreements are being accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, the difference between the interest received and interest paid is reflected as an adjustment to interest expense. For 2004, Apria paid a net settlement amount of \$1.4 million. Unrealized gains and losses on the fair value of the swap agreements are reflected, net of taxes, in other comprehensive loss. At December 31, 2004, the aggregate fair value of the swap agreements was a liability of \$42,000. While no assurances can be made, Apria does not anticipate losses due to counterparty nonperformance as its counterparties to the various swap agreements are nationally recognized financial institutions with strong credit ratings.

Treasury Stock. On January 16, 2004, Apria prepaid \$50 million to repurchase 1.7 million shares of its common stock at a strike price of \$28.89 through an accelerated share repurchase program. The related contract, which had a scheduled settlement date of June 16, 2004, provided for cash or net share settlement at Apria's election. The repurchase of the shares required by the contract was completed on April 28, 2004 and the share price differential was settled in cash on June 7, 2004, for a total cost of \$53 million. During the third quarter of 2004, the company purchased an additional 1.7 million shares for \$47 million, thereby completing the share repurchase authorized by the Board of Directors.

During 2003, Apria repurchased 4.5 million shares of its common stock for \$118.5 million in open market transactions, of which 3.8 million shares were purchased in conjunction with the issuance of the convertible senior notes. In 2002, Apria repurchased 1.6 million shares for \$35 million. All repurchased common shares are being held in treasury.

Business Combinations. Pursuant to one of its primary growth strategies, Apria periodically acquires complementary businesses in specific geographic markets. Because of the potential for a higher gross margin, Apria targets respiratory therapy businesses. These transactions are accounted for as purchases and the results of operations of the acquired companies are included in the accompanying statements of operations from the dates of acquisition. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, goodwill is no longer being amortized. Covenants not to compete are being amortized over the life of the respective agreements. Tradenames and customer lists are being amortized over the period of their expected benefit.

The aggregate consideration for the 27 acquisitions that closed during 2004 was \$148.7 million. Allocation of this amount includes \$125.1 million to goodwill and \$9.3 million to other intangible assets. The aggregate consideration for acquisitions that closed during 2003 and 2002 was \$98.0 million and \$78.3 million, respectively. Cash paid for acquisitions, which includes amounts deferred from prior year acquisitions, totaled \$144.2 million, \$99.4 million and \$74.0 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Apria closed 27 acquisitions in 2003 and 17 in 2002.

The success of Apria's acquisition strategy is directly dependent on Apria's ability to maintain and/or generate sufficient liquidity to fund such purchases.

Federal Investigation. As previously reported, since mid-1998 Apria has been the subject of an investigation conducted by the U.S. Attorney's office in Los Angeles and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The investigation concerns the documentation supporting Apria's billing for services provided to patients whose healthcare costs are paid by Medicare and other federal programs. Apria is cooperating with the government and has responded to various document requests and subpoenas.

The investigation relates to two civil *qui tam* lawsuits against Apria filed under seal on behalf of the government. In 2004 the government for the first time provided Apria with redacted copies of the complaints in these lawsuits. On the copies provided to Apria, the names of the plaintiffs, the courts and the dates instituted were blacked out. In general, both complaints allege that for an unspecified period of time commencing in 1995 Apria knowingly engaged in various schemes to defraud the government by submitting false claims for payment and by manipulating and falsifying documentation in support of such claims. The complaints do not quantify the alleged damages sought and do not identify any of the particular individuals, patient accounts or Apria facilities alleged to be involved in any improper billing. To date, the U.S. Attorney's office has not informed Apria of any decision to intervene in the *qui tam* actions; however, it could reach a decision with respect to intervention at any time.

Apria has acknowledged that there may be errors and omissions in supporting documentation affecting a portion of its billings. However, it believes that most of the alleged documentation errors and omissions should not give rise to any liability. Accordingly, Apria believes that most of the assertions made by the government and the *qui tam* plaintiffs are legally and factually incorrect and that Apria is in a position to assert numerous meritorious defenses.

During the past several years, Apria and representatives of the government have been analyzing and discussing the documentation underlying Apria's billings to the federal government for services provided by Apria from mid-1995 through 1998 to a sample of 300 patients selected by the government. Government representatives and counsel for the plaintiffs asserted in 2001 that, by a process of extrapolation from the patient files in the sample to all of Apria's government billings during the sample period, Apria could have a very significant liability to the government under the False Claims Act. Differences between Apria and the government have been reduced on a number of issues as a result of the analysis and discussions referred to above. Consequently, while Apria's potential liability could still be very material, Apria believes that the

amount the government is now seeking is significantly less than asserted in 2001.

Apria and government representatives are continuing to explore whether it will be possible to resolve this matter on a basis that would be considered fair and reasonable by all parties. Notwithstanding the progress made to date in reducing the differences between Apria and the government, there remain significant disagreements as to the number and the legal implications of billing documentation deficiencies in the 300-patient sample. Accordingly, Apria cannot provide any assurances as to the outcome of its discussions with the government, or as to the outcome of the *qui tam* litigation in the absence of a settlement. Management cannot estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may result from these proceedings and, therefore, has not recorded any related accruals.

If a judge, jury or administrative agency were to determine that false claims were submitted to federal healthcare programs or that there were significant overpayments by the government, Apria could face civil and administrative claims for refunds, sanctions and penalties for amounts that would be highly material to its business, results of operations and financial condition, including the exclusion of Apria from participation in federal healthcare programs.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Apria is not a party to off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. However, from time to time the company enters into certain types of contracts that contingently require the company to indemnify parties against third-party claims. The contracts primarily relate to: (i) certain asset purchase agreements, under which the company may provide customary indemnification to the seller of the business being acquired; (ii) certain real estate leases, under which the company may be required to indemnify property owners for environmental and other liabilities, and other claims arising from the company's use of the applicable premises; and (iii) certain agreements with the company's officers, directors and employees, under which the company may be required to indemnify such persons for liabilities arising out of their employment relationship.

The terms of such obligations vary by contract and in most instances a specific or maximum dollar amount is not explicitly stated therein. Generally, amounts under these contracts cannot be reasonably estimated until a specific claim is asserted. Consequently, no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations on the company's balance sheets for any of the periods presented.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Apria is exposed to interest rate fluctuations on its underlying variable rate long-term debt. Apria utilizes interest rate swap agreements to moderate such exposure. Apria does not use derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

At December 31, 2004, Apria's revolving credit facility borrowings totaled \$224.8 million. The bank credit agreement governing the revolver provides interest rate options based on the following indices: Federal Funds Rate, the Bank of America prime rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate. All such interest rate options are subject to the application of an interest margin as specified in the bank credit agreement. At December 31, 2004, all of Apria's outstanding revolving debt was tied to LIBOR.

At December 31, 2004, Apria had a three-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$25.0 million and a fixed rate of 3.04% and a four-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$25.0 million and a fixed rate of 3.42%. Both rates are before the application of the interest margin.

Based on the term debt outstanding and the swap agreements in place at December 31, 2004, a 100 basis point change in the applicable interest rates would increase or decrease Apria's annual cash flow and pretax earnings by approximately \$1.7 million. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Long-term Debt—Hedging Activities.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and the Consolidated Financial Statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule are filed as part of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's management, including the company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the company that is required to be included in the company's periodic Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

During the period covered by this report, there have been no significant changes to the company's internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Apria's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The company's internal control over financial reporting system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance that material misstatements will be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive and financial officers, the company has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2004.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report on management's assessment of Apria's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

March 16, 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Apria
Healthcare Group Inc. Lake
Forest, California

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Apria Healthcare Group Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2004 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and the financial statement schedule.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 16, 2005

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference from the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year. Information regarding executive officers of the company is set forth under the caption "Executive Officers" in Item 1 hereof.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference from the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference from the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year. Information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is set forth in Item 5.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference from the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference from the company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the company's fiscal year.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) 1. The financial statements described in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K starting at page F-1.
2. The financial statement schedule described in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K starting on page S-1.

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

3. Exhibits included or incorporated by reference herein:

See exhibit index.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2004 and 2003
Consolidated Statements of Income - Years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income -
Years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Apria Healthcare Group Inc.
Lake Forest, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Apria Healthcare Group Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, included in the index at Item 15(a)(2). These consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Apria Healthcare Group Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Costa Mesa, California
March 16, 2005

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
<i>(in thousands, except share data)</i>	2004	2003
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,399	\$ 160,553
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$45,064 and \$38,531 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	219,365	196,413
Inventories, net	40,295	29,089
Deferred income taxes	29,126	27,108
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	20,126	16,172
	348,311	429,335
PATIENT SERVICE EQUIPMENT, less accumulated depreciation of \$420,714 and \$392,297 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	224,801	209,551
PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS, NET	51,012	50,192
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	5,024	1,690
GOODWILL	455,623	330,532
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET	9,907	7,356
DEFERRED DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS, NET	6,962	9,339
OTHER ASSETS	6,024	5,440
	\$ 1,107,664	\$ 1,043,435
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 63,601	\$ 56,735
Accrued payroll and related taxes and benefits	47,620	43,312
Accrued insurance	8,991	9,854
Income taxes payable	19,208	13,310
Other accrued liabilities	34,014	35,363
Current portion of long-term debt	4,901	31,522
	178,335	190,096
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

	December 31,	
LONG-TERM DEBT, net of current portion	475,957	469,241
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	42,136	15,986
OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES	5,051	2,164
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 9 & 11)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value: 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value: 150,000,000 shares authorized; 58,236,364 and 57,317,094 shares issued at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively; 48,608,705 and 51,107,538 outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	58	57
Additional paid-in capital	439,544	414,220
Treasury stock, at cost; 9,627,659 and 6,209,556 shares at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	(254,432)	(154,432)
Retained earnings	221,041	107,033
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(26)	(930)
	<u>406,185</u>	<u>365,948</u>
	<u>\$ 1,107,664</u>	<u>\$ 1,043,435</u>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Net revenues	\$ 1,451,449	\$ 1,380,945	\$ 1,252,196
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of net revenues:			
Product and supply costs	271,723	247,438	228,964
Patient service equipment depreciation	119,391	114,815	98,288
Nursing services	819	838	958
Other	15,686	13,652	12,707
	<u>407,619</u>	<u>376,743</u>	<u>340,917</u>
TOTAL COST OF NET REVENUES	407,619	376,743	340,917
Provision for doubtful accounts	48,567	51,154	45,115
Selling, distribution and administrative	787,496	747,799	684,738
Amortization of intangible assets	6,712	3,650	2,681
	<u>1,250,394</u>	<u>1,179,346</u>	<u>1,073,451</u>
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	1,250,394	1,179,346	1,073,451
OPERATING INCOME	201,055	201,599	178,745
Interest expense	20,698	15,812	15,028
Interest income	(678)	(786)	(4,235)
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs	2,730	-	-
	<u>178,305</u>	<u>186,573</u>	<u>167,952</u>
INCOME BEFORE TAXES	178,305	186,573	167,952
Income tax expense	64,297	70,581	52,357
	<u>\$ 114,008</u>	<u>\$ 115,992</u>	<u>\$ 115,595</u>
NET INCOME	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,992	\$ 115,595

Year Ended December 31,

Basic net income per common share	\$	2.31	\$	2.17	\$	2.12
Diluted net income per common share	\$	2.27	\$	2.15	\$	2.08

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock		Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Par Value		Shares	Cost	(Accumulated Deficit)	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance at December 31, 2001	54,690	\$ 55	\$368,231	86	\$ (961)	\$(124,554)	\$ 27	\$ 242,798
Exercise of stock options	1,891	2	18,835					18,837
Tax benefits related to stock options			10,350					10,350
Repurchases of common stock				1,597	(35,000)			(35,000)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap agreements, net of taxes							(1,271)	(1,271)
Net income						115,595		115,595
Total comprehensive income (loss)						115,595	(1,271)	114,324
Balance at December 31, 2002	56,581	\$ 57	\$397,416	1,683	\$ (35,961)	\$ (8,959)	\$ (1,244)	\$ 351,309
Exercise of stock options	736	-	12,323					12,323
Tax benefits related to stock options			2,518					2,518
Compensatory stock options and awards			1,963					1,963
Repurchases of common stock				4,527	(118,471)			(118,471)
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap agreements, net of taxes							314	314
Net income						115,992		115,992
Total comprehensive income						115,992	314	116,306
Balance at December 31, 2003	57,317	\$ 57	\$414,220	6,210	\$(154,432)	\$ 107,033	\$ (930)	\$ 365,948
Exercise of stock options	893	1	18,314					18,315
Tax benefits related to stock options			2,587					2,587
Compensatory stock options and awards	26		4,423					4,423
Repurchases of common stock				3,418	(100,000)			(100,000)
Unrealized gain on interest rate swap agreements, net of taxes							904	904
Net income						114,008		114,008

Total comprehensive income						Retained 114,008	Accumulated 904	114,912
Balance at December 31, 2004	58,236	\$ 58	\$439,534	9,628	\$(254,432)	\$ 221,041	\$ (26)	\$ 406,185

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,992	\$ 115,595
Items included in net income not requiring (providing) cash:			
Provision for doubtful accounts	48,567	51,154	45,115
Depreciation	140,762	135,952	116,043
Amortization of intangible assets	6,712	3,650	2,681
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	5,153	1,723	1,282
Deferred income taxes	20,798	17,197	54,297
Expense on compensatory stock options and awards	4,423	1,963	-
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets	(682)	(266)	940
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of effects of acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	(70,302)	(62,299)	(68,815)
Inventories, net	(9,372)	(1,148)	(399)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	832	(295)	(4,461)
Accounts payable, exclusive of outstanding checks	5,447	(9,412)	(3,206)
Accrued payroll and related taxes and benefits	4,308	5,099	4,306
Income taxes payable	7,935	3,025	1,225
Accrued expenses	(2,577)	1,570	(2,559)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	276,012	263,905	262,044
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchases of patient service equipment and property, equipment and improvements, exclusive of effects of acquisitions	(141,755)	(144,007)	(121,727)
Proceeds from disposition of assets	211	774	318
Cash paid for acquisitions, including payments of deferred consideration	(144,235)	(99,403)	(73,960)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(285,779)	(242,636)	(195,369)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities	250,850	15,700	150,500
Payments on revolving credit facilities	(26,100)	(15,700)	(158,300)
Payments on term loans	(244,063)	(19,312)	(19,687)
Proceeds from issuance of convertible senior notes	-	250,000	-
Payments on other long-term debt	(9,033)	(5,622)	(2,858)
Outstanding checks included in accounts payable	1,419	632	(2,477)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

	Year Ended December 31,		
Capitalized debt issuance costs	(2,775)	(6,649)	(666)
Repurchases of common stock	(100,000)	(118,471)	(35,000)
Issuances of common stock	18,315	12,323	18,837
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(111,387)	112,901	(49,651)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(121,154)	134,170	17,024
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	160,553	26,383	9,359
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 39,399</u>	<u>\$ 160,553</u>	<u>\$ 26,383</u>

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES See Notes 5 and 7 for cash paid for interest and income taxes, respectively.

NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS See Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, Note 3 and Note 9 for tax benefit from stock option exercises, liabilities assumed in acquisitions and purchase of property and equipment under capital leases, respectively.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These statements include the accounts of Apria Healthcare Group Inc. (Apria or the company) and its subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Company Background and Segment Reporting: Apria operates in the home healthcare segment of the healthcare industry, providing a variety of clinical services and related products and supplies as prescribed by a physician or authorized by a case manager as part of a care plan. Essentially all products and services offered by the company are provided through the company's network of approximately 475 branch facilities, which are located throughout the United States and are currently organized into 14 geographic regions. Each region consists of a number of branches and a regional office, which provides key support services such as billing, purchasing, equipment maintenance, repair and warehousing. The company's chief operating decision maker evaluates operating results on a geographic basis and, therefore, views each region as an operating segment. All regions provide the same products and services, including respiratory therapy, infusion therapy and home medical equipment and supplies. Additional support services are provided at a corporate level and management continues to evaluate opportunities to gain efficiencies and cost savings by consolidating regional functions. For financial reporting purposes, all of the company's operating segments are aggregated into one reportable segment in accordance with the aggregation criteria of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information.

Respiratory therapy, infusion therapy and home medical equipment represent approximately 68%, 17% and 15% of total 2004 revenues, respectively. The gross margins in 2004 for these services and related products were 80%, 57% and 62%, respectively.

Use of Accounting Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition and Concentration of Credit Risk: Revenues are recognized on the date services and related products are provided to patients and are recorded at amounts estimated to be received under reimbursement arrangements with third-party payors, including private insurers, prepaid health plans, Medicare and Medicaid. For the years 2004, 2003 and 2002, revenues reimbursed under arrangements with Medicare and Medicaid were approximately 38%, 35% and 34%, respectively, as a percentage of total revenues. In all three years presented, no other third-party payor group represented 10% or more of the company's revenues. The majority of the company's revenues are derived from fees charged for patient care under fee-for-service arrangements. Revenues derived from capitation arrangements represented less than 10% of total

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

net revenues for 2004, 2003 and 2002.

Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which Apria operates, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable values. Inherent in these estimates is the risk that they will have to be revised or updated as additional information becomes available. Specifically, the complexity of many third-party billing arrangements and the uncertainty of reimbursement amounts for certain services from certain payors may result in adjustments to amounts originally recorded. Such adjustments are typically identified and recorded at the point of cash application, claim denial or account review.

Management performs periodic analyses to evaluate accounts receivable balances to ensure that recorded amounts reflect estimated net realizable value. Specifically, management considers historical realization data, accounts receivable aging trends, other operating trends, the extent of contracted business and business combinations. Also considered are relevant business conditions such as governmental and managed care payor claims processing procedures and system changes. Also, focused reviews of certain large and/or problematic payors are performed. Due to continuing changes in the healthcare industry and third-party reimbursement, it is possible that management's estimates could change in the near term, which could have an impact on operations and cash flows.

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts which provides for those accounts from which payment is not expected to be received, although services were provided and revenue was earned. Upon determination that an account is uncollectible, it is written-off and charged to the allowance.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Apria maintains cash with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located throughout the United States and the company's cash management practices limit exposure to any one institution. Outstanding checks, which are reported as a component of accounts payable, were \$23,031,000 and \$21,612,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Management considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with a maturity of less than three months to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable: Included in accounts receivable are earned but unbilled receivables of \$36,265,000 and \$33,948,000 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Delays ranging from a day up to several weeks between the date of service and billing can occur due to delays in obtaining certain required payor-specific documentation from internal and external sources. Earned but unbilled receivables are aged from date of service and are considered in Apria's analysis of historical performance and collectibility.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market and consist primarily of pharmaceuticals and items used in conjunction with patient service equipment.

Patient Service Equipment: Patient service equipment is stated at cost and consists of medical equipment provided to in-home patients. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the equipment, which range from one to ten years.

Property, Equipment and Improvements: Property, equipment and improvements are stated at cost. Included in property and equipment are assets under capitalized leases which consist of information systems and software. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Estimated useful lives for each of the categories presented in Note 2 are as follows: leasehold improvements - the shorter of the remaining lease term or seven years; equipment and furnishings - three to fifteen years; and information systems - three to five years.

Capitalized Software: Included in property, equipment and improvements are costs related to internally developed and purchased software that are capitalized and amortized over periods not exceeding five years. Capitalized costs include direct costs of materials and services incurred in developing or obtaining internal-use software and payroll and payroll-related costs for employees directly involved in the development of internal-use software.

The carrying value of capitalized software is reviewed if the facts and circumstances suggest that it may be impaired. Indicators of impairment may include a subsequent change in the extent or manner in which the software is used or expected to be used, a significant change to the software is made or expected to be made or the cost to develop or modify internal-use software exceeds that expected amount. Management does not believe any impairment of its capitalized software existed at December 31, 2004.

Goodwill: Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets of the businesses acquired. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, goodwill is not amortized but tested annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate the possibility of impairment. Management does not believe any impairment of its goodwill existed at December 31, 2004.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Intangible Assets: Intangible assets consist of covenants not to compete, tradenames and customer lists, all of which resulted from business combinations. The values assigned to the covenants are amortized on a straight-line basis over their contractual terms, which range from one to five years. The customer list and tradename valuations are amortized over their period of expected benefit, which averages 9.5 months and 20 months, respectively.

Management reviews for impairment of intangible assets and long-lived assets on an ongoing basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Management does not believe any impairment of its intangible assets or long-lived assets existed at December 31, 2004.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The carrying value of Apria's bank debt approximates fair value because the underlying instruments are variable notes that reprice frequently. The fair value of the convertible senior notes, as determined by reference to quoted market prices, is \$287,878,000. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, trade payables and accrued expenses approximate fair value because of their short maturity.

Advertising: Advertising costs amounting to \$4,799,000, \$3,155,000 and \$2,804,000 for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, are expensed as incurred and included in selling, distribution and administrative expenses.

Distribution Expenses: Distribution expenses are included in selling, distribution and administrative expenses and totaled \$156,272,000, \$142,348,000 and \$131,354,000 in 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

Income Taxes: Apria provides for income taxes in accordance with provisions specified in SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Accordingly, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. These differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future, based on tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to amounts that are more likely than not to be realized.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities: From time to time Apria uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to interest rate fluctuations on the company's variable rate long-term debt. The company accounts for derivative instruments pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The company's derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value and, for derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedges, any unrealized gains or losses on their fair value are included, net of tax, in other comprehensive income.

Stock-based Compensation: The company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Apria has adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123. For the year ended December 31, 2004, net income reflects compensation expense for restricted stock awards and restricted stock purchase rights valued in accordance with APB No. 25. Had compensation expense for all of the company's stock-based compensation awards been recognized based on the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Apria's net income and per share amounts would have been adjusted to the pro forma amounts indicated below. See Note 1 Other Recent Accounting Pronouncements SFAS No. 123R and Note 6 Stockholders Equity.

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Net income as reported	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,992	\$ 115,595
Add: stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	2,828	1,221	-
Deduct: total stock-based compensation expense determined for all awards under fair value-based method, net of related tax effects	(12,869)	(9,206)	(9,852)
Pro forma net income	\$ 103,967	\$ 108,007	\$ 105,743
Basic net income per share:			
As reported	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.17	\$ 2.12
Pro forma	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.02	\$ 1.94

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Year Ended December 31,

Diluted net income per share:

As reported	\$ 2.27	\$ 2.15	\$ 2.08
Pro forma	\$ 2.07	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.90

Comprehensive Income: For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the difference between net income and comprehensive income is \$904,000 and \$314,000, respectively, net of taxes, which is attributable to unrealized gains on various interest rate swap agreements, which are accounted for as cash flow hedges.

Per Share Amounts: Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share includes the effect of the potential shares outstanding, including dilutive stock options and other awards, using the treasury stock method.

Change in Accounting Principle: Effective January 1, 2002, Apria adopted SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. This statement superseded SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of, and amended other guidance related to the accounting and reporting of long-lived assets. SFAS No. 144 requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. Discontinued operations are to be measured similarly to other long-lived assets classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Future operating losses will no longer be recognized before they occur. SFAS No. 144 also broadened the presentation of discontinued operations to include a component of an entity when operations and cash flows can be clearly distinguished, and established criteria to determine when a long-lived asset is held for sale. Adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on Apria's consolidated financial statements.

Other Recent Accounting Pronouncements: In December 2002, SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, was issued. This statement amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition and guidance for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. SFAS No. 148 also amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both interim and annual financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The company has complied with the expanded financial statement disclosure requirements in its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2002, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 02-17, which addresses issues raised in the interpretation of SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets and the identification and valuation of intangible assets. EITF 02-17 provides guidance on determining when, as a result of a business combination, a customer-related intangible asset exists that should be separately valued from goodwill. EITF 02-17 is effective for business combinations consummated and goodwill impairment tests performed after October 25, 2002. Adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, an interpretation of SFAS Nos. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FIN No. 34, Disclosure of Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. FIN No. 45 elaborates on the disclosure requirements for the interim and annual financial statements of the guarantor. It also requires that a guarantor recognize a liability at the inception of the guarantee for the fair value of the obligation undertaken. The disclosure provisions became effective at December 31, 2002 while the recognition provisions of FIN No. 45 became effective January 1, 2003. Adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on Apria's consolidated financial statements.

FIN No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, was originally issued in January 2003 and subsequently revised in December 2003. FIN No. 46, as revised, requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. FIN No. 46 also requires certain disclosures about variable interest entities in which a company has a significant interest, regardless of whether consolidation is required. Application of FIN No. 46 is required for potential variable interest entities commonly referred to as special purpose entities for periods ending after December 15, 2003. Application of the provisions will be required for all other variable interest entities by the end of the first reporting period that ends after March 15, 2004. The company currently is not the beneficiary of any variable interest entities, therefore the adoption of this interpretation did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The statement is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs*, which amends and clarifies previous guidance on the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and spoilage. Abnormal amounts of these costs should be recognized as current period charges rather than as a portion of inventory cost. Additionally, SFAS No. 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities, which refers to a range of production levels within which ordinary variations are expected. The statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Apria does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 151 to have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. This statement replaces SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS No. 123R requires a company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant. The cost will be recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). Adoption of SFAS No. 123R is required as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. Accordingly, Apria will adopt the statement July 1, 2005. Management is currently evaluating the statement and its transition provisions. The impact of adoption on the results of operations cannot be estimated at this time as it is dependent on the level of future share-based awards. However, had SFAS No. 123R been adopted in prior periods, the effect would have approximated the SFAS No. 123 proforma disclosures presented above.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*, an amendment of APB No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. This statement eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. If the future cash flows of the entity are not expected to change significantly as a result of the transaction, then the exchange shall be measured based on the recorded amount of the nonmonetary assets relinquished, rather than on the fair values of the exchanged assets. The statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges beginning after June 15, 2005. Apria does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 153 to have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications: Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2 -- PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS

Property, equipment and improvements consist of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Leasehold improvements	\$ 26,422	\$ 21,742
Equipment and furnishings	53,752	49,580
Information systems - hardware	75,003	66,212
Information systems - software	39,158	35,413
	194,335	172,947
Less accumulated depreciation	(143,323)	(122,755)
	\$ 51,012	\$ 50,192

NOTE 3 -- BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

During 2004, Apria acquired 27 complementary businesses within specific geographic markets, comprised primarily of home respiratory therapy businesses. Similarly, during 2003 and 2002, the company acquired 27 and 17 companies, respectively. For all periods presented, these all-cash transactions were accounted for as purchases and, accordingly, the results of operations of the acquired businesses are included in the consolidated income statements from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices were allocated to the various underlying tangible and intangible assets and liabilities on the basis of estimated fair value.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase prices of acquisitions made by the company, which include payments deferred from prior years. In 2004, such payments totaled \$4,646,000. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, outstanding deferred consideration totaled

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

\$8,575,000 and \$4,464,000, respectively, and is included on the balance sheet in other accrued liabilities.

Cash paid for acquisitions:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Fair value of tangible assets acquired	\$ 14,925	\$ 11,187	\$ 18,022
Intangible assets	9,263	4,864	3,960
Goodwill	125,091	81,669	55,405
Total assets acquired	149,279	97,720	77,387
Liabilities assumed and accrued, net of payments deferred from prior years	(5,044)	1,683	(3,427)
Net assets acquired	\$ 144,235	\$ 99,403	\$ 73,960

The following supplemental unaudited pro forma information presents the combined operating results of Apria and the businesses that were acquired by Apria during 2004, as if the acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. The pro forma information is based on the historical financial statements of Apria and those of the acquired businesses. Amounts are not necessarily indicative of the results that may have been obtained had the combinations been in effect at the beginning of the periods presented or that may be achieved in the future.

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31,	
	2004	2003
Net revenues	\$ 1,504,530	\$ 1,499,584
Net income	119,689	121,836
Basic net income per common share	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.28
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 2.39	\$ 2.25

NOTE 4 -- GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Apria accounts for its business combinations in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, which requires that the purchase method of accounting be applied to all business combinations and addresses the criteria for initial recognition of intangible assets and goodwill. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but are tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate the possibility of impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill or an intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss shall be recognized.

Apria's goodwill impairment test is conducted at a reporting unit level and compares each reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. The company has determined that its geographic regions are reporting units under SFAS No. 142. The measurement of fair value for each region is based on an evaluation of future discounted cash flows and is further tested using a multiple of earnings approach. For all years presented, Apria's tests indicated that no impairment existed and, accordingly, no loss has been recognized.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the net change in the carrying amount of goodwill of \$125,091,000 is the result of business combinations. All of the goodwill recorded in conjunction with business combinations for the periods presented is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Intangible assets, all of which are subject to amortization, consist of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
-----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

(in thousands)

December 31, 2004

December 31, 2003

	Average Life in Years	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Covenants not to compete	4.7	\$ 11,947	\$ (4,060)	\$ 7,887	\$ 11,244	\$ (5,508)	\$ 5,736
Tradenames	1.7	1,695	(870)	825	2,067	(938)	1,129
Customer lists	< 1.0	2,690	(1,495)	1,195	1,339	(848)	491
		<u>\$ 16,332</u>	<u>\$ (6,425)</u>	<u>\$ 9,907</u>	<u>\$ 14,650</u>	<u>\$ (7,294)</u>	<u>\$ 7,356</u>

Amortization expense amounted to \$6,712,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. Estimated amortization expense for each of the fiscal years ending December 31, is presented below:

Year Ending December 31,

(in thousands)

2005	\$ 4,239
2006	2,291
2007	1,724
2008	1,231
2009	422

NOTE 5 -- LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

(in thousands)	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Notes payable relating to revolving credit facilities	\$ 224,750	\$ -
Convertible senior notes	250,000	250,000
Term loans payable	-	244,063
Capital lease obligations (see Note 9)	3,596	3,901
Other	2,512	2,799
	<u>480,858</u>	<u>500,763</u>
Less: current maturities	(4,901)	(31,522)
	<u>\$ 475,957</u>	<u>\$ 469,241</u>

Revolving Credit Facility: Apria's credit agreement with Bank of America and a syndicate of lenders was amended and restated effective November 23, 2004. The amendment increased the limit of the company's senior secured credit agreement to \$500,000,000 from \$400,000,000. Prior to the amendment, the credit facilities consisted of a \$100,000,000 revolving credit facility, a \$125,000,000 five-year term loan and a \$175,000,000 seven-year term loan. These loans were eliminated in favor of a \$500,000,000 revolving credit facility, from which proceeds were used to pay off the remaining balances on the previous loans. The maturity date of the new revolver is November 23, 2009.

At December 31, 2004, borrowings under the revolving credit facility were \$224,750,000, outstanding letters of credit totaled \$3,855,000 and credit available under the revolving facility was \$271,395,000.

The senior secured credit agreement permits Apria to select one of two variable interest rates. One option is the base rate, which is expressed as the higher of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (b) the Bank of America prime rate. The other option is the Eurodollar rate, which is based on the London Interbank Offered Rate. Interest on outstanding balances under the senior secured credit agreement is determined by adding a margin to the Eurodollar rate or base rate in effect at each interest calculation date. The applicable margin for the revolving credit facility is

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

based on Apria's debt rating as determined by either S&P or Moody's with respect to the credit facility. The applicable margin ranges from 0.75% to 1.50% for Eurodollar loans and from zero to 0.50% for base rate loans. The effective interest rate at December 31, 2004, after consideration of the effect of the swap agreements, was 3.66% on total borrowings of \$224,750,000. Without the effect of the swap agreements, such rate would have been 3.51%. The senior credit agreement also requires payment of commitment fees ranging from 0.15% to 0.375% (also based on Apria's debt rating) on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facility are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of Apria. At December 31, 2004, the company was in compliance with all of the financial covenants required by the credit agreement.

The carrying value of the revolving credit facility approximates fair value because the underlying instruments are variable notes that reprice frequently.

Convertible Senior Notes: In August 2003, Apria issued convertible senior notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250,000,000 under an indenture between Apria and U.S. Bank National Association. The notes were issued in a private placement at an issue price of \$1,000 per note (100% of the principal amount at maturity) and were subsequently registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The notes will mature on September 1, 2033, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased by Apria. Apria may redeem some or all of the notes at any time after September 8, 2010 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest and contingent interest, if any, to the redemption date. The holders of the notes may require Apria to repurchase some or all of the notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest, up to but excluding the applicable repurchase date, initially on September 1, 2008, and subsequently on September 1 of 2010, 2013, 2018, 2023 and 2028, or at any time prior to their maturity following a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture. Any notes that Apria is required to repurchase will be paid for in cash, pursuant to the terms of a December 2004 amendment to the indenture which eliminated the company's option of paying part of the repurchase price in common stock.

The notes bear interest at the rate of 3.375% per annum, which is payable on September 1 and March 1 of each year, beginning on March 1, 2004. Also, during certain periods commencing on September 8, 2010, Apria will pay contingent interest on the interest payment date for the applicable interest period if the average trading price of the notes during the five trading days ending on the third day immediately preceding the first day of the applicable interest period equals or exceeds 120% of the principal amount of the notes. The contingent interest payable per note will equal 0.25% per year of the average trading price of such note during the applicable five trading-day reference period. During certain periods, the notes are convertible into shares of Apria common stock, initially at a conversion rate of 28.6852 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, subject to adjustment and under certain circumstances as outlined in the indenture.

The notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations and are senior in right of payment to any subordinated debt of the company. The notes rank junior to the company's senior secured credit facility to the extent of the assets securing such indebtedness. The fair value of these notes, as determined by reference to quoted market prices, is \$287,878,000 at December 31, 2004.

Maturities of long-term debt, exclusive of capital lease obligations, are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	<i>(in thousands)</i>
2005	\$ 2,417
2006	95
2007	-
2008	-
2009	224,750
Thereafter	250,000
	\$477,262

Total interest paid in 2004, 2003 and 2002 amounted to \$17,990,000, \$10,297,000 and \$13,691,000, respectively.

Hedging Activities: Apria is exposed to interest rate fluctuations on its underlying variable rate long-term debt. Apria's policy for managing interest rate risk is to evaluate and monitor all available relevant information, including but not limited to, the structure of its interest-bearing assets and liabilities, historical interest rate trends and interest rate forecasts published by major financial institutions. The tools Apria may utilize to moderate its exposure to fluctuations in the relevant interest rate indices include, but are not limited to: (1) strategic determination of repricing periods and related principal amounts, and (2) derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements, caps or collars. Apria does not use derivatives for trading or other speculative purposes.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

At December 31, 2004, Apria had two interest rate swap agreements in effect to fix its LIBOR-based variable rate debt, a three-year agreement with a notional amount of \$25,000,000 and a fixed rate of 3.04%, and a four-year agreement with a notional amount of \$25,000,000 and a fixed rate of 3.42%. Both interest rates are before applicable interest rate margins specified in the applicable credit agreement. Apria also had two swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$50,000,000 and fixed rates of 2.435% and 2.43%, before applicable interest margins, that expired in December 2004. Prior to that, the company had two interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$100,000,000 and a fixed-rate of 2.58% before applicable interest margin, that expired March 31, 2003. The swap agreements are being accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, the difference between the interest received and interest paid is reflected as an adjustment to interest expense. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, Apria paid net settlement amounts of \$1,381,000, \$1,917,000 and \$780,000, respectively. At December 31, 2004, the aggregate fair value of the swap agreements was a deficit of \$42,000 and is reflected in the accompanying balance sheet in other accrued liabilities. Unrealized gains and losses on the fair value of the swap agreements are reflected, net of taxes, in other comprehensive loss. Apria's exposure to credit loss under the swap agreements is limited to the interest rate spread in the event of counterparty nonperformance. Apria does not anticipate losses due to counterparty nonperformance as its counterparties to the various swap agreements are nationally recognized financial institutions with strong credit ratings.

NOTE 6 -- STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Treasury Stock: On January 16, 2004, Apria prepaid \$50,000,000 to repurchase 1,730,703 shares of its common stock at a strike price of \$28.89 through an accelerated share repurchase program. The related contract, which had a scheduled settlement date of June 16, 2004, provided for cash or net share settlement at Apria's election. The repurchase of the shares required by the contract was completed on April 28, 2004 and the share price differential was settled in cash on June 7, 2004, for a total cost of \$53,033,000. During the third quarter of 2004, the company purchased an additional 1,687,400 shares for \$46,967,000, thereby completing the share repurchase authorized by the Board of Directors.

During 2003, Apria repurchased 4,526,000 shares of its common stock for \$118,471,000. Of these amounts, 3,786,000 shares were repurchased for \$100,000,000 in conjunction with the issuance of the convertible senior notes. The company also repurchased 1,597,000 shares for \$35,000,000 in 2002. With the exception of the shares acquired through the accelerated repurchase program in 2004, all repurchases were made in open market transactions throughout the year. All repurchased shares are being held in treasury.

Stock Compensation Plans: Apria has various stock-based compensation plans, which are described below. Management accounts for these plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB No. 25 and related interpretations. Compensation expense of \$4,423,000 and \$1,963,000 related to the issuance of restricted stock purchase rights and restricted stock awards is included in net income for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, expense that may be recorded in future periods relating to such awards amounted to \$13,466,000.

For purposes of the pro forma disclosure presented in Note 1, the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in 2004, 2003 and 2002: risk-free interest rates of 3.19%, 2.84% and 4.35%, respectively; dividend yield of 0% for all years; expected lives of 4.51 years for 2004, 4.80 years in 2003 and 4.13 years in 2002 and volatility of 43% for 2004, 54% for 2003 and 59% for 2002. See Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Stock-based Compensation and Other Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

Fixed Stock Options: Apria has various fixed stock option plans that provide for the granting of incentive or non-statutory options to its key employees and non-employee members of the Board of Directors. In the case of incentive stock options, the exercise price may not be less than the fair market value of the company's stock on the date of the grant, and may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the company's stock on the date of the grant for any individual possessing 10% or more of the voting power of all classes of stock of the company. The dates at which the options become exercisable range from the date of grant to five years after the date of grant and expire not later than ten years after the date of grant. The weighted-average fair values of fixed stock options granted during 2004, 2003 and 2002 were \$12.07, \$10.68 and \$11.79, respectively.

A summary of the activity of Apria's fixed stock options for 2004, 2003 and 2002 is presented below:

	2004		2003		2002	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	4,425,954	\$ 22.16	4,357,976	\$ 21.69	4,347,019	\$ 20.41
Granted:						

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

	2004		2003		2002	
Exercise price equal to fair value	1,647,000	\$ 31.45	1,181,125	\$ 22.49	1,546,500	\$ 23.59
Exercised	(804,770)	\$ 22.48	(654,731)	\$ 17.88	(703,858)	\$ 13.10
Forfeited	(193,511)	\$ 26.19	(458,416)	\$ 24.68	(831,685)	\$ 25.77
Outstanding at end of year	5,074,673	\$ 24.97	4,425,954	\$ 22.16	4,357,976	\$ 21.69
Exercisable at end of year	2,503,546	\$ 21.71	2,308,611	\$ 20.90	2,057,595	\$ 19.18

The following table summarizes information about fixed stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$ 6.69 - \$12.19	201,590	3.27	\$ 9.65	201,590	\$ 9.65
\$12.75 - \$16.94	328,861	4.33	\$ 15.25	328,861	\$ 15.25
\$17.38 - \$19.63	240,199	4.96	\$ 18.82	240,199	\$ 18.82
\$20.50 - \$24.01	1,679,898	7.38	\$ 22.53	835,189	\$ 22.77
\$24.18 - \$26.23	352,000	7.15	\$ 24.87	268,666	\$ 24.97
\$27.13 - \$28.30	767,125	6.56	\$ 27.32	629,041	\$ 27.26
\$30.20 - \$33.40	1,505,000	9.50	\$ 31.66	-	\$ -
\$ 6.69 - \$33.40	5,074,673	7.39	\$ 24.97	2,503,546	\$ 21.71

Performance-based Stock Options: Included in Apria's stock-based compensation plans are provisions for the granting of performance-based stock options. In 2004 and 2003, Apria granted options in the form of restricted stock purchase rights to key members of senior management. These options become exercisable over periods of six and seven years and expire not later than ten years from the date of grant. Accelerated vesting will ensue upon the occurrence of certain events or the achievement of certain cumulative financial targets based on two and three year measurement periods. As of December 31, 2004, all options related to the two-year measurement period had vested upon the achievement of certain cumulative financial targets. The weighted-average fair value of performance-based stock options granted during 2004 and 2003 was \$24.98 and \$21.06, respectively.

A summary of the activity of Apria's performance-based stock options, including those granted in the form of restricted stock purchase rights, for 2004, 2003 and 2002 is presented below:

	2004		2003		2002	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	603,972	\$ 6.61	209,658	\$ 7.34	1,396,210	\$ 7.91
Granted:						
Exercise price less than fair value	110,000	\$ 7.60	476,000	\$ 6.49	-	\$ -
Exercised	(88,500)	\$ 6.50	(81,686)	\$ 7.78	(1,186,552)	\$ 8.01
Forfeited	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Outstanding at end of year	625,472	\$ 6.80	603,972	\$ 6.61	209,658	\$ 7.34
Exercisable at end of year	229,972	\$ 6.79	127,972	\$ 7.05	209,658	\$ 7.34

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

2004

2003

2002

The following table summarizes information about performance-based stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$ 6.46 - \$ 6.46	455,000	8.61	\$ 6.46	183,000	\$ 6.46
\$ 6.50 - \$ 6.50	32,138	3.55	\$ 6.50	32,138	\$ 6.50
\$ 7.05 - \$18.56	138,334	8.82	\$ 7.97	14,834	\$11.51
\$ 6.46 - \$18.56	625,472	8.40	\$ 6.80	229,972	\$ 6.79

Apria granted restricted stock awards to its non-employee directors during 2004 and 2003. The awards granted for 2004, representing 26,000 shares, will vest in April 2005. The awards granted in 2003, representing 26,000 shares, vested and were released in April 2004. Apria also granted restricted stock awards, representing 200,000 shares, to key members of senior management on December 30, 2004. These awards will vest on December 31, 2011. Accelerated vesting will ensue upon the occurrence of certain events and the achievement of certain cumulative financial targets based on a three-year measurement period. Approximately 9,960,000 shares of common stock are reserved for future issuance upon the exercise of stock options and awards under all of Apria's active plans.

NOTE 7 -- INCOME TAXES

Significant components of Apria's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 17,318	\$ 14,449
Accruals	10,796	9,442
Asset valuation reserves	4,761	1,011
Net operating loss carryforward	12,329	14,691
Intangible assets	7,258	5,497
Other, net	4,280	3,213
	56,742	48,303
Less: valuation allowance	(3,175)	(9,978)
Total deferred tax assets	53,567	38,325
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax over book depreciation	(43,143)	(22,051)
Tax over book goodwill amortization	(14,714)	(3,462)
Other, net	(3,696)	-
Total deferred tax liabilities	(61,553)	(25,513)
Net deferred tax (liabilities) assets	\$ (7,986)	\$ 12,812

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which temporary differences become deductible. In making an assessment regarding the probability of realizing a benefit from these deductible differences, management considers the company's current and past performance, the market environment in which the company operates, tax planning strategies and the length of carryforward periods.

During 2004, the valuation allowance decreased by \$6,803,000 due to the fact that state net operating loss carryforwards, that were previously expected to expire, became realizable due to a change in estimate of expected future period earnings.

At December 31, 2004, the company has various apportioned state net operating loss carryforwards which resulted in a deferred tax asset, net of federal tax benefit, of \$12,329,000 in 2004 and \$12,935,000 in 2003.

Income tax expense (benefit) consists of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Current:			
Federal	\$ 35,245	\$ 39,558	\$ (8,348)
State	8,254	13,826	6,408
	43,499	53,384	(1,940)
Deferred:			
Federal	25,088	14,940	53,058
State	(4,290)	2,257	1,239
	20,798	17,197	54,297
	\$ 64,297	\$ 70,581	\$ 52,357

The exercise of stock options granted under Apria's various stock option plans resulted in compensation of \$6,810,000, \$6,204,000 and \$27,601,000, during 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. These amounts are taxable income to the employee and are deductible by the company for federal and state tax purposes but are not recognized as expense for financial reporting purposes. Such tax benefits are included in additional paid-in capital.

Differences between Apria's income tax expense and an amount calculated utilizing the federal statutory rate are as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Income tax expense at statutory rate	\$ 62,407	\$ 65,300	\$ 58,783
Non-deductible expenses	465	437	735
State taxes, net of federal benefit and state loss carryforwards	7,942	5,532	4,519
Change in valuation allowance	(6,803)	-	-
Settlement of income tax dispute	-	-	(11,073)
Other	286	(688)	(607)
	\$ 64,297	\$ 70,581	\$ 52,357

Net income taxes paid (received) in 2004, 2003 and 2002 amounted to \$35,564,000, \$50,359,000 and \$(3,165,000), respectively.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

The company believes it has adequately provided for income tax issues not yet resolved with federal, state and local tax authorities. At December 31, 2004, \$19,200,000, net of tax benefit, was accrued for such federal, state and local tax matters and is included in income taxes payable. Although not probable, the most adverse resolution of these federal, state and local issues could result in additional charges to earnings in future periods in addition to the \$19,200,000 currently provided. Based upon a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances, the company does not believe the ultimate resolution of tax issues for all open tax periods will have a materially adverse effect upon its results of operations or financial condition.

NOTE 8 -- PER SHARE AMOUNTS

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted per share amounts:

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Numerator:			
Net income	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,992	\$ 115,595
Numerator for basic and diluted per share amounts net income available to common stockholders	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,992	\$ 115,595
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic per share amounts weighted-average shares	49,368	53,446	54,586
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee stock options and awards	812	620	869
	812	620	869
Total dilutive potential common shares	812	620	869
	50,180	54,066	55,455
Denominator for diluted per share amounts adjusted weighted-average shares	50,180	54,066	55,455
Basic net income per common share	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.17	\$ 2.12
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 2.27	\$ 2.15	\$ 2.08
Employee stock options excluded from the computation of diluted per share amounts:			
Shares for which exercise price exceeds average market price of common stock	1,505	1,124	2,543
Average exercise price per share that exceeds average market price of common stock	\$ 31.66	\$ 26.75	\$ 25.31

NOTE 9 -- LEASES

Apria leases substantially all of its facilities. In addition, delivery vehicles and office equipment are leased under operating leases. Lease terms are generally ten years or less with renewal options for additional periods. Many leases provide that the company pay taxes, maintenance, insurance and other expenses. Rentals are generally increased annually by the Consumer Price Index, subject to certain maximum amounts defined within individual agreements.

Apria occasionally subleases unused facility space when a lease buyout is not a viable option. Sublease income, in amounts not considered material, is recognized monthly and is offset against facility lease expense. Net rent expense in 2004, 2003 and 2002 amounted to \$72,330,000, \$68,141,000 and \$62,383,000, respectively.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

In addition, during 2004, 2003 and 2002, Apria acquired information systems and software totaling \$3,156,000, \$366,000 and \$5,937,000, under capital lease arrangements with lease terms ranging from 24 to 36 months. Amortization of the leased information systems and software amounted to \$1,767,000, \$1,953,000 and \$1,367,000 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The following amounts for assets under capital lease obligations are included in property, equipment and improvements:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Information systems	\$ 9,009	\$ 7,894
Software	84	245
Less accumulated depreciation	(4,158)	(3,639)
	\$ 4,935	\$ 4,500

Future minimum payments, by year and in the aggregate, required under capital lease obligations and noncancelable operating leases consist of the following at December 31, 2004:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
2005	\$ 2,552	\$ 64,338
2006	1,123	52,691
2007	-	36,153
2008	-	20,982
2009	-	10,156
Thereafter	-	13,845
	3,675	\$ 198,165
Less interest included in minimum lease payments	79	
Present value of minimum lease payments	3,596	
Less current portion	2,485	
	\$ 1,111	

NOTE 10 -- EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Apria has a 401(k) defined contribution plan, whereby eligible employees may contribute up to 35% of their annual base earnings. The company matches 50% of the first 8% of employee contributions. Total expenses related to the defined contribution plan were \$4,588,000, \$4,456,000 and \$4,569,000 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

NOTE 11 -- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation: As previously reported, since mid-1998 Apria has been the subject of an investigation conducted by the U.S. Attorney's office in Los Angeles and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The investigation concerns the documentation supporting Apria's billing for services provided to patients whose healthcare costs are paid by Medicare and other federal programs. Apria is cooperating with the government and has responded to various document requests and subpoenas.

The investigation relates to two civil *qui tam* lawsuits against Apria filed under seal on behalf of the government. In 2004 the government for the first time provided Apria with redacted copies of the complaints in these lawsuits. On the copies provided to Apria, the names of the plaintiffs, the courts and the dates instituted were blacked out. In general, both complaints allege that for an unspecified period of time commencing in 1995 Apria knowingly engaged in various schemes to defraud the government by submitting false claims for payment and by manipulating and falsifying documentation in support of such claims. The complaints do not quantify the alleged damages sought and do not

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

identify any of the particular individuals, patient accounts or Apria facilities alleged to be involved in any improper billing. To date, the U.S. Attorney's office has not informed Apria of any decision to intervene in the *qui tam* actions; however, it could reach a decision with respect to intervention at any time.

Apria has acknowledged that there may be errors and omissions in supporting documentation affecting a portion of its billings. However, it believes that most of the alleged documentation errors and omissions should not give rise to any liability. Accordingly, Apria believes that most of the assertions made by the government and the *qui tam* plaintiffs are legally and factually incorrect and that Apria is in a position to assert numerous meritorious defenses.

During the past several years, Apria and representatives of the government have been analyzing and discussing the documentation underlying Apria's billings to the federal government for services provided by Apria from mid-1995 through 1998 to a sample of 300 patients selected by the government. Government representatives and counsel for the plaintiffs asserted in 2001 that, by a process of extrapolation from the patient files in the sample to all of Apria's government billings during the sample period, Apria could have a very significant liability to the government under the False Claims Act. Differences between Apria and the government have been reduced on a number of issues as a result of the analysis and discussions referred to above. Consequently, while Apria's potential liability could still be very material, Apria believes that the amount the government is now seeking is significantly less than asserted in 2001.

Apria and government representatives are continuing to explore whether it will be possible to resolve this matter on a basis that would be considered fair and reasonable by all parties. Notwithstanding the progress made to date in reducing the differences between Apria and the government, there remain significant disagreements as to the number and the legal implications of billing documentation deficiencies in the 300-patient sample. Accordingly, Apria cannot provide any assurances as to the outcome of its discussions with the government, or as to the outcome of the *qui tam* litigation in the absence of a settlement. Management cannot estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may result from these proceedings and, therefore, has not recorded any related accruals.

If a judge, jury or administrative agency were to determine that false claims were submitted to federal healthcare programs or that there were significant overpayments by the government, Apria could face civil and administrative claims for refunds, sanctions and penalties for amounts that would be highly material to its business, results of operations and financial condition, including the exclusion of Apria from participation in federal healthcare programs.

Apria is also engaged in the defense of certain claims and lawsuits arising out of the ordinary course and conduct of its business, the outcomes of which are not determinable at this time. Apria has insurance policies covering such potential losses where such coverage is cost effective. In the opinion of management, any liability that might be incurred by Apria upon the resolution of these claims and lawsuits will not, in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on Apria's results of operations or financial condition.

Medicare Reimbursement: In December 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, which is herein referred to as the Medicare Modernization Act, became law. The Medicare Modernization Act includes a number of provisions that affect Medicare Part B reimbursement policies for items and services provided by Apria, the most significant of which are:

Reimbursement reductions for five durable medical equipment categories, including oxygen Reimbursement for most of these categories is based on the median price paid for such items on behalf of beneficiaries of federal employee health benefit plans, or FEHBP. The new fee schedules went into effect January 1, 2005. The reimbursement reduction for oxygen, however, is delayed until the Office of the Inspector General provides the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, with the additional data required to establish pricing. Providers are currently being reimbursed for oxygen based on 2004 fee schedules, with an indication from CMS that no retroactive adjustment will be made once the new pricing is in effect. Further, a freeze on annual payment increases for durable medical equipment has been instituted from 2004 through 2008.

Reimbursement reduction for inhalation drugs The previous reimbursement rate of 95% of the average wholesale price was reduced to 80% of the average wholesale price, effective January 1, 2004. Beginning in January 2005, reimbursement for these drugs was further reduced through a shift to the manufacturer-reported average sales price, as defined by the Medicare Modernization Act, plus 6%, plus a separate dispensing fee per patient episode. The dispensing fees for 2005 have been established at \$57.00 for a 30-day supply of medications and \$80.00 for a 90-day supply.

Establishment of a competitive bidding program Such a program would require that suppliers wishing to provide certain items to beneficiaries submit bids to Medicare. The program, for as yet unspecified durable medical equipment items and services, is to be transitioned into (i) 10 of the largest metropolitan statistical areas in 2007; (ii) 80 of the largest metropolitan statistical areas in 2009; and (iii) additional areas after 2009. The legislation contains special provisions for rural areas.

Reimbursement for home infusion therapy under Medicare Part D -- Currently, a limited number of infusion therapies, supplies and equipment is covered by Medicare Part B. The Medicare Modernization Act provides expanded coverage for home infusion drugs. The

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

industry is currently working with CMS to further define the coverage and payment policies that will govern the administration of this benefit, which takes effect in 2006.

Incentives for expansion of Medicare Part C -- The Medicare Modernization Act includes financial incentives for managed care plans to expand their provision of Medicare Advantage plans in 2006 in a stated effort to attract more Medicare beneficiaries to managed care models. The company maintains contracts to provide respiratory, infusion and medical equipment and related services to a significant number of managed care plans nationwide, and believes that the Medicare Advantage expansion represents a growth opportunity starting in mid-2006.

Apria's management estimates that the revision to inhalation drug reimbursement in 2004 resulted in a revenue reduction from 2003 levels of approximately \$15 million. Once the 2005 oxygen fee schedules are released, management will provide an estimate of the aggregate impact of all reimbursement reductions that will be in effect for 2005. The impact of the competitive bidding program scheduled to commence in 2007 cannot be estimated at this time.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 contained several provisions that lowered Apria's Medicare reimbursement levels. Subsequent legislation -- the Medicare Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 and the Medicare, Medicaid and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 -- mitigated some of the effects of the original legislation. The Medicare Modernization Act also addressed some of the issues pending from the earlier legislation. However, still pending from the 1997 Legislation is the streamlined authority granted to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, to increase or reduce the reimbursement for home medical equipment, including oxygen, by up to 15% each year under an inherent reasonableness authority. In December 2002, CMS issued an interim final rule that establishes a process by which such adjustments may be made. The rule applies to all Medicare Part B services except those paid under a physician fee schedule, a prospective payment system, or a competitive bidding program. As of this date, neither CMS nor the durable medical equipment regional carriers have used the expedited authority.

Supplier Concentration: Apria currently purchases approximately 56% of its patient service equipment and supplies from four vendors. Although there are a limited number of suppliers, management believes that other vendors could provide similar products on comparable terms. However, a change in suppliers could cause delays in service delivery and possible losses in revenue, which could adversely affect operating results.

Guarantees and Indemnities: From time to time Apria enters into certain types of contracts that contingently require the company to indemnify parties against third party claims. These contracts primarily relate to (i) certain asset purchase agreements, under which the company may provide customary indemnification to the seller of the business being acquired; (ii) certain real estate leases, under which the company may be required to indemnify property owners for environmental or other liabilities, and other claims arising from the company's use of the applicable premises; and (iii) certain agreements with the company's officers, directors and employees, under which the company may be required to indemnify such persons for liabilities arising out of their employment relationship.

The terms of such obligations vary by contract and in most instances a specific or maximum dollar amount is not explicitly stated therein. Generally, amounts under these contracts cannot be reasonably estimated until a specific claim is asserted. Consequently, no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations on the company's balance sheets for any of the periods presented.

NOTE 12 -- SERVICE/PRODUCT LINE DATA

The following table sets forth a summary of net revenues and gross profit by service line:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Net revenues:			
Respiratory therapy	\$ 990,857	\$ 930,406	\$ 830,972
Infusion therapy	246,662	241,860	229,190
Home medical equipment/other	213,930	208,679	192,034
	\$ 1,451,449	\$ 1,380,945	\$ 1,252,196
Gross profit:			
Respiratory therapy	\$ 774,149	\$ 731,215	\$ 661,879

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

	Year Ended December 31,		
Infusion therapy	139,307	142,744	130,439
Home medical equipment/other	130,374	130,243	118,961
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total gross profit	\$ 1,043,830	\$ 1,004,202	\$ 911,279
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

NOTE 13 -- SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Quarter			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
2004				
Net revenues	\$ 350,881	\$ 359,562	\$ 364,569	\$ 376,437
Gross profit	251,960	260,642	262,593	268,635
Operating income	49,875	51,785	47,852	51,543
Net income	27,847	29,059	29,835	27,267
Basic income per common share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.56
Diluted income per common share	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.55
2003				
Net revenues	\$ 335,069	\$ 343,284	\$ 346,323	\$ 356,269
Gross profit	242,908	251,444	253,194	256,656
Operating income	48,439	50,299	50,207	52,654
Net income	27,826	29,412	28,857	29,897
Basic income per common share	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.59
Diluted income per common share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.58

Net income for the third quarter of 2004 was impacted by year-to-date adjustment to lower the effective income tax rate. State net operating loss carryforwards, that were previously expected to expire, became realizable due to a change in estimate of expected future period earnings.

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Additions				Balance at End of Period
	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions	
<u>Year ended December 31, 2004</u>					
Deducted from asset accounts:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 38,531	\$ 48,567	\$ -	\$ 42,034	\$ 45,064
Reserve for inventory and patient service equipment shortages	\$ 1,377	\$ 3,909	\$ -	\$ 2,056	\$ 3,230

Additions

Year ended December 31, 2003

Deducted from asset accounts:

Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 32,206	\$ 51,154	\$ -	\$ 44,829	\$ 38,531
Reserve for inventory and patient service equipment shortages	\$ 3,999	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,612	\$ 1,377

Year ended December 31, 2002

Deducted from asset accounts:

Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 32,073	\$ 45,115	\$ -	\$ 44,982	\$ 32,206
Reserve for inventory and patient service equipment shortages	\$ 4,498	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 499	\$ 3,999

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 16, 2005

APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP INC.

/s/ LAWRENCE M. HIGBYLawrence M. Higby
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/s/ LAWRENCE M. HIGBY</u> Lawrence M. Higby	Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2005
<u>/s/ AMIN I. KHALIFA</u> Amin I. Khalifa	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 16, 2005
<u>/s/ ALICIA PRICE</u> Alicia Price	Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2005
<u>/s/ RALPH V. WHITWORTH</u> Ralph V. Whitworth	Director and Chairman of the Board	March 16, 2005
<u>/s/ VICENTE ANIDO, JR.</u> Vicente Anido, Jr.	Director	March 16, 2005

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ I.T. CORLEY _____	Director	March 16, 2005
I.T. Corley		
/s/ DAVID L. GOLDSMITH _____	Director	March 16, 2005
David L. Goldsmith		
/s/ RICHARD H. KOPPEL _____	Director	March 16, 2005
Richard H. Koppes		
/s/ PHILIP R. LOCHNER, JR. _____	Director	March 16, 2005
Philip R. Lochner, Jr.		
/s/ JERI L. LOSE _____	Director	March 16, 2005
Jeri L. Lose		
/s/ BEVERLY B. THOMAS _____	Director	March 16, 2005
Beverly B. Thomas		

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Reference
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant.	(c)
3.2	Certificate of Ownership and Merger merging Registrant into Abbey and amending Abbey's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to change Abbey's name to "Apria Healthcare Group Inc."	(g)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant	
3.4	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant, as amended on November 20, 2002.	(h)
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate of the Registrant.	(g)
4.2	Certificate of Designation of the Registrant	(c)
4.3	Indenture dated August 20, 2003, between Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, describing the Registrant's issuance of 3.375% Convertible Senior Notes due 2033.	(k)
4.4	First Supplemental Indenture dated December 14, 2004, supplementing and amending the indenture dated August 20, 2003.	
10.1	1991 Stock Option Plan	(a)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Reference
10.2	Schedule of Registration Procedures and Related Matters	(b)
10.3	401(k) Savings Plan, restated effective October 1, 1993, amended December 28, 1994.	(e)
10.4	Amendment Number Two to the 401(k) Savings Plan, dated June 27, 1995.	(h)
10.5	Apria/Homedco Stock Incentive Plan, dated June 28, 1995.	(d)
10.6	Amended and Restated 1992 Stock Incentive Plan.	(e)
10.7	Amendment Number Three to the 401(k) Savings Plan, effective January 1, 1996.	(h)
10.8	Amendment 1996-1 to the 1991 Stock Option Plan, dated October 28, 1996.	
10.9	Amendment 1996-1 to the Amended and Restated 1992 Stock Incentive Plan, dated October 28, 1996.	
10.10	Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, dated February 27, 1997, as amended through June 30, 1998.	
10.11	1998 Nonqualified Stock Incentive Plan, dated December 15, 1998	
10.12	Amendment No. 1 to the 1998 Nonqualified Stock Incentive Plan, dated January 31, 2001.	(f)
10.13	International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. Master Agreement dated December 3, 2002, between Registrant and Credit Lyonnais New York Branch.	(h)
10.14	Schedule to the Master Agreement dated December 3, 2002, between Registrant and Credit Lyonnais New York Branch.	(h)
10.15	International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. Master Agreement dated December 3, 2002, between Registrant and Bank of Nova Scotia.	(h)
10.16	Schedule to the Master Agreement dated December 3, 2002, between Registrant and Bank of Nova Scotia.	(h)
10.17	Amendment to Executive Severance Agreement dated March 18, 2003, between Registrant and Anthony S. Domenico.	(h)
10.18	Form of Stock Ownership Requirements Agreement, dated February 18, 2003, between Registrant and its executive officers, and Exhibit A thereto, Stock Ownership Requirements for Senior Executive Officers.	(i)
10.19	Apria Healthcare Group Inc. 2003 Performance Incentive Plan, dated July 17, 2003.	(j)
10.20	Prepaid Forward Commitment to Repurchase Shares of Apria Common Stock, dated January 16, 2004, between Registrant and Credit Suisse First Boston Capital LLC.	(m)
10.21	Settlement Agreement Related to the Repurchase of Apria Common Stock, dated January 16, 2004, between Registrant and Credit Suisse First Boston Capital LLC.	(m)
10.22	Executive Severance Agreement dated April 23, 2004, between Registrant and Amin I. Khalifa.	(n)

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Reference
10.23	Executive Severance Agreement dated April 23, 2004, between Registrant and John J. McDowell.	(n)
10.24	Executive Severance Agreement dated April 23, 2004, between Registrant and Daniel J. Starck.	(n)
10.25	Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated November 23, 2004, among Registrant and certain of its subsidiaries, Bank of America, N.A., The Bank of Nova Scotia and certain other lending institutions.	(o)
14.1	Registrant's Code of Ethical Business Conduct.	(l)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries.	
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).	
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).	
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	

References Documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

- (a) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-44690), as filed on December 23, 1991.
- (b) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 33-69094), as filed on September 17, 1993.
- (c) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 33-90658), and its appendices, as filed on March 27, 1995.
- (d) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 33-94026), as filed on June 28, 1995.
- (e) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 33-80581), as filed on December 19, 1995.
- (f) Incorporated by reference to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.
- (g) Incorporated by reference to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- (h) Incorporated by reference to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- (i) Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2003, as filed on May 15, 2003.
- (j) Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2003, as filed on August 12, 2003.
- (k) Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2003, as filed on November 14, 2003.
- (l) Incorporated by reference to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY - Form FWP

References Documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

- (m) Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2004, as filed on May 10, 2004.
- (n) Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2004, as filed on August 6, 2004.
- (o) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 23, 2004, as filed on November 30, 2004.