

NUVEEN INSURED CALIFORNIA PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND 2 IN
Form N-CSR
May 06, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-7492

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: February 28

Date of reporting period: February 28, 2011

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

INVESTMENT ADVISER NAME CHANGE

Effective January 1, 2011, Nuveen Asset Management, the Funds' investment adviser, changed its name to Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. ("Nuveen Fund Advisors"). Concurrently, Nuveen Fund Advisors formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, to house its portfolio management capabilities.

NUVEEN INVESTMENTS COMPLETES STRATEGIC COMBINATION WITH FAF ADVISORS

On December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed the strategic combination between Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, the largest investment affiliate of Nuveen Investments, and FAF Advisors. As part of this transaction, U.S. Bancorp – the parent of FAF Advisors – received cash consideration and a 9.5% stake in Nuveen Investments in exchange for the long term investment business of FAF Advisors, including investment-management responsibilities for the non-money market mutual funds of the First American Funds family.

The approximately \$27 billion of mutual fund and institutional assets managed by FAF Advisors, along with the investment professionals managing these assets and other key personnel, have become part of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC. With these additions to Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, this affiliate now manages more than \$100 billion of assets across a broad range of strategies from municipal and taxable fixed income to traditional and specialized equity investments.

This combination does not affect the investment objectives or strategies of the Funds in this report. Over time, Nuveen Investments expects that the combination will provide even more ways to meet the needs of investors who work with financial advisors and consultants by enhancing the multi-boutique model of Nuveen Investments, which also includes highly respected investment teams at HydePark, NWQ Investment Management, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors and Winslow Capital. Nuveen Investments managed approximately \$197 billion of assets as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Chairman’s Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Manager’s Comments	5
Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information	14
Performance Overviews	16
Shareholder Meeting Report	24
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	27
Portfolios of Investments	28
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	73
Statement of Operations	75
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	77
Statement of Cash Flows	80
Financial Highlights	82
Notes to Financial Statements	90
Board Members & Officers	109
Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process	114
Board Approval of Sub-Advisory Arrangements	122
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	123
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	125
Other Useful Information	127

Chairman's
Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

In 2010, the global economy recorded another year of recovery from the financial and economic crises of 2008, but many of the factors that caused the downturn still weigh on the prospects for continued improvement. In the U.S., ongoing weakness in housing values has put pressure on homeowners and mortgage lenders. Similarly, the strong earnings recovery for corporations and banks is only slowly being translated into increased hiring or more active lending. Globally, deleveraging by private and public borrowers has inhibited economic growth and that process is far from complete.

Encouragingly, constructive actions are being taken by governments around the world to deal with economic issues. In the U.S., the recent passage of a stimulatory tax bill relieved some of the pressure on the Federal Reserve to promote economic expansion through quantitative easing and offers the promise of sustained economic growth. A number of European governments are undertaking programs that could significantly reduce their budget deficits. Governments across the emerging markets are implementing various steps to deal with global capital flows without undermining international trade and investment.

The success of these government actions could determine whether 2011 brings further economic recovery and financial market progress. One risk associated with the extraordinary efforts to strengthen U.S. economic growth is that the debt of the U.S. government will continue to grow to unprecedented levels. Another risk is that over time there could be inflationary pressures on asset values in the U.S. and abroad, because what happens in the U.S. impacts the rest of the world economy. Also, these various actions are being taken in a setting of heightened global economic uncertainty, primarily about the supplies of energy and other critical commodities. In this challenging environment, your Nuveen investment team continues to seek sustainable investment opportunities and to remain alert to potential risks in a recovery still facing many headwinds. On your behalf, we monitor their activities to assure they maintain their investment disciplines.

As you will note elsewhere in this report, on December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed a strategic combination with FAF Advisors, Inc., the manager of the First American Funds. The combination adds highly respected and distinct investment teams to meet the needs of investors and their advisors and is designed to benefit all fund shareholders by creating a fund organization with the potential for further economies of scale and the ability to draw from even greater talent and expertise to meet those investor needs.

As of the end of April, 2011, Nuveen Investments had completed the refinancing of all of the Auction Rate Preferred Securities issued by its taxable closed-end funds and 80% of the Muni Preferred shares issued by its tax-exempt closed-end funds. Please consult the Nuveen Investments web site, www.Nuveen.com, for the current status of this important refinancing program.

As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner

Chairman of the Board

April 26, 2011

4 Nuveen Investments

Portfolio Manager's Comments

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPC)
Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (NCL)
Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (NCU)
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAC)
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NVX)
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZH)
Nuveen Insured California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NKL)
Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund (NKX)

Portfolio manager Scott Romans reviews economic and municipal market conditions at both the national and state levels, key investment strategies and the twelve-month performance of the Nuveen California Municipal Funds. Scott, who joined Nuveen in 2000, has managed NCU, NAC, NVX, NZH, NKL and NKX since 2003 and NPC and NCL since 2005.

What factors affected the U.S. economic and municipal market environments during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2011?

During this period, the U.S. economy demonstrated some signs of improvement, supported by the efforts of both the Federal Reserve (Fed) and the federal government. For its part, the Fed continued to hold the benchmark fed funds rate in a target range of zero to 0.25% since cutting it to this record low level in December 2008. At its March 2011 meeting (after the end of this reporting period), the central bank renewed its commitment to keeping the fed funds rate at "exceptionally low levels" for an "extended period." The Fed also left unchanged its second round of quantitative easing, which calls for purchasing \$600 billion in U.S. Treasury bonds by June 30, 2011. The goal of this plan is to lower long-term interest rates and thereby stimulate economic activity and create jobs. The federal government continued to focus on implementing the economic stimulus package passed in early 2009 and aimed at providing job creation, tax relief, fiscal assistance to state and local governments, and expansion of unemployment benefits and other federal social welfare programs.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at an annualized rate of 3.1%, marking the first time the economy put together six consecutive quarters of positive growth since 2006-2007. In February 2011, national unemployment dropped below 9% for the first time in 21 months, standing at 8.9%, down from 9.7% a year earlier. At the same time, inflation posted its largest gain since April 2009, as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 2.1% year-over-year as of February 2011, driven mainly by increased prices for energy. The core CPI (which

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio manager as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Any reference to credit ratings for portfolio holdings denotes the highest rating assigned by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) such as Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's or Fitch. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below investment grade. Holdings and ratings may change over time.

excludes food and energy) increased 1.1% over this period. The housing market continued to be the weak spot in the economy. For the twelve months ended January 2011 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), the average home price in the Standard & Poor's (S&P)/Case-Shiller index of 20 major metropolitan areas lost 3.1%, with 11 of the 20 metropolitan areas hitting their lowest levels since housing prices peaked in 2006.

Municipal bond prices generally rose during the first eight months of this period, as the combination of strong demand and tight supply of new tax-exempt issuance created favorable market conditions. One reason for the decrease in new tax-exempt supply was the heavy issuance of taxable municipal debt under the Build America Bond (BAB) program, which was created as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of February 2009 and which expired December 31, 2010. Build America Bonds generally offered municipal issuers a federal subsidy equal to 35% of a bond's interest payments, providing issuers with an alternative to traditional tax-exempt debt that often was lower in cost. For the period March 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010, taxable Build America Bonds issuance totaled \$117.3 billion, accounting for 24% of new bonds issued in the municipal market. After rallying strongly over most of the period, the tax-exempt municipal market suffered a reversal in mid-November 2010, due largely to investor concerns about inflation, the federal deficit, and its impact on demand for U.S. Treasuries. Adding to this situation was the popular media's coverage of the strained finances of many state and local governments, which often failed to differentiate between gaps in operating budgets and those entities' ability to meet their debt service obligations. As a result, money began to flow out of municipal funds, yields rose, and valuations fell. Toward the end of this period, we saw the environment in the municipal market improve, as crossover buyers—including hedge funds and life insurance companies—were attracted by municipal bond prices and tax-exempt yields, resulting in decreased outflows, declining yields and rising valuations.

Over the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, municipal bond issuance nationwide—both tax-exempt and taxable—totaled \$423.4 billion. Demand for municipal bonds was exceptionally strong during the majority of this period, especially from individual investors. In recent months, crossover buyers have provided support for the market.

How were the economic and market environments in California during this period?

California's economy is the largest in the United States and the eighth largest in the world on a stand-alone basis, according to the International Monetary Fund. The state continued to be burdened by serious budget problems, with persistent deficits and high spending outweighing its ability to generate revenues. That said, the state's revenue picture has begun to improve modestly. As of October 2010, California's General Fund revenues were above estimated levels by close to 1%, with the improvement driven by three main sources – higher corporate-tax, personal-income-tax and sales-tax collections. In October 2010 alone, tax receipts surpassed budget estimates by almost 5%. Toward year end, after a long political stalemate, the state's government finally enacted

a \$125 billion budget for the 2011 fiscal year, closing a gap of more than \$19 billion. This budget includes no new taxes, a variety of spending reductions, and the use of various one-time receipts, loans, and other solutions to rectify the budget shortfall. The state's unemployment rate was 12.2% in February 2011 – second-highest in the nation and well above the national average of 8.9% for the same month. At the end of the reporting period, California maintained credit ratings of A1, A- and A- from rating agencies Moody's Investor Services, Standard & Poor's (S&P) and Fitch, respectively. The supply of new tax-exempt bond issuance in California totaled more than \$58 billion during the twelve-month period ending February 28, 2010, a 21% year-over-year drop, compared to roughly flat issuance levels nationwide during the same time frame.

What key strategies were used to manage the California Funds during this reporting period?

As previously mentioned, the supply of new issuance of tax-exempt bonds declined nationally during this period, due largely to the issuance of taxable bonds under the Build America Bond program (which expired December 31, 2010). This program also significantly impacted the availability of tax-exempt bonds in California. Between March 1, 2010, and the end of the BAB program in December 2010, California issued more than \$20 billion in taxable Build America Bonds, ranking as the largest user of BABs among the 50 states. For this period, Build America Bonds accounted for approximately 35% of total municipal issuance in California, which was already down significantly from the twelve-month period ended February 28, 2010. Since interest payments from Build America Bonds represent taxable income, we did not view these bonds as good investment opportunities for these Funds.

For the insured California Funds, this situation was compounded by the continued decline in the issuance of AAA rated insured bonds. Over the period, new insured paper accounted for approximately 6% of national issuance, compared with 8% during the same period a year earlier and 18% two years ago. Even though the insured Funds may now invest up to 20% of their net assets in uninsured investment-grade credits rated BBB- or higher, the combination of tight municipal supply and little insured issuance meant that the insured Funds were, for the most part, less active than their non-insured counterparts during this period.

Despite the constrained issuance on tax-exempt municipal bonds, much of our investment activity was opportunistic. We continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. During this period, the Funds found value in school district bonds, especially zero coupon and convertible zero coupon bonds issued for various school districts. We also purchased health care credits, general obligation bonds issued by the state and local governments and redevelopment bonds.

Some of this investment activity resulted from opportunities created by the provisions of the Build America Bond program. For example, tax-exempt supply was more plentiful in the health care sector because, as 501(c)(3) (nonprofit) organizations, hospitals generally did not qualify for the Build America Bond program and continued to issue bonds in the

tax-exempt municipal market. Bonds with proceeds earmarked for refundings, working capital, and private activities also were not covered by the Build America Bond program, and this resulted in attractive opportunities in various other sectors of the market.

The impact of the Build America Bond program was also evident in the area of longer-term issuance, as municipal issuers sought to take full advantage of the attractive financing terms offered by these bonds. Approximately 70% of Build America Bonds were issued with maturities of at least 30 years. Although this had a significant impact on the availability of tax-exempt credits with longer maturities, the Funds continued to focus on purchasing bonds at the longer end of the yield curve when appropriate bonds became available.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from bond calls and maturing bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested. In addition, the Funds sold selected short-dated pre-refunded bonds. During the last part of the period, as we undertook some structural changes, we sold older health care bonds with 5% coupons and shorter call dates in order to fund our purchases of current market health care credits with larger coupons and better call structures. Some of the Funds also sold corporate industrial development/pollution control revenue bonds where we believed we had extracted all of the price performance potential. These bonds attracted very good prices due to interest from crossover buyers.

As of February 28, 2011, all eight of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters as a form of leverage for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

How did the Funds perform?

Individual results for these Nuveen California Municipal Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

8 Nuveen Investments

Average Annual Total Returns on Common Share Net Asset Value

For periods ended 2/28/11

	1-Year		5-Year		10-Year	
Uninsured Funds						
NCU	0.63	%	2.78	%	5.17	%
NAC	-2.57	%	2.06	%	5.06	%
NVX	-0.64	%	3.05	%	N/A	
NZH	-1.40	%	1.55	%	N/A	
Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index¹						
Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index ¹	2.08	%	3.39	%	4.57	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index²						
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index ²	1.63	%	3.74	%	4.75	%
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average³						
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average ³	-1.08	%	1.18	%	4.34	%
Insured Funds						
NPC	-1.75	%	2.73	%	4.65	%
NCL	-0.72	%	2.64	%	4.64	%
NKL	-0.75	%	3.08	%	N/A	
NKX	-3.18	%	2.23	%	N/A	
Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index¹						
Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index ¹	2.08	%	3.39	%	4.57	%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Insured National Municipal Bond Index⁴						
Standard & Poor's (S&P) Insured National Municipal Bond Index ⁴	1.24	%	3.60	%	4.75	%
Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average⁵						
Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average ⁵	-0.05	%	3.31	%	5.11	%

For the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, the total returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for these California Funds underperformed the return for the Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index. The non-insured Funds also underperformed the Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index, while the insured Funds lagged the return on the Standard & Poor's (S&P) Insured National Municipal Bond Index. NCU and NVX exceeded the average return for the Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average, while NAC and NZH trailed this measure. All four of the insured Funds trailed the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included sector allocation, credit exposure, and duration and yield curve positioning. The use of financial leverage also factored into the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail on page ten.

The predominant factor in the performance of the California Funds during this period was each Fund's weighting in California state GOs. All of these Funds were underweight in varying degrees, particularly, NAC and NKX, to the tax-supported sector, especially California state GOs, relative to the California market. This underweighting was due to the fact that California state GOs comprise such a large portion (just over 25% as of February 2011) of the tax-supported sector in California that it is difficult to match the market weighting in our portfolios. During this period, due in part to their scarcity and security provisions, California state GOs outperformed the general municipal market by a significant margin. Consequently, the more underweight a Fund was in these credits, the more it hurt that Fund's relative performance.

Other sectors that outperformed the overall municipal market during this period included industrial development revenue (IDR) and housing. In general, the higher a Fund's allocation to IDRs, the greater the offset to the negative impact of that Fund's

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

- 1 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) California Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade California municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
- 2 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
- 3 The Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all leveraged and unleveraged closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 24 funds; 5-year, 24 funds; and 10-year, 12 funds. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment.
- 4 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) Insured National Municipal Bond Index is a national unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the insured U.S. municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
- 5 The Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 44 funds; 5-year, 44 funds; and 10-year, 24 funds. The performance of the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average represents the overall average of returns for funds from eight different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment.

underexposure to California state GOs. These Funds generally had relatively small allocations to housing bonds, which limited their participation in the outperformance of this sector.

In contrast, the health care, education and transportation sectors turned in relatively weak performance. The insured segment also failed to keep pace with the general municipal market return for the twelve months. Overall, NAC and NKX were the most negatively impacted by their sector exposures during this period. Our holdings in the “other revenue” sector, specifically tax increment financing district or redevelopment district bonds, also generally performed poorly during this period. Changes to the redevelopment district program, proposed as part of efforts to close gaps in the California state budget, caused concern among both investors and issuers of these bonds. This resulted in heavier supply of redevelopment district bonds in the market, which—in turn—caused the sector to trade off. The California Funds tended to be overweighted in this sector, and its underperformance detracted from their returns.

Credit exposure also played an important role in performance during these twelve months. During the market reversal of late 2010, as the demand for high-yield bonds decreased, prices on lower quality credits generally fell. For the period, bonds rated BBB typically underperformed those rated AAA or A. On the whole, it is our management style to overweight the BBB credit category in the uninsured Funds, and that generally detracted from their performance during this period. NZH, in particular, was hurt by the combination of overexposure to BBB bonds and underexposure to bonds rated A. NCL, NCU and NKL were helped by having the highest allocations to bonds rated A among these Funds.

During this period, municipal bonds with intermediate maturities, especially those in the long intermediate segment of the yield curve, generally outperformed other maturity groupings, with credits at both the shortest and longest ends of the curve posting the weakest returns. For the most part, the effect of the Funds’ duration and yield curve positioning was relatively neutral for performance during this period, especially when compared with the impact of sector allocation and credit exposure. Among these eight Funds, NCU and NKL had the most advantageous yield curve positioning, which had a positive effect on their performance, while NAC’s performance was hampered by its exposure to the underperforming areas of the yield curve.

During this period NCL also entered into forward interest rate swaps to broadly reduce the sensitivity of the Fund to movements in U.S. interest rates.

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS’ LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of most of these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds’ use of structural leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share

returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are rising. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of these Funds over this reporting period.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDS' REDEMPTION OF AUCTION RATE PREFERRED SHARES

Shortly after their respective inception, each of the Funds issued auction rate preferred shares (ARPS) to create structural leverage. As noted in past shareholder reports, the ARPS issued by many closed-end funds, including these Funds, have been hampered by a lack of liquidity since February 2008. Since that time, more ARPS have been submitted for sale in each of their regularly scheduled auctions than there have been offers to buy. In fact, offers to buy have been almost completely non-existent since late February 2008. This means that these auctions have "failed to clear," and that many, or all, of the ARPS shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. This lack of liquidity in ARPS did not lower the credit quality of these shares, and ARPS shareholders unable to sell their shares continued to receive distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions, as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the ARPS. In the recent market, with short-term rates at multigenerational lows, those maximum rates also have been low.

One continuing implication for common shareholders from the auction failures is that each Fund's cost of leverage likely has been incrementally higher at times than it otherwise might have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, each Fund's common share earnings likely have been incrementally lower at times than they otherwise might have been.

As noted in past shareholder reports, the Nuveen funds' Board of Directors/Trustees authorized several methods that can be used separately or in combination to refinance a portion of the Nuveen funds' outstanding ARPS. Some funds have utilized tender option bonds (TOBs), also known as inverse floating rate securities, for leverage purposes. The amount of TOBs that a fund may use varies according to the composition of each fund's portfolio. Some funds have a greater ability to use TOBs than others. Some funds have issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as well as Variable MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, which are a floating rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory term redemption. Some funds have issued MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, a fixed rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption period of three to five years.

While all these efforts have reduced the total amount of outstanding ARPS issued by the Nuveen funds, the funds cannot provide any assurance on when the remaining outstanding ARPS might be redeemed.

During 2010 and 2011, certain Nuveen leveraged closed-end funds (including NAC, NZH and NKX) received a demand letter from a law firm on behalf of purported holders of common shares of each such fund, alleging that Nuveen and the funds' officers and Board of Directors/Trustees breached their fiduciary duties related to the redemption at par of the funds' ARPS. In response, the Board established an ad hoc Demand Committee consisting of certain of its disinterested and independent Board members to investigate the claims. The Demand Committee retained independent counsel to assist

it in conducting an extensive investigation. Based upon its investigation, the Demand Committee found that it was not in the best interests of each fund or its shareholders to take the actions suggested in the demand letters, and recommended that the full Board reject the demands made in the demand letters. After reviewing the findings and recommendation of the Demand Committee, the full Board of each fund unanimously adopted the Demand Committee's recommendation.

Subsequently, the funds that received demand letters (including NKX) were named in a consolidated complaint as nominal defendants in a putative shareholder derivative action captioned Martin Safier, et al. v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. that was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division (the "Cook County Chancery Court") on February 18, 2011 (the "Complaint"). The Complaint, filed on behalf of purported holders of each fund's common shares, also name Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. as a defendant, together with current and former Officers and interested Director/Trustees of each of the funds (together with the nominal defendants, collectively, the "Defendants"). The Complaint contains the same basic allegations contained in the demand letters. The suits seek a declaration that the Defendants have breached their fiduciary duties, an order directing the Defendants not to redeem any ARPS at their liquidation value using fund assets, indeterminate monetary damages in favor of the funds and an award of plaintiffs' costs and disbursements in pursuing the action. Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. believes that the Complaint is without merit, and is defending vigorously against these charges.

As of February 28, 2011, the amount of ARPS redeemed by the Funds is shown in the accompanying table.

Fund	Auction Rate Preferred Shares Redeemed	% of Original Auction Rate Preferred Share	
NPC	\$45,000,000	100.0	%
NCL	\$95,000,000	100.0	%
NCU	\$43,000,000	100.0	%
NAC	\$39,475,000	22.6	%
NVX	\$70,050,000	63.7	%
NZH	\$117,500,000	62.8	%
NKL	\$14,250,000	12.1	%
NKX	\$45,000,000	100.0	%

As noted in previous shareholder reports, NZH has issued and outstanding \$86.3 million MTP. During the twelve-month reporting period, NCU and NVX successfully completed the issuance of MTP, which trade on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbols as noted in the following table. The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance all, or a portion of, each Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par.

Fund	MTP Issued	Series	Rate	NYSE Ticker
NCU	\$35,250,000	2015	2.00%	NCU PrC
NVX	\$55,000,000	2015	2.05%	NVX PrC

As noted in previous shareholder reports, NKX has issued and outstanding \$35.5 million of VRDP. During the twelve-month reporting period, NKX completed a private exchange offer in which all of its Series 1 VRDP Shares were exchanged for Series 2 VRDP Shares.

During this twelve-month reporting period, NPC and NCL issued \$42.7 million and \$74.0 million, respectively, of VRDP to redeem at par their remaining outstanding ARPS. As noted previously, VRDP is a newly-developed instrument that essentially replaces all or a portion of the ARPS used as leverage and potentially could be used to refinance all or a portion of the ARPS of other Funds. VRDP shares include a liquidity feature that allows holders of VRDP to have their shares purchased by a liquidity provider in the event that sell orders have not been matched with purchase orders and successfully settled in a remarketing. VRDP is offered only to qualified institutional buyers, defined pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933.

Subsequent to the reporting period, NVX completed the issuance of an additional \$42.8 million of 2.35%, Series 2014 MTP. The newly issued MTP shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol “NVX Pr A.” The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance the Fund’s remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Immediately following its MTP issuance, NVX noticed for redemption at par its remaining \$40.0 million ARPS outstanding using the MTP proceeds.

Subsequent to the reporting period, NZH completed the issuance of an additional \$27.0 million of 2.35%, Series 2014 MTP. The newly issued MTP shares trade on the NYSE under the symbol “NZH Pr A.” The net proceeds from this offering were used to refinance a portion of the Fund’s remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Immediately following its MTP issuance, NZH noticed for redemption at par \$26.3 million of its \$69.5 million ARPS outstanding using the MTP proceeds.

(Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies and Footnote 4 – Fund Shares for further details on MTP and VRDP Shares.)

At the time this report was prepared, all 84 of the Nuveen closed-end municipal funds that had issued ARPS have redeemed at par all or a portion of these shares. These redemptions bring the total amount of Nuveen’s municipal closed-end funds’ ARPS redemptions to approximately \$8.8 billion of the approximately \$11.0 billion originally outstanding.

For up-to-date information, please visit the Nuveen CEF Auction Rate Preferred Resource Center at: <http://www.nuveen.com/arps>.

Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information

During the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, NPC, NCU, NAC, NVX, NKL and NKX each had one monthly dividend increase. The dividends of NCL and NZH remained stable throughout the reporting period.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of NPC received a long-term capital gains distribution of \$0.0280 per share at the end of 2010.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of February 28, 2011, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax purposes and financial reporting purposes.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

As of February 28, 2011, and the since inception of the Funds' repurchase program, the following Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired common shares as shown in the accompanying table. Since the inception of the Fund's repurchase program, NAC and NKX have not redeemed any of their outstanding common shares.

Fund	Common Shares Repurchased and Retired	% of Outstanding Common Shares
NPC	17,700	0.3 %
NCL	55,700	0.4 %
NCU	44,500	0.8 %
NAC	-	-
NVX	50,700	0.3 %
NZH	12,900	0.1 %
NKL	32,700	0.2 %
NKX	-	-

During the twelve-month reporting period, the following Funds repurchased and retired their common shares at a weighted average price and a weighted average discount per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

Fund	Common Shares Repurchased and Retired	Weighted Average Price Per Share Repurchased and Retired	Weighted Average Discount Per Share Repurchased and Retired
NCL	1,200	\$ 12.14	13.47 %
NCU	2,400	\$ 11.82	14.53 %

As of February 28, 2011, the Funds' common share prices were trading at (-) discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

Fund	2/28/11 (-) Discount	Twelve-Month Average (-)Discount
NPC	(-)2.71 %	(-)6.24 %
NCL	(-)4.67 %	(-)4.89 %
NCU	(-)5.25 %	(-)6.11 %
NAC	(-)3.79 %	(-)4.85 %
NVX	(-)4.75 %	(-)3.73 %
NZH	(-)3.79 %	(-)2.06 %
NKL	(-)4.96 %	(-)3.69 %
NKX	(-)8.11 %	(-)5.51 %

NPC Nuveen Insured California
 Performance Premium Income
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund, Inc.
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	13.26
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	13.63
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-2.71%
Market Yield		6.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		10.05%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	87,827

Average Annual Total Return
 (Inception 11/19/92)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	6.29	%	-1.75	%
5-Year	2.20	%	2.73	%
10-Year	5.00	%	4.65	%

Portfolio Composition⁴

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	32.8%
Tax Obligation/General	24.1%
U.S. Guaranteed	19.4%
Water and Sewer	12.3%
Other	11.4%

Insurers⁴

(as a % of total Insured investments)

NPFG ⁵	31.8%
AGM	25.6%
AMBAC	20.1%
FGIC	13.9%
AGC	6.6%
SYNCORA GTY	2.0%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance for more information. At the end of the reporting period, 80% of the Fund's total investments are invested in Insured securities.

Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

- 4 Holdings are subject to change.
 - 5 MBIA's public finance subsidiary.
 - 6 The Fund paid shareholders a capital gains distribution in November 2010 of \$0.0280 per share.
-
- 16 Nuveen Investments
-

NCL Nuveen Insured California
 Performance Premium Income
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund 2, Inc.
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	12.45
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	13.06
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.67%
Market Yield		6.94%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		10.63%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	165,359

Average Annual Total Return
 (Inception 3/18/93)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	4.38	%	-0.72	%
5-Year	2.06	%	2.64	%
10-Year	4.56	%	4.64	%

Portfolio Composition^{4,6}
 (as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	40.7%
Tax Obligation/General	21.7%
Water and Sewer	14.5%
Utilities	5.7%
Transportation	5.1%
Other	12.3%

Insurers^{4,6}
 (as a % of total Insured investments)

AMBAC	29.5%
AGM	21.4%
FGIC	18.7%
NPFG ⁵	18.7%
AGC	11.1%
SYNCORA GTY	0.6%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance for more information. At the

end of the reporting period, 91% of the Fund's total investments are invested in Insured securities.

- 3 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.
- 5 MBIA's public finance subsidiary.
- 6 Excluding investments in derivatives.

Nuveen Investments

17

NCU Nuveen California
 Performance Premium Income
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$12.28
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$12.96
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-5.25 %
Market Yield	7.08 %
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹	10.84 %
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$74,275

Average Annual Total Return
 (Inception 6/18/93)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	8.34	%	0.63	%
5-Year	3.01	%	2.78	%
10-Year	4.77	%	5.17	%

Portfolio Composition³

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	30.1%
Health Care	18.8%
Tax Obligation/General	18.6%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.9%
Utilities	5.4%
Water and Sewer	4.5%
Other	13.7%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

18 Nuveen Investments

NAC Nuveen California
 Performance Dividend Advantage
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	12.20
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	12.68
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-3.79%
Market Yield		7.33%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		11.23%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	297,629

Average Annual Total Return
 (Inception 5/26/99)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	3.54	%	-2.57	%
5-Year	1.33	%	2.06	%
10-Year	5.08	%	5.06	%

Portfolio Composition³

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	23.9	%
Health Care	18.5	%
Tax Obligation/General	14.6	%
U.S. Guaranteed	12.0	%
Transportation	9.2	%
Water and Sewer	5.9	%
Education and Civic Organizations	4.5	%
Other	11.4	%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

NVX Nuveen California
 Performance Dividend Advantage
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund 2
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	12.83
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	13.47
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.75%
Market Yield		7.48%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		11.45%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	198,675

Average Annual Total Return
 (Inception 3/27/01)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	1.37	%	-0.64	%
5-Year	3.16	%	3.05	%
Since Inception	4.69	%	5.31	%

Portfolio Composition³

(as a % of total investments)

Health Care	17.0%
U.S. Guaranteed	16.6%
Tax Obligation/General	14.2%
Tax Obligation/Limited	10.8%
Transportation	8.6%
Water and Sewer	7.5%
Utilities	6.3%
Education and Civic Organizations	6.0%
Other	13.0%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

20 Nuveen Investments

NZH Nuveen California
 Performance Dividend Advantage
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund 3
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	11.67
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	12.13
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-3.79%
Market Yield		7.71%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		11.81%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	292,563

Average Annual Total Return
(Inception 9/25/01)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	-1.21	%	-1.40	%
5-Year	1.67	%	1.55	%
Since Inception	3.59	%	4.20	%

Portfolio Composition³
(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	28.4%
Health Care	20.7%
U.S. Guaranteed	14.1%
Tax Obligation/General	8.6%
Consumer Staples	5.1%
Transportation	4.9%
Water and Sewer	4.1%
Other	14.1%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.

NKL Nuveen Insured California
 Performance Dividend Advantage
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	13.02
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	13.70
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-4.96%
Market Yield		7.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		11.09%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	208,950

Average Annual Total Return
(Inception 3/25/02)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	1.81	%	-0.75	%
5-Year	2.83	%	3.08	%
Since Inception	4.65	%	5.48	%

Portfolio Composition⁴

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	31.5%
Tax Obligation/General	19.9%
U.S. Guaranteed	12.3%
Utilities	10.3%
Water and Sewer	9.6%
Health Care	4.2%
Other	12.2%

Insurers⁴

(as a % of total Insured investments)

AGM	26.2%
AMBAC	25.3%
NFPG ⁵	21.8%
FGIC	18.0%
SYNCORA GTY	6.3%
Other	2.4%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies,

Insurance for more information. At the end of the reporting period, 82% of the Fund's total investments are invested in Insured securities.

- 3 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
 - 4 Holdings are subject to change.
 - 5 MBIA's public finance subsidiary.
- 22 Nuveen Investments
-

NKX Nuveen Insured California
 Performance Tax-Free Advantage
 OVERVIEW Municipal Fund
 as of February 28, 2011

Fund Snapshot

Common Share Price	\$	11.78
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	12.82
Premium/(Discount) to NAV		-8.11%
Market Yield		6.83%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield ¹		10.46%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$	75,493

Average Annual Total Return
(Inception 11/21/02)

	On Share Price		On NAV	
1-Year	-2.71	%	-3.18	%
5-Year	1.54	%	2.23	%
Since Inception	2.82	%	4.24	%

Portfolio Composition⁴

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	31.0%
Health Care	17.1%
U.S. Guaranteed	13.9%
Tax Obligation/General	12.7%
Water and Sewer	8.3%
Transportation	5.5%
Long-Term Care	5.2%
Other	6.3%

Insurers⁴

(as a % of total Insured investments)

AMBAC	46.3%
NPFG ⁵	20.1%
AGM	11.8%
AGC	8.5%
BHAC	5.3%
SYNCORA GTY	4.5%
FGIC	3.5%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 34.7%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance for more information. At the end of the reporting period, 77% of the Fund’s total investments are invested in Insured securities.

- 3 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group, Moody’s Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.
- 5 MBIA’s public finance subsidiary.

Nuveen Investments

23

NPC Shareholder Meeting Report (Unaudited)

NCL

NCU

The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on November 16, 2010; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members. The meeting for NCU was subsequently adjourned to January 6, 2011.

	NPC		NCL		NCU	
	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:						
John P. Amboian						
For	5,650,329	—	11,293,238	—	—	—
Withhold	193,455	—	381,470	—	—	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	—	—
Robert P. Bremner						
For	5,645,734	—	11,285,270	—	—	—
Withhold	198,050	—	389,438	—	—	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	—	—
Jack B. Evans						
For	5,650,760	—	11,288,116	—	—	—
Withhold	193,024	—	386,592	—	—	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	—	—
William C. Hunter						
For	—	427	—	1,062	—	820
Withhold	—	—	—	77	—	9
Total	—	427	—	1,139	—	829
David J. Kundert						
For	5,641,515	—	11,296,638	—	—	—
Withhold	202,269	—	378,070	—	—	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	—	—
William J. Schneider						
For	—	427	—	1,062	—	820
Withhold	—	—	—	77	—	9
Total	—	427	—	1,139	—	829
Judith M. Stockdale						
For	5,647,286	—	11,282,620	—	5,245,663	—
Withhold	196,498	—	392,088	—	207,556	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	5,453,219	—
Carole E. Stone						
For	5,651,473	—	11,283,374	—	5,250,890	—
Withhold	192,311	—	391,334	—	202,329	—

Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	5,453,219	—
Terence J. Toth						
For	5,649,729	—	11,296,638	—	—	—
Withhold	194,055	—	378,070	—	—	—
Total	5,843,784	—	11,674,708	—	—	—

24 Nuveen Investments

NAC
NVX
NZH

	NAC		NVX		NZH	
	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:						
John P. Amboian						
For	—	—	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—
Robert P. Bremner						
For	—	—	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jack B. Evans						
For	—	—	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—
William C. Hunter						
For	—	1,930	—	2,042	—	7,531,100
Withhold	—	58	—	399	—	77,674
Total	—	1,988	—	2,441	—	7,608,774
David J. Kundert						
For	—	—	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—
William J. Schneider						
For	—	1,915	—	2,042	—	7,531,100
Withhold	—	73	—	399	—	77,674
Total	—	1,988	—	2,441	—	7,608,774
Judith M. Stockdale						
For	21,577,697	—	13,290,555	—	29,273,472	—
Withhold	702,714	—	392,863	—	718,005	—
Total	22,280,411	—	13,683,418	—	29,991,477	—
Carole E. Stone						
For	21,601,337	—	13,287,631	—	29,429,217	—
Withhold	679,074	—	395,787	—	562,260	—
Total	22,280,411	—	13,683,418	—	29,991,477	—
Terence J. Toth						
For	—	—	—	—	—	—

Withhold	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—

Nuveen Investments

25

NKL
NKX
Shareholder Meeting Report (continued) (Unaudited)

	NKL		NKX	
	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:				
John P. Amboian				
For	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—
Robert P. Bremner				
For	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—
Jack B. Evans				
For	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—
William C. Hunter				
For	—	1,778	—	177
Withhold	—	3	—	—
Total	—	1,781	—	177
David J. Kundert				
For	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—
William J. Schneider				
For	—	1,778	—	177
Withhold	—	3	—	—
Total	—	1,781	—	177
Judith M. Stockdale				
For	13,887,275	—	5,318,645	—
Withhold	512,893	—	124,243	—
Total	14,400,168	—	5,442,888	—
Carole E. Stone				
For	13,887,275	—	5,318,645	—
Withhold	512,893	—	124,243	—
Total	14,400,168	—	5,442,888	—
Terence J. Toth				
For	—	—	—	—
Withhold	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—

Report of Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors/Trustees and Shareholders
Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.
Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc.
Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2
Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3
Nuveen Insured California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc., Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, Nuveen Insured California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund and Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund (the "Funds") as of February 28, 2011, and the related statements of operations and cash flows (Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc., Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, and Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund only) for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for the periods indicated therein, and the financial highlights for each of the periods indicated therein. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of February 28, 2011, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc., Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, Nuveen Insured California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund and Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund at February 28, 2011, and the results of their operations and cash flows (Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc., Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen California Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, and

Nuveen Insured California Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund only) for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for the periods indicated therein, and the financial highlights for each of the periods indicated therein in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois
April 27, 2011

Nuveen Investments

27

NPC
February 28, 2011

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc.
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Education and Civic Organizations – 4.8% (3.3% of Total Investments)			
\$ 750	California Educational Facilities Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Cal Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 3/01/21 – NPFPG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/11 at 100.00	Baa1	\$ 749,963
1,500	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 11/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,502,700
2,000	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.000%, 11/01/27 – NPFPG Insured	11/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,970,960
4,250	Total Education and Civic Organizations			4,223,623
	Health Care – 7.2% (4.9% of Total Investments)			
3,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 1998A, 5.375%, 8/15/30 – NPFPG Insured	8/11 at 100.00	AA–	2,869,170
724	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph Health System, Trust 2554, 18.488%, 7/01/47 – AGM Insured (IF)	7/18 at 100.00	AA+	532,343
1,500	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Sutter Health Obligated Group, Series 1999, 5.500%, 8/15/19 – AGM Insured	8/11 at 100.00	AA+	1,505,460
1,480	Santa Clara County Financing Authority, California, Insured Revenue Bonds, El Camino Hospital, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 2/01/41 – AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A+	1,411,994
6,704	Total Health Care			6,318,967
	Housing/Single Family – 0.1% (0.1% of Total Investments)			
110	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100.00	A	111,735
	Long-Term Care – 1.5% (1.0% of Total Investments)			
1,250	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Revenue Bonds, Community Program for Persons with Developmental Disabilities, Series 2011A, 6.250%, 2/01/26	No Opt. Call	A–	1,278,575
	Tax Obligation/General – 35.2% (24.1% of Total Investments)			

	Bonita Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A:			
1,890	5.250%, 8/01/23 – NPF	8/14 at 100.00	AA–	2,005,233
1,250	5.250%, 8/01/25 – NPF	8/14 at 100.00	AA–	1,302,638
	El Segundo Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:			
2,580	5.250%, 9/01/21 – FGIC	9/14 at 100.00	AA–	2,745,455
1,775	5.250%, 9/01/22 – FGIC	9/14 at 100.00	AA–	1,893,748
1,130	Fontana Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Trust 2668, 9.469%, 2/01/16 – AGM Insured (IF)	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,135,401
1,225	Fresno Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 6.550%, 8/01/20 – NPF	2/13 at 103.00	Aa3	1,360,975
1,180	Jurupa Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/01/21 – FGIC	8/13 at 100.00	A+	1,202,137
3,000	Pomona Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.500%, 8/01/19 – NPF	8/11 at 103.00	A	3,149,610
160	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 – FGIC	8/15 at 100.00	AA–	160,845
3,000	Sacramento City Unified School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – NPF	7/15 at 100.00	Aa2	3,007,350

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)			
	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1998, Series 2001C:			
\$ 1,335	5.000%, 7/01/21 – AGM Insured	7/11 at 102.00	AA+	\$ 1,380,257
3,500	5.000%, 7/01/22 – AGM Insured	7/11 at 102.00	AA+	3,618,650
4,895	5.000%, 7/01/23 – AGM Insured	7/11 at 102.00	AA+	5,060,941
3,000	San Jacinto Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 8/01/32 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	2,915,340
29,920	Total Tax Obligation/General			30,938,580
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 48.0% (32.8% of Total Investments)			
1,000	Brea and Olinda Unified School District, Orange County, California, Certificates of Participation Refunding, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 8/01/26 – AGM Insured	8/11 at 101.00	AA+	1,001,790
	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, North County Center for Self-Sufficiency Corporation, Series 2004:			
1,215	5.000%, 12/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,257,185
1,615	5.000%, 12/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,654,309
195	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 – FGIC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	BBB	179,121
595	Chino Redevelopment Agency, California, Merged Chino Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/38 – AMBAC Insured	9/16 at 101.00	A-	461,006
3,190	Chula Vista Public Financing Authority, California, Pooled Community Facility District Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 4.500%, 9/01/27 – NPFPG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	Baa1	2,610,186
1,900	Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 98-1, Series 2002, 5.100%, 9/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	9/12 at 100.00	N/R	1,746,233
5,000	El Monte, California, Senior Lien Certificates of Participation, Department of Public Services Facility Phase II, Series 2001, 5.250%, 1/01/34 – AMBAC Insured	7/11 at 100.00	A2	4,551,000
3,180	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Tender Option	6/15 at 100.00	AA+	2,100,390

Bonds Trust 4686, 9.229%, 6/01/45 – AGC Insured
(IF)

700	Hesperia Public Financing Authority, California, Redevelopment and Housing Projects Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 9/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/17 at 100.00	Ba1	447,608
435	Indian Wells Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Consolidated Whitewater Project Area, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 9/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	9/13 at 100.00	A	429,754
345	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 – AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A1	278,698
895	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bunker Hill Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/20 – AGM Insured	12/14 at 100.00	AA+	932,867
1,500	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 1/01/31 – FGIC Insured	1/17 at 100.00	A+	1,318,080
3,150	Moreno Valley Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/38 – AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A–	2,441,219
7,000	Rancho Cucamonga Redevelopment Agency, California, Housing Set-Aside Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 9/01/34 – NPFGE Insured	9/17 at 100.00	A+	5,611,620
165	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A–	130,380
205	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AA–	197,743

NPC
February 28, 2011

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)			
\$ 5,150	San Jacinto Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2010, 5.375%, 9/01/40 – AGC Insured	9/20 at 100.00	AA+	\$ 4,632,116
1,500	San Jose Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFPG Insured	8/15 at 100.00	A2	1,232,010
3,565	Sweetwater Union High School District Public Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/25 – AGM Insured	9/15 at 100.00	AA+	3,469,957
3,250	Tustin Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Housing Bonds Series 2010, 5.250%, 9/01/39 – AGM Insured	9/20 at 100.00	AA+	3,058,153
2,805	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 10/01/31 – NPFPG Insured	10/11 at 100.00	A2	2,435,441
48,555	Total Tax Obligation/Limited Transportation – 2.7% (1.9% of Total Investments)			42,176,866
2,400	San Diego Unified Port District, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B, 5.000%, 9/01/29 – NPFPG Insured	9/14 at 100.00	A+	2,365,800
	U.S. Guaranteed – 28.5% (19.4% of Total Investments) (4)			
6,000	Huntington Park Redevelopment Agency, California, Single Family Residential Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1986A, 8.000%, 12/01/19 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,417,157
5,135	Palmdale Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Single Family Restructured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1986A, 8.000%, 3/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	6,447,095
6,220	Riverside County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1987B, 9.000%, 5/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,305,255
1,485	San Jose, California, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1985A, 9.500%, 10/01/13 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	1,813,556
18,840	Total U.S. Guaranteed			24,983,063

	Utilities – 0.3% (0.2% of Total Investments)			
345	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	N/R	287,575
	Water and Sewer – 18.0% (12.3% of Total Investments)			
2,200	Atwater Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 3145, 18.296%, 5/01/40 – AGM Insured (IF)	5/19 at 100.00	AA+	1,536,656
5,255	El Dorado Irrigation District, California, Water and Sewer Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 3/01/20 – FGIC Insured	3/13 at 100.00	A1	5,393,732
1,230	El Dorado Irrigation District, California, Water and Sewer Certificates of Participation, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 3/01/21 – FGIC Insured	3/14 at 100.00	A1	1,257,773
235	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 – NPFPG Insured	4/16 at 100.00	AA–	217,596
5,000	Indio Water Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/31 – AMBAC Insured	4/16 at 100.00	A+	4,666,350

30 Nuveen Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
\$ 220	Marina Coast Water District, California, Enterprise Certificate of Participation, Series 2006, 5.000%, 6/01/31 – NPMFG Insured	6/16 at 100.00	AA–	\$ 209,352
1,500	Placerville Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater System Refinancing and Improvement Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/34 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,134,060
1,345	West Basin Municipal Water District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/20 – NPMFG Insured	8/13 at 100.00	Aa2	1,430,811
16,985	Total Water and Sewer			15,846,330
\$ 129,359	Total Investments (cost \$131,627,729) – 146.3%			128,531,114
	Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.6)% (5)			(42,700,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.3%			1,995,907
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 87,827,021

The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance for more information.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
 - (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
 - (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group (“Standard & Poor’s”), Moody’s Investor Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) or Fitch, Inc. (“Fitch”) rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor’s, Baa by Moody’s or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
 - (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest.
 - (5) Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.2%.
- N/R Not rated.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NCL
February 28, 2011

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc.
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Education and Civic Organizations – 5.4% (3.5% of Total Investments)			
\$ 585	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2000, 5.875%, 11/01/20 – NPF Insured	5/11 at 100.00	A2	\$ 587,001
750	California Educational Facilities Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, Cal Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.400%, 3/01/21 – NPF Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/11 at 100.00	Baa1	749,963
1,500	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 11/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,502,700
6,000	University of California, Revenue Bonds, Multi-Purpose Projects, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 5/15/27 – AMBAC Insured (UB)	5/13 at 100.00	Aa1	6,038,880
8,835	Total Education and Civic Organizations			8,878,544
	Health Care – 4.7% (3.1% of Total Investments)			
1,410	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph Health System, Trust 2554, 18.488%, 7/01/47 – AGM Insured (IF)	7/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,036,745
4,690	Santa Clara County Financing Authority, California, Insured Revenue Bonds, El Camino Hospital, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 2/01/41 – AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A+	4,474,495
2,000	The Regents of the University of California, Medical Center Pooled Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 4.500%, 5/15/37 – NPF Insured	5/15 at 101.00	Aa2	1,624,060
650	University of California, Hospital Revenue Bonds, UCLA Medical Center, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 5/15/18 – AMBAC Insured	5/12 at 101.00	N/R	665,802
8,750	Total Health Care			7,801,102
	Housing/Single Family – 1.0% (0.6% of Total Investments)			
215	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100.00	A	218,391
1,350	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006K, 5.500%, 2/01/42 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100.00	Aaa	1,372,383
1,565	Total Housing/Single Family			1,590,774

Long-Term Care – 1.4% (0.9% of Total Investments)				
1,575	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Revenue Bonds, California-Nevada Methodist Homes, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/36	7/16 at 100.00	A–	1,330,481
1,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Insured Revenue Bonds, Community Program for Persons with Developmental Disabilities, Series 2011A, 6.250%, 2/01/26	No Opt. Call	A–	1,022,860
2,575	Total Long-Term Care			2,353,341
Tax Obligation/General – 33.2% (21.7% of Total Investments)				
1,425	Bassett Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.250%, 8/01/30 – FGIC Insured	8/16 at 100.00	A–	1,429,603
3,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 4.500%, 9/01/36 – AGM Insured	9/16 at 100.00	AA+	2,506,650
6,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2010, 6.000%, 3/01/33	3/20 at 100.00	A1	6,308,700
4,200	Coast Community College District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 0.000%, 8/01/31 – AGM Insured	8/18 at 100.00	AA+	3,392,004
2,500	Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006 Series 2009B, 5.375%, 2/01/34 – AGC Insured	8/18 at 100.00	AA+	2,480,350
East Side Union High School District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, 2008 Election Series 2010B:				
3,490	5.000%, 8/01/27 – AGC Insured	8/19 at 100.00	AA+	3,441,803
3,545	5.000%, 8/01/28 – AGC Insured	8/19 at 100.00	AA+	3,466,230
3,110	5.000%, 8/01/29 – AGC Insured	8/19 at 100.00	AA+	3,006,313
2,210	Fontana Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Trust 2668, 9.469%, 2/01/16 – AGM Insured (IF)	No Opt. Call	AA+	2,220,564

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)			
\$ 1,255	Los Angeles Community College District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/24 – AGM Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA+	\$ 1,281,242
4,000	Los Angeles Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A, 4.500%, 7/01/24 – AGM Insured	7/17 at 100.00	AA+	3,886,360
	Los Rios Community College District, Sacramento, El Dorado and Yolo Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C:			
2,110	5.000%, 8/01/21 – AGM Insured (UB)	8/14 at 102.00	AA+	2,201,891
3,250	5.000%, 8/01/22 – AGM Insured (UB)	8/14 at 102.00	AA+	3,511,723
3,395	5.000%, 8/01/23 – AGM Insured (UB)	8/14 at 102.00	AA+	3,645,551
1,270	Merced City School District, Merced County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/01/22 – FGIC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	A	1,307,630
305	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 – FGIC Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA–	306,610
2,500	Sacramento City Unified School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – NPMFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa2	2,506,125
1,125	San Diego Unified School District, San Diego County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 1998, Series 1999A, 0.000%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa1	649,046
2,000	San Francisco Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 6/15/26 – FGIC Insured	6/11 at 101.00	Aa2	1,964,200
2,000	San Jacinto Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 8/01/32 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,943,560
1,000	San Ramon Valley Unified School District, Contra Costa County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/01/24 – AGM Insured	8/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,029,550
2,445	Washington Unified School District, Yolo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 8/01/21 – FGIC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	A+	2,514,682
56,135	Total Tax Obligation/General			55,000,387
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 62.5% (40.7% of Total Investments)			
	Anaheim Public Finance Authority, California, Subordinate Lease Revenue Bonds, Public Improvement Project, Series 1997C:			

5,130	0.000%, 9/01/18 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,607,673
8,000	0.000%, 9/01/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	4,308,400
2,235	Antioch Public Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Municipal Facilities Project, Refunding Series 2002A, 5.500%, 1/01/32 – NPPFG Insured	5/11 at 100.00	A	2,207,532
	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, North County Center for Self-Sufficiency Corporation, Series 2004:			
1,535	5.000%, 12/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,580,973
1,780	5.000%, 12/01/23 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,802,268
3,725	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series 2005J, 5.000%, 1/01/17 – AMBAC Insured	1/16 at 100.00	A2	3,940,231
4,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2009G-1, 5.750%, 10/01/30	10/19 at 100.00	A2	3,951,160
380	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 – FGIC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	BBB	349,057
7,000	Chula Vista Public Financing Authority, California, Pooled Community Facility District Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 4.500%, 9/01/27 – NPPFG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	Baa1	5,727,680
1,430	Cloverdale Community Development Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Cloverdale Redevelopment Project Series 2006, 5.000%, 8/01/36 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	A–	1,119,819
5,225	El Monte, California, Senior Lien Certificates of Participation, Department of Public Services Facility Phase II, Series 2001, 5.000%, 1/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	7/11 at 100.00	A2	5,227,613
8,280	Fontana Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, North Fontana Redevelopment Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/32 – AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100.00	A	6,836,382

NCL
February 28, 2011

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (continued)
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)			
	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:			
\$ 7,250	5.000%, 6/01/35 – FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA+	\$ 6,328,960
7,500	5.000%, 6/01/45 – AGC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA+	6,226,875
6,215	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bonds Trust 4686, 9.229%, 6/01/45 – AGC Insured (IF)	6/15 at 100.00	AA+	4,105,008
2,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bonds Trust 2040, 10.395%, 6/01/45 – FGIC Insured (IF)	6/15 at 100.00	A2	810,080
875	Hesperia Public Financing Authority, California, Redevelopment and Housing Projects Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 9/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/17 at 100.00	Ba1	559,510
1,700	Hesperia Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, Certificates of Participation, Capital Improvement, Series 2007, 5.000%, 2/01/41 – AMBAC Insured	2/17 at 100.00	A–	1,353,302
5,000	La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project Area 1, Series 1998, 5.200%, 9/01/28 – AMBAC Insured	3/11 at 100.00	A+	4,644,250
2,185	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 – AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A1	1,765,087
1,000	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bunker Hill Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/20 – AGM Insured	12/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,042,310
4,000	Los Angeles, California, Certificates of Participation, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Series 2003AW, 5.000%, 6/01/33 – AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 100.00	A+	3,788,920
3,000	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 1/01/31 – FGIC Insured	1/17 at 100.00	A+	2,636,160

6,120	Moreno Valley Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/38 – AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A–	4,742,939
2,810	Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Administration Building Projects, Series 2008B, 5.000%, 8/01/21 – AGC Insured	8/18 at 100.00	AA+	2,932,544
1,000	Palm Springs Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Convention Center Project, Refunding Series 2004A, 5.500%, 11/01/35 – NPF Insured	11/14 at 102.00	A	935,340
4,140	Plumas County, California, Certificates of Participation, Capital Improvement Program, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 6/01/28 – AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 101.00	A–	3,758,375
390	Poway Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Paguay Redevelopment Project, Series 2000, 5.750%, 6/15/33 – NPF Insured	12/12 at 100.00	Baa1	362,033
325	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A–	256,809
1,000	Rocklin Unified School District, Placer County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 1, Series 2004, 5.000%, 9/01/25 – NPF Insured	9/13 at 100.00	A–	901,770
2,500	Roseville Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 9/01/33 – AMBAC Insured	9/17 at 100.00	N/R	1,907,450
405	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AA–	390,663
4,655	San Bernardino Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation Refunding, Police Station Financing Project, Series 1999, 5.500%, 9/01/20 – NPF Insured	3/11 at 101.00	Baa1	4,666,079
1,500	San Jose Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 8/01/28 – NPF Insured	8/15 at 100.00	A2	1,232,010
5,510	Sweetwater Union High School District Public Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/28 – AGM Insured	9/15 at 100.00	AA+	5,235,437
1,205	Tustin Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Housing Bonds Series 2010, 5.000%, 9/01/30 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,154,306
1,020	Washington Unified School District, Yolo County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2007, 5.125%, 8/01/37 – AMBAC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A	928,730
122,025	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			103,323,735

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Transportation – 7.7% (5.1% of Total Investments)			
\$ 6,500	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/15/18 – NPMG Insured	7/11 at 70.31	Baa1	\$ 3,957,005
4,000	Orange County Transportation Authority, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, 91 Express Lanes Project, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/15/18 – AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	A1	4,237,560
5,000	San Francisco Airports Commission, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, San Francisco International Airport, Second Series 2001, Issue 27A, 5.250%, 5/01/31 – NPMG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	5/11 at 100.00	A1	4,583,300
15,500	Total Transportation			12,777,865
	U.S. Guaranteed – 6.5% (4.2% of Total Investments) (4)			
1,705	Central Unified School District, Fresno County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1993, 5.625%, 3/01/18 – AMBAC Insured (ETM)	3/11 at 100.00	AAA	1,743,226
	Manteca Unified School District, San Joaquin County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:			
1,000	5.250%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) – AGM Insured	8/14 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,142,780
1,000	5.250%, 8/01/22 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) – AGM Insured	8/14 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,142,780
4,320	Riverside County, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1987B, 8.625%, 5/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	5,689,224
905	University of California, Hospital Revenue Bonds, UCLA Medical Center, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 5/15/18 (Pre-refunded 5/15/12) – AMBAC Insured	5/12 at 101.00	N/R (4)	968,006
8,930	Total U.S. Guaranteed			10,686,016
	Utilities – 8.7% (5.7% of Total Investments)			
670	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	N/R	558,479
100	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Solid Waste and Redevelopment Projects, Series 1999, 5.800%, 12/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	6/11 at 101.00	N/R	100,144
1,950	Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 8/01/27 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/12 at 100.00	A+	1,763,502

Santa Clara, California, Subordinate Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:					
2,800	5.000%, 7/01/24 – NPMG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	A1		2,858,716
5,000	5.000%, 7/01/28 – NPMG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	A1		5,058,000
4,000	Southern California Public Power Authority, California, Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Revenue Bonds, Series 2010-1, 5.000%, 7/01/28	No Opt. Call	AA–		4,062,600
14,520	Total Utilities				14,401,441
Water and Sewer – 22.3% (14.5% of Total Investments)					
1,100	Atwater Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 3145, 18.296%, 5/01/40 – AGM Insured (IF)	5/19 at 100.00	AA+		768,328
2,000	El Dorado Irrigation District, California, Water and Sewer Certificates of Participation, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 3/01/21 – FGIC Insured	3/14 at 100.00	A1		2,045,160
750	Fortuna Public Finance Authority, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 10/01/36 – AGM Insured	10/16 at 100.00	AA+		690,585
460	Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/36 – NPMG Insured	4/16 at 100.00	AA–		425,932
2,700	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, California, Senior Revenue Bonds, Capital Projects, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 10/01/21 – AGM Insured	10/13 at 100.00	AA+		2,868,021
2,000	Los Angeles, California, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 4.500%, 6/01/29 – NPMG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA		1,895,960

Nuveen Investments

35

NCL
February 28, 2011

Nuveen Insured California Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (continued)
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
\$ 430	Marina Coast Water District, California, Enterprise Certificate of Participation, Series 2006, 5.000%, 6/01/31 – NPPG Insured	6/16 at 100.00	AA-	\$ 409,188
12,000	Orange County Sanitation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/33 – FGIC Insured (UB)	8/13 at 100.00	AAA	12,006,360
1,520	San Buenaventura, California, Water Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	10/14 at 100.00	AA	1,529,105
1,000	San Diego County Water Authority, California, Water Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2008A, 5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA+	953,530
3,675	San Dieguito Water District, California, Water Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/23 – FGIC Insured	10/14 at 100.00	AA+	3,817,884
	Santa Clara Valley Water District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004A:			
1,400	5.000%, 2/01/19 – FGIC Insured	2/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,467,088
445	5.000%, 2/01/20 – FGIC Insured	2/14 at 100.00	AA+	462,791
465	5.000%, 2/01/21 – FGIC Insured	2/14 at 100.00	AA+	480,373
2,500	West Basin Municipal Water District, California, Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPPG Insured	8/13 at 100.00	Aa2	2,501,025
	Yorba Linda Water District, California, Certificates of Participation, Highland Reservoir Renovation, Series 2003:			
2,010	5.000%, 10/01/28 – FGIC Insured	10/13 at 100.00	AAA	2,016,693
2,530	5.000%, 10/01/33 – FGIC Insured	10/13 at 100.00	AAA	2,502,873
36,985	Total Water and Sewer			36,840,896
\$ 275,820	Total Investments (cost \$266,167,428) – 153.4%			253,654,101
	Floating Rate Obligations – (10.8)%			(17,880,000)
	Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (44.8)% (5)			(74,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.2% (6)			3,585,116
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 165,359,217

Investments in Derivatives

Forward Swaps outstanding at February 28, 2011:

Counterparty	Notional Amount	Fund Pay/Receive Floating Rate	Floating Rate Index	Fixed Rate (Annualized)	Fixed Rate Payment Frequency	Effective Date (7)	Termination Date	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Morgan Stanley	\$ 5,750,000	Receive	3-Month USD-LIBOR	4.431	% Semi-Annually	2/17/12	2/17/30	\$ (15,872)

The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance for more information.

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group (“Standard & Poor’s”), Moody’s Investor Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) or Fitch, Inc. (“Fitch”) rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor’s, Baa by Moody’s or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
- (5) Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 29.2%.
- (6) Other Assets Less Liabilities includes the Value and/or the Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of derivative instruments as listed within Investments in Derivatives.
- (7) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and Counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap contract.

N/R Not rated.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

USD-LIBOR United States Dollar – London Inter-Bank Offered Rate.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NCU
February 28, 2011

Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Consumer Staples – 6.7% (4.3% of Total Investments)			
\$ 1,500	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Alameda County Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Series 2002, 5.750%, 6/01/29	6/12 at 100.00	Baa3	\$ 1,364,190
215	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 4.250%, 6/01/21	6/15 at 100.00	BBB	197,714
2,920	California Statewide Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Pooled Tobacco Securitization Program, Series 2002A, 5.625%, 5/01/29	5/12 at 100.00	Baa3	2,618,014
1,350	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 0.000%, 6/01/37	6/22 at 100.00	Baa3	773,618
5,985	Total Consumer Staples			4,953,536
	Education and Civic Organizations – 6.0% (3.9% of Total Investments)			
70	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Redlands, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/35	10/15 at 100.00	A3	58,962
	California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of the Pacific, Series 2006:			
45	5.000%, 11/01/21	11/15 at 100.00	A2	46,695
60	5.000%, 11/01/25	11/15 at 100.00	A2	60,356
1,112	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, University of California Regents, Tender Option Bond Trust 1065, 9.166%, 3/01/33 (IF)	3/18 at 100.00	Aa2	979,160
2,000	California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.000%, 11/01/27 – NCFG Insured	11/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,970,960
1,245	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.125%, 5/15/17 – AMBAC Insured (UB)	5/13 at 100.00	Aa1	1,339,060
4,532	Total Education and Civic Organizations			4,455,193
	Energy – 0.6% (0.4% of Total Investments)			
500		1/15 at 100.00	Baa3	419,980

	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refinery Project Hovensa LLC, Series 2007, 4.700%, 7/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Health Care – 28.7% (18.8% of Total Investments)			
3,435	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Downey Community Hospital, Series 1993, 5.750%, 5/15/15 (5)	5/11 at 100.00	N/R	2,746,970
155	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 4/01/37	4/16 at 100.00	A+	130,738
3,525	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 (UB)	11/16 at 100.00	AA–	3,026,812
1,500	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Hospital Assistance LLC, Series 2001A, 5.550%, 8/01/31	8/11 at 102.00	A+	1,441,515
685	California Municipal Financing Authority, Certificates of Participation, Community Hospitals of Central California, Series 2007, 5.250%, 2/01/46	2/17 at 100.00	Baa2	553,021
377	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph Health System, Trust 2554, 18.488%, 7/01/47 – AGM Insured (IF)	7/18 at 100.00	AA+	276,833
1,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Insured Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 10/01/37	10/17 at 100.00	A–	818,870
1,740	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Daughters of Charity Health System, Series 2005A, 5.250%, 7/01/30	7/15 at 100.00	BBB	1,507,501
490	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/16 at 100.00	A+	410,336
730	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250%, 8/01/31	8/16 at 100.00	A+	661,212
3,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Methodist Hospital Project, Series 2009, 6.750%, 2/01/38	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	3,262,620

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Health Care (continued)			
\$ 2,100	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Oaks Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 8/01/22 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	A1	\$ 2,088,912
1,690	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 11/15/43	11/15 at 100.00	AA–	1,429,858
760	Loma Linda, California, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Loma Linda University Medical Center, Series 2008A, 8.250%, 12/01/38	12/17 at 100.00	BBB	819,219
1,450	Palomar Pomerado Health Care District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2010, 6.000%, 11/01/41	11/20 at 100.00	Baa3	1,289,340
1,000	The Regents of the University of California, Medical Center Pooled Revenue Bonds, Series 2009E, 5.000%, 5/15/38	5/17 at 101.00	Aa2	895,470
23,637	Total Health Care			21,359,227
	Housing/Multifamily – 0.6% (0.4% of Total Investments)			
500	California Municipal Finance Authority, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Caritas Projects Series 2010A, 6.400%, 8/15/45	8/20 at 100.00	BBB–	455,610
	Housing/Single Family – 3.2% (2.1% of Total Investments)			
2,500	California Housing Finance Agency, California, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2008L, 5.500%, 8/01/38	2/18 at 100.00	A	2,301,300
100	California Housing Finance Agency, Home Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006H, 5.750%, 8/01/30 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	2/16 at 100.00	A	101,577
2,600	Total Housing/Single Family			2,402,877
	Industrials – 0.7% (0.4% of Total Investments)			
500	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 102.00	BBB	502,295
	Tax Obligation/General – 28.4% (18.6% of Total Investments)			
	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2009:			
1,300	5.500%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	A1	1,291,537
2,350	6.000%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	A1	2,430,817
1,500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/31 – NPMFG Insured	2/13 at 100.00	A1	1,447,650

4,000	California, General Obligation Veterans Welfare Bonds, Series 1999BR, 5.300%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/11 at 100.00	AA	3,805,680
6,000	Hartnell Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 6/01/29 – AGM Insured (UB)	6/16 at 100.00	AA+	5,911,260
2,755	Pomona Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.150%, 8/01/15 – NPFG Insured	8/11 at 103.00	A	2,892,364
15	Riverside Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 8/01/22 – NPFG Insured	8/14 at 100.00	AA	16,276
135	Roseville Joint Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 8/01/27 – FGIC Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA–	135,713
1,355	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/25 – NPFG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	Aa1	1,374,526
8,345	Yosemite Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Appreciation, Election 2004, Series 2010D, 0.000%, 8/01/42	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,827,388
27,755	Total Tax Obligation/General			21,133,211

Nuveen Investments

39

NCU
February 28, 2011

Nuveen California Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 46.1% (30.1% of Total Investments)			
\$ 1,000	Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bell Project Area, Series 2003, 5.625%, 10/01/33 – RAAI Insured	10/13 at 100.00	N/R	\$ 774,500
	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, Revenue Bonds, North County Center for Self-Sufficiency Corporation, Series 2004:			
1,695	5.000%, 12/01/22 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,725,442
1,865	5.000%, 12/01/24 – AMBAC Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA	1,880,480
5,920	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Department of Veterans Affairs, Southern California Veterans Home – Chula Vista Facility, Series 1999A, 5.600%, 11/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	5/11 at 100.50	A2	5,965,110
1,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2009G-1, 5.750%, 10/01/30	10/19 at 100.00	A2	987,790
2,000	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2009I-1, 6.375%, 11/01/34	11/19 at 100.00	A2	2,054,020
535	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	7/14 at 100.00	Aa3	583,262
165	Capistrano Unified School District, Orange County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/24 – FGIC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	BBB	151,564
500	Chino Redevelopment Agency, California, Merged Chino Redevelopment Project Area Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/38 – AMBAC Insured	9/16 at 101.00	A–	387,400
	Irvine, California, Unified School District, Community Facilities District Special Tax Bonds, Series 2006A:			
75	5.000%, 9/01/26	9/16 at 100.00	N/R	66,183
175	5.125%, 9/01/36	9/16 at 100.00	N/R	144,095
3,500	Livermore Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Livermore Redevelopment Project Area, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 8/01/26 – NPPFG Insured	8/11 at 100.00	BBB+	3,110,450

310	Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Manchester Social Services Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 9/01/37 – AMBAC Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A1	250,424
2,000	Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Police Headquarters, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 1/01/31 – FGIC Insured	1/17 at 100.00	A+	1,757,440
3,230	Murrieta Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/35 – NPFPG Insured	8/15 at 100.00	A–	2,553,057
155	Rialto Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Merged Project Area, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	A–	122,478
190	Roseville, California, Certificates of Participation, Public Facilities, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 8/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AA–	183,274
1,500	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.400%, 11/01/20 – NPFPG Insured	No Opt. Call	A1	1,549,545
3,000	Sacramento City Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993B, 5.400%, 11/01/20	No Opt. Call	A1	3,099,090
2,000	San Francisco City and County, California, Certificates of Participation, Multiple Capital Improvement Projects, Series 2009A, 5.200%, 4/01/26	4/19 at 100.00	AA–	2,020,420
	San Marcos Public Facilities Authority, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998:			
1,500	5.800%, 9/01/18	3/11 at 100.00	Baa3	1,509,135
1,000	5.800%, 9/01/27	3/11 at 100.00	Baa3	965,530
325	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo County, California, Certificates of Participation, Phase 1, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 12/15/30 – AMBAC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	AA–	292,653
2,050	Santa Barbara County, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2001, 5.250%, 12/01/19 – AMBAC Insured	12/11 at 102.00	AA+	2,148,851
35,690	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			34,282,193

40 Nuveen Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Transportation – 3.4% (2.2% of Total Investments)			
\$ 780	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2006F, 5.000%, 4/01/31 (UB)	4/16 at 100.00	AA	\$ 782,223
220	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2008, Trust 3211, 13.393%, 10/01/32 (IF)	4/18 at 100.00	AA	207,530
2,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 5.000%, 1/01/35	7/11 at 100.00	BBB–	1,526,900
3,000	Total Transportation			2,516,653
	U.S. Guaranteed – 13.7% (8.9% of Total Investments) (4)			
2,000	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.125%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)	5/12 at 101.00	Aaa	2,128,220
3,000	California Infrastructure Economic Development Bank, First Lien Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/22 – AGM Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,463,770
370	California, Economic Recovery Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/15 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14)	7/14 at 100.00	AAA	418,711
3,495	Orange County Sanitation District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.250%, 2/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) – FGIC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AAA	3,872,320
255	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.125%, 5/15/17 (Pre-refunded 5/15/13) – AMBAC Insured (UB)	5/13 at 100.00	Aa1 (4)	278,353
9,120	Total U.S. Guaranteed			10,161,374
	Utilities – 8.3% (5.4% of Total Investments)			
890	Long Beach Bond Finance Authority, California, Natural Gas Purchase Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.500%, 11/15/37	No Opt. Call	A	802,041
275	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A-2, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – NPPFG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	AA–	290,758
295	Merced Irrigation District, California, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.125%, 9/01/31 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/15 at 100.00	N/R	245,897
4,580	Sacramento Municipal Utility District, California, Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002Q, 5.250%, 8/15/20 – AGM Insured	8/12 at 100.00	AA+	4,797,184
6,040	Total Utilities			6,135,880
	Water and Sewer – 6.9% (4.5% of Total Investments)			
1,125		6/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,149,491

Burbank, California, Wastewater System Revenue
Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 6/01/23 – AMBAC
Insured

205 Healdsburg Public Financing Authority, California, 4/16 at 100.00
Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%,
4/01/36 – NCFG Insured