

AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/

Form 10-Q

November 29, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One):

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006.

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Commission File Number: 001-14195

American Tower Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

65-0723837
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

116 Huntington Avenue

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

(Address of principal executive offices)

Telephone Number (617) 375-7500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

As of November 15, 2006, there were 425,491,913 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION

INDEX

FORM 10-Q

QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (as restated, for the 2005 period)	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (as restated, for the 2005 period)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	49
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	51
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	53
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	54
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	61
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders.</u>	62
Item 5. <u>Other Information.</u>	62
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	62
<u>Signatures</u>	63
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	EX-1

Table of Contents**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS Unaudited**

(in thousands, except share data)

	June 30,	December 31,
	2006	2005
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 90,454	\$ 112,701
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$11,753 and \$15,071, respectively	30,782	36,995
Prepaid and other current assets	73,243	44,823
Deferred income taxes	94,236	31,359
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total current assets	288,715	225,878
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	3,320,822	3,460,526
GOODWILL	2,197,014	2,142,551
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	1,916,351	2,077,312
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	475,659	523,293
NOTES RECEIVABLE AND OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS	409,113	357,294
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
TOTAL	\$ 8,607,674	\$ 8,786,854
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 166,660	\$ 178,951
Accrued interest	40,155	37,850
Current portion of long-term obligations	277,426	162,153
Unearned revenue	75,163	77,655
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total current liabilities	559,404	456,609
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS	3,325,302	3,451,276
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	344,721	327,354
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities	4,229,427	4,235,239
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

MINORITY INTEREST IN SUBSIDIARIES	3,592	9,794
--	-------	-------

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

Preferred Stock: \$.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding

Class A Common Stock: \$.01 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 437,019,775 and 415,636,595 shares issued, and 425,032,685 and 412,654,855 shares outstanding, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	4,370	4,156
Accumulated deficit	7,478,247	7,383,320
Unearned compensation	(2,755,677)	(2,761,404)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(2,497)	(2,497)
Treasury stock (11,987,090 and 2,981,740 shares at cost)	10,466	(803)
	(362,751)	(80,951)

Total stockholders equity	4,374,655	4,541,821
----------------------------------	------------------	------------------

TOTAL	\$ 8,607,674	\$ 8,786,854
--------------	---------------------	---------------------

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS Unaudited****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
		(as restated, see note 2)		(as restated, see note 2)
REVENUES:				
Rental and management	\$ 320,169	\$ 184,609	\$ 636,428	\$ 366,179
Network development services	5,694	3,451	9,844	6,236
Total operating revenues	325,863	188,060	646,272	372,415
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Costs of operations (exclusive of items shown separately below)				
Rental and management	83,128	46,599	162,669	94,824
Network development services	2,609	2,090	4,680	3,522
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	132,811	84,784	266,072	166,755
Selling, general, administrative and development expense (including stock-based compensation expense of \$9,347, \$1,765, \$18,858 and \$2,526, respectively)	36,610	22,364	72,923	43,268
Impairments, net (gain) loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense (including stock-based compensation expense in 2005 of \$559 and \$764, respectively)	(67)	1,473	1,447	4,250
Total operating expenses	255,091	157,310	507,791	312,619
OPERATING INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	70,772	30,750	138,481	59,796
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income, TV Azteca, net of interest expense of \$373, \$373, \$746 and \$746, respectively	3,584	3,584	7,082	7,082
Interest income	1,371	808	2,729	1,507
Interest expense	(53,690)	(53,043)	(107,947)	(107,759)
Loss on retirement of long-term obligations	(3,497)	(16,388)	(25,074)	(31,430)
Other income (expense)	2,661	(1,160)	6,390	(490)
Total other expense	(49,571)	(66,199)	(116,820)	(131,090)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY INTEREST AND INCOME (LOSS) ON EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS	21,201	(35,449)	21,661	(71,294)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(12,936)	1,404	(14,762)	6,106
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	(280)	(56)	(537)	(111)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) on equity method investments	6	(952)	10	(2,050)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	7,991	(35,053)	6,372	(67,349)
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF INCOME TAX BENEFIT OF \$176, \$591, \$347 and \$636, RESPECTIVELY	(327)	(1,098)	(645)	(1,181)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 7,664	\$ (36,151)	\$ 5,727	\$ (68,530)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
BASIC AND DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE AMOUNTS:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.15)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.29)
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.30)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
BASIC	423,252	230,793	423,028	230,477
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
DILUTED	435,601	230,793	435,005	230,477
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Unaudited

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
		(as restated, see note 2)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,727	\$ (68,530)
Other non-cash items reflected in statements of operations (primarily depreciation and amortization)	308,072	221,216
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense	18,858	3,290
(Increase) decrease in assets	(51,189)	13,644
Increase (decrease) in liabilities	11,173	(4,611)
Cash provided by operating activities	<u>292,641</u>	<u>165,009</u>
CASH FLOWS USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Payments for purchase of property and equipment and construction activities	(57,044)	(36,526)
Payments for acquisitions	(2,830)	(4,184)
Payments for acquisitions of minority interests	(22,944)	(7,270)
Proceeds from sale of businesses and other long-term assets	14,740	3,625
Deposits, investments and other long-term assets	(334)	(587)
Cash used for investing activities	<u>(68,412)</u>	<u>(44,942)</u>
CASH FLOWS USED FOR FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings under credit facilities	242,000	
Repayment of notes payable, credit facilities and capital leases	(232,341)	(260,188)
Purchases of Class A common stock	(289,459)	
Net proceeds from stock options and warrants	35,362	10,767
Deferred financing costs and other financing activities	(2,038)	(581)
Cash used for financing activities	<u>(246,476)</u>	<u>(250,002)</u>
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(22,247)	(129,935)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>112,701</u>	<u>215,557</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	<u>\$ 90,454</u>	<u>\$ 85,622</u>
CASH PAID FOR INCOME TAXES	<u>\$ 13,635</u>	<u>\$ 9,453</u>
CASH PAID FOR INTEREST	<u>\$ 99,214</u>	<u>\$ 90,170</u>

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING TRANSACTIONS:

Issuance of common stock in connection with conversion of convertible notes (excluding loss on retirement)	\$ 44,075	
Increase in fair value of cash flow hedges (net of a tax provision of \$6,067)	11,269	
Assets acquired through capital leases	700	
Issuance of common stock in connection with the acquisition of Mexico minority interest		\$ 2,831

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies

American Tower Corporation and subsidiaries (collectively, ATC or the Company) is an independent owner, operator and developer of wireless and broadcast communications sites in the United States, Mexico and Brazil. The Company's primary business, as discussed in note 8, is the leasing of antenna space on multi-tenant communications towers to wireless service providers and radio and television broadcast companies. The Company also operates distributed antenna systems within buildings and provides limited network development services that support its rental and management operations and the addition of new tenants and equipment on its sites.

ATC is a holding company that conducts its operations in the United States, Mexico and Brazil through operating subsidiaries. ATC's principal United States operating subsidiaries are American Towers, Inc. (ATI) and SpectraSite Communications, Inc. (SpectraSite). ATC's principal international operating subsidiary is American Tower International, Inc., which conducts operations in Mexico through its subsidiary ATC Mexico Holding Corp. (ATC Mexico) and in Brazil through its subsidiary ATC South America Holding Corp. (ATC South America).

The Company completed its merger with SpectraSite, Inc. in August 2005, as more fully described in note 4. The merger was approved by the stockholders of the Company and SpectraSite, Inc. on August 3, 2005, and the results of operations of SpectraSite have been included in the Company's accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as of August 3, 2005.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, the Company believes such information and the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position and results of operations for such periods. Results of interim periods may not be indicative of results for the full year. These condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Significant Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, and such differences could be material to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Except for the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R) on January 1, 2006, the Company's significant accounting policies and estimates for the three months ended June 30, 2006 have not changed from December 31, 2005, as detailed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005. (See note 3.)

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share- Basic and Diluted Basic net income per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 represents net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 represents net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period and any dilutive common share equivalents, including shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and warrants and upon conversion of the Company's convertible notes, under the treasury stock method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, potential common shares, consisting of shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and warrants and upon conversion of the Company's convertible notes, of 33.3 million and 41.5 million, respectively, have been excluded from the computation of diluted net income per common share, as the effect is anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

The following table sets forth basic and diluted income (loss) per share computational data for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share	423,252	230,793	423,028	230,477
Dilutive securities (a):				
Stock options and warrants	12,349		11,977	
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share	435,601	230,793	435,005	230,477
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.30)
Dilutive net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.30)

- (a) The weighted average number of common shares outstanding excludes shares issuable upon conversion of the Company's convertible notes of 31.9 million and 35.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, and shares issuable upon exercise of the Company's stock options of 1.4 million and 5.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, as their effect is anti-dilutive.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of unrealized gains/losses on derivative cash flow hedges. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded an unrealized gain of approximately \$4.5 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, (net of a tax provision of approximately \$2.5 million and \$5.9 million, respectively) in other comprehensive income (loss) for the change in fair value of cash flow hedges and reclassified \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively (net of a tax benefit of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively) into results of operations.

Income Taxes The Company provides for income taxes at the end of each interim period based on the estimated effective tax rate for the full fiscal year. Cumulative adjustments to the Company's estimate are recorded in the interim period in which a change in the estimated annual effective rate is determined.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of SFAS No. 109 (FIN 48). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that FIN 48 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications have been made to the accompanying 2005 condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes to conform to the 2006 presentation. The Company changed its classification to aggregate all segment and corporate selling, general, administrative and development expenses previously included in rental and management expense, network development services expense and corporate, general, administrative and development expense into one line item entitled selling, general, administrative and development expense. The change in classification for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 resulted in decreases in rental and management expense of \$12.8 million and \$24.7 million, respectively, and decreases in network development services expense of \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, with a corresponding increase in selling, general, administrative and development expense of \$14.0 million and \$26.8 million, respectively, from amounts previously reported. The Company made this change in classification to enable the evaluation of the Company's rental and management segment gross margin, which is calculated based on direct tower-level expenses and does not include selling, general, administrative and development expense related to the rental and management segment. (See note 8.)

2. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Subsequent to the issuance of the condensed consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2005 and as disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed November 29, 2006, the Company restated certain of its previously issued financial statements to correct certain errors related to (i) stock-based compensation not previously recorded for certain stock option grants, including the related payroll and income tax effects, (ii) additional charges for stock-based compensation expense related to the modification and repricing of certain stock option grants, primarily associated with options awarded to a former executive officer of the Company, and (iii) changes to income taxes related to the tax effects of foreign currency fluctuations on an intercompany loan with a foreign subsidiary of the Company. The Company has restated its condensed consolidated financial statements for each of the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 to reflect these corrections in the proper periods.

The following table reconciles as previously reported to as restated net loss (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2005
As Previously Reported	\$ (31,818)	\$ (63,379)
Increase to net loss:		
Stock-Based Compensation Adjustments Related to Revised Accounting Measurement Dates (including related Payroll Tax Effects), net of tax	(645)	(1,556)
Stock-Based Compensation Adjustments Related to Modifications and Repricings, net of tax	(628)	(607)
Income Taxes Related to Foreign Currency Denominated Loan	(3,060)	(2,988)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total increase in net loss	(4,333)	(5,151)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
As Restated	\$ (36,151)	\$ (68,530)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

The Company's decision to restate its consolidated financial statements was based, in part, on an independent review of the Company's historical stock option granting practices and related accounting. On May 19, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had established a special committee of independent directors to conduct a review of the Company's stock option granting practices and related accounting with the assistance of independent legal counsel and forensic auditors. The special committee determined that, for certain stock option grants, the legal grant dates when all necessary corporate action had been taken differ from the dates previously recorded by the Company for financial accounting and tax purposes.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

Stock-Based Compensation Adjustments Related to Revised Accounting Measurement Dates In connection with the review by the special committee, the Company undertook a review of option grant dates recorded for financial accounting and tax purposes. Based on the Company's facts and circumstances, the Company concluded that it should use the legal grant date, as determined by the special committee, as the accounting measurement date for such awards. Accordingly, based on this conclusion, the Company applied new measurement dates to the affected stock option grants and, as a result, determined that charges for stock-based compensation expense and related payroll and income tax effects were required in instances where the quoted market price of the underlying stock at the new measurement date exceeded the employee's exercise price, in accordance with APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and SFAS No. 123R. As a result of the changes in accounting measurement dates discussed above, the Company recorded additional stock-based compensation expense.

In instances where a new measurement date was applied to those stock options that were originally classified as incentive stock options, the new dates also had the effect of disqualifying incentive stock option tax treatment for certain options, causing such options to be recharacterized as non-qualified stock options. The disqualification of incentive stock option classification and the recharacterization to non-qualified stock option status resulted in the Company's recording additional expense in the period of exercise for penalties and interest for failure to withhold employee taxes.

In addition to the tax consequences described in the preceding paragraph, under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 409A), individuals who received options with exercise prices below the quoted market price of the underlying stock at the legal grant date likely will be subject to additional taxes and penalties with respect to options that vest after December 31, 2004. Holders of these options likely will be required to recognize income at vesting, rather than upon exercise, on the amount of the difference between the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of vesting and the exercise price, plus an additional 20% penalty tax on this amount, plus interest on any tax to be paid. In order to remedy the unfavorable personal tax consequences under Section 409A, the Company intends to provide holders of these options the opportunity to amend their affected options through a tender offer as discussed in note 11.

Stock-Based Compensation Adjustments Related to Modifications and Repricings The Company also determined that it should have recorded stock-based compensation expense associated with the modification and repricing of certain stock option grants. The expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 relates primarily to options awarded to a former executive officer of the Company that should have been accounted for as an indirect repricing.

Income Taxes Related To Foreign Currency Denominated Loan In addition, the restatement of the Company's previously issued financial statements includes changes to the income tax benefit relating to the tax effects of foreign currency fluctuations on a foreign currency denominated intercompany loan between two wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. The Company determined that the tax effects of foreign currency gains and losses on the intercompany loan were not properly recorded, as the Company should have treated such gains and losses as taxable at the subsidiary level and the tax effect should not have been eliminated by the Company in consolidation. As a result, the Company recorded decreases to the income tax benefit.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

The following schedules reconcile the amounts as previously reported in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 to the corresponding restated amounts, which reflect the restatement and reclassification adjustments described above (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations			
As previously reported	Restatement Adjustments	Reclassification Adjustments (See note 1)	As restated
Three Months Ended June 30, 2005			
Rental and management expenses	\$ 59,388		\$ (12,789)
Network development services expense	3,331		(1,241)
Selling, general, administrative and development expense	6,443	\$ 1,891	14,030
Total operating expenses	155,419	1,891	157,310
Operating income from continuing operations	32,641	(1,891)	30,750
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest and loss on equity method investments	(33,558)	(1,891)	(35,449)
Income tax benefit	3,846	(2,442)	1,404
Loss from continuing operations	(30,720)	(4,333)	(35,053)
Net loss	(31,818)	(4,333)	(36,151)
Basic and diluted loss per common share amounts:			
Continuing operations	(0.13)	(0.02)	(0.15)
Discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.01)
Net loss	(0.14)	(0.02)	(0.16)

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations			
As previously reported	Restatement Adjustments	Reclassification Adjustments (See note 1)	As restated
Six Months Ended June 30, 2005			
Rental and management expenses	\$ 119,568		\$ (24,744)
Network development services expense	5,533		(2,011)
Selling, general, administrative and development expense	13,416	\$ 3,097	26,755
Total operating expenses	309,522	3,097	312,619
Operating income from continuing operations	62,893	(3,097)	59,796
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest and loss on equity method investments	(68,197)	(3,097)	(71,294)
Income tax benefit	8,184	(2,078)	6,106
Loss from continuing operations	(62,174)	(5,175)	(67,349)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1,205)	24	(1,181)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Net loss	(63,379)	(5,151)	(68,530)
Basic and diluted loss per common share amounts:			
Continuing operations	(0.27)	(0.02)	(0.29)
Discontinued operations	(0.01)		(0.01)
Net loss	(0.28)	(0.02)	(0.30)

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)****3. Stock-Based Compensation**

Change in Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, which supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB No. 25), *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS No. 123R addresses the accounting for share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options. Under this new standard, companies are no longer permitted to account for share-based compensation transactions using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25. Instead, companies are required to account for such transactions using a fair value method and recognize the related expense associated with share-based payments in the statement of operations. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R as of January 1, 2006 under the modified prospective method, pursuant to which compensation expense for all share-based payments granted or modified after the effective date is recognized based upon the requirements of SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize stock-based compensation awards granted to employees as compensation expense on a fair value method. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the accounting measurement date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the service period, which generally represents the vesting period. The fair value of stock options is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expense recognized over the service period is required to include an estimate of the awards that will be forfeited. Under APB No. 25, the Company previously recorded the impact of forfeitures as they occurred.

The application of SFAS No. 123R resulted in stock-based compensation expense of \$9.3 million and \$18.9 million, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, which is reflected in selling, general, administrative and development expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, this stock-based compensation expense caused income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest and income on equity method investments to decrease by \$9.3 million and \$18.9 million, respectively, and net income to decrease by \$6.7 million and \$13.3 million, respectively (net of a tax benefit of \$2.7 million and \$5.6 million, respectively). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, basic and diluted net income per common share also decreased by \$0.01 and \$0.03, respectively, as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. The Company did not capitalize any stock-based compensation during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, the Company is no longer required to recognize unearned compensation, a contra-equity account representing the amount of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense that is reduced as expense is recognized, at the date employee stock-based awards are granted. Accordingly, as of January 1, 2006 the Company reclassified \$2.5 million of unearned compensation related to unvested options assumed in the merger with SpectraSite, Inc. to additional paid-in capital.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company complied with the provisions of APB No. 25 to account for equity grants and awards to employees, officers and directors and the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* an amendment of SFAS No. 123. In accordance with APB No. 25, the Company had recognized compensation expense based on the excess, if any, of the quoted stock price at the accounting measurement date of the award over the employee's exercise price.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per common share if the Company had recorded compensation expense for stock-based compensation in the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 based on the fair value recognition

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

provisions of SFAS No. 123. As set forth in the table below, the pro forma net income and pro forma net income per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, when calculated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, is the same as when calculated in

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. The amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are included below only to provide the detail for comparative presentation to the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net income (loss) as restated	\$ 7,664	\$ (36,151)	\$ 5,727	\$ (68,530)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense, net of related tax effect, included in net income (loss) as reported	6,662	1,674	13,301	2,302
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effect	(6,662)	(5,131)	(13,301)	(9,418)
Pro-forma net income (loss)	<u>\$ 7,664</u>	<u>\$ (39,608)</u>	<u>\$ 5,727</u>	<u>\$ (75,646)</u>
Net income (loss) per common share:				
As restated:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.16)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.30)
Pro-forma:				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.33)

Summary of Stock-Based Compensation Plans The Company maintains a stock option plan for directors, officers and employees (the ATC Plan), which provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options. Exercise prices in the case of incentive stock options are not less than the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Exercise prices in the case of non-qualified stock options are set at the discretion of the compensation committee of the Company's Board of Directors. Option grants generally vest ratably over various periods, typically four years, and generally expire ten years from the date of grant. The Company uses newly issued shares to settle option exercises. In August 2005, in connection with the merger with SpectraSite, Inc., the Company assumed stock options granted under the SpectraSite, Inc. 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the SpectraSite Plan). Upon completion of the merger, the assumed SpectraSite, Inc. stock options were exercisable for an aggregate of 9.9 million shares of the Company's Class A common stock. Of these options, options to purchase approximately 8.3 million shares were fully vested as of the merger date and the remaining unvested options to purchase 1.6 million shares vest monthly through the first quarter of 2008. As of June 30, 2006, options to purchase approximately 0.8 million shares of Class A common stock remained outstanding under the SpectraSite Plan. The Company does not plan to grant any additional options under the SpectraSite Plan. In addition, the Company maintains stock option plans for ATC Mexico (ATC Mexico Plan) and ATC South America (ATC South America Plan). No options were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and no options were outstanding as of June 30, 2006 under the ATC Mexico Plan or the ATC South America Plan. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, 6,024 unvested options to purchase common stock of ATC South America were outstanding under the ATC South America Plan and no options were outstanding under the ATC Mexico Plan.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

Stock Options The following table summarizes the Company's option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2006:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2006	20,403,502	\$ 14.48		
Granted	4,603,825	31.51		
Exercised	(3,229,097)	10.48		
Cancelled	(554,377)	17.05		
Outstanding as of June 30, 2006	21,223,853	\$ 18.75	7.24	\$ 264.5
Exercisable as of June 30, 2006	8,661,171	\$ 14.25	4.92	\$ 146.3

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free treasury rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the accounting measurement date. The expected life (estimated period of time outstanding) was estimated using the vesting term and historical exercise behavior of employees. The expected volatility was based on historical volatility for a period equal to the expected life of the stock options. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company reevaluated the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of option grants to employees. As a result, the Company lowered its expected volatility assumption for option grants to approximately 30% and increased the expected life of option grants to 6.25 years using the simplified method permitted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. Key assumptions used in the pricing model for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	January 1, 2006 June 30, 2006	January 1, 2005 June 30, 2005
Approximate risk-free interest rate	4.36% 5.08%	3.55% 4.04%
Expected life of option grants	6.25 years	4 years
Expected volatility of underlying stock price	29.6%	77.8% 79.2%
Expected annual dividends	N/A	N/A

The weighted average grant date fair value for the stock options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$13.67 and \$12.51, respectively, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$10.82 and \$11.10, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation awards granted under the option plans was approximately \$101.9 million, and that cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately three years. The total intrinsic value for stock options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was approximately \$35.7 million and \$68.0 million, respectively, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$5.3 million and \$14.0 million, respectively. The amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was approximately \$34.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan The Company also maintains an employee stock purchase plan (ESPP) for all eligible employees. Under the ESPP, shares of the Company's Class A common stock may be purchased during bi-annual offering periods at 85% of the lower of the fair market value on the first or the last day of each offering period. Employees may purchase shares having a value not exceeding 15% of their gross compensation during an offering period and may not purchase more than \$25,000 worth of stock in a calendar year (based on market values at the beginning of each offering period). The offering periods run from June 1 through November 30 and from December 1 through May 31 of each year. During the six months ended June 30, 2006

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

and 2005, 29,000 shares and 26,000 shares, respectively, were purchased by employees. The fair value of the ESPP offerings is estimated on the offering period commencement date using a Black-Scholes pricing model with the expense recognized over the expected life, which is the six month offering period over which employees accumulate payroll deductions to purchase the Company's Class A common stock. The fair value for the ESPP shares purchased during the December 2005, June 2006, December 2004 and June 2005 offering periods was \$6.37, \$7.29, \$6.12 and \$4.13, respectively. Key assumptions used to apply this pricing model are as follows:

Weighted Average Assumption	December 2005 Offering	June 2006 Offering	December 2004 Offering	June 2005 Offering
Approximate risk-free interest rate	5.01%	5.17%	3.17%	4.30%
Expected life of the shares	6 months	6 months	6 months	6 months
Expected volatility of underlying stock price	29.6%	29.6%	77.8%	77.8%
Expected annual dividends	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

4. Acquisition

Merger with SpectraSite, Inc As described in note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company completed its merger with SpectraSite, Inc. in August 2005, which the Company has accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141). Under this method of accounting, assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded on the Company's balance sheet at their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price paid by the Company over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired has been recorded as goodwill. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, the Company completed its allocation of the purchase price, which resulted in changes from the preliminary purchase price allocation previously reported, primarily related to the fair values attributed to the acquired tangible and intangible assets and related estimated useful lives. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, the Company completed its third-party valuation and an analysis of the acquired tenant and ground leases, which form the basis for determining the cash flows used to value the customer intangible assets acquired and the estimated useful lives of the acquired assets. The final allocation of the purchase price resulted in changes to the fair values of certain assets and liabilities disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, as follows: a decrease of \$80.0 million in total intangible assets, a decrease of \$9.2 million in total tangible assets, a decrease of \$33.3 million in the net deferred income tax liability and a corresponding increase of \$54.5 million in goodwill. The Company accounted for the difference between the depreciation and amortization expense recorded in connection with the preliminary and final allocation of the purchase price as a change in accounting estimate under SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The impact of this change in estimate was accounted for prospectively and was not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2006, nor will it be material to future periods. The following tables summarize the final purchase price and the final allocation of the purchase price based on the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and related deferred income taxes in connection with the merger.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

The total purchase price of approximately \$3.1 billion includes the fair value of shares of Class A common stock issued, the fair value of SpectraSite, Inc. options and warrants assumed, and transaction costs, as follows (in thousands):

	Total
Issuance of American Tower Class A common stock to stockholders of SpectraSite, Inc. (169.5 million shares at \$17.21)	\$ 2,917,130
Fair value of options assumed, net of tax benefit	88,487
Fair value of warrants assumed	100,455
Transaction costs	23,021
Total purchase price	\$ 3,129,093

The final allocation of the purchase price is as follows (in thousands):

Assets acquired:	
Current assets	\$ 69,844
Property and equipment	1,305,335
Intangible assets subject to amortization	
Acquired customer relationship and network location intangible	1,135,000
Other intangibles	17,300
Total Intangible assets	1,152,300
Goodwill	1,604,331
Other long-term assets	36,255
Deferred income taxes	22,223
Total assets acquired	\$ 4,190,288
Liabilities assumed:	
Current liabilities	118,342
Long-term debt, including current portion	702,480
Other long-term liabilities	60,885
Deferred income taxes	184,349
Total liabilities assumed	1,066,056
Other:	
Unearned compensation on unvested options	4,861

Net assets acquired

\$ 3,129,093

5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company's net carrying amount of goodwill was approximately \$2.2 billion and \$2.1 billion as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, all of which related to its rental and management segment.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

The following table presents summary information about the Company's intangible assets subject to amortization (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Acquired customer relationship and network location intangibles	\$ 2,541,374	\$ 2,606,546
Deferred financing costs	57,800	65,623
Acquired licenses and other intangibles	51,703	51,703
Total	\$ 2,650,877	\$ 2,723,872
Less accumulated amortization	(734,526)	(646,560)
Other intangible assets, net	\$ 1,916,351	\$ 2,077,312

The Company amortizes its intangible assets over periods ranging from three to twenty years. Amortization of intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was approximately \$43.1 million and \$88.6 million, respectively (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs, which is included in interest expense). The Company expects to record estimated amortization expense of approximately \$176.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and \$174.0 million, \$170.2 million, \$168.5 million, \$165.0 million and \$161.9 million, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

6. Financing Transactions

3.25% Convertible Notes During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company issued an aggregate of 3,684,794 shares of Class A common stock upon conversion of approximately \$45.0 million principal amount of 3.25% convertible notes due August 1, 2010 (3.25% Notes). Pursuant to the terms of the indenture, the holders of the 3.25% Notes are entitled to receive 81.808 shares of Class A common stock for every \$1,000 principal amount of notes converted. In connection with the conversion, the Company paid such holders an aggregate of approximately \$3.3 million, calculated based on the accrued and unpaid interest on the notes as of the date of conversion and the discounted value of the future interest payments on the notes. The Company recorded a charge of \$3.3 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value, which is reflected in loss on retirement of long-term obligations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, \$107.9 million principal amount of 3.25% Notes remained outstanding.

ATI 7.25% Notes During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company repurchased in privately negotiated transactions \$36.9 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% senior subordinated notes due 2011 (ATI 7.25% Notes) for \$38.0 million in cash. In connection with these transactions, the Company recorded a charge of \$1.8 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees, which is reflected in loss on retirement of long-term obligations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, \$363.1 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% Notes remained outstanding.

ATI 12.25% Notes Redemption In December 2005, the Company issued a notice for the redemption on February 1, 2006 of all outstanding ATI 12.25% senior subordinated discount notes due 2008 (ATI 12.25% Notes). On February 1, 2006, the Company redeemed \$227.7 million face amount (\$162.1 million accreted value, net of \$7.0 million fair value allocated to warrants) of ATI 12.25% Notes in accordance with the indenture at 106.125% of their accreted value for an aggregate of \$179.5 million. The Company used \$0.5 million in cash on hand and \$179.0 million in borrowings under the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the American Tower credit facility to fund the redemption. The Company recorded a charge of \$19.9 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees, which is reflected in loss on

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

retirement of long-term obligations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Upon completion of this redemption, no ATI 12.25% Notes remained outstanding.

Stock Repurchase Program In November 2005, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$750.0 million of the Company's Class A common stock through December 2006. On May 23, 2006, the Company announced that it was temporarily suspending repurchases under its stock repurchase program in light of the uncertainty surrounding the pending review of its historical stock option granting practices and the related governmental proceedings. (See notes 2 and 9.) The Company expects that once this matter is resolved, it will resume repurchases of its Class A common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company repurchased 9.0 million shares of its Class A common stock for an aggregate of \$281.8 million. As of June 30, 2006, the Company had repurchased an aggregate of 11.8 million shares of its Class A common stock for an aggregate of \$358.3 million pursuant to its stock repurchase program.

Credit Facilities In October 2005, the Company refinanced the two existing credit facilities of its principal operating subsidiaries. The Company replaced the existing American Tower \$1.1 billion senior secured credit facility with a new \$1.3 billion senior secured credit facility and replaced the existing SpectraSite \$900.0 million senior secured credit facility with a new \$1.15 billion senior secured credit facility. (See note 11.)

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company drew down the remaining \$207.0 million available under the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the new American Tower credit facility and had drawn \$25.0 million of the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the new SpectraSite credit facility to finance debt redemptions and repurchases. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company also drew down, and then repaid, \$10.0 million of the American Tower revolving credit facility.

As of June 30, 2006, the American Tower credit facility consists of the following:

a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility, against which approximately \$17.8 million of undrawn letters of credit are outstanding at June 30, 2006, maturing on October 27, 2010;

a \$750.0 million Term Loan A, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27, 2010; and

a \$250.0 million Delayed Draw Term Loan, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27, 2010.

The borrowers under the American Tower credit facility include ATI, American Tower, L.P., American Tower International, Inc. and American Tower LLC. The Company and the borrowers' restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the loan agreement) have guaranteed all of the loans under the credit facility. These loans are secured by liens on and security interests in substantially all assets of the borrowers and the restricted

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

subsidiaries, with a carrying value aggregating approximately \$4.5 billion at June 30, 2006. For more information regarding the American Tower credit facility, see note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

As of June 30, 2006, the SpectraSite credit facility consists of the following:

a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility, against which approximately \$4.6 million of undrawn letters of credit were outstanding at June 30, 2006, maturing on October 27, 2010;

a \$700.0 million Term Loan A, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27, 2010; and

a \$200.0 million Delayed Draw Term Loan, of which \$25.0 million was drawn at June 30, 2006, maturing on October 27, 2010.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

The borrower under the SpectraSite credit facility is SpectraSite Communications, Inc. (SpectraSite). SpectraSite, its parent company (SpectraSite, LLC), and its restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the loan agreement) have guaranteed all of the loans under the credit facility. These loans are secured by liens on and security interests in substantially all assets of the borrower and the restricted subsidiaries, with a carrying value aggregating approximately \$4.0 billion at June 30, 2006. The SpectraSite credit facility provides that any portion of the Delayed Draw Term Loan component that is not drawn as of October 27, 2006 will be canceled. As of October 27, 2006, the remaining \$175.0 million undrawn portion of the Delayed Draw Term Loan was canceled pursuant to its terms. (See note 11.) For more information regarding the SpectraSite credit facility, see note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

7. Merger Related Costs

In connection with the Company's merger with SpectraSite, Inc., the Company assumed certain obligations related to employee separation costs of former SpectraSite, Inc. employees. Severance payments made to former SpectraSite, Inc. employees were subject to plans and agreements established by SpectraSite, Inc. and assumed by the Company in connection with the merger. These costs were recognized as an assumed liability in the purchase price allocation. In addition, the Company also incurred certain merger related costs for additional employee retention and separation costs incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2006. The following table displays the activity with respect to this accrued liability for the six months ended June 30, 2006 (in thousands):

	<u>Liability as of January 1, 2006</u>	<u>Expense</u>	<u>Cash Payments</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Liability as of June 30, 2006</u>
Employee separations	\$ 20,963	\$ 572	\$ (9,211)	\$ (1,787)	\$ 10,537

There have been changes in estimates of the assumed liability while the allocation of the purchase price was finalized. These changes in estimates are included in the Other column in the table above. The current portion of the liability of \$5.9 million is reflected in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and the long-term portion of the liability of \$4.6 million is reflected in other long-term liabilities, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company expects to pay the long-term portion of the merger related liabilities through the third quarter of 2008.

8. Business Segments

The Company operates in two business segments: rental and management and network development services. The rental and management segment provides for the leasing and subleasing of antenna space on multi-tenant communications towers and other properties and licensing of distributed antenna systems within buildings for a diverse range of customers primarily in the wireless communications and broadcast industries. The network development services segment offers services activities that support the Company's rental and management operations and the addition of new tenants and equipment on the Company's towers, including site acquisition, zoning, permitting and structural analysis.

The accounting policies applied in compiling segment information below are similar to those described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, except for the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. In evaluating financial performance, management focuses on segment gross margin and segment operating profit (loss). The Company defines segment gross margin as segment revenue less segment operating expenses, which exclude depreciation, amortization and accretion; selling, general, administrative and development expense; and impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense. The Company defines segment operating profit as segment gross margin less selling, general,

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

administrative and development expense attributable to the segment, excluding stock-based compensation expense and corporate expenses. These measures of segment gross margin and segment operating profit (loss) are also before interest income, interest expense, loss on retirement of long-term obligations, other income (expense), minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries, income (loss) on equity method investments, income taxes and discontinued operations. Segment gross margin and segment operating profit for the rental and management segment also include interest income, TV Azteca, net.

The Company's reportable segments are strategic business units that offer different services. They are managed separately because each segment requires different resources, skill sets and marketing strategies. Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is shown in the tables below. The Other column below represents amounts excluded from specific segments, such as depreciation, amortization and accretion expense; stock-based compensation expense and corporate expenses included in selling, general, administrative and development expense; impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense; interest income; interest expense; loss on retirement of long-term obligations; and other income (expense).

Three months ended June 30,	Rental and Management	Network Development Services	Other	Total
	(in thousands)			
2006				
Segment revenues	\$ 320,169	\$ 5,694		\$ 325,863
Segment operating expenses	83,128	2,609		85,737
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	3,584			3,584
Segment gross margin	240,625	3,085		243,710
Selling, general, administrative and development expenses	15,864	857	\$ 19,889	36,610
Other expenses			185,899	185,899
Operating profit (loss)	\$ 224,761	\$ 2,228	\$ (205,788)	\$ 21,201
2005				
Segment revenues	\$ 184,609	\$ 3,451		\$ 188,060
Segment operating expenses	46,599	2,090		48,689
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	3,584			3,584
Segment gross margin	141,594	1,361		142,955
Selling, general, administrative and development expenses	12,789	1,241	\$ 8,334	22,364
Other expenses			156,040	156,040
Operating profit (loss)	\$ 128,805	\$ 120	\$ (164,374)	\$ (35,449)

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

<u>Six months ended June 30,</u>	<u>Rental and Management</u>	<u>Network Development Services</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(in thousands)			
2006				
Segment revenues	\$ 636,428	\$ 9,844		\$ 646,272
Segment operating expenses	162,669	4,680		167,349
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	7,082			7,082
Segment gross margin	480,841	5,164		486,005
Selling, general, administrative and development expenses	31,610	1,910	\$ 39,403	72,923
Other expenses			391,421	391,421
Operating profit (loss)	\$ 449,231	\$ 3,254	\$ (430,824)	\$ 21,661
2005				
Segment revenues	\$ 366,179	\$ 6,236		\$ 372,415
Segment operating expenses	94,824	3,522		98,346
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	7,082			7,082
Segment gross margin	278,437	2,714		281,151
Selling, general, administrative and development expenses	24,744	2,011	\$ 16,513	43,268
Other expenses			309,177	309,177
Operating profit (loss)	\$ 253,693	\$ 703	\$ (325,690)	\$ (71,294)

9. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal and Governmental Proceedings Related to Review of Stock Option Granting Practices and Related Accounting On May 18, 2006, the Company received a letter of informal inquiry from the SEC Division of Enforcement requesting documents related to Company stock option grants and stock option practices. The inquiry is focused on stock options granted to senior management and members of the Company's Board of Directors during the period 1997 to the present. The Company continues to cooperate with the SEC to provide the requested information and documents.

On May 19, 2006, the Company received a subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York for records and information relating to its historic stock option granting practices. The subpoena requests materials related to certain stock options granted

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

between 1995 and the present. The Company continues to cooperate with the U.S. Attorney's Office to provide the requested information and documents.

On May 26, 2006, a securities class action was filed in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against the Company and certain of its current officers by John S. Greenebaum for monetary relief. Specifically, the complaint names the Company, James D. Taiclet, Jr. and Bradley E. Singer as defendants and alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws in connection with public statements made relating to the Company's stock option practices and related accounting. The complaint asserts claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5.

On May 24, 2006 and June 14, 2006, two shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in Suffolk County Superior Court in Massachusetts by Eric Johnston and Robert L. Garber, respectively. The lawsuits were filed

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment in connection with the Company's historic stock option granting practices. The lawsuits also name the Company as a nominal defendant. The lawsuits seek to recover the damages sustained by the Company and disgorgement of all profits received with respect to the alleged backdated stock options.

On June 13, 2006 and June 22, 2006, two shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by New South Wales Treasury Corporation, as Trustee for the Alpha International Managers Trust, and Frank C. Kalil and Don Holland, respectively. The lawsuits were filed against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, waste of corporate assets, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment in connection with the Company's historic stock option granting practices. The lawsuits also name the Company as a nominal defendant. The complaints assert claims under Sections 10(b), 14(a), and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. The lawsuits seek to recover the damages sustained by the Company and disgorgement of all profits received with respect to the alleged backdated stock options.

On June 8, 2006, the Company received a letter addressed to its Board of Directors from a law firm purporting to represent one of the Company's current stockholders requesting that the Board investigate and institute proceedings pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors to recover short-swing profits earned in connection with purchases and sales of the Company's equity securities. The Company's Board of Directors has concluded that there are no grounds on which to pursue the claims raised by the letter, and accordingly, has responded that it will not institute proceedings.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, additional legal and governmental proceedings related to the Company's review of its stock option granting practices and related accounting were commenced, as discussed in note 11.

The class action and derivative proceedings set forth above are in their early stages and the Company cannot estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, associated with resolution, nor can the Company predict the final disposition of these matters. In the event of an adverse outcome for one or more of these proceedings, these matters could result in a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Verestar Verestar, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company (Verestar), filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in December 2003. In connection with the bankruptcy filing, the Company asserted certain claims against Verestar as an unsecured creditor. If Verestar fails to honor certain of its contractual obligations because of its bankruptcy filing or otherwise, claims may be made against the Company for breaches by Verestar of those contracts as to which the Company is primarily or secondarily liable as a guarantor. The Company accrued its initial estimate of costs to settle these obligations and the remaining liability of \$3.2 million is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

In June 2004, the Bankruptcy Court approved a stipulation between Verestar and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the bankruptcy proceeding (the Committee) that permits the Committee to file claims on behalf of Verestar against the Company, its affiliates

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

and certain current and former officers and directors of Verestar and the Company. The Committee requested and received authorization from the Bankruptcy Court to take discovery of the Company and certain of Verestar's current and former officers and directors. The Company produced various documents and a limited number of depositions were conducted by the Committee. In July 2005, the Committee filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

New York against the Company and certain of its and Verestar's current and former officers, directors and advisors, and also filed a complaint in the Bankruptcy Court against the Company. (The case initially filed in the District Court has since been transferred to the Bankruptcy Court, and both cases are now pending as a single, consolidated case before the same Bankruptcy judge.) The Company may be obligated or may agree to indemnify certain of the defendants named in the litigation. The complaint originally filed in the District Court asserts various causes of action against the defendants, including declaratory judgment for alter ego, breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, conspiracy, tortious interference with contract and business relations, deepening insolvency, and avoidance and recovery of fraudulent transfers and preferential transfers. In connection with those claims, the Committee is seeking unspecified compensatory damages of not less than \$150.0 million, punitive damages and various costs and fees. The complaint originally filed in the Bankruptcy Court includes an objection to the Company's claims against Verestar and seeks to recharacterize and equitably subordinate those claims. The complaint also seeks substantive consolidation of the Company's assets and liabilities with Verestar's assets and liabilities. During 2005, the Company, together with the individual defendants, filed motions to dismiss certain claims asserted in the complaints. In June 2006, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed all counts involving certain defendants, including the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, James D. Taiclet, Jr. The Bankruptcy Court dismissed most other claims against the remaining individual defendants, leaving only claims of breach of the duty of loyalty and conversion. The Bankruptcy Court also dismissed certain claims against the Company, although several causes of action against the Company, including declaratory judgment for alter ego, remain. The outcome of this complex litigation cannot be predicted by the Company with certainty, is dependent upon many factors beyond the Company's control, and could take several years to resolve. In the opinion of management, the resolution of the claims made against the Company by the Committee will not likely have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or liquidity. The Company will incur additional costs in connection with its involvement in the Verestar bankruptcy proceedings. Such costs will be recorded as incurred and reflected within discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Litigation The Company periodically becomes involved in various claims and lawsuits that are incidental to its business. In the opinion of Company management, after consultation with counsel, other than the litigation related to the Company's historical stock option granting practices and the Verestar bankruptcy discussed above, there are no matters currently pending which would, in the event of adverse outcome, have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or liquidity.

SBC Transactions SpectraSite entered into an agreement with SBC Communications Inc. (SBC) for the lease or sublease of approximately 2,500 towers from SBC between December 2000 and August 2004. The average term of the lease or sublease for all sites at the inception of the agreement was approximately 27 years, assuming renewals or extensions of the underlying ground leases for the sites. SpectraSite has the option to purchase the sites subject to the lease or sublease upon their expiration. The towers are grouped into annual tranches for purposes of this purchase option, ranging from 2013 to 2032, which represents the outside expiration date for the sublease rights to the towers in each tranche. The purchase option must be exercised in its entirety with respect to all towers in each tranche. The purchase price for each site is a fixed amount stated in the sublease for that site plus the fair market value of certain alterations made to the related tower by SBC. The aggregate purchase option price for the towers leased and subleased was approximately \$295.6 million as of June 30, 2006, and will accrete at a rate of 10% per year to the applicable expiration of the lease or sublease of a site. For all such sites purchased by SpectraSite at the expiration of the lease or sublease, SBC has the right to continue to lease the reserved space for successive one year terms at a rate equal to the lesser of the agreed upon market rate and the then current monthly fee, which is subject to an annual increase based on changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

ALLTEL Transaction In December 2000, the Company entered into an agreement with ALLTEL Communications, Inc. (ALLTEL) to acquire communications towers from ALLTEL through a 15-year sublease

agreement. Pursuant to the agreement with ALLTEL, as amended, the Company acquired rights to a total of 1,776 towers in tranches between April 2001 and March 2002. The Company has the option to purchase these towers at the expiration of the sublease period, which will occur between April 2016 and March 2017 based on the original closing date for such tranche of towers. The purchase option must be exercised in its entirety with respect to all towers in each tranche. The purchase price per tower as of the original closing date was \$27,500 and will accrete at a rate of 3% per annum through the expiration of the sublease period. The aggregate purchase option price for the subleased towers was approximately \$57.0 million as of June 30, 2006. At ALLTEL's option, at the expiration of the sublease period the purchase price for each tower will be payable in cash or with 769 shares of the Company's Class A common stock.

Build-to-Suit Agreements As of June 30, 2006, the Company was party to various arrangements relating to the construction of tower sites under existing build-to-suit agreements. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company completed construction on 61 towers in Mexico pursuant to these build-to-suit agreements for an aggregate cost of approximately \$8.6 million. As of June 30, 2006, the Company had completed construction on a total of 228 towers in Mexico under the terms of these agreements, and the Company is obligated through March 2007 to construct approximately 80 additional towers in Mexico for an aggregate cost of approximately \$14.4 million.

10. ATC International Transactions

ATC South America During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company repurchased from stockholders of ATC South America the remaining 9.3% minority interest in ATC South America that it did not own for \$22.9 million in cash, as further described below. Giving effect to these repurchases, the Company now owns 100% of ATC South America. In accordance with SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141) the acquisitions have been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The purchase prices have been allocated to the net assets acquired (principally intangible assets) and liabilities assumed based on the estimated fair values at the date of acquisition.

In October 2005, J. Michael Gearon, Jr. (Mr. Gearon), an executive officer of the Company, exercised his previously disclosed right to require the Company to repurchase his 1.6% interest in ATC South America. In April 2006, the Company completed the purchase of Mr. Gearon's interest in South America and paid Mr. Gearon \$3.8 million in cash, including interest, which was the fair market value of Mr. Gearon's interest on the date of exercise of his repurchase right, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors with the assistance of an independent financial advisor.

In connection with the exercise by Mr. Gearon of his right to require the Company to repurchase his interest in ATC South America, all 6,024 options granted pursuant to the ATC South America Plan vested in full and were exercised in October 2005. Upon exercise of these options, the holders paid the Company \$8.1 million in cash and received 4,428 shares of ATC South America, net of 1,596 shares retained by the Company to satisfy employee tax withholding obligations. The 1,596 shares retained by the Company were treated as a repurchase of a minority interest in accordance with SFAS No. 141. As a result, the Company recorded a purchase price allocation adjustment of \$5.6 million as an increase to intangible assets and a corresponding increase in minority interest as of the date of acquisition.

These holders, who include William H. Hess (Mr. Hess), an executive officer of the Company, and Mr. Gearon, had the right to require the Company to purchase their shares of ATC South America at their then fair market value no earlier than six months and one day following their issuance. In April 2006, this repurchase

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

right was exercised, and the Company completed the purchase of these interests in ATC South America. The

Company paid these employees an aggregate of \$18.9 million in cash in consideration for their interests in ATC South America, which was the fair market value of their interests on the date of exercise of their repurchase right, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors with the assistance of an independent financial advisor.

11. Subsequent Events

Note Repurchases From July 1, 2006 to November 20, 2006, the Company repurchased in privately negotiated transactions \$38.0 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% Notes for \$39.3 million in cash and \$23.5 million of 5.0% convertible notes due 2010 for \$23.4 million in cash. In connection with these transactions, the Company expects to record a charge of \$1.9 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees in the second half of 2006.

Waiver Under Credit Facilities On August 23, 2006, the Company obtained waivers from the lenders under the American Tower and SpectraSite credit facilities with respect to defaults resulting from the failure by the Company to timely provide lenders with the required financial reports for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the restatement of the Company's previously issued financial statements and other potential defaults related to the Company's historical stock option granting practices. Under the terms of the waivers, the lenders under each of the Company's credit facilities extended the time of delivery for the Company's required financial reports for the period ended June 30, 2006 to November 15, 2006. The waivers also waive defaults or events of default that have arisen or may arise in connection with the stock option inquiry, the Company's restatement, and certain other related matters. The waivers also provide that for each credit facility, if lenders representing greater than 80% of the sum of the aggregate unutilized commitments plus the aggregate loans then outstanding under the credit facility deliver a notice demanding such financial reports, the waiver will extend only to the 30th day following such notice.

On November 13, 2006, the Company obtained new waivers with respect to defaults resulting from the failure by the Company to timely provide lenders with the required financial reports for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the restatement of the Company's previously issued financial statements and other potential defaults related to the Company's historical stock option granting practices. The new waivers had substantially the same terms as the previous waivers, except that the time of delivery for the Company's required financial reports is extended to December 22, 2006.

Legal and Governmental Proceedings Related to Review of Stock Option Granting Practices and Related Accounting On August 23, 2006, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by Leslie Cramer against certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment in connection with the Company's historic stock option granting practices. The lawsuit also names the Company as a nominal defendant. The lawsuit seeks to recover the damages sustained by the Company and disgorgement of all profits received with respect to the alleged backdated stock options.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

On August 31, 2006, the Company received an Information Document Request from the Internal Revenue Service for documents and information relating to its historic stock option granting practices and related accounting. The Information Document Request requests materials related to certain stock options granted between 1998 and 2005.

Tax Consequences under Internal Revenue Code Section 409A As discussed above in note 2, as a result of the review of the Company's stock option granting practices, the Company has determined that a number of stock options were granted by the Company with exercise prices that were below the quoted market price of the

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

underlying stock on the date of grant. Under Section 409A, part of recently enacted tax legislation, options that were granted with exercise prices below the quoted market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant and that vest after December 31, 2004 will likely be subject to unfavorable tax consequences that did not apply at the time of grant. While the IRS has not issued definitive final guidance under Section 409A, individuals who received such options likely will be required to recognize income at vesting, rather than upon exercise, on the amount of the difference between the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of vesting and the exercise price, plus an additional 20% penalty tax on this amount, plus interest on any tax to be paid. Based on the review of the Company's stock option granting practices, the Company has determined that options to purchase up to 3.9 million shares of the Company's Class A common stock held by employees and members of the Company's Board of Directors may be subject to adverse tax consequences under Section 409A.

In order to remedy the unfavorable personal tax consequences under Section 409A, the Company intends to offer holders of these options the opportunity to amend their affected options. Specifically, the Company expects to conduct a tender offer for the affected options, pursuant to which the Company will offer to amend the affected options to increase the option grant price to the quoted market price on the revised grant date, and to give the option holders (excluding officers and Directors) a cash payment for the difference in option grant price between the amended option and the original price. The Company will account for the financial impact of the tender offer as a stock option modification under SFAS No. 123R resulting in an estimated increase to stock-based compensation expense and additional paid-in capital of up to \$0.3 million in the Company's consolidated financial statements to be recognized over the vesting period of the modified options. The Company also expects aggregate cash payments to option holders to approximate up to \$4.0 million, which will be recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in the Company's consolidated financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2006 and will be paid in the first quarter of 2007.

SpectraSite Credit Facility As of June 30, 2006, the SpectraSite credit facility consists of a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility, a \$700.0 million Term Loan A and a \$200.0 million Delayed Draw Term Loan, as discussed in note 6. Under the terms of the SpectraSite credit facility, any portion of the Delayed Draw Term Loan that is not drawn as of October 27, 2006 will be canceled. As of October 27, 2006, the Company had drawn \$25.0 million of the Delayed Draw Term Loan. Accordingly, effective as of October 27, 2006, the remaining \$175.0 million undrawn portion of the Delayed Draw Term Loan was canceled pursuant to its terms.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements In October and November 2006, the Company entered into two additional interest rate swap agreements to manage exposure to variability in cash flows relating to forecasted interest payments in connection with the likely issuance of new fixed rate debt which the Company expects to be issued on or before July 31, 2007. The swaps have aggregate notional amounts of \$400.0 million and \$200.0 million and fixed rates of 5.10% and 4.96%, respectively, and will be terminated upon issuance of the related fixed rate debt. The Company has designated these forward-starting rate swaps as cash flow hedges.

12. Subsidiary Guarantees

The ATI 7.25% Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by ATI, American Tower L.P., American Tower LLC, American Tower International, Inc. and substantially all of their wholly owned domestic subsidiaries (collectively, the Guarantor Subsidiaries), as well as by American Tower Corporation (the Parent). Prior to the Company's redemption of the ATI 12.25% Notes in February 2006, the ATI 12.25% Notes were likewise fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by ATI, the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Parent. The ATI 7.25% Notes and the subsidiary guarantees under the ATI 7.25% Notes are subordinated to all indebtedness under the

American Tower credit facility and the SpectraSite credit facility.

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)**

The following condensed consolidating financial data illustrates the composition of the Parent, ATI and the combined Guarantor Subsidiaries, as well as the non-guarantor subsidiaries. As SpectraSite is not a Guarantor Subsidiary under the ATI 7.25% Notes, financial data for SpectraSite and its subsidiaries is included with the information for the non-guarantor subsidiaries. These statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and requirements of the SEC and the requirements contained in the ATI 7.25% Notes indenture. The Company believes that separate complete financial statements of the respective guarantors would not provide additional material information that would be useful in assessing the financial composition of the guarantors. No single guarantor has any significant legal restrictions on the ability of investors or creditors to obtain access to its assets in event of default on the subsidiary guarantee other than its subordination to the American Tower credit facility and the SpectraSite credit facility.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the Company under the equity method for purposes of the supplemental consolidating presentation. In addition, ATI and the Guarantor Subsidiaries account for their subsidiaries that are not guarantors under the equity method. (Earnings) losses of subsidiaries accounted for under the equity method are therefore reflected in their parents' investment accounts. In addition, for presentation purposes, the Company has reflected its net deferred tax asset in the Parent column in the following condensed consolidating financial data. Intercompany receivables and payables related to deferred taxes are reflected in investments in and advances to subsidiaries in the following condensed consolidating financial data. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

As described in note 2, subsequent to the issuance of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, management determined that certain of its previously issued financial statements should be restated. In connection with this restatement, the Company determined that it should also restate its previously presented condensed consolidating financial data for 2005.

In addition to the restatement described in note 2, subsequent to the issuance of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, management determined that the previously presented condensed consolidating financial data did not reflect certain intercompany loans, related interest and management fees. The current presentation has been restated to reflect all intercompany activity related to these entities. The changes in presentation did not affect the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations, nor did the changes adversely impact the Company's compliance with debt covenants or ratios.

The following schedules present net (loss) income originally reported in the Company's condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, and the restated amounts as described above and in note 2 (in thousands):

Three months ended June 30, 2005	Parent	ATI	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
As originally reported:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (31,818)	\$ (27,584)	\$ 12,851	\$ 12,747	\$ 1,986	\$ (31,818)
As restated:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (36,151)	\$ (44,091)	\$ 25,025	\$ (1,902)	\$ 20,968	\$ (36,151)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

<u>Six months ended June 30, 2005</u>	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
As originally reported:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (63,379)	\$ (49,142)	\$ 25,307	\$ 25,201	\$ (1,366)	\$ (63,379)
As restated:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (68,530)	\$ (79,228)	\$ 50,244	\$ (4,129)	\$ 33,113	\$ (68,530)

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2006

(In thousands)

	Parent	ATI	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
ASSETS						
CURRENT ASSETS:						
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 1,793	\$ 26,103	\$ 111	\$ 62,447		\$ 90,454
Accounts receivable, net		15,671	1,502	13,609		30,782
Prepaid & other current assets	5,167	28,059		40,017		73,243
Deferred income taxes	73,108			21,128		94,236
Total current assets	80,068	69,833	1,613	137,201		288,715
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET		1,740,934	17,154	1,562,734		3,320,822
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET	22,781	1,238,814	47,503	2,804,267		4,113,365
INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO SUBSIDIARIES	5,138,921	24,740	83,662		\$(5,247,323)	
INTERCOMPANY NOTES (PAYABLE) RECEIVABLE		(1,292,985)	1,670,893	(377,908)		
OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS	604,207	215,731	25	64,809		884,772
TOTAL	\$ 5,845,977	\$ 1,997,067	\$ 1,820,850	\$ 4,191,103	\$(5,247,323)	\$ 8,607,674
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
CURRENT LIABILITIES:						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 23,992	\$ 100,270	\$ 266	\$ 82,287		\$ 206,815
Current portion of long-term obligations	275,728	1,130		568		277,426
Other current liabilities		39,935	231	34,997		75,163
Total current liabilities	299,720	141,335	497	117,852		559,404
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS	1,178,982	1,386,136	79	760,105		3,325,302
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	3,086	173,291		168,344		344,721
Total liabilities	1,481,788	1,700,762	576	1,046,301		4,229,427

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

MINORITY INTEREST IN SUBSIDIARIES				3,592		3,592
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	4,364,189	296,305	1,820,274	3,141,210	\$(5,247,323)	4,374,655
TOTAL	\$ 5,845,977	\$ 1,997,067	\$ 1,820,850	\$ 4,191,103	\$(5,247,323)	\$ 8,607,674

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006****(In thousands)**

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
Operating revenues		\$ 168,801	\$ 1,303	\$ 155,759		\$ 325,863
Operating expenses		127,836	1,614	125,641		255,091
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations		40,965	(311)	30,118		70,772
Other income (expense):						
Interest income, TV Azteca, net				3,584		3,584
Interest (expense) income, net	\$ (20,910)	(47,558)	35,088	(18,939)		(52,319)
Other (expense) income	(1,669)	(1,326)	(8,759)	10,918		(836)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY INTEREST AND EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS	(22,579)	(7,919)	26,018	25,681		21,201
Income tax benefit (provision)	7,319	(4,981)	(10,103)	(5,171)		(12,936)
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				(280)		(280)
Income on equity method investments		6				6
Equity in income (loss) of subsidiaries, net of income taxes recorded at the subsidiary level	23,251	553	13,477		\$ (37,281)	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	7,991	(12,341)	29,392	20,230	(37,281)	7,991
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF INCOME TAX BENEFIT	(327)					(327)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 7,664	\$ (12,341)	\$ 29,392	\$ 20,230	\$ (37,281)	\$ 7,664

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006****(In thousands)**

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
Operating revenues		\$ 334,376	\$ 2,883	\$ 309,013		\$ 646,272
Operating expenses		255,274	3,280	249,237		507,791
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations		79,102	(397)	59,776		138,481
Other income (expense):						
Interest income, TV Azteca, net				7,082		7,082
Interest (expense) income, net	\$ (42,202)	(96,231)	71,494	(38,279)		(105,218)
Other (expense) income	(3,333)	(21,222)	(11,140)	17,011		(18,684)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY INTEREST AND EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS	(45,535)	(38,351)	59,957	45,590		21,661
Income tax benefit (provision)	14,771	6,335	(23,006)	(12,862)		(14,762)
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				(537)		(537)
Income on equity method investments		10				10
Equity in income (loss) of subsidiaries, net of income taxes recorded at the subsidiary level	37,136	1,122	19,256		\$ (57,514)	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	6,372	(30,884)	56,207	32,191	(57,514)	6,372
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF INCOME TAX BENEFIT	(645)					(645)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 5,727	\$ (30,884)	\$ 56,207	\$ 32,191	\$ (57,514)	\$ 5,727

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

(In thousands)

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
CASH FLOWS (USED FOR) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (43,983)	\$ 132,036	\$ 73,967	\$ 130,621	\$ 292,641
CASH FLOWS USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Payments for purchase of property and equipment and construction activities		(25,012)	(83)	(31,949)	(57,044)
Payments for acquisitions	(263)			(25,511)	(25,774)
Proceeds from sale of businesses and other long-term assets		1,845		12,895	14,740
Deposits and other investing activities		30		(364)	(334)
Cash used for investing activities	(263)	(23,137)	(83)	(44,929)	(68,412)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED FOR) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Borrowings under credit facilities		217,000		25,000	242,000
Repayment of notes payable, credit facilities and capital leases	(3,333)	(228,436)		(572)	(232,341)
Purchases of Class A common stock	(289,459)				(289,459)
Net proceeds from stock options and warrants	35,362				35,362
Deferred financing costs and other financing activities		(1,170)		(868)	(2,038)
Investments in and advances from (to) subsidiaries	268,459	(114,163)	(73,883)	(80,413)	
Cash provided by (used for) financing activities	11,029	(126,769)	(73,883)	(56,853)	(246,476)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(33,217)	(17,870)	1	28,839	(22,247)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	35,010	43,973	110	33,608	112,701
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 1,793	\$ 26,103	\$ 111	\$ 62,447	\$ 90,454

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****DECEMBER 31, 2005****(In thousands)**

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
ASSETS						
CURRENT ASSETS:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35,010	\$ 43,973	\$ 110	\$ 33,608		\$ 112,701
Accounts receivable, net		20,374	1,812	14,809		36,995
Prepaid and other current assets	4,995	23,529		16,299		44,823
Deferred income taxes	8,119			23,240		31,359
Total current assets	48,124	87,876	1,922	87,956		225,878
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		1,814,216	18,072	1,628,238		3,460,526
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	25,916	1,285,631	48,908	2,859,408		4,219,863
INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO SUBSIDIARIES	5,319,999	25,973	44,383		\$(5,390,355)	
INTERCOMPANY NOTES (PAYABLE) RECEIVABLE		(1,400,277)	1,786,528	(386,251)		
OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS	686,208	188,699		5,680		880,587
TOTAL	\$ 6,080,247	\$ 2,002,118	\$ 1,899,813	\$ 4,195,031	\$(5,390,355)	\$ 8,786,854
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
CURRENT LIABILITIES:						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 32,229	\$ 102,572	\$ 516	\$ 81,484		\$ 216,801
Current portion of long-term obligations	48	161,237		868		162,153
Other current liabilities		37,526		40,129		77,655
Total current liabilities	32,277	301,335	516	122,481		456,609
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS	1,499,763	1,217,436		734,077		3,451,276
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	3,086	165,248	98	158,922		327,354
Total liabilities	1,535,126	1,684,019	614	1,015,480		4,235,239

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

MINORITY INTEREST IN SUBSIDIARIES				9,794		9,794
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	4,545,121	318,099	1,899,199	3,169,757	\$ (5,390,355)	4,541,821
TOTAL	\$ 6,080,247	\$ 2,002,118	\$ 1,899,813	\$ 4,195,031	\$ (5,390,355)	\$ 8,786,854

Table of Contents**AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005****(In thousands)****(As restated, see note 2)**

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
Operating revenues		\$ 148,922	\$ 1,448	\$ 37,690		\$ 188,060
Operating expenses		129,199	1,023	27,088		157,310
Operating income from continuing operations		19,723	425	10,602		30,750
Other income (expense):						
Interest income, TV Azteca, net				3,584		3,584
Interest (expense) income, net	\$ (25,375)	(55,594)	39,077	(10,343)		(52,235)
Other (expense) income		(16,385)	2,245	(3,408)		(17,548)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY INTEREST AND LOSS ON EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS	(25,375)	(52,256)	41,747	435		(35,449)
Income tax benefit (provision)	8,881	9,157	(14,353)	(2,281)		1,404
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				(56)		(56)
Loss on equity method investments		(952)				(952)
Equity in (loss) income of subsidiaries, net of taxes recorded at the subsidiary level	(19,066)	467	(2,369)		\$ 20,968	
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(35,560)	(43,584)	25,025	(1,902)	20,968	(35,053)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF INCOME TAX BENEFIT	(591)	(507)				(1,098)
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ (36,151)	\$ (44,091)	\$ 25,025	\$ (1,902)	\$ 20,968	\$ (36,151)

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

(In thousands)

(As restated, see note 2)

	Parent	ATI	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Operating revenues		\$ 295,293	\$ 3,166	\$ 73,956		\$ 372,415
Operating expenses		256,392	2,383	53,844		312,619
Operating income from continuing operations		38,901	783	20,112		59,796
Other income (expense):						
Interest income, TV Azteca, net				7,082		7,082
Interest (expense) income, net	\$ (50,762)	(113,744)	79,106	(20,852)		(106,252)
Other (expense) income	(9,166)	(21,736)	4,490	(5,508)		(31,920)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES, MINORITY INTEREST AND LOSS ON EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS	(59,928)	(96,579)	84,379	834		(71,294)
Income tax benefit (provision)	20,975	18,894	(28,911)	(4,852)		6,106
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries				(111)		(111)
Loss on equity method investments		(2,050)				(2,050)
Equity in (loss) income of subsidiaries, net of taxes recorded at the subsidiary level	(28,986)	1,096	(5,223)		\$ 33,113	
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(67,939)	(78,639)	50,245	(4,129)	33,113	(67,349)
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF INCOME TAX BENEFIT	(591)	(589)	(1)			(1,181)
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ (68,530)	\$ (79,228)	\$ 50,244	\$ (4,129)	\$ 33,113	\$ (68,530)

Table of Contents

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Unaudited (Continued)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

(In thousands)

(As restated, see note 2)

	<u>Parent</u>	<u>ATI</u>	<u>Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
CASH FLOWS (USED FOR) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (57,414)	\$ 109,184	\$ 80,020	\$ 33,219	\$ 165,009
CASH FLOWS USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Payments for purchase of property and equipment and construction activities		(17,058)	(41)	(19,427)	(36,526)
Payments for acquisitions		(2,100)		(9,354)	(11,454)
Proceeds from sale of businesses and other long-term assets		3,625			3,625
Deposits, investments and other long-term assets		(422)	25	(190)	(587)
Cash used for investing activities		(15,955)	(16)	(28,971)	(44,942)
CASH FLOWS (USED FOR) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Repayment of notes payable, credit facility and capital leases	(139,799)	(120,147)		(242)	(260,188)
Net proceeds from stock options	10,767				10,767
Deferred financing costs and other financing activities	(243)	(338)			(581)
Investments in and advances from (to) subsidiaries	36,739	46,736	(80,161)	(3,314)	
Cash used for financing activities	(92,536)	(73,749)	(80,161)	(3,556)	(250,002)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(149,950)	19,480	(157)	692	(129,935)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	193,483	6,174	307	15,593	215,557
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 43,533	\$ 25,654	\$ 150	\$ 16,285	\$ 85,622



Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements relating to our goals, beliefs, plans or current expectations and other statements that are not of historical facts. For example, when we use words such as project, believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, intend, should, would, could or may, or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcome, we are making forward-looking statements. Certain important factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by our forward-looking statements, including those set forth under the caption Risk Factors in Part II, Item 1A. of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements represent management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements made by us.

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations that follows are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. As disclosed in note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we restated certain of our previously issued financial statements to correct certain errors related to (i) stock-based compensation not previously recorded for certain stock option grants, including the related payroll and income tax effects, (ii) additional charges for stock-based compensation expense related to the modification and repricing of certain stock option grants, primarily associated with options awarded to a former executive officer of the Company, and (iii) changes to income taxes related to the tax effects of foreign currency fluctuations on an intercompany loan with a foreign subsidiary.

Our decision to restate our previously issued financial statements was based, in part, on an independent review of our historical stock option granting practices and related accounting. On May 19, 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors had established a special committee of independent directors to conduct a review of our stock option granting practices and related accounting with the assistance of independent legal counsel and forensic auditors. The special committee determined that, for certain stock option grants, the legal grant dates when all necessary corporate action had been taken differ from the dates previously recorded by us for financial accounting and tax purposes. Additional information regarding the review of our stock option granting practices and the findings of the special committee are discussed in our Management's Discussion and Analysis within our Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In connection with the review by the special committee, we undertook a review of option grant dates recorded for financial accounting and tax purposes. Based on our facts and circumstances, we concluded that we should use the legal grant date, as determined by the special committee, as the accounting measurement date for such awards. Accordingly, based on this conclusion, we applied new measurement dates to the affected stock option grants and, as a result, determined that charges for stock-based compensation expense and related payroll and income tax effects were required in instances where the quoted market price of the underlying stock at the new measurement date exceeded the employee's exercise price, in accordance with APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and SFAS No. 123R Share-Based Payment. In addition, we recorded charges for penalties and interest related to the failure to properly withhold employee taxes upon exercise of certain stock options that were originally classified as incentive stock options, but were recharacterized as non-qualified stock options as a result of applying a new measurement date to such options.

Table of Contents

Overview

We are a leading wireless and broadcast communications infrastructure company with a portfolio of over 22,000 owned communications sites. As of June 30, 2006, our portfolio includes approximately 20,000 owned tower sites in the United States and approximately 2,700 in Mexico and Brazil. In addition to our owned tower sites, we offer access to over 10,000 rooftop and tower sites in the United States that we manage for third parties. We also operate in-building distributed antenna systems in malls and casino/hotel resorts. Our primary business is leasing antenna space on multi-tenant communications towers to wireless service providers and radio and television broadcast companies. We operate the largest independent portfolio of wireless and broadcast communications sites in the United States, Mexico and Brazil, based on number of sites and revenue.

Our communications site portfolio provides us with a recurring base of leasing revenues from our existing customers and growth potential due to the capacity to add more tenants and equipment to these sites. Our broad network of communications sites enables us to address the needs of national, regional, local and emerging wireless service providers. We also offer limited services that directly support our site leasing operations and the addition of new tenants and equipment on our sites. We intend to capitalize on the continuing increase in the use of wireless communications services by actively marketing space available for leasing on our existing sites and selectively developing or acquiring new sites that meet our return on investment criteria.

In August 2005, we completed our merger with SpectraSite, Inc., an owner and operator of approximately 7,800 wireless and broadcast towers and in-building systems in the United States. Following our merger with SpectraSite, Inc., our principal United States operating subsidiaries consist of American Towers, Inc. (ATI) and SpectraSite Communications, Inc. (SpectraSite). The merger was approved by our and SpectraSite, Inc.'s stockholders on August 3, 2005, and the results of operations of SpectraSite have been included in our consolidated results of operations since that date. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, communications sites acquired from SpectraSite contributed \$111.3 million and \$219.7 million, respectively, to our total revenues and contributed \$72.8 million and \$144.7 million, respectively, to our rental and management segment operating profit.

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements herein and the accompanying notes thereto, and our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, in particular, the information set forth therein under Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Our continuing operations are reported in two segments, rental and management and network development services. Management focuses on segment gross margin and segment operating profit as a means to measure operating performance in these business segments. We define segment gross margin as segment revenue less segment operating expenses, which excludes depreciation, amortization and accretion; selling, general, administrative and development expense; and impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense. We define segment operating profit as segment gross margin less selling, general, administrative and development expense attributable to the segment, excluding stock-based compensation expense and corporate expenses. Segment gross margin and segment operating profit for the rental and management segment also include interest income, TV Azteca, net (see note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q).

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in thousands)**

	Three Months Ended		Amount of	Percent
	June 30,			
	2006	2005	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
REVENUES:				
Rental and management	\$ 320,169	\$ 184,609	\$ 135,560	73%
Network development services	5,694	3,451	2,243	65
Total revenues	325,863	188,060	137,803	73
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Costs of operations (exclusive of items shown separately below)				
Rental and management	83,128	46,599	36,529	78
Network development services	2,609	2,090	519	25
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	132,811	84,784	48,027	57
Selling, general, administrative and development expense (including stock-based compensation expense of \$9,347 and \$1,765, respectively)	36,610	22,364	14,246	64
Impairments, net (gain) loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense (including stock-based compensation expense in 2005 of \$559)	(67)	1,473	(1,540)	(105)
Total operating expenses	255,091	157,310	97,781	62
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) AND OTHER ITEMS:				
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	3,584	3,584	0	0
Interest income	1,371	808	563	70
Interest expense	(53,690)	(53,043)	647	1
Loss on retirement of long-term obligations	(3,497)	(16,388)	(12,891)	(79)
Other income (expense)	2,661	(1,160)	3,821	329
Income tax (provision) benefit	(12,936)	1,404	(14,340)	(1,021)
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	(280)	(56)	224	400
Income (loss) on equity method investments	6	(952)	958	101
Loss from discontinued operations, net	(327)	(1,098)	(771)	(70)
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,664	\$ (36,151)	\$ 43,815	121%

Total Revenues

Total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 were \$325.9 million, an increase of \$137.8 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$111.3 million of the increase was attributable to revenues generated by communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. The balance of the increase resulted from an increase in other rental and management revenue of \$24.3 million and network development services revenue of \$2.2 million.

Rental and Management Revenue

Rental and management revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$320.2 million, an increase of \$135.6 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$111.3 million of the increase was attributable to revenues generated by communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. Approximately \$21.9 million of the increase resulted from incremental revenue generated by communications sites that existed during the entire period between April 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006, which reflects revenue increases from adding new tenants to those sites, existing tenants adding more equipment to those sites, contractual escalators net of straight-

Table of Contents

line accounting treatment, favorable currency exchange rates and the net increase in straight-line accounting revenue from extending the renewal dates of thousands of our tenant leases, offset by lease cancellations. In addition, approximately \$2.4 million of the increase resulted from revenue generated by the approximately 370 communications sites acquired and/or constructed subsequent to April 1, 2005, other than sites acquired from SpectraSite. We believe that our rental and management revenue will increase as we continue to utilize existing site capacity. We anticipate that the majority of our new leasing activity will continue to come from wireless and broadcast service providers.

Network Development Services Revenue

Network development services revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$5.7 million, an increase of \$2.2 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to revenues generated by our structural analysis services due to the increased business associated with our significantly larger communications site portfolio, primarily as a result of sites acquired from SpectraSite. As we continue to focus on and grow our site leasing business, and offer only limited services that directly support our site leasing operations and the addition of new tenants and equipment on our sites, we anticipate that our network development services revenue will continue to represent a small percentage of our total revenues.

Total Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2006 were \$255.1 million, an increase of \$97.8 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was attributable to an increase in depreciation, amortization and accretion expense of \$48.0 million, an increase in expenses within our rental and management segment of \$36.5 million, an increase in selling, general, administrative and development expense of \$14.3 million, and an increase in expenses within our network development services segment of \$0.5 million. These increases were offset by a decrease in impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense of \$1.5 million.

Rental and Management Expense/Segment Gross Margin/Segment Operating Profit

Rental and management expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$83.1 million, an increase of \$36.5 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$33.7 million of this increase was related to expenses attributable to communications sites acquired from SpectraSite, and the remaining \$2.8 million of this increase was attributable to existing sites and acquired sites other than sites acquired from SpectraSite.

Rental and management segment gross margin for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$240.6 million, an increase of \$99.0 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$77.5 million of the increase resulted from communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. The balance of the increase resulted from the additional revenue, net of the increase in expenses, described above.

Rental and management segment operating profit for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$224.8 million, an increase of \$96.0 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was comprised of a \$99.0 million increase in rental and management segment gross margin described above, net of an increase in selling, general, administrative and development expenses related to the rental and management segment of \$3.1 million.

Network Development Services Expense

Network development services expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$2.6 million, an increase of \$0.5 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase correlates directly to the growth in services performed as noted above.

Table of Contents

Depreciation, Amortization and Accretion

Depreciation, amortization and accretion expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$132.8 million, an increase of \$48.0 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to approximately \$49.0 million of depreciation, amortization and accretion expense related to long-lived assets of SpectraSite. The increase was offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in depreciation expense primarily related to fully depreciated assets.

Selling, General, Administrative and Development Expense

Selling, general, administrative and development expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$36.6 million, an increase of \$14.3 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$7.6 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, \$4.7 million of SpectraSite expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2006, for which there were no comparable expenses in the three months ended June 30, 2005, and \$1.3 million in costs incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2006 associated with the review of our stock option granting practices and the related legal and governmental proceedings. We expect to incur additional costs related to the review of our stock option granting practices and the related legal and governmental proceedings. For additional information see Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

Impairments, Net (Gain) Loss on Sale of Long-lived Assets, Restructuring and Merger Related Expense

Impairments, net (gain) loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$(0.1) million, a decrease in expense of \$1.5 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The decrease was due primarily to a \$1.2 million net decrease in impairments and loss on sale of long-lived assets, a \$0.6 million decrease in restructuring stock-based compensation expense, offset by \$0.3 million in merger related expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$53.7 million, an increase of \$0.6 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase resulted primarily from additional interest incurred related to the new SpectraSite credit facility and higher borrowing levels on the American Tower credit facility of approximately \$9.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$13.2 million from redemptions and repurchases of our outstanding debt securities.

Loss on Retirement of Long-Term Obligations

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, approximately \$22.6 million principal amount of 3.25% convertible notes due August 1, 2010 (3.25% Notes) were converted into shares of our Class A common stock, and we repurchased approximately \$36.9 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% senior subordinated notes due 2011 (ATI 7.25% Notes). In connection with these transactions, we paid the noteholders an aggregate of \$39.7 million in cash. As a result of these transactions, we recorded a charge of \$3.5 million related to amounts paid in excess of the carrying

value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees.

During the three months ended June 30, 2005, we repurchased \$117.4 million face amount of our ATI 12.25% senior subordinated discount notes due 2008 (ATI 12.25% Notes) (\$75.1 million accreted value, net of \$4.6 million fair value discount allocated to warrants) for approximately \$89.8 million in cash and recorded a charge of \$16.4 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense)

Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$2.7 million, an increase of \$3.8 million from the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to approximately \$2.3 million of other income generated from an increase in the fair market value of the interest rate swap agreements assumed from SpectraSite during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and a non-recurring \$1.5 million charge incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2005.

Income Tax (Provision) Benefit

The income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$12.9 million, an increase of \$14.3 million from the income tax benefit of \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The effective tax rate was 61.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 4.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2006 differs from the federal statutory rate due primarily to foreign items, non-deductible losses on note conversions and state taxes. The significant increase in the effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2006 reflects the impact of the foregoing items relative to the nominal level of income before income taxes, minority interest and income (loss) on equity method investments, for which a small change in amount resulted in a large percentage change in the tax rate. The effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2005 differs from the federal statutory rate due primarily to valuation allowances related to capital losses and foreign items.

We intend to recover a portion of our deferred tax asset through our federal income tax refund claims related to the carry back of certain federal net operating losses. In June 2003 and October 2003, we filed federal income tax refund claims with the IRS relating to the carry back of \$380.0 million of net operating losses generated prior to 2003. We anticipate receiving a refund of approximately \$65.0 million as a result of these claims. There can be no assurances, however, with respect to the specific amount and timing of any refund.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (dollars in thousands)

	Six Months Ended		Amount of	Percent
	June 30,			
	2006	2005	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
REVENUES:				
Rental and management	\$ 636,428	\$ 366,179	\$ 270,249	74%
Network development services	9,844	6,236	3,608	58
Total revenues	646,272	372,415	273,857	74
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Costs of operations (exclusive of items shown separately below)				
Rental and management	162,669	94,824	67,845	72
Network development services	4,680	3,522	1,158	33
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	266,072	166,755	99,317	60
Selling, general, administrative and development expense (including stock-based compensation expense of \$18,858 and \$2,526, respectively)	72,923	43,268	29,655	69
Impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense (including stock-based compensation expense in 2005 of \$764)	1,447	4,250	(2,803)	(66)
Total operating expenses	507,791	312,619	195,172	62
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) AND OTHER ITEMS:				
Interest income, TV Azteca, net	7,082	7,082	0	0
Interest income	2,729	1,507	1,222	81
Interest expense	(107,947)	(107,759)	188	
Loss on retirement of long-term obligations	(25,074)	(31,430)	(6,356)	(20)
Other income (expense)	6,390	(490)	6,880	1,404
Income tax (provision) benefit	(14,762)	6,106	(20,868)	(342)
Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiaries	(537)	(111)	426	384
Income (loss) on equity method investments	10	(2,050)	2,060	100
Loss from discontinued operations, net	(645)	(1,181)	(536)	(45)
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,727	\$ (68,530)	\$ 74,257	108%

Total Revenues

Total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$646.3 million, an increase of \$273.9 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$219.8 million of the increase was attributable to revenues generated by communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. The balance of the increase resulted from an increase in other rental and management revenue of \$50.5 million and network development services revenue of \$3.6 million.

Rental and Management Revenue

Rental and management revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$636.4 million, an increase of \$270.2 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$219.7 million of the increase was attributable to revenues generated by communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. Approximately \$44.9 million of the increase resulted from incremental revenue generated by communications sites that existed during the entire period between January 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006, which reflects revenue increases from adding new

Table of Contents

tenants to those sites, existing tenants adding more equipment to those sites, contractual escalators net of straight-line accounting treatment, favorable currency exchange rates, back-billing of certain reimbursed expenses, and the net increase in straight-line accounting revenue from extending the renewal dates of thousands of our tenant leases, offset by lease cancellations. In addition, approximately \$5.6 million of the increase resulted from revenue generated by the approximately 440 communications sites acquired and/or constructed subsequent to January 1, 2005, other than sites acquired from SpectraSite. We believe that our rental and management revenue will increase as we continue to utilize existing site capacity. We anticipate that the majority of our new leasing activity will continue to come from wireless and broadcast service providers.

Network Development Services Revenue

Network development services revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$9.8 million, an increase of \$3.6 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to revenues generated by our structural analysis services due to the increased business associated with our significantly larger communications site portfolio, primarily as a result of sites acquired from SpectraSite. As we continue to focus on and grow our site leasing business, and offer only limited services that directly support our site leasing operations and the addition of new tenants and equipment on our sites, we anticipate that our network development services revenue will continue to represent a small percentage of our total revenues.

Total Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$507.8 million, an increase of \$195.2 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was attributable to an increase in depreciation, amortization and accretion expense of \$99.3 million, an increase in expenses within our rental and management segment of \$67.8 million, an increase in selling, general, administrative and development expense of \$29.7 million, and an increase in expenses within our network development services segment of \$1.2 million. These increases were offset by a decrease in impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense of \$2.8 million.

Rental and Management Expense/Segment Gross Margin/Segment Operating Profit

Rental and management expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$162.7 million, an increase of \$67.8 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$65.0 million of this increase was related to expenses attributable to communications sites acquired from SpectraSite and the remaining \$2.8 million of this increase was attributable to existing sites and acquired sites other than sites acquired from SpectraSite.

Rental and management segment gross margin for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$480.8 million, an increase of \$202.4 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. Approximately \$154.6 million of the increase resulted from communications sites acquired from SpectraSite. The balance of the increase resulted from the additional revenue, net of the increase in expenses, described above.

Rental and management segment operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$449.2 million, an increase of \$195.5 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was comprised of a \$202.4 million increase in rental and management segment gross margin described above, net of an increase in selling, general, administrative and development expenses of \$6.9 million.

Network Development Services Expense

Network development services expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$4.7 million, an increase of \$1.2 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The majority of the increase correlates directly to the growth in services performed as noted above.

Table of Contents

Depreciation, Amortization and Accretion

Depreciation, amortization and accretion expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$266.1 million, an increase of \$99.3 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to approximately \$98.0 million of depreciation, amortization and accretion expense related to long-lived assets of SpectraSite, and to a lesser extent approximately \$2.6 million of accretion expense related to the acceleration of settlement date assumptions regarding our asset retirement obligations. These increases were offset by a decrease in depreciation expense primarily related to fully depreciated assets.

Selling, General, Administrative and Development Expense

Selling, general, administrative and development expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$72.9 million, an increase of \$29.7 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to a \$16.3 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, \$10.0 million of SpectraSite expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2006, for which there were no comparable expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2005, and \$1.3 million in costs incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2006 associated with the review of our stock option granting practices and the related legal and governmental proceedings. We expect to incur additional costs related to the review of our stock option granting practices and the related legal and governmental proceedings. For additional information see [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) below.

Impairments, Net Loss on Sale of Long-lived Assets, Restructuring and Merger Related Expense

Impairments, net loss on sale of long-lived assets, restructuring and merger related expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$1.4 million, a decrease of \$2.8 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The decrease was due primarily to a \$2.5 million decrease in impairments and loss on sale of long-lived assets, a \$0.8 million decrease in restructuring stock-based compensation expenses, offset by \$0.5 million in merger related expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$107.9 million, an increase of \$0.2 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase resulted primarily from additional interest incurred related to the new SpectraSite credit facility and higher borrowing levels on the American Tower credit facility of approximately \$18.8 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$26.0 million from redemptions and repurchases of our outstanding debt securities.

Loss on Retirement of Long-Term Obligations

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, approximately \$45.0 million principal amount of 3.25% Notes were converted into shares of our Class A common stock, and we repurchased approximately \$36.9 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% Notes. In connection with these transactions, we paid the noteholders an aggregate of \$42.1 million in cash. In addition, on February 1, 2006, we redeemed \$227.7 million face

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

amount (\$162.1 million accreted value, net of \$7.0 million fair value discount allocated to warrants) of ATI 12.25% Notes in accordance with the indenture at 106.125% of their accreted value for an aggregate of \$179.5 million. As a result of these transactions, we recorded a charge of \$25.1 million related to amounts paid in excess of the carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees.

During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we redeemed \$133.0 million of our 9³/₈% senior notes due 2009 and recorded a charge of \$9.2 million related to the loss on redemption and the write-off of the related deferred financing fees. In addition, we repurchased \$154.4 million face amount of our ATI 12.25% Notes (\$97.7 million accreted value, net of \$6.2 million fair value discount allocated to warrants) for approximately \$117.7 million in cash and recorded a charge of \$22.2 million related to amounts paid in excess of carrying value and the write-off of related deferred financing fees.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense)

Other income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$6.4 million, an increase of \$6.9 million from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to approximately \$5.9 million of other income generated from an increase in the fair market value of the interest rate swap agreements assumed from SpectraSite.

Income Tax (Provision) Benefit

The income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$14.8 million, an increase of \$20.9 million from the income tax benefit of \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The effective tax rate was 68.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 8.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006 differs from the federal statutory rate due primarily to foreign items, non-deductible losses on note conversions and state taxes. The significant increase in the effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2006 reflects the impact of the foregoing items relative to the nominal level of income before income taxes, minority interest and income (loss) on equity method investments, for which a small change in amount resulted in a large percentage change in the tax rate. The effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2005 differs from the federal statutory rate due primarily to valuation allowances related to capital losses and foreign items.

We intend to recover a portion of our deferred tax asset through our federal income tax refund claims related to the carry back of certain federal net operating losses. In June 2003 and October 2003, we filed federal income tax refund claims with the IRS relating to the carry back of \$380.0 million of net operating losses generated prior to 2003. We anticipate receiving a refund of approximately \$65.0 million as a result of these claims. There can be no assurances, however, with respect to the specific amount and timing of any refund.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The information in this section updates as of June 30, 2006, the **Liquidity and Capital Resources** section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 and should be read in conjunction with that report.

As of June 30, 2006, we had total outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$3.6 billion. During the six months ended June 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, we generated sufficient cash flow from operations to fund our capital expenditures and cash interest obligations. We believe our cash generated from operations will be sufficient to fund our obligations for capital expenditures and cash debt service, including interest and principal repayments, for at least the next twelve months.

Uses of Cash

Stock Repurchase Program. In November 2005, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$750.0 million of our Class A common stock through December 2006. On May 23, 2006, we announced that we were temporarily suspending repurchases under the stock repurchase program in light of the uncertainty surrounding the pending review of our

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

historical stock option granting practices and the related governmental proceedings. We expect that, once this matter is resolved, we will resume repurchases of our Class A common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we repurchased 9.0 million shares of our Class A common stock for an aggregate of \$281.8 million. As of June 30, 2006, we had repurchased an aggregate of 11.8 million shares of our Class A common stock for an aggregate of \$358.3 million pursuant to our stock repurchase program.

Tower Construction and Improvements and In-Building System Installation. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, payments for purchases of property and equipment and construction activities totaled \$57.0

Table of Contents

million, including capital expenditures incurred in connection with the construction of 111 towers and the installation of 16 in-building systems. We anticipate that we will build approximately 275 new wireless towers and install approximately 40 new in-building systems by the end of 2006, and expect our 2006 total capital expenditures for construction, improvements, land purchases and corporate purposes to be between approximately \$120.0 million and \$130.0 million.

Refinancing and Repurchases of Debt. In order to extend the maturity dates of our indebtedness, reduce interest expense and improve our financial flexibility, we use our available liquidity to refinance and repurchase our outstanding indebtedness. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we paid \$220.8 million in cash to redeem and repurchase \$264.6 million and convert approximately \$45.0 million face amount of our outstanding debt securities. For more information about our financing activities, see *Financing Activities* below.

Contractual Obligations. Our contractual obligations relate primarily to borrowings under our credit facilities and our outstanding notes. We included a table of our contractual obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005. Since December 31, 2005, we refinanced and repurchased a portion of our outstanding debt, as discussed below under *Financing Activities*. A description of our contractual debt obligations is included in Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*, as well as in note 6 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

ATC South America. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we used cash from operations to fund the repurchase of the remaining 9.3% minority interests in ATC South America not owned by us. In April 2006, we paid a total of \$22.9 million in connection with the repurchase of these interests from stockholders of ATC South America, including J. Michael Gearon, Jr. and William H. Hess, executive officers of the Company. Giving effect to these repurchases, we now own 100% of ATC South America (see note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q).

Expenses Related to Stock Option Review and Related Matters. In connection with the review of our stock option granting practices, restatement of previously issued financial statements and the related legal and governmental proceedings, we have incurred significant legal, accounting and auditing expenses, and we expect that significant expenditures will continue to be incurred in the future. For more information regarding the review of our stock option granting practices and the related proceedings, please see Part II, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report under the caption *Legal Proceedings* and notes 2 and 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. As of June 30, 2006, we had incurred approximately \$1.3 million in costs associated with the review of our stock option granting practices and the related proceedings. In addition, depending on the outcomes of these proceedings, we could be subject to regulatory fines, penalties or other liability. We also expect to incur costs associated with a tender offer for certain affected stock options, as discussed below.

As a result of the review of our stock option granting practices, we determined that a number of stock options were granted by us with exercise prices that were below the quoted market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (*Section 409A*), part of recently enacted tax legislation, options that were granted with exercise prices below the quoted market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant and that vest after December 31, 2004 will likely be subject to unfavorable tax consequences that did not apply at the time of grant. While the IRS has not issued definitive final guidance under Section 409A, individuals who received such options likely will be required to recognize income at vesting, rather than upon exercise, on the amount of the difference between the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of vesting and the exercise price, plus an additional 20% penalty tax on this amount, plus interest on any tax to be paid. Based on the review of our stock option granting practices, we have determined that options to purchase up to 3.9 million shares of our Class A common stock held by employees and members of our Board of Directors may be subject to adverse tax consequences under Section 409A.

In order to remedy the unfavorable personal tax consequences under Section 409A, we intend to offer holders of these options the opportunity to amend their affected options. Specifically, we expect to conduct a

Table of Contents

tender offer for the affected options, pursuant to which we will offer to amend the affected options to increase the option grant price to the quoted market price on the revised grant date, and to give the option holders (excluding officers and Directors) a cash payment for the difference in option grant price between the amended option and the original price. We will account for the financial impact of the tender offer as a stock option modification under SFAS No. 123R resulting in an estimated increase to stock-based compensation expense and additional paid-in capital of up to \$0.3 million in our consolidated financial statements to be recognized over the vesting period of the modified options. We also expect aggregate cash payments to option holders to approximate up to \$4.0 million, which will be recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in our consolidated financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2006 and will be paid in the first quarter of 2007.

Sources of Cash

American Tower Corporation is a holding company, and our cash flows are derived solely from distributions from our operating subsidiaries. Our principal United States operating subsidiaries are American Towers, Inc. (ATI) and SpectraSite Communications, Inc. (SpectraSite). Our principal international operating subsidiary is American Tower International, Inc. Under the American Tower credit facility and the indentures for our senior notes and the ATI notes, ATI and American Tower International are subject to restrictions on the amount of cash that can be distributed to us. SpectraSite is subject to restrictions on the amount of cash that can be distributed to us under the SpectraSite credit facility, but as a result of its designation as an unrestricted subsidiary, it is not subject to such restrictions under the indentures for our senior notes and the ATI notes.

Total Liquidity at June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, we had approximately \$793.1 million of total liquidity, comprised of approximately \$90.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and the ability to borrow approximately \$282.2 million under the American Tower credit facility and approximately \$420.4 million under the SpectraSite credit facility.

Cash Generated by Operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, our cash provided by operating activities was \$292.6 million, compared to \$165.0 million for the same period in 2005. Each of our rental and management and network development services segments are expected to generate cash flows from operations during 2006 in excess of their cash needs for operations and expenditures for tower construction, improvements and acquisitions. (See Results of Operations above.) We expect to use the excess cash generated by operations principally to service our debt and to fund capital expenditures and repurchases of our Class A common stock.

Credit Facilities. In October 2005, we refinanced the two existing credit facilities of our principal operating subsidiaries. We replaced the existing American Tower \$1.1 billion senior secured credit facility with a new \$1.3 billion senior secured credit facility and replaced the existing SpectraSite \$900.0 million senior secured credit facility with a new \$1.15 billion senior secured credit facility. For more information regarding our credit facilities, please see note 6 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we drew down \$207.0 million of the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the new American Tower credit facility and drew down \$25.0 million of the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the new SpectraSite credit facility to finance debt redemptions and repurchases. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we also drew down, and then repaid, \$10.0 million of the American Tower revolving credit facility. On August 23, 2006, we received a waiver under the American Tower and SpectraSite credit facilities with respect to defaults resulting from our failure to timely provide lenders with the required financial reports for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the restatement of our previously issued financial statements and other potential defaults related to our historical stock option granting practices. (See note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q).

As of June 30, 2006, the American Tower credit facility consists of a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility (against which approximately \$17.8 million of undrawn letters of credit are outstanding at June 30, 2006), maturing on October 27, 2010; a \$750.0 million Term Loan A, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27,

Table of Contents

2010; and a \$250.0 million Delayed Draw Term Loan, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27, 2010. As of June 30, 2006, the SpectraSite credit facility consists of a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility (against which approximately \$4.6 million of undrawn letters of credit were outstanding at June 30, 2006), maturing on October 27, 2010; a \$700.0 million Term Loan A, which is fully drawn, maturing on October 27, 2010; and a \$200.0 million Delayed Draw Term Loan, of which \$25.0 million was drawn at June 30, 2006, maturing on October 27, 2010. On October 27, 2006, the remaining \$175.0 million undrawn portion of the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the SpectraSite credit facility was canceled pursuant to its terms (see note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q).

Proceeds from the Sale of Equity Securities. We receive proceeds from sales of our equity securities pursuant to our stock option and stock purchase plans and upon exercise of warrants to purchase our equity securities. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, we received approximately \$0.4 million in proceeds from exercises of warrants to purchase shares of our Class A common stock and approximately \$35.0 million in proceeds from sales of shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to our stock option and stock purchase plans.

Proceeds from the Sale of Assets. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we received \$14.7 million in aggregate net proceeds related to the sale of non-core towers and related assets.

Financing Activities

3.25% Convertible Notes. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 3,684,794 shares of Class A common stock upon conversion of approximately \$45.0 million principal amount of 3.25% Notes. Pursuant to the terms of the indenture, the holders of the 3.25% Notes are entitled to receive 81.808 shares of Class A common stock for every \$1,000 principal amount of notes converted. In connection with the conversion, we paid such holders an aggregate of approximately \$3.3 million, calculated based on the accrued and unpaid interest on the notes as of the date of conversion and the discounted value of the future interest payments on the notes. As of June 30, 2006, \$107.9 million principal amount of 3.25% Notes remained outstanding.

ATI 7.25% Notes. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we repurchased in privately negotiated transactions \$36.9 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% Notes for \$38.0 million in cash. As of June 30, 2006, \$363.1 million principal amount of ATI 7.25% Notes remained outstanding.

ATI 12.25% Notes Redemption. In December 2005, we issued a notice for the redemption on February 1, 2006 of all outstanding ATI 12.25% Notes. On February 1, 2006, we redeemed \$227.7 million face amount (\$162.1 million accreted value, net of \$7.0 million fair value allocated to warrants) of ATI 12.25% Notes in accordance with the indenture at 106.125% of their accreted value for an aggregate of \$179.5 million. We used \$0.5 million in cash on hand and \$179.0 million in borrowings under the Delayed Draw Term Loan component of the American Tower credit facility to fund the redemption. Upon completion of this redemption, no ATI 12.25% Notes remained outstanding.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We evaluate our policies and estimates on an ongoing basis, including those

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

related to income taxes, purchase price allocation, asset retirement obligations, stock-based compensation, impairment of assets and revenue recognition. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Table of Contents

In our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, our most critical accounting policies and estimates upon which our consolidated financial statements were prepared were those relating to income taxes, purchase price allocation, asset retirement obligations, stock-based compensation, impairment of assets and revenue recognition. Except for the January 1, 2006 adoption of SFAS No. 123R, which we adopted in the first quarter of 2006, we have not made any changes to the policies in place at December 31, 2005. We have reviewed our policies and determined that these remain our most critical accounting policies for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of SFAS No. 109 (FIN 48). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for us as of January 1, 2007. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that FIN 48 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for us as of January 1, 2008. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 157 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Information Presented Pursuant to the Indentures of our 7.50% Notes, 7.125% Notes and ATI 7.25% Notes

The following table sets forth information that is presented solely to address certain tower cash flow reporting requirements contained in the indentures for our 7.50% senior notes due 2012 (7.50% Notes), 7.125% senior notes due 2012 (7.125% Notes) and ATI 7.25% Notes (collectively, the Notes). The information contained in note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements is also presented to address certain reporting requirements contained in the indenture for our ATI 7.25% Notes.

The indentures governing the Notes contain restrictive covenants with which we and certain subsidiaries under these indentures must comply. These include restrictions on our ability to incur additional debt, guarantee debt, pay dividends and make other distributions and make certain investments. Any failure to comply with these covenants would constitute a default, which could result in the acceleration of the principal amount and accrued and unpaid interest on all the outstanding Notes. In order for the holders of the Notes to assess our compliance with certain of these covenants, the indentures require us to disclose in the periodic reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) our Tower Cash Flow, Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow and Non-Tower Cash Flow (each as defined in the indentures). Under the indentures, our ability to make certain types of restricted payments is limited by the amount of Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow that we generate, which is determined based on our Tower Cash Flow and Non-Tower Cash Flow. In addition, the indentures for the Notes restrict us from incurring additional debt or issuing certain types of preferred stock if on a pro forma basis the issuance of such debt and preferred stock would cause our consolidated debt to be greater than 7.5 times our Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow. As of June 30, 2006, the ratio of our consolidated debt to Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow was approximately 5.0. For more information about the restrictions under our notes indentures, see note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, and the section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Factors Affecting Sources of Liquidity.

Table of Contents

Tower Cash Flow, Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow and Non-Tower Cash Flow are considered non-GAAP financial measures. We are required to provide these financial metrics by the indentures for the Notes, and we have included them below because we consider the indentures for the Notes to be material agreements, the covenants related to Tower Cash Flow, Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow and Non-Tower Cash Flow to be material terms of the indentures, and information about compliance with such covenants to be material to an investor's understanding of our financial results and the impact of those results on our liquidity.

The following table presents Tower Cash Flow, Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow and Non-Tower Cash Flow for the Company and its restricted subsidiaries, as defined in the indentures for the applicable notes (in thousands):

Tower Cash Flow, for the three months ended June 30, 2006	\$ 150,067
Consolidated Cash Flow, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2006	\$ 551,588
Less: Tower Cash Flow, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2006	(574,706)
Plus: four times Tower Cash Flow, for the three months ended June 30, 2006	600,268
Adjusted Consolidated Cash Flow, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2006	\$ 577,150
Non-Tower Cash Flow, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2006	\$ 25,521

IT AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
EM 3. QUANTITATIVE

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on long-term debt obligations. We attempt to reduce these risks by utilizing derivative financial instruments, namely interest rate swaps and caps pursuant to our policies. All derivative financial instruments are for purposes other than trading. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we repaid or repurchased \$264.6 million face amount of outstanding debt securities for \$220.8 million in cash, including the conversion of \$45.0 million principal amount of 3.25% Notes. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we also drew down \$232.0 million under our credit facilities to finance debt redemptions and repurchases.

The following tables provide information as of June 30, 2006 about our market risk exposure associated with changing interest rates. For long-term debt obligations, the tables present principal cash flows by maturity date and average interest rates related to outstanding obligations. For interest rate caps and swaps, the tables present notional principal amounts and weighted-average interest rates by contractual maturity dates.

Twelve month period ended June 30, 2006

Principal Payments and Interest Rate Detail by Contractual Maturity Dates

(In thousands, except percentages)

<u>Long-Term Debt</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Fixed Rate Debt(a)	\$ 277,426	\$ 1,281	\$ 780	\$ 108,408	\$ 23,987	\$ 1,464,732	\$ 1,876,614	\$ 2,275,376

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Average Interest Rate(a)	6.09%	6.09%	6.09%	6.30%	6.25%	6.06%		
Variable Rate Debt(a)					\$ 1,725,000		\$ 1,725,000	\$ 1,722,844
Average Interest Rate(a)								

Table of Contents**Aggregate Notional Amounts Associated with Interest Rate Caps and Swaps in Place****As of June 30, 2006 and Interest Rate Detail by Contractual Maturity Dates****(In thousands, except percentages)**

Interest Rate CAPS	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Notional Amount		\$ 25,000(b)					\$ 25,000	\$ 0
Cap Rate		8.00%						
Notional Amount	\$ 100,000(c)						\$ 100,000	\$ 0
Cap Rate	6.00%							
Interest Rate SWAPS	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Notional Amount				\$ 300,000(e)			\$ 300,000	\$ 15,589
Fixed Rate				3.88%				
Notional Amount					\$ 300,000(f)		\$ 300,000	\$ 9,097
Fixed Rate					4.75%			
Notional Amount					\$ 100,000(g)		\$ 100,000	\$ 2,193
Fixed Rate					4.95%			
Notional Amount					\$ 50,000(h)		\$ 50,000	\$ 1,247
Fixed Rate					4.88%			
Notional Amount					\$ 50,000(h)		\$ 50,000	\$ 1,539
Fixed Rate					4.73%			
Notional Amount					\$ 50,000(h)		\$ 50,000	\$ 1,710
Fixed Rate					4.63%			

(a) As of June 30, 2006, variable rate debt consists of the new American Tower and SpectraSite credit facilities (\$1,725.0 million) that were refinanced on October 27, 2005, which are included above based on their October 27, 2010 maturity dates. As of June 30, 2006, fixed rate debt consists of: the 2.25% convertible notes due 2009 (\$0.1 million); the 7.125% Notes (\$500.0 million principal amount due at maturity; the balance as of June 30, 2006 is \$501.7 million); the 5.0% convertible notes due 2010 (\$275.7 million); the 3.25% Notes (\$107.9 million); the 7.50% Notes (\$225.0 million); the ATI 7.25% Notes (\$363.1 million); the 3.00% convertible notes due August 15, 2012 (\$345.0 million principal amount due at maturity; the balance as of June 30, 2006 is \$344.5 million accreted value) and other debt of \$59.9 million. Interest on our credit facilities is payable in accordance with the applicable London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR) agreement or quarterly and accrues at our option either at LIBOR plus margin (as defined) or the base rate plus margin (as defined). The weighted average interest rate in effect at June 30, 2006 for our credit facilities was 5.12%. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the weighted average interest rate under our credit facilities was 5.50%.

(b) Includes notional amount of \$25,000 that expires in September 2007.

(c) Includes notional amount of \$100,000 that expired in July 2006.

(d) Represents the weighted-average fixed rate or range of interest based on contractual notional amount as a percentage of total notional amounts in a given year.

(e) Includes notional amounts of \$75,000, \$75,000 and \$150,000 that expire in December 2009.

(f) Includes notional amounts of \$100,000, \$50,000, \$50,000, \$50,000 and \$50,000 that expire in October 2010.

(g) Includes notional amounts of \$50,000 and \$50,000 that expire in October 2010.

(h) Includes notional amount of \$50,000 that expires in October 2010.

Changes in interest rates can cause interest charges to fluctuate on our variable rate debt, which, as of June 30, 2006, was comprised of \$1,725.0 million under our credit facilities (\$875.0 million giving effect to the \$850.0 million notional amount of interest rate swap agreements). A 10% increase, or approximately 51 basis points, in current interest rates would have caused an additional pre-tax charge to our net income and an increase in our cash outflows of \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates in connection with our foreign operations, including our rental and management segment divisions in Mexico and Brazil. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the re-measurement gain from these operations approximated \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, \$0.4 million and

\$0.6 million, respectively.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we entered into two additional interest rate swap agreements, to manage exposure to variability in cash flows relating to forecasted interest payments in connection with the likely issuance of new fixed rate debt which we expect to be issued on or before July 31, 2007. The swaps have

Table of Contents

aggregate notional amounts of \$400.0 million and \$200.0 million and fixed rates of 5.10% and 4.96%, respectively, and will be terminated upon issuance of new fixed rate debt. We are exposed to market risk for decreases in interest rates until termination of the swap and if we fail to issue such new fixed rate debt on or before such date. We would be required to pay approximately \$13.5 million in cash upon settlement of the swaps if a 10% decline, or approximately 50 basis points, in interest rates were to occur from the fixed rate of the swaps between the issuance date and the settlement date of the swaps.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Background of Restatement

Subsequent to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, we determined that certain of our previously issued financial statements should be restated to correct certain errors related to (i) stock-based compensation not previously recorded for certain stock option grants, including the related payroll and income tax effects, (ii) additional charges for stock-based compensation expense related to the modification and repricing of certain stock option grants, primarily associated with options awarded to a former executive officer, and (iii) changes to income taxes related to the tax effects of foreign currency fluctuations on an intercompany loan with a foreign subsidiary. On November 29, 2006, we restated our consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 and our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. In this Form 10-Q, we have restated our interim financial information for each of the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 to reflect these corrections in the proper periods. For a more detailed discussion of the restatement, please see note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Our decision to restate our financial statements was based, in part, on an independent review of our historical stock option granting practices and related accounting. On May 19, 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors had established a special committee of independent directors to conduct a review of our stock option granting practices and related accounting with the assistance of independent legal counsel and forensic auditors. The special committee determined that, for certain stock option grants, the legal grant dates when all necessary corporate action had been taken differ from the dates previously recorded by us for financial accounting and tax purposes.

In connection with the review by the special committee, we undertook a review of option grant dates recorded for financial accounting and tax purposes. Based on our facts and circumstances, we concluded that we should use the legal grant date, as determined by the special committee, as the accounting measurement date for such awards. Accordingly, based on this conclusion, we applied new measurement dates to the affected stock option grants, and as a result, determined that we should have recorded stock-based compensation expense relating to certain of such grants and accounted for the tax-related consequences. In addition, the restatement reflects charges for penalties and interest related to the failure to properly withhold employee taxes upon exercise of certain stock options that were originally classified as incentive stock options, but were recharacterized as non-qualified stock options as a result of applying a new measurement date to such options.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that as of June 30, 2006, because of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below, these disclosure controls and procedures are not effective.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

Table of Contents

Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 2 defines a material weakness as a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in there being a more than remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Based upon this definition, our management concluded that, as of June 30, 2006, material weaknesses existed in our internal control over financial reporting in the following areas:

We had not designed appropriate controls related to the granting of stock options to our non-executive employees as of June 30, 2006, which resulted in the use of incorrect accounting measurement dates for certain stock option grants and contributed to the restatement reflected in this Form 10-Q. Our management disclosed this to the Audit Committee and to our independent registered public accountants.

We had not designed appropriate controls related to accounting for deferred taxes, specifically controls relating to the foreign currency effects on intercompany loans with one of our foreign subsidiaries as of June 30, 2006. Our management disclosed this to the Audit Committee and to our independent registered public accountants.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has not been any material change in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. As permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC, we excluded SpectraSite from our annual assessment of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2005. We consider the merger with SpectraSite material to our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we completed the integration the internal control procedures of SpectraSite into our internal control structure, which will be included in our annual assessment of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we have taken the following steps to remedy the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting identified above:

We instituted new stock option granting practices that provide for more systematic authorization of stock option grants to non-executive employees.

We instituted controls to ensure that all effects of foreign currency fluctuations on intercompany loan transactions are reflected so that the associated tax effects may be analyzed and recognized.

We have not yet tested such controls to ensure their effectiveness.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As previously reported, our wholly owned subsidiary, Verestar, Inc., filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws on December 22, 2003. In June 2004, the Bankruptcy Court approved a stipulation between Verestar and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the bankruptcy proceeding (the Committee) that permits the Committee to file claims against us and/or our affiliates on behalf of Verestar. In connection therewith, in July 2005, the Committee filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against us and certain of our and Verestar's current and former officers, directors and advisors, and also filed a complaint in the Bankruptcy Court against us. We may be obligated or may agree to indemnify certain of the defendants named in the litigation. The complaint originally filed in the District Court asserts various causes of action against the defendants, including declaratory judgment for alter ego, breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, conspiracy, tortious interference with contract and business relations, deepening insolvency, and avoidance and recovery of fraudulent transfers and preferential transfers. In connection with those claims, the Committee is seeking unspecified compensatory damages of not less than \$150.0 million, punitive damages and various costs and fees. During 2005, we, together with the individual defendants, filed motions to dismiss certain claims asserted in the complaints. In June 2006, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed all counts involving certain defendants, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, James D. Taiclet, Jr. The Bankruptcy Court dismissed most other claims against the remaining individual defendants, leaving only claims of breach of the duty of loyalty and conversion. The Bankruptcy Court also dismissed certain claims against us, although several causes of action against us, including declaratory judgment for alter ego, remain. For more information regarding the Verestar bankruptcy and related litigation, please see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

On May 18, 2006, we received a letter of informal inquiry from the SEC Division of Enforcement requesting documents related to our stock option grants and stock option practices. The inquiry is focused on stock options granted to senior management and members of our Board of Directors during the period 1997 to the present. We continue to cooperate with the SEC to provide the requested information and documents.

On May 19, 2006, we received a subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York for records and information relating to our historic stock option granting practices. The subpoena requests materials related to certain stock options granted between 1995 and the present. We continue to cooperate with the U.S. Attorney's Office to provide the requested information and documents.

On May 26, 2006, a securities class action was filed in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against us and certain of our current officers by John S. Greenebaum for monetary relief. Specifically, the complaint names us, James D. Taiclet, Jr. and Bradley E. Singer as defendants and alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws in connection with public statements made relating to our stock option practices and related accounting. The complaint asserts claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5.

On May 24, 2006 and June 14, 2006, two shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in Suffolk County Superior Court in Massachusetts by Eric Johnston and Robert L. Garber, respectively. The lawsuits were filed against certain of our current and former officers and directors for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment in connection with our historic stock option granting practices. The lawsuits also name us as a nominal defendant. The lawsuits seek to recover the damages sustained by us and disgorgement of all profits received with respect to the alleged backdated stock options.

On June 13, 2006 and June 22, 2006, two shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts by New South Wales Treasury Corporation, as Trustee for the Alpha International Managers Trust, and Frank C. Kalil and Don Holland, respectively. The lawsuits were filed against

Table of Contents

certain of our current and former officers and directors for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties, waste of corporate assets, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment in connection with our historic stock option granting practices. The lawsuits also name us as a nominal defendant. The complaints assert claims under Sections 10(b), 14(a), and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. The lawsuits seek to recover the damages sustained by us and disgorgement of all profits received with respect to the alleged backdated stock options.

On June 8, 2006, we received a letter addressed to our Board of Directors from a law firm purporting to represent one of our current stockholders requesting that we investigate and institute proceedings pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act against certain of our current and former officers and directors to recover short-swing profits earned in connection with purchases and sales of our equity securities. Our Board of Directors has concluded that there are no grounds on which to pursue the claims raised by the letter, and accordingly, has responded that it will not institute proceedings.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, additional legal and governmental proceedings related to the review of our stock option granting practices and related accounting were commenced, as discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

We periodically become involved in various claims and lawsuits that are incidental to our business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, other than the litigation related to the Verestar bankruptcy and our stock option granting practices discussed above, there are no matters currently pending that would, in the event of an adverse outcome, have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Decrease in demand for tower space would materially and adversely affect our operating results and we cannot control that demand.

Many of the factors affecting the demand for wireless communications tower space, and to a lesser extent our network development services business, could adversely affect our operating results. Those factors include:

a decrease in consumer demand for wireless services due to general economic conditions or other factors;

the financial condition of wireless service providers;

the ability and willingness of wireless service providers to maintain or increase capital expenditures;

the growth rate of wireless communications or of a particular wireless segment;

governmental licensing of spectrum;

mergers or consolidations among wireless service providers;

increased use of network sharing, roaming or resale arrangements by wireless service providers;

delays or changes in the deployment of 3G or other technologies;

zoning, environmental, health and other government regulations; and

technological changes.

The demand for broadcast antenna space is dependent on the needs of television and radio broadcasters. Among other things, technological advances, including the development of satellite-delivered radio, may reduce the need for tower-based broadcast transmission. We could also be affected adversely should the development of digital television be further delayed or impaired, or if demand for it were less than anticipated because of delays, disappointing technical performance or cost to the consumer.

Table of Contents

If our wireless service provider customers consolidate or merge with each other to a significant degree, our growth, revenue and ability to generate positive cash flows could be adversely affected.

Significant consolidation among our wireless service provider customers may result in reduced capital expenditures in the aggregate because the existing networks of many wireless carriers overlap, as do their expansion plans. For example, as a result of the recently completed mergers between Cingular Wireless and AT&T Wireless and between Sprint PCS and Nextel, both Cingular Wireless and Sprint Nextel are exploring ways of rationalizing portions of their combined, yet technologically separate, wireless networks. Certain parts of their merged networks may be deemed to be duplicative and these customers may attempt to eliminate these duplications. Our future results may be negatively impacted if a significant number of these contracts are eliminated from our ongoing contractual revenues. Similar consequences might occur if wireless service providers engage in extensive sharing, roaming or resale arrangements as an alternative to leasing our antenna space.

In January 2003, the FCC eliminated its spectrum cap, which prohibited wireless carriers from owning more than 45 MHz of spectrum in any given geographical area. The FCC has also eliminated the cross-interest rule for metropolitan areas, which limited an entity's ability to own interests in multiple cellular licenses in an overlapping geographical service area. Also, in May 2003, the FCC adopted new rules authorizing wireless radio services holding exclusive licenses to freely lease unused spectrum. These regulatory changes may encourage consolidation among wireless carriers, which, if it resulted in a loss of one or more of our major customers, could materially decrease our revenues and cash flows.

Substantial leverage and debt service obligations may adversely affect us.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness. As of June 30, 2006, we had approximately \$3.6 billion of consolidated debt. Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay the principal, interest, or other amounts when due. As of June 30, 2006, approximately 48% of our outstanding indebtedness bore interest at floating rates (approximately 24%, after giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements used to manage exposure to variable rate interest obligations on our credit facilities). As a result, our interest payment obligations on such indebtedness will increase if interest rates increase. Subject to certain restrictions under our existing indebtedness, we may also obtain additional long-term debt and working capital lines of credit to meet future financing needs. This would have the effect of increasing our total leverage.

Our substantial leverage could have significant negative consequences on our financial condition and results of operations, including:

impairing our ability to meet one or more of the financial ratios contained in our debt agreements or to generate cash sufficient to pay interest or principal, which events could result in an acceleration of some or all of our outstanding debt as a result of cross-default provisions;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing;

requiring the dedication of a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to service our debt, thereby reducing the amount of our cash flow available for other purposes, including capital expenditures;

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/ - Form 10-Q

requiring us to sell debt or equity securities or to sell some of our core assets, possibly on unfavorable terms, to meet payment obligations;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we compete; and

placing us at a possible competitive disadvantage with less leveraged competitors and competitors that may have better access to capital resources.

Table of Contents

Restrictive covenants in our credit facilities and indentures could adversely affect our business by limiting flexibility.

Our credit facilities and the indentures governing the terms of our debt securities contain restrictive covenants. Our credit facilities also contain requirements that the borrowers under each facility comply with certain leverage and other financial tests. These covenants and requirements limit our ability to take various actions, including incurring additional debt, guaranteeing indebtedness, engaging in various types of transactions, including mergers and sales of assets, and paying dividends and making distributions or other restricted payments. These covenants could place us at a disadvantage compared to some of our competitors which may have fewer restrictive covenants and may not be required to operate under these restrictions. Further, these covenants could have an adverse effect on our business by limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, new tower development, merger and acquisition or other opportunities.

Due to the long-term expectations of revenue from tenant leases, the tower industry is sensitive to the creditworthiness of its tenants.

Due to the long-term nature of our tenant leases, we, like others in the tower industry, are dependent on the continued financial strength of our tenants. Many wireless service providers operate with substantial leverage. During the past few years, several of our customers have filed for bankruptcy, although to date these bankruptcies have not had a material adverse effect on our business or revenues. If one or more of our major customers experience financial difficulties, it could result in uncollectible accounts receivable and our loss of significant customers and anticipated lease revenues.

Our foreign operations are subject to economic, political and other risks that could adversely affect our revenues or financial position.

Our business operations in Mexico and Brazil, and any other possible foreign operations in the future, could result in adverse financial consequences and operational problems not experienced in the United States. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, approximately 13% of our consolidated revenues were generated by our international operations. We anticipate that our revenues from our international operations may grow in the future. Accordingly, our business is subject to risks associated with doing business internationally, including:

changes in a specific country's or region's political or economic conditions;

laws and regulations that restrict repatriation of earnings or other funds;

difficulty in recruiting trained personnel; and

language and cultural differences.

In addition, we face risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. While most of the contracts for our international operations are denominated in the U.S. dollar, others are denominated in the Mexican Peso or the Brazilian Real. We have not historically engaged in significant hedging activities relating to our non-U.S. dollar operations, and we may suffer future losses as a result of adverse changes in currency exchange rates.

A substantial portion of our revenue is derived from a small number of customers.

A substantial portion of our total operating revenues is derived from a small number of customers. For the six months ended June 30, 2006:

Five customers accounted for approximately 65% of our revenues;

Cingular Wireless accounted for approximately 21% of our revenues;

Sprint Nextel accounted for approximately 21% of our revenues (including Sprint Nextel partners and affiliates); and

Verizon Wireless accounted for approximately 9% of our revenues.

Table of Contents

Our largest international customer is Iusacell Celular, which accounted for approximately 3% of our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Iusacell is an affiliate of TV Azteca, which owns a minority interest in Unefon, which is our second largest customer in Mexico and accounted for approximately 2% of our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006. In September 2006, an affiliate of TV Azteca announced it had agreed to acquire a substantial majority of the remaining interest in Unefon it does not already control. In addition, we received \$7.1 million in interest income, net, from TV Azteca for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

If any of these customers were unwilling or unable to perform their obligations under our agreements with them, our revenues, results of operations, and financial condition could be adversely affected. In the ordinary course of our business, we also sometimes experience disputes with our customers, generally regarding the interpretation of terms in our agreements. Although historically we have resolved these disputes in a manner that did not have a material adverse effect on our company or our customer relationships, in the future these disputes could lead to a termination of our agreements with customers or a material modification of the terms of those agreements, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. If we are forced to resolve any of these disputes through litigation, our relationship with the applicable customer could be terminated or damaged, which could lead to decreased revenues or increased costs, resulting in a corresponding adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Status of Iusacell Celular's financial restructuring exposes us to certain risks and uncertainties.

Iusacell Celular is our largest customer in Mexico and accounted for approximately 3% of our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Iusacell has been in default under certain of its debt obligations and involved in litigation with certain of its creditors. Iusacell reported in January 2006 that it had reached an agreement in principle with its creditors to restructure its debt obligations. In August 2006, a Mexican court accepted Iusacell's request for voluntary bankruptcy protection to allow the company to proceed with its debt-restructuring plan uninterrupted by lawsuits from creditors. If the restructuring is not completed, or if the Iusacell bankruptcy or the creditor litigation has an adverse impact on Iusacell's overall liquidity, it could interfere with Iusacell's ability to meet its operating obligations, including rental payments under our leases with them.

New technologies could make our tower leasing business less desirable to potential tenants and result in decreasing revenues.

The development and implementation of new technologies designed to enhance the efficiency of wireless networks could reduce the use and need for tower-based wireless services transmission and reception and have the effect of decreasing demand for tower space. Examples of such technologies include technologies that enhance spectral capacity, such as lower-rate vocoders, which can increase the capacity at existing sites and reduce the number of additional sites a given carrier needs to serve any given subscriber base. In addition, the emergence of new technologies could reduce the need for tower-based broadcast services transmission and reception. For example, the growth in delivery of video services by direct broadcast satellites could adversely affect demand for our antenna space. The development and implementation of any of these and similar technologies to any significant degree could have an adverse effect on our operations.

We could have liability under environmental laws.

Our operations, like those of other companies engaged in similar businesses, are subject to the requirements of various federal, state and local and foreign environmental and occupational safety and health laws and regulations, including those relating to the management, use, storage, disposal, emission and remediation of, and exposure to, hazardous and non-hazardous substances, materials and wastes. As owner, lessee or operator of many thousands of real estate sites underlying our towers, we may be liable for substantial costs of remediating soil and groundwater contaminated by hazardous materials, without regard to whether we, as the owner, lessee or operator, knew of or were responsible for the

contamination. Many of these laws and regulations contain

Table of Contents

information reporting and record keeping requirements. We cannot assure you that we are at all times in complete compliance with all environmental requirements. We may be subject to potentially significant fines or penalties if we fail to comply with any of these requirements. The current cost of complying with these laws (including amounts we expect to pay the EPA pursuant to the Facilities Audit Agreement) is not material to our financial condition or results of operations. However, the requirements of these laws and regulations are complex, change frequently, and could become more stringent in the future. It is possible that these requirements will change or that liabilities will arise in the future in a manner that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business is subject to government regulations and changes in current or future laws or regulations could restrict our ability to operate our business as we currently do.

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign regulation of our business, including regulation by the FAA, the FCC, the EPA and OSHA. Both the FCC and the FAA regulate towers used for wireless communications and radio and television antennas and the FCC separately regulates transmitting devices operating on towers. Similar regulations exist in Mexico, Brazil and other foreign countries regarding wireless communications and the operation of communications towers. Local zoning authorities and community organizations are often opposed to construction in their communities and these regulations can delay, prevent or increase the cost of new tower construction, modifications, additions of new antennas to a site, or site upgrades, thereby limiting our ability to respond to customer demands and requirements. Existing regulatory policies may adversely affect the associated timing or cost of such projects and additional regulations may be adopted which increase delays or result in additional costs to us, or that prevent such projects in certain locations. These factors could adversely affect our operations.

Increasing competition in the tower industry may create pricing pressures that may adversely affect us.

Our industry is highly competitive, and our customers have numerous alternatives for leasing antenna space. Some of our competitors, such as national wireless carriers that allow collocation on their towers, are larger and have greater financial resources than we do, while other competitors are in weak financial condition or may have lower return on investment criteria than we do. Competitive pricing pressures for tenants on towers from these competitors could adversely affect our lease rates and services income.

In addition, if we lose customers due to pricing, we may not be able to find new customers, leading to an accompanying adverse effect on our profitability. Increasing competition could also make the acquisition of high quality tower assets more costly.

Our competition includes:

national and regional tower companies;

wireless carriers that own towers and lease antenna space to other carriers;

site development companies that purchase antenna space on existing towers for wireless carriers and manage new tower construction;
and

alternative site structures (e.g., building rooftops, billboards and utility poles).

If we are unable to protect our rights to the land under our towers, it could adversely affect our business and operating results.

Our real property interests relating to our towers consist primarily of leasehold and sub-leasehold interests, fee interests, easements, licenses and rights-of-way. A loss of these interests may interfere with our ability to operate our towers and generate revenues. For various reasons, we may not always have the ability to access, analyze and verify all information regarding titles and other issues prior to completing an acquisition of communications sites. Further, we may not be able to renew ground leases on commercially viable terms. Approximately 86% of the owned communications sites in our portfolio as of June 30, 2006 are located on leased

Table of Contents

land. Approximately 88% of these sites are on land where our property interests in such land have a final expiration date of 2015 and beyond. Our inability to protect our rights to the land under our towers may have a material adverse effect on us.

If we are unable or choose not to exercise our rights to purchase towers that are subject to lease and sublease agreements at the end of the applicable period, our cash flows derived from such towers would be eliminated.

Our communications site portfolio includes towers that we operate pursuant to lease and sublease agreements that include a purchase option at the end of each lease period. If we are unable or choose not to exercise our rights to purchase towers under these agreements at the end of the applicable period, our cash flows derived from such towers would be eliminated. For example, our SpectraSite subsidiary has entered into lease or sublease agreements with affiliates of SBC Communications (SBC) with respect to approximately 2,500 towers pursuant to which SpectraSite has the option to purchase the sites upon the expiration of the lease or sublease beginning in 2013. The aggregate purchase option price for the SBC towers was approximately \$295.6 million as of June 30, 2006, and will accrete at a rate of 10% per year to the applicable expiration of the lease or sublease of a site. In addition, we have entered into a similar agreement with ALLTEL Communications, Inc. (ALLTEL) with respect to approximately 1,776 towers, for which we have an option to purchase the sites upon the expiration of the lease or sublease beginning in 2016. The aggregate purchase option price for the ALLTEL towers was approximately \$57.0 million as of June 30, 2006, and will accrete at a rate of 3% per annum through the expiration of the lease or sublease period. We may not have the required available capital to exercise our right to purchase these or other lease or subleased towers at the end of the applicable period. Even if we do have available capital, we may choose not to exercise our right to purchase such towers for business or other reasons. In the event that we do not exercise these purchase rights, or are otherwise unable to acquire an interest that would allow us to continue to operate these towers after the applicable period, we will lose the cash flows derived from such towers, which may have a material adverse effect on our business. In the event that we decide to exercise these purchase rights, the benefits of the acquisitions of such towers may not exceed the associated acquisition, compliance and integration costs, and our financial results could be adversely affected.

Our towers may be affected by natural disasters and other unforeseen damage for which our insurance may not provide adequate coverage.

Our towers are subject to risks associated with natural disasters, such as ice and wind storms, tornadoes, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes, as well as other unforeseen damage. Any damage or destruction to our towers as a result of these or other risks would impact our ability to provide services to our customers and could impact our results of operation and financial condition. For example, as a result of the severe hurricane activity in 2005, approximately 25 of our broadcast and wireless communications sites in the southeastern United States and Mexico suffered material damage and many more suffered lesser damage. While we maintain insurance, including business interruption insurance, for our towers against these risks, we may not have adequate insurance to cover the associated costs of repair or reconstruction. Further, such business interruption insurance may not adequately cover all of our lost revenues, including potential revenues from new tenants that could have been added to our towers but for the damage. If we are unable to provide services to our customers as a result of damages to our towers, it could lead to customer loss, resulting in a corresponding adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our costs could increase and our revenues could decrease due to perceived health risks from radio emissions, especially if these perceived risks are substantiated.

Public perception of possible health risks associated with cellular and other wireless communications media could slow the growth of wireless companies, which could in turn slow our growth. In particular, negative public perception of, and regulations regarding, these perceived health risks could slow the market acceptance of wireless communications services and increase opposition to the development and expansion of tower sites. The

Table of Contents

potential connection between radio frequency emissions and certain negative health effects has been the subject of substantial study by the scientific community in recent years, and numerous health-related lawsuits have been filed against wireless carriers and wireless device manufacturers. If a scientific study or court decision resulted in a finding that radio frequency emissions posed health risks to consumers, it could negatively impact the market for wireless services, as well as our wireless carrier customers, which would adversely effect our operations, costs and revenues. We do not maintain any significant insurance with respect to these matters.

Our stock option granting practices are subject to ongoing governmental proceedings, which could result in fines, penalties or other liability.

In May 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors had established a special committee of independent directors to conduct a review of our stock option granting practices and related accounting. Subsequent to the formation of the special committee, we received an informal letter of inquiry from the SEC, a subpoena from the office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York and an information document request from the IRS, each requesting documents and information related to our stock option grants and practices. We are cooperating with these governmental authorities to provide the requested documents and information. These governmental proceedings are ongoing, and the time period necessary to resolve these proceedings is uncertain and could require significant additional management and financial resources. Significant legal and accounting expenses related to these matters have been incurred to date and significant expenditures will continue to be incurred in the future. In addition, depending on the outcomes of these proceedings, we could be subject to regulatory fines, penalties or other liability, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Pending civil litigation relating to our stock option granting practices exposes us to risks and uncertainties.

We and our directors and officers are defendants in a purported federal securities class action and several shareholder derivative actions relating to our stock option granting practices. These actions are in preliminary stages and we cannot predict their outcomes with certainty. If these actions are successful, however, they could have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity. These matters and any other related lawsuits could also result in substantial costs to us and a diversion of our management's attention and resources, which could have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations. For more information regarding the litigation related to our stock option granting practices, please see Part II, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report under the caption "Legal Proceedings" and note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

The bankruptcy proceeding of our Verestar subsidiary exposes us to risks and uncertainties.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, Verestar, Inc., filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in December 2003. If Verestar fails to honor certain of its contractual obligations because of its bankruptcy filing or otherwise, claims may be made against us for breaches by Verestar of those contracts as to which we are primarily or secondarily liable as a guarantor (which we do not expect to exceed \$3.2 million). In addition, in July 2005, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the bankruptcy proceeding (the Committee) filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against us and certain of our and Verestar's current and former officers, directors and advisors, and also filed a complaint in the Bankruptcy Court against us. (The case initially filed in the District Court has since been transferred to the Bankruptcy Court, and both cases are now pending as a single, consolidated case before the same Bankruptcy judge.) Pursuant to the complaints, the Committee is seeking unspecified compensatory damages of not less than \$150.0 million, punitive damages and various costs and fees. The outcome of this complex litigation cannot be predicted by us with certainty, is dependent upon many factors beyond our control, and could take several years to resolve. If any such claims are successful, however, they could have a material adverse impact on our financial position and results of operations. For more information regarding the Verestar bankruptcy and related litigation, please see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.**

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 3,641,554 shares of our Class A common stock upon the exercise of 586,383 warrants assumed in our merger with SpectraSite, Inc. In August 2005, in connection with the merger, we assumed approximately 1.0 million warrants to purchase shares of SpectraSite, Inc. common stock. Upon completion of the merger, each warrant to purchase shares of SpectraSite, Inc. common stock automatically converted into a warrant to purchase 7.15 shares of Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$32 per warrant. As many of these warrants were exercised pursuant to a cashless net exercise pursuant to the warrant agreement, net proceeds from these warrant exercises were approximately \$18,100. The shares were issued to warrant holders in reliance on the exemption from registration set forth in Sections 3(a)(9) and 3(a)(10) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 1145 of the United States Code. No underwriters were engaged in connection with such issuances.

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 1,077,415 shares of our Class A common stock upon the exercise of 76,460 warrants. The warrants were originally issued in January 2003 as part of an offering of 808,000 units, each consisting of (1) \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of ATI 12.25% Notes and (2) a warrant to purchase 14.0953 shares of our Class A common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.01 per share and will expire on August 1, 2008. As many of these warrants were exercised pursuant to a cashless net exercise pursuant to the warrant agreement, net proceeds from these warrant exercises were approximately \$1,300. The shares were issued to warrant holders pursuant to an effective registration statement or in reliance on the exemption from registration set forth in Sections 3(a)(9) and 3(a)(10) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. No underwriters were engaged in connection with such issuances.

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we issued an aggregate of 1,844,768 shares of our Class A common stock upon conversion of approximately \$22.6 million principal amount of our 3.25% Notes. Pursuant to the terms of the indenture, the holders of the 3.25% Notes are entitled to receive 81.808 shares of our Class A common stock for every \$1,000 principal amount of notes converted. The shares were issued to the noteholders in reliance on the exemption from registration set forth in Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. No underwriters were engaged in connection with such issuances. In connection with the conversion, we paid such holders an aggregate of approximately \$1.7 million, calculated based on the accrued and unpaid interest on the notes as of the date of conversion and the discounted value of the future interest payments on the notes.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In November 2005, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$750.0 million of our Class A common stock through December 2006. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we repurchased 3,535,568 shares of our Class A common stock for an aggregate of \$116.2 million pursuant to our stock repurchase program, as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
				(In millions)
April 2006	1,903,628	\$ 32.06	1,903,628	\$ 446.8
May 2006(2)	1,631,940	\$ 33.74	1,631,940	\$ 391.7
June 2006(2)				\$ 391.7
Total Second Quarter(2)	3,535,568	\$ 32.84	3,535,568	\$ 391.7

- (1) All issuer repurchases were made pursuant to the stock repurchase program publicly announced in November 2005 for the repurchase of up to \$750.0 million of our Class A common stock during the period November 2005 through December 2006. Under the program, our management is authorized to purchase shares from time to time in open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions at prevailing prices as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements, and subject to market conditions and other factors.
- (2) On May 23, 2006, we announced that we were temporarily suspending repurchases under our stock repurchase program in light of the uncertainty surrounding the pending review of our historical stock option granting practices and the related governmental proceedings, as described in notes 2 and 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. We expect that once this matter is resolved, we will resume repurchases of our Class A common stock.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on May 11, 2006, to consider and act upon the following matters, all of which were approved and adopted. The results of the stockholder voting were as follows:

1. Election of the following directors for the ensuing year or until their successors are elected and qualified.

	Vote Cast	
	For	Votes Withheld
Raymond P. Dolan	353,138,535	32,518,380
Carolyn F. Katz	374,074,467	11,582,448
Gustavo Lara Cantu	383,297,523	2,359,392
Fred R. Lummis	377,413,885	8,243,030
Pamela D.A. Reeve	373,728,657	11,928,258
James D. Taiclet, Jr.	376,208,935	9,447,980
Samme L. Thompson	383,299,877	2,357,038

2. Ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2006.

Votes Cast For	Votes Against	Votes Abstained
378,104,321	7,461,384	91,210

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Suspension of Trading Under Registrant's Employee Benefit Plans. On August 14, 2006, we announced that, because of the potential for restatement of our previously issued financial statements and our inability to timely file our Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006, we suspended the use of the Form S-8 Registration Statement that registers the issuance of shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to the American Tower Retirement Savings Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). As a result, we notified our directors and executive officers that the 401(k) Plan would be subject to a "blackout period" during which all 401(k) Plan participants would be unable to direct investments into the American Tower stock fund under the 401(k) Plan. Effective 9:00 a.m. EST on November 29, 2006, in connection with the filing of our restated financial statements and our required reports with the SEC, we will resume the use of the Form S-8 Registration Statement and end the blackout period.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

See the Exhibit Index on Page EX-1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

EX-1