

Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2016  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 000-51446

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

02-0636095

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
121 South 17th Street, Mattoon, Illinois	61938-3987
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(217) 235-3311

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes            No  X

On July 29, 2016, the registrant had 50,654,989 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

---

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	28
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	46
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	46
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	47
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u>	49
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	50

---

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited; Amounts in thousands except per share amounts)

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net revenues	\$ 186,871	\$ 201,010	\$ 375,717	\$ 393,588
Operating expense:				
Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	80,763	86,376	160,483	166,268
Selling, general and administrative expenses	39,053	43,308	79,729	85,693
Loss on impairment	610	—	610	—
Depreciation and amortization	43,491	43,651	87,631	87,207
Income from operations	22,954	27,675	47,264	54,420
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense, net of interest income	(19,106)	(20,429)	(37,752)	(41,103)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(41,242)	—	(41,242)
Investment income	8,704	9,004	15,901	15,445
Other, net	(72)	(40)	(58)	(97)
Income (loss) before income taxes	12,480	(25,032)	25,355	(12,577)
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,323	(9,104)	17,296	(4,478)
Net income (loss)	157	(15,928)	8,059	(8,099)
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	81	40	134	59
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 76	\$ (15,968)	\$ 7,925	\$ (8,158)
Net income (loss) per basic and diluted common shares attributable to common shareholders	\$ —	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.16)

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.77
-------------------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

See accompanying notes.

1

---

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Unaudited; Amounts in thousands)

	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	2015	June 30, 2016	2015
Net income	\$ 157	\$ (15,928)	\$ 8,059	\$ (8,099)
Pension and post-retirement obligations:				
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service credit to earnings, net of tax	678	464	1,357	866
Derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges:				
Change in fair value of derivatives, net of tax	(168)	(237)	(598)	(802)
Reclassification of realized loss to earnings, net of tax	158	229	307	491
Comprehensive income (loss)	825	(15,472)	9,125	(7,544)
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	81	40	134	59
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 744	\$ (15,512)	\$ 8,991	\$ (7,603)

See accompanying notes.

2

---



Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited; Amounts in thousands except share and per share amounts)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,555	\$ 15,878
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	64,524	68,848
Income tax receivable	13,959	23,867
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	21,922	17,815
Assets held for sale	28,689	—
Total current assets	153,649	126,408
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,062,181	1,093,261
Investments	106,931	105,543
Goodwill	756,373	764,630
Other intangible assets	37,023	43,497
Other assets	6,682	5,187
Total assets	\$ 2,122,839	\$ 2,138,526
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 17,295	\$ 12,576
Advance billings and customer deposits	26,921	27,616
Dividends payable	19,623	19,551
Accrued compensation	19,986	21,883
Accrued interest	9,405	9,353
Accrued expense	43,284	42,384
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	13,171	10,937
Liabilities held for sale	7,746	—
Total current liabilities	157,431	144,300
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	1,378,788	1,377,892
Deferred income taxes	237,363	236,529
Pension and other post-retirement obligations	110,325	112,966
Other long-term liabilities	16,544	16,140
Total liabilities	1,900,451	1,887,827

Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)

Shareholders' equity:

Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 50,654,989 and 50,470,096 shares outstanding as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	507	505
Additional paid-in capital	251,344	281,738
Retained earnings (deficit)	—	(881)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(34,633)	(35,699)
Noncontrolling interest	5,170	5,036
Total shareholders' equity	222,388	250,699
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,122,839	\$ 2,138,526

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited; amounts in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June	
	30,	
	2016	2015
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 115,505	\$ 95,816
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment, net	(62,271)	(65,538)
Proceeds from sale of assets	50	57
Proceeds from sale of investments	—	846
Net cash used in investing activities	(62,221)	(64,635)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from bond offering	—	294,780
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	7,000	40,000
Payment of capital lease obligations	(812)	(444)
Payment on long-term debt	(11,550)	(59,550)
Redemption of senior notes	—	(261,874)
Payment of financing costs	—	(4,468)
Share repurchases for minimum tax withholding	(71)	(282)
Dividends on common stock	(39,174)	(39,076)
Net cash used in financing activities	(44,607)	(30,914)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	8,677	267
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	15,878	6,679
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 24,555	\$ 6,946

See accompanying notes.

4

---

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business and Basis of Accounting

Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. (the “Company”, “we” or “our”) is a holding company with operating subsidiaries (collectively “Consolidated”) that provide integrated communications services in consumer, commercial and carrier channels in California, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas and Wisconsin.

We operate as both an Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (“ILEC”) and a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (“CLEC”), dependent upon the territory served. We provide a wide range of services and products that include local and long-distance service, high-speed broadband Internet access, video services, Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”), private line services, carrier grade access services, network capacity services over our regional fiber optic networks, cloud services, data center and managed services, directory publishing and equipment sales. As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately 471 thousand voice connections, 463 thousand data connections and 112 thousand video connections.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and related condensed consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“US GAAP” or “GAAP”) for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations and accounting principles applicable for interim periods. Events subsequent to the balance sheet date have been evaluated for inclusion in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements through the date of issuance. Management believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information presented in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements (“Notes”) thereto included in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-13 (“ASU 2016-13”), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. ASU 2016-13 establishes the new “current expected credit loss” model for measuring and recognizing credit losses on financial assets based on relevant information about past events, including historical experience, current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. We are currently evaluating the impact this update will have on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, FASB issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09 (“ASU 2016-09”), Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. ASU 2016-09 simplifies various aspects of accounting for share-based payment arrangements, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016 with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact this update will have on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, FASB issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02 (“ASU 2016-02”), Leases. ASU 2016-02 establishes a new lease accounting model for leases. Lessees will be required to recognize most leases on their balance sheets but lease expense will be recognized on the income statement in a manner similar to existing requirements. ASU 2016-02 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018 with early adoption permitted. We

Table of Contents

are currently evaluating the impact this update will have on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Effective January 1, 2016, we adopted Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-16 (“ASU 2015-16”), Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. ASU 2015-16 requires that the acquiring company in a business combination recognize adjustments to provisional amounts identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustments are determined and record in the reporting period in which the adjustments are determined the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization and other items resulting from the change to the provisional amounts. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2014, FASB issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15 (“ASU 2014-15”), Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. ASU 2014-15 requires management to evaluate for each annual and interim reporting period whether conditions or events give rise to substantial doubt that an entity has the ability to continue as a going concern within one year following issuance of the financial statements and requires specific disclosures regarding the conditions or events leading to substantial doubt. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim periods ending after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In May 2014, FASB issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 (“ASU 2014-09”), Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-09 provides new comprehensive guidance concerning the recognition and measurement of revenue. As a result, significant additional disclosures are required about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. In August 2015, FASB issued the Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-14 (“ASU 2015-14”), Deferral of the Effective Date. ASU 2015-14 defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 for all entities by one year. Accordingly, the new guidance in ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017. Companies are allowed to transition using either the modified retrospective or full retrospective adoption method. If full retrospective adoption is chosen, three years of financial information must be presented in accordance with the new standard. In 2016, the FASB issued additional accounting standards updates to clarify and provide implementation guidance related to ASU 2014-09. The effective date and transition requirements for the additional updates and implementation guidance are the same as the effective date and transition requirements for ASU 2014-09. We are currently evaluating the alternative methods of adoption and the effect this guidance will have on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

2. ACQUISITION AND DIVESTITURE

## Acquisition

On April 18, 2016, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Champaign Telephone Company, Inc. and its sister company, Big Broadband Services, LLC, a private business communications provider in the Champaign-Urbana, IL area. The acquisition was completed on July 1, 2016. The aggregate purchase price, including customary working capital adjustments, consisted of cash consideration of approximately \$13.4 million, which was paid from our existing cash resources. The preliminary fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities assumed consisted primarily of property, plant and equipment of \$6.5 million, intangible assets of \$1.1 million and working capital of \$1.3 million. Goodwill recognized from the acquisition is expected to be approximately \$4.5 million and is not deductible for income tax purposes. Upon completion of the final fair value assessment, the fair values of the net assets acquired may differ from the preliminary assessment. We expect to finalize these valuations during the quarter ended December 31, 2016.

## Divestiture

On May 3, 2016, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell all of the issued and outstanding stock of our non-core, rural ILEC business located in northwest Iowa, Consolidated Communications of Iowa Company (“CCIC”), formerly Heartland Telecommunications Company of Iowa, for approximately \$22.5 million in cash, prior to certain contractual



Table of Contents

adjustments. CCIC provides telecommunications and data services to residential and business customers in 11 rural communities in northwest Iowa and surrounding areas. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2016 and is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals.

At June 30, 2016, the major classes of assets and liabilities to be sold were classified as held for sale in the condensed consolidated balance sheet and consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	
Current assets	\$ 796
Property, plant and equipment	20,221
Goodwill	7,647
Other assets	25
Total assets	\$ 28,689
Current liabilities	\$ 352
Deferred taxes	7,373
Other long-term liabilities	21
Total liabilities	\$ 7,746

In connection with the classification as assets held for sale, the carrying value of CCIC was reduced to its estimated fair value of approximately \$20.9 million, which was determined based on the estimated selling price less costs to sell and was classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. As a result, we recognized an impairment loss of \$0.6 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016.

### 3. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) are computed using the two-class method, which is an earnings allocation that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. The Company’s restricted stock awards are considered participating securities because holders are entitled to receive non-forfeitable dividends during the vesting term. Diluted EPS includes securities that could potentially dilute basic EPS during a reporting period. Dilutive securities are not included in the computation of loss per share when a company reports a net loss from continuing operations as the impact would be anti-dilutive.

The potentially dilutive impact of the Company's restricted stock awards is determined using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, awards are treated as if they had been exercised with any proceeds used to repurchase common stock at the average market price during the period. Any incremental difference between the assumed number of shares issued and purchased is included in the diluted share computation.

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted EPS attributable to common shareholders computed using the two class method is as follows:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income (loss)	\$ 157	\$ (15,928)	\$ 8,059	\$ (8,099)
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	81	40	134	59
Income (loss) attributable to common shareholders before allocation of earnings to participating securities	76	(15,968)	7,925	(8,158)
Less: earnings allocated to participating securities	131	—	262	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, after earnings allocated to participating securities	\$ (55)	\$ (15,968)	\$ 7,663	\$ (8,158)
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	50,294	50,175	50,291	50,161
Net income (loss) per common share attributable to common shareholders - basic and diluted	\$ —	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.16)

Diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to common shareholders for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 exclude 0.4 million and 0.3 million potential common shares that could be issued under our share-based compensation plan, respectively, because the inclusion of the potential common shares would have an antidilutive effect. For each of the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2015, diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to common shareholders excluded 0.3 million potential common shares.

## 4. INVESTMENTS

Our investments are as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30,	December
	2016	31,
	2016	2015
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	\$ 2,217	\$ 2,149
Cost method investments:		
GTE Mobilnet of South Texas Limited Partnership (2.34% interest)	21,450	21,450
Pittsburgh SMSA Limited Partnership (3.60% interest)	22,950	22,950
CoBank, ACB Stock	8,138	7,971
Other	200	200

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Equity method investments:

GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17 Limited Partnership (20.51% interest)	17,897	18,099
Pennsylvania RSA 6(I) Limited Partnership (16.67% interest)	6,430	6,167
Pennsylvania RSA 6(II) Limited Partnership (23.67% interest)	27,649	26,557
Totals	\$ 106,931	\$ 105,543

Cost Method

We own 2.34% of GTE Mobilnet of South Texas Limited Partnership (the “Mobilnet South Partnership”). The principal activity of the Mobilnet South Partnership is providing cellular service in the Houston, Galveston and Beaumont, Texas metropolitan areas. We also own 3.60% of Pittsburgh SMSA Limited Partnership (“Pittsburgh SMSA”), which provides cellular service in and around the Pittsburgh metropolitan area. Because of our limited influence over these partnerships, we use the cost method to account for both of these investments. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of these investments. We did not evaluate any of the investments for impairment during the quarters or six months ended June 30, 2016 or 2015 as no factors indicating impairment existed. For the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we received

Table of Contents

cash distributions from these partnerships totaling \$3.8 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we received cash distributions from these partnerships totaling \$6.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively.

CoBank, ACB (“CoBank”) is a cooperative bank owned by its customers. On an annual basis, CoBank distributes patronage in the form of cash and stock in the cooperative based on the Company’s outstanding loan balance with CoBank, which has traditionally been a significant lender in the Company’s credit facility. The investment in CoBank represents the accumulation of the equity patronage paid by CoBank to the Company.

## Equity Method

We own 20.51% of GTE Mobilnet of Texas RSA #17 Limited Partnership (“RSA #17”), 16.67% of Pennsylvania RSA 6(I) Limited Partnership (“RSA 6(I)”) and 23.67% of Pennsylvania RSA 6(II) Limited Partnership (“RSA 6(II)”). RSA #17 provides cellular service to a limited rural area in Texas. RSA 6(I) and RSA 6(II) provide cellular service in and around our Pennsylvania service territory. Because we have significant influence over the operating and financial policies of these three entities, we account for the investments using the equity method. For the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we received cash distributions from these partnerships totaling \$4.0 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we received cash distributions from these partnerships totaling \$8.1 million and \$9.3 million, respectively.

The combined unaudited results of operations and financial position of our three equity investments in the cellular limited partnerships are summarized below:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Total revenues	\$ 81,885	\$ 86,286	\$ 164,542	\$ 173,016
Income from operations	26,797	26,911	52,393	53,805
Net income before taxes	26,396	26,626	51,585	53,615
Net income	26,396	26,626	51,585	53,615

(In thousands)	June 30,	December
	2016	31,
	2015	2015

Current assets	\$ 70,473	\$ 57,716
Non-current assets	90,945	96,197
Current liabilities	19,211	20,576
Non-current liabilities	52,183	52,414
Partnership equity	90,024	80,923

## 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Our derivative instruments related to interest rate swap agreements are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair values of the interest rate swaps are determined using valuation models which rely on the expected London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) based yield curve and estimates of counterparty and Consolidated’s non-performance risk as the most significant inputs. Because each of these inputs are directly observable or can be corroborated by observable market data, we have categorized these interest rate swaps as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. See Note 7 for further discussion regarding our interest rate swap agreements.

Table of Contents

Our interest rate swap liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and subject to disclosure requirements as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

		As of June 30, 2016		
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)	Total			
Current interest rate swap liabilities	\$ (39)	\$ -	\$ (39)	\$ -
Long-term interest rate swap liabilities	(1,568)	-	(1,568)	-
Total	\$ (1,607)	\$ -	\$ (1,607)	\$ -

		As of December 31, 2015		
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)	Total			
Current interest rate swap liabilities	\$ (190)	\$ -	\$ (190)	\$ -
Long-term interest rate swap liabilities	(1,084)	-	(1,084)	-
Total	\$ (1,274)	\$ -	\$ (1,274)	\$ -

We have not elected the fair value option for any of our financial assets or liabilities. The carrying value of other financial instruments, including cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities or variable-rate nature of the respective balances. The following table presents the other financial instruments that are not carried at fair value but which require fair value disclosure as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

(In thousands)	As of June 30, 2016		As of December 31, 2015	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Investments, equity basis	\$ 51,976	n/a	\$ 50,823	n/a
Investments, at cost	\$ 52,738	n/a	\$ 52,571	n/a
Long-term debt, excluding capital leases	\$ 1,389,618	\$ 1,338,973	\$ 1,393,567	\$ 1,312,383

#### Cost & Equity Method Investments

Our investments as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 accounted for under both the equity and cost methods consisted primarily of minority positions in various cellular telephone limited partnerships and our investment in CoBank. It is impracticable to determine the fair value of these investments.

#### Long-term Debt

The fair value of our senior notes was based on quoted market prices, and the fair value of borrowings under our credit facility was determined using current market rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. We have categorized the long-term debt as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.



Table of Contents

## 6. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt, presented net of unamortized discounts, consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Senior secured credit facility:		
Term loan 4, net of discount of \$3,029 and \$3,340 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	\$ 884,221	\$ 888,460
Revolving loan	10,000	10,000
6.50% Senior notes due 2022, net of discount of \$4,602 and \$4,893 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	495,397	495,107
Capital leases	13,662	7,580
	1,403,280	1,401,147
Less: current portion of long-term debt and capital leases	(13,171)	(10,937)
Less: deferred debt issuance costs	(11,321)	(12,318)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,378,788	\$ 1,377,892

## Credit Agreement

In December 2013, the Company, through certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with various financial institutions (the "Credit Agreement") to replace the Company's previously amended credit agreement. The Credit Agreement consists of a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility and initial term loans in the aggregate amount of \$910.0 million ("Term 4"). The Credit Agreement also includes an incremental term loan facility which provides the ability to request to borrow up to \$300.0 million of incremental term loans subject to certain terms and conditions. Borrowings under the senior secured credit facility are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, with the exception of Consolidated Communications of Illinois Company and our majority-owned subsidiary, East Texas Fiber Line Incorporated.

The Term 4 loan was issued in an original aggregate principal amount of \$910.0 million with a maturity date of December 23, 2020. The Term 4 loan contains an original issuance discount of \$4.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of the loan. The Term 4 loan requires quarterly principal payments of \$2.3 million and has an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.25% subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor.

Our revolving credit facility has a maturity date of December 23, 2018 and an applicable margin (at our election) of between 2.50% and 3.25% for LIBOR-based borrowings or between 1.50% and 2.25% for alternate base rate

borrowings, depending on our leverage ratio. Based on our leverage ratio as of June 30, 2016, the borrowing margin for the next three month period ending September 30, 2016 will be at a weighted-average margin of 3.00% for a LIBOR-based loan or 2.00% for an alternate base rate loan. The applicable borrowing margin for the revolving credit facility is adjusted quarterly to reflect the leverage ratio from the prior quarter-end. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, borrowings of \$10.0 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility. A stand-by letter of credit of \$1.6 million, issued primarily in connection with the Company's insurance coverage, was outstanding under our revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2016. The stand-by letter of credit is renewable annually and reduces the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility. As of June 30, 2016, \$63.4 million was available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility.

The weighted-average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under our credit facility was 4.24% as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. Interest is payable at least quarterly.

Net proceeds from asset sales exceeding certain thresholds, to the extent not reinvested, are required to be used to repay loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

## Table of Contents

### Credit Agreement Covenant Compliance

The Credit Agreement contains various provisions and covenants, including, among other items, restrictions on the ability to pay dividends, incur additional indebtedness and issue capital stock. We have agreed to maintain certain financial ratios, including interest coverage and total net leverage ratios, all as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with the Credit Agreement covenants.

In general, our Credit Agreement restricts our ability to pay dividends to the amount of our available cash as defined in our Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, and including the \$19.6 million dividend declared in May 2016 and paid on August 1, 2016, we had \$258.5 million in dividend availability under the credit facility covenant.

Under our Credit Agreement, if our total net leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, as of the end of any fiscal quarter is greater than 5.10:1.00, we will be required to suspend dividends on our common stock unless otherwise permitted by an exception for dividends that may be paid from the portion of proceeds of any sale of equity not used to fund acquisitions or make other investments. During any dividend suspension period, we will be required to repay debt in an amount equal to 50.0% of any increase in available cash, among other things. In addition, we will not be permitted to pay dividends if an event of default under the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing. Among other things, it will be an event of default if our total net leverage ratio or interest coverage ratio as of the end of any fiscal quarter is greater than 5.25:1.00 and less than 2.25:1.00, respectively. As of June 30, 2016, our total net leverage ratio under the Credit Agreement was 4.22:1.00, and our interest coverage ratio was 4.25:1.00.

### Senior Notes

#### 6.50% Senior Notes due 2022

In September 2014, we completed an offering of \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due in October 2022 (the “Existing Notes”). The Existing Notes were priced at par, which resulted in total gross proceeds of \$200.0 million. On June 8, 2015, we completed an additional offering of \$300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due 2022 (the “New Notes” and together with the Existing Notes, the “Senior Notes”). The New Notes were issued as additional notes under the same indenture pursuant to which the Existing Notes were previously issued on September 18, 2014. The New Notes were priced at 98.26% of par with a yield to maturity of 6.80% and resulted in total gross proceeds of approximately \$294.8 million, excluding accrued interest. The discount and deferred debt issuance costs of \$4.5 million incurred in connection with the issuance of the New Notes are being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the notes.

The Senior Notes mature on October 1, 2022 and interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year. Consolidated Communications, Inc. (“CCI”) is the primary obligor under the Senior Notes, and we and certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the New Notes were used, in part, to redeem the remaining \$227.2 million of our original \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10.875% Senior Notes due 2020 (the “2020 Notes”). In connection with the redemption of the 2020 Notes, we paid \$261.9 million and recognized a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$41.2 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2015.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2015, we completed an exchange offer to register all of the Senior Notes under the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”). The terms of the registered Senior Notes are substantially identical to those of the Senior Notes prior to the exchange, except that the Senior Notes are now registered under the Securities Act and the transfer restrictions and registration rights previously applicable to the Senior Notes no longer apply to the registered Senior Notes. The exchange offer did not impact the aggregate principal amount or the remaining terms of the Senior Notes outstanding.

## Table of Contents

### Senior Notes Covenant Compliance

Subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, the indenture governing the Senior Notes contains customary covenants that, among other things, limits CCI's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to: incur additional debt or issue certain preferred stock; pay dividends or make other distributions on capital stock or prepay subordinated indebtedness; purchase or redeem any equity interests; make investments; create liens; sell assets; enter into agreements that restrict dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries; consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of its assets; engage in transactions with its affiliates; or enter into any sale and leaseback transactions. The indenture also contains customary events of default.

Among other matters, the Senior Notes indenture provides that CCI may not pay dividends or make other restricted payments, as defined in the indenture, if its total net leverage ratio is 4.75:1.00 or greater. This ratio is calculated differently than the comparable ratio under the Credit Agreement; among other differences, it takes into account, on a pro forma basis, synergies expected to be achieved as a result of certain acquisitions not yet reflected in historical results. As of June 30, 2016, this ratio was 4.41:1.00. If this ratio is met, dividends and other restricted payments may be made from cumulative consolidated cash flow since April 1, 2012, less 1.75 times fixed charges, less dividends and other restricted payments made since May 30, 2012. Dividends may be paid and other restricted payments may also be made from a "basket" of \$50.0 million, none of which has been used to date, and pursuant to other exceptions identified in the indenture. Since dividends of \$292.3 million have been paid since May 30, 2012, including the quarterly dividend declared in May 2016 and paid on August 1, 2016, there was \$402.1 million of the \$694.4 million of cumulative consolidated cash flow since May 30, 2012 available to pay dividends as of June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all terms, conditions and covenants under the indenture governing the Senior Notes.

### Capital Leases

We lease certain facilities and equipment under various capital leases which expire between 2016 and 2021. As of June 30, 2016, the present value of the minimum remaining lease commitments was approximately \$13.7 million, of which \$4.1 million was due and payable within the next twelve months. The leases require total remaining rental payments of \$15.8 million as of June 30, 2016, of which \$3.9 million will be paid to LATEL LLC, a related party entity.

## 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates. Our interest rate swap agreements effectively convert a portion of our floating-rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thereby reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future cash interest payments. Derivative financial instruments

are recorded at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. We may designate certain of our interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of our expected future interest payments. For derivative instruments designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the change in the fair value is recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI") and is recognized as an adjustment to earnings over the period in which the hedged item impacts earnings. When an interest rate swap agreement terminates, any resulting gain or loss is recognized over the shorter of the remaining original term of the hedging instrument or the remaining life of the underlying debt obligation. If a derivative instrument is de-designated, the remaining gain or loss in AOCI on the date of de-designation is amortized to earnings over the remaining term of the hedging instrument. For derivative financial instruments that are not designated as a hedge, including those that have been de-designated, changes in fair value are recognized on a current basis in earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of any hedging derivative is recognized immediately in earnings. Cash flows from hedging activities are classified under the same category as the cash flows from the hedged items in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Table of Contents

The following interest rate swaps were outstanding as of June 30, 2016:

(In thousands)	Notional Amount	2016 Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Cash Flow Hedges:			
Fixed to 1-month floating LIBOR (with floor)	\$ 200,000	Other long-term liabilities	\$ (1,568)
De-designated Hedges:			
Fixed to 1-month floating LIBOR (with floor)	\$ 50,000	Accrued expense	(39)
Total Fair Values			\$ (1,607)

The following interest rate swaps were outstanding as of December 31, 2015:

(In thousands)	Notional Amount	2015 Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Cash Flow Hedges:			
Fixed to 1-month floating LIBOR (with floor)	\$ 150,000	Other long-term liabilities	\$ (1,084)
De-designated Hedges:			
Fixed to 1-month floating LIBOR	\$ 50,000	Accrued expense	(80)
Fixed to 1-month floating LIBOR (with floor)	\$ 50,000	Accrued expense	(110)
Total Fair Values			\$ (1,274)

The counterparties to our various swaps are highly rated financial institutions. None of the swap agreements provide for either us or the counterparties to post collateral nor do the agreements include any covenants related to the financial condition of Consolidated or the counterparties. The swaps of any counterparty that is a lender, as defined in our credit facility, are secured along with the other creditors under the credit facility. Each of the swap agreements provides that, in the event of a bankruptcy filing by either Consolidated or the counterparty, any amounts owed between the two parties would be offset in order to determine the net amount due between parties. This provision allows us to partially mitigate the risk of non performance by a counterparty.

In 2013, interest rate swaps previously designated as cash flow hedges were de-designated as a result of amendments to our credit agreement. The interest rate swap agreements mature on various dates through September 2016. Prior to de-designation, the effective portion of the change in fair value of the interest rate swaps was recognized in AOCI. The balance of the unrealized loss included in AOCI as of the date the swaps were de-designated is being amortized to earnings over the remaining term of the swap agreements. Changes in fair value of the de-designated swaps are immediately recognized in earnings as interest expense. For the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, a gain of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, was recognized as a reduction to interest expense for the change in fair value of the de-designated swaps. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, a gain of \$0.2 million and

\$0.5 million, respectively, was recognized as a reduction to interest expense for the change in fair value of the de-designated swaps.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the pre-tax deferred losses related to our interest rate swap agreements included in AOCI were \$1.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The estimated amount of losses included in AOCI as of June 30, 2016 that will be recognized in earnings in the next twelve months is approximately \$1.0 million.

Information regarding our cash flow hedge transactions is as follows:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2016	2015	June 30, 2016	2015
Loss recognized in AOCI, pretax	\$ (273)	\$ (384)	\$ (973)	\$ (1,301)
Deferred losses reclassified from AOCI to interest expense	\$ (256)	\$ (370)	\$ (499)	\$ (796)



Table of Contents

## 8. EQUITY

## Share-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes total compensation costs recognized for share-based payments during the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Restricted stock	\$ 511	\$ 379	\$ 1,072	\$ 866
Performance shares	401	331	732	657
Total	\$ 912	\$ 710	\$ 1,804	\$ 1,523

Share-based compensation expense is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

As of June 30, 2016, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested Restricted Stock Awards (“RSAs”) and Performance Share Awards (“PSAs”) was \$6.0 million and will be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.4 years.

The following table summarizes the RSA and PSA activity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2016:

	RSAs		PSAs	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested shares outstanding - January 1, 2016	99,360	\$ 19.40	83,224	\$ 18.75
Shares granted	100,040	\$ 23.95	94,066	\$ 20.86
Shares vested	(6,974)	\$ 21.31	(2,104)	\$ 19.11

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Shares forfeited, cancelled or retired	(2,067)	\$ 19.74	(4,112)	\$ 19.81
Non-vested shares outstanding - June 30, 2016	190,359	\$ 21.72	171,074	\$ 19.88

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table summarizes the changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, by component for the six-month period ended June 30, 2016:

(In thousands)	Pension and Post-Retirement Obligations	Derivative Instruments	Total
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ (35,025)	\$ (674)	\$ (35,699)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	(598)	(598)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	1,357	307	1,664
Net current period other comprehensive income	1,357	(291)	1,066
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$ (33,668)	\$ (965)	\$ (34,633)

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended June		Six Months Ended		Affected Line Item in the Statement of Income
	30, 2016	2015	June 30, 2016	2015	
Amortization of pension and post-retirement items:					
Prior service credit	\$ 245	\$ 123	\$ 489	\$ 347	(a)
Actuarial loss	(1,356)	(884)	(2,711)	(1,768)	(a)
	(1,111)	(761)	(2,222)	(1,421)	Total before tax
	433	297	865	555	Tax benefit
	\$ (678)	\$ (464)	\$ (1,357)	\$ (866)	Net of tax
Loss on cash flow hedges:					
Interest rate derivatives	\$ (256)	\$ (370)	\$ (499)	\$ (796)	Interest expense
	98	141	192	305	Tax benefit
	\$ (158)	\$ (229)	\$ (307)	\$ (491)	Net of tax

(a) These items are included in the components of net periodic benefit cost for our pension and other post-retirement benefit plans. See Note 9 for further discussion regarding our pension and other post-retirement benefit plans.

## 9. PENSION PLAN AND OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFITS

### Defined Benefit Plans

We sponsor a qualified defined benefit pension plan (“Retirement Plan”) that is non-contributory covering certain of our hourly employees under collective bargaining agreements who fulfill minimum age and service requirements. Certain salaried employees are also covered by the Retirement Plan, although these benefits have previously been frozen. The Retirement Plan is closed to all new entrants. Benefits for eligible participants under collective bargaining agreements are accrued based on a cash balance benefit plan.

We also have two non-qualified supplemental retirement plans (“Supplemental Plans”). The Supplemental Plans provide supplemental retirement benefits to certain former employees by providing for incremental pension payments to partially offset the reduction of the amount that would have been payable under the qualified defined benefit pension plans if it were not for limitations imposed by federal income tax regulations. The Supplemental Plans have previously been frozen so that no person is eligible to become a new participant. These plans are unfunded and have no assets. The benefits paid under the Supplemental Plans are paid from the general operating funds of the Company.

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic pension cost for our defined benefit plans for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Service cost	\$ 86	\$ 129	\$ 172	\$ 258
Interest cost	4,073	3,931	8,146	7,863
Expected return on plan assets	(5,159)	(5,843)	(10,318)	(11,687)
Net amortization loss	1,356	954	2,711	1,908
Net prior service credit amortization	(115)	(114)	(229)	(228)
Net periodic pension cost (benefit)	\$ 241	\$ (943)	\$ 482	\$ (1,886)

Table of Contents

Other Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Agreements

We are also liable for deferred compensation agreements with former members of the board of directors and certain other former employees of acquired companies. Depending on the plan, benefits are payable in monthly or annual installments for a period of time based on the terms of the agreement, which range from five years up to the life of the participant or to the beneficiary upon the death of the participant, and may begin as early as age 55. Participants accrue no new benefits as these plans had previously been frozen. Payments related to the deferred compensation agreements totaled approximately \$0.1 million for each of the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 and \$0.2 million for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The net present value of the remaining obligations was approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and is included in pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

We also maintain 25 life insurance policies on certain of the participating former directors and employees. We recognized \$0.2 million in life insurance proceeds as other non-operating income during the six-month period ended June 30, 2016. We did not recognize any life insurance proceeds during the quarter or six-month period ended June 30, 2015. The excess of the cash surrender value of the remaining life insurance policies over the notes payable balances related to these policies is determined by an independent consultant, and totaled \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. These amounts are included in investments in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Cash principal payments for the policies and any proceeds from the policies are classified as operating activities in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Post-retirement Benefit Obligations

We sponsor various healthcare and life insurance plans (“Post-retirement Plans”) that provide post-retirement medical and life insurance benefits to certain groups of retired employees. Certain plans have previously been frozen so that no person is eligible to become a new participant. Retirees share in the cost of healthcare benefits, making contributions that are adjusted periodically—either based upon collective bargaining agreements or because total costs of the program have changed. Covered expenses for retiree health benefits are paid as they are incurred. Post-retirement life insurance benefits are fully insured. A majority of the healthcare plans are unfunded and have no assets, and benefits are paid from the general operating funds of the Company. However, a plan acquired in the purchase of another company is funded by assets that are separately designated within the Retirement Plan for the sole purpose of providing payments of retiree medical benefits for this specific plan.

The following table summarizes the components of the net periodic cost for our post-retirement benefit plans for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(In thousands)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Service cost	\$ 150	\$ 147	\$ 300	\$ 295
Interest cost	505	412	1,010	826
Expected return on plan assets	(37)	(58)	(74)	(116)
Net amortization gain	—	(70)	—	(140)
Net prior service credit amortization	(130)	(9)	(260)	(119)
Net periodic post-retirement benefit cost	\$ 488	\$ 422	\$ 976	\$ 746

#### Contributions

We expect to contribute approximately \$0.3 million to our Supplemental Plans and \$3.6 million to our Post retirement Plans in 2016. We do not expect to contribute to the Retirement Plan in 2016. As of June 30, 2016, we have contributed \$0.1 million and \$1.6 million of the annual contribution to the Supplemental Plans and Post-retirement Plans, respectively.

Table of Contents

10. INCOME TAXES

There have been no material changes to our unrecognized tax benefits as reported as of December 31, 2015. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$0.1 million. The net amount of unrecognized benefits that, if recognized, would result in an impact to the effective rate is less than \$0.1 million. We do not expect any material changes in our unrecognized tax benefits during the remainder of 2016.

Our practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in interest expense and selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively. As of June 30, 2016, we did not have a material liability for interest or penalties and had no material interest or penalty expense.

The periods subject to examination for our federal return are years 2013 through 2014. The periods subject to examination for our state returns are years 2011 through 2014. We are not currently under examination by federal or state taxing authorities.

Our effective tax rate was 98.7% and 36.4% for the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and 68.2% and 35.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates primarily due to non-deductible expenses and differences in allocable income for the Company's state tax filings. In addition, for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, we recognized approximately \$7.5 million of deferred taxes related to the assets held for sale of CCIC. Accounting Standards Codification 740-30-25-7 ("ASC 740") requires a company to assess whether the excess of the reported amount of an investment in a domestic subsidiary for financial reporting purposes over the underlying tax basis is a taxable temporary difference. Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2016, the Company had met the criteria under ASC 740 to not record the tax effects of the taxable temporary difference related to its investment in CCIC as the Company expected to recover its investment in a tax-free manner. On May 3, 2016, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell all the issued and outstanding stock of CCIC. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2016. As of June 30, 2016, the assets and liabilities of CCIC to be sold were classified as held for sale. Due to the change in the expected manner of recovery of its investment in CCIC, the Company recorded the taxable temporary difference associated with the excess of the reported amount of its investment in CCIC over the underlying tax basis. Exclusive of this adjustment, our effective tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 would have been approximately 38.5% and 38.6%, respectively.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation, Regulatory Proceedings and Other Contingencies

In 2014, Sprint Communications Company L.P. (“Sprint”) along with MCI Communications Services, Inc. and Verizon Select Services Inc. (collectively, “Verizon”) filed lawsuits against us and many other Local Exchange Carriers (“LECs”) throughout the country challenging the switched access charges LECs assessed Sprint and Verizon, as interexchange carriers, for certain calls originating from mobile and wireline devices that are routed to us through an interexchange carrier. The plaintiffs’ position is based on their interpretation of federal law, among other things, and they are seeking refunds of past access charges paid for such calls. The disputed amounts total \$2.4 million and cover periods dating back to 2006. CenturyLink, Inc. requested that the U.S. District Court’s Judicial Panel on Multi district Litigation (the “Panel”), which has the authority to transfer the pretrial proceedings to a single court for multiple civil cases involving common questions of fact, transfer and consolidate these cases in one court. The Panel ordered that these cases be transferred to and centralized in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”). On November 17, 2015, the Court dismissed these complaints based on its interpretation of federal law and held that LECs could assess switched access charges for the calls at issue (the “November Order”). The November Order also allowed the plaintiffs to amend their complaints to assert claims that arise under state laws independent of the dismissed claims asserted under federal law. While Verizon did not make such a filing, on May 16, 2016, Sprint filed Amended Complaints and on June 30, 2016, LEC defendants named in such complaints filed a Joint Motion to Strike or Dismiss the complaints. On August 1, 2016, Sprint filed its Opposition to the Joint Motion and these LEC defendants have until August 26, 2016 to respond.



Table of Contents

Relatedly, earlier this year, numerous LECs across the country, including a number of our LEC entities, filed complaints in various U.S. District Courts against Level 3 Communications, LLC and certain of its affiliates (collectively, “Level 3”) for its failure to pay access charges for certain calls that the November Order held could be assessed by LECs. These complaint cases were transferred to and included in the above-referenced consolidated proceeding before the Court. On May 31, 2016, Level 3 filed a Motion to Dismiss these complaints that largely repeated arguments the November Order rejected. On June 30, 2016, the LECs filed a Joint Opposition and on August 1, 2016, Level 3 filed its Reply.

On July 19, 2016, the Court adopted a Scheduling Order proposed by the parties for the remaining proceedings, including, among other things, dates for the parties to informally resolve damage claims, i.e., the amounts in dispute and late payment charges. Once the proceedings before the Court become final following this process, Sprint, Verizon, and Level 3 are expected to appeal the November Order along with any order that may, for similar reasons, deny Level 3’s May 31, 2016 Motion to Dismiss. We have interconnection agreements in place with all wireless carriers and the applicable traffic is being billed at current access rates. Absent a decision by an appellate court that overturns the November Order or a decision granting Level 3’s Motion to Dismiss, it will be difficult for Sprint and Verizon to succeed on any claims against us or for Level 3 to avoid paying the access charges it disputes in this litigation. Therefore, we do not expect any potential settlement or judgment to have an adverse material impact on our financial results or cash flows.

On April 14, 2008, Salsgiver Inc., a Pennsylvania-based telecommunications company, and certain of its affiliates (“Salsgiver”) filed a lawsuit against us and our former subsidiaries, North Pittsburgh Telephone Company and North Pittsburgh Systems Inc., in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania alleging that we had prevented Salsgiver from connecting their fiber optic cables to our utility poles. Salsgiver sought compensatory and punitive damages as the result of alleged lost projected profits, damage to its business reputation and other costs. Salsgiver originally claimed to have sustained losses of approximately \$125.0 million. We believe that these claims are without merit and that the alleged damages are completely unfounded. We had recorded approximately \$0.4 million in 2011 in anticipation of the settlement of this case. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we recorded an additional \$0.9 million, which included estimated legal fees. A jury trial concluded on May 14, 2015 with the jury ruling in our favor. Salsgiver subsequently filed a post-trial motion asking the judge to overturn the jury verdict. That motion was denied. On June 17, 2015, Salsgiver filed an appeal in the Pennsylvania Superior Court. Salsgiver’s brief was filed with the Superior Court on December 4, 2015, and we filed our response on January 18, 2016. The Pennsylvania Superior Court held oral arguments on May 17, 2016 and is expected to issue its ruling during the third quarter of 2016. We believe that, despite the appeal, the \$1.3 million currently accrued represents management’s best estimate of the potential loss if the verdict is overturned in Salsgiver's favor.

Two of our subsidiaries, Consolidated Communications of Pennsylvania Company LLC (“CCPA”) and Consolidated Communications Enterprise Services Inc. (“CCES”), have, at various times, received assessment notices from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Revenue (“DOR”) increasing the amounts owed for Pennsylvania Gross Receipt Taxes, and/or have had audits performed for the tax years of 2008 through 2013. In addition, a re-audit was performed on CCPA for the 2010 calendar year. For the calendar years for which we received both additional assessment notices and audit actions, those issues have been combined by the DOR into a single docket for each year.

Pennsylvania generally imposes tax on the gross receipts of telephone messages transmitted wholly within the state and telephone messages transmitted in interstate commerce where such messages originate or terminate in Pennsylvania, and the charges for such messages are billed to a service address in the state. In a 2013 decision involving Verizon Telephone Company of Pennsylvania (“Verizon Pennsylvania”), the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania held that the gross receipts tax applies to Verizon Pennsylvania’s installation of private phone lines because the sole purpose of private lines is to transmit messages. Similarly, the court held that directory assistance is subject to the gross receipts tax because it makes the transition of messages more effective. However, the court did not find Verizon Pennsylvania’s nonrecurring charges for the installation of telephone lines, moves of and changes to telephone lines and services and repairs of telephone lines to be subject to the gross receipts tax as no telephone messages are transmitted when Verizon Pennsylvania performs nonrecurring services.

On appeal, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania recently held in *Verizon Pennsylvania, Inc. v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania* that charges for the installation of private phone lines, charges for directory assistance and certain nonrecurring charges were all subject to the state’s gross receipt tax. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania found that all of

Table of Contents

the services, including those related to nonrecurring charges, in some way made transmission more effective or communication more satisfactory even though such services did not involve actual transmission. This is a partial reversal of the 2013 Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania decision described above, which had ruled that while the charges for the installation of private phone lines and directory assistance were subject to the state's gross receipts tax, the nonrecurring charges in question were not. As a motion for reconsideration has not been filed with the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, and the period for such filing has expired, the case is now final.

For the CCES subsidiary, the total additional tax liability calculated by the DOR auditors for the calendar years 2008 through 2013 is approximately \$4.1 million. In May 2016, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Board of Finance and Revenue reviewed our appeals of cases for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013 and held that the charges in question were subject to the state's gross receipt tax. In June 2016, we filed an appeal with the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013. Our hearing is not expected to occur until June 2017.

For the CCPA subsidiary, the total additional tax liability calculated by the DOR auditors for the calendar years 2008 through 2013 (using the re-audited 2010 number) is approximately \$5.0 million. In May 2016, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Board of Finance and Revenue reviewed our appeals of cases for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013 and held that the charges in question were subject to the state's gross receipts tax. In June 2016, we filed an appeal with the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013. Our hearing is not expected to occur until June 2017.

We believe that certain of the DOR's findings regarding the Company's additional tax liability for the calendar years 2008 through 2013, for which we have filed appeals, continue to lack merit. However, in light of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania's decision, we have accrued \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million for our CCES and CCPA subsidiaries, respectively. These accruals also include the Company's best estimate of the potential 2014 and 2015 additional tax liabilities. We do not believe that the outcome of these claims will have a material adverse impact on our financial results or cash flows.

From time to time we may be involved in litigation that we believe is of the type common to companies in our industry, including regulatory issues. While the outcome of these other claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the outcome of any of these other legal matters will have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

## 12. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Consolidated Communications, Inc. is the primary obligor under the unsecured Senior Notes. We and substantially all of our subsidiaries, excluding Consolidated Communications of Illinois Company, have jointly and severally

guaranteed the Senior Notes. All of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of the parent, and all guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several with respect to principal, interest and liquidated damages, if any. As such, we present condensed consolidating balance sheets as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, condensed consolidating statements of operations for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 for each of the Company (Parent), Consolidated Communications, Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer), guarantor subsidiaries and other non-guarantor subsidiaries with any consolidating adjustments. See Note 6 for more information regarding our Senior Notes.

Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

(In thousands)

	June 30, 2016					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS</b>						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 24,493	\$ 61	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 24,555
Accounts receivable, net	—	(148)	57,493	7,179	—	64,524
Income taxes receivable	46,343	—	—	—	(32,384)	13,959
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	—	21,734	188	—	21,922
Assets held for sale	—	—	28,689	—	—	28,689
Total current assets	46,343	24,345	107,977	7,368	(32,384)	153,649
Property, plant and equipment, net	—	—	1,009,209	52,972	—	1,062,181
Intangibles and other assets:						
Investments	—	8,338	98,593	—	—	106,931
Investments in subsidiaries	2,240,371	2,058,046	13,929	—	(4,312,346)	—
Goodwill	—	—	690,192	66,181	—	756,373
Other intangible assets	—	—	27,936	9,087	—	37,023
Other assets	—	—	6,681	1	—	6,682
Total assets	\$ 2,286,714	\$ 2,090,729	\$ 1,954,517	\$ 135,609	\$ (4,344,730)	\$ 2,122,839
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 17,295	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 17,295
Advance billings and customer deposits	—	—	25,397	1,524	—	26,921
Dividends payable	19,623	—	—	—	—	19,623
Accrued compensation	—	—	19,986	—	—	19,986

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest	—	9,023	382	—	—	9,405
Accrued expense	18	39	41,526	1,701	—	43,284
Income tax payable	—	10,933	16,226	5,225	(32,384)	—
Current portion of long term debt and capital lease obligations	—	9,100	3,894	177	—	13,171
Liabilities held for sale	—	—	7,746	—	—	7,746
Total current liabilities	19,641	29,095	132,452	8,627	(32,384)	157,431
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	—	1,369,198	8,892	698	—	1,378,788
Advances due to/from affiliates, net	2,082,449	(1,557,605)	(441,587)	(83,257)	—	—
Deferred income taxes	(32,664)	8,102	238,929	22,996	—	237,363
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations	—	—	91,048	19,277	—	110,325
Other long-term liabilities	70	1,568	14,409	497	—	16,544
Total liabilities	2,069,496	(149,642)	44,143	(31,162)	(32,384)	1,900,451
Shareholders' equity:						
Common Stock	507	—	17,411	30,000	(47,411)	507
Other shareholders' equity	216,711	2,240,371	1,887,793	136,771	(4,264,935)	216,711
Total Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. shareholders' equity	217,218	2,240,371	1,905,204	166,771	(4,312,346)	217,218
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	5,170	—	—	5,170
Total shareholders' equity	217,218	2,240,371	1,910,374	166,771	(4,312,346)	222,388
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,286,714	\$ 2,090,729	\$ 1,954,517	\$ 135,609	\$ (4,344,730)	\$ 2,122,839

Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

(In thousands)

	December 31, 2015					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
<b>ASSETS</b>						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 5,877	\$ 7,629	\$ 2,372	\$ —	\$ 15,878
Accounts receivable, net	—	—	62,460	6,388	—	68,848
Income taxes receivable	23,390	—	352	125	—	23,867
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	—	17,456	359	—	17,815
Total current assets	23,390	5,877	87,897	9,244	—	126,408
Property, plant and equipment, net	—	—	1,043,594	49,667	—	1,093,261
Intangibles and other assets:						
Investments	—	8,171	97,372	—	—	105,543
Investments in subsidiaries	2,189,142	2,018,472	13,567	—	(4,221,181)	—
Goodwill	—	—	698,449	66,181	—	764,630
Other intangible assets	—	—	34,410	9,087	—	43,497
Other assets	—	—	5,187	—	—	5,187
Total assets	\$ 2,212,532	\$ 2,032,520	\$ 1,980,476	\$ 134,179	\$ (4,221,181)	\$ 2,138,526
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 12,576	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 12,576
Advance billings and customer deposits	—	—	26,023	1,593	—	27,616
Dividends payable	19,551	—	—	—	—	19,551
Accrued compensation	—	—	21,094	789	—	21,883
Accrued interest	136	9,084	133	—	—	9,353

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accrued expense	35	190	41,201	958	—	42,384
Current portion of long term debt and capital lease obligations	—	9,100	1,745	92	—	10,937
Total current liabilities	19,722	18,374	102,772	3,432	—	144,300
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	—	1,372,149	5,101	642	—	1,377,892
Advances due to/from affiliates, net	1,979,788	(1,548,990)	(360,715)	(70,083)	—	—
Deferred income taxes	(32,641)	762	245,579	22,829	—	236,529
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations	—	—	93,097	19,869	—	112,966
Other long-term liabilities	—	1,084	14,540	516	—	16,140
Total liabilities	1,966,869	(156,621)	100,374	(22,795)	—	1,887,827
Shareholders' equity:						
Common Stock	505	—	17,411	30,000	(47,411)	505
Other shareholders' equity	245,158	2,189,141	1,857,655	126,974	(4,173,770)	245,158
Total Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. shareholders' equity	245,663	2,189,141	1,875,066	156,974	(4,221,181)	245,663
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	5,036	—	—	5,036
Total shareholders' equity	245,663	2,189,141	1,880,102	156,974	(4,221,181)	250,699
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,212,532	\$ 2,032,520	\$ 1,980,476	\$ 134,179	\$ (4,221,181)	\$ 2,138,526



Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

(In thousands)

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2016					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 18	\$ 175,245	\$ 14,897	\$ (3,289)	\$ 186,871
Operating expenses:						
Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	—	—	80,739	3,204	(3,180)	80,763
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,324	7	35,622	2,209	(109)	39,053
Loss on impairment	—	—	610	—	—	610
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	41,216	2,275	—	43,491
Operating income (loss)	(1,324)	11	17,058	7,209	—	22,954
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense, net of interest income	7	(18,963)	(160)	10	—	(19,106)
Intercompany interest income (expense)	(31,886)	33,824	(2,706)	768	—	—
Investment income	—	—	8,704	—	—	8,704
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net	21,443	19,393	219	—	(41,055)	—
Other, net	—	—	(66)	(6)	—	(72)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(11,760)	34,265	23,049	7,981	(41,055)	12,480
Income tax expense (benefit)	(11,836)	12,821	8,490	2,848	—	12,323
Net income (loss)	76	21,444	14,559	5,133	(41,055)	157
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	81	—	—	81
Net income (loss) attributable to Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.	\$ 76	\$ 21,444	\$ 14,478	\$ 5,133	\$ (41,055)	\$ 76

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 744	\$ 22,112	\$ 15,023	\$ 5,266	\$ (42,401)	\$ 744
--	--------	-----------	-----------	----------	-------------	--------

Table of Contents

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2015					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 87	\$ 189,421	\$ 14,893	\$ (3,391)	\$ 201,010
Operating expenses:						
Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	—	—	86,418	3,232	(3,274)	86,376
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,005	45	37,645	4,729	(116)	43,308
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	41,596	2,055	—	43,651
Operating income (loss)	(1,005)	42	23,762	4,877	(1)	27,675
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense, net of interest income	—	(20,542)	105	8	—	(20,429)
Intercompany interest income (expense)	(37,745)	41,575	(4,515)	685	—	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(41,242)	—	—	—	(41,242)
Investment income	—	—	9,004	—	—	9,004
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net	10,326	23,141	116	—	(33,583)	—
Other, net	—	7	(48)	1	—	(40)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(28,424)	2,981	28,424	5,571	(33,584)	(25,032)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(12,456)	(7,345)	8,922	1,775	—	(9,104)
Net income (loss)	(15,968)	10,326	19,502	3,796	(33,584)	(15,928)
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	40	—	—	40
Net income (loss) attributable to Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.	\$ (15,968)	\$ 10,326	\$ 19,462	\$ 3,796	\$ (33,584)	\$ (15,968)
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (15,512)	\$ 10,782	\$ 19,835	\$ 3,887	\$ (34,504)	\$ (15,512)



Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ (5)	\$ 352,500	\$ 29,784	\$ (6,562)	\$ 375,717
Operating expenses:						
Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	—	—	160,453	6,377	(6,347)	160,483
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2,220	7	72,222	5,495	(215)	79,729
Loss on impairment	—	—	610	—	—	610
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	83,077	4,554	—	87,631
Operating income (loss)	(2,220)	(12)	36,138	13,358	—	47,264
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense, net of interest income	46	(37,398)	(408)	8	—	(37,752)
Intercompany interest income (expense)	(63,773)	67,648	(5,405)	1,530	—	—
Investment income	—	166	15,735	—	—	15,901
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net	50,162	38,215	361	—	(88,738)	—
Other, net	—	—	(40)	(18)	—	(58)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(15,785)	68,619	46,381	14,878	(88,738)	25,355
Income tax expense (benefit)	(23,710)	18,456	17,201	5,349	—	17,296
Net income (loss)	7,925	50,163	29,180	9,529	(88,738)	8,059
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	134	—	—	134
Net income (loss) attributable to Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.	\$ 7,925	\$ 50,163	\$ 29,046	\$ 9,529	\$ (88,738)	\$ 7,925
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 8,991	\$ 51,229	\$ 30,137	\$ 9,795	\$ (91,161)	\$ 8,991

attributable to common  
shareholders

25

---

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015					Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 102	\$ 370,236	\$ 30,060	\$ (6,810)	\$ 393,588
Operating expenses:						
Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	—	—	166,616	6,180	(6,528)	166,268
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2,140	89	74,521	9,224	(281)	85,693
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	83,151	4,056	—	87,207
Operating income (loss)	(2,140)	13	45,948	10,600	(1)	54,420
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense, net of interest income	(96)	(41,196)	186	3	—	(41,103)
Intercompany interest income (expense)	(75,769)	83,259	(8,882)	1,392	—	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(41,242)	—	—	—	(41,242)
Investment income	—	326	15,119	—	—	15,445
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net	43,136	42,369	165	—	(85,670)	—
Other, net	—	7	(96)	(8)	—	(97)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(34,869)	43,536	52,440	11,987	(85,671)	(12,577)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(26,711)	400	17,728	4,105	—	(4,478)
Net income (loss)	(8,158)	43,136	34,712	7,882	(85,671)	(8,099)
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	59	—	—	59
Net income (loss) attributable to Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.	\$ (8,158)	\$ 43,136	\$ 34,653	\$ 7,882	\$ (85,671)	\$ (8,158)
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (7,603)	\$ 43,691	\$ 35,337	\$ 8,064	\$ (87,092)	\$ (7,603)





Table of Contents

## Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016				Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (63,494)	\$ 31,781	\$ 129,467	\$ 17,751	\$ 115,505
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	—	—	(55,172)	(7,099)	(62,271)
Proceeds from sale of assets	—	—	40	10	50
Net cash used in investing activities	—	—	(55,132)	(7,089)	(62,221)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	—	7,000	—	—	7,000
Payment of capital lease obligation	—	—	(756)	(56)	(812)
Payment on long-term debt	—	(11,550)	—	—	(11,550)
Share repurchases for minimum tax withholding	(71)	—	—	—	(71)
Dividends on common stock	(39,174)	—	—	—	(39,174)
Transactions with affiliates, net	102,739	(8,615)	(81,147)	(12,977)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	63,494	(13,165)	(81,903)	(13,033)	(44,607)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	18,616	(7,568)	(2,371)	8,677
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	5,877	7,629	2,372	15,878
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ —	\$ 24,493	\$ 61	\$ 1	\$ 24,555

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015				Consolidated
	Parent	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (78,154)	\$ 44,589	\$ 119,060	\$ 10,321	\$ 95,816
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	—	—	(61,855)	(3,683)	(65,538)
Proceeds from sale of assets	—	—	52	5	57
Proceeds from sale of investments	—	—	846	—	846
Net cash used in investing activities	—	—	(60,957)	(3,678)	(64,635)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Proceeds from bond offering	—	294,780	—	—	294,780
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	—	40,000	—	—	40,000
Payment of capital lease obligation	—	—	(407)	(37)	(444)
Payment on long-term debt	—	(59,550)	—	—	(59,550)
Redemption of senior notes	—	(261,874)	—	—	(261,874)
Payment of financing costs	—	(4,468)	—	—	(4,468)
Share repurchases for minimum tax withholding	(282)	—	—	—	(282)
Dividends on common stock	(39,076)	—	—	—	(39,076)
Transactions with affiliates, net	117,512	(57,989)	(54,490)	(5,033)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	78,154	(49,101)	(54,897)	(5,070)	(30,914)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	—	(4,512)	3,206	1,573	267
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	4,940	820	919	6,679
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ —	\$ 428	\$ 4,026	\$ 2,492	\$ 6,946



Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") encourages companies to disclose forward-looking information so that investors can better understand a company's future prospects and make informed investment decisions. Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including those which relate to the impact on future revenue sources, pending and future regulatory orders, continued expansion of the telecommunications network and expected changes in the sources of our revenue and cost structure resulting from our entrance into new communications markets, are forward-looking statements and are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements reflect, among other things, our current expectations, plans, strategies and anticipated financial results. There are a number of risks, uncertainties and conditions that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Many of these circumstances are beyond our ability to control or predict. Moreover, forward-looking statements necessarily involve assumptions on our part. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "project", "intend", "plan", "should", "may", "will", "be", "will continue" or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements of Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Consolidated", the "Company", "we" or "our") to be different from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements that appear throughout this report. A detailed discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. Furthermore, forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. Except as required under federal securities laws or the rules and regulations of the SEC, we disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes to the financial statements ("Notes") as of and for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 included in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Throughout this MD&A, we refer to measures that are not measures of financial performance in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("US GAAP" or "GAAP"). We believe the use of these non-GAAP measures on a consolidated basis provides the reader with additional information that is useful in understanding our operating results and trends. These measures should be viewed in addition to, rather than as a substitute for, those measures prepared in accordance with GAAP. See the "Non-GAAP Measures" section below for a more detailed discussion on the use and calculation of these measures.

Overview

We are an integrated communications services company that operates as both an Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier ("ILEC") and a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") dependent upon the territory served. We provide an array of services in consumer, commercial and carrier channels in 11 states, including local and long-distance service,

high-speed broadband Internet access, video services, Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”), custom calling features, private line services, carrier grade access services, network capacity services over our regional fiber optic networks, data center and managed services, directory publishing, equipment sales and services, and cloud services.

Total operating revenues decreased \$14.1 million and \$17.9 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to an \$8.8 million and \$10.1 million decrease in equipment sales and services revenues, respectively. Equipment sales and services are non-recurring and changes in revenues can be attributed to the timing and volume of customer sales, which can vary each quarter and result in positive or negative fluctuations in our quarterly operating revenues and expenses. In addition, outside billing and support services revenues decreased \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 as a result of the sale of our billing services company in late 2015.

We generate the majority of our consolidated operating revenues primarily from subscriptions to our video, data and transport services (collectively “broadband services”) to business and residential customers. Consumer broadband

Table of Contents

revenues decreased \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015, despite price increases for data and video services implemented in the first quarter of 2016. Total data and video connections decreased 3% and 11%, respectively, as of June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 as we lost more connections than anticipated as a result of the price increases and increased competition for these subscribers.

As the market demands for data continue to increase as a result of consumer trends toward increased Internet usage, our continued focus is on enhancing product and service offerings, such as our progressively increasing consumer data speeds. We introduced data speeds of up to 1 Gbps to approximately 20,000 of our fiber-to-the-home customers in our Kansas market and a limited portion of our Pennsylvania market in December 2014 and in our Texas market in the first quarter of 2015, with our California market to follow in the second half of 2016. Where 1 Gbps speeds are not yet offered, the maximum broadband speed is 100 Mbps, depending on the geographic market availability. As of June 30, 2016, approximately 28% of the homes in the areas we serve subscribe to our data service. Our exceptional consumer broadband speed allows us to continue to meet the needs of our customers and the demand for higher speeds resulting from the growing trend of over-the-top (“OTT”) content viewing. The availability of 1 Gbps data speed also complements our wireless home networking (“Wi-Fi”) that supports our TV Everywhere service and allows our subscribers to watch their favorite programs at home or away on a computer, smartphone or tablet.

The consumer’s growing acceptance of OTT video services either to augment their current viewing options or to entirely replace their video subscription may impact our future video subscriber base, which could result in a decline in video revenue as well as a reduction in video programming costs. Total video connections decreased 9% as of June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. We believe the trend in changing consumer viewing habits will continue to impact our business model and strategy of providing consumers the necessary broadband speed to facilitate OTT content viewing.

The remainder of the decrease in total operating revenues was due to an anticipated industry wide trend of a decline in consumer voice services, access lines and related network access. Many consumers are choosing to subscribe to alternative communications services and competition for these subscribers continues to increase. Total voice connections decreased 4% as of June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. Competition from wireless providers, competitive local exchange carriers and, in some cases, cable television providers has increased in recent years in the markets we serve. We have been able to mitigate some of the access line losses through marketing initiatives and product offerings, such as our VoIP service.

The decrease in our total operating revenues during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 was offset in part by growth in commercial and carrier data and transport services as a result of increased demand for data-based services. We continue to focus on commercial and broadband growth opportunities and are continually expanding our commercial product offerings for both small and large businesses to capitalize on industry technological advances. We can leverage our fiber optic networks and tailor our services for business customers by developing solutions to fit their specific needs. In addition, we recently launched a suite of cloud services and an enhanced hosted voice product, which increases efficiency and reduces IT costs for our customers and enables greater scalability and reliability for businesses. We anticipate future momentum in new commercial services as these new products gain

traction.

As discussed in the “Regulatory Matters” section below, our operating revenues are also impacted by legislative or regulatory changes at the federal and state levels, which could reduce or eliminate the current subsidies revenue we receive. A number of proceedings and recent orders relate to universal service reform, intercarrier compensation and network access charges. There are various ongoing legal challenges to the orders that have been issued. As a result, it is not yet possible to fully determine the impact of the regulatory changes on our operations.

#### Significant Recent Developments

##### Acquisition

On April 18, 2016, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Champaign Telephone Company, Inc. and its sister company, Big Broadband Services, LLC, a private business communications provider in the Champaign-Urbana, IL area. The acquisition was completed on July 1, 2016. The aggregate purchase price, including

Table of Contents

customary working capital adjustments, consisted of cash consideration of approximately \$13.4 million, which was paid from our existing cash resources.

Divestiture

On May 3, 2016, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell all of the issued and outstanding stock of Consolidated Communications of Iowa Company (“CCIC”), formerly Heartland Telecommunications Company of Iowa, for approximately \$22.5 million in cash, prior to certain contractual adjustments. CCIC operates as an incumbent local exchange carrier providing telecommunications and data services to residential and business customers in 11 rural communities in northwest Iowa and surrounding areas. As of June 30, 2016, the assets and liabilities to be disposed of were classified as held for sale in the condensed consolidated balance sheet and consisted primarily of property, plant and equipment of \$20.2 million, allocated goodwill of \$7.6 million and deferred income tax liabilities of \$7.4 million. In connection with the classification as assets held for sale, we recognized an impairment loss of \$0.6 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2016 and is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals.



Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following tables reflect our financial results on a consolidated basis and key operating metrics as of and for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

## Financial Data

	Quarter Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	2015	\$ Change	% Change	2016	2015	\$ Change	% Change
(in millions, except for percentages)								
Operating Revenues								
Commercial and carrier:								
Data and transport services (includes VoIP)	\$ 48.6	\$ 46.2	\$ 2.4	5 %	\$ 97.7	\$ 92.3	\$ 5.4	6 %
Voice services	25.3	26.2	(0.9)	(3)	50.3	52.3	(2.0)	(4)
Other	2.7	2.8	(0.1)	(4)	5.3	5.4	(0.1)	(2)
	76.6	75.2	1.4	2	153.3	150.0	3.3	2
Consumer:								
Broadband (VoIP, data and video)	53.1	54.1	(1.0)	(2)	107.7	107.8	(0.1)	(0)
Voice services	14.0	15.1	(1.1)	(7)	28.5	30.7	(2.2)	(7)
	67.1	69.2	(2.1)	(3)	136.2	138.5	(2.3)	(2)
Equipment sales and service	10.5	19.3	(8.8)	(46)	20.1	30.2	(10.1)	(33)
Subsidies	13.0	14.5	(1.5)	(10)	26.1	28.9	(2.8)	(10)
Network access	16.3	17.9	(1.6)	(9)	33.1	36.2	(3.1)	(9)
Other products and services	3.4	4.9	(1.5)	(31)	6.9	9.8	(2.9)	(30)
Total operating revenues	186.9	201.0	(14.1)	(7)	375.7	393.6	(17.9)	(5)
Operating Expenses								
Cost of services and products	80.8	86.4	(5.6)	(6)	160.5	166.3	(5.8)	(3)
Selling, general and administrative								
Costs	39.0	43.3	(4.3)	(10)	79.7	85.7	(6.0)	(7)
Loss on impairment	0.6	—	0.6	100	0.6	—	0.6	100
Depreciation and amortization	43.5	43.6	(0.1)	(0)	87.6	87.2	0.4	0
Total operating expenses	163.9	173.3	(9.4)	(5)	328.4	339.2	(10.8)	(3)
Income from operations	23.0	27.7	(4.7)	(17)	47.3	54.4	(7.1)	(13)
Interest expense, net	(19.1)	(20.4)	1.3	6	(37.7)	(41.1)	3.4	8
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	(41.2)	41.2	100	—	(41.2)	41.2	100
Other income	8.6	8.9	(0.3)	(3)	15.8	15.3	0.5	3
Income tax expense (benefit)	12.3	(9.1)	21.4	235	17.3	(4.5)	21.8	484
Net income (loss)	0.2	(15.9)	16.1	101	8.1	(8.1)	16.2	200
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	0.1	0.1	—	—	0.2	0.1	0.1	100

Edgar Filing: Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 0.1	\$ (16.0)	\$ 16.1	101		\$ 7.9	\$ (8.2)	\$ 16.1	196	
Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$ 78.0	\$ 80.3	\$ (2.3)	(3)	%	\$ 156.6	\$ 160.0	\$ (3.4)	(2)	%

---

(1) A non-GAAP measure. See the “Non-GAAP Measures” section below for additional information and reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

Table of Contents

## Key Operating Statistics

	As of June 30,				
	2016	2015	Change	% Change	
Consumer customers	262,177	272,882	(10,705)	(4)	%
Voice connections	471,458	493,540	(22,082)	(4)	
Data connections	462,559	448,944	13,615	3	
Video connections	111,617	122,155	(10,538)	(9)	
Total connections	1,045,634	1,064,639	(19,005)	(2)	%

## Operating Revenues

## Commercial and Carrier

## Data and Transport Services

We provide a variety of business communication services to small, medium and large business customers, including many services over our advanced fiber network. The services we offer include scalable high speed broadband Internet access and VoIP phone services which range from basic service plans to virtual hosted systems. In addition to Internet and VoIP services, we also offer private line data services to businesses that include dedicated Internet access through our Metro Ethernet network. Wide Area Network (“WAN”) products include point-to-point and multi-point deployments from 2.5 Mbps to 10 Gbps to accommodate the growth patterns of our business customers. Data center and disaster recovery solutions provide a reliable and local colocation option for commercial customers. We also offer wholesale services to regional and national interexchange and wireless carriers, including cellular backhaul and other fiber transport solutions.

Data and transport services revenues increased \$2.4 million and \$5.4 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to growth in data and video connections and a continued increase in VoIP, internet access and Metro Ethernet revenues. Fiber transport and cellular backhaul revenues also increased as network bandwidth demand for wireless data continues to escalate and as a result of a one-time early termination fee in 2016.

## Voice Services

Voice services include basic local phone and long-distance service packages for business customers. The plans include options for voicemail, conference calling, linking multiple office locations and other custom calling features such as caller ID, call forwarding, speed dialing and call waiting. Services can be charged at a fixed monthly rate, a measured rate or can be bundled with selected services at a discounted rate.

Voice services revenues decreased \$0.9 million and \$2.0 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a 5% decline in access lines as commercial customers are increasingly choosing alternative technologies, including our own VoIP product, and the broad range of features that Internet based voice services can offer.

#### Consumer

#### Broadband Services

Broadband services include revenues from residential customers for subscriptions to our VoIP, data and video products. We offer high speed Internet access at speeds of up to 1 Gbps, depending on the nature of the network facilities that are available, the level of service selected and the location. Our VoIP digital phone service is also available in certain markets as an alternative to the traditional telephone line. Depending on geographic market availability, our video services range from limited basic service to advanced digital television, which includes several plans each with hundreds of local,

## Table of Contents

national and music channels including premium and pay-per-view channels as well as video on-demand service. Certain customers may also subscribe to our advanced video services, which consist of high-definition television, digital video recorders (“DVR”) and/or a whole home DVR.

Broadband services revenues decreased \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015, despite price increases for data and video services implemented in the first quarter of 2016. Total data and video connections decreased 3% and 11%, respectively, as of June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 as we lost more connections than anticipated as a result of the price increases and increased competition for these subscribers.

## Voice Services

We offer several different basic local phone service packages and long-distance calling plans, including unlimited flat-rate calling plans. The plans include options for voicemail and other custom calling features such as caller ID, call forwarding and call waiting. Voice services revenues decreased \$1.1 million and \$2.2 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a 9% decline in voice connections. The number of local access lines in service directly affects the recurring revenues we generate from end users and continues to be impacted by the industry-wide decline in access lines. We expect to continue to experience modest erosion in voice connections due to competition from alternative technologies, including our own competing VoIP product.

## Equipment Sales and Service

We are an accredited Master Level Unified Communications and Gold Certified Cisco Partner providing equipment solutions and support for business customers. As an equipment integrator, we offer network design, implementation and support services, including maintenance contracts, in order to provide integrated communication solutions for our customers. When an equipment sale involves multiple deliverables, revenues are allocated to each respective element based on relative selling price. Equipment sales and service revenues decreased \$8.8 million and \$10.1 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015. Equipment sales and services are non-recurring and changes in revenues can be attributed to the timing and volume of customer sales, which can vary each quarter and result in positive or negative fluctuations in our quarterly operating revenues and expenses.

## Subsidies

Subsidies consist of both federal and state subsidies, which are designed to promote widely available, quality telephone service at affordable prices in rural areas. Subsidies revenues decreased \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to the transition to Connect America Fund (“CAF”) Phase II funding and a decrease in state funding support for our Texas ILEC. See the “Regulatory Matters” section below for further discussion of the subsidies we receive.

#### Network Access Services

Network access services include interstate and intrastate switched access revenues, network special access services and end user access. Switched access revenues include access services to other communications carriers to terminate or originate long-distance calls on our network. Special access circuits provide dedicated lines and trunks to business customers and interexchange carriers. Network access services revenues decreased \$1.6 million and \$3.1 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a decline in special access revenues as a result of a reduction in the number of our carrier circuits; however, a portion of the decrease can be attributed to carriers shifting to our fiber Metro Ethernet product, contributing to the growth in that area.

#### Other Products and Services

Other products and services include revenues from telephone directory publishing, video advertising and billing and support services. Other products and services revenues decreased \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a decline in outside

## Table of Contents

billing and support services revenue of \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, as a result of the sale of our billing services company in late 2015. The remainder of the decrease in other products and services revenue for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same periods in 2015 was due to a decline in telephone directory advertising revenues.

## Operating Expenses

### Cost of Services and Products

Cost of services and products decreased \$5.6 million and \$5.8 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a reduction in costs of goods sold related to a decline in equipment sales, which can fluctuate each quarter as discussed above. Video programming costs also decreased as a result of a 9% decline in video connections, which was largely offset by an increase in programming costs per channel as costs continue to rise as a result of annual rate increases. Network access costs also increased due to growth in carrier and wireless backhaul services. The change in cost of services and products was also impacted by an increase in pension expense in the current year.

### Selling, General and Administrative Costs

Selling, general and administrative costs decreased \$4.3 million and \$6.0 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a decline in employee-related costs from a reduction in headcount as part of the Company's cost saving initiatives implemented in late 2015 as well as a decrease in incentive compensation in the current quarter. Bad debt expense also decreased as a result of recoveries recognized in 2016 and increased reserves in the prior year periods. However, advertising expense increased due to additional radio advertising and marketing promotions in 2016.

### Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.1 million and increased \$0.4 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015, primarily due to ongoing capital expenditures related to network enhancements and success-based capital projects for consumer and commercial services, which were offset in part by certain circuit equipment and outside plant becoming fully depreciated during the first half of 2016.

## Reclassifications

Certain amounts in our 2015 condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 presentation, which consisted primarily of the reclassification of certain operating revenues from network access to commercial and carrier. The change in the classification of these revenues had no impact to total operating revenues as previously reported.

## Regulatory Matters

Our revenues are subject to broad federal and/or state regulation, which include such telecommunications services as local telephone service, network access service and toll service and are derived from various sources, including:

- business and residential subscribers of basic exchange services;
- surcharges mandated by state commissions;
- long-distance carriers for network access service;
- competitive access providers and commercial enterprises for network access service; and
- support payments from federal or state programs.

The telecommunications industry is subject to extensive federal, state and local regulation. Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, federal and state regulators share responsibility for implementing and enforcing statutes and regulations



## Table of Contents

designed to encourage competition and to preserve and advance widely available, quality telephone service at affordable prices.

At the federal level, the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) generally exercises jurisdiction over facilities and services of local exchange carriers, such as our rural telephone companies, to the extent they are used to provide, originate or terminate interstate or international communications. The FCC has the authority to condition, modify, cancel, terminate or revoke our operating authority for failure to comply with applicable federal laws or FCC rules, regulations and policies. Fines or penalties also may be imposed for any of these violations.

State regulatory commissions generally exercise jurisdiction over carriers’ facilities and services to the extent they are used to provide, originate or terminate intrastate communications. In particular, state regulatory agencies have substantial oversight over interconnection and network access by competitors of our rural telephone companies. In addition, municipalities and other local government agencies regulate the public rights-of-way necessary to install and operate networks. State regulators can sanction our rural telephone companies or revoke our certifications if we violate relevant laws or regulations.

## FCC Matters

In general, telecommunications service in rural areas is more costly to provide than service in urban areas. The lower customer density means that switching and other facilities serve fewer customers and loops are typically longer, requiring greater expenditures per customer to build and maintain. By supporting the high cost of operations in rural markets, Universal Service Fund (“USF”) subsidies promote widely available, quality telephone service at affordable prices in rural areas. Revenues from the federal and certain states’ USFs decreased \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, respectively, primarily due to the transition to CAF Phase II funding and a decrease in state funding support for our Texas ILEC.

In order for an eligible telecommunications carrier (“ETC”) to receive high-cost support, the USF/Intercarrier Compensation (“ICC”) Transformation Order requires states to certify annually that USF support is used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. States, in turn, require that ETCs file certifications with them as the basis for the state filings with the FCC. Failure to meet the annual data and certification deadlines can result in reduced support to the ETC based on the length of the delay in certification. For calendar year 2013, the California state certification was due to be filed with the FCC on or before October 1, 2012. We were notified in January 2013 that SureWest Communications (“SureWest”) did not submit the required certification to the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) in time to be included in its October 1, 2012 submission to the FCC. In January 2013, we filed a certification with the CPUC and filed a petition with the FCC for a waiver of the filing deadline for the annual state certification. In February 2013, the CPUC filed a certification with the FCC with respect to SureWest. In October 2013, the Wireline Competition Bureau of the FCC denied our petition for a waiver of the annual certification deadline. In November 2013, we applied for a review of the decision made by the FCC staff by the full Commission. Management is optimistic that the Company may prevail

in its application to the Commission and receive USF funding for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013 based on the change in SureWest's USF filing status caused by the change in the ownership of SureWest, the lack of formal notice by the FCC regarding this change in filing status, the fact that SureWest had a previously filed certification of compliance in effect with the FCC for the two quarters for which USF was withheld and the FCC's past practice of granting waivers to accept late filings in similar situations. However, due to the denial of our petition by the Wireline Competition Bureau and the uncertainty of the collectability of previously recognized revenues, in December 2013 we reversed \$3.0 million of previously recognized revenues until such time that the Commission has the opportunity to reach a decision on our application for review.

Our recently acquired Enventis ILEC properties are cost-based rate of return companies. Historically, under FCC rules governing rate making, these ILECs were required to establish rates for their interstate telecommunications services based on projected demand usage for the various services. We projected our earnings through the use of annual cost separation studies, which utilized estimated total cost information and projected demand usage. Carriers were required to follow FCC rules in the preparation of these annual studies. We determined actual earnings from our interstate rates as actual volumes and costs became known. Effective January 1, 2015, our Enventis ILECs are treated as price cap companies for universal service purposes. In March 2015, we filed a petition for waiver to keep them as rate of return companies for

Table of Contents

switched and special access. The petition was granted in October 2015. We expect certain adjustments to take place over 24 months as a result of exiting the National Exchange Carrier Association (“NECA”) pool; however, we do not anticipate that they will be material to our condensed consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

An order adopted by the FCC in 2011 (the “Order”) will significantly impact the amount of support revenue we receive from the USF, CAF and ICC. The Order reformed core parts of the USF, broadly recast the existing ICC scheme, established the CAF to replace support revenues provided by the current USF and redirected support from voice services to broadband services. In 2012, CAF Phase I was implemented, which froze USF support to price cap carriers until the FCC implemented a broadband cost model to shift support from voice services to broadband services. The Order also modified the methodology used for ICC traffic exchanged between carriers. The initial phase of ICC reform was effective on July 1, 2012, beginning the transition of our terminating switched access rates to bill-and-keep over a seven year period, and as a result, we estimate that our network access revenue for 2016 will be reduced by as much as approximately \$1.9 million.

In December 2014, the FCC released a report and order that addressed, among other things, the transition to CAF Phase II funding for price cap carriers and the acceptance criteria for CAF Phase II funding. For companies that accepted the CAF Phase II funding, there is a three year transition period in instances in which their CAF Phase I funding exceeds the CAF Phase II funding. If CAF Phase II funding exceeds CAF Phase I funding, the transitional support is waived and CAF Phase II funding begins immediately. Companies are required to commit to a statewide build out requirement to 10 Mbps downstream and 1 Mbps upstream in funded locations. We accepted the CAF Phase II funding in August 2015. The annual funding under CAF Phase I of \$36.6 million will be replaced by annual funding under CAF Phase II of \$13.9 million through 2020. In the state of Iowa, where CAF Phase II funding is greater than the CAF Phase I funding, the CAF Phase II funding was received with a retroactive payment back to January 1, 2015. For all other states, funding under CAF Phase II is less than funding under CAF Phase I. The acceptance of funding at the lower level will transition over a three year period, beginning in August 2015, at the rates of 75% of the CAF Phase I funding level in the first year, 50% in the second year and 25% in the third year.

In March 2015, the FCC released its net neutrality order which applies to all wireline and wireless providers of broadband internet access services. The net neutrality order addresses several areas that will be regulated and others that are subject to forbearance. The regulations “bright line” rules disallow blocking, throttling and paid prioritization by internet service providers. The net neutrality order also requires providers to disclose certain information to consumers on rates, fees, data allowances and packet loss. Finally, it gives the FCC codified enforcement authority and it forbears on certain Title II regulations. On June 12, 2015, the net neutrality order became effective and has not resulted in any significant changes to the services we provide our customers, nor has it had a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial position or results of operations.

State Matters

California

In an ongoing proceeding relating to the New Regulatory Framework, the CPUC adopted Decision 06-08-030 in 2006, which grants carriers broader pricing freedom in the provision of telecommunications services, bundling of services, promotions and customer contracts. This decision adopted a new regulatory framework, the Uniform Regulatory Framework (“URF”), which among other things (i) eliminates price regulation and allows full pricing flexibility for all new and retail services, (ii) allows new forms of bundles and promotional packages of telecommunication services, (iii) allocates all gains and losses from the sale of assets to shareholders and (iv) eliminates almost all elements of rate of return regulation, including the calculation of shareable earnings. In December 2010, the CPUC issued a ruling to initiate a new proceeding to assess whether, or to what extent, the level of competition in the telecommunications industry is sufficient to control prices for the four largest ILECs in the state. Subsequently, the CPUC issued a ruling temporarily deferring the proceeding. When the CPUC may open this proceeding is unclear and on hold at this time. The CPUC’s actions in this and future proceedings could lead to new rules and an increase in government regulation. The Company will continue to monitor this matter.

Table of Contents

Pennsylvania

In 2011, the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission (“PAPUC”) issued an intrastate access reform order reducing intrastate access rates to interstate levels in a three-step process, which began in March 2012. With the release of the FCC order in November 2011, the PAPUC temporarily issued a stay. A final stay was issued in 2012 to implement the FCC ordered intrastate access rate changes. The PAPUC consumer affairs advocate is in favor of extending the funding through 2021. The PAPUC had indicated that it would address state universal funding in 2013, but delayed conducting a proceeding pending any state legislative activity. The Company will continue to monitor this matter.

Texas

The Texas Public Utilities Regulatory Act (“PURA”) directs the Public Utilities Commission of Texas (“PUCT”) to adopt and enforce rules requiring local exchange carriers to contribute to a state universal service fund that helps telecommunications providers offer basic local telecommunications service at reasonable rates in high-cost rural areas. The Texas Universal Service Fund is also used to reimburse telecommunications providers for revenues lost by providing lifeline service. Our Texas rural telephone companies receive disbursements from this fund.

Our Texas ILECs have historically received support from two state funds, the small and rural incumbent local exchange company plan High Cost Fund (“HCF”) and the High Cost Assistance Fund (“HCAF”). The HCF is a line-based fund used to keep local rates low. The rate is applied on all residential lines and up to five single business lines. The amount we receive from the HCAF is a frozen monthly amount that was originally developed to offset high intrastate toll rates.

In September 2011, the Texas state legislature passed Senate Bill No. 980/House Bill No. 2603 which, among other things, mandated the PUCT to review the USF and issue recommendations by January 1, 2013 with the intent to effectively reduce the size of the USF. This would be accomplished by implementing an urban floor to offset state funding reductions with a phase-in period of four years. The PUCT recommended that (i) frozen line counts be lifted effective September 1, 2013 and (ii) rural and urban local rate benchmarks be developed. The large company fund review was completed in September 2012 and the PUCT addressed the small fund participants in Docket 41097 Rate Rebalancing (“Docket 41097”), as discussed below.

In June 2013, the Texas state legislature passed Senate Bill No. 583 (“SB 583”). The provisions of SB 583 were effective September 1, 2013 and froze HCF and HCAF support for the remainder of 2013. As of January 1, 2014, our annual \$1.4 million HCAF support was eliminated and the frozen HCF support returned to funding on a per line basis. In July 2013, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the PUCT on Docket 41097, which was approved by the PUCT in August 2013. In accordance with the provisions of the settlement agreement, the HCF draw will be reduced by approximately \$1.2 million annually over a four year period beginning June 1, 2014 through

2018. However, we have the ability to fully offset this reduction with increases to residential rates where market conditions allow.

In addition, the PUCT is required to develop a needs test for post-2017 funding and has held workshops on various proposals. The PUCT issued its recommendation to the Texas state commissioners in May 2014, which was approved in December 2014. The needs test allows for a one-time disaggregation of line rates from a per line flat rate, then a competitive test must be met to receive funding. The deadline for submission of the needs test is December 31, 2016. We expect to complete the needs test as required and file for continued funding by the 2016 deadline.

#### Other Regulatory Matters

We are also subject to a number of regulatory proceedings occurring at the federal and state levels that may have a material impact on our operations. The FCC and state commissions have authority to issue rules and regulations related to our business. A number of proceedings are pending or anticipated that are related to such telecommunications issues as competition, interconnection, access charges, intercarrier compensation, broadband deployment, consumer protection and universal service reform. Some proceedings may authorize new services to compete with our existing services. Proceedings that relate to our cable television operations include rulemakings on set top boxes, carriage of programming, industry consolidation and ways to promote additional competition. There are various on-going legal

## Table of Contents

challenges to the scope or validity of FCC orders that have been issued. As a result, it is not yet possible to fully determine the impact of the related FCC rules and regulations on our operations.

### Non-Operating Items

#### Other Income and Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of interest income, decreased \$1.3 million and \$3.4 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015 primarily due to a reduction in the interest rate for our outstanding senior notes. In June 2015, we issued an additional \$300.0 million in 6.50% Senior Notes due 2022, which were used, in part, to redeem the then-remaining amount of our outstanding 10.875% Senior Notes due 2020. Interest expense was also reduced in 2016 from a decline in outstanding debt under our revolving credit facility as well as a decrease in interest expense related to our interest rate swap agreements.

In connection with the redemption in June 2015 of the remaining \$227.2 million of the original aggregate principal amount of our 10.875% Senior Notes due 2020, we paid \$261.9 million and recognized a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$41.2 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2015.

Other income decreased \$0.3 million and increased \$0.5 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015, primarily due to a decline in investment income from our wireless partnership interests of \$0.3 million during each of the respective periods. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2015, an other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$0.8 million was recognized as a result of the sale of our equity interest in Central Valley Independent Network, LLC in 2015.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes increased \$21.4 million and \$21.8 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2015. Our effective tax rate was 98.7% and 36.4% for the quarters ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and 68.2% and 35.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the effective tax rate differed from the federal and state statutory rates primarily due to non-deductible expenses and differences in allocable income for the Company's state tax filings. In addition, for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016, we recognized approximately \$7.5 million of deferred taxes related to the assets held for sale of CCIC. Accounting Standards Codification 740-30-25-7 ("ASC 740") requires a company to assess whether the excess of the reported amount of an investment in a domestic subsidiary for financial reporting purposes over the underlying tax basis is a taxable

temporary difference. Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2016, the Company had met the criteria under ASC 740 to not record the tax effects of the taxable temporary difference related to its investment in CCIC as the Company expected to recover its investment in a tax-free manner. On May 3, 2016, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell all the issued and outstanding stock of CCIC. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2016. As of June 30, 2016, the assets and liabilities of CCIC to be sold were classified as held for sale. Due to the change in the expected manner of recovery of its investment in CCIC, the Company recorded the taxable temporary difference associated with the excess of the reported amount of its investment in CCIC over the underlying tax basis. Exclusive of this adjustment, our effective tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 would have been approximately 38.5% and 38.6%, respectively.

#### Non-GAAP Measures

In addition to the results reported in accordance with US GAAP, we also use certain non-GAAP measures such as EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to evaluate operating performance and to facilitate the comparison of our historical results and trends. These financial measures are not measures of financial performance under US GAAP and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income as a measure of performance and net cash provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity. They are not, on their own, necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs as determined in accordance with GAAP. The calculation of these non-GAAP measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Reconciliations of these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP are provided below.



Table of Contents

EBITDA is defined as net earnings before interest expense, income taxes and depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is comprised of EBITDA, adjusted for certain items as permitted or required under our credit facility as described in the reconciliations below. These measures are a common measure of operating performance in the telecommunications industry and are useful, with other data, as a means to evaluate our ability to fund our estimated uses of cash.

The following table is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to adjusted EBITDA for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(In thousands, unaudited)	Quarter Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income (loss)	\$ 157	\$ (15,928)	\$ 8,059	\$ (8,099)
Add (subtract):				
Interest expense, net of interest income	19,106	20,429	37,752	41,103
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,323	(9,104)	17,296	(4,478)
Depreciation and amortization	43,491	43,651	87,631	87,207
EBITDA	75,077	39,048	150,738	115,733
Adjustments to EBITDA:				
Other, net (1)	(5,796)	(7,741)	(10,521)	(12,642)
Investment distributions (2)	7,784	7,087	14,580	14,166
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	41,242	—	41,242
Non-cash, stock-based compensation (3)	912	710	1,804	1,523
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 77,977	\$ 80,346	\$ 156,601	\$ 160,022

---

(1) Includes the equity earnings from our investments, dividend income, income attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries, transaction related costs, including severance, and certain other miscellaneous items.

(2) Includes all cash dividends and other cash distributions received from our investments.

(3) Represents compensation expenses in connection with the issuance of stock awards, which, because of the non-cash nature of these expenses, are excluded from adjusted EBITDA.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Outlook and Overview

Our operating requirements have historically been funded from cash flows generated from our business and borrowings under our credit facilities. We expect that our future operating requirements will continue to be funded from cash flows from operating activities, existing cash and cash equivalents and, if needed, borrowings under our revolving credit facility and our ability to obtain future external financing. We anticipate that we will continue to use a substantial portion of our cash flow to fund capital expenditures, meet scheduled payments of long-term debt, make dividend payments and invest in future business opportunities.

The following table summarizes our cash flows:

(In thousands)	Six Months Ended June	
	2016	2015
Cash flows provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 115,505	\$ 95,816
Investing activities	(62,221)	(64,635)
Financing activities	(44,607)	(30,914)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,677	\$ 267

## Table of Contents

### Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$115.5 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2016, an increase of \$19.7 million compared to the same period in 2015. Cash flows provided by operating activities increased primarily as a result of changes in working capital including a decrease in accounts receivable and accrued expenses related to the timing and volume of equipment sales. In addition, net cash provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2015 included the payment of various change-in-control and severance agreements as a result of the acquisition of Enventis in 2014. The increase was also attributed to a reduction in cash contributions to our defined benefit pension plan of \$4.7 million during the first six months of 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015.

### Cash Flows Used In Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$62.2 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2016 and consisted primarily of cash used for capital expenditures.

### Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures continue to be our primary recurring investing activity and were \$62.3 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2016, a decrease of \$3.3 million compared to the same period in 2015. Capital expenditures for the remainder of 2016 are expected to be \$64.0 million to \$67.0 million, of which approximately 62% is planned for success-based capital projects for consumer and commercial initiatives. Capital expenditures for the remainder of 2016 and subsequent years will depend on various factors, including competition, changes in technology, regulatory changes and the timing in the deployment of new services. We expect to continue to invest in existing and new services and the expansion of our fiber network in order to retain and acquire more customers through a broader set of products and an expanded network footprint.

### Cash Flows Provided by (Used In) Financing Activities

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities consists primarily of our proceeds from and principal payments on long-term borrowings and the payment of dividends.

### Long-term Debt

## Credit Agreement

In December 2013, the Company, through certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with various financial institutions (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement consists of a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility and initial term loans in the aggregate amount of \$910.0 million ("Term 4"). The Credit Agreement also includes an incremental term loan facility which provides the ability to request to borrow up to \$300.0 million of incremental term loans subject to certain terms and conditions. Borrowings under the senior secured credit facility are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, with the exception of Consolidated Communications of Illinois Company and our majority-owned subsidiary, East Texas Fiber Line Incorporated.

The Term 4 loan was issued in an original aggregate principal amount of \$910.0 million with a maturity date of December 23, 2020. The Term 4 loan contains an original issuance discount of \$4.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of the loan. The Term 4 loan requires quarterly principal payments of \$2.3 million and has an interest rate of London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 3.25% subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor.

Our revolving credit facility has a maturity date of December 23, 2018 and an applicable margin (at our election) of between 2.50% and 3.25% for LIBOR-based borrowings or between 1.50% and 2.25% for alternate base rate borrowings, depending on our leverage ratio. Based on our leverage ratio as of June 30, 2016, the borrowing margin for the next three month period ending September 30, 2016 will be at a weighted-average margin of 3.00% for a LIBOR-based loan or 2.00% for an alternate base rate loan. The applicable borrowing margin for the revolving credit facility is adjusted quarterly to

Table of Contents

reflect the leverage ratio from the prior quarter-end. Interest is payable at least quarterly. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, borrowings of \$10.0 million were outstanding under the revolving credit facility. A stand-by letter of credit of \$1.6 million, issued primarily in connection with the Company's insurance coverage, was outstanding under our revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2016. The stand-by letter of credit is renewable annually and reduces the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility. As of June 30, 2016, \$63.4 million was available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility.

Net proceeds from asset sales exceeding certain thresholds, to the extent not reinvested, are required to be used to repay loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

Credit Agreement Covenant Compliance

The Credit Agreement contains various provisions and covenants, including, among other items, restrictions on the ability to pay dividends, incur additional indebtedness and issue capital stock. We have agreed to maintain certain financial ratios, including interest coverage and total net leverage ratios, all as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with the Credit Agreement covenants.

In general, our Credit Agreement restricts our ability to pay dividends to the amount of our available cash as defined in our Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2016, and including the \$19.6 million dividend declared in May 2016 and paid on August 1, 2016, we had \$258.5 million in dividend availability under the credit facility covenant.

Under our Credit Agreement, if our total net leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, as of the end of any fiscal quarter is greater than 5.10:1.00, we will be required to suspend dividends on our common stock unless otherwise permitted by an exception for dividends that may be paid from the portion of proceeds of any sale of equity not used to fund acquisitions or make other investments. During any dividend suspension period, we will be required to repay debt in an amount equal to 50.0% of any increase in available cash, among other things. In addition, we will not be permitted to pay dividends if an event of default under the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing. Among other things, it will be an event of default if our total net leverage ratio or interest coverage ratio as of the end of any fiscal quarter is greater than 5.25:1.00 and less than 2.25:1.00, respectively. As of June 30, 2016, our total net leverage ratio under the Credit Agreement was 4.22:1.00, and our interest coverage ratio was 4.25:1.00.

6.50% Senior Notes due 2022

In September 2014, we completed an offering of \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due in October 2022 (the "Existing Notes"). The Existing Notes were priced at par, which resulted in total gross

proceeds of \$200.0 million. On June 8, 2015, we completed an additional offering of \$300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due 2022 (the “New Notes” and together with the Existing Notes, the “Senior Notes”). The New Notes were issued as additional notes under the same indenture pursuant to which the Existing Notes were previously issued on September 18, 2014. The New Notes were priced at 98.26% of par with a yield to maturity of 6.80% and resulted in total gross proceeds of approximately \$294.8 million, excluding accrued interest.

The Senior Notes mature on October 1, 2022 and interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year. Consolidated Communications, Inc. (“CCI”) is the primary obligor under the Senior Notes, and we and certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the New Notes were used, in part, to redeem the remaining \$227.2 million of our original \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10.875% Senior Notes due 2020 (the “2020 Notes”). In connection with the redemption of the 2020 Notes, we paid \$261.9 million and recognized a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$41.2 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2015.

## Table of Contents

### Senior Notes Covenant Compliance

Subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, the indenture governing the Senior Notes contains customary covenants that, among other things, limits CCI's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to: incur additional debt or issue certain preferred stock; pay dividends or make other distributions on capital stock or prepay subordinated indebtedness; purchase or redeem any equity interests; make investments; create liens; sell assets; enter into agreements that restrict dividends or other payments by restricted subsidiaries; consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of its assets; engage in transactions with its affiliates; or enter into any sale and leaseback transactions. The indenture also contains customary events of default.

Among other matters, the Senior Notes indenture provides that CCI may not pay dividends or make other restricted payments, as defined in the indenture, if its total net leverage ratio is 4.75:1.00 or greater. This ratio is calculated differently than the comparable ratio under the Credit Agreement; among other differences, it takes into account, on a pro forma basis, synergies expected to be achieved as a result of certain acquisitions not yet reflected in historical results. As of June 30, 2016, this ratio was 4.41:1.00. If this ratio is met, dividends and other restricted payments may be made from cumulative consolidated cash flow since April 1, 2012, less 1.75 times fixed charges, less dividends and other restricted payments made since May 30, 2012. Dividends may be paid and other restricted payments may also be made from a "basket" of \$50.0 million, none of which has been used to date, and pursuant to other exceptions identified in the indenture. Since dividends of \$292.3 million have been paid since May 30, 2012, including the quarterly dividend declared in May 2016 and paid on August 1, 2016, there was \$402.1 million of the \$694.4 million of cumulative consolidated cash flow since May 30, 2012 available to pay dividends as of June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all terms, conditions and covenants under the indenture governing the Senior Notes.

### Capital Leases

We lease certain facilities and equipment under various capital leases which expire between 2016 and 2021. As of June 30, 2016, the present value of the minimum remaining lease commitments was approximately \$13.7 million, of which \$4.1 million was due and payable within the next twelve months. The leases require total remaining rental payments of \$15.8 million as of June 30, 2016, of which \$3.9 million will be paid to LATEL LLC, a related party entity.

### Dividends

We paid \$39.2 million and \$39.1 million in dividend payments to shareholders during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In May 2016, our board of directors declared its next quarterly dividend of \$0.38738 per common share, which is payable on August 1, 2016 to stockholders of record at the close of business on

July 15, 2016. Our current annual dividend rate is approximately \$1.55 per share.

The cash required to fund dividend payments is in addition to our other expected cash needs, which we expect to fund with cash flows from our operations. In addition, we expect we will have sufficient availability under our revolving credit facility to fund dividend payments in addition to any expected fluctuations in working capital and other cash needs, although we do not intend to borrow under this facility to pay dividends.

We believe that our dividend policy will limit, but not preclude, our ability to grow. If we continue paying dividends at the level currently anticipated under our dividend policy, we may not retain a sufficient amount of cash, and may need to seek refinancing to fund a material expansion of our business, including any significant acquisitions or to pursue growth opportunities requiring capital expenditures significantly beyond our current expectations. In addition, because we expect a significant portion of cash available will be distributed to holders of common stock under our dividend policy, our ability to pursue any material expansion of our business will depend more than it otherwise would on our ability to obtain third-party financing.



Table of Contents

## Sufficiency of Cash Resources

The following table sets forth selected information regarding our financial condition.

	June 30,	December
(In thousands, except for ratio)	2016	31, 2015
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,555	\$ 15,878
Working capital (deficit)	(3,782)	(17,892)
Current ratio	0.98	0.88

Our most significant uses of funds in the remainder of 2016 are expected to be for: (i) dividend payments of approximately \$39.2 million; (ii) interest payments on our indebtedness of approximately \$35.5 million and principal payments on debt of \$4.6 million; and (iii) capital expenditures of between \$64.0 million and \$67.0 million. In addition, we used approximately \$13.4 million to fund the acquisition of Champaign Telephone Company, Inc. and its sister company, Big Broadband Services, LLC in July 2016. In the future our ability to use cash may be limited by our other expected uses of cash, including our dividend policy, and our ability to incur additional debt will be limited by our existing and future debt agreements.

We believe that cash flows from operating activities, together with our existing cash and borrowings available under our revolving credit facility, will be sufficient for at least the next twelve months to fund our current anticipated uses of cash. After that, our ability to fund these expected uses of cash and to comply with the financial covenants under our debt agreements will depend on the results of future operations, performance and cash flow. Our ability to fund these expected uses from the results of future operations will be subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business, regulatory, legislative and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

We may be unable to access the cash flows of our subsidiaries since certain of our subsidiaries are parties to credit or other borrowing agreements, or are subject to statutory or regulatory restrictions, that restrict the payment of dividends or making intercompany loans and investments, and those subsidiaries are likely to continue to be subject to such restrictions and prohibitions for the foreseeable future. In addition, future agreements that our subsidiaries may enter into governing the terms of indebtedness may restrict our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends or advance cash in any other manner to us.

To the extent that our business plans or projections change or prove to be inaccurate, we may require additional financing or require financing sooner than we currently anticipate. Sources of additional financing may include commercial bank borrowings, other strategic debt financing, sales of nonstrategic assets, vendor financing or the private or public sales of equity and debt securities. There can be no assurance that we will be able to generate

sufficient cash flows from operations in the future, that anticipated revenue growth will be realized or that future borrowings or equity issuances will be available in amounts sufficient to provide adequate sources of cash to fund our expected uses of cash. Failure to obtain adequate financing, if necessary, could require us to significantly reduce our operations or level of capital expenditures which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and the results of operations.

#### Surety Bonds

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into surety, performance and similar bonds as required by certain jurisdictions in which we provide services. As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$3.9 million of these bonds outstanding.

#### Defined Benefit Pension Plans

As required, we contribute to a qualified defined pension plan (the “Retirement Plan”) and non-qualified supplemental retirement plans (collectively the “Pension Plans”) and other post-retirement benefit plans, which provide retirement benefits to certain eligible employees. Contributions are intended to provide for benefits attributed to service to date. Our funding policy is to contribute annually an actuarially determined amount consistent with applicable federal income tax regulations.

The costs to maintain our Pension Plans and future funding requirements are affected by several factors including the expected return on investment of the assets held by the Pension Plan, changes in the discount rate used to calculate pension

## Table of Contents

expense and the amortization of unrecognized gains and losses. Returns generated on Pension Plan assets have historically funded a significant portion of the benefits paid under the Pension Plans. We estimate the long-term rate of return of Pension Plan assets will be 7.75%. The Pension Plans invest in marketable equity securities which are exposed to changes in the financial markets. If the financial markets experience a downturn and returns fall below our estimate, we could be required to make a material contribution to the Pension Plan, which could adversely affect our cash flows from operations.

In 2016, we expect to make contributions totaling approximately \$0.3 million to our non-qualified supplemental retirement plans and \$3.6 million to our other post-retirement benefit plans, which represents a decline of \$11.3 million from the total contributions made in 2015. We do not expect to contribute to our qualified defined pension plans in 2016. As of June 30, 2016, we have contributed \$0.1 million and \$1.6 million to our non-qualified supplemental retirement plans and our other post-retirement benefit plans, respectively. Our contribution amounts meet the minimum funding requirements as set forth in employee benefit and tax laws. See Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this report in Part I – Item I “Financial Information” for a more detailed discussion regarding our pension and other post-retirement plans.

## Income Taxes

The timing of cash payments for income taxes, which is governed by the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing jurisdictions, will differ from the timing of recording tax expense and deferred income taxes, which are reported in accordance with GAAP. For example, tax laws in effect regarding accelerated or “bonus” depreciation for tax reporting resulted in less cash payments than the GAAP tax expense. Acceleration of tax deductions could eventually result in situations where cash payments will exceed GAAP tax expense.

## Regulatory Matters

As discussed in the “Regulatory Matters” section above, in December 2014, the FCC released a report and order that significantly impacts the amount of support revenue we receive from the USF, CAF and ICC by redirecting support from voice services to broadband services. Our annual funding under CAF Phase I of \$36.6 million will be replaced by annual funding under CAF Phase II of \$13.9 million through 2020. In the state of Iowa, where CAF Phase II funding is greater than the CAF Phase I funding, the CAF Phase II funding was received with a retroactive payment back to January 1, 2015. For all other states, funding under CAF Phase II is less than funding under CAF Phase I. The acceptance of funding at the lower level will transition over a three year period, beginning in August 2015, at the rates of 75% of the CAF Phase I funding level in the first year, 50% in the second year and 25% in the third year.

The Order also modifies the methodology used for ICC traffic exchanged between carriers. As a result of implementing the provisions of the Order, our network access revenue decreased approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.6

million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015, respectively. Our anticipated decline in network access revenue through 2018 is \$1.9 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million in 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

In accordance with the provisions of SB 583, as discussed in the “Regulatory Matters” section above, our annual \$1.4 million Texas HCAF support was eliminated effective January 1, 2014. In addition, in accordance with the provisions of the settlement agreement reached with the PUCT, the HCF draw will be reduced by approximately \$1.2 million annually over a four year period beginning June 1, 2014 through 2018. However, we have the ability to fully offset this reduction with increases to residential rates where market conditions allow.

#### Critical Accounting Estimates

Our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with US GAAP. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management’s application of accounting policies. Our judgments are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making estimates about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. For a full discussion of our accounting

Table of Contents

estimates and assumptions that we have identified as critical in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements, refer to our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding the impact of certain recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 1 “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this report in Part I - Item I “Financial Information”.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk is primarily related to the impact of interest rate fluctuations on our debt obligations. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market interest rates on our variable rate obligations. In order to manage the volatility relating to changes in interest rates, we utilize derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps to maintain a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. Our interest rate swap agreements effectively convert a portion of our floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate basis, thereby reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future cash interest payments. We calculate the potential change in interest expense caused by changes in market interest rates by determining the effect of the hypothetical rate increase on the portion of our variable rate debt that is not subject to a variable rate floor or hedged through the interest rate swap agreements.

As of June 30, 2016, the majority of our variable rate debt was subject to a 1.00% London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) floor thereby reducing the impact of fluctuations in interest rates. As of June 30, 2016, LIBOR was below the 1.00% floor. Based on our variable rate debt outstanding as of June 30, 2016, a 1.00% change in market interest rates would increase or decrease annual interest expense by approximately \$2.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements amounted to a net liability of \$1.6 million. Pre-tax deferred losses related to our interest rate swap agreements included in accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$1.6 million as of June 30, 2016.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. In connection with the filing of this Form 10-Q, management evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2016. Based upon that evaluation and subject to the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of June 30, 2016.

## Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Based upon the evaluation performed by our management, which was conducted with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control systems are designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management, Board of Directors and Audit Committee regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of published financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In 2014, Sprint Communications Company L.P. (“Sprint”) along with MCI Communications Services, Inc. and Verizon Select Services Inc. (collectively, “Verizon”) filed lawsuits against us and many other Local Exchange Carriers (“LECs”) throughout the country challenging the switched access charges LECs assessed Sprint and Verizon, as interexchange carriers, for certain calls originating from mobile and wireline devices that are routed to us through an interexchange carrier. The plaintiffs’ position is based on their interpretation of federal law, among other things, and they are seeking refunds of past access charges paid for such calls. The disputed amounts total \$2.4 million and cover periods dating back to 2006. CenturyLink, Inc. requested that the U.S. District Court’s Judicial Panel on Multi district Litigation (the “Panel”), which has the authority to transfer the pretrial proceedings to a single court for multiple civil cases involving common questions of fact, transfer and consolidate these cases in one court. The Panel ordered that these cases be transferred to and centralized in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Court”). On November 17, 2015, the Court dismissed these complaints based on its interpretation of federal law and held that LECs could assess switched access charges for the calls at issue (the “November Order”). The November Order also allowed the plaintiffs to amend their complaints to assert claims that arise under state laws independent of the dismissed claims asserted under federal law. While Verizon did not make such a filing, on May 16, 2016, Sprint filed Amended Complaints and on June 30, 2016, LEC defendants named in such complaints filed a Joint Motion to Strike or Dismiss the complaints. On August 1, 2016, Sprint filed its Opposition to the Joint Motion and these LEC defendants have until August 26, 2016 to respond.

Relatedly, earlier this year, numerous LECs across the country, including a number of our LEC entities, filed complaints in various U.S. District Courts against Level 3 Communications, LLC and certain of its affiliates (collectively, “Level 3”) for its failure to pay access charges for certain calls that the November Order held could be assessed by LECs. These complaint cases were transferred to and included in the above-referenced consolidated proceeding before the Court. On May 31, 2016, Level 3 filed a Motion to Dismiss these complaints that largely repeated arguments the November Order rejected. On June 30, 2016, the LECs filed a Joint Opposition and on August 1, 2016, Level 3 filed its Reply.

On July 19, 2016, the Court adopted a Scheduling Order proposed by the parties for the remaining proceedings, including, among other things, dates for the parties to informally resolve damage claims, i.e., the amounts in dispute and late payment charges. Once the proceedings before the Court become final following this process, Sprint, Verizon, and Level 3 are expected to appeal the November Order along with any order that may, for similar reasons, deny Level 3’s May 31, 2016 Motion to Dismiss. We have interconnection agreements in place with all wireless carriers and the applicable traffic is being billed at current access rates. Absent a decision by an appellate court that overturns the November Order or a decision granting Level 3’s Motion to Dismiss, it will be difficult for Sprint and Verizon to succeed on any claims against us or for Level 3 to avoid paying the access charges it disputes in this litigation. Therefore, we do not expect any potential settlement or judgment to have an adverse material impact on our financial results or cash flows.



On April 14, 2008, Salsgiver Inc., a Pennsylvania-based telecommunications company, and certain of its affiliates (“Salsgiver”) filed a lawsuit against us and our former subsidiaries, North Pittsburgh Telephone Company and North Pittsburgh Systems Inc., in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania alleging that we had prevented Salsgiver from connecting their fiber optic cables to our utility poles. Salsgiver sought compensatory and punitive damages as the result of alleged lost projected profits, damage to its business reputation and other costs. Salsgiver originally claimed to have sustained losses of approximately \$125.0 million. We believe that these claims are without merit and that the alleged damages are completely unfounded. We had recorded approximately \$0.4 million in 2011 in anticipation of the settlement of this case. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we recorded an additional \$0.9 million, which included estimated legal fees. A jury trial concluded on May 14, 2015 with the jury ruling in our favor. Salsgiver subsequently filed a post-trial motion asking the judge to overturn the jury verdict. That motion was denied. On June 17, 2015, Salsgiver filed an appeal in the Pennsylvania Superior Court. Salsgiver’s brief was filed with the Superior Court on December 4, 2015, and we filed our response on January 18, 2016. The Pennsylvania Superior Court held oral arguments on May 17, 2016 and is expected to issue its ruling during the third quarter of 2016. We believe that, despite the appeal, the \$1.3 million currently accrued represents management’s best estimate of the potential loss if the verdict is overturned in Salsgiver's favor.

Table of Contents

Two of our subsidiaries, Consolidated Communications of Pennsylvania Company LLC (“CCPA”) and Consolidated Communications Enterprise Services Inc. (“CCES”), have, at various times, received assessment notices from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Revenue (“DOR”) increasing the amounts owed for Pennsylvania Gross Receipt Taxes, and/or have had audits performed for the tax years of 2008 through 2013. In addition, a re-audit was performed on CCPA for the 2010 calendar year. For the calendar years for which we received both additional assessment notices and audit actions, those issues have been combined by the DOR into a single docket for each year.

Pennsylvania generally imposes tax on the gross receipts of telephone messages transmitted wholly within the state and telephone messages transmitted in interstate commerce where such messages originate or terminate in Pennsylvania, and the charges for such messages are billed to a service address in the state. In a 2013 decision involving Verizon Telephone Company of Pennsylvania (“Verizon Pennsylvania”), the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania held that the gross receipts tax applies to Verizon Pennsylvania’s installation of private phone lines because the sole purpose of private lines is to transmit messages. Similarly, the court held that directory assistance is subject to the gross receipts tax because it makes the transition of messages more effective. However, the court did not find Verizon Pennsylvania’s nonrecurring charges for the installation of telephone lines, moves of and changes to telephone lines and services and repairs of telephone lines to be subject to the gross receipts tax as no telephone messages are transmitted when Verizon Pennsylvania performs nonrecurring services.

On appeal, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania recently held in *Verizon Pennsylvania, Inc. v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania* that charges for the installation of private phone lines, charges for directory assistance and certain nonrecurring charges were all subject to the state’s gross receipt tax. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania found that all of the services, including those related to nonrecurring charges, in some way made transmission more effective or communication more satisfactory even though such services did not involve actual transmission. This is a partial reversal of the 2013 Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania decision described above, which had ruled that while the charges for the installation of private phone lines and directory assistance were subject to the state’s gross receipts tax, the nonrecurring charges in question were not. As a motion for reconsideration has not been filed with the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, and the period for such filing has expired, the case is now final.

For the CCES subsidiary, the total additional tax liability calculated by the DOR auditors for the calendar years 2008 through 2013 is approximately \$4.1 million. In May 2016, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Board of Finance and Revenue reviewed our appeals of cases for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013 and held that the charges in question were subject to the state’s gross receipt tax. In June 2016, we filed an appeal with the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013. Our hearing is not expected to occur until June 2017.

For the CCPA subsidiary, the total additional tax liability calculated by the DOR auditors for the calendar years 2008 through 2013 (using the re-audited 2010 number) is approximately \$5.0 million. In May 2016, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Board of Finance and Revenue reviewed our appeals of cases for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013 and held that the charges in question were subject to the state’s gross receipts tax. In June 2016, we filed an appeal with the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas for the audits in calendar years 2008 through 2013. Our hearing is not expected to occur until June 2017.

We believe that certain of the DOR's findings regarding the Company's additional tax liability for the calendar years 2008 through 2013, for which we have filed appeals, continue to lack merit. However, in light of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania's decision, we have accrued \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million for our CCES and CCPA subsidiaries, respectively. These accruals also include the Company's best estimate of the potential 2014 and 2015 additional tax liabilities. We do not believe that the outcome of these claims will have a material adverse impact on our financial results or cash flows.

From time to time we may be involved in litigation that we believe is of the type common to companies in our industry, including regulatory issues. While the outcome of these other claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the outcome of any of these other legal matters will have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following financial information from Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language):
  - (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Registrant)

August 5, 2016 By: /s/ C.

Robert  
Udell Jr.  
C. Robert  
Udell Jr.,  
Chief  
Executive  
Officer  
(Principal  
Executive  
Officer)

August 5, 2016 By: /s/ Steven

L. Childers  
Steven L.  
Childers,  
Chief  
Financial  
Officer  
(Principal  
Financial  
Officer and  
Chief  
Accounting  
Officer)