Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund Form DEF 14A June 19, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF)

Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE)

Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD)

Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT)

Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI)

Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD) (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Pay	ment	of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):							
X	No fee required.								
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.								
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:							
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:							
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (see							
		forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):							
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:							
	(5)	Total fee paid:							

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

[&]quot;Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	
(3) Filing Party:	
(4) Date Filed:	

Important Notice to Fund Shareholders

June 19, 2014

Although we recommend that you read the complete Proxy Statement, for your convenience, we have provided a brief overview of the issues to be voted on.

Q. Why am I receiving this Proxy Statement?

- **A.** You are being asked to vote on several important matters affecting your Fund:
 - (1) Approval of a New Investment Management Agreement. Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (Nuveen Fund Advisors or the Advisors) serves as your Fund s investment adviser. Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen), the parent company of Nuveen Fund Advisors, recently announced its intention to be acquired by TIAA-CREF (the Transaction). In the event the Transaction takes place, securities laws require your Fund s shareholders to approve a new investment management agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and the Fund to permit Nuveen Fund Advisors to continue to serve as investment adviser to your Fund.
 - (2) <u>Approval of a New Investment Sub-Advisory Agreement</u>. Nuveen Fund Advisors has retained one or more sub-advisers to manage all or a portion of the assets of your Fund. In the event the Transaction takes place, shareholders of your Fund must approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and each sub-adviser to your Fund, or among your Fund, Nuveen Fund Advisors and the sub-adviser to your Fund, to permit such sub-adviser to continue to manage your Fund.
 - (3) <u>Approval of Fund Board Nominees</u>. Each year, shareholders of your Fund must approve the election of Board Members to serve on your Fund s Board. This is a requirement for all funds that list their common shares on a stock exchange. The list of specific nominees for your Fund is contained in the enclosed proxy statement.

Your Fund s Board, including the independent Board Members, unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** each proposal applicable to your Fund.

Your vote is very important. We encourage you as a shareholder to participate in your Fund s governance by returning your vote as soon as possible. If enough shareholders do not cast their votes, your Fund may not be able to hold its meeting or the vote on each issue, and additional solicitation costs may need to be incurred in order to obtain sufficient shareholder participation.

Q. How will I as a Fund shareholder be affected by the Transaction?

A. Your Fund investment will not change as a result of Nuveen s change of ownership. You will still own the same Fund shares before and after the Transaction. Nuveen Fund Advisors and your Fund s sub-adviser(s) will continue to manage your Fund according to the same objectives and policies as before, and do not anticipate any significant changes to your Fund s operations.

TIAA-CREF is a national financial services organization with approximately \$569 billion in assets under management, as of March 31, 2014, and is the leading provider of retirement services in the academic, research, medical and cultural fields. Nuveen will operate as a separate subsidiary within TIAA-CREF s asset management business. Nuveen s current leadership and key investment teams are expected to stay in place.

Q.	Will there be any important differences between my Fund	s new investment management agreement and sub-advisory agreement(s	3)
	and the current agreements?		

- **A.** No. The terms of the new and current agreements are substantially identical. There will be no change in the contractual management fees you pay.
- Q. What will happen if shareholders of my Fund do not approve the new investment management agreement or sub-advisory agreement(s) before consummation of the Transaction?
- A. Nuveen Fund Advisors and your Fund s sub-adviser(s) will continue to manage your Fund under an interim investment management agreement and an interim sub-advisory agreement, but must place their compensation for their services during this interim period in escrow, pending shareholder approval. Your Fund s Board urges you to vote without delay in order to avoid potential disruption to the Fund s operations.

Q. Who do I call if I have questions?

A. If you need any assistance, or have any questions regarding the proposals or how to vote your shares, please call Computershare Fund Services, your Fund s proxy solicitor, at (866) 209-5784 with your proxy material.

Q. How do I vote my shares?

A. You can vote your shares by completing and signing the enclosed proxy card, and mailing it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. Alternatively, you may vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number on the proxy card or by computer by going to the Internet address provided on the proxy card and following the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

Q. Will anyone contact me?

A. You may receive a call from Computershare Fund Services, the proxy solicitor hired by the Funds, to verify that you received your proxy materials, to answer any questions you may have about the proposals and to encourage you to vote your proxy.

Notice of Annual Meeting

of Shareholders

333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(800) 257-8787

to be held on August 5, 2014

Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF)

Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE)

Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD)

Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT)

Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI)

Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD)

June 19, 2014

To the Shareholders of the Above Funds:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Meeting) of each of Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF), Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE), Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD), Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV), Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT), Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI), Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA) and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD), each a Massachusetts business trust (each, a Fund and collectively, the Funds), will be held (along with meetings of shareholders of several other Nuveen funds) in the offices of Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Tuesday, August 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., Central time, for the following purposes and to transact such other business, if any, as may properly come before the Meeting:

Matters to Be Voted on by Shareholders:

- 1. To approve a new investment management agreement between each Fund and Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (Nuveen Fund Advisors or the Adviser), each Fund s investment adviser.
- 2. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and each sub-adviser below to succeed the current sub-advisory agreement with that sub-adviser, as applicable:

a. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (NAM);

- b. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC;
- c. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC;
- d. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Symphony Asset Management LLC;
- e. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC;
- f. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Advisory Research, Inc.;
- g. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and INTECH Investment Management LLC;
- h. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Security Capital Research & Management Incorporated; and
- i. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Wellington Management Company, LLP (Wellington) or between the Fund and Wellington.
- 3. To elect four (4) Board Members.

Please see the table contained on page 3 of the enclosed joint proxy statement, which indicates which proposals shareholders of each Fund are being asked to approve.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on June 6, 2014 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Meeting. In order to avoid delay and additional expense and to assure that your shares are represented, please vote as promptly as possible, regardless of whether or not you plan to attend the Meeting. You may vote by mail, telephone or over the Internet. To vote by mail, please mark, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy card. No postage is required if mailed in the United States. To vote by telephone, please call the toll-free number located on your proxy card and follow the recorded instructions, using your proxy card as a guide. To vote over the Internet, go to the Internet address provided on your proxy card and follow the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

If you intend to attend the Meeting in person and you are a record holder of a Fund s shares, in order to gain admission you must show photographic identification, such as your driver s license. If you intend to attend the Meeting in person and you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other custodian, in order to gain admission you must show photographic identification, such as your driver s license, and satisfactory proof of ownership of shares of a Fund, such as your voting instruction form (or a copy thereof) or broker s statement indicating ownership as of a recent date. If you hold your shares in a brokerage account or through a bank or other nominee, you will not be able to vote in person at the Meeting unless you have previously requested and obtained a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee and present it at the Meeting.

Kevin J. McCarthy

Vice President and Secretary

333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(800) 257-8787

Joint Proxy Statement

June 19, 2014

This Joint Proxy Statement is first being mailed to shareholders on or about June 19, 2014.

Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF)

Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE)

Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD)

Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS)

Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT)

Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI)

Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA)

Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD)

This Joint Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the board of trustees (each a Board and collectively, the Boards, and each trustee a Board Member and collectively, the Board Members) of each of Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF), Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE), Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD), Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV), Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS), Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT), Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI), Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA) and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD), each a Massachusetts business trust (each, a Fund and collectively, the Funds), of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held (along with the meeting of shareholders of several other Nuveen funds) in the offices of Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Tuesday, August 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., Central time (for each Fund, a Meeting and collectively, the Meetings), and at any and all adjournments, postponements or delays thereof.

This Joint Proxy Statement solicits the holders of common shares of each Fund. The common shares of each Fund are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, except JRS, which is listed on the NYSE MKT.

Proposals

- 1. To approve a new investment management agreement between each Fund and Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (Nuveen Fund Advisors or the Adviser), each Fund s investment adviser.
- 2. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and each sub-adviser below to succeed the current sub-advisory agreement with that sub-adviser, as applicable:
 - a. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (NAM);
 - b. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC (NWQ);
 - c. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC (SBAM);
 - d. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Symphony Asset Management LLC (Symphony);
 - e. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC (Tradewinds);
 - f. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Advisory Research, Inc. (ARI);
 - g. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and INTECH Investment Management LLC (INTECH);
 - h. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Security Capital Research & Management Incorporated (Security Capital); and
 - i. To approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and Wellington Management Company, LLP (Wellington) or between the Fund and Wellington.
- 3. To elect four (4) Board Members.

The following table indicates which shareholders are solicited with respect to each proposal:

		Proposal ⁽¹⁾									
	1	2(a)	2(b)	2(c)	2 (d)	2(e)	2(f)	2 (g)	2(h)	2(i)	3
JMF	X						X				X
JCE	X	X						X			X
JDD	X		X		X				X	X	X
JGV	X					X					X
JLS	X	X								X	X
JMT	X	X									X
JRI	X	X									X
JRS	X								X		X
JTA	X		X		X						X
JTD	X	X	X	X							X
Voting Information											

On the proposals coming before each Meeting as to which a choice has been specified by shareholders on the proxy, the shares will be voted accordingly. If a properly executed proxy is returned and no choice is specified, the shares will be voted:

FOR the approval of the new investment management agreement,

FOR the approval of the applicable new sub-advisory agreement(s), and

FOR the election of the Board Member nominees listed in this Joint Proxy Statement.

Shareholders who execute proxies may revoke them at any time before they are voted by filing a written notice of revocation, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Meeting and voting in person. A prior proxy can also be revoked by voting again through the toll-free number or the Internet address listed in the proxy card. Merely attending the Meeting, however, will not revoke any previously submitted proxy.

A quorum of shareholders is required to take action at each Meeting. A majority of the shares entitled to vote at each Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum of shareholders at that Meeting. Votes cast by proxy or in person at each Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for that Meeting. The inspectors of election will determine whether or not a quorum is present at the Meeting. The inspectors of election will treat abstentions and broker non-votes (i.e., shares held by brokers or nominees, typically in street name, as to which (i) instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote and (ii) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power on a particular matter) as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

Broker-dealer firms holding shares of a Fund in street name for the benefit of their customers and clients will request the instructions of such customers and clients on how to vote their shares before the Meeting. The Funds understand that, under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, such broker-dealer firms may for certain routine matters, without instructions from their customers and clients, grant discretionary authority to the proxies designated by the Board to vote if no instructions have been received prior to the date specified in the broker-dealer firm s request for voting instructions. Proposal 3 is a routine matter and beneficial owners who do not provide proxy instructions or who do not return a proxy card may have their shares voted by broker-dealer firms in favor of proposal 3.

Broker-dealers who are not members of the New York Stock Exchange may be subject to other rules, which may or may not permit them to vote your shares without instruction. We urge you to provide instructions to your broker or nominee so that your votes may be counted.

The details of the proposals to be voted on by the shareholders of each Fund and the vote required for approval of the proposals are set forth under the description of the proposals below.

The Boards have determined that the use of this Joint Proxy Statement for each Meeting is in the best interest of each Fund in light of the similar proposals being considered and voted on by the shareholders. Certain other Nuveen funds, not listed in this Joint Proxy Statement, will also hold meetings of shareholders with similar proposals. If you were also a shareholder of record of one or more of those other funds on the record date established for the meetings of shareholders of such other funds, you will receive a separate proxy statement and proxy card(s) relating to those funds. Shareholders of each Fund will vote separately on the respective proposals relating to their Fund. In any event, an unfavorable vote on any proposal by the shareholders of one Fund will not affect the implementation of such proposal by another Fund if the proposal is approved by the shareholders of that Fund. However, proposals 1 and 2 will only take effect upon the closing of the Transaction (as defined herein), which is conditioned upon obtaining the consent of a specified percentage of Nuveen clients (including through shareholder approval of proposal 1).

Shares Outstanding

Those persons who were shareholders of record at the close of business on June 6, 2014 (the Record Date), will be entitled to one vote for each share held and a proportionate fractional vote for each fractional share held. As of the Record Date, the shares of the Funds were issued and outstanding as follows:

Fund	Common Shares
JMF	39,445,748
JCE	16,021,686
JDD	19,938,925
JGV	19,039,409
JLS	15,888,417
JMT	4,871,277
JRI	9,780,250
JRS	28,892,471
JTA	13,835,522
JTD	14,484,340

PROPOSAL 1: APPROVAL OF NEW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

Background

Under an investment management agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and each Fund (each, an Original Investment Management Agreement and collectively, the Original Investment Management Agreements), Nuveen Fund Advisors serves as each Fund s investment adviser and is responsible for each Fund s overall investment strategy and its implementation. The date of each Fund s Original Investment Management Agreement and the date on which it was last approved by shareholders and approved for continuance by the Board are provided in Appendix A.

Nuveen Fund Advisors is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen). Nuveen is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Windy City Investments, Inc. (Windy City), a corporation formed by an investor group led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC (MDP), a private equity investment firm based in Chicago, Illinois. Windy City is controlled by MDP on behalf of the Madison Dearborn Capital Partner V funds.

On April 14, 2014, TIAA-CREF entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the Transaction Agreement) to acquire Nuveen from the investor group led by MDP. TIAA-CREF is a national financial services organization with approximately \$569 billion in assets under management, as of March 31, 2014, and is the leading provider of retirement services in the academic, research, medical and cultural fields. If the Transaction is completed, Nuveen will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of TIAA-CREF. Nuveen will operate as a separate subsidiary within TIAA-CREF s asset management business. Nuveen s current leadership and key investment teams are expected to stay in place.

Each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by Section 15 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), provides for its automatic termination in the event of its assignment (as defined in the 1940 Act). Any change in control of the Adviser is deemed to be an assignment. The consummation of the Transaction will result in a change in control of the Adviser and therefore cause the automatic termination of each Original Investment Management Agreement, as required by the 1940 Act.

Completion of the Transaction is subject to a number of conditions, including obtaining consent to the Transaction by a certain percentage of Nuveen s clients representing at least 80% of annualized investment advisory, investment management and sub-advisory fees (which includes fund shareholder approval of new investment management agreements with Nuveen Fund Advisors). Nuveen and TIAA-CREF currently expect to complete the Transaction by year-end 2014.

The Transaction has been structured in reliance upon Section 15(f) of the 1940 Act. Section 15(f) provides in substance that when a sale of a controlling interest in an investment adviser occurs, the investment adviser or any of its affiliated persons may receive any amount or benefit in connection with the sale so long as two conditions are satisfied. The first condition of Section 15(f) is that, during the three-year period following the consummation of a transaction, at least 75% of the investment company s board of directors must not be interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the investment adviser or predecessor adviser. Each of the Funds currently meets this test. Second, an unfair burden (as defined in the 1940 Act, including any interpretations or no-action letters of the Securities and Exchange Commission

(the SEC) or the staff of the SEC) must not be imposed on the investment company as a result of the transaction relating to the sale of such interest, or any express or implied terms, conditions or understandings applicable thereto. The term unfair burden (as defined in the 1940 Act) includes any arrangement, during the two-year period after the transaction, whereby the investment adviser (or predecessor or successor adviser), or any interested person (as defined in the 1940 Act) of such an adviser, receives or is entitled to receive any compensation directly or indirectly, from the investment company or its security holders (other than fees for bona fide investment advisory or other services) or from any person in connection with the purchase or sale of securities or other property to, from or on behalf of the investment company (other than bona fide ordinary compensation as principal underwriter for the investment company). Under the Transaction Agreement, TIAA-CREF acknowledges the sellers reliance on Section 15(f) of the 1940 Act and has agreed that it will, and will cause its affiliates to, use commercially reasonable efforts to enable the provisions of Section 15(f) to be true in relation to the Funds.

To prevent the occurrence of an unfair burden under Section 15(f), Nuveen has committed, for a period of two years from the date of the closing of the Transaction, not to increase contractual management fee rates for any Fund. This commitment shall not limit or otherwise affect mergers or liquidations of any Funds in the ordinary course.

In anticipation of the Transaction, each Fund s Board met at a series of joint meetings, including meetings of the full Board and meetings of the Independent Board Members (as defined herein) separately, commencing in February 2014 and concluding at the Board s April 30, 2014 in person meeting, for purposes of, among other things, considering whether it would be in the best interests of each Fund to approve a new investment management agreement between the Fund and Nuveen Fund Advisors in substantially the same form as the Original Investment Management Agreement to take effect immediately after the Transaction or shareholder approval, whichever is later (each a New Investment Management Agreement and collectively, the New Investment Management Agreements). The form of the New Investment Management Agreement is attached hereto as Appendix L.

The 1940 Act requires that each New Investment Management Agreement be approved by the Funds shareholders in order for it to become effective. At the April 30, 2014 Board meeting, and for the reasons discussed below (see Board Considerations after proposal 2), each Board, including the Board Members who are not parties to the Original Investment Management Agreements, New Investment Management Agreements or any sub-advisory agreement entered into by the Adviser with respect to any Fund or who are not interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Fund, the Adviser or any sub-adviser (the Independent Board Members), unanimously approved the continuation of the Original Investment Management Agreement and approved the New Investment Management Agreement on behalf of each Fund and unanimously recommended approval of the New Investment Management by shareholders.

In the event shareholders of a Fund do not approve the New Investment Management Agreement at the Meeting or any adjournment, postponement or delay thereof prior to the closing of the Transaction, an interim investment management agreement between the Adviser and each such Fund (each, an Interim Investment Management Agreement and collectively, the Interim Investment Management Agreements) will take effect upon the closing of the Transaction. At the April 30, 2014 meeting, each Board, including the Independent Board Members, also unanimously approved Interim Investment Management Agreements for each

Fund in order to assure continuity of investment advisory services to the Funds after the Transaction. The terms of each Interim Investment Management Agreement are substantially identical to those of the Original Investment Management Agreements and New Investment Management Agreements, except for the term and escrow provisions described below. The Interim Investment Management Agreement will continue in effect for a term ending on the earlier of 150 days from the closing of the Transaction (the 150-day period) or when shareholders of a Fund approve the New Investment Management Agreement. Pursuant to Rule 15a-4 under the 1940 Act, compensation earned by the Adviser under an Interim Investment Management Agreement will be held in an interest-bearing escrow account. If shareholders of a Fund approve the New Investment Management Agreement prior to the end of the 150-day period, the amount held in the escrow account under the Interim Investment Management Agreement will be paid to the Adviser. If shareholders of a Fund do not approve the New Investment Management Agreement prior to the end of the 150-day period, the Board will take such action as it deems to be in the best interests of the Fund, and the Adviser will be paid the lesser of its costs incurred in performing its services under the Interim Investment Management Agreement or the total amount in the escrow account, plus interest earned.

Comparison of Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement

The terms of each New Investment Management Agreement, including fees payable to the Adviser by the Fund thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Investment Management Agreement, except for the date of effectiveness. There is no change in the fee rate payable by each Fund to the Adviser. If approved by shareholders of a Fund, the New Investment Management Agreement for each Fund will expire on August 1, 2015, unless continued. Each New Investment Management Agreement will continue in effect from year to year thereafter if such continuance is approved for the Fund at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act and the rules and regulations thereunder. Below is a comparison of certain terms of the Original Investment Management Agreement to the terms of the New Investment Management Agreement.

Investment Management Services. The investment management services to be provided by the Adviser to each Fund under the New Investment Management Agreements will be identical to those services currently provided by the Adviser to each Fund under the Original Investment Management Agreements. Both the Original Investment Management Agreements and New Investment Management Agreements provide that the Adviser shall manage the investment and reinvestment of the Fund s assets in accordance with the Fund s investment objective and policies and limitations and administer the Fund s affairs to the extent requested by and subject to the oversight of the Fund s Board. In addition, the investment management services are expected to be provided by the same Adviser personnel under the New Investment Management Agreements as under the Original Investment Management Agreements. The Adviser does not anticipate that the Transaction will have any adverse effect on the performance of its obligations under the New Investment Management Agreements.

Fees. Under each Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management, the Fund pays to the Adviser an investment management fee that consists of two components: a fund-level fee, based only on the amount of assets within a Fund, and a complex-level fee, based on the aggregate amount of all eligible fund assets

managed by Nuveen Fund Advisors. This pricing structure enables Fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within the Fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser. Each Fund s fee schedule under the New Investment Management Agreement for such Fund is identical to the fee schedule under the Original Investment Management Agreement.

Each Fund s annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, is based upon the average daily managed assets (which includes assets attributable to all types of leverage used in leveraged Funds) of each Fund pursuant to the fee schedule set forth in <u>Appendix B</u>. The fund-level fee schedule is identical under each Fund s Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement.

The overall complex-level fee begins at a maximum rate of 0.2000% of each Fund s average daily managed assets, based upon complex-level assets of \$55 billion, with breakpoints for eligible assets above that level pursuant to the complex-level fee schedule set forth on Appendix B. The complex-level fee schedule is identical under each Fund s Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement.

With respect to JMT and JLS, each Fund pays an advisory fee equal to a specified percentage of the sum of the fund-level fee and complex-level fee, as each such Fund pays a sub-advisory fee directly to its sub-adviser.

Each Fund s managed assets as of December 31, 2013 and fees paid to the Adviser during the Fund s last fiscal year are also set forth <u>in Appendix B.</u>

Other Services. Under each Original Investment Management Agreement and each New Investment Management Agreement, the Adviser shall furnish office facilities and equipment and clerical, bookkeeping and administrative services (other than such services, if any, provided by the Fund s transfer agent) for the Fund.

Limitation on Liability. The Original Investment Management Agreements and New Investment Management Agreements provide that the Adviser will not be liable for any loss sustained by reason of the purchase, sale or retention of any security, whether or not such purchase, sale or retention shall have been based upon the investigation and research made by any other individual, firm or corporation, if such recommendation shall have been selected with due care and in good faith, except loss resulting from willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence on the part of the Adviser in the performance of its obligations and duties, or by reason of its reckless disregard of its obligations and duties under the agreement.

Continuance. The Original Investment Management Agreement of each Fund originally was in effect for an initial term and could be continued thereafter for successive one-year periods if such continuance was specifically approved at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act. If the shareholders of a Fund approve the New Investment Management Agreement for that Fund, the New Investment Management Agreement will expire on August 1, 2015, unless continued. The New Investment Management Agreement may be continued for successive one-year periods if approved at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act.

Termination. The Original Investment Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement for each Fund provide that the agreement may be terminated at any time without the payment of any penalty by the Fund or Adviser on sixty (60) days written notice to the other party, and may be terminated, at any time, without the payment of any penalty, by

the Fund, in the event that it shall have been established by a court of competent jurisdiction that the Adviser, or any officer or director of the Adviser, has taken any action which results in a breach of the covenants of the Adviser set forth therein. A Fund may effect termination by action of the Board or by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund, accompanied by appropriate notice.

Information about the Adviser

Nuveen Fund Advisors, a registered investment adviser, is organized as a Delaware limited liability company and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen. Founded in 1898, Nuveen and its affiliates had approximately \$224.6 billion in assets under management as of March 31, 2014. Nuveen Fund Advisors offers advisory and investment management services to a broad range of mutual fund and closed-end fund clients. Nuveen Fund Advisors is responsible for each Fund s overall investment strategy and its implementation. Nuveen Fund Advisors also is responsible for managing each Fund s business affairs and providing certain clerical, bookkeeping and other administrative services. The business address of Nuveen Fund Advisors and Nuveen is 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

Certain information regarding the executive officer and directors of Nuveen Fund Advisors is set forth in Appendix F.

Shareholder Approval

To become effective with respect to a particular Fund, the New Investment Management Agreement must be approved by a vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund. The vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities is defined in the 1940 Act as the lesser of the vote of (i) 67% or more of the shares of the Fund entitled to vote thereon present at the meeting if the holders of more than 50% of such outstanding shares are present in person or represented by proxy; or (ii) more than 50% of such outstanding shares of the Fund entitled to vote thereon. For purposes of determining the approval of the New Investment Management Agreement, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as shares voted against the proposal.

Each New Investment Management Agreement was approved by the Board of the respective Fund after consideration of all factors which it determined to be relevant to its deliberations, including those discussed after proposal 2 below. The Board of each Fund also determined to submit the Fund s New Investment Management Agreement for consideration by the shareholders of such Fund.

The Board of each Fund unanimously recommends that shareholders of the Fund vote FOR approval of the New Investment Management Agreement.

PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF NEW SUB-ADVISORY AGREEMENTS

Background

Nuveen Fund Advisors has entered into investment sub-advisory agreements (each, an Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and collectively, the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements) with respect to each Fund with various sub-advisers (each, a Sub-Adviser and collectively, the Sub-Advisers) as set forth below:

Fund	Sub-Adviser(s)
JMF	ARI
JCE	NAM and INTECH
JDD	NWQ, Symphony, Security Capital and Wellington
JGV	Tradewinds
JLS	NAM and Wellington ⁽¹⁾
JMT	$NAM^{(1)(2)}$
JRI	NAM
JRS	Security Capital
JTA	NWQ and Symphony
JTD	NAM, NWQ and SBAM

- (1) With respect to JLS and JMT, the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement is, and the New Sub-Advisory Agreement will be, between the Fund and Wellington.
- (2) Wellington also serves as a sub-advisor to JMT. However, shareholders of JMT are not being asked to approve a new sub-advisory agreement between JMT and Wellington will not terminate upon termination of JMT s Original Investment Management Agreement.

The date of each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and the date it was last approved by shareholders and approved for continuance by the Board are provided in <u>Appendix C</u>.

As with the Original Investment Management Agreements, each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement, as required by Section 15 of the 1940 Act, provides for its automatic termination in the event of its assignment. The completion of the Transaction will result in a change in control of NAM, Symphony, NWQ, Santa Barbara and Tradewinds, each of which is a subsidiary of Nuveen, and therefore will be deemed an assignment of each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement with such Sub-Advisor. In addition, each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement provides that it will terminate upon the termination of the Original Investment Management Agreement with respect to such Fund. As a result, the completion of the Transaction will result in the termination of each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement.

In anticipation of the Transaction, each Fund $\,$ s Board met at a series of joint meetings, including meetings of the full Board and meetings of the Independent Board Members separately, commencing in February 2014 and concluding at the Board $\,$ s April 30, 2014 in person meeting for purposes of, among other things, considering whether it would be in the best interests of each Fund to approve a new sub-advisory agreement between Nuveen Fund Advisors and the respective Sub-Adviser or, as applicable, between the Fund and the Sub-Adviser (each a New Sub-Advisory Agreement and collectively, the New Sub-Advisory Agreements). The form of the New Sub-Advisory Agreement is attached hereto as Appendix M.

The 1940 Act requires that each New Sub-Advisory Agreement be approved by the Fund s shareholders in order for it to become effective. At the April 30, 2014 Board meeting, and for the reasons discussed below (see Board Considerations after proposal 2), each Board, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuation of the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and approved the New Sub-Advisory Agreement and unanimously recommended approval of the New Sub-Advisory Agreement by shareholders.

Because each New Sub-Advisory Agreement, like each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement, is between the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (except with respect to JLS s sub-advisory agreement with Wellington), a Fund s New Sub-Advisory Agreement will not take effect until the New Investment Management Agreement for such Fund has been approved by shareholders.

In the event shareholders of a Fund do not approve the New Investment Management Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement at the Meeting or any adjournment, postponement or delay thereof prior to the closing of the Transaction, an interim sub-advisory agreement between the Adviser and the applicable Sub-Adviser (each an Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement and collectively, the Interim Sub-Advisory Agreements) will take effect upon the closing of the Transaction. At the April 30, 2014 meeting, each Board, including the Independent Board Members, also unanimously approved Interim Sub-Advisory Agreements in order to assure continuity of advisory services to the Funds after the Transaction. The terms of each Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement are substantially identical to those of the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements, except for the term and escrow provisions described below. The Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement will continue in effect for a term ending on the earlier of 150 days from the closing of the Transaction (the 150-day period) or when shareholders of a Fund approve the New Investment Management Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement. Pursuant to Rule 15a-4 under the 1940 Act, compensation earned by a Sub-Adviser under an Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement will be held in an interest-bearing escrow account. If shareholders of a Fund approve the New Investment Management Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement prior to the end of the 150-day period, the amount held in the escrow account under the Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement prior to the end of the 150-day period, the Board will take such action as it deems to be in the best interests of the Fund, and the Sub-Adviser will be paid the lesser of its costs incurred in performing its services under the Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement or the total amount in the escrow account, plus interest earned.

Comparison of Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement

The terms of each New Sub-Advisory Agreement, including fees payable to the Sub-Adviser by Nuveen Fund Advisors thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement, except for the date of effectiveness. There is no change in the fee rate payable by Nuveen Fund Advisors or, as applicable, the Fund to the Sub-Adviser. If approved by shareholders of a Fund, the New Sub-Advisory Agreement for the Fund will expire on August 1, 2015, unless continued. Each New Sub-Advisory Agreement will continue in effect from year to year thereafter if such continuance is approved for the Fund at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act and the rules and regulations thereunder. Below is a comparison of certain terms of the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements to the terms of the New Sub-Advisory Agreements.

Advisory Services. The advisory services to be provided by the Sub-Adviser to each Fund under the New Sub-Advisory Agreements will be identical to those advisory services currently provided by the Sub-Adviser to each Fund under the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements. Both the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements provide that the Sub-Adviser will furnish an investment program in respect of, make investment decisions for and place all orders for the purchase and sale of securities for the portion of the Fund s investment portfolio allocated by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser, all on behalf of the Fund and subject to oversight of the Fund s Board and the Adviser. In performing its duties under both the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and the New Sub-Advisory Agreements, the Sub-Adviser will monitor the Fund s investments and will comply with the provisions of the Fund s organizational documents and the stated investment objectives, policies and restrictions of the Fund. It is not anticipated that the Transaction will have any adverse effect on the performance of a Sub-Adviser s obligations under the New Sub-Advisory Agreements.

Brokerage. Both the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements authorize the Sub-Adviser to select the brokers or dealers that will execute the purchases and sales of portfolio securities for the Funds, subject to its obligation to obtain best execution under the circumstances, which may take account of the overall quality of brokerage and research services provided to the Sub-Adviser.

Fees. Under both the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements, the Adviser pays the Sub-Adviser a portfolio management fee out of the investment management fee it receives from the Fund, except with respect to JLS s sub-advisory agreement with Wellington for which the fee is paid by the Fund to the Sub-Adviser. The rate of the portfolio management fees payable by the Adviser or the Fund to the Sub-Adviser under the New Sub-Advisory Agreements is identical to the rate of the fees paid under the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements. The annual rate of portfolio management fees payable to the Sub-Adviser under the Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and the New Sub-Advisory Agreements and the fees paid by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser with respect to each Fund during each Fund s last fiscal year is set forth in Appendix D.

Payment of Expenses. Under each Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement, the sub-adviser will bear all of its expenses it incurs in connection with its performance of services under the agreement.

Limitation on Liability. The Original Sub-Advisory Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements provide that the Sub-Adviser will not be liable for any error of judgment or mistake of law or for any loss suffered by the Fund in connection with the matters to which the agreement relates except for a loss resulting from willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence on the part of the Sub-Adviser in the performance of duties under the agreement, or by reason of its reckless disregard of its obligations and duties under the agreement.

Continuance. The Original Sub-Advisory Agreement of each Fund originally was in effect for an initial term and could be continued thereafter for successive one-year periods if such continuance was specifically approved at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act. If the shareholders of a Fund approve the New Sub-Advisory Agreement for that Fund, the New Sub-Advisory Agreement will expire on August 1, 2015, unless continued. Thereafter, the New Sub-Advisory Agreement may be continued for successive one-year periods if approved at least annually in the manner required by the 1940 Act.

Termination. The Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement for each Fund provide that the agreement may be terminated at any time without the payment of any penalty by the Adviser or the sub-adviser on sixty (60) days written notice. The Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement may also be terminated by action of the Fund s Board or by a vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of that Fund, accompanied by 60 days written notice.

Other than JCE, JLS and JTD, the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory Agreement for each Fund are also terminable with respect to that Fund at any time without the payment of any penalty, by the Adviser (except with respect to JMF s sub-advisory agreement with ARI), the Board or by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of that Fund in the event that it is established by a court of competent jurisdiction that the Sub-Adviser or any of its officers or directors has taken any action that results in a breach of the representations or covenants of the Sub-Adviser set forth in the agreement.

Information About the Sub-Advisers

NAM. NAM is an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors and serves as investment sub-adviser to JCE, JLS, JMT, JRI and JTD. NAM is organized as a Delaware limited liability company, and its sole managing member is Nuveen Fund Advisors. The business address of NAM is 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

NWQ. NWQ is an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors and serves as investment sub-adviser to JDD, JTA and JTD. NWQ is organized as a Delaware limited liability company, and its sole managing member is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen. NWQ is approach to investing is based upon a bottom-up, fundamental approach. NWQ is led by a senior investment team with an average of 19 years of analytical experience. The business address of NWQ is 2049 Century Park East, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90067.

SBAM. SBAM is an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors and serves as investment sub-adviser to JTD. SBAM is organized as a Delaware limited liability company, and its sole managing member is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen. SBAM was founded in 1987 and specializes in fundamental, bottom-up research to select growth companies. The business address of SBAM is 2049 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California 90067.

Symphony. Symphony is an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors and serves as investment sub-adviser to JDD and JTA. Symphony is organized as a California limited liability company, and its sole managing member is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen. Founded in 1994, Symphony invests opportunistically across the capital structure from senior secured debt to common equity, Symphony has the expertise and flexibility to deliver customized investment solutions to its global investor base. Symphony is headquartered in San Francisco, California with offices in New York. The business address of Symphony is 555 California Street, San Francisco, California 94104.

Tradewinds. Tradewinds is an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors and serves as investment sub-adviser to JGV. Tradewinds is organized as a Delaware limited liability company, and is an indirect subsidiary of Nuveen. Tradewinds specializes in global and international equity investing. The business address of Tradewinds is 2049 Century Park East, 20th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

ARI. ARI serves as investment sub-adviser to JMF. ARI s MLP & Energy Infrastructure team (formerly FAMCO MLP and hereinafter referred to as the MLP Team) manages the assets of JMF. ARI was founded in 1974 and the MLP Team has managed MLP portfolios for clients since 1995, at ARI and its predecessors. ARI is organized as a Delaware corporation. Since March 2010, ARI has been a wholly owned subsidiary of Piper Jaffray Companies, 800 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. Piper Jaffray Companies is a leading, international middle-market investment bank and institutional securities firm, serving the needs of middle market corporations, private equity groups, public entities, nonprofit clients and institutional investors. Founded in 1895, Piper Jaffray Companies provides a comprehensive set of products and services, including equity and debt capital markets products; public finance services; mergers and acquisitions advisory services; high-yield and structured products; institutional equity and fixed-income sales and trading; and equity and high-yield research. With headquarters in Minneapolis, Piper Jaffray Companies has 44 offices across the United States and international locations in London and Zurich. The MLP Team has approximately \$4.5 billion in MLP and energy infrastructure assets under management as of December 31, 2013. The business address of the MLP Team is 8235 Forsyth Blvd., Ste. 700, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

INTECH. INTECH serves as investment sub-adviser to JCE. INTECH is organized as a Delaware limited liability company. INTECH is an independently managed subsidiary of Janus Capital Management LLC, a subsidiary of Janus Capital Group Inc., 121 Detroit Street, Denver, Colorado 80206. Founded in 1987, INTECH offers equity investors highly disciplined, mathematical relative-return strategies that attempt to achieve long-term returns in excess of the target benchmark, while reducing the risk of significant underperformance relative to the benchmark. More recently, INTECH has launched a suite of absolute volatility strategies that focus on reducing the absolute volatility of portfolios and/or generating higher Sharpe Ratios than cap-weighted indices. INTECH s strategies are based on a rigorous mathematical theory that is the result of research conducted by Dr. E. Robert Fernholz, INTECH s founder. As of March 31, 2014, INTECH had approximately \$48.2 billion in assets under management and 83 employees. The business address of INTECH is 525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1800, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401. INTECH also has offices in Princeton, New Jersey and London, England.

Security Capital. Security Capital serves as investment sub-adviser to JDD and JRS. Since 1995, Security Capital has provided public real estate investment strategies to institutional and individual investment clients. Security Capital is organized as a Delaware corporation and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of JP Morgan Asset Management Holdings, Inc., 270 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10117, a wholly-owned subsidiary of JP Morgan Chase & Co. Security Capital managed over \$4.4 billion in assets as of April 30, 2014. The business address of Security Capital is 10 South Dearborn Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60603.

Wellington. Wellington serves as investment sub-adviser to JDD and JLS. Wellington is organized as a Massachusetts limited liability partnership. Wellington Management is a professional investment counseling firm which provides services to investment companies, employee benefit plans, endowments, foundations and other institutions. Wellington Management and its predecessor organizations have provided investment advisory services for over 70 years. As of March 31, 2014, Wellington Management had approximately \$869 billion in assets under management. The business address of Wellington is 280 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. Wellington also serves as sub-advisor to JMT pursuant to a sub-advisory agreement that will continue and is not being submitted to shareholders for approval.

Additional Information. Appendix E includes the advisory fee rates and net assets of registered investment companies, other than funds in the Nuveen fund complex, advised by each Sub-Adviser with similar investment objectives to the Funds the Sub-Adviser sub-advises.

Certain information regarding the executive officer and directors of each Sub-Adviser is set forth in Appendix F.

Affiliated Brokerage and Other Fees

No Fund paid brokerage commissions within the last fiscal year to (i) any broker that is an affiliated person of such Fund or an affiliated person of such person, or (ii) any broker an affiliated person of which is an affiliated person of such Fund, the Adviser or any Sub-Adviser of such Fund.

During each Fund s last fiscal year, no Fund made any material payments to the Adviser or any Sub-Adviser to such Fund or any affiliated person of the Adviser or any Sub-Adviser to such Fund for services provided to the Fund (other than pursuant to the Original Investment Management Agreement or Original Sub-Advisory Agreement).

Shareholder Approval

To become effective with respect to a particular Fund, the New Sub-Advisory Agreement must be approved by a vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund. The vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities is defined in the 1940 Act as the lesser of the vote of (i) 67% or more of the shares of the Fund entitled to vote thereon present at the meeting if the holders of more than 50% of such outstanding shares are present in person or represented by proxy; or (ii) more than 50% of such outstanding shares of the Fund entitled to vote thereon. For purposes of determining the approval of a New Sub-Advisory Agreement, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as shares voted against the proposal.

Each New Sub-Advisory Agreement was approved by the Board after consideration of all factors which it determined to be relevant to its deliberations, including those discussed below. The Board of each Fund also determined to submit the Fund s New Sub-Advisory Agreement(s) for consideration by the shareholders of the Fund.

The Board of each Fund unanimously recommends that shareholders of the Fund vote FOR approval of the Fund s New Sub-Advisory Agreement(s).

BOARD CONSIDERATIONS

I. The Approval Process

The Board of each Fund, including the Independent Board Members, is responsible for overseeing the performance of the Adviser and Sub-Adviser(s) to the respective Fund and determining whether to approve or continue such Fund's Original Investment Management Agreement and Original Sub-Advisory Agreement(s) (collectively, the Original Advisory Agreements). Pursuant to the 1940 Act, each Board is required to consider the continuation of the Original Advisory Agreements on an annual basis. In addition, prior to its annual review, the Board Members were advised of the potential acquisition of Nuveen by TIAA-CREF. For purposes of this section, references to Nuveen herein include all affiliates of Nuveen providing advisory, sub-advisory, distribution or other services to the Funds and references to the Board refer to the Board of each Fund. In accordance with the 1940 Act and the terms of the Original Advisory Agreements, the completion of the Transaction would terminate each of the Original Investment Management Agreements and Original Sub-Advisory Agreements. Accordingly, at an in-person meeting held on April 30, 2014 (the April Meeting), the Board, including all of the Independent Board Members, performed its annual review of the Original Advisory Agreements and approved the continuation of the Original Advisory Agreements for the Funds. Furthermore, in anticipation of the termination of the Original Advisory Agreements that would occur upon the consummation of the Transaction, the Board also approved the New Investment Management Agreements and the New Sub-Advisory Agreements (collectively, the New Advisory Agreements) on behalf of the Funds to be effective following the completion of the Transaction and the receipt of the requisite shareholder approval.

Leading up to the April Meeting, the Independent Board Members had several meetings and deliberations, with and without management from Nuveen present and with the advice of legal counsel, regarding the Original Advisory Agreements, the Transaction and its impact and the New Advisory Agreements. At its meeting held on February 25-27, 2014 (the February Meeting), the Board Members met with a senior executive representative of TIAA-CREF to discuss the proposed Transaction. At the February Meeting, the Independent Board Members also established an ad hoc committee comprised solely of the Independent Board Members to monitor and evaluate the Transaction and to keep the Independent Board Members updated with developments regarding the Transaction. On March 20, 2014, the ad hoc committee met telephonically to discuss with management of Nuveen, and separately with independent legal counsel, the terms of the proposed Transaction and its impact on, among other things: the governance structure of Nuveen; the strategic plans for Nuveen; the operations of the Funds; the quality or level of services provided to the Funds; key personnel that service the Funds and/or the Board and the compensation or incentive arrangements to retain such personnel; Nuveen s capital structure; the regulatory requirements applicable to Nuveen or Fund operations; and the Funds fees and expenses, including the Funds complex-wide fee arrangement. Following the meeting of the ad hoc committee, the Board met in person (two Independent Board Members participating telephonically) in executive session on March 26, 2014 to further discuss the proposed Transaction. At the executive session, the Board met privately with independent legal counsel to review its duties with respect to reviewing advisory agreements, particularly in the context of a change of control, and to evaluate further the Transaction and its impact on the Funds, the Adviser and Sub-Advisers (collectively, the Fund Advisers and each a Fund Adviser) and the services provided. Representatives of Nuveen also met with the Board to update the Board Members on developments regarding the Trans-

action, respond to questions and to discuss, among other things: the governance of the Fund Advisers following the Transaction; the background, culture (including with respect to regulatory and compliance matters) and resources of TIAA-CREF; the general plans and intentions of TIAA-CREF for Nuveen; the terms and conditions of the Transaction (including financing terms); any benefits or detriments the Transaction may impose on the Funds, TIAA-CREF or the Fund Advisers; the reaction from Fund Advisers employees knowledgeable of the Transaction; the incentive and retention plans for key personnel of Fund Advisers; the potential access to additional distribution platforms and economies of scale; and the impact of any additional regulatory schemes that may be applicable to the Funds given the banking and insurance businesses operated in the TIAA-CREF enterprise. As part of its review, the Board also held a separate meeting on April 15-16, 2014 to review the Funds investment performance and consider an analysis provided by the Adviser of each Sub-Adviser, the Transaction and its implications to the Funds. During their review of the materials and discussions, the Independent Board Members presented the Adviser with questions and the Adviser responded. Further, the Independent Board Members met in executive session with independent legal counsel on April 29, 2014 and April 30, 2014.

In connection with their review of the Original Advisory Agreements and the New Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members received extensive information regarding the Funds and Fund Advisers including, among other things: the nature, extent and quality of services provided by a Fund Adviser; the organization and operations of any Fund Adviser; the expertise and background of relevant personnel of the Fund Adviser; a review of the applicable Fund s performance (including performance comparisons against the performance of peer groups and appropriate benchmarks); a comparison of Fund fees and expenses relative to peers; a description and assessment of shareholder service levels for the Funds; a summary of the performance of certain service providers; a review of fund initiatives and shareholder communications; and an analysis of the Adviser s profitability with comparisons to peers in the managed fund business. In light of the proposed Transaction, the Independent Board Members, through their independent legal counsel, also requested in writing and received additional information regarding the proposed Transaction and its impact on the provision of services by the Fund Advisers.

The Independent Board Members received, well in advance of the April Meeting, materials which responded to the request for information regarding the Transaction and its impact on Nuveen and the Funds including, among other things: the structure and terms of the Transaction; the impact of the Transaction on Nuveen, its operations and the nature, quality and level of services provided to the Funds, including, in particular, any changes to those services that the Funds may experience following the Transaction; the strategic plan for Nuveen, including any financing arrangements following the Transaction and any cost-cutting efforts that may impact services; the organizational structure of TIAA-CREF, including the governance structure of Nuveen following the Transaction; any anticipated effect on each Fund s expense ratios (including changes to advisory and sub-advisory fees) and economies of scale that may be expected; any benefits or conflicts of interest that TIAA-CREF, Nuveen or their affiliates can expect from the Transaction; any benefits or undue burdens or other negative implications that may be imposed on the Funds as a result of the Transaction; the impact on Nuveen or the Funds as a result of being subject to additional regulatory schemes that TIAA-CREF must comply with in operating its various businesses; and the costs associated with obtaining necessary shareholder approvals and the bearer of such costs. The

Independent Board Members also received a memorandum describing the applicable laws, regulations and duties in approving advisory contracts, including in conjunction with a change of control, from their independent legal counsel.

The materials and information prepared in connection with the review of the Original Advisory Agreements and New Advisory Agreements supplemented the information and analysis provided to the Board during the year. In this regard, throughout the year, the Board, acting directly or through its committees, regularly reviewed the performance and various services provided by the Adviser and applicable Sub-Adviser. The Board met at least quarterly as well as at other times as the need arose. At its quarterly meetings, the Board reviewed reports by the Adviser regarding, among other things, Fund performance, Fund expenses, premium and discount levels of closed-end funds, the performance of the investment teams and compliance, regulatory and risk management matters. In addition to regular reports, the Adviser provided special reports to the Board or a committee thereof from time to time to enhance the Board s understanding of various topics that impact some or all the Nuveen funds (such as distribution channels, oversight of omnibus accounts and leverage management topics), to update the Board on regulatory developments impacting the investment company industry or to update the Board on the business plans or other matters impacting the Adviser. The Board also met with key investment personnel managing certain Nuveen fund portfolios during the year.

In addition, the Board has created several standing committees (the Executive Committee; the Dividend Committee; the Audit Committee; the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee; the Nominating and Governance Committee; the Open-End Funds Committee; and the Closed-End Funds Committee). The Open-End Funds Committee and Closed-End Funds Committee are intended to assist the full Board in monitoring and gaining a deeper insight into the distinctive business practices of closed-end and open-end funds. These two Committees have met prior to each quarterly Board meeting, and the Adviser provided presentations to these Committees permitting them to delve further into specific matters or initiatives impacting the respective product line.

Further, the Board continued its program of seeking to have the Board Members or a subset thereof visit each sub-adviser to the Nuveen funds and meet key investment and business personnel at least once over a multiple year rotation. In this regard, the Independent Board Members made site visits to certain NAM equity and fixed income teams in September 2013, certain teams of Tradewinds, NWQ and SBAM in October 2013 and met with the NAM municipal team at the August and November 2013 quarterly meetings. INTECH and ARI also made presentations to the Board during the year.

The Board considered the information provided and knowledge gained at these meetings and visits during the year when performing its annual review of the Original Advisory Agreements and its review of the New Advisory Agreements. The Independent Board Members also were assisted throughout the process by independent legal counsel. During the course of the year and during their deliberations regarding the review of advisory contracts, the Independent Board Members met with independent legal counsel in executive sessions without management present. In addition, it is important to recognize that the management arrangements for the Funds are the result of many years of review and discussion between the Independent Board Members and Fund management and that the Board Members conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of fee arrangements and other factors developed in previous years.

The Board considered all factors it believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including, among other things: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Fund Advisers, (b) the investment performance of the Funds and Fund Advisers, (c) the advisory fees and costs of the services to be provided to the Funds and the profitability of the Fund Advisers, (d) the extent of any economies of scale, (e) any benefits derived by the Fund Advisers from the relationship with the Funds and (f) other factors. With respect to the New Advisory Agreements, the Board also considered the Transaction and its impact on the foregoing factors. Each Board Member may have accorded different weight to the various factors in reaching his or her conclusions with respect to a Fund s Original Advisory Agreements and New Advisory Agreements. The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor as all-important or controlling. The Independent Board Members considerations were instead based on a comprehensive consideration of all the information presented. The principal factors considered by the Board and its conclusions are described below.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

1. The Original Advisory Agreements

In considering renewal of the Original Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of the respective Fund Adviser's services, including portfolio management services (and the resulting Fund performance) and administrative services. The Independent Board Members further considered the overall reputation and capabilities of the Adviser and its affiliates, the commitment of the Adviser to provide high quality service to the Funds, their overall confidence in the capability and integrity of the Adviser and its staff and the Adviser's responsiveness to questions and concerns raised by them. The Independent Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things: the Fund Adviser's organization and business; the types of services that the Fund Adviser or its affiliates provide to the Funds; the performance record of the applicable Fund (as described in further detail below); and any initiatives Nuveen had taken for the applicable open-end or closed-end fund product line.

In considering the services provided by the Fund Advisers, the Board recognized that the Adviser provides a myriad of investment management, administrative, compliance, oversight and other services for the Funds, and the applicable Sub-Advisers generally provide the portfolio advisory services to the Funds under the oversight of the Adviser. The Board considered the wide range of services provided by the Adviser to the Nuveen funds beginning with developing the fund, monitoring and analyzing its performance, to providing or overseeing the services necessary to support a fund s daily operations. The Board recognized the Adviser, among other things, provides: (a) product management (such as analyzing ways to better position a fund in the marketplace, maintaining relationships to gain access to distribution platforms, and setting dividends); (b) fund administration (such as preparing a fund s tax returns, regulatory filings and shareholder communications; managing fund budgets and expenses; overseeing the fund s various service providers and supporting and analyzing new and existing funds); (c) Board administration (such as supporting the Board and its committees, in relevant part, by organizing and administering the Board and committee meetings and preparing the necessary reports to assist the Board in its duties); (d) compliance (such as monitoring adherence to the fund s investment policies and procedures and applicable law; reviewing the compliance program periodically and developing new policies or updating existing compliance policies and

procedures as considered necessary or appropriate; responding to regulatory requests; and overseeing compliance testing of sub-advisers); (e) legal support (such as preparing or reviewing fund registration statements, proxy statements and other necessary materials; interpreting regulatory requirements and compliance thereof; and maintaining applicable registrations); and (f) investment services (such as overseeing and reviewing sub-advisers and their investment teams; analyzing performance of the funds; overseeing investment and risk management; overseeing the daily valuation process for portfolio securities and developing and recommending valuation policies and methodologies and changes thereto; and participating in fund development, leverage management, and the development of investment policies and parameters). With respect to closed-end funds, the Adviser also monitors asset coverage levels on leveraged funds, manages leverage, negotiates the terms of leverage, evaluates alternative forms and types of leverage, promotes an orderly secondary market for common shares and maintains an asset maintenance system for compliance with certain rating agency criteria.

In its review, the Board also considered the new services, initiatives or other changes adopted since the last advisory contract review that were designed to enhance the services and support the Adviser provides to the Nuveen funds. The Board recognized that some initiatives are a multi-year process. In reviewing the activities of 2013, the Board recognized that the year reflected the Adviser's continued focus on fund rationalization for both closed-end and open-end funds, consolidating certain funds through mergers that were designed to improve efficiencies and economies of scale for shareholders, repositioning various funds through updates in their investment policies and guidelines with the expectation of bringing greater value to shareholders, and liquidating certain funds. As in the past, the Board recognized the Adviser's significant investment in its technology initiatives, including the continued progress toward a central repository for fund and other Nuveen product data and implementing a data system to support the risk oversight group enabling it to provide more detailed risk analysis for the Funds. The Board noted the new data system has permitted more in-depth analysis of the investment risks of the funds and across the complex providing additional feedback and insights to the investment teams and more comprehensive risk reporting to the Board. The Adviser also conducted several workshops for the Board regarding the new data system, including explaining the risk measures being applied and their purpose. The Board also recognized the enhancements in the valuation group within the Adviser, including centralizing the fund pricing process within the valuation group, trending to more automated and expedient reviews, and continuing to expand its valuation team. The Board further considered the expansion of personnel in the compliance department enhancing the collective expertise of the group, investments in additional compliance systems and the updates of various compliance policies.

In addition to the foregoing actions, the Board also considered other initiatives related to the closed-end funds, including the continued investment of considerable resources and personnel dedicated to managing and overseeing the various forms of leverage utilized by certain funds. The Board recognized the results of these efforts included the development of less expensive forms of leverage, expansion of leverage providers, the negotiation of more favorable terms for existing leverage, the enhanced ability to respond to market and regulatory developments and the enhancements to technology systems to manage and track the various forms of leverage. The Board also noted Nuveen s continued capital management services, including executing share repurchase programs, its implementation of data systems that permit more targeted solicitation strategies for fund mergers and more targeted marketing and

promotional efforts and its continued focus and efforts to address the discounts of various Funds. The Board further noted Nuveen s continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a comprehensive communication program designed to further educate the investor and analyst about closed-end funds. Nuveen s support services included, among other things, maintaining and enhancing a closed-end fund website, creating marketing campaigns and educational materials, communicating with financial advisers, sponsoring and participating in conferences, providing educational seminars and programs and evaluating the results of these marketing efforts.

As noted, the Adviser also oversees the Sub-Advisers who provide the portfolio advisory services to the Funds. In reviewing the portfolio advisory services provided to each Fund, the Nuveen Investment Services Oversight Team of the Adviser analyzes the performance of the Sub-Advisers and may recommend changes to the investment team or investment strategies as appropriate. In assisting the Board's review of the Sub-Advisers, the Adviser provides a report analyzing, among other things, each Sub-Adviser's investment team and changes thereto, organization and history, assets under management, the investment team sphilosophy and strategies in managing the Fund, developments affecting the Sub-Adviser or Fund and Fund performance (or the portion of the Fund's portfolio allocated to the respective Sub-Adviser). In their review of the Sub-Advisers, the Independent Board Members considered, among other things, the experience and qualifications of the relevant investment personnel, their investment philosophy and strategies, the Sub-Advisers organization and stability, their capabilities and any initiatives taken or planned to enhance their current capabilities or support potential growth of business and, as outlined in further detail below, the performance of the Fund for which the Sub-Adviser serves as such. The Independent Board Members also reviewed portfolio manager compensation arrangements to evaluate each Fund Adviser's ability to attract and retain high quality investment personnel, preserve stability, and reward performance while not providing an inappropriate incentive to take undue risks.

Given the importance of compliance, the Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen s compliance program, including the report of the chief compliance officer regarding the Nuveen funds compliance policies and procedures; the resources dedicated to compliance; the record of compliance with the policies and procedures; and its supervision of the Funds service providers. The Board recognized Nuveen s commitment to compliance and strong commitment to a culture of compliance. Given the Adviser s emphasis on monitoring investment risk, the Board has also appointed two Independent Board Members as point persons to review and keep the Board apprised of developments in this area and work with applicable Fund Adviser personnel.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the respective Funds under each applicable original Advisory Agreement were satisfactory.

2. The New Advisory Agreements

In evaluating the nature, quality and extent of the services expected to be provided by the Fund Advisers under the applicable New Investment Management Agreement or New Sub-Advisory Agreement, the Board Members concluded that no diminution in the nature, quality and extent of services provided to the Funds and their shareholders by the respective Fund Advisers is expected as a result of the Transaction. In making their determination, the

Independent Board Members considered, among other things: the expected impact, if any, of the Transaction on the operations, facilities, organization and personnel of the respective Fund Adviser; the ability of the Fund Adviser to perform its duties after the Transaction, including any changes to the level or quality of services provided to the Funds; the potential implications of any additional regulatory requirements imposed on the Fund Adviser or Funds following the Transaction; and any anticipated changes to the investment and other practices of the Funds.

The Board noted that the terms of each New Investment Management Agreement, including the fees payable thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Investment Management Agreement relating to the same Fund. Similarly, the terms of each New Sub-Advisory Agreement, including fees payable thereunder, are substantially identical to those of the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement relating to the same Fund. The Board considered that the services to be provided and the standard of care under the New Investment Management Agreements and the New Sub-Advisory Agreements are the same as the corresponding original agreements. The Board Members noted the Transaction also does not alter the allocation of responsibilities between the Adviser and Sub-Adviser(s). The respective Sub-Adviser for the applicable Funds will continue to furnish an investment program in respect of, make investment decisions for and place all orders for the purchase and sale of securities for the portion of the Fund s investment portfolio allocated by the Adviser to the respective Sub-Adviser, all on behalf of the applicable Fund and subject to oversight of the Board and the Adviser. The Board noted that TIAA-CREF did not anticipate any material changes to the advisory, sub-advisory or other services provided to the Funds as a result of the Transaction. The Independent Board Members recognized that there were not any planned cost cutting measures that could be expected to reduce the nature, extent or quality of services. The Independent Board Members further noted that there were currently no plans for material changes to senior personnel at Nuveen or key personnel who provide services to the Funds and the Board following the Transaction. The key personnel who have responsibility for the Funds in each area, including portfolio management, investment oversight, fund management, fund operations, product management, legal/compliance and board support functions, are expected to be the same following the Transaction, although such personnel may have additional reporting requirements to TIAA-CREF. The Board also considered the anticipated incentive plans designed to retain such key personnel. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board Members recognized that personnel changes may occur in the future as a result of normal business developments or personal career decisions.

The Board Members also considered Nuveen s proposed governance structure following the Transaction and noted that Nuveen was expected to remain a stand-alone business within the TIAA-CREF enterprise and operate relatively autonomously from the other TIAA-CREF businesses, but would receive the general support and oversight from certain TIAA-CREF functional groups (such as legal, finance, internal audit, compliance, and risk management groups). The Board recognized, however, that Nuveen may be subject to additional reporting requirements as it keeps TIAA-CREF abreast of developments affecting the Nuveen business, may be required to modify certain of its reports, policies and procedures as necessary to conform to the practices followed in the TIAA-CREF enterprise, and may need to collaborate with TIAA-CREF with respect to strategic planning for its business.

In considering the implications of the Transaction, the Board Members also recognized the reputation and size of TIAA-CREF and the benefits that the Transaction may bring to the Funds and Nuveen. In this regard, the Board recognized, among other things, that the increased resources

and support that may be available to Nuveen from TIAA-CREF and the improved capital structure of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent of the Adviser) that would result from the significant reduction in its debt level may reinforce and enhance Nuveen s ability to provide quality services to the Funds and to invest further into its infrastructure.

Further, with the consummation of the Transaction, the Board recognized the enhanced distribution capabilities for the Funds as the Funds may gain access to TIAA-CREF s distribution network, particularly through TIAA-CREF s retirement platform and institutional client base. The Board also considered that investors in TIAA-CREF s retirement platform may choose to roll their investments as they exit their retirement plans into the Funds. The Independent Board Members recognized the potential cost savings to the benefit of all shareholders of the Funds from reduced expenses as assets in the Nuveen fund complex rise pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement described in further detail below.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members found that the expected nature, extent and quality of services to be provided to the respective Funds under each applicable New Advisory Agreement were satisfactory and supported approval of the New Advisory Agreements.

B. The Investment Performance of the Funds and Fund Advisers

1. The Original Advisory Agreements

The Board, including the Independent Board Members, considered the performance history of each Fund over various time periods. The Board reviewed reports, including an analysis of the Funds performance and the applicable investment team. In considering a Fund s performance, the Board recognized that a Fund s performance can be reviewed through various measures including the Fund s absolute return, the Fund s return compared to the performance of other peer funds, and the Fund s performance compared to its respective benchmark. Accordingly, the Board reviewed, among other things, each Fund s historic investment performance as well as information comparing the Fund s performance information with that of other funds (the Performance Peer Group) and with recognized and/or customized benchmarks (i.e., generally benchmarks derived from multiple recognized benchmarks) for the quarter, one-, three- and five-year periods ending December 31, 2013, as well as performance information reflecting the first quarter of 2014 (or for such shorter periods available for Funds that did not exist for part of the foregoing time frame). With respect to the multi-strategy or multi-asset Funds (Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA), Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD), and Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE)), the Independent Board Members also reviewed, among other things, the returns of each sleeve of the respective Fund relative to the benchmark of such sleeve for the quarter, one-, three- and five-year periods ending December 31, 2013, as well as performance information reflecting the first quarter of 2014. With respect to closed-end funds, the Independent Board Members also reviewed historic premium and discount levels, including a summary of actions taken to address or discuss other developments affecting the secondary market discounts of various Nuveen funds. This information supplemented the Fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings.

In evaluating performance, the Board recognized several factors that may impact the performance data as well as the consideration given to particular performance data.

The performance data reflects a snapshot in time, in this case as of the end of the most recent calendar year or quarter. A different performance period, however, could generate significantly different results.

Long-term performance can be adversely affected by even one period of significant underperformance so that a single investment decision or theme has the ability to disproportionately affect long-term performance.

The investment experience of a particular shareholder in the Funds will vary depending on when such shareholder invests in the applicable Fund, the class held (if multiple classes offered in a Fund) and the performance of the Fund (or respective class) during that shareholder s investment period.

The usefulness of comparative performance data as a frame of reference to measure a Fund s performance may be limited because the Performance Peer Group, among other things, does not adequately reflect the objectives and strategies of the Fund, has a different investable universe, or the composition of the peer set may be limited in size or number as well as other factors. In this regard, the Board noted that the Adviser classified the Performance Peer Groups of the Funds from highly relevant to less relevant. Funds classified with the less relevant Performance Peer Groups include: Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD), Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS), Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT), Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI) and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD). For these Funds, the Board considered a Fund s performance compared to its benchmark to help assess the Fund s comparative performance. A Fund was generally considered to have performed comparably to its benchmark if the Fund s performance was within certain thresholds compared to the performance of its benchmark and was considered to have outperformed or underperformed its benchmark if the Fund s performance was beyond these thresholds for the one- and three-year periods, subject to certain exceptions. While the Board is cognizant of the relative performance of a Fund s peer set and/or benchmark(s), the Board evaluated Fund performance in light of the respective Fund s investment objectives, investment parameters and guidelines and considered that the variations between the objectives and investment parameters or guidelines of the Fund with its peers and/or benchmarks result in differences in performance results. Further, for Nuveen funds that utilize leverage, the Board understands that leverage during different periods can provide both benefits and risks to a portfolio as compared to an unlevered benchmark.

With respect to any Nuveen funds for which the Board has identified performance concerns, the Board monitors such funds closely until performance improves, discusses with the Adviser the reasons for such results, considers those steps necessary or appropriate to address such

¹ The Board recognized that the Adviser considered a Fund to have outperformed or underperformed its benchmark if the Fund s performance was higher or lower than the performance of the benchmark by the following thresholds: for open-end funds (+/- 100 basis points for equity funds excluding index funds; +/- 30 basis points for tax exempt fixed income funds; +/- 40 basis points for taxable fixed income funds) and for closed-end funds (assuming 30% leverage) (+/- 130 basis points for equity funds excluding index funds; +/- 39 basis points for tax exempt funds and +/- 52 basis points for taxable fixed income funds).

issues, and reviews the results of any efforts undertaken. The Board is aware, however, that shareholders chose to invest or remain invested in a fund knowing that the Adviser manages the fund and knowing the fund s fee structure.

In considering the performance data, the Independent Board Members noted that Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Fund (JCE) and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Total Return Strategy Fund (JTA) had demonstrated generally favorable performance in comparison to peers, performing in the first quartile over various periods. The Board further noted that Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS) lagged its peers somewhat in the shorter periods, but demonstrated more favorable performance in the longer periods. In this regard, although Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS) performed in the fourth quartile in the one-year period, such Fund performed in the second quartile for the three- and five-year periods.

With respect to Funds with Performance Peer Groups classified as less relevant noted above, the Board considered the Funds performance compared to their respective benchmarks and noted that Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD) and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Fund (JTD) outperformed their benchmarks over the one-, three- and five-year periods while other Funds performance was satisfactory compared to the performance of their benchmarks. In this regard, the Board noted that Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS) and Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT) provided annual gross returns since their inception above the middle of the initial fund-level projections and considered each Fund s performance satisfactory.

With respect to Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI) and Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF), the Board recognized that both Funds were relatively new with a shorter performance history available thereby limiting the ability to make a meaningful assessment of performance. Nevertheless, the Board recognized that although Nuveen Energy MLP Total Return Fund (JMF) had only one-year performance as of December 31, 2013 and was in the fourth quartile for such period, such Fund had good absolute performance for such period. Further, the Fund had one- and three-year performance as of March 31, 2014 and was in the third quartile during such periods.

Finally, the Board noted that Nuveen Global Equity Income Fund (JGV) lagged its peers and benchmark over various periods, performing in the fourth quartile and underperforming its benchmark for the one-, three- and five-year periods. The Board recognized that the Fund has experienced a period of challenged performance and therefore the Board considered and discussed the factors contributing to the performance results and considered any steps that have been or should be taken to address performance issues. The Board considered that Tradewinds serves as Sub-Adviser to this Fund and, as a result of the departures of certain senior investment managers in 2012, the Board continues to monitor closely the operations and strategies of Tradewinds. The Board recognized that 2013 was a challenging year for emerging markets, and exposure to emerging markets as well as gold holdings, among other things, contributed to the challenged performance of the Fund. The Board, however, recognized the appointment of a new President for Tradewinds, who together with the co-investment chiefs, will serve as the leadership team at Tradewinds. The Board further noted the Adviser s close monitoring and collaboration with Tradewinds in addressing performance issues. The Board considered the steps Tradewinds had taken to help address performance issues, including instituting certain modifications to its investment process, focusing on its core investment

strategies, adjusting the portfolio management teams of the Fund and revising the investment policies of such Fund. The Board is encouraged by the steps taken and will continue to monitor Tradewinds and the Fund.

Except as otherwise noted above, based on their review, the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund s investment performance had been satisfactory.

2. The New Advisory Agreements

With respect to the performance of the Funds, the Board considered that the portfolio investment personnel responsible for the management of the Funds portfolios were expected to continue to manage the portfolios following the completion of the Transaction and the investment strategies of the Funds were not expected to change as a result of the Transaction. Accordingly, the findings regarding performance outlined above for the Original Advisory Agreements are applicable to the review of the New Advisory Agreements.

C. Fees, Expenses and Profitability

1. Fees and Expenses

The Board evaluated the management fees and expenses of each Fund reviewing, among other things, such Fund s gross management fees, net management fees and net expense ratios in absolute terms as well as compared to the fees and expenses of a comparable universe of funds provided by an independent fund data provider (the Peer Universe) and any expense limitations.

The Independent Board Members further reviewed the methodology regarding the construction of the applicable Peer Universe. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Independent Board Members took into account that in certain instances various factors such as: the limited size and particular composition of the Peer Universe (including the inclusion of other Nuveen funds in the peer set); expense anomalies; changes in the funds comprising the Peer Universe from year to year; levels of reimbursement or fee waivers; the timing of information used; and the differences in the type and use of leverage may impact the comparative data thereby limiting somewhat the ability to make a meaningful comparison with peers.

In reviewing the fee schedule for a Fund, the Independent Board Members also considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen. In reviewing fees and expenses (excluding leverage costs and leveraged assets for the closed-end funds), the Board considered the expenses and fees to be higher if they were over 10 basis points higher, slightly higher if they were approximately 6 to 10 basis points higher, in line if they were within approximately 5 basis points higher than the peer average and below if they were below the peer average of the Peer Universe. In reviewing the reports, the Board noted that the majority of the Nuveen funds were at, close to or below their peer average based on the net total expense ratio. The Independent Board Members observed that the Funds had net management fees and net expense ratios (including fee waivers and expense reimbursements) below or in line with their peer averages, except as noted below.

The Board noted that Nuveen Real Asset Income and Growth Fund (JRI) and Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund (JRS) have net management fees slightly higher or higher than the peer average, but net expense ratios in-line with the peer average. The Board noted that the following Funds had net management fees and net expense ratios higher than their peer average and the factors that contributed to the Fund s higher relative expense ratio including: Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund (JDD) (generally due to the differences between the Fund and its peers limiting some of the usefulness of the comparison); Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund (JLS) and Nuveen Mortgage Opportunity Term Fund 2 (JMT) (generally due to certain peers in the peer group that did not assess management fees on the leveraged portion of their portfolios and this anomaly reduced the peer group average as well as costs associated with a change in the form of leverage used); and Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend and Growth Fund (JTD) (generally due to limits with the peer group although the Board recognized that the expense ratio decreased in 2013 from the prior year).

Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund s management fees (as applicable) to a Fund Adviser were reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund.

2. Comparisons with the Fees of Other Clients

The Board recognized that all Nuveen funds have a sub-adviser, either affiliated or non-affiliated, and therefore, the overall Fund management fee can be divided into two components, the fee retained by the Adviser and the fee paid to the sub-adviser. In general terms, the fee to the Adviser reflects the administrative and other services it provides to support the Funds (as described above) and while some administrative services may occur at the sub-adviser level, the fee to the sub-adviser generally reflects the portfolio management services provided by the sub-adviser. The Independent Board Members considered the fees a Fund Adviser assesses to the Funds compared to that of other clients. With respect to non-municipal funds, such other clients of a Fund Adviser may include: separately managed accounts (both retail and institutional accounts), hedge funds, foreign investment funds offered by Nuveen, collective trust funds, and funds that are not offered by Nuveen but are sub-advised by one of Nuveen s investment management teams.

The Independent Board Members reviewed the nature of services provided by the Adviser, including through its affiliated sub-advisers and the average fee the affiliated sub-advisers assessed such clients as well as the range of fees assessed to the different types of separately managed accounts (such as retail, institutional or wrap accounts) to the extent applicable to the respective sub-adviser. With respect to Symphony, the Independent Board Members also noted that such Sub-Adviser also advises equity and taxable fixed-income hedge funds and reviewed the average fee and fee range assessed such funds as well as the performance fee. In their review, the Independent Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to: the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. In evaluating the comparisons of fees, the Independent Board Members noted that the fee rates charged to the Funds and other clients vary, among other things, because of the different services involved and the additional regulatory and compliance requirements associated with registered investment companies, such as the Funds. The Independent Board Members noted that as a general matter, higher fee levels

reflect higher levels of service, increased investment management complexity, greater product management requirements and higher levels of risk or a combination of the foregoing. The Independent Board Members further noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Funds (as discussed above) is generally much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. Many of the additional administrative services provided by the Adviser are not required for institutional clients. The Independent Board Members also recognized that the management fee rates of the foreign funds advised by the Adviser may vary due to, among other things, differences in the client base, governing bodies, operational complexities and services covered by the management fee. Given the inherent differences in the various products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Independent Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

With respect to Security Capital, Intech and ARI, the Independent Board Members also considered the pricing schedule or fees that such Sub-Advisers charge for other clients. The Independent Board Members noted that the fees paid these Sub-Advisers for their sub-advisory services were at or below the low end of their fee schedule or generally consistent with their average fees earned. With respect to Wellington, the Independent Board Members considered such Sub-Adviser s profitability margins for its advisory activities with respect to the applicable Funds. The Independent Board Members also noted that with respect to Sub-Advisers unaffiliated with Nuveen, such fees were the result of arm s-length negotiations.

3. Profitability of Fund Advisers

In conjunction with their review of fees, the Independent Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities and its financial condition. The Independent Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen s advisory activities for the last two calendar years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data, an analysis of the key drivers behind the changes in revenues and expenses that impacted profitability in 2013 and Nuveen s consolidated financial statements for 2013. The Independent Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Independent Board Members noted that two Independent Board Members served as point persons to review the profitability analysis and methodologies employed, any changes thereto, and to keep the Board apprised of such changes. The Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen s revenues for advisory activities, expenses, and profit margin compared to that of various unaffiliated management firms.

In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members noted the Adviser s continued investment in its business with expenditures to, among other things, upgrade its investment technology and compliance systems, and provide for additional personnel and other resources. The Independent Board Members recognized the Adviser s continued commitment to its business should enhance the Adviser s capacity and capabilities in providing the services necessary to meet the needs of the Funds as they grow or change over time. In addition, in evaluating profitability, the Independent Board Members also noted the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors including the allocation of expenses and that various allocation methodologies may each be reasonable but yield different results. Further, the Independent Board Members recognized the difficulties in making

comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available, and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser s particular business mix, capital costs, size, types of funds managed and expense allocations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Independent Board Members noted the Adviser s adjusted operating margin appears to be reasonable in relation to other investment advisers and sufficient to operate as a viable investment management firm meeting its obligations to the Funds. Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the Adviser s level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable in light of the services provided.

With respect to sub-advisers affiliated with Nuveen, including NAM, NWQ, Tradewinds, SBAM and Symphony, the Independent Board Members reviewed such sub-advisers revenues, expenses and profitability margins (pre- and post-tax) for their advisory activities and the methodology used for allocating expenses among the internal sub-advisers. In addition, with respect to sub-advisers unaffiliated with Nuveen, the Independent Board Members also considered the sub-advisers revenues, expenses and profitability margins for their advisory activities with the applicable Fund(s). Based on their review, the Independent Board Members were satisfied that the respective Fund Adviser s level of profitability was reasonable in light of the services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Independent Board Members also considered other amounts paid to a Fund Adviser by the Funds as well as indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements), if any, the Fund Adviser and its affiliates receive or are expected to receive that are directly attributable to the management of the Funds. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits the Fund Adviser may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of each Fund, the Independent Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the respective Fund were reasonable.

4. The New Advisory Agreements

As noted above, the terms of the New Advisory Agreements are substantially identical to their corresponding Original Advisory Agreements. The fee schedule, including the breakpoint schedule and complex-wide fee schedule, in each New Advisory Agreement is identical to that under the corresponding Original Advisory Agreement. The Board Members also noted that Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the date of closing the Transaction not to increase contractual management fee rates for any Fund. This commitment shall not limit or otherwise affect mergers or liquidations of any Funds in the ordinary course. Based on the information provided, the Board Members did not believe that the overall expenses would increase as a result of the Transaction. In addition, the Board Members recognized that the Nuveen funds may gain access to the retirement platform and institutional client base of TIAA-CREF, and the investors in the retirement platforms may roll their investments into one or more Nuveen funds as they exit their retirement plans. The enhanced distribution access may result in additional sales of the Funds resulting in an increase in total assets under management in the complex and a corresponding decrease in overall management fees if additional breakpoints at the fund-level or complex-wide level are met. Based on its review, the Board determined that the management fees and expenses under the New Advisory Agreements were reasonable.

Further, other than from a potential reduction in the debt level of Nuveen Investments, the Board recognized that it is difficult to predict with any degree of certainty the impact of the Transaction on Nuveen s profitability. Given the fee schedule was not expected to change under the New Advisory Agreements, however, the Independent Board Members concluded that the Fund Adviser s level of profitability for its advisory activities under the New Advisory Agreements would continue to be reasonable in light of the services provided.

D. Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale 1. The Original Advisory Agreements

With respect to economies of scale, the Independent Board Members have recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a fund being spread over a larger asset base, although economies of scale are difficult to measure and predict with precision, particularly on a fund-by-fund basis. One method to help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits is to include breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule. Generally, management fees for funds in the Nuveen complex are comprised of a fund-level component and a complex-level component, subject to certain exceptions. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members reviewed and considered the applicable fund-level breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees as asset levels increase. Further, the Independent Board Members noted that although closed-end funds may from time-to-time make additional share offerings, the growth of their assets would occur primarily through the appreciation of such funds investment portfolio.

In addition to fund-level advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also considered the Funds complex-wide fee arrangement. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex are reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. The complex-wide fee arrangement seeks to provide the benefits of economies of scale to fund shareholders when total fund complex assets increase, even if assets of a particular fund are unchanged or have decreased. The approach reflects the notion that some of Nuveen s costs are attributable to services provided to all its funds in the complex and therefore all funds benefit if these costs are spread over a larger asset base.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedules and complex-wide fee arrangement (as applicable) were acceptable and reflect economies of scale to be shared with shareholders when assets under management increase.

2. The New Advisory Agreements

As noted, the Independent Board Members recognized that the fund-level and complex-wide schedules will not change under the New Advisory Agreements. Assets in the funds advised by TIAA-CREF or its current affiliates will not be included in the complex-wide fee calculation. Nevertheless, the Nuveen funds may have access to TIAA-CREF s retirement platform and institutional client base. The access to this distribution network may enhance the distribution of the Nuveen funds which, in turn, may lead to reductions in management and sub-advisory fees if the Nuveen funds reach additional fund-level and complex-wide break point levels. Based on their review, including the considerations in the annual review of the Original Advisory

Agreements, the Independent Board Members determined that the fund-level breakpoint schedules and complex-wide fee schedule continue to be appropriate and desirable in ensuring that shareholders participate in the benefits derived from economies of scale under the New Advisory Agreements.

E. Indirect Benefits

1. The Original Advisory Agreements

In evaluating fees, the Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding potential fall out or ancillary benefits the respective Fund Adviser or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. In this regard, with respect to closed-end funds, the Independent Board Members considered any revenues received by affiliates of the Adviser for serving as co-manager in initial public offerings of new closed-end funds as well as revenues received in connection with secondary offerings.

In addition to the above, the Independent Board Members considered whether the Fund Adviser received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to the Fund Adviser in managing the assets of the Funds and other clients. The Funds portfolio transactions are allocated by the applicable Sub-Adviser. Accordingly, with respect to NAM, ARI, NWQ, SBAM, Security Capital, Symphony and Tradewinds, the Independent Board Members considered that such Sub-Advisers may benefit from their soft dollar arrangements pursuant to which the respective Sub-Adviser receives research from brokers that execute the applicable Fund s portfolio transactions. With respect to any fixed income securities, however, the Board recognized that such securities generally trade on a principal basis that does not generate soft dollar credits. Similarly, the Board recognized that the research received pursuant to soft dollar arrangements by a Sub-Adviser may also benefit the applicable Funds and shareholders to the extent the research enhances the ability of the Sub-Adviser to manage the respective Fund. For the Sub-Advisers with soft dollar arrangements, the Independent Board Members noted that such Sub-Advisers profitability may be somewhat lower if they did not receive the research services pursuant to the soft dollar arrangements and had to acquire such services directly.

With respect to Intech and Wellington, the following soft dollar arrangements were noted. With respect to Intech, such Sub-Adviser does not participate in soft dollar arrangements. With respect to Wellington, the Independent Board Members noted that Wellington only executes principal trades on behalf of the Nuveen Funds and therefore has not engaged in soft dollar transactions on behalf of such Funds.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by a Fund Adviser as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

2. The New Advisory Agreements

The Independent Board Members noted that as the applicable policies and operations of the Fund Advisers with respect to the Funds were not anticipated to change significantly after the Transaction, such indirect benefits should remain after the Transaction. The Independent

Board Members further noted the benefits the Transaction would provide to TIAA-CREF and Nuveen, including a larger-scale fund complex, certain shared services (noted above) and a broader range of investment capabilities, distribution capabilities and product line. Further, the Independent Board Members noted that Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent of the Adviser) would benefit from an improved capital structure through a reduction in its debt level.

F. Other Considerations for the New Advisory Agreements

In addition to the factors above, the Board Members also considered the following with respect to the Nuveen funds:

Nuveen would rely on the provisions of Section 15(f) of the 1940 Act. In this regard, to help ensure that an unfair burden is not imposed on the Nuveen funds, Nuveen has committed for a period of two years from the date of the closing of the Transaction not to increase contractual management fee rates for any Fund. This commitment shall not limit or otherwise affect mergers or liquidations of any Funds in the ordinary course.

The Nuveen funds would not incur any costs in seeking the necessary shareholder approvals for the New Investment Management Agreements or New Sub-Advisory Agreements (except for any costs attributed to seeking shareholder approvals of fund specific matters unrelated to the Transaction, such as election of Board Members or changes to investment policies, in which case a portion of such costs will be borne by the applicable funds).

The reputation, financial strength and resources of TIAA-CREF.

The long-term investment philosophy of TIAA-CREF and anticipated plans to grow Nuveen s business to the benefit of the Nuveen funds.

The benefits to the Nuveen funds as a result of the Transaction including: (i) increased resources and support available to Nuveen as well as an improved capital structure that may reinforce and enhance the quality and level of services it provides to the funds; (ii) potential additional distribution capabilities for the funds to access new markets and customer segments through TIAA-CREF s distribution network, including, in particular, its retirement platforms and institutional client base; and (iii) access to TIAA-CREF s expertise and investment capabilities in additional asset classes.

G. Other Considerations

The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of each Original Advisory Agreement and New Advisory Agreement are fair and reasonable, that the respective Fund Adviser s fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the Original Advisory Agreements be renewed and the New Advisory Agreements be approved.

II. Approval of Interim Advisory Agreements

At the April Meeting, the Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the Interim Investment
Management Agreements and Interim Sub-Advisory Agreements. If necessary to assure continuity of advisory services, the Interim Investment
Management Agreements and Interim Sub-Advisory Agreements will take effect upon the closing of the Transaction if shareholders have not yet
approved the New Investment Management Agreements and New Sub-Advisory Agreements. The terms of each Interim Investment
Management Agreement and Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement are substantially identical to those of the corresponding Original Investment
Management Agreement and New Investment Management Agreement and the Original Sub-Advisory Agreement and New Sub-Advisory
Agreement, respectively, except for certain term and fee escrow provisions. In light of the foregoing, the Board Members, including the
Independent Board Members, unanimously determined that the scope and quality of services to be provided to the Funds under the respective
Interim Investment Management Agreement and Interim Sub-Advisory Agreement are at least equivalent to the scope and quality of services
provided under the applicable Original Investment Management Agreement and Original Sub-Advisory Agreement.

PROPOSAL 3: ELECTION OF BOARD MEMBERS

Nominees and Composition of the Board

Pursuant to the organizational documents of each Fund, each Board is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, to be elected by all shareholders to serve until the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter, in each case until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Four (4) Board Members are to be elected by all shareholders. Board Members William Adams IV, David J. Kundert, John K. Nelson and Terence J. Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members and as nominees for Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2017 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

The composition of each Board is as follows:

Class I Board Members(1)

William C. Hunter Judith M. Stockdale Carole E. Stone Virginia L. Stringer Class II Board Members(2)

William Adams IV David J. Kundert John K. Nelson Terence J. Toth Class III Board Members(3)

Robert P. Bremner Jack B. Evans Thomas S. Schreier, Jr. William J. Schneider

- (1) Class I Board Members are current and continuing Board Members. Class I Board Members were last elected at the Fund s annual meeting held on April 3, 2013 for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2016 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.
- (2) Class II Board Members are standing for election at the Meeting for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2017 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Except with respect to JMF and JRI, Class II Board Members Kundert and Toth were last elected at the Fund sannual meeting held on May 6, 2011. For JMF, Class II Board Members Kundert and Toth were last elected by the initial shareholder of the Fund, the Adviser, on February 23, 2011. For JRI, Class II Board Members Kundert and Toth were last elected by the initial shareholder of the Fund, the Adviser, on April 23, 2012. Class II Board Members Adams and Nelson were appointed to the Board of each Fund effective September 1, 2013.
- (3) Class III Board Members are current and continuing Board Members. Except with respect to JMF and JRI, Class III Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider were last elected at the Fund s annual meeting held on March 30, 2012. For JMF, Class III Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider were last elected at the Fund s annual meeting held on July 12, 2012. For JRI, Class III Board Members Bremner, Evans and Schneider were last elected by the initial shareholder of the Fund, the Adviser, on April 23, 2012. Class III Board Member Schreier was appointed to the Board of each Fund effective September 1, 2013.

It is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote the shares represented thereby for the election of the nominees listed above unless the proxy is marked otherwise. Each of the nominees has agreed to serve as a Board Member of each Fund if elected. However, should any nominee become unable or unwilling to accept nomination for election, the proxies will be voted for substitute nominees, if any, designated by that Fund s present Board.

For all Funds, other than Messrs. Adams and Schreier, all Board Member nominees are not interested persons as defined in the 1940 Act, of the Funds or of the Adviser and have never been an employee or director of Nuveen, the Adviser s parent company, or any affiliate.

The Board of each Fund unanimously recommends that shareholders of the Fund vote FOR the election of the nominees named herein.

Board Members and Nominees

Name, Business Address and Year of Birth INDEPENDENT BOARD MEMBERS	Position(s) Held with Funds	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During Past Five Years	
William J. Schneider ⁽²⁾	Chairman of the Board; Board	Term:	Chairman of Miller-Valentine Partners, a real estate investment company: Board Member,	201	None	
333 West Wacker Drive	Member		Med-America Health System, of			
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of service: Since 1996,	Tech Town, Inc., a not-for-profit community development company, and of WDPR Public			
(1944)		Chairman of the Board Since July 1, 2013	Radio; formerly, Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired 2004) of Miller-Valentine Group; formerly, Director Dayton Development Coalition; formerly, Board Member, Business Advisory Council, Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank and University of Dayton Business School Advisory Council.			
Robert P. Bremner	Board Member	Term:	Private Investor and Management Consultant;	201	None	
333 West Wacker Drive			Treasurer and Director, Humanities Council, Washington, D.C.; Board			
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of service: Since 1996;	Member, Independent Directors Council affiliated with the			
(1940)		Chairman of the Board (2008-July 1, 2013); Lead Independent Director (2005-2008)	Investment Company Institute.			

Name, Business Address and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with Funds	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During Past Five Years
Jack B. Evans 333 West Wacker Drive	Board Member	Member Foundation, a private philanthropic corporation (since 1996); Director, Source Media Group; Life Trustee of Coe College and the Iowa College Since 1999 Foundation; formerly, Director, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago;	201	Director and Vice Chairman, United Fire Group, a	
Chicago, IL 60606 (1948)			Group; Life Trustee of Coe College and the Iowa College Foundation; formerly, Director,		publicly held company; formerly, Director, Alliant Energy
			Operating Officer, SCI Financial Group, Inc., a regional financial services firm; formerly, Member and President Pro Tem of the Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System.		Energy
William C. Hunter	Board Member	Term:	Dean Emeritus (since June 30, 2012), formerly Dean, Tippie	201	Director (since 2004) of Xerox
333 West Wacker Drive			College of Business, University of Iowa (2006-2012); Director (since 2005) and President (since July		Corporation
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of service: Since 2004	2012) of Beta Gamma Sigma, Inc., The International Honor		
(1948)			Society; Director of Wellmark, Inc. (since 2009); formerly, Director (1997-2007), Credit Research Center at Georgetown University; formerly, Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance, School of Business at the University of Connecticut (2003-2006); previously, Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (1995-2003).		

Name, Business Address and Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with Funds	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During Past Five Years
David J. Kundert	Board Member	Term:	Formerly, Director, Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management	201	None
333 West Wacker Drive			Company (2006-2013); retired (since 2004) as Chairman, JPMorgan Fleming Asset		
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of service: Since 2005	Management, President and CEO, Banc One Investment Advisors		
(1942)			Corporation, and President, One Group Mutual Funds; prior thereto, Executive Vice President, Banc One Corporation and Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group; Regent Emeritus, member of Investment Committee, Luther College; member of the Wisconsin Bar Association; member of Board of Directors, Friends of Boerner Botanical Gardens; member of Board of Directors and Chair of Investment Committee, Greater Milwaukee Foundation; member of the Board of Directors (Milwaukee), College Possible.		

				N. J. C	Other
				Number of	Directorships
				Portfolios	Held by
				in Fund	Board
			7.1.10 (1.4)	Complex	Member
	Position(s)	Term of Office	Principal Occupation(s)	Overseen	During
Name, Business Address	Held with	and Length of		by Board	Past Five
and Year of Birth	Funds	Time Served ⁽¹⁾	During Past Five Years	Member	Years
John K. Nelson					