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## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (In thousands, except share data) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | December 31, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 32,962 | \$ | 35,841 |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks |  | 159,692 |  | 175,926 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell |  | 2,576 |  | 6,569 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 195,230 |  | 218,336 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale, amortized cost of \$522,790 (2010) and |  |  |  |  |
| \$537,873 (2009) |  | 535,260 |  | 547,873 |
| Held to maturity, fair value of \$933 (2010) and \$922 (2009) |  | 975 |  | 975 |
| Total investment securities |  | 536,235 |  | 548,848 |
| Loans, net of unearned income |  | 1,237,933 |  | 1,271,942 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | (25,824 ) |  | (23,364 ) |
| Loans, net |  | 1,212,109 |  | 1,248,578 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 40,694 |  | 39,121 |
| Company-owned life insurance |  | 28,597 |  | 36,626 |
| Other intangibles, net |  | 4,270 |  | 4,989 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 27,562 |  | 31,232 |
| Other assets |  | 48,040 |  | 43,832 |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,092,737 | \$ | 2,171,562 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ | 205,512 | \$ | 214,518 |
| Interest bearing |  | 1,318,386 |  | 1,418,915 |
| Total deposits |  | 1,523,898 |  | 1,633,433 |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings |  | 76,967 |  | 47,215 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other |  |  |  |  |
| long-term borrowings |  | 262,574 |  | 267,962 |
| Subordinated notes payable to unconsolidated trusts |  | 48,970 |  | 48,970 |
| Dividends payable |  | 188 |  | 925 |
| Other liabilities |  | 27,046 |  | 25,830 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,939,643 |  | 2,024,335 |
| Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value |  |  |  |  |
| $1,000,000$ shares authorized; 30,000 Series A shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009; Liquidation preference of $\$ 30,000$ |  | 28,530 |  | 28,348 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ .125$ per share |  |  |  |  |
| $14,608,000$ shares authorized; 7,392,502 and 7,378,605 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively |  | 924 |  | 922 |
| Capital surplus |  | 50,583 |  | 50,476 |


| Retained earnings | 67,471 | 63,617 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 5,586 | 3,864 |  |
| Total shareholders' equity | 153,094 | 147,227 |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | $\$$ | $2,092,737$ | $\$$ |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. | $2,171,562$ |  |  |
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Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$17,977 | \$19,388 | \$35,719 | \$39,336 |
| Interest on investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 4,683 | 5,057 | 9,382 | 10,540 |
| Nontaxable | 750 | 949 | 1,607 | 1,768 |
| Interest on deposits in other banks | 63 | 74 | 145 | 147 |
| Interest of federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 |
| Total interest income | 23,475 | 25,479 | 46,857 | 51,808 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits | 5,995 | 8,575 | 12,697 | 17,155 |
| Interest on federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | 81 | 121 | 173 | 237 |
| Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term borrowings | 2,616 | 2,813 | 5,253 | 5,628 |
| Interest on subordinated notes payable to unconsolidated trusts | 506 | 567 | 1,007 | 1,146 |
| Total interest expense | 9,198 | 12,076 | 19,130 | 24,166 |
| Net interest income | 14,277 | 13,403 | 27,727 | 27,642 |
| Provision for loan losses | 5,490 | 5,940 | 7,416 | 7,616 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 8,787 | 7,463 | 20,311 | 20,026 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges and fees on deposits | 2,392 | 2,336 | 4,536 | 4,522 |
| Allotment processing fees | 1,421 | 1,375 | 2,789 | 2,647 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees | 1,219 | 1,110 | 2,340 | 2,157 |
| Data processing income | 380 | 372 | 727 | 661 |
| Trust income | 401 | 602 | 829 | 1,037 |
| Investment securities gains, net | 3,367 | 1,304 | 4,979 | 2,058 |
| Gains on sale of mortgage loans, net | 222 | 345 | 343 | 691 |
| Income from company-owned life insurance | 260 | 333 | 553 | 658 |
| Other | 207 | 48 | 263 | 119 |
| Total noninterest income | 9,869 | 7,825 | 17,359 | 14,550 |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 6,762 | 7,352 | 13,858 | 14,876 |
| Occupancy expenses, net | 1,188 | 1,394 | 2,467 | 2,717 |
| Equipment expenses | 651 | 770 | 1,312 | 1,525 |
| Data processing and communication expenses | 1,425 | 1,390 | 2,868 | 2,836 |
| Bank franchise tax | 627 | 565 | 1,244 | 1,122 |
| Deposit insurance expense | 1,095 | 1,709 | 2,201 | 2,161 |
| Correspondent bank fees | 219 | 298 | 412 | 601 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 360 | 488 | 719 | 976 |
| Other real estate expenses, net | 992 | 226 | 2,664 | 421 |
| Other | 1,886 | 1,971 | 3,957 | 4,040 |
| Total noninterest expense | 15,205 | 16,163 | 31,702 | 31,275 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 3,451 | (875 | 5,968 | 3,301 |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Income tax expense (benefit) } & 610 & (74 & ) & 1,182 & 797 \\ \text { Net income (loss) } & 2,841 & (801 & ) & 4,786 & 2,504 \\ \begin{array}{lllll}\text { Dividends and accretion on preferred shares }\end{array} & (466 & ) & (462 & ) & (932\end{array}\right)$

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)


Reclassification adjustment for prior period unrealized gain recognized during current period, net of tax of $\$ 1,278, \$ 669, \$ 1,452$, and $\$ 954$, respectively (2,374 ) (1,242 ) (2,696 ) (1,772 )

Change in unfunded portion of postretirement benefit obligation, net of tax of $\$ 31, \$ 39, \$ 63$, and \$78, respectively
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\text { Other comprehensive income (loss) } & 1,395 & (386 & ) & 1,722 & (1,839\end{array}\right)$

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

| Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Six months ended June 30, (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |
| Net income | \$4,786 | \$2,504 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,631 | 3,216 |
| Net amortization of investment security premiums and (discounts): |  |  |
| Available for sale | 763 | 139 |
| Held to maturity |  | (1 ) |
| Provision for loan losses | 7,416 | 7,616 |
| Noncash compensation expense | 30 | 26 |
| Mortgage loans originated for sale | (13,946 ) | (30,747 ) |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans | 15,107 | 28,728 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 1,723 | 1,706 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans, net | (343 | (691 |
| (Gain) loss on disposal of premises and equipment, net | (15 | 55 |
| Loss on sale and write downs of other real estate | 2,066 | 140 |
| Gain on sale of available for sale investment securities, net | (4,979 | (2,058 ) |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable | 1,340 | 1,515 |
| Income from company-owned life insurance | (538 ) | (609 ) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (7,626 ) | 2,911 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable | (822 ) | (153 ) |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | (202 ) | 1,798 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 7,391 | 16,095 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of investment securities: |  |  |
| Available for sale | 108,805 | 93,139 |
| Held to maturity |  | 250 |
| Proceeds from sale of available for sale investment securities | 158,289 | 109,236 |
| Purchase of available for sale investment securities | $(245,375)$ | $(219,947)$ |
| Purchase of restricted stock investments | (331 ) | (176 ) |
| Loans originated for investment, net of principal collected | 20,350 | $(8,165)$ |
| Proceeds from surrender of company-owned life insurance | 8,567 |  |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (3,418 ) | (1,250 ) |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate | 9,165 | 834 |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | 30 | 29 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 56,082 | (26,050 ) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | $(109,535)$ | 44,150 |
| Net increase in federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | 29,752 | 28,369 |
| Repayments of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term debt | (5,388) | (5,899 ) |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net of issue costs |  | 29,961 |
| Dividends paid, common and preferred | (1,487 ) | (4,792 ) |
| Shares issued under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 79 | 122 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | $(86,579)$ | 91,911 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | $(23,106)$ | 81,956 |


| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 218,336 | 190,775 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\$ 195,230$ | $\$ 272,731$ |
| Supplemental Disclosures |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: | $\$ 19,952$ | $\$ 24,319$ |
| Interest | 189 | 2,450 |
| Income taxes | 7,885 | 5,023 |
| Transfers from loans to other real estate | 188 | 1,506 |
| Transfers from premises to repossessed assets | 2,028 |  |
| Cash dividends payable, common and preferred |  |  |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. |  |  |
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$\$ .50$ per share
Preferred stock
dividends (713 ) (713 )
Preferred stock discount accretion 162 (162 )
Shares issued
pursuant to
Employee Stock
Purchase Plan
$10 \quad 1 \quad 121$ 122
Noncash
compensation
expense attributed
to Employee Stock
Purchase Plan 26 26
Balance at June 30, $2009 \quad \$ 28,171 \quad 7,367$ \$ 921 \$ 50,321 \$ 114,368 $\$ 896$
See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statement

## 1. <br> Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Farmers Capital Bank Corporation (the "Company" or "Parent Company"), a bank holding company, and its bank and nonbank subsidiaries. Bank subsidiaries include Farmers Bank \& Capital Trust Company ("Farmers Bank") in Frankfort, KY and its significant wholly-owned subsidiaries Leasing One Corporation ("Leasing One") and Farmers Capital Insurance Corporation ("Farmers Insurance"). Leasing One is a commercial leasing company in Frankfort, KY and Farmers Insurance is an insurance agency in Frankfort, KY; First Citizens Bank in Elizabethtown, KY; United Bank \& Trust Company ("United Bank") in Versailles, KY; United Bank has one subsidiary, EGT Properties, Inc. EGT Properties is involved in real estate management and liquidation for certain repossessed properties of United Bank; and Citizens Bank of Northern Kentucky, Inc. in Newport, KY ("Citizens Northern"); Citizens Northern has one subsidiary, ENKY Properties, Inc. ENKY Properties is involved in real estate management and liquidation for certain repossessed properties of Citizens Northern. The Lawrenceburg Bank and Trust Company ("Lawrenceburg Bank") in Lawrenceburg, KY, which previously was a separate bank subsidiary of the Parent Company, was merged into Farmers Bank during the second quarter 2010.

The Company has four active nonbank subsidiaries, FCB Services, Inc. ("FCB Services"), Kentucky General Holdings, LLC ("Kentucky General"), FFKT Insurance Services, Inc. ("FFKT Insurance"), and EKT Properties, Inc. ("EKT"). FCB Services is a data processing subsidiary located in Frankfort, KY that provides services to the Company's banks as well as unaffiliated entities. During the third quarter of 2009 Kentucky General sold its entire $50 \%$ interest in KHL Holdings, LLC to Hamburg Insurance, LLC. KHL Holdings, the parent company of Kentucky Home Life Insurance Company, was a joint venture between the Company and Hamburg Insurance, an otherwise unrelated entity. The Company withdrew its financial holding company election upon the sale of KHL Holdings.

FFKT Insurance is a captive property and casualty insurance company insuring primarily deductible exposures and uncovered liability related to properties of the Company. EKT was created in 2008 to manage and liquidate certain real estate properties repossessed by the Company. In addition, the Company has three subsidiaries organized as Delaware statutory trusts that are not consolidated into its financial statements. These trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

The Company provides financial services at its 36 locations in 23 communities throughout Central and Northern Kentucky to individual, business, agriculture, government, and educational customers. Its primary deposit products are checking, savings, and term certificate accounts. Its primary lending products are residential mortgage, commercial lending, and installment loans. Substantially all loans and leases are secured by specific items of collateral including business assets, consumer assets, and commercial and residential real estate. Commercial loans and leases are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of businesses. Farmers Bank has served as the general depository for the Commonwealth of Kentucky for over 70 years and also provides investment and other services to the Commonwealth. Other services include, but are not limited to, cash management services, issuing letters of credit, safe deposit box rental, and providing funds transfer services. Other financial instruments, which potentially represent concentrations of credit risk, include deposit accounts in other financial institutions and federal funds sold.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements are based on various factors including the current interest rate environment and the general strength of the local economy. Changes in the overall interest rate environment can significantly affect the Company's
net interest income and the value of its recorded assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements. The allowance for loan losses, carrying value of real estate, actuarial assumptions used to calculate postretirement benefits, and the fair values of financial instruments are estimates that are particularly subject to change.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X and do not include all of the information and the footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements, have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The December 31, 2009 financial information was derived from the Company's December 31, 2009 audited financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## 2.

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the consolidated financial statements of prior periods to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications do not affect net income or total shareholders' equity as previously reported.

## 3. <br> Recently Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures". The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new accounting guidance under Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-06 that requires new disclosures and clarifies existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in ASC Subtopic 820-10. The objective of the new guidance is to improve these disclosures and increase transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, the new guidance requires:

- A reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and
- In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements.

In addition, the guidance clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:
-For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and

- A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted.
4.

## Adoption of New Accounting Standards

ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing". Effective January 1, 2010, the Company adopted new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860 that requires more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where entities have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. The
guidance eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity," changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures about continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.
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ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation". Effective January 1, 2010, the Company adopted new accounting guidance under ASC Topic 810 that amends prior guidance to change how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The new guidance requires a number of new disclosures about an entity's involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will also be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## 5. Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic net income (loss) per common share is determined by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average total number of common shares issued and outstanding. Net income (loss) available to common shareholders represents net income (loss) adjusted for preferred stock dividends including dividends declared, accretion of discounts on preferred stock issuances, and cumulative dividends related to the current dividend period that have not been declared as of the end of the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common share is determined by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the total weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding plus amounts representing the dilutive effect of stock options outstanding and outstanding warrants. The effects of stock options and outstanding warrants are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive. Dilutive potential common shares are calculated using the treasury stock method.

Net income (loss) per common share computations were as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 <br> 2009 |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 20102009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss), basic and diluted | \$2,841 | \$(801 |  | \$4,786 |  | \$2,50 |  |
| Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion | (466 | (462 | ) | (932 | ) | (876 |  |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders, basic and diluted | \$2,375 | \$(1,263 | ) | \$3,854 |  | \$1,62 |  |

Average common shares outstanding, basic and

| diluted | 7,384 | 7,363 | 7,382 | 7,360 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Net income (loss) per common share, basic and $\begin{array}{llll}\text { diluted } & \$ .32 \quad \$(.17 & \text { ) } \$ .52 & \$ .22\end{array}$

For the three and six months ended June 30,2010 , options to purchase 28,049 common shares were excluded from the computation of net income (loss) per common share and options to purchase 57,621 common shares were excluded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 because they were antidilutive. There were 223,992 potential common shares associated with a warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury that were excluded from the computation of net income (loss) per common share for each of the periods presented because they were antidilutive.

## 6. Fair Value Measurements

ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and sets forth disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC Topic 825, "Financial Instruments", allows entities to choose to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The Company has not elected the fair value option for any financial assets or liabilities.

ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It also establishes a fair value
hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. This Topic describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access at the 1: measurement date.

Level Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or 2: liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that 3: market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Following is a description of the valuation method used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. For this disclosure, the Company only has available for sale investment securities that meet the requirement.

Available for sale investment securities
Valued primarily by independent third party pricing services under the market valuation approach that include, but not limited to, the following inputs:

- U.S. Treasury securities are priced using dealer quotes from active market makers and real-time trading systems.
- Marketable equity securities are priced utilizing real-time data feeds from active market exchanges for identical securities.
- Government-sponsored agency debt securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, corporate bonds, and other similar investment securities are priced with available market information through processes using benchmark yields, matrix pricing, prepayment speeds, cash flows, live trading data, and market spreads sourced from new issues, dealer quotes, and trade prices, among others sources.

Available for sale investment securities are the Company's only balance sheet item that meets the disclosure requirements for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Disclosures as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair Value Measurements Using } \\ \text { Quoted } \\ \text { Prices in } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Markets for } \\ \text { Identical } \\ \text { Assets }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { Other }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Observable } \\ \text { Inputs } \\ \text { (Level 1) }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { Unobservable 2) } \\ \text { Inputs } \\ \text { (Level 3) }\end{array}\right]$

Edgar Filing: FARMERS CAPITAL BANK CORP - Form 10-Q

| Money market mutual funds | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corporate debt securities | 6,267 |  | 6,267 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 535,260 | $\$$ | 1,079 | $\$$ | 534,181 |
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$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair Value Measurements Using } \\ \text { Quoted } \\ \text { Prices in } \\ \text { Active }\end{array} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The Company is required to measure and disclose certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis to comply with U.S. GAAP, primarily to adjust assets to fair value under the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting. Disclosures may also include financial assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination, which are initially measured at fair value and evaluated periodically for impairment.

The Company's disclosure about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis consists of impaired loans and other real estate owned ("OREO"). Loans are considered impaired when full payment under the contractual terms is not expected. Impaired loans are measured at the loan's observable market price or at the fair value of the collateral based on recent appraisals if the loan is collateral dependent. If the value of an impaired loan is less than the unpaid balance, the difference is credited to the allowance for loan losses with a corresponding charge to provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan is confirmed.

Impaired loans were $\$ 129$ million and $\$ 108$ million at June 30, 2010 and year-end 2009, respectively. Impaired loans include $\$ 23.6$ million that were written down to their estimated fair value of $\$ 21.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. For the first six months of 2009 , impaired loans included $\$ 37.7$ million that were written down to their estimated fair value of $\$ 34.0$ million. The provision for loan losses in the three and six months ended June 30,2010 includes $\$ 883$ thousand and $\$ 1.7$ million, respectively, related to impaired loans. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the provision for loan losses included $\$ 3.4$ million and $\$ 3.8$ million, respectively, related to impaired loans. The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is measured at fair value based on recent appraisals of the underlying collateral. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Appraisers take absorption rates into consideration and adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process to identify differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

OREO includes properties acquired by the Company through actual loan foreclosures and is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Fair value of OREO is generally based on third party appraisals of the property that includes comparable sales data and is considered as Level 3 inputs. If the carrying amount of the OREO exceeds fair value less estimated costs to sell, an impairment loss is recorded through expense. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 OREO was $\$ 27.6$ million and $\$ 31.2$ million, respectively. OREO includes $\$ 7.0$ million that was written down to its estimated fair value of $\$ 5.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. For the first six months of 2009 , OREO included $\$ 809$ thousand that was written down to its estimated fair value of $\$ 718$ thousand. Impairment charges on OREO included in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was $\$ 558$ thousand and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, impairment charges included in earnings was zero and $\$ 91$ thousand, respectively. In addition to the impairment charges, net losses included in earnings from the sale of OREO were $\$ 119$ thousand and $\$ 558$ thousand for the
three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company recorded a net loss on the sale of OREO of $\$ 109$ thousand and $\$ 36$ thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

The following table represents assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| (In thousands) | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fair Value Measurements Using } \\ \text { Quoted Prices in }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { Active Markets for } \\ \text { Identical Assets } \\ \text { (Level 1) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Significant Other } \\ \text { Observable Inputs } \\ \text { (Level 2) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Unobservable <br>

Inputs <br>
(Level 3)\end{array}\right)\)

The following table represents impairment charges recorded in earnings for the periods indicated on assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Impairment charges: |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired Loans | \$883 | \$3,433 | \$1,693 | \$3,750 |
| OREO | 558 |  | 1,501 | 91 |
| Total | \$1,441 | \$3,433 | \$3,194 | \$3,841 |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The table that follows represents the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments made in accordance with the requirements of ASC 825, "Financial Instruments". ASC 825 requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and present value or other valuation techniques. These derived fair values are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. ASC 825 excludes certain
financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from the disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented are not intended to represent the underlying value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments.
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accrued Interest Receivable, and Accrued Interest Payable The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment Securities Available for Sale
Available for sale investment securities are measured and carried at fair value on a recurring basis. Additional information about the methods and assumption used to estimate fair value of available for sale investment securities is described above.

## Investment Securities Held to Maturity

Fair value equals quoted market price, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

FHLB and Similar Stock
Due to restrictions placed on its transferability, it is not practicable to determine fair value.
Loans
The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current discount rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

## Deposit Liabilities

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date and fair value approximates carrying value. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturities.

## Federal Funds Purchased and other Short-term Borrowings

The carrying amount is the estimated fair value for these borrowings that reprice frequently in the near term.
Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase, Subordinated Notes Payable, and Other Long-term Borrowings The fair value of these borrowings is estimated based on rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit
Pricing of these financial instruments is based on the credit quality and relationship, fees, interest rates, probability of funding, compensating balance, and other covenants or requirements. Loan commitments generally have fixed expiration dates, variable interest rates and contain termination and other clauses that provide for relief from funding in the event there is a significant deterioration in the credit quality of the customer. Many loan commitments are expected to, and typically do, expire without being drawn upon. The rates and terms of the Company's commitments to lend and standby letters of credit are competitive with others in the various markets in which the Company operates. There are no unamortized fees relating to these financial instruments, as such the carrying value and fair value are both zero.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows for the periods indicated.

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2010 |  | December 31, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | Carrying Amount | Fair |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$195,230 | \$ 195,230 | \$218,336 | \$218,336 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale | 535,260 | 535,260 | 547,873 | 547,873 |
| Held to maturity | 975 | 933 | 975 | 922 |
| FHLB and similar stock | 9,479 | N/A | 9,148 | N/A |
| Loans, net | 1,212,109 | 1,207,058 | 1,248,578 | 1,246,151 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 8,041 | 8,041 | 9,381 | 9,381 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 1,523,898 | 1,532,246 | 1,633,433 | 1,640,933 |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | 76,967 | 76,967 | 47,215 | 47,215 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term borrowings | 262,574 | 283,560 | 267,962 | 285,093 |
| Subordinated notes payable to unconsolidated trusts | 48,970 | 25,638 | 48,970 | 28,528 |
| Accrued interest payable | 3,863 | 3,863 | 4,685 | 4,685 |

## 7. Investment Securities

The following table summarizes the amortized costs and estimated fair value of the securities portfolio at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The summary is divided into available for sale and held to maturity investment securities.

| June 30, 2010 (In thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available For Sale |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ 135,376 | \$508 | \$ 11 | \$135,873 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 82,946 | 2,123 | 328 | 84,741 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 295,958 | 11,401 | 59 | 307,300 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 1,060 | 4 |  | 1,064 |
| Money market mutual funds | 15 |  |  | 15 |
| Corporate debt securities | 7,435 |  | 1,168 | 6,267 |
| Total securities - available for sale | \$522,790 | \$ 14,036 | \$ 1,566 | \$535,260 |
| Held To Maturity |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 975 | \$0 | \$ 42 | \$ 933 |
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| December 31, 2009 (In thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses | Estimated <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available For Sale |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ 90,889 | \$ 162 | \$ 299 | \$90,752 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 107,190 | 2,423 | 655 | 108,958 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 315,546 | 10,446 | 473 | 325,519 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 2,997 | 5 |  | 3,002 |
| Money market mutual funds | 910 |  |  | 910 |
| Corporate debt securities | 20,341 | 195 | 1,804 | 18,732 |
| Total securities - available for sale | \$ 537,873 | \$ 13,231 | \$ 3,231 | \$547,873 |
| Held To Maturity |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$975 | \$ 0 | \$ 53 | \$922 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the securities portfolio at June 30, 2010, by contractual maturity, are detailed below. The summary is divided into available for sale and held to maturity securities. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Mortgage-backed securities are stated separately due to the nature of payment and prepayment characteristics of these securities, as principal is not due at a single date.

|  | Available For Sale |  | Held To Maturity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimated |  | Estimated |
|  | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| June 30, 2010 (In thousands) | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$14,388 | \$14,436 |  |  |
| Due after one year through five years | 98,882 | 99,556 |  |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 71,221 | 72,297 |  |  |
| Due after ten years | 42,341 | 41,671 | \$975 | \$933 |
| Mortgage-backed securities-residential | 295,958 | 307,300 |  |  |
| Total | \$522,790 | \$535,260 | \$975 | \$933 |

Gross realized gains and losses on the sale of available for sale investment securities were as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> June 30, |  | Six Months Ended <br> June 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | 2010 |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
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Investment securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 not recognized in income are presented in the tables below. The tables segregate investment securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months from those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. The tables also include the fair value of the related securities.
Less than 12 Months 12 Months or More Total

| June 30, 2010 (In thousands) | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ 6,857 | \$ 11 |  |  |  | \$ | 6,857 | \$ | 11 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 13,192 | 282 | \$ 4,261 | \$ | 88 |  | 17,453 |  | 370 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 22,130 | 59 |  |  |  |  | 22,130 |  | 59 |
| Corporate debt securities |  |  | 4,650 |  | 1,168 |  | 4,650 |  | 1,168 |
| Total | \$ 42,179 | \$ 352 | \$ 8,911 | \$ | 1,256 | \$ | 51,090 | \$ | 1,608 |

Less than 12 Months 12 Months or More Total

| December 31, 2009 (In thousands) |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored entities | \$ | 39,445 | \$ | 299 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 39,445 |  | 299 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 22,795 |  | 552 | \$ | 3,605 | \$ |  |  | 26,400 |  | 708 |
| Mortgage-backed securities - residential |  | 41,120 |  | 473 |  |  |  |  |  | 41,120 |  | 473 |
| Corporate debt securities |  |  |  |  |  | 11,710 |  | 1,804 |  | 11,710 |  | 1,804 |
| Total | \$ | 103,360 | \$ | 1,324 | \$ | 15,315 | \$ | 1,960 | \$ | 118,675 |  | 3,284 |

Unrealized losses included in the tables above have not been recognized in income since they have been identified as temporary. The Company evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant. Many factors are considered, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether market decline was effected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an OTTI charge exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to the Company at a point in time.

At June 30, 2010, the Company's investment security portfolio had gross unrealized losses of $\$ 1.6$ million. Unrealized losses on corporate debt securities comprised $\$ 1.2$ million of the total unrealized loss, an improvement of $\$ 636$ thousand or $35.3 \%$ from December 31, 2009. During the second quarter of 2010 the Company sold $\$ 12.1$ million amortized cost amount of its corporate debt securities for a net loss of $\$ 168$ thousand and another $\$ 1.0$ million matured. These debt securities, although still rated as investment grade, were downgraded during 2009 and sold during the current quarter after a careful analysis of their short and long-term prospects no longer suited the Company's current investment preferences.

Corporate debt securities remaining in the Company's investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2010 consist primarily of single-issuer trust preferred capital securities issued by a national and global financial services firm. Each of these securities is currently performing and the issuer of these securities is rated as investment grade by major rating agencies, although downgrades occurred within this group of holdings during 2009. The unrealized loss on corporate debt securities is primarily attributed to the general decline in financial markets and temporary illiquidity that began in 2008 and is not due to adverse changes in the expected cash flows of the individual securities. Overall market declines, particularly of banking and financial institutions, are a result of significant stress throughout the regional and national economy that began during 2008 and has not fully stabilized.

The Company attributes the unrealized losses in other sectors of its securities portfolio mainly to changes in market interest rates. In general, market rates for these securities exceed the yield available at the time many of the securities in the portfolio were purchased. The Company does not expect to incur a loss on these securities unless they are sold prior to maturity.

Corporate debt securities and other securities with unrealized losses held in the Company's portfolio at June 30, 2010 are performing according to their contractual terms. The Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery. The Company does not consider any of the securities to be impaired due to reasons of credit quality or other factors.

Loans
8.

Major classifications of loans outstanding, net of unearned income, are summarized as follows.

|  | June 30, <br> 2010 <br> Amount | December 31, <br> 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount |  |  |

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows.

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2010 |  |  | June 30, 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 23,364 |  | 16,828 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 7,416 |  | 7,616 |
| Recoveries |  | 313 |  | 229 |
| Loans charged off |  | (5,269 |  | (3,355 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 25,824 |  | 21,318 |

Impaired and nonperforming loans are summarized as follows. A loan is impaired when full payment under the contractual terms is not expected. Impaired loans are not necessarily classified as nonaccrual and the Company may continue to accrue interest on impaired loans based on the characteristics of the impaired loans.

|  |  | June 30, <br> 2010 | December 31, <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses | $\$$ | 60,448 | $\$$ | 47,746 |
| Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses |  | 68,225 |  | 60,723 |
| Total impaired loans | $\$$ | 128,673 | $\$$ | 108,469 |
| Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans | $\$$ | 6,489 | $\$$ | 7,374 |
| Average impaired loans |  | 123,578 | 70,845 |  |
| Nonaccrual loans | $\$$ | 59,370 | $\$$ | 56,630 |


| Restructured loans | 38,220 |  | 17,911 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing | 1,279 |  | 1,807 |
| Total nonperforming loans | $\$$ | 98,869 | $\$$ |

The Company has allocated $\$ 1.0$ million of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2010. The Company has commitments to lend additional amounts totaling up to $\$ 309$ thousand to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

## 9. Regulatory Matters

The Company and its subsidiary banks are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements will result in certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, each of the Company's banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the banks' assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company and its subsidiary banks' capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

The regulatory ratios of the consolidated Company and its subsidiary banks are summarized in the table below for the dates indicated. The ratios for Farmers Bank as of December 31, 2009 have been revised to reflect its merger with Lawrenceburg Bank that closed during the second quarter of 2010.

June 30, 2010
December 31, 2009

|  | June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1 Capital |  | Total Capital | Tier 1 <br> Leverage |  |  | Tier 1 Capital |  | Total Capital | Tier 1 <br> Leverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | 14.76 | \% | 16.02 | \% | 8.82 | \% | 13.95 | \% | 15.20 | \% | 8.15 | \% |
| Farmers Bank | 14.51 |  | 15.78 |  | 7.98 |  | 13.22 |  | 14.48 |  | 7.05 |  |
| United Bank | 12.85 |  | 14.12 |  | 8.06 |  | 12.49 |  | 13.75 |  | 7.35 |  |
| First Citizens Bank | 13.56 |  | 14.32 |  | 8.69 |  | 12.02 |  | 13.75 |  | 7.96 |  |
| Citizens Northern | 10.63 |  | 11.89 |  | 7.20 |  | 9.70 |  | 10.95 |  | 6.23 |  |

## Parent Company

In the summer of 2009 the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis ("FRB St. Louis") conducted an examination of the parent Company. Primarily due to the regulatory actions and capital requirements at three of the Company's subsidiary banks (as discussed below), the FRB St. Louis and Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions ("KDFI") proposed the Company enter into a Memorandum of Understanding ("Memorandum"). The Company's board approved entry into the Memorandum at a regular board meeting during the fourth quarter of 2009. Pursuant to the Memorandum, the Company agreed that it would develop an acceptable capital plan to ensure that the consolidated organization remains well-capitalized and each of its subsidiary banks meet the capital requirements imposed by their regulator as described below.

The Company also agreed to reduce its common stock dividend in the fourth quarter of 2009 from $\$ .25$ per share down to $\$ .10$ per share and not make interest payments on the Company's trust preferred securities or dividends on its common or preferred stock without prior approval from FRB St. Louis. Representatives of the FRB St. Louis and KDFI have indicated that any such approval for the payment of dividends will be predicated on a demonstration of adequate, normalized earnings on the part of the Company's subsidiaries sufficient to support quarterly payments on the Company's trust preferred securities and quarterly dividends on the Company's common and preferred stock. While both regulatory agencies have granted approval of the Company's request to make interest payments on its trust preferred securities and dividends on its preferred stock in the current quarter, the Company did not (based on the assessment by Company management of both the Company's capital position and the earnings of its subsidiaries) seek regulatory approval for the payment of common stock dividends. Moreover, the Company will not pay any such dividends on its common stock in any subsequent quarter until the regulator's assessment of the earnings of the Company's subsidiaries, and the Company's assessment of its capital position, both yield the conclusion that the payment of a Company common stock dividend is warranted.

Additionally, under the Memorandum, the Company agreed to:

- utilize its financial and managerial resources to assist its subsidiary banks in addressing weaknesses identified at their most recent examinations and achieving and maintaining compliance with any regulatory supervisory actions respecting the subsidiary banks;
- not pay any new salaries, bonuses, management fees or make any other payments to insiders without prior approval of the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI;
- not incur additional debt or purchase or redeem any stock without the prior written approval of the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI;
- submit to the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI an acceptable plan detailing the source and timing of funds for meeting the Company's debt service requirements and other parent company expenses for 2010 and 2011; and
- within thirty days of the end of each calendar quarter submit to the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI parent company financial statements along with a status report on compliance with the provisions of the Memorandum.


## Subsidiary Banks

Two of the Company's subsidiary banks are required to maintain capital ratios at levels greater than those required to be well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action framework. The agencies that regulate the Company's banks have determined, in the wake of examinations, that Farmers Bank and United Bank may require future capital infusions in order to satisfy higher regulatory capital ratios.

Farmers Bank. Farmers Bank was the subject of a regularly scheduled examination by the KDFI which was conducted in mid-September 2009. As a result of this examination, the KDFI and FRB St. Louis have entered into a Memorandum with Farmers Bank. The Memorandum requires that Farmers Bank obtain written consent prior to declaring or paying the Parent Company a cash dividend and to achieve and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.0 \%$ by June 30, 2010. The Parent Company injected from its reserves $\$ 11$ million in capital into Farmers Bank subsequent to the Memorandum. Farmers Bank further agreed that if at the time of the proposed merger of Lawrenceburg Bank into Farmers Bank the combined bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of less than $8.0 \%$ and the Parent Company had obtained additional capital by that time, the Parent Company would inject additional capital to raise the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio to $8.0 \%$ at the merger date. While the merger was effective May 8, 2010, the Parent Company had not raised additional capital and the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio for Farmers Bank was above the $7.75 \%$ amount required at that time, thus remaining in compliance without the need for an additional capital infusion.

At June 30, 2010, Farmers Bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $7.98 \%$ and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $15.78 \%$. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Parent Company injected into Farmers Bank an additional \$200 thousand in capital in order to raise its Tier 1 Leverage Ratio to $8.0 \%$.

In addition to the above capital requirements and dividend restrictions, under the Memorandum Farmers Bank agreed to:

- adopt, implement and adhere to a plan to reduce its risk position in each asset (loan) in excess of $\$ 750,000$ which is delinquent or classified "Substandard" in its most recent examination, which plan must establish target dollar levels to which Farmers Bank will use best efforts to reduce delinquencies and classified assets at stated intervals and provide for monthly progress reports to the Farmers Bank board of directors;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower already obligated to the Bank on any extension of credit that has either been (a) charged off (or classified "Loss" by regulators or in a subsequent review by the bank's consultants or a regulatory body) as long as such credit remains uncollected, or (b) classified as "Substandard" or "Doubtful" and is uncollected, except in cases where the Farmers Bank board of directors approves such extension of credit as in the best interest of Farmers Bank;
- submit to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI a written three-year strategic plan adopted by the Farmers Bank board of directors addressing mission statement, economic issues of the industries and markets served, strengths and weaknesses, strategies to improve earnings, staff training, financial goals, and identification of new lines of business and new types of lending;
- address underwriting and credit administration concerns raised by regulators in their most recent examination;
- address and monitor weaknesses regarding specific construction and development loans identified by regulators in their most recent examination;
- formulate and implement a written profit plan consistent with Farmers Bank's loan, investment and funds management policies and including realistic and comprehensive budgets and review
processes, which profit plan is submitted to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI for review and comment; and
- if at the end of any quarter Farmers Bank's Tier 1 leverage ratio is less than $8.0 \%$, within thirty days it must submit to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI a plan for implementation of the capital accounts of Farmers Bank or other measures to bring the ratio to the required $8.0 \%$ level.

Lawrenceburg Bank. As a result of an examination conducted in March 2009, on May 15, 2009, Lawrenceburg Bank entered into a Memorandum with the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI. This Memorandum terminated effective upon Lawrenceburg Bank's merger into Farmers Bank on May 8, 2010.

United Bank. As a result of an examination conducted in late July and early August of 2009, the FDIC proposed United Bank enter into a Cease and Desist Order ("Order") primarily as a result of its level of non-performing assets. The Order requires United Bank to achieve and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.0 \%$ by June 30, 2010 and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $12 \%$ immediately. Subsequent to the Order, the Parent Company injected $\$ 10.5$ million from its reserves into United Bank. In April 2010, the Parent Company injected an additional $\$ 1.9$ million of capital into United Bank to bring its Tier 1 Leverage Ratio up to the minimum 7.75\% as of March 31, 2010 as required by the Order. At June 30, 2010, United Bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.06 \%$ and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $14.12 \%$.

Additionally, the Order requires United Bank to:

- continue to retain qualified management, assessed on its ability to comply with the Order, operate United Bank in a safe and sound manner, comply with applicable laws, rules and regulations, and restore United Bank to a safe and sound condition;
- not add directors or senior executive officers without prior approval of the FDIC and KDFI;
- comply with certain disclosure guidelines in connection with selling any securities by United Bank to raise capital. The Company and United Bank do not have current plans to sell securities of United Bank to raise capital;
- charge off any asset (loan) which was classified as a "Loss" by the FDIC and KDFI in their most recent examination;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower obligated on any extension of credit that has been charged off (or classified as a "Loss" in the most recent examination), so long as the credit remains uncollected;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower whose loan or other credit has been classified "Substandard" or "Doubtful" (or is listed as "Special Mention" by regulators in the most recent exam), and is uncollected, unless United Bank's board of directors makes special determinations that extending such credit is in United Bank's best interest;
- adopt, implement and adhere to a plan to reduce its risk position in each borrower relationship in excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ which is more than thirty days delinquent or classified as "Substandard" or "Doubtful" by regulators in the most recent examination. Such plan is required to be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI and include a prohibition on extending credit to pay interest absent specific board determinations that such is in United Bank's best interest, establish target levels to which United Bank shall reduce delinquencies and classified assets within given time permits and provide for monthly reporting to United Bank's board of directors on progress of the plan;
- continue its practice of maintaining a written contingency funding plan which must be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI and on each Friday United Bank must submit to the FDIC and KDFI a liquidity analysis report;
- not declare or pay dividends to the parent company without the prior written consent of the FDIC and KDFI;
- prior to submission of its quarterly call reports, have its board of directors review its allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"), provide an adequate ALLL and accurately report the ALLL;
- continue its practice of adopting, implementing and adhering to a written profit plan and comprehensive budget for 2009 and 2010 and each year the Order is in effect, which must be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI for review and comment and include realistic and comprehensive budgets and review processes by both management and United Bank's board of directors;
- formulate, adopt and implement a plan to manage concentrations of credit that were identified by regulators in their most recent examination, which must provide for procedures for measurement and monitoring of concentrations of credit and a limit on concentrations commensurate with United Bank's capital position, safe and sound banking practices and overall risk profile;
- eliminate and correct all violations of laws, rules and regulations identified by the regulators in their most recent examination;
- continue its practice of having procedures for managing its sensitivity to interest rate risk, which must implement recommendations of the regulators and be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI; and
- the board of directors maintain a program to provide for monitoring United Bank's compliance with the Order and on a quarterly basis United Bank's directors are required to sign a progress report to be furnished to the FDIC and KDFI detailing actions taken by United Bank to secure compliance with the Order.

The Company and its subsidiary banks have addressed each issue of the regulatory agreements to which they are subject as described above. After considering the July, $2010 \$ 200$ thousand capital injection by the Parent Company into Farmers Bank mentioned above, the Company and its subsidiary banks are in compliance with the stipulations identified in the regulatory agreements as of June 30, 2010. Regulators continue to monitor the Company's progress and compliance with the agreements. Both Farmers Bank and United Bank will be subject to their annual examinations in the third quarter of 2010.

The Company may fund any additional external capital requirements of any of its banking subsidiaries from future public or private sales of securities at an appropriate time or from existing resources of the Company, although the Parent Company is currently under no directive by its regulators to raise any additional capital.
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Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements with the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve risks and uncertainties. In general, forward-looking statements relate to a discussion of future financial results or projections, future economic performance, future operational plans and objectives, and statements regarding the underlying assumptions of such statements. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included herein will prove to be accurate. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: economic conditions (both generally and more specifically in the markets in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate) and lower interest margins; competition for the Company's customers from other providers of financial services; deposit outflows or reduced demand for financial services and loan products; government legislation, regulation, and changes in monetary and fiscal policies (which changes from time to time and over which the Company has no control); changes in interest rates; changes in prepayment speeds of loans or investment securities; inflation; material unforeseen changes in the liquidity, results of operations, or financial condition of the Company's customers; changes in the level of non-performing assets and charge-offs; changes in the number of common shares outstanding; the capability of the Company to successfully enter into a definitive agreement for and close anticipated transactions; the possibility that acquired entities may not perform as well as expected; unexpected claims or litigation against the Company; technological or operational difficulties; the impact of new accounting pronouncements and changes in policies and practices that may be adopted by regulatory agencies; acts of war or terrorism; the ability of the parent company to receive dividends from its subsidiaries; the impact of larger or similar financial institutions encountering difficulties, which may adversely affect the banking industry or the Company; the Company or its subsidiary banks' ability to maintain required capital levels and adequate funding sources and liquidity; and other risks or uncertainties detailed in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of the Company. The Company expressly disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statements after the date hereof to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in the Company's opinions or expectations.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009

The Company reported net income of $\$ 2.8$ million or $\$ .32$ per common share for the second quarter of 2010. This represents an improvement in net income of $\$ 3.6$ million or $\$ .49$ per common share compared to the second quarter net loss of $\$ 801$ thousand or $\$ .17$ per common share a year earlier. A summary of the quarterly comparison follows.
§ The improvement in net income in the comparison is due to a combination of an increase in net interest income, a decrease in the provision for loan losses, an increase in net securities gains, and lower overall noninterest expenses.
§ Net interest income increased $\$ 874$ thousand or $6.5 \%$ due mainly to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of $\$ 2.6$ million or $30.1 \%$. Net interest margin was $3.12 \%$ in the current quarter, an increase of 27 basis points from $2.85 \%$ in the second quarter a year ago. Net interest spread was $2.91 \%$, an increase of 30 basis points compared to 2.61\%.
§ The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 450$ thousand or $7.6 \%$ in the current quarter compared to a year earlier. The provision for loan losses began to increase significantly during the second quarter of 2009 as the level of
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nonperforming and impaired loans moved sharply upward.
§ Noninterest income increased $\$ 2.0$ million or $26.1 \%$ mainly due to a $\$ 2.1$ million or $158 \%$ increase in net gains on the sale of investment securities. Other notable increases in noninterest income line items include non-deposit service charges of $\$ 109$ thousand or $9.8 \%$, service charges and fees on deposit accounts of $\$ 56$ thousand or $2.4 \%$, and allotment processing fees of $\$ 46$ thousand or $3.3 \%$. Decreases in noninterest
income line items include trust income of $\$ 201$ thousand or $33.4 \%$, net gains on the sale of mortgage loans of $\$ 123$ thousand or $35.7 \%$, and income from company-owned life insurance of $\$ 73$ thousand or $21.9 \%$.
§ Noninterest expenses decreased \$958 thousand or $5.9 \%$ as improvements were made in a variety of areas. Decreases in noninterest expense categories include deposit insurance expense of $\$ 614$ thousand or $35.9 \%$, salaries and employee benefits of $\$ 590$ thousand or $8.0 \%$, occupancy expense of $\$ 206$ thousand or $14.8 \%$, equipment expenses of $\$ 119$ thousand or $15.5 \%$, and intangible amortization of $\$ 128$ thousand or $26.2 \%$. Partially offsetting these lower noninterest expenses was a $\$ 766$ thousand increase in expenses related to foreclosed real estate. Deposit insurance expense included $\$ 1.1$ million related to a special assessment during the second quarter of 2009 by the FDIC as part of its plan to replenish the Deposit Insurance Fund.
§ Income tax expense was $\$ 610$ thousand in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a tax benefit of $\$ 74$ thousand for the same period in 2009. The effective income tax rate was $17.7 \%$ in the current quarter. The income tax benefit recorded in the second quarter of 2009 was driven by the $\$ 875$ thousand net loss before income taxes.
§ Return on average assets ("ROA") and equity ("ROE") was $.53 \%$ and $7.51 \%$, respectively, for the current quarter compared to $-.14 \%$ and $-1.62 \%$ for the same quarter a year ago.

## Net Interest Income

The overall interest rate environment at June 30, 2010, as measured by the Treasury yield curve, was relatively lower when compared to year-end 2009. Shorter-term yields for three and six-month maturities were relatively unchanged or up slightly while longer-term maturities dipped between approximately 70 and 90 basis points. The overall rate environment remains near historic lows which makes managing the Company's net interest margin very challenging. At June 30, 2010 the short-term federal funds target interest rate was between zero and $0.25 \%$, unchanged since December 2008. Short-term treasury yields for 3 and 6-month maturities increased 12 and 3 basis points, respectively, compared to year-end 2009. Yields for the 3, 5, 10, and 30-year maturity periods decreased 71, 91, 91, and 75 basis points, respectively.

Net interest income was $\$ 14.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 874$ thousand or $6.5 \%$ from $\$ 13.4$ million in the same period a year earlier. The increase in net interest income is attributed mainly to a $\$ 2.6$ million or $30.1 \%$ decrease in interest expense on deposits that was partially offset by a $\$ 1.4$ million or $7.3 \%$ decrease in interest and fee income on loans. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was driven mainly by an overall decline in the average rate paid and, to a lesser extent, a lower average outstanding balance related to time deposits. The decrease in interest and fee income on loans is attributed mainly to a lower average outstanding balance with a lower overall average rate earned also contributing. Rate declines were driven mainly by a combination of continuing weak economic conditions in the Company's markets as well as the Company's overall strategy to reduce many of its higher-rate deposit balances to improve net interest margin, overall profitability, and capital position.

Interest income and interest expense related to nearly all of the Company's earning assets and interest paying liabilities have declined in the quarterly comparison. These declines are in most cases rate driven as a result of the lower interest rate environment in the current period compared to a year earlier. The Company is generally earning and paying less interest from its earning assets and funding sources as rates have dropped or as the Company has become more selective in pricing deposits and extending loans. In general, variable and floating rate assets and liabilities that have reset since the prior reporting period as well as activity related to new earning assets and funding sources, have repriced downward to reflect an overall lower interest rate environment.

Total interest income was $\$ 23.5$ million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 2.0$ million or $7.9 \%$ and was driven by lower interest income on loans of $\$ 1.4$ million or $7.3 \%$. The average rate earned on loans was $5.8 \%$ in the current quarter, down 12 basis points from $6.0 \%$ a year earlier. Similar declines were experienced in other earning asset categories, most notably related to investment securities. Interest on taxable investment securities decreased
$\$ 374$ thousand or $7.4 \%$ due mainly to a 71 basis point lower average rate earned to $4.0 \%$ from $4.7 \%$ that was partially offset by a higher average outstanding balance of $\$ 37.8$ million or $8.8 \%$. Interest on nontaxable investment securities decreased $\$ 199$ thousand or $21.0 \%$ in the comparison. The decrease in interest on nontaxable investment securities was due more to a lower average balance outstanding of $\$ 16.6$ million or $16.2 \%$ and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in the average rate earned of 26 basis points to $5.1 \%$ from $5.4 \%$.

Total interest expense was $\$ 9.2$ million in the current quarter. This represents a decrease of $\$ 2.9$ million or $23.8 \%$ compared to $\$ 12.1$ million a year ago. The decrease in interest expense was driven by lower interest expense on deposits of $\$ 2.6$ million or $30.1 \%$. As discussed above, the Company has strategically reduced many of its higher-rate deposit balances in an effort to improve net interest margin, overall profitability, and capital position. The average rate paid on interest bearing deposit accounts was $1.75 \%$ in the current period, a decrease of 69 basis points compared to $2.44 \%$ a year earlier. Interest expense on time deposits, the largest component of interest expense, declined $\$ 2.4$ million or $30.7 \%$ in the quarterly comparison and is the greatest factor for the decrease in interest expense on deposit accounts. Interest expense on long-term borrowings decreased $\$ 258$ thousand or $7.6 \%$ and was mainly driven by a lower average balance outstanding resulting from principal repayments.

The net interest margin on a taxable equivalent basis increased 27 basis points to $3.12 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 compared to $2.85 \%$ in the same quarter of 2009. The increase in net interest margin is attributed to a 30 basis point increase in the spread between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities to $2.91 \%$ in the current quarter from $2.61 \%$ in the same quarter of 2009 . The increase in net interest margin was three basis points lower than the increase in net interest spread due the impact of noninterest bearing sources of funds. The impact of noninterest bearing sources of funds on the Company's net interest margin has decreased in the quarterly comparison due mainly to the overall lower average costs of funds. The Company expects its net interest margin to remain relatively flat or trend up slightly in the near term according to internal modeling using expectations about future market interest rates, the maturity structure of the Company's earning assets and liabilities, and other factors. Future results could be significantly different than expectations.

The following tables present an analysis of net interest income for the quarterly periods ended June 30.
Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: Interest Rates and Interest Differential
Quarter Ended June 30 ,
(In thousands)
Earning Assets
Investment securities

| Taxable | \$466,901 | \$4,683 | 4.02 | \% | \$429,124 | \$5,057 | 4.73 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nontaxable1 | 85,852 | 1,098 | 5.13 |  | 102,456 | 1,378 | 5.39 |  |
| Time deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| agreements to resell | $106,754$ | 65 | .24 5.84 |  | 130,324 | 85 19,600 | .26 5.96 |  |
| Total earning assets | 1,910,174 | \$24,052 | 5.05 | \% | 1,981,281 | \$26,120 | 5.29 | \% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (23,774 ) |  |  |  | (17,408 |  |  |  |
| Total earning assets, ne of allowance for loan losses | 1,886,400 |  |  |  | 1,963,873 |  |  |  |

Nonearning Assets

| Cash and due from banks | 82,766 | 101,294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premises and equipment, net | 38,825 | $41,449$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 141,949 | 161,613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$2,149,940 | \$2,268,229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Bearing <br> Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand | \$261,118 | \$125 | . 19 | \% | \$253,952 | \$236 | . 37 | \% |
| Savings | 271,475 | 431 | . 64 |  | 258,814 | 492 | . 76 |  |
| Time | 838,957 | 5,439 | 2.60 |  | 896,176 | 7,847 | 3.51 |  |

Federal funds purchased and other short-term

| borrowings | 41,461 | 81 | .78 | 65,675 | 121 | .74 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Securities sold under <br> agreements to <br> repurchase and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| long-term <br> borrowings | 311,621 | 3,122 | 4.02 | 333,416 | 3,380 | 4.07 |

Total interest bearing

| liabilities | $1,724,632$ | $\$ 9,198$ | 2.14 | $\%$ | $1,808,033$ | $\$ 12,076$ | 2.68 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Noninterest Bearing
Liabilities

Commonwealth of
Kentucky deposits


1Income and yield stated at a fully tax equivalent basis using the marginal corporate Federal tax rate of $35 \%$. 2Loan balances include principal balances on nonaccrual loans.
3Loan fees included in interest income amounted to $\$ 415$ thousand and $\$ 511$ thousand in 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | Varianc |  | Variance | A | tributed to |  |
| Quarter Ended June 30, | 2010/20 |  | Volume |  | Rate |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable investment securities | \$(374 | ) | \$2,115 |  | \$(2,489 | ) |
| Nontaxable investment securities2 | (280 | ) | (216 | ) | (64 | ) |
| Time deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | (20 | ) | (14 | ) | (6 | ) |
| Loans2 | (1,394 | ) | (1,005 | ) | (389 | ) |
| Total interest income | (2,068 | ) | 880 |  | (2,948 | ) |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | (111 | ) | 45 |  | (156 | ) |
| Savings deposits | (61 | ) | 132 |  | (193 | ) |
| Time deposits | (2,408 | ) | (476 | ) | (1,932 | ) |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | (40 | ) | (80 | ) | 40 |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term borrowings | (258 | ) | (217 | ) | (41 | ) |
| Total interest expense | (2,878 | ) | (596 | ) | (2,282 | ) |
| Net interest income | \$810 |  | \$1,476 |  | \$(666 | ) |
| Percentage change | 100.0 | \% | 182.2 | \% | (82.2 | )\% |

1The changes that are not solely due to rate or volume are allocated on a percentage basis using the absolute values of rate and volume variances as a basis for allocation.
2Income stated at fully tax equivalent basis using the marginal corporate Federal tax rate of 35\%.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses represents charges (or credits) to earnings that maintain an allowance for loan losses at an adequate level based on credit losses specifically identified in the loan portfolio, as well as management's best estimate of incurred probable loan losses in the remainder of the portfolio at the balance sheet date. The Company's loan quality has been negatively impacted by adverse conditions in certain real estate sectors since the downturn in the overall economy and financial markets that started to take place in late 2007 and more significantly during 2008. This has led to declines in real estate values and deterioration in the financial condition of many of the Company's borrowers, particularly borrowers in the commercial and real estate development industry. The Company has, in turn, lowered its loan quality ratings on certain commercial and real estate development loans as part of its normal internal review process. Declining real estate values have resulted in several loans of a significant dollar amount that have become under collateralized, which has elevated nonperforming loans, net charge-offs, and the provision for loan losses.

The provision for loan losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 was $\$ 5.5$ million, a decrease of $\$ 450$ thousand or $7.6 \%$ compared to $\$ 5.9$ million for the same quarter of 2009. Although the provision for loan losses is lower in the current quarter compared to a year ago, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans (net of unearned income) has increased to $2.09 \%$ at June 30, 2010 compared to $1.62 \%$ at June 30, 2009.

Net charge-offs were $\$ 3.4$ million in the current quarter compared to $\$ 2.4$ million a year earlier. On an annualized basis, quarterly net charge-offs were $1.08 \%$ of average loans outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared $.73 \%$ for the second quarter of a year ago. Although net charge-offs in the comparison are higher in the current quarter, a portion of the losses have been previously provided for through the provision for loan losses and related allowance. Of the $\$ 3.4$ million of net charge-offs in the current quarter, $\$ 2.6$ million represent four larger-balance charge-offs that had an allocated allowance for loan losses of $\$ 1.4$ million already established prior to the current quarter.
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## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income was $\$ 9.9$ million for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of $\$ 2.0$ million or $26.1 \%$ compared to $\$ 7.8$ million for the same quarter a year ago. The increase in noninterest income was driven mainly by higher net gains of $\$ 2.1$ million from the sale of investment securities. The sale of investment securities was strategically made to lock in some of the increase in value and bolster capital after an analysis of multiple reinvestment scenarios that would minimize the impact on the net interest margin on a go forward basis.

Other notable increases in noninterest income line items include non-deposit service charges of \$109 thousand or $9.8 \%$, service charges and fees on deposit accounts of $\$ 56$ thousand or $2.4 \%$, and allotment processing fees of $\$ 46$ thousand or $3.3 \%$. The increase in non-deposit service charges were led by an increase in custodial safekeeping fees of $\$ 70$ thousand or $65.2 \%$ due to higher transaction volume with the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The increase in service charges and fees on deposit accounts was driven by higher fees collected on customer overdrafts of $\$ 62$ thousand or $4.0 \%$, which offset net decreases in other customer deposit service charges. Allotment processing fees increased due to higher transaction volumes.

Decreases in noninterest income line items include trust income of \$201 thousand or $33.4 \%$, net gains on the sale of mortgage loans of $\$ 123$ thousand or $35.7 \%$, and income from company-owned life insurance of $\$ 73$ thousand or $21.9 \%$. The decrease in trust income is due to a decline in the overall asset values for which trust fees are based on combined with the collection of a large fee in the second quarter of the prior year. Income from company-owned life insurance decreased $\$ 73$ thousand or $21.9 \%$ in the comparison due mainly to lower outstanding balances. Late in the first quarter of 2010, the Parent Company liquidated $\$ 8.6$ million of this life insurance investment. An additional $\$ 2.2$ million of company-owned life insurance remains at the Parent Company at June 30, 2010 that is expected to be converted to cash in the near term. The Company's subsidiary banks maintain an aggregate balance of $\$ 26.4$ million in company-owned life insurance.

## Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expenses were $\$ 15.2$ million for the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 958$ thousand or $5.9 \%$ compared to the same quarter of 2009 due to improvements in a variety of areas. Decreases in noninterest expense categories include deposit insurance expense of $\$ 614$ thousand or $35.9 \%$, salaries and employee benefits of $\$ 590$ thousand or $8.0 \%$, occupancy expense of $\$ 206$ thousand or $14.8 \%$, equipment expenses of $\$ 119$ thousand or $15.5 \%$, and intangible amortization of $\$ 128$ thousand or $26.2 \%$. Partially offsetting these lower noninterest expenses was a $\$ 766$ thousand increase in expenses related to foreclosed real estate.

The decrease in deposit insurance expense is related to the additional $\$ 1.1$ million special assessment the Company recorded in the second quarter of the prior year. The special assessment was imposed by the FDIC as part of its plan to replenish the Deposit Insurance Fund. Salaries and employee benefits decreased due to a smaller workforce and a decrease in the Company's matching contributions to its salary savings plan that took effect in the first quarter of 2010. The average number of full time equivalent employees was 530 for the second quarter of 2010, down from 562 in the second quarter of 2009. Beginning in the first quarter of 2010, the Company began to match up to $50 \%$ of eligible employee deferrals up to a maximum of $6 \%$ of the participants' compensation. For 2009, the Company matched all eligible employee contributions up to $6 \%$ of the participants' compensation. Occupancy and equipment expenses were lower in the current quarter compared to the same quarter a year ago due mainly to a decrease in depreciation expense. Depreciation expense has decreased in the comparison as a result of a relatively low amount of net additions to the Company's fixed assets. A decrease in depreciation expense on existing fixed assets exceeded the amount of depreciation expense related to net new fixed assets in the comparison. Amortization of intangible assets, which relate to customer lists and core deposits from prior acquisitions, is decreasing as a result of amortization schedules that allocate a higher amount of amortization in the earlier periods following an acquisition consistent with how the assets
are used.

The increase in net other real estate expenses correlates with a $\$ 7.6$ million or $37.8 \%$ higher net amount of foreclosed real estate properties held by the Company at June 30,2010 compared to a year earlier. Expenses relating to these properties generally include amounts to prepare the properties for resale and, in some cases, impairment charges to write down a property's book value to its fair value less estimated costs to sell as determined by appraisal. Impairment charges included in net other real estate expenses were $\$ 558$ thousand in the current quarter.
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## Income Taxes

Income tax expense was $\$ 610$ thousand in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a tax benefit of $\$ 74$ thousand for the same period in 2009. The effective income tax rate was $17.7 \%$ in the current quarter. The income tax benefit recorded in the second quarter of 2009 was driven by the $\$ 875$ thousand net loss before income taxes.

First Six Months of 2010 Compared to First Six Months of 2009
Net income for the first six months of 2010 was $\$ 4.8$ million or $\$ .52$ per common share compared to $\$ 2.5$ million or $\$ .22$ per common share for the same six-month period of 2009. A summary of the six-month comparison follows.
§ The $\$ 2.3$ million or $\$ .30$ per common share increase in net income for the first six months of 2010 compared to the first six months of 2009 is attributed mainly to higher net gains on the sale of investment securities.
§ Noninterest income increased $\$ 2.8$ million or $19.3 \%$ due primarily to a $\$ 2.9$ million or $142 \%$ increase in net gains on the sale of investment securities. Other favorable increases included non-deposit service charges of $\$ 183$ thousand or $8.5 \%$ and allotment processing fees of $\$ 142$ thousand or $5.4 \%$. Decreases in noninterest income line items include net gains on the sale of mortgage loans of $\$ 348$ thousand or $50.4 \%$, trust income of $\$ 208$ thousand or $20.1 \%$, and income from company-owned life insurance of $\$ 105$ thousand or $16.0 \%$.
§ The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 200$ thousand or $2.6 \%$ in the current six months compared to a year earlier. The provision for loan losses has remained at elevated levels due to increases in nonperforming and impaired loans.
§ Net interest margin was $3.03 \%$ for the first six months of 2010, an increase of 9 basis points from $2.94 \%$ in the same period a year ago. Net interest spread was $2.84 \%$, up 16 basis points compared to $2.68 \%$.
§ Although improvements are being made in a significant number of noninterest expense categories, overall noninterest expenses increased $\$ 427$ thousand or $1.4 \%$ driven by a $\$ 2.2$ million increase related to foreclosed real estate. Decreases in noninterest expense categories include salaries and employee benefits of $\$ 1.0$ million or $6.8 \%$, intangible amortization of $\$ 257$ thousand or $26.3 \%$, occupancy expense of $\$ 250$ thousand or $9.2 \%$, and equipment expenses of $\$ 213$ thousand or $14.0 \%$.
§ Income tax expense increased $\$ 385$ thousand or $48.3 \%$ in the comparison and reflects an increase in taxable income. The effective income tax rate was $19.8 \%$ for the current six months compared to $24.1 \%$ for the same period a year earlier.
§ ROA and ROE was $.45 \%$ and $6.39 \%$, respectively, for the current six months compared to $.22 \%$ and $2.57 \%$ for the period a year ago.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income was $\$ 27.7$ million for the first six months of 2010, relatively unchanged from $\$ 27.6$ million for the same period of 2009. Total interest expense decreased $\$ 5.0$ million or $20.8 \%$ in the comparison, but was offset by lower interest income of $\$ 5.0$ million or $9.6 \%$. The Company experienced a decrease in all interest income and interest expense line items that was mainly attributed to lower interest rates and, in the case for interest and fees on loans, a lower average balance outstanding. Rate declines were driven mainly by a combination of continuing weak economic conditions in the Company's markets as well as the Company's overall strategy of being more selective in pricing deposits and extending loans. Generally, variable and floating rate assets and liabilities that have reset since the prior reporting period as well as activity related to new earning assets and funding sources, have repriced downward to reflect an overall lower interest rate environment.

Total interest income was $\$ 46.9$ million in the first six months of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 5.0$ million or $9.6 \%$ due mainly to lower interest income on loans of $\$ 3.6$ million or $9.2 \%$ and taxable investment securities of $\$ 1.2$ million or $11.0 \%$.
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The decrease in interest on loans was driven nearly equally by both a 28 basis point decrease in the average rate earned to $5.8 \%$ and a $\$ 60.0$ million or $4.6 \%$ decrease in the average balance outstanding. Interest on taxable investment securities decreased due to a 74 basis point decrease in the average rate earned to $4.2 \%$, which more than offset a $\$ 20.5$ million or $4.8 \%$ increase in the average balance outstanding.

Total interest expense was $\$ 19.1$ million in the current six months. This represents a decrease of $\$ 5.0$ million or $20.8 \%$ compared to $\$ 24.2$ million for the same period a year ago. The decrease in interest expense was driven by lower interest expense on deposits of $\$ 4.5$ million or $26.0 \%$ and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in interest expense on long-term borrowings of $\$ 514$ thousand or $7.6 \%$. The Company has strategically reduced many of its higher-rate deposit balances in an effort to improve net interest margin, overall profitability, and capital position. The average rate paid on interest bearing deposit accounts was $1.83 \%$ in the current six months, a decrease of 66 basis points compared to $2.49 \%$ a year earlier. Interest expense on time deposits, the largest component of interest expense, declined \$4.2 million or $26.7 \%$ in the comparison and is the greatest factor for the decrease in interest expense on deposit accounts. The $\$ 514$ thousand decrease in interest expense on long-term borrowings was mainly driven by a lower average balance outstanding resulting from principal repayments.

The net interest margin on a taxable equivalent basis increased 9 basis points to $3.03 \%$ for the first six months of 2010 compared to $2.94 \%$ for the same period of 2009 . The increase in net interest margin is attributed to a 16 basis point increase in the spread between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities to $2.84 \%$ in the current six months from $2.68 \%$ in the first six months of 2009 . The increase in net interest margin was seven basis points lower than the increase in net interest spread due the impact of noninterest bearing sources of funds. The impact of noninterest bearing sources of funds on the Company's net interest margin has decreased in the six-month comparison due mainly to the overall lower average costs of funds. The Company expects its net interest margin to remain relatively flat or trend up slightly in the near term according to internal modeling using expectations about future market interest rates, the maturity structure of the Company's earning assets and liabilities, and other factors. Future results could be significantly different than expectations.

The following tables present an analysis of net interest income for the six months ended June 30.
Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: Interest Rates and Interest Differential Six Months Ended June

| 30, | 2010 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest | Averag Rate |  | Average Balance | Interest | Averag Rate |  |
| Earning Assets <br> Investment securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | \$450,992 | \$9,382 | 4.20 | \% | \$430,457 | \$ 10,540 | 4.94 | \% |
| Nontaxable1 | 90,426 | 2,350 | 5.24 |  | 95,155 | 2,566 | 5.44 |  |
| Time deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell <br> 129,593 149 <br> . 23 <br> 137,860 <br> 164 <br> . 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans1,2,3 | 1,257,453 | 36,181 | 5.80 |  | 1,317,491 | 39,742 | 6.08 |  |
| Total earning assets | 1,928,464 | \$48,062 | 5.03 | \% | 1,980,963 | \$53,012 | 5.40 | \% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (23,742 ) |  |  |  | (17,134 |  |  |  |
| Total earning assets, net of allowance for loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonearning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 88,231 |  |  |  | 79,793 |  |  |  |
| Premises and equipment, net | 38,820 |  |  |  | 42,177 |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 137,041 |  |  |  | 161,222 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$2,168,814 |  |  |  | \$2,247,021 |  |  |  |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand | \$266,800 | \$269 | . 20 | \% | \$254,757 | \$434 | . 34 | \% |
| Savings | 268,278 | 892 | . 67 |  | 255,412 | 973 | . 77 |  |
| Time | 867,363 | 11,536 | 2.68 |  | 880,717 | 15,748 | 3.61 |  |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | 45,337 | 173 | . 77 |  | 67,998 | 237 | . 70 |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| long-term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 1,761,621 | \$19,130 | 2.19 | \% | 1,793,366 | \$24,166 | 2.72 | \% |
| Noninterest Bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,184 |  |  |  | 37,735 |  |  |  |

Commonwealth of
Kentucky deposits


1Income and yield stated at a fully tax equivalent basis using the marginal corporate Federal tax rate of $35 \%$. 2Loan balances include principal balances on nonaccrual loans.
3Loan fees included in interest income amounted to $\$ 777$ thousand and $\$ 1.0$ million in 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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| Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income (tax equivalent basis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2010/20 |  | Volum |  |  |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable investment securities | \$(1,158 | ) | \$1,259 |  | \$ 2,417 | ) |
| Nontaxable investment securities2 | (216 | ) | (124 | ) | (92 | ) |
| Time deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | (15 | ) | (9 | ) | (6 | ) |
| Loans2 | (3,561 | ) | (1,771 | ) | (1,790 | ) |
| Total interest income | (4,950 | ) | (645 | ) | (4,305 | ) |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | (165 | ) | 57 |  | (222 | ) |
| Savings deposits | (81 | ) | 120 |  | (201 | ) |
| Time deposits | (4,212 | ) | (234 | ) | (3,978 | ) |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | (64 | ) | (123 | ) | 59 |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term borrowings | (514 | ) | (415 | ) | (99 | ) |
| Total interest expense | (5,036 | ) | (595 | ) | (4,441 | ) |
| Net interest income | \$86 |  | \$(50 | ) | \$136 |  |
| Percentage change | 100.0 | \% | (58.1 | \%) | 158.1 | \% |

1The changes that are not solely due to rate or volume are allocated on a percentage basis using the absolute values of rate and volume variances as a basis for allocation.
2Income stated at fully tax equivalent basis using the marginal corporate Federal tax rate of $35 \%$.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was $\$ 7.4$ million, a decrease of $\$ 200$ thousand or $2.6 \%$ compared to $\$ 7.6$ million for the same period of 2009 . Although the provision for loan losses has decreased in the current six months compared to a year ago, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans (net of unearned income) has increased to $2.09 \%$ at June 30, 2010 compared to $1.62 \%$ at June 30, 2009.

Net charge-offs were $\$ 5.0$ million in the current six months compared to $\$ 3.1$ million a year ago. On an annualized basis, net charge-offs were $.79 \%$ of average loans outstanding for the six months ended June 30,2010 compared $.48 \%$ for the same six months of a year ago. Although net charge-offs in the current six months are higher compared to a year ago, a portion of the losses have been previously provided for through the provision for loan losses and related allowance. Of the $\$ 5.0$ million of net charge-offs in the current year, $\$ 3.3$ million represent five larger-balance charge-offs that had an allocated allowance for loan losses of $\$ 2.5$ million already established in the prior year.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30,2010 was $\$ 17.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 2.8$ million or $19.3 \%$ compared to the same period a year earlier. The increase in noninterest income was driven mainly by higher net gains of $\$ 2.9$ million from the sale of investment securities. The sale of investment securities was strategically made to lock in some of the increase in value and bolster capital after an analysis of multiple reinvestment scenarios that would minimize the impact on the net interest margin on a go forward basis.

Other notable increases in noninterest income line items include non-deposit service charges of $\$ 183$ thousand or $8.5 \%$ and allotment processing fees of $\$ 142$ thousand or $5.4 \%$. The increase in non-deposit service charges were led by an increase in custodial safekeeping fees of $\$ 62$ thousand or $27.9 \%$ due to higher transaction volume with the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The increase in allotment processing fees is due to higher transaction volumes.

Decreases in noninterest income line items in the six-month comparison were led by lower net gains on the sale of mortgage loans of $\$ 348$ thousand or $50.4 \%$, lower trust income of $\$ 208$ thousand or $20.1 \%$, and a decrease in income from company-owned life insurance of $\$ 105$ thousand or $16.0 \%$. The decrease in net gains on the sale of mortgage loans tracks closely to the nearly $50 \%$ decline in mortgage loans sold in the comparison as consumer refinancing activity has slowed. The decrease in trust income is due to a decline in the overall asset values on which trust fees are based combined with the collection of a large fee in the second quarter of the prior year. The decrease in income from company-owned life insurance is due mainly to a lower outstanding balance. Late in the first quarter of 2010, the Parent Company liquidated $\$ 8.6$ million of this life insurance investment. An additional $\$ 2.2$ million of company-owned life insurance remains at the Parent Company at June 30, 2010 that is expected to be converted to cash in the near term. The Company's subsidiary banks maintain an aggregate balance of $\$ 26.4$ million in company-owned life insurance.

## Noninterest Expense

Although improvements have been made in a significant number of noninterest expense categories, overall noninterest expense increased $\$ 427$ thousand or $1.4 \%$ in the six-month comparison. The increase in noninterest expenses was led by higher expenses related to foreclosed real estate of $\$ 2.2$ million. This was partially offset by decreases in other noninterest expense categories including salaries and employee benefits of $\$ 1.0$ million or $6.8 \%$, intangible amortization of $\$ 257$ thousand or $26.3 \%$, occupancy expense of $\$ 250$ thousand or $9.2 \%$, and equipment expense of \$213 thousand or $14.0 \%$

The increase in net other real estate expenses correlates with a $\$ 7.6$ million or $37.8 \%$ higher net amount of foreclosed real estate properties held by the Company at June 30, 2010 compared to a year earlier. Expenses relating to these properties generally include amounts to prepare the properties for resale and, in some cases, impairment charges to write down a property's book value to its fair value less estimated costs to sell as determined by appraisal. Impairment charges included in net other real estate expenses were $\$ 1.5$ million in the current six months.

The decrease in salaries and employee benefits is due to a smaller workforce and a decrease in the Company's matching contributions to its salary savings plan that took effect in the first quarter of 2010. The average number of full time equivalent employees was 534 for the first six months of 2010 , down from 566 for the first six months of 2009. Beginning in the first quarter of 2010, the Company began to match up to $50 \%$ of eligible employee deferrals up to a maximum of $6 \%$ of the participants' compensation. For 2009, the Company matched all eligible employee contributions up to $6 \%$ of the participants' compensation. Amortization of intangible assets, which relate to customer lists and core deposits from prior acquisitions, is decreasing as a result of amortization schedules that allocate a higher amount of amortization in the earlier periods following an acquisition consistent with how the assets are used. Occupancy and equipment expenses were lower in the current six months compared to a year ago driven mainly by a decrease in depreciation expense. Depreciation expense has decreased in the comparison as a result of a relatively low amount of net additions to the Company's fixed assets. A decrease in depreciation expense on existing fixed assets exceeded the amount of depreciation expense related to net new fixed assets in the comparison.

Income Taxes
Income tax expense was $\$ 1.2$ million for the first six months of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 385$ thousand or $48.3 \%$ compared to the first six months of 2009, reflecting an increase in taxable income. The effective income tax rate was $19.8 \%$ for the current six months compared to $24.1 \%$ for the same period a year earlier.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were $\$ 2.1$ billion at June 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 78.8$ million or $3.6 \%$ from the prior year-end 2009. The decrease in assets is primarily related to lower loans (net of unearned income) of $\$ 34.0$ million or $2.7 \%$, cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 23.1$ million or $10.6 \%$, and investment securities of $\$ 12.6$ million or $2.3 \%$. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents reflects an overall lower net funding position of the Company and, along with a decrease in net loans and investment securities, is in line with management's broad strategy of realigning the balance sheet. In addition, during the first quarter of 2010, the Parent Company liquidated $\$ 8.6$ million of its investment in company-owned life insurance at its cash surrender value. The Parent Company took this action
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mainly to have additional cash available to inject into certain of its bank subsidiaries to strengthen their capital positions. An additional $\$ 2.2$ million of company-owned life insurance remains at the Parent Company at June 30, 2010 that is expected to be liquidated in the near term.

Total liabilities were $\$ 1.9$ billion at June 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 84.7$ million or $4.2 \%$ compared to December 31, 2009. Deposits decreased $\$ 110$ million or $6.7 \%$. Net borrowed funds increased $\$ 24.4$ million or $6.7 \%$ driven by higher short-term borrowings of $\$ 29.8$ million. Shareholders' equity increased $\$ 5.9$ million or $4.0 \%$ to $\$ 153$ million at June 30, 2010 due mainly to net income for the period and higher net other comprehensive income.

Management of the Company considers it noteworthy to understand the relationship between the Farmers Bank and Capital Trust Company ("Farmers Bank") and the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Farmers Bank provides various services to state agencies of the Commonwealth. As the depository for the Commonwealth, checks are drawn on Farmers Bank by these agencies, which include paychecks and state income tax refunds. Farmers Bank also processes vouchers of the WIC (Women, Infants and Children) program for the Cabinet for Human Resources. As the depository for the Commonwealth, large fluctuations in deposits are likely to occur on a daily basis. Therefore, reviewing average balances is important to understanding the financial condition of the Company as daily deposit balances fluctuate significantly as a result of the Farmers Bank's relationship with the Commonwealth.

On an average basis, total assets were $\$ 2.2$ billion for the first six months of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 93.2$ million or $4.1 \%$ from year-end 2009. Average total assets decreased $\$ 52.4$ million from year-end 2009 as a result of the goodwill impairment charge at year-end 2009 where the Company wrote off the entire amount of its goodwill. Average earning assets decreased $\$ 50.7$ million or $2.6 \%$ from year-end 2009. Average earning assets were $88.9 \%$ and $87.5 \%$ of total average assets for the first six months of 2010 and the year 2009, respectively. Average net loans decreased \$49.3 million or $3.8 \%$ in the comparison. Deposits averaged $\$ 1.6$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 20.3$ million or $1.2 \%$ from the prior year-end. Average noninterest bearing deposit balances declined $\$ 17.4$ million or $7.7 \%$ in the comparison led by a sharp decrease in amounts related to the Commonwealth of $\$ 32.8$ million.

## Temporary Investments

Temporary investments consist of interest bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell. The Company uses these funds in the management of liquidity and interest rate sensitivity. At June 30, 2010, temporary investments were $\$ 162$ million, a decrease of $\$ 20.2$ million or $11.1 \%$ compared to $\$ 182$ million at year-end 2009. The decrease in temporary investments is mainly due to lower interest bearing deposit balances in other banks of $\$ 16.2$ million primarily with the Federal Reserve banking system.

Temporary investments averaged $\$ 130$ million during the first six months of 2010, relatively unchanged from $\$ 129$ million from year-end 2009. Temporary investments are reallocated to loans or other investments as market conditions and Company resources warrant.

## Investment Securities

The investment securities portfolio is comprised primarily of U.S. government-sponsored agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, and tax-exempt securities of states and political subdivisions. During the second quarter of 2010 the Company realized net gains on the sale of investment securities of $\$ 3.4$ million. The sale of investment securities was strategically made to lock in some of the increase in value and bolster capital after an analysis of multiple reinvestment scenarios that would minimize the impact on the net interest margin on a go forward basis.

The Company sold $\$ 12.1$ million amortized costs amount of its corporate debt securities as part of its second quarter 2010 sale of investment securities for a net loss of $\$ 168$ thousand. An additional $\$ 1.0$ million of corporate debt
securities matured during the quarter. The debt securities sold, although still rated as investment grade, were downgraded during 2009 and sold during the current quarter after a careful analysis of their short and long-term prospects no longer suited the Company's current investment preferences.

At June 30, 2010, the Company holds $\$ 5.8$ million amortized cost amounts of single-issuer trust preferred capital securities of a global and national financial services firm with an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.6$ million. These securities had an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.4$ million at year-end 2009. These securities continue to perform according to contractual terms and the issuer of these securities is rated as investment grade by major rating agencies. The Company does not intend to sell these securities nor does the Company believe it is likely that it will be required to sell these securities prior to their anticipated recovery. The Company believes these securities are not impaired due to reasons of credit quality or other factors, but rather the unrealized loss is primarily attributed to general uncertainties in the financial markets and market volatility. The Company believes that it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of these securities and that the fair values of these securities will recover as they approach their maturity dates.

Total investment securities were $\$ 536$ million on June 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 12.6$ million or $2.3 \%$ compared to $\$ 549$ million at year-end 2009. Net amortized cost amounts have decreased $\$ 15.1$ million or $2.8 \%$ due to net sales and maturities partially offset by a $\$ 2.5$ million or $24.7 \%$ net increase in market value adjustments related to investments classified as available for sale.

Gross unrealized losses totaling $\$ 1.6$ million at June 30, 2010 within the Company's investment securities portfolio have not been included in income since they are identified as temporary. The Company believes that it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of these securities and that the fair values of these securities will recover as they approach their maturity dates. The Company does not consider any of the securities to be impaired due to reasons of credit quality or other factors. The Company does not expect to incur a loss on these securities unless they are sold prior to maturity. All investment securities in the Company's portfolio are currently performing. The Company does not have direct exposure to the subprime mortgage market. The Company does not originate subprime mortgages nor has it invested in bonds that are secured by such mortgages.

Loans
Loans, net of unearned interest, were $\$ 1.2$ billion at June 30, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 34.0$ million or $2.7 \%$ from year-end 2009. The Company has continued to take a more measured and cautious approach to loan growth in the near term while it continues to work through its nonperforming assets, which has increased sharply as a result of the lingering effects of one of the most severe recessions in recent history.

The composition of the loan portfolio is summarized in the table below.

June 30, 2010
(Dollars in thousands)
Amount \%

December 31, 2009
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Commercial, financial, and } & & & & & & \\ \text { agriculture } & \$ & 108,693 & 8.8 & \% & \$ & 114,687 & 9.0\end{array}\right) \%$

On average, loans represented $65.2 \%$ of earning assets for the first six months of 2010, a decrease of 82 basis points compared to $66.0 \%$ for year-end 2009. Average loans represent a lower percentage of earning assets due to a lower
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average outstanding balance that has driven the overall reduction in average total earning assets.
The Company does not have direct exposure to the subprime mortgage market. The Company does not originate subprime mortgages nor has it invested in bonds that are secured by such mortgages. Subprime mortgage lending is defined by the Company generally as lending to a borrower that would not qualify for a mortgage loan at prevailing market rates or whereby the underwriting decision is based on limited or no documentation of the ability to repay.
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Allowance for Loan Losses
The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed to be adequate by management to cover probable losses in the loan portfolio. The calculation of the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses requires significant judgment to reflect the credit losses specifically identified in the Company's loan portfolio as well as management's best estimate of probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance increased by the provision for loan losses and decreased by net charge-offs. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

In general, the allowance for loan losses and related provision for loan losses increase as the relative level of nonperforming and impaired loans increases. However, other factors impact the amount of the allowance for loan losses such as the Company's historical loss experience, the borrowers' financial condition, general economic conditions, and other risk factors as described in the Company's most recent annual report on Form 10-K.

The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 25.8$ million or $2.09 \%$ of outstanding loans (net of unearned income) at June 30, 2010. This compares to $\$ 23.4$ million or $1.84 \%$ of net loans outstanding at year-end 2009. The increase in the allowance for loan losses from year-end 2009 is primarily the result of a $\$ 22.5$ million or $29.5 \%$ higher level of nonperforming loans and the upward trend in historical net charge-offs. As a percentage of nonperforming loans, the allowance for loan losses was $26.1 \%$ and $30.6 \%$ at June 30, 2010 and year-end 2009, respectively. The decrease in the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans is due mainly to the makeup of nonperforming loans. Most of the $\$ 22.5$ million increase in nonperforming loans since year-end 2009 is attributed to a $\$ 20.3$ million or $113 \%$ increase in restructured loans. The reserve assigned to credits that are restructured with lower interest rates represents the difference in the present value of future cash flows calculated at the loan's original interest rate and the new lower rate. This generally results in a reserve for loan losses that is less severe than for other loans that are collateral dependent. Please refer to the caption "Nonperforming Loans" that follows for additional information concerning restructured loans.

In addition to higher restructured loans, certain other loans have moved from performing to nonperforming since year-end 2009 without a proportionate increase in the allowance for loan losses. This includes a $\$ 7.0$ million real estate development credit that is now classified as nonaccrual. This credit was identified as impaired at year-end 2009 and specific reserves were allocated at that time although it was a performing loan. Other factors positively impacting the Company's allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans is a reduction in loans past due between 30 and 89 days along with an overall net decrease in loans outstanding. Loans delinquent between 30 and 89 days decreased $\$ 12.0$ million or $49.6 \%$ to $\$ 12.2$ million at June 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 24.3$ million at year-end 2009. Loans outstanding, net of unearned income, decreased $\$ 34.0$ million or $2.7 \%$ at June 30, 2010 compared to year-end 2009. As a percentage of loans outstanding (net of unearned income), nonperforming loans were $8.0 \%$ and $6.0 \%$ at June 30, 2010 and year-end 2009, respectively. Real estate development loans continue to negatively impact nonperforming loans which has resulted in an elevated level of the provision for loans losses and allowance for loan losses in recent quarters.

Nonperforming loans began to increase sharply in the second quarter of 2009, going to $\$ 44.3$ million from $\$ 29.0$ million at March 31, 2009. By year-end 2009, nonperforming loans were $\$ 76.3$ million and peaked at $\$ 108$ million at March 31, 2010 before dropping to $\$ 98.9$ million at June 30, 2010. Impaired loans have moved in a similar manner, totaling $\$ 129$ million at June 30, 2010. This represents an increase of $\$ 20.2$ million or $18.6 \%$ from year-end 2009, but a decrease of $\$ 2.7$ million or $2.1 \%$ from March 31, 2010. Although certain economic metrics continue to improve, the high level of nonperforming and impaired loans is driven by overall weaknesses that remain in the general economy stemming from one of the most severe recessions in many decades. Certain housing markets are showing signs of stabilizing and inflation concerns have subsided, but labor markets continue to be weak. For the Company, economic
conditions in recent quarters have resulted in higher stress in the real estate development portion of its lending portfolio.

## Nonperforming Loans

Nonperforming loans consist of nonaccrual loans, restructured loans, and loans ninety days or more past due on which interest is still accruing. In general, the accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when it is determined that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful, or when a default of interest or principal has existed for 90 days or more, unless such loan is well secured and in the process of collection.

Nonperforming loans were $\$ 98.9$ million at June 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 22.5$ million or $29.5 \%$ compared to year-end 2009. The high level of nonperforming loans is a result of ongoing weaknesses in the overall economy that continue to strain the Company and many of its customers, particularly real estate development lending. Nonperforming loans were as follows at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| (In thousands) |  | June 30 2010 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{array}$ |  |  | Change |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ | 59,370 |  | \$ | 56,630 |  | \$ | 2,740 | 4.8 | \% |
| Restructured loans |  | 38,220 |  |  | 17,911 |  |  | 20,309 | 113.4 |  |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing |  | 1,279 |  |  | 1,807 |  |  | (528 ) | (29.2 | ) |
| Total nonperforming loans | \$ | 98,869 |  | \$ | 76,348 |  | \$ | 22,521 | 29.5 | \% |
| Ratio of total nonperforming loans to total loans (net of unearned income) |  | 8.0 | \% |  | 6.0 | \% |  |  |  |  |

Restructured loans occur when a lender, because of economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulty, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically include a reduction of the stated interest rate or an extension of the maturity date, among other possible concessions. For the Company, all of its restructured loans were granted rate concessions at the time of restructuring. Substantially all of the increase in the Company's restructured loans is secured by real estate. The increase in restructured loans at June 30, 2010 compared to year-end 2009 was led by a residential real estate development credit in the amount of $\$ 10.5$ million and a separate residential real estate credit of $\$ 4.0$ million. The Company works diligently to identify which of its challenged credits merit a restructuring of terms in order to maximize loan repayments. Cash flow projections are carefully scrutinized prior to restructuring any credits; past due credits are typically not granted concessions.

The increase in nonaccrual loans was negatively impacted by the net addition of a $\$ 7.0$ million real estate development credit partially offset by a decrease in three larger-balance commercial real estate loans totaling $\$ 4.6$ million. Of this $\$ 4.6$ million decrease, $\$ 1.8$ million is attributed to a loan payoff and the remaining $\$ 2.8$ million represents the Company taking possession of the collateral supporting these two credits and moving to other real estate owned.

The Company maintains a comprehensive risk-grading and loan review program, which includes a review of loans to assess risk and assign a grade to those loans, a review of delinquencies, and an assessment of loans for needed charge-offs or placement on non-accrual status. The Company had loans in the amount of $\$ 94.6$ million and $\$ 105$ million at June 30, 2010 and year-end 2009, respectively, which were performing but considered potential problem loans and are not included in the nonperforming loan totals in the table above. These loans, however, are considered in establishing an appropriate allowance for loan losses. The balance outstanding for potential problem credits is mainly a result of ongoing weaknesses in the overall economy that continue to strain the Company and many of its customers, particularly real estate development lending. Potential problem loans include a variety of borrowers and are secured primarily by real estate. At June 30, 2010 the five largest potential problem credits were $\$ 26.3$ million in the aggregate compared to $\$ 31.4$ million at year-end 2009 and secured by various types of real estate including commercial, construction properties, and residential real estate development.

Potential problem loans are identified on the Company's watch list and consist of loans that require close monitoring by management and are not necessarily considered classified credits by regulators. Credits may be considered as a potential problem loan for reasons that are temporary or correctable, such as for a deficiency in loan documentation or absence of current financial statements of the borrower. Potential problem loans may also include credits where adverse circumstances are identified that may affect the borrower's ability to comply with the contractual terms of the loan. Other factors which might indicate the existence of a potential problem loan include the delinquency of a scheduled loan payment, deterioration in a borrower's financial condition identified in a review of periodic financial statements, a decrease in the value of the collateral securing the loan, or a change in the economic environment in which the borrower operates. Certain loans on the Company's watch list are also considered impaired and specific allowances related to these loans were established in accordance with the appropriate accounting guidance.

## Other Real Estate

Other real estate owned ("OREO") includes real estate properties acquired by the Company through foreclosure. At June 30, 2010 OREO was $\$ 27.6$ million, a decrease of $\$ 3.7$ million or $11.8 \%$ compared to $\$ 31.2$ million at year-end 2009. The net decrease in OREO in the first six months of 2010 was driven mainly by the sale of a commercial real estate property securing a single credit with a book value of $\$ 5.2$ million.

Deposits
A summary of the Company's deposits are as follows for the periods indicated.


| Interest Bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand | $\$ 248,982$ | $\$ 255,133$ | $\$(6,151$ | $) \$ 266,800$ | $\$ 247,235$ | $\$ 19,565$ |
| Savings | 268,198 | 258,804 | 9,394 | 268,278 | 256,063 | 12,215 |
| Time | 801,206 | 904,978 | $(103,772)$ | 867,363 | 902,066 | $(34,703)$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,318,386$ | $\$ 1,418,915$ | $\$(100,529) \$ 1,402,441$ | $\$ 1,405,364$ | $\$(2,923)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deposits | $\$ 1,523,898$ | $\$ 1,633,433$ | $\$(109,535) \$ 1,611,706$ | $\$ 1,632,012$ | $\$(20,306)$ |  |

Deposit balances of the Commonwealth can fluctuate significantly from day to day. The Company believes average balances are also important when analyzing its deposit portfolio. The decrease in balances of the Commonwealth is due primarily to a data processing change by the Company during the first quarter of 2010. This change resulted in deposit transmissions from the Commonwealth that are generally received and credited after processing deadlines for same day credit. Outstanding deposit balances of the Commonwealth are expected to remain at lower than recent historical levels as a result of this change.

The sharp decline in time deposits from year-end 2009 is due in part to the maturity structure of the portfolio and overall liquidity position of the Company. The Company's liquidity position has enabled it to lower its cost of funds in the current quarter by allowing higher-rate certificates of deposit, particularly those in excess of $\$ 100$ thousand in outstanding balances, to roll off or reprice at significantly lower interest rates.

## Borrowed Funds

Total borrowed funds were $\$ 389$ million at June 30 , 2010, an increase of $\$ 24.4$ million or $6.7 \%$ from $\$ 364$ million at year-end 2009. Long-term borrowings decreased $\$ 5.4$ million or $1.7 \%$ due mainly to maturing FHLB advances. Short-term borrowings increased $\$ 29.8$ million or $63.0 \%$ due mainly to $\$ 39.1$ million in funds received from the Commonwealth that were included in short-term repurchase agreements at June 30, 2010. The Company's short-term
funding fluctuates as its overall net funding position changes and, in terms of transactions with the Commonwealth, can fluctuate significantly on a daily basis.

## LIQUIDITY

The primary source of funds for the Parent Company is the receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries, cash balances maintained, investments in company-owned life insurance, and borrowings from nonaffiliated sources. Payment of dividends by the Company's subsidiary banks is subject to certain regulatory restrictions as set forth in national and state banking laws and regulations. At June 30, 2010 each of the Company's bank subsidiaries were required to obtain regulatory approval before declaring or paying a dividend to the Parent Company. First Citizens Bank ("First Citizens") and Citizens Bank of Northern Kentucky ("Citizens Northern") need regulatory approval due to statutory restrictions on the amount of distributions that can be made relative to undistributed net income over a period that includes the current year to date period and the previous two calendar years. The Company received
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$\$ 650$ thousand in dividends from First Citizens during the second quarter of 2010. Farmers Bank and United Bank and Trust Company ("United Bank") need regulatory approval to declare or pay dividends as a result of increased capital required in connection with recent regulatory exams. Capital ratios at each of the Company's four subsidiary banks exceed regulatory established "well-capitalized" status at June 30, 2010 under the prompt corrective action regulatory framework; however, Farmers Bank and United Bank are required to maintain capital ratios at higher levels as outlined in their regulatory agreements. Additional information concerning recent regulatory exams and regulatory capital requirements can be found under the heading "Capital Resources" below.

The Parent Company's primary uses of cash include the payment of dividends to its common and preferred shareholders, injecting capital into subsidiaries, interest expense on borrowings, and paying for general operating expenses. Due to recent regulatory agreements, dividend payments on the Parent Company's common and preferred stock and interest payments on its trust preferred borrowings must have regulatory approval before being paid. While regulatory agencies have granted approval of the Company's request to make interest payments on its trust preferred securities and dividends on its preferred stock this quarter, the Company did not (based on the assessment by Company management of both the Company's capital position and the earnings of its subsidiaries) seek regulatory approval for the payment of common stock dividends. Moreover, the Company will not pay any such dividend on its common stock in any subsequent quarter until the regulator's assessment of the earnings of the Company's subsidiaries, and the Company's assessment of its capital position, both yield the conclusion that the payment of a Company common stock dividend is warranted.

The Parent Company had cash balances of $\$ 13.6$ million at June 30 , 2010, an increase of $\$ 6.0$ million or $80.0 \%$ from $\$ 7.6$ million at the prior year-end. Significant cash receipts of the Parent Company during 2010 include $\$ 8.6$ million proceeds from the liquidation of company-owned life insurance at the Parent Company, management fees from subsidiaries of $\$ 1.8$ million, $\$ 1.0$ million dividends from FFKT Insurance, $\$ 750$ thousand return of capital from FCB Services, and $\$ 650$ thousand dividends from First Citizens Bank. Significant cash payments by the Parent Company during 2010 include $\$ 1.5$ million for the payment of common and preferred dividends, $\$ 2.9$ million additional capital investment in bank subsidiaries, salaries, payroll taxes, and employee benefits of $\$ 1.2$ million, and interest expense on borrowed funds of $\$ 1.0$ million. Subsequent to June 30 2010, the Parent Company injected $\$ 200$ thousand into Farmers Bank to increase its level of capital as agreed to in its recent regulatory agreement. The Parent Company may fund any additional external capital requirements, if any, of its bank subsidiaries from future public or private sales of securities at an appropriate time or from existing resources of the Company.

The Company's objective as it relates to liquidity is to ensure that its subsidiary banks have funds available to meet deposit withdrawals and credit demands without unduly penalizing profitability. In order to maintain a proper level of liquidity, the subsidiary banks have several sources of funds available on a daily basis that can be used for liquidity purposes. Those sources of funds include the subsidiary banks' core deposits, consisting of both business and nonbusiness deposits; cash flow generated by repayment of principal and interest on loans and investment securities; FHLB and other borrowings; and federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and investment securities are generally a predictable source of funds, deposit outflows and mortgage prepayments are influenced significantly by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition in our local markets. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had $\$ 103$ million in additional borrowing capacity under various FHLB, federal funds, and other borrowing agreements. However, there is no guarantee that these sources of funds will continue to be available to the Company or that current borrowings can be refinanced upon maturity, although the Company is not aware of any events or uncertainties that are likely to cause a decrease in the Company's liquidity from these sources.

For the longer term, the liquidity position is managed by balancing the maturity structure of the balance sheet. This process allows for an orderly flow of funds over an extended period of time. The Company's Asset and Liability Management Committee, both at the bank subsidiary level and on a consolidated basis, meets regularly and monitors
the composition of the balance sheet to ensure comprehensive management of interest rate risk and liquidity.
Liquid assets consist of cash, cash equivalents, and available for sale investment securities. At June 30, 2010, consolidated liquid assets were $\$ 730$ million, a decrease of $\$ 35.7$ million or $4.7 \%$ from year-end 2009 . The decrease in liquid assets is attributed to a $\$ 23.1$ million or $10.6 \%$ decrease in net cash and equivalents coupled with lower available for sale investment securities of $\$ 12.6$ million or $2.3 \%$. Liquid assets have decreased as the Company continues to strategically realign its balance sheet while it deals with a high level of nonperforming
assets caused mainly by the effects of a lingering economic downturn.
Net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 7.4$ million for the first six months of 2010, a decrease of $\$ 8.7$ million or $54.1 \%$ compared to $\$ 16.1$ million for 2009 . Net cash provided by investing activities was $\$ 56.1$ million for 2010 compared to net cash used of $\$ 26.1$ million for 2009 . The $\$ 82.1$ million higher net cash inflow in the comparison is mainly due to $\$ 38.9$ million related to investment securities transactions, $\$ 28.5$ million related to loan activity, and $\$ 8.6$ million in connection with the conversion to cash of a portion of company-owned life insurance. For investment securities transactions, the Company had a net cash inflow of $\$ 21.4$ million in 2010 as maturities and sales activity exceeding purchases. For 2009, the Company had net cash outflow related to investment securities of $\$ 17.5$ million as net purchases exceeded maturities and sales. Net cash repayments received on loans were $\$ 20.4$ million for 2010; in 2009, net cash outflows associated with loans were $\$ 8.2$ million. The Company received $\$ 8.6$ million proceeds upon liquidation of part of its investment in company-owned life insurance at its cash surrender value to boost its cash available to inject into certain of its bank subsidiaries to strengthen their capital positions. Net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 86.6$ million in 2010 compared to net cash provided of $\$ 91.9$ million for 2009. For 2010, net deposits decreased $\$ 110$ million partially offset by a net increase in outstanding debt of $\$ 24.4$ million. For 2009, net cash flows from financing activities were increased by higher deposits of $\$ 44.2$ million, $\$ 30.0$ million proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock, and an increase in outstanding debt of $\$ 22.5$ million.

Commitments to extend credit are considered in addressing the Company's liquidity management. The Company does not expect these commitments to significantly affect the liquidity position in future periods. The Company has not entered into any contracts for financial derivative instruments such as futures, swaps, options, or similar instruments.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Company

Consolidated shareholders' equity was $\$ 153$ million at June 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 5.9$ million or $4.0 \%$ compared to December 31, 2009. Retained earnings increased $\$ 3.9$ million in the first six months of 2010 and was driven by net income of $\$ 4.8$ million partially offset by dividends on preferred stock, including accretion of discount, of \$932 thousand. No dividends were declared on common stock in the first six months of 2010. Other comprehensive income increased $\$ 1.7$ million driven by a net increase in the unrealized gain on available for sale investment securities.

On October 9, 2009 the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC that became effective on October 19, 2009. As part of that filing, equity securities of the Company of up to a maximum aggregate offering price of $\$ 70$ million could be offered for sale in one or more public or private offerings at an appropriate time. The Company continues to explore potential capital raising scenarios. However, no determination as to if or when a capital raise will be completed has been made. Net proceeds from a potential sale of securities under the registration statement could be used for any corporate purpose determined by the Company's board of directors.

At June 30, 2010 the Company's tangible capital ratio was $7.13 \%$ compared to $6.56 \%$ at year-end 2009. The tangible capital ratio is defined as tangible equity as a percentage of tangible assets. This ratio excludes amounts related to goodwill and other intangible assets. Tangible common equity to tangible assets, which further excludes outstanding preferred stock, was $5.76 \%$ at June 30, 2010 compared to $5.25 \%$ at year-end 2009.

Consistent with the objective of operating a sound financial organization, the Company's goal is to maintain capital ratios well above the regulatory minimum requirements. The Company's capital ratios as of June 30, 2010 and the regulatory minimums are as follows.
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|  | Bank Corporation | Minimum |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 risk-based | 14.76 | $\%$ | 4.00 | $\%$ |
| Total risk-based | 16.02 |  | 8.00 |  |
| Leverage | 8.82 |  | 4.00 |  |

In the summer of 2009 the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis ("FRB St. Louis") conducted an examination of the parent Company. Primarily due to the regulatory actions and capital requirements at three of the Company's subsidiary banks (as discussed below), the FRB St. Louis and Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions
("KDFI") proposed the Company enter into a Memorandum of Understanding ("Memorandum"). The Company's board approved entry into the Memorandum at a regular board meeting during the fourth quarter of 2009. Pursuant to the Memorandum, the Company agreed that it would develop an acceptable capital plan to ensure that the consolidated organization remains well-capitalized and each of its subsidiary banks meet the capital requirements imposed by their regulator as described below.

The Company also agreed to reduce its common stock dividend in the fourth quarter of 2009 from $\$ .25$ per share down to $\$ .10$ per share and not make interest payments on the Company's trust preferred securities or dividends on its common or preferred stock without prior approval from FRB St. Louis. Representatives of the FRB St. Louis and KDFI have indicated that any such approval for the payment of dividends will be predicated on a demonstration of adequate, normalized earnings on the part of the Company's subsidiaries sufficient to support quarterly payments on the Company's trust preferred securities and quarterly dividends on the Company's common and preferred stock. While both regulatory agencies have granted approval of the Company's request to make interest payments on its trust preferred securities and dividends on its preferred stock in the current quarter, the Company did not (based on the assessment by Company management of both the Company's capital position and the earnings of its subsidiaries) seek regulatory approval for the payment of common stock dividends. Moreover, the Company will not pay any such dividends on its common stock in any subsequent quarter until the regulator's assessment of the earnings of the Company's subsidiaries, and the Company's assessment of its capital position, both yield the conclusion that the payment of a Company common stock dividend is warranted.

Additionally, under the Memorandum, the Company agreed to:

- utilize its financial and managerial resources to assist its subsidiary banks in addressing weaknesses identified at their most recent examinations and achieving and maintaining compliance with any regulatory supervisory actions respecting the subsidiary banks;
- not pay any new salaries, bonuses, management fees or make any other payments to insiders without prior approval of the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI;
- not incur additional debt or purchase or redeem any stock without the prior written approval of the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI;
- submit to the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI an acceptable plan detailing the source and timing of funds for meeting the Company's debt service requirements and other parent company expenses for 2010 and 2011; and
- within thirty days of the end of each calendar quarter submit to the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI parent company financial statements along with a status report on compliance with the provisions of the Memorandum.


## Bank Subsidiaries

The Company's subsidiary banks are subject to capital-based regulatory requirements which place banks in one of five categories based upon their capital levels and other supervisory criteria. These five categories are: (1) well-capitalized, (2) adequately capitalized, (3) undercapitalized, (4) significantly undercapitalized, and (5) critically undercapitalized. To be well-capitalized, a bank must have a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio ("Leverage Ratio") of at least $5 \%$ and a total risk-based capital ratio ("Risk-Based Ratio") of at least 10\%. As of June 30, 2010, the Company's four subsidiary banks had the following capital ratios for regulatory purposes:

| Tier 1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Leverage | Risk-Based |
| Capital Ratio | Capital Ratio |


| Farmers Bank | 7.98 | $\%$ | 15.78 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United Bank | 8.06 | 14.12 |  |
| First Citizens Bank | 8.69 | 14.32 |  |
| Citizens Northern | 7.20 | 11.89 |  |

1 The Leverage Ratio is computed by dividing a bank's Tier 1 Capital, as defined by regulation, by its total quarterly average assets.

The Risk-Based Ratio is computed by dividing a bank's Total Capital, as defined by regulation, by a risk-weighted sum of the bank's assets, with the risk weighting determined by general standards established by regulation. The safest assets (e.g., government obligations) are assigned a weighting of $0 \%$ with riskier assets receiving higher ratings (e.g., ordinary commercial loans are assigned a weighting of $100 \%$ ).

Two of the Company's subsidiary banks are required to maintain capital ratios at levels greater than those required to be considered well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action framework. The agencies that regulate the Company's banks have determined, in the wake of examinations, that Farmers Bank and United Bank may require future capital infusions in order to satisfy higher regulatory capital ratios.

Farmers Bank. Farmers Bank was the subject of a regularly scheduled examination by the KDFI which was conducted in mid-September 2009. As a result of this examination, the KDFI and FRB St. Louis have entered into a Memorandum with Farmers Bank. The Memorandum requires that Farmers Bank obtain written consent prior to declaring or paying the Parent Company a cash dividend and to achieve and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.0 \%$ by June 30, 2010. The Parent Company injected from its reserves $\$ 11$ million in capital into Farmers Bank subsequent to the Memorandum. Farmers Bank further agreed that if at the time of the proposed merger of Lawrenceburg Bank into Farmers Bank the combined bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of less than $8.0 \%$ and the Parent Company had obtained additional capital by that time, the Parent Company would inject additional capital to raise the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio to $8.0 \%$. While the merger was effective May 8, 2010, the Parent Company had not raised additional capital and the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio for Farmers Bank was above the $7.75 \%$ amount required at that time, thus remaining in compliance without the need for an additional capital infusion.

At June 30, 2010, Farmers Bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $7.98 \%$ and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $15.78 \%$. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Parent Company injected into Farmers Bank an additional \$200 thousand in capital in order to raise its Tier 1 Leverage Ratio to 8.0\%.

In addition to the above capital requirements and dividend restrictions, under the Memorandum Farmers Bank agreed to:

- adopt, implement and adhere to a plan to reduce its risk position in each asset (loan) in excess of $\$ 750,000$ which is delinquent or classified "Substandard" in its most recent examination, which plan must establish target dollar levels to which Farmers Bank will use best efforts to reduce delinquencies and classified assets at stated intervals and provide for monthly progress reports to the Farmers Bank board of directors;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower already obligated to the Bank on any extension of credit that has either been (a) charged off (or classified "Loss" by regulators or in a subsequent review by the bank's consultants or a regulatory body) as long as such credit remains uncollected,
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or (b) classified as "Substandard" or "Doubtful" and is uncollected, except in cases where the Farmers Bank board of directors approves such extension of credit as in the best interest of Farmers Bank;

- submit to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI a written three-year strategic plan adopted by the Farmers Bank board of directors addressing mission statement, economic issues of the industries and markets served, strengths and weaknesses, strategies to improve earnings, staff training, financial goals, and identification of new lines of business and new types of lending;
- address underwriting and credit administration concerns raised by regulators in their most recent examination;
- address and monitor weaknesses regarding specific construction and development loans identified by regulators in their most recent examination;
- formulate and implement a written profit plan consistent with Farmers Bank's loan, investment and funds management policies and including realistic and comprehensive budgets and review processes, which profit plan is submitted to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI for review and comment; and
- if at the end of any quarter Farmers Bank's Tier 1 leverage ratio is less than $8.0 \%$, within thirty days it must submit to the FRB St. Louis and KDFI a plan for implementation of the capital accounts of Farmers Bank or other measures to bring the ratio to the required $8.0 \%$ level.

Lawrenceburg Bank and Trust Company ("Lawrenceburg Bank"). As a result of an examination conducted in March 2009, on May 15, 2009, Lawrenceburg Bank entered into a Memorandum with the FRB St. Louis and the KDFI. This Memorandum terminated effective upon Lawrenceburg Bank's merger into Farmers Bank on May 8, 2010.

United Bank. As a result of an examination conducted in late July and early August of 2009, the FDIC proposed United Bank enter into a Cease and Desist Order ("Order") primarily as a result of its level of non-performing assets. The Order requires United Bank to achieve and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.0 \%$ by June 30, 2010 and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $12 \%$ immediately. Subsequent to the Order, the Parent Company injected $\$ 10.5$ million from its reserves into United Bank. In April 2010, the Parent Company injected an additional $\$ 1.9$ million of capital into United Bank to bring its Tier 1 Leverage Ratio up to the minimum 7.75\% as of March 31, 2010 as required by the Order. At June 30, 2010, United Bank had a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of $8.06 \%$ and a Total Risk-Based Ratio of $14.12 \%$.

Additionally, the Order requires United Bank to:

- continue to retain qualified management, assessed on its ability to comply with the Order, operate United Bank in a safe and sound manner, comply with applicable laws, rules and regulations, and restore United Bank to a safe and sound condition;
- not add directors or senior executive officers without prior approval of the FDIC and KDFI;
- comply with certain disclosure guidelines in connection with selling any securities by United Bank to raise capital. The Company and United Bank do not have current plans to sell securities of United Bank to raise capital;
- charge off any asset (loan) which was classified as a "Loss" by the FDIC and KDFI in their most recent examination;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower obligated on any extension of credit that has been charged off (or classified as a "Loss" in the most recent examination), so long as the credit remains uncollected;
- not extend additional credit for any borrower whose loan or other credit has been classified "Substandard" or "Doubtful" (or is listed as "Special Mention" by regulators in the most recent exam), and is uncollected, unless United Bank's board of directors makes special determinations that extending such credit is in United Bank's best interest;
- adopt, implement and adhere to a plan to reduce its risk position in each borrower relationship in excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ which is more than thirty days delinquent or classified as "Substandard" or "Doubtful" by regulators in the most recent examination. Such plan is required to be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI and include a prohibition on extending credit to pay interest absent specific board determinations that such is in United Bank's best interest, establish target levels to which

United Bank shall reduce delinquencies and classified assets within given time permits and provide for monthly reporting to United Bank's board of directors on progress of the plan;

- continue its practice of maintaining a written contingency funding plan which must be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI and on each Friday United Bank must submit to the FDIC and KDFI a liquidity analysis report;
- not declare or pay dividends to the parent company without the prior written consent of the FDIC and KDFI;
- prior to submission of its quarterly call reports, have its board of directors review its allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"), provide an adequate ALLL and accurately report the ALLL;
- continue its practice of adopting, implementing and adhering to a written profit plan and comprehensive budget for 2009 and 2010 and each year the Order is in effect, which must be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI for review and comment and include realistic and comprehensive budgets and review processes by both management and United Bank's board of directors;
- formulate, adopt and implement a plan to manage concentrations of credit that were identified by regulators in their most recent examination, which must provide for procedures for measurement and monitoring of concentrations of credit and a limit on concentrations commensurate with United Bank's capital position, safe and sound banking practices and overall risk profile;
- eliminate and correct all violations of laws, rules and regulations identified by the regulators in their most recent examination;
- continue its practice of having procedures for managing its sensitivity to interest rate risk, which must implement recommendations of the regulators and be submitted to the FDIC and KDFI; and
- the board of directors maintain a program to provide for monitoring United Bank's compliance with the Order and on a quarterly basis United Bank's directors are required to sign a progress report to be furnished to the FDIC and KDFI detailing actions taken by United Bank to secure compliance with the Order.

The Company and its subsidiary banks have addressed each issue of the regulatory agreements to which they are subject as described above. After considering the July, $2010 \$ 200$ thousand capital injection by the Parent Company into Farmers Bank mentioned above, the Company and its subsidiary banks are in compliance with the stipulations identified in the regulatory agreements as of June 30, 2010. Regulators continue to monitor the Company's progress and compliance with the agreements. Both Farmers Bank and United Bank will be subject to their annual examinations in the third quarter of 2010.

The Company may fund any additional external capital requirements of any of its banking subsidiaries from future public or private sales of securities at an appropriate time or from existing resources of the Company, although the Parent Company is currently under no directive by its regulators to raise any additional capital.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company uses a simulation model as a tool to monitor and evaluate interest rate risk exposure. The model is designed to measure the sensitivity of net interest income and net income to changing interest rates over future time periods. Forecasting net interest income and its sensitivity to changes in interest rates requires the Company to make assumptions about the volume and characteristics of many attributes, including assumptions relating to the replacement of maturing earning assets and liabilities. Other assumptions include, but are not limited to, projected prepayments, projected new volume, and the predicted relationship between changes in market interest rates and changes in customer account balances. These effects are combined with the Company's estimate of the most likely rate environment to produce a forecast of net interest income and net income. The forecasted results are then adjusted for the effect of a gradual increase and decrease in market interest rates on the Company's net interest income and net income. Because assumptions are inherently uncertain, the model cannot precisely estimate net interest income or net income or the effect of interest rate changes on net interest income and net income. Actual results could differ significantly from simulated results.

At June 30, 2010, the model indicated that if rates were to gradually increase by 150 basis points during the remainder of the calendar year, then net interest income and net income would increase $.8 \%$ and $3.9 \%$, respectively for the year ending December 31, 2010 when compared to the forecasted results for the most likely rate environment. The model indicated that if rates were to gradually decrease by 150 basis points over the same period, then net interest income and net income would decrease $.2 \%$ and $1.3 \%$, respectively.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures
The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that all material information required to be disclosed in this report has been made known to them in a timely fashion.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have also concluded that there were no significant changes during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 in the Company's internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings
As of June 30, 2010, there were various pending legal actions and proceedings against the Company arising from the normal course of business and in which claims for damages are asserted. Management, after discussion with legal counsel, believes that these actions are without merit and that the ultimate liability resulting from these legal actions and proceedings, if any, will not have a material effect upon the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

A wide range of regulatory initiatives directed at the financial services industry have been proposed in recent months. One of those initiatives, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), was signed into law by President Obama on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the "BCFP"), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new rules. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact the Company's business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity, any of which may impact the market price of the Company's common stock.

Other than the additional risk factor mentioned above, there are no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
At various times, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized the purchase of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. No stated expiration dates have been established under any of the previous authorizations. There were no Company shares purchased during the quarter ended June 30, 2010. There are 84,971 shares that may still be purchased under the various authorizations.

The Company's participation in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program restricts its ability to repurchase its outstanding common stock. Until January 9, 2012, the Company generally must have the Treasury's approval before it may repurchase any of its shares of common stock, unless all of the Series A preferred stock has been redeemed by the Company or transferred by the Treasury. The Company must also be granted permission by the FRB St. Louis and KDFI before it can repurchase or redeem any of its outstanding common or preferred stock as a result of its 2009

Memorandum.

Item 6. Exhibits

## List of Exhibits

3.1 Articles of Incorporation of Farmers Capital Bank Corporation (incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006, the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003, and Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 13, 2009).
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Farmers Capital Bank Corporation (incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009).
4.1* Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of July 21, 2005, between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to unsecured junior subordinated deferrable interest notes that mature in 2035.
4.2* Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2005, among Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Depositor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Property and Delaware Trustee, the Administrative Trustees (as named therein), and the Holders (as defined therein).*
4.3* Guarantee Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2005, between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Guarantee Trustee.*
4.4* Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of July 26, 2005, between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to unsecured junior subordinated deferrable interest notes that mature in 2035.*
4.5* Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of July 26, 2005, among Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Depositor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Property and Delaware Trustee, the Administrative Trustees (as named therein), and the Holders (as defined therein).*
4.6* Guarantee Agreement, dated as of July 26, 2005, between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Guarantee Trustee.*
4.7* Indenture, dated as of August 14, 2007 between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, relating to fixed/floating rate junior subordinated debt due 2037.*
4.8* Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of August 14, 2007, by Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Sponsor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware and Institutional Trustee, the Administrative Trustees (as named therein), and the Holders (as defined therein).*
4.9* Guarantee Agreement, dated as of August 14, 2007, between Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, as Guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as Guarantee Trustee.*
4.10 Form of Certificate for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 13, 2009).
4.11 Warrant for Purchase of Shares of Common Stock (incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 13, 2009).
10.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, Dated July 1, 2005, as Amended, by and among Citizens Bancorp, Inc., Citizens Acquisition Subsidiary Corp, and Farmers Capital Bank Corporation (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of Registration Statement filed on Form S-4 on October 11, 2005).


#### Abstract

10.2 Amended and Restated Plan of Merger of Citizens National Bancshares, Inc. with and into FCBC Acquisition Subsidiary, LLC (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of Proxy Statement for Special Meeting of Shareholders of Citizens National Bancshares, Inc. and Prospectus in connection with an offer of up to 600,000 shares of its common stock of Farmers Capital Bank Corporation filed on Form 424B3 on August 7, 2006). 10.3 Stock Purchase Agreement Dated June 1, 2006 by and among Farmers Capital Bank Corporation, Kentucky Banking Centers, Inc. and Citizens First Corporation (incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008). $31.1^{* *}$ CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 31.2** CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32** CEO \& CFO Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002


* Exhibit not included pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) and (v) of Regulation S-K. The Company will provide a copy of such exhibit to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
** Filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

| Date: $\quad 8 / 3 / 10$ | /s/ Lloyd C. Hillard, Jr. <br>  <br>  <br> Lloyd C. Hillard, Jr. <br> President and CEO <br> (Principal Executive Officer) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date: $8-5-10$ | /s/ Doug Carpenter <br> C. Douglas Carpenter <br> Senior Vice President, Secretary, and CFO <br> (Principal Financial and Accounting <br> Officer) |

