PLANETOUT INC Form 8-K October 04, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): September 28, 2007

PlanetOut Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) 000-50879 (Commission File Number) 94-3391368 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

94111

1355 Sansome Street, San Francisco CA

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant s telephone number, including area code (415) 834-6500

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below): o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 3.03 Material Modification to Rights of Security Holders.

On October 1, 2007, PlanetOut Inc. (the Company) announced that it had filed a certificate of amendment to its amended and restated certificate of incorporation to effect a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its common stock. The reverse stock split was previously approved by the Company s Board of Directors and by the Company s stockholders.

Pursuant to the reverse stock split, each holder of the Company s common stock on October 1, 2007, the date of effectiveness of the reverse stock split, became entitled to receive one new share of common stock in exchange for every ten old shares of common stock held by such stockholder.

No fractional certificates will be issued in connection with the reverse stock split. Stockholders who otherwise would be entitled to receive fractional shares because they hold a number of common stock shares not evenly divisible by ten, upon surrender to the exchange agent of the certificates representing such fractional shares, will instead be entitled to receive cash in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying (i) the closing sale price of the Company s common stock on the business day immediately preceding the effective date of the reverse stock split as reported on the Nasdaq Global Market by (ii) the number of shares of the Company s common stock held by the stockholder that would otherwise have been exchanged for the fractional share interest.

The number of shares subject to the Company s outstanding options and warrants will automatically be reduced in the same ratio as the reduction in the outstanding shares. Correspondingly, the per share exercise price of those options and warrants will be increased in direct proportion to the reverse stock split ratio, so that the aggregate dollar amount payable for the purchase of the shares subject to the options and warrants will remain unchanged.

The Company s new CUSIP number is 727058 208. The Company s common stock will trade on the Nasdaq Global Market (Nasdaq) on a post-split basis beginning on October 2, 2007 under the symbol LGBTD until October 29, 2007 and subsequently will resume trading under the symbol LGBT. In connection with the reverse stock split, the Company also adopted a new form of stock certificate, which is attached hereto as an exhibit and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 5.02 Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

On September 28, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors appointed Daniel E. Steimle, 59, as the Company s interim Chief Financial Officer, effective October 1, 2007.

Since 2007, Mr. Steimle has been a member of Tatum, LLC, an executive services firm, prior to which he was with CSL Consulting, a consulting firm, from 2006 to 2007, and again from 2002 to 2004 where he served as acting CFO and in other advisory capacities for several technology companies. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Steimle served as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer at Turin Networks, Inc., a telecommunications equipment supplier. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. Steimle served as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer for LGC Wireless, Inc., a wireless infrastructure equipment supplier. Prior to that, Mr. Steimle served as Chief Financial Officer and in other financial management positions for several public and private technology companies. Mr. Steimle holds a B.S. in Accounting from Ohio State University and an MBA in Management/Marketing from the University of Cincinnati.

In connection with his appointment, the Company agreed to pay Mr. Steimle a salary in the amount of \$23,800 per month. Mr. Steimle is not entitled to any bonuses or equity incentive grants in connection with his employment as an interim Chief Financial Officer. The Company is obtaining the services of Mr. Steimle through an agreement with an executive services firm, pursuant to which such firm will receive specified consideration as Mr. Steimle performs services. A summary of Mr. Steimle s compensation terms is attached hereto as an exhibit and is incorporated herein by reference.

Other than the compensation arrangement described above related to Mr. Steimle s employment by the Company, there are no transactions to which the Company is or is proposed to be a party and in which Mr. Steimle has material interest.

Item 5.03 Amendments to Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws; Change in Fiscal Year.

On October 1, 2007, the Company announced that it had filed a certificate of amendment to its amended and restated certificate of incorporation to effect a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its common stock. A complete copy of the Company s amended and restated certificate of incorporation, as so amended, is attached hereto as an exhibit and is incorporated herein by reference. The reverse stock split was previously approved by the Company s Board of Directors and by the Company s stockholders.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits. (d) Exhibits.

Exhibit 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended by Certificate of Amendment, dated October 1, 2007 and as currently in effect.

Exhibit 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate.

Exhibit 99.1 Summary of Compensation Terms for Daniel E. Steimle.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

PlanetOut Inc.

Date: October 4, 2007	By:	/s/ Karen Magee Karen Magee Chief Executive Officer
ht:2px;">		
560		
Other assets		
(235)		
(1,442) Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses		

(375

)

4,540

Unearned premiums

10,409

(2,519) Reinsurance payable

(14,378)

(12,853) Other liabilities

5,131

5,899

Net cash provided by operating activities

15,050

6,080

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments available for sale

3,097

13,496

Purchases of investments available for sale

(45,421)

Cost of property and equipment acquired

(57) Cost of capitalized software acquired

(15)

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities

(42,339)

13,439

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Repayments of borrowings (294) (4,621) Bank overdrafts 3,051 (2,813) Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities 2,757 (7,434) Increase (decrease) in cash (24,532) 12,085 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 71,644 27,086 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 47,112

\$ 39,171

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

Supplemental Cash Flows Information

Cash Paid During The Period:

Interest

\$ 165

\$ 190

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

1) ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

We incorporated as FMG Acquisition Corp. (FMG) on May 22, 2007 under Delaware law and became a publicly-traded company during October 2007. We changed our name to United Insurance Holdings Corp. (UIHC) after we merged with United Insurance Holdings, L.C. (UIH) on September 30, 2008.

Through UIH, our wholly-owned subsidiary, and UIH's three wholly-owned subsidiaries, we write and service property and casualty insurance policies in Florida and South Carolina. The three subsidiaries of UIH, each incorporated under Florida law, include United Property and Casualty Insurance Company (UPC), which writes insurance policies; United Insurance Management, L.C. (UIM), the managing general agent that manages substantially all aspects of UPC's business; and Skyway Claims Services, LLC (SCS), a claims adjusting company that provides services to UPC. We operate under one business segment. We believe our holding company structure provides us flexibility to expand our products and services in the future. In January 2011, the state regulatory authority in Massachusetts authorized UPC to write in that state, and UPC has applications pending in four additional states.

UNITED INSURANCE HOLDINGS CORP. Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements March 31, 2011

2) BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a)Presentation and Consolidation

We prepared the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010, which we derived from audited consolidated financial statements, and the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 8 of Regulation S-X. In compliance with those instructions, we have condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), though management believes the disclosures made herein are sufficient to ensure that the information presented is not misleading.

Our results of operations and our cash flows as of the end of the interim periods reported herein do not necessarily indicate the results we may experience for the remainder of the year or for any other future period.

While preparing our financial statements, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. Reported amounts that require us to make extensive use of estimates include our reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance recoverable, deferred policy acquisition costs, and investments.

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

We include all of our subsidiaries in our condensed consolidated financial statements, eliminating all significant intercompany balances and transactions during consolidation.

We reclassified certain amounts in the 2010 financial statements to conform to the 2011 presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on our results of operations or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

Management believes our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements include all the normal recurring adjustments necessary to fairly present our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2011, our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for all periods presented. Our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and footnotes should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and footnotes included within our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2010 Form 10-K).

b) Significant Accounting Policies

We have made no material changes to our significant accounting policies as reported in our 2010 Form 10-K.

The carrying amounts for the following financial instrument categories approximate their fair values at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 because of their short-term nature: cash and cash equivalents, accrued investment income, premiums receivable, reinsurance recoverable, reinsurance payable, and accounts payable and accrued expenses. The carrying amounts of notes receivable and notes payable also approximate their fair values, as the interest rate on the note payable is variable and the note receivable, which we recorded at fair value using a discounted cash flow methodology, is due in three years.

3) RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements, added new disclosures related to activity in investments that require Level 3 inputs to estimate their fair value. We adopted the additional disclosure required by ASU No. 2010-06 on January 1, 2011; however, since we do not generally maintain investments that require Level 3 inputs to estimate fair value, our adoption of this portion of ASU No. 2010-06 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-26, Financial Services—Insurance (Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts. The amendments in ASU No. 2010-26 address diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral; they clarify which costs should be deferred and which costs should be expensed when incurred. The amendments in ASU No. 2010-26 become effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Since we already record deferred acquisition costs as specified by the amendments, we do not expect that our adoption of ASU No. 2010-26 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

4) INVESTMENTS

The following table details the difference between cost or amortized cost and estimated fair value, by major investment category, at March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010:

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011

	Cost or	Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses	
March 31, 2011				
U.S. government and agency securities	\$42,922	\$94	\$149	\$42,867
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	13,298	28	252	13,074
Corporate securities	36,269	63	284	36,048
Redeemable preferred stocks	809		31	778
Total fixed maturities	93,298	185	716	92,767
Common stocks	2,964	237	87	3,114
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	605	_	33	572
Total equity securities	3,569	237	120	3,686
Other long-term investments	300			300
Total investments	\$97,167	\$422	\$836	\$96,753
December 31, 2010				
U.S. government and agency securities	\$32,841	\$119	\$65	\$32,895
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	13,305	10	336	12,979
Corporate securities	4,029	18		4,047
Redeemable preferred stocks	809		47	762
Total fixed maturities	50,984	147	448	50,683
Common stocks	3,061	47	60	3,048
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	605		38	567
Total equity securities	3,666	47	98	3,615
Other long-term investments	300			300
Total investments	\$54,950	\$194	\$546	\$54,598

When we sell investments, we calculate the gain or loss realized on the sale by comparing the sales price (fair value) to the cost, amortized cost or adjusted cost of the security sold. We determine the cost or amortized cost of the security sold using the specific-identification method. We had no realized gains or realized losses during the three months ended March 31, 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we incurred realized losses of \$14, all related to fixed maturities having an aggregate fair value of \$6,996 at the time we sold them.

The states in which we operate require us, by statute, to maintain deposits to secure the payment of claims. In Florida, we have assigned a twelve-month, automatically renewing certificate of deposit in the amount of \$300 to the state regulatory authority to satisfy the Florida requirement. In South Carolina, we have assigned a U.S. Treasury Note with a book value of \$1,005 and a fair value of \$1,007 to the state regulatory authority to satisfy the requirement. We report the certificate of deposit in other long-term investments, while we report the U.S. Treasury Note in fixed maturities. To obtain the approval of our application to write policies in Massachusetts, we purchased a Massachusetts municipal bond with a par value of \$1,000 and assigned a tranche with a \$100 par value to the state regulatory authority during 2010. At March 31, 2011, the book value of the assigned tranche was \$104 and the fair value was \$99.

The table below summarizes our fixed maturities at March 31, 2011, by contractual maturity periods. Actual results may differ as issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, with or without penalties, prior to the contractual maturity of those obligations.

	March 31, 20 Cost or	March 31, 2011 Cost or		
	Amortized	Fair Value		
	Cost			
Due in one year or less	\$3,821	\$3,796		
Due after one year through five years	59,481	59,331		
Due after five years through ten years	17,972	17,788		
Due after ten years	12,024	11,852		
Total	\$93,298	\$92,767		

The following table summarizes our net investment income by major investment category:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Fixed maturities	\$494	\$963	
Equity securities	36	48	
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	4	37	
Net investment income	534	1,048	
Investment expenses	(60) (73)
Net investment income, less investment expenses	\$474	\$975	

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements March 31, 2011

March 31, 2

The following table presents an aging of our unrealized investment losses by investment class:

	Less Than T	welve Months Gross		Twelve Mor	nths or More Gross	
	Number of Securities*	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Number of Securities*	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
March 31, 2011						
U.S. government and agency securities	18	\$149	\$21,616		\$—	\$—
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	10	252	8,981		_	
Corporate securities	27	284	29,751			
Redeemable preferred stocks				6	31	778
Total fixed maturities	55	685	60,348	6	31	778
Common stocks	11	46	397	4	41	501
Nonredeemable preferred stock	s —			4	33	572
Total equity securities	11	46	397	8	74	1,073
Total	66	\$731	\$60,745	14	\$105	\$1,851
December 31, 2010						
U.S. government and agency securities	7	\$65	\$9,611		\$—	\$—
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	13	336	11,951			_
Corporate securities			—		—	
Redeemable preferred stocks				6	47	763
Total fixed maturities	20	401	21,562	6	47	763
Common stocks	19	17	810	4	43	423
Nonredeemable preferred stock	s —			4	38	567
Total equity securities	19	17	810	8	81	990
Total	39	\$418	\$22,372	14	\$128	\$1,753

* This amount represents the actual number of discrete securities, not the number of shares of those securities. The number is not presented in thousands.

During the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, we did not record any other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges. We have never recorded an OTTI charge on our debt-security investments.

During our first quarter 2011 evaluations of our securities for impairment, we determined that none of our investments in debt and equity securities that reflected an unrealized loss position were other-than-temporarily impaired. The issuers of our debt securities continue to make interest payments on a timely basis and have not suffered any credit rating reductions. We do not intend to sell nor is it likely that we would be required to sell the debt securities before we recover our amortized cost basis. All the issuers of the equity securities we own had promising near-term prospects that indicated we could recover our cost basis, and that we also have the ability and the intent to hold these securities until their value exceeds their cost.

The following table presents the fair value measurements of our financial instruments by level at March 31, 2011:

March 31, 2011 U.S. government and agency securities	Total \$42,867	Level 1 \$—	Level 2 \$42,867	Level 3 \$—
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	13,074		13,074	—
Corporate securities	36,048		36,048	
Redeemable preferred stocks	778	778		
Total fixed maturities	92,767	778	91,989	—
Common stocks	3,114	3,114		
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	572	572		_
Total equity securities	3,686	3,686		
Other long-term investments	300	300		_
Total investments	\$96,753	\$4,764	\$91,989	\$—
December 31, 2010				
U.S. government and agency securities	\$32,895	\$ —	\$32,895	\$—
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	12,979	—	12,979	
Corporate securities	4,047	—	4,047	—
Redeemable preferred stocks	762	762		
Total fixed maturities	50,683	762	49,921	
Common stocks	3,048	3,048		
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	567	567		
Total equity securities	3,615	3,615		
Other long-term investments	300	300		_
Total investments	\$54,598	\$4,677	\$49,921	\$—

For our investments in U.S. government securities that do not have prices in active markets, agency securities, state and municipal governments, and corporate bonds, we obtain the fair values from Synovus Trust Company, NA, which uses a third-party valuation service. In our case, the valuation service calculates prices for our investments in the aforementioned security types on a month-end basis by using several matrix-pricing methodologies that incorporate inputs from various sources. The model the valuation service uses to price U.S. government securities and securities of states and municipalities incorporates inputs from active market makers and inter-dealer brokers. To price corporate bonds and agency securities, the valuation service calculates non-call yield spreads on all issuers, uses option-adjusted yield spreads to account for any early redemption features, then adds final spreads to the U.S. Treasury curve at 3 p.m. (ET) as of quarter end. A special cash-discounting yield/price routine then calculates the prices. Since the inputs the valuation service uses in their calculations are not quoted prices in active markets, but are observable inputs, they represent Level 2 inputs.

UNITED INSURANCE HOLDINGS CORP. Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements March 31, 2011 We have 7,077,375 warrants outstanding and each warrant can be exercised for one share of common stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the warrants were anti-dilutive; therefore, we did not include the shares associated with the warrants when we calculated the diluted weighted-average shares outstanding.

During 2010 we had 350,000 unit purchase options outstanding; each unit consisted of a share of common stock and a warrant to purchase a share of common stock. On October 4, 2010, the unit purchase option expired.

6)REINSURANCE

We follow industry practice of reinsuring a portion of our risks. Reinsurance involves transferring, or "ceding", all or a portion of the risk exposure on policies we write to another insurer, known as a reinsurer. To the extent that our reinsurers are unable to meet the obligations they assume under our reinsurance contracts, we remain liable for the entire insured loss.

Our catastrophe reinsurance contracts provide us coverage against severe weather events. We entered into excess-of-loss contracts with a group of private reinsurers and with the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund. The private contracts provide coverage against severe weather events such as hurricanes, tropical storms and tornadoes. The contract with the FHCF only provides coverage against storms that the National Hurricane Center designates as a hurricane at landfall. We made no changes to the terms of our current reinsurance contracts as we disclosed those terms in our 2010 Form 10-K.

For the Garage program that we discontinued as of May 31, 2009, we entered into quota share reinsurance contracts for policy years prior to the 2009-2010 policy year, but we did not enter into any new quota share contracts after July 31, 2009. We recognized commission revenue on our previous quota share contracts totaling \$30 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2010.

We write flood insurance under contract with the National Flood Insurance Program. We cede 100% of the premiums written and the related risk of loss. We earn commissions for the issuance of flood policies based upon a fixed percentage of net written premiums and the processing of flood claims based upon a fixed percentage of incurred losses, and we can earn additional commissions by meeting certain growth targets for the number of in-force policies. We recognized commission revenue from our flood program of \$81 and \$234 for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

We amortize our prepaid reinsurance premiums over the annual contract period, and we record that amortization in Ceded Premiums Earned on our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The table below summarizes the amounts of our ceded premiums written under the various types of contracts, as well as the amortization of prepaid reinsurance premiums:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	
Excess-of-loss	\$953	\$243	
Quota share	(112) 9	
Flood	(2,079) (1,777)
Ceded premiums written	\$(1,238) \$(1,525)
Increase (decrease) in ceded unearned premiums	(20,020) (21,868)
Ceded premiums earned	(21,258) (23,393)

During the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011, and 2010, we recognized recoveries totaling \$1,172 and \$1,341, respectively, under our reinsurance agreements.

7) POLICY ASSUMPTIONS

We are not a reinsurance entity; however, we occasionally supplement the natural growth of our book of business by assuming policies.

We conducted three policy assumptions under a 2008 assumption agreement with Citizens Property Insurance Corporation that terminated during the first quarter of 2010. For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2010, we recorded \$(17) of written premium assumed and \$23 of assumed commissions incurred on those policies.

On July 1, 2010, we assumed all of Sunshine State Insurance Company's in-force homeowners policies in South Carolina. Unlike the assumptions we made from Citizens, policyholders cannot "opt out" of our assumption from Sunshine State. For the

right to assume and renew their in-force homeowners policies in South Carolina, we agreed to pay Sunshine State \$300, plus as much as an additional \$700 depending upon the renewal rate of the policies we assumed. Based on an analysis of our historical renewal rates for similar policies, we initially recorded an intangible asset of \$860, representing the amount we ultimately expected to pay Sunshine State for the renewal right. At March 31, 2011, we made no change to the \$839 value of the intangible asset after our quarterly evaluation of renewal rates indicated that the actual renewal rate had not materially changed from what we estimated at the end of 2010. We determined that we will amortize the intangible asset over five years, representing the amount of time we expect the assumed policies to provide us a benefit. During the the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded amortization totaling \$73.

On March 8, 2011, we assumed 5,912 policies from Citizens, representing in-force premium totaling approximately \$10,556 under a new assumption agreement. We recorded approximately \$6,002 of written premium assumed, as well as approximately \$105 of assumed commissions incurred. The amount of written premium assumed and assumed commissions expense we record related to our policy assumptions from Citizens can be affected by policyholder "opt-outs", policy endorsements and cancellations; however, under current regulations, policyholders have more limited conditions under which they can opt-out when compared to previous assumption programs. As was the case with the assumptions we conducted under the 2008 assumption agreement with Citizens, this current assumption agreement does not allow for any bonuses related to policies assumed.

8)LONG-TERM DEBT

Our long-term debt at March 31, 2011 consists of the note payable to the Florida State Board of Administration described in Note 12(a) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our 2010 Form 10-K. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we owed \$17,941 and \$18,235, respectively, on the note, and the interest rate was 3.63% and 2.77%, respectively. All other terms and conditions of the note remain as described in our 2010 Form 10-K.

9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

a)Litigation

We are involved in claims-related legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. We accrue amounts resulting from claims-related legal actions in unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses during the period that we determine an unfavorable outcome becomes probable and we can estimate the amounts. Management makes revisions to our estimates based on its analysis of subsequent information that we receive regarding various factors, including: (i) per claim information; (ii) company and industry historical loss experience; (iii) judicial decisions and legal developments in the awarding of damages, and (iv) trends in general economic conditions, including the effects of inflation. We are not currently involved in any material non-claims-related litigation.

On August 5, 2010, Synovus Bank (Synovus), a Georgia banking corporation filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in and for Pinellas County, Florida against several defendants, including UIHC and UIH. With respect to UIHC and UIH, the complaint: (1) sought to foreclose on a security interest in membership units of UIH owned by a UIH shareholder named as a defendant, including the proceeds thereof (the United Collateral), (2) sought a declaratory judgment requiring UIHC and UIH to deliver proceeds of the United Collateral to Synovus (including shares of UIHC and warrants to purchase shares of UIHC or the equivalent value thereof in cash, and a

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

cash distribution), (3) alleged tortious interference with a contract between the UIH shareholder named as a defendant and Synovus relating to the United Collateral, (4) sought conversion of the United Collateral, and (5) alleged negligence in connection with the delivery of the United Collateral. Synovus sought unspecified damages and other relief in connection with the foregoing.

On January 3, 2011, Synovus Bank voluntarily dismissed its case without prejudice against UIH and UIHC. We did not establish any reserves regarding this action because we were not able to predict the probable outcome of the action.

b)Other

Our note payable, as amended, requires us to meet certain covenants, the violation of which could cause an event of default. Among others, these covenants include maintaining statutory surplus in UPC equal to or greater than \$50,000 less repayments of principal on the note and less payments of catastrophic losses, refraining from the payment of dividends when principal and/or interest payments related to the note are past due, and maintaining a minimum writing ratio. We contributed \$4,865 of capital to UPC during 2010. We were in compliance with the terms of the covenants at March 31, 2011.

UNITED INSURANCE HOLDINGS CORP. Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements March 31, 2011

10) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Effective October 8, 2003, we entered into an investment-management agreement with Synovus Trust Company, NA. The agreement remains in effect until terminated by either party. Synovus Financial Corporation (Synovus) owns Synovus Trust, which provides investment-management services for the investment accounts of our subsidiaries. Synovus owned 14.6% of our common stock outstanding at March 31, 2011. Our subsidiaries incurred combined fees under the agreement of \$47 and \$59 for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In February 2010, we paid the remaining principal balance of \$4,327 to Columbus Bank & Trust, a bank owned by Synovus. Under the loan agreement, we incurred interest of \$19 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2010. CB&T charged us standard industry interest rates.

On September 29, 2008, we issued notes payable to two of our former stockholders as well as a note payable to United Noteholders, LLC, which is owned in part by one of our directors and is managed by two of our other directors. All three notes were part of the note agreement we entered into on August 15, 2008, with various accredited investors. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2010, total interest incurred related to these notes was \$222, and total discount amortized related to these notes was \$49. We paid these notes in full on May 5, 2010.

Our Chairman of the Board is also a director of Prime Holdings Insurance Services, Inc. On May 4, 2010, we received the final payment of \$402 on the note receivable from Prime.

Effective March 30, 2011, UPC purchased \$2,250 of up to \$3,000 aggregate principal amount of promissory notes offered by Hamilton Risk Management Co., a Florida corporation engaged in the business of providing automobile insurance in Florida through its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The HRM notes bear interest at the rate of two percent per annum. All outstanding principal of and interest on the HRM notes is due on March 30, 2014. In consideration for its purchase of the HRM notes, UPC received a Class A limited partnership interest in Acadia Acquisition Partners, L.P., the parent company of Hamilton Risk Management. After repayment of approximately \$18,000 of indebtedness of Hamilton Risk Management, which includes the HRM notes, and distributions to partners for payment of taxes on profits of the partnership, the limited partners of Acadia Acquisition Partners may receive operating

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

distributions from the partnership. Our director, James R. Zuhlke, is acting as Executive Chairman of Hamilton Risk Management on an interim basis for which he will receive consulting fees. Our director, Larry G. Swets, Jr. is one of two managers of the limited liability company that serves as general partner of Acadia Acquisition Partners. Mr. Swets will not receive compensation for acting as a manager of the general partner absent the written consent of its sole member, Kingsway America Inc. Mr. Swets serves as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Kingsway America Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Kingsway Financial Services, Inc. Kingsway America Inc. owns a Class B limited partnership interest in Acadia Acquisition Partners and is the sole member of the general partner of Acadia Acquisition Partners. We bifurcated the cash consideration of \$2,250 by allocating \$1,948 to the note receivable based on its fair value (using a discounted cash flow model) and allocating the residual amount of \$302 to our limited partnership interest. We will amortize the discount on the note using the effective interest method over the three-year life of the note.

11) REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS

The insurance industry is heavily-regulated. State laws and regulations, as well as national regulatory agency requirements, govern the operations of all insurers such as UPC. The various laws and regulations require that insurers maintain minimum amounts of statutory surplus and risk-based capital, they restrict insurers' ability to pay dividends, they specify allowable investment types and investment mixes, and they subject insurers to assessments. At March 31, 2011, UPC met all regulatory

requirements of the states in which it operates, and it did not incur any assessments during the three-month period ended March 31, 2011.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners published risk-based capital standards for insurance companies that are designed to assess capital adequacy and to raise the level of protection that statutory surplus provides for policyholders. Most states, including Florida, have adopted the NAIC standards, and insurers having less statutory surplus than required will be subject to varying degrees of regulatory action, depending on the level of capital inadequacy. State regulatory authorities could require an insurer to cease operations in the event the insurer fails to maintain the required statutory capital.

Florida law permits an insurer to pay dividends or make distributions out of that part of statutory surplus derived from net operating profit and net realized capital gains. The law further provides calculations to determine the amount of dividends or distributions that can be made without the prior approval of the state regulatory authority and the amount of dividends or distributions that would require prior approval of the regulatory authority. Statutory risk-based capital requirements may further restrict UPC's ability to pay dividends or make distributions if the amount of the intended dividend or distribution would cause statutory surplus to fall below minimum risk-based capital requirements.

Because UPC issued a surplus note as defined by statutory accounting principles, UPC is subject to the authority of the Insurance Commissioner of the State of Florida with regard to its ability to repay principal and interest on the surplus note. Any payment of principal or interest requires permission from the state regulatory authority. We remitted principal payments totaling \$294 during the three-month period ended March 31, 2011.

Our insurance subsidiary's assets, liabilities and results of operations have been reported in accordance with GAAP, which varies from statutory accounting prescribed or permitted by the NAIC, state laws and regulations, as well as by general industry practices. The following items are principal differences between statutory accounting and GAAP:

Statutory accounting requires that we exclude certain assets, called non-admitted assets, from the balance sheet.

Statutory accounting requires us to expense policy acquisition costs when incurred, while GAAP allows us to defer and amortize policy acquisition costs over the estimated life of the policies.

Statutory accounting requires that we calculate deferred income taxes differently than we would under GAAP.

Statutory accounting requires that certain investments be recorded at cost or amortized cost, while other investments are recorded at fair value; however, GAAP requires all investments to be reported at fair value.

Statutory accounting requires that surplus notes, also known as surplus debentures, be recorded in statutory surplus, while GAAP requires us to record surplus notes as a liability.

Our insurance subsidiary must file with applicable state insurance regulatory authorities an "Annual Statement" which reports, among other items, net income (loss) and surplus as regards policyholders, which is called stockholder's equity under GAAP. Surplus as regards policyholders was \$48,196 and \$48,495 at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Statutory net loss at our insurance subsidiary was \$1,001 and \$4,953 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

12) ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

We report changes in other comprehensive income items within comprehensive income on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, and we include accumulated other comprehensive income as a component of stockholders' equity on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below details the components of accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2011:

	Before-Tax Amount Tax Benefit		Net-of-Tax		
	Amount		Tax Delletti	Amount	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$(352)	\$136	\$(216)
Changes in net unrealized loss on investments	(62)	23	\$(39)
Balance at March 31, 2011	(414)	159	(255)

13) EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

On March 25, 2010, our Board of Directors declared a \$0.05 per share dividend. We paid the \$529 dividend on April 15, 2010 to shareholders of record on March 31, 2010.

14) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We evaluate all subsequent events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure in our financial statements. No matters require disclosure.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as of March 31, 2011, and for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011 (Form 10-Q) or in documents that are incorporated by reference that are not historical fact are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Reform Litigation Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include statements about anticipated growth in revenues, earnings per share, estimated unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (unpaid losses) on insurance policies, investment returns and expectations about our liquidity. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industry and market in which we operate, and management's beliefs and assumptions. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, words such as "may," "will," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "could," "would," "estimate," or "continue negative other variations thereof or comparable terminology are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. The risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, uncertainties related to estimates, assumptions and projections relating to unpaid losses and other accounting policies, losses and loss adjustment expenses (losses) and in other estimates, assumptions and projections contained in this Form 10-Q; inflation and other changes in economic conditions (including changes in interest rates and financial markets); the impact of new regulations adopted in Florida which affect the property and casualty insurance market; the costs of reinsurance; assessments charged by various governmental agencies; pricing competition and other initiatives by competitors; our ability to obtain regulatory approval for requested rate changes and the timing thereof; legislative and regulatory developments; the outcome of litigation pending against us, including the terms of any settlements; risks related to the nature of our business; dependence on investment income and the composition of our investment portfolio; the adequacy of our liability for losses and loss adjustment expense; insurance agents; claims experience; ratings by industry services; catastrophe losses; our ability to maintain compliance with financial covenants in our debt agreements; reliance on key personnel; weather conditions (including the severity and frequency of storms, hurricanes, tornadoes and hail); and acts of war and terrorist activities. For additional information, see "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2010 Form 10-K) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 17, 2011.

We caution you not to place reliance on these forward-looking statements, which are valid only as of the date in this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information or the occurrence of unanticipated events or otherwise. In addition, we prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP); which prescribes when we may reserve for particular risks, including litigation exposures. Accordingly, our results for a given reporting period could be significantly affected if and when we establish a reserve for a major contingency. Therefore, the results we report in certain accounting periods may appear to be volatile.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

When we prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in conformity with GAAP, we must make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts we report. We continuously evaluate these estimates and assumptions based on a variety of factors; therefore, actual results could be materially different than our estimates and assumptions if changes in one or more factors require us to make accounting adjustments. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, we reassessed our critical accounting policies and estimates as disclosed within our 2010 Form 10-K; we have made no material changes or additions with

regard to such policies and estimates.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-26, Financial Services—Insurance (Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts. The amendments in ASU No. 2010-26 address diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral; they clarify which costs should be deferred and which costs should be expensed when incurred. The amendments in ASU No. 2010-26 become effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Since we already record deferred acquisition costs as specified by the amendments, we do not expect that our adoption of ASU No. 2010-26 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

OUR BUSINESS

Through UIH, our wholly-owned subsidiary, and UIH's three wholly-owned subsidiaries, we write and service property and casualty insurance policies in Florida and South Carolina. The three subsidiaries of UIH, each incorporated under Florida law, include United Property and Casualty Insurance Company (UPC), which writes insurance policies; United Insurance Management, L.C. (UIM), the managing general agent that manages substantially all aspects of UPC's business; and Skyway Claims Services, LLC (SCS), a claims adjusting company that provides services to UPC.

We offer standardized policies for a broad range of exposures, and our policies include coverage options for standard single-family homeowners, tenants (renters), and condominium unit owners. We also write flood policies, on which we earn a commission while retaining no risk of loss, in all states in which we write our other products.

Though we have authorization to write a commercial line of business in Florida that includes auto and multi-peril coverage, we do not currently write such policies.

Effective June 1, 2010, the South Carolina state regulatory authority approved UPC to write and service property and casualty lines in South Carolina. On July 1, 2010, we began writing our policies in South Carolina, and we also assumed a book of business from Sunshine State Insurance Company representing \$5,294 of in-force homeowner premium in South Carolina. We began operating in South Carolina so that we could begin to reduce our geographic concentration of exposure to catastrophic losses, and the assumption from Sunshine State and subsequent renewals of South Carolina policies will also reduce our geographic concentration of credit risk.

To reach a broad range of prospective policyholders, we use numerous agents to produce policies for us, and we also assume policies from Citizens Property Insurance Corporation. We refer to policies produced by our agents as direct policies or direct business. Direct policies and policies assumed from Sunshine State represent 81% of our homeowner policies in force at March 31, 2011, and we assumed the remaining 19% from Citizens under various assumption programs. At March 31, 2011, we had approximately 89,000 homeowner policyholders.

On January 6, 2011, the Massachusetts state regulatory authority approved UPC to write and service property and casualty lines in Massachusetts. We are currently making preparations to begin writing policies in Massachusetts during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The Florida state regulatory authority recently approved UPC's application for an average 15.9% rate increase that we expect to implement on Florida policies during the second quarter of 2011. Applying a rate increase to all policies that were in force on the date the increase became effective takes as long as a year, and then we must recognize the increased premium pro rata over 12 months; therefore, rate increases may take as long as two years to fully impact net income. The rate increases we implemented in September 2009 and March 2010 have now been applied to all policies in force, but their effect will continue to impact earned premium into the first quarter of 2012.

On March 8, 2011, we assumed 5,912 policies from Citizens, representing in-force premium totaling approximately \$10,556 under a new assumption agreement. We recorded approximately \$6,002 of written premium assumed, as well as approximately \$105 of assumed commissions incurred. As was the case with the assumptions we conducted under the 2008 assumption agreement with Citizens, this current assumption agreement does not allow for any bonuses related to policies assumed.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and related notes, and in conjunction with the section entitled MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS included within our 2010 Form 10-K.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION FOR MARCH 31, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

Total Investments

We classify all of our investments as available-for-sale. Our investments at March 31, 2011 and at December 31, 2010 consist mainly of U.S. government and agency securities and securities of high-quality corporate issuers. Most of the corporate bonds we hold were issued by companies in the energy, consumer products, healthcare, technology and telecommunications industries. At March 31, 2011, approximately 98% of our fixed maturities are U.S. Treasuries or corporate bonds rated "A" or better and 2% are corporate bonds rated "BBB". Our equity holdings reflect a similar diversification, as most of our holdings were issued by companies in the energy, healthcare, industrial, utilities, consumer products and technology sectors.

At March 31, 2011, our investment portfolio reflected \$414 of net unrealized losses compared to \$352 of net unrealized losses at December 31, 2010. See <u>Note 4</u> for more detailed information regarding the composition of our unrealized gains and unrealized losses. We evaluated all securities in an unrealized loss position using the criteria discussed in Note 3(b) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Form 10-K and we determined that none were other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011.

As required by various state regulatory authorities, we have placed securities on deposit with those regulatory authorities to secure the payment of our claims. We placed a \$300 certificate of deposit, which automatically renews every twelve months and which we recorded in our other long-term investments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, on deposit with the Florida state regulatory authority. We placed a \$1,007 fixed maturity and a \$99 fixed maturity on deposit with the South Carolina and the Massachusetts state regulatory authorities, respectively, and we recorded these securities in our fixed maturities at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 COMPARED TO QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

Gross Premiums Written

Gross premiums written increased \$15,208 primarily for two reasons. We wrote 7,723 new policies in the first quarter of 2011 versus 2,529 new policies during the same period of 2010; the additional policies resulted in \$8,828 of the total variance in gross premiums written. We also assumed 5,912 policies from Citizens, with assumed premium written of \$6,002, while we did not assume policies in the first quarter of 2010.

Gross Premiums Earned

Our gross premiums earned increased because we wrote more policies in the fourth quarter of 2010 and first quarter of 2011 than we did in the comparable periods in the prior years.

Ceded Premiums Earned

Ceded premiums earned decreased during the first quarter of 2011 because our adjusted reinsurance premiums decreased from \$85,362 on our 2009-2010 excess-of-loss reinsurance contracts to \$80,707 premium on our 2010-2011 excess-of-loss reinsurance contracts. In addition, we recorded a \$762 increase in reinsurance premium on prior-year

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

contracts during the first quarter of 2010, but we did not make any adjustments during the first quarter of 2011.

Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Losses and loss adjustment expenses decreased \$4,085 because we experienced fewer water-related claims and large fires than in the same period last year. We also settled and paid a number of claims for amounts totaling \$1,164 less than we had reserved for those claims at December 31, 2010. The positive trends were partially offset by \$653 in losses resulting from a severe storm at the end of March 2011.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses increased \$705 because we incurred a \$186 increase in personnel costs, a \$342 increase in underwriting costs related to the increased writings in the first quarter of the year and a \$179 increase in actuarial costs related to our 2010 annual reserve review.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$937 because we retired our \$4,327 Columbus Bank and Trust note in February 2010 and we retired our \$18,280 merger-related notes in May 2010. The merger-related notes were due to mature on September 29, 2011.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Tax

We recorded a provision of \$602 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011 compared to a benefit of \$2,323 for the three-month period ended March 31, 2010. The first quarter's provision increased because our income before income taxes increased.

Our effective rate for the quarter decreased to 34.9% from 38.6% for the same period last year because we are currently in a deferred tax asset position which has the beneficial effect of reducing our current tax expense and our effective tax rate. We expect our deferred tax assets to be taxed next year at a 35% rate when the temporary differences reverse. In addition, our effective tax rate is lower because our insurance subsidiary's income from its South Carolina business is not subject to state income tax.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We generate cash through premium collections, reinsurance recoveries, investment income and the sale or maturity of invested assets. We use our cash to pay claims and related costs, policy acquisition costs, salaries and employee benefits, other expenses and stockholder dividends, as well as to purchase investments.

We do not conduct any business operations of our own; UPC, UIM and SCS conduct the business operations of the consolidated group. As a result, we rely on cash dividends or intercompany loans from UIM to pay our general and administrative expenses. State regulatory authorities in the states in which we operate heavily regulate UPC, including restricting any dividends paid by UPC and requiring approval of any management fee UPC pays to UIM for services rendered; however, nothing restricts our non-insurance company subsidiaries from paying us dividends other than state corporate laws regarding solvency. Our non-insurance company subsidiaries may therefore pay us dividends from any positive net cash flows that they generate. UIM pays us dividends primarily using cash from the collection of management fees from UPC, pursuant to a management agreement in effect between those entities.

During the first quarter of 2011, our operations generated cash of \$15,050, or approximately 148% more than during the same period in 2010. The change in cash generated by our operating activities resulted primarily because we collected \$5,413 more in premiums from our policyholders and paid \$3,606 less in losses to our policyholders during the first quarter of 2011 than during the first quarter of 2010.

In January 2011, we paid the final installment of \$14,398 on our 2010-2011 reinsurance contracts.

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

During the first quarter of 2011, our investing activities used \$42,339 of cash. In the first quarter of 2010, we were selling investments, whereas in the first quarter of the current year, we continued to reinvest the excess cash generated by the sales of securities late in 2010.

During the first quarter of 2011, our financing activities provided \$2,757 of cash because our bank overdrafts increased \$3,051 while we only made debt payments totaling \$294. In the same period of the prior year, our financing activities used \$7,434 because we retired a debt totaling \$4,327 and because we decreased our bank overdrafts by \$2,813.

The note payable to Florida's State Board of Administration requires UPC to maintain statutory surplus equal to or greater than \$50,000 less repayments of principal on the SBA note and less payments of catastrophic losses. We monitor the surplus as to policyholders at UPC each quarter and, for various reasons, we occasionally provide additional capital to UPC. We currently

do not foresee a need for any material contributions of capital to UPC; however, any future contributions of capital will depend on circumstances at the time.

Our SBA note requires that we maintain a 2:1 ratio of net written premium to surplus (the SBA note agreement defines surplus as the \$20,000 of capital contributed to UPC under the agreement plus the outstanding balance of the note) or a 6:1 ratio of gross written premium to surplus to avoid additional interest penalties. Should we fail to exceed either a net writing ratio of 1.5:1 or a gross writing ratio of 4.5:1, our interest rate will increase by 450 basis points above the stated rate of the note. Any other writing ratio deficiencies result in an interest rate penalty of 25 basis points above the stated rate of the note.

Our SBA note further provides that the SBA may, among other things, declare its loan immediately due and payable for all defaults existing under the SBA note; however, any payment is subject to approval by the state regulatory authority. At March 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the covenants of the SBA note.

In accordance with Florida law, UPC may pay dividends or make distributions out of that part of statutory surplus derived from its net operating profit and its net realized capital gains. The law further provides calculations to determine the amount of dividends or distributions that can be made without the prior approval of the state regulatory authority and the amount of dividends or distributions that would require prior approval of the regulatory authority. The risk-based capital guidelines published by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners may further restrict UPC's ability to pay dividends or make distributions if the amount of the intended dividend or distribution would cause surplus as to policyholders to fall below minimum risk-based capital guidelines. Most states, including Florida, have adopted the NAIC requirements, and insurers having less surplus as to policyholders than required will be subject to varying degrees of regulatory action, depending on the level of capital inadequacy. State regulatory authorities could require us to cease operations in the event we fail to maintain the statutory capital required. At March 31, 2011, UPC's surplus as to policyholders exceeded minimum risk-based capital requirements.

We record our financial statements in accordance with GAAP; which differs in some respects from reporting practices prescribed or permitted by state regulatory authorities. To retain our certificate of authority, Florida law requires UPC to maintain surplus as to policyholders equal to the greater of 10% of our total liabilities or \$4,000. At March 31, 2011, UPC's surplus as to policyholders was \$48,196, exceeding the minimum requirements. Florida law also requires UPC to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios, with which we were in compliance at March 31, 2011.

We believe our current capital resources, together with cash provided from our operations, will be sufficient to meet currently anticipated working capital requirements. We cannot provide assurance, however, that such will be the case in the future.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

At March 31, 2011, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

See <u>Note 10</u> to our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for a discussion of our related party transactions.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Since we are a smaller reporting company, we are not required to furnish this information.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. We designed our disclosure controls with the objective of ensuring we accumulate and communicate this information to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operations of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on our evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

During the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in claims-related legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. We accrue amounts resulting from claims-related legal actions in unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses during the period that we determine an unfavorable outcome becomes probable and we can estimate the amounts. Management makes revisions to our estimates based on its analysis of subsequent information that we receive regarding various factors, including: (i) per claim information; (ii) company and industry historical loss experience; (iii) judicial decisions and legal developments in the awarding of damages; and (iv) trends in general economic conditions, including the effects of inflation. We are not currently involved in any material non-claims-related litigation.

On August 5, 2010, Synovus Bank (Synovus), a Georgia banking corporation filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in and for Pinellas County, Florida against several defendants, including UIHC and UIH. With respect to UIHC and UIH, the complaint: (1) sought to foreclose on a security interest in membership units of UIH owned by a UIH shareholder named as a defendant, including the proceeds thereof (the United Collateral), (2) sought a declaratory judgment requiring UIHC and UIH to deliver proceeds of the United Collateral to Synovus (including shares of UIHC and warrants to purchase shares of UIHC or the equivalent value thereof in cash, and a cash distribution), (3) alleged tortious interference with a contract between the UIH shareholder named as a defendant and Synovus relating to the United Collateral, (4) sought conversion of the United Collateral, and (5) alleged negligence in connection with the delivery of the United Collateral. Synovus sought unspecified damages and other relief in connection with the foregoing.

On January 3, 2011, Synovus Bank voluntarily dismissed its case without prejudice against UIH and UIHC. We did not establish any reserves regarding this action because we were not able to predict the probable outcome of the action.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

No material changes have occurred in the risk factors that we disclosed in our 2010 Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 17, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities. During the first quarter of 2011, we did not sell any unregistered equity securities.

Working Capital Restrictions and Other Limitations on Payment of Dividends. Under Florida law, a domestic insurer may not pay any dividend or distribute cash or other property to its stockholders except out of that part of its available and accumulated capital and surplus funds which is derived from realized net operating profits on its business and net realized capital gains. A Florida domestic insurer may not make dividend payments or distributions to stockholders without prior approval of the state regulatory authority if the dividend or distribution would exceed the larger of (1) the lesser of (a) 10% of its capital surplus or (b) net income, not including realized capital gains, plus a two year carry forward, (2) 10% of capital surplus with dividends payable constrained to unassigned funds minus 25% of unrealized capital gains. At March 31,

Edgar Filing: PLANETOUT INC - Form 8-K

2011, we were in compliance with these requirements.

Repurchases. During the first quarter of 2011, we did not repurchase equity securities.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Reserved.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

UNITED INSURANCE HOLDINGS CORP.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Promissory Note dated March 30, 2011 issued by HRM Acquisition Corp. to United Property and Casualty Insurance Company
- 10.2 Note Purchase Agreement dated March 30, 2011 between HRM Acquisition Corp. and United Property and Casualty Insurance Company
- Agreement of Limited Partnership dated March 30, 2011 between Acadia GP, LLC (in its capacity as a 10.3 general partner of Acadia Acquisition Partners, L.P.) and limited partners (including United Property and Casualty Insurance Company)
- 10.4 PR-M Non-Bonus Assumption Agreement dated March 3, 2011 between Citizens Property Insurance Corporation and United Property and Casualty Insurance Company
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED INSURANCE HOLDINGS CORP.

Date:	May 11, 2011	By:	/s/ Donald J. Cronin Donald J. Cronin President & Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer and duly authorized officer)
Date:	May 11, 2011	By:	/s/ Joseph R. Peiso Joseph R. Peiso Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)