

AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC

Form 10-Q

August 15, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-26486

Auburn National Bancorporation, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

63-0885779
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

100 N. Gay Street
Auburn, Alabama 36830
(334) 821-9200

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at July 31, 2011
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	3,642,738 shares

Table of Contents

AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited) for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	7
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
<u>Table 1 Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures</u>	46
<u>Table 2 Selected Quarterly Financial Data</u>	47
<u>Table 3 Selected Financial Data</u>	48
<u>Table 4 Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	49
<u>Table 5 Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	50
<u>Table 6 Loan Portfolio Composition</u>	51
<u>Table 7 Allowance for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets</u>	52
<u>Table 8 Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses</u>	53
<u>Table 9 CDs and Other Time Deposits of \$100,000 or more</u>	54
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	55
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	55
 PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	55
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	55
Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	55
Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	56
Item 4 <u>Removed and Reserved</u>	56
Item 5 <u>Other Information</u>	56
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	56

Table of Contents**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Unaudited)**

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share data)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 15,654	\$ 11,432
Federal funds sold	40,835	7,500
Interest bearing bank deposits	1,091	2,492
Cash and cash equivalents	57,580	21,424
Securities available-for-sale	296,443	315,220
Loans held for sale	2,278	4,281
Loans, net of unearned income	373,795	374,215
Allowance for loan losses	(7,746)	(7,676)
Loans, net	366,049	366,539
Premises and equipment, net	8,237	8,105
Bank-owned life insurance	16,385	16,171
Other real estate owned	9,361	8,125
Other assets	23,392	23,964
Total assets	\$ 779,725	\$ 763,829
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 96,888	\$ 87,660
Interest-bearing	531,081	519,467
Total deposits	627,969	607,127
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,314	2,685
Long-term debt	85,322	93,331
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,020	4,318
Total liabilities	718,625	707,461
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock of \$.01 par value; authorized 200,000 shares; no issued shares		
Common stock of \$.01 par value; authorized 8,500,000 shares; issued 3,957,135 shares	39	39
Additional paid-in capital	3,753	3,752
Retained earnings	62,970	61,421

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net	981	(2,201)
Less treasury stock, at cost - 314,397 shares and 314,417 shares at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	(6,643)	(6,643)
Total stockholders' equity	61,100	56,368
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 779,725	\$ 763,829

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings****(Unaudited)**

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income:				
Loans, including fees	\$ 5,371	\$ 5,492	\$ 10,658	\$ 10,925
Securities	2,613	2,959	5,151	6,178
Federal funds sold and interest bearing bank deposits	14	10	23	17
Total interest income	7,998	8,461	15,832	17,120
Interest expense:				
Deposits	2,092	2,615	4,262	5,255
Short-term borrowings	3	3	6	14
Long-term debt	846	1,155	1,693	2,332
Total interest expense	2,941	3,773	5,961	7,601
Net interest income	5,057	4,688	9,871	9,519
Provision for loan losses	600	750	1,200	2,200
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	4,457	3,938	8,671	7,319
Noninterest income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	290	334	581	648
Mortgage lending	439	625	879	1,107
Bank-owned life insurance	107	106	214	232
Affordable housing investment losses	(230)	(57)	(230)	(114)
Other	355	353	708	734
Securities gains, net:				
Realized gains, net	445	1,431	450	2,531
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(51)	(20)	(312)	(260)
Non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income		20	210	210
Total securities gains, net	394	1,431	348	2,481
Total noninterest income	1,355	2,792	2,500	5,088
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries and benefits	2,068	1,939	4,054	3,844
Net occupancy and equipment	328	364	674	748
Professional fees	189	191	360	367
FDIC and other regulatory assessments	199	286	481	562
Other real estate owned, net	718	911	701	972
Prepayment penalty on long-term debt		298		298
Other	861	820	1,743	1,654
Total noninterest expense	4,363	4,809	8,013	8,445

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings before income taxes	1,449	1,921	3,158	3,962
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8)	314	152	738
Net earnings	\$ 1,457	\$ 1,607	\$ 3,006	\$ 3,224
Net earnings per share:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.88
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic and diluted	3,642,738	3,642,877	3,642,733	3,642,996

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income****(Unaudited)**

	Common Stock		Additional		Accumulated	Treasury	Total
	Shares	Amount	paid-in capital	Retained earnings	comprehensive income (loss)		
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share data)</i>							
Balance, December 31, 2009	3,957,135	\$ 39	\$ 3,751	\$ 58,917	\$ 111	\$(6,635)	\$ 56,183
Comprehensive income:							
Net earnings				3,224			3,224
Other comprehensive loss due to change in other-than-temporary impairment losses related to factors other than credit on available-for- sale, net					(133)		(133)
Other comprehensive income due to change in all other unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for- sale, net					1,196		1,196
Total comprehensive income				3,224	1,063		4,287
Cash dividends paid (\$0.39 per share)				(1,421)			(1,421)
Stock repurchases (484 shares)						(8)	(8)
Sale of treasury stock (60 shares)			1				1
Balance, June 30, 2010	3,957,135	\$ 39	\$ 3,752	\$ 60,720	\$ 1,174	\$(6,643)	\$ 59,042
Balance, December 31, 2010	3,957,135	\$ 39	\$ 3,752	\$ 61,421	\$ (2,201)	\$(6,643)	\$ 56,368
Comprehensive income:							
Net earnings				3,006			3,006
Other comprehensive loss due to change in other-than-temporary impairment losses related to factors other than credit on available-for- sale, net					(133)		(133)
Other comprehensive income due to change in all other unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for- sale, net					3,315		3,315
Total comprehensive income				3,006	3,182		6,188
Cash dividends paid (\$0.40 per share)				(1,457)			(1,457)
Sale of treasury stock (20 shares)			1				1
Balance, June 30, 2011	3,957,135	\$ 39	\$ 3,753	\$ 62,970	\$ 981	\$(6,643)	\$ 61,100

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 3,006	\$ 3,224
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	1,200	2,200
Depreciation and amortization	309	273
Premium amortization and discount accretion, net	1,074	916
Net gain on securities	(348)	(2,481)
Net gain on sale of loans held for sale	(683)	(927)
Net loss on other real estate owned	741	857
Loss on prepayment of long-term debt		298
Loans originated for sale	(22,317)	(38,702)
Proceeds from sale of loans	24,851	40,130
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(214)	(232)
Net increase in other assets	(1,706)	(1,087)
Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,298)	355
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,615	4,824
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	97,407	120,083
Proceeds from maturities of securities available-for-sale	44,834	71,029
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	(119,147)	(186,208)
Net increase in loans	(3,268)	(3,113)
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(295)	(75)
Decrease in FHLB stock	423	
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	581	571
Net cash provided by investing activities	20,535	2,287
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase in noninterest-bearing deposits	9,228	10,012
Net increase in interest-bearing deposits	11,614	16,334
Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(371)	(13,809)
Repayments or retirement of long-term debt	(8,009)	(5,307)
Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	1	1
Stock repurchases		(8)
Dividends paid	(1,457)	(1,421)
Net cash provided by financing activities	11,006	5,802
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	36,156	12,913
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,424	12,395

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 57,580	\$ 25,308
--	-----------	-----------

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$ 6,108	\$ 7,770
Income taxes	332	843

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:

Real estate acquired through foreclosure	2,558	477
--	-------	-----

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

Auburn National Bancorporation, Inc. (the Company) provides a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers in Lee County, Alabama and surrounding counties through its wholly owned subsidiary, AuburnBank (the Bank). The Company does not have any segments other than banking that are considered material.

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this report have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to present a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations for all periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations in the interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that the Company and its subsidiaries may achieve for future interim periods or the entire year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current-period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the Company's previously reported net earnings or total stockholders' equity.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated the effects of events or transactions through the date of this filing that have occurred subsequent to June 30, 2011. The Company does not believe there are any material subsequent events that would require further recognition or disclosure.

Accounting Developments

No new guidance was adopted by the Company during the second quarter of 2011. In the first quarter of 2011 the Company adopted new guidance related to the following Codification topic:

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. Information about this pronouncement is described in more detail below.

ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, amends the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Companies are required to disclose significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The ASU also clarifies that fair value measurement disclosures should be presented for each asset and liability class, which is generally a subset of a line item in the statement of financial position. In the rollforward of Level 3 activity, companies must present information on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis rather than on a net basis. Companies should also provide information about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring instruments classified as either Level 2 or Level 3. In the first quarter of 2011, the Company adopted the requirement for gross presentation in the Level 3 rollforward with prospective application. The remaining provisions were effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2010. Adoption of the ASU did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company since it amends only the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

Table of Contents**NOTE 2: BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Diluted net earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if the Company's potential common stock was issued. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the Company had no options issued or outstanding, and therefore, no dilutive effect to consider for the diluted earnings per share calculation.

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic and diluted earnings per share computation for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented below.

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Basic and diluted:				
Net earnings	\$ 1,457	\$ 1,607	\$ 3,006	\$ 3,224
Weighted average common shares outstanding	3,642,738	3,642,877	3,642,733	3,642,996
Earnings per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.88

NOTE 3: COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity from all transactions other than those with shareholders, and it includes net earnings and other comprehensive income (loss). Comprehensive income for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is presented below.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Comprehensive income:				
Net earnings	\$ 1,457	\$ 1,607	\$ 3,006	\$ 3,224
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Due to change in other-than-temporary impairment losses related to factors other than credit on securities available-for-sale, net of tax		(13)	(133)	(133)
Due to change in all other unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax	2,571	387	3,315	1,196
Total comprehensive income	\$ 4,028	\$ 1,981	\$ 6,188	\$ 4,287

NOTE 4: VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company is involved in various entities that are considered to be variable interest entities (VIEs), as defined by authoritative accounting literature. Generally, a VIE is a corporation, partnership, trust or other legal structure that does not have equity investors with substantive or proportional voting rights or has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities.

At June 30, 2011, the Company did not have any consolidated VIEs to disclose but did have certain nonconsolidated VIEs, discussed below.

Trust Preferred Securities

The Company owns the common stock of a subsidiary business trust, Auburn National Bancorporation Capital Trust I, which issued mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities (trust preferred securities) in the aggregate of approximately \$7.0 million at the time of issuance. This trust meets the definition of a VIE of which the Company is not the primary beneficiary; the trust's only assets are junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company, which were acquired by the trust using the proceeds from the issuance of the trust preferred securities and common stock. The junior subordinated debentures of approximately \$7.2 million are included in long-term debt and the Company's equity interest in

Table of Contents

the business trust is included in other assets. Interest expense on the junior subordinated debentures is reported in interest expense on long-term debt. For regulatory reporting and capital adequacy purposes, the Federal Reserve Board has indicated that such trust preferred securities will continue to constitute Tier 1 Capital until further notice.

Affordable Housing Investments

Periodically, the Company may invest in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects in its primary markets and surrounding areas as a means of supporting local communities. These investments are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal tax credits. These projects are funded through a combination of debt and equity and the partnerships meet the definition of a VIE. While the Company's investment as a limited partner in a single entity may at times exceed 50% of the outstanding equity interests, the Company does not consolidate the partnerships due to the nature of the management activities of the general partner and the performance guaranties provided by the project sponsors. The Company typically provides financing during the construction and development of the properties; however, permanent financing is generally obtained from independent parties upon completion of a project.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had limited partnership investments of \$5.6 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, related to these projects, which are included in other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had unfunded commitments related to affordable housing investments of \$0.5 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Additionally, the Company had outstanding loan commitments with certain of the partnerships totaling \$4.1 million and \$11.4 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The funded portion of these loans was approximately \$3.0 and \$8.9 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The funded portions of these loans are included in loans, net of unearned income on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table summarizes VIEs that are not consolidated by the Company as of June 30, 2011.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Maximum Loss Exposure	Liability Recognized	Classification
Type:			
Affordable housing investments (a)	\$ 5,647	458	Other assets
Trust preferred issuances	N/A	7,217	Long-term debt

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents the Company's current investment of \$5.6 million included in other assets. The current investment of \$5.6 million includes \$0.5 million of unfunded commitments related to affordable housing investments included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Table of Contents**NOTE 5: SECURITIES**

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, all securities within the scope of FASB ASC 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities* were classified as available-for-sale. The fair value and amortized cost for securities available-for-sale by contractual maturity at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, are presented below.

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2011							
	1 year or less	1 to 5 years	5 to 10 years	After 10 years	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost
Available-for-sale:								
Agency obligations (a)	\$		23,899	26,860	50,759	29	424	\$ 51,154
Agency RMBS (a)			14,255	146,103	160,358	1,254	532	159,636
State and political subdivisions	20	932	15,389	66,734	83,075	1,737	353	81,691
Trust preferred securities:								
Pooled				20	20		210	230
Individual issuer				2,231	2,231	186	134	2,179
Total available-for-sale	\$ 20	932	53,543	241,948	296,443	3,206	1,653	\$ 294,890

(a) Includes securities issued by U.S. government agencies or government sponsored entities.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2010							
	1 year or less	1 to 5 years	5 to 10 years	After 10 years	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost
Available-for-sale:								
Agency obligations (a)	\$		37,821	52,650	90,471	95	1,017	\$ 91,393
Agency RMBS (a)			9,976	133,168	143,144	1,566	1,441	143,019
State and political subdivisions	21	856	13,547	62,342	76,766	472	2,801	79,095
Trust preferred securities:								
Pooled				20	20		210	230
Individual issuer				2,129	2,129		153	2,282
Corporate debt		2,690			2,690			2,690
Total available-for-sale	\$ 21	3,546	61,344	250,309	315,220	2,133	5,622	\$ 318,709

(a) Includes securities issued by U.S. government agencies or government sponsored entities.

Securities with aggregate fair values of \$186.5 million and \$171.1 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances, and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

Included in other assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are cost-method investments. The carrying amounts of cost-method investments were \$5.4 and \$5.8 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Cost-method investments primarily include non-marketable equity investments, such as FHLB of Atlanta stock and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock.

Table of Contents**Gross Unrealized Losses and Fair Value**

The fair values and gross unrealized losses on securities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, segregated by those securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and twelve months or more are presented below.

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						
June 30, 2011:						
Agency obligations	\$ 27,704	424			27,704	\$ 424
Agency RMBS	65,363	532			65,363	532
State and political subdivisions	10,604	193	3,459	160	14,063	353
Trust preferred securities:						
Pooled			20	210	20	210
Individual issuer			866	134	866	134
Total	\$ 103,671	1,149	4,345	504	108,016	\$ 1,653
December 31, 2010:						
Agency obligations	\$ 45,351	1,017			45,351	\$ 1,017
Agency RMBS	89,840	1,441			89,840	1,441
State and political subdivisions	49,176	2,323	3,207	478	52,383	2,801
Trust preferred securities:						
Pooled			20	210	20	210
Individual issuer			847	153	847	153
Total	\$ 184,367	4,781	4,074	841	188,441	\$ 5,622

The applicable date for determining when securities are in an unrealized loss position is June 30, 2011. As such, it is possible that a security in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011 had a market value that exceeded its amortized cost on other days during the past twelve-month period.

For the securities in the previous table, the Company does not have the intent to sell and has determined it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis, which may be maturity. The Company has assessed each security for credit impairment. For debt securities, the Company evaluates, where necessary, whether credit impairment exists by comparing the present value of the expected cash flows to the securities' amortized cost basis. For cost-method investments, the Company evaluates whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred during the reporting period that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment.

In determining whether a loss is temporary, the Company considers all relevant information including:

the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;

adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area (for example, changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset-backed debt security, in the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors, including changes in technology or the discontinuance of a segment of the business that may affect the future earnings potential of the issuer or underlying loan obligors of the security or changes in the quality of the credit enhancement);

the historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security;

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future;

failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency;

Table of Contents

and recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date. To the extent the Company estimates future expected cash flows, the Company considered all available information in developing those expected cash flows. For asset-backed securities such as pooled trust preferred securities, such information generally included:

remaining payment terms of the security (including as applicable, terms that require underlying obligor payments to increase in the future);

current delinquencies and nonperforming assets of underlying collateral;

expected future default rates; and

subordination levels or other credit enhancements.

Agency obligations

The unrealized losses associated with agency obligations are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and not due to the credit quality of the securities. These securities are issued by U.S. government agencies or government-sponsored entities and do not have any credit losses given the explicit or implicit government guarantee.

Agency residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)

The unrealized losses associated with Agency RMBS are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and not due to the credit quality of the securities. These securities are issued by U.S. government agencies or government-sponsored entities and do not have any credit losses given the explicit or implicit government guarantee.

Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions

The unrealized losses associated with securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and are not due to the credit quality of the securities. These securities will continue to be monitored as part of the Company's quarterly impairment analysis, but are expected to perform even if the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond insurers. As a result, the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities.

Pooled trust preferred securities

The unrealized losses associated with pooled trust preferred securities are primarily driven by higher projected collateral losses and wider credit spreads. Pooled trust preferred securities primarily consist of securities issued by community banks and thrifts. The Company assesses impairment for these securities using a cash flow model. The key assumptions include default probabilities of the underlying collateral and recoveries on collateral defaults. Based upon the Company's assessment of the expected credit losses for these securities, and given the performance of the underlying collateral compared to the Company's credit enhancement, the Company expects to recover the remaining amortized cost basis of these securities.

Individual issuer trust preferred securities

The unrealized losses associated with individual issuer trust preferred securities are primarily related to securities backed by individual issuer community banks. For individual issuers, management evaluates the financial performance of the issuer on a quarterly basis to determine if it is probable that the issuer can make all contractual principal and interest payments. Based upon its evaluation, the Company expects to recover the remaining amortized cost basis of these securities.

Cost-method investments

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2011, cost-method investments with an aggregate cost of \$5.4 million were not evaluated for impairment because the Company did not identify any events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of these cost-method investments.

The carrying values of the Company's investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for pooled trust preferred securities, the financial condition of individual issuers of trust preferred securities, or the credit quality of other securities deteriorate and the Company determines it is probable that it will not recover the entire amortized cost basis for the security. As a result, there is a risk that significant other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future.

Table of Contents

The following tables show the applicable credit ratings, fair values, gross unrealized losses, and life-to-date impairment charges for pooled and individual issuer trust preferred securities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, segregated by those securities that have been in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and twelve months or more.

Trust Preferred Securities as of June 30, 2011

	Credit Rating		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses 12 months		Total	Life-to-date Impairment Charges
	Moody's	Fitch		Less than 12 months	or Longer		
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>							
Pooled:							
ALESCO Preferred Funding XVII Ltd (a)	C	CC	\$ 20		210	210	\$ 1,770
Individual issuer (b):							
Carolina Financial Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	260				190
Main Street Bank Statutory Trust I (c)	n/a	n/a	459		41	41	
MNB Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	143				362
PrimeSouth Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	161				339
TCB Trust	n/a	n/a	407		93	93	
United Community Capital Trust	n/a	n/a	801				379
Total individual issuer			2,231		134	134	1,270
Total trust preferred securities			\$ 2,251		344	344	\$ 3,040

n/a not applicable securities not rated.

- (a) Class B Deferrable Third Priority Secured Floating Rate Notes. The underlying collateral is primarily composed of trust preferred securities issued by community banks and thrifts.
- (b) 144A Floating Rate Capital Securities. Underlying issuer is a community bank holding company. Securities have no excess subordination or overcollateralization.
- (c) Now an obligation of BB&T Corporation.

Trust Preferred Securities as of December 31, 2010

	Credit Rating		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses 12 months		Total	Life-to-date Impairment Charges
	Moody's	Fitch		Less than 12 months	or Longer		
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>							
Pooled:							
ALESCO Preferred Funding XVII Ltd (a)	Ca	C	\$ 20		210	210	\$ 1,770
Individual issuer (b):							
Carolina Financial Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	312				138
Main Street Bank Statutory Trust I (c)	n/a	n/a	438		62	62	
MNB Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	152				348

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

PrimeSouth Capital Trust I	n/a	n/a	197			303
TCB Trust	n/a	n/a	409	91	91	
United Community Capital Trust	n/a	n/a	621			379
Total individual issuer			2,129	153	153	1,168
Total trust preferred securities			\$ 2,149	363	363	\$ 2,938

n/a - not applicable securities not rated.

- (a) Class B Deferrable Third Priority Secured Floating Rate Notes. The underlying collateral is primarily composed of trust preferred securities issued by community banks and thrifts.
- (b) 144A Floating Rate Capital Securities. Underlying issuer is a community bank holding company. Securities have no excess subordination or overcollateralization.
- (c) Now an obligation of BB&T Corporation.

Table of Contents

For pooled trust preferred securities, the Company estimated expected future cash flows of the security by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordination interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which consider default probabilities derived from issuer credit ratings for the underlying collateral). The probability-weighted expected future cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize income on the security to arrive at a present value amount.

Excess subordination is defined as the amount of performing collateral that is in excess of what is needed to pay-off a specified class of securities and all classes senior to the specified class. Performing collateral is defined as total collateral minus all collateral that is currently deferring or currently in default. This definition assumes that all collateral that is currently deferring will default with a zero recovery rate. The underlying issuers can cure the deferral, or some portion greater than zero could be recovered on default of an underlying issuer. Excess subordination, as defined previously, does not consider any excess interest spread that is built into the structure of the security, which provides another source of repayment for the bonds.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, there was no excess subordination for the Class B notes of ALESCO Preferred Funding XVII, Ltd.

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities

On a quarterly basis, management makes an assessment to determine whether there have been events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is other-than-temporarily impaired. For equity securities with an unrealized loss, the Company considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment; the intent and ability of the Company to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value; and recent events specific to the issuer or industry. Equity securities on which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary are written down to fair value with the write-down recorded as a realized loss in securities gains (losses), net.

For debt securities with an unrealized loss, an other-than-temporary impairment write-down is triggered when (1) the Company has the intent to sell a debt security, (2) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the debt security. If the Company has the intent to sell a debt security or if it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the debt security before recovery, the other-than-temporary write-down is equal to the entire difference between the debt security's amortized cost and its fair value. If the Company does not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment write-down is separated into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings, as a realized loss in securities gains (losses), and is the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows. The remaining difference between the security's fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

The following table presents a roll-forward of the credit loss component of the amortized cost of debt securities that the Company has written down for other-than-temporary impairment and the credit component of the loss is recognized in earnings (referred to as credit-impaired debt securities). Other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 for credit-impaired debt securities are presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (subsequent credit impairments). The credit loss component is reduced if the Company sells, intends to sell or believes it will be required to sell previously credit-impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if the Company receives cash flows in excess of what it expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit-impaired debt security, the security matures or is fully written-down and deemed worthless. Changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities were:

Table of Contents

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 2,989	\$ 4,620	\$ 2,938	\$ 4,570
Additions:				
Subsequent credit impairments	51		102	50
Reductions:				
Securities sold				
Due to change in intent or requirement to sell				
Securities fully written down and deemed worthless				
Increases in expected cash flows				
Balance, end of period	\$ 3,040	\$ 4,620	\$ 3,040	\$ 4,620

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The following table presents details of the other-than-temporary impairment related to securities, including equity securities carried at cost, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Other-than-temporary impairment charges (included in earnings):				
Debt securities:				
Pooled trust preferred securities	\$	\$	\$	\$ 50
Individual issuer trust preferred securities	51		102	
Total debt securities	51		102	50
Cost-method investments				
Total other-than-temporary impairment charges	\$ 51	\$	\$ 102	\$ 50
Other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities:				
Recorded as part of gross realized losses:				
Credit-related	\$ 51		\$ 102	\$ 50
Securities with intent to sell				
Recorded directly to other comprehensive income for non-credit related impairment		20	210	210
Total other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities	\$ 51	\$ 20	\$ 312	\$ 260

Realized Gains and Losses

The following table presents the gross realized gains and losses on securities, including cost-method investments. Realized losses include other-than-temporary impairment charges.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross realized gains	\$ 877	\$ 1,431	\$ 905	\$ 2,570
Gross realized losses	(483)		(557)	(89)
Realized gains, net	\$ 394	\$ 1,431	\$ 348	\$ 2,481

Table of Contents**NOTE 6: LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial and industrial	\$ 52,027	\$ 53,288
Construction and land development	43,864	47,850
Commercial real estate:		
Owner occupied	74,928	76,252
Other	91,344	89,989
Total commercial real estate	166,272	166,241
Residential real estate:		
Consumer mortgage	58,816	57,562
Investment property	41,680	38,679
Total residential real estate	100,496	96,241
Consumer installment	11,248	10,676
Total loans	373,907	374,296
Less: unearned income	(112)	(81)
Loans, net of unearned income	\$ 373,795	\$ 374,215

Loans secured by real estate were approximately 83.1% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. Due to declines in economic indicators and real estate values, loans secured by real estate may have a greater risk of non-collection than other loans. At June 30, 2011, the Company's geographic loan distribution was concentrated primarily in Lee County, Alabama and surrounding areas.

In accordance with ASC 310, a portfolio segment is defined as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for loan losses. The Company's loan portfolio is disaggregated into the following portfolio segments: commercial and industrial, construction and land development, commercial real estate, residential real estate and consumer installment. The Company's loan portfolio segments were determined based on collateral type. Where appropriate, the Company's loan portfolio segments are further disaggregated into classes. A class is generally determined based on the initial measurement attribute, risk characteristics of the loan, and an entity's method for monitoring and determining credit risk.

The following describe the risk characteristics relevant to each of the portfolio segments.

Commercial and industrial (C&I) includes loans to finance business operations, equipment purchases, or other needs for small and medium-sized commercial customers. Also included in this category are loans to finance agricultural production. Generally the primary source of repayment is the cash flow from business operations and activities of the borrower.

Construction and land development (C&D) includes both loans and credit lines for the purpose of purchasing, carrying and developing land into commercial developments or residential subdivisions. Also included are loans and lines for construction of residential, multi-family and commercial buildings. Generally the primary source of repayment is dependent upon the sale or refinancing of the real estate collateral.

Commercial real estate (CRE) includes loans disaggregated into two classes: (1) owner occupied and (2) other.

Owner occupied includes loans secured by business facilities to finance business operations, equipment and owner-occupied facilities primarily for small and medium-sized commercial customers. Generally the primary source of repayment is the cash flow from business operations and activities of the borrower, who owns the property.

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Other primarily includes loans to finance income-producing commercial and multi-family properties. Loans in this class include loans for neighborhood retail centers, hotels, medical and professional offices, single retail stores, industrial buildings, warehouses and apartments leased generally to local businesses and residents. Generally the primary source of repayment is dependent upon income generated from the real estate collateral. The underwriting of these loans takes into consideration the occupancy and rental rates as well as the financial health of the borrower.

Table of Contents

Residential real estate (RRE) includes loans disaggregated into two classes: (1) consumer mortgage and (2) investment property.

Consumer mortgage primarily includes first or second lien mortgages and home equity lines to consumers that are secured by a primary residence or second home. These loans are underwritten in accordance with the Bank's general loan policies and procedures which require, among other things, proper documentation of each borrower's financial condition, satisfactory credit history and property value.

Investment property primarily includes loans to finance income-producing 1-4 family residential properties. Generally the primary source of repayment is dependent upon income generated from leasing the property securing the loan. The underwriting of these loans takes into consideration the rental rates as well as the financial health of the borrower.

Consumer installment includes loans to individuals both secured by personal property and unsecured. Loans include personal lines of credit, automobile loans, and other retail loans. These loans are underwritten in accordance with the Bank's general loan policies and procedures which require, among other things, proper documentation of each borrower's financial condition, satisfactory credit history, and if applicable, property value.

The following is a summary of current, accruing past due and nonaccrual loans by portfolio class as of June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	Accruing Greater than 90 days	Total Accruing Loans	Non- Accrual	Total Loans
June 30, 2011:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 51,885	94		51,979	48	\$ 52,027
Construction and land development	41,020			41,020	2,844	43,864
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	73,483	255		73,738	1,190	74,928
Other	88,465	201		88,666	2,678	91,344
Total commercial real estate	161,948	456		162,404	3,868	166,272
Residential real estate:						
Consumer mortgage	57,791		11	57,802	1,014	58,816
Investment property	41,089	360		41,449	231	41,680
Total residential real estate	98,880	360	11	99,251	1,245	100,496
Consumer installment	11,087	15		11,102	146	11,248
Total	\$ 364,820	925	11	365,756	8,151	\$ 373,907
December 31, 2010:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 52,643	124		52,767	521	\$ 53,288
Construction and land development	43,547	201		43,748	4,102	47,850
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	73,419			73,419	2,833	76,252
Other	88,087			88,087	1,902	89,989
Total commercial real estate	161,506			161,506	4,735	166,241
Residential real estate:						
Consumer mortgage	53,225	2,219		55,444	2,118	57,562
Investment property	37,556	767		38,323	356	38,679
Total residential real estate	90,781	2,986		93,767	2,474	96,241
Consumer installment	10,646	29		10,675	1	10,676

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 359,123	3,340	362,463	11,833	\$ 374,296
-------	------------	-------	---------	--------	------------

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, nonaccrual loans amounted to \$8.2 and \$11.8 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011, there was \$11,000 in loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest. At December 31, 2010, there were no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company assesses the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses prior to the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolios, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan loss rates and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, is deemed to be uncollectible.

The Company deems loans impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Collection of all amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement.

An impairment allowance is recognized if the fair value of the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan. The impairment is recognized through the allowance. Loans that are impaired are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or if the loan is collateral dependent, impairment measurement is based on the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposal costs. The Company believes it follows appropriate accounting and regulatory guidance in determining impairment and accrual status of impaired loans.

The level of allowance maintained is believed by management to be adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, the Company also considers the results of its ongoing independent loan review process. The Company's loan review process assists in determining whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and evaluating the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. The Company's loan review process includes the judgment of management, the input from our independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by bank regulatory agencies as part of their examination process. The Company incorporates loan review results in the determination of whether or not it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a loan.

As part of the Company's quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into five segments: commercial and industrial loans, construction and land development loans, commercial real estate, residential real estate, and consumer installment loans. The Company analyzes each segment and estimates an allowance allocation for each loan segment.

The allocation of the allowance for loan losses begins with a process of estimating the probable losses inherent for these types of loans. The estimates for these loans are established by category and based on the Company's internal system of credit risk ratings and historical loss data. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for the Company's internal system of credit risk grades is based on its experience with similarly graded loans. For loan segments where the Company believes it does not have sufficient historical loss data, the Company may make adjustments based, in part, on loss rates of peer bank groups. Consistent with prior periods, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company adjusted its historical loss rates for the commercial real estate portfolio segment based, in part, on loss rates of peer bank groups.

The estimated loan loss allocation for all five loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for management's estimate of probable losses for several qualitative and environmental factors. The allocation for qualitative and environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been identified, as of the balance sheet date, and are based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These qualitative and environmental factors are considered for each of the five loan segments and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these factors.

Table of Contents

The Company maintains an unallocated amount for inherent factors that cannot be practically assigned to individual loan segments or categories. An example is the imprecision in the overall measurement process.

The following table presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The total allowance for loan losses is then disaggregated to show the amounts derived through individual evaluation and the amounts calculated through collective evaluation.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Six months ended June 30, 2011						
	Commercial and industrial	Construction and land development	Commercial real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer installment	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:							
Beginning balance	\$ 972	2,223	2,893	1,336	141	111	\$ 7,676
Charge-offs	(362)	(145)	(339)	(446)	(3)		(1,295)
Recoveries	23	1		135	6		165
Net (charge-offs) recoveries	(339)	(144)	(339)	(311)	3		(1,130)
Provision	134	680	168	79	46	93	1,200
Ending balance	\$ 767	2,759	2,722	1,104	190	204	\$ 7,746
Individually evaluated		282	385	94			761
Collectively evaluated	767	2,477	2,337	1,010	190	204	6,985
Total loans:	\$ 52,027	43,864	166,272	100,496	11,248		\$ 373,907
Individually evaluated	233	2,844	4,319	949			8,345
Collectively evaluated	51,794	41,020	161,953	99,547	11,248		365,562
<i>(In thousands)</i>	December 31, 2010						
	Commercial and industrial	Construction and land development	Commercial real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer installment	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:	\$ 972	2,223	2,893	1,336	141	111	\$ 7,676
Individually evaluated	277	123	765	144			1,309
Collectively evaluated	695	2,100	2,128	1,192	141	111	6,367
Total loans:	\$ 53,288	47,850	166,241	96,241	10,676		\$ 374,296
Individually evaluated	521	4,102	4,630	2,418			11,671
Collectively evaluated	52,767	43,748	161,611	93,823	10,676		362,625

Table of Contents**Credit Quality Indicators**

The credit quality of the loan portfolio is summarized no less frequently than quarterly using categories similar to the standard asset classification system used by the federal banking agencies. The following table presents credit quality indicators for the loan portfolio segments and classes. These categories are utilized to develop the associated allowance for loan losses using historical losses adjusted for current economic conditions and are defined as follows:

Pass loans which are well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor (or guarantors, if any) or by the fair value, less cost to acquire and sell, of any underlying collateral.

Special Mention loans with potential weakness that may, if not reversed or corrected, weaken the credit or inadequately protect the Company's position at some future date. These loans are not adversely classified and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant an adverse classification.

Substandard Accruing loans that exhibit a well-defined weakness which presently jeopardizes debt repayment, even though they are currently performing. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company may incur a loss in the future if these weaknesses are not corrected;

Nonaccrual includes loans where management has determined that full payment of principal and interest is in doubt.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011				Total loans
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard Accruing	Nonaccrual	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 48,984	2,004	991	48	\$ 52,027
Construction and land development	35,898	106	5,016	2,844	43,864
Commercial real estate:					
Owner occupied	67,161	4,814	1,763	1,190	74,928
Other	80,916	204	7,546	2,678	91,344
Total commercial real estate	148,077	5,018	9,309	3,868	166,272
Residential real estate:					
Consumer mortgage	51,067	2,822	3,913	1,014	58,816
Investment property	37,695	2,280	1,474	231	41,680
Total residential real estate	88,762	5,102	5,387	1,245	100,496
Consumer installment	10,893	100	109	146	11,248
Total	\$ 332,614	12,330	20,812	8,151	\$ 373,907

<i>(In thousands)</i>	December 31, 2010				Total loans
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard Accruing	Nonaccrual	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 51,632	722	413	521	\$ 53,288
Construction and land development	38,301	4,372	1,075	4,102	47,850
Commercial real estate:					
Owner occupied	67,702	716	5,001	2,833	76,252
Other	84,354	3,718	15	1,902	89,989
Total commercial real estate	152,056	4,434	5,016	4,735	166,241
Residential real estate:					
Consumer mortgage	48,620	2,700	4,124	2,118	57,562
Investment property	34,221	1,626	2,476	356	38,679

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Total residential real estate	82,841	4,326	6,600	2,474	96,241
Consumer installment	10,426	133	116	1	10,676
Total	\$ 335,256	13,987	13,220	11,833	\$ 374,296

Table of Contents**Impaired loans**

The following table presents details related to the Company's impaired loans. Loans which have been fully charged-off do not appear in the following table. The related allowance generally represents the following components which correspond to impaired loans:

Individually evaluated impaired loans equal to or greater than \$500,000 secured by real estate (nonaccrual construction and land development, commercial real estate, and residential real estate loans).

Individually evaluated impaired loans equal to or greater than \$250,000 not secured by real estate (nonaccrual commercial and industrial and consumer loans).

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the Company's impaired loans that were individually evaluated for impairment at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011			Related allowance	Six months ended June 30, 2011	
	Unpaid principal balance (1)	Charge-offs and payments applied (2)	Recorded investment (3)		Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized (4)
With no allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 233		233		\$ 395	\$ 2
Construction and land development					1,390	
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	811	(5)	806		764	6
Other	1,580	(102)	1,478		1,508	
Total commercial real estate	2,391	(107)	2,284		2,272	6
Residential real estate:						
Consumer mortgages					58	
Investment property					51	
Total residential real estate					109	
Consumer installment						
Total	\$ 2,624	(107)	2,517		\$ 4,166	\$ 8
With allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$			\$	\$	\$
Construction and land development	2,959	(115)	2,844	282	2,562	
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	848	(14)	834	159	956	
Other	1,229	(28)	1,201	226	1,229	
Total commercial real estate	2,077	(42)	2,035	385	2,185	
Residential real estate:						
Consumer mortgages	1,010	(61)	949	94	1,704	
Investment property						
Total residential real estate	1,010	(61)	949	94	1,704	
Consumer installment						

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 6,046	(218)	5,828	\$ 761	\$ 6,451	\$
Total impaired loans	\$ 8,670	(325)	8,345	\$ 761	\$ 10,617	\$ 8

- (1) Unpaid principal balance represents the contractual obligation due from the customer.
- (2) Charge-offs and payments applied represents cumulative charge-offs taken, as well as interest payments that have been applied against the outstanding principal balance.
- (3) Recorded investment represents the unpaid principal balance less charge-offs and payments applied; it is shown before any related allowance for loan losses.
- (4) Represents interest income related to accruing TDRs, which are considered impaired.

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2010			
	Unpaid principal balance (1)	Charge-offs and payments applied (2)	Recorded investment (3)	Related allowance
<i>(In thousands)</i>				
With no allowance recorded:				
Commercial and industrial	\$			
Construction and land development	2,538	(54)	2,484	
Commercial real estate:				
Owner occupied				
Other	1,592	(51)	1,541	
Total commercial real estate	1,592	(51)	1,541	
Residential real estate:				
Consumer mortgages	1,072	(27)	1,045	
Investment property	356		356	
Total residential real estate	1,428	(27)	1,401	
Consumer installment				
Total	\$ 5,558	(132)	5,426	
With allowance recorded:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 528	(7)	521	\$ 277
Construction and land development	1,618		1,618	123
Commercial real estate:				
Owner occupied	3,124	(35)	3,089	765
Other				
Total commercial real estate	3,124	(35)	3,089	765
Residential real estate:				
Consumer mortgages	1,073	(56)	1,017	144
Investment property				
Total residential real estate	1,073	(56)	1,017	144
Consumer installment				
Total	\$ 6,343	(98)	6,245	\$ 1,309
Total impaired loans	\$ 11,901	(230)	11,671	\$ 1,309

(1) Unpaid principal balance represents the contractual obligation due from the customer.

(2) Charge-offs and payments applied represents cumulative charge-offs taken, as well as interest payments that have been applied against the outstanding principal balance.

(3) Recorded investment represents the unpaid principal balance less charge-offs and payments applied; it is shown before any related allowance for loan losses.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had impaired loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) of \$7.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011 the Company had \$0.7 million in accruing TDRs. The Company had no accruing TDRs at December 31, 2010. For impaired loans classified as TDRs, the related allowance for loan losses was approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, there were no significant outstanding commitments to advance additional funds to customers whose loans had been restructured.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7: MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS, NET**

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are recognized based on the fair value of the servicing rights on the date the corresponding mortgage loans are sold. An estimate of the Company's MSRs is determined using assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rate, default rates, cost to service, escrow account earnings, contractual servicing fee income, ancillary income, and late fees. Subsequent to the date of transfer, the Company has elected to measure its MSRs under the amortization method. Under the amortization method, MSRs are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing income.

The Company has recorded MSRs related to loans sold without recourse to Fannie Mae. The Company generally sells conforming, fixed-rate, closed-end, residential mortgages to Fannie Mae. MSRs are included in other assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The change in amortized MSRs and the related valuation allowance for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented below.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 1,226	\$ 851	\$ 1,189	\$ 834
Additions, net	65	62	152	111
Amortization expense	(52)	(36)	(102)	(68)
Ending balance	\$ 1,239	\$ 877	\$ 1,239	\$ 877
Fair value of amortized MSRs:				
Beginning of period	\$ 1,491	\$ 1,030	\$ 1,335	\$ 978
End of period	1,422	1,023	1,422	1,023

The Company periodically evaluates mortgage servicing rights for impairment. Impairment is determined by stratifying MSRs into groupings based on predominant risk characteristics, such as interest rate and loan type. If, by individual stratum, the carrying amount of the MSRs exceeds fair value, a valuation reserve is established. At June 30, 2011 and 2010 there was no valuation allowance recorded for MSRs.

NOTE 8: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair value is defined by FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the inputs market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company's assets and liabilities recorded at fair value have been categorized based upon a fair value hierarchy in accordance with FASB ASC 820.

Table of Contents

Securities Securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government securities such as U.S. Treasuries and exchange-traded equity securities.

When instruments are traded in secondary markets and quoted market prices are not available, the Company generally relies on prices obtained from independent vendors. Vendors compile prices from various sources and often apply matrix pricing for similar securities when no price is observable. Securities measured with these valuation techniques are generally classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy and often involve using quoted market prices for similar securities, pricing models or discounted cash flow analyses using inputs observable in the market where available. Examples include U.S. government agency securities and residential mortgage-backed securities.

Security fair value measurements using significant inputs that are unobservable in the market due to limited activity or a less liquid market are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. Such measurements include securities valued using models or a combination of valuation techniques such as weighting of models and vendor or broker pricing, where the unobservable inputs are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Securities classified as Level 3 include pooled and individual issuer trust preferred securities.

Loans held for sale Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of the current market value of similar loans. All of the Company's loans held for sale are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

Loans, net Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310-10-35, *Receivables*, are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments to reflect (1) partial write-downs that are based on the observable market price or current appraised value of the collateral, or (2) the full charge-off of the loan carrying value. All of the Company's impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Other real estate Other real estate, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, are initially recorded at the lower of the loan's carrying amount or the fair value less costs to sell upon transfer of the loans to other real estate. Subsequently, other real estate is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Fair value is generally determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs. All of the Company's other real estate is classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Other assets The Company has certain financial assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including interest rate swap agreements. The carrying amount of interest rate swap agreements is based on information obtained from a third party bank. The Company classified these derivative assets within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments. The Company had no derivative contracts to assist in managing interest rate sensitivity at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Mortgage servicing rights, net, included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. MSR's do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. To determine the fair value of MSR's, the Company engages an independent third party. The independent third party's valuation model calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income using assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rate, default rates, cost to service, escrow account earnings, contractual servicing fee income, ancillary income, and late fees. Because the valuation of MSR's requires the use of significant unobservable inputs, all of the Company's MSR's are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Other liabilities The Company has certain financial liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including interest rate swap agreements. The carrying amount of interest rate swap agreements is based on information obtained from a third party bank. The Company classified these derivative liabilities within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments. The Company had no derivative contracts to assist in managing interest rate sensitivity at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis**

The following table presents the balances of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, by caption, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets by FASB ASC 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above).

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2011:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Agency obligations	\$ 50,759		50,759	
Agency RMBS	160,358		160,358	
State and political subdivisions	83,075		83,075	
Trust preferred securities:				
Pooled	20			20
Individual issuer	2,231			2,231
Total securities available-for-sale	296,443		294,192	2,251
Other assets ⁽¹⁾	1,090		1,090	
Total assets at fair value	\$ 297,533		295,282	2,251
Other liabilities ⁽¹⁾	1,090		1,090	
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ 1,090		1,090	
December 31, 2010:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Agency obligations	\$ 90,471		90,471	
Agency RMBS	143,144		143,144	
State and political subdivisions	76,766		76,766	
Trust preferred securities:				
Pooled	20			20
Individual issuer	2,129			2,129
Corporate debt	2,690	2,690		
Total securities available-for-sale	315,220	2,690	310,381	2,149
Other assets ⁽¹⁾	1,101		1,101	
Total assets at fair value	\$ 316,321	2,690	311,482	2,149
Other liabilities ⁽¹⁾	1,101		1,101	
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ 1,101		1,101	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the fair value of interest rate swap agreements

Level changes in fair value measurements

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with the Corporation's monthly and/or quarterly valuation process. The Company monitors the valuation techniques utilized for each category of financial assets and liabilities to ascertain when transfers between levels have been affected. The nature of the Company's financial assets and liabilities generally is such that transfers in and out of any level are expected to be rare. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no transfers between levels.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of recurring fair value measurements for trust preferred securities recognized in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets using Level 3 inputs:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 2,149	\$ 1,463
Total realized and unrealized gains and (losses):		
Included in net earnings	(102)	(50)
Included in other comprehensive income	204	187
Purchases		
Issuances		
Settlements		
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3		
Ending balance	\$ 2,251	\$ 1,600

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

The following table presents the balances of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, by caption, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and by FASB ASC 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above):

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Amount	Quoted Prices in	Other	Significant
		Active	Observable	Unobservable
		Markets	Inputs	Inputs
		for	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
		Identical Assets		
		(Level 1)		
June 30, 2011:				
Loans held for sale	\$ 2,278		2,278	
Loans, net ⁽¹⁾	7,584			7,584
Other real estate owned	9,361			9,361
Other assets ⁽²⁾	1,239			1,239
Total assets at fair value	\$ 20,462		2,278	18,184
December 31, 2010:				
Loans held for sale	\$ 4,281		4,281	
Loans, net ⁽¹⁾	10,362			10,362
Other real estate owned	8,125			8,125
Other assets ⁽²⁾	1,189			1,189
Total assets at fair value	\$ 23,957		4,281	19,676

⁽¹⁾ Loans considered impaired under FASB ASC 310-10-35 Receivables. This amount reflects the recorded investment in impaired loans, net of any related allowance for loan losses.

⁽²⁾ Represents the carrying value of mortgage servicing rights, net.

NOTE 9: FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the face of the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The assumptions used in the estimation of the fair value of the

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Company's financial instruments are explained below. Where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flow and other valuation techniques. Discounted cash flows can be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. The following fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and should not be considered representative of the liquidation value of the Company's financial instruments, but rather a good faith estimate of the fair value of financial instruments held by the Company. FASB ASC 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements.

Table of Contents

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair value of its financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

Due to their short-term nature, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet are assumed to approximate fair value for these assets. For purposes of disclosure, cash equivalents include federal funds sold and other interest bearing bank deposits.

Securities available-for-sale

Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. See Note 5 for additional disclosure related to fair value measurements for securities.

Loans held for sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments. Estimated fair value is determined on the basis of the current market value of similar loans.

Loans, net

The fair value of loans is calculated using discounted cash flows. The discount rates used to determine the present value of the loan portfolio are estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan portfolio. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by FASB ASC 820 and generally produces a higher value than an exit-price approach. The estimated maturities are based on the Company's historical experience with repayments adjusted to estimate the effect of current market conditions.

Deposits

Under FASB ASC 825, the fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest bearing demand deposits and savings and certain types of money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount). The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flows. The discount rates used are based on estimated market rates for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-term borrowings

The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short term borrowings approximate their carrying value.

Long-term debt

The fair value of the Company's fixed rate long-term debt is estimated using discounted cash flows based on estimated current market rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The carrying amount of the Company's variable rate long-term debt approximates its fair value.

Derivative Instruments

From time to time, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to meet the financing, interest rate and equity risk management needs of its customers. The carrying amounts of these derivative instruments represent their fair value. Generally, the fair value of these instruments is based on an observable market price.

Off-balance sheet Instruments

The fair values of the Company's off-balance-sheet financial instruments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements. However, commitments to extend credit do not represent a significant value to the Company until such commitments are funded. The Company has determined that the estimated fair value of commitments to extend credit approximates the carrying amount and is immaterial to the financial

statements.

Table of Contents

The carrying value and related estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented below.

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Financial Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 57,580	\$ 57,580	\$ 21,424	\$ 21,424
Securities available-for-sale	296,443	296,443	315,220	315,220
Loans held for sale	2,278	2,278	4,281	4,281
Loans, net	366,049	372,040	366,539	372,869
Derivative assets	1,090	1,090	1,101	1,101
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 627,969	\$ 635,519	\$ 607,127	\$ 615,300
Short-term borrowings	2,314	2,314	2,685	2,685
Long-term debt	85,322	92,329	93,331	99,505
Derivative liabilities	1,090	1,090	1,101	1,101

NOTE 10: DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Financial derivatives are reported at fair value in other assets or other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship. For derivatives not designated as part of a hedging relationship, the gain or loss is recognized in current earnings. From time to time, the Company may enter into interest rate swaps (swaps) to facilitate customer transactions and meet their financing needs. Upon entering into these instruments to meet customer needs, the Company enters into offsetting positions in order to minimize the risk to the Company. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments. At June 30, 2011, the Company had no derivative contracts to assist in managing its interest rate sensitivity.

Interest rate swap contracts involve the risk of dealing with counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is positive, this generally indicates that the counterparty or customer owes the Company, and results in credit risk to the Company. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is negative, the Company owes the customer or counterparty and therefore, has no credit risk.

A summary of the Company's interest rate swaps as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is presented below.

	Notional	Other	Other	Other
		Assets	Liabilities	noninterest
		Estimated	Estimated	income
		Fair Value	Fair Value	Gains
				(Losses)
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Interest rate swap agreements:				
Pay fixed / receive variable	\$ 5,892		1,090	\$ 11
Pay variable / receive fixed	5,892	1,090		(11)
Total interest rate swap agreements	\$ 11,784	1,090	1,090	\$

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is designed to provide a better understanding of various factors related to the results of operations and financial condition of the Auburn National Bancorporation, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, AuburnBank (the Bank). This discussion is intended to supplement and highlight information contained in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, as well as the information contained in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Certain of the statements made herein under the caption MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, and elsewhere, including information incorporated herein by reference to other documents, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of, and subject to, the protections of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, assumptions, estimates, intentions, and future performance, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may be beyond our control, and which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be forward-looking statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements through our use of words such as may, will, anticipate, assume, should, desired, indicate, would, believe, contemplate, expect, seek, estimate, evaluate, continue, plan, point to, project, predict, could, intend, target, potential, and expressions of the future. These forward-looking statements may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation:

the effects of future economic, business and market conditions and changes, domestic and foreign, including seasonality;

governmental monetary and fiscal policies;

legislative and regulatory changes, including changes in banking, securities and tax laws, regulations and rules and their application by our regulators, and changes in the scope and cost of FDIC insurance and other coverage;

changes in accounting policies, rules and practices;

the risks of changes in interest rates on the levels, composition and costs of deposits, loan demand, and the values and liquidity of loan collateral, securities, and interest sensitive assets and liabilities, and the risks and uncertainty of the amounts realizable and the timing of dispositions of assets by the FDIC where we may have a participation or other interest;

changes in borrower credit risks and payment behaviors;

changes in the availability and cost of credit and capital in the financial markets, and the types of instruments that may be included as capital for regulatory purposes;

changes in the prices, values and sales volumes of residential and commercial real estate;

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

the effects of competition from a wide variety of local, regional, national and other providers of financial, investment and insurance services;

the failure of assumptions and estimates underlying the establishment of reserves for possible loan losses and other estimates, including estimates of potential losses due to claims from purchases of mortgages that we originated;

the risks of mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, including, without limitation, the related time and costs of implementing such transactions, integrating operations as part of these transactions and possible failures to achieve expected gains, revenue growth and/or expense savings from such transactions;

Table of Contents

changes in technology or products that may be more difficult, costly, or less effective than anticipated;

the effects of war or other conflicts, acts of terrorism or other catastrophic events, such as the recent oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, that may affect general economic conditions;

the failure of assumptions and estimates, as well as differences in, and changes to, economic, market and credit conditions, including changes in borrowers' credit risks and payment behaviors from those used in our loan portfolio stress test;

the risks that our deferred tax assets could be reduced if estimates of future taxable income from our operations and tax planning strategies are less than currently estimated, and sales of our capital stock could trigger a reduction in the amount of net operating loss carry-forwards that we may be able to utilize for income tax purposes; and

other factors and information in this report and other filings that we make with the SEC under the Exchange Act, including our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and subsequent quarterly and current reports. See Part II, Item 1A, RISK FACTORS.

All written or oral forward-looking statements that are made by or attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary notice. We have no obligation and do not undertake to update, revise or correct any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report, or after the respective dates on which such statements otherwise are made.

Business

The Company was incorporated in 1990 under the laws of the State of Delaware and became a bank holding company after it acquired its Alabama predecessor, which was a bank holding company established in 1984. The Bank, the Company's principal subsidiary, is an Alabama state-chartered bank that is a member of the Federal Reserve System and has operated continuously since 1907. Both the Company and the Bank are headquartered in Auburn, Alabama. The Bank conducts its business primarily in East Alabama, including Lee County and surrounding areas. The Bank operates full-service branches in Auburn, Opelika, Hartsboro and Notasulga, Alabama. In addition, the Bank will be opening a new full-service branch in Valley, Alabama during the fourth quarter of 2011. In-store branches are located in the Auburn and Opelika Kroger stores, as well as Wal-Mart SuperCenter stores in Auburn, Opelika and Phenix City, Alabama. Mortgage loan offices are located in Phenix City, Valley, and Mountain Brook, Alabama.

Summary of Results of Operations

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net interest income (a)	\$ 5,497	\$ 5,126	\$ 10,746	\$ 10,394
Less: tax-equivalent adjustment	440	438	875	875
Net interest income (GAAP)	5,057	4,688	9,871	9,519
Noninterest income	1,355	2,792	2,500	5,088
Total revenue	6,412	7,480	12,371	14,607
Provision for loan losses	600	750	1,200	2,200
Noninterest expense	4,363	4,809	8,013	8,445
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8)	314	152	738
Net earnings	\$ 1,457	\$ 1,607	\$ 3,006	\$ 3,224
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.88

(a) Tax-equivalent. See Table 1 - Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

Financial Summary

The Company's net earnings were \$3.0 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$ 3.2 million for the first six months of 2010. Basic and diluted earnings per share were \$0.83 per share for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.88 per share for the first six months of 2010.

Net interest income was \$9.9 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to 9.5 million for the first six months of 2010. Average loans were \$373.8 million in the first six months of 2011, a decrease of \$5.0 million, or 1%, from the first six months of 2010. Average deposits were \$624.3 million in the first six months of 2011, an increase of \$21.8 million, or 4%, from the first six months of 2010.

Table of Contents

The provision for loan losses during the first six months of 2011 was \$1.2 million, compared to \$2.2 million in the first six months of 2010. The Company's annualized net charge-off ratio was 0.60% in the first six months of 2011, compared to 1.12% in the first six months of 2010.

Noninterest income was \$2.5 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to noninterest income of \$5.1 million in the first six months of 2010. The decrease in noninterest income was primarily due to a decrease in net securities gains of \$2.1 million.

Noninterest expense was \$8.0 million during the first six months of 2011, compared to noninterest expense of \$8.4 million in the first six months of 2010. The decrease in noninterest expense was primarily due to decreases in net expenses related to other real estate owned and prepayment penalties on long-term debt, which were partially offset by an increase in salaries and benefits expense.

Income tax expense was approximately \$0.2 million in the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.7 million in the first six months of 2010. The Company's effective tax rate in the first six months of 2011 was approximately 4.81%, compared to 18.63% in the first six months of 2010. The decrease in the Company's effective tax rate during the first six months of 2011 when compared to the first six months of 2010 was due to a decline in the level of earnings before taxes and an increase in federal tax credits related to the Company's investments in affordable housing limited partnerships, which increased in 2011.

In the first six months of 2011, the Company paid cash dividends of \$1.5 million, or \$0.40 per share. The Company's balance sheet remains strong and well capitalized under current regulatory guidelines with a total risk-based capital ratio of 16.20% and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 8.65% at June 30, 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and financial reporting policies of the Company conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and with general practices within the banking industry. In connection with the application of those principles, we have made judgments and estimates which, in the case of the determination of our allowance for loan losses, our assessment of other-than-temporary impairment, recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements, the valuation of other real estate owned, and the valuation of deferred tax assets, were critical to the determination of our financial position and results of operations. Other policies also require subjective judgment and assumptions and may accordingly impact our financial position and results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company assesses the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses prior to the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolios, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan loss rates and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a confirming event has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, is deemed to be uncollectible.

The Company deems loans impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Collection of all amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement.

An impairment allowance is recognized if the fair value of the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan. The impairment is recognized through the allowance. Loans that are impaired are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or if the loan is collateral dependent, impairment measurement is based on the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposal costs. The Company believes it follows appropriate accounting and regulatory guidance in determining impairment and accrual status of impaired loans.

Table of Contents

The level of allowance maintained is believed by management to be adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, the Company also considers the results of its ongoing independent loan review process. The Company's loan review process assists in determining whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and evaluating the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. The Company's loan review process includes the judgment of management, the input from our independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by bank regulatory agencies as part of their examination process. The Company incorporates loan review results in the determination of whether or not it is probable that we will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a loan.

As part of the Company's quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into five segments: commercial and industrial loans, construction and land development loans, commercial real estate, residential real estate, and consumer installment loans. The Company analyzes each segment and estimates an allowance allocation for each loan segment.

The allocation of the allowance for loan losses begins with a process of estimating the probable losses inherent for these types of loans. The estimates for these loans are established by category and based on the Company's internal system of credit risk ratings and historical loss data. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for the Company's internal system of credit risk grades is based on its experience with similarly graded loans. For loan segments where the Company believes it does not have sufficient historical loss data, the Company may make adjustments based, in part, on loss rates of peer bank groups. Consistent with prior periods, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company adjusted its historical loss rates for the commercial real estate portfolio segment based, in part, on loss rates of peer bank groups.

The estimated loan loss allocation for all five loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for management's estimate of probable losses for several qualitative and environmental factors. The allocation for qualitative and environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been identified, as of the balance sheet date, and are based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These qualitative and environmental factors are considered for each of the five loan segments and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these factors.

The Company maintains an unallocated amount for inherent factors that cannot be practically assigned to individual loan segments or categories. An example is the imprecision in the overall measurement process.

Assessment for Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Securities

On a quarterly basis, management makes an assessment to determine whether there have been events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is other-than-temporarily impaired. For equity securities with an unrealized loss, the Company considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment; the intent and ability of the Company to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value; and recent events specific to the issuer or industry. Equity securities for which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary are written down to fair value with the write-down recorded as a realized loss in securities gains (losses).

For debt securities with an unrealized loss, an other-than-temporary impairment write-down is triggered when (1) the Company has the intent to sell a debt security, (2) it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the debt security. If the Company has the intent to sell a debt security or if it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security before recovery, the other-than-temporary write-down is equal to the entire difference between the debt security's amortized cost and its fair value. If the Company does not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment write-down is separated into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings, as a realized loss in securities gains (losses), and is the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows. The remaining difference between the security's fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

Table of Contents

The Company assesses impairment for pooled trust preferred securities using a cash flow model. The key assumptions include default probabilities of the underlying collateral and recoveries on collateral defaults. These assumptions may have a significant effect on the determination of the present value of expected future cash flows and the resulting amount of other-than-temporary impairment. As such, the use of different models and assumptions, as well as changes in market conditions, could result in materially different net earnings and retained earnings results.

Fair Value Determination

GAAP requires management to value and disclose certain of the Company's assets and liabilities at fair value, including investments classified as available-for-sale and derivatives. FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. For more information regarding fair value measurements and disclosures, please refer to Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair values are based on active market prices of identical assets or liabilities when available. Comparable assets or liabilities or a composite of comparable assets in active markets are used when identical assets or liabilities do not have readily available active market pricing. However, some of the Company's assets or liabilities lack an available or comparable trading market characterized by frequent transactions between willing buyers and sellers. In these cases, fair value is estimated using pricing models that use discounted cash flows and other pricing techniques. Pricing models and their underlying assumptions are based upon management's best estimates for appropriate discount rates, default rates, prepayments, market volatility and other factors, taking into account current observable market data and experience.

These assumptions may have a significant effect on the reported fair values of assets and liabilities and the related income and expense. As such, the use of different models and assumptions, as well as changes in market conditions, could result in materially different net earnings and retained earnings results.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned (OREO), consists of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans and is reported at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated costs to sell at the date acquired with any loss recognized as a charge-off through the allowance for loan losses. Additional OREO losses for subsequent valuation adjustments are determined on a specific property basis and are included as a component of other noninterest expense along with holding costs. Any gains or losses on disposal realized at the time of disposal are also reflected in noninterest expense. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of OREO, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility, as experienced during 2011 and 2010. As a result, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other OREO.

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation

A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the historical level of taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more-likely-than-not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences at June 30, 2011. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during future periods are reduced.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Average Balance Sheet and Interest Rates**

	Six months ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Average Balance	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Yield/ Rate
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>				
Loans and loans held for sale	\$ 375,550	5.72%	\$ 381,694	5.77%
Securities - taxable	232,353	3.00%	248,210	3.64%
Securities - tax-exempt	80,486	6.45%	80,603	6.44%
Total securities	312,839	3.88%	328,813	4.33%
Federal funds sold	24,174	0.18%	16,195	0.21%
Interest bearing bank deposits	1,834	0.11%	829	0.00%
Total interest-earning assets	714,397	4.72%	727,531	4.99%
Deposits:				
NOW	92,951	0.67%	93,174	0.74%
Savings and money market	139,721	0.73%	109,012	1.15%
Certificates of deposits less than \$100,000	114,998	2.03%	113,595	2.56%
Certificates of deposits and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more	186,722	2.47%	202,835	2.83%
Total interest-bearing deposits	534,392	1.61%	518,616	2.04%
Short-term borrowings	2,409	0.50%	4,230	0.67%
Long-term debt	88,508	3.86%	116,604	4.03%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	625,309	1.92%	639,450	2.40%
Net interest income and margin	\$ 10,746	3.03%	\$ 10,394	2.88%

Net Interest Income and Margin

Net interest income (tax-equivalent) was \$10.7 million in the first six months of 2011, compared to \$10.4 million for the first six months of 2010 as net interest margin improvement offset a decline in average interest-earnings assets of 2%. Net interest margin (tax-equivalent) was 3.03% for the first six months of 2011, compared to 2.88% for the first six months of 2010.

The tax-equivalent yield on total interest-earning assets decreased 27 basis points in the first six months of 2011 from the first six months of 2010 to 4.72%. This decrease was primarily driven by a 45 basis points decrease in the tax-equivalent yield on total securities to 3.88%.

The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities decreased 48 basis points in the first six months of 2011 from the first six months of 2010 to 1.92%. This decrease was primarily driven by a 43 basis point decrease in the cost of total interest-bearing deposits to 1.61% and a 17 basis point decrease in the cost of long-term debt to 3.86%.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses represents a charge to earnings necessary to provide an allowance for loan losses that, management believes, based on its processes and estimates should be adequate to provide coverage for the probable losses on outstanding loans. The provisions for loan losses amounted to \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was primarily due to a decrease in net charge-offs during the first six months of 2011 when compared to the first six months of 2010.

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Based upon its assessment of the loan portfolio, management adjusts the allowance for loan losses to an amount it believes should be appropriate to adequately cover probable losses in the loan portfolio. The Company's allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was 2.07% at June 30, 2011, compared to 2.05% at December 31, 2010. Based upon our evaluation of the loan portfolio, management believes the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to absorb our estimate of probable losses existing in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2011. While the policies and procedures used to estimate the allowance for loan losses, as well as the resultant provision for loan losses charged to operations, are

Table of Contents

considered adequate by management and are reviewed from time to time by our regulators, they are necessarily approximate and imprecise. Factors beyond our control (such as conditions in the local and national economy, local real estate market, or industry conditions) may have a material adverse effect on our asset quality and the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses resulting in significant increases in the provision for loan losses.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 290	\$ 334	\$ 581	\$ 648
Mortgage lending income	439	625	879	1,107
Bank-owned life insurance	107	106	214	232
Securities gains, net	394	1,431	348	2,481
Affordable housing investment losses	(230)	(57)	(230)	(114)
Other	355	353	708	734
Total noninterest income	\$ 1,355	\$ 2,792	\$ 2,500	\$ 5,088

The Company's income from mortgage lending is primarily attributable to the (1) origination and sale of new mortgage loans and (2) servicing mortgage loans. The Company's normal practice is to originate mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market and to either release or retain the associated mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) when the loan is sold. MSR's are recognized based on the fair value of the servicing right on the date the corresponding mortgage loan is sold. Subsequent to the date of transfer, the Company has elected to measure its MSR's under the amortization method. Servicing fee income is reported net of any related amortization expense.

The following table presents a breakdown of the Company's mortgage lending income.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Origination income	\$ 339	\$ 535	\$ 683	\$ 927
Servicing fees, net	100	90	196	180
Total noninterest expense	\$ 439	\$ 625	\$ 879	\$ 1,107

Mortgage lending income was \$0.9 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$1.1 million for the first six months of 2010. The decline in mortgage lending income was primarily due to a decrease in the volume of loans originated and sold as the level of mortgage activity slowed during the first six months of 2011, compared to the first six months of 2010.

Mortgage lending income decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to the same factors described above.

The Company recorded net securities gains of \$0.3 million in the first six months of 2011, compared to net securities gains of \$2.5 million in the first six months of 2010. The decrease was primarily due to \$0.5 million in net gains realized on the sale of securities during the second quarter of 2011, compared to \$2.5 million during the second quarter of 2010.

Net securities gains decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to a decrease in net gains realized on the sale of securities.

Losses related to affordable housing partnership investments were \$0.2 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.1 million for the first six months of 2010. The increase in losses on affordable housing partnership investments was primarily due to the Company's increased total investment in these projects. While the losses incurred by the partnerships are recognized in pre-tax earnings, these investments are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal tax credits. As a result, these investments have significantly reduced the Company's income tax expense during 2011 when compared to 2010.

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Losses related to affordable housing partnership investments increased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to the same factors described above.

Table of Contents**Noninterest Expense**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Quarter ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Salaries and benefits	\$ 2,068	\$ 1,939	\$ 4,054	\$ 3,844
Net occupancy and equipment	328	364	674	748
Professional fees	189	191	360	367
FDIC and other regulatory assessments	199	286	481	562
Other real estate owned, net	718	911	701	972
Prepayment penalty on long-term debt		298		298
Other	861	820	1,743	1,654
Total noninterest expense	\$ 4,363	\$ 4,809	\$ 8,013	\$ 8,445

Salaries and benefits expense was \$4.1 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$3.8 million for the first six months of 2010. The increase was primarily due to normal increases in salaries and benefits expense.

Salaries and benefits expense increased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to the same factors described above.

FDIC and other regulatory assessments expense was \$0.5 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.6 million for the first six months of 2010. The decrease was primarily due to the FDIC redefining the deposit insurance assessment base effective April 1, 2011. Most FDIC insured institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets experienced a reduction in their second quarter 2011 assessment.

FDIC and other regulatory assessments expense decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to the same factors described above.

Other real estate owned (OREO) expense, net was \$0.7 million for the first six months of 2011, compared to \$1.0 million in the first six months of 2010. Approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million of other real estate owned expense during the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to holding losses on certain OREO properties. These properties could also be subject to future valuation adjustments as a result of updated appraisal information and further deterioration in real estate values, thus causing additional fluctuations in other real estate owned expense, net. Additionally, the Company will continue to incur expenses associated with maintenance costs and property taxes associated with these assets. During the first six months of 2011, rental income on OREO properties exceeded these costs.

Other real estate owned expense, net decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 due to the same factors described above.

Noninterest expense for the second quarter and first six months of 2010 included a \$0.3 million prepayment penalty on long-term debt. The Company prepaid \$5.0 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase included in long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets during the second quarter of 2010 as part of its efforts to reduce wholesale funding sources.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was approximately \$0.2 million in the first six months of 2011, compared to \$0.7 million in the first six months of 2010. The Company's annualized effective tax rate for the first six months of 2011 was 4.81%, compared to an annualized effective income tax rate of 18.63% for the first six months of 2010. The decrease in the Company's effective tax rate during the first six months of 2011 when compared to the first six months of 2010 was due to a decrease in the level of earnings before taxes and an increase in federal tax credits related to the Company's investments in affordable housing limited partnerships.

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS****Securities**

Securities available-for-sale were \$296.4 million and \$315.2 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Unrealized net gains on securities available-for-sale were \$1.6 million at June 30, 2011 compared to unrealized net losses of \$3.5 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale were due to the narrowing of credits spreads on municipals bonds and changes made to our portfolio of agency obligation and mortgage backed securities to improve the impact on interest rate risk on the overall securities portfolio.

The average tax-equivalent yields earned on total securities were 3.88% in the first six months of 2011 and 4.33% in the first six months of 2010.

Loans

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Commercial and industrial	\$ 52,027	51,323	53,288	58,400	56,168
Construction and land development	43,864	48,814	47,850	46,928	48,758
Commercial real estate	166,272	161,882	166,241	161,676	159,367
Residential real estate	100,496	95,997	96,241	96,888	100,451
Consumer installment	11,248	10,968	10,676	11,312	12,037
Total loans	373,907	368,984	374,296	375,204	376,781
Less: unearned income	(112)	(75)	(81)	(106)	(157)
Loans, net of unearned income	\$ 373,795	368,909	374,215	375,098	376,624

Total loans, net of unearned income, were \$373.8 million as of June 30, 2011, a decrease of \$0.4 million from \$374.2 million at December 31, 2010. Four loan categories represented the majority of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2011. Commercial real estate loans represented 44%, residential real estate loans represented 27%, construction and land development loans represented 12% and commercial and industrial loans represented 14% of the Company's total loans at June 30, 2011. Approximately 45% of the Company's commercial real estate loans were classified as owner-occupied at June 30, 2011.

Within the residential real estate portfolio segment, the Company had junior lien mortgages of approximately \$24.6 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$24.3 million at December 31, 2010. For residential real estate mortgage loans with a consumer purpose, approximately \$1.9 million and \$4.1 million required interest only payments at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company's residential real estate mortgage portfolio does not include any option ARM loans, subprime loans, or any material amount of other high risk consumer mortgage products.

Purchased loan participations included in the Company's loan portfolio were approximately \$3.9 million and \$7.2 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. All purchased loan participations are underwritten by the Company independent of the selling bank. In addition, all loans, including purchased participations, are evaluated for collectability during the course of the Company's normal loan review procedures. If the Company deems a participation loan impaired, it applies the same accounting policies and procedures described under **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES** Allowance for Loan Losses.

The average yield earned on loans and loans held for sale was 5.72% in the first six months of 2011 and 5.77% in the first six months of 2010.

The specific economic and credit risks associated with our loan portfolio include, but are not limited to, the impact of recessionary economic conditions on our borrowers' cash flows, real estate market sales volumes, valuations, availability and cost of financing properties, real estate industry concentrations, deterioration in certain credits, interest rate fluctuations, reduced collateral values or non-existent collateral, title defects, inaccurate appraisals, financial deterioration of borrowers, fraud, and any violation of laws and regulations.

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

The Company attempts to reduce these economic and credit risks by adhering to loan to value (LTV) guidelines for collateralized loans, investigating the creditworthiness of borrowers and monitoring borrowers financial position. Also, we establish and periodically review our lending policies and procedures. Banking regulations limit our credit exposure by

Table of Contents

prohibiting unsecured loan relationships that exceed 10% of the capital accounts of the Bank; or 20% of the capital accounts if loans in excess of 10% are fully secured, the upper legal lending limit is approximately \$13.8 million. Furthermore, we have an internal limit for aggregate credit exposure (loans outstanding plus unfunded commitments) to a single borrower of \$12.4 million. Our loan policy requires that the Loan Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors approve any loan relationships that exceed this internal limit. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had no loan relationships exceeding these limits.

We periodically analyze our commercial loan portfolio to determine if a concentration of credit risk exists in any one or more industries. We use broadly accepted industry classification systems in order to classify borrowers into various industry classifications. Loan concentrations to borrowers in the following industries exceeded 25% of the Bank's total risk-based capital at June 30, 2011 (and related balances at December 31, 2010).

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Lessors of 1 to 4 family residential properties	\$ 41,680	\$ 38,679
Office buildings	20,399	24,185

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company maintains the allowance for loan losses at a level that management believes appropriate to adequately cover the Company's estimate of probable losses in the loan portfolio. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses was \$7.7 million, which management deemed to be adequate at each of the respective dates. The judgments and estimates associated with the determination of the allowance for loan losses are described under **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**.

A summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses and certain asset quality ratios for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters is presented below.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 7,855	7,676	7,181	6,580	6,546
Charge-offs:					
Commercial and industrial	(306)	(56)	(66)	(77)	(326)
Construction and land development	(112)	(33)	(20)	(5)	(169)
Commercial real estate		(339)			
Residential real estate	(389)	(57)	(153)	(91)	(262)
Consumer installment	(2)	(1)	(9)	(14)	(83)
Total charge-offs	(809)	(486)	(248)	(187)	(840)
Recoveries	100	65	93	58	124
Net charge-offs	(709)	(421)	(155)	(129)	(716)
Provision for loan losses	600	600	650	730	750
Ending balance	\$ 7,746	7,855	7,676	7,181	6,580
as a % of loans	2.07%	2.13	2.05	1.91	1.75
as a % of nonperforming loans	95%	70	65	82	72
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	0.76%	0.45	0.16	0.14	0.76

As described under **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**, management assesses the adequacy of the allowance prior to the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolios, past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan loss rates and

other pertinent factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires various material estimates and judgments including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. The ratio of our allowance for loan losses to total loans outstanding was 2.07% at June 30, 2011, compared to 2.05% at December 31, 2010. In the future, the allowance to total loans outstanding ratio will increase or decrease to the extent the factors that influence our quarterly allowance assessment in their entirety either improve or weaken. In addition, our

Table of Contents

regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses, and may require the Company to make additional provisions to the allowance for losses based on their judgement about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

At June 30, 2011, the ratio of our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans was 95%, compared to 65% at December 31, 2010. The increase in this ratio is primarily attributable to a decrease in nonperforming loans.

At June 30, 2011, the Company's recorded investment in loans considered impaired was \$8.3 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan losses) of \$0.8 million. At December 31, 2010, the Company's recorded investment in loans considered impaired was \$11.7 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan losses) of \$1.3 million.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had impaired loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) of \$7.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011 the Company had \$0.7 million in accruing TDRs. The Company had no accruing TDRs at December 31, 2010. For impaired loans classified as TDRs, the related allowance for loan losses was approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, there were no significant outstanding commitments to advance additional funds to customers whose loans had been restructured.

Nonperforming Assets

At June 30, 2011, the Company had \$17.5 million in nonperforming assets compared to \$20.0 million at December 31, 2010. Included in nonperforming assets were nonperforming loans of \$8.2 million and \$11.8 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The majority of the balance in nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011 related to deterioration in the construction and land development loan portfolio.

The table below provides information concerning total nonperforming assets and certain asset quality ratios for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters.

	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>					
Nonperforming assets:					
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 8,151	11,166	11,833	8,776	9,151
Other nonperforming assets (primarily other real estate owned)	9,361	8,450	8,125	8,163	6,341
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 17,512	19,616	19,958	16,939	15,492
as a % of loans and other real estate owned	4.57%	5.20	5.22	4.42	4.05
as a % of total assets	2.25%	2.51	2.61	2.18	1.98
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans	2.18%	3.03	3.16	2.34	2.43
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	\$ 11	158		62	243

The Lee County Association of Realtors (LCAR) of Alabama reported that the average median selling price for residential homes during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$153,217 a decrease of 7.7% from the same quarter a year earlier. In addition to a decrease in selling prices, the supply of housing and the average number of days on the market remain elevated. LCAR reported that residential inventory at June 30, 2011 was 1,363 homes, a decrease of 10.3% from a year earlier and the average number of days on the market for residential homes sold during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was 184 days. Continued weakness in the real estate market and the overall economy could adversely affect the Company's volume of nonperforming assets. For additional discussion of risk factors, see Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors on page 17 in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The table below provides information concerning the composition of nonaccrual loans for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Nonaccrual loans:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 48	508	521	535	
Construction and land development	2,844	4,043	4,102	2,689	4,686
Commercial real estate	3,868	3,954	4,735	2,688	2,326
Residential real estate	1,245	2,510	2,474	2,862	2,137
Consumer installment	146	151	1	2	2
Total nonaccrual loans	\$ 8,151	11,166	11,833	8,776	9,151

The Company discontinues the accrual of interest income when (1) there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower and full repayment of principal and interest is not expected or (2) the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. At June 30, 2011, the Company had \$8.2 million in loans on nonaccrual, compared to \$11.8 million at December 31, 2010.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$11,000 in loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest. At December 31, 2010, there were no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest.

The table below provides information concerning the composition of other real estate owned for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Other real estate owned:					
Residential condo developments	\$ 5,015	5,494	5,494	5,449	3,810
New home construction	346	346	369	243	253
Developed lots	209	282	136	136	136
Commercial lots	1,528				
Undeveloped land	1,401	1,746	1,746	1,746	1,746
Other	862	582	380	589	396
Total other real estate owned	\$ 9,361	8,450	8,125	8,163	6,341

The Company held \$9.4 million in OREO at June 30, 2011, which we had acquired from borrowers, compared to \$8.1 million at December 31, 2010. OREO primarily relates to four properties with a total carrying value of \$7.9 million at June 30, 2011. One of the properties, with a book value of \$3.2 million at June 30, 2011, is a completed condominium project on the Florida Gulf Coast. The Company had previously purchased a participation interest in the first lien mortgage loan on the condominium project on the Florida Gulf Coast from Silverton Bank. Subsequently, this loan defaulted and was foreclosed upon and the Company's interest in the property is currently included in OREO. Following Silverton Bank's failure on May 1, 2009, the FDIC has held this property as the receiver of Silverton Bank. CB Richard Ellis, a national real estate firm, has been managing this property and selling condominiums in the project as an FDIC contractor. The Company depends upon the FDIC and CB Richard Ellis for information regarding this property and its performance. Based upon the latest information available to us, including appraisals, current unit sales, and comparable sales, we believe that the fair value of the Company's interest in these properties, less selling costs, is greater than or equal to the Company's recorded investment at June 30, 2011. During the first six months of 2011, the Company learned that the FDIC has approved a bulk sale of the condominiums and club amenities, which may speed the disposition of this property in which we hold an interest.

Table of Contents**Potential Problem Loans**

Potential problem loans represent those loans with a well-defined weakness and where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. Potential problem loans, which are not included in nonperforming assets, amounted to \$20.8 million, or 5.6% of total loans outstanding, net of unearned income at June 30, 2011, compared to \$13.2 million, or 3.5% of total loans outstanding, net of unearned income at December 31, 2010.

The table below provides information concerning the composition of performing potential problem loans for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Potential problem loans:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 991	1,051	413	658	1,295
Construction and land development	5,016	5,027	1,075	1,235	1,667
Commercial real estate	9,309	5,553	5,016	7,032	4,695
Residential real estate	5,387	5,657	6,600	5,425	6,059
Consumer installment	109	112	116	67	80
Total potential problem loans	\$ 20,812	17,400	13,220	14,417	13,796

At June 30, 2011, approximately \$0.6 million or 2.8% of total potential problem loans were past due at least 30 days but less than 90 days.

The following table is a summary of the Company's performing loans that were past due at least 30 days but less than 90 days for the second quarter of 2011 and the previous four quarters.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Performing loans past due 30 to 89 days:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 94	136	124	179	463
Construction and land development		493	201		246
Commercial real estate	456	419		361	1,026
Residential real estate	360	2,001	2,986	497	445
Consumer installment	15	60	29	102	87
Total	\$ 925	3,109	3,340	1,139	2,267

Deposits

Total deposits were \$628.0 million at June 30, 2011, an increase of \$20.9 million, or 3.4%, compared to \$607.1 million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to a \$9.2 million increase in noninterest bearing demand deposits and an \$11.6 million increase in interest bearing deposits. The increase in interest bearing demand deposits was primarily due to increases in public depositor balances.

The average rate paid on total interest-bearing deposits was 1.61% in the first six months of 2011 and 2.04% in the first six months of 2010.

Noninterest bearing deposits were 15% and 14% of total deposits as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Other Borrowings

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Other borrowings consist of short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings. The Bank had available federal funds lines totaling \$34.0 million with none outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$2.3 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$2.7 million at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The average rate paid on short-term borrowings was 0.50% in the first six months of 2011 and 0.67% in the first six months of 2010.

Long-term debt includes FHLB advances with an original maturity greater than one year, securities sold under agreements to repurchase with an original maturity greater than one year, and subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities. The Bank had \$15.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, in securities sold under agreements to repurchase with an original maturity greater than one year. The Bank had \$63.1 million and \$71.1 million in long-term FHLB advances at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the Company had \$7.2 million in junior subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities outstanding at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The average rate paid on long-term debt was 3.86% in the first six months of 2011 and 4.03% in the first six months of 2010.

CAPITAL ADEQUACY

The Company's consolidated stockholders' equity balances were \$61.1 million and \$56.4 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The increase from December 31, 2010 was primarily driven by net earnings of \$3.0 million and other comprehensive income due to the change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale of \$3.2 million, which was reduced by cash dividends paid of approximately \$1.5 million.

The Company's tier 1 leverage ratio was 8.65%, tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 14.95% and total risk-based capital ratio was 16.20% at June 30, 2011. These ratios exceed the minimum regulatory capital percentages of 5.0% for tier 1 leverage ratio, 6.0% for tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and 10.0% for total risk-based capital ratio to be considered well-capitalized. Based on current regulatory standards, the Company is classified as well-capitalized.

MARKET AND LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

Management's objective is to manage assets and liabilities to provide a satisfactory, consistent level of profitability within the framework of established liquidity, loan, investment, borrowing, and capital policies. The Bank's Asset Liability Management Committee (ALCO) is charged with the responsibility of monitoring these policies, which are designed to ensure acceptable composition of asset/liability mix. Two critical areas of focus for ALCO are interest rate sensitivity and liquidity risk management.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Management

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to market risk arising from fluctuations in interest rates. ALCO measures and evaluates interest rate risk so that the Bank can meet customer demands for various types of loans and deposits. Measurements used to help manage interest rate sensitivity include an earnings simulation model and an economic value of equity model.

Management believes that interest rate risk is best estimated by our earnings simulation modeling. Forecasted levels of earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, and off-balance sheet financial instruments are combined with ALCO forecasts of market interest rates for the next 12 months and are combined with other factors in order to produce various earnings simulations and estimates. To limit interest rate risk, we have guidelines for earnings at risk which seek to limit the variance of net interest income to less than a 10 percent decline for a 200 basis point change up or down in rates from management's flat interest rate forecast over the next twelve months. The results of our current simulation model would indicate that we are in compliance with our current guidelines at June 30, 2011.

Economic value of equity measures the extent that estimated economic values of our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items will change as a result of interest rate changes. Economic values are estimated by discounting expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, which establishes a base case economic value of equity. To help limit interest rate risk, we have a guideline stating that for a 200 basis point instantaneous change in interest rates up or down, the economic value of equity should not decrease by more than 25 percent. The results of our current economic value of equity model would indicate that we are in compliance with our guidelines at June 30, 2011.

Each of the above analyses may not, on its own, be an accurate indicator of how our net interest income will be affected by changes in interest rates. Income associated with interest-earning assets and costs associated with interest-bearing liabilities may not be affected uniformly by changes in interest rates. In addition, the magnitude and duration of changes in interest rates may have a significant impact on net interest income. For example, although certain assets and

Table of Contents

liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates, and other economic and market factors. Interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities fluctuate in advance of changes in general market rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in general market rates. In addition, certain assets, such as adjustable rate mortgage loans, have features (generally referred to as interest rate caps and floors) which limit changes in interest rates. Prepayment and early withdrawal levels also could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the maturity of certain instruments. The ability of many borrowers to service their debts also may decrease during periods of rising interest rates or economic stress, which may differ across industries and economic sectors. ALCO reviews each of the above interest rate sensitivity analyses along with several different interest rate scenarios in seeking satisfactory, consistent levels of profitability within the framework of the Company's established liquidity, loan, investment, borrowing, and capital policies.

The Company may also use derivative financial instruments to improve the balance between interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities and as one tool to manage interest rate sensitivity while continuing to meet the credit and deposit needs of our customers. From time to time, the Company may enter into interest rate swaps (swaps) to facilitate customer transactions and meet their financing needs. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had no derivative contracts to assist in managing interest rate sensitivity.

Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity is the Company's ability to convert assets into cash equivalents in order to meet daily cash flow requirements, primarily for deposit withdrawals, loan demand and maturing obligations. Without proper management of its liquidity, the Company could experience higher costs of obtaining funds due to insufficient liquidity, while excessive liquidity can lead to a decline in earnings due to the cost of foregoing alternative higher-yielding investment opportunities.

Liquidity is managed at two levels. The first is the liquidity of the Company. The second is the liquidity of the Bank. The management of liquidity at both levels is essential, because the Company and the Bank are separate legal entities with different funding needs and sources, and each are subject to regulatory guidelines and requirements.

The primary source of funding and the primary source of liquidity for the Company include dividends received from the Bank, and secondarily proceeds from the possible issuance of common stock or other securities. Primary uses of funds for the Company include dividends paid to shareholders, stock repurchases, and interest payments on junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company in connection with trust preferred securities. The junior subordinated debentures are presented as long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the related trust preferred securities are includible in Tier 1 Capital for regulatory capital purposes.

Primary sources of funding for the Bank include customer deposits, other borrowings, repayment and maturity of securities, sales of securities, and sale and repayment of loans. The Bank has access to federal funds lines from various banks and borrowings from the Federal Reserve discount window. In addition to these sources, the Bank has participated in the FHLB's advance program to obtain funding for its growth. Advances include both fixed and variable terms and are taken out with varying maturities. At June 30, 2011, the Bank had an available line of credit with the FHLB totaling \$233.0 million with \$63.1 million outstanding. At June 30, 2011, the Bank also had \$34.0 million of available federal funds lines with none outstanding. Primary uses of funds include repayment of maturing obligations and growing the loan portfolio.

Management believes that the Company and the Bank have adequate sources of liquidity to meet all known contractual obligations and unfunded commitments, including loan commitments and reasonable borrower, depositor, and creditor requirements over the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies

At June 30, 2011, the Bank had outstanding standby letters of credit of \$8.4 million and unfunded loan commitments outstanding of \$41.3 million. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Bank could liquidate federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale, or draw on its available credit facilities.

The Company also has commitments to fund certain affordable housing investments. The Company invests in various limited partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects in its primary markets and surrounding areas as a means of supporting local communities. These investments are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal tax credits. The Company typically provides financing during the construction and development of the properties; however, permanent financing is generally obtained from independent parties upon completion of a project. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had investments of \$5.6 million, related to these projects, which are included in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At June 30, 2011, the Company had \$0.5 million of unfunded commitments related to

Table of Contents

affordable housing investments included in other liabilities. Additionally, the Company had outstanding loan commitments with certain of the partnerships totaling \$4.1 million at June 30, 2011. The funded portion of these loans was approximately \$3.1 million at June 30, 2011. The funded portions of these loans are included in loans, net of unearned income on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company also makes various customary representations and warranties to the purchasers, including government agencies and government sponsored utilities such as Fannie Mae, of mortgage loans that the Company originates and sells in the secondary market. These representations and warranties may include, among other things:

ownership of the loan;

validity of the lien securing the loan;

the absence of delinquent taxes or liens against the property;

the process used to select the loan for inclusion in a transaction;

the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria established by the buyer, including underwriting standards;

delivery of all required documents to the trust; and

the loan's compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws.

A breach of these presentations and warranties with respect to a particular mortgage loan or mortgage loans could result in the Company being required to repurchase the loan. During the first six months of 2011, no loans have been repurchased by the Company. At June 30, 2011, no reserves have been deemed necessary for potential repurchase claims.

Management believes that the Company's foreclosure process related to mortgage loans continues to operate effectively, and reflects the Company's interest in these loans and their status appropriately. Foreclosures are approved by Senior Vice Presidents and Division Managers in concert with collection personnel. All documents and activities related to the foreclosure process are completed by the Company's attorneys.

Effects of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related consolidated financial data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and practices within the banking industry which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation.

CURRENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

The following accounting pronouncements have been issued by the FASB, but are not yet effective:

ASU 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring.

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

ASU 2011-03, *Transfers and Servicing: Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*,

ASU 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure*

ASU 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income: Presentation of Comprehensive Income*,
Information about these pronouncements are described in more detail below.

ASU 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*, provides guidance clarifying under what circumstances a creditor should classify a restructured loan as a TDR. A loan is a TDR if both of the following exist: (1) a creditor has granted a concession to the debtor, and (2) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The ASU clarifies that a creditor should consider all aspects of a restructuring when evaluating whether it has

Table of Contents

granted a concession, which include determining whether a debtor can obtain funds from another source at market rates and assessing the value of additional collateral and guarantees obtained at the time of restructuring. The ASU also provides factors a creditor should consider when determining if a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties, such as probability of payment default and bankruptcy declarations. This new guidance is effective for the Company in the third quarter of 2011 with retrospective application to January 1, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

ASU 2011-03, *Transfers and Servicing: Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*, removes from the assessment of effective control the criterion relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed-upon terms, even if the transferee were to default. The requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement assets is also eliminated. The amendments in this ASU are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 31, 2011, with prospective application to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company will adopt these amendments when required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have an impact on its financial position or results of operations.

ASU 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*, outlines the collaborative effort of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to consistently define fair value and to come up with a set of consistent disclosures for fair value. The amendments in this ASU explain how to measure fair value. They do not require additional fair value measurements and are not intended to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 31, 2011. Early application is not permitted. The Company will adopt these amendments when required, and does not believe the application will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

ASU 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income: Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, amends existing standards allowing either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. An entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income in both options. Any changes pursuant to the options allowed in the amendments should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating its timing of adoption, but will adopt it retrospectively by the effective date.

Table of Contents**Table 1 - Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

In addition to results presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), this quarterly report on Form 10-Q includes certain designated net interest income amounts presented on a tax-equivalent basis, a non-GAAP financial measure, including the presentation of total revenue and the calculation of the efficiency ratio.

The Company believes the presentation of net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis provides comparability of net interest income from both taxable and tax-exempt sources and facilitates comparability within the industry. Although the Company believes these non-GAAP financial measures enhance investors' understanding of its business and performance, these non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered an alternative to GAAP. The reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures from GAAP to non-GAAP is presented below.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 5,057	4,814	4,642	4,738	4,688
Tax-equivalent adjustment	440	435	441	449	438
Net interest income (Tax-equivalent)	\$ 5,497	5,249	5,083	5,187	5,126

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Net interest income (GAAP)	\$ 9,871	9,519
Tax-equivalent adjustment	875	875
Net interest income (Tax-equivalent)	\$ 10,746	10,394

Table of Contents**Table 2 - Selected Quarterly Financial Data**

	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>					
Results of Operations					
Net interest income (a)	\$ 5,497	5,249	5,083	5,187	5,126
Less: tax-equivalent adjustment	440	435	441	449	438
Net interest income (GAAP)	5,057	4,814	4,642	4,738	4,688
Noninterest income (loss)	1,355	1,145	321	1,857	2,792
Total revenue	6,412	5,959	4,963	6,595	7,480
Provision for loan losses	600	600	650	730	750
Noninterest expense	4,363	3,650	3,630	4,366	4,809
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8)	160	(195)	255	314
Net earnings	\$ 1,457	1,549	878	1,244	1,607
Per share data:					
Basic and diluted net earnings	\$ 0.40	0.43	0.24	0.34	0.44
Cash dividends declared	0.20	0.20	0.195	0.195	0.195
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic and diluted	3,642,738	3,642,728	3,642,718	3,642,701	3,642,877
Shares outstanding, at period end	3,642,738	3,642,738	3,642,718	3,642,718	3,642,693
Book value	\$ 16.77	15.87	15.47	16.73	16.21
Common stock price					
High	\$ 19.91	20.37	22.00	22.00	21.00
Low	19.40	19.51	19.50	18.08	16.86
Period end:	19.75	19.56	20.06	20.35	18.80
To earnings ratio	14.01 x	13.49	13.74	15.78	15.41
To book value	118 %	123	130	122	116
Performance ratios:					
Return on average equity	9.90 %	10.84	5.68	8.31	10.96
Return on average assets	0.75 %	0.80	0.45	0.64	0.82
Dividend payout ratio	50.00 %	46.51	81.25	57.35	44.32
Asset Quality:					
Allowance for loan losses as a % of:					
Loans	2.07 %	2.13	2.05	1.91	1.75
Nonperforming loans	95 %	70	65	82	72
Nonperforming assets as a % of:					
Loans and foreclosed properties	4.57 %	5.20	5.22	4.42	4.05
Total assets	2.25 %	2.51	2.61	2.18	1.98
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans	2.18 %	3.03	3.16	2.34	2.43
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	0.76 %	0.45	0.16	0.14	0.76
Capital Adequacy:					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	14.95 %	14.84	14.57	14.53	14.25
Total risk-based capital ratio	16.20 %	16.09	15.82	15.78	15.49
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	8.65 %	8.56	8.47	8.39	8.27
Other financial data:					
Net interest margin (a)	3.09 %	2.98	2.81	2.85	2.82
Effective income tax rate	NM%	9.36	NM	17.01	16.35
Efficiency ratio (b)	63.67 %	57.08	67.17	61.98	60.74
Selected average balances:					
Securities	\$ 305,564	320,194	321,956	331,913	326,553
Loans, net of unearned income	375,192	372,319	376,861	374,224	378,491
Total assets	777,181	776,795	773,393	779,879	785,286
Total deposits	625,941	622,720	602,934	559,708	606,041
Long-term debt	85,323	91,728	103,061	113,120	114,880
Total stockholders' equity	58,888	57,171	61,841	59,900	58,648
Selected period end balances:					

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Securities	\$ 296,443	321,098	315,220	322,118	333,107
Loans, net of unearned income	373,795	368,909	374,215	375,098	376,624
Allowance for loan losses	7,746	7,855	7,676	7,181	6,580
Total assets	779,725	781,557	763,829	777,846	784,124
Total deposits	627,969	631,394	607,127	602,508	605,755
Long-term debt	85,322	85,327	93,331	108,335	113,340
Total stockholders' equity	61,100	57,801	56,368	60,937	59,042

(a) Tax-equivalent. See Table 1- Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

(b) Efficiency ratio is the result of noninterest expense divided by the sum of noninterest income and tax-equivalent net interest income.

NM- not meaningful

Table of Contents**Table 3 - Selected Financial Data**

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>		
Results of Operations		
Net interest income (a)	\$ 10,746	10,394
Less: tax-equivalent adjustment	875	875
Net interest income (GAAP)	9,871	9,519
Noninterest income (loss)	2,500	5,088
Total revenue	12,371	14,607
Provision for loan losses	1,200	2,200
Noninterest expense	8,013	8,445
Income tax expense	152	738
Net earnings	\$ 3,006	3,224
Per share data:		
Basic and diluted net earnings	\$ 0.83	0.88
Cash dividends declared	0.40	0.39
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic and diluted	3,642,733	3,642,996
Shares outstanding, at period end	3,642,738	3,642,693
Book value	\$ 16.77	16.21
Common stock price		
High	\$ 20.37	21.95
Low	19.40	16.86
Period end:	19.75	18.80
To earnings ratio	14.01 x	15.41
To book value	118 %	116
Performance ratios:		
Return on average equity	10.36 %	11.13
Return on average assets	0.77 %	0.82
Dividend payout ratio	48.19 %	44.32
Asset Quality:		
Allowance for loan losses as a % of:		
Loans	2.07 %	1.75
Nonperforming loans	95 %	72
Nonperforming assets as a % of:		
Loans and foreclosed properties	4.57 %	4.05
Total assets	2.25 %	1.98
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans	2.18 %	2.43
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	0.60 %	1.12
Capital Adequacy:		
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	14.95 %	14.25
Total risk-based capital ratio	16.20 %	15.49
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	8.65 %	8.27
Other financial data:		
Net interest margin (a)	3.03 %	2.88
Effective income tax rate	4.81 %	18.63
Efficiency ratio (b)	60.49 %	54.55
Selected average balances:		
Securities	\$ 312,839	328,813
Loans, net of unearned income	373,763	378,790
Total assets	776,989	784,737
Total deposits	624,338	602,550
Long-term debt	88,508	116,604
Total stockholders' equity	58,034	57,932
Selected period end balances:		

Edgar Filing: AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC - Form 10-Q

Securities	\$ 296,443	333,107
Loans, net of unearned income	373,795	376,624
Allowance for loan losses	7,746	6,580
Total assets	779,725	784,124
Total deposits	627,969	605,755
Long-term debt	85,322	113,340
Total stockholders' equity	61,100	59,042

- (a) Tax-equivalent. See Table 1 - Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures.
- (b) Efficiency ratio is the result of noninterest expense divided by the sum of noninterest income and tax-equivalent net interest income.

Table of Contents**Table 4 - Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis**

	Quarter ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans and loans held for sale (1)	\$ 376,762	\$ 5,371	5.72%	\$ 381,605	\$ 5,492	5.77%
Securities - taxable	224,451	1,757	3.14%	246,157	2,110	3.44%
Securities - tax-exempt (2)	81,113	1,296	6.41%	80,396	1,287	6.42%
Total securities	305,564	3,053	4.01%	326,553	3,397	4.17%
Federal funds sold	30,414	14	0.18%	19,520	10	0.21%
Interest bearing bank deposits	1,378			585		
Total interest-earning assets	714,118	\$ 8,438	4.74%	728,263	\$ 8,899	4.90%
Cash and due from banks	12,678			11,746		
Other assets	50,385			45,277		
Total assets	\$ 777,181			\$ 785,286		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
NOW	\$ 93,917	\$ 158	0.67%	\$ 93,002	\$ 167	0.72%
Savings and money market	141,817	252	0.71%	113,639	337	1.19%
Certificates of deposits less than \$100,000	114,705	567	1.98%	113,492	703	2.48%
Certificates of deposits and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more	183,878	1,115	2.43%	201,510	1,408	2.80%
Total interest-bearing deposits	534,317	2,092	1.57%	521,643	2,615	2.01%
Short-term borrowings	2,341	3	0.51%	2,227	3	0.54%
Long-term debt	85,323	846	3.98%	114,880	1,155	4.03%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	621,981	\$ 2,941	1.90%	638,750	\$ 3,773	2.37%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	91,624			84,398		
Other liabilities	4,688			3,490		
Stockholders equity	58,888			58,648		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 777,181			\$ 785,286		
Net interest income and margin		\$ 5,497	3.09%		\$ 5,126	2.82%

- (1) Average loan balances are shown net of unearned income and loans on nonaccrual status have been included in the computation of average balances.
- (2) Yields on tax-exempt securities have been computed on a tax-equivalent basis using an income tax rate of 34%.

Table of Contents**Table 5 - Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis**

	Six months ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans and loans held for sale (1)	\$ 375,550	\$ 10,658	5.72%	\$ 381,694	\$ 10,925	5.77%
Securities - taxable	232,353	3,452	3.00%	248,210	4,477	3.64%
Securities - tax-exempt (2)	80,486	2,574	6.45%	80,603	2,576	6.44%
Total securities	312,839	6,026	3.88%	328,813	7,053	4.33%
Federal funds sold	24,174	22	0.18%	16,195	17	0.21%
Interest bearing bank deposits	1,834	1	0.11%	829		0.00%
Total interest-earning assets	714,397	\$ 16,707	4.72%	727,531	\$ 17,995	4.99%
Cash and due from banks	13,194			12,384		
Other assets	49,398			44,822		
Total assets	\$ 776,989			\$ 784,737		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Deposits:						
NOW	\$ 92,951	\$ 310	0.67%	\$ 93,174	\$ 343	0.74%
Savings and money market	139,721	507	0.73%	109,012	624	1.15%
Certificates of deposits less than \$100,000	114,998	1,156	2.03%	113,595	1,442	2.56%
Certificates of deposits and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more	186,722	2,289	2.47%	202,835	2,846	2.83%
Total interest-bearing deposits	534,392	4,262	1.61%	518,616	5,255	2.04%
Short-term borrowings	2,409	6	0.50%	4,230	14	0.67%
Long-term debt	88,508	1,693	3.86%	116,604	2,332	4.03%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	625,309	\$ 5,961	1.92%	639,450	\$ 7,601	2.40%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	89,946			83,934		
Other liabilities	3,700			3,421		
Stockholders equity	58,034			57,932		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 776,989			\$ 784,737		
Net interest income and margin		\$ 10,746	3.03%		\$ 10,394	2.88%

- (1) Average loan balances are shown net of unearned income and loans on nonaccrual status have been included in the computation of average balances.
- (2) Yields on tax-exempt securities have been computed on a tax-equivalent basis using an income tax rate of 34%.

Table of Contents**Table 6 - Loan Portfolio Composition**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Commercial and industrial	\$ 52,027	51,323	53,288	58,400	56,168
Construction and land development	43,864	48,814	47,850	46,928	48,758
Commercial real estate	166,272	161,882	166,241	161,676	159,367
Residential real estate	100,496	95,997	96,241	96,888	100,451
Consumer installment	11,248	10,968	10,676	11,312	12,037
Total loans	373,907	368,984	374,296	375,204	376,781
Less: unearned income	(112)	(75)	(81)	(106)	(157)
Loans, net of unearned income	373,795	368,909	374,215	375,098	376,624
Less: allowance for loan losses	(7,746)	(7,855)	(7,676)	(7,181)	(6,580)
Loans, net	\$ 366,049	361,054	366,539	367,917	370,044

Table of Contents**Table 7 - Allowance for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	2011			2010	
	Second Quarter	First Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 7,855	7,676	7,181	6,580	6,546
Charge-offs:					
Commercial and industrial	(306)	(56)	(66)	(77)	(326)
Construction and land development	(112)	(33)	(20)	(5)	(169)
Commercial real estate		(339)			
Residential real estate	(389)	(57)	(153)	(91)	(262)
Consumer installment	(2)	(1)	(9)	(14)	(83)
Total charge-offs	(809)	(486)	(248)	(187)	(840)
Recoveries	100	65	93	58	124
Net charge-offs	(709)	(421)	(155)	(129)	(716)
Provision for loan losses	600	600	650	730	750
Ending balance	\$ 7,746	7,855	7,676	7,181	6,580
as a % of loans	2.07 %	2.13	2.05	1.91	1.75
as a % of nonperforming loans	95 %	70	65	82	72
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	0.76 %	0.45	0.16	0.14	0.76
Nonperforming assets:					
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 8,151	11,165	11,833	8,776	9,151
Other nonperforming assets (primarily other real estate owned)	9,361	8,450	8,125	8,163	6,341
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 17,512	19,615	19,958	16,939	15,492
as a % of loans and foreclosed properties	4.57 %	5.20	5.22	4.42	4.05
as a % of total assets	2.25 %	2.51	2.61	2.18	1.98
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans	2.18 %	3.03	3.16	2.34	2.43
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	\$ 11	158		62	243

Table of Contents**Table 8 - Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	2011				2010					
	Second Quarter Amount	%*	First Quarter Amount	%*	Fourth Quarter Amount	%*	Third Quarter Amount	%*	Second Quarter Amount	%*
Commercial and industrial	\$ 767	13.9	\$ 1,142	13.9	\$ 973	14.2	\$ 874	15.6	\$ 881	14.9
Construction and land development	2,759	11.7	2,257	13.2	2,223	12.8	2,177	12.5	1,092	12.9
Commercial real estate	2,722	44.5	2,697	43.9	2,893	44.4	2,308	43.1	2,178	42.3
Residential real estate	1,104	26.9	1,284	26.0	1,336	25.7	1,292	25.8	1,918	26.7
Consumer installment	190	3.0	202	3.0	141	2.9	133	3.0	198	3.2
Unallocated	204		273		110		397		313	
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 7,746		\$ 7,855		\$ 7,676		\$ 7,181		\$ 6,580	

* Loan balance in each category expressed as a percentage of total loans.

Table of Contents**Table 9 - CDs and Other Time Deposits of \$100,000 or More**

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2011
Maturity of:	
3 months or less	\$ 16,984
Over 3 months through 6 months	28,300
Over 6 months through 12 months	40,354
Over 12 months	94,826
 Total CDs and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more	 \$ 180,464

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The information called for by ITEM 3 is set forth in ITEM 2 under the caption MARKET AND LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company, with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation and as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure in its reports that the Company files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In the normal course of business, the Company and the Bank from time to time are involved in legal proceedings. The Company and Bank management believe there are no pending or threatened legal, governmental, or regulatory proceedings that upon resolution are expected to have a material adverse effect upon the Company's or the Bank's financial condition or results of operations. See also, Part I, Item 3 of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our annual report on Form 10-K are not the only the risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results in the future.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period ⁽¹⁾	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1 - April 30				
May 1 - May 31				
June 1 - June 30				
Total				

⁽¹⁾ Based on trade date, not settlement date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Number	Description
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Auburn National Bancorporation, Inc. and all amendments thereto.*
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Auburn National Bancorporation, Inc., adopted as of November 13, 2007. **
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by E.L. Spencer, Jr., President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by David A. Hedges, Vice President, Controller and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer).
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by David A. Hedges, Vice President, Controller and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer).***
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by E.L. Spencer, Jr., President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board.***
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document****
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document****
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document****
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document****
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document****
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document****

* Incorporated by reference from Registrant's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2002.

** Incorporated by reference from Registrant's Form 10-K dated March 31, 2008.

*** The certifications attached as exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 to this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

**** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AUBURN NATIONAL BANCORPORATION, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: August 15, 2011

By: /s/ E. L. Spencer, Jr.
E. L. Spencer, Jr.
President, Chief Executive Officer and
Chairman of the Board

Date: August 15, 2011

By: /s/ David A. Hedges
David A. Hedges
VP, Controller and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)