

Cogdell Spencer Inc.  
Form 10-K  
March 16, 2009

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13  
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934  
For the transition period from        to

Commission file number 001-32649

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)  
4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300  
Charlotte, North Carolina  
(Address of principal executive offices)

20-3126457  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)  
28209  
(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:  
(704) 940-2900

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates if the registrant as of June 30, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$250,295,386 (based on the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on that date as reported on the New York Stock Exchange).

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 17,711,839 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding as of March 12, 2009.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the registrant's 2009 Annual Meeting, to be filed within 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

---

---

---

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
Item 1	Business	2
Item 1A	Risk Factors	10
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	34
Item 2	Properties	35
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders	38
	PART II	
Item 5	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	38
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	41
Item 7	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	57
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	58
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	97
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	97
Item 9B	Other Information	99
	PART III	
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	99
Item 11	Executive Compensation	100
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	100
Item 13	Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence	100
Item 14	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	100
	PART IV	
Item 15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	100
SIGNATURES		

## Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information

When used in this discussion and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the words “believes,” “anticipates,” “projects,” “should,” “estimates,” “expects,” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements with the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and in Section 21F of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Actual results may differ materially due to uncertainties including:

the Company’s business strategy;

the Company’s ability to comply with financial covenants in its debt instruments;

the Company’s access to capital;

the Company’s ability to obtain future financing arrangements;

estimates relating to the Company’s future distributions;

the Company’s understanding of the Company’s competition;

the Company’s ability to renew the Company’s ground leases;

legislative and regulatory changes (including changes to laws governing the taxation of REITs and individuals);

increases in costs of borrowing as a result of changes in interest rates and other factors;

the Company’s ability to maintain its qualification as a REIT due to economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations;

changes in the reimbursement available to the Company’s tenants by government or private payors;

the Company’s tenants’ ability to make rent payments;

defaults by tenants;

market trends; and

projected capital expenditures.

Forward-looking statements are based on estimates as of the date of this report. The Company disclaims any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements reflecting new estimates, events or circumstances after the date of this report.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely affect the Company’s business and financial performance. Moreover, the Company operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on the Company’s business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from

those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

PART I

Item 1. Business

The Company

Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated in Maryland in 2005, together with its subsidiaries (the “Company”) is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices and ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers. The Company focuses on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition, and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry. The Company operates its business through Cogdell Spencer LP, its operating partnership subsidiary (the “Operating Partnership”), and its subsidiaries.

In March 2008, the Company acquired Marshall Erdman & Associates, inc., now operating as Erdman Company, a subsidiary of Cogdell Spencer Inc. The Company, as a fully integrated healthcare facilities company, provides services from strategic planning to long-term property ownership and management. Integrated delivery service offerings include architecture, engineering, construction and capital project development.

The Company’s growth strategy includes leveraging strategic relationships for new wholly-owned or partially-owned developments, new design-build construction projects for third parties, and off-market acquisitions. The Company will also continue to enter into development joint ventures with hospitals and physicians.

The Company derives a majority of its revenues from two main sources: 1) from rents received from tenants under existing leases in medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities, and 2) from revenue earned from design-build construction contracts and development contracts.

The Company’s properties have favorable leases generally with consumer price index (“CPI”) increases and cost pass through to the tenants. The Company’s property portfolio is stable with an occupancy rate of 92.4% as of December 31, 2008. The Company derives a lesser portion of its revenues from fees that are paid for managing medical office buildings (“MOB”) and other healthcare related facilities for third parties. The Company’s property management team, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, has a proactive, customer-focused service approach that leads to faster response times and greater resources to serve tenants. The Company’s management believes a strong internal property management capability is a vital component of the Company’s business, both for the properties the Company owns and for those that the Company manages. Strong internal property management allows the Company to control property operating costs, increase tenant satisfaction, and reduce tenant turnover.

MEA Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Erdman Company (together, “Erdman”), a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”) of the Company, is a national full-service planning, design and construction firm specializing in healthcare facilities. Headquartered in Madison, Wisconsin, Erdman provides fully integrated solutions to healthcare facilities throughout the United States, including planning, architecture, engineering, construction, materials management, manufacturing, capital and development services. Erdman is a leading design-builder of healthcare facilities with specialized expertise and concentration in high growth healthcare market segments and facility types. Founded in 1951 and having completed more than 5,000 healthcare facilities nationwide, Erdman has a 57 year track record of and reputation for delivering healthcare facilities with appropriate design, longevity, sustainability and excellent operational efficiency. Exclusively focused on the healthcare facilities market, Erdman maintains long-term “trusted advisor” status with over 50,000 physicians nationwide. Erdman has successfully cultivated a client mix that is diversified in both geography and market focus and includes physician group practices and healthcare systems.

Erdman was ranked as the number one healthcare design-build firm for 2007 by Modern Healthcare's 2008 Construction and Design Survey.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company's portfolio consisted of 116 medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, serving 24 hospital systems in 13 states. The Company's aggregate portfolio at December 31, 2008, was comprised of 62 consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties, 3 unconsolidated joint venture properties, and 51 managed medical office buildings. At December 31, 2008, approximately 80.9% of the net rentable square feet of the Company's wholly-owned properties were situated on hospital campuses. As such, the Company believes that its assets occupy a premier franchise location in relation to local hospitals, providing its properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in an area. The Company believes that its property locations and relationships with hospitals will allow the Company to capitalize on the increasing healthcare trend of outpatient procedures.

At December 31, 2008, the Company's aggregate portfolio contained approximately 5.8 million net rentable square feet, consisting of approximately 3.3 million net rentable square feet from consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties, approximately 0.2 million net rentable square feet from unconsolidated joint venture properties, and approximately 2.3 million net rentable square feet from properties owned by third parties and managed by the Company. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's 61 in-service, consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties were approximately 92.4% occupied, with a weighted average remaining lease term of approximately 4.5 years.

#### The Company's Management and Design-Build Construction Companies

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, a specified percentage of the Company's gross income must be derived from real property sources, which would generally exclude the Company's income from providing architectural, construction, development and management services to third parties. In order to avoid realizing such income in a manner that would adversely affect the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT, some services are provided through the Company's subsidiaries of Erdman, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, LLC ("CSA LLC"), and Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC ("Consera"). Erdman, CSA LLC and Consera and their subsidiaries have elected, with the Company, to be treated as TRSs. Erdman, CSA LLC and Consera are wholly-owned and controlled by the Operating Partnership.

#### Management

The Company's senior management team has an average of more than 21 years of healthcare real estate experience and has been involved in the development, redevelopment, engineering, design and construction, management, and acquisition of a broad array of medical office buildings and healthcare facilities. The Company's Chairman and founder, James W. Cogdell, has been in the healthcare real estate business for more than 37 years, and Frank C. Spencer, Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors"), has more than 13 years of experience in the industry. Scott Ransom, Erdman's Chief Executive Officer and President, has more than 15 years of experience in the industry. Six members of the senior management team have entered into employment agreements with the Company. At December 31, 2008, the Company's senior management team owned approximately 12.9% of the units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership ("OP Units") and Company common stock on a fully diluted basis.

#### Business and Growth Strategies

The Company's primary business objective is to develop and maintain client relationships in order to maximize total return to the Company's stockholders through growth in cash available for distribution and appreciation in the value of the Company's assets.

#### Operating Strategy



The Company's operating strategy consists of the following principal elements:

**Strong Relationships with Physicians and Hospitals.**

Healthcare is fundamentally a local business. The Company believes it has developed a reputation based on trust and reliability among physicians and hospitals and believes that these relationships position the Company to secure new development projects and new property acquisition opportunities with both new and existing parties. Many of the Company's healthcare system clients have collaborated with the Company on multiple projects, including the Company's five largest healthcare system property management clients, with whom the Company has an average relationship lasting more than 19 years. The Company's strategy is to continue to grow its portfolio by leveraging these relationships to selectively develop new medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in communities in need of additional facilities to support the delivery of medical services. The Company believes that physicians particularly value renting space from a trusted and reliable property owner that consistently delivers an office environment that meets their specialized needs.

#### Active Management of the Company's Properties.

The Company has developed a comprehensive approach to property and operational management to maximize the operating performance of its medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, leading to high levels of tenant satisfaction. This fully-integrated property and operating management allows the Company to provide high quality seamless services to its tenants on a cost-effective basis. The Company believes that its operating efficiencies, which consistently exceed industry standards, will allow the Company to control costs for its tenants. The Company intends to maximize the Company's stockholders' return on their investment and to achieve long-term functionality and appreciation in its medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities through continuing its practice of active management of its properties. The Company manages its properties with a view toward creating an environment that supports successful medical practices. The properties are clean and kept in a condition that is conducive to the delivery of top-quality medical care to patients. The Company understands that in order to maximize the value of its investments, its tenants must prosper as well. Therefore, the Company is committed to maintaining its properties at the highest possible level.

#### Key On-Campus Locations

At December 31, 2008, approximately 80.9% of the net rentable square feet of the Company's wholly-owned properties were situated on hospital campuses. On-campus properties provide the Company's physician-lessees and their patients with a convenient location so that they can move between medical offices and hospitals with ease, which drives revenues for the Company's physician-lessees. Many of these properties occupy a premier franchise location in relation to the local hospital, providing the Company's properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in the area that are located farther away from the local hospital. The Company has found that the factors most important to physician-lessees when choosing a medical office building or healthcare related facility in which to locate their offices are convenience to a hospital campus, clean and attractive common areas, state-of-the-art amenities and tenant improvements tailored to each practice.

#### Loyal and Diverse Tenant Base.

The Company's focus on maintaining the Company's physician-lessees' loyalty is a key component of the Company's marketing and operating strategy. A focus on physician-lessee loyalty and the involvement of the physician-tenants and hospitals as investors in the Company's properties results in one of the more stable and diversified tenant bases of any medical office company in the United States. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's 61 in-service, consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties had an average occupancy rate of approximately 92.4%. The Company's tenants are diversified by type of medical practice, medical specialty and sub-specialty. As of December 31, 2008, no single tenant accounted for more than 7.5% of the annualized rental revenue at the wholly-owned properties and no tenants were in default.

#### Unique Focus.

The Company focuses exclusively on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition and management of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The focus on medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities allows the Company to own, develop, redevelop, acquire and manage medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities more effectively and profitably than its competition. Unlike many other public companies that simply engage in sale/leaseback arrangements in the healthcare real estate sector, the Company also operates its properties. The Company believes that this focus may position the Company to achieve additional cash flow growth and appreciation in the value of its assets.



## Development and Acquisition Strategy

The Company's development and acquisition strategy consists of the following principal elements:

### Project delivery.

The Company's project delivery teams focus on the development and design-build components of the integrated business model specializing in healthcare real estate. The Company and predecessor companies have developed and/or designed-built over 5,000 healthcare facilities including hospitals, medical office buildings, ambulatory surgery centers, wellness centers and multi-specialty clinics. The Company's project delivery unit operates as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cogdell Spencer Inc., and provides fully integrated healthcare real estate services from strategic planning and development to architecture to construction. The Company has built strong relationships with leading non-profit and for-profit healthcare systems who look to provide real estate solutions that will support the growth of medical communities built around their hospitals and regional medical centers. The Company focuses exclusively on medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and believe that its experience and understanding of real estate and healthcare gives it a competitive advantage over less specialized developers. Further, the Company's specialized regional focus provides extensive local industry knowledge and insight across the United States. The Company believes the network of relationships that have been fostered in both the real estate and healthcare industries that span over five decades provides access to a large volume of potential development and acquisitions opportunities.

### Selective Development and Acquisitions.

The Company intends to leverage its strong healthcare real estate track record and extensive client network to continue to grow its portfolio of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities by selectively acquiring existing medical office buildings and by developing new projects in communities in need of expanded facilities to support the delivery of medical services. While the Company intends to continue the evaluation of acquisition opportunities primarily within the joint venture partnership with Northwestern Mutual, the focus of capital deployment has shifted to development and design-build project delivery. As of December 31, 2008, the joint venture partnership with Northwestern Mutual did not have any acquisitions under contract that the Company expects to go forward.

### Develop and Maintain Strategic Relationships.

The Company intends to build upon its key strategic relationships with physicians, hospitals, not-for-profit agencies and religious entities that sponsor healthcare services to further enhance the Company's franchise. The Company expects to continue entering into joint ventures with individual physicians, physician groups and hospitals. These joint ventures have been, and the Company believes will continue to be, a source of development and acquisition opportunities. Of the 63 healthcare properties the management team developed or acquired over the past 13 years, 36 of them represent repeat transactions with an existing client institution. The Company anticipates that it will also continue to offer potential physician-lessees the opportunity to invest in the Company in order that they may continue to feel a strong sense of attachment to the property in which they practice. The Company intends to continue to work

closely with its tenants in order to cultivate long-term working relationships and to maximize new business opportunities. The Company works closely with its clients and carefully considers their objectives and needs when evaluating an investment opportunity. The Company believes that this philosophy allows the Company to build long-term relationships and obtain franchise locations otherwise unavailable to the Company's competition.

#### Investment Criteria and Funding.

The Company intends to expand in its existing markets and enter into markets that research indicates will meet its investment strategy in the future. The Company generally will seek to select clients and assets in locations that the Company believes will complement its existing portfolio. The Company may also selectively pursue portfolio opportunities outside of its existing markets that will not only add incremental value, but will also add diversification and economies of scale to the existing portfolio. In assessing a potential development or acquisition opportunity, the Company focuses on the economics of the medical community and the strength of local hospitals. The analysis focuses on trying to place the project on a hospital campus or in a strategic growth corridor based on demographics.

Historically, the Company has financed real property developments and acquisitions through joint ventures in which the physicians who lease space at the properties, and in some cases, local hospitals or regional medical centers, provided the equity capital. The Company has continued this practice of entering into joint ventures with individual physicians, physician groups and hospitals.

The Company has a \$150 million secured revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”). As of December 31, 2008, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$34.7 million and the Company’s Credit Facility had approximately \$17.5 of available borrowings, which the Company can use to finance development and acquisition opportunities. The Company plans to finance future acquisitions through a combination of cash, borrowings under the Credit Facility, traditional secured mortgage financing, and equity offerings.

## Business Segments

The Company has two identified reportable segments: (1) property operations and (2) design-build and development. The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. Each segment operates under a separate management group and produces discrete financial information, which is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance.

The Company’s management evaluates the operating performance of its operating segments based on funds from operations (“FFO”) and funds from operations modified (“FFOM”). FFO, as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company adjusts the NAREIT definition to add back minority interests in the Operating Partnership. FFOM adds back to FFO non-cash amortization of non-real estate related intangible assets associated with purchase accounting. The Company presents FFO and FFOM because the Company considers them as important supplemental measures of the Company’s operational performance. The Company believes FFO is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. The Company’s methodology may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO and FFOM do not represent amounts available for management’s discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO and FFOM should not be considered as alternatives to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the Company’s performance, nor are they indicative of funds available to fund the Company’s cash needs, including the Company’s ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

In periods prior to 2008, the Company presented segment net operating income for property operations and real estate services, where the real estate services segment included property management services. Due to the acquisition of Erdman, the presentation of discrete financial information was modified and Company management no longer uses the prior segment measures when making resource allocation decisions due to the transformative nature of the Erdman transaction.



## Regulation

The following discussion describes certain material U.S. federal healthcare laws and regulations that may affect the Company's operations and those of the Company's tenants. However, the discussion does not address state healthcare laws and regulations, except as otherwise indicated. These state laws and regulations, like the U.S. federal healthcare laws and regulations, could affect the Company's operations and those of the Company's tenants.

The regulatory environment remains stringent for healthcare providers. Fraud and abuse statutes that regulate hospital and physician relationships continue to broaden the industry's awareness of the need for experienced real estate management. New requirements for Medicare coding, physician recruitment and referrals, outlier charges to commercial and government payors, and corporate governance have created a difficult operating environment for some hospitals.

Generally, healthcare real estate properties are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations. Changes in any of these laws or regulations, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Compensation Liability Act, increase the potential liability for environmental conditions or circumstances existing or created by tenants or others on the properties. In addition, laws affecting development, construction, operation, upkeep, safety and taxation requirements may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, loss of healthcare real estate property sites or other impairments to operations, which would adversely affect the Company's cash flows from operating activities.

As the Company's properties and entities are not healthcare providers, the healthcare regulatory restrictions that apply to physician investment in healthcare providers are not applicable to the ownership interests held by physicians in the Company's properties except as discussed below. For example, the Stark II law, which, unless an exception applies, prohibits physicians from referring patients to any entity if they have a financial relationship with or ownership interest in the entity and the entity provides certain designated health services, does not apply to physician ownership in the Company's entities because these entities do not own or operate hospitals, nor do they provide any designated health services. In addition, the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which generally prohibits payment or solicitation of remuneration in exchange for referrals for items and services covered by federal health care programs to persons in a position to refer such business, also does not apply to ownership in the existing properties as these entities do not provide or bill for medical services of any kind. Similar state laws that prohibit physician self referrals or kickbacks also do not apply for the same reasons. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company cannot make any assurances that regulatory authorities will agree with the Company's interpretation of these laws.

Although the Company's properties and entities are not healthcare providers, certain federal healthcare regulatory restrictions could be implicated by ownership interests held by physicians in the Company's properties because the properties and entities may have both physician and hospital owners and such hospitals and physicians may have financial relationships apart from the Company's properties and entities which may create direct and indirect financial relationships subject to these laws and regulations. For example, under the Stark II law discussed above, an ownership in one of the Company's entities may serve as a link in a chain of financial relationships connecting a physician and a hospital which must be analyzed for compliance with the requirements of the Stark II law.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all places of public accommodation are required to meet certain U.S. federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. A number of additional U.S. federal, state and local laws also exist that may require modifications to properties, or restrict certain further renovations thereof, with respect to access thereto by disabled persons. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and also could result in an order to correct any non-complying feature and in substantial capital expenditures. To the extent the Company's properties are not in compliance, the Company may incur additional costs to comply with the ADA.



Property management activities are often subject to state real estate brokerage laws and regulations as determined by the particular real estate commission for each state.

In addition, state and local laws regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare related facilities, by requiring a certificate of need, which is issued by the applicable state health planning agency only after that agency makes a determination that a need exists in a particular area for a particular service or facility, or other similar approval. New laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could negatively affect the financial condition of the Company's lessees. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. In addition, certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and their lessees may require licenses or certificates of need to operate. Failure to obtain a license or certificate of need, or loss of a required license would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the lessee.

#### Environmental Matters

Pursuant to U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be required to investigate, remove and/or remediate a release of hazardous substances or other regulated materials at or emanating from such property. Further, under certain circumstances, such owners or operators of real property may be held liable for property damage, personal injury and/or natural resource damage resulting from or arising in connection with such releases. Certain of these laws have been interpreted to be joint and several unless the harm is divisible and there is a reasonable basis for allocation of responsibility. The failure to properly remediate the property may also adversely affect the owner's ability to lease, sell or rent the property or to borrow funds using the property as collateral.

In connection with the ownership, operation and management of the Company's current or past properties and any properties that the Company may acquire and/or manage in the future, the Company could be legally responsible for environmental liabilities or costs relating to a release of hazardous substances or other regulated materials at or emanating from such property. In order to assess the potential for such liability, the Company conducts an environmental assessment of each property prior to acquisition and manages the Company's properties in accordance with environmental laws while the Company owns or operates them. All of the Company's leases contain a comprehensive environmental provision that requires tenants to conduct all activities in compliance with environmental laws and to indemnify the owner for any harm caused by the failure to do so. In addition, the Company has engaged qualified, reputable and adequately insured environmental consulting firms to perform environmental site assessments of all of the Company's properties and is not aware of any environmental issues that are expected to have materially impacted the operations of any property.

#### Insurance

The Company believes that its properties are covered by adequate (as deemed necessary or as required by the Company's lenders) fire, flood, earthquake, wind and property insurance, as well as commercial liability insurance, provided by reputable companies and with commercially reasonable deductibles and limits. Furthermore, the Company believes that its businesses and assets are likewise adequately insured against casualty loss and third party liabilities. The Company engages a risk management consultant. Changes in the insurance market since September 11, 2001 have caused increases in insurance costs and deductibles, and have led to more active management of the insurance component of the Company's budget for each project; however, most of the Company's leases provide that insurance premiums are considered part of the operating expenses of the respective property, and the tenants are therefore responsible for any increases in the Company's premiums.

Erdman's business activities could expose it to potential liability under various environmental laws and under workplace health and safety regulations. The Company cannot predict the magnitude of such potential liabilities. The Company maintains a comprehensive general liability policy with an umbrella policy that covers losses beyond the

general liability limits. The Company also maintains professional errors and omissions liability and contractor's pollution liability insurance policies. Both policies provide adequate coverage for Erdman's business.

The Company obtains insurance coverage through a broker that is experienced in the professional liability field. The broker and the Company's risk manager regularly review the adequacy of the Company's insurance coverage. Because there are various exclusions and retentions under the policies, or an insurance carrier may become insolvent, there can be no assurance that all potential liabilities will be covered by the Company's insurance policies or paid by the Company's carriers.

The Company evaluates the risk associated with claims. If there is a determination that a loss is probable and reasonably estimable, an appropriate reserve is established. A reserve is not established if the Company determines that a claim has no merit or is not probable or reasonably estimable. Partially or completely uninsured claims, if successful and of significant magnitude, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

### Competition

The Company competes in developing and acquiring medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities with financial institutions, institutional pension funds, real estate developers, other REITs, other public and private real estate companies and private real estate investors.

Depending on the characteristics of a specific market, the Company may also face competition in leasing available medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities to prospective tenants. However, the Company believes that it brings a depth of knowledge and experience in working with physicians, hospitals, not-for-profit agencies and religious entities that sponsor healthcare services that makes the Company an attractive real estate partner for both development projects and acquisitions.

The market for design-build construction services is generally highly competitive and fragmented. Erdman's competitors are numerous, consisting mainly of small and regional private firms. The Company believes that it is well positioned to compete in its markets because of its healthcare industry specialization, strong reputation, long-term client relationships, and integrated delivery of services.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had 606 employees. The Company's professional staff performs functions in property management, acquisitions, real estate development, architecture, engineering, and construction management. Less than 5% of the Company's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, which are subject to amendment in November 2009, or by specific labor agreements, which expire upon completion of the relevant project. There are no material disagreements with employees and the Company considers the relationships with its employees to be favorable.

### Equity Offerings

In January 2008, the Company issued 3,448,278 shares of common stock in a private offering at a price of \$15.95 per share. The company received net proceeds of approximately \$53.8 million from the private offering. The net proceeds offerings were used to reduce outstanding principal on the Company's Credit Facility (defined in Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) and for working capital purposes. See "Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds."

In September 2008, the Company issued 2,160,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at a price of \$18.50 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$37.4 million. The net proceeds offerings were used to reduce outstanding principal on the Company's Credit Facility and for working capital purposes.

Acquisitions

In February 2008, the Company acquired East Jefferson Medical Plaza located in Metairie, Louisiana for \$19.8 million less a \$0.7 million credit from the seller for unpaid tenant improvement allowances. The facility contains 123,184 square feet and is located on the campus of East Jefferson General Hospital. With this acquisition, the Company now owns and manages 253,914 square feet of medical office and clinical space on or adjacent to the East Jefferson campus.

In February 2008, the Company acquired a leasehold interest in floors six and seven of St. Mary's North Medical Office Building, a seven story multi-tenant medical office building located on the campus of St. Mary's Hospital in Richmond, Virginia. The purchase price was \$4.6 million less a \$0.2 million credit from the seller for unpaid tenant improvement allowances.

In March 2008, the Company acquired Erdman for \$246.8 million. The transaction was financed through \$20.5 million of assumed cash, a \$100.0 million Term Loan (defined in Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements), \$75.3 million, inclusive of escrow amount, rollover of equity held by Erdman principal shareholders and members of its management team, and \$51.0 million, inclusive of escrow amount, from the Company's \$150.0 million secured Credit Facility.

In September 2008, the Company acquired a controlling interest in Genesis Property Holding, LLC ("Genesis"), located in Pensacola, Florida for \$2.2 million. Genesis is in the early stages of constructing an approximately \$22.4 million, 75,985 square foot medical office building and outpatient treatment center. The project is 100% pre-leased and construction is scheduled for completion in fourth quarter 2009. The Company owns 40% of Genesis and the remaining 60% is owned by physician-tenants of the building. Erdman is the developer and the design-build company for the joint venture; however, because the joint venture is consolidated, all inter-company profit is eliminated in consolidation.

#### Available Information

The Company files its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You may obtain copies of these documents by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, or by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a Website ([www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The Company's Website is [www.cogdellspencer.com](http://www.cogdellspencer.com). Its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and all amendments to those reports are posted on the Company's Website as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports and amendments are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. The contents of the Company's Website are not incorporated by reference herein.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

##### Risks Related to the Company's Properties and Operations

The Company's real estate investments are concentrated in medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, making the Company more vulnerable economically than if the Company's investments were diversified.

As a REIT, the Company invests primarily in real estate. Within the real estate industry, the Company selectively owns, develops, redevelops, acquires and manages medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. The Company is subject to risks inherent in concentrating investments in real estate. The risks resulting from a lack of diversification become even greater as a result of the Company's business strategy to invest primarily in medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. A downturn in the medical office building industry or in the commercial real estate industry generally, could significantly adversely affect the value of the Company's properties. A downturn in the healthcare industry could negatively affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock. These adverse effects could be more pronounced than if the Company held a diverse portfolio of investments outside of real estate or outside of medical office buildings and healthcare related properties.

The Company depends on significant tenants.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company's five largest tenants represented \$14.9 million, or 20.4%, of the annualized rent generated by the Company's properties. The Company's five largest tenants based on annualized rents are Carolinas HealthCare System, Palmetto Health Alliance, Bon Secours St. Francis Hospital, University Hospital (Augusta, GA), and Gaston Memorial Hospital. The Company's tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses, which may weaken their financial condition and result in their failure to make timely rental payments or their default under their leases. In the event of any tenant default, the Company may experience delays in enforcing the Company's rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting the Company's investment.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company's tenants under the Company's leases could seriously harm the Company's operating results and financial condition.

The Company will receive a substantial amount of the Company's income as rent payments under leases of space in the Company's properties. The Company has no control over the success or failure of the Company's tenants' businesses and, at any time, any of the Company's tenants may experience a downturn in its business that may weaken its financial condition. As a result, the Company's tenants may delay lease commencement or renewal, fail to make rent payments when due, or declare bankruptcy. Any leasing delays, lessee failures to make rent payments when due, or tenant bankruptcies could result in the termination of the tenant's lease and, particularly in the case of a large tenant, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders, and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

If tenants are unable to comply with the terms of the Company's leases, the Company may be forced to modify lease terms in ways that are unfavorable to the Company. Alternatively, the failure of a tenant to perform under a lease or to extend a lease upon expiration of its term could require the Company to declare a default, repossess the property, find a suitable replacement tenant, operate the property, or sell the property. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to lease the property on substantially equivalent or better terms than the prior lease, or at all. The Company may not be able to find another tenant, successfully reposition the property for other uses, successfully operate the property, or sell the property on terms that are favorable to the Company.

If any lease expires or is terminated, the Company will be responsible for all of the operating expenses for that vacant space until it is re-let. If the Company experiences high levels of vacant space, the Company's operating expenses may increase significantly. Any significant increase in the Company's operating costs may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Any bankruptcy filings by or relating to one of the Company's tenants could bar all efforts by the Company to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that lessee or seize its property, unless the Company receives an order permitting the Company to do so from the bankruptcy court, which the Company may be unable to obtain. A tenant bankruptcy could also delay the Company's efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases and could ultimately preclude full collection of these sums. If a tenant assumes the lease while in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must be paid to the Company in full. However, if a tenant rejects the lease while in bankruptcy, the Company would have only a general unsecured claim for pre-petition damages. Any unsecured claim the Company holds may be paid only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims. It is possible that the Company may recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims the Company holds, if any, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders, and the trading price of the Company's common stock. Furthermore, dealing with a tenant bankruptcy or

other default may divert management's attention and cause the Company to incur substantial legal and other costs.



The global financial crisis, and other events or circumstances beyond the control of the Company, may adversely affect the Company's industry, business, results of operations, contractual commitments, and access to capital.

What began initially as a "subprime" mortgage crisis has turned into an extraordinary U.S. and worldwide structural economic and financial crisis coupled with the rapid decline of the consumer economy. Recently, significant concerns over energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the United States mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the United States have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the markets going forward. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have precipitated a steep economic decline and fears of a deep and prolonged recession. Further, severe financial and structural strains on the banking and financial systems have led to significant lack of trust and confidence in the global credit and financial system. Consumers and money managers have liquidated equity investments and consumers and banks have held cash and other lower risk investments, resulting in significant and, in some cases, catastrophic declines in the equity capitalization of companies and unusual failures of financial institutions. Additionally, financial systems throughout the world are undergoing severe structural changes with banks much less willing to lend substantial amounts to other banks and borrowers. This extraordinary level of illiquidity has caused a significant decline in available credit from financial institutions and other lenders and the unprecedented declines in the market values of United States and foreign stock exchanges has led to significantly higher cost of debt and equity capital.

The United States and foreign governments have taken extraordinary actions in an attempt to deal with the worldwide financial crisis and the severe decline in the consumer driven economy. These extraordinary actions, including the merger of large financial institutions and significant investment in and control by government bodies, has so far resulted in limited or no relief to the credit markets, and there is no assurance that their actions will ultimately be successful. Additionally, extraordinary government controls have been attempted, including a temporary ban of "short sales" on certain publicly traded stocks and guarantees of money market funds, which have also resulted in limited relief, if any, to the credit markets. The resulting and ongoing lack of available credit, lack of lending between financial institutions and other key lending sources (such as life insurance companies and pension funds), lack of lending to borrowers and further failures and consolidation of financial institutions could materially and adversely affect the Company's tenants, key vendors and contractors, financial condition, results of operations, ability to fund development and redevelopment activities, ability to refinance debt and other capital needs and access to capital.

Adverse economic or other conditions in the markets in which the Company does business could negatively affect the Company's occupancy levels and rental rates and therefore the Company's operating results.

The Company's operating results are dependent upon its ability to maximize occupancy levels and rental rates in the Company's portfolio. Adverse economic or other conditions in the markets in which the Company operates may lower the Company's occupancy levels and limit the Company's ability to increase rents or require the Company to offer rental discounts. The following factors are primary among those which may adversely affect the operating performance of the Company's properties:

periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rental rates or an increase in tenant defaults;

the national economic climate in which the Company operates, which may be adversely impacted by, among other factors, a reduction in the availability of debt or equity financing, industry slowdowns, relocation of businesses and changing demographics;

local or regional real estate market conditions such as the oversupply of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or a reduction in demand for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in a particular area;

negative perceptions by prospective tenants of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of the Company's properties and the neighborhoods in which they are located;

earthquakes and other natural disasters, terrorist acts, civil disturbances or acts of war which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses; and changes in the tax, real estate and zoning laws.

The failure of the Company's properties to generate revenues sufficient to meet the Company's cash requirements, including operating and other expenses, debt service and capital expenditures, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Current levels of market volatility are unprecedented.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption for more a significant period of time. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit capacity for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial and/or operating strength. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that the Company will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital markets may also limit the Company's access to capital from financial institutions on attractive terms, or at all, and its ability to raise capital through the issuance of equity securities could be adversely affected by causes beyond the control of the Company through ongoing extraordinary disruptions in the global economy and financial systems or other events.

The majority of the Company's consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties are located in Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina, and changes in these markets may materially adversely affect the Company.

The Company's consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties located in Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina, provide approximately 11.3%, 23.9% and 28.4%, respectively, of the Company's total annualized rent as of December 31, 2008. As a result of the geographic concentration of properties in these markets, the Company is particularly exposed to downturns in these local economies or other changes in local real estate market conditions. In the event of negative economic changes in these markets, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

The Company's investments in development and redevelopment projects may not yield anticipated returns, which would harm the Company's operating results and reduce the amount of funds available for distributions.

A key component of the Company's growth strategy is exploring new-asset development and redevelopment opportunities through strategic joint ventures. To the extent that the Company engages in these development and redevelopment activities, they will be subject to the following risks normally associated with these projects:

the Company may be unable to obtain financing for these projects on attractive terms or at all;

the Company may not complete development projects on schedule or within budgeted amounts;

the Company may encounter delays or refusals in obtaining all necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations;

occupancy rates and rents at newly developed or redeveloped properties may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, and may result in the Company's investment not being profitable; and start-up costs may be higher than anticipated.

In deciding whether to develop or redevelop a particular property, the Company makes certain assumptions regarding the expected future performance of that property. The Company may underestimate the costs necessary to bring the property up to the standards established for its intended market position or the Company may be unable to

increase occupancy at a newly acquired property as quickly as expected or at all. Any substantial unanticipated delays or expenses could adversely affect the investment returns from these development or redevelopment projects and have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company may in the future develop medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities in geographic regions where the Company does not currently have a significant presence and where the Company does not possess the same level of familiarity, which could adversely affect the Company's ability to develop such properties successfully or at all or to achieve expected performance.

The Company has relied, and in the future may rely, on the investments of the Company's joint venture partners for the funding of the Company's development and redevelopment projects. If the Company's reputation in the healthcare real estate industry changes or the number of investors considering the Company as an attractive strategic partner is otherwise reduced, the Company's ability to develop or redevelop properties could be affected, which would limit the Company's growth.

If the Company's investments in development and redevelopment projects do not yield anticipated returns for any reason, including those set forth above, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

The Company may not be successful in identifying and consummating suitable acquisitions or investment opportunities, which may impede the Company's growth and negatively affect the Company's results of operations.

The Company's ability to expand through acquisitions is a key component of its long-term growth strategy and requires the Company to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet its criteria and are compatible with its growth strategy. The Company may not be successful in identifying suitable properties or other assets that meet the Company's acquisition criteria or in consummating acquisitions or investments on satisfactory terms or at all. Failure to identify or consummate acquisitions or investment opportunities will slow the Company's growth, which could in turn adversely affect the Company's stock price.

The Company's ability to acquire properties on attractive terms and successfully integrate and operate them may be constrained by the following significant risks:

failure to finance an acquisition on attractive terms or at all;

competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including other publicly-traded REITs and institutional investment funds;

competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price for an acquisition property, which could reduce the Company's profitability;

unsatisfactory results of the Company's due diligence investigations or failure to meet other customary closing conditions;

the Company may spend more than the time and amounts budgeted to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties; and

the Company may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by persons in respect of events transpiring or conditions existing before the Company acquired the properties and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If any of these risks are realized, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

The Company may not be able to obtain additional capital to further its business objectives.

The Company's ability to develop, redevelop or acquire properties depends upon its ability to obtain capital. The real estate industry is currently experiencing a debt and equity capital market that is virtually frozen. This lack of capital is expected to cause a decrease in the level of new investment activity by publicly traded real estate companies. A prolonged period in which the Company cannot effectively access the public equity or debt markets may result in heavier reliance on alternative financing sources to undertake new investments. An inability to obtain equity or debt capital on acceptable terms could delay or prevent the Company from acquiring, financing and completing desirable investments, and which could otherwise adversely affect the Company's business. Also, the issuance of additional shares of capital stock or interests in subsidiaries to fund future operations could dilute the ownership of the Company's then-existing stockholders. Even as liquidity returns to the market, debt and equity capital may be more expensive than in prior years.

If the Company is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures to attract new tenants, then the Company's business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Virtually all of the Company's leases are on a multiple year basis. As of December 31, 2008, leases representing 13.4% of the Company's net rentable square feet will expire in 2009, 13.9% in 2010 and 9.3% in 2011. These expirations would account for 13.6%, 13.8% and 9.4% of the Company's annualized rent, respectively. Approximately 75.6% of the square feet of the Company's properties and 83.1% of the number of the Company's properties are subject to certain restrictions. These restrictions include limits on the Company's ability to re-let these properties to tenants not affiliated with the healthcare system that own the underlying property, rights of first offer on sales of the property and limits on the types of medical procedures that may be performed. In addition, lower than expected rental rates upon re-letting could impede the Company's growth. The Company cannot assure you that it will be able to re-let space on terms that are favorable to the Company or at all. Further, the Company may be required to make significant capital expenditures to renovate or reconfigure space to attract new tenants. If it is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures in connection with re-letting units, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Certain of the Company's properties may not have efficient alternative uses.

Some of the Company's properties, such as the Company's ambulatory surgery centers, are specialized medical facilities. If the Company or the Company's tenants terminate the leases for these properties or the Company's tenants lose their regulatory authority to operate such properties, the Company may not be able to locate suitable replacement tenants to lease the properties for their specialized uses. Alternatively, the Company may be required to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any loss of revenues and/or additional capital expenditures occurring as a result may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company faces competition for the acquisition of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, which may impede the Company's ability to make future acquisitions or may increase the cost of these acquisitions.

The Company competes with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, including national, regional and local operators, acquirers and developers of healthcare real estate properties. The competition for healthcare real estate properties may significantly increase the price the Company must pay for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or other assets the Company seeks to acquire and the Company's competitors may succeed in acquiring those properties or assets themselves. In addition, the Company's potential acquisition targets may find the Company's competitors to be more attractive because they may have greater resources, may be willing to pay more for the properties or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger healthcare REITs may enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital and enhanced operating efficiencies. In addition, the number of entities and the amount of funds competing for suitable investment properties may increase. This competition will result in increased demand for these assets and therefore increased prices paid for them. Because of an increased interest in single-property acquisitions among tax-motivated individual purchasers, the Company may pay higher prices if the Company purchases single properties in comparison with portfolio acquisitions. If the Company pays higher prices for medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities or other assets, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially

and adversely affected.

15

---



The Company may not be successful in integrating and operating acquired properties.

The Company expects to make future acquisitions of medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities. If the Company acquires medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, the Company will be required to integrate them into the Company's existing portfolio. The acquired properties may turn out to be less compatible with the Company's growth strategy than originally anticipated, may cause disruptions in the Company's operations or may divert management's attention away from day-to-day operations, any or all of which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, their associated hospitals and the Company's tenants may be unable to compete successfully.

The Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, and their associated hospitals often face competition from nearby hospitals and other medical office buildings that provide comparable services. Some of those competing facilities are owned by governmental agencies and supported by tax revenues, and others are owned by nonprofit corporations and may be supported to a large extent by endowments and charitable contributions. These types of support are not available to the Company's buildings.

Similarly, the Company's tenants face competition from other medical practices in nearby hospitals and other medical facilities. The Company's tenants' failure to compete successfully with these other practices could adversely affect their ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect the Company's rental revenues. Further, from time to time and for reasons beyond the Company's control, referral sources, including physicians and managed care organizations, may change their lists of hospitals or physicians to which they refer patients. This could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rental payments, which could adversely affect the Company's rental revenues.

The Company depends upon its tenants to operate their businesses in a manner which generates revenue sufficient to allow them to meet their obligations to the Company, including their obligation to pay rent. Any reduction in rental revenues resulting from the inability of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, their associated hospitals and the Company's tenants to compete successfully may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company may incur impairment charges on goodwill or other intangible assets.

Because the Company has grown in part through acquisitions, goodwill and other acquired intangible assets represent a significant portion of the Company's assets. The Company performs an annual impairment review on its goodwill and other intangible assets in the fourth quarter of every fiscal year. In addition, the Company performs an impairment review whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill or other intangible assets may exceed the fair value of such assets. At some future date, the Company may determine that an impairment has occurred in the value of goodwill or other intangible assets. In such an event, the Company may be required to recognize an impairment loss which could have a material adverse affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Uninsured losses or losses in excess of the Company insurance coverage could adversely affect the Company's financial condition and the Company's cash flow.

The Company maintains comprehensive liability, fire, flood, earthquake, wind (as deemed necessary or as required by the Company's lenders), extended coverage and rental loss insurance with respect to the Company's properties with policy specifications, limits and deductibles customarily carried for similar properties. Certain types of losses, however, may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable, such as losses due to earthquakes, riots, acts of war or terrorism. Should an uninsured loss occur, the Company could lose both the Company's investment in and anticipated profits and cash flow from a property. If any such loss is insured, the Company may be required to pay a significant deductible on any claim for recovery of such a loss prior to the Company's insurer being obligated to reimburse the Company for the loss, or the amount of the loss may exceed the Company's coverage for the loss. In addition, future lenders may require such insurance, and the Company's failure to obtain such insurance could constitute a default under loan agreements. As a result, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Joint investments could be adversely affected by the Company's lack of sole decision-making authority and reliance upon a co-venturer's financial condition.

The Company may co-invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures, co-tenancies or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in, or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of, a property, partnership, joint venture, co-tenancy or other entity. Therefore, the Company may not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding that property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures, or other entities may involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that the Company's partners, co-tenants or co-venturers might become bankrupt or otherwise fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Additionally, the Company's partners or co-venturers might at any time have economic or other business interests or goals, which are inconsistent with the Company's business interests or goals. These investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions such as a sale, because neither the Company nor the partner, co-tenant or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Consequently, actions by such partner, co-tenant or co-venturer might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, the Company may in specific circumstances be liable for the actions of third-party partners, co-tenants or co-venturers.

The Company's mortgage agreements and ground leases contain certain provisions that may limit the Company's ability to sell certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities.

In order to assign or transfer the Company's rights and obligations under certain of the Company's mortgage agreements, the Company generally must:

obtain the consent of the lender;

pay a fee equal to a fixed percentage of the outstanding loan balance; and

pay any costs incurred by the lender in connection with any such assignment or transfer.

In addition, ground leases on certain of the Company's properties contain restrictions on transfer such as limiting the assignment or subleasing of the facility only to practicing physicians or physicians in good standing with an affiliated hospital. These provisions of the Company's mortgage agreements and ground leases may limit the Company's ability to sell certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities which, in turn, could adversely impact the price realized from any such sale

26 of the Company's consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties are subject to ground or air rights leases that expose the Company to the loss of such properties upon breach or termination of the ground or air rights leases

The Company has 26 consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties that are subject to leasehold interests in the land or air underlying the buildings and the Company may acquire additional buildings in the future that are subject to similar ground or air leases. These 26 consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties represent 50.8% of the Company's total net rentable square feet. As lessee under a ground lease, the Company is exposed to the possibility of losing the property upon termination, or an earlier breach by the Company, of the ground lease, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.



Environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with operating the Company's properties may affect the Company's results of operations.

Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, owners and operators of real estate may be liable for the costs of investigating and remediating certain hazardous substances or other regulated materials on or in such property. Such laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such substances or materials. The presence of such substances or materials, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to lease, sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances or other regulated materials may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for release of asbestos-containing materials into the air and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials.

Certain environmental laws also impose liability, without regard to knowledge or fault, for removal or remediation of hazardous substances or other regulated materials upon owners and operators of contaminated property even after they no longer own or operate the property. Moreover, the past or present owner or operator from which a release emanates could be liable for any personal injuries or property damages that may result from such releases, as well as any damages to natural resources that may arise from such releases. Certain environmental laws impose compliance obligations on owners and operators of real property with respect to the management of hazardous materials and other regulated substances. For example, environmental laws govern the management of asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint. Failure to comply with these laws can result in penalties or other sanctions.

No assurances can be given that existing environmental studies with respect to any of the Company's properties reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner or operator of the Company's properties did not create any material environmental condition not known to the Company, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist as to any one or more of the Company's properties. There also exists the risk that material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future. Finally, future laws, ordinances or regulations and future interpretations of existing laws, ordinances or regulations may impose additional material environmental liability.

The realization of any or all of these risks may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Costs associated with complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 may result in unanticipated expenses.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all places of public accommodation are required to meet certain U.S. federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. A number of additional U.S. federal, state and local laws may also require modifications to the Company's properties, or restrict certain further renovations of the properties, with respect to access thereto by disabled persons. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and/or an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures. The Company has not conducted an audit or investigation of all of the Company's properties to determine the Company's compliance and the Company cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with the ADA or other legislation. If one or more of the Company's properties is not in compliance with the ADA or other related legislation, then the Company would be required to incur additional costs to bring the facility into compliance. If the Company incurs substantial costs to comply with the

ADA or other related legislation, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

### Risks Related to Erdman's Business

The continued integration of Erdman could prove difficult, disrupt the Company's business and strain the Company's resources.

The Company acquired Erdman in March 2008. Since that time the Company has worked to integrate Erdman's business with that of the Company. This integration continues to involve a number of risks, including:

- challenges in integrating operations, technologies, services, accounting and personnel;

- challenges in managing new product lines, including planning, architecture, engineering, construction, materials management, manufacturing, capital and development services;

- challenges in supporting and transitioning customers of Erdman to the Company's technology platforms and business processes;

- diversion of financial and management resources from existing operations; and

- inability to generate sufficient revenues to offset acquisition or investment costs.

Over the long term, the acquisition of Erdman may turn out to be less compatible with the Company's growth strategy than originally anticipated, especially because Erdman's operations have not been part of the Company's core business strategy. Although the Company performed due diligence on Erdman's business prior to acquiring Erdman, an unavoidable level of risk remains regarding the actual condition of Erdman's business. For example, the Company may have acquired unknown or unasserted liabilities or claims or liabilities not susceptible of discovery during the Company's due diligence investigation that only manifest themselves at a later date.

If the Company is unsuccessful in overcoming these risks, the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

The current credit crisis and adverse economic conditions could cause Erdman's clients to delay, curtail or cancel proposed or existing projects, which could result in a decrease in demand for Erdman's services.

The demand for Erdman's services has been, and will likely continue to be, cyclical in nature and vulnerable to general downturns in the U.S. economy. Adverse economic conditions may decrease Erdman's customers' willingness or ability to make capital expenditures or otherwise reduce their spending to purchase Erdman's services, which could result in reduced revenues or margins for Erdman's business. Many of Erdman's customers finance their projects through cash flow from operations, the incurrence of debt or the issuance of equity. Recently, there has been a significant decline in the credit markets and reductions in the availability of credit. Furthermore, Erdman's customers may be affected by economic downturns that decrease the need for their services or the profitability of their services, which could result in a decrease of their cash flow from operations. A reduction in Erdman's customers' cash flow from operations and the lack of availability of debt or equity financing could cause Erdman's customers to delay, curtail or cancel proposed or existing projects, which could result in a decrease in demand for Erdman's services.

Erdman's results of operations depend upon the award of new contracts and the nature and timing of those awards.

Erdman's revenues are derived primarily from contracts awarded on a project-by-project basis. Generally, it is very difficult to predict whether and when Erdman will be awarded a new contract since many potential contracts involve a lengthy and complex bidding and selection process that may be affected by a number of factors, including

changes in existing or assumed market conditions, financing arrangements, governmental approvals and environmental matters. Because Erdman's revenues are derived primarily from these contracts, its results of operations and cash flows can fluctuate materially from period to period depending on the timing of contract awards.

In addition, adverse economic conditions could alter the overall mix of services that Erdman's customers seek to purchase, and increased competition during a period of economic decline could result in Erdman accepting contract terms that are less favorable to Erdman than it might otherwise be able to negotiate. Changes in Erdman's mix of services or a less favorable contracting environment may cause Erdman's and the Company's revenues and margins to decline.



If Erdman experiences delays and/or defaults in customer payments, Erdman could be unable to recover all expenditures.

Because of the nature of Erdman's contracts, Erdman may at times commit its financial resources to projects prior to receiving payments from the customer in amounts sufficient to cover expenditures on the projects as they are incurred. Delays in customer payments may require Erdman to make a working capital investment. If a customer defaults in making payments on a project in which Erdman has devoted significant financial resources, it could have a material adverse effect on Erdman's business. This risk can be exacerbated as a result of a downturn in economic conditions, including recent developments in the economy and capital markets.

Erdman may experience reduced profits or, in some cases, losses under its guaranteed maximum price contracts if costs increase above its estimates.

Most of Erdman's contracts are currently negotiated guaranteed maximum price or fixed price contracts, giving Erdman's clients a clear understanding of the project's costs but also locking in Erdman so that Erdman bears a significant portion or all of the risk for cost overruns. Under these guaranteed maximum price or fixed price contracts, contract prices payable by customers are established in part on cost and scheduling estimates which are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions about future economic conditions, prices and availability of labor, equipment and materials, and other exigencies. If these estimates prove inaccurate, or the Company encounters other unanticipated difficulties with respect to projects under guaranteed maximum price or fixed price contracts (such as errors, omissions or other deficiencies in the components of projects designed by or on behalf of Erdman, problems with new technologies, difficulties in obtaining permits or approvals, adverse weather, unknown or unforeseen conditions, labor actions or disputes, changes in legal requirements, unanticipated decisions, interpretations or actions by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the Company's projects, fire or other casualties, terrorist or similar acts, unanticipated difficulty or delay in obtaining materials or equipment, unanticipated increase in the cost of materials or equipment, failures or defaults of suppliers or subcontractors to perform, or other causes within or beyond the control of Erdman which delay the performance or completion of a project or increase Erdman's cost of performing the services and work to complete the project), cost overruns may occur, and Erdman could experience reduced profits or, in some cases, a loss for that project. The existence or impact of these and other items may not be or become known until the end of a project.

The nature of Erdman's engineering, architecture, construction and other businesses exposes it to potential liabilities and disputes which may reduce its profits.

Erdman engages in engineering, architecture, construction and other services where design, construction or systems failures can result in substantial injury or damage to customers and/or third parties. In addition, the nature of Erdman's business results in customers, subcontractors, vendors, suppliers and governmental authorities occasionally asserting claims against Erdman for damages or losses for which they believe Erdman is liable, including damages and/or losses (including consequential damages or losses) arising from allegations of: (1) defective, nonconforming, legally noncompliant or otherwise deficient design, materials, equipment or workmanship; (2) late performance, completion or delivery of all or any portion of a project; (3) bodily injury, sickness, disease or death; (4) injury to or destruction of property; (5) failure to design or perform work in accordance with applicable laws, statutes, ordinances, and regulations of any governmental authority; (6) violations of the Federal "Occupational Safety and Health Act", or any other laws, ordinances, rules regulations or orders of any Federal, State or local public authority having jurisdiction for the safety of persons or property, including but not limited to any Fire Department and Board of Health; (7) violations or infringements of any trademark, copyright or patent, or any unfair competition, or infringement of any other tangible or intangible personal or property rights; and (8) failure to pay parties providing services, labor, materials, equipment, supplies and similar items to projects.



Many of Erdman's contracts with customers do not limit Erdman's liability for damages or losses. These claims often arise in the normal course of Erdman's business, and may be asserted with respect to projects completed and/or past occurrences. When it is determined that Erdman has liability, such liability may not be covered by insurance or, if covered, the dollar amount of the liability may exceed Erdman's policy limits. Any liability not covered by insurance, in excess of insurance limits or, if covered by insurance but subject to a high deductible, could result in significant loss, which could reduce profits and cash available for operations. Furthermore, claims asserting liability for these and other matters, whether for projects previously completed or projects to be completed in the future, may not be asserted or otherwise become known until a later date. Performance problems and/or liability claims for existing or future projects could adversely impact Erdman's reputation within its industry and among its client base, making it more difficult to obtain future projects.

Environmental compliance costs and liabilities associated with Erdman's business may affect Erdman's results of operations .

Erdman's operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations, including those concerning:

generation, storage, handling, treatment and disposal of hazardous material and wastes;

emissions into the air;

discharges into waterways; and

health and safety.

Erdman's projects often involve highly regulated materials, including hazardous wastes. Environmental laws and regulations generally impose limitations and standards for regulated materials and require Erdman to obtain permits and comply with various other requirements. The improper characterization, handling, or disposal of regulated materials or any other failure by us to comply with federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations or associated environmental permits could subject Erdman to the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory or remedial obligations, or the issuance of injunctions that could restrict or prevent Erdman's ability to operate its business and complete contracted projects.

In addition, under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), and comparable state laws, Erdman may be required to investigate and remediate regulated materials. CERCLA and the comparable state laws typically impose liability without regard to whether a company knew of or caused the release, and liability for the entire cost of clean-up can be imposed upon any responsible party.

The environmental, workplace, employment and health and safety laws and regulations, among others, to which Erdman is subject are complex, change frequently and could become more stringent in the future. It is impossible to predict the effect that any future changes to these laws and regulations could have on Erdman. Any failure to comply with these laws and regulations could materially adversely affect Erdman's business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### Risks Related to the Healthcare Industry

Adverse trends in healthcare provider operations may negatively affect the Company's lease revenues and the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

The healthcare industry is currently experiencing:

changes in the demand for and methods of delivering healthcare services;

changes in third party reimbursement policies;

substantial competition for patients among healthcare providers;

continued pressure by private and governmental payors to reduce payments to providers of services; and

increased scrutiny of billing, referral and other practices by U.S. federal and state authorities.

These factors may adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of the Company's tenants and, in turn, the Company's lease revenues, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Reductions in reimbursement from third party payors, including Medicare and Medicaid, could adversely affect the profitability of the Company's tenants and hinder their ability to make rent payments to the Company.

Sources of revenue for the Company's tenants may include the U.S. federal Medicare program, state Medicaid programs, private insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations, among others. Healthcare providers continue to face increased government and private payor pressure to control or reduce costs. Efforts by such payors to reduce healthcare costs will likely continue, which may result in reductions or slower growth in reimbursement for certain services provided by some of the Company's tenants. In addition, the failure of any of the Company's tenants to comply with various laws and regulations could jeopardize their ability to continue participating in Medicare, Medicaid and other government sponsored payment programs. A reduction in reimbursements to the Company's tenants from third party payors for any reason could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated, and new laws or regulations, changes to existing laws or regulations, loss of licensure or failure to obtain licensure could result in the inability of the Company's tenants to make rent payments to the Company.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated by U.S. federal, state and local governmental bodies. The Company's tenants generally will be subject to laws and regulations covering, among other things, licensure, certification for participation in government programs and relationships with physicians and other referral sources.

In addition, state and local laws regulate expansion, including the addition of new beds or services or acquisition of medical equipment, and the construction of healthcare related facilities, by requiring a certificate of need, which is issued by the applicable state health planning agency only after that agency makes a determination that a need exists in a particular area for a particular service or facility, or other similar approval. New laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations or changes in the interpretation of such laws or regulations could negatively affect the financial condition of the Company's tenants. These changes, in some cases, could apply retroactively. The enactment, timing or effect of legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. In addition, certain of the Company's medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities and their tenants may require licenses or certificates of need to operate. Failure to obtain a license or certificate of need, or loss of a required license would prevent a facility from operating in the manner intended by the tenant.

These events could adversely affect the Company's tenants' ability to make rent payments to the Company, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's tenants are subject to fraud and abuse laws, the violation of which by a tenant may jeopardize the tenant's ability to make rent payments to the Company.

There are various federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent and abusive business practices by healthcare providers who participate in, receive payments from or are in a position to make referrals in connection with

government-sponsored healthcare programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company's lease arrangements with certain tenants may also be subject to these fraud and abuse laws.

These laws include:

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, the offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of Medicare and Medicaid patients;

the Stark II Law, which, subject to specific exceptions, restricts physicians who have financial relationships with healthcare providers from making referrals for specifically designated health services for which payment may be made under Medicare or Medicaid programs to an entity with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has a financial relationship;

the False Claims Act, which prohibits any person from knowingly presenting false or fraudulent claims for payment to the federal government, including under the Medicare and Medicaid programs; and

the Civil Monetary Penalties Law, which authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to impose monetary penalties for certain fraudulent acts.

Each of these laws includes criminal and/or civil penalties for violations that range from punitive sanctions, damage assessments, penalties, imprisonment, denial of Medicare and Medicaid payments and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Additionally, certain laws, such as the False Claims Act, allow for individuals to bring whistleblower actions on behalf of the government for violations thereof. Imposition of any of these penalties upon one of the Company's tenants or associated hospitals could jeopardize that tenant's ability to operate or to make rent payments or affect the level of occupancy in the Company's medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities associated with that hospital, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

#### Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede the Company's ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of the Company's properties.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, the Company's ability to promptly sell one or more properties in the Company's portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand, that are beyond the Company's control. The Company cannot predict whether the Company will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms set by the Company or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to the Company. The Company also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property.

The Company may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. The Company cannot assure you that it will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements. In acquiring a property, the Company may agree to transfer restrictions that materially restrict it from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These transfer restrictions would impede the Company's ability to sell a property even if the Company deems it necessary or appropriate. These facts and any others that would impede the Company's ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of its properties may have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Any investments in unimproved real property may take significantly longer to yield income-producing returns, if at all, and may result in additional costs to the Company to comply with re-zoning restrictions or environmental regulations

The Company may invest in unimproved real property. Unimproved properties generally take longer to yield income-producing returns based on the typical time required for development. Any development of unimproved real property may also expose the Company to the risks and uncertainties associated with re-zoning the land for a higher use or development and environmental concerns of governmental entities and/or community groups. Any unsuccessful investments or delays in realizing an income-producing return or increased costs to develop unimproved real property could restrict the Company's ability to earn its targeted rate of return on an investment or adversely affect the Company's ability to pay operating expenses, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.



## Risks Related to Debt Financings

Required payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave the Company with insufficient cash to operate the Company's properties or to pay the distributions currently contemplated or necessary to qualify as a REIT and may expose the Company to the risk of default under the Company's debt obligations.

At December 31, 2008, the Company has approximately \$465.0 million of outstanding indebtedness, \$365.0 million of which is secured. Approximately \$50.6 million and \$31.7 million of the Company's outstanding indebtedness will mature in 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Company expects to incur additional debt in connection with future development and redevelopment projects and acquisitions. The Company may borrow under its Credit Facility, or borrow new funds to complete these projects and acquisitions. Additionally, the Company does not anticipate that the Company's internally generated cash flow will be adequate to repay the Company's existing indebtedness upon maturity and, therefore, the Company expects to repay the Company's indebtedness through refinancings and future offerings of equity and/or debt.

If the Company is required to utilize the Company's Credit Facility for purposes other than development, redevelopment and acquisition activities, this will reduce the amount available for development and redevelopment projects and acquisitions and could slow the Company's growth. Therefore, the Company's level of debt and the limitations imposed on the Company by the Company's debt agreements could have adverse consequences, including the following:

the Company's cash flow may be insufficient to meet the Company's required principal and interest payments;

the Company may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, including to make acquisitions;

the Company may be unable to refinance the Company's indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of the Company's original indebtedness;

because a portion of the Company's debt bears interest at variable rates, an increase in interest rates could materially increase the Company's interest expense;

the Company may be forced to dispose of one or more of the Company's properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

after debt service, the amount available for distributions to the Company's stockholders is reduced;

the Company's debt level could place the Company at a competitive disadvantage compared to the Company's competitors with less debt;

the Company may experience increased vulnerability to economic and industry downturns, reducing the Company's ability to respond to changing business and economic conditions;

the Company may default on the Company's obligations and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on the Company's properties that secure their loans and receive an assignment of rents and leases;

the Company may violate financial covenants which would cause a default on the Company's obligations;



the Company may inadvertently violate non-financial restrictive covenants in the Company's loan documents, such as covenants that require the Company to maintain the existence of entities, maintain insurance policies and provide financial statements, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate the Company's debt obligations; and

the Company may default under any one of the Company's mortgage loans with cross-default or cross-collateralization provisions that could result in default on other indebtedness or result in the foreclosures of other properties.

The realization of any or all of these risks may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

As a result of recent market events, including the contraction among and failure of certain lenders, it may be more difficult for the Company to secure financing.

The Company's results of operations may be materially affected by conditions in the financial markets and the economy generally. Recently, concerns over inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, unemployment, the availability and cost of credit, the mortgage market and a declining real estate market have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets.

Dramatic declines in the housing market, with decreasing home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant asset write-downs by financial institutions, which have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with other institutions and, in some cases, to fail. The Company relies on the availability of financing to execute its business strategy. Institutions from which the Company may seek to obtain financing may have owned or financed residential mortgage loans, real estate-related securities and real estate loans which have declined in value and caused losses as a result of the recent downturn in the markets. Many lenders and institutional investors have reduced and, in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. If these conditions persist, these institutions may become insolvent. As a result of recent market events, it may be more difficult for the Company to secure financing as there are fewer institutional lenders and those remaining lenders have tightened their lending standards.

As a result of these events, it may be more difficult for the Company to obtain financing on attractive terms, or at all, and the Company's financial position and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The Company's ability to pay distributions is dependent on a number of factors and is not assured, and the Company's distributions to stockholders may decline at any time.

The Company's ability to make distributions depends upon a variety of factors, including efficient management of the Company's properties and the successful implementation by the Company of a variety of the Company's growth initiatives, and may be adversely affected by the risks described elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors and depend on the Company earnings, the Company's financial condition, the REIT distribution requirements and other factors that the Board of Directors may consider from time to time. The Company cannot assure you that the level of the Company's distributions will increase over time or that the Company will be able to maintain the Company's future distributions at levels that equal or exceed the Company's historical distributions. The Company may be required to fund future distributions either from borrowings under the Company's Credit Facility, with the proceeds from equity offerings, which could be dilutive, or from property sales, which could be at a loss, or reduce such distributions. A reduction in distributions to stockholders may negatively impact the Company's stock price.



The Company could become highly leveraged in the future because the Company's organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt the Company may incur.

The Company's organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of indebtedness that the Company or the Operating Partnership may incur. The Company could alter the balance between the Company's total outstanding indebtedness and the value of the Company's wholly-owned properties at any time. If the Company becomes more highly leveraged, the resulting increase in debt service could adversely affect the Company's ability to make payments on the Company's outstanding indebtedness and to pay the Company's anticipated distributions and/or the distributions required to qualify as a REIT, and may materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

Increases in interest rates may increase the Company's interest expense and adversely affect the Company's cash flow and the Company's ability to service the Company's indebtedness and make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has approximately \$465.0 million of outstanding indebtedness, of which approximately \$124.2 million, or 26.7%, is subject to variable interest rates (excluding debt subject to variable to fixed interest rate swap agreements). This variable rate debt had a weighted average interest rate of approximately 1.9% per year as of December 31, 2008. Increases in interest rates on this variable rate debt would increase the Company's interest expense, which could adversely affect the Company's cash flow and the Company's ability to pay distributions. For example, if market rates of interest on this variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, the increase in interest expense would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.2 million annually.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect the Company's results of operations.

In certain cases, the Company may seek to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements. Hedging involves risks, such as the risk that the counterparty may fail to honor its obligations under an arrangement, that the arrangements may not be effective in reducing the Company's exposure to interest rate changes and that a court could rule that such an agreement is not legally enforceable. In addition, the Company may be limited in the type and amount of hedging transactions the Company may use in the future by the Company's need to satisfy the REIT income tests under the Code. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's Credit Facility and Term Loan contains financial covenants that could limit the Company's operations and the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's Credit Facility and Term Loan (defined in Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) contains financial and operating covenants, including tangible net worth requirements, fixed charge coverage and debt ratios and other limitations on the Company's ability to make distributions or other payments to the Company's stockholders (other than those required by the Code), sell all or substantially all of the Company's assets and engage in mergers, consolidations and certain acquisitions.

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including, but not limited to: (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the New York Stock Exchange, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates. The Term Loan contains customary covenants including, but not limited to, (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the New York Stock Exchange, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates. Both the Credit Facility and the Term Loan have financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances issued after the closing date). In addition, there are financial covenants relating only to Erdman, including a covenant relating to maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (4.25 to 1.00 as of December 31, 2008, decreasing to 3.75 to 1.00 on July 1, 2009). As of December 31, 2008, consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA was 3.71, and Erdman may be required to repay a portion of the principal balance during 2009 if adjusted consolidated EBITDA is insufficient to meet the lowered ratio of maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA that occurs in 2009. Failure to meet the Company's financial covenants could result from, among other things, changes in the Company's results of operations, the incurrence of debt or changes in general economic conditions. Advances under the Company's Credit Facility may be subject to borrowing base requirements on the Company's secured medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities. These covenants may restrict the Company's ability to engage in transactions that the Company believes would otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders. Failure to comply with any of the covenants in the Company's Credit Facility or Term Loan could result in a default under one or more of the Company's debt instruments. This could cause one or more of the Company's lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

If lenders under the Company's Credit Facility fail to meet their funding commitments, the Company's financial position would be negatively impacted.

Access to external capital on favorable terms is critical to the Company's success in growing and maintaining its portfolio. If financial institutions within the Company's Credit Facility were unwilling or unable to meet their respective funding commitments to the Company, any such failure would have a negative impact on the Company's operations, financial condition and ability to meet its obligations, including the payment of dividends to stockholders.

#### Risks Related to the Company's Organization and Structure

Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, owned 8.5% of the Company's outstanding common stock and OP units on a fully-diluted basis as of December 31, 2008 and therefore has the ability to exercise influence over the Company and any matter presented to the Company's stockholders.

Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, owned approximately 8.5% of the Company's outstanding common stock and OP units on a fully-diluted basis as of December 31, 2008. Consequently, Mr. Cogdell may be able to influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the election of the Board of Directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers and the

determination of the Company's day-to-day corporate and management policies. Therefore, Mr. Cogdell has influence over the Company and could exercise his influence in a manner that is not in the best interests of the Company's other stockholders.

The Company's business could be harmed if key personnel terminate their employment with the Company.

The Company's success depends, to a significant extent, on the continued services of Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, and the other members of the Company's senior management team. The Company's senior management team has an average of 23 years of experience in the healthcare real estate industry. In addition, the Company's ability to continue to acquire and develop properties depends on the significant relationships the Company's senior management team has developed. There is no guarantee that any of them will remain employed by the Company. The Company does not maintain key person life insurance on any of the Company's officers. The loss of services of one or more members of the Company's senior management team could harm the Company's business and the Company's prospects.

Tax indemnification obligations could limit the Company's operating flexibility by limiting the Company's ability to sell specified properties.

In connection with the formation transactions and certain other property acquisitions, the Company entered into a tax protection agreement with the former owners of each contributed medical office building or healthcare related facility who received OP units.

Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of the medical office buildings or healthcare related facilities (each a "protected asset") or any interest in a protected asset prior to the eighth anniversary of the closing of the offering unless:

1. a majority-in-interest of the former holders of interests in the predecessor partnerships or contributing entities (or their successors, which may include the Company to the extent any OP units have been redeemed or exchanged) with respect to such protected asset consent to the sale, transfer or other disposition; provided, however, with respect to three of the predecessor entities, Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC, the required consent shall be a majority-in-interest of the beneficial owners of interests in the predecessor entities other than Messrs. Cogdell and Spencer and their affiliates; or
2. the Operating Partnership delivers to each such holder of interests, a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability related to the recognition of such holder's built-in gain resulting from the sale of such protected asset; or
3. the sale, transfer or other disposition would not result in the recognition of any built-in gain by any such holder of interests.

Protected assets represent approximately 75.6% of the Company's total net rentable square feet. If the Company were to sell all of these protected assets and the Company undertook such sale without obtaining the requisite consent of the contributing holders, then the Company would be required to make material payments to these holders. The prospect of making payments under the tax protection agreements could impede the Company's ability to respond to changing economic, financial and investment conditions. For example, it may not be economical for the Company to raise cash quickly through a sale of one or more of the Company's protected assets or dispose of a poorly performing protected asset until the expiration of the eight-year protection period.

Tax indemnification obligations may require the Operating Partnership to maintain certain debt levels.

The Company's tax protection agreements also provide that during the period from the closing of the initial public offering in 2005 through the twelfth anniversary thereof, the Operating Partnership will offer each holder who continues to hold at least 50% of the OP units received in respect of the consolidation transaction the opportunity to: (1) guarantee debt or (2) enter into a deficit restoration obligation. If the Company fails to offer such opportunities, the Company will be required to deliver to each holder a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability resulting from the Company's failure to make such opportunities available to that holder. The Company agreed to these provisions in order to assist such holders in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after the consolidation transaction. These obligations may require the Company to maintain more or different indebtedness than the Company would otherwise require for the Company's business.



The Company may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of contribution and other agreements because of conflicts of interest with certain of the Company's officers.

Mr. Cogdell, the Company's Chairman, Mr. Spencer, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors, Charles M. Handy, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Secretary, and other members of the Company's management team, have direct or indirect ownership interests in certain properties contributed to the Operating Partnership in the Formation Transactions. The Company, under the agreements relating to the contribution of such interests, is entitled to indemnification and damages in the event of breaches of representations or warranties made by the contributors. The Company may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, the Company's rights under these agreements because of the Company's desire to maintain the Company's ongoing relationships with the individual party to these agreements. In connection with the acquisition of Erdman, the Company entered into various agreements with Erdman, including the merger agreement, pursuant to which the Company is entitled to indemnification and damages in the event of breaches of representations and warranties made by Erdman. Because two members of the Company's Board of Directors, Mr. Lubar and Mr. Ransom, and certain other key employees and personnel were also former owners, officers and directors of Erdman, the Company may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, the Company's rights under these agreements. In addition, the Company is party to employment agreements with Messrs. Cogdell, Spencer, Handy and Ransom, which provide for additional severance following termination of employment if the Company elects to subject the executive officer to certain non-competition, confidentiality and non-solicitation provisions. Although their employment agreements require that they devote substantially all of their full business time and attention to the Company, if the executive officer forgoes the additional severance, he will not be subject to such non-competition provisions, which would allow him to compete with the Company. None of these agreements were negotiated on an arm's-length basis.

Conflicts of interest could arise as a result of the Company UPREIT structure.

Conflicts of interest could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between the Company and the Company's affiliates, on the one hand, and the Operating Partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. The Company's directors and officers have duties to the Company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of the Company. At the same time, the Company, through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, has fiduciary duties, as a general partner, to the Operating Partnership and to the limited partners under Delaware law in connection with the management of the Operating Partnership. The Company's duties, through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, as a general partner to the Operating Partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of the Company's directors and officers. The partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership does not require the Company to resolve such conflicts in favor of either the Company's stockholders or the limited partners in the Operating Partnership.

Unless otherwise provided for in the relevant partnership agreement, Delaware law generally requires a general partner of a Delaware limited partnership to adhere to fiduciary duty standards under which it owes its limited partners the highest duties of good faith, fairness and loyalty and which generally prohibit such general partner from taking any action or engaging in any transaction as to which it has a conflict of interest.

Additionally, the partnership agreement expressly limits the Company's liability by providing that neither the Company, nor the Company's wholly-owned Maryland business trust subsidiary, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership, nor any of the Company or its trustees, directors or officers, will be liable or accountable in damages to the Operating Partnership, the limited partners or assignees for errors in judgment, mistakes of fact or law or for any act or omission if the general partner or such trustee, director or officer, acted in good faith. In addition, the Operating Partnership is required to indemnify the Company, the Company's affiliates and each of the Company's respective trustees, officers, directors, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities (whether joint or several), expenses (including, without limitation,

attorneys' fees and other legal fees and expenses), judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts arising from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits or proceedings, civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, that relate to the operations of the Operating Partnership, provided that the Operating Partnership will not indemnify any such person for (1) willful misconduct or a knowing violation of the law, (2) any transaction for which such person received an improper personal benefit in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement, or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, the person had reasonable cause to believe the act or omission was unlawful.

The provisions of Delaware law that allow the common law fiduciary duties of a general partner to be modified by a partnership agreement have not been resolved in a court of law, and the Company has not obtained an opinion of counsel covering the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to waive or restrict the Company's fiduciary duties that would be in effect under common law were it not for the partnership agreement.

Certain provisions of the Company's organizational documents, including the stock ownership limit imposed by the Company's charter, could prevent or delay a change in control transaction.

The Company's charter, subject to certain exceptions, authorizes the Company's directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve the Company's qualification as a REIT and to limit any person to actual or constructive ownership of 7.75% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's outstanding common stock or 7.75% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the Company's outstanding capital stock. The Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt additional persons from the ownership limit. However, the Board of Directors may not grant an exemption from the ownership limit to any proposed transferee whose ownership could jeopardize the Company's qualification as a REIT. These restrictions on ownership will not apply if the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in the Company's best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT. The ownership limit may delay or impede a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of the Company.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of the Company that might involve a premium price for holders of the Company's common stock or otherwise be in their best interests, including:

“business combination” provisions that, subject to certain limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between the Company and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the Company's shares or an affiliate thereof) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose special minimum price provisions and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

“control share” provisions that provide that “control shares” of the Company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by the Company's stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

These provisions of the MGCL relating to business combinations do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by a board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, the Board of Directors has by resolution exempted Mr. Cogdell, his affiliates and associates and all persons acting in concert with the foregoing, and Mr. Spencer, his affiliates and associates and all persons acting in concert with the foregoing, from these provisions of the MGCL and, consequently, the five-year prohibition and the supermajority vote requirements will not apply to business combinations between the Company and these persons. As a result, these persons may be able to enter into business combinations with the Company that may not be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders without compliance by the Company with the supermajority vote requirements and the other provisions of the statute. In addition, the Company's by-laws contain a provision exempting from the provisions of the MGCL relating to control

share acquisitions any and all acquisitions by any person of the Company's common stock. There can be no assurance that such provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

Additionally, Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL permits the Board of Directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in the Company's charter or bylaws, to take certain actions that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control of the Company that might involve a premium to the market price of the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the Company's stockholders' best interests.

The Board of Directors has the power to cause the Company to issue additional shares of the Company's stock and the general partner has the power to issue additional OP units without stockholder approval.

The Company's charter authorizes the Board of Directors to cause the Company to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of common stock, or preferred stock and to amend the Company's charter to increase the aggregate number of authorized shares or the authorized number of shares of any class or series without stockholder approval. The general partner will be given the authority to issue additional OP units. In addition, the Board of Directors may classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common stock or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. The Board of Directors could cause the Company to issue additional shares of the Company's common stock or establish a series of preferred stock that could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control or other transaction that might involve a premium price for the Company's common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of the Company's stockholders.

The Company's rights and the rights of the Company's stockholders to take action to recover money damages from the Company's directors and officers are limited.

The Company's charter eliminates the Company's directors' and officers' liability to the Company and the Company's stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit in money, property or services or active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment and which is material to the cause of action. The Company's charter authorizes the Company, and the Company's bylaws require the Company, to indemnify the Company's directors and officers for liability resulting from actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. In addition, the Company may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by the Company's directors and officers.

You will have limited ability as a stockholder to prevent the Company from making any changes to the Company policies that you believe could harm the Company's business, prospects, operating results or share price.

The Board of Directors will adopt policies with respect to certain activities, such as investments, dispositions, financing, lending, the Company's equity capital, conflicts of interest and reporting. These policies may be amended or revised from time to time at the discretion of the Board of Directors without a vote of the Company's stockholders. This means that the Company's stockholders will have limited control over changes in the Company's policies. Such changes in the Company's policies intended to improve, expand or diversify the Company's business may not have the anticipated effects and consequently may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

To the extent the Company's distributions represent a return of capital for tax purposes you could recognize an increased capital gain upon a subsequent sale by you of the Company's common stock.

Distributions in excess of the Company's current and accumulated earnings and profits and not treated by the Company as a dividend will not be taxable to a U.S. stockholder to the extent those distributions do not exceed the stockholder's adjusted tax basis in its common stock, but instead will constitute a return of capital and will reduce the stockholder's adjusted tax basis in its common stock. If distributions result in a reduction of a stockholder's adjusted basis in such holder's common stock, subsequent sales of such holder's common stock potentially will result in recognition of an increased capital gain or reduced capital loss due to the reduction in such adjusted basis.

If the Company were to make a taxable distribution of shares of its stock, stockholders may be required to sell such shares or sell other assets owned by them in order to pay any tax imposed on such distribution.

As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income to its stockholders. The Company may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in shares of its stock. Under IRS Revenue Procedure 2009-15, up to 90% of any such taxable dividend for 2009 could be payable in its shares. If the Company were to make such a taxable distribution of shares of its stock, stockholders would be required to include the full amount of such distribution into income. As a result, a stockholder may be required to pay tax with respect to such dividends in excess of cash received. Accordingly, stockholders receiving a distribution of the Company's shares may be required to sell shares received in such distribution or may be required to sell other stock or assets owned by them, at a time that may be disadvantageous, in order to satisfy any tax imposed on such distribution. If a stockholder sells the shares it receives as a dividend in order to pay such tax, the sale proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of shares of its stock at the time of sale. Moreover, in the case of a taxable distribution of shares of the Company's stock with respect to which any withholding tax is imposed on a stockholder, the Company may have to withhold or dispose of part of the shares in such distribution and use such withheld shares or the proceeds of such disposition to satisfy the withholding tax imposed.

## Risks Related to Qualification and Operation as a REIT

The Company's failure to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to the Company and the value of the Company's common stock.

The Company intends to operate in a manner that will allow the Company to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the Code. The Company has not requested and does not plan to request a ruling from the IRS that the Company qualifies as a REIT, and the statements in the Company's prospectus and other filings are not binding on the IRS or any court. If the Company fails to qualify or loses the Company's qualification as a REIT, the Company will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to the Company's stockholders for each of the years involved because:

the Company would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing the Company's taxable income and the Company would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

the Company also could be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless the Company is entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, the Company could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following a year during which the Company was disqualified.

In addition, if the Company loses its qualification as a REIT, the Company will not be required to make distributions to stockholders, and all distributions to the Company's stockholders will be subject to tax as regular corporate dividends to the extent of the Company's current and accumulated earnings and profits. This means that the Company's U.S. individual stockholders would be taxed on the Company's dividends at a maximum U.S. federal income tax rate of 15% (through 2010), and the Company's corporate stockholders generally would be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to such dividends, subject, in each case, to applicable limitations under the Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions and regulations promulgated thereunder for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable U.S. Treasury Department regulations, or Treasury Regulations, that have been promulgated under the Code is greater in the case of a REIT that, like the Company, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within the Company's control may affect the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the composition of the Company's assets and sources of the Company's gross income. Also, the Company must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income, excluding capital gains.

As a result of these factors, the Company's loss of its qualification as a REIT also could impair the Company's ability to expand the Company's business and raise capital, and would adversely affect the value of the Company's common stock.

To maintain the Company REIT qualification, the Company may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, the Company generally must distribute to the Company's stockholders at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and the Company will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that the Company distributes less than 100% of the Company's net taxable income

each year. In addition, the Company will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by the Company in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of the Company's ordinary income, 95% of the Company's capital gain net income and 100% of the Company's undistributed income from prior years. In order to qualify as a REIT and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, the Company may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis, or possibly on a long-term basis, to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, a difference in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt amortization payments.



Dividends payable by REITs generally do not qualify for reduced tax rates.

The maximum tax rate for dividends payable by domestic corporations to individual U.S. stockholders is 15% (through 2010). Dividends payable by REITs, however, are generally not eligible for the reduced rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause stockholders who are individuals to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including the Company's common stock.

In addition, the relative attractiveness of real estate in general may be adversely affected by the favorable tax treatment given to corporate dividends, which could negatively affect the value of the Company's properties.

Possible legislative or other actions affecting REITs could adversely affect the Company and the Company's stockholders.

The rules dealing with U.S. federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department. Changes to tax laws (which changes may have retroactive application) could adversely affect the Company or the Company's stockholders. The Company cannot predict whether, when, in what forms, or with what effective dates, the tax laws applicable to the Company or the Company's stockholders will be changed.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause the Company to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the Company must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of the Company's income, the nature and diversification of the Company's assets, the amounts the Company distributes to the Company's stockholders and the ownership of the Company's stock. In order to meet these tests, the Company may be required to forego attractive business or investment opportunities. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may adversely affect the Company's ability to operate solely to maximize profits.

The Company will pay some taxes.

Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the Company will be required to pay some U.S. federal, state and local taxes on the Company's income and property. In addition, the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries, Erdman, CSA LLC, and Consera are fully taxable corporations that will be subject to taxes on their income and such TRSs may be limited in their ability to deduct interest payments made to the Company or the Operating Partnership. The Company also will be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain amounts if the economic arrangements among the Company's tenants, the Company's TRSs and the Company are not comparable to similar arrangements among unrelated parties or if the Company receives payments for inventory or property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. To the extent that the Company or the Company's TRSs are required to pay U.S. federal, state or local taxes, the Company will have less cash available for distribution to the Company's stockholders.

The ability of the Board of Directors to revoke the Company REIT election without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's charter provides that the Board of Directors may revoke or otherwise terminate the Company REIT election, without the approval of the Company's stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in the Company's best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT. If the Company ceases to qualify as a REIT, the Company would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on the Company's taxable income and the Company would no longer

be required to distribute most of the Company's taxable income to the Company's stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total return to the Company's stockholders.

The Company's ability to invest in TRSs is limited by its qualification as a REIT, and accordingly may limit its ability to grow the business of Erdman.

With respect to the Company's taxable year ended December 31, 2008 and prior taxable years, no more than 20% of the value of its assets may consist of securities of one or more TRSs. Commencing with the Company's taxable year ending December 31, 2009, the foregoing restriction on its ability to own securities of TRSs has increased to 25%. The Company has jointly elected with Erdman, to treat such entities as TRSs. The Company has also jointly elected with each of CSA, LLC and Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC to treat such entities as TRSs. Accordingly, the Company's ability to grow and expand the business of Erdman and its other TRSs, absent a corresponding increase in the value of its real estate assets, will be limited by its need to continue to meet the applicable TRS limitation which could adversely affect returns to its shareholders.

If the aggregate value of the securities the Company owns in its TRSs were determined to be in excess of 25% (20% with respect to our taxable year ended December 31, 2008) of the value of its total assets, the Company could fail to qualify as a REIT or be subject to a penalty tax and forced to dispose of TRS securities.

For the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT, the aggregate value of all securities that the Company holds in its TRSs may not exceed 25% (20% with respect to its taxable year ended December 31, 2008) of the value of its total assets. The value of its securities in TRSs and its real estate assets is based on determinations of fair market value which are not subject to precise determination. The Company will not lose its qualification as a REIT if the Company were to fail the TRS limitation at the end of a quarter because of a discrepancy between the value of its TRSs and its other investments unless such discrepancy exists after the acquisition of TRS securities and is wholly or partially the result of such acquisition (including as a result of an increased investment in existing TRSs, either directly, by way of a limited partner of its operating partnership exercising an exchange right, or by the Company raising additional capital and contributing such capital to its operating partnership). If the Company were to fail to satisfy the TRS limitation at the end of a particular quarter and it was considered to have acquired TRS securities during such quarter, it would fail to qualify as a REIT unless it cured such failure by disposing of TRS securities or otherwise came into compliance with the TRS limitation within 30 days after the close of such quarter. Based on such rules and the Company's determination of the fair market value of its assets and the securities of its TRS, the Company believes that the Company has satisfied and will continue to satisfy the TRS limitation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, as the fair market value of the Company's TRS securities and real estate assets cannot be determined with absolute certainty, and the Company does not control when a limited partner of its operating partnership will exercise its redemption right, no assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") will not successfully challenge the valuations of the Company's assets or that the Company met and will continue to meet the TRS limitation. In addition, if the value of the Company's real estate assets were to decrease, the Company's ability to own TRS securities or other assets not qualifying as real estate assets will be limited and the Company could be forced to dispose of its TRS securities or such other assets in order to comply with REIT requirements.

If the Internal Revenue Service were to successfully challenge its valuation of certain of its subsidiaries, the Company may fail to qualify as a REIT.

While the Company believes the Company has properly valued the securities the Company holds in Erdman and all of its taxable REIT subsidiaries, there is no guarantee that the Internal Revenue Service would agree with such valuation or that a court would agree with such determination by the Internal Revenue Service. In the event the Company has improperly valued the securities the Company holds in Erdman, the Company may fail to satisfy the 25% (20% with respect to its taxable year ended December 31, 2008 and prior taxable years) asset test which may result in its failure to qualify as a REIT.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2008, the Company owned and/or managed 116 MOB's and healthcare related facilities, 62 of which are consolidated wholly-owned and joint ventures, three of which are jointly owned with unaffiliated third parties and managed through a TRS, and 51 of which are managed for third parties through a TRS. MOB's typically contain suites for physicians and physician practice groups and also may include facilities that provide hospitals with ancillary and outpatient services, such as ambulatory surgery centers, imaging and diagnostic centers (offering diagnostic services not typically provided in physician offices or clinics), rehabilitation centers, kidney dialysis centers and cancer treatment centers. The Company's portfolio of owned and managed properties contains an aggregate of approximately 5.8 million net rentable square feet of as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's 61 in-service, consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties were approximately 92.4% occupied with a weighted average remaining lease term of approximately 4.5 years, accounting for 95.7%, 94.7%, and 96.2% of total rental revenue and property management and other income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

At December 31, 2008, 80.9% of the Company's consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties are located on hospital campuses, 11.8% are located off-campus but in which a hospital is the sole or anchor tenant, and 7.3% are off campus.

At December 31, 2008, no tenant occupied 10% or more of the net rentable square feet at the Company's properties.

The following table contains additional information about the Company's consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties as of December 31, 2008:

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Property	Location	Net Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
California					
Verdugo Professional Building I	Glendale	63,887	92.6%	\$ 1,812,088	\$ 30.64
Verdugo Professional Building II	Glendale	42,906	88.6%	1,213,656	31.92
		106,793	91.0%	3,025,744	31.14
Georgia					
Augusta POB I	Augusta	99,494	92.7%	1,265,312	13.71
Augusta POB II	Augusta	125,634	95.1%	2,582,154	21.60
Augusta POB III	Augusta	47,034	100.0%	926,246	19.69
Augusta POB IV	Augusta	55,134	88.9%	877,504	17.90
Summit Professional Plaza I	Brunswick	33,039	93.5%	808,505	26.18
Summit Professional Plaza II	Brunswick	64,233	96.7%	1,792,144	28.84
		424,568	94.4%	8,251,865	20.58
Indiana					
Methodist Professional Center I (3)	Indianapolis	150,035	99.0%	3,455,621	23.26
Methodist Professional Center II (sub-lease)	Indianapolis	24,080	100.0%	616,647	25.61
		174,115	99.2%	4,072,268	23.58
Kentucky					
Our Lady of Bellefonte	Ashland	46,907	95.9%	1,153,258	25.63
Adjacent parking deck				875,205	
		46,907	95.9%	2,028,463	25.63(2)
Louisiana					
East Jefferson Medical Office Building	Metairie	119,921	100.0%	2,551,870	21.28
East Jefferson Medical Plaza	Metairie	123,184	100.0%	2,787,654	22.63
East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building	Metairie	10,809	100.0%	968,693	89.62
		253,914	100.0%	6,308,217	24.84
New York					
Central New York Medical Center (4)	Syracuse	111,634	96.7%	2,960,608	27.42
North Carolina					
Barclay Downs	Charlotte	38,395	100.0%	843,386	21.97
Birkdale Medical Village	Huntersville	64,669	100.0%	1,405,737	21.74
Birkdale Retail	Huntersville	8,269	100.0%	214,442	25.93
Cabarrus POB	Concord	84,972	95.0%	1,808,948	22.41
	Concord	26,000	100.0%	589,518	22.67

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Copperfield Medical Mall					
Copperfield MOB	Concord	61,789	87.9%	1,250,799	23.04
East Rocky Mount Kidney Center	Rocky Mount	8,043	100.0%	165,956	20.63
Gaston Professional Center	Gastonia	114,956	100.0%	2,725,698	23.71
Adjacent parking deck				606,141	
Harrisburg Family Physicians Building	Harrisburg	8,202	100.0%	215,591	26.29
Harrisburg Medical Mall	Harrisburg	18,360	100.0%	471,708	25.69
Lincoln/Lakemont Family Practice Center	Lincolnton	16,500	100.0%	382,674	23.19
Mallard Crossing Medical Park	Charlotte	52,540	62.9%	825,306	24.97
Midland Medical Mall	Midland	14,610	100.0%	415,726	28.45
Mulberry Medical Park	Lenoir	24,992	85.4%	433,002	20.30
Northcross Family Medical Practice Building	Charlotte	8,018	100.0%	225,390	28.11
Randolph Medical Park	Charlotte	84,131	67.6%	1,213,739	21.34
Rocky Mount Kidney Center	Rocky Mount	10,105	100.0%	204,728	20.26
Rocky Mount Medical Office Building (1)	Rocky Mount	35,393	95.7%	871,907	25.75
Rocky Mount Medical Park	Rocky Mount	96,993	100.0%	1,956,518	20.17
Rowan Outpatient Surgery Center	Salisbury	19,464	100.0%	424,549	21.81
Weddington Internal & Pediatric Medicine	Concord	7,750	100.0%	189,977	24.51
		804,151	92.1%	17,441,440	22.74(2)
Pennsylvania Lancaster Rehabilitation Hospital	Lancaster	52,878	100.0%	1,320,404	24.97
Lancaster General Health Campus MOB (1)	Lancaster	64,214	93.1%	1,644,663	27.50
		117,092	96.2%	2,965,067	26.31

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

South Carolina					
190 Andrews	Greenville	25,902	100.0%	598,580	23.11
Baptist Northwest	Columbia	38,703	41.3%	336,517	21.04
Beaufort Medical Plaza	Beaufort	59,340	100.0%	1,235,351	20.82
Carolina Forest	Myrtle Beach	38,902	43.5%	470,377	27.82
Mary Black Westside MOB	Spartanburg	37,455	100.0%	783,520	20.92
Medical Arts Center of Orangeburg	Orangeburg	49,324	92.9%	862,554	18.82
Mt. Pleasant MOB	Mt. Pleasant	38,735	77.4%	758,909	25.32
One Medical Park - HMOB	Columbia	69,840	80.3%	1,301,733	23.21
Parkridge MOB	Columbia	89,451	95.5%	2,024,819	23.69
Providence MOB I	Columbia	48,500	94.7%	980,985	21.37
Providence MOB II	Columbia	23,280	100.0%	469,446	20.17
Providence MOB III	Columbia	54,417	73.4%	870,217	21.77
River Hills Medical Plaza	Little River	27,566	100.0%	878,682	31.88
Roper MOB	Charleston	122,785	100.0%	2,502,921	20.38
St. Francis Community Medical Office Building	Greenville	45,140	100.0%	1,239,997	27.47
St. Francis Medical Office Building	Greenville	49,767	100.0%	1,058,037	21.26
St. Francis Medical Plaza	Greenville	62,724	63.5%	781,534	19.62
St. Francis Women's Center	Greenville	57,590	73.4%	868,506	20.56
Three Medical Park	Columbia	88,755	93.8%	1,903,798	22.86
West Medical I	Charleston	28,734	100.0%	777,309	27.05
		1,056,910	87.2%	20,703,792	22.47
Tennessee					
Healthpark Medical Office Building	Chattanooga	52,151	100.0%	1,906,526	36.56
Peerless Medical Center	Cleveland	40,506	100.0%	1,183,991	29.23
		92,657	100.0%	3,090,517	33.35
Virginia					
Hanover Medical Office Building I	Mechanicsville	56,610	96.6%	1,491,672	27.27
St. Mary's Medical Office Building North	Richmond	30,617	90.1%	689,445	25.00
		87,227	94.3%	2,181,117	26.51
Total		3,275,968	92.4%	73,029,098	\$ 23.64(2)

- (1) Consolidated real estate partnership.
- (2) Excludes annualized rent of adjacent parking decks to Our Lady of Bellefonte and Gaston Professional Center from calculation.
- (3) Parking revenue from an adjacent parking deck is approximately \$96,000 per month, or \$1,152,000 annualized.
- (4)



Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Parking revenue from an adjacent parking deck is approximately \$100,000 per month, or \$1,200,000 annualized.

Future lease expirations by tenants by year under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2008, were as follows (dollars in thousands, except per leased square foot amounts):

	Number of Leases Expiring	Net Rentable Square Feet	Percentage of Net Rentable Square Feet	Annualized Rent	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Available	—	248,864	7.6%	\$ —	—	\$ —
2009	143	437,722	13.4%	9,912	13.6%	22.64
2010	113	455,382	13.9%	10,101	13.8%	22.18
2011	81	303,982	9.3%	6,841	9.4%	22.51
2012	125	593,286	18.1%	15,586	21.3%	23.77(1)
2013	70	321,540	9.8%	6,895	9.4%	21.44
2014	46	258,453	7.9%	6,210	8.5%	24.03
2015	24	78,875	2.4%	1,965	2.7%	24.91
2016	11	53,007	1.6%	1,192	1.6%	22.49
2017	32	227,859	7.0%	6,366	8.7%	27.94
2018	10	43,181	1.3%	1,059	1.5%	24.52
Thereafter	16	253,817	7.7%	6,902	9.5%	27.19
Total	671	3,275,968	100.0%	\$ 73,029	100.0%	\$ 23.64(1)

(1) Excludes annualized rent of adjacent parking decks to Our Lady of Bellefonte and Gaston Professional Center from calculation.

## Joint Venture Properties

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has an investment in an unconsolidated real estate partnership that owns three buildings. The following table provides additional information about the Company's unconsolidated joint venture properties as of December 31, 2008:

Property	City, State	Year Built	Net Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Annualized Rent	Annualized Rent Per Leased Square Foot	Ownership Percentage	Debt Balance	Associated Healthcare System
McLeod MOB East (2)	Florence, SC	1993	127,458	93.2%	\$ 1,971,897	\$ 16.60	1.1%	\$ (1)	McLeod Regional Medical Center
McLeod Pee Dee Medical Park (2)	Florence, SC	1982	33,756	100.0	549,420	16.28	1.1	(1)	McLeod Regional Medical Center
McLeod MOB West (2)	Florence, SC	1986	52,574	97.9	737,365	14.33	1.1	(1)	McLeod Regional Medical Center
Total			213,788		\$ 3,258,682			\$ 12,497,770 <sup>(2)</sup>	

(1) Amounts are for the entity, not just the Company's interest in the real estate joint venture.

(2) Total debt of \$12.5 million is secured by all three properties listed.

The Company has a 2.0% ownership in Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1 and a 2.0% ownership in BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2. These ownership interests were assumed as part of the Consera acquisition (See Note 4 to the accompanying Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements). The partnership agreements and tenant leases of the limited partners are designed to give preferential treatment to the limited partners as to the operating cash flows from the partnerships. The Company, as the general partner, does not generally participate in the operating cash flows from these entities other than to receive property management fees. The limited partners can remove the Company as the property manager and as the general partner. Due to the structures of the partnership agreements and tenant lease agreements, the Company reports the properties owned by these two joint ventures as fee managed properties owned by third parties.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is, from time to time, involved in routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business or which is expected to be covered by insurance and which is not expected to harm the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations. The Company is not, however, involved in any material litigation nor, to its

knowledge, is any material litigation pending or threatened against the Company.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote by security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

The Company’s common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the symbol “CSA.” The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the high and low sales price for the Company’s common stock as reported by the NYSE and the per share dividends declared:

Period	High	Low	Dividends Declared
2007			
First Quarter	\$ 22.82	\$ 20.62	\$ 0.35
Second Quarter	\$ 21.64	\$ 19.51	\$ 0.35
Third Quarter	\$ 20.43	\$ 16.20	\$ 0.35
Fourth Quarter	\$ 19.39	\$ 15.03	\$ 0.35
2008			
First Quarter	\$ 17.18	\$ 14.66	\$ 0.35
Second Quarter	\$ 18.89	\$ 15.36	\$ 0.35
Third Quarter	\$ 19.93	\$ 16.04	\$ 0.35
Fourth Quarter	\$ 16.17	\$ 6.91	\$ 0.225

On March 12, 2009, the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported by the NYSE was \$5.25. At March 12, 2009, the Company had 131 holders of record of its Common Stock.

Holders of shares of Common Stock are entitled to receive distributions when and if declared by the Board of Directors out of any assets legally available for that purpose. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its "REIT taxable income" (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction or net capital gains) to shareholders annually in order to maintain the Company's REIT qualification for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The Company's Credit Facility includes limitations on the Company's ability to make distributions to its stockholders, subject to complying with REIT requirements.

As disclosed in the table above, during 2008 the Company paid four quarterly dividends of \$0.35 per share, totaling \$1.40 per share for the year. The Company funded the dividend payments for 2008 through a combination of funds from operations and borrowings under the Credit Facility. The Company uses borrowings available under the Credit Facility to fund dividend payments when the timing of the Company's cash flows from operations is insufficient to meet the dividend payments. The dividend payments of \$1.40 per share paid in 2008 are classified for income tax purposes as 27.9% taxable ordinary dividend, 15.7% "qualified dividends" eligible for the reduced rates on dividends pursuant to the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, and 56.4% return of capital.

The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under its 2005 long-term incentive plan.

As of December 31, 2008, there were 26,945,304 OP units outstanding, of which 17,699,201, or 65.7%, were owned by the Company and 9,246,103, or 34.3%, were owned by other partners (including certain directors and senior management).

#### Stockholder Return Performance

Prior to October 27, 2005, the Company was not publicly traded and there was no public market for the Company's securities. The following graph compares the cumulative total return on the Company's common stock with that of the Standard and Poor's 500 Stock Index ("S&P 500 Index") and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity Index ("NAREIT Equity Index") from October 27, 2005 (the date that the Company's common stock began to trade publicly) through December 31, 2008. The stock price performance graph assumes that an investor invested \$100 in each of the Company and the indices, and the reinvestment of any dividends. The comparisons in the graph are provided in accordance with the SEC disclosure requirements and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of the future performance of the Company's shares of common stock.

Index	Period Ending				
	10/27/05	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08
Cogdell Spencer Inc.	100.00	100.79	137.24	109.70	68.45
NAREIT Equity	100.00	103.57	139.39	117.94	73.44
S&P 500	100.00	106.30	123.09	129.86	81.81

Except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, the foregoing Stockholder Return Performance information shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Annual Report on Form 10-K into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. This information shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

#### Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Pursuant to a Purchase Agreement, dated January 23, 2008 (the “Purchase Agreement”), among the Company, the Operating Partnership and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. (the “Initial Purchaser”), the Company sold 3,448,278 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, to the Initial Purchaser in a private offering. The Initial Purchaser purchased the securities with a view to the private resale of the securities to certain institutional investors at a price of \$15.95 per share. The securities were issued to the Initial Purchaser pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Regulation D promulgated thereunder and resold to the institutional investors pursuant to an exemption from registration pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act.

The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$53.8 million from the private offering. The Company used the net proceeds from the private offering to reduce borrowings under its Credit Facility.

In connection with the private offering, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (the “Registration Rights Agreement”) with the initial purchase on behalf of the holders of the securities named therein pursuant to which the Company agreed to prepare and file with the SEC a shelf registration statement providing for the resale of the securities and to cause such shelf registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC on the terms and subject to the conditions specified in the registration agreement.

On March 10, 2008, June 13, 2008, and November 5, 2008, the Operating Partnership issued an aggregate of 4,331,336, 208,496, and 148,926, respectively of OP units, having an aggregate value of \$68.6 million, \$3.3 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively, at the time of issuance, related to the acquisition of Erdman. These OP units were issued in exchange for ownership interests in Erdman as part of a private placement transaction under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. These OP units are redeemable for the cash equivalent thereof at a time one year after the date of issuance, or, at the option of the Company, exchangeable into shares of common stock in the Company on a one-for-one basis. No underwriters were used in connection with this issuance of these OP units.

#### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Below is a summary of equity repurchases by month for the quarter ended December 31, 2008:

For the Period	Total Number of Equity Securities Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Equity Security	Total Number of Equity Securities Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Equity Securities that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
October 1 - October 31, 2008	—	\$ —	N/A	N/A
November 1 - November 30, 2008	—	—	N/A	N/A
December 1 - December 31, 2008	1,881	8.78	N/A	N/A
Total	1,881	\$ 8.78	N/A	N/A

These figures only relate to repurchases of OP units. The Company did not repurchase shares of common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2008.

#### Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Columns (a))
	N/A(1)	N/A	392,960

Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	—	—	—
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	N/A (1)	N/A	392,960

(1) These amounts include information related to the Company’s 2005 Long-term Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2008, the Company issued 68,370 shares of restricted stock and 538,670 Long-term Incentive Plan (“LTIP”) units under this plan.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial and operating data on an historical basis for the Company. The following table should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” and Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

	Company			Predecessor		
	For the year ended December 31, 2008	For the year ended December 31, 2007	For the year ended December 31, 2006	November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005	January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005	For the year ended December 31, 2004
(In thousand, except per share amounts)						
Statements of Operations Data:						
Rental revenue	\$ 77,799	\$ 63,029	\$ 52,746	\$ 7,006	\$ 35,794	\$ 40,440
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	253,596	-	-	-	-	-
Total revenues	335,740	66,821	54,956	7,321	37,809	43,644
Property operating and management expenses	31,376	25,704	19,848	2,583	13,058	14,756
Costs related to design-build contract revenue and other sales	214,019	-	-	-	-	-
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	30,215	7,365	6,261	7,791	5,129	3,075
Income (loss) from continuing operations before other income (expense), income tax (expense) benefit, minority interests, and discontinued operations	15,114	5,994	(1,426)	(7,178)	11,178	16,252
Interest expense	(25,159)	(15,964)	(14,199)	(1,500)	(8,222)	(9,024)
Net income (loss)	(5,773)	(6,341)	(9,097)	(5,600)	3,824	8,044
Per Share:						
Declared dividend	\$ 1.275	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.23		
Loss from continuing operations - basic and diluted	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.17)	\$ (0.70)		
Net loss - basic and diluted	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.14)	\$ (0.70)		
Weighted average shares - basic and diluted	15,770	11,056	7,975	7,972		
	23,996	15,621	12,590	12,197		



Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Weighted average  
shares and OP units -  
basic

Weighted average  
shares and OP units -  
diluted

24,098                      15,637                      12,612                      12,225

Selected Balance  
Sheet Data (as of the  
end of the period):

Assets:

Real estate

properties, net	\$ 477,961	\$ 455,063	\$ 351,172	\$ 257,144		\$ 155,376
Other assets, net	422,129	51,174	41,886	51,338		23,049
Total assets	\$ 900,090	\$ 506,237	\$ 393,058	\$ 308,482		\$ 178,425

Liabilities and  
stockholders' equity  
or owners' deficit:

Mortgages, credit  
facility, and term  
debt

	\$ 465,236	\$ 316,704	\$ 262,031	\$ 158,974		\$ 213,536
Other liabilities, net	151,860	27,277	17,351	9,022		11,316
Minority interests	94,045	47,221	54,001	62,018		-
Stockholders' equity	188,949	115,035	59,675	78,468		(46,427)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity or owners' deficit	\$ 900,090	\$ 506,237	\$ 393,058	\$ 308,482		\$ 178,425

Cash Flow Data:

Net cash provided by  
operating activities

	\$ 24,747	\$ 23,796	\$ 15,900	\$ 1,635	\$ 10,312	\$ 16,089
--	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

Net cash used in  
investing activities

	\$ (194,284)	\$ (117,298)	\$ (103,587)	\$ (27,462)	\$ (5,939)	\$ (13,767)
--	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	------------	-------------

Net cash provided by  
(used in) financing  
activities

	\$ 200,650	\$ 96,055	\$ 78,932	\$ 35,398	\$ (5,863)	\$ 1,880
--	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------

Other Data:

Funds from

operations (1)	\$ 21,380	\$ 18,259	\$ 15,037	\$ 4,518	\$ 12,303	\$ 17,656
----------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

Funds from

operations modified

(1)	\$ 29,363	\$ 18,362	\$ 15,089	\$ 4,518	\$ 12,303	\$ 17,656
-----	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

- (1) FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure used by the real estate industry to measure the operating performance of real estate companies. The Company adjusts the NAREIT definition to add back minority interests in the Operating Partnership. FFOM adds back to traditionally defined FFO non-cash amortization of non-real estate related intangible assets associated with purchase accounting. The Company presents FFO and FFOM because it considers them important supplemental measures of operational

performance. The Company believes FFO is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing a perspective not immediately apparent from net income. The Company computes FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002), which may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO and FFOM do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO and FFOM should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the Company's performance, nor are they indicative of funds available to fund its cash needs, including its ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

The following table presents the reconciliation of FFO and FFOM to net income (loss), which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO and FFOM (in thousands):

	Company			Predecessor		
	For the year ended December 31, 2008	For the year ended December 31, 2007	For the year ended December 31, 2006	November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005	January 1, 2005 - October 31, 2005	For the year ended December 31, 2004
Funds from operations:						
Net income (loss)	\$ (5,773)	\$ (6,341)	\$ (9,097)	\$ (5,600)	\$ 3,824	\$ 8,044
Minority interests in operating partnership	(3,048)	(2,738)	(5,058)	(3,055)	-	-
Real estate related depreciation and amortization (1)	30,201	27,338	30,110	4,137	8,479	9,612
Gain on sale of real estate properties	-	-	(919)	-	-	-
Funds from operations	21,380	18,259	15,036	(4,518)	12,303	17,656
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	7,983	103	53	-	-	-
Funds from operations modified	\$ 29,363	\$ 18,362	\$ 15,089	\$ (4,518)	\$ 12,303	\$ 17,656

- (1) Real estate depreciation and amortization consists of depreciation and amortization from wholly-owned real estate properties and the Company's share of real estate depreciation and amortization from consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Cogdell Spencer Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company makes statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information." Certain risk factors may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the following discussion. For a discussion of such risk factors, see the section in this Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Risk Factors."

Overview

The Company is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed REIT that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices and ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers. The Company focuses on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition, and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The Company provides services from strategic planning to long-term property ownership and management. Integrated delivery service offerings include architecture, engineering, construction and capital project development. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry.

On March 10, 2008, the Company acquired Erdman. Erdman is a leading design-builder of healthcare facilities with specialized expertise and concentration in high growth healthcare market segments and facility types. Erdman has successfully cultivated a client mix that is diversified in both geography and market focus and includes physician group practices and healthcare systems. Erdman was ranked as the number one healthcare design-build firm for 2007 by Modern Healthcare's 2008 Construction and Design Survey. As of December 31, 2008, the Company has completed the integration process with Erdman. The combination is transformative for the Company creating a national, fully integrated healthcare facilities company.

The \$246.8 million Erdman transaction was financed through \$20.5 million of assumed cash, a \$100.0 million Term Loan, a \$75.3 million, inclusive of escrow amount, rollover of equity held by Erdman shareholders and members of its management team, and \$51.0 million, inclusive of escrow amount in cash, from the Company's \$150.0 million secured Credit Facility.

In addition to the Erdman equity rollover, the Company completed two offerings of common stock during 2008. The first, a private placement completed in January 2008, raised \$53.8 million, after costs, and the second, a public offering completed in September 2008, raised \$37.4 million, after costs. The net proceeds from these offerings were used to reduce outstanding principal on the Credit Facility and for working capital purposes.

The Company acquired two off-market properties in 2008, totaling \$24.4 million, which were the result of strong relationships with existing clients. The Company completed one development project during 2008, with a total investment of approximately \$16.0 million, and has three projects under construction with an expected total investment of approximately \$84.8 million.

One of the projects under construction is The Woodlands Center for Specialized Medicine. This project, located in Pensacola, Florida, is the first project utilizing the Company's integrated delivery model. The Company's strategic planning team worked with the client to develop a comprehensive strategy to meet the client's healthcare delivery needs. The Company's capital team worked with the client to determine the optimum ownership and financing strategy to meet their needs. Upon completion, the Company will be the 40% consolidating joint venture partner and the building's physician tenants will be the 60% limited partners. Erdman is providing project delivery services, including

development, design, and construction. Cogdell Spencer Advisors, a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, is the property manager for the building.

During 2008, the Company formed a joint venture with Northwestern Mutual. The joint venture, Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC, may acquire up to approximately \$350.0 million of medical office buildings and other healthcare facilities nationwide, predominantly associated with not-for-profit healthcare systems and large physician-owned clinics. The joint venture will be the Company's exclusive vehicle for cash acquisitions. When the joint venture closes on a specific acquisition, the Company will contribute 20% of the equity capital to fund the acquisition. The Company expects the joint venture will obtain mortgage financing on market terms for its acquisitions, which mortgage financing may come from the joint venture partner. As of December 31, 2008, the joint venture did not have any acquisitions under contract that the Company expects to go forward.

The Company derives a majority of its revenues from two sources: (1) rents received from tenants under existing leases in MOB's and other healthcare related facilities, and (2) from design-build services for healthcare customers. The Company expects that rental revenue will remain stable due to multi-year, non-cancellable leases with annual rental increases based on CPI. The Company's design-build revenue is derived from its Erdman subsidiary. The demand for Erdman's services has been, and will likely continue to be, cyclical in nature. In periods of adverse economic conditions, Erdman's customers may be unwilling or unable to make capital expenditures, and they may be unable to obtain debt or equity financings for projects. As a result, customers may defer projects to a later date, which could reduce Erdman's revenues. Due to the current economic environment and the volatility in the credit markets, Erdman is experiencing delays in client project starts and cancellations. As a result, the Company expects its design-build revenue in 2009 to be less than 2008 revenue. As a result, the Company implemented a cost reduction program and in December 2008, Erdman effected a reduction in force in order to right-size the organization for contracted 2009 revenues and eliminated approximately 115 jobs.

Generally, the Company's property operating revenues and expenses have remained consistent over time except for growth due to property and business acquisitions. Erdman's financial results can be affected by the amount and timing of capital spending by healthcare systems and providers, the demand for Erdman's services in the healthcare facilities market, the availability of construction level financing, and weather at the construction sites. Deterioration of market or economic conditions and volatility in the financial market can influence future revenues, interest and other costs, and could result in future impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company owned and/or managed 116 medical office buildings and healthcare related facilities, totaling 5.8 million net rentable square feet and serving 24 hospital systems in 13 states. The Company's portfolio consists of:

62 properties, comprised of 3.3 million net rentable square feet, each of which the Company wholly-owns or is a consolidated real estate partnership;

Three properties, comprised of 0.2 million net rentable square feet, in which the Company owns a minority interest; and

51 properties, comprised of 2.3 million net rentable square feet, that the Company manages for third parties.

As of December 31, 2008, of the Company's wholly-owned properties, 80.9% were located on hospital campuses and an additional 7.3% were located off-campus, but were hospital anchored. The Company believes that its on-campus and hospital anchored assets occupy a premier franchise location in relation to local hospitals, providing the Company's properties with a distinct competitive advantage over alternative medical office space in an area. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's 61 in-service, consolidated wholly-owned and joint venture properties were approximately 92.4% occupied, with a weighted average remaining lease term of approximately 4.5 years. One property was in lease-up at December 31, 2008.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation and combination.



The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. The Company's actual results may differ from these estimates. Management has provided a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies in Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Critical accounting policies are those judged to involve accounting estimates or assumptions that may be material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for uncertain matters or susceptibility of such matters to change. Other companies in similar businesses may utilize different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of the Company's results of operations and financial condition to those companies.

#### Acquisition of Real Estate

The price that the Company pays to acquire a property is impacted by many factors, including the condition of the buildings and improvements, the occupancy of the building, the existence of above and below market tenant leases, the creditworthiness of the tenants, favorable or unfavorable financing, above or below market ground leases and numerous other factors. Accordingly, the Company is required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in real estate among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the Company's estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes determining the value of the buildings and improvements, land, any ground leases, tenant improvements, in-place tenant leases, tenant relationships, the value (or negative value) of above (or below) market leases and any debt assumed from the seller or loans made by the seller to the Company. Each of these estimates requires significant judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. The Company's calculation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on the Company's results of operations because if the Company were to allocate more value to land there would be no depreciation with respect to such amount or if the Company were to allocate more value to the buildings as opposed to allocating to the value of tenant leases, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a much longer period of time, since the amounts allocated to buildings are depreciated over the estimated lives of the buildings whereas amounts allocated to tenant leases are amortized over the terms of the leases. Additionally, the amortization of value (or negative value) assigned to above (or below) market rate leases is recorded as an adjustment to rental revenue as compared to amortization of the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, which is included in depreciation and amortization in the Company's consolidated and combined statements of operations.

#### Acquisition of Business

The price that the Company pays to acquire a business is impacted by many factors, including projected future cash flows, customer lists, contracts and proposals, trade names and trademarks, condition of property, plant, and equipment, and numerous other factors. Accordingly, the Company is required to make subjective assessments to allocate the purchase price paid to acquire investments in business among the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the Company's estimate of the fair values of such assets and liabilities. This includes determining the value of contacts, proposals, customer lists, workforce, trade names and trademarks, receivables, accruals and reserves, and property, plant, and equipment. Each of these estimates requires significant judgment and some of the estimates involve complex calculations. The Company's calculation methodology is summarized in Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These allocation assessments have a direct impact on the Company's results of operations because if the Company were to allocate more value to goodwill or a non-amortizing intangible asset there would be no amortization with respect to such amount or if the Company were to allocate more value to a longer-lived asset as opposed to allocating to shorter-lived asset, this amount would be recognized as an expense over a longer period of time.



## Useful Lives of Assets

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of the Company's properties and intangible assets for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation and amortization to record on an annual basis with respect to the Company's assets. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income (loss) because if the Company were to shorten the expected useful lives, then the Company would depreciate or amortize such assets over fewer years, resulting in more depreciation or amortization expense on an annual basis.

## Asset Impairment Valuation

The Company reviews the carrying value of its properties and amortizing intangible assets when circumstances, such as adverse market conditions, indicate a potential impairment may exist. The Company bases its review on an estimate of the future cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the real estate or business investment's use and eventual disposition. The Company considers factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If the Company's evaluation indicates that it may be unable to recover the carrying value of an investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. These losses have a direct impact on the Company's net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to operating results. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future sales, backlog, occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Because cash flows on properties considered to be long-lived assets to be held and used are considered on an undiscounted basis to determine whether an asset has been impaired, the Company's strategy of holding properties over the long-term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss for properties. If the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized and such loss could be material. If the Company determines that impairment has occurred, the affected assets must be reduced to their fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of rental properties utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis that includes projections of future revenues, expenses and capital improvement costs, similar to the income approach that is commonly utilized by appraisers.

The Company reviews the value of goodwill assets using an income approach and market approach on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate a potential impairment may exist. No impairment losses have been recognized to date. The Company's methodology to review goodwill impairment, which includes a significant amount of judgment and estimates, provides a reasonable basis to determine whether impairment has occurred. However, many of the factors employed in determining whether or not goodwill is impaired are outside of the Company's control and it is likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes can result in future impairments.

The goodwill impairment review involved a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. Fair value was estimated by using two approaches, an income approach and a market approach. Each approach was weighted 50% in the Company's analysis. The income approach uses the reporting unit's projected operating results and discounted cash flows using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions. The cash flow projections use estimates of economic and market information over the projection period, including growth rates in revenues and costs and estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures, and changes in future working capital requirements. The market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and profitability characteristics. Additionally, the Company reconciles the total of the estimated fair values of all its reporting units to its market capitalization to determine if the sum of the individual fair values is reasonable compared to the external market indicators.

If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, then an indication of impairment may exist and a second step must be performed to measure the amount of impairment. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill calculated in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, then an impairment charge for the difference would be recorded.

For non-amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying an estimated market royalty rate to projected revenues and discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions.

If market and economic conditions deteriorate and cause (1) declines in the Company's stock price, (2) increases the estimated weighted-average cost of capital, (3) changes in cash flow multiples or projections, (4) or changes in other inputs to goodwill assessment estimates, then a goodwill impairment review may be required prior to the Company's next annual test. It is reasonably possible that changes in the numerous variables associated with the judgments, assumptions, and estimates could cause the goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets to become impaired. If goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets are impaired, the Company would be required to record a non-cash charge that could have a material adverse affect on its consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenue Recognition

Rental income related to non-cancelable operating leases is recognized using the straight line method over the terms of the tenant leases. Deferred rents included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets represent the aggregate excess of rental revenue recognized on a straight line basis over the rental revenue that would be recognized under the cash flow received, based on the terms of the leases. The Company's leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for all property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred by the Company. Such reimbursements are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are canceled and the Company has no continuing obligation to provide

services to such former tenants. As discussed above, the Company recognizes amortization of the value of acquired above or below market tenant leases as a reduction of rental income in the case of above market leases or an increase to rental revenue in the case of below market leases.

For design-build contracts, the Company recognizes revenue under the percentage of completion method. Due to the volume, varying complexity, and other factors related to the Company's design-build contracts, the estimates required to determine percentage of completion are complex and use subjective judgments. Changes in labor costs and material inputs can have a significant impact on the percentage of completion calculations. The Company and Erdman have a long history of developing reasonable and dependable estimates related to design-build contracts with clear requirements and rights of the parties to the contracts. As long-term design-build projects extend over one or more years, revisions in cost and estimate earnings during the course of the work are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts which require the revision become known. At the time a loss on a design-build project becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated ultimate loss is recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company receives fees for property management and development and consulting services from time to time from third parties which are reflected as fee revenue. Management fees are generally based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. Revenue from development and consulting agreements is recognized as earned per the agreements. Due to the amount of control retained by the Company, most joint venture developments will be consolidated; therefore, those development fees will be eliminated in consolidation. However, the joint venture with Northwestern Mutual, Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC, is an unconsolidated entity.

Other income shown in the statement of operations generally includes interest income, primarily from the amortization of unearned income on a sales-type capital lease recognized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, and other income incidental to the Company's operations and is recognized when earned.

The Company must make subjective estimates as to when the Company's revenue is earned and the collectibility of the Company's accounts receivable related to design-build contracts and other sales, minimum rent, deferred rent, expense reimbursements, lease termination fees and other income. The Company specifically analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debts, tenant and customer concentrations, tenant and customer creditworthiness, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. These estimates have a direct impact on the Company's net income because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income, and recognizing rental revenue as earned in one period versus another would result in higher or lower net income for a particular period.

#### REIT Qualification Requirements

The Company is subject to a number of operational and organizational requirements to qualify and then maintain qualification as a REIT. If the Company does not qualify as a REIT, its income would become subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates that would be substantial and the Company cannot re-elect to qualify as a REIT for four taxable years following the year it failed to qualify as a REIT. The resulting adverse effects on the Company's results of operations, liquidity and amounts distributable to stockholders would be material.

#### Changes in Financial Condition

On January 23, 2008, the Company issued 3,448,278 shares of common stock to certain institutional investors at a price of \$15.95 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$53.8 million. On September 10, 2008, the Company issued 2,160,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at a price of \$18.50 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$37.4 million. The net proceeds of both offerings were used to reduce outstanding principal on the Company's Credit Facility and for working capital purposes. For more information on the Credit Facility, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

As discussed in Note 4 and Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, the Company completed the Merger with Erdman, amended the Credit Facility, and obtained \$100.0 million in term debt.

#### Results of Operations

The Company's loss from operations is generated primarily from operations of its properties and design-build services. The changes in operating results from period to period reflect changes in existing property performance, changes in the number of properties due to the development, acquisition, or disposition of properties, and the operating results of the design-build segment.

The Company acquired Erdman in March 2008 and results for the year ended December 31, 2008, reflect ten months of operating activity related to the Erdman subsidiary, reflecting operations from the acquisition date to year end.

### Business Segments

The Company has two identified reportable segments: (1) property operations and (2) design-build and development. The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. Each segment operates under a separate management group and produces discrete financial information, which is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance. For additional information, see Note 6 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### Property Summary

The following is an activity summary of the Company's property portfolio (excluding unconsolidated real estate partnerships) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Properties at January 1	59	51
Acquisitions	2	5
Developments	1	3
Properties at December 31	62	59

The above table includes East Jefferson Medical Specialty Building, which is accounted for as a sales-type capital lease.

A property is considered "in-service" upon the earlier of (1) lease-up and substantial completion of tenant improvements, or (2) one year after cessation of major construction. For portfolio and operational data, a single in-service date is used. For GAAP reporting, a property is placed into service in stages as construction is completed and the property and tenant space is available for its intended use. The Company had one property in lease-up at December 31, 2008.

Year ended December 31, 2008 compared to year ended December 31, 2007

### FFOM

FFOM increased \$11.0 million, or 59.9%, from \$18.4 million to \$29.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The increase in FFOM was due to: (1) \$19.6 million increase in FFOM for the design-build and development segment, of which the majority of the segment's increase was due to the inclusion of ten months of Erdman's operating activity for the year ended December 31, 2008; and (2) \$7.9 million increase in FFOM from property acquisitions and completed developments. These increases in FFOM were offset by a \$9.2 million increase in interest expense due to increased outstanding debt balances, \$3.7 million income tax expense primarily related to FFOM at Erdman, a \$0.9 million minority interest charge, a \$3.5 million increase in corporate general and administrative expenses primarily due to increased incentive compensation expense, severance expense, and professional fees, and a \$1.2 million increase in non-real estate related depreciation related to corporate property, plant, and equipment. The \$0.9 million minority interest charge is due to a consolidated real estate partnership's negative partner's equity that resulted primarily from: (1) a debt refinance proceeds cash distribution to the 65.5% owner, and (2) subsequent cash distributions to the 65.5% owner. In accordance with GAAP, the consolidating partner is required

to record a charge to minority interest for distributions and GAAP net losses when the partnership's partners' equity is negative.

See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for business segment information and management's use of FFO and FFOM to evaluate operating performance. The following table presents the reconciliation of net loss to FFO and FFOM, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO and FFOM, for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended	
	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Net loss	\$ (5,773)	\$ (6,341)
Plus minority interests in operating partnership	(3,048)	(2,738)
Plus real estate related depreciation and amortization	30,201	27,338
Funds from Operations (FFO)	21,380	18,259
Plus amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	7,983	103
Funds from Operations Modified (FFOM)	\$ 29,363	\$ 18,362

#### Revenue

Rental revenue increased \$14.8 million, or 23.4%, from \$63.0 million to \$77.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. Rental revenue from current year acquisition properties and completed developments increased \$13.6 million, or 279.0%, from \$4.9 million to \$18.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. Same-property revenue increased \$1.2 million, or 2.0%, primarily due to general increases in rent related to CPI escalation clauses and increased rent from reimbursable expenses, offset by a small reduction in occupancy. The small reduction in occupancy caused same-property revenue to increase at a smaller percentage rate than same-property operating and management expense, as described below.

Revenue from property management and other fees for 2008 remained consistent with 2007.

Design-Build contract revenue and other sales revenue increased from zero to \$253.6 million due to the ten month inclusion of Erdman's operating results for the year ended December 31, 2008. Erdman was acquired in March 2008.

Development management and other income increased \$0.6 million due to the timing of development fee income from projects.

#### Expenses

Property operating and management expenses increased \$5.7 million, or 22.1%, from \$25.7 million to \$31.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively. Property operating and management expenses related to acquisition properties and completed developments increased \$4.6 million. Same-property property operating and management expenses increased \$1.0 million, or 4.3%, primarily due to increases in reimbursable expenses such as property taxes, insurance, and utilities.

Costs related to design-build contract revenue and other sales revenue increase from zero to \$214.0 million due to the ten month inclusion of Erdman's operating results for the year ended December 31, 2008. Erdman was acquired in March 2008.



Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$22.9 million, or 310.3%. An increase of \$19.3 million was due to the ten month inclusion of Erdman's operating results for the year ended December 31, 2008. Erdman's operating results include a \$1.5 million expense resulting from restructuring and severance charges associated with the Company announcing a reduction in force. In addition to the additional Erdman expenses, the Company had increased expenses of \$3.6 million in corporate general and administrative expenses primarily due to \$1.4 million in increased accrued incentive compensation expense, \$0.4 million in severance compensation, and a \$0.5 million increase in legal and consulting professional fees.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$17.3 million, or 62.2%. There was an increase of \$12.9 million related to the amortization of Erdman intangible assets and an increase of \$1.2 million related to the depreciation of Erdman corporate property, plant, and equipment. Depreciation and amortization related to acquisition properties and completed developments increased \$4.8 million. Same-property depreciation and amortization decreased \$1.6 million.

### Interest expense

Interest expense increased \$9.2 million, or 57.6%. The increase was due to an increase in outstanding debt balances offset by a lower weighted average interest rate. The weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2008 was 4.8% compared to 6.2% at December 31, 2007.

### Income tax (expense) benefit

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2008 was primarily related to the operating activity for Erdman, a TRS. The benefit is primarily a result of the deferred tax benefit exceeding current income tax expense. The deferred tax benefit is primarily related to the amortization of intangible assets. The income tax expense in 2007 was due to taxable net income computed in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" for CSA LLC, which was a result of development fees, a non-recurring brokerage commission, and property management activities.

### Cash Flows

Cash provided by operating activities was \$24.7 million and \$23.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was \$1.0 million, which resulted from an \$14.7 million increase in earnings before non-cash adjustments offset by a \$13.7 million net decrease due to changes in operating assets and liabilities. The \$17.2 million net decrease due to changes in operating assets and liabilities was a result of: 1) \$9.6 million paid to Erdman personnel in March 2008 for fiscal year 2007 annual bonuses, profit sharing, and 401(k) employer matching, 2) \$20.1 million decrease in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts; offset by \$12.5 million in cash provided due to various changes in operating assets, accrued expenses, accrued incentive compensation, and prepaid rent accounts. The Company accrued the \$9.6 million for the Erdman fiscal year 2007 performance bonus payments as part of the Erdman purchase price allocation and the amount was included in the Erdman merger working capital calculation.

Cash used in investing activities was \$194.3 million and \$117.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company paid cash, net of cash assumed, of \$145.0 million (of which \$10.9 million related to an escrowed amount that was included in the restricted cash increase) related to the Erdman business acquisition as well as \$45.5 million related to property acquisitions and capital expenditures. In the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company's investment in real estate properties was related to development projects and the acquisition of five properties.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$200.7 million and \$96.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company received \$91.2 million, net of costs, from the issuance of common stock and the proceeds were used to reduce outstanding amounts payable on the Credit Facility. Also, during the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company received proceeds of \$100.0 million from the Term Loan and \$145.0 million from the Credit Facility, which were primarily used to fund the Erdman transaction and property acquisitions as well as maintain a higher cash balance at year end. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$78.4 million, net of costs, related to the issuance of common stock and the proceeds were used to reduce outstanding amounts payable on the Credit Facility.

Year ended December 31, 2007 compared to year ended December 31, 2006

### FFOM

FFOM increased \$3.3 million, or 21.7%, from \$15.1 million to \$18.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. The increase in FFOM was due to a \$5.8 million increase in FFOM from property

acquisitions, completed developments, and the acquisition of Consera offset by a \$1.8 million increase in interest expense and \$0.7 million increase in corporate general and administrative expenses primarily due to increased personnel costs.

See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for business segment information and management's use of FFO and FFOM to evaluate operating performance. The following table presents the reconciliation of FFO and FFOM to net loss, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO and FFOM, for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended	
	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Net loss	\$ (6,341)	\$ (9,097)
Plus minority interests in operating partnership	(2,738)	(5,058)
Plus real estate related depreciation and amortization	27,338	30,110
Gain on sale of real estate properties	—	(919)
Funds from Operations (FFO)	18,259	15,036
Plus amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	103	53
Funds from Operations Modified (FFOM)	\$ 18,362	\$ 15,089

#### Revenue

Rental revenue increased \$10.3 million, or 19.5%, from \$52.7 million to \$63.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. Rental revenue from acquisition properties and completed developments increased \$9.3 million. Same-property revenue increased \$1.0 million, or 2.3%, primarily due to general increases in rent related to CPI escalation clauses, offset by a reduction in occupancy.

Property management and other fees increased \$1.4 million, or 68.6%, from \$2.1 million to \$3.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. This increase was mainly due to property management fee revenue generated by Consera, which was acquired in September 2006.

Development management and other income increased \$0.2 million due to the timing of development fee income from projects.

#### Expenses

Property operating and management expenses increased \$5.9 million, or 29.5%, from \$19.8 million to \$25.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. Property operating and management expenses related to acquisition properties, completed developments, and Consera operating expenses increased \$4.6 million. Same-property property operating and management expenses increased \$1.3 million, or 8.4%, primarily due to increases in reimbursable expenses such as property taxes, insurance, and utilities.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million, or 17.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 primarily due to increased personnel costs, income tax accruals related to development and management fees earned by the Company's TRS, and expensing of previously capitalized costs associated with a development project that was discontinued. The reimbursement of these expensed costs is included in development fee revenue.

Depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$27.8 million compared to \$30.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, a decrease of \$2.5 million, or 8.3%. Same-property and

corporate depreciation and amortization expenses decreased approximately \$4.7 million, or 19.3%. The decrease was primarily due to the intangible lease assets becoming fully amortized during 2006 and 2007. This decrease is offset by an increase of \$2.2 million related to 2006 and 2007 acquisition properties and completed developments.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$16.0 million compared to \$14.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 12.4%. This increase was primarily due to the timing of property and business acquisitions and the repayment of debt using proceeds from the March 2007 common stock equity offering.

#### Cash Flows

Cash provided by operations was \$15.9 million and \$11.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase of \$4.0 million was primarily due to (1) a \$3.1 million increase in earnings before non-cash depreciation, amortizations, straight-line rent and change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements, (2) proceeds of \$0.7 million from the termination of interest rate swap agreements, and (3) a \$0.2 million net increase due to changes in operating assets and liabilities primarily resulting from increased collected prepaid rent and increased accruals for interest.

Cash used in investing activities was \$103.6 million and \$33.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase of \$70.3 million was primarily due an increase to investments in real estate properties and businesses of \$96.5 million offset by the proceeds from the sale of real estate property and partnership interests of \$2.8 million and the cash paid of \$27.0 million in the Formation Transaction in 2005.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$78.9 million and \$29.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Cash provided by financing activities in 2006 was primarily due to net proceeds from debt of \$92.5 million, primarily drawn from the Credit Facility and the new mortgage for Methodist Professional Center One. These net proceeds were used in order to fund the current period acquisitions offset by dividends and distributions of \$13.0 million. Cash provided by financing activities in 2005 was primarily due to the receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of common stock offset by the repayment in full of certain mortgages and notes payables.

### Construction in Progress

Construction in progress at December 31, 2008, consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

Property	Location	Estimated Completion Date	Net Rentable Square Feet	Investment to Date	Estimated Total Investment
St. Luke's Riverside MOB	Bethlehem, PA	2nd Half 2010	80,000	\$ 1,240	\$ 16,000
The Woodlands Center (1) Medical Center Physicians Tower	Pensacola, FL	4Q 2009	76,000	\$ 8,969	\$ 24,769
Land and pre-construction developments	Jackson, TN	1Q 2009	107,000	\$ 416	\$ 21,100
			—	4,689	—
			263,000	\$ 15,314	\$ 61,869

(1) Owned by Genesis Property Holding, LLC, which is a consolidated real estate partnership. The company expects to have a 40.0% ownership upon completion.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$34.7 million available in cash and cash equivalents. The Company is required to distribute at least 90% of the Company's net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to the Company's stockholders on an annual basis due to qualification requirements as a REIT. Therefore, as a general matter, it is unlikely that the Company will generate substantial cash balances from operations that could be used to meet the Company's other liquidity needs. Instead, these needs must be met from cash generated from operations and external sources of capital.

The Company has a \$150.0 million secured revolving Credit Facility. The Credit Facility is available to fund working capital and for other general corporate purposes; to finance acquisition and development activity; and to refinance existing and future indebtedness. The Credit Facility permits the Company to borrow up to \$150.0 million of revolving loans, with sub-limits of \$25.0 million for swingline loans and \$25.0 million for letters of credit. For additional information, see Note 9 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The Credit Facility will terminate and all amounts outstanding thereunder shall be due and payable in March 2011. The Credit Facility provides for a one-year extension at the Company's option conditioned upon the lenders being satisfied with the Company and its subsidiaries' financial condition and liquidity, and taking into consideration any payment, extension or refinancing of the Term Loan. There could be no assurance if and on what terms the lender might be willing to extend the Credit Facility upon its maturity in March 2011.

The Credit Facility also allows for up to \$100.0 million of increased availability (to a total aggregate available amount of \$250.0 million), at the Company's option but subject to each Lender's option to increase its commitment. The interest rate on loans under the Credit Facility equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR (0.44% as of December 31, 2008) plus a margin of between 95 to 140 basis points based on the Company's total leverage ratio or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate (3.25% as of December 31, 2008).

The Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions for credit facilities of this type, including, but not limited to: (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the New York Stock Exchange, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates, and (3) financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances issued after the closing date). The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, there was \$17.5 million available under the Credit Facility. There was \$124.5 million outstanding at December 31, 2008 and \$8.0 million of availability was restricted related to outstanding letters of credit.

The Company, through Erdman, has \$100.0 million outstanding under a \$100.0 million senior secured term facility (the "Term Loan"). The Term Loan is secured by the stock and certain accounts receivable of Erdman and is guaranteed by the Company. The Term Loan matures on March 10, 2011, and is subject to a one-time right to a one-year extension at the Company's option (and the payment of an extension fee). The Term Loan contains customary covenants similar to the Credit Facility and financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times under the guaranty including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances), as well as being cross defaulted to the Company's Credit Facility. The Term Loan has financial covenants relating only to Erdman including a minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA, (\$22.5 million) maximum consolidated total indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (5.50 to 1.00), minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA to consolidated fixed charges (2.00 to 1.00) and maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (4.25 to 1.00 as of December 31, 2008, decreases to 3.75 to 1.00 on July 1, 2009. Erdman may be required to repay a portion of the principal balance during 2009 if adjusted consolidated EBITDA is insufficient to meet the lowered ratio of maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA that occurs in 2009. As of December 31, 2008, consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA was 3.71. If the Company or Erdman were in default under the Term Loan the lenders under the Credit Facility could declare the Company in default under that facility as well.

#### Short-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company believes that it will have sufficient capital resources as a result of operations and the borrowings in place to fund ongoing operations and distributions required to maintain REIT compliance. The Company currently anticipates 2009 cash flow from operations, before changes in operating assets and liabilities, to approximate the sum of 2009 cash outflows for capital expenditures and tenant improvement expenditures for properties owned as of December 31, 2008, dividends to shareholders, and distributions to OP Unitholders. The Company is permitted to pay a portion of its dividends in the form of common stock in lieu of cash. The Company anticipates using its cash and cash equivalents and Credit Facility availability for changes in operating assets and liabilities, principal maturities, and the Company's equity funding portion for new developments and acquisitions. The Company has no significant redevelopment planned for 2009.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$50.6 million of principal and maturity payments due in 2009 related to mortgage note payables. Of this \$50.6 million, \$30.0 million can be extended for one two-year period at the Company's conditional option. The Company believes it will be able to refinance the 2009 balloon



maturities as a result of the current loan to value ratios at individual properties and preliminary discussions with lenders. The stated interest rates (LIBOR plus spread) at December 31, 2008 are comparable to the Company's weighted average fixed rate at December 31, 2008. In addition, the Company has \$52.2 million combined cash and cash equivalents and Credit Facility availability as of December 31, 2008, which exceeds the 2009 principal and maturity payments due in 2009.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has no outstanding equity commitments to joint ventures formed prior to December 31, 2008. The Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC acquisition joint venture has no properties under contract to acquire as of December 31, 2008, thus the Company has no equity commitment to the joint venture as of December 31, 2008.

The Company intends to have construction financing agreements in place before construction begins on development projects. Development projects will be either wholly-owned, joint ventured with physicians, or joint ventured with other third parties.

On December 29, 2008, the Company declared a dividend to common stockholders of record and the Operating Partnership declared a distribution to holders of OP units of record, in each case as of December 31, 2008, totaling \$6.1 million, or \$0.225 per share or OP unit, covering the period from October 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008. The dividend and distribution were paid on January 30, 2009. The dividend and distribution were equivalent to an annual rate of \$0.90 per share and OP unit.

#### Long-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company's principal long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of new property development, property acquisitions, and principal payments under various mortgages and other credit facilities and non-recurring capital expenditures. The Company does not expect that its net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to meet all of these long-term liquidity needs. Instead, the Company expects to finance new property developments through modest cash equity capital contributed by the Company together with construction loan proceeds, as well as through cash equity investments by its tenants or third parties. The Company expects to fund property acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under its Credit Facility and traditional secured mortgage financing. In addition, the Company expects to use OP units issued by the Operating Partnership to acquire properties from existing owners seeking a tax deferred transaction.

Although capital markets continued to remain tight during 2008 and into the first quarter of 2009, the Company continues to expect to meet long-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations and, to the extent possible and desirable, through additional equity and debt financings, including loans from banks, institutional investors or other lenders, bridge loans, letters of credit, and other lending arrangements, most of which will be secured by mortgages. Notwithstanding the Company's expectations discussed above, financial markets continue to experience unusual volatility and uncertainty. Financial systems throughout the world have become illiquid with banks no longer willing to lend substantial amounts to other banks and borrowers. Consequently, there is greater uncertainty regarding the Company's ability to access the credit market in order to attract financing or capital on reasonable terms or on any terms. The Company may also issue unsecured debt in the future. However, with the current deteriorating general economic conditions and the current volatility of the debt and equity markets, there can be no assurance as to the Company's ability to raise new debt or equity. The Company does not, in general, expect to meet its long-term liquidity needs through dispositions of its properties. In the event that the Company were to sell any of its properties in the future, depending on which property were to be sold, the Company may need to structure the sale or disposition as a tax deferred transaction which would require the reinvestment of the proceeds from such transaction in another property or, however, the proceeds that would be available to the Company from such sales may be reduced by amounts that the Company may owe under the tax protection agreements entered into in connection with the Company's formation transactions and certain property acquisitions. In addition, the Company's ability to sell certain of its assets could be adversely affected by the general illiquidity of real estate assets and certain additional factors particular to the Company's portfolio such as the specialized nature of its target property type, property use restrictions and the need to obtain consents or waivers of rights of first refusal or rights of first offers from ground lessors in the case of sales of its properties that are subject to ground leases.

The Company intends to repay indebtedness incurred under its Credit Facility from time to time, for acquisitions or otherwise, out of cash flow from operations and from the proceeds, to the extent possible and desirable, of additional debt or equity issuances. In the future, the Company may seek to increase the amount of the Credit Facility, negotiate additional credit facilities or issue corporate debt instruments. However, with the current volatility in the debt markets, there can be no assurance as to the Company's ability to raise new debt. Any indebtedness

incurred or issued by the Company may be secured or unsecured, short-, medium- or long-term, fixed or variable interest rate and may be subject to other terms and conditions the Company deems acceptable. The Company intends to refinance at maturity the mortgage notes payable that have balloon payments at maturity.

## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2008, including the maturities and scheduled principal repayments and the commitments due in connection with the Company's ground leases and operating leases for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Obligation:	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
Long-term debt principal payments and maturities (1)	\$ 50,577	\$ 31,708	\$ 250,898	\$ 23,137	\$ 13,861	\$ 94,843	\$ 465,024
Standby letters of credit (2)	8,048	—	—	—	—	—	8,048
Interest payments (3)	21,987	18,796	10,129	6,774	5,842	13,837	77,365
Purchase commitments (4)	11,589	836	—	—	—	—	12,425
Ground leases (5)	314	314	314	315	315	9,631	11,203
Operating leases (6)	5,217	4,316	3,857	3,556	2,968	24,527	44,441
Total	\$ 97,732	\$ 55,970	\$ 265,198	\$ 33,782	\$ 22,986	\$ 142,838	\$ 618,506

- (1) Includes notes payable under the Company's Credit Facility
- (2) As collateral for performance, the Company is contingently liable under standby letters of credit, which also reduces the availability under the Credit Facility
- (3) Assumes one-month LIBOR of 0.44% and Prime Rate of 3.25% which were the rates as of December 31, 2008 and includes fixed rate interest swap agreements.
- (4) These purchase commitments are related to the Company's development projects that are currently under construction.
- (5) Substantially all of the ground and air rights leases effectively limit our control over various aspects of the operation of the applicable property, restrict our ability to transfer the property and allow the lessor the right of first refusal to purchase the building and improvements. All of the ground leases provide for the property to revert to the lessor for no consideration upon the expiration or earlier termination of the ground or air rights lease.
- (6) Payments under operating lease agreements relate to various of our properties' equipment and office space leases. The future minimum lease commitments under these leases are as indicated.

For additional information, see Note 11 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company may guarantee debt in connection with certain of its development activities, including joint ventures, from time to time. As of December 31, 2008, the Company did not have any such guarantees or other off-balance sheet arrangements outstanding.

## Real Estate Taxes

The Company's leases generally require the tenants to be responsible for all real estate taxes.

## Inflation

The Company's leases at wholly-owned and consolidated partnership properties generally provide for either indexed escalators, based on the CPI or other measures or, to a lesser extent, fixed increases in base rents. The leases also contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses

and real estate taxes. The Company's property management and related services provided to third parties typically provide for fees based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. The revenues collected from leases are generally structured as described above, with year over year increases. The Company also pays certain payroll and related costs related to the operations of third party properties that are managed by the Company. Under terms of the related management agreements, these costs are reimbursed by the third party property owners. The Company believes that inflationary increases in expenses will be offset, in part, by the contractual rent increases and tenant expense reimbursements described above.

#### Seasonality

Erdman's business can be subject to seasonality due to weather conditions at construction sites. In addition, construction starts and contract signings can be impacted by the timing of budget cycles at healthcare systems and providers.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The Company's future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The Company uses some derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to the Company's borrowings. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enters into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$465.2 million of consolidated debt outstanding (excluding any discounts or premiums related to assumed debt). Of the Company's total consolidated debt, \$124.2 million, or 26.7%, was variable rate debt that is not subject to variable to fixed rate interest rate swap agreements. Of the Company's total indebtedness, \$342.5 million, or 73.7%, was subject to fixed interest rates, including variable rate debt that is subject to variable to fixed rate swap agreements. The weighted average interest rate for fixed rate debt was 4.84% as of December 31, 2008.

If LIBOR were to increase by 100 basis points, the increase in interest expense on the Company's variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.2 million. Interest rate risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on the Company's financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, the Company may take actions to further mitigate the Company's exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in the Company's financial structure.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

COGDELL SPENCER INC.

INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	59
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	60
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	61
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006	63
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	64
Supplemental Schedule — Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	94
Notes to Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Cogdell Spencer Inc.  
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 8. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina  
March 16, 2009



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Assets		
Real estate properties:		
Land	\$ 30,673	\$ 30,673
Buildings and improvements	501,259	455,606
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(69,285)	(44,596)
Net operating real estate properties	462,647	441,683
Construction in progress	15,314	13,380
Net real estate properties	477,961	455,063
Cash and cash equivalents	34,668	3,555
Restricted cash	12,964	1,803
Tenant and accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$194 in 2008 and \$19 in 2007	43,523	2,248
Goodwill	180,435	5,335
Trade names and trademarks	75,969	—
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$38,054 in 2008 and \$18,728 in 2007	45,363	26,254
Other assets	29,207	11,979
Total assets	\$ 900,090	\$ 506,237
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 240,736	\$ 237,504
Revolving credit facility	124,500	79,200
Term loan	100,000	—
Accounts payable	22,090	5,817
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	17,025	—
Deferred income taxes	34,176	217
Payable to prior Erdman shareholders	18,002	—
Other liabilities	60,567	21,243
Total liabilities	617,096	343,981
Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interests in real estate partnerships	6,279	2,434
Minority interests in operating partnership	87,766	44,787
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 17,699 and 11,948 shares issued and outstanding in 2008 and 2007, respectively	177	119
Additional paid-in capital	275,380	166,901
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(9,170)	(1,234)
Accumulated deficit	(77,438)	(50,751)
Total stockholders' equity	188,949	115,035
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 900,090	\$ 506,237

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Revenues:			
Rental revenue	\$ 77,799	\$ 63,029	\$ 52,746
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	253,596	—	—
Property management and other fees	3,460	3,502	2,077
Development management and other income	885	290	133
Total revenues	335,740	66,821	54,956
Expenses:			
Property operating and management	31,376	25,704	19,848
Costs related to design-build contract revenue and other sales	214,019	—	—
Selling, general, and administrative	30,215	7,365	6,261
Depreciation and amortization	45,016	27,758	30,273
Total expenses	320,626	60,827	56,382
Income (loss) from continuing operations before other income (expense), income tax (expense) benefit, minority interests in real estate partnerships, minority interests in operating partnership, and discontinued operations	15,114	5,994	(1,426)
Other income (expense):			
Interest and other income, net	922	1,073	821
Interest expense	(25,159)	(15,964)	(14,199)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	—	(37)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	22	20	4
Gain from sale of real estate partnership interests	—	—	484
Total other income (expense)	(24,215)	(14,871)	(12,927)
Loss from continuing operations before income tax (expense) benefit, minority interests in real estate partnerships, minority interests in operating partnership, and discontinued operations	(9,101)	(8,877)	(14,353)
Income tax (expense) benefit	1,244	(117)	(107)
Loss from continuing operations before minority interests in real estate partnerships, minority interests in operating partnership, and discontinued operations	(7,857)	(8,994)	(14,460)
Minority interests in real estate partnerships	(964)	(85)	(121)
Minority interests in operating partnership	3,048	2,738	5,208

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Net loss from continuing operations	(5,773)	(6,341)	(9,373)
Discontinued operations:			
Loss from discontinued operations	—	—	(9)
Gain from sale of real estate property	—	—	435
Minority interests in operating partnership	—	—	(150)
Total discontinued operations	—	—	276
Net loss	\$ (5,773)	\$ (6,341)	\$ (9,097)
Per share data - basic and diluted			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.17)
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	0.03
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.14)
Weighted average common shares - basic and diluted	15,770	11,056	7,975

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(in thousands)

	Number of Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Unamortized Restricted Stock Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance at December 31, 2005	8,000	\$ 80	\$ 86,154	\$ (299)	\$ —	\$ (7,467)	\$ 78,468
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(9,097)	(9,097)
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps	—	—	—	—	73	—	73
Comprehensive loss							(9,024)
Amortization of restricted stock grants	—	—	88	—	—	—	88
Dividends to common stockholders	—	—	—	—	—	(11,197)	(11,197)
Transfer of unamortized restricted stock compensation to additional paid-in capital	—	—	(299)	299	—	—	—
Cumulative effect adjustment associated with the implementation of EITF 04-5	—	—	(785)	—	—	59	(726)
Adjustment to record change of interest in the operating partnership due to the issuance of operating partnership units in excess of book value	—	—	2,066	—	—	—	2,066
Balance at December 31, 2006	8,000	80	87,224	—	73	(27,702)	59,675
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(6,341)	(6,341)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps	—	—	—	—	(1,307)	—	(1,307)
Comprehensive loss							(7,648)
Issuance of common stock, net of costs	3,950	39	78,425	—	—	—	78,464
Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock	—	—	6	—	—	—	6
Vesting of restricted stock grants	—	—	20	—	—	—	20

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Amortization of restricted stock grants	—	—	69				69
Forfeiture of restricted stock grants	(2)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dividends to common stockholders	—	—	—	—	—	(16,708)	(16,708)
Adjustment to record change of interest in the operating partnership due to the issuance of operating partnership units in excess of book value	—	—	1,157	—	—	—	1,157
Balance at December 31, 2007	11,948	119	166,901	—	(1,234)	(50,751)	115,035
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(5,773)	(5,773)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(7,936)	—	(7,936)
Comprehensive loss							(13,709)
Issuance of common stock, net of costs	5,608	57	91,174	—	—	—	91,231
Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock	137	1	1,843	—	—	—	1,844
Restricted stock grants	6	—	94	—	—	—	94
Amortization of restricted stock grants	—	—	63	—	—	—	63
Dividends to common stockholders	—	—	—	—	—	(20,914)	(20,914)
Adjustment to record change of interest in the operating partnership due to the issuance of operating partnership units at other than book value	—	—	15,305	—	—	—	15,305
Balance at December 31, 2008	17,699	\$ 177	\$ 275,380	\$	— \$	(9,170) \$	(77,438) \$ 188,949

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (5,773)	\$ (6,341)	\$ (9,097)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities:			
Minority interests	(2,084)	(2,653)	(4,937)
Gain from sale of real estate partnership interests	—	—	(484)
Gain from sale of real estate property - discontinued operations	—	—	(435)
Depreciation and amortization (including amounts in discontinued operations)	45,016	27,758	30,325
Amortization of acquired above market leases and acquired below market leases, net (including amounts in discontinued operations)	(638)	(723)	(990)
Straight line rental revenue	(569)	(445)	(189)
Amortization of deferred finance costs and debt premium	1,286	271	92
Deferred income taxes	(5,657)	(30)	(13)
Equity-based compensation	1,245	183	88
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(22)	(20)	(4)
Prepayment penalty for early extinguishment of debt	—	—	37
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	—	—	(9)
Termination of interest rate swap agreements	—	—	736
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Tenant and accounts receivable and other assets	16,243	(369)	(966)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(4,191)	6,138	1,746
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(20,109)	—	—
Net cash provided by operating activities	24,747	23,769	15,900
Investing activities:			
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(134,134)	—	(1,140)
Investment in real estate properties, net of cash acquired	(45,522)	(112,355)	(105,918)
Purchase of minority interests in operating partnership	(770)	(4,340)	(1,414)
Proceeds from sale of real estate property	—	—	1,909
Proceeds from sale of real estate partnership interests	—	—	587
Proceeds from sales-type capital lease	306	305	306
Purchase of corporate property, plant and equipment	(3,008)	(576)	—
Distributions received from unconsolidated real estate partnerships	5	14	6

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Increase in restricted cash	(11,161)	(346)	(203)
Net cash used in investing activities	(194,284)	(117,298)	(105,867)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from mortgage notes payable	22,580	70,257	43,270
Repayments of mortgage notes payable	(21,964)	(33,361)	(8,675)
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	145,000	82,400	92,250
Repayments to revolving credit facility	(99,700)	(80,687)	(34,363)
Proceeds from term loan	100,000	—	—
Prepayment penalty for early extinguishment of debt	—	—	(37)
Net proceeds from sale of common stock	91,229	78,463	—
Dividends and distributions	(32,101)	(21,705)	(12,981)
Equity contribution by partners in consolidated real estate partnerships	481	1,848	—
Distributions to minority interests in real estate partnerships	(963)	(117)	(134)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(3,912)	(1,043)	(398)
Net cash provided by financing activities	200,650	96,055	78,932
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	31,113	2,526	(11,035)
Balance at beginning of period	3,555	1,029	9,571
Cumulative effect adjustment associated with the implementation of EITF 04-5	—	—	213
Balance at end of period	\$ 34,668	\$ 3,555	\$ (1,251)
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$ 25,477	\$ 15,355	\$ 13,372
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 3,897	\$ 307	\$ —
Supplemental cash flow information - noncash investing and financing activities:			
Investment in real estate properties included in accounts payable and other liabilities	(1,788)	1,109	2,800
Operating Partnership Units issued or to be issued in connection with the acquisition of a business or real estate property	81,347	3,583	6,017
Debt assumed with purchase of properties	2,733	16,238	5,178
Minority interest assumed with purchase of property	3,359	—	—
Investment in real estate costs contributed by partner in a consolidated real estate partnership	—	460	—
Accrued dividends and distributions	6,061	5,771	4,404
Operating Partnership Units converted into common stock	1,844	—	—

See notes to consolidated financial statements.



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business

Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated in Maryland in 2005, together with its subsidiaries (the “Company”) is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) that invests in specialty office buildings for the medical profession, including medical offices and ambulatory surgery and diagnostic centers. The Company focuses on the ownership, development, redevelopment, acquisition, and management of strategically located medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities in the United States of America. The Company provides services from strategic planning to long-term property ownership and management. Integrated delivery service offerings include architecture, engineering, construction and capital project development. The Company has been built around understanding and addressing the specialized real estate needs of the healthcare industry. The Company operates its business through Cogdell Spencer LP, its operating partnership subsidiary (the “Operating Partnership”), and its subsidiaries. The Company has two segments: (1) Property Operations and (2) Design-Build and Development. Property Operations owns and manages properties and manages properties for third parties. Design-Build and Development provides architecture, construction, and development services for properties owned by the Company and for third parties.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and represent the assets and liabilities and operating results of the Company. The consolidated financial statements include the Company’s accounts, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. The consolidated financial statements also include any partnerships for which the Company or its subsidiaries is the general partner or the managing member and the rights of the limited partners do not overcome the presumption of control by the general partner or managing member. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company reviews its interests in entities to determine if the entity’s assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and results of activities should be included in the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation No. 46R, “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities”, Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) 04-5 “Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights”, and Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, “Consolidated Financial Statements.”

Use of Estimates in Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions are used by management in determining the percentage of completion revenue, useful lives of real estate properties and improvements, the initial valuations and underlying allocations of purchase price in connection with business and real estate property acquisitions, and projected cash flow and fair value estimates used for impairment testing. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives a majority of its revenues from two main sources: 1) from rents received from tenants under existing leases in medical office buildings and other healthcare related facilities, and 2) from revenue earned from design-build construction contracts and development contracts.

**Rental Revenue and Property Management.** Rental income related to non-cancelable operating leases is recognized as earned over the term, which is the period from the date the lessee has access and control over the leased space to the lease termination date, of the lease agreements on a straight-line basis. Rental income recognized on a straight-line basis for certain lease agreements results in recognized revenue greater than or less than amounts contractually due from tenants. In addition, the leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse the Company for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes. At times the Company will receive cash payments at the inception of the lease for tenant improvements and these amounts are amortized into rental revenue over the life of the lease. These amounts are included in "Other liabilities" in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company monitors the creditworthiness of its tenants on a regular basis and maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company receives fees for property management and related services provided to third parties which are reflected as property management fee revenue. Management fees are generally based on a percentage of revenues for the month as defined in the related property management agreements. The Company pays certain payroll and related costs related to the operations of third party properties that are managed by the Company. Under terms of the related management agreements, these costs are reimbursed by the third party property owners. The amounts billed to the third party owners are recognized as revenue in accordance with EITF 01-14, "Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for "Out of Pocket" Expenses Incurred."

**Design-Build Contract Revenues and Development Management.** Design-Build contract revenue is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of Position ("SOP") 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts." Revenues are determined by measuring the percentage of costs incurred to date to estimated total costs for each design-build contract based on current estimates of costs to complete. Contract costs include all labor and benefits, materials, subcontracts, and an allocation of indirect costs related to contract performance such as architectural, engineering, and construction management. Indirect costs are allocated to projects based upon labor hours charged. As long-term design-build projects extend over one or more years, revisions in cost and estimated earnings during the course of the work are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts which require the revision become known. At the time a loss on a design-build project becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated ultimate loss is recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Change orders are recognized when they are approved by the client.

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted design-build projects ("underbillings") are included in "Other assets" in the consolidated balance sheets. Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted design-build projects ("overbillings") are included in liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Customers are billed on a monthly basis at the end of each month. As a result, typically the Company generates billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on design-build projects.

Revenue from project analysis and design agreements is accounted for on the completed contract method. Costs in excess of billings and billings in excess of costs on project analysis and design agreements are included with design-build projects over and underbillings in the consolidated balance sheets. Revenue from development agreements is recognized as earned per the agreements and costs are expensed as incurred.

**Gains on Disposition of Real Estate.** The Company recognizes sales of real estate properties upon closing and meeting the criteria for a sale under FASB of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate" ("SFAS 66"). Payments received from purchasers prior to closing are recorded as deposits. Profit on real estate sold is recognized using the full accrual method upon closing when the collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured and the Company is not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale. This includes the buyer's initial and continuing investments being adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay for the property and the Company not having substantial continuing involvement whereby the usual risks and rewards of ownership would not be transferred to the buyer. Profit may be deferred in whole or part until the sales meet the requirements of profit recognition on sales of real estate under SFAS 66.

**Other income.** Other income on the Company's statement of operations generally includes income incidental to the operations of the Company and is recognized when earned. Interest and other income includes the amortization of unearned income related to a sales-type capital lease.



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that 90% of ordinary its "REIT taxable income" (as determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction or net capital gains) taxable income be distributed. As a REIT, the Company will generally not be subject to U.S. federal income tax to the extent that it meets the organization and operational requirements and distributions equal or exceed taxable income. For all periods subsequent to the REIT election, the Company has met the organization and operational requirements and distributions exceeded net taxable income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal and state income taxes, except as follows.

The Company, together with Cogdell Spencer Advisors, LLC ("CSA LLC"), wholly-owned by the Operating Partnership, have jointly elected for CSA LLC to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary (a "TRS"). Consera Healthcare Real Estate, LLC ("Consera") has been reorganized and is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of CSA LLC. The Company, together with MEA Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Erdman Company (together, "Erdman"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the operating partnership, have also jointly elected for Erdman to be treated as a TRS. As TRSs, the operations of CSA LLC and Erdman are generally subject to corporate income taxes.

The Company's TRSs account for their income taxes based on the requirements of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS 109"), which includes an estimate of the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The calculation of the TRSs' tax provision may require interpreting tax laws and regulations and could result in the use of judgments or estimates which could cause their recorded tax liability to differ from the actual amount due. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The TRSs periodically assess the realizability of deferred tax assets and the adequacy of deferred tax liabilities, including the results of local, state, or federal statutory tax audits or estimates and judgments used.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company and the TRSs began applying the provisions of the FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" for measuring and recognizing tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions. Penalties and interest, if incurred, would be recorded as a component of income tax expense.

During 2008, the Company paid four quarterly dividends of \$0.35 per share, totaling \$1.40 per share for the year. The dividends of \$1.40 per share are classified for income tax purposes as 27.9% taxable ordinary dividend, 15.7% "qualified dividends" eligible for the reduced rates on dividends pursuant to the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, and 56.4% return of capital.

Warranties

Erdman provides standard industry warranties, which generally are for one year after completion of a project. Buildings are guaranteed against defects in workmanship for one year after completion. The typical warranty requires that Erdman replace or repair the defective item. Erdman records an estimate for future warranty related costs based on actual historical warranty claims. This estimated liability is included in "Other liabilities" in the consolidated balance sheets. Based on analysis of warranty costs, the warranty provisions are adjusted as necessary. While warranty costs

have historically been within its calculated expectations, it is possible that future warranty costs could exceed expectations.

The changes in the carrying amounts of the total warranty liabilities for the periods shown are as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Balance at the beginning of period	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Erdman acquisition	4,600	—	—
Accruals	2,217	—	—
Settlements	(2,486)	—	—
Balance at the end of period	\$ 4,331	\$ —	\$ —

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Comprehensive Income or Loss

Comprehensive income or loss includes net income (loss) and all other non-owner changes in stockholders' equity during a period including unrealized fair value adjustments on certain derivative agreements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all short-term investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Restricted cash and short-term investments are excluded from cash for the purpose of preparing the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes escrow accounts held by lenders and banks. Restricted cash also includes proceeds from property sales deposited with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations.

Real Estate Properties and Related Intangible Assets

Land and buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. For developed properties, direct and indirect costs that clearly relate to projects under development are capitalized in accordance with SFAS No. 67, "Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects." Costs include construction costs, professional services such as architectural and legal costs, travel expenses, capitalized interest and direct payroll and other acquisition costs. The Company begins capitalization when the project is probable. Capitalization of interest ceases when the property is ready for its intended use, which is generally near the date that a certificate of occupancy is obtained.

Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over 13 to 50 years. Tenant improvement costs, which are included in building and improvements in the consolidated balance sheets, are depreciated over the shorter of (i) the related remaining lease term or (ii) the life of the improvement. Corporate property, plant and equipment, which are included in "Other assets," are depreciated over three to seven years.

Acquisitions of properties and Operating Partnership minority interests are accounted for utilizing the purchase method in accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," and accordingly the purchase cost is allocated to tangible and intangible assets and liabilities based on their relative fair values. The fair value of tangible assets acquired is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, applying methods similar to those used by independent appraisers of income-producing property. The resulting value is then allocated to land, buildings and improvements, and tenant improvements based on management's determination of the relative fair value of these assets. The assumptions used in the allocation of fair values to assets acquired are based on management's best estimates at the time of evaluation.

Fair value is assigned to above-market and below-market leases based on the difference between (a) the contractual amounts to be paid by the tenant based on the existing lease and (b) management's estimate of current market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, over the remaining terms of the in-place leases. Capitalized above-market lease amounts are amortized as a decrease to rental revenue over the remaining terms of the respective leases. Capitalized below-market lease amounts are amortized as an increase to rental revenue over the remaining

terms of the respective leases. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off.

The aggregate value of other acquired intangible assets consists of acquired ground leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships. The fair value allocated to acquired in-place leases consists of a variety of components including, but not necessarily limited to: (a) the value associated with avoiding the cost of originating the acquired in-place leases (i.e. the market cost to execute a lease, including leasing commissions and legal fees, if any); (b) the value associated with lost revenue related to tenant reimbursable operating costs estimated to be incurred during the assumed lease-up period (i.e. real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses); (c) the value associated with lost rental revenue from existing leases during the assumed lease-up period; and (d) the value associated with any other inducements to secure a tenant lease.



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As required by SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), the Company assesses the potential for impairment of its long-lived assets, including real estate properties, whenever events occur or a change in circumstances indicate that the recorded value might not be fully recoverable. Management determines whether impairment in value has occurred by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected from the use and eventual disposition of the asset to its carrying value. If the undiscounted cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, the real estate is adjusted to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized.

SFAS 144 requires that the operations and gains and losses associated with sales of "components of an entity," as defined in SFAS 144, be reclassified and presented as discontinued operations. The Company generally has no plans to actively engage in the disposition of any specific real estate property or group of real estate properties, but does from time to time dispose of properties in the normal course of business.

#### Repairs, Maintenance and Major Improvements

The costs of ordinary repairs and maintenance are charged to operations when incurred. Major improvements that enhance the value or extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. In some circumstances lenders require the Company to maintain a reserve account for future repairs and capital expenditures. These amounts are classified as restricted cash.

#### Capitalization of Interest

The Company capitalizes interest costs on borrowings incurred during the construction and lease-up periods of qualifying assets. Capitalized interest is added to the cost of the underlying assets and is depreciated over the useful lives of the assets. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, the Company capitalized interest of approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.3 million, respectively, in connection with various development projects.

#### Tenant and Accounts Receivable

Property Operations' tenant and accounts receivable are recorded and carried at the amount billable per the applicable lease or contract agreement. Straight-line rent adjustments are included in tenant and accounts receivable.

Design-Build and Development's accounts receivable are comprised primarily of contracts receivable. Contracts receivable from performing construction of healthcare facilities are recorded when invoiced and are based on contracted prices and billing terms. Normal contracts receivable are due 15 to 30 days after the issuance of the invoice. Contract retentions are due 15 to 30 days after completion of the project and acceptance by the owner. Receivables past due more than 180 days are considered delinquent. Delinquent receivables are written off based on individual credit evaluation and specific circumstances of the customer. As construction contracts are long term, a portion of the contract retention receivable balance will not be collected within the next year.

An allowance for uncollectible accounts is made based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information, existing economic conditions, and other factors that may indicate collection of the full amount is no longer considered probable.

#### Investment in Capital Lease

Investment in capital lease consists of a building on a sales-type capital lease. Unearned income is amortized into interest income using a method that is not materially different from a method that produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the lease. The interest income is recorded in "Interest and other income."

#### Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs include fees and costs incurred in conjunction with long-term financings and are amortized over the terms of the related debt using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. Upon repayment of or in conjunction with a substantial modification in the terms of the underlying debt agreement, any unamortized costs are charged to earnings. Deferred financing costs were \$4.1 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$2.0 million, as of December 31, 2008 and \$1.6 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$0.7 million, as of December 31, 2007.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

The Company records investments in which it does not control but exercises significant influence under the equity method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion No. 18, “The Equity Method of Accounting for Common Stock,” and AICPA Statement of Position 78-9, “Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures.” In circumstances where the real estate partnerships have distributions in excess of the investment and accumulated earnings or experienced net losses in excess of the investment and the Company has guaranteed debt of the entity or otherwise intends to provide financial support, the Company has reduced the carrying value of its investment below zero and recorded a liability in “Other Liabilities.” Services performed for real estate joint ventures and capitalized by real estate joint ventures are recognized to the extent attributable to the outside interests in the real estate joint venture.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company accounts for Goodwill under SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” Goodwill is tested annually for impairment and is tested for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount, including goodwill, exceeds the reporting unit’s fair value and the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the carrying amount of that goodwill. Non-amortizing intangible assets, such as trade names and trademarks, are subject to an annual impairment test based on fair value and amortizing intangible assets are tested whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Guarantees

The Company records a liability using expected present value measurement techniques for guarantees entered into or modified subsequent to December 31, 2003 in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, “Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others” (“FIN 45”).

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company considers the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, tenant and accounts receivable, accounts payable, and other liabilities to approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The Company has estimated the fair value of debt utilizing present value techniques. At December 31, 2008, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of debt was \$465.2 million and \$453.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2007, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of debt was \$316.7 million and \$316.0 million, respectively.

Offering Costs

Underwriting commissions and other offering costs of raising equity are reflected as a reduction in additional paid-in capital.

Share Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share based compensation, including restricted stock grants and long-term incentive units ("LTIP units"), in accordance with SFAS No. 123R (Revised), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"). The Company measures the compensation cost based on the estimated fair value of the award at the grant date. The estimate is based on the share price of the common stock at the grant date. Where an observable market value of a similar instrument is not available an option-pricing model is utilized. The compensation cost is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period required for vesting or when performance criteria for vesting is expected to be achieved.

#### Per Share Data

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the respective period. There were 5,900, 11,799, and 20,700 shares of unvested restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively, which were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effects of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Concentrations and Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash in commercial banks. Balances on deposit are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) up to specific limits. Balances on deposit in excess of FDIC limits are uninsured. At December 31, 2008, the Company had bank cash balances of \$39.6 million in excess of FDIC insured limits.

One customer accounted for more than 10% of tenant and accounts receivable as of December 31, 2008.

Reclassifications

As a result of the merger with Erdman, management has revised certain presentations in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and the condensed consolidated statements of operations to reflect the appropriate line items for the operations of the integrated business. These revisions include new line items for design-build operations, combining presentation of management fees and other reimbursements, and separately presenting income tax provision in the condensed consolidated statements of operations as well as separating out tenant and accounts receivable from other assets, accounts payable from accounts payable and other liabilities, and the combination of some immaterial line items into new lines for other assets and other liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The reclassifications did not affect previously reported stockholders’ equity or net loss.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued FAS 141(R), “Business Combinations — a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141”, which significantly changes the principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This statement is effective prospectively, except for certain retrospective adjustments to deferred tax balances, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is still assessing the potential impact of adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB 51” (“SFAS 160”). SFAS 160 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and will be reported as a component of equity separate from the parent’s equity, and purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control will be accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement and upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings. SFAS 160 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will apply retrospectively. The Company is still assessing the potential impact of adoption.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133” (“SFAS 161”). SFAS 161 is intended to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of derivative instruments and hedging activities by having the Company disclose: (1) how and why the Company uses derivative instruments; (2) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations; and (3) how derivative

instruments and related hedged items affect the Company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. SFAS 161 encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. The Company has not adopted SFAS 161 and is in the process of evaluating the impact SFAS 161 may have on its consolidated financial statements.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In April 2008, the FASB issued Financial Statement Position No. EITF 03-6-1, “Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities” (“FSP No. EITF 03-6-1”). FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 states that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends participate in undistributed earnings with common shareholders and should be included in basic and diluted earnings per share calculations. FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, “Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets” (“FSP No. FAS 142-3”). FSP No. FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” (“SFAS 142”). The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS 141(R) and other GAAP. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact FSP No. FAS 142-3 may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, “The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles” (“SFAS 162”). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 will be effective 60 days after the approval of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB’s”) amendments to AU Section 411. The Company does not expect SFAS 162 to have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Minimum Future Rental Revenues

The Company’s properties are generally leased to tenants under non-cancelable, fixed-term operating leases with expirations through 2026. Some leases provide for fixed rent renewal terms or market rent renewal terms. The Company’s leases generally require the lessee to pay minimum rent, additional rent based upon increases in the Consumer Price Index, and all taxes (including property tax), insurance, maintenance and other operating costs associated with the leased property. No tenant occupied more than 10% of the Company’s net rentable square footage at December 31, 2008.

Future minimum lease payments by tenants under the non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:	
2009	\$ 68,367
2010	58,594
2011	50,009
2012	38,985
2013	27,774
Thereafter	99,941
	\$ 343,670

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company has one building leased to a tenant under a capital lease that began in 1987 and expires in 2017. The tenant is the owner of the land on which the building sits and has leased the land to the Company for the same term with a bargain renewal option, through 2027, that the Company intends to exercise. Upon renewal of the ground lease, the building lease automatically extends for the same 10 year extension period. The "Investment in capital lease" is included in other assets and was as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 12,650	\$ 13,422
Less: Unearned income	(7,068)	(7,534)
Investment in capital lease	\$ 5,582	\$ 5,888



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Total minimum lease payments receivable on the capital lease as of December 31, 2008, exclusive of the operating expense reimbursement payments, are as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:

2009	\$ 778
2010	784
2011	790
2012	796
2013	624
Thereafter	8,878
	\$ 12,650

#### 4. Acquisitions and Dispositions

On March 10, 2008, the Company and the Operating Partnership completed a merger transaction through which they acquired Erdman. The transaction was effected pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”), dated as of January 23, 2008, as amended, by and among the Company, the Operating Partnership, Goldenboy Acquisition Corp. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership), Erdman, Marshall Erdman & Associates, Inc., Marshall Erdman Development, LLC, and David Pelisek, David J. Lubar and Scott A. Ransom, in their capacities as the Seller Representatives. Erdman’s operating results are included in the consolidated financial statements from March 10, 2008, the acquisition date, to year end.

The consideration payable in the merger transaction and in the contribution transactions described below consists of cash and limited partnership interests issued by the Operating Partnership (the “OP Units”). The Operating Partnership entered into contribution agreements with 40 of MEA’s stockholders (the “Contributors”) pursuant to which the Contributors agreed to “roll over” an aggregate of 1,265,392 shares of Erdman (representing in the aggregate approximately 41% of Erdman’s outstanding shares on a fully diluted basis) by exchanging those shares for OP Units. The exchange of those shares for OP Units was completed immediately before the completion of the merger provided for in the Merger Agreement (the “Merger”). In the Merger, all the shares of Erdman (other than the shares acquired by the Operating Partnership) were converted into the right to receive an amount of cash to be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the Merger Agreement.

The cash consideration per share of Erdman common shares payable in the Merger (the “Cash Consideration”) was calculated pursuant to a formula based on an “enterprise value” for 100% of Erdman of \$247.0 million, subject to certain adjustments. In connection with the Merger, in addition to the cash consideration of approximately \$159.6 million paid in the aggregate to the holders of Erdman common shares, pursuant to certain contribution agreements entered into in connection with the Merger, the Operating Partnership issued OP Units to the Contributors. The number of OP Units per Erdman common share was based on the same value per Erdman common share payable in cash under the Merger Agreement, or \$17.01 per OP Unit. The OP Units issued in the transaction were of two types — “regular units” and “alternative units.” A total of 4,331,336 OP Units were issued upon the closing of the transaction, of which 3,063,908 were regular units and 1,267,429 were alternative units. In June 2008, a total of 208,496 OP Units, of which all were alternative units, were issued as part of a post-closing claim release. In November 2008, a total of 148,926 OP Units, of which all were alternative units, were issued as part of a post-closing claim release. Up to 446,779 additional OP Units, comprised of alternative units, may be issued based on the level of post-closing indemnity claims. The alternative units are substantially the same as the regular units, except that the regular units have an exchange feature whereby they are exchangeable, after a one-year lock-up period, on a one-for-one basis, for

shares of the Company's common stock, while the alternative units were not exchangeable for shares of the Company's common stock until the exchange feature included as a feature of the alternative units was approved by the Company's stockholders. On May 29, 2008, the Company's stockholders approved the exchange feature whereby the alternative units are exchangeable, after a one-year lock-up period, on a one-for-one basis, for shares of the Company's common stock.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Merger Agreement provides that a portion of the aggregate Cash Consideration to be paid to the former holders of shares of Erdman will be held in escrow as security for certain indemnification obligations owed by such holders to the Operating Partnership and Goldenboy Acquisition Corp. under the Merger Agreement. The escrow agent shall pay to the former holders of Erdman shares that were not exchanged for OP Units the pro rata cash amount owed to each such holder and the Operating Partnership will issue to the Contributors additional OP Units in the same amount per share, based on \$17.01 per OP Unit.

In connection with the Merger, one of the former Erdman shareholders, Lubar Capital LLC (“Lubar”), received the right to nominate one individual for election to the Company’s Board of Directors. Accordingly, the Company’s Board of Directors increased the size of the Board of Directors and elected David J. Lubar as a director on January 22, 2008. Lubar will continue to retain its right to nominate one individual for so long as Lubar and its affiliates continue to maintain at least 75% of their aggregate initial ownership measured in number of equity securities of the Company and its affiliates.

The aggregate consideration paid for the Merger was as follows (in thousands):

Fair value of OP Units issued and to be issued	\$ 81,347
Cash consideration, net of cash acquired	144,998
Total purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$ 226,345

The fair value per OP Unit issued in connection with the Merger was \$15.84, which was determined using the Company’s stock price on and about the January 23, 2008, announcement date of the merger. Of the total purchase price, \$208.3 million has been paid and the remaining \$18.0 million is being held in escrow and is to be released to the sellers in June 2009 pending certain indemnification obligations. Of the \$18.0 million being held in escrow, \$7.1 million is designated to be paid in OP Units, which represents 446,779 OP Units. The Company has recorded a liability of \$7.1 million in “Payable to prior Erdman shareholders” related to the expected issuance of these OP Units and the company has recorded a liability of the \$10.9 million in “Payable to prior Erdman shareholders” related to the expected cash to be paid.

The Merger was accounted for under the purchase method in accordance with SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations” (“SFAS 141”). The total purchase price has been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values as determined by management. The estimated fair values are based on information available and assumptions as to future operations at the acquisition date.

The following table is a summary allocation of the total purchase price for the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of Erdman as of March 10, 2008 (in thousands).

Accounts receivable, including retainage receivables	\$ 52,638
Goodwill and intangible assets	286,138
Other assets	19,398
Accounts payable	(27,450)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(37,134)
Deferred income taxes	(40,965)
Other liabilities	(26,280)
Total purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$ 226,345

The Company purchased Erdman for an amount in excess of its net tangible assets and as a result has recorded goodwill related to the transaction. The Company believes that Erdman's service offerings and customer lists are complementary to the Company's pre-Merger service offerings. As a result of the Merger, the Company became a fully integrated healthcare facilities real estate company. The accompanying statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, includes ten months of Erdman's operations.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Property Acquisitions

Property acquisitions are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 141. The purchase price is allocated between net tangible and intangible assets based on their estimated fair values as determined by management using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers of income-producing property.

In February 2008, the Company acquired a leasehold interest in floors six and seven of St. Mary's North Medical Office Building, a seven-story multi-tenant medical office building located on the campus of St. Mary's Hospital in Richmond, Virginia. The purchase price was \$4.6 million less a \$0.2 million credit from the seller for unpaid tenant improvement allowances.

In February 2008, the Company acquired East Jefferson Medical Plaza located in Metairie, Louisiana for \$19.8 million less a \$0.7 million credit from the seller for unpaid tenant improvement allowances. The facility is 123,184 square feet and is located on the campus of East Jefferson General Hospital. With this acquisition, the Company now owns and manages 253,914 square feet of medical office and clinical space on or adjacent to the East Jefferson campus.

In September 2008, the Company acquired a controlling interest in Genesis Property Holding, LLC ("Genesis"), for \$2.2 million. Genesis is in the early stages of constructing an approximately \$22.4 million, 75,985 square foot medical office building and outpatient treatment center located in Pensacola, Florida. The project is 100% pre-leased and scheduled for construction completion in Fourth Quarter 2009. The Company is the 40% consolidating general partner. Erdman is providing project delivery services, including development, design, and construction. Cogdell Spencer Advisors is the property manager for the building.

The following table is an allocation of the purchase price of the property acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

Construction in progress	\$ 4,031
Building and improvements	20,259
Acquired ground or air rights leases	325
Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs	2,948
Acquired above market leases	10
Mortgage notes payable	(2,722)
Minority interest in real estate partnerships	(3,359)
Total purchase price allocated, net of cash acquired	\$ 21,492

In June 2007, the Company acquired Central New York Medical Center in Syracuse, New York. The six-story, 111,634 square foot facility is located on the campus of the Crouse Hospital and includes a 469-space parking garage. The property was acquired for \$36.2 million, inclusive of transaction costs. The consideration consisted of cash and the issuance of 181,133 Operating Partnership units.

In August 2007, the Company acquired Summit Professional Plaza I and II in Brunswick, Georgia, for approximately \$24.3 million in cash, inclusive of transaction costs. The two-building complex totals 97,272 net rentable square feet.

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

In December 2007, the Company acquired Healthpark Medical Office Building in Chattanooga, Tennessee and Peerless Medical Center in Cleveland, Tennessee for approximately \$28.4 million, inclusive of transaction costs. The two buildings total 92,657 square feet. The acquisition was funded through a combination of cash and the assumption of approximately \$16.2 million of existing debt with a blended interest rate of 5.68%.

The following table is an allocation of the purchase price of the acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

Land	\$ 7,799
Building and improvements	73,277
Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs	8,629
Acquired above market leases	290
Restricted cash - mortgage lender escrow for capital improvements	475
Acquired below market leases	(1,613)
Total purchase price allocated	\$ 88,857

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following summary of selected unaudited pro forma results of operations presents information as if the 2008 and 2007 property and business acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of each period presented. The unaudited pro forma information is provided for informational purposes only and is not indicative of results that would have occurred or which may occur in the future (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the Year Ended	
	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Revenue	\$ 384,370	\$ 394,556
Net loss	(12,439)	(7,592)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.79)	\$ (0.69)

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," net income and gain on disposition of real estate properties are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as "discontinued operations" for all periods presented. Below is a summary of discontinued operations for the Cabarrus Pediatrics property sold during 2006 (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Revenues:	
Rental revenues	\$ 129
Total revenues	129
Expenses:	
Property operating and management	44
Depreciation and amortization	51
Interest expense	43
Total expenses	138
Loss from discontinued operations before gain from sale of real estate property and minority interests in operating partnership	(9)
Gain from sale of real estate property	435
Income from discontinued operations before minority interests in operating partnership	426
Minority interests in operating partnership	(150)
Total discontinued operations	\$ 276

There were no properties being actively marketed for sale as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Investments in Real Estate Partnerships

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had an ownership interest in eight limited liability companies or limited partnerships.

The following is a description of the unconsolidated entities:

Cogdell Spencer Medical Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, founded in 2008, has no assets or liabilities as of December 31, 2008, and 20.0% owned by the Company;

BSB Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 2, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2002, owns nine medical office buildings, and 2.0% owned by the Company;

Shannon Health/MOB Limited Partnership No. 1, a Delaware limited partnership, founded in 2001, owns ten medical office buildings, and 2.0% owned by the Company; and

McLeod Medical Partners, LLC, a South Carolina limited liability company, founded in 1982, owns three medical office buildings, and 1.1% owned by the Company.

The following is a description of the consolidated entities:

Genesis Property Holdings, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, founded in 2007, has one medical office building under construction, and 40.0% owned by the Company;

Cogdell General Health Campus MOB, LP, a Pennsylvania limited partnership, founded in 2006, owns one medical office building, and 80.9% owned by the Company;

Mebane Medical Investors, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company, founded in 2006, owns one medical office building, and 35.1% owned by the Company; and

Rocky Mount MOB, LLC, a North Carolina limited liability company, founded in 2002, owns one medical office building, and 34.5% owned by the Company.

The Company is the general partner or managing member of these real estate partnerships and manages the properties owned by these entities. The Company may receive design/build revenue, development fees, property management fees, leasing fees, and expense reimbursements from these real estate partnerships. For consolidated entities, these revenues and fees are eliminated in consolidation.



The consolidated entities are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements because the limited partners or non-managing members do not have sufficient participation rights in the partnerships to overcome the presumption of control by the Company as the managing member or general partner. The limited partners or non-managing members have certain protective rights such as the ability to prevent the sale of building, the dissolution of the partnership or limited liability company, or the incurrence of additional indebtedness, in each case subject to certain exceptions.

The Company's unconsolidated entities are accounted for under the equity method of accounting based on the Company's ability to exercise significant influence as the entity's managing member or general partner. The following is a summary of financial information for the limited liability companies and limited partnerships for the periods indicated. The summary of financial information set forth below reflects the financial position and operations of the unconsolidated real estate partnerships in their entirety, not just the Company's interest in the entities (in thousands):

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Financial position:		
Total assets	\$ 56,262	\$ 57,406
Total liabilities	49,831	50,725
Member's equity	6,431	6,681

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
Results of operations:			
Total revenues	\$ 12,362	\$ 12,230	\$ 11,270
Operating and general and administrative expenses	5,787	5,566	5,012
Net income	760	788	804

During 2006, the Company acquired the remaining 95% interest in Mary Black Westside that it did not previously own and began to consolidate the entity. The Company sold its interest in Mary Black MOB Limited Partnership and Mary Black MOB II Limited Partnership. Prior to the disposal the Company owned 9.6% of Mary Black MOB Limited Partnership and 1.0% of Mary Black MOB II Limited Partnership. The Company recorded a gain of \$0.3 million on the sale of these interests. Also during 2006, the Company sold its interest in Cabarrus Land Company, LLC. Prior to the sale, the Company owned 5.0%. The Company recorded a gain of \$0.2 million on the sale of this interest. All of these investments were previously accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

#### 6. Business Segments

The Company has two identified reportable segments: (1) property operations and (2) design-build and development. The Company defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. Each segment operates under a separate management group and produces discrete financial information, which is reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make resource allocation decisions and assess performance.

The Company's management evaluates the operating performance of its operating segments based on funds from operations ("FFO") and funds from operations modified ("FFOM"). FFO, as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The Company adjusts the NAREIT definition to add back minority interests in the Operating Partnership. FFOM adds back to FFO non-cash amortization of non-real estate related intangible assets associated with purchase accounting. The Company considers FFO and FFOM important supplemental measures of the Company's operational performance. The Company believes FFO is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. The Company's methodology may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO and FFOM do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties.

In periods prior to 2008, the Company presented segment net operating income for property operations and real estate services, where the real estate services segment included property management services. Due to the Merger with

Erdman, the presentation of discrete financial information was modified and Company management no longer uses those segment measures when making resource allocation decisions.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables represent the segment information for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

Year ended December 31, 2008:	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:				
Rental revenue	\$ 77,799	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 77,799
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	—	253,596	—	253,596
Property management and other fees	3,460	—	—	3,460
Development management and other income	—	885	—	885
Total revenues	81,259	254,481	—	335,740
Operating expenses:				
Property operating and management	31,376	—	—	31,376
Costs related to design-build revenue and other sales	—	214,019	—	214,019
Selling, general, and administrative	—	20,141	—	20,141
Total operating expenses	31,376	234,160	—	265,536
	49,883	20,321	—	70,204
Interest and other income, net	597	149	176	922
Corporate general and administrative expenses	—	—	(10,074)	(10,074)
Interest expense	—	—	(25,159)	(25,159)
Provision for income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified	—	—	(3,860)	(3,860)
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization	—	(1,129)	(230)	(1,359)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	35	—	—	35
Minority interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(1,346)	—	—	(1,346)
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	49,169	19,341	(39,147)	29,363
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(169)	(12,918)	5,104	(7,983)
Funds from operations (FFO)	49,000	6,423	(34,043)	21,380
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(30,201)	—	—	(30,201)
Minority interests in operating partnership	—	—	3,048	3,048
Net income (loss)	\$ 18,799	\$ 6,423	\$ (30,995)	\$ (5,773)
Total assets	\$ 545,714	\$ 353,054	\$ 1,322	\$ 900,090



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Year ended December 31, 2007:	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:				
Rental revenue	\$ 63,029	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 63,029
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	—	—	—	—
Property management and other fees	3,502	—	—	3,502
Development management and other income	—	290	—	290
Total revenues	66,531	290	—	66,821
Operating expenses:				
Property operating and management	25,704	—	—	25,704
Costs related to design-build revenue and other sales	—	—	—	—
Selling, general, and administrative	—	804	—	804
Total operating expenses	25,704	804	—	26,508
	40,827	(514)	—	40,313
Interest and other income, net	592	231	250	1,073
Corporate general and administrative expenses	—	—	(6,561)	(6,561)
Interest expense	—	—	(15,964)	(15,964)
Provision for income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified	—	—	(183)	(183)
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization	—	—	(159)	(159)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	43	—	—	43
Minority interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(200)	—	—	(200)
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	41,262	(283)	(22,617)	18,362
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(169)	—	66	(103)
Funds from operations (FFO)	41,093	(283)	(22,551)	18,259
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(27,338)	—	—	(27,338)
Minority interests in operating partnership	—	—	2,738	2,738
Net income (loss)	\$ 13,755	\$ (283)	\$ (19,813)	\$ (6,341)
Total assets	\$ 497,917	\$ 5,335	\$ 2,985	\$ 506,237

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Year ended December 31, 2006:	Property Operations	Design-Build and Development	Unallocated and Other	Total
Revenues:				
Rental revenue	\$ 52,746	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52,746
Design-Build contract revenue and other sales	—	—	—	—
Property management and other fees	2,077	—	—	2,077
Development management and other income	—	133	—	133
Total revenues	54,823	133	—	54,956
Operating expenses:				
Property operating and management	19,848	—	—	19,848
Costs related to design-build revenue and other sales	—	—	—	—
Selling, general, and administrative	—	411	—	411
Total operating expenses	19,848	411	—	20,259
	34,975	(278)	—	34,697
Interest and other income, net	599	—	222	821
Corporate general and administrative expenses	—	—	(5,851)	(5,851)
Interest expense	—	—	(14,199)	(14,199)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	—	(37)	(37)
Provision for income taxes applicable to funds from operations modified	—	—	(141)	(141)
Non-real estate related depreciation and amortization	—	—	(68)	(68)
Earnings from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	24	—	—	24
Minority interests in real estate partnerships, before real estate related depreciation and amortization	(199)	—	—	(199)
Discontinued operations, before real estate related depreciation and amortization and gain on sale of real estate property	85	—	(43)	42
Funds from operations modified (FFOM)	35,484	(278)	(20,117)	15,089
Amortization of intangibles related to purchase accounting, net of income tax benefit	(86)	—	33	(53)
Funds from operations (FFO)	35,398	(278)	(20,084)	15,036
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(30,110)	—	—	(30,110)
Gain on sale of real estate properties	919	—	—	919
Minority interests in operating partnership	—	—	5,058	5,058
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,207	\$ (278)	\$ (15,026)	\$ (9,097)
Total assets	\$ 386,593	\$ 5,335	\$ 1,130	\$ 393,058

## 7. Contracts

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Revenue and billings to date on uncompleted contracts, from their inception, as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	\$ 170,322	\$ —
Billings to date	(180,267)	—
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	\$ (9,945)	\$ —

80

---



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

These amounts are included in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008 and 2007 as shown below (in thousands). At December 31, 2008, the Company had retainage receivables of \$8.8 million, which are included in “Tenant and accounts receivable” in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company estimates that \$0.8 million of the December 31, 2008, retainages receivable balance will be collected after one year.

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings (1)	\$ 7,080	\$ —
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(17,025)	—
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	\$ (9,945)	\$ —

(1) Included in “Other assets” in the consolidated balance sheet

#### 8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company had goodwill of \$180.4 million and \$5.3 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and non-amortizing trade names and trademarks intangible assets of \$76.0 million at December 31, 2008. Amortizing intangible assets consisted of the following for the periods shown (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2008	As of December 31, 2007
Acquired signed contracts, net of accumulated amortization of \$11,389 in 2008	\$ 1,864	\$ —
Acquired proposals, net of accumulated amortization of \$886 in 2008	5,282	—
Acquired customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of \$644 in 2008	15,088	—
Acquired above market leases, net of accumulated amortization of \$748 in 2008 and \$516 in 2007	811	1,033
Acquired in place lease value and deferred leasing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$23,573 in 2008 and \$17,739 in 2007	17,472	20,359
Acquired ground leases, net of accumulated amortization of \$389 in 2008 and \$217 in 2007	3,173	3,021
Acquired property management contracts, net of accumulated amortization of \$425 in 2008 and \$256 in 2007	1,673	1,841
Total amortizing intangible assets, net	\$ 45,363	\$ 26,254

Amortization expense related to intangibles for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, was \$19.1 million, \$6.8 million, and \$10.1 million, respectively. The Company expects to recognize amortization expense from the acquired intangible assets of \$13.7 million, \$7.1 million, \$5.4 million, \$4.1 million, and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively, and \$12.4 million thereafter. Goodwill, trade names and trademarks are not amortized and are associated with the Design-Build and Development segment.

The Company performed an annual review of goodwill for impairment as of December 31, 2008 and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill. The Company also performed an annual review for impairment for other non-amortized intangible assets and also concluded there was no impairment. The Company's methodology to review goodwill impairment, which includes a significant amount of judgment and estimates, provides a reasonable basis to determine whether impairment has occurred. However, many of the factors employed in determining whether or not goodwill is impaired are outside of the Company's control and it is reasonably likely that assumptions and estimates will change in future periods. These changes can result in future impairments.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The goodwill impairment review involved a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. Fair value was estimated by using two approaches, an income approach and a market approach. Each approach was weighted 50% in the Company's analysis. The income approach uses the reporting unit's projected operating results and discounted cash flows using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions, which was 14.5% for the December 31, 2008 review. The cash flow projections use estimates of economic and market information over the projection period, including growth rates in revenues and costs and estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and cash expenditures. Other significant estimates and assumptions include terminal value growth rates, future estimates of capital expenditures, and changes in future working capital requirements. The market approach estimates fair value by applying cash flow multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and profitability characteristics. Additionally, the Company reconciled the total of the estimated fair values of all its reporting units to its market capitalization to determine if the sum of the individual fair values is reasonable compared to the external market indicators.

If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, then an indication of impairment may exist and a second step must be performed to measure the amount of impairment. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill calculated in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, then an impairment charge for the difference would be recorded.

For non-amortizing intangible assets, the Company estimates fair value by applying an estimated market royalty rate, 2.5% for the December 31, 2008 review, to projected revenues and discounted using a weighted-average cost of capital that reflects current market conditions, which was 14.5% for the December 31, 2008 review.

The Company's impairment review as of December 31, 2008 did not result in goodwill impairment. However, if market and economic conditions deteriorate and cause (1) declines in the Company's stock price, (2) increases the estimated weighted-average cost of capital, (3) changes in cash flow multiples or projections, or (4) changes in other inputs to goodwill assessment estimates, then a goodwill impairment review may be required prior to the Company's next annual test. It is reasonably possible that changes in the numerous variables associated with the judgments, assumptions, and estimates could cause the goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets to become impaired. If goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets are impaired, the Company would be required to record a non-cash charge that could have a material adverse affect on its consolidated financial statements.

The reporting unit with goodwill has a fair value in excess of carrying value of approximately 4% and the non-amortizing intangible assets have a fair value in excess of carrying value of approximately 13%. It is possible that changes in the numerous variables associated with the judgments, assumptions, and estimates could cause the goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets to become impaired. If goodwill or non-amortizing intangible assets are impaired, the Company would be required to record a non-cash charge that could have a material adverse affect on its consolidated financial statements, but would not have any adverse effect on the covenant calculations of the Credit Facility (defined in Note 9) or Term Loan (defined in Note 9) or overall compliance with these covenants.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 9. Debt

Debt consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Outstanding at December 31, 2008	Outstanding at December 31, 2007	Stated Interest Rate	Interest Rate at December 31, 2008	Maturity Date	Amortization
Fixed rate secured mortgage loans - wholly-owned properties						
Baptist Northwest Medical Park	\$ 2,190	\$ 2,245	8.25%	8.25%	2/1/2011	25 years
Barclay Downs Associates, LLC	4,470	4,550	6.50	6.50	11/15/2012	25 years
Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC	4,890	5,023	LIBOR + 1.85	5.65(1)	8/18/2011	39 years
Cogdell Investors (Birkdale), LLC	—	7,490	6.75	—	10/1/2008	25 years
Central New York Medical Center	24,500	24,500	6.22	6.22	7/15/2017	Interest only
East Jefferson Medical Office Building LP	9,188	9,394	6.01	6.01	8/10/2014	25 years
East Jefferson Medical Plaza	11,600	—	LIBOR + 3.75	5.55(1)	1/31/2012	Interest only
Hanover MOB, LLC	4,818	4,952	6.00	6.00	11/1/2009	25 years
Healthpark Medical Office Building	8,700	8,700	5.35	5.35	1/1/2010	Interest only
Indianapolis MOB, LLC	30,000	30,000	LIBOR + 1.30	6.25(1)	10/31/2009	Interest only
Medical Arts Center of Orangeburg, GP	2,442	2,536	7.00	7.00	2/15/2009	20 years
Medical Investors I, LLC	—	8,632	LIBOR + 1.85	—	3/10/2008	25 years
Mulberry Medical Park LP	989	1,050	6.49	6.49	4/15/2010	20 years
One Medical Park - HMOB	5,324	5,540	5.93	5.93	11/1/2013	20 years
Parkridge MOB, LLC	13,500	13,500	5.68	5.68	6/1/2017	Interest only(4)
Peerless Medical Center	7,449	7,538	6.06	6.06	9/1/2016	30 years
Providence Medical Office Building, LLC	8,572	8,802	6.12	6.12	1/12/2013	25 years

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

River Hills Medical Plaza	4,000	2,973	LIBOR + 3.75	5.53(1)	12/22/2011	22 years
Rocky Mount Kidney Center LP	1,032	1,071	6.25	6.25	4/21/2009	20 years
Roper MOB, LLC	9,188	9,534	LIBOR + 1.50	6.45(1)	7/10/2009	18 years
Rowan OSC Investors, LLC	3,322	3,401	6.00	6.00	7/6/2014	25 years
St. Francis CMOB, St. Francis MOB	7,053	7,144	LIBOR + 1.85	5.17(1)	6/15/2011	39 years
St. Francis Medical Plaza, St. Francis Women's Center	7,575	7,673	LIBOR + 1.85	5.17(1)	6/15/2011	39 years
Summit Professional Plaza I and II	15,925	15,925	6.18	6.18	9/1/2017	Interest only
Three Medical Park	7,879	8,073	5.55	5.55	3/25/2014	25 years
Total / weighted average fixed rate secured mortgages	194,606	200,246		5.98		
Variable rate secured mortgage loans - wholly-owned properties						
Cabarrus Medical Partners, LLC	8,505	8,726	LIBOR + 1.50	3.25(2)	12/15/2014	25 years
Rocky Mount Medical Park	7,306	7,710	Prime	4.25(3)	12/15/2010	25 years
Total / weighted average variable rate secured mortgages	15,811	16,436		3.71		
Secured revolving credit facility	94,500	49,200	LIBOR + 1.15	1.59	3/10/2011	Interest only
	30,000	30,000	LIBOR + 1.15	4.26(1)	3/10/2011	Interest only
	124,500	79,200		2.23		
Term loan	100,000		LIBOR — + 3.50	6.32(1)	3/10/2011	Interest only
Consolidated real estate partnerships						
Cogdell Health Campus MOB, LP	10,843	8,833	LIBOR + 1.20	5.23(1)	3/2/2015	25 years
Genesis Property Holding, LLC (construction loan)	1,702		LIBOR — + 1.50	1.94	9/26/2018	Interest only
Mebane Medical Investors, LLC (construction)	12,200	7,499	LIBOR + 1.30	1.74	5/1/2010	Interest only(5)

loan)						
Rocky Mount MOB, LLC	5,362	4,161	6.03	6.03	3/1/2012	25 years
Total / weighted average consolidated real estate partnerships	30,107 465,024	20,493 316,375		3.77		
Unamortized premium	212	329				
Total / weighted average rate	\$ 465,236	\$ 316,704		4.83%		

- 
- (1) Represents the fixed rate for floating rate loans that have been swapped to fixed.
  - (2) Maximum interest of 8.25%; Minimum interest of 3.25%.
  - (3) Maximum interest of 7.25%; Minimum interest of 4.25%.
  - (4) Interest only through June 2012. Principal and interest payments from July 2012 through June 2017 with a 25 year amortization.
  - (5) Interest only through May 2009. Principal and interest payments from June 2009 through May 2010 with a 30 year amortization.

The LIBOR rate was 0.44% and 4.60% at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The prime rate was 3.25% and 7.25% at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On March 10, 2008, the Company amended and restated its existing revolving credit facility, dated November 1, 2005, among the Company, the Operating Partnership, Bank of America, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc., Branch Banking and Trust Company, Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and other lenders (the "Credit Facility"). Banc of America Securities LLC is acting as sole lead arranger and sole book manager of the Credit Facility. KeyBank National Association is acting as syndication agent. Branch Banking and Trust Company and Wachovia Bank, N.A are acting as co-documentation agents. Bank of America, N.A., KeyBank National Association, Branch Banking and Trust Company, Wachovia Bank, National Association, M&I Marshall and Ilsley Bank, and Citicorp North America, Inc. are lenders (the "Lenders") thereunder. The Credit Facility is secured by certain of the Company's properties and is guaranteed by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. The Credit Facility matures on March 10, 2011, subject to a one-year extension at the Company's option conditioned upon the Lenders being satisfied with the Company and its subsidiaries' financial condition and liquidity, and taking into consideration any payment, extension or refinancing of the Term Loan (as described below). The Credit Facility is cross defaulted against the Term Loan. The Company is subject to customary covenants including, but not limited to, (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the NYSE, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates, and (3) financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances issued after the closing date). The interest rate on loans under the Credit Facility equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR (0.44% as of December 31, 2008) plus a margin of between 95 to 140 basis points based on the Company's leverage ratio or (2) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or Bank of America, N.A.'s prime rate (3.25% as of December 31, 2008).

As of December 31, 2008, there was \$17.5 million available under the Credit Facility. There was \$124.5 million outstanding at December 31, 2008 and \$8.0 million of availability was restricted related to outstanding letters of credit.

Term Loan

The Company, through Erdman, has \$100.0 million outstanding under a \$100.0 million senior secured term facility (the "Term Loan") to finance the cash portion of the Merger. Keybank Capital Markets is acting as sole lead arranger and sole book manager of the Term Loan. Bank of America, N.A. is acting as syndication agent. Branch Banking and Trust Company and Wachovia Bank, N.A are acting as co-documentation agents. KeyBank National Association, Bank of America, N.A., Branch Banking and Trust Company, Wachovia Bank, National Association, M&I Marshall and Ilsley Bank, and Citicorp North America, Inc. are lenders thereunder. The Term Loan is secured by the stock and certain accounts receivable of Erdman and is guaranteed by the Company. The Term Loan matures on March 10, 2011, and is subject to a one-time right to a one-year extension at the Company's option (and the payment of an extension fee). The Term Loan contains customary covenants including, but not limited to, (1) affirmative covenants relating to the Company's corporate structure and ownership, maintenance of insurance, compliance with environmental laws and preparation of environmental reports, maintenance of the Company's REIT qualification and listing on the New York Stock Exchange, (2) negative covenants relating to restrictions on liens, indebtedness, certain investments (including loans and certain advances), mergers and other fundamental changes, sales and other

dispositions of property or assets and transactions with affiliates, and (3) financial covenants to be met by the Company at all times under the guaranty including a maximum total leverage ratio (70%), maximum real estate leverage ratio (70%), minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (1.50 to 1.00), maximum total debt to real estate value ratio (90%) and minimum consolidated tangible net worth (\$45 million plus 85% of the net proceeds of equity issuances), as well as being cross defaulted to the Company's Credit Facility. The Term Loan has financial covenants relating only to Erdman including a minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, of \$22.5 million, maximum consolidated senior indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (4.25 to 1.00 as of December 31, 2008, decreases to 3.75 to 1.00 on July 1, 2009), maximum consolidated total indebtedness to adjusted consolidated EBITDA (5.50 to 1.00), and minimum adjusted consolidated EBITDA to consolidated fixed charges (2.00 to 1.00).



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The interest rate on loans under the Term Loan equals, at the Company's election, either (1) LIBOR (0.44% as of December 31, 2008) plus a margin of between 300 to 350 basis points based on certain Erdman performance ratios or (2) (i) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 50 basis points or KeyBank National Association's prime rate (ii) plus a margin of between 300 to 350 basis points based on certain Erdman performance ratios (3.25% as of December 31, 2008).

#### Construction Financing

Genesis Property Holding, LLC, a consolidated real estate partnership, has construction financing related to the Pensacola, Florida, medical office building and cancer center project. The financing provides for an amount up to \$16.8 million and for a total term of ten years, inclusive of an 18-month construction period. The loan calls for payments of interest-only during the construction period at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.50% (1.94% as of December 31, 2008). After the construction period, the loan converts to an amortizing loan with monthly payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule. The Company has entered into a forward starting interest rate swap agreement that effectively fixes the interest rate at 6.21% after the construction period through maturity. The loan matures September 2018.

Mebane Medical Investors, LLC, a consolidated real estate partnership, has construction financing related to the Mebane Medical Office Building project. The credit facility provides financing of up to \$13.0 million with an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.30% (1.74% as of December 31, 2008). The mortgage note payable will mature in May 2010 and provides for interest-only payments through May 2009 and principal payments based on a 30-year amortization from June 2009 through the maturity date in May 2010. This facility has two one-year extension options. As of December 31, 2008, there was a total of \$12.2 million drawn on the facility.

#### Mortgage Notes Payable

In July 2008, the Company refinanced the mortgage note payable for Rocky Mount MOB, LLC, a consolidated real estate partnership. Terms of the note payable require monthly payments of approximately \$35,000 through March 2012, at which time the remaining principal is due. The note payable has a fixed interest rate of 6.03%. The principal balance was increased by \$1.3 million to \$5.4 million.

In September 2008, the Company repaid the remaining principal balance of \$8.6 million on the mortgage note payable for Medical Investors I, LLC.

In October 2008, the Company repaid the remaining principal balance of \$7.4 million on the mortgage note payable for Birkdale Medical Village.

In December 2008, the Company placed a mortgage on the previously unencumbered East Jefferson Medical Plaza, LLC. The \$11.6 million note payable requires interest-only payments through January 2012 at an interest rate that has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.55%.

In December 2008, the Company refinanced the mortgage note payable for River Hills Medical Associates, LLC. Terms of the note payable require monthly payments of principal and interest through December 2011, at which time the remaining principal is due. The note payable has an interest rate that has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.53%. As a result of the refinancing, the principal balance was increased by \$1.1 million to \$4.0 million.



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The mortgages are collateralized by property and principal and interest payments are generally made monthly. Scheduled maturities of mortgages, notes payable under the Credit Facility, and Term Loan as of December 31, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

For the year ending:

2009	\$ 50,577
2010	31,708
2011	250,898
2012	23,137
2013	13,861
Thereafter	94,843
	\$ 465,024

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$50.6 million of principal and maturity payments due in 2009 related to mortgage note payables. Of this \$50.6 million, \$30.0 million can be extended for one two-year period at the Company's conditional option. The Company believes it will be able to refinance the 2009 balloon maturities as a result of the current loan to value ratios at individual properties and preliminary discussions with lenders. In addition, the Company has \$52.2 million combined cash and cash equivalents and Credit Facility availability as of December 31, 2008, which exceeds the principal and maturity payments due in 2009.

At December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all its loan covenants.

#### 10. Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest rate swap agreements are utilized to reduce exposure to variable interest rates associated with certain mortgage notes payable and credit facilities. These agreements involve an exchange of fixed and floating interest payments without the exchange of the underlying principal amount (the "notional amount"). The interest rate swap agreements are reported at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet within "Other assets" or "Other liabilities" and changes in the fair value, net of tax where applicable, are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) exclusive of ineffectiveness amounts. The following table summarizes the terms of the agreements and their fair values at December 31, 2008 and 2007 (dollars in thousands):

Entity	Notional Amount as of December 31, 2008	Receive Rate	Pay Rate	Effective Date	Expiration Date	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
						Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Genesis Property Holdings, LLC	\$ 16,797	1 Month LIBOR	4.71%	4/1/2010	10/1/2018	\$ —	\$ 2,365	\$ —	\$ —
Cogdell Health Campus MOB, LP	10,970	1 Month LIBOR	4.03%	3/14/2008	3/2/2015	—	1,064	—	—
River Hills Medical Associates, LLC	3,971	1 Month LIBOR	1.78%	1/15/2009	1/31/2012		25	—	—

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

East Jefferson Medical Plaza	11,600	1 Month LIBOR	1.80%	1/15/2009	12/23/2011	—	81	—	—
Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC	4,890	1 Month LIBOR	3.80%	8/18/2008	8/18/2011	—	296	—	—
St. Francis Community MOB, LLC	7,053	1 Month LIBOR	3.32%	10/15/2008	6/15/2011	—	331	—	—
St. Francis Medical Plaza, LLC	7,575	1 Month LIBOR	3.32%	10/15/2008	6/15/2011	—	356	—	—
Cogdell Spencer LP	30,000	1 Month LIBOR	3.11%	10/15/2008	3/10/2011	—	1,218	—	—
MEA Holdings, LLC	100,000	1 Month LIBOR	2.82%	4/1/2008	3/1/2011	—	3,458	—	—
Indianapolis MOB LLC	30,000	1 Month LIBOR	4.95%	11/2/2006	10/31/2009	—	1,106	—	689
Roper MOB, LLC	9,188	1 Month LIBOR	4.95%	11/2/2006	7/10/2009	—	221	—	173
River Hills Medical Associates, LLC	2,896	1 Month LIBOR	4.97%	11/2/2006	12/15/2008	—	—	—	29
Cogdell Spencer LP	3,000	1 Month LIBOR	5.06%	8/14/2007	10/31/2008	—	—	—	27
Cogdell Spencer LP	27,000	1 Month LIBOR	5.06%	8/20/2007	10/31/2008	—	—	—	242
Beaufort Medical Plaza, LLC	4,855	1 Month LIBOR	5.01%	10/25/2006	7/25/2008	—	—	—	23
St. Francis Community MOB, LLC	—	1 Month LIBOR	5.11%	9/18/2007	6/15/2008	—	—	—	25
St. Francis Medical Plaza, LLC	—	1 Month LIBOR	5.11%	9/18/2007	6/15/2008	—	—	—	27
						\$	—\$ 10,521	\$	—\$ 1,235

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”) for financial assets and liabilities. As permitted by FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No 157,” the Company elected to defer the adoption of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and also expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SFAS 157 utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities we have the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices observable for the asset or liability. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

To obtain fair values, observable market prices are used if available. In some instances, observable market prices are not readily available for certain financial instruments and fair value is determined using present value or other techniques appropriate for a particular financial instrument. These techniques involve some degree of judgment and as a result are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize in a current market exchange. The use of different assumptions or estimation techniques may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The following table presents information about the Company's liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2008, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements as of			
	December 31, 2008			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Liabilities:				
Derivative financial instruments	\$(10,521)	\$ —	\$(10,521)	\$ —

The valuation of derivative financial instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The fair values of variable to fixed interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates forward curves derived from observable market interest rate curves. To comply with the provisions of SFAS 157, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

#### 11. Commitments and Contingencies

##### Construction in Progress

Construction in progress at December 31, 2008, consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Property	Location	Estimated Completion Date	Net Rentable Square Feet	Investment to Date	Estimated Total Investment
St. Luke's Riverside MOB	Bethlehem, PA	2nd Half 2010	80,000	\$ 1,240	\$ 16,000
The Woodlands Center (1) Medical Center Physicians Tower	Pensacola, FL	4Q 2009	76,000	8,969	24,769
Land and pre-construction developments	Jackson, TN	1Q 2010	107,000	416	21,100
			—	4,689	—
			263,000	\$ 15,314	\$ 61,869

(1) Owned by Genesis Property Holding, LLC, which is a consolidated real estate partnership.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has remaining purchase commitments totaling \$11.6 million and \$0.8 million to be paid during 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Operating Leases

The Company makes payments under operating lease agreements relating to building leases, equipment leases, and ground leases related to many of the Company's properties. Future minimum lease commitments under these leases are as follows:

For the year ending:	
2009	\$ 5,531
2010	4,630
2011	4,171
2012	3,871
2013	3,283
Thereafter	34,158
	\$ 55,644

Many of the ground leases effectively limit the Company's control over various aspects of the operation of the applicable building, restrict the Company's ability to transfer the building and allow the lessor the right of first refusal to purchase the building and improvements. All the ground leases provide for the property to revert to the lessor for no consideration upon the expiration of the ground lease. At December 31, 2008, the Company holds ground leases that are due to expire between the years 2019 and 2062.

Tax Protection Agreements

In connection with the formation of the Operation Partnership, the Company entered into a tax protection agreement with the former owners of each contributed property who received Operating Partnership units.

Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of the properties (each a "protected asset") or any interest in a protected asset prior to the eighth anniversary of the Company's initial public offering, which was in 2005, unless:

1. a majority-in-interest of the former holders of interests in the predecessor partnerships or contributing entities (or their successors, which may include the Company to the extent any OP units have been redeemed or exchanged) with respect to such protected asset consent to the sale, transfer or other disposition; provided, however, with respect to three of the predecessor entities, Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC, the required consent shall be a majority-in-interest of the beneficial owners of interests in the predecessor entities other than Messrs. Cogdell and Spencer and their affiliates; or

2. the Operating Partnership delivers to each such holder of interests, a cash payment intended to approximate the holder's tax liability related to the recognition of such holder's built-in gain resulting from the sale of such protected asset; or

3. the sale, transfer or other disposition would not result in the recognition of any built-in gain by any such holder of interests.





COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Litigation

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain with certainty the ultimate outcome of such matters, in management's opinion, the liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts provided or covered by insurance, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

### Indemnities

At times the Company may be obligated per agreement to indemnify another party with respect to certain matters. Typically, these obligations arise in contracts into which the Company enters, under which it customarily agrees to hold the other party harmless against certain losses arising from breaches of representations, warranties and/or covenants related to such matters as, among others, title to assets, specified environmental matters, qualification to do business, due organization, non-compliance with restrictive covenants, laws, rules and regulations, maintenance of insurance and payment of tax bills due and owing. Additionally, with respect to office lease agreements that are entered into as landlord, the Company may also indemnify the other party against damages caused by its willful misconduct or negligence associated with the operation and management of the building. Although no assurances with certainty can be made, it is believed that if the Company were to incur a loss in any of these matters, such loss would not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations. Historically, payments made with regard to these agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

### Employees

The Company has employment agreements with its executive officers, the terms of which expire at various times through 2013. Such agreements, which have been revised from time to time, provide for salary amounts and cash and equity incentive bonuses that are payable if specified annual management goals or project completions are attained. The aggregate commitment for future salaries at December 31, 2008, excluding bonuses, was approximately \$6.2 million.

In December 2008, the Company implemented a reduction in force plan and approximately 115 jobs were eliminated in January 2009. In December 2008, the company recorded an accrued restructuring and severance expense of approximately \$1.5 million related to this plan having met the criteria of SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." The expense has been recorded to selling, general, and administrative expense.

## 12. Stockholders Equity

### Shares and Units

An OP Unit and a share of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. An Operating Partnership unit may be redeemed for cash, or, at the Company's option, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

LTIP units are a special class of partnership interests in the Operating Partnership. Each LTIP unit awarded will be deemed equivalent to an award of one common share under the 2005 long-term stock incentive plan, reducing the availability for other equity awards on a one-for-one basis. The vesting period for LTIP units, if any, will be determined at the time of issuance. Cash distributions on each LTIP unit, whether vested or not, will be the same as those made on the OP Units. Under the terms of the LTIP units, the Operating Partnership will revalue for tax purposes its assets upon the occurrence of certain specified events, and any increase in valuation from the time of grant until such event will be allocated first to the holders of LTIP units to equalize the capital accounts of such holders with the capital accounts of OP unitholders. Once vested, LTIP units are convertible into OP units in the Operating Partnership on a one for one basis.

#### Dividends and Distributions

During 2008, the Company paid four quarterly distributions of \$0.35 per share or unit for the period ending, totaling \$1.40 per share or unit for the year. These quarterly distributions were paid in January, April, July, and October 2008. Total dividends paid to common stockholders during 2008 were \$21.1 million and total distributions paid to OP Unitholders, excluding inter-company distributions, totaled \$12.0 million.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On December 29, 2008, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.225 per share and operating partnership unit that was paid in cash on January 30, 2009 to holders of record on January 14, 2009.

Earnings and profits, which determine the tax treatment of distributions to stockholders, will differ from income reported for financial reporting purposes due to the differences for federal income tax purposes in the treatment of loss on extinguishment of debt, revenue recognition, compensation expense and in the basis of depreciable assets and estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation.

13. Minority Interests

Minority interests in the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$87.8 million and \$44.8 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, there were 26.9 million OP Units outstanding, of which 17.7 million, or 65.7%, were owned by the Company and 9.2 million, or 34.3%, were owned by other partners, including certain directors, officers and other members of senior management. As of December 31, 2008, the fair market value of the OP Units not owned by the Company was \$86.5 million, based on a market value of \$9.36 per unit, which was closing stock price of the Company's shares on December 31, 2008.

During 2008, 46,231 Operating Partnership units were redeemed by unitholders. The Company, through the Operating Partnership, redeemed the units for cash payments, at the Company's election, totaling \$0.8 million. The per unit redemption prices ranged from \$8.78 to \$18.64, based on the preceding 10 day average of the Company's Common Stock price per share.

During 2008, 136,408 Operating Partnership units were converted to common stock by unitholders at the Company's election.

During 2007, 210,366 Operating Partnership units were redeemed by unitholders. The Company, through the Operating Partnership, redeemed the units for cash payments, at the Company's election, totaling \$4.3 million. The per unit redemption prices ranged from \$17.68 to \$22.22, based on the preceding 10 day average of the Company's Common Stock price per share.

Minority interests in real estate partnerships at December 31, 2008 and 2007, relate to Rocky Mount MOB, LLC, Cogdell General Health Campus, LP, Genesis Property Holding, LLC, and Mebane Medical Investors, LLC.

14. Income Taxes

Erdman, CSA LLC, Consera, and their subsidiaries are taxable entities and their consolidated provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Current:			
Federal	\$ 3,703	\$ 123	\$ 104
State	710	24	16
Total current	4,413	147	120

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

Deferred:			
Federal	(4,765)	(25)	(11)
State	(892)	(5)	(2)
Total deferred	(5,657)	(30)	(13)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (1,244)	\$ 117	\$ 107

90

---

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The effective income tax rate is 39.3%, 39.0%, and 39.4% for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. The actual tax provision differed from that computed at the federal statutory corporate rate as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Federal tax (benefit) at 35% statutory rate	\$ (1,109)	\$ 105	\$ 95
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(71)	12	13
Other, net	(64)	—	(1)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (1,244)	\$ 117	\$ 107

The income tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred interest expense	\$ 1,094	\$ —
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap agreement	1,349	—
Accrued expenses and other items	4,121	—
Total deferred tax assets	6,564	—
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Intangibles	(39,993)	(217)
Other items	(747)	—
Total deferred tax liabilities	(40,740)	(217)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (34,176)	\$ (217)

At December 31, 2008, there was \$1.1 million of deferred tax assets related to inter-company interest expense carry forward, which has an unlimited carry forward period. No valuation allowance has been recorded against the deferred tax assets because the Company believes that these deferred tax assets will, more likely than not, be realized. This determination is based largely upon the TRSs' anticipated future taxable income, as well as the availability of taxable income in the carry back period and the reversal of deferred tax liabilities.

There was an insignificant amount of penalties or interest recorded during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The Company and the TRSs have an insignificant amount of unrecognized tax benefits. For tax years 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, the United States federal and state tax returns are open for examination.

#### 15. Incentive and Share-Based Compensation

The Company's 2005 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan ("2005 Incentive Plan") provides for the grant of incentive awards to employees, directors and consultants to attract and retain qualified individuals and reward them for superior performance in achieving the Company's business goals and enhancing stockholder value. Awards issuable under the incentive award plan include stock options, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock appreciation rights, long-term incentive plan units ("LTIP"), cash performance bonuses and other incentive awards. Only employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options under the incentive award plan. The Company has reserved a total of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the incentive award plan, subject to certain adjustments set forth in the plan.

Each LTIP issued under the incentive award plan will count as one share of stock for purposes of calculating the limit on shares that may be issued under the plan and the individual award limit discussed below.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In February 2008, each non-employee member of the Company's Board of Directors was granted shares of restricted stock or LTIP units in the Operating Partnership that all vested upon issuance. Messrs. Georgius and Lee and Dr. Smoak were each granted 3,135 LTIP units and Messrs. Jennings and Neugent were each granted 3,135 shares of restricted stock. The restricted stock and LTIP units were valued at \$15.00 per share, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company has recorded compensation expense of \$0.2 million.

In March 2008, the Company issued an aggregate 156,740 LTIP units, of which 20%, or 31,347, vested upon issuance. The remaining 80% will vest if, and when, the Company achieves certain performance standards as provided in the awards. The one time award was granted in recognition of the role played by certain employees in guiding the Company through the Merger. The LTIP units were valued at \$15.72 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.5 million in March 2008 related to the 20% of the grant that vested. The value of the unvested LTIP units was \$2.0 million. Any portions of the unvested LTIP units that are not vested as of December 2015 are forfeited. During 2008, 7,524 LTIP units were forfeited due to a termination of employment. The Company has assessed the probability of the performance conditions being achieved and as a result has accrued and expensed \$0.1 million related to expected vesting associated with 2008 Company performance.

In May 2008, Mr. Cogdell, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company elected to forego his remaining 2008 annual salary. In lieu of receiving his annual salary, Mr. Cogdell was awarded 18,579 LTIP units in the Operating Partnership, under the Company's 2005 equity incentive plan. The LTIP units were valued at \$17.62 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.3 million.

In July 2008, the Company issued an aggregate of 5,153 LTIP units, all of which vested upon issuance, to certain employees based on specific performance goals. The LTIP units were valued at \$15.72 per unit, which was the Company's closing stock price on the grant date. The Company recorded compensation expense of less than \$0.1 million and capitalized \$0.1 million as a development project cost.

During 2007, the Company issued 2,500 vested LTIP units. The LTIP units were valued at \$21.07 per unit and \$0.1 million of compensation expense was recorded.

During 2006, the Company issued 4,000 shares of restricted stock that will vest 25% on January 1, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively. The restricted stock was valued at \$20.75 per share and the compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. The Company recorded compensation expense of less than \$0.1 million in 2008 and will record compensation expense of less than \$0.1 million in 2009.

No other stock options, dividend equivalents, or stock appreciation rights were issued or outstanding in 2008, 2007, and 2006.

The following is a summary of restricted stock and LTIP unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2008 (in thousands, except per share and unit amounts):

	2008	
Restricted Stock	LTIP Unit	Weighted Average Grant Price

Unvested balance at beginning of the period	19	-	\$	17.59
Granted	6	191		15.87
Vested	(13)	(65)		(16.26)
Forfeited	-	(8)		(15.72)
Unvested balance at end of the period	12	118	\$	15.89

#### 16. 401(k) Savings Plans

Cogdell Spencer LP sponsors a 401(k) plan covering substantially all of its employees. The plan provides for matching as well as profit-sharing contributions. Profit-sharing contributions are made at the discretion of management and are allocated to participants based on their level of compensation. Profit-sharing contributions were not paid in 2008, 2007, or 2006. The Company matched 100% of the employees' contributions to the plan up to a maximum of 4% of compensation in 2008, 2007, or 2006. The 401(k) matching expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 was approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Erdman sponsors a 401(k)/profit sharing plan covering substantially all salaried employees. Erdman's contribution to the plan is determined annually by management and is limited, by law, to 25% of the annual aggregate compensation of those employees eligible for participation in the plan. The total expense charged to "Costs related to contract and other revenues" and "Selling, general, and administrative expenses" for this plan for the year ended December 31, 2008 was approximately \$2.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.



COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 17. Related Party Transactions

The Fork Farm, a working farm owned by the Company's Chairman and founder, periodically hosts events on behalf of the Company. Charges of less than \$25,000 for each year ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 are reflected in "Selling, general, and administrative" expenses in the consolidated statement of operations.

The Company has certain design-build contracts for the construction of medical facilities with certain entities in which Mr. Lubar, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, has an indirect ownership interest and is a director. Mr. Lubar resigned as an officer of the entity in 2008. The total contract amount is \$30.4 million and construction was in process at the time of the Erdman transaction. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized \$16.0 million of revenue and as of December 31, 2008, had accounts receivable of \$2.1 million and billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts of \$2.1 million.

## 18. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

The tables below reflect the Company's selected quarterly information for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	December 31, 2008	Three Months Ended		
		September 30, 2008	June 30, 2008	March 31, 2008
Total revenue	\$ 99,972	\$ 94,019	\$ 98,266	\$ 43,483
Net loss	\$ (1,042)	\$ (1,086)	\$ (1,843)	\$ (1,802)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.13)
Weighted average shares - basic and diluted	17,557	15,747	15,393	14,364

	December 31, 2007	Three Months Ended		
		September 30, 2007	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007
Total revenue	\$ 18,563	\$ 17,298	\$ 15,471	\$ 15,489
Net loss	\$ (1,335)	\$ (1,602)	\$ (1,491)	\$ (1,913)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.23)
Weighted average shares - basic and diluted	11,935	11,934	11,931	8,334

## COGDELL SPENCER INC.

SCHEDULE III  
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
(in thousands)

Property Name	Location	Encumbrance	Land	Initial Costs		Gross Amount at Which Carried at		Total Accumulated	Date Constructed	
				Capitalized	Subsequent	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2008			
				Building and Improvements or	Land	Building and Improvements	(B) Depreciation	(C)		
			(A)	(A) Development	(A)	(A)	(B) Depreciation	(C)		
Professional Building I	California	(H)	\$ 1,218	\$ 8,228	\$ 1,032	\$ 1,226	\$ 9,252	\$ 10,478	\$ 1,545	1972
Professional Building II	California	(H)	3,531	8,915	968	3,555	9,859	13,414	1,302	1987
MOB I	Georgia	(H)	259	8,431	955	260	9,385	9,645	2,008	1978
MOB II	Georgia	(H)	602	10,646	713	605	11,356	11,961	2,240	1987
MOB III	Georgia	(H)	339	3,986	367	341	4,351	4,692	797	1994
MOB IV	Georgia	(H)	551	4,672	488	554	5,157	5,711	989	1995
Professional Plaza I	Georgia	\$ 5,096	1,180	6,021	8	1,181	6,028	7,209	302	2004
Professional Plaza II	Georgia	10,829	2,000	12,684	174	2,001	12,857	14,858	571	1998
Professional Center One	Indiana	30,000	—	37,830	1,957	—	39,787	39,787	4,587	1985
of Bellefonte	Kentucky	(H)	—	13,938	166	—	14,104	14,104	2,155	1997
son Medical Office Building	Louisiana	9,188	—	12,239	445	—	12,684	12,684	2,289	1985
son Medical Plaza	Louisiana	11,600	—	16,525	—	—	16,525	16,525	522	1996
ew York Medical Center	New York	24,500	2,112	32,700	59	2,113	32,758	34,871	1,352	1997
owns	North Carolina	4,470	2,084	3,363	344	2,097	3,694	5,791	1,121	1987
Medical Village	North Carolina	—	1,087	5,829	119	1,095	5,940	7,035	1,091	1997
etail	North Carolina	(H)	142	992	28	142	1,020	1,162	188	2001
MOB	North Carolina	(H)	—	7,446	816	—	8,262	8,262	1,404	1997
nd Medical Mall	North Carolina	(D)	1,380	4,047	121	1,389	4,159	5,548	1,079	1989
nd MOB	North Carolina	(H)	—	9,281	1,563	—	10,844	10,844	1,701	2005
y Mount Kidney Center	North Carolina	(H)	260	1,194	15	261	1,208	1,469	258	2000
Professional Center	North Carolina	(H)	—	21,358	1,671	—	23,029	23,029	6,888	1997
Family Physicians Building	North Carolina	—	270	509	93	271	601	872	184	1996
Medical Mall	North Carolina	(D)	441	1,722	56	443	1,776	2,219	348	1997
Wakemont Family Practice Center	North Carolina	—	270	1,025	196	271	1,220	1,491	368	1998
crossing Medical Park	North Carolina	(H)	1,256	4,626	336	1,266	4,952	6,218	1,046	1997
Medical Park (I)	North Carolina	12,200	—	15,757	—	—	15,757	15,757	352	2008 (J)
Medical Mall	North Carolina	(D)	288	1,134	87	289	1,220	1,509	266	1998
Medical Park	North Carolina	989	—	2,283	128	—	2,411	2,411	670	1982
Family Medical Practice Building	North Carolina	—	270	498	148	271	645	916	188	1993
Medical Park	North Carolina	—	1,621	5,366	2,042	1,631	7,398	9,029	1,414	1973
unt Kidney Center	North Carolina	1,032	198	1,366	51	198	1,417	1,615	291	1990
unt Medical Park	North Carolina	7,306	982	9,854	422	990	10,268	11,258	2,821	1991
unt MOB (I)	North Carolina	5,362	228	4,990	50	228	5,040	5,268	1,622	2002
tpatient Surgery Center	North Carolina	3,322	399	4,666	39	401	4,703	5,104	724	2003

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

n Internal & Pediatric Medicine	North Carolina	(D)	489	838	17	491	853	1,344	191	2000
eneral Health Campus MOB (I)	Pennsylvania		10,843	—12,859	137	—12,996	12,996	1,045	2007 (J)	
Rehabilitation Hospital	Pennsylvania	(H)		—11,748	67	—11,815	11,815	585	2007 (J)	
ws	South Carolina	(H)		— 2,663	1,205	— 3,868	3,868	677	1994	
rthwest	South Carolina		2,190	398 2,534	1,291	1,574 2,649	4,223	521	1986	
Medical Plaza	South Carolina		4,890	— 7,399	141	— 7,540	7,540	1,013	1999	
orest Medical Plaza	South Carolina	(H)		— 7,416	160	— 7,576	7,576	664	2007 (J)	

## COGDELL SPENCER INC.

SCHEDULE III  
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
(in thousands)

Mary Black Westside	South Carolina	(H)	—	3,922	792	—	4,714	4,714
Medical Arts Center of Orangeburg	South Carolina		2,442	605	4,172	328	608	4,497
Mt. Pleasant MOB	South Carolina	(H)	—	3,320	171	—	3,491	3,491
One Medical Park - HMOB	South Carolina		5,324	—	8,767	962	—	9,729
Parkridge MOB	South Carolina		13,500	—	16,353	671	—	17,024
Providence MOB I	South Carolina	(E)	—	5,152	162	—	5,314	5,314
Providence MOB II	South Carolina	(E)	—	2,441	138	—	2,579	2,579
Providence MOB III	South Carolina	(E)	—	5,459	149	—	5,608	5,608
River Hills Medical Plaza	South Carolina		4,000	1,428	4,202	83	1,438	4,275
Roper MOB	South Carolina		9,188	—	11,586	1,740	—	13,326
St. Francis Community Medical Office Building	South Carolina	(F)	—	5,934	446	—	6,380	6,380
St. Francis Medical Plaza	South Carolina	(G)	—	8,007	374	—	8,381	8,381
St. Francis MOB	South Carolina	(F)	—	5,522	1,212	—	6,734	6,734
St. Francis Women's Center	South Carolina	(G)	—	7,352	520	—	7,872	7,872
Three Medical Park	South Carolina		7,879	—	10,405	1,663	—	12,068
West Medical I	South Carolina	(H)	—	3,792	1,596	—	5,388	5,388
Healthpark Medical Office Building	Tennessee		8,700	1,862	13,223	29	1,862	13,252
Peerless Medical Center	Tennessee		7,449	645	8,722	14	645	8,736
Hanover Medical Office Building	Virginia		4,818	970	9,890	1,068	976	10,952
St. Mary's MOB North	Virginia		—	—	3,880	95	—	3,975
Total		(K)	\$ 29,365	\$ 471,679	\$ 30,888	\$ 30,673	\$ 501,259	\$ 531,938

(A) - Includes building and improvements, site improvements, furniture, fixtures, and equipment and construction in progress.

(B) - The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was \$549.9 million as of December 31, 2008. Depreciable lives range from 3-50 years.

(C) - Represents the year in which the property was placed in service.

- (D) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$8.5 million at December 31, 2008.
- (E) - Collateral for fixed rate mortgage which had a balance of \$8.6 million at December 31, 2008.
- (F) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$7.1 million at December 31, 2008.
- (G) - Collateral for variable rate mortgage which had a balance of \$7.6 million at December 31, 2008.
- (H) - Collateral for the Credit Facility.
- (I) - A consolidated, less than 100% owned, real estate partnership.
- (J) - Represents development property, thus Date Acquired reflects the initiation of the first phase of construction and Date Constructed reflects when property began operations.
- (K) - Total mortgage notes payable on operational properties as of December 31, 2008, was \$230.3 million. In addition, the Company had construction financing with a balance of \$1.7 million as of December 31, 2008, related to Genesis Property Holding, LLC, a consolidated real estate partnership.

## COGDELL SPENCER INC.

SCHEDULE III  
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION  
(in thousands)

A summary of activity for real estate properties and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007	Year ended December 31, 2006
Real estate properties:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 486,279	\$ 361,982	\$ 260,137
Consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB, LLC	—	—	5,221
Property acquisitions	20,405	81,076	90,855
Development projects	15,757	32,096	—
Purchases of minority interests in Operating Partnership	121	2,488	573
Improvements	9,370	8,637	6,589
Disposition	—	—	(1,393)
Balance, end of period	\$ 531,932	\$ 486,279	\$ 361,982
Accumulated depreciation:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 44,596	\$ 23,664	\$ 2,713
Consolidation of Rocky Mount MOB, LLC	—	—	856
Depreciation	24,689	20,932	20,151
Disposition	—	—	(56)
Balance, end of period	\$ 69,285	\$ 44,596	\$ 23,664

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, the Company has investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As the Company does not control these entities, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those the Company maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, the Company concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management excluded from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting the internal controls of Erdman, which were acquired by the Company on March 10, 2008 and are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the period from the dates of acquisition through year end. Such exclusion was in accordance with SEC guidance that an assessment of a recently acquired business may be omitted in management's report on internal controls over financial reporting in the year of acquisition. Total assets of Erdman represented approximately 39% of the Company's consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2008, and approximately 76% of consolidated revenues for the year then ended.

The effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included below.



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Cogdell Spencer Inc.  
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Cogdell Spencer Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at MEA Holdings, Inc. (“Erdman”), which was acquired on March 10, 2008 and whose financial statements constitute approximately 39% of the Company’s consolidated total assets and approximately 76% of consolidated revenues of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Erdman. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina  
March 16, 2009

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during our most recent quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

None.

### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

As required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listing Company Manual, our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to the NYSE stating that he was not aware of any violation by our Company of the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. In addition, the Company has filed, as Exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2008.

#### Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Ethics, which applies to all employees, officers and directors, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, and is posted on the Company's website at [www.cogdellspencer.com](http://www.cogdellspencer.com). The Company intends to satisfy and disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on its Website at the address and location specified above.

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines and charters for its Audit Committee and Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee, each of which is posted on the Company's Web site.

Investors may obtain a free copy of the Code of Business Ethics, the Corporate Governance Guidelines or the committee charters by contacting Investor Relations, Cogdell Spencer Inc.,

4401 Barclay Downs Drive, Suite 300, Charlotte, North Carolina 28209, Attn: Dana Crothers or by telephoning (704) 940-2900.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2008.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2008 under the captions "Election of Directors — Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners" and "Security Ownership of Management."

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2008.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2008.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- 1.1 Underwriting Agreement dated September 10, 2008, by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP, Banc of America Securities LLC, KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 16, 2008.
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.2 First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cogdell Spencer LP., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Cogdell Spencer LP, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.4 Declaration of Trust of CS Business Trust I., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 3.5

Declaration of Trust of CS Business Trust II, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).

- 4.1 Form of stock certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.0 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).

- 10.1 Form of Registration Rights Agreement, by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc. and the parties listed on Schedule I thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
- 10.2 Form of 2005 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan. incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.3 Form of Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan Award for employees without employment agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.4 Form of Cogdell Spencer Inc. Performance Bonus Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.5 Merger Agreement for Cogdell Spencer Inc., CS Merger Sub LLC and Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc. dated August 9, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.6 Form of Indemnification Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.7 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and James W. Cogdell, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.8 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and Frank C. Spencer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.9 Employment Agreement, dated October 21, 2005, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and Charles M. Handy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.10 Engagement Letter from the Company to Realty Capital International Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.11 Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement by and among James W. Cogdell, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.12 Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement by and among Frank C. Spencer, Cogdell Spencer Advisors, Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.13 Form of Irrevocable Exchange and Subscription Agreement for all holders of interests in the Existing Entities, with the exclusion of James W. Cogdell and Frank C. Spencer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.14 Form of Tax Protection Agreement for Existing Entities, except for Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.15 Form of Tax Protection Agreement for Cabarrus POB, LLC, Medical Investors I, LLC and Medical Investors III, LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of

- the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.16 Form of Transaction Agreement by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP, the applicable Existing Entity and CS Merger Sub LLC., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.17 Commitment letter dated October 4, 2005, for \$100,000,000 senior unsecured revolving credit facility among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., and Citigroup North America, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.18 Form of Cogdell Spencer Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.19 Put Assignment Agreement dated August 11, 2005., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.20 Form of Consent and Election Form, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.21 Form of Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan Award for employees with employment agreements, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.22 Schedule to Exhibit 10.14 reflecting consideration to be received by Randolph D. Smoak, M.D. and Charles M. Handy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 10.23 Methodist Professional Center Purchase and Sale Agreement dated December 13, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- 10.24 Hanover Medical Office Building One and 1808/1818 Verdugo Boulevard Purchase and Sale Agreement dated March 1, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- 10.25 Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement and Waiver dated August 23, 2006, by and among Cogdell Spencer LP, Cogdell Spencer Inc., each subsidiary of Cogdell Spencer LP to the Guaranty, each lender signatory thereto and Bank of America, N.A., incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
- 10.26 Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement dated December 31, 2007, by and among Cogdell Spencer LP, Cogdell Spencer Inc., each subsidiary of Cogdell Spencer LP to the Guaranty, each lender signatory thereto and Bank of America, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

- 10.27 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 23, 2008, by and among Cogdell Spencer LP, Goldenboy Acquisitions Corp., MEA Holdings, Inc., Marshall Erdman & Associates, Inc., Marshall Erdman Development, LLC, David Pelisek, David Lubar and Scott Ransom, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008..
- 10.28 Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 10, 2008, by and among Cogdell Spencer LP, Goldenboy Acquisitions Corp., MEA Holdings, Inc., Marshall Erdman & Associates, Inc., Marshall Erdman Development, LLC, David Pelisek, David Lubar and Scott Ransom, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.29 Escrow Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, by and between Cogdell Spencer LP, David Pelisek, David Lubar, Scott Ransom and Mellon Investor Services LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.30 Exchange Agent Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, by and between Cogdell Spencer LP, David Pelisek, David Lubar, Scott Ransom and Mellon Investor Services LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.31 Voting Agreement, dated January 23, 2008, by and among the Shareholders (as defined therein) of MEA Holdings, Inc. for the benefit of Cogdell Spencer LP and Goldenboy Acquisitions Corp, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.32 Voting Agreement, dated January 23, 2008, by and among Baird Capital Partners III Limited Partnership, BCP III Affiliates Fund Limited Partnership, BCP III Special Affiliates Fund Limited Partnership, Lubar Capital LLC, James Cogdell and Frank Spencer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.33 Contributing Shareholders Voting Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, by and among James Cogdell, Frank Spencer, David Pelisek, David Lubar and Scott Ransom, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.34 Director Designation Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc. and David Lubar, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.35 Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc. and certain persons listed on Schedule I thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.36 Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 28, 2008, by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc. and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. on behalf of the persons listed on Schedule I thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.37 Guaranty Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, among each of the Guarantors named therein and Bank of America, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.38 Intercreditor Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, between Bank of America, N.A. and KeyBank National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of



Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

- the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.39 Credit Agreement, dated March 10, 2008, among Cogdell Spencer LP, Cogdell Spencer Inc., Bank of America, N.A., KeyBank National Association, Branch Banking & Trust Company, Wachovia Bank, National Association, each lender signatory thereto and Banc of America Securities LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.40 Employment Agreement, dated March 1, 2008, between Marshall Erdman & Associates, Inc. and Scott A. Ransom, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.41 Form of Contribution Agreement by and among Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and each of the persons set forth on Schedule 1 to Exhibit 10.15, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.42 Contribution Agreement Side Letter, dated March 10, 2008, by Cogdell Spencer Inc. and Cogdell Spencer LP delivered to David Pelisek, David Lubar and Scott Ransom, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.
- 10.43 Senior Secured Term Loan Agreement dated as of March 10, 2008 among Goldenboy Acquisition Corp, the Company, KeyBank National Association, current and future lenders, Bank of America, N.A., Wachovia Bank, National Association, Branch Banking and Trust Company and KeyBank Capital Markets, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- 10.44 Employment Agreement, dated December 1, 2008, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Charles M. Handy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 4, 2008.
- 10.45 Separation and Release Agreement, dated July 8, 2008, by and between Cogdell Spencer Inc., Cogdell Spencer LP and Heidi Wilson, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2008.
- 14.1 Code of Ethics, incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries of Cogdell Spencer Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-127396).
- 23.1 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.  
(1)
- 31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the  
(1) Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the  
(1) Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- 32.1 Certifications pursuant to Section 1350.  
(1)

(1) Filed herewith



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COGDELL SPENCER INC.  
Registrant

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Frank C. Spencer  
Frank C. Spencer  
President and Chief Executive  
Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Charles M. Handy  
Charles M. Handy  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ James W. Cogdell  
James W. Cogdell  
Chairman of the Board of  
Directors

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Frank C. Spencer  
Frank C. Spencer  
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Scott A. Ransom  
Scott A. Ransom  
President and Chief Executive Officer of Erdman

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ John R. Georgius  
John R. Georgius  
Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Richard B. Jennings  
Richard B. Jennings  
Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Christopher E. Lee  
Christopher E. Lee  
Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ David J. Lubar

Edgar Filing: Cogdell Spencer Inc. - Form 10-K

David J. Lubar  
Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Richard C. Neugent  
Richard C. Neugent  
Director

Date: March 16, 2009

/s/ Randolph D. Smoak  
Randolph D. Smoak, M.D.  
Director

103