

NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND
Form DEF 14A
July 01, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement.
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).**
- Definitive Proxy Statement.
- Definitive Additional Materials.
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (NMZ)
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Notice of Annual Meeting

333 West Wacker Drive

of Shareholders

Chicago, Illinois 60606

June 30, 2015

(800) 257-8787

August 5, 2015

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Income Fund (NEA)

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (NUW)

Nuveen Build America Bond Fund (NBB)

Nuveen Build America Bond Opportunity Fund (NBD)

Nuveen California Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXC)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAD)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NXZ)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZF)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Income Fund (NVG)

Nuveen Dow 30SM Dynamic Overwrite Fund (DIAX)

Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (NEV)

Nuveen Global High Income Fund (JGH)

Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQM)

Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (NMA)

Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (NMZ)

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI)

Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NMO)

Nuveen Municipal Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NIO)

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV)

Nuveen NASDAQ 100 Dynamic Overwrite Fund (QQQX)

Nuveen New York Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXN)

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPP)

Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NPF)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPI)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (NPM)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 4, Inc. (NPT)

Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQU)

Nuveen Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQI)

Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (NIM)

Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQS)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXP)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 2 (NXQ)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 3 (NXR)

To the Shareholders of the Above Funds:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of each of Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Income Fund (AMT-Free Income), Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (AMT-Free Value), Nuveen Build America Bond Fund (Build America), Nuveen Build America Bond Opportunity Fund (Build America Opportunity), Nuveen California Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (California Select), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Dividend Advantage), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (Dividend Advantage 2), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (Dividend Advantage 3), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Income Fund (Dividend Advantage Municipal), Nuveen Dow 38^M Dynamic Overwrite Fund (Dow Dynamic Overwrite), Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (Enhanced Value), Nuveen Global High Income Fund (Global High Income), Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (Municipal High Income), Nuveen NASDAQ 100 Dynamic Overwrite Fund (NASDAQ Dynamic Overwrite), Nuveen New York Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (New York Select), Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (Select Maturities), Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (Select Tax-Free), Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 2 (Select Tax-Free 2) and Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 3 (Select Tax-Free 3), each a **Massachusetts business trust** (each, a Massachusetts Fund and collectively, the Massachusetts Funds), and Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (Investment Quality), Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (Municipal Advantage), Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (Municipal Income), Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (Municipal Market Opportunity), Nuveen Municipal Opportunity Fund, Inc. (Municipal Opportunity), Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (Municipal Value), Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (Performance Plus), Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (Premier Income), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Premium Income), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (Premium Income 2), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 4, Inc. (Premium Income 4), Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Quality Income), Nuveen Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (Quality Municipal) and Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (Select Quality), each a **Minnesota corporation** (each, a Minnesota Fund and collectively, the Minnesota Funds) (the Massachusetts Funds and Minnesota Funds are each a Fund and collectively, the Funds), will be held in the offices of Nuveen Investments, Inc., 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on Wednesday, August 5, 2015, at 11:00 a.m., Central time (for each Fund, an Annual Meeting and collectively, the Annual Meetings), for the following purposes and to transact such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Matters to Be Voted on by Shareholders:

1. To elect Members to the Board of Directors/Trustees (each a Board and each Director or Trustee a Board Member) of each Fund as outlined below:
 - a. For each Minnesota Fund (except Municipal Income and Municipal Value), to elect eleven (11) Board Members.
 - i) nine (9) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class; and
 - ii) two (2) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Preferred Shares only, voting separately as a single class.
 - b. For Municipal Income, to elect three (3) Class II Board Members.

- c. For Municipal Value and each Massachusetts Fund (except AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income), to elect three (3) Class III Board Members.

- d. For AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income, to elect four (4) Board Members.
 - i) two (2) Class III Board Members to be elected by the holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class; and

 - ii) two (2) Board Members to be elected by the holders of Preferred Shares only, voting separately as a single class.

2. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on June 8, 2015 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting. In order to avoid delay and additional expense and to assure that your shares are represented, please vote as promptly as possible, regardless of whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You may vote by mail, telephone or over the Internet. To vote by mail, please mark, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy card. No postage is required if mailed in the United States. To vote by telephone, please call the toll-free number located on your proxy card and follow the recorded instructions, using your proxy card as a guide. To vote over the Internet, go to the Internet address provided on your proxy card and follow the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

If you intend to attend the Annual Meeting in person and you are a record holder of a Fund's shares, in order to gain admission you must show photographic identification, such as your driver's license. If you intend to attend the Annual Meeting in person and you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other custodian, in order to gain admission you must show photographic identification, such as your driver's license, and satisfactory proof of ownership of shares of a Fund, such as your voting instruction form (or a copy thereof) or broker's statement indicating ownership as of a recent date. If you hold your shares in a brokerage account or through a bank or other nominee, you will not be able to vote in person at the Annual Meeting unless you have previously requested and obtained a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee and present it at the Annual Meeting.

Kevin J. McCarthy

Vice President and Secretary

333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(800) 257-8787

Joint Proxy Statement

August 5, 2015

This Joint Proxy Statement is first being mailed to shareholders on or about July 2, 2015.

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Income Fund (NEA)

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (NUW)

Nuveen Build America Bond Fund (NBB)

Nuveen Build America Bond Opportunity Fund (NBD)

Nuveen California Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXC)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAD)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NXZ)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZF)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Income Fund (NVG)

Nuveen Dow 30SM Dynamic Overwrite Fund (DIAX)

Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (NEV)

Nuveen Global High Income Fund (JGH)

Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQM)

Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (NMA)

Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (NMZ)

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI)

Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NMO)

Nuveen Municipal Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NIO)

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV)

Nuveen NASDAQ 100 Dynamic Overwrite Fund (QQQX)

Nuveen New York Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXN)

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPP)

Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NPF)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPI)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (NPM)

Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 4, Inc. (NPT)

Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQU)

Nuveen Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQI)

Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (NIM)

Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQS)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (NXP)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 2 (NXQ)

Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 3 (NXR)

General Information

This Joint Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Trustees or Directors (each a **Board** and collectively, the **Boards**, and each Trustee or Director, a **Board Member** and collectively, the **Board Members**) of each of Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Income Fund (**AMT-Free Income**), Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (**AMT-Free Value**), Nuveen Build America Bond Fund (**Build America**), Nuveen Build America Bond Opportunity Fund (**Build America Opportunity**), Nuveen California Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (**California Select**), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (**Dividend Advantage**), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (**Dividend Advantage 2**), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (**Dividend Advantage 3**), Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Income Fund (**Dividend Advantage Municipal**), Nuveen Dow³⁰Dynamic Overwrite Fund (**Dow Dynamic Overwrite**), Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (**Enhanced Value**), Nuveen Global High Income Fund (**Global High Income**), Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (**Municipal High Income**), Nuveen NASDAQ 100 Dynamic Overwrite Fund (**NASDAQ Dynamic Overwrite**), Nuveen New York Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (**New York Select**), Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (**Select Maturities**), Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio (**Select Tax-Free**), Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 2 (**Select Tax-Free 2**) and Nuveen Select Tax-Free Income Portfolio 3 (**Select Tax-Free 3**), each a **Massachusetts business trust** (each, a **Massachusetts Fund** and collectively, the **Massachusetts Funds**), and Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Investment Quality**), Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (**Municipal Advantage**), Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (**Municipal Income**), Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (**Municipal Market Opportunity**), Nuveen Municipal Opportunity Fund, Inc. (**Municipal Opportunity**), Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (**Municipal Value**), Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Performance Plus**), Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (**Premier Income**), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Premium Income**), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 2, Inc. (**Premium Income 2**), Nuveen Premium Income Municipal Fund 4, Inc. (**Premium Income 4**), Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Quality Income**), Nuveen Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Quality Municipal**) and Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (**Select Quality**), each a **Minnesota corporation** (each, a **Minnesota Fund** and collectively, the **Minnesota Funds**) (the **Massachusetts Funds** and **Minnesota Funds** are each a **Fund** and collectively, the **Funds**), of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in the offices of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (**Nuveen** or **Nuveen Investments**), 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on Wednesday, August 5, 2015 at 11:00 a.m., Central time (for each Fund, an **Annual Meeting** and collectively, the **Annual Meetings**), and at any and all adjournments thereof.

On the matters coming before each Annual Meeting as to which a choice has been specified by shareholders on the proxy, the shares will be voted accordingly. If a properly executed proxy is returned and no choice is specified, the shares will be voted **FOR** the election of the nominees as listed in this Joint Proxy Statement. Shareholders of a Fund who execute proxies may revoke them at any time before they are voted by filing with that Fund a written notice of revocation, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. A prior proxy can also be revoked by voting again through the toll-free number or the Internet address listed in the proxy card. Merely attending the Annual Meeting, however, will not revoke any previously submitted proxy.

The Board of each Fund has determined that the use of this Joint Proxy Statement for each Annual Meeting is in the best interest of each Fund and its shareholders in light of the similar matters being considered and voted on by the shareholders.

The following table indicates which shareholders are solicited with respect to each matter:

Matter	Common Shares	Preferred Shares ⁽¹⁾
1(a)(i) For each Minnesota Fund (except Municipal Income and Municipal Value), election of nine (9) Board Members by all shareholders.	X	X
1(a)(ii) For each Minnesota Fund (except Municipal Income and Municipal Value), election of two (2) Board Members by holders of Preferred Shares only.		X
1(b) For Municipal Income, election of three (3) Class II Board Members by all shareholders.	X	N/A
1(c) For Municipal Value and each Massachusetts Fund (except AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income), election of three (3) Class III Board Members by all shareholders.	X	N/A
1(d)(i) For AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income, election of two (2) Class III Board Members by all shareholders.	X	X
1(d)(ii) For AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income, election of two (2) Board Members by holders of Preferred Shares only.		X

(1) Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) for AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 3, Investment Quality, Municipal High Income, Performance Plus, Premium Income and Quality Municipal; Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (iMTP Shares) for Dividend Advantage 3; and Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares) for AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage Municipal, Investment Quality, Municipal Advantage, Municipal Market Opportunity, Municipal Opportunity, Premier Income, Premium Income 2, Premium Income 4, Quality Income and Select Quality are collectively referred to herein as Preferred Shares.

A quorum of shareholders is required to take action at each Annual Meeting. A majority of the shares entitled to vote at each Annual Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum of shareholders at that Annual Meeting, except that for the election of the two Board Member nominees by holders of Preferred Shares (for AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal, Investment Quality, Municipal Advantage, Municipal High Income, Municipal Market Opportunity, Municipal Opportunity, Performance Plus, Premier Income, Premium Income, Premium Income 2, Premium Income 4, Quality Income, Quality Municipal and Select Quality), 33 1/3% of

the Preferred Shares entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy will constitute a quorum. Votes cast by proxy or in person at each Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for that Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election will determine whether or not a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election will treat abstentions and broker non-votes (i.e., shares held by brokers or nominees, typically in street name, as to which (i) instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and (ii) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power on a particular matter) as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

VRDP Shares held in street name as to which voting instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote as of one business day before the Annual Meeting, or, if adjourned, one business day before the day to which the Annual Meeting is adjourned, and that would otherwise be treated as broker non-votes may, pursuant to Rule 452 of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), be voted by the broker on the proposal in the same proportion as the votes cast by all holders of VRDP Shares as a class who have voted on the proposal or in the same proportion as the votes cast by all holders of VRDP Shares of the Fund who have voted on that item. Rule 452 permits proportionate voting of VRDP Shares with respect to a particular item if, among other things, (i) a minimum of 30% of the VRDP Shares or shares of a series of VRDP Shares outstanding has been voted by the holders of such shares with respect to such item, (ii) less than 10% of the VRDP Shares or shares of a series of VRDP Shares outstanding has been voted by the holders of such shares against such item and (iii) for any proposal as to which holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares vote as a single class, holders of Common Shares approve the proposal. For the purpose of meeting the 30% test, abstentions will be treated as shares voted and, for the purpose of meeting the 10% test, abstentions will not be treated as shares voted against the item.

Broker-dealers who are not members of the NYSE may be subject to other rules, which may or may not permit them to vote your shares without instruction. We urge you to provide instructions to your broker or nominee so that your votes may be counted.

For each Fund, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to elect the Board Members of that Fund. For purposes of determining the approval of the proposal to elect Board Members for each Fund, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect.

Those persons who were shareholders of record at the close of business on Monday, June 8, 2015 will be entitled to one vote for each share held and a proportionate fractional vote for each fractional vote held. As of June 8, 2015, the shares of the Funds were issued and outstanding as follows:

Fund	Ticker Symbol ⁽¹⁾	Common Shares	Preferred Shares	
AMT-Free Income	NEA	78,883,061	VMTP Series 2016	1,510
			VRDP Series 1	2,190
			VRDP Series 2	1,309
AMT-Free Value	NUW	13,333,215	N/A	
Build America	NBB	26,461,985	N/A	
Build America Opportunity	NBD	7,205,250	N/A	
California Select	NXC	6,278,907	N/A	

Fund	Ticker Symbol ⁽¹⁾	Common Shares	Preferred Shares	
Dividend Advantage	NAD	39,296,352	VMTP Series 2016	2,650
Dividend Advantage 2	NXZ	29,478,411	VRDP Series 2	1,960
Dividend Advantage 3	NZF	36,330,025	iMTP Series 2017	30,000
			VMTP Series 2017	810
Dividend Advantage Municipal	NVG	26,664,130	VRDP Series 1	1,790
Dow Dynamic Overwrite	DIAX	36,085,350	N/A	
Enhanced Value	NEV	21,097,018	N/A	
Global High Income	JGH	24,045,093	N/A	
Investment Quality	NQM	41,579,383	VMTP Series 2017	435
			VRDP Series 1	2,368
Municipal Advantage	NMA	39,327,667	VRDP Series 1	2,688
Municipal High Income	NMZ	50,084,682	VMTP Series 2016	510
			VMTP Series 2016-1	360
Municipal Income	NMI	8,297,071	N/A	
Municipal Market Opportunity	NMO	45,874,035	VRDP Series 1	3,509
Municipal Opportunity	NIO	95,610,971	VRDP Series 1	6,672
Municipal Value	NUV	205,627,646	N/A	
NASDAQ Dynamic Overwrite	QQQX	36,564,414	N/A	
New York Select	NXN	3,923,975	N/A	
Performance Plus	NPP	60,025,455	VMTP Series 2018	5,350
Premier Income	NPF	19,888,518	VRDP Series 1	1,277
Premium Income	NPI	64,060,043	VMTP Series 2018	4,070
Premium Income 2	NPM	70,692,851	VRDP Series 1	4,895
Premium Income 4	NPT	43,338,451	VRDP Series 1	2,622
Quality Income	NQU	48,941,182	VRDP Series 1	3,854
Quality Municipal	NQI	38,436,871	VMTP Series 2018	2,404
Select Maturities	NIM	12,442,881	N/A	
Select Quality	NQS	35,222,129	VRDP Series 1	2,675
Select Tax-Free	NXP	16,570,310	N/A	
Select Tax-Free 2	NXQ	17,713,727	N/A	
Select Tax-Free 3	NXR	13,045,560	N/A	

(1) The Common Shares of all of the Funds are listed on the NYSE, except Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income, which are listed on the NYSE MKT, and NASDAQ Dynamic Overwrite, which are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ).

1. Election of Board Members

Pursuant to the organizational documents of each Minnesota Fund, except Municipal Income and Municipal Value, under normal circumstances, holders of Preferred Shares are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members, and the remaining Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. The Board Members elected will be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors

have been duly elected and qualified. Pursuant to the organizational documents of each Massachusetts Fund and Municipal Income and Municipal Value, each Board is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, to be elected by the holders of the outstanding Common Shares and any outstanding Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class to serve until the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter, in each case until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. For AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income, each Massachusetts Fund with Preferred Shares outstanding, under normal circumstances, holders of Preferred Shares are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members. The Board Members elected by holders of Preferred Shares will be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

(a) For each Minnesota Fund, except Municipal Income and Municipal Value:

- (i) nine (9) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares, voting together as a single class. Board Members Adams, Evans, Kundert, Nelson, Schreier, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are nominees for election by all shareholders for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of shareholders or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.
- (ii) two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Preferred Shares, voting separately as a single class. Board Members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of Preferred Shares for a term expiring at the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

(b) For Municipal Income: three (3) Board Members are to be elected by all shareholders. Board Members Evans, Schneider and Schreier have been designated as Class II Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2018 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Adams, Hunter, Kundert, Nelson, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Hunter, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class III Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2016 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Adams, Kundert, Nelson and Toth have been designated as Class I Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2017 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

(c) For Municipal Value and each Massachusetts Fund, except AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income: three (3) Board Members are to be elected by all shareholders. Board Members Evans, Schneider and Schreier have been designated as Class III Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2018 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Adams, Hunter, Kundert, Nelson, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Hunter, Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class I Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2016 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Board Members Adams, Kundert, Nelson and Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2017 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

(d) For AMT-Free Income, Dividend Advantage, Dividend Advantage 2, Dividend Advantage 3, Dividend Advantage Municipal and Municipal High Income:

- (i) two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of Common Shares and Preferred Shares. During the period, the FDA may not accept for review an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA, or a 505(b)(2) NDA submitted by another company for another version of such drug where the applicant does not own or have a legal right of reference to all the data required for approval. However, an application may be submitted after four years if it contains a certification of patent invalidity or non-infringement. The FDCA also provides three years of marketing exclusivity for an NDA, 505(b)(2) NDA or supplement to an existing NDA if new clinical investigations, other than bioavailability studies, that were conducted or sponsored by the applicant are deemed by FDA to be essential to the approval of the application, for example, for new indications, dosages, or strengths of an existing drug. This three-year exclusivity covers only the conditions associated with the new clinical

Table of Contents

investigations and does not prohibit the FDA from approving ANDAs for drugs containing the original active agent. Five-year and three-year exclusivity will not delay the submission or approval of a full NDA; however, an applicant submitting a full NDA would be required to conduct or obtain a right of reference to all of the preclinical studies and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials necessary to demonstrate safety and effectiveness.

Pediatric exclusivity is another type of exclusivity in the U.S. Pediatric exclusivity, if granted, provides an additional six months to an existing exclusivity or statutory delay in approval resulting from a patent certification. This six-month exclusivity, which runs from the end of other exclusivity protection or patent delay, may be granted based on the voluntary completion of a pediatric study in accordance with an FDA-issued "Written Request" for such a study. The current pediatric exclusivity provision will sunset on October 1, 2012.

Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act which included the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA. The BPCIA amended the PHSA to create an abbreviated approval pathway for two types of "generic" biologics biosimilars and interchangeable biologic products, and provides for a twelve-year exclusivity period for the first approved biological product, or reference product, against which a biosimilar or interchangeable application is evaluated; however if pediatric studies are performed and accepted by the FDA, the twelve-year exclusivity period will be extended for an additional six months. A biosimilar product is defined as one that is highly similar to a reference product notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components and for which there are no clinically meaningful differences between the biological product and the reference product in terms of the safety, purity and potency of the product. An interchangeable product is a biosimilar product that may be substituted for the reference product without the intervention of the health care provider who prescribed the reference product.

The biosimilar applicant must demonstrate that the product is biosimilar based on data from (1) analytical studies showing that the biosimilar product is highly similar to the reference product; (2) animal studies (including toxicity); and (3) one or more clinical studies to demonstrate safety, purity and potency in one or more appropriate conditions of use for which the reference product is approved. In addition, the applicant must show that the biosimilar and reference products have the same mechanism of action for the conditions of use on the label, route of administration, dosage and strength, and the production facility must meet standards designed to assure product safety, purity and potency.

An application for a biosimilar product may not be submitted until four years after the date on which the reference product was first approved. The first approved interchangeable biologic product will be granted an exclusivity period of up to one year after it is first commercially marketed, but the exclusivity period may be shortened under certain circumstances.

In November 2010, the FDA held a 2-day public hearing on the approval pathway for biosimilar and interchangeable biological products. It has not yet issued any guidance on how the law will be implemented, but senior officials at FDA have indicated that the guidance will be available by the end of 2011.

Orphan Drug Designation

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to a drug intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the U.S., or more than 200,000 individuals in the U.S. and for which there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making available in the U.S. a drug for this type

Table of Contents

of disease or condition will be recovered from sales in the U.S. for that drug. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting an NDA or BLA. After the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in or shorten the duration of the regulatory review and approval process.

If a product that has orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first FDA approval for the disease for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan product exclusivity, which means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in very limited circumstances, for seven years. Orphan drug exclusivity, however, also could block the approval of one of our products for seven years if a competitor obtains approval of the same drug as defined by the FDA or if our product candidate is determined to be contained within the competitor's product for the same indication or disease.

The FDA also administers a clinical research grants program, whereby researchers may compete for funding to conduct clinical trials to support the approval of drugs, biologics, medical devices, and medical foods for rare diseases and conditions. A product does not have to be designated as an orphan drug to be eligible for the grant program. An application for an orphan grant should propose one discrete clinical study to facilitate FDA approval of the product for a rare disease or condition. The study may address an unapproved new product or an unapproved new use for a product already on the market.

The FDA granted Orphan Drug designation to our lorvotuzumab mertansine compound when used for the treatment of Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC), small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and multiple myeloma (MM). Orphan drug designation provides ImmunoGen with seven years of market exclusivity that begins once lorvotuzumab mertansine receives FDA marketing approval for the use for which the orphan drug status was granted. Also, through a separate process, lorvotuzumab mertansine has been granted orphan medicinal product designation for the treatment of MCC, SCLC and MM in the European Union. Orphan medicinal product designation provides ImmunoGen with ten years of market exclusivity that begins once lorvotuzumab mertansine receives European approval for the use for which it was granted. We may pursue these designations for other indications for lorvotuzumab mertansine, and for other product candidates intended for qualifying patient populations.

New Drugs for Serious or Life Threatening Illnesses

The FDA Modernization Act allows the designation of "Fast Track" status to expedite development of new drugs, including review and approvals, and is intended to speed the availability of new therapies to desperately ill patients. "Fast Track" procedures permit early consultation and commitment from the FDA regarding preclinical studies and clinical trials necessary to gain marketing approval. We may seek "Fast Track" status for some, or all, of our product candidates.

"Fast Track" status also incorporates initiatives announced by the President of the U.S. and the FDA Commissioner in March 1996 intended to provide cancer patients with faster access to new cancer therapies. One of these initiatives states that the initial basis for approval of anticancer agents to treat refractory, hard-to-treat cancer may be objective evidence of response, rather than statistically improved disease-free and/or overall survival, as had been common practice. The sponsor of a product approved under this accelerated mechanism is required to follow up with further studies on clinical safety and effectiveness in larger groups of patients.

Post-Approval Requirements

Once an approval is granted, the FDA may withdraw the approval if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur after the product reaches the market. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product may result in restrictions on the product or even

Table of Contents

complete withdrawal of the product from the market. After approval, some types of changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications, manufacturing changes and additional labeling claims, are subject to further FDA review and approval. Drug manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved drugs are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with cGMP and other laws. We rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of our products. Future FDA and state inspections may identify compliance issues at the facilities of our contract manufacturers that may disrupt production or distribution, or require substantial resources to correct.

Any drug products manufactured or distributed by us pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the drug, providing the FDA with updated safety and efficacy information, drug sampling and distribution requirements, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements, and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements. FDA strictly regulates labeling, advertising, promotion and other types of information on products that are placed on the market. Drugs may be promoted only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved label.

From time to time, legislation is drafted, introduced and passed in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the approval, manufacturing and marketing of products regulated by the FDA. For example, the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007, or FDAAA, gave the FDA enhanced postmarket authority, including the authority to require postmarket studies and clinical trials, labeling changes based on new safety information, and compliance with a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy approved by the FDA. Failure to comply with any requirements under FDAAA may result in significant penalties, and it authorizes significant civil money penalties for the dissemination of false or misleading direct-to-consumer advertisements. In addition, it expands the clinical trial registry so that sponsors of all clinical trials, except for Phase I trials, are required to submit certain clinical trial information for inclusion in the clinical trial registry data bank, including summary adverse effect information. FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the agency in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. It is impossible to predict whether further legislative changes will be enacted, or FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations changed or what the impact of such changes, if any, may be.

Foreign Regulation

In addition to regulations in the U.S., we will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials and approval of foreign countries or economic areas, such as the European Union, before we may market products in those countries or areas. The approval process and requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from place to place and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval.

Under European Union regulatory systems, a company may submit marketing authorization applications either under a centralized or decentralized procedure. The centralized procedure, which is compulsory for medicines produced by certain biotechnological processes, orphan drugs and products containing a new active substance intended for treatment of specific conditions/illnesses including cancer, provides for the grant of a single marketing authorization that is valid for all European Union member states. If a drug does not fall within a mandatory category, it may still be submitted to the centralized procedure if it contains a new active substance and constitutes a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation. Other new drugs without approval in any Member State, will follow

Table of Contents

the decentralized procedure which provides for approval by one or more other, or concerned, Member States of an assessment of an application performed by one Member State, known as the reference Member State. Under this procedure, an applicant submits an application, or dossier, and related materials (draft summary of product characteristics, draft labeling and package leaflet) to the reference Member State and concerned Member States. The reference Member State prepares a draft assessment and drafts of the related materials within 120 days after receipt of a valid application. Within 90 days of receiving the reference Member State's assessment report, each concerned Member State must decide whether to approve the assessment report and related materials. If a Member State cannot approve the assessment report and related materials on the grounds of potential serious risk to public health, the disputed points may eventually be referred to the European Commission, whose decision is binding on all Member States.

Reimbursement

Sales of pharmaceutical products depend in significant part on the availability of third-party reimbursement. Third-party payors include government healthcare programs, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. We anticipate third-party payors will provide reimbursement for our products. However, these third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price and examining the cost-effectiveness of medical products and services. In addition, significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved healthcare products. We may need to conduct expensive pharmacoeconomic studies in order to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of our products. Our product candidates may not be considered cost-effective. It is time consuming and expensive for us to seek reimbursement from third-party payors. Reimbursement may not be available or sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive and profitable basis.

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or the MMA, imposed new requirements for the distribution and pricing of prescription drugs for Medicare beneficiaries, and included a major expansion of the prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D. Under Part D, Medicare beneficiaries may enroll in prescription drug plans offered by private entities which provide coverage of outpatient prescription drugs. Part D plans include both stand-alone prescription drug benefit plans and prescription drug coverage as a supplement to Medicare Advantage plans. Unlike Medicare Part A and B, Part D coverage is not standardized. Part D prescription drug plan sponsors are not required to pay for all covered Part D drugs, and each drug plan can develop its own drug formulary that identifies which drugs it will cover and at what tier or level. However, Part D prescription drug formularies must include drugs within each therapeutic category and class of covered Part D drugs, though not necessarily all the drugs in each category or class. Any formulary used by a Part D prescription drug plan must be developed and reviewed by a pharmacy and therapeutic committee.

It is not clear what effect the MMA has had on the prices paid for currently approved drugs and the pricing options for future approved drugs. Government payment for some of the costs of prescription drugs may increase demand for products for which we receive marketing approval. However, any negotiated prices for our products covered by a Part D prescription drug plan will likely be lower than the prices we might otherwise obtain. Moreover, while the MMA applies only to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own payment rates. Any reduction in payment that results from the MMA may result in a similar reduction in payments from non-governmental payors.

We expect that there will continue to be a number of federal and state proposals to implement governmental pricing controls and limit the growth of healthcare costs, including the cost of prescription drugs. For example, in March 2010, President Obama signed one of the most significant health care reform measures in decades, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act, collectively referred to as the

Table of Contents

PPACA. The PPACA will significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry. The PPACA will require discounts under the Medicare drug benefit program and increased rebates on drugs covered by Medicaid. In addition, the PPACA imposes an annual fee, which will increase annually, on sales by branded pharmaceutical manufacturers starting in 2011. The financial impact of these discounts, increased rebates and fees and the other provisions of the PPACA on our business is unclear.

In addition, in some foreign countries, the proposed pricing for a drug must be approved before it may be lawfully marketed. The requirements governing drug pricing vary widely from country to country. For example, the European Union provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices of medicinal products for human use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market. There can be no assurance that any country that has price controls or reimbursement limitations for pharmaceutical products will allow favorable reimbursement and pricing arrangements for any of our products.

Research and Development Spending

During each of the three years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we spent approximately \$63.5 million, \$50.3 million and \$45.9 million, respectively, on research and development activities.

Raw Materials and Manufacturing

We procure certain raw material components of finished conjugate, including antibodies, DM1, DM4, and linker, for ourselves and on behalf of our collaborators. In order to meet our commitments to our collaborators as well as our own needs, we are required to enter into agreements with third parties to produce these components well in advance of our production needs. Our principal suppliers for these components include Cyto Vance Biologics LLC, SAFC, Inc. and Società Italiana Corticosteroidi S.r.l.

In addition, we operate a conjugate manufacturing facility. A portion of the cost of operating this facility, including the cost of manufacturing personnel, is reimbursed by our collaborators based on the number of batches of preclinical and clinical materials produced on their behalf. Over the past few years, we have expanded and upgraded the capabilities of our manufacturing facility.

Employees

As of June 30, 2011, we had 248 full-time employees, of whom 208 were engaged in research and development activities. Ninety-seven research and development employees hold post-graduate degrees, of which 48 hold Ph.D. degrees and four hold M.D. degrees. We consider our relations with our employees to be good. None of our employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

We have entered into confidentiality agreements with all of our employees, members of our board of directors and consultants. Further, we have entered into assignment of invention agreements with all of our employees.

Third-Party Trademarks

Herceptin® is a registered trademark of Genentech. Xeloda® is a registered trademark of Hoffman-La Roche Inc. Tykerb® is a registered trademark of the GlaxoSmithKline group. Rituxan® is a registered trademark of Biogen Idec Inc.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

THE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES DESCRIBED BELOW ARE THOSE THAT WE CURRENTLY BELIEVE MAY MATERIALLY AFFECT OUR COMPANY. ADDITIONAL RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES THAT WE ARE UNAWARE OF OR THAT WE CURRENTLY DEEM IMMATERIAL ALSO MAY BECOME IMPORTANT FACTORS THAT AFFECT OUR COMPANY.

We have a history of operating losses and expect to incur significant additional operating losses.

We have generated operating losses since our inception. As of June 30, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$430.6 million. For the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we generated losses of \$58.3 million, \$50.9 million and \$31.9 million, respectively. We may never be profitable. We expect to incur substantial additional operating expenses over the next several years as our research, development, preclinical testing, clinical trials and collaborator support activities continue. We intend to continue to invest significantly in our product candidates. Further, we expect to invest significant resources supporting our existing collaborators as they work to develop, test and commercialize TAP and other antibody compounds. We or our collaborators may encounter technological or regulatory difficulties as part of this development and commercialization process that we cannot overcome or remedy. We may also incur substantial marketing and other costs in the future if we decide to establish marketing and sales capabilities to commercialize our product candidates. None of our or our collaborators' product candidates has generated any commercial revenue and our only revenues to date have been primarily from upfront and milestone payments, research and development support and clinical materials reimbursement from our collaborative partners. We do not expect to generate revenues from the commercial sale of our internal product candidates in the near future, and we may never generate revenues from the commercial sale of internal products. Even if we do successfully develop products that can be marketed and sold commercially, we will need to generate significant revenues from those products to achieve and maintain profitability. Even if we do become profitable, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

If we are unable to obtain additional funding when needed, we may have to delay or scale back some of our programs or grant rights to third parties to develop and market our product candidates.

We will continue to expend substantial resources developing new and existing product candidates, including costs associated with research and development, acquiring new technologies, conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and manufacturing products as well as providing certain support to our collaborators in the development of their products. We believe that our current working capital and expected future payments from our existing collaboration arrangements will be sufficient to meet our current and projected operating and capital requirements through fiscal 2014. However, we may need additional financing sooner due to a number of factors including:

if either we incur higher than expected costs or we or any of our collaborators experience slower than expected progress in developing product candidates and obtaining regulatory approvals;

lower revenues than expected under our collaboration agreements; or

acquisition of technologies and other business opportunities that require financial commitments.

We anticipate that our current capital resources and expected future collaborator payments under existing collaborations will enable us to meet our operational expenses and capital expenditures through fiscal year 2014. However, we cannot provide assurance that such collaborative agreement funding will, in fact, be received. Should such future collaborator payments not be earned and paid as currently anticipated, we expect we could seek additional funding from other sources.

Additional funding may not be available to us on favorable terms, or at all. We may raise additional funds through public or private financings, collaborative arrangements or other

Table of Contents

arrangements. Debt financing, if available, may involve covenants that could restrict our business activities. If we are unable to raise additional funds through equity or debt financing when needed, we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate expenditures for some of our development programs or grant rights to develop and market product candidates that we would otherwise prefer to internally develop and market. If we are required to grant such rights, the ultimate value of these product candidates to us may be reduced.

If our TAP technology does not produce safe, effective and commercially viable products, our business will be severely harmed.

Our TAP technology yields novel product candidates for the treatment of cancer. To date, no TAP product candidate has obtained regulatory approval and the most advanced TAP product candidate is in Phase III clinical testing. Our TAP product candidates and/or our collaborators' TAP product candidates may not prove to be safe, effective or commercially viable treatments for cancer and our TAP technology may not result in any future meaningful benefits to us or for our current or potential collaborative partners. Furthermore, we are aware of only two compounds that are a conjugate of an antibody and a cytotoxic small molecule that have obtained approval by the FDA and are based on technology similar to our TAP technology. One of these products was later taken off the market by its owner due to toxicity concerns. If our TAP technology fails to generate product candidates that are safe, effective and commercially viable treatments for cancer, or fails to obtain FDA approval, our business will be severely harmed.

Clinical trials for our and our collaborative partners' product candidates will be lengthy and expensive and their outcome is uncertain.

Before obtaining regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any product candidates, we and our collaborative partners must demonstrate through clinical testing that our product candidates are safe and effective for use in humans. Conducting clinical trials is a time-consuming, expensive and uncertain process and typically requires years to complete. The most advanced product candidate incorporating our TAP technology is in Phase III clinical testing. In our industry, the results from preclinical studies and early clinical trials often are not predictive of results obtained in later-stage clinical trials. Some compounds that have shown promising results in preclinical studies or early clinical trials subsequently fail to establish sufficient safety and efficacy data necessary to obtain regulatory approval. At any time during the clinical trials, we, our collaborative partners, or the FDA might delay or halt any clinical trials of our product candidates for various reasons, including:

occurrence of unacceptable toxicities or side effects;

ineffectiveness of the product candidate;

insufficient drug supply;

negative or inconclusive results from the clinical trials, or results that necessitate additional studies or clinical trials;

delays in obtaining or maintaining required approvals from institutions, review boards or other reviewing entities at clinical sites;

delays in patient enrollment;

insufficient funding or a reprioritization of financial or other resources; or

other reasons that are internal to the businesses of our collaborative partners, which they may not share with us.

Table of Contents

Any failure or substantial delay in successfully completing clinical trials and obtaining regulatory approval for our product candidates or our collaborative partners' product candidates could severely harm our business.

We and our collaborative partners are subject to extensive government regulations and we and our collaborative partners may not be able to obtain necessary regulatory approvals.

We and our collaborative partners may not receive the regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize our product candidates, which would cause our business to be severely harmed. Pharmaceutical product candidates, including those in development by us and our collaborative partners, are subject to extensive and rigorous government regulation. The FDA regulates, among other things, the development, testing, manufacture, safety, record-keeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of pharmaceutical products. If our potential products or our collaborators' potential products are marketed abroad, they will also be subject to extensive regulation by foreign governments. None of our product candidates has been approved for sale in the U.S. or any foreign market. The regulatory review and approval process, which includes preclinical studies and clinical trials of each product candidate, is lengthy, complex, expensive and uncertain. Securing FDA approval requires the submission of extensive preclinical and clinical data and supporting information to the FDA for each indication to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Data obtained from preclinical studies and clinical trials are susceptible to varying interpretation, which may delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. The approval process may take many years to complete and may involve ongoing requirements for post-marketing studies. In light of the limited regulatory history of monoclonal antibody-based therapeutics, regulatory approvals for our or our collaborative partners' product candidates may not be obtained without lengthy delays, if at all. Any FDA or other regulatory approvals of our or our collaborative partners' product candidates, once obtained, may be withdrawn. The effect of government regulation may be to:

delay marketing of potential products for a considerable period of time;

limit the indicated uses for which potential products may be marketed;

impose costly requirements on our activities; and

place us at a competitive disadvantage to other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies.

We may encounter delays or rejections in the regulatory approval process because of additional government regulation from future legislation or administrative action or changes in FDA policy during the period of product development, clinical trials and FDA regulatory review. Failure to comply with FDA or other applicable regulatory requirements may result in criminal prosecution, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production or injunction, as well as other regulatory action against our product candidates or us. Outside the U.S., our ability to market a product is contingent upon receiving clearances from the appropriate regulatory authorities. The foreign regulatory approval process includes similar risks to those associated with the FDA approval process. In addition, we are, or may become, subject to various federal, state and local laws, regulations and recommendations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices, the experimental use of animals and the use and disposal of hazardous substances, including radioactive compounds and infectious disease agents, used in connection with our research work. If we fail to comply with the laws and regulations pertaining to our business, we may be subject to sanctions, including the temporary or permanent suspension of operations, product recalls, marketing restrictions and civil and criminal penalties.

Table of Contents

Our and our collaborative partners' product candidates will remain subject to ongoing regulatory review even if they receive marketing approval. If we or our collaborative partners fail to comply with continuing regulations, we could lose these approvals and the sale of our products could be suspended.

Even if we or our collaborative partners receive regulatory approval to market a particular product candidate, the approval could be conditioned on us or our collaborative partners conducting costly post-approval studies or could limit the indicated uses included in product labeling. Moreover, the product may later cause adverse effects that limit or prevent its widespread use, force us or our collaborative partners to withdraw it from the market or impede or delay our or our collaborative partners' ability to obtain regulatory approvals in additional countries. In addition, the manufacturer of the product and its facilities will continue to be subject to FDA review and periodic inspections to ensure adherence to applicable regulations. After receiving marketing approval, the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record-keeping related to the product remain subject to extensive regulatory requirements. We or our collaborative partners may be slow to adapt, or we or our collaborative partners may never adapt, to changes in existing regulatory requirements or adoption of new regulatory requirements.

If we or our collaborative partners fail to comply with the regulatory requirements of the FDA and other applicable U.S. and foreign regulatory authorities, or if previously unknown problems with our or our partners' products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes are discovered, we could be subject to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including:

restrictions on the products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes;

warning letters;

civil or criminal penalties;

fines;

injunctions;

product seizures or detentions;

import bans;

voluntary or mandatory product recalls and publicity requirements;

suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals;

total or partial suspension of production; and

refusal to approve pending applications for marketing approval of new drugs or supplements to approved applications.

Any one of these could have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

If our collaborative partners fail to perform their obligations under our agreements with them, or determine not to continue with clinical trials for particular product candidates, our business could be severely impacted.

Our strategy for the development and commercialization of our product candidates depends, in large part, upon the formation and maintenance of collaborative arrangements. Collaborations provide an opportunity for us to:

generate cash flow and revenue;

fund some of the costs associated with our internal research and development, preclinical testing, clinical trials and manufacturing;

seek and obtain regulatory approvals faster than we could on our own;

Table of Contents

successfully commercialize existing and future product candidates; and

secure access to targets which, due to intellectual property restrictions, would otherwise be unavailable to our technology.

If we fail to secure or maintain successful collaborative arrangements, the development and marketing of compounds that use our technology may be delayed, scaled back or otherwise may not occur. In addition, we may be unable to negotiate other collaborative arrangements or, if necessary, modify our existing arrangements on acceptable terms. We cannot control the amount and timing of resources our collaborative partners may devote to our product candidates. Our collaborative partners may separately pursue competing product candidates, therapeutic approaches or technologies to develop treatments for the diseases targeted by us or our collaborative efforts, or may decide, for reasons not known to us, to discontinue development of product candidates under our agreements with them. Any of our collaborative partners may slow or discontinue the development of a product candidate covered by a collaborative arrangement for reasons that can include, but are not limited to:

a change in the collaborative partner's strategic focus as a result of merger, management changes, adverse business events, or other causes;

a change in the priority of the product candidate relative to other programs in the collaborator's pipeline;

a reassessment of the patent situation related to the compound or its target;

a change in the anticipated competition for the product candidate;

preclinical studies and clinical trial results; and

a reduction in the financial resources the collaborator can or is willing to apply to the development of new compounds.

Even if our collaborative partners continue their collaborative arrangements with us, they may nevertheless determine not to actively pursue the development or commercialization of any resulting products. Also, our collaborative partners may fail to perform their obligations under the collaborative agreements or may be slow in performing their obligations. Our collaborative partners can terminate our collaborative agreements under certain conditions. The decision to advance a product that is covered by a collaborative agreement through clinical trials and ultimately to commercialization is in the discretion of our collaborative partners. If any collaborative partner were to terminate or breach our agreements, fail to complete its obligations to us in a timely manner, or decide to discontinue its development of a product candidate, our anticipated revenue from the agreement and from the development and commercialization of the products would be severely limited. If we are not able to establish additional collaborations or any or all of our existing collaborations are terminated and we are not able to enter into alternative collaborations on acceptable terms, or at all, our continued development, manufacture and commercialization of our product candidates could be delayed or scaled back as we may not have the funds or capability to continue these activities. If our collaborators fail to successfully develop and commercialize TAP compounds, our business prospects would be severely harmed.

We depend on a small number of collaborators for a substantial portion of our revenue. The loss of, or a material reduction in activity by, any one of these collaborators could result in a substantial decline in our revenue.

We have and will continue to have collaborations with a limited number of companies. As a result, our financial performance depends on the efforts and overall success of these companies. Also, the failure of any one of our collaborative partners to perform its obligations under its agreement with us, including making any royalty, milestone or other payments to us, could have an adverse effect on our

Table of Contents

financial condition. Further, any material reduction by any one of our collaborative partners in its level of commitment of resources, funding, personnel, and interest in continued development under its agreement with us could have an adverse effect on our financial condition. To date, we have recorded \$13.5 million in milestone payments with the advancement of T-DM1. Our agreement with Roche, through its Genentech unit, entitles us to receive up to \$44 million in milestone payments and also royalties on commercial sales, if any. Failure of Roche to continue to advance T-DM1 would have an adverse effect on our financial outlook. Also, if consolidation trends in the healthcare industry continue, the number of our potential collaborators could decrease, which could have an adverse impact on our development efforts. If a present or future collaborator of ours were to be involved in a business combination, the collaborator's continued pursuit and emphasis on our product development program could be delayed, diminished or terminated.

If our collaborative partners' requirements for clinical materials to be manufactured by us are significantly lower than we have estimated, our financial results and condition could be adversely affected.

We procure certain components of finished conjugate, including DM1, DM4, and linker, on behalf of several of our collaborators. In order to meet our commitments to our collaborative partners, we are required to enter into agreements with third parties to produce these components well in advance of our production of clinical materials on behalf of our collaborative partners. If our collaborative partners do not require as much clinical material as we have contracted to produce and we are unable to use these materials for our own products, we may not be able to recover our investment in these components and we may suffer significant losses. Collaborators have discontinued development of product candidates in the past and in the periods subsequent to these discontinuations, we had significantly reduced demand for conjugated material which adversely impacted our financial results.

In addition, we operate a conjugate manufacturing facility. A portion of the cost of operating this facility, including the cost of manufacturing personnel, is reimbursed by our collaborators based on the number of batches of preclinical and clinical materials produced on their behalf. If we produce fewer batches of clinical materials for our collaborators, a smaller amount of the cost of operating the conjugate manufacturing facility will be charged to our collaborative partners and our financial condition could be adversely affected.

If our antibody requirements for clinical materials to be manufactured are significantly higher than we estimated, the inability to procure additional antibody in a timely manner could impair our ability to initiate or advance our clinical trials.

We rely on third-party suppliers to manufacture antibodies used in our own proprietary compounds. Due to the specific nature of the antibody and availability of production capacity, there is significant lead time required by these suppliers to provide us with the needed materials. If our antibody requirements for clinical materials to be manufactured are significantly higher than we estimated, we may not be able to readily procure additional antibody which would impair our ability to advance our clinical trials currently in process or initiate additional trials. There can be no assurance that we will not have supply problems that could delay or stop our clinical trials or otherwise could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We currently rely on one third-party manufacturer with commercial production experience to produce our cell-killing agents, DM1 and DM4.

We rely on a third-party supplier to manufacture materials used to make TAP compounds. Our cell-killing agents DM1 and DM4, collectively DMx, are manufactured from a precursor, ansamitocin P3. As part of preparing to produce TAP compounds for later-stage clinical trials and commercialization, we currently use a single supplier, Società Italiana Corticosteroidi S.r.l., that

Table of Contents

converts ansamitocin P3 to DMx. Any delay or interruption in our supply of DMx could lead to a delay or interruption in our manufacturing operations, preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates and our collaborators' product candidates, which could negatively affect our business.

We may be unable to establish the manufacturing capabilities necessary to develop and commercialize our and our collaborative partners' potential products.

Currently, we have only one conjugate manufacturing facility that we use to manufacture conjugated compounds for us and our collaborative partners for preclinical studies and early-stage clinical testing. Two of our partners have contracted for separate, large-scale manufacturing capacity to make materials to support potential future commercialization of their TAP compounds. We do not currently have the manufacturing capacity needed to make our product candidates for commercial sale. In addition, our manufacturing capacity may be insufficient to complete all clinical trials contemplated by us and our collaborative partners over time. We intend to rely in part on third-party contract manufacturers to produce sufficiently large quantities of drug materials that are and will be needed for later-stage clinical trials and commercialization of our potential products. We are currently in the process of developing relationships with third-party manufacturers that we believe will be necessary to continue the development of our product candidates. Third-party manufacturers may not be able to meet our needs with respect to timing, quantity or quality of materials. If we are unable to contract for a sufficient supply of needed materials on acceptable terms, or if we should encounter delays or difficulties in our relationships with manufacturers, our clinical trials may be delayed, thereby delaying the submission of product candidates for regulatory approval and the market introduction and subsequent commercialization of our potential products. Any such delays may lower our revenues and potential profitability.

We have only one conjugate manufacturing facility and any prolonged and significant disruption at that facility could impair our ability to manufacture our and our collaborative partners' product candidates for clinical testing.

Currently, in certain cases, we are contractually obligated to manufacture Phase I and non-pivotal Phase II clinical products for companies licensing our TAP technology. We manufacture this material, as well as material for our own product candidates, in our conjugate manufacturing facility. We have only one such manufacturing facility in which we can manufacture clinical products. Our current manufacturing facility contains highly specialized equipment and utilizes complicated production processes developed over a number of years that would be difficult, time-consuming and costly to duplicate. Any prolonged disruption in the operations of our manufacturing facility would have a significant negative impact on our ability to manufacture products for clinical testing on our own and would cause us to seek additional third-party manufacturing contracts, thereby increasing our development costs. Even though we carry business interruption insurance policies, we may suffer losses as a result of business interruptions that exceed the coverage available or any losses may be excluded under our insurance policies. Certain events, such as natural disasters, fire, political disturbances, sabotage or business accidents, which could impact our current or future facilities, could have a significant negative impact on our operations by disrupting our product development efforts until such time as we are able to repair our facility or put in place third-party contract manufacturers to assume this manufacturing role.

Unfavorable pricing regulations, third-party reimbursement practices or healthcare reform initiatives applicable to our product candidates could limit our potential product revenue.

Antibody-based anticancer products are often much more costly to produce than traditional chemotherapeutics and tend to have significantly higher prices. Factors that help justify the price include the high mortality associated with many types of cancer and the need for more and better treatment options.

Table of Contents

Regulations governing drug pricing and reimbursement vary widely from country to country. Some countries require approval of the sales price of a drug before it can be marketed. Some countries restrict the physicians that can authorize the use of more expensive medications. Some countries establish treatment guidelines to help limit the use of more expensive therapeutics and the pool of patients that receive them. In some countries, including the U.S., third-party payers frequently seek discounts from list prices and are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products. Because our product candidates are in the development stage, we do not know the level of reimbursement, if any, we will receive for any products that we are able to successfully develop. If the reimbursement for any of our product candidates is inadequate in light of our development and other costs, our ability to achieve profitability would be affected.

We believe that the efforts of governments and third-party payors to contain or reduce the cost of healthcare will continue to affect the business and financial condition of pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies. A number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in the U.S. and other major healthcare markets have been proposed and adopted in recent years. For example, the U.S. Congress enacted a limited prescription drug benefit for Medicare recipients as part of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. While the program established by this statute may increase demand for any products that we are able to successfully develop, if we participate in this program, our prices will be negotiated with drug procurement organizations for Medicare beneficiaries and are likely to be lower than prices we might otherwise obtain. Non-Medicare third-party drug procurement organizations may also base the price they are willing to pay on the rate paid by drug procurement organizations for Medicare beneficiaries. Also, in March 2010, President Obama signed one of the most significant health care reform measures in decades, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act, collectively referred to herein as the PPACA. The PPACA will significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry. The PPACA will require discounts under the Medicare drug benefit program and increased rebates on drugs covered by Medicaid. In addition, the PPACA imposes an annual fee, which will increase annually, on sales by branded pharmaceutical manufacturers starting in 2011. The financial impact of these discounts, increased rebates and fees and the other provisions of the PPACA on our business is unclear and there can be no assurance that our business will not be materially adversely affected by the PPACA. In addition, ongoing initiatives in the U.S. have increased and will continue to increase pressure on drug pricing. The announcement or adoption of any such initiative could have an adverse effect on potential revenues from any product candidate that we may successfully develop.

We may be unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities necessary to successfully commercialize our potential products.

We currently have no direct sales or marketing capabilities. We anticipate relying on third parties to market and sell most of our primary product candidates or we may outlicense these products prior to the time when these capabilities are needed. If we decide to market our potential products through a direct sales force, we would need either to hire a sales force with expertise in pharmaceutical sales or to contract with a third party to provide a sales force which meets our needs. We may be unable to establish marketing, sales and distribution capabilities necessary to commercialize and gain market acceptance for our potential products and be competitive. In addition, co-promotion or other marketing arrangements with third parties to commercialize potential products could significantly limit the revenues we derive from these potential products, and these third parties may fail to commercialize our compounds successfully.

Table of Contents

If our product candidates or those of our collaborative partners do not gain market acceptance, our business will suffer.

Even if clinical trials demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our and our collaborative partners' product candidates and the necessary regulatory approvals are obtained, our and our collaborative partners' product candidates may not gain market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and other members of the medical community. The degree of market acceptance of any product candidates that we or our collaborative partners develop will depend on a number of factors, including:

their degree of clinical efficacy and safety;

their advantage over alternative treatment methods;

our/the marketer's and our collaborative partners' ability to gain acceptable reimbursement and the reimbursement policies of government and third-party payors; and

the quality of the distribution capabilities for product candidates, both ours and our collaborative partners.

Physicians may not prescribe any of our future products until such time as clinical data or other factors demonstrate the safety and efficacy of those products as compared to conventional drug and other treatments. Even if the clinical safety and efficacy of therapies using our products is established, physicians may elect not to recommend the therapies for any number of other reasons, including whether the mode of administration of our products is effective for certain conditions, and whether the physicians are already using competing products that satisfy their treatment objectives. Physicians, patients, third-party payors and the medical community may not accept and use any product candidates that we, or our collaborative partners, develop. If our products do not achieve significant market acceptance and use, we will not be able to recover the significant investment we have made in developing such products and our business will be severely harmed.

We may be unable to compete successfully.

The markets in which we compete are well established and intensely competitive. We may be unable to compete successfully against our current and future competitors. Our failure to compete successfully may result in pricing reductions, reduced gross margins and failure to achieve market acceptance for our potential products. Our competitors include research institutions, pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies, such as Pfizer, Seattle Genetics, Roche and Bristol-Meyers Squibb. Many of these organizations have substantially more experience and more capital, research and development, regulatory, manufacturing, human and other resources than we do. As a result, they may:

develop products that are safer or more effective than our product candidates;

obtain FDA and other regulatory approvals or reach the market with their products more rapidly than we can, reducing the potential sales of our product candidates;

devote greater resources to market or sell their products;

adapt more quickly to new technologies and scientific advances;

initiate or withstand substantial price competition more successfully than we can;

have greater success in recruiting skilled scientific workers from the limited pool of available talent;

more effectively negotiate third-party licensing and collaboration arrangements; and

take advantage of acquisition or other opportunities more readily than we can.

A number of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are currently developing products targeting the same types of cancer that we target, and some of our competitors' products have entered clinical trials or already are commercially available.

Table of Contents

Our product candidates, if approved and commercialized, will also compete against well-established, existing, therapeutic products that are currently reimbursed by government healthcare programs, private health insurers and health maintenance organizations. In addition, if our product candidates are approved and commercialized, we may face competition from generic products if the product candidate is a small molecule drug, or biosimilars if the product candidate is a biologic. The route to market for generic versions of small molecule drugs was established with the passage of the Hatch-Waxman Amendments in 1984 and for biosimilars with the passage of the PPACA in March 2010. The PPACA establishes a pathway for the FDA approval of follow-on biologics and provides twelve years exclusivity for reference products and an additional six months exclusivity period if pediatric studies are conducted. In Europe, the European Medicines Agency has issued guidelines for approving products through an abbreviated pathway, and biosimilars have been approved in Europe. If a biosimilar version of one of our potential products were approved in the United States or Europe, it could have a negative effect on sales and gross profits of the potential product and our financial condition.

We face and will continue to face intense competition from other companies for collaborative arrangements with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, for relationships with academic and research institutions and for licenses to proprietary technology. In addition, we anticipate that we will face increased competition in the future as new companies enter our markets and as scientific developments surrounding antibody-based therapeutics for cancer continue to accelerate. While we will seek to expand our technological capabilities to remain competitive, research and development by others may render our technology or product candidates obsolete or noncompetitive or result in treatments or cures superior to any therapy developed by us.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights adequately, the value of our technology and our product candidates could be diminished.

Our success depends in part on obtaining, maintaining and enforcing our patents and other proprietary rights and our ability to avoid infringing the proprietary rights of others. Patent law relating to the scope of claims in the biotechnology field in which we operate is still evolving, is surrounded by a great deal of uncertainty and involves complex legal, scientific and factual questions. To date, no consistent policy has emerged regarding the breadth of claims allowed in biotechnology patents. Accordingly, our pending patent applications may not result in issued patents. Although we own numerous patents, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or enforceability. Through litigation, a third party may challenge the validity or enforceability of a patent after its issuance.

Also, patents and applications owned or licensed by us may become the subject of interference, opposition, nullity, or other proceedings in a court or patent office in the United States or in a foreign jurisdiction to determine validity, enforceability or priority of invention, which could result in substantial cost to us. An adverse decision in such a proceeding may result in our loss of rights under a patent or patent application. It is unclear how much protection, if any, will be given to our patents if we attempt to enforce them or if they are challenged in court or in other proceedings. A competitor may successfully invalidate our patents or a challenge could result in limitations of the patents' coverage. In addition, the cost of litigation or interference proceedings to uphold the validity of patents can be substantial. If we are unsuccessful in these proceedings, third parties may be able to use our patented technology without paying us licensing fees or royalties. Moreover, competitors may infringe our patents or successfully avoid them through design innovation. To prevent infringement or unauthorized use, we may need to file infringement claims, which are expensive and time-consuming. In an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours is not valid. Even if the validity of our patents were upheld, a court may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the ground that its activities are not covered by our patents.

Table of Contents

In recent years, policymakers have also proposed reforming U.S. patent laws and regulations. For example, in March and June 2011, the House and Senate passed their respective versions of patent reform legislation. The House and Senate must now reconcile the two bills before presenting a final bill to the President for signature into U.S. law. Although the final bill has not yet been agreed-upon by the House and Senate, in general, the proposed legislation attempts to address issues surrounding the enforceability of patents and the increase in patent litigation by, among other things, moving to a first-inventor-to-file system, establishing new procedures for challenging patents and establishing different methods for invalidating patents. While we cannot predict what form any new patent reform laws or regulations ultimately may take, final legislation could introduce new substantive rules and procedures for challenging patents, and certain reforms that make it easier for competitors to challenge our patents could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

Policing unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult, and we may not be able to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect such rights as fully as in the United States.

In addition to our patent rights, we also rely on unpatented technology, trade secrets, know-how and confidential information. Third parties may independently develop substantially equivalent information and techniques or otherwise gain access to or disclose our technology. We may not be able to effectively protect our rights in unpatented technology, trade secrets, know-how and confidential information. We require each of our employees, consultants and corporate partners to execute a confidentiality agreement at the commencement of an employment, consulting or collaborative relationship with us. Further, we require that all employees enter into assignment of invention agreements as a condition of employment. However, these agreements may not provide effective protection of our information or, in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure, they may not provide adequate remedies.

Any inability to license proprietary technologies or processes from third parties which we use in connection with the development and manufacture of our product candidates may impair our business.

Other companies, universities and research institutions have or may obtain patents that could limit our ability to use, manufacture, market or sell our product candidates or impair our competitive position. As a result, we would have to obtain licenses from other parties before we could continue using, manufacturing, marketing or selling our potential products. Any necessary licenses may not be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. If we do not obtain required licenses, we may not be able to market our potential products at all or we may encounter significant delays in product development while we redesign products or methods that are found to infringe on the patents held by others.

We may incur substantial costs as a result of litigation or other proceedings relating to patent and other intellectual property rights held by third parties and we may be unable to protect our rights to, or to commercialize, our product candidates.

Patent litigation is very common in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. Third parties may assert patent or other intellectual property infringement claims against us with respect to our technologies, products or other matters. From time to time, we have received correspondence from third parties alleging that we infringe their intellectual property rights. Any claims that might be brought against us alleging infringement of patents may cause us to incur significant expenses and, if successfully asserted against us, may cause us to pay substantial damages and limit our ability to use the intellectual property subject to these claims. Even if we were to prevail, any litigation would be costly and time-consuming and could divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. Furthermore, as a result of a patent infringement suit, we may be forced to stop or delay developing, manufacturing or selling potential products that incorporate the challenged

Table of Contents

intellectual property unless we enter into royalty or license agreements. There may be third-party patents, patent applications and other intellectual property relevant to our potential products that may block or compete with our products or processes. In addition, we sometimes undertake research and development with respect to potential products even when we are aware of third-party patents that may be relevant to our potential products, on the basis that such patents may be challenged or licensed by us. If our subsequent challenge to such patents were not to prevail, we may not be able to commercialize our potential products after having already incurred significant expenditures unless we are able to license the intellectual property on commercially reasonable terms. We may not be able to obtain royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Even if we were able to obtain licenses to such technology, some licenses may be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same technologies licensed to us. Ultimately, we may be unable to commercialize some of our potential products or may have to cease some of our business operations, which could severely harm our business.

We use hazardous materials in our business, and any claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of these materials could harm our business.

Our research and development and manufacturing activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals, biological materials and radioactive compounds. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and certain waste products. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of these materials comply with the standards prescribed by applicable laws and regulations, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources. We may be required to incur significant costs to comply with these laws in the future. Failure to comply with these laws could result in fines and the revocation of permits, which could prevent us from conducting our business.

We face product liability risks and may not be able to obtain adequate insurance.

While we secure waivers from all participants in our clinical trials, the use of our product candidates during testing or after approval entails an inherent risk of adverse effects, which could expose us to product liability claims. Regardless of their merit or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for our product;

injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention;

withdrawal of clinical trial volunteers;

costs of litigation;

distraction of management; and

substantial monetary awards to plaintiffs.

We may not have sufficient resources to satisfy any liability resulting from these claims. We currently have \$5 million of product liability insurance for products which are in clinical testing. This coverage may not be adequate in scope to protect us in the event of a successful product liability claim. Further, we may not be able to maintain our current insurance or obtain general product liability insurance on reasonable terms and at an acceptable cost if we or our collaborative partners begin commercial production of our proposed product candidates. This insurance, even if we can obtain and maintain it, may not be sufficient to provide us with adequate coverage against potential liabilities.

Table of Contents

We depend on our key personnel and we must continue to attract and retain key employees and consultants.

We depend on our key scientific and management personnel. Our ability to pursue the development of our current and future product candidates depends largely on retaining the services of our existing personnel and hiring additional qualified scientific personnel to perform research and development. We will also need to hire personnel with expertise in clinical testing, government regulation, manufacturing, marketing and finance. Attracting and retaining qualified personnel will be critical to our success. We may not be able to attract and retain personnel on acceptable terms given the competition for such personnel among biotechnology, pharmaceutical and healthcare companies, universities and non-profit research institutions. Failure to retain our existing key management and scientific personnel or to attract additional highly qualified personnel could delay the development of our product candidates and harm our business.

Our stock price can fluctuate significantly and results announced by us and our collaborators can cause our stock price to decline.

Our stock price can fluctuate significantly due to business developments announced by us and by our collaborators, as a result of market trends and daily trading volume. The business developments that could impact our stock price include disclosures related to clinical findings with compounds that make use of our TAP technology, new collaborations and clinical advancement or discontinuation of product candidates that make use of our TAP technology. Our stock price can also fluctuate significantly with the level of overall investment interest in small-cap biotechnology stocks.

Our operating results have fluctuated in the past and are likely to continue to do so in the future. Our revenue is unpredictable and may fluctuate due to the timing of non-recurring licensing fees, decisions of our collaborative partners with respect to our agreements with them, reimbursement for manufacturing services, the achievement of milestones and our receipt of the related milestone payments under new and existing licensing and collaboration agreements. Revenue historically recognized under our prior collaboration agreements may not be an indicator of revenue from any future collaborations. In addition, our expenses are unpredictable and may fluctuate from quarter to quarter due to the timing of expenses, which may include obligations to manufacture or supply product or payments owed by us under licensing or collaboration agreements. It is possible that our quarterly and/or annual operating results will not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, causing the market price of our common stock to decline. We believe that quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year comparisons of our operating results are not good indicators of our future performance and should not be relied upon to predict the future performance of our stock price.

The potential sale of additional shares of our common stock may cause our stock price to decline.

Pursuant to shelf registration statements filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in fiscal 2011, we sold 7,800,000 shares of our common stock at \$12.00 per share in a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$93.6 million; in fiscal 2010, we sold 10,350,000 shares of our common stock at \$8.00 per share in a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$82.8 million; and in fiscal 2009, we sold 5,750,000 shares of our common stock at \$7.00 per share in a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$40.3 million. Additionally, in fiscal 2008, a private investor purchased 7,812,500 shares of our common stock at \$3.20 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$25 million. The potential sale of additional shares of our common stock may be dilutive to our shares outstanding and may cause our stock price to decrease.

We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock.

We have not paid cash dividends since our inception and do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Therefore, shareholders will have to rely on appreciation in our stock price, if any, in order to achieve a gain on an investment.

Table of Contents

A WARNING ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to analyses and other information which are based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts that are not yet determinable. These statements also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies.

These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases, such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "plan," "predict," "project," "will" and other similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions. These statements are contained in the "Business," "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" sections, as well as other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to:

successfully finding and managing the relationships with collaborative partners;

the uncertainty as to whether our TAP compounds or those of our collaborators will succeed in entering human clinical trials and uncertainty as to the results of such trials;

the risk that we and/or our collaborators may not be able to obtain regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize product candidates;

the potential development of competing products and technologies; uncertainty whether our TAP technology will produce safe, effective and commercially viable products;

our ability to successfully protect our intellectual property;

our reliance on third-party manufacturers to achieve supplies of our maytansinoid cell-killing agents, DM1 and DM4;

the risk that we may be unable to establish the manufacturing capabilities necessary to develop and commercialize our potential products;

the adequacy of our liquidity and capital resources;

government regulation of our activities, facilities, products and personnel; the dependence on key personnel;

uncertainties as to the extent of reimbursement for the costs of our potential products and related treatments by government and private health insurers and other organizations; the potential adverse impact of government-directed health care reform; and

the risk of product liability claims; and economic conditions, both generally and those specifically related to the biotechnology industry.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from those contemplated by our forward-looking statements. These known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors are described in detail in the "Risk Factors" section and in other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Table of Contents

Item 2. *Properties*

We lease approximately 89,000 square feet of laboratory and office space in a building located at 830 Winter Street, Waltham, MA. The initial term of the 830 Winter Street lease expires on March 31, 2020, with an option for us to extend the lease for two additional five-year terms. In December 2009, we entered into a sublease for 14,100 square feet of our office and laboratory space at 830 Winter Street, Waltham, MA through January 2015. We also lease approximately 43,850 square feet of space in Norwood, MA, which serves as our conjugate manufacturing facility and office space. The Norwood lease expires on June 30, 2018, with an option for us to extend the lease for an additional five-year term.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

From time to time we may be a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings.

Item 3.1. *Executive Officers of the Registrant*

ImmunoGen's executive officers are appointed by the Board of Directors at the first meeting of the Board following the annual meeting of shareholders or at other Board meetings as appropriate, and hold office until the first Board meeting following the next annual meeting of shareholders and until a successor is chosen, subject to prior death, resignation or removal. Information regarding our executive officers is presented below.

Daniel M. Junius, age 59, joined ImmunoGen in 2005, and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since 2009. Prior to that he served as our President and Chief Operating Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer from July 2008 to December 2008, as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2006 to July 2008, and as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2005 to 2006. Prior to joining ImmunoGen in 2005, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of New England Business Service, Inc. (NEBS), a supplier of business products and services to small businesses, from 2002 to 2004, and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NEBS from 1998 to 2002. Mr. Junius holds a Masters of Management from Northwestern University's Kellogg School of Management.

John M. Lambert, Ph.D., age 60, joined ImmunoGen in 1987, and has served as our Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer since July 2008. Prior to that he served as our Senior Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer from early 2008 to July 2008, as our Senior Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development, from 2000 to early 2008, as our Vice President, Research and Development, from 1994 to 2000, and as our Senior Director of Research from 1987 to 1994. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, Dr. Lambert was an assistant professor at Harvard Medical School working at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute. Dr. Lambert holds a Ph.D. in Biochemistry from University of Cambridge in England, and completed his postdoctoral work at the University of California at Davis and at Glasgow University in Scotland.

James J. O'Leary, MD, age 47, joined ImmunoGen in 2008, and has served as our Vice President and Chief Medical Officer since that date. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, Dr. O'Leary served as Senior Medical Director Clinical Oncology of Bayer Corporation, a pharmaceutical company, from 2006 to 2008. Prior to that, he served as Medical Director Clinical Oncology of Pfizer Global Research and Development, a pharmaceutical company, from 2003 to 2006, and as Assistant Medical Director Clinical Oncology of Pfizer from 2000 to 2003. Prior to that, he served as a Medical Reviewer, Division of Oncology Drug Products at the U.S. Food and Drug Administration from 1998 to 2000. Dr. O'Leary has a Doctor of Medicine degree from the State University of New York Health Science Center at Brooklyn.

Table of Contents

Gregory D. Perry, age 51, joined ImmunoGen in 2009, and has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since April 2011. Prior to that, he served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2009 to April 2011. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, he served as Chief Financial Officer of Elixir Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from 2007 to 2008. Prior to that, he served as Chief Financial Officer for Domantis Ltd., a biopharmaceutical company, in 2006, and as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Transkaryotic Therapies, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, from 2003 to 2005.

Peter J. Williams, age 57, joined ImmunoGen in August 2009, and has served as our Vice President, Business Development since that date. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, he served as a Senior Director of Business Development at Alynham Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, from 2006 to August 2009. Prior to that, he served as Vice President of Business Development of Link Medicine Corporation, a drug development company, from 2005 to 2006. Prior to that, he acted as an independent business development consultant from 2003 to 2006. Prior to that, he served as a Senior Director of Business Development at Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, from 1998 to 2003.

Theresa G. Wingrove, Ph.D., age 53, joined ImmunoGen in January 2011, and has served as our Vice President, Regulatory Affairs since that date. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, she served as Vice President, Regulatory and Clinical Affairs, at Histogenics, Inc., a medical device company, from 2006 to January 2011. Prior to that, she served as Senior Director, Regulatory and Clinical Affairs, at MediSpectra, Inc., a medical device company, from 2000 to 2006. Prior to that, she served in various regulatory and clinical management capacities at Infusaid Inc., a subsidiary of Pfizer Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from 1988 to 1999. Dr. Wingrove holds a Ph.D. in biochemical toxicology from the University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, and completed her postdoctoral work at the University of Rochester Medical Center.

Craig Barrows, age 56, joined ImmunoGen in 2007, and has served as our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since that date. Prior to joining ImmunoGen, he served as Vice President and General Counsel of Mercury Computer Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of high-performance digital signal and image processing systems, from 2005 to 2007. Prior to that, he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of New England Business Service, Inc. (NEBS), a supplier of business products and services to small businesses, from 1999 to 2004, and as General Counsel and Secretary of NEBS from 1998 to 1999.

Item 4. *Reserved*

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Price of Our Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters**

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "IMGN." The table below sets forth the high and low closing price per share of our common stock as reported by NASDAQ:

	Fiscal Year 2011		Fiscal Year 2010	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 9.78	\$ 4.96	\$ 10.13	\$ 6.88
Second Quarter	\$ 10.01	\$ 6.06	\$ 9.55	\$ 6.44
Third Quarter	\$ 9.95	\$ 8.06	\$ 8.34	\$ 6.25
Fourth Quarter	\$ 14.10	\$ 8.87	\$ 10.90	\$ 7.69

As of August 11, 2011, the closing price per share of our common stock was \$10.58, as reported by NASDAQ, and we had approximately 478 holders of record of our common stock.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since our inception and do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Equity Compensation Plan Information (in thousands)

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	6,491	\$ 6.70	4,506
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	6,491	\$ 6.70	4,506

⁽¹⁾ These plans consist of the Restated Stock Option Plan and the 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Equity Incentive Plan.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Uses of Proceeds from Registered Securities; Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

None.

Table of Contents**Stock Price Performance Graph**

The graph and table below compare the annual percentage change in our cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock for the period from June 30, 2006 through June 30, 2011 (as measured by dividing (i) the sum of (A) the cumulative amount of dividends for the measurement period, assuming dividend reinvestment, and (B) the difference between our share price at the end and the beginning of the measurement period; by (ii) the share price at the beginning of the measurement period) with the total cumulative return of the NASDAQ Stock Market Index (U.S.) and the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Stocks Total Return Index during such period. We have not paid any dividends on our common stock, and no dividends are included in the representation of our performance. The stock price performance on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future price performance. This graph is not "soliciting material," is not deemed filed with the Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing. Information used on the graph for the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Stocks Total Return Index and the NASDAQ Stock Market Index (U.S.) was prepared by the Center for Research in Security Prices, a source believed to be reliable, but we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in such information.

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
IMMUNOGEN, INC.	\$ 100.00	\$ 177.32	\$ 97.76	\$ 275.37	\$ 296.13	\$ 389.51
NASDAQ STOCK MARKET INDEX (U.S.)	\$ 100.00	\$ 119.20	\$ 104.24	\$ 67.19	\$ 83.27	\$ 111.02
NASDAQ PHARMACEUTICAL STOCKS TOTAL RETURN INDEX *	\$ 100.00	\$ 108.85	\$ 108.47	\$ 106.00	\$ 109.35	\$ 142.01

*

This index represents a group of peer issuers compiled by the Center for Research in Security Prices.

The above graph and table assume \$100 invested on June 30, 2006 with all dividends reinvested, in each of our common stock, the NASDAQ Stock Market Index (U.S.) and the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Stocks Total Return Index. Upon written request by any shareholder, we will promptly provide a list of the companies comprising the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Stocks Total Return Index.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table (in thousands, except per share data) sets forth our consolidated financial data for each of our five fiscal years through our fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended June 30,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:					
Total revenues	\$ 19,305	\$ 13,943	\$ 27,988	\$ 40,249	\$ 38,212
Total operating expenses	79,493	65,178	59,804	74,361	60,438
Other income (expense), net	1,914	58	(221)	2,119	3,274
(Benefit) provision for income taxes		(265)	(100)	27	35
Net loss	\$ (58,274)	\$ (50,912)	\$ (31,937)	\$ (32,020)	\$ (18,987)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.87)	\$ (0.63)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (0.45)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	68,919	58,845	51,068	42,969	41,759
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 191,206	\$ 110,298	\$ 71,125	\$ 47,871	\$ 59,700
Total assets	217,641	137,208	100,704	83,338	80,421
Shareholders' equity	139,969	102,048	66,857	55,299	58,401

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**Overview**

Since our inception, we have been principally engaged in the development of novel, targeted therapeutics for the treatment of cancer using our expertise in cancer biology, monoclonal antibodies, highly potent cytotoxic, or cell-killing, agents, and the design of linkers that enable these agents to be stably attached to the antibodies while in the blood stream and released in their fully active form after delivery to a cancer cell. An anticancer compound made using our Targeted Antibody Payload, or TAP, technology consists of a monoclonal antibody that binds specifically to an antigen target found on cancer cells with multiple copies of one of our proprietary cell-killing agents attached using one of our engineered linkers. Its antibody component enables a TAP compound to bind specifically to cancer cells that express a particular target antigen, the highly potent cytotoxic agent serves to kill the cancer cell, and the engineered linker controls the release and activation of the cytotoxic agent inside the cancer cell. Our TAP technology is designed to enable the creation of highly effective, well-tolerated anticancer products. All of our and our collaborative partners' TAP compounds currently in preclinical and clinical testing contain either DM1 or DM4 as the cytotoxic agent. Both DM1 and DM4, collectively DMx, are our proprietary derivatives of a naturally occurring substance called maytansine. We also use our expertise in antibodies and cancer biology to develop "naked," or non-conjugated, antibody anticancer product candidates.

We have entered into collaborative agreements that enable companies to use our TAP technology to develop commercial product candidates to specified targets. We have also used our proprietary TAP technology in conjunction with our in-house antibody expertise to develop our own anticancer product candidates. Under the terms of our collaborative agreements, we are generally entitled to upfront fees, milestone payments, and royalties on any commercial product sales. In addition, under certain

Table of Contents

agreements we are entitled to research and development funding based on activities performed at our collaborative partner's request. We are reimbursed for our direct and a portion of overhead costs to manufacture preclinical and clinical materials and, under certain collaborative agreements, the reimbursement includes a profit margin. Currently, our collaborative partners include Amgen, Bayer HealthCare, Biotest, Novartis, Roche and Sanofi. We expect that substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future will result from payments under our collaborative arrangements. Details for some of our major and recent collaborative agreements can be found in this Form 10-K under Item 1. Business.

To date, we have not generated revenues from commercial product sales and we expect to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$191.2 million in cash and cash equivalents compared to \$110.3 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of June 30, 2010.

We anticipate that future cash expenditures will be partially offset by collaboration-derived proceeds, including milestone payments, royalties and upfront fees. Accordingly, period-to-period operational results may fluctuate dramatically based upon the timing of receipt of the proceeds. We believe that our established collaborative agreements, while subject to specified milestone achievements, will provide funding to assist us in meeting obligations under our collaborative agreements while also assisting in providing funding for the development of internal product candidates and technologies. However, we can give no assurances that such collaborative agreement funding will, in fact, be realized in the time frames we expect, or at all. Should we or our partners not meet some or all of the terms and conditions of our various collaboration agreements, we may be required to secure alternative financing arrangements and/or defer or limit some or all of our research, development and/or clinical projects. However, we cannot provide assurance that any such opportunities presented by additional strategic partners or alternative financing arrangements will be entirely available to us, if at all.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to our collaborative agreements, inventory and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We enter into licensing and development agreements with collaborative partners for the development of monoclonal antibody based anticancer therapeutics. The terms of these agreements contain multiple deliverables which may include (i) licenses, or options to obtain licenses, to our TAP technology, (ii) rights to future technological improvements, (iii) research activities to be performed on behalf of the collaborative partner, and (iv) the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials for the collaborative partner. Payments to us under these agreements may include non-refundable license fees, option fees, exercise fees, payments for research activities, payments for the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials, payments based upon the achievement of certain milestones and royalties on product sales. We follow the provisions of ASC Topic 605-25, "Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements," and ASU No. 2010-17, "Revenue Recognition - Milestone Method," in accounting for these agreements. Effective July 1, 2010, we adopted ASU No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements", which amends ASC Topic 605-25. In order to account for these agreements, we must

Table of Contents

identify the deliverables included within the agreement and evaluate which deliverables represent separate units of accounting based on whether certain criteria are met, including whether the delivered element has standalone value to the collaborator. The consideration received is allocated among the separate units of accounting, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units.

At June 30, 2011, we had the following three types of agreements with the parties identified below:

Exclusive development and commercialization licenses to use our TAP technology and/or certain other intellectual property to develop compounds to a single target antigen (exclusive licenses):
Amgen (two single-target licenses)

Bayer HealthCare (one single-target license)

Biotest (one single-target license)

Roche, through its Genentech unit (five single target licenses)

Sanofi (license to multiple individual targets)

Option/research agreement for a defined period of time to secure development and commercialization licenses to use our TAP technology to develop anticancer compounds to a limited number of targets on established terms (broad option agreement):
Amgen

Sanofi

Novartis

Non-exclusive license to our humanization technology:

Sanofi

There are no performance, cancellation, termination or refund provisions in any of our arrangements that contain material financial consequences to us.

Exclusive Licenses

The deliverables under an exclusive license agreement generally include the exclusive license to our TAP technology, and may also include deliverables related to rights to future technological improvements, research activities to be performed on behalf of the collaborative partner and the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials for the collaborative partner.

Generally, exclusive license agreements contain non-refundable terms for payments and, depending on the terms of the agreement, provide that we will (i) at the collaborator's request, provide research services which are reimbursed at a contractually determined rate, (ii) at the collaborator's request, manufacture and provide to them preclinical and clinical materials which are reimbursed at our cost, or, in some cases, cost plus a margin, (iii) earn payments upon the achievement of certain milestones and (iv) earn royalty payments, generally until the later of the last applicable patent expiration or 10 to 12 years after product launch. Royalty rates may vary over the royalty term depending on our intellectual property rights. We may provide technical assistance and share any technology improvements with our collaborators during the term of the collaboration agreements. We do not directly control when any collaborator will request research or manufacturing services, achieve milestones or become liable for royalty payments. As a result, we cannot predict when we will recognize revenues in connection with any of the foregoing.

Table of Contents

In determining the units of accounting, management evaluates whether the exclusive license has standalone value, from the undelivered elements, to the collaborative partner based on the consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances for each arrangement. Factors considered in this determination include the research capabilities of the partner and the availability of TAP technology research expertise in the general marketplace. If we can conclude that the license has stand alone value and therefore will be accounted for as a separate unit of accounting, we then determine the estimated selling prices of the license and all other units of accounting based on market conditions, similar arrangements entered into by third parties, and entity-specific factors such as the terms of our previous collaborative agreements, recent preclinical and clinical testing results of therapeutic products that use our TAP technology, our pricing practices and pricing objectives, the likelihood that technological improvements will be made, the likelihood that technological improvements made will be used by our collaborators and the nature of the research services to be performed on behalf of our collaborators and market rates for similar services.

Upfront payments on single-target licenses are deferred if facts and circumstances dictate that the license does not have standalone value. The determination of the length of the period over which to defer revenue is subject to judgment and estimation and can have an impact on the amount of revenue recognized in a given period. Our employees are generally available to assist our collaborators during the development of their products. We generally estimate this development phase to begin at the inception of the collaboration agreement and conclude at the end of non-pivotal Phase II testing. We believe this period of involvement is, depending on the nature of the license, on average six and one-half years. Quarterly, we reassess our periods of substantial involvement over which we amortize our upfront license fees and make adjustments as appropriate. In the event a collaborator elects to discontinue development of a specific product candidate under a single target license, but retains its right to use our technology to develop an alternative product candidate to the same target or a target substitute, we would cease amortization of any remaining portion of the upfront fee until there is substantial preclinical activity on another product candidate and its remaining period of substantial involvement can be estimated. In the event that a single target license were to be terminated, we would recognize as revenue any portion of the upfront fee that had not previously been recorded as revenue, but was classified as deferred revenue, at the date of such termination.

Upfront payments on single-target licenses may be recognized upon delivery of the license if facts and circumstances dictate that the license has standalone value from the undelivered elements, which generally include rights to future technological improvements, research services and the manufacture of preclinical and clinical materials.

We recognize revenue related to research services that represent separate units of accounting as they are performed, as long as there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the related receivable is probable. We recognize revenue related to the rights to future technological improvements over the estimated period that the rights will be in force.

We may also produce preclinical and clinical materials for our collaborators. We are reimbursed for our direct costs and a portion of our overhead costs to produce clinical materials. We recognize revenue on preclinical and clinical materials when the materials have passed all quality testing required for collaborator acceptance and title and risk of loss have transferred to the collaborator.

We may also produce research material for potential collaborators under material transfer agreements. Additionally, we perform research activities, including developing antibody specific conjugation processes, on behalf of our collaborators and potential collaborators during the early evaluation and preclinical testing stages of drug development. Generally, we are reimbursed for certain of our direct and overhead costs of producing these materials or providing these services. We record the amounts received for the preclinical materials produced or services performed as a component of research and development support revenue. We also develop conjugation processes for materials for

Table of Contents

later stage testing and commercialization for certain collaborators. We are reimbursed for certain of our direct and overhead costs and may receive milestone payments for developing these processes which are recorded as a component of research and development support revenue.

Our license agreements have milestone fees which generally meet the criteria of ASU No. 2010-17 "Revenue Recognition Milestone Method," and accordingly, revenue is recognized when such milestones are achieved.

Broad Option Agreements

The accounting for broad option agreements is dependent on the nature of the option granted to the collaborative partner. For broad option agreements where the option to secure a development and commercialization license to our TAP technology is considered substantive, we defer upfront payments received from these agreements and recognize this revenue over the period during which the collaborator could elect to take an option for a development and commercialization license. These periods are specific to each collaboration agreement. If a collaborator takes an option to acquire a development and commercialization license under these agreements, any substantive option fee is deferred and recognized over the life of the option, generally 12 to 18 months. If a collaborator exercises an option and we grant a single target development and commercialization license to the collaborator, we account for any license fee as we would an upfront payment on a single target license, as discussed above. Upon exercise of an option to acquire a development and commercialization license, we would recognize any remaining deferred option fee or exercise fee as we would an upfront payment on a single target license as discussed above. In the event a broad option/research agreement were to be terminated, we would recognize as revenue any portion of the upfront fee that had not previously been recorded as revenue, but was classified as deferred revenue, at the date of such termination. We recognize revenue related to research activities as they are performed, as long as there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the related receivable is probable.

For broad option agreements where the option to secure a development and commercialization license to our TAP technology is not considered substantive, we account for any fees received as we would an upfront payment on a single target license, as discussed above.

We do not directly control when any collaborator will exercise its options for development and commercialization licenses. As a result, we cannot predict when we will recognize revenues in connection with any of the foregoing.

Non-exclusive License

We received up-front payments related to the non-exclusive license of our humanization technology and have deferred these payments, and are recognizing the revenue over the term of the agreement.

Inventory

We review our estimates of the net realizable value of our inventory at each reporting period. Our estimate of the net realizable value of our inventory is subject to judgment and estimation. The actual net realizable value of our inventory could vary significantly from our estimates. We consider quantities of raw materials in excess of twelve-month projected usage that are not supported by firm, fixed collaborator orders and projections at the time of the assessment to be excess. During fiscal years 2011 and 2010, we obtained additional quantities of DMx from our supplier which amounted to more material than would be required by our collaborators over the next twelve months and as a result, we recorded \$1.7 million and \$900,000, respectively, of charges to research and development expense related to raw material inventory identified as excess. We also recorded \$28,000 to write down certain raw material inventory to its net realizable value, which is also included in research and development

Table of Contents

expense for the year ended June 30, 2010. No similar costs were recorded during the year ended June 30, 2009. Our collaborators' estimates of their clinical material requirements are based upon expectations of their clinical trials, including the timing, size, dosing schedule and the maximum tolerated dose likely to be reached for the compound being evaluated. Our collaborators' actual requirements for clinical materials may vary significantly from their projections. Significant differences between our collaborators' actual manufacturing orders and their projections could result in our actual twelve-month usage of raw materials varying significantly from our estimated usage at an earlier reporting period. Reductions in collaborators' projections could indicate that we have additional excess raw material inventory and we would then evaluate the need to record further write-downs, which would be included as charges to research and development expense.

Stock-based Compensation

As of June 30, 2011, the Company is authorized to grant future awards under one share-based compensation plan, which is the ImmunoGen, Inc. 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Equity Incentive Plan. The stock-based awards are accounted for under ASC Topic 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation," pursuant to which the estimated grant date fair value of awards is charged to the statement of operations over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. Such amounts have been reduced by our estimate of forfeitures for unvested awards.

The fair value of each stock option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatility is based exclusively on historical volatility data of our stock. The expected term of stock options granted is based exclusively on historical data and represents the period of time that stock options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected term is calculated for and applied to one group of stock options as we do not expect substantially different exercise or post-vesting termination behavior amongst our employee population. The risk-free rate of the stock options is based on the U.S. Treasury rate in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the stock options. Estimated forfeitures are based on historical data as well as current trends. Stock compensation cost incurred during the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.5 million, \$4.2 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. During fiscal year 2009, we recorded approximately \$843,000 of stock compensation cost related to the modification of certain outstanding common stock options in accordance with our former Chief Executive Officer's succession plan.

Results of Operations

Revenues

Our total revenues for the year ended June 30, 2011 were \$19.3 million compared with \$13.9 million and \$28.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The \$5.4 million increase in revenues in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal year 2010 is attributable to higher revenues from research and development support, license and milestone fees and clinical materials reimbursement, as discussed below. The \$14.1 million decrease in revenues in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009 is attributable to all revenue categories, as discussed below.

Table of Contents

Research and development support was \$7.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, \$5.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, and \$7.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. These amounts primarily represent research funding earned based on actual resources utilized under our agreements with our collaborators as shown in the table below. Also included in research and development support revenue are fees for developing antibody-specific conjugation processes on behalf of our collaborators and potential collaborators during the early evaluation and preclinical testing stages of drug development. The amount of research and development support revenue we earn is directly related to the number of our collaborators and potential collaborators, the stage of development of our collaborators' product candidates and the resources our collaborators allocate to the development effort. As such, the amount of development fees may vary widely from quarter to quarter and year to year. Total revenue recognized from research and development support from each of our collaborative partners in the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is included in the following table (in thousands):

Research and Development Support	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Collaborative Partner:			
Amgen	\$ 3,971	\$ 3,470	\$ 8
Bayer HealthCare	452	96	227
Biogen Idec	2	186	621
Biotest	896	1,041	1,361
Roche	3	424	238
Novartis	1,338		
Sanofi	144	148	4,861
Other	450		250
Total	\$ 7,256	\$ 5,365	\$ 7,566

Revenue from license and milestone fees for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased approximately \$695,000 to \$6.4 million from \$5.7 million in the year ended June 30, 2010. Revenue from license and milestone fees for the year ended June 30, 2009 was \$15.1 million. Included in license and milestone fees for the year ended June 30, 2011 were a \$1.0 million milestone payment related to the initiation of Phase I clinical testing of SAR566658 by Sanofi and a \$2.0 million milestone payment related to the IND filing of BAY 94-9343 by Bayer HealthCare. Included in license and milestone fees for the year ended June 30, 2010 were \$1 million and \$500,000 of preclinical milestones earned pursuant to our agreements with Bayer HealthCare and Sanofi, respectively, as well as a \$1 million milestone related to the initiation of Phase I clinical testing of SAR650984 by Sanofi. Included in license and milestone fees for the year ended June 30, 2009 was a \$6.5 million milestone related to the initiation of Phase III clinical testing of trastuzumab emtansine, or T-DM1, by Roche, a \$4 million milestone related to the initiation of Phase II clinical testing of AVE1642 by Sanofi and a \$500,000 milestone related to the initiation of Phase I clinical testing of BT-062 by Biotest. Also during the year ended June 30, 2009, Millennium Pharmaceuticals and Boehringer Ingelheim agreed to terminate their licenses with us that were no longer being used to develop products and as a result, we recognized as license and milestone fees \$361,000 and \$486,000, respectively, of upfront fees previously deferred. The amount of license and milestone fees we earn is directly related to the number of our collaborators and potential collaborators, the resources our collaborators allocate to the advancement of the product candidates, the number of clinical trials our collaborators conduct and the speed of enrollment and overall success in those trials. As such, the amount of license and milestone fees may vary widely from quarter to quarter and year to year. Total revenue recognized from license and milestone fees from each of our

Table of Contents

collaborative partners in the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is included in the following table (in thousands):

License and Milestone Fees	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Collaborative Partner:			
Amgen	\$ 1,123	\$ 689	\$ 511
Bayer HealthCare	2,615	1,616	410
Biogen Idec	28	157	228
Biotest	130	149	669
Boehringer Ingelheim			486
Janssen Biotech	62	114	138
Roche		38	6,651
Millennium			361
Sanofi	2,435	2,935	5,663
Total	\$ 6,393	\$ 5,698	\$ 15,117

Deferred revenue of \$53.9 million at June 30, 2011 represents payments received from our collaborators pursuant to our license agreements, including a \$45 million upfront payment received from Novartis during the current fiscal year, which we have yet to earn pursuant to our revenue recognition policy.

Clinical materials reimbursement increased by approximately \$2.8 million to \$5.7 million in the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$2.9 million in the year ended June 30, 2010. We earned clinical materials reimbursement of \$5.3 million during the year ended June 30, 2009. During the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we shipped clinical materials in support of a number of our collaborators' clinical trials, as well as preclinical materials in support of certain collaborators' development efforts and DMx shipments to certain collaborators in support of development and manufacturing efforts. The increase in clinical materials reimbursement in fiscal year 2011 as compared to fiscal year 2010 is primarily due to greater clinical material shipped in support of one of our collaborator's trials due to advancement of the trial, as well as shipments of preclinical and clinical material to a certain collaborator for future, planned clinical testing. The decrease in clinical materials reimbursement in fiscal year 2010 as compared to fiscal year 2009 is primarily related to less clinical material shipped in support of two of our collaborators' trials due to various factors, including the dosage schedule and speed of enrollment within the trials. We are reimbursed for certain of our direct and overhead costs to produce clinical materials plus, for certain programs, a profit margin. The amount of clinical materials reimbursement we earn, and the related cost of clinical materials charged to research and development expense, is directly related to the number of clinical trials our collaborators are preparing or have underway, the speed of enrollment in those trials, the dosage schedule of each clinical trial and the time period, if any, during which patients in the trial receive clinical benefit from the clinical materials, and the supply of clinical-grade material to our collaborators for process development and analytical purposes. As such, the amount of clinical materials reimbursement revenue and the related cost of clinical materials charged to research and development expense may vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year.

Research and Development Expenses

Our net research and development expenses relate to (i) research to evaluate new targets and to develop and evaluate new antibodies, linkers and cytotoxic agents, (ii) preclinical testing of our own and, in certain instances, our collaborators' product candidates, and the cost of our own clinical trials,

Table of Contents

(iii) development related to clinical and commercial manufacturing processes and (iv) manufacturing operations. Our research and development efforts have been primarily focused in the following areas:

activities pursuant to our development and license agreements with various collaborators;

activities related to the process, preclinical and clinical development of our internal product candidates;

process improvements related to the production of DM1, DM4 and strain development of their precursor, ansamitocin P3;

development activities with contract manufacturers for the antibody component of our internal product candidates, and DM1, DM4 and their precursor, ansamitocin P3;

production costs for the supply of antibody for our internal product candidates;

production costs for the supply of DMx for our and our partners' preclinical and clinical activities;

operation and maintenance of our conjugate manufacturing facility, including production of our own and our collaborators' clinical materials;

process improvements to our TAP technology;

evaluation of potential antigen targets;

evaluation of internally developed and/or in-licensed product candidates and technologies; and

development and evaluation of additional cytotoxic agents and linkers.

Research and development expense for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased \$13.2 million to \$63.5 million from \$50.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2010. Research and development expense was \$45.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Research and development salaries and related expenses increased by \$3.6 million in the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010 and increased by \$1.8 million in the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. The average number of our research personnel increased to 192 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to 176 for the year ended June 30, 2010. We had an average of 175 for the year ended June 30, 2009. Included in salaries and related expenses for the year ended June 30, 2011 is \$3.3 million of stock compensation costs compared to \$2.7 million and \$1.7 million of stock compensation costs for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. The higher stock compensation costs in fiscal years 2011 and 2010 are driven by higher stock prices and increases in the number of annual options granted. Clinical trial costs increased \$2.1 million during fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010 and increased \$1.1 million in fiscal year 2010 compared to fiscal year 2009 due primarily to higher patient enrollment and increased site management costs driven from expanded sites. Additionally, antibody development and supply expense increased \$2.6 million during fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010 and increased \$644,000 in fiscal year 2010 compared to fiscal year 2009 due to the advancement of our internal programs and timing of supply requirements.

We are unable to accurately estimate which potential product candidates, if any, will eventually move into our internal preclinical research program. We are unable to reliably estimate the costs to develop these products as a result of the uncertainties related to discovery research efforts as well as preclinical and clinical testing. Our decision to move a product candidate into the clinical development phase is predicated upon the results of preclinical tests. We cannot accurately predict which, if any, of the discovery stage product candidates will advance from preclinical testing and move into our internal clinical development program. The clinical trial and regulatory approval processes for our product candidates that have advanced or that we intend to advance to clinical testing are lengthy, expensive and uncertain in both timing and outcome. As a result, the pace and timing of the clinical development

Table of Contents

of our product candidates is highly uncertain and may not ever result in approved products. Completion dates and development costs will vary significantly for each product candidate and are difficult to predict. A variety of factors, many of which are outside our control, could cause or contribute to the prevention or delay of the successful completion of our clinical trials, or delay or prevent our obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. The costs to take a product through clinical trials are dependent upon, among other factors, the clinical indications, the timing, size and design of each clinical trial, the number of patients enrolled in each trial, and the speed at which patients are enrolled and treated. Product candidates may be found to be ineffective or to cause unacceptable side effects during clinical trials, may take longer to progress through clinical trials than anticipated, may fail to receive necessary regulatory approvals or may prove impractical to manufacture in commercial quantities at reasonable cost or with acceptable quality.

The lengthy process of securing FDA approvals for new drugs requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals would materially adversely affect our product development efforts and our business overall. Accordingly, we cannot currently estimate, with any degree of certainty, the amount of time or money that we will be required to expend in the future on our product candidates prior to their regulatory approval, if such approval is ever granted. As a result of these uncertainties surrounding the timing and outcome of our clinical trials, we are currently unable to estimate when, if ever, our product candidates that have advanced into clinical testing will generate revenues and cash flows.

We do not track our research and development costs by project. Since we use our research and development resources across multiple research and development projects, we manage our research and development expenses within each of the categories listed in the following table and described in more detail below (in thousands):

Research and Development Expense	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Research	\$ 15,208	\$ 14,200	\$ 13,965
Preclinical and Clinical Testing	16,884	12,892	9,762
Process and Product Development	7,238	5,959	6,037
Manufacturing Operations	24,123	17,229	16,140
Total Research and Development Expense	\$ 63,453	\$ 50,280	\$ 45,904

Research Research includes expenses associated with activities to evaluate new targets and to develop and evaluate new antibodies, linkers and cytotoxic agents for our products and in support of our collaborators. Such expenses primarily include personnel, fees to in-license certain technology, facilities and lab supplies. Research expenses increased \$1.0 million to \$15.2 million in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal year 2010 and \$235,000 to \$14.2 million in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009. The increase in fiscal 2011 was principally due to an increase in salaries and related expenses and an increase in contract service expense related to research studies conducted during the year. The increase in fiscal year 2010 was principally the result of an increase in stock compensation costs.

Preclinical and Clinical Testing Preclinical and clinical testing includes expenses related to preclinical testing of our own and, in certain instances, our collaborators' product candidates, regulatory activities, and the cost of our own clinical trials. Such expenses include personnel, patient enrollment at our clinical testing sites, consultant fees, contract services, and facility expenses. Preclinical and clinical testing expenses increased \$4.0 million to \$16.9 million in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal 2010 and \$3.1 million to \$12.9 million in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009. The increase in fiscal year 2011 was primarily the result of an increase in clinical trial costs and an increase in salaries and related expenses. The increase in fiscal year 2010 was primarily the result of an increase in clinical trial costs, an increase in consulting fees for regulatory assistance and preclinical studies conducted, and an

Table of Contents

increase in salaries and related expenses due to the addition of two executive officers and higher salary levels.

Process and Product Development Process and product development expenses include costs for development of clinical and commercial manufacturing processes for our own and collaborator compounds. Such expenses include the costs of personnel, contract services and facility expenses. Total development expenses increased \$1.2 million to \$7.2 million in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal year 2010 and expenses decreased \$78,000 to \$6.0 million in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009. The increase in fiscal year 2011 was primarily the result of an increase in salaries and related expenses, as well as an increase in contract service expense due to increased outsourcing of certain release and stability testing of internal antibodies.

Manufacturing Operations Manufacturing operations expense includes costs to manufacture preclinical and clinical materials for our own and our collaborators' product candidates, quality control and quality assurance activities and costs to support the operation and maintenance of our conjugate manufacturing facility. Such expenses include personnel, raw materials for our and our collaborators' preclinical studies and clinical trials, development costs with contract manufacturing organizations, manufacturing supplies, and facilities expense. Manufacturing operations expense increased \$6.9 million to \$24.1 million in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal year 2010 and \$1.1 million to \$17.2 million in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009. The increase in fiscal year 2011 was primarily the result of (i) an increase in cost of clinical materials reimbursed for clinical materials shipped to partners during the current period and amounts of DMx written off as excess; (ii) an increase in antibody development and supply expense; (iii) an increase in raw materials used in production due to increased manufacturing activity; (iv) an increase in contract service expense; and (v) an increase in salaries and related expenses. Partially offsetting these increases, overhead utilization absorbed by the manufacture of clinical materials on behalf of our collaborators increased. The increase in fiscal year 2010 was primarily the result of (i) a decrease in overhead utilization from the manufacture of clinical materials on behalf of our collaborators; (ii) an increase in antibody supply and development expenses; and (iii) an increase in stock compensation costs. Partially offsetting these increases, contract service expense decreased and cost of clinical materials reimbursed decreased.

Antibody expense in anticipation of potential future clinical trials, as well as our ongoing trials, was \$3.7 million in fiscal year 2011, \$1.1 million in fiscal year 2010, and \$503,000 in fiscal year 2009. The process of antibody production is lengthy as is the lead time to establish a satisfactory production process at a vendor. Accordingly, costs incurred related to antibody production and development have fluctuated from period to period and we expect these cost fluctuations to continue in the future.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased \$1.1 million to \$16.0 million from \$14.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2010. General and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2009 were \$13.9 million. The increase in fiscal year 2011 as compared to fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to an increase in patent expenses and an increase in salaries and related expenses, partially offset by a decrease in other general corporate expenses. The increase in fiscal year 2010 as compared to fiscal year 2009 was primarily due to an increase in patent expenses, an increase in consulting fees, an increase in directors' fees and an increase in other general corporate expenses, partially offset by a decrease in salaries and related expenses. During fiscal year 2009, the Company recognized a total of \$1.6 million in stock compensation expense and other compensation costs related to our former Chief Executive Officer's succession plan and the termination of an executive.

Table of Contents*Investment Income, net*

Investment income for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$218,000, \$176,000 and \$583,000, respectively. The decrease in investment income in fiscal years 2011 and 2010 from fiscal year 2009 is primarily the result of lower yields on investments reflecting lower market rates.

Other-than-Temporary Impairment

During the year ended June 30, 2009, we recognized \$516,000 in charges for the impairment of available-for-sale securities that were determined to be other-than-temporary following a decline in value. No similar charges were recognized during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Other Income (Expense), net

Other income (expense), net for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.7 million, \$(118,000) and \$(288,000), respectively. Net realized gains (losses) on investments were \$341,000 and \$(33,000), for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2009, respectively. There were no gains or losses recognized during the year ended June 30, 2010. During the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded net gains (losses) on foreign currency forward contracts of \$189,000, \$(219,000) and \$(234,000), respectively. We incurred \$(57,000), \$104,000, and \$(29,000) in foreign currency exchange (losses) and gains related to obligations with non-U.S. dollar-based suppliers during the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, during fiscal year 2011, we recognized \$1.2 million of federal grant funding awarded under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 to develop new anticancer therapies.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	June 30,	
	2011	2010
	(In thousands)	
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 191,206	\$ 110,298
Working capital	186,959	103,296
Shareholders' equity	139,969	102,048
Cash used for operating activities	(7,989)	(40,584)
Cash used for investing activities	(660)	(882)
Cash provided by financing activities	90,699	80,983

Cash Flows

We require cash to fund our operating expenses, including the advancement of our own clinical programs, and to make capital expenditures. Historically, we have funded our cash requirements primarily through equity financings in public markets and payments from our collaborators, including equity investments, license fees, milestones and research funding. As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$191.2 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. Net cash used for operations was \$8.0 million, \$40.6 million and \$13.3 million during the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The principal use of cash in operating activities for all periods presented was to fund our net loss. Cash used in operations in fiscal 2011 benefited from the \$45 million upfront payment received from Novartis in October 2010 with the establishment of a technology access collaboration between the companies.

Table of Contents

Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities was \$(660,000), \$(882,000) and \$12.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and substantially represents cash inflows from the sales and maturities of marketable securities partially offset by capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were \$2.0 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Capital expenditures for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 consisted primarily of laboratory equipment and computer software applications.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$90.7 million, \$81.0 million and \$39.4 million for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which includes the proceeds from the exercise of 550,000, 634,000 and 416,000 stock options, respectively. Also, pursuant to public offerings, in fiscal 2011, we issued and sold 7,800,000 shares of our common stock resulting in net proceeds of \$88.0 million, in fiscal 2010, we issued and sold 10,350,000 shares of our common stock resulting in net proceeds of \$77.5 million and in fiscal 2009, we issued and sold 5,750,000 shares of our common stock resulting in net proceeds of \$38 million.

We anticipate that our current capital resources and expected future collaborator payments under existing collaborations will enable us to meet our operational expenses and capital expenditures through fiscal year 2014. However, we cannot provide assurance that such collaborative agreement funding will, in fact, be received. Should we or our partners not meet some or all of the terms and conditions of our various collaboration agreements, we may be required to pursue additional strategic partners, secure alternative financing arrangements, and/or defer or limit some or all of our research, development and/or clinical projects.

Contractual Obligations

Below is a table that presents our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than One Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Waltham lease obligation ⁽¹⁾	\$ 46,101	\$ 4,994	\$ 10,055	\$ 10,522	\$ 20,530
Other operating lease obligations	6,378	886	1,794	1,838	1,860
Total	\$ 52,479	\$ 5,880	\$ 11,849	\$ 12,360	\$ 22,390

(1)

Lease agreement was signed on July 27, 2007. In December 2009, we entered into a sublease for 14,100 square feet of our office and laboratory space at 830 Winter Street, Waltham, MA through January 2015. We will receive approximately \$2.2 million in minimum rental payments over the remaining term of the sublease, which is not included in the table above.

In addition to the above table, we are contractually obligated to make future success-based regulatory milestone payments in conjunction with certain collaborative agreements. These payments are contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events and, given the nature of these events, it is unclear when, if ever, we may be required to pay such amounts. Therefore, the timing of any future payment is not reasonably estimable. As a result, these contingent payments have not been included in the table above or recorded in our consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2011, the maximum amount that may be payable in the future under such arrangements is approximately \$43.0 million.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement." This ASU clarifies the concepts related to highest and best use and valuation premise, blockage factors and other premiums and discounts, the fair value measurement of financial instruments held in a portfolio and of those instruments classified as a component of shareholders' equity. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, the use of nonfinancial assets, and the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value. The provisions of this ASU are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early application is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income." This ASU intends to enhance comparability and transparency of other comprehensive income components. The guidance provides an option to present total comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or two separate but consecutive statements. This ASU eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income components as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. The provisions of this ASU will be applied retrospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk*

We maintain an investment portfolio in accordance with our investment policy. The primary objectives of our investment policy are to preserve principal, maintain proper liquidity to meet operating needs and maximize yields. Although our investments are subject to credit risk, our investment policy specifies credit quality standards for our investments and limits the amount of credit exposure from any single issue, issuer or type of investment. Our investments are also subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market interest rates increase. However, due to the conservative nature of our investments and relatively short duration, interest rate risk is mitigated. We do not own derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. Accordingly, we do not believe that there is any material market risk exposure with respect to derivative or other financial instruments that would require disclosure under this item.

Our foreign currency hedging program uses forward contracts to manage the foreign currency exposures that exist as part of our ongoing business operations. The contracts are denominated in Euros and have maturities of less than one year. Our foreign currency risk management strategy is principally designed to mitigate the future potential financial impact of changes in the value of transactions, anticipated transactions and balances denominated in foreign currency, resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Our market risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates are concentrated primarily in a portfolio of short duration foreign currency forward contracts. Generally, these contracts provide that we receive certain foreign currencies and pay U.S. dollars at specified exchange rates at specified future dates. Although we are exposed to credit and market risk in the event of future nonperformance by a counterparty, management has no reason to believe that such an event will occur.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>61</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of ImmunoGen, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ImmunoGen, Inc. as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of ImmunoGen, Inc. at June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), ImmunoGen, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated August 29, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
August 29, 2011

Table of Contents**IMMUNOGEN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****In thousands, except per share amounts**

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 191,206	\$ 109,156
Marketable securities		1,142
Accounts receivable	4,668	1,795
Unbilled revenue	1,488	1,595
Inventory	480	1,242
Restricted cash	1,019	574
Prepaid and other current assets	2,664	1,614
Total current assets	201,525	117,118
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	13,409	16,326
Long-term restricted cash	2,549	3,568
Other assets	158	196
Total assets	\$ 217,641	\$ 137,208
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,213	\$ 3,064
Accrued compensation	4,723	4,201
Other accrued liabilities	3,305	2,404
Current portion of deferred lease incentive	979	979
Current portion of deferred revenue	2,346	3,174
Total current liabilities	14,566	13,822
Deferred lease incentive, net of current portion	7,583	8,562
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	51,545	8,488
Other long-term liabilities	3,978	4,288
Total liabilities	77,672	35,160
Commitments and contingencies (Note H)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 5,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 100,000 shares; issued and outstanding 76,281 and 67,931 shares as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively	763	679
Additional paid-in capital	569,843	473,450
Accumulated deficit	(430,637)	(372,363)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		282
Total shareholders' equity	139,969	102,048
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 217,641	\$ 137,208

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**IMMUNOGEN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****In thousands, except per share amounts**

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenues:			
Research and development support	\$ 7,256	\$ 5,365	\$ 7,566
License and milestone fees	6,393	5,698	15,117
Clinical materials reimbursement	5,656	2,880	5,305
Total revenues	19,305	13,943	27,988
Operating Expenses:			
Research and development	63,453	50,280	45,904
General and administrative	16,040	14,898	13,900
Total operating expenses	79,493	65,178	59,804
Loss from operations	(60,188)	(51,235)	(31,816)
Investment income, net	218	176	583
Other-than-temporary impairment			(516)
Other income (expense), net	1,696	(118)	(288)
Loss before benefit for income taxes	(58,274)	(51,177)	(32,037)
Benefit for income taxes		(265)	(100)
Net loss	\$ (58,274)	\$ (50,912)	\$ (31,937)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.87)	\$ (0.63)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	68,919	58,845	51,068

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

In thousands

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Shareholders' Equity	Comprehensive (Loss)
	Shares	Amount			Income (Loss)		
Balance at June 30, 2008	50,778	\$ 508	\$ 344,498	\$ (289,568)	\$ (139)	\$ 55,299	
Unrealized losses on marketable securities					(15)	(15)	(15)
Cumulative effect adjustment relating to the adoption of ASC Topic 320				54	(54)		
Net loss				(31,937)		(31,937)	(31,937)
Stock options exercised	416	4	1,310			1,314	
Stock-based compensation expense			3,956			3,956	
Restricted stock issued	3		20			20	
Issuance of common stock in a public offering, net of issuance costs	5,750	57	37,988			38,045	
Directors' deferred share unit compensation			175			175	
Balance at June 30, 2009	56,947	\$ 569	\$ 387,947	\$ (321,451)	\$ (208)	\$ 66,857	
Comprehensive loss							\$ (31,952)
Unrealized gains on marketable securities					490	490	490
Net loss				(50,912)		(50,912)	(50,912)
Stock options exercised	634	6	3,455			3,461	
Stock-based compensation expense			4,170			4,170	
Issuance of common stock in a public offering, net of issuance costs	10,350	104	77,418			77,522	
Directors' deferred share unit compensation			460			460	
Balance at June 30, 2010	67,931	\$ 679	\$ 473,450	\$ (372,363)	\$ 282	\$ 102,048	
Comprehensive loss							\$ (50,422)
Unrealized losses on marketable securities					(282)	(282)	(282)
Net loss				(58,274)		(58,274)	(58,274)
Stock options exercised	550	6	2,713			2,719	
Stock-based compensation expense			5,452			5,452	
Issuance of common stock in a public offering, net of issuance costs	7,800	78	87,902			87,980	
Directors' deferred share unit compensation			326			326	
Balance at June 30, 2011	76,281	\$ 763	\$ 569,843	\$ (430,637)	\$	\$ 139,969	
Comprehensive loss							\$ (58,556)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**IMMUNOGEN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****In thousands**

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (58,274)	\$ (50,912)	\$ (31,937)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used for operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	4,937	4,838	4,995
Loss on sale/disposal of fixed assets	9	41	18
Amortization of deferred lease incentive obligation	(979)	(979)	(975)
(Gain) loss on sale of marketable securities	(341)		33
Other-than-temporary impairment of investments			516
(Gain) loss on forward contracts	(189)	219	234
Stock and deferred share unit compensation	5,778	4,640	4,235
Deferred rent	(4)	55	1,450
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(2,873)	(49)	(1,350)
Unbilled revenue	107	(1,034)	2,911
Inventory	762	594	280
Prepaid and other current assets	(1,038)	(386)	312
Restricted cash	574	366	366
Other assets	38	(171)	13
Accounts payable	149	1,820	(167)
Accrued compensation	522	61	2,976
Other accrued liabilities	604	1,393	(2,871)
Deferred revenue	42,229	(1,080)	4,877
Proceeds from landlord for tenant improvements			750
Net cash used for operating activities	(7,989)	(40,584)	(13,334)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from maturities or sales of marketable securities	1,201	834	14,227
Purchases of marketable securities			(25)
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(2,029)	(1,534)	(1,896)
Proceeds (payments) from settlement of forward contracts	168	(182)	(311)
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(660)	(882)	11,995
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from stock options exercised	2,719	3,462	1,314
Proceeds from common stock issuance, net	87,980	77,521	38,045
Net cash provided by financing activities	90,699	80,983	39,359
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	82,050	39,517	38,020
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	109,156	69,639	31,619
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 191,206	\$ 109,156	\$ 69,639

Supplemental disclosure:

Cash paid for income taxes	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
----------------------------	----	---	----	---	----	---

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

A. Nature of Business and Plan of Operations

ImmunoGen, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in Massachusetts in 1981 and is focused on the development of antibody-based anticancer therapeutics. The Company has incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operations since inception, incurred a net loss of approximately \$58.3 million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and has an accumulated deficit of approximately \$430.6 million as of June 30, 2011. The Company has primarily funded these losses through payments received from its collaborations and equity financings. To date, the Company has no product revenue and management expects operating losses to continue for the foreseeable future. The Company believes that its existing cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2011, excluding any future milestone payments, royalties and research and development funding that the Company expects to receive under its existing collaborations, will be sufficient to allow it to fund its current operating plan through fiscal 2013.

The Company may raise additional funds through equity or debt financings or generate revenues from collaborative partners through a combination of upfront license payments, milestone payments, research funding, and clinical material reimbursement. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain additional debt or equity financing or generate revenues from collaborative partners, on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all. The failure of the Company to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition and require the Company to defer or limit some or all of its research, development and/or clinical projects.

The Company is subject to risks common to companies in the biotechnology industry including, but not limited to, the development by its competitors of new technological innovations, dependence on key personnel, protection of proprietary technology, manufacturing and marketing limitations, collaboration arrangements, third-party reimbursements and compliance with governmental regulations.

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, ImmunoGen Securities Corp. (established in December 1989), and ImmunoGen Europe Limited (established in October 2005). All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S.) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after June 30, 2011 up through the date the Company issued these financial statements. Effective July 2011, Biogen Idec

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

terminated its exclusive license to the Company's TAP technology to develop and commercialize therapeutic compounds to the target Cripto. As a result of the termination, in July 2011 the Company recognized the remaining \$270,000 of the \$1 million upfront fee received from Biogen Idec upon execution of the license which had been previously deferred.

Revenue Recognition

The Company enters into licensing and development agreements with collaborative partners for the development of monoclonal antibody-based anticancer therapeutics. The terms of these agreements contain multiple deliverables which may include (i) licenses, or options to obtain licenses, to the Company's TAP technology, (ii) rights to future technological improvements, (iii) research activities to be performed on behalf of the collaborative partner, and (iv) the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials for the collaborative partner. Payments to the Company under these agreements may include non-refundable license fees, option fees, exercise fees, payments for research activities, payments for the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials, payments based upon the achievement of certain milestones and royalties on product sales. The Company follows the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605-25, "Revenue Recognition Multiple-Element Arrangements," and ASU No. 2010-17, "Revenue Recognition Milestone Method," in accounting for these agreements. Effective July 1, 2010, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements", which amends FASB ASC Topic 605-25. In order to account for these agreements, the Company must identify the deliverables included within the agreement and evaluate which deliverables represent separate units of accounting based on if certain criteria are met, including whether the delivered element has standalone value to the collaborator. The consideration received is allocated among the separate units of accounting, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had the following three types of agreements with the parties identified below:

Exclusive development and commercialization licenses to use the Company's TAP technology and/or certain other intellectual property to develop compounds to a single target antigen (exclusive licenses):
Amgen (two single-target licenses)

Bayer HealthCare (one single-target license)

Biotest (one single-target license)

Roche, through its Genentech unit (five single-target licenses)

Sanofi (license to multiple individual targets)

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Option/research agreement for a defined period of time to secure development and commercialization licenses to use the Company's TAP technology to develop anticancer compounds to a limited number of targets on established terms (broad option agreement):

Amgen

Sanofi

Novartis

Non-exclusive license to the Company's humanization technology:
Sanofi

There are no performance, cancellation, termination or refund provisions in any of our arrangements that contain material financial consequences to the Company.

Exclusive Licenses

The deliverables under an exclusive license agreement generally include the exclusive license to the Company's TAP technology, and may also include deliverables related to rights to future technological improvements, research activities to be performed on behalf of the collaborative partner and the manufacture of preclinical or clinical materials for the collaborative partner.

Generally, exclusive license agreements contain non-refundable terms for payments and, depending on the terms of the agreement, provide that the Company will (i) at the collaborator's request, provide research services which are reimbursed at a contractually determined rate, (ii) at the collaborator's request, manufacture and provide to them preclinical and clinical materials which are reimbursed at the Company's cost, or, in some cases, cost plus a margin, (iii) earn payments upon the achievement of certain milestones and (iv) earn royalty payments, generally until the later of the last applicable patent expiration or 10 to 12 years after product launch. Royalty rates may vary over the royalty term depending on the Company's intellectual property rights. The Company may provide technical assistance and share any technology improvements with its collaborators during the term of the collaboration agreements. The Company does not directly control when any collaborator will request research or manufacturing services, achieve milestones or become liable for royalty payments. As a result, the Company cannot predict when it will recognize revenues in connection with any of the foregoing.

In determining the units of accounting, management evaluates whether the exclusive license has standalone value, from the undelivered elements, to the collaborative partner based on the consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances for each arrangement. Factors considered in this determination include the research capabilities of the partner and the availability of TAP technology research expertise in the general marketplace. If the Company concludes that the license has stand alone value and therefore will be accounted for as a separate unit of accounting, the Company then determines the estimated selling prices of the license and all other units of accounting based on market conditions, similar arrangements entered into by third parties, and entity-specific factors such as the terms of the Company's previous collaborative agreements, recent preclinical and clinical testing results of therapeutic products that use the Company's TAP technology, the Company's pricing practices and

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

pricing objectives, the likelihood that technological improvements will be made, the likelihood that technological improvements made will be used by the Company's collaborators and the nature of the research services to be performed on behalf of its collaborators and market rates for similar services.

Upfront payments on single-target licenses are deferred if facts and circumstances dictate that the license does not have standalone value. The determination of the length of the period over which to defer revenue is subject to judgment and estimation and can have an impact on the amount of revenue recognized in a given period. The Company's employees are generally available to assist its collaborators during the development of their products. The Company generally estimates this development phase to begin at the inception of the collaboration agreement and conclude at the end of non-pivotal Phase II testing. The Company believes this period of involvement is, depending on the nature of the license, on average six and one-half years. Quarterly, the Company reassesses its periods of substantial involvement over which the Company amortizes its upfront license fees and makes adjustments as appropriate. In the event a collaborator elects to discontinue development of a specific product candidate under a single target license, but retains its right to use the Company's technology to develop an alternative product candidate to the same target or a target substitute, the Company would cease amortization of any remaining portion of the upfront fee until there is substantial preclinical activity on another product candidate and its remaining period of substantial involvement can be estimated. In the event that a single target license were to be terminated, the Company would recognize as revenue any portion of the upfront fee that had not previously been recorded as revenue, but was classified as deferred revenue, at the date of such termination.

Upfront payments on single-target licenses may be recognized upon delivery of the license if facts and circumstances dictate that the license has standalone value from the undelivered elements, which generally include rights to future technological improvements, research services and the manufacture of preclinical and clinical materials.

The Company recognizes revenue related to research services that represent separate units of accounting as they are performed, as long as there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the related receivable is probable. The Company recognizes revenue related to the rights to future technological improvements over the estimated period that the rights will be in force.

The Company may also produce preclinical and clinical materials for its collaborators. The Company is reimbursed for its direct costs and a portion of its overhead costs to produce clinical materials. The Company recognizes revenue on preclinical and clinical materials when the materials have passed all quality testing required for collaborator acceptance and title and risk of loss have transferred to the collaborator.

The Company may also produce research material for potential collaborators under material transfer agreements. Additionally, the Company performs research activities, including developing antibody specific conjugation processes, on behalf of its collaborators and potential collaborators during the early evaluation and preclinical testing stages of drug development. Generally, the Company is reimbursed for certain of its direct and overhead costs of producing these materials or providing these services. The Company records the amounts received for the preclinical materials produced or services performed as a component of research and development support revenue. The Company also develops

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

conjugation processes for materials for later stage testing and commercialization for certain collaborators. The Company is reimbursed for certain of its direct and overhead costs and may receive milestone payments for developing these processes which are recorded as a component of research and development support revenue.

The Company's license agreements have milestone fees which generally meet the criteria of ASU No. 2010-17, "Revenue Recognition Milestone Method," and accordingly, revenue is recognized when such milestones are achieved.

Broad Option Agreements

The accounting for broad option agreements is dependent on the nature of the option granted to the collaborative partner. For broad option agreements where the option to secure a development and commercialization license to the Company's TAP technology is considered substantive, the Company defers upfront payments received and recognizes this revenue over the period during which the collaborator could elect to take an option for a development and commercialization license. These periods are specific to each collaboration agreement. If a collaborator takes an option to acquire a development and commercialization license under these agreements, any substantive option fee is deferred and recognized over the life of the option, generally 12 to 18 months. If a collaborator exercises an option and the Company grants a single target development and commercialization license to the collaborator, the Company accounts for any license fee as it would an upfront payment on a single target license, as discussed above. Upon exercise of an option to acquire a development and commercialization license, the Company would also recognize any remaining deferred option fee or exercise fee as it would an upfront payment on a single target license as discussed above. In the event a broad option/research agreement were to be terminated, the Company would recognize as revenue any portion of the upfront fee that had not previously been recorded as revenue, but was classified as deferred revenue, at the date of such termination. The Company recognizes revenue related to research activities as they are performed, as long as there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the related receivable is probable.

For broad option agreements where the option to secure a development and commercialization license to the Company's TAP technology is not considered substantive, the Company accounts for any fees received as it would an upfront payment on a single target license, as discussed above.

The Company does not directly control when any collaborator will exercise its options for development and commercialization licenses. As a result, the Company cannot predict when it will recognize revenues in connection with any of the foregoing.

Non-exclusive License

The Company received up-front payments related to the non-exclusive license of the Company's humanization technology and has deferred these payments, and is recognizing the revenue over the term of the agreement.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Inventory

Inventory costs primarily relate to clinical trial materials being manufactured for sale to the Company's collaborators. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market as determined on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis. Inventory at June 30, 2011 and 2010 is \$480,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, and consists entirely of raw materials inventory.

Raw materials inventory consists entirely of DM1 or DM4, our proprietary cell-killing agents, which are included in all Targeted Antibody Payload, or TAP, product candidates currently in preclinical and clinical testing with our collaborators. All raw materials inventory is currently procured from a single supplier.

Inventory cost is stated net of write-downs of \$2.0 million and \$939,000 as of June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. The write-downs represent the cost of raw materials that the Company considers to be in excess of a twelve-month supply based on firm, fixed orders and projections from its collaborators as of the respective balance sheet date.

Due to yield fluctuations, the actual amount of raw materials that will be produced in future periods under third-party supply agreements is highly uncertain. As such, the amount of raw materials produced could be more than is required to support the development of the Company's collaborators' product candidates. Such excess supply, as determined under the Company's inventory reserve policy, is charged to research and development expense.

The Company produces preclinical and clinical materials for its collaborators either in anticipation of or in support of preclinical studies and clinical trials, or for process development and analytical purposes. Under the terms of supply agreements with its collaborators, the Company generally receives rolling six-month firm, fixed orders for conjugate that the Company is required to manufacture, and rolling twelve-month manufacturing projections for the quantity of conjugate the collaborator expects to need in any given twelve-month period. The amount of clinical material produced is directly related to the number of collaborator anticipated or on-going clinical trials for which the Company is producing clinical material, the speed of enrollment in those trials, the dosage schedule of each clinical trial and the time period, if any, during which patients in the trial receive clinical benefit from the clinical materials. Because these elements are difficult to estimate over the course of a trial, substantial differences between collaborators' actual manufacturing orders and their projections could result in usage of raw materials varying significantly from estimated usage at an earlier reporting period. To the extent that a collaborator has provided the Company with a firm, fixed order, the collaborator is required by contract to reimburse the Company the full cost of the conjugate and any agreed margin thereon, even if the collaborator subsequently cancels the manufacturing run.

The Company capitalizes raw material as inventory upon receipt and accounts for the raw material inventory as follows:

- a) to the extent that the Company has up to twelve months of firm, fixed orders and/or projections from its collaborators, the Company capitalizes the value of raw materials that will be used in the production of conjugate subject to these firm, fixed orders and/or projections;
- b) the Company considers more than a twelve month supply of raw materials that is not supported by firm, fixed orders and/or projections from its collaborators to be excess and

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

establishes a reserve to reduce to zero the value of any such excess raw material inventory with a corresponding charge to research and development expense; and

c)

the Company also considers any other external factors and information of which it becomes aware and assesses the impact of such factors or information on the net realizable value of the raw material inventory at each reporting period.

During fiscal years 2011 and 2010, the Company obtained additional amounts of DMx from its supplier which yielded more material than would be required by the Company's collaborators over the next twelve months and as a result, the Company recorded \$1.7 million and \$900,000, respectively, of charges to research and development expense related to raw material inventory identified as excess. The Company also recorded \$28,000 as research and development expense to write down certain raw material inventory to its net realizable value in fiscal 2010. No similar costs were recorded during the year ended June 30, 2009. Increases in the Company's on-hand supply of raw materials, or a reduction to the Company's collaborators' projections, could result in significant changes in the Company's estimate of the net realizable value of such raw material inventory. Reductions in collaborators' projections could indicate that the Company has additional excess raw material inventory and the Company would then evaluate the need to record further write-downs as charges to research and development expense.

Unbilled Revenue

The majority of the Company's unbilled revenue at June 30, 2011 and 2010 represents research funding earned based on actual resources utilized under the Company's various collaborator agreements.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash at June 30, 2011 and 2010 are cash balances securing irrevocable letters of credit required for the Company to receive value added tax reimbursements related to payments to foreign vendors for activities performed and as security deposits for the Company's leased facilities.

Other Accrued Liabilities

Other accrued liabilities consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2011	2010
Accrued contract payments	\$ 684	\$ 324
Accrued clinical trial costs	1,068	537
Accrued professional services	652	709
Accrued employee benefits	277	233
Accrued public reporting charges	78	111
Other current accrued liabilities	546	490
Total	\$ 3,305	\$ 2,404

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Research and Development Expenses

The Company's research and development expenses are charged to expense as incurred and relate to (i) research to evaluate new targets and to develop and evaluate new antibodies, linkers and cytotoxic agents, (ii) preclinical testing of its own and, in certain instances, its collaborators' product candidates, and the cost of its own clinical trials, (iii) development related to clinical and commercial manufacturing processes and (iv) manufacturing operations. Payments made by the Company in advance for research and development services not yet provided and/or materials not yet delivered and accepted are recorded as prepaid expenses and are included in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as prepaid and other current assets.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method to account for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and income tax basis of assets and liabilities, as well as net operating loss carry forwards and tax credits and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences reverse. A valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets is recorded if, based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Financial Instruments and Concentration of Credit Risk

Cash and cash equivalents are primarily maintained with three financial institutions in the U.S. Deposits with banks may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. Generally, these deposits may be redeemed upon demand and, therefore, bear minimal risk. The Company's cash equivalents consist principally of U.S. Government treasury bills with original maturities of less than three months and a money market fund with underlying investments primarily being U.S. Government-issued securities and high quality, short-term commercial paper. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of marketable securities. The Company held no marketable securities as of June 30, 2011. The Company's investment policy, approved by the Board of Directors, limits the amount it may invest in any one type of investment, thereby reducing credit risk concentrations.

Derivative instruments include a portfolio of short duration foreign currency forward contracts intended to mitigate the risk of exchange fluctuations for existing or anticipated receivable and payable balances denominated in foreign currency. Derivatives are estimated at fair value and classified as other current assets or liabilities. The fair value of these instruments represents the present value of estimated future cash flows under the contracts, which are a function of underlying interest rates, currency rates, related volatility, counterparty creditworthiness and duration of the contracts. Changes in these factors or a combination thereof may affect the fair value of these instruments.

The Company does not designate foreign currency forward contracts as hedges for accounting purposes, and changes in the fair value of these instruments are recognized in earnings during the period of change. Because the Company enters into forward contracts only as an economic hedge, any gain or loss on the underlying foreign-denominated existing or anticipated receivable or payable balance would be offset by the loss or gain on the forward contract. Net gains (losses) on forward

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

contracts for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$189,000, (\$219,000) and (\$234,000), respectively, and are included in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations as other income (expense), net. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding forward contracts with notional amounts equivalent to approximately \$1.6 million (€1.1 million), all maturing on or before September 9, 2012. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding forward contracts with notional amounts equivalent to approximately \$1.6 million (€1.3 million). The Company does not anticipate using derivative instruments for any purpose other than hedging exchange rate exposure.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist principally of money market funds and U.S. Government treasury bills with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Marketable Securities

The Company invests in marketable securities of highly rated financial institutions and investment-grade debt instruments and limits the amount of credit exposure with any one entity. The Company has classified its marketable securities as "available-for-sale" and, accordingly, carries such securities at aggregate fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, if any, are reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity. The amortized cost of debt securities in this category is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization and accretions are included in investment income, net, as well as interest and dividends. Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in other income (expense), net. Charges for the impairment of available-for-sale securities that were determined to be other-than-temporary and related to a credit loss are included in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations as other-than-temporary impairment. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

In April 2009, the Company implemented a newly issued accounting standard which provides guidance for the recognition, measurement and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments. Under this standard, an other-than-temporary impairment must be recognized through earnings if an investor has the intent to sell the debt security or if it is more likely than not that the investor will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount associated with the credit loss is recognized in net income (loss). The amount of loss relating to other factors is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). As a result of the adoption, in fiscal 2009, \$54,000 of previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges was reclassified to other comprehensive income (loss) as a cumulative effect adjustment.

The Company conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment that has an unrealized loss, which exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities that are determined to be temporary, and not related to credit loss, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

For available-for-sale debt securities with unrealized losses, management performs an analysis to assess whether it intends to sell or whether it would more likely than not be required to sell the security before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. Where the Company intends to sell a security, or may be required to do so, the security's decline in fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and the full amount of the unrealized loss is recorded in the statement of operations as an other-than-temporary impairment charge. When this is not the case, the Company performs additional analysis on all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate losses associated with the creditworthiness of the security. Credit losses are identified where the Company does not expect to receive cash flows, based on using a single best estimate, sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of a security and these are recognized as other-than-temporary impairment.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

As of July 1, 2008, the Company partially adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," for financial assets and liabilities recognized at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC Topic 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Certain provisions of ASC Topic 820 related to other non-financial assets and liabilities were adopted by the Company on July 1, 2009 and did not have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations upon adoption; however, this standard may impact the Company in subsequent periods and require additional disclosures.

Fair value is defined under ASC Topic 820 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy to measure fair value which is based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

As of June 30, 2011, the Company held certain assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table represents the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 194,774	\$ 194,774	\$	\$
	\$ 194,774	\$ 194,774	\$	\$

As of June 30, 2010, the Company held certain assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table represents the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2010 Using			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 113,298	\$ 113,298	\$	\$
Available-for-sale marketable securities	1,142		1,142	
	\$ 114,440	\$ 113,298	\$ 1,142	\$

The fair value of the Company's investments is generally determined from market prices based upon either quoted prices from active markets or other significant observable market transactions at fair value.

The carrying amounts reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for accounts receivable, unbilled revenue, prepaid and other current assets, accounts payable, accrued compensation, and other accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short-term nature.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. The Company provides for depreciation based upon expected useful lives using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Machinery and equipment	5 years
Computer hardware and software	3 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of remaining lease term or 7 years

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon retirement or sale, the cost of disposed assets and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the statement of operations. The Company recorded \$9,000, \$41,000

Table of Contents**IMMUNOGEN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****AS OF JUNE 30, 2011****B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

and \$18,000 of losses on the sale/disposal of certain furniture and equipment during the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with ASC Topic 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment," the Company continually evaluates whether events or circumstances have occurred that indicate that the estimated remaining useful life of its long-lived assets may warrant revision or that the carrying value of these assets may be impaired. The Company evaluates the realizability of its long-lived assets based on cash flow expectations for the related asset. Any write-downs are treated as permanent reductions in the carrying amount of the assets. Based on this evaluation, the Company believes that, as of each of the balance sheet dates presented, none of the Company's long-lived assets were impaired.

Computation of Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic and diluted net loss per common share is calculated based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The Company's common stock equivalents, as calculated in accordance with the treasury-stock accounting method, are shown in the following table (in thousands):

	June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Options outstanding to purchase common stock	6,491	6,065	5,529
Common stock equivalents under treasury stock method	1,901	1,853	848

The Company's common stock equivalents have not been included in the net loss per share calculation because their effect is anti-dilutive due to the Company's net loss position.

Stock-based Compensation

As of June 30, 2011, the Company is authorized to grant future awards under one employee share-based compensation plan, which is the ImmunoGen, Inc. 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2006 Plan. On November 16, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the 2006 Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder by 4,000,000. As amended, the 2006 Plan provides for the issuance of Stock Grants, the grant of Options and the grant of Stock-Based Awards for up to 8,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, as well as any shares of common stock that are represented by awards granted under the previous stock option plan, the ImmunoGen, Inc. Restated Stock Option Plan, or the Former Plan, that are forfeited, expire or are cancelled without delivery of shares of common stock; provided, however, that no more than 5,900,000 shares shall be added to the Plan from the Former Plan, pursuant to this provision. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Options vest at various periods of up to four years and may be exercised within ten years of the date of grant.

The stock-based awards are accounted for under ASC Topic 718, "Compensation Stock Compensation." Pursuant to Topic 718, the estimated grant date fair value of awards is charged to the

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

statement of operations over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. Such amounts have been reduced by an estimate of forfeitures of all unvested awards. The fair value of each stock option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the assumptions noted in the following table. As the Company has not paid dividends since inception, nor does it expect to pay any dividends for the foreseeable future, the expected dividend yield assumption is zero. Expected volatility is based exclusively on historical volatility data of the Company's stock. The expected term of stock options granted is based exclusively on historical data and represents the period of time that stock options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected term is calculated for and applied to one group of stock options as the Company does not expect substantially different exercise or post-vesting termination behavior amongst its employee population. The risk-free rate of the stock options is based on the U.S. Treasury rate in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the stock options.

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Dividend	None	None	None
Volatility	58.81%	59.90%	63.11%
Risk-free interest rate	2.43%	3.19%	2.40%
Expected life (years)	7.2	7.0	7.2

Using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, the weighted average grant date fair values of options granted during fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$5.51, \$5.83, and \$2.73 per share, respectively.

Stock compensation expense related to stock options granted under the 2006 Plan was \$5.5 million, \$4.2 million and \$4.0 million during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded approximately \$843,000 of stock-based compensation expense related to certain stock options previously granted to the former Chief Executive Officer of the Company that were modified in accordance with the succession plan approved by the Company's Board of Directors in September 2008. No similar charges were recorded during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

A summary of option activity under the Plan as of June 30, 2011, and changes during the twelve month period then ended is presented below (in thousands, except weighted-average data):

	Number of Stock Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Life in Yrs	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	6,065	\$ 7.09		
Granted	1,679	\$ 9.11		
Exercised	(550)	\$ 4.94		
Forfeited/Canceled	(703)	\$ 17.20		
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	6,491	\$ 6.70	6.61	\$ 35,644
Outstanding at June 30, 2011 vested or unvested and expected to vest	5,847	\$ 6.91	6.40	\$ 32,576
Exercisable at June 30, 2011	3,834	\$ 5.25	5.17	\$ 26,597

As of June 30, 2011, the estimated fair value of unvested employee awards was \$6.6 million, net of estimated forfeitures. The weighted-average remaining vesting period for these awards is approximately three years.

A summary of option activity for shares vested during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is presented below (in thousands):

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Total fair value of shares vested	\$ 3,427	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,838
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	3,467	1,888	920
Cash received for exercise of stock options	2,719	3,462	1,314

Comprehensive Loss

The Company presents comprehensive loss in accordance with ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income*. Comprehensive loss is comprised of the Company's net loss for the period and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale marketable securities.

Segment Information

During the three fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, the Company continued to operate in one reportable business segment under the management approach of ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*, which is the business of discovery of monoclonal antibody-based anticancer therapeutics.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The percentages of revenues recognized from significant customers of the Company in the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are included in the following table:

Collaborative Partner:	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Amgen	41%	32%	2%
Sanofi	23%	28%	45%
Bayer HealthCare	17%	15%	2%
Biogen Idec	1%	13%	7%
Biotest	9%	9%	13%
Roche	%	3%	26%

There were no other customers of the Company with significant revenues in the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement." This ASU clarifies the concepts related to highest and best use and valuation premise, blockage factors and other premiums and discounts, the fair value measurement of financial instruments held in a portfolio and of those instruments classified as a component of shareholders' equity. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, the use of nonfinancial assets, and the level in the fair value hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value. The provisions of this ASU are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early application is prohibited. The Company does not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income." This ASU intends to enhance comparability and transparency of other comprehensive income components. The guidance provides an option to present total comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or two separate but consecutive statements. This ASU eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income components as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. The provisions of this ASU will be applied retrospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements

Significant Collaborative Agreements

Roche

In May 2000, the Company granted Roche, through its Genentech unit, an exclusive license to the Company's maytansinoid TAP technology for use with antibodies or other proteins that target HER2, such as trastuzumab. Under the terms of this agreement, Roche has exclusive worldwide rights to develop and commercialize maytansinoid TAP compounds with antibodies that target HER2. Roche is responsible for the manufacturing, product development and marketing of any products resulting from the agreement. The Company is reimbursed for any preclinical and clinical materials that the Company manufactures under the agreement. The Company received a \$2 million non-refundable payment from Roche upon execution of the agreement. The Company is also entitled to up to \$44 million in milestone payments from Roche under this agreement, as amended in May 2006, in addition to royalties on the net sales of any resulting products. Roche began Phase II evaluation of T-DM1 in July 2007 and the Company earned and recognized a \$5 million milestone payment with this event. Roche began Phase III evaluation of T-DM1 in February 2009 and the Company earned and recognized a \$6.5 million milestone payment with this event. This milestone is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Through June 30, 2011, the Company has received and recognized \$13.5 million in milestone payments related to T-DM1. At the time of execution of this agreement, there was significant uncertainty as to whether these milestones would be achieved. In consideration of this, as well as the Company's past involvement in the research and manufacturing of this product, these milestones were deemed substantive.

Roche, through its Genentech unit, also has licenses for the exclusive right to use the Company's maytansinoid TAP technology with antibodies to four undisclosed targets, which were granted under the terms of a separate May 2000 right-to-test agreement with Genentech. For each of these licenses the Company received a \$1 million license fee and is entitled to receive up to \$38 million in milestone payments and also royalties on the sales of any resulting products. Roche is responsible for the development, manufacturing, and marketing of any products resulting from these licenses. Roche no longer has the right to take additional licenses under the right-to-test agreement. The Company received non-refundable technology access fees totaling \$5 million for the eight-year term of the agreement. The upfront fees were deferred and recognized ratably over the period during which Genentech could elect to obtain product licenses.

Amgen

In September 2000, the Company entered into a ten-year right-to-test agreement with Abgenix, Inc., which was later acquired by Amgen. The agreement provides Amgen with the right to test the Company's maytansinoid TAP technology with antibodies to a defined number of targets on either an exclusive and non-exclusive basis for specified option periods and to take exclusive or non-exclusive licenses to use our maytansinoid TAP technology to develop products for individual targets on agreed-upon terms. The Company received a \$5 million technology access fee in September 2000. Under the agreement, in September 2009 and November 2009, the Company entered into two development and license agreements with Amgen and received a \$1 million upfront payment with each license taken. The Company has deferred the \$1 million upfront payments and is recognizing these amounts as revenue ratably over the estimated period of substantial involvement. In addition to the

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

\$1 million upfront payment, the Company is entitled to earn milestone payments potentially totaling \$34 million per target for each compound developed under the right-to-test agreement, as well as royalties on the commercial sales of any resulting products. In September 2010, the Company granted Amgen a combination of exclusive and non-exclusive options to test our TAP technology with antibodies to specific antigen targets. For each option taken, Amgen paid us a nominal fee. These options provide Amgen with the right to take a license for each of these targets, during the time period allowed, on the license terms established in the September 2000 agreement. Amgen no longer has the right to designate new targets under this agreement, although the option periods with respect to the designated targets for the options granted will remain in effect for the remainder of the respective option periods.

Sanofi

In July 2003, the Company entered into a broad collaboration agreement with Sanofi (formerly Aventis) to discover, develop and commercialize antibody-based anticancer therapeutics.

The agreement provides Sanofi with worldwide commercialization rights to new anticancer therapeutics developed to targets that were included in the collaboration, including the right to use the Company's TAP technology and humanization technology in the creation of therapeutics to these targets. The product candidates (targets) as of June 30, 2011 in the collaboration include SAR3419 (CD19), SAR566658 (DS6, also known as CA6), SAR650984 (CD38) and other earlier-stage compounds that have yet to be disclosed.

The collaboration agreement entitles the Company to receive milestone payments potentially totaling \$21.5 million for each therapeutic now included in the collaboration agreement. Through June 30, 2011, the Company has earned and recognized a total of \$5 million in milestone payments related to the three product candidates noted above and a target not yet disclosed, including a \$1 million milestone payment earned in September 2010 related to the initiation of Phase I clinical testing of SAR566658 which is included in license and milestone fee revenue for the year ended June 30, 2011. The Company also earned and recognized an aggregate of \$8 million of milestone payments related to two product candidates previously in the collaboration that have been returned to the Company along with the rights to the respective targets. At the time of execution of this agreement, there was significant uncertainty as to whether these milestones would be achieved. In consideration of this, as well as the Company's past involvement in the research and manufacturing of these products, these milestones were deemed substantive.

The agreement also entitles the Company to royalties on the commercial sales of any resulting products if and when such sales commence. Sanofi is responsible for the cost of the development, manufacturing and marketing of any products created through the collaboration. The Company is reimbursed for any preclinical and clinical materials that it makes under the agreement. The collaboration agreement also provides the Company an option to certain co-promotion rights in the U.S. on a product-by-product basis. The terms of the collaboration agreement allow Sanofi to terminate the Company's co-promotion rights if there is a change of control of the company.

As part of this agreement, Sanofi paid the Company an upfront fee of \$12 million in August 2003. Inclusive of all of its allowed extensions, the agreement enabled the Company to receive committed

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

research funding totaling \$79.3 million over the five years of the research collaboration. The two companies subsequently agreed to extend the date of research funding through October 31, 2008 to enable completion of previously agreed-upon research. The Company recorded the research funding as it was earned based upon its actual resources utilized in the collaboration. The Company earned \$81.5 million of committed funding over the duration of the research program, of which \$2.7 million was recognized during fiscal year 2009. The Company is now compensated for research performed for Sanofi on a mutually agreed-upon basis.

In October 2006, Sanofi licensed non-exclusive rights to use the Company's proprietary resurfacing technology to humanize antibodies to targets not included in the collaboration, including antibodies for non-cancer applications. This license provides Sanofi with the non-exclusive right to use the Company's proprietary humanization technology through August 31, 2011 with the right to extend for one or more additional periods of three years each by providing the Company with written notice prior to expiration of the then-current license term. Under the terms of the license, the Company is entitled to a \$1 million license fee, half of which was paid upon contract signing and the second half was paid in August 2008, and in addition, the Company is entitled to receive milestone payments potentially totaling \$4.5 million for each antibody humanized under this agreement and also royalties on commercial sales, if any.

In August 2008, Sanofi exercised its option under a separate 2006 agreement for expanded access to ImmunoGen's TAP technology. The exercise of this option enables Sanofi to evaluate, with certain restrictions, the Company's maytansinoid TAP technology with antibodies to targets that were not included in the existing research collaboration between the companies and to license the exclusive right to use the technology to develop products to specific targets on the terms in the 2006 agreement. ImmunoGen is entitled to earn upfront and milestone payments potentially totaling \$32 million per target for each compound developed under the 2006 agreement, as well as royalties on the commercial sales of any resulting products. ImmunoGen also is entitled to manufacturing payments for any materials made on behalf of Sanofi. The Company received \$3.5 million with the exercise of this option in August 2008, in addition to the \$500,000 ImmunoGen received in December 2006 with the signing of the option agreement. The agreement has a three-year term from the date of the exercise of the option and can be renewed by Sanofi for one additional three-year term by payment of a \$2 million fee by August 31, 2011.

Biotest

In July 2006, the Company entered into a development and license agreement with Biotest AG. The agreement grants Biotest exclusive rights to use our maytansinoid TAP technology to develop and commercialize therapeutic compounds to the target CD138. The Company received a \$1 million upfront payment upon execution of the agreement and could potentially receive up to \$35.5 million in milestone payments, as well as royalties on the sales of any resulting products. The Company receives payments for manufacturing any preclinical and clinical materials made at the request of Biotest. In September 2008, Biotest began Phase I evaluation of BT062 which triggered a \$500,000 milestone payment to the Company. This milestone is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. At the time of execution of this agreement, there was significant uncertainty as to

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

whether this milestone would be achieved. In consideration of this, as well as the Company's past involvement in the research and manufacturing of this product, this milestone was deemed substantive.

The agreement also provides the Company with the right to elect at specific stages during the clinical evaluation of any compound created under this agreement, to participate in the U.S. development and commercialization of that compound in lieu of receiving royalties on U.S. sales of that product and the milestone payments not yet earned. The Company can exercise this right by making a payment to Biotest of an agreed-upon fee of \$5 million or \$15 million, depending on the stage of development. Upon exercise of this right, the Company would share equally with Biotest the associated costs of product development and commercialization in the U.S. along with the profit, if any, from U.S. product sales.

Bayer HealthCare

In October 2008, the Company entered into a development and license agreement with Bayer HealthCare. The agreement grants Bayer HealthCare exclusive rights to use the Company's maytansinoid TAP technology to develop and commercialize therapeutic compounds to mesothelin. Bayer HealthCare is responsible for the research, development, manufacturing and marketing of any products resulting from the license. The Company received a \$4 million upfront payment upon execution of the agreement, and for each compound developed and marketed by Bayer HealthCare under this collaboration the Company could potentially receive up to \$170.5 million in milestone payments; additionally, the Company is entitled to receive royalties on the sales of any resulting products. The Company also is entitled to receive payments for manufacturing any preclinical and clinical materials at the request of Bayer HealthCare as well as for any related process development activities. The Company has deferred the \$4 million upfront payment and is recognizing this amount as revenue ratably over the estimated period of substantial involvement. In September 2009, Bayer HealthCare achieved a preclinical milestone which triggered a \$1 million payment to the Company which is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. In June 2011, Bayer HealthCare reached a clinical milestone which triggered a \$2 million payment to the Company which is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. At the time of execution of this agreement, there was significant uncertainty as to whether these milestones would be achieved. In consideration of this, as well as the Company's past involvement in the research and manufacturing of this product, these milestones were deemed substantive.

Novartis

In October 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Inc. (Novartis). The agreement initially provides Novartis with a research license to test the Company's TAP technology with Novartis' antibodies and an option to take exclusive development and commercialization licenses to use ImmunoGen's TAP technology to develop therapeutic products for a specified number of individual antigen targets. The initial term of the research license is for three years and it may be extended by Novartis for up to two one-year periods by the payment of additional consideration. The terms of the agreement also require Novartis to exercise its option for the development and commercialization licenses by the end of the research term. The Company received a \$45 million upfront payment in connection with the execution of the agreement, and for each

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

development and commercialization license for an antigen target, the Company is entitled to receive milestone payments potentially totaling \$200.5 million plus royalties on product sales, if any. The Company also is entitled to receive payments for manufacturing preclinical and clinical materials at the request of Novartis as well as for research and development activities performed on behalf of Novartis. Novartis is responsible for the development, manufacturing and marketing of any products resulting from this agreement.

In accordance with ASU No. 2009-13, the Company identified all of the deliverables at the inception of the agreement. The significant deliverables were determined to be the research license, the exclusive development and commercialization licenses, rights to future technological improvements, and the research services. The Company has determined that the research license together with the development and commercialization licenses represent one unit of accounting as the research license does not have standalone value from the development and commercialization licenses. The Company has also determined that this unit of accounting does have standalone value from the rights to future technological improvements and the research services. As a result, the rights to future technological improvements and the research services are considered separate units of accounting. The estimated selling prices for these units of accounting were determined based on market conditions, similar arrangements entered into by third parties and entity-specific factors such as the terms of the Company's previous collaborative agreements, recent preclinical and clinical testing results of therapeutic products that use the Company's TAP technology, the Company's pricing practices and pricing objectives, the likelihood that technological improvements will be made, the likelihood that technological improvements made will be used by Novartis and the nature of the research services to be performed for Novartis and market rates for similar services. The arrangement consideration was allocated to the deliverables based on the relative selling price method. Of the \$45 million upfront payment received from Novartis, \$41.2 million has been allocated to the development and commercialization licenses and \$3.8 million has been allocated to the rights to future technological improvements. The Company will recognize license revenue as each exclusive development and commercialization license is delivered pursuant to the terms of the agreement. At the time the first license is taken, the \$3.8 million allocated to future technological improvements will commence amortization over the estimated life of the agreement, or 25 years. The Company does not control when Novartis will exercise its options for development and commercialization licenses. As a result, the Company cannot predict when it will recognize the related license revenue except that it will be within the term of the research license. The Company will recognize research services revenue as the related services are delivered.

No license revenue has been recognized related to this agreement for the year ended June 30, 2011, as no exclusive development and commercialization licenses have been delivered. Accordingly, the entire \$45 million upfront payment is included in long-term deferred revenue at June 30, 2011.

The adoption of ASU No. 2009-13 did not have a material impact on the timing or pattern of revenue recognition relative to the agreement nor is expected to in future periods.

Other Collaborative Agreements

In December 2004, the Company entered into a development and license agreement with a predecessor to Janssen Biotech (formerly known as Centocor Ortho Biotech), a wholly owned

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson. Under the terms of this agreement, Janssen was granted exclusive worldwide rights to develop and commercialize anticancer therapeutics that consist of the Company's maytansinoid cell-killing agent attached to an αv integrin-targeting antibody that was developed by Janssen. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company received an upfront payment of \$1 million upon execution of the agreement.

In December 2007, the Company licensed from Janssen the exclusive, worldwide right to develop and commercialize a TAP compound, IMGN388, that consists of an αv integrin-targeting antibody developed by them and one of the Company's maytansinoid cell-killing agents. This license reallocates the parties' respective responsibilities and financial obligations from the license referenced above. Janssen has the right to opt-in on future development and commercialization of IMGN388 at an agreed-upon stage in early clinical testing. Should Janssen not exercise this right, Janssen would be entitled to receive milestone payments potentially totaling \$30 million, with the first payment due upon the completion of a successful Phase III trial, and also royalties on IMGN388 sales, if any. In this event, ImmunoGen has the right to obtain a new partner for IMGN388, with certain restrictions. Should Janssen exercise its opt-in right, ImmunoGen would receive an opt-in fee and be released from its obligation to pay Janssen any milestone payments or royalties on sales. Both companies would contribute to the costs of developing the compound. The two companies would share equally any profits on the sales of the compound in the U.S. and ImmunoGen would receive royalties on any international sales. The companies have agreed to share certain third-party payments. In June 2008, the FDA approved the IND application for IMGN388. This event triggered a \$1 million milestone payment to a third-party, half of which was paid by ImmunoGen. As of June 30, 2011, the maximum amount that may be payable in the future to such third-parties under this agreement is \$11 million.

Effective July 2011, Biogen Idec terminated its exclusive license to the Company's TAP technology to develop and commercialize therapeutic compounds to the target Cripto. This license was granted pursuant to the Development and License Agreement between the Company and Biogen Idec dated October 1, 2004. As a result of the termination, during the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recognized the remaining \$270,000 of the \$1 million upfront fee received from Biogen Idec upon execution of the license which had been previously deferred.

In July 2008, the Company received notice of Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s election to terminate its exclusive license to the Company's TAP technology to develop and commercialize antibody-based cytotoxic products directed to the prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) target. This license was granted pursuant to the Access, Option and License Agreement between the Company and Millennium dated March 30, 2001. As a result of the termination, the Company recognized the remaining \$361,000 of the \$1 million upfront fee received from Millennium upon execution of the license which had been previously deferred, and is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

In August 2008, the Company received notice of Boehringer Ingelheim's election to terminate its exclusive license to use the Company's technology to develop and commercialize TAP compounds to CD44 or the alternative target selected. This license was granted pursuant to the Development and License Agreement between the Company and Boehringer Ingelheim dated November 27, 2001. As a result of the termination, the Company recognized the remaining \$486,000 of the \$1 million upfront fee received from Boehringer Ingelheim upon execution of the license agreement which had been

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

C. Agreements (Continued)

previously deferred, and is included in license and milestone fees for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

D. Marketable Securities

As of June 30, 2011, \$191.2 million in cash, U.S. Government treasury bills, and money market funds consisting principally of U.S. Government-issued securities and high quality, short-term commercial paper were classified as cash and cash equivalents.

As of June 30, 2010, \$109.2 million in cash and money market funds were classified as cash and cash equivalents. The Company's cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of June 30, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash and money market funds	\$ 109,156	\$	\$	\$ 109,156
Asset-backed securities				
Current	25	8		33
Non-current	810	291	(17)	1,084
Corporate notes				
Current	25			25
Total	\$ 110,016	\$ 299	\$ (17)	\$ 110,298
Less amounts classified as cash and cash equivalents	(109,156)			(109,156)
Total marketable securities	\$ 860	\$ 299	\$ (17)	\$ 1,142

During fiscal year 2011, the Company sold the remaining marketable securities held in its investment portfolio at June 30, 2010, resulting in realized gains of \$347,000 and realized losses of \$(6,000). In 2010, the Company had no realized losses or gains. In 2009, the Company realized losses of \$(33,000) and had no realized gains.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had 13 individual securities in its investment portfolio, of which four were in an unrealized loss position. The aggregate fair value of investments with unrealized losses was approximately \$348,000 as of June 30, 2010, and all of which had been in an unrealized loss position for a year or more, as of June 30, 2010. See Note B *Other-than-Temporary Impairments*. The Company reviewed its investments with unrealized losses and determined there were no other-than-temporary impairments as of June 30, 2010.

[Table of Contents](#)**IMMUNOGEN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****AS OF JUNE 30, 2011****E. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consisted of the following at June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2011	2010
Leasehold improvements	\$ 25,473	\$ 25,272
Machinery and equipment	12,622	12,083
Computer hardware and software	3,900	2,072
Furniture and fixtures	1,266	1,273
Assets under construction	374	1,235
	\$ 43,635	\$ 41,935
Less accumulated depreciation	(30,226)	(25,609)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 13,409	\$ 16,326

Depreciation expense was approximately \$4.9 million, \$4.8 million and \$5.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

F. Income Taxes

The difference between the Company's expected tax benefit, as computed by applying the U.S. federal corporate tax rate of 34% to loss before the benefit for income taxes, and actual tax is reconciled in the following chart (in thousands):

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2009
Loss before income tax expense	\$ (58,274)	\$ (51,177)	\$ (32,037)
Expected tax benefit at 34%	\$ (19,813)	\$ (17,400)	\$ (10,893)
State tax benefit net of federal benefit	(1,815)	(2,002)	(677)
Increase in valuation allowance, net	16,410	11,991	1,531
Expired loss and credit carryforwards	5,610	6,858	7,924
Other	(392)	288	2,015
Benefit for income taxes	\$	\$ (265)	\$ (100)

At June 30, 2011, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$228.1 million available to reduce federal taxable income, if any, that expire in 2012 through 2031 and \$136.3 million available to reduce state taxable income, if any, that expire in fiscal 2012 through fiscal 2031. Included in the federal and state carryforwards is \$5.6 million related to deductions from the exercise of stock options and the related tax benefit will result in an increase in additional paid-in capital if and when realized through a reduction of taxes paid in cash. The Company also has federal and state research tax credits of approximately \$11.1 million available to offset federal and state income taxes, which expire beginning in fiscal 2012. Due to the degree of uncertainty related to the ultimate use of the loss carryforwards and tax credits, the Company has established a valuation allowance to fully reserve these tax benefits.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

F. Income Taxes (Continued)

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2011	2010
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 82,533	\$ 85,459
Research and development tax credit carryforwards	9,590	7,986
Property and other intangible assets	807	197
Deferred revenue	21,168	4,581
Stock-based compensation	2,308	1,510
Deferred lease incentive	3,363	3,747
Other liabilities	2,676	2,444
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 122,445	\$ 105,924
Valuation allowance	(122,445)	(105,924)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

The valuation allowance increased by \$16.5 million during 2011 due primarily to the greater net loss recognized during the year compared to last and deferred revenue timing differences, partially offset by the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards.

Utilization of the NOL and R&D credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership change limitations that have occurred previously or that could occur in the future as provided by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as well as similar state and foreign provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL and R&D credit carry forwards that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382, results from transactions increasing the ownership of certain shareholders or public groups in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. Since the Company's formation, it has raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions (both pre and post initial public offering) which, combined with the purchasing shareholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in a change of control, as defined by Section 382, or could result in a change of control in the future upon subsequent disposition. The Company has not currently completed a study to assess whether a change of control has occurred or whether there have been multiple changes of control since its formation due to the significant complexity and cost associated with such study and the possibility that there could be additional changes in control in the future. If the Company has experienced a change of control at any time since its formation, utilization of its NOL or R&D credit carry forwards would be subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 which is determined by first multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate, and then could be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Any limitation may result in expiration of a portion of the NOL or R&D credit carry forwards before utilization. Further, until a study is

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

F. Income Taxes (Continued)

completed and any limitation known, no amounts are being presented as an uncertain tax position. The Company does not expect to have any taxable income for at least the next several years.

The Company did not recognize any interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company does not expect any material changes to the unrecognized benefits within 12 months of the reporting date. Due to existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in our unrecognized tax benefits will not impact our effective tax rate. The Company's loss carryforwards are subject to adjustment by state and federal taxing authorities, commencing when those losses are utilized to reduce taxable income.

Included in other income (expense), net for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 is \$1.2 million of federal grant funding the Company was awarded under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 to develop new anticancer therapies. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had received \$1.1 million of this amount and the remaining balance was received in July 2011.

G. Capital Stock

Sale of Common Stock

On May 19, 2011, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Pursuant to the shelf registration statement, in May 2011 and June 2011, the Company issued and sold a total of 7,800,000 shares of its common stock at \$12.00 per share through a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$93.6 million.

On April 9, 2010, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Pursuant to the shelf registration statement, in May 2010, the Company issued and sold 10,350,000 shares of its common stock at \$8.00 per share through a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$82.8 million.

On July 11, 2007, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Pursuant to the shelf registration statement, in June 2009, the Company issued and sold 5,750,000 shares of its common stock at \$7.00 per share through a public offering resulting in gross proceeds of \$40.3 million.

Common Stock Reserved

At June 30, 2011, the Company has reserved 11.26 million shares of authorized common stock for the future issuance of shares under the 2006 Plan. See "Stock-Based Compensation" in Note B for a description of the 2006 Plan and the Former Plan.

Stock Options

As of June 30, 2011, the 2006 Plan was the only employee share-based compensation plan of the Company. During the year ended June 30, 2011, holders of options issued under the 2006 Plan and the Former Plan exercised their rights to acquire an aggregate of 549,974 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$3.00 to \$12.56 per share. The total proceeds to the Company from these option exercises were approximately \$2.7 million.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

G. Capital Stock (Continued)

The Company has granted options at the fair market value of the common stock on the date of such grant. The following options and their respective weighted- average exercise prices per share were exercisable at June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	Exercisable (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
June 30, 2011	3,834	\$ 5.25
June 30, 2010	4,011	\$ 6.88
June 30, 2009	3,906	\$ 7.25

2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan

In November 2001, the Company's shareholders approved the establishment of the 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan, or the 2001 Director Plan, and 50,000 shares of common stock to be reserved for grant thereunder. The 2001 Director Plan provided for the granting of awards to Non-Employee Directors and, at the election of Non-Employee Directors, to have all or a portion of their awards in the form of cash, stock, or stock units. All stock or stock units are immediately vested. The number of stock or stock units issued was determined by the market value of the Company's common stock on the last date of the Company's fiscal quarter for which the services are rendered. The 2001 Director Plan was administered by the Board of Directors which was authorized to interpret the provisions of the 2001 Director Plan, determine which Non-Employee Directors would be granted awards, and determine the number of shares of stock for which a stock right will be granted. The 2001 Director Plan was replaced in 2004 by the 2004 Non-Employee Director Compensation and Deferred Share Unit Plan.

During the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded approximately \$44,000, \$10,000, and \$84,000 in compensation expense, respectively, related to approximately 15,000 stock units outstanding under the 2001 Director Plan. The value of the stock units is adjusted to market value at each reporting period. No stock units have been issued under the 2001 Plan subsequent to June 30, 2004.

2004 Non-Employee Director Compensation and Deferred Share Unit Plan

In June 2004, the Board of Directors approved the establishment of the 2004 Non-Employee Director Compensation and Deferred Share Unit Plan, or the 2004 Director Plan. The 2004 Director Plan provided for the compensation of Non-Employee Directors, awarding their annual retainers in the form of deferred share units, and, at their discretion, to have all or a portion of their other compensation such as meeting fees in the form of cash or deferred share units. The deferred share units for annual retainers vested one-twelfth monthly over the next year after the award; other deferred share units vested immediately upon issuance. The number of deferred share units issued was determined by the market value of the Company's common stock on the last date of the Company's fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which services were rendered. The deferred share units were to be paid out in cash to each non-employee director based upon the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of such director's retirement from the Board of Directors of the Company. The 2004 Director Plan was administered by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

G. Capital Stock (Continued)

The 2004 Director Plan was amended on September 5, 2006. Under the terms of the amended 2004 Director Plan, the redemption amount of deferred share units will be paid in shares of common stock of the Company under the 2006 Plan in lieu of cash. As a result of the change in payout structure, the value of the vested awards was transferred to additional paid-in capital as of the modification date and the total value of the awards, as calculated on the modification date, was expensed over the remainder of the vesting period. Accordingly, the value of the share units is fixed and will no longer be adjusted to market value at each reporting period. In addition, the amended 2004 Director Plan changed the vesting for annual retainers to take place quarterly over the three years after the award and the number of deferred share units awarded for all compensation is now based on the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the award.

Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors

On September 16, 2009, the Board adopted a new Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors, which superseded the 2004 Plan and made certain changes to the compensation of its non-employee directors. The policy was amended on November 11, 2009 to provide that, whenever the Board has a non-employee Chairman in lieu of a Lead Director, the cash payment for the non-employee Chairman of the Board shall be the same as the cash compensation that would otherwise have been payable to the Lead Director. Effective November 12, 2009, non-employee directors became entitled to receive annual meeting fees and committee fees under the new policy. The new policy made changes to the equity portion of the non-employee director compensation, but left the cash portion unchanged. Effective November 11, 2009, non-employee directors became entitled to receive deferred stock units under the new policy as follows:

New non-employee directors will be initially awarded a number of deferred stock units having an aggregate market value of \$65,000, based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of their initial election to the Board. These awards will vest quarterly over three years from the date of grant, contingent upon the individual remaining a director of ImmunoGen as of each vesting date.

On the first anniversary of a non-employee director's initial election to the Board, such non-employee director will be awarded a number of deferred stock units having an aggregate market value of \$30,000, based on the closing price of our common stock on such date of grant and pro-rated based on the number of whole months remaining between the first day of the month in which such grant date occurs and the first October 31 following the grant date. These awards will generally vest quarterly over approximately the period from the grant date to the first November 1 following the grant date, contingent upon the individual remaining a director of ImmunoGen as of each vesting date.

Thereafter, non-employee directors in general will be annually awarded a number of deferred stock units having an aggregate market value of \$30,000, based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of our annual meeting of shareholders. These awards will vest quarterly over approximately one year from the date of grant, contingent upon the individual remaining a director of ImmunoGen as of each vesting date.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

G. Capital Stock (Continued)

As with the 2004 Plan, vested deferred stock units are redeemed on the date a director ceases to be a member of the Board, at which time such director's deferred stock units will be settled in shares of our common stock issued under our 2006 Plan at a rate of one share for each vested deferred stock unit then held. Any deferred stock units that remain unvested at that time will be forfeited. The new policy provides that all unvested deferred stock units will automatically vest immediately prior to the occurrence of a change of control, as defined in the 2006 Plan.

In connection with the adoption of the new compensation policy, the Board also amended the 2004 Plan as follows:

All unvested deferred stock awards (other than any unvested initial awards) were vested in full on September 16, 2009 unless the date such deferred stock units were credited to the non-employee director was less than one year prior to September 16, 2009, in which case such unvested deferred stock units will vest on the first anniversary of the date such deferred stock units were credited to the non-employee director.

All unvested deferred stock awards will automatically vest immediately prior to the occurrence of a change of control.

On September 22, 2010, the Board revised the Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors to provide that, in addition to the compensation they received previously, they would also become entitled to receive stock option awards having a grant date fair value of \$30,000, determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model measured on the date of grant, which would be the date of the annual meeting of shareholders. These options will vest quarterly over approximately one year from the date of grant. Any new directors will receive a pro-rated award, depending on their date of election to the Board. The directors received a total of 49,688 options on November 16, 2010, and the related compensation expense is included in the amounts discussed in the "Stock-based Compensation" section of footnote B above.

Pursuant to the Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors and the 2004 Director Plan, as amended, the Company recorded approximately:

\$326,000 in compensation expense during the year ended June 30, 2011 related to the issuance of 39,000 deferred share units and 225,000 deferred share units previously issued under the 2004 Director Plan;

\$460,000 in compensation expense during the year ended June 30, 2010 related to the issuance of 42,000 deferred share units and 183,000 deferred share units previously issued under the 2004 Director Plan; and

\$175,000 in compensation expense during the year ended June 30, 2009 related to the issuance of 54,000 deferred share units and 129,000 deferred share units previously issued under the 2004 Director Plan.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

H. Commitments and Contingencies*Leases*

Effective July 27, 2007, the Company entered into a lease agreement with Intercontinental Fund III for the rental of approximately 89,000 square feet of laboratory and office space at 830 Winter Street, Waltham, MA. The Company uses this space for its corporate headquarters and other operations. The initial term of the lease is for twelve years with an option for the Company to extend the lease for two additional terms of five years. The Company is required to pay certain operating expenses for the leased premises subject to escalation charges for certain expense increases over a base amount. The Company entered into a sublease in December 2009 for 14,100 square feet of this space in Waltham through January 2015, with the sublessee having an option to extend the term for an additional two years.

As part of the lease agreement, the Company received a construction allowance of up to approximately \$13.3 million to build out laboratory and office space to the Company's specifications. After completion, the Company had recorded \$12.0 million of leasehold improvements under the construction allowance. The Company received \$10.8 million from the landlord and paid out the same amount towards these leasehold improvements. The remaining balance of the improvements was paid directly by the landlord. The lease term began on October 1, 2007, when the Company obtained physical control of the space in order to begin construction.

Under the terms of the agreement, any remaining construction allowance was to be applied evenly as a credit to rent for the first year. The final balance of the construction allowance was determined in August 2008, resulting in a credit of \$1.3 million to the Company from the landlord during the fiscal year 2009 relating to the first year of occupancy.

At June 30, 2011, the Company also leases facilities in Norwood, MA under an agreement through 2018 with an option to extend the lease for an additional term of five years. The Company is required to pay certain operating expenses for the leased premises subject to escalation charges for certain expense increases over a base amount.

Facilities rent expense, net of sublease income, was approximately \$4.6 million, \$5.4 million and \$5.0 million during fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of June 30, 2011, the minimum rental commitments, including real estate taxes and other expenses, for the next five fiscal years and thereafter under the non-cancelable operating lease agreements discussed above are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 5,880
2013	5,880
2014	5,969
2015	6,169
2016	6,191
Thereafter	22,390
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 52,479
Total minimum rental income from subleases	(2,240)
Total minimum lease payments, net	\$ 50,239

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

H. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Collaborations

The Company is contractually obligated to make potential future success-based regulatory milestone payments in conjunction with certain collaborative agreements. These payments are contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events and, given the nature of these events, it is unclear when, if ever, the Company may be required to pay such amounts. Further, the timing of any future payment is not reasonably estimable. As of June 30, 2011, the maximum amount that may be payable in the future under such arrangements is approximately \$43.0 million.

Litigation

The Company is not party to any material litigation.

I. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a deferred compensation plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the 401(k) Plan). Under the 401(k) Plan, eligible employees are permitted to contribute, subject to certain limitations, up to 100% of their gross salary and the Company's matching contribution is 50% of the first 6% of the eligible employees' contributions. In fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company's contributions to the 401(k) Plan totaled approximately \$467,000, \$450,000, and \$429,000, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

J. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

	Fiscal Year 2011			
	First Quarter Ended September 30, 2010	Second Quarter Ended December 31, 2010	Third Quarter Ended March 31, 2011	Fourth Quarter Ended June 30, 2011
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues:				
Research and development support	\$ 1,495	\$ 2,005	\$ 2,190	\$ 1,566
License and milestone fees	1,810	866	858	2,859
Clinical materials reimbursement	106	1,307	2,163	2,080
Total revenues	3,411	4,178	5,211	6,505
Expenses:				
Research and development	13,425	16,004	15,763	18,261
General and administrative	3,364	3,688	4,550	4,438
Total expenses	16,789	19,692	20,313	22,699
Loss from operations	(13,378)	(15,514)	(15,102)	(16,194)
Other income, net	490	1,281	99	44
Loss before income tax expense	(12,888)	(14,233)	(15,003)	(16,150)
Income tax expense				
Net loss	\$ (12,888)	\$ (14,233)	\$ (15,003)	\$ (16,150)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.23)

[Table of Contents](#)

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

J. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited) (Continued)

	Fiscal Year 2010			
	First Quarter Ended September 30, 2009	Second Quarter Ended December 31, 2009	Third Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Fourth Quarter Ended June 30, 2010
(In thousands, except per share data)				
Revenues:				
Research and development support	\$ 782	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,805	\$ 1,495
License and milestone fees	1,831	827	1,266	1,774
Clinical materials reimbursement	486	998	243	1,153
Total revenues	3,099	3,108	3,314	4,422
Expenses:				
Research and development	12,188	12,211	12,091	13,790
General and administrative	3,592	3,886	3,447	3,973
Total expenses	15,780	16,097	15,538	17,763
Loss from operations	(12,681)	(12,989)	(12,224)	(13,341)
Other income (expense), net	144	(19)	(3)	(64)
Loss before income tax benefit	(12,537)	(13,008)	(12,227)	(13,405)
Income tax benefit	(162)		(103)	
Net loss	\$ (12,375)	\$ (13,008)	\$ (12,124)	\$ (13,405)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.21)

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

1.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on such evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective.

2.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

(a)

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO.

Based on this assessment, management has concluded that, as of June 30, 2011 the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued a report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011. This report appears immediately below.

Table of Contents

(b)

Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of ImmunoGen, Inc.

We have audited ImmunoGen, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). ImmunoGen, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, ImmunoGen, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of ImmunoGen, Inc. as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2011 and our report dated August 29, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
August 29, 2011

Table of Contents

(c)

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

3.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

The Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or its internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within an organization have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake.

Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving our stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

The information called for by Part III of Form 10-K (Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant, Item 11 Executive Compensation, Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence, and Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services) is incorporated by reference from our proxy statement related to our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than October 28, 2011 (120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K), except that information required by Item 10 concerning our executive officers appears in Part I, Item 3.1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a)

Financial Statements:

(1) See "Index to Consolidated Financial Statements" at Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Schedules not included herein are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information appears in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

(2) The following schedule is filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

(3) See Exhibit Index following the signature page to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IMMUNOGEN, INC.

By: /s/ DANIEL M. JUNIUS

Daniel M. Junius
President and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: August 29, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u> /s/ DANIEL M. JUNIUS </u> Daniel M. Junius	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ GREGORY D. PERRY </u> Gregory D. Perry	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ STEPHEN MCCLUSKI </u> Stephen McCluski	Chairman of the Board of Directors	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ MITCHEL SAYARE </u> Mitchel Sayare	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ DAVID W. CARTER </u> David W. Carter	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ NICOLE ONETTO, M.D. </u> Nicole Onetto	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ MARK SKALETSKY </u> Mark Skaletsky	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ JOSEPH VILLAFRANCA </u> Joseph Villafranca	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ RICHARD WALLACE </u> Richard Wallace	Director	August 29, 2011
<u> /s/ HOWARD PIEN </u> Howard Pien	Director	August 29, 2011

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed with this Form 10-K	Incorporated by Reference		Exhibit Number
			Form	Filing Date with SEC	
3.1	Restated Articles of Organization, as amended		10-Q	April 30, 2010	3.1
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws		8-K	April 6, 2007	3.1
4.1	Article 4 of Restated Articles of Organization, as amended (see Exhibit 3.1)				
4.2	Form of Common Stock certificate		S-1	November 15, 1989 (File No. 33-31219)	4.2
10.1	Leases dated as of December 1, 1986 and June 21, 1988 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and Charles River Biotechnical Services, Inc. ("Lessee"), together with Assignment of Leases dated June 29, 1989 between Lessee and the Registrant		S-1	September 22, 1989 (File No. 33-31219)	10.10
10.1(a)	First Amendment to Lease dated May 9, 1991 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and the Registrant		S-1	November 6, 1991 (File No. 33-43725)	10.10a
10.1(b)	Confirmatory Second Amendment to Lease dated September 17, 1997 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 26, 1997	10.10
10.1(c)	Third Amendment and Partial Termination of Lease dated as of August 8, 2000 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(c)
10.1(d)	Fourth Amendment to Lease dated as of October 3, 2000 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(d)
10.1(e)	Fifth Amendment to Lease dated as of June 7, 2001 by and between James H. Mitchell, Trustee of New Providence Realty Trust, lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(e)
10.1(f)	Sixth Amendment to Lease dated as of April 30, 2002 by and between Bobson 333 L.L.C., lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(f)
10.1(g)	Seventh Amendment to Lease dated as of October 20, 2005 by and between Bobson 333 L.L.C., lessor, and the Registrant		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(g)
10.1(h)	Eighth Amendment to Lease dated as of February 21, 2007 by and between Bobson 333 L.L.C., lessor, and		10-K	September 2, 2008	10.1(h)

the Registrant

10.1(i)	Ninth Amendment to Lease dated as of November 17, 2010 by and between Bobson 333 LLC and the Registrant	8-K	November 18, 2010	10.1
---------	---	-----	-------------------	------

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed with this Form 10-K	Incorporated by Reference		Exhibit Number
			Form	Filing Date with SEC	
10.2	Lease Agreement, dated as of July 27, 2007, by and between Intercontinental Fund III 830 Winter Street LLC, landlord, and the Registrant		10-Q	November 7, 2007	10.2
10.3	Research and License Agreement dated as of May 22, 1981 by and between the Registrant and Sidney Farber Cancer Institute, Inc. (now Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc.), with addenda dated as of August 13, 1987 and August 22, 1989		S-1	September 22, 1989 (File No. 33-31219)	10.1
10.4*	License Agreement dated effective May 2, 2000 by and between the Registrant and Genentech, Inc.		10-K	September 27, 2000	10.51
10.4(a)*	Amendment to License Agreement for Anti-HER2 Antibodies, dated as of May 3, 2006, between the Registrant and Genentech, Inc.		10-K	August 28, 2006	10.32
10.4(b)*	Amendment to License Agreements made effective as of March 11, 2009, between the Registrant and Genentech, Inc.		10-Q	May 7, 2009	10.1
10.5*	Option and License Agreement dated September 5, 2000 by and between the Registrant and Amgen Inc. (as successor-in-interest to Abgenix, Inc.)		8-K/A	October 10, 2000	10.1
10.6*	Collaboration and License Agreement dated as of July 30, 2003 by and between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC (as successor-in-interest to Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc.)		10-Q	November 14, 2003	10.1
10.6(a)*	Amendment No. 1, dated as of August 31, 2006, to the Collaboration and License Agreement between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC		10-Q	November 3, 2006	10.1
10.6(b)*	Amendment No. 2, dated as of October 11, 2007, to the Collaboration and License Agreement between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC		10-Q	February 7, 2008	10.4
10.6(c)*	Amendment No. 3, dated as of August 31, 2008, to the Collaboration and License Agreement between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC		10-Q	February 6, 2009	10.7
10.7*	License Agreement dated as of October 5, 2006 by and between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC		10-Q	February 8, 2007	10.1
10.8*	Option and License Agreement dated as of December 21, 2006 by and between the Registrant and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC		10-Q	February 8, 2007	10.2
10.9*	Collaborative Development and License Agreement dated as of July 7, 2006 by and between the Registrant and Biotest AG		10-Q	November 3, 2006	10.2

10.9(a)*	Amendment No. 1, dated August 23, 2006, to Collaborative Development and License Agreement by and between the Registrant and Biotest AG	10-Q	November 3, 2006	10.3
----------	---	------	------------------	------

100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed with this Form 10-K	Incorporated by Reference		Exhibit Number
			Form	Filing Date with SEC	
10.10*	Clinical Supply Agreement effective as of December 12, 2010 by and between the Registrant and Società Italiana Corticosteroidi S.r.l. (Sicor)		10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.1
10.11	Restated Stock Option Plan		8-K	February 7, 2006	10.1
10.11(a)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement		8-K	February 7, 2006	10.2
10.11(b)	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement		8-K	February 7, 2006	10.3
10.12	2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated through November 16, 2010		8-K	November 18, 2010	10.2
10.12(a)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for Executives		S-8	November 15, 2006	99.4
10.12(b)	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Executives		S-8	November 15, 2006	99.5
10.12(c)	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Directors		10-Q	October 29, 2010	10.1
10.12(d)	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Executives		S-8	November 15, 2006	99.9
10.12(e)	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Directors		S-8	November 15, 2006	99.8
10.12(f)	Form of Director Deferred Stock Unit Agreement		10-Q	October 29, 2010	10.1
10.13	2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan		S-8	December 18, 2001	99
10.14	2004 Non-Employee Director Compensation and Deferred Stock Unit Plan, as amended through September 16, 2009		10-Q	November 4, 2009	10.1
10.15	Form of Proprietary Information, Inventions and Competition Agreement between the Registrant and each of its executive officers		10-Q	February 8, 2007	10.15
10.16	Amendment to Stock Option Agreements dated as of September 24, 2008 between the Registrant and Mitchel Sayare		10-Q	October 31, 2008	10.1
10.17	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and Craig Barrows		10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.2
10.18	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and Daniel M. Junius		10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.3
10.19	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and John M. Lambert		10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.4

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form DEF 14A

10.20	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and James J. O'Leary	10-Q	February 8, 2011	105
10.21	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and Gregory D. Perry	10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.6
10.22	Severance Agreement dated as of December 1, 2010 between the Registrant and Peter Williams	10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.7

101

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed with this Form 10-K	Incorporated by Reference		
			Form	Filing Date with SEC	Exhibit Number
10.23	Severance Agreement dated as of January 18, 2011 between the Registrant and Theresa G. Wingrove		10-Q	February 8, 2011	10.8
10.24	Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors, as amended through September 22, 2010		10-Q	October 29, 2010	10.1
10.25	Summary of Annual Executive Bonus Program		10-Q	November 7, 2007	10.1
10.26	Employment Agreement dated as of July 27, 2011 between the Registrant and Gregory D. Perry	X			
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant		10-K	August 30, 2007	21
23	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP	X			
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X			
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X			
32	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X			

*

Portions of this Exhibit were omitted, as indicated by [***], and have been filed separately with the Secretary of the Commission pursuant to the Registrant's application requesting confidential treatment.

Exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to the annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOGEN, INC.
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(In thousands)

COLUMN A DESCRIPTION	COLUMN B Balance at Beginning of Period	COLUMN C ADDITIONS Charged to Costs and Expenses	COLUMN D Use of Zero Value Inventory	COLUMN E Balance at End of Period
Inventory Valuation Allowance				
Year End June 30, 2011	\$ 939	\$ 1,664	\$ (610)	\$ 1,993
Year End June 30, 2010	\$ 1,784	\$ 927	\$ (1,772)	\$ 939
Year End June 30, 2009	\$ 2,534		\$ (750)	\$ 1,784

103