WHITING PETROLEUM CORP Form DEFM14A October 29, 2014 Table of Contents

## **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **SCHEDULE 14A**

## Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Whiting Petroleum Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- " No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:

•••

- x Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
  - Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
    - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
    - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
    - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

## ARRANGEMENT PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

Dear Stockholders of Whiting and Securityholders of Kodiak:

Whiting Petroleum Corporation and Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. entered into an arrangement agreement on July 13, 2014, pursuant to which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting will acquire all of the outstanding common stock of Kodiak, and Kodiak will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting (the arrangement ). Because Kodiak is incorporated in the Yukon Territory, Canada, the acquisition is being effected through an arrangement instead of a merger.

If the arrangement is completed, Kodiak shareholders will receive 0.177 of a share (the exchange ratio ) of Whiting common stock for each share of Kodiak common stock. Additionally, each holder of an outstanding Kodiak equity award (together with Kodiak shareholders, the Kodiak securityholders ) will receive a substantially identical Whiting equity award based on the exchange ratio. Whiting stockholders will continue to own their existing shares, and the Whiting common stock will not be affected by the arrangement. Upon completion of the arrangement, it is expected Kodiak shareholders will own approximately 29% of the outstanding Whiting common stock, on a fully diluted basis, as of October 24, 2014.

The common stock of each of Whiting and Kodiak is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols WLL and KOG, respectively. Based on the closing sale price for Whiting common stock on July 11, 2014, the last trading day before the public announcement of the arrangement, the 0.177 exchange ratio represented approximately \$13.90 in value for each share of Kodiak. Based on the closing sale price of Whiting common stock on October 24, 2014, the latest practicable date before the date of this joint proxy statement/circular, the 0.177 exchange ratio represented approximately \$10.91 in value for each share of Kodiak common stock.

Whiting and Kodiak are holding special meetings on December 3, 2014 to obtain your vote on the proposals necessary to complete the arrangement. The arrangement cannot be completed unless Whiting stockholders approve the issuance of Whiting common stock in the arrangement and Kodiak shareholders approve the continuance of Kodiak into British Columbia, Canada and Kodiak securityholders approve the arrangement.

# The Whiting board of directors recommends that Whiting stockholders vote FOR approval of the issuance of Whiting common stock in the arrangement.

# The Kodiak board of directors recommends that Kodiak shareholders vote FOR approval of the continuance of Kodiak into British Columbia and that Kodiak securityholders vote FOR approval of the arrangement.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend your company s special meeting, please submit your proxy as soon as possible through one of the delivery methods described in the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular to make sure that your shares are represented at that meeting.

In addition, you should read carefully the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular (and the documents incorporated by reference into the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular), which includes important information about the arrangement agreement, the proposed arrangement, Whiting, Kodiak and the special meetings. **Please pay particular attention to the section titled** <u>**Risk Factors</u>** in the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular.</u>

On behalf of the Whiting and Kodiak boards of directors, thank you for your continued support.

James J. Volker Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer Lynn A. Peterson President and Chief Executive Officer

#### Whiting Petroleum Corporation

Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp.

Neither the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities regulator has approved or disapproved of the transactions described in this document or determined if the information contained in this document is accurate or adequate. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This joint proxy statement/circular is dated October 29, 2014, and is first being given or sent to shareholders on or about October 29, 2014.

## NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

## TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2014

To the Stockholders of Whiting Petroleum Corporation:

A special meeting of stockholders of Whiting Petroleum Corporation (Whiting) will be held in the Grand Hyatt Denver Capitol B Ballroom, located on the 38th floor at 555 17th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202 on December 3, 2014, at 8:30 a.m., Mountain Time, for the following purposes:

1. To approve the issuance of Whiting common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, pursuant to the Arrangement Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2014 (the arrangement agreement ), by and among Whiting, 1007695 B.C. Ltd. and Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp., as the same may be amended from time to time, a copy of which is attached as Annex C to the joint proxy statement/circular accompanying this notice (the share issuance proposal ); and

2. To approve any motion to adjourn the Whiting special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies (the Whiting adjournment proposal ).

Approval of the share issuance proposal is required to complete the arrangement.

Whiting will transact no other business at the special meeting, except for business properly brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The accompanying joint proxy statement/circular further describes the matters to be considered at the Whiting special meeting.

The Whiting board of directors has set October 14, 2014 as the record date for the Whiting special meeting. Only holders of record of Whiting common stock at the close of business on October 14, 2014 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Whiting special meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Any stockholder entitled to attend and vote at the Whiting special meeting is entitled to appoint a proxy to attend and vote on such stockholder s behalf. Such proxy need not be a holder of Whiting common stock.

Your vote is very important. To ensure your representation at the Whiting special meeting, please complete, execute and return the enclosed proxy card or submit your proxy by telephone or through the Internet. Please vote promptly whether or not you expect to attend the Whiting special meeting. Submitting a proxy now will not prevent you from being able to vote in person at the Whiting special meeting.

The Whiting board of directors has unanimously approved the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and recommends that you vote FOR the share issuance proposal and FOR the Whiting adjournment proposal.

By Order of the Board of Directors

### WHITING PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Bruce R. DeBoer

Corporate Secretary

## NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SECURITYHOLDERS

## TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2014

To the Securityholders of Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp.:

A special meeting of securityholders of Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. (Kodiak) will be held at Sheraton Denver Downtown Hotel, 1550 Court Place, Denver, Colorado 80202 on December 3, 2014, at 8:00 a.m., Mountain Time, for the following purposes:

1. To approve a special resolution in respect of the continuance of Kodiak from the jurisdiction of the Yukon Territory to the jurisdiction of the Province of British Columbia, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/circular (the continuance resolution );

2. To approve a special resolution in respect of the arrangement, a copy of which is attached as Annex B to this joint proxy statement/circular (the arrangement resolution );

3. To approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Kodiak s named executive officers in connection with the arrangement (the arrangement-related compensation proposal ); and

4. To approve any motion to adjourn the Kodiak special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies (the Kodiak adjournment proposal ).

Approval of the continuance resolution and arrangement resolution are required to complete the arrangement.

Kodiak will transact no other business at the special meeting, except for business properly brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The accompanying joint proxy statement/circular further describes the matters to be considered at the Kodiak special meeting.

The Kodiak board of directors has set October 24, 2014 as the record date for the Kodiak special meeting. Only holders of record of Kodiak securities entitled to vote at such meeting at the close of business on October 24, 2014 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Kodiak special meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Any securityholder entitled to attend and vote at the Kodiak special meeting is entitled to appoint a proxy to attend and vote on such securityholder s behalf. Such proxy need not be a holder of Kodiak common stock.

Each registered Kodiak shareholder is entitled to the dissent rights set out in Section 193 of the *Business Corporations Act* (Yukon Territory) (the YBCA) in respect of the continuance resolution and to be paid the fair value of his, her or its common stock if such shareholder dissents to the continuance resolution in compliance with the provisions of the YBCA and the continuance becomes effective. The text of Section 193 of the YBCA is set out in Annex K of the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular. In order to dissent to the continuance resolution, a registered Kodiak shareholder must (a) send to Kodiak, at or before the special meeting, a written notice of objection to the continuance resolution, which notice, unless it is delivered to Kodiak at the special meeting, must be given by registered mail or by delivery addressed to Kodiak at 1625 Broadway, Suite 250, Denver, Colorado 80202, to the attention of the Secretary, and (b) have otherwise complied with the dissent procedures under the YBCA. Failure to strictly comply with the requirements set forth in Section 193 of the YBCA may result in the loss of any right to dissent.

Each registered Kodiak shareholder has been granted the right to dissent in respect of the arrangement resolution and, if the arrangement becomes effective, to be paid the fair value of the shares of Kodiak common stock in respect of which such registered Kodiak shareholder dissents by 1007695 B.C. Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting Petroleum Corporation, under the arrangement, in accordance with the provisions of Division 2 of Part 8 of the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) (the BCBCA), as modified by the interim order and the final order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia relating to the arrangement, as provided for in the plan of arrangement, the full text of which is set out in Annex D to the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular. The text of Division 2 of Part 8 of the BCBCA is set out in Annex J of the accompanying joint proxy statement/circular. To exercise a right of dissent, (a) a written notice of dissent with respect to the arrangement resolution from the registered Kodiak shareholder must be received by Kodiak at 1625 Broadway, Suite 250, Denver, Colorado 80202, to the attention of the Secretary, by not later than 5:00 p.m. on November 26, 2014 or the business day (as defined in the plan of arrangement) that is five business days before any adjournment or postponement of the Kodiak special meeting, and (b) the registered Kodiak shareholder must have otherwise complied with the dissent procedures. Failure to strictly comply with the requirements set forth in Division 2 of Part 8 of the BCBCA, as modified by the interim order, as provided for in the plan of arrangement, may result in the loss of any right to dissent.

Your vote is very important. To ensure your representation at the Kodiak special meeting, please complete, execute and return the enclosed proxy card or submit your proxy by telephone or through the Internet. Please vote promptly whether or not you expect to attend the Kodiak special meeting. Submitting a proxy now will not prevent you from being able to vote in person at the Kodiak special meeting.

The Kodiak board of directors has unanimously approved the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and recommends that you vote FOR the continuance resolution, FOR the arrangement-related compensation proposal and FOR the Kodiak adjournment proposal.

By Order of the Board of Directors

KODIAK OIL & GAS CORP.

James P. Henderson

Secretary

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

This joint proxy statement/circular incorporates important business and financial information about Whiting and Kodiak from other documents that are not included in or delivered with this joint proxy statement/circular. This information is available to you without charge upon your request. You can obtain the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular by requesting them in writing or by telephone from the appropriate company at the following addresses and telephone numbers:

Whiting Petroleum Corporation	Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp.
1700 Broadway, Suite 2300	1625 Broadway, Suite 250
Denver, Colorado 80290-2300	Denver, Colorado 80202
Attention: Corporate Secretary	Attention: Secretary
(303) 837-1661	(303) 592-8075

OR

OR

Innisfree M&A Incorporated	MacKenzie Partners, Inc.
501 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor	105 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022	New York, New York 10016
Stockholders Toll-Free: 1 (877) 825-8964	proxy@mackenziepartners.com
Banks and Brokers Collect: 1 (212) 750-5833	Call Collect: 1 (212) 929-5500

Toll-Free: 1 (800) 322-2885

# If you would like to request any documents, please do so by November 25, 2014 in order to receive them before the respective special meetings.

A free copy of this joint proxy statement/circular and other filings containing information about Whiting and Kodiak may be obtained from the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) through the SEC s website (http://www.sec.gov) and, in the case of documents filed by Kodiak with applicable Canadian securities regulatory authorities, from the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at www.sedar.com. You will also be able to obtain these documents, free of charge, from Whiting at www.whiting.com under the heading Kodiak Acquisition or from Kodiak at www.kodiakog.com under the heading Kodiak & Whiting Combination Information.

Whiting and Kodiak are not incorporating the contents of the websites of the SEC, SEDAR, Whiting, Kodiak or any other entity into this joint proxy statement/circular. Whiting and Kodiak are providing the information about how you can obtain certain documents that are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular at these websites only for your convenience.

## ABOUT THIS JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/CIRCULAR

This joint proxy statement/circular constitutes a joint proxy statement under Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ) and an information circular under National Instrument 51-102 Continuous Disclosure Obligations ( NI 51-102 ). It also constitutes a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of Whiting stockholders and a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of Kodiak securityholders.

You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. No one has been authorized to provide you with information that is different from that contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this joint proxy statement/circular. This joint proxy statement/circular is dated October 29, 2014. You should not assume that the information contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this joint proxy statement/circular. Neither Whiting s and Kodiak s mailing of this joint proxy statement/circular to Whiting stockholders or Kodiak securityholders, nor the issuance by Whiting of common stock in connection with the arrangement will create any implication to the contrary.

This joint proxy statement/circular does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities, or the solicitation of a proxy, in any jurisdiction to or from any person to whom it is unlawful to make any such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. Information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular regarding Whiting has been provided by Whiting and information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular regarding Kodiak has been provided by Kodiak.

## YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE WHITING OR KODIAK SPECIAL MEETING IN PERSON, WHITING AND KODIAK URGE YOU TO SUBMIT YOUR PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE (1) BY TELEPHONE, (2) VIA THE INTERNET OR (3) BY SIGNING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED. You may revoke your proxy or change your vote at any time before your respective company s special meeting. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee, please follow the instructions provided by that institution to vote your shares.

Whiting and Kodiak urge you to read the joint proxy statement/circular, including all documents incorporated by reference into the joint proxy statement/circular, and its annexes carefully and in their entirety.

If you are a Whiting stockholder and have any questions concerning the arrangement or the joint proxy statement/circular, would like additional copies of the joint proxy statement/circular or need help voting, please contact Whiting s proxy solicitor:

Innisfree M&A Incorporated

501 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10022

Stockholders Toll-Free: 1 (877) 825-8964

Banks and Brokers Collect: 1 (212) 750-5833

If you are a Kodiak shareholder and have any questions concerning the arrangement or the joint proxy statement/circular, would like additional copies of the joint proxy statement/circular or need help voting, please contact Kodiak s proxy solicitor:

MacKenzie Partners, Inc. 105 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10016 proxy@mackenziepartners.com Call Collect: 1 (212) 929-5500

Toll-Free: 1 (800) 322-2885

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	1
<u>SUMMARY</u>	9
The Parties	9
The Arrangement	10
Consideration to be Received in the Arrangement by Kodiak Shareholders	10
Treatment of Equity Awards	10
Recommendations of the Whiting Board of Directors	11
Recommendations of the Kodiak Board of Directors	11
Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board	11
Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board	11
Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the Kodiak Board	12
Governance Following Completion of the Arrangement	12
Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement	13
Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences	13
Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences	14
Accounting Treatment of the Arrangement	14
Court Approval Required for the Arrangement	14
Regulatory Approvals Required for the Arrangement	15
Dissenters Rights	15
Litigation Relating to the Arrangement	15
Conditions to Completion of the Arrangement	16
Timing of the Arrangement	17
No Solicitation of Other Offers	17
Termination of the Arrangement Agreement and Termination Fees	17
Matters to be Considered at the Special Meetings	18
Risk Factors	19
Whiting Selected Historical Financial Data	20
Whiting Historical Operating and Reserve Data	22
Kodiak Selected Historical Financial Data	24
Kodiak Historical Operating and Reserve Data	25
Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information	26
Comparative Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Share Information	27
Market Prices	28
Dividend Data	29
RISK FACTORS	30
Risk Factors Relating to the Arrangement	30
Risk Factors Relating to Whiting Following the Arrangement	35
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	38
NOTICE TO CANADIAN SECURITYHOLDERS	40
THE ARRANGEMENT	42
Background to the Arrangement	42
Whiting Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement	51
Kodiak Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement	53
Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board	57
<u></u>	57

Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board	69
Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the Kodiak Board	84
Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts	95
Governance Following Completion of the Arrangement	98
Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement	98
Quantification of Potential Payments to Kodiak s Named Executive Officers in Connection with Arrangement	102
Director and Officer Indemnification	103
Interest of Informed Persons in Material Transactions	103

Accounting Treatment of the Arrangement	103
Court Approval of the Arrangement and Completion of the Arrangement	104
U.S. Securities Law Matters	104
Canadian Securities Law Matters	105
Regulatory Approvals Required for the Arrangement	105
Treatment of Kodiak Equity Awards	105
Appraisal / Dissenters Rights	106
NYSE Listing of Whiting Common Stock: Delisting and Deregistration of Kodiak Common Stock	110
Litigation Relating to the Arrangement	110
THE ARRANGEMENT AGREEMENT	112
<u>General</u>	112
Consideration to be Received Pursuant to Arrangement	112
Dissenting Shares	113
Exchange of Shares Pursuant to Arrangement	113
Lost Certificates	114
Cancellation of Rights after Six Years	114
Closing Matters	114
Conditions	114
Implementation Steps Pursuant to the Arrangement	115
Covenants	117
Representations and Warranties	123
Termination of Arrangement Agreement	124
Amendments, Extensions and Waivers	127
Governing Law	128
INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANIES	129
Whiting	129
Kodiak	129
Whiting Canadian Sub	129
WHITING SPECIAL MEETING	130
Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting	130
Matters to be Considered at the Whiting Special Meeting	130
Recommendations of the Whiting Board of Directors	130
Whiting Record Date: Shares Entitled to Vote	130
Quorum	130
Required Vote	131
Treatment of Abstentions; Failure to Vote	131
Voting of Proxies: Incomplete Proxies	131
Shares Held in Street Name	132
Revocability of Proxies and Changes to a Whiting Stockholder s Vote	132
Solicitation of Proxies	132
Voting by Whiting Directors and Executive Officers	133
Attending the Whiting Special Meeting	133
WHITING PROPOSALS	134
Item 1. The Share Issuance Proposal	134
Item 2. The Whiting Adjournment Proposal	134
Other Matters to Come Before the Meeting	135
KODIAK SPECIAL MEETING	136
Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting	136

Matters to be Considered at the Kodiak Special Meeting	136
Recommendations of the Kodiak Board of Directors	136
Kodiak Record Date; Shares Entitled to Vote	136
Quorum	137
Required Vote	137
Treatment of Abstentions: Failure to Vote	138

Voting of Proxies; Incomplete Proxies	138
Shares Held in Street Name	139
Revocability of Proxies and Changes to a Kodiak Securityholder s Vote	139
Solicitation of Proxies	140
Voting by Kodiak Directors and Executive Officers	140
Attending the Kodiak Special Meeting	140
KODIAK PROPOSALS	141
Item 1. Continuance Resolution	141
Item 2. Arrangement Resolution	141
Item 3. Arrangement-Related Compensation Proposal	141
Item 4. The Kodiak Adjournment Proposal	142
Other Matters to Come Before the Meeting	143
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	144
DESCRIPTION OF WHITING CAPITAL STOCK	155
General	155
Common Stock	155
Preferred Stock	155
Preferred Share Purchase Rights	155
Delaware Anti-Takeover Law and Charter and By-law Provisions	156
Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors	157
COMPARISON OF RIGHTS OF SHAREHOLDERS OF WHITING AND KODIAK	158
CERTAIN INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES	174
Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences	174
Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences	184
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	190
Whiting	190
Kodiak	190
OTHER MATTERS	190
HOUSEHOLDING	191
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	192
Whiting	192
Kodiak	193
GLOSSARY OF CERTAIN OIL AND NATURAL GAS DEFINITIONS	194
ANNEX A: FORM OF CONTINUANCE RESOLUTION	
ANNEX A. FORM OF CONTINUANCE RESOLUTION ANNEX B: FORM OF ARRANGEMENT RESOLUTION	
ANNEX B. FORM OF ARRANGEMENT RESOLUTION ANNEX C: ARRANGEMENT AGREEMENT	
ANNEX C. ARRANGEMENT AGREEMENT ANNEX D: PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT	
ANNEX D. FLAN OF ARRANGEMENT ANNEX E: INTERIM ORDER	
ANNEX E. INTERIM ORDER ANNEX F: NOTICE OF HEARING OF PETITION FOR FINAL ORDER	
ANNEX F. NOTICE OF HEARING OF FEITHON FOR FINAL ORDER ANNEX G: OPINION OF J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	
ANNEX G. OFINION OF J.F. MORGAN SECONTIES LEC	
ANNEX H. OFINION OF PETRIE FARTNERS SECURITIES, LLC ANNEX I: OPINION OF CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC	
ANNEX I: OPINION OF CREDIT SUISSE SECORTIES (USA) LLC ANNEX J: DIVISION 2 OF PART 8 OF THE BCBCA	
ANNEX J: DIVISION 2 OF PART 8 OF THE BCBCA ANNEX K: YBCA DISSENT PROCEDURES	
ANNEX L: FORM OF THE NEW NOTICE OF ARTICLES AND ARTICLES OF KODIAK	
ANNEX L: FORM OF THE NEW NOTICE OF ARTICLES AND ARTICLES OF KODIAK ANNEX M: KODIAK DIRECTORS APPROVAL	
ANNEA WI. KUDIAK DINEUTUKJ AFEKUVAL	

#### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

The following questions and answers briefly address some commonly asked questions about the Whiting and Kodiak special meetings. They may not include all the information that is important to Whiting stockholders and Kodiak securityholders. You should carefully read this entire joint proxy statement/circular, including the annexes and the other documents referred to or incorporated by reference herein. All references to \$ or U.S. dollars in this joint proxy statement/circular are to the currency of the United States. On October 24, 2014, the noon rate of exchange as reported by the Bank of Canada for the conversion of U.S. dollars into Canadian dollars was U.S.\$1.0000 equals Cdn\$1.1213 (Cdn\$1.0000 equals U.S.\$0.8918).

#### **Q:** What is the arrangement?

A: Whiting Petroleum Corporation (Whiting), 1007695 B.C. Ltd. (Whiting Canadian Sub) and Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. (Kodiak) have entered into an arrangement agreement, dated as of July 13, 2014 (the arrangement agreement). A copy of the arrangement agreement is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/circular. The arrangement agreement contains the terms and conditions of the proposed business combination of Whiting and Kodiak. Under the arrangement agreement, Whiting Canadian Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting, will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Kodiak, and Whiting Canadian Sub and Kodiak will amalgamate with Kodiak surviving the amalgamation as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting as part of a plan of arrangement (the arrangement).

### Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: Whiting and Kodiak are sending these materials to their respective stockholders and securityholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of Whiting common stock or Kodiak securities, as the case may be, with respect to the arrangement and other matters to be considered at their respective special meetings.

The arrangement cannot be completed unless Whiting stockholders approve the issuance of Whiting common stock, Kodiak shareholders approve the continuance resolution and Kodiak securityholders approve the arrangement resolution. Each of Whiting and Kodiak is holding a special meeting to vote on the proposals necessary to complete the arrangement. Information about these special meetings, the arrangement and the other business to be considered at each of the special meetings is contained in this joint proxy statement/circular.

This joint proxy statement/circular constitutes a joint proxy statement of Whiting and Kodiak. It is a joint proxy statement because each of the boards of directors of Whiting and Kodiak is soliciting proxies from its stockholders and securityholders, respectively.

### Q: What will Kodiak shareholders receive in the arrangement?

A: In the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders will receive 0.177 of a share of Whiting common stock for each share of Kodiak common stock (the exchange ratio ). No fractional shares of Whiting common stock will be issued as part

of the arrangement. Instead, where the aggregate number of Whiting common stock to be issued to a Kodiak shareholder as consideration would result in a fraction of securities of Whiting being issuable, the number of shares of Whiting common stock to be received by such Kodiak shareholder shall be rounded down to the nearest whole share of Whiting common stock. The exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted to reflect changes in the stock price of either company before the arrangement is completed. Whiting stockholders will continue to own their existing shares of Whiting common stock, and the Whiting common stock will not be affected by the arrangement.

## **Q:** When do Kodiak and Whiting expect to complete the arrangement?

A: Whiting and Kodiak are working to complete the arrangement as soon as practicable. Whiting and Kodiak currently expect that the arrangement will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2014. Neither Whiting nor Kodiak can predict, however, the actual date on which the arrangement will be completed because it is

subject to conditions beyond each company s control, including Canadian court and U.S. federal regulatory approvals. See The Arrangement Agreement Conditions beginning on page 114.

### Q: What am I being asked to vote on, and why is this approval necessary?

- A: Whiting is asking its stockholders to vote on the following proposals:
  - 1. to approve the issuance of Whiting common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, pursuant to the arrangement agreement (the share issuance proposal ); and
  - 2. to approve any motion to adjourn the Whiting special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies (the Whiting adjournment proposal ).

Approval of the share issuance proposal is required to complete the arrangement.

Kodiak is asking its shareholders and securityholders to vote on the following proposals:

- 1. for its shareholders to approve a special resolution in respect of the continuance of Kodiak from the Yukon Territory to the Province of British Columbia, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/circular (the continuance resolution );
- 2. for its securityholders to approve a special resolution in respect of the arrangement, a copy of which is attached as Annex B to this joint proxy statement/circular (the arrangement resolution );
- 3. for its shareholders to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Kodiak s named executive officers in connection with the arrangement (the arrangement-related compensation proposal ); and
- 4. for its shareholders to approve any motion to adjourn the Kodiak special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies (the Kodiak adjournment proposal ).

Approval of the continuance resolution and arrangement resolution are required to complete the arrangement.

### **Q:** What vote is required to approve each proposal at the Whiting special meeting?

A: *The share issuance proposal*: Approval of the share issuance proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of Whiting common stock represented in person or by proxy.

*The Whiting adjournment proposal:* Approval of the Whiting adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of Whiting common stock represented in person or by proxy.

## **Q:** What vote is required to approve each proposal at the Kodiak special meeting?

A: *The continuance resolution*: Approval of the continuance resolution requires the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the continuance resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock present in person or represented by proxy.

*The arrangement resolution*: Approval of the arrangement resolution requires the (i) affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock present in person or represented by proxy, voting as one class, and (ii) affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock and holders of Kodiak restricted stock units (each, an RSU ), stock option awards (each, an option ) and restricted stock awards (each, a restricted stock award ) present in person or represented by proxy together voting as another class, with each Kodiak RSU, option or restricted stock award entitling the holder thereof to that number of votes equal to the number of shares of Kodiak common stock issuable upon the valid exercise of an option, or the valid settlement of an RSU or restricted stock award, as applicable.

*The arrangement-related compensation proposal:* Approval of the arrangement-related compensation proposal requires that the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceed the votes cast against such proposal. Because the vote on the arrangement-related compensation proposal is advisory only, it will not be binding on either Kodiak or Whiting. Accordingly, if the arrangement is completed, the compensation will be payable, subject only to the conditions applicable thereto, regardless of the outcome of the non-binding, advisory vote of Kodiak s shareholders.

The Kodiak adjournment proposal: Approval of the Kodiak adjournment proposal requires that the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceed the votes cast against such proposal.

## **Q:** What constitutes a quorum?

A: The presence at the Whiting special meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of Whiting common stock issued and outstanding on the record date for the Whiting special meeting will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Whiting special meeting.

The presence at the Kodiak special meeting, in person or by proxy, of two persons, each being a securityholder entitled to vote or a duly appointed proxy for an absent securityholder so entitled and together holding or representing by proxy not less than 5% of the outstanding shares of Kodiak entitled to vote at the special meeting will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Kodiak special meeting.

Abstentions (which are described below) will count for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum for the transaction of business at each special meeting. Shares held in street name by brokers, banks or other nominees who indicate on their proxies that they do not have discretionary authority to vote the shares as to a particular matter and have not received voting instructions from their clients (broker non-votes) will not count for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum for the transaction of business.

## Q: How do the boards of directors of Whiting and Kodiak recommend that I vote?

A: The board of directors of Whiting recommends that Whiting stockholders vote **FOR** the share issuance proposal and **FOR** the Whiting adjournment proposal.

The board of directors of Kodiak recommends that Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the continuance resolution, Kodiak securityholders vote **FOR** the arrangement resolution, Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the arrangement-related compensation proposal and Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the Kodiak adjournment proposal.

### **Q:** What other approvals are required for the arrangement?

A: The arrangement must be approved by the Supreme Court of British Columbia (the court ). The court will be asked to grant a final order (the final order ) approving the arrangement and to determine that the arrangement is fair to the Kodiak securityholders. Kodiak will apply to the court for the final order if the Kodiak shareholders approve the continuance resolution and the Kodiak securityholders approve the arrangement resolution at the

Kodiak special meeting. See The Arrangement Court Approval of the Arrangement and Completion of the Arrangement beginning on page 104.

The arrangement is also subject to the expiration or termination of the waiting periods under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the related rules and regulations (the HSR Act ). Early termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act was granted on August 5, 2014. See The Arrangement Regulatory Approvals Required for the Arrangement beginning on page 105.

The court approval and HSR Act clearance are conditions precedent to the closing of the arrangement.

### **Q:** What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular, please vote your securities as soon as possible so that your securities will be represented at your respective company s special meeting. Please follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or on the voting instruction form provided by the record holder if your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee.

## Q: If I am a Whiting stockholder, how do I vote?

A: A Whiting stockholder may vote by proxy or in person at the Whiting special meeting. To vote by proxy, a Whiting stockholder may use one of the following methods if it is a registered holder (that is, it holds its stock in its own name):

telephone voting, by dialing the toll-free number and following the instructions on the proxy card;

via the Internet, by going to the web address shown on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or

mail, by completing, signing and returning the proxy card in the enclosed envelope. The envelope requires no additional postage if mailed in the United States.

Proxies submitted by telephone or via the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on December 2, 2014.

If you hold your shares of Whiting common stock in street name, through a broker, bank or other nominee, that institution will send you separate instructions describing the procedure for voting your shares. Street name Whiting stockholders who wish to vote at the Whiting special meeting will need to obtain a legal proxy form from their broker, bank or other nominee.

## Q: If I am a Kodiak securityholder, how do I vote?

A: A Kodiak securityholder may vote by proxy or in person at the Kodiak special meeting. To vote by proxy, a Kodiak securityholder may use one of the following methods if it is a registered holder (that is, it holds its security in its own name):

telephone voting, by dialing the toll-free number and following the instructions on the proxy card;

via the Internet, by going to the web address shown on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or

mail, by completing, signing and returning the proxy card in the enclosed envelope. The envelope requires no additional postage if mailed in the United States.

Proxies submitted by telephone or via the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on December 2, 2014.

If you hold your shares of Kodiak common stock in street name, through a broker, bank or other nominee, that institution will send you separate instructions describing the procedure for voting your shares. Street name Kodiak shareholders who wish to vote at the Kodiak special meeting will need to obtain a legal proxy form from their broker, bank or other nominee.

# **Q:** When and where are the Whiting and Kodiak special meetings? What must I bring to attend the special meeting?

A: The special meeting of Whiting stockholders will be held in the Grand Hyatt Denver Capitol B Ballroom, located on the 38th floor at 555 17th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202 at 8:30 a.m., Mountain Time, on December 3, 2014. Subject to space availability, all Whiting stockholders as of the Whiting record date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the meeting. Since seating is limited, admission to the meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration and seating will begin at 8:00 a.m. Mountain Time.

The special meeting of Kodiak securityholders will be held at Sheraton Denver Downtown Hotel, 1550 Court Place, Denver, Colorado 80202 at 8:00 a.m., Mountain Time, on December 3, 2014. Subject to space availability, all Kodiak securityholders as of the Kodiak record date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the meeting. Since seating is limited, admission to the meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration and seating will begin at 7:30 a.m., Mountain Time.

If you wish to attend your respective company s special meeting, you must bring photo identification. If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, you must also bring proof of ownership such as the voting instruction form from your broker, bank or other nominee or an account statement.

# Q: If I hold my shares in street name by a broker, bank or other nominee, will my broker, bank or other nominee vote my shares for me?

A: If you hold your shares in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee, you should have received access to this proxy material from your bank, broker or other holder of record with instructions on how to instruct the holder of record to vote your shares. If you do not submit voting instructions to your broker, your broker may generally vote your shares in its discretion on matters designated as routine under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. However, a broker cannot vote shares held in street name on matters designated as non-routine by the New York Stock Exchange, unless the broker receives voting instructions from the street name holder. It is expected that all proposals to be voted on at the Whiting special meeting and the Kodiak special meeting are non-routine matters. Thus, if you do not submit voting instructions to your broker with respect to any of the proposals, your shares will not be voted on such proposals. This is called a broker non-vote.

If you are a street name Whiting stockholder and you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote your shares (assuming a quorum is present), your broker, bank or other nominee may not vote your shares on the share issuance proposal or the Whiting adjournment proposal, which broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote count for such proposals.

If you are a street name Kodiak shareholder and you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote your shares (assuming a quorum is present), your broker, bank or other nominee may not vote your shares on the continuance resolution, the arrangement resolution, the arrangement-related compensation proposal or the Kodiak adjournment proposal, which broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote count for such proposals.

## **Q:** What if I fail to vote or abstain?

A: For purposes of each of the Whiting special meeting and the Kodiak special meeting, an abstention occurs when a shareholder or securityholder attends the applicable special meeting in person and does not vote or returns a proxy with an abstain vote.

## Whiting

*Share issuance proposal*: An abstention will have the same effect as a vote cast AGAINST the share issuance proposal.

*Whiting adjournment proposal*: An abstention will have the same effect as a vote cast AGAINST the Whiting adjournment proposal.

## Kodiak

*Continuance resolution*: An abstention will be deemed a vote not cast and have no effect on the continuance resolution.

Arrangement resolution: An abstention will be deemed a vote not cast and have no effect on the arrangement resolution.

Arrangement-related compensation proposal: An abstention will be deemed a vote not cast and have no effect on the arrangement-related compensation proposal.

*Kodiak adjournment proposal*: An abstention will be deemed a vote not cast and have no effect on the Kodiak adjournment proposal.

### Q: What will happen if I sign and return my proxy or voting instruction card without indicating how to vote?

A: If you sign and return your proxy or voting instruction card without indicating how to vote on any particular proposal, the Whiting common stock represented by your proxy will be voted as recommended by the Whiting board of directors with respect to that proposal, or the Kodiak securities represented by your proxy will be voted as recommended by the Kodiak board of directors with respect to that proposal.

#### **Q:** What if I hold shares of both Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock?

A: If you hold shares of both Whiting and Kodiak, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote as a Kodiak shareholder will not constitute a vote as a Whiting stockholder and vice versa. Therefore, please sign, date and return all proxy cards that you receive, whether from Whiting or Kodiak, or vote as both a Whiting stockholder and as a Kodiak shareholder by Internet or telephone or by attending their respective special meetings.

### Q: May I change my vote after I have delivered my proxy or voting instruction card?

A: Yes. You have the power to revoke a proxy after giving it at any time before it is exercised. Whiting stockholders of record may revoke their proxy by filing an instrument of revocation or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or Internet vote) with Whiting s Corporate Secretary at 1700 Broadway, Suite 2300, Denver, Colorado 80290-2300. Kodiak securityholders of record may revoke their proxy by filing an instrument of revocation or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date (including by means of a telephone or Internet vote) with Kodiak s Secretary at 1625 Broadway, Suite 250, Denver, Colorado 80202. If you hold your shares of record, you may also revoke a proxy by attending the Whiting special meeting or Kodiak special meeting, as applicable, and voting in person. If not revoked, the proxy will be voted at the Whiting special meeting or Kodiak special meeting, as applicable, in accordance with your instructions.

If your shares are held in an account at a broker, bank or other nominee and you have delivered your voting instruction card to your broker, bank or other nominee, you should contact your broker, bank or other nominee to change your vote.

#### Q: What are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences?

A: It is a condition to the obligation of both Whiting and Kodiak to complete the arrangement that Whiting receive a written opinion from Foley & Lardner LLP, counsel to Whiting, dated as of the closing date, to the effect that, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the transactions described in subsections 3.2(a) through 3.2(g) of the plan of arrangement, attached hereto as Annex D (the Arrangement Transactions ), should (i) be treated as a single integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes and (ii) qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a)(1)(A) and 368(a)(2)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ).

Kodiak agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause Dorsey & Whitney LLP, counsel to Kodiak, to deliver a written opinion to Kodiak dated as of the closing date, to the effect that for U.S. federal income tax purposes the Arrangement Transactions should (i) be treated as a single integrated transaction for U.S.

federal income tax purposes and (ii) qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a)(1)(A) and 368(a)(2)(E) of the Code. The delivery of this opinion is not a condition to the closing of the arrangement.

Provided that the Arrangement Transactions so qualify, a holder of Kodiak common stock will not recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of the holder s shares of Kodiak common stock for shares of Whiting common stock in the arrangement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, although the matter is subject to uncertainty, the passive foreign investment company (PFIC) rules may require a U.S. PFIC Holder (as that term is defined in Certain Income Tax Consequences Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences) to recognize taxable gain (but not loss) under Section 1291 of the Code as a result of the arrangement (even if the Arrangement Transactions qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Sections 368(a)(1)(A) and 368(a)(2)(E) of the Code), unless the U.S. PFIC Holder has made certain elections in prior taxable years.

The material U.S. federal income tax consequences are described in Certain Income Tax Consequences Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 174.

### **Q:** What are the material Canadian federal income tax consequences?

A: Holders of Kodiak common stock who are residents of Canada for purposes of the *Income Tax Act* (Canada) (the ITA) and hold their Kodiak common stock as capital property will be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital

gain realized on the disposition of their shares of Kodiak common stock under the arrangement. Holders of Kodiak common stock who are not residents of Canada for purposes of the ITA and hold their Kodiak common stock as capital property will not be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital gain realized on the disposition of their shares of Kodiak common stock under the arrangement.

The material Canadian federal income tax consequences are described in Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 184.

### Q: Do I have appraisal or dissenters rights in connection with the arrangement and the continuance?

A: Under Delaware law, Whiting stockholders will not be entitled to exercise any appraisal rights in connection with the arrangement or the other transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement.

Kodiak securityholders and shareholders will vote in respect of the arrangement resolution and the continuance resolution, respectively. Registered Kodiak shareholders are entitled to the dissent rights set out in Section 193 of the *Business Corporations Act* (Yukon Territory) (the YBCA) and to be paid the fair value of their common stock if such shareholder dissents to the continuance resolution in compliance with the YBCA and the continuance becomes effective. Registered Kodiak shareholders also have the right to dissent in respect of the arrangement resolution and to be paid the fair value, in cash, of the shares of Kodiak common stock in respect of which such registered Kodiak shareholder dissents in compliance with Division 2 of Part 8 of the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) (the BCBCA), as modified by Article 4.1 of the plan of arrangement, the interim order and the final order.

For a more complete discussion of rights of dissenting Kodiak shareholders, see The Arrangement Appraisal / Dissenters Rights beginning on page 106 and The Arrangement Agreement Dissenting Shares beginning on page 113.

### Q: What if I hold Kodiak RSUs, options or restricted stock awards?

A: Each Kodiak RSU, option or restricted stock award that is outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the arrangement (whether vested or unvested) will be assumed by Whiting and converted automatically at the effective time of the arrangement into an RSU, option, or restricted stock award, as applicable (an assumed award ), denominated in shares of Whiting common stock based on the exchange ratio and subject to terms and conditions substantially identical to those in effect at the effective time of the arrangement, except that:

the number of shares of Whiting common stock that will be subject to each assumed award will be determined by multiplying the number of shares of Kodiak common stock subject to such assumed award by the exchange ratio (rounded down to the nearest whole share); and

if applicable, the exercise or purchase price per share of each assumed award will equal the per share exercise or purchase price of each assumed award divided by the exchange ratio (rounded upwards to the nearest whole cent).

### **Q:** Whom should I contact if I have any questions about the proxy materials or voting?

A: If you have any questions about the proxy materials or if you need assistance submitting your proxy or voting your shares or need additional copies of this joint proxy statement/circular or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact the proxy solicitor for the company in which you hold shares.

## SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular and does not contain all the information that may be important to you. Whiting and Kodiak urge you to read carefully this joint proxy statement/circular in its entirety, including the annexes. Additional, important information, which Whiting and Kodiak also urge you to read, is contained in the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 192. Unless stated otherwise, all references in this joint proxy statement/circular to Whiting are to Whiting Petroleum Corporation, all references to Kodiak are to Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. and all references to the arrangement agreement are to the arrangement agreement, dated as of July 13, 2014, by and among Whiting, 1007695 B.C. Ltd. and Kodiak, a copy of which is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/circular. In addition, definitions for certain terms relating to the oil and gas business can be found in Glossary of Certain Oil and Natural Gas Definitions.

## **The Parties**

## Whiting

Whiting is an independent oil and gas company engaged in exploration, development, acquisition and production activities primarily in the Rocky Mountains and Permian Basin regions of the United States. Whiting s largest projects are in the Bakken and Three Forks plays in North Dakota, the Niobrara play in northeast Colorado and its Enhanced Oil Recovery field in Texas. Since Whiting s inception in 1980, it has built a strong asset base and achieved steady growth through property acquisitions, development and exploration activities. Whiting believes the combination of acquisitions, subsequent development and organic drilling provides it with a broad set of growth alternatives and allows it to direct its capital resources to what Whiting believes to be the most advantageous investments.

As of December 31, 2013, Whiting s estimated proved reserves totaled 438.5 MMBOE. Whiting s second quarter 2014 average daily production was 109.8 MBOE/d. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, Whiting had total revenues of approximately \$1.6 billion and \$2.8 billion and net income available to common shareholders of approximately \$260.5 million and \$365.5 million, respectively.

Whiting s principal offices are located at 1700 Broadway, Suite 2300, Denver, Colorado 80290, and its telephone number is (303) 837-1661. Whiting common stock is listed on the NYSE, trading under the symbol WLL.

## Kodiak

Kodiak is an independent energy company focused on the exploration, exploitation, acquisition and production of crude oil and natural gas in the United States. Kodiak has developed an oil and natural gas asset base of proved reserves, as well as a portfolio of development and exploratory drilling opportunities within a high-potential resource play. Kodiak s oil and natural gas reserves and operations are concentrated in the Williston Basin of North Dakota.

As of December 31, 2013, Kodiak s estimated proved reserves totaled 167.3 MMBOE. Kodiak s second quarter 2014 average daily production was 38.3 MBOE/d. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and year ended December 31, 2013, Kodiak had total revenues of approximately \$557.1 million and \$904.6 million and net income of approximately \$50.3 million and \$141.4 million, respectively.

Kodiak s principal offices are located at 1625 Broadway, Suite 250, Denver, Colorado 80202, and its telephone number is (303) 592-8075. Kodiak common stock is listed on the NYSE, trading under the symbol KOG.

### Whiting Canadian Sub

Whiting Canadian Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting, is a company organized and existing under the laws of British Columbia, Canada. Whiting Canadian Sub was incorporated for the purpose of effecting the arrangement and has not conducted any activities other than those incidental to its formation and the matters contemplated by the arrangement agreement.

### The Arrangement

On July 13, 2014, Whiting, Whiting Canadian Sub and Kodiak entered into the arrangement agreement, which provides that, subject to the terms and conditions of the arrangement agreement and in accordance with the approval by the court under Division 5 of Part 9 of the BCBCA, Whiting Canadian Sub will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Kodiak and amalgamate with Kodiak to form one corporate entity with Kodiak surviving the amalgamation as a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Whiting. The arrangement agreement also provides that prior to the completion of the arrangement and assuming the continuance resolution is approved by Kodiak shareholders, Kodiak will continue from the Yukon Territory to the Province of British Columbia.

### Consideration to be Received in the Arrangement by Kodiak Shareholders

At the effective time of the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders will receive 0.177 shares of Whiting common stock for each share of Kodiak common stock. No fractional shares of Whiting common stock will be issued as part of the arrangement. Instead, where the aggregate number of Whiting common stock to be issued to a Kodiak shareholder as consideration would result in a fraction of securities of Whiting being issuable, the number of shares of Whiting common stock to be received by such Kodiak shareholder shall be rounded down to the nearest whole share of Whiting common stock. The exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted to reflect changes in the stock price of either company before the arrangement is completed. Whiting stockholders will continue to own their existing shares of Whiting common stock, and the Whiting common stock will not be affected by the arrangement.

# **Treatment of Equity Awards**

### Kodiak

In connection with the arrangement, each RSU, option and restricted stock award relating to Kodiak common stock that is outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the arrangement (whether vested or unvested) will be assumed by Whiting and converted automatically at the effective time of the arrangement into an RSU, option, or restricted stock award, as applicable (an assumed award ), denominated in shares of Whiting common stock based on the exchange ratio and subject to terms and conditions substantially identical to those in effect at the effective time of the arrangement, except that:

the number of shares of Whiting common stock that will be subject to each assumed award will be determined by multiplying the number of shares of Kodiak common stock subject to such assumed award by the exchange ratio (rounded down to the nearest whole share); and

if applicable, the exercise or purchase price per share of each assumed award will equal the per share exercise or purchase price of each assumed award divided by the exchange ratio (rounded upwards to the

nearest whole cent).

# Whiting

The arrangement will not affect Whiting s outstanding equity awards. All such awards will remain outstanding subject to the same terms and conditions that are applicable prior to the arrangement.

### **Recommendations of the Whiting Board of Directors**

After careful consideration, the Whiting board of directors recommends that holders of Whiting common stock vote **FOR** the share issuance proposal and **FOR** the Whiting adjournment proposal.

For a more complete description of Whiting s reasons for the arrangement and the recommendations of the Whiting board of directors, see The Arrangement Whiting Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement beginning on page 51.

### **Recommendations of the Kodiak Board of Directors**

After careful consideration, the Kodiak board of directors recommends that Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the continuance resolution, Kodiak securityholders vote **FOR** the arrangement resolution, Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the arrangement-related compensation proposal and Kodiak shareholders vote **FOR** the Kodiak adjournment proposal.

For a more complete description of Kodiak s reasons for the arrangement and the recommendation of the Kodiak board of directors, see The Arrangement Kodiak Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement beginning on page 53.

# Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board

In connection with the arrangement, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (J.P. Morgan), rendered an opinion, dated July 13, 2014, to the Whiting board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of such date and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in such opinion (which are also summarized in this joint proxy statement/circular), to Whiting of the exchange ratio. The full text of J.P. Morgan s written opinion is attached to this joint proxy statement/circular as Annex G. J.P. Morgan s written opinion is addressed to the Whiting board of directors (in its capacity as such), is directed only to the exchange ratio and does not constitute a recommendation to any Whiting stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote with respect to the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement. For a more complete description of J.P. Morgan s opinion, see The Arrangement Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board beginning on page 57.

# **Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board**

On July 13, 2014, at a meeting of the Kodiak board of directors, Petrie Partners Securities, LLC (Petrie Partners) rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed by delivery of a written opinion, that, as of July 13, 2014, and based upon and subject to the factors, procedures, assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to the shareholders of Kodiak.

The full text of the written opinion of Petrie Partners, dated as of July 13, 2014, is attached as Annex H to this joint proxy statement/circular and sets forth, among other things, the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the scope of review undertaken in rendering its opinion (which are also summarized in this joint proxy statement/circular). Petrie Partners opinion was addressed to, and provided for the information and benefit of, the Kodiak board of directors in connection with its evaluation of whether the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to the shareholders of Kodiak. Petrie Partners opinion does not address the fairness of the proposed arrangement (for purposes of this joint proxy statement/circular, as defined in the arrangement agreement), or any consideration received in connection with the proposed arrangement, to the creditors or other constituencies of Kodiak, nor does it address the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of Kodiak, or any

class of such persons, whether relative to the exchange ratio or otherwise. Petrie Partners assumed that any modification to the structure of the arrangement would not vary in any respect material to its analysis. Petrie Partners opinion does not address the relative merits of the arrangement as compared to any other alternative business transaction or strategic alternative that might be available to Kodiak, nor does it address the underlying business decision of Kodiak to engage in the arrangement. Petrie Partners opinion does not constitute a recommendation to the Kodiak board of directors or to any other persons in respect of the arrangement, including as to how any holder of shares of voting common stock of Kodiak should act or vote in respect of any of the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement. Finally, Petrie Partners did not express any opinion as to the price at which shares of Kodiak or Whiting common stock will trade at any time. For a more complete description of Petrie Partners opinion, see The Arrangement Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board beginning on page 69.

# Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the Kodiak Board

On July 13, 2014, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (Credit Suisse) rendered its oral opinion to the Kodiak board of directors (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of Credit Suisse s written opinion addressed to the Kodiak board of directors dated the same date) as to, as of July 13, 2014, the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Kodiak common stock of the exchange ratio in the arrangement pursuant to the arrangement agreement.

Credit Suisse s opinion was directed to the Kodiak board of directors (in its capacity as such), and only addressed the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Kodiak common stock of the exchange ratio in the arrangement pursuant to the arrangement agreement and did not address any other aspect or implication (financial or otherwise) of the arrangement. The full text of Credit Suisse s written opinion is included as Annex I to this joint proxy statement/circular and sets forth the procedures followed, assumptions made, qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken and other matters considered by Credit Suisse in preparing its opinion (which are also summarized in this joint proxy statement/circular). However, neither Credit Suisse s written opinion nor the summary of its opinion and the related analyses set forth in this joint proxy statement/circular are intended to be, and they do not constitute, advice or a recommendation to any holder of Kodiak common stock as to how such holder should vote or act on any matter relating to the arrangement. See The Arrangement Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the Kodiak Board beginning on page 84.

# **Governance Following Completion of the Arrangement**

The Whiting senior management team will lead the combined company after the completion of the arrangement. Lynn A. Peterson, the President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Kodiak, and James E. Catlin, the Executive Vice President of Business Development and a director of Kodiak, will be appointed to the board of directors of Whiting at the completion of the arrangement for terms to expire at Whiting s annual meetings in 2017 and 2016, respectively, and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

### Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement

You should be aware that some of the directors and executive officers of Kodiak have interests in the arrangement that are different from, or are in addition to, the interests of securityholders generally, including without limitation the following:

treatment of Kodiak equity awards held by directors and executive officers;

employment agreements that provide rights upon a change of control of Kodiak;

the anticipated appointment of Lynn Peterson and James Catlin as directors of Whiting following the arrangement; and

the indemnification of Kodiak s directors and executive officers by Whiting. Except as disclosed herein, no informed person of Kodiak (as defined in NI 51-102) or any associate or affiliate of any informed person has or had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction since the commencement of Kodiak s most recently completed financial year or any proposed transaction that has materially affected or would materially affect Kodiak.

For a further discussion of the interests of Kodiak directors and executive officers in the arrangement, see The Arrangement Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement beginning on page 98.

### Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

It is a condition to the obligation of both Whiting and Kodiak to complete the arrangement that Whiting receive a written opinion from Foley & Lardner LLP, counsel to Whiting, dated as of the closing date, to the effect that for U.S. federal income tax purposes the Arrangement Transactions should (i) be treated as a single integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes and (ii) qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a)(1)(A) and 368(a)(2)(E) of the Code (the intended U.S. tax treatment ). Kodiak agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause Dorsey & Whitney LLP, counsel to Kodiak, to deliver a written opinion to Kodiak dated as of the closing date, to the effect that for U.S. federal income tax purposes the Arrangement Transactions should (i) be treated as a single integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes the Arrangement Transactions should (i) be treated as of the closing date, to the effect that for U.S. federal income tax purposes the Arrangement Transactions should (i) be treated as a single integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes and (ii) qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a)(1)(A) and 368(a)(2)(E) of the Code. The delivery of this opinion is not a condition to the closing of the arrangement.

Provided that the Arrangement Transactions so qualify, a U.S. Holder of Kodiak common stock will not recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of the holder s shares of Kodiak common stock for shares of Whiting common stock in the Arrangement Transactions.

The discussion of U.S. federal income tax consequences of the arrangement contained in this joint proxy statement/circular (see Certain Income Tax Consequences Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 174) is intended to provide only a general summary and is not a complete analysis or description of all potential U.S. federal income tax consequences of the arrangement. The discussion does not address tax consequences

that may vary with, or are contingent on, individual circumstances. In addition, it does not address the effects of any foreign, state or local tax laws.

# Kodiak shareholders are strongly urged to consult with their tax advisors regarding the tax consequences of the arrangement to them, including the effects of U.S. federal, state, local, foreign and other tax laws.

For a further discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences, see Certain Income Tax Consequences Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 174.

### Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences

Holders of Kodiak common stock who are residents of Canada for purposes of the ITA and hold their shares of Kodiak common stock as capital property will be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital gain realized on the disposition of their shares of Kodiak common stock under the arrangement.

Holders of Kodiak common stock who are not residents of Canada for purposes of the ITA and hold their shares of Kodiak common stock as capital property will not be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital gain realized on the disposition of their shares of Kodiak common stock under the arrangement.

The material Canadian federal income tax consequences are described in Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 184. Tax matters are complicated and the tax consequences of the arrangement to you will depend upon the facts of your particular circumstances. Because particular circumstances may differ, Kodiak shareholders should consult with their tax advisors as to the specific Canadian tax consequences of the arrangement for them.

For a further discussion of the Canadian federal income tax consequences, see Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 184.

### Accounting Treatment of the Arrangement

The arrangement will be accounted for as an acquisition of Kodiak by Whiting under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

### **Court Approval Required for the Arrangement**

The arrangement requires approval by the court under Division 5 of Part 9 of the BCBCA. Prior to the mailing of this joint proxy statement/circular, Kodiak obtained the interim order providing for the calling and holding of a special meeting of Kodiak securityholders and other procedural matters (the interim order ). A copy of the interim order is attached hereto as Annex E.

Subject to the approval of the arrangement resolution by Kodiak securityholders at the Kodiak special meeting, the hearing in respect of the final order is expected to take place on or about December 5, 2014, or as soon thereafter as is reasonably practicable. A copy of the notice of hearing of petition for final order is attached hereto as Annex F.

Any Kodiak securityholder or other person who wishes to participate, to appear, or to be represented, and to present evidence or arguments at the hearing must serve and file a response to petition (a response) no later than November 28, 2014 and satisfy the other requirements of the court, as directed in the interim order and the notice of hearing of petition for final order appended hereto as Annex E and Annex F, respectively, and as the court may direct in the future. The court will consider, among other things, the fairness and reasonableness of the arrangement and the rights of every person affected. The court may approve the arrangement in any manner the court may direct, subject to compliance with such terms and conditions, if any, as the court deems fit. The court has further been advised that the final order granted by the court will constitute the basis for the exemption from the registration requirements of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 ( Securities Act ) provided by Section 3(a)(10) thereof with respect to the Whiting common stock, RSUs, options and restricted stock awards to be issued pursuant to the arrangement.

Although Kodiak s objective is to complete the arrangement as soon as possible after the Kodiak special meeting, the completion could be delayed for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, an objection before the court at the

hearing of the application for the final order or any delay in obtaining any required approvals. Whiting and Kodiak may determine not to complete the arrangement without prior notice to or action on the part of Kodiak securityholders. See The Arrangement Agreement Termination of Arrangement Agreement beginning on page 124.

For a more complete discussion of court approval matters relating to the arrangement, see The Arrangement Court Approval of the Arrangement and Completion of the Arrangement beginning on page 104.

### **Regulatory Approvals Required for the Arrangement**

The arrangement is subject to the requirements of the HSR Act, which prevents Whiting and Kodiak from completing the arrangement until the waiting period under the HSR Act is terminated or expires. On July 25, 2014, Whiting and Kodiak filed the requisite notification and report forms under the HSR Act with the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (the DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission (the FTC). Early termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act was granted on August 5, 2014.

For a more complete discussion of regulatory matters relating to the arrangement, see The Arrangement Regulatory Approvals Required for the Arrangement beginning on page 105.

# **Dissenters** Rights

Registered Kodiak shareholders are entitled to the dissent rights set out in Section 193 of the YBCA and to be paid the fair value of their common stock if such shareholder dissents to the continuance resolution in compliance with the YBCA and the continuance becomes effective.

Registered Kodiak shareholders also have the right to dissent in respect of the arrangement resolution and, if the arrangement becomes effective, to be paid the fair value, in cash, of the shares of Kodiak common stock in respect of which such registered Kodiak shareholder dissents in compliance with Division 2 of Part 8 of the BCBCA, as modified by Article 4.1 of the plan of arrangement, the interim order and the final order.

For a more complete discussion of rights of dissenting Kodiak shareholders, see The Arrangement Appraisal / Dissenters Rights beginning on page 106 and The Arrangement Agreement Dissenting Shares beginning on page 113.

# Litigation Relating to the Arrangement

In connection with the arrangement, seven purported class action lawsuits (four of which the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed) have been filed on behalf of Kodiak shareholders in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado and one purported class action lawsuit has been filed on behalf of Kodiak shareholders in Denver District Court, State of Colorado, which was subsequently removed to United States District Court for the District of Colorado. It is possible that other related or amended suits could subsequently be filed. The defendants have filed motions to dismiss with prejudice in the all remaining cases other than one. The allegations in the four remaining lawsuits are similar. They purport to be brought as class actions on behalf of all shareholders of Kodiak. The complaints name as defendants the individual members of the Kodiak board of directors, Whiting and Whiting Canadian Sub and list Kodiak as a nominal party or a defendant. Additionally, one complaint lists James Henderson, Kodiak s Chief Financial Officer, as a defendant. The complaints allege that the Kodiak board of directors breached its fiduciary duties to Kodiak shareholders by, among other things, failing to engage in a fair sale process before approving the arrangement and to maximize shareholder value in connection with the arrangement. Additionally, one case alleges violations under Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder. Specifically, the complaints allege that the Kodiak board of directors undervalued Kodiak in connection with the arrangement and that the Kodiak board of directors agreed to certain deal protection mechanisms that precluded Kodiak from obtaining competing offers. The complaints also allege that Whiting and Whiting Canadian Sub aided and abetted the alleged breaches of the fiduciary duties of the Kodiak board of directors. One case alleges

additionally that in issuing the preliminary joint proxy statement/circular the Kodiak board of directors violated the cited sections of, and rule promulgated under, the Exchange Act. The complaints seek, among other things, injunctive relief preventing the closing of the arrangement, rescission of the arrangement or an award of rescissory damages to the purported class in the event that the arrangement is consummated, and damages, including counsel fees and expenses. Whiting and Kodiak believe each lawsuit is without merit.

For a more complete discussion of litigation relating to the arrangement, see The Arrangement Litigation Relating to the Arrangement beginning on page 110.

### **Conditions to Completion of the Arrangement**

The respective obligations of Kodiak and Whiting to complete the arrangement are subject to the satisfaction of the following conditions:

the Whiting common stock, RSUs, options and restricted stock awards to be issued pursuant to the arrangement will be (i) either exempt from registration requirements under the Securities Act pursuant to Section 3(a)(10) or registered pursuant to an effective registration statement and (ii) exempt from prospectus and registration requirements of applicable Canadian securities laws;

the continuance resolution and the arrangement resolution have been approved by the Kodiak shareholders and securityholders, respectively;

the interim order and the final order have been received;

evidence that Kodiak effected the continuance from the Yukon Territory to British Columbia;

the share issuance proposal has been approved by the Whiting stockholders;

the shares of Whiting common stock to be issued pursuant to the arrangement have been approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange, subject to official notice of issuance;

no law or order has been enacted, entered, promulgated, adopted, issued or enforced by any governmental entity that has the effect of making illegal or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement;

Whiting has received an opinion of Foley & Lardner LLP to the effect that the Arrangement Transactions should qualify for the intended U.S. tax treatment;

the other party s representations and warranties regarding capitalization are correct and complete (other than *de minimis* inaccuracies) and the other party s other representations and warranties, when read without regard to materiality qualifications, are correct and complete, except where such failures of such representations and warranties to be true and correct in all respects, individually or in the aggregate, have not and would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect (as defined in The Arrangement Agreement Representations and Warranties beginning on page 123) on such party;

the other party has performed in all material respects all obligations and complied in all material respects with all covenants required by the arrangement agreement to be performed or complied with at or prior to the closing of the arrangement;

no event, change, effect, condition, fact or circumstance has occurred after the date of the arrangement agreement that, individually or in the aggregate, has had or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the other party; and

the other party has delivered a certification stating that certain conditions are satisfied. The obligation of Whiting to complete the arrangement is further subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following conditions:

the total number of shares of Kodiak common stock with respect to which dissent rights have been properly exercised and not withdrawn have not exceeded 5% of the outstanding shares of Kodiak common stock as of the closing date; and

no claim, action, suit, arbitration, proceeding, investigation or inquiry has been commenced by any governmental entity against Whiting, Kodiak or any of their respective subsidiaries with respect to the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement.

The obligation of Kodiak to complete the arrangement is further subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following condition:

Lynn A. Peterson and James E. Catlin have been appointed to serve as directors of Whiting effective at the completion of the arrangement; provided if either is unable to serve as a director of Whiting, Kodiak s board of directors shall be able to designate a substitute individual reasonably acceptable to Whiting (and after giving effect to these appointments, the board of directors of Whiting shall consist of a total of not more than ten directors).

Other than the receipt of an opinion from Foley & Lardner LLP by Whiting noted above, the conditions set forth in the arrangement agreement may be waived by Whiting or Kodiak. For a more complete discussion of the conditions to the arrangement, see The Arrangement Agreement Conditions beginning on page 114.

### **Timing of the Arrangement**

The arrangement is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2014. However, it is possible that factors outside of each company s control could require them to complete the arrangement at a later time or not to complete it at all.

#### No Solicitation of Other Offers

In the arrangement agreement, subject to certain exceptions, each of Whiting and Kodiak has agreed that it will not, directly or indirectly:

solicit, initiate, cause, knowingly encourage, or knowingly facilitate, any inquiries or the making of any proposal that constitutes or is reasonably likely to lead to a takeover proposal (as described in the section entitled The Arrangement Agreement Covenants No Solicitation of Other Offers beginning on page 117);

participate in any discussion or negotiations regarding a takeover proposal; or

furnish any information in connection with or in furtherance of a takeover proposal. The arrangement agreement includes customary exceptions such that, prior to obtaining shareholder approval, the parties may engage in negotiations regarding and, subject to complying with certain specified procedures and, in certain circumstances, a payment of a termination fee and/or reimbursement of expenses as described below, the applicable party s board of directors may change its recommendation of the transaction in light of, or terminate the arrangement agreement to enter into an agreement for, an unsolicited takeover proposal that is determined to be a superior proposal, in each case, to the extent necessary to do so to comply with applicable fiduciary duties.

For a discussion of the limitations on solicitation of takeover proposals from third parties, see The Arrangement Agreement Covenants No Solicitation of Other Offers beginning on page 117.

# Termination of the Arrangement Agreement and Termination Fees

The arrangement agreement may be terminated in any of the following ways:

by mutual written consent of Whiting and Kodiak;

by either Whiting or Kodiak if:

a law or order has been enacted, entered or promulgated prohibiting or permanently restraining the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement;

the arrangement has not been consummated on or prior to the date that is 180 days after the date of the arrangement agreement, unless the failure to complete the arrangement is the result of breach of the arrangement agreement in any material respect by the party seeking to terminate the arrangement agreement;

the other party has breached or failed to perform in any material respect any of its representations, warranties or covenants contained in the arrangement agreement, such breach or failure to perform would result in any condition precedent not being satisfied and the breach is incapable of being cured within 30 days after receiving written notice of such breach or has not been cured within such 30-day time period;

the approval of the continuance resolution by the Kodiak shareholders or the arrangement resolution by the Kodiak securityholders is not obtained;

the interim order or the final order is not obtained from the court;

the approval of the share issuance proposal by the Whiting stockholders is not obtained;

the other party has materially breached its covenants set forth under The Arrangement Agreement Covenants No Solicitation of Other Offers beginning on page 117 and The Arrangement Agreement Covenants Change of Board Recommendations or Termination of Arrangement Agreement for Superior Proposal beginning on page 118;

the board of directors of a party has failed to make its recommendation to approve the arrangement or has changed its recommendation; and

the board of directors of a party has recommended to its shareholders any takeover proposal or superior proposal or has entered into an agreement relating to such.

The arrangement agreement provides that, upon a termination of the arrangement agreement under specified circumstances, Kodiak is required to pay a termination fee equal to \$130.0 million to Whiting plus reimbursement of up to \$10.0 million of Whiting s expenses and, alternatively, Whiting is required to pay a termination fee equal to \$130.0 million to Kodiak plus reimbursement of up to \$10.0 million of Kodiak s expenses. If the arrangement agreement is terminated due to the failure to obtain the Whiting stockholder approval of the share issuance proposal, Whiting would be required to reimburse up to \$10.0 million of Kodiak s expenses; and if the arrangement agreement is

terminated due to the failure to obtain the Kodiak shareholder approval of the continuation resolution or Kodiak securityholder approval of the arrangement resolution, Kodiak would be required to reimburse up to \$10.0 million of Whiting s expenses.

For a more detailed discussion of each party s termination rights and the related termination fee, see The Arrangement Agreement Termination of Arrangement Agreement beginning on page 124.

### Matters to be Considered at the Special Meetings

### Whiting

At the Whiting special meeting, Whiting stockholders will be asked to consider and vote upon:

the share issuance proposal; and

the Whiting adjournment proposal.

Whiting stockholder approval of the share issuance proposal is required to complete the arrangement.

Approval of the share issuance proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of Whiting common stock represented in person or by proxy.

Approval of the Whiting adjournment proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of Whiting common stock represented in person or by proxy.

The Whiting board of directors recommends that Whiting stockholders vote **FOR** all of the proposals set forth above, as more fully described under Whiting Proposals beginning on page 134.

# Kodiak

At the Kodiak special meeting, Kodiak shareholders and securityholders will be asked to consider and vote upon:

for Kodiak shareholders, the continuance resolution;

for Kodiak securityholders, the arrangement resolution;

for Kodiak shareholders, the arrangement-related compensation proposal; and

for Kodiak shareholders, the Kodiak adjournment proposal. Kodiak shareholder approval of the continuance resolution and Kodiak securityholder approval of the arrangement resolution is required to complete the arrangement.

Approval of the continuance resolution requires the affirmative vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the continuance resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock present in person or represented by proxy.

Approval of the arrangement resolution requires the (i) affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock present in person or represented by proxy, voting as one class, and (ii) affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock and holders of Kodiak RSUs, options and restricted stock awards present in person or represented by proxy together voting as another class, with each Kodiak RSU, option and restricted stock award entitling the holder thereof to that number of votes equal to the number of shares of Kodiak common stock issuable upon the valid exercise of an option, or the valid settlement of an RSU or restricted stock award, as applicable.

Approval of the arrangement-related compensation proposal requires that the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceed the votes cast against such proposal.

Approval of the Kodiak adjournment proposal requires that the votes cast in favor of such proposal exceed the votes cast against such proposal.

The Kodiak board of directors recommends that Kodiak stockholders vote **FOR** all of the proposals set forth above and that the Kodiak securityholders vote **FOR** the arrangement resolution, as more fully described under Kodiak

Proposals beginning on page 141.

### **Risk Factors**

You should also carefully consider the risks that are described in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 30.

### Whiting Selected Historical Financial Data

Whiting s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and Whiting s consolidated balance sheet information at December 31, 2012 and 2013 are derived from Whiting s audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. Whiting s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 and Whiting s consolidated balance sheet information at December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 are derived from Whiting s audited consolidated financial statements that are not included or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. Whiting s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and the consolidated balance sheet information at June 30, 2014 have been derived from Whiting s unaudited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. Whiting shistorical results include the results from Whiting s proved property acquisitions beginning on the following dates: properties in North Dakota and Montana, September 20, 2013; properties in Colorado, September 1, 2010; and additional interests in properties in North Ward Estes, November 1, 2009 and October 1, 2009. In addition, Whiting shistorical results also include the effects of Whiting s proved property divestitures beginning on the following dates: properties in the Postle field, April 1, 2013; and properties in Texas, October 1, 2013. This information is only a summary and you should read it in conjunction with Whiting s consolidated financial statements and related notes incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/circular. The consolidated financial data may not be indicative of future performance.

		Year E	Six Mont June	e 30,			
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2013	2014
			(in millions	, except per	share data)		
Consolidated Statements of							
Income Information:							
Revenues and other income:							
Oil, NGL and natural gas sales	\$ 917.5	\$1,475.3	\$ 1,860.1	\$2,137.7	\$ 2,666.5	\$1,257.0	\$1,547.0
Gain (loss) on hedging activities	38.8	23.2	8.8	2.3	(1.9)	(0.6)	
Amortization of deferred gain							
on sale	16.6	15.6	13.9	29.5	31.7	15.9	15.2
Gain on sale of properties	5.9	1.4	16.3	3.4	128.6	3.4	12.4
Interest income and other	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	3.4	1.2	1.3
Total revenues and other							
income	979.4	1,516.1	1,899.6	2,173.4	2,828.3	1,276.9	1,575.9
			,	,		,	
Costs and expenses:							
Lease operating	237.3	268.3	305.5	376.4	430.2	205.0	233.1
Production taxes	64.7	103.9	139.2	171.6	225.4	105.1	128.9
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization	394.8	393.9	468.2	684.7	891.5	424.6	503.8
Exploration and impairment	73.0	59.4	84.6	167.0	453.2	80.7	73.6
General and administrative	42.3	64.7	85.0	108.6	138.0	58.1	67.9
Interest expense	64.6	59.1	62.5	75.2	112.9	44.6	81.2
	00	6.2	0210		4.4		01.2

Loss on early extinguishment of							
debt							
Change in Production							
Participation Plan liability	3.3	12.1	(0.9)	13.8	(7.0)	12.1	
Commodity derivative (gain)							
loss, net	262.2	7.1	(24.8)	(85.9)	7.8	1.1	50.6
Total costs and expenses	1,142.2	974.7	1,119.3	1,511.4	2,256.4	931.2	1,139.1

		Year Ended December 31,								S	Six Mont June	nded	
	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2013		2014
				(1	in millions	s, e	except per	sh	are data)				
Income (loss) before taxes	(162.8)		541.4		780.3		662.0		571.9		345.7		436.8
Income tax expense (benefit)	(55.9)		204.8		288.7		247.9		205.9		124.5		176.3
Net income (loss)	(106.9)		336.7		491.6		414.1		366.0		221.2		260.5
Net loss attributable to	(100.))		550.7		171.0		11 1.1		500.0		221.2		200.5
noncontrolling interest					0.1		0.1		0.1				
Net income (loss) available	(1050)												
to shareholders	(106.9)		336.7		491.7		414.2		366.1		221.2		260.5
Preferred stock dividends(1)	(10.3)		(64.0)		(1.1)		(1.1)		(0.5)		(0.5)		
Net income (loss) available													
to common shareholders	\$ (117.2)	\$	272.7	\$	490.6	\$	413.1	\$	365.5	\$	220.7	\$	260.5
Earnings (loss) per common													
share, basic(2)	\$ (1.18)	\$	2.57	\$	4.18	\$	3.51	\$	3.09	\$	1.87	\$	2.19
Earnings (loss) per common													
share, diluted(2)	\$ (1.18)	\$	2.55	\$	4.14	\$	3.48	\$	3.06	\$	1.86	\$	2.17
Other Financial													
Information:													
Net cash provided by													
operating activities	\$ 453.8	\$	997.3	\$	1,192.1	\$	1,401.2	\$	1,744.7	\$	740.2	\$	891.7
Net cash used in investing													
activities	\$ (523.5)	\$	(914.6)	\$	(1,760.0)	\$	(1,780.3)	\$	(1,902.5)	\$(	1,203.1)	\$(	1,322.1)
Net cash provided by (used	, í		, í							,			
in) financing activities	\$ 72.1	\$	(75.7)	\$	564.8	\$	408.1	\$	812.4	\$	441.4	\$	(41.9)
Capital expenditures	\$ 585.8	\$	923.8	\$	1,804.3	\$	2,171.5	\$	2,772.7	\$	1,370.6	\$	1,201.5
Consolidated Balance													
Sheet Information:													
Total assets	\$ 4,029.5	\$	4,648.8	\$	6,045.6	\$	7,272.4	\$	8,833.5			\$ 9	9,359.0
Long-term debt	\$ 779.6		800.0	\$	1,380.0		1,800.0		2,653.8				2,653.5
Total equity(3)	\$ 2,270.1	\$	2,531.3		3,029.1		3,453.2		3,836.7				4,097.1

(1) The year ended December 31, 2010 includes a cash premium of \$47.5 million for the induced conversion of Whiting s 6.25% perpetual preferred stock.

(2) On January 26, 2011, Whiting s board of directors approved a two-for-one split of Whiting s shares of common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend effective February 22, 2011. Earnings (loss) per common share, basic and diluted for periods prior to February 2011 have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split.

(3) No cash dividends were declared or paid on Whiting s common stock during the periods presented.

# Whiting Historical Operating and Reserve Data

The following table presents summary information regarding Whiting s historical operating data for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and Whiting s estimated net proved oil and natural gas reserves as of December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. The reserve estimates presented in the table below are based on reports prepared by Cawley Gillespie & Associates, Inc., independent reserve engineers.

		Year Ended December 31,							Six Months End June 30,				
	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2013		, 2014
<b>Operating Data:</b>													
Net production:													
Oil (MMBbl)	13.9		17.5		18.3		23.1		27.0		13.0		15.3
NGLs (MMBbl)	1.5		1.5		2.1		2.8		2.8		1.4		1.4
Natural gas (Bcf)	29.3		27.4		26.4		25.8		26.9		13.0		13.9
Total production (MMBOE)	20.3		23.6		24.8		30.2		34.3		16.5		19.0
Net sales (in millions)													
Oil(1)	\$761.4	\$	1,268.2	<b>\$</b> 1	1,621.5	\$	1,940.5	\$2	2,443.7	<b>\$</b> 1	1,148.1	\$	1,388.5
NGLs	46.2		74.0		108.6		108.9		114.0		56.5		65.2
Natural gas(1)	109.9		133.1		130.0		88.3		108.8		52.4		93.3
Total oil, NGL and natural gas													
sales	\$917.5	\$	1,475.3	<b>\$</b> 1	1,860.1	\$2	2,137.7	\$2	2,666.5	\$1	1,257.0	\$ 1	1,547.0
Average sales prices:													
Oil (per Bbl)(1)	\$ 54.80	\$	72.61	\$	88.61	\$	83.86	\$	90.39	\$	88.65	\$	91.04
Effect of oil hedges on average													
price (per Bbl)	(0.47)		(1.47)		(1.67)		(1.25)		(1.13)		(0.95)		(0.38)
Oil net of hedging (per Bbl)	\$ 54.33	\$	71.14	\$	86.94	\$	82.61	\$	89.26	\$	87.70	\$	90.66
Average NYMEX price (per													
Bbl)	\$61.93	\$	79.55	\$	95.14	\$	94.19	\$	98.00	\$	94.28	\$	100.81
NGLs (per Bbl)	\$31.07	\$	47.33	\$	52.38	\$	39.36	\$	40.41	\$	40.20	\$	45.47
Natural gas (per Mcf)(1)	\$ 3.75	\$	4.86	\$	4.92	\$	3.42	\$	4.04	\$	4.04	\$	6.73
Effect of natural gas hedges on													
average price (per Mcf)	0.05		0.04		0.04		0.06						
Notural and not of hadair - (													
Natural gas net of hedging (per Mcf)	\$ 3.80	\$	4.90	\$	4.96	\$	3.48	\$	4.04	\$	4.04	\$	6.73
,		т		Ŧ		т		Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ	
Average NYMEX price (per													
Mcf)	\$ 3.99	\$	4.39	\$	4.04	\$	2.79	\$	3.66	\$	3.72	\$	4.80

Cost and expenses (per BOE):							
Lease operating expenses	\$11.71	\$ 11.37	\$ 12.33	\$ 12.46	\$ 12.53	\$ 12.41	\$ 12.27
Production taxes	\$ 3.19	\$ 4.40	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.68	\$ 6.56	\$ 6.36	\$ 6.79
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization expense	\$19.48	\$ 16.69	\$ 18.89	\$ 22.67	\$ 25.96	\$ 25.70	\$ 26.52
General and administrative							
expenses	\$ 2.09	\$ 2.74	\$ 3.43	\$ 3.59	\$ 4.02	\$ 3.52	\$ 3.57

(1) Before consideration of hedging transactions

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013				
Reserve Data:(1)									
Total estimated proved developed reserves:									
Oil (MBbl)	129,104	160,088	180,975	190,845	198,204				
NGLs (MBbl)	15,709	18,321	22,109	24,204	23,721				
Natural gas (MMcf)	178,782	220,530	211,297	160,893	183,129				
Total (MBOE)	174,610	215,164	238,300	241,864	252,446				
Total estimated proved reserves(2):									
Oil (MBbl)	193,294	224,196	260,144	301,285	347,421				
NGLs (MBbl)	30,502	30,082	37,609	40,098	44,869				
Natural gas (MMcf)	307,393	303,544	284,975	224,264	277,514				
Total (MBOE)	275,029	304,869	345,249	378,760	438,542				
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash									
flows (in millions)	\$ 2,343.5	\$ 3,667.6	\$ 5,272.5	\$ 5,407.0	\$ 6,593.9				
Total estimated probable reserves(2):									
Oil (MBbl)	45,274	49,638	57,128	84,982	109,268				
NGLs (MBbl)	13,549	15,068	13,706	11,922	22,330				
Natural gas (MMcf)	181,889	212,201	210,874	109,582	267,555				
Total (MBOE)	89,138	100,073	105,979	115,168	176,191				
Total estimated possible reserves(2):									
Oil (MBbl)	134,653	146,313	129,066	123,179	137,223				
NGLs (MBbl)	31,987	36,702	34,987	21,936	24,607				
Natural gas (MMcf)	184,910	204,765	187,212	156,382	163,780				
Total (MBOE)	197,458	217,142	195,255	171,178	189,127				

(1) Oil and gas reserve quantities and related discounted future net cash flows have been derived from oil and gas prices calculated using an average of the first-day-of-the month price for each month within the 12 months ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively, pursuant to current U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Financial Accounting Standards Board guidelines.

(2) Proved, probable and possible reserves are defined under the heading Glossary of Certain Oil and Natural Gas Definitions beginning on page 194.

### Kodiak Selected Historical Financial Data

Kodiak s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and Kodiak s consolidated balance sheet information at December 31, 2012 and 2013 are derived from Kodiak s audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. Kodiak s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 and Kodiak s consolidated balance sheet information at December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 are derived from Kodiak s audited consolidated financial statements that are not included or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. Kodiak s consolidated statements of income and statements of cash flows information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and the consolidated balance sheet information at June 30, 2014 have been derived from Kodiak s unaudited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. This information is only a summary and you should read it in conjunction with Kodiak s consolidated financial statements and related notes incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/circular. The consolidated financial statements of future performance.

	Year Ended December 31,									Si	ix Mont Jun		
	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013	,	2013		2014
				(ir	n million	ıs, e	xcept pe	r sh	are data	)			
<b>Consolidated Statements of Income</b>													
Information:													
Revenues and other income:													
Oil sales	\$ 10.7	\$	30.2	\$	115.7	\$	390.4	\$	858.2	\$	319.2	\$	515.1
Gas sales	0.6		0.7		4.3		18.3		46.4		19.3		41.9
Gain (loss) on commodity price risk													
management activities, net			(6.1)		(20.1)		44.6		(45.0)		6.9		(81.1)
Interest expense, net					(18.9)		(22.9)		(74.2)		(29.6)		(50.1)
Other income					1.3		3.7		3.5		0.7		0.1
Total revenues and other income	11.3		24.8		82.3		434.1		788.9		316.5		425.9
Operating expenses:													
Oil and gas production	2.2		6.8		26.9		85.5		190.4		73.5		129.2
Depletion, depreciation, amortization													
and accretion	3.2		8.2		32.0		155.7		317.2		119.8		188.7
General and administrative	8.5		12.2		19.5		34.5		47.3		20.6		26.7
Total operating expenses	13.9		27.2		78.4		275.7		554.9		213.9		344.6
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2.6)		(2.4)		3.9		158.4		234.0		102.6		81.3
Income tax expense	. ,		. /				26.8		92.6		38.9		31.0
<b>*</b>													
Net income (loss)	\$ (2.6)	\$	(2.4)	\$	3.9	\$	131.6	\$	141.4	\$	63.7	\$	50.3
Earnings (loss) per common share,	¢ (0,02)	¢	(0,02)	¢	0.02	¢	0.50	¢	0.52	¢	0.24	¢	0.10
basic	\$(0.02)	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.02	\$	0.50	\$	0.53	\$	0.24	\$	0.19

Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted	\$(0.02)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.02	\$	0.49	\$	0.53	\$	0.24	\$	0.19
Other Financial Information:											
Net cash provided by operating											
activities	\$ 9.4	\$ 10.3	\$ 53.9	\$	272.7	\$	553.6	\$	232.9	\$	346.6
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(28.2)	\$ (200.0)	\$ (590.7)	\$(	(1,348.1)	\$ (	(1,719.1)	\$ (	(580.6)	\$	(403.6)
Net cash provided by financing											
activities	\$ 36.1	\$ 266.0	\$ 517.2	\$	1,017.9	\$	1,141.6	\$	337.7	\$	68.1
Total capital expenditures	\$ 27.2	\$ 85.3	\$ 275.5	\$	838.5	\$	1,020.0	\$	504.8	\$	441.1
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet</b>											
Information:											
Total assets	\$ 79.7	\$ 369.9	\$ 1,699.5	\$	2,373.6	\$	3,923.8			\$ 4	4,125.1
Long-term debt	\$	\$ 40.0	\$ 750.0	\$	1,100.6	\$	2,263.0			\$2	2,329.6
Total stockholders equity	\$ 69.9	\$ 299.0	\$ 839.7	\$	1,035.9	\$	1,193.1			\$	1,255.4

### Kodiak Historical Operating and Reserve Data

The following table presents summary information regarding Kodiak s historical operating data for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and Kodiak s estimated net proved oil and natural gas reserves as of December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. The reserve estimates presented in the table below are based on reports prepared by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc., independent reserve engineers.

		En	lonths ded e 30,				
	2009	09 2010 2011 2012 2013					2014
Operating Data:							
Sales volume:							
Oil (MMBbl)	0.2	0.4	1.3	4.7	9.4	3.6	5.7
Gas (Bcf)	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.3	7.2	3.1	5.0
Sales volumes (MMBOE)(2)	0.2	0.5	1.4	5.3	10.6	4.1	6.5
Sales price:							
Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$58.35	\$ 69.89	\$ 86.05	\$ 83.00	\$ 90.92	\$89.81	\$90.26
Gas (\$/Mcf)(1)	\$ 2.84	\$ 4.81	\$ 8.22	\$ 5.53	\$ 6.40	\$ 6.31	\$ 8.34
Production costs (\$/Sales BOE):							
Lease operating expenses	\$ 4.25	\$ 7.03	\$ 8.67	\$ 6.04	\$ 6.48	\$ 6.61	\$ 8.42
Production and property taxes	\$ 5.50	\$ 7.49	\$ 9.04	\$ 8.34	\$ 9.17	\$ 8.92	\$ 9.01
Depletion, depreciation, amortization and abandonment liability accretion							
expense	\$14.40	\$ 17.92	\$ 22.40	\$ 29.62	\$ 29.80	\$ 29.47	\$28.83
General and administrative expense	\$38.86	\$ 26.53	\$ 13.62	\$ 6.57	\$ 4.44	\$ 5.08	\$ 4.08
Reserve Data:							
Proved developed reserves:							
Oil (MBbl)	1,170	3,756	13,179	36,158	63,934		
Gas (MMcf)	1,455	3,653	8,957	41,870	78,823		
Total proved reserves:							
Oil (MBbl)	3,817	10,010	35,576	80,930	138,257		
Gas (MMcf)	3,849	8,960	25,539	83,124	173,985		
Total proved oil equivalents (MBOE)(2)	4,458	11,504	39,832	94,784	167,255		
Present value of estimated future net revenues after income taxes,							
discounted at 10% (in millions)(3)	\$ 39.1	\$ 154.6	\$ 660.0	\$1,608.5	\$ 2,782.8		

(1) Average gas price received at the wellhead includes proceeds from natural gas liquids under percentage of proceeds contracts.

(2) Kodiak converts Mcf of gas equivalent to oil at a ratio of six Mcf of gas (includes gas liquids) to one Bbl of oil.

(3) The Present Value of Estimated Future Net Revenues After Income Taxes, Discounted at 10%, is referred to as the Standardized Measure. There is a \$695.4 million tax effect in 2013, a \$310.6 million tax effect in 2012, a \$190.7 million tax effect in 2011, a \$6.6 million tax effect in 2010, and no tax effect in 2009 as the tax basis in properties and net operating loss exceeds the future net revenues in that period.

### Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information

The following selected unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes of Whiting and Kodiak for the periods presented and the unaudited pro forma combined financial information and related notes provided under the section entitled Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information beginning on page 144 of this joint proxy statement/circular. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet information as of June 30, 2014 assumes the arrangement occurred on June 30, 2014. The unaudited pro forma combined statements of operations information for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013 both give effect to the arrangement as if it had occurred on January 1, 2013. Additionally, Whiting s unaudited pro forma statement of operations information for the year ended December 31, 2013 of its interests in certain oil and gas producing properties located in the Postle and Northeast Hardesty fields in Texas County, Oklahoma as well as certain related assets and liabilities as if the disposition had occurred on January 1, 2013.

The selected unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not purport to represent what Whiting s financial position or results of operations would have been had the arrangement been consummated on the assumed dates nor is it indicative of future financial position or results of operations. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not reflect future events that may occur after the arrangement, including, but not limited to, the anticipated realization of ongoing savings from operating efficiencies.

	Year Ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands, exc	Six Month June 30 cept per shar	), 2014
Pro Forma Statements of Operations Information:			
Revenues and other income:			
Oil, NGL and natural gas sales	\$ 3,450,293	\$ 2	,104,068
Loss on hedging activities	(1,958)		
Amortization of deferred gain on sale	31,737		15,217
Gain on sale of properties	18,949		12,355
Interest income and other	44,549		29,725
Total revenues and other income	3,543,570	2	,161,365
Costs and expenses:	405 205		202.220
Lease operating	495,395		303,339
Production taxes	314,805		187,889
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,029,699		618,664
Exploration and impairment	458,863		75,839
General and administrative	169,609		84,858
Interest expense	158,376		121,300
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	4,412		
Change in Production Participation Plan liability	(6,980)		
Commodity derivative loss, net	51,027		131,706
Total costs and expenses	2,675,206	1	,523,595

Income before income taxes		868,364		637,770
Income tax expense		320,657		252,709
Net income		547,707		385,061
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest		52		36
Net income available to shareholders		547,759		385,097
Preferred stock dividends		(538)		,
	¢	5 47 001	¢	295.007
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	547,221	\$	385,097
Earnings per common share, basic	\$	3.30	\$	2.31
			+	
Earnings per common share, diluted	\$	3.27	\$	2.29
Pro Forma Balance Sheet Information:				
Total assets			\$	15,798,703
Long-term debt			\$	5,096,512
Total equity			\$	6,807,159

### Comparative Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Per Share Information

The following table sets forth certain historical, pro forma and pro forma-equivalent per share financial information for Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock. The pro forma and pro forma-equivalent per share information give effect to the arrangement as if the arrangement had occurred on January 1, 2013, in the case of earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, and on June 30, 2014, in the case of book value. The information in the table below has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes of Whiting and Kodiak contained in their respective Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and in their respective Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 192.

The Whiting pro forma earnings per share was calculated using the methodology described below under the heading Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information beginning on page 144 and is subject to all the assumptions, adjustments and limitations described thereunder. The pro forma information set forth below, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of the combined company under one set of assumptions, does not reflect the possible impact on the combined company that may result as a consequence of the arrangement and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results.

	hiting storical	odiak torical	Pro Forma	-	ivalent liak(1)
Earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2014:					
Basic	\$ 2.19	\$ 0.19	\$ 2.31	\$	0.41
Diluted	\$ 2.17	\$ 0.19	\$ 2.29	\$	0.41
Earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2013:					
Basic	\$ 3.09	\$ 0.53	\$ 3.30	\$	0.58
Diluted	\$ 3.06	\$ 0.53	\$ 3.27	\$	0.58
Book value per share as of June 30, 2014(2)	\$ 34.37	\$ 4.70	\$ 40.78	\$	7.22

(1) The equivalent Kodiak amounts are calculated by multiplying the pro forma amounts by the exchange ratio of 0.177.

(2) Calculated as total shareholders equity divided by total common shares outstanding.

### **Market Prices**

The table below sets forth, for the calendar quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock, both of which trade on the NYSE under the symbols WLL and KOG, respectively.

		Common Stock	
	High	Low	
WLL Common Stock			
2012	<b>* * * *</b>	* • • • • • •	
First Quarter	\$ 63.97	\$46.55	
Second Quarter	58.33	35.68	
Third Quarter	54.86	38.29	
Fourth Quarter	48.87	40.19	
2013			
First Quarter	\$ 52.02	\$43.60	
Second Quarter	50.96	42.44	
Third Quarter	60.65	46.13	
Fourth Quarter	70.57	56.40	
2014			
First Quarter	\$ 72.32	\$ 54.93	
Second Quarter	82.35	68.46	
Third Quarter	92.92	76.28	
Fourth Quarter (through October 24, 2014)	78.99	53.11	
	~		
		on Stock	
	Commo High	on Stock Low	
KOG Common Stock			
2012	High	Low	
<b>2012</b> First Quarter	High \$ 10.90	Low \$ 8.58	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15	Low \$ 8.58 6.92	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15	Low \$ 8.58 6.92	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25 12.21	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27 8.34	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2014	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25 12.21 14.11	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27 8.34 10.12	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2014 First Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25 12.21 14.11 \$ 12.62	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27 8.34 10.12 \$ 10.08	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2014 First Quarter Second Quarter Second Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25 12.21 14.11 \$ 12.62 14.83	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27 8.34 10.12 \$ 10.08 11.78	
2012 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2013 First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter 2014 First Quarter	High \$ 10.90 10.15 9.92 9.97 \$ 9.74 9.25 12.21 14.11 \$ 12.62	Low \$ 8.58 6.92 7.50 8.03 \$ 8.56 7.27 8.34 10.12 \$ 10.08	

On July 11, 2014, the last trading day before the public announcement of the signing of the arrangement agreement, the closing sale price per share of Whiting common stock was \$78.54 and the closing sale price per share of Kodiak common stock was \$14.23, in each case on the NYSE. On October 24, 2014, the latest practicable date before the date of this joint proxy statement/circular, the closing sale price per share of Whiting common stock was \$10.78, in each case on the NYSE.

The table below sets forth the equivalent market value per share of Kodiak common stock on July 11, 2014 and October 24, 2014, as determined by multiplying the closing prices of shares of Whiting common stock on those dates by the exchange ratio. Although the exchange ratio is fixed, the market prices of Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock will fluctuate before the special meetings and before the arrangement is completed. The market value of the arrangement consideration ultimately received by Kodiak shareholders will depend on the closing price of Whiting common stock on the day such shareholders receive their shares of Whiting common stock.

	Whiting Common Stock	Kodiak Common Stock	Equivalent Per Share of Kodiak Common Stock
July 11, 2014	\$ 78.54	\$ 14.23	\$ 13.90
October 24, 2014	\$ 61.66	\$ 10.78	\$ 10.91
	<b>Dividend Data</b>		

Whiting has never paid any cash dividends on its common stock, and Whiting does not anticipate paying any such dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

Kodiak has never paid any cash dividends on its common stock, and Kodiak does not anticipate paying any such dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

#### **RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the other information included and incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular, including the matters addressed in the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 38, you should carefully consider the following risks before deciding whether to vote for the continuance resolution and the arrangement-related compensation proposal, in the case of Kodiak shareholders, and the arrangement resolution, in the case of Kodiak securityholders, or for the share issuance proposal, in the case of Whiting stockholders. In addition, you should read and consider the risks associated with each of the businesses of Whiting and Kodiak because these risks will also affect the combined company. Descriptions of some of these risks can be found in Whiting s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and Kodiak s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended december 31, 2013, and Kodiak s Annual Report on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, all of which are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. You should also read and consider the other information in this joint proxy statement/circular and the other documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular. See the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 192.

#### **Risk Factors Relating to the Arrangement**

### The exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted in the event of any change in either Whiting s or Kodiak s stock price.

Upon completion of the arrangement, each share of Kodiak common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.177 of a share of Whiting common stock. This exchange ratio was fixed in the arrangement agreement and will not be adjusted to reflect changes in the market price of either Whiting common stock or Kodiak common stock before the arrangement is completed. Changes in the price of Whiting common stock prior to the completion of the arrangement will affect the market value that Kodiak shareholders will receive on the date of the arrangement. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors (many of which are beyond Whiting s and Kodiak s control), including the following:

changes in Whiting s and Kodiak s respective businesses, operations and prospects;

changes in market assessments of the business, operations and prospects of either company;

investor behavior and strategies, including market assessments of the likelihood that the arrangement will be completed, including related considerations regarding court approval and regulatory clearance of the arrangement;

interest rates, general market and economic conditions and other factors generally affecting the price of Whiting s and Kodiak s common stock; and

federal, state and local legislation, governmental regulation and legal developments in the businesses in which Whiting and Kodiak operate.

The price of Whiting common stock at the completion of the arrangement will vary from its price on the date the arrangement agreement was executed, on the date of this joint proxy statement/circular and on the date of the special meetings of Whiting and Kodiak. As a result, the market value represented by the exchange ratio will also vary. For example, based on the range of closing prices of Whiting common stock during the period from July 11, 2014, the last trading day before public announcement of the arrangement, through October 24, 2014, the latest practicable date before the date of this joint proxy statement/circular, the exchange ratio represented a market value ranging from a low of \$9.94 to a high of \$16.40 for each share of Kodiak common stock.

### Whiting s stock price may be negatively impacted by risks and conditions that apply to Whiting, which are different from the risks and conditions applicable to Kodiak.

Upon completion of the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders will become holders of Whiting common stock. The businesses and markets of Whiting and its subsidiaries and the other businesses it may acquire in the future are different from those of Kodiak. There is a risk that various factors, conditions and developments that would not affect the price of Kodiak common stock could negatively affect the price of Whiting common stock. Please see Whiting s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as updated by any subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC, all of which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/circular, and the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 38 for a summary of some of the key factors that might affect Whiting and the prices at which Whiting s common stock may trade from time to time.

Whiting and Kodiak may be unable to obtain the court approval required to complete the arrangement or, in order to do so, Whiting and Kodiak may be required to comply with material restrictions or conditions that may negatively affect the combined company after the arrangement is completed or cause them to abandon the arrangement. Failure to complete the arrangement could negatively affect the future business and financial results of Whiting and Kodiak.

Completion of the arrangement is contingent upon, among other things, the receipt of the required court approval under Division 5 of Part 9 of the BCBCA. Whiting and Kodiak can provide no assurance that the required court approval will be obtained or that the approval will not contain terms, conditions or restrictions that would be detrimental to the combined company after completion of the arrangement. See The Arrangement Court Approval of the Arrangement and Completion of the Arrangement beginning on page 104.

### Failure to complete the arrangement could negatively affect the share prices, future businesses and financial results of Whiting and Kodiak.

Completion of the arrangement is not assured and is subject to risks, including the risks that approval of the arrangement by shareholders of Whiting and Kodiak, the court or governmental agencies will not be obtained or that certain other closing conditions will not be satisfied. If the arrangement is not completed, the ongoing businesses and financial results of Whiting or Kodiak may be adversely affected and Whiting and Kodiak will be subject to several risks, including:

having to pay certain significant transaction costs relating to the arrangement without receiving the benefits of the arrangement;

for Whiting, potentially having to reimburse up to \$10.0 million of Kodiak s expenses if Whiting stockholder approval is not obtained or a termination fee of \$130.0 million plus reimbursement of up to \$10.0 million of Kodiak s expenses in other specific circumstances, including without limitation, a change in the Whiting board of directors recommendation to its stockholders or termination in respect of a takeover proposal or superior proposal;

for Kodiak, potentially having to reimburse up to \$10.0 million of Whiting s expenses if Kodiak shareholder approval of the continuance resolution or Kodiak securityholder approval of the arrangement resolution is not obtained or a termination fee of \$130.0 million plus reimbursement of up to \$10.0 million of Whiting s expenses in other specific circumstances, including without limitation, a change in the Kodiak board of directors recommendation to its shareholders or termination in respect of a takeover proposal or superior proposal;

the potential loss of key personnel during the pendency of the arrangement as employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company;

Whiting and Kodiak will have been subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of their businesses which may have prevented them from making certain acquisitions or dispositions or pursuing certain business opportunities while the arrangement was pending;

the share price of Whiting and/or Kodiak may decline to the extent that the current market prices reflect an assumption by the market that the arrangement will be completed; and

### each of Whiting and Kodiak may be subject to litigation related to any failure to complete the arrangement. *Delays in completing the arrangement may substantially reduce the expected benefits of the arrangement.*

Satisfying the conditions to, and completion of, the arrangement may take longer than, and could cost more than, Whiting and Kodiak expect. Any delay in completing or any additional conditions imposed in order to complete the arrangement may materially adversely affect the synergies and other benefits that Whiting and Kodiak expect to achieve from the arrangement and the integration of their respective businesses. In addition, each of Whiting and Kodiak have the right to terminate the arrangement agreement if the arrangement is not completed by January 9, 2015.

# The arrangement agreement limits each of Whiting s and Kodiak s ability to pursue alternatives to the arrangement, which could discourage a potential acquirer of either Kodiak or Whiting from making an alternative takeover proposal and, in certain circumstances, could require Whiting or Kodiak to pay to the other a significant termination fee.

Under the arrangement agreement, Whiting and Kodiak are restricted, subject to limited exceptions, from pursuing or entering into alternative transactions in lieu of the arrangement. In general, unless and until the arrangement agreement is terminated, both Whiting and Kodiak are restricted from soliciting alternative takeover proposals and providing information to or engaging in discussions with third parties, except in the limited circumstances as provided in the arrangement agreement. Each of the Whiting board of directors and the Kodiak board of directors is limited in its ability to change its recommendation with respect to the arrangement-related proposals. Whiting and Kodiak each has the right to terminate the arrangement agreement and enter into an agreement with respect to a superior proposal only if specified conditions have been satisfied, including compliance with the non-solicitation provisions of the arrangement agreement so the superior proposal is no longer a superior proposal and the payment of the required termination fee. These provisions could discourage a third party that may have an interest in acquiring all or a significant part of Whiting or Kodiak from considering or proposing such an acquisition, even if such third party were prepared to pay consideration with a higher per share cash or market value than the consideration proposed to be received or realized in the arrangement, or might result in a potential acquirer proposing to pay a lower price than it would otherwise have proposed to pay because of the added expense of the termination fee that may become payable.

#### Whiting and Kodiak will incur substantial transaction fees and costs in connection with the arrangement.

Whiting and Kodiak expect to incur significant non-recurring expenses in connection with the arrangement. Additional unanticipated costs may be incurred, including, without limitation, unexpected transaction costs and other expenses in the course of the integration of the businesses of Whiting and Kodiak. The companies cannot be certain that the elimination of duplicative costs or the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of the two businesses will offset the transaction and integration costs in the near term, or at all.

## Stockholder litigation against Kodiak and/or Whiting could result in an injunction preventing completion of the arrangement, the payment of damages in the event the arrangement is completed and/or may adversely affect the combined company s business, financial condition or results of operations following the arrangement.

Transactions such as the arrangement are often subject to lawsuits by stockholders. In connection with the arrangement, seven purported class action lawsuits have been filed on behalf of Kodiak shareholders in the

#### Table of Contents

United States District Court for the District of Colorado: Quigley and Koelling v. Whiting Petroleum Corporation, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-02023, filed July 22, 2014 (the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this lawsuit on September 24, 2014); Fioravanti v. Krysiak, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-02037, filed July 23, 2014 (the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this lawsuit on October 24, 2014); Wilkinson v. Whiting Petroleum Corporation, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-2074, filed July 25, 2014 (the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this lawsuit on October 23, 2014); Goldsmith v. Krysiak, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-2098, filed July 29, 2014; Rogowski v. Whiting Petroleum Corporation, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-2136, filed July 31, 2014 (the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed this lawsuit on October 20, 2014); Reiter v. Peterson, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-02176, filed August 6, 2014; Sohler v. Whiting Petroleum Corporation, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-02863, filed October 20, 2014 (the Sohler Case ); and one purported class action lawsuit has been filed on behalf of Kodiak shareholders in Denver District Court, State of Colorado: The Booth Family Trust v. Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp., et al., Case No. 14-cv-32947, filed July 25, 2014. This last case was removed to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado on September 4, 2014 and is pending in that court now as Case No. 1:14-cv-2457. It is possible that other related or amended suits could subsequently be filed. The defendants have filed motions to dismiss with prejudice in the all remaining cases other than the Sohler Case. The allegations in the four remaining lawsuits are similar. They purport to be brought as class actions on behalf of all shareholders of Kodiak. The complaints name as defendants the individual members of the Kodiak board of directors, Whiting and Whiting Canadian Sub and list Kodiak as a nominal party or a defendant. Additionally, one complaint lists James Henderson, Kodiak s Chief Financial Officer, as a defendant. The complaints allege that the Kodiak board of directors breached its fiduciary duties to Kodiak shareholders by, among other things, failing to engage in a fair sale process before approving the arrangement and to maximize shareholder value in connection with the arrangement. Additionally, the Sohler Case alleges violations under Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder. Specifically, the complaints allege that the Kodiak board of directors undervalued Kodiak in connection with the arrangement and that the Kodiak board of directors agreed to certain deal protection mechanisms that precluded Kodiak from obtaining competing offers. The complaints also allege that Whiting and Whiting Canadian Sub aided and abetted the Kodiak board of directors alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The Sohler Case alleges additionally that in issuing the preliminary joint proxy statement/circular the Kodiak board of directors violated the cited sections of, and rule promulgated under, the Exchange Act. The complaints seek, among other things, injunctive relief preventing the closing of the arrangement, rescission of the arrangement or an award of rescissory damages to the purported class in the event that the arrangement is consummated, and damages, including counsel fees and expenses.

One of the conditions to the closing of the arrangement is that no law, order, injunction or judgment has been enacted or issued by any government entity that has the effect of prohibiting the consummation of the arrangement. Consequently, if any lawsuit is successful in obtaining an injunction prohibiting Whiting or Kodiak from consummating the arrangement on the agreed upon terms, the injunction may prevent the arrangement from being completed within the expected timeframe, or at all. Furthermore, if the arrangement is prevented or delayed, the lawsuits could result in substantial costs, including any costs associated with the indemnification of directors. The defense or settlement of any lawsuit or claim that remains unresolved at the time the arrangement is completed may adversely affect the combined company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

### Whiting and Kodiak will be subject to various uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the arrangement is pending that could adversely affect Whiting s and Kodiak s financial results.

Uncertainty about the effect of the arrangement on employees, service providers, suppliers and customers may have an adverse effect on Whiting and Kodiak. These uncertainties may impair Whiting s and Kodiak s ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the arrangement is completed and for a period of time thereafter, and could cause service providers, customers, suppliers and others who deal with Whiting and Kodiak to seek to change existing business relationships with the respective party. Employee retention and recruitment may be particularly challenging

prior to completion of the arrangement, as employees and prospective employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company.

The pursuit of the arrangement and the preparation for the integration of the two companies may place a significant burden on Whiting s and Kodiak s management and internal resources. Any significant diversion of management attention away from ongoing business and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could affect Whiting s and Kodiak s financial results or the financial results of the combined company.

In addition, the arrangement agreement restricts Whiting and Kodiak from taking certain specified actions while the arrangement is pending without first obtaining the other party s prior written consent. These restrictions may limit Whiting and Kodiak from pursuing attractive business opportunities and making other changes to their respective businesses prior to completion of the arrangement or termination of the arrangement agreement.

### The executive officers and directors of Kodiak have interests in the arrangement that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Kodiak s shareholders generally.

The Kodiak board of directors approved the arrangement agreement and determined that the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the arrangement, are advisable and in the best interests of Kodiak and its shareholders. In considering these facts and the other information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular, you should be aware that the executive officers and directors of Kodiak may have financial interests in the arrangement that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Kodiak s shareholders. These interests include, among others, the accelerated vesting of certain equity awards, severance payments pursuant to their employment agreements and the continuing service as a director of Whiting of Lynn Peterson and James Catlin. The Kodiak and Whiting boards of directors were aware of these interests at the time each approved the arrangement and the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement. These interests may cause the Kodiak board of directors to view the arrangement more favorably than other Kodiak shareholders may view it. See The Arrangement Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement beginning on page 98.

### If the arrangement does not qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, the shareholders of Kodiak may be required to pay substantial U.S. federal income taxes.

Although Whiting and Kodiak intend that the arrangement qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, it is possible that the IRS may assert that the arrangement fails to qualify as such. If the IRS were to be successful in any such contention, or if for any other reason the arrangement were to fail to qualify as a reorganization, each U.S. Holder of Kodiak common stock would recognize a gain or loss with respect to all such U.S. Holder s shares of Kodiak common stock based on the difference between (i) that U.S. Holder s tax basis in such shares and (ii) the fair market value of the Whiting common stock received. For additional information, see the section entitled Certain Income Tax Consequences Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 174.

### Canadian resident shareholders of Kodiak will be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital gain realized on the disposition of their shares of Kodiak common stock as a result of the arrangement.

For Canadian federal income tax purposes, any capital gain realized on the disposition of shares of Kodiak common stock in consideration for shares of Whiting common stock pursuant to the arrangement will be subject to tax under the ITA for a Canadian Holder (as defined under Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences Holders Resident in Canada ). A Canadian Holder that holds its Kodiak common stock as capital property will realize a capital gain to the extent that the aggregate fair market value at the effective time of the arrangement of the shares of Whiting common stock acquired by such Canadian Holder on the disposition of its Kodiak common stock, net of any reasonable costs of the disposition, exceed the aggregate adjusted cost base immediately before such effective time to the Canadian Holder of its shares of Kodiak common stock disposed of under the arrangement. Depending on the Canadian Holder s particular circumstances, any such resulting tax liability

may represent a material amount. Each Canadian Holder should review the discussion in this joint proxy statement/circular found under Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 184 and consult its own tax advisor.

### In the event that the Kodiak continuance is completed but the arrangement is not completed, the rights of Kodiak shareholders will be governed by the BCBCA and the new notice of articles and articles of Kodiak.

Kodiak is a Yukon Territory, Canada corporation. The rights of Kodiak shareholders are currently governed by the YBCA and Kodiak s articles and by-laws. In connection with the arrangement, it is proposed that Kodiak shareholders will approve the continuance of Kodiak from the jurisdiction of the Yukon Territory to the jurisdiction of the Province of British Columbia prior to the completion of the arrangement and that, upon completion of the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders will become Whiting stockholders and their rights as stockholders will be governed by Whiting s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws and the Delaware General Corporation Law. In the event that, subsequent to the completion of the Kodiak continuance, the arrangement is not completed, the rights of Kodiak shareholders will be governed by the BCBCA and the new notice of articles and articles of Kodiak. The rights of the shareholders of a BCBCA company are in certain circumstances different from the rights of the shareholders of a YBCA company. See Comparison of Rights of Shareholders of Whiting and Kodiak beginning on page 158.

#### **Risk Factors Relating to Whiting Following the Arrangement**

### Whiting and Kodiak may experience difficulties in integrating their businesses, which could cause the combined company to fail to realize many of the anticipated potential benefits of the transaction.

Whiting and Kodiak entered into the arrangement agreement with the expectation that the arrangement will result in various benefits, including, among other things, operating efficiencies and cost savings. Achieving the anticipated benefits of the transaction will depend in part upon whether Whiting and Kodiak integrate their businesses in an efficient and effective manner. Whiting and Kodiak may not be able to accomplish this integration process successfully. The difficulties of combining the two companies businesses potentially will include, among other things:

the necessity of addressing possible differences incorporating cultures and management philosophies, and the integration of certain operations following the transaction will require the dedication of significant management resources, which may temporarily distract management s attention from the day-to-day business of the combined company; and

any inability of Whiting s management to cause best practices to be applied to the combined company s businesses.

An inability to realize the full extent of the anticipated benefits of the transaction, as well as any delays encountered in the transition process, could have an adverse effect upon the revenues, level of expenses and operating results of the combined company, which may affect the value of the Whiting common stock after the closing of the arrangement.

#### The market price of Whiting s common stock may decline in the future as a result of the arrangement.

The market price of Whiting s common stock may decline in the future as a result of the arrangement for a number of reasons, including the unsuccessful integration of Whiting and Kodiak (including for the reasons set forth in the preceding risk factor) or the failure of Whiting to achieve the perceived benefits of the arrangement, including financial and operating results, as rapidly as or to the extent anticipated by financial or industry analysts. These factors are, to some extent, beyond the control of Whiting.

### Current Whiting stockholders and Kodiak shareholders will have a reduced ownership and voting interest after the arrangement.

As a result of the stock Whiting expects to issue as part of the arrangement, current Whiting stockholders and Kodiak shareholders are expected to hold approximately 71% and 29%, respectively, of the combined company s outstanding common stock on a fully diluted basis immediately following completion of the

arrangement. Whiting and Kodiak shareholders currently have the right to vote for their respective directors and on other matters affecting the applicable company. When the arrangement occurs, each Kodiak shareholder that receives shares of Whiting common stock will become a stockholder of Whiting with a percentage ownership of the combined company that will be smaller than the shareholder s percentage ownership of Kodiak. Correspondingly, each Whiting stockholder of Whiting with a percentage ownership of the combined company that will be smaller than the stockholder of Whiting prior to the arrangement. As a result of these reduced ownership percentages, Whiting stockholders will have less voting power in the combined company than they now have with respect to Whiting, and former Kodiak shareholders will have less voting power in the combined company than they now have with respect to Kodiak.

## The pro forma combined financial information included in this joint proxy statement/circular is presented for illustrative purposes only and may not be an indication of the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the arrangement.

The pro forma combined financial information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular is presented for illustrative purposes only, is based on various adjustments, assumptions and preliminary estimates and may not be an indication of the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the arrangement. See Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information beginning on page 144. The actual financial condition and

results of operations of the combined rimateial information beginning on page 144. The actual innateial condition and results of operations of the combined company following the arrangement may not be consistent with, or evident from, these pro forma financial statements. In addition, the assumptions used in preparing the pro forma financial information may not prove to be accurate, and other factors may affect the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the arrangement. Any potential decline in the combined company s financial condition or results of operations may cause significant variations in the stock price of the combined company.

## The internal financial forecasts for Whiting and Kodiak included in this joint proxy statement/circular reflect management estimates and Whiting s and Kodiak s actual performance may differ materially from the internal financial forecasts included in this joint proxy statement/circular.

The internal financial forecasts for Whiting and Kodiak included in this joint proxy statement/circular are based on assumptions of, and information available to, Whiting and Kodiak at the time such internal financial forecasts were prepared. Whiting and Kodiak do not know whether the assumptions made will prove correct. Any or all of such information may turn out to be wrong. Such information can be adversely affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond Whiting s or Kodiak s control. Further, internal financial forecasts of this type are based on estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to factors such as company performance, industry performance, general business, economic, regulatory, market and financial conditions, as well as changes to the business, financial condition or results of operations of Whiting and Kodiak, respectively, including the factors described under Risk Factors beginning on page 30 and Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 38, which factors and changes may cause the internal financial forecasts or the underlying assumptions to be inaccurate. As a result of these contingencies, there can be no assurance that the internal financial forecasts of Whiting or Kodiak will be realized or that actual results will not be significantly higher or lower than projected. In view of these uncertainties, the inclusion of the internal financial forecasts of Whiting and Kodiak in this joint proxy statement/circular should not be regarded as an indication that the board of directors of Kodiak or Whiting, Kodiak, Whiting, Whiting Canadian Sub, J.P. Morgan, Petrie Partners, Credit Suisse or any other recipient of some or all of this information considered, or now considers, it to be an assurance of the achievement of future results.

The internal financial forecasts were prepared for internal use and to assist Whiting and Kodiak with their due diligence investigations and to assist J.P. Morgan, Petrie Partners and Credit Suisse with their financial analyses. The internal financial forecasts were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure or with a view toward complying

with the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants with respect to prospective financial information, but, in the view of Whiting s and Kodiak s management, were

prepared on a reasonable basis, and reflect the best currently available estimates and judgments and present, to the best of management s knowledge and belief, the expected course of action and the expected future financial performance of the Company. However, this information is not fact, and should not be relied upon as being indicative of future results, and readers of this joint proxy statement/circular are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the prospective financial information. Neither Deloitte & Touche LLP, Whiting s independent registered public accounting firm, nor Ernst & Young LLP, Kodiak s independent registered public accounting firm, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance with respect to such information or its achievability, and assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial information.

In addition, the internal financial forecasts have not been updated or revised to reflect information or results after the date the internal financial forecasts were prepared or as of the date of this joint proxy statement/circular. Except as required by applicable securities laws, neither Whiting nor Kodiak intends to update or otherwise revise its internal financial forecasts or the specific portions presented to reflect circumstances existing after the date when made or to reflect the occurrence of future events, even in the event that any or all of the assumptions are shown to be in error. For more information see the sections entitled The Arrangement Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts beginning on page 95.

### The shares of Whiting common stock to be received by Kodiak shareholders as a result of the arrangement will have different rights from the shares of Kodiak common stock.

Whiting is a Delaware corporation. Kodiak is a Yukon Territory, Canada corporation. Upon completion of the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders will become Whiting stockholders and their rights as stockholders will be governed by Whiting s restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws and the Delaware General Corporation Law (DGCL). Certain of the rights associated with Whiting common stock under Delaware law are different from the rights associated with Kodiak common stock under Yukon Territory law. See Comparison of Rights of Shareholders of Whiting and Kodiak beginning on page 158 for a discussion of the different rights associated with Whiting common stock.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains statements that Whiting and Kodiak believe to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) and applicable Canadian securities laws. All statements other than historical facts, including, without limitation, statements regarding the expected benefits of the arrangement to Whiting and Kodiak and their shareholders, the anticipated completion of the arrangement or the timing thereof, the expected future reserves, production, financial position, business strategy, revenues, earnings, costs, capital expenditures and debt levels of the combined company, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. When used in this document, words such as believe or should or the negative thereof or variations thereon or expect, intend, plan, estimate, anticipate, terminology are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, such statements.

These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

the ability to obtain shareholder or securityholder, as applicable, and court approvals of the arrangement;

the ability to complete the arrangement on anticipated terms and timetable;

Whiting s and Kodiak s ability to integrate successfully after the arrangement and achieve anticipated benefits from the arrangement;

the possibility that various closing conditions for the arrangement may not be satisfied or waived;

risks relating to any unforeseen liabilities of Whiting or Kodiak;

declines in oil, NGL or natural gas prices;

the level of success in exploration, development and production activities;

adverse weather conditions that may negatively impact development or production activities;

the timing of exploration and development expenditures;

the ability to obtain sufficient quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> necessary to carry out enhanced oil recovery projects;

inaccuracies of reserve estimates or assumptions underlying them;

revisions to reserve estimates as a result of changes in commodity prices;

impacts to financial statements as a result of impairment write-downs;

risks related to the level of indebtedness and periodic redeterminations of the borrowing base under Whiting s amended credit agreement;

ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations to meet the internally funded portion of Whiting s and Kodiak s capital expenditures budgets;

ability to obtain external capital to finance exploration and development operations and acquisitions;

federal and state initiatives relating to the regulation of hydraulic fracturing;

the ability to successfully complete potential asset dispositions and the risks related thereto;

the impacts of hedging on results of operations;

failure of properties to yield oil or gas in commercially viable quantities;

availability of, and risks associated with, transport of oil and gas;

shortages of or delays in obtaining qualified personnel or equipment, including drilling rigs and completion services;

uninsured or underinsured losses resulting from oil and gas operations;

inability to access oil and gas markets due to market conditions or operational impediments;

the impact and costs of compliance with laws and regulations governing oil and gas operations;

ability to replace oil and natural gas reserves;

any loss of senior management or technical personnel;

competition in the oil and gas industry; and

other risks described under the caption Risk Factors in Whiting s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, Whiting s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, Kodiak s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and Kodiak s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014.

Except as required by law, Whiting and Kodiak assume no obligation, and disclaim any duty, to update the forward-looking statements in this joint proxy statement/circular.

#### NOTICE TO CANADIAN SECURITYHOLDERS

All references to \$ or U.S. dollars in this joint proxy statement/circular are to the currency of the United States. On October 24, 2014, the noon rate of exchange as reported by the Bank of Canada for the conversion of U.S. dollars into Canadian dollars was U.S.\$1.0000 equals Cdn\$1.1213 (Cdn\$1.0000 equals U.S.\$0.8918).

Unless otherwise indicated, the financial information of Whiting and Kodiak, including Whiting s and Kodiak s audited financial statements incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular, has been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Such financial information and financial statements have not been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada as set out in the CPA Canada Handbook Accounting under Part I, which incorporates International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), and may not be comparable to financial information or statements prepared by Canadian issuers.

Canadian securityholders should be aware that the public disclosure documents of Whiting and Kodiak incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/circular have generally been prepared in accordance with the securities laws of the United States and those requirements may differ from those of the provinces and territories of Canada. In addition, this joint proxy statement/circular contains disclosure about Whiting s historical operating and reserve data, including reserve estimates based on reports prepared by Cawley Gillespie & Associates, Inc., independent reserve engineers, and Kodiak s historical operating and reserve data, including reserve estimates based on reports prepared by Cawley Gillespie & Associates, Inc., independent reserve engineers, all of which have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC which are different from the evaluation and reporting requirements prescribed by applicable Canadian securities laws under National Instrument 51-101 Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (NI 51-101) and the forms related thereto. Differences in the estimates of the reserves between U.S. requirements and NI 51-101 methodology may be material. Accordingly, information contained in this joint proxy statement/circular and the documents incorporated by reference herein containing operating and oil and gas reserve data may not be comparable to similar information made public by Canadian issuers.

The enforcement by investors of civil liabilities under Canadian securities laws may be affected adversely by the fact that Whiting is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, that some or all of Whiting s and Kodiak s officers and directors and the experts named herein may be residents of the United States or another foreign country, and that all or a substantial portion of the assets of Whiting and Kodiak are located outside Canada. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for Canadian securityholders to effect service of process within Canada upon Whiting or Whiting s or Kodiak s officers or directors or the experts named herein, or to realize against them upon judgments of courts of Canada predicated upon civil liabilities under Canadian securities laws. Canadian securityholders should also not assume that the courts of the United States: (a) would enforce judgments of Canadian courts obtained in actions against such persons predicated upon civil liabilities under Canadian securities laws; or (b) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against such persons predicated upon civil liabilities under Canadian securities laws; or (b) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities gainst such persons predicated upon civil liabilities under Canadian securities laws. In addition, the shares of Whiting common stock to be received by Kodiak shareholders as a result of the arrangement will have different rights from the shares of Kodiak common stock. See Comparison of Rights of Shareholders of Whiting and Kodiak for a discussion of the different rights associated with Whiting common stock.

No securities commission or similar authority in Canada or the United States of America has in any way passed upon the merits of the securities to be issued under the arrangement and offered by this joint proxy statement/circular. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The Whiting common stock to be issued in connection with the arrangement will be issued in reliance upon exemptions from the prospectus and registration requirements of applicable Canadian securities laws. Subject to

certain conditions and to customary restrictions applicable to distributions of shares that constitute control distributions , Whiting common stock issued pursuant to the arrangement will not be subject to any resale restrictions under applicable Canadian securities laws. See The Arrangement Canadian Securities Law Matters.

Canadian Holders (as defined under Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences Holders Resident in Canada ) of Kodiak common stock will be subject to tax under the ITA on any capital gain realized on the disposition of their Kodiak common stock under the arrangement. Canadian Holders are advised to consult their own tax advisors to determine the particular tax consequences to them of the arrangement. See Certain Income Tax Consequences Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences.

#### THE ARRANGEMENT

#### **Background to the Arrangement**

Kodiak s strategic plan has focused on the enhancement of shareholder value through the acquisition and development of its properties in the Williston Basin. As part of Kodiak s ongoing strategic planning process, Kodiak s management and board of directors regularly reviewed and assessed its strategic plan in light of the company s performance, the competitive environment, trends in the oil and gas industry, capital requirements and the cost and availability of capital, and general economic conditions. In connection with this periodic review and analysis, management and the board of directors considered and evaluated potential strategic alternatives, including business combinations, acquisitions, dispositions and internal restructurings. From time to time, the foregoing also has included preliminary proposals and discussions between Kodiak and certain other companies in the oil and gas industry about a variety of possible strategic alternatives. Similarly, Whiting s management and board of directors regularly review and assess Whiting s prospects and strategy in light of the current business and economic environment, as well as developments in the oil and gas industry and opportunities and challenges facing participants in that industry. These reviews have included consideration, from time to time, the foregoing also has included preliminary proposals and dispositions. From time to time, the foregoing also has included preliminary proposals and discussions between Whiting and certain other companies in the oil and gas industry and opportunities in the foregoing also has included preliminary proposals and discussions. From time to time, the foregoing also has included preliminary proposals and discussions between Whiting and certain other companies in the oil and gas industry.

During 2010 and 2011, Kodiak added significantly to its asset base in the Williston Basin through targeted acquisitions of properties within its core operating area, culminating with the acquisition of substantial acreage in November 2011. As a result of these acquisitions and the success of its development activities, Kodiak experienced significant growth in production and revenue. During this time period, Kodiak received and considered a number of unsolicited invitations to engage in discussions regarding potential business combinations.

In late 2011, an executive of a major international oil and gas company, referred to herein as Company A, approached Lynn A. Peterson, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Kodiak, informing Mr. Peterson of Company A s interest in acquiring assets in the Williston Basin, and inviting Kodiak to engage in discussions regarding Company A s potential acquisition of Kodiak. On December 2, 2011, a representative of Company A again contacted Mr. Peterson to discuss a potential transaction. On December 15, 2011, Mr. Peterson met with representatives of Company A. At that meeting, representatives of Company A made a presentation regarding Company A s interest in acquiring Kodiak.

On December 18, 2011, Mr. Peterson notified the Kodiak board of directors of Company A s interest in a potential acquisition of Kodiak. The board discussed Kodiak s long-term strategic goals, the strategic alternatives available to Kodiak, and the risks and costs associated with each alternative. The board noted that, while the successful implementation of Kodiak s strategic plan had substantially enhanced shareholder value, (i) the Company s growth and development would require significant additional capital investment, (ii) the Company s size and state of development placed it at a competitive disadvantage to larger companies with respect to the cost of capital, (iii) consolidation within the Williston Basin was expected to constrain the Company s ability to significantly grow through acquisitions within its core operating area, (iv) the Company s size and cost of capital would place it at a relative disadvantage with respect to acquisition opportunities versus larger, better capitalized competitors, and (v) growth outside the Williston Basin would entail significant risk and additional capital and human resource requirements (the Strategic Considerations ). Kodiak s outside counsel, Dorsey & Whitney LLP (Dorsey), led a discussion of the board s fiduciary obligations. After a lengthy discussion, the Kodiak board concluded that the potential transaction with Company A merited further review. Accordingly, the board of directors directed management to engage in further discussions with Company A in order to evaluate whether an acquisition of Kodiak by Company A would be in the best interests of

Kodiak shareholders.

On December 19, 2011, Kodiak and Company A entered into a confidentiality agreement, after which Company A commenced its due diligence investigation of Kodiak. From December 19, 2011 through February 17, 2012, Kodiak management and its outside counsel, Dorsey, held a number of discussions with

Company A and its legal counsel regarding Kodiak and its assets and operations, the likely terms of a potential acquisition and related matters. On February 17, 2012, Kodiak s board of directors met with members of management, Dorsey and Miller Thomson LLP, Kodiak s outside Canadian counsel (Miller Thomson), to discuss Kodiak s long-term strategic plan in light of the Strategic Considerations, the possibility of an acquisition by Company A, Kodiak s alternatives as an independent company, the possibility of an acquisition or strategic business combination with parties other than Company A and the fiduciary obligations of the board of directors.

On February 20, 2012, representatives of Company A discussed with Mr. Peterson its preliminary assessment that an appropriate all-cash offer would be approximately \$10 per share. At that time, Kodiak s common shares were trading within a range of between \$10 and \$11 per share. On February 24, 2012, Kodiak management discussed the likely terms of a proposal from Company A with the Kodiak board of directors. After a lengthy discussion, the board of directors determined that further discussions with Company A would likely lead to an unacceptable proposal and instructed Mr. Peterson to communicate to Company A that Kodiak was not interested in further acquisition discussions unless the proposal included a significant premium to the current market price. Mr. Peterson communicated this message to Company A on February 24, 2012. Company A did not make a proposal to Kodiak.

On March 3, 2012, Mr. Peterson received an unsolicited inquiry from the chief executive officer of an oil and gas company with operations in the Williston Basin, referred to herein as Company B, regarding Company B s interest in engaging in discussions with Kodiak regarding a potential acquisition of Kodiak.

In light of the termination of discussions with Company A and the inquiry from Company B, on March 9, 2012, the Kodiak board met with potential financial advisors, as well as Dorsey and Miller Thomson to discuss strategic alternatives. At the request of the Kodiak board, each of Credit Suisse and another nationally-known investment bank (Former Advisor A) attended the meeting. At the request of the Kodiak board certain potential strategic alternatives that might be available to Kodiak. After excusing the representatives of Credit Suisse and Former Advisor A from the meeting, the directors conducted a lengthy discussion of potential strategic alternatives available to Kodiak, and the risks and opportunities presented by each in light of the Strategic Considerations. The board also received a presentation from Miller Thomson regarding the board s fiduciary obligations under the laws of the Yukon Territory. Dorsey led a discussion of the board s fiduciary obligations in the context of potential business combinations.

On March 27, 2012, Kodiak and Company B entered into a confidentiality agreement, after which Company B commenced its due diligence investigation of Kodiak. From March 27, 2012 through April 30, 2012, Kodiak s management and Dorsey held a number of discussions with Company B and its legal counsel regarding Kodiak and its assets and operations, the U.S. and Canadian tax implications of a potential acquisition, the general terms of a potential acquisition and related matters. On April 30, 2012, Company B terminated discussions with Kodiak without making a proposal. In terminating discussions, Company B identified Kodiak s international structure as an impediment to further discussions.

On June 18, 2012, in the course of discussions about a joint development project, an executive at Company A informed Mr. Peterson about Company A is continuing interest in a possible acquisition of Kodiak. Between June 21, 2012 and August 17, 2012, Kodiak shared updated information with Company A, and Kodiak had multiple discussions with Company A regarding operational issues and Kodiak is views on the appropriate methodology for the valuation of Kodiak. Dorsey and Company A is outside counsel engaged in discussions regarding tax issues related to Company A is potential acquisition of Kodiak. On July 31, 2012, the Kodiak board met to discuss the potential acquisition as well as to discuss and review Kodiak is long-term strategic goals and certain other strategic alternatives in light of the Strategic Considerations. On August 17, 2012, an executive of Company A is contacted Mr. Peterson and indicated that Company A would not be making a proposal to acquire Kodiak because of Company A is concerns

regarding long-term infrastructure development within the Williston Basin.

On August 22, 2012, the Kodiak board of directors met to discuss strategic planning and the potential engagement of financial advisors. After a lengthy discussion, based on the then current economic and business environment and the perceived interest by other oil and gas companies in a potential transaction with Kodiak, the board determined that it would be prudent for Kodiak to undertake a formal process to assist the board in evaluating whether opportunities existed to enhance shareholder value. At the instruction of the board, Kodiak management invited four investment banks to submit a proposal for an engagement as financial advisor to assist Kodiak in evaluating its strategic alternatives. On August 30, 2012, Kodiak management interviewed representatives from those four investment banks, and, on September 1, 2012, Mr. Peterson updated the Kodiak board on the bank interview process and presented management s recommendations as to which investment banks should be engaged. The board met again on September 3, 2012, to discuss the engagement of financial advisors and to consider advice from Miller Thomson regarding fiduciary duty considerations.

On September 12, 2012, Kodiak selected Credit Suisse and Former Advisor A (together, the Former Financial Advisors) to act as its financial advisors in connection with Kodiak s evaluation of strategic alternatives.

The Former Financial Advisors identified approximately 16 large oil and gas companies that they believed would be likely to have an interest in acquiring Kodiak. In September 2012, at the request of the Kodiak board, the Former Financial Advisors began making confidential inquiries of certain of the identified candidates in an effort to obtain information upon which the Kodiak board could assess their potential interest in a potential transaction with Kodiak. Four companies expressed a high level of interest, and Kodiak signed confidentiality agreements with each of the four. In October 2012, Kodiak management provided information to each of the four companies and made a presentation to each company about Kodiak s business. The Kodiak board of directors met on October 30, 2012, and Mr. Peterson provided an update on the status of the discussions with each interested party. At the request of the Kodiak board, the Former Financial Advisors communicated a deadline for acquisition proposals from these companies of November 12, 2012. Each of the potential buyers informed Kodiak via the Former Financial Advisors that they were unable to submit proposals at or above the then current market price of approximately \$9 per share. None of these potential buyers submitted a proposal.

On November 5, 2012, Mr. Peterson emailed the Kodiak board with the results of the process undertaken by the Former Financial Advisors on behalf of Kodiak. On November 9, 2012, the board met to discuss results of the process undertaken by the Former Financial Advisors on behalf of Kodiak and the alternatives available to Kodiak in light of the Strategic Considerations. After extensive discussion, and with the assistance of its legal advisors and the Former Financial Advisors, the board concluded that shareholder value would be best enhanced by effective implementation of Kodiak s existing operational strategy. Accordingly, the board determined to focus on its strategic plan for growth through acquisitions and the development of its acreage, while opportunistically evaluating strategic combinations that would address the Strategic Considerations.

In early 2013, as part of discussions with other executives about industry issues in the Williston Basin, Mr. Peterson and an executive at a similarly-sized oil and gas company, referred to herein as Company C, engaged in discussions about a potential strategic combination. On January 17, 2013, Mr. Peterson met with representatives of Former Advisor A to discuss a strategic combination between Kodiak and Company C. Former Advisor A also discussed a strategic combination between Kodiak and another oil and gas company, referred to herein as Company D, which had contacted Former Advisor A and expressed an interest in a combination with Kodiak. Neither Company C nor Company D had been contacted during the Former Financial Advisors confidential inquiries during September 2012.

On January 29, 2013, Mr. Peterson updated the Kodiak board by email regarding the discussions with Company C, and provided a presentation from Former Advisor A consisting of a market update and Former Advisor A s analysis of the potential strategic combination with Company C and other considerations. After extensive discussion of the issues

regarding the potential strategic combination with Company C, including the impact on the Strategic Considerations, as well as other strategic alternatives available to Kodiak, the board of directors instructed Kodiak management to continue its evaluation of a potential transaction with Company C.

On February 4, 2013, Kodiak and Company C entered into a confidentiality agreement after which the parties commenced their respective due diligence investigations. On February 15, 2013, the Kodiak board of directors met by telephone to discuss a potential transaction with Company C, as well as a potential transaction with Company D. On February 20, 2013, Kodiak management met with representatives of Company C and reviewed business and operational information. On February 25, 2013, the Kodiak board of directors met in person and by telephone to discuss a potential transaction with Company C, as well as a potential transaction with Company D.

On February 4, 2013, an executive from Company D approached Mr. Peterson about Company D s interest in a potential transaction with Kodiak. On February 18, 2013, Kodiak management, with the assistance of representatives of Credit Suisse, met to discuss Company C and Company D and certain aspects of a potential business combination with each of them. On February 22, 2013, Kodiak and Company D entered into a confidentiality agreement. On February 26, 2013, Kodiak management, with the assistance of representatives of Credit Suisse, met to further discuss certain aspects of a potential business combination with Company D. Thereafter, Company D and Kodiak commenced their respective due diligence investigations.

On March 5, 2013, representatives from Kodiak met with representatives from Company D and discussed their respective companies assets and operations. Following that date, Kodiak and Company D engaged in discussions regarding the likely terms of a potential combination involving Kodiak and Company D, including preliminary valuation discussions. During these discussions, Company D indicated its intention to suggest an all-stock transaction. On March 14, 2013, Former Advisor A made a presentation to the Kodiak board of directors regarding potential transaction structures with Company D, the likely capital structure of the combined entity and the risks and other considerations presented by the proposed structure relevant to Kodiak and its shareholders.

After conducting analysis and review of Company C, Kodiak management determined that a strategic combination with Company C was unlikely to be in the best interests of Kodiak and its shareholders, and, on April 30, 2013, Kodiak management informed the Kodiak board of its analysis regarding the potential strategic combination with Company C. Discussions between Kodiak and Company C subsequently ceased without either party making a proposal.

On June 2, 2013, the Kodiak board met to discuss the potential strategic combination with Company D. At that meeting, the board expressed concern regarding the potential benefits of the proposed transaction, after taking into consideration the capital requirements of the combined company and the probability that the transaction would address the Strategic Considerations. Mr. Peterson and an executive of Company D met again on June 12, 2013 and July 1, 2013 to discuss the potential transaction and the concerns expressed by the Kodiak board. Following those discussions, Kodiak did not pursue additional discussions, and Company D did not to make a formal proposal to Kodiak.

On August 14, 2013, a representative of Company A contacted Mr. Peterson to reiterate its interest in a possible transaction with Kodiak and requested that Kodiak provide it with updated business and operational information. From August to October 2013, Kodiak and Company A continued to exchange information regarding a potential transaction. During that time, Company A continued to express its interest in a potential transaction, but did not make a proposal.

On January 28, 2014, Mr. Peterson, with the support of the Kodiak board, approached James J. Volker, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Whiting, about a potential strategic business combination between Kodiak and Whiting. On January 30, 2014, Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker met to discuss the potential transaction.

On February 5, 2014, the Whiting board of directors met to review the potential acquisition of Kodiak by Whiting. Whiting management provided the board with an overview of the potential transaction and Kodiak s business and Whiting s outside counsel, Foley & Lardner LLP (Foley), led a discussion of certain legal matters relating to the potential transaction. After discussion, the Whiting board authorized Mr. Volker to explore the potential transaction, including providing preliminary terms for the transaction subject to due diligence and

further board approval, enter into an appropriate confidentiality agreement, conduct due diligence and engage a financial advisor.

On February 6, 2014, Mr. Volker notified Mr. Peterson that Whiting was interested in a potential business combination with Kodiak.

On February 9, 2014, Mr. Peterson advised the Kodiak board of directors of Whiting s interest in a potential business combination. The board discussed the merits and considerations of various forms of a potential market check to assess the interest of potential alternative strategic combination partners or buyers. The Kodiak board expressed concern that any market check activity might cause Whiting to withdraw from discussions. The Kodiak board also considered the fact that, over the course of two years, the Former Financial Advisors had conducted a broad process designed to solicit proposals for strategic transactions, and Kodiak had entered into discussions with several other parties regarding potential strategic transactions, both before and after the formal process. The Kodiak board also took into account that, for various reasons, the board s view during that broad process had been that alternative strategic combination partners or buyers satisfying the Strategic Considerations were unlikely to emerge. Further, the Kodiak board understood that the definitive transaction documentation with Whiting was likely to contain a customary fiduciary out provision pursuant to which third parties would have a reasonable opportunity to make unsolicited competing proposals. The board discussed the advisibility of establishing a special committee of the board to lead negotiations with Whiting based on his experience, knowledge of the industry and familiarity with the assets and operations of Kodiak and Whiting.

On February 10, 2014, Kodiak and Whiting entered into a confidentiality agreement. Thereafter, from February 10, 2014 until July 2014, Whiting and Kodiak conducted their respective due diligence investigations. On February 20, 2014, Whiting requested that, in consideration for the significant expense that Whiting would incur in evaluating a potential transaction while Kodiak was also involved in discussions with Company A, Kodiak enter into an exclusivity agreement pursuant to which Kodiak would agree to refrain from engaging in discussions with third parties with respect to a potential business combination for a period of 75 days. Mr. Peterson advised Mr. Volker that he would present the request to the Kodiak board of directors, and suggested that Mr. Volker should at least consider requesting a shorter exclusivity period.

While Kodiak was engaged in discussions with Whiting, on February 21, 2014, an executive of Company A communicated with Mr. Peterson by email and once again expressed an interest in a potential transaction.

On February 24, 2014, Mr. Volker advised Kodiak that Whiting would not proceed without a signed exclusivity agreement. On February 25, 2014, the Kodiak board met to discuss the potential strategic transaction with Whiting and Company A and, in particular, the proposed exclusivity agreement with Whiting. The board of directors discussed the potential transactions with Whiting and Company A in light of the Strategic Considerations, but concluded that an exclusivity agreement with Whiting would not be in the best interest of shareholders. The board discussed guidance received from Miller Thomson with respect to requirements under the laws of the Yukon Territory, including the approval of the proposed transactions. Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin then left the meeting, and the independent Kodiak directors discussed the potential transactions in executive session. The board of directors authorized management to continue discussions with Company A and, subsequently, Kodiak and Company A executed a new confidentiality agreement. From February 2014 to April 2014, Kodiak and Company A shared information and engaged in discussions regarding a potential transaction.

During March and April 2014, Kodiak and its counsel responded to tax and operational questions from Company A.

On March 7, 2014, Mr. Volker contacted Mr. Peterson for an update on Whiting s request for an exclusivity agreement. The next day, Mr. Peterson met with representatives of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC (Petrie Partners) to discuss the potential transaction with Whiting and the status of discussions with Company A. On

March 9, 2014, the Kodiak board met to discuss the engagement of Petrie Partners as its financial advisor and the potential strategic transaction with Whiting. The Kodiak board determined to engage Petrie Partners as its financial advisor in light of Petrie Partners reputation, credentials and experience with transactions similar to the strategic transactions that were being considered by the Kodiak board. The Kodiak board considered the Whiting request for an exclusivity agreement, but concluded that in light of Company A s continued expression of interest in a transaction, such an agreement was not in the best interests of Kodiak shareholders. Thereafter, Mr. Peterson contacted Mr. Volker to inform him that the Kodiak board of directors was opposed to entering into an exclusivity agreement but welcomed further discussions regarding the potential strategic transaction with Whiting and the status of discussions with Company A. Based on the status of discussions with Whiting, and in light of the absence of an exclusivity agreement, Kodiak agreed to reimburse Whiting for certain expenses up to \$500,000 in the event that Kodiak entered into a business combination with a third party. On March 11, 2014, Kodiak and Whiting executed a letter agreement providing for expense reimbursement.

On March 15, March 27, and April 17, 2014, Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker engaged in discussions regarding the terms of a potential transaction, including potential exchange ratios, board representation and related terms of the potential transaction. In those discussions, Whiting proposed terms that included 80% stock consideration and 20% cash consideration to be paid by Whiting to Kodiak shareholders and the appointment of one Kodiak director to the Whiting board of directors. Over the next two months, Kodiak, Dorsey and Petrie Partners performed due diligence on Whiting, and Whiting and Whiting s advisors performed due diligence on Kodiak, and representatives of each party discussed potential transaction structure and tax issues.

On April 18, 2014, Company A informed Kodiak that it would not be submitting a proposal, indicating that it would not be willing to make a cash offer that exceeded Kodiak s then-current market capitalization.

On April 29, 2014, the Kodiak board met, and Mr. Peterson updated the board on the status of discussions with Company A and Whiting. The board held a lengthy discussion on the potential strategic transactions and reviewed the Kodiak s current long-term strategic plan in light of the Strategic Considerations. Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin then left the meeting, and the independent Kodiak directors discussed the potential transactions in executive session. The board held further discussions on May 9, 2014, during which Petrie Partners provided the board with a brief update on the current market environment, an overview of the positioning of a pro forma combined company and issues for consideration in connection with a potential transaction.

On May 10, 2014, the management teams of Kodiak and Whiting met to discuss the potential transaction. Kodiak management presented on Kodiak s production, rig locations, acreage, permitting, reserves, infrastructure, marketing and financial projections, and Whiting s management presented on Whiting s Williston Basin, North Ward Estes and Redtail operations, exploration projects, drilling, land department, marketing and financial projections. Also on May 10, 2014 Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker discussed valuation considerations, including tax matters relating to Kodiak s structure with a Canadian holding company, and Mr. Volker requested that Kodiak enter into an exclusivity agreement for a period of 45 days. On May 10, 2014, Mr. Volker updated the Whiting board about the meeting with Kodiak and his discussions with Mr. Peterson. On May 12, 2014, Mr. Peterson updated the Kodiak board about the May 10, 2014 meeting with Whiting and his valuation discussions with Mr. Volker.

On May 12, 2014, Mr. Peterson communicated a proposal to Mr. Volker by email for a potential transaction involving 80% stock consideration and 20% cash consideration to be paid by Whiting to Kodiak shareholders, which Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker discussed further by telephone on May 13, 2014. Mr. Peterson s proposal included an exchange ratio of 0.195. On May 13, 2014, Mr. Volker contacted representatives of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (J.P. Morgan) regarding serving as financial advisor to Whiting in connection with the potential transaction, and pursuant

to an engagement letter effective as of May 30, 2014, Whiting retained J.P. Morgan as its financial advisor in connection with the potential transaction. In light of the termination of discussions with Company A and the presentation by Petrie Partners of the potential benefits and consequences of a transaction with Whiting including the impact on the Strategic Considerations, Kodiak entered into an

exclusivity agreement on May 15, 2014 with Whiting providing for exclusive discussions through June 30, 2014. On May 17, 2014, Kodiak management discussed with counsel the fiduciary duties of the Kodiak board under the laws of the Yukon Territory. The Kodiak board met again on May 27, 2014 and discussed the potential transaction, including the structure of the proposed transaction and the status of valuation discussions.

On June 3, 2014, Mr. Volker communicated by telephone with Mr. Peterson regarding the potential Canadian and U.S. tax costs in respect of Kodiak s structure with a Canadian holding company and valuation considerations resulting from such costs. On June 4, 2014, Mr. Peterson provided the Kodiak board with an update of these discussions. Based on the preliminary valuation discussions, the Kodiak board concluded that an all-stock transaction would be more desirable to the Kodiak shareholders than a structure providing for 80% stock consideration plus 20% cash consideration, since an all-stock structure would permit Kodiak shareholders to have an increased ownership interest in the combined company. In evaluating the relative benefits of the alternative structures, the Kodiak board considered the enhanced financial and competitive position of the combined company, increased size and scale enhancing the relative positioning of the combined company against peers, diversity and depth in assets and geographic scope, earnings from a more diversified asset base, increased proved reserves and production capacity and increased financial capacity to develop existing assets. In addition, the all-stock structure would provide Kodiak shareholders increased exposure to the accelerated growth and development of the combined companies properties in the Williston Basin. Also as part of that discussion, the Kodiak board concluded that an increase in the number of Whiting board seats would be appropriate in an all-stock transaction, given the relative sizes of the two companies. The Kodiak board also determined that Mr. Peterson would be an appropriate candidate to fill one Whiting board seat in light of his extensive experience, knowledge of the industry and familiarity with the assets and operations of Kodiak and Whiting and the fact that he is the largest individual shareholder of Kodiak. The Kodiak board concluded that the other candidate to fill a Whiting board seat should be determined after further discussion with Whiting.

On June 6, 2014, Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker met by telephone to discuss Kodiak s valuation. Mr. Peterson informed Mr. Volker that Kodiak would be interested in continuing discussions to pursue an all-stock transaction, but Kodiak would require two Whiting board seats in an all-stock transaction. In connection with these discussions, Mr. Volker proposed an exchange ratio of 0.170 and Mr. Peterson proposed an exchange ratio of 0.185. Following the discussion between Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker, Mr. Peterson updated the Kodiak board on the status of the structure and valuation discussions by email and Mr. Volker updated the Whiting board on the status of the structure and valuation discussions by email. On June 19, 2014, the Kodiak board met to discuss the status of the proposed transaction.

On June 20, 2014, Whiting provided by email to Kodiak a draft arrangement agreement reflecting an all-stock transaction and two Whiting board seats for Kodiak designees. The draft arrangement agreement also contemplated that prior to the completion of the arrangement, Kodiak will continue from the Yukon Territory to the Province of British Columbia. Whiting proposed this transaction structure so that it will have the ability after the completion of the arrangement to convert Kodiak into an unlimited liability company to provide for a more tax efficient structure because an unlimited liability company can be disregarded for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A Yukon Territory corporation cannot be converted into an unlimited liability company whereas a British Columbia corporation can be so converted.

Kodiak, Dorsey, Miller Thomson and Petrie Partners reviewed the draft arrangement agreement, and on June 26, 2014, the Kodiak board of directors met to discuss the draft arrangement agreement. Kodiak s counsel provided an overview of the proposed arrangement agreement, commented on the agreement s terms and answered questions from the board of directors regarding the proposed agreement and termination fee provisions. Miller Thomson confirmed its prior guidance regarding certain Yukon Territory law matters, including the advisability of the approval of the proposed transaction by the independent directors of Kodiak given the interests of Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin in the transaction. Kodiak sent Whiting a revised draft of the proposed arrangement agreement that evening. The revised

draft requested several changes for the benefit of Kodiak shareholders, including among other things, additional representations and warranties regarding Whiting and its business, certain assurances of the preferred tax treatment for Kodiak shareholders, the removal of the closing condition surrounding the successful completion of the Kodiak note

holder consent solicitation, modifications of each party s ability to pursue a superior proposal, limitations on Whiting s ability to enter into another strategic transaction and changes to the termination fee provisions.

On June 28, 2014, the Kodiak board of directors met to discuss updates of the negotiations with Whiting. Mr. Peterson provided an update on the timing of negotiations with Whiting and answered questions from the Kodiak board of directors regarding updates of the negotiations. The Kodiak board of directors discussed the desirability of engaging another investment bank to render an opinion in addition to the opinion contemplated to be received from Petrie Partners in its role as Kodiak s financial advisor. After discussion, the board determined that Mr. Peterson would approach Credit Suisse regarding the possibility of engaging Credit Suisse to render such an opinion. At the meeting, Petrie Partners presented an overview of the transaction proposal and reviewed two new third-party inquiries regarding proposed strategic transactions received in the preceding week. Petrie Partners presented a summary comparison of the assets, recent trading history, relative positioning and pro forma analyses for a combination of Kodiak with each of the two new parties proposing strategic transactions and with Whiting. The board asked Petrie Partners questions about the alternatives, and a lengthy discussion of the board ensued. Based on this discussion, the board determined that Kodiak should continue negotiations with Whiting toward a potential transaction that would address the Strategic Considerations. The board concluded that the potential combination with Whiting was superior to a potential combination with either of the two new parties because of the board s view that the transaction with Whiting was most likely to address the Strategic Considerations. In particular, the board concluded that the combination with Whiting provided a superior opportunity based on the resulting combined company s oil-weighted asset portfolio, capital structure and market capitalization. On July 3, 2014, Kodiak and Whiting entered into an agreement extending the term of the original exclusivity agreement from June 30, 2014 to July 14, 2014.

On June 30, 2014, Dorsey and Foley held a telephone conference to discuss several issues in the draft arrangement agreement, including, among other things, the requirement for a closing condition surrounding the successful completion of the Kodiak note holder consent solicitation, desirability and nature of tax opinions, termination and termination fee provisions, restrictions on the activities of both parties between signing the arrangement agreement and closing of the arrangement and the treatment of Kodiak employees following completion of the arrangement. On July 2 and July 3, 2014, Dorsey and Foley exchanged drafts of the proposed arrangement agreement, and Whiting agreed to Kodiak s proposals regarding each party s ability to pursue a superior proposal and limiting Whiting s ability to enter into certain transactions during the period between signing the arrangement and closing the arrangement. On July 5, 2014, Dorsey and Foley held a telephone conference to discuss open issues in the agreement, including, among other things, Kodiak employee and compensation matters, the standard for efforts to obtain regulatory approval, the closing condition for the Kodiak note holder consent solicitation and the termination fee. On July 7, 2014, Whiting circulated a revised draft of the proposed arrangement, in which Whiting generally adopted Kodiak s positions with respect to employee and compensation matters, the tail period for the termination fee and certain other matters, but the parties remained in disagreement over the closing condition surrounding the successful completion of the Kodiak note holder consent solicitation and the exchange ratio.

On July 7, 2014, Kodiak executed an engagement letter pursuant to which, at the request of the Kodiak board, Credit Suisse would render an opinion to the Kodiak board with respect to the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Kodiak common shares of the exchange ratio in the potential transaction, and, on July 8, 2014, Kodiak executed an engagement letter formalizing the engagement of Petrie Partners as financial advisor to Kodiak and to render an opinion as to the fairness of the exchange ratio, from a financial point of view, to the Kodiak shareholders. Petrie Partners and Credit Suisse were each selected because of their qualifications, experience and reputation as internationally recognized investment banking and financial advisory firms.

On July 9, 2014, the Kodiak board met to discuss the current status of the Whiting transaction. Mr. Peterson updated the board on the status of the exchange ratio discussions. Kodiak s counsel presented a summary of the terms of the

#### Table of Contents

current draft of the arrangement agreement and certain terms currently under negotiation and answered questions from the directors regarding the agreement. Representatives from Petrie Partners provided the board with copies of its analysis, which included an overview of the latest proposal from Whiting, recent market updates, observations of the current energy market environment, an overview of the current positioning of

Kodiak and Whiting, and preliminary valuation and pro forma analyses of Kodiak, Whiting and the combined company. Petrie then presented its analysis, and the board asked questions of the representatives from Petrie Partners. Thereafter, at the request of the Kodiak board, representatives of Credit Suisse reviewed and discussed with the Kodiak board their preliminary financial analyses with respect to Kodiak, Whiting and the proposed transaction and responded to questions from members of the Kodiak board. The board discussed certain Yukon Territory law matters with Miller Thomson and confirmed the board s approach of having the independent Kodiak directors separately consider the proposed transaction in executive session. Thereafter, Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin left the meeting and the independent Kodiak directors discussed the proposed transaction. Following the Kodiak board meeting, on July 10, 2014, Dorsey and Foley discussed the proposed arrangement by telephone and exchanged drafts of the agreement in which Whiting agreed to remove the closing condition surrounding the successful completion of the Kodiak note holder consent solicitation.

Mr. Peterson and Mr. Volker met on July 11, 2014 to discuss the remaining open items and, in particular, an exchange ratio of 0.177 of a share of Whiting common stock per each share of Kodiak common stock, subject to approval by each of the Whiting and Kodiak boards. Mr. Volker informed Mr. Peterson that Whiting s preference was to have Mr. Catlin fill the second Whiting board seat. Subsequent to that meeting, the Kodiak board met to discuss the status of the transaction with Whiting and the development of the negotiations. Mr. Peterson discussed the final revisions to the arrangement agreement and the determination of the final exchange ratio. The Kodiak board discussed Whiting s request for Mr. Catlin to fill the second Whiting board seat and determined that Mr. Catlin was an appropriate candidate given his experience as a founder of Kodiak, industry knowledge and status as one of the largest individual Kodiak shareholders.

On July 11, 2014, the Whiting board met to review and discuss the acquisition of Kodiak. Mr. Volker updated the board on the status of negotiations regarding the arrangement agreement and the exchange ratio. Whiting management reviewed with the board its analyses of the transaction and Kodiak, including financial, due diligence and financing matters, and responded to questions from the board. Representatives of J.P. Morgan reviewed with the board its preliminary financial analyses with respect to Whiting, Kodiak and the combined company and the exchange ratio, and responded to questions from the board. Representatives of Foley then reviewed with the board certain legal matters relating to the transaction, including the board s fiduciary duties in connection with its consideration of the transaction and the principal terms of the draft arrangement agreement, and responded to questions from the board. Mr. Volker reviewed management s recommendations with respect to the proposed transaction, and the directors discussed various matters relating to the proposed transaction.

On July 12, 2014, Foley sent a final draft of the proposed arrangement agreement to Dorsey. Mr. Peterson and Kodiak s legal counsel and financial advisor met with Mr. Volker and Whiting s legal counsel and financial advisor throughout the day.

On July 13, 2014, the Kodiak board met to discuss the transaction. Petrie Partners presented and discussed its financial analysis with respect to the proposed arrangement. Following the presentation, at the request of the board, Petrie Partners rendered its oral opinion to the Kodiak board of directors (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of Petrie Partners written opinion addressed to the Kodiak board of directors dated as of the same date) as to, as of July 13, 2014, the fairness of the exchange ratio, from a financial point of view, to the Kodiak shareholders. Credit Suisse then reviewed and discussed its financial analyses with respect to Kodiak, Whiting and the proposed transaction. Thereafter, at the request of the Kodiak board of directors, Credit Suisse rendered its oral opinion to the Kodiak board of directors (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of Credit Suisse s written opinion addressed to the Kodiak board of directors (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of Credit Suisse s written opinion addressed to the Kodiak board of directors dated as of the same date) as to, as of July 13, 2014, the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Kodiak common shares of the exchange ratio in the arrangement pursuant to the arrangement. Thereafter, the Kodiak board asked Mr. Peterson and James Catlin to leave the meeting in

light of their previously declared interests in the transaction, and the independent directors of Kodiak met in executive session. The independent directors discussed the proposed transaction, including the interests of Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin, and voted to approve the transaction and the arrangement agreement. Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin rejoined the meeting, and, after a further discussion, the board approved the transaction and the arrangement agreement.

On July 13, 2014, the Whiting board met to discuss the transaction. Representatives of Foley reviewed the board s fiduciary duties in connection with its consideration of the transaction. J.P. Morgan then presented and discussed its financial analyses with respect to the proposed transaction. Following the presentation, at the request of the board, J.P. Morgan rendered its oral opinion to the Whiting board of directors (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of J.P. Morgan s written opinion addressed to the Whiting board of directors dated as of the same date) as to, as of July 13, 2014, the fairness of the exchange ratio, from a financial point of view, to Whiting. Representatives of Foley then reviewed the terms of the proposed final arrangement agreement. After discussion by the board, the board approved the transaction and the arrangement agreement.

Later on July 13, 2014, Kodiak and Whiting executed the arrangement agreement and issued a joint press release announcing the arrangement.

### Whiting Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement

After careful consideration at a meeting on July 13, 2014, the Whiting board of directors unanimously (i) determined that the arrangement agreement and the arrangement are fair to and in the best interests of Whiting and its stockholders, (ii) approved the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and (iii) recommended that the stockholders of Whiting approve the share issuance proposal and directed that the share issuance proposal be submitted to a vote by Whiting stockholders at a special meeting of Whiting stockholders.

In evaluating the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the share issuance proposal, the Whiting board of directors consulted with Whiting s management, as well as Whiting s legal and financial advisors. In reaching its decision, the Whiting board of directors considered the following material factors that the Whiting board of directors viewed as generally supporting its decision to approve and enter into the arrangement agreement and recommend that Whiting stockholders vote **FOR** the share issuance proposal and the Whiting adjournment proposal:

The combination will create the largest Bakken/Three Forks producer in the Williston Basin with over 107,000 BOE/d of production in the first quarter of 2014. The combined company will have 855,000 net acres, an inventory of approximately 3,460 net future drilling locations and 370 MMBOE of proved reserves in the Williston Basin.

Following the arrangement, accelerated development of Kodiak s resource base is expected to drive production and cash flow growth with an expected increase in the size of Kodiak s rig fleet.

The combined company will offer a leading oil-driven growth profile with the expectation of consistently strong margins from the oil focus.

The proximity of Whiting s and Kodiak s complementary acreage positions in the Williston Basin will enable for more efficient operations. Additionally, the application of Whiting s technological expertise to the Kodiak asset base is expected to enhance recoveries and reduce Kodiak s completed well costs by approximately \$700,000 per well.

The increased scale of the combined company should permit it to compete more effectively and facilitate future development projects, exploration and acquisitions through increased cash flow and lower cost of capital.

The all-stock transaction strengthens Whiting s financial flexibility and is credit enhancing.

The arrangement is expected to be accretive to Whiting discretionary cash flow per share, earnings per share and production per share in 2015 and thereafter.

The financial terms of the arrangement, including the value of the arrangement consideration based on the exchange ratio relative to the then-current market prices and historical trading prices of Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock, and the relative contribution of Kodiak to the combined

company; and the fact that Whiting stockholders will own approximately 71% of the common stock of the combined company on a fully diluted basis following the closing of the arrangement.

Even though the arrangement agreement provides for a fixed exchange ratio, the Whiting board of directors determined that the method for determining the exchange ratio was appropriate and the risks acceptable in view of the relative intrinsic values and financial performance of Whiting and Kodiak and the historic trading prices of Whiting and Kodiak common stock. Additionally, the arrangement agreement contains certain structural protections, such as Whiting s right to not complete the arrangement in the event of a material adverse change with respect to Kodiak.

The terms of the arrangement agreement, including the representations, obligations and rights of the parties under the arrangement agreement, the conditions to each party s obligation to complete the arrangement, the circumstances in which each party is permitted to terminate the arrangement agreement and the related termination fee payable by Kodiak in the event of termination of the arrangement agreement under specified circumstances.

The recommendation of Whiting management in favor of the arrangement and the share issuance proposal.

The opinion of J.P. Morgan, dated July 13, 2014, to the Whiting board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in its opinion, of the exchange ratio to Whiting, and the financial analyses related thereto prepared by J.P. Morgan, as more fully described below under the heading Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board.

The Whiting board of directors also considered, and balanced against the potentially positive factors concerning the arrangement, the following material potential risks and other negative factors concerning the arrangement in connection with its deliberations of the proposed transaction:

The arrangement agreement provides for a fixed exchange ratio and thus the exchange ratio will not change based on changes in the trading prices of Whiting or Kodiak common stock or changes in the business performance or financial results of Whiting or Kodiak. Accordingly, if the value of Kodiak s business declines relative to the value of Whiting s business prior to completion of the arrangement, Kodiak shareholders percentage ownership in the combined company may exceed Kodiak s relative contribution to the combined company.

The risks relating to the announcement and pendency of the arrangement and risks and costs to Whiting if the completion of the arrangement is not timely, or does not occur at all, which may be for reasons beyond the control of Whiting and/or Kodiak, including the potential impact on the relationships between Whiting and its employees, service providers, suppliers and other third parties, as well as the potential impact on the trading prices of Whiting common stock.

The potential Canadian and U.S. tax costs in respect of Kodiak s structure with a Canadian holding company that will continue to be present when Kodiak is a subsidiary of Whiting after completion of the arrangement, including the potential inability to claim full foreign tax credits in the U.S. for Canadian withholding tax at the rate of 5% on distributions by Kodiak to Whiting unless the Whiting group incurs Canadian federal income taxes in connection with a combination of Kodiak s and Whiting s U.S. operations, and the estimated approximately \$40 million U.S. federal income liability that the Whiting group expects to pay as a result of converting Kodiak to a more efficient tax structure for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

The provisions of the arrangement agreement restrict Whiting s ability to solicit possibly superior transactions and the required payment by Whiting of termination fees in the event of termination of the arrangement agreement under specified circumstances.

The provisions of the arrangement agreement impose certain restrictions on the operations of Whiting until completion of the arrangement.

Substantial costs will be incurred by both Whiting and Kodiak in connection with the arrangement, including financial arrangement fees, financial advisory fees and legal and other advisor fees, as well as the costs of integrating the businesses of Whiting and Kodiak.

The risk that management focus, employee attention and resources for other strategic opportunities, as well as employee attention to operational matters, could be diverted for an extended period of time while the parties work to complete the arrangement and integration process.

The challenges inherent in the combination of two business enterprises of this size and complexity, including the attendant risks that the anticipated production and operational synergies and other benefits sought to be obtained from the arrangement might not be achieved in the time frame contemplated or at all.

The risks inherent in Kodiak s business and operations, including those identified in Kodiak s SEC filings and those associated with potential liabilities of Kodiak for environmental matters and related remediation costs. The types and nature of the risks described under the section entitled Risk Factors and the matters described under Forward-Looking Statements.

This discussion of the information and factors considered by the Whiting board of directors in reaching its decision and recommendation includes the material factors considered by the Whiting board of directors, but is not intended to be exhaustive and may not include all of the factors considered by the Whiting board of directors. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the arrangement and the complexity of these matters, the Whiting board of directors did not consider it practical, nor did it attempt, to quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the different factors it considered in reaching its decision and did not undertake to make any specific determination as to whether any particular factor, or any aspect of any particular factor, was favorable or unfavorable to its ultimate determination. Rather, the Whiting board of directors viewed its decision as being based on the totality of the information presented to it and the factors it considered, including its discussion with, and questioning of, members of Whiting s management and outside legal and financial advisors. In addition, individual members of the Whiting board of directors may have given different weight to different factors.

### Kodiak Recommendation and Reasons for the Arrangement

On July 13, 2014, the Kodiak board of directors: (i) determined that the arrangement agreement was fair, advisable and in the best interests of Kodiak and its shareholders; (ii) adopted and approved the arrangement agreement; and (iii) recommended that the Kodiak shareholders approve and adopt the continuance resolution and the arrangement resolution.

In evaluating the arrangement agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, the Kodiak board consulted with Kodiak management, a well-respected financial advisor, Petrie Partners, and experienced Canadian and U.S. legal counsel. In reaching its determinations, the Kodiak board of directors considered the following material factors that the Kodiak board viewed as generally supporting its decision to approve and enter into the arrangement agreement and recommend that Kodiak securityholders, as applicable, vote **FOR** the continuance resolution, the arrangement resolution, the arrangement-related compensation proposal and the adjournment proposal:

The Kodiak board s knowledge of Kodiak s business, including its financial condition, operations, business plans, management, asset quality, competitive position, challenges and prospects (including certain risks and uncertainties disclosed in Kodiak s SEC filings), as well as its financial plan and prospects if Kodiak were to remain an independent public company and the potential impact of those factors on the trading price of Kodiak s common shares (which cannot be quantified numerically), and of Whiting s business, including its financial condition, operations, business plans, management, asset quality, competitive position, challenges and prospects (including certain risks and uncertainties disclosed in Whiting s SEC filings), with the Kodiak board s knowledge being enhanced by the due diligence investigation of Whiting conducted by Kodiak;

The Kodiak board s knowledge of possible candidates, including industry participants and business partners, for a strategic transaction or sale of the company that might have provided value to Kodiak and its shareholders;

The fact that, over the course of a two-year period leading up to the announcement of the arrangement, the Kodiak board evaluated numerous opportunities, both solicited and unsolicited, for a strategic transaction or sale of the company;

The Kodiak board s determination that the proposal from Whiting satisfied the Kodiak board s Strategic Considerations and the other strategic objectives established by the Kodiak board and management with respect to achieving improved financial strength, continued growth and improved diversity in assets and geographic scope relative to Kodiak s publicly traded peers and other operators, and that the proposed arrangement with Whiting would be superior both operationally and with respect to shareholder value, to the alternative of not engaging in the arrangement and instead continuing to operate Kodiak s business as an independent, standalone company;

The fact that the combined company would hold assets with approximately 88% of its reserves in oil, a heavy focus on the Williston Basin and exposure to the Niobrara trend;

The Kodiak shareholders right to receive 0.177 of a share of Whiting common stock for each Kodiak common share, representing an implied value of \$13.90 per Kodiak common share based on the closing price of shares of Kodiak common stock on July 11, 2014. This represented an approximate 5.1% premium to the volume weighted average price of shares of Kodiak common stock for the 60 trading days before the execution of the arrangement agreement and an approximate 12.8% premium to the volume weighted average price of shares of Kodiak common stock in 2014;

The fact that the Kodiak shareholders have the right to participate as owners of approximately 29% of the combined company following the closing of the arrangement and would therefore benefit from any increases in the value of Whiting common stock;

The Kodiak board s view that the combined company will benefit from the combination of Whiting s and Kodiak s respective technical and operational expertise with respect to asset development;

The Kodiak board s expectation that the combined company will have an enhanced financial and competitive position, increased size and scale enhancing the relative positioning of the combined company against peers, diversity and depth in assets and geographic scope, earnings from a more diversified asset base, an increase in proved reserves and production capacity and an increased financial capacity to develop existing assets;

The Kodiak board s view that the transaction would result in meaningful growth to the combined company s asset portfolio in the core Williston Basin area resulting in one of the largest holdings in that basin and that

the combined company will be the largest operator, in terms of production, in the Bakken/Three Forks formation;

The expectation of significant operational and financial synergies to be realized following consummation of the arrangement, including the following:

Based on discussions with Whiting management, average well costs are expected to be reduced through (1) increased scale which should enable the combined entity to secure more cost effective contracts for oil field services and (2) altered completion techniques using lower cost hydraulic fracturing materials;

Because Whiting and Kodiak s acreage positions are proximate to each other, transfer of operatorship is expected to be efficient and orderly;

Whiting has demonstrated the ability to adopt advances in technologies particularly related to well completions that are expected to enhance future recoveries. These advances are anticipated to continue with the combined company s larger staff and the ability to apply new technologies on a larger population of wells;

Whiting has extensive oil and gas gathering and marketing capabilities, which when applied to a larger entity should enhance product deliverability and pricing; and

Kodiak estimates general and administrative savings of approximately \$25 million per year due to elimination of overlapping or redundant positions between the two companies, reduction of third-party services and a decrease of combined office expenses;

The Kodiak board s view that the arrangement will improve the combined company s credit profile, including that the larger combined company will have improved liquidity due to a greater combined lending base, will have improved access to capital markets, will benefit from a lower cost of capital, and as a result, will be able to optimize Kodiak s asset base, compete more effectively and more readily assume risks inherent in Kodiak s business;

The board s expectation that Kodiak employees will have employment opportunities with the combined company on terms largely comparable to similarly situated Whiting employees.

The expectation that Kodiak s U.S. shareholders would receive Whiting common stock on a tax-free basis for U.S. federal income tax purposes with positive growth potential;

The terms of the arrangement agreement, including the representations, warranties, covenants, obligations and rights of the parties, the conditions to each party s obligations to complete the arrangement, the termination rights and Kodiak s right to appoint two directors to Whiting s board of directors;

The fact that Kodiak and Whiting undertook extensive negotiations resulting in revisions to the original draft arrangement agreement to make the terms more favorable to Kodiak and its shareholders;

The terms of the arrangement agreement permit Kodiak, prior to the time that Kodiak securityholders approve the arrangement, to discuss and negotiate, under specified circumstances, an unsolicited takeover proposal should one be made, if the Kodiak board determines in good faith (after receiving advice of its financial advisor and outside counsel) that the unsolicited proposal constitutes or is reasonably likely to lead to a superior proposal;

The fact that the arrangement agreement allows the Kodiak board, under specified circumstances, to change or withdraw its recommendation to the Kodiak securityholders with respect to the approval of the arrangement resolution and continuance resolution;

The terms of the arrangement agreement permit the Kodiak board, under specified circumstances, to terminate the arrangement agreement to enter into a superior proposal;

The fact that the arrangement is subject to the approval of (i) at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock present in person or represented by proxy and (ii) at least two-thirds of the votes cast on the arrangement resolution by those holders of Kodiak common stock and holders of Kodiak RSUs, options and restricted stock awards present in person or represented by proxy together voting as a class, with each Kodiak RSU, option and restricted stock award entitling the holder thereof to that number of votes equal to the number of shares of Kodiak common stock issuable upon the valid exercise of an option, or the valid settlement of an RSU or restricted stock award, as applicable;

The fact that Kodiak securityholders will have the opportunity for a hearing on the fairness of the terms and conditions of the arrangement with the Supreme Court of British Columbia, and, in order to consummate the arrangement, that the Court must determine that the terms and conditions of the arrangement are fair to the Kodiak securityholders;

The fact that, following declarations of interest in the transaction by Lynn Peterson and James Catlin, the independent members of the Kodiak board met in executive session, and, after discussion of the proposed transaction and the interests of Mr. Peterson and Mr. Catlin, the independent directors unanimously approved the arrangement and arrangement agreement;

The likelihood that the arrangement will be completed on a timely basis, including the likelihood that each of the arrangement-related proposals will receive the required shareholder approval and the

likelihood that all necessary regulatory approvals will be obtained on the anticipated schedule without the imposition of unacceptable conditions;

The financial presentation and opinion of Petrie Partners, dated July 13, 2014, to the Kodiak board as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to the Kodiak shareholders, as further described below under Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board ;

The financial analyses reviewed and discussed with the Kodiak board of directors by representatives of Credit Suisse as well as the oral opinion of Credit Suisse rendered to the Kodiak board of directors on July 13, 2014 (which was subsequently confirmed in writing by delivery of Credit Suisse s written opinion addressed to the Kodiak board of directors dated the same date) as to, as of July 13, 2014, the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the holders of shares of Kodiak common stock of the exchange ratio in the arrangement pursuant to the arrangement agreement, as more fully described below under Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the Kodiak Board ; and

The Kodiak board s consideration of the continuing and prospective risks to Kodiak as an independent public company, including those risks described under the section entitled Risk Factors and the other risks described in Kodiak s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

The Kodiak board also considered and balanced against the potentially positive factors concerning the arrangement the following material potential risks and other negative factors concerning the arrangement in connection with its deliberations of the proposed transaction:

The arrangement agreement provides for a fixed exchange ratio, and thus, the exchange ratio will not change based on changes in the trading prices of shares of Kodiak or Whiting common stock or changes in the business performance or financial results of Kodiak or Whiting. Accordingly, if the value of Whiting s business declines relative to the value of Kodiak s business prior to completion of the arrangement, Whiting shareholders percentage ownership in the combined company may exceed Whiting s relative contribution to the combined company;

The limitations under the arrangement agreement, subject to certain exceptions, on Kodiak s ability to solicit, initiate or encourage alternative third-party takeover proposals and the related disincentives to do so, including the fact that if Kodiak accepted a superior proposal, changed its recommendation of the arrangement or, under certain circumstances, entered into an acquisition agreement within a certain time after the arrangement agreement was terminated, it would have to pay Whiting a termination fee of \$130.0 million and reimburse up to \$10.0 million in Whiting s expenses, which might discourage other parties potentially interested in an acquisition of, or combination with, Kodiak from pursuing that opportunity;

The fact that, based on the exchange ratio of 0.177 and the closing price of shares of Kodiak common stock on July 11, 2014, the implied value of \$13.90 per Kodiak common share represented a 2.3% discount to Kodiak s closing price per common share on that date;

Kodiak would no longer be an independent company with strategic direction being decided by a board of directors chosen entirely by the Kodiak shareholders;

Various regulatory and court approvals required to complete the arrangement, which present a risk that the applicable governmental authorities or courts may condition their grant of required approvals or consents on the imposition of unfavorable terms or conditions or that such approvals and consents will not be able to be obtained at all;

The risks and contingencies relating to the announcement and pendency of the arrangement and risks and costs to Kodiak if the closing of the arrangement is not timely, or if the arrangement does not close at all, including the potential impact on the relationships between Kodiak and its employees, customers, suppliers and other third parties, as well as the potential impact on the trading prices of shares of Kodiak common stock. Additionally, there is the possibility that the arrangement may not be completed, or that completion may be unduly delayed, for reasons beyond the control of Kodiak and/or Whiting;

The risk that management focus, employee attention and resources for other strategic opportunities, as well as employee attention to operational matters, could be diverted for an extended period while the parties work to complete the arrangement and integration process. In addition, Kodiak may potentially lose key and other personnel as a result of the pending arrangement;

The challenges inherent in the combination of two business enterprises of this size, scope and complexity, including the loss of management having the most experience with the Kodiak assets and the attendant risks that the anticipated cost savings and synergies and other benefits sought to be obtained from the arrangement might not be achieved in the time frame contemplated or at all;

Under the arrangement agreement, Whiting is subject to very limited restrictions during the pendency of the arrangement on the conduct of its business, acquisitions it may pursue or entering into other strategic transactions, including an acquisition of Whiting to the extent it would not require an adverse recommendation change by Whiting s board, a termination of the arrangement agreement or prevent or materially impair Whiting s ability to consummate the arrangement prior to the termination date. As a result, there is a risk that Whiting s business may change before or after the completion of the arrangement, which may affect the value of Whiting common stock held by Kodiak shareholders following the completion of the arrangement and, if Whiting issues additional shares of its common stock in another acquisition, could reduce the Kodiak shareholders percentage ownership in the combined company;

The restrictions on the conduct of Kodiak s business until completion of the arrangement, subjecting Kodiak to a variety of specified limitations absent Whiting s consent, which may delay or prevent Kodiak from undertaking business opportunities that may arise during such period, even if Kodiak management thinks they may be advisable;

Substantial costs will be incurred by both Whiting and Kodiak in connection with the arrangement, including legal and financial advisory fees, certain of which must be paid regardless of whether the arrangement is consummated, as well as the costs of integrating the businesses of Whiting and Kodiak;

The interests that certain executive officers and directors of Kodiak may have with respect to the arrangement in addition to their interests as shareholders of Kodiak. See Interests of Directors and Executive Officers of Kodiak in the Arrangement ; and

The Kodiak board considered certain risks inherent in Whiting s business and operations, including those identified in Whiting s SEC filings and also considered the types and nature of the risks described under the section entitled Risk Factors, the other risks described in Kodiak s and Whiting s annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 and the matters described under Forward-Looking Statements.

This discussion of the factors considered by the Kodiak board is not, and is not intended to be, exhaustive. The Kodiak board also considered a variety of other risks and countervailing factors, including the risks of the type and nature described under Forward-Looking Statements.

The Kodiak board concluded that the benefits of the arrangement to Kodiak and its shareholders outweighed the perceived risks. In view of the wide variety of factors considered, and the complexity of these matters, the Kodiak board did not find it useful and did not attempt to quantify or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors it considered. Rather, the Kodiak board viewed the decisions as being based on the totality of the information available to it. In addition, individual members of the Kodiak board may have given differing weights to different factors.

## Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the Whiting Board

Pursuant to an engagement letter effective as of May 30, 2014, Whiting retained J.P. Morgan as its financial advisor in connection with the proposed arrangement.

At the meeting of the Whiting board of directors on July 13, 2014, J.P. Morgan rendered its oral opinion to the Whiting board of directors that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio in the proposed arrangement was fair, from a financial point of view, to Whiting. J.P. Morgan has confirmed its July 13, 2014 oral opinion by delivering its written opinion to the Whiting board of directors, dated July 13, 2014, that, as of such date, the exchange ratio in the proposed arrangement was fair, from a financial point of view, to Whiting. The issuance of J.P. Morgan s opinion was approved by a fairness committee of J.P. Morgan. Because this joint proxy statement/circular will be mailed to the stockholders of both Whiting and Kodiak, J.P. Morgan has given Whiting its written approval for the filing by Whiting and Kodiak, in each case as Annex G to this joint proxy statement/circular. No limitations were imposed by the Whiting board of directors upon J.P. Morgan with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by it in rendering its opinions.

The full text of the written opinion of J.P. Morgan dated July 13, 2014, which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and limits on the review undertaken (which are also summarized herein), is attached as Annex G to this document. Whiting stockholders are urged to read the opinion in its entirety. J.P. Morgan s written opinion is addressed to the Whiting board of directors (in its capacity as such), is directed only to the exchange ratio in the arrangement and does not constitute a recommendation to any Whiting stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote with respect to the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement. The summary of the opinion of J.P. Morgan set forth in this document is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion.

In arriving at its opinions, J.P. Morgan, among other things:

reviewed a draft dated July 12, 2014 of the arrangement agreement;

reviewed certain publicly available business and financial information concerning Whiting and Kodiak and the industries in which they operate;

compared the proposed financial terms of the arrangement with the publicly available financial terms of certain transactions involving companies J.P. Morgan deemed relevant and the consideration received for such companies;

compared the financial and operating performance of Whiting and Kodiak with publicly available information concerning certain other companies J.P. Morgan deemed relevant and reviewed the current and historical market prices of Whiting common stock and Kodiak common stock and certain publicly traded securities of such other companies;

reviewed certain internal financial analyses and forecasts prepared by the management of Whiting relating to its and Kodiak s respective businesses and by the management of Kodiak relating to its businesses, as well as the estimated amount and timing of cost savings and related expenses and synergies expected to result from the arrangement (the Synergies); and

performed such other financial studies and analyses and considered such other information as J.P. Morgan deemed appropriate for the purposes of its opinion.

In addition, J.P. Morgan also held discussions with certain members of the management of Whiting and Kodiak with respect to certain aspects of the arrangement, and the past and current business operations of Whiting and Kodiak, the financial condition and future prospects and operations of Whiting and Kodiak, the effects of the arrangement on the financial condition and future prospects of Whiting, and certain other matters J.P. Morgan believed necessary or appropriate to its inquiry.

In giving its opinion, J.P. Morgan relied upon and assumed the accuracy and completeness of all information that was publicly available or was furnished to or discussed with J.P. Morgan by Whiting and Kodiak or otherwise reviewed by or for J.P. Morgan, and J.P. Morgan did not independently verify, nor did J.P. Morgan assume responsibility for independently verifying, any such information or its accuracy or completeness.

J.P. Morgan did not conduct nor was provided with any valuation or appraisal of any assets or liabilities, nor did J.P. Morgan evaluate the solvency of Whiting or Kodiak under any state or federal laws relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters. In relying on financial analyses and forecasts provided to it, including the Synergies, J.P. Morgan assumed that they were reasonably prepared based on assumptions reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments by management as to the expected future results of operations and financial condition of Whiting and Kodiak to which such analyses or forecasts relate. J.P. Morgan expressed no view as to such analyses or forecasts (including the Synergies) or the assumptions on which they were based. J.P. Morgan also assumed that the arrangement and the other transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement will qualify as a tax-free reorganization for United States federal income tax purposes and will be consummated as described in the arrangement agreement, and that the definitive arrangement agreement would not differ in any material respect from the draft thereof provided to J.P. Morgan. J.P. Morgan also assumed that the representations and warranties made by Whiting and Kodiak in the arrangement agreement and the related agreements were and will be true and correct in all respects material to J.P. Morgan s analysis. J.P. Morgan is not a legal, regulatory or tax expert and relied on the assessments made by advisors to Whiting with respect to such issues, J.P. Morgan further assumed that all material governmental, regulatory or other consents and approvals necessary for the consummation of the arrangement will be obtained without any adverse effect on Whiting or Kodiak or on the contemplated benefits of the arrangement.

The projections furnished to J.P. Morgan for Whiting for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016 were prepared by the management of Whiting (the Whiting forecast, as further Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts ). The projections furnished to J.P. Morgan described in for Kodiak for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016 were prepared by the management of Kodiak and were adjusted by the management of Whiting to reflect consistent commodity prices (as adjusted, the Whiting adjusted Kodiak forecast, as further described in Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts ). Neither Whiting nor Kodiak publicly discloses internal management projections of the type provided to J.P. Morgan in connection with J.P. Morgan s analysis of the arrangement, and such projections were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure. These projections, and the adjustments made thereto by the management of Whiting, were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and may be beyond the control of management, including, without limitation, factors related to general economic and competitive conditions and prevailing interest rates. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from those set forth in such projections. For more information regarding the use of projections, please refer to the section entitled Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts.

The Synergies furnished to J.P. Morgan were prepared by the management of Whiting and assumed \$24.5 million of run-rate general and administrative synergies phased-in 25% in 2014 and 100% in all years thereafter. The Synergies were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure and were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and may be beyond the control of management. Accordingly, actual synergies could vary significantly.

J.P. Morgan s opinion is based on economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to J.P. Morgan as of, the date of such opinion. Subsequent developments may affect J.P. Morgan s opinion, and J.P. Morgan does not have any obligation to update, revise, or reaffirm such opinion. J.P. Morgan s opinion is limited to the fairness, from a financial point of view, to Whiting of the exchange ratio in the proposed arrangement, and J.P. Morgan has expressed no opinion as to the fairness of the exchange ratio to the holders of any class of securities, creditors or other constituencies of Whiting or the underlying decision by Whiting to engage in the arrangement. Furthermore, J.P. Morgan expressed no opinion with respect to the amount or nature of any compensation to any officers, directors, or employees of any party to the arrangement, or any class of such persons relative to the exchange ratio in the arrangement or with respect to the fairness of any such compensation. J.P. Morgan also expressed no opinion as to the price at which Whiting common stock or Kodiak common stock will trade at any

future time, whether before or after the closing of the arrangement.

The terms of the arrangement agreement, including the exchange ratio, were determined through arm s length negotiations between Whiting and Kodiak, and the decision to enter into the arrangement agreement was solely that of the Whiting board of directors and Kodiak board of directors. J.P. Morgan s opinion and financial analyses were only one of the many factors considered by the Whiting board of directors in its evaluation of the proposed arrangement and should not be viewed as determinative of the views of the Whiting board of directors or management with respect to the proposed arrangement or the exchange ratio.

In accordance with customary investment banking practice, J.P. Morgan employed generally accepted valuation methods in reaching its opinion. The following is a summary of the material financial analyses utilized by J.P. Morgan in connection with providing its opinion.

## **Public Companies Analysis**

Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan compared selected financial data of Whiting and Kodiak with similar data for selected publicly traded companies engaged in businesses which J.P. Morgan judged to be analogous to Whiting s or Kodiak s. For purposes of this analysis, J.P. Morgan selected the companies with operations and businesses that J.P. Morgan considered most relevant due to their similarity to the operations and businesses of Whiting and Kodiak and identified a number of such companies that were, in its judgment, sufficient to permit J.P. Morgan to conduct its analysis; J.P. Morgan did not however attempt to identify all publicly traded companies that may have operations and businesses that were similar to those of Whiting and Kodiak.

For Whiting, the companies selected by J.P. Morgan were as follows:

Peer companies operating in the Bakken shale:

Continental Resources, Inc.

Oasis Petroleum Inc.

Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. Diversified peer companies:

Hess Corporation

Marathon Oil Corporation

QEP Resources, Inc.

Newfield Exploration Company

For Kodiak, the companies selected by J.P. Morgan were as follows:

Continental Resources, Inc.

Oasis Petroleum Inc.

### Whiting Petroleum Corporation

These companies were selected, among other reasons, because they are publicly traded companies with operations and businesses that, for the purposes of J.P. Morgan s analysis, may be considered similar to those of Whiting and Kodiak based on the nature of their assets and operations and the form and geographic location of their operations. However, certain of these companies may have characteristics that are materially different from those of Whiting and Kodiak. The analyses necessarily involve complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operational characteristics of the companies involved and other factors that could affect the companies differently than would affect Whiting or Kodiak.

For each company listed above, J.P. Morgan calculated and compared various financial multiples and ratios based on publicly available information as of July 11, 2014. For each of the following analyses performed by J.P. Morgan, estimated financial data for the selected companies were based on the Whiting forecast and the Whiting adjusted Kodiak forecast (in the case of Whiting and Kodiak, respectively) and information obtained from FactSet Research Systems and selected equity research reports (in the case of the other selected companies). The information J.P. Morgan calculated for each of the selected companies included:

Multiple of equity value (calculated as the market value of the company s common stock on a fully diluted basis) to estimated cash flow (calculated as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and exploration expenses (EBITDAX), less cash interest expense, less cash taxes, plus non-cash G&A expenses) for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016;

Multiple of firm value (calculated as equity value plus debt and other adjustments, including minority interest and preferred stock, less cash) to estimated EBITDAX for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016;

Multiple of firm value to estimated production (in dollars per barrel of oil equivalent per day ( \$/BOE/d )) for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016; and

Multiple of firm value to proved reserves (in dollars per barrel of oil equivalent ( \$/BOE )). Results of the analysis for Whiting and Kodiak, respectively, are as follows:

Whiting

## Peer Companies Operating in the Bakken Shale

	Equity value / estimated cash flow			Firm value / estimated EBITDAX			Firm value / production (\$/BOE/d)			Firm value / reserves (\$/BOE)	
	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	<b>2015E</b>	<b>2016E</b>		
Continental	8.4x	7.1x	5.6x	9.3x	7.7x	6.3x	\$195,420	\$154,313	\$122,763	\$ 30.90	
Oasis	5.9x	4.7x	3.6x	7.1x	5.8x	4.6x	\$163,322	\$126,081	\$106,030	\$ 35.84	
Kodiak	5.4x	4.0x	3.3x	7.4x	5.6x	4.8x	\$156,401	\$115,661	\$ 94,197	\$ 36.53	

**Diversified Peer Companies** 

Equity value /	Firm value /		Firm value /
estimated	estimated	Firm value / production	reserves
cash flow	EBITDAX	( <b>\$/BOE/d</b> )	(\$/BOE)
2014E 2015E 2016E	2014E 2015E 2016E	2014E 2015E 2016E	

Hess	5.5x	5.0x	4.2x	4.9x	4.6x	4.1x	\$100,203	\$ 89,528	\$88,470	\$ 23.35
Marathon	4.7x	4.4x	4.1x	3.7x	3.8x	3.5x	\$ 62,152	\$60,605	\$58,190	\$ 14.34
QEP	4.3x	3.8x	3.1x	6.0x	5.4x	4.3x	\$ 72,837	\$68,431	\$ 59,064	\$ 14.73
Newfield	4.3x	3.6x	3.3x	6.0x	5.1x	4.2x	\$ 67,242	\$ 59,855	\$54,626	\$ 15.02

J.P. Morgan also calculated the same financial multiples and ratios for Whiting based on both the Whiting forecast and information from FactSet Research Systems (referred to as Street estimates in the below table).

	Equity value / estimated cash flow			(	EBITDAX			alue / prod (\$/BOE/d)	Firm valu reserve (\$/BOE	s	
	2014E	2015E	2016E	2014E	2015E	2016E	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	2016E		
Whiting (based											
on Whiting											
forecast)	4.1x	3.9x	3.7x	4.9x	4.5x	4.3x	\$105,284	\$91,836	\$81,271	\$ 26.7	6
Whiting (based											
on Street											
estimates)	4.5x	4.1x	3.7x	5.2x	4.8x	4.2x	\$105,727	\$89,481	\$77,566	\$ 26.7	6
J.P. Morgan did	not rely s	olely on	the quant	itative re	sults of t	he selecte	ed public con	npany analy	sis, but als	o made	
qualitative judgments concerning differences between the business, financial and operating characteristics and											
prospects of Whiting and the selected companies that could affect th							-				de
a context in which to consider the results of the quantitative analysis. These qualit								-		•	

the differing sizes, growth prospects, asset profiles and capital structures between Whiting and the companies included in the selected public company analysis. Based upon these judgments, J.P. Morgan selected multiple reference ranges for Whiting of 4.25x 5.25x, 3.75x 4.5x, and 3.25x 4.25x for equity value to estimated 2014, 2015 and 2016 cash flow, respectively; ranges of 4.75x 6.25x, 4.25x 5.5x, and 4.0x 5.0x for firm value to estimated 2014, 2015, and 2016 EBITDAX, respectively; ranges of \$100,000 \$130,000, \$80,000 \$110,000, and \$75,000 \$100,000 for firm value to estimated 2014, 2015, and 2016 production, respectively; and a range of \$25.00 \$35.00 for firm value to proved reserves.

After applying such ranges to the appropriate metrics for Whiting based on the Whiting forecast, the analysis indicated the following implied equity value per share ranges for Whiting common stock (resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share):

## Whiting Implied Equity Value Per Share Range

	Equity	value / est	timated	Firm v	alue / esti	mated	Firm value / production reser			
		cash flow		ŀ	EBITDAX (\$/BOE/d)					( <b>\$/BOE</b> )
	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	
Low	\$80.50	\$76.00	\$69.50	\$ 76.25	\$73.00	\$72.75	\$ 73.75	\$66.00	\$ 71.00	\$ 72.25
High	\$99.50	\$91.25	\$90.75	\$106.25	\$99.75	\$95.75	\$101.25	\$97.75	\$101.00	\$ 108.50
The rang	ges of impli	ied equity	values per	share were	compared	to Whiting	s closing s	share price	e of \$78.54	on July 11,
2014.			_		_	-	_	_		

Kodiak

**Peer Companies** 

	Equity value / estimated cash flow			Firm value / estimated EBITDAX			Firm value / production (\$/BOE/d)			Firm value / reserves (\$/BOE)	
	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	2016E	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	2016E	<b>2014E</b>	<b>2015E</b>	<b>2016E</b>		
Continental	8.4x	7.1x	5.6x	9.3x	7.7x	6.3x	\$ 195,420	\$154,313	\$122,763	\$ 30.90	
Whiting	4.1x	3.9x	3.7x	4.9x	4.5x	4.3x	\$105,284	\$ 91,836	\$ 81,271	\$ 26.76	
Oasis	5.9x	4.7x	3.6x	7.1x	5.8x	4.6x	\$163,322	\$126,081	\$106,030	\$ 35.84	

J.P. Morgan also calculated the same financial multiples and ratios for Kodiak based on both the Whiting adjusted Kodiak forecast and Street estimates.

Firm value /											
	-	uity valu			estimated		Firm value / production (\$/BOE/d)			reserves	
		ated cas 2015E							<b>2016E</b>	( <b>\$/BOE</b> )	
Kodiak (based											
on Whiting											
adjusted Kodiak											
forecast)	5.4x	4.0x	3.3x	7.4x	5.6x	4.8x	\$156,401	\$115,661	\$94,197	\$ 36.53	
Kodiak (based											
on Street											
estimates)	4.9x	3.8x	3.0x	7.0x	5.6x	4.6x	\$152,754	\$119,685	\$93,584	\$ 36.53	
J.P. Morgan did	not rely	solely on	the quar	ntitative r	esults of	the selec	ted public co	mpany analy	sis, but als	o made	
qualitative judgr	nents cor	ncerning	differenc	es betwe	en the bu	isiness, fi	nancial and	operating cha	racteristics	s and	
prospects of Koo	liak and	the selec	ted comp	anies tha	t could a	ffect the	public tradin	g values of ea	ach in orde	er to provide	
a context in which	ch to con	sider the	results o	f the qua	ntitative	analysis.	These qualit	ative judgme	nts related	primarily to	
the differing size	es, growt	h prospe	cts, asset	profiles	and capit	al structu	ires between	Kodiak and t	he compar	nies included	
in the selected p	ublic con	npany an	alysis. B	ased upor	n these ju	idgments	, J.P. Morgan	n selected mu	ltiple refei	rence ranges	
for Kodiak of 5.	0x 6.0x,	3.75x 4	.75x, and	13.0x 3.	75x for e	equity val	ue to estimat	ted 2014, 201	5 and 201	6 cash flow,	
respectively; ran	ges of 6.	5x 7.5x	, 5.0x 6.	0x, and 4	.0x 5.0x	for firm	value to esti	mated 2014,	2015, and	2016 EBITDA	
respectively; ran	ges of \$1	50,000	\$160,000	0, \$100,0	00 \$125	,000, and	1 \$80,000 \$1	105,000 for fi	rm value t	o estimated 20	
2015, and 2016	productio	on, respe	ctively; a	nd a rang	ge of \$32.	.00 \$37.	00 for firm v	alue to prove	d reserves.		

After applying such ranges to the appropriate metrics for Kodiak based on the Whiting adjusted Kodiak forecast, the analysis indicated the following implied equity value per share ranges for Kodiak common stock (resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share):

## Kodiak Implied Equity Value Per Share Range

	1 0	value / est cash flow			value / esti EBITDAX		Firm value / production (\$/BOE/d)			Firm value / reserves (\$/BOE)
	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	<b>2014E</b>	2015E	<b>2016E</b>	
Low	\$13.25	\$13.50	\$12.75	\$11.50	\$11.75	\$10.50	\$13.25	\$11.25	\$11.00	\$ 11.50
High	\$16.00	\$17.00	\$16.00	\$14.75	\$15.75	\$15.00	\$14.75	\$16.00	\$16.75	\$ 14.50
The ranges of	of implied e	equity valu	es per sha	re were con	mpared to	Kodiak s	closing sha	re price of	£\$14.23 o	n July 11,
2014 and the implied consideration per share in the arrangement of \$13.90 based on the exchange ratio and the										d the
Whiting share price of \$78.54 on July 11, 2014.										

### **Precedent Transactions Analysis**

Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan examined selected asset transactions in the Bakken and Three Forks shale as well as precedent corporate transactions in the exploration and production sector generally where the parties had similar operations to Whiting and Kodiak. For purposes of this analysis, J.P. Morgan selected the transactions that J.P. Morgan considered most relevant to its analysis due to the similarity of their participants, size and other factors to the arrangement and identified a number of transactions that were, in its judgment, sufficient to permit J.P. Morgan to conduct its analysis; J.P. Morgan did not however attempt to identify all transactions that may

be similar to the arrangement.

For each of the selected Bakken and Three Forks shale asset transactions for which the relevant information was publicly available, among other calculations, J.P. Morgan calculated the multiple of the transaction value to proved reserves and to production, both actual and index-adjusted to reflect the change in the 12-month NYMEX strip from one day prior to the deal announcement until July 11, 2014. Specifically, J.P. Morgan reviewed the following Bakken and Three Forks shale asset transactions (buyer / seller):

Oasis Petroleum Inc. / Zenergy Inc.

Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. / Liberty Energy Corp.

Continental Resources, Inc. / Samson Resources Corporation

Halcon Resources Corporation / Petro-Hunt L.L.C.

ExxonMobil Corporation / Denbury Resources Inc.

QEP Resources, Inc. / Helis Oil & Gas Co., LLC and others

Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp. / Mercuria Energy Group Ltd. and others Results of the analysis for the selected Bakken and Three Forks shale asset transactions are as follows (resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share):

## **Precedent Bakken and Three Forks Asset Transactions**

		value /reserves <sup>1</sup> BOE)	Transaction value /production (\$/BOE/d)			
	Actual	Adjusted	Actual	Adjusted		
Median	\$ 29.95	\$ 31.08	\$ 131,429	\$ 134,180		
Mean	\$ 29.31	\$ 30.20	\$ 134,656	\$ 139,140		

For each of the selected precedent corporate transactions, among other calculations, the information J.P. Morgan calculated for each of the selected corporate transactions included:

Multiple of the purchase price to cash flow, both for the preceding 12 months ( LTM ) and for the one-year forward estimates as of the announcement date;

Multiple of the transaction value to EBITDAX, both for the LTM and for the one-year forward estimates as of the announcement date;

Multiple of the transaction value to proved reserves, both actual and index-adjusted to reflect the change in the 12-month NYMEX strip from one day prior to the deal announcement until July 11, 2014; and

Multiple of the transaction value to production, both actual and index-adjusted to reflect the change in the 12-month NYMEX strip from one day prior to the deal announcement until July 11, 2014. Specifically, J.P. Morgan reviewed the following corporate transactions (acquirer / target):

Baytex Energy Corp. / Aurora Oil & Gas Ltd. (revised)<sup>2</sup>

Baytex Energy Corp. / Aurora Oil & Gas Ltd.

Halcon Resources Corporation / Georesources, Inc.

Statoil ASA / Brigham Exploration Co.

BHP Billiton Ltd. / Petrohawk Energy Corporation

Sandridge Energy / Arena Resources Inc.

ExxonMobil Corporation / XTO Energy Inc.

Denbury Resources Inc. / Encore Acquisition Co.

Anadarko Petroleum Corp. / Kerr-McGee Corp.

- Excludes Continental Resources, Inc./Samson Resources Corporation and QEP Resources, Inc./Helis Oil & Gas Co., LLC due to the unavailability of public information regarding proved reserves.
- <sup>2</sup> Original offer on February 6, 2014 was \$4.10 / share. Accepted offer was \$4.20 / share.

ConocoPhillips Co. / Burlington Resources Inc.

Cimarex Energy Co. / Magnum Hunter Resources Inc.

Noble Energy Inc. / Patina Oil & Gas Corp. Results of the analysis for the selected corporate transactions are as follows:

## Precedent U.S. Exploration & Production Corporate Transactions

	Purchase	price /	Transactio	on value /	Transac	tion value /	Transaction value / production		
	cash f	low	EBIT	DAX	reserves	s (\$/BOE)	(\$/B0	OE/d)	
	LTM	1-yr	LTM	1-yr	Actual	Adjusted	Actual	Adjusted	
Median	7.0x	7.3x	8.5x	7.0x	\$20.31	\$ 19.77	\$ 89,739	\$ 90,374	
Mean	9.3x	7.5x	9.7x	7.9x	\$24.86	\$ 25.50	\$125,815	\$ 130,786	
Decad on the recults of this	analysis ID	Manaan	calastad a	maniltimla m	-forman an m	anas for Vad	lials of 6 Or	Q Or for	

Based on the results of this analysis, J.P. Morgan selected a multiple reference range for Kodiak of 6.0x 8.0x for purchase price to estimated one-year forward cash flow; a range of 6.0x 8.0x for transaction value to estimated one-year forward EBITDAX; a range of \$32.50 \$40.00 for transaction value to proved reserves; and a range of \$140,000 \$190,000 for transaction value to production.

After applying such ranges to the appropriate metrics for Kodiak based on the Whiting adjusted Kodiak forecast, the analysis indicated the following implied equity value per share ranges for Kodiak common stock (resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share):

## Kodiak Implied Equity Value Per Share Range

			Transa	ction value /	Transac	tion value /
	ase price / h flow	ction value / ITDAX	-	serves /BOE)	-	duction BOE/d)
Low	\$ 16.00	\$ 10.00	\$	11.75	\$	9.25
High	\$ 21.00	\$ 16.25	\$	16.25	\$	15.50

The ranges of implied equity values per share were compared to Kodiak s closing share price of \$14.23 on July 11, 2014 and the implied consideration per share in the arrangement of \$13.90 based on the exchange ratio and the Whiting share price of \$78.54 on July 11, 2014.

## Net Asset Value Analysis

J.P. Morgan prepared a discounted cash flow analysis of the projected cash flow derived from production of Whiting s proved, probable and possible reserves and possible resource potential (the 3P+ assets) as of March 31, 2014, based upon estimates provided by Whiting s management and based upon spot pricing and NYMEX strip pricing through 2018 with prices held flat at \$110.00 per barrel of oil and \$5.00 per million British thermal units (Mmbtu) thereafter in the high case, and \$85.00 per barrel of oil and \$4.00 per Mmbtu thereafter in the low case, which pricing assumptions

were reviewed and approved by Whiting s management. The projected cash flows from Whiting s 3P+ assets were discounted to present values using a range of discount rates from 9% to 11%, which were chosen by J.P. Morgan based upon an analysis of the weighted average cost of capital of Whiting, and were then adjusted for Whiting s net present value of projected general and administrative expenses, net debt as of March 31, 2014 and present value of hedges to indicate a range of implied pre-tax net asset equity values for Whiting. The pre-tax net asset values of Whiting s 3P+ assets were then adjusted for Whiting s net present value of projected cash taxes (net of the present value of projected net operating loss utilization) to indicate a range of implied after-tax net asset equity values for Whiting. The implied pre- and after-tax net asset equity values for Whiting were divided by the number of fully diluted shares

outstanding at Whiting to arrive at the following range of implied net asset values per share of Whiting common stock, based on spot pricing, high-case NYMEX strip pricing and low-case NYMEX strip pricing. Resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share.

	Low	High
Whiting Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share Spot Pricing	\$89.75	\$107.75
Whiting Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip Pricing (High)	\$95.00	\$115.75
Whiting Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip Pricing (Low)	\$60.75	\$ 73.75
Whiting Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share Spot Pricing	\$ 55.00	\$ 67.00
Whiting Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip Pricing (High)	\$58.50	\$ 72.00
Whiting Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip Pricing (Low)	\$37.00	\$ 45.50
This range of implied net asset values per share for Whiting was compared to Whiting s closin	ng share pric	ce of \$78.54
on July 11, 2014.		

J.P. Morgan also prepared a discounted cash flow analysis of the projected cash flow derived from production of Kodiak s 3P+ assets as of March 31, 2014, based upon estimates provided by Whiting s management and based upon spot pricing, high-case NYMEX strip pricing and low-case NYMEX strip pricing, which pricing assumptions were reviewed and approved by Whiting s management. The projected cash flows from Kodiak s 3P+ assets were discounted to present values using a range of discount rates from 8.5% to 10.5%, which were chosen by J.P. Morgan based upon an analysis of the weighted average cost of capital of Kodiak, and were then adjusted for Kodiak s net present value of projected general and administrative expenses, net debt as of March 31, 2014, present value of hedges and incremental costs of upgrading Kodiak s facilities to indicate a range of implied pre-tax net asset equity values for Kodiak. The pre-tax net asset values of Kodiak s 3P+ assets were then adjusted for Kodiak s net present value of projected cash taxes (net of the present value of projected net operating loss utilization) to indicate a range of implied after-tax net asset equity values for Kodiak. The implied pre- and after-tax net asset equity values for Kodiak were divided by the number of fully diluted shares outstanding at Kodiak and adjusted to account for the Synergies to arrive at the following range of implied net asset values per share of Kodiak common stock, based on spot pricing, high-case NYMEX strip pricing and low-case NYMEX strip pricing. Resulting per share values were in all cases rounded to the nearest \$0.25 per share.

	Low	High	With Incremental Synergy Value	
Kodiak Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share Spot Pricing	\$16.75	\$ 20.00	\$	21.00
Kodiak Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip				
Pricing (High)	\$17.25	\$20.75	\$	22.00
Kodiak Implied Pre-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX Strip				
Pricing (Low)	\$11.25	\$13.75	\$	15.00
Kodiak Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share Spot Pricing	\$ 10.50	\$12.75	\$	13.50
Kodiak Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX				
Strip Pricing (High)	\$10.75	\$13.25	\$	14.00
Kodiak Implied After-Tax Net Asset Value Per Share NYMEX				
Strip Pricing (Low)	\$ 7.00	\$ 9.00	\$	9.50

This range of implied net asset values per share for Kodiak was compared to Kodiak s closing share price of \$14.23 on July 11, 2014 and the implied consideration per share in the arrangement of \$13.90 based on the exchange ratio and the Whiting share price of \$78.54 on July 11, 2014.

## **Relative Valuation Analysis**

Based upon the implied equity values for Whiting and the implied equity values for Kodiak calculated in its Public Companies Analysis, and the implied equity values for Whiting and the implied equity values for Kodiak

calculated in its Net Asset Value Analysis described above, J.P. Morgan calculated an implied range of exchange ratios. For each comparison, J.P. Morgan compared the highest equity value for Whiting to the lowest equity value for Kodiak to derive the lowest implied exchange ratio for the Kodiak securityholders implied by each set of reference ranges. J.P. Morgan also compared the lowest equity value for Whiting to the highest equity value for Kodiak to derive the highest implied exchange ratio for the Kodiak securityholders implied by each set of reference ranges. With respect to its Net Asset Value Analysis of Whiting and Kodiak, J.P. Morgan then also calculated implied exchange ratios by comparing the lowest equity value for Whiting to the highest equity value for Kodiak with incremental synergy value. The implied ranges of the exchange ratio resulting from this analysis were:

	Impl	Implied Exchan		
	Low	High	Synergy Value	
Public Companies Analysis				
Equity value to 2014E cash flow	0.133x	0.199x	N/A	
Equity value to 2015E cash flow	0.148x	0.224x	N/A	
Equity value to 2016E cash flow	0.140x	0.230x	N/A	
Firm value to 2014E EBITDAX	0.108x	0.193x	N/A	
Firm value to 2015E EBITDAX	0.118x	0.216x	N/A	
Firm value to 2016E EBITDAX	0.110x	0.206x	N/A	
Firm value to 2014E production	0.131x	0.200x	N/A	
Firm value to 2015E production	0.115x	0.242x	N/A	
Firm value to 2016E production	0.109x	0.236x	N/A	
Firm value to proved reserves	0.106x	0.201x	N/A	
Net Asset Value Analysis				
Pre-tax spot pricing	0.155x	0.223x	0.234x	
Pre-tax NYMEX strip pricing (high)	0.149x	0.218x	0.232x	
Pre-tax NYMEX strip pricing (low)	0.153x	0.226x	0.247x	
After-tax spot pricing	0.157x	0.232x	0.245x	
After-tax NYMEX strip pricing (high)	0.149x	0.226x	0.239x	
After-tax NYMEX strip pricing (low)	0.154x	0.243x	0.257x	

The implied ranges of the exchange ratio for the Kodiak securityholders were compared to the proposed arrangement exchange ratio of 0.177x.

## Illustrative Implied Value Creation Analysis

For reference purposes only, J.P. Morgan conducted an illustrative analysis of the theoretical value creation to the Whiting stockholders that compared the estimated implied equity value of Whiting on a standalone basis (based on the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan s Net Asset Value Analysis based on spot pricing described above) to the implied equity value of Whiting s shares pro forma for the arrangement. J.P. Morgan calculated the pro forma implied after-tax equity value of Whiting shares based on spot pricing by (1) adding the sum of (a) the implied after-tax equity value of Whiting (based on spot pricing using the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan s Net Asset Value Analysis described above), (b) the implied after-tax equity value of Kodiak (based on spot pricing using the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan s Net Asset Value Analysis described above), (b) the implied after-tax equity value of Kodiak (based on spot pricing using the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan s Net Asset Value Analysis described above) and (c) the estimated present value of the Synergies, (2) subtracting the sum of (a) the estimated present value of transaction fees and expenses relating to

the arrangement and (b) the estimated impact of the arrangement on the tax attributes of Whiting and Kodiak and (3) multiplying such sum of the estimated valuations described above by a factor of 71.5%, representing the approximate pro forma equity ownership of the combined company by the Whiting stockholders. Based on the assumptions described above, this illustrative analysis implied value creation for the Whiting stockholders of approximately 2.8%.

For reference purposes only, J.P. Morgan also conducted an illustrative analysis of theoretical value creation that compared the estimated implied equity value of Whiting on a standalone basis assuming NYMEX strip pricing through 2018 with prices held flat at \$97.50 per barrel of oil and \$4.50 per Mmbtu thereafter to the implied equity value of Whiting s shares pro forma for the arrangement. J.P. Morgan calculated the pro forma implied after-tax equity value of Whiting shares based on NYMEX strip pricing by (1) adding the sum of (a) the implied after-tax equity value of Whiting assuming NYMEX strip pricing as described above, (b) the implied after-tax equity value of Kodiak assuming NYMEX strip pricing as described above and (c) the estimated present value of the Synergies, (2) subtracting the sum of (a) the estimated present value of transaction fees and expenses relating to the arrangement and (b) the estimated impact of the arrangement on the tax attributes of Whiting and Kodiak and (3) multiplying such sum of the estimated valuations described above by a factor of 71.5%, representing the approximate pro forma equity ownership of the combined company by the Whiting stockholders. Based on the assumptions described above, this illustrative analysis implied value creation for the Whiting stockholders of approximately 2.4%.

For reference purposes only, J.P. Morgan conducted a further illustrative analysis of theoretical value creation that compared the estimated equity value of Whiting on a standalone basis based on market capitalization as of July 11, 2014 to the implied equity value of Whiting s shares pro forma for the arrangement. J.P. Morgan calculated the pro forma implied equity value of Whiting shares based on market capitalization by (1) adding the sum of (a) the implied equity value of Whiting based on market capitalization, (b) the implied equity value of Kodiak based on market capitalization and (c) the estimated present value of the Synergies, (2) subtracting the estimated present value of transaction fees and expenses relating to the arrangement and (3) multiplying such sum of the estimated valuations described above by a factor of 71.5%, representing the approximate pro forma equity ownership of the combined company by the Whiting stockholders. Based on the assumptions described above, this illustrative analysis implied value creation for the Whiting stockholders of approximately 1.4%.

There can be no assurance, however, that the Synergies, transaction-related expenses and other impacts referred to above will not be substantially greater or less than those estimated by Whiting s management as described above. J.P. Morgan noted that this illustrative value creation analysis was presented merely for reference purposes only, and was not relied upon for valuation purposes.

## Miscellaneous

The foregoing summary of certain material financial analyses does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses or data presented by J.P. Morgan. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. J.P. Morgan believes that the foregoing summary and its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of the foregoing summary and these analyses, without considering all of its analyses as a whole, could create an incomplete view of the processes underlying the analyses and its opinion. In arriving at its opinion, J.P. Morgan did not attribute any particular weight to any analyses or factors considered by it and did not form an opinion as to whether any individual analysis or factor (positive or negative), considered in isolation, supported or failed to support its opinion. Rather, J.P. Morgan considered the totality of the factors and analyses performed in determining its opinion. Analyses based upon forecasts of future results are inherently uncertain, as they are subject to numerous factors or events beyond the control of the parties and their advisors. Accordingly, forecasts and analyses used or made by J.P. Morgan are not necessarily indicative of actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by those analyses. Moreover, J.P. Morgan s analyses are not and do not purport to be appraisals or otherwise reflective of the prices at which businesses actually could be bought or sold. Except for Kodiak and Whiting, none of the selected companies reviewed as described in the above summary is identical to Whiting or Kodiak, and none of the selected transactions reviewed was identical to the arrangement. However, the companies selected were chosen because they are publicly traded companies with operations and businesses that, for purposes of J.P. Morgan s analysis, may be considered

similar to those of Whiting and Kodiak. The transactions selected were similarly chosen because their participants, size and other factors, for purposes of J.P. Morgan s analysis, may be considered similar to the arrangement. The analyses necessarily involve complex

considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operational characteristics of the companies involved and other factors that could affect the companies compared to Whiting and Kodiak and the transactions compared to the arrangement.

As a part of its investment banking business, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates are continually engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, investments for passive and control purposes, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements, and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. J.P. Morgan was selected to advise Whiting with respect to the arrangement on the basis of such experience and its familiarity with Whiting.

For services rendered in connection with the arrangement, Whiting has agreed to pay J.P. Morgan a fee of \$18,000,000, \$3,600,000 of which was payable upon delivery of J.P. Morgan of its opinion and the remainder of which will become payable only if the arrangement is consummated. In addition, Whiting has agreed to reimburse J.P. Morgan for its expenses incurred in connection with its services, including the fees and disbursements of counsel, and will indemnify J.P. Morgan against certain liabilities, including liabilities arising under the Federal securities laws.

During the two years preceding the date of its opinion, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates have had commercial or investment banking relationships with Whiting and Kodiak for which J.P. Morgan and such affiliates have received customary compensation. Such services during such period have included acting as (i) joint bookrunner for Whiting s offerings of debt securities in September 2013; (ii) lead arranger and bookrunner for the revolving credit facility of a subsidiary of Whiting in October 2012, June 2013 and April 2014; and (iii) co-manager for Kodiak s offerings of debt securities in January 2013 and July 2013. J.P. Morgan and its affiliates have received approximately \$9 million of fees from Whiting the two years preceding the delivery of J.P. Morgan s opinion in connection with commercial or investment banking relationships with Whiting (other than services rendered in connection with the arrangement). In addition, J.P. Morgan s affiliate is an agent bank and a lender under an outstanding revolving credit facility of a subsidiary of Whiting, for which it receives customary compensation or other financial benefits. J.P. Morgan anticipates that it and its affiliates will arrange and/or provide financing to Whiting related to the arrangement for customary compensation. In the ordinary course of their businesses, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates may actively trade the debt and equity securities of Whiting or Kodiak for their own accounts or for the accounts of customers and, accordingly, they may at any time hold long or short positions in such securities.

## Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Kodiak Board

Petrie Partners has been assisting Kodiak since early 2014 in connection with various potential strategic options and alternatives, including the arrangement. On July 8, 2014, Kodiak and Petrie Partners formalized Petrie Partners engagement letter under which Petrie Partners is acting as financial advisor to Kodiak. On July 13, 2014, at a meeting of the Kodiak board of directors, Petrie Partners rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed by delivery of a written opinion, that, as of July 13, 2014 and based upon and subject to the factors, procedures, assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to the shareholders of Kodiak.

The full text of the written opinion of Petrie Partners, dated as of July 13, 2014, is attached as Annex H to this joint proxy statement/circular and sets forth, among other things, the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the scope of review undertaken in rendering its opinion (which are also summarized below). You are urged to read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. Petrie Partners opinion was addressed to, and provided for the information and benefit of, the Kodiak board of directors in connection with its evaluation of whether the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to the shareholders of Kodiak. Petrie Partners opinion does not address the fairness of the proposed

# arrangement, or any consideration received in connection with the

proposed arrangement, to the creditors or other constituencies of Kodiak, nor does it address the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of Kodiak, or any class of such persons, whether relative to the exchange ratio or otherwise. Petrie Partners assumed that any modification to the structure of the arrangement would not vary in any respect material to its analysis. Petrie Partners opinion does not address the relative merits of the arrangement as compared to any other alternative business transaction or strategic alternative that might be available to Kodiak, nor does it address the underlying business decision of Kodiak to engage in the arrangement. Petrie Partners opinion does not constitute a recommendation to the Kodiak board of directors or to any other persons in respect of the arrangement, including as to how any holder of shares of voting common stock of Kodiak should act or vote in respect of any of the transactions contemplated by the arrangement agreement. Finally, Petrie Partners did not express any opinion as to the price at which shares of Kodiak or Whiting common stock will trade at any time.

In connection with rendering its opinion and performing its related financial analysis, Petrie Partners, among other things:

reviewed certain publicly available business and financial information relating to Kodiak and Whiting, including, without limitation, (i) Annual Reports on Form 10-K and related audited financial statements of Kodiak and Whiting for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and (ii) the Quarterly Reports for Kodiak and Whiting on Form 10-Q and related unaudited financial statements for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2014;

reviewed published analyst reports by independent equity research analysts with respect to the future financial performance and price targets of Kodiak and Whiting;

reviewed certain non-public projected financial and operating data relating to Kodiak and Whiting prepared and furnished to Petrie Partners by the respective management teams and staffs of Kodiak and Whiting as further described in Certain Unaudited Internal Financial and Operating Forecasts ;

reviewed certain estimates of Kodiak s oil and gas reserves, including (i) estimates of proved reserves prepared by Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc. (NSAI), as of December 31, 2013, and (ii) estimates of proved developed producing and development reserves prepared by the management and staff of Kodiak as of July 1, 2014;

reviewed certain estimates of Whiting s oil and gas reserves, including (i) estimates of proved, probable and possible reserves prepared by Cawley, Gillespie & Associates, Inc. (CGA), as of December 31, 2013 and (ii) estimates of proved, probable and possible reserves and potential resources prepared by the management and staff of Whiting as of July 1, 2014;

discussed current operations, financial positioning and future prospects of Kodiak and Whit