

Community Bancorp
Form 10-K
March 16, 2006
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended DECEMBER 31, 2005

or

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File No. 000-51044

COMMUNITY BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction)

of incorporation or organization)

400 S. 4th Street, Suite 215, Las Vegas, NV
(Address of principal executive offices)

01-0668846
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

89101
(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Registrant's telephone number: (702) 878-0700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share

(Title of class)

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of June 30, 2005, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the Company was: \$169,972,384

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of March 10, 2006, 7,383,753

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required in Part III, Items 10-14 is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed pursuant Regulation 14A.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| ITEM 1. <u>BUSINESS</u> | 4 |
| ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 23 |
| ITEM 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | 29 |
| ITEM 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u> | 29 |
| ITEM 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 30 |
| ITEM 4. <u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u> | 30 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| ITEM 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | 31 |
| ITEM 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | 33 |
| ITEM 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION</u> | 35 |
| ITEM 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 63 |
| ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | 63 |
| ITEM 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | 64 |
| ITEM 9A. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 64 |
| ITEM 9B. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 66 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| ITEM 10. <u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u> | 67 |
| ITEM 11. <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 67 |
| ITEM 12. <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | 67 |
| ITEM 13. <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u> | 67 |
| ITEM 14. <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u> | 67 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| ITEM 15. <u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | 68 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 70 |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Report), including, without limitation, statements containing the words believes, anticipates, intends, expects, and words of similar import, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the following: general economic and business conditions in those areas in which we operate, demographic changes, competition, fluctuations in interest rates, changes in business strategy or development plans, changes in governmental regulation, credit quality, the availability of capital to fund the expansion of our business, economic, political and global changes arising from the war on terrorism, the conflict with Iraq and its aftermath, and other factors referenced in this Report, including in Item 1A. Risk Factors. When relying on forward-looking statements to make decisions with respect to our Company, investors and others are cautioned to consider these and other risks and uncertainties. We disclaim any obligation to update any such factors or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future events or developments.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Report.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Community Bancorp

Community Bancorp is the bank holding company for Community Bank of Nevada, a Nevada state chartered bank headquartered in Las Vegas. We deliver an array of commercial bank products and services with an emphasis on customer relationships and personalized service. At December 31, 2005, we had total assets of \$893 million, gross loans of \$663 million, total deposits of \$725 million and stockholders' equity of \$107 million. Measured by total assets, we are one of the largest publicly-traded Nevada community banks.

Our holding company was formed in 2002. As a result, Community Bank of Nevada became our wholly-owned subsidiary and the shareholders of Community Bank of Nevada became our shareholders. Community Bank of Nevada was organized in July 1995 by local community leaders and experienced bankers with the mission of providing superior community banking services to the greater Las Vegas area.

We focus on meeting the commercial banking needs associated with the population and economic growth of the greater Las Vegas area. Our customers are generally small- to medium-sized businesses (generally representing businesses with less than \$50 million in annual revenues) that require highly personalized commercial banking products and services that we deliver with an emphasis on relationship banking. We believe that our customers prefer locally managed banking institutions that provide responsive, personalized service and customized products. A substantial portion of our business is with customers who have long-standing relationships with our officers or directors or who have been referred to us by existing customers.

Historically, we have focused our lending activities on commercial real estate loans, construction loans and land acquisition and development loans, which comprised 76% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2005. While this continues to be a large part of our business, we see significant opportunities in growing our commercial and industrial, or C&I, loans, and Small Business Administration, or SBA, loans. On the deposit side, we have focused on attracting low cost core deposits, with particular emphasis on growing our non-interest bearing demand deposits.

Our most recent significant event is the acquisition of Bank of Commerce in August 2005, which contributed to the strength of our balance sheet for the year. At the time of acquisition, our total assets increased \$170.7 million, total loans increased \$104.1 million, and total deposits increased \$118.2 million. In addition to loans and deposits, the acquisition increased our available for sale securities portfolio by \$22.4 million, our premises and equipment by \$4.6 million, and provided a tax receivable of \$1.1 million. Also as part of the acquisition, we reported goodwill of \$19.7 million and a core deposit intangible of \$5.3 million at December 31, 2005. We also assumed short term borrowings of \$6.0 million and long term debt of \$3.5 million. For a discussion of the merger-related activity, please see Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

In the fourth quarter of 2004, we successfully completed our initial public offering (IPO) and concurrent listing of common stock on the NASDAQ National Market. In our offering, we raised \$39.3 million, net of expenses, and certain selling shareholders received net proceeds of \$16.5 million.

We have nine full service branches, seven located in Las Vegas and two located in Henderson. In 1995, the first office, now our Rainbow office, commenced operations. In 1997, the Maryland Parkway branch opened in central Las Vegas followed by the opening of the Summerlin branch in 1999. In 2000, the Green Valley branch opened, marking expansion into Henderson, Nevada. In 2002, we opened our City Centre branch in downtown Las Vegas. Our sixth branch at the intersection of Interstate 215 and Russell Road opened in July 2005. The acquisition of Bank of Commerce in August 2005 added an additional three branches: our Sahara, Decatur and Sunset offices. Our headquarters is located at our downtown branch at 400 South 4th Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 and our telephone number is (702) 878-0700. We maintain a website at www.communitybanknv.com. None of the information on or hyperlinked from our website is incorporated herein.

Table of Contents

Strategy

We strive to be a high performing community bank holding company for the long-term benefit of our shareholders, customers and employees. The key elements of our strategy are to:

Growth Strategies

Expand our franchise through acquisition or the establishment of new branches or banks in markets that offer regional continuity, such as the greater Las Vegas area or similar high-growth markets in Arizona and California. We opened our sixth branch in the second half of 2005 in Las Vegas and acquired three additional branches in August 2005. Our strategic plan currently calls for one additional branch per year through 2008.

Continue as a public company with a common stock that is quoted and traded on a national stock market. In addition to providing access to growth capital, we believe a public currency provides flexibility in structuring acquisitions and will allow us to attract and retain qualified bankers through equity-based compensation. We have just completed our first full year as a public company.

Expand our commercial lending portfolio to diversify both our customer base and maturities of the loan portfolio, and to benefit from the low cost deposits associated with the professional, construction and service industries that are common commercial borrowers. During 2005, we successfully recruited a team of local commercial lenders which has given us a greater network and far greater capacity to attract commercial relationships.

Increase our SBA production from each of our three loan production offices in Las Vegas, Phoenix and San Diego. The SBA loans provide us an option to portfolio or to sell the guaranteed portion of loans in the secondary market. With the centralized underwriting in Las Vegas and strong personnel marketing the product in each of the three locations, both interest income and non-interest income are expected to increase as this division reaches a level of production similar to the commercial and commercial real estate areas.

Continue to grow our commercial real estate lending by maintaining the professional respect of the community's most successful developers and leverage our successful background and depth of network from our experienced lenders to expand market share. We have demonstrated a very successful revenue chain while generating interest and fees at each stage of the development life cycle including land acquisition and development, construction and permanent loans.

Continue to grow our real estate lending activities by providing competitive commercial real estate loans, construction loans, and land acquisition and development loans. Through our financing activities, including the additional capital provided by our IPO, we have the ability to originate larger loans to new and existing customers.

Operating Strategies

Enhance our risk management functions by proactively managing sound procedures and committing experienced human resources to this effort. We seek (i) to identify risks in all functions of our business, including credit, operations and asset and liability management, (ii) to evaluate such risks and their trends and (iii) to adopt strategies to manage such risks based upon our evaluations.

Maintain high asset quality by continuing to utilize rigorous loan underwriting standards and credit risk management practices.

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Continue to actively manage interest rate and market risks by closely matching the volume and maturity of our rate sensitive assets to our interest sensitive liabilities in order to mitigate adverse effects of rapid changes in interest rates on either side of our balance sheet.

Expand commercial and industrial, as well as small business relationships which commonly are associated with deposits that are a lower cost funding source. With loan demand remaining high, a

Table of Contents

significant emphasis has been placed on the growth of core deposits. Loan growth that may exceed the expansion of core deposits will be supported with in-market certificates of deposit, borrowings and wholesale deposits.

Diversify our revenue source by selling more products to each of our client relationships. We actively account for the number of products that our clients purchase from us and the products that those clients purchase from other financial service providers. Our mission is to continually cross-sell as well as expand each relationship by number of products annually.

Market Area

Our primary market area is Clark County, Nevada. Clark County is one of the fastest growing areas in the United States. According to the Center for Business and Economic Research based at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, or the CBER, between 2001 and 2005, Clark County's population grew by approximately 5% on an annual basis, from 1,485,855 persons to 1,796,380 persons. This growth has been driven by a variety of factors including a growth in the service economy associated with the hospitality and gaming industries, affordable housing, no income taxation, a growing base of senior or retirement communities and general recreational opportunities associated with a favorable climate.

The following highlights, more specifically, some of the economic opportunities that have driven the population growth in Clark County. According to CBER, between 2001 and 2005:

total gaming revenue in Clark County has risen to revenue of over \$9.7 billion in 2005, as compared to revenue of approximately \$7.6 billion in 2001;

visitor volume has increased from approximately 35 million visitors to Las Vegas in 2001 to 39 million in 2005; and

the number of hotel rooms in Clark County increased from approximately 127,000 in 2001 to approximately 133,000 in 2005. Complementing the region's expanding economic opportunities has been the availability of relatively affordable housing. The attraction and retention of a quality labor force to service the economy depends in part on housing availability. According to CBER, housing permits related to new homes in Clark County have risen over the last five years, from 29,668 in 2001 to 38,400 in 2005. Although home prices have recently risen, management believes median housing prices in Nevada are still below the median price for homes in California, which is the source of three of every five new Nevada residents.

Contributing to the population growth in Clark County has been the recent influx of retirees and young families to the area. According to CBER, over 20,000 retirees have moved to Clark County since 2001, factoring into the 2003 total number of 243,500 retirees. In addition to retirees, many young families have moved to Clark County, driven by the rapid economic growth, as described above, in the greater Las Vegas area.

The Clark County school district is the fastest growing school district in the United States, according to the Nevada Department of Education. According to the Clark County School District 1998 Building Program, the Clark County School district has opened 14 new schools for the 2004-2005 school year alone. In 1998 Clark County voters approved a bond issue for an additional \$3.5 billion to build a total of 88 new schools (50 elementary schools, 22 middle schools, and 16 high schools) to accommodate an anticipated 15,980 new students a year, a number that is expected to increase to 18,049 students per year over the next decade. To date, 69 of these schools have already been built.

In addition to schools, population growth has created a need for all types of retail services. For example, over the past seven years, a national pharmacy chain has built 30 drug stores in Clark County. Commercial stores, gas stations, storage units, restaurants and bars, and clothing stores are among the services that have

Table of Contents

followed the population growth. Three new hospitals have recently opened in Clark County. As a result, we expect to see an increase in medical support facilities and doctors' offices, especially with the retiree population continuing to grow. Also, the increase of products and services at the retail level require additions to the wholesale, warehouse and transportation sectors.

We believe that the economic growth in Clark County, the population growth and the accompanying need for infrastructure presents the following significant opportunities:

Deposit Growth Opportunities. According to the FDIC, deposits in Clark County grew from \$15 billion to \$34 billion between June 2001 and June 2005, a compound annual growth rate of 22%.

Loan Growth Opportunities. Increased residential and commercial development as well as the infrastructure growth in Clark County has offered more and larger lending opportunities for us.

Business Activities

We provide banking services throughout our primary market area to small-to medium-sized businesses (generally representing businesses with annual revenues of less than \$50 million). Many of these small- to medium-sized businesses provide goods and services, directly or indirectly, to the development of the infrastructure in and around the greater Las Vegas area that services the growing population. Our customers include developers, contractors, professionals, distribution and service businesses, local residential home builders and manufacturers. We also provide a broad range of banking services and products to individuals, including personal checking and savings accounts and other consumer banking products, including electronic banking.

Both lending personnel and the business development staff who have a primary emphasis on deposit growth utilize this program to determine pricing for products. Some of the applications are summarized below:

Lending. Senior management establishes a minimum return on equity hurdle rate for each particular loan type, which is influenced by the pricing of credit and the revenue derived from various deposits generated. We pay incentives to our lenders based on maximizing the relationship return on equity. We have found that the lenders have become far more conscientious about pricing credit, as well as their ability to secure low cost deposits. Additionally, our pricing model has placed added emphasis on risk and return. The credit risk rating influences the costs associated with the credit and therefore influences pricing when additional risk is assumed.

Deposits. We have a very active business development culture and have developed a specific incentive plan for deposit gathering. The pricing model takes into account the interest expense associated with a deposit relationship and, through transfer pricing, helps determine the profitability of a deposit relationship. Since non-interest bearing deposits have no interest expense associated with them, the return on equity is high for that product and thus, the payout incentive to the business development staff is high.

Management continues to emphasize the utilization of variable rate pricing for the majority of all loan commitments. Among the variety of credit products provided, only the permanent commercial real estate loan has competitive pressure to provide a fixed rate loan. We have remained competitive with a fixed rate product that adjusts every 36 months tied to an index of prime or LIBOR.

We originate a variety of loans, including commercial real estate loans, secured and unsecured C&I loans, residential real estate loans, SBA loans, and, to a lesser extent, consumer loans. In addition to direct loan origination, we also utilize our relationships within the banking industry to participate in loans that fit our criteria. The amount of our participation loans at December 31, 2005 constituted approximately 7.4% of our total loan portfolio. When we consider the purchase of an interest in a participation, we apply our existing policies and procedures to the credit. Proven cash flow and an independent secondary source of repayment remain as the critical measurements of credit risk.

Table of Contents

Once we have booked an interest in a purchased participation loan, we strive to apply the same monitoring and credit quality assessment as we do for credits that we originate. These monitoring and assessment procedures include review of information supplied by borrowers pursuant to loan agreements as well as both internal and external loan review of the credit. To date, we have not suffered any loss on a loan purchased from another originator. We expect that our own originations will continue to be the primary source of our loan growth.

Our customers are generally comprised of the following groups:

real estate developers in need of land, construction and permanent financing for commercial and residential developments;

small- to medium-sized businesses in need of secured and unsecured lines of credit or permanent C&I loans;

professionals and service providers in need of operating facilities.

We manage our loan portfolio to provide for an adequate return, but also to provide a diversification of risk. Historically, we have maintained very high asset quality. Our lending activities are concentrated in four main categories, as described below.

Commercial Real Estate Loans. We have a strong focus in the origination of commercial real estate, or CRE, loans. Our CRE loans fall into three sub-categories raw land, construction and term loans on completed projects. Construction and acquisition and development lending currently constitutes approximately 36% of total commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2005. Raw land loans and term loans on completed projects constituted approximately 15% and 49%, respectively, of total CRE loans at December 31, 2005.

To manage our concentration of loans in commercial real estate and the inherently higher risk of construction lending (see Item 1A. Risk Factors and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Allowance for Loan Losses), we seek diversification through maintaining a broad base of borrowers and by adjusting our exposure to property types based on overall strength in a particular sector, which includes a variety of factors such as vacancy trends. During the past several years, the retail segment of the market has been strong, with low vacancies, while other segments of the commercial real estate market have experienced weaker fundamentals. Currently, a large portion of our portfolio is in the retail sector. As of December 31, 2005, our CRE loan portfolio, including construction loans, was comprised of the following property types:

| Loan Type | % of Loan | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | \$ Outstanding (In millions) | Portfolio |
| Retail | \$ 156 | 23% |
| Office | \$ 131 | 20% |
| Industrial | \$ 61 | 9% |
| Hotel/Casino | \$ 21 | 3% |
| Restaurant/Bar | \$ 11 | 2% |
| Other | \$ 19 | 3% |
| Total | \$ 399 | 60% |

We have historically maintained very strong credit quality. We generally underwrite loans with a minimum equity position of 25% (or a maximum loan to value of 75%) and a minimum debt coverage ratio of 1.25:1. We also seek to lend to developers who have already entered into leases for the subject property, however, we are willing to provide loans for speculative development but only if the borrower has the ability to service the debt independent of the anticipated success of the subject property and can demonstrate such ability to our satisfaction.

Table of Contents

We have also increased our focus on owner-occupied real estate. Consistent with our strategic plan to reduce our cost of funds, we have found this often includes many core business opportunities with professionals such as attorneys, engineers, architects, doctors and dentists. These types of businesses also provide reliable depository relationships.

We have been active in both the construction lending and permanent financing of our CRE portfolio. Construction and raw land loans are short term in nature and generally do not exceed 18 months. Permanent commitments are primarily restricted to no greater than 10 year maturities with rate adjustment periods every 36 months when fixed commitments exist.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. We offer a variety of commercial loan products including lines of credit for working capital, term loans for capital expenditures and commercial stand-by letters of credit. As of December 31, 2005, we had \$126 million of C&I loans outstanding and C&I commitments of \$68 million. Lines of credit typically have a 12-month commitment and will be secured by the trading asset that is being financed. In cases of larger commitments, a borrowing base certificate may be required to determine eligible collateral and advance parameters. Term loans seldom exceed 60 months, but in no case, exceed the depreciable life of the tangible asset being financed.

We are a Preferred Lender with the Small Business Administration, or SBA. Our strategic plan calls for a much greater production of SBA loans. We offer both SBA 7a and SBA 504 programs. Under the 7a program, loans up to \$150,000 are guaranteed up to 85% by the SBA. Under current SBA guidelines, 7a loans in excess of \$150,000 but not in excess of \$2,000,000 are guaranteed up to 75% by the SBA. Generally, this guarantee may become invalid only if the loan does not meet the SBA documentation guidelines. Subject to balance sheet needs and premium yields, we anticipate strong growth in this product and a continued philosophy to increase non interest income by selling these assets. We will continue to service the loans for a fee.

In 2002, we introduced our Express Loan program. All commercial credits less than \$250,000 are underwritten by this department. We utilize credit scoring software to assist us with the credit decision process. This has resulted in far greater efficiency. Borrowers realize a 24-hour turn around time on loan decisions and have been willing to pay a premium for this service.

Residential Real Estate Loans. The majority of new home construction in the greater Las Vegas area is conducted by the large national/regional home builders that have direct access to capital markets. We have selectively participated with some of the small local home builders that continue to have success with in-fill parcels that have demonstrated high demand. As of December 31, 2005, our residential real estate loan portfolio was comprised of \$134 million in loans, including both acquisition and development loans and new home construction totaling \$64 million. These commitments extend up to 18 months and include both acquisition and development loans and new home construction. We do not participate in permanent mortgage financing in this segment, rather we have elected to broker these loans for a fee.

Consumer Lending. We have used consumer credit as a complementary product to our primary product line. Our approach to consumer credit is as a value added product for our business customers. We offer competitively priced products with an emphasis in the marketing towards the business owner and the work force of that client. Our products include home equity credit lines, automobile loans, personal lines of credit and home improvement loans.

Lending and Credit Policies

The Board of Directors of Community Bank of Nevada establishes our lending policies. The three key principles of our lending policies are (1) debt service coverage, (2) risk rating system and pricing for risk and (3) managed concentration levels.

Table of Contents

Debt Service Coverage. Our risk management philosophy is to extend credit only when an applicant has proven cash flow to service the proposed debt. Additionally, it is generally necessary for the applicant to demonstrate an independent secondary source of repayment.

Risk Rating System and Pricing for Risk. We have a risk rating system of eight categories that clearly define the fundamentals for each risk rating. At the time of origination, the underwriter assigns a risk rating and then it is reviewed periodically by credit administration. We use this system to manage levels of risk, pricing and forward thinking strategy for future extensions of certain loan categories. We introduced a relationship pricing model in 2003, which integrates our risk rating system and promotes the origination of highly profitable loans.

Managed Concentration Levels. We have established minimum levels of return on equity that varies by product type and concentration levels. We actively manage our pricing model, so that we have control over our concentrations in certain types of credits, so that if we near a policy guideline, we can adjust the hurdle rates based on our perceived concentration risk.

If a credit falls outside of the guidelines set forth in our lending policies, the loan is not approved until it is reviewed by a higher level of credit approval authority. Credit approval authority has three levels, as listed below from lowest to highest level. Based on the historical strong emphasis on business development, the Board of Directors of Community Bank of Nevada has intentionally kept approval authorities low to assure a high degree of secondary review for a credit consideration. Management believes that the current authority levels provide satisfactory management and a reasonable percentage of secondary review. Any conditions placed on loans in the approval process must be satisfied before our credit administration will release loan documentation for execution. Our credit administration works entirely independent of loan production and has full responsibility for all loan disbursements.

Individual Authorities. Selected loan officers have approval authority up to \$750,000 for secured loans and up to \$150,000 for unsecured transactions. The Chief Executive Officer, the President and Chief Operating Officer, and the Chief Credit Officer have approval authority of up to \$2,000,000 for secured loans and up to \$750,000 for unsecured loans.

Senior Loan Committee. The Senior Loan Committee consists of the Chief Executive Officer, the President and Chief Operating Officer, Chief Credit Officer and the managers of Commercial Lending and Commercial Real Estate. It has approval authority up to \$6,000,000 for secured loans and up to \$1,500,000 for unsecured loans.

Board Loan Committee. The Board Loan Committee consists of all of the members of the Board of Directors of Community Bank of Nevada. It has approval authority up to our legal lending limits. For the Bank, our legal lending limit was approximately \$20.9 million at December 31, 2005.

Loan Grading and Loan Review. We seek to quantify the risk in our lending portfolio by maintaining a loan grading system consisting of eight different categories (Grades 1-8). The grading system is used to determine, in part, the provision for loan losses. The first four grades in the system are considered satisfactory. The other four grades range from a Watch/Pass category to a Doubtful category. These four grades are further discussed below under the section subtitled Classified Assets.

The originating loan officer initially assigns a grade to each credit as part of the loan approval process. Such grade may be changed as a loan application moves through the approval process. In addition to any dollar limitations that may require higher credit approval authority, each loan over \$500,000 that is graded Watch/Pass or worse requires prior approval of the Board of Directors of Community Bank of Nevada.

After funding, all loans of \$250,000 or over are reviewed by the Executive Vice President/Credit Administrator who may assign a different grade to the credit. The grade on each individual loan is reviewed at least annually by the loan officer overseeing the credit. The Board of Directors of Community Bank of Nevada

Table of Contents

reviews monthly the aggregate amount of all loans graded as special mention, substandard or doubtful, and each individual loan over \$200,000 that has a grade within such range. Additionally, changes in the grade for a loan may occur through any of the following means:

random reviews of the loan portfolio conducted by loan administration;

annual reviews conducted by an outside loan reviewer;

bank regulatory examinations;

monthly action plans submitted to loan administration by the responsible lending officers for each credit graded 5-8; or

at the monthly credit risk managers meeting if a loan is exhibiting certain delinquency tendencies.

Loan Delinquencies. When a borrower fails to make a committed payment, we attempt to cure the deficiency by contacting the borrower to seek payment. Habitual delinquencies and loans delinquent 30 days or more are reviewed at the monthly credit risk managers meeting for possible changes in grading.

Classified Assets. Federal regulations require that each insured bank classify its assets on a regular basis. In addition, in connection with examinations of insured institutions, examiners have authority to identify problem assets, and, if appropriate, classify them. We use grades 5-8 of our loan grading system to identify potential problem assets and consider substandard and doubtful grades. There were \$4.7 million, \$1.5 million, \$3.1 million and \$4.3 million in classified loans at December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The following describes grades 5-8 of our loan grading system:

Watch/Pass Grade 5. Generally these are assets that display negative trends or other causes for concern. This grade is regarded as a transition category. We will either upgrade the credit if meaningful progress is evident within six months, or downgrade the credit to a more severe grade as appropriate.

Special Mention Grade 6. These assets have potential weaknesses that may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects and, therefore, deserve the attention of management. Usually, these assets are long-term problems that are likely to remain and require management action plans. These loans exhibit an increasing reliance on collateral for repayment.

Substandard Grade 7. These assets are inadequately protected by the current worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Although loss may not be imminent, if the weaknesses are not corrected, there is a good possibility that we will sustain some loss.

Doubtful Grade 8. These assets have all the weaknesses inherent in an asset classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, condition and values, highly questionable and improbable. At the point where a loss is identified, all or that portion deemed a loss is immediately classified as Loss and charged off.

Risk Management

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Our strategic plan continues to promote a growth rate commensurate with the rapid growth of southern Nevada. We are equally committed to maintaining internal controls to manage the risk associated with such growth. Our Risk Management Committee has defined our most significant risks and measures the trends from low to high. The committee has identified credit risk and operational risk as the two areas that could have the greatest impact on capital.

To mitigate and proactively manage these areas of risk, we have established sound procedures and committed experienced human resources to this effort.

Table of Contents

We have focused on enhancing three functions:

Credit Administration continues to be enhanced by seasoned lenders (underwriting) and administrative staff (post-closing review). Credit Administration maintains all credit policies and procedures, loan documentation, disbursement of loan proceeds concentration analysis and to review the integrity of the credit risk rating system.

Operations Support has the primary responsibility to manage the identified risks such as wires, check fraud, Bank Secrecy Act and identity theft. Additionally, they ensure we are compliant with all applicable laws and regulations.

The Audit and Compliance department has also been expanded under the direction of the Audit Committee. Also, we have hired an Executive Vice President/Chief Risk Manager, as well as additional audit staff. This staff of professionals regularly examines all of the areas of known risk and reports the findings to Executive Management and the Audit Committee.

We believe that the established organization allows management to maintain an accurate understanding of risk levels at all times. With this level of understanding, strategic plans are developed with the necessary risk parameters to adequately protect our capital.

Investment Activities

Our investment strategy is designed to be complementary to and interactive with our other activities (i.e. cash position; borrowed funds; quality, maturity, stability and earnings of loans; nature and stability of deposits; capital and tax planning). The target percentage for our investment portfolio is between 10% and 25% of total assets. At December 31, 2005, our total investment portfolio was 10.6%. Our general objectives with respect to our investment portfolio are to:

achieve an acceptable asset/liability gap position (based on our separate policy related to asset/liability management that provides guidance for how investments are to be used to manage asset/liability gaps);

provide a suitable balance of quality and diversification to our assets;

provide liquidity necessary to meet cyclical and long-term changes in the mix of assets and liabilities;

provide a stable flow of dependable earnings;

maintain collateral for pledging requirements;

manage interest rate risk;

comply with regulatory and accounting standards; and

provide funds for local community needs.

Investment securities consist primarily of US government agency issues, municipal bonds and mortgage-backed securities. In addition, for bank liquidity purposes, we use Federal Funds Sold, which are temporary overnight sales of excess funds to correspondent banks.

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

All of our investment securities are classified as available for sale or held to maturity pursuant to SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. Available for sale securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and instead reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Held to maturity securities are those securities that we have both the intent and the ability to hold to maturity. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount.

Our securities portfolio is managed in accordance with guidelines set by our Investment Policy. Specific day-to-day transactions affecting the securities portfolio are managed by our Chief Financial Officer. In

Table of Contents

accordance with our written Investment Policy, all executions also require the prior written approval of the Chief Executive Officer or President. These securities activities are reviewed monthly or more often, as needed, by our Investment Committee and are reported monthly to our Board of Directors.

Our Investment Policy addresses strategies, types and levels of allowable investments and is reviewed and approved annually by our Board of Directors. Our Investment Policy authorizes us to invest in a variety of investment securities, subject to various limitations. It also limits the amount we can invest in various types of securities, places limits on average life and duration of securities, and limits the securities dealers with whom we can conduct business.

Concentrations/Customers

No individual or single group of related accounts is considered material in relation to our assets or deposits or in relation to our overall business. However, approximately 80% of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2005 consisted of real estate-secured loans, including commercial loans secured by real estate, construction loans and real estate mortgage loans. Moreover, our business activities are focused in the greater Las Vegas area. Consequently, our business is dependent on the trends of this regional economy and, in particular, the commercial and residential real estate markets. At December 31, 2005, we had 161 loans in excess of \$1 million each, totaling \$493 million. These loans comprise approximately 13.1% of our loan portfolio by number of loans and 74.4% by total loans outstanding. Not including credit card and consumer overdraft lines and purchased participation loans, our average loan size is approximately \$545,000.

Deposit Products and Other Sources of Funds

Our primary sources of funds for use in our lending and investing activities consist of:

deposits;

maturities and principal and interest payments on loans and securities;

other borrowings; and

funds down-streamed into Community Bank of Nevada, from time to time by Community Bancorp.

We closely monitor rates and terms of competing sources of funds and utilize those sources that we believe to be the most cost effective, consistent with our asset and liability management policies.

Deposits. An important balance sheet component impacting our net interest margin is the composition and cost of our deposit base. We can improve our net interest margin to the extent that growth in deposits can be focused in the less volatile and somewhat more traditional core deposits, or total deposits less CDs greater than \$100,000, commonly referred to as Jumbo CDs. We attempt to price our deposit products in order to promote deposit growth and satisfy our liquidity requirements and offer a variety of deposit products in order to satisfy our customers needs. At December 31, 2005, we had no single customer whose total average deposits were 10% or more of the Company's total average deposits.

We provide a wide array of deposit products. We have historically relied upon, and expect to continue to rely upon, deposits to satisfy our needs for sources of funds. We offer regular checking, savings, NOW and money market deposit accounts; fixed-rate, fixed maturity retail CDs ranging in terms from 30 days to two years; individual retirement accounts. For business customers, we provide courier service to pick up non-cash deposits, and for those customers that use large amounts of cash, we arrange for armored car and vault service. We are not reliant on escrow deposits, which constitute less than 3% of total deposits.

We intend to continue our efforts at attracting deposits from our business lending relationships in order to reduce our cost of funds and improve our net interest margin. Also, we believe that we have the ability to attract

Table of Contents

sufficient additional funding by re-pricing the yields on our CDs and/or obtaining brokered deposits in order to meet loan demands during times that growth in core deposits differs from loan demand.

In addition to our traditional marketing methods, we attract new clients and deposits by:

expanding long-term business customer relationships, including referrals from our customers, and

building deposit relationships through our branch relationship officers who are compensated based upon the profitability of such relationships.

Other Borrowings. We may occasionally use our Federal Funds lines of credit to support liquidity needs created by seasonal deposit flows, to temporarily satisfy funding needs from increased loan demand, and for other short-term purposes. We have three Fed funds lines with other financial institutions pursuant to which we can borrow up to \$19 million on an unsecured basis. These lines may be terminated by the respective lending institutions at any time.

We also borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank, or FHLB, pursuant to an agreement to obtain advances based on a blanket lien on all loans secured by real estate and all business loans. As of December 31, 2005, we had \$16 million in short term advances, of which \$1.0 million was acquired with Bank of Commerce. In 2005, we obtained a \$15 million short term advance for funding purposes associated with the increase in our loan portfolio.

Employees

We had a total of 157 full-time and 9 part-time employees at December 31, 2005.

Competition

The banking and financial services business in Clark County, Nevada, generally, and in the greater Las Vegas area, in particular, is highly competitive. This increasingly competitive environment primarily comes as a result of growth in community banks, changes in regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems, and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial services providers. We compete for loans, deposits and customers with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, securities and brokerage companies, mortgage companies, insurance companies, finance companies, money market funds, credit unions, and other non-bank financial service providers. Many of these competitors are much larger in total assets and capitalization, have greater access to capital markets and offer a broader range of financial services than we can offer.

Competition for deposit and loan products remains strong from both banking and non-banking firms and this competition directly affects the rates of those products and the terms on which they are offered to consumers.

Mergers between financial institutions have placed additional pressure on banks to consolidate their operations, reduce expenses and increase revenues to remain competitive. In addition, competition has intensified due to federal and state interstate banking laws, which permit banking organizations to expand geographically with fewer restrictions than in the past. These laws allow banks to merge with other banks across state lines, thereby enabling banks to establish or expand banking operations in our market. The competitive environment is also significantly impacted by federal and state legislation that make it easier for non-bank financial institutions to compete with us.

Technological innovation continues to contribute to greater competition in domestic and international financial services markets. Many customers now expect a choice of several delivery systems and channels, including telephone, mail, home computers and ATMs.

Table of Contents

Effect of Governmental Policies and Recent Legislation

Banking is a business that depends on rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest rate we pay on our deposits and our other borrowings and the interest rate we receive on loans extended to our customers and securities held in our portfolio comprise the major portion of our earnings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control. Accordingly, our earnings and growth are subject to the influence of domestic and foreign economic conditions, including inflation, recession and unemployment.

The commercial banking business is not only affected by general economic conditions but is also influenced by monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and the policies of regulatory agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as curbing inflation and combating recession) by its open-market operations in United States Government securities, by adjusting the required level of reserves for financial institutions subject to its reserve requirements and by varying the discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the Federal Reserve in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments and deposits and also affect interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. The nature and impact of any future changes in monetary policies cannot be predicted.

From time to time, legislation is enacted which has the effect of increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial institutions. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of banks, bank holding companies and other financial institutions are frequently made in Congress, in the Nevada legislature and before various bank regulatory and other professional agencies.

Supervision and Regulation

The following discussion is only intended to provide summaries of significant statutes and regulations that affect the banking industry and is therefore not complete. Changes in applicable laws or regulations, and in the policies of regulators, may have a material effect on our business and prospects. We cannot accurately predict the nature or extent of the effects on our business and earnings that fiscal or monetary policies, or new federal or state laws, may have in the future.

General

We are extensively regulated under federal and state law. These laws and regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors, not shareholders. The discussion below describes and summarizes certain statutes and regulations. These descriptions and summaries are qualified in their entirety by reference to the particular statute or regulation. Changes in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on our business and prospects. Our operations may also be affected by changes in the policies of banking and other government regulators. We cannot accurately predict the nature or extent of the possible future effects on our business and earnings of changes in fiscal or monetary policies, or new federal or state laws and regulations.

Compliance

In order to assure that we are in compliance with the laws and regulations that apply to our operations, including those summarized below, in 2005, we hired a Chief Risk Manager and staffed an internal audit department. We also employ a Compliance Officer. We are regularly reviewed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Federal Reserve, and the Nevada Department of Business and Industry, Financial Institutions Division, or the Nevada FID, during which reviews such agencies assess our compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Based on the assessments of our outside compliance auditors and the Federal Reserve and Nevada FID, we believe that we materially comply with all of the laws and regulations that apply to our operations.

Table of Contents

Federal Bank Holding Company Regulation

General. Community Bancorp is a registered financial holding company as defined in the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or the Bank Holding Company Act, and is therefore subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve. In general, the Bank Holding Company Act limits the business of bank holding companies to owning or controlling banks and engaging in other activities closely related to banking. Community Bancorp must file reports with the Federal Reserve and must provide it with such additional information as it may require.

The Federal Reserve may require Community Bancorp to terminate an activity or terminate control or liquidate or divest certain subsidiaries, affiliates or investments when the Federal Reserve believes the activity or the control of the subsidiary or affiliates constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any of its banking subsidiaries.

The Federal Reserve also has the authority to regulate provisions of certain bank holding company debt, including the authority to impose interest ceilings and reserve requirements on such debt. Under certain circumstances, Community Bancorp must file written notice and obtain Federal Reserve approval prior to purchasing or redeeming its equity securities. Additionally, Community Bancorp is required by the Federal Reserve to maintain certain levels of capital. See *Capital Adequacy* below for a discussion of the applicable federal capital requirements.

Financial Holding Company Status. Under the Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999, a bank holding company may apply to the Federal Reserve to become a financial holding company, and thereby engage (directly or through a subsidiary) in certain activities deemed financial in nature. Community Bancorp was approved as a financial holding company on May 5, 2004.

As a financial holding company, Community Bancorp may affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and engage in other activities that are financial in nature or are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature. Activities that are financial in nature include:

securities underwriting;

dealing and market making;

sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;

insurance underwriting and brokerage;

merchant banking; and

activities that the Federal Reserve, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines from time to time to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

In order to remain a financial holding company, Community Bank of Nevada must be well capitalized, well managed, and, except in limited circumstances, in satisfactory compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. Failure to sustain compliance with such requirements or correct any non-compliance within a fixed time period could lead to divestiture of subsidiary banks or require us to conform all of our activities to those permissible for a bank holding company. A bank holding company that is not also a financial holding company can only engage in banking and such other activities determined by the Federal Reserve to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

We do not believe that the Financial Services Modernization Act will negatively affect our operations in the short term. However, to the extent the legislation permits banks, securities firms and insurance companies to affiliate, the financial services industry may experience further consolidation. This consolidation could result in a growing number of larger financial institutions that offer a wider variety of financial services

than we currently offer, and these companies may be able to aggressively compete in the markets we currently serve.

Table of Contents

Holding Company Bank Ownership. The Bank Holding Company Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before (1) acquiring, directly or indirectly, ownership or control of any voting shares of another bank or bank holding company if, after such acquisition, it would own or control more than 5% of such shares, (2) acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of another bank or bank holding company or (3) merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

Holding Company Control of Nonbanks. With some exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act also prohibits a bank holding company from acquiring or retaining direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company which is not a bank or bank holding company, or from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or providing services for its subsidiaries. The principal exceptions to these prohibitions involve certain non-bank activities which, by statute or by Federal Reserve regulation or order, have been identified as activities closely related to the business of banking or of managing or controlling banks.

Transactions with Affiliates. Subsidiary banks of a bank holding company are subject to restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on extensions of credit to the holding company or its subsidiaries, on investments in their securities and on the use of their securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. These regulations and restrictions may limit Community Bancorp's ability to obtain funds from Community Bank of Nevada for its cash needs, including funds for payment of dividends, interest and operational expenses.

Tying Arrangements. We are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, sale or lease of property or furnishing of services. For example, with certain exceptions, neither Community Bancorp nor Community Bank of Nevada may condition an extension of credit to a customer on either (1) a requirement that the customer obtain additional services provided by us or (2) an agreement by the customer to refrain from obtaining other services from a competitor.

Support of Subsidiary Banks. Under Federal Reserve policy, Community Bancorp is expected to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to Community Bank of Nevada. This means that Community Bancorp is required to commit, as necessary, resources to support Community Bank of Nevada. Any capital loans a bank holding company makes to its subsidiary banks are subordinate to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of those subsidiary banks.

State Law Restrictions. As a Nevada corporation, Community Bancorp is subject to certain limitations and restrictions under applicable Nevada corporate law. For example, state law restrictions in Nevada include limitations and restrictions relating to indemnification of directors, maintenance of books, records and minutes, and observance of certain corporate formalities.

Regulation as a Public Company. Community Bancorp's common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. As such, we are subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading, corporate governance, and other requirements and restrictions of such Act.

Federal and State Regulation of Community Bank of Nevada

General. Community Bank of Nevada is a Nevada state chartered commercial bank with deposits insured by the FDIC. The bank is also a member of the Federal Reserve System. As a result, Community Bank of Nevada is subject to supervision and regulation by the Nevada FID and the Federal Reserve. These agencies have the authority to prohibit banks from engaging in activities that constitute unsafe or unsound banking practices.

Lending Limits. State banking law generally limits the amount of funds that a bank may lend to a single borrower to 25% of stockholders' equity and allowance for loan and lease losses.

Control of Financial Institutions. Nevada banking laws require that changes in ownership of 10% or more of a bank's outstanding voting stock must be reported to the Nevada FID within three business days. If 20% or more

Table of Contents

of the bank's voting power is acquired by a natural person, or 10% or more is acquired by an entity, then the acquisition may be deemed a change in control requiring prior approval of the Nevada FID.

Community Reinvestment. The Community Reinvestment Act requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within their jurisdiction, the Federal Reserve evaluate the record of the financial institution in meeting the credit needs of its local communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility.

Insider Credit Transactions. Banks are also subject to certain Federal Reserve restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal shareholders or any related interests of such persons (i.e., insiders). Extensions of credit (1) must be made on substantially the same terms and pursuant to the same credit underwriting procedures as those for comparable transactions with persons who are neither insiders nor employees, and (2) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. Banks are also subject to certain lending limits and restrictions on overdrafts to insiders. A violation of these restrictions may result in regulatory sanctions on the bank or its insiders.

Regulation of Management. Federal law sets forth circumstances under which officers or directors of a bank may be removed by the institution's federal supervisory agency. Federal law also prohibits management personnel of a bank from serving as a director or in a management position of another financial institution whose assets exceed a specified amount or which has an office within a specified geographic area.

Safety and Soundness Standards. Federal law imposes upon banks certain non-capital safety and soundness standards. These standards cover internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation and benefits. Additional standards apply to asset quality, earnings and stock valuation. An institution that fails to meet these standards must develop a plan acceptable to its regulators, specifying the steps that the institution will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. Under Nevada state law, if the stockholders' equity of a Nevada state chartered bank becomes impaired, the Commissioner of the Nevada FID will require the bank to make the impairment good. Failure to make the impairment good may result in the Commissioner's taking possession of the bank and liquidating it.

Dividends. The principal source of Community Bancorp's cash reserves are dividends received from Community Bank of Nevada. Nevada law imposes certain restrictions on a bank's ability to pay dividends and prohibits a bank from paying dividends if doing so would reduce its stockholders' equity below (i) the initial stockholders' equity of the bank, or (ii) 6% of the total deposit liability of the bank, as determined by the Nevada FID.

Regulations of the Federal Reserve also govern the payment of dividends by a state member bank. Under Federal Reserve regulations, dividends may not be paid unless both capital and earnings limitations have been met. First, no dividend may be paid if it would result in a withdrawal of capital or exceed the member bank's net profits then on hand, after deducting its losses and bad debts. Exceptions to this limitation are available only upon the prior approval of the Federal Reserve and the approval of two-thirds of the member bank's shareholders which, in the case of Community Bank of Nevada, would require our approval, as the sole shareholder of Community Bank of Nevada. Second, a state member bank may not pay a dividend without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve if the total of all dividends declared in one calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total of net income for that year plus the preceding two calendar years less any required transfers to surplus under state or federal law.

In addition, a bank may not pay cash dividends if doing so would reduce its capital below minimum applicable federal capital requirements. See Capital Adequacy below for a discussion of the applicable federal capital requirements.

Table of Contents

Predatory Lending

The term predatory lending, much like the terms safety and soundness and unfair and deceptive practices, is far-reaching and covers a potentially broad range of behavior. As such, it does not lend itself to a concise or a comprehensive definition. But predatory lending typically involves at least one, and perhaps all three, of the following elements:

making unaffordable loans based on the assets of the borrower rather than on the borrower's ability to repay an obligation, or asset-based lending;

inducing a borrower to refinance a loan repeatedly in order to charge high points and fees each time the loan is refinanced, or loan flipping; and

engaging in fraud or deception to conceal the true nature of the loan obligation from an unsuspecting or unsophisticated borrower. Federal Reserve regulations aimed at curbing such lending significantly widened the pool of high-cost home-secured loans covered by the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994, a federal law that requires extra disclosures and consumer protections to borrowers. The following triggers coverage under the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994:

interest rates for first lien mortgage loans in excess of 8 percentage points above comparable Treasury securities,

subordinate-lien loans of 10 percentage points above Treasury securities, and

fees such as optional insurance and similar debt protection costs paid in connection with the credit transaction, when combined with points and fees if deemed excessive.

In addition, the regulation bars loan flipping by the same lender or loan servicer within a year. Lenders also will be presumed to have violated the law, stating loans should not be made to those unable to repay them, unless documentation is maintained proving that the borrower has the ability to repay the loan. Lenders that violate these regulations face cancellation of loans and penalties equal to the finance charges paid. We do not expect these rules and potential state action in this area to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Privacy

Federal banking laws limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose nonpublic information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Pursuant to these rules, financial institutions must provide:

initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;

annual notices of their privacy policies to current customers; and

a reasonable method for customers to opt out of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

These privacy provisions affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors. We have implemented its privacy policies in accordance with the laws.

Interstate Banking and Branching

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994, or the Interstate Act, generally authorizes interstate branching. Currently, bank holding companies may purchase banks in any state, and banks

Table of Contents

may merge with banks in other states, as long as the home state of neither merging bank has opted out under the legislation. The Interstate Act requires regulators to consult with community organizations before permitting an interstate institution to close a branch in a low-income area.

Nevada has enacted opting in legislation authorizing interstate mergers pursuant to the Interstate Act. The Nevada statute permits out-of-state banks and bank holding companies meeting certain requirements to maintain and operate the Nevada branches of a Nevada bank with which the out-of-state company engaged in an interstate combination. An out-of-state depository without a branch in Nevada, or an out-of-state holding company without a depository institution in Nevada, must first acquire the Nevada institution itself or its charter, before it can establish a de novo branch or acquire a Nevada branch through merger.

Deposit Insurance

Community Bank of Nevada's deposits are currently insured to a maximum of \$100,000 per depositor through the Bank Insurance Fund administered by the FDIC. Community Bank of Nevada is required to pay deposit insurance premiums, which are assessed semiannually and paid quarterly. The premium amount is based upon a risk classification system established by the FDIC. Banks with higher levels of capital and a low degree of supervisory concern are assessed lower premiums than banks with lower levels of capital or a higher degree of supervisory concern.

The FDIC is also empowered to make special assessments on insured depository institutions in amounts determined by the FDIC to be necessary to give it adequate assessment income to repay amounts borrowed from the U.S. Treasury and other sources or for any other purpose the FDIC deems necessary.

Congress passed a recent bill that merges the Bank Insurance Fund with the Savings Association Insurance Fund, which was the equivalent insurance fund managed by the FDIC for savings institutions. The bill also increases insurance amounts for certain retirement accounts. In future years, the insurance provided for deposit accounts will be increased by an inflation index. We do not believe this recent bill will increase our deposit premiums.

Capital Adequacy

Regulatory Capital Guidelines. Federal bank regulatory agencies use capital adequacy guidelines in the examination and regulation of bank holding companies and banks. The guidelines are risk-based, meaning that they are designed to make capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies.

Tier I and Tier II Capital. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, the capital of an institution is divided into two broad categories, Tier I capital and Tier II capital. Tier I capital generally consists of common stockholders' equity, surplus and undivided profits. Tier II capital generally consists of the allowance for loan losses, hybrid capital instruments, and subordinated debt. The sum of Tier I capital and Tier II capital represents an institution's total capital. The guidelines require that at least 50% of an institution's total capital consist of Tier I capital.

Risk-based Capital Ratios. The adequacy of an institution's capital is gauged primarily with reference to the institution's risk-weighted assets. The guidelines assign risk weightings to an institution's assets in an effort to quantify the relative risk of each asset and to determine the minimum capital required to support that risk. These risk weighted assets are then compared with its Tier I capital and total capital to arrive at a Tier I risk-based ratio and a total risk-based ratio, respectively. The guidelines provide that an institution must have a minimum Tier I risk-based ratio of 4%, and a minimum total risk-based ratio of 8%.

Leverage Ratio. The guidelines also employ a leverage ratio, which is Tier I capital as a percentage of total assets less intangibles, to be used as a supplement to risk-based guidelines. The principal objective of the

Table of Contents

leverage ratio is to constrain the maximum degree to which a bank holding company may leverage its equity capital base. The minimum leverage ratio is 3%; however, for all but the most highly-rated bank holding companies and for bank holding companies seeking to expand, regulators generally expect an additional 1% to 2%.

Prompt Corrective Action. Under the guidelines, an institution is assigned to one of five capital categories depending on its total risk-based capital ratio, Tier I risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio, together with certain subjective factors. The categories range from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. Institutions that are deemed to be undercapitalized, depending on the category to which they are assigned, are subject to certain mandatory supervisory corrective actions. Both Community Bancorp and Community Bank of Nevada were well capitalized as of December 31, 2005.

Corporate Governance and Accounting Legislation

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. On July 30, 2002, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or SOX, was signed into law to address corporate and accounting fraud. SOX establishes a new accounting oversight board that will enforce auditing standards and restricts the scope of services that accounting firms may provide to their public company audit clients. Among other things, SOX also (i) requires chief executive officers and chief financial officers to certify to the accuracy of periodic reports filed with the SEC; (ii) imposes new disclosure requirements regarding internal controls, off-balance-sheet transactions and pro forma (non-GAAP) disclosures; (iii) accelerates the time frame for reporting of insider transactions and periodic disclosures by public companies; and (iv) requires companies to disclose whether or not they have adopted a code of ethics for senior financial officers and whether the audit committee includes at least one audit committee financial expert.

Under SOX, the SEC is required to regularly and systematically review corporate filings, based on certain enumerated factors. To deter wrongdoing, SOX: (i) subjects bonuses issued to top executives to disgorgement if a restatement of a company's financial statements was due to corporate misconduct; (ii) prohibits an officer or director from misleading or coercing an auditor; (iii) prohibits insider trades during pension fund blackout periods; (iv) imposes new criminal penalties for fraud and other wrongful acts; and (v) extends the period during which certain securities fraud lawsuits can be brought against a company or its officers.

As a public reporting company, we are subject to the requirements of SOX and related rules and regulations issued by the SEC and NASDAQ. We have incurred, and anticipate that we will continue to incur, additional expense as a result of SOX, but we do not expect that such compliance will have a material impact on our business. In addition, other non-interest expense items, including professional expenses and other costs related to compliance with the reporting requirements of the securities laws have also significantly increased, and will be expected to continue to increase. In our first full year as a public company, we implemented Section 404 of SOX, which included additional internal audit staff, as well as the assistance of a consulting company.

Anti-terrorism Legislation

USA Patriot Act of 2001. In October 2001, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism, or the Patriot Act, of 2001 was signed. Among other things, the Patriot Act (i) prohibits banks from providing correspondent accounts directly to foreign shell banks; (ii) imposes due diligence requirements on banks opening or holding accounts for foreign financial institutions or wealthy foreign individuals; (iii) requires financial institutions to establish an anti-money-laundering compliance program; and (iv) eliminates civil liability for persons who file suspicious activity reports. The Patriot Act also increases governmental powers to investigate terrorism, including expanded government access to account records. The U.S. Department of the Treasury is empowered to administer and make rules to implement the Patriot Act. Congress recently passed a bill extending portions of the Patriot Act, and President Bush is expected to sign such bill into law. While we believe the Patriot Act may, to some degree, affect our recordkeeping and reporting expenses, we do not believe that it will have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

Table of Contents

Bank Secrecy Act

The Financial Recordkeeping and Reporting of Currency and Foreign Transactions Act of 1970 (the Bank Secrecy Act) is a disclosure law that forms the basis of the US federal government's framework to prevent and detect money laundering and to deter other criminal enterprises. Following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, an additional purpose was added to the Bank Secrecy Act: To assist in the conduct of intelligence or counter-intelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism. Under the Bank Secrecy Act, financial institutions such as Community Bank of Nevada are required to maintain certain records and file certain reports regarding domestic currency transactions and cross-border transportations of currency. This, in turn, allows law enforcement officials to create a paper trail for tracing illicit funds that resulted from drug trafficking or other criminal activities. Among other requirements, the Bank Secrecy Act requires financial institutions to report imports and exports of currency in the amount of \$10,000 or more and, in general, all cash transactions of \$10,000 or more. We have established a Bank Secrecy Act compliance policy under which, among other precautions, we keep currency transaction reports to document cash transactions in excess of \$10,000 or in multiples totaling more than \$10,000 during one business day, monitor certain potentially suspicious transactions such as the exchange of a large number of small denomination bills for large denomination bills, and scrutinize electronic funds transfers for Bank Secrecy Act compliance.

Nonbank Entities

We have two nonbank entities: Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I and Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust II, both Connecticut statutory trusts. These entities are subject to the laws and regulations of both the federal government and the state in which they conduct business.

Where You Can Find More Information

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Sections 13 and 15(d), periodic and current reports must be filed with the SEC. We electronically file the following reports with the SEC: Form 10-K (Annual Report), Form 10-Q (Quarterly Report), Form 8-K (Current Report), and Form DEF 14A (Proxy Statement). We may file additional forms. The SEC maintains an Internet site, www.sec.gov, in which all forms filed electronically may be accessed. Additionally, all forms filed with the SEC and additional shareholder information is available free of charge on our website: www.communitybanknv.com. We post these reports to our website as soon as reasonably practicable after filing them with the SEC. None of the information on or hyperlinked from our website is incorporated into this Report.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock is subject to risks inherent to our business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes may affect our business are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this Report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing our business. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair our business operations. This Report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of our common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Factors Relating to Our Market and to Our Business

A deterioration in economic conditions and a slow down in growth generally, as well as a slowdown in gaming and tourism activities in particular, could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Such deterioration could result in a variety of adverse consequences to us, including a reduction in net income and the following:

loan delinquencies may increase, which would cause us to increase loan loss provisions;

problem assets and foreclosures may increase, which could result in higher operating expenses, as well as possible increases in our loan loss provisions;

demand for our products and services may decline including specifically, the demand for loans, which would cause our revenues, which include net interest income and non-interest income, to decline; and

collateral for loans made by us may decline in value, reducing a customer's borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our loans, which could cause decreases in net interest income and increasing loan loss provisions.

The greater Las Vegas area economy has grown dramatically during the past several years. The failure of this economy to sustain such growth in the future could seriously affect our ability to grow and to be profitable.

Our assets have enjoyed substantial growth with an annual compounded growth rate of 30.9% for the four-year period ending December 31, 2005. In large part, our growth has been fueled by the significant growth in the greater Las Vegas area. Diminished growth of this market in the future could have a significant adverse impact on our continued growth and profitability.

While the current economic forecasts prepared by CBER remain optimistic about the future growth of Las Vegas, albeit at lower growth rates than have recently been experienced, there are uncertainties in the economy, besides tourism and gaming discussed below, such as limitations on water, the continued measured availability of land from the Bureau of Land Management, infrastructure strains, increasing costs of housing, and tax and budgetary pressures, which may hamper future growth.

Our market area is substantially dependent on gaming and tourism revenue, and a downturn in gaming or tourism could seriously hurt our business and our prospects

Our business is currently concentrated in the greater Las Vegas area which has an economy unique in the United States for its level of dependence on services and industries related to gaming and tourism. Any event that negatively impacts the tourism or gaming industry will adversely impact the Las Vegas economy.

Table of Contents

Gaming and tourism revenue (whether or not such tourism is directly related to gaming) is vulnerable to various factors. A prolonged downturn in the national economy could have a significant adverse effect on the economy of the Las Vegas area. Virtually any development or event that could dissuade travel or spending related to gaming and tourism, whether inside or outside of Las Vegas, could adversely affect the Las Vegas economy. In this regard, the Las Vegas economy is more susceptible than the economies of other cities to issues such as higher gasoline and other fuel prices, increased airfares, unemployment levels, recession, rising interest rates, and other economic conditions, whether domestic or foreign.

An expansion of permissible gaming activities in other states, particularly in California, may lead to a decline in gaming revenue in Las Vegas, which could hurt our business and our prospects.

Las Vegas competes with other areas of the country for gaming revenue, and it is possible that the expansion of gaming operations in other states, as a result of changes in laws or otherwise, could significantly reduce gaming revenue in the greater Las Vegas area. This is particularly true of gaming operations in California, a state from which Nevada generally, and Las Vegas in particular, draw substantial year-round visitors. Agreements negotiated between the State of California and certain Indian tribes as well as other proposals currently under consideration in California may result in substantial additional casinos throughout the state. In addition, other California legislative proposals could permit an expansion of gaming activities allowed in card clubs, including the addition of slot machines. A dramatic growth in casino gaming in California or other states could have a substantial adverse effect on gaming revenue in Nevada, including the Las Vegas area, which would adversely affect the Las Vegas economy and our business.

A terrorist act, or the mere threat of a terrorist act, may adversely affect the Las Vegas economy and may cause substantial harm to our business.

Gaming and tourism are also susceptible to certain political conditions or events, such as military hostilities and acts of terrorism, whether domestic or foreign. The effects of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, on gaming and tourism in Las Vegas were substantial for a few months. Reduced civilian air traffic in large part caused a reduction in revenue and employee layoffs in many hotels and casinos. This resulted in a substantial loss of revenues for these businesses. Any direct attack on locations in Las Vegas would likely have an even greater adverse impact on our local economy.

Future growth of the greater Las Vegas area is dependent, among other things, on the availability of water, and any restrictions imposed by the government on water consumption could curtail future development, which has been a source of growth in our loan portfolio.

Future development in the greater Las Vegas area is subject to the availability of water. According to the Rocky Mountain Institute, Las Vegas has one of the highest per-capita rates of water consumption in the nation. Based upon an August 2003 U.S. Geological Survey, inflows into Lake Mead and Lake Powell on the Colorado River have been below average since the start of a persistent drought in the western United States in 2000. In 2003, Lake Mead, the primary water supply for Las Vegas, dropped to its lowest level in more than three decades. We cannot assure that governmental officials will not impose building moratoriums, restrictive building requirements, water conservation measures, or other measures to address water shortages in the future. Such restrictions could curtail future development, which has been a source of growth in our loan portfolio, or make living conditions less desirable than current conditions, which could reduce the influx of new residents from current levels.

The value of real estate in the greater Las Vegas area is influenced by the distribution policies of the federal Bureau of Land Management. A change in such distribution policies could affect the value of real estate, which, in turn, could negatively affect our real estate loan portfolio.

Land values in Nevada are influenced by the amount of land sold by the federal Bureau of Land Management, which controls 67% of Nevada's land, according to the Nevada State Office of the Bureau of Land

Table of Contents

Management. Changes to the federal Bureau of Land Management distribution policies on Nevada land could adversely affect the value of Nevada real estate.

We have a high concentration of loans secured by real estate and a downturn in the real estate market, for any reason, could hurt our business and our prospects.

At December 31, 2005, 80% of our loan portfolio was comprised of loans secured by real estate. Raw land loans, which are included in the categories below, represent approximately 20% of our total loans secured by real estate. Of the loans secured by real estate, approximately:

59% are construction and land development loans, including raw land;

35% are commercial real estate loans; and

6% are residential real estate loans.

These real estate-secured loans are concentrated in the greater Las Vegas area. A downturn in the local economy could have a material adverse effect on a borrower's ability to repay these loans due to either loss of borrower's employment or a reduction in borrower's business. Further, such reduction in the local economy could severely impair the value of the real property held as collateral. As a result, the value of real estate collateral securing our loans could be reduced. Our ability to recover on defaulted loans by foreclosing and selling the real estate collateral would then be diminished and we would be more likely to suffer losses on defaulted loans.

In addition, banking regulators have recently issued proposed guidance regarding institutions that have particularly high concentrations of commercial real estate loans within their lending portfolios. This guidance suggests that institutions that exceed certain levels of commercial real estate lending may be required, in the future, to maintain higher capital ratios than institutions with lower concentrations in commercial real estate lending. If and when this proposed guidance becomes final, we may be subject to enhanced regulatory scrutiny and subject to higher capital requirements.

Factors Relating to Our Business

Our future success involves both our ability to grow and our ability to manage such growth. Additionally, we must continue to manage the risks inherent in the banking business. We may not be able to sustain our historical growth rates, be able to grow at all, or successfully manage any growth, whether or not the greater Las Vegas area economy continues to grow. This could result in a variety of adverse consequences to us, including the following:

inability to realize any benefit from our investment of resources made to support our future growth;

failure to attract or retain experienced commercial bankers or other key employees;

inability to maintain adequate controls and systems; and

failure to comply with applicable federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations.

We may not be able to continue our growth at the rate we have in the past several years.

We have grown from \$304 million in total assets, \$247 million in gross loans and \$277 million in total deposits at December 31, 2001, to \$893 million in total assets, \$663 million in gross loans and \$725 million in total deposits at December 31, 2005. Our business strategy calls for, among other things:

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

continued growth of our assets, loans, deposits and customer base;

expansion through acquisition or the establishment of new branches or banks in high growth markets, such as the greater Las Vegas area, or similar high growth markets in Arizona and California;

Table of Contents

recruitment of experienced commercial bankers and other key employees; and

effective leveraging of our capital.

However, we may encounter unanticipated obstacles in implementing our strategy. If we are unable to expand our business, as we anticipate based on our strategic plan, we may not be able to maintain profitability, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to sustain our historical growth rates.

A component of our business strategy is to expand into high growth markets by opening new branches or organizing new banks and/or acquiring of other financial institutions. We may not be able to successfully implement this part of our business strategy, and therefore our market value and profitability may suffer.

Growth through acquisitions of banks represents a component of our business strategy. Any future acquisitions will be, accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in acquisitions. These risks include, among other things:

difficulty of integrating the operations and personnel of acquired banks and branches;

potential disruption of our ongoing business;

inability of our management to maximize our financial and strategic position by the successful implementation of uniform product offerings and the incorporation of uniform technology into our product offerings and control systems; and

inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies and the impairment of relationships with employees and customers as a result of changes in management.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with acquisitions. Our inability to improve the operating performance of acquired banks or to integrate successfully their operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, we could incur substantial expenses, including the expenses of integrating the business of the acquired bank with our existing business.

We expect that competition for appropriate candidates may be significant. We may compete with other banks or financial service companies with similar acquisition strategies, many of which may be larger or have greater financial and other resources than we have. The purchase price of banks that might be attractive acquisition candidates for us may significantly exceed the fair values of their net assets. As a result, material goodwill and other intangible assets would be required to be recorded. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully identify and acquire suitable banks on acceptable terms and conditions.

Depending upon the structure of an acquisition and the consideration we may utilize, we may not seek your approval as a shareholder. Further, acquisitions may be structured to include cash consideration that may result in the depletion of a substantial portion of our available cash.

Besides the acquisition of existing financial institutions, we may consider the organization of new banks in high growth areas, especially in markets outside of the greater Las Vegas area such as California or Arizona. To date we have not specifically identified any market area where we plan to organize a new bank. Any organization of a new bank carries with it numerous risks, including the following:

inability to obtain all required regulatory approvals;

significant costs and anticipated operating losses during the application and organizational phases, and the first years of operations of the new bank;

inability to secure the services of qualified senior management;

local market may not accept the services of a new bank owned and managed by a bank holding company headquartered outside of the market area of the new bank; and

additional strain on management resources and internal systems and controls.

Table of Contents

Our growth could be hindered unless we are able to recruit additional, qualified employees. We may have difficulty attracting additional necessary personnel, which may divert resources and limit our ability to successfully expand our operations.

The greater Las Vegas area is experiencing a period of rapid growth, placing a premium on highly qualified employees in a number of industries, including the financial services industry. Our business plan includes, and is dependent upon, our hiring and retaining highly qualified and motivated executives and employees at every level, including a Chief Credit Officer, SBA management and support staff, experienced loan originators and branch managers. We expect to experience substantial competition in our endeavor to identify, hire and retain the top-quality employees. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified employees in the near term, we may be unable to successfully execute our business strategy and/or be unable to successfully manage our growth.

We believe that we have built our management team and personnel, and established an infrastructure, to support our current size. Our future success will depend on the ability of our executives and employees to continue to implement and improve our operational, financial and management controls and processes, reporting systems and procedures, and to manage a growing number of client relationships. We may not be able to successfully implement improvements to our management information and control systems and control procedures and processes in an efficient or timely manner. In particular, our controls and procedures must be able to accommodate an increase in expected loan volume and the infrastructure that comes with new branches.

We cannot assure you that our growth strategy will not place a strain on our administrative and operational infrastructure. If we are unable to locate additional personnel and to manage future expansion in our operations, we may experience compliance and operational issues, have to slow the pace of growth, or have to incur additional expenditures beyond current projections to support such growth, any one of which could adversely affect our business.

Our business would be harmed if we lost the services of any of our executive management team.

We believe that our success to date and our prospects for success in the future are substantially dependent on our executive management team, which includes our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President/Chief Credit Officer, Executive Vice President/Credit Administrator, Executive Vice President/Director of Operations and our Executive Vice President/Chief Risk Manager. The loss of the services of any of these persons could have an adverse effect on our business. We have employment agreements with our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer. In light of the relatively small pool of persons involved in the greater Las Vegas area banking industry, we could have difficulty replacing any of our executive management team or senior officers with equally competent persons who are also familiar with our market area.

There is intense competition in our market area, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully compete.

Commercial banking in the greater Las Vegas area is a highly competitive business. Increased competition in our market may result in reduced loans and deposits. We compete for loans and deposits primarily with the local offices of major banks. We compete with other community banks in our market for customers as well. We also compete with credit unions, small loan companies, insurance companies, mortgage companies, finance companies, brokerage houses, other financial institutions and out-of-state financial intermediaries, some of which are not subject to the same degree of regulation and restriction as us and some of which have financial resources greater than us. Technological advances continue to contribute to greater competition in domestic and international products and services. Ultimately, we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors.

Table of Contents

Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses particularly given our relatively large individual loan size.

A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that losses could be sustained because borrowers, guarantors and related parties may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans. The underwriting and credit monitoring policies that we have adopted to address this risk may not prevent unexpected losses that could have a material adverse affect on our business. Most of our loans, or approximately 80%, are secured by real estate. Community Bank of Nevada's legal lending limit is approximately \$21 million. At December 31, 2005, we had 161 loans in excess of \$1 million each, totaling \$493 million. These loans comprise approximately 13.1% of our loan portfolio by number of loans and 74.4% by total loans outstanding. Our average loan size at December 31, 2005 was approximately \$545,000 (excluding credit card, overdraft and purchased participation loans). This relatively large average loan size, while an advantage from a cost generation standpoint, can adversely impact us if one or more of these larger loans becomes delinquent, unstable, impaired, uncollectible or inadequately collateralized.

Like all financial institutions, we maintain an allowance for loan losses to provide for loan defaults and non-performance. Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan losses, and future provisions for loan losses could materially and adversely affect our business. Our allowance for loan losses is based on our prior experience and peer bank experience, as well as an evaluation of the known risks in the current portfolio, composition and growth of the loan portfolio and economic factors. The determination of the appropriate level of loan loss allowance is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that may be beyond our control and these losses may exceed current estimates. We cannot assure you that we will not increase the allowance for loan losses further or that regulators will not require us to increase this allowance. Either of these occurrences could adversely affect our business and prospects.

Provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws may limit the ability of another party to acquire us.

Various provisions of our articles of incorporation and by-laws could delay or prevent a third-party from acquiring us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions provide for, among other things, advance notice for nomination of directors and limitations on the ability of shareholders to call a special meeting of shareholders, which can make minority shareholder representation on the board of directors more difficult to establish.

We are subject to extensive government regulation. These regulations could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of our operations. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws, rules and regulations applicable to us are subject to regular modification and change. There are currently proposed various laws, rules and regulations that, if adopted, would impact our operations. We cannot assure you that these proposed laws, rules and regulations or any other laws, rules or regulations will not be adopted in the future, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our Stock Trades Less Frequently Than Others.

Although our common stock is listed for trading on the Nasdaq National Market, the trading volume in our common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of our common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which we have no control. Given the lower trading volume of our common stock, significant sales of our common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause our stock price to fall.

Table of Contents

Our Stock Price Is Affected by a Variety of Factors.

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. Our stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors discussed in this section, including, among other things:

Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.

Recommendations by securities analysts.

Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to our company.

News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.

Perceptions in the marketplace regarding our company and/or its competitors.

Our Common Stock Is Not An Insured Deposit.

Our common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in our common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, if you acquire our common stock, you may lose some or all of your investment.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own the buildings and land for five of our offices. These properties are not subject to any mortgages or encumbrances and consist of the following:

Rainbow branch located at 1400 S. Rainbow, Las Vegas, Nevada, which is 9,600 square feet.

Maryland Parkway branch located at 2887 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada, which is 5,600 square feet.

Summerlin branch located at 7676 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada, which is 9,700 square feet.

Green Valley branch located at 1441 W. Warm Springs, Henderson, Nevada, which is 5,600 square feet.

Sahara branch located at 7580 W. Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, which is 11,100 square feet, of which 6,281 square feet is leased to an unrelated third party.

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

We lease approximately 10,500 square feet for our City Centre Branch located at 400 South 4th Street, Las Vegas, Nevada. Our headquarters and administration offices are also located in the City Centre site. The lease is for a ten-year term, expiring August 31, 2012. Our current monthly rent is \$25,308, which increases to \$29,063 by the end of the lease.

In the second half of 2005, our Russell branch and offices were opened at 8945 W. Russell Road, near Interstate 215 in Las Vegas. The office is leased space for the branch, human resources, operations support, internal audit, compliance and the real estate lending department. The initial base rent is approximately \$38,000 per month beginning in October 2005. For each year following, the rent will be increased by approximately 2%. The lease expires on October 31, 2015. The agreement includes three 5-year renewal options.

As a result of the merger with Bank of Commerce, we acquired three new branches, of which two are leased spaces. The Sunset branch has approximately 6,000 square feet located in Henderson, Nevada. This is an

Table of Contents

operating lease with an unrelated third party with a base rent of \$9,533 per month as of December 31, 2005. The rent increases based on the cost of living increase for the preceding period based on the average for the United States set forth in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers for all Items, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor (CPI). The lease expires on July 31, 2011. The lease includes the right to extend the term for three additional 5-year periods.

The Decatur office has 4,814 square feet, located at the intersection of Decatur Boulevard and Hacienda Avenue in southwest Las Vegas. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with a base rent of \$12,083 per month as of December 31, 2005. The rent increases annually based on the cost of living increase for the preceding period based on the average CPI. The lease expires on March 31, 2018. The Company may extend the term of this lease for one additional 5-year period.

Although our strategic plan currently calls for one additional branch per year from 2006 through 2008, management believes that our existing facilities are adequate for our present purposes.

We also have two loan production offices, which are leased spaces: the San Diego loan production office with an initial base rent of \$1,400 per month and the Phoenix loan production office with a base rent of approximately \$2,500 per month.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which we or Community Bank of Nevada is a party or to which any of our properties are subject. There are no material proceedings known to us to be contemplated by any governmental authority. We are involved in a variety of litigation matters in the ordinary course of our business and anticipate that we will become involved in new litigation matters from time to time in the future.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2005.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****Market Information**

Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol "CBON" on December 10, 2004.

Prior to our IPO there had been no public market for our common stock. Our common stock had been traded, from time to time, by individuals on a negotiated basis between the parties. The following table sets forth those trades since January 1, 2004 through December 9, 2004 of which we have knowledge, including the quarter in which the trades occurred, the aggregate number of shares traded during such quarter and the range of sales price per share:

| Period | Number of Shares | Price Per Share |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1st quarter 2004 | 50 | \$ 9.00 |
| 2nd quarter 2004 | 3,000 | \$ 9.00 |
| 3rd quarter 2004 | N/A | N/A |
| October 1, 2004 - December 9, 2004 | N/A | N/A |

In the IPO shares of our common stock were sold for \$23.00 per share. The following table sets forth the low and high trading prices for the period from December 10, 2004 through December 31, 2004 and each quarterly period in 2005:

| Period | Trading Prices | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | Low | High |
| December 10, 2004 - December 31, 2004 | \$ 27.51 | \$ 33.00 |
| 1st quarter 2005 | \$ 25.03 | \$ 30.90 |
| 2nd quarter 2005 | \$ 23.35 | \$ 32.00 |
| 3rd quarter 2005 | \$ 30.57 | \$ 34.75 |
| 4th quarter 2005 | \$ 30.07 | \$ 33.99 |

Holders

As of January 27, 2006, there were approximately 1,183 stockholders of record of our common stock. At such date, our directors and executive officers owned approximately 15% of our outstanding shares. There are no other classes of common equity outstanding.

Dividends

We have not declared a cash dividend since 2002 as we have used our current and retained earnings to support our rapid and continued growth. We do not foresee any circumstances in the immediate future in which we would consider paying cash dividends on our common stock.

Under Nevada law, a corporation may not pay a dividend if, after giving effect to the dividend, (i) the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due, or (ii) the corporation's assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to satisfy the dissolution rights of any preferred shareholders.

Table of Contents

Additionally, our junior subordinated debt agreements contain provisions which prohibit the paying of dividends if we have deferred payment of interest on outstanding trust preferred securities. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from Community Bank of Nevada. Since we are a holding company with no significant assets other than Community Bank of Nevada, we will be dependent upon dividends from Community Bank of Nevada for cash with which to pay dividends when, and if, our dividend policy changes. Further, federal and state banking regulations place certain restrictions on dividends paid by Community Bank of Nevada to Community Bancorp.

In addition, dividends paid by Community Bank of Nevada to Community Bancorp would be prohibited if the effect thereof would cause Community Bank of Nevada's capital to be reduced below applicable minimum capital requirements. For a discussion of the regulatory limitations on Community Bank of Nevada's ability to pay dividends, see Supervision and Regulation Federal and State Regulation of Community Bank of Nevada Dividends.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Selected Consolidated Financial Data Community Bancorp

The selected financial information in the table below as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, and 2001 is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. Results for past periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any future period.

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA AND OTHER DATA

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 (1) |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except share, per share and percentage data) | | | | |
| Consolidated Income Data: | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 46,337 | \$ 30,038 | \$ 27,143 | \$ 25,449 | \$ 24,119 |
| Interest expense | 12,511 | 6,862 | 7,453 | 8,709 | 10,737 |
| Net interest income | 33,826 | 23,176 | 19,690 | 16,740 | 13,382 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 1,723 | 1,958 | 1,909 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 32,741 | 22,254 | 17,967 | 14,782 | 11,473 |
| Non-interest income | 2,275 | 1,489 | 1,563 | 1,392 | 1,670 |
| Non-interest expense | 20,512 | 15,946 | 12,020 | 9,112 | 8,460 |
| Income before income taxes | 14,504 | 7,797 | 7,510 | 7,062 | 4,683 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,439 | 2,376 | 2,295 | 2,337 | 1,526 |
| Net Income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 | \$ 4,725 | \$ 3,157 |
| Share Data: | | | | | |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.03 | \$ 0.69 |
| Earnings per share diluted | 1.42 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.68 |
| Dividend payout ratio (2) | N/A | 5.31% | 7.96% | 5.83% | 8.70% |
| Book Value per share | \$ 14.47 | \$ 11.49 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 5.91 | \$ 4.87 |
| Shares outstanding at period end | 7,374,712 | 6,747,673 | 4,629,580 | 4,607,040 | 4,582,040 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 6,964,719 | 4,798,922 | 4,620,744 | 4,591,026 | 4,579,653 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 7,091,311 | 4,940,977 | 4,729,021 | 4,682,486 | 4,675,917 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 86,904 | \$ 67,254 | \$ 36,005 | \$ 33,537 | \$ 8,974 |
| Investments and other securities | 97,204 | 86,260 | 70,093 | 63,596 | 39,271 |
| Gross loans | 663,407 | 403,270 | 350,082 | 293,535 | 247,182 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 8,117 | 6,133 | 5,409 | 4,688 | 3,700 |
| Assets | 892,708 | 573,961 | 463,431 | 400,571 | 304,058 |
| Deposits | 725,088 | 476,252 | 403,713 | 351,584 | 277,422 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 36,083 | 15,464 | 15,464 | 15,464 | |
| Stockholders equity | 106,749 | 77,553 | 32,201 | 27,212 | 22,336 |

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 (1) |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except share, per share and percentage data) | | | | |
| Selected Other Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Average assets | \$ 703,556 | \$ 523,766 | \$ 436,843 | \$ 356,097 | \$ 292,866 |
| Average earning assets | 660,218 | 498,578 | 416,742 | 336,682 | 276,228 |
| Average stockholders equity | 88,664 | 35,910 | 29,279 | 24,729 | 21,186 |
| Selected Financial Ratios: | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 1.43% | 1.04% | 1.19% | 1.33% | 1.08% |
| Return on average stockholders equity | 11.4% | 15.1% | 17.8% | 19.1% | 14.9% |
| Net interest margin (3) | 5.12% | 4.65% | 4.72% | 4.97% | 4.84% |
| Efficiency Ratio (4) | 56.8% | 64.7% | 56.6% | 50.3% | 56.2% |
| Capital Ratios: | | | | | |
| Average stockholders equity to average assets | 12.60% | 6.86% | 6.70% | 6.94% | 7.23% |
| Leverage Ratio | 13.09% | 16.91% | 8.96% | 8.84% | 7.06% |
| Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital ratio | 14.20% | 19.66% | 11.18% | 11.03% | 8.58% |
| Total Risk-Based Capital ratio | 16.29% | 20.92% | 13.61% | 14.14% | 9.83% |
| Selected Asset Quality Ratios: | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans to total loans (5) | 0.14% | 0.24% | 0.66% | 1.10% | 2.26% |
| Non-performing assets to total loans and OREO | 0.14% | 0.78% | 1.00% | 1.99% | 3.29% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets (6) | 0.10% | 0.55% | 0.76% | 1.47% | 2.71% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.22% | 1.52% | 1.55% | 1.60% | 1.50% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 887.1% | 633.6% | 233.7% | 145.0% | 66.2% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing assets | 887.1% | 194.3% | 154.2% | 79.6% | 45.0% |
| Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans | 0.01% | 0.05% | 0.31% | 0.36% | 0.47% |

- (1) Community Bank of Nevada data only. The holding company reorganization was completed August 2002.
- (2) There were no dividends declared in 2005. The dividend payout ratios for 2004 and 2003 are based on stock dividends, the ratio for 2002 is based on both stock and cash dividends, the ratios for years prior to 2002 are based on cash dividends.
- (3) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
- (4) Efficiency ratio represents non-interest expenses, excluding loan loss provision, as a percentage of the aggregate of net interest income and non-interest income.
- (5) Non-performing loans are defined as loans that are past due 90 days or more plus loans placed in non-accrual status.
- (6) Non-performing assets are defined as assets that are past due 90 days or more plus assets placed in non-accrual status plus other real estate owned.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and footnotes to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Report as well as Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Segment information is not presented since all of the Company's revenues are attributable to one operating segment.

Overview

Since we commenced operations in 1995, we have experienced strong growth and profitability. Our growth is fueled by the significant population and economic growth of the greater Las Vegas area where we operate. The growth in the greater Las Vegas area has accompanied significant investments in the gaming and tourism industry. The significant population increase has resulted in an increase in the acquisition of raw land for residential and commercial development, the construction of residential communities, shopping centers and office buildings, and the development and expansion of the businesses and professions that provide essential goods and services to this expanded population. Our results have been influenced by the following strategies, which we implemented in order to benefit from these market factors:

provide competitive commercial real estate loans, construction loans and land acquisition, development loans, and C&I loans to high quality borrowers;

focus and commitment to profitable banking relationships;

encourage business development of profitable customer relationships with a pay for performance compensation culture;

reduce our cost of funds by attracting a higher share of non-interest bearing deposit accounts;

maintain disciplined controls over non-interest expense in order to consistently grow on a profitable basis;

strengthen our underwriting standards and credit administration functions as well as increase lending capacity by the growth in capital base; and

add seasoned professionals to the staff with banking expertise, local market knowledge and a network of client relationships.

| | Key Financial Measures Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands except per share data) | | |
| Net Income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Basic earnings per share | 1.45 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 1.42 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Total Assets | 892,708 | 573,961 | 463,431 |
| Gross Loans | 663,407 | 403,270 | 350,082 |
| Total Deposits | 725,088 | 476,252 | 403,713 |
| Net interest margin | 5.12% | 4.65% | 4.72% |
| Efficiency Ratio | 56.8% | 64.7% | 56.6% |
| Return on average assets | 1.43% | 1.04% | 1.19% |
| Return on average equity | 11.4% | 15.1% | 17.8% |

Table of Contents

Key Factors in Evaluating Financial Condition and Operating Performance

In 2005, the Company earned net income of \$10.1 million, or \$1.42 per diluted share. This represents a 85.7% increase over the \$5.4 million net income, or \$1.10 per diluted share, reported for 2004. The significant factors impacting net income for 2005 compared to 2004 were:

Strong organic loan growth due in part to the addition of new seasoned lending staff combined with the acquisition of Bank of Commerce in August 2005 led to a \$260 million increase in gross loans and \$16.3 million increase in interest income.

A 47 basis point increase in net interest margin helped drive a \$10.6 million increase in net interest income.

In December 2004 as a result of our IPO, we took a non-recurring charge of \$1.9 million in expenses (\$1.3 million net of taxes) related to our Stock Appreciation Rights (SAR) Plan. In 2005, expenses related to the SAR Plan were \$446 thousand, or \$294 thousand after taxes.

We are incurring expenses for compliance with the various SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Non-interest expenses increased primarily due to the new staff, rent expense and depreciation expense added from the Bank of Commerce acquisition and costs associated with the implementation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

As a community bank holding company, we focus on several key factors including:

return to our shareholders;

return on average assets;

asset quality;

asset growth; and

operating efficiency.

Return to our shareholders. Our return to our shareholders is measured in the form of return on average equity, or ROE. Our net income increased \$4.6 million, or 85.7%, to \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$5.4 million for the same period 2004. This significant increase was partially due to an accrual for SAR in December 2004 resulting from the initial public offering. Excluding the effect of the SAR accrual, net income for the year ending 2005 increased 50.5% over the year ending 2004. This 50.5% increase year over year in net income was attributed to an increase in net interest income, with only a slight increase in loan loss provision and partially offset by an increase in operating expenses. Basic EPS as of December 31, 2005 increased 28.3% to \$1.45 as compared to \$1.13 as of December 31, 2004. Diluted EPS as of December 31, 2005 increased 29.1% to \$1.42 as compared to \$1.10 as of December 31, 2004. Our ROE decreased to 11.4% at December 31, 2005 compared to 15.1% for the same period in 2004 due to additional shares issued for the IPO and Bank of Commerce acquisition. Our average ROE for the three years ended December 31, 2005 was 14.8%.

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Return on average assets. Our return on average assets, or ROA, is a measure we use to compare our performance with other banks and bank holding companies. Our ROA at December 31, 2005 was 1.43% compared to 1.04% for the same period 2004. The increase in ROA is partially due to the non-recurring SAR expense discussed above. See Trends and Developments Impacting our Recent Results.

Asset quality. For all banks and bank holding companies, asset quality has a significant impact on the overall financial condition and results of operations. Asset quality is measured in terms of non-performing loans and assets as a percentage of total loans and total assets, and net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans. These measures are key elements in estimating the future earnings of a company. Non-performing loans totaled \$915 thousand as of December 31, 2005 compared to \$968 thousand at December 31, 2004. Non-performing

Table of Contents

loans as a percentage of total loans decreased to 0.14% as of December 31, 2005 compared to 0.24% at December 31, 2004. Non-performing assets were also \$915 thousand as of December 31, 2005 compared to \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2004. Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets were 0.10% as of December 31, 2005 compared to 0.55% at December 31, 2004. Net charge-offs to average loans were 0.01% as of December 31, 2005 as compared to 0.05% for the same period 2004. The average net charge-offs to average loans for the three years ended December 31, 2005 was 0.12%.

Asset growth. As revenues from both net interest income and non-interest income are a function of asset size, the continued growth in assets has a direct impact on increasing net income and EPS. The majority of our assets are loans, and the majority of our liabilities are deposits, and therefore the ability to generate loans and deposits are fundamental to our asset growth. Total assets increased 55.5% during 2005 from \$574 million as of December 31, 2004 to \$893 million as of December 31, 2005 and grew 30.9% by a compound annual growth rate, or CAGR, between December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2005. Total deposits increased 52.2% to \$725 million as of December 31, 2005 compared to \$476 million as of December 31, 2004 and had a CAGR of 27.2% between December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2005. Gross loans increased 64.5% to \$663 million as of December 31, 2005 compared to \$403 million as of December 31, 2004. Loans had a CAGR of 28.0% between December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2005.

Operating efficiency. Operating efficiency is the measure of how efficiently earnings before taxes are generated as a percentage of revenue. Our efficiency ratio (operating expenses divided by net interest income plus non-interest income) decreased to 56.8% for 2005 compared to 64.7% for the same period in 2004. Our average efficiency ratio for the three years ended December 31, 2005 was 59.4%.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our accounting policies are integral to understanding the financial results reported. Our most complex accounting policies require management's judgment to ascertain the valuation of assets, liabilities, commitments and contingencies. We have established detailed policies and control procedures that are intended to ensure valuation methods are well controlled and applied consistently from period to period. In addition, the policies and procedures are intended to ensure that the process for changing methodologies occurs in an appropriate manner. The following is a brief description of our current accounting policies involving significant management valuation judgments.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents our best estimate of the probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses charged to expense and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries.

We evaluate our allowance for loan losses monthly. We believe that the allowance for loan losses, or ALLL, is a critical accounting estimate because it is based upon management's assessment of various factors affecting the collectibility of the loans, including current economic conditions, past credit experience, delinquency status, the value of the underlying collateral, if any, and a continuing review of the portfolio of loans. For a discussion of the allowance and our methodology, see Financial Condition Allowance for Loan Losses.

Like all financial institutions, we maintain an ALLL based on a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and non-accrual loans, asset classifications, loan grades, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral value, historical loss experience, peer group loss experience, size and complexity of individual credits and economic conditions. Provisions for loan losses are provided on both a specific and general basis. Specific allowances are provided for impaired credits for which the expected/anticipated loss is measurable. General valuation allowances are based on portfolio segmentation by loan type and risk grading, with a further evaluation of various quantitative and qualitative factors noted above.

We incorporate statistics provided through the FDIC regarding loss percentages experienced by banks in the western United States, as well as an internal five-year loss history to establish potential risk based on collateral

Table of Contents

type securing each loan. As an additional comparison, we examine local peer group banks to determine the nature and scope of their losses to date. Such examination provides a geographic-and size-specific flavor for trends in the local banking community. Finally, we closely examine each credit graded Special Mention and below to individually assess the appropriate loan loss reserve for a particular credit. See Business Classified Assets for further description of our grading system.

We periodically review the assumptions and formulae by which additions are made to the specific and general valuation allowances for losses in an effort to refine such allowances in light of the current status of the factors described above.

Although we believe the levels of the allowance as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, were adequate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio, a decline in local economic, or other factors, could result in increasing losses that cannot be reasonably predicted at this time.

Available for Sale Securities. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, requires that available-for-sale securities be carried at fair value. We believe this is a critical accounting estimate in that the fair value of a security is based on quoted market prices or if quoted market prices are not available, fair values are extrapolated from the quoted prices of similar instruments. Management utilizes the services of a reputable third party vendor to assist with the determination of estimated fair values. Adjustments to the available-for-sale securities fair value impact the consolidated financial statements by increasing or decreasing assets and stockholders' equity.

Goodwill. In connection with our acquisition of Bank of Commerce in August 2005, we recorded the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the assets received and liabilities assumed as goodwill pursuant to SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. We will annually review the goodwill recorded for the acquisition for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, on October 1. Goodwill is not being amortized whereas identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives.

Trends and Developments Impacting Our Recent Results

Certain trends emerged and developments have occurred that are important in understanding our recent results and that are potentially significant in assessing future performance.

Record Setting Loan Growth Occurred in 2005. From inception, our loan growth has been significant each year. From 2001 to 2004, we experienced a compound annual growth rate of 18%. In 2005, our loan growth was 64.5%. Several factors can be directly attributed to this considerable growth, including the acquisition of Bank of Commerce (which accounted for approximately 26% of such growth at date of acquisition), the addition of eight well-seasoned local lenders, the appreciation of real estate values and the increase in lending limits obtained by increasing capital. With all of the above-referenced factors continuing forward in to 2006, and with considerable growth in the unfunded commitments (primarily construction loans) available to be advanced in 2006, we anticipate that this historical growth rate trend will continue.

Acquisition of Bank of Commerce. As part of our growth strategy, on August 26, 2005, we acquired Bank of Commerce. The acquisition contributed \$104 million in gross loans and \$118 million in deposits and was accretive to earnings in 2005. We continue to search for strategic acquisitions, however attempting to grow through acquisition does present risks, such as problems of finding suitable opportunities at affordable prices, integrating acquired institutions and others discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Asset Sensitivity. Management uses various modeling strategies to manage the re-pricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities. These models contain a number of assumptions and can not take into

Table of Contents

account all the various factors that influence the sensitivities of our assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2005, our balance sheet was asset sensitive, demonstrating that a larger amount of our interest sensitive assets will re-price within certain time horizons than will our interest sensitive liabilities. Being asset sensitive means generally that in times of rising interest rates, a company's net interest margin will increase. It also means that in times of falling interest rates, such as we experienced from 2000 to 2003, a company's net interest margin is generally compacted. We expect that, if market interest rates continue to rise, our net interest margin and our net interest income will be favorably impacted. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk.

Impact of Expansion on Non-Interest Expense. In 2005 we opened our sixth office, which caused a significant increase in occupancy and equipment expense. These offices consist of 21,566 rentable square feet at \$1.73 sq. ft. per month, and house a branch, human resources, operations support, audit, compliance and our real estate lending department. We also acquired three additional branches from Bank of Commerce in August 2005, of which two are leased spaces. The Sunset office has approximately 6,000 square feet, with a monthly rent of approximately \$9,500. The Decatur office has approximately 4,800 square feet, and a monthly rent of approximately \$12,000. In addition, the acquisition contributed to the increase in our salaries, wages and employee benefits expense.

Additionally, as a result of being a reporting company, other non-interest expense items, including professional expenses and other costs related to compliance with the reporting requirements of the securities laws and compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, have increased significantly.

Unusual Expense Associated With SAR. As of December 31, 2005, we have 17,854 SAR outstanding versus 109,673 outstanding as of December 31, 2004. Expenses for the plan (before taxes) were \$446,000 in 2005 versus \$2.1 million in 2004. Future expenses associated with our SAR Plan will be impacted by the value of our common stock and the number of SAR that are granted.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements. Beginning in 2006, we will adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123 (revised), which, among other things, requires the recording in the financial statements of non-cash compensation expense related to stock options. Prior to 2006, we had only shown, as permitted by SFAS 123 (revised), pro forma financial results including the effects of share-based compensation expense in the footnotes to the financial statements. See Note 1, Summary of significant accounting policies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for these pro forma results related to years 2005, 2004, and 2003. The pro forma compensation costs presented in these and prior financial statements have been calculated using a minimum value method for options granted prior to our IPO and a fair value method after that date. The pro forma costs may not be indicative of amounts which should be expected in future periods. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), we estimate additional compensation expense of approximately \$332,000 before tax, or \$0.05 per diluted share for the full year 2006. Estimated future levels of compensation expense recognized related to stock based awards would be impacted by new awards, modifications to awards, cancellation of awards after the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), or additional options granted by the Board of Directors. There have been no additional grants as of the date of this Report.

Results of Operations

Our results of operations depend primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income and interest expense. Interest income is the earnings we receive on our interest earning assets, such as loans and investments, and interest expense is the expense we incur on our interest bearing liabilities, such as interest bearing deposits and other borrowings. Factors that determine the level of net income include the volume of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, yields earned and rates paid, fee income, non-interest expense, the level of non-performing loans and other non-earning assets, and the amount of non-interest bearing liabilities supporting earning assets. Non-interest income includes service charges and other deposit related fees, and non-interest expense consists primarily of employee compensation and benefits, occupancy, equipment and depreciation expense, and other operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase |
|---|--|-----------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | (Decrease) |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Earnings Data: | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 46,337 | \$ 30,038 | \$ 16,299 |
| Interest expense | 12,511 | 6,862 | 5,649 |
| Net interest income | 33,826 | 23,176 | 10,650 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 163 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 32,741 | 22,254 | 10,487 |
| Non-interest income | 2,275 | 1,489 | 786 |
| Non-interest expense | 20,512 | 15,946 | 4,566 |
| Net income before income taxes | 14,504 | 7,797 | 6,707 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,439 | 2,376 | 2,063 |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 4,644 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 0.32 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 0.32 |

Our net income grew by 85.7% to \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our return on average assets was 1.43% and return on average stockholders' equity was 11.4% for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to 1.04% and 15.1%, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin. The 46.0% increase in our net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2005 was due to an increase in interest income of \$16.3 million offset by an increase of \$5.6 million in interest expense. Average interest-earning assets increased to \$660 million during 2005 as compared to \$499 million during 2004, reflecting our continuing growth trend and the acquisition of Bank of Commerce. Total interest expense increased as a result of rising interest rates throughout the financial marketplace over the course of the year.

The average yield on our interest-earning assets increased to 7.02% in 2005 from 6.02% in 2004. The increase in the average yield on our interest-earning assets resulted from an increase in market rates, re-pricing on our adjustable rate loans, and new loans originated with higher interest rates because of the rising interest rate environment and the increase in the percentage of interest earning assets represented by loans as of December 31, 2005. Average loans as a percentage of average earning assets increased to 77.3% as of December 31, 2005 compared to 72.7% for the same period in 2004.

The cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities increased to 2.73% in 2005 from 1.90% in 2004. In addition to the increase in the average deposit balances, our average borrowings increased by \$7.2 million and average junior subordinated debt increased by \$5.6 million.

Our average rate on our interest-bearing deposits increased 42.4% from 1.77% as of December 31, 2004 to 2.52% as of December 31, 2005, reflecting the rise in general market rates. Our average rate on total deposits (including non-interest bearing deposits) increased to 1.85% as of December 31, 2005 from 1.30% at December 31, 2004.

Our net interest margin as of December 31, 2005 of 5.12% was higher than our net interest margin at December 31, 2004 of 4.65% primarily due to the rising rate environment and an increase in the average loans as a percentage of average earning assets.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of average balances with corresponding interest income and interest expense as well as average yield and cost information for the periods presented. Average balances are derived from daily balances, and non-accrual loans are included as interest earning assets for purposes of this table.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2005 | | Average | | 2004 | |
| | Interest | | Yield or | | Interest | |
| | Average | Income or | Cost | Average | Income or | Yield or |
| | Balance | Expense | (Dollars in thousands) | Balance | Expense | Cost |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans (1)(2)(3) | \$ 510,252 | \$ 40,973 | 8.03% | \$ 362,493 | \$ 26,415 | 7.29% |
| Investment securities taxable | 67,504 | 2,656 | 3.93% | 57,515 | 1,981 | 3.44% |
| Investment securities non-taxable (3) | 22,448 | 838 | 3.73% | 23,593 | 874 | 3.70% |
| Federal funds sold | 56,607 | 1,738 | 3.07% | 52,554 | 692 | 1.32% |
| Other investments (4) | 3,407 | 132 | 3.87% | 2,423 | 76 | 3.14% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 660,218 | 46,337 | 7.02% | 498,578 | 30,038 | 6.02% |
| Non-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 18,327 | | | 14,338 | | |
| Unearned loan fees | (2,765) | | | (1,726) | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (6,730) | | | (5,639) | | |
| Other assets | 34,506 | | | 18,215 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 703,556 | | | \$ 523,766 | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | \$ 25,678 | \$ 315 | 1.23% | \$ 19,459 | \$ 92 | 0.47% |
| Money market | 254,793 | 6,177 | 2.42% | 190,322 | 2,891 | 1.52% |
| Savings | 6,361 | 36 | 0.57% | 6,069 | 14 | 0.23% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 138,931 | 4,185 | 3.01% | 125,898 | 3,057 | 2.43% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 425,763 | 10,713 | 2.52% | 341,748 | 6,054 | 1.77% |
| Short-term borrowings and long term debt | 10,757 | 405 | 3.76% | 3,555 | 44 | 1.24% |
| Junior subordinated debt | 21,108 | 1,393 | 6.60% | 15,464 | 764 | 4.94% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 457,628 | 12,511 | 2.73% | 360,767 | 6,862 | 1.90% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 152,912 | | | 124,737 | | |
| Other liabilities | 4,352 | | | 2,352 | | |
| Total liabilities | 614,892 | | | 487,856 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 88,664 | | | 35,910 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 703,556 | | | \$ 523,766 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 33,826 | | | \$ 23,176 | |
| Net interest spread (5) | | | 4.29% | | | 4.12% |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net interest margin (6) | 5.12% | 4.65% |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|

(1) Includes average non-accrual loans of \$888 thousand in 2005 and \$2.3 million in 2004.

Table of Contents

- (2) Net loan fees of \$4.5 million and \$3.4 million are included in the yield computations for 2005 and 2004, respectively.
- (3) Yields on loans and securities have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis
- (4) Includes Federal Reserve Bank stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank stock.
- (5) Net interest spread represents the average yield earned on interest earning assets less the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
- (6) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average earning assets.
- The following table shows the change in interest income and interest expense and the amount of change attributable to variances in volume, rates and the combination of volume and rates based on the relative changes of volume and rates:

| | 2005 Compared to 2004 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Net Change | Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in: | | |
| | | Rate | Volume | Mix |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 14,558 | \$ 2,693 | \$ 10,767 | \$ 1,098 |
| Investment securities taxable | 675 | 279 | 347 | 49 |
| Investment securities non-taxable | (36) | 10 | (45) | (1) |
| Federal funds sold | 1,046 | 922 | 53 | 71 |
| Other investments | 56 | 18 | 31 | 7 |
| Total interest income | 16,299 | 3,922 | 11,153 | 1,224 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | 223 | 146 | 30 | 47 |
| Money market | 3,286 | 1,723 | 979 | 584 |
| Savings | 22 | 20 | 1 | 1 |
| Time certificates of deposit | 1,128 | 736 | 316 | 76 |
| Short-term borrowings & long term debt | 361 | 91 | 89 | 181 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 629 | 256 | 279 | 94 |
| Total interest expense | 5,649 | 2,972 | 1,694 | 983 |
| Net interest income | \$ 10,650 | \$ 950 | \$ 9,459 | \$ 241 |

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses in the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$1.1 million compared to \$922 thousand in the year ended December 31, 2004. We experienced net loan charge-offs of \$34 thousand in 2005 compared to net loan charge-offs of \$198 thousand for 2004. Gross loans increased by \$260 million in 2005 and \$53 million in 2004. The increase in the amount of the provision for 2005 primarily relates to loan growth, offset by the decrease in net charge offs to total loans from 0.05% at December 31, 2004 to 0.01% at December 31, 2005 and non-performing loans to total loans decreasing from 0.24% at December 31, 2004 to 0.14% as of December 31, 2005. See Financial Condition Loans Non-Performing Assets.

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Income. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the major categories of non-interest income:

| | Year Ended | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2004 | Increase (Decrease) |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Service charges and other income | \$ 1,563 | \$ 991 | \$ 572 |
| Loan brokerage and referral fees | 124 | 184 | (60) |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 504 | 194 | 310 |
| Net gain on sales of loans | 84 | 108 | (24) |
| Net gain on sales of securities | | 12 | (12) |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 2,275 | \$ 1,489 | \$ 786 |

The \$786 thousand, or 52.8% increase in total non-interest income was primarily due to an increase in service charges and other income on deposit accounts resulting from the increased branch network accomplished with the acquisition of the Bank of Commerce, in addition to an increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance purchased in July 2004.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expenses are the costs, other than interest expense and the provision for loan losses, associated with providing banking and financial services to customers and conducting our affairs.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the major categories of non-interest expense:

| | Year Ended | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2004 | Increase (Decrease) |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits | \$ 12,280 | \$ 8,619 | \$ 3,661 |
| Occupancy, equipment and depreciation | 2,173 | 1,495 | 678 |
| Professional fees | 1,415 | 359 | 1,056 |
| Advertising and public relations | 909 | 587 | 322 |
| Data processing | 730 | 558 | 172 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 446 | 2,095 | (1,649) |
| Stationery and supplies | 377 | 230 | 147 |
| Insurance | 285 | 249 | 36 |
| Core deposit intangible amortization | 254 | | 254 |
| Telephone and postage | 229 | 198 | 31 |
| Director fees | 210 | 172 | 38 |
| Loan related | 171 | 235 | (64) |
| Software maintenance | 102 | 102 | |
| Foreclosed assets, net | (234) | 117 | (351) |
| Other | 1,165 | 930 | 235 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 20,512 | \$ 15,946 | \$ 4,566 |

The \$4.6 million, or 28.6%, increase in total non-interest expense was primarily attributable to an increase of \$3.7 million or 42.5% in salary and employee benefits. The increase in salary and employee benefit expense can be attributed to the acquisition of Bank of Commerce, and increases in personnel for the areas of lending, operations, and audit and compliance. The number of full time equivalent employees (FTEs) increased to 160 at December 31, 2005 compared to 109 at December 31, 2004. In addition to general staffing of the various departments, we were successful in recruiting a Chief Credit Officer in January 2005 and a Chief Risk Manager in September 2005. Professional fees, which include legal fees, accounting and audit services, and consulting

Table of Contents

fees increased \$1.1 million, or 294.1%, year over year. The increase in these fees was mainly due to our duties as a public company, including the legal fees associated with SEC reporting, as well as accounting and audit fees attributed to compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX). In our first year as a public company, we also retained the services of an outside consulting company to assist with the implementation of SOX.

On January 1, 2006, we were required to adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123 (revised), which, among other things, requires the recording in the financial statements of non-cash compensation expense related to stock options. Prior to 2006, we had only shown, as permitted by SFAS 123 (revised), pro forma financial results including the effects of share-based compensation expense in the footnotes to the financial statements. See Note 1, Summary of significant accounting policies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for these pro forma results related to years 2005, 2004, and 2003. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), we estimate additional compensation expense of approximately \$332,000 before tax, or \$0.05 per diluted share for the full year 2006. Estimated future levels of compensation expense recognized related to stock based awards would be impacted by new awards, modifications to awards, cancellation of awards after the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), or additional options granted in 2006 by the Board of Directors. There have been no additional grants as of the date of this report.

Provision for Income Taxes. We recorded tax provisions of \$4.4 million in 2005 and \$2.4 million in 2004. Our effective tax rates were 31% and 30% for 2005 and 2004, respectively. The difference from the expected rate in both years was largely due to the non-taxable nature of income from municipal securities and bank owned life insurance.

Financial Overview for the Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2003

| | Year Ended December 31, Increase | | |
|---|--|-----------|------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | (Decrease) |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Earnings Data: | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 30,038 | \$ 27,143 | \$ 2,895 |
| Interest expense | 6,862 | 7,453 | (591) |
| Net interest income | 23,176 | 19,690 | 3,486 |
| Provision for loan losses | 922 | 1,723 | (801) |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 22,254 | 17,967 | 4,287 |
| Non-interest income | 1,489 | 1,563 | (74) |
| Non-interest expense | 15,946 | 12,020 | 3,926 |
| Income before income taxes | 7,797 | 7,510 | 287 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,376 | 2,295 | 81 |
| Net income | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 | \$ 206 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 0.00 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 0.00 |

Our net income grew by 4.0% to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Our return on average assets was 1.04% and return on average stockholders' equity was 15.1% for the year ended December 31, 2004, compared to 1.19% and 17.8%, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin. The 17.7% increase in our net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2004 was primarily due to an increase in interest income of \$2.9 million, and a decrease of

Table of Contents

\$591 thousand in interest expense. Average interest-earning assets increased to \$499 million during 2004 as compared to \$417 million during 2003, reflecting our continuing growth trend. Total interest expense decreased as a result of ongoing declines in interest rates throughout the financial marketplace over the course of the year, as well as a significant shift in our deposit mix.

The average yield on our interest-earning assets fell to 6.02% in 2004 from 6.51% in 2003. The decrease in the average yield on our interest-earning assets resulted from a reduction in market rates, re-pricing on our adjustable rate loans, and new loans originated with lower interest rates because of the lower interest rate environment and the decrease in the percentage of interest earning assets represented by loans as of December 31, 2004. Average loans as a percentage of average earning assets declined to 72.7% as of December 31, 2004 compared to 77.0% for the same period in 2003.

The cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.90% in 2004 from 2.39% in 2003. In addition to broad declines in the average rates paid on deposit balances, the decrease was the results of actions taken by management to reduce the level of CDs and increase the level of non-interest bearing deposits in relation to total deposits.

Our average rate on our interest-bearing deposits decreased 22.7% from 2.29% as of December 31, 2003 to 1.77% as of December 31, 2004, reflecting reductions in general market rates as well as management's focus on shifting to lower cost deposit products. Our average rate on total deposits (including non-interest bearing deposits) decreased to 1.30% as of December 31, 2004 from 1.73% at December 31, 2003.

Our net interest margin as of December 31, 2004 of 4.65% was slightly lower than our net interest margin at December 31, 2003 of 4.72%. Despite significant lower earning asset yields, we were able to maintain the net interest margin primarily due to a lower cost of funds.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of average balances with corresponding interest income and interest expense as well as average yield and cost information for the periods presented. Average balances are derived from daily balances, and non-accrual loans are included as interest earning assets for purposes of this table.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2004 | | 2003 | | | |
| | Interest | | Interest | | Average | |
| | Average | Income or | Yield or | Average | Income or | Yield or |
| | Balance | Expense | Cost | Balance | Expense | Cost |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans (1)(2)(3) | \$ 362,493 | \$ 26,415 | 7.29% | \$ 320,758 | \$ 24,679 | 7.69% |
| Investment securities taxable | 57,515 | 1,981 | 3.44% | 51,045 | 1,331 | 2.61% |
| Investment securities non-taxable (3) | 23,593 | 874 | 3.70% | 21,853 | 845 | 3.87% |
| Federal funds sold | 52,554 | 692 | 1.32% | 22,093 | 242 | 1.10% |
| Other investments (4) | 2,423 | 76 | 3.14% | 993 | 46 | 4.63% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 498,578 | 30,038 | 6.02% | 416,742 | 27,143 | 6.51% |
| Non-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 14,338 | | | 12,727 | | |
| Unearned loan fees | (1,726) | | | (1,161) | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (5,639) | | | (4,941) | | |
| Other assets | 18,215 | | | 13,476 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 523,766 | | | \$ 436,843 | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | \$ 19,459 | \$ 92 | 0.47% | \$ 12,617 | \$ 45 | 0.36% |
| Money market | 190,322 | 2,891 | 1.52% | 136,569 | 2,298 | 1.68% |
| Savings | 6,069 | 14 | 0.23% | 4,185 | 8 | 0.19% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 125,898 | 3,057 | 2.43% | 138,758 | 4,328 | 3.12% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 341,748 | 6,054 | 1.77% | 292,129 | 6,679 | 2.29% |
| Short-term borrowings | 3,555 | 44 | 1.24% | 4,107 | 52 | 1.27% |
| Junior subordinated debt | 15,464 | 764 | 4.94% | 15,464 | 722 | 4.67% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 360,767 | 6,862 | 1.90% | 311,700 | 7,453 | 2.39% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 124,737 | | | 94,357 | | |
| Other liabilities | 2,352 | | | 1,507 | | |
| Total liabilities | 487,856 | | | 407,564 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 35,910 | | | 29,279 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 523,766 | | | \$ 436,843 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 23,176 | | | \$ 19,690 | |
| Net interest spread (5) | | | 4.12% | | | 4.12% |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net interest margin (6) | 4.65% | 4.72% |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|

(1) Includes average non-accrual loans of \$2.3 million in 2004 and \$2.6 million in 2003.

Table of Contents

- (2) Net loan fees of \$3.4 million and \$3.0 million are included in the yield computations for 2004 and 2003, respectively.
- (3) Yields on loans and securities have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis
- (4) Includes Federal Reserve Bank stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank stock.
- (5) Net interest spread represents the average yield earned on interest earning assets less the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
- (6) Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by total average earning assets.

The following table shows the change in interest income and interest expense and the amount of change attributable to variances in volume, rates and the combination of volume and rates based on the relative changes of volume and rates.

| 2004 compared to 2003 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Net Change | Increase (decrease) due to change in: | | |
| | | Rate | Volume | Mix |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Loans | \$ 1,736 | \$ (1,305) | \$ 3,211 | \$ (170) |
| Investment securities taxable | 650 | 410 | 183 | 57 |
| Investment securities non-taxable | 29 | (16) | 45 | |
| Federal funds sold | 450 | 49 | 334 | 67 |
| Other investments | 30 | (15) | 66 | (21) |
| Total interest income | 2,895 | (877) | 3,839 | (67) |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | 47 | 15 | 24 | 8 |
| Money market | 593 | (224) | 905 | (88) |
| Savings | 6 | 2 | 4 | |
| Time certificates of deposit | (1,271) | (959) | (401) | 89 |
| Short-term borrowings | (8) | (1) | (7) | |
| Junior subordinated debt | 42 | 42 | | |
| Total interest expense | (591) | (1,125) | 525 | 9 |
| Net interest income | \$ 3,486 | \$ 248 | \$ 3,314 | \$ (76) |

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$922 thousand compared to \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2003. We experienced net loan charge-offs of \$198 thousand in 2004 compared to net loan charge-offs of \$1.0 million for 2003. The amount in the provision for loan losses each year relates primarily to the significant growth in loans. Gross loans increased by \$53 million in 2004 and \$57 million in 2003. However, the decrease in the amount of the provision for 2004 primarily relates to the decrease in net charge offs to total loans from 0.28% at December 31, 2003 to 0.05% at December 31, 2004 and non-performing loans to total loans decreasing from 0.66% at December 31, 2003 to 0.24% as of December 31, 2004. See Financial Condition Loans Non-Performing Assets.

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Income. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the major categories of non-interest income:

| | Year Ended | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| | December 31, 2004 | 2003 | Increase (Decrease) |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Service charges and other income | \$ 991 | \$ 1,050 | \$ (59) |
| Loan brokerage and referral fees | 184 | 446 | (262) |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 194 | | 194 |
| Net gain on sales of loans | 108 | 65 | 43 |
| Net gain on sales of securities | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 1,489 | \$ 1,563 | \$ (74) |

The \$74 thousand, or 4.7% decrease in total non-interest income was primarily due to a decrease of \$262 thousand in loan brokerage and referral fees, partially offset by an increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance purchased in July 2004. The decline in loan brokerage and referral fees was caused by an industry wide slow down in the residential mortgage refinance market. As a result of this trend, we have reduced staff for the residential mortgage origination business but retained residential mortgage referral capability to generate fees. We expect that the likely reduction in fee income associated with the reduction in refinance activity will be offset by a reduction in non-interest expense from our staff reductions in this area.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expenses are the costs, other than interest expense and the provision for loan losses, associated with the providing banking and financial services to customers and conducting our affairs.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the major categories of non-interest expense:

| | Year Ended | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| | December 31, 2004 | 2003 | Increase (Decrease) |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits | \$ 8,619 | \$ 7,157 | \$ 1,462 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 2,095 | 149 | 1,946 |
| Occupancy, equipment and depreciation | 1,495 | 1,417 | 78 |
| Loan related | 235 | 127 | 108 |
| Data processing | 558 | 522 | 36 |
| Advertising and public relations | 587 | 465 | 122 |
| Professional fees | 359 | 230 | 129 |
| Stationery and supplies | 230 | 209 | 21 |
| Insurance | 249 | 173 | 76 |
| Telephone and postage | 198 | 232 | (34) |
| Director fees | 172 | 104 | 68 |
| Software maintenance | 102 | 99 | 3 |
| Foreclosed assets, net | 117 | 103 | 14 |
| Other | 930 | 1,033 | (103) |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 15,946 | \$ 12,020 | \$ 3,926 |

The \$3.9 million, or 32.7%, increase in total non-interest expense was primarily attributable to the unusual SAR expense of \$1.9 million (\$1.3 million after tax effect) and an increase of \$1.5 million or 20.4% in salary and employee benefits. The increase in salary and employee benefit expense can be attributed to an increase in the areas of lending, operations and human resources. The number of Full Time Equivalent employees increased to 109 at December 31, 2004 compared to 98 at December 31, 2003. In addition to general staffing of the various

Table of Contents

departments, we were successful in recruiting both a Director of Human Resources in January, 2004 and a Chief Operations Officer in April, 2004. Also contributing to the increase was a newly imposed payroll tax on Nevada banks at the rate of 2% of wages paid quarterly, which became effective October 1, 2003.

Provision for Income Taxes. We recorded tax provisions of \$2.4 million in 2004 and \$2.3 million in 2003. Our effective tax rates were 30% and 31% for 2004 and 2003, respectively, as compared to the expected effective tax rate of 34%. The difference from the expected rate in both years was largely due to the non-taxable nature of income from municipal securities.

Financial Condition

Our total assets at December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 were \$893 million, \$574 million and \$463 million, respectively. Total deposits at December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 were \$725 million, \$476 million and \$404 million, respectively.

Loans

Our gross loans at December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 were \$663 million, \$403 million, and \$350 million, respectively, an increase of 64.5%, 15.2%, and 19.3% over the prior period, respectively. Our overall steady growth in loans from 2001 to 2005 is consistent with our historical focus and strategy to grow our loan portfolio. Construction loans experienced the highest growth within our portfolio, growing from \$69 million at December 31, 2001 to \$316 million at December 31, 2005, followed by commercial real estate loans which grew from \$99 million at December 31, 2001 to \$187 million at December 31, 2005. In an effort to diversify the portfolio, commercial and industrial loans grew 127.6% from \$55 million in 2001 to \$126 million at December 31, 2005. While we will continue to focus on our strong real estate lending portfolio, we expect to diversify the portfolio in future periods. See Item 1. Business Our Strategy.

The following table shows the amounts of loans outstanding at the end of each of the periods and years indicated:

| | 2005 | 2004 | December 31, 2003 (In thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 126,157 | \$ 59,820 | \$ 62,476 | \$ 62,135 | \$ 55,424 |
| Construction loans (1) | 316,221 | 167,154 | 133,875 | 114,144 | 68,716 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 187,236 | 148,411 | 122,396 | 89,612 | 98,701 |
| Residential real estate loans | 30,148 | 24,097 | 26,987 | 23,632 | 18,860 |
| Consumer loans and other | 3,645 | 3,788 | 4,348 | 4,012 | 5,481 |
| Gross loans (2) | 663,407 | 403,270 | 350,082 | 293,535 | 247,182 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (8,117) | (6,133) | (5,409) | (4,688) | (3,700) |
| Deferred loan costs (fees), net | (3,716) | (2,126) | (1,454) | (1,006) | (1,267) |
| Net loans | \$ 651,574 | \$ 395,011 | \$ 343,219 | \$ 287,841 | \$ 242,215 |

(1) Includes approximately \$204 million in loans at December 31, 2005 relating to raw land, acquisition and construction loans for commercial real estate projects and approximately \$112 million in loans at December 31, 2005 relating to raw land, acquisition and construction loans for residential real estate projects.

(2) Includes participation loans which, at December 31, 2005, approximately 7% of total gross loans at such date.

The following tables show the amounts of loans outstanding as of December 31, 2005, which, based on remaining scheduled repayments of principal, were due in one year or less, more than one year through five

Table of Contents

years, and more than five years. Lines of credit or other loans having no stated maturity and no stated schedule of repayments are reported as due in one year or less. In the table below, loans are classified as real estate-related if they are collateralized by real estate, regardless of their classification in the previous table. The tables also present, for loans with maturities over one year, an analysis with respect to fixed interest rate loans and floating interest rate loans:

| | Maturity | | | | Rate Structure | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | One Year or Less | One Through Five Years | Five Years | Total | for Loans Maturing Over One Year | |
| | | | | | Floating | Fixed |
| | | | (In thousands) | | Rate | Rate |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 72,442 | \$ 47,364 | \$ 6,351 | \$ 126,157 | \$ 7,596 | \$ 46,119 |
| Construction | 227,180 | 88,758 | 283 | 316,221 | 14,758 | 74,283 |
| Commercial real estate | 8,405 | 94,995 | 83,836 | 187,236 | 86,800 | 92,031 |
| Residential real estate | 1,536 | 17,916 | 10,696 | 30,148 | 1,065 | 27,547 |
| Consumer and other | 2,465 | 1,058 | 122 | 3,645 | 709 | 471 |
| Total | \$ 312,028 | \$ 250,091 | \$ 101,288 | \$ 663,407 | \$ 110,928 | \$ 240,451 |

Concentrations. As of December 31, 2005, in management's judgment, a concentration of loans existed in real estate-related loans. At that date, real estate-related loans comprised 80% of gross loans. See Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Although management believes the loans within this concentration have no more than the normal risk of collectibility, a substantial decline in the performance of the economy in general or a decline in real estate values in our primary market areas, in particular, could have an adverse impact on collectibility, increase the level of real estate-related non-performing loans, or have other adverse effects which alone or in the aggregate could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Non-Performing Assets. Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more past due or at such earlier time as management determines timely recognition of interest to be in doubt. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts that the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of interest is doubtful.

The following table summarizes the loans for which the accrual of interest has been discontinued and loans more than 90 days past due and still accruing interest, including those loans that have been restructured, and other real estate owned, which we refer to as OREO:

| | 2005 | 2004 | At December 31, | | |
|---|--------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Non-accrual loans, not restructured | \$ 912 | \$ 966 | \$ 1,596 | \$ 3,213 | \$ 5,584 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 3 | 2 | | 21 | 4 |
| Restructured loans | | | 719 | | |
| Total non-performing loans (NPLs) | 915 | 968 | 2,315 | 3,234 | 5,588 |
| OREO | | 2,191 | 1,192 | 2,655 | 2,637 |
| Total non-performing assets (NPAs) | \$ 915 | \$ 3,159 | \$ 3,507 | \$ 5,889 | \$ 8,225 |

Selected ratios:

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| NPLs to total loans | 0.14% | 0.24% | 0.66% | 1.10% | 2.26% |
| NPAs to total loans and OREO | 0.14% | 0.78% | 1.00% | 1.99% | 3.29% |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| NPA's to total assets | 0.10% | 0.55% | 0.76% | 1.47% | 2.71% |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

50

Table of Contents

Between the years 2001 and 2005, we experienced an improvement in credit quality as measured by non-performing loans and assets as a percent of total loans and total assets respectively. This was due to the following factors: (i) the recruitment of a seasoned Chief Operating Officer (currently functioning as Bank President) and the subsequent hiring of a new Chief Credit Officer, Credit Administrator and very experienced lending personnel; (ii) the continued prosperity of the southern Nevada economy; and (iii) the appreciation of real estate values which has benefited the large commercial real estate portfolio.

At December 31, 2005, we had \$912 thousand in non-accrual loans, which consisted of loans to 6 borrowers, including 4 acquired from Bank of Commerce.

OREO Properties. All OREO properties were sold prior to February 28, 2005.

Impaired Loans. Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the loan agreement. The category of impaired loans is not coextensive with the category of non-accrual loans, although the two categories overlap. Non-accrual loans include impaired loans, which are not reviewed on a collective basis for impairment, and are those loans on which the accrual of interest is discontinued when collectibility of principal and interest is uncertain or payments of principal or interest have become contractually past due 90 days.

In determining whether or not a loan is impaired, we apply our normal loan review procedures on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the circumstances surrounding the loan and borrower, including the collateral value, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed and the length of the delay. We measure impairment on a loan-by-loan basis using either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, less estimated selling costs. Loans for which an insignificant shortfall in amount of payments is anticipated, but where we expect to collect all amounts due, are not considered impaired.

As a separate categorization, any troubled debt restructurings are defined as loans that we have agreed to modify by accepting below-market terms, either by granting interest rate concessions or by deferring principal and/or interest payments.

Loans aggregating \$2.1 million at December 31, 2005, \$916 thousand at December 31, 2004 and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2003 were designated as impaired. In August of 2005, we acquired impaired loans from Bank of Commerce with a fair value of approximately \$351,000. The total allowance for loan losses related to

Table of Contents

the total impaired loans was \$336 thousand at December 31, 2005, \$444 thousand at December 31, 2004 and \$269 thousand at December 31, 2003.

The amount of interest income that we would have recorded on non-accrual and impaired loans, had the loans been current, totaled \$60 thousand for 2005, \$93 thousand at December 31, 2004 and \$128 thousand for 2003. All payments received on loans classified as non-accrual are applied to principal, and accordingly, no income on such loans was included in our net income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

At December 31, 2005, we had no loans not disclosed above as non-accrual loans, as to which we had serious doubts as to the ability of the borrower to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in disclosure of these loans as non-accrual loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We must maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses, or ALLL, based on a comprehensive methodology that assesses the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Like all financial institutions, we maintain an ALLL based on a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and non-accrual loans, asset classifications, loan grades, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral value, historical loss experience, peer group loss experience, size and complexity of individual credits and economic conditions. Provisions for loan losses are provided on both a specific and general basis. Specific allowances are provided for impaired credits for which the expected/anticipated loss is measurable. General valuation allowances are based on a portfolio segmentation based on risk grading with a further evaluation of various quantitative and qualitative factors noted above.

We incorporate statistics provided through the FDIC regarding loss percentages experienced by banks in the western United States, as well as an internal five-year loss history to establish potential risk based on collateral type securing each loan. As an additional comparison, we examine local peer group banks to determine the nature and scope of their losses to date. Such examination provides a geographic-and size-specific flavor for trends in the local banking community. Finally, we closely examine each credit graded Special Mention and below to individually assess the appropriate loan loss reserve for a particular credit.

We periodically review the assumptions and formulae by which additions are made to the specific and general valuation allowances for losses in an effort to refine such allowances in light of the current status of the factors described above.

Specific Allocations. All classified loans are carefully evaluated for loss portions or potential loss exposure. The evaluation occurs at the time the loan is classified and on a regular basis thereafter (at least quarterly). This evaluation is documented in a problem asset status report relating to a specific loan or relationship. Specific allocation of reserves considers the value of the collateral, the financial condition of the borrower, and industry and current economic trends. We review the collateral value, cash flow, and tertiary support on each classified credit. Any deficiency outlined by a real estate collateral evaluation liquidation analysis, or cash flow shortfall is accounted for through a specific allocation reserve calculation for the loan.

For classified loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of the loan pursuant to FASB statement No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*.

General Allowances. We perform a portfolio segmentation based on loan type and risk grading. Credits are rated into eight different categories (Grades 1-8), with a percentage of the portfolio, based on grade, allocated to the allowance pursuant to FASB statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. The loss factors for each risk grade are determined by management based on management's overall assessment of the overall credit quality at month end taking into account various quantitative and qualitative factors such as trends of past due and non-accrual loans, asset classifications, loan grades, collateral value, historical loss experience and economic

Table of Contents

conditions. The first four grades are considered satisfactory. The other four grades range from a Watch/Pass category to a Doubtful category. For a discussion of these four grades, see Item 1. Business Classified Assets.

The following table sets forth the activity in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

| | 2005 | 2004 | Year Ended December 31, 2003 (Dollars in thousands) | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|------------|------------|---|------------|------------|
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 6,133 | \$ 5,409 | \$ 4,688 | \$ 3,700 | \$ 2,827 |
| Loans charged off during period: | | | | | |
| Commercial | 180 | 367 | 617 | 751 | 899 |
| Construction | | 1 | 180 | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | 3 | | |
| Residential real estate | | | 177 | 129 | 74 |
| Consumer and other | 40 | 15 | 59 | 153 | 64 |
| Total | 220 | 383 | 1,036 | 1,033 | 1,037 |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial | 186 | 121 | 6 | 63 | |
| Construction | | 1 | | | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | | 63 | 26 | | |
| Consumer and other | | | 2 | | 1 |
| Total | 186 | 185 | 34 | 63 | 1 |
| Net loans and leases charged off | 34 | 198 | 1,002 | 970 | 1,036 |
| Allowance resulting from acquisition | 933 | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 1,723 | 1,958 | 1,909 |
| Ending balance | \$ 8,117 | \$ 6,133 | \$ 5,409 | \$ 4,688 | \$ 3,700 |
| Gross loans | \$ 663,407 | \$ 403,270 | \$ 350,082 | \$ 293,535 | \$ 247,182 |
| Average loans | 510,252 | 362,493 | 320,758 | 272,816 | 219,438 |
| Non-performing loans | 915 | 968 | 2,315 | 3,234 | 5,588 |
| Selected ratios: | | | | | |
| Net charge-offs to average loans | 0.01% | 0.05% | 0.31% | 0.36% | 0.47% |
| Provision for loan losses to average loans | 0.21% | 0.25% | 0.54% | 0.72% | 0.87% |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding at end of period | 1.22% | 1.52% | 1.55% | 1.60% | 1.50% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 887.1% | 633.6% | 233.7% | 145.0% | 66.2% |

We consider many factors to determine the amount and allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Loss ranges are based on the losses experienced by comparable banks throughout the United States, with total assets under \$1 billion. We also review the loss experience of peer banks located in the greater Las Vegas area. These statistics are measured against our current allocation and our historical loss record (for the previous five-year period) to aid in assessing the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses.

For commercial banks generally, the Commercial and Industrial Loans not secured by real estate category represents the highest risk category. This category has been the largest historical source of losses for us. As a result, we make a significant allocation to this category. The commercial portfolio, which makes up approximately 19% of our total loans as of December 31, 2005, is further segmented by sub categories for allowance for loan losses calculation purposes. Categories with historically high losses and risk characteristics,

Table of Contents

such as certain SBA, UCC secured loans and unsecured loans are provided a higher loss factor. Other categories, such as stock and bond secured or assignment of cash collateral loans are provided a nominal loss factor based upon a history of minimal losses. While the majority of our historical charge offs have occurred in the commercial portfolio, we believe that the allowance allocation is adequate when considering the current composition of the categories of the commercial loans and related loss factors that are utilized.

Our construction portfolio reflects some borrower concentration risk, and also carries the enhanced risks encountered with construction loans generally. Construction activity in the greater Las Vegas area is currently intense, presenting challenges in the timely completion of projects. With any unanticipated problems, a project can be delayed for an extended period, as unscheduled work can be difficult to accomplish due to the high demand for construction workers, and delays associated with permitting issues. For these reasons, a higher allocation is justified in this loan category.

Our commercial real estate loans are a mixture of new and seasoned properties, retail, office, warehouse, and some special purpose. Loans on properties are generally underwritten at a loan to value ratio of less than 75% with a minimum debt coverage ratio of 1.25. Our grading system allows our loan portfolio, including real estate, to be ranked across four pass risk grades. Generally, the real estate loan portfolio is risk rated 4 Acceptable Risk. The risk rated reserve factor increases with each grade increase, and the general real estate portfolio grade of 4 is more reflective of the various risks inherent in the real estate portfolio, such as large size and complexity of individual credits, and overall concentration of credit risk. Accordingly, a greater allowance allocation is provided on commercial real estate loans.

The following table indicates management's allocation of the allowance and the percent of loans in each category to total loans as of each of the following dates:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | 2005 | | 2004 | | 2003 | | 2002 | | 2001 | |
| | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | |
| | of Loans | | of Loans | | of Loans | | of Loans | | of Loans | |
| | in Each | | in Each | | in Each | | in Each | | in Each | |
| | Allocation | Category | Allocation | Category | Allocation | Category | Allocation | Category | Allocation | Category |
| | of the | to Total | of the | to Total | of the | to Total | of the | to Total | of the | to Total |
| Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 5,650 | 19.1% | \$ 4,409 | 14.8% | \$ 3,772 | 17.8% | \$ 3,319 | 21.2% | \$ 2,657 | 22.4% |
| Construction | 415 | 47.7% | 237 | 41.4% | 228 | 38.2% | 190 | 38.8% | 140 | 27.8% |
| Commercial real estate | 1,389 | 28.2% | 893 | 36.9% | 858 | 35.0% | 715 | 30.5% | 561 | 40.0% |
| Residential real estate | 225 | 4.5% | 238 | 6.0% | 228 | 7.7% | 190 | 8.1% | 142 | 7.6% |
| Consumer and Other | 438 | 0.5% | 356 | 0.9% | 323 | 1.3% | 274 | 1.4% | 200 | 2.2% |
| Total | \$ 8,117 | 100.0% | \$ 6,133 | 100.0% | \$ 5,409 | 100.0% | \$ 4,688 | 100.0% | \$ 3,700 | 100.0% |

Investments

The carrying value of our investment securities at December 31, 2005 totaled \$94 million, compared to \$84 million at December 31, 2004, \$69 million at December 31, 2003. The increases experienced year over year, are a result of growth in deposits and the acquisition of Bank of Commerce. Our portfolio of investment securities during 2005, 2004, and 2003 consisted primarily of U.S. Government agencies, agency mortgage-backed securities and obligations of states and political subdivisions.

Table of Contents

The carrying value of our portfolio of investment securities at December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004, and 2003 was as follows:

| | Carrying Value | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | at December 31, | | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,009 |
| U.S. Government agencies | 27,338 | 14,866 | 14,397 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 23,153 | 23,590 | 24,516 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 43,348 | 45,064 | 27,328 |
| Other securities | 504 | 521 | 1,603 |
| Total investment securities | \$ 94,343 | \$ 84,041 | \$ 68,853 |

The following tables show the maturities of investment securities at December 31, 2005, and the weighted average yields of such securities, excluding the benefit of tax-exempt securities:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | After One Year | | | | After Five Years | | | |
| | But Within | | But Within | | But Within | | After | |
| | Within One Year Amount | Yield | Five Years Amount | Yield | Ten Years Amount | Yield | Ten Years Amount | Yield |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 15,545 | 4.01% | \$ 11,793 | 4.00% | \$ | | \$ | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 815 | 6.12% | 13,769 | 5.31% | 8,569 | 6.06% | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 80 | 4.18% | 37,841 | 4.05% | 5,427 | 4.49% | | |
| Other securities | | | | | 504 | 6.97% | | |
| Total investment securities | \$ 16,440 | 4.11% | \$ 63,403 | 4.31% | \$ 14,500 | 5.50% | \$ | |

Deposits

Total deposits were \$725 million at December 31, 2005 compared to \$476 million at December 31, 2004. The increase in total deposits since December 31, 2004 is attributed primarily to the acquisition of Bank of Commerce, our commitment to relationship banking and the focus on core deposits along with increased performance based incentives. Non-interest-bearing demand deposits increased to \$196 million, or 27.1% of total deposits, at December 31, 2005, from \$122 million, or 25.6% of total deposits, at December 31, 2004. Interest-bearing deposits are comprised of money market accounts, regular savings accounts, CDs of under \$100,000 and CDs of \$100,000 or more. Brokered deposits totaled \$33.3 million and \$0 at December 31, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and are included in certificates of deposit.

The following table shows the average amount and average rate paid on the categories of deposits for each of the years indicated:

| 2005 | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | 2003 | |
|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Average | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average |
| Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Interest-bearing demand | \$ 25,678 | 1.23% | \$ 19,459 | 0.47% | \$ 12,617 | 0.36% |
| Money market | 254,793 | 2.42% | 190,322 | 1.52% | 136,569 | 1.68% |
| Savings | 6,361 | 0.57% | 6,069 | 0.23% | 4,185 | 0.19% |
| Time | 138,931 | 3.01% | 125,898 | 2.43% | 138,758 | 3.12% |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 152,912 | 0.00% | 124,737 | 0.00% | 94,357 | 0.00% |
| Total | \$ 578,675 | 1.85% | \$ 466,485 | 1.30% | \$ 386,486 | 1.73% |

Table of Contents

Additionally, the following table shows the maturities of CDs of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2005:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Due in three months or less | \$ 6,145 |
| Due in over three months through six months | 19,094 |
| Due in over six months through twelve months | 42,429 |
| Due in over twelve months | 6,836 |
| Total | \$ 74,504 |

Capital Resources and Subordinated Debt

Current risk-based regulatory capital standards generally require banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of core or Tier I capital (consisting principally of common equity) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4%, a ratio of Tier I capital to adjusted total assets (leverage ratio) of at least 4% and a ratio of total capital (which includes Tier I capital plus certain forms of subordinated debt, a portion of the allowance for loan losses and preferred stock) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8%. Risk-weighted assets are calculated by multiplying the balance in each category of assets by a risk factor, which ranges from zero for cash assets and certain government obligations to 100% for some types of loans, and adding the products together.

| | Regulatory Requirements (Greater Than or Equal to Stated Percentage) | | Actual at December 31, 2005 | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | Adequately Capitalized | Well Capitalized | Community Bank | Community |
| | | | of Nevada | Bancorp |
| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | 4.0% | 5.0% | 9.3% | 13.1% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 4.0% | 6.0% | 10.4% | 14.2% |
| Total risk-based capital | 8.0% | 10.0% | 11.4% | 16.3% |

We were well capitalized at both the bank and holding company at December 31, 2005 for federal regulatory purposes.

In order to manage our capital position more efficiently, we formed Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I, a Connecticut statutory trust formed with capital of \$464 thousand, for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. During the fourth quarter of 2002, Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I issued 15,000 Floating Rate Capital Trust Pass-Through Securities, or the trust preferred securities, with liquidation value of \$1,000 per security, for gross proceeds of \$15.0 million. The entire proceeds of the issuance were invested by Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I in \$15.5 million of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, or the subordinated debentures, issued by us, with identical maturity, re-pricing and payment terms as the trust preferred securities. The subordinated debentures represent the sole assets of Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I. The subordinated debentures mature on September 26, 2032, and bear an interest rate at December 31, 2005 of 7.92% (based on 3-month LIBOR plus 3.40%), with re-pricing occurring and interest payments due quarterly. We injected \$8 million of the net proceeds from the sale of the subordinated debentures into Community Bank of Nevada and retained the remaining proceeds for the needs of Community Bancorp. The subordinated debentures are redeemable by us, subject to our receipt of prior approval from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, on any March 26 or December 26 on or after December 26, 2007.

In September 2005, we completed a pooled placement of \$20.6 million of trust preferred securities through Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust II (the Trust), a statutory trust formed by us with capital of \$619 thousand for that purpose. The proceeds from the sale of the securities by the Trust were used by the Trust to purchase \$20.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our fixed/floating rate junior deferrable interest debentures, which are due in 2035. These debentures have identical maturity, re-pricing and payment terms as the trust preferred securities from the Trust and bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.94% for the first seven years, after which the rate will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 1.37% per annum, and are redeemable, in

Table of Contents

whole or in part, without penalty, at our option after seven years. The interest payments on the debentures made by us will be used to pay the quarterly distributions payable by the Trust to the holders of the trust preferred securities. The net proceeds from the sale of the subordinated debentures are retained for the needs of Community Bancorp.

Both trusts have the option to defer payment of the distributions for a period of up to five years, but during any such deferral, we would be restricted from paying dividends. See Trading History and Dividend Policy. We have guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, distributions and other payments due on the trust preferred securities issued by both trusts. For financial reporting purposes, our investments in the trusts are accounted for under the equity method and included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The subordinated debentures issued and guaranteed by us and held by the trusts are reflected on our consolidated balance sheet in accordance with provisions of Interpretation No. 46 issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*.

Under applicable regulatory guidelines, \$27,013,000 of the trust preferred securities currently qualify as Tier 1 capital, although this classification may be subject to future change.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Community Bancorp and Community Bank of Nevada, in the conduct of ordinary business operations routinely enter into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for the early termination of the contracts. Community Bancorp and Community Bank of Nevada are also parties to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. In addition, in connection with the issuance of the trust preferred securities, Community Bancorp has committed to irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee the following payments or distributions with respect to the preferred securities to the holders thereof to the extent that Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I and Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust II have not made such payments or distributions and has the funds therefore: (i) accrued and unpaid distributions, (ii) the redemption price, and (iii) upon a dissolution or termination of the trust, the lesser of the liquidation amount and all accrued and unpaid distributions and the amount of assets of the trust remaining available for distribution. Management does not believe that these off-balance sheet arrangements have a material current effect on Community Bancorp's and Community Bank of Nevada's financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources, but there can be no assurance that such arrangements will not have a future effect. See our Financial Statements for more information regarding our commitments.

The following table sets forth our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2005:

| | | Less Than | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| | Total | 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | After 5 Years |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | \$ 36,083 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 36,083 |
| Short term borrowings | 16,000 | 16,000 | | | |
| Long term debt | 3,500 | | 3,500 | | |
| Operating lease obligations | 9,284 | 1,015 | 2,091 | 2,152 | 4,026 |
| Total | \$ 64,867 | \$ 17,015 | \$ 5,591 | \$ 2,152 | \$ 40,109 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our other significant commitments at December 31, 2005:

| | Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Total | | | | |
| | Amounts | Less Than | | | After |
| | Committed | 1 Year | 1-3 Years (In thousands) | 3-5 Years | 5 Years |
| Other Commitments | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 215,052 | \$ 100,151 | \$ 92,933 | \$ 17,840 | \$ 4,128 |
| Credit cards | 1,644 | | 1,644 | | |
| Standby letters of credit | 3,224 | 1,214 | 10 | 2,000 | |
| Total | \$ 219,920 | \$ 101,365 | \$ 94,587 | \$ 19,840 | \$ 4,128 |

Liquidity

The ability to have readily available funds sufficient to repay fully maturing liabilities is of primary importance to depositors, creditors and regulators. Our liquidity, represented by cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and available-for-sale securities, is a result of our operating, investing and financing activities and related cash flows. In order to ensure funds are available at all times, we devote resources to projecting on a monthly basis the amount of funds that will be required and maintains relationships with a diversified customer base so funds are accessible. Liquidity requirements can also be met through short-term borrowings or the disposition of short-term assets. We have borrowing lines at correspondent banks totaling \$19.0 million. In addition, securities are pledged to the FHLB totaling \$31 million as of December 31, 2005 and \$4 million securities pledged to the FRB Discount window. As of December 31, 2005 we had \$54 million in securities available to be sold or pledged to the FHLB and/or FRB Discount Window.

We have a formal liquidity policy, and in the opinion of management, our liquid assets are considered adequate to meet our cash flow needs for loan funding and deposit cash withdrawal for the next 60-90 days. At December 31, 2005, we had \$180 million in liquid assets comprised of \$87 million in cash and cash equivalents (including fed funds sold of \$65 million) and \$93 million in available-for-sale securities.

We will concentrate on generating core deposits, e.g. savings, interest-bearing transaction accounts, money market accounts and time certificates of deposit from existing and potential customers. In addition, we will continually assess the benefits of using wholesale funding sources. Wholesale funding includes borrowings from outside sources such as the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, as well as brokered certificates of deposit. We acknowledge that wholesale liabilities may carry a higher interest cost than core deposits and that these sources may be more volatile in terms of availability than core deposits. Wholesale funding sources will be utilized as a complement to our overall funding and operating strategy.

The Bank has entered into an agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), under which we can obtain advances from FHLB. These advances are collateralized by a blanket lien on all loans secured by real estate and all business loans. The interest rate charged is determined by the FHLB at the time of the advance. The agreement can be terminated by the FHLB at any time. As of December 31, 2005, \$150.9 million in loans were pledged as part of the blanket lien. Loans with a balance of approximately \$18,647,000 were pledged as collateral on advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as of December 31, 2004.

Our liquidity is comprised of three primary classifications: cash flows from operating activities; cash flows used in investing activities; and cash flows provided by financing activities. Net cash provided by operating activities has consisted primarily of net income adjusted for changes in certain other asset and liability accounts and certain non-cash income and expense items such as the loan loss provision, investment and other amortizations and depreciation. At December 31, 2005, net cash provided by operating activities was \$11.2 million, compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$9.0 million for the same period of 2004. For the years ended December 31, 2003, net cash provided by operating activities was \$9.1 million.

Table of Contents

Our primary investing activities are the origination of real estate, commercial and consumer loans and purchase and sale of securities. Our net cash provided by and used in investing activities has been primarily influenced by our loan activity and in 2005 was impacted by the \$6.4 million net cash used for the Bank of Commerce acquisition. Net increases in loans for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, were \$155 million, \$55 million and \$59 million, respectively.

Net cash used in all investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$152.2 million, \$79.9 million and \$63.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2005 we had outstanding loan commitments of \$217 million and outstanding letters of credit of \$3 million. We anticipate that we will have sufficient funds available to meet current loan commitments.

Net cash provided by financing activities has been impacted significantly by both increases in deposit levels and proceeds received from the issuance of junior subordinated debt. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, deposits increased by \$131 million, \$73 million and \$52 million, respectively. The proceeds from the issuance of our trust preferred securities in September 2005 provided an additional \$20 million in cash. The proceeds from our IPO in December 2004 provided an additional \$39 million in cash.

Federal and state banking regulations place certain restrictions on dividends paid by Community Bank of Nevada to Community Bancorp. The total amount of dividends which may be paid at any date is generally limited to the retained earnings of Community Bank of Nevada. At December 31, 2005, Community Bank of Nevada's retained earnings available for the payment of dividends was approximately \$25 million. In addition, \$75 million of Community Bancorp's equity in net assets of Community Bank of Nevada was restricted at December 31, 2005. Accordingly, dividends paid by Community Bank of Nevada to Community Bancorp would be prohibited if the effect thereof would cause Community Bank of Nevada's capital to be reduced below applicable minimum capital requirements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market prices and rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Our market risk arises primarily from interest rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit taking activities. To that end, management actively monitors and manages our interest rate risk exposure. We do not have any market risk sensitive instruments entered into for trading purposes. We manage our interest rate sensitivity by matching the re-pricing opportunities on our earning assets to those on our funding liabilities.

Management uses various asset/liability strategies to manage the re-pricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities designed to ensure that exposure to interest rate fluctuations is limited within our guidelines of acceptable levels of risk-taking. Hedging strategies, including the terms and pricing of loans and deposits, and managing the deployment of our securities are used to reduce mismatches in interest rate re-pricing opportunities of portfolio assets and their funding sources.

Interest rate risk is addressed by our Asset Liability Management Committee, or the ALCO, which is comprised of all the executive officers of the bank. The ALCO monitors interest rate risk by analyzing the potential impact on the net portfolio of equity value and net interest income from potential changes in interest rates, and considers the impact of alternative strategies or changes in balance sheet structure. The ALCO manages our balance sheet in part to maintain the potential impact on net portfolio value and net interest income within acceptable ranges despite changes in interest rates.

Our exposure to interest rate risk is reviewed on at least a quarterly basis by the ALCO and our Board of Directors. Interest rate risk exposure is measured using interest rate sensitivity analysis to determine our change in net portfolio value in the event of hypothetical changes in interest rates. If potential changes to net portfolio value and net interest income resulting from hypothetical interest rate changes are not within the limits established by our Board of Directors, the Board of Directors may direct management to adjust the asset and liability mix to bring interest rate risk within board-approved limits.

Table of Contents

Market Value of Portfolio Equity. We measure the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, defined as market value of portfolio equity, using a simulation model. This simulation model assesses the changes in the market value of interest rate sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease (shock) in market interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points.

At December 31, 2005, our market value of portfolio equity exposure related to these hypothetical changes in market interest rates was within the current guidelines established by us. The following table shows our projected change in market value of portfolio equity for this set of rate shocks as of December 31, 2005:

Market Value of Portfolio Equity

(Dollars in thousands)

| Interest Rate Scenario | Market Value | Percentage | Percentage of Total Assets | Percentage of |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Change from Base | | Portfolio Equity Book Value |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ 103,680 | 1.69 % | 11.61% | 97.13% |
| Up 100 basis points | 102,618 | 0.65 % | 11.50% | 96.13% |
| BASE | 101,954 | | 11.42% | 95.51% |
| Down 100 basis points | 101,291 | (0.65)% | 11.35% | 94.89% |
| Down 200 basis points | 100,880 | (1.05)% | 11.30% | 94.50% |

The computation of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, asset prepayments, expected life, decay rates of non-maturing deposits, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Further, the computations do not contemplate any actions we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates. Actual amounts may differ from the projections set forth above should market conditions vary from the underlying assumptions.

Net Interest Income Simulation. In order to measure interest rate risk at December 31, 2005, we used a simulation model to project changes in net interest income that result from forecasted changes in interest rates. This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using a rising and a falling interest rate scenario and a net interest income forecast using a base market interest rate derived from the current treasury yield curve. The income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the re-pricing relationships for each of our products. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to re-price immediately, and to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index. Some loans and investment vehicles include the opportunity of prepayment (embedded options), and accordingly the simulation model uses national indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest their proceeds at current yields. Our non-term deposit products re-price more slowly, usually changing less than the change in market rates and at our discretion.

This analysis indicates the impact of changes in net interest income for the given set of rate changes and assumptions. It assumes the balance sheet grows modestly, but that its structure will remain similar to the structure at year-end. It does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or secondary impacts such as changes to our credit risk profile as interest rates change.

Furthermore, loan prepayment rate estimates and spread relationships change regularly. Interest rate changes create changes in actual loan prepayment rates that will differ from the market estimates incorporated in this analysis. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions may have significant effects on our net interest income.

Table of Contents

For the rising and falling interest rate scenarios, the base market interest rate forecast was increased or decreased, on an instantaneous and sustained basis, by 100 and 200 basis points. At December 31, 2005, our net interest margin exposure related to these hypothetical changes in market interest rates was within the current guidelines established by us.

Sensitivity of Net Interest Income

(Dollars in thousands)

| Interest Rate Scenario | Adjusted Net Interest Income (1) | Percentage Change from Base | Net Interest Margin Percent (2) | Net Interest |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | Margin Change (in basis points) |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ 45,692 | 15.53 % | 5.54% | 75 |
| Up 100 basis points | 42,788 | 8.19 % | 5.18% | 39 |
| BASE | 39,551 | | 4.79% | |
| Down 100 basis points | 36,590 | (7.49)% | 4.43% | (36) |
| Down 200 basis points | 33,451 | (15.42)% | 4.05% | (74) |

(1) Excludes Loan fees.

(2) These percentages are not comparable to other information discussing the percent of net interest margin since the income simulation does not take into account loan fees.

It is important to note that the above table is a summary of several forecasts and actual results may vary. The forecasts are based on estimates and assumptions of management that may turn out to be different and may change over time. Factors affecting these estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, competitors' behavior, economic conditions both locally and nationally, actions taken by the Federal Reserve Board, customer behavior, and management's responses. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions and estimates may have significant effects on Community Bank of Nevada's net interest income. Therefore the results of this analysis should not be relied upon as indicative of actual future results. Historically, the Bank has been able to manage its Net Interest Income in a fairly narrow range reflecting the Bank's relative insensitivity to interest rate changes. The impact of prepayment behavior on mortgages, real estate loans, mortgage backed securities, securities with call features, etc. is not considered material to the sensitivity analysis. Over the last 5 years, the Bank's net interest margin (which is net interest income divided by average earning assets of the Bank) has ranged from a low of 4.65% to a high of 5.12% (not taxable equivalent) which we achieved in 2005, coming off the low levels experienced in 2004 and 2003 of 4.65% and 4.72%, respectively. The improvement in the net interest margin in 2005 is a result of both the higher level of interest rates and the change in mix of earning assets. The net interest margin under the alternative scenarios ranges from 4.05% to 5.54%. Management feels this range of scenarios is consistent with current experience and interest rate levels, but no assurances can be given that actual future experience will fall within this range.

Gap Analysis. Another way to measure the impact that future changes in interest rates will have on net interest income is through a cumulative gap measure. The gap represents the net position of assets and liabilities subject to re-pricing in specified time periods.

The following table sets forth the distribution of re-pricing opportunities of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the interest rate sensitivity gap (that is, interest rate sensitive assets less interest rate sensitive liabilities), cumulative interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap, the ratio of cumulative interest-earning assets to cumulative interest-bearing liabilities and the cumulative gap as a percentage of total assets and total interest-earning assets as of December 31, 2005. The table also sets forth the time periods during which interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities will mature or may re-price in accordance with their contractual terms. The interest rate relationships between the

Table of Contents

re-priceable assets and re-priceable liabilities are not necessarily constant and may be affected by many factors, including the behavior of customers in response to changes in interest rates.

This table should, therefore, be used only as a guide as to the possible effect changes in interest rates might have on our net interest margins.

| December 31, 2005 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amounts Maturing or Re-pricing in | | | | | |
| | 3 Months | Over 3 Months | Over 1 Year | | | |
| | or Less | to 12 Months | to 3 Years | Over 5 Years | Non-Sensitive (1) | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 22,221 | \$ 22,221 |
| Federal funds sold | 64,683 | | | | | 64,683 |
| Investment securities | 8,912 | 18,221 | 50,425 | 18,202 | (1,417) | 94,343 |
| Loans | 509,142 | 24,673 | 72,294 | 53,582 | (8,117) | 651,574 |
| Other assets | | | | | 59,887 | 59,887 |
| Total assets | \$ 582,737 | \$ 42,894 | \$ 122,719 | \$ 71,784 | \$ 72,574 | \$ 892,708 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | |
| Non-interest-bearing demand deposits | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 196,411 | \$ 196,411 |
| Interest-bearing demand, money market and savings | 344,395 | | | | | 344,395 |
| Time certificates of deposit | 19,998 | 140,396 | 23,888 | | | 184,282 |
| Short-term debt | | 16,000 | | | | 16,000 |
| Long-term debt | 35,000 | | 3,500 | | 1,083 | 39,583 |
| Other liabilities | | | | | 5,288 | 5,288 |
| Shareholders' equity | | | | | 106,749 | 106,749 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 399,393 | \$ 156,396 | \$ 27,388 | \$ | \$ 309,531 | \$ 892,708 |
| Period gap | \$ 183,344 | \$ (113,502) | \$ 95,331 | \$ 71,784 | | |
| Cumulative interest-earning assets | 582,737 | 625,631 | 748,350 | 820,134 | | |
| Cumulative interest-bearing liabilities | 399,393 | 555,789 | 583,177 | 583,177 | | |
| Cumulative gap | 183,344 | 69,842 | 165,173 | 236,957 | | |
| Cumulative interest-earning assets to cumulative interest-bearing liabilities | 145.9% | 112.6% | 128.3% | 140.6% | | |
| Cumulative gap as a percent of: | | | | | | |
| Total assets | 20.5% | 7.8% | 18.5% | 26.5% | | |
| Interest-earning assets | 22.4% | 8.5% | 20.1% | 28.9% | | |

(1) Assets or liabilities and equity which are not interest rate-sensitive.

At December 31, 2005, we had \$626 million in assets and \$556 million in liabilities re-pricing within one year. This means that \$70 million more of our interest rate sensitive assets than our interest rate sensitive liabilities will change to the then current rate (changes occur due to the instruments being at a variable rate or because the maturity of the instrument requires its replacement at the then current rate). The ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities maturing or re-pricing within one year at December 31, 2005 is 112.6%. This analysis indicates that at December 31, 2005, if interest rates were to increase, the gap would result

Table of Contents

in a slightly higher net interest margin. However, changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. In addition, the interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly while the timing of re-pricing of both the asset and its supporting liability can remain the same, thus impacting net interest income. This characteristic is referred to as basis risk, and generally relates to the re-pricing characteristics of short-term funding sources such as certificates of deposit.

Gap analysis has certain limitations. Measuring the volume of re-pricing or maturing assets and liabilities does not always measure the full impact on the portfolio value of equity or net interest income. Gap analysis does not account for rate caps on products; dynamic changes such as increasing prepayment speeds as interest rates decrease, basis risk, embedded options or the benefit of no-rate funding sources. The relation between product rate re-pricing and market rate changes (basis risk) is not the same for all products.

The majority of interest-earning assets generally re-price along with a movement in market rates, while non-term deposit rates in general move more slowly and usually incorporate only a fraction of the change in market rates. Products categorized as non-rate sensitive, such as our non-interest-bearing demand deposits, in the gap analysis behave like long term fixed rate funding sources. Management uses income simulation, net interest income rate shocks and market value of portfolio equity as its primary interest rate risk management tools.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) published FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R) or the Statement). SFAS 123(R) requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS 123(R) permits entities to use any option-pricing model that meets the fair value objective in the Statement.

The Statement is effective at the beginning of the first quarter of 2006. As of the effective date, we will apply the Statement using a modified version of the prospective application. Under that transition method, compensation cost will be recognized for (1) all awards granted after the required effective date and to awards modified, cancelled, or repurchased after that date and (2) the portion of awards granted subsequent to the completion of our IPO and prior to the effective date for which the requisite service has not yet been rendered, based on the grant-date fair value of those awards calculated for pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123.

The impact of this Statement on us in 2006 and beyond will depend upon various factors, among them being our future compensation strategy. The pro forma compensation costs presented in these and prior financial statements have been calculated using a minimum value method for options granted prior to our IPO and a fair value method after that date. The pro forma costs may not be indicative of amounts which should be expected in future periods.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For a discussion of quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, please see Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements and supplementary data included in this annual report are listed in Item 15 and begin at page F-1.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of December 31, 2005, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b), as adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to timely alert them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiary) required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings.

Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls, or in other factors which could significantly affect these controls, over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2005, management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission* (COSO). Based on the assessment, management determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on those criteria.

The Company acquired Bank of Commerce on August 26, 2005, and management excluded the operations of the former Bank of Commerce branches from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. While the acquisition was considered material to the Company (contributing \$118.2 million in total deposits at date of acquisition), it did not result in a material change in our internal controls over financial reporting.

McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements of the Company included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. The report, which expresses unqualified opinions on management's assessment and on the

Table of Contents

effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is included below under the heading "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

The Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures, or its internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

/s/ EDWARD M. JAMISON
Edward M. Jamison

/s/ CATHY ROBINSON
Cathy Robinson

Chief Executive Officer

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

Community Bancorp

Las Vegas, Nevada

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*, that Community Bancorp and Subsidiary (collectively referred to as the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

The Company acquired Bank of Commerce on August 26, 2005, and management excluded the operations of the former Bank of Commerce branches from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. Bank of Commerce had total deposit liabilities of approximately \$118 million as of August 26, 2005. Our audit of internal controls over financial reporting of the Company also excluded an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of the former Bank of Commerce branches.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ McGladrey & Pullen, LLP

McGladrey & Pullen, LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada

March 10, 2006

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by Item 10 of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the information contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders which will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct applicable to all of our directors and employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer that meets the definition of a "code of ethics" as adopted by the SEC. A copy of the Code of Conduct was filed as Exhibit 14.1 to the 2004 10-K. In addition, a copy of the Code of Conduct is posted on the Company's website at www.communitybanknv.com.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the information contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders which will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the information contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders which will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by Item 13 of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the information contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders which will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the information contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders which will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents Filed as Part of this Report

(1) The following financial statements are incorporated by reference from Item 8 hereto:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | Page F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u> | Page F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u> | Page F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u> | Page F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | Page F-8 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | Page F-39 |

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Not applicable.

(b) Exhibits

| | |
|-------|--|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, of Community Bancorp (1) |
| 3.1.1 | Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (1) |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of Community Bancorp (1) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Share Certificate for Common Stock (1) |
| 10.1 | 1995 Stock Option and Award Plan (1) |
| 10.2 | Form of 1995 Stock Option and Award Plan Option Agreement (1) |
| 10.3 | 2000 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (1) |
| 10.4 | Lease Agreement, City Centre branch and administrative office lease, dated April 5, 2002, as amended (1) |
| 10.5 | Agreement for Information Technology Services between Community Bank of Nevada and Aurum Technology, Inc., dated August 15, 2001, as amended (1) |
| 10.6 | Community Bank of Nevada 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (1) |
| 10.7 | Employment Agreement with Edward M. Jamison (1) |
| 10.8 | Employment Agreement with Cathy Robinson (1) |
| 10.9 | Employment Agreement with Lawrence Scott (1) |
| 10.10 | 2005 Equity Based Compensation Plan and form award agreements (3) |
| 14.1 | Code of Conduct (2) |
| 21.1 | The subsidiaries of the registrant are Community Bank of Nevada, a Nevada state-chartered bank, Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I, a Connecticut statutory trust, and Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust II, a Connecticut statutory trust. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|------|--|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification by Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification by Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications |

- (1) Included in the Company's S-1 Registration Statements and amendments thereto (333-119395), originally filed September 30, 2004, as amended on November 15 and 24, 2004.
- (2) Included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed on March 30, 2005.
- (3) Included in the Company's Registration Statement (No. 333-126602) on Form S-8, filed on February 14, 2006.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COMMUNITY BANCORP

By: /s/ EDWARD M. JAMISON
Edward M. Jamison

President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 16, 2006

COMMUNITY BANCORP

By: /s/ CATHY ROBINSON
Cathy Robinson

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: March 16, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on March 16, 2006.

| Signature | Capacity |
|---|--|
| /s/ EDWARD M. JAMISON Edward M. Jamison | Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ CATHY ROBINSON Cathy Robinson | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ LAWRENCE SCOTT Lawrence Scott | Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director |
| /s/ CHARLES R. NORTON Charles R. Norton | Director |
| /s/ GARY W. STEWART Gary W. Stewart | Director |
| /s/ RUSSELL C. TAYLOR Russell C. Taylor | Director |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

/s/ JACOB BINGHAM

Director

Jacob Bingham

/s/ JACK WOODCOCK

Director

Jack Woodcock

70

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-39 |

F-1

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****December 31, 2005 and 2004**

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|------------------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 22,221 | \$ 5,328 |
| Federal funds sold | 64,683 | 61,926 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 86,904 | 67,254 |
| Securities available for sale | 92,777 | 82,083 |
| Securities held to maturity (fair market value approximates \$1,601 and \$2,041) | 1,566 | 1,958 |
| Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank (PCBB) stock | 2,861 | 2,219 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$8,117 and \$6,133 | 651,574 | 395,011 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 15,136 | 8,243 |
| Other real estate owned | | 2,191 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,770 | 2,003 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 320 | 2,112 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 9,698 | 9,194 |
| Goodwill | 19,698 | |
| Core deposit intangible, net | 5,077 | |
| Other assets | 3,327 | 1,693 |
| Total assets | \$ 892,708 | \$ 573,961 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing demand | \$ 196,411 | \$ 122,148 |
| Interest bearing: | | |
| Demand | 337,803 | 221,542 |
| Savings | 6,592 | 5,829 |
| Time, \$100,000 or more | 74,504 | 60,822 |
| Other time | 109,778 | 65,911 |
| Total deposits | 725,088 | 476,252 |
| Short term borrowings | 16,000 | 350 |
| Long term debt | 3,500 | |
| Accrued stock appreciation rights | 357 | 2,414 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 4,931 | 1,928 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 36,083 | 15,464 |
| | 60,871 | 20,156 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9) | | |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value: \$0.001; shares authorized: 10,000,000; shares issued: 2005: 7,409,087; 2004: 6,782,048 | 7 | 7 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 71,199 | 51,245 |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Retained earnings | 36,763 | 26,698 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | (935) | 174 |
| | 107,034 | 78,124 |
| Less cost of treasury stock, 34,375 shares | (285) | (285) |
| Less notes receivable arising from the exercise of common stock options | | (286) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 106,749 | 77,553 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 892,708 | \$ 573,961 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-2

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, | | |
| | except per share information) | | |
| Interest and dividend income: | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 40,973 | \$ 26,415 | \$ 24,679 |
| Securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 2,656 | 1,981 | 1,331 |
| Non-Taxable | 838 | 874 | 845 |
| Federal funds sold | 1,738 | 692 | 242 |
| Dividends | 132 | 76 | 46 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 46,337 | 30,038 | 27,143 |
| Interest expense on: | | | |
| Deposits | 10,713 | 6,054 | 6,679 |
| Short term borrowings | 362 | 44 | 52 |
| Long term debt | 43 | | |
| Junior subordinated debt | 1,393 | 764 | 722 |
| | 12,511 | 6,862 | 7,453 |
| Net interest income | 33,826 | 23,176 | 19,690 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 1,723 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 32,741 | 22,254 | 17,967 |
| Other income: | | | |
| Service charges and other income | 1,563 | 991 | 1,050 |
| Loan brokerage and referral fees | 124 | 184 | 446 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 504 | 194 | |
| Net gain on sales of loans | 84 | 108 | 65 |
| Net gain on sales of securities | | 12 | 2 |
| | 2,275 | 1,489 | 1,563 |
| Other expenses: | | | |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits | 12,280 | 8,619 | 7,157 |
| Occupancy, equipment & depreciation | 2,173 | 1,495 | 1,417 |
| Professional fees | 1,415 | 359 | 230 |
| Advertising and public relations | 909 | 587 | 465 |
| Data processing | 730 | 558 | 522 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 446 | 2,095 | 149 |
| Stationery and supplies | 377 | 230 | 209 |
| Insurance | 285 | 249 | 173 |
| Core deposit intangible amortization | 254 | | |
| Telephone and postage | 229 | 198 | 232 |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Director fees | 210 | 172 | 104 |
| Loan related | 171 | 235 | 127 |
| Software maintenance | 102 | 102 | 99 |
| Foreclosed assets, net | (234) | 117 | 103 |
| Other | 1,165 | 930 | 1,033 |
| | 20,512 | 15,946 | 12,020 |
| Income before income taxes | 14,504 | 7,797 | 7,510 |
| Income tax expense | 4,439 | 2,376 | 2,295 |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.13 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.10 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

| Description | Common Stock | | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | | | | | Notes Receivable | Total |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| | Comprehensive Income | Outstanding Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Stock Dividends Distributable | Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | | 4,607,040 | \$ 9,890 | \$ | \$ 16,760 | \$ 138 | \$ 424 | \$ | \$ | \$ 27,212 |
| Distribution of stock dividends declared in 2002 | | 15,915 | 138 | | | (138) | | | | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 5,215 | | | | 5,215 | | | | | 5,215 |
| Unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale arising during the period, net of taxes of (\$16) | (32) | | | | | | (32) | | | (32) |
| | \$ 5,183 | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | | 25,000 | 70 | | | | | | | 70 |
| Tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options | | | 30 | | | | | | | 30 |
| Stock dividends on common stock, \$0.03 per share | | 16,000 | 130 | | (130) | | | | | |
| Cash dividends on common stock (in lieu of fractional shares) | | | | | (9) | | | | | (9) |
| Treasury stock acquired in partial payment of loan | | (26,515) | | | | | | (223) | | (223) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (7,860) | | | | | | (62) | | (62) |
| Stock dividends declared on common stock, \$0.06 per share | | | | | (278) | 278 | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | | 4,629,580 | 10,258 | | 21,558 | 278 | 392 | (285) | | 32,201 |
| Distribution of stock dividends declared in 2003 | | 30,405 | 274 | | | (274) | | | | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 5,421 | | | | 5,421 | | | | | 5,421 |
| Unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale arising during the period, net of taxes of (\$108) | (210) | | | | | | (210) | | | (210) |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gains, net of taxes of (\$4) | (8) | | | | | | (8) | | | (8) |
| | \$ 5,203 | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | | 196,663 | 84 | 606 | | | | | (286) | 404 |
| Tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options | | | 94 | 380 | | | | | | 474 |
| Stock dividends on common stock, \$0.06 per share | | 30,625 | 276 | | (276) | | | | | |
| Cash dividends on common stock (in lieu of fractional shares) | | | | | (5) | (4) | | | | (9) |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----|----|-----|----------|----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 1,860,400 | 2 | 39,278 | | | | | | | 39,280 |
| Change in par value from no par value to \$0.001 | | (10,981) | 10,981 | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 6,747,673 | \$ 7 | \$ 51,245 | \$ 26,698 | \$ | \$ | 174 | \$ (285) | \$ (286) | \$ 77,553 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | | | | | | | | |

F-4

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Continued)****For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

| Description | Comprehensive Income | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Dividends Distributable | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | | Treasury Stock | Notes Receivable | Total |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | | Outstanding Shares | Amount | | | | (Loss) | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | | 6,747,673 | \$ 7 | \$ 51,245 | \$ 26,698 | \$ | \$ 174 | | \$ (285) | \$ (286) | \$ 77,553 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | | | | 10,065 | | | | | | 10,065 |
| Unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale arising during the period, net of taxes of (\$572) | (1,109) | | | | | | (1,109) | | | | (1,109) |
| | \$ 8,956 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | | 18,524 | | 105 | | | | | | | 105 |
| Tax benefit related to the disqualifying disposition of incentive stock options | | | | 5 | | | | | | | 5 |
| Stock issuance for Bank of Commerce acquisition, net of registration costs of approximately (\$160) | | 608,515 | | 19,844 | | | | | | | 19,844 |
| Repayment of loans secured by stock | | | | | | | | | | 286 | 286 |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | | 7,374,712 | \$ 7 | \$ 71,199 | \$ 36,763 | \$ | \$ (935) | | \$ (285) | \$ | \$ 106,749 |

Total dividends per share were \$0.00, \$0.06 and \$0.09 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 925 | 715 | 706 |
| (Gain) on sale of fixed assets | (2) | | |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | 254 | | |
| (Gain) loss on foreclosed assets | (262) | 87 | 103 |
| (Gain) on sale of securities available for sale | | (12) | (2) |
| (Income) from bank owned life insurance | (504) | (194) | |
| Realized (gains) on sales of loans | (84) | (108) | (65) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 1,466 | 1,898 | 904 |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (1,382) | (1,790) | (839) |
| Deferred taxes | 103 | (897) | (281) |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 1,723 |
| Tax benefit related to exercise of stock options | 5 | 474 | 30 |
| Net amortization of investment premium and discount | 440 | 695 | 1,049 |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (1,174) | (301) | 30 |
| (Increase) in other assets | (337) | (188) | (192) |
| Increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 733 | 2,289 | 742 |
| (Income) from FHLB, FRB and PCBB stock dividends | (91) | (40) | (16) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 11,240 | 8,971 | 9,107 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of and principal paydowns on securities held to maturity | 392 | 191 | 266 |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | (12,481) | (46,574) | (48,866) |
| Proceeds from maturities of and principal paydowns on securities available for sale | 21,235 | 18,806 | 30,585 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | | 11,376 | 10,958 |
| Net sale of (investment in) FHLB, FRB and PCBB stock | 271 | (939) | (509) |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (3,202) | (346) | (237) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | 2 | | |
| Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets | 2,453 | 1,105 | 2,552 |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance | | (9,000) | |
| Net (increase) in loans | (154,523) | (54,555) | (58,516) |
| Cash paid for Bank of Commerce acquisition, net | (6,373) | | |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (152,226) | (79,936) | (63,767) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)****For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003**

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Cash dividends paid for fractional shares | | (9) | (9) |
| Net increase (decrease) in short term borrowings | 9,650 | (10,000) | 5,000 |
| Net increase in deposits | 130,595 | 72,539 | 52,129 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | (62) |
| Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated debt | 20,000 | | |
| Proceeds from repayment of loans secured by stock | 286 | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | | 39,280 | |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options | 105 | 404 | 70 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 160,636 | 102,214 | 57,128 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 19,650 | 31,249 | 2,468 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 67,254 | 36,005 | 33,537 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 86,904 | \$ 67,254 | \$ 36,005 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for interest | \$ 12,054 | \$ 6,986 | \$ 7,657 |
| Cash paid during the year for taxes | \$ 4,000 | \$ 3,180 | \$ 2,435 |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Other real estate acquired through foreclosure | \$ | \$ 2,191 | \$ 1,192 |
| Short-term borrowing arising from other real estate acquired through foreclosure subject to an existing deed of trust | \$ | \$ 350 | \$ |
| Treasury stock acquired in partial payment of loan | \$ | \$ | \$ 223 |
| Notes receivable arising from exercise of stock options | \$ | \$ 286 | \$ |
| Business acquisition: | | | |
| Fair value of assets acquired, excluding intangibles | \$ 145,670 | \$ | \$ |
| Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets acquired | 25,029 | | |
| Liabilities assumed | (130,215) | | |
| Common stock issued, net of offering costs of \$160 | (19,844) | | |
| Cash acquired from Bank of Commerce | (14,267) | | |
| Cash paid for Bank of Commerce, net | \$ 6,373 | \$ | \$ |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business

Community Bancorp is a holding company whose subsidiary, Community Bank of Nevada (the Bank), is a Nevada state chartered bank that provides a full range of commercial and consumer bank products through nine branches located in the Las Vegas metropolitan area and two loan production offices in southern California and Arizona. The Company's business is concentrated in southern Nevada and is subject to the general economic conditions of this area. Segment information is not presented since all of the Company's revenues are attributable to one operating segment. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and general industry practice.

A summary of the significant accounting policies used by the Company and its subsidiary are as follows:

Principles of Consolidation

With the exception of certain trust subsidiaries (Note 11), which do not meet the criteria for consolidation pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, the accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Community Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiary, Community Bank of Nevada (the Bank), collectively referred to herein as the Company. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2004 and 2003 consolidated financial statements were reclassified to conform to the 2005 presentation, with no effect on previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) represents income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company relate solely to outstanding options, and are determined using the treasury stock method.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Earnings per common share have been computed based on the following:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, except share data) | | |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Average number of common shares outstanding | 6,964,719 | 4,798,922 | 4,620,744 |
| Effect of dilutive options | 126,592 | 142,055 | 108,277 |
| Average number of common shares outstanding used to calculate diluted earnings per common share | 7,091,311 | 4,940,977 | 4,729,021 |
| Basic EPS | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.13 |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.10 |

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand, amounts due from banks (including cash items in process of clearing) and federal funds sold. Cash flows from loans originated by the Company, deposits and federal funds purchased are reported net.

The Company maintains amounts due from banks that, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

Securities

Securities classified as available for sale are those debt securities the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily until maturity. Any decision made to sell a security classified as available for sale would be based on various factors, including significant movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of the Company's assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations and other similar factors. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as other comprehensive income/(loss), net of the effect of related taxes. The amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, computed by the interest method over the contractual lives, are recognized in interest income. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of specific securities sold, are included in earnings.

Securities classified as held to maturity are those debt securities that the Company has both the intent and the ability to hold until maturity, regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount computed by the interest method, over the contractual lives. The sale of a security within three months of its maturity date, or after at least 85% of the principal outstanding has been collected, is considered held to maturity for purposes of classification and disclosure.

Declines in the fair value of individual securities below their amortized cost that are determined to be other-than-temporary result in write-downs of the individual securities to their fair value with the resulting write-downs included in current earnings and realized losses. In determining other-than-temporary losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Reserve Bank Stock, and Pacific Coast Bankers Bank Stock

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) system, is required to maintain an investment in capital stock of the FHLB in an amount equal to the greater of 1.0% of its outstanding home loans or 4.7% of advances from the FHLB. Likewise, as a member of the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) system, the Bank must maintain an investment in the FRB. Stock maintained with FHLB, FRB, and PCBB are recorded at their cost, which are the redemption values.

Loans

Loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, reduced by net deferred loan fees and the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses (the allowance) is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that collectibility of the principal is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb estimated losses relating to specifically identified loans, as well as probable credit losses inherent in the balance of the loan portfolio, based on an evaluation of the collectibility of existing loans as well as prior loss experience and peer bank experience. This evaluation also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. Due to the credit concentration of the Company's loan portfolio in real estate secured loans, the value of collateral is heavily dependent on real estate values in Southern Nevada. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses, and may require the Company to make additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors pursuant to FASB Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*.

A loan is impaired when it is probable, based on current information and events, that the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Impairment is measured on an individual basis for commercial and construction loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The amount of impairment, if any, and any subsequent changes are included in the allowance for loan losses.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are those loans that the Company has the intent to sell in the foreseeable future. They are reported at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Gains or losses on the sale of loans are recognized pursuant to FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing Financial Assets and Extinguishments of*

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Liabilities. All sales are made without recourse. The Company issues various representations and warranties associated with the sale of loans. The Company has not incurred any significant losses resulting from these provisions. Loans held for sale at December 31, 2005 or 2004 were not significant.

Interest and Fees on Loans

Interest on loans is recognized over the terms of the loans and is calculated using the effective interest method. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to make payments as they become due. When the interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Cash collections on impaired loans are generally credited to the loan receivable balance and no interest income is recognized on these loans until the principal balance has been collected, unless the Company determines that collection of the remaining principal balance is reasonably assured.

The Company determines a loan to be delinquent when payments have not been made in accordance with the contractual terms, typically evidenced by nonpayment of a monthly installment by the due date. The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well secured and in the process of collection. Credit card loans and other personal loans are typically charged off no later than 180 days after becoming delinquent.

All interest accrued and not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status is reversed against interest income. The interest income on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Loan origination, commitment fees and certain other direct loan origination costs are deferred and the net amount is amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. The Company is generally amortizing these amounts over the contractual life of the loan. Commitment fees based upon a percentage of a customer's unused line of credit and fees related to standby letters of credit are generally recognized over the commitment period.

Loan Brokerage and Referral Fees

As a service for customers, the Company has entered into agreements with unaffiliated mortgage companies to complete applications, loan documents and perform pre-underwriting activities for long-term residential mortgages. The fees from these agreements are recognized as income when earned.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company; (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets; and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives: buildings and improvements 15 to 39 years; equipment, furniture and automobiles 3 to 10 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the lesser of their useful lives or the lease term.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned (OREO) is real estate that is held for sale and is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs of disposal. Any write-down to fair value at the time of transfer to OREO is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Property is evaluated regularly to ensure the recorded amount is supported by its current fair value and valuation allowances. In turn, a reduction in the carrying amount to fair value less estimated costs to dispose are recorded as necessary. Revenue and expense from the operations of OREO and changes to the valuation allowance are included in other expense.

Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on an asset and liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating losses, tax credit carry forwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effect of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into off-balance-sheet financial instruments consisting of commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit and standby letters of credit. These financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when they are funded.

Goodwill and Core Deposit Intangible

The Company has engaged in the acquisition of a financial institution and the assumption of deposits and the purchase of assets from another financial institution in its market area. We have paid a premium on this transaction, and such premium has been recorded as intangible assets, in the form of goodwill and core deposit intangible. In connection with our acquisition of Bank of Commerce in August 2005, the Company recorded the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the assets received and liabilities assumed as goodwill pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*. We annually review the goodwill recorded for the acquisition for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, on October 1. Goodwill is not being amortized whereas identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives.

In addition to goodwill, a core deposit intangible was separately recognized based on the cumulative present value benefit of acquiring deposits versus an alternative source of funding for the premium related to the core deposits of the bank acquired. The core deposit intangible is subject to amortization over its estimated useful life of seven years.

Employee Stock Plans

At December 31, 2005, the Company has a stock-based compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 13. The Company accounts for the plan under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Accordingly, no stock-based employee compensation cost has been recognized for stock options, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share had compensation cost for all of the stock-based compensation plans been determined based on the minimum value approach for options granted prior to our initial public offering (IPO), and based on the Black-Scholes fair value method for options granted after that date:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, | | |
| | except per share data) | | |
| Net income: | | | |
| As reported | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Deduct total stock-based employee compensation expense, net of related tax effects | (534) | (247) | (53) |
| Pro forma | \$ 9,531 | \$ 5,174 | \$ 5,162 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| As reported: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.13 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.10 |
| Pro forma: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.08 | \$ 1.12 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.05 | \$ 1.09 |

The pro forma compensation cost for options granted in 2005, 2004 and 2003 is recognized for the fair value of the stock options granted. Management estimated the fair value of the stock options granted using the minimum value approach for options granted prior to our IPO, which closed in December 2004. The fair value of options granted subsequent to our IPO were calculated using the Black-Scholes model. The following assumptions were used:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Expected life in years | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Dividends | | | |
| Fair value per optional share | \$ 9.49 | \$ 3.40 | \$ 2.20 |
| Volatility | 17% | N/A | N/A |

Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section on the balance sheet, and such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income. Gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reclassified to net income as the gains or losses are realized upon sale of the securities. Other-than-temporary impairment charges are reclassified to net income at the time of the charge.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of fair value information for financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheets, for which it is practicable to estimate that value.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Company's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction at December 31, 2005 or 2004. The estimated fair value amounts for 2005 and 2004 have been measured as of year-end, and have not been reevaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the reporting date may be different than the amounts reported at year-end.

The information in Note 17 should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only required for a limited portion of the Company's assets.

Due to the wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimate, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies or banks may not be meaningful.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair value of its financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheets for cash, due from banks and federal funds sold approximate their fair value.

Securities

Fair value for securities is based on quoted market prices where available or on quoted markets for similar securities in the absence of quoted prices on the specific security.

Loans

For loans with variable interest rates that re-price frequently and that have experienced no significant change in credit risk, fair value is based on carrying value. Variable rate loans comprised approximately 73% and 74% of the total loan portfolio at December 31, 2005 and 2004. Fair value of all other loans is estimated based on discounted cash flows using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers with similar credit quality. Prepayments prior to the re-pricing date are not expected to be significant. Loans are expected to be held to maturity and any unrealized gains or losses are not expected to be realized.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for accrued interest receivable and payable approximate their fair values.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair value disclosed for demand and savings deposits approximate their carrying amounts which represent the amount payable on demand. The carrying amounts for variable interest rate money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed interest rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates of deposit to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits. Early withdrawals of fixed rate certificates of deposit are not expected to be significant.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Junior Subordinated Debt

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for variable rate junior subordinated debt approximate their fair values. Fair values for fixed rate junior subordinated debt are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on new debt with similar terms.

Short Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for short term borrowings approximate their fair values. Fair values for long-term debt are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation at current market rates.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments

Fair value for off-balance-sheet instruments (lending commitments and standby letters of credit) are based on quoted fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Stock Dividends and Stock Dividends Distributable

Stock dividends are recorded at estimated fair value by transferring an amount from retained earnings to common stock. Stock dividends declared before but payable after a period-end are recorded at estimated fair value when declared by charging retained earnings and are reflected as stock dividends distributable in the accompanying financial statements. Stock options and stock appreciation rights have been adjusted for the stock dividends.

Stock Split

The Company's Board of Directors approved a 5-for-1 common stock split for shareholders of record on September 17, 2004. The stock split resulted in the issuance of 3,761,488 additional shares, and increased the number of authorized shares from 2,000,000 to 10,000,000. All share and per share information has been retroactively adjusted to reflect this stock split. In conjunction with the stock split, the par value of common stock changed from no par value to a par value of \$0.001. This resulted in a reclassification of \$10,981,000 from common stock to additional paid-in capital in September 2004.

Current Accounting Developments

In December 2004, the FASB published FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R) or the Statement). SFAS 123(R) requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS 123(R) permits entities to use any option-pricing model that meets the fair value objective in the Statement.

The Statement is effective at the beginning of the first quarter of 2006 for the Company. As of the effective date, the Company will apply the Statement using a modified version of prospective application. Under that transition method, compensation cost will be recognized for (1) all awards granted after the required effective date and to awards modified, cancelled, or repurchased after that date and (2) the portion of awards granted subsequent to the completion of the Company's initial public offering (IPO) and prior to the effective date for which the requisite service has not yet been rendered, based on the grant-date fair value of those awards calculated for pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On January 1, 2006, the Company was required to adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123 (revised), which, among other things, requires the recording in the financial statements of non-cash compensation expense related to stock options. Prior to 2006, the Company had only shown, as permitted by SFAS 123 (revised), pro forma financial results including the effects of share-based compensation expense in the footnotes to the financial statements. See Note 1, Summary of significant accounting policies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for these pro forma results related to years 2005, 2004, and 2003. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), the Company estimates it will recognize an additional compensation expense of approximately \$332,000 before tax, or \$0.05 per diluted share for the full year 2006. Estimated future levels of compensation expense recognized related to stock based awards would be impacted by new awards, modifications to awards, cancellation of awards after the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised), or additional options granted in 2006 by the Board of Directors. There have been no additional grants as of the date of this report.

The impact of this Statement on the Company in 2006 and beyond will depend upon various factors, among them being the Company's future compensation strategy. The pro forma compensation costs presented in these and prior financial statements of the Company have been calculated using a minimum value method for options granted prior to our IPO and a fair value method after that date. The pro forma costs may not be indicative of amounts which should be expected in future periods.

In December 2003, the Accounting Standards Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants issued Statement of Position (SOP) 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer*. SOP 03-3 addresses the accounting for acquired loans that show evidence of having deteriorated in terms of credit quality since their origination and for which a loss is deemed probable of occurring. SOP 03-3 requires acquired loans to be recorded at their fair value, defined as the present value of future cash flows, including interest income, to be recognized over the life of the loan. SOP 03-3 prohibits the carryover of the allowance for loan loss on certain acquired loans within its scope considered in the future cash flows assessment.

In accordance with SOP 03-3, an impairment of approximately \$408,000 was estimated on the gross loans acquired from Bank of Commerce. The reduction to total gross loans was based on the estimated probability of deterioration of credit quality since origination that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable.

Note 2. Merger-Related Activity

On August 26, 2005, in accordance with an Agreement to Merge and Plan of Reorganization (the Agreement), the Company acquired 100% of the common stock of Bank of Commerce (Commerce) in a 50% cash and 50% stock transaction valued at approximately \$40 million, or \$33.00 per share of Commerce common stock (the exchange ratio for stock consideration is 1.0039 shares of the Company's common stock for each Commerce share). The total consideration was paid in 608,515 shares of the Company's stock and approximately \$20 million in cash, in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement. Commerce was a Nevada state chartered bank with three branches located in Las Vegas and Henderson. Since its reorganization in 2000, Commerce had provided a full range of commercial and consumer bank products. There were no material relationships between Commerce, its officers, shareholders or employees and the Company and subsidiaries, its officers, shareholders or employees, other than in respect to the transaction. Funds utilized in the transaction were solely from cash held by the Company. The purpose of the merger is to maximize shareholder value consistent with our fiduciary duties, while at the same time continuing to build a successful and profitable banking franchise. The Company's Consolidated Statements of Income include the operations of Commerce from August 27, 2005 through December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table shows the condensed balance sheet of amounts assigned to assets and liabilities, including all purchase adjustments at the time of acquisition, of Bank of Commerce as of August 26, 2005 (dollars in thousands):

| Assets | | Liabilities | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 14,267 | | |
| Loans | 104,058 | | |
| Allowance | (933) | | |
| Securities | 22,391 | Deposits | \$ 118,241 |
| Goodwill and core deposit intangible | 25,029 | Borrowed funds | 9,500 |
| Fixed assets | 4,616 | Deferred tax liability | 2,261 |
| Other assets | 1,271 | Other liabilities | 213 |
| Total assets | \$ 170,699 | Total liabilities | \$ 130,215 |

The two sides of the table are not in balance because no equity was purchased. The difference between the assets and liabilities represents the total cost of the acquisition of \$40,484,000.

The merger was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the results of operation of Bank of Commerce since the date of acquisition are included in the consolidated financial statements. The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the merger date. This is summarized below as of December 31, 2005 (dollars in thousands):

| | | |
|---|------------------|-------|
| Estimated fair value of approximately 608,515 shares of the Company's common stock issued | \$ 20,004 | 50.0% |
| Cash | 20,004 | 50.0% |
| Total merger consideration | 40,008 | |
| Estimated acquisition costs: | | |
| Merger-related compensation and severance | 99 | |
| Professional services | 377 | |
| Total acquisition costs | 476 | |
| Total purchase price | 40,484 | |
| Less fair value of Bank of Commerce net tangible assets acquired | 15,455 | |
| Less estimated fair value of core deposit intangible | 5,331 | |
| Estimated goodwill resulting from the Merger | \$ 19,698 | |

The allocation of the purchase price may be modified as a result of final appraisals, evaluations and estimates of fair value. The purchase price allocation is expected to be finalized within one year after completion of the merger.

As of December 31, 2005, total integration costs incurred were approximately \$104,000 (net of taxes).

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Goodwill is recorded on the balance sheet in connection with the acquisition of Bank of Commerce. The Company recognizes the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the assets received less the fair value of the liabilities assumed as goodwill. The balance at December 31, 2005 was approximately \$19.7 million. None of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for taxes.

F-17

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As a result of the merger, the Company recorded \$5.3 million of core deposit intangibles. As of December 31, 2005, the amortization period for the core deposit intangibles is 7 years. Amortization expense on core deposit intangibles was approximately \$254,000 for the four months ended December 31, 2005. The Company estimates that the amortization expense will be approximately \$762,000 for years 2006 through 2010. The net book value of the core deposit intangible was \$5,077,000 at December 31, 2005.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information presents the results of operations of the Company had the merger taken place at January 1, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands, except share data).

| | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Community Bancorp | Bank of Commerce (1) | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
| Total interest and dividend income | \$ 46,337 | \$ 6,815 | \$ (368)(a) | \$ 52,784 |
| Total interest expense | 12,511 | 1,385 | | 13,896 |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 33,826 | 5,430 | (368) | 38,888 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 691 | | 1,776 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 32,741 | 4,739 | (368) | 37,112 |
| Total other operating income | 2,275 | 399 | | 2,674 |
| Total other operating expenses | 20,512 | 3,497 | 508 (b) | 24,517 |
| Income before taxes | 14,504 | 1,641 | (876) | 15,269 |
| Income taxes | 4,439 | 559 | (298)(c) | 4,700 |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 1,082 | \$ (578) | \$ 10,569 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.45 | | | \$ 1.44 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.42 | | | \$ 1.41 |
| Average shares outstanding for basic EPS | 6,964,719 | | 396,785 (d) | 7,361,504 |
| Average shares outstanding for diluted EPS | 7,091,311 | | 396,785 (d) | 7,488,096 |

(1) This column includes the income statement of Bank of Commerce from January 1, 2005 through August 26, 2005, the acquisition date.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Community Bancorp | For the Year Ended December 31, 2004 Bank of Commerce | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
|--|----------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Total interest and dividend income | \$ 30,038 | \$ 8,498 | \$ (552)(a) | \$ 37,984 |
| Total interest expense | 6,862 | 1,701 | | 8,563 |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | 23,176 | 6,797 | (552) | 29,421 |
| Provision for loan losses | 922 | 1,130 | | 2,052 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 22,254 | 5,667 | (552) | 27,369 |
| Total other operating income | 1,489 | 595 | | 2,084 |
| Total other operating expenses | 15,946 | 4,213 | 762 (b) | 20,921 |
| Income before taxes | 7,797 | 2,049 | (1,314) | 8,532 |
| Income taxes | 2,376 | 689 | (447)(c) | 2,618 |
| Net income | \$ 5,421 | \$ 1,360 | \$ (867) | \$ 5,914 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.27 | | \$ 1.09 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.19 | | \$ 1.07 |
| Average shares outstanding for basic EPS | 4,798,922 | 1,069,398 | | 5,407,437(d) |
| Average shares outstanding for diluted EPS | 4,940,977 | 1,137,911 | | 5,549,492(d) |

- (a) To reflect the estimated reduction in interest income assuming federal funds sold were utilized for the cash portion of the merger consideration and acquisition costs.
- (b) To reflect the amortization of the core deposit intangible in other operating expense amortized utilizing the straight-line method assuming an estimated life of approximately 7 years.
- (c) To reflect the impact of income taxes associated with these pro forma adjustments to operating results at a 34% combined effective income tax rate.
- (d) Pro forma basic earnings per share were calculated using Community historical shares outstanding for the periods presented and adjusted for the issuance of 608,515 shares of Community common stock.

Note 3. Restrictions on Cash and Due from Banks

The Company is required to maintain reserve balances in cash or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank. These reserve requirements are based on a percentage of deposit liabilities. The total of these reserves as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$3.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Securities**

Carrying amounts and estimated fair values of securities available for sale as of December 31 are summarized as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Values |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2005 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| U. S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 27,349 | \$ | \$ (287) | \$ 27,062 |
| Municipal bonds | 21,618 | 342 | (97) | 21,863 |
| Other debt securities | 500 | 4 | | 504 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 44,727 | 1 | (1,380) | 43,348 |
| | \$ 94,194 | \$ 347 | \$ (1,764) | \$ 92,777 |

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Values |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2004 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| U. S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ 14,597 | \$ 16 | \$ (95) | \$ 14,518 |
| Municipal bonds | 21,342 | 666 | (28) | 21,980 |
| Other debt securities | 500 | 21 | | 521 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 45,380 | 57 | (373) | 45,064 |
| | \$ 81,819 | \$ 760 | \$ (496) | \$ 82,083 |

Carrying amounts and estimated fair values of securities held to maturity as of December 31 are summarized as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Values |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2005 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$ 1,290 | \$ 35 | \$ | \$ 1,325 |
| SBA loan pools | 276 | | | 276 |
| | \$ 1,566 | \$ 35 | \$ | \$ 1,601 |

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Values |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2004 (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$ 1,610 | \$ 84 | \$ | \$ 1,694 |
| SBA loan pools | 348 | 1 | (2) | 347 |

| | | | |
|----------|-------|--------|----------|
| \$ 1,958 | \$ 85 | \$ (2) | \$ 2,041 |
|----------|-------|--------|----------|

F-20

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale and held to maturity by contractual maturities as of December 31, 2005 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities in mortgage-backed securities and SBA loan pools because the mortgages underlying the securities may be called or repaid without any penalties, and therefore, they are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary:

| | Available for Sale Amortized Cost | Fair Value (Dollars in thousands) | Held to Maturity Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Due within one year | \$ 16,304 | \$ 16,197 | \$ 145 | \$ 145 |
| Due after one year through five years | 24,029 | 23,941 | 526 | 538 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 8,289 | 8,454 | 619 | 642 |
| Due after ten years | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities and SBA loan pools | 45,572 | 44,185 | 276 | 276 |
| | \$ 94,194 | \$ 92,777 | \$ 1,566 | \$ 1,601 |

Gross realized gains on sales of securities during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$0, \$82,000 and \$2,000, respectively. Gross realized losses on sales of securities during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were \$0, \$70,000 and \$0, respectively. Securities available for sale with carrying amounts of approximately \$38,863,000 and \$15,097,000 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, the advance from the Federal Home Loan Bank (see Note 10), and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

Information pertaining to available for sale securities with gross unrealized losses at December 31, 2005 and 2004, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, are as follows:

| 2005 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Less Than | | | | | | |
| | Twelve Months | | Over Twelve Months | | Totals | |
| | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value (Dollars in thousands) | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value |
| U. S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ (99) | \$ 20,392 | \$ (179) | \$ 5,834 | \$ (278) | \$ 26,226 |
| Municipal bonds | (47) | 5,736 | (50) | 1,833 | (97) | 7,569 |
| SBA loan pools | (9) | 836 | | | (9) | 836 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | (471) | 19,900 | (909) | 23,213 | (1,380) | 43,113 |
| | \$ (626) | \$ 46,864 | \$ (1,138) | \$ 30,880 | \$ (1,764) | \$ 77,744 |

| 2004 | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|--|--------------------|--|--------|--|
| Less Than | | | | | | |
| | Twelve Months | | Over Twelve Months | | Totals | |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| U. S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$ (95) | \$ 7,965 | \$ | \$ | \$ (95) | \$ 7,965 |
| Municipal bonds | (16) | 2,312 | (12) | 547 | (28) | 2,859 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | (191) | 21,811 | (182) | 8,802 | (373) | 30,613 |
| | \$ (302) | \$ 32,088 | \$ (194) | \$ 9,349 | \$ (496) | \$ 41,437 |

F-21

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

At December 31, 2005, 104 available for sale debt securities have unrealized losses with aggregate depreciation of approximately 2% from the Company's amortized cost-basis. These unrealized losses relate primarily to fluctuations in the current interest rate environment. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the Federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred and industry analyst's reports.

The Company evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. As we have the ability and intent to hold debt securities for the foreseeable future, no declines are deemed to be other than temporary.

Note 5. Loans

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio as of December 31 is as follows:

| | 2005 (Dollars in thousands) | 2004 |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 126,157 | \$ 59,820 |
| Real estate: | | |
| Commercial | 187,236 | 148,411 |
| Residential | 30,148 | 24,097 |
| Construction and land development, including raw land of approximately \$108,700 for 2005 and \$50,157 for 2004 | 316,221 | 167,154 |
| Consumer and other | 3,645 | 3,788 |
| | 663,407 | 403,270 |
| Less: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | 8,117 | 6,133 |
| Net unearned loan fees and discounts | 3,716 | 2,126 |
| | \$ 651,574 | \$ 395,011 |

Owner-occupied commercial real estate loans are approximately 14% of our total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2005.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31 are as follow:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Balance, beginning | \$ 6,133 | \$ 5,409 | \$ 4,688 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,085 | 922 | 1,723 |
| Allowance resulting from acquisition | 933 | | |
| Less amounts charged off | (220) | (383) | (1,036) |
| Recoveries of amounts charged off | 186 | 185 | 34 |
| Balance, ending | \$ 8,117 | \$ 6,133 | \$ 5,409 |

F-22

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Information about impaired and nonaccrual loans as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Impaired loans with a valuation allowance | \$ 820 | \$ 916 | \$ 1,070 |
| Impaired loans without a valuation allowance | 1,291 | | 293 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 2,111 | \$ 916 | \$ 1,363 |
| Average balance of impaired loans | \$ 2,220 | \$ 965 | \$ 1,776 |
| Related valuation allowance | \$ 336 | \$ 444 | \$ 269 |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 912 | \$ 966 | \$ 1,596 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ |
| Interest income recognized on impaired loans | \$ 227 | \$ | \$ |
| Interest income recognized on a cash basis on impaired loans | \$ 195 | \$ | \$ |

In 2003, the Company accepted 26,515 shares of its own stock in partial payment on an impaired loan. Based on an agreed upon fair value of \$8.40 per share, the Company reduced the outstanding balance on the loan by \$223,000. The loan has been restructured and is now paying according to the restructured repayment terms and thus is not included as an impaired loan at December 31, 2005, 2004 or 2003.

Note 6. Premises and Equipment

The major classes of premises and equipment and the total accumulated depreciation at December 31 are as follow:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Land | \$ 3,740 | \$ 2,695 |
| Buildings and improvements | 10,375 | 5,365 |
| Equipment and furniture | 5,101 | 3,637 |
| Automobiles | 233 | 212 |
| | 19,449 | 11,909 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (4,313) | (3,666) |
| | \$ 15,136 | \$ 8,243 |

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 7. Income Tax Matters**

The cumulative tax effects of the primary temporary differences that created deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31 are as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 2,706 | \$ 1,906 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 125 | 821 |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale | 482 | |
| Other | | 26 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 3,313 | 2,753 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Unrealized gain on securities available for sale | | (90) |
| Premises and equipment | (964) | (252) |
| Deferred loan costs | (204) | (155) |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | (1,501) | |
| Other | (324) | (144) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (2,993) | (641) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 320 | \$ 2,112 |

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, no valuation reserve was considered necessary as management believes it is more likely that not that the deferred tax assets will be realized due to taxes paid in prior years or future operations.

The provision for federal income taxes is comprised of the following for the years ended December 31:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Current | \$ 4,336 | \$ 3,273 | \$ 2,576 |
| Deferred | 103 | (897) | (281) |
| | \$ 4,439 | \$ 2,376 | \$ 2,295 |

The income tax provision differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the United States federal income tax rate to pretax income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 due to the following:

| | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|
| Computed expected tax expense | \$ 5,076 | 35 % | \$ 2,729 | 35 % | \$ 2,628 | 35 % |
| Increase (decrease) in income tax resulting from: | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt interest income | (444) | (3)% | (297) | (4)% | (287) | (4)% |
| Other | (193) | (2)% | (56) | (1)% | (46) | |
| | \$ 4,439 | 30 % | \$ 2,376 | 30 % | \$ 2,295 | 31 % |

F-24

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8. Deposits**

At December 31, 2005, the scheduled maturities of time deposits are as follow (dollars in thousands):

| | |
|------|------------|
| 2006 | \$ 160,291 |
| 2007 | 22,491 |
| 2008 | 1,500 |
| | \$ 184,282 |

At December 31, 2005, we had no single customer whose total average deposits were 10% or more of the Company's total average deposits. At December 31, 2004, the Company had one customer whose total deposits were \$27,513,000, or approximately 6%, of total deposits.

Brokered deposits totaled \$33,274,000 and \$0 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included in certificates of deposit.

Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies***Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk***

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. They involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of amounts recognized in the balance sheets.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to the financial instruments for these commitments is represented by the contractual amounts of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

A summary of the contract amount of the Company's exposure to off-balance-sheet risk as of December 31 is as follows:

| | 2005 (Dollars in thousands) | 2004 |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| Commitments to extend credit, including unsecured commitments of \$20,701 for 2005, \$17,136 for 2004 | \$ 215,052 | \$ 126,550 |
| Credit card commitments, including unsecured amounts of \$1,630 for 2005 and \$1,441 for 2004 | 1,644 | 1,471 |
| Standby letters of credit, including unsecured commitments of \$230 for 2005 and \$1,122 for 2004 | 3,224 | 1,583 |
| | \$ 219,920 | \$ 129,604 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the party. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, residential real estate and income-producing commercial properties. The Company has approximately \$436,000 and \$444,000 reflected in other liabilities for off-balance sheet risk associated with commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2005 and 2004 respectively.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Collateral held varies as specified above and is required as the Company deems necessary. Essentially all letters of credit issued have expiration dates within one year. Upon entering into letters of credit, the Company records the related liability at fair value pursuant to FASB Interpretation 45 (FIN 45). Thereafter, the liability is evaluated pursuant to FASB Statement No. 5 *Accounting for Contingencies*. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the amount of the liability related to guarantees was approximately \$11,000 and \$6,000, respectively.

In connection with standby letters of credit, the Company recognizes the related commitment fee received from the third party as a liability at the inception of the guarantee arrangement pursuant to FASB Interpretation 45 (FIN 45). Commitment fees, where the likelihood of exercise of the commitment is remote, are generally recognized as service fee income on a straight line basis over the commitment period. All other commitment fees are deferred over the entire commitment period and are not recognized as service fee income until the expiration of the commitment period.

Financial Instruments with Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company makes commercial, commercial real estate, residential real estate and consumer loans to customers primarily in southern Nevada. At December 31, 2005, real estate loans accounted for approximately 80% of the total loans. Substantially all of these loans are secured by first liens with an initial loan to value ratio of generally not more than 75%. The Company's policy for requiring collateral is to obtain collateral whenever it is available or desirable, depending upon the degree of risk the Company is willing to take. In addition, approximately 4% of total loans are unsecured. The Company's loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow or from proceeds from the sale of selected assets of the borrowers.

A substantial portion of the Company's customers' ability to honor their contracts is dependent on the economy in the area. The Company's goal is to continue to maintain a diversified loan portfolio that requires the loans to be well collateralized and supported by cash flows.

Lease Commitments

During 2002, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its corporate office under an operating lease with an unrelated third party that expires on August 31, 2012.

In June of 2005, a sixth office was opened on Russell Road near Interstate 215 in southwest Las Vegas. The office is leased space for an additional branch, human resources, operations support, internal audit, compliance and the real estate lending department. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with an initial base rent of approximately \$38,000 per month beginning in October 2005. For each year following, the rent will be increased by approximately 2%. The lease expires on October 31, 2015. The agreement includes three 5-year renewal options.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As a result of the merger with Bank of Commerce, the Company acquired three new branches, of which two are leased spaces. The Sunset branch has approximately 6,000 square feet located in Henderson, Nevada. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with a base rent of \$9,533 per month, as of the date of the merger. For each year following, the rent increases based on the cost of living increase for the preceding period based on the average for the United States set forth in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers for all Items, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor (CPI). The lease expires on July 31, 2011. The lease includes the right to extend the term for three additional 5-year periods.

The Decatur office has 4,814 square feet, located at the intersection of Decatur Boulevard and Hacienda Avenue in southwest Las Vegas. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with a base rent of \$12,083 per month, as of the date of the merger. For each year following, the rent increases based on the cost of living increase for the preceding period based on the average CPI. The lease expires on March 31, 2018. The Company may extend the term of this lease for one additional 5-year period.

In June of 2005, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its Phoenix loan production office. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with an initial base rent of approximately \$1,200 per month. The lease agreement ended on December 31, 2005, but was subsequently renewed until June 2006. For the period of December 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, the base rent is approximately \$2,500 per month.

Future minimum rental payments due under the term leases are (dollars in thousands):

| | |
|------------|-----------------|
| 2006 | \$ 1,029 |
| 2007 | 1,032 |
| 2008 | 1,059 |
| 2009 | 1,068 |
| 2010 | 1,084 |
| Thereafter | 4,026 |
| | \$ 9,298 |

Total rent expense was \$656,000, \$312,000 and \$304,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

During March of 2005, the Company entered into a month-to-month sublease agreement for its San Diego loan production office. This is an operating lease with an unrelated third party with an initial base rent of \$1,400 per month. The lease may be terminated by either party, with not less than thirty days prior written notice.

In addition to our commitments as a lessee, the Company also receives monthly rental income from leased space at our Sahara branch. We lease approximately 6,400 square feet under an operating lease with an unrelated third party. The base rent is approximately \$11,000 per month with an annual increase of 3%, and is included as rental income. The lease expires in June 2009. The lease contains a 5-year renewal option with rent at the prevailing rate at the time of renewal.

In September 2005, the Company received a tenant improvement allowance for our Russell offices. The total amount received was approximately \$807,000. In accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 88-1, *Issues Relating to Accounting for Leases*, the allowance is being amortized over the life of the lease, ending in September 2015. At December 31, 2005, the balance was approximately \$768,000, and is included in other liabilities.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2005, there are no material commitments to make capital expenditures.

Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 10. Borrowed Funds

The Company has a commitment from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) for advances. Borrowing capacity is determined based on collateral pledged, generally consisting of loans and securities, at the time of the advance. Advances from the FHLB at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$16,000,000 and \$0, respectively. The remaining borrowing capacity at December 31, 2005 was \$79 million.

In 2005, the Company entered into a new agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Under this agreement we can obtain advances from FHLB and such advances are collateralized by a blanket lien on all loans secured by real estate and all business loans. The interest rate charged is determined by the FHLB at the time of the advance. The agreement can be terminated by the FHLB at any time. As of December 31, 2005, \$150.9 million in loans were pledged as part of the blanket lien. Loans with a balance of approximately \$18,647,000 were pledged as collateral on advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as of December 31, 2004.

Short-term advances outstanding as of December 31, 2005 are as follow:

| Date | Interest Rate | | Advance (Dollars | Maturity | Payments |
|-----------------|---------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | in thousands) | | |
| August 26, 2005 | FIXED | 3.12% | \$ 1,000 | July 28, 2006 | Interest semi-annually, principal at maturity |
| August 31, 2005 | FIXED | 4.04% | 15,000 | August 31, 2006 | Interest monthly, principal at maturity |
| | | | \$ 16,000 | | |

Long-term debt from the FHLB was \$3,500,000 and \$0 at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. The long-term debt at December 31, 2005 consisted of one advance, with a fixed interest rate of 3.49%, and a maturity of January 10, 2007. Interest is paid semi-annually, with principal due at maturity. The advance is collateralized by securities.

The Company has entered into three agreements with other lending institutions under which it can purchase up to \$19,000,000 of federal funds. The interest rate charged on borrowings is determined by the lending institutions at the time of borrowings. Each line is unsecured. The agreements can be terminated by the lending institutions at any time. There were no balances outstanding under these agreements at December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 11. Junior Subordinated Debt

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$36.1 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, of trust preferred securities (collectively, the Securities) outstanding that are classified as junior subordinated debt on the consolidated balance sheet of the Company. At December 31, 2005, the three-month LIBOR was 4.530%. The following is a brief description of the Securities:

In September 2002, Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust I was formed to issue floating rate (three-month LIBOR +3.4%) Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities in the amount of \$15,464,000. The funds raised from the issuance of these securities were all passed through to the Bancorp. The sole asset of this Capital Trust is a note receivable from the Bancorp. These securities require semi-annual interest payments and mature in 2032. These securities may be redeemed beginning in September 2007 as outlined in the Indenture Agreement.

In September 2005, Community Bancorp (NV) Statutory Trust II was formed to issue fixed/floating rate junior deferrable interest debentures (the Debentures) in the amount of \$20,619,000. The Debentures bear a fixed rate of 5.94% for the first seven years, after which the rate will reset quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate +1.37% per annum, and are redeemable, in whole or in part, without penalty, at the option of the Company after seven years. The funds raised from the issuance of these securities were all passed through to the Bancorp. The sole asset of this Trust is a note receivable from the Bancorp. These securities require quarterly interest payments and mature in 2035. These securities may be redeemed beginning in September 2007 as outlined in the Indenture Agreement. The interest payments on the Debentures made by the Company will be used to pay the quarterly distributions payable by the Trust to the holders of the Securities.

If the Company elects to defer payments of interest on the Securities by extending the interest distribution period, then the Company may not declare or pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, purchase, acquire, or make a liquidation payment with respect to, any of the Company's capital stock, until such time as all deferred interest is paid.

In the event of certain changes or amendments to regulatory requirements or federal tax rules, the debt is redeemable in whole. The obligation under this instrument is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company and rank subordinate and junior in right of payment to all other liabilities of the Company. The Securities qualify as Tier 1 Capital for the Company, subject to certain limitations, with the excess being included in Total Capital for regulatory purposes.

On March 1, 2005, the Federal Reserve Bank adopted a final rule that allows the continued inclusion of trust preferred securities in the Tier I capital of bank holding companies, subject to stricter quantitative limits and qualitative standards. Under the final ruling, qualifying mandatory preferred securities may be included in Tier I capital, subject to a limit of 25% of all core capital. Amounts of restricted core capital elements in excess of this limit generally may be included in Tier II capital. The qualitative limits become effective on March 31, 2009, after a four-year transition period. As of December 31, 2005, we have included the junior subordinated debentures in Tier I capital for regulatory capital purposes up to the specified limit, and the remainder is in Tier II capital.

Note 12. Stockholders' Equity

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company issued 1,860,400 shares (including the over-allotment option) of its \$0.001 par value common stock in an underwritten public offering at a price to the public of \$23.00 per share. The proceeds after discounts, commissions, and other costs totaling approximately \$3,509,000 were approximately \$39,280,000.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 13. Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

Stock Options

The 1995 Stock Option and Award Plan (the 1995 Plan) expired in July 2005, although options are still vesting and exercisable. The 1995 plan states that options to acquire common stock of the Company may be granted to employees, officers or directors at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The 1995 Plan allows for the granting of incentive and non-qualifying stock options as those terms are defined in the Internal Revenue Code. The Plan, as amended, authorized up to 755,762 shares of common stock be provided by shares authorized but not outstanding. The 1995 Plan provides for the exercise price and term of each option to be determined by the Board at the date of grant, provided that no incentive option shall have a term greater than 10 years. The 1995 Plan also provided for the granting, at the discretion of the Board, of stock appreciation rights or other tandem rights with respect to the granting of the stock options.

On May 19, 2005, the stockholders of the Company approved the 2005 Equity Based Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan). The 2005 Plan authorizes the granting of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and performance share cash only awards. The 2005 Plan provides for a maximum of fifteen percent (15%) of the Company's outstanding shares as of March 24, 2005, or 1,012,539, and adjusts on each anniversary thereafter to be 15% of the then outstanding number of shares that may be delivered for awards. The maximum number of shares that may be granted as incentive stock options is 800,000. As of December 31, 2005, the total number of awards remaining to be granted under the 2005 Plan was 825,539.

A summary of stock option activity during the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| | 2005 | | 2004 | | 2003 | |
|--|----------|--|-----------|--|----------|--|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding options, beginning of year | 236,334 | \$ 11.69 | 279,958 | \$ 3.76 | 317,475 | \$ 3.73 |
| Granted | 195,000 | 31.55 | 195,000 | 14.45 | 8,000 | 7.35 |
| Adjustment due to stock dividends | | | 3,701 | 3.91 | 2,061 | 3.69 |
| Exercised (Note 15) | (18,524) | 5.67 | (196,663) | 3.50 | (25,000) | 2.79 |
| Expired | (1,282) | 1.96 | | | | |
| Forfeited | (13,534) | 21.69 | (45,662) | 9.19 | (22,578) | 5.23 |
| Outstanding options, end of period | 397,994 | 21.28 | 236,334 | 11.69 | 279,958 | 3.76 |
| Options exercisable, end of period | 186,974 | 17.13 | 107,974 | 11.08 | 222,398 | 3.10 |
| Weighted-average remaining life (in years) | 9 | | 9 | | 4 | |

In July 2005, the Company granted 55,000 nonqualified stock options and 140,000 incentive stock options with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the grant date of \$31.55. The nonqualified stock options vest immediately, and the incentive stock options vest 20% each year over five years. All options expire ten years from the grant date.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A further summary of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005 is as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Options Outstanding | | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Life | | | Number Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$1.96-\$1.97 | 3,087 | < 1 year | | \$ 1.96 | 3,087 | \$ 1.96 |
| \$3.92-\$5.48 | 40,634 | 5 years | | 5.29 | 40,634 | 5.29 |
| \$7.44-\$10.33 | 27,273 | 8 years | | 9.10 | 12,253 | 8.77 |
| \$15.00 | 140,000 | 9 years | | 15.00 | 76,000 | 15.00 |
| \$31.55 | 187,000 | 10 years | | 31.55 | 55,000 | 31.55 |
| | 397,994 | | | \$ 21.28 | 186,974 | \$ 17.13 |

Stock Appreciation Rights

On July 20, 2000, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 2000 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (SAR Plan). The SAR Plan authorized 252,605 rights to be granted to certain directors, officers and key employees at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Each right gives the grantee the right to receive cash payment from the Company equal to the excess of (a) the exercise price of the SAR over the (b) grant price of the SAR. Rights granted under the SAR Plan vest in five years. Pursuant to the SAR Plan, prior to an initial public offering, the exercise price is equal to the book value. Also pursuant to the SAR Plan, each right gives the grantee the right to receive a cash payment from the Company equal to the excess of the fair value of the Company's common stock over the grant price if the Company completes an initial public offering. The Company closed on its IPO in December of 2004. The Company accounts for the outstanding rights pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. The expense related to the SAR plan was approximately \$446,000, \$2,095,000 and \$149,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The total amount in accrued expenses was \$357,000 and \$2,414,000 at December 31, 2005 and 2004 respectively.

Activity during the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Rights outstanding, beginning of year | 109,673 | 126,696 | 147,500 |
| Granted | | | |
| Adjustment due to stock dividends | | 831 | 1,696 |
| Forfeited | | (17,854) | (22,500) |
| Exercised | (91,819) | | |
| Rights outstanding, end of year | 17,854 | 109,673 | 126,696 |
| Rights exercisable, end of year | | | |

The weighted average grant price for the rights outstanding at December 31, 2005 was \$4.96. The weighted average contractual life of the rights outstanding at December 31, 2005 is 1.25 years. The weighted average exercise price for the rights exercised during the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$3.76.

No further grants will be made from the 1995 Stock Option and Award Plan and 2000 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan.

F-31

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 14. Employee Benefit Plan**

The Company has a qualified 401(k) employee benefit plan (the Plan) for all eligible employees. The Company is required to make matching contributions as outlined in the Plan and may elect to contribute a discretionary amount each year. The Company's total contributions were \$152,000, \$121,000, and \$115,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Note 15. Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has had, and may be expected to have in the future, banking transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors, significant stockholders, principal officers, their immediate families and affiliated companies in which they are principal stockholders (commonly referred to as related parties). In management's opinion, these loans and transactions were on the same terms as those for comparable loans and transactions with non-related parties.

Aggregate loan transactions with related parties for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Balance, beginning | \$ 9,580 | \$ 6,750 | \$ 7,180 |
| New loans | 3,185 | 15,995 | 9,766 |
| Repayments | (6,701) | (13,165) | (10,196) |
| No longer classified as related party | (1,238) | | |
| Balance, ending | \$ 4,826 | \$ 9,580 | \$ 6,750 |

In addition to the above amounts, the Company has commitments to extend credit to related parties of approximately \$828,000 and \$1,812,000 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Included in the 2004 related party loan transactions shown above, were two loans made for the exercise of stock options. The total outstanding balance of these loans at December 31, 2004 was approximately \$286,000, and was reported as a reduction of stockholders' equity. These recourse loans were secured by the Company's stock and were on the same terms as those for comparable loans with non-related parties. The loans were paid off in 2005.

None of these related party loans are past due, on nonaccrual status, or restructured to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal because of deteriorations in the financial position of the borrower. There were no loans to a related party that were considered classified loans at December 31, 2005.

Note 16. Regulatory Capital

The Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve qualitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As disclosed in Note 11, *Junior Subordinated Debt*, the proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities by the Trusts are considered Tier I capital, subject to percentage limitations, by the Company for regulatory purposes. The FRB has advised bank holding companies to continue to report the amount of the trust preferred securities in regulatory reports as a minority interest and thereby included in Tier I capital.

As of December 31, 2005 the most recent notification from the FRB categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The principal source of Community Bancorp's cash reserves are dividends received from Community Bank of Nevada. Nevada law imposes certain restrictions on a bank's ability to pay dividends and prohibits a bank from paying dividends if doing so would reduce its stockholders equity below (i) the initial stockholders' equity of the bank, or (ii) 6% of the total deposit liability of the bank, as determined by the Nevada Department of Business and Industry, Financial Institutions Division.

Regulations of the Federal Reserve also govern the payment of dividends by a state member bank. Under Federal Reserve Regulations, dividends may not be paid unless both capital and earnings limitations have been met. First, no dividend may be paid if it would result in a withdrawal of capital or exceed the member bank's net profits then on hand, after deducting its losses and bad debts. Exceptions to this limitation are available only upon the prior approval of the Federal Reserve and the approval of two-thirds of the member bank's shareholders which, in the case of Community Bank of Nevada, would require Community Bancorp's approval, as the sole shareholder of Community Bank of Nevada. Second, a state member bank may not pay a dividend without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve if the total of all dividends declared in one calendar year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total of net income for that year plus the preceding two calendar years less any required transfers to surplus under state or federal law.

In addition, a bank may not pay cash dividends if doing so would reduce its capital below minimum applicable federal capital requirements.

Additionally, State of Nevada banking regulations restrict distribution of the net assets of the Bank because such regulations require the sum of the Bank's stockholders' equity and allowance for loan losses to be at least 6% of the average of the Bank's total daily deposit liabilities for the preceding 60 days. As a result of these regulations, approximately \$74,500,000 and \$31,300,000 of the Bank's stockholders' equity was restricted at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following tables:

| | | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of December 31, 2005: | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Bank | Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 83,777 | 11.4% | \$ 58,595 | 8% | \$ 73,244 | 10% |
| Company | Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 126,025 | 16.3% | \$ 61,910 | 8% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 75,889 | 10.4% | \$ 29,298 | 4% | \$ 43,947 | 6% |
| Company | Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 109,921 | 14.2% | \$ 30,955 | 4% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | \$ 75,889 | 9.3% | \$ 32,692 | 4% | \$ 40,865 | 5% |
| Company | Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | \$ 109,921 | 13.1% | \$ 33,600 | 4% | N/A | N/A |
| | | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
| | | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of December 31, 2004: | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Bank | Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 52,962 | 11.7% | \$ 36,374 | 8% | \$ 45,468 | 10% |
| Company | Total Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 98,244 | 21.0% | \$ 37,477 | 8% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 47,269 | 10.4% | \$ 18,187 | 4% | \$ 27,281 | 6% |
| Company | Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted Assets) | \$ 92,379 | 19.7% | \$ 18,738 | 4% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | \$ 47,269 | 8.7% | \$ 21,731 | 4% | \$ 27,164 | 5% |
| Company | Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | \$ 92,379 | 16.9% | \$ 21,834 | 4% | N/A | N/A |

Note 17. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31 are as follow:

| | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|--|--------------------|---|--------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 22,221 | \$ 22,221 | \$ 5,328 | \$ 5,328 |
| Federal funds sold | 64,683 | 64,683 | 61,926 | 61,926 |
| Securities available for sale | 92,777 | 92,777 | 82,083 | 82,083 |
| Securities held to maturity | 1,566 | 1,601 | 1,958 | 2,041 |
| Loans, net | 651,574 | 647,679 | 395,011 | 393,219 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,770 | 3,770 | 2,003 | 2,003 |
| Investment in FHLB, FRB and PCBB stock | 2,861 | 2,861 | 2,219 | 2,219 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Deposits | 725,088 | 723,468 | 476,252 | 476,199 |
| Short term borrowings | 16,000 | 16,000 | 350 | 350 |
| Long term debt | 3,500 | 3,457 | | |
| Accrued interest payable | 790 | 790 | 333 | 333 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 36,083 | 35,423 | 15,464 | 15,464 |

F-34

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Interest Rate Risk***

The Company assumes interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of its normal operations. As a result, the fair values of the Company's financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change and that change may be either favorable or unfavorable to the Company. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent believed necessary to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment and more likely to prepay in a falling rate environment. Also, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by adjusting terms of new loans and deposits and by investing in securities with terms that mitigate the Company's overall interest rate risk.

Fair Value of Commitments

The estimated fair value of fee income on letters of credit at December 31, 2005 and 2004 is insignificant. Loan commitments on which the committed fixed interest rate is less than the current market rate are also insignificant at December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Note 18. Condensed Financial Information of Parent Company***Balance Sheets***

| | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 18,450 | \$ 37,138 |
| Securities available for sale | 864 | 1,062 |
| Investment in Community Bank of Nevada stock | 99,728 | 47,431 |
| Investment in Community Bank Statutory Trust I stock | 464 | 464 |
| Investment in Community Bank Statutory Trust II stock | 619 | |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$229 and \$100 | 21,597 | 6,888 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 84 | 18 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 136 | 467 |
| Other assets | 1,150 | 1,169 |
| Total assets | \$ 143,092 | \$ 94,637 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | \$ 82 | \$ 233 |
| Accrued stock appreciation rights | 178 | 1,387 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 36,083 | 15,464 |
| | 36,343 | 17,084 |
| Stockholders' Equity | 106,749 | 77,553 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 143,092 | \$ 94,637 |

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Statements of Income

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Income: | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 1,183 | \$ 57 | \$ 208 |
| Securities | 80 | 70 | 87 |
| Other | | | 20 |
| Total income | 1,263 | 127 | 315 |
| Interest expense on junior subordinated debt | 1,393 | 764 | 722 |
| Provision for loan losses | 129 | 67 | 33 |
| Stock appreciation rights expense | 43 | 1,387 | |
| Operating expenses | 1,153 | 259 | 185 |
| | 2,718 | 2,477 | 940 |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in net income of Community Bank of Nevada | (1,455) | (2,350) | (625) |
| Income tax benefit | (393) | (735) | (170) |
| | (1,062) | (1,615) | (455) |
| Equity in net income of Community Bank of Nevada | 11,127 | 7,036 | 5,670 |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Statements of Cash Flows*

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 10,065 | \$ 5,421 | \$ 5,215 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in net (income) of Bank | (11,127) | (7,036) | (5,670) |
| Provision for loan losses | 129 | 67 | 33 |
| Deferred taxes | 336 | (460) | 26 |
| Tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options | 5 | 474 | 30 |
| Net amortization of investment premium and discount | 8 | 18 | 43 |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (66) | 6 | 3 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | 19 | (733) | 14 |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | (1,360) | 1,608 | |
| Net cash (used in) operating activities | (1,991) | (635) | (306) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of and principal paydowns on securities available for sale | 176 | 429 | 1,091 |
| Investment in stock of Bank | (1,500) | | (4,000) |
| Cash paid to acquire Bank of Commerce | (20,640) | | 0 |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | (14,838) | (4,279) | 41 |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (36,802) | (3,850) | (2,868) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Dividends paid | | (9) | (9) |
| Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated debt | 20,000 | | |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | (285) |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options | 105 | 690 | 70 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | | 39,280 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 20,105 | 39,961 | (224) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (18,688) | 35,476 | (3,398) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 37,138 | 1,662 | 5,060 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 18,450 | \$ 37,138 | \$ 1,662 |

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 18. Quarterly Data (Unaudited)**

| | 2005 | | | | 2004 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | | | | |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ 15,394 | \$ 12,561 | \$ 9,676 | \$ 8,706 | \$ 8,171 | \$ 7,553 | \$ 7,255 | \$ 7,059 |
| Interest Expense | 4,703 | 3,326 | 2,306 | 2,176 | 1,815 | 1,681 | 1,744 | 1,622 |
| Net Interest Income | 10,691 | 9,235 | 7,370 | 6,530 | 6,356 | 5,872 | 5,511 | 5,437 |
| Provision for loan losses | 269 | 725 | 91 | | 340 | 360 | | 222 |
| Net interest income, after provision for loan losses | 10,422 | 8,510 | 7,279 | 6,530 | 6,016 | 5,512 | 5,511 | 5,215 |
| Noninterest income | 803 | 677 | 419 | 376 | 392 | 378 | 350 | 369 |
| Noninterest expenses (1)(2) | 7,027 | 5,421 | 4,641 | 3,423 | 5,424 | 3,671 | 3,429 | 3,422 |
| Income before income taxes | 4,198 | 3,766 | 3,057 | 3,483 | 984 | 2,219 | 2,432 | 2,162 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,221 | 1,080 | 1,037 | 1,101 | 141 | 714 | 808 | 713 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,977 | \$ 2,686 | \$ 2,020 | \$ 2,382 | \$ 843 | \$ 1,505 | \$ 1,624 | \$ 1,449 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.31 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.31 |

- (1) 2005 noninterest expenses increased due to additional employees, reporting requirements in our first year as a public company and additional costs associated with the acquisition of Bank of Commerce, including rent and depreciation expenses.
- (2) Fourth quarter of 2004 includes approximately \$1.9 million of expense relating to the stock appreciation rights. See Note 13 of the financial statements.

Table of Contents

[LETTER HEAD OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN]

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors

Community Bancorp

Las Vegas, Nevada

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Community Bancorp and Subsidiary (collectively referred to as the Company) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provided a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP

McGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada

March 10, 2006

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification by Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification by Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications |