DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPALS INC

Form N-CSR November 29, 2007 **UNITED STATES**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number

811-5245

DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPALS. INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

c/o The Dreyfus Corporation 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

Michael A. Rosenberg, Esq. 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(212) 922-6000

Date of fiscal year end:

09/30

Date of reporting period:

09/30/07

FORM N-CSR

Item 1.

Reports to Stockholders.

Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc.

Protecting Your Privacy Our Pledge to You

THE FUND IS COMMITTED TO YOUR PRIVACY. On this page, you will find the Fund spolicies and practices for collecting, disclosing, and safeguarding nonpublic personal information, which may include financial or other customer information. These policies apply to individuals who purchase Fund shares for personal, family, or household purposes, or have done so in the past. This notification replaces all previous statements of the Fund sonsumer privacy policy, and may be amended at any time. Well keep you informed of changes as required by law.

YOUR ACCOUNT IS PROVIDED IN A SECURE ENVIRONMENT. The Fund maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations to guard nonpublic personal information. The Fund sagents and service providers have limited access to customer information based on their role in servicing your account.

THE FUND COLLECTS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO SERVICE AND ADMINISTER YOUR ACCOUNT.

The Fund collects a variety of nonpublic personal information, which may include:

- Information we receive from you, such as your name, address, and social security number.
- Information about your transactions with us, such as the purchase or sale of Fund shares.
- Information we receive from agents and service providers, such as proxy voting information.

THE FUND DOES NOT SHARE NONPUBLIC PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ANYONE, EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY LAW.

Thank you for this opportunity to serve you.

The views expressed in this report reflect those of the portfolio manager only through the end of the period covered and do not necessarily represent the views of Dreyfus or any other person in the Dreyfus organization. Any such views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions and Dreyfus disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a Dreyfus fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Dreyfus fund.

Not FDIC-Insured ☐ Not Bank-Guaranteed ☐ May Lose Value

Contents

THE FUND

2	A Letter from the CEO		
3	Discussion of Fund Performance		
6	Selected Information		
7	Statement of Investments		
26	Statement of Assets and Liabilities		
27	Statement of Operations		
28	Statement of Changes in Net Assets		
29	Financial Highlights		
30	Notes to Financial Statements		
37	Report of Independent Registered		
Public Accounting Firm			
38	Additional Information		
41	Important Tax Information		
42	Proxy Results		
43	Board Members Information		

46
Officers of the Fund
Officers and Directors

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Back Cover

The Fund

Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc.

A LETTER FROM THE CEO

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to present this annual report for Dreyfus Strategic Municipals, Inc., covering the 12-month period from October 1, 2006, through September 30, 2007.

After an extended period of relative stability, fixed-income markets encountered heightened volatility during the reporting period as the credit cycle appeared to shift to a new phase. Turmoil in the U.S. sub-prime mortgage sector that began in late February spread to other areas of the economy over the summer, causing investors to reassess their attitudes toward risk. The ensuing [flight to quality] caused bond prices to fall sharply in the market smore credit-sensitive areas. While we saw no overall change in the underlying credit fundamentals of municipal bonds, the tax-exempt market was nonetheless affected by liquidity concerns. To help restore liquidity, the Federal Reserve Board cut key short-term interest rates in August and September. Investors reacted favorably to the Fed[s moves, and municipal bond prices began to rebound.

We believe that these developments have created opportunities to purchase municipal bonds at more attractive prices and yields than have been available for some time. Since each investor is situation is unique, we encourage you to talk about these investment matters with your financial advisor, who can help you make the right adjustments for your portfolio.

For information about how the fund performed during the reporting period, as well as market perspectives, we have provided a Discussion of Fund Performance given by the fund solvents Portfolio Manager.

Thank you for your continued confidence and support.

Thomas F. Eggers Chief Executive Officer The Dreyfus Corporation October 15, 2007

2

DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE

For the period of October 1, 2006, through September 30, 2007, as provided by W. Michael Petty, Senior Portfolio Manager

Fund and Market Performance Overview

After trading within a relatively finite range for most of the reporting period, a liquidity crisis over the summer of 2007 led to sharp declines in the municipal bond market. However, bond prices rebounded somewhat in late August and September, enabling the market to post a positive absolute return for the reporting period overall. The fund performance was driven primarily by its focus on investment-grade, income-oriented securities, which generally held up better during the downturn than other types of tax-exempt bonds.

For the 12-month period ended September 30, 2007, Dreyfus Strategic Municipals achieved a total return of 1.62% (on a net asset value basis). During the same period, the fund provided income dividends of \$0.50 per share, which is equal to a distribution rate of 5.77%. 2

The Fund s Investment Approach

The fund investment objective is to maximize current income exempt from federal income tax to the extent consistent with the preservation of capital. Under normal market conditions, the fund invests at least 80% of its net assets in municipal obligations. Generally, the fund invests at least 50% of its net assets in municipal bonds considered investment grade or the unrated equivalent as determined by Dreyfus in the case of bonds, and in the two highest-rating categories or the unrated equivalent as determined by Dreyfus in the case of short-term obligations having or deemed to have maturities of less than one year.

To this end, we have constructed a portfolio derived from seeking income opportunities through analysis of each bond\(\sigma\) s structure, including paying close attention to each bond\(\sigma\) s yield, maturity and early redemption features.

The Fund 3

DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE (continued)

Over time, many of the fund \square s relatively higher-yielding bonds mature or are redeemed by their issuers, and we generally attempt to replace those bonds, as opportunities arise, with investments consistent with the fund \square s investment policies. When we believe an opportunity exists, we also may seek to upgrade the portfolio \square s investments with newly issued bonds that, in our opinion, have better structural or income characteristics than existing holdings.

A Strong Market Rebound Offset Previous Declines

A moderate economic slowdown, mild inflation and stable short-term interest rates helped keep municipal bond prices within a relatively narrow range over the first eight months of the reporting period. Municipal bond prices also were supported by robust investor demand and sound fiscal conditions for most states and municipalities. However, market conditions changed dramatically over the summer of 2007, when turmoil in the sub-prime mortgage sector of the taxable bond market spread to other fixed-income sectors. Although we saw no evidence of credit deterioration among municipal bond issuers, the tax-exempt market was affected by selling pressure from highly leveraged hedge funds and other institutional investors, which needed to raise cash for redemption requests and margin calls. In the immediate aftermath of the summertime decline, tax-exempt bonds traded at their highest yield levels in more than three years.

Bouts of reduced ready liquidity throughout the U.S. bond market prompted the Federal Reserve Board (the [Fed]) to cut both the discount rate and the federal funds rate late in the reporting period, the first reductions in short-term rates in more than four years. On average, the municipal bond market responded favorably to the Fed[s] actions, sparking a rally that, by the reporting period[s] end, erased some, but not all, of its earlier losses. However, the rally was less pronounced at the longer end of the tax-exempt market[s] maturity spectrum, where the fund primarily focuses.

A Focus on Income Bolstered Fund Performance

Our security selection strategy primarily emphasized income-oriented bonds, including those selling at modest premiums to their face values. These <code>[cushion]</code> bonds helped shelter the fund from the full brunt of the market <code>[s]</code> summertime decline. In addition, because many of these bonds include provisions for early redemption, the fund <code>[s]</code> average duration was shorter than industry averages, which also helped protect the fund from heightened market volatility. On the other hand, the fund <code>[s]</code> leveraging strategy during the reporting period proved to be less effective than usual, primarily due to historically narrow yield differences between auction rate preferred stock and long-term municipal bonds.

Maintaining a Conservative Investment Posture

In our view, ongoing market volatility may provide opportunities to purchase long-term municipal bonds at relatively attractive prices. Still, we generally have retained a relatively defensive investment posture, including an emphasis on long-term, income-oriented bonds from issuers that have demonstrated good quality and liquidity characteristics. We also have maintained rigorous credit standards, and our credit analysts help ensure that candidates for investment contain certain covenants designed to protect bondholders. In our view, these are prudent strategies in today changing economic and market environments.

October 15, 2007

- Total return includes reinvestment of dividends and any capital gains paid, based upon net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Market price per share, net asset value per share and investment return fluctuate. Income may be subject to state and local taxes, and some income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) for certain investors. Capital gains, if any, are fully taxable. Return figure provided reflects the absorption of certain fund expenses by The Dreyfus Corporation pursuant to an agreement in effect until October 31, 2007, at which time it may be extended, modified or terminated. Had these expenses not been absorbed, the fund[]s return would have been lower.
- Distribution rate per share is based upon dividends per share paid from net investment income during the period, divided by the market price per share at the end of the period.

The Fund 5

SELECTED INFORMATION

September 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

Market Price per share September 30, 2007 Shares Outstanding September 30, 2007 New York Stock Exchange Ticker Symbol \$8.74 60,720,834

LEO

MARKET PRICE (NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE)

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2007

Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended
December 31, 2006	March 31, 2007	June 30, 2007	September 30, 2007

_	erm Municipal ments∏154.1%	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)		
	MENT OF INVESTM er 30, 2007	IENTS					
6							
* With di	ividends reinvested.						
July 1, 20	007 through September 3	30, 2007			(0.11)		
	007 through September				(1.13)		
January 1	l, 2007 through Septemb	per 30, 2007			(0.14)		
	1, 2006 through Septemb				1.62		
	1, 2002 through Septemb				34.99		
_	September 30, 2007 1, 1997 through Septeml	ner 30 2007			74.50		
	er 23, 1987 (commencer	ment of operations)			302.31%		
PERCEI	NTAGE GAIN based or	n change in Net Asset Va	lue*				
Septemb	er 30, 2007				9.12		
June 30,					9.25		
March 31					9.47		
•	er 30, 2006 er 31, 2006				9.46 9.50		
Septemb	er 23, 1987 (commencer				\$ 9.32		
NET AS	SET VALUE PER SHA	1RE					
	007 through September 3				(6.40)		
	007 through September			(6.04)			
	1, 2006 through Septemb L, 2007 through Septemb			(1.72)			
	1, 2002 through Septeml 1, 2006 through Septeml			20.02 0.46			
	1, 1997 through Septemb			57.88 20.02			
-	September 30, 2007				259.74%		
Septemb	er 23, 1987 (commencer	ment of operations)					
PERCEI	NTAGE GAIN (LOSS)	based on change in Mark	ket Price*				
Close	9.26	9.56	9.47		8.74		
Low	9.12	9.27	9.05		8.26		
High	\$9.50	\$9.75	\$9.7	0	\$9.54		
			<u> </u>				

Houston County Health Care				
Authority, GO (Insured; AMBAC)	6.25	10/1/09	8,000,000 ^a	8,496,880
Jefferson County,				
Limited Obligation School				
Warrants	5.25	1/1/18	16,000,000	16,982,880
Jefferson County,				
Limited Obligation School				
Warrants	5.50	1/1/22	4,000,000	4,270,840
Alaska .7%				
Alaska Housing Finance				
Corporation, General Mortgage				
Revenue (Insured; MBIA)	6.00	6/1/49	4,000,000	4,116,600
Arizona 3.7%				
Arizona Health Facilities				
Authority, Health Care				
Facilities Revenue (The				
Beatitudes Campus Project)	5.10	10/1/22	3,000,000	2,817,180
Maricopa County Pollution Control				
Corporation, PCR (Public				
Service Company of New Mexico				
Palo Verde Project)	5.75	11/1/22	6,000,000	6,036,960
Navajo County Industrial				
Development Authority, IDR				
(Stone Container Corporation				
Project)	7.40	4/1/26	1,585,000	1,608,331
Scottsdale Industrial Development				
Authority, HR (Scottsdale				
Healthcare)	5.80	12/1/11	6,000,000 ^a	6,561,720
Tucson,				
Water System Revenue				
(Insured; FGIC)	5.00	7/1/12	3,500,000 ^a	3,717,840
Arkansas .5%				
Arkansas Development Finance				
Authority, SFMR (Mortgage				
Backed Securities Program)				
(Collateralized: FNMA and GNMA)	6.25	1/1/32	2,500,000	2,553,075
California ☐14.1%				
California,				
GO	5.25	4/1/34	5,000	5,212
California,				
GO (Various Purpose)	5.50	4/1/14	3,385,000 ^a	3,766,625

The Fund 7

Long-Term Municipal Investments (continued)	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
California (continued)				
California,				
GO (Various Purpose)	5.00	9/1/30	10,000,000	10,219,900
California,				
GO (Various Purpose)	5.00	3/1/32	5,000,000	5,093,800
California,				
GO (Various Purpose)	5.00	9/1/32	8,000,000	8,154,320
California,				
GO (Various Purpose) (Insured;				
AMBAC)	4.25	12/1/35	8,000,000	7,337,600
California Health Facilities				
Financing Authority, Revenue				
(Cedars-Sinai Medical Center)	5.00	11/15/34	5,000,000	5,011,300
California Pollution Control				
Financing Authority, SWDR				
(Keller Canyon Landfill				
Company Project)	6.88	11/1/27	2,000,000	2,004,320
California Statewide Communities				
Development Authority, Revenue				
(Bentley School)	6.75	7/1/32	2,000,000	2,134,060
Golden State Tobacco				
Securitization Corporation,				
Tobacco Settlement				
Asset-Backed Bonds	7.80	6/1/13	8,100,000 ^a	9,804,240
Golden State Tobacco				
Securitization Corporation,				
Tobacco Settlement				
Asset-Backed Bonds	7.90	6/1/13	2,000,000 ^a	2,430,040
Golden State Tobacco				
Securitization Corporation,				
Tobacco Settlement				
Asset-Backed Bonds	5.75	6/1/47	18,050,000	17,224,393
State Public Works Board of				
California, LR Department of				
General Services (Butterfield				
State Office Complex)	5.25	6/1/30	5,000,000	5,186,550
Colorado _□ 4.6%				
Beacon Point Metropolitan				
District, GO	6.25	12/1/35	2,000,000	1,951,920
Colorado Health Facilities				
Authority, Revenue (American				
Baptist Homes of the Midwest				
Obligated Group)	5.90	8/1/37	3,000,000	2,966,490

Long-Term Municipal nvestments (continued)	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Colorado (continued)				
Colorado Housing Finance Authority				
Single Family Program)				
Collateralized; FHA)	6.60	8/1/32	1,760,000	1,861,358
Denver City and County,				
Special Facilities Airport				
Revenue (United Air Lines				
Project)	5.75	10/1/32	5,000,000	4,957,400
Northwest Parkway Public Highway				
Authority, Revenue	7.13	6/15/41	10,750,000	11,268,258
Southlands Metropolitan District				
Number 1, GO	7.13	12/1/14	2,000,000 ^a	2,421,740
Florida∏4.5%				-
Deltona,				
Jtilities System Revenue				
Insured; MBIA)	5.13	10/1/27	6,000,000	6,206,640
Florida Housing Finance				
Corporation, Housing Revenue				
Nelson Park Apartments)				
Insured; FSA)	6.40	3/1/40	5,000	5,188
acksonville Economic Development				
Commission, Health Care				
acilities Revenue (Florida				
Proton Therapy Institute				
Project)	6.25	9/1/27	3,500,000	3,630,760
Municipal Securities Trust				
Certificates (Florida Housing				
inance Corporation, Housing				
Revenue∏Nelson Park				
Apartments) (Insured; FSA)	6.40	3/1/40	12,375,000 ^{b,c}	12,839,392
Drange County Health Facilities				
Authority, HR (Orlando				
Regional Healthcare System)	6.00	10/1/09	45,000 ^a	47,534
Drange County Health Facilities				
Authority, HR (Orlando				
Regional Healthcare System)	6.00	10/1/26	1,955,000	2,021,157
Georgia∏3.2%				
Brooks County Development				
Authority, Senior Health and				
Housing Facilities Revenue				
Presbyterian Home, Quitman,				

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

Investments (continued) Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	Value (E)
			Value (\$)
Georgia (continued)			
Fulton County Development			
Authority, Revenue (Georgia			
Tech North Avenue Apartments			
Project) (Insured; XLCA) 5.00	6/1/32	2,500,000	2,588,100
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit			
Authority, Sales Tax Revenue			
(Third Indenture Series)			
(Insured; FGIC) 5.25	7/1/27	5,000,000	5,588,550
Milledgeville-Baldwin County			
Development Authority, Revenue			
(Georgia College and State			
Foundation) 6.00	9/1/13	2,090,000	2,352,462
Milledgeville-Baldwin County			
Development Authority, Revenue			
(Georgia College and State			
Foundation) 6.00	9/1/14	2,000,000 ^a	2,297,500
Hawaii□.4%			
Hawaii Department of			
Transportation, Special			
Facility Revenue (Caterair			
International Corporation) 10.13	12/1/10	2,200,000	2,201,188
ldaho∏.6%			
Power County Industrial			
Development Corporation, SWDR			
(FMC Corporation Project) 6.45	8/1/32	3,250,000	3,401,580