MARINEMAX INC Form DEF 14A January 12, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

MarineMax, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: MARINEMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

	No fee required.		
Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.			
	1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:		
	2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:		
	3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):		
	4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:		
	5) Total fee paid:		
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:		
ree paid previously with premimary materials:			
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing fo which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.		
	1) Amount Previously Paid:		
	2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:		

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

MARINEMAX, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

February 25, 2015

An Annual Meeting of Stockholders of MarineMax, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at 8:00 a.m., local time, on Wednesday, February 25, 2015, at our principal executive offices located at 2600 McCormick Drive, Suite 200, Clearwater, Florida 33759 for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect two directors, each to serve for a three-year term expiring in 2018.
- 2. To approve (on an advisory basis) our executive compensation (say-on-pay).
- 3. To approve the reincorporation of our company from Delaware to Florida by means of a merger with and into a wholly-owned Florida subsidiary.
- 4. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as the independent auditor of our company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015.
- 5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on January 2, 2015 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting and vote in person. To assure your representation at the meeting, however, we urge you to vote by proxy as promptly as possible over the Internet or by phone as instructed in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you receive paper copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can also vote by mail by following the instructions on the proxy card. You may vote in person at the meeting even if you have previously returned a proxy.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL H. McLAMB

Secretary

Clearwater, Florida

January 12, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VOTING AND OTHER MATTERS	1
PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	4
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	6
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	11
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	17
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	17
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS	18
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END	19
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTING	20
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	28
CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS	28
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	29
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	29
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	29
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	31
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	32
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS, DIRECTORS, AND OFFICERS	33
PROPOSAL TWO ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (SAY-ON-PAY)	35
PROPOSAL THREE REINCORPORATION	37
PROPOSAL FOUR RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR	52
DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	53
OTHER MATTERS	53
APPENDIX A AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER	A-1
APPENDIX B ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF MARINEMAX REINCORPORATION, INC.	B-1
APPENDIX C BYLAWS OF MARINEMAX REINCORPORATION, INC.	C-1

MARINEMAX, INC.

2600 McCormick Drive, Suite 200

Clearwater, Florida 33759

PROXY STATEMENT

VOTING AND OTHER MATTERS

General

The accompanying proxy is solicited on behalf of MarineMax, Inc., a Delaware corporation, by our Board of Directors for use at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 8:00 a.m. on Wednesday, February 25, 2015, or at any adjournment thereof, for the purposes set forth in this proxy statement and in the accompanying notice. The meeting will be held at our principal executive offices located at 2600 McCormick Drive, Suite 200, Clearwater, Florida 33759.

In accordance with rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, that allow companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet, we are mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instead of a paper copy of our proxy statement and our 2014 Annual Report to most of our stockholders. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access those documents and vote over the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of our proxy materials, including our proxy statement, our 2014 Annual Report, and a form of proxy card. We believe this process will allow us to provide our stockholders the information they need in a more timely manner, while reducing the environmental impact and lowering our costs of printing and delivering the proxy materials.

These proxy solicitation materials were first distributed on or about January 12, 2015 to all stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting.

Record Date and Outstanding Shares

Stockholders of record at the close of business on January 2, 2015 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. On the record date, there were issued and outstanding 25,106,585 shares of our common stock. Each holder of common stock voting at the meeting, either in person or by proxy, may cast one vote per share of common stock held on all matters to be voted on at the meeting.

If, at the close of business on January 2, 2015, your shares were registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, then you are a stockholder of record. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the meeting. Alternatively, you may vote over the Internet as described above. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to fill out and return the enclosed proxy card or vote by proxy over the telephone or on the Internet as instructed on the enclosed proxy card to ensure your vote is counted. Even if you have submitted a proxy before the meeting, you may still attend the meeting and vote in person.

If, at the close of business on January 2, 2015, your shares were held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, or similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank, or other nominee on how to vote the shares in your account. You should have received voting instructions with these proxy materials from that organization rather than from us. You should follow the

1

instructions provided by that organization to submit your vote. You are also invited to attend the meeting in person. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank, or other nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting.

Quorum

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares entitled to vote constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting.

Required Votes

For the election of the two director nominees for three-year terms expiring in 2018, our Bylaws provide that a nominee for director shall be elected to the Board of Directors if the votes cast for such nominee s election exceed the votes cast against such nominee s election; provided, however, that directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at any meeting of stockholders for which: (1) the Secretary of the Corporation receives a notice that a stockholder has nominated a person for election to the Board of Directors in compliance with the advance notice requirements set forth in our Bylaws or (2) the number of nominees otherwise exceeds the number of directors to be elected. If directors are to be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, stockholders shall not be permitted to vote against a nominee.

For the vote to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent auditor of our company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015 and the vote to approve the reincorporation of our company from Delaware to Florida, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast is required. While the say-on-pay is non-binding, our Board will consider the input of stockholders based on a majority of votes cast for the say-on-pay proposal.

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the meeting will be tabulated by the election inspector appointed for the meeting who will determine whether a quorum is present. The election inspector will treat abstentions as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, but as unvoted for purposes of determining the approval of any matter submitted to the stockholders for a vote. If a broker indicates on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on a particular matter, those shares will not be considered as present and entitled to vote with respect to that matter.

Voting of Proxies

When a proxy is properly executed and returned, the shares it represents will be voted at the meeting as directed. If no specification is indicated, the shares will be voted: (1) for the election of each of the nominees for director set forth in this proxy statement; (2) for the say-on-pay vote; (3) for the reincorporation of our company from Delaware to Florida (4) for the proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as the independent auditor of our company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015; and (5) as the persons specified in the proxy deem advisable on such other matters as may come before the meeting.

Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions

Brokers, banks, or other nominees that hold shares of common stock in street name for a beneficial owner of those shares typically have the authority to vote in their discretion if permitted by the stock exchange or other organization of which they are members. Brokers, banks, and other nominees are permitted to vote the beneficial owner s proxy in their own discretion as to certain routine proposals when they have not received instructions from the beneficial owner, such as the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent auditor of our company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. If a broker, bank, or other nominee votes such uninstructed shares for or against a routine proposal, those shares will be counted towards determining whether a quorum is present and are considered entitled to vote on the routine proposals. However, where a proposal is non-routine, a broker, bank, or other nominee is not permitted to exercise its voting discretion on that proposal without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. These non-voted shares are referred to as

broker non-votes when the nominee has voted on other non-routine matters with authorization or voted on routine matters. These shares will be counted towards determining whether or not a quorum is present, but will not be considered entitled to vote on the non-routine proposals.

Please note that brokers, banks, and other nominees may not use discretionary authority to vote shares on the election of directors, the say-on-pay proposal, or the proposal to approve the reincorporation of our company from Delaware to Florida if they have not received specific instructions from their clients. For your vote to be counted in the above, you now will need to communicate your voting decisions to your broker, bank, or other nominee before the date of the meeting.

As provided in our bylaws, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast for a proposal exceeds the number of votes cast against that proposal. Because abstentions and broker non-votes do not represent votes cast for or against a proposal, broker non-votes and abstentions will have no effect on the proposal to elect directors, the say-on-pay proposal, the proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent auditor of our company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015, or the proposal to approve the reincorporation of our company from Delaware to Florida, as each such proposal is determined by reference to the votes actually cast by the shares present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, an incumbent candidate for director who does not receive the required votes for re-election is expected to tender his or her resignation to our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors, or another duly authorized committee of our Board of Directors, will make a determination as to whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation generally within 90 days after certification of the election results of the stockholder vote. We will publicly disclose the decision regarding the tendered resignation and the rationale behind the decision in a filing of a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC.

Revocability of Proxies

Any stockholder giving a proxy may revoke the proxy at any time before its use by furnishing to us either a written notice of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the meeting will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request.

Election Inspector

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the meeting will be tabulated by the election inspector appointed for the meeting, who will determine whether a quorum is present. The election inspector will treat broker non-votes and abstentions as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, and as described in the Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions section of this proxy statement for purposes of determining the approval of any matter submitted to the stockholders for a vote.

Solicitation

We will bear the cost of this solicitation. In addition, we may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation materials to such beneficial owners. Proxies also may be solicited by certain of our directors and officers, personally or by telephone or e-mail, without additional compensation.

Annual Report and Other Matters

Our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was made available to stockholders with or preceding this proxy statement, contains financial and other information about our company, but, except as indicated therein, is not incorporated into this proxy statement and is not to be considered a part of these proxy materials or subject to Regulations 14A or 14C or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. The information contained in the Compensation Committee Report and the Report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed filed with the SEC or subject to Regulations 14A or 14C or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act.

Through our website, www.MarineMax.com, we make available free of charge all of our SEC filings, including our proxy statements, our annual reports on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and our current reports on Form 8-K, as well as Form 3, Form 4, and Form 5 reports of our directors, officers, and principal stockholders, together with amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a), 15(d), or 16 of the Exchange Act.

We will provide, without charge, a printed copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 as filed with the SEC to each stockholder of record as of the record date that requests a copy in writing. Any exhibits listed in the Form 10-K report also will be furnished upon request at the actual expense incurred by us in furnishing such exhibits. Any such requests should be directed to our company secretary at our executive offices set forth in this proxy statement.

PROPOSAL ONE

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by resolution of our Board of Directors. Presently, the number of directors is fixed at eight and that number of directors is divided into three classes, with one class standing for election each year for a three-year term. The Board of Directors has nominated William H. McGill Jr. and Charles R. Oglesby for election as Class II directors for three-year terms expiring in 2018 or until their respective successors have been elected and qualified.

Unless otherwise instructed, the proxy holders will vote the proxies received by them for each of the nominees named above. Mr. McGill and Mr. Oglesby currently are directors of our company. In the event that any nominee is unable or declines to serve as a director at the time of the meeting, the proxies will be voted for any nominee designated by the current Board of Directors to fill the vacancy. It is not expected that the nominees will be unable or will decline to serve as directors.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **for** the nominees named herein.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our directors.

Name	Age	Position
William H. McGill Jr.	71	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive
		Officer, and Director
Michael H. McLamb	49	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,
		Secretary, and Director
Frances L. Allen	52	Director(1)(2)
Hilliard M. Eure III	78	Director(2)(3)(4)
Clint Moore	67	Director(1)(2)
Charles R. Oglesby	67	Director(1)(3)
Joseph A. Watters	73	Director(1)(3)
Dean S. Woodman	86	Director(1)(2)(3)

- (1) Member of the Compensation Committee.
- (2) Member of the Audit Committee.
- (3) Member of Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

Edgar Filing: MARINEMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Lead Director

William H. McGill Jr. has served as the Chief Executive Officer of our company since January 1998 and as the Chairman of the Board and as a director of our company since March 1998. Mr. McGill served as President of our company from January 1998 until September 2000 and re-assumed that position in July 2002. Mr. McGill

4

was the principal owner and president of Gulfwind USA, Inc. from 1973 until its merger with our company in March 1998. Mr. McGill received a BS in Mechanical engineering from the University of Dayton in 1965 and worked for Pratt and Whitney Aircraft, Northrop Nortronics, and Colgate Polmolive prior to Gulfwind Marine. We believe Mr. McGill service for more than 16 years as the Chief Executive Officer of our company; his intimate knowledge and experience with all aspects of the business, operations, opportunities, and challenges of our company; and his understanding of our culture, personnel, and strategies provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors. We also believe that it is customary for the Chief Executive Officer to serve on the Board of Directors.

Michael H. McLamb has served as Executive Vice President of our company since October 2002, as Chief Financial Officer since January 1998, as Secretary since April 1998, and as a director since November 2003. Mr. McLamb served as Vice President and Treasurer of our company from January 1998 until October 2002. Mr. McLamb, a certified public accountant, was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP from December 1987 to December 1997, serving most recently as a senior manager. We believe Mr. McLamb s long service as our Chief Financial Officer for more than 16 years, his knowledge of the financial and operational aspects of our business, and his experience in public accounting provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors.

Frances L. Allen has served as a director of our company since November 2013. Ms. Allen currently serves as Brand President for Jack in the Box, Inc., franchisor and operator of restaurant chains primarily in the western and southern United States. Prior to joining Jack in the Box, Ms. Allen was Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer for Denny s Corporation from 2010 to 2014 and was promoted to Chief Brand Officer in 2013. Her prior roles also include managing marketing efforts at Dunkin Donuts from 2007 to 2009, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications from 2004 to 2007, and PepsiCo from 1998 to 2004. Ms. Allen holds a B.S. in Mathematics with Actuarial Studies from Southampton University in the United Kingdom and completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School. We believe Ms. Allen s wealth of experience and expertise in marketing make her well-qualified to serve on our Board of Directors and support our ongoing efforts to grow the MarineMax brand.

Hilliard M. Eure III has served as a director of our company since December 2004. Mr. Eure was Managing Partner of the Tampa Bay office of KPMG (formerly Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co.) from July 1977 until 1993. From July 1968 until June 1977, he served as an Audit Partner in the Greensboro, North Carolina and Atlanta offices of KPMG. From 1993 until 2003, he was a consultant for several companies, including serving as President of a beverage retailing company. Since November 2009, he has served on the board of directors of USF Health Professions Conferencing Corporation, which operates the Center for Advanced Medical Learning and Simulation. Mr. Eure previously served as a director of WCI Communities, Inc., a homebuilder whose stock was listed on the New York Stock Exchange. We believe Mr. Eure s long career in public accounting, his financial, business, and accounting expertise, and his service as a public company director provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors. Mr. Eure qualifies as an audit committee financial expert.

Clint Moore has served as a director of our company since December 2014. Mr. Moore served as President of Volvo Penta of the Americas, a supplier of engines and complete power systems for marine and industrial applications, from 1996 to 2012. His prior roles also include serving as President of the Bassett Boat Company of Florida from 1994 to 1996 and Glastron Boat Company and Larson Boat Company, both divisions of Genmar Corporation at the time, from 1989 to 1994. Mr. Moore began his career in the marine industry with Mercury Marine in 1974, where he ultimately served as Vice President of Sales, Marketing, and Service for all of North America. We believe that his senior management positions with leading companies in the marine industry provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors. Mr. Moore also qualifies as an audit committee financial expert.

Charles R. Oglesby has served as a director of our company since June 2012. Mr. Oglesby served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Asbury Automotive Group, Inc. from March 2007 until February 2011 and as Executive Chairman from February 2011 until July 2011. Mr. Oglesby joined Asbury in February 2002 as President of North Point Automotive Group in Little Rock, Arkansas and in 2004 assumed the additional

5

responsibility of Nalley Automotive Group. Prior to joining North Point, he was President of the San Francisco-based First America Automotive, a 36-dealership group. Asbury Automotive Group is one of the largest automobile retailers in the United States. We believe that Mr. Oglesby s executive leadership positions and wealth of experience and expertise in growing a business model with strong similarities to our company provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors.

Joseph A. Watters has served as a director of our company since October 2005. Mr. Watters is a private investor. Mr. Watters served as the Chairman of Oceania Cruises, a cruise line, from January 2003 to December 2007. Mr. Watters served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Crystal Cruises from 1994 to 2001. While at Crystal Cruises, Mr. Watters was a member of the International Council of Cruise Lines executive committee from 1999 to 2001 and Board of Directors from 1994 to 2001. He was also a member of the Cruise Line International Association s executive committee from 1995 to 1996 and management committee from 1994 to 2001. Prior to Crystal Cruises, Mr. Watters served as President and Owner of The Watters Group, President of Royal Viking Line from 1985 to 1989, and President of Princess Cruises from 1981 to 1985. Mr. Watters began his cruise line career with Princess Cruises in 1977. We believe that Mr. Watters senior management positions with leading companies in the cruise industry, his experience as an investor, and his service as a director of multiple companies provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors.

Dean S. Woodman has served as a director of our company since September 1999. Since July 1999, Mr. Woodman has served as a consultant to public and private companies specializing in financial assignments, private equity and debt placements, and mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Woodman was a Managing Director of ING Barings LLC (and its predecessor Furman Selz), an international investment banking firm, from July 1989 to June 1999 and a Managing Director in the investment banking group of Hambrecht & Quist from October 1984 to March 1988. Mr. Woodman was a founding partner of Robertson Colman Stephens & Woodman in 1978 and of Woodman, Kirkpatrick & Gilbreath in 1982. Previously, Mr. Woodman worked in the investment banking division of Merrill Lynch for 23 years, where he spent 16 years as director of West Coast corporate financing until 1978. Mr. Woodman serves as a director of Medallion Bank, a wholly owned subsidiary of Medallion Financial Corp., a publicly traded commercial finance company. In addition, Mr. Woodman previously served as Chairman of both Woodman Laboratories, Inc., a privately owned consumer products company, and SciClone Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a publicly traded biotechnology company. We believe Mr. Woodman s long career in investment banking and his experience as an investor in numerous public and private companies provide the requisite qualifications, skills, perspectives, and experience that make him well qualified to serve on our Board of Directors. Mr. Woodman also qualifies as an audit committee financial expert.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has determined, after considering all the relevant facts and circumstances, that Messrs. Eure, Moore, Oglesby, Watters, Woodman, and Ms. Allen are independent directors, as independence is defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, because they have no material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, stockholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us). Messrs. McGill and McLamb are employee directors and accordingly, are not independent.

Classification of our Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with one class standing for election each year for a three-year term. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors of a particular class will be elected for three-year terms to succeed the directors of that class whose terms are expiring. Messrs. McGill and Oglesby are Class II directors whose terms will expire at the meeting, but have been nominated by our Board of Directors for re-election for three-year terms expiring in 2018. Messrs. Eure, Watters, and Woodman are Class III directors

6

whose terms will expire in 2016. Ms. Allen, Mr. Moore, and Mr. McLamb are Class I directors whose terms will expire in 2017. There are no family relationships among any of our directors.

Committee Charters, Corporate Governance, and Code of Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted charters for the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees describing the authority and responsibilities delegated to each committee by our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and a Code of Ethics for the CEO and Senior Financial Officers. We post on our website, at www.MarineMax.com, the charters of our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees; our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and Code of Ethics for the CEO and Senior Financial Officers, and any amendments or waivers thereto; and any other corporate governance materials contemplated by SEC or New York Stock Exchange regulations. These documents are also available in print to any stockholder requesting a copy in writing from our corporate secretary at our executive offices set forth in this proxy statement.

Executive Sessions

We regularly schedule executive sessions in which non-employee directors, meet without the presence or participation of management, with at least one of such sessions including only independent directors. The Lead Director chairs the executive sessions.

Board Committees

Our Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, each consisting entirely of independent directors.

The Audit Committee

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the oversight of our Board of Directors of the integrity of the financial statements of our company, our company s compliance with legal and regulatory matters, the independent auditor s qualifications and independence, and the performance of our company s independent auditor and internal audit function. The primary responsibilities of the Audit Committee are set forth in its charter and include various matters with respect to the oversight of our company s accounting and financial reporting process and audits of the financial statements of our company. The Audit Committee also selects the independent auditor to conduct the annual audit of the financial statements of our company; reviews the proposed scope of such audit; reviews accounting and financial controls of our company with the independent auditor and our financial accounting staff; and reviews and approves transactions between us and our directors, officers, and their affiliates.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Eure, Moore, Woodman, and Ms. Allen, each an independent director of our company under the New York Stock Exchange rules as well as under rules adopted by the SEC pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Mr. Eure serves as the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Eure, Moore, and Woodman (whose backgrounds are detailed above) each qualify as an audit committee financial expert in accordance with applicable rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Compensation Committee

The purpose and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee include reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, evaluating the performance of our Chief Executive Officer in light of those goals and objectives, and, either as a committee or together with the other independent directors (as directed by the Board of Directors), determining and approving the compensation level of our Chief Executive Officer based on this evaluation. The Compensation Committee also recommends to the Board of Directors, or as directed by the Board of Directors determines and approves, the

compensation of our other executive officers, and considers the grant of stock-based awards to our executive officers under our 2011 Stock-Based Compensation Plan. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Oglesby, Watters, Woodman, Moore, and Ms. Allen, with Mr. Oglesby serving as Chairman.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The purpose and responsibilities of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee include the identification of individuals qualified to become board members, the selection or recommendation to the Board of Directors of nominees to stand for election as directors at each election of directors, the development and recommendation to the Board of Directors of a set of corporate governance principles applicable to our company, the oversight of the selection and composition of committees of the Board of Directors, and the oversight of the evaluations of the Board of Directors and management. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee currently consists of Messrs. Eure, Oglesby, Watters, and Woodman, with Mr. Watters serving as Chairman. The Nominating/Corporate Governance committee will consider persons recommended by stockholders for inclusion as nominees for election to our Board of Directors if the names, biographical data, and qualifications of such persons are submitted in writing in a timely manner consistent with our bylaws and addressed and delivered to our company s secretary at the address listed herein. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee identifies and evaluates nominees for our Board of Directors, including nominees recommended by stockholders, based on numerous factors it considers appropriate, some of which may include strength of character, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant technical skills, diversity, and the extent to which the nominee would fill a present need on our Board of Directors. As discussed above, the members of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are independent, as that term is defined by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Policies and Practices

We have assessed the compensation policies and practices with respect to our employees, including our executive officers, and have concluded that they do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company. This determination is based on the following factors intended to encourage our employees, including our executive officers, to not take unreasonable risks:

For cash incentive compensation, a broad array of performance metrics were used, including a mixture of consolidated and business-specific goals, as well as certain operational and financial metrics, with no single factor receiving an excessive weighting.

Relationships between performance metrics and the corresponding compensation payouts intended to mitigate risk by avoiding significant changes in compensation payouts based on relatively small changes in the related performance.

A mix of performance-based cash awards and equity based awards is intended to avoid having a relatively high percentage of compensation tied to one element. We believe that equity based awards should reduce risky behavior because these awards are designed to retain employees and are earned over relatively long measurement periods, thereby discouraging risky behavior.

A mix of short-term and long-term compensation creates varied time periods for compensation purposes.

A reasonable degree of difficulty is set for performance targets for the cash incentive compensation.

Minimum stock ownership guidelines for our non-employee directors to, among other things, encourage them to act in a more risk-averse manner to avoid a significant decrease in their net worth.

Our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors oversee our compensation programs. We believe this mitigates risk by empowering a group of independent directors with substantial experience and expertise who owe fiduciary duties to act in the best interests of our stockholders.

Edgar Filing: MARINEMAX INC - Form DEF 14A

Advice from outside advisors who are knowledgeable regarding structuring various compensation policies and their associated risks.

8

Board s Role in Risk Oversight

Risk is inherent in every business. As is the case in virtually all businesses, we face a number of risks, including operational, economic, financial, legal, regulatory, and competitive risks. Our management is responsible for the day-to-day management of the risks we face. Our Board of Directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management.

In its oversight role, our Board of Directors involvement in our business strategy and strategic plans plays a key role in its oversight of risk management, its assessment of management is risk appetite, and its determination of the appropriate level of enterprise risk. Our Board of Directors receives updates at least quarterly from senior management and periodically from outside advisors regarding the various risks we face, including operational, economic, financial, legal, regulatory, and competitive risks. Our Board of Directors also reviews the various risks we identify in our filings with the SEC as well as risks relating to various specific developments, such as acquisitions, stock repurchases, debt and equity placements, and product introductions.

Our Board committees assist our Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight role in certain areas of risks. Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee oversees the financial and reporting processes of our company and the audit of the financial statements of our company and provides assistance to our Board of Directors with respect to the oversight and integrity of the financial statements of our company, our company s compliance with legal and regulatory matters, the independent auditor s qualification and independence, and the performance of our independent auditor. The Compensation Committee considers the risks that our compensation policies and practices may have in attracting, retaining, and motivating valued employees and endeavors to assure that it is not reasonably likely that our compensation plans and policies would have a material adverse effect on our company. Our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee oversees governance related risks, such as board independence, conflicts of interests, and management succession planning.

Board Diversity

We seek diversity in experience, viewpoint, education, skill, and other individual qualities and attributes to be represented on our Board of Directors. We believe directors should have various qualifications, including individual character and integrity; business experience and leadership ability; strategic planning skills, ability, and experience; requisite knowledge of our industry and finance, accounting, and legal matters; communications and interpersonal skills; and the ability and willingness to devote time to our company. We also believe the skill sets, backgrounds, and qualifications of our directors, taken as a whole, should provide a significant mix of diversity in personal and professional experience, background, viewpoints, perspectives, knowledge, and abilities. Nominees are not to be discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law. The assessment of directors is made in the context of the perceived needs of our Board of Directors from time to time.

All of our directors have held or currently hold high-level positions in business or professional service firms and have experience in dealing with complex issues. We believe that all of our directors are individuals of high character and integrity, are able to work well with others, and have committed to devote sufficient time to the business and affairs of our company. In addition to these attributes, the description of each director s background sets forth above indicates the specific experience, qualifications, and skills necessary to conclude that each individual should continue to serve as a director of our company.

Board Leadership Structure

We believe that effective board leadership structure can depend on the experience, skills, and personal interaction between persons in leadership roles as well as the needs of our company at any point in time. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines support flexibility in the structure of the board by not requiring the separation of the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

Our Board of Directors currently believes that it is in the best interests of our company to have our Chief Executive Officer also serve as the Chairman of the Board. Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides strong, clear, and unified leadership that is critical in our relationships with our stockholders, employees,

customers, suppliers, and other stakeholders. The extensive knowledge of the Chief Executive Officer regarding our operations and industries and the markets in which we compete uniquely positions him to identify strategies and prioritize matters for board review and deliberation. Additionally, we believe the combined role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer facilitates centralized board leadership in one person, so there is no ambiguity about accountability. The Chief Executive Officer serves as a bridge between management and the board, ensuring that both groups act with a common purpose. This structure also eliminates conflict between two leaders and minimizes the possibility of two spokespersons sending different messages.

The board does not believe that combining the position creates significant risks, including any risk that the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer will have excessive or undue influence over the agenda or deliberations of the board. We believe we have effective and active oversight by experienced independent directors and independent committee chairs, and the independent directors meet together in executive session at virtually every board meeting. We also have established a position of Lead Director who performs many of the duties that would be performed by an independent board chair. We currently select, on an annual basis, one of our independent directors to serve as Lead Director for the year. Mr. Eure currently serves as our Lead Director and he has extensive business experience which benefit him in this role.

The Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the board; facilitates an appropriate schedule for board meetings; sets the agenda for board meetings; presides over meetings of the board; and facilitates the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the flow of information from management that is necessary for the board to effectively and responsibly perform its duties.

The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for the day-to-day leadership of our company and setting our company s strategic direction.

The Lead Director s duties include presiding over executive sessions of our independent directors; serving as a liaison between the non-employee directors, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chairman of the Board; chairing meetings of the Board of Directors in the absence of the Chairman of the Board; reviewing the agenda for each meeting of the Board of Directors; consulting with the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer on matters relating to corporate governance and the performance of the Board of Directors; and facilitating teamwork and communication between the non-employee directors and management.

Director and Officer Hedging and Pledging

We have a policy prohibiting directors and officers from purchasing financial instruments (including prepaid forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, and exchange funds) designed to hedge or offset decreases in the market value of compensatory awards of our equity securities directly or indirectly held by them. Additionally, we have a policy prohibiting directors and officers from pledging of shares.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Board of Directors believes that the alignment of directors interests with those of our stockholders is strengthened when board members are also stockholders. Therefore, our Board of Directors has adopted minimum stock ownership guidelines under which non-employee directors are expected to acquire shares of our company s common stock with a value at least equal to the annual retainer paid for serving on the board. Non-employee directors are expected to satisfy at least the minimum guidelines beginning on the later of five years following (i) the date the guidelines were adopted (December 9, 2011) or (ii) the date the individual becomes a non-employee director. This program is designed to ensure that directors acquire a meaningful ownership interest in our company during their tenure on the board. We expect that all of our non-employee directors will at least comply with these minimum guidelines within the time period described above.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, our Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Oglesby, Watters, Woodman, and Ms. Allen. None of these individuals had any contractual or other relationships with us during their terms as directors during the fiscal year except as directors.

10

Board and Committee Meetings

Our Board of Directors held a total of six meetings during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. No director attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of (i) the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors; and (ii) the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board of Directors on which such director was a member.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Audit Committee held eight meetings; the Compensation Committee held five meetings; and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings.

Annual Meeting Attendance

We encourage our directors to attend each annual meeting of stockholders. To that end, and to the extent reasonably practical, we generally schedule a meeting of our Board of Directors on the same day as our annual meeting of stockholders. All of our directors attended our annual meeting of stockholders last year.

Communications with Directors

Interested parties may communicate with our Board of Directors or specific members of our Board of Directors, including our independent directors and the members of our various board committees, by submitting a letter addressed to the Board of Directors of MarineMax, Inc. at the address listed herein c/o any specified individual director or directors. Any such letters are forwarded to the relevant directors.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview and Philosophy

Our Board of Directors has appointed a Compensation Committee, consisting solely of independent members of the Board of Directors, to review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, evaluate the performance of our Chief Executive Officer in light of those goals and objectives, and determine or recommend to the Board of Directors the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer based on this evaluation. The Compensation Committee also recommends to the Board of Directors, or as directed by the Board of Directors determines and approves, the compensation of our other executive officers. The Compensation Committee makes every effort to ensure that the compensation plan is consistent with our values and is aligned with our business strategy and goals as they exist from time to time.

Our compensation program for executive officers consists primarily of base salaries, cash incentive bonuses, cash discretionary bonuses, and long-term incentives in the form of stock-based awards, which may include time-based or performance-based stock options, shares of restricted common stock, restricted stock units, or RSUs, or a combination thereof. Executives also participate in various other benefit plans, including medical and retirement plans that generally are available to all of our employees. We consider each element of compensation collectively with other elements of compensation when establishing the various forms, elements, and levels of compensation.

Our philosophy is to pay base salaries to executives at levels that enable us to attract, motivate, and retain highly qualified executives. Our executive base salaries were generally at or below those of our peer companies taking into account the possibility of the receipt by our executives of cash performance-based incentive bonuses. Cash incentive bonuses are designed to reward individuals for performance based on certain aspects of our company s financial results as well as the achievement of personal and corporate objectives that contribute to our long-term success in building stockholder value. Grants of stock-based awards are intended to result in limited rewards if the price of our common stock does not appreciate, but may provide substantial rewards to executives as our stockholders in general benefit from stock price appreciation. Grants of stock-based awards also are intended to align compensation with the price performance of our common stock. Total compensation levels reflect corporate positions, responsibilities, and achievement of goals. As a result of our performance-based philosophy to compensation, compensation levels may vary significantly from year to year and among our various executive officers. In general, we expect the compensation level of our Chief Executive Officer will be higher than that of our other executive officers assuming relatively equal achievement of performance targets.

We believe that the overall compensation levels for our executive officers, including our named executive officers, are in alignment with our pay-for-performance philosophy and have been consistent with our performance. At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers described in our proxy statement related to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Holders of approximately 20.9 million of our outstanding shares voted for such advisory say-on-pay proposal, representing approximately 99% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal. Our Compensation Committee and our Board of Directors considered these final vote results and determined that, given the significant level of support, no material changes to our executive compensation philosophy, policies, and practices were necessary based on such vote results.

Role of the Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer

At the request of our Compensation Committee, our Chief Executive Officer generally attends a portion of our Compensation Committee meetings, including meetings at which our compensation consultants may be present. This enables our Compensation Committee to review with our Chief Executive Officer the corporate and individual goals that he regards as important to achieve our overall goals. Our Compensation Committee also requests our Chief Executive Officer to assess the performance of, and our goals and objectives for, the other executives. Although the participation of the Chief Executive Officer could influence goals, performance targets, and objectives, including his own, the Compensation Committee rather than our Chief Executive Officer makes all final determinations or board recommendations regarding setting individual and corporate goals, targets, objectives, and performance against such goals and targets.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves or recommends to the full board the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers. Annually, our Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer and approves or recommends to our Board of Directors the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer in light of the goals and objectives of our compensation program for that year. Our Compensation Committee together with our Chief Executive Officer annually assesses the performance of our other executive officers. Based on recommendations from our Chief Executive Officer and the determinations of our Compensation Committee, our Compensation Committee approves or makes recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding the compensation of our other executive officers.

Compensation Surveys and Compensation Consultants

In determining compensation levels, we periodically review compensation levels of companies that we deem to be similar to our company, competitive factors to enable us to attract executives from other companies, and compensation levels that we deem appropriate to retain and motivate our executives. We use peer group information as a point of reference, but do not benchmark or target our compensation levels against our peer group.

From time to time, we retain the services of independent compensation consultants to review a wide variety of factors relevant to executive compensation, trends in executive compensation, and the identification of relevant peer companies. The Compensation Committee makes all determinations regarding the engagement, fees, and services of our compensation consultants; our compensation consultants report directly to our Compensation Committee; and our compensation consultants do not perform any other services for our company.

Base Salary

We set base salaries at a level we believe to be sufficient to attract, retain, and motivate our executives taking into account the fact that our executives have the opportunity to receive significant incentive compensation if they are able to achieve performance goals set from time to time. Base salaries for executive officers are established based on an executive s position, responsibilities, skills, and experience. In determining base compensation, we also take into account individual performance and contributions, future potential, competitive salary levels for comparable positions at other companies, salary levels relative to other positions within our company, and corporate needs. The Compensation Committee s evaluation of the foregoing factors is

12

subjective, and the Compensation Committee does not assign a particular weight to any factor. Our base salaries tend to be lower than those of our peer companies that do not place as much emphasis as we do on paying for performance.

Cash Incentive Compensation

Cash incentive compensation represents an important component of our overall executive compensation. Our cash incentive compensation is intended to reflect our pay-for-performance philosophy. We establish objective performance criteria when setting performance goals for the cash incentive compensation program for a particular year. The performance criteria may include a wide range of factors, including pretax income for our consolidated company or on a regional basis, customer satisfaction index, specific performance metrics, stock price performance, achievement of targeted results for various company operations, expense management, market share, inventory management, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization, operating margin, working capital, cash, cash management, and debt-to-equity ratio. The performance criteria vary on a year-to-year and executive-by-executive basis depending on the goals then deemed important for our company as a whole and for the particular executive officer and may be established for all or a portion of a year or for multiple years. We attempt to set each of our performance goals at a level that can be realistically achieved, but at a level that is challenging and consistent with achieving the desired corporate goal. In establishing performance goals, our Compensation Committee also may take into consideration prevailing as well as expected future economic conditions affecting our company s business and industry. Our Compensation Committee, following its review of the mathematical computations prepared by our company and the corresponding report thereon from our internal audit department, determined that our executive officers satisfied 69% of their performance goals for fiscal 2012, 83% of their performance goals for fiscal 2013, and 67% of their performance goals for fiscal 2014.

Grants of Stock-Based Awards

We strongly believe in utilizing our common stock to tie executive rewards directly to our long-term success and increases in stockholder value. Grants of stock-based awards to our executive officers enable those executives to benefit from a significant position in our common stock. We have no ongoing policy for allocating among different types of stock-based awards. Therefore, we maintain the flexibility to grant each type of stock-based award. Among other factors, the amount and type of stock-based awards granted takes into account stock-based awards previously granted to an individual. Stock based compensation typically vests over a period of years to encourage executive retention and emphasize long-term performance and may also include specific performance metrics to be earned. Our Board of Directors grants stock-based awards at regularly scheduled meetings of the board after reviewing allocations recommended by the Compensation Committee following advice from the committee s compensation consultants, an analysis of peer companies, specific goals to be achieved, and a wide range of other factors. See Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table.

Other Benefits

Executive officers are eligible to participate in benefit programs designed for all of our full-time employees. These programs include medical insurance, a qualified retirement program allowed under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, and life insurance coverage.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

We take into account the tax effect of our compensation. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code currently limits the deductibility for federal income tax purposes of compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to each of any publicly held corporation s chief executive officer and four other most highly compensated executive officers. We may deduct certain types of compensation paid to any of these individuals only to the extent that such compensation during any fiscal year does not exceed \$1.0 million. Qualifying performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limits if certain requirements are met. We currently intend to structure the performance-based portion of the compensation of our executive officers in a manner that complies with Section 162(m), including such awards granted pursuant to our 2011 Stock-Based Compensation Plan and Incentive Compensation Program.

13

Accounting Considerations

We account for stock-based awards in accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 718 Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC 718). In determining stock-based awards, we consider the potential expense of those grants under ASC 718 and the impact on our earnings per share.

Policies for the Pricing and Timing of Stock-Based Grants

We set the price of all stock-based awards at the closing price of our stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant. We grant the stock-based compensation at regularly scheduled meetings each year. In the case of new hires, we generally grant stock-based awards on start dates, which are determined by the date the employee reports for service.

Employment Agreements

Each of Messrs. McGill and McLamb is a party to an employment agreement with us, which provides for designated base salaries plus incentive compensation based on the performance of our company and the employees as determined by our Board of Directors. Each of the employment agreements provides for benefits in the event of certain changes in control of our company. These arrangements have no effect on our compensation arrangements absent a change in control. See Executive Compensation Employment Agreements.

Fiscal 2014 Compensation

Summary

We worked with our executive compensation consultants in connection with our fiscal 2014 incentive compensation program to maintain our long-standing pay-for-performance philosophy. Our base salaries for our named executive officers for fiscal 2014 generally were at the 50th percentile level or lower for our peer companies. Our base salaries for the named executive officers reported in both periods increased a total of 7% from fiscal 2013 levels. We increased the base salary of our Chief Executive Officer to be a more competitive salary as compared to our peer companies. Overall cash compensation for our named executive officers reported in both periods decreased 5% from fiscal 2013 levels. Stock-based compensation for our named executive officers reported in both periods increased 8% from fiscal 2013.

Compensation Consultants

We engaged Compensia, Inc. to assist us in connection with our fiscal 2014 incentive compensation program. Compensia also assisted us in determining an appropriate group of peer companies. As a result of the absence of comparable direct competitors, the peer group was drawn from specialty retail companies. These peer companies consist of America's Car-Mart, Arctic Cat, Bassett Furniture Industries, Big 5 Sporting Goods, Blue Nile, Callaway Golf, Citi Trends, Destination XL Group, Haverty Furniture, Johnson Outdoors, Jos. A. Bank Clothiers, Kirkland's, Movado Group, Nautilus, Steinway Musical, West Marine, Winnebago Industries, and Zumiez. Compensia utilized the same market data for these peer company comparisons as provided for fiscal 2013 as there were not significant changes in this data from the prior year.

Base Salaries

Our named executive officers received base compensation for fiscal 2014 in accordance with their respective fiscal 2013 compensation plans as recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. We increased the base salaries of Messrs. McGill, W. Brett McGill, and Cashman by \$30,000, 25,000, and \$25,000, respectively. The base salaries of our other named executive officers remained unchanged in fiscal year 2014 from fiscal year 2013. In accordance with our pay-for-performance philosophy, our base compensation levels for our named executive officers for fiscal 2014 were generally at or below those of our peer companies.

Incentive Compensation

For fiscal 2014, we established individual cash compensation plans for Messrs. McGill, McLamb, W. Brett McGill, Cashman, and Ms. Day.

The cash compensation plans had targeted incentive cash compensation of 100%, 65%, and 25% of base salaries for Mr. McGill, Mr. McLamb and Ms. Day, respectively. These plans had two primary elements: the first element was a target bonus amount based on the level of adjusted net income achieved and the second element tied the pay-out of the target bonus amount to the achievement of individual performance objectives.

Under the first element, our adjusted net income, which equals our net income plus stock-based compensation and excludes unusual items, was targeted at \$12.0 million. For every dollar above the targeted \$12.0 million, 10% went into a bonus pool to be distributed ratably. The payouts from this bonus pool to the individuals was determined by the amount of cash compensation each received during the fiscal year relati