

Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

CAMELOT CORP  
Form 10-K  
July 27, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011  
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Commission file number: 000-08299

CAMELOT CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

84-0691531  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

17 Sutton Way  
Washington Twp, NJ 07676  
(Address, including zip code of  
principal executive offices)

(201) 970-4987  
(Registrant's telephone number,  
including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:  
None

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:  
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 of Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (ss.229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ).\* \*The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (ss.232.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of October 31, 2010 was approximately \$194,469.

As of July 26, 2011, the registrant's outstanding common stock consisted of 2,006,528 shares.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Part I

	Page
	----
Item 1 Business	3
Item 1A Risk Factors	7
Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments	13
Item 2 Properties	13
Item 3 Legal Proceedings	13
Item 4 Removed and Reserved	13

#### Part II

Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	14
Item 6 Selected Financial Data	14
Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	16
Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	17
Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	28
Item 9A Controls and Procedures	28
Item 9B Other Information	29

#### Part III

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	31
Item 11 Executive Compensation	31
Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	32
Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	33
Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services	33

#### Part IV

Item 15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	33
Signatures	34

# Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

## PART I

### ITEM 1 BUSINESS

#### THE COMPANY

The Company was incorporated in Colorado on September 5, 1975, and completed a \$500,000 public offering of its common stock in March 1976. The Company made several acquisitions and divestments of businesses. The Company was delisted from NASDAQ's Small Cap Market on February 26, 1998. In July 1998 all employees of Camelot were terminated. Its directors and officers have since provided unpaid services on a part-time basis to the Company. Recently the Company has formulated a business plan to investigate the possibilities of a viable mineral deposit on 10 leased mining claims located in Esmeralda County, Nevada, USA.

On November 6, 2009, the Company's common stock was accepted for quotation, effective November 9, 2009, on the OTC Bulletin Board ("OTCBB").

On November 24, 2009, the Company filed with the SEC a current report on Form 8-K reporting a sale of a majority of the Company's common stock from Danny Wettreich to Jeffrey Rochlin, the resignation of Danny Wettreich as officer of the Company and the election of Jeffrey Rochlin as President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of the Company effective November 20, 2009.

On May 12, 2010, the sole director of the Company, Danny Wettreich, appointed Jeffrey Rochlin as a director of the Company. Concurrent with said appointment, Mr. Wettreich resigned as a director, with Mr. Rochlin to serve as director until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until the election and qualification of his successor or his earlier removal or resignation. The Company reported Mr. Rochlin's appointment and Mr. Wettreich's resignation on a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 12, 2010.

A special meeting of shareholders of Camelot Corporation was held on Thursday, April 28, 2011. At the special meeting, a majority of the shareholders of Camelot Corporation approved the adoption of a proposed Agreement and Plan of Merger, to reincorporate Camelot Corporation, a Colorado corporation ("Camelot Nevada") in the State of Nevada by merger with and into a Nevada corporation with the name Camelot Corporation ("Camelot Nevada") (the "Migratory Merger"). Camelot Colorado formed Camelot Nevada expressly for the purpose of the Migratory Merger.

On May 23, 2011, FINRA affected the Migratory Merger, and the Agreement and Plan of Merger became effective resulting in the following:

1. The adoption of the Articles of Incorporation of Camelot Nevada under the laws of the state of Nevada as the Articles of Incorporation of the Company, pursuant to which there are 150,000,000 shares of authorized capital stock, consisting of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Camelot Nevada Common Stock"), and 100,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Preferred Stock"). The Preferred Stock may be issued from time to time in one or more participating, optional, or other special rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as shall be stated in the resolutions adopted by Camelot Nevada's Board of Directors providing for the issuance of such Preferred Stock or series thereof.

2. The issued and outstanding shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock (49,236,106 shares) automatically converted into the right to receive shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock at a ratio of one (1) share of Camelot Nevada Common Stock for each twenty-five (25) shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock held immediately prior to the effectiveness of the Migratory Merger, provided, however, that holders of Camelot Colorado Common Stock who would receive at

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

least one share but fewer than 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock upon conversion were rounded up so that they received 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock (the "Conversion Ratio"). No fractional shares were issued, and holders who would receive less than one share upon conversion did not receive Camelot Nevada Common Stock but will receive a cash distribution of One Dollar (\$1.00) upon submission of the Shareholder Transmittal Form Requesting Cash Payment for Fractional Shares.

3. The adoption of the Bylaws of Camelot Nevada under the laws of the state of Nevada as the Bylaws of the Company. The approval of the Migratory Merger resulted in a total of 2,006,528 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at May 23, 2011. The Company's plan of operations is to conduct mineral

3

exploration activities on the mineral claim Property, as described below, in order to assess whether the Claims possess commercially exploitable mineral deposits. (Commercially exploitable mineral deposits are deposits which are suitably adequate or prepared for productive use of a natural accumulation of minerals or ores). This exploration program is designed to explore for commercially viable deposits of gold, silver or other valuable minerals. (Commercially viable deposits are deposits which are suitably adequate or prepared for productive use of an economically workable natural accumulation of minerals or ores). The Company has not, nor has any predecessor, identified any commercially exploitable reserves of these minerals on our Claims. (A reserve is an estimate within specified accuracy limits of the valuable metal or mineral content of known deposits that may be produced under current economic conditions and with present technology). The Company is an exploration stage company and there is no assurance that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on its Claims.

Upon acquiring a Lease on the Property, David A. Wolfe, Professional Geologist, prepared a geologic report for the Company on the mineral exploration potential of the Claims. Mr. Wolfe was, at the time of the signing of the Lease, President of Timberwolf Minerals LTD, the company from whom the Property is leased. Included in Mr. Wolfe's report is a recommended exploration program which consists of mapping, sampling, staking additional claims and drilling. The conditions of the Lease are detailed in the section "Requirements or Conditions for Retention of Claim Rights".

At this time the Company is uncertain of the extent of mineral exploration it will conduct before concluding that there are, or are not, commercially viable minerals on the Claims. Further phases beyond the current exploration program will be dependent upon numerous factors such as Mr. Wolfe's recommendations based upon ongoing exploration program results and the Company's available funds.

### ACQUISITION OF THE MINERAL CLAIM

On June 11, 2010, the Company entered into a Mineral Lease Agreement (the "Lease") with Timberwolf Minerals, Ltd. ("Timberwolf") to lease certain unpatented lode mining claims (the "Property") owned by Timberwolf located in Section 2, Township 2 North, Range 38 East and Section 35, Township 3 North, Range 38 East, Mt. Diablo Meridian in Esmeralda County, Nevada subject to the terms of the Lease. The Property consists of Claims BJ 101, 103, 105, 107, 109, 110, 112, 114, 116 and 118 with BLM Serial No. NMC# Lead File 1017556 (the "Claims"). The Company reported entry into the Mineral Lease on a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 26, 2010.

The Lease grants the Company the exclusive right to explore, develop and mine the Property for minerals. Under the Lease, the Company is obligated to pay all Federal, State and County annual mining claim maintenance or rental fees and

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

execute, record or file proof of payment of same and of Timberwolf's intention to hold the Claims.

### REQUIREMENTS OR CONDITIONS FOR RETENTION OF CLAIM RIGHTS

Upon execution of the Lease, the Company paid \$11,456.50 inclusive of \$1,456.50 for 2010 maintenance fees. On June 8, 2011, the Company and Timberwolf entered into an Amended Mineral Lease Agreement (the "Amended Lease"). Under the terms of the Lease and the Amended Lease, the Company paid an annual rental payment of \$4,000 on the first anniversary of the Lease and is obligated to pay to Timberwolf minimum subsequent annual rental payments as follows: \$20,000 on or before the second anniversary of the Lease, \$25,000 on or before the third anniversary of the Lease, \$50,000 on or before the fourth anniversary of the Lease and \$50,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the Lease. The Company has the right to purchase all of Timberwolf's unpatented Claims covered by the Lease and within the boundaries of the area of interest for \$5,000,000 on or before the sixth anniversary of the Lease, failure of which will terminate the Lease.

### PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Property, known as the Blair Junction prospect, straddles St. Hwy. 95 on the pediment south of the Monte Cristo Range, approx. 25 west of Tonopah, Nevada. The area lies on structural trend with the closed open-pit at the Boss Mine, located 4 miles to the NE. Low-sulfidation, adularia-rich gold systems occur at the Boss Mine, at Gilbert 10 miles due N, and at the Midway deposit, located 35 miles to the ENE.

The Blair Junction epithermal system lies under portions of Sec. 1,2,3,10,11,12,13,14, & 15, T 2 N, R 38 E, and the western edges of Sec. 6,7, & 18, T 2 N, R 38.2 E. In March, 2008, Timberwolf Minerals staked 12 claims covering the SE Cor. Sec. 11 and the S portion of Sec. 12, T 2 N, R 38 E. All surrounding ground is open BLM ground.

4

Approximately two miles to the NE, in Sec. 4, 2N, 38.5E, is the western edge of a 4-5 mile square claim block extending northeastward.

Water for drilling must be obtained from the Tonopah Water System which is located at a group of springs, located approx. 7-8 miles east of town. Water for production would need to be from drilled production wells. Ideal geology is present with 1-2 miles up-slope from the currently defined target. Electric should be available from power lines along State Hwy 95, approx. 4-5 miles to the south. Labor is plentiful in the Tonopah and Silver Peak areas both located within 25 miles of the property. Other smaller towns are located north of Tonopah at Manhattan and Belmont as well as numerous ranches in the region.

### MINERAL PROPERTY EXPLORATION

Upon acquiring a Lease on the Property, David A. Wolfe, Professional Geologist, prepared a geologic report for the Company on the mineral exploration potential of the Claims. Mr. Wolfe was, at the time of the signing of the Lease, President of Timberwolf Minerals LTD, the company from whom the Property is leased. Included in Mr. Wolfe's report is a recommended exploration program which consists of mapping, sampling, staking additional claims and drilling. The following information is from Mr. Wolfe's report:

#### PHASE I

In August, 2008, privately-held Union Summit Minerals of Canada leased the Blair Junction property. In September, an additional 40 claims were added and filed

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

with Esmeralda County. The exploration target and concepts defining a drill target which are outlined in the following report on the Blair project.

The exploration target was permitted with 10 drill hole locations in October, 2008, and drilling began in early November 2008. The objective was to drill the project and evaluate results before spending the funds to file the claims with the BLM. Four holes were completed to depths of 330 ft to 540 ft. All four holes intersected fine-grained sands and thick sections of lakebed muds to total depth. The lakebed muds were weakly pyritic below 300 ft. No bedrock was encountered, nor were any quartz boulder-rich lenses.

The drilling was based primarily on the concept that the anomalous quartz-adularia-gold boulder fragments, nearly identical in nature to those found over the Midway deposit located NE of Tonopah, had moved upward from bedrock by the physical process of "selective sorting". Drill results strongly suggest that the mineralized boulder "train" was not derived from bedrock immediately below the surface concentration, but was derived from some distance up-pediment.

Additional reconnaissance mapping completed during the drill program, however, did confirm that no evidence can be found to suggest that the mineralized boulders were derived from outcrops in bedrock in the Monte Cristo Mountains to the north. More specifically, they were not derived from the Gilbert Mineral Trend where it outcrops in bedrock.

Union Summit elected not to continue the program beyond the 2008 drilling. The project did not fit their future agenda. In March 2009, Timberwolf Minerals, Ltd., staked a new block of 20 claims up-pediment from the boulder anomaly in the center of what is now projected as the source of the quartz-adularia-gold boulders. The new target is discussed below. In October, 2009, the claim block was reduced to 10 centralized claims.

### PHASE I - CONCLUSIONS

The 2008 drilling results lead to the conclusion the mineralized quartz-adularia-gold boulders are derived from hidden basin-margin structures along the southern flank of the Monte Cristo mountain block. Hence, the work to date has essentially limited the potential source area to a 2.0 mile by 3.0 mile block of pediment between the float "train" boulder concentration and the outcrop edge of the mountain range to the north -- effectively reducing a large pediment area down to a reasonably-sized exploration target.

### EXPLORATION TARGET -- PHASE II

The intersection of the Blair boulder train anomaly with the inferred WNW-ESE structure, and the intersection of the Gilbert Mineral Trend, roughly defines a 2.0 mile by 3.0 mile block of pediment which could host a Midway-type high-grade

5

quartz-adularia-gold vein system, or even a Round Mountain-type bulk tonnage system. The area comprises Sections 34, 35 & 36, T. 3 N., R. 38 E. and Sections 1, 2, & 3, T. 2 N., R. 38 E. MDPM and may extend eastward into R. 38.5 E.

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PHASE II FOLLOW-UP

Consultation with James Wright, geophysicist, who has done most of the geophysical interpretation for Newmont and Midway Gold at Midway, indicates that detailed gravity should be effective in defining the range-front structure within the target area. This could be followed by several lines of CSAMT to identify silicified structures.

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

The geophysics could then be followed up with 4-6 drill holes designed to test bedrock for alteration and mineralization. The purpose of the first round of drill holes is simply to establish the existence of an altered and mineralized system. Favorable results could then dictate a more thorough on-going effort in the area. With well-orchestrated planning, it is possible to complete this work before making a decision to spend the funds necessary to file the claims with the BLM.

The area can be tested with the following estimated budget, exclusive of land costs:

GRAVITY SURVEY -- 400m line spacing along pediment, 100m station spacing, 2.0mi X 3.0 mi	\$ 16-18,000
CSAMT -- 2 lines @ 1.5 km/line (minimum)	\$ 8-10,000
TOTAL GEOPHYSICS*	\$ 24-28,000
PERMITTING -- permit for 10 holes including permit preparation & bond	\$ 8,000
DRILLING -- 5 holes @ 500 ft/hole @ \$25/ft	\$ 63,000
ASSAYING -- 200 ft/hole, 5 ft/sample, 5 holes, 200 samples @ \$35/sample	\$ 7,000
GEOLOGIST -- drilling supervision, reports, etc 20 Days @ \$500/D + \$125/D expenses	\$ 12,500
SUPPLIES, WATER, BACKHOE, RECLAMATION	\$ 7,600
	-----
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF PROGRAM	\$122-126,100 =====
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF PROGRAM W/ SELECTIVE GRAVITY*	\$ 118,100 =====

-----  
 \* Geophysics includes interpretation reports by J. Wright. Figures could probably be reduced to \$10,000 with more selective gravity line placement.

### COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Our exploration programs in Nevada are subject to state and federal regulations regarding environmental considerations. All operations involving the exploration for the production of minerals are subject to existing laws and regulations relating to exploration procedures, safety precautions, employee health and safety, air quality standards, pollution of streams and fresh water sources, odor, noise, dust and other environmental protection controls adopted by federal, state and local governmental authorities as well as the rights of adjoining property owners. We may be required to prepare and present to federal, state or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that any proposed exploration for or production of minerals may have upon the environment. All requirements imposed by any such authorities may be costly, time consuming and may delay commencement or continuation of exploration or production operations. Future legislation may significantly emphasize the protection of the environment, and, as a consequence, our activities may be more closely regulated to further the cause of environmental protection. Such legislation, as well as further interpretation of existing laws in the United States, may require substantial increases in equipment and operating costs and delays, interruptions, or a termination of operations, the extent of which cannot be predicted. Environmental problems known to exist at this time in the United States may not be in compliance with regulations that may come into existence in the future. This may have a substantial impact upon the capital expenditures required of us in order to deal with such problem and could substantially reduce earnings.

The regulatory bodies that directly regulate our activities are the Bureau of

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Land Management (Federal) and the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (State).

### PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, FRANCHISES, CONCESSIONS, ROYALTY AGREEMENTS OR LABOR CONTRACTS

We have no current plans for any registrations such as patents, trademarks, copyrights, franchises, concessions, royalty agreements or labor contracts. We will assess the need for any of these applications on an ongoing basis.

6

### COMPETITION

We do not compete directly with anyone for the exploration or removal of minerals from our leased property. Readily available commodities markets exist in the U.S. and around the world for the sale of gold, silver and other minerals. Therefore, we will likely be able to sell any minerals that we are able to recover.

We will be subject to competition and unforeseen limited sources of supplies in the industry in the event spot shortages arise for supplies such as dynamite, and certain equipment such as bulldozers and excavators that we will need to conduct exploration. We have not yet attempted to locate or negotiate with any suppliers of products, equipment or services. If we are unsuccessful in securing the products, equipment and services we need we may have to suspend our exploration plans until we are able to do so.

### EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

At present, we have no employees and no employment agreements. Our President provides services on a consultant basis. We anticipate that we will be conducting most of our business through agreement with consultants and third parties.

### REPORTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS

We make our financial information available to any interested parties or investors through compliance with the disclosure rules of Regulation S-K for a smaller reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, ("SEC"), at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

### ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

#### RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMPANY

OUR ONLY MINING PROPERTY IS ONE MINING CLAIM, THE FEASIBILITY OF WHICH HAS NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED AS WE HAVE NOT COMPLETED EXPLORATION OR OTHER WORK NECESSARY TO DETERMINE IF IT IS COMMERCIALY FEASIBLE TO DEVELOP THE PROPERTY.

We are currently an exploration stage mining company. Our only mining asset is one mining claim on the Property. The Property does not have any proven or probable reserves. A "reserve," as defined by the SEC, is that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. A reserve requires a feasibility study demonstrating with reasonable certainty that the deposit can be economically



## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

extracted and produced. We have not carried out any feasibility study with regard to the Property. As a result, we currently have no reserves and there are no assurances that we will be able to prove that there are reserves on the Property.

WE MAY NEVER FIND COMMERCIALY VIABLE GOLD OR OTHER RESERVES.

Mineral exploration and development involve a high degree of risk and few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. We cannot assure you that any future mineral exploration and development activities will result in any discoveries of proven or probable reserves as defined by the SEC since such discoveries are remote. Further, we cannot provide any assurance that, even if we discover commercial quantities of mineralization, a mineral property will be brought into commercial production. Development of our mineral properties will follow only upon obtaining sufficient funding and satisfactory exploration results.

WE WILL REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL CAPITAL TO CONTINUE OUR EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES, AND, IF WARRANTED, TO DEVELOP MINING OPERATIONS.

Exploration activities and, if warranted, development of the Property will involve significant expenditures. We will be required to raise significantly more capital in order to fully develop the Property for mining production assuming that economically viable reserves exist. There is no assurance that the

7

exploration will disclose potential for mineral development and no assurance that any such development would be financially productive. Our ability to obtain necessary funding depends upon a number of factors, including the price of gold and other base metals and minerals which we are able to mine, the status of the national and worldwide economy and the availability of funds in the capital markets. If we are unable to obtain the required financing for these or other purposes, our exploration activities would be delayed or indefinitely postponed, and this would likely, eventually, lead to failure of our Company. Even if financing is available, it may be on terms that are not favorable to us, in which case, our ability to become profitable or to continue operating would be adversely affected. If we are unable to raise funds to continue our exploration and feasibility work on the Property, or if commercially viable reserves are not present, the market value of our securities will likely decline, and our investors may lose some or all of their investment.

WE HAVE INCURRED LOSSES SINCE OUR INCORPORATION AND SINCE THE COMPANY BEGAN ITS EXPLORATION STAGE. WE MAY NEVER BE PROFITABLE WHICH RAISES SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

Since the Company was incorporated on September 5, 1975, we have had limited operations and incurred operating losses. As of April 30, 2011, our accumulated deficit since June 11, 2010 when we began our exploration stage is \$64,743. As we are just beginning exploration activities on the Property, we expect to incur additional losses in the foreseeable future, and such losses may be significant. To become profitable, we must be successful in raising capital to continue with our exploration activities, discover economically feasible mineralization deposits and establish reserves, successfully develop the Property and finally realize adequate prices on our minerals in the marketplace. It could be years before we receive any revenues from gold and mineral production, if ever. Thus, we may never be profitable. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a long-term basis. These circumstances raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as described in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements included in this Report. If we are unable to continue as a going concern, investors will likely lose all of their investment in the Company.

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT YET COMMENCED EXPLORATION OPERATIONS, EVALUATING OUR BUSINESS IS DIFFICULT.

Though the Company was incorporated on September 5, 1975 we only began the exploration stage of our business on June 11, 2010. We have not earned revenues as of the date of this Report and have incurred total losses of \$64,743 from June 11, 2010 to April 30, 2011. Accordingly, our business and our future prospects cannot be evaluated due to our lack of operating history. Potential investors should be aware of the difficulties normally encountered by exploration stage companies and the high rate of failure of such enterprises. In addition, there is no guarantee that we will commence business operations. Even if we do commence operations, at present, we do not know when.

Furthermore, prior to completion of our exploration stage, we anticipate that we will incur increased operating expenses without realizing any revenues. We therefore expect to incur significant losses into the foreseeable future. We recognize that if we are unable to generate significant revenues from development of the Claim and any production of minerals from the Claim, we will not be able to earn profits or continue operations.

VERY FEW MINERAL PROPERTIES ARE ULTIMATELY DEVELOPED INTO PRODUCING MINES.

The business of exploration for minerals and mining involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. At present, the Claim has no known body of commercial mineralization. Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of mineralization.

Substantial expenditures are required for the Company to establish mineralization reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes, to extract the metal from the ore and, in the case of new properties, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining.

Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineral deposit, we cannot assure you that the Company will discover minerals in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that it can obtain the funds required for development on a timely basis. The economics of developing precious and base metal mineral properties is affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations in the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in metal markets, costs of processing equipment and other factors such as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection.

8

HISTORICAL PRODUCTION OF MINERALS AT PROPERTIES IN THE AREA OF THE CLAIM MAY NOT BE INDICATIVE OF THE POTENTIAL FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OR REVENUE.

Historical production of metals and minerals from mines in the area of the Claim cannot be relied upon as an indication that the Claim will have commercially feasible reserves. Investors in our securities should not rely on historical operations of mines in the area of the Claim as an indication that we will be able to place the Property into commercial production. We expect to incur losses unless and until such time as the Property enters into commercial production and produces sufficient revenue to fund our continuing operations.

FLUCTUATING GOLD, METAL AND MINERAL PRICES COULD NEGATIVELY IMPACT OUR BUSINESS PLAN.

The potential for profitability of our gold and other metal and mineral mining

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

operations and the value of the Property will be directly related to the market price of gold and the metals and minerals that we mine. Historically, gold and other mineral prices have widely fluctuated, and are influenced by a wide variety of factors, including inflation, currency fluctuations, regional and global demand and political and economic conditions. Fluctuations in the price of gold and other minerals that we mine may have a significant influence on the market price of our common stock and a prolonged decline in these prices will have a negative effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

RECLAMATION OBLIGATIONS ON THE PROPERTY AND OUR MINING OPERATIONS, IF ANY, COULD REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES.

We are responsible for the reclamation obligations related to any exploratory and mining activities located on the Property. Since we have only begun exploration activities, we cannot estimate these costs at this time. We may be required to file for a reclamation bond for any mining operations which we conduct, and the cost of such a bond will be significant. We do not currently have an estimate of the total reclamation costs for mining operations on the Property. The satisfaction of current and future bonding requirements and reclamation obligations will require a significant amount of capital. There is a risk that we will be unable to fund these additional bonding requirements, and further, that increases to our bonding requirements or excessive actual reclamation costs will negatively affect our financial position and results of operation.

TITLE TO MINERAL PROPERTIES CAN BE UNCERTAIN, AND WE ARE AT RISK OF LOSS OF OWNERSHIP OF OUR PROPERTY.

Our ability to explore and mine the Property depends on the validity of title to the Property. The Property consists of a mining claim. Unpatented mining claims are effectively only a lease from the government to extract minerals; thus an unpatented mining claim is subject to contest by third parties or the government. These uncertainties relate to such things as the sufficiency of mineral discovery, proper posting and marking of boundaries, failure to meet statutory guidelines, assessment work and possible conflicts with other claims not determinable from descriptions of record. Since a substantial portion of all mineral exploration, development and mining now occurs on unpatented mining claims, this uncertainty is inherent in the mining industry. We have not obtained a title opinion on the Property. Thus, there may be challenges to the title to the Property which, if successful, could impair development and/or operations.

OUR ONGOING OPERATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS, WHICH COULD EXPOSE US TO SIGNIFICANT LIABILITIES, DELAY, SUSPENSION OR TERMINATION OF OUR OPERATIONS.

Mining exploration and exploitation activities are subject to national, provincial and local laws, regulations and policies, including laws regulating the removal of natural resources from the ground and the discharge of materials into the environment. These regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation. They also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Exploration and exploitation activities are also subject to national, state and local laws and regulations which seek to maintain health and safety standards by regulating the design and use of exploration methods and equipment.

National and state agencies may initiate enforcement activities against our Company. The agencies involved, generally, can levy significant fines per day of each violation, issue and enforce orders for clean-up and removal, and enjoin ongoing and future activities. Our inability to reach acceptable agreements with agencies in question would have a material adverse effect on us and our ability to continue as a going concern.

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Environmental and other legal standards imposed by national, state or local authorities are constantly evolving, and typically in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, and increased fines and penalties for non-compliance. Such changes may prevent us from conducting planned

9

activities or increase our costs of doing so, which would have material adverse effects on our business. Moreover, compliance with such laws may cause substantial delays or require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated, thus causing an adverse effect on us. Additionally, we may be subject to liability for pollution or other environmental damages that we may not be able to or elect not to insure against due to prohibitive premium costs and other reasons. Unknown environmental hazards may exist on the Property or upon properties that we may acquire in the future caused by previous owners or operators, or that may have occurred naturally.

OUR INDUSTRY IS HIGHLY COMPETITIVE, ATTRACTIVE MINERAL LANDS ARE SCARCE AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO OBTAIN QUALITY PROPERTIES OR RECRUIT AND RETAIN QUALIFIED EMPLOYEES.

We compete with many companies in the mining industry, including large, established mining companies with capabilities, personnel and financial resources that far exceed our limited resources. In addition, there is a limited supply of desirable mineral lands available for claim-staking, lease or acquisition in Nevada, and other areas where we may conduct exploration activities. We are at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring mineral properties, since we compete with these larger individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources and larger technical staffs. Likewise, our competition extends to locating and employing competent personnel and contractors to prospect, develop and operate mining properties. Many of our competitors can offer attractive compensation packages that we may not be able to meet. Such competition may result in our Company being unable not only to acquire desired properties, but to recruit or retain qualified employees or to acquire the capital necessary to fund our operation and advance our properties. Our inability to compete with other companies for these resources would have a material adverse effect on our results of operation and business.

BECAUSE MARKET FACTORS IN THE MINING BUSINESS ARE OUT OF OUR CONTROL, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO MARKET ANY MINERALS THAT MAY BE FOUND. The mining industry, in general, is intensely competitive and we can provide no assurance to investors that even if minerals are discovered, a ready market will exist from the sale of any ore found. Numerous factors beyond our control may affect the marketability of metals. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets and processing equipment, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in our not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

WE DEPEND ON OUR PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND THE LOSS OF THIS INDIVIDUAL COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

Our Company is completely dependent on Jeffrey Rochlin, our President, Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Director. As of the date of this Report, Jeffrey Rochlin was our sole executive officer and one of our directors. The loss of his services would significantly and adversely affect our business. We have no life insurance on the life of Jeffrey Rochlin.

MANAGEMENT HAS ONLY LIMITED EXPERIENCE IN RESOURCE EXPLORATION.

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

The Company's management, while experienced in business operations, has only limited experience in resource exploration. The sole executive officer of the Company has no significant technical training or experience in resource exploration or mining. The Company relies on the opinions of consulting geologists that it retains from time to time for specific exploration projects or property reviews. As a result of management's inexperience, there is a higher risk of the Company being unable to complete its business plan.

THE NATURE OF MINERAL EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK AND THE POSSIBILITY OF UNINSURED LOSSES THAT COULD MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR OPERATIONS.

Exploration for minerals is highly speculative and involves greater risk than many other businesses. Many exploration programs do not result in the discovery of economically feasible mineralization. Few properties that are explored are ultimately advanced to the stage of producing mines. We are subject to all of the operating hazards and risks normally incident to exploring for and developing mineral properties such as, but not limited to:

10

- \* economically insufficient mineralized material;
- \* fluctuations in production costs that may make mining uneconomical;
- \* labor disputes;
- \* unanticipated variations in grade and other geologic problems;
- \* environmental hazards;
- \* water conditions;
- \* difficult surface or underground conditions;
- \* industrial accidents; personal injury, fire, flooding, cave-ins and landslides;
- \* metallurgical and other processing problems;
- \* mechanical and equipment performance problems; and
- \* decreases in revenues and reserves due to lower gold and mineral prices.

Any of these risks can materially and adversely affect, among other things, the development of properties, production quantities and rates, costs and expenditures and production commencement dates. We currently have no insurance to guard against any of these risks. If we determine that capitalized costs associated with any of our mineral interests are not likely to be recovered, we would incur a write-down of our investment in these interests. All of these factors may result in losses in relation to amounts spent which are not recoverable.

OUR OPERATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS WHICH COULD REQUIRE US TO DELAY, SUSPEND OR TERMINATE FUTURE OPERATIONS ON OUR MINING PROPERTY.

Our operations, including our planned exploration activities on the Property, require permits from state and national governmental agencies. We may be unable to obtain these permits in a timely manner, on reasonable terms or at all. If we cannot obtain or maintain the necessary permits, or if there is a delay in

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

receiving these permits, our timetable and business plan for exploration of the Property will be adversely affected.

MINERAL EXPLORATION INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK AGAINST WHICH THE COMPANY IS NOT CURRENTLY INSURED.

Unusual or unexpected rock formations, formation pressures, fires, power outages, labor disruptions, flooding, cave-ins, landslides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labor are risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. The Company has relied on and will continue to rely upon consultants and others for exploration expertise.

It is not always possible to fully insure against such risks, and the Company may decide not to take out insurance against such risks as a result of high premiums or other reasons. Should such liabilities arise, they could reduce or eliminate any future profitability and result in increasing costs and a decline in the value of the Company's shares. The Company does not currently maintain insurance against environmental risks relating to the Claim.

11

THE COSTS TO MEET OUR REPORTING AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS AS A PUBLIC COMPANY SUBJECT TO THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 WILL BE SUBSTANTIAL AND MAY RESULT IN US HAVING INSUFFICIENT FUNDS TO EXPAND OUR BUSINESS OR EVEN TO MEET ROUTINE BUSINESS OBLIGATIONS.

Since having become subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we will incur ongoing expenses associated with professional fees for accounting, legal and a host of other expenses for annual reports and proxy statements. We estimate that these costs will range up to \$25,000 per year for the next few years and will be higher if our business volume and activity increases. These obligations will reduce our ability and resources to fund other aspects of our business and may prevent us from meeting our normal business obligations.

### RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR COMMON STOCK

TRADING ON THE OTC BULLETIN BOARD MAY BE VOLATILE AND SPORADIC, WHICH COULD DEPRESS THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON SHARES AND MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR OUR SHAREHOLDERS TO RESELL THEIR SHARES.

Our common shares are quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board service of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Trading in stock quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common shares for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTC Bulletin Board is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTC Bulletin Board is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like NASDAQ or a stock exchange like the American Stock Exchange. Accordingly, our shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of their shares.

OUR STOCK IS A PENNY STOCK. TRADING OF OUR STOCK MAY BE RESTRICTED BY THE SEC'S PENNY STOCK REGULATIONS AND FINRA'S SALES PRACTICE REQUIREMENTS, WHICH MAY LIMIT A STOCKHOLDER'S ABILITY TO BUY AND SELL OUR STOCK.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15g-9 which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

covered by the penny stock rules; which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors". The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules; the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in, and limit the marketability of, our common shares.

FINRA'S SALES PRACTICE REQUIREMENTS MAY ALSO LIMIT A STOCKHOLDER'S ABILITY TO BUY AND SELL OUR STOCK.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (see above for a discussion of penny stock rules), FINRA rules require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common shares, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares.

12

ONE SHAREHOLDER OWNS 86.46% OF OUR OUTSTANDING COMMON STOCK, WHICH LIMITS OTHER SHAREHOLDERS' ABILITY TO INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF ANY SHAREHOLDER VOTE.

Our sole executive officer and a director beneficially owns 86.46% of our outstanding common stock as of the date of this Report. Under our Certificate of Incorporation and the laws of the State of Nevada, the vote of a majority of the shares voting at a meeting at which a quorum is present is generally required to approve most shareholder action. As a result, he is able to control the outcome of shareholder votes, including votes concerning the election of directors, amendments to our Certificate of Incorporation or proposed mergers or other significant corporate transactions.

CERTAIN COMPANY ACTIONS AND THE INTERESTS OF STOCKHOLDERS MAY DIFFER.

The voting control of the Company could discourage others from initiating a potential merger, takeover or another change-of-control transaction that could

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

be beneficial to stockholders. As a result, the value of stock could be harmed.

WE HAVE NEVER PAID A DIVIDEND ON OUR COMMON STOCK AND WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE PAYING ANY IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

We have not paid a cash dividend on our common stock to date, and we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our ability to pay dividends will depend on our ability to successfully develop one or more properties and generate revenue from operations. Notwithstanding, we will likely elect to retain earnings, if any, to finance our growth. Future dividends may also be limited by bank loan agreements or other financing instruments that we may enter into in the future. The declaration and payment of dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

WE HAVE NOT VOLUNTARILY IMPLEMENTED VARIOUS CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MEASURES, IN THE ABSENCE OF WHICH, SHAREHOLDERS MAY HAVE MORE LIMITED PROTECTIONS AGAINST INTERESTED DIRECTOR TRANSACTIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND SIMILAR MATTERS.

Recent U. S. legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has resulted in the adoption of various corporate governance measures designed to promote the integrity of the corporate management and the securities markets. Some of these measures have been adopted in response to legal requirements. Others have been adopted by companies in response to the requirements of national securities exchanges, such as the NYSE or NASDAQ Stock Market, on which their securities are listed. Among the corporate governance measures required under the rules of national securities exchanges and NASDAQ are those that address board of directors' independence, audit committee oversight and the adoption of a code of ethics. We have not yet adopted any of these corporate governance measures and, since our securities are not listed on a national securities exchange or NASDAQ, we are not required to do so. It is possible that if we were to adopt some or all of these corporate governance measures, shareholders would benefit from somewhat greater assurances that internal corporate decisions were being made by disinterested directors and that policies had been implemented to define responsible conduct. For example, in the absence of nominating and compensation committees comprised of at least a majority of independent directors, decisions concerning matters such as compensation packages to our senior officers and recommendations for director nominees may be made by a majority of directors who have an interest in the outcome of the matters being decided. Prospective investors should bear in mind our current lack of corporate governance measures in formulating their investment decisions.

### ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

### ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

None.

### ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no pending, nor to our knowledge threatened, legal proceedings against the Company

### ITEM 4 REMOVED AND RESERVED

13

## PART II

### ITEM 5 MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES



## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

On November 10, 2009, the Company's common stock was approved for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "CAML". The Company's common stock was traded in the pink sheets prior thereto. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low prices of the common stock for the last two years. They reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions

2011		High	Low
----		----	----
First	July 31, 2010	0.035	0.015
Second	October 31, 2010	0.05	0.01
Third	January 31, 2011	0.04	0.01
Fourth	April 30, 2011	0.06	0.015
2010		High	Low
----		----	----
First	July 31, 2009	0.05	0.05
Second	October 31, 2009	0.05	0.011
Third	January 31, 2010	0.01	0.01
Fourth	April 30, 2010	0.129	0.005

As of April 30, 2011, the Company had approximately 410 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock. No dividends have been declared on the stock in the last two fiscal years and the Board of Directors does not presently intend to pay dividends in the near future.

### ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not Applicable.

### ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Report contains projections and statements relating to Company that constitute "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of predictive, future-tense or forward-looking terminology, such as "intends," "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "estimates," "may," "will," or similar terms. Such statements speak only as of the date of such statement, and the Company undertakes no ongoing obligation to update such statements. These statements appear in a number of places in this Report and include statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of the Company, and its respective directors, officers or advisors with respect to, among other things: (1) trends affecting the Company's financial condition, results of operations or future prospects, (2) the Company's business and growth strategies and (3) the Company's financing plans and forecasts. Potential investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve significant risks and uncertainties, and that, should conditions change or should any one or more of the risks or uncertainties materialize or should any of the underlying assumptions of the Company prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, some of which are unknown. The factors that could adversely affect the actual results and performance of the Company include, without limitation, the Company's inability to raise additional funds to support operations and capital expenditures, the Company's inability to effectively manage its growth, the Company's inability to achieve greater and broader market acceptance in existing and new market segments, the Company's inability to successfully compete against existing and future competitors, the Company's reliance on independent manufacturers and suppliers, disruptions in the supply chain, the Company's

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

inability to protect its intellectual property, other factors described elsewhere in this Report, or other reasons. All forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing cautionary statements and the "Risk Factors" described herein.

14

The following discussion of our financial condition and plan of operation should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements, the notes to those statements and the information included elsewhere in this Report. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under "RISK FACTORS" and elsewhere in this Report, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

### OVERVIEW

The Company's revenue for the period ended April 30, 2011 was \$0 compared with \$0 for the previous period. Net loss for the year ended April 30, 2011 was \$72,679 compared with a loss for the previous year of \$43,619. The loss in the current period and the previous period was principally due to ongoing expenses for a public company.

The balance sheets for the period show total assets of \$18,774 compared with \$14,290 for the previous period.

### PLAN OF OPERATION

The Company's plan of operations is to conduct mineral exploration activities on the mineral claim Property as described below in order to assess whether the Claims possess commercially exploitable mineral deposits. (Commercially exploitable mineral deposits are deposits which are suitably adequate or prepared for productive use of a natural accumulation of minerals or ores). This exploration program is designed to explore for commercially viable deposits of gold, silver or other valuable minerals. (Commercially viable deposits are deposits which are suitably adequate or prepared for productive use of an economically workable natural accumulation of minerals or ores). The Company has not, nor has any predecessor, identified any commercially exploitable reserves of these minerals on our Claims. (A reserve is an estimate within specified accuracy limits of the valuable metal or mineral content of known deposits that may be produced under current economic conditions and with present technology). The Company is an exploration stage company and there is no assurance that a commercially viable mineral deposit exists on its Claims.

Upon acquiring a lease on the Property, David A. Wolfe, Professional Geologist, prepared a geologic report for the Company on the mineral exploration potential of the Claims. Mr. Wolfe is the President of Timberwolf Minerals LTD, the company from whom the Property is leased. Included in Mr. Wolfe's report is a recommended exploration program which consists of mapping, sampling, staking additional claims and drilling.

At this time the Company is uncertain of the extent of mineral exploration it will conduct before concluding that there are, or are not, commercially viable minerals on the Claims. Further phases beyond the current exploration program will be dependent upon numerous factors such as Mr. Wolfe's recommendations based upon ongoing exploration program results and the Company's available funds.

### EXPLORATION PROGRAM

The following exploration program has been recommended by Mr. Wolfe. At this

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

time we do not have the available funds to commence however we expect to proceed within the next 12 months.

Consultation with James Wright, geophysicist, who has done most of the geophysical interpretation for Newmont and Midway Gold at Midway, indicates that detailed gravity should be effective in defining the range-front structure within the target area. This could be followed by several lines of CSAMT to identify silicified structures.

The geophysics could then be followed up with 4-6 drill holes designed to test bedrock for alteration and mineralization. The purpose of the first round of drill holes is simply to establish the existence of an altered and mineralized system. Favorable results would then dictate a more thorough on-going effort in the area. With well-orchestrated planning, it is possible to complete this work before making a decision to spend the funds necessary to file the claims with the BLM.

The area can be tested with the following estimated budget, exclusive of land costs:

15

GRAVITY SURVEY -- 400m line spacing along pediment, 100m station spacing, 2.0mi X 3.0 mi	\$ 16-18,000
CSAMT -- 2 lines @ 1.5 km/line (minimum)	\$ 8-10,000
TOTAL GEOPHYSICS*	\$ 24-28,000
PERMITTING -- permit for 10 holes including permit preparation & bond	\$ 8,000
DRILLING -- 5 holes @ 500 ft/hole @ \$25/ft	\$ 63,000
ASSAYING -- 200 ft/hole, 5 ft/sample, 5 holes, 200 samples @ \$35/sample	\$ 7,000
GEOLOGIST -- drilling supervision, reports, etc 20 Days @ \$500/D + \$125/D expenses	\$ 12,500
SUPPLIES, WATER, BACKHOE, RECLAMATION	\$ 7,600
	-----
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF PROGRAM	\$122-126,100
	=====
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF PROGRAM W/ SELECTIVE GRAVITY*	\$ 118,100
	=====

-----  
 \* Geophysics includes interpretation reports by J. Wright. Figures could probably be reduced to \$10,000 with more selective gravity line placement.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended April 30, 2011 was \$(30,083) compared with \$(15,286) in 2010. The increase was due primarily to an increase in professional fees. Net cash used by investing activities for the year ended April 30, 2011 was \$(11,457) compared with \$0 in 2010. The increase was due to the leased mineral property. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended April 30, 2011 was \$35,000 compared with \$29,053 in 2010, the increase was due to additional funds advanced from a related party. There is a cash balance of \$7,317 as of April 30, 2011 compared with \$13,857 for the previous period.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

### ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable

16

### ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	18
Balance Sheets	19
Statements of Operations	20
Statement of Changes in Stockholder's (Deficit)	21
Statements of Cash Flows	22
Notes to Financial Statements	23

17

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors  
Camelot Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Camelot Corporation, as of April 30, 2011, and 2010 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' (Deficit), and cash flows for the year ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Camelot Corporation as of April 30, 2011, and 2010 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year ended April 30, 2011, and 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As described in Note 2, the Company has recurring losses, has negative working capital and has a total stockholders'

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

deficit, which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to this matter are also discussed in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Schumacher & Associates, Inc.

-----  
 SCHUMACHER & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
 Littleton, Colorado  
 July 25, 2011

18

### CAMELOT CORPORATION (An Exploration Stage Company) Balance Sheets

	Year Ended April 30, 2011
	-----
ASSETS	
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,317
Prepaid Expenses	--
	-----
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	7,317
Other assets	
Mineral rights-leased (Note 7)	11,457
	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 18,774
	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)	
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 66,948
Accrued interest payable	12,544
Advances payable, related party	50,025
	-----
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	129,517
Note payable	117,000
	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES	246,517
	-----
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTES 1,2, 4, 5, 6, 7, AND 8)	
STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)	
Preferred stock \$0.01 par value 100,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	--
Common stock \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 2,006,528 shares issued and outstanding at April 30,2011 and 2010	20,065
Additional paid-in-capital	32,849,816

Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Accumulated deficit	(33,032,881)
Accumulated deficit during the exploration stage	(64,743)
	-----
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)	(227,743)
	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)	\$ 18,774
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

19

CAMELOT CORPORATION  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
Statements of Operations

	Year Ended April 30, 2011	Year Ended April 30, 2010	For peri June (da explora th Ap
	-----	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ --	\$ --	\$
Operating Expenses			
Professional fees	57,014	36,426	
Other	6,216	583	
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	63,230	37,009	
	-----	-----	-----
(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(63,230)	(37,009)	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Interest (expense)	(9,449)	(6,610)	
Settlement Expense	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----
NET (LOSS)	(72,679)	(43,619)	
	=====	=====	=====
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.02)	\$
	=====	=====	=====
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic and diluted	2,006,528	2,006,528	2,
	=====	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

20

CAMELOT CORPORATION

# Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

(An Exploration Stage Company)  
 Statement of Stockholders' (Deficit)  
 For the Period from May 1, 2008 to April 30, 2011

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
BALANCE MAY 1, 2008	--	\$ --	2,006,528	\$ 20,065	\$32,846,301	\$ (32,978,602)
Net income April 30, 2009	--	--	--	--	--	5,729
BALANCE APRIL 30, 2009	--	--	2,006,528	20,065	32,846,301	(32,972,873)
Correction of error (Note 7)	--	--	--	--	--	(8,453)
Corrected balance,	--	--	2,006,528	20,065	32,846,301	(32,981,326)
Contributed capital	--	--	--	--	3,515	--
Net loss April 30, 2010	--	--	--	--	--	(43,619)
BALANCE APRIL 30, 2010	--	--	2,006,528	20,065	32,849,816	(33,024,945)
Net loss April 30, 2011	--	--	--	--	--	(7,936)
BALANCE APRIL 30, 2011	--	\$ --	2,006,528	\$ 20,065	\$32,849,816	\$ (33,032,881)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

21

CAMELOT CORPORATION  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
 Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended April 30, 2011	Year Ended April 30, 2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (72,679)	\$ (43,619)

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease prepaid expense	433	(4
Increase in accrued interest payable	9,449	3,0
Increase in accounts payable	32,714	25,6
	-----	-----
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(30,083)	(15,2
	-----	-----
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Mineral rights - leased	(11,457	
	-----	-----
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(11,457)	
	-----	-----
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Paid in capital	3,515	
Advances from related party	35,000	25,5
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	35,000	29,0
	-----	-----
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(6,540)	13,7
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	13,857	
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 7,317	\$ 13,8
	=====	=====
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
2,006,528 shares issued and outstanding at April 30, 2011 and 2010		
Interest	\$ --	\$
	=====	=====
Income Taxes	\$ --	\$
	=====	=====
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS</b>		
Exchange of accounts payable for note payable		\$ 117,0
		=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

22

Camelot Corporation  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
Notes to Financial Statements  
April 30, 2011

### 1. OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Camelot Corporation, ("the Company") was incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on September 5, 1975. The Company was formerly a holding company but since it ceased operations in the fiscal year ended April 30, 1999, the Company has had minimal operations. All previous business activities have been discontinued. In May 2011 the Company was redomiciled to the State of Nevada as part of a Migratory Merger as discussed in Note 9 of these Notes to the Financial Statements.



## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Recently the Company has formulated a business plan to investigate the possibilities of a viable mineral deposit on 10 leased mining claims located in Esmeralda County, Nevada, USA.

The Company's fiscal year end is April 30.

### REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company has not generated any revenues since it ceased operations in 1999. It is the Company's policy that revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred (or service has been performed), the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

### CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers cash in banks, deposits in transit, and highly liquid debt instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management routinely makes judgments and estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates that are critical to the accompanying financial statements include the identification and valuation of assets and liabilities, valuation of deferred tax assets, and the likelihood of loss contingencies. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Estimates and assumptions are revised periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the financial statements in the period it is determined to be necessary.

### FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

ASC 825, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments", requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments. ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements" defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value estimates discussed herein are based upon certain market assumptions and pertinent information available to management as of April 30, 2011.

The respective carrying values of certain on-balance-sheet financial instruments approximate their fair values. These financial instruments include accounts payable, advances payable, accrued liabilities and notes payable. Fair values were assumed to approximate carrying values for these financial instruments since they are short term in nature and their carrying amounts approximate fair value, or they are receivable or payable on demand.

### MINERAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION AND EXPLORATION COSTS

The Company has been in the exploration stage since June 11, 2010, and has not

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

yet realized any revenue from its operations. Mineral property acquisition costs are initially capitalized in accordance with accounting standards. The Company assesses the carrying costs for impairment at each fiscal quarter end. If proven and probable reserves are established for a property and it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed, capitalized costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable reserves. To date the Company has not established any proven or probable reserves on its mineral properties. Mineral exploration costs are expensed as incurred.

### INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes are determined using the liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are based upon temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes and the effect of net operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets are evaluated to determine if it is more likely than not that they will be realized. Valuation allowances have been established to reduce the carrying value of deferred tax assets in recognition of significant uncertainties regarding their ultimate realization.

### BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The Company computes earnings (loss) per share in accordance with ASC 260-10-45 "Earnings per Share", which requires presentation of both basic and diluted earnings per share on the face of the statement of operations. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive earnings (loss) per share excludes all potential common shares if their effect is anti-dilutive. The Company has no potential dilutive instruments, and therefore, basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are equal.

### STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for common stock issued to employees for services based on the fair value of the instruments issued, and accounts for common stock issued to other than employees based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments, whichever is more reliably measurable. The Company did not issue any option grants during 2011 to employees or non-employees, and accordingly, has not recognized any stock based compensation expense related to options.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

There were various accounting standards and updates recently issued, none of which are expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, operations, or cash flows.

### 2. GOING CONCERN

The Company's financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of obligations in the normal course of business. However, the Company has recurring losses, has negative working capital, and has a total stockholders' deficit. The Company does not currently have any revenue generating operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

In view of these matters, continuation as a going concern is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

Company's ability to meet its financial requirements, raise additional capital, and the success of its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amount and classification of assets and liabilities that may be necessary should the Company not continue as a going concern.

Management plans to fund operations of the Company through advances from existing shareholders, private placement of restricted securities or the issuance of stock in lieu of cash for payment of services until such a time as a business combination or other profitable investment may be achieved. There are no written agreements in place for such funding or issuance of securities and there can be no assurance that such will be available in the future. Management believes that this plan provides an opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern.

24

### 3. CAPITAL STOCK

#### COMMON STOCK

On November 20, 2009, Daniel Wettreich sold 1,734,830 (post-merger basis) shares of common stock to Jeffrey Rochlin. Following this transaction Mr. Rochlin now controls 86.46% of the presently issued and outstanding common shares of the Company. The total number of common shares authorized by the Company is 50,000,000 shares, par value \$.01, of which 2,006,528 are issued and outstanding at April 30, 2011.

#### PREFERRED STOCK

The Company has 100,000,000 authorized shares of \$.01 par value preferred stock with rights and preferences as designated by the board of directors at the time of issuance. As of April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010, the following series of preferred stock were authorized, issued and outstanding:

Series of Preferred Stock	Number of Shares Authorized	Number of Shares Issued and Outstanding
A	2,000	0
B	75,000	0
C	50,000	0
D	66,134	0
E	108,056	0
F	15,000	0
G	1,000,000	0
H	5,333,333	0
I	17,000,000	0
J	10,000,000	0
K	412,000	0
L	500,000	0
TOTALS	34,561,523	0

### 4. INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes arise from temporary timing differences in the recognition of income and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. The Company's deferred tax assets consist entirely of the benefit from net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards. The net operating loss carryforwards, if not used, will expire in various years through 2030, and are severely restricted as per the Internal Revenue code due to the change in ownership. The Company's deferred tax assets are offset by a valuation allowance due to the uncertainty of the realization of the net operating loss carry forwards. Net operating loss

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

carryforwards may be further limited by other provisions of the tax laws.

The Company's deferred tax assets, valuation allowance, and change in valuation allowance are as follows:

Period Ending -----	Estimated NOL Carry-forward -----	NOL Expires -----	Estimated Tax Benefit from NOL -----	Valuation Allowance -----	Change in Valuation Allowance -----	Net Tax Benefit -----
April 30, 2011	\$266,298	Various	\$49,265	\$(49,265)	\$(13.445)	\$ --
April 30, 2010	\$193,619	Various	\$35,820	\$(35,820)	\$(8,070)	\$ --
April 30, 2009	\$150,000	Various	\$27,750	\$(27,750)	\$ --	\$ --

25

Income taxes at the statutory rate are reconciled to the Company's actual income taxes as follows:

Income tax benefit at statutory rate resulting from net operating loss carryforward	(15.00%)
State tax (benefit) net of federal benefit	(3.50%)
Deferred income tax valuation allowance	18.50%
	-----
Actual tax rate	0%
	=====

### 5. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company's Chief Executive Officer & majority shareholder until November 20, 2009, advanced funds to pay creditors of the Company. During the year ended April 30, 2009, a total of \$99,188 was advanced and \$105,287 was owed at year end. Following the end of fiscal year 2009 and prior to the sale of his common stock on November 20, 2009, Danny Wettreich advanced additional funds to pay creditors of the Company. These advances were evidenced by a Demand Promissory Note of the Company to Mr. Wettreich, which Note was sold to an outside investor on November 20, 2009. (See note 6)

The Company uses the offices of its President for its minimal office facility needs for no consideration. No provision for these costs has been provided since it has been determined that they are immaterial.

During the year ended April 30, 2011, the company's current president advanced \$35,000 to the Company, and \$50,025 was owed at April 30, 2011. The advances bear an annual interest rate of six percent. As of April 30, 2011, accrued interest payable of \$2,429 is owed and has no specific repayment terms.

### 6. NOTE PAYABLE

The July 20, 2010 Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$117,000, bears an annual interest rate of six percent, is due and payable on November 30, 2015 and is collateralized by all the assets of the Company. As of April 30, 2011 accrued interest payable of \$10,115 is owed on this note.

### 7. MINERAL LEASE AGREEMENT

The company entered into a mineral lease agreement with Timberwolf Minerals, Ltd. on June 11, 2010. The cost of the initial lease payment was capitalized in accordance with accounting standards. The agreement calls for a series of lease

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

payments to be made over a 6 year period, with a right to purchase all 10 unpatented mining claims before the start of the 7th year. The Company can terminate the lease by giving Lessor a 30 day written notice. The following table outlines the amounts due and payable for the next 5 years.

Lease Payment Due -----	Amount of Payment -----
June 8, 2012	\$ 4,000
June 8, 2013	\$ 20,000
June 8, 2014	\$ 25,000
June 8, 2015	\$ 50,000
June 8, 2016	\$ 50,000
	-----
TOTALS	\$149,000 =====

### 8. CHANGE OF CONTROL

On November 20, 2009, Jeffrey Rochlin entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Danny Wettreich pursuant to which Mr. Wettreich sold 1,734,830 (post-merger basis) shares of common stock of the Company, representing approximately 86.46% of the total issued and outstanding shares of common stock of the Company, for a total purchase price of \$8,000.

26

### 9. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

A Special Meeting of Shareholders of Camelot Corporation was held on Thursday, April 28, 2011. At the Special Meeting a majority of the shareholders approved the adoption of a proposed Agreement and Plan of Merger, to reincorporate Camelot Corporation, a Colorado corporation ("Camelot Colorado" or the "Company") in the State of Nevada by merger with and into a Nevada corporation with the name Camelot Corporation ("Camelot Nevada") which Camelot Colorado formed for such purpose (the "Migratory Merger") in which Camelot Colorado shareholders will receive shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock for shares of common stock held in Camelot Colorado (the "Camelot Colorado Common Stock").

On May 23, 2011 the adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger became effective resulting in the following which have been reflected in these financial statements:

1. The capital structure of Camelot Nevada includes total authorized capital stock of 150,000,000 shares, of which 50,000,000 are common stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share (the "Camelot Nevada Common Stock") and 100,000,000 shares are blank check preferred stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share (the "Preferred Stock"). The Preferred Stock may be issued from time to time in one or more participating, optional, or other special rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as shall be stated in the resolutions adopted by Camelot Nevada's Board of Directors providing for the issuance of such Preferred Stock or series thereof; and

2. The issued and outstanding shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock (49,236,106 shares) automatically converted into the right to receive shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock at a ratio of one (1) share of Camelot Nevada Common Stock for each twenty-five (25) shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock held immediately prior to the effectiveness of the Migratory Merger, provided, however, that holders of Camelot Colorado Common Stock who would receive at least one share but fewer than 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock upon conversion were rounded up so that they received 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock (the "Conversion Ratio"). No fractional shares were issued, and

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

holders who would receive less than one share upon conversion did not receive Camelot Nevada Common Stock but will receive a cash distribution of One Dollar (\$1.00) upon submission of the Shareholder Transmittal Form Requesting Cash Payment for Fractional Shares.

The approval of the Migratory Merger resulted in a total of 2,006,528 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at May 23, 2011.

27

### ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

On June 9, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the resignation of Comiskey & Co., P.C. ("Comiskey"), the independent accountant previously engaged as the principal accountant to audit the Company's financial statements. On June 9, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company also ratified and approved the Company's engagement of Schumacher & Associates, Inc. ("Schumacher") as independent auditor for the Company. The resignation of Comiskey and the engagement of Schumacher were reported on Amendment No. 1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the SEC on June 21, 2010.

There have been no disagreements with the Company's principal independent registered public accounting firms for the two-year period ended April 30, 2011.

### ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, our sole officer performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based on the evaluation and the identification of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below, our sole officer concluded that, as of April 30, 2011, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

#### MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projection of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Jeffrey Rochlin, our President, has conducted an assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2011. Management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting was conducted using the criteria in Internal Control over Financial Reporting - Guidance for Smaller Public Companies issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO").

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In connection with management's assessment of our internal control over financial

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

reporting as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we identified the following material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2011:

1. The Company has not established adequate financial reporting monitoring procedures to mitigate the risk of management override, specifically because there are no employees and only one officer and director with management functions and therefore there is lack of segregation of duties. In addition, the Company does not have accounting software to prevent erroneous or unauthorized changes to previous reporting periods. The lack of effective controls resulted in deficient financial reporting which was corrected in the audit process.
2. In addition, there is insufficient oversight of accounting principles implementation and insufficient oversight of external audit functions.
3. There is a strong reliance on the external attorneys to review and edit the annual and quarterly filings and to ensure compliance with SEC disclosure requirements.

28

Because of the material weaknesses noted above, management has concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2011, based on Internal Control over Financial Reporting - Guidance for Smaller Public Companies issued by COSO.

### REMEDIATION OF MATERIAL WEAKNESSES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

As a small business, without a viable business and revenues, the Company does not have the resources to install a dedicated staff with deep expertise in all facets of SEC disclosure and GAAP compliance. As is the case with many small businesses, the Company will continue to work with its external consultants as it relates to new accounting principles and changes to SEC disclosure requirements. The Company has found this approach worked well in the past and believes it to be the most cost effective solution available for the foreseeable future.

The Company will conduct a review of existing sign-off and review procedures as well as document control protocols for critical accounting spreadsheets. The Company will also increase management's review of key financial documents and records.

As a small business, the Company does not have the resources to fund sufficient staff to ensure a complete segregation of responsibilities within the accounting function. However, Company management does review, and will increase the review of, financial statements on a monthly basis. These actions, in addition to the improvements identified above, will minimize any risk of a potential material misstatement occurring.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

### CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period ended April 30, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

On March 28, 2011, Camelot Corporation filed its definitive proxy statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission and sent such statement to its holders of common stock, notifying such holders of the special meeting of shareholders scheduled for April 28, 2011, to approve the Migratory Merger (as defined below). A special meeting of shareholders of Camelot Corporation was held on Thursday, April 28, 2011. At the special meeting, a majority of the shareholders of Camelot Corporation approved the adoption of a proposed Agreement and Plan of Merger, to reincorporate Camelot Corporation, a Colorado corporation ("Camelot Colorado" or the "Company") in the State of Nevada by merger with and into a Nevada corporation with the name Camelot Corporation ("Camelot Nevada") (the "Migratory Merger"). Camelot Colorado formed Camelot Nevada for such purpose (the "Migratory Merger"). Pursuant to the Migratory Merger, Camelot Colorado shareholders received shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock for shares of common stock held in Camelot Colorado (the "Camelot Colorado Common Stock") at the Conversion Ratio (as defined below).

On May 23, 2011, FINRA effected the Migratory Merger, and the Agreement and Plan of Merger became effective resulting in the following:

1. The adoption of the Articles of Incorporation of Camelot Nevada under the laws of the state of Nevada as the Articles of Incorporation of the Company, pursuant to which there are 150,000,000 shares of authorized capital stock, consisting of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Camelot Nevada Common Stock"), and 100,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Preferred Stock"). The Preferred Stock may be issued from time to time in one or more participating, optional, or other special rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as shall be stated in the resolutions adopted by Camelot Nevada's Board of Directors providing for the issuance of such Preferred Stock or series thereof.

29

2. The issued and outstanding shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock (49,236,106 shares) automatically converted into the right to receive shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock at a ratio of one (1) share of Camelot Nevada Common Stock for each twenty-five (25) shares of Camelot Colorado Common Stock held immediately prior to the effectiveness of the Migratory Merger, provided, however, that holders of Camelot Colorado Common Stock who would receive at least one share but fewer than 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock upon conversion were rounded up so that they received 100 shares of Camelot Nevada Common Stock (the "Conversion Ratio"). No fractional shares were issued, and holders who would receive less than one share upon conversion did not receive Camelot Nevada Common Stock but will receive a cash distribution of One Dollar (\$1.00) upon submission of the Shareholder Transmittal Form Requesting Cash Payment for Fractional Shares.

3. The adoption of the Bylaws of Camelot Nevada under the laws of the state of Nevada as the Bylaws of the Company. The approval of the Migratory Merger resulted in a total of 2,006,528 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at May 23, 2011.

30

### PART III

#### ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The directors of the Company hold office for annual terms and will remain in their positions until successors have been elected and qualified. The officers are appointed by the board of directors of the Company and hold office until



## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

their death, resignation or removal from office. The ages, positions held, and duration of terms of the directors and executive officers as of the date of this Report are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
Jeffrey Rochlin	41	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

JEFFREY ROCHLIN, PRESIDENT, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Mr. Rochlin is a resident of Washington Township, NJ, and a graduate of University of Hartford, where he earned a degree in accounting. His professional experience has been primarily in the finance sector, where he has worked as a finance manager in operations, and senior accountant for a major pharmaceutical company. In addition to his position with the Company, Mr. Rochlin is engaged with a biotechnology company in its budgeting and financial reporting sector.

### INVOLVEMENT IN CERTAIN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Our sole executive officer and director has not been the subject of:

1. A conviction in a criminal proceeding or named as a defendant in a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
2. The entry of an order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, by a court of competent jurisdiction that permanently or temporarily enjoined, barred, suspended or otherwise limited such person's involvement in any type of business, securities, commodities, or banking activities;
3. A finding or judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or a state securities regulator of a violation of federal or state securities or commodities law, which finding or judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated; or 4. The entry of an order by a self-regulatory organization that permanently or temporarily barred, suspended or otherwise limited such party's involvement in any type of business or securities activities.

### ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth information concerning the annual and long-term compensation earned by the Company's principal executive officer, each of our two most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of the date of this Report.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)
-----	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Jeffrey Rochlin	2011	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
President, Chief Executive Officer, Chairman and Treasurer	2010	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

There are no employment agreements or consulting agreements with our current director or executive officer. There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. We do not have any material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that stock options may be granted at the discretion of our board of directors from time to time. We have no plans or arrangements in respect of remuneration received or that may be received by our executive officers to compensate such officers in the event of termination of employment (as a result of resignation, retirement, change of control) or a change of responsibilities following a change of control.

ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information as of the date of this Report with respect to the beneficial ownership of the outstanding common stock of the Company by (i) any holder of more than five (5%) percent; (ii) each of the Company's executive officers and directors; and (iii) the Company's directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated below, the persons and entities named in the table have sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned. The percentage of class is based on 2,006,528 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of the date of this Report. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each individual named below is the Company address.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner -----	Amount of Beneficial Ownership -----	Percentage of Class -----
Jeffrey Rochlin President, Chief Executive Officer	1,734,830	86.46%
Directors and Executive Officers	1,734,830	86.46%

CAPITAL STOCK

The authorized capital stock of the Company is 50,000,000 shares of common stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share, and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share.

As of the date of this Report, there are 2,006,528 shares of common stock issued outstanding. There is no preferred stock outstanding.

As of the date of this Report, there are 410 holders of record of the Company's common stock.

OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

There are no outstanding options or warrants or other securities that are convertible into our common stock.

VOTING RIGHTS

Each shareholder is entitled to one (1) vote for each share of voting stock.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to retain and use any future earnings for the development and

## Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

### TRANSFER AGENT

The registrar and transfer agent for our common stock is Empire Stock Transfer Inc. Its address and telephone number are 1859 Whitney Mesa Drive, Henderson, NV 89014, (702) 818-5898.

32

### ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Effective October 20, 2009, the Company gave a demand promissory note, in exchange for payables, to Daniel Wettreich, its former CEO and majority shareholder, then a related party, for \$116,511 without interest. On November 20, 2009, Mr. Wettreich sold the demand promissory note to an unrelated third party. On July 20, 2010, the demand promissory note was cancelled and a new interest-bearing promissory note was issued in substitute therefor. The July 20, 2010 Promissory Note is in the principal amount of \$117,000, bears an annual interest rate of six percent, is due and payable on November 30, 2015 and is collateralized by all the assets of the Company.

The Company uses the offices of its President for its minimal office facility needs for no consideration. No provision for these costs has been provided since it has been determined that they are immaterial.

During the year ended April 30, 2011, the company's current president advanced \$35,000 to the company, and \$50,025 was owed at April 30, 2011. The advances bear an annual interest rate of six percent. As of April 30, 2011, accrued interest payable of \$2,429 is owed and has no specific repayment terms.

### ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

During the year ended April 30, 2011, the total fees billed for audit-related services was \$5,900, for tax services was \$0 and for all other services was \$0.

During the year ended April 30, 2010, the total fees billed for audit-related services was \$0, for tax services was \$0 and for all other services was \$0.

## PART IV

### ITEM 15 EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Exhibit No.	Description
-----	-----
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Amended Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.3	Articles of Incorporation - Nevada(2)
3.4	By-Laws(2)
4.1	Specimen common stock certificate(1)
10.1	Mineral Lease Agreement dated June 11, 2010 between Camelot Corporation and Timberwolf Minerals, Ltd.(3)
10.2	Amendment to Mineral Lease Agreement dated June 8, 2011 between Camelot Corporation and Timberwolf Minerals, Ltd.(2)
16.1	Letter from Comiskey & Co., P.C. dated June 9, 2010(4)
31	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: CAMELOT CORP - Form 10-K

- 99.1 Blair Junction Summary Report of Timberwolf Minerals Ltd.(3)
- 99.2 Blair Junction Summary and Recommendations of Timberwolf Minerals Ltd.(3)

- 
- 1 Incorporated herein by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form 10 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 23, 1976.
  - 2 Incorporated herein by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 13, 2011.
  - 3 Incorporated herein by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 26, 2010.
  - 4 Incorporated herein by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2010.

33

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CAMELOT CORPORATION

Date: July 26, 2011

By /s/ Jeffrey Rochlin

-----  
 Jeffrey Rochlin  
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

/s/ Jeffrey Rochlin	President, Chief Executive Officer	July 26, 20
-----	(Principal Executive Officer)	
Jeffrey Rochlin	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	

34