PRUDENTIAL PLC Form 6-K September 19, 2014 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on 19 September 2014

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-15040

PRUDENTIAL PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY

(Name of Registrant)

12 Arthur Street,

London EC4R 9AQ, England

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F <u>X</u> Form 40-F __

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): ____

This report on Form 6-K is hereby incorporated by reference, in its entirety, into Prudential Public Limited Company s registration statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-177093).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Selected Historical Financial Information of Prudential	4
Exchange Rate Information	6
Risk Factors	6
Forward-Looking Statements	14
EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation	15
Operating and Financial Review	16
Introduction and Overview	16
IFRS Critical Accounting Policies	24
Summary Consolidated Results and Basis of Preparation of Analysis	26
Explanation of Movements in Profits After Tax and Profits Before Shareholder Tax by	
Reference to the Basis Applied for Segmental Disclosure	26
Explanation of Movements in Profits Before Shareholder Tax by Nature of Revenue and	
Charges	51
IFRS Shareholders Funds and shareholder-backed policyholder liabilities	61
Other results based information	63
Liquidity and Capital Resources	65
Risk and Capital Management	70
Financial Statements	
Index to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements	I-1
As used in this degument unless the content otherwise requires the terms. Drudential the Group	wa us and a

As used in this document, unless the content otherwise requires; the terms Prudential , the Group , we , us and our refer to Prudential plc, together with its subsidiaries, while the terms Prudential plc , the Company and the parent company each refer to Prudential plc .

Limitations on Enforcement of US Laws against Prudential plc, its Management and Others

Prudential plc is an English public limited company. Most of its directors and executive officers are resident outside the United States, and a substantial portion of its assets and the assets of such persons are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for you to effect service of process within the United States upon these persons or to enforce against them or Prudential plc in US courts judgements obtained in US courts predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States. We believe that there may be doubt as to the enforceability in England and Wales, in original actions or in actions for enforcement of judgements of US courts, of liabilities predicated solely upon the federal securities laws of the United States.

Selected Historical Financial Information of Prudential

The following table sets forth Prudential s selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated. Certain data is derived from Prudential s consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as endorsed by the European Union (EU). EU-endorsed IFRS may differ from IFRS as issued by the IASB if, at any point in time, new or amended IFRSs have not been endorsed by the EU. As at 30 June 2014, there were no unendorsed standards effective for the periods presented below affecting the consolidated financial information of Prudential and there were no differences between IFRSs endorsed by the EU and IFRSs issued by the IASB in terms of their application to Prudential. Accordingly, the selected consolidated financial data presented below that is derived from Prudential s consolidated financial statements is derived from consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB. This table is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with Prudential s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and the related notes included in this document, together with the Operating and Financial Review section below.

	Six Months Ended 30 June		
	$2014^{(1)}$	2014	2013
(In S	Millio nsh £	Millionsh s	E Millions)
Income statement data			
Earned premiums, net of reinsurance	27,691	16,189	14,763
Investment returns	22,885	13,379	6,528
Other income	1,811	1,059	1,100
Total revenue, net of reinsurance	52,387	30,627	22,391
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds, net of			
reinsurance	(43,701)	(25,549)	(18,143)
Acquisition costs and other expenditure	(5,706)	(3,336)	(3,315)
Finance costs: interest on core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed operations	(291)	(170)	(152)
Remeasurement of carrying value of Japan Life business classified as held for sale	(19)	(11)	(135)
Total charges, net of reinsurance	(49,717)	(29,066)	(21,745)
Share of profits from joint ventures and associates, net of related tax	252	147	74
Profit before tax (being tax attributable to shareholders and policyholders returns) ⁽²⁾	2,922	1,708	720
Tax charge attributable to policyholders returns	(486)	(284)	(214)
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	2,436	1,424	506
Tax charge attributable to shareholders returns		(279)	(141)
Profit for the period	1,959	1,145	365
	Six Months Ended 30 June		
	$2014^{(1)}$	2014	2013
Other data			
Based on profit for the period attributable to the Prudential s equity holders:			
Basic earnings per share	77.0¢	45.0p	14.3p
Diluted earnings per share	76.8¢	44.9p	14.3p
Dividend per share declared and paid in reporting period ⁽⁵⁾	40.78¢	23.84p	20.79p
Equivalent cents per share ⁽⁶⁾		40.19¢	31.43¢
Market price per share at end of period ⁽⁷⁾	2294¢	1341p	1075p
Weighted average number of shares (in millions)	2,547	2,547	2,548

4

	As of 30 June		As of 31 December	
	$2014^{(1)}$	2014	2013	
	(In \$ Millions) (In	£ Millions)	(In £ Millions)	
Statement of financial position data				
Total assets	580,356	339,290	325,932	
Total policyholder liabilities and unallocated surplus of with-profit	ts			
funds	507,587	296,748	286,014	
Core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed operations	7,812	4,567	4,636	
Total liabilities	562,180	328,664	316,281	
Total equity	18,176	10,626	9,651	
	As of and for the Six Months Ended 30 June			
	$2014^{(1)}$	2014	2013	
	(In \$ Millions) (In £ Millions) (In £ M			
Other data				
New business:				
Single premium sales ⁽³⁾	22,214	12,987	11,489	
New regular premium sales ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	1,711	1,000	1,012	
Funds under management	782,041	457,200	427,400	

- (1) Amounts stated in US dollars in the half year 2014 column have been translated from pounds sterling at the rate of \$1.7105 per £1.00 (the noon buying rate in New York City on 30 June 2014).
- (2) This measure is the formal profit before tax measure under IFRS but is not the result attributable to shareholders. See Presentation of results before tax in IFRS Critical Accounting Policies within the Operating and Financial Review section below for further explanation.
- (3) The new business premiums in the table shown above are provided as an indicative volume measure of transactions undertaken in the reporting period that have the potential to generate profits for shareholders (see EEV basis, new business results and free surplus generation below). The amounts shown are not, and are not intended to be, reflective of premium income recorded in the IFRS income statement. Internal vesting business is classified as new business where the contracts include an open market option.

The details shown above for new business include contributions for contracts that are classified under IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts as not containing significant insurance risk. These products are described as investment contracts or other financial instruments under IFRS. Contracts included in this category are primarily certain unit-linked and similar contracts written in UK insurance operations and guaranteed investment contracts and similar funding agreements written in US operations.

(4) New regular premium sales are reported on an annualised basis, which represents a full year of instalments in respect of regular premiums irrespective of the actual payments made during the period.

- (5) Interim dividends are recorded in the period in which they are paid. Final dividends are recorded in the period in which they are approved by shareholders. The parent company dividend relating to the reporting period was an interim dividend of 11.19p per share, as against an interim dividend of 9.73p per share for the first half of 2013.
- (6) The dividend per share has been translated into US dollars at the noon buying rate in New York City on the date each payment was made.
- (7) Market prices presented are the closing prices of the shares on the London Stock Exchange on the last day of trading for each indicated period.

5

Exchange Rate Information

Prudential publishes its consolidated financial statements in pounds sterling. References in this document to US dollars, US\$, \$ or ¢ are to US currency, references to pounds sterling, \$, pounds, pence or p are to UK are 100 pence to each pound) and references to Euro or are to the single currency adopted by the participating members of the European Union. The following table sets forth for each period the average of the noon buying rates on the last business day of each month of that period, as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, for pounds sterling expressed in US dollars per pound sterling for each of the reported periods. Prudential has not used these rates to prepare its consolidated financial statements.

Period	Average rate
Six months ended 30 June 2013	1.54
Twelve months ended 31 December 2013	1.56
Six months ended 30 June 2014	1.67

The following table sets forth the high and low noon buying rates for pounds sterling expressed in US dollars per pound sterling for each of the previous six months:

	High	Low
March 2014	1.67	1.65
April 2014	1.69	1.66
May 2014	1.70	1.67
June 2014	1.71	1.67
July 2014	1.72	1.69
August 2014	1.69	1.66

On 12 September 2014, the latest practicable date prior to this filing, the noon buying rate was £1.00 = \$1.62.

Risk Factors

A number of factors (risk factors) affect Prudential s operating results and financial condition and, accordingly, the trading price of its shares. The risk factors mentioned below should not be regarded as a complete and comprehensive statement of all potential risks and uncertainties. The information given is as of the date of this document, is not updated, and any forward-looking statements are made subject to the reservations specified below under Forward-Looking Statements .

Risks relating to Prudential s business

Prudential s businesses are inherently subject to market fluctuations and general economic conditions

Prudential s businesses are inherently subject to market fluctuations and general economic conditions. Uncertainty or negative trends in international economic and investment climates could adversely affect Prudential s business and profitability. Since 2008 Prudential has operated against a challenging background of periods of significant volatility in global capital and equity markets, interest rates and liquidity, and widespread economic uncertainty. Government interest rates also remain at or near historic lows in the US, the UK and some Asian countries in which Prudential operates. These factors have, at times during this period, had a material adverse effect on Prudential s business and

profitability.

In the future, the adverse effects of such factors would be felt principally through the following items:

investment impairments or reduced investment returns, which could impair Prudential s ability to write significant volumes of new business and would have a negative impact on its assets under management and profit;

higher credit defaults and wider credit and liquidity spreads resulting in realised and unrealised credit losses;

failure of counterparties to transactions with Prudential or, for derivative transactions adequate collateral not being in place;

6

estimates of the value of financial instruments being difficult because in certain illiquid or closed markets, determining the value at which financial instruments can be realised is highly subjective. Processes to ascertain such values require substantial elements of judgement, assumptions and estimates (which may change over time); and

increased illiquidity also adds to uncertainty over the accessibility of financial resources and may reduce capital resources as valuations decline.

Global financial markets are subject to uncertainty and volatility created by a variety of factors, including concerns over sovereign debt, general slowing in world growth from subdued or slowdown in demand and the timing and scale of quantitative easing programmes of central banks. Upheavals in the financial markets may affect general levels of economic activity, employment and customer behaviour. For example, insurers may experience an elevated incidence of claims, lapses, or surrenders of policies, and some policyholders may choose to defer or stop paying insurance premiums. The demand for insurance products may also be adversely affected. If sustained, this environment is likely to have a negative impact on the insurance sector over time and may consequently have a negative impact on Prudential s business and profitability. New challenges related to market fluctuations and general economic conditions may continue to emerge.

For some non-unit-linked investment products, in particular those written in some of the Group s Asian operations, it may not be possible to hold assets which will provide cash flows to match those relating to policyholder liabilities. This is particularly true in those countries where bond markets are not developed and in certain markets where regulated surrender values are set with reference to the interest rate environment prevailing at the time of policy issue. This results in a mismatch due to the duration and uncertainty of the liability cash flows and the lack of sufficient assets of a suitable duration. While this residual asset/liability mismatch risk can be managed, it cannot be eliminated. Where interest rates in these markets remain lower than interest rates used to calculate surrender values over a sustained period, this could have an adverse impact on Prudential s reported profit.

In the US, fluctuations in prevailing interest rates can affect results from Jackson which has a significant spread-based business, with the majority of its assets invested in fixed income securities. In particular, fixed annuities and stable value products written by Jackson expose Prudential to the risk that changes in interest rates, which are not fully reflected in the interest rates credited to customers, will reduce spread. The spread is the difference between the rate of return Jackson is able to earn on the assets backing the policyholders—liabilities and the amounts that are credited to policyholders in the form of benefit increases, subject to minimum crediting rates. Declines in spread from these products or other spread businesses that Jackson conducts, and increases in surrenders levels arising from interest rate rises, could have a material impact on its businesses or results of operations.

Jackson also writes a significant amount of variable annuities that offer capital or income protection guarantees. The value of these guarantees is affected by market factors including interest rates, equity levels, bond spreads and realised volatility. There could be market circumstances where the derivatives that Jackson enters into to hedge its market risks may not fully cover its exposures under the guarantees. The cost of the guarantees that remain unhedged will also affect Prudential s results.

Jackson hedges the guarantees on its variable annuity book on an economic basis and, thus, accepts variability in its accounting results in the short term in order to achieve the appropriate economic result. In particular, for Prudential s Group IFRS reporting, the measurement of the Jackson variable annuity guarantees is typically less sensitive to market movements than for the corresponding hedging derivatives, which are held at market value. However, depending on the level of hedging conducted regarding a particular risk type, certain market movements can drive volatility in the economic results which may be less significant under IFRS reporting.

A significant part of the profit from Prudential s UK insurance operations is related to bonuses for policyholders declared on with-profits products, which are broadly based on historical and current rates of return on equity, real estate and fixed income securities, as well as Prudential s expectations of future investment returns. This profit could be lower in a sustained low interest rate environment.

7

Prudential is subject to the risk of potential sovereign debt credit deterioration owing to the amounts of sovereign debt obligations held in its investment portfolio

Prudential is subject to the risk of potential sovereign debt credit deterioration on the amounts of sovereign debt obligations held in its investment portfolio. In recent years, rating agencies have downgraded the sovereign debt of some countries. There is a risk of further downgrades.

Investing in sovereign debt creates exposure to the direct or indirect consequences of political, social or economic changes (including changes in governments, heads of states or monarchs) in the countries in which the issuers are located and the creditworthiness of the sovereign. Investment in sovereign debt obligations involves risks not present in debt obligations of corporate issuers. In addition, the issuer of the debt or the governmental authorities that control the repayment of the debt may be unable or unwilling to repay principal or pay interest when due in accordance with the terms of such debt, and Prudential may have limited recourse to compel payment in the event of a default. A sovereign debtor s willingness or ability to repay principal and to pay interest in a timely manner may be affected by, among other factors, its cash flow situation, its relations with its central bank, the extent of its foreign currency reserves, the availability of sufficient foreign exchange on the date a payment is due, the relative size of the debt service burden to the economy as a whole, the sovereign debtor s policy toward local and international lenders, and the political constraints to which the sovereign debtor may be subject.

Moreover, governments may use a variety of techniques, such as intervention by their central banks or imposition of regulatory controls or taxes, to devalue their currencies exchange rates, or may adopt monetary and other policies (including to manage their debt burdens) that have a similar effect, all of which could adversely impact the value of an investment in sovereign debt even in the absence of a technical default. Periods of economic uncertainty may affect the volatility of market prices of sovereign debt to a greater extent than the volatility inherent in debt obligations of other types of issuers.

In addition, if a sovereign default or other such events described above were to occur, other financial institutions may also suffer losses or experience solvency or other concerns, and Prudential might face additional risks relating to any debt of such financial institutions held in its investment portfolio. There is also risk that public perceptions about the stability and creditworthiness of financial institutions and the financial sector generally might be affected, as might counter party relationships between financial institutions. If a sovereign were to default on its obligations, or adopt policies that devalue or otherwise alter the currencies in which its obligations are denominated this could have a material adverse effect on Prudential s financial condition and results of operations.

Prudential is subject to the risk of exchange rate fluctuations owing to the geographical diversity of its businesses

Due to the geographical diversity of Prudential s businesses, Prudential is subject to the risk of exchange rate fluctuations. Prudential s operations in the US and Asia, which represent a significant proportion of operating profit based on longer-term investment returns and shareholders funds, generally write policies and invest in assets denominated in local currencies. Although this practice limits the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on local operating results, it can lead to significant fluctuations in Prudential s consolidated financial statements upon translation of results into pounds sterling. This exposure is not currently separately managed. The currency exposure relating to the translation of reported earnings could impact on financial reporting ratios such as dividend cover, which is calculated as operating profit after tax on an IFRS basis, divided by the current year interim dividend plus the proposed final dividend. The impact of gains or losses on currency translations is recorded as a component of shareholders funds within other comprehensive income. Consequently, this could impact on Prudential s gearing ratios (defined as debt over debt plus shareholders funds). The Group s surplus capital position for regulatory reporting purposes may also be affected by fluctuations in exchange rates.

Prudential conducts its businesses subject to regulation and associated regulatory risks, including the effects of changes in the laws, regulations, policies and interpretations and any accounting standards in the markets in which it operates

Changes in government policy, legislation (including tax) or regulatory interpretation applying to companies in the financial services and insurance industries in any of the markets in which Prudential operates, which in some

8

circumstances may be applied retrospectively, may adversely affect Prudential s product range, distribution channels, profitability, capital requirements and, consequently, reported results and financing requirements. Also, regulators in jurisdictions in which Prudential operates may change the level of capital required to be held by individual businesses or could introduce possible changes in the regulatory framework for pension arrangements and policies, the regulation of selling practices and solvency requirements. Furthermore, as a result of interventions by governments in response to recent financial and global economic conditions, it is widely expected that there will continue to be a substantial increase in government regulation and supervision of the financial services industry, including the possibility of higher capital requirements, restrictions on certain types of transaction structure and enhanced supervisory powers.

Current EU directives, including the EU Insurance Groups Directive (IGD) require EU financial services groups to demonstrate net aggregate surplus capital in excess of solvency requirements at the group level in respect of shareholder-owned entities. The test is a continuous requirement, so that Prudential needs to maintain a higher amount of regulatory capital at the group level than otherwise necessary in respect of some of its individual businesses to accommodate, for example, short-term movements in global foreign exchange rates, interest rates, deterioration in credit quality and equity markets. The EU is also developing a new prudential regulatory framework for insurance companies, referred to as Solvency II . The approach is based on the concept of three pillars. Pillar 1 consists of the quantitative requirements, for example, the amount of capital an insurer should hold. Pillar 2 sets out requirements for the governance and risk management of insurers, as well as for the effective supervision of insurers. Pillar 3 focuses on disclosure and transparency requirements.

The Solvency II Directive covers valuation, the treatment of insurance groups, the definition of capital and the overall level of capital requirements. A key aspect of Solvency II is that the assessment of risks and capital requirements are intended to be aligned more closely with economic capital methodologies, and may allow Prudential to make use of its internal economic capital models, if approved by the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA). The Solvency II Directive was formally approved by the Economic and Financial Affairs Council in November 2009 although its implementation was delayed pending agreement on a directive known as Omnibus II which amended certain aspects of the Solvency II Directive. Following adoption of the Omnibus II Directive by the Council of the European Union in April 2014, Solvency II is now expected to be implemented as of 1 January 2016, although the European Commission and the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) are continuing to develop the detailed rules that will supplement the high-level rules and principles of the Solvency II and Omnibus II Directives, which are not currently expected to be finalised until mid-2015. Further, the effective application of a number of key measures incorporated in the Omnibus II Directive, including the provisions for third-country equivalence, is expected to be subject to supervisory judgement and approval. As a result there is a risk that the effect of the measures finally adopted could be adverse for Prudential, including potentially a significant increase in the capital required to support its business and that Prudential may be placed at a competitive disadvantage to other European and non-European financial services groups.

Currently there are also a number of other global regulatory developments which could impact the way in which Prudential is supervised in its many jurisdictions. These include the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) in the US, the work of the Financial Stability Board (FSB) on Global Systemically Important Insurers (G-SIIs) and the Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (ComFrame) being developed by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS).

The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States that, among other reforms to financial services entities, products and markets, may subject financial institutions designated as systemically important to heightened prudential and other requirements intended to prevent or mitigate the impact of future disruptions in the US financial system. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on Prudential s businesses is not currently clear, as many of its provisions have a delayed effectiveness and/or require rulemaking or

other actions by various US regulators over the coming years.

In July 2013 the FSB announced the initial list of nine insurance groups that have been designated as G-SIIs. This list included Prudential as well as a number of its competitors. Designation as a G-SII will lead to additional policy measures being applied to the designated group. Based on the policy framework released by the IAIS and subsequent guidance papers these additional policy measures will include enhanced group- wide supervision,

9

effective resolution measures of the group in the event of failure, loss absorption, and higher loss absorption capacity. This enhanced supervision commenced immediately and includes the development by July 2014 of a Systemic Risk Management Plan (SRMP) under supervisory oversight and its implementation thereafter and by the end of 2014, a group Recovery and Resolution Plan (RRP) and Liquidity Risk Management Plan (LRMP). Prudential is monitoring the development and potential impact of, the framework of policy measures and is engaging with the PRA on the implications of the policy measures and Prudential s designation as a G-SII. The G-SII regime also introduces two types of capital requirements; the first, a Basic Capital Requirement (BCR), designed to act as a minimum group capital requirement and the second, a Higher Loss Absorption (HLA) requirement for conducting non-traditional insurance and non-insurance activities. A consultation paper on BCR was released in July 2014. The IAIS currently expects to finalise the BCR and HLA proposals by November 2014 and the end of 2015 respectively. Implementation of the regime is likely to be phased in over a period of years with the BCR expected to be introduced in 2015 on a confidential reporting basis to group-wide supervisors. The HLA requirement will apply from January 2019 to the insurance groups identified as G-SIIs in November 2017.

ComFrame is also being developed by the IAIS to provide common global requirements for the supervision of insurance groups. The framework is designed to outline a set of common global principles and standards for group supervision and may increase the focus of regulators in some jurisdictions. One of the framework s key components is an Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) which would form the group solvency capital standard under ComFrame. In May 2014 the IAIS published a memorandum setting out the approach to the development of the ICS. The three year development phase of ComFrame ended in December 2013 and the IAIS is now undertaking a field testing exercise from 2014 to 2018 to assess the impact of the quantitative and qualitative requirements proposed under ComFrame. ComFrame is expected to be implemented in 2019.

Various jurisdictions in which Prudential operates have created investor compensation schemes that require mandatory contributions from market participants in some instances in the event of a failure of a market participant. As a major participant in the majority of its chosen markets, circumstances could arise where Prudential, along with other companies, may be required to make such contributions.

The Group's accounts are prepared in accordance with current International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) applicable to the insurance industry. The International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) introduced a framework that it described as Phase I, which permitted insurers to continue to use the statutory basis of accounting for insurance assets and liabilities that existed in their jurisdictions prior to January 2005. In July 2010, the IASB published its first Exposure Draft for its Phase II on insurance accounting, which would introduce significant changes to the statutory reporting of insurance entities that prepare accounts according to IFRS. A revised Exposure Draft was issued in June 2013. The IASB is re-deliberating on the Exposure Draft proposals in light of comments by the insurance industry and other respondents. The timing of the final proposals taking effect is uncertain but not expected to be before 2018.

Any changes or modification of IFRS accounting policies may require a change in the future results or a retrospective adjustment of reported results.

The resolution of several issues affecting the financial services industry could have a negative impact on Prudential s reported results or on its relations with current and potential customers

Prudential is, and in the future may be, subject to legal and regulatory actions in the ordinary course of its business, both in the UK and internationally. These actions could involve a review of types of business sold in the past under acceptable market practices at the time, such as the requirement in the UK to provide redress to certain past purchasers of pension and mortgage endowment policies, changes to the tax regime affecting products, and regulatory reviews on products sold and industry practices, including, in the latter case, lines of business it has closed.

Regulators interest may include the approach that product providers use to select third party distributors and to monitor the appropriateness of sales made by them. In some cases, product providers can be held responsible for the deficiencies of third-party distributors.

In the US, there has been significant regulatory attention on the different regulatory standards applied to investment advice delivered to retail customers by different sectors of the industry. This focus includes new

10

regulations in respect of the suitability of sales of certain products such as alternative investments. As a result of publicity relating to widespread perceptions of industry abuses, there have been numerous regulatory inquiries and proposals for legislative and regulatory reforms.

In Asia, regulatory regimes are developing at different speeds, driven by a combination of global factors and local considerations. There is a risk that new requirements are introduced that challenge current practices, or are retrospectively applied to sales made prior to their introduction.

Litigation, disputes and regulatory investigations may adversely affect Prudential s profitability and financial condition

Prudential is, and may be in the future, subject to legal actions, disputes and regulatory investigations in various contexts, including in the ordinary course of its insurance, investment management and other business operations. These legal actions, disputes and investigations may relate to aspects of Prudential s businesses and operations that are specific to Prudential, or that are common to companies that operate in Prudential s markets. Legal actions and disputes may arise under contracts, regulations (including tax) or from a course of conduct taken by Prudential, and may be class actions. Although Prudential believes that it has adequately provided in all material aspects for the costs of litigation and regulatory matters, no assurance can be provided that such provisions are sufficient. Given the large or indeterminate amounts of damages sometimes sought, other sanctions that might be applicable and the inherent unpredictability of litigation and disputes, it is possible that an adverse outcome could, from time to time, have an adverse effect on Prudential s reputation, results of operations or cash flows.

Prudential s businesses are conducted in highly competitive environments with developing demographic trends and continued profitability depends on management s ability to respond to these pressures and trends

The markets for financial services in the UK, US and Asia are highly competitive, with several factors affecting Prudential s ability to sell its products and continued profitability, including price and yields offered, financial strength and ratings, range of product lines and product quality, brand strength and name recognition, investment management performance, historical bonus levels, developing demographic trends and customer appetite for certain savings products. In some of its markets, Prudential faces competitors that are larger, have greater financial resources or a greater market share, offer a broader range of products or have higher bonus rates or claims-paying ratios. Further, heightened competition for talented and skilled employees and agents with local experience, particularly in Asia, may limit Prudential s potential to grow its business as quickly as planned.

In Asia, the Group s principal competitors in the region are international financial companies, including global life insurers such as Allianz, AXA, AIA, and Manulife and multinational asset managers such as J.P. Morgan Asset Management, Schroders, HSBC Global Asset Management and Franklin Templeton. In a number of markets, local companies have a very significant market presence.

Within the UK, Prudential s principal competitors include many of the major retail financial services companies and fund management companies including, in particular, Aviva, Legal & General, Lloyds Banking Group, Standard Life, Schroders, Invesco Perpetual and Fidelity.

Jackson s competitors in the US include major stock and mutual insurance companies, mutual fund organisations, banks and other financial services companies such as AIG, AXA Financial Inc., Hartford Life Inc., Prudential Financial, Lincoln National, MetLife and TIAA-CREF.

Prudential believes competition will intensify across all regions in response to consumer demand, technological advances, the impact of consolidation, regulatory actions and other factors. Prudential s ability to generate an appropriate return depends significantly upon its capacity to anticipate and respond appropriately to these competitive pressures.

11

Downgrades in Prudential s financial strength and credit ratings could significantly impact its competitive position and damage its relationships with creditors or trading counterparties

Prudential s financial strength and credit ratings, which are used by the market to measure its ability to meet policyholder obligations, are an important factor affecting public confidence in Prudential s products, and as a result its competitiveness. Downgrades in Prudential s ratings, as a result of, for example, decreased profitability, increased costs, increased indebtedness or other concerns, could have an adverse effect on its ability to market products; retain current policyholders; and on the Group s financial flexibility. In addition, the interest rates Prudential pays on its borrowings are affected by its credit ratings, which are in place to measure the Group s ability to meet its contractual obligations.

Prudential s long-term senior debt is rated as A2 by Moody s, A+ by Standard & Poor s and A by Fitch. These ratings have a stable outlook.

Prudential s short-term debt is rated as P-1 by Moody s, A-1 by Standard & Poor s and F1 by Fitch.

The Prudential Assurance Company Limited s financial strength is rated Aa2 (negative outlook) by Moody s, AA (stable outlook) by Standard & Poor s and AA (stable outlook) by Fitch.

Jackson s financial strength is rated AA by Standard & Poor s and Fitch, A1 by Moody s, and A+ by AM Best. These ratings have a stable outlook.

Prudential Assurance Co. Singapore (Pte) Ltd s financial strength is rated AA (stable outlook) by Standard & Poor s.

In addition, changes in methodologies and criteria used by rating agencies could result in downgrades that do not reflect changes in the general economic conditions or Prudential s financial condition.

Adverse experience in the operational risks inherent in Prudential s business could have a negative impact on its results of operations

Operational risks are present in all of Prudential s businesses, including the risk of direct or indirect loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal and external processes, systems and human error or from external events. Prudential s business is dependent on processing a large number of transactions across numerous and diverse products, and is subject to a number of different legal and regulatory regimes. Further, because of the long-term nature of much of the Group s business, accurate records have to be maintained for significant periods.

These factors, among others, result in significant reliance on and require significant investment in IT, compliance and other operational systems, personnel and processes. In addition, Prudential outsources several operations, including a significant part of its UK back office and customer-facing functions as well as a number of IT functions, resulting in reliance upon the operational processing performance of its outsourcing partners.

Although Prudential s IT, compliance and other operational systems and processes incorporate controls designed to manage and mitigate the operational risks associated with its activities, there can be no assurance that such controls will always be effective. For example, although Prudential has not experienced a material failure or breach in relation to its legacy and other IT systems and processes to date, it has been, and likely will continue to be, subject to computer viruses, attempts at unauthorised access and cyber-security attacks.

Prudential s legacy and other IT systems and processes, as with operational systems and processes generally, may be susceptible to failure or breaches. Such events could, among other things, harm Prudential s ability to perform necessary business functions, result in the loss of confidential or proprietary data (exposing it to potential legal claims and regulatory sanctions) and damage its relationships with its business partners and customers. Similarly, any weakness in the administration systems or actuarial reserving processes could have an impact on its results of operations during the effective period. Prudential has not experienced or identified any operational risks in its systems or processes during the first half of 2014, which have subsequently caused, or are expected to cause, a significant negative impact on its results of operations.

12

Adverse experience relative to the assumptions used in pricing products and reporting business results could significantly affect Prudential s results of operations

Prudential needs to make assumptions about a number of factors in determining the pricing of its products, setting reserves, for reporting its capital levels and the results of its long-term business operations. For example, the assumption that Prudential makes about future expected levels of mortality is particularly relevant for its UK annuity business. In exchange for a premium equal to the capital value of their accumulated pension fund, pension annuity policyholders receive a guaranteed payment, usually monthly, for as long as they are alive. Prudential conducts rigorous research into longevity risk, using data from its substantial annuitant portfolio. As part of its pension annuity pricing and reserving policy, Prudential s UK business assumes that current rates of mortality continuously improve over time at levels based on adjusted data and models from the Continuous Mortality Investigations (CMI) as published by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries. If mortality improvement rates significantly exceed the improvement assumed, Prudential s results of operations could be adversely affected.

A further example is the assumption that Prudential makes about future expected levels of the rates of early termination of products by its customers (persistency). This is particularly relevant to its lines of business other than its UK annuity business. Prudential s persistency assumptions reflect recent past experience for each relevant line of business. Any expected deterioration in future persistency is also reflected in the assumption. If actual levels of future persistency are significantly lower than assumed (that is, policy termination rates are significantly higher than assumed), the Group s results of operations could be adversely affected.

Another example is the impact of epidemics and other effects that cause a large number of deaths. Significant influenza epidemics have occurred three times in the last century, but the likelihood, timing, or the severity of future epidemics cannot be predicted. The effectiveness of external parties, including governmental and non-governmental organisations, in combating the spread and severity of any epidemics could have a material impact on the Group s loss experience.

In common with other life insurers, the profitability of the Group s businesses depends on a mix of factors including mortality and morbidity levels and trends, policy surrender rates, investment performance and impairments, unit cost of administration and new business acquisition expense.

As a holding company, Prudential is dependent upon its subsidiaries to cover operating expenses and dividend payments

The Group s insurance and investment management operations are generally conducted through direct and indirect subsidiaries.

As a holding company, Prudential s principal sources of funds are remittances from subsidiaries, shareholder-backed funds, the shareholder transfer from long-term funds and any amounts that may be raised through the issuance of equity, debt and commercial paper.

Certain of the subsidiaries are restricted by applicable insurance, foreign exchange and tax laws, rules and regulations that can limit remittances. In some circumstances, this could limit the ability to pay dividends to shareholders or to make available funds held in certain subsidiaries to cover operating expenses of other members of the Group.

Prudential operates in a number of markets through joint ventures and other arrangements with third parties (including in China and India), involving certain risks that Prudential does not face with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries

Prudential operates, and in certain markets is required by local regulation to operate, through joint ventures (including in China and India). For the Group s joint venture operations, management control is exercised jointly with the venture participants. The level of control exercisable by the Group depends on the terms of the joint venture agreements, in particular, the allocation of control among, and continued co-operation between, the joint venture participants. Prudential may face financial, reputational and other exposure (including regulatory censure) in the event that any of its joint venture partners fails to meet its obligations under the joint venture, encounters

financial difficulty, or fails to comply with local regulation or international standards such as those for the prevention of financial crime. In addition, a significant proportion of the Group's product distribution is carried out through arrangements with third parties not controlled by Prudential and is dependent upon continuation of these relationships. A temporary or permanent disruption to these distribution arrangements or material failure in controls (such as those for the prevention of financial crime) could adversely affect the results of operations of Prudential.

Prudential s Articles of Association contain an exclusive jurisdiction provision

Under Prudential s Articles of Association, certain legal proceedings may only be brought in the courts of England and Wales. This applies to legal proceedings by a shareholder (in its capacity as such) against Prudential and/or its directors and/or its professional service providers. It also applies to legal proceedings between Prudential and its directors and/or Prudential and Prudential s professional service providers that arise in connection with legal proceedings between the shareholder and such professional service provider. This provision could make it difficult for US and other non-UK shareholders to enforce their shareholder rights.

Changes in tax legislation may result in adverse tax consequences

Tax rules, including those relating to the insurance industry, and their interpretation, may change, possibly with retrospective effect, in any of the jurisdictions in which Prudential operates. Significant tax disputes with tax authorities, and any change in the tax status of any member of the Group or in taxation legislation or its scope or interpretation could affect Prudential s financial condition and results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This document may contain forward-looking statements with respect to certain of Prudential s plans and its goals and expectations relating to its future financial condition, performance, results, strategy and objectives. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about Prudential s beliefs and expectations and including, without limitation, statements containing the words may, will, should, continue, aims, estimates, projects, believes, intenplans, seeks and anticipates, and words of similar meaning, are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on plans, estimates and projections as at the time they are made, and therefore undue reliance should not be placed on them. By their nature, all forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty. A number of important factors could cause Prudential s actual future financial condition or performance or other indicated results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement. Such factors include, but are not limited to, future market conditions, including fluctuations in interest rates and exchange rates and the potential for a sustained low-interest rate environment, and the performance of financial markets generally; the policies and actions of regulatory authorities, including, for example, new government initiatives related to the financial crisis and the effect of the European Union s Solvency II requirements on Prudential s capital maintenance requirements; the impact of continuing designation as a Global Systemically Important Insurer or G-SII; the impact of competition, economic growth, inflation, and deflation; experience in particular with regard to mortality and morbidity trends, lapse rates and policy renewal rates; the timing, impact and other uncertainties of future acquisitions or combinations within relevant industries; the impact of changes in capital, solvency standards, accounting standards or relevant regulatory frameworks, and tax and other legislation and regulations in the jurisdictions in which Prudential and its affiliates operate; and the impact of legal actions and disputes. These and other important factors may for example result in changes to assumptions used for determining results of operations or re-estimations of reserves for future policy benefits. Further discussion of these and other important factors that could cause Prudential s actual future financial condition or performance or other indicated results to differ, possibly materially, from those anticipated in Prudential s forward-looking statements can be found under the Risk Factors heading in this document.

Any forward-looking statements contained in this document speak only as of the date on which they are made. Prudential may also make or disclose written and/or oral forward-looking statements in reports filed with or furnished to the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and Financial Conduct Authority or other regulatory authorities, as well as in its annual report and accounts to shareholders, proxy statements, offering circulars, registration statements, prospectuses and, prospectus supplements, press releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by directors, officers or employees of Prudential to third parties, including financial analysts. All such forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by

reference to the factors discussed under the Risk Factors heading of this document. These factors are not exhaustive as Prudential operates in a continually changing business environment with new risks emerging from time to time that it may be unable to predict or that it currently does not expect to have a material adverse effect on its business. Prudential expressly disclaims any obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements contained in this document or any other forward-looking statements it may make, whether as a result of future events, new information or otherwise except as required pursuant to the UK Prospectus Rules, the UK Listing Rules, the UK Disclosure and Transparency Rules, the Hong Kong Listing Rules, the SGX-ST listing rules or other applicable laws and regulations.

EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation

In addition to IFRS basis results, Prudential s filings with the UK Listing Authority, the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong, the Singapore Stock Exchange and Group Annual Reports include reporting by Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). These include results prepared in accordance with the European Embedded Value (EEV) Principles and Guidance issued by the Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Forum of European Insurance Companies, New Business measures and Free Surplus Generation.

The EEV basis is a value based method of reporting in that it reflects the change in the value of in-force long-term business over the accounting period. This value is called the shareholders—funds on the EEV basis which, at a given point in time, is the value of future cash flows expected to arise from the current book of long-term insurance business plus the net worth (based on statutory solvency capital, or economic capital where higher, and free surplus) of Prudential s life insurance operations. Prudential publishes its EEV results semi-annually in the UK, Hong Kong and Singapore markets.

New Business results are published quarterly and are provided as an indicative volume measure of transactions undertaken in the reporting period that have the potential to generate profits for shareholders. New business results are categorised as single premiums and annual regular premiums. New business results are also published by annual premium equivalents (APE) which are calculated as the aggregate of regular new business amounts and one-tenth of single new business amounts. The amounts are not, and are not intended to be, reflective of premium income recorded in the IFRS income statement. EEV basis new business profits are also published quarterly.

Underlying free surplus generation is used to measure the internal cash generation by our business units. For the insurance operations it represents amounts maturing from the in-force business during the period less investment in new business and excludes other non-operating items. For asset management it equates to post-tax IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns for the period.

15

Operating and Financial Review

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with Prudential sunaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and the related notes for the period ended 30 June 2014 included in this document. The critical accounting policies which have been applied to these statements are discussed in the section below entitled IFRS Critical Accounting Policies .

The results discussed below are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future periods. This discussion contains forward-looking statements based on current expectations, which involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of certain events may differ significantly from those projected in these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors (including those discussed in the Risk Factors section of this document. See also the discussion under the heading Forward-looking statements above.

Introduction and Overview

In the first half of 2014, Prudential continued to provide a broad range of financial products and services, primarily to the retail market. Prudential s principal operations continue to be in Asia, the United States and the United Kingdom. The accounting policies applied by Prudential in determining the IFRS basis results reflected in Prudential s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2014 are the same as those previously adopted in Prudential s consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2013, except for the adoption of the new and amended accounting pronouncements as described in note A2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Overview

The Group has delivered strong, broad-based performance in the first half of 2014.

Our clear and consistent strategy and our focus on execution have allowed us to leverage effectively our chosen portfolio of businesses to produce good returns for our shareholders while delivering valuable products and services to our customers.

Currency volatility

For the purpose of reporting our performance in sterling terms, we adopt the normal convention of translating the results of our overseas businesses using average exchange rates for the period. However, the currency translation effect is so pronounced for some parts of the business that it masks the underlying operational trends, rendering it difficult to meaningfully assess performance. In that context, it is important to note that the actual flows that we collect from our customers in Asia and the US are received in local currency. We believe that in periods of currency volatility, the most appropriate way to assess the actual performance of our businesses is to look at what they have achieved on a local currency basis, in other words in terms of the actual flows they have collected rather than the translation of those flows into sterling.

The market reaction to the combination of the expected rise in interest rates in Western economies, concerns about economic growth in China and in other Asian economies and political uncertainty in Thailand and Indonesia have led to significant currency volatility during the first half of 2014 and to currency depreciation in some of our key Asian markets. There has also been more recently a significant strengthening of sterling driven by expectations that a stronger recovery of the UK economy would lead to an earlier shift in UK monetary policy. All these factors have impacted our results negatively when reported in sterling using actual exchange rates.

The table below presents a summary of the Group's profit before tax on an IFRS basis. For memorandum disclosure purposes, the table presents the half year 2013 results on both an actual exchange rate and constant exchange rate basis so as to eliminate the impact of exchange translation. Actual Exchange Rates (AER) are actual historical exchange rates for the specific accounting period, being the average rates over the period for the income statement and the closing rates for the balance sheet at the balance sheet date. Constant Exchange Rates (CER) results are calculated by translating prior period results using the current period foreign exchange rate ie current period average rates for the income statement and current period closing rates for the balance sheet.

Profit before tax attributable to shareholders IFRS

	Actual Exchange Rate		Constant Exchange Rate Change		
	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year	Change %	2013 £m Half year	%
Operating profit before tax					
Long-term business:					
Asia	483	474	2	406	19
US	686	582	18	538	28
UK	374	341	10	341	10
Long-term business operating profit before					
tax	1,543	1,397	10	1,285	20
UK general insurance commission	12	15	(20)	15	(20)
Asset management business:					
M&G (including Prudential Capital)	249	225	11	225	11
Eastspring Investments	42	38	11	34	24
US	(5)	34	(115)	31	(116)
Other income and expenditure	(320)	(294)	(9)	(294)	(9)
Total operating profit based on					
longer-term investment returns before					
tax	1,521	1,415	7	1,296	17
Non-operating items	(97)	(909)	n/a	(844)	n/a
Profit before tax attributable to					
shareholders	1,424	506	181	452	215

In the remainder of this Introduction and Overview , every time we comment on the performance of our businesses, we focus on their performance measured in local currency (presented here by reference to percentage growth expressed at constant exchange rates) unless otherwise stated.

Group performance

The Group has delivered double-digit growth across our three key metrics of IFRS operating profit, new business profit and cash with all four of our business units delivering a strong performance.

Our Group IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns increased by 17 per cent during the year to £1,521 million (2013: £1,296 million).

Asia life operating profit based on longer-term investment returns was up 19 per cent underpinned by our performance in our seven—sweet spot—marketswhich combined grew IFRS operating profit at a rate of 20 per cent. Our focus on proactively managing our diverse business portfolio has helped us offset the short-term headwinds experienced in a few of our markets.

US life operating profit based on longer-term investment returns increased 28 per cent to £686 million (2013: £538 million). We have achieved higher levels of fee income, generated by variable annuity products written at attractive margins combined with favourable market movements which increased the value of separate account assets.

UK life operating profit based on longer-term investment returns grew by 10 per cent to £374 million (2013: £341 million) benefitting from higher levels of bulk annuity transactions.

M&G delivered operating profit based on longer-term investment returns of £249 million², an increase of 11 per cent, reflecting continued strong third-party net inflows combined with favourable market movements in the period, which together have increased M&G s external funds under management by £14.7 billion to a record £132.8 billion (2013: increase of £6.3 billion to £118.1 billion).

¹ Sweet spot markets include Indonesia, Singapore, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Philippines, Vietnam and Thailand.

17

² Including Prudential Capital.

Net cash remittances from our businesses to the Group increased by 15 per cent to £974 million (2013: £844 million on an actual exchange rate basis) driven by strong organic cash generation and supported by robust local capital positions. Cash remittances from Asia grew by 14 per cent to £216 million, the US was up 20 per cent to £352 million, the UK was up 9 per cent to £246 million while M&G (including Prudential Capital) delivered an increase of 19 per cent to £160 million.

Our balance sheet continues to be defensively positioned and at the end of the period our IGD surplus³ was estimated at £4.1 billion, equating to coverage of 2.3 times.

2017 Objectives

The objectives discussed below assume exchange rates at December 2013 and economic assumptions made by Prudential in calculating the EEV basis supplementary information for the half year ended 30 June 2013, and are based on regulatory and solvency regimes applicable across the Group at the time the objectives were set. The objectives assume that the existing EEV, IFRS and free surplus methodology at December 2013 will be applicable over the period.

We announced new objectives for 2017 at our investor conference in December 2013 in London. These objectives are:

- (i) Asia Underlying Free Surplus Generation⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ of £0.9 billion to £1.1 billion in 2017 (2012: £484 million)
- (ii) Asia life and asset management pre-tax IFRS operating profit to grow at a compound annual rate of at least 15 per cent over the period 2012 2017 to reach at least £1,858 million in 2017 (2012: £924 million)
- (iii) Group Underlying Free Surplus Generation⁽⁵⁾ of at least £10 billion cumulatively over the four-year period from 2014 to end- 2017.

We are making progress towards our 2017 objectives.

³ Insurance Groups Directive surplus (the Group s regulatory capital measure), before allowing for interim dividend.

⁴ Underlying free surplus generated comprises underlying free surplus generated from long-term business (net of investment in new business) and that generated from asset management operations. The 2012 comparative is based on the retrospective application of new and amended accounting standards and excludes the one-off gain of £51 million from the sale of the Group sholding in China Life Insurance Company of Taiwan.

⁵ Underlying free surplus generation is defined in the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation .

⁶ Asia 2012 IFRS operating profit of £924 million is based on the retrospective application of new and amended accounting standards, and excludes the one-off gain of £51 million from the sale of the Group s holding in China Life Insurance Company of Taiwan.

Our operating performance by business unit

Asia

In the first half of 2014, Asia delivered IFRS operating profit of £525 million, up 19 per cent on the same period in 2013 (3 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), reflecting continued growth in the scale of our in-force life and asset management businesses and highlighting the successful execution of our strategy. Net cash remittances for the half year were £216 million, 14 per cent higher period-on-period.

Our strategic priority continues to be to meet the savings and financial protection needs of Asia s rapidly growing middle classes with shareholder friendly products that deliver demonstrable value to customers and are distributed through high-quality tied agents and carefully selected bank partners. The regulatory environment remains supportive as governments look to the private sector to provide efficient and consumer friendly ways for citizens to access enhanced social welfare options as well as to channel household savings into longer term investments in the economy. Our product portfolio in the region is tailored to customers needs in each of our markets and consistently delivers a high proportion of regular premiums and a significant amount of premium directed towards health and protection coverage.

During the first half of this year, some of our markets experienced headwinds as a result of political and economic events such as the uncertainty over the outcome of Presidential elections in Indonesia or the military takeover in Thailand. These shorter-term cyclical pressures do not detract from the long-term structural trend of growing demand for our products and services from the rapidly growing and underinsured middle classes. These supportive trends underpin the compelling prospects for profitable growth for our business over the long term. These trends are reflected in our first half performance. Single premiums, which are sentiment-led and are impacted by cyclical events fell slightly while regular premiums, which are the preferred mode for consumers to save and protect themselves, were resilient, growing during the period.

Distribution is key to success in Asia. Over the first half of 2014 we have continued to grow in both the agency and bancassurance channels. The growing scale and increasing productivity of our agency platform is complemented by an extensive range of bank distribution partners across the region. The first half of 2014 included the first anniversary of our successful partnership in Thailand with Thanachart Bank. We also announced in the first quarter that we have further extended and expanded our long-established and market-leading partnership with Standard Chartered Bank for 15 years to 2029. The renewal of this relationship is in line with our strategy, with Standard Chartered Bank strategically positioned in the fast-growing markets of South-east Asia our sweet spot markets. This gives us access to Standard Chartered Bank s existing 800 branches and 13 million customers and represents a significant growth opportunity over this period. June 2014 saw a record month for new business production from Standard Chartered Bank, continuing a strong history of delivery since 1998 that is based on the demonstrable success of working closely together under a strategic partnership framework.

Our strategic focus on the seven sweet spot markets of South-east Asia (including Hong Kong), where the structural growth opportunities are the most attractive, continue to explain our performance in Asia. Collectively, these markets produced 20 per cent growth in IFRS operating profit, reflecting the disciplined execution of our strategy.

In **Hong Kong**, sales growth was strong, mainly as a result of increases in active agency manpower and in productivity, demonstrating the on-going success of our health and protection and our participating products in Hong Kong. In addition the domestication of the Hong Kong branch of the Prudential Assurance Company, was effective 1 January 2014, and established an independent Hong Kong Life Fund.

In **Singapore**, we continue to lead the market with our popular regular premium and PRUshield products and increases in agency productivity have supported an increase in sales. The positive impact of higher sales volumes was partially offset by change in product mix in the period.

Indonesia had a challenging first half with exceptional flooding disrupting sales in the first quarter, followed by uncertainty over the outcome of the Presidential elections depressing the overall market in the second quarter. Given these external factors we are pleased to have held sales at the prior period s level. Agency recruitment has remained strong throughout this period with the number of new agents increasing over the prior year and we remain very well placed for when the market normalises. Growth in IFRS operating profit of 32 per cent reflects the continued strong contribution from our in-force portfolio of recurring premium income.

In **Malaysia**, our decision to refocus our agency business on health and protection and to grow distribution by Bumiputra agents (Bumi), has delivered an encouraging increase in agency activity. However, as average case sizes are smaller in the Bumi channel and as we have deliberately de-emphasised some top-up products, this has led to a moderate increase in total sales.

Following last year s acquisition of Thanachart Life in **Thailand** and the successful execution of our exclusive bancassurance agreement with Thanachart Bank, sales from this market have increased over the first half of last year. This also results from strong progress in our original business, where sales were also up in the first half of the year. We have not seen any major impact on our operations from the recent political events to date and although we remain vigilant, we continue to be very positive about the longer-term prospects of our business in Thailand.

The transformation of our agency business in the **Philippines** is making excellent progress, following a significant increase in agent activity and an increased focus on the regular premium business, in which sales grew at a brisk pace. Significantly lower levels of single premium and lower bancassurance sales, however, have driven a decline in total sales.

Vietnam had a solid first half, with increased sales driven by increases in agency activity.

Our joint venture with CITIC in **China** continues to perform well with sales growing reflecting progress in both the agency and bank channels. We now have offices in all the major economic centres in China. In **India** our joint venture with ICICI Bank remains the leader in the private sector, but the market slowed in the first half ahead of the recent elections. There is much optimism about the Indian economy and we remain in an excellent position to benefit from any positive developments. The recently announced budget proposed an increase in the foreign shareholding cap from 26 per cent to 49 per cent, however the exact shape of the proposals and whether they are likely to receive parliamentary approval are still to be clarified.

In **Taiwan** and **Korea**, we remain selective in our participation and as a result we are content to tolerate fluctuations in new business volumes.

We are also setting foundations for future growth in new markets. We have successfully launched in **Cambodia** with a market-leading life insurance business, we have opened a representative office in **Myanmar** and are in the preliminary stages of entering **Laos**.

US

In the first half of 2014, Jackson delivered life IFRS operating profit of £686 million, up 28 per cent at constant exchange rates (18 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) from the same period in 2013. This increase was primarily driven by increased fee income from higher separate account assets. Cash remitted to Group increased 20 per cent to a record level £352 million compared to £294 million (at actual exchange rates) in 2013. Jackson continues to focus on the delivery of IFRS operating earnings and cash, while maintaining its disciplined approach to new business and management of the in-force book, and at the same time continuing to improve its capital position.

Jackson achieved higher total retail sales compared to the first half of 2013. These sales were achieved while continuing to write new business at aggregate internal rates of return well in excess of 20 per cent and with a payback period of two years. Including institutional sales, total sales were also higher than the first half of 2013.

Sales from **variable annuity** increased in the first half of 2014. Sales of Elite Access, our variable annuity without living benefits were significantly above prior first half year levels and exceeded the growth rate of sales of variable

annuities excluding Elite Access, which were also higher than prior year. Overall, the US economy continues to see signs of improvement with further declines in unemployment rates, signs of recovery in the crucially important housing market and stronger GDP growth, with a second quarter at 4 per cent annualised. During the first half of 2014, the S&P 500 Index rose 6 per cent and the 10-year Treasury rate remained significantly above the 2012 low levels. Overall, the US competitive landscape has been more stable than in recent periods, as most annuity writers appear to have committed to a particular course of action for the near term. That said, variable annuity providers continue to modify their product offerings through reductions in fund availability and increased fees. In addition, an

increasing number of investment-only variable annuity products, i.e. variable annuities without living benefits, have been launched.

The economics of our variable annuity business continue to be very attractive and with the success of Elite Access, we continue to improve the diversification of our product mix. In line with our proactive cycle management approach, Jackson continues to actively manage the sales volumes of variable annuities with living benefits to maintain an appropriate balance of our revenue streams and to match the Group s annual risk appetite. At the end of the period, Jackson s statutory separate account assets were £71.5 billion, up 34 per cent (19 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) compared to £53.3 billion (at constant exchange rates) for the same period in 2013, as a result of both positive net flows and the significant growth in the underlying market value of the separate account assets over the past 12 months.

Fixed annuity sales remained relatively flat compared to 2013, while **fixed index annuity** sales decreased sharply primarily as a result of product changes implemented in late 2013 to increase returns to shareholder capital.

IFRS operating profit from non-life operations in the US decreased to a loss of £5 million (2013: profit of £31 million), due to a Curian year-to-date loss of £23 million after a £33 million provision related primarily to the potential refund of certain fees by Curian.

Jackson s strategy remains unchanged. We continue to price new business on a conservative basis, targeting value over volume. Our hedging remains focused on optimising the economics of our exposures over time while maintaining a strong balance sheet. Since 1 January 2008, Jackson has remitted close to US\$2.5 billion of cash to the Group. We believe Jackson s approach has translated into value for the customers and into profits and cash for shareholders, the ultimate metrics of our successful strategy.

UK, Europe and Africa

In the first half of 2014, Prudential UK delivered life IFRS operating profit of £374 million, up 10 per cent period-on-period. Cash remitted to the Group increased to £246 million, compared to £226 million in the first half of 2013.

The UK market continues to be heavily influenced by an unprecedented level of regulatory and legislative change. In March 2014, the UK government announced significant changes to pensions regulation which will effectively remove the requirement to purchase a pension annuity from April 2015. There has since been considerable disruption to industry sales of individual annuities as the government, pension providers, advisers and consumers work through the implications of these changes. In the transitional period created by the Budget, there has been, understandably, an increase in the number of customers who have deferred converting their pension savings into retirement income. This is reflected in the significant decline in our first half sales of **individual annuities**, which were also impacted by the overall slowdown in the market that started to emerge through 2013. Our experience in retirement income products and investment expertise means that we believe we are well positioned to help customers through this period of change and provide solutions that meet their retirement needs.

Total sales increased including four new **bulk annuity** deals in the first half of 2014 (2013: nil). Through our longstanding presence in this segment of the life and pensions market, we have developed considerable longevity experience, operational scale and a solid investment track record, which together represent expertise and capabilities that are increasingly in demand. Our approach to bulk transactions in the UK will continue to be one of selective participation, looking for situations where we can both bring significant value to our customers and meet our demanding shareholder return hurdles.

Within our **retail** business, strong momentum in sales of onshore and offshore bonds was offset by a reduction in individual annuities and corporate pensions sales.

The strength of our investment proposition is reflected in the growth in sales of our onshore bonds. **Onshore bonds** sales increased, including with-profits bonds, up over the first half of 2013. In particular, demand for our non-guaranteed with-profits bond remains strong, attracting customers who are prepared to accept some investment risk but still want to benefit from the smoothing offered by a with-profits product with a strong track

21

record of investment growth. We expect this to be a feature of the market going forward, with significant demand for products with managed volatility.

Sales from **other retail products**, principally individual pensions, income drawdown, PruProtect, PruHealth and offshore bonds, increased. Offshore bond sales and income drawdown sales both grew significantly, both driven by our with-profits product offering. The growth in income drawdown reflects the improving investment environment and increased customer demand, which was accelerated by the UK Budget. The Budget has the potential to open up opportunities to serve our customers further and our programme of product development remains on track to bring new products to market in 2015.

Corporate pensions sales were lower, mainly due to a fall in with-profits sales following changes to government sector pension schemes. We remain the largest provider of Additional Voluntary Contribution plans within the public sector, where we provide schemes for 72 of the 99 public sector authorities in the UK (first half of 2013: 68 of the 99).

Prudential s continuing focus on the delivery of excellent customer service was recognised at the 2014 FTAdviser Online Service Awards, where we received an outstanding achievement award and two 5-star ratings in the life and pensions and investment categories.

On 27 March 2014, we completed the acquisition of Express Life in Ghana, marking the Group s entry into the nascent African life insurance industry. The business has now been re-branded to Prudential Ghana and is making good progress in growing its agency force and new business volumes. In addition, the renewal of our bancassurance partnership with Standard Chartered Bank includes an agreement to explore opportunities to collaborate in Africa, subject to existing exclusivity arrangements and regulatory restrictions.

We are positive about the long-term opportunities in Africa, where we see many of the favourable structural characteristics of our preferred Asian markets, although most sub-Saharan life insurance markets are in the very early stages of development and therefore are not likely to be material for many years.

Asset Management

Our European asset management business, **M&G**, has delivered a strong performance in the first half, with IFRS operating profit growing by 11 per cent to £227 million as a result of higher levels of funds under management. M&G remitted cash of £135 million to Group, up 24 per cent on 2013.

Net **retail** fund inflows totalled £3.8 billion during the first six months of 2014. Continental Europe made the largest contribution with net flows of £4.2 billion (2013: £5.6 billion). Retail funds under management from Continental Europe have increased by 32 per cent to £27.9 billion over the past 12 months and now represent 39 per cent of total retail funds under management, up from 34 per cent a year ago. Total retail funds under management now stand at £71.9 billion, up 15 per cent compared to 30 June 2013.

Following a relative slowdown in recent periods, M&G s UK sales are showing signs of stabilisation, with net outflows of £516 million in the first six months, an improvement on net outflows of £1.2 billion in the same period in 2013.

M&G s **institutional** business produced first-half net inflows of £427 million. The business again experienced a series of expected withdrawals from a single large but low-margin mandate which was originally received during 2012 and whose value at 30 June 2014 was £5.9 billion. Excluding the redemptions from this single mandate, the business has experienced a healthy positive run rate of underlying net sales. Overall, institutional funds under management have

increased to £60.8 billion, up 10 per cent compared to 30 June 2013.

Consistently good investment performance, coupled with an established reputation for innovation, has led to a strong pipeline of new business for the institutional team. In particular, M&G has used its investment expertise to develop a number of products that allow institutional investors to take advantage of the gap in the lending market created by the decline in long-term commercial bank loans. These opportunities include lending to medium-sized companies, housing association-registered providers, commercial real estate borrowers and infrastructure projects.

Strong net inflows, combined with the positive impact of a 9 per cent increase in equity market levels and 8 per cent rise in bond markets, pushed total external client assets to a new record level of £132.8 billion, 12 per cent

22

higher than a year ago. Total funds under management as at 30 June 2013 stood at £253.7 billion (2013: £234.3 billion), with third party assets accounting for 52 per cent of the total.

Underlying profit⁷ increased by 10 per cent to £214 million and our operating margins improved, as M&G continues to execute against its strategy and deliver strong performance for both clients and shareholders.

The beneficial impact on revenues of higher levels of funds under management has helped to absorb a larger cost base, reflecting continued investment in headcount and operational infrastructure, and resulting in a cost / income ratio of 54 per cent that is unchanged from the first half of 2013.

Looking ahead, M&G will continue to seek diversification by both asset class and geography, while remaining focused on delivering excellent investment performance and service to its clients.

Eastspring Investments, our Asia asset management business, saw net third-party inflows of £2.5 billion, 39 per cent higher than last year, with success in securing new equity flows, particularly from institutional clients, mitigating lower net inflows in fixed income. Total funds under management as at 30 June 2014 were £67 billion, up 22 per cent on the prior half year as a result of net inflows and positive market movements. IFRS operating profit increased 24 per cent to £42 million, driven by the positive impact on revenue from higher levels of average assets under management.

Capital and risk management

We continue to take a disciplined approach to capital management and have implemented a number of measures over the last few years to enable us to make our capital work more efficiently and more effectively for the Group. Using the regulatory measures of the Insurance Groups Directive (IGD), our Group capital surplus position at 30 June 2014 was estimated at £4.1 billion (2013: £3.9 billion), before allowing for the interim dividend, equating to coverage of 2.3 times.

In July 2013, Prudential plc was listed by the Financial Stability Board as one of nine companies to be designated as a Global Systemically Important Insurer (G-SII). Since then, in July 2014 the International Association of Insurance Supervisors has released a consultation paper on the Basic Capital Requirement (BCR), one of the two types of capital requirement proposed under the G-SII framework. Prudential is monitoring the development and potential impact of the framework of policy measures and engaging closely with the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) on the implication of this designation.

Solvency II is scheduled to come into effect on 1 January 2016 and our preparations are well advanced. We continue to work with HM Treasury, the Association of British Insurers, the PRA, trade associations and peers across Europe, to ensure that the practical details of Solvency II, including the final implementing measures, are both workable and effective.

Dividend

Due to the strong and sustained operational and financial performance of the Group, evidenced by the achievement of all our demanding 2013 Growth and Cash Objectives, the Board decided to rebase the 2013 full year dividend upwards to 33.57 pence per share, representing an increase of 15 per cent over 2012. As in previous years the interim dividend for 2014 has been calculated formulaically as one third of the prior year s full year dividend. The Board has approved a 2014 interim dividend of 11.19 pence per share, which equates to an increase of 15 per cent over the 2013 interim dividend.

The Board applies strict affordability tests against a broad range of criteria before making its dividend recommendation. It is the result of these tests, combined with the Group s exceptionally strong performance in the past five years, that enabled the Board to take the unusual decision to recommend the rebase of the dividend in consecutive years, 2012 and 2013.

It is worth emphasising here again that although the Board has been able to recommend three upward rebases in 2010, 2012 and 2013, the Group s dividend policy remains unchanged. The Board will maintain its focus on

⁷ Excluding performance fees, carried interest and share of profits from associate entity, PPM South Africa.

23

delivering a growing dividend, which will continue to be determined after taking into account the Group s financial flexibility and our assessment of opportunities to generate attractive returns by investing in specific areas of the business. The Board believes that in the medium term a dividend cover of around two times is appropriate.

Outlook

Our business has continued to deliver both Growth and Cash in the first half of 2014. We are making progress towards the 2017 objectives announced in December 2013.

Our clear and unchanged strategy focused on cash-generative growth from our attractive and increasingly diverse geographic, product and market segments combined with our disciplined execution underpins our broad-based underlying financial performance.

There is increasing evidence that economic growth is set to accelerate in the US and the UK with emerging Asia economies forecast to continue to grow at relatively higher rates than developed Western economies. While this improving macroeconomic picture is beneficial to our businesses, there remains shorter-term uncertainty around the pace and timing of eventual interest rate increases in the US and the UK. This has mainly manifested in a strengthening of sterling. Investment markets are discounting an orderly transition to a less accommodative world. In Europe, the economic environment continues to pose significant challenges but we have little exposure to this region.

Asia remains core to the long-term growth and profitability prospects for the Group. A rapidly growing and prosperous middle class that is mostly under-insured, with very low state insurance coverage, provide a strong structural underpinning for long-term sustainable and profitable growth. In the shorter term, some Asian economies are facing cyclical headwinds from currency depreciation, political events and the effects of proactive financial tightening undertaken over the last year. Against this backdrop, we continue to actively manage our diverse portfolio of businesses across the region to secure strong returns to both our customers and our shareholders. We are also investing in further expanding our leading business platform in the region as evidenced by the renewal of our long-established and successful bancassurance relationship with Standard Chartered and our successful partnerships with United Overseas Bank and Thanachart Bank. Our leadership position across our—sweet spdtmarkets, growing scale and effective distribution of our attractive product propositions across both the agency and bank channels position us well to profitably capture the long-term structural growth opportunity.

In the US, we remain focused on generating both earnings and cash. In the UK, we are leveraging our brands and existing product expertise to meet our customers changing needs in the new regulatory landscape while delivering stable returns.

We remain confident in our ability to produce profitable growth over the long term and continue to create value for our customers and shareholders.

IFRS Critical Accounting Policies

Prudential s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon Prudential s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB and as endorsed by the EU. EU-endorsed IFRS may differ from IFRS as issued by the IASB if, at any point in time, new or amended IFRSs have not been endorsed by the EU. As at 30 June 2014, there were no unendorsed standards effective for the period ended 30 June 2014 affecting the consolidated financial information of Prudential and there were no differences between IFRSs endorsed by the EU and IFRSs issued by the IASB in terms of their application to Prudential. Accordingly, Prudential s financial information for the period ended 30 June 2014 is prepared in

accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB. Prudential adopts mandatory requirements of new or altered EU-adopted IFRS standards when required, and may consider earlier adoption where permitted and appropriate in the circumstances.

The preparation of these financial statements requires Prudential to make estimates and judgements that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, Prudential evaluates its estimates, including those related to long-term business provisioning and the fair value of assets.

24

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgements and uncertainties, and potentially give rise to different results under different assumptions and conditions.

Prudential s critical accounting policies and the critical aspects of its estimates and judgements in determining the measurement of the Group's assets and liabilities are further discussed in Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects IFRS Critical Accounting Policies of the Group's 2013 annual report on Form 20-F. In preparing the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements included elsewhere in this document, the significant judgements made by management in applying the Group's accounting policies and the key sources of estimation uncertainty were for the same items as those described therein, which are:

Classification of insurance and investment contracts,

Measurement of policyholder liabilities,

Measurement of deferred acquisition costs,

Determination of fair value of financial investments, and

Determining impairment related to financial assets.

Table of Contents 46

25

Summary Consolidated Results and Basis of Preparation of Analysis

The following table shows Prudential s consolidated total profit for the periods indicated.

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Total revenue, net of reinsurance	30,627	22,391
Total charges, net of reinsurance	(29,066)	(21,745)
Share of profits from joint ventures and associates, net of related tax	147	74
Profit before tax (being tax attributable to shareholders and policyholders		
returns)*	1,708	720
Less tax charge attributable to policyholders returns	(284)	(214)
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	1,424	506
Total tax charge attributable to policyholders and shareholders	(563)	(355)
Adjustment to remove tax charge attributable to policyholders returns	284	214
Tax charge attributable to shareholders returns	(279)	(141)
Profit for the period	1,145	365

^{*} This measure is the formal profit before tax measure under IFRS but it is not the result attributable to shareholders. This is principally because the corporate taxes of the Group include those on the income of consolidated with-profits and unit-linked funds that, through adjustments to benefits, are borne by policyholders. These amounts are required to be included in the tax charge of the Company under IAS 12. Consequently, the profit before all taxes measure (which is determined after deducting the cost of policyholder benefits and movements in the liability for unallocated surplus of the PAC with-profits fund after adjusting for taxes borne by policyholders) is not representative of pre-tax profits attributable to shareholders.

Under IFRS, the pre-tax GAAP measure of profits is profit before policyholder and shareholder taxes. This measure is not relevant for reflecting pre-tax results attributable to shareholders for two reasons. Firstly, this profit measure represents the aggregate of pre-tax results attributable to shareholders and a pre-tax amount attributable to policyholders. Secondly, the amount is determined after charging the transfer to the liability for unallocated surplus, which in turn is determined in part by policyholder taxes borne by the ring-fenced with-profits funds. It is noted that this circular feature is specific to with-profits funds in the UK, and other similarly structured overseas funds, and should be distinguished from other products, which are referred to as with-profits and the general accounting treatment of premium or other policy taxes.

Accordingly, Prudential has chosen to explain its unaudited condensed consolidated interim results by reference to profits for the period, reflecting profit after tax. In explaining movements in profit for the period, reference is made to trends in profit before shareholder tax and the shareholder tax charge. The explanations of movement in profit before shareholder tax are shown below by reference to the profit analysis applied for segmental disclosure as shown in note B1 to Prudential s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements. This basis is used by management and reported externally to Prudential s UK, Hong Kong and Singapore shareholders and to the UK, Hong Kong and Singapore financial markets. Separately, in this section, analysis of movements in profits before shareholder tax is provided by nature of revenue and charges.

Explanation of Movements in Profits After Tax and Profits Before Shareholder

Tax by Reference to the Basis Applied for Segmental Disclosure

a) Group overview

Profit for half year 2014 after tax was £1,145 million compared to a profit of £365 million in half year 2013. The increase primarily reflects the movement in results before tax attributable to shareholders, which increased from a profit of £506 million in half year 2013 to a profit of £1,424 million in half year 2014, partially offset by an increase in the tax charge attributable to shareholders returns from £141 million in half year 2013 to £279 million in half year 2014.

The increase in the total profit before tax attributable to shareholders from £506 million in half year 2013 to £1,424 million in half year 2014 reflects an improvement in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns from £1,415 million in half year 2013 to £1,521 million in half year 2014 and a favourable change in non-operating items of £812 million from negative £909 million to negative £97 million. The increase of £106 million or

26

7 per cent in operating profit based on longer-term investments includes a negative exchange translation impact of £119 million. Following the major depreciation in currencies against the sterling in the second half of 2013, the first half of 2014 has seen further volatility in the world s currency markets. Excluding the currency volatility, on a constant exchange rate basis, the Group operating profit based on longer term investment returns increased by £225 million or 17 per cent to £1,521 million, driven by profitable business growth in Asia, the US and M&G and the beneficial impact of four bulk annuity transactions in the UK life business.

The improvement in non-operating items of £812 million is primarily due to the favourable change in short-term fluctuations in investment returns from negative £755 million in half year 2013 to negative £45 million in half year 2014 and no loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business in half year 2014 compared to a loss of £124 million at half year 2013. This improvement of £812 million in non-operating items includes a positive exchange translation impact of £65 million.

The half year 2014 effective rate of tax on the total IFRS profit was 20 per cent (half year 2013: 28 per cent) reflecting the reduction in corporation tax rates in the UK and certain Asian jurisdictions as well as the fact that the 2013 effective tax rate was higher than normal due to no tax relief being available on the loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business (half year 2013: £124 million).

b) Summary by business segment and geographical region

Prudential s operating segments as determined under IFRS 8 are insurance operations split by geographic regions in which it conducts business, which are Asia, the US and the UK, and asset management operations split into M&G, which is Prudential s UK and European asset management business, Eastspring Investments, which is the Asia asset management business and the US broker-dealer and asset management business (including Curian).

The following table shows Prudential s IFRS consolidated total profit (loss) after tax for the periods indicated presented by summary business segment and geographic region. The accounting policies applied to the segments below are the same as those used in Prudential s consolidated accounts.

				4 £m	
	Half year Unallocated				
	Asia	US	UK	corporate**	Total
Insurance operations	514	313	375	-	1,202
Asset management*	36	(5)	204	-	235
Total profit attributable to the segments	550	308	579	-	1,437
Unallocated corporate	-	-	-	(292)	(292)
Total profit (loss) for the period	550	308	579	(292)	1,145

Half year				
			Unallocated	
Asia	US	UK	corporate**	Total
152	87	149	-	388
32	21	168	-	221
	152	152 87	Asia US UK 152 87 149	Half year Unallocated Asia US UK corporate** 152 87 149 -

2013 fm

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

Total profit attributable to the segments	184	108	317	-	609
Unallocated corporate	-	-	-	(244)	(244)
Total profit (loss) for the period	184	108	317	(244)	365

^{*} For the US, including the broker dealer business and Curian.

^{**} Representing central operations.

Profit from insurance operations

Total profit from insurance operations in half year 2014 was £1,202 million compared to a profit of £388 million in half year 2013. All of the profits from insurance operations in the half years 2014 and 2013 were from continuing operations. The movement in profits for insurance operations can be summarised as follows:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Profit before shareholder tax	1,489	533
Shareholder tax	(287)	(145)
Profit after tax	1,202	388

The increase of £956 million in profit before tax attributable to shareholders in half year 2014 compared to half year 2013 primarily compares an increase of £143 million in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns of the insurance operations to £1,555 million, combined with a positive change of £813 million in the non-operating items to negative £66 million. The increase of £143 million in operating profit based on longer-term investments includes a negative exchange translation impact of £112 million. The increase in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns reflects a growth in the scale of our life insurance operations, driven primarily by positive business inflows. In half year 2014, these results were delivered despite challenging macroeconomic concerns in Southeast Asia and significant disruption to the UK life market.

The improvement in non-operating items is predominantly due to the favourable change in short-term fluctuations in investment returns from negative £725 million in half year 2013 to negative £14 million in half year 2014 and no loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business (half year 2013: loss of £124 million). This was offset by an adverse change of £22 million in other non-operating items. This improvement of £813 million in non-operating items includes a positive currency impact of £65 million.

The effective shareholder tax rate on profits from insurance operations decreased from 27 per cent in half year 2013 to 19 per cent in half year 2014. The movement was principally due to a non-deductible loss of £124 million on the held for sale Japan Life business in half year 2013, the reduction in the corporation tax rate in the UK and a shift in the geographic mix of Asia profit at lower tax rates.

In order to understand how Prudential s results are derived, it is necessary to understand how profit emerges from its business. This varies from region to region, primarily due to differences in the nature of the products and regulatory environments in which Prudential operates.

Asia

Basis of profits

The assets and liabilities of contracts classified as insurance under IFRS 4 are determined in accordance with methods prescribed by local GAAP and adjusted to comply, where necessary, with UK GAAP. Under IFRS 4, subject to the conditions of that standard, the continued application of UK GAAP in this respect is permitted.

For Asian operations in countries where local GAAP is not well established and in which the business is primarily non-participating and linked business, measurement of the insurance assets and liabilities is determined substantially by reference to US GAAP principles. This basis is applied in India, Taiwan and the assets of the Japan Life business

that are held for sale. For with-profits business in Hong Kong, Singapore and Malaysia the basis of profit recognition is bonus driven as described under United Kingdom Basis of profits below.

28

Comparison of total profit arising from Asia insurance operations

The following table shows the movement in profit arising from Asia insurance operations from half year 2013 to half year 2014:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Profit before shareholder tax	598	210
Shareholder tax	(84)	(58)
Profit after tax	514	152

The increase of £388 million from the profit before tax attributable to shareholders in half year 2013 of £210 million to a profit of £598 million in half year 2014 primarily reflects an increase of £9 million in operating profit based on longer-term investment and a positive change in non-operating items of £379 million. The increase of £9 million in operating profit based on longer-term investments includes a negative exchange translation impact of £68 million. Excluding the currency volatility, Asia insurance operations operating profit based on longer term investment returns was up 19 per cent or £77 million on a constant exchange rate basis driven by the increasing scale of the in-force portfolio.

The change from a non-operating loss of £264 million in half year 2013 to a non-operating profit of £115 million in half year 2014 arises from an improvement in the short-term fluctuations in investment returns of £256 million, no loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business in half year 2014 (half year 2013: loss of £124 million) and £1 million for other items. The positive change of £379 million in non-operating items includes a positive exchange translation impact of £30 million. The positive short-term fluctuations in investment returns primarily reflect net unrealised movements on bond holdings following modest falls in bond yields during the first half of the year across the region.

The effective shareholder tax rate changed from 28 per cent in half year 2013 to 14 per cent in half year 2014, with the movement principally due to a non-deductible loss of £124 million on the held for sale Japan Life business in half year 2013. Excluding the impact of the held for sale Japan Life business the half year 2013 tax rate was 17 per cent. In addition, the half year 2014 rate reflects a shift in the geographic mix of profits at lower tax rates compared to half year 2013.

United States

Basis of profits

The underlying profit on Jackson s business predominantly arises from spread income from interest-sensitive products, such as fixed annuities and institutional products, fee income on variable annuity business, and insurance margin, net of expenses measured on a US GAAP basis. In addition, the results in any period include the incidence of realised gains and losses (including impairment) on assets classified as available-for-sale, and fair value movements on derivatives and securities classified as fair valued through profit and loss.

Comparison of total profit arising from US insurance operations

The following table shows the movement in profits arising from US insurance operations from half year 2013 to half year 2014:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Profit before shareholder tax	420	114
Shareholder tax	(107)	(27)
Profit after tax	313	87

The £306 million increase in profit before tax attributable to shareholders in half year 2014 against the comparative period in 2013, comprised an increase of £104 million in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns

and a reduction in the non-operating loss of £202 million. The increase of £104 million in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns includes a negative exchange translation impact of £44 million. Excluding the currency volatility, the increase in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns in half year 2014 on a constant exchange rate basis compared to half year 2013 was £148 million or 28 per cent primarily driven by increased fee income from higher separate account assets.

The reduction in the non-operating loss was mainly due to a favourable change in short-term fluctuations in investment returns of £215 million reducing the loss from £441 million in half year 2013 to a loss of £226 million in half year 2014. This was partially offset by an adverse change of £13 million in other non-operating items. The reduction of £202 million in non-operating items includes a positive exchange translation impact of £35 million. The negative short-term fluctuations in investment returns mainly represent the negative net unrealised value movement on derivatives held to manage the Group s exposure to market movements following rises in the equity values.

The effective tax rate on profits from US operations increased from 24 per cent in half year 2013 to 25 per cent in half year 2014 due to the impact of fiscal adjustments at half year 2014.

United Kingdom

Basis of profits

Prudential s results comprise an annual profit distribution to shareholders from its UK long-term with-profits fund as well as profits from its annuity and other businesses.

For Prudential s UK insurance operations, the primary annual contribution to shareholders profit comes from its with-profits products. With-profits products are designed to provide policyholders with smoothed investment returns through a mix of regular and final bonuses.

For with-profits business (including non-participating business owned by the PAC with-profits fund), adjustments to liabilities and any related tax effects are recognised in the income statement. However, except for any impact on the annual declaration of bonuses, shareholder profit for with-profits business is unaffected. This is because IFRS basis profits for the with-profits business, which are determined on the same basis as on preceding UK GAAP, solely reflect one-ninth of the cost of bonuses declared for the year.

The results of the UK shareholder-backed annuity business reflect the inclusion of investment returns including realised and unrealised gains and losses. The charge for benefits reflects the valuation rate of interest applied to discount future anticipated payments to policyholders. This rate in turn reflects current market yields adjusted for factors including default risks on the assets backing the liabilities. The level of allowance for default risk is a key assumption. Details are included in note B4 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Comparison of total profit arising from UK insurance operations

The following table shows the movement in profits arising from UK insurance operations from half year 2013 to half year 2014:

2014 £m 2013 £m Half year Half year

Profit before shareholder tax	471	209
Shareholder tax	(96)	(60)
Profit after tax	375	149

Profit after tax from UK insurance operations of £375 million in half year 2014 is higher than the £149 million in half year 2013.

The increase in profit before tax attributable to shareholders of £262 million to £471 million in half year 2014 primarily comprises an increase of £30 million operating profit based on longer-term investment returns, combined with an improvement in the short-term fluctuations in investment returns for shareholder-backed business of

£232 million. The 10 per cent increase in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns was driven by the contribution from higher levels of bulk annuity transactions partially offset by the reduction in profits from new retail annuity business. Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns included general insurance commissions of £12 million in half year 2014 compared with £15 million in half year 2013. The positive fluctuations includes net unrealised movement on fixed income assets supporting the capital of the shareholder-backed annuity business, reflecting the fall in bond yields since the end of 2013.

The effective shareholder tax rate on profits from UK insurance operations for half year 2014 of 20 per cent compares with an effective tax rate of 29 per cent in half year 2013, with the movement principally reflecting the reductions in the UK corporation tax rate which were enacted in the second half of 2013 combined with the absence of any incremental tax on branch profits following the domestication of the Hong Kong branch at the start of the year.

Profit from asset management

The following table shows the movement in profits from asset management from half year 2013 to half year 2014:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Profit before shareholder tax	292	288
Shareholder tax	(57)	(67)
Profit after tax	235	221

Total profit from asset management increased from £221 million in half year 2013 to £235 million in half year 2014.

The increase of £4 million in profit before tax attributable to shareholders to £292 million in half year 2014 resulted from an increase for M&G of £39 million to £255 million in half year 2014 and an increase in profits before tax for Eastspring Investments of £4 million to £42 million in half year 2014, which were partially offset by a decrease for US broker dealer and asset management operations of £39 million to a loss of £5 million in half year 2014.

The £39 million increase in profit before tax attributable to shareholders for M&G reflects an increase of £24 million in operating profit based on longer-term investment returns to £249 million in half year 2014 reflecting a 11 per cent uplift in average external funds under management compared to half year 2013; combined with a £15 million favourable change in short-term fluctuations in investment returns.

The £4 million increase in profit before tax attributable to shareholders for Eastspring Investments includes a negative exchange translation impact of £4 million. Excluding the currency volatility, the increase was £8 million, or 24 per cent reflecting the benefit of higher average funds under management. The loss of £5 million in half year 2014 for the US broker dealer and asset management operations was after a £33 million provision related primarily to the potential refund of certain fees by Curian.

The effective tax rate on profits from asset management operations decreased from 23 per cent in half year 2013 to 20 per cent in half year 2014, principally reflecting the reductions in the UK corporation tax rate which were enacted in the second half of 2013.

Unallocated corporate result

The following table shows the movement in the unallocated corporate result from half year 2013 to half year 2014:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Loss before shareholder tax	(357)	(315)
Shareholder tax	65	71
Loss after tax	(292)	(244)

Total net of tax charges for unallocated corporate activity increased by £48 million from £244 million in half year 2013 to £292 million in half year 2014.

The loss before shareholder tax increased by £42 million from £315 million at half year 2013 to £357 million at half year 2014. Net other expenditure (including restructuring and Solvency II implementation costs) increased by £26 million from £294 million in half year 2013 to £320 million in half year 2014. This was combined with an adverse change of £16 million in short-term fluctuations in investment returns from a loss of £21 million in half year 2013 to a loss of £37 million in half year 2014.

The effective tax rate on unallocated corporate result changed from 23 per cent at half year 2013 to 18 per cent at half year 2014, principally due the reduction in the UK corporation tax rate and no recurrence of the release of a provision in half year 2013.

c) Additional explanation of performance measures and analysis of consolidated results by business segment and geographical region

Prudential uses a performance measure of operating profit based on longer-term investment returns. The Company believes that this performance measure better reflects underlying performance. It is the basis used by management for the reasons outlined below. It is also the basis on which analysis of the Group s results has been provided to UK shareholders and the UK financial market for some years under long standing conventions for reporting by proprietary UK life assurers.

Prudential determines and presents operating segments based on the information that is internally provided to the Group Executive Committee (GEC), which is Prudential s chief operating decision maker.

An operating segment is a component of Prudential that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses, including revenues and expenses that relate to transactions with any of Prudential s other components. An operating segment s operating results are reviewed regularly by the GEC to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance, and for which discrete financial information is available.

The operating segments identified by Prudential reflect the organisation structure, which is by both geography (Asia, US and UK) and by product line (insurance operations and asset management). Prudential s operating segments determined in accordance with IFRS 8, Operating Segments, are as follows:

Insurance operations

Asia
US (Jackson)
UK
Asset management operations

M&G (including Prudential Capital)
Eastspring Investments
US broker-dealer and asset management (including Curian)

The Group s operating segments are also its reportable segments for the purposes of internal management reporting with the exception of Prudential Capital which has been incorporated into the M&G operating segment for the purposes of segment reporting.

The performance measure of operating segments utilised by the Company is IFRS operating profit attributable to shareholders based on longer-term investment returns, as described below. This measurement basis distinguishes operating profit based on long-term investment returns from other constituents of the total profit as follows:

Short-term fluctuations in investment returns;

Amortisation of acquisition accounting adjustments arising on the purchase of business. This comprises principally the charge for the adjustments arising on the purchase of REALIC in 2012;

32

Loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business. See note D1 for further details; and

The costs associated with the domestication of the Hong Kong branch which became effective on 1 January 2014. Segment results that are reported to the Group Executive Committee include items directly attributable to a segment as well as those that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. Unallocated items are mainly in relation to the Group Head Office and the Asia Regional Head Office.

Except in the case of assets backing the UK annuity business, unit-linked and US variable annuity separate account liabilities, operating profit based on longer-term investment returns for shareholder-financed business is determined on the basis of expected longer-term investment returns. In the case of assets backing the UK annuity business, unit-linked and US variable annuity separate account liabilities, the basis of determining operating profit based on longer-term investment returns is as follows:

UK annuity business liabilities: For this business, policyholder liabilities are determined by reference to current interest rates. The value movements of the assets covering liabilities are closely correlated with the related change in liabilities. Accordingly, asset value movements are recorded within the operating results based on longer-term investment returns. Policyholder liabilities include a margin for credit risk. Variations between actual and best estimate expected impairments are recorded as a component of short-term fluctuations in investment returns. Unit-linked and US variable annuity business separate account liabilities: For such business, the policyholder unit liabilities are directly reflective of the asset value movements. Accordingly, the operating results based on longer-term investment returns reflect the current period value movements in unit liabilities and the backing assets.

In the case of other shareholder-financed business, the measurement of operating profit based on longer-term investment returns reflects the particular features of long-term insurance business where assets and liabilities are held for the long-term and for which the accounting basis for insurance liabilities under current IFRS is not generally conducive to demonstrating trends in underlying performance of life businesses exclusive of the effects of short-term fluctuations in market conditions. In determining the profit on this basis, the following key elements are applied to the results of the Group s shareholder-financed operations.

(a) Debt, equity-type securities and loans

Longer-term investment returns comprise actual income receivable for the period (interest/dividend income) and for both debt and equity-type securities longer-term capital returns.

In principle, for debt securities and loans, the longer-term capital returns comprise two elements:

Risk margin reserve based charge for the expected level of defaults for the period, which is determined by reference to the credit quality of the portfolio. The difference between impairment losses in the reporting period and the risk margin reserve charge to the operating result is reflected in short-term fluctuations in investment returns; and

The amortisation of interest-related realised gains and losses to operating results based on longer-term investment returns to the date when sold bonds would have otherwise matured.

Jackson is the shareholder-backed operation for which the distinction between impairment losses and interest-related realised gains and losses is in practice relevant to a significant extent. Jackson has used the ratings by Nationally Recognised Statistical Ratings Organisations (NRSRO) or ratings resulting from the regulatory ratings detail issued by

the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) developed by external third parties such as PIMCO or BlackRock Solutions to determine the average annual risk margin reserve to apply to debt securities held to back general account business. Debt securities held to back separate account and reinsurance funds withheld are not subject to risk margin reserve charge. Further details of the risk margin reserve charge, as well as the amortisation of interest-related realised gains and losses, for Jackson are shown in note B1.2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

For debt securities backing non-linked shareholder-financed business of the UK insurance operations (other than the annuity business) and of the Asia insurance operations, the realised gains and losses are principally interest related. Accordingly, all realised gains and losses to date for these operations are being amortised over the period to the date those securities would otherwise have matured, with no explicit risk margin reserve charge.

At 30 June 2014, the level of unamortised interest-related realised gains and losses related to previously sold bonds for the Group was a net gain of £427 million (half year 2013: net gain of £522 million).

For equity-type securities, the longer-term rates of return are estimates of the long-term trend investment returns for income and capital having regard to past performance, current trends and future expectations. Equity-type securities held for shareholder-financed operations other than the UK annuity business, unit-linked and US variable annuity are of significance for the US and Asia insurance operations. Different rates apply to different categories of equity-type securities.

As at 30 June 2014, the equity-type securities for US insurance non-separate account operations amounted to £1,071 million (half year 2013: £1,188 million). For these operations, the longer-term rates of return for income and capital applied in 2014 and 2013, which reflect the combination of risk free rates and appropriate risk premiums are as follows:

	2014 Half year	2013 Half year
Equity-type securities such as common and preferred stock and		
portfolio holdings in mutual funds	6.5% to 6.7%	5.7% to 6.5%
Other equity-type securities such as investments in limited		
partnerships and private equity funds	8.5% to 8.7%	7.7% to 8.5%

For Asia insurance operations, excluding assets of the Japan Life held for sale business, investments in equity securities held for non-linked shareholder-financed operations amounted to £664 million as at 30 June 2014 (half year 2013: £526 million). The rates of return applied in half year 2014 and half year 2013 ranged from 2.02 per cent to 13.75 per cent with the rates applied varying by territory. These rates are determined after consideration by the Group s in-house economists of long-term expected real government bond returns, equity risk premium and long-term inflation. These rates are broadly stable from period to period but may be different between countries reflecting, for example, differing expectations of inflation in each territory. The assumptions are for returns expected to apply in equilibrium conditions. The assumed rates of return do not reflect any cyclical variability in economic performance and are not set by reference to prevailing asset valuations.

The longer-term investment returns for the Asia insurance joint ventures accounted for on the equity method are determined on a similar basis as the other Asia insurance operations described above.

(b) US variable and fixed index annuity business

The following value movements for Jackson s variable and fixed index annuity business are excluded from operating profit based on longer-term investment returns:

Fair value movements for equity-based derivatives;

Fair value movements for embedded derivatives for Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefit not for life and fixed index annuity business, and Guaranteed Minimum Income Benefit reinsurance (see note below); Movements in accounts carrying value of Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefit and Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefit for life and Guaranteed Minimum Income Benefit liabilities, for which, under the grandfathered US GAAP applied under IFRS for Jackson s insurance assets and liabilities, the measurement basis gives rise to a muted impact of current period market movements;

Fee assessments and claim payments, in respect of guarantee liabilities; and Related changes to amortisation of deferred acquisition costs for each of the above items.

34

Note

US operations Embedded derivatives for variable annuity guarantee features

The Guaranteed Minimum Income Benefit liability, which is fully reinsured, subject to a deductible and annual claim limits, is accounted for in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 944-80 Financial Services Insurance Separate Accounts (formerly SOP 03-1) under IFRS using grandfathered US GAAP. As the corresponding reinsurance asset is net settled, it is considered to be a derivative under IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement, and the asset is therefore recognised at fair value. As the Guaranteed Minimum Income Benefit is economically reinsured the mark to market element of the reinsurance asset is included as a component of short-term fluctuations in investment returns.

(c) Other derivative value movements

Generally, derivative value movements are excluded from operating results based on longer-term investment returns (unless those derivative value movements broadly offset changes in the accounting value of other assets and liabilities included in operating profit). The principal example of non-equity based derivatives (for example interest rate swaps and swaptions) whose value movements are excluded from operating profit arises in Jackson. Non-equity based derivatives are primarily held by Jackson as part of a broadly-based hedging programme for features of Jackson s bond portfolio (for which value movements are booked in the statement of comprehensive income rather than the income statement), product liabilities (for which US GAAP accounting as grandfathered under IFRS 4 does not fully reflect the economic features being hedged), and the interest rate exposure attaching to equity-based embedded derivatives.

(d) Other liabilities to policyholders and embedded derivatives for product guarantees

Under IFRS, the degree to which the carrying values of liabilities to policyholders are sensitive to current market conditions varies between territories depending upon the nature of the grandfathered measurement basis. In general, in those instances where the liabilities are particularly sensitive to routine changes in market conditions, the accounting basis is such that the impact of market movements on the assets and liabilities is broadly equivalent in the income statement, and operating profit based on longer-term investments returns is not distorted. In these circumstances, there is no need for the movement in the liability to be bifurcated between the elements that relate to longer-term market conditions and short-term effects.

However, some types of business movements in liabilities do require bifurcation to ensure that at the net level (ie after allocated investment returns and change for policyholder benefits) the operating result reflects longer-term market returns.

Examples where such bifurcation is necessary are:

Asia Hong Kong

For certain non-participating business, the economic features are more akin to asset management products with policyholder liabilities reflecting asset shares over the contract term. For these products, the charge for policyholder benefits in the operating results should reflect the asset share feature rather than volatile movements that would otherwise be reflected if the local regulatory basis (also applied for IFRS basis) was used.

For other Hong Kong non-participating business, longer-term interest rates are used to determine the movement in policyholder liabilities for determining operating results. Similar principles apply for other Asia operations.

UK shareholder-backed annuity business

The operating result based on longer-term investment returns reflects the impact of value movements on policyholder liabilities for annuity business in PRIL and the PAC non-profit sub-fund after adjustments to allocate the following elements of the movement to the category of short-term fluctuations in investment returns:

The impact on credit risk provisioning of actual upgrades and downgrades during the period;

Credit experience compared to assumptions; and

Short-term value movements on assets backing the capital of the business.

Credit experience reflects the impact of defaults and other similar experience, such as asset exchanges arising from debt restructuring by issuers that include effectively an element of permanent impairment of the security held.

35

Positive or negative experience compared to assumptions is included within short-term fluctuations in investment returns without further adjustment. The effects of other changes to credit risk provisioning are included in the operating result, as is the net effect of changes to the valuation rate of interest due to portfolio rebalancing to align more closely with management benchmark.

(e) Fund management and other non-insurance businesses

For these businesses, the particular features applicable for life assurance noted above do not apply. For these businesses it is inappropriate to include returns in the operating result on the basis described above. Instead, it is appropriate to generally include realised gains and losses (including impairments) in the operating result with unrealised gains and losses being included in short-term fluctuations. For this purpose impairments are calculated as the credit loss determined by comparing the projected cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate to the carrying value. In some instances it may also be appropriate to amortise realised gains and losses on derivatives and other financial instruments to operating results over a time period that reflects the underlying economic substance of the arrangements.

Reconciliation of total profit by business segment and geography to IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns

Analysis of IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns and IFRS total profit

A reconciliation of profit before tax (including tax attributable to policyholders returns) to profit before tax attributable to shareholders and profit for the period is shown below.

For memorandum disclosure purposes, the table below presents the half year results on both actual exchange rates (AER) and so as to eliminate the impact of exchange translation, the constant exchange rates (CER) bases.

	Actual Exchange Rate			Constant Ex	change Rate
	2014 £m	2013 £m	Change	2013 £m	Change
	Half year	Half year	%	Half year	%
Operating profit before tax					
Long-term business:(note (ii))					
Asia	484	476	2	408	19
US	686	582	18	538	28
UK	374	341	10	341	10
Development expenses	(1)	(2)	50	(2)	50
Long-term business operating profit	1,543	1,397	10	1,285	20
UK general insurance commission (note (iii))	12	15	(20)	15	(20)
Asset management business:					
M&G (including Prudential Capital)	249	225	11	225	11
Eastspring Investments	42	38	11	34	24
US broker-dealer and asset management	(5)	34	(115)	31	(116)
	1,841	1,709	8	1,590	16
Other income and expenditure	(305)	(270)	(13)	(270)	(13)
Solvency II implementation costs	(11)	(13)	15	(13)	15

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

Restructuring costs (note (iv))	(4)	(11)	64	(11)	64
Total IFRS basis operating profit based on					
longer-term					
investments returns(note (i))	1,521	1,415	7	1,296	17
Short-term fluctuations in investment returns: (note					
(v))					
Insurance operations	(14)	(725)	98	(679)	98
Other operations	(31)	(30)	(3)	(30)	(3)
Total short-term fluctuations in investment returns	(45)	(755)	94	(709)	94
Amortisation of acquisition accounting					
adjustments	(44)	(30)	(47)	(28)	(57)
Loss attaching to held for sale Japan Life business	-	(124)	100	(107)	100
Cost of domestication of Hong Kong branch	(8)	-	n/a	-	n/a
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	1,424	506	181	452	215
Tax charge attributable to shareholders returns	(279)	(141)	(98)	(125)	(123)
Profit for the period attributable to equity					
holders of					
Prudential	1,145	365	214	327	250

Notes

(i) Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns.

The Group provides supplementary analysis of IFRS profit before tax attributable to shareholders so as to distinguish operating profit based on longer-term investment returns from other elements of total profit. Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns is the basis on which management regularly reviews the performance of Prudential s segments as defined by IFRS 8. Further discussion on the determination of operating profit based on longer-term investment returns is provided in section c) Additional explanation of performance measures and analysis of consolidated results by business segment and geographical region above.

(ii) Effect of changes to assumptions, estimates and bases of determining life assurance liabilities.

The results of Prudential s long-term business operations are affected by changes to assumptions, estimates and bases of preparation. Where applicable, these are described in note B4 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

- (iii) UK operations transferred its general insurance business to Churchill in 2002. General insurance commission represents the commission receivable net of expenses for Prudential-branded general insurance products as part of this arrangement.
- (iv) Restructuring costs are incurred in the UK and represent one-off expenses incurred in securing expense savings.
- (v) Short-term fluctuations in investment returns on shareholder-backed business comprise:

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Insurance operations	v	J
Asia	119	(137)
US	(226)	(441)
UK	93	(147)
Other operations	(31)	(30)
Total	(45)	(755)

Further details on the short-term fluctuations in investment returns are provided below under Charge for short-term fluctuations in investment returns and also in note B1.2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Table of Contents 69

37

$Reconciliation \ of \ IFRS \ operating \ profit \ based \ on \ longer-term \ investment \ returns \ to \ IFRS \ total \ profit \ by \ segment$

The following tables reconcile Prudential s operating profit based on longer-term investment returns to total profit attributable to shareholders.

	Insurance operations		ations	Asset management Eastspring			Total			
Half year 2014	UK	US	Asia	M&G	U S nve		segment	Inallocated corporate	Total	
Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns Short-term fluctuations in	386	686	483	249	(5)	42	1,841	(320)	1,521	
investment returns on shareholder backed business	93	(226)	119	6	-	-	(8)	(37)	(45)	
Amortisation of acquisition accounting adjustment	-	(40)	(4)	-	-	-	(44)	-	(44)	
Cost of domestication of Hong Kong branch	(8)	-	-	-	-	-	(8)	-	(8)	
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders Tax attributable to shareholders	471	420	598	255	(5)	42	1,781	(357)	1,424 (279)	
Profit for the period									1,145	
					Asset					
	Insui	Insurance operations			management Eastspring TotaUnallocated					
Half year 2013 (AER)	UK	US	Asia	M&G	US ve		segment	corporate	Total	
Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns	356	582	474	225	34	38	1,709	(294)	1,415	
Short-term fluctuations in investment returns on shareholder backed business	(147)	(441)	(137)	(9)	_	_	(734)	(21)	(755)	
Amortisation of acquisition accounting adjustment	-	(27)	(3)	-	-	-	(30)	-	(30)	
Profit attaching to held for sale Japan Life business	-	-	(124)	-	-	-	(124)	-	(124)	
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders Tax attributable to	209	114	210	216	34	38	821	(315)	506	
shareholders Profit for the period									(141)	

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

	Insur	ance ope	rations	Asset management Eastspring		Tota U nallocated			
Half year 2013 (CER)	UK	US	Asia	M&G	U \$ nv			corporate	Total
Operating profit based on longer-term investment									
returns	356	538	406	225	31	34	1,590	(294)	1,296
Short-term fluctuations in investment returns on									
shareholder backed business	(147)	(408)	(124)	(9)	-	-	(688)	(21)	(709)
Amortisation of acquisition accounting adjustment	<u>-</u>	(25)	(3)	-	-	-	(28)	- -	(28)
Profit attaching to held for sale Japan Life business	-	-	(107)	-	-	-	(107)	-	(107)
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	209	105	172	216	31	34	767	(315)	452
Tax attributable to	209	103	1/2	210	31	34	707	(313)	
shareholders									(125)
Profit for the period									327

IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns

Following the major depreciation in currencies against sterling in the second half of 2013, the first half of 2014 has seen further volatility in the world s currency markets, driven by improving growth prospects and increasing speculation around the timing of possible movements in interest rates. In our key markets, this has been more prominent among the US dollar, US dollar-linked currencies and the Indonesian rupiah, all of which have weakened against sterling since the first half of 2013.

Therefore, the following commentary is focused on the performance of our Asia and US businesses in local currency and have presented percentage growth rates between periods on a constant exchange basis, unless otherwise stated. Growth rates based on actual exchange rates are also shown in the financial tables presented above.

The Group IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns increased by 17 per cent in the first half of 2014 to £1,521 million on a constant exchange rate basis (7 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), driven by profitable business growth in Asia, the US and M&G and the beneficial impact of four bulk annuity transactions in the UK life business. Asia operating profit based on longer term investment returns was up 19 per cent (2 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), with strong growth from all of our operations, particularly Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. US operating profit increased by 28 per cent (18 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), driven principally by higher variable annuity fee income. UK operating profit was 10 per cent higher, reflecting contributions totalling £60 million from bulk annuity transactions (2013: nil), which offset lower profits in the retail business. M&G (including Prudential Capital), our UK based asset management business and Eastspring Investments, our Asia asset manager, delivered IFRS earnings growth of 11 per cent and 24 per cent (11 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), respectively.

Insurance operations

IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns from our insurance operations in Asia, the US and the UK increased 20 per cent (10 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) to £1,555 million. This increase in profitability of our insurance operations reflects the growth in the scale of our life operations, driven primarily by positive business inflows. We track the progress that we make in growing our life business by reference to the scale of our obligations to our customers, which are referred to in the financial statements as policyholder liabilities. Each year these liabilities increase as we collect premiums and decrease as we pay claims. The overall scale of these policyholder liabilities is relevant in evaluating our profit potential, in that it is reflective of our ability to earn fees on the unit-linked element and it sizes the risk that we carry on the insurance element, for which Prudential needs to be rewarded.

In the first half of 2014, alongside growing the scale of our life operating profit based on longer term investment returns, we have continued to focus on improving its quality by maintaining our bias for sources of income such as insurance margin and fee income, ahead of spread income: insurance income because it is relatively insensitive to the equity and interest rate cycle, and fee income because it is capital efficient. Our emphasis on growing our offering of risk products such as health and protection, has seen insurance margin grow by 23 per cent (11 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), while fee income is up 24 per cent (15 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis), primarily reflecting the higher amount of assets that we manage on behalf of our customers. In contrast, the contribution to our profits from spread income has continued to increase at a more subdued rate of 12 per cent (4 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis). The fact that insurance margin and fee income generate a growing proportion of our income represents a healthy evolution in the quality, the resilience and the balance of our earnings.

The costs we have incurred in writing new business and in administering the in-force life businesses have also increased but at a more modest rate than total income, highlighting the advantages of increased scale as we build out our business, while maintaining control of costs.

IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns from Asia life insurance was up 19 per cent (2 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) to £483 million, driven by the increasing scale of the in-force portfolio and our emphasis on growing the proportion of our income that is sourced from regular premium health and protection business. In addition, we continue to focus on our seven—sweet spot—markets of Indonesia,

Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam, the Philippines and Hong Kong, which collectively increased IFRS operating profit based on longer term investment returns by 20 per cent (3 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis). Indonesia IFRS operating profit, our largest market on this measure, was up by 32 per cent (1 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) to £139 million, reflecting increased insurance and fee income from growth in the in-force book following the high level of regular premium health and protection and unit-linked sales in recent years. We are also encouraged to see further progress among our smaller, fast-growing businesses in South-east Asia, with Thailand, the Philippines and Vietnam reporting a combined 97 per cent (75 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) increase in profits to £63 million and now accounting for 13 per cent of Asia s life operating profit based on longer-term investment returns compared to 8 per cent (8 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) in the prior half year. In particular, Thailand s contribution has benefited from the acquisition of Thanachart s in-force portfolio and profit on new business written through our exclusive relationship with Thanachart Bank, with IFRS operating profit up 150 per cent (127 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) to £25 million.

In the US, life IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns increased by 28 per cent (18 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) to £686 million, driven by 28 per cent (19 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) growth in fee income, which now accounts for 48 per cent of Jackson s total income, compared to 38 per cent in the same period just three years ago. The uplift in fee income in the period reflects average separate account assets of £68 billion in the first half of 2014 compared to £52 billion (56 billion at actual exchange rate) in the first half of last year, equating to an increase of 31 per cent (21 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis) on a constant exchange rate basis, driven by variable annuity net premium inflows and appreciation in US equity markets. We continue to focus on improving the balance of Jackson s profits and diversifying its sources of earnings and we are pleased with the continued growth in sales of Elite Access, our variable annuity product without living benefits.

UK IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns (excluding general insurance commissions) was 10 per cent higher than the first half of 2013 at £374 million (2013: £341 million), principally due to a £60 million profit contribution from bulk annuity transactions (2013: nil), which exceeded a £29 million reduction in profits from new retail annuity business (from £54 million in 2013 to £25 million in 2014). The UK general insurance commissions were £12 million in half year 2014 (2013: £15 million).

Asset management business

Our asset management businesses in the UK and Asia collectively contributed IFRS operating profit of £291 million, up 12 per cent on the first half of 2013. Similar to our life operations, growth in asset management operating profit primarily reflects the increased scale of this business, as measured by funds managed on behalf of external institutional and retail customers and our internal life insurance operations. Net flows from external parties into these funds (excluding MMF) were £6.7 billion in the first half of 2014 (2013: £5.8 billion on an actual exchange rate basis) and helped drive external retail and institutional funds under management (excluding MMF) to £153.8 billion at 30 June 2014 compared to £137.4 billion at 30 June 2013.

M&G s IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns increased 11 per cent to £227 million (2013: £204 million). Underlying profits, excluding performance-related payments and earnings from associates, increased 10 per cent to £214 million (2013: £195 million), primarily reflecting an 11 per cent uplift in average external funds under management compared to the first half of 2013, following a period of strong net inflows and positive market movements. The positive business mix effect from the increasing proportion of higher-margin external retail business has seen M&G s average fee income improve to 38 basis points (2013: 36 basis points), with higher income helping to absorb the current phase of increased headcount and infrastructure investment. Reflecting this, the cost income ratio was maintained at 54 per cent (2013: 54 per cent). As in previous years, we expect the cost/income ratio to increase by the end of 2014 as M&G s cost run rate is typically higher over the second half of the year. Prudential Capital

produced IFRS operating profit based on longer term investment returns of £22 million in the first half of 2014 (2013: £21 million).

Our Asia asset management business, Eastspring Investments, has also seen the benefit of higher average funds under management, with IFRS operating profit based on longer term investment returns of £42 million up 24 per cent (11 per cent on an actual exchange rate basis). In the US, our asset management businesses, PPM America and Curian, and our broker-dealer network, National Planning Holdings, collectively generated an IFRS operating loss based on longer term investment returns of £5 million (2013: profit of £31 million at constant exchange rates; profit of £34 million at actual exchange rates) after a £33 million provision related primarily to the potential refund of certain fees by Curian.

Unallocated corporate result

Unallocated operating loss based on longer-term investment returns for half year 2014 of £320 million comprised of a charge for other income and expenditure of £305 million, Solvency II implementation costs of £11 million and restructuring costs of £4 million.

Unallocated operating loss based on longer-term investment returns for half year of 2013 of £294 million comprised of a charge for other income and expenditure of £270 million, Solvency II implementation costs of £13 million and restructuring costs of £11 million.

Analysis of long-term insurance business pre-tax IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns by driver

This schedule classifies the Group s pre-tax operating earnings from long-term insurance operations into the underlying drivers of those profits, using the following categories:

- i **Spread income** represents the difference between net investment income (or premium income in the case of the UK annuities new business) and amounts credited to certain policyholder accounts. It excludes the operating investment returns on shareholder net assets, which has been separately disclosed as expected return on shareholder assets.
- ii **Fee income** represents profits driven by net investment performance, being asset management fees that vary with the size of the underlying policyholder funds net of investment management expenses.
- iii With-profits business represents the gross of tax shareholders transfer from the with-profits fund for the period.
- iv **Insurance margin** primarily represents profits derived from the insurance risks of mortality and morbidity.
- v Margin on revenues primarily represents amounts deducted from premiums to cover acquisition costs and administration expenses.
- vi Acquisition costs and administration expenses represent expenses incurred in the period attributable to shareholders. It excludes items such as restructuring costs and Solvency II costs which are not included in the segment profit for insurance as well as items that are more appropriately included in other source of earnings lines (eg investment expenses are netted against investment income as part of spread income or fee income as appropriate).
- vii **DAC adjustments** comprises DAC amortisation for the period, excluding amounts related to short-term fluctuations in investment returns, net of costs deferred in respect of new business.

Analysis of pre-tax IFRS operating profit based on longer-term investment returns by source

		Н	alf year 2	2014 £m	
	Asia	US	UK	Unallocated	Total
	note (ii)				
Spread income	62	364	131	-	557
Fee income	74	658	32	-	764
With-profits	15	-	135	-	150
Insurance margin	314	328	38	-	680
Margin on revenues	724	-	84	-	808
Expenses:					
Acquisition costs	(473)	(477)	(50)	-	(1,000)
Administration expenses	(304)	(333)	(64)	-	(701)
DAC adjustments	40	135	(6)	-	169
Expected return on shareholder assets	31	11	74	-	116
Long-term business operating profit	483	686	374	-	1,543
Asset management operating profit	42	(5)	249	-	286
GI commission	-	-	12	-	12
Other income and expenditure ^{note (i)}	-	-	-	(320)	(320)
Total operating profit based on longer-term investment					
returns ^{note} (ii)	525	681	635	(320)	1,521

	Half year 2013 £m AER								
	Asia	US	UK	Unallocated	Total				
	note (ii)								
Spread income	56	377	102	-	535				
Fee income	80	554	33	-	667				
With-profits	22	-	133	-	155				
Insurance margin	303	262	48	-	613				
Margin on revenues	778	-	80		858				
Expenses:									
Acquisition costs	(502)	(465)	(54)	-	(1,021)				
Administration expenses	(300)	(323)	(59)	-	(682)				
DAC adjustments	9	173	(7)	-	175				
Expected return on shareholder assets	28	4	65	-	97				
Long-term business operating profit	474	582	341	-	1,397				
Asset management operating profit	38	34	225	-	297				
GI commission	-	-	15	-	15				
Other income and expenditure ^{note (i)}	-	-	-	(294)	(294)				
Total operating profit based on longer-term investment									
returns ^{note (ii)}	512	616	581	(294)	1,415				

		Half :	year 2013	3 £m CER	
	Asia	US	UK	Unallocated	Total
	note (ii)				
Spread income	49	348	102	-	499
Fee income	69	513	33	-	615
With-profits	20	-	133	-	153
Insurance margin	261	242	48	-	551
Margin on revenues	669	-	80	-	749
Expenses:					
Acquisition costs	(433)	(430)	(54)	-	(917)
Administration expenses	(260)	(299)	(59)	-	(618)
DAC adjustments	8	160	(7)	-	161
Expected return on shareholder assets	23	4	65	-	92
Long-term business operating profit	406	538	341	-	1,285
Asset management operating profit	34	31	225	-	290
GI commission	-	-	15	-	15
Other income and expenditure ^{note (i)}	-	-	-	(294)	(294)
Total operating profit based on longer-term investment					
returns ^{note (ii)}	440	569	581	(294)	1,296
Notes					

⁽i) Including restructuring and Solvency II implementation costs.

⁽ii) The profit analysis above excludes the results of the life insurance business of Japan which is held for sale.

Margin analysis of long-term insurance business Group

The following analysis expresses certain of the Group s sources of operating profit as a margin of policyholder liabilities or other suitable driver. Details on the calculation of the Group s average policyholder liability balances are given in note (iii).

					Total					
	H	alf year 201	14	Half	Half year 2013 AER			Half year 2013 CER		
	note (iv)				note (iv)			notes (iv),(v)		
	Average				Average			Average		
	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin	
		note (iii)	note (ii)		note (iii)	note (ii)		note (iii)	note (ii)	
Long-term business	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps	
Spread income	557	64,741	172	535	65,424	164	499	62,492	160	
Fee income	764	106,052	144	667	93,512	143	615	87,678	140	
With-profits	150	98,046	31	155	97,336	32	153	96,352	32	
Insurance margin	680			613			551			
Margin on revenues	808			858			749			
Expenses:										
Acquisition										
costsnote (i)	(1,000)	2,300	(43)%	(1,021)	2,162	(47)%	(917)	1,974	(46)%	
Administration										
expenses	(701)	178,649	(78)	(682)	166,130	(82)	(618)	156,839	(79)	
DAC adjustments	169			175			161			
Expected return on										
shareholder assets	116			97			92			
Operating profit	1,543			1,397			1,285			
				· ·						

- **Notes**
- (i) The ratio for acquisition costs is calculated as a percentage of APE sales including with-profits sales.

 Acquisition costs include only those relating to shareholder-backed business. APE is defined under the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation in this document.
- (ii) Margin represents the operating return earned in the period as a proportion of the relevant class of policyholder liabilities excluding unallocated surplus. The margin is on an annualised basis in which half year profits are annualised by multiplying by two.
- (iii) For UK and Asia, opening and closing policyholder liabilities have been used to derive an average balance for the period, as a proxy for average balances throughout the period. The calculation of average liabilities for Jackson is derived from month end balances throughout the period as opposed to opening and closing balances only. Average liabilities for spread income are based on the general account liabilities to which spread income attaches. In addition, for REALIC (acquired in 2012), which are included in the average liability to calculate the administration expense margin, the calculation excludes the liabilities reinsured to third parties prior to the acquisition by Jackson. Average liabilities are adjusted for business acquisitions and disposals in the period.
- (iv) The half year 2014 and half year 2013 analyses exclude the results of the held for sale life insurance business of Japan in both the individual profit and average liability amounts shown in the table above.
- (v) The half year 2013 comparative information has been presented at Actual Exchange Rate (AER) and Constant Exchange Rates (CER) so as to eliminate the impact of exchange translation. CER results are calculated by

translating prior period results using the current period foreign exchange rates. All CER profit figures have been translated at current period average rates. For Asia CER average liability calculations the policyholder liabilities have been translated using current period opening and closing exchange rates. For the US CER average liability calculations the policyholder liabilities have been translated at the current period month end closing exchange rates. See also note A1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Margin analysis of long-term insurance business Asia

					Asia					
	I	Half year 20	14	Hal	f year 2013	AER	Hal	f year 2013	CER	
	note (ii)			note (ii)			notes (ii),(v)			
	Average				Average			Average		
	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin	
					note			note		
	n	ote (iii) (v)			(iii)			(iii)		
Long-term business	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps	
Spread income	62	8,472	146	56	7,220	155	49	6,653	147	
Fee income	74	14,204	104	80	14,253	112	69	12,772	108	
With-profits	15	13,653	22	22	13,522	33	20	12,538	32	
Insurance margin	314			303			261			
Margin on revenues	724			778			669			
Expenses:										
Acquisition costs ^{note}	(450)	00.6	(AE) &	(500)	1.010	(5 0) er	(400)	002	(40) 64	
(i)	(473)	996	(47)%	(502)	1,010	(50)%	(433)	882	(49)%	
Administration	(20.4)		(2.50)	/ - 0.03		/==a\	(= = =		(= =0)	
expenses	(304)	22,676	(268)	(300)	21,473	(279)	(260)	19,425	(268)	
DAC adjustments ^{note}	40			9			8			
(iv) Expected return on	70			9			Ö			
shareholder assets	31			28			23			
	483			474			406			
Operating profit	403			4/4			400			

- **Notes**
- (i) The ratio for acquisition costs is calculated as a percentage of APE sales including with-profits sales.

 Acquisition costs include only those relating to shareholder-backed business. APE is defined under the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation in this document.
- (ii) The analysis excludes the 2011, results of the life insurance business of Japan in both the individual profit and the average liability amounts for both 2013 and 2014.
- (iii) Opening and closing policyholder liabilities, adjusted for corporate transactions, have been used to derive an average balance for the year, as a proxy for average balances throughout the year.
- (iv) The DAC adjustment contains £2 million in respect of joint ventures in half year 2014.
- (v) Constant Exchange Rates (CER) results are calculated by translating prior period results using the current period foreign exchange rates. All CER profit figures have been translated at current period average rates and for the average liability calculations the policyholder liability balances have been translated at the current period opening and closing exchange rates.

Analysis of Asia operating profit drivers

Spread income has increased by 27 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 11 per cent) to £62 million in half year 2014, predominantly reflecting the growth of the Asian non-linked policyholder liabilities. Fee income has increased by 7 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 8 per cent decrease) to £74 million in half year 2014, broadly in line with the increase in movement in average unit-linked liabilities.

Insurance margin has increased by 20 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 4 per cent) to £314 million in half year 2014 predominantly reflecting the continued growth of the in-force book, which contains a relatively high proportion of risk-based products and management action on claims controls and pricing. Half year 2014 insurance margin includes non-recurring items of £3 million (half year 2013: £23 million at actual exchange rates; £19 million at constant exchange rates).

Excluding the adverse impact of currency fluctuations, margin on revenues has increased by £55 million from £669 million in half year 2013 to £724 million in half year 2014 primarily reflecting higher premium income recognised in the period.

Acquisition costs have increased by 9 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 6 per cent decrease) to £473 million in half year 2014, compared to the 13 per cent increase in sales (AER 1 per cent decrease), resulting in a modest decrease in the acquisition costs ratio. The analysis above uses shareholder acquisition costs as a proportion of total APE. If with-profits sales were excluded from the denominator the acquisition cost ratio would become 68 per cent (half year 2013: 67 per cent at constant exchange rates). The small increase being the result of changes to product and country mix.

Administration expenses have increased by 17 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 1 per cent) to £304 million in half year 2014 as the business continues to invest in developing its infrastructure to keep pace with the growth in the business. On constant exchange rates the administration expense ratio remains in line with prior period at 268 basis points.

44

Expected return on shareholder assets has increased from £28 million in half year 2013 to £31 million in half year 2014 primarily due to higher income from increased shareholder assets offset by the adverse effects of currency translation.

Margin analysis of long-term insurance business US

		US									
	F	Half year 20	14	Hal	f year 2013	AER	Hal	Half year 2013 CER			
								note (iii)			
		Average		Average			Average				
	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin		
		note (ii)			note (ii)			note (ii)			
Long-term business	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps		
Spread income	364	28,207	258	377	31,137	242	348	28,772	242		
Fee income	658	68,177	193	554	56,539	196	513	52,186	197		
Insurance margin	328			262			242				
Expenses											
Acquisition costs ^{note}											
(i)	(477)	871	(55)%	(465)	797	(58)%	(430)	737	(58)%		
Administration											
expenses	(333)	104,240	(64)	(323)	94,870	(68)	(299)	87,627	(68)		
DAC adjustments	135			173			160				
Expected return on											
shareholder assets	11			4			4				
Operating profit	686			582			538				
Notes											

- (i) The ratio for acquisition costs is calculated as a percentage of APE sales. APE is defined under the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation in this document.
- (ii) The calculation of average liabilities for Jackson is derived from month end balances throughout the period as opposed to opening and closing balances only. Average liabilities for spread income are based on the general account liabilities to which spread income attaches. Average liabilities used to calculate the administrative expense margin exclude the REALIC liabilities reinsured to third parties prior to the acquisition by Jackson.
- (iii) Constant Exchange Rates (CER) results are calculated by translating prior period results using the current period foreign exchange rates. All CER profit figures have been translated at the current period average rate and for the average liability calculations the policyholder liability balances have been translated at the current period month end-closing exchange rates.

Analysis of US operating profit drivers:

Spread income has increased by 5 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER reduced by 3 per cent) to £364 million in first half 2014. The reported spread margin increased to 258 basis points from 242 basis points in the first half of 2013, primarily as a result of lower crediting rates. In addition, spread income benefited from swap transactions previously entered into to more closely match the overall asset and liability duration. Excluding this effect, the spread margin would have been 185 basis points (half year 2013: 183 basis points on both AER and CER bases). Fee income has increased by 28 per cent at constant exchange rates (AER 19 per cent) to £658 million during the first half of 2014, due to higher average separate account balances resulting from positive net cash flows from variable annuity business and market appreciation over the past 12 months. Fee income margin has remained

broadly consistent with the prior period at 193 basis points (half year 2013 at 197 basis points at constant exchange rates; 196 basis points at actual exchange rates), with the decrease primarily attributable to a change in the mix of business.

Insurance margin represents operating profits from insurance risks, including variable annuity guarantees and other sundry items. Positive net flows from variable annuity business with life contingent and other guarantee fees, coupled with a benefit from re-pricing actions, have increased the insurance margin to £328 million in the first half of 2014.

Acquisition costs, which are commissions and expenses incurred to acquire new business, including those that are not deferrable, have increased to £477 million reflecting higher volumes. As a percentage of APE, acquisition costs have decreased to 55 per cent for half year 2014, compared to 58 per cent in half year 2013 due to the continued shift towards producers selecting asset-based commissions which are treated as an administrative expense in this analysis, rather than front end commissions.

Administration expenses increased to £333 million during the first half of 2014 compared to £299 million for the first half of 2013 at a constant exchange rate (AER £323 million) primarily as a result of higher asset based commissions paid on the larger 2014 separate account balance. These are paid on policy anniversary dates

45

and are treated as an administration expense in this analysis. Excluding these trail commissions, the resulting administration expense ratio would be lower at 37 basis points from 45 basis points (on both constant and actual exchange rate bases) in the first half of 2013, reflecting the benefits of operational leverage.

DAC adjustments decreased to £135 million during the first half of 2014 compared to £160 million at a constant exchange rate (AER £173 million) during the first half of 2013. This reflects the interplay between higher DAC amortisation charges on costs previously deferred (reflecting business growth), which is outpacing the rate at which current period acquisition costs are being deferred. Certain acquisition costs are not fully deferrable, resulting in new business strain of £103 million for the first half of 2014 (half year 2013: £86 million on constant exchange rate basis; £93 million on actual exchange rate basis) mainly reflecting the increase in sales in the period.

Analysis of pre-tax operating profit before and after acquisition costs and DAC adjustments

	H	lalf year	2014 £m	1	Hal	f year 20)13 £m <i>A</i>	ER	Hal	lf year 20		CER
		Acquisition costs			Acquisition costs			osts	note (i) Acquisition costs			
0	Other perating profit!In	currede	eferred	oper	Other ating rofit¶n	currede	eferred	oper	Other ating rofit¶n	curreDe	eferred	Total
Total operating profit												
before acquisition costs												
and DAC adjustments	1,028			1,028	874			874	808			808
Less new business strain	l	(477)	374	(103)		(465)	372	(93)		(430)	344	(86)
Other DAC	_											
adjustments-amortisation	11											
of previously deferred acquisition costs:												
Normal			(249)	(249)			(219)	(219)			(203)	(203)
Deceleration												
(acceleration)			10	10			20	20			19	19
Total	1,028	(477)	135	686	874	(465)	173	582	808	(430)	160	538
Note												

(i) The half year 2013 comparative information has been presented at Actual Exchange Rate (AER) and Constant Exchange Rate (CER) so as to eliminate the impact of exchange translation. CER results are calculated by translating prior period results using the current period foreign exchange rate. See also note A1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Margin analysis of long-term insurance business UK

		UK							
		Half year 20		Half year 2013					
		Average Aver							
	Profit	Liability	Margin	Profit	Liability	Margin			
		note (ii)			note (ii)				
Long-term business	£m	£m	bps	£m	£m	bps			
Spread income	131	28,062	93	102	27,067	75			

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

Fee income		32	23,671	27	33	22,720	29
With-profits		135	84,393	32	133	83,814	32
Insurance margin		38			48		
Margin on revenues		84			80		
Expenses:							
Acquisition costs ^{note (i)}		(50)	433	(12)%	(54)	355	(15)%
Administration expenses		(64)	51,733	(25)	(59)	49,787	(24)
DAC adjustments		(6)			(7)		
Expected return on shareholders	assets	74			65		
Operating profit		374			341		

Notes

- (i) The ratio for acquisition costs is calculated as a percentage of APE sales including with-profits sales. Acquisition costs include only those relating to shareholder-backed business. APE is defined under the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation in this document.
- (ii) Opening and closing policyholder liabilities have been used to derive an average balance for the period, as a proxy for average balances throughout the period.

Analysis of UK operating profit drivers:

Spread income has increased 28 per cent from £102 million in half year 2013 to £131 million in half year 2014 principally due to the increase in profit from bulk annuity transactions, partially offset by lower individual annuity sales in half year 2014. This has increased the margin from 75 basis points in half year 2013 to 93 basis points in half year 2014.

Insurance margin has decreased from £48 million in half year 2013 to £38 million in half year 2014. Improved profits from the UK protection business and favourable mortality experience on the UK annuity book are offset by the non-recurrence of the benefit in 2013 of a longevity swap on certain aspects of the UK s annuity back-book liabilities.

Acquisition costs as a percentage of new business sales in half year 2014 decreased to 12 per cent from 15 per cent at half year 2013, principally driven by the effect of higher bulk annuity sales in the year, which traditionally are less capital intensive. The ratio above expresses the percentage of shareholder acquisition costs as a percentage of total APE sales. It is therefore impacted by the level of with-profit sales in the year. Acquisition costs as a percentage of shareholder-backed new business sales, excluding the bulk annuity transactions, were 35 per cent in half year 2014 (half year 2013: 34 per cent).

Administration expenses at £64 million are £5 million higher than for half year 2013, reflecting an increase in the proposition development spend following the UK Budget announcement. The administration expense ratio remains broadly in line with the prior period at 25 basis points (half year 2013: 24 basis points).

Expected return on shareholder assets has increased from £65 million in half year 2013 to £74 million in half year 2014 principally due to higher IFRS shareholders funds.

Asia operations analysis of IFRS operating profit by territory

Operating profit based on longer-term investment returns for Asia operations are analysed below. For memorandum disclosure purposes, the table below presents the half year 2013 results on both actual exchange rates (AER) and constant exchange rates (CER) bases so as to eliminate the impact of exchange translation.

		AER	CER		
				AER	CER
	Half year	Half year	Half year		
				vs Half year	vs Half year
	2014	2013	2013		
	£m	£m	£m	2013	2013
Hong Kong	51	51	47	0%	9%
Indonesia	139	137	105	1%	32%
Malaysia	61	73	66	(16)%	(8)%
Philippines	11	9	8	22%	38%
Singapore	99	104	94	(5)%	5%
Thailand	25	11	10	127%	150%
Vietnam	27	16	14	69%	93%
SE Asia Operations inc. Hong					
Kong	413	401	344	3%	20%
China	8	6	6	33%	33%
India	24	26	21	(8)%	14%
Korea	17	8	7	113%	143%
Taiwan	7	4	4	75%	75%

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

Other	(4)	-	(1)	n/a	n/a
Non-recurrent items ^{note (ii)}	19	31	27	(39)%	(30)%
Total insurance operations ^{note (i)}	484	476	408	2%	19%
Development expenses	(1)	(2)	(2)	50%	50%
Total long-term business					
operating profit ^{note (iii)}	483	474	406	2%	19%
Eastspring Investments	42	38	34	11%	24%
Total Asia operations	525	512	440	3%	19%

Notes

(i) Analysis of operating profit between new and in-force business

The result for insurance operations comprises amounts in respect of new business and business in-force as follows:

	2014 £m	2014 £m	
		AER	CER
	Half year	Half year	Half year
New business strain	(19)	(23)	(22)
Business in force	484	468	403
Non-recurrent items ^{note (ii)}	19	31	27
Total	484	476	408

The IFRS new business strain corresponds to approximately 2 per cent of new business APE sales for 2014 (half year 2013: approximately 2 per cent). APE is defined under the section EEV Basis, New Business Results and Free Surplus Generation in this document.

The strain represents the pre-tax regulatory basis strain to net worth after IFRS adjustments; for example the deferral of acquisition costs and deferred income where appropriate.

- (ii) Other non-recurrent items of £19 million in 2014 (half year 2013: £31 million) represent a small number of items that are not anticipated to re-occur in subsequent periods.
- (iii) To facilitate comparisons of operating profit based on longer-term investment returns that reflect the Group s retained operations, the results attributable to the held for sale Japan Life business are not included within the long-term business operating profit for Asia. The Japan Life business contributed an operating profit of nil in 2014 (half year 2013: profit of £5 million).

Analysis of asset management operating profit based on longer-term investment returns

		Half	year 2014 £m		
		Eastspring	Prudential		
	M&G note (ii)	Investments note (ii)	Capital	US	Total
Operating income before performance-related	,	,			
fees	463	111	64	139	777
Performance-related fees	7	-	-	-	7
Operating income(net of commission) ^{note (i)}	470	111	64	139	784
Operating expensenote (i)	(249)	(65)	(42)	(144)	(500)
Share of associate s results	6	-	-	-	6

Group s share of tax on joint ventures						
operating profit	-		(4)	-	-	(4)
Operating profit based on longer-term						
investment returns	227		42	22	(5)	286
Average funds under management	£ 242.9bn	£	62.4bn			
Margin based on operating income*	38bps		36bps			
Cost / income ratio**	54%		59%			

Half year 2013 £m Eastspring Prudential

	M&G note (ii)	Investm not	nents te (ii)	Capital	US	Total
Operating income before performance-related						
fees	421		109	56	181	767
Performance-related fees	4		1	-	-	5
Operating income(net of commission) ^{note (i)}	425		110	56	181	772
Operating expensenote (i)	(226)		(68)	(35)	(147)	(476)
Share of associate s results	5		-	-	-	5
Group s share of tax on joint ventures						
operating profit	-		(4)	-	-	(4)
Operating profit based on longer-term						
investment returns	204		38	21	34	297
Average funds under management	£ 230.9bn	£ 62	2.7bn			
Margin based on operating income*	36bps	3	5bps			
Cost / income ratio**	54%		62%			

Notes

- (i) Operating income and expense includes the Group s share of contribution from joint ventures (but excludes any contribution from associates). In the income statement as shown in note B2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, the net post-tax income of the joint ventures and associates is shown as a single item.
- (ii) M&G and Eastspring Investments can be further analysed as follows:

Operating	g income		&G e perforn	nance	related	fees	Operating i		spring l before			e related	l fees
	M	arginI	nstituM	argin	M	argin		M	argiin	stitu M	argin	M	argin
	Retanil F	UM*	tion al F	'UM*	Total F	TUM*]	Ret aail F	'UM* t	ion al F	'UM*	Total F	'UM*
	£m	bps	£m	bps	£m	bps		£m	bps	£m	bps	£m	bps
30-Jun-14	291	86	172	20	463	38	30-Jun-14	65	62	46	22	111	36
30-Jun-13	265	89	156	18	421	36	30-Jun-13	64	60	45	22	109	35

- * Margin represents operating income before performance related fees as a proportion of the related funds under management (FUM). Half year figures have been annualised by multiplying by two. Monthly closing internal and external funds managed by the respective entity have been used to derive the average. Any funds held by the Group s insurance operations which are managed by third parties outside of the Prudential Group are excluded from these amounts.
- ** Cost/income ratio represents cost as a percentage of operating income before performance related fees. Institutional includes internal funds.

IFRS Short-term fluctuations in investment returns

IFRS operating profit is based on longer-term investment returns assumptions. The difference between actual investment returns recorded in the income statement and these longer-term returns is reported within short-term fluctuations in investment returns. In the first half of 2014 for our insurance operations these total negative £14 million (half year 2013: negative £725 million on an actual exchange rate basis).

In Asia, positive short-term fluctuations of £119 million primarily reflect net unrealised movements on bond holdings following modest falls in bond yields during the first half of the year across the region.

Negative short-term fluctuations of £226 million in the US mainly represent the net value movement on derivatives held to manage the Group's exposure to market movements following rises in equity values. Jackson hedges the guarantees offered under its variable annuity proposition on an economic basis and, thus, accepts a degree of variability in its IFRS results in the short term in order to achieve the appropriate economic result. Increases in US equity markets during the first half of the year gave rise to negative mark-to-market movement on the portfolio of equity hedges, which were not offset by corresponding reductions in the obligations to our customers as many of the guarantees are not accounted for using a fully fair value basis. The net gains on the interest rate hedges generated by the reduction in US interest rates, were insufficient to eliminate the negative equity market effect.

Positive fluctuations of £93 million in the UK include net unrealised movements on fixed income assets supporting the capital of the shareholder-backed annuity business, reflecting the fall in bond yields since the end of 2013.

Amortisation of acquisition accounting adjustments

The amortisation primarily comprises the difference between the yield on the acquired investments on purchase of REALIC in 2012 based on market values at acquisition and historic investment income on book yields recognised in IFRS operating profit. Movement in the fair value acquisition adjustments on the value of in-force business acquired is also included.

Effective tax rates

In the first half of 2014 the effective tax rate on operating profit based on longer-term investment returns was 24 per cent in line with the equivalent period last year.

The effective tax rate for the first half of 2014 on the total IFRS profit was 20 per cent (half year 2013: 28 per cent), reflecting both the reduction in corporation tax rates in the UK and certain Asia jurisdictions as well as the fact that the 2013 effective tax rate was higher than normal due to no tax relief being available on the loss attaching to the held for sale Japan Life business.

49

Earnings per share (EPS)

	Actual Exchange Rate			Constant Exchange Rate		
	2014 pence2013 pence		Change %	2013 pence	Change %	
	Half year	Half year		Half year		
Basic earnings per share based on						
operating profit after tax	45.2	42.2	7	38.7	17	
Basic earnings per share based on total						
profit after tax	45.0	14.3	215	12.8	252	

50

Explanation of Movements in Profits Before Shareholder Tax by Nature of Revenue and Charges

The following table shows Prudential s consolidated total revenue and consolidated total charges for the following periods.

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Earned premiums, net of reinsurance	16,189	14,763
Investment returns	13,379	6,528
Other income	1,059	1,100
Total revenue, net of reinsurance	30,627	22,391
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits		
funds, net of reinsurance	(25,549)	(18,143)
Acquisition costs and other expenditure	(3,336)	(3,315)
Finance costs: interest on core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed		
operations	(170)	(152)
Remeasurement of carrying value of Japan Life business classified as held		
for sale	(11)	(135)
Total charges, net of reinsurance	(29,066)	(21,745)
Share of profits from joint ventures and associates, net of related tax	147	74
Profit before tax (being tax attributable to shareholders and		
policyholders returns)*	1,708	720
Less tax charge attributable to policyholders returns	(284)	(214)
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	1,424	506
Tax charge attributable to shareholders returns	(279)	(141)
Profit for the period	1,145	365

^{*} This measure is the formal profit before tax measure under IFRS but it is not the result attributable to shareholders.

This is principally because the corporate taxes of the Group include those on the income of consolidated with-profits and unit-linked funds that, through adjustments to benefits, are borne by policyholders. These amounts are required to be included in the tax charge of the Company under IAS 12. Consequently, the profit before all taxes measure (which is determined after deducting the cost of policyholder benefits and movements in the liability for unallocated surplus of the PAC with-profits fund after adjusting for taxes borne by policyholders) is not representative of pre-tax profits attributable to shareholders.

Earned premiums

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Asia operations*	4,312	4,249
US operations	8,322	7,858
UK operations*	3,555	2,656
Total	16,189	14,763

^{*} The Asia and UK premiums exclude intra-group transactions.

Earned premiums, net of reinsurance, for insurance operations totalled £16,189 million in half year 2014 compared to £14,763 million in half year 2013. The increase of £1,426 million for half year 2014 was driven by increases of £899 million in the UK operations, £464 million in the US operations and £63 million in the Asia operations.

a) Asia

Earned premiums in Asia, net of reinsurance in half year 2014 were £4,312 million, an increase of 1 per cent compared to £4,249 million in half year 2013. The premiums reflect the aggregate of single and recurrent premiums of new business sold in the period and premiums on annual business sold in previous periods. Excluding the impact of exchange translation, earned premiums in Asia increased by 14 per cent compared to £3,766 million on a constant exchange rate basis in half year 2013. The growth in Asia continues to be explained by our strategic focus on the seven sweet spot markets of South-east Asia (including Hong Kong), where the structural growth opportunities are the most attractive. Sweet spot markets include Indonesia, Singapore, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Philippines, Vietnam and Thailand.

51

During the first half of this year, some of our markets experienced headwinds as a result of political and economic events such as the uncertainty over the outcome of Presidential elections in Indonesia or the military takeover in Thailand. These shorter-term cyclical pressures do not detract from the long-term structural trend of growing demand for our products and services from the rapidly growing and underinsured middle classes. These supportive trends underpin the compelling prospects for profitable growth for our business over the long term.

b) United States

Earned premiums, net of reinsurance in the US increased by 6 per cent from £7,858 million in half year 2013 to £8,322 million in half year 2014. Excluding the impact of exchange translation, earned premiums in the US increased by 15 per cent compared to £7,268 million on a constant exchange rate basis in half year 2013. The increase was led by variable annuity sales with continued strong growth of Elite Access, our variable annuity business without living benefits which exceeded the growth rate in sales of variable annuities excluding Elite Access. In line with our proactive cycle management approach, Jackson continues to actively manage the sales volumes of variable annuities with living benefits to maintain an appropriate balance of our revenue streams and to match the Group s annual risk appetite.

Jackson s strategy remains unchanged. We continue to price new business on a conservative basis, targeting value over volume. Our hedging remains focused on optimising the economies of our exposures over time while maintaining a strong balance sheet. We believe Jackson s approach has translated into value for the customers and into profits and cash for shareholders, the ultimate metrics of our successful strategy.

c) United Kingdom

Earned premiums, net of reinsurance for UK operations increased from £2,656 million in half year 2013 to £3,555 million in half year 2014, mainly due to four new bulk annuity deals in the first half of 2014 with premiums of £1,036 million (2013:nil) and increased sales of investment bonds partially offset by the decline in the sales of individual annuities. Our approach to bulk transactions in the UK will continue to be one of selective participation, looking for situations where we can both bring significant value to our customers and meet our demanding shareholder return hurdles.

The UK market continues to be heavily influenced by an unprecedented level of regulatory and legislative change. In March 2014, the UK government announced significant changes to pensions regulation which would effectively remove the requirement to purchase a pension annuity from April 2015. This is reflected in the decline in our first half sales of individual annuities, which were also impacted by the overall slowdown in the market that started to emerge through 2013.

Investment returns

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Asia operations	2,144	126
US operations	4,344	2,775
UK operations	6,943	3,654

Unallocated corporate (52) (27)
Total 13,379 6,528

Investment returns except in respect of Jackson s debt securities principally comprises interest income, dividends, investment appreciation/depreciation (realised and unrealised gains) and losses on investments designated as fair value through profit and loss and realised gains and losses, including impairment losses, on securities designated as available-for-sale. Movements in unrealised appreciation/depreciation of Jackson s debt securities designated as available-for-sale are not reflected in investment returns but are recorded in other comprehensive income.

Allocation of investment returns between policyholders and shareholders

Investment returns is attributable to policyholders and shareholders. A key feature of the accounting policies under IFRS is that the investment returns included in the income statement relates to all investment assets of the Group,

52

irrespective of whether the return is attributable to shareholders, to policyholders or to the unallocated surplus of with-profits funds, the latter two of which have no direct impact on shareholders profit. The table below provides a breakdown of the investment returns for each regional operation attributable to each type of business.

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Asia operations	v	ŭ
Policyholders returns		
Assets backing unit-linked liabilities	639	420
With-profits business	1,063	(432)
	1,702	(12)
Shareholders returns	442	138
Total	2,144	126
US operations		
Policyholders returns assets held to back (separate account) unit-linked		
liabilities	3,573	3,021
Shareholders returns	771	(246)
Total	4,344	2,775
UK operations		
Policyholders returns		
Scottish Amicable Insurance Fund (SAIF)	302	242
Assets held to back unit-linked liabilities	772	1,441
With-profits fund (excluding SAIF)	4,172	2,028
	5,246	3,711
Shareholders returns		
Prudential Retirement Income Limited (PRIL)	1,190	(72)
Other business	507	15
	1,697	(57)
Total	6,943	3,654
Unallocated corporate		
Shareholders returns	(52)	(27)
Group Total		
Policyholders returns	10,521	6,720
Shareholders returns	2,858	(192)
Total	13,379	6,528
Policyholders Returns		

The returns as shown in the table above are delineated between those returns allocated to policyholders and those allocated to shareholders. In making this distinction, returns allocated to policyholders are those from investments in which shareholders have no direct economic interest, namely:

Unit-linked business in the UK, Asia and SAIF in the UK, for which the investment returns are wholly attributable to policyholders;

Separate account business of US operations, the investment returns of which are also wholly attributable to policyholders; and

With-profits business (excluding SAIF) in the UK and Asia (in which the shareholders economic interest, and the basis of recognising IFRS basis profits, is restricted to a share of the actuarially determined surplus for distribution (in the UK 10 per cent)). Except for this surplus the investment returns of the with-profit funds is attributable to policyholders (through the asset-share liabilities) or the unallocated surplus, which is accounted for as a liability under IFRS 4.

The investment returns related to the types of business mentioned above do not impact shareholders profits directly. However there is an indirect impact, for example, investment-related fees or the effect of investment returns on the shareholders share of the cost of bonuses of with-profits funds.

53

Investment returns for unit-linked and similar products have reciprocal impact on benefits and claims, with a decrease in market returns on the attached pool of assets affecting policyholder benefits on these products. Similarly for with-profits funds there is a close correlation between increases or decreases in investment returns and the level of combined charge for policyholder benefits and movement on unallocated surplus that arises from such returns.

Shareholders returns

For shareholder-backed non-participating business of the UK (comprising PRIL and other non-linked non-participating business) and of the Asia operations, the investment returns are not directly attributable to policyholders and therefore does impact shareholders profit directly. However, for UK shareholder-backed annuity business, principally PRIL, where the durations of asset and liability cash flows are closely matched, the discount rate applied to measure liabilities to policyholders (under grandfathered UK GAAP and under IFRS 4) reflects movements in asset yields (after allowances for the future defaults) of the backing portfolios. Therefore, the net impact on the shareholders profits of the investment returns of the assets backing liabilities of the UK shareholder-backed annuity business is determined after taking into account the consequential effect on the movement in policyholder liabilities.

Changes in shareholders investment returns for US operations reflect primarily movements in the investment income, and realised gains and losses together with movements in the value of the derivative instruments held to manage interest rate exposures and durations within the general accounts (including variable annuity and fixed index annuity guarantees), GMIB reinsurance and equity derivatives held to manage the equity risk exposure of guarantee liabilities. Separately, reflecting Jackson s types of business, an allocation is made to policyholders through the application of crediting rates.

The majority of the investments held to back the US general account business are debt securities for which the available-for-sale designation is applied for IFRS basis reporting. Under this designation the return included in the income statement reflects the aggregate of investment income and realised gains and losses (including impairment losses). However, movements in unrealised appreciation or depreciation are recognised in other comprehensive income. The return on these assets is attributable to shareholders.

Reasons for period-on-period changes in investment returns

With two exceptions, all Prudential investments are carried at fair value in the statement of financial position with fair value movements, which are volatile from period to period, recorded in the income statement. The exceptions are for:

- (i) debt securities in the general account of US operations, the return on which is attributable to shareholders and which are accounted for on an IAS 39 available-for-sale basis. In this respect realised gains and losses (including impairment losses) are recorded in the income statement, while movements in unrealised appreciation (depreciation) are booked as other comprehensive income. As a result, the changes in unrealised fair value of these debt securities are not reflected in Prudential s investment returns in the income statement. The unrealised gains and losses in the income statement of US operations primarily arise on the assets of the US separate account business; and
- (ii) loans and receivables, which are carried at amortised cost. Subject to the effect of these two exceptions, the period-on-period changes in investment returns primarily reflect the generality of overall market movements for equities, debt securities and, in the UK, for investment property mainly

held by with-profits funds. In addition, for Asia and US separate account business, foreign exchange rates affect the sterling value of the translated income. Consistent with the treatment applied for other items of income and expenditure, investment returns for overseas operations are translated at average exchange rates.

a) Asia

The table below provides an analysis of investment returns attributable to Asia operations for the periods presented:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Interest/dividend income (including foreign exchange gains and losses)	478	458
Investment appreciation (depreciation)*	1,666	(332)
Total	2,144	126

*Investment appreciation (depreciation) comprises net realised and unrealised gains and losses on the investments. In Prudential s Asia operations, equities and debt securities accounted for 43 per cent and 51 per cent, respectively of the total investment portfolio at 30 June 2014. The remaining 6 per cent of the total investment portfolio was primarily loans and deposits with credit institutions. At 30 June 2013, the total proportion of the investment portfolio invested in equities and debt securities was 38 per cent and 55 per cent respectively, with the remaining 7 per cent similarly invested in loans and deposits with credit institutions. In Asia, investment returns increased from £126 million in half year 2013 to £2,144 million in half year 2014. This increase in investment returns was primarily due to the change from £332 million in investment depreciation in half year 2013 to £1,666 million in investment appreciation in half year 2014. The increase of £1,998 million in investment appreciation was driven primarily by favourable equity market movements and net unrealised gains movement in debt securities holdings following modest falls in bond yields across the region in Asia for first half of 2014.

b) United States

The table below provides an analysis of investment returns attributable to US operations for the periods presented:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Investment returns of investments backing US separate account liabilities	3,573	3,021
Other investment returns	771	(246)
Total	4,344	2,775

In the US, investment returns increased from a £2,775 million credit in half year 2013 to a £4,344 million credit in half year 2014. This £1,569 million favourable change arose from an increase of £552 million in the investment returns on investments backing variable annuity separate account liabilities from a gain of £3,021 million in half year 2013 to £3,573 million in half year 2014 and an increase in other investment returns from negative £246 million to a gain of £771 million. The primary driver for the increase in investment returns on investments backing variable annuity separate account liabilities as compared to the same period in 2013 was favourable movements in the US equity markets in half year 2014 on a larger separate account asset balance. The increase of £1,017 million in other investment returns reflects the value movements in derivatives held to manage interest rate and equity risk exposures as noted previously and as discussed in note B1.2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

c) United Kingdom

The table below provides an analysis of investment returns attributable to UK operations for the periods presented:

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Interest/dividend income	3,398	3,625
Investment appreciation and other investment returns	3,545	29
Total	6,943	3,654

In Prudential s UK operations, equities, debt securities and investment properties accounted for 26 per cent, 52 per cent and 7 per cent, respectively of the total investment portfolio at 30 June 2014. The remaining 15 per cent of the total investment portfolio at 30 June 2014 was comprised of loans, deposits with credit institutions, investment in partnerships in investment pools and derivative assets. Within debt securities of £83,633 million at 30 June 2014, 71 per cent was held in corporate debt securities. At 30 June 2013 the total proportion of the investment portfolio held in equities, debt securities and investment properties was of a similar magnitude to that as at 30 June 2014. Interest and dividend income decreased by £227 million from £3,625 million in half year 2013 to £3,398 million in half year 2014. The increase in investment appreciation and other investment returns of £3,516 million from £29 million to £3,545 million principally reflects altered levels of investment appreciation on investments backing policyholder liabilities including derivatives held to efficiently manage the portfolios. The increase principally reflects value movements on debt securities due to falling bond yields and gains on investment properties.

d) Unallocated corporate and intragroup elimination

The investment returns for unallocated corporate intragroup elimination decreased from negative £27 million in half year 2013, to negative £52 million in half year 2014 mainly due to foreign exchange loss.

Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds, net of reinsurance

	2014 £m	2013 £m Half year
	Half year	
Asia operations	(4,906)	(3,020)
US operations	(11,644)	(10,088)
UK operations	(8,999)	(5,035)
Total	(25,549)	(18,143)

Benefits and claims represent payments, including final bonuses, to policyholders in respect of maturities, surrenders and deaths plus the change in technical provisions (which primarily represents the movement in amounts owed to policyholders). Benefits and claims are amounts attributable to policyholders. The movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds represents the transfer to (from) the unallocated surplus each year through a charge (credit) to the income statement of the annual excess (shortfall) of income over expenditure of the with-profits funds, after declaration and attribution of the cost of bonuses to policyholders and shareholders.

The charge for benefits and claims and movements in unallocated surplus, net of reinsurance of £25,549 million for half year 2014 (half year 2013: £18,143 million) shown in the table above includes the effect of accounting for investment contracts without discretionary participation features (as defined by IFRS 4) in accordance with IAS 39 to reflect the deposit nature of the arrangement.

Additionally, the movement in policyholder liabilities and unallocated surplus of with-profits funds represents the amount recognised in the income statement and therefore excludes the effect of foreign exchange translation differences on the policyholder liabilities of foreign subsidiaries and the movement in liabilities arising on acquisitions and disposals of subsidiaries in the year.

The underlying reasons for the period to period changes in benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus in each of Prudential s regional operations are changes in the incidence of claims incurred, increases or decreases in policyholders liabilities, and movements in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds.

The charge for total benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus net of reinsurance of with-profits funds increased to £25,549 million in half year 2014 compared to £18,143 million in half year 2013. The amounts of the period to period change attributable to each of the underlying reasons as stated above are shown below:

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m
	nan year	Half year
Claims incurred	(10,747)	(10,828)
Increase in policyholder liabilities	(13,846)	(6,455)
Movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds	(956)	(860)
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus, net of		
reinsurance	(25,549)	(18,143)

The principal driver for variations in amounts allocated to policyholders is changes to investment returns reflected in the balance sheet measurement of liabilities for Prudential s with-profits, SAIF and unit-linked policies (including the US separate account business). In addition, for those liabilities under IFRS, in particular liabilities relating to the UK annuity business (principally PRIL), where the measurement reflects the yields on assets backing the liabilities, the period to period changes in investment yields also contribute significantly to variations in the measurement of policyholder liabilities. The principal driver for variations in the change in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds is the value movements on the investment assets of the with-profits funds to the extent not reflected in the policyholder liabilities.

The principal variations in the increases or decreases in policyholder liabilities and movements in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds for each regional operation are discussed further below.

a) Asia

In half year 2014, the charge for benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds totalled £4,906 million, representing an increase of £1,886 million compared to £3,020 million in half year 2013. The amounts of the period to period change attributable to each of the underlying reasons are shown below:

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Claims incurred	(1,936)	(2,061)
Increase in policyholder liabilities	(2,832)	(874)
Movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds	(138)	(85)
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus	(4,906)	(3,020)

The growth in policyholder liabilities in Asia over the periods partially reflected the increase due to the strong growth of new business and the in-force books in the region, together with improved surrender rates in the with-profits business. This has been offset by a higher level of maturities in our shareholder-backed business, as a greater number of contracts reach maturity dates in some markets.

The variations in the increases or decreases in policyholder liabilities in individual periods were however, primarily due to movements in investment returns. This was as a result of asset value movements, which are reflected in the unit value of the unit-linked policies that represent a significant proportion of Asian business. In addition, the policyholder liabilities of the Asian operations with-profits policies also fluctuated with the investment performance of the funds.

b) United States

Except for institutional products and certain term annuities which are classified as investment products under IAS 39, the products are accounted for as insurance contracts for IFRS reporting purposes. On this basis of reporting, deposits into these products are recorded as premiums while withdrawals and surrenders are included in benefits and claims, and the resulting net movement is recorded under other reserve movements within benefits and claims. Benefits and claims also include interest credited to policyholders in respect of deposit products less fees charged on these policies.

In half year 2014, the accounting charge for benefits and claims increased by £1,556 million to £11,644 million compared to £10,088 million in the same period in the prior year. The amounts of the period to period change

attributable to each of the underlying reasons are described below:

	2014 £m	2013 £m Half year
	Half year	
Claims incurred	(4,050)	(3,671)
Increase in policyholder liabilities	(7,594)	(6,417)
Benefits and claims	(11,644)	(10,088)

57

The period-on-period movement in claims incurred for US operations as shown in the table above also includes the effect of translating the US results into pound sterling at the average exchange rates for the relevant periods.

The charges in each period comprise amounts in respect of variable annuity and other business. For variable annuity business, there are two principal factors that contribute to the variations in the charge, in any given period. First, the investment returns on the assets backing the variable annuity separate account liabilities changed from £3,021 million in half year 2013 to £3,573 million in half year 2014 as shown in the section—Investment return-b) United States above. The second principal effect is the growth of the variable annuity business in force. This can be illustrated by the net flows of the US insurance operations—variable annuity separate account liabilities in note C4.1(C) to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements. The net flows of the variable annuity separate account liabilities shown in that note for half year 2014 were £4,595 million as compared with £4,054 million for half year 2013.

c) United Kingdom

The overall charge for benefits, claims and the transfer to unallocated surplus increased from £5,035 million charge in half year 2013 to £8,999 million in half year 2014. The amounts of the period to period change attributable to each of the underlying reasons are shown below, together with a further analysis of the change in policyholder liabilities by type of business:

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Claims incurred	(4,761)	(5,096)
Decrease (increase) in policyholder liabilities:		
SAIF	182	425
PRIL	(1,445)	302
Unit-linked and other non-participating business	(815)	(715)
With-profits (excluding SAIF)	(1,342)	824
	(3,420)	836
Movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits funds	(818)	(775)
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus	(8,999)	(5,035)

Claims incurred in the UK operations of £4,761 million in half year 2014 represented a decrease from the £5,096 million incurred in half year 2013.

As has been explained above, the principal driver for variations in amounts allocated to the policyholders is changes to investment returns.

SAIF is a ring-fenced fund with no new business written. The decrease in policyholder liabilities in SAIF reflects the run off of the underlying liabilities. The variations from period to period are, however, affected by the market valuation movement of the investments held by SAIF, which are wholly attributable to policyholders.

For PRIL, the increases in policyholder liabilities arise principally from three factors, namely, (i) changes to the discount rate applied to projected future annuity payments, (ii) premium income and, (iii) altered assumptions.

For unit-linked business, the variations in the increases in the related policyholder liabilities were primarily due to the movement in the market value of the unit-linked assets as reflected in the unit value of the unit-linked policies.

The part of Prudential where variations in amounts attributed to policyholder liabilities and unallocated surplus are most significant is the UK with-profits business (excluding SAIF). The liabilities for UK with-profits policyholders are determined on an asset-share basis that incorporates the accumulation of investment returns and all other items of income and outgo that are relevant to each policy type. Accordingly, movement in the policyholder liabilities in the income statement will fluctuate with the investment returns of the fund. Separately, the excess of assets over liabilities of the fund represents the unallocated surplus. This surplus will also fluctuate on a similar basis to the market value movement on the investment assets of the funds with the movement reflected in the income statement. In addition, other items of income and expenditure affect the level of movement in policyholder liabilities (to the extent reflected in asset shares) and unallocated surplus.

The correlation between total net income (loss) before benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus, on the one hand, and the (charge) credit for benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus, on the other, for the UK component of the PAC with-profits fund (excluding SAIF) principally arises due to the following factors:

- (a) Investment returns are included in full in the income statement and is attributable either to contracts or unallocated surplus.
- (b) Investment returns, to the extent attributable to contracts, directly affects asset-share liabilities, which are reflected in the income statement through changes in policyholder liabilities.
- (c) Investment returns, to the extent attributable to unallocated surplus, form the majority part of the movement in such surplus in the income statement.

Separately, the cost of current year bonuses which is attributable to policyholders is booked within the movement in policyholder liabilities. One-ninth of the declared cost of policyholders bonus is attributable to shareholders and represents the shareholders profit. Both of these amounts, by comparison with the investment returns, movement in other constituent elements of the change in policyholder liabilities and the change in unallocated surplus, are relatively stable from period to period.

The surplus for distribution in future years will reflect the aggregate of policyholder bonuses and the cost of bonuses attributable to shareholders, which is currently set at 10 per cent. The policyholder bonuses comprise the aggregate of regular and final bonuses. When determining policy payouts, including final bonuses, Prudential considers asset shares of specimen policies.

Prudential does not take into account the surplus assets of the long-term fund, or the investment returns, in calculating asset shares. Asset-shares are used in the determination of final bonuses, together with requirements concerning treating customers fairly, the need to smooth claim values and payments from year to year and competitive considerations.

In the unlikely circumstance that the depletion of excess assets within the long-term fund was such that Prudential s ability to treat its customers fairly was adversely affected, it might become necessary to restrict the annual distribution to shareholders or to contribute shareholders funds to the long-term funds to provide financial support.

Acquisition costs and other expenditure

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Full year
Asia operations	(1,108)	(1,123)
US operations	(987)	(811)
UK operations	(1,269)	(1,392)
Unallocated corporate and intragroup elimination	28	11
Total	(3,336)	(3,315)

Total acquisition costs and other expenditure of £3,336 million in half year 2014 were 1 per cent higher than the £3,315 million incurred in half year 2013. In general, acquisition costs and other expenditure comprise acquisition costs incurred for insurance policies, change in deferred acquisition costs, operating expenses and movements in amounts attributable to external unit holders. Movements in amounts attributable to external unit holders are in respect of the funds managed on behalf of third parties which are consolidated but have no recourse to the Group and reflects the change in the overall returns in these funds in the period.

a) Asia

Total acquisition costs and other expenditure for Asia in half year 2014 were (£1,108) million, a decrease of £15 million compared to (£1,123) million in half year 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a reduction in the charge for investment gains attributable to external unit-holders relating to funds managed on behalf of third parties which are consolidated but have no recourse to the Group.

59

b) United States

Total acquisition costs and other expenditure for the US of (£987) million in half year 2014 represented an increased cost of £176 million against the (£811) million incurred in half year 2013. The period on period movement primarily reflected an increase in operating expenses, and an increase in acquisition costs, net of change in deferred acquisition costs.

c) United Kingdom

Total acquisition costs and other expenditure for the UK decreased by 9 per cent from (£1,392) million in half year 2013 to (£1,269) million in half year 2014. This decrease arose primarily from the decreases in the charge for investment gains attributable to external unit-holders relating to funds managed on behalf of third parties which are consolidated but have no recourse to the Group by (£131) million from (£399) million in half year 2013 to (£268) million in half year 2014, partially offset by increases in the underlying operating expenses of M&G.

d) Unallocated corporate and intragroup elimination

Other net expenditure of a credit of £28 million in half year 2014 compares to a credit of £11 million in half year 2013. Other net expenditure comprises both the other expenditure of the unallocated corporate and elimination of intragroup income and expenses such as the asset management fees charged by the Group s asset management businesses to the insurance operations.

60

IFRS Shareholders Funds and Shareholder-backed policyholder liabilities

Movement on shareholders funds

The following table sets forth a summary of the movement in Prudential s shareholder funds for half year 2014 and half year 2013:

	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Profit after tax for the period	1,145	365
Exchange movements, net of related tax	(117)	232
Unrealised gains and losses on Jackson securities classified as available for		
sale,		
net of related changes to deferred acquisition costs and tax*	527	(837)
Dividends	(610)	(532)
Other	30	38
Net increase (decrease) in shareholders funds	975	(734)
Shareholders funds at beginning of the period	9,650	10,359
Shareholders funds at end of the period	10,625	9,625
Shareholders value per share	414p	376p
Return on shareholders funds**	24%	21%

^{*} Net of related changes to deferred acquisition costs and tax.

During the first half of 2014 the performance of the equity markets in the countries that we operate in has been broadly positive, with the US S&P 500 index up 6.1 per cent and the MSCI Asia ex-Japan index higher by 5.5 per cent, while the UK FTSE 100 index was flat. Continued speculation on global growth prospects and the timing of key interest rate decisions has led to some volatility in long-term yields, with most markets experiencing a small decline in 10-year bond yields since the end of 2013. Lower yields generate beneficial value movements on our holdings of fixed income securities which have given rise to positive short-term investment variances in some of our operations.

In addition, the continued appreciation of the sterling against most global currencies, referenced earlier in this report, has a negative translational impact on conversion of local balance sheets to sterling.

Taking these non-operating movements into account, the Group's shareholders funds at 30 June 2014 of £10.6 billion were 9 per cent higher than 31 December 2013 of £9.7 billion (on an actual exchange rate basis), reflecting positive operating results in the period and favourable movements in non-operating items.

Shareholder-backed policyholder liabilities and net liability flows⁸

2014 £m 2013 £m Half year Half year

Change %

^{**} Annualised operating profit based on longer term investment returns after tax and non-controlling interests as percentage of opening shareholders fund.

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

					Constant	A	Actual	Constant
	N	Net liability		change Rate Net liability	Exchange Rate Net liability		change Rate et liability	Exchange Rate Net liability
	Policyholder	P	olicyholder	•	Policyho	lder	•	•
	liabilities	flows ⁹	liabilities	flows ⁹	flo via bil	ities	flows9	flows ⁹
Asia	23,419	891	22,903	1,039	938	2	(14)	(5)
US	112,009	4,977	106,215	5,168	4,781	5	(4)	4
UK	52,687	(140)	50,070	(336)	(336)	5	58	58
Total Group	188,115	5,728	179,188	5,871	5,383	5	(2)	6

Focusing on the business supported by shareholder capital, which accounts for the majority of the life profits, in the course of the first half of 2014 policyholder liabilities increased from £180.1 billion at the start of the year to

⁸ Includes Group s proportionate share of liabilities and associated flows of the insurance joint ventures in Asia.

£188.1 billion at the end of June 2014. The consistent addition of high quality profitable new business and proactive management of the existing in-force portfolio underpins this increase, resulting in positive net flows into policyholder liabilities of £5.7 billion in the first half of 2014 driven by positive inflows into both our US and Asia businesses. Net flows⁹ into our Jackson business grew by 4 per cent compared to half year 2013, following increased variable annuity new business premiums. Net flows into Asia continue to be positive reflecting the new regular premium business added this year, offset by higher levels of maturities of products reaching their term. Favourable investment markets and other movements have contributed a further £6.3 billion to the increase in policyholder liabilities since the start of the year, offset by a £4.0 billion negative foreign currency translation effect.

⁹ Defined as movements in shareholder-backed policyholder liabilities arising from premiums (net of charges), surrenders/withdrawals, maturities and deaths.

62

Other results based information

Funds under management

(a) Summary(i)

	2014 £bn 30 Jun	2013 £bn 31 Dec
Business area:		
Asia operations	42.1	38.0
US operations	109.9	104.3
UK operations	160.4	157.3
Prudential Group funds under management	312.4	299.6
External funds note (ii)	144.8	143.3
Total funds under management	457.2	442.9
Notes		

Notes

- (i) Including Group s share of assets managed by joint ventures.
- (ii) External funds shown above as at 30 June 2014 of £144.8 billion (31 December 2013: £143.3 billion) comprise £158.1 billion (31 December 2013: £148.2 billion) of funds managed by M&G and Eastspring Investments as shown in note (c) below less £13.3 billion (31 December 2013: £4.9 billion) that are classified within Prudential Group s funds. The £158.1 billion (31 December 2013: £148.2 billion) investment products comprise £153.8 billion (31 December 2013: £143.9 billion) as published in the New Business schedules plus Asia Money Market Funds of £4.3 billion (31 December 2013: £4.3 billion).

(b) Prudential Group funds under management analysis by business area

	Asia opera	ations £bn	US operat	tions £bn	UK opera	tions £bn	Tota	l £bn
	30	31	30	31	30	31		
	Jun	Dec	Jun	Dec	Jun	Dec	30 Jun	31 Dec
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Investment properties	-	-	0.1	-	11.9	11.7	12.0	11.7
Equity securities	16.8	14.4	71.8	66.0	42.0	39.8	130.6	120.2
Debt securities	20.0	18.6	30.6	30.3	83.6	84.0	134.2	132.9
Loans and receivables	0.9	0.9	6.1	6.4	5.4	5.3	12.4	12.6
Other investments and deposits	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	17.0	16.0	19.0	18.5
Total included in statement of								
financial position	38.4	34.8	109.9	104.3	159.9	156.8	308.2	295.9
Internally managed funds held								
in insurance joint ventures	3.7	3.2	-	-	0.5	0.5	4.2	3.7
Total Prudential Group funds								
under management	42.1	38.0	109.9	104.3	160.4	157.3	312.4	299.6

As included in the investments section of the consolidated statement of financial position at 30 June 2014, except for £0.3 billion (31 December 2013: £0.3 billion) investment properties which are held for sale or occupied by the Group and, accordingly under IFRS, are included in other statement of financial position captions.

(c) Investment products external funds under management

Half year 2014 £m

Market

				exchange	
				translation	
		Market			
				and	
	1 Jan	gross		other	30 Jun
	2014	inflows	Redemptions	movements	2014
Eastspring Investmentsnote	22,222	38,934	(36,504)	726	25,378
M&G	125,989	19,322	(15,111)	2,571	132,771
Group total	148,211	58,256	(51,615)	3,297	158,149

Full year 2013 £m

Market

exchange

		Market		translation	
	1 Jan	gross		and other	31 Dec
	2012				2012
	2013	inflows	Redemptions	movements	2013
Eastspring Investmentsnote	2013	74,206	(72,111)	movements (1,507)	2013 22,222
Eastspring Investments ^{note} M&G			-		

Note

Including Asia Money Market Funds at 30 June 2014 of £4.3 billion (31 December 2013: £4.3 billion).

(d) M&G and Eastspring Investments total funds under management

	2014 £bn	2013 £bn
M&G	30 Jun	31 Dec
External funds under management	132.8	126.0
Internal funds under management	120.9	118.0
Total funds under management	253.7	244.0
	2014	2013
	£bn	£bn
Eastspring Investments	30 Jun	31 Dec
External funds under managementnote	25.4	22.2
Internal funds under management	41.4	37.7
Total funds under management	66.8	59.9
NT 4		

Note

Including Asia Money Market Funds at 30 June 2014 of £4.3 billion (31 December 2013: £4.3 billion).

Foreign currency source of key metrics

The tables below show the Group s key IFRS metrics analysis by contribution by currency group:

IFRS half year 2014 results

Pre-tax	Shareholders	funds ^{3,4}
		M

%

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

	Operating profit ^{2,3,4}	
	%	
US\$ linked ¹	17	13
Other Asia currencies	17	18
Total Asia	34	31
UK sterling ^{2,3}	21	50
US\$ ³	45	19
Total	100	100

¹US\$ linked comprising the Hong Kong and Vietnam operations where the currencies are pegged to the US dollar and the Malaysia and Singapore operations where the currencies are managed against a basket of currencies including the US dollar.

Notes

²Includes long-term, asset management business and other businesses.

³For operating profit and shareholders funds UK sterling includes amounts in respect of central operations as well as UK insurance operations and M&G.

⁴ For shareholders funds, the US\$ grouping includes US\$ denominated core structural borrowings. Sterling operating profits include all interest payable as sterling denominated, reflecting interest rate currency swaps in place.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Prudential Capital operates a central treasury function for Prudential, which has overall responsibility for managing Prudential s capital funding program as well as its central cash and liquidity positions. Prudential arranges the financing of each of its subsidiaries primarily by raising external finance either at the parent company level (including through finance subsidiaries whose obligations the parent company guarantees) or at the operating company level.

After making enquiries, the directors have a reasonable expectation that the Company and the Group have adequate resources to continue their operations for the foreseeable future and therefore consider it appropriate to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting in preparing the financial statements.

Overview

We continue to operate with a strong solvency position, while maintaining high levels of liquidity and capital generation. At 30 June 2014 our IGD surplus is estimated at £4.1 billion before deducting the 2014 interim dividend, equivalent to available capital covering our capital requirement 2.3 times.

All of our subsidiaries continue to hold strong capital positions on a local regulatory basis. We continue to experience no defaults and modest levels of impairments across our fixed income securities portfolios. Notwithstanding, we have retained our cautious stance on credit risk and have maintained our sizeable £1.9 billion credit default reserves in our UK annuity operations. Further information on the strength and resilience of our capital and solvency position is provided in the Risk and Capital Management section of this document.

Solvency II is scheduled to come into effect on 1 January 2016 and we continue to engage with HM Treasury, the Prudential Regulation Authority, industry bodies and our peers to ensure that the final and full requirements of Solvency II are both workable and effective. At full year 2013, we provided our economic capital position based on our Solvency II internal model. This result was based on an assumption of US equivalence, with no restrictions being placed on the economic value of overseas surplus, and the internal model on which these calculations are based has not yet been reviewed or approved by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Other key elements of the basis which are likely to be updated in the future as Solvency II regulations become clearer relate to the liability discount rate for UK annuities, the impact of transitional arrangements and the credit risk adjustment to the risk-free rate. Therefore, the results represent an estimate of our Solvency II capital position, assessed against a draft set of rules, with a number of key working assumptions, and the eventual Solvency II capital position will change as we iterate both the methodology and the internal model to reflect final rules and regulatory feedback.

On this basis at 31 December 2013, our economic capital¹⁰ surplus was estimated at £11.3 billion, which is equivalent to an economic solvency ratio of 257 per cent. We will provide an update, factoring in developments and any PRA feedback, as part of full year 2014 reporting.

Group and holding company cash flow

Prudential s consolidated cash flow includes the movement in cash included within both policyholders and shareholders funds, such as cash in the with-profits fund. Prudential therefore believes that it is more relevant to consider individual components of the movement in holding company cash flow which relate solely to the shareholders.

Prudential continues to manage cashflows across the Group with a view to achieving a balance between ensuring sufficient remittances are made to service central requirements (including paying the external dividend) and

maximising value to shareholders through retention and reinvestment of excess capital in business opportunities.

The methodology and assumptions used in calculating the economic capital results are set out in the Other results based information section of Prudential's annual report for 2013 filed with the SEC on Form 20-F. The economic solvency ratio is based on the Group's Solvency II internal model which will be subject to Prudential Regulation Authority review and approval before its formal adoption in 2016. We do not expect to submit our Solvency II internal model to the Prudential Regulation Authority for approval until 2015 and therefore these economic capital disclosures should not be interpreted as outputs from an approved internal model.

65

Operating holding company cash flow for the first half of 2014 before the shareholder dividend was £819 million, £107 million higher than the first half of 2013. After deducting the shareholder dividend, the operating holding company cash flow was £209 million (half year 2013: £180 million).

Cash remittances to the Group from business units

Cash remitted by the business units to the Group in the first half of 2014 increased by 15 per cent to £974 million (2013: £844 million), with increased contributions from each of our four major business units. Net remittances from Asia were 14 per cent higher at £216 million, reflecting the cash-generative nature of our business growth in the region. As in prior years, Jackson remitted its full year dividend of £352 million in the first half of 2014. This remittance represents a new high for this operation, driven by the strong capital generation in the last year. UK remittances of £246 million also have a first half bias as these include the shareholders—share of the UK with-profit transfer of £193 million. M&G—s remittance increased to £135 million, in line with the increased earnings profile of this business.

The increases reflect underlying earnings growth and have been supported by our approach to hedging large non-sterling remittances 12 months in advance. Hitherto, this approach has protected remittances from Asia and the US from the effects of local currency depreciation relative to sterling, first observed at the start of the second half of 2013. However, the transitory benefit of this approach will unwind going forward. Furthermore, the announcements made by the UK Chancellor in the March Budget and other regulatory developments in the UK, require us to increase the level of investment in our UK pre- and post-retirement customer proposition, and this will temper remittances in the short term.

Net central outflows and other movements

Cash remitted to the Group in the first half of 2014 was used to meet central costs of £155 million (2013: £132 million) and payment of the 2013 final dividend of £610 million (2013: £532 million). In addition, £503 million (US\$850 million) of central cash was used to finance the initial upfront payment for the renewal of the distribution agreement with Standard Chartered Bank. Total holding company cash at the end of June 2014 was £1.9 billion compared to £2.2 billion at the end of 2013.

Dividend payments

The total cost of dividends settled by Prudential were £610 million and £532 million for the periods ended 30 June 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Due to the strong and sustained operational and financial performance of the Group, evidenced by the achievement of all our demanding 2013 Growth and Cash Objectives, the Board decided to rebase the 2013 full year dividend upwards to 33.57 pence per share, representing an increase of 15 per cent over 2012. As in previous years the interim dividend for 2014 has been calculated formulaically as one third of the prior year s full year dividend. The Board has approved a 2014 interim dividend of 11.19 pence per share, which equates to an increase of 15 per cent over the 2013 interim dividend.

Debt service costs

Debt service costs charged to profit in respect of core borrowings paid by Prudential in the first half of 2014 were £170 million compared with £152 million in the first half of 2013. Of total consolidated borrowings of £4,567 million as at 30 June 2014, the parent company had core borrowings of £4,146 million outstanding, all of which have

contractual maturity dates of more than five years.

Liquidity sources

The parent company held cash and short-term investments of £1,902 million, £1,490 million and £2,230 million as at 30 June 2014, 2013 and 31 December 2013, respectively. The sources of cash in 2013 included dividends, loans and interest received from operating subsidiaries. Prudential received £1,030 million in cash remittances

66

from business units in the first half of 2014, compared to £915 million received in the first half of 2013. These remittances primarily comprise dividends from business units and the shareholders—statutory transfer from the PAC long-term with-profits fund (UK Life Fund) relating to earlier bonus declarations. Offset against these cash remittances were £56 million and £71 million of capital invested in the first half of 2014 and 2013, respectively. Overall net remittances from business units had increased from £844 million in the first half of 2013 to £974 million in the first half of 2014.

Dividends, loans and interest received from subsidiaries

Under UK company law, dividends can only be paid if a company has distributable reserves sufficient to cover the dividend. In PAC, Prudential s largest operating subsidiary, distributable reserves are created mainly by the statutory long-term business profit transfer to shareholders that occurs upon the declaration of bonuses to policyholders of with profit products. Prudential s insurance and fund management subsidiaries ability to pay dividends and loans to the parent company is restricted by various laws and regulations. Jackson is subject to state laws that limit the dividends payable to its parent company. Dividends in excess of these limitations generally require approval of the state insurance commissioner. The table below shows the dividends, loans and other amounts received by Prudential from the principal operating subsidiaries for the first half of 2014 and 2013.

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Asian Operations	272	261
US Operations	352	294
UK Insurance Operations (mainly PAC)	246	226
M&G (including Prudential Capital)	160	134
Total	1,030	915

Each of Prudential s main operations generates sufficient profits to pay dividends to the parent. The amount of dividends paid by the operations is determined after considering the development, growth and investment requirements of the operating businesses. Prudential does not believe that the legal and regulatory restrictions on the ability of any one of its businesses to pay dividends to the parent, constitutes a material limitation on the ability of Prudential plc to meet its cash obligations.

Corporate transactions

Bancassurance partnership with Standard Chartered Plc

On 12 March 2014 the Group announced that it had entered into an agreement expanding the term and geographic scope of its strategic pan-Asian bancassurance partnership with Standard Chartered Plc. Under the new 15-year agreement, which commenced on 1 July 2014, a wide range of Prudential life insurance products are exclusively distributed through Standard Chartered branches in 9 markets Hong Kong, Singapore, Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, Vietnam, India and Taiwan subject to applicable regulations in each country. In China and South Korea, Standard Chartered Plc will distribute Prudential s life insurance products on a preferred basis. Prudential and Standard Chartered have also agreed to explore additional opportunities to collaborate in due course elsewhere in Asia and in Africa, subject to existing exclusivity arrangements and regulatory restrictions.

As part of this transaction Prudential has agreed to pay Standard Chartered Plc an initial fee of US\$1.25 billion which is unconditional on future sales volumes. Of this total, US\$850 million was settled in the first half of 2014. The

remainder will be advanced in two equal instalments of US\$200 million in each of April 2015 and April 2016. For IFRS financial reporting purposes the full value of this fee, equivalent to £731 million, has been accounted as an intangible asset. In calculating the Group s IGD surplus, the fee has been written-off as no value is attributed to intangible assets under this basis.

Domestication of Hong Kong Branch

On 1 January 2014, the Group completed the process of domestication of the Hong Kong branch of The Prudential Assurance Company Limited. The branch was transferred on 1 January 2014 to two new Hong Kong incorporated

67

Prudential companies, one providing life insurance and the other providing general insurance—Prudential Hong Kong Limited and Prudential General Insurance Hong Kong Limited. On the Prudential Regulation Authority s pillar 1 peak 2 basis £12.1 billion of assets, £12.0 billion of liabilities, net of reinsurers—share (including policyholder asset share liabilities, and £1.2 billion of inherited estate) and £0.1 billion of shareholders—funds (for the excess assets of the transferred non-participating business) have been transferred.

Agreement to sell Japan life business

The Group s closed book life insurance business in Japan, PCA Life Insurance Company Limited has been classified as held for sale. This classification reflects the expected disposal of the business on which an agreement to sell was reached in July 2013. The sale has yet to be completed.

Acquisition of Express life of Ghana

In April 2014 we completed the acquisition of Express Life of Ghana for £14 million.

Shareholders net borrowings

	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Half year	Half year
Shareholders borrowings in holding company	4,146	3,710
Prudential Capital	275	275
Jackson surplus notes	146	164
Total	4,567	4,149
Less: Holding company cash and short-term investments	(1,902)	(1,490)
Net core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed operations	2,665	2,659

Our financing and liquidity position remained strong throughout the period. Our central cash resources amounted to £1.9 billion at 30 June 2014, up from £1.5 billion at 30 June 2013, and we currently retain a further £2.5 billion of untapped committed liquidity facilities.

The Group s core structural borrowings at 30 June 2014 were £4,567 million (31 December 2013: £4,636 million on an actual exchange rate basis) on an IFRS basis and comprised £4,146 million (31 December 2013: £4,211 million on an actual exchange rate basis) of debt held by the holding company, and £421 million (31 December 2013: £425 million on an actual exchange rate basis) of debt held by the Group s subsidiaries, Prudential Capital and Jackson.

In addition to its net core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed operations set out above, the Group also has access to funding via the debt capital markets and has in place an unlimited global commercial paper programme. As at 30 June 2014, we had issued commercial paper under this programme totalling £236 million, US\$2,305 million, 75 million and AU\$10 million to finance non-core borrowings.

Prudential s holding company currently has access to £2.5 billion of syndicated and bilateral committed revolving credit facilities, provided by 18 major international banks, expiring between 2016 and 2019. Apart from small drawdowns to test the process, these facilities have never been drawn, and there were no amounts outstanding at 30 June 2014. The medium term note programme, the commercial paper programme and the committed revolving credit facilities are all available for general corporate purposes and to support the liquidity needs of Prudential s holding company and are intended to maintain a strong and flexible funding capacity.

Prudential manages the Group s core debt within a target level consistent with its current debt ratings. At 30 June 2014, the gearing ratio (debt, net of cash and short-term investments, as a proportion of IFRS shareholders—funds plus net debt) was 20 per cent, compared to 20 per cent at 31 December 2013. Prudential plc has strong debt ratings from Standard & Poor s, Moody s and Fitch. Prudential s long-term senior debt is rated A+, A2 and A from Standard & Poor s, Moody s and Fitch, while short-term ratings are A-1, P-1 and F1 respectively. All ratings on Prudential and its subsidiaries are on stable outlook except PAC, which was placed on negative outlook by Moody s in April 2014 following the pension changes set out in the March 2014 UK budget.

The financial strength of PAC is rated AA by Standard & Poor s, Aa2 by Moody s and AA by Fitch.

Jackson National Life Insurance Company s financial strength is rated AA by Standard & Poor s, A1 by Moody s and AA by Fitch.

Prudential Assurance Co. Singapore (Pte) Ltd. s (Prudential Singapore) financial strength is rated AA by Standard & Poor s.

Consolidated Cash Flows

The discussion that follows is based on the consolidated statement of cash flows prepared under IFRS and presented in Prudential s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Net cash outflows in the first half of 2014 were £775 million. This amount comprised outflows of £775 million from financing activities, and £584 million from investment activities less inflows of £584 million from operating activities. During the first half of 2013 net cash inflows were £553 million comprising of inflows of £1,323 million from operating activities, less outflows of £516 million from investing activities, and £254 million from financing activities.

As at 30 June 2014, the Group held cash and cash equivalents of £5,903 million compared with £6,785 million at 31 December 2013, a decrease of £882 million (representing net cash outflows of £775 million outlined above, and the effect of exchange rate changes of £107 million).

Contingencies and Related Obligations

Details of the main changes to Prudential s contingencies and related obligations that have arisen in the six month period ended 30 June 2014 are set out in note D3 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Details of the uses of derivative financial instruments by Prudential are as provided in the Group s 2013 annual report on Form 20-F.

Commitments

The Group has provided, from time to time, certain guarantees and commitments to third-parties including funding the purchase or development of land and buildings and other related matters. The contractual obligations to purchase or develop investment properties at 30 June 2014 was £163 million.

At 30 June 2014, Jackson has unfunded commitments of £337 million related to its investments in limited partnerships and of £264 million related to commercial mortgage loans and other fixed maturities. These commitments were entered into in the normal course of business and the Company does not expect a material adverse impact on the operations to arise from them.

Risk and Capital Management

Introduction

We generate shareholder value by selectively taking exposure to risks that are adequately rewarded and that can be appropriately quantified and managed. We retain material risks only where consistent with our risk appetite and risk-taking philosophy, that is: (i) they contribute to value creation; (ii) adverse outcomes can be withstood; and (iii) we have the capabilities, expertise, processes and controls to manage them.

The control procedures and systems established within the Group are designed to manage rather than eliminate the risk of failure to meet business objectives. They can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance against material misstatement or loss and focus on aligning the levels of risk-taking with the achievement of business objectives.

Group Risk Framework

Our Group Risk Framework describes our approach to risk management, including provisions for risk governance arrangements; our appetite and limits for risk exposures; policies for the management of various risk types; risk culture standards; and risk reporting. It is under this framework that the key arrangements and standards for risk management and internal control that support Prudential s compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements are defined.

Risk governance

Our Group Risk Framework requires that all our businesses and functions establish processes for identifying, evaluating and managing the key risks faced by the Group. The framework is based on the concept of three lines of defence comprising risk taking and management, risk control and oversight and independent assurance.

Primary responsibility for strategy, performance management and risk control lies with the Board, which has established the Group Risk Committee to assist in providing leadership, direction and oversight in respect of the Group s significant risks, and with the Group Chief Executive and the Chief Executives of each of the Group s business units.

Risk taking and the management thereof forms the first line of defence and is facilitated through both the Group Executive Committee and the Balance Sheet and Capital Management Committee.

Risk control and oversight constitutes the second line of defence, and is achieved through the operation of the Group Executive Risk Committee and its sub-committees which monitor and keep risk exposures under regular review. These committees are supported by the Group Chief Risk Officer, with functional oversight provided by Group Risk, Group Compliance and Group Security.

Group Risk has responsibility for establishing and embedding a capital management and risk oversight framework and culture consistent with our risk appetite that protects and enhances the Group s embedded and franchise value. Group Compliance provides verification of compliance with regulatory standards and informs the Board, as well as the Group s management, on key regulatory issues affecting the Group. Group Security is responsible for developing and delivering appropriate security measures with a view to protecting the Group s staff, physical assets and intellectual property.

Risk appetite and limits

The extent to which we are willing to take risk in the pursuit of our objective to create shareholder value is defined by a number of risk appetite statements, operationalised through measures such as limits, triggers and indicators. These appetite statements and measures are approved by the Board on recommendation of the Group Risk Committee and are subject to annual review.

We define and monitor aggregate risk limits based on financial and non-financial stresses for our earnings volatility, liquidity and capital requirements as follows:

Earnings volatility: the objectives of the limits are to ensure that:

- a. the volatility of earnings is consistent with the expectations of stakeholders;
- b. the Group has adequate earnings (and cashflows) to service debt, expected dividends and to withstand unexpected shocks; and
- c. earnings (and cashflows) are managed properly across geographies and are consistent with funding strategies.

70

The two measures used to monitor the volatility of earnings are EEV operating profit and IFRS operating profit, although EEV and IFRS total profits are also considered.

Liquidity: the objective is to ensure that the Group is able to generate sufficient cash resources to meet financial obligations as they fall due in business as usual and stressed scenarios.

Capital requirements: the limits aim to ensure that:

- a. the Group meets its internal economic capital requirements;
- b. the Group achieves its desired target rating to meet its business objectives; and
- c. supervisory intervention is avoided.

The two measures used are the EU Insurance Groups Directive (IGD) capital requirements and internal economic capital requirements. In addition, capital requirements are monitored on both local statutory and future Solvency II regulatory bases.

We also define risk appetite statements and measures (ie limits, triggers, indicators) for the major constituents of each risk type as categorised and defined in the Group Risk Framework, where appropriate. These appetite statements and measures cover the most significant exposures to the Group, particularly those that could impact our aggregate risk limits. The Group Risk Framework risk categorisation is shown in the table below.

Category	Risk type	Definition
Financial risks	Market risk	The risk of loss for the Group s business, or of adverse change in the financial situation, resulting, directly or indirectly, from fluctuations in the level or volatility of market prices of assets and liabilities.
	Credit risk	The risk of loss for the Group s business or of adverse change in the financial position, resulting from fluctuations in the credit standing of issuers of securities, counterparties and any debtors in the form of default or other significant credit event (eg downgrade or spread widening).
	Insurance risk	The risk of loss for the Group's business or of adverse change in the value of insurance liabilities, resulting from changes in the level, trend, or volatility of a number of insurance risk drivers. This includes adverse mortality, longevity, morbidity, persistency and expense experience.
	Liquidity risk	The risk of the Group being unable to generate sufficient cash resources or to meet financial obligations as they fall due in business as usual and stress scenarios.
Non-financial risks	Operational risk	The risk of loss arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, or from personnel and systems, or from external events other than those covered by business environment risk.
	Business environment risk	Exposure to forces in the external environment that could significantly change the fundamentals that drive the

	business s overall strategy.
Strategic risk	Ineffective, inefficient or inadequate senior management
	processes for the development and implementation of
	business strategy in relation to the business environment and
	the Group s capabilities.

Our risk appetite framework forms an integral part of our annual business planning cycle. The Group Risk Committee is responsible for reviewing the risks inherent in the Group s business plan and for providing the Board with input on the risk/reward trade offs implicit therein. This review is supported by the Group Risk function, which uses submissions by business units to calculate the Group s aggregated position (allowing for diversification effects between business units) relative to the aggregate risk limits.

71

Risk policies

Risk policies set out specific requirements for the management of, and articulate the risk appetite for, key risk types. There are policies for credit, market, insurance, liquidity, operational and tax risk, as well as dealing controls. They form part of the Group Governance Manual, which was developed to make a key contribution to the sound system of internal control that we are expected to maintain under the UK Corporate Governance Code and the Hong Kong Code on Corporate Governance Practices. Group Head Office and business units confirm that they have implemented the necessary controls to evidence compliance with the Group Governance Manual.

Risk culture

We work to promote a responsible risk culture in three main ways:

- a. by the leadership and behaviours demonstrated by management;
- b. by building skills and capabilities to support management; and
- c. by including risk management (through the balance of risk with profitability and growth) in the performance evaluation of individuals.

The remuneration strategy at Prudential is designed to be consistent with its risk appetite, and the Group Chief Risk Officer advises the Group Remuneration Committee on adherence to our risk framework and appetite.

Risk reporting

An annual top-down identification of our top risks assesses the risks that have the greatest potential to impact the Group s operating results and financial condition. The management information received by the Group Risk Committees and the Board is tailored around these risks, and it also covers on-going developments in other key and emerging risks. A discussion of the key risks, including how they affect our operations and how they are managed, follows below.

Key risks

Market risk

(i)Investment risk

In Prudential UK investment risk arising on the assets in the with-profits fund impacts the shareholders interest in future transfers and is driven predominantly by equities in the fund as well as by other investments such as property and bonds. The fund s large inherited estate estimated at £7.5 billion as at 30 June 2014 (1 January 2014: £6.8 billion, after the domestication of Hong Kong business) can absorb market fluctuations and protect the fund s solvency. The inherited estate is partially protected against falls in equity markets through an active hedging policy.

In Asia, our shareholder exposure to equities relates to revenue from unit-linked products and, from a capital perspective, to the effect of falling equity markets on its with-profits businesses.

In Jackson, investment risk arises in relation to the assets backing the policies. In the case of the spread business, including fixed annuities, these assets are generally bonds. For variable annuities business, these assets include

equities as well as other assets such as bonds. In this case the impact on the shareholder comes from value of future mortality and expense fees, and additionally from guarantees embedded in variable annuity products. Shareholders exposure to these guarantees is mitigated through a hedging programme, as well as reinsurance. Further measures have been undertaken including re-pricing initiatives and the introduction of variable annuities without guarantees. Furthermore, it is our philosophy not to compete on price; rather, we seek to sell at a price sufficient to fund the cost incurred to hedge or reinsure the risks and to achieve an acceptable return.

The Jackson IFRS shareholders equity and US statutory capital are sensitive to the effects of policyholder behaviour on the valuation of GMWB guarantees. Jackson hedges the guarantees on its variable annuity book on an economic basis and, thus, accepts variability in its accounting results in the short term in order to achieve the appropriate economic result. In particular, under Prudential s Group IFRS reporting, the measurement of the Jackson variable annuity guarantees is typically less sensitive to market movements than the corresponding

72

hedging derivatives, which are held at market value. However, depending on the level of hedging conducted regarding a particular risk type, certain market movements can drive volatility in the economic result which may be less significant under IFRS reporting or vice versa as discussed above.

(ii) Interest rate risk

Long-term rates have declined over recent periods in many markets, falling to historic lows. Products that we write are sensitive to movements in interest rates, and while we have already taken a number of actions to de-risk the in-force business as well as re-price and restructure new business offerings in response to historically low interest rates, persistently low rates may impact policyholders—savings patterns and behaviour.

Interest rate risk arises in our UK business from the need to match cashflows for annuity payments with those from investments; movements in interest rates may have an impact on profits where durations are not perfectly matched. As a result, we aim to match the duration of assets and liabilities as closely as possible and the position is monitored regularly. The with-profits business is exposed to interest rate risk as a result of underlying guarantees. Such risk is largely borne by the with-profits fund but shareholder support may be required in extremis.

In Asia, exposure to interest rate risk arises from the guarantees of some non-unit-linked investment products. This exposure arises because it may not be possible to hold assets which will provide cashflows to match exactly those relating to policyholder liabilities. While this residual asset/liability mismatch risk can be managed, it cannot be eliminated.

Jackson is exposed to interest rate risk in its fixed, fixed index and variable annuity books. Movements in interest rates can influence the cost of guarantees in such products, in particular the cost of guarantees may increase when interest rates fall. Interest rate risk across the entire business is managed through the use of interest rate swaps and interest rate options.

(iii) Foreign exchange risk

We principally operate in Asia, the US and the UK. The geographical diversity of our businesses means that we are inevitably subject to the risk of exchange rate fluctuations. Our international operations in the US and Asia, which represent a significant proportion of our operating profit and shareholders—funds, generally write policies and invest in assets denominated in local currency. Although this practice limits the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on local operating results, it can lead to significant fluctuations in our consolidated financial statements when results are expressed in UK sterling.

We retain revenues locally to support the growth of our business and capital is held in the local currency of the business to meet local regulatory and market requirements, accepting the balance sheet translation risks this can produce. However, in cases where a surplus arising in an overseas operation supports Group capital or where a significant cash remittance is due from an overseas subsidiary to the Group, this exposure is hedged where we believe it is economically optimal to do so. We do not have appetite for significant shareholder exposures to foreign exchange risks in currencies outside the local territory. Currency borrowings, swaps and other derivatives are used to manage exposures.

Credit risk

We invest in fixed income assets in order to match policyholder liabilities and enter into reinsurance and derivative contracts to mitigate various types of risk. As a result, we are exposed to credit and counterparty credit risk across our business. We employ a number of risk management tools to manage credit risk, including limits defined on an issuer/counterparty basis as well as on average credit quality, and collateral arrangements in derivative transactions. The Group Credit Risk Committee oversees credit and counterparty credit risk across the Group.

(i) Debt and loan portfolio

Our UK business is primarily exposed to credit risk in the shareholder-backed portfolio, where fixed income assets represent 35 per cent or £28.8 billion of our exposure. Credit risk arising from £45.4 billion of fixed income assets is largely borne by the with-profits fund, although shareholder support may be required should the with-profits fund become unable to meet its liabilities.

73

The debt portfolio of our Asia business totalled £20.0 billion at 30 June 2014. Of this, approximately 66 per cent was in unit-linked and with-profits funds with minimal shareholders—risk. The remaining 34 per cent is shareholder exposure.

Credit risk arises in the general account of our US business, where £30.6 billion of fixed income assets back shareholder liabilities including those arising from fixed annuities, fixed index annuities and life insurance. Included in the portfolio are £2.2 billion of commercial mortgage-backed securities and £1.6 billion of residential mortgage-backed securities, of which £0.8 billion (52 per cent) are issued by US government sponsored agencies.

The shareholder-owned debt and loan portfolio of the Group's asset management operations of £2.0 billion as at 30 June 2014 is principally related to Prudential Capital operations. Prudential Capital generates revenue by providing bridging finance, managing investments and operating a securities lending and cash management business for the Prudential Group and our clients.

Further details of the composition and quality of our debt portfolio, and exposure to loans, can be found in the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

(ii) Group sovereign debt and bank debt exposure

Sovereign debt¹¹ represented 15 per cent or £10.4 billion of the debt portfolio backing shareholder business at 30 June 2014 (31 December 2013: 15 per cent or £10 billion). 42 per cent of this was rated AAA and 91 per cent investment grade (31 December 2013: 44 per cent AAA, 92 per cent investment grade). At 30 June 2014, the Group s shareholder-backed business s holding in continental Europe sovereign debt was £483 million. 74 per cent of this was AAA rated (31 December 2013: 78 per cent AAA rated). Shareholder exposure to the Eurozone sovereigns of Italy and Spain is £59 million (31 December 2013: £54 million). We do not have any sovereign debt exposure to Greece, Cyprus, Portugal or Ireland.

Our bank exposure is a function of our core investment business, as well as of the hedging and other activities undertaken to manage our various financial risks. Given the importance of our relationship with our banks, exposure to the banking sector is a key focus of management information provided to the Group s risk committees and the Board.

The exposures held by the shareholder-backed business and with-profits funds in sovereign debt and bank debt securities at 30 June 2014 are given in Note C3.3(f) to Prudential sunaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

(iii) Counterparty credit risk

We enter into a variety of exchange traded and over-the-counter derivative financial instruments, including futures, options, forward currency contracts and swaps such as interest rate swaps, inflation swaps, cross-currency swaps, swaptions and credit default swaps.

All over-the-counter derivative transactions, with the exception of some Asia transactions, are conducted under standardised International Swaps and Derivatives Association Inc master agreements and we have collateral agreements between the individual Group entities and relevant counterparties in place under each of these master agreements.

Our exposure to derivative counterparty and reinsurance counterparty credit risk is managed using an array of risk management tools, including a comprehensive system of limits. Where appropriate, we reduce our exposure, purchase credit protection or make use of additional collateral arrangements to control our levels of counterparty credit risk.

Insurance risk

The processes of determining the price of our products and reporting the results of our long-term business operations require us to make a number of assumptions. In common with other industry players, the profitability of our businesses depends on a mix of factors including mortality and morbidity levels and trends, persistency, investment performance, unit cost of administration and new business acquisition expenses.

¹¹ Excludes Group s proportionate share in Joint Ventures and unit-linked assets and holdings of consolidated unit trusts and similar funds.

74

We continue to conduct research into longevity risk using data from our substantial annuity portfolio. The assumptions that we make about future expected levels of mortality are particularly relevant in our UK annuity business. The attractiveness of transferring longevity risk (via reinsurance and other external solutions) is regularly evaluated. These are used as risk management tools where it is appropriate and attractive to do so.

Morbidity risk is mitigated by appropriate underwriting and use of reinsurance. Our morbidity assumptions reflect our recent experience and expectation of future trends for each relevant line of business.

Our persistency assumptions reflect recent experience for each relevant line of business, and any expectations of future persistency. Persistency risk is mitigated by appropriate training and sales processes and managed proactively post sale. Where appropriate, allowance is also made for the relationship either assumed or historically observed between persistency and investment returns, and for the resulting additional risk.

Liquidity risk

Our parent company has significant internal sources of liquidity which are sufficient to meet all of its expected requirements for the foreseeable future without having to make use of external funding. In aggregate the Group currently has £2.5 billion of undrawn committed facilities, expiring between 2016 and 2019. In addition, the Group has access to liquidity via the debt capital markets. We also have in place an unlimited commercial paper programme and have maintained a consistent presence as an issuer in this market for the last decade. Liquidity uses and sources have been assessed at the Group and at a business unit level under base case and stressed assumptions. The liquidity resources available and the subsequent Liquidity Coverage Ratio are regularly monitored and we have assessed these to be sufficient.

Operational risk

We are exposed to operational risk through the course of running our business. We are dependent on the successful processing of a large number of transactions, utilising various legacy and other IT systems and platforms, across numerous and diverse products. We also operate under the ever evolving requirements set out by different regulatory and legal regimes (including tax), as well as utilising a significant number of third parties to distribute products and to support business operations.

Our IT, compliance and other operational systems and processes incorporate controls that are designed to manage and mitigate the operational risks associated with our activities. Although we have not experienced a material failure or breach in relation to our legacy and other IT systems and processes to date, we have been, and likely will continue to be, subject to computer viruses, attempts at unauthorised access and cyber security attacks.

We have an operational risk management framework in place that facilitates both the qualitative and quantitative analysis of operational risk exposures. The output of this framework, in particular management information on key operational risk and control assessments, scenario analysis, internal incidents and external incidents, is reported by the business units and presented to the Group Operational Risk Committee. This information also supports business decision-making and lessons-learned activities, the on-going improvement of the control environment, and determination of the adequacy of our corporate insurance programme.

Global regulatory risk

Global regulatory risk is considered a key risk and is classified as a business environment risk under the Group Risk framework risk categorisation.

The EU has developed a new prudential regulatory framework for insurance companies, referred to as Solvency II. The Solvency II Directive, which sets out the new framework, was formally approved by the Economic and Financial Affairs Council in November 2009 although its implementation was delayed pending agreement on a directive known as Omnibus II which, having been adopted by the Council of the European Union in April 2014, amended certain aspects of the Solvency II Directive. The new approach is based on the concept of three pillars minimum capital requirements, supervisory review of firms assessments of risk, and enhanced disclosure requirements.

Specifically, Pillar 1 covers the quantitative requirements around own funds, valuation rules for assets and liabilities and capital requirements. Pillar 2 provides the qualitative requirements for risk management, governance and controls, including the requirement for insurers to submit an Own Risk and Solvency Assessment which will be used by the regulator as part of the supervisory review process. Pillar 3 deals with the enhanced requirements for supervisory reporting and public disclosure.

A key aspect of Solvency II is that the assessment of risks and capital requirements are intended to be aligned more closely with economic capital methodologies and may allow us to make use of our internal economic capital models if approved by the Prudential Regulation Authority.

Following adoption of the Omnibus II Directive, Solvency II is now expected to be implemented as of 1 January 2016, although the European Commission and the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) are continuing to develop the detailed rules that will supplement the high-level rules and principles of the Solvency II and Omnibus II Directives, which are not currently expected to be finalised until mid-2015.

There is significant uncertainty regarding the final outcome from this process. In particular, certain detailed aspects of the Solvency II rules relating to the determination of the liability discount rate for UK annuity business remain to be clarified and our capital position is sensitive to these outcomes. Further, the effective application of a number of key measures incorporated in the Omnibus II Directive, including the provisions for third-country equivalence, are expected to be subject to supervisory judgement and approval. There is a risk that the effect of the measures finally adopted could be adverse for us, including potentially a significant increase in the capital required to support our business and that we may be placed at a competitive disadvantage to other European and non-European financial services groups. We are actively participating in shaping the outcome through our involvement in industry bodies and trade associations, including the Pan-European Insurance Forum, Chief Risk Officer Forum and Chief Financial Officer Forum, together with the Association of British Insurers and Insurance Europe.

Having assessed the requirements of Solvency II, an implementation programme was initiated with dedicated teams to manage the required work across the Group. The activity of the local Solvency II teams is coordinated centrally to achieve consistency in the understanding and application of the requirements. We are continuing our preparations to adopt the regime when it comes into force on 1 January 2016 and are undertaking in parallel an evaluation of the possible actions to mitigate its effects. We regularly review our range of options to maximise the strategic flexibility of the Group. This includes consideration of optimising our domicile as a possible response to an adverse outcome on Solvency II.

Over the coming months we will remain in regular contact with the Prudential Regulation Authority as we continue to engage in the pre-application stage of the approval process for the internal model. In addition, we are engaged in the Prudential Regulation Authority s Individual Capital Adequacy Standards Plus (ICAS+) regime, which is enabling our UK insurance entities to leverage the developments made in relation to the Solvency II internal model for the purpose of meeting the existing ICAS + regime.

Currently there are also a number of other global regulatory developments which could impact the way in which we are supervised in our many jurisdictions. These include the Dodd-Frank Act in the US, the work of the Financial Stability Board (FSB) on Global Systemically Important Insurers (G-SIIs) and the Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (ComFrame) being developed by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS).

The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States that, among other reforms to financial services entities, products and markets, may subject financial institutions

designated as systemically important to heightened prudential and other requirements intended to prevent or mitigate the impact of future disruptions in the US financial system. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our businesses is not currently clear. However, many of its provisions have a delayed effectiveness and/or require rulemaking or other actions by various US regulators over the coming years.

In July 2013 the FSB announced the initial list of nine insurance groups that have been designated as G-SIIs. This list included Prudential as well as a number of its competitors. Designation as a G-SII will lead to additional policy measures being applied to the designated group. Based on the policy framework released by the IAIS and

76

subsequent guidance papers these additional policy measures will include enhanced group-wide supervision, effective resolution measures of the group in the event of failure, loss absorption and higher loss absorption capacity. This enhanced supervision commenced immediately and includes the development by July 2014 of a Systemic Risk Management Plan (SRMP) under supervisory oversight and its implementation thereafter and by the end of 2014, a group Recovery and Resolution Plan (RRP) and Liquidity Risk Management Plan (LRMP). The Group SRMP was submitted to the Prudential Regulation Authority in June 2014 and work is on-going to produce the RRP and LRMP. We are monitoring the development and the potential impact of, the framework of policy measures and are engaging with the PRA on the implications of the policy measures and Prudential s designation as a G-SII. The G-SII regime also introduces two types of capital requirements, the first, a Basic Capital Requirement (BCR), designed to act as a minimum group capital requirement and the second, a Higher Loss Absorption (HLA) requirement for conducting non-traditional insurance and non-insurance activities. A consultation paper on BCR was released in July 2014. Details of the HLA are currently unknown as the IAIS has yet to begin work on this requirement. The IAIS currently expects to finalise the BCR and HLA proposals by November 2014 and the end of 2015 respectively. Implementation of the regime is likely to be phased in over a period of years with the BCR expected to be introduced in 2015 on a confidential reporting basis to group-wide supervisors. The HLA requirement will apply from January 2019 to the insurance groups identified as G-SIIs in November 2017.

The IAIS is developing a common framework (ComFrame) for the supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (IAIG). ComFrame is designed to outline a set of common global principles and standards for group supervision and may increase the focus of regulators in some jurisdictions. One of the framework s key components is an Insurance Capital Standard (ICS), which would form the group solvency capital standard under ComFrame. In May 2014 the IAIS published a memorandum setting out the approach to the development of the ICS. The three year development phase of ComFrame ended in December 2013 and the IAIS is now undertaking a field testing exercise from 2014-2018 to assess the impacts of the quantitative and qualitative requirements proposed under ComFrame. ComFrame is expected to be implemented in 2019.

Risk factors

Our disclosures covering risk factors can be found in this document.

Risk mitigation and hedging

We manage our actual risk profile against our tolerance of risk. To do this, we maintain risk registers that include details of the risks we have identified and of the controls and mitigating actions we employ in managing them. Any mitigation strategies involving large transactions such as a material derivative transaction involving shareholder business are subject to review at Group level before implementation.

We use a range of risk management and mitigation strategies. The most important of these include: adjusting asset portfolios to reduce investment risks (such as duration mismatches or overweight counterparty exposures); using derivatives to hedge market risks; implementing reinsurance programmes to manage insurance risk; implementing corporate insurance programmes to limit the impact of operational risks; and revising business plans where appropriate.

Capital management

We continue to operate with a strong solvency position, while maintaining high levels of liquidity and capital generation. This is testament to our capital discipline, the effectiveness of our hedging activities, our low direct Eurozone exposure, the minimal level of credit impairments and the natural offsets in our portfolio of businesses

which dampen the effects of movements in interest rates.

Regulatory capital (IGD)

Prudential is subject to the capital adequacy requirements of the European Union Insurance Groups Directive (IGD) as implemented by the Prudential Regulation Authority in the UK. The IGD capital surplus represents the aggregated surplus capital (on a Prudential Regulation Authority consistent basis) of the Group s regulated subsidiaries less the Group s borrowings. No diversification benefit is recognised. We estimate that our IGD capital

77

surplus is £4.1 billion at 30 June 2014 (before taking into account the 2014 interim dividend), with available capital covering our capital requirements 2.3 times. This compares to a capital surplus of £5.1 billion at the end of 2013 (before taking into account the 2013 final dividend).

The movements in the first half of 2014 mainly comprise:

Net capital generation (net of market and foreign exchange movements) mainly through operating earnings (in-force releases less investment in new business, net of tax) of £0.8 billion.

Offset by:

The cost of renewing the bancassurance partnership agreement with Standard Chartered PLC of £0.7 billion, representing the aggregate amounts settled and committed that are not dependent on the achievements of sales volumes:

£0.2 billion due to reduction in the shareholders interest in future transfers from the UK s with-profits fund asset allowance (as discussed below) and other smaller one-off items;

Final 2013 dividend of £0.6 billion; and

External financing costs and other central costs, net of tax, of £0.3 billion

IGD surplus represents the accumulation of surpluses across all of our operations based on local regulatory minimum capital requirements with some adjustments, pursuant to the requirements of Solvency I. The calculation does not fully adjust capital requirements for risk nor does it capture the true economic value of assets. Global regulatory developments, such as Solvency II and ComFrame, aim to ensure that the calculation of regulatory surplus evolves over time into a more meaningful risk sensitive measure.

There is broad agreement that ultimately it would be beneficial to replace the IGD regime with a regime that is more risk-based. Solvency II aims to provide such a framework and is expected to be implemented on 1 January 2016.

We continue to have further options available to manage available and required capital. These could take the form of increasing available capital (for example, through financial reinsurance) or reducing required capital (for example, through the mix and level of new business) and the use of other risk mitigation measures such as hedging and reinsurance. A number of such options were utilised through the last financial crisis in 2008 and 2009 to enhance the Group s IGD surplus. One such arrangement allowed the Group to recognise a proportion of the shareholders interest in future transfers (SHIFT) from the UK s with-profits business and this remained in place, contributing £0.4 billion to the IGD at 31 December 2012. As per guidance received from the PRA in January 2013, credit taken for the SHIFT asset was reduced to zero at end January 2014.

Stress testing

As at 30 June 2014, stress testing of our IGD capital position to various events has the following results:

An instantaneous 20 per cent fall in equity markets from 30 June 2014 levels would reduce the IGD surplus by £50 million;

A 40 per cent fall in equity markets (comprising an instantaneous 20 per cent fall followed by a further 20 per cent fall over a four-week period) would reduce the IGD surplus by £350 million;

A 100 basis points reduction (subject to a floor of zero) in interest rates would reduce the IGD surplus by £100 million; and

Credit defaults of 10 times the expected level would reduce IGD surplus by £550 million.

The impact of the 100 basis points reduction in interest rates is exacerbated by the current regulatory permitted practice used by Jackson, which values all interest rate swaps at book value rather than fair value for regulatory

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

purposes. At 30 June 2014, removing the permitted practice would have increased reported IGD surplus to £4.2 billion. As at 30 June 2014, it is estimated that a 100 basis point reduction in interest rates (subject to a floor of zero) would have resulted in an IGD surplus of £4.4 billion, excluding the permitted practice.

Prudential believes that the results of these stress tests, together with the Group strong underlying earnings capacity, our established hedging programmes and our additional areas of financial flexibility, demonstrate that we are in a position to withstand significant deterioration in market conditions.

78

Other Capital Metrics

We use an economic capital assessment calibrated on a multi-term basis to monitor our capital requirements across the Group. This approach considers, by risk drivers, the timeframe over which each risk can threaten the ability of the Group to meet claims as they fall due, allowing for realistic diversification benefits. This assessment provides valuable insights into our risk profile and for continuing to maintain a strong capital position.

All of our subsidiaries continue to hold strong capital positions on a local regulatory basis. Jackson s risk-based capital ratio level as of 31 December 2013 was 450 per cent and since then it has been able to remit £352 million to the Group while supporting its balance sheet growth and maintaining adequate capital. The value of the estate of our UK With-Profits fund as at 30 June 2014 is estimated at £7.5 billion after the effect of completing the domestication of the Hong Kong branch business of the PAC With-Profits fund which was effective on 1 January 2014 (1 January 2014: £6.8 billion, after the effect of the transfer). The value of the shareholders interest in future transfers from the with-profits funds in the UK is estimated at £2.4 billion (1 January 2014: £2.3 billion, after the effect of the transfer).

Furthermore, on a statutory (Pillar 1) basis the total credit default reserve for the UK shareholder annuity funds also contributes to protecting our capital position in excess of the IGD surplus. Notwithstanding the absence of defaults in the period, at 30 June 2014 we have maintained sizeable credit default reserves at £1.9 billion (31 December 2013: £1.9 billion), representing 51 per cent of the portfolio spread over swaps, compared with 47 per cent at 31 December 2013.

Capital allocation

Our approach to capital allocation is to attain a balance between risk and return, investing in those businesses that create shareholder value. In order to efficiently allocate capital, we measure the use of, and the return on, capital.

We use a variety of metrics for measuring capital performance and profitability, including traditional accounting metrics and economic returns. Capital allocation decisions are supported by this quantitative analysis, as well as strategic considerations.

The economic framework measures risk adjusted returns on economic capital, a methodology that ensures meaningful comparison across the Group. Capital utilisation, return on capital and new business value creation are measured at the product level as part of the business planning process.

79

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

INDEX TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Income Statements for the six months ended	
30 June 2014 and 2013	I-2
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the six	
months ended 30 June 2014 and 2013	I-3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the six months	
ended 30 June 2014 and 2013	I-4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at 30 June 2014	
and 31 December 2013	I-6
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended	
30 June 2014 and 2013	I-8
Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements	I-10

I-1

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Income Statements

	N T .	2014 £m	2013 £m
	Note	Half year	Half year
Earned premiums, net of reinsurance		16,189	14,763
Investment return		13,379	6,528
Other income		1,059	1,100
Total revenue, net of reinsurance		30,627	22,391
Benefits and claims and movement in unallocated surplus of with-profits		(A = = 40)	(10.1.10)
funds, net of reinsurance		(25,549)	(18,143)
Acquisition costs and other expenditure	В3	(3,336)	(3,315)
Finance costs: interest on core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed			
operations		(170)	(152)
Remeasurement of carrying value of Japan Life business classified as held			
for sale	D1	(11)	(135)
Total charges, net of reinsurance		(29,066)	(21,745)
Share of profits from joint ventures and associates, net of related tax		147	74
Profit before tax (being tax attributable to shareholders and policyholders			
returns)*		1,708	720
Less tax charge attributable to policyholders returns		(284)	(214)
Profit before tax attributable to shareholders	B1.1	1,424	506
Total tax charge attributable to policyholders and shareholders	B5	(563)	(355)
Adjustment to remove tax charge attributable to policyholders returns		284	214
Tax charge attributable to shareholders returns	B5	(279)	(141)
Profit for the period attributable to equity holders of the Company		1,145	365
		ĺ	
		2014	2013
Earnings per share (in pence)		Half year	Half year
Based on profit attributable to the equity holders of the Company:		•	Ť
Basic	В6	45.0p	14.3p
Diluted	В6	44.9p	14.3p
		•	•
		2014	2013
Dividends per share (in pence)	Note	Half year	Half year
Dividends relating to reporting period:	В7	v	ĭ
Interim dividend (2014 and 2013)		11.19p	9.73p
Final dividend (2013)		_	_
Total		11.19p	9.73p
Dividends declared and paid in reporting period:	В7	P	,p
Current year interim dividend		-	-
Final dividend for prior year		23.84p	20.79p
Total		23.84p	20.79p
10111		25.0тр	20.77p

^{*} This measure is the formal profit before tax measure under IFRS but it is not the result attributable to shareholders.

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

This is principally because the corporate taxes of the Group include those on the income of consolidated with-profits and unit-linked funds that, through adjustments to benefits, are borne by policyholders. These amounts are required to be included in the tax charge of the Company under IAS 12. Consequently, the profit before all taxes measure (which is determined after deducting the cost of policyholder benefits and movements in the liability for unallocated surplus of the PAC with-profits fund after adjusting for taxes borne by policyholders) is not representative of pre-tax profits attributable to shareholders.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

I-2

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	Note	2014 £m Half year	2013 £m Half year
Profit for the period		1,145	365
Other comprehensive income:			
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss			
Exchange movements on foreign operations and net investment hedges:			
Gross		(115)	227
Related tax		(2)	5
		(117)	232
Net unrealised valuation movements on securities of US insurance operations classified as available-for-sale:			
Net unrealised holding gains (losses) arising during the period		1,060	(1,665)
Net gains included in the income statement on disposal and impairment		(37)	(42)
Total	C3.3(b)	1,023	(1,707)
Related change in amortisation of deferred acquisition costs	C5.1(b)	(212)	419
Related tax		(284)	451
		527	(837)
Total		410	(605)
Items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss			
Shareholders share of actuarial and other gains and losses on defined bene	efit		
pension schemes:			
Gross		12	(28)
Related tax		(2)	7
		10	(21)
Other comprehensive income (loss) for the period, net of related tax		420	(626)
Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period attributable to the			
equity holders of the Company		1,565	(261)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes In Equity

Period ended 30 June 2014 £m Available

-for-sale

Non-

	Share Share Retained ranslation ecurities harehold on trolling				Total			
	capitalp	oremium e note C9	arnings	reservere	eserves	equi f yter	ests	equity
Reserves								
Profit for the period	-	-	1,145	-	-	1,145	-	1,145
Other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	10	(117)	527	420		420
Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period	-	-	1,155	(117)	527	1,565	-	1,565
Dividends	B7 -	-	(610)	-	-	(610)	-	(610)
Reserve movements in respect of share-based payments Change in non-controlling interests	- -	-	52	-	-	52	-	52
Share capital and share premium								
New share capital subscribed	C9 -	8	-	-	-	8	-	8
Treasury shares								
Movement in own shares in respect of share-based payment plans	-	-	(34)	-	-	(34)	-	(34)
Movement in own shares purchased by unit trusts consolidated under								
IFRS	-	-	(6)	-	-	(6)	-	(6)
Net increase (decrease) in equity	-	8	557	(117)	527	975	-	975
At beginning of period	128	1,895	7,425	(189)	391	9,650	1	9,651
At end of period	128	1,903	7,982	(306)	918	10,625	1	10,626

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (continued)

Period ended 30 June 2013 £m Available

Non--for-sale Share Share Retain Exanslations ecuritischare holden trolling **Total** capitalpremium earnings reserve reserves equi**i**nterests equity note Noncete C9 C9 Reserves Profit for the period 365 365 365 Other comprehensive (loss) income 232 (837)(21)(626)(626)**Total comprehensive income (loss)** for the period 344 232 (837)(261)(261)Dividends **B7** (532)(532)(532)Reserve movements in respect of 31 share-based payments 31 31 Change in non-controlling interests 1 Share capital and share premium New share capital subscribed **C**9 1 **Treasury shares** Movement in own shares in respect 25 25 25 of share-based payment plans Movement in own shares purchased by unit trusts consolidated under **IFRS** 2 2 2 Net increase (decrease) in equity 1 (130)232 (837)(734)1 (733)1,889 5 At beginning of period 128 6,851 1,425 10,359 10,364 66 At end of period 128 1,890 6,721 298 588 9,625 9,631

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

	Note	2014 £m 30 Jun	2013 £m 31 Dec
Assets			
Intangible assets attributable to shareholders:			
Goodwill	C5.1(a)	1,458	1,461
Deferred acquisition costs and other intangible assets	C5.1(b)	5,944	5,295
Total		7,402	6,756
Intangible assets attributable to with-profits funds:			
Goodwill in respect of acquired subsidiaries for venture fund and other			
investment purposes		177	177
Deferred acquisition costs and other intangible assets		63	72
Total		240	249
Total intangible assets		7,642	7,005
		<u> </u>	•
Other non-investment and non-cash assets: Property, plant and equipment		910	920
Reinsurers share of insurance contract liabilities		6,743	6,838
Deferred tax assets	C7.1	2,173	2,412
Current tax recoverable	C7.1	158	244
Accrued investment income		2,413	2,609
Other debtors		3,643	1,746
Total		16,040	14,769
Total		10,040	14,709
Investments of long-term business and other operations:			
Investment properties		11,754	11,477
Investment in joint ventures and associates accounted for using the			
equity method		911	809
Financial investments*:			
Loans	C3.4	12,457	12,566
Equity securities and portfolio holdings in unit trusts		130,566	120,222
Debt securities	C3.3	134,177	132,905
Other investments		5,908	6,265
Deposits		13,057	12,213
Total		308,830	296,457
Assets held for sale	D1	875	916
Cash and cash equivalents		5,903	6,785
Total assets	C1,C3.1	339,290	325,932
. T 1 1 1 1 1 1 C 1 1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2014 (21 D	1 2012

Included within financial investments are £3,953 million of lent securities as at 30 June 2014 (31 December 2013: £3,791 million).

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

I-6

Prudential plc and subsidiariares

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (continued)

	Note	2014 £m 30 Jun	2013 £m 31 Dec
Equity and liabilities	11010	30 Jun	31 Dec
Equity			
Shareholders equity		10,625	9,650
Non-controlling interests		10,023),030 1
Total equity		10,626	9,651
• •		10,020	7,031
Liabilities			
Policyholder liabilities and unallocated surplus of with-profits funds:			
Contract liabilities (including amounts in respect of contracts classified		202 504	272.052
as investment contracts under IFRS 4)		283,704	273,953
Unallocated surplus of with-profits-funds	C4.1()	13,044	12,061
Total	C4.1(a)	296,748	286,014
Core structural borrowings of shareholder-financed operations:			
Subordinated debt		3,597	3,662
Other		970	974
Total	C6.1	4,567	4,636
Other borrowings:			
Operational borrowings attributable to shareholder-financed operations	C6.2(a)	2,243	2,152
Borrowings attributable to with-profits operations	C6.2(b)	864	895
Other non-insurance liabilities:			
Obligations under funding, securities lending and sale and repurchase			
agreements		2,188	2,074
Net asset value attributable to unit holders of consolidated unit trusts and		2,200	_,0 / 1
similar funds		5,262	5,278
Deferred tax liabilities	C7.1	3,855	3,778
Current tax liabilities		475	395
Accruals and deferred income		731	824
Other creditors		4,999	3,307
Provisions		534	635
Derivative liabilities		1,400	1,689
Other liabilities		3,970	3,736
Total		23,414	21,716
Liabilities held for sale	D1	828	868
Total liabilities	C1,C3.1	328,664	316,281
Total equity and liabilities		339,290	325,932

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL PLC - Form 6-K

I-7

Prudential plc and subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

2014 £m 2013 £m