BIOGEN IDEC INC. Form DFAN14A May 19, 2009

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

		(minemament 110. <u> </u>)
Filed by the Registrant "		Filed by a Party other than the Registrant x
Check the appropriate box:		
	Preliminary Proxy Statement	
	Confidential, for Use of the Com	mission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
	Definitive Proxy Statement	
X	Definitive Additional Materials	
••	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §24	Biogen Idec Inc.
		(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
		Carl C. Icahn
		Alexander J. Denner
		Richard C. Mulligan
		Thomas F. Deuel
		David Sidransky
		Mayu Sris
		Eric Ende
		Jeffrey Meckler

Icahn Partners LP

Icahn Partners Master Fund LP

Icahn Partners Master Fund II LP

Icahn Partners Master Fund III LP

High River Limited Partnership

Barberry Corp.

Hopper Investments LLC

Icahn Offshore LP

Icahn Onshore LP

Icahn Capital LP

IPH GP LLC

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc.

Beckton Corp.

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5)	Total fee paid:
Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.
	k box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
1)	Amount Previously Paid:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

On May 19, 2009, the Participants (as defined below), distributed a presentation to stockholders of Biogen Idec Inc. The presentation is attached hereto as Exhibit I and is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, on May 19, 2009, the Participants distributed a memorandum to stockholders of Biogen Idec Inc. The memorandum is attached hereto as Exhibit II and is incorporated herein by reference.

ON MAY 15, 2009, THE PARTICIPANTS FILED A DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. SECURITY HOLDERS ARE ADVISED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES BY CARL C. ICAHN, ALEXANDER J. DENNER, RICHARD C. MULLIGAN, THOMAS F. DEUEL, DAVID SIDRANSKY, MAYU SRIS, ERIC ENDE, JEFFREY MECKLER, ICAHN PARTNERS LP, ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND LP, ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND III LP, HIGH RIVER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, BARBERRY CORP. , HOPPER INVESTMENTS LLC, ICAHN OFFSHORE LP, ICAHN ONSHORE LP, ICAHN CAPITAL LP, IPH GP LLC, ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P. , ICAHN ENTERPRISES G.P. INC., BECKTON CORP. (COLLECTIVELY, THE PARTICIPANTS) AND CERTAIN OF THEIR RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES FROM THE STOCKHOLDERS OF BIOGEN IDEC INC. FOR USE AT ITS ANNUAL MEETING, BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION, INCLUDING INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROXY SOLICITATION. THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT AND A FORM OF PROXY ARE AVAILABLE TO STOCKHOLDERS OF BIOGEN IDEC INC. FROM THE PARTICIPANTS AT NO CHARGE AND ARE ALSO AVAILABLE AT NO CHARGE AT THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION S WEBSITE AT HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV OR BY CONTACTING D.F. KING & CO., INC. BY TELEPHONE TOLL FREE AT 1 (800) 769-4414.

Biogen Idec Investor Presentation May 2009 EXHIBIT I Special note regarding presentation

This presentation includes information based on data found in filings with the SEC, independent industry publications and other sources. Although we believe that the data is reliable, we do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information and have not independently verified any such information. We have not sought, nor have we received, permission from any third-party to include their information in this presentation.

Many of the statements in this presentation reflect our subjective belief. Although we have reviewed and analyzed the information that has informed our opinions, we do not guarantee the accuracy of any such beliefs.

Sections of this presentation refer to the experience of our nominees for director at Biogen Idec Inc. during their tenure as directors of ImClone Systems Inc. We believe their experience at ImClone was a success and resulted in an increase in shareholder value that benefited all shareholders. However, their

success

at

ImClone

is

not

necessarily

indicative

of

future

results

at

Biogen

Idec

if

our nominees

were to be elected to the Biogen Idec Board of Directors.

All stockholders of Biogen Idec are advised to read the definitive proxy statement, the gold proxy card and other documents related to the solicitation of proxies by the Participants from the stockholders of the Company for use at the 2009 annual meeting of stockholders of the Company because they contain important information. The definitive proxy statement and form of proxy along with other relevant documents, are available at no charge on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov or by contacting D.F. King & Co., Inc. by telephone toll free at 1-800-769-4414 or by visiting their website at http://www.dfking.com/BIIB. In addition, the Participants will provide copies of the definitive proxy statement without charge upon request.

3
Agenda
Situation Overview
Strategic failures
Operational failures
Business development failures
Research & development failures
Our Qualifications & Proposals
Biographies of our nominees
ImClone Systems case study

Proposals for Biogen Idec

4 We strongly believe Biogen Idec needs better management Biogen Idec has a collection of valuable assets AVONEX for relapsing multiple sclerosis and TYSABRI for MS and Crohn s disease, \$2.8B of 2008 sales RITUXAN for B-cell non-Hodgkin s lymphoma and rheumatoid arthritis, \$1.1B of 2008 sales Promising pipeline (BG-12, Lixivaptan, ADENTRI, etc.) and biologics manufacturing facilities **Board** and management have failed achieve the full potential of Biogen Idec Biogen IDEC merger has not created much value Management has failed shareholders strategically and operationally Weak business development and research & development efforts inhibit company s prospects Quality of board and management especially crucial today R&D organization needs to be reinvigorated; Strategic review of pipeline needs to be conducted Cost structure needs to be examined and partner relations need to be revitalized Product sales growth likely to slow Board needs to evaluate possibility of separating assets in order to enhance value Management not aligned with shareholder interests

Electing our directors could maximize shareholder value

Our slate will press hard for management accountability and will provide experienced oversight Our nominees experience with ImClone Systems useful to Biogen Idec Specifically, we recharged partner relations, optimized the cost structure and rebuilt the pipeline Better management of Biogen Idec assets will

enhance value for all shareholders

We believe leadership has failed on many dimensions Strategic

Biogen IDEC merger has not created much value

Announced merger synergies never materialized

Management turnover makes consistent strategy and execution difficult Operational

Avonex lost US market leadership

Failed to manage Avonex product lifecycle

AMEVIVE never lived up to management s stated projections

TYSABRI goals also overly aggressive

Five year stock performance has trailed peer group Business Development

Is Biogen Idec defending its MS franchise?

Is it defending the oncology franchise?

Management has signed only a few licensing deals over last five years Research & Development

Research and development

productivity worst in peer group

Lack of R&D focus apparent when comparing employee base with peers

Pipeline has failed to show meaningful progress

Biogen Idec has launched no new drugs since 2004

Pipeline lacks strategic focus

PEGYlation is hardly a breakthrough

Biogen and IDEC supposedly merged to accelerate growth & create shareholder value
Source: Company documents
Key products
Pipeline
Therapeutic focus
2002 financials
Year founded
Employees
Biogen, Inc.

Avonex for relapsing MS

Amevive for chronic plaque psoriasis

6 product candidates in human trials Focus on neurology and dermatology Growing expertise in oncology Revenues of \$1,148M Net income of \$242M 1978 2,700 people worldwide **IDEC Pharmaceuticals** Rituxan for non-Hodgkin s lymphoma Zevalin for non-Hodgkin s lymphoma Rituxan for new indications 4 product candidates in human trials Focus on oncology and rheumatology Growing expertise in autoimmune and inflammatory diseases Revenues of \$404M Net income of \$148M 1985 1,000 people worldwide Headquarters Cambridge, MA San Diego, CA

Anti-inflammatory products in Amevive

and Antegren (now Tysabri)

Strategy
Operational
Bus. Dev.
R&D
Bringing our companies together accelerates both companies' strategic plans and creates a biotechnology leader with the products, pipeline, infrastructure and financial resources to grow faster and create sustainable shareholder value beyond what either company

could achieve separately.

James Mullen, Chairman and CEO of Biogen

However, merger has failed to create much value

Note: Current price from April 2009; Change in equity value is growth from Q4 2003 to April 2009

Source: Bloomberg; Company documents

Biogen -

IDEC

merger closed

Nov. 2003

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Change in

equity value

Q4 2003

equity value

Genentech

Gilead Sciences

Celgene

Biogen

Idec

\$101B

\$43B \$18B \$15B 0 25 50 75 100 Current equity value (\$M)

Announced merger synergies never materialized

Note: 2003 operating expenses based

on

first

nine

months

of

2003

annualized

(pre-merger);

\$75M

target

synergies

based

\$300M cumulative target for 2004-2007

Source: Company documents

Expenses

\$276M Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D **IDEC** Biogen Biogen Idec Biogen Idec 2003 Target synergies 2004 Target 2004 Actual \$1,065M -\$75M \$990M \$1,266M 0 500 1,000 \$1,500M Biogen Idec operating expenses (\$M) 2004 2005 2006 2007 \$276M \$402M \$413M \$711M 0 200 400 600 \$800M Biogen Idec operating expenses in excess of target (\$M)

exceeded target by

g

Management turnover makes consistent strategy and execution difficult

Note: Bold & shading denotes

turnover since 2003

Source: Company documents

Position / Department

Chairman

CEO

CFO

COO

Research & development Officer in 2003
William Rastetter
James Mullen
Peter Kellogg
William Rohn
Nabil Hanna (Research)
Burt Adelman (Development) Officer Today
Bruce Ross
James Mullen
Paul Clancy
Robert Hamm
Cecil Pickett Lack of management continuity helps contribute to lack of consistent strategy Strategy Operational
Bus. Dev.

R&D

10 We believe leadership has failed on many dimensions Strategic

Biogen IDEC merger has not created much value

Announced merger synergies never materialized

Management turnover makes consistent strategy and execution difficult

Operational

Avonex lost US market leadership

Failed to manage Avonex product lifecycle

AMEVIVE never lived up to management s stated projections

TYSABRI goals also overly aggressive

Five year stock performance has trailed peer group Business Development

Is Biogen Idec defending its MS franchise?

Is it defending the oncology franchise?

Management has signed only a few licensing deals over last five years Research & Development

Research and development productivity worst in peer group

Lack of R&D focus apparent when comparing employee base with peers

Pipeline has failed to show meaningful progress

Biogen Idec has launched no new drugs since 2004

Pipeline lacks strategic focus

PEGYlation is hardly a breakthrough

Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

AVONEX lost US market leadership to COPAXONE,

others also grew market share

Note: Data for US; Market share based on number of prescriptions

Source: Deutsche Bank

AVONEX lost 14% market share and

ceded US market leadership to

COPAXONE

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

AVONEX

BETASERON

COPAXONE

REBIF

0

10

20

30

40

50%

MS Rx market share %

Apr-05

42%

14%

29%

15%

Mar-09

28%

15%

37%

20%

Change %

-14%

1%

8%

5%

12 Biogen Idec has failed to manage Avonex product lifecycle Source: FDA; Company documents Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D MedImmune Genentech Genentech Roche Amgen Amgen Biogen Idec 2 4

6 10

11 12 0 5 10 15 Years until Second Generation launch Second Generation First Generation Synagis Respigam **TNKase** Activase Nutropin Depot Nutropin Mircera NeoRecormon Neulasta Neupogen Aranesp Epogen/Procrit

PEG-IFNB Avonex

AMEVIVE never lived up to management s stated

projections

Source: North Carolina Biotechnology Center, Feb. 2003; Company documents

After failing to achieve April 2003 projections,

management sold AMEVIVE for \$60M in March 2006

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

2003

2006

\$85M

\$40M

\$500M

\$12M

0

100

200 300

400

\$500M

AMEVIVE sales (\$M)

April 2003 Projections

Actual

```
14
TYSABRI goals also overly aggressive
Source: Reuters, Feb. 2009; Company documents
In Sep. 2007, management expected 100K patients on TYSABRI by
2010 year-end; In Feb. 2009, management said it would be
 difficult
to achieve the original 100K projection
Strategy
Operational
Bus. Dev.
R&D
Actual
Sep. 2007 Projections
2009
2010
37K
100K
```

100K

TYSABRI patients (K)

Five year stock performance has trailed peer group Note: BTK represents AMEX Biotechnology Index

Source: Bloomberg; Company documents Biogen Idec has underperformed peers

Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

Genentech

Gilead

Sciences

Celgene

Biogen

Idec

BTK

Apr-04

Apr-05

Apr-06 Apr-07 Apr-08 Apr-09 0 100 200 300 400 500 Stock performance Change % 61% 205% 177% -24%

10%

16 We believe leadership has failed on many dimensions Strategic

Biogen IDEC merger has not created much value

Announced merger synergies never materialized

Management turnover makes consistent strategy and execution difficult

Operational

Avonex lost US market leadership

Failed to manage Avonex product lifecycle

AMEVIVE never lived up to management s stated projections

TYSABRI goals also overly aggressive

Five year stock performance has trailed peer group Business Development

Is Biogen Idec defending its MS franchise?

Is it defending the oncology franchise?

Management has signed only a few licensing deals over last five years Research & Development

Research and development productivity worst in peer group

Lack of R&D focus apparent when comparing employee base with peers

Pipeline has failed to show meaningful progress

Biogen Idec has launched no new drugs since 2004

Pipeline lacks strategic focus

PEGYlation is hardly a breakthrough

Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

Business development vital to future prospects
Companies must defend and strengthen franchises within core therapeutic areas

It seems Biogen Idec has done very little to defend or strengthen its oncology and MS franchises

Competitors active in both therapeutic areas
Lack of business development limits future growth prospects
Strategy
Operational
Bus. Dev.
R&D

Is Biogen Idec defending its MS franchise?

Source: InVivo Deals database

Biogen Idec has not

participated in any of the approximately

dozen multiple sclerosis business development opportunities

over the last year

Competitor MS deals in 2008 included:

Acquirer

Merck Serono

Novartis

Merck Serono

Teva

Eli Lilly

Counterparty

Apitope Technology

Peptimmune

Bionomics AGM

Antisense Therapeutics

BioMS Medical

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Is it defending the oncology franchise?

Source: InVivo Deals database

Biogen Idec has executed only one

of the approximately 150

cancer business development opportunities over the last year

Competitor oncology deals in 2008 included:

Acquirer

Bristol Myers

Roche

Pfizer

Merck

Sanofi Aventis

Counterparty

Exelixis

ThromboGenics

Aureon Laboratories

Celera

Dyax Corp.

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Management has completed only five product inlicensing deals in five years Source: Company documents

Date

August 2005

October 2006

July 2007

October 2008

September 2006

Protein Design Labs	
UCB	
CardioKine	
Genentech	
mondoBiotech Product	
fontolizumab	
daclizumab	
volociximab	
CDP323	
lixivaptan	
GA101	
aviptadil Consideration	
\$40M upfront	
\$100M investment in Protein Design Labs stock	
\$30M upfront	
\$50M upfront	
\$31M upfront	
\$13M upfront Only five product licensing deals over last five years Strategy Operational Bus. Dev.	

Partner

R&D

21 We believe leadership has failed on many dimensions Strategic

Biogen IDEC merger has not created much value

Announced merger synergies never materialized

Management turnover makes consistent strategy and execution difficult

Operational

Avonex lost US market leadership

Failed to manage Avonex product lifecycle

AMEVIVE never lived up to management s stated projections

TYSABRI goals also overly aggressive

Five year stock performance has trailed peer group Business Development

Is Biogen Idec defending its MS franchise?

Is it defending the oncology franchise?

Management has signed only a few licensing deals over last five years Research & Development

Research and development productivity worst in peer group

Lack of R&D focus apparent when comparing employee base with peers

Pipeline has failed to show meaningful progress

Biogen Idec has launched no new drugs since 2004

Pipeline lacks strategic focus

PEGYlation is hardly a

breakthrough Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

Biogen Idec research and development productivity

worst in peer group

Note: 5 and 10 year charts represent cumulative gross profits and R&D spending

Source: Company documents

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Gilead

Sciences

Genen-

tech

Amgen

Genzyme

Biogen

Idec

5.9X

4.1X

4.0X

3.6X

3.2X

- 3.0
- 4.0
- 5.0
- 6.0X
- 5 year gross
- profit / 5 year R&D
- Gilead
- Sciences
- Genen-
- tech
- Amgen
- Genzyme
- Biogen
- Idec
- 5.0X 3.9X
- 3.9X
- 3.5X
- 3.1X
- 3.0
- 4.0
- 5.0
- 6.0X
- 10 year gross
- profit / 10 year R&D

Lack of R&D focus apparent when comparing employee base with peers

Note: Employees

as

of

December

2008;

Genentech

estimate

based

on

estimated

retention

costs

Source: Company documents; Industry estimates

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Other

employees R&D employees Celgene Genentech Amgen Gilead Sciences Biogen Idec 2,441 11,186 16,900 3,441 4,700 0 20 40 60 80 100% Employees R&D % of total 55%

51% 46% 40% 16%

Failure to advance pipeline Note: Bold & shading denotes no apparent progress since 2006 Source: Company documents

Compound BG-12 Galiximab Lumiliximab Ocrelizumab Lixivaptan ADENTRI BIIB014

Daclizumab

	_ugu: :g: 0 0 =: 1 : 2 =	
CDP323 Ocrelizumab Volociximab HSP90 GA101 Aviptadil Therapeutic Area		
Relapsing MS		
Relapsed NHL		
Relapsed CLL		
RA		
Hypnoatremia		
CHF		
Parkinson s		
MS		
MS		
MS		
NSCLC		
Oncology		
NHL		
PAH 2006		
Phase 3		
Phase 3		
Phase 2/3		
Phase 2		
Phase 2		
Phase 2		

Phase 2

Phase 2
Phase 1
Phase 2
Phase 2
Phase 1
Phase 1
Phase 2 Today
Phase 3
Phase 3
Phase 2/3
Phase 3
Phase 3
Phase 3
Phase 2
Phase 2 Pipeline has made little progress Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

Biogen Idec is the only major biotech company with no

new drugs since 2004

Source: FDA

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Genzyme

Amgen

Gilead

Genentech

Celgene

Biogen

Idec

3

2

2

1

1

0

0 1

2

3

Drug

launches since 2004

Drugs

Mozobil

Renvela

Myozyme

Vectibix

Nplate

Letairis

Atripla Lucentis

Revlimid

26 During that same period, 23 new oncology drugs have been launched Source: CenterWatch Strategy Operational Bus. Dev. R&D 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 YTD 6 2 4 6 4

1

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 Oncology drug launches Drug Alimta Avastin Clolar Erbitux Sensipar Tarceva Arranon Nexavar Gardasil Sprycel Sutent Vectibix Evista Hycamtin Ixempra Tasigna Torisel Tykerb Degarelix

Mozobil Sancuso Treanda Afinitor

Pipeline seems to lack strategic focus

Source: Company documents

Autoimmune /

Inflammation

Cardiovascular

Hemophilia

Antiviral

Neurosciences

Oncology

Biogen Idec

Genentech

Gilead Sciences

Celgene

Strategy

Operational Bus. Dev. R&D

PEGylation is hardly a breakthrough Note: Enzon launched Adagen in 1990

Source: FDA

PEGylation was a mature, established technology in the 1990 s; Adagen approved in 1990

19 years after launch of first PEGylated protein, Biogen Idec still has not launched PEG-IFNB

Strategy

Operational

Bus. Dev.

R&D

Enzon Johnson & Johnson Schering Plough Roche Amgen Biogen Idec 4 5 11 12 12 0 5 10 15 20 25 Years since launch of first PEGylated Protein Drug

Oncaspar Doxil PegIntron Pegasys Neulasta PEG-IFNB

```
29
CEO Mullen paid well
Note: Equity compensation = stock + option grants as valued in relevant proxy
Source: Company documents
Other
Equity
Bonus
Salary
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
$15.2M
$16.2M
$12.3M
$9.0M
$10.1M
0
5
10
15
$20M
CEO compensation ($M)
Year end
stock price
$66.61
$45.28
$49.19
$56.92
```

\$47.63

```
30
James Mullen sold ~$85M of stock since merger at
average price of ~$59 per share
Source: Company documents
Represents stock sales by James Mullen
0
20
40
60
80
Biogen
Idec stock price ($)
0
10
20
30
40
$50M
Proceeds ($M)
```

Are board and management aligned with you?

In our opinion, management ran a flawed auction process last year

_

Board severely limited due diligence opportunities

-

Refused to allow bidders access to Elan until bidders submitted a firm and binding offer

-

At least one bidder was denied its request to speak with Elan which may have caused that bidder to walk away from the process

-

Board minutes that Biogen provided to us under court order last year show what we consider to be a poorly designed process that lacked active Board oversight

Same management and Board that ran the 2007 sales process continue to run the company today. How would they treat a bidder in 2009? Is shareholder value a priority for this Board?

Who is most aligned with your interests?

Source: Company documents

Icahn Parties

Board & management

Class 3 directors

up for election

16,075,256

778,198

14,700

0

5,000,000

10,000,000

15,000,000

20,000,000

Biogen

Idec shares owned

33 Agenda

Situation Overview

Strategic failures

Operational failures

Business development failures

Research & development failures

Our Qualifications & Proposals

Biographies of our nominees

ImClone Systems case study

Proposals for Biogen Idec

Our nominees helped deliver results at ImClone Name

Alex Denner

Thomas Deuel

Richard Mulligan

David Sidransky Education

M.S., M.Phil., Ph.D. from Yale University

S.B. from Massachusetts Institute of Technology

M.D. from Columbia University

A.B. from Princeton University

Ph.D. from Department of Biochemistry at Stanford University School of Medicine

B.S. from Massachusetts Institute of Technology

M.D. from Baylor College of Medicine

B.A. from Brandeis University Relevant experience

Managing Director, Icahn Partners

Director, Adventrx Pharmaceuticals

Former Portfolio Manager, Viking Global Investors

Former Portfolio Manager, Morgan Stanley

Former Director and Chairman of Executive Committee, ImClone Systems

Professor of Molecular and Experimental Medicine and Cell Biology, Scripps Research Institute

Professor Emeritus, Harvard Medical School

Former Director, Division of Growth Regulation at Beth

Israel Hospital

Former Professor of Medicine and Biochemistry and Head of Oncology Services, Washington University School of Medicine

Former Director, ImClone Systems

Mallinckrodt Professor of Genetics, Harvard Medical School

Director of Harvard Gene Therapy Initiative

Former Professor of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Founder, Somatix Therapy Corporation

Member of Scientific Advisory Board, Cell Genesys

Former Director and Member of Executive Committee, ImClone Systems

Director of Head and Neck Cancer Research Division, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Chairman, Champions Biotechnology

Former Member of Scientific Advisory Board for MedImmune, Telik, Roche and Amgen

Former Director, ImClone Systems

ImClone shares dramatically outperformed during new

executive committee tenure

Note: Executive committee of Alex Denner and Richard Mulligan formed Oct. 2006; ImClone Systems sold to Eli Lilly in Nov 2008

Source: Bloomberg

Oct-06

Nov-08

\$31.00

\$70.00

0

20

40

60

\$80 ImClone

Systems

share price (\$)

Change %

126%

Oct-06

Nov-08

\$44.94

\$40.64

0

20

40

60

\$80 Biogen Idec share price (\$) Change % -10%

Long term focus to build ImClone shareholder value

Note: Graph represents month-end stock and index prices

Source: Bloomberg

Alex Denner

becomes

chairman of

executive

committee

ImClone

receives and

rejects takeover

bid

ImClone

acquired by Eli

Lilly for \$70 per

share

July 31, 2008

ImClone receives

\$60 offer from

Bristol-Myers

Squibb

Bristol-Myers

Squibb & ImClone

expand ERBITUX

development Jun-06 Sep-06 Dec-06 Mar-07 Jun-07 Sep-07 Dec-07 Mar-08 Jun-08 Sep-08 0 20 40 60 \$80 **ImClone** stock price (\$) 500 1,000

1,500 2,000

S&P 500 Index

New executive committee enhanced value at ImClone

New executive committee, including Alex Denner and Richard Mulligan, formed in October 2006

Embarked on a comprehensive review of the company s operations which led to the following courses of action:

-

Recharged partner relations

-

Optimized cost structure

-

Rebuilt product pipeline

-

Changed culture

-

Settled litigation

38 Recharged ImClone partner relations and optimized cost structure Note: CRO s = Contract Research Organizations Recharged partner relations Partnership with Bristol-Myers Squibb had deteriorated due to prior management neglect Initiated dialogue and led negotiations, ultimately leading to new agreement with Bristol-Myers Squibb that expanded Erbitux s development with no change to ImClone economics From 2005 to 2007, ERBITUX sales grew by 41% annually and ImClone royalty revenue grew by 37% annually Optimized cost structure ImClone cost structure had not been appropriate for company needs Executive committee reallocated costs, shifting SG&A spending by expanding sales force for tactical reasons while reducing overhead costs Margin expansion and capex reduction led to substantial free cash flow growth Increased spending on R&D and salesforce was funded through savings on corporate costs (re-negotiating with CROs, transportation costs,

smarter purchasing,

etc.)

Rebuilt pipeline, changed culture and settled litigation

Rebuilt product pipeline

Industry had poor perception of ImClone pipeline and research and development efforts

Executive committee conducted top-down review of pipeline Significantly increased investments in particularly promising candidates such as 1121B, A12, 11F8 and others

Cancelled programs where the return on investment was poor

Pipeline cited as major strategic rationale for whole company acquisition

Changed culture

ImClone morale poor due to lack of strategic direction from prior management

Took steps to institute a new culture

Emphasis on cash flow

Investment in R&D

Gave responsibility to scientists and clinicians

Settled litigation

Settled litigation including Yeda, Abbott and Repligen

From 2005 to 2007, ImClone royalty revenue grew by

37% annually

Note: 2005 most recent full year prior to formation of new executive committee; 2007 most recent full year available; Collab.

reimb. represents collaborative agreement reimbursements; Manuf. represents manufacturing revenues

Source: UBS, January 2008; UBS, April 2008; Company documents

EU

USA

2005

2007

\$678M

\$1,348M

0

500

1,000

\$1,500M

WW ERBITUX sales (\$M)

05-07

CAGR

29%

57%

41%

Collab.

reimb.

Manuf.

License

fees & milestones Royalties 2005 2007 \$383M \$591M 0 200 400 \$600M **ImClone** revenue (\$M) 05-07 **CAGR** 37% 3% 39%

5% 24%

Tighter cost controls contributed to higher free cash

flow

Note: 2005 most recent full year prior to formation of new executive committee; 2007 most recent full year available; EBIT

excludes

one-time

charges;

FCF

represents

free

cash

flow;

Capex

represents

capital

expenditures;

FCF

_

EBITDA

-

Capex

Source: Company documents

EBIT

COGS

Royalties

SG&A

Research &

development

2005 2007

100%

100%

0

20

40

60

80

100%

ImClone

% of revenue

EBIT

margin %

23%

27%

2005

FCF

EBITDA

growth

Capex

reduction

2007

FCF

\$16M

\$93M

\$74M

\$183M

0

50

100

150

\$200M

ImClone

FCF (\$M)

Increased R&D investment to improve pipeline and

future competitive position

Note: 2005 most recent full year prior to formation of new executive committee; 2007 most recent full year available

Source: Company documents

2005

2007

\$145M

\$194M

0

50

100

150

\$200M

ImClone

R&D spend (\$M)

R&D %

of sales

38%

33%

05-07

CAGR

16%

Other

Pancreatic

Non-small

cell lung
Head
& neck
Colorectal
2005
2007
34
44
0
10
20
30
40
50
ImClone

product candidates

Increased R&D investment improved pipeline

Phase 1

Phase 2

Phase 3

1121b:

2005 status

2008 status

A12:

2005 status

2008 status

11F8:

2005 status

2008 status

18F1:

Pre-clinical

2008 status

UCB: 2005 status Exited

We believe ImClone and Biogen Idec situations analogous
Partner relations
Cost structure
Product pipeline
Strategic direction
Litigation
ImClone Systems

Relationships with Bristol Myers Squibb were strained

Renegotiated agreement with BMS, leading to increased support and sales

Cost structure was not appropriate for company needs

Reallocated costs, led to margin expansion and free cash flow growth

Pipeline poorly regarded by industry

Conducted review and increased investments in certain candidates

Pipeline key rationale for acquisition

Strategic direction lacking and morale poor as a result

Installed new leadership and established clear strategic priorities

Settled litigation including Yeda, Abbott, and Repligen Biogen Idec

Relationships with Genentech, Roche and Elan could be improved

Excluding Rituxan, operating margins below peers

Pipeline has not advanced significantly over last five years

Poor product lifecycle management

Strategic direction unclear as focus diffused over too many therapeutic areas

Adverse Genentech arbitration settlement is valuation overhang
Electing our directors with directly relevant experience could help Biogen Idec

We have several proposals

Board should study potential splitting of Biogen Idec into neurology-focused and cancer-focused companies

Examine cost structure

Prioritize, improve focus, and re-invigorate R&D efforts

Improve partner relationships

46 Board should evaluate whether separating assets will enhance value

NF Co. had \$2,926M of 2008 sales

NF Co. assets include the following:

Key products include AVONEX and **TYSABRI**

Related pipeline such as BG-12, Lixivaptan, ADENTRI and other neurology and cardiovascular product candidates

Biologics manufacturing plants in North Carolina, Massachusetts and Denmark

Majority of existing research &

development infrastructure and sales force

_

Collaboration with Elan on TYSABRI

NF Co. will benefit from improved focus on core neurology competency Neurology-Focused Company

CF Co. had \$1,172M of 2008 sales

CF Co. assets include the following:

-

Key products include RITUXAN and FUMADERM

-

Related pipeline such as galiximab, lumiliximab, ocrelizumab and other oncology and autoimmune product candidates

-

Collaboration with Genentech in the US, Zenyaku and Chugai in Japan and Roche in the rest of the world

CF Co. will benefit from improved focus on core oncology competency Cancer-Focused Company Separating Biogen assets could enhance shareholder value as management focus should improve

47 Separated assets have different growth profiles Source: Goldman Sachs, March 2009; Company documents CF Co. NF Co. 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009E 2010E \$2,212M \$2,423M \$2,683M \$3,172M \$4,098M \$4,402M \$4,451M 0 1,000 2,000 3,000 4,000 \$5,000M

Biogen

Idec revenue (\$M)

```
48
Separated assets viable as standalone companies
Amgen
Genentech
Gilead
Sciences
Genzyme
NF Co.
Celgene
CF Co.
$15.0B
$13.4B
$5.3B
$4.6B
$2.9B
$2.3B
$1.2B
0
5
10
$15B
2008 revenue ($B)
Equity
value ($B)
$51B
$101B
$43B
$16B
$18B
```

Overhead spending can be reduced

Note: Peer group includes Genentech, Amgen, Gilead Sciences and Celgene

Source: Company documents

In 2008, Biogen Idec spent 23% of sales on selling, general and administrative expenses

Median biotech company = 21%

Best in class company = 15%

Reducing overhead spending will improve cash flows

Applying median SG&A % of sales generates \$46M of cost savings

Applying best in class SG&A % of sales generates \$309M of cost savings Overhead assumptions Biogen

Idec
Median
Best in
class
23%
21%
15%
0
5
10
15
20
25
30%
2008 SG&A %

of sales (%)

We would push hard to reinvigorate R&D and improve productivity

Identify most promising products

Focus investment in key therapeutic areas

Rationalize pipeline products not part of overall strategy

Electing our directors could help maximize shareholder value

Board and management have failed to achieve the full potential of Biogen Idec

Quality of board and management especially crucial today Electing our directors could help maximize shareholder value Better management of Biogen Idec assets will enhance value for all shareholders

We have shown that management has failed shareholders strategically and operationally, resulting in a company not well positioned for future challenges!

EXHIBIT II

ICAHN CAPITAL LP

We believe Biogen Idec is a company with valuable assets that has failed to achieve its full potential. The company faces several challenges including an R&D organization that needs reinvigoration, a key product that has ceded market leadership and market share, and a cost structure that needs examination. Biogen Idec s ability to successfully address these and other challenges would improve from the fresh perspective of our experienced and qualified director nominees who helped create substantial value at ImClone Systems.

We believe leadership has failed on many fronts:

The Biogen IDEC merger created little equity value slide 7
Avonex has lost US multiple sclerosis market leadership slide 11
Biogen Idec has failed to manage the Avonex product lifecycle slide 12
TYSABRI is not achieving management s goals slide 14
Five year stock performance has trailed peer group slide 15
In the past five years, there have been few in-licensing deals slide 20
Research and development productivity worst in the peer group slide 22
Product pipeline has failed to show meaningful progress slide 24
Biogen Idec has launched no new drugs since 2004 slide 25 Our proposals include:
Board should study potential splitting of Biogen Idec into neurology-focused and cancer-focused companies
Examine cost structure and improve partner relationships
Prioritize, improve focus and reinvigorate R&D efforts

Our experienced director nominees successfully helped effect change at ImClone Systems which resulted in substantial value creation. We believe the ImClone and Biogen Idec situations are analogous and drawing upon our nominees ImClone experience will benefit all Biogen Idec stockholders. Specifically, at ImClone, the board (including all four of our director nominees) recharged partner relations, optimized the cost

structure, rebuilt the product pipeline, settled litigation, and improved the culture.

95

In summary, we believe current Biogen Idec leadership has failed to achieve the company s full potential. The quality of board and management are especially crucial today. Electing our qualified and experienced directors could help maximize stockholder value.

ON MAY 15, 2009, THE PARTICIPANTS FILED A DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. SECURITY HOLDERS ARE ADVISED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES BY CARL C. ICAHN, ALEXANDER J. DENNER, RICHARD C. MULLIGAN, THOMAS F. DEUEL, DAVID SIDRANSKY, MAYU SRIS, ERIC ENDE, JEFFREY MECKLER, ICAHN PARTNERS LP, ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND LP, ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND III LP, HIGH RIVER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, BARBERRY CORP., HOPPER INVESTMENTS LLC, ICAHN OFFSHORE LP, ICAHN ONSHORE LP, ICAHN CAPITAL LP, IPH GP LLC, ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P., ICAHN ENTERPRISES G.P. INC., BECKTON CORP. (COLLECTIVELY, THE PARTICIPANTS) AND CERTAIN OF THEIR RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES FROM THE STOCKHOLDERS OF BIOGEN IDEC INC. FOR USE AT ITS ANNUAL MEETING, BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION, INCLUDING INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROXY SOLICITATION. THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT AND A FORM OF PROXY ARE AVAILABLE TO STOCKHOLDERS OF BIOGEN IDEC INC. FROM THE PARTICIPANTS AT NO CHARGE AND ARE ALSO AVAILABLE AT NO CHARGE AT THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION S WEBSITE AT HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV OR BY CONTACTING D.F. KING & CO., INC. BY TELEPHONE TOLL FREE AT 1 (800) 769-4414.