CRAY INC Form 10-Q April 26, 2012 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-Q**

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

March 31, 2012 For the quarterly period ended: March 31, 2012

Or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from: to

Commission File Number: 0-26820

# **CRAY INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington (State or Other Jurisdiction of

93-0962605 (I.R.S. Employer

**Incorporation or Organization**)

Identification No.)

901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1000

Seattle, Washington (Address of Principal Executive Office)

98164

(Zip Code)

Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code:

(206) 701-2000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer

X

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes "No x

As of April 20, 2012, there were 37,030,975 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding.

### **CRAY INC.**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page No.
Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	23
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	24
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	24
Item 1A. Risk Factors	24
Item 6. Exhibits	32
SIGNATURES Available Information	33

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports and proxy statements filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act are available free of charge at our website at www.cray.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports with the SEC.

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements CRAY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited and in thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 108,801	\$	50,411
Restricted cash	3,500		3,776
Accounts and other receivables, net	63,884		72,381
Inventory	121,199		97,881
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	9,835		12,932
Total current assets	307,219		237,381
Property and equipment, net	16,212		16,462
Service inventory, net	1,532		1,611
Deferred tax assets	12,565		13,352
Other non-current assets	13,674		14,293
	,-,		- 1,
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 351,202	\$	283,099
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 551,202	φ	203,099
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 55,453	\$	38,328
Accrued payroll and related expenses	14,233		11,270
Other accrued liabilities	8,801		5,414
Deferred revenue	82,053		44,636
Total current liabilities	160,540		99,648
Long-term deferred revenue	15,401		14,184
Other non-current liabilities	2,556		2,453
TOTAL LIABILITIES	178,497		116,285
Shareholders equity:	,		-,
Preferred stock Authorized and undesignated, 5,000,000 shares; no shares issued or outstanding			
Common stock and additional paid-in capital, par value \$.01 per share Authorized, 75,000,000 shares; issued			
and outstanding 37,030,975 and 36,763,379 shares, respectively	566,106		564,148
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5,449		6,480
Accumulated deficit	(398,850)		(403,814)
	(=, =,===)		(100,000)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	172,705		166,814
TOTAL SHAKEHOLDERS EQUIT	172,703		100,014
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 351,202	\$	283,099

See accompanying notes

3

### CRAY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### ${\bf CONDENSED} \ {\bf CONSOLIDATED} \ {\bf STATEMENTS} \ {\bf OF} \ {\bf COMPREHENSIVE} \ {\bf INCOME} \ ({\bf LOSS})$

(Unaudited and in thousands, except per share data)

Revenue:         2012         2011           Product         \$ 95,977         \$ 16,696           Service         16,330         23,171           Total revenue         112,307         39,867           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,357           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         23,750         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Increme (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) per common share		Three Months Ended March 31,			
Product         \$ 95,977         \$ 16,696           Service         16,330         23,171           Total revenue         112,307         39,867           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         9,601         11,327           Cost of service revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         23,750         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) per common share         9,14         8,004           Season durin		20			11
Service         16,330         23,171           Total revenue         112,307         39,867           Cost of revenue:	Revenue:				
Total revenue         112,307         39,867           Cost of revenue:         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,350           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         8         7,873         6,356           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356         6,356         6,256         6,256         6,256         6,250         4,137         8,252         4,137         8,252         1,138         1,138         1,130         4,137         1,136         1,130         4,137         1,136         4,137         6,356         3,252	Product		\$ 95,977		\$ 16,696
Cost of revenue:         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,315           Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,350           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         23,750         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,636           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) from operations         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) per common share         \$ 0,14         \$ (0,04)           Net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0,14         \$ (0,04)           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         35,528<	Service		16,330		23,171
Cost of revenue:         57,550         11,317           Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,315           Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,350           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         23,750         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) from operations         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) per common share         \$ 0,14         \$ (0,04)           Net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0,13         \$ (0,04)           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         35,528<					
Cost of product revenue         57,550         11,317           Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,350           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         8         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137         8,118           Restructuring         0         1,118         1,118         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067         1,118 <td>Total revenue</td> <td></td> <td>112,307</td> <td></td> <td>39,867</td>	Total revenue		112,307		39,867
Cost of service revenue         9,601         11,350           Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         8         23,750         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) per common share         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Cost of revenue:				
Total cost of revenue         67,151         22,667           Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         88,200         6,456           Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss)         \$4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$0.13         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Cost of product revenue		57,550		
Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Cost of service revenue		9,601		11,350
Gross profit         45,156         17,200           Operating expenses:         Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781					
Comparating expenses:   Research and development, net   23,750   6,456     Sales and marketing   7,873   6,356     General and administrative   5,130   4,137     Restructuring   0   1,118     Total operating expenses   36,753   18,067     Income (loss) from operations   8,403   (867)     Other income (expense), net   220   (543)     Interest income (expense), net   (1)   17     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss)   4,964   \$ (1,485)     Basic net income (loss) per common share   \$ 0,14   \$ (0,04)     Diluted net income (loss) per common share   \$ 0,13   \$ (0,04)     Basic weighted average shares outstanding   35,528   34,781     Diluted weighted average shares outstanding   36,906   34,781     Diluted met income (loss)   36,906   34,781     Diluted met income (loss)   36,906   34,781     Diluted weighted average shares outstanding   36,906   34,781     Diluted met income (loss)   36,	Total cost of revenue		67,151		22,667
Comparating expenses:   Research and development, net   23,750   6,456     Sales and marketing   7,873   6,356     General and administrative   5,130   4,137     Restructuring   0   1,118     Total operating expenses   36,753   18,067     Income (loss) from operations   8,403   (867)     Other income (expense), net   220   (543)     Interest income (expense), net   (1)   17     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss) before income taxes   8,622   (1,393)     Income (loss)   \$4,964   \$1,485     Basic net income (loss) per common share   \$0,14   \$ (0,04)     Diluted net income (loss) per common share   \$0,13   \$ (0,04)     Basic weighted average shares outstanding   35,528   34,781     Diluted weighted average shares outstanding   36,906   34,781     Diluted met income (loss)   36,906   34,781     Diluted weighted average shares outstanding   36,906   34,781	Gross profit		<i>1</i> 5 156		17 200
Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Gloss profit		45,150		17,200
Research and development, net         23,750         6,456           Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing         7,873         6,356           General and administrative         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781			23,750		6.456
General and administrative Restructuring         5,130         4,137           Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income (loss) before income taxes         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	•				
Restructuring         0         1,118           Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Income (loss) before income taxes         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781					
Total operating expenses         36,753         18,067           Income (loss) from operations Other income (expense), net         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781					
Income (loss) from operations       8,403       (867)         Other income (expense), net       220       (543)         Interest income (expense), net       (1)       17         Income (loss) before income taxes       8,622       (1,393)         Income tax expense       (3,658)       (92)         Net income (loss)       \$ 4,964       \$ (1,485)         Basic net income (loss) per common share       \$ 0.14       \$ (0.04)         Diluted net income (loss) per common share       \$ 0.13       \$ (0.04)         Basic weighted average shares outstanding       35,528       34,781         Diluted weighted average shares outstanding       36,906       34,781					,
Income (loss) from operations         8,403         (867)           Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Total operating expenses		36,753		18,067
Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781					
Other income (expense), net         220         (543)           Interest income (expense), net         (1)         17           Income (loss) before income taxes         8,622         (1,393)           Income tax expense         (3,658)         (92)           Net income (loss)         \$ 4,964         \$ (1,485)           Basic net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.14         \$ (0.04)           Diluted net income (loss) per common share         \$ 0.13         \$ (0.04)           Basic weighted average shares outstanding         35,528         34,781           Diluted weighted average shares outstanding         36,906         34,781	Income (loss) from operations		8,403		(867)
Interest income (expense), net  Income (loss) before income taxes Income tax expense  Income (loss) before income taxes Income tax expense  Income (loss)  Net income (loss)  Sasic net income (loss)  Basic net income (loss) per common share  Sasic net income (loss) per common share  Sasic weighted average shares outstanding					
Income tax expense (3,658) (92)  Net income (loss) \$4,964 \$ (1,485)  Basic net income (loss) per common share \$0.14 \$ (0.04)  Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Interest income (expense), net		(1)		
Income tax expense (3,658) (92)  Net income (loss) \$4,964 \$ (1,485)  Basic net income (loss) per common share \$0.14 \$ (0.04)  Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781					
Income tax expense (3,658) (92)  Net income (loss) \$4,964 \$ (1,485)  Basic net income (loss) per common share \$0.14 \$ (0.04)  Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Income (loss) before income taxes		8,622		(1,393)
Net income (loss) \$ 4,964 \$ (1,485)  Basic net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.14 \$ (0.04)  Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	•				
Basic net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.14 \$ (0.04)  Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781					
Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Net income (loss)		\$ 4,964		\$ (1,485)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share \$ 0.13 \$ (0.04)  Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Racic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.14		\$ (0.04)	
Basic weighted average shares outstanding 35,528 34,781  Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Basic fict fileoffic (1088) per common snate	φ 0.14		Ψ (0.0 <del>1</del> )	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781	Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.13		\$ (0.04)	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 36,906 34,781					
	Basic weighted average shares outstanding	35,528		34,781	
Other common housing income (local) and of them	Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	36,906		34,781	
Other common benefits in comme (leas) and of them.					
Other comprehensive income (loss), het of tax:	Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments 115 135	Foreign currency translation adjustments		115		135
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges (757)	Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges				
Reclassification adjustments on cash flow hedges included in net income (389) 1,016	Reclassification adjustments on cash flow hedges included in net income		(389)		1,016

**Table of Contents** 6

Other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,031)	(1,280)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,933	\$ (2,765)

See accompanying notes

4

### CRAY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

### (Unaudited and in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 2011	
Operating activities:	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,964	\$ (1,485)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,984	2,164
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	30	115
Share-based compensation expense	1,193	1,121
Inventory write-down	1,510	
Deferred income taxes	2,918	7
Cash provided by (used in) operations due to changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts and other receivables	8,488	71,159
Inventory	(25,732)	(3,456)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,566	1,688
Accounts payable	17,108	(6,241)
Accrued payroll and related expenses and other accrued liabilities	5,199	(10,662)
Other non-current liabilities	103	195
Deferred revenue	38,596	10,233
Net cash provided by operating activities	57,927	64,838
Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(758)	(976)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	276	
Net cash used in investing activities	(482)	(976)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock through employee stock purchase plan	107	102
Proceeds from exercise of options	659	459
Net cash provided by financing activities	766	561
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	179	211
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	58,390	64,634
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	50,411	57,381
End of period	\$ 108,801	\$ 122,015
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 98	\$
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 113	\$ 1,403
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Inventory transfers to fixed assets and service inventory	\$ 904	\$ 33

See accompanying notes

5

#### CRAY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### Note 1 Basis of Presentation

In these notes, Cray Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the Company. In the opinion of management, the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and Statements of Cash Flows have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. Management believes that all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

The Company s revenue, results of operations and cash balances are likely to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. These fluctuations are due to such factors as the high average sales prices and limited number of sales of the Company s products, the timing of purchase orders and product deliveries, the revenue recognition accounting policy of generally not recognizing product revenue until customer acceptance and other contractual provisions have been fulfilled and the timing of payments for product sales, maintenance services, government research and development funding and purchases of inventory. Given the nature of the Company s business, its revenue, receivables and other related accounts are likely to be concentrated among a few customers.

### **Principles of Consolidation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cray Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company recognizes revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. The Company considers revenue realized or realizable and earned when it has persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Delivery does not occur until the products have been shipped or services provided to the customer, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, and, where applicable, a customer acceptance has been obtained. The sales price is not considered to be fixed or determinable until all material contingencies related to the sales have been resolved. The Company records revenue in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income net of any sales, use, value added or certain excise taxes imposed by governmental authorities on specific sales transactions. In addition to the aforementioned general policy, the following are the Company s statements of policy with regard to multiple-element arrangements and specific revenue recognition policies for each major category of revenue.

Multiple-Element Arrangements. The Company commonly enters into revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables of its product and service offerings due to the needs of its customers. Product may be delivered in phases over time periods which can be as long as five years. Maintenance services generally begin upon acceptance of the first equipment delivery and future deliveries of equipment generally have an associated maintenance period. The Company considers the maintenance period to commence upon acceptance of the product or installation in situations where a formal acceptance is not required, which may include a warranty period and accordingly allocates a portion of the arrangement consideration as a separate deliverable which is recognized as service revenue over the entire service period. Other services such as training and engineering services can be delivered as a discrete delivery or over the term of the contract. A multiple-element arrangement is separated into more than one unit of accounting if the following criteria are met:

The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis; and

If the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in the control of the Company.

6

If these criteria are not met, the arrangement is accounted for as one unit of accounting which would result in revenue being recognized ratably over the contract term or being deferred until the earlier of when such criteria are met or when the last undelivered element is delivered. If these criteria are met for each element, the arrangement consideration is allocated to the separate units of accounting based on each unit s relative estimated selling price.

The Company follows a selling price hierarchy in determining the best estimate of the selling price of each deliverable. Certain products and services are sold separately in standalone arrangements for which the Company is sometimes able to determine vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE. The Company determines VSOE based on normal pricing and discounting practices for the product or service when sold separately.

When the Company is not able to establish VSOE for all deliverables in an arrangement with multiple elements, the Company attempts to establish the selling price of each remaining element based on third-party evidence, or TPE. The Company s inability to establish VSOE is often due to a relatively small sample of customer contracts that differ in system size and contract terms which can be due to infrequently selling each element separately, not pricing products within a narrow range, or only having a limited sales history, such as in the case of certain advanced and emerging technologies. TPE is determined based on the Company s prices or competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. However, the Company is often unable to determine TPE, as the Company s offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation from those of competitors and the Company is often unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products selling prices are on a standalone basis.

When the Company is unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses estimated selling price, or ESP, in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a standalone basis. In determining ESP, the Company uses either the list price of the deliverable less a discount or the cost to provide the product or service plus a margin. When using list price less a discount, the Company uses discounts from list price for previous transactions. This approach incorporates several factors, including the size of the transaction and any changes to list prices. The data is collected from prior sales, and although the data may not have the sample size or consistency to establish VSOE, it is sufficiently objective to estimate the selling price. When using cost plus a margin, the Company considers the total cost of the product or service, including customer-specific and geographic factors. The Company also considers the historical margins of the product or service on previous contracts and several factors including any changes to pricing methodologies, competitiveness of products and services and cost drivers that would cause future margins to differ from historical margins.

*Products*. The Company most often recognizes revenue from sales of products upon customer acceptance of the system. Where formal acceptance is not required, the Company recognizes revenue upon delivery or installation. When the product is part of a multiple element arrangement, the Company allocates a portion of the arrangement consideration to product revenue based on estimates of selling price.

Services. Maintenance services are provided under separate maintenance contracts with customers. These contracts generally provide for maintenance services for one year, although some are for multi-year periods, often with prepayments for the term of the contract. The Company considers the maintenance period to commence upon acceptance of the product or installation in situations where a formal acceptance is not required, which may include a warranty period. When service is part of a multiple element arrangement, the Company allocates a portion of the arrangement consideration to maintenance service revenue based on estimates of selling price. Maintenance contracts that are billed in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as deferred revenue. Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract.

Revenue from engineering services is recognized as services are performed.

*Project Revenue*. Revenue from design and build contracts is recognized under the percentage-of-completion, or POC method. Under the POC method, revenue is recognized based on the costs incurred to date as a percentage of the total estimated costs to fulfill the contract. If circumstances arise that change the original estimates of revenues, costs, or extent of progress toward completion, revisions to the estimates are made. These revisions may result in increases or decreases in estimated revenues or costs, and such revisions are recorded in income in the period in which the circumstances that gave rise to the revision become known by management. The Company performs ongoing profitability analyses of its contracts accounted for under the POC method in order to determine whether the latest estimates of revenue, costs and extent of progress require updating. If at any time these estimates indicate that the contract will be unprofitable, the entire estimated loss for the remainder of the contract is recorded immediately.

The Company records revenue from certain research and development contracts which include milestones using the milestone method if the milestones are determined to be substantive. A milestone is considered to be substantive if management believes there is substantive uncertainty that it will be achieved and the milestone consideration meets all of the following criteria:

It is commensurate with either of the following:

The Company s performance to achieve the milestone; or

7

The enhancement of value of the delivered item or items as a result of a specific outcome resulting from the Company s performance to achieve the milestone.

It relates solely to past performance.

It is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms (including other potential milestone consideration) within the arrangement.

The individual milestones are determined to be substantive or nonsubstantive in their entirety and milestone consideration is not bifurcated.

Revenue from projects is classified as Product Revenue or Service Revenue, based on the nature of the work performed.

Nonmonetary Transactions. The Company values and records nonmonetary transactions at the fair value of the asset surrendered unless the fair value of the asset received is more clearly evident, in which case the fair value of the asset received is used.

### **Note 2** New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income*, or ASU 2011-05. The guidance in ASU 2011-05 revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. An entity is required to report the components of comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements:

A single, continuous statement must present the components of net income and total net income, the components of other comprehensive income and total other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income.

In a two-statement approach, an entity must present the components of net income and total net income in the first statement. That statement must be immediately followed by a financial statement that presents the components of other comprehensive income, a total for other comprehensive income, and a total for comprehensive income.

ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. The amendments in ASU 2011-05 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 and the Company adopted this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2012. In its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, the Company has presented comprehensive income in a single, continuous statement.

### Note 3 Fair Value Measurement

Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques used to determine the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values.

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the related assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. The following table presents information about the Company s financial assets and liabilities that have been measured at fair value as of March 31, 2012, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation inputs utilized to determine such fair value (in thousands):

Description Fair Value at Quoted Significant
March 31, Prices in Other
2012 Active Observable

Edgar Filing: CRAY INC - Form 10-Q

		Markets (Level 1)	puts evel 2)
Assets:			
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 112,301	\$ 112,301	\$
Foreign exchange forward contracts (1)	\$ 544	\$	\$ 544
Assets measured at fair value at March 31, 2012	\$ 112,845	\$ 112,301	\$ 544
Liabilities:			
Foreign exchange forward contracts (2)	\$ 94	\$	\$ 94
Liabilities measured at fair value at March 31, 2012	\$ 94	\$	\$ 94

<sup>(1)</sup> Included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

<sup>(2)</sup> Included in Other accrued liabilities on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Foreign Currency Derivatives

The Company may enter into foreign currency derivatives to hedge future cash receipts on certain sales transactions that are payable in foreign currencies.

As of March 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding forward contracts which were designated as cash flow hedges of anticipated future cash receipts on sales contracts payable in foreign currencies. The outstanding notional amounts were approximately 10.1 million Euro and 20.6 Norwegian krone representing a hedged foreign currency exposure of approximately \$17.6 million. Cash receipts associated with the hedged contracts are expected to be received from 2012 through 2013, during which time the revenue on the associated sales contracts is expected to be recognized.

As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding notional amounts were approximately 3.5 million British pound sterling, 33.7 million euro and 20.6 million Norwegian krone representing a hedged foreign currency exposure of approximately \$55.8 million.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments (in thousands):

Hedge Classification	Balance Sheet Location	Mai	Value as of rch 31,	Dece	ir Value as of ember 31, 2011
Foreign currency contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$	544	\$	2,117
Foreign currency contracts	Other non-current assets	\$		\$	1,134
Foreign currency contracts	Other accrued liabilities	\$	(94)	\$	(3)
Total derivatives classified as hedging instruments		\$	450	\$	3,248

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, foreign currency gains of \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, were included in Accumulated other comprehensive income on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 the Company recorded \$0.4 million in net reclassification adjustments, which increased product revenue, as revenue on the associated sales contracts was recognized. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded approximately \$1.0 million in net reclassification adjustments, which reduced product revenue, as revenue on the associated sales contracts was recognized.

### Note 4 Earnings (Loss) Per Share ( EPS )

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares, excluding unvested restricted stock, outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the period, which includes the additional dilution related to conversion of stock options, unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units as computed under the treasury stock method. For the three month period ended March 31, 2012, the added shares from these items included in the calculation of diluted shares and EPS totaled approximately 1.4 million. For the three month period ended March 31, 2011, outstanding stock options, unvested restricted stock grants and restricted stock units were antidilutive because of net losses and, as such, their effect has not been included in the calculation of basic or diluted net loss per share. Potentially dilutive shares of 0.9 million and 4.7 million, respectively, have been excluded from the denominator in the computation of diluted EPS for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they are antidilutive.

### Note 5 Accounts and Other Receivables, Net

Net accounts and other receivables consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 19,902	\$	34,927
Unbilled receivables	951		7,307
Advance billings	41,731		24,490
Other receivables	1,343		5,767
	63,927		72,491
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(43)		(110)
Accounts and other receivables, net	\$ 63,884	\$	72,381

Unbilled receivables represent amounts where the Company has recognized revenue in advance of the contractual billing terms. Advance billings represent billings made based on contractual terms for which revenue has not been recognized.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, accounts receivable included \$34.5 million and \$32.2 million, respectively, due from U.S. government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. government. Of this amount, \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million were unbilled as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, based upon contractual billing arrangements with these customers. As of December 31, 2011, one non-U.S. government customer accounted for 30% of total accounts and other receivables. As of March 31, 2012, one non-U.S. government customer accounted for 27% of total accounts and other receivables.

### Note 6 Inventory

Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Components and subassemblies	\$ 42,368	\$	29,402
Work in process	26,303		19,956
Finished goods	52,528		48,523
Total	\$ 121,199	\$	97,881

Finished goods inventory of \$43.2 million and \$47.9 million was located at customer sites pending acceptance as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. At March 31, 2012, three customers accounted for \$48.9 million, and at December 31, 2011, two customers accounted for \$46.4 million of finished goods inventory.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company wrote-down \$1.5 million in inventory, related to the Cray XE and Cray XK product lines. No write-down was recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

### Note 7 Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consisted of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: CRAY INC - Form 10-Q

	March 31, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Deferred product revenue	\$ 56,563	\$	22,068
Deferred service revenue	40,891		36,752
Total deferred revenue	97,454		58,820
Less long-term deferred revenue	(15,401)		(14,184)
Deferred revenue in current liabilities	\$ 82,053	\$	44,636

As of March 31, 2012, three customers accounted for 57% of total deferred revenue. At December 31, 2011, three customers accounted for 50% of total deferred revenue.

### **Note 8** Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its share-based compensation based on an estimate of fair value of the grant on the date of grant.

The fair value of unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units is based on the market price of a share of the Company s common stock on the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period.

In determining fair value of stock options, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model. As no options were granted in the three month period ended March 31, 2011, no calculation was performed for that period. The following key weighted average assumptions were employed in the calculation for the three month period ended March 31, 2012:

Risk-free interest rate	0.6%
Expected dividend yield	0%
Volatility	76%
Expected life	4.0 years
Weighted average Black-Scholes value of options granted	\$ 3.72

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The Company does not anticipate declaring dividends in the foreseeable future. Volatility is based on historical data. The expected life of an option is based on the assumption that options will be exercised, on average, about two years after vesting occurs. The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of options or stock units that are expected to vest. Therefore, management applies an estimated forfeiture rate that is derived from historical employee termination data and adjusted for expected future employee turnover rates. The estimated forfeiture rate for stock option grants during the three month period ended March 31, 2012 was 10%. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods. The Company s stock price volatility, option lives and expected forfeiture rates involve management s best estimates at the time of such determination, which impact the fair value of the option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the vesting period or requisite service period of the option. The Company typically issues stock options with a four-year vesting period (the requisite service period) and amortizes the fair value of stock options (stock compensation cost) ratably over the requisite service period.

The Company also has an employee stock purchase plan ( ESPP ) which allows employees to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at 95% of fair market value on the fourth business day after the end of each offering period. The ESPP is deemed non-compensatory and therefore is not subject to the fair value provisions.

The following table sets forth the gross share-based compensation cost resulting from stock options and unvested restricted stock grants and restricted stock units (before consideration of any offsets for research and development co-funding) that was recorded in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Cost of product revenue	\$ 10	\$ 52		
Cost of service revenue	65	108		
Research and development, net	282	336		
Sales and marketing	263	141		
General and administrative	573	484		
Total	\$ 1,193	\$1,121		

A summary of the Company s year-to-date stock option activity and related information follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	3,417,920	\$	6.28		
Grants	8,000	\$	6.67		
Exercises	(142, 138)	\$	4.64		
Expired/Forfeited	(37,661)	\$	10.99		
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	3,246,121	\$	6.30	6.8 years	
Exercisable at March 31, 2012	2,086,957	\$	6.78	5.8 years	
Available for grant at March 31, 2012	2,379,690				

As of March 31, 2012, there was \$5.6 million of aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding stock options, including \$3.4 million of aggregate intrinsic value of exercisable stock options. Intrinsic value represents the total pretax intrinsic value for all in-the-money options (i.e., the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last trading day of its first quarter of 2012 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares of common stock underlying the stock options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on March 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, stock options covering 142,138 shares of common stock, with a total intrinsic value of \$0.5 million were exercised. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, options covering 91,271 shares of common stock with a total intrinsic value of \$0.2 million were exercised.

A summary of the Company s unvested restricted stock grants and restricted stock units and changes during the period ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Shares	Av Gra	eighted verage ant date r Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	1,302,414	\$	5.47
Granted	110,000		7.14
Forfeited			
Vested	(7,500)		5.39
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	1,404,914	\$	5.60

The aggregate fair value of restricted stock vested during the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.1 million. No restricted stock vested during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

As of March 31, 2012, the Company had \$7.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

#### Note 9 Income Taxes

The Company s effective tax rate was approximately 42% and negative 7% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was higher than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily as a result of state taxes. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was significantly lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the maintenance of a full valuation allowance against the Company s U.S. deferred tax assets.

The Company continues to provide a partial valuation allowance against its U.S. deferred tax assets and a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets in a limited number of foreign jurisdictions as the realization of such assets is not considered to be more likely than not. The Company s conclusion about the realizability of its deferred tax assets, and therefore the appropriateness of a valuation allowance, is reviewed quarterly. If the Company s conclusion about the realizability of its deferred tax assets changes in a future period, the Company could record a substantial tax provision or benefit in its Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income when that occurs.

### Note 10 Segment Information

The Company has undergone an organizational change and, beginning in 2012, has the following two reportable business segments: HPC Systems and Maintenance and Support. Prior to 2012, the Company also had a Custom Engineering segment, but this is no longer considered a reportable segment. The former practices of Custom Engineering have been re-organized to allow the Company to focus on certain strategic initiatives. The segments represent components of the Company for which separate financial information is available that is utilized on a regular basis by the Chief Executive Officer, who is the Chief Operating Decision Maker, in determining how to allocate the Company s resources and evaluate performance. The segments are determined based on several factors, including the Company s internal operating structure, the manner in which the Company s operations are managed, client base, similar economic characteristics and the availability of separate financial information.

### HPC Systems

HPC Systems includes a suite of highly advanced systems, including the Cray XE6, Cray XE6m, Cray XK6, and Cray XK6m, which are used by single users all the way up through large research centers.

### Maintenance and Support

Maintenance and Support provides ongoing maintenance of Cray systems and systems analysts to help customers achieve their mission objectives.

#### Other

Included within Other is the Company s YarcData division, Storage and Data Management and the former Special Purpose Systems practice which has been renamed Custom Engineering.

The following table presents revenues and gross profit for the Company s operating segments for the three months ended March 31 (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Revenue:				
HPC Systems	\$ 94,498	\$ 15,953		
Maintenance and Support	15,050	15,360		
Other	2,759	8,554		
Total revenue	\$ 112,307	\$ 39,867		
Cost of Revenue:				
HPC Systems	\$ 56,409	\$ 10,722		
Maintenance and Support	9,063	7,884		
Other	1,679	4,061		
Total cost of revenue	\$ 67,151	\$ 22,667		
Gross Profit:				
HPC Systems	\$ 38,089	\$ 5,231		
Maintenance and Support	5,987	7,476		
Other	1,080	4,493		
Total gross profit	\$ 45,156	\$ 17,200		

Revenue, cost of revenue, and gross profit is the only discrete financial information the Company prepares for its segments. Other financial results or assets are not separated by segment.

Operating segments do not sell products to each other, and accordingly, there is no inter-segment revenue to be reported.

13

The Company s geographic operations outside the United States include sales and service offices in Canada, Brazil, Europe, Japan, Australia, India, South Korea, China and Taiwan. The following data represents the Company s revenue for the United States and all other countries, which is determined based upon a customer s geographic location (in thousands):

	<b>United States</b>		Other Countries		Total	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Three months ended March 31,						
Product revenue	\$ 77,232	\$ 7,480	\$ 18,745	\$ 9,216	\$ 95,977	\$ 16,696
Service revenue	9,960	17,351	6,370	5,820	16,330	23,171
Total revenue	\$ 87,192	\$ 24,831	\$ 25,115	\$ 15,036	\$ 112,307	\$ 39,867

Product and service revenue from U.S. government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. government totaled approximately \$85.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to approximately \$22.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, revenue in the United Kingdom accounted for 14% of total revenue. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, revenue in Sweden accounted for 16% of total revenue.

### Note 11 Subsequent Event

On April 24, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Intel Corporation (Intel) to sell the Company s interconnect hardware development program and certain intellectual property to Intel for \$140 million in cash. Up to 74 of the Company s employees are expected to join Intel. The Company retains certain rights to use the transferred intellectual property in its current products as well as next-generation Cascade systems. The Company will also be able to leverage certain differentiating features in certain future Intel products. The transaction is expected to close relatively quickly, but in any event, before the end of the current quarter, subject to customary closing conditions.

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### **Preliminary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or if they prove incorrect, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on our management s beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to them. In some cases you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as may, will, should, estimates, projects, predicts and potential and similar expressions, but the absence of these words does not mean that believes, statement is not forward-looking. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, and examples of forward-looking statements include any projections of earnings, revenue or other results of operations or financial results; any statements of the plans, strategies, objectives and beliefs of management of the Company; any statements concerning proposed new products, technologies or services; any statements regarding future research and development or co-funding for such efforts; any statements regarding future economic conditions; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. These forward-looking statements are subject to the safe harbor created by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks faced by us and described in Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part II and other sections of this report and our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or Commission. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this report. You should read this report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

#### Overview

We design, develop, manufacture, market and service high-performance computing, or HPC, systems, commonly known as supercomputers, and provide storage solutions and engineering services related to HPC systems and solutions to our customers, which include government agencies, academic institutions and commercial entities. Our supercomputer systems provide capability and sustained performance far beyond typical server-based computer systems and address challenging scientific, engineering, commercial and national security computing problems. Our current strategy is to gain market share in the high-end supercomputer market segment, extend our technology leadership, maintain our focus on

execution and profitability and expand our addressable market in areas where we can leverage our experience and technology, such as storage and data management, big data analytics, midrange HPC systems and custom engineered solutions.

### Summary of First Three Months of 2012 Results

Total revenue increased \$72.4 million for the first three months of 2012 compared to the first three months of 2011, from \$39.9 million to \$112.3 million, primarily due to the revenue for the upgrade at Oak Ridge National Laboratory being recognized in the first quarter of 2012.

Operating income was \$8.4 million for the first three months of 2012 compared to a \$0.9 million operating loss during the first three months of 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to higher revenues and gross profit, partially offset by fewer research and development co-funding credits. In the first three months of 2012, the total gross profit margin percentage decreased primarily as a result of lower service gross profit margin percentages. Our gross profit margin percentage often

14

varies from quarter to quarter as a result of a few, large customer contracts where product revenue is recognized during a particular quarter. The service gross margin percentage was negatively impacted in the first three months of 2012 by higher incentive compensation expense and the percentage in the first three months of 2011 was high due to an additional \$2.3 million in revenue recorded on a Custom Engineering contract where revenue was recorded on a cash basis.

Net cash provided from operating activities was \$57.9 million for the first three months of 2012 compared to net cash provided by operations of \$64.8 million for the first three months of 2011. Cash provided by operating activities in the first three months of 2012 was driven by large cash collections during the quarter from multiple customers that accepted large systems in the final three months of 2011 and first three months of 2012, particularly Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Cash balances, including restricted cash balances, were \$112.3 million as of March 31, 2012 compared to \$54.2 million as of December 31, 2011.

### Market Overview and Challenges

Significant trends in the HPC industry include:

The commoditization of HPC hardware, particularly processors and system interconnects;

The growing commoditization of software, including plentiful building blocks and more capable open source software;

Supercomputing with many-core commodity processors driving increasing scalability requirements;

Electrical power requirements becoming a design constraint and driver in total cost of ownership determinations;

Increased micro-architectural diversity, including increased usage of many-core processors and growing experimentation with accelerators, as the rate of per-core performance increase slows; and

Data needs growing faster than computational needs.

Several of these trends have resulted in the expansion and acceptance of lower-bandwidth cluster systems using processors manufactured by Intel, AMD and others combined with commercially available commodity networking and other components, particularly in the middle and lower segments of the HPC market. These systems may offer higher theoretical peak performance for equivalent cost, and price/peak performance is often the dominant factor in HPC procurements outside of the high-end supercomputer market segment.

In the markets for the largest systems, those costing significantly in excess of \$3 million, the use of commodity components can result in increasing data transfer bottlenecks as these components do not balance processor power with network communication capability. With the arrival of increasing processor core counts due to new many-core processors and accelerators, these unbalanced systems will typically have even lower productivity, especially in larger systems running more complex applications. We and other vendors have also begun to augment standard microprocessors with other processor types, such as field programmable gate arrays and graphics processing units, in order to increase computational power, further complicating programming models. In addition, with increasing scale, bandwidth and processor core counts, large computer systems use progressively higher amounts of power to operate and require special cooling capabilities.

To position ourselves to meet the market s demanding needs, we concentrate our research and development efforts on technologies that enable our supercomputers to perform at scale that is, to continue to increase actual performance as systems grow ever larger in size and in areas where we can leverage our core expertise in other markets. We also have demonstrated expertise in several processor technologies. We expect to be in a comparatively advantageous position as larger many-core processors become available and as multiple processing technologies become integrated into single systems in heterogeneous environments. In addition, we intend to expand our addressable market by leveraging our technologies, our customer base, the Cray brand and industry trends by introducing complementary products and services to new and existing customers, as demonstrated by our emphasis on strategic initiatives, such as storage and data management, big data analytics, midrange HPC

systems and custom engineered solutions.

### **Key Performance Indicators**

Our management monitors and analyzes several key performance indicators in order to manage our business and evaluate our financial and operating performance, including:

Revenue. Product revenue from a small number of transactions generally constitutes the major portion of our revenue in any reporting period and, for the reasons discussed elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, is subject to significant variability from period to period. In the short term, we closely review the status of product shipments, installations and acceptances in order to forecast revenue and cash receipts; longer-term, we monitor the status of the pipeline of product sales opportunities and product development cycles. Product revenue growth over several periods is an indicator of whether we are achieving our objective of increased market share in the supercomputing market. The introduction of the Cray XE and Cray

15

XK families and our longer-term product roadmap are efforts to increase product revenue. We are also increasing our business and product development efforts on certain new initiatives such as storage and data management, big data analytics, midrange HPC systems and custom engineered solutions. Maintenance service revenue is more constant in the short term and assists, in part, to offset the impact that the variability in product revenue has on total revenue.

Gross profit margin. Our product gross profit margin increased from 32% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 to 40% during the same period in 2012 principally due to certain large, higher margin transactions, that also benefited from lower than anticipated component costs, in the first three months of 2012. Service gross profit margin decreased from 51% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 to 41% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in service gross profit margin is due to higher incentive compensation expense in the first three months of 2012 and an additional \$2.3 million in revenue recorded on a Custom Engineering contract in the first three months of 2011 where revenue was recorded on a cash basis.

Operating expenses. Our operating expenses are driven largely by headcount, the level of recognized co-funding for research and development and contracted third-party research and development services. The level of government co-funding can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year as we do not record a receivable from the U.S. government prior to completing the requirements necessary to bill for a milestone or cost reimbursement largely due to varying milestone schedules, milestone completion risk and because funding from the U.S. government is subject to certain budget restrictions. Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were \$18.7 million higher than for the same period in 2011, increasing from \$18.1 million to \$36.8 million. The increase in operating expenses was caused by higher incentive compensation of \$3.8 million and \$12.5 million less in recognized co-funding for research and development.

Liquidity and cash flows. Due to the variability in cash collections due to the timing of new contracts, product deliveries and acceptances, our cash position varies significantly from quarter to quarter and within a quarter. We closely monitor our expected cash levels, particularly in light of increased inventory purchases for large system installations and the risk of delays in product shipments and acceptances and, longer-term, in product development. Sustained profitability over annual periods is our primary objective and should improve our cash position.

#### **Results of Operations**

### Revenue and Gross Profit Margins

Our revenue, cost of revenue and gross profit margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were (in thousands, except for percentages):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Product revenue	\$ 95,977	\$ 16,696	
Less: Cost of product revenue	57,550	11,317	
Product gross profit	\$ 38,427	\$ 5,379	
	, i		
Product gross profit margin	40%	32%	
Service revenue	\$ 16,330	\$ 23,171	
Less: Cost of service revenue	9,601	11,350	
Service gross profit	\$ 6,729	\$ 11,821	
Service gross profit margin	41%	51%	
Total revenue	\$ 112,307	\$ 39,867	
Less: Total cost of revenue	67,151	22,667	
Gross profit	\$ 45,156	\$ 17,200	
Gross profit margin	40%	43%	

### Product Revenue

Product revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$96.0 million, primarily from sales of Cray XK6 and Cray XE6 systems. Product revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$16.7 million, primarily from sales of Cray XE6 systems. Product revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased by \$79.3 million over the same prior year period primarily due to revenue of approximately \$65 million for the upgrade at Oak Ridge National Laboratory being recognized in the first quarter of 2012.

16

Service Revenue

Service revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$16.3 million compared to \$23.2 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease in service revenue is due to lower service revenue from our former Custom Engineering practices, particularly Special Purpose systems.

Cost of Product Revenue and Product Gross Profit

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, product gross profit increased \$33.0 million, while product gross profit margin increased 8 percentage points to 40% compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in product gross profit was primarily due to the acceptance at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in the first three months of 2012. The gross profit margin percentage increase was driven by certain higher margin contracts, lower component costs and value realized from returned systems. These gross profit margin benefits were partially offset by a loss recorded on the write-off of inventory of approximately \$1.5 million.

Cost of Service Revenue and Service Gross Profit

Cost of service revenue decreased \$1.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, due to lower service revenue in Custom Engineering. Service gross profit margin percentage decreased by 10 percentage points for the three month period ended March 31, 2012 to 41% as compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease in service gross profit margin percentage is due to higher incentive compensation expense in the first three months of 2012 and an additional \$2.3 million in revenue recorded on a Custom Engineering contract in the first three months of 2011where revenue was recorded on a cash basis as our ability to collect payment was not reasonably assured and the related costs were incurred in a prior period.

#### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were (in thousands, except for percentages):

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended		
	Marc	March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Gross research and development expenses	\$ 24,021	\$ 19,218		
Less: Amounts included in cost of revenue	(109)	(108)		
Less: Reimbursed research and development (excludes amounts in cost of revenue)	(162)	(12,654)		
Net research and development expenses	\$ 23,750	\$ 6,456		
Percentage of total revenue	21%	16%		

Gross research and development expenses in the table above reflect all research and development expenditures. Research and development expenses include personnel expenses, depreciation, allocations for certain overhead expenses, software, prototype materials and outside contracted engineering expenses.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, gross research and development expenses increased \$4.8 million from the same period in 2011, due to higher headcount and higher incentive compensation. Net research and development expenses increased \$17.3 million, primarily due to \$12.5 million less in co-funding. Research and development amounts included in cost of revenue were \$0.1 million for the first three months of 2012 and 2011.

In October 2011, we amended the Phase III agreement with the Defense Advances Research Projects Agency (DARPA). As with the previous Phase III agreement, we expect to receive reimbursement after the achievement of a series of predefined milestones culminating in the delivery of a prototype system. Consistent with the changes, certain deliverables have been eliminated from the contract, reducing the overall scope and cost of the project. Pursuant to the amended contract, the full co-funding amount was revised down to \$180.0 million. As of March 31, 2012, we had earned and received \$158.0 million of reimbursement under the DARPA Phase III agreement, leaving \$22 million to be earned and received. Assuming our development plans remain on schedule, we expect to earn and receive the remaining \$22 million in 2012.

17

### Sales and Marketing and General and Administrative Expenses

Our sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were (in thousands, except for percentages):

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Sales and marketing	\$ 7,873	\$ 6,356		
Percentage of total revenue	7%	16%		
General and administrative	\$ 5,130	\$ 4,137		
Percentage of total revenue	5%	10%		

*Sales and Marketing*. Sales and marketing expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased by \$1.5 million from the same period in 2011, primarily due to increased headcount, higher commissions and higher incentive compensation.

*General and Administrative*. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$1.0 million from the same period in 2011, primarily due to higher incentive compensation.

### Restructuring

We eliminated approximately 50 positions in the first three months of 2011 and recorded a restructuring charge of \$1.1 million. The restructuring was designed to rebalance our headcount to areas of more need in the future such as software development, custom engineering and customer service, and in select international geographies.

### Other Income (Expense), net

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recognized net other income of \$0.2 million compared to net other expense of \$0.5 million for the same period in 2011. Net other income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was principally the result of foreign currency transaction gains. Net other expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was principally the result of foreign currency transaction losses.

### Interest Income (Expense)

Our interest income and interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	
Interest income	\$ 32	\$ 36	
Interest expense	(33)	(19)	
Net interest income (expense)	\$ (1)	\$ 17	

### Taxes

Cray s effective tax rates were approximately 42% and negative 7% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively. The vast majority of our income tax expense will not be paid in cash as it is offset by net operating loss carryforwards that were generated in previous periods. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was higher than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily as a result of state taxes. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was significantly lower that the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the maintenance of a full valuation allowance against our U.S. deferred tax assets.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We generate cash from operations predominantly from the sale of high performance computer systems and related services. We typically have a small number of significant contracts that make up the majority of total revenue. The material changes in certain of our balance sheet accounts are due to the timing of product deliveries, customer acceptances, contractually determined billings and cash collections. Working capital requirements, including inventory purchases and normal capital expenditures, are generally funded with cash from operations.

18

We received acceptances on a large number of systems in the final three months of 2011 and the first three months of 2012. The final payments for many of these systems were received in the first three months of 2012, including a relatively large payment from Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which resulted in an increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash of \$58.1 million from December 31, 2011 to March 31, 2012. These cash collections, largely offset by new advance billings on certain large systems being built in 2012, decreased accounts and other receivables from \$72.4 million at December 31, 2011 to \$63.9 million at March 31, 2012. Inventory increased from \$97.9 million at December 31, 2011 to \$121.2 million at March 31, 2012 as we build certain large systems to be installed in 2012, particularly the system for the National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA). The current portion of deferred revenues increased to \$82.1 million as of March 31, 2012 from \$44.6 million at December 31, 2011, resulting principally from contractual rights to bill certain customers for a portion of the contract before full customer acceptance and related revenue recognition.

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash totaled \$112.3 million at March 31, 2012 compared to \$54.2 million at December 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$146.7 million compared to \$137.7 million as of December 31, 2011.

Cash flow information includes the following (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Cash provided by (used in):				
Operating Activities	\$ 57,927	\$ 64,838		
Investing Activities	\$ (482)	\$ (976)		
Financing Activities	\$ 766	\$ 561		

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$57.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$64.8 million in the same period in 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, cash provided by operating activities was principally the result of cash collections, including a relatively large payment from Oak Ridge National Laboratory, partially offset by purchases of inventory. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, cash provided by operating activities was principally the result of a large decrease in accounts receivable due to the receipt of final payments related to fourth quarter 2010 acceptances of multiple large systems.

*Investing Activities.* Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.5 million in the first three months of 2012 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$1.0 million in the same period in 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, net cash used in investing activities was due to purchases of property and equipment, partially offset by a decrease in restricted cash. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, net cash used in investing activities was due to purchases of property and equipment.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.8 million in the three months ending March 31, 2012 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$0.6 million in the same quarter in 2011. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, cash provided by financing activities related to proceeds from stock option exercises and stock purchases pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan.

Over the next twelve months, we expect our significant cash requirements will relate to operational expenses, consisting primarily of personnel costs, costs of inventory associated with certain large-scale product deliveries, spare parts, and the acquisition of property and equipment. In addition, we lease certain equipment and facilities used in our operations under operating leases in the normal course of business. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations as of March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Amounts Committed by Year 2012				
Contractual Obligations	Total	(Less than 1 Year)	2013 - 2014	2015 - 2016	Thereafter
Development agreements	\$ 3,818	\$ 3,495	\$ 323	\$	\$
Operating leases	25,367	3,232	8,049	7,434	6,652
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 29,185	\$ 6,727	\$ 8,372	\$ 7,434	\$ 6,652

We have a line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association of \$3.5 million which has a maturity date of June 1, 2012. We also have a secured line of credit with Silicon Valley Bank in the amount of \$25.0 million. The first \$15.0

19

million is available at any time and the additional \$10.0 million is available if certain minimum financial ratios are exceeded. Our line of credit with Silicon Valley Bank has a maturity date of September 13, 2012. We have made no draws and had no outstanding borrowings on either line of credit as of March 31, 2012.

In our normal course of operations, we have development arrangements under which we engage outside engineering resources to work on our research and development projects. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$1.7 million for such arrangements.

At any particular time, our cash position is affected by the timing of cash receipts for product sales, maintenance contracts, government co-funding for research and development activities and our payments for inventory, resulting in significant fluctuations in our cash balance from quarter to quarter and within a quarter. Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and cash from operations. We expect our cash resources to be adequate for at least the next twelve months.

Beyond the next twelve months, the adequacy of our cash resources will largely depend on our success in achieving profitable operations and positive operating cash flows on a sustained basis.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

This discussion, as well as disclosures included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, are based upon our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingencies. In preparing our financial statements in accordance with GAAP, there are certain accounting policies that are particularly important. These include revenue recognition, inventory valuation, accounting for income taxes, research and development expenses and share-based compensation. Our significant accounting policies are set forth in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be reviewed in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto as of March 31, 2012 in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, as they are integral to understanding our results of operations and financial condition in this interim period. In some cases, these policies represent required accounting. In other cases, they may represent a choice between acceptable accounting methods or may require substantial judgment or estimation.

Additionally, we consider certain judgments and estimates to be significant, including those relating to the fair value and selling price determination used in revenue recognition, percentage of completion accounting, estimates of proportional performance on co-funded engineering contracts and prepaid engineering services, realization of accounts receivable, determination of inventory at the lower of cost or market, useful lives for depreciation and amortization, determination of future cash flows associated with impairment testing of long-lived assets, determination of the fair value of stock options and other assessments of fair value, realization of deferred income tax assets, including our ability to utilize such assets, potential income tax assessments and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience, current conditions and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates and assumptions.

Our management has discussed the selection of significant accounting policies and the effect of judgments and estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

### **Revenue Recognition**

We recognize revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. We consider revenue realized or realizable and earned when we have persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Delivery does not occur until the products have been shipped or services provided to the customer, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, and, where applicable, a customer acceptance has been obtained. The sales price is not considered to be fixed or determinable until all material contingencies related to the sales have been resolved. We record revenue in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income net of any sales, use, value added or certain excise taxes imposed by governmental authorities on specific sales transactions. In addition to the aforementioned general policy, the following are our statements of policy with regard to multiple-element arrangements and specific revenue recognition policies for each major category of revenue.

Multiple-Element Arrangements. We commonly enter into revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables of our product and service offerings due to the needs of our customers. Product may be delivered in phases over time periods which can be as long as five years. Maintenance services generally begin upon acceptance of the first equipment delivery and future deliveries of equipment generally have an associated maintenance period. We consider the maintenance period to commence upon acceptance of the product or installation in situations where a formal acceptance is not required, which may

20

include a warranty period and accordingly allocate a portion of the arrangement consideration as a separate deliverable which is recognized as service revenue over the entire service period. Other services such as training and engineering services can be delivered as a discrete delivery or over the term of the contract. A multiple-element arrangement is separated into more than one unit of accounting if the following criteria are met:

The delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis; and

If the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control.

If these criteria are not met, the arrangement is accounted for as one unit of accounting which would result in revenue being recognized ratably over the contract term or being deferred until the earlier of when such criteria are met or when the last undelivered element is delivered. If these criteria are met for each element, the arrangement consideration is allocated to the separate units of accounting based on each unit s relative estimated selling price.

We follow a selling price hierarchy in determining the best estimate of the selling price of each deliverable. Certain products and services are sold separately in standalone arrangements for which we are sometimes able to determine vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE. We determine VSOE based on normal pricing and discounting practices for the product or service when sold separately.

When we are not able to establish VSOE for all deliverables in an arrangement with multiple elements, we attempt to establish the selling price of each remaining element based on third-party evidence, or TPE. Our inability to establish VSOE is often due to a relatively small sample of customer contracts that differ in system size and contract terms which can be due to infrequently selling each element separately, not pricing products within a narrow range, or only having a limited sales history, such as in the case of certain advanced and emerging technologies. TPE is determined based on our prices or competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. However, we are often unable to determine TPE, as our offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation from those of competitors and we are often unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products—selling prices are on a standalone basis.

When we are unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use estimated selling price, or ESP, in our allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a standalone basis. In determining ESP, we use either the list price of the deliverable less a discount or the cost to provide the product or service plus a margin. When using list price less a discount, we use discounts from list price for previous transactions. This approach incorporates several factors, including the size of the transaction and any changes to list prices. The data is collected from prior sales, and although the data may not have the sample size or consistency to establish VSOE, it is sufficiently objective to estimate the selling price. When using cost plus a margin, we consider the total cost of the product or service, including customer-specific and geographic factors. We also consider the historical margins of the product or service on previous contracts and several factors including any changes to pricing methodologies, competitiveness of products and services and cost drivers that would cause future margins to differ from historical margins.

*Products*. We most often recognize revenue from sales of products upon customer acceptance of the system. Where formal acceptance is not required, we recognize revenue upon delivery or installation. When the product is part of a multiple element arrangement, we allocate a portion of the arrangement consideration to product revenue based on estimates of selling price.

Services. Maintenance services are provided under separate maintenance contracts with customers. These contracts generally provide for maintenance services for one year, although some are for multi-year periods, often with prepayments for the term of the contract. We consider the maintenance period to commence upon acceptance of the product or installation in situations where a formal acceptance is not required, which may include a warranty period. When service is part of a multiple element arrangement, we allocate a portion of the arrangement consideration to maintenance service revenue based on estimates of selling price. Maintenance contracts that are billed in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as deferred revenue. Maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract.

Revenue from engineering services is recognized as services are performed.

*Project Revenue*. Revenue from design and build contracts is recognized under the percentage-of-completion, or POC method. Under the POC method, revenue is recognized based on the costs incurred to date as a percentage of the total estimated costs to fulfill the contract. If circumstances arise that change the original estimates of revenues, costs, or extent of progress toward completion, revisions to the estimates are made. These revisions may result in increases or decreases in estimated revenues or costs, and such revisions are recorded in income in the

period in which the circumstances that gave rise to the revision become known by management. We perform ongoing profitability analyses of our contracts accounted for under the POC method in order to determine whether the latest estimates of revenue, costs and extent of progress require updating. If at any time these estimates indicate that the contract will be unprofitable, the entire estimated loss for the remainder of the contract is recorded immediately.

We record revenue from certain research and development contracts which include milestones using the milestone method if the milestones are determined to be substantive. A milestone is considered to be substantive if management believes there is substantive uncertainty that it will be achieved and the milestone consideration meets all of the following criteria:

It is commensurate with either of the following:

Our performance to achieve the milestone; or

The enhancement of value of the delivered item or items as a result of a specific outcome resulting from our performance to achieve the milestone.

It relates solely to past performance.

It is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms (including other potential milestone consideration) within the arrangement.

The individual milestones are determined to be substantive or nonsubstantive in their entirety and milestone consideration is not bifurcated.

Revenue from projects is classified as Product Revenue or Service Revenue, based on the nature of the work performed.

Nonmonetary Transactions. We value and record nonmonetary transactions at the fair value of the asset surrendered unless the fair value of the asset received is more clearly evident, in which case the fair value of the asset received is used.

### **Inventory Valuation**

We record our inventory at the lower of cost or market. We regularly evaluate the technological usefulness and anticipated future demand for our inventory components. Due to rapid changes in technology and the increasing demands of our customers, we are continually developing new products. Additionally, during periods of product or inventory component upgrades or transitions, we may acquire significant quantities of inventory to support estimated current and future production and service requirements. As a result, it is possible that older inventory items we have purchased may become obsolete, be sold below cost or be deemed in excess of quantities required for production or service requirements. When we determine it is not likely we will recover the cost of inventory items through future sales, we write-down the related inventory to our estimate of its market value.

Because the products we sell have high average sales prices and because a high number of our prospective customers receive funding from U.S. or foreign governments, it is difficult to estimate future sales of our products and the timing of such sales. It also is difficult to determine whether the cost of our inventories will ultimately be recovered through future sales. While we believe our inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market and that our estimates and assumptions to determine any adjustments to the cost of our inventories are reasonable, our estimates may prove to be inaccurate. We have sold inventory previously reduced in part or in whole to zero, and we may have future sales of previously written-down inventory. We also may have additional expense to write-down inventory to its estimated market value. Adjustments to these estimates in the future may materially impact our operating results.

#### Accounting for Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences and carryforwards are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided when we estimate that it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets may not be realized through future operations. This assessment is based upon consideration of available positive and negative evidence, which includes, among other things, our recent results of operations and expected future profitability. We consider our actual historical results over several years to have stronger weight than other more subjective indicators,

including forecasts, when considering whether to establish or reduce a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

As of March 31, 2012, we had approximately \$125.3 million of net deferred tax assets, against which we provided a \$110.7 million valuation allowance, resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$14.6 million. We continue to provide a partial valuation allowance against our U.S. deferred tax assets and a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in a limited number of foreign jurisdictions as the realization of such assets is not considered to be more likely than not. Our conclusion about the realizability of our deferred tax assets, and therefore the appropriateness of a valuation allowance, is reviewed quarterly. If our conclusion about the realizability of our deferred tax assets changes in a future period we could record a substantial tax provision or benefit in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income when that occurs.

Estimated interest and penalties are recorded as a component of interest expense and other expense, respectively.

22

### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include costs incurred in the development and production of our hardware and software, costs incurred to enhance and support existing product features, costs incurred to support and improve our development processes, and costs related to future product development. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred, and may be offset by co-funding from third parties. We may also enter into arrangements whereby we make advance, non-refundable payments to a vendor to perform certain research and development services. These payments are deferred and recognized over the vendor s estimated performance period.

Amounts to be received under co-funding arrangements with the U.S. government or other customers are based on either contractual milestones or costs incurred. These co-funding milestone payments are recognized in operations as performance is estimated to be completed and are measured as milestone achievements occur or as costs are incurred. These estimates are reviewed on a periodic basis and are subject to change, including in the near term. If an estimate is changed, net research and development expense could be impacted significantly.

We do not record a receivable from the U.S. government prior to completing the requirements necessary to bill for a milestone or cost reimbursement. Funding from the U.S. government is subject to certain budget restrictions and milestones may be subject to completion risk, and as a result, there may be periods in which research and development costs are expensed as incurred for which no reimbursement is recorded, as milestones have not been completed or the U.S. government has not funded an agreement. Accordingly, there can be substantial variability in the amount of net research and development expenses from quarter to quarter and year to year.

We classify amounts to be received from funded research and development projects as either revenue or a reduction to research and development expense based on the specific facts and circumstances of the contractual arrangement, considering total costs expected to be incurred compared to total expected funding and the nature of the research and development contractual arrangement. In the event that a particular arrangement is determined to represent revenue, the corresponding research and development costs are classified as cost of revenue.

### **Share-based Compensation**

We measure compensation cost for share-based payment awards at fair value and recognize it as compensation expense over the service period for awards expected to vest. We recognize share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards, net of an estimated forfeiture rate. We recognize compensation cost for only those shares expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards requires subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the share-based payment awards and stock price volatility. We utilize the Black-Scholes options pricing model to value the stock options granted under our options plans. In this model, we utilize assumptions related to stock price volatility, stock option term and forfeiture rates that are based upon both historical factors as well as management s judgment.

The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares or units granted and the quoted price of our common stock at the date of grant.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and equity price fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk: We invest our available cash in money market mutual funds whose underlying investments include investment-grade debt instruments of corporate issuers and in debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies. We do not have any derivative instruments or auction rate securities in our investment portfolio. We protect and preserve invested funds by limiting default, market and reinvestment risk. Investments in both fixed-rate and floating-rate interest earning instruments carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed-rate securities may have their fair market value adversely affected due to a rise in interest rates, while floating-rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectations due to changes in interest rates or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell securities which have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates. Although we have the above noted risks, a 0.5% change in interest rates would not be significant.

Foreign Currency Risk: We sell our products primarily in North America, Asia and Europe. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets. Our products are generally priced based on U.S. dollars, and a strengthening of the dollar could make our

products less competitive in foreign markets. While we often sell products with payments in U.S. dollars, our product sales contracts may call for payment in foreign currencies and to the extent we do so, or engage with our foreign subsidiaries in transactions deemed to be short-term in nature, we are subject to foreign currency exchange risks. As of March 31, 2012, we had entered into forward exchange contracts that hedge approximately \$17.6 million of anticipated cash receipts on specific foreign currency denominated sales contracts. These forward contracts hedge the risk of foreign exchange rate changes between the time that the related contracts were signed and when the cash receipts are expected to be received. Our foreign maintenance contracts are typically paid in local currencies and provide a partial natural hedge against foreign exchange exposure. To the extent that we wish to repatriate any of these funds to the United States, however, we are subject to foreign exchange risks. As of March 31, 2012, a 10% change in foreign exchange rates could impact our annual earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.7 million.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded as of March 31, 2012 that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on effectiveness of control. Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected.

### Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks described below together with all of the other information included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in our 2011 annual report on Form 10-K. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or operating results could be materially adversely affected and the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Our operating results fluctuate significantly and we may not achieve profitability in any given period. Our operating results are subject to significant fluctuations which make estimating revenue and operating results for any specific period very difficult, particularly because a material portion of product revenue recognized in any given quarter or year typically depends on a very limited number of system sales expected for that quarter or year and the product revenue generally depends on the timing of product acceptances by customers and contractual provisions affecting revenue recognition. Delays in recognizing revenue from a product transaction or transactions due to development or product delivery delays, not receiving needed components timely or with anticipated quality and performance, not achieving customer acceptances of installed systems, contractual provisions or for other reasons, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results in any specific quarter or year, and could shift associated revenue, gross profit and cash receipts from one quarter to another, or even from one year to another in the case of revenue expected to be realized in the fourth quarter of any year. The amount and timing of research and development co-funding (such as from our DARPA, High Productivity Computing Systems, or HPCS program) can also materially affect our expenses for any given quarter or year. In addition, because our revenue is often concentrated in particular quarters rather than evenly spread throughout a year, we generally do not expect to sustain profitability over successive quarters even if we are profitable for the year.

Although we recorded positive net income in 2010 and 2011, we have historically experienced net losses and, prior to 2010, had last recorded positive annual net income in 2003. For example, we recorded a net loss of \$10.6 million in 2007, a net loss

24

of \$40.7 million in 2008, which included a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$54.5 million and a net loss of \$0.6 million in 2009. Net income in 2011 benefited from the partial reduction of the valuation allowance held against our U.S. deferred tax assets of \$13.9 million and a complete reduction of the valuation allowance held against the deferred tax assets of our German subsidiary of \$0.8 million.

Whether we will be able to increase our revenue and achieve and sustain profitability on a quarterly and annual basis depends on a number of factors, including:

successfully delivering and obtaining customer acceptances of our Cray XE6 and Cray XK6 systems, including the systems delivered or to be delivered to the Department of Energy s Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the University of Illinois National Center for Supercomputing Applications;

the level of revenue recognized in any given period, which is affected by the very high average sales prices and limited number of significant system sales and resulting potential acceptances in any quarter, the timing of product acceptances by customers and contractual provisions affecting the timing and amount of revenue recognition;

revenue delays or losses due to customers postponing purchases to wait for future upgraded or new systems, delays in delivery of upgraded or new systems, longer than expected customer acceptance cycles or penalties resulting from system acceptance issues;

our ability to successfully and timely design, integrate and secure competitive processors for our Cray XE6 and Cray XK6 systems and upgrades and successors systems, including for the planned upgrade to our current Cray XK6 system that will be based on the NVIDIA Kepler GPUs;

our ability to secure orders for our Cray XE6/Cray XE6m, Cray XK6/Cray XK6m and Cascade systems as well as upgrades and successor systems;

our ability to successfully generate revenue and profitability from opportunities developed from our YarcData division and storage and data management business;

our expense levels, including research and development expense net of government funding, which are affected by the amount and timing of such funding and the meeting of contractual development milestones, including the milestones under our DARPA HPCS program;

our ability to secure additional government funding for future development projects, in particular funding targeted for exascale computing initiatives;

the level of product gross profit contribution in any given period due to volume or product mix, competitive factors, strategic transactions, product life cycle, currency fluctuations, acceptance penalties and component costs;

the competitiveness of our products;

maintaining our product development projects on schedule and within budgetary limitations;

the level and timing of maintenance contract renewals with existing customers;

the terms and conditions of sale or lease for our products and services.

The receipt of orders and the timing of shipments and acceptances impact our quarterly and annual results, including cash flows, and are affected by events outside our control, such as:

the timely availability of acceptable components, including, but not limited to, processors, in sufficient quantities to meet customer delivery schedules;

the timing and level of government funding for product acquisitions and research and development contracts, which may be adversely affected by the current economic and fiscal uncertainties and increased governmental budgetary limitations;

the introduction or announcement of competitive or key industry supplier products;

price fluctuations in the commodity electronics, processor and memory markets;

general economic trends, including changes in levels of customer capital spending;

the availability of adequate customer facilities to install and operate new Cray systems;

currency fluctuations, international conflicts or economic crises, including the ongoing macroeconomic challenges in the United States and the debt crisis in certain countries in the European Union; and

the receipt and timing of necessary export licenses.

Because of the numerous factors affecting our revenue and results of operations, we may not have net income on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. We anticipate that our quarterly results will fluctuate significantly, and include losses,

25

even in years where we expect or achieve positive annual net income. Delays in component availability, product development, receipt of orders, level and timing of approved government fiscal budgets, product acceptances, reductions in outside funding for our research and development efforts and achieving contractual development milestones have had a substantial adverse effect on our past results and could continue to have such an effect on our results in 2012 and in future years.

The failure to complete the sale of certain interconnect hardware assets to Intel Corporation may result in a decrease in the market value of our common stock and have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We recently announced that we entered into an agreement to sell certain interconnect hardware assets, and to transfer up to 74 employees, to Intel Corporation, in exchange for \$140 million in cash. The completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions. If applicable closing conditions are not satisfied and if we are unable to close the transaction, we will not receive the expected proceeds from the sale, and we may be subject to a number of additional risks, including the following:

the trading price of our common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the transaction will be completed;

our relationships with our customers, suppliers and employees may be damaged; and

our business and our operating results may be adversely impacted.

The occurrence of any of these events individually or in combination could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and the market value of our common stock may decline.

Additionally, we have incurred substantial transaction costs and diversion of management resources in connection with the transaction, and we will continue to do so until the closing. As a result, we may be unable to respond effectively to competitive and other market pressures, industry developments and future opportunities.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits, or minimize the possible risks, of the sale of certain interconnect hardware assets to Intel Corporation, which could alter the revenue, costs and nature of our business. In connection with our sale of certain interconnect hardware assets to Intel, we conducted business, legal and financial due diligence with the goal of identifying and evaluating material risks involved in the transaction. Despite our efforts, we ultimately may be unsuccessful in ascertaining or evaluating all such risks and, as a result, might not realize the intended advantages of the transaction. Additionally, the process of transitioning our employees and technologies to Intel may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures and could involve a number of potential adverse risks to our business, including the following:

harm to our ability to compete in relevant markets or in customer perception of our products;

delays in delivering our products as a result of supply difficulties or other factors;

loss of too many key employees;

unanticipated costs, adverse tax consequences and unforeseen accounting charges or fluctuations;

exposure to potential liabilities to third parties or Intel, or claims for indemnification by Intel, including with respect to third-party litigation matters;

failure to successfully further develop our current products or disruption to our current or future product roadmaps and ongoing business:

delays and difficulties in receiving key components for our products from suppliers, including Intel;

loss of customers, vendors or alliances; and

failure to create shareholder value with the additional cash resources.

If we fail to realize the expected benefits from the transaction, or to minimize the expected risks of the transaction, whether as a result of unidentified risks or other unforeseen events, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

If we are unable to complete and obtain acceptance on the final DARPA milestones when or as expected or at all, our net research and development expenditures would increase significantly and our operating results would be adversely affected. The DARPA HPCS program calls for the delivery of a prototype system in late 2012, and currently provides for a contribution by DARPA to us of up to \$180 million assuming we meet certain milestones, \$158 million of which we had already earned as of March 31, 2012, leaving \$22 million to be earned through three milestones in the remainder of 2012. In February of 2010, the total possible contribution from DARPA over the term of the HPCS program was reduced from \$250 million to \$190 million and, in October 2011, it was further reduced to \$180 million. If the completion of any remaining development milestone is delayed, our reported net research and development expenses, and our operating results, would be adversely affected. If we are unable to complete the remaining milestones, or one or more milestone payments are delayed, reduced and/or eliminated or the program is terminated for any reason, our cash flows and expenses would be adversely impacted. If we do not achieve and have accepted a milestone in the period we had originally estimated, we may incur research and development expense without offsetting co-funding by DARPA, resulting in increased net research and development expense during the period. We incurred some delays in payments for program milestones by DARPA in 2007 and 2008; in addition, as a result of our discussions with DARPA on the changes in scope and program schedule, results for the third and fourth quarters of 2009 and full-year 2009 were adversely impacted by delays in completing development milestones. The amount of DARPA funds we can recognize as an offset to our periodic research and development expenses depends on our estimates of the total costs and the time to complete the program; changes in our estimates may decrease the amount of funding recognized in any period, which may increase the amount of net research and development expense recognized in that quarter. DARPA s future financial commitments are subject to subsequent Congressional and federal inter-agency action, and our development efforts and the level of reported research and development expenses would be adversely impacted if DARPA does not receive expected funding, a delay in the timing of milestones or a decision to terminate the program before completion.

If our current and future strategic initiatives targeting markets outside of our traditional markets, primarily our YarcData division, midrange HPC systems and storage and data management business, are not successful, our ability to grow our revenues and achieve and sustain profitability will be adversely affected. Our ability to materially grow our revenues and achieve and sustain profitability will be adversely affected if we are unable to generate sufficient revenue from strategic initiatives targeting markets outside of our traditional market, particularly if those market segments do not grow significantly. We are currently focusing on data analytics and storage and data management opportunities originally developed from our Custom Engineering business and selling our Cray XE6m and Cray XK6m systems into the midrange supercomputing segment. To grow our revenue from new opportunities outside our primary market, we must continue to win awards for new contracts, timely perform on existing contracts, develop our capability for broader market sales and business development and successfully develop and introduce new solution-oriented offerings, notwithstanding that these are relatively new businesses for Cray and we do not have significant experience targeting these markets. The Cray XE6m, Cray XK6m and successor systems require successful sales in a lower priced segment of the supercomputer market as well as in relatively new commercial market segments. These data analytics and storage and data management opportunities and our Cray XE6m/Cray XK6m (and successor systems) efforts require monetary investments ahead of revenue, including adding experienced personnel and initiating new marketing and sales efforts.

If the U.S. government and other governments purchase, or fund the purchase of, fewer supercomputers or delay such purchases, our revenue would be reduced and our operating results would be adversely affected. Historically, sales to the U.S. government and customers primarily serving the U.S. government have represented the largest single market segment for supercomputer sales worldwide, including our products and services. In 2009, 2010, 2011 and the first three months of 2012, approximately 72%, 62%, 54% and 76% respectively, of our revenue was derived from such sales. Our plans for the foreseeable future contemplate significant sales to U.S. government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. government. Sales to government agencies and customers primarily serving the U.S. government, including further sales pursuant to existing contracts, may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, such as the current economic uncertainty, the downgrading of U.S. government debt, the political climate in a U.S. presidential election year focusing on cutting or limiting budgets and their effect on government budgets, the effects of Congressional failures or successes in addressing budgetary concerns, limits on federal borrowing capacity, changes in procurement policies, budgetary considerations including Congressional delays in completing appropriation bills as occurred in 2011, domestic crises, and international political developments, such as the downgrading of European debt. If agencies and departments of the United States or other governments were to stop, reduce or delay their use and purchases of supercomputers, our revenue and

operating results would be adversely affected.

26

Our reliance on third-party suppliers poses significant risks to our operating results, business and prospects. We rely upon third-party vendors to supply processors for our systems and storage subsystems and use service providers to co-develop key technologies, including integrated circuit design and verification. We subcontract the manufacture of a majority of the hardware components for our high-end products, including integrated circuits, printed circuit boards, connectors, cables, power supplies and memory parts, on a sole or limited source basis to third-party suppliers. We use contract manufacturers to assemble certain important components for all of our systems. We also rely on third parties to supply key software and hardware capabilities, such as file systems, solution-specific servers and storage subsystems. Because specific components must be designed into our systems well in advance of initial deliveries of those systems, we are particularly reliant on our processor vendors to deliver on the capabilities and pricing expected at the time we design key elements of the system. We are subject to substantial risks because of our reliance on these and other limited or sole source suppliers, including the following risks:

If a supplier does not provide components that meet our specifications in sufficient quantities on time or deliver when required, then production, delivery, acceptance and revenue from our systems could be delayed and we could be subject to costly penalties even once delivered and accepted, which happened during the fourth quarter of 2011 and adversely affected our efforts to complete the acceptance process on the Cray XK6 upgrade at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which in turn significantly lowered our total revenue for fiscal year 2011;

If a supplier cannot provide a competitive key component (for example, due to inadequate performance or a prohibitive price) or eliminates key features from components, such as with the processors we design into our systems, our systems may be less competitive than systems using components with greater capabilities;

If an interruption of supply of our components, services or capabilities occurs because a supplier changes its technology roadmap, decides to no longer provide those products or services, increases the price of those products or services significantly or imposes reduced delivery allocations on its customers, it could take us a considerable period of time to identify and qualify alternative suppliers, to redesign our products as necessary and to begin to manufacture the redesigned components or otherwise obtain those services or capabilities. In some cases, such as with key integrated circuits and memory parts or processors, we may not be able to redesign such components or find alternate sources that we could use in any realistic timeframe;

If a supplier of a component is subject to a claim that the component infringes a third-party s intellectual property rights, as has happened with one of our suppliers, our ability to obtain necessary components could be adversely affected or our cost to obtain such components could increase significantly;

If a supplier providing us with key research and development and design services or core technology components with respect to integrated circuit design, network communication capabilities or software is late, fails to provide us with effective functionality or loses key internal talent, our development programs may be delayed or prove to be impossible to complete;

If a supplier provides us with hardware or software that contains bugs or other errors or is different from what we expected, as is occurring with a key component, our development projects and production systems may be adversely affected through reduced performance or capabilities, additional design testing and verification efforts, re-spins of integrated circuits and/or development of replacement components, and the production and sales of our systems could be delayed and systems installed at customer sites could require significant, expensive field component replacements or result in penalties;

Some of our key component and service suppliers are small companies with limited financial and other resources, and consequently may be more likely to experience financial and operational difficulties than larger, well-established companies, which increases the risk that they will be unable to deliver products as needed; and

If a key supplier is acquired or has a significant business change, such as the acquisition of our file system software provider by our competitor Sun Microsystems and the subsequent acquisition of Sun by Oracle, the production and sales of our systems and services may be delayed or adversely affected, or our development programs may be delayed or may be impossible to complete.

For example, our DARPA HPCS project was adversely affected by changes by a major microprocessor supplier in its high performance technology roadmap that affected our ability to complete that program successfully and resulted in a reduction in the amount of funding we could receive from DARPA by \$60 million. In addition, our Cray XE6 and Cray XE6m systems are based on certain AMD Opteron processors. Certain delays in the availability of acceptable components, including processors and memory parts, and increases in order lead times for certain components, adversely affected our revenue and operating results in prior periods, including in 2011, and could adversely affect future results. In particular,

planned upgrades to and variants of our Cray XK6 and Cray XK6m systems are dependent upon the NVIDIA Kepler graphics processors. If we are unable to obtain adequate quantities of this processor when needed or meet the anticipated specifications, our revenue in 2012 and in subsequent periods would be adversely affected.

If the potential transaction with Intel is not consummated and we are unable to secure additional government research and development funding, our desired strategy would be adversely affected and our ability to conduct research and development would decrease. The significant government research and development funding we receive from the DARPA HPCS program is scheduled to end in 2012. If the potential transaction with Intel is not consummated and we are unable to secure sufficient additional government research and development funding beyond 2012, in particular funding targeted for exascale computing initiatives, or similar next-generation technology development government initiatives or secure other forms of funding, our current strategy would be adversely affected and our ability to continue research and development efforts on next-generation systems would decrease.

If we are unable to compete successfully in the highly competitive HPC market, our business will not be successful. The market for HPC systems is very competitive. An increase in competitive pressures in our market or our failure to compete effectively may result in pricing reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share and revenue. Many of our competitors are established companies well known in the HPC market, including IBM, NEC, Hewlett-Packard, Fujitsu, Hitachi, Silicon Graphics International, and Bull S.A. Most of these competitors have substantially greater research, engineering, manufacturing, marketing and financial resources than we do. We also compete with systems builders and resellers of systems that are constructed from commodity components using processors manufactured by Intel, AMD and others. These competitors include the companies named above and Dell, with IBM using both third-party processors and its own proprietary processors, as well as smaller companies that benefit from the low research and development costs needed to assemble systems from commercially available commodity products, such as Appro. Such companies, because they can offer high peak performance per dollar, can put pricing pressure on us in certain competitive procurements. In addition, to the extent that Intel, IBM and other processor suppliers develop processors with greater capabilities or at a lower cost than the processors we currently use, such as those from AMD, our Cray XE6, Cray XE6m, Cray XK6 and successor systems may be at a competitive disadvantage to systems utilizing such other processors until we can design in, integrate and secure competitive processors, if at all. Although our collaboration with Intel is intended to help mitigate this risk, Intel processors are not expected to be delivered in our supercomputers targeted at the high-end of the supercomputer market segment until 2013 in our Cascade systems.

Periodic announcements by our competitors of new HPC systems or plans for future systems and price adjustments may reduce customer demand for our products. Many of our potential customers already own or lease high performance computer systems. Some of our competitors may offer substantial discounts to potential customers. We have in the past and may again be required to provide substantial discounts to make strategic sales, which may reduce or eliminate any gross profit on such transactions, or to provide lease financing for our products, which could result in a deferral of our receipt of cash and revenue for these systems. These developments limit our revenue and resources and reduce our ability to be profitable.

If we are unable to successfully sell and deliver our Cray XE6 and the Cray XK6 systems and develop, sell and deliver successor systems, such as our Cascade system, our operating results will be adversely affected. We expect that a substantial portion of our revenue in the foreseeable future will come from sales and deliveries of Cray XE6 and successor systems, such as our Cascade system, and systems including integration of GPU accelerators, such as with the Cray XK6 systems, or future processors. Because of the long technology development cycles required to compete effectively in this market, we must begin development of products years ahead of our ability to sell such systems. With procurements for large systems that require that we link together multiple cabinets containing powerful processors and other components into an integrated system, our Cray XE6, Cray XK6 and successor systems, such as our Cascade system, must also scale to unprecedented levels of performance. During our internal testing and the customer acceptance processes, we may discover that we cannot achieve acceptable system stability or scalability across these large systems without incurring significant additional delays and expense. Any additional delays in receiving acceptable components or in product development, assembly, final testing and obtaining large system stability would delay delivery, installation and acceptance of Cray XE6, Cray XK6 and successor systems, such as our Cascade system.

Many factors affect our ability to successfully develop and sell these systems, including the following:

The level of product differentiation in our Cray XE6, Cray XK6 and successor systems, such as our Cascade system. We need to compete successfully against HPC systems from large established companies and lower bandwidth, commodity cluster systems from both large, established companies and smaller companies and demonstrate the value of our balanced high bandwidth systems.

Our ability to meet all customer requirements for acceptance. Even once a system has been delivered, we sometimes do not meet all of the contract requirements for customer acceptance and ongoing reliability of our systems within the provided-for acceptance period, which has resulted in contract penalties and delays in our ability to recognize revenue from system deliveries. Most often these penalties have adversely affected gross profit through the

28

provision of additional equipment and services and/or service credits to satisfy delivery delays and performance shortfalls. The risk of contract penalties is increased when we bid for new business prior to completing development of new products when we must estimate future system performance, such as was required with our Cray XE6 and Cray XK6 systems and is occurring for subsequent systems.

Our ability to source competitive, key components in appropriate quantities, in a timely fashion and on acceptable terms and conditions. If we underestimated our needs, we could limit the number of possible sales of these products and reduce potential revenue, or if we overestimated, we could incur inventory obsolescence charges and reduce our gross profit, as has happened in the past.

Whether potential customers delay purchases of our products because they decide to wait for successor systems or upgrades that we have announced, such as our Cascade system, or they believe will be available in the future.

Failure to successfully sell our Cray XE6 and Cray XK6 systems and develop and sell upgrades and successor systems, such as our Cascade system, into the high-end of the HPC market will adversely affect our operating results.

The continuing commoditization of HPC hardware and software has resulted in pricing pressure and may adversely affect our operating results. The continuing commoditization of HPC hardware, particularly processors and interconnect systems, and the growing commoditization of software, including plentiful building blocks and more capable open source software, as well as the potential for integration of differentiated technology into already-commoditized components, has resulted in, and may result in, the expansion and acceptance of lower-bandwidth cluster systems using processors manufactured by Intel, AMD and others combined with commercially available commodity networking and other components. These systems may offer higher theoretical peak performance for equivalent cost than equivalent Cray systems, and price/peak performance is often the dominant factor in HPC procurements outside of the high-end HPC or supercomputer market segment. Vendors of such systems often put pricing pressure on us in competitive procurements, even at times in larger procurements, and this pricing pressure may cause us to reduce our pricing in order to remain competitive which can negatively impact our gross margins and adversely affect our operating results.

Customers and other third parties may make statements speculating about or announcing an intention to complete purchases of Cray products before such purchases are substantially certain, and these proposed purchases may not be completed when or as expected, if at all. From time to time, customers and other third parties may make statements speculating about or announcing a potential purchase of Cray products before Cray has obtained an order for such purchases or completed negotiations and signed a contract for the purchase of such products. In some instances, government and government-funded customers may announce possible purchases even before they have obtained the necessary budget to procure the products. As a result, these statements or announcements do not mean that Cray will ultimately be able to secure the sale when or as expected or at all as it is not certain that the contract or order negotiations will be completed successfully or as expected or that the customer will be able to obtain the budget they hope for or expect.

Failure to overcome the technical challenges of developing competitive supercomputer systems well in advance of when they can be sold would adversely affect our revenue and operating results in subsequent years. We continue to develop successor systems to the Cray XE6 and Cray XK6 systems, such as our Cascade system, and expect to incorporate Intel technologies into our products as part of our DARPA HPCS program and our Cascade systems. We are also planning to continue to incorporate GPU accelerators into our supercomputer systems, such as with the Cray XK6 systems. The incorporation of GPUs and future many-core processors into our systems designed for the supercomputing segment of the market poses unique challenges in both hardware and software integration.

These development efforts are lengthy and technically challenging processes, and require a significant investment of capital, engineering and other resources often years ahead of the time when we can be assured that they will result in competitive products. We may invest significant resources in alternatives that prove ultimately unfruitful. Unanticipated performance and/or development issues may require more engineers, time or testing resources than are currently available. In the past several years, directing engineering resources to solving current issues has adversely affected the timely development of successor products required for our longer-term product roadmap. Given the breadth of our engineering challenges and our limited engineering and technical personnel resources, we periodically review the anticipated contributions and expense of our product programs to determine their long-term viability, and we may substantially modify or terminate one or more development programs. We may not be successful in meeting our development schedules for technical reasons and/or because of insufficient engineering resources, which could result in an uncompetitive product or cause a lack of confidence in our capabilities among our key customers. To the extent that we incur delays in completing the design, development and production of hardware components, delays in development of requisite system software, cancellation of programs due to technical or economic infeasibility or investment in unproductive development efforts, our revenue, results of operations and cash flows, and the reputation of such systems in the market, could be adversely affected.

We are subject to increasing government regulations and other requirements due to the nature of our business, which may adversely affect our business operations. In 2009, 2010, 2011 and the first three months of 2012, 72%, 62%,

54% and 76% respectively, of our revenue was derived from the U.S. government or customers primarily serving the U.S. government. In addition to normal business risks, our contracts with the U.S. government are subject to unique risks, some of which are beyond our control. Our contracts with the U.S. government are subject to particular risks, including:

The funding of U.S. government programs is subject to congressional appropriations. Many of the U.S. government programs in which we participate may extend for several years; however, these programs are normally funded annually. Changes in U.S. strategy and priorities, particularly in this U.S. Presidential election year, may affect our future procurement opportunities and existing programs. Long-term government contracts and related orders are subject to cancellation, or delay, if appropriations for subsequent performance periods are not made. The termination of funding for existing or new U.S. government programs could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The U.S. government may modify, curtail or terminate its contracts with us. The U.S. government may modify, curtail or terminate its contracts and subcontracts with us, without prior notice at its convenience upon payment for work done and commitments made at the time of termination. Modification, curtailment or termination of our major programs or contracts could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our U.S. government contract costs are subject to audits by U.S. government agencies. U.S. government representatives may audit the costs we incur on our U.S. government contracts, including allocated indirect costs. Such audits could result in adjustments to our contract costs. Any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, and such costs already reimbursed must be refunded. If any audit uncovers improper or illegal activities or non-compliance with the terms of a specific contract, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or prohibition from doing business with the U.S. government.

Our business is subject to potential U.S. government inquiries and investigations. We may be subject to U.S. government inquiries and investigations of our business practices due to our participation in government contracts. Any such inquiry or investigation could potentially result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our U.S. government business is also subject to specific procurement regulations and other requirements. These requirements, although customary in U.S. government contracts, increase our performance and compliance costs. These costs might increase in the future, reducing our margins, which could have a negative effect on our financial condition. Failure to comply with these regulations and requirements could lead to suspension or debarment, for cause, from U.S. government contracting or subcontracting for a period of time and could have a negative effect on our reputation and ability to secure future U.S. government contracts.

*U.S. export controls could hinder our ability to make sales to foreign customers and our future prospects.* The U.S. government regulates the export of HPC systems such as our products. Occasionally we have experienced delays for up to several months in receiving appropriate approvals necessary for certain sales, which have delayed the shipment of our products. Delay or denial in the granting of any required licenses could make it more difficult to make sales to certain foreign customers, eliminating an important source of potential revenue. Our ability to have certain components manufactured in certain foreign countries for a lower cost has also been adversely affected by export restrictions covering information necessary to allow such foreign manufacturers to manufacture components for us.

If we cannot retain, attract and motivate key personnel, we may be unable to effectively implement our business plan. Our success depends in large part upon our ability to retain, attract and motivate highly skilled management, development, marketing, sales and service personnel. The loss of and failure to replace key engineering management and personnel could adversely affect multiple development efforts. Recruitment and retention of senior management and skilled technical, sales and other personnel is very competitive, and we may not be successful in either attracting or retaining such personnel. From time to time, we have lost key personnel to other high technology companies. For example, during the third quarter of 2011 our Chief Technology Officer resigned to join another company in our industry. As part of our strategy to attract and retain key personnel, we may offer equity compensation through stock options and restricted stock grants. Potential employees, however, may not perceive our equity incentives as attractive enough and current employees who have significant options with exercise prices significantly above current market values for our common stock may seek other employment. In addition, due to the intense competition for qualified employees, we may be required to increase the level of compensation paid to existing and new employees, which could materially increase our operating expenses.

Our stock price is volatile. The trading price of our common stock is subject to significant fluctuations in response to many factors, including our quarterly operating results, changes in analysts—estimates or our outlook, our capital raising activities, announcements of technological innovations and customer contracts by us or our competitors, a significant aggressive seller or buyer, general economic conditions and conditions in our industry.

We may infringe or be subject to claims that we infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Third parties in the past have asserted, and may in the future assert intellectual property infringement claims against us. As a result of such intellectual property infringement claims, we could be required or otherwise decide that it is appropriate to:

pay third-party infringement claims;
discontinue manufacturing, using, or selling particular products subject to infringement claims;

discontinue using the technology or processes subject to infringement claims;

develop other technology not subject to infringement claims, which could be time-consuming and costly or may not be possible; or

license technology from the third-party claiming infringement, which license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms.

Regardless of the merits, any intellectual property infringement claim would require management attention and could be expensive to defend.

We incorporate software licensed from third parties into the operating systems for our products as well as in our tools to design products and any significant interruption in the availability of these third-party software products or defects in these products could reduce the demand for our products or cause delay in development. The operating system as well as other software we develop for our HPC systems contains components that are licensed to us under open source software licenses. Our business could be disrupted if this software, or functional equivalents of this software, were either no longer available to us or no longer offered to us on commercially reasonable terms. In either case we would be required to redesign our operating system software to function with alternative third-party software, or develop these components ourselves, which would result in increased costs and could result in delays in product shipments. Our supercomputer systems utilize software system variants that incorporate Linux technology. The open source licenses under which we have obtained certain components of our operating system software may not be enforceable. Any ruling by a court that these licenses are not enforceable, or that Linux-based operating systems, or significant portions of them, may not be copied, modified or distributed as provided in those licenses, would adversely affect our ability to sell our systems. In addition, as a result of concerns about the risks of litigation and open source software generally, we may be forced to protect our customers from potential claims of infringement. In any such event, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

We also incorporate proprietary incidental software from third parties, such as for file systems, job scheduling and storage subsystems. We have experienced some functional issues in the past with implementing such software with our supercomputer systems. In addition, we may not be able to secure needed software systems on acceptable terms, which may make our systems less attractive to potential customers. These issues may result in lost revenue, additional expense by us and/or loss of customer confidence.

We are required to evaluate our internal control over financial reporting under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 at the end of each fiscal year, and any adverse results from such future evaluations could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports and have an adverse effect on our stock price. Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are required to furnish a report by our management and a report by our independent registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting in our annual reports on Form 10-K as to whether we have any material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting. Depending on their nature and severity, any future material weaknesses could result in our having to restate financial statements, could make it difficult or impossible for us to obtain an audit of our annual financial statements or could result in a qualification of any such audit. In such events, we could experience a number of adverse consequences, including our inability to comply with applicable reporting and listing requirements, a loss of market confidence in our publicly available information, delisting from the NASDAQ Global Market, an inability to complete a financing, loss of other financing sources such as our line of credit, and litigation based on the events themselves or their consequences.

We may not be able to protect our proprietary information and rights adequately. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright and trade secret protection, nondisclosure agreements and licensing arrangements to establish, protect and enforce our proprietary information and rights. We have a number of patents and have additional applications pending. There can be no assurance, however, that patents will be issued from the

pending applications or that any issued patents will adequately protect those aspects of our technology to which such patents will relate. Despite our efforts to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights, we cannot be certain that we will succeed in doing so or that our competitors will not independently develop or patent technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technologies. The laws of some countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent or in the same manner as do the laws of the United States. Additionally, under certain conditions, the U.S. government might obtain non-exclusive rights to certain of our intellectual property. Although we continue to implement protective measures and intend to defend our proprietary rights vigorously, these efforts may not be successful.

Provisions of our Restated Articles of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws could make a proposed acquisition of Cray that is not approved by our Board of Directors more difficult. Provisions of our Restated Articles of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us. These provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for our common stock. For example, our Restated Articles of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws provide for:

removal of a director only in limited circumstances and only upon the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the shares entitled to vote to elect directors;

the ability of our Board of Directors to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, without shareholder approval, with rights senior to those of the common stock;

no cumulative voting of shares;

the right of shareholders to call a special meeting of the shareholders only upon demand by the holders of not less than 30% of the shares entitled to vote at such a meeting;

the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the outstanding shares entitled to vote on an amendment, unless the amendment was approved by a majority of our continuing directors, who are defined as directors who have either served as a director since August 31, 1995, or were nominated to be a director by the continuing directors;

special voting requirements for mergers and other business combinations, unless the proposed transaction was approved by a majority of continuing directors;

special procedures to bring matters before our shareholders at our annual shareholders meeting; and

special procedures to nominate members for election to our Board of Directors.

These provisions could delay, defer or prevent a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business transaction between us and a third-party that is not approved by our Board of Directors.

Item 6.	Exhibits
3.1	Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 12, 2007)
3.2	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2012)
10.1	Offer Letter from the Company to Arvind Parthasarathi, dated January 13, 2012
10.2	Offer Letter from the Company to William C. Blake, dated March 26, 2012
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

32

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### CRAY INC.

Date: April 26, 2012 /s/ Peter J. Ungaro

Peter J. Ungaro

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 26, 2012 /s/ Brian C. Henry

Brian C. Henry

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: April 26, 2012 /s/ Charles D. Fairchild

Charles D. Fairchild

Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

33