Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc. Form N-CSR May 01, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-22784

Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc. (Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

c/o The Dreyfus Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Bennett A. MacDougall, Esq.

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 922-6400

Date of fiscal year end: 02/28

Date of reporting period: 02/28/18

FORM N-CSR

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc.

ANNUAL REPORT February 28, 2018

Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc.

Protecting Your Privacy Our Pledge to You

THE FUND IS COMMITTED TO YOUR PRIVACY. On this page, you will find the Fund's policies and practices for collecting, disclosing, and safeguarding "nonpublic personal information," which may include financial or other customer information. These policies apply to individuals who purchase Fund shares for personal, family, or household purposes, or have done so in the past. This notification replaces all previous statements of the Fund's consumer privacy policy, and may be amended at any time. We'll keep you informed of changes as required by law.

YOUR ACCOUNT IS PROVIDED IN A SECURE ENVIRONMENT. The Fund maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations to guard nonpublic personal information. The Fund's agents and service providers have limited access to customer information based on their role in servicing your account.

THE FUND COLLECTS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO SERVICE AND ADMINISTER YOUR ACCOUNT. The Fund collects a variety of nonpublic personal information, which may include:

- Information we receive from you, such as your name, address, and social security number.
- Information about your transactions with us, such as the purchase or sale of Fund shares.
- Information we receive from agents and service providers, such as proxy voting information.

THE FUND DOES NOT SHARE NONPUBLIC PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ANYONE, EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY LAW.

Thank you for this opportunity to serve you.

The views expressed in this report reflect those of the portfolio manager(s) only through the end of the period covered and do not necessarily represent the views of Dreyfus or any other person in the Dreyfus organization. Any such views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions and Dreyfus disclaims any responsibility to

update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a Dreyfus fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Dreyfus fund.

Not FDIC-Insured • Not Bank-Guaranteed • May Lose Value

Contents

THE FUND

A Letter from the President of Dreyfus	<u>2</u>
Discussion of Fund Performance	
Selected Information	<u>3</u> <u>5</u>
Statement of Investments	<u>6</u>
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	<u>17</u>
Statement of Operations	<u>18</u>
Statement of Cash Flows	<u> 19</u>
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	<u>20</u>
Financial Highlights	<u>21</u>
Notes to Financial Statements	<u>22</u>
Report of Independent Registered	
Public Accounting Firm	<u>30</u>
Additional Information	<u>31</u>
Important Tax Information	<u>34</u>
Board Members Information	<u>35</u>
Officers of the Fund	<u>38</u>
Officers and Directors	<u>41</u>
FOR MORE INFORMATION	

Back Cover

Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc.

The Fund

A LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENT OF DREYFUS

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to present this annual report for Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc., covering the 12-month period from March 1, 2017 through February 28, 2018. For information about how the fund performed during the reporting period, as well as general market perspectives, we provide a Discussion of Fund Performance on the pages that follow.

Financial markets early in the reporting period were dominated by expectations of more business-friendly regulatory, tax and fiscal policies from a newly inaugurated U.S. presidential administration. Domestic and international stocks continued to rally in the spring as corporate earnings grew and global economic conditions improved. Later, the passage of tax reform legislation fueled additional stock market gains.

In light of three short-term interest-rate hikes and concerns that inflation might accelerate in a growing economy, high-quality government bonds generally produced flat total returns for the reporting period. Corporate-backed securities and municipal bonds fared somewhat better, generating moderately positive results.

The markets' performance over the reporting period was supported by solid underlying fundamentals, including sustained economic growth, a robust labor market and strong consumer and business confidence. We currently expect these favorable conditions to persist, but we remain watchful for economic and political developments that could negatively affect the markets. Indeed, in February 2018, we witnessed a return of heightened volatility to the financial markets. As always, we encourage you to discuss the risks and opportunities of today's investment environment with your financial advisor.

Thank you for your continued confidence and support.

Sincerely,

Renee Laroche-Morris President The Dreyfus Corporation March 15, 2018

2

DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE (Unaudited)

For the period from March 1, 2017 through February 28, 2018, as provided by Daniel Rabasco and Jeffrey Burger, Portfolio Managers

Market and Fund Performance Overview

For the 12-month period ended February 28, 2018, Dreyfus Municipal Bond Infrastructure Fund, Inc. achieved a total return of 7.10% on a net-asset-value basis. Over the same period, the fund provided aggregate income dividends of \$0.6360 per share, which reflects a distribution rate of 5.17%.

Municipal bonds produced positive total returns as favorable supply-and-demand dynamics in the municipal securities market and moderating long-term interest rates over the first half of the reporting period more than offset later bouts of market weakness. The fund continued to produce competitive levels of current income through an emphasis on longer-term and lower-rated municipal bonds.

The Fund's Investment Approach

The fund seeks to provide as high a level of current income exempt from regular federal income tax as is consistent with the preservation of capital. The fund's portfolio is composed principally of investments that finance the

development, support, or improvement of America's infrastructure.

Under normal circumstances, the fund pursues its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its Managed Assets³ in municipal bonds issued to finance infrastructure sectors and projects in the United States. Also, under normal circumstances, the fund will invest at least 50% of its Managed Assets in municipal bonds that, at the time of investment, are rated investment grade, meaning that up to 50% of Managed Assets can be invested in below-investment-grade municipal bonds. Projects in which the fund may invest include (but are not limited to) those in the transportation, energy and utilities, social infrastructure, and water and environmental sectors. We focus on identifying undervalued sectors and securities, and minimize the use of interest-rate forecasting. We select municipal bonds using fundamental credit analysis to estimate the relative value and attractiveness of various sectors and securities and to exploit pricing inefficiencies.

The fund employs leverage by issuing preferred stock and participating in tender-option bond programs. The use of leverage can magnify gain-and-loss potential depending on market conditions.

Supply-and-Demand Dynamics Drove Municipal Bonds

Market weakness in the weeks before the start of the reporting period proved temporary, and municipal bonds rebounded from low valuations over the ensuing months as the supply of newly issued securities moderated and investor demand increased. Consequently, municipal bonds ranked among the top performing sectors of the U.S. bond market over the reporting period's first half.

Later in 2017, the market encountered renewed volatility amid uncertainty regarding the impact of tax reform legislation on municipal bonds. Issuers responded to this uncertainty by issuing a high volume of new securities before year-end, and the resulting supply-and-demand imbalance put downward pressure on municipal bond prices. Heightened market volatility continued through January 2018 despite a dearth of new issuance and a return to more favorable supply-and-demand dynamics. Investors grew concerned that the Federal Reserve Board might raise short-term interest rates more than previously expected in an environment of greater fiscal

3

DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE (Unaudited) (continued)

stimulus, increased economic growth, accelerating inflation, and ballooning federal budget deficits. The market generally stabilized in February.

Although credit conditions have remained sound in the strong economy, growth in tax revenues has slowed nationally, and several states are facing pressure from underfunded pension systems.

Revenue-Backed Bonds Supported Fund Results

The fund's ability to generate competitive levels of tax-exempt income was enhanced during the reporting period by overweighted exposure to higher yielding revenue-backed bonds with long maturities and BBB and high yield credit ratings. The fund achieved especially favorable results among bonds backed by revenues from continuing care centers and retirement homes in the health care sector, charter schools in the education sector, industrial development projects, and the states' settlement of litigation with U.S. tobacco companies. The fund also benefited from an underweighted position in lower yielding general obligation bonds. Lack of exposure to uninsured bonds from Puerto Rico also supported the fund's results.

Although disappointments proved relatively mild during the reporting period, higher quality bonds issued on behalf of essential municipal services such as water-and-sewer districts and public power utilities generally lagged market averages. In addition, the fund's leveraging strategy was constrained by higher financing costs in the rising interest-rate environment.

A Constructive Investment Posture

Market volatility surrounding the enactment of tax reform legislation and potentially rising short-term interest rates appears to have eased. While sharply lower corporate tax rates could weigh on demand for municipal bonds from banks and insurance companies, modestly lower personal tax rates seem unlikely to dampen demand from individual investors seeking tax-exempt current income, and we expect favorable supply-and-demand dynamics to continue to support municipal bond prices over the foreseeable future. In addition, municipal bonds historically have been less sensitive than U.S. Treasury securities to rising interest rates. Therefore, we have maintained a constructive investment posture, including an emphasis on higher yielding revenue bonds with long maturities.

March 15, 2018

¹ Total return includes reinvestment of dividends and any capital gains paid, based upon net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Income may be subject to state and local taxes, and some income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax for certain investors. Capital gains, if any, are fully taxable.

² Distribution rate per share is based upon dividends per share paid from net investment income during the period, divided by the market price per share at the end of the period, adjusted for any capital gain distributions.

³ "Managed Assets" of the fund means the fund's total assets, including any assets attributable to effective leverage, minus certain defined accrued liabilities.

Bonds are subject generally to interest-rate, credit, liquidity, and market risks, to varying degrees. Generally, all other factors being equal, prices of investment-grade bonds are inversely related to interest-rate changes, and rate increases can cause price declines.

High yield bonds are subject to increased credit and liquidity risk and are considered speculative in terms of the issuer's perceived ability to pay interest on a timely basis and to repay principal upon maturity. Unlike investment-grade bonds, prices of high yield bonds may fluctuate unpredictably and not necessarily inversely with changes in interest rates.

The use of leverage may magnify the fund's gains or losses. For derivatives with a leveraging component, adverse changes in the value or level of the underlying asset can result in a loss that is much greater than the original investment in the derivative.

4

SELECTED INFORMATION February 28, 2018 (Unaudited)

Market Price per share February 28, 2018 Shares Outstanding February 28, 2018 \$12.29 18,381,981 New York Stock Exchange Ticker Symbol

DMB

MARKET PRICE (NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE)

Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2018

	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	
	Ended May 31, 2017	Ended August 31, 2017	Ended November 30, 2017	Ended February 28, 20	018
High	\$13.28	\$13.53	\$13.52	\$13.29	
Low	12.23	13.10	12.92	12.27	
Close	13.11	13.28	12.96	12.29	
PERCENT	TAGE GAIN (LOSS)	based on change in Mar	rket Price [†]		
April 26, 20	013 (commencement of	of operations)		,	7.58%
through Fel	bruary 28, 2018				1.38%
March 1, 20	017 through February	28, 2018			1.78
June 1, 201	7 through February 28	8, 2018		((2.75)
September	1, 2017 through Febru	ary 28, 2018		((5.14)
December	1, 2017 through Febru	ary 28, 2018		((3.97)
April 26, 20 February 25 May 31, 20 August 31,	2017				\$14.295 13.68 14.11 14.26
November					14.14 13.96
February 2		based on change in Net	Assat Valua [†]		13.90
	013 (commencement of	•	Asset value		
•	bruary 28, 2018	or operations)		,	28.17%
_	017 through February	28 2018		,	7.10
	7 through February 28				2.58
	1, 2017 through Febru				0.30
	1, 2017 through Febru	•			(0.07)
	ends reinvested.				()
5					

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS

February 28, 2018

Description	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0%				
Alabama - 2.5%				
Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority,	5.75	6/1/45	1,500,000	1,645,200
Improvement Revenue (Methodist Home for the Aging)	3.73	0/1/43	1,300,000	1,043,200
Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority,	6.00	6/1/50	2,350,000	2,609,252
Improvement Revenue (Methodist Home for the Aging)	0.00	0/1/30	2,330,000	4,009,232

Jefferson County, Sewer Revenue Warrants	0/7.90	10/1/50	2,500,000	a 2,065,700
				6,320,152
Arizona - 5.3%				
Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Education Facility Revenue (BASIS Schools Projects)	5.00	7/1/45	2,000,000	b 2,044,180
Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Education Revenue (American Leadership Academy Project)	5.00	6/15/52	1,000,000	ь 970,250
Pima County Industrial Development Authority,	5.38	7/1/31	4,395,000	4,738,645
Education Revenue (Arizona Charter Schools Refunding Project) Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Senior Gas Revenue	5.00	12/1/37	5,000,000	5,866,150 13,619,225
California - 12.9%				10,013,220
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue (Baptist University)	5.00	11/1/41	1,875,000	ь 2,025,525
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue (California Baptist University)	6.38	11/1/43	2,035,000	2,334,247
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.75	6/1/47	7,510,000	7,566,325
Long Beach Bond Finance Authority, Natural Gas Purchase Revenue	5.50	11/15/37	5,000,000	6,275,100
Riverside County Transportation Commission, Senior Lien Toll Revenue	5.75	6/1/44	3,250,000	3,618,972
♥				

Description	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued)				
California - 12.9% (continued)				
San Buenaventura,	7.50	12/1/41	2,500,000	2,799,600
Revenue (Community Memorial Health System)				
Tobacco Securitization Authority,	0.00	6/1/45	20 270 000	~2 000 200
North Tobacco Settlement Revenue (Capital Appreciation-2nd Sub-Asset Backed C)	0.00	6/1/45	29,370,000	c 2,900,288
University of California Regents,				
Medical Center Pooled Revenue	5.00	5/15/43	5,000,000	5,588,000
viculear center i oolea Revenue				33,108,057
Colorado - 4.3%				33,100,037
City and County of Denver,				
Airport System Subordinate Revenue	5.25	11/15/43	5,000,000	5,519,200
Colorado Bridge Enterprise,	4.00	6100151	4 #00 000	4 455 400
Revenue (Central 70 Project)	4.00	6/30/51	1,500,000	1,475,490
Colorado Health Facilities Authority,	<i>5</i> 00	1 /1 // /	2 500 000	2.726.025
Revenue (Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health System)	5.00	1/1/44	2,500,000	2,726,925
	6.00	12/1/46	1,200,000	1,253,100

Dominion Water and Sanitation District,

_	_	_	
Т-	E	Revenue	
Lan	Hee	Revenue	

				10,974,715
District of Columbia - 1.8%				
District of Columbia,				
Revenue (Knowledge is Power Program, District of Columbia Issue)	6.00	7/1/23	1,700,000	d2,031,704
(Prerefunded)				
District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation,	0.00	6/15/46	17 000 000	c 2,474,860
Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	0.00	0/13/40	17,000,000	
				4,506,564
Florida - 2.1%				
Davie,	5.63	4/1/43	4,805,000	5,325,862
Educational Facilities Revenue (Nova Southeastern University Project)	5.05	17 17	1,002,000	0,020,002
Georgia - 1.7%				
Burke County Development Authority,	4.13	11/1/45	1,350,000	1,329,116
Pollution Control Revenue, Refunding (Oglethorpe Power Corp-Vogtle)	.,,,,	11/1/10	1,000,000	1,02>,110
Fulton County Development Authority,	5.00	4/1/47	1,750,000	1,931,160
Hospital Revenue (Wellstar Health Systems)	2.00	., .,	1,700,000	1,501,100
Gainesville and Hall County Development Authority,	5.00	3/1/37	1,000,000	1,046,450
Educational Facilities Revenue (Riverside Military Academy)	• •		, ,	, ,
_				4,306,726
7				

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

Description	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued)				
Hawaii - 1.4%				
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance,	4.00	3/1/37	3,500,000	3,514,840
Special Purpose Revenue (Hawaiian Electric Company)	4.00	3/1/3/	3,300,000	3,314,040
Illinois - 9.7%				
Chicago,				
Customer Facility Charge Senior Lien Revenue (Chicago O'Hare	5.75	1/1/43	3,750,000	4,257,300
International Airport)				
Chicago,	6.00	1/1/38	2,500,000	2,838,875
GO (Project and Refunding Series)	0.00	1/1/30	2,300,000	2,636,673
Illinois,	5.00	12/1/27	3,500,000	3,659,110
GO, Refunding	3.00	12/1/2/	3,300,000	3,039,110
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority,				
Dedicated Tax Revenue (Capital Appreciation-McCormick Place	0.00	12/15/36	2,500,000 c	1,019,900
Expansion Project) (Insured; MBIA Insurance Corporation)				
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority,	5.00	6/15/53	2,500,000	2,645,125
Revenue (McCormick Place Expansion Project)	3.00	0/13/33	2,300,000	2,043,123
Tender Option Bond Trust Receipts (Series 2017-XM0492), 10/01/40,	5.00	10/1/40	7,000,000 b	e7,780,185
(Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue (The University of Chicago))				

Lugar i ling. Dreylus Municipal Bond initastructure i und, inc i onn in-osit				
Non-recourse University of Illinois Board of Trustees, Auxiliary Facilities System Revenue (University of Illinois) Indiana - 6.7%	5.00	4/1/44	2,500,000	2,715,125 24,915,620
Indiana Finance Authority,	5.50	0/15/40	7 425 000	7 756 740
HR (The King's Daughters' Hospital and Health Services)	3.30	8/15/40	7,425,000	7,756,749
Indiana Finance Authority, Private Activity Bonds (Ohio River Bridges East End Crossing Project)	5.00	7/1/40	5,000,000	5,369,150
Indiana Finance Authority,	6.00	11/15/41	3,500,000	3,997,140
Revenue (Baptist Homes of Indiana Senior Living)				17,123,039
Iowa - 2.9%				11,120,000
Iowa Finance Authority, Midwestern Disaster Area Revenue (Iowa Fertilizer Company Project) 8	5.25	12/1/25	7,000,000	7,444,850
Description Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued) Kentucky - 1.4%	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Christian County,	5.50	2/1/44	1,000,000	1,071,420
HR (Jennie Stuart Medical Center)	3.30	2/1/44	1,000,000	1,071,420
Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Government, Health System Revenue (Norton Healthcare, Inc.)	5.75	10/1/42	2,370,000	2,663,074
				3,734,494
Louisiana - 3.0% Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Dock and Wharf Revenue (Impala Warehousing LLC Project)	6.50	7/1/36	2,000,000	b 2,226,600

Louisiana - 3.0%				
Louisiana Public Facilities Authority,	6.50	7/1/36	2,000,000	b 2,226,600
Dock and Wharf Revenue (Impala Warehousing LLC Project)	0.50	//1/30	2,000,000	0 2,220,000
New Orleans,	5.00	6/1/44	2,000,000	2,199,900
Sewerage Service Revenue	3.00	0/1/++	2,000,000	2,177,700
New Orleans,	5.00	12/1/34	1,000,000	1,116,770
Water Revenue	3.00	12/1/37	1,000,000	1,110,770
New Orleans,	5.00	12/1/44	2,000,000	2,207,880
Water Revenue	3.00	12/1/77	2,000,000	2,207,000
				7,751,150
Maryland4%				
Tradity with the				
City of Rockville MD,	5.00	11/1/47	1 000 000	1 086 530
·	5.00	11/1/47	1,000,000	1,086,530
City of Rockville MD,	5.00	11/1/47	1,000,000	1,086,530
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project)				, ,
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project) Massachusetts - 4.6 %	5.00 6.50			1,086,530 b.d2,459,680
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project) Massachusetts - 4.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	6.50	11/15/23	2,000,000	b,d2,459,680
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project) Massachusetts - 4.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue (North Hill Communities Issue) (Prerefunded)				b,d2,459,680
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project) Massachusetts - 4.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue (North Hill Communities Issue) (Prerefunded) Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	6.50	11/15/23	2,000,000	b,d2,459,680
City of Rockville MD, Economic Development Revenue (Ingelside at King Farm Project) Massachusetts - 4.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue (North Hill Communities Issue) (Prerefunded) Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue, Refunding (NewBridge Charles)	6.50 5.00	11/15/23 10/1/57	2,000,000	b,d2,459,680 b 1,050,450

AMBAC)

				11	,846,482
Michigan - 6.9%					
Detroit,	5.25	7/1/41	2,250,000		2,446,470
Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue	3.23	// 1/ (1	2,230,000		2,110,170
Michigan Finance Authority,	5.00	12/1/39	4,990,000		5,427,823
HR (Trinity Health Credit Group)	2.00	12/1/07	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2,127,023
Michigan Finance Authority,	5.00	12/1/21	10.000	d	11.135
HR (Trinity Health Credit Group) (Prerefunded)	2.00	12, 1, 21	10,000		11,100
9					

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

Description Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued) Michigan - 6.9% (continued)	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Michigan Finance Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department, Water Supply System Revenue Senior Lien Local Project Bonds) (Insured; National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.)	5.00	7/1/36	2,250,000	2,452,050
Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	6.00	6/1/34	5,000,000	4,987,500
Wayne County Airport Authority, Airport Revenue (Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport) (Insured; Build America Mutual Assurance Company)	5.00	12/1/39	2,250,000	
Missouri - 1.2%				17,811,701
Missouri Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue (Saint Louis College of Pharmacy)	5.50	5/1/43	2,000,000	2,156,000
Saint Louis County Industrial Development Authority, Senior Living Facilities Revenue (Friendship Village Sunset Hills)	5.00	9/1/42	1,000,000	1,065,340
New Jersey - 6.9%				3,221,340
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Private Activity Revenue (The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project)	5.38	1/1/43	2,500,000	2,764,600
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Revenue	5.25	6/15/27	2,500,000	2,755,425
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, School Facilities Construction Revenue	5.25	6/15/40	2,000,000	2,117,640
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facility Revenue (Continental Airlines, Inc. Project)	5.13	9/15/23	2,500,000	2,761,150
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facility Revenue (Continental Airlines, Inc. Project) 10	5.25	9/15/29	4,500,000	4,912,245

Description	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued) New Jersey - 6.9% (continued) Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.00	6/1/41	2,330,000	2,327,903 7,638,963
New York - 16.6%			-	7,000,500
New York City Industrial Development Agency, PILOT Revenue (Queens Baseball Stadium Project) (Insured; AMBAC) New York Liberty Development Corporation, Revenue (3 World Trade Center Project)	5.00	1/1/36	8,000,000	8,063,760
	5.00	11/15/44	3,500,000 b	3,703,805
New York State Dormitory Authority, Revenue (Saint John's University)	5.00	7/1/44	2,000,000	2,187,360
New York Transportation Development Corporation, Special Facility Revenue (LaGuardia Airport Terminal B Redevelopment Project) Niagara Area Development Corporation, Solid Waste Disposal Facility Revenue (Covanta Energy Project) Transport Covanta Energy Project)	5.00	7/1/46	3,000,000	3,258,720
	5.25	11/1/42	7,870,000 ь	7,875,116
Tender Option Bond Trust Receipts (Series 2017-XF2419), 11/15/38, (Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue) Recourse	5.00	11/15/38	15,000,000 b	e 16,631,362
TSASC, Inc. of New York, Tobacco Settlement Subordinate Bonds	5.00	6/1/48	1,000,000	990,530
			4	2,710,653
Ohio - 7.3% Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	6.25	6/1/37	7,000,000	6,975,920
Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	0.00	6/1/47	26,000,000 c	1,669,980
Cuyahoga County Hospital, Revenue (The Metrohealth System) Muslingum County	5.25	2/15/47	2,500,000	2,676,800
Muskingum County, Hospital Facilities Revenue (Genesis HealthCare System Obligated Group Project)	5.00	2/15/44	7,000,000	7,305,200
11			1	8,627,900

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

Description

Coupon Maturity Principal Value (\$)

Rate Date Amount (\$)

Long-Term Municipal Investments - 144.0% (continued)
Oklahoma - .7%
Tulsa County Industrial Authority,
Senior Living Community Revenue (Montereau Inc. Project)

(%)
5.25