

REGENCY CENTERS CORP  
 Form 10-K  
 February 19, 2014

UNITED STATES  
 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, DC 20549  
 FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-12298 (Regency Centers Corporation)  
 Commission File Number 0-24763 (Regency Centers, L.P.)

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION  
 REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)  
 FLORIDA (REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION) 59-3191743  
 DELAWARE (REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.) 59-3429602  
 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Independent Drive, Suite 114 (904) 598-7000  
 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
 (Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Regency Centers Corporation  |   |
|--|---|
| Title of each class  | Name of each exchange on which registered |
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value  | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 6.625% Series 6 Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 6.000% Series 7 Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange                   |

| Regency Centers, L.P. |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Title of each class   | Name of each exchange on which registered |
| None                  | N/A                                       |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Regency Centers Corporation: None  
 Regency Centers, L.P.: Class B Units of Partnership Interest

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Regency Centers Corporation YES  NO  Regency Centers, L.P. YES  NO



Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Regency Centers Corporation YES  NO  Regency Centers, L.P. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Regency Centers Corporation  Regency Centers, L.P.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Regency Centers Corporation:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Regency Centers, L.P.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Regency Centers Corporation YES  NO  Regency Centers, L.P. YES  NO

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrants' most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

Regency Centers Corporation \$4,602,623,952 Regency Centers, L.P. N/A

The number of shares outstanding of the Regency Centers Corporation's voting common stock was 92,333,535 as of February 13, 2014.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of Regency Centers Corporation's proxy statement in connection with its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III.

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 of Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P. Unless stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to “Regency Centers Corporation” or the “Parent Company” mean Regency Centers Corporation and its controlled subsidiaries; and references to “Regency Centers, L.P.” or the “Operating Partnership” mean Regency Centers, L.P. and its controlled subsidiaries. The term “the Company” or “Regency” means the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, collectively.

The Parent Company is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) and the general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's capital includes general and limited common Partnership Units (“Units”). As of December 31, 2013, the Parent Company owned approximately 99.8% of the Units in the Operating Partnership and the remaining limited Units are owned by investors. The Parent Company owns all of the Series 6 and 7 Preferred Units of the Operating Partnership. As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company has exclusive control of the Operating Partnership's day-to-day management.

The Company believes combining the annual reports on Form 10-K of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership into this single report provides the following benefits:

• Enhances investors' understanding of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;

• Eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation; and

• Creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports. Management operates the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of the Parent Company consists of the same individuals as the management of the Operating Partnership. These individuals are officers of the Parent Company and employees of the Operating Partnership.

The Company believes it is important to understand the few differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership in the context of how the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership operate as a consolidated company. The Parent Company is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of the Operating Partnership. As a result, the Parent Company does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of the Operating Partnership. The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness, but guarantees all of the unsecured public debt and approximately 21% of the secured debt of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership holds all the assets of the Company and retains the ownership interests in the Company's joint ventures. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by the Parent Company, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for partnership units, the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by the Company's business. These sources include the Operating Partnership's operations, its direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness, and the issuance of partnership units.

Stockholders' equity, partners' capital, and noncontrolling interests are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of the Parent Company and those of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's capital includes general and limited common Partnership Units, as well as Series 6 and 7 Preferred Units owned by the Parent Company. The limited partners' units in the Operating Partnership owned by third parties are accounted for in partners' capital in the Operating Partnership's financial statements and outside of stockholders' equity in noncontrolling interests in the Parent Company's financial statements. The Series 6 and 7 Preferred Units owned by the Parent Company are eliminated in consolidation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Parent Company and are classified as preferred units of general partner in the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Operating Partnership.

In order to highlight the differences between the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, there are sections in this report that separately discuss the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, including separate financial statements, controls and procedures sections, and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications. In the sections that

combine disclosure for the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership, this report refers to actions or holdings as being actions or holdings of the Company.

As general partner with control of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company consolidates the Operating Partnership for financial reporting purposes, and the Parent Company does not have assets other than its investment in the Operating Partnership. Therefore, while stockholders' equity and partners' capital differ as discussed above, the assets and liabilities of the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership are the same on their respective financial statements.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item No. |  | Form 10-K<br>Report Page |
|----------|--|--------------------------|
|          | PART I   |                          |
| 1.       | <u>Business</u>  | 1                        |
| 1A.      | <u>Risk Factors</u>  | 4                        |
| 1B.      | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>   | 12                       |
| 2.       | <u>Properties</u>  | 13                       |
| 3.       | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>   | 36                       |
| 4.       | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>   | 36                       |
|          | PART II  |                          |
| 5.       | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 36                       |
| 6.       | <u>Selected Financial Data</u>   | 37                       |
| 7.       | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                             | 40                       |
| 7A.      | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>  | 61                       |
| 8.       | <u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | 62                       |
| 9.       | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                              | 125                      |
| 9A.      | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | 125                      |
| 9B.      | <u>Other Information</u>   | 126                      |
|          | PART III   |                          |
| 10.      | <u>Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u>   | 126                      |
| 11.      | <u>Executive Compensation</u>  | 126                      |
| 12.      | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>                    | 128                      |
| 13.      | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>   | 128                      |

|     |   |            |
|-----|---|------------|
| 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>     | <u>128</u> |
|     | PART IV   |            |
| 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>128</u> |
|     | SIGNATURES  |            |
| 16. | <u>Signatures</u>                                 | <u>133</u> |

---

## Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, the following information contains forward-looking statements as defined under federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements include statements about anticipated changes in our revenues, the size of our development and redevelopment program, earnings per share and unit, returns and portfolio value, and expectations about our liquidity. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the real estate industry and markets in which the Company operates, and management's beliefs and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. Such risks and uncertainties are described further in the Item 1A. Risk Factors below. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto of Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P. appearing elsewhere herein. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to such forward-looking statements to reflect events or uncertainties after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of uncertain events.

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

Regency Centers Corporation began its operations as a REIT in 1993 and is the managing general partner of Regency Centers, L.P. We endeavor to be a preeminent, best-in-class grocery-anchored shopping center company, distinguished by total shareholder return and per share growth in Core Funds from Operations ("Core FFO") and Net Asset Value ("NAV") that positions Regency as a leader among its peers. We work to achieve these goals through:

- reliable growth in net operating income ("NOI") from a high-quality, growing portfolio of thriving, neighborhood and community shopping centers;
- disciplined value-add development and redevelopment activities profitably creating and enhancing high-quality shopping centers;
- a conservative balance sheet and track record of cost effectively accessing capital to withstand market volatility and to efficiently fund investments; and,
- an engaged and talented team of people guided by our culture.

All of our operating, investing, and financing activities are performed through the Operating Partnership, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and through its co-investment partnerships. The Parent Company currently owns approximately 99.8% of the outstanding common partnership units of the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2013, we directly owned 202 shopping centers (the "Consolidated Properties") located in 23 states representing 22.5 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). Through co-investment partnerships, we own partial ownership interests in 126 shopping centers (the "Unconsolidated Properties") located in 23 states and the District of Columbia representing 15.5 million square feet of GLA.

We earn revenues and generate cash flow by leasing space in our shopping centers to grocery stores, major retail anchors, restaurants, side-shop retailers, and service providers, as well as ground leasing or selling building pads ("out-parcels") to these same types of tenants. We experience growth in revenues by increasing occupancy and rental rates in our existing shopping centers and by acquiring and developing new shopping centers. As of December 31, 2013, our Consolidated Properties were 94.5% leased, as compared to 94.1% as of December 31, 2012.

We grow our shopping center portfolio through acquisitions of operating centers and new shopping center development. We will continue to use our development capabilities, market presence, and anchor relationships to



invest in value-added new developments and redevelopments of existing centers. Development is customer driven, meaning we generally have an executed lease from the anchor before we start construction. Development serves the growth needs of our anchors and retailers, resulting in high quality shopping centers with long-term anchor leases that produce attractive returns on our invested capital. This development process typically requires two to three years once construction has commenced, but can vary subject to the size and complexity of the project. We fund our acquisition and development activity from various capital sources including operating cash flows, property sales, equity offerings, and new debt.

Co-investment partnerships provide us with an additional capital source for shopping center acquisitions, developments, and redevelopments, as well as the opportunity to earn fees for asset management, property management, and other investing and financing services. As an asset manager, we are engaged by our partners to apply similar operating, investment, and capital strategies to the portfolios owned by the co-investment partnerships as those applied to the portfolio that we wholly-own.

We recognize the importance of continually improving the environmental sustainability performance of our real estate assets. To date we have received LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) certifications by the U.S. Green Building Council at seven shopping centers and have LEED certification targeted at six additional development properties in-process or recently completed. We also continue to implement best practices in our operating portfolio to reduce our power and water consumption, in addition to other sustainability initiatives. We believe that the design, construction and operation of environmentally efficient shopping centers will contribute to our key strategic goals.

#### Competition

We are among the largest owners of shopping centers in the nation based on revenues, number of properties, GLA, and market capitalization. There are numerous companies and individuals engaged in the ownership, development, acquisition, and operation of shopping centers that compete with us in our targeted markets, including grocery store chains that also anchor some of our shopping centers. This results in competition for attracting anchor tenants, as well as the acquisition of existing shopping centers and new development sites. We believe that our competitive advantages are driven by:

- our locations within our market areas;
- the design and high quality of our shopping centers;
- the strong demographics surrounding our shopping centers;
- our relationships with our anchor tenants and our side-shop and out-parcel retailers;
- our practice of maintaining and renovating our shopping centers; and,
- our ability to source and develop new shopping centers.

#### Employees

Our headquarters are located at One Independent Drive, Suite 114, Jacksonville, Florida. We presently maintain 17 market offices nationwide, where we conduct management, leasing, construction, and investment activities. As of December 31, 2013, we had 363 employees and we believe that our relations with our employees are good.

#### Compliance with Governmental Regulations

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be liable for the cost to remove or remediate certain hazardous or toxic substances at our shopping centers. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the hazardous or toxic substances. The cost of required remediation and the owner's liability for remediation could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner. The presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease the property or borrow using the property as collateral. While we have a number of properties that could require or are currently undergoing varying levels of environmental remediation, known environmental remediation is not currently expected to have a material financial impact on us due to existing accrued liabilities for remediation, insurance programs designed to mitigate the cost of remediation, and various state-regulated programs that shift the responsibility and cost to the state.

#### Executive Officers

Our executive officers are appointed each year by our Board of Directors. Each of our executive officers has been employed by us in the position indicated in the list or positions indicated in the pertinent notes below. Each of our executive officers has been employed by us for more than five years.

| Name | Age | Title | Executive Officer in<br>Position Shown Since |
|------|-----|-------|--|
|------|-----|-------|--|

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                      |    |  |                     |
|----------------------|----|--|---------------------|
| Martin E. Stein, Jr. | 61 | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer                 | 1993                |
| Brian M. Smith       | 59 | President and Chief Operating Officer                | 2009 <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Lisa Palmer          | 45 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2013 <sup>(2)</sup> |
| Dan M. Chandler, III | 47 | Managing Director - West                             | 2009 <sup>(3)</sup> |
| John S. Delatour     | 54 | Managing Director - Central                          | 1999                |
| James D. Thompson    | 58 | Managing Director - East                             | 1993                |

<sup>(1)</sup> Brian M. Smith is our President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Smith served as Managing Director of Investments for our Pacific, Mid-Atlantic, and Northeast divisions from March 1999 to September 2005, then served as Managing Director and Chief Investment Officer from September 2005 to February 2009, until he was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer.

(2) Lisa Palmer is our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Ms. Palmer served as Senior Manager of Investment Services in 1996 and assumed the role of Vice President of Capital Markets in 1999. She served as Senior Vice President of Capital Markets from 2003 to 2012 until assuming the role of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 2013.

(3) Dan M. Chandler, III, is our Managing Director - West. Mr. Chandler served as Vice President of Investment for Regency from 1997 to 2002, Senior Vice President of Investments from 2002 to 2006, and Managing Director from 2006 to 2007. From August 2007 to April 2009, he was a principal with Chandler Partners, a private commercial and residential real estate developer in Southern California. During 2009, he was also affiliated with UrbanOne, a real estate development and management firm in Los Angeles, prior to returning to Regency to serve in his current role of Managing Director - West.

#### Company Website Access and SEC Filings

Our website may be accessed at [www.regencycenters.com](http://www.regencycenters.com). All of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") can be accessed free of charge through our website promptly after filing; however, in the event that the website is inaccessible, we will provide paper copies of our most recent annual report on Form 10-K, the most recent quarterly report on Form 10-Q, current reports filed or furnished on Form 8-K, and all related amendments, excluding exhibits, free of charge upon request. These filings are also accessible on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

#### General Information

Our registrar and stock transfer agent is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo Shareowner Services"), Mendota Heights, MN. We offer a dividend reinvestment plan ("DRIP") that enables our stockholders to reinvest dividends automatically, as well as to make voluntary cash payments toward the purchase of additional shares. For more information, contact Wells Fargo Shareowner Services toll free at (800) 468-9716 or our Shareholder Relations Department at (904) 598-7000.

Our independent registered public accounting firm is KPMG LLP, Jacksonville, Florida. Our legal counsel is Foley & Lardner LLP, Jacksonville, Florida.

#### Annual Meeting

Our annual meeting will be held at The Ponte Vedra Inn & Club, 200 Ponte Vedra Blvd, Ponte Vedra Beach, Florida, at 11:00 a.m. on Friday, May 2, 2014.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

### Risk Factors Related to Our Industry and Real Estate Investments

A shift in retail shopping from brick and mortar stores to Internet sales may have an adverse impact on our revenues and cash flow.

Many retailers operating brick and mortar stores have made Internet sales a vital piece of their business. Although many of the retailers in our shopping centers either provide services or sell groceries, such that their customer base does not have a tendency toward online shopping, the shift to Internet sales may adversely impact our retail tenants' sales causing those retailers to adjust the size or number of retail locations in the future. This shift could adversely impact our occupancy and rental rates, which would impact our revenues and cash flows.

Downturns in the retail industry likely will have a direct adverse impact on our revenues and cash flow.

Our properties consist primarily of grocery-anchored shopping centers. Our performance therefore is generally linked to economic conditions in the market for retail space. The market for retail space could be adversely affected by any of the following:

- Weakness in the national, regional and local economies, which could adversely impact consumer spending and retail sales and in turn tenant demand for space and lead to increased store closings;
- Adverse financial conditions for grocery and retail anchors;
- Continued consolidation in the retail sector;
- Excess amount of retail space in our markets;
- Reduction in the demand by tenants to occupy our shopping centers as a result of reduced consumer demand for certain retail formats;
- The growth of super-centers and warehouse club retailers, such as those operated by Wal-Mart and Costco, and their adverse effect on traditional grocery chains;
- The impact of increased energy costs on consumers and its consequential effect on the number of shopping visits to our centers; and
- Consequences of any armed conflict involving, or terrorist attack against, the United States.

To the extent that any of these conditions occur, they are likely to impact market rents for retail space, occupancy in the operating portfolios, our ability to sell, acquire or develop properties, and our cash available for distributions to stock and unit holders.

Our revenues and cash flow could be adversely affected by poor economic or market conditions where our properties are geographically concentrated, which may impede our ability to generate sufficient income to pay expenses and maintain our properties.

The economic conditions in markets in which our properties are concentrated greatly influence our financial performance. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our properties in California, Florida, and Texas accounted for 31.2%, 11.4%, and 9.8%, respectively, of our net operating income from Consolidated Properties plus our pro-rata share from Unconsolidated Properties ("pro-rata basis"). Our revenues and cash available to pay expenses, maintain our properties, and for distributions to stock and unit holders could be adversely affected by this geographic concentration if market conditions, such as supply of or demand for retail space, deteriorate in California, Florida, or Texas relative to other geographic areas.

Loss of revenues from significant tenants could reduce distributions to stock and unit holders.

We derive significant revenues from anchor tenants such as Kroger , Publix, and Safeway. As of December 31, 2013, they account for 4.7%, 4.3%, and 2.7%, respectively, of our total annualized base rent on a pro-rata basis, which is recognized in minimum rent and in equity in income of investment in real estate partnerships, for the year ended December 31, 2013. Distributions to stock and unit holders could be adversely affected by the loss of revenues in the event a significant tenant:

- Becomes bankrupt or insolvent;
- Experiences a downturn in its business;
- Materially defaults on its leases;
- Does not renew its leases as they expire; or
- Renews at lower rental rates.

Vacated anchor space, including space owned by the anchor, can reduce rental revenues generated by the shopping center because of the loss of the departed anchor tenant's customer drawing power. Some anchors have the right to vacate and prevent re-tenanting by paying rent for the balance of the lease term. If significant tenants vacate a property, then other tenants may be entitled to terminate their leases at the property or pay reduced rent.

Our net income depends on the success and continued occupancy of our tenants.

Our net income could be adversely affected in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency of any of our anchors or a significant number of our non-anchor tenants within a shopping center, or if we fail to lease significant portions of our new developments. The adverse impact on our net income may be greater than the loss of rent from the resulting unoccupied space because co-tenancy clauses in select centers may allow other tenants to modify or terminate their rent or lease obligations. Co-tenancy clauses have several variants: they may allow a tenant to postpone a store opening if certain other tenants fail to open their stores; they may allow a tenant to close its store prior to lease expiration if another tenant closes its store prior to lease expiration; or more commonly, they may allow a tenant to pay reduced levels of rent until a certain number of tenants open their stores within the same shopping center.

A large percentage of our revenues are derived from smaller shop tenants and our net income could be adversely impacted if our smaller shop tenants are not successful.

A large percentage of our revenues are derived from smaller shop tenants (those occupying less than 10,000 square feet). Smaller shop tenants may be more vulnerable to negative economic conditions as they have more limited resources than larger tenants. Such tenants continue to face increasing competition from non-store retailers and growing e-commerce. In addition, some of these retailers may seek to reduce their store sizes as they increasingly rely on alternative distribution channels, including Internet sales, and adjust their square footage needs accordingly. The types of smaller shop tenants vary from retail shops to service providers. If we are unable to attract the right type or mix of smaller shop tenants into our centers, our net income could be adversely impacted.

We may be unable to collect balances due from tenants in bankruptcy.

Although minimum rent is supported by long-term lease contracts, tenants who file bankruptcy have the legal right to reject any or all of their leases and close related stores. In the event that a tenant with a significant number of leases in our shopping centers files bankruptcy and rejects its leases, we could experience a significant reduction in our revenues and may not be able to collect all pre-petition amounts owed by that party.

Our real estate assets may be subject to impairment charges.

Our long-lived assets, primarily real estate held for investment, are carried at cost unless circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. We evaluate whether there are any indicators, including property operating performance and general market conditions, that the value of the real estate properties (including any related amortizable intangible assets or liabilities) may not be recoverable. Through the evaluation, we compare the current carrying value of the asset to the estimated undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. Our estimated cash flows are based on several key assumptions, including rental rates, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions, anticipated hold periods, and assumptions regarding the residual value upon disposition, including the exit capitalization rate. These key assumptions are subjective in nature and could differ materially from actual results. Changes in our disposition strategy or changes in the marketplace may alter the hold period of an asset or asset group, which may result in an impairment loss and such loss could be material to the Company's financial condition or operating performance. To the extent that the carrying value of the asset exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of carrying value over fair value.

The fair value of real estate assets is subjective and is determined through comparable sales information and other market data if available, or through use of an income approach such as the direct capitalization method or the traditional discounted cash flow approach. Such cash flow projections consider factors, including expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors, and therefore are subject to management judgment. Changes in those factors could impact the determination of fair value. In estimating the fair value of undeveloped land, we generally use market data and comparable sales information.

These subjective assessments have a direct impact on our net income because recording an impairment charge results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. There can be no assurance that we will not take additional charges in the future related to the impairment of our assets. Any future impairment could have a material adverse effect on our net income in the period in which the charge is taken.



Adverse global market and economic conditions may adversely affect us and could cause us to recognize additional impairment charges or otherwise harm our performance.

We are unable to predict the timing, severity, and length of adverse market and economic conditions. Adverse market and economic conditions may impede our ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow to pay expenses, maintain properties, pay distributions to our stock and unit holders, and refinance debt. During adverse periods, there may be significant uncertainty in the valuation of our properties and investments that could result in a substantial decrease in their value. No assurance can be given that we would be able to recover the current carrying amount of all of our properties and investments in the future. Our failure to do so would require us to recognize additional impairment charges for the period in which we reached that conclusion, which could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

Our acquisition activities may not produce the returns that we expect.

Our investment strategy includes investing in high-quality shopping centers that are leased to market-dominant grocers, category-leading anchors, specialty retailers, or restaurants located in areas with high barriers to entry and above average household incomes and population densities. The acquisition of properties entails risks that include, but are not limited to, the following, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to meet our obligations:

- Properties we acquire may fail to achieve the occupancy or rental rates we project, within the time frames we project, which may result in the properties' failure to achieve the returns we projected;

- Our pre-acquisition evaluation of the physical condition of each new investment may not detect certain defects or identify necessary repairs until after the property is acquired, which could significantly increase our total acquisition costs or decrease cash flow from the property;

- Our investigation of a property or building prior to our acquisition, and any representations we may receive from the seller of such building or property, may fail to reveal various liabilities, which could reduce the cash flow from the property or increase our acquisition costs;

- Our estimate of the costs to improve, reposition or redevelop a property may prove to be too low, or the time we estimate to complete the improvement, repositioning or redevelopment may be too short, either of which could result in the property failing to achieve the returns we have projected, either temporarily or for a longer time; and

- We may not be able to integrate an acquisition into our existing operations successfully.

Unsuccessful development activities or a slowdown in development activities could have a direct impact on our revenues and our revenue growth.

We actively pursue development activities as opportunities arise. Development activities require various government and other approvals for entitlements and any delay in such approvals may significantly delay the development process. We may not recover our investment in development projects for which approvals are not received. We incur other risks associated with development activities, including:

- The ability to lease developments to full occupancy on a timely basis;

- The risk that occupancy rates and rents of a completed project will not be sufficient to make the project profitable;

- The risk that development costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project unprofitable;

- Delays in the development and construction process;

- The risk that we may abandon development opportunities and lose our investment in these developments;

- The risk that the size of our development pipeline will strain the organization's capacity to complete the developments within the targeted timelines and at the expected returns on invested capital; and

- The lack of cash flow during the construction period.

If our developments are unsuccessful or we experience a slowdown in development activities, our revenue growth and/or net income may be adversely impacted.

We may experience difficulty or delay in renewing leases or re-leasing space.

We derive most of our revenue directly or indirectly from rent received from our tenants. We are subject to the risks that, upon expiration or termination of leases, leases for space in our properties may not be renewed, space may not be re-

6

---

leased, or the terms of renewal or re-lease, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms. As a result, our results of operations and our net income could be adversely impacted.

We may be unable to sell properties when appropriate because real estate investments are illiquid.

Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly. Our inability to respond promptly to unfavorable changes in the performance of our investments could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our obligations and make distributions to our stock and unit holders.

Geographic concentration of our properties makes our business vulnerable to natural disasters and severe weather conditions, which could have an adverse effect on our cash flow and operating results.

A significant portion of our property gross leasable area is located in areas that are susceptible to earthquakes, tropical storms, hurricanes, tornadoes, wildfires, and other natural disasters. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 23.4%, 15.9%, and 9.8% of our property gross leasable area, on a pro-rata basis, was located in California, Florida, and Texas, respectively. Intense weather conditions during the last decade have caused our cost of property insurance to increase significantly. While much of this insurance cost is passed on to our tenants as reimbursable property costs, some tenants do not pay a pro rata share of these costs under their leases. These weather conditions also disrupt our business and the business of our tenants, which could affect the ability of some tenants to pay rent and may reduce the willingness of residents to remain in or move to the affected area. Therefore, as a result of the geographic concentration of our properties, we face demonstrable risks, including higher costs, such as uninsured property losses and higher insurance premiums, and disruptions to our business and the businesses of our tenants.

An uninsured loss or a loss that exceeds the insurance policies on our properties could subject us to loss of capital or revenue on those properties.

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, flood, extended coverage, rental loss, and environmental insurance for our properties with policy specifications and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. We believe that the insurance carried on our properties is adequate and consistent with industry standards. There are, however, some types of losses, such as from hurricanes, terrorism, wars or earthquakes, for which the insurance levels carried may not be sufficient to fully cover catastrophic losses impacting multiple properties. In addition, tenants generally are required to indemnify and hold us harmless from liabilities resulting from injury to persons or damage to personal or real property, on or off the premises, due to activities conducted by tenants or their agents on the properties (including without limitation any environmental contamination), and at the tenant's expense, to obtain and keep in full force during the term of the lease, liability and property damage insurance policies. However, our tenants may not properly maintain their insurance policies or have the ability to pay the deductibles associated with such policies. Should a loss occur that is uninsured or in an amount exceeding the combined aggregate limits for the policies noted above, or in the event of a loss that is subject to a substantial deductible under an insurance policy, we could lose all or part of our capital invested in, and anticipated revenue from, such properties, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, as well as our ability to make distributions to stock and unit holders.

Loss of our key personnel could adversely affect the value of our Parent Company's stock price.

We depend on the efforts of our key executive personnel. Although we believe qualified replacements could be found for our key executives, the loss of their services could adversely affect our Parent Company's stock price.

We face competition from numerous sources, including other real estate investment trusts and other real estate owners.

The ownership of shopping centers is highly fragmented. We face competition from other real estate investment trusts and well capitalized institutional investors, as well as from numerous small owners in the acquisition, ownership, and leasing of shopping centers. We compete to develop shopping centers with other real estate investment trusts engaged in development activities as well as with local, regional, and national real estate developers. If we cannot successfully compete in our targeted markets, our cash flow, and therefore distributions to stock and unit holders, may be adversely affected.

Costs of environmental remediation could reduce our cash flow available for distribution to stock and unit holders.

Under various federal, state and local laws, an owner or manager of real property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. The cost of any required remediation could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner or the responsible party. The presence of, or the failure to properly remediate, hazardous or toxic substances may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease a

contaminated property or to borrow using the property as collateral. Any of these developments could reduce cash flow and our ability to make distributions to stock and unit holders.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and fire, safety and other regulations may require us to make unintended expenditures that adversely affect our cash flows.

All of our properties are required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”). The ADA has separate compliance requirements for “public accommodations” and “commercial facilities,” but generally requires that buildings be made accessible to people with disabilities. Compliance with the ADA requirements could require removal of access barriers, and noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the U.S. government or an award of damages to private litigants, or both. While the tenants to whom we lease properties are obligated by law to comply with the ADA provisions, and typically under tenant leases are obligated to cover costs associated with compliance, if required changes involve greater expenditures than anticipated, or if the changes must be made on a more accelerated basis than anticipated, the ability of these tenants to cover costs could be adversely affected. In addition, we are required to operate the properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental entities and become applicable to the properties. We may be required to make substantial capital expenditures to comply with these requirements, and these expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our ability to meet our financial obligations and make distributions to our stock and unit holders.

If we do not maintain the security of tenant-related information, we could incur substantial costs and become subject to litigation.

We have implemented an online payment system where we receive certain information about our tenants that depends upon secure transmissions of confidential information over public networks, including information permitting cashless payments. A compromise of our security systems that results in information being obtained by unauthorized persons could adversely affect our operations, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity, and could result in litigation against us or the imposition of penalties. In addition, a security breach could require that we expend significant resources related to our information security systems and could result in a disruption of our operations.

We rely extensively on computer systems to process transactions and manage our business. Disruptions in both our primary and secondary (back-up) systems could harm our ability to run our business.

Although we have independent, redundant and physically separate primary and secondary computer systems, it is critical that we maintain uninterrupted operation of our business-critical computer systems. Our computer systems, including our back-up systems, are subject to damage or interruption from power outages, computer and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, security breaches, catastrophic events such as fires, tornadoes and hurricanes, and usage errors by our employees. If our computer systems and our back-up systems are damaged or cease to function properly, we may have to make a significant investment to repair or replace them, and we may suffer interruptions in our operations in the interim. Any material interruption in both of our computer systems and back-up systems may have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

#### Risk Factors Related to Our Co-investment Partnerships and Acquisition Structure

We do not have voting control over our joint venture investments, so we are unable to ensure that our objectives will be pursued.

We have invested as a partner in a number of joint venture investments for the acquisition or development of properties. These investments involve risks not present in a wholly-owned project. We do not have voting control over the ventures, although we do have approval rights over major decisions. The other partner might (i) have interests or

goals that are inconsistent with our interests or goals or (ii) otherwise impede our objectives. The other partner also might become insolvent or bankrupt. These factors could limit the return that we receive from such investments or cause our cash flows to be lower than our estimates.

The termination of our co-investment partnerships could adversely affect our cash flow, operating results, and our ability to make distributions to stock and unit holders.

If co-investment partnerships owning a significant number of properties were dissolved for any reason, we would lose the asset and property management fees from these co-investment partnerships, which could adversely affect our operating results and our cash available for distribution to stock and unit holders.

## Risk Factors Related to Funding Strategies and Capital Structure

Higher market capitalization rates for our properties could adversely impact our ability to sell properties and fund developments and acquisitions, and could dilute earnings.

As part of our funding strategy, we sell operating properties that no longer meet our investment standards. These sales proceeds are used to fund the construction of new developments, redevelopments and acquisitions. An increase in market capitalization rates could cause a reduction in the value of centers identified for sale, which would have an adverse impact on the amount of cash generated. In order to meet the cash requirements of our development program, we may be required to sell more properties than initially planned, which could have a negative impact on our earnings.

We depend on external sources of capital, which may not be available in the future on favorable terms or at all.

To qualify as a REIT, the Parent Company must, among other things, distribute to its stockholders each year at least 90% of its REIT taxable income (excluding any net capital gains). Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund all future capital needs, including capital for developments and repayment of future maturing debt, with income from operations. We therefore will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Our access to debt depends on our credit rating, the willingness of creditors to lend to us and conditions in the capital markets. In addition to finding creditors willing to lend to us, we are dependent upon our joint venture partners to contribute their share of any amount needed to repay or refinance existing debt when lenders reduce the amount of debt our joint ventures are eligible to refinance.

In addition, our existing debt arrangements also impose covenants that limit our flexibility in obtaining other financing, such as a prohibition on negative pledge agreements. Additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of stockholders' interests and additional debt financing may substantially increase our degree of leverage.

Without access to external sources of capital, we would be required to pay outstanding debt with our operating cash flows and proceeds from property sales. Our operating cash flows may not be sufficient to pay our outstanding debt as it comes due and real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly at a return we believe is appropriate. If we are required to deleverage our business with operating cash flows and proceeds from property sales, we may be forced to reduce the amount of, or eliminate altogether, our distributions to stock and unit holders or refrain from making investments in our business.

Our debt financing may adversely affect our business and financial condition.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of the principal of, to pay interest on, or to refinance our indebtedness will depend primarily on our future performance, which to a certain extent is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. In addition, we do not expect to generate sufficient funds from operations to make balloon principal payments on our debt when due. If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms, we might be forced (i) to dispose of properties, which might result in losses, or (ii) to obtain financing at unfavorable terms. Either could reduce the cash flow available for distributions to stock and unit holders. If we cannot make required mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose on the property securing the mortgage, causing the loss of cash flow from that property.

Covenants in our debt agreements may restrict our operating activities and adversely affect our financial condition.

Our unsecured notes, unsecured term loan, and unsecured line of credit contain customary covenants, including compliance with financial ratios, such as ratio of total debt to gross asset value and fixed charge coverage ratio. Fixed charge coverage ratio is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") divided by the sum of interest expense and scheduled mortgage principal paid to our lenders plus dividends paid to our preferred stockholders. Our debt arrangements also restrict our ability to enter into a transaction that would result in a change of control. These covenants may limit our operational flexibility and our acquisition activities. Moreover, if we breach any of the covenants in our debt agreements, and do not cure the breach within the applicable cure period, our lenders could require us to repay the debt immediately, even in the absence of a payment default. Many of our debt arrangements, including our unsecured notes, unsecured term loan, and unsecured line of credit are cross-defaulted, which means that the lenders under those debt arrangements can put us in default and require immediate repayment of their debt if we breach and fail to cure a default under certain of our other material debt obligations. As a result, any default under our debt covenants could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, our results of operations, our ability to meet our obligations, and the market value of our stock.



Increases in interest rates would cause our borrowing costs to rise and negatively impact our results of operations.

Although a significant amount of our outstanding debt has fixed interest rates, we do borrow funds at variable interest rates under our credit facilities. Increases in interest rates would increase our interest expense on any variable rate debt. In addition, increases in interest rates will affect the terms under which we refinance our existing debt as it matures. This would reduce our future earnings and cash flows, which could adversely affect our ability to service our debt and meet our other obligations and also could reduce the amount we are able to distribute to our stock and unit holders.

#### Risk Factors Related to Interest Rates and the Market Price for Our Stock

Changes in economic and market conditions could adversely affect the Parent Company's stock price.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, many of which are out of our control, including:

- Actual or anticipated variations in our operating results;
- Changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;
- Publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry in general and recommendations by financial analysts or actions taken by rating agencies with respect to our securities or those of other REIT's;
- The ability of our tenants to pay rent and meet their other obligations to us under current lease terms and our ability to re-lease space as leases expire;
- Increases in market interest rates that drive purchasers of our stock to demand a higher dividend yield;
- Changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- Adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;
- Any future issuances of equity securities;
- Additions or departures of key management personnel;
- Strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- Actions by institutional stockholders;
- Changes in our dividend payments;
- Speculation in the press or investment community; and
- General market and economic conditions.

These factors may cause the market price of our common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects. It is impossible to ensure that the market price of our common stock will not fall in the future. A decrease in the market price of our common stock could reduce our ability to raise additional equity in the public markets. Selling common stock at a decreased market price would have a dilutive impact on existing stockholders.

We cannot assure you we will continue to pay dividends at historical rates.

Our ability to continue to pay dividends to stock and unit holders at historical rates or to increase our dividend rate will depend on a number of factors, including, among others, the following:

- Our financial condition and results of future operations;
- The terms of our loan covenants; and
- Our ability to acquire, finance, develop or redevelop and lease additional properties at attractive rates.

If we do not maintain or periodically increase the dividend on our common stock, it could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock and other securities.

Changes in accounting standards may adversely impact our financial results.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), in conjunction with the SEC, has several key projects on their agenda that could impact how we currently account for our material transactions, including lease accounting and other convergence projects with the International Accounting Standards Board. At this time, we are unable to predict with certainty which, if any, proposals may be passed or what level of impact any such proposal could have on the presentation of our consolidated financial statements, our results of operations and our financial ratios required by our debt covenants.

## Risk Factors Related to Federal Income Tax Laws

If the Parent Company fails to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, it would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates.

We believe that we qualify for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and we plan to operate so that we can continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. If we continue to qualify as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our income that we distribute to our stockholders. Many REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances, some of which may not be totally within our control and some of which involve questions of interpretation. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from specific passive sources, like rent, that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. There can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") or a court would agree with the positions we have taken in interpreting the REIT requirements. We are also required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. The fact that we hold many of our assets through co-investment partnerships and their subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Furthermore, Congress and the IRS might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings, that make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to remain qualified as a REIT.

Also, unless the IRS granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year we first failed to qualify. If we failed to qualify as a REIT (currently and/or with respect to any tax years for which the statute of limitations has not expired), we would have to pay significant income taxes, reducing cash available to pay dividends, which would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities. In addition, we would no longer be required to pay any dividends to stockholders. Although we believe that we qualify as a REIT, we cannot assure you that we will continue to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT for tax purposes.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to pay certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, if we have net income from "prohibited transactions," that income will be subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions include sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. The determination as to whether a particular sale is a prohibited transaction depends on the facts and circumstances related to that sale. While we have undertaken a significant number of asset sales in recent years, we do not believe that those sales should be considered prohibited transactions, but there can be no assurance that the IRS would not contend otherwise.

Dividends paid by REITs generally do not qualify for reduced tax rates.

In general, the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate for "Qualified dividends" paid by regular "C" corporations to U.S. shareholders that are individuals, trusts and estates after December 31, 2012 is 20% and a new Medicare tax of 3.8% may also apply if income is greater than certain specified amounts. Subject to limited exceptions, dividends paid by REITs (other than distributions designated as capital gain dividends or returns of capital) are not eligible for these reduced rates and are taxable at ordinary income tax rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including the shares of our capital stock.

Foreign stockholders may be subject to U.S. federal income tax on gain recognized on a disposition of our common stock if we do not qualify as a "domestically controlled" REIT.

A foreign person disposing of a U.S. real property interest, including shares of a U.S. corporation whose assets consist principally of U.S. real property interests is generally subject to U.S. federal income tax on any gain recognized on the disposition. This tax does not apply, however, to the disposition of stock in a REIT if the REIT is "domestically controlled." In general, we will be a domestically controlled REIT if at all times during the five-year period ending on the applicable stockholder's disposition of our stock, less than 50% in value of our stock was held directly or indirectly by non-U.S. persons. If we were to fail to qualify as a domestically controlled REIT, gain recognized by a foreign stockholder on a disposition of our common stock would be subject to U.S. federal income tax unless our common stock was traded on an established securities market and the foreign stockholder did not at any time during a specified testing period directly or indirectly own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

Risk Factors Related to Our Ownership Limitations and the Florida Business Corporation Act

Restrictions on the ownership of the Parent Company's capital stock to preserve our REIT status could delay or prevent a change in control.

Ownership of more than 7% by value of our outstanding capital stock is prohibited, with certain exceptions, by our articles of incorporation, for the purpose of maintaining our qualification as a REIT. This 7% limitation may discourage a change in control and may also (i) deter tender offers for our capital stock, which offers may be attractive to our stockholders, or (ii) limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their capital stock that might otherwise exist if an investor attempted to assemble a block in excess of 7% of our outstanding capital stock or to affect a change in control.

The issuance of the Parent Company's capital stock could delay or prevent a change in control.

Our articles of incorporation authorize our Board of Directors to issue up to 30,000,000 shares of preferred stock and 10,000,000 shares of special common stock and to establish the preferences and rights of any shares issued. The issuance of preferred stock or special common stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control. The provisions of the Florida Business Corporation Act regarding control share acquisitions and affiliated transactions could also deter potential acquisitions by preventing the acquiring party from voting the common stock it acquires or consummating a merger or other extraordinary corporate transaction without the approval of our disinterested stockholders.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

## Item 2. Properties

The following table is a list of the shopping centers, summarized by state and in order of largest holdings, presented for Consolidated Properties (excludes properties owned by unconsolidated co-investment partnerships):

| Location       | December 31, 2013    |                    |                      |                | December 31, 2012    |                    |                      |                |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
|                | Number of Properties | GLA (in thousands) | Percent of Total GLA | Percent Leased | Number of Properties | GLA (in thousands) | Percent of Total GLA | Percent Leased |
| California     | 42                   | 5,500              | 24.5 %               | 96.2 %         | 43                   | 5,544              | 24.6 %               | 95.1 %         |
| Florida        | 40                   | 4,159              | 18.6 %               | 91.2 %         | 39                   | 3,961              | 17.6 %               | 93.0 %         |
| Texas          | 18                   | 2,384              | 10.6 %               | 96.0 %         | 18                   | 2,324              | 10.3 %               | 95.2 %         |
| Georgia        | 15                   | 1,385              | 6.2 %                | 94.6 %         | 15                   | 1,386              | 6.2 %                | 93.1 %         |
| Ohio           | 9                    | 1,297              | 5.8 %                | 97.8 %         | 10                   | 1,402              | 6.2 %                | 97.1 %         |
| Colorado       | 15                   | 1,261              | 5.6 %                | 89.5 %         | 14                   | 1,163              | 5.2 %                | 94.3 %         |
| North Carolina | 10                   | 903                | 4.0 %                | 95.3 %         | 9                    | 743                | 3.3 %                | 91.8 %         |
| Illinois       | 5                    | 872                | 3.9 %                | 94.1 %         | 4                    | 748                | 3.3 %                | 97.3 %         |
| Virginia       | 5                    | 744                | 3.3 %                | 97.4 %         | 7                    | 951                | 4.2 %                | 94.2 %         |
| Oregon         | 7                    | 617                | 2.7 %                | 95.8 %         | 8                    | 741                | 3.3 %                | 91.2 %         |
| Washington     | 5                    | 605                | 2.7 %                | 98.4 %         | 6                    | 683                | 3.0 %                | 92.8 %         |
| Massachusetts  | 3                    | 506                | 2.3 %                | 96.3 %         | 2                    | 357                | 1.6 %                | 94.6 %         |
| Missouri       | 4                    | 408                | 1.8 %                | 100.0 %        | 4                    | 408                | 1.8 %                | 99.0 %         |
| Tennessee      | 5                    | 392                | 1.7 %                | 96.7 %         | 5                    | 392                | 1.7 %                | 95.9 %         |
| Pennsylvania   | 4                    | 325                | 1.4 %                | 99.6 %         | 4                    | 325                | 1.5 %                | 99.1 %         |
| Arizona        | 2                    | 274                | 1.2 %                | 87.1 %         | 3                    | 387                | 1.7 %                | 88.1 %         |
| Delaware       | 2                    | 243                | 1.1 %                | 94.8 %         | 2                    | 243                | 1.1 %                | 94.2 %         |
| Indiana        | 4                    | 209                | 0.9 %                | 90.8 %         | 3                    | 55                 | 0.2 %                | 89.8 %         |
| Michigan       | 2                    | 118                | 0.5 %                | 53.4 %         | 2                    | 118                | 0.5 %                | 43.9 %         |
| Maryland       | 1                    | 88                 | 0.4 %                | 100.0 %        | 1                    | 88                 | 0.4 %                | 100.0 %        |
| Alabama        | 1                    | 85                 | 0.4 %                | 84.5 %         | 1                    | 85                 | 0.4 %                | 86.2 %         |
| South Carolina | 2                    | 74                 | 0.3 %                | 100.0 %        | 2                    | 74                 | 0.3 %                | 100.0 %        |
| Kentucky       | 1                    | 23                 | 0.1 %                | 100.0 %        | 1                    | 23                 | 0.1 %                | 100.0 %        |
| Nevada         | —                    | —                  | —%                   | —%             | 1                    | 331                | 1.5 %                | 91.1 %         |
| Total          | 202                  | 22,472             | 100.0%               | 94.5%          | 204                  | 22,532             | 100.0%               | 94.1%          |

Certain Consolidated Properties are encumbered by mortgage loans of \$481.3 million as of December 31, 2013.

The weighted average annual effective rent for the consolidated portfolio of properties, net of tenant concessions, is \$17.40 and \$16.95 per square foot ("SFT") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table is a list of the shopping centers, summarized by state and in order of largest holdings, presented for Unconsolidated Properties (includes properties owned by unconsolidated co-investment partnerships):

| Location          | December 31, 2013    |                    |                      |                | December 31, 2012    |                    |                      |                |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
|                   | Number of Properties | GLA (in thousands) | Percent of Total GLA | Percent Leased | Number of Properties | GLA (in thousands) | Percent of Total GLA | Percent Leased |
| California        | 21                   | 2,782              | 17.9%                | 96.9%          | 25                   | 3,265              | 18.4%                | 95.7%          |
| Virginia          | 21                   | 2,685              | 17.3%                | 96.6%          | 22                   | 2,789              | 15.7%                | 96.3%          |
| Maryland          | 13                   | 1,490              | 9.6%                 | 97.0%          | 14                   | 1,577              | 8.9%                 | 92.9%          |
| North Carolina    | 8                    | 1,272              | 8.2%                 | 97.3%          | 8                    | 1,276              | 7.2%                 | 96.4%          |
| Texas             | 8                    | 1,070              | 6.9%                 | 98.6%          | 9                    | 1,227              | 6.9%                 | 95.9%          |
| Illinois          | 8                    | 1,067              | 6.9%                 | 97.3%          | 8                    | 1,067              | 6.0%                 | 97.1%          |
| Colorado          | 5                    | 862                | 5.6%                 | 95.1%          | 6                    | 962                | 5.4%                 | 93.0%          |
| Florida           | 9                    | 720                | 4.6%                 | 95.3%          | 11                   | 841                | 4.7%                 | 93.7%          |
| Minnesota         | 5                    | 677                | 4.4%                 | 97.6%          | 5                    | 675                | 3.8%                 | 97.5%          |
| Pennsylvania      | 6                    | 661                | 4.3%                 | 92.3%          | 7                    | 982                | 5.5%                 | 96.1%          |
| Washington        | 4                    | 477                | 3.1%                 | 91.5%          | 5                    | 577                | 3.3%                 | 94.5%          |
| Wisconsin         | 2                    | 269                | 1.7%                 | 93.2%          | 2                    | 269                | 1.5%                 | 96.9%          |
| Massachusetts     | 1                    | 184                | 1.2%                 | 97.6%          | 1                    | 149                | 0.8%                 | 95.4%          |
| Connecticut       | 1                    | 180                | 1.2%                 | 99.8%          | 1                    | 180                | 1.0%                 | 99.8%          |
| South Carolina    | 2                    | 162                | 1.0%                 | 100.0%         | 4                    | 286                | 1.6%                 | 96.3%          |
| New Jersey        | 2                    | 157                | 1.0%                 | 92.6%          | 2                    | 157                | 0.9%                 | 94.0%          |
| New York          | 1                    | 141                | 0.9%                 | 100.0%         | 1                    | 141                | 0.8%                 | 100.0%         |
| Indiana           | 2                    | 139                | 0.9%                 | 86.5%          | 2                    | 139                | 0.8%                 | 91.9%          |
| Alabama           | 1                    | 119                | 0.7%                 | 73.9%          | 1                    | 119                | 0.7%                 | 71.6%          |
| Arizona           | 1                    | 108                | 0.7%                 | 94.1%          | 1                    | 108                | 0.6%                 | 89.2%          |
| Oregon            | 1                    | 93                 | 0.6%                 | 94.8%          | 1                    | 93                 | 0.5%                 | 94.8%          |
| Georgia           | 1                    | 86                 | 0.6%                 | 96.3%          | 3                    | 244                | 1.4%                 | 95.3%          |
| Delaware          | 1                    | 67                 | 0.4%                 | 96.1%          | 1                    | 67                 | 0.4%                 | 100.0%         |
| Dist. of Columbia | 2                    | 40                 | 0.3%                 | 100.0%         | 2                    | 40                 | 0.2%                 | 100.0%         |
| Ohio              | —                    | —                  | —%                   | —%             | 2                    | 532                | 3.0%                 | 90.2%          |
| Total             | 126                  | 15,508             | 100.0%               | 96.2%          | 144                  | 17,762             | 100.0%               | 95.2%          |

Certain Unconsolidated Properties are encumbered by mortgage loans of \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2013.

The weighted average annual effective rent for the unconsolidated portfolio of properties, net of tenant concessions, is \$17.34 and \$17.03 per SFT as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.





The following table summarizes the largest tenants occupying our shopping centers for Consolidated Properties plus our pro-rata share of Unconsolidated Properties, as of December 31, 2013, based upon a percentage of total annualized base rent exceeding or equal to 0.5% (GLA and dollars in thousands):

| Tenant              | GLA                  | Percent of Company Owned GLA | Rent      | Percent of Annualized Base Rent | Number of Leased Stores | Anchor Owned Stores <sup>(1)</sup> |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Kroger              | <sup>(2)</sup> 2,384 | 8.6%                         | \$ 22,565 | 4.7%                            | 49                      | 7                                  |
| Publix              | 1,940                | 7.0%                         | 20,246    | 4.3%                            | 49                      | 1                                  |
| Safeway             | 1,239                | 4.4%                         | 12,638    | 2.7%                            | 38                      | 6                                  |
| TJX Companies       | 725                  | 2.6%                         | 9,196     | 1.9%                            | 33                      | —                                  |
| CVS                 | 509                  | 1.8%                         | 8,457     | 1.8%                            | 46                      | —                                  |
| Whole Foods         | 285                  | 1.0%                         | 6,144     | 1.3%                            | 11                      | —                                  |
| PETCO               | 283                  | 1.0%                         | 6,052     | 1.3%                            | 38                      | —                                  |
| Ahold/Giant         | 422                  | 1.5%                         | 5,724     | 1.2%                            | 14                      | —                                  |
| Albertsons          | 395                  | 1.4%                         | 4,952     | 1.0%                            | 11                      | 1                                  |
| Ross Dress For Less | 306                  | 1.1%                         | 4,797     | 1.0%                            | 16                      | —                                  |
| H.E.B.              | 305                  | 1.1%                         | 4,773     | 1.0%                            | 5                       | —                                  |
| Trader Joe's        | 163                  | 0.6%                         | 4,313     | 0.9%                            | 18                      | —                                  |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank | 63                   | 0.2%                         | 3,894     | 0.8%                            | 26                      | —                                  |
| Bank of America     | 81                   | 0.3%                         | 3,846     | 0.8%                            | 28                      | —                                  |
| Wells Fargo Bank    | 82                   | 0.3%                         | 3,716     | 0.8%                            | 39                      | —                                  |
| Starbucks           | 95                   | 0.3%                         | 3,629     | 0.8%                            | 76                      | —                                  |
| Walgreens           | 136                  | 0.5%                         | 3,399     | 0.7%                            | 12                      | —                                  |
| Sears Holdings      | 412                  | 1.5%                         | 3,315     | 0.7%                            | 7                       | 1                                  |
| Roundys/Marianos    | 233                  | 0.8%                         | 3,249     | 0.7%                            | 7                       | —                                  |
| Rite Aid            | 200                  | 0.7%                         | 3,203     | 0.7%                            | 22                      | —                                  |
| Wal-Mart            | 466                  | 1.7%                         | 3,026     | 0.6%                            | 5                       | 3                                  |
| SUPERVALU           | 265                  | 1.0%                         | 3,008     | 0.6%                            | 11                      | —                                  |
| Panera Bread        | 89                   | 0.3%                         | 3,007     | 0.6%                            | 26                      | —                                  |
| Sports Authority    | 134                  | 0.5%                         | 2,973     | 0.6%                            | 3                       | —                                  |
| Subway              | 95                   | 0.3%                         | 2,946     | 0.6%                            | 104                     | —                                  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Stores owned by anchor tenant that are attached to our centers.

<sup>(2)</sup> Kroger information includes Harris Teeter stores, as their merger was effective January 28, 2014.

Our leases for tenant space under 5,000 square feet generally have terms ranging from three to five years. Leases greater than 10,000 square feet generally have lease terms in excess of five years, mostly comprised of anchor tenants. Many of the anchor leases contain provisions allowing the tenant the option of extending the term of the lease at expiration. The leases provide for the monthly payment in advance of fixed minimum rent, additional rents calculated as a percentage of the tenant's sales, the tenant's pro-rata share of real estate taxes, insurance, and common area maintenance ("CAM") expenses, and reimbursement for utility costs if not directly metered.



The following table summarizes lease expirations for the next ten years and thereafter, for our Consolidated and Unconsolidated Properties, assuming no tenants renew their leases (GLA and dollars in thousands):

| Lease Expiration Year | Number of Tenants with Expiring Leases | Expiring GLA | Percent of Total Company GLA | Minimum Rent Expiring Leases <sup>(2)</sup> | Percent of Minimum Rent <sup>(2)</sup> |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| (1)                   | 19                                     | 27           | 0.1                          | % \$212                                     | — %                                    |
| 2014                  | 852                                    | 1,982        | 7.7                          | % 38,940                                    | 8.4 %                                  |
| 2015                  | 1,038                                  | 2,344        | 9.1                          | % 49,126                                    | 10.7 %                                 |
| 2016                  | 1,026                                  | 2,772        | 10.7                         | % 50,081                                    | 10.9 %                                 |
| 2017                  | 985                                    | 3,242        | 12.5                         | % 63,908                                    | 13.9 %                                 |
| 2018                  | 858                                    | 2,713        | 10.5                         | % 51,728                                    | 11.3 %                                 |
| 2019                  | 351                                    | 2,030        | 7.8                          | % 33,852                                    | 7.4 %                                  |
| 2020                  | 175                                    | 1,370        | 5.3                          | % 21,939                                    | 4.8 %                                  |
| 2021                  | 169                                    | 1,261        | 4.9                          | % 19,983                                    | 4.4 %                                  |
| 2022                  | 220                                    | 1,600        | 6.2                          | % 25,005                                    | 5.4 %                                  |
| 2023                  | 223                                    | 1,300        | 5.0                          | % 24,348                                    | 5.3 %                                  |
| Thereafter            | 411                                    | 5,226        | 20.2                         | % 80,202                                    | 17.5 %                                 |
| Total                 | 6,327                                  | 25,867       | 100.0                        | % \$459,324                                 | 100.0 %                                |

(1) Leases currently under month-to-month rent or in process of renewal.

(2) Minimum rent includes current minimum rent and future contractual rent steps, but excludes additional rent such as percentage rent, common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance reimbursements.

During 2014, we have a total of 852 leases expiring, representing 2.0 million square feet of GLA. These expiring leases have an average base rent of \$19.65 per SFT. The average base rent of new leases signed during 2013 was \$21.56 per SFT. During periods of recession or when occupancy is low, tenants have more bargaining power, which may result in rental rate declines on new or renewal leases. In periods of recovery and/or when occupancy levels are high, landlords have more bargaining power, which generally results in rental rate growth on new and renewal leases. Based on current economic trends and expectations, and pro-rata percent leased of 94.8%, we expect to see an overall increase in rental rate growth on new and renewal leases during 2014. Exceptions may arise in certain geographic areas or at specific shopping centers based on the local economic situation, competition, location, and size of the space being leased, among other factors. Additionally, significant changes or uncertainties affecting micro- or macroeconomic climates may cause significant changes to our current expectations.

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

See the following property table and also see Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis for further information about our Consolidated and Unconsolidated Properties.

| Property Name                   | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>              | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>ALABAMA</b>                  |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |
| Valleydale Village Shop Center  | Birmingham-Hoover                | 50%                               | 2002          | 2003                                | \$—                               | 118,466                   | 73.9%                         | \$11.95                                    |
| Shoppes at Fairhope Village     | Mobile                           |                                   | 2008          | 2008                                | —                                 | 84,740                    | 84.5%                         | 14.97                                      |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (AL)  |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | —                                 | 203,206                   | 78.3%                         | 13.80                                      |
| <b>ARIZONA</b>                  |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |
| Palm Valley Marketplace         | Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale          | 20%                               | 2001          | 1999                                | 11,000                            | 107,633                   | 94.1%                         | 13.49                                      |
| Pima Crossing                   | Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale          |                                   | 1999          | 1996                                | —                                 | 238,275                   | 95.6%                         | 14.10                                      |
| Shops at Arizona                | Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale          |                                   | 2003          | 2000                                | —                                 | 35,710                    | 30.2%                         | 18.82                                      |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (AZ)  |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | 11,000                            | 381,618                   | 89.1%                         | 14.25                                      |
| <b>CALIFORNIA</b>               |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |
| Amerige Heights Town Center     | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 2000          | 2000                                | 16,796                            | 89,443                    | 100.0%                        | 27.14                                      |
| Brea Marketplace <sup>(7)</sup> | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 40%                               | 2005          | 1987                                | 50,039                            | 352,226                   | 99.6%                         | 16.57                                      |
| El Camino Shopping Center       | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1995                                | —                                 | 135,740                   | 99.5%                         | 24.36                                      |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                                  |     |      |      |        |         |        |       |
|---|----------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| Granada Village                         | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 40% | 2005 | 1965 | 40,569 | 226,488 | 97.8%  | 21.09 |
| Hasley Canyon Village                   | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 20% | 2003 | 2003 | 8,362  | 65,801  | 100.0% | 23.20 |
| Heritage Plaza <sup>(7)</sup>           | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |     | 1999 | 1981 | —      | 230,283 | 98.6%  | 30.53 |
| Juanita Tate Marketplace <sup>(4)</sup> | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |     | 2013 | 2013 | —      | 77,096  | 91.5%  | 22.66 |
| Laguna Niguel Plaza                     | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 40% | 2005 | 1985 | 9,215  | 41,943  | 96.7%  | 24.76 |

17

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                     | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>              | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Marina Shores                     | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 20%                               | 2008          | 2001                                | 11,405                            | 67,727                    | 100.0%                        |
| Morningside Plaza                 | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1996                                | —                                 | 91,212                    | 97.4%                         |
| Newland Center                    | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1985                                | —                                 | 149,140                   | 97.2%                         |
| Plaza Hermosa                     | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1984                                | 13,800                            | 94,717                    | 100.0%                        |
| Rona Plaza                        | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1989                                | —                                 | 51,760                    | 100.0%                        |
| Seal Beach                        | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 20%                               | 2002          | 1966                                | —                                 | 96,858                    | 96.7%                         |
| South Bay Village                 | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 2012          | 2012                                | —                                 | 107,706                   | 100.0%                        |
| Twin Oaks Shopping Center         | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana | 40%                               | 2005          | 1978                                | 10,478                            | 98,399                    | 96.6%                         |
| Valencia Crossroads               | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 2002          | 2003                                | —                                 | 172,856                   | 100.0%                        |
| Woodman Van Nuys                  | Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana |                                   | 1999          | 1992                                | —                                 | 107,614                   | 100.0%                        |
| Silverado Plaza                   | Napa                             | 40%                               | 2005          | 1974                                | 10,615                            | 84,916                    | 100.0%                        |
| Gelson's Westlake                 | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura     |                                   | 2002          | 2002                                | —                                 | 84,975                    | 98.0%                         |
| Market Plaza                      | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura     |                                   | 1999          | 1982                                | —                                 | 83,286                    | 94.7%                         |
| Oakbrook Plaza                    | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura     |                                   | 1999          | 1984                                | —                                 | 76,070                    | 91.3%                         |
| Ventura Village                   | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura     |                                   | 1999          | 1984                                | —                                 | 76,070                    | 91.3%                         |
| Westlake Village Plaza and Center | Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura     |                                   | 1999          | 1975                                | —                                 | 193,729                   | 89.4%                         |
| French Valley Village Center      | Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario |                                   | 2004          | 2004                                | —                                 | 98,752                    | 96.9%                         |
| Indio Towne Center                | Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario |                                   | 2006          | 2010                                | —                                 | 179,505                   | 86.3%                         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                              |   |      |      |        |         |        |
|------------------------------|---|------|------|--------|---------|--------|
| Jefferson Square             | Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario        | 2007 | 2007 | —      | 38,013  | 47.9%  |
| Auburn Village               | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville 40% | 2005 | 1990 | —      | 133,944 | 86.2%  |
| Folsom Prairie City Crossing | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville     | 1999 | 1999 | —      | 90,237  | 93.7%  |
| Oak Shade Town Center        | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville     | 2011 | 1998 | 10,147 | 103,762 | 97.7%  |
| Raley's Supermarket          | Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville 20% | 2007 | 1964 | —      | 62,827  | 100.0% |

18

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                         | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>           | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> | Gross Ter Sq |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 4S Commons Town Center                | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 2004          | 2004                                | 62,500                            | 240,060                   | 92.6%                         | 29.74                                      | Ra Jim       |
| Balboa Mesa Shopping Center           | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 2012          | 1969                                | —                                 | 186,121                   | 97.7%                         | 23.54                                      | Vo Ko        |
| Costa Verde Center                    | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 1999          | 1988                                | —                                 | 178,623                   | 93.9%                         | 34.13                                      | Bri          |
| El Norte Pkwy Plaza                   | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 1999          | 1984                                | —                                 | 90,549                    | 94.9%                         | 16.49                                      | Vo           |
| Friars Mission Center                 | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 1999          | 1989                                | 272                               | 146,898                   | 100.0%                        | 30.69                                      | Ra           |
| Navajo Shopping Center <sup>(7)</sup> | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos | 40%                               | 2005          | 1964                                | 8,674                             | 102,139                   | 98.9%                         | 13.29                                      | Alb          |
| Point Loma Plaza                      | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos | 40%                               | 2005          | 1987                                | 27,422                            | 212,652                   | 90.1%                         | 18.65                                      | Vo           |
| Rancho San Diego Village              | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos | 40%                               | 2005          | 1981                                | 23,634                            | 153,256                   | 88.4%                         | 20.10                                      | Vo           |
| Twin Peaks                            | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 1999          | 1988                                | —                                 | 207,741                   | 99.1%                         | 17.43                                      | Alb          |
| Uptown District                       | San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos |                                   | 2012          | 1990                                | —                                 | 148,638                   | 94.1%                         | 33.30                                      | Ra           |
| Bayhill Shopping Center               | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont | 40%                               | 2005          | 1990                                | 22,001                            | 121,846                   | 98.4%                         | 21.88                                      | Mo Ma        |
|                                       |                               |                                   | 2003          | 2004                                | —                                 | 260,205                   | 93.0%                         | 20.29                                      |              |



Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |                               |     |      |      |        |         |        |       |  |                              |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--|------------------------------|
| Clayton Valley Shopping Center | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     |      |      |        |         |        |       |  | Fre<br>Or<br>Ha              |
| Diablo Plaza                   | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 1999 | 1982 | —      | 63,265  | 100.0% | 35.06 |  | (Sa                          |
| El Cerrito Plaza               | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 2000 | 2000 | 39,355 | 256,035 | 95.7%  | 26.81 |  | (Lu<br>Joe                   |
| Encina Grande                  | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 1999 | 1965 | —      | 102,413 | 94.0%  | 25.88 |  | Saf<br>(H<br>(Be<br>Au<br>No |
| Gateway 101                    | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 2008 | 2008 | —      | 92,110  | 100.0% | 31.14 |  |                              |
| Pleasant Hill Shopping Center  | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont | 40% | 2005 | 1970 | 29,490 | 227,681 | 100.0% | 23.53 |  | Tar                          |
| Powell Street Plaza            | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 2001 | 1987 | —      | 165,928 | 100.0% | 30.35 |  | Tra                          |
| San Leandro Plaza              | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont |     | 1999 | 1982 | —      | 50,432  | 100.0% | 31.83 |  | (Sa                          |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name               | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>              | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Ave Base Rent (Per SFT) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sequoia Station             | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont    |                                   | 1999          | 1996                                | 21,100                            | 103,148                   | 100.0%                        | 35.3                    |
| Strawflower Village         | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont    |                                   | 1999          | 1985                                | —                                 | 78,827                    | 98.5%                         | 18.9                    |
| Tassajara Crossing          | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont    |                                   | 1999          | 1990                                | 19,800                            | 146,140                   | 98.9%                         | 21.6                    |
| Woodside Central            | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont    |                                   | 1999          | 1993                                | —                                 | 80,591                    | 100.0%                        | 21.3                    |
| Ygnacio Plaza               | San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont    | 40%                               | 2005          | 1968                                | 28,851                            | 109,701                   | 97.2%                         | 34.7                    |
| Blossom Valley              | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara   | 20%                               | 1999          | 1990                                | 10,257                            | 93,316                    | 100.0%                        | 24.5                    |
| Loehmanns Plaza California  | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara   |                                   | 1999          | 1983                                | —                                 | 113,310                   | 100.0%                        | 18.2                    |
| Mariposa Shopping Center    | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara   | 40%                               | 2005          | 1957                                | 21,256                            | 126,658                   | 100.0%                        | 18.7                    |
| Snell & Branham Plaza       | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara   | 40%                               | 2005          | 1988                                | 14,170                            | 92,352                    | 98.6%                         | 16.9                    |
| West Park Plaza             | San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara   |                                   | 1999          | 1996                                | —                                 | 88,104                    | 100.0%                        | 16.9                    |
| Golden Hills Promenade      | San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles      |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 241,846                   | 98.1%                         | 6.72                    |
| Five Points Shopping Center | Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Goleta | 40%                               | 2005          | 1960                                | 28,076                            | 144,553                   | 96.2%                         | 24.9                    |
| East Washington Place       | Santa Rosa-Petaluma              |                                   | 2011          | 2011                                | —                                 | 203,313                   | 93.4%                         | 23.3                    |
| Corral Hollow               | Stockton                         | 25%                               | 2000          | 2000                                | 21,300                            | 167,184                   | 99.0%                         | 16.4                    |

|                                   |  |  |  |  |         |           |       |      |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------|-----------|-------|------|
| Subtotal/Weighted<br>Average (CA) |  |  |  |  | 559,584 | 8,282,660 | 96.4% | 23.0 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------|-----------|-------|------|

COLORADO

|                             |                  |     |      |      |        |         |        |      |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|------|
| Arapahoe Village            | Boulder          | 40% | 2005 | 1957 | 14,596 | 159,045 | 95.1%  | 16.5 |
| Crossroads<br>Commons       | Boulder          | 20% | 2001 | 1986 | 17,218 | 142,589 | 98.7%  | 25.2 |
| Falcon<br>Marketplace       | Colorado Springs |     | 2005 | 2005 | —      | 22,491  | 78.7%  | 20.6 |
| Marketplace at<br>Briargate | Colorado Springs |     | 2006 | 2006 | —      | 29,075  | 100.0% | 26.8 |
| Monument<br>Jackson Creek   | Colorado Springs |     | 1998 | 1999 | —      | 85,263  | 100.0% | 11.1 |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                      | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>                  | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Woodmen Plaza                      | Colorado Springs                     |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | —                                 | 116,233                   | 93.6%                         |
| Applewood Shopping Center          | Denver-Aurora                        | 40%                               | 2005          | 1956                                | —                                 | 381,041                   | 92.8%                         |
| Belleview Square                   | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 2004          | 1978                                | 6,769                             | 117,331                   | 100.0%                        |
| Boulevard Center                   | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1999          | 1986                                | —                                 | 78,522                    | 94.8%                         |
| Buckley Square                     | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1999          | 1978                                | —                                 | 116,147                   | 98.9%                         |
| Cherrywood Square                  | Denver-Aurora                        | 40%                               | 2005          | 1978                                | 4,506                             | 96,667                    | 100.0%                        |
| Hilltop Village                    | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 2002          | 2003                                | 7,500                             | 100,030                   | 91.1%                         |
| Kent Place                         | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 2011          | 2011                                | 8,250                             | 48,175                    | 100.0%                        |
| Littleton Square                   | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1999          | 1997                                | —                                 | 94,219                    | 74.5%                         |
| Lloyd King Center                  | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | —                                 | 83,418                    | 98.3%                         |
| Ralston Square Shopping Center     | Denver-Aurora                        | 40%                               | 2005          | 1977                                | 4,506                             | 82,750                    | 93.7%                         |
| Shops at Quail Creek               | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 2008          | 2008                                | —                                 | 37,579                    | 100.0%                        |
| South Lowry Square                 | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1999          | 1993                                | —                                 | 119,916                   | 41.7%                         |
| Stroh Ranch                        | Denver-Aurora                        |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | —                                 | 93,436                    | 96.8%                         |
| Centerplace of Greeley III Phase I | Greeley                              |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 119,090                   | 93.6%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (CO)     |                                      |                                   |               |                                     | 63,345                            | 2,123,017                 | 91.8%                         |
| CONNECTICUT                        |                                      |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Corbin's Corner                    | Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford | 40%                               | 2005          | 1962                                | 41,722                            | 179,865                   | 99.8%                         |

|                                   |                                 |     |      |      |        |         |        |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|
| Subtotal/Weighted<br>Average (CT) |                                 |     |      |      | 41,722 | 179,865 | 99.8%  |
| DISTRICT OF<br>COLUMBIA           |                                 |     |      |      |        |         |        |
| Shops at The<br>Columbia          | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 25% | 2006 | 2006 | —      | 22,812  | 100.0% |
| Spring Valley<br>Shopping Center  | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1930 | 13,223 | 16,835  | 100.0% |
| Subtotal/Weighted<br>Average (DC) |                                 |     |      |      | 13,223 | 39,647  | 100.0% |

21

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>            | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DELAWARE                       |                                |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| White Oak - Dover, DE          | Dover                          |                                   | 2000          | 2000                                | —                                 | 10,908                    | 100.0%                        |
| Pike Creek                     | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington |                                   | 1998          | 1981                                | —                                 | 231,603                   | 94.6%                         |
| Shoppes of Graylyn             | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington | 40%                               | 2005          | 1971                                | —                                 | 66,808                    | 96.1%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (DE) |                                |                                   |               |                                     | —                                 | 309,319                   | 95.1%                         |
| FLORIDA                        |                                |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Corkscrew Village              | Cape Coral-Fort Myers          |                                   | 2007          | 1997                                | 8,187                             | 82,011                    | 92.6%                         |
| Grande Oak                     | Cape Coral-Fort Myers          |                                   | 2000          | 2000                                | —                                 | 78,784                    | 96.7%                         |
| Millhopper Shopping Center     | Gainesville                    |                                   | 1993          | 1974                                | —                                 | 80,421                    | 83.5%                         |
| Newberry Square                | Gainesville                    |                                   | 1994          | 1986                                | —                                 | 180,524                   | 89.8%                         |
| Anastasia Plaza                | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 1993          | 1988                                | —                                 | 102,342                   | 95.1%                         |
| Courtyard Shopping Center      | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 1993          | 1987                                | —                                 | 137,256                   | 100.0%                        |
| Fleming Island                 | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 1998          | 2000                                | 417                               | 136,663                   | 83.2%                         |
| Hibernia Pavilion              | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 51,298                    | 84.4%                         |
| Hibernia Plaza                 | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 8,400                     | 16.7%                         |
| John's Creek Center            | Jacksonville                   | 20%                               | 2003          | 2004                                | 7,835                             | 75,101                    | 87.9%                         |
| Julington Village              | Jacksonville                   | 20%                               | 1999          | 1999                                | 9,500                             | 81,820                    | 100.0%                        |
| Nocatee Town Center            | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 69,679                    | 100.0%                        |
| Oakleaf Commons                | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 73,717                    | 90.5%                         |
| Old St Augustine Plaza         | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 1996          | 1990                                | —                                 | 232,459                   | 92.5%                         |
| Pine Tree Plaza                | Jacksonville                   |                                   | 1997          | 1999                                | —                                 | 63,387                    | 97.8%                         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |              |     |      |      |        |         |        |
|--|--------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|
| Plantation Plaza                       | Jacksonville | 20% | 2004 | 2004 | 10,500 | 77,747  | 88.0%  |
| Seminole Shoppes                       | Jacksonville |     | 2009 | 2009 | 9,000  | 73,241  | 100.0% |
| Shoppes at<br>Bartram Park             | Jacksonville | 50% | 2005 | 2004 | —      | 126,458 | 95.7%  |
| Shoppes on<br>Riverside <sup>(4)</sup> | Jacksonville |     | 2013 | 2013 | —      | 49,870  | 48.9%  |
| Shops at John's<br>Creek               | Jacksonville |     | 2003 | 2004 | —      | 15,490  | 91.6%  |

22

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>               | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> | Average Groce Major Tenan >40,0 Ft <sup>(6)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Aventura Shopping Center       | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1994          | 1974                                | —                                 | 102,876                   | 73.7%                         | 18.68                                      | Publix  |
| Boynton Lakes Plaza            | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1997          | 1993                                | —                                 | 105,820                   | 96.5%                         | 14.96                                      | Publix  |
| Caligo Crossing <sup>(7)</sup> | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 10,763                    | 100.0%                        | 42.74                                      | (Kohl   |
| Chasewood Plaza                | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1993          | 1986                                | —                                 | 146,669                   | 94.6%                         | 22.85                                      | Publix  |
| Five Points Plaza              | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach | 25%                               | 2005          | 2001                                | —                                 | 38,747                    | 100.0%                        | 15.30                                      | Publix  |
| Fountain Square <sup>(4)</sup> | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 2013          | 2013                                | —                                 | 179,593                   | 71.9%                         | 21.62                                      | Publix  |
| Garden Square                  | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1997          | 1991                                | —                                 | 90,258                    | 98.6%                         | 15.60                                      | Publix  |
| Shoppes @ 104                  | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1998          | 1990                                | —                                 | 108,192                   | 96.7%                         | 16.09                                      | Winn-   |
| Welleby Plaza                  | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1996          | 1982                                | —                                 | 109,949                   | 91.7%                         | 11.35                                      | Publix  |
| Wellington Town Square         | Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach |                                   | 1996          | 1982                                | 12,800                            | 107,325                   | 95.5%                         | 19.98                                      | Publix  |
| Berkshire Commons              | Naples-Marco Island               |                                   | 1994          | 1992                                | 7,500                             | 110,062                   | 97.8%                         | 13.47                                      | Publix  |
| Naples Walk Shopping Center    | Naples-Marco Island               |                                   | 2007          | 1999                                | 15,524                            | 125,390                   | 82.5%                         | 14.66                                      | Publix  |
| Pebblebrook Plaza              | Naples-Marco Island               | 50%                               | 2000          | 2000                                | —                                 | 76,767                    | 100.0%                        | 13.89                                      | Publix  |
| Starke <sup>(7)</sup>          | None                              |                                   | 2000          | 2000                                | —                                 | 12,739                    | 100.0%                        | 24.65                                      | -   |
| Canopy Oak Center              | Ocala                             | 50%                               | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 90,042                    | 91.8%                         | 18.73                                      | Publix  |
| East Towne Center              | Orlando                           |                                   | 2002          | 2003                                | —                                 | 69,841                    | 90.0%                         | 13.49                                      | Publix  |
| Willa Springs                  | Orlando                           | 20%                               | 2000          | 2000                                | 7,022                             | 89,930                    | 100.0%                        | 17.86                                      | Publix  |
| Lynnhaven                      |                                   | 50%                               | 2001          | 2001                                | —                                 | 63,871                    | 95.6%                         | 12.12                                      | Publix  |



Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                             |                                 |      |      |       |         |        |       |                                 |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
|                             | Panama City-Lynn Haven          |      |      |       |         |        |       |                                 |  |
| Carriage Gate               | Tallahassee                     | 1994 | 1978 | —     | 74,284  | 80.1%  | 18.82 | -                               |  |
| Ocala Corners (7)           | Tallahassee                     | 2000 | 2000 | 5,211 | 86,772  | 97.9%  | 13.83 | Publix                          |  |
| Bloomington Square          | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater | 1998 | 1987 | —     | 267,736 | 98.9%  | 9.26  | Publix Wal-Mart Bealls          |  |
| Kings Crossing Sun City     | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater | 1999 | 1999 | —     | 75,020  | 97.1%  | 12.27 | Publix                          |  |
| Marketplace Shopping Center | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater | 1995 | 1983 | —     | 90,296  | 80.7%  | 17.94 | LA Fi                           |  |
| Northgate Square            | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater | 2007 | 1995 | —     | 75,495  | 100.0% | 13.28 | Publix                          |  |
| Regency Square              | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater | 1993 | 1986 | —     | 351,688 | 97.0%  | 15.03 | AMC Theatre Michael (Best (Maco |  |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                      | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>             | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> | Average Occupancy <sup>(4)</sup> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Suncoast Crossing <sup>(7)</sup>   | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 117,885                   | 90.8%                         | 5.80                                       | 85.0%                            |
| Town Square                        | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater |                                   | 1997          | 1999                                | —                                 | 44,380                    | 90.0%                         | 26.74                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Village Center                     | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater |                                   | 1995          | 1993                                | —                                 | 181,651                   | 78.5%                         | 18.21                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Westchase                          | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater |                                   | 2007          | 1998                                | 7,529                             | 78,998                    | 100.0%                        | 14.33                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (FL)     |                                 |                                   |               |                                     | 101,025                           | 4,879,707                 | 91.5%                         | 14.35                                      |                                  |
| GEORGIA                            |                                 |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |                                  |
| Ashford Place                      | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1993                                | —                                 | 53,449                    | 81.5%                         | 19.48                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Briarcliff La Vista                | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1962                                | —                                 | 39,204                    | 100.0%                        | 18.26                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Briarcliff Village <sup>(7)</sup>  | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1990                                | —                                 | 189,551                   | 95.2%                         | 14.89                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Buckhead Court                     | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1984                                | —                                 | 48,317                    | 92.5%                         | 15.81                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Cambridge Square                   | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1996          | 1979                                | —                                 | 71,429                    | 100.0%                        | 13.82                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Cornerstone Square                 | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1990                                | —                                 | 80,406                    | 95.7%                         | 14.67                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Delk Spectrum                      | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1998          | 1991                                | —                                 | 98,675                    | 83.3%                         | 14.99                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Dunwoody Hall                      | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  | 20%                               | 1997          | 1986                                | 6,857                             | 85,899                    | 96.3%                         | 16.29                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Dunwoody Village                   | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1975                                | —                                 | 120,758                   | 97.2%                         | 17.48                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Howell Mill Village <sup>(7)</sup> | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 2004          | 1984                                | —                                 | 92,294                    | 98.8%                         | 18.66                                      | 85.0%                            |
| Loehmanns Plaza Georgia            | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta  |                                   | 1997          | 1986                                | —                                 | 137,686                   | 92.2%                         | 21.10                                      | 85.0%                            |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                          |                                   |      |      |   |        |        |       |   |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|---|--------|--------|-------|---|
| Paces Ferry Plaza<br>(7) | Atlanta-Sandy<br>Springs-Marietta | 1997 | 1987 | — | 61,698 | 89.5%  | 30.99 | - |
| Powers Ferry<br>Square   | Atlanta-Sandy<br>Springs-Marietta | 1997 | 1987 | — | 97,897 | 99.3%  | 26.28 | - |
| Powers Ferry<br>Village  | Atlanta-Sandy<br>Springs-Marietta | 1997 | 1994 | — | 78,896 | 100.0% | 11.97 | F |

24

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                    | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>            | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Russell Ridge                    | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta |                                   | 1994          | 1995                                | —                                 | 98,559                    | 91.4%                         | 12.15                                      |
| Sandy Springs                    | Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta |                                   | 2012          | 2006                                | 16,371                            | 116,304                   | 98.5%                         | 19.67                                      |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (GA)   |                                |                                   |               |                                     | 23,228                            | 1,471,022                 | 94.7%                         | 17.77                                      |
| ILLINOIS                         |                                |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |
| Civic Center Plaza               | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 40%                               | 2005          | 1989                                | 26,128                            | 264,973                   | 98.9%                         | 10.94                                      |
| Geneva Crossing                  | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 20%                               | 2004          | 1997                                | 10,900                            | 123,182                   | 98.8%                         | 14.07                                      |
| Glen Gate <sup>(4)</sup>         | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      |                                   | 2013          | 2013                                | —                                 | 103,134                   | 73.3%                         | 22.50                                      |
| Glen Oak Plaza                   | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      |                                   | 2010          | 1967                                | —                                 | 62,616                    | 100.0%                        | 21.97                                      |
| Hinsdale                         | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      |                                   | 1998          | 1986                                | —                                 | 178,960                   | 95.1%                         | 13.03                                      |
| McHenry Commons Shopping Center  | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 40%                               | 2005          | 1988                                | 9,089                             | 99,448                    | 92.6%                         | 7.39                                       |
| Riverside Sq & River's Edge      | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 40%                               | 2005          | 1986                                | 15,835                            | 169,435                   | 100.0%                        | 15.22                                      |
| Roscoe Square                    | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 40%                               | 2005          | 1981                                | 11,954                            | 140,426                   | 97.3%                         | 18.97                                      |
| Shorewood Crossing               | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 20%                               | 2004          | 2001                                | —                                 | 87,705                    | 91.7%                         | 14.13                                      |
| Shorewood Crossing II            | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 20%                               | 2007          | 2005                                | 7,187                             | 86,276                    | 100.0%                        | 13.57                                      |
| Stonebrook Plaza Shopping Center | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      | 40%                               | 2005          | 1984                                | 8,450                             | 95,825                    | 94.3%                         | 11.59                                      |
| Westbrook Commons                | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      |                                   | 2001          | 1984                                | —                                 | 123,855                   | 91.3%                         | 10.86                                      |
|                                  | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet      |                                   | 2010          | 2007                                | 39,505                            | 403,876                   | 98.8%                         | 16.39                                      |

Willow Festival  
(7)

|                                   |  |  |  |         |           |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Subtotal/Weighted<br>Average (IL) |  |  |  | 129,048 | 1,939,711 | 95.9% | 14.67 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|-----------|-------|-------|

INDIANA

|                              |                           |      |      |   |         |       |       |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|-------|
| Airport Crossing             | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet | 2006 | 2006 | — | 11,924  | 88.6% | 17.46 |
| Augusta Center               | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet | 2006 | 2006 | — | 14,533  | 90.1% | 22.10 |
| Shops on Main <sup>(4)</sup> | Chicago-Naperville-Joliet | 2013 | 2013 | — | 154,931 | 89.4% | 13.34 |

25

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                    | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>     | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Constructed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Greenwood Springs                | Indianapolis            |                                   | 2004          | 2004                               | —                                 | 28,028                    | 100.0%                        | 15.2                        |
| Willow Lake Shopping Center      | Indianapolis            | 40%                               | 2005          | 1987                               | —                                 | 85,923                    | 80.0%                         | 16.7                        |
| Willow Lake West Shopping Center | Indianapolis            | 40%                               | 2005          | 2001                               | —                                 | 52,961                    | 97.0%                         | 23.5                        |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (IN)   |                         |                                   |               |                                    | —                                 | 348,300                   | 89.1%                         | 15.6                        |
| KENTUCKY                         |                         |                                   |               |                                    |                                   |                           |                               |                             |
| Walton Towne Center              | Cincinnati-Middletown   |                                   | 2007          | 2007                               | —                                 | 23,186                    | 100.0%                        | 17.7                        |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (KY)   |                         |                                   |               |                                    | —                                 | 23,186                    | 100.0%                        | 17.7                        |
| MASSACHUSETTS                    |                         |                                   |               |                                    |                                   |                           |                               |                             |
| Fellsway Plaza                   | Boston-Cambridge-Quincy |                                   | 2013          | 2008                               | 28,100                            | 148,717                   | 100.0%                        | 17.8                        |
| Shops at Saugus                  | Boston-Cambridge-Quincy |                                   | 2006          | 2006                               | —                                 | 86,855                    | 92.8%                         | 27.9                        |
| Twin City Plaza                  | Boston-Cambridge-Quincy |                                   | 2006          | 2004                               | 40,493                            | 270,242                   | 95.4%                         | 16.9                        |
| Speedway Plaza                   | Worcester               | 20%                               | 2006          | 1988                               | 8,518                             | 183,942                   | 94.9%                         | 10.6                        |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (MA)   |                         |                                   |               |                                    | 77,111                            | 689,756                   | 95.9%                         | 18.5                        |
| MARYLAND                         |                         |                                   |               |                                    |                                   |                           |                               |                             |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                           |                  |     |      |      |        |         |        |      |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|------|
| Festival at Woodholme     | Baltimore-Towson | 40% | 2005 | 1986 | 22,001 | 81,016  | 95.3%  | 36.6 |
| Parkville Shopping Center | Baltimore-Towson | 40% | 2005 | 1961 | 12,196 | 162,382 | 98.6%  | 14.8 |
| Southside Marketplace     | Baltimore-Towson | 40% | 2005 | 1990 | 15,162 | 125,146 | 96.1%  | 16.9 |
| Valley Centre             | Baltimore-Towson | 40% | 2005 | 1987 | 19,591 | 219,549 | 100.0% | 15.0 |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                         | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>              | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Village at Lee Airpark <sup>(7)</sup> | Baltimore-Towson                 |                                   | 2005          | 2005                                | —                                 | 87,557                    | 100.0%                        |
| Bowie Plaza                           | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1966                                | —                                 | 102,904                   | 93.8%                         |
| Burnt Mills <sup>(7)</sup>            | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 20%                               | 2013          | 2004                                | 7,147                             | 31,316                    | 100.0%                        |
| Clinton Park                          | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 20%                               | 2003          | 2003                                | —                                 | 206,050                   | 95.6%                         |
| Cloppers Mill Village                 | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1995                                | —                                 | 137,098                   | 96.1%                         |
| Firstfield Shopping Center            | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1978                                | —                                 | 22,328                    | 88.8%                         |
| King Farm Village Center              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 25%                               | 2004          | 2001                                | 27,500                            | 118,326                   | 92.5%                         |
| Takoma Park                           | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1960                                | —                                 | 104,079                   | 100.0%                        |
| Watkins Park Plaza                    | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1985                                | —                                 | 111,141                   | 100.0%                        |
| Woodmoor Shopping Center              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria  | 40%                               | 2005          | 1954                                | 6,907                             | 68,627                    | 98.1%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (MD)        |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | 110,504                           | 1,577,519                 | 97.2%                         |
| MICHIGAN                              |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| State Street Crossing                 | Ann Arbor                        |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 21,049                    | 100.0%                        |
| Fenton Marketplace                    | Flint                            |                                   | 1999          | 1999                                | —                                 | 97,275                    | 43.3%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (MI)        |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | —                                 | 118,324                   | 53.4%                         |
| MINNESOTA                             |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Apple Valley Square                   | Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington | 25%                               | 2006          | 1998                                | 16,000                            | 184,841                   | 95.2%                         |



|                 |                                  |     |      |      |        |        |        |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| Calhoun Commons | Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington | 25% | 2011 | 1999 | 4,316  | 66,150 | 100.0% |
| Colonial Square | Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington | 40% | 2005 | 1959 | 10,090 | 93,248 | 98.7%  |

27

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>              | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Rent (Per SFT) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Rockford Road Plaza            | Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington | 40%                               | 2005          | 1991                                | —                                 | 207,209                   | 98.7%                         | 11.41                  |
| Rockridge Center               | Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington | 20%                               | 2011          | 2006                                | 14,539                            | 125,213                   | 97.0%                         | 13.02                  |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (MN) |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | 44,945                            | 676,661                   | 97.6%                         | 13.70                  |
| MISSOURI                       |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |                        |
| Brentwood Plaza                | St. Louis                        |                                   | 2007          | 2002                                | —                                 | 60,452                    | 100.0%                        | 10.23                  |
| Bridgeton                      | St. Louis                        |                                   | 2007          | 2005                                | —                                 | 70,762                    | 100.0%                        | 11.90                  |
| Dardenne Crossing              | St. Louis                        |                                   | 2007          | 1996                                | —                                 | 67,430                    | 100.0%                        | 10.81                  |
| Kirkwood Commons               | St. Louis                        |                                   | 2007          | 2000                                | 11,510                            | 209,703                   | 100.0%                        | 9.73                   |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (MO) |                                  |                                   |               |                                     | 11,510                            | 408,347                   | 100.0%                        | 11.99                  |
| NORTH CAROLINA                 |                                  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |                        |
| Carmel Commons                 | Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord       |                                   | 1997          | 1979                                | —                                 | 132,651                   | 92.5%                         | 17.63                  |
| Cochran Commons                | Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord       | 20%                               | 2007          | 2003                                | 5,977                             | 66,020                    | 98.2%                         | 15.44                  |
| Phillips Place                 | Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord       | 50%                               | 2012          | 2005                                | 44,500                            | 133,059                   | 99.3%                         | 30.89                  |
| Providence Commons             | Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord       | 25%                               | 2010          | 1994                                | —                                 | 77,315                    | 100.0%                        | 16.00                  |
| Erwin Square <sup>(4)</sup>    | Durham-Chapel Hill               |                                   | 2012          | 2012                                | —                                 | 89,901                    | 84.9%                         | 15.20                  |
| Southpoint Crossing            | Durham-Chapel Hill               |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | —                                 | 103,240                   | 97.1%                         | 15.01                  |
| Village Plaza                  | Durham-Chapel Hill               | 20%                               | 2012          | 2008                                | 8,000                             | 74,530                    | 100.0%                        | 16.56                  |

|                           |                    |     |      |      |        |         |        |       |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| Woodcroft Shopping Center | Durham-Chapel Hill |     | 1996 | 1984 | —      | 89,833  | 98.7%  | 12.04 |
| Cameron Village           | Raleigh-Cary       | 30% | 2004 | 1949 | 47,300 | 552,541 | 96.8%  | 18.29 |
| Colonnade Center          | Raleigh-Cary       |     | 2009 | 2009 | —      | 57,637  | 100.0% | 26.36 |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>                      | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Glenwood Village               | Raleigh-Cary                             |                                   | 1997          | 1983                                | —                                 | 42,864                    | 96.8%                         |
| Harris Crossing                | Raleigh-Cary                             |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 65,150                    | 92.9%                         |
| Holly Park                     | Raleigh-Cary                             |                                   | 2013          | 2009                                | —                                 | 159,871                   | 98.6%                         |
| Lake Pine Plaza                | Raleigh-Cary                             |                                   | 1998          | 1997                                | —                                 | 87,690                    | 95.2%                         |
| Maynard Crossing               | Raleigh-Cary                             | 20%                               | 1998          | 1997                                | 8,935                             | 122,782                   | 92.8%                         |
| Middle Creek Commons           | Raleigh-Cary                             |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 73,634                    | 96.7%                         |
| Shoppes of Kildaire            | Raleigh-Cary                             | 40%                               | 2005          | 1986                                | 18,474                            | 145,101                   | 97.2%                         |
| Sutton Square                  | Raleigh-Cary                             | 20%                               | 2006          | 1985                                | —                                 | 101,025                   | 98.7%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (NC) |  |                                   |               |                                     | 133,186                           | 2,174,844                 | 96.5%                         |
| NEW JERSEY                     |  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Plaza Square                   | New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island | 40%                               | 2005          | 1990                                | 14,008                            | 103,891                   | 95.3%                         |
| Haddon Commons                 | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington           | 40%                               | 2005          | 1985                                | 1,531                             | 52,871                    | 87.3%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (NJ) |  |                                   |               |                                     | 15,539                            | 156,762                   | 92.6%                         |
| NEW YORK                       |  |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
|                                |  | 40%                               | 2012          | 2008                                | 33,236                            | 141,382                   | 100.0%                        |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

Lake Grove Commons      New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island

|                                |  |  |  |        |         |        |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--------|---------|--------|
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (NY) |  |  |  | 33,236 | 141,382 | 100.0% |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--------|---------|--------|

OHIO

|              |                       |      |      |   |         |       |
|--------------|-----------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|
| Cherry Grove | Cincinnati-Middletown | 1998 | 1997 | — | 195,513 | 97.9% |
|--------------|-----------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|

|           |                       |      |      |   |         |       |
|-----------|-----------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|
| Hyde Park | Cincinnati-Middletown | 1997 | 1995 | — | 396,720 | 95.9% |
|-----------|-----------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                     | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>          | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Ranking |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Red Bank Village                  | Cincinnati-Middletown        |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 164,318                   | 100.0%                        | 6       |
| Regency Commons                   | Cincinnati-Middletown        |                                   | 2004          | 2004                                | —                                 | 30,770                    | 94.5%                         | 2       |
| Westchester Plaza                 | Cincinnati-Middletown        |                                   | 1998          | 1988                                | —                                 | 88,181                    | 95.3%                         | 9       |
| East Pointe                       | Columbus                     |                                   | 1998          | 1993                                | —                                 | 102,422                   | 100.0%                        | 9       |
| Kroger New Albany Center          | Columbus                     |                                   | 1999          | 1999                                | —                                 | 93,286                    | 100.0%                        | 1       |
| Maxtown Road (Northgate)          | Columbus                     |                                   | 1998          | 1996                                | —                                 | 85,100                    | 100.0%                        | 1       |
| Windmill Plaza Phase I            | Columbus                     |                                   | 1998          | 1997                                | —                                 | 140,437                   | 98.5%                         | 8       |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (OH)    |                              |                                   |               |                                     | —                                 | 1,296,747                 | 97.8%                         | 1       |
| OREGON                            |                              |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |         |
| Corvallis Market Center           | Corvallis                    |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 84,548                    | 100.0%                        | 1       |
| Northgate Marketplace             | Medford                      |                                   | 2011          | 2011                                | —                                 | 80,953                    | 98.8%                         | 2       |
| Greenway Town Center              | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton | 40%                               | 2005          | 1979                                | 10,021                            | 93,101                    | 94.8%                         | 1       |
| Murrayhill Marketplace            | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton |                                   | 1999          | 1988                                | 7,013                             | 148,967                   | 95.4%                         | 1       |
| Sherwood Crossroads               | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton |                                   | 1999          | 1999                                | —                                 | 87,966                    | 94.2%                         | 1       |
| Sunnyside 205                     | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton |                                   | 1999          | 1988                                | —                                 | 53,547                    | 86.0%                         | 2       |
| Tanasbourne Market <sup>(7)</sup> | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 71,000                    | 100.0%                        | 2       |
| Walker Center                     | Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton |                                   | 1999          | 1987                                | —                                 | 89,610                    | 94.0%                         | 1       |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (OR)    |                              |                                   |               |                                     | 17,034                            | 709,692                   | 95.7%                         | 1       |
| PENNSYLVANIA                      |                              |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |         |
| Allen Street Shopping Center      | Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton   | 40%                               | 2005          | 1958                                | —                                 | 46,228                    | 100.0%                        | 1       |
|                                   | Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton   |                                   | 2007          | 2007                                | —                                 | 90,210                    | 100.0%                        | 2       |

Lower Nazareth  
Commons

Stefko Boulevard

Shopping Center  
(7)

Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton

40%

2005

1976

—

133,899

93.1% 9

30

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>               | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Hershey <sup>(7)</sup>         | Harrisburg-Carlisle               |                                   | 2000          | 2000                                | —                                 | 6,000                     | 100.0%                        |
| City Avenue Shopping Center    | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    | 40%                               | 2005          | 1960                                | 21,204                            | 159,406                   | 76.7%                         |
| Gateway Shopping Center        | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    |                                   | 2004          | 1960                                | —                                 | 214,213                   | 99.3%                         |
| Kulpsville Village Center      | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 14,820                    | 100.0%                        |
| Mercer Square Shopping Center  | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    | 40%                               | 2005          | 1988                                | 11,363                            | 91,400                    | 96.7%                         |
| Newtown Square Shopping Center | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    | 40%                               | 2005          | 1970                                | 11,167                            | 140,789                   | 100.0%                        |
| Warwick Square Shopping Center | Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington    | 40%                               | 2005          | 1999                                | 9,992                             | 89,680                    | 98.0%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (PA) |                                   |                                   |               |                                     | 53,726                            | 986,645                   | 94.7%                         |
| SOUTH CAROLINA                 |                                   |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Merchants Village              | Charleston-North Charleston       | 40%                               | 1997          | 1997                                | 10,142                            | 79,649                    | 100.0%                        |
| Orangeburg                     | Charleston-North Charleston       |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 14,820                    | 100.0%                        |
| Queensborough Shopping Center  | Charleston-North Charleston       | 50%                               | 1998          | 1993                                | —                                 | 82,333                    | 100.0%                        |
| Buckwalter Village             | Hilton Head Island-Beaufort       |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 59,601                    | 100.0%                        |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (SC) |                                   |                                   |               |                                     | 10,142                            | 236,403                   | 100.0%                        |
| TENNESSEE                      |                                   |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Dickson Tn                     | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | —                                 | 10,908                    | 100.0%                        |
| Harpeth Village                | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   | 1997          | 1998                                | —                                 | 70,091                    | 100.0%                        |
| Fieldstone                     | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 63,800                    | 94.0%                         |
| Lebanon Center                 | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   | 2000          | 1988                                | —                                 | 137,807                   | 93.5%                         |
| Northlake Village              | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   | 1997          | 1997                                | 8,043                             | 109,506                   | 100.0%                        |
| Peartree Village               | Nashville-Davidson--Murfreeseboro |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |





Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>         | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> | Average Base Rent (Per SFT) <sup>(5)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (TN) |                             |                                   |               |                                     | 8,043                             | 392,112                   | 96.7%                         | 13.23                                      |
| TEXAS                          |                             |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |  |
| Hancock                        | Austin-Round Rock           |                                   | 1999          | 1998                                | —                                 | 410,438                   | 98.2%                         | 14.26                                      |
| Market at Round Rock           | Austin-Round Rock           |                                   | 1999          | 1987                                | —                                 | 122,646                   | 87.1%                         | 17.44                                      |
| North Hills                    | Austin-Round Rock           |                                   | 1999          | 1995                                | —                                 | 144,020                   | 97.3%                         | 20.99                                      |
| Tech Ridge Center              | Austin-Round Rock           |                                   | 2011          | 2001                                | 10,497                            | 187,350                   | 94.0%                         | 20.48                                      |
| Bethany Park Place             | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington | 20%                               | 1998          | 1998                                | 5,747                             | 98,906                    | 100.0%                        | 11.39                                      |
| Hickory Creek Plaza            | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 28,134                    | 93.6%                         | 23.98                                      |
| Hillcrest Village              | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 1999          | 1991                                | —                                 | 14,530                    | 100.0%                        | 44.40                                      |
| Keller Town Center             | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 1999          | 1999                                | —                                 | 120,319                   | 88.7%                         | 19.92                                      |
| Lebanon/Legacy Center          | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 2000          | 2002                                | —                                 | 56,435                    | 100.0%                        | 22.46                                      |
| Market at Preston Forest       | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 1999          | 1990                                | —                                 | 96,353                    | 100.0%                        | 19.49                                      |
| Mockingbird Common             | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 1999          | 1987                                | 10,300                            | 120,321                   | 91.4%                         | 16.96                                      |
| Preston Oaks <sup>(7)</sup>    | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 2013          | 1991                                | —                                 | 103,503                   | 93.8%                         | 29.59                                      |
| Prestonbrook                   | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 1998          | 1998                                | 6,800                             | 91,537                    | 98.5%                         | 13.53                                      |
| Shiloh Springs                 | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington | 20%                               | 1998          | 1998                                | 6,857                             | 110,040                   | 94.1%                         | 14.24                                      |
| Signature Plaza                | Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington |                                   | 2003          | 2004                                | —                                 | 32,415                    | 72.3%                         | 20.93                                      |
| Alden Bridge                   | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land  | 20%                               | 2002          | 1998                                | 12,872                            | 138,935                   | 100.0%                        | 18.91                                      |
| Cochran's Crossing             | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land  |                                   | 2002          | 1994                                | —                                 | 138,192                   | 100.0%                        | 16.88                                      |
| Indian Springs Center          | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land  | 50%                               | 2002          | 2003                                | 25,597                            | 136,625                   | 98.9%                         | 19.96                                      |

|                             |                               |      |      |        |         |        |       |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| Panther Creek               | Houston-Baytown-Sugar<br>Land | 2002 | 1994 | —      | 166,077 | 100.0% | 17.57 |
| Southpark at<br>Cinco Ranch | Houston-Baytown-Sugar<br>Land | 2012 | 2012 | —      | 239,187 | 95.6%  | 11.17 |
| Sterling Ridge              | Houston-Baytown-Sugar<br>Land | 2002 | 2000 | 13,900 | 128,643 | 100.0% | 19.03 |
| Sweetwater Plaza            | Houston-Baytown-Sugar<br>Land | 2001 | 2000 | 11,405 | 134,045 | 99.1%  | 16.39 |

32

---

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                  | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>        | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Weslayan Plaza East            | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land | 40%                               | 2005          | 1969                                | —                                 | 169,693                   | 100.0%                        |
| Weslayan Plaza West            | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land | 40%                               | 2005          | 1969                                | 39,961                            | 185,964                   | 99.2%                         |
| Westwood Village               | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 183,547                   | 98.2%                         |
| Woodway Collection             | Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land | 40%                               | 2005          | 1974                                | 9,163                             | 96,224                    | 95.8%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (TX) |                            |                                   |               |                                     | 153,099                           | 3,454,079                 | 96.8%                         |
| VIRGINIA                       |                            |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Hollymead Town Center          | Charlottesville            | 20%                               | 2003          | 2004                                | 21,545                            | 153,739                   | 96.9%                         |
| Culpeper Colonnade             | Culpeper                   |                                   | 2006          | 2006                                | —                                 | 171,446                   | 100.0%                        |
| Gayton Crossing                | Richmond                   | 40%                               | 2005          | 1983                                | 15,391                            | 156,917                   | 88.6%                         |
|                                | Richmond                   | 40%                               | 2005          | 1971                                | —                                 | 88,006                    | 83.8%                         |

Hanover Village  
Shopping Center

|   |                                 |     |      |      |        |         |        |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|--------|
| Village Shopping Center                     | Richmond                        | 40% | 2005 | 1948 | 16,583 | 111,177 | 96.3%  |
| Ashburn Farm Market Center                  | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria |     | 2000 | 2000 | —      | 91,905  | 100.0% |
| Ashburn Farm Village Center                 | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1996 | —      | 88,897  | 100.0% |
| Braemar Shopping Center                     | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 25% | 2004 | 2004 | 12,076 | 96,439  | 96.9%  |
| Centre Ridge Marketplace                    | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1996 | 14,025 | 104,100 | 98.8%  |
| Fairfax Shopping Center                     | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria |     | 2007 | 1955 | —      | 75,711  | 86.3%  |
| Festival at Manchester Lakes <sup>(7)</sup> | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1990 | 23,999 | 165,130 | 100.0% |
| Fox Mill Shopping Center                    | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1977 | 16,846 | 103,269 | 100.0% |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                           | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>             | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Greenbriar Town Center                  | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40%                               | 2005          | 1972                                | 52,015                            | 339,939                   | 96.4%                         |
| Kamp Washington Shopping Center         | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40%                               | 2005          | 1960                                | —                                 | 71,924                    | 87.0%                         |
| Kings Park Shopping Center              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40%                               | 2005          | 1966                                | 14,235                            | 74,496                    | 95.6%                         |
| Lorton Station Marketplace              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 20%                               | 2006          | 2005                                | 24,375                            | 132,445                   | 98.8%                         |
| Lorton Town Center                      | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 20%                               | 2006          | 2005                                | —                                 | 51,807                    | 91.6%                         |
| Saratoga Shopping Center                | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40%                               | 2005          | 1977                                | 11,461                            | 113,013                   | 100.0%                        |
| Shops at County Center                  | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria |                                   | 2005          | 2005                                | —                                 | 96,695                    | 92.2%                         |
| Shops at Stonewall                      | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria |                                   | 2007          | 2011                                | —                                 | 307,845                   | 99.6%                         |
| Signal Hill                             | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 20%                               | 2003          | 2004                                | 12,731                            | 95,172                    | 100.0%                        |
| Town Center at Sterling Shopping Center | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40%                               | 2005          | 1980                                | —                                 | 186,531                   | 98.2%                         |
| Tysons CVS                              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 50%                               | 2012          | 2012                                | 11,329                            | 12,900                    | 100.0%                        |
| Village Center at Dulles                | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 20%                               | 2002          | 1991                                | 43,011                            | 297,572                   | 98.3%                         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |                                 |     |      |      |        |         |                 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------|------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| Willston Centre I              | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1952 | —      | 105,376 | 96.6%           |
| Willston Centre II             | Washington-Arlington-Alexandria | 40% | 2005 | 1986 | 27,000 | 135,862 | 98.6%           |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (VA) |                                 |     |      |      |        | 316,622 | 3,428,313 96.8% |
| WASHINGTON                     |                                 |     |      |      |        |         |                 |
| Aurora Marketplace             | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue         | 40% | 2005 | 1991 | 12,031 | 106,921 | 92.4%           |
| Cascade Plaza                  | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue         | 20% | 1999 | 1999 | 14,816 | 211,072 | 86.6%           |
| Eastgate Plaza                 | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue         | 40% | 2005 | 1956 | 10,579 | 78,230  | 95.8%           |
| Grand Ridge                    | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue         |     | 2012 | 2012 | 11,482 | 325,706 | 98.5%           |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

| Property Name                         | CBSA <sup>(1)</sup>           | Ownership Interest <sup>(2)</sup> | Year Acquired | Year Construct-ed or Last Renovated | Mortgages or Encumbrances (000's) | Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | Percent Leased <sup>(3)</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Inglewood Plaza                       | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue       |                                   | 1999          | 1985                                | —                                 | 17,253                    | 100.0%                        |
| Overlake Fashion Plaza <sup>(7)</sup> | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue       | 40%                               | 2005          | 1987                                | 12,570                            | 80,555                    | 98.5%                         |
| Pine Lake Village                     | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue       |                                   | 1999          | 1989                                | —                                 | 102,900                   | 99.1%                         |
| Sammamish-Highlands                   | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue       |                                   | 1999          | 1992                                | —                                 | 101,289                   | 99.5%                         |
| Southcenter                           | Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue       |                                   | 1999          | 1990                                | —                                 | 58,282                    | 93.8%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (WA)        |                               |                                   |               |                                     | 61,478                            | 1,082,208                 | 95.3%                         |
| WISCONSIN                             |                               |                                   |               |                                     |                                   |                           |                               |
| Whitnall Square Shopping Center       | Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis | 40%                               | 2005          | 1989                                | —                                 | 133,421                   | 92.8%                         |
| Racine Centre Shopping Center         | Racine                        | 40%                               | 2005          | 1988                                | 9,080                             | 135,827                   | 93.5%                         |
| Subtotal/Weighted Average (WI)        |                               |                                   |               |                                     | 9,080                             | 269,248                   | 93.2%                         |
| Total/Weighted Average                |                               |                                   |               |                                     | \$1,997,430                       | 37,980,300                | 95.2%                         |

<sup>(1)</sup> CBSA refers to Core Based Statistical Area.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents our ownership interest in the property, if not wholly owned.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes properties where we have not yet incurred at least 90% of the expected costs to complete and 95% occupied or the anchor has not yet been open for at least two calendar years ("development properties" or "properties in development"). If development properties are excluded, the total percentage leased would be 95.5% for our Combined Portfolio of shopping centers.

<sup>(4)</sup> Property in development.

<sup>(5)</sup> Average base rent per SFT is calculated based on annual minimum contractual base rent per the tenant lease, excluding percentage rent and recovery revenue, and is net of tenant concessions.

<sup>(6)</sup> A retailer that supports our shopping center and in which we have no ownership is indicated by parentheses.



(7) The ground underlying the building and improvements are not owned by Regency or its unconsolidated real estate partnerships, but is subject to a ground lease.

35

---

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to various legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently involved in any litigation nor to our knowledge, is any litigation threatened against us, the outcome of which would, in our judgment based on information currently available to us, have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "REG." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices and the cash dividends declared on our common stock by quarter for 2013 and 2012.

| Quarter Ended | 2013       |           | Cash<br>Dividends<br>Declared | 2012       |           | Cash<br>Dividends<br>Declared |
|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|               | High Price | Low Price |                               | High Price | Low Price |                               |
| March 31      | \$ 53.55   | 47.19     | 0.4625                        | \$ 44.78   | 40.90     | 0.4625                        |
| June 30       | 59.35      | 45.32     | 0.4625                        | 47.99      | 41.65     | 0.4625                        |
| September 30  | 54.69      | 45.63     | 0.4625                        | 51.38      | 45.81     | 0.4625                        |
| December 31   | 53.48      | 45.31     | 0.4625                        | 50.40      | 36.30     | 0.4625                        |

We have determined that the dividends paid during 2013 and 2012 on our common stock qualify for the following tax treatment:

|      | Total<br>Distribution per<br>Share | Ordinary<br>Dividends | Total Capital<br>Gain<br>Distributions | Nontaxable<br>Distributions | Qualified Dividends<br>(included in Ordinary<br>Dividends) |
|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 2013 | \$ 1.8500                          | 1.7390                | 0.1110                                 | —                           | 0.4440   |
| 2012 | 1.8500                             | 1.3135                | 0.0185                                 | 0.5180                      | —  |

As of February 12, 2014, there were approximately 11,993 holders of common equity.

We intend to pay regular quarterly distributions to Regency Centers Corporation's common stockholders. Future distributions will be declared and paid at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon cash generated by operating activities, our financial condition, capital requirements, annual dividend requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant. In order to maintain Regency Centers Corporation's qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are generally required to make annual distributions at least equal to 90% of our real estate investment trust taxable income for the taxable year. Under certain circumstances, which we do not expect to occur, we could be required to make distributions in excess of cash available for distributions in order to meet such requirements. We have a dividend reinvestment plan under which shareholders may elect to reinvest their dividends automatically in common stock. Under the plan, we may elect to purchase common stock in the open market on behalf of shareholders or may issue new common stock to such shareholders.

Under the loan agreement of our line of credit, in the event of any monetary default, we may not make distributions to stockholders except to the extent necessary to maintain our REIT status.

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities, and we did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the quarter ended December 31, 2013.

The performance graph furnished below shows Regency's cumulative total stockholder return to the S&P 500 Index and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT Index since December 31, 2008. The stock performance graph should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing made by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate the stock performance graph by reference in another filing.

---

|                             | 12/08  | 12/09  | 12/10  | 12/11  | 12/12  | 12/13  |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Regency Centers Corporation | 100.00 | 80.23  | 101.60 | 94.65  | 123.39 | 125.62 |
| S&P 500                     | 100.00 | 126.46 | 145.51 | 148.59 | 172.37 | 228.19 |
| FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs    | 100.00 | 127.99 | 163.78 | 177.36 | 209.39 | 214.56 |

---

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

(in thousands, except per share and unit data, number of properties, and ratio of earnings to fixed charges)

The following table sets forth Selected Financial Data for the Company on a historical basis for the five years ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands except per share data). This historical Selected Financial Data has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as reclassified for discontinued operations. This information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P. (including the related notes thereto) and Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations, each included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

Parent Company

|   | 2013         | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       | 2009               |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| Operating data:   |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Revenues  | \$ 489,007   | 473,929    | 470,449    | 440,725    | 450,854            |
| Operating expenses  | 324,687      | 307,493    | 303,976    | 292,413    | 282,677            |
| Total other expense (income)  | 111,741      | 131,240    | 136,317    | 140,275    | 209,328            |
| Income before equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships           | 52,579       | 35,196     | 30,156     | 8,037      | (41,151 )          |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships                         | 31,718       | 23,807     | 9,643      | (12,884 )  | (26,373 )          |
| Income from continuing operations before tax  | 84,297       | 59,003     | 39,799     | (4,847 )   | (67,524 )          |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary                                       | —            | 13,224     | 2,994      | (1,333 )   | 1,883              |
| Income from continuing operations   | 84,297       | 45,779     | 36,805     | (3,514 )   | (69,407 )          |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations  | 65,285       | (21,728 )  | 16,579     | 15,522     | 21,014             |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate   | 149,582      | 24,051     | 53,384     | 12,008     | (48,393 )          |
| Gain on sale of real estate   | 1,703        | 2,158      | 2,404      | 993        | 19,357             |
| Net income  | 151,285      | 26,209     | 55,788     | 13,001     | (29,036 )          |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests                                     | (1,481 )     | (342 )     | (4,418 )   | (4,185 )   | (3,961 )           |
| Net income attributable to the Company  | 149,804      | 25,867     | 51,370     | 8,816      | (32,997 )          |
| Preferred stock dividends   | (21,062 )    | (32,531 )  | (19,675 )  | (19,675 )  | (19,675 )          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                               | 128,742      | (6,664 )   | 31,695     | (10,859 )  | (52,672 )          |
| FFO <sup>(1)</sup>  | 240,621      | 222,100    | 220,318    | 151,321    | 85,758             |
| Core FFO <sup>(1)</sup>   | 241,619      | 230,937    | 213,148    | 199,357    | 207,971            |
| Income (loss) per common share - diluted (note 14):                                 |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Continuing operations   | \$ 0.69      | 0.16       | 0.16       | (0.33 )    | (0.98 )            |
| Discontinued operations   | 0.71         | (0.24 )    | 0.19       | 0.19       | 0.28               |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                               | \$ 1.40      | (0.08 )    | 0.35       | (0.14 )    | (0.70 )            |
| Other information:  |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | \$ 250,731   | 257,215    | 217,633    | 138,459    | 195,804            |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                                 | (9,817 )     | 3,623      | (77,723 )  | (184,457 ) | 51,545             |
| Net cash used in financing activities   | (182,579 )   | (249,891 ) | (145,569 ) | (32,797 )  | (164,279 )         |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders   | 168,095      | 164,747    | 160,479    | 149,117    | 159,670            |
| Common dividends declared per share   | 1.85         | 1.85       | 1.85       | 1.85       | 2.11               |
| Common stock outstanding including exchangeable operating partnership units         | 92,499       | 90,572     | 90,099     | 81,717     | 81,670             |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges <sup>(2)</sup>                                   | 1.8          | 1.6        | 1.5        | 1.3        | 0.9 <sup>(3)</sup> |
| Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preference dividends <sup>(2)</sup> | 1.5          | 1.4        | 1.3        | 1.1        | 0.8 <sup>(3)</sup> |
| Balance sheet data:   |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Real estate investments before accumulated depreciation                             | \$ 4,385,380 | 4,352,839  | 4,488,794  | 4,417,746  | 4,259,990          |
| Total assets  | 3,913,516    | 3,853,458  | 3,987,071  | 3,994,539  | 3,992,228          |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |           |           |           |           |           |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total debt                     | 1,854,697 | 1,941,891 | 1,982,440 | 2,094,469 | 1,886,380 |
| Total liabilities              | 2,052,382 | 2,107,547 | 2,117,417 | 2,250,137 | 2,061,621 |
| Total stockholders' equity     | 1,843,354 | 1,730,765 | 1,808,355 | 1,685,177 | 1,862,380 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | 17,780    | 15,146    | 61,299    | 59,225    | 68,227    |

(1) See Item 7, Supplemental Earnings Information, for the definition of funds from operations and core funds from operations and a reconciliation to the nearest GAAP measure.

(2) See Exhibit 12.1 for additional information regarding the computations of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.

(3) The Company's ratio of earnings to fixed charges and to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends was deficient in 2009 by \$13.4 million and \$33.1 million, respectively, in earnings, due to significant non-cash charges for impairment of real estate investments of \$97.5 million.

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

Operating Partnership

|   | 2013         | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       | 2009               |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| Operating data:   |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Revenues  | \$ 489,007   | 473,929    | 470,449    | 440,725    | 450,854            |
| Operating expenses  | 324,687      | 307,493    | 303,976    | 292,413    | 282,677            |
| Total other expense (income)  | 111,741      | 131,240    | 136,317    | 140,275    | 209,328            |
| Income before equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships           | 52,579       | 35,196     | 30,156     | 8,037      | (41,151 )          |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships                         | 31,718       | 23,807     | 9,643      | (12,884 )  | (26,373 )          |
| Income from continuing operations before tax  | 84,297       | 59,003     | 39,799     | (4,847 )   | (67,524 )          |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary                                       | —            | 13,224     | 2,994      | (1,333 )   | 1,883              |
| Income from continuing operations   | 84,297       | 45,779     | 36,805     | (3,514 )   | (69,407 )          |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations  | 65,285       | (21,728 )  | 16,579     | 15,522     | 21,014             |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate   | 149,582      | 24,051     | 53,384     | 12,008     | (48,393 )          |
| Gain on sale of real estate   | 1,703        | 2,158      | 2,404      | 993        | 19,357             |
| Net income  | 151,285      | 26,209     | 55,788     | 13,001     | (29,036 )          |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests                                     | (1,205 )     | (865 )     | (590 )     | (376 )     | (452 )             |
| Net income attributable to the Partnership  | 150,080      | 25,344     | 55,198     | 12,625     | (29,488 )          |
| Preferred unit distributions  | (21,062 )    | (31,902 )  | (23,400 )  | (23,400 )  | (23,400 )          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                               | 129,018      | (6,558 )   | 31,798     | (10,775 )  | (52,888 )          |
| FFO <sup>(1)</sup>  | 240,621      | 222,100    | 220,318    | 151,321    | 85,758             |
| Core FFO <sup>(1)</sup>   | 241,619      | 230,937    | 213,148    | 199,357    | 207,971            |
| Income (loss) per common unit - diluted (note 14):                                  |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Continuing operations   | \$ 0.69      | 0.16       | 0.16       | (0.33 )    | (0.98 )            |
| Discontinued operations   | 0.71         | (0.24 )    | 0.19       | 0.19       | 0.28               |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                               | \$ 1.40      | (0.08 )    | 0.35       | (0.14 )    | (0.70 )            |
| Other information:  |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | \$ 250,731   | 257,215    | 217,633    | 138,459    | 195,804            |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                                 | (9,817 )     | 3,623      | (77,723 )  | (184,457 ) | 51,545             |
| Net cash used in financing activities   | (182,579 )   | (249,891 ) | (145,569 ) | (32,797 )  | (164,279 )         |
| Distributions paid on common units  | 168,095      | 164,747    | 160,479    | 149,117    | 159,670            |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges <sup>(2)</sup>                                   | 1.8          | 1.6        | 1.5        | 1.3        | 0.9 <sup>(3)</sup> |
| Ratio of combined fixed charges and preference dividends to earnings <sup>(2)</sup> | 1.5          | 1.4        | 1.3        | 1.1        | 0.8 <sup>(3)</sup> |
| Balance sheet data:   |              |            |            |            |                    |
| Real estate investments before accumulated depreciation                             | \$ 4,385,380 | 4,352,839  | 4,488,794  | 4,417,746  | 4,259,990          |
| Total assets  | 3,913,516    | 3,853,458  | 3,987,071  | 3,994,539  | 3,992,228          |
| Total debt  | 1,854,697    | 1,941,891  | 1,982,440  | 2,094,469  | 1,886,380          |
| Total liabilities   | 2,052,382    | 2,107,547  | 2,117,417  | 2,250,137  | 2,061,621          |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |           |           |           |           |           |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total partners' capital        | 1,841,928 | 1,729,612 | 1,856,550 | 1,733,573 | 1,918,859 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | 19,206    | 16,299    | 13,104    | 10,829    | 11,748    |

(1) See Item 7, Supplemental Earnings Information, for the definition of funds from operations and core funds from operations and a reconciliation to the nearest GAAP measure.

(2) See Exhibit 12.1 for additional information regarding the computations of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.

(3) The Company's ratio of earnings to fixed charges and to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends was deficient in 2009 by \$13.4 million and \$33.1 million, respectively, in earnings, due to significant non-cash charges for impairment of real estate investments of \$97.5 million.



## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### Overview

Regency Centers Corporation began its operations as a REIT in 1993 and is the managing general partner of Regency Centers, L.P. We endeavor to be a preeminent, best-in-class grocery-anchored shopping center company, distinguished by total shareholder return and per share growth in Core FFO and NAV that positions Regency as a leader among its peers. We work to achieve these goals through:

- reliable growth in NOI from a high-quality, growing portfolio of thriving, neighborhood and community shopping centers;
- disciplined value-add development and redevelopment activities profitably creating and enhancing high-quality shopping centers;
- a conservative balance sheet and track record of cost effectively accessing capital to withstand market volatility and efficiently fund investments; and,
- an engaged and talented team of people guided by our culture.

All of our operating, investing, and financing activities are performed through the Operating Partnership, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and through its co-investment partnerships. As of December 31, 2013, the Parent Company owned approximately 99.8% of the outstanding common partnership units of the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2013, we directly owned 202 Consolidated Properties located in 23 states representing 22.5 million square feet of GLA. Through co-investment partnerships, we own partial ownership interests in 126 Unconsolidated Properties located in 23 states and the District of Columbia representing 15.5 million square feet of GLA.

We earn revenues and generate cash flow by leasing space in our shopping centers to grocery stores, major retail anchors, restaurants, side-shop retailers, and service providers, as well as ground leasing or selling out-parcels to these same types of tenants. We experience growth in revenues by increasing occupancy and rental rates in our existing shopping centers and by acquiring and developing new shopping centers. As of December 31, 2013, our Consolidated Properties were 94.5% leased, as compared to 94.1% as of December 31, 2012.

We grow our shopping center portfolio through acquisitions of operating centers and new shopping center development. We will continue to use our development capabilities, market presence, and anchor relationships to invest in value-added new developments and redevelopments of existing centers. Development is customer driven, meaning we generally have an executed lease from the anchor before we start construction. Development serves the growth needs of our anchors and retailers, resulting in high-quality shopping centers with long-term anchor leases that produce attractive returns on our invested capital. This development process typically requires two to three years once construction has commenced, but can vary subject to the size and complexity of the project. We fund our acquisition and development activity from various capital sources including property sales, equity offerings, and new debt.

Co-investment partnerships provide us with an additional capital source for shopping center acquisitions, developments, and redevelopments, as well as the opportunity to earn fees for asset management, property management, and other investing and financing services. As an asset manager, we are engaged by our partners to apply similar operating, investment, and capital strategies to the portfolios owned by the co-investment partnerships as those applied to the portfolio that we wholly-own.

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Knowledge about our accounting policies is necessary for a complete understanding of our financial statements. The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make certain estimates that impact the balance of assets and liabilities as of a financial statement date and the reported amount of income and expenses during a financial reporting period. These accounting estimates are based upon, but not limited to, our judgments about historical and expected future results, current market conditions, and interpretation of industry accounting standards. They are considered to be critical because of their significance to the financial statements and the possibility that future events may differ from those judgments, or that the use of different assumptions could result in materially different estimates. We review these estimates on a periodic basis to ensure reasonableness; however, the amounts we may ultimately realize could differ from such estimates.

## Accounts Receivable and Straight Line Rent

Minimum rent, percentage rent, and expense recoveries from tenants for common area maintenance costs, insurance and real estate taxes are the Company's principal source of revenue. As a result of generating this revenue, we will routinely have accounts receivable due from tenants. We are subject to tenant defaults and bankruptcies that may affect the collection of outstanding receivables. To address the collectability of these receivables, we analyze historical write-off experience, tenant credit-worthiness and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts and straight line rent reserve. Although we estimate uncollectible receivables and provide for them through charges against income, actual experience may differ from those estimates.

## Real Estate Investments

### Acquisition of Real Estate Investments

Upon acquisition of real estate operating properties, the Company estimates the fair value of acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, building, building improvements and tenant improvements) and identified intangible assets and liabilities (consisting of above and below-market leases and in-place leases), assumed debt, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the date of acquisition, based on evaluation of information and estimates available at that date. Based on these estimates, the Company allocates the estimated fair value to the applicable assets and liabilities. Fair value is determined based on an exit price approach, which contemplates the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. If, up to one year from the acquisition date, information regarding fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is received and estimates are refined, appropriate adjustments are made to the purchase price allocation on a retrospective basis. The Company expenses transaction costs associated with business combinations in the period incurred.

We strategically co-invest with partners to own, manage, acquire, develop and redevelop operating properties. We analyze our investments in real estate partnerships in order to determine whether the entity should be consolidated. If it is determined that these investments do not require consolidation because the entities are not variable interest entities ("VIEs"), we are not considered the primary beneficiary of the entities determined to be VIEs, we do not have voting control, and/or the limited partners (or non-managing members) have substantive participatory rights, then the selection of the accounting method used to account for our investments in real estate partnerships is generally determined by our voting interests and the degree of influence we have over the entity. Management uses its judgment when making these determinations. We use the equity method of accounting for investments in real estate partnerships when we own 20% or more of the voting interests and have significant influence but do not have a controlling financial interest, or if we own less than 20% of the voting interests but have determined that we have significant influence. Under the equity method, we record our investments in and advances to these entities as investments in real estate partnerships in our consolidated balance sheets, and our proportionate share of earnings or losses earned by the joint venture is recognized in equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships in our consolidated statements of operations.

### Development of Real Estate Assets and Cost Capitalization

We capitalize the acquisition of land, the construction of buildings, and other specifically identifiable development costs incurred by recording them in properties in development in our accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Other specifically identifiable development costs include pre-development costs essential to the development process, as well as, interest, real estate taxes, and direct employee costs incurred during the development period. Once a development property is substantially complete and held available for occupancy, these indirect costs are no longer capitalized.

Pre-development costs are incurred prior to land acquisition during the due diligence phase and include contract deposits, legal, engineering, and other professional fees related to evaluating the feasibility of developing a shopping center. If we determine it is probable that a specific project undergoing due diligence will not be developed, we immediately expense all related capitalized pre-development costs not considered recoverable.

Interest costs are capitalized to each development project based on applying our weighted average borrowing rate to that portion of the actual development costs expended. We cease interest cost capitalization when the property is no longer being developed or is available for occupancy upon substantial completion of tenant improvements, but in no event would we capitalize interest on the project beyond 12 months after the anchor opens for business. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, we capitalized interest of \$6.1 million, \$3.7 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively, on our development projects.

Real estate taxes are capitalized to each development project over the same period as we capitalize interest.

We have a staff of employees who directly support our development program. All direct internal costs attributable to these development activities are capitalized as part of each development project. The capitalization of costs is directly related to the actual level of development activity occurring. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and

2011, we capitalized \$11.7 million, \$10.3 million, and \$5.5 million, respectively, of direct internal costs incurred to support our development program. The capitalization of costs is directly related to the actual level of development activity occurring.

#### Valuation of Real Estate Investments

We evaluate whether there are any indicators that have occurred, including property operating performance and general market conditions, that would result in us determining that the carrying value of our real estate properties (including any related amortizable intangible assets or liabilities) may not be recoverable. If such indicators occur, we compare the current carrying value of the asset to the estimated undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. Our estimated cash flows are based on several key assumptions, including rental rates, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions, anticipated hold period, and assumptions regarding the residual value upon disposition, including the exit capitalization rate. These key assumptions are subjective in nature and the resulting impairment, if any, could differ from the actual gain or loss recognized upon ultimate sale in an arm's length transaction. If the carrying value of the asset exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of carrying value over fair value. Changes in our disposition strategy or changes in the marketplace may alter the hold period of an asset or asset group, which may result in an impairment loss and such loss could be material to the Company's financial condition or operating performance.

We evaluate our investments in real estate partnerships for impairment whenever there are indicators, including underlying property operating performance and general market conditions, that the value of our investments in real estate partnerships may be impaired. An investment in a real estate partnerships is considered impaired only if we determine that its fair value is less than the net carrying value of the investment in that real estate partnerships on an other-than-temporary basis. Cash flow projections for the investments consider property level factors, such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. We consider various qualitative factors to determine if a decrease in the value of our investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include the age of the real estate partnerships, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity and relationships with our partners and banks. If we believe that the decline in the fair value of the investment is temporary, no impairment charge is recorded. If our analysis indicates that there is an other-than-temporary impairment related to the investment in a particular real estate partnership, the carrying value of the investment will be adjusted to an amount that reflects the estimated fair value of the investment.

The fair value of real estate investments is subjective and is determined through comparable sales information and other market data if available, or through use of an income approach such as the direct capitalization or the traditional discounted cash flow methods. Such cash flow projections consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors, and therefore are subject to management judgment and changes in those factors could impact the determination of fair value. In estimating the fair value of undeveloped land, we generally use market data and comparable sales information.

#### Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes financial derivative instruments primarily to manage risks associated with changing interest rates. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or future payment of known and uncertain cash amounts, the amount of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's borrowings. For additional information on the Company's use and accounting for

derivatives, see Notes 1 and 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company assess effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis. The effective portion of changes in fair value of the interest rate swaps associated with our cash flow hedges is recorded in other comprehensive income which is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our consolidated balance sheet and our consolidated statement of equity. Our cash flow hedges become ineffective if critical terms of the hedging instrument and the debt instrument do not perfectly match such as notional amounts, settlement dates, reset dates, calculation period and LIBOR rate. If a cash flow hedge is deemed ineffective, the ineffective portion of changes in fair value of the interest rate swaps associated with our cash flow hedges is recognized in earnings in the period affected.

The fair value of the Company's interest rate derivatives is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Shopping Center Portfolio

The following table summarizes general information related to the Consolidated Properties in our shopping center portfolio (GLA in thousands):

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of properties  | 202                  | 204                  |
| Properties in development                                     | 6                    | 4                    |
| Gross leasable area   | 22,472               | 22,532               |
| Percent leased - operating and development                    | 94.5%                | 94.1%                |
| Percent leased - operating                                    | 95.0%                | 94.4%                |
| Weighted average annual effective rent per SFT <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 17.40             | 16.95                |

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of tenant concessions.

The following table summarizes general information related to the Unconsolidated Properties owned in co-investment partnerships in our shopping center portfolio (GLA in thousands):

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of properties  | 126                  | 144                  |
| Properties in development                                     | —                    | —                    |
| Gross leasable area   | 15,508               | 17,762               |
| Percent leased - operating                                    | 96.2%                | 95.2%                |
| Weighted average annual effective rent per SFT <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 17.34             | 17.03                |

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of tenant concessions.

The following table summarizes leasing activity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, including our pro-rata share of activity within the portfolio of our co-investment partnerships:

## 2013

|            | Leasing<br>Transactions | SFT (in<br>thousands) | Base Rent / SF | Tenant<br>Improvements / SF | Leasing<br>Commissions /<br>SF |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| New leases | 603                     | 1,642                 | \$21.56        | \$6.72                      | \$8.30                         |
| Renewals   | 968                     | 2,442                 | \$20.48        | \$0.36                      | \$2.44                         |
| Total      | 1,571                   | 4,084                 | \$20.91        | \$2.92                      | \$4.80                         |

## 2012

|            | Leasing<br>Transactions | SFT (in<br>thousands) | Base Rent / SF | Tenant<br>Improvements / SF | Leasing<br>Commissions / SF |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| New leases | 695                     | 2,143                 | \$19.68        | \$4.33                      | \$7.70                      |
| Renewals   | 1,105                   | 2,967                 | \$18.27        | \$0.32                      | \$2.15                      |

|       |       |       |         |        |        |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|
| Total | 1,800 | 5,110 | \$18.86 | \$2.00 | \$4.48 |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|

43

---



We seek to reduce our operating and leasing risks through geographic diversification, avoiding dependence on any single property, market, or tenant, and owning a portion of our shopping centers through co-investment partnerships. The following table summarizes our three most significant tenants, each of which is a grocery tenant, occupying our shopping centers at December 31, 2013:

| Grocery Anchor | Number of Stores <sup>(1)</sup> | Percentage of Company Owned GLA <sup>(2)</sup> | Percentage of Annualized Base Rent <sup>(2)</sup> |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Kroger         | <sup>(3)</sup> 56               | 8.6%   | 4.7%  |
| Publix         | 50                              | 7.0%   | 4.3%  |
| Safeway        | 44                              | 4.4%   | 2.7%  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes stores owned by grocery anchors that are attached to our centers.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes our pro-rata share of Unconsolidated Properties and excludes those owned by anchors.

<sup>(3)</sup> Kroger information includes Harris Teeter stores, as their merger was effective January 28, 2014.

On January 28, 2014, The Kroger Co. ("Kroger") completed its merger with Harris Teeter Supermarkets, Inc. Although Kroger's acquisition of Harris Teeter is expected to expand its presence in the southeastern United States, there is a possibility that Kroger may identify stores in which it has a presence in the same local market as Harris Teeter, which could result in store closures. We currently have nine stores leased by Harris Teeter, which represents 1.1% of Company owned GLA and 0.7% of annualized base rent on a pro-rata basis.

In October 2013, Safeway Inc. announced that it intends by early 2014 to exit the Chicago market, where it operated 72 Dominick's stores. Safeway has been marketing the chain for sale or sublease. We had seven store leases with Dominick's, of which one was already operating under a sublease agreement and four have been acquired by other national grocery stores. The remaining two stores were closed for business in late December 2013 and represent approximately 0.2% of Company owned GLA and 0.1% of annualized base rent, on a pro-rata basis. Safeway will continue to pay contractual rent through the end of their lease terms, while they continue to market the spaces for assignment or sublease.

Although base rent is supported by long-term lease contracts, tenants who file bankruptcy may have the legal right to reject any or all of their leases and close related stores. In the event that a tenant with a significant number of leases in our shopping centers files bankruptcy and cancels its leases, we could experience a significant reduction in our revenues. We monitor the operating performance and rent collections of all tenants in our shopping centers, especially those tenants operating retail formats that are experiencing significant changes in competition, business practice, and store closings in other locations. We also evaluate consumer preferences, shopping behaviors, and demographics to anticipate both challenges and opportunities in the changing retail industry that may affect our tenants. As a result of our findings, we may reduce new leasing, suspend leasing, or curtail the allowance for the construction of leasehold improvements within a certain retail category or to a specific retailer. We are not currently aware of the pending bankruptcy or announced store closings of any tenants in our shopping centers that would individually cause a material reduction in our revenues. As of December 31, 2013, no tenant represents more than 5% of our annual base rent on a pro-rata basis.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our Parent Company has no capital commitments other than its guarantees of the commitments of our Operating Partnership. The Parent Company will from time to time access the capital markets for the purpose of issuing new equity and will simultaneously contribute all of the offering proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for additional partnership units. All debt is issued by our Operating Partnership or by our co-investment partnerships. The following table represents the remaining available capacity under our at the market ("ATM") equity program and our unsecured line of credit commitment (the "Line") as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

|                                   | December 31, 2013 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| ATM equity program (see note 11)  |                   |
| Total capacity                    | \$ 200,000        |
| Remaining capacity                | \$ 198,400        |
| Line (see note 8)                 |                   |
| Total capacity                    | \$ 800,000        |
| Remaining capacity <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 780,686        |
| Maturity                          | September 2016    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of letters of credit.

The following table summarizes net cash flows related to operating, investing, and financing activities of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012       | 2011       |   |
|--|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Net cash provided by operating activities            | \$ 250,731 | 257,215    | 217,633    |   |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities  | (9,817     | ) 3,623    | (77,723    | ) |
| Net cash used in financing activities                | (182,579   | ) (249,891 | ) (145,569 | ) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 58,335     | 10,947     | (5,659     | ) |
| Total cash and cash equivalents                      | \$ 80,684  | 22,349     | 11,402     |   |

Net cash provided by operating activities:

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the timing of cash receipts and payments. We operate our business such that we expect net cash provided by operating activities will provide the necessary funds to pay our distributions to our common and preferred stock and unit holders, included in net cash used in financing activities, above, which were \$189.2 million and \$188.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our dividend distribution policy is set by our Board of Directors who monitor our financial position. Our Board of Directors recently declared our common stock quarterly dividend of \$0.470 per share, payable on March 6, 2014, a \$.0075 increase over our previous quarterly dividend rate. Future dividends will be declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be subject to capital requirements and availability. We plan to continue paying an aggregate amount of distributions to our stock and unit holders that, at a minimum, meet the requirements to continue qualifying as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities:

Net cash flows from investing activities changed by \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to increased capital expenditures on development projects during 2013 and less proceeds from the sale of shopping centers in 2013.

Significant investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 included:

• We received proceeds of \$212.6 million from the sale of twelve shopping centers and ten out-parcels;

• We received distributions from our investments in real estate partnerships of \$87.1 million, primarily related to the disposition of all operating properties within the Regency Retail Partners, LP (the "Fund") during August 2013 and

45

---

subsequent distribution of proceeds, and proceeds from sales of properties and debt refinancing within the partnerships. These proceeds were offset by additional investments of \$10.9 million, primarily for mortgage maturities and acquisitions;

• We paid \$107.8 million for the acquisition of three shopping centers;

• We received proceeds of \$27.4 million upon the collection and sale of notes receivable; and,

• We paid \$213.3 million for the development, redevelopment, improvement, and leasing of our real estate properties as comprised of the following (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012    | Change  |
|---|------------|---------|---------|
| Capital expenditures:                               |            |         |         |
| Acquisition of land for development / redevelopment | \$ 28,320  | 27,100  | 1,220   |
| Building improvements and other                     | 37,078     | 32,180  | 4,898   |
| Tenant allowances                                   | 6,118      | 8,664   | (2,546) |
| Redevelopment costs                                 | 19,964     | 10,944  | 9,020   |
| Development costs                                   | 104,662    | 71,702  | 32,960  |
| Capitalized interest                                | 6,078      | 3,686   | 2,392   |
| Capitalized direct compensation                     | 11,062     | 10,312  | 750     |
| Real estate development and capital improvements    | \$ 213,282 | 164,588 | 48,694  |

Capital expenditures for tenant allowances are highly correlated to occupancy levels and leasing activity on new leases. As occupancy improves, there is less vacant space to lease, which reduces our cash outflow on tenant allowances, which are generally highest with new leases. We leased 1.6 million square feet of new leases for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 2.1 million square feet of new leases for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The number and size of development projects in process (detailed below) increased during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, resulting in increased expenditures. East Washington Place and Grand Ridge Plaza, the largest two projects incurring costs during 2013, had development costs of \$145.7 million, and represented \$79.5 million of 2013 development expenditures, which were both completed during the fourth quarter of 2013.

Capitalized interest increases as development costs accumulate during the development period, which is why more interest costs were capitalized during 2013 than 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, we had six development projects that were either under construction or in lease up, compared to four such development projects as of December 31, 2012. The following table summarizes our development projects as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except cost per SFT):

| Property Name            | Location         | Start Date | Estimated / Actual Anchor Opening | Estimated Net Development Costs After Partner Participation <sup>(1)</sup> | Estimated Net Costs to Complete <sup>(1)</sup> | Company Owned GLA | Cost per SFT of GLA <sup>(1)</sup> |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Shops at Erwin Mill      | Durham, NC       | Q1-12      | Nov-13                            | \$ 14,593  | \$ 2,627                                       | 90                | \$ 162                             |
| Juanita Tate Marketplace | Los Angeles, CA  | Q2-13      | Apr-14                            | 17,189   | 10,566   | 77                | 223                                |
| Shops on Main            | Schererville, IN | Q2-13      | Apr-14                            | 29,424   | 1,678  | 155               | 190                                |
| Fountain Square          | Miami, FL        | Q3-13      | Nov-14                            | 52,561   | 27,923   | 180               | 292                                |
| Glen Gate                | Glenview, IL     | Q4-13      | Feb-15                            | 29,725   | 21,069   | 103               | 289                                |
| Shoppes on Riverside     | Jacksonville, FL | Q4-13      | Oct-14                            | 14,769   | 10,555   | 50                | 295                                |
| Total                    |                  |            |                                   | \$ 158,261   | \$ 74,418                                      | 655               | \$ 242 <sup>(2)</sup>              |

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents costs, including leasing costs, net of tenant reimbursements.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amount represents a weighted average.

The following table summarizes our development projects completed during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands, except cost per SFT):

| Property Name            | Location     | Completion Date | Net Development Costs <sup>(1)</sup> | Company Owned GLA | Cost per SFT of GLA <sup>(1)</sup> |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| East Washington Place    | Petaluma, CA | Q4-13           | \$ 56,892                            | 203               | \$ 280                             |
| Grand Ridge Plaza        | Issaquah, WA | Q4-13           | 88,764                               | 326               | 272                                |
| Southpark at Cinco Ranch | Katy, TX     | Q4-13           | 30,625                               | 239               | 128                                |
| Total                    |              |                 | \$ 176,281                           | 768               | \$ 680                             |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes leasing costs, net of tenant reimbursements.

We plan to continue developing and redeveloping projects for long-term investment purposes and have a staff of employees who directly support our development and redevelopment program. Internal costs attributable to these development and redevelopment activities are capitalized as part of each project. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we capitalized \$6.1 million of interest expense and \$11.7 million of internal costs for salaries and related benefits for development and redevelopment activity. Changes in the level of future development and redevelopment activity could adversely impact results of operations by reducing the amount of internal costs for development and redevelopment projects that may be capitalized. A 10% reduction in development and redevelopment activity without a corresponding reduction in the compensation costs directly related to our development and redevelopment activities could result in an additional charge to net income of approximately \$1.2 million.

Net cash used in financing activities:

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$67.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily related to the additional proceeds received from common stock

issuances in 2013. Significant financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 include:

• The Parent Company issued 1.9 million shares of common stock through our ATM program, resulting in net proceeds of \$99.8 million;

• We repaid \$70.0 million, net, on our Line and \$25.0 million on our Term Loan; and

• We paid dividends to our common and preferred stockholders of \$168.1 million and \$21.1 million, respectively.

We endeavor to maintain a high percentage of unencumbered assets. As of December 31, 2013, 77.3% of our wholly-owned real estate assets were unencumbered. Such assets allow us to access the secured and unsecured debt markets and to maintain significant availability on the Line. Our coverage ratio, including our pro-rata share of our partnerships, was 2.4 times for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2.5 times for the year ended December 31, 2012. We define our

coverage ratio as earnings before interest, taxes, investment transaction profits net of deal costs, depreciation and amortization (“Core EBITDA”) divided by the sum of the gross interest and scheduled mortgage principal paid to our lenders plus dividends paid to our preferred stockholders.

Through 2014, we estimate that we will require approximately \$366.5 million, including \$182.5 million to complete current in-process developments and redevelopments, \$165.8 million for repayment of debt, and approximately \$18.2 to fund our pro-rata share of estimated capital contributions to our co-investment partnerships for repayment of debt. If we start new developments or redevelop additional shopping centers, our cash requirements will increase. As of December 31, 2013, our joint ventures had \$67.1 million of scheduled secured mortgage loans and credit lines maturing through 2014. To meet our cash requirements, we will utilize cash generated from operations, borrowings from our Line, proceeds from the sale of real estate, and when the capital markets are favorable, proceeds from the sale of common equity and the issuance of debt. Our Line, Term Loan, and unsecured loans require we remain in compliance with various covenants, which are described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2013 and expect to remain in compliance.

We continuously monitor the capital markets and evaluate our ability to issue new debt to repay maturing debt or fund our commitments. Based upon the current capital markets, our current credit ratings, and the number of high quality, unencumbered properties that we own which could collateralize borrowings, we expect that we will successfully issue new secured or unsecured debt to fund our obligations.

We have \$150.0 million and \$350.0 million of fixed rate, unsecured debt maturing in April 2014 and August 2015, respectively. As the economy improves, long term interest rates may continue to increase. In order to mitigate the risk of interest rate volatility, we entered into \$395.0 million of forward starting interest rate swaps for new debt issues occurring through August 1, 2016. These interest rate swaps lock in the 10-year treasury rate and swap spread at a weighted average fixed rate of 2.45%. These rates are exclusive of our credit spread at the time of debt issuance.

#### Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

We invest in real estate partnerships, which primarily include five co-investment partners. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had investments in real estate partnerships of \$358.8 million and \$442.9 million, respectively, as discussed further in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The following table is a summary of unconsolidated combined assets and liabilities of these co-investment partnerships and our pro-rata share as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

|  | 2013         | 2012      |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Number of co-investment partnerships   | 17           | 19        |
| Regency's ownership                    | 20%-50%      | 20%-50%   |
| Number of properties                   | 126          | 144       |
| Combined assets                        | \$ 2,939,599 | 3,434,954 |
| Combined liabilities                   | \$ 1,617,920 | 1,933,488 |
| Combined equity                        | \$ 1,321,679 | 1,501,466 |
| Regency's Share of <sup>(1)(2)</sup> : |              |           |
| Assets                                 | \$ 1,035,842 | 1,154,387 |
| Liabilities                            | \$ 567,743   | 635,882   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Pro-rata financial information is not, and is not intended to be, a presentation in accordance with GAAP. However, management believes that providing such information is useful to investors in assessing the impact of its investments in real estate partnership activities on our operations, which includes such items on a single line presentation under the equity method in its consolidated financial statements.

(2) The difference between our share of the net assets of the co-investment partnerships and our investments in real estate partnerships per the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets relates primarily to differences in inside/outside basis as further described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

48

---



In addition to earning our pro-rata share of net income or loss in each of these co-investment partnerships, we receive fees, as shown below, for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

|   | 2013    | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|------|------|
| Asset management, property management, leasing, and investment and financing services | \$ 24.2 | 25.4 | 29.0 |
| Transaction fees  | —       | —    | 5.0  |
|   | \$ 24.2 | 25.4 | 34.0 |

### Contractual Obligations

We have debt obligations related to our mortgage loans, unsecured notes, unsecured credit facilities and interest rate swap obligations as described further below and in Note 8 and Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We have shopping centers that are subject to non-cancelable long-term ground leases where a third party owns and has leased the underlying land to us to construct and/or operate a shopping center. In addition, we have non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to office space from which we conduct our business.

The following table of Contractual Obligations summarizes our debt maturities, including our pro-rata share of obligations within co-investment partnerships, (in thousands) as of December 31, 2013, and excludes the following:

Recorded debt premiums or discounts that are not obligations;

Obligations related to construction or development contracts, since payments are only due upon satisfactory performance under the contracts;

- Letters of credit of \$19.3 million issued to cover performance obligations on certain development projects, which will be satisfied upon completion of the development projects; and,

Obligations for retirement savings plans due to uncertainty around timing of participant withdrawals, which are solely within the control of the participant, and are further discussed in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Payments Due by Period

|  | 2014       | 2015    | 2016    | 2017    | 2018   | Beyond 5<br>Years | Total        |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------|
| Notes payable:                                   |            |         |         |         |        |                   |              |
| Regency <sup>(1)</sup>                           | \$ 269,208 | 499,415 | 171,460 | 542,458 | 97,872 | 665,690           | \$ 2,246,103 |
| Regency's share of joint ventures <sup>(1)</sup> | 53,669     | 69,549  | 133,777 | 44,195  | 31,834 | 350,378           | 683,402      |
| Operating leases:                                |            |         |         |         |        |                   |              |
| Regency  | 4,410      | 4,314   | 3,683   | 1,966   | 814    | 1,955             | 17,142       |
| Subleases:                                       |            |         |         |         |        |                   |              |
| Regency  | (236)      | (106)   | (24)    | —       | —      | —                 | (366)        |
| Ground leases:                                   |            |         |         |         |        |                   |              |
| Regency  | 3,623      | 3,248   | 3,247   | 3,198   | 3,250  | 113,118           | 129,684      |
|  | 242        | 242     | 242     | 242     | 242    | 7,740             | 8,950        |

Regency's share of joint ventures

|       |            |         |         |         |         |           |              |
|-------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Total | \$ 330,916 | 576,662 | 312,385 | 592,059 | 134,012 | 1,138,881 | \$ 3,084,915 |
|-------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|

(1) Includes interest payments.

49

---

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have off-balance sheet arrangements, financings, or other relationships with other unconsolidated entities (other than our co-investment partnerships) or other persons, also known as variable interest entities, not previously discussed. Our co-investment partnership properties have been financed with non-recourse loans. We have no guarantees related to these loans.

## Results from Operations

Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

Our revenues increased in 2013, as compared to 2012, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012    | Change  |
|--|------------|---------|---------|
| Minimum rent                             | \$ 353,833 | 340,940 | 12,893  |
| Percentage rent                          | 3,583      | 3,323   | 260     |
| Recoveries from tenants and other income | 106,494    | 103,155 | 3,339   |
| Management, transaction, and other fees  | 25,097     | 26,511  | (1,414) |
| Total revenues                           | \$ 489,007 | 473,929 | 15,078  |

Minimum rent increased during 2013, as compared to 2012, due to acquisitions, dispositions, and changes in overall occupancy and average base rent for our same properties, as follows:

\$17.8 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; offset by:

\$22.5 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2013 and 2012; and,

\$8.2 million increase in minimum rent from same properties, which was driven by rental rate and occupancy growth and increases from contractual rent steps in existing leases.

Recoveries from tenants and other income represent reimbursements from tenants for their pro-rata share of the operating, maintenance, and real estate tax expenses that we incur to operate our shopping centers, as well as other income earned at our operating properties. Recoveries from tenants increased during 2013, as compared to 2012, due to the following:

\$5.1 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; and,

\$2.2 million decrease as a result of final distributions from our terminated third party managed captive insurance program and establishing a consolidated captive insurance subsidiary during 2012;

\$4.7 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2013 and 2012; and,

\$6.1 million increase in recoveries at same properties due to increased occupancy levels resulting in a higher recovery ratio on recoverable costs, which were also higher in 2013.

We earned fees, at market-based rates, for asset management, property management, leasing, acquisition, and financing services that we provided to our co-investment partnerships and third parties as follows (in thousands):

|                                    | 2013      | 2012   | Change |   |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|---|
| Asset management fees              | \$ 6,205  | 6,488  | (283   | ) |
| Property management fees           | 13,692    | 14,224 | (532   | ) |
| Leasing commissions and other fees | 5,200     | 5,799  | (599   | ) |
|                                    | \$ 25,097 | 26,511 | (1,414 | ) |

Asset and property management fees decreased approximately \$815,000 due to the liquidation of two unconsolidated real estate partnerships during 2013, resulting in a \$1.1 million reduction in asset and property management fees, partially offset by higher asset and property management fees from our other partnerships. Leasing commissions and other fees decreased

during 2013, as compared to 2012, due to the two liquidations discussed above and a decrease in leasing activity performed for co-investment partnerships and third parties during 2013, as occupancy levels stabilize and less vacant GLA was available for lease.

Our operating expenses increased in 2013, as compared to 2012, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

|                               | 2013       | 2012    | Change |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 130,630 | 119,008 | 11,622 |
| Operating and maintenance     | 71,018     | 66,687  | 4,331  |
| General and administrative    | 61,234     | 61,700  | (466)  |
| Real estate taxes             | 53,726     | 52,911  | 815    |
| Other expenses                | 8,079      | 7,187   | 892    |
| Total operating expenses      | \$ 324,687 | 307,493 | 17,194 |

Depreciation and amortization, operating and maintenance expenses, and real estate taxes increased due the impact of acquisitions, development operations, and dispositions during 2013 and 2012, as follows:

\$14.6 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; offset by:

\$20.1 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2013 and 2012; and,

\$11.3 million increase at same properties, due to a number of factors, including:

incremental snow removal costs from 2013 winter weather;

increases in recurring operating and maintenance costs;

additional depreciation expense resulting from capital improvements to existing centers;

additional amortization of leasing commissions from the increase in recent years' leasing activity; and,

increases in real estate tax assessments.

In addition, general and administrative expenses decreased approximately \$466,000 primarily due to greater capitalization of development overhead costs of approximately \$1.4 million, due to higher volume of development projects, offset by a decrease in capitalization of leasing overhead costs of \$1.2 million as occupancy levels stabilize and less vacant GLA was available to be leased. The net change in compensation and other overhead costs resulted in additional savings of approximately \$200,000.

The following table presents the components of other expense (income) (in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012    | Change   |
|--|------------|---------|----------|
| Interest expense, net  | \$ 108,966 | 112,129 | (3,163)  |
| Provision for impairment                                     | 6,000      | 20,316  | (14,316) |
| Early extinguishment of debt                                 | 32         | 852     | (820)    |
| Net investment (income) loss from deferred compensation plan | (3,257)    | (2,057) | (1,200)  |
|  | \$ 111,741 | 131,240 | (19,499) |

See table below for a discussion of interest expense.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized a \$6.0 million impairment on a single operating property as a result of an unoccupied anchor declaring bankruptcy, where we have thus far been unable to re-lease the anchor space. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized total impairments of \$20.3 million, including \$18.1

million related to the 15-property portfolio sold on July 25, 2012, and \$2.2 million related to three land parcels.

During 2013, we repaid two mortgages early with minimal remaining unamortized loan costs. On July 20, 2012, we repaid \$150.0 million of our Term Loan, and as a result of this early extinguishment of debt, we expensed approximately \$852,000 in remaining unamortized loan costs.

The \$1.2 million increase in net investment income from deferred compensation plan related to the change in the fair value of plan assets from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 and is consistent with the change in plan liabilities, included in general and administrative expenses above.

The following table presents the change in net interest expense (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012     | Change   |   |
|---|------------|----------|----------|---|
| Interest on notes payable               | \$ 103,143 | 103,610  | (467     | ) |
| Interest on unsecured credit facilities | 3,937      | 4,388    | (451     | ) |
| Capitalized interest                    | (6,078     | ) (3,686 | ) (2,392 | ) |
| Hedge interest                          | 9,607      | 9,492    | 115      |   |
| Interest income                         | (1,643     | ) (1,675 | ) 32     |   |
|   | \$ 108,966 | 112,129  | (3,163   | ) |

Our interest expense decreased primarily due to paying down our unsecured credit facilities and mortgages and due to higher amounts of interest capitalized on development projects, driven by the increase in cumulative development project costs over the prior year.

Our equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships increased in 2013, as compared to 2012, as follows (in thousands):

|  | Ownership | 2013      | 2012   | Change |   |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---|
| GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR)  | 40.00%    | \$ 12,789 | 9,311  | 3,478  |   |
| Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III) <sup>(1)</sup> | —%        | 53        | (22    | ) 75   |   |
| Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I)               | 20.00%    | 1,727     | 8,480  | (6,753 | ) |
| Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II)                  | 20.00%    | 1,274     | 290    | 984    |   |
| Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)                                   | 30.00%    | 662       | 596    | 66     |   |
| RegCal, LLC (RegCal)   | 25.00%    | 332       | 540    | (208   | ) |
| Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund) <sup>(2)</sup>            | 20.00%    | 7,749     | 297    | 7,452  |   |
| US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA)                                  | 20.00%    | 487       | 297    | 190    |   |
| BRE Throne Holdings, LLC (BRET) <sup>(3)</sup>                   | —%        | 4,499     | 2,211  | 2,288  |   |
| Other investments in real estate partnerships                    | 50.00%    | 2,146     | 1,807  | 339    |   |
| Total investments in real estate partnerships                    |           | \$ 31,718 | 23,807 | 7,911  |   |

<sup>(1)</sup> As of December 31, 2012, our ownership interest in MCWR III was 24.95%. The liquidation of MCWR III was complete effective March 20, 2013.

<sup>(2)</sup> On August 13, 2013, Regency Retail Partners, LP (the "Fund") sold 100% of its interest in its entire portfolio of shopping centers to a third party. The Fund will be dissolved following the final distribution of proceeds.

<sup>(3)</sup> On October 23, 2013, the Company sold 100% of its interest in the BRET unconsolidated real estate partnership and received a capital distribution of \$47.5 million, its share of the undistributed income of the partnership, and an early redemption premium. Regency no longer has any interest in the BRET partnership.

The \$7.9 million increase in our equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships for 2013, as compared to 2012, is primarily due to the following:

\$3.5 million increase from the GRIR partnership due to various factors, including: increased tenant percentage rent, recovery revenue rates, and settlement proceeds; coupled with lower interest expense as a result of paying off debt in 2012 and the loss on debt extinguishment and provision for impairment in 2012 that did not occur in 2013. These increases are offset by higher depreciation expense from redevelopments.

\$6.8 million decrease from the Columbia I partnership primarily due to our \$6.9 million pro-rata gain on sale of an operating property that was sold in April 2012,

\$7.5 million increase from the Fund due to recognizing \$7.4 million pro-rata gain on the sale of all operating properties within the Fund in August 2013, and

\$2.3 million increase from our ownership interest retained in BRET, as part of the 15-property portfolio sale completed in July 2012, which we redeemed 100% of our ownership interest for cash in October 2013.



The following represents the remaining components that comprised net income attributable to the common stockholders and unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012      | Change    |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Income from continuing operations before tax                        | \$ 84,297  | 59,003    | 25,294    |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary                       | —          | 13,224    | (13,224 ) |
| Discontinued operations   |            |           |           |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net                           | 57,953     | 21,855    | 36,098    |
| Provision for impairment  | —          | 54,500    | (54,500 ) |
| Operating income (loss), excluding provision for impairment         | 7,332      | 10,917    | (3,585 )  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations                          | 65,285     | (21,728 ) | 87,013    |
| Gain on sale of real estate   | 1,703      | 2,158     | (455 )    |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests                     | (1,481 )   | (342 )    | (1,139 )  |
| Preferred stock dividends   | (21,062 )  | (32,531 ) | 11,469    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders               | \$ 128,742 | (6,664 )  | 135,406   |
| Net income attributable to exchangeable operating partnership units | 276        | 106       | 170       |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders               | \$ 129,018 | (6,558 )  | 135,576   |

The change in income from continuing operations before tax results from the changes discussed above.

The decrease in income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary is due to the large expense recognized during 2012, as discussed in the following section.

Income from discontinued operations of \$65.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 included \$58.0 million in gains, net of taxes, from the sale of twelve properties and the operations of the shopping centers sold. Loss from discontinued operations of \$21.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the operations of the shopping centers sold during 2012 and 2013, including \$54.5 million of impairment losses, offset by \$21.9 million in gains, net of taxes, from the sale of five properties.

The decrease in preferred stock dividends is attributable to the additional non-cash charges incurred during 2012, as discussed in the following section.

Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Our revenues increased in 2012, as compared to 2011, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

|  | 2012       | 2011    | Change   |
|--|------------|---------|----------|
| Minimum rent                             | \$ 340,940 | 332,027 | 8,913    |
| Percentage rent                          | 3,323      | 2,989   | 334      |
| Recoveries from tenants and other income | 103,155    | 101,453 | 1,702    |
| Management, transaction, and other fees  | 26,511     | 33,980  | (7,469 ) |
| Total revenues                           | \$ 473,929 | 470,449 | 3,480    |

Minimum rent increased during 2012, as compared to 2011, due to acquisitions, dispositions, and changes in overall occupancy and average base rent for our same properties, as follows:

\$13.2 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; offset by:

• \$3.9 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2012 and 2011; and,

• \$18.2 million increase in minimum rent from same properties, which was driven by rental rate and occupancy growth and increases from contractual rent steps in existing leases.

Recoveries from tenants and other income increased during 2012, as compared to 2011, due to the following:

\$6.5 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; offset by:

\$3.5 million increase due to a change in the timing and amount of our captive insurance distribution;

\$1.0 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2012 and 2011; and,

\$3.7 million increase in recoveries at same properties due to increased occupancy levels resulting in a higher recovery ratio on recoverable costs, which were also higher in 2012.

We earned fees, at market-based rates, for asset management, property management, leasing, acquisition, disposition and financing services that we provided to our co-investment partnerships and third parties as follows (in thousands):

|                                    | 2012      | 2011   | Change |   |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|---|
| Asset management fees              | \$ 6,488  | 6,705  | (217   | ) |
| Property management fees           | 14,224    | 14,910 | (686   | ) |
| Leasing commissions and other fees | 5,799     | 7,365  | (1,566 | ) |
| Transaction fees                   | —         | 5,000  | (5,000 | ) |
|                                    | \$ 26,511 | 33,980 | (7,469 | ) |

The decrease in fees in 2012 was primarily the result of the liquidation of the DESCOCO co-investment partnership during 2011, which included a \$5.0 million disposition fee, a \$1.0 million consulting fee that we received as a result of the liquidation, and approximately \$400,000 reduction in asset and property management fees. Asset management fees, property management fees, and leasing commissions also declined approximately \$525,000 as a result of the sale of third party owned properties managed by Regency.

Our operating expenses increased in 2012, as compared to 2011, as summarized in the following table (in thousands):

|                               | 2012       | 2011    | Change |   |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------|--------|---|
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 119,008 | 120,803 | (1,795 | ) |
| Operating and maintenance     | 66,687     | 68,501  | (1,814 | ) |
| General and administrative    | 61,700     | 56,117  | 5,583  |   |
| Real estate taxes             | 52,911     | 52,039  | 872    |   |
| Other expenses                | 7,187      | 6,516   | 671    |   |
| Total operating expenses      | \$ 307,493 | 303,976 | 3,517  |   |

Depreciation and amortization and operating and maintenance expenses decreased while real estate taxes increased due the impact of acquisitions, development operations, and dispositions during 2012 and 2011, as follows:

\$14.9 million decrease due to the sale of a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012; offset by:

\$2.5 million increase due to the acquisition of operating properties and operations beginning at development properties during 2012 and 2011; and,

\$9.6 million increase at same properties, due to additional depreciation expense resulting from capital improvements to existing centers, additional amortization of leasing commissions from the increase in leasing activity, and increased real estate tax assessments, offset by less incremental operating expenses associated with mild winter weather during 2012.

In addition, general and administrative expenses increased due to an increase in compensation and benefit costs, primarily as a result of exceeding performance targets and changes in the value of participant investments in the deferred compensation plan; offset by capitalization of additional development and leasing overhead costs, driven by the timing of development project starts and the volume of leasing activity.

The following table presents the components of other expense (income) (in thousands):

|  | 2012       | 2011    | Change  |   |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---|
| Interest expense, net  | \$ 112,129 | 123,645 | (11,516 | ) |
| Provision for impairment                                     | 20,316     | 12,466  | 7,850   |   |
| Early extinguishment of debt                                 | 852        | —       | 852     |   |
| Net investment (income) loss from deferred compensation plan | (2,057     | ) 206   | (2,263  | ) |
|  | \$ 131,240 | 136,317 | (5,077  | ) |

See table below for a discussion of interest expense.

As discussed above, we sold a 15-property portfolio on July 25, 2012, and, as a result of this sale, we recognized a net impairment loss of \$18.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. We also recognized \$2.2 million of impairment losses during 2012 related to three land parcels. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized a \$12.5 million provision for impairment related to two operating properties that exhibited weak operating fundamentals, including low economic occupancy for an extended period of time.

On July 20, 2012, we repaid \$150.0 million of our Term Loan, and as a result of this early extinguishment of debt, we expensed approximately \$852,000 in loan costs.

The \$2.3 million increase in net investment income from deferred compensation plan related to the change in the fair value of plan assets from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 and is consistent with the change in plan liabilities, included in general and administrative expenses above.

The following table presents the change in interest expense (in thousands):

|   | 2012       | 2011     | Change   |   |
|---|------------|----------|----------|---|
| Interest on notes payable               | \$ 103,610 | 116,343  | (12,733  | ) |
| Interest on unsecured credit facilities | 4,388      | 1,746    | 2,642    |   |
| Capitalized interest                    | (3,686     | ) (1,480 | ) (2,206 | ) |
| Hedge interest                          | 9,492      | 9,478    | 14       |   |
| Interest income                         | (1,675     | ) (2,442 | ) 767    |   |
|   | \$ 112,129 | 123,645  | (11,516  | ) |

Interest on notes payable decreased and interest on unsecured credit facilities increased during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, as a result of the repayment of \$192.4 million of 6.75% unsecured debt in January 2012 using proceeds from our Term Loan and \$800 million Line of Credit at lower interest rates. Additional interest was capitalized during 2012 due to increased cumulative development project costs.

Our equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships increased in 2012, as compared to 2011, as follows (in thousands):

|  | Ownership | 2012      | 2011   | Change  |   |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|---|
| GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR)  | 40.00%    | \$ 9,311  | 7,266  | 2,045   |   |
| Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III)                    | 24.95%    | (22       | ) (123 | ) 101   |   |
| Macquarie CountryWide-Regency-DESCO, LLC (MCWR-DESCO) <sup>(1)</sup> | —%        | —         | (293   | ) 293   |   |
| Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I)                   | 20.00%    | 8,480     | 2,775  | 5,705   |   |
| Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II)                      | 20.00%    | 290       | 179    | 111     |   |
| Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)                                       | 30.00%    | 596       | 322    | 274     |   |
| RegCal, LLC (RegCal)   | 25.00%    | 540       | 1,904  | (1,364  | ) |
| Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund)                               | 20.00%    | 297       | 268    | 29      |   |
| US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA)                                      | 20.00%    | 297       | 243    | 54      |   |
| BRE Throne Holdings, LLC (BRET)                                      | 47.80%    | 2,211     | —      | 2,211   |   |
| Other investments in real estate partnerships                        | 50.00%    | 1,807     | (2,898 | ) 4,705 |   |
| Total investments in real estate partnerships                        |           | \$ 23,807 | 9,643  | 14,164  |   |

<sup>(1)</sup> As of December 31, 2010, our ownership interest in MCWR-DESCO was 16.35%. The liquidation of MCWR-DESCO was complete effective May 4, 2011. Our ownership interest in MCWR-DESCO was 0.00% as of both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The \$14.2 million increase in our equity in income in investments in real estate partnerships for 2012, as compared to 2011, is primarily due to the following:

\$5.7 million increase from the Columbia I partnership primarily due to our share of a \$34.5 million gain on sale of an operating property that was sold in April 2012,

\$2.2 million increase from our ownership interest retained in BRET, as part of the 15-property portfolio sale completed in July 2012, which we redeemed in October of 2013.

\$4.6 million increase from an impairment recognized on one investment in a real estate partnership, included in other investments in real estate partnerships, during the first quarter of 2011.

The following represents the remaining components that comprised net income attributable to the common stockholders and unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, (in thousands):

|   | 2012      | 2011      | Change    |   |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Income from continuing operations before tax                | \$ 59,003 | 39,799    | 19,204    |   |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary               | 13,224    | 2,994     | 10,230    |   |
| Discontinued operations                                     |           |           |           |   |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net                   | 21,855    | 5,942     | 15,913    |   |
| Provision for impairment                                    | 54,500    | 3,416     | 51,084    |   |
| Operating income (loss), excluding provision for impairment | 10,917    | 14,053    | (3,136    | ) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations                  | (21,728   | ) 16,579  | (38,307   | ) |
| Gain on sale of real estate                                 | 2,158     | 2,404     | (246      | ) |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests             | (342      | ) (4,418  | ) 4,076   |   |
| Preferred stock dividends                                   | (32,531   | ) (19,675 | ) (12,856 | ) |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |           |          |         |   |
|---|-----------|----------|---------|---|
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders               | \$ (6,664 | ) 31,695 | (38,359 | ) |
| Net income attributable to exchangeable operating partnership units | 106       | 103      | 3       |   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders               | \$ (6,558 | ) 31,798 | (38,356 | ) |

The change in income from continuing operations before tax results from the changes discussed above.

56

---

Income tax expense increased \$10.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2012, we identified four core operating properties within the Taxable REIT Subsidiary (“TRS”) and sold them to the REIT, which generated taxable gains enabling us to use a significant amount of the net operating losses created during the portfolio sale from July 2012. Based on the remaining properties within the TRS and future taxable income sources, the remaining deferred tax assets are not likely to be realized and a full valuation allowance was established on the balance.

Loss from discontinued operations of \$21.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 included \$54.5 million of impairment losses from two operating centers that have been sold, offset by \$21.9 million in gains, net of taxes, from the sale of properties and the operations of the shopping centers sold during 2012 and 2013. Income from discontinued operations of \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 included \$5.9 million in gains, net of taxes, from the sale of properties and the operations, including \$3.4 million of impairments, of the shopping centers sold during 2011, 2012, and 2013.

The income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased \$4.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the redemption of preferred units in February 2012, resulting in \$3.3 million less in dividends plus a redemption discount of \$1.9 million offset by non-cash charges upon recognizing the original preferred unit issuance costs of approximately \$842,000.

Preferred stock dividends increased \$12.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the \$9.3 million of non-cash charges for the deemed distribution recognized upon redemption of the Series 3, 4, and 5 Preferred Stock during the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as the impact of additional dividends on the Series 6 Preferred Stock issued in February 2012 and Series 7 Preferred Stock issued in September 2012.



## Supplemental Earnings Information

We use certain non-GAAP performance measures, in addition to the required GAAP presentations, as we believe these measures are beneficial to us in improving the understanding of our operational results among the investing public. We believe such measures make comparisons of other REITs' operating results to ours more meaningful. We continually evaluate the usefulness, relevance, and calculation of our reported non-GAAP performance measures to determine how best to provide relevant information to the public, and thus such reported measures could change.

The following are our definitions of Same Property Net Operating Income ("NOI"), Funds from Operations ("FFO"), and Core FFO, which we believe to be beneficial non-GAAP performance measures used in understanding our operational results:

NOI is calculated as total property revenues (minimum rent, percentage rents, and recoveries from tenants and other income) less direct property operating expenses (operating and maintenance and real estate taxes) from the properties owned by us, and excludes corporate-level income (including management, transaction, and other fees), for the entirety of the periods presented.

Same Property information is provided for operating properties that were owned and operated for the entirety of both periods being compared and excludes all Properties in Development and Non-Same Properties. A Non-Same Property is a property acquired during either period being compared, a development completion that is less than 90% funded and 95% leased or features less than two years of anchor operations. Same Property also excludes projects in development, which represent projects owned and intended to be developed, including partially operating properties acquired specifically for redevelopment and excluding land held for future development. See note 1 to the consolidated financial statements for an expanded definition of properties in development.

Same Property NOI includes NOI for Same Properties, but excludes straight-line rental income, net of reserves, above and below market rent amortization, banking charges, and other fees. Same Property NOI is a key measure used by management in evaluating the performance of our properties.

FFO is a commonly used measure of REIT performance, which the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") defines as net income, computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains and losses from sales of depreciable property, net of tax, excluding operating real estate impairments, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with NAREIT's definition. Many companies use different depreciable lives and methods, and real estate values historically fluctuate with market conditions. Since FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from depreciable property dispositions, and impairments, it can provide a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact on operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, acquisition and development activities, and financing costs. This provides a perspective of our financial performance not immediately apparent from net income determined in accordance with GAAP. Thus, FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure of our operating performance, which does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and therefore, should not be considered an alternative for cash flow as a measure of liquidity.

Core FFO is an additional performance measure we use as the computation of FFO includes certain non-cash and non-comparable items that affect our period-over-period performance. Core FFO excludes from FFO, but is not limited to, transaction profits, income or expense, gains or losses from the early extinguishment of debt and other non-core items. We provide a reconciliation of FFO to Core FFO as shown below.



Our reconciliation of property revenues and property expenses to Same Property NOI, on a pro rata basis, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | 2013          |                      |         | 2012          |                      |         |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|---------|
|  | Same Property | Other <sup>(1)</sup> | Total   | Same Property | Other <sup>(1)</sup> | Total   |
| Income from continuing operations before tax   | \$ 193,108    | (108,811 )           | 84,297  | 188,450       | (129,447 )           | 59,003  |
| Less:  |               |                      |         |               |                      |         |
| Management, transaction, and other fees  | —             | 25,097               | 25,097  | —             | 26,511               | 26,511  |
| Other <sup>(2)</sup>   | 9,608         | (1,379 )             | 8,229   | 7,498         | (594 )               | 6,904   |
| Plus:  |               |                      |         |               |                      |         |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 111,688       | 18,942               | 130,630 | 104,723       | 14,285               | 119,008 |
| General and administrative   | —             | 61,234               | 61,234  | —             | 61,700               | 61,700  |
| Other operating expense, excluding provision for doubtful accounts                     | 2,317         | 3,973                | 6,290   | 76            | 4,162                | 4,238   |
| Other expense (income)   | 34,775        | 76,966               | 111,741 | 29,941        | 101,299              | 131,240 |
| Equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate excluded from NOI <sup>(3)</sup> | 56,632        | 2,774                | 59,406  | 58,653        | 7,889                | 66,542  |
| NOI from properties sold   | —             | 10,866               | 10,866  | —             | 19,475               | 19,475  |
| Pro rata NOI   | \$ 388,912    | 42,226               | 431,138 | 374,345       | 53,446               | 427,791 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes revenues and expenses attributable to non-same property, development, and corporate activities.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes straight-line rental income, net of reserves, above and below market rent amortization, banking charges, and other fees.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes non-NOI expenses incurred at our unconsolidated real estate partnerships, including those separated out above for our consolidated properties.

Our same property pool includes the following property count, pro rata GLA (in thousands), and changes therein during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

|   | 2013       |         | 2012       |         |
|---|------------|---------|------------|---------|
|   | Properties | GLA     | Properties | GLA     |
| Beginning same property count   | 323        | 25,803  | 314        | 24,922  |
| Acquired properties owned for entirety of comparable periods                              | 6          | 476     | 3          | 465     |
| Developments that reached completion by beginning of earliest comparable period presented | 4          | 359     | 33         | 3,163   |
| Disposed properties   | (29        | )(1,683 | )(27       | )(2,736 |
| SFT adjustments <sup>(1)</sup>  | —          | 154     |            | (11     |
| Ending same property count  | 304        | 25,109  | 323        | 25,803  |

<sup>(1)</sup> SFT adjustments arise from remeasurements or redevelopments.

The major components of pro rata same property NOI growth of 3.9% include the following:

|                            | 2013       | 2012    | Change |
|----------------------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Base rent                  | \$ 409,641 | 398,773 | 10,868 |
| Percentage rent            | 4,788      | 4,038   | 750    |
| Recovery revenue           | 116,716    | 109,190 | 7,526  |
| Other income               | 6,849      | 6,537   | 312    |
| Operating expenses         | 149,082    | 144,193 | 4,889  |
| Pro rata same property NOI | \$ 388,912 | 374,345 | 14,567 |

Pro rata same property base rent increased \$10.9 million, driven by \$4.6 million increase in contractual rent steps and \$6.3 million increase in rental rate growth and changes in occupancy.

Pro rata same property recovery revenue increased \$7.5 million due to greater recovery rates driven by market rates and occupancy improvements, as well as increases in recoverable costs.

Pro rata same property operating expenses increased \$4.9 million due to increases in real estate tax assessments and increased common area expenses primarily related to snow removal costs associated with the inclement winter weather in 2013.

Our reconciliation of net income available to common shareholders to FFO and Core FFO for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands, except share information):

|  | 2013       | 2012      |   |
|--|------------|-----------|---|
| Reconciliation of Net income to FFO                                |            |           |   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders              | \$ 128,742 | (6,664    | ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile to FFO:                                   |            |           |   |
| Depreciation and amortization - consolidated                       | 111,689    | 108,057   |   |
| Depreciation and amortization - unconsolidated                     | 43,498     | 43,162    |   |
| Consolidated joint venture partners' share of depreciation         | (1,003     | ) (755    | ) |
| Provision for impairment <sup>(1)</sup>                            | 6,000      | 75,326    |   |
| Amortization of leasing commissions and intangibles                | 19,313     | 16,055    |   |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net of tax <sup>(1)</sup>    | (67,894    | ) (13,187 | ) |
| Noncontrolling interest of exchangeable partnership units          | 276        | 106       |   |
| FFO  | \$ 240,621 | 222,100   |   |
| Reconciliation of FFO to Core FFO                                  |            |           |   |
| FFO  | \$ 240,621 | 222,100   |   |
| Adjustments to reconcile to Core FFO:                              |            |           |   |
| Transaction profits, net of dead deal costs and tax <sup>(1)</sup> | 1,344      | (3,415    | ) |
| Provision for impairment to land and out-parcels <sup>(1)</sup>    | —          | 1,000     |   |
| Provision for hedge ineffectiveness <sup>(1)</sup>                 | (21        | ) 20      |   |
| Loss on early debt extinguishment <sup>(1)</sup>                   | (325       | ) 1,238   |   |
| Original preferred stock issuance costs expensed                   | —          | 10,119    |   |
| Gain on redemption of preferred units                              | —          | (1,875    | ) |
| One-time additional preferred dividend payment                     | —          | 1,750     |   |
| Core FFO   | \$ 241,619 | 230,937   |   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes our pro-rata share of unconsolidated co-investment partnerships.

#### Environmental Matters

We are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations as they apply to our shopping centers pertaining to chemicals used by the dry cleaning industry, the existence of asbestos in older shopping centers, and underground petroleum storage tanks. We believe that the tenants who currently operate dry cleaning plants or gas stations do so in accordance with current laws and regulations. Generally, we use all legal means to cause tenants to remove dry cleaning plants from our shopping centers or convert them to more environmentally friendly systems. Where available, we have applied and been accepted into state-sponsored environmental programs. We have a blanket environmental insurance policy for third-party liabilities and remediation costs on shopping centers that currently have no known environmental contamination. We have also placed environmental insurance, where possible, on specific properties with known contamination, in order to mitigate our environmental risk. We monitor the shopping centers containing environmental issues and in certain cases voluntarily remediate the sites. We also have legal obligations to remediate certain sites and we are in the process of doing so.

As of December 31, 2013 we had accrued liabilities of \$11.9 million for our pro-rata share of environmental remediation. We believe that the ultimate disposition of currently known environmental matters will not have a material effect on our financial position, liquidity, or results of operations; however, we can give no assurance that existing environmental studies on our shopping centers have revealed all potential environmental liabilities; that any previous owner, occupant or tenant did not create any material environmental condition not known to us; that the current environmental condition of the shopping centers will not be affected by tenants and occupants, by the condition of nearby properties, or by unrelated third parties; or that changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations or their interpretation will not result in additional environmental liability to us.

#### Inflation/Deflation

Inflation has been historically low and has had a minimal impact on the operating performance of our shopping centers; however, inflation may become a greater concern in the future. Substantially all of our long-term leases contain

provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. Most of our leases require tenants to pay their pro-rata share of operating expenses, including common-area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and utilities, thereby reducing our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. In addition, many of our leases are for terms of less than ten years, which permits us to seek increased rents upon re-rental at market rates. However, during deflationary periods or periods of economic weakness, minimum rents and percentage rents will decline as the supply of available retail space exceeds demand and consumer spending declines. Occupancy declines resulting from a weak economic period will also likely result in lower recovery rates of our operating expenses.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

##### Market Risk

We are exposed to two significant components of interest rate risk:

- We have an \$800.0 million Line commitment and a \$75.0 million Term Loan commitment, as further described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Our Line commitment has a variable interest rate that is based upon an annual rate of LIBOR plus 117.5 basis points and our Term Loan has a variable rate of LIBOR plus 145 basis points. LIBOR rates charged on our Line and Term Loan (collectively our "unsecured credit facilities") change monthly. The spread on the unsecured credit facilities is dependent upon maintaining specific credit ratings. If our credit ratings are downgraded, the spread on the unsecured credit facilities would increase, resulting in higher interest costs.

We are also exposed to changes in interest rates when we refinance our existing long-term fixed rate debt. The objective of our interest rate risk management program is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, we borrow primarily at fixed interest rates and may enter into derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, or treasury locks in order to mitigate our interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. We do not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes. Our interest rate swaps are structured solely for the purpose of interest rate protection.

We have \$150.0 million and \$350.0 million of fixed rate, unsecured debt maturing in April 2014 and August 2015, respectively. As the economy improves, long term interest rates may continue to increase. In order to mitigate the risk of interest rate volatility, we entered into \$395.0 million of forward starting interest rate swaps for new debt issues occurring through August 1, 2016. These interest rate swaps lock in the 10-year treasury rate and swap spread at a weighted average fixed rate of 2.45%. These rates are exclusive of our credit spread at the time of debt issuance.

We continuously monitor the capital markets and evaluate our ability to issue new debt to repay maturing debt or fund our commitments. Based upon the current capital markets, our current credit ratings, our current capacity under our unsecured credit facilities, and the number of high quality, unencumbered properties that we own which could collateralize borrowings, we expect that we will be able to successfully issue new secured or unsecured debt to fund these debt obligations.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal cash flows, weighted average interest rates of remaining debt, and the fair value of total debt as of December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands). The table is presented by year of expected maturity to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes. Although the average interest rate for variable rate debt is included in the table, those rates represent rates that existed as of December 31, 2013 and are subject to change on a monthly basis. Further, the table below incorporates only those exposures that exist as of December 31, 2013 and does not consider exposures or positions that could arise after that date. Since firm commitments are not presented, the table has limited predictive

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

value. As a result, our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at that time, and actual interest rates.

|   | 2014       | 2015    | 2016   | 2017    | 2018   | Thereafter | Total     | Fair Value |
|---|------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Fixed rate debt   | \$ 163,632 | 418,182 | 27,148 | 489,396 | 61,103 | 579,909    | 1,739,370 | 1,899,404  |
| Average interest rate for all fixed rate debt <sup>(1)</sup>    | 5.72       | % 5.87  | % 5.87 | % 5.82  | % 5.77 | % 5.77     | % —       | —          |
| Variable rate LIBOR debt  | \$ 9,000   | —       | 75,000 | 297     | 410    | 27,392     | 112,099   | 112,483    |
| Average interest rate for all variable rate debt <sup>(1)</sup> | 2.20       | % 2.20  | % 3.70 | % 3.70  | % 3.70 | % 3.70     | % —       | —          |

<sup>(1)</sup> Average interest rates at the end of each year presented.



Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P.

Index to Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 63

Regency Centers Corporation:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 67

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 68

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 69

Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 70

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 72

Regency Centers, L.P.:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 74

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 75

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 76

Consolidated Statements of Capital for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 77

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 79

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 81

Financial Statement Schedule

Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation - December 31, 2013 117

All other schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required, materiality or because information required therein is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Regency Centers Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Regency Centers Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement Schedule III. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Regency Centers Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Regency Centers Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 19, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 19, 2014  
Jacksonville, Florida  
Certified Public Accountants

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Regency Centers Corporation:

We have audited Regency Centers Corporation's (the Company's) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Regency Centers Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Regency Centers Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Regency Centers Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, and our report dated February 19, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 19, 2014  
Jacksonville, Florida  
Certified Public Accountants

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
The Unit Holders of Regency Centers, L.P. and  
the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Regency Centers Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Regency Centers, L.P. and subsidiaries (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), capital, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement Schedule III. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Regency Centers, L.P. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Regency Centers, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 19, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 19, 2014  
Jacksonville, Florida  
Certified Public Accountants

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
The Unit Holders of Regency Centers, L.P. and  
the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Regency Centers Corporation:

We have audited Regency Centers, L.P.'s (the Partnership's) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Regency Centers, L.P.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Regency Centers, L.P. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Regency Centers, L.P. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), capital, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, and our report dated February 19, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

February 19, 2014  
Jacksonville, Florida  
Certified Public Accountants



## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2013 and 2012

(in thousands, except share data)

|   | 2013         | 2012      |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| Assets  |              |           |
| Real estate investments at cost (notes 2 and 3):  |              |           |
| Land  | \$ 1,249,779 | 1,215,659 |
| Buildings and improvements  | 2,590,302    | 2,502,186 |
| Properties in development   | 186,450      | 192,067   |
|   | 4,026,531    | 3,909,912 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation  | 844,873      | 782,749   |
|   | 3,181,658    | 3,127,163 |
| Investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)  | 358,849      | 442,927   |
| Net real estate investments   | 3,540,507    | 3,570,090 |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 80,684       | 22,349    |
| Restricted cash   | 9,520        | 6,472     |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3,922 and \$3,915 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 26,319       | 26,601    |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$547 and \$870 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 50,612       | 49,990    |
| Notes receivable (note 5)   | 11,960       | 23,751    |
| Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$73,231 and \$69,224 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 69,963       | 69,506    |
| Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$25,591 and \$19,148 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (note 6)   | 44,805       | 42,459    |
| Trading securities held in trust, at fair value (note 13)   | 26,681       | 23,429    |
| Other assets (note 9)   | 52,465       | 18,811    |
| Total assets  | \$ 3,913,516 | 3,853,458 |
| Liabilities and Equity  |              |           |
| Liabilities:  |              |           |
| Notes payable (note 8)  | \$ 1,779,697 | 1,771,891 |
| Unsecured credit facilities (note 8)  | 75,000       | 170,000   |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities (note 9 and 13)  | 147,045      | 127,185   |
| Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$10,102 and \$6,636 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (note 6)  | 26,729       | 20,325    |
| Tenants' security and escrow deposits and prepaid rent  | 23,911       | 18,146    |
| Total liabilities   | 2,052,382    | 2,107,547 |
| Commitments and contingencies (notes 15 and 16)   | —            | —         |
| Equity:   |              |           |
| Stockholders' equity (notes 11 and 12):   |              |           |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 30,000,000 shares authorized; 13,000,000 Series 6 and 7 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, with liquidation preferences of \$25 per share | 325,000      | 325,000   |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 92,333,161 and 90,394,486 shares issued at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively   | 923          | 904       |
| Treasury stock at cost, 373,042 and 335,347 shares held at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively   | (16,726 )    | (14,924 ) |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |              |            |
|---|--------------|------------|
| Additional paid in capital  | 2,426,477    | 2,312,310  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (17,404 )    | (57,715 )  |
| Distributions in excess of net income   | (874,916 )   | (834,810 ) |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 1,843,354    | 1,730,765  |
| Noncontrolling interests (note 11):   |              |            |
| Exchangeable operating partnership units, aggregate redemption value of \$7,676 and \$8,348 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively | (1,426 )     | (1,153 )   |
| Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships  | 19,206       | 16,299     |
| Total noncontrolling interests  | 17,780       | 15,146     |
| Total equity  | 1,861,134    | 1,745,911  |
| Total liabilities and equity  | \$ 3,913,516 | 3,853,458  |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | 2013       | 2012     | 2011     |
|--|------------|----------|----------|
| Revenues:  |            |          |          |
| Minimum rent   | \$ 353,833 | 340,940  | 332,027  |
| Percentage rent  | 3,583      | 3,323    | 2,989    |
| Recoveries from tenants and other income   | 106,494    | 103,155  | 101,453  |
| Management, transaction, and other fees  | 25,097     | 26,511   | 33,980   |
| Total revenues   | 489,007    | 473,929  | 470,449  |
| Operating expenses:  |            |          |          |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 130,630    | 119,008  | 120,803  |
| Operating and maintenance  | 71,018     | 66,687   | 68,501   |
| General and administrative   | 61,234     | 61,700   | 56,117   |
| Real estate taxes  | 53,726     | 52,911   | 52,039   |
| Other expenses   | 8,079      | 7,187    | 6,516    |
| Total operating expenses   | 324,687    | 307,493  | 303,976  |
| Other expense (income):  |            |          |          |
| Interest expense, net of interest income of \$1,643, \$1,675, and \$2,442 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively (note 9)   | 108,966    | 112,129  | 123,645  |
| Provision for impairment   | 6,000      | 20,316   | 12,466   |
| Early extinguishment of debt   | 32         | 852      | —        |
| Net investment (income) loss from deferred compensation plan, including unrealized (gains) losses of \$(2,231), \$(888), and \$567 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively (note 13) | (3,257)    | (2,057)  | 206      |
| Total other expense (income)   | 111,741    | 131,240  | 136,317  |
| Income before equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships  | 52,579     | 35,196   | 30,156   |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)   | 31,718     | 23,807   | 9,643    |
| Income from continuing operations before tax   | 84,297     | 59,003   | 39,799   |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary  | —          | 13,224   | 2,994    |
| Income from continuing operations  | 84,297     | 45,779   | 36,805   |
| Discontinued operations, net (note 3):   |            |          |          |
| Operating income (loss)  | 7,332      | (43,583) | 10,637   |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net  | 57,953     | 21,855   | 5,942    |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   | 65,285     | (21,728) | 16,579   |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate  | 149,582    | 24,051   | 53,384   |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 1,703      | 2,158    | 2,404    |
| Net income   | 151,285    | 26,209   | 55,788   |
| Noncontrolling interests:  |            |          |          |
| Preferred units  | —          | 629      | (3,725)  |
| Exchangeable operating partnership units   | (276)      | (106)    | (103)    |
| Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships   | (1,205)    | (865)    | (590)    |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests  | (1,481)    | (342)    | (4,418)  |
| Net income attributable to the Company   | 149,804    | 25,867   | 51,370   |
| Preferred stock dividends  | (21,062)   | (32,531) | (19,675) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders  | \$ 128,742 | (6,664)  | 31,695   |
| Income (loss) per common share - basic (note 14):  |            |          |          |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16     | 0.16     |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |         |       |        |
|--|---------|-------|--------|
| Discontinued operations                                      | 0.71    | (0.24 | ) 0.19 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders        | \$ 1.40 | (0.08 | ) 0.35 |
| Income (loss) per common share - diluted (note 14):          |         |       |        |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69 | 0.16  | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations                                      | 0.71    | (0.24 | ) 0.19 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders        | \$ 1.40 | (0.08 | ) 0.35 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. |         |       |        |

68

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|   | 2013       | 2012   | 2011   |
|---|------------|--------|--------|
| Net income  | \$ 151,285 | 26,209 | 55,788 |
| Other comprehensive income:   |            |        |        |
| Loss on settlement of derivative instruments:   |            |        |        |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instruments   | —          | —      | —      |
| Amortization of loss on settlement of derivative instruments recognized in net income                       | 9,466      | 9,466  | 9,467  |
| Effective portion of change in fair value of derivative instruments:  |            |        |        |
| Effective portion of change in fair value of derivative instruments   | 30,985     | 4,220  | 11     |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for change in fair value of derivative instruments included in net income | (33        | ) 25   | 7      |
| Other comprehensive income  | 40,418     | 13,711 | 9,485  |
| Comprehensive income  | 191,703    | 39,920 | 65,273 |
| Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests:                                 |            |        |        |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests   | 1,481      | 342    | 4,418  |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                                  | 107        | (3     | ) 29   |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests   | 1,588      | 339    | 4,447  |
| Comprehensive income attributable to the Company  | \$ 190,115 | 39,581 | 60,826 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.  |            |        |        |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Equity

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands, except per share data)

|   | Preferred<br>Stock | Common<br>Stock | Treasury<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid In<br>Capital | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Distributions<br>in Excess<br>of<br>Net Income | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity | Preferred<br>Units | Operating<br>Partnership<br>Units | Noncontrolling<br>Interests<br>Limited<br>Partnerships | Total<br>Noncontrolling<br>Interests | Total<br>Equity |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at<br>December 31,<br>2010  | \$275,000          | 819             | (16,175)          | 2,039,612                        | (80,885)                                      | (533,194)                                      | 1,685,177                        | 49,158             | (762)                             | 10,829   | 59,225                               | 1,744,275       |
| Net income  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | 51,370   | 51,370                           | 3,725              | 103                               | 590  | 4,418                                | 55,696          |
| Other<br>comprehensive<br>income  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | 9,456   | —  | 9,456                            | —                  | 20                                | 9  | 29                                   | 9,485           |
| Deferred<br>compensation<br>plan, net   | —                  | —               | 978               | 16,865                           | —   | —  | 17,843                           | —                  | —                                 | —  | —                                    | 17,843          |
| Amortization<br>of restricted<br>stock issued   | —                  | —               | —                 | 10,659                           | —   | —  | 10,659                           | —                  | —                                 | —  | —                                    | 10,659          |
| Common stock<br>redeemed for<br>taxes withheld<br>for stock based<br>compensation,<br>net | —                  | —               | —                 | (1,689)                          | —   | —  | (1,689)                          | —                  | —                                 | —  | —                                    | (1,689)         |
| Common stock<br>issued for<br>dividend<br>reinvestment<br>plan                            | —                  | —               | —                 | 1,081                            | —   | —  | 1,081                            | —                  | —                                 | —  | —                                    | 1,081           |
| Common stock<br>issued for stock<br>offerings, net<br>of issuance<br>costs                | —                  | 80              | —                 | 215,289                          | —   | —  | 215,369                          | —                  | —                                 | —  | —                                    | 215,369         |
| Contributions<br>from partners  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | —  | —                                | —                  | —                                 | 2,787  | 2,787                                | 2,787           |
| Distributions to<br>partners  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | —  | —                                | —                  | —                                 | (1,111)  | (1,111)                              | (1,111)         |
| Cash dividends<br>declared:<br>Preferred<br>stock/unit                                    | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | (19,675)                                       | (19,675)                         | (3,725)            | —                                 | —  | (3,725)                              | (23,400)        |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |           |     |          |           |          |           |            |          |       |        |          |      |
|--|-----------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|--------|----------|------|
| Common stock/unit (\$1.85 per share)                                       | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | (161,236) | (161,236 ) | —        | (324) | —      | (324 )   | (16  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011   | \$275,000 | 899 | (15,197) | 2,281,817 | (71,429) | (662,735) | 1,808,355  | 49,158   | (963) | 13,104 | 61,299   | 1,8  |
| Net income   | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | 25,867    | 25,867     | (629 )   | 106   | 865    | 342      | 26,  |
| Other comprehensive income   | —         | —   | —        | —         | 13,714   | —         | 13,714     | —        | 28    | (31 )  | (3 )     | 13,  |
| Deferred compensation plan, net  | —         | —   | 273      | (261 )    | —        | —         | 12         | —        | —     | —      | —        | 12   |
| Amortization of restricted stock issued                                    | —         | —   | —        | 11,526    | —        | —         | 11,526     | —        | —     | —      | —        | 11,  |
| Common stock redeemed for taxes withheld for stock based compensation, net | —         | —   | —        | (1,474 )  | —        | —         | (1,474 )   | —        | —     | —      | —        | (1,4 |
| Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment plan                         | —         | —   | —        | 988       | —        | —         | 988        | —        | —     | —      | —        | 988  |
| Common stock issued for stock offerings, net of issuance costs             | —         | 5   | —        | 21,537    | —        | —         | 21,542     | —        | —     | —      | —        | 21,  |
| Redemption of preferred units  | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | —         | —          | (48,125) | —     | —      | (48,125) | (48  |
| Issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs                         | 325,000   | —   | —        | (11,100 ) | —        | —         | 313,900    | —        | —     | —      | —        | 313  |
| Redemption of preferred stock  | (275,000) | —   | —        | 9,277     | —        | (9,277 )  | (275,000 ) | —        | —     | —      | —        | (27  |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Equity

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Preferred<br>Stock | Common<br>Stock | Treasury<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid In<br>Capital | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Distributions<br>in Excess<br>of<br>Comprehensive<br>Net Income | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity | Noncontrolling<br>Preferred<br>Units | Exchange<br>Operative<br>Partnership<br>Units | Limited<br>Partners'<br>Interest<br>Consolidated<br>Partnerships | Total<br>Noncontrolling<br>Interests | Total<br>Equity |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Contributions from partners  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | —   | —                                | —                                    | —   | 3,362  | 3,362                                | 3,362           |
| Distributions to partners  | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | —   | —                                | —                                    | —   | (1,001)  | (1,001)                              | (1,001)         |
| Cash dividends declared:   |                    |                 |                   |                                  |   |   |                                  |                                      |   |  |                                      |                 |
| Preferred stock/unit   | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | (23,254)  | (23,254)                         | (404)                                | —   | —  | (404)                                | (23,658)        |
| Common stock/unit (\$1.85 per share)                                       | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | (165,411)   | (165,411)                        | —                                    | (324)   | —  | (324)                                | (165,735)       |
| Balance at December 31, 2012   | \$325,000          | 904             | (14,924)          | 2,312,310                        | (57,715)                                      | (834,810)   | 1,730,765                        | —                                    | (1,153)                                       | 16,299   | 15,146                               | 1,745,911       |
| Net income   | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | —   | 149,804   | 149,804                          | —                                    | 276   | 1,205  | 1,481                                | 151,285         |
| Other comprehensive income   | —                  | —               | —                 | —                                | 40,311  | —   | 40,311                           | —                                    | 75  | 32   | 107                                  | 40,418          |
| Deferred compensation plan, net  | —                  | —               | (1,802)           | 1,802                            | —   | —   | —                                | —                                    | —   | —  | —                                    | —               |
| Amortization of restricted stock issued                                    | —                  | —               | —                 | 14,141                           | —   | —   | 14,141                           | —                                    | —   | —  | —                                    | 14,141          |
| Common stock redeemed for taxes withheld for stock based compensation, net | —                  | —               | —                 | (2,887)                          | —   | —   | (2,887)                          | —                                    | —   | —  | —                                    | (2,887)         |
| Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment plan                         | —                  | —               | —                 | 1,075                            | —   | —   | 1,075                            | —                                    | —   | —  | —                                    | 1,075           |
| Common stock issued for stock  | —                  | 19              | —                 | 99,734                           | —   | —   | 99,753                           | —                                    | —   | —  | —                                    | 99,753          |

|   |           |     |          |           |          |            |            |   |         |          |          |            |
|---|-----------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|---|---------|----------|----------|------------|
| offerings, net<br>of issuance<br>costs                          |           |     |          |           |          |            |            |   |         |          |          |            |
| Common stock<br>issued for<br>partnership<br>units<br>exchanged | —         | —   | —        | 302       | —        | —          | 302        | — | (302 )  | —        | (302 )   | —          |
| Contributions<br>from partners                                  | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | —          | —          | — | —       | 5,792    | 5,792    | 5,792      |
| Distributions to<br>partners                                    | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | —          | —          | — | —       | (4,122 ) | (4,122 ) | (4,122 )   |
| Cash dividends<br>declared:                                     |           |     |          |           |          |            |            |   |         |          |          |            |
| Preferred<br>stock/unit   | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | (21,062 )  | (21,062 )  | — | —       | —        | —        | (21,062 )  |
| Common<br>stock/unit<br>(\$1.85 per<br>share)                   | —         | —   | —        | —         | —        | (168,848 ) | (168,848 ) | — | (322 )  | —        | (322 )   | (169,170 ) |
| Balance at<br>December 31,<br>2013                              | \$325,000 | 923 | (16,726) | 2,426,477 | (17,404) | (874,916)  | 1,843,354  | — | (1,426) | 19,206   | 17,780   | 1,861,100  |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|   | 2013       | 2012      | 2011      |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flows from operating activities:   |            |           |           |
| Net income  | \$ 151,285 | 26,209    | 55,788    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:   |            |           |           |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 134,454    | 127,839   | 133,756   |
| Amortization of deferred loan cost and debt premium                                 | 12,339     | 12,759    | 12,327    |
| Amortization and (accretion) of above and below market lease intangibles, net       | (2,488)    | (1,043)   | (931)     |
| Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization                                     | 12,191     | 9,806     | 9,824     |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)                | (31,718)   | (23,807)  | (9,643)   |
| Net gain on sale of properties  | (59,656)   | (24,013)  | (8,346)   |
| Provision for impairment  | 6,000      | 74,816    | 15,883    |
| Early extinguishment of debt  | 32         | 852       | —         |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) of taxable REIT subsidiary                    | —          | 13,727    | 2,422     |
| Distribution of earnings from operations of investments in real estate partnerships | 45,377     | 44,809    | 43,361    |
| (Gain) loss on derivative instruments   | (19)       | (22)      | 54        |
| Deferred compensation expense (income)  | 3,294      | 2,069     | (2,136)   |
| Realized and unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities held in trust (note 13)   | (3,293)    | (2,095)   | 184       |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |            |           |           |
| Restricted cash   | (62)       | (423)     | (651)     |
| Accounts receivable   | (5,042)    | 6,157     | (3,108)   |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net  | (5,459)    | (6,059)   | (4,642)   |
| Deferred leasing costs  | (10,086)   | (12,642)  | (15,013)  |
| Other assets (note 9)   | (1,866)    | (1,079)   | (3,393)   |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities (note 9 and 13)                              | (672)      | 10,994    | (17,892)  |
| Tenants' security and escrow deposits and prepaid rent                              | 6,120      | (1,639)   | 9,789     |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 250,731    | 257,215   | 217,633   |
| Cash flows from investing activities:   |            |           |           |
| Acquisition of operating real estate  | (107,790)  | (156,026) | (70,629)  |
| Real estate development and capital improvements                                    | (213,282)  | (164,588) | (82,069)  |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate investments                                       | 212,632    | 352,707   | 86,233    |
| Collection (issuance) of notes receivable   | 27,354     | (552)     | (78)      |
| Investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)                                    | (10,883)   | (66,663)  | (198,688) |
| Distributions received from investments in real estate partnerships                 | 87,111     | 38,353    | 188,514   |
| Dividends on trading securities held in trust                                       | 194        | 245       | 225       |
| Acquisition of securities   | (19,144)   | (17,930)  | (19,377)  |
| Proceeds from sale securities   | 13,991     | 18,077    | 18,146    |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                                 | (9,817)    | 3,623     | (77,723)  |
| Cash flows from financing activities:   |            |           |           |
| Net proceeds from common stock issuance   | 99,753     | 21,542    | 215,369   |
| Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock                                       | —          | 313,900   | —         |
| Proceeds from sale of treasury stock  | 34         | 338       | 2,128     |
| Acquisition of treasury stock   | —          | (4)       | (13)      |
| Redemption of preferred stock and partnership units                                 | —          | (323,125) | —         |



Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |            |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Contributions from (distributions to) limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net | 1,514      | 1,375      | (735 )     |
| Distributions to exchangeable operating partnership unit holders                         | (322 )     | (324 )     | (324 )     |
| Distributions to preferred unit holders  | —          | (404 )     | (3,725 )   |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders  | (167,773 ) | (164,423 ) | (160,155 ) |
| Dividends paid to preferred stockholders   | (21,062 )  | (23,254 )  | (19,675 )  |
| Repayment of fixed rate unsecured notes  | —          | (192,377 ) | (181,691 ) |
| Proceeds from unsecured credit facilities  | 82,000     | 750,000    | 455,000    |
| Repayment of unsecured credit facilities   | (177,000 ) | (620,000 ) | (425,000 ) |
| Proceeds from notes payable  | 36,350     | —          | 1,940      |
| Repayment of notes payable   | (27,960 )  | (1,332 )   | (16,919 )  |
| Scheduled principal payments   | (7,530 )   | (7,259 )   | (5,699 )   |
| Payment of loan costs  | (583 )     | (4,544 )   | (6,070 )   |
| Net cash used in financing activities  | (182,579 ) | (249,891 ) | (145,569 ) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                                     | 58,335     | 10,947     | (5,659 )   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year                                       | 22,349     | 11,402     | 17,061     |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year   | \$ 80,684  | 22,349     | 11,402     |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|   | 2013       | 2012    | 2011    |
|---|------------|---------|---------|
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:   |            |         |         |
| Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$6,078, \$3,686, and \$1,480 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively) | \$ 107,312 | 115,879 | 128,649 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:   |            |         |         |
| Common stock issued for partnership units exchanged   | \$ 302     | —       | —       |
| Real estate received through distribution in kind   | \$ 7,576   | —       | 47,512  |
| Mortgage loans assumed through distribution in kind   | \$ 7,500   | —       | 28,760  |
| Mortgage loans assumed for the acquisition of real estate   | \$ —       | 30,467  | 31,292  |
| Real estate contributed for investments in real estate partnerships   | \$ —       | 47,500  | —       |
| Real estate received through foreclosure on notes receivable  | \$ —       | 12,585  | —       |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments  | \$ 30,952  | (4,285  | ) 18    |
| Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment plan  | \$ 1,075   | 988     | 1,081   |
| Stock-based compensation capitalized  | \$ 2,188   | 1,979   | 1,104   |
| Contributions from limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net   | \$ 156     | 986     | 2,411   |
| Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment in trust  | \$ 660     | 440     | 631     |
| Contribution of stock awards into trust   | \$ 1,537   | 819     | 1,132   |
| Distribution of stock held in trust   | \$ 201     | 1,191   | —       |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.  |            |         |         |

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
 Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 December 31, 2013 and 2012  
 (in thousands, except unit data)

|   | 2013         | 2012      |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| Assets  |              |           |
| Real estate investments at cost (notes 2 and 3):  |              |           |
| Land  | \$ 1,249,779 | 1,215,659 |
| Buildings and improvements  | 2,590,302    | 2,502,186 |
| Properties in development   | 186,450      | 192,067   |
|   | 4,026,531    | 3,909,912 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation  | 844,873      | 782,749   |
|   | 3,181,658    | 3,127,163 |
| Investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)  | 358,849      | 442,927   |
| Net real estate investments   | 3,540,507    | 3,570,090 |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 80,684       | 22,349    |
| Restricted cash   | 9,520        | 6,472     |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3,922 and \$3,915 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 26,319       | 26,601    |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net of reserve of \$547 and \$870 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 50,612       | 49,990    |
| Notes receivable (note 5)   | 11,960       | 23,751    |
| Deferred costs, less accumulated amortization of \$73,231 and \$69,224 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 69,963       | 69,506    |
| Acquired lease intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$25,591 and \$19,148 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (note 6)   | 44,805       | 42,459    |
| Trading securities held in trust, at fair value (note 13)   | 26,681       | 23,429    |
| Other assets (note 9)   | 52,465       | 18,811    |
| Total assets  | \$ 3,913,516 | 3,853,458 |
| Liabilities and Capital   |              |           |
| Liabilities:  |              |           |
| Notes payable (note 8)  | \$ 1,779,697 | 1,771,891 |
| Unsecured credit facilities (note 8)  | 75,000       | 170,000   |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities (note 9 and 13)  | 147,045      | 127,185   |
| Acquired lease intangible liabilities, less accumulated accretion of \$10,102 and \$6,636 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (note 6)  | 26,729       | 20,325    |
| Tenants' security and escrow deposits and prepaid rent  | 23,911       | 18,146    |
| Total liabilities   | 2,052,382    | 2,107,547 |
| Commitments and contingencies (notes 15 and 16)   | —            | —         |
| Capital:  |              |           |
| Partners' capital (notes 11 and 12):  |              |           |
| Preferred units of general partner, \$0.01 par value per unit, 13,000,000 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, liquidation preference of \$25 per unit | 325,000      | 325,000   |
| General partner; 92,333,161 and 90,394,486 units outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively  | 1,535,758    | 1,463,480 |
| Limited partners; 165,796 and 177,164 units outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively   | (1,426 )     | (1,153 )  |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |              |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss                         | (17,404 )    | (57,715 ) |
| Total partners' capital                                      | 1,841,928    | 1,729,612 |
| Noncontrolling interests (note 11):                          |              |           |
| Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships     | 19,206       | 16,299    |
| Total noncontrolling interests                               | 19,206       | 16,299    |
| Total capital  | 1,861,134    | 1,745,911 |
| Total liabilities and capital                                | \$ 3,913,516 | 3,853,458 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. |              |           |

74

---

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands, except per unit data)

|  | 2013       | 2012     | 2011     |
|--|------------|----------|----------|
| Revenues:  |            |          |          |
| Minimum rent   | \$ 353,833 | 340,940  | 332,027  |
| Percentage rent  | 3,583      | 3,323    | 2,989    |
| Recoveries from tenants and other income   | 106,494    | 103,155  | 101,453  |
| Management, transaction, and other fees  | 25,097     | 26,511   | 33,980   |
| Total revenues   | 489,007    | 473,929  | 470,449  |
| Operating expenses:  |            |          |          |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 130,630    | 119,008  | 120,803  |
| Operating and maintenance  | 71,018     | 66,687   | 68,501   |
| General and administrative   | 61,234     | 61,700   | 56,117   |
| Real estate taxes  | 53,726     | 52,911   | 52,039   |
| Other expenses   | 8,079      | 7,187    | 6,516    |
| Total operating expenses   | 324,687    | 307,493  | 303,976  |
| Other expense (income):  |            |          |          |
| Interest expense, net of interest income of \$1,643, \$1,675, and \$2,442 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively (note 9)   | 108,966    | 112,129  | 123,645  |
| Provision for impairment   | 6,000      | 20,316   | 12,466   |
| Early extinguishment of debt   | 32         | 852      | —        |
| Net investment (income) loss from deferred compensation plan, including unrealized (gains) losses of \$(2,231), \$(888), and \$567 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively (note 13) | (3,257)    | (2,057)  | 206      |
| Total other expense (income)   | 111,741    | 131,240  | 136,317  |
| Income before equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships  | 52,579     | 35,196   | 30,156   |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)   | 31,718     | 23,807   | 9,643    |
| Income from continuing operations before tax   | 84,297     | 59,003   | 39,799   |
| Income tax expense of taxable REIT subsidiary  | —          | 13,224   | 2,994    |
| Income from continuing operations  | 84,297     | 45,779   | 36,805   |
| Discontinued operations, net (note 3):   |            |          |          |
| Operating income (loss)  | 7,332      | (43,583) | 10,637   |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net  | 57,953     | 21,855   | 5,942    |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   | 65,285     | (21,728) | 16,579   |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate  | 149,582    | 24,051   | 53,384   |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 1,703      | 2,158    | 2,404    |
| Net income   | 151,285    | 26,209   | 55,788   |
| Noncontrolling interests:  |            |          |          |
| Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships   | (1,205)    | (865)    | (590)    |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests  | (1,205)    | (865)    | (590)    |
| Net income attributable to the Partnership   | 150,080    | 25,344   | 55,198   |
| Preferred unit distributions   | (21,062)   | (31,902) | (23,400) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders  | \$ 129,018 | (6,558)  | 31,798   |
| Income (loss) per common unit - basic (note 14):   |            |          |          |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16     | 0.16     |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.71       | (0.24)   | 0.19     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders  | \$ 1.40    | (0.08)   | 0.35     |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

Income (loss) per common unit - diluted (note 14):

|   |         |       |        |
|---|---------|-------|--------|
| Continuing operations                                 | \$ 0.69 | 0.16  | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations                               | 0.71    | (0.24 | ) 0.19 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders | \$ 1.40 | (0.08 | ) 0.35 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

75

---

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|   | 2013       | 2012   | 2011   |
|---|------------|--------|--------|
| Net income  | \$ 151,285 | 26,209 | 55,788 |
| Other comprehensive income:   |            |        |        |
| Loss on settlement of derivative instruments:   |            |        |        |
| Unrealized loss on derivative instruments   | —          | —      | —      |
| Amortization of loss on settlement of derivative instruments recognized in net income                       | 9,466      | 9,466  | 9,467  |
| Effective portion of change in fair value of derivative instruments:  |            |        |        |
| Effective portion of change in fair value of derivative instruments   | 30,985     | 4,220  | 11     |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for change in fair value of derivative instruments included in net income | (33        | ) 25   | 7      |
| Other comprehensive income  | 40,418     | 13,711 | 9,485  |
| Comprehensive income  | 191,703    | 39,920 | 65,273 |
| Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests:                                 |            |        |        |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests   | 1,205      | 865    | 590    |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                                  | 32         | (31    | ) 9    |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests   | 1,237      | 834    | 599    |
| Comprehensive income attributable to the Partnership  | \$ 190,466 | 39,086 | 64,674 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.  |            |        |        |

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Capital

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|  | Preferred<br>Units | General Partner<br>Preferred and<br>Common<br>Units | Limited<br>Partners | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Total<br>Partners'<br>Capital | Noncontrolling<br>Interests in<br>Limited Partners<br>Interest in<br>Consolidated<br>Partnerships | Total<br>Capital |
|--|--------------------|---|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010   | \$49,158           | 1,766,062   | (762 )              | (80,885 )                                     | 1,733,573                     | 10,829  | 1,744,402        |
| Net income   | 3,725              | 51,370  | 103                 | —   | 55,198                        | 590   | 55,788           |
| Other comprehensive income   | —                  | —   | 20                  | 9,456   | 9,476                         | 9   | 9,485            |
| Deferred compensation plan,<br>net   | —                  | 17,843  | —                   | —   | 17,843                        | —   | 17,843           |
| Contributions from partners  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | 2,787   | 2,787            |
| Distributions to partners  | —                  | (161,236 )  | (324 )              | —   | (161,560 )                    | (1,111 )  | (162,671 )       |
| Preferred unit distributions   | (3,725 )           | (19,675 )   | —                   | —   | (23,400 )                     | —   | (23,400 )        |
| Restricted units issued as a<br>result of amortization of<br>restricted stock issued by<br>Parent Company      | —                  | 10,659  | —                   | —   | 10,659                        | —   | 10,659           |
| Common units issued as a<br>result of common stock issued<br>by Parent Company, net of<br>repurchases          | —                  | 214,761   | —                   | —   | 214,761                       | —   | 214,761          |
| Balance at December 31, 2011   | \$49,158           | 1,879,784   | (963 )              | (71,429 )                                     | 1,856,550                     | 13,104  | 1,869,654        |
| Net income   | (629 )             | 25,867  | 106                 | —   | 25,344                        | 865   | 26,209           |
| Other comprehensive income   | —                  | —   | 28                  | 13,714  | 13,742                        | (31 )   | 13,711           |
| Deferred compensation plan,<br>net   | —                  | 12  | —                   | —   | 12                            | —   | 12               |
| Contributions from partners  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | 3,362   | 3,362            |
| Distributions to partners  | —                  | (165,411 )  | (324 )              | —   | (165,735 )                    | (1,001 )  | (166,736 )       |
| Redemption of preferred units  | (48,125 )          | —   | —                   | —   | (48,125 )                     | —   | (48,125 )        |
| Preferred unit distributions   | (404 )             | (23,254 )   | —                   | —   | (23,658 )                     | —   | (23,658 )        |
| Restricted units issued as a<br>result of amortization of<br>restricted stock issued by<br>Parent Company      | —                  | 11,526  | —                   | —   | 11,526                        | —   | 11,526           |
| Preferred units issued as a<br>result of preferred stock issued<br>by Parent Company, net of<br>issuance costs | —                  | 313,900   | —                   | —   | 313,900                       | —   | 313,900          |
| Preferred stock redemptions  | —                  | (275,000 )  | —                   | —   | (275,000 )                    | —   | (275,000 )       |
| Common units issued as a<br>result of common stock issued<br>by Parent Company, net of<br>repurchases          | —                  | 21,056  | —                   | —   | 21,056                        | —   | 21,056           |



|                                  |           |         |           |           |        |           |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2012 \$— | 1,788,480 | (1,153) | (57,715 ) | 1,729,612 | 16,299 | 1,745,911 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Capital

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|  | Preferred<br>Units | General Partner<br>Preferred and<br>Common<br>Units | Limited<br>Partners | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Total<br>Partners'<br>Capital | Noncontrolling<br>Interests in<br>Limited Partners<br>Interest in<br>Consolidated<br>Partnerships | Total<br>Capital |
|--|--------------------|---|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Net income   | —                  | 149,804   | 276                 | —   | 150,080                       | 1,205   | 151,285          |
| Other comprehensive income   | —                  | —   | 75                  | 40,311  | 40,386                        | 32  | 40,418           |
| Deferred compensation plan, net  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | —   | —                |
| Contributions from partners  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | 5,792   | 5,792            |
| Distributions to partners  | —                  | (168,848 )  | (322 )              | —   | (169,170 )                    | (4,122 )  | (173,292 )       |
| Redemption of preferred units  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | —   | —                |
| Preferred unit distributions   | —                  | (21,062 )   | —                   | —   | (21,062 )                     | —   | (21,062 )        |
| Restricted units issued as a<br>result of amortization of<br>restricted stock issued by Parent<br>Company      | —                  | 14,141  | —                   | —   | 14,141                        | —   | 14,141           |
| Preferred units issued as a result<br>of preferred stock issued by<br>Parent Company, net of issuance<br>costs | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | —   | —                |
| Preferred stock redemptions  | —                  | —   | —                   | —   | —                             | —   | —                |
| Common units issued as a result<br>of common stock issued by<br>Parent Company, net of<br>repurchases          | —                  | 97,941  | —                   | —   | 97,941                        | —   | 97,941           |
| Common units exchanged for<br>common stock of Regency  | —                  | 302   | (302 )              | —   | —                             | —   | —                |
| Balance at December 31, 2013   | \$—                | 1,860,758   | (1,426 )            | (17,404 )                                     | 1,841,928                     | 19,206  | 1,861,134        |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|  | 2013       | 2012       | 2011       |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |            |            |            |
| Net income   | \$ 151,285 | 26,209     | 55,788     |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:                |            |            |            |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 134,454    | 127,839    | 133,756    |
| Amortization of deferred loan cost and debt premium  | 12,339     | 12,759     | 12,327     |
| Amortization and (accretion) of above and below market lease intangibles, net                    | (2,488 )   | (1,043 )   | (931 )     |
| Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization  | 12,191     | 9,806      | 9,824      |
| Equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)                             | (31,718 )  | (23,807 )  | (9,643 )   |
| Net gain on sale of properties   | (59,656 )  | (24,013 )  | (8,346 )   |
| Provision for impairment   | 6,000      | 74,816     | 15,883     |
| Early extinguishment of debt   | 32         | 852        | —          |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) of taxable REIT subsidiary                                 | —          | 13,727     | 2,422      |
| Distribution of earnings from operations of investments in real estate partnerships              | 45,377     | 44,809     | 43,361     |
| Settlement of derivative instruments   | —          | —          | —          |
| (Gain) loss on derivative instruments  | (19 )      | (22 )      | 54         |
| Deferred compensation expense (income)   | 3,294      | 2,069      | (2,136 )   |
| Realized and unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities held in trust (note 13)                | (3,293 )   | (2,095 )   | 184        |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:   |            |            |            |
| Restricted cash  | (62 )      | (423 )     | (651 )     |
| Accounts receivable  | (5,042 )   | 6,157      | (3,108 )   |
| Straight-line rent receivable, net   | (5,459 )   | (6,059 )   | (4,642 )   |
| Deferred leasing costs   | (10,086 )  | (12,642 )  | (15,013 )  |
| Other assets (note 9)  | (1,866 )   | (1,079 )   | (3,393 )   |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities (note 9 and 13)   | (672 )     | 10,994     | (17,892 )  |
| Tenants' security and escrow deposits and prepaid rent   | 6,120      | (1,639 )   | 9,789      |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 250,731    | 257,215    | 217,633    |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |            |            |            |
| Acquisition of operating real estate   | (107,790 ) | (156,026 ) | (70,629 )  |
| Real estate development and capital improvements   | (213,282 ) | (164,588 ) | (82,069 )  |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate investments  | 212,632    | 352,707    | 86,233     |
| Collection (issuance) of notes receivable  | 27,354     | (552 )     | (78 )      |
| Investments in real estate partnerships (note 4)   | (10,883 )  | (66,663 )  | (198,688 ) |
| Distributions received from investments in real estate partnerships                              | 87,111     | 38,353     | 188,514    |
| Dividends on trading securities held in trust  | 194        | 245        | 225        |
| Acquisition of securities  | (19,144 )  | (17,930 )  | (19,377 )  |
| Proceeds from sale securities  | 13,991     | 18,077     | 18,146     |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities  | (9,817 )   | 3,623      | (77,723 )  |
| Cash flows from financing activities:  |            |            |            |
| Net proceeds from common units issued as a result of common stock issued by Parent Company       | 99,753     | 21,542     | 215,369    |
| Net proceeds from preferred units issued as a result of preferred stock issued by Parent Company | —          | 313,900    | —          |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |           |            |            |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| Proceeds from sale of treasury stock   | 34        | 338        | 2,128      |
| Acquisition of treasury stock  | —         | (4         | ) (13      |
| Redemption of preferred partnership units  | —         | (323,125   | ) —        |
| Contributions from (distributions to) limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net | 1,514     | 1,375      | (735       |
| Distributions to partners  | (168,095  | ) (164,747 | ) (160,479 |
| Distributions to preferred unit holders  | (21,062   | ) (23,658  | ) (23,400  |
| Repayment of fixed rate unsecured notes  | —         | (192,377   | ) (181,691 |
| Proceeds from unsecured credit facilities  | 82,000    | 750,000    | 455,000    |
| Repayment of unsecured credit facilities   | (177,000  | ) (620,000 | ) (425,000 |
| Proceeds from notes payable  | 36,350    | —          | 1,940      |
| Repayment of notes payable   | (27,960   | ) (1,332   | ) (16,919  |
| Scheduled principal payments   | (7,530    | ) (7,259   | ) (5,699   |
| Payment of loan costs  | (583      | ) (4,544   | ) (6,070   |
| Net cash used in financing activities  | (182,579  | ) (249,891 | ) (145,569 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                                     | 58,335    | 10,947     | (5,659     |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year                                       | 22,349    | 11,402     | 17,061     |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year   | \$ 80,684 | 22,349     | 11,402     |

## REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011

(in thousands)

|   | 2013       | 2012    | 2011    |
|---|------------|---------|---------|
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:   |            |         |         |
| Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$6,078, \$3,686, and \$1,480 in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively) | \$ 107,312 | 115,879 | 128,649 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:   |            |         |         |
| Common stock issued by Parent Company for partnership units exchanged   | \$ 302     | —       | —       |
| Real estate received through distribution in kind   | \$ 7,576   | —       | 47,512  |
| Mortgage loans assumed through distribution in kind   | \$ 7,500   | —       | 28,760  |
| Mortgage loans assumed for the acquisition of real estate   | \$ —       | 30,467  | 31,292  |
| Real estate contributed for investments in real estate partnerships   | \$ —       | 47,500  | —       |
| Real estate received through foreclosure on notes receivable  | \$ —       | 12,585  | —       |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments  | \$ 30,952  | (4,285  | ) 18    |
| Common stock issued by Parent Company for dividend reinvestment plan  | \$ 1,075   | 988     | 1,081   |
| Stock-based compensation capitalized  | \$ 2,188   | 1,979   | 1,104   |
| Contributions from limited partners in consolidated partnerships, net   | \$ 156     | 986     | 2,411   |
| Common stock issued for dividend reinvestment in trust  | \$ 660     | 440     | 631     |
| Contribution of stock awards into trust   | \$ 1,537   | 819     | 1,132   |
| Distribution of stock held in trust   | \$ 201     | 1,191   | —       |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.  |            |         |         |

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Organization and Principles of Consolidation

General

Regency Centers Corporation (the "Parent Company") began its operations as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") in 1993 and is the general partner of Regency Centers, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"). The Parent Company engages in the ownership, management, leasing, acquisition, and development of retail shopping centers through the Operating Partnership, and has no other assets or liabilities other than through its investment in the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2013, the Parent Company, the Operating Partnership, and their controlled subsidiaries on a consolidated basis (the "Company" or "Regency") directly owned 202 retail shopping centers and held partial interests in an additional 126 retail shopping centers through investments in real estate partnerships (also referred to as "joint ventures" or "co-investment partnerships").

Estimates, Risks, and Uncertainties

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates in the Company's financial statements relate to the carrying values of its investments in real estate, including its shopping centers, properties in development, and its investments in real estate partnerships, and accounts receivable, net. Although the U.S. economy is recovering, economic conditions remain challenging, and therefore, it is possible that the estimates and assumptions that have been utilized in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements could change significantly, if economic conditions were to weaken.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent Company, the Operating Partnership, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and consolidated partnerships in which the Company has a controlling interest. Investments in real estate partnerships not controlled by the Company are accounted for under the equity method. All significant inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Ownership of the Parent Company

The Parent Company has a single class of common stock outstanding and two series of preferred stock outstanding ("Series 6 and 7 Preferred Stock"). The dividends on the Series 6 and 7 Preferred Stock are cumulative and payable in arrears on the last day of each calendar quarter.

Ownership of the Operating Partnership

The Operating Partnership's capital includes general and limited common Partnership Units. As of December 31, 2013, the Parent Company owned approximately 99.8% or 92,333,161 of the 92,498,957 outstanding common

Partnership Units of the Operating Partnership. Net income and distributions of the Operating Partnership are allocable to the general and limited common Partnership Units in accordance with their ownership percentages.

#### Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

Investments in real estate partnerships not controlled by the Company are accounted for under the equity method. The accounting policies of the real estate partnerships are similar to the Company's accounting policies. Income or loss from these real estate partnerships, which includes all operating results (including impairment losses) and gains on sales of properties within the joint ventures, is allocated to the Company in

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

accordance with the respective partnership agreements. Such allocations of net income or loss are recorded in equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The net difference in the carrying amount of investments in real estate partnerships and the underlying equity in net assets is either accreted to income and recorded in equity in income (loss) of investments in real estate partnerships in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations over the expected useful lives of the properties and other intangible assets, which range in lives from 10 to 40 years, or recognized at liquidation if the joint venture agreement includes a unilateral right to elect to dissolve the real estate partnership and, upon such an election, receive a distribution in-kind, as discussed further below.

Cash distributions of earnings from operations from investments in real estate partnerships are presented in cash flows provided by operating activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash distributions from the sale of a property or loan proceeds received from the placement of debt on a property included in investments in real estate partnerships are presented in cash flows provided by investing activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The Company evaluates the structure and the substance of its investments in the real estate partnerships to determine if they are variable interest entities. The Company has concluded that these partnership investments are not variable interest entities. Further, the joint venture partners in the real estate partnerships have significant ownership rights, including approval over operating budgets and strategic plans, capital spending, sale or financing, and admission of new partners. Upon formation of the joint ventures, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, also became the managing member, responsible for the day-to-day operations of the real estate partnerships. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810, the Company evaluated its investment in each real estate partnership and concluded that the other partners have kick-out rights and/or substantive participating rights and, therefore, the Company has concluded that the equity method of accounting is appropriate for these investments and they do not require consolidation. Under the equity method of accounting, investments in real estate partnerships are initially recorded at cost, subsequently increased for additional contributions and allocations of income, and reduced for distributions received and allocations of loss. These investments are included in the consolidated financial statements as investments in real estate partnerships.

#### Noncontrolling Interests

The Company consolidates all entities in which it has a controlling ownership interest. A controlling ownership interest is typically attributable to the entity with a majority voting interest. Noncontrolling interest is the portion of equity, in a subsidiary or consolidated entity, not attributable, directly or indirectly to the Company. Such noncontrolling interests are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets within equity or capital, but separately from stockholders' equity or partners' capital. On the Consolidated Statements of Operations, all of the revenues and expenses from less-than-wholly-owned consolidated subsidiaries are reported in net income (loss), including both the amounts attributable to the Company and noncontrolling interests. The amounts of consolidated net income (loss) attributable to the Company and to the noncontrolling interests are clearly identified on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

#### Noncontrolling Interests of the Parent Company

The consolidated financial statements of the Parent Company include the following ownership interests held by owners other than the preferred and common stockholders of the Parent Company: (i) the limited Partnership Units in the Operating Partnership held by third parties (“Exchangeable operating partnership units”) and (ii) the minority-owned



interest held by third parties in consolidated partnerships (“Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships”). The Parent Company has included all of these noncontrolling interests in permanent equity, separate from the Parent Company's stockholders' equity, in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss). The portion of net income (loss) or comprehensive income (loss) attributable to these noncontrolling interests is included in net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) of the Parent Company.

In accordance with the FASB ASC Topic 480, securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets at the option of the holder, not solely within the control of the issuer, are classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests outside of permanent equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Parent Company has evaluated

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

the conditions as specified under the FASB ASC Topic 480 as it relates to exchangeable operating partnership units outstanding and concluded that it has the right to satisfy the redemption requirements of the units by delivering unregistered common stock. Each outstanding exchangeable operating partnership unit is exchangeable for one share of common stock of the Parent Company, and the unit holder cannot require redemption in cash or other assets. Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships are not redeemable by the holders. The Parent Company also evaluated its fiduciary duties to itself, its shareholders, and, as the managing general partner of the Operating Partnership, to the Operating Partnership, and concluded its fiduciary duties are not in conflict with each other or the underlying agreements. Therefore, the Parent Company classifies such units and interests as permanent equity in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

## Noncontrolling Interests of the Operating Partnership

The Operating Partnership has determined that limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships are noncontrolling interests. The Operating Partnership has included these noncontrolling interests in permanent capital, separate from partners' capital, in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Capital and Comprehensive Income (Loss). The portion of net income (loss) or comprehensive income (loss) attributable to these noncontrolling interests is included in net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Consolidated Statements Comprehensive Income (Loss) of the Operating Partnership.

## (b) Revenues and Accounts Receivable

## Leasing Revenue and Receivables

The Company leases space to tenants under agreements with varying terms. Leases are accounted for as operating leases with minimum rent recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease regardless of when payments are due. The Company estimates the collectibility of the accounts receivable related to base rents, straight-line rents, expense reimbursements, and other revenue taking into consideration the Company's historical write-off experience, tenant credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and remaining lease terms.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company recorded the following provisions for doubtful accounts (in thousands):

|  | 2013     | 2012  | 2011  |
|--|----------|-------|-------|
| Gross provision for doubtful accounts      | \$ 1,841 | 3,006 | 3,166 |
| Amount included in discontinued operations | 53       | 58    | 354   |

The following table represents the components of accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

|                                       | 2013     | 2012     |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Tenant receivables                    | \$ 6,550 | 4,043    |
| CAM and tax reimbursements            | 16,280   | 17,891   |
| Other receivables                     | 7,411    | 8,582    |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (3,922   | ) (3,915 |

|                                |           |        |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$ 26,319 | 26,601 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|

Substantially all of the lease agreements with anchor tenants contain provisions that provide for additional rents based on tenants' sales volume ("percentage rent"). Percentage rents are recognized when the tenants achieve the specified targets as defined in their lease agreements. Substantially all lease agreements contain provisions for reimbursement of the tenants' share of real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance ("CAM") costs. Recovery of real estate taxes, insurance,

83

---

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

and CAM costs are recognized as the respective costs are incurred in accordance with the lease agreements.

As part of the leasing process, the Company may provide the lessee with an allowance for the construction of leasehold improvements. These leasehold improvements are capitalized and recorded as tenant improvements, and depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the remaining lease term. If the allowance represents a payment for a purpose other than funding leasehold improvements, or in the event the Company is not considered the owner of the improvements, the allowance is considered to be a lease incentive and is recognized over the lease term as a reduction of minimum rent. Factors considered during this evaluation include, among other things, who holds legal title to the improvements as well as other controlling rights provided by the lease agreement and provisions for substantiation of such costs (e.g. unilateral control of the tenant space during the build-out process). Determination of the appropriate accounting for the payment of a tenant allowance is made on a lease-by-lease basis, considering the facts and circumstances of the individual tenant lease. When the Company is the owner of the leasehold improvements, recognition of lease revenue commences when the lessee is given possession of the leased space upon completion of tenant improvements. However, when the leasehold improvements are owned by the tenant, the lease inception date is the date the tenant obtains possession of the leased space for purposes of constructing its leasehold improvements.

#### Real Estate Sales

Profits from sales of real estate are recognized under the full accrual method by the Company when: (i) a sale is consummated; (ii) the buyer's initial and continuing investment is adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay for the property; (iii) the Company's receivable, if applicable, is not subject to future subordination; (iv) the Company has transferred to the buyer the usual risks and rewards of ownership; and (v) the Company does not have substantial continuing involvement with the property.

The Company sells shopping centers to joint ventures in exchange for cash equal to the fair value of the ownership interest of its partners. The Company accounts for those sales as "partial sales" and recognizes gains on those partial sales in the period the properties were sold to the extent of the percentage interest sold, and in the case of certain real estate partnerships, applies a more restrictive method of recognizing gains, as discussed further below. The gains and operations associated with properties sold to these real estate partnerships are not classified as discontinued operations because the Company continues to partially own and manage these shopping centers.

As of December 31, 2013, five of the Company's joint ventures ("DIK-JV") give each partner the unilateral right to elect to dissolve the real estate partnership and, upon such an election, receive a distribution in-kind ("DIK") of the assets of the real estate partnership equal to their respective capital account, which could include properties the Company previously sold to the real estate partnership.

Because the contingency associated with the possibility of receiving a particular property back upon liquidation is not satisfied at the property level, but at the aggregate level, no deferred gain is recognized on property sold by the DIK-JV to a third party or received by the Company upon actual dissolution. Instead, the property received upon dissolution is recorded at the carrying value of the Company's investment in the DIK-JV on the date of dissolution.

#### Management Services

The Company is engaged under agreements with its joint venture partners to provide asset management, property management, leasing, investing, and financing services for such joint ventures' shopping centers. The fees are market-based, generally calculated as a percentage of either revenues earned or the estimated values of the properties managed or the proceeds received, and are recognized as services are rendered, when fees due are determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company also receives transaction fees, as contractually agreed upon with a joint venture, which include fees such as acquisition fees, disposition fees, “promotes”, or “earnouts”,

84

---

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 December 31, 2013

which are recognized as services are rendered, when fees due are determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

(c) Real Estate Investments

Capitalization and Depreciation

Maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the useful lives of the respective assets are recorded in operating and maintenance expense.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of approximately 40 years for buildings and improvements, the shorter of the useful life or the remaining lease term subject to a maximum of 10 years for tenant improvements, and three to seven years for furniture and equipment.

Development Costs

Land, buildings, and improvements are recorded at cost. All specifically identifiable costs related to development activities are capitalized into properties in development on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Properties in development are defined as properties that are in the construction or initial lease-up phase. Once a development property is substantially complete and held available for occupancy, costs are no longer capitalized. The capitalized costs include pre-development costs essential to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, and allocated direct employee costs incurred during the period of development. Interest costs are capitalized into each development project based upon applying the Company's weighted average borrowing rate to that portion of the actual development costs expended. The Company discontinues interest cost capitalization when the property is no longer being developed or is available for occupancy upon substantial completion of tenant improvements, but in no event would the Company capitalize interest on the project beyond 12 months after substantial completion of the building shell.

The following table represents the components of properties in development as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

|                                  | 2013       | 2012    |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Construction in process          | \$ 158,002 | 129,628 |
| Land held for future development | 24,953     | 58,914  |
| Pre-development costs            | 3,495      | 3,525   |
| Total properties in development  | \$ 186,450 | 192,067 |

Construction in process represents developments where the Company (i) has not yet incurred at least 90% of the expected costs to complete and is less than 95% leased, or (ii) percent leased is less than 90% and the project features less than one year of anchor tenant operations, or (iii) the anchor tenant has been open for less than two calendar years, or (iv) less than three years have passed since the start of construction. Land held for future development represents projects not in construction, but identified and available for future development when the market demand for a new shopping center exists.

Pre-development costs represent the costs the Company incurs prior to land acquisition including contract deposits, as well as legal, engineering, and other external professional fees related to evaluating the feasibility of developing a

shopping center. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had refundable deposits of approximately \$680,000 and \$2.3 million, respectively, included in pre-development costs. If the Company determines that the development of a particular shopping center is no longer probable, any related pre-development costs previously capitalized are immediately expensed in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company expensed pre-development costs of approximately \$528,000, \$1.5 million, and \$241,000, respectively, in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

### Acquisitions

The Company and the real estate partnerships account for business combinations using the acquisition method by recognizing and measuring the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at their acquisition date fair values. The Company expenses transaction costs associated with business combinations in the period incurred.

The Company's methodology includes estimating an "as-if vacant" fair value of the physical property, which includes land, building, and improvements. In addition, the Company determines the estimated fair value of identifiable intangible assets, considering the following categories: (i) value of in-place leases, and (ii) above and below-market value of in-place leases.

The value of in-place leases is estimated based on the value associated with the costs avoided in originating leases compared to the acquired in-place leases as well as the value associated with lost rental and recovery revenue during the assumed lease-up period. The value of in-place leases is recorded to amortization expense over the remaining initial term of the respective leases.

Above-market and below-market in-place lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for comparable in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The value of above-market leases is amortized as a reduction of minimum rent over the remaining terms of the respective leases and the value of below-market leases is accreted to minimum rent over the remaining terms of the respective leases, including below-market renewal options, if applicable. The Company does not assign value to customer relationship intangibles if it has pre-existing business relationships with the major retailers at the acquired property since they do not provide incremental value over the Company's existing relationships.

### Held for Sale

The Company classifies an operating property or a property in development as held-for-sale upon satisfaction of the following criteria: (i) management commits to a plan to sell a property (or group of properties), (ii) the property is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such properties, (iii) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the property have been initiated, (iv) the sale of the property is probable and transfer of the asset is expected to be completed within one year, (v) the property is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and (vi) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

The Company generally considers assets to be held for sale when the transaction has been approved by the appropriate level of management and there are no known significant contingencies relating to the sale such that the sale of the property within one year is considered probable. It is not unusual for real estate sales contracts to allow potential buyers a period of time to evaluate the property prior to formal acceptance of the contract. In addition, certain other



matters critical to the final sale, such as financing arrangements often remain pending even upon contract acceptance. As a result, properties under contract may not close within the expected time period, or may not close at all. The Company must make a determination as to the point in time that it is probable that a sale will be consummated. This generally occurs when a sales contract is executed with no contingencies and the prospective buyer has significant funds at risk to ensure performance.

Operating properties held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell. The recording of depreciation and amortization expense is suspended during the held-for-sale period. If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held-for-sale, the property is reclassified as held and used and is measured individually at the lower of its (i) carrying amount before the property was

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

classified as held-for-sale, adjusted for any depreciation and amortization expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used or (ii) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell. Any required adjustment to the carrying amount of the property reclassified as held and used is included in income from continuing operations in the period of the subsequent decision not to sell and the results of operations previously reported in discontinued operations are reclassified and included in income from continuing operations for all periods presented. The Company evaluated its property portfolio and did not identify any properties that would meet the above mentioned criteria for held-for-sale as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### Discontinued Operations

When the Company sells a property or classifies a property as held-for-sale and will not have significant continuing involvement in the operation of the property, the operations of the property are eliminated from ongoing operations and classified in discontinued operations. Its operations, including any mortgage interest and gain on sale, are reported in discontinued operations so that the operations are clearly distinguished. Prior periods are also reclassified to reflect the operations of the property as discontinued operations. When the Company sells an operating property to a joint venture or to a third party, and will continue to manage the property, the operations and gain on sale are included in income from continuing operations.

#### Impairment

We evaluate whether there are any indicators, including property operating performance and general market conditions, that the value of the real estate properties (including any related amortizable intangible assets or liabilities) may not be recoverable. Through the evaluation, we compare the current carrying value of the asset to the estimated undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. Our estimated cash flows are based on several key assumptions, including rental rates, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions, anticipated hold period, and assumptions regarding the residual value upon disposition, including the exit capitalization rate. These key assumptions are subjective in nature and could differ materially from actual results. Changes in our disposition strategy or changes in the marketplace may alter the hold period of an asset or asset group which may result in an impairment loss and such loss could be material to the Company's financial condition or operating performance. To the extent that the carrying value of the asset exceeds the estimated undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of carrying value over fair value. If such indicators are not identified, management will not assess the recoverability of a property's carrying value. If a property previously classified as held and used is changed to held-for-sale, the Company estimates fair value, less expected costs to sell, which could cause the Company to determine that the property is impaired.

The fair value of real estate assets is subjective and is determined through comparable sales information and other market data if available, or through use of an income approach such as the direct capitalization method or the traditional discounted cash flow approach. Such cash flow projections consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors, and therefore is subject to management judgment and changes in those factors could impact the determination of fair value. In estimating the fair value of undeveloped land, the Company generally uses market data and comparable sales information.

A loss in value of investments in real estate partnerships under the equity method of accounting, other than a temporary decline, must be recognized in the period in which the loss occurs. If management identifies indicators that

the value of the Company's investment in real estate partnerships may be impaired, it evaluates the investment by calculating the fair value of the investment by discounting estimated future cash flows over the expected term of the investment.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company established the following provisions for impairment (in thousands):

|  | 2013     | 2012   | 2011   |
|--|----------|--------|--------|
| Consolidated properties:                   |          |        |        |
| Gross provision for impairment             | \$ 6,000 | 74,816 | 15,883 |
| Amount included in discontinued operations | —        | 54,500 | 3,417  |
| Investments in real estate partnerships:   |          |        |        |
| Gross provision for impairment             | —        | —      | 4,580  |

#### Tax Basis

The net tax basis of the Company's real estate assets exceeds the book basis by approximately \$156.8 million and \$247.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, primarily due to the property impairments recorded for book purposes and the cost basis of the assets acquired and their carryover basis recorded for tax purposes.

#### (d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Any instruments which have an original maturity of 90 days or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$9.5 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, of cash was restricted through escrow agreements and certain mortgage loans.

#### (e) Notes Receivable

The Company records notes receivable at cost on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and interest income is accrued as earned and netted against interest expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. If a note receivable is past due, meaning the debtor is past due per contractual obligations, the Company ceases to accrue interest. However, in the event the debtor subsequently becomes current, the Company will resume accruing interest and record the interest income accordingly. The Company evaluates the collectibility of both interest and principal for all notes receivable to determine whether impairment exists using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the note receivable's effective interest rate or, alternatively, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. In the event the Company determines a note receivable or a portion thereof is considered uncollectible, the Company records a provision for impairment. The Company estimates the collectibility of notes receivable taking into consideration the Company's experience in the retail sector, available internal and external credit information, payment history, market and industry trends, and debtor credit-worthiness.

#### (f) Deferred Costs

Deferred costs include leasing costs and loan costs, net of accumulated amortization. Such costs are amortized over the periods through lease expiration or loan maturity, respectively. If the lease is terminated early, or if the loan is repaid prior to maturity, the remaining leasing costs or loan costs are written off. Deferred leasing costs consist of internal and external commissions associated with leasing the Company's shopping centers. The following table represents the components of deferred costs, net of accumulated amortization, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 in

the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

|   | 2013      | 2012   |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Deferred leasing costs, net             | \$ 59,027 | 55,485 |
| Deferred loan costs, net <sup>(1)</sup> | 10,936    | 14,021 |
| Total deferred costs, net               | \$ 69,963 | 69,506 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Consist of initial direct and incremental costs associated with financing activities.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

(g) Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or future payment of known and uncertain cash amounts, the amount of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's borrowings.

All derivative instruments, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are recorded on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting, and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting.

The Company uses interest rate swaps to mitigate its interest rate risk on a related financial instrument or forecasted transaction, and the Company designates these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. The gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges are recognized in other comprehensive income ("OCI") while the ineffective portion of the derivative's change in fair value is recognized in the Statements of Operations as a gain or loss on derivative instruments. Upon the settlement of a hedge, gains and losses remaining in OCI are amortized over the underlying term of the hedged transaction.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions. The Company assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows and/or forecasted cash flows of the hedged items.

In assessing the valuation of the hedges, the Company uses standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, and termination costs at each balance sheet date. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

The settlement of interest rate swap terminations is presented in cash flows provided by operating activities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

(h) Income Taxes

The Parent Company believes it qualifies, and intends to continue to qualify, as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”). As a REIT, the Parent Company will generally not be subject to federal income tax, provided that distributions to its stockholders are at least equal to REIT taxable income. Regency Realty Group, Inc. (“RRG”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, is a Taxable REIT Subsidiary (“TRS”) as defined in Section 856(l) of the Code. RRG is subject to federal and state income taxes and files separate tax returns. As a pass through entity, the Operating Partnership's taxable income or loss is reported

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

by its partners, of which the Parent Company, as general partner and approximately 99.8% owner, is allocated its pro-rata share of tax attributes.

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which these temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to stockholders, differs from net income reported for financial reporting purposes primarily because of differences in depreciable lives and cost bases of the shopping centers, as well as other timing differences.

Tax positions are initially recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and relevant facts. The Company believes that it has appropriate support for the income tax positions taken and to be taken on its tax returns and that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open tax years (2010 and forward for federal and state) based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax laws applied to the facts of each matter.

(i) Earnings per Share and Unit

Basic earnings per share of common stock and unit are computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares and units, respectively, outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share and unit reflect the conversion of obligations and the assumed exercises of securities including the effects of shares issuable under the Company's share-based payment arrangements, if dilutive. Dividends paid on the Company's share-based compensation awards are not participating securities as they are forfeitable.

(j) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company grants stock-based compensation to its employees and directors. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation based on the grant-date fair value of the award and the cost of the stock-based compensation is expensed over the vesting period.

When the Parent Company issues common shares as compensation, it receives a like number of common units from the Operating Partnership. The Company is committed to contributing to the Operating Partnership all proceeds from the exercise of stock options or other share-based awards granted under the Parent Company's Long-Term Omnibus Plan (the "Plan"). Accordingly, the Parent Company's ownership in the Operating Partnership will increase based on the amount of proceeds contributed to the Operating Partnership for the common units it receives. As a result of the issuance of common units to the Parent Company for stock-based compensation, the Operating Partnership accounts for stock-based compensation in the same manner as the Parent Company.

(k) Segment Reporting



The Company's business is investing in retail shopping centers through direct ownership or through joint ventures. The Company actively manages its portfolio of retail shopping centers and may from time to time make decisions to sell lower performing properties or developments not meeting its long-term investment objectives. The proceeds from sales are reinvested into higher quality retail shopping centers, through acquisitions or new developments, which management believes will generate sustainable revenue growth and attractive returns. It is management's intent that all retail shopping centers will be owned or developed for investment purposes; however, the Company may decide to sell all or a portion of a development upon completion. The Company's revenues and net income are generated from the operation of its investment portfolio. The Company also earns fees for services provided to manage and lease retail shopping centers owned through joint ventures.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

The Company's portfolio is located throughout the United States. Management does not distinguish or group its operations on a geographical basis for purposes of allocating resources or capital. The Company reviews operating and financial data for each property on an individual basis; therefore, the Company defines an operating segment as its individual properties. The individual properties have been aggregated into one reportable segment based upon their similarities with regard to both the nature and economics of the centers, tenants and operational processes, as well as long-term average financial performance. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 5% or more of revenue and none of the shopping centers are located outside the United States.

(l) Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement is determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, the Company uses a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the Company's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy). The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on the Company's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

The Company also remeasures nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, initially measured at fair value in a business combination or other new basis event, at fair value in subsequent periods.

(m) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ("ASU 2011-11") and ASU No. 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. These new standards retain the existing offsetting models under U.S. GAAP but require new disclosure requirements for derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions that are either offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Retrospective application is required. Although the Company does have master netting agreements, it does not have multiple derivatives with the same counterparties subject to a single master netting agreement to offset, therefore no additional disclosures are necessary.

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted FASB ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The ASU does not change the requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. The ASU requires enhanced disclosures

around the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component, which is disclosed in Note 11.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## 2. Real Estate Investments

## Acquisitions

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land parcels acquired during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| Date Purchased              | Property Name            | City/State       | Property Type | Purchase Price | Debt Assumed, Net of Premiums | Intangible Assets | Intangible Liabilities | Contingent Liabilities <sup>(1)</sup> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1/16/2013                   | Shops on Main            | Schererville, IN | Development   | \$ 85          | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |
| 5/16/2013                   | Juanita Tate Marketplace | Los Angeles, CA  | Development   | 1,100          | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |
| 5/30/2013                   | Preston Oaks             | Dallas, TX       | Operating     | 27,000         | —                             | 3,396             | 7,597                  | —                                     |
| 7/22/2013                   | Fontainebleau Square     | Miami, FL        | Development   | 17,092         | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |
| 10/7/2013                   | Glen Gate                | Glenview, IL     | Development   | 14,950         | —                             | —                 | —                      | 636                                   |
| 10/16/2013                  | Fellsway Plaza           | Medford, MA      | Operating     | 42,500         | —                             | 5,139             | 963                    | 600                                   |
| 10/24/2013                  | Shoppes on Riverside     | Jacksonville, FL | Development   | 3,500          | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |
| 12/27/2013                  | Holly Park               | Raleigh, NC      | Operating     | 33,900         | —                             | 3,146             | 1,526                  | 300                                   |
| Total property acquisitions |                          |                  |               | \$ 140,127     | —                             | 11,681            | 10,086                 | 1,536                                 |

<sup>(1)</sup> These balances represent environmental loss contingencies, which were measured at fair value at the acquisition date.

In addition, on March 20, 2013, the Company entered into a liquidation agreement with Macquarie Countrywide (US) No. 2, LLC ("CQR") to redeem its 24.95% interest through dissolution of the Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III) co-investment partnership through a DIK. The assets of the partnership were distributed as 100% ownership interests to CQR and Regency after a selection process, as provided for by the agreement. Regency selected one asset, Hilltop Village, which was recorded at the carrying value of the Company's equity investment in MCWR III, net of deferred gain, on the date of dissolution of \$7.6 million, including a \$7.5 million mortgage assumed.

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land parcels acquired during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Date Purchased | Property Name            | City/State   | Property Type | Purchase Price        | Debt Assumed, Net of Premiums | Intangible Assets | Intangible Liabilities | Contingent Liabilities <sup>(1)</sup> |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2/3/2012       | Southpark at Cinco Ranch | Katy, TX     | Development   | \$ 13,009             | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |
| 2/6/2012       | South Bay Village        | Torrance, CA | Development   | 15,600 <sup>(2)</sup> | —                             | —                 | —                      | —                                     |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                             |                             |                   |           |            |        |        |       |       |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| 5/31/2012                   | Shops at Erwin Mill         | Durham, NC        | (3)       | 5,763      | —      | —      | —     | —     |
| 6/21/2012                   | Grand Ridge Plaza           | Issaquah, WA      | (4)       | 20,000     | 12,810 | 2,346  | 144   | —     |
| 8/31/2012                   | Balboa Mesa Shopping Center | San Diego, CA     | Operating | 59,500     | —      | 9,711  | 6,977 | 145   |
| 12/21/2012                  | Sandy Springs               | Sandy Springs, GA | Operating | 35,250     | 17,657 | 2,761  | 1,386 | 60    |
| 12/27/2012                  | Uptown District             | San Diego, CA     | Operating | 81,115     | —      | 5,833  | 1,154 | 4,058 |
| Total property acquisitions |                             |                   |           | \$ 230,237 | 30,467 | 20,651 | 9,661 | 4,263 |

(1) These balances represent environmental loss contingencies, which were measured at fair value at the acquisition date.

(2) South Bay Village was acquired on February 6, 2012 through foreclosure of a \$12.6 million notes receivable.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

(3) Shops at Erwin Mill was acquired on May 31, 2012 for a total purchase price of \$5.8 million and included both an operating component and a development component. The Company completed a purchase price allocation at the date of acquisition and determined that approximately \$358,000 related to the existing operating center, with the remaining balance allocated to properties in development at the time of acquisition.

(4) Grand Ridge Plaza was acquired on June 21, 2012 for a total purchase price of \$20.0 million and included both an operating component and a development component. The Company completed a purchase price allocation at the date of acquisition and determined that \$11.8 million related to the existing operating center, with the remaining balance allocated to properties in development at the time of acquisition.

### 3. Property Dispositions

#### Dispositions

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land out-parcels disposed of during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (\$ in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012        | 2011     |     |
|---|------------|-------------|----------|-----|
| Proceeds from sale of real estate investments | \$ 212,632 | (1) 352,707 | 86,233   |     |
| Net gain on sale of properties                | \$ (59,656 | ) (24,013   | ) (8,346 | )   |
| Number of operating properties sold           | 12         | 20          | (2) 8    | (3) |
| Number of land out-parcels sold               | 10         | 7           | 8        |     |
| Percent interest sold                         | 100%       | 100%        | (2) 100% |     |

(1) One of the properties sold during 2013 was financed by the Company issuing a note receivable for the entire purchase price, which was subsequently collected during 2013.

(2) On July 25, 2012, the Company sold a 15-property portfolio for total consideration of \$321.0 million. As a result of entering into this agreement, the Company recognized a net impairment loss of \$18.1 million. As of December 31, 2012, this asset group did not meet the definition of discontinued operations, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations, based on its continuing cash flows as further discussed in note 4. The remaining five operating properties sold met the definition of discontinued operations and are included in income from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(3) Includes one operating properties that did not meet the definition of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2011 due to the Company's continuing involvement. The remaining seven operating properties sold met the definition of discontinued operations and are properly included in income from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table provides a summary of revenues and expenses from properties included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

|                    | 2013      | 2012   | 2011   |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Revenues           | \$ 14,924 | 26,413 | 37,679 |
| Operating expenses | 7,592     | 15,514 | 23,520 |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |          |         |          |
|---|----------|---------|----------|
| Provision for impairment                      | —        | 54,500  | 3,416    |
| Other expense (income)                        | —        | —       | —        |
| Income tax expense (benefit) <sup>(1)</sup>   | —        | (18     | ) 106    |
| Operating income from discontinued operations | \$ 7,332 | (43,583 | ) 10,637 |

<sup>(1)</sup> The operating income and gain on sales of properties included in discontinued operations are reported net of income taxes, if the property is sold by Regency Realty Group, Inc. ("RRG"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, which is a Taxable REIT subsidiary as defined by in Section 856(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

#### Dispositions - Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company sold the portfolio of shopping centers owned by Regency Retail Partners, LP (the "Fund") together with two adjacent operating property phases wholly-owned by the Company, which are included above. The gain from sale of these properties is recognized within equity in income of investments in real estate partnerships in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Fund will be liquidated following final distribution of proceeds.

#### 4. Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

The Company invests in real estate partnerships, which primarily include five co-investment partners. Investments in real estate partnerships as of December 31, 2013 consist of the following (in thousands):

|   | Ownership | Total Investment | Total Assets of the Partnership | Net Income (Loss) of the Partnership | The Company's Share of Net Income (Loss) of the Partnership |
|---|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR) <sup>(1)</sup>                            | 40.00%    | \$ 250,118       | 1,870,660                       | 31,705                               | 12,789  |
| Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III) <sup>(1)(2)</sup> | —%        | —                | —                               | 213                                  | 53  |
| Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I) <sup>(1)</sup>   | 20.00%    | 16,735           | 204,759                         | 8,605                                | 1,727   |
| Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II) <sup>(1)</sup>      | 20.00%    | 8,797            | 295,829                         | 6,290                                | 1,274   |
| Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)                                      | 30.00%    | 16,678           | 103,805                         | 2,198                                | 662   |
| RegCal, LLC (RegCal) <sup>(1)</sup>                                 | 25.00%    | 15,576           | 159,255                         | 1,300                                | 332   |
| Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund) <sup>(3)</sup>               | 20.00%    | 1,793            | 9,325                           | 9,234                                | 7,749   |
| US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA) <sup>(1)</sup>                      | 20.00%    | 1,391            | 118,865                         | 2,387                                | 487   |
| BRE Throne Holdings, LLC (BRET) <sup>(4)</sup>                      | —%        | —                | —                               | 4,499                                | 4,499   |
| Other investments in real estate partnerships                       | 50.00%    | 47,761           | 177,101                         | 4,619                                | 2,146   |
| Total investments in real estate partnerships                       |           | \$ 358,849       | 2,939,599                       | 71,050                               | 31,718  |

<sup>(1)</sup> This partnership agreement has a unilateral right for election to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain recognized on property sales to this partnership. During 2013, the Company did not sell any properties to this real estate partnership.

<sup>(2)</sup> As of December 31, 2012, our ownership interest in MCWR III was 24.95%. The liquidation of MCWR III was complete effective March 20, 2013.

<sup>(3)</sup> On August 13, 2013, the Fund sold 100% of its interest in its entire portfolio of shopping centers to a third party. The Fund will be dissolved following the final distribution of proceeds.



<sup>(4)</sup> On October 23, 2013, the Company sold 100% of its interest in the BRET unconsolidated real estate partnership and received a capital distribution of \$47.5 million, its share of the undistributed income of the partnership, and an early redemption premium. Regency no longer has any interest in the BRET partnership.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

Investments in real estate partnerships as of December 31, 2012 consist of the following (in thousands):

|   | Ownership | Total Investment | Total Assets of the Partnership | Net Income (Loss) of the Partnership | The Company's Share of Net Income (Loss) of the Partnership |
|---|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| GRI - Regency, LLC (GRIR) <sup>(1)</sup>                          | 40.00%    | \$272,044        | 1,939,659                       | 23,357                               | 9,311   |
| Macquarie CountryWide-Regency III, LLC (MCWR III) <sup>(1)</sup>  | 24.95%    | 29               | 60,496                          | (75 )                                | (22 )   |
| Columbia Regency Retail Partners, LLC (Columbia I) <sup>(1)</sup> | 20.00%    | 17,200           | 210,490                         | 42,399                               | 8,480   |
| Columbia Regency Partners II, LLC (Columbia II) <sup>(1)</sup>    | 20.00%    | 8,660            | 326,649                         | 1,467                                | 290   |
| Cameron Village, LLC (Cameron)                                    | 30.00%    | 16,708           | 102,930                         | 2,021                                | 596   |
| RegCal, LLC (RegCal) <sup>(1)</sup>                               | 25.00%    | 15,602           | 164,106                         | 2,160                                | 540   |
| Regency Retail Partners, LP (the Fund)                            | 20.00%    | 15,248           | 323,406                         | 407                                  | 297   |
| US Regency Retail I, LLC (USAA) <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 20.00%    | 2,173            | 123,053                         | 1,484                                | 297   |
| BRE Throne Holdings, LLC (BRET) <sup>(2)</sup>                    | 47.80%    | 48,757           | —                               | 2,211                                | 2,211   |
| Other investments in real estate partnerships                     | 50.00%    | 46,506           | 184,165                         | 3,833                                | 1,807   |
| Total investments in real estate partnerships                     |           | \$442,927        | 3,434,954                       | 79,264                               | 23,807  |

<sup>(1)</sup> This partnership agreement has a unilateral right for election to dissolve the partnership and receive a DIK upon liquidation; therefore, the Company has applied the Restricted Gain Method to determine the amount of gain recognized on property sales to this partnership. During 2012, the Company did not sell any properties to this real estate partnership.

<sup>(2)</sup> On July 25, 2012, the Company sold a 15-property portfolio and retained a \$47.5 million, 10.5% preferred stock investment in the entity that owns the portfolio. Regency does not provide leasing or management services for the Portfolio after closing. As the property holdings of BRET do not impact the rate of return on Regency's preferred stock investment, BRET's portfolio information is not included.

In addition to earning its pro-rata share of net income or loss in each of these real estate partnerships, the Company received recurring, market-based fees for asset management, property management, and leasing, as well as fees for investment and financing services, of \$24.2 million, \$25.4 million, and \$29.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The Company also received non-recurring transaction fees of \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the summarized balance sheet information for the investments in real estate partnerships, on a combined basis, is as follows (in thousands):

|                                       | 2013         | 2012      |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Investments in real estate, net       | \$ 2,742,591 | 3,213,984 |
| Acquired lease intangible assets, net | 52,350       | 74,986    |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |              |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Other assets                               | 144,658      | 145,984   |
| Total assets                               | \$ 2,939,599 | 3,434,954 |
| Notes payable                              | \$ 1,519,943 | 1,816,648 |
| Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net | 31,148       | 46,264    |
| Other liabilities                          | 66,829       | 70,576    |
| Capital - Regency                          | 468,099      | 518,505   |
| Capital - Third parties                    | 853,580      | 982,961   |
| Total liabilities and capital              | \$ 2,939,599 | 3,434,954 |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

The following table reconciles the Company's capital in unconsolidated partnerships to the Company's investments in real estate partnerships as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012     |   |
|---|------------|----------|---|
| Capital - Regency   | \$ 468,099 | 518,505  |   |
| add: Preferred equity investment in BRET                      | —          | 47,500   |   |
| add: Investment in Indian Springs at Woodlands, Ltd.          | 4,094      | —        |   |
| less: Impairment  | (5,880)    | (5,880)  | ) |
| less: Ownership percentage or Restricted Gain Method deferral | (29,261)   | (38,995) | ) |
| less: Net book equity in excess of purchase price             | (78,203)   | (78,203) | ) |
| Investments in real estate partnerships                       | \$ 358,849 | 442,927  |   |

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the revenues and expenses for the investments in real estate partnerships, on a combined basis, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

|   | 2013       | 2012     | 2011    |   |
|---|------------|----------|---------|---|
| Total revenues  | \$ 378,670 | 387,908  | 399,091 |   |
| Operating expenses:   |            |          |         |   |
| Depreciation and amortization                               | 125,363    | 128,946  | 134,236 |   |
| Operating and maintenance                                   | 55,423     | 55,394   | 62,442  |   |
| General and administrative                                  | 7,385      | 7,549    | 7,905   |   |
| Real estate taxes   | 45,451     | 46,395   | 49,103  |   |
| Other expenses  | 1,725      | 3,521    | 3,477   |   |
| Total operating expenses                                    | 235,347    | 241,805  | 257,163 |   |
| Other expense (income):                                     |            |          |         |   |
| Interest expense, net                                       | 95,505     | 104,694  | 112,099 |   |
| Gain on sale of real estate                                 | (15,695)   | (40,437) | (7,464) | ) |
| Provision for impairment                                    | —          | 3,775    | —       |   |
| Early extinguishment of debt                                | (1,780)    | 967      | (8,743) | ) |
| Preferred return on equity investment                       | (4,499)    | (2,211)  | —       | ) |
| Other expense (income)                                      | (1,258)    | 51       | 776     |   |
| Total other expense (income)                                | 72,273     | 66,839   | 96,668  |   |
| Net income (loss) of the Partnership                        | \$ 71,050  | 79,264   | 45,260  |   |
| The Company's share of net income (loss) of the Partnership | \$ 31,718  | 23,807   | 9,643   |   |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## Acquisitions

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land parcels acquired through our unconsolidated co-investment partnerships during the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| Date Purchased | Property Name          | City/State        | Property Type | Co-investment Partner | Ownership % | Purchase Price | Debt            |                   |                        |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
|                |                        |                   |               |                       |             |                | Assumed, Net of | Intangible Assets | Intangible Liabilities |
| 7/23/2013      | Shoppes of Burnt Mills | Silver Spring, MD | Operating     | Columbia II           | 20.00%      | \$ 13,600      | 7,496           | 8,438             | 332                    |
|                |                        |                   |               |                       |             | \$ 13,600      | 7,496           | 8,438             | 332                    |

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land parcels acquired through our unconsolidated co-investment partnerships during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Date Purchased | Property Name           | City/State      | Property Type | Co-investment Partner | Ownership % | Purchase Price | Debt            |                   |                        |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
|                |                         |                 |               |                       |             |                | Assumed, Net of | Intangible Assets | Intangible Liabilities |
| 1/17/2012      | Lake Grove Commons      | Lake Grove, NY  | Operating     | GRIR                  | 40.00%      | \$ 72,500      | 31,813          | 5,397             | 4,342                  |
| 6/20/2012      | Tyson's CVS             | Vienna, VA      | Operating     | Other                 | 50.00%      | 13,800         | —               | —                 | —                      |
| 11/28/2012     | Applewood Village Shops | Wheat Ridge, CO | Operating     | GRIR                  | 40.00%      | 3,700          | —               | 363               | 34                     |
| 12/19/2012     | Village Plaza           | Chapel Hill, NC | Operating     | Columbia II           | 20.00%      | 19,200         | —               | 2,242             | 686                    |
| 12/28/2012     | Phillips Place          | Charlotte, NC   | Operating     | Other                 | 50.00%      | 55,400         | 44,500          | —                 | —                      |
|                |                         |                 |               |                       |             | \$ 164,600     | 76,313          | 8,002             | 5,062                  |

## Dispositions

The following table provides a summary of shopping centers and land out-parcels disposed of through our unconsolidated co-investment partnerships during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

|  | 2013       | 2012    | 2011   |
|--|------------|---------|--------|
| Proceeds from sale of real estate investments      | \$ 145,295 | 119,275 | 43,710 |
| Gain on sale of real estate                        | \$ 15,695  | 40,437  | 7,464  |
| The Company's share of gain on sale of real estate | \$ 3,847   | 8,962   | 2,114  |
| Number of operating properties sold                | 15         | 7       | 5      |
| Number of land out-parcels sold                    | 3          | 1       | 1      |
| Percent interest sold                              | 100%       | 100%    | 100%   |



REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

## Notes Payable

The Company's proportionate share of notes payable of the investments in real estate partnerships was \$534.1 million and \$597.4 million and at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company does not guarantee these loans. As of December 31, 2013, scheduled principal repayments on notes payable of the investments in real estate partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

| Scheduled Principal Payments by Year:      | Scheduled Principal Payments | Mortgage Loan Maturities | Unsecured Maturities | Total     | Regency's Pro-Rata Share |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 2014                                       | \$ 19,921                    | 53,015                   | 14,060               | 86,996    | 25,460                   |
| 2015                                       | 20,382                       | 99,750                   | —                    | 120,132   | 43,107                   |
| 2016                                       | 17,550                       | 305,076                  | —                    | 322,626   | 113,362                  |
| 2017                                       | 17,685                       | 87,479                   | —                    | 105,164   | 27,053                   |
| 2018                                       | 18,888                       | 37,000                   | —                    | 55,888    | 15,723                   |
| Beyond 5 Years                             | 54,158                       | 775,994                  | —                    | 830,152   | 310,014                  |
| Unamortized debt premiums (discounts), net | —                            | (1,015 )                 | —                    | (1,015 )  | (579 )                   |
| Total notes payable                        | \$ 148,584                   | 1,357,299                | 14,060               | 1,519,943 | 534,140                  |

## 5. Notes Receivable

The Company had notes receivable outstanding of \$12.0 million and \$23.8 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The loans have fixed interest rates of 7.0% with maturity dates through January 2019 and are secured by real estate held as collateral.

## 6. Acquired Lease Intangibles

The Company had the following acquired lease intangibles, net of accumulated amortization and accretion, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|  | 2013      | 2012   |
|--|-----------|--------|
| In-place leases, net                       | \$ 33,049 | 31,314 |
| Above-market leases, net                   | 10,074    | 9,440  |
| Above-market ground leases, net            | 1,682     | 1,705  |
| Acquired lease intangible assets, net      | \$ 44,805 | 42,459 |
| Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net | \$ 26,729 | 20,325 |

The following table provides a summary of amortization and net accretion amounts from acquired lease intangibles for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

|                             | 2013           | 2012           | 2011           | Remaining Weighted Average Amortization/Accretion Period |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
|                             | (in thousands) | (in thousands) | (in thousands) | (in years)   |
| In-place lease amortization | \$ 7,441       | 4,307          | 3,436          | 14.4   |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |          |       |       |      |
|---|----------|-------|-------|------|
| Above-market lease amortization <sup>(1)</sup>                  | 1,246    | 739   | 319   | 9.0  |
| Above-market ground lease amortization <sup>(3)</sup>           | 22       | 23    | 17    | 83.5 |
| Acquired lease intangible asset amortization                    | \$ 8,709 | 5,069 | 3,772 |      |
| Acquired lease intangible liability accretion <sup>(2)(3)</sup> | \$ 3,726 | 1,950 | 1,375 | 13.4 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts are recorded as a reduction to minimum rent.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amounts are recorded as an increase to minimum rent.

<sup>(3)</sup> Above and below market ground lease amortization and accretion are recorded as an offset to other operating expenses.



## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

The estimated aggregate amortization and net accretion amounts from acquired lease intangibles for the next five years are as follows (in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | Amortization Expense | Net Accretion |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 2014                     | \$ 7,265             | 3,521         |
| 2015                     | 5,780                | 2,557         |
| 2016                     | 4,902                | 2,219         |
| 2017                     | 3,852                | 1,995         |
| 2018                     | 3,224                | 1,619         |

## 7. Income Taxes

The following table summarizes the tax status of dividends paid on our common shares during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

|                           | 2013    | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------------|---------|------|------|
| Dividend per share        | \$ 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.85 |
| Ordinary income           | 70%     | 71%  | 33%  |
| Capital gain              | 6%      | 1%   | 1%   |
| Return of capital         | —%      | 28%  | 66%  |
| Qualified dividend income | 24%     | —%   | —%   |

RRG is subject to federal and state income taxes and files separate tax returns. Income tax expense consists of the following for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

|                                    | 2013 | 2012   | 2011  |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| Income tax expense (benefit):      |      |        |       |
| Current                            | \$ — | 97     | 283   |
| Deferred                           | —    | 13,727 | 2,422 |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ — | 13,824 | 2,705 |

Income tax expense (benefit) is included in either income tax expense (benefit) of taxable REIT subsidiaries, if the related income is from continuing operations, or is included in operating income from discontinued operations, if from discontinued operations, on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 as follows (in thousands):

|                                    | 2013 | 2012   | 2011  |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| Income tax expense (benefit) from: |      |        |       |
| Continuing operations              | \$ — | 13,224 | 2,994 |
| Discontinued operations            | —    | 600    | (289) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ — | 13,824 | 2,705 |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

Income tax expense (benefit) differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal income tax rate of 34% to pretax income from continuing operations of RRG for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 as follows (in thousands):

|  | 2013     | 2012     | 2011    |   |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---|
| Computed expected tax expense (benefit)                      | \$ 1,677 | (2,099   | ) 1,089 |   |
| Increase (decrease) in income tax resulting from state taxes | 98       | (122     | ) 126   |   |
| Valuation allowance  | (1,511   | ) 15,635 | 1,438   |   |
| All other items  | (264     | ) 410    | 52      |   |
| Total income tax expense                                     | —        | 13,824   | 2,705   |   |
| Amounts attributable to discontinued operations              | —        | 600      | (289    | ) |
| Amounts attributable to continuing operations                | \$ —     | 13,224   | 2,994   |   |

The following table represents the Company's net deferred tax assets recorded in other assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|   | 2013     | 2012      |   |
|---|----------|-----------|---|
| Deferred tax assets                     |          |           |   |
| Investments in real estate partnerships | \$ 8,314 | 8,116     |   |
| Provision for impairment                | 3,273    | 5,667     |   |
| Deferred interest expense               | 4,295    | 4,507     |   |
| Capitalized costs under Section 263A    | 2,184    | 2,637     |   |
| Net operating loss carryforward         | 2,019    | 1,033     |   |
| Employee benefits                       | 488      | 838       |   |
| Other                                   | 887      | 435       |   |
| Deferred tax assets                     | 21,460   | 23,233    |   |
| Valuation allowance                     | (20,603  | ) (22,114 | ) |
| Deferred tax assets, net                | 857      | 1,119     |   |
| Deferred tax liabilities                |          |           |   |
| Straight line rent                      | 537      | 519       |   |
| Depreciation                            | 320      | 600       |   |
| Deferred tax liabilities                | 857      | 1,119     |   |
| Net deferred tax assets                 | \$ —     | —         |   |

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the net change in the total valuation allowance was \$1.5 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. The Company has federal and state net operating loss carryforwards totaling \$5.6 million, which expire between 2025 and 2033.

The evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax assets and the need for a valuation allowance requires the Company to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company's framework for assessing the recoverability of deferred tax assets includes weighing recent taxable income (loss), projected future taxable income (loss) of the character necessary to realize the deferred tax assets, the carryforward periods for the net operating loss, including the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences, and prudent feasible tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2013, the cumulative

history of taxable losses and projected future taxable income within the TRS caused the Company to determine that it is still more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. As a result, the deferred tax asset continues to be fully reserved.

The Company accounts for uncertainties in income tax law in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, under which tax positions shall initially be recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with

100

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and relevant facts. The Company believes that it has appropriate support for the income tax positions taken and to be taken on its tax returns and that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open tax years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax laws applied to the facts of each matter. Federal and state tax returns are open from 2010 and forward for the Company. The 2011 tax year is currently under audit by the IRS for both the Company's taxable REIT subsidiary and the Operating Partnership.

## 8. Notes Payable and Unsecured Credit Facilities

The Parent Company does not have any indebtedness, but guarantees all of the unsecured debt and 21.0% of the secured debt of the Operating Partnership. The Company's debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consists of the following (in thousands):

|   | 2013         | 2012      |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| Notes payable:                              |              |           |
| Fixed rate mortgage loans                   | \$ 444,245   | 461,914   |
| Variable rate mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup> | 37,100       | 12,041    |
| Fixed rate unsecured loans                  | 1,298,352    | 1,297,936 |
| Total notes payable                         | 1,779,697    | 1,771,891 |
| Unsecured credit facilities:                |              |           |
| Line  | —            | 70,000    |
| Term Loan                                   | 75,000       | 100,000   |
| Total unsecured credit facilities           | 75,000       | 170,000   |
| Total debt outstanding                      | \$ 1,854,697 | 1,941,891 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest rate swaps are in place to fix the interest rates on these variable rate mortgage loans. See note 9.

## Notes Payable

Notes payable consist of mortgage loans secured by properties and unsecured public debt. Mortgage loans may be prepaid, but could be subject to yield maintenance premiums. Mortgage loans are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest or interest only, whereas, interest on unsecured public debt is payable semi-annually.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants for its unsecured public debt as defined in the indenture agreements such as the following ratios: Consolidated Debt to Consolidated Assets, Consolidated Secured Debt to Consolidated Assets, Consolidated Income for Debt Service to Consolidated Debt Service, and Unencumbered Consolidated Assets to Unsecured Consolidated Debt. As of December 31, 2013, management of the Company believes it is in compliance with all financial covenants for its unsecured public debt.

As of December 31, 2013, the key terms of the Company's fixed rate notes payable are as follows:

|                        | Maturing<br>Through | Fixed Interest Rates |         | Weighted<br>Average |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|
|                        |                     | Minimum              | Maximum |                     |
| Secured mortgage loans | 2028                | 3.30%                | 8.40%   | 6.12%               |
| Unsecured public debt  | 2021                | 4.80%                | 6.00%   | 5.41%               |

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had two variable rate mortgage loans, each of which have an interest rate swap effectively fixing their interest rates through the maturity of the loan (as discussed in note 9), with key terms as follows (\$ in thousands):

| Balance  | Maturity   | Variable Interest Rate      |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| \$ 9,000 | 9/1/2014   | LIBOR plus 160 basis points |
| 28,100   | 10/16/2020 | LIBOR plus 150 basis points |

101

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## Unsecured Credit Facilities

The Company has an unsecured line of credit commitment (the "Line") and an unsecured term loan commitment (the "Term Loan") under separate credit agreements, both with Wells Fargo Bank and a syndicate of other banks.

The Company is required to comply with certain financial covenants as defined in the Line and Term Loan credit agreements, such as Minimum Tangible Net Worth, Ratio of Indebtedness to Total Asset Value ("TAV"), Ratio of Unsecured Indebtedness to Unencumbered Asset Value, Ratio of Adjusted Earnings Before Interest Taxes Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") to Fixed Charges, Ratio of Secured Indebtedness to TAV, Ratio of Unencumbered Net Operating Income to Unsecured Interest Expense, and other covenants customary with this type of unsecured financing. As of December 31, 2013, management of the Company believes it is in compliance with all financial covenants for the Line and Term Loan.

As of December 31, 2013, the key terms of the Line and Term Loan are as follows (dollars in thousands):

|           | Total Capacity | Remaining Capacity | Maturity     | Variable Interest Rate            | Facility Fee          |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Line      | \$ 800,000     | (1) \$ 780,686     | (2) 9/4/2016 | (3) LIBOR plus 117.5 basis points | 22.5 basis points (4) |
| Term Loan | 75,000         | (5) —              | 12/15/2016   | LIBOR plus 145 basis points (6)   | —                     |

(1) The Company has the ability to increase the Line through an accordion feature to \$1.0 billion.

(2) Borrowing capacity is reduced by the balance of outstanding borrowings and commitments under outstanding letters of credit.

(3) Maturity is subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option.

(4) The facility fee is subject to an adjustment based on the higher of the Company's corporate credit ratings from Moody's and S&P.

(5) The Company has the ability to increase the Term Loan up to an additional \$150.0 million, subject to the provisions of the Term Loan Agreement.

(6) Interest rate is subject to Regency maintaining its corporate credit and senior unsecured ratings at BBB.

As of December 31, 2013, scheduled principal payments and maturities on notes payable and unsecured credit facilities were as follows (in thousands):

| Scheduled Principal Payments and Maturities by Year: | Scheduled Principal Payments | Mortgage Loan Maturities | Unsecured Maturities (1) | Total   |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| 2014   | \$ 7,094                     | 15,538                   | 150,000                  | 172,632 |
| 2015   | 5,747                        | 62,435                   | 350,000                  | 418,182 |
| 2016   | 5,487                        | 21,661                   | 75,000                   | 102,148 |
| 2017   | 4,881                        | 84,812                   | 400,000                  | 489,693 |
| 2018   | 4,156                        | 57,358                   | —                        | 61,514  |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|  |           |         |           |           |
|--|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Beyond 5 Years                             | 17,005    | 190,298 | 400,000   | 607,303   |
| Unamortized debt premiums (discounts), net | —         | 4,873   | (1,648    | ) 3,225   |
| Total notes payable                        | \$ 44,370 | 436,975 | 1,373,352 | 1,854,697 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes unsecured public debt and unsecured credit facilities.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

## 9. Derivative Financial Instruments

The following table summarizes the terms and fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments, as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| Effective Date                         | Maturity Date | Early Termination Date <sup>(1)</sup> | Notional Amount | Bank Pays Variable Rate of | Regency Pays Fixed Rate of | Fair Value |       |   |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|---|
|  |               |                                       |                 |                            |                            | 2013       | 2012  |   |
| Assets:                                |               |                                       |                 |                            |                            |            |       |   |
| 4/15/2014                              | 4/15/2024     | 10/15/2014                            | \$ 75,000       | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.087%                     | \$ 7,476   | 1,022 |   |
| 4/15/2014                              | 4/15/2024     | 10/15/2014                            | 50,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.088%                     | 4,978      | 672   |   |
| 4/15/2014                              | 4/15/2024     | 10/15/2014                            | 60,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.864 %                    | 1,821      | —     |   |
| 4/15/2014                              | 4/15/2024     | 10/15/2014                            | 35,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.873 %                    | 1,036      | —     |   |
| 8/1/2015                               | 8/1/2025      | 2/1/2016                              | 75,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.479%                     | 8,516      | 1,131 |   |
| 8/1/2015                               | 8/1/2025      | 2/1/2016                              | 50,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.479%                     | 5,670      | 729   |   |
| 8/1/2015                               | 8/1/2025      | 2/1/2016                              | 50,000          | 3 Month LIBOR              | 2.479%                     | 5,658      | 753   |   |
| 10/16/2013                             | 10/16/2020    | N/A                                   | 28,100          | 1 Month LIBOR              | 2.196 %                    | 82         | —     |   |
| Other assets                           |               |                                       |                 |                            |                            | \$ 35,237  | 4,307 |   |
| Liabilities:                           |               |                                       |                 |                            |                            |            |       |   |
| 10/1/2011                              | 9/1/2014      | N/A                                   | \$ 9,000        | 1 Month LIBOR              | 0.760%                     | \$ (34     | ) (76 | ) |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities |               |                                       |                 |                            |                            | \$ (34     | ) (76 | ) |

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the date specified in the agreement for either optional or mandatory early termination which will result in cash settlement.

These derivative financial instruments are all interest rate swaps, which are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and currently does not have any derivatives that are not designated as hedges. The Company has master netting agreements, however the Company does not have multiple derivatives subject to a single master netting agreement with the same counterparties. Therefore none are offset in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The Company has \$150.0 million of unsecured long-term debt that matures in 2014 and \$350.0 million of unsecured long-term debt that matures in 2015. In order to mitigate the risk of interest rates rising before new unsecured borrowings are obtained, the Company entered into seven forward-starting interest rate swaps for the same ten year periods expected for the future borrowings. These swaps total \$395.0 million of notional value, as shown above. The Company will settle these swaps upon the early termination date, which is expected to coincide with the date new unsecured borrowings are obtained, and will begin amortizing the gain or loss realized from the swap settlement over the ten year period expected for the new borrowings; resulting in a modified effective interest rate on those borrowings.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the



derivatives is recognized directly in earnings within interest expense.

103

---

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

The following table represents the effect of the derivative financial instruments on the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

| Derivatives in FASB ASC Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships: | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Effective Portion) |       |      | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Effective Portion) |         |         | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Derivative Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Derivative Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) |      |      |
|--|--|-------|------|--|--|---------|---------|---|---|------|------|
|  | 2013   | 2012  | 2011 |  | 2013   | 2012    | 2011    |   | 2013  | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest rate swaps  | \$30,952   | 4,245 | 18   | Interest expense   | \$(9,433)  | (9,491) | (9,467) | Other expenses  | \$—   | —    | (54) |

As of December 31, 2013, the Company expects \$12.9 million of deferred losses (gains) on derivative instruments accumulated in other comprehensive income to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months, of which \$9.0 million is related to previously settled swaps.

## 10. Fair Value Measurements

### (a) Disclosure of Fair Value of Financial Instruments

All financial instruments of the Company are reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at amounts which, in management's estimation, reasonably approximates their fair values, except for the following as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|                             | 2013            |            | 2012            |            |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
|                             | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets:           |                 |            |                 |            |
| Notes receivable            | \$ 11,960       | 11,600     | \$ 23,751       | 23,700     |
| Financial liabilities:      |                 |            |                 |            |
| Notes payable               | \$ 1,779,697    | 1,936,400  | \$ 1,771,891    | 2,000,000  |
| Unsecured credit facilities | \$ 75,000       | 75,400     | \$ 170,000      | 170,200    |

The table above reflects carrying amounts in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets under the indicated captions. The above fair values represent the amounts that would be received to sell those assets or that would be paid to transfer those liabilities in an orderly transaction between market participants as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. These fair value measurements maximize the use of observable inputs. However, in situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date, the fair value measurement reflects the Company's own judgments about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The Company develops its judgments based on the best information available at the measurement date, including expected cash flows, appropriately risk-adjusted discount rates, and available observable and unobservable inputs. Service providers involved in fair value measurements are evaluated for competency and qualifications on an ongoing basis. The Company's valuation policies and procedures are determined by its Finance Group, which reports to the Chief Financial Officer, and the results of material fair value measurements are discussed with the Audit Committee

of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. As considerable judgment is often necessary to estimate the fair value of these financial instruments, the fair values presented above are not necessarily indicative of amounts that will be realized upon disposition of the financial instruments.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of these financial instruments:

#### Notes Receivable

The fair value of the Company's notes receivable is estimated by calculating the present value of future contractual cash flows discounted at interest rates available for notes of the same terms and maturities, adjusted

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

for counter-party specific credit risk. The fair value of notes receivable was determined primarily using Level 3 inputs of the fair value hierarchy, which considered counter-party credit risk and loan to value ratio on the underlying property securing the note receivable.

#### Notes Payable

The fair value of the Company's notes payable is estimated by discounting future cash flows of each instrument at interest rates that reflect the current market rates available to the Company for debt of the same terms and maturities. Fixed rate loans assumed in connection with real estate acquisitions are recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value at the time the property is acquired. The fair value of the notes payable was determined using Level 2 inputs of the fair value hierarchy.

#### Unsecured Credit Facilities

The fair value of the Company's unsecured credit facilities is estimated based on the interest rates currently offered to the Company by financial institutions. The fair value of the credit facilities was determined using Level 2 inputs of the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the following interest rates were used by the Company to estimate the fair value of its financial instruments:

|                             | 2013 |      | 2012 |      |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|
|                             | Low  | High | Low  | High |
| Notes receivable            | 7.8% | 7.8% | 7.0% | 8.1% |
| Notes payable               | 3.0% | 3.5% | 2.4% | 3.3% |
| Unsecured credit facilities | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.6% | 1.6% |

#### (b) Fair Value Measurements

The following financial instruments are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

##### Trading Securities Held in Trust

The Company has investments in marketable securities that are classified as trading securities held in trust on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value of the trading securities held in trust was determined using quoted prices in active markets, considered Level 1 inputs of the fair value hierarchy. Changes in the value of trading securities are recorded within net investment (income) loss from deferred compensation plan in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

##### Interest Rate Derivatives

The fair value of the Company's interest rate derivatives is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs,

including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its interest rate swaps. As a result, the Company determined that its interest rate swaps valuation in its entirety is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

The following table presents the placement in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013 |           |  |   |  |
|---|-----------|--|---|--|
|   | Balance   | Quoted Prices<br>in Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Assets:   |           |  |   |  |
| Trading securities held in trust                | \$ 26,681 | 26,681   | —   | —  |
| Interest rate derivatives                       | 35,237    | —  | 35,237  | —  |
| Total   | \$ 61,918 | 26,681   | 35,237  | —  |
| Liabilities:                                    |           |  |   |  |
| Interest rate derivatives                       | \$ (34 )  | —  | (34 )   | —  |
| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2012 |           |  |   |  |
|   | Balance   | Quoted Prices<br>in Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Assets:   |           |  |   |  |
| Trading securities held in trust                | \$ 23,429 | 23,429   | —   | —  |
| Interest rate derivatives                       | 4,307     | —  | 4,307   | —  |
| Total   | \$ 27,736 | 23,429   | 4,307   | —  |
| Liabilities:                                    |           |  |   |  |
| Interest rate derivatives                       | \$ (76 )  | —  | (76 )   | —  |

The following table presents fair value measurements that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2013 |          |  |   |  |                 |
|---|----------|--|---|--|-----------------|
|   | Balance  | Quoted<br>Prices in<br>Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical<br>Assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) | Total<br>Losses |
| Assets:   |          |  |   |  |                 |
| Long-lived asset held and used                  |          |  |   |  |                 |
| Operating property                              | \$ 4,686 | —  | —   | 4,686  | (6,000 )        |

Long-lived assets held and used are comprised primarily of real estate. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a \$6.0 million impairment on a single operating property as a result of an unoccupied anchor declaring bankruptcy, and the inability of the Company, thus far, to re-lease the anchor space.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2012

|                                | Balance   | Quoted      | Significant | Significant  | Total                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
|                                |           | Prices in   | Other       | Unobservable |                       |
|                                |           | Active      | Observable  | Inputs       | Losses <sup>(1)</sup> |
|                                |           | Markets for | Inputs      |              |                       |
|                                |           | Identical   |             |              |                       |
|                                |           | Assets      |             |              |                       |
| Assets:                        |           | (Level 1)   | (Level 2)   | (Level 3)    |                       |
| Long-lived asset held and used |           |             |             |              |                       |
| Operating property             | \$ 49,673 | —           | —           | 49,673       | (54,500 )             |

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes impairments for properties sold during the year ended December 31, 2012.

The Company recognized a \$54.5 million impairment loss related to two operating properties during the year ended December 31, 2012. The majority of this impairment, \$50.0 million, related to one operating property, which the Company determined was more likely than not to be sold before the end of its previously estimated hold period, which led to the impairment during the fourth quarter of 2012. The Company subsequently sold this property in May of 2013. The other operating property exhibited weak operating fundamentals, including low economic occupancy for an extended period of time, which led to a \$4.5 million impairment during the second quarter of 2012. The Company subsequently sold this property in June of 2013.

Fair value for the long-lived assets held and used measured using Level 3 inputs was determined through the use of an income approach. The income approach estimates an income stream for a property (typically 10 years) and discounts this income plus a reversion (presumed sale) into a present value at a risk adjusted rate. Yield rates and growth assumptions utilized in this approach are derived from property specific information, market transactions, and other financial and industry data. The cap rate and discount rate are key inputs to this valuation. The following are ranges of key inputs used in determining the fair value of real estate measured using Level 3 inputs as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

|                     | 2013 | 2012   |       |
|---------------------|------|--------|-------|
|                     |      | Low    | High  |
| Overall cap rates   | 8.0% | 8.3%   | 8.5%  |
| Rental growth rates | 0.0% | (8.3)% | 2.5%  |
| Discount rates      | 9.0% | 10.5%  | 10.5% |
| Terminal cap rates  | 8.5% | 8.8%   | 8.8%  |

Changes in these inputs could result in a change in the valuation of the real estate and a change in the impairment loss recognized during the period.

## 11. Equity and Capital

### Preferred Stock of the Parent Company

Terms and conditions of the preferred stock outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized as follows:

| Preferred Stock Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 |                  |                               |                        |                   |                     |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|  | Date of Issuance | Shares Issued and Outstanding | Liquidation Preference | Distribution Rate | Callable By Company |
| Series 6   | 2/16/2012        | 10,000,000                    | \$250,000,000          | 6.625%            | 2/16/2017           |



Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|          |           |            |               |        |           |
|----------|-----------|------------|---------------|--------|-----------|
| Series 7 | 8/23/2012 | 3,000,000  | 75,000,000    | 6.000% | 8/23/2017 |
|          |           | 13,000,000 | \$325,000,000 |        |           |

The Series 6 and 7 preferred shares are perpetual, absent a change in control of the Parent Company, are not convertible into common stock of the Parent Company, and are redeemable at par upon the Company's election beginning 5 years after the issuance date. None of the terms of the preferred stock contain any unconditional obligations that would require the Company to redeem the securities at any time or for any purpose.

107

---

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 31, 2013

### Common Stock of the Parent Company

In August 2012, the Parent Company entered into at the market ("ATM") equity distribution agreements through which it is permitted to offer and sell its common stock from time to time. Net proceeds would fund potential acquisition opportunities, development and redevelopment activities, repay amounts outstanding under the credit facilities and for general corporate purposes. Approximately \$121.8 million of common stock was offered and sold through this ATM equity program.

In August 2013, the Parent Company filed a prospectus supplement with respect to a new ATM equity offering program, which ended the prior program established in August 2012. The August 2013 program has similar terms and conditions as the August 2012 program, and authorizes the Parent Company to sell up to \$200 million of common stock. As of December 31, 2013, \$198.4 million in common stock remained available for issuance under this ATM equity program.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the following shares were issued under the ATM equity program (in thousands, except share data):

|                                  | 2013       | 2012   |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Shares issued                    | 1,899      | 443    |
| Weighted average price per share | \$ 53.35   | 49.70  |
| Gross proceeds                   | \$ 101,342 | 22,007 |
| Commissions                      | \$ 1,521   | 331    |
| Issuance costs                   | \$ 68      | 134    |

### Preferred Units of the Operating Partnership

Preferred units for the Parent Company are outstanding in relation to the Parent Company's preferred stock, as discussed above.

### Common Units of the Operating Partnership

Common units were issued to the Parent Company in relation to the Parent Company's issuance of common stock, as discussed above.

### General Partner

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Parent Company, as general partner, owned the following Partnership Units outstanding (in thousands):

|  | 2013   | 2012   |
|--|--------|--------|
| Partnership units owned by the general partner               | 92,333 | 90,394 |
| Total partnership units outstanding                          | 92,499 | 90,572 |
| Percentage of partnership units owned by the general partner | 99.8%  | 99.8%  |

### Limited Partners

The Operating Partnership had 165,796 and 177,164 limited Partnership Units outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

### Noncontrolling Interests of Limited Partners' Interests in Consolidated Partnerships

Limited partners' interests in consolidated partnerships not owned by the Company are classified as noncontrolling interests on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Parent Company. Subject to certain conditions and pursuant to the conditions of the agreement, the Company has the right, but not the

108

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

obligation, to purchase the other member's interest or sell its own interest in these consolidated partnerships. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the noncontrolling interest in these consolidated partnerships was \$19.2 million and \$16.3 million, respectively.

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table presents changes in the balances of each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

|  | Loss on<br>Settlement of<br>Derivative<br>Instruments | Fair Value of<br>Derivative<br>Instruments | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income (Loss) |
|--|---|--|--|
| Beginning balance as of December 31, 2012                        | \$ (61,991  | ) 4,276                                    | (57,715 )  |
| Net gain on cash flow derivative instruments                     | —   | 30,878                                     | 30,878   |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | 9,449   | (16  | ) 9,433  |
| Current period other comprehensive income, net                   | 9,449   | 30,862                                     | 40,311   |
| Ending balance as of December 31, 2013                           | \$ (52,542  | ) 35,138                                   | (17,404 )  |

The following represents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive loss into income during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

| Accumulated Other<br>Comprehensive Loss<br>Component | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other<br>Comprehensive Loss into Income |          |          | Location of Gain<br>(Loss) Reclassified<br>from Accumulated<br>Other Comprehensive<br>Loss into Income |
|--|---|----------|----------|--|
|  | 2013  | 2012     | 2011     |  |
| Interest rate swaps                                  | \$ (9,433   | ) (9,491 | ) (9,467 | ) Interest expense   |

## 12. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recorded stock-based compensation in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, the components of which are further described below for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (in thousands):

|   | 2013      | 2012     | 2011     |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|
| Restricted stock <sup>(1)</sup>                     | \$ 14,141 | 11,526   | 10,659   |
| Directors' fees paid in common stock <sup>(1)</sup> | 238       | 259      | 269      |
| Capitalized stock-based compensation <sup>(2)</sup> | (2,188    | ) (1,979 | ) (1,104 |
| Stock-based compensation, net of capitalization     | \$ 12,191 | 9,806    | 9,824    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes amortization of the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards over the respective vesting periods.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes compensation expense specifically identifiable to development and leasing activities.

The Company established its stock-based compensation plan (the "Plan") under which the Board of Directors may grant stock options and other stock-based awards to officers, directors, and other key employees. The Plan allows the Company to issue up to 4.1 million shares in the form of the Parent Company's common stock or stock options. As of December 31, 2013, there were 2.8 million shares available for grant under the Plan either through stock options or restricted stock.

#### Stock Option Awards

Stock options are granted under the Plan with an exercise price equal to the Parent Company's stock's price at the date of grant. All stock options granted have ten-year lives, contain vesting terms of one to five years from the date of

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

grant and some have dividend equivalent rights. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton closed-form ("Black-Scholes") option valuation model. The Company believes that the use of the Black-Scholes model meets the fair value measurement objectives of FASB ASC Topic 718 and reflects all substantive characteristics of the instruments being valued.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the year ended December 31, 2013:

|   | Number of<br>Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term (in<br>years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value (in<br>thousands) |
|---|----------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012                 | 315,924              | \$ 52.39                                 | 2.1   | \$ (1,664 )                                       |
| Less: Exercised <sup>(1)</sup>                      | 20,000               | 51.36                                    |   |   |
| Less: Forfeited                                     | —                    | —  |   |   |
| Less: Expired                                       | —                    | —  |   |   |
| Outstanding of of December 31, 2013                 | 295,924              | \$ 52.46                                 | 1.1   | \$ (1,822 )                                       |
| Vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2013 | 295,924              | \$ 52.46                                 | 1.1   | \$ (1,822 )                                       |
| Exercisable as of December 31, 2013                 | 295,924              | \$ 52.46                                 | 1.1   | \$ (1,822 )                                       |

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company issues new shares to fulfill option exercises from its authorized shares available. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was approximately \$141,000, \$92,000, and \$130,000, respectively.

There were no stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, or 2011.

## Restricted Stock Awards

The Company grants restricted stock under the Plan to its employees as a form of long-term compensation and retention. The terms of each restricted stock grant vary depending upon the participant's responsibilities and position within the Company. The Company's stock grants can be categorized as either time-based awards, performance-based awards, or market-based awards. All awards are valued at fair value, earn dividends throughout the vesting period, and have no voting rights. Fair value is measured using the grant date market price for all time-based or performance-based awards. Market based awards are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the fair value based on the probability of satisfying the market conditions and the projected stock price at the time of payout, discounted to the valuation date over a three year performance period. Assumptions include historic volatility over the previous three year period, risk-free interest rates, and Regency's historic daily return as compared to the market index. Since the award payout includes dividend equivalents and the total shareholder return includes the value of dividends, no dividend yield assumption is required for the valuation. Compensation expense is measured at the grant date and recognized over the vesting period.

The following table summarizes non-vested restricted stock activity during the year ended December 31, 2013:

| Number of Shares | Intrinsic Value (in<br>thousands) | Weighted Average<br>Grant Price |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|   |         |           |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Non-vested as of December 31, 2012                                  | 674,491 |           |
| Add: Time-based awards granted <sup>(1)</sup> <sup>(4)</sup>        | 140,850 | \$ 50.69  |
| Add: Performance-based awards granted <sup>(2)</sup> <sup>(4)</sup> | 12,090  | \$ 49.63  |
| Add: Market-based awards granted <sup>(3)</sup> <sup>(4)</sup>      | 95,104  | \$ 56.32  |
| Less: Vested and Distributed <sup>(5)</sup>                         | 226,293 | \$ 50.75  |
| Less: Forfeited   | 10,545  | \$ 42.31  |
| Non-vested as of December 31, 2013 <sup>(6)</sup>                   | 685,697 | \$ 31,748 |

110

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

(1) Time-based awards vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary following the grant date. These grants are subject only to continued employment and are not dependent on future performance measures. Accordingly, if such vesting criteria are not met, compensation cost previously recognized would be reversed.

(2) Performance-based awards are earned subject to future performance measurements. Once the performance criteria are achieved and the actual number of shares earned is determined, shares will vest over a required service period. If such performance criteria are not met, compensation cost previously recognized would generally be reversed. The Company considers the likelihood of meeting the performance criteria based upon management's estimates from which it determines the amounts recognized as expense on a periodic basis.

(3) Market-based awards are earned dependent upon the Company's total shareholder return in relation to the shareholder return of peer indices over a three-year period ("TSR Grant"). Once the market criteria are met and the actual number of shares earned is determined, 100% of the earned shares vest. The probability of meeting the market criteria is considered when calculating the estimated fair value on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation. These awards are accounted for as awards with market criteria, with compensation cost recognized over the service period, regardless of whether the market criteria are achieved and the awards are ultimately earned and vest. The significant assumptions underlying determination of fair values for market-based awards granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were as follows:

|                         | 2013   | 2012   | 2011   |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Volatility              | 27.80% | 48.80% | 66.50% |
| Risk free interest rate | 0.42%  | 0.32%  | 0.98%  |

(4) The weighted-average grant price for restricted stock granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$52.80, \$39.44, and \$41.81, respectively.

(5) The total intrinsic value of restricted stock vested during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$11.5 million, \$6.6 million, and \$7.5 million, respectively.

(6) As of December 31, 2013, there was \$25.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock granted under the Parent Company's Long-Term Omnibus Plan. When recognized, this compensation results in additional paid in capital in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity of the Parent Company and in general partner preferred and common units in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Capital of the Operating Partnership. This unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next three years, through 2016. The Company issues new restricted stock from its authorized shares available at the date of grant.

### 13. Saving and Retirement Plans

#### 401(k) Retirement Plan

The Company maintains a 401(k) retirement plan covering substantially all employees, which permits participants to defer up to the maximum allowable amount determined by the IRS of their eligible compensation. This deferred compensation, together with Company matching contributions equal to 100% of employee deferrals up to a maximum of \$5,000 of their eligible compensation, is fully vested and funded as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, an annual



profit sharing contribution is made, which vests over a three year period. Costs related to the matching portion of the plan were \$1.5 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Costs related to the profit sharing contribution were \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million, and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

#### Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation plan (“NQDCP”), which allows select employees and directors to defer part or all of their salary, cash bonus, and restricted stock awards. All contributions into the participants' accounts are fully vested upon contribution to the NQDCP and are deposited in a Rabbi trust.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

The assets of the Rabbi trust, exclusive of the shares of the Company's common stock, are classified as trading securities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, and accordingly, realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized within income from deferred compensation plan in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The participants' deferred compensation liability, exclusive of the shares of the Company's common stock, is included within accounts payable and other liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and was \$26.1 million and \$22.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Increases or decreases in the deferred compensation liability, exclusive of amounts attributable to participant investments in the shares of the Company's common stock, are recorded as general and administrative expense within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Investments in shares of the Company's common stock are included, at cost, as treasury stock in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Parent Company and as a reduction of general partner capital in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Operating Partnership. The participant's deferred compensation liability attributable to the participants' investments in shares of the Company's common stock are included, at cost, within additional paid in capital in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Parent Company and as a reduction of general partner capital in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Operating Partnership. Changes in participant account balances related to the Regency common stock fund are recorded directly within stockholders' equity.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## 14. Earnings per Share and Unit

## Parent Company Earnings per Share

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively (in thousands except per share data):

|  | 2013       | 2012      | 2011   |
|--|------------|-----------|--------|
| Numerator:   |            |           |        |
| Continuing Operations  |            |           |        |
| Income from continuing operations  | \$ 84,297  | 45,779    | 36,805 |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 1,703      | 2,158     | 2,404  |
| Less: income attributable to noncontrolling interests                              | 1,360      | 385       | 4,385  |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to the Company                      | 84,640     | 47,552    | 34,824 |
| Less: preferred stock dividends  | 21,062     | 32,531    | 19,675 |
| Less: dividends paid on unvested restricted stock                                  | 448        | 572       | 615    |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders - basic      | 63,130     | 14,449    | 14,534 |
| Add: dividends paid on Treasury Method restricted stock                            | 45         | 71        | 18     |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders - diluted    | 63,175     | 14,520    | 14,552 |
| Discontinued Operations  |            |           |        |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   | 65,285     | (21,728 ) | 16,579 |
| Less: income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 121        | (43 )     | 33     |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to the Company                    | 65,164     | (21,685 ) | 16,546 |
| Net Income   |            |           |        |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders - basic                             | 128,294    | (7,236 )  | 31,080 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders - diluted                           | \$ 128,339 | (7,165 )  | 31,098 |
| Denominator:   |            |           |        |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS                           | 91,383     | 89,630    | 87,825 |
| Incremental shares to be issued under common stock options                         | 2          | —         | —      |
| Incremental shares to be issued under unvested restricted stock                    | 24         | 39        | 10     |
| Incremental shares under Forward Equity Offering                                   | —          | —         | 424    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS                         | 91,409     | 89,669    | 88,259 |
| Income per common share – basic  |            |           |        |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16      | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.71       | (0.24 )   | 0.19   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                              | \$ 1.40    | (0.08 )   | 0.35   |
| Income per common share – diluted  |            |           |        |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16      | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.71       | (0.24 )   | 0.19   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                              | \$ 1.40    | (0.08 )   | 0.35   |

Income allocated to noncontrolling interests of the Operating Partnership has been excluded from the numerator and exchangeable Operating Partnership units have been omitted from the denominator for the purpose of computing

diluted earnings per share since the effect of including these amounts in the numerator and denominator would have no impact. Weighted average exchangeable Operating Partnership units outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were 171,886, 177,164, and 177,164, respectively.

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## Operating Partnership Earnings per Unit

The following summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per unit for the periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 respectively (in thousands except per unit data):

|  | 2013       | 2012      | 2011   |
|--|------------|-----------|--------|
| Numerator:   |            |           |        |
| Continuing Operations  |            |           |        |
| Income from continuing operations  | \$ 84,297  | 45,779    | 36,805 |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 1,703      | 2,158     | 2,404  |
| Less: income attributable to noncontrolling interests                              | 1,084      | 908       | 557    |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to the Partnership                  | 84,916     | 47,029    | 38,652 |
| Less: preferred unit distributions   | 21,062     | 31,902    | 23,400 |
| Less: dividends paid on unvested restricted units                                  | 448        | 572       | 615    |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common unit holders - basic      | 63,406     | 14,555    | 14,637 |
| Add: dividends paid on Treasury Method restricted units                            | 45         | 71        | 18     |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to common unit holders - diluted    | 63,451     | 14,626    | 14,655 |
| Discontinued Operations  |            |           |        |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   | 65,285     | (21,728 ) | 16,579 |
| Less: income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | 121        | (43 )     | 33     |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to the Partnership                | 65,164     | (21,685 ) | 16,546 |
| Net Income   |            |           |        |
| Net income attributable to common unit holders - basic                             | 128,570    | (7,130 )  | 31,183 |
| Net income attributable to common unit holders - diluted                           | \$ 128,615 | (7,059 )  | 31,201 |
| Denominator:   |            |           |        |
| Weighted average common units outstanding for basic EPU                            | 91,555     | 89,808    | 88,002 |
| Incremental units to be issued under common stock options                          | 2          | —         | —      |
| Incremental units to be issued under unvested restricted stock                     | 24         | 39        | 10     |
| Incremental units to be issued under Forward Equity Offering                       | —          | —         | 424    |
| Weighted average common units outstanding for diluted EPU                          | 91,581     | 89,847    | 88,436 |
| Income (loss) per common unit – basic  |            |           |        |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16      | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.71       | (0.24 )   | 0.19   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                              | \$ 1.40    | (0.08 )   | 0.35   |
| Income (loss) per common unit – diluted  |            |           |        |
| Continuing operations  | \$ 0.69    | 0.16      | 0.16   |
| Discontinued operations  | 0.71       | (0.24 )   | 0.19   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                              | \$ 1.40    | (0.08 )   | 0.35   |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

## 15. Operating Leases

The Company's properties are leased to tenants under operating leases with expiration dates extending to the year 2099. Future minimum rents under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013, excluding both tenant reimbursements of operating expenses and additional percentage rent based on tenants' sales volume, are as follows (in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | Future Minimum Rents |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 2014                     | \$ 344,464           |
| 2015                     | 324,227              |
| 2016                     | 288,315              |
| 2017                     | 244,639              |
| 2018                     | 198,298              |
| Thereafter               | 1,013,349            |
| Total                    | \$ 2,413,292         |

The shopping centers' tenant base primarily includes national and regional supermarkets, drug stores, discount department stores, and other retailers and, consequently, the credit risk is concentrated in the retail industry. There were no tenants that individually represented more than 5% of the Company's annualized future minimum rents.

The Company has shopping centers that are subject to non-cancelable, long-term ground leases where a third party owns and has leased the underlying land to the Company to construct and/or operate a shopping center. Ground leases expire through the year 2058, and in most cases, provide for renewal options. In addition, the Company has non-cancelable operating leases pertaining to office space from which it conducts its business. Office leases expire through the year 2023, and in most cases, provide for renewal options. Leasehold improvements are capitalized, recorded as tenant improvements, and depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the lease term.

Operating lease expense, including capitalized ground lease payments on properties in development, was \$8.5 million, \$9.1 million, and \$9.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The following table summarizes the future obligations under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| Year Ending December 31, | Future Obligations |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 2014                     | \$ 7,797           |
| 2015                     | 7,456              |
| 2016                     | 6,906              |
| 2017                     | 5,164              |
| 2018                     | 4,064              |
| Thereafter               | 115,073            |
| Total                    | \$ 146,460         |

## 16. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in litigation on a number of matters and is subject to certain claims, which arise in the normal course of business, none of which, in the opinion of management, is expected to have a material adverse effect

on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. Legal fees are expensed as incurred.

The Company is also subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations as they apply to real estate pertaining to chemicals used by the dry cleaning industry, the existence of asbestos in older shopping centers, and underground petroleum storage tanks. The Company believes that the ultimate disposition of currently known environmental matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, liquidity, or operations; however, it can give no assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to the shopping centers have revealed all potential environmental liabilities; that any previous owner, occupant or tenant did not create any material environmental condition not known to it; that the current environmental condition of the shopping centers will not be affected by

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2013

tenants and occupants, by the condition of nearby properties, or by unrelated third parties; or that changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations or their interpretation will not result in additional environmental liability to the Company.

The Company has the right to issue letters of credit under the Line up to an amount not to exceed \$80.0 million, which reduces the credit availability under the Line. These letters of credit are primarily issued as collateral to facilitate the construction of development projects. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$19.3 million and \$20.8 million letters of credit outstanding, respectively.

## 17. Summary of Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes selected Quarterly Financial Data for the Company on a historical basis for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and has been derived from the accompanying consolidated financial statements as reclassified for discontinued operations (in thousands except per share and per unit data):

|   | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2013  |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Operating Data:   |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Revenues as originally reported   | \$ 126,088       | 125,842           | 122,110          | 126,005           |
| Reclassified to discontinued operations   | (5,710 )         | (3,535 )          | (1,793 )         | —                 |
| Adjusted Revenues   | \$ 120,378       | 122,307           | 120,317          | 126,005           |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                               | \$ 15,554        | 31,864            | 34,998           | 46,326            |
| Net income attributable to exchangeable operating partnership units                 | 39               | 70                | 73               | 94                |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                               | \$ 15,593        | 31,934            | 35,071           | 46,420            |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stock and unit holders per share and unit: |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Basic   | \$ 0.17          | 0.35              | 0.38             | 0.50              |
| Diluted   | \$ 0.17          | 0.35              | 0.38             | 0.50              |
| 2012  |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Operating Data:   |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Revenues as originally reported   | \$ 127,389       | 129,767           | 120,013          | 122,002           |
| Reclassified to discontinued operations   | (6,863 )         | (6,354 )          | (6,253 )         | (5,772 )          |
| Adjusted Revenues   | \$ 120,526       | 123,413           | 113,760          | 116,230           |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                               | \$ 13,181        | 5,697             | 11,637           | (37,179 )         |
| Net income attributable to exchangeable operating partnership units                 | 54               | 23                | 39               | (10 )             |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common unit holders                               | \$ 13,235        | 5,720             | 11,676           | (37,189 )         |



Net income (loss) attributable to common stock and unit holders per share and unit:

|         |         |      |      |       |   |
|---------|---------|------|------|-------|---|
| Basic   | \$ 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.13 | (0.41 | ) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.13 | (0.41 | ) |

116

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         |                          | Total Cost |        | Accumulated Depreciation | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements | Properties held for Sale | Total      |        |                          |                                 |           |
| 45 Commons Town Center          | \$30,760     | 35,830                  | (191 )  | 30,812 | 35,586                  | —                        | 66,398     | 14,232 | 52,166                   | 62,500                          |           |
| Airport Crossing Amerige        | 1,748        | 1,690                   | 85  | 1,744  | 1,780                   | —                        | 3,524      | 609    | 2,915                    | —                               |           |
| Heights Town Center             | 10,109       | 11,288                  | 354   | 10,109 | 11,642                  | —                        | 21,751     | 2,332  | 19,419                   | 16,796                          |           |
| Anastasia Plaza                 | 9,065        | —                       | 278   | 3,338  | 6,005                   | —                        | 9,343      | 1,020  | 8,323                    | —                               |           |
| Ashburn Farm Market Center      | 9,835        | 4,812                   | 111   | 9,835  | 4,923                   | —                        | 14,758     | 3,230  | 11,528                   | —                               |           |
| Ashford Perimeter               | 2,584        | 9,865                   | 550   | 2,584  | 10,415                  | —                        | 12,999     | 5,639  | 7,360                    | —                               |           |
| Augusta Center                  | 5,142        | 2,720                   | (5,618 )  | 1,386  | 858                     | —                        | 2,244      | 231    | 2,013                    | —                               |           |
| Aventura Shopping Center        | 2,751        | 10,459                  | 17  | 2,751  | 10,476                  | —                        | 13,227     | 10,050 | 3,177                    | —                               |           |
| Balboa Mesa Shopping Center     | 23,074       | 33,838                  | 130   | 23,074 | 33,969                  | —                        | 57,043     | 1,929  | 55,114                   | —                               |           |
| Bellevue Square                 | 8,132        | 9,756                   | 2,254   | 8,323  | 11,819                  | —                        | 20,142     | 4,870  | 15,272                   | 6,769                           |           |
| Berkshire Commons               | 2,295        | 9,551                   | 1,498   | 2,965  | 10,379                  | —                        | 13,344     | 6,007  | 7,337                    | 7,500                           |           |
| Bloomington Square              | 3,940        | 14,912                  | 1,585   | 3,940  | 16,497                  | —                        | 20,437     | 6,757  | 13,680                   | —                               |           |
| Boulevard Center                | 3,659        | 10,787                  | 1,068   | 3,659  | 11,855                  | —                        | 15,514     | 5,032  | 10,482                   | —                               |           |
| Boynton Lakes Plaza             | 2,628        | 11,236                  | 4,267   | 3,596  | 14,535                  | —                        | 18,131     | 4,613  | 13,518                   | —                               |           |
| Brentwood Plaza                 | 2,788        | 3,473                   | 184   | 2,788  | 3,657                   | —                        | 6,445      | 442    | 6,003                    | —                               |           |
| Briarcliff La Vista             | 694          | 3,292                   | 246   | 694    | 3,537                   | —                        | 4,231      | 2,177  | 2,054                    | —                               |           |
|                                 | 4,597        | 24,836                  | 1,180   | 4,597  | 26,016                  | —                        | 30,613     | 14,053 | 16,560                   | —                               |           |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                     |       |        |          |       |        |   |        |        |        |   |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Briarcliff<br>Village               |       |        |          |       |        |   |        |        |        |   |
| Bridgeton                           | 3,033 | 8,137  | 98       | 3,067 | 8,201  | — | 11,268 | 863    | 10,405 | — |
| Buckhead<br>Court                   | 1,417 | 7,432  | 234      | 1,417 | 7,666  | — | 9,083  | 4,665  | 4,418  | — |
| Buckley<br>Square                   | 2,970 | 5,978  | 722      | 2,970 | 6,700  | — | 9,670  | 3,073  | 6,597  | — |
| Buckwalter<br>Place Shopping<br>Ctr | 6,563 | 6,590  | 127      | 6,592 | 6,688  | — | 13,280 | 2,178  | 11,102 | — |
| Caligo<br>Crossing                  | 2,459 | 4,897  | 14       | 2,459 | 4,910  | — | 7,369  | 1,481  | 5,888  | — |
| Cambridge<br>Square                 | 774   | 4,347  | 644      | 774   | 4,991  | — | 5,765  | 2,392  | 3,373  | — |
| Carmel<br>Commons                   | 2,466 | 12,548 | 4,153    | 3,422 | 15,745 | — | 19,167 | 6,198  | 12,969 | — |
| Carriage Gate                       | 833   | 4,974  | 2,308    | 1,284 | 6,832  | — | 8,116  | 3,899  | 4,217  | — |
| Centerplace of<br>Greeley III       | 6,661 | 11,502 | 2,531    | 6,807 | 13,887 | — | 20,694 | 3,046  | 17,648 | — |
| Chasewood<br>Plaza                  | 4,612 | 20,829 | (1,517 ) | 4,663 | 19,260 | — | 23,923 | 11,908 | 12,015 | — |
| Cherry Grove                        | 3,533 | 15,862 | 1,620    | 3,533 | 17,482 | — | 21,015 | 7,021  | 13,994 | — |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         | Properties held for Sale | Total  | Accumulated Depreciation | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements |                          |        |                          |                                 |           |
| Clayton Valley Shopping Center  | 24,185       | 1,422                   | 1,978   | 24,538 | 37,051                  | —                        | 61,589 | 14,651                   | 46,938                          | —         |
| Cochran's Crossing              | 13,154       | 2,315                   | 723   | 13,154 | 13,038                  | —                        | 26,192 | 6,861                    | 19,331                          | —         |
| Corkscrew Village               | 8,407        | 8,004                   | 101   | 8,407  | 8,105                   | —                        | 16,512 | 2,077                    | 14,435                          | 8,187     |
| Cornerstone Square              | 1,772        | 6,944                   | 1,056   | 1,772  | 8,001                   | —                        | 9,773  | 3,961                    | 5,812                           | —         |
| Corvallis Market Center         | 6,674        | 12,244                  | 36  | 6,696  | 12,259                  | —                        | 18,955 | 3,028                    | 15,927                          | —         |
| Costa Verde Center              | 12,740       | 6,868                   | 1,050   | 12,798 | 27,860                  | —                        | 40,658 | 12,210                   | 28,448                          | —         |
| Courtyard Landcom               | 5,867        | 4                       | 3   | 5,867  | 7                       | —                        | 5,874  | 1                        | 5,873                           | —         |
| Culpeper Colonnade              | 15,944       | 10,601                  | 42  | 15,947 | 10,639                  | —                        | 26,586 | 4,888                    | 21,698                          | —         |
| Dardenne Crossing               | 4,194        | 4,005                   | 79  | 4,195  | 4,083                   | —                        | 8,278  | 577                      | 7,701                           | —         |
| Delk Spectrum                   | 2,985        | 12,001                  | 861   | 3,000  | 12,847                  | —                        | 15,847 | 5,400                    | 10,447                          | —         |
| Diablo Plaza                    | 5,300        | 8,181                   | 877   | 5,300  | 9,058                   | —                        | 14,358 | 3,576                    | 10,782                          | —         |
| Dickson Tn                      | 675          | 1,568                   | —   | 675    | 1,568                   | —                        | 2,243  | 557                      | 1,686                           | —         |
| Dunwoody Village                | 3,342        | 15,934                  | 2,404   | 3,342  | 18,338                  | —                        | 21,680 | 9,839                    | 11,841                          | —         |
| East Pointe                     | 1,730        | 7,189                   | 1,137   | 1,705  | 8,351                   | —                        | 10,056 | 3,613                    | 6,443                           | —         |
| East Towne Center               | 2,957        | 4,938                   | (95 )   | 2,957  | 4,843                   | —                        | 7,800  | 2,496                    | 5,304                           | —         |
| East Washington Place           | 15,994       | 10,151                  | —   | 15,993 | 40,151                  | —                        | 56,144 | 1,062                    | 55,082                          | —         |
| El Camino Shopping Center       | 7,600        | 11,538                  | 717   | 7,600  | 12,255                  | —                        | 19,855 | 4,574                    | 15,281                          | —         |
| El Cerrito Plaza                | 11,027       | 17,371                  | 666   | 11,025 | 28,037                  | —                        | 39,062 | 5,123                    | 33,939                          | 39,355    |
| El Norte Parkway Plaza          | 2,834        | 7,370                   | 3,009   | 3,199  | 10,014                  | —                        | 13,213 | 3,299                    | 9,914                           | —         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                              |        |        |          |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Encina Grande                | 5,040  | 11,572 | (31 )    | 5,040  | 11,541 | — | 16,581 | 4,807  | 11,774 | —      |
| Fairfax Shopping Center      | 15,239 | 1,367  | (5,539 ) | 13,175 | 7,892  | — | 21,067 | 1,419  | 19,648 | —      |
| Falcon                       | 1,340  | 4,168  | 182      | 1,340  | 4,350  | — | 5,690  | 1,219  | 4,471  | —      |
| Fellsway Plaza               | 30,712 | 7,327  | —        | 30,712 | 7,327  | — | 38,039 | 221    | 37,818 | 28,100 |
| Fenton Marketplace           | 2,298  | 8,510  | (8,592 ) | 512    | 1,704  | — | 2,216  | 172    | 2,044  | —      |
| Fleming Island               | 3,077  | 11,587 | 2,057    | 3,111  | 13,610 | — | 16,721 | 4,806  | 11,915 | 417    |
| French Valley Village Center | 11,924 | 6,856  | 5        | 11,822 | 16,964 | — | 28,786 | 7,214  | 21,572 | —      |
| Friars Mission Center        | 6,660  | 28,021 | 827      | 6,660  | 28,848 | — | 35,508 | 10,830 | 24,678 | 272    |
| Gardens Square               | 2,136  | 8,273  | 376      | 2,136  | 8,649  | — | 10,785 | 3,713  | 7,072  | —      |
| Gateway 101                  | 24,971 | 9,113  | 20       | 24,971 | 9,134  | — | 34,105 | 2,205  | 31,900 | —      |
| Gateway Shopping Center      | 52,667 | 8,134  | 1,654    | 52,672 | 8,781  | — | 61,453 | 8,491  | 52,962 | —      |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         | Properties held for Sale | Total  | Accumulated Depreciation | Total Cost                      |           |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements |                          |        |                          | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |
| Gelson's Westlake               | 3,157        | 1,153                   | 357   | 3,157  | 11,510                  | —                        | 14,667 | 4,083                    | 10,584                          | —         |
| Market Plaza                    |              |                         |   |        |                         |                          |        |                          |                                 |           |
| Glen Oak Plaza                  | 4,103        | 12,951                  | 305   | 4,103  | 13,256                  | —                        | 17,359 | 1,559                    | 15,800                          | —         |
| Glenwood Village                | 1,194        | 5,381                   | 132   | 1,194  | 5,513                   | —                        | 6,707  | 3,320                    | 3,387                           | —         |
| Golden Hills Plaza              | 12,698       | 8,482                   | 3,318   | 12,693 | 21,805                  | —                        | 34,498 | 3,686                    | 30,812                          | —         |
| Grand Ridge Plaza               | 2,240        | 8,454                   | 74,547  | 24,208 | 61,033                  | —                        | 85,241 | 1,392                    | 83,849                          | 11,482    |
| Greenwood Springs               | 2,720        | 8,059                   | (3,728)   | 889    | 1,162                   | —                        | 2,051  | 120                      | 1,931                           | —         |
| Hancock                         | 8,232        | 28,260                  | 1,047   | 8,232  | 29,307                  | —                        | 37,539 | 12,304                   | 25,235                          | —         |
| Harpeth Village                 | 2,284        | 9,443                   | 234   | 2,284  | 9,677                   | —                        | 11,961 | 3,904                    | 8,057                           | —         |
| Fieldstone                      |              |                         |   |        |                         |                          |        |                          |                                 |           |
| Harris Crossing                 | 7,199        | 8,677                   | 6   | 7,159  | 3,723                   | —                        | 10,882 | 1,070                    | 9,812                           | —         |
| Heritage Land                   | 12,390       | —                       | (453)   | 11,937 | —                       | —                        | 11,937 | —                        | 11,937                          | —         |
| Heritage Plaza                  | —            | 26,097                  | 13,372  | 278    | 39,192                  | —                        | 39,470 | 11,989                   | 27,481                          | —         |
| Hershey                         | 7            | 808                     | 6   | 7      | 814                     | —                        | 821    | 271                      | 550                             | —         |
| Hibernia Pavilion               | 4,929        | 5,065                   | (18)  | 4,929  | 5,047                   | —                        | 9,976  | 1,515                    | 8,461                           | —         |
| Hibernia Plaza                  | 267          | 230                     | 1   | 267    | 231                     | —                        | 498    | 46                       | 452                             | —         |
| Hickory Creek Plaza             | 5,629        | 4,564                   | 283   | 5,629  | 4,847                   | —                        | 10,476 | 2,080                    | 8,396                           | —         |
| Hillcrest Village               | 1,600        | 1,909                   | 51  | 1,600  | 1,960                   | —                        | 3,560  | 742                      | 2,818                           | —         |
| Hilltop Village                 | 2,995        | 4,581                   | (930)   | 2,596  | 4,050                   | —                        | 6,646  | 280                      | 6,366                           | 7,500     |
| Hinsdale                        | 5,734        | 16,709                  | 1,538   | 5,734  | 18,247                  | —                        | 23,981 | 7,542                    | 16,439                          | —         |
| Holly Park                      | 8,975        | 23,799                  | —   | 8,975  | 23,799                  | —                        | 32,774 | —                        | 32,774                          | —         |
| Horton's Corner                 | 3,137        | 2,779                   | (5,916)   | —      | —                       | —                        | —      | 37                       | (37)                            | —         |
| Howell Mill Village             | 5,157        | 14,279                  | 1,863   | 5,157  | 16,142                  | —                        | 21,299 | 2,687                    | 18,612                          | —         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                          |        |        |          |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Hyde Park                | 9,809  | 9,905  | 1,631    | 9,809  | 41,536 | — | 51,345 | 18,558 | 32,787 | —      |
| Indio Towne Center       | 17,946 | 1,985  | (90 )    | 17,317 | 32,524 | — | 49,841 | 7,888  | 41,953 | —      |
| Inglewood Plaza          | 1,300  | 2,159  | 136      | 1,300  | 2,295  | — | 3,595  | 943    | 2,652  | —      |
| Jefferson Square         | 5,167  | 6,445  | (7,242 ) | 1,894  | 2,477  | — | 4,371  | 114    | 4,257  | —      |
| Keller Town Center       | 2,294  | 12,841 | 88       | 2,294  | 12,929 | — | 15,223 | 4,901  | 10,322 | —      |
| Kent Place Kings         | 4,853  | 3,544  | 825      | 5,210  | 4,014  | — | 9,224  | 108    | 9,116  | 8,250  |
| Crossing Sun City        | 515    | 1,246  | 116      | 515    | 1,363  | — | 1,878  | 387    | 1,491  | —      |
| Kirkwood Commons         | 6,772  | 16,224 | 445      | 6,802  | 16,639 | — | 23,441 | 1,588  | 21,853 | 11,510 |
| Kroger New Albany Center | 3,844  | 6,599  | 431      | 3,844  | 7,030  | — | 10,874 | 4,177  | 6,697  | —      |

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         |                          | Accumulated Depreciation | Total Cost                      |           |        |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements | Properties held for Sale |                          | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |        |
| Kulpsville                      | 5,518        | 756                     | 142   | 5,600  | 3,815                   | —                        | 9,415                    | 1,004                           | 8,411     | —      |
| Lake Pine Plaza                 | 2,008        | 7,632                   | 394   | 2,029  | 8,005                   | —                        | 10,034                   | 3,173                           | 6,861     | —      |
| Lebanon Center                  | 3,865        | 751                     | (439)   | 3,215  | 5,962                   | —                        | 9,177                    | 1,585                           | 7,592     | —      |
| Lebanon/Legacy Center           | 3,913        | 7,874                   | 106   | 3,913  | 7,979                   | —                        | 11,892                   | 4,316                           | 7,576     | —      |
| Littleton Square                | 2,030        | 8,859                   | 310   | 2,030  | 9,169                   | —                        | 11,199                   | 3,606                           | 7,593     | —      |
| Lloyd King                      | 1,779        | 10,060                  | 1,007   | 1,779  | 11,066                  | —                        | 12,845                   | 4,353                           | 8,492     | —      |
| Loehmann's Plaza                | 3,983        | 8,687                   | 722   | 4,097  | 19,294                  | —                        | 23,391                   | 9,733                           | 13,658    | —      |
| Loehmanns Plaza California      | 5,420        | 9,450                   | 607   | 5,420  | 10,057                  | —                        | 15,477                   | 4,133                           | 11,344    | —      |
| Lower Nazareth Commons          | 15,992       | 2,964                   | 3,195   | 16,343 | 15,809                  | —                        | 32,152                   | 4,145                           | 28,007    | —      |
| Market at Colonnade Center      | 6,455        | 9,839                   | (53)  | 6,160  | 10,081                  | —                        | 16,241                   | 1,307                           | 14,934    | —      |
| Market at Preston Forest        | 4,400        | 1,445                   | 947   | 4,400  | 12,392                  | —                        | 16,792                   | 4,829                           | 11,963    | —      |
| Market at Round Rock            | 2,000        | 9,676                   | 5,546   | 2,000  | 15,222                  | —                        | 17,222                   | 5,339                           | 11,883    | —      |
| Marketplace Shopping Center     | 1,287        | 5,509                   | 4,983   | 1,330  | 10,449                  | —                        | 11,779                   | 3,699                           | 8,080     | —      |
| Marketplace at Briargate        | 1,706        | 4,885                   | 28  | 1,727  | 4,892                   | —                        | 6,619                    | 1,672                           | 4,947     | —      |
| Middle Creek Commons            | 5,042        | 8,100                   | 163   | 5,091  | 8,214                   | —                        | 13,305                   | 2,524                           | 10,781    | —      |
| Millhopper Shopping Center      | 1,073        | 5,358                   | 4,520   | 1,796  | 9,155                   | —                        | 10,951                   | 5,324                           | 5,627     | —      |
| Mockingbird Common              | 3,000        | 10,728                  | 586   | 3,000  | 11,314                  | —                        | 14,314                   | 4,661                           | 9,653     | 10,300 |
| Monument Jackson Creek          | 2,996        | 6,765                   | 604   | 2,999  | 7,369                   | —                        | 10,368                   | 4,254                           | 6,114     | —      |
| Morningside Plaza               | 4,300        | 13,951                  | 444   | 4,300  | 14,395                  | —                        | 18,695                   | 5,809                           | 12,886    | —      |
| Murryhill Marketplace           | 2,670        | 18,401                  | 1,279   | 2,670  | 19,679                  | —                        | 22,349                   | 7,799                           | 14,550    | 7,013  |
| Naples Walk                     | 18,173       | 3,554                   | 277   | 18,173 | 13,831                  | —                        | 32,004                   | 3,363                           | 28,641    | 15,524 |



Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                                |        |        |       |        |        |   |        |       |        |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| Newberry Square                | 2,412  | 10,150 | 240   | 2,412  | 10,390 | — | 12,802 | 6,610 | 6,192  | —      |
| Newland Center                 | 12,500 | 10,697 | 655   | 12,500 | 11,352 | — | 23,852 | 5,011 | 18,841 | —      |
| Nocatee Town Center            | 10,128 | 1,691  | (550) | 9,375  | 8,891  | — | 18,266 | 1,845 | 16,421 | —      |
| North Hills                    | 4,900  | 19,774 | 884   | 4,900  | 20,658 | — | 25,558 | 8,079 | 17,479 | —      |
| Northgate Marketplace          | 5,668  | 13,727 | 1,272 | 6,232  | 14,435 | — | 20,667 | 1,048 | 19,619 | —      |
| Northgate Plaza (Maxtown Road) | 1,769  | 6,652  | 184   | 1,769  | 6,836  | — | 8,605  | 3,007 | 5,598  | —      |
| Northgate Square               | 5,011  | 18,692 | 201   | 5,011  | 8,893  | — | 13,904 | 2,156 | 11,748 | —      |
| Northlake Village              | 2,662  | 11,284 | 1,108 | 2,686  | 12,367 | — | 15,053 | 4,501 | 10,552 | —      |
| Oak Shade Town Center          | 6,591  | 28,966 | 392   | 6,591  | 29,358 | — | 35,949 | 2,467 | 33,482 | 10,147 |

120

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup>      | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         |                          | Accumulated Depreciation | Total Cost                      |           |        |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|
|                                      | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements | Properties held for Sale |                          | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |        |
| Oakbrook Plaza                       | 4,000        | 6,668                   | 324   | 4,000  | 6,992                   | —                        | 10,992                   | 2,833                           | 8,159     | —      |
| Oakleaf Commons                      | 3,503        | 1,671                   | 226   | 3,503  | 11,897                  | —                        | 15,400                   | 3,239                           | 12,161    | —      |
| Ocala Corners Old St Augustine Plaza | 1,816        | 10,515                  | 83  | 1,816  | 10,598                  | —                        | 12,414                   | 1,197                           | 11,217    | 5,211  |
| Orangeburg & Central                 | 2,071        | 2,384                   | (82)  | 2,071  | 2,301                   | —                        | 4,372                    | 620                             | 3,752     | —      |
| Paces Ferry Plaza                    | 2,812        | 12,639                  | 169   | 2,812  | 12,809                  | —                        | 15,621                   | 7,205                           | 8,416     | —      |
| Panther Creek                        | 14,414       | 14,748                  | 2,563   | 15,212 | 16,513                  | —                        | 31,725                   | 8,479                           | 23,246    | —      |
| Peartree Village                     | 5,197        | 19,746                  | 794   | 5,197  | 20,540                  | —                        | 25,737                   | 9,125                           | 16,612    | 8,043  |
| Pike Creek                           | 5,153        | 20,652                  | 1,529   | 5,251  | 22,083                  | —                        | 27,334                   | 9,053                           | 18,281    | —      |
| Pima Crossing                        | 5,800        | 28,143                  | 1,051   | 5,800  | 29,194                  | —                        | 34,994                   | 12,013                          | 22,981    | —      |
| Pine Lake Village                    | 6,300        | 10,991                  | 589   | 6,300  | 11,580                  | —                        | 17,880                   | 4,655                           | 13,225    | —      |
| Pine Tree Plaza                      | 668          | 6,220                   | 246   | 668    | 6,466                   | —                        | 7,134                    | 2,639                           | 4,495     | —      |
| Plaza Hermosa                        | 4,200        | 10,109                  | 2,139   | 4,203  | 12,245                  | —                        | 16,448                   | 3,859                           | 12,589    | 13,800 |
| Powell Street Plaza                  | 8,248        | 30,716                  | 1,646   | 8,248  | 32,362                  | —                        | 40,610                   | 10,389                          | 30,221    | —      |
| Powers Ferry Square                  | 3,687        | 17,965                  | 5,042   | 5,123  | 21,572                  | —                        | 26,695                   | 10,535                          | 16,160    | —      |
| Powers Ferry Village                 | 1,191        | 4,672                   | 279   | 1,191  | 4,951                   | —                        | 6,142                    | 2,729                           | 3,413     | —      |
| Prairie City Crossing                | 4,164        | 13,032                  | 392   | 4,164  | 13,424                  | —                        | 17,588                   | 4,424                           | 13,164    | —      |
| Prestonbrook                         | 7,069        | 8,622                   | 144   | 7,069  | 8,766                   | —                        | 15,835                   | 5,330                           | 10,505    | 6,800  |
| Preston Oaks                         | 763          | 30,438                  | 39  | 763    | 30,477                  | —                        | 31,240                   | 553                             | 30,687    | —      |
| Red Bank                             | 10,336       | 5,505                   | (165)   | 10,110 | 9,566                   | —                        | 19,676                   | 1,305                           | 18,371    | —      |
| Regency Commons                      | 3,917        | 3,616                   | 149   | 3,917  | 3,765                   | —                        | 7,682                    | 1,641                           | 6,041     | —      |
| Regency Solar (Saugus)               | —            | —                       | 758   | 6      | 752                     | —                        | 758                      | 40                              | 718       | —      |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                   |            |          |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |
|-------------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Regency Square    | 4,770,191  | 3,862    | 5,067  | 28,756 | — | 33,823 | 18,648 | 15,175 | —      |
| Rona Plaza        | 1,504,917  | 173      | 1,500  | 5,090  | — | 6,590  | 2,316  | 4,274  | —      |
| Russell Ridge     | 2,234,903  | 799      | 2,234  | 7,702  | — | 9,936  | 3,643  | 6,293  | —      |
| Sammamish         | 9,308,075  | 6,369    | 9,441  | 14,302 | — | 23,743 | 3,513  | 20,230 | —      |
| San Leandro Plaza | 1,308,226  | 411      | 1,300  | 8,637  | — | 9,937  | 3,256  | 6,681  | —      |
| Sandy Springs     | 6,882,056  | 954      | 6,889  | 29,010 | — | 35,899 | 1,164  | 34,735 | 16,370 |
| Saugus            | 19,207,984 | (1,123 ) | 18,805 | 17,257 | — | 36,062 | 4,660  | 31,402 | —      |
| Seminole Shoppes  | 8,593,523  | 66       | 8,629  | 7,552  | — | 16,181 | 1,178  | 15,003 | 9,000  |

121

---

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         |                          | Accumulated Depreciation | Total Cost                      |           |        |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements | Properties held for Sale |                          | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |        |
| Sequoia Station                 | 9,100        | 18,356                  | 1,366   | 9,100  | 19,722                  | —                        | 28,822                   | 7,338                           | 21,484    | 21,100 |
| Sherwood II Shoppes @ 104       | 2,731        | 16,360                  | 404   | 2,731  | 6,764                   | —                        | 9,495                    | 1,958                           | 7,537     | —      |
| Shoppes at Fairhope Village     | 11,193       | —                       | 226   | 6,652  | 4,767                   | —                        | 11,419                   | 940                             | 10,479    | —      |
| Shoppes of Grande Oak           | 6,920        | 11,198                  | 179   | 6,920  | 11,377                  | —                        | 18,297                   | 2,450                           | 15,847    | —      |
| Shops at Arizona                | 5,091        | 5,985                   | 137   | 5,091  | 6,122                   | —                        | 11,213                   | 3,617                           | 7,596     | —      |
| Shops at County Center          | 3,063        | 3,243                   | 31  | 3,063  | 3,274                   | —                        | 6,337                    | 1,637                           | 4,700     | —      |
| Shops at Erwin Mill             | 9,957        | 11,269                  | 645   | 10,162 | 11,709                  | —                        | 21,871                   | 4,656                           | 17,215    | —      |
| Shops at Johns Creek            | 236          | 131                     | —   | 236    | 131                     | —                        | 367                      | 32                              | 335       | —      |
| Shops at Quail Creek            | 1,863        | 2,014                   | (359)   | 1,501  | 2,017                   | —                        | 3,518                    | 837                             | 2,681     | —      |
| Signature Plaza                 | 1,487        | 7,717                   | 381   | 1,486  | 8,098                   | —                        | 9,584                    | 1,644                           | 7,940     | —      |
| South Bay Village               | 2,396        | 3,898                   | (69)  | 2,396  | 3,830                   | —                        | 6,226                    | 1,793                           | 4,433     | —      |
| South Lowry Square              | 11,714       | 15,580                  | 1,385   | 11,776 | 16,903                  | —                        | 28,679                   | 975                             | 27,704    | —      |
| Southcenter                     | 3,434        | 10,445                  | 800   | 3,434  | 11,245                  | —                        | 14,679                   | 4,419                           | 10,260    | —      |
| Southpark at Cinco Ranch        | 1,300        | 12,750                  | 748   | 1,300  | 13,498                  | —                        | 14,798                   | 5,092                           | 9,706     | —      |
| SouthPoint Crossing             | 18,395       | 1,306                   | —   | 18,395 | 11,307                  | —                        | 29,702                   | 606                             | 29,096    | —      |
| Starke                          | 4,412        | 12,235                  | 291   | 4,412  | 12,526                  | —                        | 16,938                   | 4,678                           | 12,260    | —      |
| State Street Crossing           | 71           | 1,683                   | 2   | 71     | 1,685                   | —                        | 1,756                    | 556                             | 1,200     | —      |
| Sterling Ridge                  | 1,283        | 1,970                   | 104   | 1,283  | 2,074                   | —                        | 3,357                    | 333                             | 3,024     | —      |
| Stonewall                       | 12,846       | 12,162                  | 464   | 12,846 | 12,626                  | —                        | 25,472                   | 6,789                           | 18,683    | 13,900 |
|                                 | 27,512       | 12,123                  | 5,311   | 28,127 | 26,818                  | —                        | 54,945                   | 8,425                           | 46,520    | —      |
|                                 | 4,060        | 8,084                   | 290   | 4,060  | 8,374                   | —                        | 12,434                   | 3,491                           | 8,943     | —      |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                     |            |        |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |
|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Strawflower Village |            |        |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |
| Stroh Ranch         | 4,280,189  | 389    | 4,280  | 8,578  | — | 12,858 | 4,869  | 7,989  | —      |
| Suncoast Crossing   | 4,057,545  | 10,235 | 9,030  | 10,806 | — | 19,836 | 2,875  | 16,961 | —      |
| Sunnyside 205       | 1,200,459  | 1,369  | 1,200  | 10,828 | — | 12,028 | 3,903  | 8,125  | —      |
| Tanasbourne Market  | 3,269,861  | (302 ) | 3,269  | 10,558 | — | 13,827 | 2,681  | 11,146 | —      |
| Tassajara Crossing  | 8,560,464  | 665    | 8,560  | 16,129 | — | 24,689 | 6,262  | 18,427 | 19,800 |
| Tech Ridge Center   | 12,947,169 | 251    | 12,945 | 37,420 | — | 50,365 | 3,606  | 46,759 | 10,497 |
| Town Square         | 883 8,132  | 245    | 883    | 8,377  | — | 9,260  | 3,800  | 5,460  | —      |
| Twin City Plaza     | 17,244,225 | 1,354  | 17,263 | 45,561 | — | 62,824 | 10,352 | 52,472 | 40,493 |
| Twin Peaks          | 5,200,827  | 457    | 5,200  | 26,284 | — | 31,484 | 10,095 | 21,389 | —      |
| Uptown District     | 18,771,906 | 311    | 18,771 | 62,218 | — | 80,989 | 1,946  | 79,043 | —      |

122

## REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

## Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| Shopping Centers <sup>(1)</sup> | Initial Cost |                         | Total Cost  |        |                         |                                | Accumulated Depreciation | Net of Accumulated Depreciation | Mortgages |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|                                 | Land         | Building & Improvements | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition <sup>(2)</sup> | Land   | Building & Improvements | Properties held for Sale Total |                          |                                 |           |
| Valencia Crossroads             | 17,921       | 17,659                  | 372   | 17,921 | 18,031                  | —35,952                        | 12,188                   | 23,764                          | —         |
| Ventura Village                 | 4,300        | 6,648                   | 426   | 4,300  | 7,074                   | —11,374                        | 2,758                    | 8,616                           | —         |
| Village at Lee Airpark          | 11,099       | 12,955                  | 117   | 11,176 | 12,996                  | —24,172                        | 3,058                    | 21,114                          | —         |
| Village Center                  | 3,885        | 14,131                  | (1,382)   | 3,885  | 12,748                  | —16,633                        | 5,964                    | 10,669                          | —         |
| Walker Center                   | 3,840        | 7,232                   | 3,114   | 3,878  | 10,308                  | —14,186                        | 3,446                    | 10,740                          | —         |
| Walton Towne Center             | 3,872        | 3,298                   | 93  | 3,872  | 3,392                   | —7,264                         | 864                      | 6,400                           | —         |
| Welleby Plaza                   | 1,496        | 7,787                   | 558   | 1,496  | 8,345                   | —9,841                         | 5,414                    | 4,427                           | —         |
| Wellington Town Square          | 2,041        | 12,131                  | 214   | 2,041  | 12,346                  | —14,387                        | 5,280                    | 9,107                           | 12,800    |
| West Park Plaza                 | 5,840        | 5,759                   | 851   | 5,840  | 6,610                   | —12,450                        | 2,631                    | 9,819                           | —         |
| Westbrook Commons               | 3,366        | 11,751                  | (536)   | 3,091  | 11,490                  | —14,581                        | 3,998                    | 10,583                          | —         |
| Westchase                       | 5,302        | 8,273                   | 229   | 5,302  | 8,502                   | —13,804                        | 1,972                    | 11,832                          | 7,529     |
| Westchester Plaza               | 1,857        | 7,572                   | 229   | 1,857  | 7,801                   | —9,658                         | 4,132                    | 5,526                           | —         |
| Westlake Plaza and Center       | 7,043        | 27,195                  | 1,469   | 7,043  | 28,665                  | —35,708                        | 11,579                   | 24,129                          | —         |
| Westwood Village                | 19,933       | 25,301                  | (678)   | 20,135 | 24,421                  | —44,556                        | 7,426                    | 37,130                          | —         |
| White Oak                       | 2,144        | 3,069                   | 3   | 2,144  | 3,072                   | —5,216                         | 2,147                    | 3,069                           | —         |
| Willow Festival                 | 1,954        | 56,501                  | 408   | 1,954  | 56,909                  | —58,863                        | 5,580                    | 53,283                          | 39,507    |
| Windmill Plaza Phase I          | 2,638        | 13,241                  | 30  | 2,638  | 13,271                  | —15,909                        | 5,824                    | 10,085                          | —         |
| Woodcroft Shopping Center       | 1,419        | 6,284                   | 408   | 1,421  | 6,690                   | —8,111                         | 3,253                    | 4,858                           | —         |

Edgar Filing: REGENCY CENTERS CORP - Form 10-K

|                               |             |           |           |           |           |            |         |           |         |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Woodman Van Nuys              | 5,500       | 7,195     | 197       | 5,500     | 7,392     | —12,892    | 2,920   | 9,972     | —       |
| Woodmen and Rangewood         | 7,621       | 11,018    | 448       | 7,620     | 11,467    | —19,087    | 8,493   | 10,594    | —       |
| Woodside Central              | 3,500       | 9,288     | 508       | 3,500     | 9,796     | —13,296    | 3,703   | 9,593     | —       |
|                               |             |           |           |           |           | —          |         |           |         |
| Total Corporately Held Assets | —           | —         | 3,526     | —         | 3,526     | 3,526      | 2,551   | 975       | —       |
|                               |             |           |           |           |           | —          |         |           |         |
| Properties in Development     | (200 )      | 1,078,886 | (892,236) | —         | 186,450   | —186,450   | —       | 186,450   | —       |
|                               | \$1,242,276 | 3,472,314 | (688,058) | 1,249,779 | 2,776,752 | —4,026,531 | 844,873 | 3,181,658 | 476,472 |

(1) See Item 2, Properties for geographic location and year each operating property was acquired.

(2) The negative balance for costs capitalized subsequent to acquisition could include out-parcels sold, provision for loss recorded and development transfers subsequent to the initial costs.

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION AND REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.  
 Schedule III - Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation, continued  
 December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

Depreciation and amortization of the Company's investment in buildings and improvements reflected in the statements of operations is calculated over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are up to 40 years. The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$3.3 billion at December 31, 2013.

The changes in total real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

|                               | 2013         | 2012       | 2011      |   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Beginning balance             | \$ 3,909,912 | 4,101,912  | 3,989,154 |   |
| Acquired properties           | 143,992      | 220,340    | 149,774   |   |
| Developments and improvements | 180,374      | 141,807    | 70,789    |   |
| Sale of properties            | (200,393     | ) (491,438 | ) (92,872 | ) |
| Provision for impairment      | (7,354       | ) (62,709  | ) (14,933 | ) |
| Ending balance                | \$ 4,026,531 | 3,909,912  | 4,101,912 |   |

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

|                          | 2013       | 2012       | 2011      |   |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Beginning balance        | \$ 782,749 | 791,619    | 700,878   |   |
| Depreciation expense     | 99,883     | 104,087    | 107,932   |   |
| Sale of properties       | (36,405    | ) (104,748 | ) (14,101 | ) |
| Provision for impairment | (1,354     | ) (8,209   | ) (3,090  | ) |
| Ending balance           | \$ 844,873 | 782,749    | 791,619   |   |

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.



Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure  
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Controls and Procedures (Regency Centers Corporation)

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Parent Company's management, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, the Parent Company conducted an evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, the Parent Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K to ensure information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Parent Company in the reports it files or submits is accumulated and communicated to management, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Parent Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, the Parent Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its evaluation under the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992), the Parent Company's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K and, as part of their audit, has issued a report, included herein, on the effectiveness of the Parent Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Parent Company's system of internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in the Parent Company's internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2013 and that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal controls over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures (Regency Centers, L.P.)

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Operating Partnership's management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of its general partner, the Operating Partnership conducted an evaluation of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of its general

partner concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K to ensure information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Operating Partnership in the reports it files or submits is accumulated and communicated to management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of its general partner, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

125

---

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Operating Partnership's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of its general partner, the Operating Partnership conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its evaluation under the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992), the Operating Partnership's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K and, as part of their audit, has issued a report, included herein, on the effectiveness of the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

The Operating Partnership's system of internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

#### Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in the Operating Partnership's internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2013 and that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal controls over financial reporting.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable

### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information concerning our directors is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Information regarding executive officers is included in Part I of this Form 10-K as permitted by General Instruction G(3).

Audit Committee, Independence, Financial Experts. Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10 K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. Information concerning filings under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act by our directors or executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Code of Ethics. We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to our Board of Directors, principal executive officers, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions. The text of this code

of ethics may be found on our web site at [www.regencycenters.com](http://www.regencycenters.com). We intend to post notice of any waiver from, or amendment to, any provision of our code of ethics on our web site.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

126

---

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

127

---

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

|  | (a)   | (b)  | (c)   |
|--|---|--|---|
| Plan Category  | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights <sup>(1)</sup> | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column <sup>(2)</sup> ) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | 295,924   | \$52.46  | 2,838,677   |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | N/A   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Total  | 295,924   | \$52.46  | 2,838,677   |

<sup>(1)</sup> The weighted average exercise price excludes stock rights awards, which we sometimes refer to as unvested restricted stock.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Regency Centers Corporation 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan, (“Omnibus Plan”), as approved by stockholders at our 2011 annual meeting, provides that an aggregate maximum of 4.1 million shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance under the Omnibus Plan.

Information about security ownership is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

## PART IV

## Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

## (a) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules:

Regency Centers Corporation and Regency Centers, L.P. 2013 financial statements and financial statement schedule, together with the reports of KPMG LLP are listed on the index immediately preceding the financial statements in Item

8, Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Data.

(b) Exhibits:

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this report, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about the Company, its subsidiaries or other parties to the agreements. The Agreements contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

128

---

should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;  
have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;  
may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and  
were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. We acknowledge that, notwithstanding the inclusion of the foregoing cautionary statements, we are responsible for considering whether additional specific disclosures of material information regarding material contractual provisions are required to make the statements in this report not misleading. Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this report and the Company's other public files, which are available without charge through the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Unless otherwise indicated below, the Commission file number to the exhibit is No. 001-12298.

#### 1. Underwriting Agreement

Equity Distribution Agreement (the "Wells Agreement") among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and Wells (a) Fargo Securities, LLC dated August 10, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 10, 2012).

Amendment No. 1 to Equity Distribution Agreement (the "Wells Amendment") among the Company, Regency (i) Centers, L.P. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC dated August 6, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 6, 2013).

The Equity Distribution Agreements listed below are substantially identical in all material respects to the Wells Agreement, as amended by the Wells Amendment, except for the identities of the parties, and have not been filed as exhibits to the Company's 1934 Act reports pursuant to Instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

Equity Distribution Agreement among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & (ii) Smith Incorporated dated August 10, 2012, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Equity Distribution Agreement among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated dated August 6, 2013; and

Equity Distribution Agreement among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (iii) dated August 10, 2012, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Equity Distribution Agreement among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC dated August 6, 2013.

Equity Distribution Agreement (the "Jefferies Agreement") among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and (b) Jefferies LLC dated August 6, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on August 6, 2013).

The Equity Distribution Agreement listed below is substantially identical in all material respects to the Jefferies Agreement except for the identities of the parties, and has not been filed as an exhibit to the Company's 1934 Act reports pursuant to Instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

(i) Equity Distribution Agreement among the Company, Regency Centers, L.P. and RBC Capital Markets, LLC dated August 6, 2013.



3. Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

- (a) Restated Articles of Incorporation of Regency Centers Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 5, 2013).
- (b) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Regency Centers Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(b) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on November 7, 2008).

129

---

- (c) Fourth Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of Regency Centers, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Regency Centers, L.P.'s Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2009).
- (d) Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Regency Centers, L.P., as amended.
- 4. Instruments Defining Rights of Security Holders
  - See Exhibits 3(a) and 3(b) for provisions of the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of the Company defining the (a) rights of security holders. See Exhibits 3(c) and 3(d) for provisions of the Partnership Agreement of Regency Centers, L.P. defining rights of security holders.
  - Indenture dated December 5, 2001 between Regency Centers, L.P., the guarantors named therein and First Union (b) National Bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Regency Centers, L.P.'s Form 8-K filed on December 10, 2001).
  - First Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 5, 2007 among Regency Centers, L.P., the Company as guarantor and (i) U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly known as First Union National Bank), as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Regency Centers, L.P.'s Form 8-K filed on June 5, 2007).
  - Indenture dated July 18, 2005 between Regency Centers, L.P., the guarantors named therein and Wachovia Bank, (c) National Bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Regency Centers, L.P.'s registration statement on Form S-4 filed on August 5, 2005, No. 333-127274).
- 10. Material Contracts (~ indicates management contract or compensatory plan)
  - ~(a) Regency Centers Corporation Long Term Omnibus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2008).
  - ~(i) Form of Stock Rights Award Agreement pursuant to the Company's Long Term Omnibus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2006).
  - ~(ii) Form of 409A Amendment to Stock Rights Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b)(i) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March on 17, 2009).
  - ~(iii) Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement pursuant to the Company's Long Term Omnibus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2006).
  - ~(iv) Form of 409A Amendment to Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(i) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2009).
  - ~(v) Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan dated May 6, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 12, 2004).
  - ~(vi) Regency Centers Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(s) to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2004).
  - ~(vii) First Amendment to Regency Centers Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(q)(i) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 10, 2006).
  - ~(viii) Second Amendment to the Regency Centers Corporation Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2011).

- ~(ix) Third Amendment to the Regency Centers Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2011).
- ~(b) Regency Centers Corporation 2011 Omnibus Plan (incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Company's 2011 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement filed on March 24, 2011).  
Form of Director/Officer Indemnification Agreement (filed as an Exhibit to Pre-effective Amendment No. 2 to
- ~(c) the Company's registration statement on Form S-11 filed on October 5, 1993 (33-67258), and incorporated by reference).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(d) between the Company and Martin E. Stein, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(e) between the Company and Brian M. Smith (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(f) between the Company and Lisa Palmer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(g) between the Company and Dan M. Chandler, III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(h) between the Company and John S. Delatour (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Change of Control Agreement dated as of January 1, 2014 by and
- ~(i) between the Company and James D. Thompson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2013).  
Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 7, 2011 by and among Regency Centers, L.P., the Company, each of the financial institutions party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 8, 2011).
- (j) First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated September 13, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 9, 2012).  
Term Loan Agreement dated as of November 17, 2011 by and among Regency Centers, L.P., the Company, each
- (k) of the financial institutions party thereto and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-K filed on February 29, 2012).  
First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement dated as of June 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h)(i) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2013).
- (i) Second Amendment to Term Loan Agreement dated as of December 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h)(ii) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2013).  
Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Macquarie CountryWide-Regency II, LLC dated as of July 31, 2009 by and among Global Retail Investors, LLC, Regency Centers, L.P. and Macquarie
- (l) CountryWide (US) No. 2 LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on November 6, 2009).  
Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restate Limited Liability Company Agreement of GRI-Regency, LLC
- (i) (formerly Macquarie CountryWide-Regency II, LLC).

(m) Limited Partnership Agreement dated as of December 21, 2006 of RRP Operating, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(u) to the Company's Form 10-K filed on February 27, 2007).

12. Computation of ratios

12.1 Computation of Ratio of Combined Fixed Charges and Preference Dividends to Earnings

21. Subsidiaries of Regency Centers Corporation

23. Consents of Independent Accountants

23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP for Regency Centers Corporation.

23.2 Consent of KPMG LLP for Regency Centers, L.P.

31. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.

31.1 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers Corporation.

31.2 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers Corporation.

31.3 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.

31.4 Rule 13a-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.

32. Section 1350 Certifications.

The certifications in this exhibit 32 are being furnished solely to accompany this report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any of the Company's filings, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

32.1 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers Corporation.

32.2 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers Corporation.

32.3 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.

32.4 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer for Regency Centers, L.P.

101. Interactive Data Files

101.INS+ XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF+ XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

+ Submitted electronically with this Annual Report

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

February 19, 2014

REGENCY CENTERS CORPORATION

By: /s/ Martin E. Stein, Jr.  
Martin E. Stein, Jr., Chairman of the Board and Chief  
Executive Officer

February 19, 2014

REGENCY CENTERS, L.P.

By: Regency Centers Corporation, General Partner

By: /s/ Martin E. Stein, Jr.  
Martin E. Stein, Jr., Chairman of the Board and Chief  
Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Martin E. Stein, Jr.<br>Martin E. Stein, Jr., Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer                  |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Brian M. Smith<br>Brian M. Smith, President, Chief Operating Officer and Director                                |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Lisa Palmer<br>Lisa Palmer, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ J. Christian Leavitt<br>J. Christian Leavitt, Senior Vice President and Treasurer (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Raymond L. Bank<br>Raymond L. Bank, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ C. Ronald Blankenship<br>C. Ronald Blankenship, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ A.R. Carpenter<br>A.R. Carpenter, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ J. Dix Druce<br>J. Dix Druce, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Mary Lou Fiala<br>Mary Lou Fiala, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ David P. O'Connor<br>David P. O'Connor, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Douglas S. Luke<br>Douglas S. Luke, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ John C. Schweitzer<br>John C. Schweitzer, Director   |
| February 19, 2014 | /s/ Thomas G. Wattles<br>Thomas G. Wattles, Director   |

