

CIBER INC  
Form 10-Q  
July 29, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-13103

Ciber, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

38-2046833

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6363 South Fiddler's Green Circle, Suite 1400,  
Greenwood Village, Colorado

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(303) 220-0100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

80111

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: CIBER INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

There were 78,033,340 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of July 25, 2014.

---

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page	
Part I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited):	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity - Six Months Ended June 30, 2014</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>8</u>
Item 2.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>15</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>27</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>27</u>
Part II	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Signatures</u>		<u>31</u>

Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
<b>REVENUES</b>				
Consulting services	\$202,639	\$208,782	\$407,620	\$417,826
Other revenue	12,007	11,613	25,037	22,110
Total revenues	214,646	220,395	432,657	439,936
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES</b>				
Cost of consulting services	153,260	158,440	307,111	316,014
Cost of other revenue	6,830	5,917	14,419	12,658
Selling, general and administrative	55,393	50,399	105,033	100,490
Amortization of intangible assets	67	—	67	—
Restructuring charges	1,508	604	1,406	953
Total operating expenses	217,058	215,360	428,036	430,115
<b>OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	(2,412	) 5,035	4,621	9,821
Interest expense	(536	) (463	) (898	) (1,520
Other income (expense), net	(20	) 288	(90	) 667
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	(2,968	) 4,860	3,633	8,968
Income tax expense	2,201	1,925	4,736	4,584
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	(5,169	) 2,935	(1,103	) 4,384
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax	(288	) (4,555	) (430	) (4,537
<b>CONSOLIDATED NET LOSS</b>	(5,457	) (1,620	) (1,533	) (153
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	10	146	15	—
<b>NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO CIBER, INC.</b>	<b>\$(5,467</b>	<b>) \$(1,766</b>	<b>) \$(1,548</b>	<b>) \$(153</b>
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Ciber, Inc.:				
Continuing operations	\$(0.07	) \$0.04	\$(0.01	) \$0.06
Discontinued operations	—	(0.06	) (0.01	) (0.06
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Ciber, Inc.	\$(0.07	) \$(0.02	) \$(0.02	) \$—
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	77,301	74,690	76,877	74,381
Diluted	77,301	75,412	76,877	75,011

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	June 30,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Consolidated net loss	\$(5,457	) \$(1,620	) \$(1,533	) \$(153
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(230	) 53	678	(7,938
Comprehensive loss	(5,687	) (1,567	) (855	) (8,091
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	10	146	15	—
Comprehensive loss attributable to Ciber, Inc.	\$(5,697	) \$(1,713	) \$(870	) \$(8,091

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$20,364	\$44,483
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$2,460 and \$2,335, respectively	197,508	189,382
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	22,312	22,794
Total current assets	240,184	256,659
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$47,216 and \$48,500, respectively	15,210	12,923
Goodwill	283,333	281,714
Other assets	10,667	6,522
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$549,394</b>	<b>\$557,818</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Liabilities:		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$—	\$53
Accounts payable	27,003	34,223
Accrued compensation and related liabilities	53,075	69,622
Deferred revenue	17,931	20,989
Income taxes payable	877	1,654
Other accrued expenses and liabilities	42,632	44,190
Total current liabilities	141,518	170,731
Long-term debt	7,400	—
Deferred income taxes	26,591	23,910
Other long-term liabilities	12,564	10,119
Total liabilities	188,073	204,760
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Ciber, Inc. shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000 shares authorized, no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized, 78,164 and 75,822 shares issued, respectively	782	758
Treasury stock, at cost, 263 and 37 shares, respectively	(1,265	) (150
Additional paid-in capital	355,714	343,944
Retained earnings	1,778	4,887
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,774	3,096
Total Ciber, Inc. shareholders' equity	360,783	352,535
Noncontrolling interests	538	523

Total equity	361,321	353,058
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$549,394	\$557,818

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity  
 (In thousands)  
 (Unaudited)

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Treasury Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Ciber, Inc. Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
BALANCES AT JANUARY 1, 2014	75,822	\$ 758	(37 )	\$(150 )	\$343,944	\$4,887	\$ 3,096	\$ 352,535	\$ 523	\$353,058
Consolidated net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(1,548 )	—	(1,548 )	15	(1,533 )
Foreign currency translation	—	—	—	—	—	—	678	678	—	678
Shares issued under employee share plans, net	2,342	24	(226)	(1,115 )	4,350	(1,561 )	—	1,698	—	1,698
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	—	7,420	—	—	7,420	—	7,420
BALANCES AT JUNE 30, 2014	78,164	\$ 782	(263)	\$(1,265)	\$355,714	\$ 1,778	\$ 3,774	\$ 360,783	\$ 538	\$361,321

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Consolidated net loss	\$(1,533	) \$(153
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Loss from discontinued operations	430	4,537
Depreciation	2,611	3,080
Amortization of intangible assets	67	—
Deferred income tax expense	2,413	2,516
Provision for (recovery on) doubtful receivables	(65	) 712
Share-based compensation expense	7,420	3,669
Amortization of debt costs	285	513
Other, net	195	208
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(8,413	) (16,157
Other current and long-term assets	2,040	(470
Accounts payable	(7,129	) 793
Accrued compensation and related liabilities	(17,195	) (13,759
Other current and long-term liabilities	(5,985	) (1,914
Income taxes payable/refundable	(2,391	) (738
Cash used in operating activities — continuing operations	(27,250	) (17,163
Cash used in operating activities — discontinued operations	(779	) (3,367
Cash used in operating activities	(28,029	) (20,530
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Acquisition	(845	) —
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(5,009	) (1,286
Cash used in investing activities — continuing operations	(5,854	) (1,286
Cash used in investing activities — discontinued operations	—	(313
Cash used in investing activities	(5,854	) (1,599
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Borrowings on long-term debt	157,869	146,987
Payments on long-term debt	(150,414	) (144,274
Employee stock purchases and options exercised	4,373	1,625
Purchase of shares for employee tax withholdings	(2,675	) (429
Payment of initial fair value of acquisition-related contingent consideration	—	(3,428
Cash provided by financing activities — continuing operations	9,153	481
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	611	(3,580
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(24,119	) (25,228
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	44,483	58,849
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$20,364	\$33,621

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

Ciber, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Ciber, Inc. and its subsidiaries (together, “Ciber,” “the Company,” “we,” “our” or “us”) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) for complete financial statements. These consolidated financial statements should therefore be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and include all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for an interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for a full fiscal year.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements** — In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity (“ASU 2014-08”). This update raises the threshold for disposals to qualify as discontinued operations, and allows companies to have significant continuing involvement and continuing cash flows with the discontinued operation. ASU 2014-08 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within that year. The guidance is applied prospectively, and early adoption is permitted but only for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issue. This ASU may impact our assessment of future disposals, if any, once adopted.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (“ASU 2014-09”). The core principle of the standard is when an entity transfers goods or services to customers, it will recognize revenue in an amount that reflects the consideration the entity expects to be entitled to for those goods or services. The update outlines a five-step model and related application guidance, which replaces most existing revenue recognition guidance. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and for interim periods within that year, and allows for both retrospective and prospective methods of adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact of implementing this guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

**Fair Value** — The carrying value of the outstanding borrowings under the ABL Facility, as defined in Note 5, approximates its fair value as (1) it is based on a variable rate that changes based on market conditions and (2) the margin applied to the variable rate is based on Ciber's credit risk, which has not changed since entering into the facility in May 2012. If Ciber's credit risk were to change, we would estimate the fair value of our borrowings using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current rates expected to be available from the lender for similar types of debt. The inputs used to establish the fair value of the Credit Agreement are considered to be Level 2 inputs, which include inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Restructuring liabilities for office closures are initially recorded at estimated fair value utilizing level 3 assumptions, including an estimate of sublease income which is subject to adjustment in future periods if assumptions change. Within our goodwill impairment analysis, as discussed in Note 4, the discounted cash flow method (income approach) and market approach incorporates various level 3 inputs including projected revenue growth rates, earnings margins, and the present value, based on the discount rate and terminal growth rate, of forecasted cash flows.

Acquisition - In April 2014, we acquired certain contracts, software assets, and liabilities from an entity in Denmark. In accordance with authoritative accounting guidance for business combinations, the respective purchase price for this acquisition is allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess purchase price over the respective fair values of assets is recorded as goodwill in our International segment. All goodwill associated with this acquisition is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. We paid approximately \$0.8 million in cash at closing, and have agreed to additional future royalty payments limited to approximately \$1.1 million. This contingent consideration is based on future software sales through the third quarter of 2017, and the fair value was recorded using a probability weighted approach based on management's estimates using Level 3 inputs. The purchase price was allocated as follows: \$1.4 million of intangible and other assets fair valued based on development costs, \$1.3 million of goodwill, and \$0.8 million of current liabilities.

Table of Contents

## (2) Discontinued Operations

During the second quarter of 2013, we closed down our Russian operations and met the criteria for this business to be reported as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, the operations and cash flows were removed from our consolidated operating results. In 2012, we sold substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of our Federal division as well as certain contracts and related property and equipment and certain other assets associated with our information technology outsourcing ("ITO") practice, which have both been previously reported as discontinued operations. In connection with the sale of the Federal division and ITO practice, we retained certain assets and liabilities. Some of these items, including certain possible contingent liabilities, may not be settled for several years. Accordingly, adjustments to such items, as well as administrative expenses associated with these discontinued businesses, are recorded through our results of discontinued operations.

The following table summarizes the results of the discontinued operations during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended June	
	June 30, 2014	2013	30, 2014	2013
Total revenues	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$5,424
Operating expenses	288	4,446	430	9,779
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	(288	) (4,446	) (430	) (4,355
Income tax expense	—	109	—	230
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(288	) (4,555	) (430	) (4,585
Gain on sale	—	—	—	48
Total loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$(288	) \$(4,555	) \$(430	) \$(4,537

Table of Contents

## (3) Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Our computation of earnings (loss) per share — basic and diluted is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (5,169	) \$ 2,935	\$ (1,103	) \$ 4,384
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	10	146	15	—
Net income (loss) attributable to Ciber, Inc. from continuing operations	(5,179	) 2,789	(1,118	) 4,384
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income tax	(288	) (4,555	) (430	) (4,537
Net loss attributable to Ciber, Inc.	\$ (5,467	) \$ (1,766	) \$ (1,548	) \$ (153
Denominator:				
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	77,301	74,690	76,877	74,381
Dilutive effect of employee stock plans	—	722	—	630
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	77,301	75,412	76,877	75,011
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Ciber, Inc.:				
Continuing operations	\$ (0.07	) \$ 0.04	\$ (0.01	) \$ 0.06
Discontinued operations	—	(0.06	) (0.01	) (0.06
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Ciber, Inc.	\$ (0.07	) \$ (0.02	) \$ (0.02	) \$ —
Anti-dilutive securities omitted from the calculation	3,252	4,855	3,370	5,232

Dilutive securities, including stock options and restricted stock units, are excluded from the diluted weighted average shares outstanding computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect, such as when we report a net loss attributable to Ciber, Inc. from continuing operations, or when stock options have an exercise price that is greater than the average market price of Ciber common stock during the period.

## (4) Goodwill

We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill as of June 30 each year or more often if there are indicators of impairment present. We test each of our reporting units for goodwill impairment. Our reporting units are the same as our operating divisions and reportable segments. The goodwill impairment test requires a two-step process. The first step consists of comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then it is not considered impaired and no further analysis is required. If step one indicates that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then impairment potentially exists and the second step is performed to measure the amount of goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment exists when the estimated implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill is less than its carrying value.

We compared the carrying values of our International and North America reporting units to their estimated fair values at June 30, 2014. We estimated the fair value of each reporting unit based on a weighting of both the income approach and the market approach. The discounted cash flows for each reporting unit serve as the primary basis for the income

approach, and were based on discrete financial forecasts developed by management. Cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period of five years were estimated using the perpetuity growth method calculation. The annual average revenue growth rates forecasted for our reporting units for the first five years of our projections were approximately 3%. We have projected a minor amount of operating profit margin improvement based on expected margin benefits from certain internal initiatives. The terminal value was calculated assuming projected growth rates of 3% after five years, which reflects our estimate of minimum long-term growth in IT spending. The income approach valuations also included each reporting unit's estimated weighted average cost of capital, which were 14.5% and 15.0% for International and North America, respectively. The market approach applied pricing

Table of Contents

multiples derived from publicly-traded companies that are comparable to the respective reporting units to determine their values. For our International and North America reporting units, we used enterprise value/EBITDA multiples of 7 and 6, respectively, in order to value each of our reporting units under the market approach. In addition, the fair value under the market approach included a control premium of 33%. The control premium was determined based on a review of comparative market transactions. Publicly-available information regarding our market capitalization was also considered in assessing the reasonableness of the cumulative fair values of our reporting units.

As a result of the first step of our goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2014, we estimated that the fair values for our International and North America reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts by 21% and 59%, respectively, thus no impairment was indicated. We have updated our cash flow forecasts and our other assumptions used to calculate the estimated fair value of our reporting units to account for our beliefs and expectations of the current business environment. While we believe our estimates are appropriate based on our view of current business trends, no assurance can be provided that impairment charges will not be required in the future.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the six months ended June 30, 2014, were as follows:

	International	North America	Total
	(In thousands)		
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 148,033	\$ 133,681	\$ 281,714
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes	337	—	337
Acquisition	1,282	—	1,282
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 149,652	\$ 133,681	\$ 283,333

## (5) Borrowings

We have an asset-based revolving line of credit of up to \$60 million (the “ABL Facility”) with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The maximum amount available for borrowing at any time under such line of credit is determined according to a borrowing base valuation of eligible account receivables, which was \$51.8 million at June 30, 2014. The ABL Facility provides for borrowings in the United States, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and Germany and matures on May 7, 2017. As of June 30, 2014, we had \$7.4 million outstanding under the ABL Facility. We expect our borrowings to fluctuate based on our working capital needs. Our obligations under the ABL Facility are guaranteed by us and are secured by substantially all of our U.S., Netherlands, United Kingdom, and German assets. Under the ABL Facility, Wells Fargo will have dominion over the cash receipts related to any U.K., Dutch and German borrowings. At June 30, 2014, we had no foreign borrowings that were subject to the bank's dominion.

## (6) Income Taxes

Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June		Six Months Ended June	
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousands)			
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:				
U.S.	\$ (3,137	) \$ 1,888	\$ (1,089	) \$ 1,995
Foreign	169	2,972	4,722	6,973
Total	\$ (2,968	) \$ 4,860	\$ 3,633	\$ 8,968
Income tax expense:				
U.S.	\$ 1,025	\$ 1,190	\$ 2,051	\$ 2,380



Edgar Filing: CIBER INC - Form 10-Q

Foreign	1,176	735	2,685	2,204
Total	\$2,201	\$1,925	\$4,736	\$4,584

Due to our history of domestic losses, we have a full valuation allowance for all U.S. net deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record U.S. deferred tax expense related to tax-basis goodwill amortization.

Table of Contents

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 21% to 34%. The foreign tax expense was higher than the normal effective rate primarily as a result of the current mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and the recognition of reserves for certain tax exposure items. In addition, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory tax rates resulted from lower overall pre-tax income in the current year.

## (7) Restructuring Charges

On July 30, 2013, we approved a restructuring plan primarily focused on our International operations ("the 2013 Plan"). The goal of the 2013 Plan was to improve utilization, strategically engage our lower-cost off-shore and near-shore resources, and centralize management of administrative functions in key markets to leverage shared services functions. The actions of this plan impacted approximately 250 employees. We have completed all activities associated with the 2013 Plan. The charges associated with the 2013 Plan were substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs.

The changes in our 2013 Plan restructuring liabilities, which are recorded in other accrued expenses, during the six months ended June 30, 2014 are as follows:

	2013 Plan (In thousands)	
Restructuring liability, as of January 1, 2014	\$7,454	
Restructuring credit	(475	)
Non-cash items	17	
Cash paid	(5,996	)
Foreign exchange rate changes	(69	)
Restructuring liability, as of June 30, 2014	\$931	

Restructuring charges (credit) by segment are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Plan to Date
	(In thousands)		
North America	\$(52	) \$(112	) \$548
International	(31	) (496	) 11,620
Other	—	(7	) 57
Corporate	21	140	721
Total	\$(62	) \$(475	) \$12,946

Table of Contents

On November 5, 2012, we approved a company restructuring plan ("the 2012 Plan"). In the third quarter of 2013, all restructuring actions associated with this plan were completed. Although we have completed all activities associated with the 2012 Plan, our lease-related office closure costs are subject to estimate and as such our actual restructuring charges may differ from our current estimates. In the second quarter of 2014, we incurred additional charges related to adjusting our sublease estimates.

The changes in our restructuring liabilities for the 2012 Plan, which are recorded in other accrued expenses, during the six months ended June 30, 2014 are as follows:

	Office Closures (in thousands)
Restructuring liability, as of January 1, 2014	\$2,770
Restructuring charges	1,881
Cash paid	(1,713 )
Foreign exchange rate changes	5
Restructuring liability, as of June 30, 2014	\$2,943

Restructuring charges by segment are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Plan to Date (1)
	(In thousands)		
North America	\$ 10	\$ 33	\$ 1,738
International	596	856	7,936
Corporate (2)	964	992	3,690
Total	\$ 1,570	\$ 1,881	\$ 13,364

(1) Our restructuring charges, particularly lease-related office closure costs, are subject to estimate. If we are unable to find tenants for vacated offices or sub-lease terms are different from our assumptions, our actual restructuring charges will differ from our current estimates.

(2) Corporate restructuring charges include costs for administrative facility consolidation.

Table of Contents

## (8) Segment Information

The following presents financial information about our reportable segments:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousands)			
Revenues:				
International	\$ 109,830	\$ 113,935	\$ 224,811	\$ 226,610
North America	105,154	106,759	208,632	213,928
Other	567	895	1,221	1,742
Inter-segment	(905 )	(1,194 )	(2,007 )	(2,344 )
Total revenues	\$ 214,646	\$ 220,395	\$ 432,657	\$ 439,936
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations:				
International	\$ 3,231	\$ 6,000	\$ 10,055	\$ 10,951
North America	9,109	8,502	17,581	16,598
Other	(4 )	82	133	118
Corporate expenses	(13,173 )	(8,945 )	(21,675 )	(16,893 )
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before amortization and restructuring charges	(837 )	5,639	6,094	10,774
Amortization of intangible assets	(67 )	—	(67 )	—
Restructuring charges	(1,508 )	(604 )	(1,406 )	(953 )
Total operating income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(2,412 )	\$ 5,035	\$ 4,621	\$ 9,821

## (9) Contingencies

We are subject to various claims and litigation that arise in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain. Therefore, the outcome of such matters is not predictable.

As previously reported, we are engaged in legal proceedings in Germany in connection with our acquisition of a controlling interest in Novasoft AG (now known as Ciber AG) in 2004. In August 2006, we completed a buy-out of the remaining minority shareholders of Novasoft. Certain of those former minority shareholders challenged the adequacy of the buy-out consideration by initiating a review by the district court in Mannheim, Germany. The court made a determination in 2013 which is now under appeal by the plaintiffs. Based on information known to us, we have established a reserve that we believe is reasonable. We are unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

As previously reported, a lawsuit titled CamSoft Data Systems, Inc. v. Southern Electronics, et al., was filed initially in October 2009 in Louisiana state court against numerous defendants, including Ciber. The lawsuit was subsequently removed to federal court in the Middle District of Louisiana and the complaint was amended to include additional defendants and causes of action including antitrust claims, civil RICO claims, unfair trade practices, trade secret, fraud, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy claims. The suit involves many of the same parties involved in related litigation in the state court in New Orleans, which was concluded in 2009 when Ciber settled the New Orleans suit with the plaintiffs, Active Solutions and Southern Electronics, who were CamSoft's former alleged joint venturers and are now co-defendants in the current lawsuit. Ciber is vigorously defending the allegations. The matter is ongoing in the appellate courts where Camsoft has filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Court of Appeals while Ciber and the other defendants have filed notices of appeal with the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals and with the Federal Court of Appeals. Based on information known to us, we have established a reserve that we believe is reasonable. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

As previously reported, in October 2011, a putative securities class action lawsuit, Weston v. Ciber, Inc. et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against Ciber and several of its current and

former officers. In November 2013, we entered into a settlement among the lead plaintiff and the defendants that involved funds paid by our insurers being placed into a settlement fund for the benefit of the class. We have not made any admission of liability or wrongdoing by entering into this settlement. The Court issued final approval of the settlement in April 2014, dismissing the claims of the class with prejudice, and terminating the litigation.

## Table of Contents

As previously reported, in February 2012, a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, *Seni v. Peterschmidt. et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against several of our current and former officers and our then-current board of directors. This complaint generally alleged that the various defendants breached their fiduciary duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty, due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, and supervision by approving the issuance of allegedly false statements that misrepresented material information about the finances and operations of the Company. On March 22, 2013, the Court dismissed this complaint with leave to amend. On April 26, 2013, plaintiff filed an amended complaint that largely made the same claims as the original complaint. In February 2014, the Court dismissed the amended complaint with leave to amend. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint and defendants filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint in March 2014. We believe this derivative lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend against the claims. We are, however, unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

In March 2012, a shareholder, Valerie Denny, made a litigation demand on the Board to investigate certain allegations and bring suit against the directors and certain current and former executive officers of the Company. In response, the Board formed an Independent Committee to investigate the claims. In December 2012, after the Independent Committee completed its investigation, it reported its findings to the board of directors. Based upon the Independent Committee's findings that Denny's claims were without merit, the Board formally refused the demand. In February 2014, Denny filed a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, *Denny v. Peterschmidt, et al.*, in Colorado State court, Arapahoe County, against certain current and former officers and directors. This complaint generally made the same allegations as set out in Denny's March 2012 demand. The Complaint alleged that between December 15, 2010, and August 3, 2011, the defendants committed breaches of fiduciary duty that caused losses to Ciber's reputation and goodwill. The defendants were alleged to have breached their fiduciary duties by disseminating inaccurate and incomplete information about Ciber's financial results and business prospects, failing to maintain internal controls, and failing to properly oversee and manage the Company. Denny also made claims of unjust enrichment and insider trading. In March 2014, Defendants filed a motion to stay the action pending resolution of the federal derivative action (*Seni v. Peterschmidt*), as well as motions to dismiss the action, and an answer to the complaint. In response to those motions, Denny agreed to stay the case. The court therefore issued an order staying the action on March 24, 2014. We believe this derivative lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend against the claims. We are, however, unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

### (10) Subsequent Events

On July 25, 2014, we approved a restructuring plan focused on the implementation of a go-to-market model, realigning the organization and improving our offshore delivery mix ("the 2014 Plan"). The 2014 Plan will commence in the third quarter of 2014 and is expected to be completed in the next twelve months. We estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges for the 2014 Plan will be approximately \$24 million, substantially all of which will be cash. The charges associated with the 2014 Plan are substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs.

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and with the information under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. References to "we," "our," "us," "the Company," or "Ciber" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q refer to Ciber, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

We use the phrase "in constant currency" to indicate that we are comparing certain financial results after removing the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, thereby allowing for the comparison of business performance

between periods. Financial results "in constant currency" are calculated by restating current period activity into U.S. dollars using the comparable prior year period's foreign currency exchange rates. This approach is used for all results where the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar.

#### Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 relating to our operations, results of operations and other matters that are based on our current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections. Words, such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "expect," "estimate," "intend," "may," "opportunity," "plan," "potential," "project," "should," and "will" and similar expressions, are intended to identify these forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions as to future

Table of Contents

events that may not prove to be accurate. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

• Our results of operations may be adversely affected if we are unable to execute on the key elements of our strategic plan or our strategic plan proves to be less successful than anticipated.

• If we are not able to anticipate and keep pace with rapid changes in technology, our business may be negatively affected.

• A data security or privacy breach could adversely affect our business.

• We may experience declines in revenue and profitability if we do not accurately estimate the cost of engagements conducted on a fixed-price basis.

• Our business could be adversely affected if our clients are not satisfied with our services, and we could face damage to our professional reputation and/or legal liability.

• Termination of a contract by a significant client and/or cancellation with short notice could adversely affect our results of operations.

• Our results of operations can be adversely affected by economic conditions and the impacts of economic conditions on our clients' operations and technology spending.

• If we do not continue to improve our operational, financial and other internal controls and systems to manage our growth and size or if we are unable to enter, operate and compete effectively in new geographic markets, our results of operations may suffer and the value of our business may be harmed.

• Our brand and reputation are key assets and competitive advantages of our Company, and our business may be affected by how we are perceived in the marketplace.

• Our future success depends on our ability to continue to retain and attract qualified sales, delivery and technical employees.

• We cannot guarantee that we are in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

• If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights from unauthorized use or infringement by third parties, our business could be adversely affected.

• Our services or solutions could infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others, or we might lose our ability to utilize rights we claim in intellectual property or the intellectual property of others.

• If we are unable to collect our receivables, our results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected.

• Our credit facility, an asset-based loan facility, limits our operational and financial flexibility.

• Our revenues, operating results and profitability may vary from quarter to quarter and may result in increased volatility in the price of our stock.

• Our international operations expose us to additional risks that could have adverse effects on our business and operating results.

• The IT services industry, in the U.S. and internationally, is highly competitive, with increased focus on offshore capability and we may not be able to compete effectively in this evolving marketplace.

• Our operations are vulnerable to disruptions that may impact our results of operations and from which we may not recover.

• We might not be successful at identifying, acquiring, or integrating businesses or entering into joint ventures.

• We could incur additional losses due to further impairment in the carrying value of our goodwill.

• We depend on contracts with various public sector agencies for a significant portion of our revenue and, if the spending policies or budget priorities of these agencies change, we could lose revenue.

• Unfavorable government audits could require us to adjust previously reported operating results, to forego anticipated revenue and subject us to penalties and sanctions.

• We have adopted anti-takeover defenses that could make it difficult for another company to acquire control of Ciber or limit the price investors might be willing to pay for our stock, thus affecting the market price of our securities.



## Table of Contents

For a more detailed discussion of these factors, see the information under the “Risk Factors” heading in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and other documents filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements in light of new information or future events. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

### Business Overview

Ciber is a leading global information technology (“IT”) company founded in 1974 with 40 years of proven IT experience, world-class credentials and a wide range of technology expertise. With operations in 15 countries across four continents, Ciber has the infrastructure and expertise to deliver IT services to almost any organization. At Ciber, we take a client-focused, personalized service approach that includes the building of long-term relationships, creation of custom-tailored IT solutions, and the implementation of business strategies to reflect anticipated trends. Driven by results, we are committed to delivering quality solutions precisely configured to our clients' needs and achieving high client satisfaction. The consistent goal is sustainable business value delivered on time and on budget.

The key initiatives of our strategic plan include: (i) focusing on high-value, tightly-defined core offerings with a well-developed portfolio of reusable solution sets; (ii) developing a world-class sales organization; and (iii) performing under heightened operational regimes.

We operate our business by geography. Our reportable operating segments consist of International and North America. Our International segment transacts business in the local currencies of the countries in which it operates. In recent years, approximately 50% to 60% of our International division's revenue has been denominated in Euros, 15% to 20% has been denominated in Great Britain Pounds (“GBP”) and the balance has come from a number of other European currencies. Changes in the exchange rates between these foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. For financial reporting purposes, the assets and liabilities of our foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at current exchange rates at period end and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the period.

The market demand for Ciber's services is heavily dependent on IT spending by Fortune 500 and middle-market corporations, organizations and government entities in the markets and regions that we serve. In recent years, economic recession and volatile economic conditions have negatively impacted many of our existing and prospective clients and caused fluctuations in their IT spending behaviors. The pace of technological advancement, as well as changes in business requirements and practices of our clients, all have a significant impact on the demand for the services that we provide.

Our results of operations are affected by economic conditions, including macroeconomic conditions and levels of business confidence. Revenue is driven by our ability to secure new contracts and deliver solutions and services that add value relevant to our clients' current needs and challenges. In recent years and ongoing for the foreseeable future, we have been affected by significant efforts by our clients (both current and potential) to implement cost-savings initiatives. These initiatives have included going to third-party vendor management systems, taking their business to larger, pure-play offshore vendors and vendor consolidation. In some cases, these initiatives have benefited Ciber, but in others we have lost our revenue stream entirely or seen a decline in our level of revenues with particular clients. The pricing environment continues to be extremely competitive. A number of our competitors are structuring more offshore services into their bids, thereby lowering their pricing and making it more difficult for us to compete on pricing. We also have global delivery options to offer to our current and potential clients as possible cost savings, and we are expanding our offshore capabilities and increasing the usage of these resources; however, they are on a smaller scale than the offshore offerings of some of our competitors.

Another issue that continues to have an impact on our revenues and profitability involves a longer sales cycle than we have seen historically. This has been driven by a much slower decision-making process in starting new projects in a variety of industries that we currently serve, or in which we are currently bidding for work, and the changing business mix of our service offerings. The longer sales cycle increases the cost of our sales efforts and pushes potential revenues and profitability further into the future. Some clients remain cautious, seeking flexibility by shifting to a more phased approach to contracting for work. We are also affected by the fact that certain markets we do business in currently have shortages of desired skill sets, forcing us to use higher cost subcontractors. We have standards governing the quality of engagements that we will accept with the goal of growing revenue, increasing margins, improving collectability of receivables and delivering sustained, predictable performance. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful with such actions, and in certain cases, these actions may slow our revenue growth. Economic conditions and other factors continue to impact the business operations of some of our clients, their ability to continue to use our services and their financial ability to pay for our services in full. The

## Table of Contents

impact of project cancellations cannot be accurately predicted and bad debt expense may differ significantly from our estimates, and any such events may negatively impact our results of operations.

### Discontinued Operations

During the second quarter of 2013, we closed down our Russian operations and met the criteria for this business to be reported as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, the operations and cash flows were removed from our consolidated operating results. In 2012, we sold substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of our Federal division as well as certain contracts and related property and equipment and certain other assets associated with our information technology outsourcing ("ITO") practice, which have both been previously reported as discontinued operations. In connection with the sale of the Federal division and ITO practice, we retained certain assets and liabilities. Some of these items, including certain possible contingent liabilities, may not be settled for several years. Accordingly, adjustments to such items, as well as administrative expenses associated with these discontinued businesses, are recorded through our results of discontinued operations. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

### Restructuring

On July 25, 2014, we approved a restructuring plan focused on the implementation of a go-to-market model, realigning the organization and improving our offshore delivery mix ("the 2014 Plan"). The 2014 Plan will commence in the third quarter of 2014 and is expected to be completed in the next twelve months. We estimate the total amount of the restructuring charges for the 2014 Plan will be approximately \$24 million, substantially all of which will be cash. The charges associated with the 2014 Plan are substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs.

On July 30, 2013, we approved a restructuring plan primarily focused on our International operations ("the 2013 Plan"). The goal of the 2013 Plan was to improve utilization, strategically engage our lower-cost off-shore and near-shore resources, and centralize management of administrative functions in key markets to leverage shared services functions. The actions of this plan impacted approximately 250 employees. We have completed all activities associated with the 2013 Plan. The charges associated with the 2013 Plan were substantially all related to personnel severance and related employee benefit costs. We expect the 2013 Plan will result in annualized pre-tax net savings of approximately \$12 million that will be fully realized starting in the second half of 2014 and each year thereafter.

On November 5, 2012, we approved a company restructuring plan ("the 2012 Plan"). In the third quarter of 2013, all restructuring actions associated with this plan were completed. Although we have completed all activities associated with the 2012 Plan, our lease-related office closure costs are subject to estimate and as such our actual restructuring charges may differ from our current estimates. In the second quarter of 2014, we incurred additional charges related to adjusting our sublease estimates. Restructuring liabilities for office closures are recorded at estimated fair value, including an estimate of sublease income which is subject to adjustment in future periods if assumptions change. We expect pre-tax savings of \$11 million in 2014 related to the 2012 Plan. However, these savings are partially offset by adverse business performance in our International segment.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations — Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

The following table and related discussion provide information about our consolidated financial results for the periods presented. In the second quarter of 2013, the operations of our Russian business met the criteria to be classified as a discontinued operation. As such, our Russian operations have been excluded from our results from continuing operations in the tables and related discussion below, unless otherwise noted.

The following table sets forth certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data in dollars and expressed as a percentage of revenue:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2014		2013			
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Consulting services	\$202,639	94.4	%	\$208,782	94.7	%
Other revenue	12,007	5.6		11,613	5.3	
Total revenues	\$214,646	100.0	%	\$220,395	100.0	%
Gross profit - consulting services	\$49,379	24.4	%	\$50,342	24.1	%
Gross profit - other revenue	5,177	43.1		5,696	49.0	
Gross profit - total	54,556	25.4		56,038	25.4	
SG&A costs	55,393	25.8		50,399	22.9	
Amortization of intangible assets	67	—		—	—	
Restructuring charges	1,508	0.7		604	0.3	
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(2,412	) (1.1	)	5,035	2.3	
Interest expense	(536	) (0.2	)	(463	) (0.2	)
Other income (expense), net	(20	) —		288	0.1	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(2,968	) (1.4	)	4,860	2.2	
Income tax expense	2,201	1.0		1,925	0.9	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(5,169	) (2.4	)%	\$2,935	1.3	%

Revenue by segment from continuing operations was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June			
	2014	2013	% change	
	(In thousands)			
International	\$109,830	\$113,935	(3.6	)%
North America	105,154	106,759	(1.5	)
Other	567	895	n/m	
Inter-segment	(905	) (1,194	)	n/m
Total revenues	\$214,646	\$220,395	(2.6	)%

n/m = not meaningful

## Edgar Filing: CIBER INC - Form 10-Q

Revenues. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, total revenues decreased \$5.7 million, or 2.6% in U.S. dollars in comparison to the three months ended June 30, 2013. On a local currency basis, revenues decreased \$10.5 million, or 4.7%, as compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013, due to declines in both our International and North America segments.

International revenues decreased \$4.1 million, or 3.6% overall, and 7.7% in local currency compared with the three months ended June 30, 2013. This decline was primarily a result of continued challenges in the Netherlands, including a soft revenue pipeline and an overall increase in employee attrition. We continue to have strong performance in our Ciber Managed Services ("CMS") practice which lead to growth in other countries. Our

Table of Contents

operations in European countries continue to experience vendor consolidation and cost-containment measures which contributed to the overall decline in revenue during the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to 2013.

North America revenues decreased \$1.6 million, or 1.5% compared to the second quarter of 2013. This decrease is primarily due to reduced revenue in our Application Development and Maintenance ("ADM") practice which is a result of client budget constraints, vendor consolidation and project cancellations. This decrease was partially offset by improved performance in several Independent Software Vendor ("ISV") channels. We also had growth in our CMS business during the three months ended June 30, 2014, which is partially a result of our ISV successes.

Gross Profit. Gross profit margin was flat at 25.4% for both the three months ended June 30, 2014 and the same period in 2013. Gross profit margin for our International segment decreased as a result of employee attrition in the Netherlands and decreased utilization. North America gross margin increased compared to the second quarter of 2013 due to a reduction in personnel costs.

Selling, general and administrative costs. Our SG&A costs increased \$5.0 million, or 9.9%, to \$55.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, from \$50.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. Our corporate SG&A costs increased mainly as a result of higher stock compensation expense compared with the second quarter of 2013. A significant portion of this increase is a result of our management transition in the current quarter. International SG&A costs increased compared to the second quarter of 2013 mainly due to an investment in our recruiting and sales functions. North America SG&A costs were down due to a reduction in bad debt expense and lower facilities costs.

Operating income (loss). We had an operating loss of \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to operating income of \$5.0 million for the same period of 2013. This change was primarily a result of increased SG&A costs, which was mainly a result of the current quarter management transition.

Operating income (loss) from continuing operations by segment was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June		%	2014	2013
	30,	30,			
	2014	2013	change	revenue*	revenue*
	(In thousands)				
International	\$3,231	\$6,000	(46.2 )%	2.9	5.3
North America	9,109	8,502	7.1	8.7	8.0
Other	(4	) 82	n/m	(0.7	) 9.2
Corporate expenses	(13,173	) (8,945	) (47.3	) (6.1	) (4.1
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before amortization and restructuring charges	(837	) 5,639	(114.8	) (0.4	) 2.6
Amortization of intangible assets	(67	) —	n/m	—	—
Restructuring charges	(1,508	) (604	) n/m	(0.7	) (0.3
Total operating income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (2,412	) \$ 5,035	(147.9 )%	(1.1 )%	2.3

n/m = not meaningful

\*International, North America and Other calculated as a % of their respective revenue, all other items calculated as a % of total revenue. Column may not total due to rounding.

•

International operating income decreased to \$3.2 million for the second quarter of 2014 compared to \$6.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. This decrease is primarily due to revenue challenges in the Netherlands and overall increased SG&A spending.

North America operating income increased \$0.6 million, or 7.1% compared to the second quarter of 2013. The increase was a result of reductions in SG&A expenses, due to a decrease in bad debt and facilities expenses.

Corporate expenses increased \$4.2 million during the current three month period primarily due to higher stock compensation costs which were primarily related to the current quarter management transition.

Table of Contents

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to the same period of 2013 primarily due higher interest rates on our ABL Facility in 2014 than in 2013.

Other income (expense), net. Other expense, net was \$20 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to other income, net of \$288 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013. This decrease was due to foreign exchange gains and losses and a decrease in interest income.

Income taxes. Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:		
U.S.	\$(3,137	) \$1,888
Foreign	169	2,972
Total	\$(2,968	) \$4,860
Income tax expense:		
U.S.	\$1,025	\$1,190
Foreign	1,176	735
Total	\$2,201	\$1,925

Due to our history of domestic losses, we have a full valuation allowance for all net U.S. deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record deferred U.S. tax expense related to tax-basis goodwill amortization, and we expect to record approximately \$4 million of related U.S. deferred tax expense in 2014.

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 21% to 34%. The foreign tax expense was higher than the normal effective rate primarily as a result of the mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and the recognition of reserves for certain tax exposure items. In addition, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory tax rates resulted from lower overall pre-tax income in the current year.



Table of Contents

## Results of Operations — Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

The following table and related discussion provide information about our consolidated financial results for the periods presented. In the second quarter of 2013, the operations of our Russian business met the criteria to be classified as a discontinued operation. As such, our Russian operations have been excluded from our results from continuing operations in the tables and related discussion below, unless otherwise noted.

The following table sets forth certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data in dollars and expressed as a percentage of revenue:

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2014		2013		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Consulting services	\$407,620	94.2	% \$417,826	95.0	%
Other revenue	25,037	5.8	22,110	5.0	
Total revenues	\$432,657	100.0	% \$439,936	100.0	%
Gross profit - consulting services	\$100,509	24.7	% \$101,812	24.4	%
Gross profit - other revenue	10,618	42.4	9,452	42.7	
Gross profit - total	111,127	25.7	111,264	25.3	
SG&A costs	105,033	24.3	100,490	22.8	
Amortization of intangible assets	67	—	—	—	
Restructuring charges	1,406	0.3	953	0.2	
Operating income from continuing operations	4,621	1.1	9,821	2.2	
Interest expense	(898	) (0.2	) (1,520	) (0.3	)
Other income (expense), net	(90	) —	667	0.2	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	3,633	0.8	8,968	2.0	
Income tax expense	4,736	1.1	4,584	1.0	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(1,103	) (0.3	)% \$4,384	1.0	%

Revenue by segment from continuing operations was as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	% change
	(In thousands)		
International	\$224,811	\$226,610	(0.8)%
North America	208,632	213,928	(2.5)%
Other	1,221	1,742	n/m
Inter-segment	(2,007	) (2,344	) n/m
Total revenues	\$432,657	\$439,936	(1.7)%

n/m = not meaningful

Revenues. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, total revenues decreased \$7.3 million, or 1.7% in U.S. dollars compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. On a constant currency basis, revenues decreased \$15.4 million, or 3.5%, as compared with the six months ended June 30, 2013, due to declines in both our International and North

America segments.

International revenues decreased \$1.8 million, or 0.8%, and decreased 4.4% in constant currency during the six months ended June 30, 2014. Revenue results varied by country with growth in our operations in Germany and Norway. We had strong performance in the CMS practice which positively impacted revenue growth in Germany and other countries. This growth was offset by a decline in revenue in the Netherlands due a soft revenue pipeline and an overall increase in employee attrition. Our operations in European countries continued to experience vendor

22

---

Table of Contents

consolidation and cost-containment measures which have tempered revenue growth during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

North America revenues decreased \$5.3 million, or 2.5% compared to the first six months of 2013. This decrease is primarily due to reduced revenue in our ADM practice which is a result of client budget constraints, vendor consolidation and project cancellations. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in our Business Consulting practice as well as certain ISV channels. We continue to see growth in our CMS offerings as well.

Gross Profit. Gross profit margin improved to 25.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to 25.3% for the same period in 2013. Gross profit margin for our International business improved as a result of cost reduction actions in connection with the 2013 Restructuring Plan, and due to lower use of subcontractors. North America gross margin decreased during the first six months of 2014 as a result of lower margins in our ADM practice and certain ISV channels.

Selling, general and administrative costs. Our SG&A costs increased by \$4.5 million, or 4.5% to \$105.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, from \$100.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Our corporate SG&A costs increased mainly as a result of higher stock compensation expense compared with the first half of 2013. A significant portion of this increase is a result of our management transition in the current quarter. International SG&A costs increased compared to the first six months of 2013 due to increased labor costs. North America SG&A costs were down due to reduced personnel costs, improved cost discipline, and lower facilities expense.

Operating income. Our operating income was \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to \$9.8 million for the same period of 2013. This overall decline was mainly a result of increased corporate SG&A costs which was primarily related to the current quarter management transition.

Operating income from continuing operations by segment was as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		%	2014	2013
	2014	2013			
	(In thousands)				
International	\$10,055	\$10,951	(8.2)	% 4.5	% 4.8
North America	17,581	16,598	5.9	8.4	7.8
Other	133	118	n/m	n/m	n/m
Corporate expenses	(21,675)	(16,893)	(28.3)	(5.0)	(3.8)
Operating income from continuing operations before amortization and restructuring charges	6,094	10,774	(43.4)	1.4	2.4
Amortization of intangible assets	(67)	—	n/m	—	—
Restructuring charges	(1,406)	(953)	n/m	(0.3)	(0.2)
Total operating income from continuing operations	\$4,621	\$9,821	(52.9)	% 1.1	% 2.2

n/m = not meaningful

\*International, North America and Other calculated as a % of their respective revenue, all other items calculated as a % of total revenue. Column may not total due to rounding.

International operating income declined to \$10.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$11.0 million for the comparable period in 2013. This is primarily due to higher SG&A costs which were a result of increased labor costs. These costs were partially offset by improved margins which were, in large part, a result of our

2013 Restructuring Plan.

North America operating income increased \$1.0 million, or 5.9%, compared to the first six months of 2013. The increase was due to a reduction in SG&A expenses, specifically relating to reduced personnel costs and facilities expenses. However, these improvements were somewhat offset by lower revenues in our ADM practice and margin compression.

Corporate expenses increased \$4.8 million during the current six month period primarily due to increased stock compensation costs related to our current quarter management transition.

Table of Contents

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to the same period of 2013 due to a significant reduction in our average borrowings.

Other income (expense), net. Other expense, net was \$90 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to other income, net of \$667 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013. This change was due to foreign exchange gains and losses and a decrease in interest income.

Income taxes. Current period U.S. and foreign income (loss) before income taxes as well as income tax expense were as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes:		
U.S.	\$(1,089	) \$1,995
Foreign	4,722	6,973
Total	\$3,633	\$8,968
Income tax expense:		
U.S.	\$2,051	\$2,380
Foreign	2,685	2,204
Total	\$4,736	\$4,584

Due to our history of domestic losses, we have a full valuation allowance for all net U.S. deferred tax assets, including our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. As a result, we cannot record any tax benefits for additional U.S. incurred losses and any U.S. income is offset by a reduction in valuation allowance. Irrespective of our income or loss levels, we continue to record deferred U.S. tax expense related to tax-basis goodwill amortization, and we expect to record approximately \$4 million of related U.S. deferred tax expense in 2014.

The effective rate on our foreign tax expense varies with the mix of income and losses across multiple tax jurisdictions with most statutory tax rates varying from 21% to 34%. The foreign tax expense was higher than the normal effective rate primarily as a result of the mix of income and losses across jurisdictions and the recognition of reserves for certain tax exposure items. In addition, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory tax rates resulted from lower overall pre-tax income in the current year.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2014, we had \$98.7 million in working capital, which represented an increase from \$85.9 million at December 31, 2013. Our current ratio was 1.7:1 at June 30, 2014, compared to 1.5:1 at December 31, 2013. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations, available cash reserves, and debt capacity under our credit agreement. In addition, we could seek to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents, our expected operating cash flow and our available credit agreement will be sufficient to finance our working capital needs through at least the next year.

Our balance of cash and cash equivalents was \$20.4 million at June 30, 2014, compared to \$44.5 million at December 31, 2013. Our domestic cash balances are generally used to reduce our outstanding borrowings. Typically, most of our cash balance is maintained by our foreign subsidiaries. We have not provided for additional U.S. income taxes on the undistributed earnings of most of our foreign subsidiaries, as we currently do not have plans to repatriate

cash in the future and we consider these to be permanently reinvested in the operations of such subsidiaries. If future events, including material changes in estimates of cash, working capital and long-term investment requirements, necessitate that the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries be distributed, an additional provision for income taxes may apply, which could materially affect our future tax expense.

Related to the execution of our 2012 restructuring plan, we had cash outlays of approximately \$1.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014. In the third quarter of 2013, all restructuring actions associated with the 2012 Plan were

Table of Contents

completed. However, in the second quarter of 2014, we incurred additional charges related to adjusting our sublease estimate. Total cash outlays associated with the plan will be approximately \$13 million, \$10 million of which has been paid to date.

We had cash outlays of approximately \$6.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 related to the 2013 restructuring plan, and we estimate total cash outlays of \$13 million, \$12 million of which has been paid to date.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing operations:		
Operating activities	\$(27,250	) \$(17,163
Investing activities	(5,854	) (1,286
Financing activities	9,153	481
Net cash used in continuing operations	(23,951	) (17,968
Net cash used in discontinued operations:		
Operating activities	(779	) (3,367
Investing activities	—	(313
Net cash used in discontinued operations:	(779	) (3,680
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	611	(3,580
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$(24,119	) \$(25,228

Operating activities. Cash used in operating activities from continuing operations was \$27.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$17.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Changes in normal short-term working capital items, including accounts payable and accrued liabilities, contributed to the increase in cash used in continuing operations during the current six month period as compared to the same period of the prior year. Our working capital fluctuates significantly due to changes in accounts receivable (discussed below), as well as due to the timing of our domestic payroll and accounts payable processing cycles with regard to month-end dates and other seasonal factors. We paid \$7.7 million for restructuring-related costs in the first half of 2014 compared to \$4.7 million in the first half of 2013. In 2014, these costs were related to severance expenses primarily in our International segment and real estate-related costs in our North America and International segments. In 2013, restructuring costs included severance expenses in our International segment and real estate related costs in North America. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, and 2013, our domestic operations used \$11.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, of cash from continuing operations while our International operations used \$15.5 million and \$15.9 million, respectively during the same time periods. Typically, the seasonality of our business in many European countries results in negative cash from operations in the early part of the year with improvements in the second half of the year. Cash flow from European receivables and payables are typically maximized in the fourth quarter.

Changes in accounts receivable can have a significant impact on our cash flow. Items that can affect our cash flow from accounts receivable include: contractual payment terms, client payment patterns (including approval or processing delays and cash management), client mix (public vs. private), fluctuations in the level of IT product sales and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. Many of the individual reasons are outside of our control and, as a result, it is normal for cash flow from accounts receivable to fluctuate from period to period, affecting our liquidity.

Total accounts receivable increased to \$197.5 million at June 30, 2014, from \$189.4 million at December 31, 2013. Total accounts receivable day's sales outstanding ("DSO") increased to 67 days at June 30, 2014, from 59 days at December 31, 2013, an increase of 8 days, compared with DSO of 64 days at June 30, 2013, and 61 days at December 31, 2012, an increase of 3 days. The increase in DSO in the first half of 2014 is a result of increased unbilled receivables on fixed price projects at quarter end and delayed payments at several large clients. Our

receivable collections are generally slower in the first half of the year with improvement in the second half of the year.

Accrued compensation and related liabilities fluctuate from period to period based on a couple of primary factors, including the timing of our normal bi-weekly U.S. payroll cycle and the timing of variable compensation payments. Bonuses are typically accrued throughout the year, and paid either quarterly or annually, based on the applicable bonus program associated with an employee's role and country in which he or she works. As such, bonus payments can fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities typically fluctuate based on when we receive actual vendor invoices and when they are paid. The largest of such items typically relates to vendor payments for IT hardware and software products that we resell and payments to services-related subcontractors.



## Table of Contents

Investing activities. Spending on property and equipment increased to \$5.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014, from \$1.3 million in the same period of 2013. Our capital spending increase is primarily for technology equipment and software and to support our global employee base, as well as our management and corporate support infrastructure, and for investment in our domestic and off-shore delivery centers. Our investments will fluctuate from period to period.

Financing activities. Typically, our most significant financing activities consist of the borrowings and payments under our ABL Facility, as described below. This primarily fluctuates based on cash provided by, or used in, our domestic operations during the period as the ABL Facility is used for U.S. working capital fluctuations. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we had net borrowings on our long-term debt of \$7.5 million, compared with \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. In 2013, Ciber began repurchasing shares to satisfy minimum tax withholdings for employee stock plans. We had net cash inflows of \$1.7 million and \$1.2 million in the first half of 2014 and 2013, respectively, for proceeds from employee stock plans, net of the repurchases of shares for minimum employee tax withholding.

Credit Agreement. We have an asset-based revolving line of credit of up to \$60 million (the “ABL Facility”) with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The maximum amount available for borrowing at any time under such line of credit is determined according to a borrowing base valuation of eligible account receivables, which was \$51.8 million at June 30, 2014. The ABL Facility provides for borrowings in the United States, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and Germany and matures on May 7, 2017. As of June 30, 2014, we had \$7.4 million outstanding under the ABL Facility. We expect our borrowings to fluctuate based on our working capital needs. Our obligations under the ABL Facility are guaranteed by us and are secured by substantially all of our U.S., Netherlands, United Kingdom, and German assets. There are no specific financial covenants required under the ABL Facility.

Under the ABL Facility, U.S. borrowings accrue interest at a rate of the London interbank offered rate (“LIBOR”) plus a margin ranging from 225 to 275 basis points, or, at our option, a base rate equal to the greatest of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, (b) LIBOR plus 1%, and (c) the “prime rate” set by Wells Fargo plus a margin ranging from 125 to 175 basis points. All foreign borrowings accrue interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 225 to 275 basis points, plus certain fees related to compliance with European banking regulations. The interest rates applicable to borrowings under the ABL Facility are subject to increase during an event of default. We are also required to pay an unused line fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% annually on the unused portion of the ABL Facility.

Wells Fargo will take dominion over our U.S. cash and cash receipts and will automatically apply such amounts to the ABL Facility on a daily basis if (i) an event of default has occurred and is continuing, (ii) less than 30% of the ABL Facility or less than \$18 million is available for borrowing under the ABL Facility for five consecutive days, or (iii) less than 25% of the ABL Facility or less than \$15 million is available for borrowing under the ABL Facility at any time. Wells Fargo will continue to exercise dominion over our U.S. cash and cash receipts until (1) no event of default is continuing and (2) at least 30% of the ABL Facility and a minimum of \$18 million have been available for borrowing under the ABL Facility for 30 consecutive days. In addition, at all times during the term of the ABL Facility, Wells Fargo will have dominion over the cash of the United Kingdom, Dutch, and German borrowers when a balance is outstanding to those entities and will automatically apply such amounts to the ABL Facility on a daily basis. As a result, if we have any outstanding borrowings that are subject to the bank's dominion, such amounts will be classified as a current liability on our balance sheet. At June 30, 2014, we had no borrowings that were subject to the bank's dominion.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any reportable off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Goodwill—We perform our annual impairment analysis of goodwill as of June 30 each year, or more often if there are indicators of impairment present. We test each of our reporting units for goodwill impairment. Our reporting units are the same as our operating divisions and reporting segments. The goodwill impairment test requires a two-step process. The first step consists of comparing the estimated fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then it is not considered impaired and no further analysis is required. If step one indicates that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then impairment potentially exists and the second step is performed to measure the amount of goodwill impairment. Goodwill impairment exists when the estimated implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill is less than its carrying value.

Table of Contents

We compared the carrying values of our International and North America reporting units to their estimated fair values at June 30, 2014. We estimated the fair value of each reporting unit based on a weighting of both the income approach and the market approach. The discounted cash flows for each reporting unit serve as the primary basis for the income approach, and were based on discrete financial forecasts developed by management. Cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period of five years were estimated using the perpetuity growth method calculation. The annual average revenue growth rates forecasted for our reporting units for the first five years of our projections were approximately 3%. We have projected a minor amount of operating profit margin improvement based on expected margin benefits from certain internal initiatives. The terminal value was calculated assuming projected growth rates of 3% after five years, which reflects our estimate of minimum long-term growth in IT spending. The income approach valuations also included each reporting unit's estimated weighted average cost of capital, which were 14.5% and 15.0% for International and North America, respectively. The market approach applied pricing multiples derived from publicly-traded companies that are comparable to the respective reporting units to determine their values. For our International and North America reporting units, we used enterprise value/EBITDA multiples of 7 and 6, respectively, in order to value each of our reporting units under the market approach. In addition, the fair value under the market approach included a control premium of 33%. The control premium was determined based on a review of comparative market transactions. Publicly-available information regarding our market capitalization was also considered in assessing the reasonableness of the cumulative fair values of our reporting units.

As a result of the first step of our goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2014, we estimated that the fair values for our International and North America reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts by 21% and 59%, respectively, thus no impairment was indicated. We have updated our cash flow forecasts and our other assumptions used to calculate the estimated fair value of our reporting units to account for our beliefs and expectations of the current business environment. While we believe our estimates are appropriate based on our view of current business trends, no assurance can be provided that impairment charges will not be required in the future.

We currently have a remaining goodwill balance of \$283.3 million at June 30, 2014. The process of evaluating the potential impairment of goodwill is subjective and requires significant judgment at many points during the analysis. In estimating the fair value of the reporting units for the purpose of our annual or periodic goodwill impairment analysis, we make estimates and judgments about the future cash flows of the reporting units, including estimated growth rates and assumptions about the economic environment. Although our cash flow forecasts are based on assumptions that are consistent with the plans and estimates we are using to manage the underlying reporting units, there is significant judgment in determining the cash flows attributable to these reporting units. We consider our market capitalization, adjusted for unallocated monetary assets such as cash, debt, a control premium and other factors determined by management. As a result, several factors could result in the impairment of a material amount of our goodwill balance in future periods, including, but not limited to:

- (1) Failure of Ciber to reach our internal forecasts could impact our ability to achieve our forecasted levels of cash flows and reduce the estimated fair values of our reporting units; and
- (2) A decline in our stock price and resulting market capitalization, if we determine that the decline is sustained and is indicative of a reduction in the fair value of either of our reporting units below their carrying values.

Adverse changes in our market capitalization, long-term forecasts and industry growth rates could result in additional impairment charges being recorded in future periods for goodwill attributed to either of our reporting units. Any future impairment charges would adversely affect our results of operations for those periods.

For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 2013.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, there were no material changes in our market risk exposure. For a complete discussion of our market risk associated with foreign currency risk and interest rate risk as of December 31, 2013, see “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

27

---

Table of Contents

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures — During the fiscal period covered by this report, our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls — There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

On July 30, 2013, we announced plans to centralize the management of administrative functions in key markets to leverage shared services functions. As a result of the transition of these accounting operations to central locations, the personnel responsible for executing controls over the processing of transactions in certain processes changed. These central locations began processing transactions in the fourth quarter of 2013. This transition process will continue through 2014. Management believes it took the necessary steps to monitor and maintain appropriate internal controls during the period of change.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various claims and litigation that arise in the ordinary course of business. The litigation process is inherently uncertain. Therefore, the outcome of such matters is not predictable.

As previously reported, we are engaged in legal proceedings in Germany in connection with our acquisition of a controlling interest in Novasoft AG (now known as Ciber AG) in 2004. In August 2006, we completed a buy-out of the remaining minority shareholders of Novasoft. Certain of those former minority shareholders challenged the adequacy of the buy-out consideration by initiating a review by the district court in Mannheim, Germany. The court made a determination in 2013 which is now under appeal by the plaintiffs. Based on information known to us, we have established a reserve that we believe is reasonable. We are unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

As previously reported, a lawsuit titled CamSoft Data Systems, Inc. v. Southern Electronics, et al., was filed initially in October 2009 in Louisiana state court against numerous defendants, including Ciber. The lawsuit was subsequently removed to federal court in the Middle District of Louisiana and the complaint was amended to include additional defendants and causes of action including antitrust claims, civil RICO claims, unfair trade practices, trade secret, fraud, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy claims. The suit involves many of the same parties involved in related litigation in the state court in New Orleans, which was concluded in 2009 when Ciber settled the New Orleans suit with the plaintiffs, Active Solutions and Southern Electronics, who were CamSoft's former alleged joint venturers and are now co-defendants in the current lawsuit. Ciber is vigorously defending the allegations. The matter is ongoing in the appellate courts where Camsoft has filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Court of Appeals while Ciber and the other defendants have filed notices of appeal with the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals and with the Federal Court of Appeals. Based on information known to us, we have established a reserve that we believe is reasonable. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

As previously reported, in October 2011, a putative securities class action lawsuit, Weston v. Ciber, Inc. et al., was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against Ciber and several of its current and

former officers. In November 2013, we entered into a settlement among the lead plaintiff and the defendants that involved funds paid by our insurers being placed into a settlement fund for the benefit of the class. We have not made any admission of liability or wrongdoing by entering into this settlement. The Court issued final approval of the settlement in April 2014, dismissing the claims of the class with prejudice, and terminating the litigation.

As previously reported, in February 2012, a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, *Seni v. Peterschmidt, et al.*, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against several of our current and former officers and our then-current board of directors. This complaint generally alleged that the various defendants breached their fiduciary duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty, due care, reasonable inquiry, oversight, and supervision by approving the issuance of

Table of Contents

allegedly false statements that misrepresented material information about the finances and operations of the Company. On March 22, 2013, the Court dismissed this complaint with leave to amend. On April 26, 2013, plaintiff filed an amended complaint that largely made the same claims as the original complaint. In February 2014, the Court dismissed the amended complaint with leave to amend. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint and defendants filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint in March 2014. We believe this derivative lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend against the claims. We are, however, unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

In March 2012, a shareholder, Valerie Denny, made a litigation demand on the Board to investigate certain allegations and bring suit against the directors and certain current and former executive officers of the Company. In response, the Board formed an Independent Committee to investigate the claims. In December 2012, after the Independent Committee completed its investigation, it reported its findings to the board of directors. Based upon the Independent Committee's findings that Denny's claims were without merit, the Board formally refused the demand. In February 2014, Denny filed a purported verified shareholder derivative lawsuit, *Denny v. Peterschmidt, et al.*, in Colorado State court, Arapahoe County, against certain current and former officers and directors. This complaint generally made the same allegations as set out in Denny's March 2012 demand. The Complaint alleged that between December 15, 2010, and August 3, 2011, the defendants committed breaches of fiduciary duty that caused losses to Ciber's reputation and goodwill. The defendants were alleged to have breached their fiduciary duties by disseminating inaccurate and incomplete information about Ciber's financial results and business prospects, failing to maintain internal controls, and failing to properly oversee and manage the Company. Denny also made claims of unjust enrichment and insider trading. In March 2014, Defendants filed a motion to stay the action pending resolution of the federal derivative action (*Seni v. Peterschmidt*), as well as motions to dismiss the action, and an answer to the complaint. In response to those motions, Denny agreed to stay the case. The court therefore issued an order staying the action on March 24, 2014. We believe this derivative lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend against the claims. We are, however, unable to predict the outcome of this litigation.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

For information regarding our potential risks and uncertainties, please refer to "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no material changes to risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## (c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth information concerning our repurchases of Ciber common stock for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Period	Total number of shares purchased (1)	Average price paid per share
April 1 to April 30	9,850	\$4.32
May 1 to May 31	15,073	\$4.35
June 1 to June 30	405,605	\$4.79
Total: April 1 through June 30, 2014	430,528	\$4.76

(1) All shares were purchased to satisfy minimum tax withholdings for employee stock plans. No shares were purchased as part of a publicly announced share repurchase or buy-back plan or program.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

29

---



Table of Contents

## Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference		
		Form	File No.	Date Filed
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Ciber, Inc. Amended and Restated Bylaws of Ciber, Inc. as adopted February 15, 2001; Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Ciber, Inc. as adopted February 18, 2003;	10-Q	001-13103	11/7/2005
3.2	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Ciber, Inc. as adopted May 3, 2005; Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Ciber, Inc., as adopted February 25, 2009	10-K	001-13103	3/5/2009
3.3	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Ciber, Inc., as adopted June 2, 2010	10-Q	001-13103	8/5/2010
10.1*	Release of Claims between Ciber, Inc. and David Peterschmidt.		Filed herewith	
10.2*	Employment Agreement between Michael Boustridge and Ciber, Inc. dated June 12, 2014.		Filed herewith	
10.3*	Release of Claims between Ciber, Inc. and Anthony Fogel.		Filed herewith	
10.4*	Employment Agreement between Tina Piermarini and Ciber, Inc. dated June 13, 2014.		Filed herewith	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002		Filed herewith	
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002		Filed herewith	
32	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002		Furnished	
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document		Filed herewith	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document		Filed herewith	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document		Filed herewith	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document		Filed herewith	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document		Filed herewith	
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document		Filed herewith	

\* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan on arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Ciber, Inc.  
(Registrant)

Date: July 29, 2014

By /s/ Michael Boustridge  
Michael Boustridge

Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By /s/ Christian M. Mezger  
Christian M. Mezger  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)