

FONAR CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
May 14, 2013

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to section 14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Fonar Corporation

.....

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

.....

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14-6(i) (1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

.....

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

.....

3) Per unite price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to

Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount of which the filing fee is

calculated and state how it was determined:

N/A

.....

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

N/A

.....

5) Total fee paid:

N/A

.....

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 240.0-11 (a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

.....

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

.....

3) Filing Party:

.....

4) Date Filed:

FONAR CORPORATION

**110 Marcus Drive**

**Melville, New York 11747**

**(631) 694-2929**

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

**June 24, 2013**

To The Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of the stockholders of Fonar Corporation will be held at the Double Tree Hotel, Wilmington Downtown, 700 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302-655-0400), on June 24, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. local time for the following purposes:

1. To elect five Directors to the Board of Directors.
2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.
3. To recommend, in an advisory vote, whether the advisory stockholder vote to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers be taken every year, every two years, or every three years.
4. To ratify the selection of Marcum LLP as the Company's auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Edgar Filing: FONAR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 26, 2013 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, this meeting. A list of such stockholders will be available for examination by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the meeting, during normal business hours, at the principal office of the Company, 110 Marcus Drive, Melville, New York, for a period of ten days prior to the meeting.

Whether or not you expect to attend in person, we urge you to vote your shares at your earliest convenience. You may vote by internet, by phone or by signing, dating, and returning your proxy at your earliest convenience. Voting by internet, telephone or mail will not prevent you from voting your stock at the meeting if you desire to do so, as your proxy is revocable at your option.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/s/ Claudette J.V. Chan

Claudette J.V. Chan, Secretary

**PROXY STATEMENT  
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF  
STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD JUNE 24, 2013**

This proxy statement, which is first being made available to shareholders on or about May 15, 2013 on the internet, is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Fonar Corporation (the "Company"), to be voted at the annual meeting of the stockholders of the Company to be held at 10:00 a.m. on June 24, 2013 and any adjournment(s) thereof for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. At the same time a paper notice regarding the availability of proxy materials will be mailed to stockholders. Stockholders who execute proxies retain the right to revoke them at any time prior to the exercise of the powers conferred thereby. The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by the Company.

The stockholders will have several options as to how to view the materials and vote their shares.

**The Company is posting the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, together with the Annual Report on the internet. You may read the materials online or print out a copy. You will also have the ability to vote online.**

**In the alternative, you may elect to receive an e-mail or the traditional paper copies of the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, and the Annual Report. There is no charge for receiving e-mail or paper copies, BUT you must request them if you want them. To facilitate timely delivery please make the request as instructed on or before June 5, 2012.**

**To view the materials and vote on the internet, have the 12 Digit Control Number(s) located on the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials available and visit: [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).**

**Stockholders may request a copy of the Proxy Materials:**

1. **By internet – visit [www.proxy.com](http://www.proxy.com)**
2. **By telephone – 1-800-579-1639**
3. **By e-mail – [sendmaterial@proxyvote.com](mailto:sendmaterial@proxyvote.com)**

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 26, 2013 will be entitled to vote at the meeting. Shares of Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share, shares of Class B Common Stock are entitled to ten votes per share and shares of Class C Common Stock are entitled to twenty-five votes per share. At the close of business on April 26, 2013, there were issued and outstanding 5,969,132 shares of Common Stock held of record by approximately 2,059 stockholders, 146 shares of Class B Common Stock held of record by 11 stockholders and 382,513 shares of Class C Common Stock held of record by 3 stockholders. The shares of Class A Nonvoting Preferred Stock, 313,438 shares held of record by approximately 1,975 stockholders at the close of business on April 26, 2013, are not entitled to vote. Except for the shares of Class A Nonvoting Preferred Stock, there are no shares of Preferred Stock issued and outstanding.

Any proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised by delivery of a written instrument of revocation or a later dated proxy to the Secretary of the Company at the principal executive office of the Company or, while the meeting is in session, to the Secretary of the meeting, without, however, affecting any vote previously taken. The presence of a stockholder at the meeting will not operate to revoke his proxy. The casting of a ballot by a stockholder who is present at the meeting, however, will revoke his proxy, but only as to the matters on which the ballot is cast and not as to any matters on which he does not cast a ballot or as to matters previously voted upon.

Proxies received by management will be voted at the meeting or any adjournment thereof. EACH PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS MADE THEREIN BY THE PERSON GIVING THE PROXY. TO THE EXTENT NO CHOICE IS SPECIFIED, HOWEVER, THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR MANAGEMENT'S PROPOSALS. All of management's proposals have been unanimously approved by the Board of Directors.

## **1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT INFORMATION**

Five directors are to be elected at the annual meeting, to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified. It is intended that the accompanying proxy will be voted in favor of the following nominees to serve as directors unless the stockholder indicates to the contrary on the proxy. All of the nominees are currently directors. Management expects that each of the nominees will be available for election.

### **NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. (age 77), a nominee for Director, has been the Chairman of the Board and President of FONAR since its inception and Treasurer since February, 2001. Dr. Damadian received an M.D. degree in 1960 from Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, and a B.S. degree in mathematics from the University of Wisconsin in 1956. In addition, Dr. Damadian conducted post-graduate work at Harvard University, where he studied extensively in the fields of physics, mathematics and electronics. Dr. Damadian is a 1988 recipient of the National Medal of

Technology and in 1989 was inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame, for his contributions in conceiving and developing the application of magnetic resonance technology to medical applications including whole body scanning and diagnostic imaging. Dr. Damadian is also the director and sole officer of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Health Management Corporation of America ("HMCA").

Claudette J.V. Chan (age 75), a nominee for Director, has been a Director of FONAR since October 1987. She also has been the Secretary of FONAR since January, 2008. Mrs. Chan has been employed since 1992 by HMCA and its predecessor, Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. MR Scanning Centers Management Company, as "site inspector," in which capacity she is responsible for supervising and implementing standard procedures and policies for MRI scanning centers. From 1989 to 1994 Mrs. Chan was employed by St. Matthew's and St. Timothy's Neighborhood Center, Inc., as the director of volunteers in the "Meals on Wheels" program, a program which cares for the elderly. She received a bachelor of science degree in nursing from Cornell University in 1960. Mrs. Chan is the sister of Raymond V. Damadian.

Robert J. Janoff (age 85), a nominee for Director, has been a Director of FONAR since February, 1989. Mr. Janoff has been a self-employed New York State licensed private investigator for more than thirty-five years and was a Senior Adjustor in Empire Insurance Group for more than 15 years until retiring from that position on July 1, 1997. Mr. Janoff also served, from June 1985 to June 1991, as President of Action Data Management Strategies, Ltd., a supplier of computer programs for use by insurance companies. Mr. Janoff is a Director Emeritus of Harmony Heights of Oyster Bay, New York, which is a nonprofit residential school for girls with learning disabilities.

Charles N. O'Data (age 77), a nominee for Director, has been a Director of FONAR since February, 1998. From 1968 to 1997, Mr. O'Data was the Vice President for Development for Geneva College, a liberal arts college located in western Pennsylvania. In that capacity, he acted as the College's chief investment officer. His responsibilities included management of the College's endowment fund and fund raising. In July 1997, Mr. O'Data retired from Geneva College after 36 years of service to assume the position of National Sales Executive for SC Johnson Company's Professional Markets Group (a unit of SC Johnson Wax), and specialized in healthcare and education sales, a position he held until the spring of 1999. He founded The Beaver County Foundation, a Community Foundation, in 1992, and serves as its President. Mr. O'Data served as a director of Heritage Valley Health System, The Medical Center, Beaver for 25 years, three years as Chairman. Mr. O'Data is a graduate of Geneva College, where he received a B.S. degree in Economics in 1958.

Ronald G. Lehman (age 36), a nominee for Director, has been a Director of Fonar since April, 2012, when he was unanimously appointed by the remaining four Directors to fill the vacancy resulting from the death of former Director Robert Djerejian. From October, 2009 to the present, Mr. Lehman has served as Managing Director of Investment Banking with Bruderman Brothers, Inc., a private New York-based broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and which is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC). Mr. Lehman directly manages all facets of the firm's transaction processes, from deal origination, to sourcing capital, to negotiating deal structures, through documentation and closing. The firm provides buy and sell-side advisory, capital raising, and consulting services to lower middle-market companies. Mr. Lehman specializes in advising healthcare services companies and has recently completed several recapitalizations in the industry. He also participates in the firm's merchant banking investments and oversees many of these assignments. From May, 2008 to October, 2009, Mr. Lehman served as Senior Vice President of Acquisitions at



Health Diagnostics, LLC, where he managed the company's acquisition and corporate finance activities. From March, 2000 to May, 2008, Mr. Lehman worked for various Bruderman entities as a buy and sell-side advisor and as a principal in several private equity transactions. From September, 1998 to March, 2000, Mr. Lehman worked at Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and last held the position of Associate in their Global Custody Group. Mr. Lehman graduated from Columbia University with a B.A. in 1998.

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES

All of the nominees are presently directors of the Company. The five nominees will be elected to hold office for the ensuing year or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Of the five nominees, Messrs. Charles N. O'Data, Robert J. Janoff and Ronald G. Lehman are independent, as defined in the Securities and Exchange Commission Regulations and Nasdaq Market Place Rules. In making such determinations, there were no transactions, relationships or arrangements not disclosed in our SEC filings to be considered by the Board of Directors, in determining whether the director was independent.

## BOARD MEETINGS

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Board of Directors unanimously consented to take action in lieu of a meeting on two occasions, and the audit committee met four times.

The attendance of the Board of Directors at annual meetings is not required. The Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, however, attends the annual meeting of stockholders where he acts as Chairman of the Meeting.

Dr. Damadian receives no compensation for serving on the Board. The other directors are each paid \$20,000 per year in their capacities as directors. This is the sole compensation payable to the directors.

**Board Leadership Structure.** The current Board Chairman, Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, is also the current President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. In addition, although the Company has not selected a lead independent director, Charles N. O'Data, in his capacity as Chairman of the Audit Committee, effectively functions as such. The Company believes that the Company's current model of the combined Chairman/Chief Executive Officer role is the appropriate leadership structure for the Company at this time. The Company believes that each of the possible leadership structures for a board has its particular pros and cons, which must be considered in the context of the specific circumstances, culture and challenges facing a company, and that such consideration fall squarely on the shoulders of a company's board and necessitates a diversity of views and experiences. The combined Chairman/Chief Executive Officer model is a leadership model that has served our shareholders well since the inception of the Company.

The lead independent director, Charles N. O'Data, is the Chairman of the Audit Committee. As such he plays a leading role in the engagement of auditors and the review of the Company's financial statements. Under certain circumstances, he also serves as a contact point for employees.

The Company believes the combined Chairman/Chief Executive Officer position has certain advantages over other board leadership structures that continue to best meet the Company's current needs, including:

· Efficient communication between management and the Board;

· Clarity for the Company's stockholders on corporate leadership and accountability;

· The Chairman of the Board possessing the best knowledge of the Company's strategy, operations and financial conditions; and

§ Continuity in the Company's leadership, as Dr. Damadian founded the Company in 1978.

The Company's Board of Directors has an audit committee. There is no standing compensation committee, nominating committee or other committee of the Board.

In accordance with the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, the Board of Directors adopted a written charter for the audit committee which took effect in June, 2001 and was revised on November 17, 2004. All of the directors on the audit committee are independent.

Stockholders may communicate with directors by writing to them at the Company in accordance with the Company's corporate governance policies and code of conduct, or in any other manner the particular director may provide. Depending on the sensitivity and timing of a matter raised by a stockholder and the need for disclosure of matters to be made not to just one stockholder, but to the stockholders as a whole, it may not be possible for the director to reply to the stockholder.

Due to the shareholdings of the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, which total more than 50% of the voting power of the Company, the Company is a controlled company for purposes of NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c).

## AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors, is governed by a Board approved charter that contains, among other things, the Committee's membership requirements and responsibilities. The audit committee oversees the Company's accounting, financial reporting process, internal controls and audits, and consults with management and the independent public accountants on, among other items, matters related to the annual audit, the published financial statements and the accounting principles applied. As part of its duties, the audit committee appoints, evaluates and retains the Company's independent public accountants. It also maintains direct responsibility for the compensation, termination and oversight of the Company's independent public accountants and evaluates the independent public accountants' qualifications, performance and independence.

Financial Expert on Audit Committee: The Board has determined that Mr. Charles N. O'Data, who currently is a financial consultant to various entities and previously was the Vice President for Development for Geneva College, is the audit committee financial expert. The Board made a qualitative assessment of Mr. O'Data's level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including his formal education and experience.

**Board Oversight of Risk Management.** The Company faces risk in many different areas, including business strategy; government regulation; financial condition; health care compliance; product research and development; competition for talent; business vitality; operational efficiency; quality assurance; reputation; intellectual property; and trade secrets, among others. The oversight function is carried out in the quarterly and annual Audit Committee meetings and by communication and meetings with the Company's Chief Executive Officer, who also serves as Chairman of the Board and exercises the principal responsibility for oversight of risk management.

## AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The audit committee has (a) reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, (b) discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by SAS 61 (Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61) and (c) has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by Independence Standards Board, Standard No. 1 and has discussed with the independent accountants the independent accountant's independence.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions, the audit committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

The members of the audit committee are Messrs. Charles N. O'Data, Robert J. Janoff and Ronald G. Lehman. Messrs. O'Data, Janoff and Lehman are independent directors, as defined in the Securities and Exchange Commission

Regulations and Nasdaq Market Place Rules.

## **NOMINATING COMMITTEE**

The Board of Directors does not believe it requires a separate standing nominating committee because the Board of Directors is relatively small and can make the nominations acting as a whole. The Board does not have a policy with regard to director candidates recommended by stockholders because the absence of such recommendations makes a formal policy unnecessary. Historically, there usually has not been a need to identify new nominees in the absence of the resignation or death of an existing director. The remaining directors evaluate a new nominee based on his integrity, loyalty, competence and experience, and how his background complements that of the remaining directors.

Promoting diversity in the selection of nominees has not yet been considered. Traditionally, the Board has followed a policy of nondiscrimination and equal opportunity.

## **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

### **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION**

The Board of Directors does not believe it requires a separate standing compensation committee because the management, under the authority of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is best equipped to make compensation decisions. The Board reserves the right to change this policy at any time.

Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, who serves as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, participates in deliberation and the determination of executive officer and director compensation.

## **VOTE REQUIRED AND BOARD RECOMMENDATION**

The directors will be elected by the vote of a plurality of the votes represented at the meeting. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ALL OF THE NOMINEES FOR THE DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

## **INFORMATION REGARDING BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS, DIRECTORS, AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's common shares by the nominees for directors, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and the directors and executive officers as a group as of April 26, 2013.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. c/o FONAR Corporation Melville, New York Nominee for Director, Director, President, PEO, PFO 5% Stockholder		
Common Stock	120,302	2.02 %
Class C Stock	382,447	99.98 %
Class A Preferred	19,093	6.09 %
Claudette Chan Nominee for Director, Director and Secretary		
Common Stock	106	*
Class A Preferred	32	*
Robert J. Janoff Nominee for Director and Director		
Common Stock	3,000	*
Class A Preferred	79	*
Charles N. O'Data Nominee for Director and Director		
Common Stock	528	*
Ronald G. Lehman, Nominee for Director and Director		
Common Stock	0	*
All Officers, Directors and Nominees as a Group (6 persons)		
Common Stock	123,936	2.08 %
Class C Stock	382,447	99.98 %
Class A Preferred	19,204	6.13 %
* Less than one percent		

1. Address provided for each beneficial owner owning more than five percent of the voting securities of the Company.

## **CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

See Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 which is specifically incorporated by reference herein. A copy of the Form 10-K is included in the Annual Report to Stockholders which is being sent to the Company's stockholders with this Proxy Statement.)

The Company believes that each of the related transactions described therein were on terms at least as favorable to the Company as were available from non-affiliated parties.

## **COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

With the exception of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, the compensation of the Company's executive officers is based on a combination of salary and bonuses based on performance. Decisions concerning compensation are made on a case by case basis and not pursuant to standardized formulas, programs, policies or criteria. Dr. Damadian, who serves as both the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, receives compensation which consists only of a salary, which has remained at modest levels to conserve funds. The Board of Directors does not have a compensation committee and does not believe such a committee is required, in view of the manner in which compensation matters are handled. Dr. Raymond V. Damadian and Claudette J.V. Chan are executive officers as well as members of the Board of Directors. Dr. Damadian, who also has voting control of the Company and serves as Chairman of the Board and President, participates in the determination of executive compensation for the Company's officers.

As noted above, the Company's compensation policy is primarily based upon the practice of pay-for-performance. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a limitation on the deductibility of nonperformance-based compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Principal Executive Officer. No officer of the Company received compensation in excess of \$1 million in fiscal 2012 or in any previous fiscal year. The Board currently believes that the Company should be able to continue to manage its executive compensation program for others so as to preserve the related federal income tax deductions.

The Company does not believe that there are any risks arising from its compensation policies and practices for its employees that are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company maintains no pension or deferred compensation plans except for a noncontributory 401(k) plan.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table discloses compensation received for the three years ended June 30, 2012 by the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock and Option Awards	Plans, Pension, Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
Raymond V. Damadian	2012	\$36,111.42	0	0	0	0	\$3,6111.42
Chairman of the Board; President; Acting Principal Executive Officer;	2011	35,934.29	0	0	0	0	\$35,934.29
Acting Principal	2010	\$57,358.12	0	0	0	0	\$57,358.12

No executive officer has a written or unwritten employment agreement with the Company. Salaries, bonuses

No executive officer has a written or unwritten employment agreement with the Company. Salaries, bonuses and discretionary stock and stock option awards comprise the full amount of total compensation. The only exceptions are commissions, based on a percentage of the sales prices, payable to salesmen.

#### Compensation Pursuant to Stock Options and SAR Grants

No stock options or stock appreciation rights were granted to the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer during fiscal 2012.

#### Option/SAR Exercises and Year End Values

No options or stock appreciation rights were exercised by the Company's Chief Executive Officer during fiscal 2012. The Company's Chief Executive Officer did not hold any unexercised stock options or stock appreciation rights at the end of fiscal 2012.



DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table shows the compensation paid to the Directors for fiscal 2012:

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Stock awards (\$)	Option awards (\$)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$)	Nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (\$)	All other compensation (\$)	Total(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
A. Claudette J.V. Chan	\$20,000.24	0	0	0	0	0	\$20,000.24
B. Charles N. O'Data	\$20,000.24	0	0	0	0	0	\$20,000.24
C. Robert Janoff	\$20,000.24	0	0	0	0	0	\$20,000.24
D. Ronald G. Lehman	\$20,000.24	0	0	0	0	0	\$20,000.24

With the exception of Dr. Damadian who receives no compensation for serving as a director, each director is entitled to receive \$20,000 per annum for his or her services as a director of the Company, including service on any committee of the Board of Directors. No other fees are paid to the directors for their services as directors of the Company.

**2. ADVISORY VOTE ON COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following proposal provides the Company's stockholders with an opportunity to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement. In considering your vote, you may wish to review with care the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section beginning on page 9, which provides details as to the Company's compensation policies, procedures and decisions, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables, notes and narrative disclosures under the executive compensation section of this proxy statement. This vote is not intended to address any specific element of the Company's executive compensation program, but rather the overall compensation program for the Company's named executive officers.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Fonar Corporation (the “Corporation”) approve, on an advisory basis, the overall compensation of the Corporation’s named executive officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Summary Compensation Table and related compensation tables, notes and narrative discussion in this Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR this resolution because it believes that the policies and practices described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis are effective in achieving the Company’s goals of rewarding sustained financial and operating performance and leadership excellence and aligning the executives’ long-term interests with those of the stockholders, as well as motivating the executives to remain with the Company for long and productive careers.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a “say-on-pay” resolution, is non-binding on the Board of Directors. Although non-binding, the Board will review and consider the voting results when evaluating our executive compensation program.

### **3. ADVISORY VOTE ON FREQUENCY OF AN ADVISORY VOTE ON COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY’S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following proposal provides the Company’s stockholders with an opportunity to vote, on an advisory basis, on the frequency of the stockholders’ advisory vote on the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers. On the proxy card, stockholders will be able to select one of four options for this proposal: one year; two years; three years; or abstain. Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act requires the Company to hold at least once every six years this advisory stockholder vote on the frequency of the stockholders’ advisory vote on executive compensation.

After careful consideration of this proposal, the Company’s Board of Directors recommends at this time that an advisory vote on executive compensation occur each year. The Board believes that holding the advisory vote annually will provide Management and the Board with more frequent stockholder feedback on compensation disclosures. You are not voting to approve or disapprove the Board of Directors’ recommendation for an annual vote. Rather, you are being asked to select the frequency of advisory stockholder votes on executive compensation that is preferable to you.

The Board of Directors will review and take the voting results of this proposal into account in making a determination concerning the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation. However, this advisory vote is not binding upon the Board of the Company and the Board may decide in the future to conduct the advisory vote on executive compensation on a less frequent basis.

#### **Recommendation of the Board**

The Board of Directors recommends at this time that stockholders vote to conduct future advisory votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers EVERY YEAR.

#### **4. RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF AUDITORS**

The Board of Directors selected Marcum LLP, as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013. The stockholders will be asked to ratify this action by the Board. Marcum LLP were the Company's auditors for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012.

One or more representatives of Marcum LLP, are expected to be present at the Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The affirmative vote of shares holding a majority of the votes represented at the meeting is required to ratify the selection of auditors by the Board of Directors. **THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL.**

#### **AUDIT FEES**

The aggregate fees billed by Marcum LLP for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 were \$446,417.

The aggregate fees billed by Marcum LLP for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 were \$448,482.

All work on the audits in each of the last two fiscal years was performed by full-time permanent employees of Marcum LLP.

#### **AUDIT-RELATED FEES**

No audit-related fees were billed by Marcum LLP for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 for services related to the audit or review of our financial statements that are not included under the caption "AUDIT FEES".

#### TAX FEES

The aggregate fees billed by Marcum LLP for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 were \$93,017 and \$106,107, respectively.

#### ALL OTHER FEES

The aggregate fees billed by Marcum LLP for all other services rendered by them during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 were \$7,597 and \$63,138, respectively, which included services in connection with the registration of securities, employee benefit plans and reviews and procedures that we requested Marcum LLP to undertake to provide assurances on matters not required by laws or regulations.

No fees were billed by Marcum LLP for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011 for designing, operating, supervising or implementing any of our financial information systems or any hardware or software systems for our financial information.

Since January 1, 2003, the audit committee has adopted policies and procedures for pre-approving all non-audit work performed by its auditors. Specifically, the committee must pre-approve the use of the auditors for all such services. The audit committee has pre-approved all non-audit work since that time and in making its determination has considered whether the provision of such services was compatible with the independence of the auditors.

The Company's audit committee believes that the provision by Marcum LLP of services in addition to audit services in fiscal 2012 and 2011 were compatible with maintaining their independence. The services to be performed are presented by Marcum LLP to the committee or its chairman. The matter is then evaluated and a decision made.

#### PROPOSALS OF STOCKHOLDERS

Proposals of stockholders intended to be presented at next year's annual meeting of stockholders must be received by the Company no later than January 15, 2014 to be included in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy related to that meeting.

## **SOLICITATION OF PROXIES**

The proxy accompanying this proxy statement is solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. Proxies may be solicited by officers, directors, and regular supervisory and executive employees of the Company, none of whom will receive any additional compensation for their services. Such solicitations may be made personally, or by mail, e-mail, facsimile, telephone, telegraph, or messenger. The Company will pay persons holding shares of stock in their names or in the names of nominees, but not owning such shares beneficially, such as brokerage houses, banks, and other fiduciaries, for the expense of forwarding solicitation materials to their principals. All of the costs of solicitation of proxies will be paid by the Company.

## **VOTING TABULATION**

The election of the Company's directors requires a plurality of the votes represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. The ratification of proposals and the selection of auditors requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the meeting will be tabulated by the Company.

A stockholder who abstains from voting on any or all proposals will be included in the number of shareholders present at the meeting for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Abstentions will not be counted either in favor of or against the election of the nominees or other proposals. Under the rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, brokers holding stock for the accounts of their clients who have not been given specific voting instructions as to a matter by their clients in certain cases may vote their clients' proxies in their own discretion. Where a proposal requires a majority of the votes present for its passage, an abstention or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a negative vote.

## **OTHER MATTERS**

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any other business before the meeting, and so far as is known to the Board, no matters are to be brought before the meeting except as specified in the notice of the meeting. However, as to any other business which may properly come before the meeting, it is intended that proxies, in the form enclosed, will be voted in respect thereof in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting such proxies, where the authorization to do so has been granted.

DATED: Melville, New York, May 15, 2013

**A COPY OF THE COMPANY'S FORM 10-K REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012, CONTAINING INFORMATION ON OPERATIONS, FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. PLEASE WRITE TO:**

**INVESTOR RELATIONS DEPARTMENT**

**FONAR CORPORATION**

**110 MARCUS DRIVE**

**MELVILLE, NEW YORK 11747**

**\*\*\* Exercise Your *Right to Vote* \*\*\***

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the  
Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on (date).**

**Meeting Information**

**Meeting Type:** Annual Meeting

**For holders as of:** April 26, 2013

**Date:** June 24, 2013 Time: 10:00 AM EDT

**FONAR  
CORPORATION**

**Location:** Double Tree Hotel

Wilmington Downtown

700 King Street

Wilmington Delaware 19801

**FONAR  
CORPORATION**

**You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.**

**110 MARCUS  
DRIVE**

**This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at [ww.proxyvote.com](http://ww.proxyvote.com) or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).**

**MELVILLE, NY  
11747**

**We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.**

**See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.**

**--- Before You Vote ---**

**How to Access the Proxy Materials**

**Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:**

1. Annual Report
2. Notice & Proxy Statement

**How to View Online:**

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow ☞XXXXXXXXXXXX

(located on the following page) and visit: [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).

**How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:**

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- 1) BY INTERNET: [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com)
- 2) BY TELEPHONE: I-800-579-1639
- 3) BY E-MAIL\*: [sendmaterial@proxyvote.com](mailto:sendmaterial@proxyvote.com)

\* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow ☞XXXXXXXXXXXX (located on the following page) in the subject line.

**--How to Vote --**

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

**Vote In Person:** Many shareholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials for any special requirements for meeting attendance. At the meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote these shares.

**Vote By Internet:** To vote now by Internet, go to [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com). Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow ☞XXXXXXXXXXXX available and follow the instructions.

**Vote By Mail:** You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card.





**Voting Items**

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:**

1. Election of Directors

**Nominees**

01 Raymond V. Damadian 02 Claudette J. V. Chan 03 Robert J. Janoff 04 Charles N. O'Data 05 Ronald G. Lehman

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposal 2.**

2. To approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation.

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR 1 YEAR on the following proposal:**

3. To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of executive compensation votes.

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 4 and 5.**

4. To ratify the selection of Marcum LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

5. In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

**FORM OF PROXY**

**FONAR CORPORATION**

**119 MARCUS DRIVE VOTE BY INTERNET – WWW.PROXYVOTE.COM**

**MELVILLE, NY 11747 Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.**

**ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS**

**If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.**

Investor Address Line 1

**VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903**

Investor Address Line 2

**Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.**

Investor Address Line 3

Investor Address Line 4

Investor Address Line 5

**VOTE BY MAIL**

John Sample

**Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.**

1234 ANYWHERE  
STREET

ANY CITY, ON A1A 1A1

**TO VOTE, MARK  
BLOCKS IN BLUE OR  
BLACK INK AS  
FOLLOWS**

**KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS**

**DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY**

**THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED**

The Board of Directors recommends you vote

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:**

**For All Withhold All For All Except**

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark "For All Except" and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

1. Election of Directors

**Nominees**

01 Raymond V. Damadian 02 Claudette J. V. Chan 03 Robert J. Janoff 04 Charles N. O'Data 05 Ronald G. Lehman

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposal 2.**

2 To approve, by non-binding vote, executive compensation.

**For Against Abstain**

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR 1 YEAR on the following proposal:**

3 To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of executive compensation votes.

**1 2 3 years Abstain  
year years**

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 4 and 5.**

4 To ratify the selection of Marcum LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

**For Against Abstain**

5 In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

**For Against Abstain**

**Investor Address  
Line 1**

**Investor Address  
Line 2**

**Investor Address  
Line 3**

**Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.**

**Investor Address  
Line 4**

**Investor Address  
Line 5**

**John Sample**

**1234  
ANYWHERE  
STREET**

**ANY CITY, ON  
A1A 1A1**

**Signature (PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX)**

**Signature (Join  
Owners)  
SHARES**

**CUSIP #**

**SEQUENCE #**

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Annual Report, Notice & Proxy Statement is/are available at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com) .

**FONAR CORPORATION**

**Annual Meeting of Stockholders**

**June 24, 2013 10:00 AM**

**This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors**

The undersigned, a stockholder of Fonar Corporation (the "Company"), hereby revoking any proxy heretofore given, does hereby appoint Raymond V. Damadian, Ronald G. Lehman, Luciano Bonanni, Kurt Reimann, Daniel Culver and Ellen Yeske, and each of them, proxies with full power of substitution, for and in the name of the undersigned to attend the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Company to be held at the Double Tree Hotel, Wilmington Downtown, 700 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware on June 24, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment(s) thereof, and there to vote upon all matters specified in the notice of said meeting, as set forth herein, and upon such other business as may properly and lawfully come before the meeting, all shares of stock of the Company which the undersigned would be entitled to vote if personally present at said meeting.

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN BY THE UNDERSIGNED STOCKHOLDER. IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, SUCH SHARES WILL BE VOTED FOR PROPOSALS ONE THROUGH THREE.

**Continued and to be signed on reverse side**

## **ANNUAL REPORT**

### **FONAR PRESIDENT'S LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS**

**May 2013**

Dear Shareholders:

I am pleased to report to our shareholders that business for FONAR is robust and healthy. Our prospects for the future are perhaps even more promising. Fiscal 2012 was an outstanding year where we reported record profits from operations, net income doubling over the prior year and revenues advancing at a healthy 19%. Indeed, the difficulties we faced due to the 2008 banking fiasco are now long behind us.

Fiscal 2013 promises to be even better. At the conclusion of our second fiscal quarter at December 31, 2012, FONAR had 12 straight quarters of net income from operations and most impressive the past six quarters each showed profitability greater than \$1.5 million.

Our financial highlights include that FONAR has earned \$0.91 during fiscal 2012 and \$0.43 for the first six months of fiscal 2013 of diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders.

The Company stock, which is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Markets under the symbol FONR, has had substantial interest by institutions. In fact, institutional ownership for the company has grown from 3% at December 31, 2011 to over 21% one year later. This is a seven-fold increase in just one year! This may be a contributing reason why the stock price has continued to move up.

I am very pleased with these results. Our Company has always had great promise for its investors but now it has been achieving long sought goals.

#### **A Brief Overview of FONAR**

FONAR is the Original MRI Company – the first-ever to produce an MRI scanner. With great pride, we call ourselves the inventor of the MRI scanner. We incorporated in 1978 and introduced the first commercial MRI scanner (the QED 80) in 1980. We have installed nearly 300 recumbent-OPEN MRIs and 150 FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRIs in 29 states, Puerto Rico, and eleven foreign countries. The company, headquartered on Long Island, New York, became a publicly traded company in 1981.

Our primary product is the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI (also known as the STAND-UP® MRI), the only whole-body MRI that performs Position™ Imaging (pMRI™) and scans patients in numerous weight-bearing positions, i.e. standing, sitting, bending, in flexion and extension, as well as the conventional lie-down position. The FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI often detects patients' problems that lie-down MRIs can't.

#### **Only the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI Offers a Nearly Perfect Patient Experience**

The FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI has a near-zero claustrophobic rejection rate by patients. Approximately 85% of patients are scanned sitting while they watch a 42" flat-screen TV. It is not unusual for patients in need of an MRI scan to drive long distances to the nearest Upright® MRI in order to avoid being scanned in one of our competitor's highly claustrophobic "tube" or "tunnel" MRIs. This alone is a major reason for the success of this scanner over the conventional recumbent-only MRI technology, but there is much more to offer medically.

## **FONAR Plan to Cut Costs and Focus on Revenue Producing Segments**

FONAR has been able to withstand the difficult era of the banking calamity by making some deep cost-saving cuts and by redirecting its resources to two of its main divisions – the Field Service Division and the company’s MRI facility management subsidiary, Health Management Corporation of America (HMCA). Together they have provided a regular, predictable stream of income, with HMCA, in particular, experiencing steady growth from one year to the next.

The key to HMCA’s success is that nearly every one of the diagnostic imaging centers it manages is equipped with the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI, also known as the STAND-UP® MRI. The clinical importance of Position-of-Symptoms MRI and Weight-Bearing MRI has been steadily gaining momentum in the medical community. And, of course, the extraordinarily spacious, “non-claustrophobic”, patient environment of the scanner has enormous appeal among patients everywhere. HMCA-managed MRI facilities completed roughly 30,000 MRI scans in 2009; 36,000 MRI scans in 2010; 41,000 in 2011; and 45,000 in 2012.

### **Key Acquisition Leads to An Estimated Increase of Patient Throughput by 250%**

On March 5 of this year, FONAR and its subsidiary HMCA acquired a majority interest (50.5%) in a newly formed limited liability company, Health Diagnostics Management (HDM). Prior to the acquisition, HMCA was managing 11 STAND-UP® MRI diagnostic centers – 8 in New York and 3 in Florida, collectively completing 45,000 scans in 2012. In one giant step, HMCA grew by fourteen (14) MRI facilities under HMCA management - 10 in New York and 4 in Florida, 12 of them equipped with STAND-UP® MRIs. Those 14 centers completed 68,000 MRI scans in 2012. Together the two groups of centers completed 113,000 scans in 2012, a strong indicator of the patient throughput run rate that is expected for HMCA going forward.

Of course, I am delighted with this acquisition. By combining the resources of HMCA and HDM, we will enjoy the benefits of 1) economies of scale, 2) shared administrative and technical expertise and capabilities, and 3) joint marketing strategies. HMCA’s 11 STAND-UP® MRIs together with HDM’s 12 form a network of 23 STAND-UP® MRIs, the largest in the world.

But we’re not finished growing. We will continue to pursue additional management contracts, primarily in New York and Florida. A new HMCA-managed center is expected to open in New York by the end of the year.

### **FONAR UPRIGHT® MRI Opens a New Medical Frontier With Cinematography of Upright CSF Flow**

The FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI imaging has a new and powerful imaging tool that makes motion pictures of CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) flow as it moves in and out of the vertically positioned brain, i.e. as it flows out of the lateral ventricles of the vertical weight-bearing brain, down through the Foramen of Monro into the 3rd ventricle, down the Aqueduct of Sylvius into the 4th ventricle and down the full length of the spinal canal and back up into the vertical weight-bearing brain. This new vertical position imaging technology, created and introduced by FONAR's UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI, opens a new frontier in clinical medicine.

This cinematography of CSF flow in the Upright position, in and out of the brain, has proven uniquely sensitive to the visualization of any impairments of CSF flow, often indicating the etiology of cervical anatomy dislocation that might be contributing to the symptoms of the patient.

In football, for example, "U.S.-style football sends more athletes to emergency rooms for neck injuries than ice hockey or soccer according to a new study" (WebMD, *Health News*, April 20, 2005). For the period 1990 to 1999, "there were an estimated 5,038 neck injuries from ice hockey, 19,341 from soccer, and 114,706 from American football." "The rates for total neck injuries and combined neck contusions, sprains or strains were higher for (American) football than for ice hockey, or soccer in all years for which data were available" (*Br. J. Sports Med.*



2005;39:189).

Recognizing that such neck injuries may result in obstructions of the normal vertical cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) flow from the brain down the spinal column to the sacrum and back into the brain, it is critical that symptomatic professional athletes, football players, in particular, have FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI examinations of their head and neck and vertical cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) flow cinematography of the cranio-cervical junction and cervical spine to assure that CSF is flowing up and down the spinal column normally and that there are no obstructions to flow.

### **Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus (NPH) and Ventriculomegaly**

Cinematography of CSF flow allows visualization of a neurologic syndrome called normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH). It is determined when a measurement by lumbar puncture of CSF pressure in the lumbar spinal canal is found to be normal. It is determined to be hydrocephalus when the ventriculomegaly is observed on an MRI. However, this is a quandary. What explains the coexistence of these two diametrically opposed conditions? If there is no measured increase in intracranial pressure (ICP), what accounts for the observed ventriculomegaly? Among the proposed explanations for the normal measured pressure of NPH is the possibility that the CSF pressure measurement in the lumbar canal is being obtained below a higher-up obstruction in the spinal canal (e.g. a cervical CSF flow obstruction) and is not therefore elevated, while the CSF pressure above the obstruction (e.g. in the brain) is elevated but not readily measured. This dilemma is now addressable by FONAR's new UPRIGHT® CSF flow ciné technology, which can readily image the integrity of CSF flow throughout the full length of the spinal canal and quantify it. Thus the CSF flow obstructions causing the ICP (intracranial pressure) increases responsible for the hydrocephic ventriculomegalies can now be directly visualized so they can be therapeutically addressed surgically or non-surgically.

A common current treatment of NPH is the VP (Ventriculo-Peritoneal) shunt. While such shunts are effective in relieving many of the dire symptoms of NPH, their current difficulty is their tendency to become obstructed within two years of installation (50%). This difficulty is addressable by the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI, since the vertical CSF flows of these VP shunts can now be visualized and monitored by FONAR's UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ CSF video technology, to enable a shunt maintenance program. Signs of any shunt flow impairment can now be detected as soon as they occur, to assure that VP shunt flow is being optimally maintained.

### **A Case-Control Study of Cerebellar Tonsillar Ectopia [Chiari] and Head/Neck Trauma [Whiplash]**

We reported in July 2010 that the medical journal "Brain Injury" published a significant study of 1200 neck pain patients comparing the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI to a conventional recumbent MRI and the ability to diagnose whiplash trauma from a motor vehicle accident. Four groups, including two control groups, were used and the reading radiologists were blinded as to who belonged to which group. Recumbent MRI images were obtained in a conventional recumbent MRI and the upright images were obtained in the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI aka The STAND-UP® MRI. The "Brain Injury" study showed that the fallen cerebellar tonsils (CTE) caused by motor vehicle whiplash injuries were being missed 60% of the time where the patient was scanned recumbent-only in a conventional "lie-down MRI" and not scanned upright. As a result of this study, the medical evidence indicated that the fallen cerebellar tonsils of a whiplash injury patient can now be reliably visualized by using the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI. I believe that this study adds significant substance to the proposal that this needs to be the "new standard of care" for whiplash injury patients.

### **Breakthrough in the Diagnoses of Multiple Sclerosis**

In February 2011, I scanned a patient who had multiple sclerosis (MS). So I scanned her head and neck including CSF Flow studies in the Upright and recumbent positions. I noticed that her MS lesions seemed to be directly connected to the CSF in the adjacent brain ventricles. I reviewed the literature and then scanned seven other patients with MS. The

first important observation was that all eight MS patients who were scanned, as they arrived with no patient selectivity of any kind having been exercised, had prior histories of serious trauma to the neck.

On September 20, 2011, I and co-author, FONAR scientist David Chu, PhD., published a paper titled "The Possible Role of Cranio-Cervical Trauma and Abnormal CSF Hydrodynamics in the Genesis of Multiple Sclerosis," in the journal *Physiological Chemistry and Physics and Medical NMR* (Sept. 20, 2011, 41: 1-17).

We reported a possible breakthrough in the etiology of multiple sclerosis (MS) based on observations of those eight patients and our unique UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ (STAND-UP®) MRI. The findings revealed that the cause of multiple sclerosis may be biomechanical and related to earlier trauma to the neck, which resulted in the obstruction of the flow of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) produced in the central anatomic structures of the brain known as the ventricles. Since the ventricles produce a large volume of CSF each day (500 cc), an obstruction can result in increases in intracranial pressure (ICP) which in turn can result in leakage of the CSF into the surrounding brain tissue. Since the CSF contains approximately 300 polypeptides, 9 of which are antigenic and could be the sources of the antigens known to stimulate the auto-immune reaction (antigen-antibody complexing) responsible for generating the MS lesions seen in the MRIs of the brain of MS patients.

The complete study in which the diagnostic breakthrough was reported can be viewed at [www.fonar.com/pdf/PCP41\\_damadian.pdf](http://www.fonar.com/pdf/PCP41_damadian.pdf). To be found at [www.fonar.com](http://www.fonar.com) are images related to the study.

Most recently there is a published report (Magnano C., et al., "Cine Cerebrospinal Fluid Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis", *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 2012 Oct;36(4):825-34. doi: 10.1002/jmri. 23730. Epub 2012 Jun 25) corroborating our findings of the presence of CSF flow obstructions in MS patients. In their study of 67 MS patients, the authors reported significant obstruction of CSF flow in the Aqueduct of Sylvius in 67 MS patients as well as the simultaneous heightening of CSF flow during systole in these patients. In addition, there is also a newly published report of results that appears to confirm CSF "leakages" as playing a significant role in the genesis of multiple sclerosis. As we had pointed out, the study reports a generalized increase in the sodium content of the brain tissues of patients with multiple sclerosis (*Radiology*, July 17, 2012). This finding would be consistent with the CSF "leakages" seen in MS and reported in *Physiol. Chem. Phys. & Med. NMR*, Sept. 20, 2011, 41: pp 1-17. The significant sodium content of "leaked" CSF (140mm/L) is likely to be the source of the elevated sodium content that was observed in the brain tissues of the multiple sclerosis patients studied.

### **An Advancement of Image Guided Therapy**

We used the UPRIGHT® MRI to view the flow of cerebrospinal fluid in and out of the brain with the patients scanned Upright and also scanned lying down. The UPRIGHT® MRI also revealed that these obstructions were the result of structural deformities of the cervical spine, induced by trauma earlier in life. The findings are based on viewing the real-time flow of cerebrospinal fluid in a series of the eight randomly chosen patients with multiple sclerosis. These invaluable dual observations have only been possible since the invention by FONAR of an MRI capable of imaging the patient Upright.

One of the eight MS patients in the study was treated by Dr. Scott Rosa, DC, BCAA, Trauma Imaging Foundation, Rock Hill, NY using his image guided (UPRIGHT® MRI), patented, proprietary, non-invasive method. Her symptoms subsided. FONAR reported on the case study on November 2, 2011. It appears that the biomechanical barriers seen on her images, i.e. cerebellar tonsillar ectopia (CTE), were responsible for the significant CSF "leakages" visualized on her brain MRIs. The observed CSF "leakages" were directly connected to her MRI visualized MS lesions, suggesting the possibility that the CSF "leakages" were playing a role in generating her MS lesions. Dr. Rosa eliminated the biomechanical barrier which allowed CSF to flow freely, and subsequently the patient's symptoms subsided. The patient is currently being maintained free of MS symptoms (vertigo and vomiting on recumbency) with continued care.

Accordingly, in the minds of the researchers who performed the vertical position examinations of these MS patients, there now exists a genuine hope that if MS patients can have their vertical position CSF flow and intracranial pressure (ICP) monitored and restored to normal, there is the prospect that these multiple sclerosis patients can be improved.

It is exciting that our FONAR UPRIGHT® MRI may now be responsible for helping out patients with severe illness and the prospect of being able to deliver these benefits to the patient non-invasively.

### **The Cranio-Cervical Syndrome (CCS)**

One deficiency of the current universal MRI scanner protocols for imaging the neck came to our attention in the course of these UPRIGHT® positional studies of patients. Current MRI protocols of the neck do not provide axial images of the cervical spine above the C2/C3 cervical junction, i.e. axial cuts of the cranio-cervical junction (CCJ) that visualize the axial positioning of C-1 and C-2. These key axial images of the cranio-cervical junction are therefore not available for two of the seven vertebra of the cervical spine in a conventional MR scan of the cervical spine. The FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI has therefore added this key cranio-cervical junction (CCJ) scan to its cervical spine scanning protocol. The C1/C2 junction provides for a 70% rotation of the head and neck while the cerebral C1 junction only enables a 40% rotation. The C1/C2 rotation of the skull enabled by the rotary stylus or dens, provided by C-2 (the Axis) is further enabled by the rotation enabling alar and transverse ligaments that are increasingly being recognized as key structures in cranio-cervical mobility, which when traumatized can result in cervical junction malalignment and the obstruction of CSF flow. We have named the syndrome resulting from these cervical malalignments the Cranio-Cervical Syndrome (CCS).

This past April 6<sup>th</sup> we held in Manhattan, Symposium 2013 "The Cranio-Cervical Syndrome (CCS): The Vulnerability of the Human Neck and Its Impact on Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) Flow." Its principal purpose was to bring the existence of this Cranio-Cervical Syndrome and its pandemic dimension ["1.2 million whiplash injuries per year per 315 million U. S. population" - U.S. Census Bureau Jan. 2013: Pub Med Central (PMC) – National Institutes of Health: ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc2684148](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc2684148))] to the attention of the medical community.

The scheduled speakers were myself and:

William G. Bradley, Jr, MD, PhD, FACR, Professor and Chair, Department of Radiology, University of California San Diego and Author of "Magnetic Resonance Imaging," Mosby, Principal Textbook of MRI

Scott Rosa, DC, BCO, Executive Director Trauma Imaging Foundation, Chairman of Research, Upper Cervical Council, Vice President, R. W. Sweat Research Foundation

Joseph C. Maroon, MD, FACS, Heindl Scholar, Professor and Vice Chairman, Department of Neurosurgery, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Team Neurosurgeon Pittsburgh Steelers

Francis W. Smith, MD, Consultant Radiologist, Grampian University Hospitals NHS Trust, Scotland, UK

Noam Alperin, PhD, VC for Research, Department of Radiology, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami

And last, but not least, Garo Yepremian, President – The Garo Yepremian Foundation, 2 - Time NFL Super Bowl Champion with the Miami Dolphins

In addition, an unscheduled guest presented. Joel I. Franck, MD, Neurosurgery, Bay Neurosurgical & Spinal Institute, reported to have treated, often surgically, approximately 50 patients with Cranio-Cervical Syndrome.

Symposium 2013 was recorded by video and audio. Soon the conference will be able to be accessed on the Internet for the benefit of the medical community and its patients.

### **UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI Sales and Installations**

The Company continues to market its FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI. One scanner sale that occurred in August of last year has now been installed in Texarkana, TX. Other installations during the past year occurred in Milwaukee, WI and Hagerstown, MD. Soon, installations in London, UK, St. Louis, MO, and Wantagh, NY will be completed.

I am committed to seeing to it that the advantages of this new FONAR UPRIGHT® technology be brought to the benefit of as many persons in need of it, as quickly as possible.

### **Conclusion**

A result of FONAR's multiple sclerosis findings and the new understanding of the role that cervical trauma plays in the etiology of disease, it is imperative to certify that the sustained neck or head injuries of professional athletes such as NFL football players, whiplash patients and others with severe neck trauma, have not resulted in any obstructions of CSF flow or heightened ICP, which would eventually lead to the symptomatology we have reported as related to the Cranio-Cervical Syndrome. This in turn will lead to a resurgence of FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI sales.

In conclusion, the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI is a truly unique technology producing exquisite images in all positions of the body. Therefore, in addition to providing all the routine scans of the conventional lie-down-only MRI, it not only yields a whole new treasure of new medical applications in such calamitous medical categories as multiple sclerosis, and other dementia, but it also possesses the strong potential to provide fresh new insights into the debilitating chronic consequences of sports injuries, the current epidemic of automobile whiplash injuries (approximately 1 million/year in the U.S.), low back injuries, pediatric disabilities and pathologies in need of pediatric imaging, and many more yet to be unearthed.

The Company has installed 157 UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI scanners and they have performed approximately 2.75 million UPRIGHT® MRI scans. We continue to help thousands upon thousands of patients across the country, even across the globe, with the extraordinary diagnostic power and unmatched comfort of the FONAR UPRIGHT®, Weight-Bearing, Multi-Position™ MRI. Behind the scenes we have maintained our product for our users, improved it, and discovered exciting new applications that only our product can provide.

I remain grateful to our stockholders, customers and employees for their loyal support.

Sincerely,

Raymond V. Damadian

President and Chairman

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-K/A**

---

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [Fee Required]

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [No Fee Required]

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 0-10248

---

**FONAR CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State of incorporation)

110 Marcus Drive, Melville, New York

Address of principal executive offices)

11-2464137

(IRS Employer Identification

Number)

11747

(Zip Code)

(631) 694-2929

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.0001 per share

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

---

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes \_\_\_ No X

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes \_\_\_ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No \_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes X No \_\_\_

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers, pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K, §229.405 of this Chapter, is not contained, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this 10-K or any amendment to the Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer \_\_\_ Accelerated filer \_\_\_ Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_ Smaller reporting company X

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes \_\_\_ No X

The aggregate market value of the shares of Common Stock held by non-affiliates as of December 31, 2011 based on the closing price of \$1.70 per share on such date as reported on the NASDAQ System, was approximately \$9.6 million. The other outstanding classes do not have a readily determinable market value.

As of September 6, 2012, 5,901,262 shares of Common Stock, 158 shares of Class B Common Stock, 382,513 shares of Class C Common Stock and 313,438 shares of Class A Non-voting Preferred Stock of the registrant were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

REASON FOR AMENDMENT: Adding XBRL Files

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Fonar Corporation, sometimes referred to as the "Company" or "Fonar", is a Delaware corporation which was incorporated on July 17, 1978. Our address is 110 Marcus Drive, Melville, New York 11747 and our telephone number is 631-694-2929. Fonar also maintains a WEB site at [www.fonar.com](http://www.fonar.com). Fonar provides copies of its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and amendments to these reports to stockholders on request.

We conduct our business in two segments. Our medical equipment segment is conducted directly through Fonar. Our physician management and diagnostic services segment is conducted through our subsidiary Health Management Corporation of America, which has assigned its assets and liabilities for a controlling interest in a limited liability company, Imperial Management Services, LLC. This new entity includes outside investors.

MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SEGMENT

Fonar is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and servicing magnetic resonance imaging, also referred to as "MRI" or "MR" scanners, which utilize MRI technology for the detection and diagnosis of human disease, abnormalities, other medical conditions and injuries. Fonar's founders built the first scanner in 1977 and Fonar introduced the first commercial MRI scanner in 1980. Fonar is also the originator of the iron-core non-superconductive and permanent magnet technology.



Fonar's iron frame technology made Fonar the originator of "open" MRI scanners. We introduced the first "open" MRI in 1980. Since that time we have concentrated on further application of our "open" MRI, introducing most recently the Upright® Multi-Position™ MRI scanner (also referred to as the "Upright®" or "Stand-Up®" MRI scanner) and the Fonar 360™ MRI scanner.

The product we are now most vigorously promoting is our Upright® MRI. Our patented Upright® MRI is unique in the industry in that it allows patients to be scanned in fully weight-bearing conditions, such as standing, sitting or bending in any position that causes symptoms. This means that an abnormality or injury, such as a slipped disk can be visualized where it may not have been with the patient lying down. We have introduced the name "Upright®" as an alternative to "Stand-UP®" because of the multiplicity of positions in which the patient may be scanned where the patient is not standing.

#### PHYSICIAN MANAGEMENT AND DIAGNOSTIC SERVICES SEGMENT

Health Management Corporation of America, which we sometimes refer to as "HMCA", was formed by Fonar in March 1997 as a wholly-owned subsidiary in order to enable us to expand into the business of providing comprehensive management services to medical providers. HMCA provides management services, administrative services, billing and collection services, office space, equipment, repair, maintenance service and clerical and other non-medical personnel to medical providers.

The Company completed a private placement of equity and succeeded in raising \$6,000,000 by May 2, 2011. The offering consisted of Preferred Class A membership interests in a newly formed limited liability company, Imperial Management Services, LLC ("Imperial"). Class B membership interests, all of which were retained by the Company's subsidiary, HMCA, hold a 75% equity interest in Imperial. The Class A membership interests are entitled to receive a dividend of 18% per annum of their capital contributions to the limited liability company. HMCA contributed all of its assets, together with its liabilities, to Imperial as HMCA's capital contribution. The Imperial operating agreement provides for the Class A members to receive priority distributions until their original capital contributions are returned. As of June 30, 2012, Imperial manages 11 diagnostic imaging facilities located in states of New York and Florida. One fifth of the Class A membership interests were redeemed during fiscal 2012 (equivalent to 5% of the A and B membership interests in the aggregate).

On October 1, 2010, the Company purchased 100% of the stock of Fair Haven Services Inc., an entity wholly owned by Raymond Damadian. The entity is in the business of leasing medical equipment to various unrelated PC's. The Company also holds a 50% controlling interest in two entities from unrelated parties that provides management services to two diagnostic centers in the New York Metropolitan area.

See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for separate financial information regarding our medical equipment and physician and diagnostic management services segments.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.

Certain statements made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are "forward-looking statements", within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, regarding the plans and objectives of Management for future operations. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations that involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Our plans and objectives are based, in part, on assumptions involving the expansion of business. These assumptions involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond our control. Although we believe that our assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in our forward-looking statements, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND OVERVIEW.

Our products and works-in-progress are intended to significantly improve our competitive position. Our current products are the Upright® MRI and the Fonar 360™.

The Upright® MRI permits, for the first time, MRI diagnoses to be made in the weight-bearing state. The Upright® MRI is the only MRI scanner that allows patients to be scanned while standing, sitting, bending or lying down. This means that an abnormality or injury, such as a slipped disk, will be able to be scanned under full weight-bearing conditions, which is more often than not the position in which the patient experiences pain. An adjustable bed allows patients to stand, sit or lie on their backs, sides or stomachs. The Upright® MRI may also be useful for MRI-guided interventional procedures.

An important application of the Fonar Upright® technology is in the evaluation and diagnosis of patients with the Arnold-Chiari syndrome believed to affect from 200,000 to 500,000 Americans. In this syndrome there is brain stem compression and entrapment of the brain at the base of the skull in the foramen magnum, which is the circular bony opening at the base of the skull where the spinal cord exits the skull. The brain structure "entrapped" in Chiari Syndrome are the lowest lying structures of the brain, the tonsils of the cerebellum. The Chiari Syndrome is therefore alternately named Cerebellar Ectopia (CTE) indicating the displacement (ectopia) of these Cerebellar tonsils in this syndrome. Classic symptoms of the Chiari Syndrome include the "drop attack," where the erect patient unexpectedly experiences an explosive rush or nervous discharge at the base of the brain which rushes down the body to the extremities, causing the patient to collapse in a temporary neuromuscular paralysis which then subsides while the patient is lying in a horizontal position.

The Fonar Upright® MRI has demonstrated its key value on two current patients with Chiari Syndrome showing that the conventional lie-down MRI scanners cannot make an adequate evaluation where the patient's pathology is most visible and where symptoms are most acute when the patient is upright. A recent publication in the Journal "Brain Injury" (Brain Injury 2010, 24 (7-8) 988-994) of 1,200 neck pain patients reported that the fallen cerebellar tonsils of the brain (CTE) were missed 75% of the time when the patient was scanned only in the recumbent position. It is critical to have an image of the patient in an upright position so that the neurosurgeons can fully evaluate the extent of the brain stem compression which is occurring so they can choose the most appropriate surgical approach for the operative repair.

The Upright® is emerging as the MRI of choice for diagnosing spinal pathology. In September, 2006, FONAR sold an Upright® MRI scanner to the largest orthopedic hospital in the Netherlands, the St. Maartenskliniek. St. Maartenskliniek has over 300 in-patient beds and an extensive outpatient clinic program that diagnoses and treats 25,000 patients with orthopedic problems annually. In placing the order, St. Maartenskliniek announced from the point of view of their internationally recognized "Spine Center" that "once Fonar made available upright weight-bearing MRI imaging technology, owning one for the St. Maartenskliniek "Spine Center" was not optional but mandatory. For our hospital to continue to engage in spine surgery without it, once this new technology became available, was unacceptable. Once the means were available to make certain we were getting the complete picture of the patient's spine pathology before undertaking surgery, so that we could be certain we were not performing surgery based on a wrong diagnosis and running the risk of doing the wrong surgery, we did not regard the utilization of this new technology, from our patients' perspective, as optional. It was mandatory."

In February 2011, FONAR sold an UPRIGHT® MRI to a neuroscience spine institute in the Northeast. The group that purchased the MRI said they wanted the best diagnostic device available to allow them to be a "center of excellence for the spine." They had considered other state-of-the-art MRI scanners including those with field strengths of 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla, but those were single-position (recumbent only) and not weight-bearing systems. The buyers firmly believed that in order for them to be a "center of excellence for the spine," it was crucial for them to have an MRI that could evaluate the spine in its full range of dynamic weight-bearing positions.

In June 2011, FONAR sold an Upright® MRI to another medical practice dedicated to being a "center of excellence for the spine." Hoorman M. Melamed, MD, FAOOS, a board-certified orthopaedic spine surgeon, and a principal at the Bakersfield UPRIGHT MRI Center, said, "Selection of the FONAR UPRIGHT® Multi-Position™ MRI for our group was a very careful and deliberate decision. We recognize that the UPRIGHT® MRI offers capabilities beyond that of a recumbent-only MRI. The UPRIGHT® MRI allows for scanning patients weight-bearing and the dynamic positions of flexion and extension. This allows us to see and evaluate the spine under load of a patient's pathology thus enabling us to avoid underestimating a patient's pathology and therefore obtaining a better diagnosis."

Another milestone in the utilization of the FONAR Upright® MRI was the publication in the medical journal "Brain Injury" (July 2010) of a study of 1,200 neck pain patients. The study was published by 10 authors from distinguished universities in the United States and around the world. The study reported that Cerebellar Tonsil Herniation (CTE) was missed 75% of the time when the patient was scanned recumbent instead of upright. At the current rate of 1,000,000 automobile whiplash injuries in the U.S. per year, 600,000 patients each year would have the pathology

responsible for their symptoms go undetected if they were examined solely in a conventional recumbent-only MRI.

We are vigorously promoting sales of the Upright® MRI which we regard as our most promising product. Revenues recognized from the sale of Upright® MRI scanners increased in fiscal 2012 by 18.5% over fiscal 2011 from approximately \$5.3 million in fiscal 2011 to approximately \$6.3 million in fiscal 2012 under present market conditions. The following chart shows the revenues attributable to our different model scanners for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Note that we recognize revenue on a percentage of completion basis. Accordingly, revenue is recognized as each sub-assembly of a scanner is manufactured. Consequently the revenues for a fiscal period do not necessarily relate to orders placed in that period or payments received.

Model	Revenues Recognized	
	Fiscal 2011	Fiscal 2012
Upright®	\$5,345,932	\$6,335,198
Fonar 360™	\$0	\$0
Other	\$1,336,365	\$587,267

“Other” revenue includes upgrades and deinstallations of scanners.

Although the Fonar 360™ has not been sold in the past two fiscal years, it remains part of Fonar’s product line. At the present time the Upright® MRI scanner is the main focus of Fonar’s manufacturing activities.

The Fonar 360™ includes the Open Sky™ MRI. We received our first order for a Fonar 360™ scanner in the first quarter of fiscal 2005. The magnet frame is incorporated into the floor, ceiling and sidewalls of the scan room and is open. Consequently, physicians and family members can walk inside the magnet to approach the patient. The Open Sky™ version of the Fonar 360™ is decoratively designed so that it is incorporated into the panoramic landscape that decorates the walls of the scan room. The ability of the Fonar 360™ to give physicians direct 360 degree access to patients and the availability of MRI compatible interventional instruments such as needles, catheters, probes, scalpels and forceps, will also enable the Fonar 360™ to be used for image guided interventions.

Fonar’s showcase installation of the first Fonar 360™ MRI scanner was completed at the Oxford Nuffield Orthopedic Center in Oxford, United Kingdom. Oxford-Nuffield had two objectives in the choice of the Fonar 360™ MRI. The first was to have an open mid-field MRI imaging scanner to meet their medical imaging needs. The second was to have an open scanner that would enable direct image guided surgical intervention. The Oxford-Nuffield scanner is carrying a full diagnostic imaging load daily.

Additionally, development of the works in progress Fonar 360™ MRI image guided interventional technology is actively progressing. Fonar software engineers have completed and installed their 2nd generation tracking software at Oxford-Nuffield which is designed to enable the surgeons to insert needles into the patient and accurately advance them under direct visual image guidance to the target tissue, such as a tumor, so that therapeutic agents can be injected.

Health Management Corporation of America (“HMCA”), a subsidiary of Fonar, currently is managing 11 diagnostic imaging centers located in New York and Florida.

All these centers, are equipped with Upright® MRI scanners. HMCA has intensified its marketing efforts, including the hiring of additional marketers and supervisory personnel. HMCA’s objective is to increase HMCA’s revenues not only for HMCA’s sake of promoting HMCA’s profitability but to provide sufficient revenues to support both segments of our business during times when MRI scanner sales are weak.

## MEDICAL EQUIPMENT SEGMENT

### PRODUCTS

Fonar’s principal product is the Upright® MRI.

The Upright® MRI is a whole-body open MRI system that enables positional MRI (pMRI®) applications, such as weight-bearing MRI studies. Operating at a magnetic field strength of 0.6 Tesla, the scanner is a powerful, diagnostically versatile and cost-effective open MRI that provides a broad range of clinical capabilities and a complete set of imaging protocols. Patients can be scanned standing, bending, sitting, upright at an intermediate angle or in any of the conventional recumbent positions. This multi-positional MRI system accommodates an unrestricted range of motion for flexion, extension, lateral bending, and rotation studies of the cervical (upper) and lumbar (lower) spine. Previously difficult patient scanning positions can be achieved using the system’s MRI-compatible, three-dimensional, motorized patient handling system. Patients, lying horizontally, are placed into the magnet in the conventional manner. The system’s lift and tilt functions then deliver the targeted anatomical region to the center of the magnet. The ceiling and floor are recessed to accommodate the full vertical travel of the table. True image orientation is assured, regardless of the rotation angle, via computer read-back of the table’s position. Spines and extremities can be scanned in weight-bearing states; brains can be scanned with patients either standing or sitting.

This capability of the Fonar Upright® technology has demonstrated its key value on patients with the Arnold-Chiari Syndrome (CTE), which is believed to affect 200,000 to 500,000 Americans. In this syndrome, brain stem compression and subsequent severe neurological symptoms occur in these patients, when because of weakness in the support tissues within the skull, the brain stem descends and is compressed at the base of the skull in the foramen

magnum, which is the circular bony opening at the base of the skull where the spinal cord exits the skull. Conventional lie-down MRI scanners cannot make an adequate evaluation of the pathology since the patient's pathology is most visible and the symptoms most acute when the patient is scanned in the upright weight-bearing position.

The Upright® MRI has also demonstrated its value for patients suffering from scoliosis. Scoliosis patients have been typically subjected to routine x-ray exams for years and must be imaged upright for an adequate evaluation of their scoliosis. Because the patient must be standing for the exam, an x-ray machine has been the only modality that could provide that service. The Upright® MRI, is the only MRI scanner which allows the patient to stand during the MRI exam. Fonar has developed a new RF receiver and scanning protocol that for the first time allows scoliosis patients to obtain diagnostic pictures of their spines without the risks of x-rays. A recent study by the National Cancer Institute (2000) of 5,466 women with scoliosis reported a 70% increase in breast cancer resulting from 24.7 chest x-rays these patients received on the average in the course of their scoliosis treatment.

The Upright® MRI is exceptionally open, making it the most non-claustrophobic whole-body MRI scanner. Patients can walk into the magnet, stand or sit for their scans and then walk out. From the patient's point of view, the magnet's front-open and top-open design provides an unprecedented degree of comfort because the scanner allows the patient an unobstructed view of the scanner room from inside the magnet, and there is nothing in front of one's face or over one's head. The only thing in front of the patient's face during the scan is a very large (42") panoramic TV (included with the scanner) mounted on the wall. The bed is tilted back five degrees to stabilize a standing patient. Special coil fixtures, a patient seat, Velcro straps, and transpolar stabilizing bars are available to keep the patient comfortable and motionless throughout the scanning process.

Full-range-of-motion studies of the joints in virtually any direction are possible, an especially promising feature for sports injuries. Full Range of Motion cines, or movies, of the lumbar spine will be achieved under full body weight.

The Upright® MRI will also be useful for MRI guided interventional procedures as the physician would have unhindered access to the patient with no restrictions in the vertical direction.

This easy-entry, mid-field-strength scanner should be ideal for trauma centers where a quick MRI screening within the first critical hour of treatment will greatly improve patients' chances for survival and optimize the extent of recovery.

The Fonar 360™ is an enlarged room sized magnet in which the floor, ceiling and walls of the scan room are part of the magnet frame. This is made possible by Fonar's patented Iron-Frame™ technology which allows our engineers to control, contour and direct the magnet's lines of flux in the patient gap where wanted and almost none outside of the steel of the magnet where not wanted. Consequently, this scanner allows 360 degree access to the patient, and physicians and family members are able to enter the scanner and approach the patient.

The Fonar 360™ is presently marketed as a diagnostic scanner and is sometimes referred to as the Open Sky™ MRI. In its Open Sky™ capacity, the Fonar 360™ serves as an open patient-friendly scanner which allows 360 degree access to the patient on the scanner bed.

To optimize the patient-friendly character of the Open Sky™ MRI, the walls, floor, ceiling and magnet poles are decorated with landscape murals. The patient gap is twenty inches and the magnetic field strength is 0.6 Tesla.

We also expect to enable the Fonar 360™ to function as an MRI guided interventional scanner, for the purpose of performing intra-operative, interventional and therapeutic procedures with MR compatible instrumentation. In this capacity, the enlarged room sized magnet and 360 degree access to the patient afforded by the Fonar 360™ would permit full-fledged support teams to walk into the magnet and perform MRI guided interventions on the patient inside the magnet. Most importantly, the exceptional quality of the MRI image and its exceptional capacity to exhibit tissue detail on the image, by virtue of the nuclear resonance signal's extraordinary capacity to create image contrast, can then be obtained very near real time to guide the physician during the MRI guided intervention. Thus MRI compatible instruments, needles, catheters, endoscopes and the like can be introduced directly into the human body and guided to the malignant lesion or other pathology by means of the MRI image. Surgically inoperable lesions could be accessed through MRI guided catheters and needles making it possible to deliver the treatment agent directly to the targeted tissue.

The first Fonar 360™ MRI scanner, installed at the Oxford-Nuffield Orthopedic Center in Oxford, United Kingdom, is now carrying a full diagnostic imaging caseload. In addition, however, development of the works in progress Fonar 360™ MRI image guided interventional technology is actively progressing. Fonar software engineers have completed and installed their 2nd generation tracking software at Oxford-Nuffield which is designed to enable the surgeons to insert needles into the patient and accurately advance them, under direct visual image guidance, to the target tissue, such as a tumor, so that therapeutic agents can be injected.

With current treatment methods, such as chemotherapy taken by mouth, the therapy must always be restricted in the doses that can be applied to the malignant tissue because of the adverse effects on the healthy tissues. Thus chemotherapies must be limited at the first sign of toxic side effects. The same is the case with radiation therapy. Fonar expects that with the Fonar 360™ treatment agents may be administered directly to the malignant tissue through small catheters or needles, thereby allowing much larger doses of chemotherapy, x-rays, laser ablation, microwave and other anti-neoplastic agents to be applied directly and exclusively to the malignant tissue with more effective results. Since the interventional procedure of introducing a treatment needle or catheter under image guidance will be minimally invasive, the procedure can be readily repeated should metastases occur elsewhere, with minimum impact on the patient beyond a straightforward needle injection. The presence of the MRI image during treatment would enable the operator to make assessments during treatment whether the treatment is being effective.

In addition to the patient comfort and new applications, such as MRI directed interventions, made possible by our scanners' open design, the Upright® and Fonar 360™ scanners are designed to maximize image quality through an optimal combination of signal-to-noise (S/N) and contrast-to-noise (C/N) ratios. The technical improvements realized in these scanners' design over their predecessors also include increased image-processing speed and diagnostic

flexibility.

MRI directed interventions are made possible by the scanners' ability to supply images to a monitor positioned next to the patient, enabling the operator to view in process an interventional procedure from an unlimited number of angles. The openness of Fonar's scanners would enable a physician to perform a wide range of interventional procedures inside the magnet.

In the case of breast imaging the access by a physician permits an image guided biopsy to be performed easily which is essential once suspicious lesions are spotted by any diagnostic modality. In addition to being far superior to x-ray in detecting breast lesions because of the MRI's ability to create the soft tissue contrast needed to see them, where x-ray is deficient in its ability to generate the needed contrast between cancer and normal tissue, there is not the painful compression of the breast characteristic of X-ray mammography.

The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanners share much of the same fundamental technology and offer the same speed, precision and image quality. Fonar's scanners initiated the new market segment of high-field open MRI. High-field open MRIs operate at significantly higher magnetic field strengths and, therefore, produce more of the MRI image-producing signal needed to make high-quality MRI images (measured by signal-to-noise ratios, S/N).

The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanners utilize a 6000 gauss (0.6 Tesla field strength) iron core electromagnet. The greater field strength of the 6000 gauss magnet, as compared to lower field open MRI scanners that operate at 3,000 gauss (0.3 Tesla) when enhanced by the electronics already utilized by Fonar's scanners, produces images of higher quality and clarity. Fonar's 0.6 Tesla open scanner magnets are among the highest field "open MRI" magnets in the industry.

The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanners are designed to maximize image quality through an optimal combination of signal-to-noise (S/N) and contrast-to-noise (C/N) ratios. The technical improvements realized in the scanners' design over their lower field predecessors also include increased image-processing speed and diagnostic flexibility.

Several technological advances have been engineered into the Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanners for extra improvements in S/N, including: new high-S/N Organ Specific(TM) receiver coils; new advanced front-end electronics featuring high-speed, wide-dynamic-range analog-to-digital conversion and a miniaturized ultra-low-noise pre-amplifier; high-speed automatic tuning, bandwidth-optimized pulse sequences, multi-bandwidth sequences, and off-center FOV imaging capability.

In addition to the signal-to-noise ratio, however, the factor that must be considered when it comes to image quality is contrast, the quality that enables reading physicians to clearly distinguish adjacent, and sometimes minute, anatomical structures from their surroundings. This quality is measured by contrast-to-noise ratios (C/N). Unlike S/N, which



increases with increasing field strength, relaxometry studies have shown that C/N peaks in the mid-field range and actually falls off precipitously at higher field strengths. The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanners operate squarely in the optimum C/N range.

The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ provide various features allowing for versatile diagnostic capability. For example, SMART™ scanning allows for same-scan customization of up to 63 slices, each slice with its own thickness, resolution, angle and position. This is an important feature for scanning parts of the body that include small-structure sub-regions requiring finer slice parameters. There is also Multi-Angle Oblique™ (MAO) imaging, and oblique imaging.

The console for these scanners includes a mouse-driven, multi-window interface for easy operation and a 19-inch, 1280 x 1024-pixel, 20-up, high-resolution image monitor with features such as electronic magnifying glass and real-time, continuous zoom and pan.

The predecessors of the Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ were FONAR's QUAD™ scanner, Ultimate™ 7000 scanner and Beta™ scanner. The Beta™ 3000 scanner utilized a permanent magnet. The Beta™ 3000M scanner utilized an iron core electromagnet. All of our current and earlier model scanners create cross-sectional images of the human body.

During fiscal 2012, sales of our Upright® MRI scanners accounted for approximately 16.1% of our total revenues and 33.9% of our medical equipment revenues, as compared to 16.1% of total revenues and 30% of medical equipment revenues in fiscal 2011. These results reflect the decrease in our sales of scanners.

During fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, we had no revenues attributable to sales of our Fonar 360™ scanner.

Our principal selling, marketing and advertising efforts have been focused on the Upright® MRI, which we believe is a particularly unique product, being the only MRI scanner which is both open and allows for weight-bearing imaging. Since we perceive that the Upright® MRI is successfully penetrating the market and enabled us to achieve profitability in fiscal 2011 and 2012, we expect to continue our focus on the Upright® MRI in the immediate future. We are optimistic that in the long run the Fonar 360™ and our other products and works in progress will also contribute to increased product sales.

The materials and components used in the manufacture of our products (circuit boards, computer hardware components, electrical components, steel and plastic) are generally available at competitive prices. We have not had difficulty acquiring such materials.

WORKS-IN-PROGRESS

All of our products and works-in-progress seek to bring to the public MRI products that are expected to provide important advances against serious disease.

MRI takes advantage of the nuclear resonance signal elicited from the body's tissues and the exceptional sensitivity of this signal for detecting disease. Much of the serious disease of the body occurs in the soft tissue of vital organs. The principal diagnostic modality currently in use for detecting disease, as in the case of x-ray mammography, are diagnostic x-rays. X-rays discriminate soft tissues, such as healthy breast tissue and cancerous tissue poorly, because the x-ray particle traverses the various soft tissues almost equally thereby causing target films to be nearly equally exposed by x-rays passing through adjacent soft tissues and creating healthy and cancerous shadows on the film that differ little in brightness. The image contrast in x-ray between cancerous and healthy breast tissue is poor, making the detection of breast cancers by the x-ray mammogram less than optimal and forcing the mammogram to rely on the presence or absence of microscopic stones called "microcalcifications" instead of being able to "see" the breast cancer itself. If microcalcifications are not present to provide the missing contrast, then the breast cancer goes undetected. They frequently are not present. The maximum contrast available by x-ray with which to discriminate disease is 4%. Brain cancers differ from surrounding healthy brain by only 1.6% while the contrast in the brain by MRI is 25 times greater at 40%. X-ray contrasts among the body's soft tissues are maximally 4%. Their contrast by MRI is 32.5 times greater (130%).

The soft tissue contrasts with which to distinguish cancers on images by MRI are up to 180%. In the case of cancer these contrasts can be even more marked making cancers readily visible and detectable anywhere in the body. This is because the nuclear resonance signals from the body's tissues differ so dramatically. Liver cancer and healthy liver signals differ by 180% for example. Thus there is some urgency to bring to market an MRI based breast scanner that can overcome the x-ray limitation and assure that mammograms do not miss serious lesions. The added benefit of MRI mammography relative to x-ray mammography is the elimination of the need for the patient to disrobe and the painful compression of the breast typical of the x-ray mammogram. The patient is scanned in her street clothes in MRI mammography. Moreover MRI mammogram scans the entire chest wall including the axilla for the presence of nodes which the x-ray mammogram cannot reach.

We view our Upright® MRI as having the potential for being an ideal breast examination machine as it permits the patient to be seated for the examination, which would allow easy access for an MRI guided breast biopsy when needed. The Fonar 360™ MRI scanner would also be ideal for breast examinations.

## PRODUCT MARKETING

The principal markets for the Company's scanners are private diagnostic imaging centers and hospitals.

Our internal sales force handles the domestic market. We continue to use independent manufacturer's representatives and distributors for foreign markets. None of Fonar's competitors are entitled to make the Fonar Upright® MRI scanner.

Fonar's Website includes interactive product information for reaching customers.

Fonar has targeted orthopedic surgeons and neurosurgeons, particularly spine surgeons, as important markets for the Upright® MRI. Accordingly, Fonar has exhibited at annual meetings of The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS); the North American Spine Society (NASS); the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS); and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS).

Fonar's success in targeting surgeons was most evident in the sale, in September 2006, of an Upright® MRI scanner to the largest orthopedic hospital in the Netherlands, the St. Maartenskliniek in Nijmegen. In addition to being a key sale to a prestigious hospital, the medical conclusions reached and stated by the buyer and the buyer's intention to conduct research and publish articles concerning the Upright® technology, are a vital component to Fonar's objective to prove to the medical community at large, insurers, governmental agencies and others the benefits, if not the necessity of Upright® scanning. A Director of St. Maartenskliniek and the Chairman of Spine Surgery stated that "We at St. Maartenskliniek, the biggest orthopedic hospital in the Netherlands, are very much looking forward to this new technology from Fonar which will enable us to evaluate the spine anatomy in the fully weight-bearing state and in multiple positions. We expect these new multi-position capabilities to lead to more accurate diagnosis and better surgery outcomes for patients. Once our active research program has discovered the benefits of this new Fonar technology for patients, we intend to publish the results in a lot of peer reviewed scientific journals." The Chairman stated further "that once Fonar made available upright weight-bearing MRI imaging technology, owning one for the St. Maartenskliniek "Spine Center" was not optional but mandatory. For our hospital to continue to engage in spine surgery without it, once this new technology became available, was unacceptable".

Recognition of the importance of Fonar Upright® MRI continues to grow. Medserena, of Germany, announced in August, 2010 the purchase of its fourth Upright® Multi-Position™ MRI. CEO Matthais Schulz said, "The large number of requests coming from our physicians in Germany are arising because of the special medical need for FONAR's unique technology. This is in spite of an intensely active MRI market in Germany, where there are already many conventional lie-down MRIs installed."

Even high-field 3.0 Tesla MRI scanners cannot overshadow the importance of Fonar's unique technology. In August, 2010, a distinguished board-certified radiologist in Florida, the owner/operator of two multi-modality imaging centers equipped with MRIs, ordered a Fonar Upright® MRI. He initially considered purchasing a 3.0 Tesla lie-down MRI, but decided instead to buy the Fonar Upright® Multi-Position™ MRI when he became aware of its many unique imaging capabilities.

Fonar's advertising strategy has been designed to reach key purchasing decision makers with information concerning our flagship product, the Upright® MRI. This has led to many inquiries and to some sales of the Upright® MRI scanner and is intended to increase Fonar's presence in the medical market. Fonar's advertising has been directed at four target audiences: neurosurgeons, orthopaedic surgeons, radiologists and physicians in general.

- 1) Neurosurgeons and Orthopaedic Surgeons: These are the surgeons who can most benefit from the superior diagnostic benefits of the Fonar Upright® MRI with its Multi-Position® diagnostic ability. Advertisements to them have appeared in the journal Spine, The Journal of Neurosurgery, and the Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery.
- 2) Radiologists: This segment of the campaign is aimed at the physicians who now have a new modality to offer their referring physicians. Our advertisements directed to them have appeared in Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging.
- 3) All Physicians: These advertising efforts have been directed to the total physician audience, so that the vast number of doctors who send patients for MRI's are aware of the diagnostic advantages of the Fonar Upright® Multi-Position® MRI. Advertisements directed to this audience have appeared in the Journal of the American Medical Association.

This advertising has featured a series of compelling messages. One advertisement pointed out that the AMA book, Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, indicates that diagnosis must be performed upright in flexion and extension. Another advertisement was educational and headlined, "Discover the power of Upright Imaging". Fonar realizes that peer-to-peer communications is the most powerful way to speak to physicians. Consequently, testimonials from surgeons and radiologists have been used to promote our Upright® MRI scanner. The first such advertisement featured five surgeons and two radiologists, explaining the Multi-Position® diagnostic benefits of the Fonar Upright® MRI scanner to them. Another advertisement featured a leading radiologist, telling why he bought 12 Fonar Upright® MRI scanners and planned to buy more.

Also, our advertising for HMCA also serves as advertising for Fonar MRI scanners. We have increased internet awareness of our product by driving patient traffic to the Upright® scanning centers we manage by installing Websites for every location. These websites and advertising give prospective customers of Upright® MRI scanners a view of operating Upright® MRI centers and the benefits of using an Upright® MRI scanner. The success of HMCA-managed sites not only increases management fees to HMCA but encourages new sales for Fonar as well.

To meet the demand for high-field open MRI scanners, Fonar plans to devote its principal efforts to marketing the Upright® MRI. The Upright® MRI is the only scanner in the industry that has the unique capability of scanning patients under weight-bearing conditions and in various positions of pain or other symptoms. In addition we will continue to market our Fonar 360™ MRI scanners. Utilizing a 6000 gauss (0.6 Tesla field strength) iron core electromagnet, the Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ scanner magnets are among the highest field "Open MRI" scanners in the industry.

The Upright® MRI is also suited to fill a demand for better diagnoses of scoliosis patients, who must be standing for the exam. Scoliosis patients are typically subjected to routine x-ray exams for years. In the past, an x-ray machine was the only modality that could provide that service. Typical MRI scanners cannot provide this service because the patient cannot stand up inside of them. The Fonar Upright™ MRI scanner is the only MRI scanner which allows the patient to stand during the exam. The Fonar Upright® Scanner avoids radiation of the x-ray machines currently used for scoliosis, which have been reported by the National Cancer Institute to cause a 70% increase in the risk of breast cancer. Other important new applications are Upright® imaging of the pelvic floor and abdomen to image prolapses and inguinal hernias. Fonar has also developed the first non-invasive method to image the prostate: the patient simply sits on a flat, seat-like coil.

We also will seek to introduce new MRI applications for our scanners such as MRI-directed interventions.

Our areas of operations are principally in the United States. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, 6.2% of the Company's revenues were generated by foreign sales, as compared to 8.5% for fiscal 2011.

We are seeking to promote foreign sales and have sold scanners in various foreign countries. Foreign sales, however, have not yet proved to be a significant source of revenue.

#### SERVICE AND UPGRADES FOR MRI SCANNERS

Our customer base of installed scanners has been and will continue to be an additional source of income, independent of direct sales.

Income is generated from the installed base in two principal areas namely, service and upgrades. Service and maintenance revenues from our external installed base were approximately \$11.8 million in fiscal 2012 and \$11.1 million in fiscal 2011. We expect service revenues to increase as warranties expire on previously sold scanners, and the customers then enter into service contracts.

We also anticipate that our new scanners will result in upgrades income in future fiscal years. The potential for upgrades income, particularly in the form of new patient supporting upright imaging fixtures and receiver coils, originates in the versatility and productivity of the new Upright® Imaging technology. New medical uses for MRI technology are constantly being discovered and are anticipated for the Upright® Imaging technology as well. New features can often be added to the scanner by the implementation of little more than versatile new software packages. For example, software can be added to existing MRI angiography applications to synchronize angiograms with the cardiac cycle. By doing so the dynamics of blood vessel filling and emptying can be visualized with movies. Such

enhancements are attractive to end users because they extend the useful life of the equipment and enable the user to avoid obsolescence and the expense of having to purchase new equipment.

## RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, we incurred expenditures of \$1,242,656, none of which was capitalized, on research and development, as compared to \$1,507,290, \$67,258 of which was capitalized, during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

Research and development activities have focused principally, on the development and enhancement of the Upright® and Fonar 360™ MRI scanners. The Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ involve significant software and hardware development as the new products represent entirely new hardware designs and architecture requiring a new operating software. Our research activity includes developing a multitude of new features for upright scanning made possible by the new high speed data processing power of Fonar's newest scanners. In addition, the Company's research and development efforts include the development of new software, such as its Sympulse™ software and hardware upgrade and the designing and continuing introduction of new receiver surface coils for the Upright® MRI.

Research and development activities have focused principally on software improvements to the user interface of the MRI scanner. The Windows-based Sympulse™ platform controls all of the functions of the UPRIGHT® scanner except those of the versatile, multi-position patient table. Separate, dedicated, motion-control software is used to maneuver the UPRIGHT® bed, and development of this software is ongoing as well. The same Sympulse™ platform running identical software underpins the operation of other FONAR MRI scanners, including the FONAR 360™ and older units such as the Quad 12000™.

In December 2010 FONAR completed and shipped Release 8.0. The signature feature of Release 8.0 is the Centering Cursor, which enables the technologist to position the target anatomy precisely at the center of the magnet by means of a cursor that can be translated on scout or localizer images. The location of the Centering Cursor is communicated directly to the patient table with a click of the mouse. Because the UPRIGHT® bed enjoys three degrees of freedom in its motion, unlike conventional recumbent MRI scanners that have but one (in and out), the anatomy of interest can be scanned at magnet isocenter, where the magnetic field is most uniform. This is critical for the successful implementation of chemical-shift sensitive fat suppression techniques, such as direct fat saturation and the Dixon method.

While software improvements to the user interface are important in their own right, significant value is added to the MRI scanner by the modification of existing protocols for examining various parts of the body, and the development of new protocols that utilize new underlying capabilities of the pulse sequence software.

For example, in Release 8.0, the Dixon method of fat suppression was extended from gradient echo sequences to fast spin echo and spin echo sequences. This is particularly important for musculoskeletal imaging because it enables technologists to meet the demand of radiologists for true proton density-, T1-, and T2-weighted imaging with fat suppression. Protocols employing this new technique were released together with the user interface software in a bundled package. Over time, FONAR users have become accustomed to the steady improvement in clinical protocols that accompany new software releases. More significantly, in recent years we have seen increasing adoption of FONAR-standard clinical protocols over those developed on site. This is a testament to the superior image quality they produce in attractively short scan times.

The development of clinically practical scan protocols and software depends on close contact between research and development scientists and engineers and end users. That close contact is facilitated in part by the subsidiary relationship with HMCA-IMPERIAL and the scanning centers it manages. In addition to that collaboration, R&D staff have pursued a variety of novel and UPRIGHT® MRI-specific research projects that, it is anticipated, will ultimately lead to new applications that are made available to existing customers as upgrade add-ons to their machines.

For example, a multi-year collaboration with faculty and graduate students at the University of Delaware has led to the development of an open-geometry low-impedance quadrature knee coil that is ideally suited to the weight-bearing examination of the knee, and the study of a variety of pathologies such as patellofemoral pain syndrome and osteoarthritis. This work is described in doctoral dissertations and papers that have been presented at conferences and submitted for publication.

Two independent collaborations with plastic surgeons specializing in breast implantation have yielded insights into the way in which various types of implants coexist with surrounding tissues in the cosmetically significant upright seated or standing posture. One or more publications authored by these outside users are in progress.

A receiver coil and scanning protocols designed for rapid, x-ray free MRI evaluation of patients with scoliosis has already been made available to FONAR customers. FONAR image display software that enables the technologist to reformat the axial 3D data set into a coronal plane that follows the lordotic curve of the spine is enabled upon purchase of the coil. Papers describing this work have already been published.

Another important development is “Correlated Slice Profile” (CSP™) Imaging which can be done for most spine patients. The patient having the spine scan is scanned in the four positions of Upright®-neutral, Upright®-flexion, Upright®-extension, and traditional recumbent. At the conclusion of the scan, the MRI technologist selects a center-slice sagittal view from each of the four positions. The four image positions are then displayed side by side. In this way, one can quickly comprehend how a patient’s pathology changes from position to position within the same anatomic slice. This multi-position weight-bearing imaging of the spine enables the patient’s physician to see all of the patient’s symptom-generating pathology so they can be correctly addressed therapeutically or surgically (if necessary).

Our backlog of unfilled orders at September 14, 2012 was approximately \$7.4 million, as compared to \$9.4 million at September 20, 2011. It is expected that a substantial portion of the existing backlog of orders will be filled within the 2013 fiscal year. Our contracts generally provide that if a customer cancels an order, the customer's initial down payment for the MRI scanner is nonrefundable.

## PATENTS AND LICENSES

We currently have numerous patents in effect which relate to the technology and components of the MRI scanners. We believe that these patents, and the know-how we have developed, are material to our business.

One of our patents, issued in the name of Dr. Damadian and licensed to Fonar, was United States patent No. 3,789,832, Apparatus and Method for Detecting Cancer in Tissue, also referred to as the "1974 Patent". The development of our MRI scanners have been based upon the 1974 Patent, and we believe that the 1974 Patent was the first of its kind to utilize MR to scan the human body and to detect cancer. The 1974 Patent was extended beyond its original 17-year term and expired in February, 1992.

We have significantly enhanced our patent position within the industry and now possesses a substantial patent portfolio which provides us, under the aegis of United States patent law, "the exclusive right to make, use and sell" many of the scanner features which Fonar pioneered and which are now incorporated in most MRI scanners sold by the industry. As of June 30, 2012, 182 patents had been issued to Fonar, and approximately 19 patents were pending. A number of Fonar's existing patents specifically relate to protecting Fonar's position in the high-field iron frame open MRI market. The patents further enhance Dr. Damadian's pioneer patent, the 1974 Patent, that initiated the MRI industry and provided the original invention of MRI scanning. The terms of the patents in Fonar's portfolio extend to various times.

We also have patent cross-licensing agreements with other MRI manufacturers.

## PRODUCT COMPETITION

### MRI SCANNERS

A majority of the MRI scanners in use in hospitals and outpatient facilities and at mobile sites in the United States are based on high field air core magnet technology while the balance are based on open iron frame magnet technology.



Fonar's open iron frame MRI scanners are competing principally with high-field air core scanners. Fonar's open MRI scanners, however, utilizing a 6,000 gauss or 0.6 Tesla field strength, iron core electromagnet, were the first "open" MR scanners at high field strength.

Fonar believes that its MRI scanners have significant advantages as compared to the high-field air core scanners of its competitors. These advantages include:

1. There is no expansive fringe magnetic field. High field air core scanners require a more expensive shielded room than is required for the iron frame scanners. The shielded room required for the iron frame scanners is intended to prevent interference from external radio frequencies.
2. They are more open and quiet.
3. They can scan the trauma victim, the cardiac arrest patient, the respirator-supported patient, and premature and newborn babies. This is not possible with high- field air core scanners because their magnetic field interferes with conventional life-support equipment.

The principal competitive disadvantage of our products is that they are not "high field strength", 1.0 Tesla +, magnets. As a general principle, the higher field strength can produce a faster scan. In some parts of the body a faster scan can be traded for a clearer picture. Although we believe that the benefits of "openness" provided by our scanners compensate for the lower field strength, certain customers will still prefer the higher field strength.

Fonar faces competition within the MRI industry from such firms as General Electric Company, Philips N.V., Toshiba Corporation, Hitachi Corporation and Siemens A.G. Most competitors have marketing and financial resources more substantial than those available to us. They have in the past, and may in the future, heavily discount the sales price of their scanners. Such competitors sell both high field air core superconducting MRI scanners and iron frame products. Fonar's original iron frame design, ultimately imitated by Fonar's competitors to duplicate Fonar's origination of "Open" MRI magnets, gave rise to current patient protected Upright® MRI technology with the result that Fonar today is the unique and only supplier of the highest field MRI magnets (.6 Tesla) that are not superconducting, do not use liquid helium and are not therefore susceptible to explosion.

The iron frame, because it could control the magnetic lines of force and place them where wanted and remove them from where not wanted, such as in the Fonar 360™ where physicians and staff are standing, provide a much more versatile magnet design than is possible with air core magnets. Air core magnets contain no iron but consist entirely of turns of current carrying wire.

For an 11 year period from 1983-1994, Fonar's large competitors, with one exception, generally rejected Fonar's "open" design but by now all have added the iron frame "open" magnet to their MRI product lines. One reason for this market shift, in addition to patient claustrophobia, is the awareness that the open magnet designs permit access to the patient to perform MRI guided procedures, a field which is now growing rapidly and is called "interventional MRI."

The Fonar 360™ scanner explicitly addresses this growing market reception of MRI guided interventions, and the first of these scanners was sold to a hospital in England. Fonar's Upright® magnet also addresses the growing market reception of MRI guided interventions. Although not enabling a full interventional theater as the Fonar 360™ does, the iron frame Upright® MRI design permits ready access to the patient and enables a wide range of interventional procedures such as biopsies and needle or catheter delivered therapies to be performed under MRI image guidance. The "tunnel" air core superconductive scanners do not permit access to the patient while the patient is inside the scanner.

Fonar expects to be the leader Upright® Multi-Position MRI for providing dynamic visualization of body parts such as the spine and other joints as well as dynamic visualization of the heart in its upright position when it is sustaining its full normal physiological load. No companies possess the patented Upright® MRI technology or the Fonar 360™'s 360° full access interventional technology.

## OTHER IMAGING MODALITIES

Fonar's MRI scanners also compete with other diagnostic imaging systems, all of which are based upon the ability of energy waves to penetrate human tissue and to be detected by either photographic film or electronic devices for presentation of an image on a television monitor. Three different kinds of energy waves - X-ray, gamma and sound - are used in medical imaging techniques which compete with MRI medical scanning, the first two of which involve exposing the patient to potentially harmful radiation. These other imaging modalities compete with MRI products on the basis of specific applications.

X-rays are the most common energy source used in imaging the body and are employed in three imaging modalities:

1. Conventional X-ray systems, the oldest method of imaging, are typically used to image bones and teeth. The image resolution of adjacent structures that have high contrast, such as bone adjacent to soft tissue, is excellent, while the discrimination between soft tissue organs is poor because of the nearly equivalent penetration of x-rays.

2. Computerized Tomography, also referred to as "CT", systems couple computers to x-ray instruments to produce cross-sectional images of particular large organs or areas of the body. The CT scanner addresses the need for images, not available by conventional radiography, that display anatomic relationships spatially. However, CT images are generally limited to the transverse plane and cannot readily be obtained in the two other planes, sagittal and coronal.

Improved picture resolution is available at the expense of increased exposure to x-rays from multiple projections. Furthermore, the pictures obtained by this method are computer reconstructions of a series of projections and, once diseased tissue has been detected, CT scanning cannot be focused for more detailed pictorial analysis or obtain a chemical analysis.

3. Digital radiography systems add computer image processing capability to conventional x-ray systems. Digital radiography can be used in a number of diagnostic procedures which provide continuous imaging of a particular area with enhanced image quality and reduced patient exposure to radiation.

Nuclear medicine systems, which are based upon the detection of gamma radiation generated by radioactive pharmaceuticals introduced into the body, are used to provide information concerning soft tissue and internal body organs and particularly to examine organ function over time.

Ultrasound systems emit, detect and process high frequency sound waves reflected from organ boundaries and tissue interfaces to generate images of soft tissue and internal body organs. Although the images are substantially less detailed than those obtainable with x-ray methods, ultrasound is generally considered harmless and therefore has found particular use in imaging the pregnant uterus.

X-ray machines, ultrasound machines, digital radiography systems and nuclear medicine compete with the MRI scanners by offering significantly lower price and space requirements. However, Fonar believes that the quality of the images produced by its MRI scanners is generally superior to the quality of the images produced by those other methodologies.

## GOVERNMENT REGULATION

### FDA Regulation

The Food and Drug Administration in accordance with Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations regulates the manufacturing and marketing of Fonar's MRI scanners. The regulations can be classified as either pre-market or post-market. The pre-market requirements include obtaining marketing clearance, proper device labeling, establishment registration and device listing. Once the products are on the market, Fonar must comply with post-market surveillance controls. These requirements include the Quality Systems Regulation, or "QSR", also known as Current Good Manufacturing Practices or CGMPs, and Medical Device Reporting, also referred to as MDR regulations. The QSR is a quality assurance requirement that covers the design, packaging, labeling and manufacturing of a medical device. The MDR regulation is an adverse event-reporting program.

## Classes of Products

Under the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, all medical devices are classified by the FDA into one of three classes. A Class I device is subject only to general controls, such as labeling requirements and manufacturing practices; a Class II device must comply with certain performance standards established by the FDA; and a Class III device must obtain pre-market approval from the FDA prior to commercial marketing.

Fonar's products are Class II devices. Class I devices are subject to the least regulatory control. They present minimal potential for harm to the user and are often simpler in design than Class II or Class III devices. Class I devices are subject to "General Controls" as are Class II and Class III devices. General Controls include:

1. Establishment registration of companies which are required to register under 21 CFR Part 807.20, such as manufacturers, distributors, re-packagers and re-labelers.
2. Medical device listing with FDA of devices to be marketed.
3. Manufacturing devices in accordance with the Current Good Manufacturing Practices Quality System Regulation in 21 CFR Part 820.
4. Labeling devices in accordance with labeling regulations in 21 CFR Part 801 or 809.
5. Submission of a Premarket Notification, pursuant to 510(k), before marketing a device.

Class II devices are those for which general controls alone

are insufficient to assure safety and effectiveness, and existing methods are available to provide such assurances. In addition to complying with general controls, Class II devices are also subject to special controls. Special controls may include special labeling requirements, guidance documents, mandatory performance standards and post-market surveillance.

We received approval to market our Beta™ 3000 and Beta™ 3000M scanners as Class III devices on September 26, 1984 and November 12, 1985. On July 28, 1988, the Magnetic Resonance Diagnostic Device which includes MR Imaging and MR Spectroscopy was reclassified by the FDA to Class II status. Consequently, Fonar's products are now classified as Class II products. On July 26, 1991, Fonar received FDA clearance to market the Ultimate™ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Scanner as a Class II device. Fonar received FDA clearance to market the QUAD™ 7000 in April 1995 and the QUAD™ 12000 in November 1995. On March 16, 2000, Fonar received FDA clearance to market the Fonar 360™ for diagnostic imaging, the Open Sky™ version, and on October 3, 2000 received FDA clearance for the Upright® MRI.

## Premarketing Submission

Each person who wants to market Class I, II and some III devices intended for human use in the U.S. must submit a 510(k) to FDA at least 90 days before marketing unless the device is exempt from 510(k) requirements. A 510(k) is a pre-marketing submission made to FDA to demonstrate that the device to be marketed is as safe and effective, that is, substantially equivalent, SE, to a legally marketed device that is not subject to pre-market approval, PMA. Applicants must compare their 510(k) device to one or more similar devices currently on the U.S. market and make and support their substantial equivalency claims.

The FDA is committed to a 90-day clearance after submission of a 510(k), provided the 510(k) is complete and there is no need to submit additional information or data.

The 510(k) is essentially a brief statement and description of the product. As Fonar's scanner products are Class II products, there are no pre-market data requirements and the process is neither lengthy nor expensive.

An investigational device exemption, also referred to as IDE, allows the investigational device to be used in a clinical study pending FDA clearance in order to collect safety and effectiveness data required to support the Premarket Approval, also referred to as PMA, application or a Premarket Notification pursuant to 510(k), submission to the FDA. Clinical studies are most often conducted to support a PMA.

For the most part, however, we have not found it necessary to utilize IDE's. The standard 90 day clearance for our new MRI scanner products classified as Class II products makes the IDE unnecessary, particularly in view of the time and effort involved in compiling the information necessary to support an IDE.

## Quality System Regulation

The Quality Management System is applicable to the design, manufacture, administration of installation and servicing of magnetic resonance imaging scanner systems. The FDA has authority to conduct detailed inspections of manufacturing plants, to establish Good Manufacturing Practices which must be followed in the manufacture of medical devices, to require periodic reporting of product defects and to prohibit the exportation of medical devices that do not comply with the law.

## Medical Device Reporting Regulation

Manufacturers must report all MDR reportable events to the FDA. Each manufacturer must review and evaluate all complaints to determine whether the complaint represents an event which is required to be reported to FDA. Section 820.3(b) of the Quality Systems regulation defines a complaint as, "any written, electronic or oral communication that alleges deficiencies related to the identity, quality, durability, reliability, safety, effectiveness, or performance of a device after it is released for distribution."

A report is required when a manufacturer becomes aware of information that reasonably suggests that one of their marketed devices has or may have caused or contributed to a death, serious injury, or has malfunctioned and that the device or a similar device marketed by the manufacturer would be likely to cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur.

Malfunctions are not reportable if they are not likely to result in a death, serious injury or other significant adverse event experience.

A malfunction which is or can be corrected during routine service or device maintenance still must be reported if the recurrence of the malfunction is likely to cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur.

We have established and maintained written procedures for implementation of the MDR regulation. These procedures include internal systems that:

- \* provide for timely and effective identification, communication and evaluation of adverse events;
- \* provide a standardized review process and procedures for determining whether or not an event is reportable; and
- \* provide procedures to insure the timely transmission of complete reports.

These procedures also include documentation and record keeping requirements for:

- \* information that was evaluated to determine if an event was reportable;
- \* all medical device reports and information submitted to the FDA;

\* any information that was evaluated during preparation of annual certification reports; and

\* systems that ensure access to information that facilitates timely follow up and inspection by FDA.

#### FDA Enforcement

FDA may take the following actions to enforce the MDR regulation:

#### FDA-Initiated or Voluntary Recalls

Recalls are regulatory actions that remove a hazardous, potentially hazardous, or a misbranded product from the marketplace. Recalls are also used to convey additional information to the user concerning the safe use of the product. Either FDA or the manufacturer can initiate recalls.

There are three classifications, i.e., I, II, or III, assigned by the Food and Drug Administration to a particular product recall to indicate the relative degree of health hazard presented by the product being recalled.

#### Class I

Is a situation in which there is a reasonable probability that the use of, or exposure to, a violative product will cause serious adverse health consequences or death.

#### Class II

Is a situation in which use of, or exposure to, a violative product may cause temporary or medically reversible adverse health consequences or where the probability of serious adverse health consequences is remote.

#### Class III

Is a situation in which use of, or exposure to, a violative product is not likely to cause adverse health consequences.

Fonar has initiated five voluntary recalls. Four of the recalls were Class II and one was Class III. The recalls involved making minor corrections to the product in the field. Frequently, corrections which are made at the site of the device are called field corrections as opposed to recalls.

#### Civil Money Penalties

The FDA, after an appropriate hearing, may impose civil money penalties for violations of the FD&C Act that relate to medical devices. In determining the amount of a civil penalty, FDA will take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violations, the violator's ability to pay, the effect on the violator's ability to continue to do business, and any history of prior violations. The civil money penalty may not exceed \$15,000 for each violation and may not exceed \$1,000,000 for all violations adjudicated in a single proceeding, per person.

#### Warning Letters

FDA issues written communications to a firm, indicating that the firm may incur more severe sanctions if the violations described in the letter are not corrected. Warning letters are issued to cause prompt correction of violations that pose a hazard to health or that involve economic deception. The FDA generally issues the letters before pursuing more severe sanctions.

#### Seizure

A seizure is a civil court action against a specific quantity of goods which enables the FDA to remove these goods from commercial channels. After seizure, no one may tamper with the goods except by permission of the court. The court usually gives the owner or claimant of the seized merchandise approximately 30 days to decide a course of action. If they take no action, the court will recommend disposal of the goods. If the owner decides to contest the government's charges, the court will schedule the case for trial. A third option allows the owner of the goods to request permission of the court to bring the goods into compliance with the law. The owner of the goods is required to provide a bond or, security deposit, to assure that they will perform the orders of the court, and the owner must pay for FDA supervision of any activities by the company to bring the goods into compliance.

#### Citation



A citation is a formal warning to a firm of intent to prosecute the firm if violations of the FD&C Act are not corrected. It provides the firm an opportunity to convince FDA not to prosecute.

### Injunction

An injunction is a civil action filed by FDA against an individual or company. Usually, FDA files an injunction to stop a company from continuing to manufacture, package or distribute products that are in violation of the law.

### Prosecution

Prosecution is a criminal action filed by FDA against a company or individual charging violation of the law for past practices.

### Foreign and Export Regulation

We obtain approvals as necessary in connection with the sales of our products in foreign countries. In some cases, FDA approval has been sufficient for foreign sales as well. Our standard practice has been to require either the distributor or the customer to obtain any such foreign approvals or licenses which may be required.

Legally marketed devices that comply with the requirements of the Food Drug & Cosmetic Act require a Certificate to Foreign Government issued by the FDA for export. Other devices that do not meet the requirements of the FD&C Act but comply with the laws of a foreign government require a Certificate of Exportability issued by the FDA. All products which we sell have FDA clearance and would fall into the first category.

Foreign governments have differing requirements concerning the import of medical devices into their respective jurisdictions. The European Union, also referred to as EU, made up of 27 individual countries, has some essential requirements described in the EU's Medical Device Directive, also referred to as MDD. In order to export to one of these countries, we must meet the essential requirements of the MDD and any additional requirements of the importing country. The essential requirements are similar to some of the requirements mandated by the FDA. In addition the MDD requires that we enlist a Notified Body to examine and assess our documentation, a Technical Construction File, and verify that the product has been manufactured in conformity with the documentation. The notified body must carry out or arrange for the inspections and tests necessary to verify that the product complies with the essential requirements of the MDD, including safety performance and Electromagnetic Compatibility, also referred to as EMC. Also required is a Quality System, ISO-9001, assessment by the Notified Body. We were approved for ISO 9001 certification for its Quality Management System in April, 1999.

We received clearances to sell the Fonar 360™ and Upright® MRI scanners in the EU in May, 2002.

Other countries require that their own testing laboratories perform an evaluation of our devices. This requires that we must bring the foreign agency's personnel to the USA to perform the evaluation at our expense before exporting.

Some countries, including many in Latin America and Africa, have very few regulatory requirements.

To date, Fonar has been able to comply with all foreign regulatory requirements applicable to its export sales.

#### HEALTH MANAGEMENT CORPORATION OF AMERICA

#### IMPERIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC

#### PHYSICIAN AND DIAGNOSTIC SERVICES MANAGEMENT BUSINESS

Health Management Corporation of America, formed under the name U.S. Health Management Corporation and referred to as "HMCA", was organized by FONAR in March 1997. HMCA was formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary which engages in the business of providing comprehensive management services to diagnostic imaging facilities. The services we provide include development, administration, leasing of office space, facilities and equipment, provision of supplies, staffing, training and supervision of non-medical personnel, legal services, accounting, billing and collection and the development and implementation of practice growth and marketing strategies.

Subsequently, in May 2011, HMCA contributed all of its assets, liabilities and business to Imperial which is controlled but not wholly-owned by HMCA. Imperial is continuing the business of HMCA utilizing the same facilities, equipment and personnel as HMCA. This transaction did not result in a change of control or policy, but was solely a means to raise capital. To avoid confusion in making comparisons and to show the continuity of the business, our physician management and diagnostic services segment is sometimes referred to as "HMCA-IMPERIAL" for both periods before and after May 2, 2011.

HMCA-IMPERIAL currently manages 11 MRI facilities. In April 2003, HMCA-IMPERIAL sold the portion of its business which managed primary care medical practices, and in July 2005, HMCA-IMPERIAL sold the portion of its

business engaged in the management of physical therapy and rehabilitation practices. This was the result of HMCA-IMPERIAL's decision to focus on management of MRI facilities, the business in which HMCA-IMPERIAL is most experienced. For the 2011 fiscal year, the revenues HMCA-IMPERIAL recognized from the MRI facilities increased to \$15.3 million, and in fiscal 2012 the revenues recognized from the MRI facilities further increased to \$20.7 million.

#### HMCA-IMPERIAL GROWTH STRATEGY

HMCA-IMPERIAL's growth strategy focuses on upgrading and expanding the existing facilities it manages and expanding the number of facilities it manages for its clients. Our most important effort in this regard has been to promote and facilitate the replacement of existing MRI scanners with new Fonar Upright® MRI scanners. Presently, we have Upright® MRI scanners at all of the MRI facilities we manage.

On May 1, 2010, HMCA-IMPERIAL purchased a 15.2% interest from an unrelated party of an entity that provides management services to a diagnostic center in the New York Metropolitan area. On January 1, 2011, the Company purchased an additional 34.8% interest by the issuance of a promissory note of \$400,000.

HMCA-IMPERIAL recently added an eleventh Upright® MRI facility that it manages in Westchester County, New York.

In connection with its focus on managing only MRI facilities, HMCA-IMPERIAL sold its business of managing physical therapy and rehabilitation practices on July 28, 2005 to Health Plus Management Services, L.L.C.

#### PHYSICIAN AND DIAGNOSTIC MANAGEMENT SERVICES

HMCA-IMPERIAL's services to the facilities it manages encompass substantially all of their business operations. Each facility is controlled, however, by the physician owner, not HMCA-IMPERIAL, and all medical services are performed by the physicians and other medical personnel under the physician-owner's supervision. HMCA-IMPERIAL is the management company and performs services of a non-professional nature. These services include:

1. **Offices and Equipment.** HMCA-IMPERIAL identifies, negotiates leases for and/or provides office space and equipment to its clients. This includes technologically sophisticated medical equipment. HMCA-IMPERIAL also provides improvements to leaseholds, assistance in site selection and advice on improving, updating, expanding and adapting to new technology.

2. Personnel. HMCA-IMPERIAL staffs all the non-medical positions of its clients with its own employees, eliminating the client's need to interview, train and manage non-medical employees. HMCA-IMPERIAL processes the necessary tax, insurance and other documentation relating to employees.

3. Administrative. HMCA-IMPERIAL assists in the scheduling of patient appointments, purchasing of office and medical supplies and equipment and handling of reporting, accounting, processing and filing systems. It prepares and files the physician portions of complex forms to enable its clients to participate in managed care programs and to qualify for insurance reimbursement. HMCA-IMPERIAL assists the clients to implement programs and procedures to ensure full and timely regulatory compliance and appropriate cost reimbursement under no-fault insurance and Workers' Compensation guidelines, as well as compliance with other applicable governmental requirements and regulations, including HIPAA and other privacy requirements.

4. Billing and Collections. HMCA-IMPERIAL is responsible for the billing and collection of revenues from third-party payors including those governed by No-Fault and Workers' Compensation statutes. HMCA-IMPERIAL is presently using a third party to perform its billing and collection services for its clients' No-Fault and Workers' Compensation scanning business.

5. Cost Saving Programs. Based on available volume discounts, HMCA-IMPERIAL seeks to assist in obtaining favorable pricing for office and medical supplies, equipment, contrast agents, such as gadolinium, and other inventory for its clients.

6. Diagnostic Imaging and Ancillary Services. HMCA-IMPERIAL can offer access to diagnostic imaging equipment through diagnostic imaging facilities it manages. The Company may expand the ancillary services offered in its network to include CT-scans and x-rays, if it is determined that such additions may be useful to clients.

7. Marketing Strategies. HMCA-IMPERIAL is responsible for developing and proposing marketing plans for its clients.

8. Expansion Plans. HMCA-IMPERIAL assists the clients in developing expansion plans including the opening of new or replacement facilities where appropriate.

HMCA-IMPERIAL advises clients on all aspects of their businesses, including expansion where it is a reasonable objective, on a continuous basis. HMCA-IMPERIAL's objective is to free physicians from as many non-medical duties as is practicable. Practices can treat patients more efficiently if the physicians can spend less time on business and administrative matters and more time practicing medicine.

HMCA-IMPERIAL provides its services pursuant to negotiated contracts with its clients. While HMCA-IMPERIAL believes it can provide the greatest value to its clients by furnishing the full range of services appropriate to that client, HMCA-IMPERIAL would also be willing to enter into contracts providing for a more limited spectrum of management services.

The facilities enter into contracts with third party payors, including managed care companies. Neither HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients nor HMCA-IMPERIAL participate in any capitated plans or other risk sharing arrangements. Capitated plans are those HMO programs where the provider is paid a flat monthly fee per patient.

As of June 22, 2007, Dr. Robert Diamond purchased the stock of the professional corporations owning eight New York sites managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL, previously owned by Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, the President, Chairman of the Board and principal stockholder of Fonar. Dr. Diamond has been reading scans for HMCA-IMPERIAL managed facilities for more than seven years. In connection with the sale, new management agreements were substituted for the existing management agreements, providing, for the same management services. The fees in fiscal 2009, however, were flat monthly fees in the aggregate amount of \$578,500 per month. The fees in fiscal 2010 were flat monthly fees in the aggregate amount of \$696,000 per month and in fiscal 2011 increased to \$892,930 per month in the aggregate. Fees under the management agreements are subject to adjustment by mutual agreement on an annual basis.

In fiscal 2012, the aggregate amount of management fees increased to \$1,708,739 per month in the aggregate.

Dr. Damadian still owns the three MRI facilities in Florida managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL. The fees for the three sites in Florida owned by Dr. Damadian are flat monthly fees ranging from \$194,051 to \$241,266 per month. No MRI facilities or other medical facilities are owned by HMCA-IMPERIAL.

HMCA-IMPERIAL contracts with Tritech Healthcare Management (Plainview, New York) to perform billing and collection for its clients' No-Fault and Workers' Compensation business for a fee of 5% of all adjusted No-Fault and Workers' Compensation claims with a \$30,000 monthly cap. As of June 30, 2012, the fee was \$360,000. HMCA-IMPERIAL handles all of its clients' other billings and collections.

In June 2011, Health Diagnostics, LLC, outsourced its billing, collections and credentialing operations for the sites that it manages to HMCA-IMPERIAL. The fee is 5% of all adjusted deposits. The revenue received by HMCA-IMPERIAL in fiscal 2011 from this arrangement was \$76,148 and in fiscal 2012 was \$1,824,802. This agreement is for a term of five years.

Since the types of medical practices that Health Diagnostics manages are very similar to those that HMCA-IMPERIAL manages, it is a natural expansion of HMCA-IMPERIAL services. With HMCA-IMPERIAL's 14 years of experience in billing, collecting and credentialing, this agreement is expected to benefit the customer and enhance the profitability of HMCA-IMPERIAL.

## HMCA-IMPERIAL MARKETING

HMCA-IMPERIAL's marketing strategy is to expand the business and improve the facilities which it manages. HMCA-IMPERIAL will seek to increase the number of locations of those facilities where market conditions are promising and to promote growth of its clients' patient volume and revenue.

## DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING FACILITIES AND OTHER ANCILLIARY SERVICES

Diagnostic imaging facilities managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL provide diagnostic imaging services to patients referred by physicians who are either in private practice or affiliated with managed care providers or other payor groups. The facilities are operated in a manner which eliminates the admission and other administrative inconveniences of in-hospital diagnostic imaging services. Imaging services are performed in an outpatient setting by trained medical technologists under the direction of physicians employed by the diagnostic imaging facilities. Following diagnostic procedures, the images are reviewed by the interpreting physicians who prepare a report of these tests and their findings. These reports are transcribed by HMCA-IMPERIAL personnel and then delivered to the referring physician.

HMCA-IMPERIAL develops marketing programs in an effort to establish and maintain profitable referring physician relationships and to maximize reimbursement yields. These marketing approaches identify and target selected market segments consisting of area physicians with certain desirable medical specialties and reimbursement yields. Corporate and facility managers determine these market segments based upon an analysis of competition, imaging demand, medical specialty and payor mix of each referral from the local market. HMCA-IMPERIAL also directs marketing efforts at managed care providers.

Managed care providers have become an important factor in the diagnostic imaging industry. To further its position, HMCA-IMPERIAL is seeking to expand the imaging modalities offered at its managed diagnostic imaging facilities.

## REIMBURSEMENT

HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients receive reimbursements for their MRI scans through Medicare, Medicaid, managed care and private insurance.

## Medicare

The Medicare program provides reimbursement for hospitalization, physician, diagnostic and certain other services to eligible persons 65 years of age and over and certain other individuals. Providers are paid by the federal government in accordance with regulations promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, HSS, and generally accept the payment with nominal deductible and co-insurance amounts required to be paid by the service recipient, as payment in full. Hospital inpatient services are reimbursed under a prospective payment system. Hospitals receive a specific prospective payment for inpatient treatment services based upon the diagnosis of the patient.

Under Medicare's prospective payment system for hospital outpatient services, or OPPOS, a hospital is paid for outpatient services on a rate per service basis that varies according to the ambulatory payment classification group, or APC, to which the service is assigned rather than on a hospital's costs. Each year the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, publishes new APC rates that are determined in accordance with the promulgated methodology.

Services provided in non-hospital based freestanding facilities, such as independent diagnostic treatment facilities, are paid under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, or MPFS. All of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients are presently in this category of independent diagnostic treatment facilities. The MPFS is updated on an annual basis.

## Healthcare Reform Legislation

Healthcare reform legislation enacted in the first quarter of 2010 by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act or PPACA, specifically requires the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, in computing physician practice expense relative value units, to increase the equipment utilization factor for advanced diagnostic imaging services (such as MRI, CT and PET) from a presumed utilization rate of 50% to 65% for 2010 through 2012, 70% in 2013, and 75% thereafter. Excluded from the adjustment are low-technology imaging modalities such as ultrasound, X-ray and fluoroscopy. The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (H.R. 4872) or Reconciliation Act, which was passed by the Senate and approved by the President on March 30, 2010, amends the provision for higher presumed utilization of advanced diagnostic imaging services to a presumed rate of 75%. These changes may result in decreased revenue for the scans we perform for Medicare beneficiaries. Other changes in reimbursement for services rendered by Medicare Advantage plans may also reduce the revenues we receive for services rendered to Medicare Advantage enrollees.

We have experienced reimbursement reductions for radiology services provided to Medicare beneficiaries, including reductions pursuant to the Deficit Reduction Act, or DRA.

The DRA, which became effective in 2007, set reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) in non-hospital based freestanding facilities at the lesser of OPFS or the MPFS.

In addition to the foregoing changes to the usage assumptions, CMS' 2010 regulatory changes to the MPFS also included a downward adjustment to services primarily involving the technical component rather than the physician work component, by adjusting downward malpractice payments for these services. The reductions will affect the services we provide, primarily impacting radiology and other diagnostic tests. As noted above, the changes to the MPFS will be transitioned over a four-year period such that beginning in 2013, CMS will fully implement the revised payment rates. This change to the MPFS, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. For our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, Medicare revenues represented approximately 8.3% of the revenues for HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients. The impact of the new MPFS will increase over the four-year transition period unless mitigated by future legislation (either currently proposed or pledged by Congress and the federal government administration).

Many of PPACA's provisions will not take effect for months or several years, while others are effective immediately. Many provisions also will require the federal government and individual state governments to interpret and implement the new requirements. In addition, PPACA remains the subject of significant debate, and proposals to repeal, block or amend the law have been introduced in Congress and many state legislatures. Finally, a number of state attorneys general have filed legal challenges to PPACA seeking to block its implementation on constitutional grounds. Because of the many variables involved, we are unable to predict how many of the legislative mandates contained in PPACA will be implemented or in what form, whether any additional or similar changes to statutes or regulations (including interpretations), will occur in the future, or what effect any future legislation or regulation would have on our business.

#### Medicaid

The Medicaid program is a jointly-funded federal and state program providing coverage for low-income persons. In addition to federally-mandated basic services, the services offered and reimbursement methods vary from state to state. In many states, Medicaid reimbursement is patterned after the Medicare program; however, an increasing number of states have established or are establishing payment methodologies intended to provide healthcare services to Medicaid patients through managed care arrangements. In fiscal 2012, approximately 1.1% of the revenues of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients were attributable to Medicaid.

#### Managed Care and Private Insurance.

Health Maintenance Organizations, or HMO's, Preferred Provider Organizations, or PPOs, and other managed care organizations attempt to control the cost of healthcare services by a variety of measures, including imposing lower payment rates, preauthorization requirements, limiting services and mandating less costly treatment alternatives.



Managed care contracting is competitive and reimbursement schedules are at or below Medicare reimbursement levels. Some managed care organizations have reduced or otherwise limited, and other managed care organizations may reduce or otherwise limit, reimbursement in response to reductions in government reimbursement. These reductions could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. These reductions have been, and any future reductions may be, similar to the reimbursement reductions proposed by CMS, Congress and the current federal government administration. The development and expansion of HMOs, PPOs and other managed care organizations within our core markets could have a negative impact on utilization of our services in certain markets and/or affect the revenues per procedure we can collect, since such organizations will exert greater control over patients' access to diagnostic imaging services, the selection of the provider of such services and the reimbursement thereof.

#### HMCA-IMPERIAL COMPETITION

The physician and diagnostic management services field is highly competitive. A number of large hospitals have acquired medical practices and this trend may continue. HMCA-IMPERIAL expects that more competition will develop. Many competitors have greater financial and other resources than HMCA-IMPERIAL.

With respect to the diagnostic imaging facilities managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL, the outpatient diagnostic imaging industry is highly competitive. Competition focuses primarily on attracting physician referrals at the local market level and increasing referrals through relationships with managed care organizations. HMCA-IMPERIAL believes that principal competitors for the diagnostic imaging centers are hospitals and independent or management company-owned imaging centers. Competitive factors include quality and timeliness of test results, ability to develop and maintain relationships with managed care organizations and referring physicians, type and quality of equipment, facility location, convenience of scheduling and availability of patient appointment times. HMCA-IMPERIAL believes that it will be able to effectively meet the competition in the outpatient diagnostic imaging industry with the new Fonar Upright® MRI scanners at its facilities.

#### GOVERNMENT REGULATION APPLICABLE TO HMCA-IMPERIAL

##### FEDERAL REGULATION

The healthcare industry is highly regulated and changes in laws and regulations can be significant. Changes in the law or new interpretation of existing laws can have a material effect on our permissible activities, the relative costs associated with doing business and the amount of reimbursement by government and other third-party payors.

##### Federal False Claims Act

The federal False Claims Act and, in particular, the False Claims Act's "qui tam" or "whistleblower" provisions allow a private individual to bring actions in the name of the government alleging that a defendant has made false claims for payment from federal funds. After the individual has initiated the lawsuit, the government must decide whether to intervene in the lawsuit and to become the primary prosecutor. If the government declines to join the lawsuit, the individual may choose to pursue the case alone, although the government must be kept apprised of the progress of the lawsuit, and may intervene later. Whether or not the federal government intervenes in the case, it will receive the majority of any recovery. If the litigation is successful, the individual is entitled to no less than 15%, but no more than 30%, of whatever amount the government recovers that is related to the whistleblower's allegations. When an entity is determined to have violated the federal False Claims Act, it must pay three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus mandatory civil penalties of between \$5,500 to \$11,000 for each separate false claim, as well as the government's attorneys' fees. Liability arises when an entity knowingly submits, or causes someone else to submit, a false claim for reimbursement to the federal government. The False Claims Act defines the term "knowingly" broadly, though simple negligence will not give rise to liability under the False Claims Act. Examples of the other actions which may lead to liability under the False Claims Act:

Failure to comply with the many technical billing requirements applicable to our Medicare and Medicaid business.

Failure to comply with the prohibition against billing for services ordered or supervised by a physician who is excluded from any federal healthcare program, or the prohibition against employing or contracting with any person or entity excluded from any federal healthcare program.

Failure to comply with the Medicare physician supervision requirements for the services we provide, or the Medicare documentation requirements concerning physician supervision.

The Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 expanded the scope of the False Claims Act by, among other things, broadening protections for whistleblowers and creating liability for knowingly retaining a government overpayment, acting in deliberate ignorance of a government overpayment or acting in reckless disregard of a government overpayment. The recently enacted healthcare reform bills in the form of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, "PPACA") expanded on changes made by the 2009 Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act with regard to such "reverse false claims." Under PPACA, the knowing failure to report and return an overpayment within 60 days of identifying the overpayment or by the date a corresponding cost report is due, whichever is later, constitutes a violation of the False Claims Act. HMCA-IMPERIAL and its clients have never been sued under the False Claims Act and believe they are in compliance with the law.

Stark Law

Under the federal Self-Referral Law, also referred to as the "Stark Law", which is applicable to Medicare and Medicaid patients, and the self-referral laws of various States, certain health practitioners, including physicians, chiropractors and podiatrists, are prohibited from referring their patients for the provision of designated health services, including diagnostic imaging and physical therapy services, to any entity with which they or their immediate family members have a financial relationship, unless the referral fits within one of the specific exceptions in the statutes or regulations. The federal government has taken the position that a violation of the federal Stark Law is also a violation of the Federal False Claims Act. Statutory exceptions under the Stark Law include, among others, direct physician services, in-office ancillary services rendered within a group practice, space and equipment rental and services rendered to enrollees of certain prepaid health plans. Some of these exceptions are also available under the State self-referral laws. HMCA-IMPERIAL believes that it and its clients are in compliance with these laws.

#### Anti-kickback Regulation

We are subject to federal and state laws which govern financial and other arrangements between healthcare providers. These include the federal anti-kickback statute which, among other things, prohibits the knowing and willful solicitation, offer, payment or receipt of any remuneration, direct or indirect, in cash or in kind, in return for or to induce the referral of patients for items or services covered by Medicare, Medicaid and certain other governmental health programs. Under PPACA, knowledge of the anti-kickback statute or the specific intent to violate the law is not required. Violation of the anti-kickback statute may result in civil or criminal penalties and exclusion from the Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs, and according to PPACA, now provides a basis for liability under the False Claims Act. In addition, it is possible that private parties may file "qui tam" actions based on claims resulting from relationships that violate the anti-kickback statute, seeking significant financial rewards. Many states have enacted similar statutes, which are not limited to items and services paid for under Medicare or a federally funded healthcare program. Neither HMCA-IMPERIAL nor its clients engage in this practice.

In fiscal 2012, approximately 8.3% of the revenues of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients were attributable to Medicare and 1.1% were attributable to Medicaid. In fiscal 2011, approximately 17.4% of the revenues of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients were attributable to Medicare and 2.7% were attributable to Medicaid.

#### Deficit Reduction Act (DRA)

On February 8, 2006, the President signed into law the DRA. Effective January 1, 2007, the DRA provides that Medicare reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) performed in freestanding facilities will be capped. Payment will be the lesser of the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule or the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPS) rates. Implementation of these reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA has had an adverse effect on our business. In fiscal 2012, however, we were able to counter this effect by increasing our clients' scan volumes through our vigorous marketing efforts.

The DRA also codified the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts previously announced by CMS, the agency responsible for administering the Medicare program. In November 2005, CMS announced that it would pay 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% of the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for imaging procedures involving contiguous body parts within a family of codes when performed in the same session. CMS had indicated that it would phase in this 50% rate reduction over two years, so that the reduction was 25% for each additional imaging procedure in 2006 and another 25% reduction scheduled for 2007. However, for services furnished on or after July 1, 2010, the PPACA which, as stated above, was signed into law on March 23, 2010, requires the full 50% reduction to be implemented. We have determined that the impact of this final 25% reduction is, and will likely be in the future, immaterial to our operating results.

#### Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

Congress enacted the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, in part, to combat healthcare fraud and to protect the privacy and security of patients' individually identifiable healthcare information. HIPAA, among other things, amends existing crimes and criminal penalties for Medicare fraud and enacts new federal healthcare fraud crimes, including actions affecting non-government healthcare benefit program by means of false or fraudulent representations in connection with the delivery of healthcare services is subject to a fine or imprisonment, or potentially both. In addition, HIPAA authorizes the imposition of civil money penalties against entities that employ or enter into contracts with excluded Medicare or Medicaid program participants if such entities provide services to federal health program beneficiaries. A finding of liability under HIPAA could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, HIPAA requires healthcare providers and their business associates to maintain the privacy and security of individually identifiable protected health information ("PHI"). HIPAA imposes federal standards for electronic transactions, for the security of electronic health information and for protecting the privacy of PHI. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009 ("HITECH"), signed into law on February 17, 2009, dramatically expanded, among other things, (1) the scope of HIPAA to now apply directly to "business associates," or independent contractors who receive or obtain PHI in connection with providing a service to a covered entity, (2) substantive security and privacy obligations, including new federal security breach notification requirements to affected individuals, DHHS and prominent media outlets, of certain breaches of unsecured PHI, (3) restrictions on marketing communications and a prohibition on covered entities or business associates from receiving remuneration in exchange for PHI, and (4) the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed for HIPAA violations, increasing the annual cap in penalties from \$25,000 to \$1.5 million per year.

In addition, many states have enacted comparable privacy and security statutes or regulations that, in some cases, are most stringent than HIPAA requirements. In those cases it may be necessary to modify our operations and procedures to comply with the more stringent state laws, which may entail significant and costly changes for us. We believe that we are in compliance with such state laws and regulations. However, if we fail to comply with applicable state laws and regulations, we could be subject to additional sanctions.

We believe that we are in compliance with the current HIPAA requirements, as amended by HITECH, and comparable state laws, but we anticipate that we may encounter certain costs associated with future compliance. Moreover, we cannot guarantee that enforcement agencies or courts will not make interpretations of the HIPAA standards that are inconsistent with ours, or the interpretations of our contracted radiology practices or their affiliated physicians. A finding of liability under the HIPAA standards may result in significant criminal and civil penalties. Noncompliance also may result in exclusion from participation in government programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. These actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

#### Civil Money Penalty Law and Other Federal Statutes

The Civil Money Penalty, or CMP, law covers a variety of practices. It provides a means of administrative enforcement of the anti-kickback statute, and prohibits false claims, claims for medically unnecessary services, violations of Medicare participating provider or assignment agreements and other practices. The statute gives the Office of Inspector General of the HHS the power to seek substantial civil fines, exclusion and other sanctions against providers or others who violate the CMP prohibitions.

In addition, in 1996, Congress created a new federal crime: healthcare fraud and false statements relating to healthcare matters. The healthcare fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private payors. A violation of this statute is a felony and may result in fines, imprisonment or exclusion from government sponsored programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

#### Certificates of Need

Some states require hospitals and certain other healthcare facilities and providers to obtain a certificate of need, or CON, or similar regulatory approval prior to establishing certain healthcare operations or services, incurring certain capital projects and/or the acquisition of major medical equipment including MRI and PET/CT systems. We are not operating in any such states.

#### Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law healthcare reform legislation in the form of PPACA. The implementation of this law will likely have a profound impact on the healthcare industry. Most of the provisions of PPACA will be phased in over the next four years and can be conceptualized as a broad framework not only to provide health insurance coverage to millions of Americans, but to fundamentally change the delivery of care by bringing together elements of health information technology, evidence-based medicine, chronic disease management, medical “homes,” care collaboration and shared financial risk in a way that will accelerate industry adoption and change.

There are also many provisions addressing cost containment, reductions of Medicare and other payments and heightened compliance requirements and additional penalties, which will create further challenges for providers. We are unable to predict the full impact of PPACA at this time due to the law's complexity and current lack of implementing regulations or interpretive guidance. Moving forward, we believe that the federal government will likely have greater involvement in the healthcare industry than in prior years.

#### State Regulation

In addition to the federal self-referral law and federal Anti-kickback statute, many States, including those in which HMCA-IMPERIAL and its clients operate, have their own versions of self-referral and anti-kickback laws. These laws are not limited in their applicability, as are the federal laws, to specific programs. HMCA-IMPERIAL believes that it and its clients are in compliance with these laws.

Various States prohibit business corporations from practicing medicine. Various States also prohibit the sharing of professional fees or fee splitting. Consequently, HMCA-IMPERIAL leases space and equipment to clients and provides clients with a range of non-medical administrative and managerial services for agreed upon fees. HMCA-IMPERIAL does not engage in the practice of medicine or establish standards of medical practice or policies for its clients in any State even where permitted.

HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients generate revenue from patients covered by no-fault insurance and workers' compensation programs. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 approximately 33.8% of our clients' receipts were from patients covered by no-fault insurance and approximately 3.7% of our client's receipts were from patients covered by workers' compensation programs. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, approximately 30.7% of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients' receipts were from patients covered by no-fault insurance and approximately 3.5% of HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients' receipts were from patients covered by workers' compensation programs. In the event that changes in these laws alter the fee structures or methods of providing service, or impose additional or different requirements, HMCA-IMPERIAL could be required to modify its business practices and services in ways that could be more costly to HMCA-IMPERIAL or in ways that decrease the revenues which HMCA-IMPERIAL receives from its clients.

#### Compliance Program

We maintain a program to monitor compliance with federal and state laws and regulations applicable to the healthcare entities. We have a compliance officer who is charged with implementing and supervising our compliance program, which includes the adoption of (i) Standards of Conduct for our employees and affiliates and (ii) a process that specifies how employees, affiliates and others may report regulatory or ethical concerns to our compliance officer. We believe that our compliance program meets the relevant standards provided by the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

An important part of our compliance program consists of conducting periodic audits of various aspects of our operations and that of the contracted radiology practices. We also conduct mandatory educational programs designed to familiarize our employees with the regulatory requirements and specific elements of our compliance program.

HMCA-IMPERIAL believes that it and its clients are in compliance with applicable Federal, State and local laws. HMCA-IMPERIAL does not believe that such laws will have any material effect on its business.

## EMPLOYEES

As of July 1, 2012, we employed 244 persons on a full-time and part-time basis. Of such employees, 4 were engaged in marketing and sales, 9 in research and development, 17 in production, 33 in customer support services, 21 in administration, 102 on site at facilities and offices, 35 performing billing and collection functions managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL and 23 performing transcription services for those facilities.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Fonar leases approximately 117,000 square feet of office and plant space at its principal offices in Melville, New York and at one other location in Melville, New York at a current aggregate annual rental rate of \$1,336,043, excluding utilities, taxes and other related expenses. The term of one of the leases includes options to renew up through 2016 and the terms of the other leases extend to 2013. Management believes that the premises will be adequate for its current needs. HMCA-IMPERIAL already has consolidated its headquarters with those of Fonar as part of Fonar's cost cutting program. HMCA-IMPERIAL maintains leased office premises for its clients at the clients' sites having an aggregate annual rental rate of approximately \$849,000 under leases having various terms.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On or about June 30, 2010, one of Fonar's customers, Golden Triangle Company, commenced an action against Fonar and certain individual defendants employed or formerly employed by Fonar, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York based on the alleged wrongful failure of Fonar to deliver a scanner in Kuwait. The claim alleges various causes of action including breach of contract, fraud, conspiracy to defraud and conversion. *Golden Triangle Company v. Fonar Corporation et al*, CV10-2933. Plaintiff contracted with Fonar to purchase a scanner, and paid \$1,455,500 in advance. The scanner was never delivered, but Plaintiff never designed a site for delivery either. Alleging other damages, fraud and deceptive trade practices, Plaintiff seeks as much as \$5,000,000. Fonar made a motion to dismiss the complaint, the outcome of which left plaintiff with only a cause of action for breach of contract. The claims against the individual officers and employees of Fonar were dismissed. Fonar now has filed its answer, together with a counterclaim alleging that the plaintiff, by attempting to overcharge the end-customer, has damaged Fonar's reputation and ability to sell in Kuwait. Golden Triangle has replied to Fonar's counterclaim and the case is now in discovery. The deadline for completing discovery is December 31, 2012.

In addition, we are or were party to additional less significant actions in which the customers are seeking to obtain a return of their deposits for MRI scanners on the grounds that various contingencies failed to materialize. *Upright MRI of Chicago, LLC v. Fonar*, Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (\$310,000), *Matt Malek Madison v. Fonar*, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California (\$300,000), and *Jack Shapiro v. Fonar Corporation*, Supreme Court, Nassau County, New York (\$500,000 although the actual deposit was \$323,000). In the *Upright MRI of Chicago* case, the case was settled by an arrangement whereby a third party took over the sales agreement and agreed to pay the original purchaser the down payment it made. In the *Madison* case, the Court granted summary judgment to Madison for the deposit and prejudgment interest. We appealed the judgment but lost. Inexplicably, however, the plaintiff has not taken any action to enforce the judgment. As of June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a liability of \$372,000 in



connection with this judgment. In the Shapiro case, Shapiro, who was also a sales representative for Fonar, and Fonar were attempting to negotiate a settlement, but the plaintiff has served Fonar with discovery demands.

On December 2, 2011, Bonutti Research filed an action filed in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Court of New York. The complaint alleges that Fonar's Upright® MRI scanners infringe plaintiff's patent. Fonar believes plaintiff's claims are without merit. Fonar believes the claims of the Bonutti patent are invalidated by bibliography of published prior art. In addition the Bonutti invention was never built and does not work for multiple technologic reasons. The plaintiff served the complaint on the last possible day permitted after filing. The defendants obtained an extension of time to answer to May 18, 2012. Subsequently, on or about July 3, 2012, Bonutti hired new substitute counsel and requested a 60 day extension to answer Fonar's counterclaims and to postpone the initial conference. Bonutti has answered our counterclaims and an initial conference with the magistrate judge has been scheduled. The conference with the court is now scheduled for September 28, 2012. At this point we are unable to assess the amount in controversy as no damages were specified. The patent in question has now expired.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Part II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our Common Stock is traded in the Nasdaq SmallCap market under the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System, also referred to as "NASDAQ", symbol FONR. The following table sets forth the high and low trades reported in NASDAQ System for the periods shown.

Fiscal Quarter		High	Low
January - March	2010	3.81	1.19
April - June	2010	2.24	1.40
July - September	2010	1.94	1.31
October - December	2010	2.29	1.00
January - March	2011	2.57	1.25
April - June	2011	3.20	1.65
July - September	2011	2.70	1.63
October - December	2011	2.16	1.36
January - March	2012	2.89	1.68
April - June	2012	6.80	2.68
July - September 14,	2012	4.12	3.02

On September 6, 2012, we had approximately 2,652 stockholders of record of our Common Stock, 12 stockholders of record of our Class B Common Stock, 3 stockholders of record of our Class C Common Stock and 2,456 stockholders of record of our Class A Non-voting Preferred Stock.

At the present time, the only class of our securities for which there is a market is the Common Stock.

We paid cash dividends in fiscal 1998 and the first three quarters of fiscal 1999 on monies we received from the enforcement of our patents. Except for these dividends, we have not paid any cash dividends. Except for these dividends, we expect that we will retain earnings to finance the development and expansion of our business for the

foreseeable future.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.

INTRODUCTION.

Fonar was formed in 1978 to engage in the business of designing, manufacturing and selling MRI scanners. In 1997, we formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Health Management Corporation of America, also referred to as "HMCA-IMPERIAL", formerly known as U.S. Health Management Corporation, in order to expand into the physician and diagnostic management services business.

Fonar's principal MRI products are its Stand-Up®/Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ MRI scanners. The Stand-Up® MRI allows patients to be scanned for the first time under weight-bearing conditions. The Stand-Up® MRI is the only MRI capable of producing images in the weight-bearing state.

At 0.6 Tesla field strength, the Upright® MRI and Fonar 360™ magnets are among the highest field open MRI scanners in the industry, offering non-claustrophobic MRI together with high-field image quality. Fonar's open MRI scanners were the first high field strength open MRI scanners in the industry.

HMCA-IMPERIAL commenced operations in July, 1997 and generates revenues from providing comprehensive management services, including development, administration, accounting, billing and collection services, together with office space, medical equipment, supplies and non-medical personnel to its clients. Revenues are in the form of fees which are earned under contracts with HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients. Since July 2005, HMCA-IMPERIAL has engaged only in the management of MRI facilities.

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, 32.2% and 33.6%, respectively, of HMCA-IMPERIAL's revenues were derived from contracts with facilities owned by Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, the President of Fonar and HMCA-IMPERIAL and principal stockholder of Fonar. The agreements with these MRI facilities are for one-year terms which renew automatically on an annual basis, unless terminated. The fees for the sites owned by Dr. Damadian in Florida are flat monthly fees ranging from \$194,051 to \$241,266. The balance of HMCA-IMPERIAL's revenues are derived from contracts with MRI facilities purchased by Dr. Robert Diamond from Dr. Damadian. The MRI facilities owned by Dr. Diamond are charged a flat fee, pursuant to contracts executed in connection with the sale of the MRI facilities at the end of fiscal 2007. The fees are reviewed and if appropriate, adjusted on an annual basis by mutual agreement. During fiscal 2012, these fees ranged from \$100,000 per month to \$241,000 per month.

## Industry Updates

For services for which we bill Medicare directly, we are paid under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, which is updated on an annual basis. Under the Medicare statutory formula, payments under the Physician Fee Schedule would have decreased for the past several years if Congress failed to intervene.

For 2010, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) projected a rate reduction of 21.2% in the absence of Congressional intervention. However, over the course of the first six months of 2010, various temporary solutions were enacted by Congress which resulted in delaying any such change to the physician fee schedule. Ultimately, a 2.2% increase in the conversion factor was passed by Congress effective June 1, 2010, further delaying the pending 21.2% conversion factor reduction to November 30, 2010. On November 2, 2010, CMS released the calendar year 2011 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule. Again, the rule would have significantly reduced physician fee schedule payments in 2011 had Congress not acted by passing the Physician Payment and Therapy Relief Act of 2010 and the Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010, which together continued the 2.2% update from June 2010 through December 31, 2011. Similarly, the calendar year 2012 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule provided for a 27.4% decrease to the physician fee schedule which was averted by Congress passing the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012. While Congress has historically provided temporary relief from the formula-driven reductions in the conversion factor, it cannot be guaranteed that Congress will act to provide relief in the future. The failure of Congress to act could adversely impact our revenues and results of operations.

MIPPA also modified the methodology by which the budget neutrality formula was applied to the 2009 physician fee schedule payment rates, resulting in an overall reduction in payment rates for services performed by many specialties, including an estimated 1% reduction for nuclear medicine. The impact of the payment rates on specific companies depends on their service mix. Also with respect to MIPPA, the legislation requires all suppliers that provide the technical component of diagnostic MRI, PET/CT, CT, and nuclear medicine to be accredited by an accreditation organization designated by CMS (which currently include the ACR, the IAC and The Joint Commission) by January 1, 2012. Our facilities are currently accredited by the ACR.

A number of other legislative changes impact our physician management and diagnostic services business. For example, beginning on January 1, 2007, the DRA imposed caps on Medicare payment rates for certain imaging services furnished in physician’s offices and other non-hospital based settings. Under the cap, payments for specified imaging services cannot exceed the hospital outpatient payment rates for those services. The limitation is applicable only to the technical components of the diagnostic imaging services. CMS issues on an annual basis the hospital outpatient prospective payment rates, which are used to develop the caps. If the technical component of the service established under the Physician Fee Schedule (without including geographic adjustments) exceeds the hospital outpatient payment amount for the service (also without including geographic adjustments), then the payment is to be reduced. In other words, in those instances where the technical component for the particular service is greater for the non-hospital site, the DRA directs that the hospital outpatient payment rate be substituted for the otherwise applicable Physician Fee Schedule payment rate.

The DRA also codified the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts, which was previously announced by CMS. The DRA mandated payment at 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% for the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for multiple images of contiguous body parts within a family of codes performed in the same session. Initially, CMS announced that it would phase in this reimbursement reduction over a two-year period, to include a 25% reduction for each additional imaging procedure on contiguous body parts in 2006 and an additional 25% reduction in 2007. CMS did not implement the additional 25% reduction scheduled for 2007, but for services furnished on or after July 1, 2010, PPACA requires the full 50% reduction to be implemented.

Regulatory updates to payment rates for which we bill the Medicare program directly are published annually by CMS. For payments under the Physician Fee Schedule for calendar year 2010, CMS changed the way it calculates components of the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule. First, CMS reduced payment rates for certain diagnostic services using equipment costing more than \$1 million through revisions to usage assumptions from the current 50% usage rate to a 90% usage rate. This change applied to MRI and CT scans. However, for certain diagnostic services performed on or after January 1, 2011, the Reconciliation Act reduces the assumed usage rate for such equipment from CMS's current rate of 90% to a rate of 75%, resulting in an increase in payment rates for such services.

Recent global market and economic conditions have been unprecedented. Concerns about the potential long-term and widespread recession, weak recovery, inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the United States mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the United States have contributed to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for the United States economy. These conditions, combined with declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have contributed to unusual volatility. At this time, it is unclear what impact this might have on our future revenues or business.

As a result of these market conditions, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce, and in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers. If market conditions continue, they may limit our ability to timely access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in adverse effects on our financial condition and results of operations.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to investments, intangible assets, income taxes, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent

from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We recognize revenue and related costs of revenue from sales contracts for our MRI scanners, under the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, we recognize revenue and related costs of revenue, as each sub-assembly is completed. Amounts received in advance of our commencement of production are recorded as customer advances.

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. As of June 30, 2012, we recorded a valuation allowance which reduced our deferred tax assets to equal our deferred tax liability.

We amortize our intangible assets, including patents, purchased management agreements and capitalized software development costs, over the shorter of the contractual/legal life or the estimated economic life. Our amortization life for patents and capitalized software development costs is 15 to 17 years and 5 years, respectively.

We periodically assess the recoverability of long-lived assets, including property and equipment, intangibles and management agreements, when there are indications of potential impairment, based on estimates of undiscounted future cash flows. The amount of impairment is calculated by comparing anticipated discounted future cash flows with the carrying value of the related asset. In performing this analysis, management considers such factors as current results, trends, and future prospects, in addition to other economic factors.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. FISCAL 2012 COMPARED TO FISCAL 2011

In fiscal 2012, we experienced a net income of \$6.9 million on revenues of \$39.4 million, as compared to net income of \$3.3 million on revenues of \$33.1 million for fiscal 2011. This represents an increase in revenues of 19.0%. Increased management fees of 35.3% was the principal factor accounting for the increased revenues of the Company. Related party management fees increased by 29.5%. In addition, total costs and expenses increased by 9.9%. Our consolidated operating results improved by \$3.4 million to an operating income of \$7.2 million for fiscal 2012 as compared to an operating income of \$3.8 million for fiscal 2011.

### Discussion of Operating Results of Medical Equipment Segment

#### Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011

Revenues attributable to our medical equipment segment increased by 5% to \$18.7 million in fiscal 2012 from \$17.8 million in fiscal 2011, with product sales revenues increasing 3.6% from \$6.7 million in fiscal 2011 to \$6.9 million in fiscal 2012. Service revenue increased from \$11.1 million in fiscal 2011 to \$11.8 million in fiscal 2012.

The Upright® MRI is unique in that it permits MRI scans to be performed on patients upright in the weight-bearing state and in multiple positions that correlate with symptoms. An important event in our ongoing effort to educate both the medical community and payors about the benefits, if not necessity, of utilizing Upright® MRI scanning, occurred in fiscal 2007 when we sold an Upright® MRI scanner to the largest orthopedic hospital in the Netherlands, St. Maartenskliniek. Upon placing the order, the Chairman of Spine Surgery at St. Maartenskliniek expressed the view that for their hospital to continue to engage in spine surgery without Fonar's Upright® MRI technology, now that it was available was "unacceptable" and that owning the scanner "was not optional, but mandatory". He further stated that "once our active research program has discovered the benefits of this new Fonar technology for patients, we intend to publish the results in a lot of peer reviewed scientific journals".

Product sales to unrelated parties increased by 3.6% in fiscal 2012 from \$6.7 million in fiscal 2011 to \$6.9 million in fiscal 2012. There were no product sales to related parties in fiscal 2012 or 2011.

We believe that one of our principal challenges in achieving greater market penetration is attributable to the better name recognition and larger sales forces of our larger competitors such as General Electric, Siemens, Hitachi, Philips and Toshiba and the ability of some of our competitors to offer attractive financing terms through affiliates, such as G.E. Capital. Nevertheless, no other competitor offers a whole body weight-bearing multi-position MRI scanner as the FONAR Upright® MRI.

The operating results for the medical equipment segment increased by \$1.3 million from income of \$1.4 million in 2011 to income of \$2.7 million in fiscal 2012. This increase is attributable most significantly to a decrease in our operating expenses.

We recognized revenues of \$6.3 million from the sale of our Upright® MRI scanners in fiscal 2012, while in fiscal 2011, we recognized revenues of \$5.3 million from the sale of Upright® MRI scanners.

None of our revenues for fiscal 2012 or fiscal 2011 were attributable to sales to related parties.

Research and development expenses, net of capitalized costs, decreased by 13.7% to \$1.2 million in fiscal 2012 as compared to \$1.4 million in fiscal 2011. Our expenses for fiscal 2012 represented continued research and development of Fonar's scanners, Fonar's new hardware and software product, Sympulse™ and new surface coils to be used with the Upright® MRI scanner.



Discussion of Operating Results of Physician and Diagnostic Services Management Segment.

Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011

Revenues attributable to the Company's physician and diagnostic services management segment, HMCA-IMPERIAL, increased by 35% to \$20.7 million in fiscal 2012 from \$15.3 million in fiscal 2011. The increase in revenues was primarily due to the renegotiation of some of the contracts between HMCA-IMPERIAL and its clients and the recognition of \$1.8 million in revenues from Health Diagnostics, LLC. All of the MRI facilities managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL have Upright® MRI scanners.

Cost of revenues as a percentage of the related revenues for our physician and diagnostic services management segment increased from \$9.7 million or 63.4% of related revenues for the year ended June 30, 2011 to \$12.3 million, or 59.4% of related revenue for the year ended June 30, 2012.

Operating results of this segment increased from operating income of \$2.4 million in fiscal 2011 to operating income of \$4.5 million in fiscal 2012. We believe that our efforts to expand and improve the operation of our physician and diagnostic services management segment are directly responsible for the profitability of this segment and our company as a whole.

Discussion of Certain Consolidated Results of Operations

Fiscal 2012 Compared to Fiscal 2011

Interest and investment income increased in 2012 compared to 2011. We recognized interest income of \$243,254 in 2012 as compared to \$228,174 in fiscal 2011, representing an increase of 6.6%.

Interest expense of \$478,663 was recognized in fiscal 2012, as compared to \$518,532 in fiscal 2011, representing a decrease of 7.7%.

While revenue increased by 19.0%, selling, general and administrative expenses, increased by only 3.4% to \$8.7 million in fiscal 2012 from \$8.4 million in fiscal 2011.

Compensatory element of stock issuances decreased from approximately \$204,000 in fiscal 2011 to \$180,000 in fiscal 2012, reflecting a decrease in Fonar's use of its stock bonus plans to pay employees and others.

The higher provision for bad debts of \$1.1 million in fiscal 2012 as compared to \$963,000 in fiscal 2011, reflected an increase in reserves for certain indebtedness in fiscal 2012 by our physician and diagnostic services management segment. In fiscal 2012, the three Florida sites managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL jointly and severally guaranteed the payment of their management fees to HMCA-IMPERIAL, further securing HMCA-IMPERIAL's management fee receivables.

Revenue from service and repair fees increased from \$11.1 million in fiscal 2011 to \$11.8 million in fiscal 2012 as scanners previously under warranty entered into service agreements with FONAR.

Continuing our tradition as the originator of MRI, we remain committed to maintaining our position as the leading innovator of the industry through investing in research and development. In fiscal 2012 we continued our investment in the development of our new MRI scanners, together with software and upgrades, with an investment of \$1,242,656 in research and development, none of which was capitalized, as compared to \$1,507,290, \$67,258 of which was capitalized, in fiscal 2011. The research and development expenditures were approximately 6.6% of revenues attributable to our medical equipment segment and 3.2% of total revenues in 2012, and 8.1% of medical equipment segment revenues and 4.3% of total revenues in fiscal 2011. This represented a 13.7% decrease in research and development expenditures in fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011. Notwithstanding the decrease in research and development expenditures in connection with our overall cost cutting programs, we remain fully committed to developing new features, software and upgrades to improve its products.

The physician and diagnostic services management segment, HMCA-IMPERIAL, revenues increased, from \$15.3 in fiscal 2011 to \$20.7 million in fiscal 2012. This is primarily attributable to increased revenue received by HMCA-IMPERIAL from its contracts with its clients.

We have been taking steps to improve HMCA-IMPERIAL revenues by our marketing efforts, which focus on the unique capability of our Upright® MRI scanners to scan patients in different positions. We have also been increasing the number of health insurance plans in which our clients participate.

Marketing expenditures may increase, as the Company continues its efforts to promote sales.

Our management fees are dependent on collection by our clients of fees from reimbursements from Medicare, Medicaid, private insurance, no fault and workers' compensation carriers, self-pay and other third-party payors. The health care industry is experiencing the effects of the federal and state governments' trend toward cost containment, as governments and other third-party payors seek to impose lower reimbursement and utilization rates and negotiate

reduced payment schedules with providers. The cost-containment measures, consolidated with the increasing influence of managed-care payors and competition for patients, have resulted in reduced rates of reimbursement for services provided by our clients from time to time. Our future revenues and results of operations may be adversely impacted by future reductions in reimbursement rates.

Certain third-party payors have proposed and implemented changes in the methods and rates of reimbursement that have had the effect of substantially decreasing reimbursement for diagnostic imaging services that HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients provide. To the extent reimbursement from third-party payors is reduced, it will likely have an adverse impact on the rates they pay us, as they would need to reduce the management fees they pay HMCA-IMPERIAL to offset such decreased reimbursement rates. Furthermore, many commercial health care insurance arrangements are changing, so that individuals bear greater financial responsibility through high deductible plans, co-insurance and higher co-payments, which may result in patients delaying or foregoing medical procedures. We expect that any further changes to the rates or methods of reimbursement for services, which reduce the reimbursement per scan of our clients may partially offset the increases in scan volume we are working to achieve for our clients, and indirectly will result in a decline in our revenues.

In 2009, the Obama administration announced its intentions for healthcare reform in the United States. Legislation adopting healthcare reform was passed in 2010. On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law healthcare reform legislation in the form of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or PPACA. The implementation of this law will likely have a profound impact on the healthcare industry, most of which will be experienced in 2013 and thereafter. Healthcare cost containment, reductions of Medicare and other payments, and increased regulation will present additional challenges for healthcare providers. We are unable to predict the full impact of PPACA at this time, but anticipate the possibility that it may reduce the profitability of both our medical equipment segment and physician and diagnostic services management segment. In addition there are also political uncertainties which may result in the repeal or modification of PPACA or the adoption of alternative medical cost containment and insurance requirements.

In addition, the use of radiology benefit managers, or RBM's has increased in recent years. It is common practice for health insurance carriers to contract with RBMs to manage utilization of diagnostic imaging procedures for their insureds. In many cases, this leads to lower utilization of imaging procedures based on a determination of medical necessity. The efficacy of RBMs is still a high controversial topic. We cannot predict whether the healthcare legislation or the use of RBMs will negatively impact our business, but it is possible that our financial position and results of operations could be negatively affected.

At the present time healthcare reform has not directly affected our business, but we believe uncertainty as to the ultimate impact of healthcare reform, taxes, and the state of the economy have hurt our scanner sales.

As a result of our loss for fiscal 2010, Fonar did not meet NASDAQ's criteria for continued listing. During fiscal 2011 Fonar was able to avoid delisting and to come into compliance with NASDAQ's requirements and has remained in compliance during fiscal 2012.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities increased by 30.0% from \$9.3 million at June 30, 2011 to \$12.0 million at June 30, 2012.

Marketable securities approximated \$32,000 as of June 30, 2012, as compared to \$33,000 as of June 30, 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities for fiscal 2012 approximated \$7.4 million. Cash provided by operating activities was attributable to the net income of \$6.9 million.

Cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2012 approximated \$1.2 million. The principal uses of cash from investing activities were purchases of property and equipment of \$1.1 million, and costs of patents of \$146,000.

Cash used in financing activities for fiscal 2012 approximated \$3.4 million. The principal uses of cash in financing activities was the repayment of loans and capital lease obligations of \$1.4 million, distributions to non-controlling interests of \$1.1 million and a redemption to non-controlling interests of \$1.2 million.

Total liabilities decreased by 12.4% during fiscal 2012, from approximately \$25.7 million at June 30, 2011 to approximately \$22.5 million at June 30, 2012.

As at June 30, 2012, our obligations included approximately \$2.8 million in various state sales taxes.

At June 30, 2012, we had working capital of approximately \$4.8 million as compared to working capital of \$576,000 at June 30, 2011, and stockholders' equity of \$11.1 million at June 30, 2012 as compared to stockholders' equity of \$5.9 million at June 30, 2011. For the year ended June 30, 2012, we realized a net income of \$6.9 million.

Our principal sources of liquidity has been derived from investments and revenues.

Our business plan includes an program for manufacturing and selling our Upright® MRI scanners. In addition, we are enhancing our revenue by participating in the physician and diagnostic services management business through our subsidiary, HMCA-IMPERIAL and have upgraded the facilities which it manages, most significantly by the

replacement of the original MRI scanners with new Upright® MRI scanners. Presently, all of the 11 MRI facilities managed by HMCA-IMPERIAL are equipped with Upright® MRI scanners. We have also intensified our marketing activities through the hiring of additional marketers for HMCA-IMPERIAL's clients.

Our business plan also calls for a continuing emphasis on providing our customers with enhanced equipment service and maintenance capabilities and delivering state-of-the-art, innovative and high quality equipment upgrades at competitive prices. Fees for on-going service and maintenance from our installed base of scanners were \$11.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2011 and \$11.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2012.

In order to reduce our net losses and demands on our cash and other liquid reserves, we instituted an aggressive program of cost cutting during and following the end of fiscal 2008. These measures included consolidating HMCA-IMPERIAL's office space with Fonar's office space, reductions in the size of our workforce, compensation and benefits, as well as across the board reduction of expenses. The cost reductions were intended to enable us to withstand periods of low volumes of MRI scanner sales, by keeping expenditures at levels which, if necessary, can be supported by service revenues and HMCA-IMPERIAL revenues. We are also seeking equity and debt financing and have been engaged in discussions with several possible sources.

In order to promote sales, we are continuing to focus on marketing campaigns to strengthen the demand for our products and services. Management anticipates that Fonar's capital resources will continue to improve if Fonar's MRI scanner products gain wider market recognition and acceptance resulting in both increased product sales and scan volumes. If we are not successful with our marketing efforts to increase sales, we will experience a shortfall in cash, and it will be necessary to reduce operating expenses or obtain funds through equity or debt financing in sufficient amounts to avoid the need to curtail our operations subsequent to June 30, 2013. Current economic credit conditions have contributed to a slowing business environment. Given such liquidity and credit constraints in the markets, the business may suffer, should the credit markets not improve in the near future. The direct impact of these conditions is not fully known. However, there can be no assurance that we would be able to secure additional funds if needed and that if such funds were available, whether the terms or conditions would be acceptable to us. In such case, the reduction in operating expenses might need to be substantial in order for us to generate positive cash flow to sustain our operations.

If we are unable to meet expenditures with revenues or financing then it will be necessary to reduce expenses further, or seek other sources of funds through the issuance of debt or equity financing in order to conduct operations as now conducted subsequent to fiscal 2013.

Capital expenditures for fiscal 2012 approximated \$1.2 million. Capitalized patent costs were approximately \$146,000. Purchases of property and equipment were approximately \$1.1 million.

Fonar has not committed to making capital expenditures in the 2013 fiscal year.

The Company believes that its business plan has been responsible for the past two consecutive fiscal years of profitability (fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011) and that its capital resources will be adequate to support operations at current levels through June 30, 2013. In fiscal 2010 and prior years, however, the Company also experienced losses and periods of working capital deficits. The future effects on our business of healthcare reform legislation, the Deficit Reduction Act, the tax on sales of medical equipment and the general economic and business climate are not known at the present time. Nevertheless, there is a possibility of adverse consequences to our business operations from these causes. Consequently, we have not recorded a deferred tax asset as a result of our carry-forward tax losses since we presently believe that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize all of these losses in the short term.

#### ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Fonar's investments in fixed rate instruments. None of the fixed rate instruments in which we invest extend beyond June 30, 2013.

All of our revenue, expense and capital purchasing activities are transacted in United States dollars.

See Note 11 to the consolidated Financial Statements for information on long-term debt.

Item 8.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reason for Amendment: Adding XBRL files

Page No.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

At June 30, 2012 and 2011  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS





REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Audit Committee of the  
Board of Directors and Shareholders  
of Fonar Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fonar Corporation and Subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders’ equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Fonar Corporation and Subsidiaries, as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Marcum, LLP

New York, New York

September 28, 2012

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	June 30, 2012	2011
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$12,032,015	\$9,251,244
Accounts receivable – net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$1,852,987 and \$1,777,794 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	5,094,687	5,263,903
Management and other fees receivable – net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$7,458,345 and \$6,508,345 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	3,781,635	3,308,456
Management and other fees receivable – related medical practices – net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$403,047 at June 30, 2012 and 2011	1,311,195	1,668,880
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	1,128,596	169,443
Inventories	2,194,949	2,400,240
Current portion of note receivable – net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$65,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011	116,016	114,058
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	206,328	384,437
	25,865,421	22,560,661
Total Current Assets		
	3,173,447	3,769,424
Property and Equipment – Net		
	275,966	358,769
Notes Receivable		
	3,835,179	4,318,311
Other Intangible Assets – Net		
	465,455	573,509
Other Assets		
	\$33,615,468	\$31,580,674

Total Assets

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

LIABILITIES

	June 30, 2012	2011
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 1,853,623	\$ 2,025,836
Accounts payable	2,076,846	2,187,115
Other current liabilities	7,693,241	8,236,105
Unearned revenue on service contracts	5,474,614	5,762,394
Customer advances	3,881,284	4,845,794
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	—	4,045
Income tax payable	100,000	75,000
Total Current Liabilities	21,079,608	23,136,289
Long-Term Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	47,600	102,000
Due to related medical practices	228,741	228,267
Long-term debt and capital leases, less current portion	777,274	1,746,286
Other liabilities	400,714	502,018
Total Long-Term Liabilities	1,454,329	2,578,571
Total Liabilities	22,533,937	25,714,860

## Commitments, Contingencies and Other Matters

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	June 30, 2012	2011
Stockholders' Equity:		
Class A non-voting preferred stock \$.0001 par value; 453,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, 313,438 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011	\$31	\$31
Preferred stock \$.001 par value; 567,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2012 and 2011, issued and outstanding – none	—	—
Common stock \$.0001 par value; 8,500,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, 5,912,905 and 5,636,571 issued at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively; 5,901,262 and 5,624,928 outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	590	562
Class B common stock (10 votes per share) \$.0001 par value; 227,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, 158 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011	—	—
Class C common stock (25 votes per share) \$.0001 par value; 567,000 shares authorized at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, 382,513 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011	38	38
Paid-in capital in excess of par value	174,084,007	173,476,059
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(19,534 )	(16,179 )
Accumulated deficit	(168,333,958)	(174,110,439)
Notes receivable from employee stockholders	(70,813 )	(115,305 )
Treasury stock, at cost – 11,643 shares of common stock at June 30, 2012 and 2011	(675,390 )	(675,390 )
Non controlling interests	6,096,560	7,306,437
Total Stockholders' Equity	11,081,531	5,865,814
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$33,615,468	\$31,580,674

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Revenues		
Product sales – net	\$6,922,465	\$6,682,297
Service and repair fees – net	11,674,541	10,936,839
Service and repair fees – related parties – net	110,000	192,500
Management and other fees - net	14,060,275	10,170,086
Management and other fees – related medical practices – net	6,677,138	5,154,673
Total Revenues – Net	39,444,419	33,136,395
Costs and Expenses		
Costs related to product sales	5,387,923	5,768,601
Costs related to service and repair fees	3,453,116	2,936,435
Costs related to service and repair fees – related parties	32,536	51,684
Costs related to management and other fees	8,733,823	6,781,638
Costs related to management and other fees – related medical practices	3,588,282	2,941,192
Research and development	1,242,656	1,440,032
Selling, general and administrative, inclusive of compensatory element of stock issuances of \$180,418 and \$204,486 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	8,749,090	8,462,335
Provision for bad debts	1,050,442	963,009
Total Costs and Expenses	32,237,868	29,344,926
Income from Operations	7,206,551	3,791,469
Other Income and (Expenses):		
Interest expense	(478,663 )	(514,703 )
Interest expense – related parties	—	(3,829 )
Investment income	243,254	226,610
Interest income – related parties	—	1,564
Other income (expense) – net	45,056	(116,617 )
Income Before Provision For Income Taxes and Non Controlling Interests	7,016,198	3,384,494
Provision for Income Taxes	141,125	75,475
Net Income	\$6,875,073	\$3,309,019
Net Income – Non Controlling Interests	(1,098,592 )	(148,109 )
Net Income – Controlling Interests	\$5,776,481	\$3,160,910

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	For the Years Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Net Income Available to Common Stockholders	\$5,392,212	\$2,941,026
Net Income Available to Class A Non-Voting Preferred Stockholders	\$286,406	\$163,886
Net Income Available to Class C Common Stockholders	\$97,863	\$55,998
Basic Net Income Per Common Share Available to Common Stockholders	\$0.93	\$0.56
Diluted Net Income Per Common Share Available to Common Stockholders	\$0.91	\$0.55
Basic and Diluted Income Per Share – Common C	\$0.26	\$0.15
Weighted Average Basic Shares Outstanding – Common Stockholder	5,778,695	5,264,795
Weighted Average Diluted Shares Outstanding – Common Stockholder	5,906,199	5,392,299
Weighted Average Basic Shares Outstanding – Class C Common	382,513	382,513
Weighted Average Diluted Shares Outstanding – Class C Common	382,513	382,513

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Class A		
	Non-Voting Common Stock		
	Preferred Stock	Shares	Amount
Balance - June 30, 2010	\$ 31	4,974,207	\$ 497
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	128,803	13
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	521,918	52
Capital contribution in Fair Haven acquisition	—	—	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Purchase of non controlling interest	—	—	—
Effect of change from equity method to consolidation of investment (Note 10)	—	—	—
Balance - June 30, 2011	\$ 31	5,624,928	\$ 562
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	58,334	6
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	218,000	22
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—	—
Redemption of non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Sale to non controlling interest	—	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interest	—	—	—
Balance - June 30, 2012	\$ 31	5,901,262	\$ 590

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Class B Common Stock Shares	Class C Common Stock	Paid-in Capital in Excess of Par Value
Balance - June 30, 2010	158	\$ 38	\$172,379,863
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	—	204,473
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—	862,759
Capital contribution in Fair Haven acquisition	—	—	28,964
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Purchase of non controlling interest	—	—	—
Effect of change from equity method to consolidation of investment (Note 10)	—	—	—
Balance - June 30, 2011	158	\$ 38	\$173,476,059
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	—	180,412
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—	427,536
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—	—
Redemption of non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Sale to non controlling interest	—	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interest	—	—	—
Balance - June 30, 2012	158	\$ 38	\$174,084,007

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Treasury Stock	Notes Receivable From Employee Stockholders	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance - June 30, 2010	\$(675,390)	\$(191,167 )	\$ (18,489 )
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	2,310
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	—	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—	—
Capital contribution in Fair Haven acquisition	—	—	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	75,862	—
Proceeds from non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Purchase of non controlling interest	—	—	—
Effect of change from equity method to consolidation of investment (Note 10)	—	—	—
Balance - June 30, 2011	\$(675,390)	\$(115,305 )	\$ (16,179 )
Net income	—	—	—
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—	(3,355 )
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	—	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	44,492	—
Redemption of non controlling interests	—	—	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	—	—
Sale to non controlling interest	—	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interest	—	—	—
Balance – June 30, 2012	\$(675,390)	\$(70,813 )	\$ (19,534 )

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Accumulated Deficit	Non Controlling Interests
Balance - June 30, 2010	\$(177,271,349)	\$—
Net income	3,160,910	148,109
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plan	—	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—
Capital contribution in Fair Haven acquisition	—	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—
Proceeds from non controlling interests	—	6,700,000
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	(22,500 )
Purchase of non controlling interest	—	(10,500 )
Effect of change from equity method to consolidation of investment (Note 10)	—	491,328
Balance - June 30, 2011	\$(174,110,439)	\$7,306,437
Net income	5,776,481	1,098,592
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year, net of tax	—	—
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	—	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	—	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	—	—
Redemption of non controlling interests	—	(1,200,000)
Distributions to non controlling interests	—	(1,135,000)
Sale to non controlling interest	—	10,500
Proceeds from non controlling interest	—	16,031
Balance - June 30, 2012	\$(168,333,958)	\$6,096,560

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

	Total	Comprehensive Income
Balance - June 30, 2010	\$(5,775,966 )	\$ —
Net income	3,309,019	3,309,019
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year, net of tax	2,310	2,310
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	204,486	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	862,811	—
Capital contribution in Fair Haven acquisition	28,964	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	75,862	—
Proceeds from non controlling interests	6,700,000	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	(22,500 )	—
Purchase of non controlling interest	(10,500 )	—
Effect of change from equity method to consolidation of investment (Note 10)	491,328	—
Balance - June 30, 2011	\$5,865,814	\$ 3,311,329
Net income	6,875,073	6,875,073
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year, net of tax	(3,355 )	(3,355 )
Stock issued to employees under stock bonus plans	180,418	—
Issuance of stock for goods and services	427,558	—
Payments on notes receivable from employee stockholders	44,492	—
Redemption of non controlling interests	(1,200,000 )	—
Distributions to non controlling interests	(1,135,000 )	—
Sale to non controlling interest	10,500	—
Proceeds from non controlling interest	16,031	—
Balance – June, 2012	\$11,081,531	\$ 6,871,781

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years June 30, 2012	Ended 2011
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income	\$6,875,073	\$3,309,019
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,230,250	2,073,006
Abandoned patents written off	76,231	79,958
Provision for bad debts	1,050,442	963,009
Compensatory element of stock issuances	180,418	204,486
Stock issued for costs and expenses	427,558	862,811
(Increase) decrease in operating assets, net:		
Accounts and management fee receivables	(996,720 )	(1,550,287)
Notes receivable	80,845	(336,717 )
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	(959,153 )	107,941
Inventories	205,291	425,971
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	177,593	200,894
Other assets	108,054	(57,724 )
Advances and notes to related parties medical practices	—	83,423
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities, net:		
Accounts payable	(164,669 )	(1,012,493)
Other current liabilities	(830,644 )	699,929
Customer advances	(964,510 )	32,467
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(4,045 )	(2,739,353)
Other liabilities	(101,304 )	27,255
Due to related medical practices	474	(299,624 )
Income tax payable	25,000	75,000
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>7,416,184</b>	<b>3,148,971</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended June	
	30,	
	2012	2011
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Sales of marketable securities	\$(2,839 )	\$(2,608 )
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,081,209 )	(532,562 )
Costs of capitalized software development	—	(67,258 )
Cash acquired from business combination	—	290,102
Cost of patents	(146,163 )	(135,210 )
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(1,230,211 )</b>	<b>(447,536 )</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from non controlling interests	—	6,700,000
Proceeds from debt	246,000	—
Repayment of borrowings and capital lease obligations	(1,387,225 )	(1,492,546)
Repayment of notes receivable from employee stockholders	44,492	75,862
Distributions to non controlling interests	(1,135,000 )	(22,500 )
Redemption of non controlling interests	(1,200,000 )	—
Purchase of non controlling interest	—	(10,500 )
Proceeds from non controlling interest	16,031	—
Sale to non controlling interest	10,500	—
<b>NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(3,405,202 )</b>	<b>5,250,316</b>
<b>NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>2,780,771</b>	<b>7,951,751</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS – BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<b>9,251,244</b>	<b>1,299,493</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS – END OF YEAR</b>	<b>\$12,032,015</b>	<b>\$9,251,244</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Description of Business

FONAR Corporation (the "Company" or "FONAR") is a Delaware corporation, which was incorporated on July 17, 1978. FONAR is engaged in the research, development, production and marketing of medical scanning equipment, which uses principles of Magnetic Resonance Imaging ("MRI") for the detection and diagnosis of human diseases. In addition to deriving revenues from the direct sale of MRI equipment, revenue is also generated from our installed-base of customers through our service and upgrade programs.

FONAR, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Health Management Corporation of America ("HMCA") provides comprehensive management services to diagnostic imaging facilities. The services provided by the Company include development, administration, leasing of office space, facilities and medical equipment, provision of supplies, staffing and supervision of non-medical personnel, legal services, accounting, billing and collection and the development and implementation of practice growth and marketing strategies. As of June 30, 2012, Imperial manages 11 diagnostic imaging facilities located in states of New York and Florida.

During May 2011, HMCA contributed all of its assets together with its liabilities to a newly formed limited liability company, Imperial Management Services, LLC ("Imperial").

On October 1, 2010, the Company purchased 100% of the stock of Fair Haven Services Inc., an entity wholly owned by Raymond Damadian. The entity is in the business of leasing medical equipment to various unrelated PC's.

Liquidity

At June 30, 2012, the Company had working capital of approximately \$4.8 million as compared to working capital of \$576,000 at June 30, 2011, and stockholders' equity of \$11.1 million at June 30, 2012 as compared to stockholders' equity of \$5.9 million at June 30, 2011. For the year ended June 30, 2012, we realized a net income of \$6.9 million.

The Company believes that its business plan has been responsible for the past two consecutive fiscal years of profitability (fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011) and that its capital resources will be adequate to support operations at current levels through June 30, 2013. In fiscal 2010 and prior years, however, the Company also experienced losses and periods of working capital deficits. The future effects on our business of healthcare reform legislation, the Deficit Reduction Act, the tax on sales of medical equipment and the general economic and business climate are not known at the present time. Nevertheless, there is a possibility of adverse consequences to our business operations from these causes.

In order to promote sales, the Company is continuing to focus on marketing campaigns to strengthen the demand for our products and services. Management anticipates that the Company's capital resources will continue to improve if the Company's MRI scanner products gain wider market recognition and acceptance resulting in both increased product sales and scan volumes. If the Company is not successful with our marketing efforts to increase sales, the Company will experience a shortfall in cash, and it will be necessary to reduce operating expenses or obtain funds through equity or debt financing.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (Continued)

Liquidity (Continued)

If the Company is unable to meet expenditures with revenues or financing then it will be necessary to reduce expenses further, or seek other sources of funds through the issuance of debt or equity financing in order to conduct operations as now conducted subsequent to fiscal 2013.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of FONAR Corporation, its majority and wholly-owned subsidiaries and partnerships. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The most significant estimates relate to accounts receivable allowances, intangible assets, income taxes, useful lives of property and equipment, contingencies, revenue recognition and litigation. In addition, healthcare industry reforms and reimbursement practices will continue to impact the Company's operations and the



determination of contractual and other allowance estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Inventories

Inventories consist of purchased parts, components and supplies, as well as work-in-process, and are stated at the lower of cost, determined on the first-in, first-out method, or market.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment procured in the normal course of business is stated at cost. Property and equipment purchased in connection with an acquisition is stated at its estimated fair value, generally based on an appraisal. Property and equipment is being depreciated for financial accounting purposes using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, generally five to seven years. Leasehold improvements are being amortized over the shorter of the useful life or the remaining lease term. Upon retirement or other disposition of these assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation of these assets are removed from the accounts and the resulting gains or losses are reflected in the results of operations. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations. Renewals and betterments are capitalized. Maintenance and repair expenses totaled approximately \$371,000 and \$334,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Other Intangible Assets

1) Capitalized Software Development Costs

Capitalization of software development costs begins upon the establishment of technological feasibility. Technological feasibility for the Company's computer software is generally based upon achievement of a detail program design free of high risk development issues and the completion of research and development on the product hardware in which it is to be used. The establishment of technological feasibility and the ongoing assessment of recoverability of capitalized computer software development costs require considerable judgment by management with respect to certain external factors, including, but not limited to, technological feasibility, anticipated future gross revenue, estimated economic life and changes in software and hardware technology. Prior to reaching technological feasibility those costs are expensed as incurred and included in research and development.

Amortization of capitalized software development costs commences when the related products become available for general release to customers. Amortization is provided on a product by product basis. The annual amortization is the greater of the amount computed using (a) the ratio that current gross revenue for a product bears to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenue for that product, or (b) the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product.

The Company periodically performs reviews of the recoverability of such capitalized software development costs. At the time a determination is made that capitalized amounts are not recoverable, based on the estimated cash flows to be generated from the applicable software, any remaining capitalized amounts are written off.

2) Patents and Copyrights

Amortization is calculated on the straight-line basis over a period ranging from 15 to 17 years.

### 3) Management Agreement

The management agreement is being amortized on the straight line basis over the length of the agreement (15 years).

### Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets, including property and equipment and intangibles, when there are indications of potential impairment, based on estimates of undiscounted future cash flows. The amount of impairment is calculated by comparing anticipated discounted future cash flows with the carrying value of the related asset. In performing this analysis, management considers such factors as current results, trends, and future prospects, in addition to other economic factors.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Revenue Recognition

Revenue on sales contracts for scanners, included in "product sales" in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method in accordance with FASB ASC 605-35, "Revenue Recognition – Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts". The Company manufactures its scanners under specific contracts that provide for progress payments. Production and installation take approximately three to six months. The percentage of completion is determined by the ratio of costs incurred to date on completed sub-assemblies to the total estimated cost for each scanner. Contract costs include purchased parts and components, direct labor and overhead. Revisions in cost estimates and provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts, if any, are made in the period in which such losses are determined. The asset, "Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings on Uncompleted Contracts", represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed. The liability, "Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings on Uncompleted Contracts", represents amounts billed in excess of revenues recognized.

Revenue on scanner service contracts is recognized on the straight-line method over the related contract period, usually one year.

Revenue from sales of other items is recognized upon shipment.

Revenue under management contracts is recognized based upon contractual agreements for management services rendered by the Company primarily under various long-term agreements with various medical providers (the "PCs"). As of June 30, 2012, the Company has eleven management agreements of which three are with PC's owned by Raymond V. Damadian, M.D., President and Chairman of the Board of FONAR ("the Related medical practices") and eight are with PC's, which are all located in the state of New York ("the New York PC's"), owned by one unrelated radiologist. The contractual fees for services rendered to the PCs consists of fixed monthly fees per diagnostic imaging facility ranging from approximately \$100,000 to \$241,000. All fees are re-negotiable at the anniversary of the agreements and each year thereafter. Revenue under lease contracts is recognized based upon contractual agreements for the leasing of medical equipment primarily under long term contracts to various unrelated PC's. The lease fees for

the medical equipment consist of fixed monthly fees ranging from \$2,000 to \$21,000. All fees are re-negotiable at the anniversary of the agreements and each year thereafter.

#### Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of materials and equipment that are acquired or constructed for research and development activities, and have alternative future uses (either in research and development, marketing or production), are classified as property and equipment and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

#### Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense approximated \$715,000 and \$466,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Shipping Costs

The Company's shipping and handling costs are included in revenue from product sales and the related expense included in costs related to product sales is \$26,425 and \$49,712 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Customer Advances

Cash advances and progress payments received on sales orders are reflected as customer advances until such time as revenue recognition begins.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed based on weighted average shares outstanding and excludes any potential dilution. In accordance with ASC topic 260-10, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method", the Company used the Two-Class method for calculating basic earnings per share and applied the if converted method in calculating

diluted earnings per share for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of all dilutive securities into common stock based on the average market price of common shares outstanding during the period. For both the year ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, diluted EPS for common shareholders includes 127,504 shares upon conversion of Class C Common. For the year ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the number of common shares potentially issuable upon the exercise of certain options of 14,022 and 22,537; respectively, have not been included in the computation of diluted EPS since the effect would be antidilutive.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Earnings Per Share (Continued)

	June 30, 2012 (000's omitted, except per share data)			June 30, 2011		
	Total	Common Stock	Class C Common Stock	Total	Common Stock	Class C Common Stock
Numerator:						
Net income Available to common stockholders	\$5,776,481	\$5,392,212	\$97,863	\$3,160,910	\$2,941,026	\$55,998
Denominator:						
Weighted Average Shares outstanding	5,778,695	5,778,695	382,513	5,264,795	5,264,795	382,513
Basic income per common share	\$1.00	\$0.93	\$0.26	\$0.60	\$0.56	\$0.15
<u>Diluted</u>						
Denominator:						
Weighted Average Shares outstanding		5,778,695	382,513		5,264,795	382,513
		127,504	—		127,504	—



Class C Common Stock Total Denominator for diluted earnings per share	5,906,199	382,513	5,392,299	382,513
Diluted income per common share	\$0.91	\$0.26	\$0.55	\$0.15

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all short-term highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash or cash equivalents.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Cash: The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions, which exceed federally insured limits throughout the year. At June 30, 2012, the Company had cash on deposit of approximately \$10,771,000 in excess of federally insured limits of \$250,000.

Related Parties: Net revenues from related parties accounted for approximately 17% and 16% of the consolidated net revenues for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net management fee receivables from the related medical practices accounted for approximately 13% and 16% of the consolidated accounts receivable for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

See Note 3 regarding the Company's concentrations in the healthcare industry.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The financial statements include various estimated fair value information at June 30, 2012 and 2011, as required by ASC topic 820, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments". Such information, which pertains to the Company's financial instruments, is based on the requirements set forth in that Statement and does not purport to represent the aggregate net fair value to the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments.

Accounts receivable and accounts payable: The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments.

Notes receivable: The carrying amount approximates fair value because the discounted present value of the cash flow generated by the parties approximates the carrying value of the amounts due to the Company.

Long-term debt, notes payable and accounts payable: The carrying amounts of debt and notes payable approximate fair value due to the length of the maturities, the interest rates being tied to market indices and/or due to the interest rates not being significantly different from the current market rates available to the Company.

All of the Company's financial instruments are held for purposes other than trading.

#### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss generally includes all changes in equity during a period, except those resulting from investments by stockholders and distributions to stockholders.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 defers the requirement that companies present reclassification adjustments for each component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in both net income and Other Comprehensive Income on the face of the financial statements. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by ASU No. 2011-12, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. The guidance provided by this update becomes effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated position and results of operations.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. This ASU simplifies how entities test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment which improve consistency in impairment testing requirements among long-lived asset categories. These amended standards permit an assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. For assets in which this assessment concludes it is more likely than not that the fair value is more than its carrying value, these amended standards eliminate the requirement to perform quantitative impairment testing as outlined in previously issued standards. The guidance is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

FASB, the Emerging Issues Task Force and the SEC have issued certain other accounting standards, updates, and regulations as of June 30, 2012 that will become effective in subsequent periods; however, management does not believe that any of those updates would have significantly affected our financial accounting measures or disclosures had they been in effect during 2012 or 2011, and it does not believe that any of those pronouncements will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements at the time they become effective.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassifications did not have any effect on reported net income for any periods presented.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 3 - MANAGEMENT FEE RECEIVABLE AND ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The Company's customers are concentrated in the healthcare industry.

Management Fee Receivable

The Company's receivables from the related and non-related professional corporations ("PCs") substantially consist of fees outstanding under management agreements. Payment of the outstanding fees is dependent on collection by the PCs of fees from third party medical reimbursement organizations, principally insurance companies and health management organizations.

Payment of the management fee receivables from the PC's may be impaired by the inability of the PC's to collect in a timely manner their medical fees from the third party payors, particularly insurance carriers covering automobile no-fault and workers compensation claims due to longer payment cycles and rigorous informational requirements and certain other disallowed claims. Approximately 38% and 34%, respectively, of the PCs' 2012 and 2011 net revenues were derived from no-fault and personal injury protection claims. The Company considers the aging of its accounts receivable in determining the amount of allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company generally takes all legally available steps to collect its receivables. Credit losses associated with the receivables are provided for in the consolidated financial statements and have historically been within management's expectations.

Net revenues from management and other fees charged to the related medical practices accounted for approximately 17% and 16%, of the consolidated net revenues for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Tallahassee Magnetic Resonance Imaging, PA, Stand Up MRI of Boca Raton, PA and Stand Up MRI & Diagnostic Center, PA (all related medical practices) entered into a guaranty agreement, pursuant to which they cross guaranteed all management fees which are payable to the Company, which have arisen under each individual management agreement.

Accounts Receivable

Credit risk with respect to the Company's accounts receivable related to product sales and service and repair fees is limited due to the customer advances received prior to the commencement of work performed and the billing of amounts to customers as sub-assemblies are completed. Service and repair fees are billed on a monthly or quarterly basis and the Company does not continue providing these services if accounts receivable become past due. The Company controls credit risk with respect to accounts receivable from service and repair fees through its credit evaluation process, credit limits, monitoring procedures and reasonably short collection terms. The Company performs ongoing credit authorizations before a product sales contract is entered into or service and repair fees are provided.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 4 - COSTS AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS AND CUSTOMER ADVANCES

1) Information relating to uncompleted contracts as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Costs incurred on uncompleted Contracts	\$3,745,307	\$1,868,568
Estimated earnings	2,670,289	1,077,387
	6,415,596	2,945,955
Less: Billings to date	5,287,000	2,780,557
	\$1,128,596	\$165,398

Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the following captions:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	\$1,128,596	\$169,443
Less: Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	—	4,045
	\$1,128,596	\$165,398



2) Customer advances consist of the following:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Total advances	\$9,168,284	\$7,626,351
Less: Advances on contracts under construction	5,287,000	2,780,557
	\$3,881,284	\$4,845,794

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 5 – INVENTORIES

Inventories included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets consist of:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Purchased parts, components and supplies	\$ 1,672,494	\$ 1,818,542
Work-in-process	522,455	581,698
	\$ 2,194,949	\$ 2,400,240

## NOTE 6 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, at June 30, 2012 and 2011, is comprised of:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Diagnostic equipment under capital leases	\$ 1,417,300	\$ 2,270,719
Diagnostic equipment	4,138,898	2,518,035
Research, development and demonstration equipment	9,861,199	9,605,961
Machinery and equipment	4,985,215	4,982,085
Furniture and fixtures	2,212,149	2,127,809
Leasehold improvements	4,545,974	4,663,666
Building	939,614	939,614
	28,100,349	27,107,889
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	24,926,902	23,338,465
	\$ 3,173,447	\$ 3,769,424

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,677,186 and \$1,464,055, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization of diagnostic equipment under capital leases for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$646,620 and \$433,859, respectively. Accumulated depreciation and amortization of diagnostic equipment under capital leases for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,074,152 and \$1,067,534, respectively.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 7 - OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, at June 30, 2012 and 2011 are comprised of:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Capitalized software development costs	\$6,368,960	\$6,368,960
Patents and copyrights	4,100,511	4,030,579
Management agreement	513,333	513,333
	10,982,804	10,912,872
Less: Accumulated amortization	7,147,625	6,594,561
	\$3,835,179	\$4,318,311

Information related to the above intangible assets for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Balance – Beginning of Year	\$4,318,311	\$4,291,419
Amounts capitalized	146,163	715,801
Abandon patents written off	(76,231 )	(79,958 )
Amortization	(553,064 )	(608,951 )
Balance – End of Year	\$3,835,179	\$4,318,311

Amortization of patents and copyrights for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$156,310 and \$142,049, respectively.

Amortization of capitalized software development costs for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$360,087 and \$448,569, respectively.

Amortization of management agreement for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$36,667 and \$18,333, respectively.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 7 - OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

The estimated amortization of patents and copyrights and capitalized software development costs for the five years ending June 30, 2017 and thereafter is as follows:

For the Years Ending June 30,	Total	Patents and Copyrights	Capitalized Software Development Costs	Management Agreement
2013	\$496,776	\$174,025	\$286,084	\$36,667
2014	449,502	190,159	222,676	36,667
2015	420,802	206,293	177,842	36,667
2016	439,926	221,848	181,411	36,667
2017	453,001	229,334	187,000	36,667
Thereafter	1,575,172	1,197,062	103,112	274,998
	\$3,835,179	\$2,218,721	\$1,158,125	\$458,333

The weighted average amortization period for other intangible assets is 9.6 years and they have no expected residual value.

## NOTE 8 – NOTES RECEIVABLE

Notes receivable as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 consist of the following:

	As of June 30,	
	2012	2011
Note Receivable – (a)	\$65,000	65,000
Note Receivable – (b)	233,182	264,985
Note Receivable – (c)	158,800	207,842
Total Notes Receivable	456,982	537,827
Allowance	(65,000 )	(65,000 )
Net Notes Receivable	\$391,982	\$472,827
Current Portion	\$116,016	\$114,058
Long-Term Portion	\$275,966	\$358,769

a) This note receivable represents a note due from a customer for the purchase of a system. The note is past due. The Company has an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$65,000 as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 on this note.

b) This note receivable represents a note due from a customer for the purchase of an Upright MRI system. The note is payable in 60 consecutive equal monthly payments of principal and interest of \$5,798 commencing November 2010.

c) This represents notes from a customer for past due service provided to two Upright MRI systems. The notes are payable in monthly payments of principal and interest of \$5,444.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 9 - CAPITAL STOCK

Common Stock

Cash dividends payable on the common stock shall, in all cases, be on a per share basis, one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the cash dividend payable on shares of Class B common stock and three hundred sixty percent (360%) of the cash dividend payable on a share of Class C common stock.

Class B Common Stock

Class B common stock is convertible into shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. Class B common stock has 10 votes per share. There were 158 of such shares outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Class C Common Stock

On April 3, 1995, the stockholders ratified a proposal creating a new Class C common stock and authorized the exchange offering of three shares of Class C common stock for each share of the Company's outstanding Class B common stock. The Class C common stock has 25 votes per share, as compared to 10 votes per share for the Class B common stock and one vote per share for the common stock. The Class C common stock was offered on a three-for-one basis to the holders of the Class B common stock. Although having greater voting power, each share of Class C common stock has only one-third of the rights of a share of Class B common stock to dividends and distributions. Class C common stock is convertible into shares of common stock on a three-for-one basis.

Class A Non-Voting Preferred Stock



On April 3, 1995, the stockholders ratified a proposal consisting of the creation of a new class of Class A non-voting preferred stock with special dividend rights and the declaration of a stock dividend on the Company's common stock consisting of one share of Class A non-voting preferred stock for every five shares of common stock. The stock dividend was payable to holders of common stock on October 20, 1995. Class A non-voting preferred stock issued pursuant to such stock dividend approximates 313,000 shares.

The Class A non-voting preferred stock is entitled to a special dividend equal to 3-1/4% of first \$10 million, 4-1/2% of next \$20 million and 5-1/2% on amounts in excess of \$30 million of the amount of any cash awards or

settlements received by the Company in connection with the enforcement of five of the Company's patents in its patent lawsuits, less the revised special dividend payable on the common stock with respect to one of the Company's patents.

The Class A non-voting preferred stock participates on an equal per share basis with the common stock in any dividends declared and ranks equally with the common stock on distribution rights, liquidation rights and other rights and preferences (other than the voting rights).

#### Stock Bonus Plans

On April 23, 2010, the Board approved the 2010 Stock Bonus Plan. The plan entitles the Company to reserve 2,000,000 shares of common stock. On August 10, 2010, the Company filed Form S-8 to register the 2,000,000 shares. As of June 30, 2012, 1,072,945 shares of common stock of FONAR were available for future grant under this plan. 276,334 shares were issued during the year ended June 30, 2012.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 9 - CAPITAL STOCK (Continued)

Options

The Company has stock option plans, which provide for the awarding of incentive and non-qualified stock options to employees, directors and consultants who may contribute to the success of the Company. The options granted vest either immediately or ratably over a period of time from the date of grant, typically three or four years, at a price determined by the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors, generally the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. The options must be exercised within ten years from the date of grant.

FONAR's 1997 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan, adopted on May 9, 1997, permits the issuance of stock options covering an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock of FONAR. The options may be issued at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as are determined by FONAR. The 1997 Plan terminated on May 8, 2007. During the year ended June 30, 2012, 8,272 options expired, therefore of the options granted under this plan zero shares remain outstanding.

FONAR's 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "FONAR 2002 Plan"), adopted on July 1, 2002, is intended to qualify as an incentive stock option plan under Section 422A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended. The FONAR 2002 Plan permits the issuance of stock options covering an aggregate of 100,000 shares of common stock of FONAR. The options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date the option is granted, are nontransferable, are exercisable for a period not exceeding ten years and expire upon the voluntary termination of employment. The FONAR 2002 Plan terminated on June 30, 2012. During the year ended June 30, 2012, 243 options were forfeited, therefore 14,022 options remain outstanding.

FONAR's 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "FONAR 2005 Plan"), adopted on February 16, 2005, is intended to qualify as an incentive stock option plan under Section 422A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended. The FONAR 2005 Plan permits the issuance of stock options covering an aggregate of 80,000 shares of common stock of FONAR. The options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date the option is granted, are non-transferable, are exercisable for a period not exceeding ten years, and expire upon the voluntary termination of employment. The FONAR 2005 Plan will terminate on February 14, 2015. As of June 30,

2012, 80,000 shares of common stock of FONAR were available for future grant under this Plan.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 9 - CAPITAL STOCK (Continued)

Options (Continued)

Stock option activity and weighted average exercise prices under these plans and grants for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, June 30, 2010	68,234	29.63	—
Granted	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—
Forfeited / Expired	(45,697)	29.31	—
Outstanding, June 30, 2011	22,537	30.27	—
Granted	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—
Forfeited / Expired	(8,515 )	34.41	—
Outstanding, June 30, 2012	14,022	27.76	—
Exercisable at:			
June 30, 2011	22,537	\$ 30.27	
June 30, 2012	14,022	\$ 27.76	

The range of exercise prices for options outstanding as of June 30, 2012 was as follows:

Range of Exercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years
\$25.00 - \$28.13	5,932	0.28
\$29.00 - \$34.38	8,090	1.26
	14,022	

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 10 – CONTROLLING INTERESTS

On May 2, 2011, the Company completed a private placement of equity and succeeded in raising \$6,000,000. The offering consisted of Preferred Class A membership interests in a newly formed limited liability company, Imperial Management Services, LLC (“Imperial”). The Class B membership interests in Imperial, all of which were retained by the Company’s subsidiary, HMCA, hold a 75% equity interest in Imperial. The Class A membership interests are entitled to receive a dividend of 18% per annum of their cash capital contribution of \$6,000,000. HMCA contributed all of its assets, together with its liabilities, to Imperial as HMCA’s capital contribution. The Imperial operating agreement provides for the Class A members to receive priority distributions until their original capital contributions are returned. Dividends are payable quarterly beginning August 1, 2011. On May 1, 2012, the Company returned a portion of the Class A Members capital contribution in the amount of \$1,200,000. The Company’s subsidiary, HMCA, now owns an 80% interest in Imperial Management Services.

On May 1, 2010, the Company purchased a 15.2% interest from an unrelated party of an entity that provides management services to a diagnostic center in the New York Metropolitan area. On January 1, 2011, the Company purchased an additional 34.8% interest by the issuance of a promissory note of \$400,000. Commencing January 1, 2011, the Company consolidates the activity of this entity. The fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were as follows:

Cash	\$289,185
Property and equipment	303,659
Management contracts	513,333
Security deposits	45,784
Accounts payable	(47,026 )
Notes payable	(130,650)
Non-controlling interests	(491,328)
Less prior investment	(82,957 )
Subtotal	400,000
Purchase price	(400,000)
Cash used in purchase	\$0

The Company also has a 50% controlling interest in an entity which the Company consolidates, that provides management services to a diagnostic center in the New York Metropolitan area. The center began operations during January 2012.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM DEBT, NOTES PAYABLE AND CAPITAL LEASES

Long-term debt, notes payable and capital leases consist of the following:

	June 30, 2012	2011
Capital lease requiring monthly payments of \$13,623, including interest at a rate of 10.51% per annum through July 2010. The lease was restructured in July 2008, requiring twelve monthly payments of \$6,923 followed by 31 monthly payments of \$9,585 through January 2012, including interest at a rate of 11.82%. The lease is collateralized by the related equipment.	\$—	\$73,390
Notes payable of \$580,000 requiring aggregate monthly payments of \$20,106, including interest at a rate of 15% per annum through June 2013. Amount due to a related party as of June 30, 2012 is \$30,725.	214,355	399,024
Note payable requiring monthly payments of interest at a rate of 7% until May 2009 followed by 240 monthly payments of \$4,472 through October 2026. The loan is collateralized by a building with a net book value of \$720,841 as of June 30, 2012.	481,615	500,411
Note payable requiring monthly payments of \$12,150, including interest at a rate of 5% per annum through January 2014, seven monthly payments of \$31,000 commencing February 2014 and a final payment of \$5,091 in September 2014.	423,280	544,555
Note payable requiring monthly payments of \$8,325, including interest at a rate of 10% per annum through April 2012.	—	72,341
Note payable from the Fair Haven acquisition requires three monthly payments of \$15,000, twelve monthly payments of \$20,000 and six monthly payments of \$25,000, including interest at a rate of 8.58% per annum through November 2011 then 6 payments of \$25,000. The loan is collateralized by equipment which, as of June 30, 2012, has been fully depreciated.	42,500	257,246





## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM DEBT, NOTES PAYABLE AND CAPITAL LEASES (Continued)

	June 30, 2012	2011
Note payable from the Fair Haven acquisition requires monthly payments of \$21,000, including interest at a rate of 4.5% per annum through February 2011 and a final payment of \$533,783 in March 2011. The loan is collateralized by equipment which, as of June 30, 2012, has been fully depreciated. The Company expects to have this loan paid in full by December 2012.	\$ 187,707	\$ 510,771
Note payable from the Fair Haven acquisition requires monthly payments of \$18,850, including interest at a rate of 11.2% per annum through January 2014. The loan is collateralized by equipment with a net book value of \$343,148 as of June 30, 2012.	326,890	533,502
Note payable of \$400,000 entered into for the purchase of 34.2% interest in a management company requiring payments of \$100,000 on January 2, 2012 and \$300,000 on January 2, 2013 including interest at a rate of 10% per annum through January 2013. The lender has a security interest in Imperial's members interest until the note has been paid in full.	300,000	400,000
Note payable requiring monthly principal installments of \$4,100 and interest computed on the unpaid principal amount at a rate of 5% per annum through April 2017. The note is secured by certain assets of the Company.	237,800	—
Other (including capital leases for property and equipment).	416,750	480,882
	2,630,897	3,772,122
Less: Current portion	1,853,623	2,025,836
	\$ 777,274	\$ 1,746,286

The maturities of long-term debt over the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

Years	
Ending	
June 30,	
2013	\$ 1,853,623
2014	197,962

2015	72,372
2016	73,991
2017	67,688
Thereafter	365,261
	\$2,630,897

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of ASC topic 740 (formerly FASB Interpretation No. 48/FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes"). ASC topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a corporate tax return. For those benefits to be recognized, a tax position must be more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. Differences between tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and the benefit recognized and measured pursuant to the interpretation are referred to as "unrecognized benefits". A liability is recognized (or amount of net operating loss carryforward or amount of tax refundable is reduced) for an unrecognized tax benefit because it represents an enterprise's potential future obligation to the taxing authority for a tax position that was not recognized as a result of applying the provisions of ASC topic 740.

In accordance with ASC topic 740, interest costs related to unrecognized tax benefits are required to be calculated (if applicable) and would be classified as "Interest expense, net". Penalties if incurred would be recognized as a component of "Selling, general and administrative" expenses.

The Company files corporate income tax returns in the United States (federal) and in various state and local jurisdictions. In most instances, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2008.

As of June 30, 2012, no liability for unrecognized tax benefits was required to be recorded. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefit position to change during the next 12 months.

The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. At present, the Company does not have a sufficient history of income or knowledge of future effects on our business of healthcare reform legislation, the Deficit Reduction Act, the tax on sales of medical equipment and the general economic and business climate to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that the Company will be able to realize any of its tax benefits in the near future and

therefore a valuation allowance was established for the full value of the deferred tax asset.

A valuation allowance will be maintained until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of any portion or all of the valuation. Should the Company become profitable in future periods with supportable trends, the valuation allowance will be reversed accordingly.

Components of the current provision for income taxes are as follows:

		Years Ended June	
		30,	
		2012	2011
Current:	Federal	\$ 112,000	\$ 75,000
	State	29,125	475
		\$ 141,125	\$ 75,475

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES (Continued)

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate as reported is as follows:

	Years Ended June	
	2012	2011
Taxes at federal statutory rate	(34.0)%	(34.0)%
State and local income benefit, net of federal benefit	(6.0 )	(6.0 )
Permanent differences	1.2	1.9
Decrease in the valuation allowance and true ups	40.8	40.3
Effective income tax rate	2.0 %	2.2 %

As of June 30, 2012, the Company has net operating loss ("NOL's") carryforwards of approximately \$154,431,000 that will be available to offset future taxable income. The NOLs are due to expire during the years ending from June 30, 2019 to June 30, 2031. The utilization of certain of the NOLs is limited by separate return limitation year rules pursuant to Section 1502 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Company has, for federal income tax purposes, research and development tax credit carryforwards aggregating \$4,323,000, which are accounted for under the flow-through method. In addition, for New York State income tax purposes, the Company has tax credit carryforwards, aggregating approximately \$1,103,000, which are accounted for under the flow-through method. The tax credit carryforwards expire during the years ending June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2031. The Company also has \$210,000 in alternative minimum tax credits.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

		June 30,	
		2012	2011
Deferred tax assets:	Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$4,656,468	\$4,256,391
Accrued liabilities		221,897	273,497
Net operating carryforwards		61,772,391	65,464,211

Edgar Filing: FONAR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Tax credit carryforward	5,769,943	5,559,462
Property and equipment	1,990,284	1,742,367
	74,410,983	77,295,928
Valuation allowance	(73,754,414)	(76,468,787)
Net deferred tax assets	656,569	827,141
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Inventory	(51,109 )	(42,793 )
Capitalized software development costs	(605,460 )	(784,348 )
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(656,569 )	(827,141 )
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$—	\$—

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 12 - INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The net change in the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets decreased by approximately \$2,433,000 and \$632,000 during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which primarily represents the tax benefit from the utilization of the net operating loss carryforward.

## NOTE 13 - OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Included in other current liabilities are the following:

	June 30, 2012	2011
Accrued salaries, commissions and payroll taxes	\$569,966	\$839,531
Accrued interest	190,712	156,571
Litigation accruals	493,349	193,349
Sales tax payable	2,764,297	2,731,751
Legal and other professional fees	577,435	693,590
Accounting fees	345,000	435,000
Insurance premiums	12,634	21,633
Interest and penalty – sales tax	2,115,539	1,922,804
Penalty – 401k plan	250,000	250,000
Purchase scanners	—	105,000
Rent	207,823	461,413
Other	166,486	425,463
	\$7,693,241	\$8,236,105

## NOTE 14 – ACQUISITION OF FAIR HAVEN SERVICES



Edgar Filing: FONAR CORP - Form DEF 14A

On October 1, 2010, the Company purchased 100% of the stock of Fair Haven Services, an entity wholly owned by Raymond V. Damadian for \$10. The entity is in the business of leasing medical equipment to various unrelated PCs. The transaction was accounted for as a merger of commonly-controlled entities. The carrying value of the assets and liabilities at the acquisition date approximated the fair value. The carrying value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed consisted of the following:

Accounts Receivable	\$182,000
Equipment	2,288,703
Short term portion of debt	(1,733,955)
Other accrued expenses	(13,955 )
Long term debt less current portion	(693,829 )
Net Capital Contributed	\$28,964

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

## NOTE 15 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases

The Company rents its operating facilities and certain equipment, pursuant to operating lease agreements expiring at various dates through May 2018. The leases for certain facilities contain escalation clauses relating to increases in real property taxes as well as certain maintenance costs.

Future minimum operating lease commitments consisted of the following at June 30, 2012:

Year Ending June 30,	Facilities And Equipment (Operating Lease)
2013	\$2,200,953
2014	1,689,231
2015	1,611,230
2016	1,144,736
2017	136,766
Thereafter	129,745
Total minimum obligations	\$6,912,661

Rent expense for operating leases approximated \$2,253,000 and \$2,436,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a non-contributory 401(k) Plan (the “401(k) Plan”). The 401(k) Plan covers all non-union employees who are at least 21 years of age with no minimum service requirements. There were no employer contributions to the Plan for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. (see Other Matters below)

The stockholders of the Company approved the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”) at the Company’s annual stockholders’ meeting in April 2000. The ESPP provides for eligible employees to acquire common stock of the Company at a discount, not to exceed 15%. This plan has not been put into effect as of June 30, 2012.

Litigation

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the ordinary course of its business, including personal injury, customer contract and employment claims. In the opinion of management, the aggregate liability, if any, with respect to such actions, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE 15 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Litigation (Continued)

On or about June 30, 2010, one of Fonar's customers, Golden Triangle Company, commenced an action against Fonar and certain individual defendants employed or formerly employed by Fonar, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York based on the alleged wrongful failure of Fonar to deliver a scanner in Kuwait. The claim alleges various causes of action including breach of contract, fraud, conspiracy to defraud and conversion. Golden Triangle Company v. Fonar Corporation et al, CV10-2933. The Plaintiff contracted with Fonar to purchase a scanner, and paid \$1,455,500 in advance. The scanner was never delivered, but Plaintiff never designed a site for delivery either. Alleging other damages, fraud and deceptive trade practices, Plaintiff seeks as much as \$5,000,000. Fonar made a motion to dismiss the complaint, the outcome of which left Plaintiff with only a cause of action for breach of contract. The claims against the individual officers and employees of Fonar were dismissed. Fonar now has filed its answer, together with a counterclaim alleging that the Plaintiff, by attempting to overcharge the end-customer, has damaged Fonar's reputation and ability to sell in Kuwait. Golden Triangle has replied to Fonar's counterclaim and the case is now in discovery. The deadline for completing discovery is December 31, 2012.

In addition, we are or were party to additional less significant actions in which the customers are seeking to obtain a return of their deposits for MRI scanners on the grounds that various contingencies failed to materialize. Upright MRI of Chicago, LLC v. Fonar, Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (\$310,000), Matt Malek Madison v. Fonar, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California (\$300,000), and Jack Shapiro v. Fonar Corporation, Supreme Court, Nassau County, New York (\$500,000 although the actual deposit was \$323,000). In the Upright MRI of Chicago case, the case was settled by an arrangement whereby a third party took over the sales agreement and agreed to pay the original purchaser the down payment it made. In the Madison case, the Court granted summary judgment to Madison for the deposit and prejudgment interest. We appealed the judgment but lost. The Plaintiff has not taken any action to enforce the judgment. As of June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a liability of \$372,000 in connection with this judgement. In the Shapiro case, Shapiro, who was also a sales representative for Fonar, and Fonar were attempting to negotiate a settlement, but the Plaintiff has served Fonar with discovery demands.

On December 2, 2011, Bonutti Research filed an action filed in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Court of New York. The complaint alleges that Fonar's Upright® MRI scanners infringe plaintiff's patent. Fonar believes plaintiff's claims are without merit. The plaintiff served the complaint on the last possible day permitted after filing.

The defendants obtained an extension of time to answer to May 18, 2012. Subsequently, on or about July 3, 2012, Bonutti hired new substitute counsel and requested a 60 day extension to answer Fonar's counterclaims and to postpone the initial conference. Bonutti has answered our counterclaims and an initial conference with the magistrate judge has been scheduled. The conference with the court is now scheduled for September 28, 2012. At this point we are unable to assess the amount in controversy as no damages were specified. We cannot at this time determine the impact of an adverse determination of this case.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE 15 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Stipulation Agreements

The Company has entered into stipulation agreements with a number of its creditors that in the aggregate totals \$253,167 as of June 30, 2012. The monthly payments total \$26,452.

The amounts to be paid over the next two years are as follows:

Year Ending	
June 30, 2013	\$205,567
2014	47,600
	\$253,167

Other Matters

The Company is also delinquent in filing sales tax returns for certain states, for which the Company has transacted business. The Company has recorded tax obligations of \$2,442,000 plus interest and penalties of approximately \$2,116,000. The Company is in the process of determining its regulatory requirements in order to become compliant.

The Company has determined they may not be in compliance with the Department of Labor and Internal Revenue Service regulations concerning the requirements to file Form 5500 to report activity of its 401K Employee Benefit Plan. The filings do not require the Company to pay tax, however they may be subject to penalty for non-compliance. The Company has recorded provisions for any potential penalties totaling \$250,000. The amount was the Company's best estimate of potential penalties. Management is unable to determine the outcome of this uncertainty. The Company has engaged outside counsel to handle such matters to determine the necessary requirements to ensure compliance. On August 31, 2011, the Company submitted with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a compliance statement and a determination letter for our 401K plan. On December 9, 2011, the Internal Revenue Service issued a favorable determination letter on our 401K plan. The Company is still working with outside counsel to complete and file forms with the US Department of Labor.

NOTE 16 - OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) consists of:

	For the Years Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
(Loss) income from investment	\$—	\$(61,466 )
Litigation settlement	56,194	—
Loss on abandonment of property	—	(64,565 )
Other (expense) income	(11,138)	9,414
	\$45,056	\$(116,617)

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

## NOTE 17 - SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

During the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company paid \$168,062 and \$309,003 for interest, respectively.

During the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company paid \$116,125 and \$0 for income taxes, respectively.

Non-cash investing and financing activities related to business combinations:

	October 1, 2010 Acquisition	January 1, 2011 Acquisition	Total
Accounts receivable	\$182,000	\$—	\$182,000
Property & equipment	\$2,288,703	\$303,659	\$2,592,362
Management agreement	\$—	\$513,333	\$513,333
Other assets	\$—	\$45,784	\$45,784
Other current liabilities	\$(13,955 )	\$—	\$(13,955 )
Accounts payable	\$—	\$(47,026 )	\$(47,026 )
Notes payable	\$(2,427,784)	\$(530,650 )	\$(2,958,434)
Paid in capital	\$(28,964 )	\$—	\$(28,964 )
Non-controlling interests	\$—	\$(491,328 )	\$(491,328 )
Reclassification of investment from other assets	\$—	\$(82,957 )	\$(82,957 )

## NOTE 18 – DUE TO RELATED MEDICAL PRACTICES

In June 2009, an entity owned by the Company's Chairman of the Board, Tallahassee Scanning Services PA, sold its Upright MRI scanning system to the Company for \$550,000 in exchange for 35 monthly payments of \$18,769 to be made over a three year period, commencing October 18, 2009 including interest at a rate of 10.41% per annum. The



Company used this scanning system to fulfill a sales order with an unrelated customer. The unpaid balance of as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$134,880.

NOTE 19 - SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

The Company provides segment data in accordance with the provisions of ASC topic 280, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information".

The Company operates in two industry segments - manufacturing and the servicing of medical equipment and management of diagnostic imaging centers.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. All intersegment sales are market-based. The Company evaluates performance based on income or loss from operations.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

## NOTE 19 - SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION (Continued)

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table:

	Manufacturing and Servicing of Medical Equipment	Management of Diagnostic Imaging Centers	Totals
Fiscal 2012:			
Net revenues from external customers	\$ 18,707,006	\$ 20,737,413	\$ 39,444,419
Intersegment net revenues	\$ 810,000	\$—	\$ 810,000
Income from operations	\$ 2,666,574	\$ 4,539,977	\$ 7,206,551
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 697,100	\$ 1,533,150	\$ 2,230,250
Compensatory element of stock issuances	\$ 155,068	\$ 25,350	\$ 180,418
Total identifiable assets	\$ 15,144,291	\$ 18,471,177	\$ 33,615,468
Capital expenditures	\$ 404,530	\$ 822,842	\$ 1,227,372
Fiscal 2011:			
Net revenues from external customers	\$ 17,811,636	\$ 15,324,759	\$ 33,136,395
Intersegment net revenues	\$ 924,166	\$—	\$ 924,166
Income from operations	\$ 1,433,331	\$ 2,358,138	\$ 3,791,469
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 806,117	\$ 1,266,889	\$ 2,073,006
Compensatory element of stock issuances	\$ 139,308	\$ 65,178	\$ 204,486
Total identifiable assets	\$ 13,439,701	\$ 18,140,973	\$ 31,580,674
Capital expenditures	\$ 202,468	\$ 532,562	\$ 735,030

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 19 - SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION (Continued)

Export Product Sales

The Company's areas of operations are principally in the United States. The Company had export sales of medical equipment amounting to 17.0% and 28.0% of product sales revenues to third parties for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The foreign product sales, as a percentage of product sales to unrelated parties, were made to customers in the following countries:

	For the Years Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Holland	0.1 %	- %
England	16.9	—
Germany	—	19.5
Greece	—	5.8
Australia	—	0.7
Puerto Rico	—	0.9
Libya	—	1.1
	17.0%	28.0%

Foreign Service and Repair Fees

The Company's areas of service and repair are principally in the United States. The Company had foreign revenues of service and repair of medical equipment amounting to 9.9% and 7.8% of consolidated net service and repair fees for

the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The foreign service and repair fees, as a percentage of total service and repair fees, were provided principally to the following countries:

	For the Years Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Spain	0.8%	1.1%
Puerto Rico	0.9	1.0
Switzerland	1.0	0.5
Germany	0.3	0.3
England	1.8	1.7
Holland	2.6	1.3
Scotland	0.7	0.9
Canada	0.8	0.3
Australia	0.4	0.4
Libya	0.2	0.3
Greece	0.4	—
	9.9%	7.8%

The Company does not have any material assets outside of the United States.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

## NOTE 20 – ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The following represents a summary of allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

Description	Balance			Balance June 30, 2012
	June 30, 2011	Additions	Deductions	
Receivables from equipment sales and service contracts	\$1,777,794	(1) \$100,442	\$25,249	\$1,852,987
Management fee receivable	6,508,345	(1) 950,000	—	7,458,345
Management fee receivable from related medical practices	403,047	—	—	403,047
Medical receivables	1,622,000	—	—	1,622,000
Advance and notes to related parties	264,791	-	25,000	239,791
Notes receivable	65,000	-	—	65,000

Description	Balance			Balance June 30, 2011
	June 30, 2010	Additions	Deductions	
Receivables from equipment sales and service contracts	\$2,289,049	(1) \$127,323	\$638,578	\$1,777,794
Management fee receivable	5,808,345	(1) 700,000	—	6,508,345
Management fee receivable from related medical practices	1,129,818	—	726,771	403,047
Medical receivables	1,622,000	—	—	1,622,000
Advance and notes to related parties	264,791	—	—	264,791
Notes receivable	115,000	(1) 135,686	185,686	65,000

(1) Included in provision for bad debts.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012 and 2011

NOTE 21 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company evaluates events that have occurred after the balance sheet date, but before the consolidated financial statements are issued.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

There have been no disagreements with our independent registered public accounting firm or other matters requiring disclosure under Regulation S-K, Item 304(b).

ITEM 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13(a) – 15(e)) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a public company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a public company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Disclosure controls and procedures include many aspects of internal control over financial reporting.

Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at June 30, 2012.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting refers to a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by our Board, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, including those policies and procedures that:

\* pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

\* provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

\* provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

It should be noted, however, that because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of the prevention or detection of misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.



FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012. In making its assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on these criteria, our management has concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting is effective. This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting.

There was no changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls, during our fourth quarter ended June 30, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

Directors serve from the date of their election until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualify. With the exception of Dr. Raymond V. Damadian, who does not receive any fees for serving as a director, each director receives \$20,000 per annum for his or her service as a director. Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

A majority of our board of directors is composed of independent directors: Robert J. Janoff, Charles N. O'Data and Ronald G. Lehman. The outside directors also serve as the members of the audit committee, which is a standing committee of board of directors having a charter describing its responsibilities. Mr. O'Data has been designated as the audit committee financial expert. His relevant experience is described in his biographical information.

We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to, among other personnel, our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, controllers and persons performing similar functions. The code is designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote: 1. honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; 2. full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file or submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission and in other public communications we make; 3. compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; 4. the prompt internal reporting of violations of the code to an appropriate person or persons identified in the code and 5. accountability for adherence to the code. We will provide a copy of the code to any person who requests a copy. A person may request a copy by writing to Fonar Corporation, 110 Marcus Drive, Melville, New York 11747, to the attention of the Legal Department or Investor Relations.

FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The officers and directors of the Company are set forth below:

Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. 76 President, Treasurer,

Chairman of the Board

and a Director

Claudette J.V. Chan 74 Director and Secretary

Robert J. Janoff 85 Director

Charles N. O'Data 76 Director

Ronald G. Lehman 36 Director

Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. has been the Chairman of the Board and President of Fonar since its inception in 1978 and Treasurer since February, 2001. Dr. Damadian was employed by the State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, New York, as an Associate Professor of Biophysics and Associate Professor of Internal Medicine from 1967 until September 1979. Dr. Damadian received an M.D. degree in 1960 from Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, and a B.S. degree in mathematics from the University of Wisconsin in 1956. In addition, Dr. Damadian conducted post-graduate work at Harvard University, where he studied extensively in the fields of physics, mathematics and electronics. Dr. Damadian is the author of numerous articles and books on the nuclear magnetic resonance effect in human tissue, which is the theoretical basis for the Fonar MRI scanners. Dr. Damadian is a 1988 recipient of the National Medal of Technology and in 1989 was inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame, for his contributions in conceiving and developing the application of magnetic resonance technology to medical applications including whole body scanning and diagnostic imaging. Dr. Damadian is the President, Treasurer and director of HMCA and a Manager of IMPERIAL.

Claudette J.V. Chan has been a Director of Fonar since October 1987 and Secretary of Fonar since January 2008. Mrs. Chan was employed from 1992 through 1997 by Raymond V. Damadian, M.D. MR Scanning Centers Management Company and since 1997 by HMCA-IMPERIAL, as "site inspector," in which capacity she is responsible for supervising and implementing standard procedures and policies for MRI scanning centers. From 1989 to 1994 Mrs. Chan was employed by St. Matthew's and St. Timothy's Neighborhood Center, Inc., as the director of volunteers in the "Meals on Wheels" program, a program which cares for the elderly. In approximately 1983, Mrs. Chan formed the Claudette Penot Collection, a retail mail-order business specializing in women's apparel and gifts, of which she was the President until she stopped operating the business in approximately 1989. Mrs. Chan practiced and taught in the field of nursing until 1973, when her son was born. She received a bachelor of science degree in nursing from Cornell University in 1960. Mrs. Chan is the sister of Raymond V. Damadian.

Robert J. Janoff has been a Director of Fonar since February 1989. Mr. Janoff has been a self-employed New York State licensed private investigator for more than thirty-five years and was a Senior Adjustor in Empire Insurance Group for more than 15 years until retiring from that position on July 1, 1997. Mr. Janoff also served, from June 1985 to June 1991, as President of Action Data Management Strategies, Ltd., a supplier of computer programs for use by insurance companies. Mr. Janoff was a member of the Board of Directors of Harmony Heights of Oyster Bay, New York for over 25 years, which is a nonprofit residential school for girls with learning disabilities.

## FONAR CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Charles N. O'Data has been a Director of Fonar since February 1998. From 1968 to 1997, Mr. O'Data was the Vice President for Development for Geneva College, a liberal arts college located in western Pennsylvania. In that capacity, he acted as the College's chief investment officer. His responsibilities included management of the College's endowment fund and fund raising. In July 1997, Mr. O'Data retired from Geneva College after 36 years of service to assume a position of National Sales Executive for SC Johnson Company's Professional Markets Group, a unit of SC Johnson Wax, and specialized in healthcare and education sales, a position he held until the spring of 1999. In his capacity with SC Johnson he was responsible for sales to the nation's three largest Group Purchasing Organizations which included some 4,000 hospitals. Mr. O'Data presently acts as an independent financial consultant to various entities. Mr. O'Data served on the board of The Medical Center, Beaver, Pennsylvania, now a part of Heritage Valley Health System, a 500 bed acute care facility, for 26 years, three as its Chair. Mr. O'Data also served on the board of the Hospital Council of Western Pennsylvania, a shared-services and group purchasing organization covering seven states. He founded The Beaver County Foundation, a Community Foundation, in 1992, and serves as its President. He also serves as Director of Philanthropic Advisors for McKinley Carter Wealth Management, a regional wealth management firm in Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia. Mr. O'Data is listed as a finance associate in the Middle States Association, Commission on Higher Education. The commission is the formal accrediting body for higher education in the eastern region of the country. In this capacity he evaluates the financial aspects of educational organizations. Mr. O'Data is a graduate of Geneva College, where he received a B.S. degree in Economics in 1958.

Ronald G. Lehman, has been a Director of Fonar since April, 2012, when he was unanimously appointed by the remaining four Directors to fill the vacancy resulting from the death of former Director Robert Djerejian. From October, 2009 to the present, Mr. Lehman has served as Managing Director of Investment Banking with Bruderman Brothers, Inc., a private New York-based broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and which is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory authority (FINRA) and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC). Mr. Lehman directly manages all facets of the firm's transaction processes, from deal origination, to sourcing capital, to negotiating deal structures, through documentation and closing. The firm provides buy and sell-side advisory, capital raising, and consulting services to lower middle-market companies. Mr. Lehman specializes in advising healthcare services companies and has recently completed several recapitalizations in the industry. He also participates in the firm's merchant banking investments and oversees many of these assignments. From May, 2008 to October, 2009, Mr. Lehman served as Senior Vice President of Acquisitions at Health Diagnostics, LLC, where he managed the company's acquisition and corporate finance activities. From March, 2000 to May, 2008, Mr. Lehman worked for various Bruderman entities as a buy and sell-side advisor and as a principal in several private equity transactions. From September, 1998 to March, 2000, Mr. Lehman worked at Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and last held the position of Associate in their Global Custody