MSCI Inc. Form 10-K January 29, 2009 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008

Commission file number 001-33812

MSCI INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of 13-4038723 (I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification Number)

Wall Street Plaza, 88 Pine Street,

New York, New York 10005

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, zip code)

(212) 804-3900

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

 Title of Each Class
 Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

 Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share
 New York Stock Exchange

 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

YES " NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller Reporting Company "
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES " NO x

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter (based on the closing price of these securities as reported by The New York Stock Exchange on May 30, 2008) was approximately \$1,928,395,309.00. Shares of Common Stock held by executive officers and directors of the registrant are not included in the computation. However, the registrant has made no determination that such individuals are affiliates within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933.

As of January 26, 2009, there were 72,354,243 shares of the Registrant s Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding and 27,708,653.79 shares of Registrant s Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Registrant s proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, to be held on April 2, 2009, are incorporated herein by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

MSCI INC.

FORM 10-K

FOR THE YEAR ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	17
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	36
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	37
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	37
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	38
Item 6.	Selected Consolidated Financial Data	41
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Item 7A.	Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk	70
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	71
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	71
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	72

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Item 9B. **Other Information**

PART III

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	74
Executive Compensation	74
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	74
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	74
Principal Accountant Fees and Services	74
	Executive Compensation Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

We own or have rights to use trademarks, trade names and service marks that we use in conjunction with the operation of our business, including, but not limited to: @CREDIT, @ENERGY, @INTEREST, ACWI, Aegis, Alphabuilder, Barra, Barra One, BarraOne, Cosmos, EAFE, FEA, GICS, IndexMap, Market Impact Model, MSCI, ProStorage, StructureTool, TotalRisk, VaRdelta and VaRworks. All other trademarks, trade names and service marks included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are property of their respective owners. For ease of reading, designations of trademarks and registered marks have been omitted from the text of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

74

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and from time to time may make in our public filings, press releases or other public statements, certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements. In addition, our management may make forward-looking statements to analysts, investors, representatives of the media and others. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts and represent only MSCI s beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and beyond our control.

In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as may, might, should, anticipates, expects, intends, plans, seeks, estimates, potential, continue, believes and similar expressions, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. Statements concerning our financial position, business strategy and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements and from management s current expectations. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the time they are made and do not necessarily reflect our outlook at any other point in time. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or for any other reason. However, readers should carefully review the risk factors set forth in other reports or documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC).

PART I

Item 1. Business Overview

We are a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices and portfolio risk and performance analytics for use by institutions in managing equity, fixed income and multi-asset class portfolios. Our flagship products are our international equity indices marketed under the MSCI brand and our equity portfolio analytics marketed under the Barra brand. Our products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and optimization, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked investment product creation, asset allocation, investment manager selection and investment research.

Our clients include asset owners such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, exchange traded funds (ETFs), hedge funds and private wealth; and financial intermediaries such as broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants. As of November 30, 2008, we had over 3,100 clients across 64 countries. We had 20 offices in 14 countries to help serve our diverse client base, with approximately 52% of our revenue from clients in the Americas, 33% in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA), 8% in Japan and 7% in Asia-Pacific (not including Japan), based on fiscal year 2008 revenues.

Our principal sales model is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products for use at specified locations by a given number of users for an annual fee paid up front. The substantial majority of our revenues come from these annual, recurring subscriptions. Over time, as their needs evolve, our clients often add product modules, users and locations to their subscriptions, which results in an increase in our revenues per client. Additionally, a significant source of our revenues comes from clients who use our indices as the basis for index-linked investment products such as ETFs. These clients commonly pay us a license fee based on the investment product s assets. We also generate a limited amount of our revenues from certain exchanges that use our indices as the basis for futures and options contracts and pay us a license fee based on their volume of trades.

History and Development of Our Company

We were a pioneer in developing the market for international equity index products and equity portfolio risk analytics tools. MSCI introduced its first equity index products in 1969 and Barra launched its first equity risk analytics products in 1975. Over the course of more than 30 years, our research organization has accumulated an in-depth understanding of the investment process worldwide. Based on this wealth of knowledge, we have created and continue to develop, enhance and refine sophisticated index construction methodologies and risk models to meet the growing, complex and diverse needs of our clients investment processes. Our models and methodologies are the intellectual foundation of our business and include the innovative algorithms, formulas and analytical and quantitative techniques that we use, together with market data, to produce our products. Our long history has allowed us to build extensive databases of proprietary index and risk data, as well as to accumulate valuable historical market data, which we believe would be difficult to replicate and which provide us with a substantial competitive advantage.

MSCI Inc. was formed as a Delaware corporation in 1998. Our two shareholders were Morgan Stanley (Morgan Stanley) and Capital Group International, Inc. (Capital Group International). On December 1, 2004, we acquired Barra, Inc. On November 20, 2007, we completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 16.1 million shares of our class A common stock, 2.1 million of which were purchased pursuant to the underwriters exercise of their over-allotment option.

In connection with the IPO, we reclassified our outstanding common stock into shares of class A common stock and class B common stock and immediately following the IPO, Morgan Stanley held approximately 81.0 million shares of our class B common stock and Capital Group International held approximately 2.9 million shares of our class B common stock. Under the terms of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, when shares of class B common stock convert into shares of class A common stock, they do so on a one-to-one basis. On May 2, 2008, Morgan Stanley converted approximately 28.0 million shares of our class B common stock, they do so on a one-to-one basis. On May 2, 2008, Morgan Stanley converted approximately 28.0 million shares of our class B common stock into class A common stock by selling such shares in a registered secondary equity offering. Capital Group International converted approximately 2.9 million shares of our class B common stock and transferred them to its affiliate The Capital Group Companies Charitable Foundation (Capital Group Charitable Foundation). Capital Group Charitable Foundation sold all of these shares pursuant to the same registered secondary equity offering. On July 21, 2008, Morgan Stanley converted 23.0 million shares of our class B common stock into shares of class A common stock by selling such shares of class A common stock by selling such shares pursuant to the underwriters exercise of their over-allotment option. As of November 30, 2008, Morgan Stanley held 100.0% of the outstanding shares of our class B common stock, which represents approximately 65.7% of the combined voting power of all classes of voting stock and approximately 27.7% of the economic interest.

Our Products and Services

Our primary products consist of equity indices, equity portfolio analytics and multi-asset class portfolio analytics. We also have product offerings in the areas of fixed income portfolio analytics and risk models, and energy and commodity asset valuation analytics. Our products are generally comprised of proprietary index data or proprietary risk data and/or sophisticated software applications. Our index and risk data are created by applying our models and methodologies to market data. For example, we input closing stock prices and other market data into our index methodologies to calculate our index data, and we input fundamental data and other market data into our risk models to produce our risk forecasts for individual securities and portfolios of securities. Our clients can use our data together with our proprietary software applications, third-party applications or their own applications in their investment processes. Our software applications offer our clients sophisticated portfolio analytics to perform in-depth analysis of their portfolios, using our risk data, the client s portfolio data and fundamental and market data. Our products are marketed under three leading brands. Our

index products are typically branded MSCI. Our portfolio analytics products are typically branded Barra. Our energy and commodity analytics products are typically branded FEA.

Equity Index Products

Our MSCI-branded equity index products are designed to measure returns available to investors across a wide variety of markets (*e.g.*, Europe, Japan or emerging markets), size (*e.g.*, small capitalization or large capitalization), style (*e.g.*, growth or value) and industries (*e.g.*, banks or media). As of November 30, 2008, we calculated over 120,000 equity indices daily.

Approximately 2,300 clients worldwide subscribed to our equity index products for use in their investment portfolios and for market performance measurement and analysis in fiscal 2008. In addition to delivering our products directly to our clients, as of November 30, 2008, we also had approximately 60 third-party financial information and analytics software providers who distribute our various equity index products worldwide. The performance of our equity indices is also frequently referenced when selecting investment managers, assigning return benchmarks in mandates, comparing performance and providing market and academic commentary. The performance of certain of our indices is reported on a daily basis in the financial media.

Our primary equity index products are:

MSCI International Equity Indices

The MSCI International Equity Indices are our flagship index products. They are designed to measure returns available to international investors across a variety of public equity markets. As of November 30, 2008, the indices included 70 country indices across developed, emerging and frontier markets, as well as various regional composite indices built from the component country indices, including the well-known MSCI EAFE (Europe, Australasia, and Far East), MSCI World and MSCI Emerging Markets Indices. In addition, the International Equity Indices include industry indices, value and growth style indices and large-, mid-, and small-capitalization size segment indices.

The MSCI International Equity Indices are the most widely used international equity indices in the industry. We continue to enhance and expand this successful product offering. Recent examples include the introduction of the MSCI Frontier Market Indices, the MSCI Asia APEX 50 Index and the MSCI Global Minimum Volatility Indices.

MSCI Domestic Equity Indices

The MSCI Domestic Equity Indices are designed to measure the returns available to domestic investors in the U.S., Japan and China public equity markets. Each of these domestic country index series includes value and growth style indices, and in the case of the U.S. and Japan, large-, mid-, small- and micro-capitalization size segment indices.

Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)

The Global Industry Classification Standard was developed and is maintained jointly by us and Standard & Poor s. We designed this classification system to respond to our clients needs for a consistent, accurate and complete framework for classifying companies into industries. The GICS has been widely accepted as an industry analysis framework for investment research, portfolio management and asset allocation. Our equity index products classify constituent securities according to the GICS.

We also offer GICS Direct, a joint product of MSCI and Standard & Poor s. GICS Direct is a database of more than 37,000 active companies and 42,000 securities classified by sector, industry group, industry and sub-industry in accordance with the proprietary GICS methodology.

Equity Portfolio Analytics Products

Our Barra-branded equity portfolio analytics products assist investment professionals in analyzing and managing risks and returns for equities at both the asset and portfolio level in major equity markets worldwide. Barra equity risk models identify and analyze the factors that influence equity asset returns and risk. Our most widely used Barra equity products utilize our fundamental multi-factor equity risk model data to help our clients construct, analyze, optimize and manage equity portfolios. Our multi-factor risk models identify common factors that influence stock price movements, such as industry and style characteristics, based on market and fundamental data. The proprietary risk data available in our products identifies an asset s or a portfolio s sensitivities to these common factors. Risk not attributable to the common factors is risk unique to the asset.

Asset owners often request Barra risk model measurements for portfolio risk and tracking error when selecting investment managers, prescribing investment restrictions and assigning investment mandates. Our clients can use our equity portfolio analytics by installing our proprietary software applications and equity risk data in their technology platforms, by accessing our software applications and risk data via the Internet, by integrating our equity risk data into their own applications or third-party applications, like FactSet, that have incorporated our equity risk data and analytics into their offerings.

Our primary equity portfolio analytics products are:

The Barra Aegis System

Barra Aegis is our flagship equity risk management and analytics system. It is a sophisticated software application for equity risk management and portfolio analysis that is powered by our proprietary equity risk data. It is deployed by the client as a desktop application. Barra Aegis is an integrated suite of equity investment analytics modules, specifically designed to help clients actively manage their equity risk against their expected returns. It also enables clients to construct optimized portfolios based on client-specified expectations and constraints.

Barra Aegis also provides a factor-based performance attribution module which allows clients to analyze realized returns relative to risk factors by sectors, styles, currencies and regions. Barra Aegis tools also help clients identify returns attributable to stock selection skills. Additionally, using Barra Aegis advanced automation tools, clients can back-test their portfolio construction strategies over time.

Equity Models Direct

Our Equity Models Direct product delivers our proprietary risk data to clients for integration into their own software applications. The proprietary risk data in Equity Models Direct is also available via third-party providers. Based on their investment processes, clients select the risk data that best suits their needs. We offer proprietary risk data from the following Barra risk models:

Single Market Equity Risk Models. Our single market equity risk models identify the unique set of factors most able to explain the risk of portfolios in that market. Examples include our USE3 model (i.e., U.S. equity model, version 3) which models risk for U.S. equity assets and portfolios, and our UKE7 model which models risk for United Kingdom equity assets and portfolios. Data from the USE3 equity risk model is our most commonly licensed Barra risk data.

Global Equity Model (*GEM2*). Our global equity risk model utilizes factors that best explain risks associated with multiple-country equity investing.

Barra Integrated Model (*BIM*). Our integrated model provides a detailed view of risk across markets, asset classes and currencies. It begins by identifying the factors that affect the returns of equity and fixed income securities and currencies in many countries around the world. These factors are then combined into a single global model that can forecast the risk of a multi-asset class, global portfolio.

Short-Horizon Equity Models. Our short horizon equity models, designed to forecast risk over a period of one to six months, provide portfolio managers and analysts with more responsive risk forecasts. By using daily data and placing greater emphasis on recent events, the short-horizon models adapt more quickly to changing market conditions and emerging trends.

Multi-Asset Class Portfolio Analytics Products

Our multi-asset class portfolio analytics products offer a consistent risk assessment framework for managing and monitoring investments in a variety of asset classes across an organization. The products are based on proprietary fundamental multi-factor risk models, value-at-risk methodologies and asset valuation models. They enable clients to identify, monitor, report and manage potential market risks from equities, fixed income, derivatives contracts and alternative investments, and to analyze portfolios and systematically analyze risk and return across multiple asset classes. Using these tools, clients can identify the drivers of market risk across their investments, produce daily risk reports, run pre-trade analysis and optimizations, evaluate and monitor multiple asset managers and investment teams and access correlations across a group of selected portfolios.

We have two major products in this area, which differ mainly in how they are delivered to clients and in certain functionality:

The BarraOne System. Clients access BarraOne via the Internet, using their desktop browsers. This product includes modules for risk allocation and risk budgeting, performance attribution, and historical as-of analysis of portfolios.

The Barra TotalRisk System. Clients install TotalRisk on their own information technology infrastructure. This product includes simulation modules that enable clients to perform historical and Monte Carlo value-at-risk calculations.

Currently, we are actively seeking to license subscriptions only to BarraOne and related risk data for multiple asset classes. Most of the features and functionality of Barra TotalRisk have been added to BarraOne, and we are decommissioning Barra TotalRisk. We are currently offering our Barra TotalRisk clients the opportunity to transition to BarraOne.

Other Products

Our other products consist of energy and commodity asset valuation analytics for investors, traders and those hedging investments in these asset classes and fixed income portfolio analytics products to facilitate the investment processes of fixed income investors.

Energy and Commodity Asset Valuation Analytics Products

Our energy and commodity valuation products are software applications that offer a variety of quantitative analytics tools for valuing, modeling and hedging physical assets and derivatives across a number of market segments including energy and commodity assets. These software applications are not provided with any market data or proprietary index or risk data. These products are typically branded FEA and include products such as @Energy, VaRworks and StructureTool.

The Barra Cosmos System for Fixed Income Portfolio Analytics

Barra Cosmos enables global fixed income portfolio managers to manage risk and optimize return in a multi-currency, global bond portfolio. This adaptable product integrates specific bond, derivative and currency strategies to reflect each user s investment style, while monitoring the overall risk exposure of the portfolio. Barra Cosmos is deployed by the client as a desktop application.

Growth Strategy

We have experienced growth in recent years with operating revenues and operating income increasing by 16.5% and 4.5%, respectively, for the year ended November 30, 2008 compared to year ended November 30, 2007, and by 19.1% and 56.5%, respectively, in the fiscal year ended November 30, 2007 compared to the fiscal year ended November 30, 2006.

We believe we are well-positioned for significant growth over time and have a multi-faceted growth strategy that builds on our strong client relationships, products, brands and integral role in the investment process. The number, diversity, size, sophistication and amount of assets held in investment institutions that own, manage and direct financial assets have grown significantly in recent years. These investment institutions increasingly require sophisticated investment management tools such as ours to support their complex and global investment processes. Set forth below are the principal elements of our strategy to grow our company and meet the increasing needs of these institutions for investment decision support tools:

Client Growth. We believe there are significant opportunities to increase the number of users and locations and the number of products we license to existing client organizations, and to obtain new clients in both existing and new geographic markets and client types worldwide. We intend to:

Increase product subscriptions and users within our current client base. Many of our clients use only one or a limited number of our products, and we believe there are substantial opportunities to cross sell our other investment decision support tools. This is particularly the case with respect to our various offerings for the equity investment process. In addition, we will continue to focus on adding new users and new locations for current products with existing clients.

Expand client base in current client types. We plan to add new clients by leveraging our brand strength, our products, our broad access to the global investment community and our strong knowledge of the investment process. This includes client types in which we already have a strong penetration for our flagship international equity index and equity portfolio analytics products.

We also plan to increase licensing of our indices for index-linked investment products to capitalize on their growth in number and variety. The following table demonstrates the success we have experienced as of November 30, 2008 in licensing our equity indices as the basis of ETFs, and we believe there is potential for continued growth and expansion in this market in the future.

Number of Primary Exchange Listings of ETFs Linked to MSCI Equity Indices

	As	As of November 30,	
Region	2008	2007	2006
Americas	76	62	52
EMEA	85	55	38
Asia	6	4	2
Total	167	121	92

The table below sets forth the assets in ETFs linked to our equity indices:

Assets in ETFs Linked to MSCI Indices

	As of November 30,			
MSCI Equity Index	2008	2007	2006	
		(in billions)		
EAFE	\$ 29.6	\$ 51.5	\$ 37.2	
Emerging Markets	22.6	36.4	18.3	
US Broad Market	8.2	9.5	6.9	
Japan	8.0	13.1	15.8	
Europe	4.0	5.3	3.2	
Brazil	3.9	8.3	3.1	
Subtotal	76.3	124.1	84.5	
Other Indices	42.7	67.6	38.0	
Total	\$ 119.0	\$ 191.7	\$ 122.5	

Source: Bloomberg & MSCI.

The value of the assets in ETFs linked to our equity indices as of the last day of the preceding month will be found under the link AUM in ETFs Linked to MSCI Indices on our website at http://ir.msci.com/ beginning on February 2, 2009. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report filed with the SEC.

Expand into client types in which we are underrepresented. We plan to expand into client types in which we do not currently have a leading presence. In particular, we intend to focus on increasing the number of pension funds, sovereign wealth funds and hedge fund managers using our products. For example, many pension funds are familiar with us and our international equity indices because the performance of their equity asset managers is measured in relation to our indices. We believe that our equity and multi-asset class portfolio analytics products would be useful to pension funds managing their investment risk.

Expand global presence. We have a strong presence in the U.S., Western Europe and certain parts of Asia. While we have established a presence in selected markets within the Middle East, Asia, Africa, Eastern Europe and Latin America, there is potential for further penetration and growth in these markets. We intend to leverage our strong brands, reputation, products and existing presence to continue to expand in these markets and gain more clients. For example, we have recently opened sales offices in Boston, Chicago, Shanghai, Stamford, Dubai, Mumbai, and made preparations to open an office in Monterrey, Mexico.

Product Growth. We plan to develop new product offerings and continue to enhance our existing products through internal product development.

Create innovative new equity product offerings and enhancements. In order to maintain and enhance our leadership position, we plan to introduce innovative new products and enhancements to existing products. We maintain an active dialogue with our clients in order to understand their needs and anticipate market developments. Recent launches in our index products include the creation of the MSCI Frontier Market Indices, the MSCI Asia APEX 50 Index and the MSCI Global Minimum Volatility Indices. After extensive client consultations, we launched GEM2, an enhanced version of our global equity model

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

and Aegis 4.2, the corresponding software application. GEM2 provides improved global portfolio risk forecasts and better explanatory power of common sources of asset returns. Within our multi-asset class portfolio analytics product category, significant product launches include BarraOne 3.2 and Monte Carlo Value at Risk.

Expand our presence across all asset classes. We believe our well-established reputation and client base in the equity area as well as our experienced research staff provide us with a strong foundation to become a leading provider of tools for investors in multi-asset class portfolios and other asset classes such as fixed income. We are investing in these products, particularly our web-based multi-asset class software application, BarraOne, as well as our US private equity and US real estate models.

Expand our capacity to design and produce new products. We intend to increase our investments in new model research, data production systems and software application design to enable us to design and produce new products more quickly and cost-effectively. Increasing our ability to process additional models and data, and design and code software applications more effectively, will allow us to respond faster to client needs and bring new products and product enhancements to the market more quickly.

Growth through acquisitions. We intend to actively seek to acquire products, technologies and companies that will enhance, complement or expand our product offerings and client base, as well as increase our ability to provide investment decision support tools to equity, fixed income and multi-asset class investment institutions. We believe that the impact of the global financial crisis on companies in, or serving, the financial services industry may increase the number of attractive acquisition opportunities.

Competitive Advantages

We believe our competitive advantages include the following:

Strong brand recognition. Our indices, portfolio analytics and energy and commodity asset valuation analytics, marketed under the MSCI, Barra and FEA brands, respectively, are well-established and recognized throughout the investment community worldwide. We are an industry leader in international equity indices, equity portfolio analytics tools and energy asset valuation analytics products worldwide. Our brand strength reflects the longstanding quality and widespread use of our products. We believe our products are well-positioned to be the tools of choice for investment institutions increasingly looking to third parties for benchmarking, index-linked product creation, portfolio risk management and related tools.

Strong client relationships and deep understanding of their needs. Our consultative approach to product development, dedication to client support and range of products have helped us build strong relationships with investment institutions around the world. We believe the skills, knowledge and experience of our research, software engineering, data management and production and product management teams enable us to develop and enhance our models, methodologies, data and software applications in accordance with client demands and needs. We consult with our clients and other market participants during the product development and construction process to take into account their actual investment process requirements.

Client reliance on our products. Many of our clients have come to rely on our products in their investment management processes, integrating our products into their performance measurement and risk management processes, where they become an integral part of their daily portfolio management functions. In certain cases, our clients are requested by their customers to report using our tools or data. Consequently, we believe that certain of our clients may experience business disruption and additional costs if they chose to cease using or replace our products.

Sophisticated models with practical application. We have invested significant time and resources for more than three decades in developing highly sophisticated and practical index methodologies and risk models that combine financial theory and investment practice. We enhance our existing models to

reflect the evolution of markets and to incorporate methodological advances in risk forecasting. New models and major enhancements to existing models are reviewed by our model review committee.

Open architecture and transparency. We have an open architecture philosophy. Clients can access our data through our software applications, third-party applications or their own applications. We also recognize that the marketplace is complex and that a competitor in one context may be a supplier or distributor in another context. For example, Standard & Poor s competes with us in index products, supplies index data available in our portfolio analytics software products and jointly developed and maintains GICS and GICS Direct with us. In order to provide transparency, we document and disclose many details of our models and methodologies to our clients so that they can better understand and utilize the tools we offer. We strongly believe this open architecture approach benefits us and our clients.

Global products and operations. Our products cover most major investment markets throughout the world. For example, as of November 30, 2008, our international equity indices included 70 developed, emerging and frontier market countries; and we produced equity risk data for 41 single country models and a model covering an additional 15 European countries; and an integrated multi-asset class risk model that covered 56 equity markets. As of November 30, 2008, our clients were located in 64 countries and many of them have a presence in multiple locations around the world. As of November 30, 2008, our employees were located in 14 countries in order to maintain close contact with our clients and the international markets we follow. We believe our global presence and focus allow us to serve our clients well and capitalize on a great number of business opportunities in many countries and regions of the world.

Highly skilled employees. Our workforce is highly skilled, technical and, in some instances, specialized. In particular, our research and software application development departments include experts in advanced mathematics, statistics, finance, portfolio investment and software engineering, who combine strong academic credentials with market experience. As of November 30, 2008, over 50 of our employees held doctorate degrees. Employees in our diverse global client coverage group collectively held more than 68 MBAs or other Masters degrees. Our employees experience and knowledge gives us access to, and allows us to add value at, the highest levels of our clients organizations.

Extensive historical databases. We have accumulated comprehensive databases of historical global market data and proprietary index and risk data. We believe our substantial and valuable databases of proprietary index and risk data, including over 39 years of certain index data history and over 30 years of certain risk data history, would be difficult and costly for another party to replicate. The information is not available from any single source and would require intensive data checking and quality assurance testing that we have performed over our many years of accumulating this data. Historical data is a critical component of our clients investment processes, allowing them to research and back-test investment strategies and analyze portfolios over many investment and business cycles and under a variety of historical situations and market environments.

Clients

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, we served over 3,100 clients across 64 countries worldwide with 52% of revenue from our client base in the Americas, 33% in EMEA, 8% in Japan and 7% in Asia-Pacific (not including Japan). Our clients include asset owners such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, ETFs, hedge funds and private wealth; and financial intermediaries such as broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants. To calculate the number of our clients, we have counted affiliates, cities and certain business units within a single organization (e.g., buy-side and sell-side business units) as separate clients when they separately subscribe to our products. For example, the asset management and broker-dealer arms of a diversified financial services firm are treated as separate clients. We have enjoyed very

high product subscription Retention Rates. Our Aggregate Retention Rates were 89.9% and 91.9% for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our Core Retention Rates were 92.1% and 93.4% for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For a description of the calculation of our Aggregate and Core Retention Rates, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Financial Metrics and Drivers Retention Rate.

As of November 30, 2008, our equity index products were used by more than 2,300 clients. As of November 30, 2008, our portfolio analytics products were used by over 900 clients worldwide.

Revenues from our ten largest clients contributed a total of 28.6%, 30.8% and 30.5% of revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006, our largest client organization by revenue, Barclays, PLC and its affiliates (Barclays), accounted for 11.0%, 12.6%, and 11.2% of our operating revenues, respectively. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006, approximately 89.7%, 91.5%, and 90.0% of our revenues from Barclays came from fees based on the assets of ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices. In addition, 2.9% and 3.4% of our revenues in the year ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, consisted of revenues from Morgan Stanley, our controlling shareholder.

Marketing

We market our products to investment institutions and service providers worldwide. See Clients above. Our research and product management teams seek to understand our clients investment process and their needs and design tools that help clients address them. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products, our main means of marketing is through face-to-face meetings and 24-hour client support, as described in

Sales and Client Support below. These marketing and support efforts are supplemented by our website, our client seminars, our participation in industry conferences, our ongoing product consultations and research papers, and our public relations efforts.

Members of our research team and other employees regularly speak at industry conferences, as well as at our own seminars. We host over 100 seminars and workshops per year in locations across the globe. These seminars and workshops bring our staff and our clients investment professionals together, expose those professionals to our latest research and product enhancements and give our staff an opportunity to gain insight into our clients needs. Our marketing communications professionals also arrange interviews for our professionals in prominent industry journals and issue press releases on product developments and releases. Our marketing department collaborates with our product specialists to analyze our clients use of our products and to analyze the competitive landscape for our products.

Sales and Client Support

As of November 30, 2008, we employed over 90 sales people and over 20 client support people worldwide. Of these, over 35 were located in our New York headquarters and over 30 were located in our London office. In the last few years we have expanded our sales effort in two ways. We have opened sales offices in Shanghai, Dubai, Mumbai and Boston, Chicago and Stamford, and made preparations to open an office in Monterrey, Mexico. We have also created more teams dedicated solely to the needs of certain client types such as hedge funds, asset owners and broker dealers. In total, our sales and client support staff was based in 18 offices around the world enabling us to provide valuable face-to-face client service.

Our sales people service established clients and develop new ones. Our client support team provides 24-hour support five days a week to our clients as needed. Client support teams focus on different types of clients. We believe that the size, quality, knowledge and experience of our sales and client support staff, as well as their proximity to clients, differentiate us from our competitors. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products

and their uses, our sales and client support staff have strong academic and financial backgrounds. Our sales people are compensated under a salary and bonus system and do not receive commissions.

The sales cycle for new clients varies based on the product. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products, most new sales require one or more face-to-face meetings with the prospective client. Once the sales group has obtained a new client, the client is introduced to our client support team. For Barra-branded products, sales and client support personnel are available to provide intensive on-site training in the use of the models, data and software application underlying each product. They also provide continuing support, which may include on-site visits, telephone support and routine client support needed in connection with the use of the product, all of which are included in the recurring subscription fee.

Product Development and Production

We take a coordinated team approach to product development and production. Our product management, research, data management and production, and software engineering departments are at the center of this process.

Based on a comprehensive understanding of the investment process worldwide, our research department is responsible for developing, reviewing and enhancing our various methodologies and models. Our global data management and production team designs and manages our processes and systems for market data procurement, proprietary data production and quality control. Our software engineering team builds our sophisticated software applications. As part of our product development process, we also commonly undertake extensive consultations with our clients and other market participants to understand their specific needs and investment process requirements. Our product management team facilitates this collaborative product development and production approach.

Research. Our models are developed by a cross-functional research team of mathematicians, statisticians, financial engineers and investment industry experts. As of November 30, 2008, our research department consisted of over 70 employees, including more than 30 who held Ph.Ds. Our research department combines extensive academic credentials with broad financial and investment industry experience. We monitor investment trends and their drivers globally, as well as analyze product-specific needs in areas such as indexing, risk forecasting, portfolio optimization and value-at-risk simulation. An important way we monitor global investment trends and their implications for our business is through the forum provided by our Editorial Advisory Board (EAB). Our EAB, which was established in 1999, meets twice a year and is comprised of senior investment professionals from around the world and senior members of our research team. In 2008, our researchers participated in over 50 industry events and conferences, and their papers have been frequently published in leading academic and industry journals. We sponsor an annual research conference, which took place in 11 cities around the world in 2008, where our researchers discuss their current work, research papers and projects. Our researchers also participate in such discussions at a number of seminars, workshops and webinars we host throughout the year. Our researchers work on both developing new models and methodologies and enhancing existing ones. For example, in our equity index business we announced the MSCI Global Investable Markets Index Series methodology in 2007, which was an enhancement to our then-current International Index Series methodology. This methodology is based on changes we have observed in global equity markets and investing. We also announced other new equity index methodologies, such as the MSCI Global Islamic Indices. In our equity analytics business, we introduced an enhanced Global Equity Model (GEM2) in September 2008. GEM2 is designed to provide improved portfolio risk forecasts and better explanatory power of the sources of portfolio return. Among other things, GEM2 benefits from the use of weekly data and additional risk factors. We have research offices in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

Data Management and Production. As of November 30, 2008, our data management and production team consisted of more than 250 people in eight countries, and involved a combination of information technology and operations specialists. We licensed a large volume and variety of market data for every

major market in the world, including fundamental and return data, from more than 125 third party sources in fiscal 2008. We apply this market data to our models and methodologies to produce our proprietary index and risk data. Our data management and production team oversees this complex process. Our experienced information technology staff builds internal systems and proprietary software and databases that house all of the data we license in order for our data management and production teams to perform data quality checks and run our data production systems. This data factory produces our proprietary index data such as end of day and real time equity indices, and our proprietary risk data such as daily and monthly equity risk forecasts. We have data management and production offices in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

Software Engineering. Certain of our proprietary risk data are made available to clients through our proprietary software applications, such as Barra Aegis, BarraOne and Barra Cosmos. As of November 30, 2008, our software engineering team consisted of over 75 individuals, including 12 who held Ph.Ds, with significant experience in both the finance and software industries. Our staff has an extensive skill set, including expertise in both the Java-based technologies used in our web-based, on-demand software application tool for multi-asset class risk analysis and reporting and the Microsoft-based technologies used in our desktop equity and fixed income analytics software products. We also have extensive experience with database technologies, computational programming techniques, scalability and performance analysis and tuning and quality assurance. We use a customized software development methodology that leverages best practices from the software industry, including agile programming, test-driven development, parallel tracking, iterative cycles, prototyping and beta releases. We build our software applications by compiling multiple components, which enables us to reuse designs and codes in multiple products. Our software development projects involve extensive collaboration with our product management team and directly with clients. We have software engineering offices in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

Our Competition

Many industry participants compete directly with us offering one or more similar products.

Our principal competitors on a global basis for our international equity index products are Dow Jones & Company, Inc. (Dow Jones), FTSE International, Ltd (a joint venture between The Financial Times and The London Stock Exchange) and Standard & Poor s (a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.).

Additionally, we compete with equity index providers whose primary strength is in a local market or region. These include Russell Investment Group (a unit of Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Group) and Standard & Poor s in the U.S.; STOXX Ltd. (a joint venture of Dow Jones, Deutsche Börse AG and the SWX Group) in Europe; and Nikkei Inc., Russell Investment Group and Nomura Securities, Ltd., and Tokyo Stock Exchange, Inc. in Japan. There are also many smaller companies that create custom indices primarily for use as the basis of ETFs.

The principal competitors for our equity portfolio analytics products are Applied Portfolio Technologies (a unit of Sunguard), Axioma, Inc., FactSet Research Systems, Inc., Northfield Information Services, Inc., and Wilshire Analytics. The primary competitors for our multi-asset class portfolio analytics products are Algorithmics (a member of Fimalac S.A.) and RiskMetrics Group, Inc.

Additionally, many of the larger broker-dealers have developed proprietary analytics tools for their clients. Similarly, many investment institutions, particularly the larger global organizations, have developed their own internal analytics tools.

For our other products where our revenues are less significant, we also have a variety of other competitors.

¹²

Employees

As of November 30, 2008, we employed 766 full-time employees and 121 temporary employees worldwide. Of our 121 temporary employees, 82 were consultants who were contracted to work on various projects. Certain services have been provided to us by other Morgan Stanley employees, not included in the numbers above. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors Impacting Comparability of Our Financial Results Our Relationship with Morgan Stanley.

Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us

General

At the time of the IPO, we entered into certain agreements with Morgan Stanley to define our ongoing relationship following the offering and to contemplate our obligations in the event of Morgan Stanley s divestiture of its entire interest in us. Following the IPO, we have also entered into certain agreements with Morgan Stanley in the ordinary course of business. Set forth below are descriptions of certain agreements, relationships and transactions we have with Morgan Stanley.

Services Agreement

On July 21, 2008, we entered into an amended services agreement with Morgan Stanley pursuant to which Morgan Stanley agreed to provide, directly or indirectly through its subsidiaries or subcontractors, services in the areas of human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, tax, office space leasing, corporate services, treasury and other services for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors. The services Morgan Stanley will continue to provide upon owning 50% or less of the total voting power to elect our directors are subject to renegotiation in good faith, and will be provided for a period not to exceed 12 months. As long as Morgan Stanley owns more than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors, payment for these services, will be based on an internal cost allocation methodology based on fully loaded cost (*i.e.*, allocated direct costs of providing the services, plus all related overhead and out-of-pocket costs and expenses) and an allocation to us of a portion of compensation related expenses for Morgan Stanley senior executives, in each case, consistent with past practices. Upon the sale or other disposition of any portion of our business, assets or properties, Morgan Stanley s obligation to provide any service in respect of such disposed business, assets or properties will terminate. Similarly, if our business increases significantly or we acquire any business, assets or properties, Morgan Stanley will not have to provide any services in respect of such increase or acquired business, assets or properties.

The services agreement provides that any obligation of Morgan Stanley to provide a service may be terminated (i) by us upon advance notice to Morgan Stanley or (ii) by either party if the other party has breached its obligations under the agreement relating to the service and has not cured the breach within an agreed upon period of time. In addition, at any time following the announcement of a transaction involving a change of control of us, Morgan Stanley may elect to terminate any and all services it provides, provided that no service will be terminated prior to the closing of the change of control transaction unless agreed to by us.

In general, Morgan Stanley is not liable to us in connection with any service provided under the services agreement except in the case of gross negligence or willful misconduct. We also agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley with respect to liabilities and expenses incurred in connection with any claim, action, proceeding or investigation, whether or not in connection with pending or threatened litigation, arising out of, in connection with or related to services rendered or to be rendered by or on behalf of Morgan Stanley, other than liabilities and expenses resulting from gross negligence or willful misconduct by Morgan Stanley.

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, we paid Morgan Stanley \$32.3 million, which includes \$14.0 million for expenses incurred by Morgan Stanley on our behalf and \$18.3 million for cost allocations related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel, in connection with the amended services agreement.

Tax Sharing Agreement

On November 20, 2007, we entered into a tax sharing agreement with Morgan Stanley setting forth the rights and obligations of Morgan Stanley and us with respect to federal and other income taxes for periods, in which we file returns on a consolidated, combined or unitary basis with Morgan Stanley. Under the terms of the tax sharing agreement, we will be liable for a portion of the consolidated, combined or unitary tax liability, including any liability resulting from adjustments on audit, based on what our liability would have been, as determined by Morgan Stanley, had we and our subsidiaries been a taxable group separate from the Morgan Stanley consolidated group.

Furthermore, under the tax sharing agreement, Morgan Stanley prepared and filed the consolidated federal and applicable consolidated, combined or unitary income tax returns that include taxable periods in which we or a member of our taxable group, on the one hand, and Morgan Stanley or a member of its taxable group, on the other hand, are included. Tax audits and controversies relating to Morgan Stanley or a member of its taxable group, regardless of whether such tax audit or controversy relates to us or a member of our taxable group, will be controlled by Morgan Stanley. However, in certain circumstances we may be entitled to control certain audits or controversies relating to taxes that solely relate to us or a member of our taxable group.

Prior to May 2, 2008, we filed federal income tax returns and certain other income tax returns with Morgan Stanley on a consolidated, combined or unitary basis. After May 2, 2008, we began filing only certain state and local income tax returns with Morgan Stanley on such basis. We expect to continue to file certain state and local income tax returns with Morgan Stanley on a consolidated, combined or unitary basis until Morgan Stanley s voting power to elect our directors falls below 50%. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, Morgan Stanley allocated \$24.0 million to us as our share of tax amounts due pursuant to the tax sharing agreement.

License Agreement

On July 21, 2008, we entered into an amended trademark license agreement with Morgan Stanley which grants us an exclusive royalty-free license to use the Morgan Stanley trademark Morgan Stanley Capital International for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors. Pursuant to the agreement, we must cease using the trademark Morgan Stanley Capital International within 90 days after Morgan Stanley ceases to own greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors. We own the MSCI trademark and plan to continue to use the MSCI brand after Morgan Stanley ceases to own greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors.

Intellectual Property Agreement

On July 21, 2008, we entered into an intellectual property agreement with Morgan Stanley granting both parties a reciprocal, non-exclusive, perpetual, irrevocable, world-wide, royalty-free license to use hardware settings and configurations, generic software libraries and routines and generic document templates owned and not separately commercialized by the granting party, that have been used by the grantee party prior to the date upon which Morgan Stanley ceases to own greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors.

Ongoing Leasehold Arrangements

As of November 30, 2008, we leased an aggregate of approximately 8,800 square feet of office space from Morgan Stanley for our research/operations office in Mumbai, India. The rent and other terms of this lease agreement are consistent with arm s-length commercially reasonable terms for agreements of this type. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, we paid Morgan Stanley \$3.0 million in connection with this and other leases that were terminated during the year.

As of November 30, 2008, our leases in Geneva, Switzerland and Frankfurt, Germany were guaranteed by subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley.

We assumed Morgan Stanley s guarantee for the Geneva office lease effective December 4, 2008.

Morgan Stanley Agreements with Third Parties

Historically, we have received services provided by third parties pursuant to various agreements that Morgan Stanley has entered into for the benefit of its affiliates. We pay the third parties directly for the services they provide to us or reimburse Morgan Stanley through an allocation for our share of the actual costs incurred under the agreements. If Morgan Stanley ceases to own greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors, we intend to continue to procure some of these third-party services through Morgan Stanley to the extent we are permitted (and elect to) or are required to do so.

Shareholder Agreement

On July 21, 2008, we entered into an amended and restated shareholder agreement with Morgan Stanley under which we granted to Morgan Stanley a continuing option, transferable to any of its subsidiaries, to purchase, under certain circumstances, additional shares of class B common stock or shares of any of our nonvoting capital stock (the Options). The Options may be exercised by Morgan Stanley simultaneously with the issuance of any equity securities by us only to maintain Morgan Stanley s ownership percentage of the total voting power of our stock at a minimum of 50.1%. We also agree to indemnify Morgan Stanley with respect to liabilities resulting from our breach of the shareholder agreement. The purchase price of the shares of class B common stock purchased upon any exercise of the Options will be based on the market price at which class A common stock may be purchased by third parties as of the date of delivery of notice of exercise of the Options. The Options will terminate in the event that Morgan Stanley reduces its ownership percentage in us to less than 50.1% of the total voting power of our stock. We do not intend to issue additional shares of class B common stock except pursuant to the exercise of the Options.

For so long as Morgan Stanley s ownership percentage is at least 50.1% of the total voting power of our stock, we will agree to (i) not take any action or enter into any commitment or agreement which, to our knowledge, may reasonably be anticipated to result in a violation or event of default by Morgan Stanley or any of its subsidiaries of applicable law or regulation, any provision of Morgan Stanley s certificate of incorporation or bylaws, any credit agreement or other material agreement of Morgan Stanley, or any judgment, order or decree of any governmental body, agency or court having jurisdiction over Morgan Stanley or its assets; and (ii) not take any action, including the redemption or repurchase of our stock, that has the direct or indirect effect of reducing the amount of our outstanding stock without the prior written approval of Morgan Stanley, if such action would cause Morgan Stanley s ownership percentage of the total voting power of our stock to be less than 50.1%.

Subject to certain limitations, Morgan Stanley may assign certain of its rights under the shareholder agreement to any person that agrees to be bound by certain terms of the shareholder agreement. The shareholder agreement further provides Morgan Stanley with certain registration rights relating to shares of our outstanding common stock held by Morgan Stanley. Subject to certain limitations, Morgan Stanley and its transferees may require us to register, under the Securities Act, all or any portion of the common stock, a so-called demand registration. We are not obligated to effect a demand registration within the six-month period after the effective date of a previous demand registration.

Additionally, Morgan Stanley and its transferees have so-called piggyback registration rights, which means that Morgan Stanley and its transferees may include their respective shares in any future registrations of our equity securities, whether or not that registration relates to a primary offering by us or a secondary offering by or on behalf of any of our shareholders. The demand registration rights and piggyback registrations are each subject to customary market cutback exceptions.

We will pay certain expenses in connection with certain demand or piggyback registrations, except underwriting discounts, commissions and transfer taxes, if any. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, we paid \$1.6 million in expenses pursuant to the amended and restated shareholder agreement. The shareholder

agreement sets forth customary registration procedures, including an agreement by us to make our management available for road show presentations in connection with any underwritten offerings. We also agree to indemnify Morgan Stanley and its transferees with respect to liabilities or expenses resulting from untrue statements or omissions or alleged untrue statements or omissions in any registration statement used in any such registration, other than any actual or alleged untrue statements or omissions resulting from information furnished to us for use in the registration statement by the underwriters, Morgan Stanley or any transferee.

Certain rights of Morgan Stanley and its transferees under the shareholder agreement remain in effect with respect to the shares of class B common stock covered by the agreement for ten years or earlier if those shares have been transferred to persons other than those holding more than 3% of our outstanding common stock.

Under the amended and restated shareholder agreement, as long as Morgan Stanley s ownership percentage of the total voting power of our stock is at least 50.1%, Morgan Stanley has the right to fill any vacancies on our Board of Directors, to increase the size of the Board by creating new directorships. Under the amended and restated shareholder agreement, if Morgan Stanley s ownership percentage of the total voting power of our stock is less than 50.1%, Morgan Stanley has the right to designate two directors of our Board as long as Morgan Stanley owns at least 10% of our outstanding stock.

Credit Facility

On November 14, 2007, we entered into a secured \$500.0 million credit facility with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., as agents for a syndicate of lenders, and other lenders party thereto pursuant to a credit agreement dated as of November 20, 2007 (the Credit Facility). Outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility initially accrued interest at (i) LIBOR plus a fixed margin of 2.50% in the case of the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility and 3.00% in the case of the term loan B facility or (ii) the base rate plus a fixed margin of 1.50% in the case of the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility and 2.00% in the case of the term loan B facility. In April 2008 and again in July 2008, the Company s fixed margin rate was reduced by 0.25%. On November 28, 2008, the rates were adjusted to 4.20% and 4.70% following a LIBOR reset. The term loan A facility and the term loan B facility will mature on November 20, 2012 and November 20, 2014, respectively. At November 30, 2008, \$402.8 million was outstanding and there was \$75.0 million of unused credit under the revolving credit facility. Interest and principal requirements are paid quarterly.

The revolving credit facility is available for working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes (including the financing of permitted acquisitions), subject to certain conditions, and matures on November 20, 2012. Banc of America Securities LLC and an affiliate of Morgan Stanley acted as joint lead arrangers for the Credit Facility. For a description of certain provisions of our Credit Facility, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Asset Purchase Agreement

On July 22, 2008, we entered into an asset purchase agreement with Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated pursuant to which Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and certain other affiliates of Morgan Stanley sold to us certain furniture, equipment and fixtures that we had been using in our business. Historically we had been charged for the use of such assets on a monthly basis by Morgan Stanley. The purchase price of the assets was approximately \$3.5 million.

Available Information

Our corporate headquarters are located at Wall Street Plaza, 88 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005, and our telephone number is (212) 804-3900. We maintain an Investor Relations website on the Internet at <u>www.mscibarra.com</u>. We make available free of charge, on or through this website, our annual, quarterly and

current reports and any amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable following the time they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. To access these, just click on the SEC Filings link found on our Investor Relations homepage.

Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report filed with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer. You should read the section titled Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 1 for a discussion of what types of statements are forward-looking statements, as well as the significance of such statements in the context of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business

If we lose key outside suppliers of data and products or if the data or products of these suppliers have errors or are delayed, we may not be able to provide our clients with the information and products they desire.

Our ability to produce our products and develop new products is dependent upon the products of other suppliers, including certain data, software and service suppliers. Our index and analytics products are dependent upon (and of little value without) updates from our data suppliers and most of our software products are dependent upon (and of little value without) continuing access to historical and current data. As of November 30, 2008, we utilized and distributed certain data provided to us by over 125 data sources, including large volumes of data from certain stock exchanges around the world. If the products of our suppliers have errors, are delayed, have design defects, are unavailable on acceptable terms or are not available at all, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Some of our agreements with data suppliers allow them to cancel on short notice and we have not completed formal agreements with all of our data suppliers, such as certain stock exchanges. Many of these data suppliers compete with one another and, to some extent, with us. From time to time we receive notices from data suppliers threatening to terminate the provision of their data to us. The Korea Exchange has notified us that if we do not reach a formal agreement regarding our use of the Korea Exchange s real time price data, the Korea Exchange will consider instructing the vendor of its real time data to cease supplying us with the data, and/or the Korea Exchange will consider taking legal action. Termination of one or more of our significant data agreements or exclusion from, or restricted use of, or litigation in connection with, a data provider s information could decrease the available information for us to use and offer our clients and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Although data suppliers and stock exchanges typically benefit from broad access to their data, some of our competitors could enter into exclusive contracts with our data suppliers, including with certain stock exchanges. If our competitors enter into such exclusive contracts, we may be precluded from receiving certain data from these suppliers or restricted in our use of such data, which would give our competitors a competitive advantage. Such exclusive contracts would hinder our ability to provide our clients with the data they prefer, which could lead to a decrease in our client base and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some data suppliers may seek to increase licensing fees for providing their content to us. If we are unable to renegotiate acceptable licensing arrangements with these data suppliers or find alternative sources of equivalent content, we may be required to reduce our profit margins or experience a reduction in our market share.

Some of our third-party suppliers also are our competitors, increasing the risks noted above.

Any failure to ensure and protect the confidentiality of client data could adversely affect our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Many of our products exchange information with clients through a variety of media, including the Internet, software applications and dedicated transmission lines. We rely on a complex network of internal process and software controls to protect the confidentiality of client data, such as client portfolio data that may be provided to us or hosted on our systems. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, including any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or if we experience difficulties in implementation of our internal controls, misappropriation of client data could occur. Such internal control inadequacies could damage our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We have implemented information barrier procedures to protect the confidentiality of the material, non-public information regarding changes to the composition of our indices. If our information barrier procedures fail, our reputation could be damaged and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We change the composition of our indices from time to time. We believe that, in some cases, the changes we make to our indices can affect the prices of constituent securities and products based on our indices. Our index clients rely on us to keep information about changes to the future composition of an index confidential and to protect against the misuse of that information until the change to the composition of the index is disclosed to clients. We have implemented information barrier procedures to prevent the unauthorized disclosure and misuse of information regarding changes to the composition of our indices. If our information barrier procedures fail and we inadvertently disclose or an individual deliberately misuses information about a change to one of our indices, our reputation may suffer. Clients loss of trust and confidence in our information barrier policies and procedures could lead to a negative reputation throughout the investment community, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, certain exchanges permit our clients to list exchange traded funds or other financial products based on our indices only if we provide a representation to the exchange that we have reasonable information barrier procedures in place to address the unauthorized disclosure and misuse of material, non-public information about changes to the composition of our indices. If an exchange determines that our information barrier procedures are not sufficient, the exchange might refuse to list or might delist investment products based on our indices, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Legal protections for our intellectual property rights and other rights may not be sufficient or available to protect our competitive advantages. Third parties may infringe on our intellectual property rights, and pending third-party litigation may adversely affect our ability to protect our intellectual property rights.

We consider certain aspects of our products and processes to be proprietary. We rely primarily on a combination of trade secret, patent, copyright and trademark rights, as well as contractual protections and technical measures, to protect our products and processes. Despite our efforts, third parties may still try to challenge, invalidate or circumvent our rights and protections. There is no guarantee that any trade secret, patent, copyright or trademark rights that we may obtain will protect our competitive advantages, nor is there any assurance that our competitors will not infringe upon our rights. Even if we attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through litigation, it may require considerable cost, time and resources to do so, and there is no guarantee that are the same or similar to ours. In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries in which we operate do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S. Also, some elements of our products and processes may not be subject to intellectual property protection.

Trademarks and Service Marks We have registered MSCI, Barra and FEA as trademarks and service marks in the U.S. and in certain foreign countries. We have also registered other product

trademarks and certain service marks in the U.S. and in certain foreign countries. When we enter a new geographic market or introduce a new product brand, there can be no assurance that our existing trademark or service mark of choice will be available. Furthermore, the fact that we have registered trademarks is not an assurance that other companies may not use similar names.

Patents We currently hold nine U.S. and foreign utility patents and one design patent. We currently have 13 U.S. and foreign utility patent applications pending. Patent applications can be extremely costly to process and defend. There can be no assurance that we will be issued any patents that we apply for or that any of the rights granted under any patent that we obtain will be sufficient to protect our competitive advantages.

Copyrights We believe our proprietary software and proprietary data are copyright protected. If a court were to determine that any of our proprietary software or proprietary data, such as our index level data, is not copyright protected, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Confidentiality and Trade Secrets Our license agreements limit our clients right to copy or disclose our proprietary software and data. It is possible, however, that a client might still make unauthorized copies of our proprietary software or data, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. For example, if a client who licensed a large volume of our proprietary historical data made that information publicly available, we might lose potential clients who could freely obtain a copy of the data. We also seek to protect our proprietary software and data through trade secret protection and through non-disclosure agreements with our employees. However, if an employee breaches his or her non-disclosure agreement and reveals a trade secret, we could lose the trade secret protection, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Furthermore, it may be very difficult to ascertain if a former employee is inappropriately using or disclosing our proprietary information. Additionally, the enforceability of our license and non-disclosure agreements and the remedies available to us in the event of a breach vary due to the many different jurisdictions in which our clients and employees are located.

License Agreements Our products are generally made available to end users on a periodic subscription basis under a nontransferable license agreement signed by the client. We also permit access to some data, such as certain index information, through the Internet under on-line licenses that are affirmatively acknowledged by the licensee or under terms of use. The enforceability of on-line licenses and terms of use has not been conclusively determined by the courts. There can be no assurance that third parties will abide by the terms of our licenses or that all of our license agreements will be enforceable.

Pending Third-Party Litigation There is currently litigation pending in the U.S. and abroad regarding whether issuers of index-linked investment products are required to obtain a license from the index owner or whether companies may issue and trade investment products based on a publicly-available index without the need for permission from (or payment to) the index owner. We are not a party to these suits, but they may have a material impact on our business. In a relevant case in 2006, a federal appeals court ruled against Dow Jones & Company, Inc. (Dow Jones) and The McGraw-Hill Companies (McGraw-Hill) in their attempt to prevent International Securities Exchange, Inc. from offering options on ETFs based on Dow Jones and McGraw-Hill s indices. If the courts further determine that a license is not required to issue investment products linked to indices, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. It might also lead to changes in current industry practices such that we would no longer make our index level data publicly available, such as via our website or news media.

Third parties may claim we infringe upon their intellectual property rights.

Third parties may claim we infringe upon their intellectual property rights. Businesses operating in the financial services sector, including our competitors and potential competitors, have in recent years increasingly pursued patent protection for their technologies and business methods. If any third parties were to obtain a patent on an index methodology, risk model or software application, we could be sued for infringement. Furthermore, there is always a risk that third parties will sue us for infringement or misappropriation of other intellectual property rights, such as trademarks, copyrights or trade secrets.

From time to time we receive such notices from others alleging intellectual property infringement or potential infringement. The number of these claims may grow. We have made and expect to continue making expenditures related to the use of technology and intellectual property rights as part of our strategy to manage this risk.

Responding to intellectual property claims, regardless of merit, can consume valuable time, result in costly litigation or cause delays. We may be forced to settle such claims on unfavorable terms, and there can be no assurance that we would prevail in any litigation arising from such claims if such claims are not settled. We may be required to pay damages, required to stop selling or using the affected products or applications or required to enter into royalty and licensing agreements. There can be no assurance that any royalty or licensing agreements will be made, if at all, on terms that are commercially acceptable to us. We may also be called upon to defend partners, clients, suppliers or distributors against such third-party claims under indemnification clauses in our contracts. Therefore, the impact of claims of intellectual property infringement could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our use of open source code could impose unanticipated delays or costs in deploying our products, or impose conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products or keep them confidential.

We rely on open source code to develop software and to incorporate it in our products, as well as to support our internal systems and infrastructure. We monitor our use of open source code to attempt to avoid subjecting our products to conditions we do not intend. The terms of many open source code licenses, however, are ambiguous and have not been interpreted by U.S. courts. Accordingly, there are risks that there may be a failure in our procedures for controlling the usage of open source code or that these licenses could be construed in a manner that could impose unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products. In either event, we could be required to seek licenses from third parties in order to continue offering our products, to make generally available (in source code form) proprietary code that links to certain open source code modules, to re-engineer our products or systems or to discontinue the licensing of our products if re-engineering could not be accomplished on a timely basis. Any of these requirements could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are dependent on the use of third-party software and data, and any reduction in third-party product quality or any failure by us to comply with our licensing requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We rely on third-party software and data in connection with our product development and offerings. We depend on the ability of third-party software and data providers to deliver and support reliable products, enhance their current products, develop new products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes. The third-party software and data we use may become obsolete or incompatible with future versions of our products. We also monitor our use of third-party software and data to comply with applicable license requirements. Despite our efforts, there can be no assurance that such third parties may not challenge our use, resulting in our loss of rights or costly legal actions. Our business could be materially adversely affected if we are unable to timely or effectively replace the functionality provided by software or data that becomes unavailable or fails to operate effectively for any reason. In addition, our operating



costs could increase if license fees for third-party software or data increase or the efforts to incorporate enhancements to third-party or other software or data are substantial. Some of these third-party suppliers are also our competitors, increasing the risks noted above.

If our products fail to perform properly due to undetected errors or similar problems, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.

Products we develop or license may contain undetected errors or defects despite testing. Such errors can exist at any point in a product s life cycle, but are frequently found after introduction of new products or enhancements to existing products. We continually introduce new products and new versions of our products. Despite internal testing and testing by current and potential clients, our current and future products may contain serious defects or malfunctions. If we detect any errors before we release a product, we might have to delay the product release for an extended period of time while we address the problem. We might not discover errors that affect our new or current products or enhancements until after they are deployed, and we may need to provide enhancements to correct such errors. Errors may occur in our products that could have a material adverse effect on our business and could result in harm to our reputation, lost sales, delays in commercial release, third-party claims, contractual disputes, negative publicity, delays in or loss of market acceptance of our products, license terminations or renegotiations, or unexpected expenses and diversion of resources to remedy errors.

Furthermore, our clients may use our products together with their own software, data or products from other companies. As a result, when problems occur, it might be difficult to identify the source of the problem. Even when our products do not cause these problems, the existence of these errors might cause us to incur significant costs, divert the attention of our technical personnel from our product development efforts, impact our reputation, cause significant client relations problems or result in legal claims against us.

Our business is dependent on the financial viability of our clients. If our clients are negatively impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets and are forced to shut-down or consolidate, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

Most of our clients are in the financial services industry. For example, asset managers accounted for 67.6% of our revenues as of November 30, 2008. Stock market volatility, lack of available credit and reduction in revenues in 2008 led to the closure or consolidation of a number of our clients, including asset manager, broker-dealer and hedge fund clients. We expect such events in 2008 to impact our financial results, including our run rates and Aggregate and Core Retention Rates, in the near term. Furthermore, if such trends continue, we may not be able to generate future growth and demand for our products may decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If our clients do not remain financially viable or if the negative conditions in the financial markets persist or worsen, we may be forced to increase our provisions for bad debts, which could adversely affect our profitability.

Our business is dependent on our clients continued investment in equity securities. If our clients significantly reduce their investments in equity securities, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

The majority of our revenues comes from our products that are focused on various aspects of managing or monitoring equity portfolios. To the extent our clients investment emphasis significantly changes from equity to fixed income securities or multi-asset class or derivative strategies, the demand for equity products would likely decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our revenues and earnings are affected by changes in the capital markets, particularly the equity capital markets.

Clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products, such as exchange traded funds and mutual funds, commonly pay us a fee based on the investment product s assets. These asset-based fees make up a significant portion of our revenues. They were 16.9%, 18.6% and 15.0% of revenues for the years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These asset-based fees accounted for 48%, 52% and 45% of the revenues from our ten largest clients in the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Economic uncertainty and volatile capital markets, such as those witnessed in 2008, as well as changing investment styles, may influence an investor s decision to invest in and maintain an investment in an index-linked investment product. For example, as of November 30, 2008, the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$119.0 billion, which was 37.9% lower than the value of such assets as of November 30, 2007. Net asset depreciation accounted for \$99.7 billion of the decline, which was offset by \$27.0 billion in net asset inflows. Also, uncertain market conditions have resulted in investments being directed away from mutual funds. For example, according to the Investment Company Institute, the outflows for equity mutual funds in the United States, year-to-date, as of November 2008 were \$215.7 billion. Continuing economic uncertainty and market volatility could result in the fluctuation of or increased declines in our asset-based fees, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Consolidation within our target markets may affect our business.

Consolidation in the financial services industry could reduce our existing client base and the number of potential clients. For example, stock market volatility and the lack of available credit and reductions in revenues in 2008 led to the closure or merger of a number of our clients, including broker-dealer, asset manager and hedge fund clients. If consolidation continues, it may negatively impact our ability to generate future growth and may reduce demand for our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business is dependent on our clients continuing to measure the performance of their equity investments against equity benchmarks. If our clients discontinue use of equity benchmarks to measure performance, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our equity index products serve as equity benchmarks against which our clients can measure the performance of their investments. If clients decide to measure performance on an absolute return basis instead of against an equity benchmark, the demand for our indices could decrease. Any such decrease in demand for our equity index products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our clients that pay us a fee based on the assets of an investment product may seek to negotiate a lower asset-based fee percentage or may cease using our indices, which could limit the growth of or decrease our revenues from asset-based fees.

A portion of our revenues are from asset-based fees and these revenues are concentrated in some of our largest clients. Our clients may seek to negotiate a lower asset-based fee percentage for a variety of reasons. As the assets of index-linked investment products managed by our clients change, they may request to pay us lower asset-based fee percentages. Additionally, as competition among our clients increases, they may have to lower the fees they charge to their clients, which could cause them to try to decrease our fees accordingly. For example, competition is intense and increasing among our clients that provide exchange traded funds. The fees they charge their clients are one of the competitive differentiators for these exchange traded fund managers. Additionally, clients that have licensed our indices to serve as the basis of index-linked investment products are generally not required to continue to use our indices and could elect to cease offering the product or could change the index to a non-MSCI index, in which case our asset-based fees could dramatically decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We must continue to introduce new products and product enhancements to address our clients changing needs, market changes and technological developments.

The market for our products is characterized by shifting client demands, evolving market practices and, for some of our products, rapid technological change. Changed client demands, new market practices or new technologies can render existing products obsolete and unmarketable. As a result, our future success will continue to depend upon our ability to develop new products and product enhancements that address the future needs of our target markets and respond to technological and market changes. We may not be successful in developing, introducing, marketing and licensing our new products or product enhancements on a timely and cost effective basis, or at all, and our new products and product enhancements may not adequately meet the requirements of the marketplace or achieve market acceptance. In addition, clients may delay purchases in anticipation of new products or product enhancements.

A limited number of clients account for a material portion of our revenue. Cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses by any of these clients could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, revenues from our ten largest clients accounted for 28.6%, 30.8% and 30.5% of our total revenues, respectively. If we fail to obtain a significant number of new clients or if one of our largest clients cancels its subscriptions or investment product licenses and we are unsuccessful in replacing those subscriptions or licenses, our business, financial condition or results of operation could be materially adversely affected. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, our largest client organization by revenue, Barclays PLC and affiliates, accounted for 11.0%, 12.6% and 11.2% of our total revenues, respectively. For the fiscal year 2008, approximately 89.7% of the revenue from Barclays came from fees based on the assets in Barclays exchange traded funds based on MSCI indices.

Cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses or renegotiation of terms by a significant number of clients could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our primary commercial model is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products for use at a specified location and by a given number of users. For most of our products, our clients may cancel their subscriptions or investment product licenses at the end of the current term. While we believe this practice supports our marketing efforts by allowing clients to subscribe without the requirement of a long-term commitment, the cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses by a significant number of clients at any given time may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our clients may become more self-sufficient, which may reduce demand for our products and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our clients may develop independently certain functionality contained in the products they currently license from us. For example, some of our clients who currently license our risk data to analyze their portfolio risk may develop their own tools to collect data and assess risk, making our products unnecessary for them. To the extent that our clients become more self-sufficient, demand for our products may be reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Increased competition in our industry may cause price reductions or loss of market share, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We face competition across all markets for our products. Our competitors range in size from large companies with substantial resources to small, single-product businesses that are highly specialized. Our larger competitors may have access to more resources and may be able to achieve greater economies of scale, and our

competitors that are focused on a narrower product line may be more effective in devoting technical, marketing and financial resources to compete with us. In addition, barriers to entry to create a single-purpose product may be low in many cases. The Internet as a distribution channel has allowed free or relatively inexpensive access to information sources, which has reduced barriers to entry even further. Low barriers to entry could lead to the emergence of new competitors; for example, broker-dealers and data suppliers could begin developing their own proprietary risk analytics or equity indices. These competitive pressures may also result in fewer clients, fewer subscriptions or investment product licenses, price reductions, and increased operating costs, such as for marketing, resulting in lower revenue, gross margins and operating income.

Increased accessibility to free or relatively inexpensive information sources may reduce demand for our products and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In recent years, more free or relatively inexpensive information has become available, particularly through the Internet, and we expect this trend to continue. The availability of free or relatively inexpensive information may reduce demand for our products. Weak economic conditions also can result in clients seeking to utilize lower-cost information that is available from alternative sources. To the extent that our clients choose to use these sources for their information needs, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

Our growth and profitability may not continue at the same rate as we have experienced in the past, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We have experienced significant growth during our operating history. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain the levels of growth and profitability that we have experienced in the past. Among other things, there can be no assurance that we will be as successful in our marketing efforts as we have been in the past, or that such efforts will result in growth or profit margins comparable to those we have experienced in the past. For example, our attempt to expand our index products to include fixed income indices was not as successful as we anticipated, so we decided to terminate our efforts to develop proprietary fixed income indices. See We must continue to introduce new products and product enhancements to address our clients changing needs, market changes and technological developments above, We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise below, Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 1. Business. Any failure to continue to grow our business and maintain profitability could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our growth may place significant strain on our management and other resources.

We must plan and manage our growth effectively to increase revenue and maintain profitability. Our growth has placed, and is expected to continue to place, significant demands on our personnel, management and other resources. We must continue to improve our operational, financial, management, legal and compliance processes and information systems to keep pace with the growth of our business. There can also be no assurance that, if we continue to grow internally or by way of acquisitions, management will be effective in attracting and retaining additional qualified personnel, expanding our physical facilities and information technology infrastructure, integrating acquired businesses or otherwise managing growth. Any failure to effectively manage growth or to effectively manage the business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. See We must continue to introduce new products and product enhancements to address our clients changing needs, market changes and technological developments above, We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise below, Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 1 Business.

²⁴

There is considerable risk embedded in growth through acquisitions, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

A principal element of our growth strategy is growth through acquisitions. Any future acquisitions could present a number of risks, including:

incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of acquired operations or assets or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of acquiring operations or assets;

failure to integrate the operations or management of any acquired operations or assets successfully and on a timely and cost effective basis;

failure to achieve assumed synergies;

insufficient knowledge of the operations and markets of acquired businesses;

increased debt, which may be incurred under terms less favorable than those associated with our current debt;

dilution of your common stock;

loss of key personnel;

diversion of management s attention from existing operations or other priorities; and

inability to secure, on terms we find acceptable, sufficient financing that may be required for any such acquisition or investment. In the event that we experience a high level of acquisition related activity within a limited period of time the possibility of occurrence of these risks would likely increase for that period. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in completing acquisitions of other businesses, operations or assets or if such opportunities for expansion do not arise, our future growth, business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk.

We are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk. Exchange rate movements can impact the U.S. dollar reported value of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies or where the currency of such items is different than the functional currency of the entity where these items were recorded.

Substantially all of our revenues from our index linked investment products are based on fees earned on the value of assets invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For all operations outside the United States where the Company has designated the local non-U.S. dollar currency as the functional currency, revenue and expenses are translated using average monthly exchange rates and assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates. For these operations, currency translation adjustments arising from a change in the rate of exchange between the functional currency and the U.S. dollar are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders equity. In addition, transaction gains and losses arising from a change in exchange rates for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity are reflected in other non-operating income (expense).

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

Revenues from index-linked investment products represented approximately 16.9% and 18.6% of operating revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. While our fees for index-linked investment products are generally invoiced in U.S. dollars, the fees are based on the investment product s assets, substantially all of which are invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, declines in such other currencies against the U.S. dollar will decrease the fees payable to us under such licenses. In addition, declines in such currencies against the U.S. dollar could impact the attractiveness of such investment products resulting in net fund outflows, which would further reduce the fees payable under such licenses.

We generally invoice our clients in U.S. dollars; however, we invoice a portion of our clients in euros, pounds sterling, Japanese yen and a limited number of other non-U.S. dollar currencies. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, approximately 14.1% and 15.3%, respectively, of our operating revenues were invoiced in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Approximately half of our foreign currency revenues were in euros and a quarter in pounds sterling and Japanese yen, respectively, over those periods.

We are exposed to additional foreign currency risk in certain of our operating costs. Although our expenses are generally in U.S. dollars, some of our operating expenses are incurred in non-U.S. dollar denominated currencies. Approximately 27.5% and 23.1%, of our operating expenses for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were denominated in foreign currencies, the significant majority of which were denominated in Swiss francs, pounds sterling, Hong Kong dollars, euros, Japanese yen, and Hungarian forint. Expenses incurred in foreign currency may increase as we expand our business outside the U.S. and replace services provided by Morgan Stanley which are paid in U.S. dollars.

We have certain assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than local functional amounts and when these balances were remeasured into their local functional currency, a loss resulted from the devaluation of the value of the functional currency. As a result of these positions, we recognized foreign currency exchange losses of \$4.3 million for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008. These losses on foreign currency exchange were primarily due to the strengthening of the US dollar. We do not currently hedge the foreign exchange risk of assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

To the extent that our international activities recorded in local currencies increase in the future, our exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates will correspondingly increase and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Changes in government regulations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The financial services industry is subject to extensive regulation at the federal and state levels, as well as by foreign governments. It is very difficult to predict the future impact of the broad and expanding legislative and regulatory requirements affecting our business and our clients businesses. If we fail to comply with any applicable laws, rules or regulations, we could be subject to fines or other penalties. There can be no assurance that changes in laws, rules or regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Investment Advisers Act We believe that our products do not provide investment advice for purposes of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Future developments in our product line or changes to the current laws, rules or regulations could cause this status to change. It is possible we may become registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act or similar laws in states or foreign jurisdictions. As a registered investment adviser, we would be subject to the requirements and regulations of the Investment Advisers Act, which relate to, among other things, fiduciary duties, recordkeeping and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on agency and principal transactions between an adviser and advisory clients, as well as general anti-fraud prohibitions. We may also be adversely affected as a result of new or revised legislation or regulations imposed by the SEC, other U.S. or foreign governmental regulatory authorities or self-regulatory organizations that supervise the financial markets around the world. In addition, we may be adversely affected by changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules by these governmental authorities and self-regulatory organizations. It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. Compliance with any new laws or regulations could make compliance more difficult and expensive and affect the manner in which we conduct business.

Data Privacy Legislation Changes in laws, rules or regulations, or consumer environments relating to consumer privacy or information collection and use may affect our ability to collect and use data. There could be a material adverse impact on our direct marketing, data sales and business due to the enactment of legislation or industry regulations, or simply a change in customs, arising from public concern over consumer privacy issues. Restrictions could be placed upon the collection, management, aggregation and use of information that is currently legally available, in which case our cost of collecting some kinds of data could materially increase. It is also possible that we could be prohibited from collecting or disseminating certain types of data, which could affect our ability to meet our clients needs.

Soft Dollars Approximately 12%, 13% and 12% of our revenues were paid through soft dollar arrangements for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. U.S. clients accounted for 62%, 68% and 76% of total soft dollar revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. On July 18, 2006, the SEC issued Interpretive Release No. 34-54165, which became effective on July 24, 2006. The release provides guidance on asset managers use of client commissions to pay for brokerage and research services within the scope of Section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). The Interpretive Release outlines a framework for determining what types of research services fall within the safe harbor provisions of that section. Market participants had a six-month grace period that ended on January 24, 2007 to bring their soft dollar practices into compliance with the new guidance. We rely on our clients to determine whether our products fall within the description of eligible research services, whether our products provide lawful and appropriate assistance to the money manager in undertaking investment decisions, and whether the commissions are reasonable in relation to the value of the products provided for their particular business in the U.S. and abroad. If clients decide they cannot or will not pay for our products through soft dollar arrangements, or if additional rules are issued or certain interpretations are followed that narrow the definition of research or brokerage services that can be paid for on behalf of a money manager through use of soft dollars in the U.S. or abroad or the safe harbor provisions of Section 28(e) of the Exchange Act are eliminated, our revenues could decrease.

We may become subject to liability based on the use of our products by our clients.

Our products support the investment processes of our clients, which, in the aggregate, manage trillions of dollars of assets. Our client agreements have provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential liability claims brought by our clients or third parties based on the use of our products. However, these provisions have certain exceptions and could be invalidated by unfavorable judicial decisions or by federal, state, foreign or local laws. Use of our products as part of the investment process creates the risk that clients, or the parties whose assets are managed by our clients, may pursue claims against us for very significant dollar amounts. Any such claim, even if the outcome were to be ultimately favorable to us, would involve a significant commitment of our management, personnel, financial and other resources and could have a negative impact on our reputation. In addition, such claims and lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our indebtedness could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

On November 14, 2007, we entered into the \$500.0 million Credit Facility. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us Credit Facility and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources. As of November 30, 2008, we had \$402.8 million of indebtedness under the Credit Facility (\$380.5 million in long term debt and \$22.3 million in current maturities) and cash and cash equivalents of \$268.1 million.

The Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries and secured by a valid and perfected first priority lien and security interest in substantially all of the shares of the capital stock of our present and future domestic subsidiaries and up to 65% of the shares

of capital stock of our foreign subsidiaries, substantially all of our and our domestic subsidiaries present and future property and assets and the proceeds thereof. In addition, the Credit Facility contains restrictive covenants that limit our ability and our existing future subsidiaries abilities to, among other things, incur liens; incur additional indebtedness; make or hold investments; merge, dissolve, liquidate, consolidate with or into another person; sell, transfer or dispose of assets; pay dividends or other distributions in respect of our capital stock; change the nature of our business; enter into any transactions with affiliates other than on an arm s length basis (except as described in Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us); and prepay, redeem or repurchase debt.

The Credit Facility also requires us and our subsidiaries to achieve specified financial and operating results and maintain compliance with the following financial ratios on a consolidated basis: (1) the maximum total leverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall not exceed (a) 3.75:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 3.25:1.0 thereafter; and (2) the minimum interest coverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall be (a) 3.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 through November 30, 2010 a

In addition, our Credit Facility contains the following affirmative covenants, among others: periodic delivery of financial statements, budgets and officer s certificates; payment of other obligations; compliance with laws and regulations; payment of taxes and other material obligations; maintenance of property and insurance; performance of material leases; right of the lenders to inspect property, books and records; notices of defaults and other material events; and maintenance of books and records.

In addition, we may need to incur additional indebtedness in the future in the ordinary course of business. Our level of indebtedness could increase our vulnerability to general economic consequences; require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow and proceeds of any additional equity issuances to payments of our indebtedness; make it difficult for us to optimally capitalize and manage the cash flow for our business; limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the markets in which we operate; place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that have less debt; limit our ability to borrow money or sell stock to fund our working capital and capital expenditures; limit our ability to consummate acquisitions; and increase our interest expense.

We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise. If we fail to attract and retain the necessary qualified personnel, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The development, maintenance and support of our products is dependent upon the knowledge, experience and ability of our highly skilled, educated and trained employees. Accordingly, the success of our business depends to a significant extent upon the continued service of our executive officers and other key management, research, sales and marketing, information technology and other technical personnel. Although we do not believe that we are dependent upon any individual employee, the loss of a group of our key professional employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We believe our future success will also depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, research, sales and marketing, information technology, software engineering and other technical personnel. Competition for such personnel worldwide is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel. If we fail to attract and retain the necessary qualified personnel our products may suffer, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business relies heavily on electronic delivery systems and the Internet, and any failures or disruptions may materially adversely affect our ability to serve our clients.

We depend heavily on the capacity, reliability and security of our electronic delivery systems and the Internet. Heavy use of our electronic delivery systems and other factors such as loss of service from third parties, operational failures, sabotage, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering or hacking, human

error, national disasters, power loss or computer viruses could cause our systems to operate slowly or interrupt their availability for periods of time. Our ability to effectively use the Internet may be impaired due to infrastructure failures, service outages at third-party Internet providers or increased government regulation. If disruptions, failures or slowdowns of our electronic delivery systems or the Internet occur, our ability to distribute our products effectively and to serve our clients may be materially and adversely affected.

Catastrophic events could lead to interruptions in our operations, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our operations depend on our ability to protect our equipment and the information stored in our databases against fires, floods, earthquakes and other natural disasters, as well as power losses, computer and telecommunications failures, technological breakdowns, unauthorized intrusions, terrorist attacks on sites where we or our clients are located, and other catastrophic events. We also depend on accessible office facilities for our employees in order for our operations to function properly. There is no assurance that the business continuity measures we have taken to reduce the risk of interruption in our operations caused by these events will be sufficient.

Such events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. For example, immediately after the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, our clients who were located in the World Trade Center area were concentrating on disaster recovery rather than licensing additional products. In addition, delivery of some of the data we receive from New York-based suppliers was delayed. The grounding of air transportation impaired our ability to conduct sales visits and other meetings at client sites. During the resulting temporary closure of the U.S. stock markets, some of the data updates supporting our products were interrupted. These types of interruptions could affect our ability to sell and deliver products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Although we currently estimate that the total cost of developing and implementing our business continuity measures will not have a material impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations, we cannot provide any assurance that our estimates regarding the timing and cost of implementing these measures will be accurate.

We are subject to political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks as a result of our international operations, which could adversely impact our businesses in many ways.

As we continue to expand our international operations, we increase our exposure to political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks that are inherent in operating in many countries, including risks of possible capital controls, exchange controls and other restrictive governmental actions, as well as the outbreak of hostilities or political and governmental instability. We have recently opened sales offices in Shanghai, Dubai and Mumbai and have made preparations to open an office in Monterrey, Mexico. We have also established and intend to further grow our presence in the Middle East, Asia, Africa, Eastern Europe and Latin America. For example, on November 30, 2008, we had 141 full-time employees in Mumbai and Budapest, compared to 67 on November 30, 2007. In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the financial services industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for us to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market. Our inability to remain in compliance with local laws in a particular market could have a significant and negative effect not only on our businesses in that market but also on our reputation generally.

We may incur unanticipated costs in connection with establishing and maintaining offices in emerging market locations.

Our plans call for us to increase the proportion of our employees in emerging market locations. The cost of establishing and maintaining these offices, including costs related to information technology infrastructure, as well as the costs of attracting and retaining employees in these locations may be higher, or may increase at a faster rate, than we anticipate which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Relationship with Morgan Stanley

Morgan Stanley owns a controlling interest in our company and the interests of Morgan Stanley may conflict with ours and with those of our other shareholders.

As of November 30, 2008, Morgan Stanley owned 100.0% of the outstanding shares of our class B common stock. Our class A common stock has one vote per share, and our class B common stock generally has five votes per share other than with respect to a limited number of matters specified in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (such as approval of transactions by which a third-party might acquire control of us). As of November 30, 2008, Morgan Stanley, as the only holder of shares of class B common stock, controlled approximately 65.7% of the combined voting power of all classes of voting stock, except when amending or altering any provision of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws so as to adversely affect the rights of the other class. For example, Morgan Stanley is able to direct the election of all of the members of our Board of Directors, who determine our strategic plans, approve major financing decisions and appoint top management. In addition, as a holder of all of our class B common stock, Morgan Stanley may seek to cause us to take courses of action that, in its judgment, could enhance its investment in us, but which might involve risks to holders of our class A common stock or adversely affect us or other investors. Because Morgan Stanley is interests as our controlling shareholder may differ from other investors interests, actions taken by Morgan Stanley with respect to us may not be favorable to other investors.

We have also entered into an amended services agreement and a number of other agreements with Morgan Stanley setting forth various matters governing our relationship with Morgan Stanley while it remains a significant shareholder in us. For a description of these agreements, see Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us. These agreements govern the provision of corporate services to us and are likely to affect our ability to make certain acquisitions or to merge or consolidate or to sell all or substantially all our assets. The rights of Morgan Stanley under these agreements may allow Morgan Stanley to delay or prevent an acquisition of us or prevent a redemption or repurchase of our common stock that our other shareholders, including you, may consider favorable. We may not be able to terminate these agreements or amend them in a manner we deem more favorable, except in accordance with their terms.

Conflicts of interest may arise between Morgan Stanley and us that could be resolved in a manner unfavorable to us.

Questions relating to conflicts of interest may arise between Morgan Stanley and us in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships. Areas in which conflicts of interest between Morgan Stanley and us could arise include, but are not limited to, the following:

Cross officerships, directorships and stock ownership. The ownership interests of our directors or executive officers in the common stock of Morgan Stanley or service as a director or officer of both Morgan Stanley and us could create, or appear to create, conflicts of interest when directors and executive officers are faced with decisions that could have different implications for the two companies. For example, these decisions could relate to (i) the nature, quality and cost of services rendered to us by Morgan Stanley, (ii) disagreement over the desirability of a potential business or acquisition opportunity or business plans, (iii) employee retention or recruiting or (iv) our dividend policy.

Intercompany transactions. From time to time Morgan Stanley or its affiliates may enter into transactions with us or our subsidiaries or other affiliates. For example, we may provide Morgan Stanley with licenses to certain of our products. Although the terms of any such transactions will be established based upon negotiations between Morgan Stanley and us and, when appropriate, subject to the approval of the independent directors on our Board of Directors or a committee of disinterested directors, the terms of any such transactions may not be as favorable to us or our subsidiaries or affiliates as may otherwise be obtained in arm s length negotiations.

Intercompany agreements. We entered into certain agreements with Morgan Stanley pursuant to which Morgan Stanley provides us with certain human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, tax, office space leasing, corporate services, treasury and other services for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors. The services Morgan Stanley will continue to provide upon owning 50% or less of the total voting power to elect our directors are subject to renegotiation in good faith, and will be provided for a period not to exceed 12 months. It is expected, for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors, that payments for these services will be based on an internal cost allocation methodology based on fully loaded cost (*i.e.*, allocated direct costs of providing the services, plus all related overhead and out-of-pocket costs and expenses) and an allocation to us of a portion of compensation-related expenses for Morgan Stanley senior executives, in each case, consistent with past practices. In addition, conflicts could arise in the interpretations of any extension or renegotiation of these agreements. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us.

Business opportunities. Several of our directors, Morgan Stanley and affiliates of Morgan Stanley may have or make investments in other companies that may compete with us. Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that we have renounced any interest in related business opportunities and that our directors who are employees of Morgan Stanley or its affiliates (other than us) have no obligation to offer us those opportunities. As a result of these charter provisions, our future competitive position and growth potential could be adversely affected.

Our historical financial results are derived from our results as a subsidiary of Morgan Stanley and include allocated costs for functions historically provided by Morgan Stanley and therefore may not be representative of our results as a stand-alone company and may not be a reliable indicator of our future results.

Our historical financial information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K does not necessarily reflect the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows we would have achieved as a stand-alone company during the periods presented and may not be indicative of the results we will achieve over time as a stand-alone public company. The historical costs and expenses reflected in our consolidated financial statements include an allocation for certain corporate functions historically provided by Morgan Stanley, including portions of human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, tax, office space leasing, corporate services and treasury. These allocations were based on what we and Morgan Stanley considered to be reasonable reflections of the historical utilization levels of these services required in support of our business. The historical information does not necessarily indicate what our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows or costs and expenses will be over time, or that our costs as a stand-alone company will be similar. For additional information, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data and the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Since the completion of our IPO in November 2007, we have been establishing our own financial, administrative and other support functions to replace those services provided by Morgan Stanley and we cannot assure you that over time we will be able to operate our business as effectively or cost efficiently as Morgan Stanley.

Historically, we have relied on certain financial, administrative and other resources of Morgan Stanley to operate our business both domestically and internationally. We completed our IPO in November 2007 and as a result of our IPO, we began enhancing certain financial, legal and compliance, administrative, information technology and other support systems and processes or contracting with third parties to replace Morgan Stanley s systems.

At the time of the IPO, we entered into a services agreement, which was subsequently amended, with Morgan Stanley pursuant to which Morgan Stanley will continue to provide some of these services to us for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors. The services Morgan Stanley will continue to provide upon owning 50% or less of the total voting power to elect our directors are subject to renegotiation in good faith, and will be provided for a period not to exceed 12 months.

For so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors, compensation for services under the amended services agreement with Morgan Stanley will be determined, consistent with past practices, using an internal cost allocation methodology based on fully loaded cost (*i.e.*, allocated direct costs of providing the services, plus all related overhead and out-of-pocket costs and expenses), as well as the portion of compensation related expenses for Morgan Stanley senior executives as allocated to us. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us for a description of these arrangements. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, expenses related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel were \$18.3 million, \$26.4 million and \$23.1 million, respectively. For the three-month periods ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, expenses related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel were \$2.3 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. We currently rely on Morgan Stanley primarily for certain IT-related services. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us.

The services we have obtained to replace those provided by Morgan Stanley have been established within the last year and may not be sufficient to meet our needs. Upon the sale or other disposition of any portion of our business, assets or properties, Morgan Stanley s obligation to provide any service in respect of such disposed business, assets or properties will terminate. Similarly, if our business increases significantly or we acquire any business, assets or properties, Morgan Stanley will not have to provide any services in respect of such increase or acquired business, assets or properties. These services may not be sufficient to meet our needs and, after these agreements with Morgan Stanley terminate, we may not be able to replace these services at all or obtain these services at acceptable prices and terms. Any failure or significant downturn in our financial or administrative policies and systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In connection with our IPO, we entered into agreements with Morgan Stanley relating to the ongoing provision of services and other matters that may be on terms less favorable to us than if they had been negotiated with another party, and we agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley for, among other things, certain past, present and future liabilities related to our business.

In connection with our IPO, we entered into agreements with Morgan Stanley relating to the ongoing provision of services and other matters while still a majority-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. Accordingly, the terms of these agreements may not reflect those that would have been reached with another party on an arm s-length basis. If these agreements were entered into with another party, we may have obtained more favorable terms. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us.

Pursuant to certain of these agreements, we agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley for, among other matters, certain past, present and future liabilities related to our business. Such liabilities include certain unknown liabilities, which could be significant.

We may experience increased costs resulting from a decrease in the purchasing power and other operational efficiencies we have had due to our association with Morgan Stanley.

We have been able to take advantage of Morgan Stanley s purchasing power in the U.S. and internationally in procuring goods, technology and services, including market data, travel, insurance, employee benefit support and audit services. As a stand-alone company, we may be unable to obtain goods, technology and services at prices and on terms as favorable as those available to us prior to becoming a stand-alone company, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Additionally, if we are unable to continue to file combined returns with Morgan Stanley, our tax liability may also increase due to increased income taxed in certain state and local jurisdictions where combined filings were previously made with Morgan Stanley.

Further, Morgan Stanley has been influential in our ability to attract and retain research, sales and marketing, information technology, software engineering and other personnel. As a stand-alone company, it may be more difficult and costly for us to attract and retain such personnel. The development, maintenance, support and use of our products is dependent upon the knowledge, experience and ability of our highly skilled, educated and trained employees. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. See Risks Related to Our Business We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise. If we fail to attract and retain the necessary qualified personnel, our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may experience a loss in the marketing and reputational value we currently have due to our association with the Morgan Stanley name.

We believe we have received marketing and reputational benefits from our association with the Morgan Stanley brand name. As a stand-alone company that may no longer be the case. Consequently, our ability to retain existing clients and attract new clients and our reputation may be adversely affected.

The obligations associated with being a public company require significant resources and management attention.

As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act). The Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we establish and maintain effective internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. All of the procedures and practices required as a majority-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley were previously established, but we have established additional procedures and practices as a stand-alone public company. As a result, we have incurred and will continue to incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not previously incur. Furthermore, the need to establish the corporate infrastructure demanded of a public company may divert management s attention from implementing our growth strategy, which could prevent us from improving our business, results of operations and financial condition. We have made, and will continue to make, changes to our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting and accounting systems to meet our reporting obligations as a stand-alone public company. However, the measures we take may not be sufficient to satisfy our obligations as a public company. In addition, we cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur in order to comply with these requirements.

We are a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange rules and, as a result, are exempt from certain corporate governance requirements.

Morgan Stanley continues to control a majority of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. As a result, we are a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards. Under the New York Stock Exchange rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by another company is a controlled company and need not comply with certain requirements, including (1) the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) the requirement that the nominating/corporate governance committee be composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee s purpose and responsibilities, (3) the requirement that the compensation committee be composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee s purpose and responsibilities and (4) the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/corporate governance and compensation committees. We intend to

utilize these exemptions. As a result, we will not have a majority of independent directors nor will our Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees consist entirely of independent directors. Accordingly, shareholders do not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are subject to all of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Class A Common Stock

Because holders of the shares of class B common stock will control the majority of the voting power of all classes of voting stock, other shareholders will not be able to determine the outcome of shareholder votes.

Holders of our class A common stock have one vote per share, and holders of our class B common stock generally have five votes per share, other than with respect to a limited number of matters specified in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (such as approval of transactions by which a third-party might acquire control of us). Morgan Stanley, as the holder of all of our shares of class B common stock controls approximately 65.7% of the combined voting power of all classes of voting stock other than with respect to those matters. For example, Morgan Stanley is able to direct the election of all of the members of our Board of Directors, who determine our strategic plans (including certain acquisitions), approve major financing decisions and appoint top management. In addition, the holders of the class B common stock may seek to cause us to take courses of action that, in their judgment, could enhance their investment in us, but which might involve risks to holders of our class A common stock or adversely affect us or other investors. All of the class B common stock is beneficially owned by Morgan Stanley and class B shares are only transferable to Morgan Stanley, Capital Group International or one of their subsidiaries or affiliates automatically convert into one share of class A common stock upon such disposition. Morgan Stanley may in the future decide to divest all or a portion of its interest in the class B common stock.

If equity research analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our class A common stock, the price of our class A common stock could decline.

The trading market for our class A common stock relies in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about us and our business. The price of our stock could decline if one or more securities analysts downgrade our stock or if those analysts issue other unfavorable commentary or cease publishing reports about us or our business.

The market price of our class A common stock may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for you.

For example, some of the factors that may cause the market price of our class A common stock to fluctuate include:

fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;

changes in operating margins due to variability in revenues from licensing our equity indices as the basis of ETFs;

changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;

failure of any of our products to achieve or maintain market acceptance;

failure to produce or distribute our products;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

success of competitive products;

changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of securities or the incurrence of additional debt;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant products, contracts, acquisitions or strategic alliances;

regulatory developments in the U.S., foreign countries or both;

litigation involving our company, our general industry or both;

additions or departures of key personnel;

investors general perception of us, including any perception of misuse of sensitive information;

changes in general economic, industry and market conditions; and

changes in regulatory and other dynamics.

In addition, if the market for stocks in our industry, or the stock market in general, experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our class A common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations. If any of the foregoing occurs, it could cause our stock price to fall and may expose us to lawsuits that, even if unsuccessful, could be costly to defend and a distraction to management.

Future sales of our common stock, or the perception that such sales may occur, could depress our class A common stock price.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, or the perception that such sales may occur, could depress the market price of our class A common stock. This would include sales by Morgan Stanley and restricted shares of class A common stock and options to purchase shares of class A common stock granted in connection with our IPO and pursuant to our equity incentive compensation plan.

As of November 30, 2008, 72,354,383 shares of our class A common stock were outstanding and freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended by persons other than our affiliates within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act. As of November 30, 2008, Morgan Stanley held 27,708,653.79 shares of our class B common stock. Any shares of class B common stock transferred to a person other than Morgan Stanley, Capital Group International or one of their subsidiaries or affiliates automatically converts into one share of class A common stock, upon such disposition.

In November 2007, we filed a registration statement registering under the Securities Act the 12,500,000 shares of class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of incentive awards to our officers and certain of our employees pursuant to the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan and the 500,000 shares of class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of equity awards made to our directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley pursuant to the MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan. As of November 30, 2008, we had issued 98,687 and 8,292 shares of class A common stock under the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan and MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan, respectively.

Also in the future, we may issue additional shares of our common stock in connection with investments and acquisitions. The amount of our common stock issued in connection with an investment or acquisition could constitute a material portion of the outstanding common stock.

Provisions in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws and Delaware law might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our class A common stock.

Provisions of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control that shareholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares of our class A common stock. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our shareholders to replace or remove our management. These provisions include:

limitations on the removal of directors;

advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and director nominations;

the inability of shareholders, after a change in control, to act by written consent or to call special meetings;

the ability of our Board of Directors to make, alter or repeal our By-laws; and

the ability of our Board of Directors to designate the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without shareholder approval. Generally, the amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation requires approval by our Board of Directors and a majority vote of shareholders. Any amendment to our By-laws requires the approval of either a majority of our Board of Directors or holders of at least 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by the outstanding capital stock in the election of our Board of Directors.

Moreover, we have opted out of the business combination provisions of Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the DGCL) until such time as Morgan Stanley owns 50% or less of the total voting power to elect our directors, after which we will be governed by these provisions. Section 203 prohibits a person who acquires more than 15% but less than 85% of all classes of our outstanding voting stock without the approval of our Board of Directors from merging or combining with us for a period of three years, unless the merger or combination is approved by a two-thirds vote of the shares not owned by such person. These provisions would apply even if the proposed merger or acquisition could be considered beneficial by some shareholders.

The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our class A common stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our company, thereby reducing the likelihood that investors could receive a premium for your class A common stock in an acquisition.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our class A common stock.

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth, including growth through acquisitions. The payment of any future dividends will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, business conditions, corporate law requirement and other factors.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Nothing required to be disclosed.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate headquarters are located in New York, New York. This is also our largest sales office and one of our main research centers. As of November 30, 2008, our principal offices consisted of the following leased properties:

Location	Square Feet	Expiration Date
Berkeley, California	59,000	June 30, 2014
New York, New York	42,400	December 31, 2014
Geneva, Switzerland	20,670	March 31, 2009
Budapest, Hungary	18,330	February 28, 2014
Mumbai, India	17,320	August 7, 2013
London, England	14,585	September 5, 2014
Hong Kong, China	9,971	January 31, 2015
Tokyo, Japan	4,290	August 31, 2010

As of November 30, 2008, we also leased sales and client support offices in the following locations: Boston, Massachusetts, Cape Town (Newlands), South Africa; Chicago, Illinois; Dubai, United Arab Emirates; Frankfurt, Germany; Milan, Italy; Mumbai, India; Paris, France; Stamford, Connecticut; San Francisco, California; Sao Paulo, Brazil; Shanghai, China; and Sydney, Australia.

We believe that our properties are in good operating condition and adequately serve our current business operations. We also anticipate that suitable additional or alternative space, including those under lease options, will be available at commercially reasonable terms for future expansion.

On December 22, 2008, we signed a new lease for reduced office space in Geneva, Switzerland effective for a 10-year period beginning April 1, 2009.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time we may be a party to various litigation matters incidental to the conduct of our business. We are not presently party to any legal proceedings the resolution of which we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Nothing required to be disclosed.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Stock Price and Dividends

Our class A common stock has traded on the New York Stock Exchange since November 15, 2007 under the symbol MXB. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. As of November 30, 2008, there were approximately 16 shareholders of record of our class A common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share of our class A common stock from November 15, 2007 through November 30, 2008.

Fiscal year ended November 30,	High	Low
2008		
First Quarter	\$ 38.40	\$ 26.63
Second Quarter	37.34	23.57
Third Quarter	37.59	29.64
Fourth Quarter	29.62	11.88
2007		
2007	* * * * * * *	* * 1 • • •
Fourth Quarter ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27.80	\$ 24.96

(1) Our class A common stock began trading on November 15, 2007.

On January 26, 2009, the closing price of our class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$17.23.

Our class B common stock is neither listed nor publicly traded. As of January 26, 2009, there was one shareholder of record of our class B common stock.

Dividend Policy

We declared and paid dividends prior to the initial public offering. We do not, however, intend to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future and intend to retain all available funds for use in the operation and expansion of our business, including growth through acquisitions. The payment of any future dividends will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, business conditions, corporate law requirements and other factors. In addition, our Credit Facility contains restrictions on the payment of dividends. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The Transfer Agent and Registrar for the common stock is BNY Mellon Shareowner Services.

Equity Compensation Plans

On November 2, 2007 and November 5, 2007, our shareholders and Board of Directors approved, respectively, the implementation of the MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan. Directors that are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley receive annual Board retainer fees and fees for serving on the Company s committees, if applicable, and pursuant to the terms of the MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan, a director may make an election to receive all or any portion of such director s retainer and committee fees in shares of our class A common stock. Directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley are entitled to receive an annual grant of \$50,000 each in stock units which are subject to a vesting schedule. The total number of shares authorized to be awarded under the plan is 500,000.

On November 2, 2007 and November 5, 2007, our shareholders and Board of Directors approved, respectively, the implementation of the MSCI 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. On April 8, 2008, our shareholders approved the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. The MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan permits the Compensation Committee to make grants of a variety of equity based awards (such as stock, restricted stock, stock units and options) totaling up to 12.5 million shares to eligible recipients, including employees and consultants. No awards are permitted after November 2, 2017.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our equity compensation plans at November 30, 2008:

	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Vesting of Restricted Stock Units and Exercise of Outstanding Options	A Uni of Rest Un Weigh Exer Out	eighted verage it Award Value ricted Stock nits and ted-Average cise Price of standing pytions	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	a		b	c
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders				
MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Pla ⁽¹⁾	5,358	\$	27.98	465,186
MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation				
Plan	4,819,660	\$	18.00	7,638,467
Total	4,825,018	\$	18.00	8,103,653

(1) The MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan does not authorize the issuance of options to purchase MSCI common stock. **Stock Repurchases**

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company of its common shares during the quarter ended November 30, 2008.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 (September 1, 2008-September 30, 2008)				
Employee Transactions ⁽¹⁾	3,723	\$ 23.43	N/A	N/A
Month #2 (October 1, 2008-October 31, 2008)	5,725	φ 23.43	IN/A	1N/A
Employee Transactions ⁽¹⁾	404	\$ 18.79	N/A	N/A
Month #3 (November 1, 2008-November 30, 2008)				

Table of Contents

Employee Transactions ⁽¹⁾				
Total Employee Transactions ⁽¹⁾	4,127	\$ 22.98	N/A	N/A

(1) Includes shares withheld to offset tax withholding obligations that occur upon vesting and delivery of outstanding shares underlying restricted stock units and/or upon the exercise of employee stock options. The value of the shares withheld was determined using the fair market value of the Company s class A common shares on the date of withholding, using a valuation methodology established by the Company.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

None.

Use of Proceeds from Sale of Registered Securities

None.

13 MONTH STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholders return on our class A common stock, the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index and the NYSE Composite Index since November 15, 2007 assuming an investment of \$100 at the closing price on November 15, 2007. In calculating total annual stockholder return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. The indices are included for comparative purpose only. They do not necessarily reflect management s opinion that such indices are an appropriate measure of the relative performance of the class A common stock. This graph is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

	For the Years Ended	For the Years Ended November 30,			
	2008	2007			
MSCI Inc.	\$ 59.00	\$ 106.00			
S&P 500	\$ 62.00	\$ 102.00			
NYSE Composite Index	\$ 58.00	\$ 102.00			

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Our selected consolidated financial data for the periods presented should be read in conjunction with Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The selected consolidated statements of income data for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006 and the selected consolidated financial condition data as of November 30, 2008 and 2007 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our consolidated financial statements for the years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 have been audited

and reported upon by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. The selected consolidated statement of income data for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2005 and 2004 and the selected consolidated statement of financial condition data as of November 30, 2005 and 2004 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The selected financial information presented below may not be indicative of our future performance and does not necessarily reflect what our financial position and results of operations would have been had we operated as a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

		2008		2007		ear ended No 2006		2005		2004 ⁽¹⁾
Operating revenues	\$	430,961	¢ 3	(in thous 369,886		, except per s 310,698	nare (\$		¢	170 116
Operating revenues Total operating expenses	ф	430,901 295,171		239,927	Ф	227,649	Ф	278,474 205,567		178,446 148,739
Total operating expenses		293,171	-	239,921		227,019		205,507		110,755
Operating income		135,790	1	129,959		83,049		72,907		29,707
Interest and other expense (income), net		26,147		(3,333)		(16,420)		(7,990)		(911)
Provision for income taxes		41,375		52,181		36,097		30,449		9,711
Income before discontinued operations and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		68,268		81,111		63,372		50,448		20,907
Income (loss) from discontinued operations						8,073		3,793		(54)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle								313		
Net income	\$	68,268	\$	81,111	\$	71,445	\$	54,554	\$	20,853
Parrie a sectoria comuna chana										
Earnings per basic common share: Continuing operations	¢	0.68	¢	0.06	¢	0.76	\$	0.60	¢	0.27
	\$	0.08	\$	0.96	\$	0.76	\$		\$	0.37
Discontinued operations						0.10		0.05		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle										
Earnings per basic common share	\$	0.68	\$	0.96	\$	0.85	\$	0.65	\$	0.37
Earnings per diluted common share:										
Continuing operations	\$	0.67	\$	0.96	\$	0.76	\$	0.60	\$	0.37
Discontinued operations						0.10		0.05		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle										
Earnings per diluted common share	\$	0.67	\$	0.96	\$	0.85(1)	\$	0.65	\$	0.37
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing earnings per share										
Basic		100,037		84,608		83,900		83,900		56,256
Diluted		101,904		84,624		83,900		83,900		56,256
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	268,077	\$	33,818	\$	24,362	\$	23,411	\$	33,076
Cash deposited with related parties	\$		\$ 1	137,625	\$	330,231	\$	252,882	\$	98,873
Trade receivables (net of allowances)	\$	85,723	\$	77,748	\$	62,337	\$	74,765	\$	63,139
Goodwill and intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	\$	587,530		516,030	\$	642,383	\$	668,539		781,238
Deferred revenue	\$	144,711		125,230	\$	102,368	\$	87,952		88,689
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$	379,709		402,750	\$		\$		\$,
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	22,086		22,250	\$		\$		\$	
Total shareholders equity	\$	286,382		200,021	\$	825,712	\$	757,217		708,501

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

Total assets	\$ 1,015,048	\$ 904,679	\$ 1,112,775	\$ 1,047,519	\$ 996,444

Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to current period presentations. Numbers may not total due to rounding.

(1) On June 3, 2004, Morgan Stanley completed the acquisition of Barra. The operations of Barra have been included with our results of operations since that date.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of our operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed below. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below and those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Overview

We are a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices and portfolio risk and performance analytics for use by institutions in managing equity, fixed income and multi-asset class portfolios. Our flagship products are our international equity indices marketed under the MSCI brand and our equity portfolio analytics marketed under the Barra brand. Our products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and optimization, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked investment product creation, asset allocation, investment manager selection and investment research.

Our clients include asset owners such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, exchange traded funds (ETFs), hedge funds and private wealth; and financial intermediaries such as broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants. As of November 30, 2008, we had over 3,100 clients across 64 countries. We had 20 offices in 14 countries to help serve our diverse client base, with approximately 52% of our revenue from clients in the Americas, 33% in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA), 8% in Japan and 7% in Asia-Pacific (not including Japan), based on fiscal year 2008 revenues.

Our principal sales model is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products for use at specified locations by a given number of users for an annual fee paid up front. The substantial majority of our revenues come from these annual, recurring subscriptions. Over time, as their needs evolve, our clients often add product modules, users and locations to their subscriptions, which results in an increase in our revenues per client. Additionally, a significant source of our revenues comes from clients who use our indices as the basis for index-linked investment products such as ETFs. These clients commonly pay us a license fee based on the investment product s assets. We also generate a limited amount of our revenues from certain exchanges that use our indices as the basis for futures and options contracts and pay us a license fee based on their volume of trades.

In evaluating our financial performance, we focus on revenue growth for the company in total and by product category as well as operating profit growth and the level of profitability as measured by our operating margin. Our business is not highly capital intensive and, as such, we expect to continue to convert a high percentage of our operating profits into excess cash in the future. We expect to use this cash to make investments in our business both internally and externally through acquisitions in order to capitalize on the many growth opportunities before us and to expand our market position. Our revenue growth strategy includes: (a) expanding and deepening our relationships with investment institutions worldwide; (b) developing new and enhancing existing equity product offerings, as well as further developing and growing our investment tools for multi-asset class investment institutions; and (c) actively seeking to acquire products, technologies and companies that will enhance, complement or expand our client base and our product offerings. See Item 1. Business Growth Strategy.

To maintain and accelerate our revenue and operating income growth, we will continue to invest in and expand our operating functions and infrastructure, including new sales and client support staff and facilities in locations around the world; additional staff and supporting technology for our research and our data management and production functions; and additional personnel and supporting technology in our general and administrative

functions, particularly finance and human resources personnel required to operate as a stand-alone public company. At the same time, managing and controlling our operating expenses is very important to us and a distinct part of our culture. Over time, our goal is to keep the rate of growth of our operating expenses below the rate of growth of our revenues allowing us to expand our operating margins. However, at times, because of significant market opportunities, it may be more important to us to invest in our business in order to support increased efforts to attract new clients and to develop new product offerings, rather than emphasize short-term operating margin expansion. Furthermore, in some periods our operating expense growth may exceed our operating revenue growth due to the variability of revenues from several of our products, including our equity indices licensed as the basis of ETFs.

Product enhancements continued throughout fiscal years 2007 and 2008. In 2007, Aegis 4.1, BarraOne 1.9 and the MSCI Global Investable Market Indices (GIMI) Methodology were released. Recent launches in our index products include the creation of the MSCI Frontier Market Indices, the MSCI Asia APEX 50 Index and the MSCI Global Minimum Volatility Indices. We also launched GEM2, an enhanced version of our global equity model (GEM) and Aegis 4.2, the corresponding software application. Within our multi-asset class portfolio analytics product category, significant product launches include BarraOne 3.2 and Monte Carlo Value at Risk. See Item 1. Business Our Products and Services.

Business Environment

Various sectors of the global financial market have been adversely affected by a current market environment that includes illiquidity and widening credit spreads, unprecedented market volatility, and changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, investor participation levels and legal and regulatory, accounting, tax and compliance requirements. Commencing in the second half of 2008, the conditions in the financial market impacted our business particularly with respect to our equity index asset based fees due to declines in the value of assets in ETFs linked to our products and our revenues from our equity portfolio analytics due to the closure of dedicated quant funds, both standalone and within traditional asset managers, and quantitative teams which support fundamental money managers.

The vast majority of our business is granting licenses to clients in the financial services industry, including asset managers, brokers-dealers, exchanges and other institutional clients. The lack of available credit, lack of confidence in the financial sector, increased volatility in the financial markets and reduced business activity have had a negative impact on a number of our clients and if current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, we could, in turn, experience additional negative effects on revenues from subscriptions to our products and fees from investment products linked to our indices.

We do not believe that our liquidity has been affected by the recent events in the global financial markets. See Liquidity and Capital Resources Cash Flows below.

Key Financial Metrics and Drivers

Revenues

Our principal sales model is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products for use at specified locations by a given number of client users for an annual fee paid upfront. The substantial majority of our revenues come from these annual, recurring subscriptions. These fees are recorded as deferred revenues on our consolidated statement of financial condition and are recognized each month on our income statement as the service is rendered. Over time, as their needs evolve, our clients often add product modules, users and locations to their subscriptions, which results in an increase in our revenues per client. Additionally, a significant source of our revenues comes from clients who use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products such as ETFs, passive mutual funds and structured products. These clients commonly pay us a license fee based on the investment product s assets.

We group our revenues into the following four product categories:

Equity Indices

This category includes fees from MSCI equity index data subscriptions, fees based on assets in investment products linked to our equity indices, fees from one-time licenses of our equity index historical data and fees from custom MSCI indices. We also generate a limited amount of revenues based on the trading volume of futures and options contracts linked to our indices.

Clients typically subscribe to equity index data modules for use by a specified number of users at a particular location. Clients may select delivery from us or delivery via a third-party vendor. We are able to grow our revenues for data subscriptions by expanding the number of client users and their locations and the number of third-party vendors the client uses for delivery of our data modules. The increasing scope and complexity of a client s data requirements beyond standard data modules, such as requests for historical data or customized indices, also provide opportunities for further revenue growth from an existing client.

Revenues from our index-linked investment product licenses, such as ETFs, increase or decrease as a result of changes in value of the assets in the investment products can result from equity market price changes, investment inflows and outflows and changes in foreign currency exchange rates. In most cases, fees for these licenses are paid quarterly in arrears and are calculated by multiplying a negotiated basis point fee times the average daily assets in the investment product for the most recent period.

Equity Portfolio Analytics

This category includes revenues from annual, recurring subscriptions to Barra Aegis and our proprietary risk data in Barra Aegis; Equity Models Direct products; and our proprietary equity risk data incorporated in third-party software application offerings (*e.g.*, Barra on Vendors).

Barra Aegis has many uses, including portfolio risk analysis and forecasting, optimization and factor-based portfolio performance attribution. A base subscription for use in portfolio analysis typically involves a subscription to Barra Aegis and various risk data modules. A client may add portfolio performance attribution, optimization tools, process automation tools or other features to its Barra Aegis subscription. By licensing the client to receive additional software modules and risk data, or increasing the number of permitted client users or client locations, we can increase our revenues per client further.

Our Equity Models Direct risk data is distributed directly to clients who then combine it with their own software applications or upload the risk data onto third-party applications. A base subscription to our Equity Models Direct product provides equity risk data for a set fee that authorizes one to two users. By licensing the client to receive equity risk model data for additional countries, or increasing the number of permitted client users or client locations, we can further increase our revenues per client.

The Barra on Vendors product makes our proprietary risk data from our Equity Models Direct product available to clients via third party providers, such as FactSet Research Systems, Inc.

Multi-Asset Class Portfolio Analytics

This category includes revenues from annual, recurring subscriptions to BarraOne and Barra TotalRisk together with our proprietary risk data for multiple asset classes. Currently, we are actively selling subscriptions only to BarraOne and related risk data. Most of the features and functionality of TotalRisk have been added to BarraOne, and we are decommissioning TotalRisk. As this happens, we will offer our TotalRisk clients the opportunity to transition to BarraOne. As this transition takes place, revenues from this product group will increasingly come from BarraOne. Therefore, we expect declines in revenues from TotalRisk.

Other Products

This category includes revenues from a number of products, including FEA for energy and commodity asset valuation analytics, Barra Cosmos for fixed income analytics and hedge fund indices and products.

Run Rate

At the end of any period, we generally have subscription and investment product license agreements in place for a large portion of our total revenues for the following 12 months. We measure the fees related to these agreements and refer to this as our Run Rate. The Run Rate at a particular point in time represents the forward-looking fees for the next 12 months from all subscriptions and investment product licenses we currently provide to our clients under renewable contracts assuming all contracts that come up for renewal are renewed and assuming then-current exchange rates. For any license whose fees are linked to an investment product s assets or trading volume, with the exception of ETFs, the Run Rate calculation reflects an annualization of the most recent periodic fee earned under such license. The Run Rate for ETFs was calculated based on the average AUM for the last month of the fiscal quarter. The Run Rate does not include fees associated with one-time and other non-recurring transactions. In addition, we remove from the Run Rate the fees associated with any subscription or investment product license agreement with respect to which we have received a notice of termination or non-renewal at the time we receive such notice, even if the notice is not effective until a later date.

Because the Run Rate represents potential future fees, there is typically a delayed impact on our operating revenues from changes in our Run Rate. In addition, the actual amount of revenues we will realize over the following 12 months will differ from the Run Rate because of:

revenues associated with new subscriptions and one-time sales;

modifications, cancellations and non-renewals of existing agreements, subject to specified notice requirements;

fluctuations in asset-based fees, which may result from market movements or from investment inflows into and outflows from investment products linked to our indices;

fluctuations in fees based on trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to our indices;

price changes;

timing differences under GAAP between when we receive fees and the realization of the related revenues; and

fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

The following table sets forth our Run Rate as of the dates indicated and the percentage growth over the prior period:

				Comparison of			
	I	As of November 3	30,	November 30,	November 30,		
	2008(1)	2007(1)	2006 ⁽¹⁾	2008 to 2007	2007 to 2006		
			(in thousa	nds)			
Run Rates							
Equity indices							
Subscription	\$ 170,992	\$ 141,560	\$116,190	20.8%	21.8%		
Asset based fees	51,596	76,467	43,369	(32.5%)	76.3%		
Equity Indices total	\$ 222,588	\$218,027	\$ 159,559	2.1%	36.6%		
Equity portfolio analytics	129,168	124,668	106,624	3.6%	16.9%		
Multi-asset class analytics	35,105	29,243	21,285	20.0%	37.4%		
Other							
Subscription	19,699	17,958	18,002	9.7%	(0.2%)		
Hedge fund indices	1,380	5,063	6,980	(72.7%)	(27.5%)		
Other total	\$ 21,079	\$ 23,021	\$ 24,982	(8.4%)	(7.8%)		
Total Run Rate	\$ 407,940	\$ 394,959	\$ 312,450	3.3%	26.4%		
	+,	+ - / ,/ - /	+ ,				
Subscription total	\$ 354,964	\$ 313,429	\$ 262,101	13.3%	19.6%		
Asset based fees total	52,976	81,530	50,349	(35.0%)	61.9%		
Total Run Rate	\$ 407,940	\$ 394,959	\$ 312,450	3.3%	26.4%		

(1) The Run Rates as of the fiscal year end 2008, 2007 and 2006 have been lowered by \$2.5 million to remove contracts that were determined to be non-recurring.

Changes in Run Rate between periods reflect increases from new subscriptions, decreases from cancellations, increases or decreases, as the case may be, from the change in the value of assets of investment products linked to MSCI indices, the change in trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to MSCI indices, price changes and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Retention Rates

Because subscription cancellations decrease our Run Rate and ultimately our operating revenues, other key metrics are our Aggregate Retention Rate and Core Retention Rate, which are collectively referred to as Retention Rates. The annual Aggregate Retention Rate represents the retained subscription Run Rate (beginning subscription Run Rate less actual cancels during the year) as a percentage of the subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the fiscal year. If a client reduces the number of products to which it subscribes or switches between our products, we treat it as a cancellation for purposes of calculating our Aggregate Retention Rate. Our Core Retention Rate is calculated in the same way as our Aggregate Retention Rate, except that the Core Retention Rate does not treat switches between products as a cancellation. Our Aggregate and Core Retention Rates are computed on a product-by-product basis. In addition, we treat any reduction in fees resulting from renegotiated contracts as a cancellation in the calculation to the extent of the reduction. We do not calculate Aggregate or Core Retention Rates for that portion of our Run Rate attributable to assets in investment products linked to our indices or to trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to our indices. Aggregate and Core Retention Rates for a non-annual period reflect the annualization of the cancels recorded in the period.

The following table sets forth our Retention Rates as of the dates indicated:

		the Fiscal Y d November	
	2008	2007	2006
Aggregate Retention Rate	89.9%	91.8%	90.6%
Core Retention Rate	92.1%	93.3%	91.5%

The following table sets forth our Aggregate Retention Rates by product category for the periods indicated for fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	Equity Index	Equity Portfolio Analytics	Multi-Asset Class Analytics	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total
2008	mucx	Thur tes	7 mary tres	other	Total
Qtr Ended February 29,	98.0%	95.2%	98.6%	91.7%	96.6%
Qtr Ended May 31,	94.3%	88.9%	76.9%	96.1%	90.6%
Qtr Ended August 31,	95.6%	87.7%	91.1%	89.1%	91.6%
Qtr Ended November 30,	89.3%	69.6%	85.1%	80.8%	80.6%
Year Ended November 30,	94.3%	85.3%	87.9%	89.4%	89.9%
2007					
Qtr Ended February 28,	97.3%	95.3%	92.7%	78.7%	94.8%
Qtr Ended May 31,	94.7%	95.8%	78.9%	89.6%	93.5%
Qtr Ended August 31,	95.8%	88.5%	95.4%	88.8%	92.3%
Qtr Ended November 30,	94.2%	85.3%	92.1%	85.0%	89.8%
Year Ended November 30,	95.5%	91.2%	89.8%	74.1%	91.8%
2006					
Qtr Ended February 28,	96.9%	94.0%	100.0%	90.5%	95.5%
Qtr Ended May 31,	95.6%	90.8%	89.1%	87.3%	92.5%
Qtr Ended August 31,	96.7%	92.0%	72.0%	89.6%	92.2%
Qtr Ended November 30,	86.4%	77.3%	88.7%	77.2%	82.1%
Year Ended November 30,	93.9%	88.6%	87.4%	86.1%	90.6%

(1) In fiscal 2007, the annual Aggregate Retention Rate for the Other category is lower than the average of the quarterly Aggregate Retention Rates due to the decommissioning of our fixed income indices in the first quarter of 2007.

The following table sets forth our Core Retention Rates by product category for the periods indicated for fiscal years 2008 and 2007. Comparable data for fiscal year 2006 is not available:

	Equity Index	Equity Portfolio Analytics	Multi-Asset Class Analytics	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total
2008	IndeA	mulytics	1 mary tres	other	Total
Qtr Ended February 29,	98.1%	96.8%	98.6%	91.7%	97.2%
Qtr Ended May 31,	94.5%	91.8%	76.9%	96.1%	91.9%
Qtr Ended August 31,	96.0%	92.0%	93.7%	93.1%	94.1%
Qtr Ended November 30,	89.5%	80.5%	86.8%	83.6%	85.3%
Year Ended November 30,	94.5%	90.3%	89.0%	91.1%	92.1%
2007					
Qtr Ended February 28,	98.1%	96.2%	92.7%	78.7%	95.5%
Qtr Ended May 31,	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%	91.3%	95.5%
Qtr Ended August 31,	96.0%	94.1%	95.4%	92.1%	94.9%
Qtr Ended November 30,	94.4%	86.7%	93.3%	85.0%	90.5%
Year Ended November 30,	96.0%	93.4%	93.9%	75.4%	93.3%
2006					
Qtr Ended February 28,	96.9%	95.2%	100.0%	91.5%	96.0%
Qtr Ended May 31,	96.3%	92.2%	89.1%	87.9%	93.4%
Qtr Ended August 31,	97.2%	92.7%	74.6%	90.1%	93.0%
Qtr Ended November 30,	86.8%	79.3%	91.1%	79.3%	83.5%
Year Ended November 30,	94.3%	89.8%	88.7%	87.2%	91.5%

(1) In fiscal 2007, annual Core Retention Rates for the Other category is lower than the average quarterly Core Retention Rates due to the decommissioning of our fixed income indices in the first quarter of 2007.

The quarterly Retention Rates are calculated by annualizing the actual cancellations recorded during the quarter. This annualized cancellation figure is then divided by the subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the year to calculate a cancellation rate. This cancellation rate is then subtracted from 100% to derive the annualized Retention Rate for the quarter.

For example, in the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded cancellations of \$15.2 million. To derive the Aggregate Retention Rate for the fourth quarter, we annualized the actual cancellations during the quarter of \$15.2 million to derive \$60.8 million of annualized cancellations. This \$60.8 million was then divided by the subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the year of \$313.4 million to derive a cancellation rate of 19.4%. The 19.4% was then subtracted from 100.0% to derive an Aggregate Retention Rate for the quarter of 80.6%.

For the calculation of the Core Retention Rate the same methodology was used except the amount of cancellations in the quarter was reduced by the amount of product swaps. For example, in fourth quarter 2008 we had product swaps of \$3.7 million which was subtracted from the \$15.2 million of actual cancels to derive core cancels of \$11.5 million. This \$11.5 million was annualized to derive \$46.0 million of annualized cancellations which was then divided by the beginning year Run Rate of \$313.4 million to derive a cancellation rate of 14.7%. The 14.7% was then subtracted from 100% to derive the Core Retention Rate of 85.3% for the fourth quarter.

Retention Rates for the fourth fiscal quarter of 2008 declined reflecting stock market volatility, the closure or merger of a number of our clients and the shutdown of quantitative funds and teams. In fiscal 2008, 48% of our cancellations occurred in the fourth fiscal quarter. In recent years on average, approximately 40% of our subscription cancellations have occurred in the fourth fiscal quarter. As a result, Retention Rates are generally higher during the first three fiscal quarters and lower in the fourth fiscal quarter.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits expenses represent the majority of our expenses across all of our operating functions, and typically represent 50% to 60% of our total operating expenses. These expenses generally contribute to the majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting current staff compensation and benefit increases and increased staffing levels. As we continue to replace Morgan Stanley services, we will incur greater compensation and benefits related expenses. Continued growth of our staff in lower cost locations around the world is an important factor in our ability to manage and control the growth of our compensation and benefits expenses. As of November 30, 2008, 28% of our employees were located in emerging market centers, compared to 18% as of November 30, 2007. An important location for us is Mumbai, India, where we have increased our staff levels significantly since commencing our operations there in early 2004 with a small staff in data management and production. Subsequently, we expanded the scale of our operations there by adding teams in research and administration, as well as by continuing to expand the data management and production team. Our office in Mumbai has grown from 12 employees as of November 30, 2007. We plan to continue to develop this location as an important information technology and software engineering center. Our Budapest office had 55 employees as of November 30, 2008. In the second half of 2008, we made preparations to open an office in Monterrey, Mexico to serve clients in the Americas.

Another significant expense for us is the allocation and replacement expenses related to services provided by our principal shareholder, Morgan Stanley. As a majority-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley, historically, we have relied on Morgan Stanley to provide a number of administrative support services and facilities. Although we will continue to operate under the amended services agreement with Morgan Stanley, the amount and composition of our expenses may vary from historical levels as we replace these services with ones supplied by us or by third parties. See Item 1. Business Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us Services Agreement. In fiscal years 2008 and 2007, we invested in expanding our own administrative functions, including finance, legal and compliance and human resources, as well as information technology infrastructure, to replace services currently provided by Morgan Stanley. Because of initial set-up costs and overlaps with services provided by Morgan Stanley, our expenses increased in fiscal years 2008 and 2007 and as we continue to expand and replace these services, our expenses may continue to increase. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Since the completion of our IPO in November 2007, we have been establishing our own financial, administrative and other support functions to replace those services provided by Morgan Stanley. In fiscal years 2008 and 2007, we incurred additional costs as a public company, including directors compensation, audit, listing fees, investor relations, stock administration and regulatory compliance costs.

Information technology costs, which include market data, amortization of hardware and software products, and telecommunications services, are also an important part of our expense base.

We group our expenses into three categories:

Cost of services,

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A), and

Amortization of intangible assets.

In both the cost of services and SG&A expense categories, compensation and benefits represents the majority of our expenses. Other costs associated with the number of employees such as office space and professional services are included in both the cost of services and SG&A expense categories consistent with the allocation of employees to those respective areas.

Cost of Services

This category includes costs related to our research, data management and production, software engineering and product management functions. Costs in these areas include staff compensation and benefits, allocated office space, market data fees and certain information technology services provided by Morgan Stanley. The largest

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

expense in this category is compensation and benefits. As such, they generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation and benefits increases for current staff and increased staffing levels.

Selling, General and Administrative

This category includes compensation and benefits expenses for our sales and marketing staff, and our finance, human resources, legal and compliance, information technology infrastructure and corporate administration personnel. As with cost of services, the largest expense in this category is compensation and benefits. As such, they generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation increases for current staff and increased staffing levels. Other significant expenses are for services provided by Morgan Stanley and office space.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

This category consists of expenses related to amortizing intangible assets arising from the acquisition of Barra in June 2004. At the time of acquisition, the intangible assets had weighted average useful lives ranging from 1.5 to 21.5 years. Our intangible assets consist primarily of technology and software, trademarks and client relationships. At November 30, 2008, our intangible assets totaled \$145.9 million, net of accumulated amortization. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008, amortization expenses related to intangibles amounted to \$28.5 million and represented 9.7% of our total operating expenses of \$295.2 million.

Interest Expense (Income) and Other, net

This category consists primarily of interest we pay on our Credit Facility entered into on November 14, 2007 as well as interest we pay on payables to related parties, interest we collect on cash balances, including cash deposited with Morgan Stanley prior to July 1, 2008, foreign currency gains and losses, as well as other non-operating income and expense items. For the fiscal year ending November 30, 2007, interest expense (income) and other, net also included interest incurred on the demand note payable to Morgan Stanley. All outstanding balances on the demand note were paid as of November 20, 2007. Average cash balances and the weighted average yield received are the two largest factors causing changes in interest income from period to period. As a result of the payment in cash and the demand notes associated with the \$973.0 million dividend paid on July 19, 2007, described below under Factors Impacting Comparability of Our Financial Results July 2007 Dividend, and our Credit Facility, we incurred substantially lower interest income and substantially higher interest expense, which we expect to remain consistent in future periods.

Factors Impacting Comparability of Our Financial Results

Our historical results of operations for the periods presented may not be comparable with prior periods or with our results of operations in the future for the reasons discussed below.

Barra Acquisition and Divestiture of POSIT JV

On June 3, 2004, Morgan Stanley completed the acquisition of Barra. On December 1, 2004, Morgan Stanley contributed Barra to us. The contribution of Barra was accounted for as a transfer of net assets between entities under common control and therefore, we have presented our financial position and results of operations as if Barra had been combined with us from the date of the acquisition. Founded in 1975, Barra became a public company in 1991, trading on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol BARZ.

On February 1, 2005, we sold for \$90.0 million our 50% interest in POSIT JV, a joint venture that owned the intellectual property for and certain licenses underlying the POSIT equity crossing system that matches institutional buyers and sellers, to our joint venture partner, ITG. We recorded a pre-tax gain of \$6.8 million at

the time of sale. We acquired the POSIT JV interest as part of our acquisition of Barra. As part of the sale agreement, we were entitled to additional royalties for a period of 10 years subsequent to the sale pursuant to an earn-out arrangement based on fees earned by ITG related to the POSIT system. In September 2006, ITG exercised its option to accelerate the earn-out period by making a lump sum payment to us of \$11.7 million. In addition, we received royalty payments of \$3.2 million and \$1.0 million in fiscal 2005 and 2006, respectively, prior to the lump sum earn-out payment. With the issuance of FASB Interpretation 46R *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46R), Barra determined that POSIT JV qualified as a variable interest entity. Barra was entitled to 95% of the gains and losses of the joint venture and thus consolidated POSIT JV. We accounted for the results of operations of POSIT JV, the gain on sale of POSIT JV, and the lump sum payment from ITG as discontinued operations in our financial statements.

Our Relationship with Morgan Stanley

Prior to July 1, 2008, our consolidated financial statements were derived from the financial statements and accounting records of Morgan Stanley using the historical results of operations and historical bases of assets and liabilities of our business. Following July 1, 2008, only certain tax, allocation and compensation and benefits related information have been derived from the financial statements and accounting records of Morgan Stanley. The historical costs and expenses reflected in our audited consolidated financial statements include an allocation for certain corporate functions historically provided by Morgan Stanley, including human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, tax, office space leasing, corporate services, treasury and other services. On July 21, 2008, we entered into an amended services agreement with Morgan Stanley pursuant to which Morgan Stanley and its affiliates agreed to provide us with certain of these services for so long as Morgan Stanley owns greater than 50% of the total voting power to elect our directors and for periods, varying for different services, of up to 12 months thereafter. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, direct cost allocation expenses related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel were \$18.3 million, \$26.4 million and \$23.1 million, respectively. These allocations were based on what we and Morgan Stanley considered to be reasonable reflections of the utilization levels of these services required in support of our business and are based on methods that include direct time tracking, headcount, inventory metrics and corporate overhead. The historical information does not necessarily indicate what our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows will be in the future.

Allocation and Replacement Expenses Related to Morgan Stanley Services

Amounts in thousands		iscal Year vember 30, 2007
Allocation Expenses	\$ 18,280	\$ 26,415
Replacement Expenses		
Compensation	9,132	481
Non-compensation		
Recurring	7,012	
Non-recurring	8,814	821
Depreciation	2,527	
Non-compensation total	18,353	821
Replacement Expenses Total	27,485	1,302
Total Allocation and Replacement Expenses	\$ 45,765	\$ 27,717
Compensation Total	\$ 9,132	\$ 481
Non-Compensation Total	36,633	27,236
Total Allocation and Replacement Expenses	\$ 45,765	\$ 27,717

In total, allocation and replacement expenses related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel were \$45.8 million (\$9.1 million of compensation and \$36.6 million of non-compensation) for fiscal year 2008 compared to \$27.7 million (\$0.5 million of compensation and \$27.2 million of non-compensation) in fiscal year 2007. Expenses associated with replacing services previously provided by Morgan Stanley were \$27.5 million in fiscal year 2008 compared to \$1.3 million for fiscal year 2007. The expense allocation from Morgan Stanley was \$18.3 million for fiscal year 2008 compared to \$26.4 million for fiscal year 2007.

As we continue to replace services currently provided by Morgan Stanley, our expenses may be higher or lower than the amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of income. Pursuant to the amended services agreement, Morgan Stanley and its affiliates agreed to provide us with services, including certain human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, tax, office space leasing, corporate services, treasury and other services. Payment for these services will be determined, consistent with past practices, using an internal cost allocation methodology based on fully loaded cost (*i.e.*, allocated direct costs of providing the services, plus all related overhead and out-of-pocket costs and expenses). In fiscal year 2008, we implemented a majority of our own financial, administrative and other support systems or contracted with third parties to replace Morgan Stanley systems. We also established our own accounting and internal auditing functions separate from those historically provided to us by Morgan Stanley.

Public Company Expenses

As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. All of the procedures and practices required as a majority-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley were previously established, but we have and continue to add procedures and practices required as a public company. As a result, we have incurred public company expenses including legal, accounting and other expenses of \$5.4 million and \$0.9 million for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

July 2007 Dividend and Credit Facility

On July 19, 2007, we paid a dividend of \$973.0 million, consisting of \$325.0 million in cash and \$648.0 million of demand notes. Morgan Stanley was issued a demand note in the amount of \$625.9 million and Capital Group International was issued a demand note in the amount of \$22.1 million. On July 19, 2007, we paid in full the \$22.1 million demand note held by Capital Group International.

On November 14, 2007, we entered into the \$500 million Credit Facility of which we borrowed \$425.0 million to pay a portion of the \$625.9 million demand note held by Morgan Stanley. The balance of the demand note was repaid with a portion of the proceeds from our IPO. As of November 30, 2008, we had borrowings of \$402.8 million outstanding under our Credit Facility. See Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facility and Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding the Credit Facility.

As a result of this dividend and the Credit Facility, interest income was substantially lower and interest expense was substantially higher in fiscal 2008.

Founders Grant

On November 6, 2007, our Board of Directors approved the award of founders grants to our employees in the form of restricted stock units and/or options. The aggregate value of the grants, which were made on November 14, 2007, was approximately \$68.0 million of restricted stock units and options. The restricted stock units and options vest over a four-year period, with 50% vesting on the second anniversary of the grant date and 25% vesting on the third and fourth anniversary of the grant date. The options have an exercise price per share of \$18.00 and have a term of ten years subject to earlier cancellation in certain circumstances. The aggregate value of the options is calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method consistent with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment.

The pre-tax expense of the founders grant for 2008 was approximately \$26.9 million, prior to any estimated or actual forfeitures. After estimated forfeitures, the pre-tax expense of the founders grant for 2008 was \$25.6 million. The pre-tax expense of the founders grant for 2007 was approximately \$1.1 million, prior to any estimated or actual forfeitures. After estimated forfeitures, the pre-tax expense of the founders grant for 2007 was \$0.8 million. The anticipated pre-tax expense of the founders grant is approximately \$24.9 million, \$9.2 million and \$3.9 million for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively, prior to any estimated or actual forfeitures. We periodically review our forfeiture estimates and update them as we deem appropriate.

Share Reclassification

On October 24, 2007, our Board of Directors approved the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which included: (i) authority to issue 850,000,000 shares of stock, consisting of 500,000,000 shares of class A common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 250,000,000 shares of class B common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; and (ii) a reclassification of each share of our then outstanding common stock into 2,861.235208 shares of class B common stock. All per share computations included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been restated to reflect the reclassification.

Weighted Shares Outstanding

In November 2007, we completed our IPO in which we issued 16.1 million class A common shares. Therefore, the weighted average common shares outstanding for fiscal year 2008 include these additional shares while these shares were only outstanding for a partial period in fiscal year 2007, and not included in fiscal year 2006. Weighted average common shares outstanding for fiscal year 2008 also include actual shares and restricted stock awards issued to employees and non-employee directors during the year.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). These accounting principles require us to make certain estimates and judgments that can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. We believe the estimates and judgments upon which we rely are reasonable based upon information available to us at the time these estimates and judgments are made. To the extent there are material differences between these estimates and judgments and that we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include revenue recognition, research and development and software capitalization, allowance for doubtful accounts, tax contingencies, impairment of long-lived assets and accrued compensation. If different assumptions or conditions were to prevail, the results could be materially different from our reported results.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue related to our non-software-related recurring arrangements is recognized pursuant to the requirements of Emerging Issues Task Force 00-21 (EITF 00-21), *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. Under EITF 00-21, transactions with multiple elements should be considered separate units of accounting if all of the following criteria are met:

The delivered item has stand-alone value to the client,

There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s), and

If the arrangement includes a general right of return, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

We have signed subscription agreements with all of our clients that set forth the fees paid to us by the clients. Further, we regularly assess the receivable balances for each client. Our subscription agreements for these products include provisions that, among other things, allow clients, for no additional fee, to receive updates and modifications that may be made from time to time, for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As we currently do not have objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of this element of the transaction, we do not account for the delivered item as a separate element. Accordingly, we recognize revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

Our software-related recurring revenue arrangements do not require significant modification or customization of any underlying software applications being licensed. Accordingly, we recognize software revenues excluding the energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SOP 98-9 *Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions*. In accordance with SOP 97-2, we begin to recognize revenues from subscriptions, maintenance and client technical support, and professional services when all of the following criteria are met: (1) we have persuasive evidence of a legally binding arrangement, (2) delivery has occurred, (3) client fee is deemed fixed or determinable,

and (4) collection is probable.

We have signed subscription agreements with all of our clients that set forth the fees paid to us by the clients. Further, we regularly assess the receivable balances for each client. Our subscription agreements for software products include provisions that, among other things, would allow clients to receive unspecified future software upgrades for no additional fee as well as the right to use the software products with maintenance for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As we do not have vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) for these elements (except for the support related to energy and commodity asset valuation products), we do not account for these elements separately. Accordingly, except for revenues related to energy and commodity asset valuation products, we recognize revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

Our software license arrangements generally do not include acceptance provisions. Such provisions generally allow a client to test the software for a defined period of time before committing to license the software. If a license agreement includes an acceptance provision, we do not record subscription revenues until the earlier of the receipt of a written client acceptance or, if not notified by the client that it is cancelling the license agreement, the expiration of the acceptance period.

For our energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, we use the residual method to recognize revenue when a product agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date and VSOE of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. In virtually all of our contracts, the only element that remains undelivered at the time of delivery of the product is support. The fair value of support is determined based upon what the fees for the support are for clients who purchase support separately. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered element is deferred and the remaining portion of the contract fee is recognized as product revenue. Support fees for these products are recognized ratably over the support period.

We apply Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 (SAB 104), *Revenue Recognition*, in determining revenue recognition related to clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. These clients commonly pay us a fee based on the investment product s assets under management or contract volumes. These fees are calculated based upon estimated assets in the investment product or contract volumes obtained either through independent third-party sources or the most recently reported information of the client.

We recognize revenue when all the following criteria are met:

The client has signed a contract with us,

The service has been rendered,

The amount of the fee is fixed or determinable based on the terms of the contract, and

Collectability is reasonably assured.

We have signed contracts with all clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products, such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. The contracts state the terms under which these fees are to be calculated. These fees are billed in arrears, after the fees have been earned. The fees are earned as we supply the indices to the client. We assess the creditworthiness of these clients prior to entering into a contract and regularly review the receivable balances related to them.

Research and Development and Software Capitalization

We account for research and development costs in accordance with several accounting pronouncements, including SFAS No. 2, *Accounting for Research and Development Costs* (SFAS No. 2), and SFAS No. 86, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed* (SFAS No. 86). SFAS No. 2 requires that research and development costs generally be expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 86 specifies that costs incurred in researching and developing a computer software product should be charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, all software costs should be capitalized until the product is available for general release to clients. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. Costs incurred after technological feasibility is established have not been material, and accordingly, we have expensed all research and development costs when incurred. Research and development costs for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were approximately \$56.5 million, \$57.0 million and \$55.4 million, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded when it is probable and estimable that a receivable will not be collected. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$0.7 million at November 30, 2008 and approximately \$1.6 million at each of November 30, 2007 and 2006. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts from November 30, 2006 to November 30, 2008 were as follows:

	Amou (in thous	
Balance as of November 30, 2006	\$	1,588
Addition to provision		119
Amounts written off		(123)
Balance as of November 30, 2007 Recovery of bad debt Amounts written off	:	1,584 (817) (55)
Balance as of November 30, 2008	\$	712

Tax Contingencies

Prior to May 2, 2008, our taxable income was included in the consolidated U.S. federal income tax return of Morgan Stanley and continues to be included in returns filed by Morgan Stanley with certain state taxing jurisdictions. Our foreign income tax returns have always been filed on a separate company basis. Our federal and foreign income tax liability has been computed and presented in the consolidated financial statements as if we were a separate taxpaying entity in the periods presented. The state and local tax liability presented in these statements reflects the fact that we are included in certain state filings of Morgan Stanley, and that our tax liability is affected by the attributions of the group. We will generally continue to file certain state income tax returns with Morgan Stanley on a consolidated, combined or unitary basis under applicable state law until we are

no longer permitted to do so. If Morgan Stanley s ownership of our common stock falls below the relevant threshold, which may occur as a result of, among other things, a subsequent sale by Morgan Stanley of our common stock, we will file the relevant state income tax return as a separate taxable group.

Although management believes that the judgments and estimates discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are reasonable, actual results could differ, and we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material. To the extent we are required to pay amounts in excess of our reserves, our effective income tax rate in a given financial statement period could be materially affected. An unfavorable tax settlement could require use of our cash and result in an increase in our effective income tax rate in the period of resolution.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We review long-lived assets and identifiable definite-lived intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. If the carrying value of the assets exceeds the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, a loss is recorded for the excess of the asset s carrying value over the fair value. To date we have not recognized any impairment loss for long-lived assets. Changes to the expected period in which the intangible asset will be utilized, changes in forecasted cash flow, changes in technology or client demand could materially impact the value of these assets in the future.

As part of a product review on July 15, 2007, we decided to transition certain clients from Barra TotalRisk to BarraOne and other products. At the end of the transition, TotalRisk will no longer be offered. We performed an impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS No. 144). We have determined there is no impairment of this asset. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS No. 142), the remaining useful life of the asset was shortened from four-and-a-half years to two-and-a-half years. The revised useful life will result in higher amortization expenses related to this asset of \$3.5 million for each of the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2009.

Accrued Compensation

We make significant estimates in determining our accrued non-stock based compensation and benefits expenses. A significant portion of our employee incentive compensation programs are discretionary. Each year-end we determine the amount of discretionary cash bonus pools. We also review compensation and benefits expenses throughout the year to determine how overall performance compares to management s expectations. We take these and other factors, including historical performance, into account in reviewing accrued discretionary cash compensation estimates quarterly and adjusting accrual rates as appropriate. Changes to these factors could cause a material increase or decrease in the amount of expense that we report in a particular period. Accrued non stock-based compensation and related benefits as of November 30, 2008 was \$55.0 million.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2008 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2007

	For the Fiscal Year Ended November 30,				
	2008	2007	Increase/(Decrease		
Operating revenues	(in t \$ 430,961	housands, except \$ 369,886	\$ 61,075	16.5%	
Operating expenses:	\$ 130,901	\$ 509,000	φ 01,075	10.570	
Cost of services	124,671	121,752	2,919	2.4%	
Selling, general and administrative	142,000	91,822	50,178	54.6%	
Amortization of intangible assets	28,500	26,353	2,147	8.1%	
Total operating expenses	295,171	239,927	55,244	23.0%	
Operating income	135,790	129,959	5,831	4.5%	
Interest expense (income) and other, net	26,147	(3,333)	29,480	nm	
Provision for income taxes	41,375	52,181	(10,806)	(20.7%)	
Net income	\$ 68,268	\$ 81,111	\$ (12,843)	(15.8%)	
Earnings per basic common share	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.28)	(29.2%)	
Earnings per diluted common share	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.29)	(30.2%)	
Operating margin	31.5%	35.1%			

nm - Not meaningful

Operating Revenues

	Year	For the Fiscal Year Ended November 30,				
	2008 (in the	2008 2007 Increase/(Dec (in thousands)				
Equity indices:	(in tho	usanus)				
Equity index subscriptions	\$ 169,817	\$ 137,089	\$ 32,728	23.9%		
Equity index asset based fees	69,679	62,903	6,776	10.8%		
Total equity indices	239,496	199,992	39,504	19.8%		
Equity portfolio analytics	132,398	120,648	11,750	9.7%		
Multi-asset class portfolio analytics	34,797	23,070	11,727	50.8%		
Other products	24,270	26,176	(1,906)	(7.3%)		
Total operating revenues	\$ 430,961	\$ 369,886	\$ 61,075	16.5%		

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

Total operating revenues for the year ended November 30, 2008 increased \$61.1 million, or 16.5%, to \$431.0 million compared to \$369.9 million for the year ended November 30, 2007. This growth was driven by increases in our revenues from equity indices, equity portfolio analytics and multi-asset class portfolio analytics. The change in foreign currency exchange rates increased revenues by \$4.1 million compared to fiscal 2007. Revenue growth in the fourth fiscal quarter slowed substantially due to the conditions in the financial market which have impacted our business, especially in our equity index asset based fees and equity portfolio analytics product categories.

Revenues from equity indices increased 19.8% to \$239.5 million in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007. Revenues from equity index data subscriptions increased 23.9% to \$169.8 million in fiscal 2008 reflecting growth in subscriptions across all of our MSCI Global Investable Market Indices products, including developed market, emerging market and small cap indices and sales of historical index data.

Revenues attributable to equity index asset based fees increased 10.8% to \$69.7 million in fiscal 2008 from \$62.9 million in the same period in 2007 led by growth in our ETF asset based fee revenues. The average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$170.2 billion for fiscal 2008 compared to \$149.3 billion for fiscal 2007. As of November 30, 2008, the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$119.0 billion, representing a decrease of \$72.7 billion, or 37.9%, from \$191.7 billion as of November 30, 2007. The year-over-year decline in value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was attributable to net asset depreciation of \$99.7 billion, partially offset by net asset inflows of \$27.0 billion.

The three MSCI indices with the largest amount of ETF assets linked to them as of November 30, 2008 were the MSCI EAFE, Emerging Markets and U.S. Broad Market. The values of assets linked to these indices were \$29.6 billion, \$22.6 billion and \$8.2 billion, respectively.

The following table sets forth the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices and the sequential change of such assets as of the periods indicated:

				2007	7	Quarte	er Ended		2	008		
	Februar	у	May		, ugust		February in billions)]	May	August	No	vember
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices	\$ 135.4	\$	150.2	\$	156.5	\$ 191.7	\$ 179.2	\$	199.6	\$ 166.3	\$	119.0
Sequential Change (\$ Growth) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) Cash Inflow/(Outflow)	\$ 9.8 13.3		5.9 8.9	\$	(0.8) 7.1	\$ 11.2 24	\$ (15.2) 2.7	\$	9.9 10.5	\$ (31.2) (2.1)	\$	(63.2) 15.9
Cash hillow/(Outflow)	15	,	0.9		7.1	24	2.7		10.5	(2.1)		13.9
Total Change	\$ 23.1	\$	14.8	\$	6.3	\$ 35.2	\$ (12.5)	\$	20.4	\$ (33.3)	\$	(47.3)

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

The following table sets forth the average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices for the quarters ended in the months indicated:

				(Quarterly	y Average				
		2	2007				2	008		
	February	May	August	No	vember	February	May	August	Nov	vember
				(a	mounts	in billions)				
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices	\$ 123.8	\$ 140.8	\$ 155.7	\$	176.9	\$ 183.2	\$184.4	\$178.3	\$	134.9

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

Revenues related to Equity Portfolio Analytics products increased 9.7% to \$132.4 million in fiscal 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. The increase reflects new subscriptions to our proprietary equity risk data accessed directly and bundled with Aegis with notable strength from the broker dealer client segment. New gross sales more than offset an increase in cancellations. Revenue growth in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 slowed, reflecting quantitative fund closures and lower retention rates.

Revenues related to multi-asset class portfolio analytics increased 50.8% to \$34.8 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$23.1 million in the same period in 2007 with an increase of 80.2% to \$25.0 million for BarraOne and an increase of 6.6% to \$9.8 million for TotalRisk. BarraOne revenue growth remained strong due to sales to existing clients as well as new client additions led by orders from asset managers and asset owners. We are continuing the process of decommissioning our client-hosted product, TotalRisk, and are providing clients with the opportunity to transition to our web-based BarraOne product.

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

Revenues from Other Products decreased 7.3% to \$24.3 million for the fiscal year 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. The decline reflects a decrease of \$2.9 million, or 49.3%, to \$3.0 million, in asset based

fees from investment products linked to MSCI investable hedge fund indices products and a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 18.8%, to \$6.7 million for fixed income analytics offset by an increase of \$2.5 million, or 20.9%, to \$14.6 million for our energy and commodity analytics products. The decline in hedge fund indices revenues largely reflects lower asset based fees from investment products linked to these indices caused by market depreciation and net asset outflows.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased 23.0% to \$295.2 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$239.9 million in fiscal 2007. Founders grant expenses totaled \$25.6 million for fiscal 2008. Excluding the founders grant expenses, operating expenses increased 12.7% to \$269.6 million in fiscal 2008, with increases in compensation and non-compensation expenses of 8.4% and 18.3%, respectively. Expenses associated with the replacement of services currently provided by Morgan Stanley were \$27.5 million in fiscal 2008 and were \$1.3 million in fiscal 2007, and the direct cost allocation expenses related to services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel was \$18.3 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$26.4 million in fiscal 2007. The reduction in allocation expense reflects services that are now performed by us. The change in foreign exchange rates increased expenses by \$1.2 million compared to 2007.

The following table sets forth the compensation and non-compensation expenses for the periods indicated:

	For the	e Fiscal		
	Year	Ended		
	Novem	ıber 30,		
	2008	2007	Increa	se
	(in tho	usands)		
Compensation and benefits expenses	\$ 170,036	\$ 134,109	\$ 35,927	26.8%
Non-compensation expenses	125,135	105,818	19,317	18.3%
Total operating expenses	\$ 295,171	\$ 239,927	\$ 55,244	23.0%

Compensation and benefits expenses represent the majority of our expenses across all of our operating functions and represents between 50% and 60% of our total operating expenses. These expenses generally contribute to the majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting increased compensation and benefits expenses for current staff and increased staffing levels. Compensation and benefits expenses in fiscal 2008 were \$170.0 million, an increase of \$35.9 million, or 26.8%, from fiscal 2007. The increase is a result of \$24.8 million of expenses related to the founders grant and \$9.1 million attributable to people hired in connection with replacing services provided by Morgan Stanley. In addition, the increase compared to fiscal 2007 reflects higher compensation costs for existing staff and new hires, offset in part by the movement of positions from developed market centers to emerging market centers.

Expenses related to the founders grant of \$25.6 million in fiscal 2008 and \$0.8 million in fiscal 2007 reflected the amortization of share based compensation expense associated with restricted stock units and options awarded to employees as a one-time grant which became effective in connection with our IPO completed in November 2007. Of the \$25.6 million of founders grant expenses in fiscal 2008, \$7.9 million was recorded in cost of services and \$17.7 million was recorded in SG&A. In fiscal 2007, \$0.2 million was recorded in cost of services and \$0.6 million was recorded in SG&A.

In fiscal 2007, no stock based compensation was granted to employees in addition to the one-time founders grant. In fiscal 2008, we accrued \$4.2 million for stock based compensation to retirement eligible employees.

Non-compensation expense for fiscal 2008 increased \$19.3 million to \$125.1 million compared to fiscal 2007. The change reflects an increase of \$17.5 million related to the replacement of services provided by Morgan Stanley personnel, \$2.9 million of public company expenses, and \$1.6 million associated with the May and July secondary equity offerings, partially offset by a \$8.1 million reduction in the expense allocation from Morgan Stanley.

Information technology costs, which include market data, amortization of hardware and software products, and telecommunications services, are also an important part of our expense base.

Because compensation and benefits expenses represent the majority of our expenses in both the costs of services and SG&A expense categories, we discuss our compensation and non-compensation expenses separately in each of these categories. Other costs associated with the number of employees such as office space and professional services are included in both the cost of services and SG&A expense categories consistent with the allocation of employees to those respective areas. The following table shows operating expenses by each of the categories:

	Ended Nov 2008	iscal Year vember 30, 2007 usands)	Increas (Decrea	
Cost of services:				
Compensation and benefits expenses	\$ 83,480	\$ 76,343	\$ 7,137	9.3%
Non-compensation expenses	41,191	45,409	(4,218)	(9.3%)
Total cost of services	124,671	121,752	2,919	2.4%
Selling, general and administrative:				
Compensation and benefits expenses	86,556	57,766	28,790	49.8%
Non-compensation expenses	55,444	34,056	21,388	62.8%
Total selling, general and administrative	142,000	91,822	50,178	54.6%
Amortization of intangible assets	28,500	26,353	2,147	8.1%
Total operating expenses	\$ 295,171	\$ 239,927	\$ 55,244	23.0%

Cost of Services

Cost of services includes costs related to our research, data management and production, client service, software engineering and product management functions. Costs in these areas include staff compensation and benefits, allocated office space, market data fees and certain information technology services provided by Morgan Stanley. The largest expense in this category is compensation and benefits. As such, they generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation and benefits increases for existing staff and increased staffing levels.

Cost of services increased \$2.9 million, or 2.4%, to \$124.7 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$121.8 million in fiscal 2007. Within cost of services, compensation expense increased \$7.1 million to \$83.5 million. This increase includes \$7.6 million for the founders grant and higher headcount offset in part by the movement of positions to emerging market centers from developed market centers. Non-compensation expenses decreased 9.3% to \$41.2 million due largely to a reduction in the expense allocation from Morgan Stanley and declines in professional services expenses. The expense allocation from Morgan Stanley decreased 41.6% to \$8.7 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$15.0 million in fiscal 2007.

Selling, General and Administrative

SG&A expenses include compensation and benefits expenses for our sales and marketing staff, and our finance, human resources, legal and compliance, information technology infrastructure and corporate administration personnel. As with cost of services, the largest expense in this category is compensation. As such, compensation and benefits expenses generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation and benefits increases for existing staff and increased staffing levels. Other significant expenses are for services provided by Morgan Stanley and office space.

SG&A expenses increased 54.6% to \$142.0 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$91.8 million in fiscal 2007. Excluding the founders grant, SG&A expenses increased 36.2% to \$124.3 million in fiscal 2008. Compensation and benefits expenses increased \$28.8 million to \$86.6 million. The increase is a result of an increase of \$17.1 million for the founders grant and higher headcount, partially offset by the movement of positions to emerging market centers from developed market centers. Non-compensation expenses increased 62.8% to \$55.4 million primarily due to an increase in expenses associated with the replacement of services provided by Morgan Stanley. Within SG&A, selling expenses increased 11.8% to \$48.6 million in fiscal 2008 and general and administrative expenses increased 93.2% to \$93.4 million.

Amortization of Intangibles

Amortization of intangibles expense relates to the intangible assets arising from the acquisition of Barra in June 2004. At November 30, 2008, our intangible assets totaled \$145.9 million, net of accumulated amortization. For fiscal 2008, amortization expense totaled \$28.5 million, an increase of \$2.1 million compared to fiscal 2007. The increase is due to a reduction in the useful life of our TotalRisk product, which is consistent with our timeframe to transition TotalRisk clients to BarraOne. (See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Intangible Assets for further information.)

Interest Expense (Income) and Other, Net

Interest expense (income) and other, net was an expense of \$26.2 million in fiscal 2008 compared to income of \$3.3 million in fiscal 2007. The \$29.5 million change reflects an increase in interest expense of \$17.3 million primarily related to interest on our term loan borrowings under our Credit Facility, a \$5.0 million decrease in interest income resulting from lower average cash balances, an increase in foreign currency exchange losses of \$3.7 million due to the impact of the appreciation of the US dollar on our monetary assets and liabilities held in currencies other than US dollars, and a \$3.0 million write off of our investment in Alacra, Inc. (See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Long Term Debt for further information regarding the Credit Facility and Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investment in Unconsolidated Company for further information regarding the investment in Alacra, Inc.)

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes decreased 20.7% to \$41.4 million in fiscal 2008 as a result of lower pre-tax income and a decrease in our effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2008 was 37.7% compared to 39.1% in fiscal 2007. The decrease is primarily due to a \$3.7 million (net of federal benefit) adjustment to the provision made in fiscal 2007 to record additional tax expense as a result of a settlement between Morgan Stanley and New York State and New York City tax authorities for the periods 1999-2007 of which we were allocated a portion of the settlement. In addition, we recorded a \$1.7 million tax reserve in fiscal 2007 related to open tax years, which we believe are adequate in relation to the potential for assessments.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2006

Operating Revenues

	For the Fiscal Year Ended November 30,				
	2007	2007 2006 Increa		Increase/(Decrease)	
	(in tho	usands)			
Equity index subscriptions	\$ 137,089	\$ 117,752	\$ 19,337	16.4%	
Equity index asset based fees	62,903	39,020	23,883	61.2%	
Equity indices	199,992	156,772	43,220	27.6%	
Equity portfolio analytics	120,648	110,007	10,641	9.7%	
Multi-asset class portfolio analytics	23,070	16,873	6,197	36.7%	
Other products	26,176	27,046	(870)	(3.2%)	
Total operating revenues	\$ 369,886	\$ 310,698	\$ 59,188	19.1%	

Revenues increased \$59.2 million, or 19.1%, to \$369.9 million for fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006, with significant percentage gains across three of our four major product categories. The increase reflects increased revenues from equity indices, equity portfolio analytics, and multi-asset class portfolio analytics. Price increases added very little to our revenue growth.

Revenues from equity indices increased \$43.2 million, or 27.6%, to \$200.0 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. Approximately \$23.9 million, or 55.3%, of the revenue increase was attributable to increases in fees based on assets of investment products linked to MSCI indices, and the balance to additional index subscriptions from existing and new clients. Growth of assets in ETFs linked to our equity indices drove the higher fees we received from assets in investment products. The majority of growth in assets under management was the result of increased investment flows into the ETFs.

Revenues from equity portfolio analytics increased \$10.6 million, or 9.7%, to \$120.6 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. The increase was the result of strong new subscription growth for our equity risk models and related analytics products with a notable increase in demand for our proprietary equity risk data through third-party software vendors. In addition, this increase was due to significantly higher retention rates for Barra Aegis.

Revenues from multi-asset class portfolio analytics increased \$6.2 million, or 36.7%, to \$23.1 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. The increase primarily reflects additional subscriptions to BarraOne by asset owners and fund managers with notable strength from EMEA and the Americas. The increase in BarraOne revenue was offset in part by a decline in revenues from TotalRisk due to our decision in late 2006 to stop licensing subscriptions to TotalRisk.

Revenues from other products decreased \$0.9 million, or 3.2%, to \$26.2 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. The decrease is principally the result of the cancellation of a large fixed income index subscription at the end of first quarter 2007 and decreased revenues from MSCI hedge fund indices due to declining asset levels of investment products linked to these indices. The decrease was mitigated by strong growth in our energy and commodity valuation analytics product subscriptions marketed under the FEA brand.

Operating Expenses

		For the Fiscal Year Ended November 30,		
	2007	2006	Increase/(Dec	crease)
	(in tho	(in thousands)		
Cost of services	\$ 121,752	\$ 115,068	\$ 6,684	5.8%
Selling, general and administrative	91,822	86,425	5,397	6.2%
Amortization of intangible assets	26,353	26,156	197	0.8%
Total operating expenses	\$ 239,927	\$ 227,649	\$ 12,278	5.4%

Total operating expenses of \$239.9 million for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2007 were \$12.3 million or 5.4% higher compared to fiscal 2006. Excluding the Founders Grant expense of \$0.8 million, operating expenses increased 5.4% to \$239.1 million for fiscal 2007 with compensation and benefits expenses increasing 10.1% and non-compensation expense remaining flat. For fiscal 2007, compensation and benefit expenses represented 55.9% of the total operating expenses compared to 53.2% in fiscal 2006. Excluding expenses related to the Founders Grant of \$0.8 million and the \$9.7 million of non-recurring items in 2006 (\$9.1 million of selling, general and administrative expenses, which are discussed below), expenses for fiscal 2007 increased 10.4%, comprised of compensation and benefits costs increases of 14.9% and non-compensation expenses increases of 5.3%, compared to fiscal year 2006.

Cost of services

Cost of services increased \$6.7 million, or 5.8%, to \$121.8 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. The majority of the increase, \$3.8 million, was driven by increased personnel costs that reflected hires made in the second half of 2006 in the information technology group as well as the hiring of a Chief Operating Officer. Additional market data costs, including costs associated with introducing the GIMI methodology, rent increases from adding business continuity space in Hong Kong and London, as well as higher allocations of information technology and administrative expenses from Morgan Stanley, were the largest contributors to non-compensation expense growth.

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.4 million, or 6.2%, to \$91.8 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. This increase was mainly due to an increase in compensation and benefits expenses, which increased \$9.3 million, or 19.1%, due to the hiring of additional employees in the second half of 2006, and increased compensation and benefits costs for existing personnel. Overall, non-compensation expenses decreased year over year by \$3.9 million, or 10.2%.

Fiscal 2006 included a number of expense items not repeated in fiscal 2007 which totaled \$9.1 million. These non-recurring expenses included accrued stock based compensation expense for equity awards for retirement eligible employees, recruitment fees associated with senior staff additions and acquisition related costs. Excluding these \$9.1 million of non-recurring items in 2006, expenses for fiscal 2007 increased by 18.7% compared to fiscal 2006. This increase included a 30.7% increase in compensation and benefit expenses and a 2.8% increase in non-compensation expenses. The increase in compensation and benefit expenses was driven by the full year cost in fiscal 2007 related to staff hires made in the second half of 2006 and increased compensation and benefits costs for existing personnel. Increases in non-compensation costs for fiscal 2007 were due to an increase in the allocation of general and administrative expenses from Morgan Stanley and travel expenses incurred as a result of the growth of our sales organization.

Amortization of intangible assets

Amortization expense increased \$0.2 million, or 0.8%, to \$26.4 million in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. As a percentage of revenues, amortization expense declined to 7.1% from 8.4%.

Interest expense (income) and other, net

Interest expense (income) and other, net decreased 79.7% to \$3.3 million for fiscal year 2007. The net decrease was the result of an increase in gross interest expense, a reduction of gross interest income, and foreign currency exchange decrease of \$0.9 million. Gross interest income decreased as a result of holding substantially lower cash balances resulting from the payment of the \$973.0 million dividend to Morgan Stanley and Capital Group International. We experienced higher gross interest expense on account of interest due on the demand note issued to Morgan Stanley in July 2007 and, following repayment of the demand note, on borrowings of \$425.0 million under the Credit Facility we entered into simultaneously with the completion of our initial public offering. See Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

Provision for income taxes

Our provision for income taxes increased \$16.1 million, or 44.6%, to \$52.2 million for fiscal 2007. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2007 increased to 39.1% from 36.3% in fiscal 2006. The increase reflects higher taxable earnings and two significant adjustments to the tax provision.

As a result of a settlement entered into by Morgan Stanley with New York State and New York City tax authorities, MSCI will be included in the combined New York State and New York City income tax returns of Morgan Stanley, through July 21, 2008. When filing as a separate taxpayer, our New York State and New York City income taxes were lower than when calculated as part of Morgan Stanley s combined state and local income tax return over the applicable period. Consequently, we recorded an adjustment of \$3.7 million for tax and interest (net of federal tax benefit) relating to tax years 1999 through 2007 to reflect the tax settlement.

The other component of the increased income tax provision is the establishment of additional tax reserves of \$1.7 million related to open tax years, which we believe are adequate in relation to the potential for additional assessments.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We require capital to fund ongoing operations, internal growth initiatives and acquisitions. We are solely responsible for the provision of funds to finance our working capital and other cash requirements.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows generated from our operations, existing cash and cash equivalents and funds available under the Credit Facility. We intend to use these sources of liquidity to service our debt and fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures, investments and acquisitions. In connection with our business strategy, we regularly evaluate acquisition opportunities. We believe our liquidity, along with other financing alternatives, will provide the necessary capital to fund these transactions and achieve our planned growth.

As described above in Factors Impacting Comparability of Our Financial Results July 2007 Dividend, we paid a dividend of \$973.0 million, consisting of \$325.0 million in cash and \$648.0 million of demand notes, on July 19, 2007. Morgan Stanley was issued a demand note in the amount of \$625.9 million and Capital Group International was issued a demand note in the amount of \$22.1 million. On July 19, 2007, we paid in full in cash the \$22.1 million demand note held by Capital Group International.

On November 14, 2007, we entered into a secured \$500.0 million credit facility with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., as agents for a syndicate of lenders, and other lenders party thereto

pursuant to a credit agreement dated as of November 20, 2007 (the Credit Facility). Outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility initially accrued interest at (i) LIBOR plus a fixed margin of 2.50% in the case of the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility and 3.00% in the case of the term loan B facility or (ii) the base rate plus a fixed margin of 1.50% in the case of the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility and the revolving credit facility and 2.00% in the case of the term loan B facility or (ii) the base rate plus a fixed margin of 1.50% in the case of the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility and 2.00% in the case of the term loan B facility. In April 2008 and again in July 2008, the Company s fixed margin rate was reduced by 0.25%. On November 28, 2008, the rates were adjusted to 4.20% and 4.70%, respectively, following a LIBOR reset. The term loan A facility and the term loan B facility will mature on November 20, 2012 and November 20, 2014, respectively. At November 30, 2008, \$402.8 million was outstanding and there was \$75.0 million of unused credit under the Revolving Credit Facility. Interest is paid quarterly in February, May, August and November. We pay an annual 0.5% fee on the unused portion of the \$75.0 million Revolving Credit Facility.

The Revolving Credit Facility is available for working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes (including the financing of permitted acquisitions), subject to certain conditions, and matures on November 20, 2012. Banc of America Securities LLC and an affiliate of Morgan Stanley acted as joint lead arrangers for the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries and secured by a valid and perfected first priority lien and security interest in substantially all of the shares of capital stock of our present and future domestic subsidiaries and up to 65% of the shares of capital stock of our foreign subsidiaries, substantially all of our and our domestic subsidiaries present and future property and assets and the proceeds thereof. In addition, the Credit Facility contains restrictive covenants that limit our ability and our existing or future subsidiaries abilities, among other things, to:

incur liens;

incur additional indebtedness;

make or hold investments;

merge, dissolve, liquidate, consolidate with or into another person;

sell, transfer or dispose of assets;

pay dividends or other distributions in respect of our capital stock;

change the nature of our business;

enter into any transactions with affiliates other than on an arm s length basis (except as described in Arrangements Between Morgan Stanley and Us and Relationships and Related Transactions); and

prepay, redeem or repurchase debt.

The Credit Facility also requires us and our subsidiaries to achieve specified financial and operating results and maintain compliance with the following financial ratios on a consolidated basis: (1) the maximum total leverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall not exceed (a) 3.75:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 3.25:1.0 thereafter; and (2) the minimum interest coverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall be (a) 3.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through Quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall be (a) 3.00:1.0 through November 30, 2009, (b) 3.50:1.0 from December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010 and (c) 4.00:1.0 thereafter. As of November 30, 2008, our Consolidated Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) was 1.9:1 and our Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) was 8.5:1.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

In addition, the Credit Facility contains the following affirmative covenants, among others: periodic delivery of financial statements, budgets and officer s certificates; payment of other obligations; compliance with laws and regulations; payment of taxes and other material obligations; maintenance of property and insurance; performance of material leases; right of the lenders to inspect property, books and records; notices of defaults and other material events and maintenance of books and records.

The Company has quarterly principal repayment requirements due in February, May, August and November. The term Loan A and term loan B payment requirements are described in Note 5 Long Term Debt in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The final installment of \$50.0 million from term loan A is due November 30, 2012. The final installment of \$209.8 million on term loan B is due November 30, 2014.

Currently, we have \$402.8 million outstanding under our Credit Facility, and have an additional \$75.0 million available under the Revolving Credit Facility. On our balance sheet, our debt balances are recorded net of discount.

Cash flows

	As of and	As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended November 30,		
	2008	2007	2006	
		(in thousands)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 268,077	\$ 33,818	\$ 24,362	
Cash deposited with related parties	\$	\$ 137,625	\$ 330,231	
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 155,081	\$ 110,225	\$ 83,665	
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ 112,069	\$ 192,071	\$ (79,764)	
Cash used in financing activities	\$ (22,952)	\$ (292,064)	\$ (5,000)	

Cash and cash equivalents and cash deposited with related parties

Cash and cash equivalents were \$268.1 million, \$33.8 million and \$24.4 million as of November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. This constituted approximately 26.5%, 3.7% and 2.2% of total assets as of November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 respectively. As of November 30, 2008, we did not have any cash deposited with Morgan Stanley. Prior to July 1, 2008, excess cash was deposited with Morgan Stanley and is shown separately on the balance sheet under cash deposited with related parties. Cash deposited with related parties was \$137.6 million and \$330.2 million as of November 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, representing approximately 15.2% and 29.7% of total assets, respectively. Our cash, including cash equivalents and cash deposited with related parties, increased in fiscal 2008. This increase was primarily the result of cash flows from operations, partially offset by cash used to purchase property, equipment, and leasehold improvements, repayments of our long term debt obligations and the negative impact of changes in currency exchange rates in fiscal 2008. We believe that our cash flow from operations (including prepaid subscription fees), together with existing cash balances, will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for capital expenditures and other cash needs for ongoing business operations for at least the next 12 months and the foreseeable future.

Cash flows from operating activities

In fiscal 2008, net cash provided by operating activities increased 40.7% to \$155.1 million from \$110.2 million in 2007. The \$44.9 million increase is primarily attributable to an increase in non-cash expenses of \$57.1 million partially offset by a decrease in net income of \$12.8 million. The increase in non-cash expenses includes an increase of \$29.3 million associated with compensation payable in common stock (principally founders grant expense), lower deferred tax expense of \$15.5 million, foreign currency losses of \$4.3 million, higher depreciation expense of \$3.5 million, a write-off of Alacra of \$3.0 million and an increase in amortization expense of \$2.1 million. Changes in assets and liabilities relative to the prior year were not significant.

Our primary uses of cash from operating activities are for payment of cash compensation expenses, office rent, market data, technology costs, allocations and replacement of services provided by Morgan Stanley, interest and taxes. In the near-term, we expect to meet all interest obligations on outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility from cash generated by operations. The payment of cash compensation expenses is historically at its highest level in the first quarter when we pay discretionary employee compensation related to the previous fiscal year.

Cash flows from investing activities

Cash flows from investing activities include cash used for capital expenditures and cash deposited with Morgan Stanley. In fiscal 2008, the amount of cash deposited with Morgan Stanley decreased by \$137.6 million as a result of the transfer of cash deposited with Morgan Stanley to accounts at Bank of America in connection with our separation. Capital expenditures totaled \$25.6 million in fiscal 2008, relating primarily to hardware and software associated with building our own information technology infrastructure, including data centers, as well as build-out costs of leased office space as we continue to replace services provided by Morgan Stanley. We anticipate funding any future capital expenditures out of our operating cash flows.

Cash flows from financing activities

Cash flows from financing activities largely represent scheduled payments on our outstanding long-term debt in fiscal 2008 of \$22.3 million and for cash dividends paid in fiscal 2007 and 2006 of \$973.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. During fiscal 2008, the net cash used in financing activities was \$23.0 million, which largely represents the payment of our long-term debt.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations consist primarily of leases for office space, leases for equipment and other operating leases, obligations to vendors arising out of market data contracts and obligations arising from borrowings under the Credit Facility. The following summarizes our contractual obligations:

		Fiscal Year					
As of November 30, 2008	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter
				(in thousands	s)		
Operating leases	\$ 66,315	\$ 11,888	\$ 11,927	\$ 10,688	\$ 10,681	\$ 10,018	\$ 11,113
Vendor obligations	4,722	3,922	800				
Term loans	402,750	22,250	42,250	42,250	82,250	2,250	211,500
Total contractual obligations	\$ 473,787	\$ 38,060	\$ 54,977	\$ 52,938	\$ 92,931	\$ 12,268	\$ 222,613

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective beginning an entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007, or upon early adoption of FASB Statement No. 159. We early adopted FASB Statement No. 159 as of December 1, 2006, and in effect adopted SFAS No. 157 at the same time. Accordingly, we adopted SFAS No. 157 on December 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS No. 158). We recorded an after tax charge of \$0.9 million to shareholders equity upon adoption of this requirement. SFAS No. 158 also requires the measurement of defined benefit and postretirement plan assets and

obligations as of the end of the fiscal year. SFAS No. 158 s requirement to use the fiscal year-end date as the measurement date is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We early adopted the measurement date change. The impact of this change was immaterial.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to elect to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value with changes in the fair values of those items (unrealized gains and losses) recognized in the statement of income for each reporting period. Under this Statement, fair value elections can be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, are irrevocable, and can only be made upon specified election date events. In addition, new disclosure requirements apply with respect to instruments for which fair value measurement is elected. We elected to early adopt SFAS 159 as of December 1, 2006. We chose not to make any fair value elections with respect to any of its eligible assets or liabilities as permitted under the provisions of SFAS 159.

In June 2007, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 06-11, Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards. EITF Issue No. 06-11 requires that the tax benefit related to dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units that are expected to vest be recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital. We currently account for this tax benefit as a reduction to our income tax provision. EITF Issue No. 06-11 is to be applied prospectively for tax benefits on dividends declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. As we currently have no plans to pay a dividend, the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-11 is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)). SFAS No. 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs; and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users all of the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after December 1, 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 13* (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 establishes, among other things, the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and for hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS No. 162). SFAS No. 162 identifies, within the accounting literature established by the FASB, the sources and hierarchy of the accounting principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC s) approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The adoption is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities (FSP EITF 03-6-1). FSP EITF 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method as described in SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share. Under the guidance in FSP EITF 03-6-1, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share

pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively. Early application is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting FSP EITF 03-6-1.

Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk

We are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk. Exchange rate movements can impact the U.S. dollar reported value of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies or where the currency of such items is different than the functional currency of the entity where these items were recorded.

Substantially all of our revenues from our index linked investment products are based on fees earned on the value of assets invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For all operations outside the United States where the Company has designated the local non-U.S. dollar currency as the functional currency, revenue and expenses are translated using average monthly exchange rates and assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates. For these operations, currency translation adjustments arising from a change in the rate of exchange between the functional currency and the U.S. dollar are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders equity. In addition, transaction gains and losses arising from a change in exchange rates for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity are reflected in other non-operating income (expense).

Revenues from index-linked investment products represented approximately \$72.6 million, or 16.9%, of our operating revenues for fiscal year 2008. While our fees for index-linked investment products are generally invoiced in U.S. dollars, the fees are based on the investment product s assets, substantially all of which are invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, declines in such other currencies against the U.S. dollar will decrease the fees payable to us under such licenses. In addition, declines in such currencies against the U.S. dollar could impact the attractiveness of such investment products resulting in net fund outflows, which would further reduce the fees payable under such licenses.

We generally invoice our clients in U.S. dollars; however, we invoice a portion of clients in euros, pounds sterling, Japanese yen and a limited number of other non-U.S. dollar currencies. Approximately \$60.8 million, or 14.1%, and \$56.7 million, or 15.3%, of our revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were denominated in foreign currencies, the majority of which were in euros, pounds sterling and Japanese yen.

We are exposed to additional foreign currency risk in certain of our operating costs. Although our expenses are generally in U.S. dollars, some of our expenses are incurred in non-U.S. dollar denominated currencies. Approximately \$81.1 million, or 27.5%, and \$55.6 million, or 23.1%, of our expenses for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were denominated in foreign currencies, the significant majority of which were denominated in Swiss francs, pounds sterling, Hong Kong dollars, euros and Japanese yen. Expenses paid in foreign currency may increase as we expand our business outside the U.S. and replace services provided by Morgan Stanley which we paid in U.S. dollars.

We have certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than local functional amounts and when these balances were remeasured into their local functional currency, a loss resulted from the devaluation of the value of the functional currency. As a result of these positions, we recognized foreign currency exchange losses of \$4.3 million for fiscal year ended November 30, 2008. The \$4.3 million loss was recorded in other expense (income) in our consolidated statements of income. These losses on foreign currency exchange were primarily due to the strengthening of the US dollar. Although we do not currently hedge the foreign exchange risk of assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency, we are examining ways to minimize exposure by reducing the value of the assets and liabilities in currencies other than the functional currency of the legal entity in which they reside.

To the extent that our international activities recorded in local currencies increase in the future, our exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates will correspondingly increase. Generally, we do not use derivative financial instruments as a means of hedging this risk; however, we may do so in the future. Foreign currency cash balances held overseas are generally kept at levels necessary to meet current operating and capitalization needs.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$268.1 million, \$33.8 million and \$24.4 million at November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These amounts were held primarily in checking money market accounts in the countries where we maintain banking relationships. Prior to July 1, 2008, the majority of our excess cash was deposited with Morgan Stanley. As of November 30, 2008, we did not have any cash deposited with Morgan Stanley. At November 30, 2007 and 2006, amounts held with Morgan Stanley were \$137.6 million and \$330.2 million, respectively. On our statements of financial condition, these amounts are shown as Cash deposited with related parties. We received interest at Morgan Stanley s internal prevailing rates on these funds. The unrestricted cash and cash equivalents are held for working capital purposes. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. We believe we do not have any material exposure to changes in fair value as a result of changes in interest rates. Declines in interest rates, however, will reduce future interest income.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility accrued interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus a fixed margin subject to interest rate step-downs based on the achievement of consolidated leverage ratio conditions (as defined in the Credit Facility.) On July 8, 2008, we met certain conditions as defined in the Credit Facility and qualified for a tier change, resulting in a decrease in the LIBOR fixed margin to 2.00% for the term loan A facility and 2.50% for the term loan B facility. In the near-term, we expect to pay down the Credit Facility with cash generated from our ongoing operations.

On February 13, 2008, we entered into interest rate swap agreements effective through the end of November 2010 for an aggregate notional principal amount of \$251.7 million. By entering into these agreements, we reduced interest rate risk by effectively converting floating-rate debt into fixed-rate debt. This action reduces our risk of incurring higher interest costs in periods of rising interest rates and improves the overall balance between floating and fixed rate debt. The effective fixed rate on the notional principal amount swapped was approximately 5.31% for the year ended November 30, 2008. On November 30, 2008, the effective fixed rate on the notional principal amount swapped was 5.16%. These swaps are designated as cash flow hedges and qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

Changes in LIBOR will affect the interest rate on the portion of our credit facilities which have not been hedged by the interest rate swaps and, therefore, our costs under the credit facilities. Assuming an average of \$160.0 million of variable rate debt outstanding, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in LIBOR for a one year period would result in approximately \$1.6 million of additional interest rate expense.

We recorded a pre-tax loss in other comprehensive income of \$3.6 million (\$2.3 million after tax) for the year ended November 30, 2008 as a result of the fair value measurement of these swaps. The fair value of these swaps is included in other accrued liabilities on our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this Item is set forth on page F-1 through F-36 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures* (a). Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company s reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management of the Company, with the participation of its CEO and CFO, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K, the Company s CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) were effective.

(b). Management s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and is affected by the Company s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets,

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company, and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting may vary over time. Our system contains self-monitoring mechanisms, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2008 based on the criteria described in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Based on this assessment, management, including the Company s CEO and CFO, concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of November 30, 2008.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2008 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated within their report below.

(c). Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended November 30, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

(d). Report of Independent Registered Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of MSCI Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of November 30, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial condition of the Company as of November 30, 2008 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2008 and our report dated January 29, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and includes an explanatory paragraph on the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R).

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York

January 29, 2009

Item 9B. *Other Information* None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008.

Information regarding our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and Corporate Governance Policy are incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008. Any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of our Codes of Ethics that apply to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, controller, or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of the Code of Ethics enumerated in paragraph (b) of Item 406 of Regulation S-K shall be disclosed by posting such information on our website at www.mscibarra.com. The information on our website is not and should not be considered a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008. In addition, the information contained in the Equity Compensation table under Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters And Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2008.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules (a)(1) Financial Statements

See pages F-1 through F-36 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

No financial statement schedules are provided because the information called for is not applicable or not required or is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto beginning on page F-1.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number 3.1	Description Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007(File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.1	Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of March 18, 2000, between Morgan Stanley Capital International and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.2	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds between Morgan Stanley Capital International and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.3	Letter Agreement to Amend MSCI-BGI Fund Index License Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2001, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.4	Addendum to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of September 18, 2002, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.5	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of December 3, 2004 between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on October 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.6	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of May 1, 2005 between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.7	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of July 1, 2006, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), with the SEC on October 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)

Exhibit Number 10.8	Description Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of June 5, 2007, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.9 #	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of November 7, 2008, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A.
10.10	Trademark License Agreement, dated as of March 18, 2002, between Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. and Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.11	Amendment No. 1 to Trademark License Agreement, dated July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.12	Intellectual Property Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.13	Amendment No. 1 to Intellectual Property Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008 between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.14	Services Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.15	Amendment No. 1 to Services Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.16	Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.17	Shareholder Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.18	Amended and Restated Shareholder Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.19	Credit Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, among MSCI Inc., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the other lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)

Exhibit Number 10.20	Description Asset Purchase Agreement, dated July 22, 2008, between MSCI Inc. and Morgan Stanley (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.21**	MSCI Inc. Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (filed as Annex B to the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 (File No. 001-33812) and incorporated by reference herein)
10.22**	MSCI Independent Directors Equity Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.23**	MSCI Inc. Performance Formula and Incentive Plan (filed as Annex C to the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 (File No. 001-33812) and incorporated by reference herein)
10.24**	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificates for Stock Units (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.25**	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificates for Stock Units for Named Executive Officers (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 208 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.26**	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificate for Stock Options (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.27**	MSCI Independent Directors Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificate for Stock Options (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.28**	Employment Offer Letter, dated as of July 20, 2006, between Michael Neborak and Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on November 6, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.29**	Summary of Relocation and Expatriate Benefits for C.D. Baer Pettit (filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on November 6, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.30#	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2008 Award Certificate for Stock Units for Executive Officers and the General Counsel
21.1#	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1#	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
24.1#	Power of Attorney (included on signature page)
31.1***	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2***	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1*** Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

- # Filed herewith.
- ** Indicates a management compensation plan, contract or arrangement previously filed.
- *** Furnished herewith.

Confidential treatment has been granted for a portion of this exhibit.

Confidential treatment requested.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of New York, State of New York, on the 29th day of January, 2009.

MSCI INC.

By: /s/ HENRY A. FERNANDEZ Name: Henry A. Fernandez

Title: Chairman, CEO and President

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Henry A. Fernandez and Michael K. Neborak, and each of them, his or her true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto each said attorney-in-fact and agents full power and authority to do and perform each and every act in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or either of them or their or his or her substitute or substitutes may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Henry A. Fernandez	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President	January 29, 2009
Henry A. Fernandez	(principal executive officer)	
/s/ Michael K. Neborak	Chief Financial Officer	January 29, 2009
Michael K. Neborak	(principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)	
/s/ Kenneth M. deRegt	Director	January 29, 2009
Kenneth M. deRegt		
/s/ Benjamin F. duPont	Director	January 29, 2009
Benjamin F. duPont		
/s/ James P. Gorman	Director	January 29, 2009
James P. Gorman		
/s/ Linda H. Riefler	Director	January 29, 2009
Linda H. Riefler		

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

/s/ Scott M. Sipprelle	Director	January 29, 2009
Scott M. Sipprelle		
/s/ Rodolphe M. Vallee	Director	January 29, 2009
Rodolphe M. Vallee		

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Financial Statements	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of November 30, 2008 and 2007	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders - Equity for the Teas Ended November 50, 2008, 2007 and 2000	
	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of MSCI Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of November 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2008. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries as of November 30, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 and Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated January 29, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

New York, New York

January 29, 2009

F-2

MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

		As of November 2008	
	(in	thousands	, except per
	s	hare and s	hare data)
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2	268,077	\$ 33,81
Cash deposited with related parties			137,62
Frade receivables (net of allowances of \$712 and \$1,584 as of November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively)		85,723	77,74
Due from related parties		1,765	2,62
Deferred tax assets		18,590	17,42
Prepaid and other assets		18,100	12,16
Total current assets	3	92,255	281,40
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements (net of accumulated depreciation of \$14,069 and \$13,404 at November 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively)		28,447	4,24
Investments in unconsolidated company		20,117	3,00
Goodwill	4	41,623	441,62
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$123,043 and \$94,543 at November 30, 2008 and 2007,		,	,.
respectively)	1	45,907	174,40
Other non-current assets		6,816	
Fotal assets	\$ 1,0	015,048	\$ 904,67
	. ,	,	. ,
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	900	\$ 7
Payable to related parties	Ψ	34,992	17,14
Income taxes payable		51,772	16,21
Accrued compensation and related benefits		58,946	53,83
Other accrued liabilities		29,459	10,19
Current maturities of long-term debt		22,086	22,25
Deferred revenue		44,711	125,23
Total current liabilities	2	291,094	244.93
Long term debt, net of current maturities		79,709	402,75
Deferred tax liabilities		49,364	56,97
Other non-current liabilities		8,499)
Fotal liabilities	7	28,666	704,65
Commitments and Contingencies (see notes 5, 11 and 16) Shareholders Equity			
Preferred stock (par value \$0.01; 100,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued)			
Common stock (par value \$0.01; 500,000,000 class A shares and 250,000,000 class B shares authorized;			

Common stock (par value \$0.01; 500,000,000 class A shares and 250,000,000 class B shares authorized;		
72,354,383 class A shares and 27,708,654 class B shares issued and outstanding)	1,001	1,000
Treasury shares, at cost (23,216 shares at November 30, 2008)	(681)	
Additional paid in capital	291,204	265,098
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	2,212	(65,884)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,354)	(193)

Total shareholders equity	286,382	200,021
Total liabilities and shareholders	equity \$ 1,015,048	\$ 904,679

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-3

MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	2008				
		nds, except per s			
Operating revenues ⁽¹⁾	\$ 430,961	\$ 369,886	\$ 310,698		
Cost of services ⁽¹⁾	124,671	121,752	115,068		
Selling, general and administrative ⁽¹⁾	142,000	91,822	86,425		
Amortization of intangible assets	28,500	26,353	26,156		
Total operating expenses	295,171	239,927	227,649		
Operating income	135,790	129,959	83,049		
Interest income ⁽¹⁾	(8,142)	(13,143)	(15,482)		
Interest expense ⁽¹⁾	26,932	9,586	352		
Other expense (income)	7,357	224	(1,290)		
Interest expense (income) and other, net	26,147	(3,333)	(16,420)		
Income before provision for income taxes and discontinued operations	109,643	133,292	99,469		
Provision for income taxes	41,375	52,181	36,097		
Income before discontinued operations	68,268	81,111	63,372		
Discontinued operations					
Income from discontinued operations			12,699		
Provision for income taxes on discontinued operations			4,626		
Income from discontinued operations			8,073		
Net income	\$ 68,268	\$ 81,111	\$ 71,445		
Earnings per basic common share:					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.76		
Discontinued operations			0.10		
Earnings per basic common share	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.85		
Earnings per diluted common share:					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.76		
Discontinued operations			0.10		
Earnings per diluted common share	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.85		
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing earnings per share					
Basic	100,037	84,608	83,900		
Diluted	101,194	84,624	83,900		

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form 10-K

(1) Amounts related to related parties are as follows:

			For the fiscal year ended November 30,			
			2008	2007 (in thousands)	2006	
Operating revenues			\$ 12,413	\$ 14,250	\$ 15,588	
Cost of services			\$ 8,740	\$ 14,957	\$ 13,225	
Selling, general and administrative			\$ 9,540	\$ 11,458	\$ 9,889	
Interest income			\$ 5,267	\$ 12,938	\$ 15,327	
Interest expense	N	 	\$ 417	\$ 8,307	\$ 259	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-4

MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	For the fis	For the fiscal year ended November 30,			
	2008	2007 (in thousands)	2006		
Net income	\$ 68,268	\$ 81,111	\$ 71,445		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:					
Foreign currency translation adjustments(1)	(2,233)	(776)	2,050		
Net changes in cash flow hedges(2)	(2,258)				
Minimum pension liability adjustment(3)		23			
SFAS 158 pension adjustment(4)	(2,638)				
Comprehensive income	\$ 61,139	\$ 80,358	\$ 73,495		

(1) Amounts are net of provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$(1,343), \$(476) and \$1,256 for fiscal 2008, fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively.

- (2) Amounts are net of (benefit from) income taxes of (1,384) for fiscal 2008.
- (3) Amounts are net of provision for income taxes of \$14 for fiscal 2007.
- (4) Amounts are net of (benefit from) income taxes of \$(851) for fiscal 2008.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-5

MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	l (ac	Retained Earnings cumulated deficit) housands)	Com	umulated Other prehensive ome (loss)	Total
Balance at November 30, 2005	\$ 29	\$	\$ 649,884	\$	109,676	\$	(2,372)	\$757,217
Net income					71,445			71,445
Dividends paid					(5,000)			(5,000)

Foreign currency translation adjustment