

GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC

Form 10QSB

August 11, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-QSB**

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006;

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.

**Commission file Number: 1-32158
GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

33-0464753

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of organization)

(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

SUITE 310, 605 1 STREET SW, CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA T2P 3S9

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

403 777-9250

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the proceeding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at August 11, 2006
COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.001 PER SHARE	64,559,755
Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format	
YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.
(a development stage enterprise)
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-QSB
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.	
<u>PART I.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 and from inception on August 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006 (Unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 and from inception on August 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006 (Unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements as at June 30, 2006 (Unaudited)</u>	6-21
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operations</u>	22
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	37
<u>PART II.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	38
	<u>Certification of President and CEO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)</u>	
	<u>Certification of CFO Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)</u>	
	<u>Certification of President and CEO Pursuant to Section 1350</u>	
	<u>Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 1350</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.
(a development stage enterprise)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2006 US \$	December 31, 2005 US \$
Assets		
Current		
Cash and cash equivalents	35,046,330	36,037,388
Restricted cash (note 10a, 11a)	1,602,321	392,485
	36,648,651	36,429,873
Accounts receivable and prepaids	145,790	144,753
Cash call receivable	83,832	49,947
	36,878,273	36,624,573
Property and equipment (note 3)		
Exploration costs, not subject to depletion	6,264,713	2,216,663
Computer and office equipment, net	129,810	89,826
	6,394,523	2,306,489
	43,272,796	38,931,062
Liabilities		
Current		
Accounts payable	1,333,020	159,145
Accrued liabilities (note 3e)	548,427	43,500
Due to related companies (notes 7c, 7d and 7e)	125,170	244,452
	2,006,617	447,097
Stockholders Equity		
Capital stock (note 4)		
Authorized		
100,000,000 common shares with a par value of US\$0.001 each 1,000,000		
preferred shares with a par value of US\$0.01 each		
Issued		
64,349,755 common shares (December 31, 2005 62,954,255)	49,757	48,361

Edgar Filing: GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC - Form 10QSB

Additional paid-in capital (note 4)	43,214,005	40,275,588
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(1,997,583)	(1,839,984)
	41,266,179	38,483,965
	43,272,796	38,931,062

See Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (note 3e and 10)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

Page 3

Table of Contents

GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.
(a development stage enterprise)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Three months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Period from Inception, August 21-2002 to June 30-2006 US \$
Expenses (note 7c, 7d and 7e)					
General and administrative	295,544	83,342	482,653	189,934	1,587,369
Consulting fees	90,100	51,735	169,017	101,574	842,349
Professional fees	65,187	69,602	100,928	90,241	602,343
Stock-based compensation	94,233		213,041		213,041
Depreciation and depletion	11,310	11,967	20,999	23,387	182,986
	556,374	216,646	986,638	405,136	3,428,088
Other expenses (income)					
Consulting fees recovered					(66,025)
Equipment costs recovered					(19,395)
Gain on sale of equipment					(42,228)
Foreign exchange loss	(2,752)	1,474	(1,421)	4,508	20,389
Interest income	(427,749)	(19,609)	(827,618)	(34,286)	(1,323,246)
	(430,501)	(18,135)	(829,039)	(29,778)	(1,430,505)
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the period (note 8)	(125,873)	(198,511)	(157,599)	(375,358)	(1,997,583)
Net loss per share basic and diluted (note 4f)	0.00	0.00	0.00	(0.01)	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.
(a development stage enterprise)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Three months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Period from Inception, August 21-2002 to June 30-2006 US \$
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities					
Net loss	(125,873)	(198,511)	(157,599)	(375,358)	(1,997,583)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:					
Depreciation and depletion	11,310	11,967	20,999	23,387	182,986
Gain on sale of equipment					(42,228)
Stock-based compensation	94,233		213,041		213,041
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable and prepaids	129,964	(26,556)	(1,037)	(29,599)	(70,790)
Accounts payable	(23,951)	(8,208)	29,160	24,303	34,082
Accrued liabilities	14,700	(200)	(17,500)	(15,700)	26,000
Due to related companies	(37,926)	24,588	(119,282)	28,282	83,414
	62,457	(196,920)	(32,218)	(344,685)	(1,571,078)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities					
Property and equipment					
Exploration costs	(1,753,645)	(38,742)	(3,980,626)	(955,248)	(6,197,289)
Computer and office equipment	(20,726)	(7,305)	(60,983)	(16,511)	(353,368)
Proceeds on sale of equipment					82,800
Cash acquired on acquisition (note 6)					3,034,666
Restricted cash (note 10a)	(1,173,462)		(1,209,836)		(1,602,321)
Changes in investing assets and liabilities:					
Cash call receivable	(15,464)	7,210	(33,885)	19,814	(83,832)
Accounts payable	861,438		1,155,515		1,249,930
Accrued liabilities	(595,573)	(78,178)	522,427	181,473	522,427

	(2,697,432)	(117,015)	(3,607,388)	(770,472)	(3,346,987)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities					
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	548,100	2,267,350	2,717,900	2,267,350	44,026,750
Share issuance costs	(45,000)		(58,552)		(2,150,413)
Changes in financing liabilities:					
Note payable (note 7a)					(2,000,000)
Accounts payable			(10,800)		61,078
Due to related companies					26,980
	503,100	2,267,350	2,648,548	2,267,350	39,964,395
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,131,875)	1,953,415	(991,058)	1,152,193	35,046,330
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	37,178,205	3,618,376	36,037,388	4,419,598	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	35,046,330	5,571,791	35,046,330	5,571,791	35,046,330
Cash and cash equivalents					
Current bank accounts	434,496	1,308,355	434,496	1,308,355	434,496
Term deposits	34,611,834	4,263,436	34,611,834	4,263,436	34,611,834
	35,046,330	5,571,791	35,046,330	5,571,791	35,046,330

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

1. Nature of Operations

The Company is engaged primarily in the pursuit of petroleum and natural gas through exploration and development in India. Since inception, the efforts of GeoGlobal have been devoted to the pursuit of Production Sharing Contracts (PSC) with the Gujarat State Petroleum Corporation (GSPC) and the Government of India (GOI) and the development thereof. To date, the Company has not earned revenue from these operations and is considered to be in the development stage. The recoverability of the costs incurred to date is uncertain and dependent upon achieving commercial production or sale, the ability of the Company to obtain sufficient financing to fulfill its obligations under the PSC s in India and upon future profitable operations and upon finalizing agreements with GSPC.

On August 29, 2003, all of the issued and outstanding shares of GeoGlobal Resources (India) Inc. (GeoGlobal India) were acquired by GeoGlobal Resources Inc., formerly Suite101.com, Inc. As a result of the transaction, the former shareholder of GeoGlobal India held approximately 69.3% of the issued and outstanding shares of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. This transaction is considered an acquisition of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. (the accounting subsidiary and legal parent) by GeoGlobal India (the accounting parent and legal subsidiary) and has been accounted for as a purchase of the net assets of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. by GeoGlobal India. Accordingly, this transaction represents a recapitalization of GeoGlobal India, the legal subsidiary, effective August 29, 2003. These consolidated financial statements are issued under the name of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. but are a continuation of the financial statements of the accounting acquirer, GeoGlobal India. The assets and liabilities of GeoGlobal India are included in the consolidated financial statements at their historical carrying amounts. As a result, the stockholders' equity of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. is eliminated and these consolidated financial statements reflect the results of operations of GeoGlobal Resources Inc. only from the date of the acquisition.

GeoGlobal Resources Inc. changed its name from Suite101.com, Inc. after receiving shareholder approval at the Annual Shareholders Meeting held on January 8, 2004. Collectively, GeoGlobal Resources Inc., GeoGlobal India and its other wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries, are referred to as the Company or GeoGlobal .

2. Significant Accounting Policies

a) Basis of presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Item 310(b) of Regulation S-B. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****2. Basis of presentation (continued)**

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of (i) GeoGlobal Resources Inc., from the date of acquisition, being August 29, 2003, (ii) GeoGlobal Resources (India) Inc., incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta), Canada on August 21, 2002 which was continued under the *Companies Act of Barbados*, West Indies on June 27, 2003, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GeoGlobal Resources Inc., (iii) GeoGlobal Resources (Canada) Inc., incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta), Canada on September 4, 2003, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GeoGlobal Resources Inc., and (iv) GeoGlobal Resources (Barbados) Inc. incorporated under the *Companies Act of Barbados*, West Indies on September 24, 2003, which is the wholly-owned subsidiary of GeoGlobal Resources (Canada) Inc.

b) Stock-based compensation

In prior periods, reporting on the impact of stock-based compensation, such as employee stock options, on the Company's net loss and net loss per share was required only on a pro-forma basis.

In December, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued a revision to Standard 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, requires the recognition of compensation cost for stock-based compensation arrangements with employees, consultants and directors based on their grant date fair values using the Modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Compensation expense is recorded over the awards' respective requisite service, with corresponding entries to paid-in capital.

The Company adopted 123(R) using the modified-prospective-transition method on January 1, 2006. The impact of this adoption required the Company to recognize a charge for past stock-based compensation options granted of US\$367,596 over the next 3 years in accordance with their respective vesting periods.

3. Property and Equipment

	Balance Sheet as at	
	US \$	
	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Exploration and development - India		
Exploration costs incurred in:		
2002	21,925	21,925
2003	156,598	156,598
2004	460,016	460,016
2005	1,578,124	1,578,124
	2,216,663	2,216,663
2006	4,048,050	

	6,264,713	2,216,663
Computer and office equipment		
Computer and office equipment	270,568	209,585
Accumulated depreciation	(140,758)	(119,759)
	129,810	89,826
	6,394,523	2,306,489

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

3. Property and Equipment (continued)

a) Exploration costs India

The exploration costs incurred to date are not subject to depletion and cover six exploration blocks, known as the KG Block, the Mehsana Block, the Sanand/Miroli Block, the Ankleshwar Block, the DS Block and the Tarapur Block. It is anticipated that all or certain of these exploration costs may be subject to depletion no earlier than the 2007 fiscal year.

b) Capitalized overhead costs

Included in the US\$4,048,050 of exploration cost additions during the six months ended June 30, 2006 (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$1,578,124) are certain overhead costs capitalized by the Company in the amount of US\$778,310 (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$469,268) directly related to the exploration activities in India. Of the capitalized overhead amount, US\$67,425 (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$nil) was stock-based compensation to personnel in India. Of the remaining US\$710,885, US\$514,792 (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$145,773) represented expenses paid to third parties, \$nil (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$51,800) were expenses recovered from third parties and US\$196,093 was paid to and on behalf of a related party (year ended December 31, 2005 US\$375,295) (see note 7c). These costs are incurred solely by and on behalf of the Company in providing its services under the Carried Interest Agreement (CIA) and are therefore not reimbursable under the CIA (see note 3c).

c) Carried Interest Agreement

On August 27, 2002, GeoGlobal entered into a CIA with GSPC, which grants the Company a 10% carried interest (net 5% see note 3d) in the KG Block. The CIA provides that GSPC is responsible for GeoGlobal's entire share of any and all costs incurred during the Exploration Phase prior to the date of initial commercial production.

Under the terms of the CIA, all of GeoGlobal's and Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc.'s (RGM), a related party (note 7b), proportionate share of capital costs for exploration and development activities will be recovered by GSPC without interest over the projected production life or ten years, whichever is less, from oil and natural gas produced on the KG Block. GeoGlobal is not entitled to any share of production until GSPC has recovered the Company's share of the costs and expenses that were paid by GSPC on behalf of the Company and RGM.

As at June 30, 2006, GSPC has incurred costs of Rs 84.48 crore (approximately US\$18.8 million) (December 31, 2005 Rs 63.31 crore (approximately US\$14.1 million)) attributable to GeoGlobal under the CIA of which 50% is for the account of RGM.

d) Participating Interest Agreement

On March 27, 2003, GeoGlobal entered into a Participating Interest Agreement (PIA) with RGM, whereby GeoGlobal assigned and holds in trust for RGM subject to GOI consent, 50% of the benefits and obligations of the PSC covering the Exploration Block KG-OSN-2001/3 (PSC-KG) and the CIA leaving GeoGlobal with a net 5% participating interest in the PSC-KG and a net 5% carried interest in the CIA. Under the terms of the PIA, until the GOI consent is obtained, GeoGlobal retains the exclusive right to deal with the other parties to the PSC-KG and the CIA and is entitled to make all decisions regarding the interest assigned to RGM. RGM has agreed to be bound by and be responsible for the actions taken by, obligations undertaken and costs incurred by GeoGlobal in regard to RGM's interest, and to be liable to GeoGlobal for its share of all costs, interests, liabilities and obligations

arising out of or relating to the RGM interest. RGM has agreed to indemnify GeoGlobal against any and all costs, expenses, losses, damages or liabilities incurred by reason of RGM's failure to pay the same.

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

3. Property and Equipment (continued)

Subject to obtaining the government consent to the assignment, RGM is entitled to all income, receipts, credits, reimbursements, monies receivable, rebates and other benefits in respect of its 5% interest which relate to the PSC-KG. GeoGlobal has a right of set-off against sums owing to GeoGlobal by RGM. In the event that the Indian government consent is delayed or denied, resulting in either RGM or GeoGlobal being denied an economic benefit it would have realized under the PIA, the parties agreed to amend the PIA or take other reasonable steps to assure that an equitable result is achieved consistent with the parties' intentions contained in the PIA. As a consequence of this transaction the Company reports its holdings under the PSC-KG and CIA as a net 5% PI.

e) Deed of Assignment and Assumption

On April 7, 2005, the Company entered into a Deed of Assignment and Assumption with GSPC whereby, subject to the terms of the agreement, the Company agreed to acquire and assume and GSPC agreed to assign a 20% participating interest in the onshore Tarapur Exploration Block (CB-ON/2). The assignment of the 20% interest is subject to obtaining the consent of the GOI to the assignment which consent has not yet been obtained. Based on the Company's past experience as a party to other PSC's with GSPC and its relationship with GSPC, the Company believes that such consent from the GOI will be forthcoming. In the event such consent is not obtained, GSPC is obligated to repay all amounts paid by the Company to GSPC with respect to the Tarapur Block and the assignment would be automatically terminated. The amount of US\$2,872,040 has been included in Exploration costs - India at June 30, 2006 under the terms of the Company's agreement with GSPC. Of this amount, US\$1,762,660 has been paid to GSPC, US\$671,169 is in accounts payable and an additional US\$438,211 has been recorded in accrued liabilities.

During the second quarter, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with GSPC relating to the Tarapur Block. Under the terms of the Company's previous agreement with GSPC, the Company is to fund its 20% PI share of all past exploration costs incurred on the Tarapur Block and keep in force a Financial and Performance Guarantee in an amount sufficient to secure its performance under the Tarapur PSC. To date, our share of costs incurred of US\$2,872,040 has been expended on the drilling of six wells and a recently completed 500 sq. km. 3-D seismic acquisition. Under the terms of the previous agreement, in the event such consent is not obtained, the assignment would be terminated. Pursuant to the MOU, in the event that the GOI does not approve of the Tarapur assignment, GSPC is obligated to repay to the Company all amounts paid by the Company to GSPC with respect to the Tarapur Block and the assignment would be automatically terminated. GSPC is to repay such amounts to the Company within 30 days of a written notice from the Company.

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****4. Capital Stock****a) Common shares**

	Number of shares	Capital stock US \$	Additional paid-in capital US \$
Balance at December 31, 2002	1,000	64	
2003 Transactions			
Capital stock of GeoGlobal at August 29, 2003	14,656,687	14,657	10,914,545
Common shares issued by GeoGlobal to acquire GeoGlobal India	34,000,000	34,000	1,072,960
Share issuance costs on acquisition			(66,850)
Elimination of GeoGlobal capital stock in recognition of reverse takeover (note 6)	(1,000)	(14,657)	(10,914,545)
Options exercised for cash	396,668	397	101,253
December 2003 private placement financing (note 4c)	6,000,000	6,000	5,994,000
Share issuance costs on private placement			(483,325)
	55,052,355	40,397	6,618,038
Balance as at December 31, 2003	55,053,355	40,461	6,618,038
2004 Transactions			
Options exercised for cash	115,000	115	154,785
Broker warrants exercised for cash	39,100	39	58,611
	154,100	154	213,396
Balance as at December 31, 2004	55,207,455	40,615	6,831,434
2005 Transactions			
Options exercised for cash (note 4e)	739,000	739	1,004,647
2003 Purchase warrants exercised for cash (note 4d(i))	2,214,500	2,214	5,534,036
Broker warrants exercised for cash (note 4d(ii))	540,900	541	810,809
September 2005 private placement financing (note 4b)	4,252,400	4,252	27,636,348
Share issuance costs on private placement (note 4b)			(1,541,686)

	7,746,800	7,746	33,444,154
Balance as at December 31, 2005	62,954,255	48,361	40,275,588
2006 Transactions			
Options exercised for cash (note 4e(i))	610,000	610	753,540
2003 Purchase warrants exercised for cash (note 4d(i))	785,500	786	1,962,965
Share issuance costs (note 4b)			(58,553)
Stock-based compensation (note 5b)			280,465
	1,395,500	1,396	2,938,417
Balance as at June 30, 2006	64,349,755	49,757	43,214,005

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

4. Capital Stock (continued)

b) September 2005 Financing

During September 2005, GeoGlobal completed the sale of 3,252,400 Units of its securities at US\$6.50 per Unit, together with a concurrent sale of an additional 1,000,000 Units on the same terms, for aggregate gross cash total proceeds of US\$27,640,600.

Each Unit is comprised of one common share and one half of one warrant. One full warrant (2005 Purchase Warrant) entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share for US\$9.00, for a term of two years expiring September 2007. The 2005 Purchase Warrants are subject to accelerated expiration in the event that the price of the Company's common shares on the American Stock Exchange is US\$12.00 or more for 20 consecutive trading days, the resale of the shares included in the Units and issuable on exercise of the 2005 Purchase Warrants has been registered under the US Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Act), and the hold period for Canadian subscribers has expired. In such events, the warrant term will be reduced to 30 days from the date of issuance of a news release announcing such accelerated expiration of the warrant term.

Costs of US\$1,600,239 were incurred in issuing shares in these transactions which included a fee of US\$1,268,436 paid to Jones Gable & Company Limited with respect to the sale of the 3,252,400 Units, and, in addition, Compensation Options were issued to Jones Gable & Company Limited entitling it to purchase an additional 195,144 Units at an exercise price of US\$6.50 per Unit through their expiration in September 2007. Compensation Options are also subject to accelerated expiration on the same terms and conditions as the warrants issued in the transaction.

c) December 2003 Financing

On December 23, 2003, GeoGlobal completed a brokered private placement of 5,800,000 units at US\$1.00 each, together with a concurrent private placement of an additional 200,000 units on the same terms, for aggregate gross cash total proceeds of US\$6,000,000.

Each unit is comprised of one common share and one half of one warrant. One full warrant (2003 Purchase Warrant), entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share for US\$2.50, for a term of two years from date of closing. The 2003 Purchase Warrants are subject to accelerated expiration 30 days after issuance of a news release to that effect in the event that the common shares trade at US\$4.00 or more for 20 consecutive trading days and if the resale of the shares has been registered under the 1933 Act and the hold period for Canadian subscribers has expired. Also issued as additional consideration for this transaction were 580,000 Broker Warrants.

The 580,000 Broker Warrants described above entitled the holder to purchase 580,000 common shares at an exercise price of US\$1.50 per share which expired on December 23, 2005.

d) Warrants

i) 2003 Purchase Warrants

During the first quarter 2006, the remaining 2003 Purchase Warrants were exercised which resulted in the issuance of 785,500 common shares for gross proceeds of US\$1,963,750. As at June 30, 2006, no 2003 Purchase Warrants remain to be exercised.

ii) 2005 Purchase Warrants

As at June 30, 2006, all of the 2005 Purchase Warrants remained outstanding exercisable at \$9.00 per share, which if exercised, would result in the issuance of 2,126,200 common shares for gross proceeds of US\$19,135,800.

iii) Compensation Option Warrants

As at June 30, 2006, as a result of the outstanding Compensation Options not yet being exercised, none of the 97,572 Compensation Option Warrants have been issued. If the Compensation Options are exercised, the Compensation Option Warrants would be issued and their exercise would result in the issuance of 97,572 common shares for gross proceeds of US\$878,148.

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

4. Capital Stock (continued)

e) Options

i) Stock Options

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, 195,000 and 415,000 options respectively (December 31, 2005 739,000) were exercised at various prices between US\$1.01 and US\$1.50 for gross proceeds of US\$206,050 and US\$548,100 respectively (December 31, 2005 US\$1,005,385).

ii) Compensation Options

As at June 30, 2006, none of the 195,144 Compensation Options were exercised. When fully exercised, the Compensation Options would result in the issuance of 97,572 compensation option warrants, and the issuance of 195,144 common shares for gross proceeds of US\$1,268,436.

f) Weighted-average number of shares

For purposes of the determination of net loss per share, the basic and diluted weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was 59,147,997 and 58,841,639 respectively (three and six months ended June 30, 2005 - 50,342,600 and 50,275,401 respectively). The numbers for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 exclude the 5,000,000 shares currently held in escrow (note 6).

5. Stock Options

a) The Company's 1998 Stock Incentive Plan

At the annual stockholders meeting held on June 14, 2006, the stockholders approved amendments to the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) to increase the shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance under the Plan from 8,000,000 shares to 12,000,000 shares.

Under the terms of the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan), as amended, 12,000,000 common shares have been reserved for issuance on exercise of options granted under the Plan. As at June 30, 2006, the Company had 5,675,697 (December 31, 2005 1,875,697) common shares remaining for issuance under the Plan, as amended. The Board of Directors of the Company may amend or modify the Plan at any time, subject to any required stockholder approval. The Plan will terminate on the earliest of: (i) 10 years after the Plan Effective Date, being December 4, 2008; (ii) the date on which all shares available for issuance under the Plan have been issued as fully-vested shares; or, (iii) the termination of all outstanding options in connection with certain changes in control or ownership of the Company.

b) Stock-based compensation

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R), *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, using the modified-prospective-transition method on January 1, 2006. Under this method, the Company is required to recognize compensation cost for stock-based compensation arrangements with employees, consultants and directors based on their grant date fair values using the Modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model, such cost to be expensed over the compensations' respective vesting periods.

At January 1, 2006, the impact of this adoption required the Company to recognize a charge for past stock-based compensation options granted of US\$367,596 over the next 3 years in accordance with their respective vesting periods. In the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, US\$118,808 and US\$237,615 of this charge,

respectively, have been recognized.

In prior periods, the Company was required only to disclose the impact on net loss and net loss per share on a pro-forma basis. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, the stock-based compensation was US\$563,575 and US\$930,564, respectively. This resulted in a pro-forma net loss of US\$762,086 and US\$1,305,922, respectively, and a pro-forma net loss per share of US\$0.02 and US\$0.03, respectively.

Page 12

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****5. Stock Options (continued)**

On June 14, 2006, 200,000 stock options were granted to the Company's non-employee directors under the terms of the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan. The fair value of each option granted was estimated on the date of grant with the following assumptions, with weighted average comparative values provided for the twelve and six month periods ended December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2005.

The fair value of each option granted was estimated on the date of the grant using the Modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	June 30, 2006 US \$	December 31, 2005 US \$	June 30, 2005 US \$
Black-Scholes Assumptions			
Fair value of stock options granted ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5.09	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.41
Risk-free interest rate	3.97%	2.75%	2.75%
Volatility	92%	95%	103%
	2.0		
Expected life ⁽¹⁾	years	0.9 years	0.9 years
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

⁽¹⁾ weighted-average**c) Stock option table**

At June 30, 2006, these options are outstanding and have been granted for services provided to the Company:

Option				Granted	Cancelled (c) Exercised (e)	Balance	Balance	Balance
Grant	exercise	Expiry	Vesting	Balance	during	during the	June 30,	exercisable
date	price	date	date	Dec 31,	the	period	2006	June 30,
(mm/dd/yy)	US \$	(mm/dd/yy)	(mm/dd/yy)	2005	period	period	#	2006
				#	#	#		#
12/09/03	1.18	08/31/06	Vested	1,751,500		140,000(e)	1,611,500	1,611,500
12/30/03	1.50	08/31/06	Vested	345,000		225,000(e)	120,000	120,000
01/17/05	1.01	08/31/06	Vested	729,500		220,000(e)	509,500	509,500
01/18/05	1.10	08/31/08	Vested	450,000			450,000	450,000
01/18/05	1.10	08/31/08	08/15/06	150,000			150,000	
01/25/05	1.17	08/31/06	Vested	25,000		25,000(e)		
06/14/05	3.49	06/14/15	Vested	150,000			150,000	150,000
08/24/05	6.50	08/24/08	Vested	60,000			60,000	60,000
08/24/05	6.50	08/24/08	08/31/06	50,000			50,000	

Edgar Filing: GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC - Form 10QSB

10/03/05	6.81	10/03/15	10/03/06	16,666			16,666	
10/03/05	6.81	10/03/15	10/03/07	16,667			16,667	
10/03/05	6.81	10/03/15	10/03/08	16,667			16,667	
06/14/06	5.09	06/14/16	06/14/07		200,000		200,000	
				3,761,000	200,000	610,000	3,351,000	2,901,000

- i) As at June 30, 2006, there were 3,351,000 options outstanding at various prices which, if exercised, would result in total proceeds of US\$5,853,165.
- ii) During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, there were 195,000 and 415,000 options respectively exercised for total proceeds of US\$754,150.

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****6. Acquisition**

On August 29, 2003, pursuant to an agreement dated April 4, 2003 and amended August 29, 2003, the Company completed a transaction with Mr. Roy and GeoGlobal Resources (India) Inc. (GeoGlobal India), a corporation then wholly-owned by Mr. Roy, whereby the Company acquired from Mr. Roy all of the outstanding capital stock of GeoGlobal India. In exchange for the outstanding capital stock of GeoGlobal India, the Company issued 34.0 million shares of our Common Stock. Of the 34.0 million shares, 14.5 million shares were delivered to Mr. Roy at the closing of the transaction on August 29, 2003 and an aggregate of 19.5 million shares were held in escrow by an escrow agent. The terms of the escrow provide for the release of the shares upon the occurrence of certain developments relating to the outcome of oil and natural gas exploration and development activities conducted on the KG Block. On August 27, 2004, 14.5 million shares were released to Mr. Roy from escrow upon the commencement of a drilling program on the KG Block. The final 5.0 million shares remaining in escrow will be released only if a commercial discovery is declared on the KG Block. In addition to the shares of Common Stock, the Company delivered to Mr. Roy a US\$2.0 million promissory note, of which US\$500,000 was paid on the closing of the transaction on August 29, 2003, US\$500,000 was paid on October 15, 2003, US\$500,000 was paid on January 15, 2004 and US\$500,000 was paid on June 30, 2004. The note did not accrue interest. The note was secured by the outstanding stock of GeoGlobal India which has subsequently been released. As a consequence of the transaction, Mr. Roy held as of the closing of the transaction an aggregate of 34.0 million shares of our outstanding Common Stock, or approximately 69.3% of the shares outstanding, assuming all shares held in escrow are released to him. The terms of the transaction provide that Mr. Roy is to have the right to vote all 34.0 million shares following the closing, including the shares during the period they are held in escrow. Shares not released from the escrow will be surrendered back to GeoGlobal.

As discussed in note 1, the acquisition of GeoGlobal India by GeoGlobal was accounted for as a reverse takeover transaction. As a result, the cost of the transaction was determined based upon the net assets of GeoGlobal deemed to have been acquired. These consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of GeoGlobal from the date of acquisition. The net identifiable assets acquired of GeoGlobal were as follows:

	US \$
Net assets acquired	
Cash	3,034,666
Other current assets	75,000
Current liabilities	(2,706)
Net book value of identifiable assets acquired	3,106,960
Consideration paid	
Promissory note issued	2,000,000
34,000,000 common shares issued par value \$0.001	34,000
Additional paid-in capital	1,072,960
	3,106,960

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****7. Related Party Transactions**

Related party transactions are measured at the exchange amount which is the amount of consideration established and agreed by the related parties.

a) Note payable

On August 29, 2003, as part of the Acquisition (note 6), a US\$2,000,000 promissory note was issued to the sole shareholder of GeoGlobal India. On each of August 29, 2003, October 15, 2003, January 15, 2004 and June 30, 2004, US\$500,000 of the note was repaid. The promissory note was non-interest bearing and the capital stock of GeoGlobal India collateralized the repayment of the note. The collateral has been released.

b) Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc.

Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc. is related to the Company by common management and is controlled by a director of the Company who is also a principal shareholder of the Company. On March 27, 2003, the Company entered into a Participating Interest Agreement (note 3d) with the related party.

c) Roy Group (Barbados) Inc. (Roy Group)

Roy Group is related to the Company by common management and is controlled by a director of the Company who is also a principal shareholder of the Company. On August 29, 2003, the Company entered into a Technical Services Agreement (TSA) with Roy Group to provide services to the Company as assigned by the Company and to bring new oil and gas opportunities to the Company. On January 31, 2006, the terms of the agreement were amended to extend the term of the agreement from August 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007. Roy Group receives consideration of US\$350,000 per year as outlined and recorded below:

	Three months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Three months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Period from Inception, Aug 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006 US \$
Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Consulting fees	17,500	12,500	35,000	25,000	163,667
Consolidated Balance Sheets					
Property and equipment					
Exploration costs India	70,000	50,000	140,000	100,000	654,666
	87,500	62,500	175,000	125,000	818,333

Roy Group was also reimbursed for medical insurance and expenses; travel, hotel, meals and entertainment expenses; computer costs; and amounts billed by third parties incurred during the periods as outlined and recorded below:

Consolidated Statements of
Operations

General and administrative	41,072	75,172	1,489	180,891
Consolidated Balance Sheets				
Accounts receivable	227	227	15	21,597
Property and equipment				
Exploration costs India	20,355	56,093	12,543	353,890
Computer and office equipment	1,330	1,330		38,925
	62,984	132,822	14,047	595,303

At June 30, 2006, the Company owed Roy Group (Barbados) Inc. US\$85,067 (December 31, 2005 US\$169,181) for services provided and expenses incurred on behalf of the Company and pursuant to the TSA. These amounts bear no interest and have no set terms of repayment.

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.
(a development stage enterprise)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)
June 30, 2006

7. Related Party Transactions (continued)**d) D.I. Investments Ltd. (DI)**

DI is related to the Company by common management and is controlled by a director of the Company. DI charged consulting fees for services rendered as outlined and recorded below:

	Three months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Three months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Period from Inception, Aug 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006 US \$
Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Consulting fees	46,250	30,000	92,500	60,000	424,215

DI was also reimbursed for office costs, including rent, parking, office supplies and telephone as well as travel, hotel, meals and entertainment expenses incurred during the periods as outlined and recorded below:

Consolidated Statements of Operations					
General and administrative Office costs	6,323	5,411	19,504	19,010	178,639
Travel, hotel, meals and entertainment	915	2,281	1,007	3,531	48,517
Consolidated Balance Sheets					
Accounts receivable	3,309	2,596	7,439	5,517	21,604
	10,547	10,288	27,950	28,058	248,760

At June 30, 2006, the Company owed D.I. US\$17,611 (December 31, 2005 US\$70,309) as a result of services provided and expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. These amounts bear no interest and have no set terms of repayment.

e) Amicus Services Inc. (Amicus)

Amicus is related to the Company by virtue of being controlled by the brother of a director of the Company. Amicus charged consulting fees for services rendered as outlined below:

Consolidated Statements of Operations					
Consulting fees	20,001	7,925	38,882	14,035	117,986

Amicus was also reimbursed for office costs, including parking, office supplies and telephone as well as travel and hotel expenses incurred during the periods as outlined and recorded below:

Consolidated Statements of Operations					
General and administrative	789		789		3,603
Consolidated Balance Sheets					
Accounts receivable	1,451	134	2,143	562	8,692
Computer and office equipment					1,599
	2,240	134	2,932	562	13,894

At June 30, 2006, the Company owed Amicus Services Inc. US\$22,492 (December 31, 2005 US\$4,962) as a result of services provided and expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. These amounts bear no interest and have no set terms of repayment.

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.
(a development stage enterprise)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)
June 30, 2006

8. Income Taxes**a) Income tax expense**

The provision for income taxes in the consolidated financial statements differs from the result which would have been obtained by applying the combined Federal, State and Provincial tax rates to the loss before income taxes. This difference results from the following items:

	Three months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Three months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2006 US \$	Six months ended June 30-2005 US \$	Period from Inception, Aug 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006 US \$
Net loss before income taxes	(125,873)	(198,511)	(157,599)	(375,378)	(1,997,583)
Expected US tax rate	40.66%	40.66%	40.66%	40.66%	40.66%
Expected income tax recovery	(51,180)	(80,714)	(64,080)	(152,620)	(812,420)
Excess of expected tax rate over tax rate of foreign affiliates	40,315	11,469	48,452	24,526	241,024
	(10,865)	(69,245)	(15,628)	(128,094)	(571,396)
Valuation allowance	10,506	69,102	14,712	126,899	562,232
Other	359	143	916	1,195	9,164

Income tax recovery**b) Deferred income taxes**

The Company has not recognized the deferred income tax asset because the benefit is not more likely than not to be realized. The components of the net deferred income tax asset consist of the following temporary differences:

	June 30, 2006 US \$	December 31, 2005 US \$
Difference between tax base and reported amounts of depreciable assets	44,373	25,871
Non-capital loss carry forwards	6,973,597	7,556,646

Valuation allowance	7,017,970 (7,017,970)	7,582,517 (7,582,517)
---------------------	----------------------------------------	--------------------------

Deferred income tax asset

Table of Contents**GeoGlobal Resources Inc.****(a development stage enterprise)****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****June 30, 2006****8. Income Taxes (continued)****c) Loss carry forwards**

At June 30, 2006, the Company has US\$17,588,398 of available loss carry forwards to reduce taxable income for income tax purposes in the various jurisdictions as outlined below which have not been reflected in these consolidated financial statements.

Tax Jurisdiction	Amount US \$	Expiry Dates Commence
United States	17,082,503	2006
Canada	41,393	2010
Barbados	464,502	2012

9. Segmented Information

The Company's petroleum and natural gas exploration and development activities are conducted in India. Management of the Company considers the operations of the Company as one operating segment. The following information relates to the Company's geographic areas of operation.

	June 30, 2006 Property and equipment US \$	December 31, 2005 Property and equipment US \$
Canada	129,810	89,826
India	6,264,713	2,216,663
	6,394,523	2,306,489

10. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees**a) Restricted cash**

- i) As at June 30, 2006, the Company has provided to the GOI three irrevocable letters of credit totalling US\$1,566,445 (Mehsana US\$711,445, Sanand/Miroli US\$455,000 and Ankleshwar US\$400,000) (December 31, 2005 US\$392,485) secured by term deposits of the Company in the same amount. These letters of credit serve as guarantees for the performance of the minimum work commitments for the budget period ending March 31, 2007 of Phase I of these Cambay Blocks. The PSC's contain provisions whereby the joint venture participants must provide the GOI a bank guarantee in the amount of 35% of the participant's share of the minimum work program for a particular phase, to be undertaken during the period April 1, 2006 through March 31, 2007.
- ii) The Company has provided to their bankers as security for credit cards issued to employees for business purposes a term deposit in the amount of US\$35,876 (Cdn\$40,000).

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

10. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (continued)

b) Production Sharing Contracts

The Company is required to expend funds on the exploration activities to fulfill the terms of the minimum work commitment based on our participating interest for Phase I pursuant to the PSC s in respect of each of our exploration blocks as follows:

- i) Mehsana Acquire, process and interpret 75 square kilometers of 3D seismic and drill 7 exploratory wells between 1,000 and 2,200 meters.
- ii) Sanand/Miroli Acquire, process and interpret 200 square kilometers of 3D seismic and drill 12 exploratory wells between 1,500 and 3,000 meters.
- iii) Ankleshwar Acquire, process and interpret 448 square kilometers of 3D seismic and drill 14 exploratory wells between 1,500 and 2,500 meters.
- iv) DS Block Gravity and geochemical surveys and a 12,000 line kilometer aero magnetic survey.

In the event the Company fails to fulfill any of these minimum work programs, the Company must pay to the GOI their proportionate share of the amount that would be required to complete the minimum work program.

Under the terms of all the PSC s, the Company is also required to keep in force a financial and performance guarantee.

c) Tarapur Block

As the holder of a participating interest in the Tarapur Block, the Company will be required to fund its 20% share of all further exploration and development costs incurred on the exploration block. To June 30, 2006, US\$2,872,040 has been incurred under the terms of the Company s agreement with GSPC. Of this amount, US\$1,762,660 has been paid to GSPC, US\$671,169 is in accounts payable, and an additional US\$438,211 has been accrued for costs incurred to June 30, 2006. The Company has budgeted to expend an additional aggregate of approximately US\$4.6 million for exploration activities under the terms of the agreement entered into covering the Tarapur block over the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will be required to keep in force a financial and performance guarantee securing its performance under the Tarapur PSC.

d) KG Block

Under the terms of our PSC relating to the KG Block, the first phase of the exploration period was to expire on September 11, 2005. The PSC provides that by the end of the first phase, the contracting parties, in addition to other parts of the work program which have been completed, shall have drilled at least fourteen wells. Through March 11, 2006, four wells had been drilled on the exploration block, leaving ten wells to be drilled. The PSC provides that, if at the end of an exploration phase a work program for that phase is not completed, the time for completion of the exploration program for that phase is to be extended for a period necessary to enable completion but not exceeding six months provided the parties (i) submit a request by written notice to the Government of India at least thirty days prior to the expiration of the relevant phase, (ii) can show technical or other good reasons for the non-completion of the work program, and (iii) the management committee gives its consent to the extension. Any such extension that is granted is to be deducted from the next succeeding exploration phase.

On August 5, 2005, a written notice requesting the six month extension was submitted and on August 29, 2005, the management committee consented to the extension of six months to March 11, 2006 and deducted the six month extension from the Phase II exploration period.

On February 24, 2006, the management committee for the KG Block recommended a further extension to the first phase of twelve months to March 11, 2007 which is also to be deducted from the second phase of the exploration program. As a further condition to the extension, the management committee recommended that GSPC be required to provide a bank guarantee as to 50% of the unfinished work program, which GSPC has agreed to provide. As at August 11, 2006, approval of this extension from the Government of India is still pending.

Page 19

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

10. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (continued)

Under the terms of the PSC, in the event the ten remaining wells are not drilled by March 11, 2007 or the further extension is not granted, the Company may be liable for consequences of non-fulfillment of the minimum work commitment in a given time frame under the PSC. The PSC has provisions for termination on account of various reasons specified therein including material breach of the contract. Termination rights can be exercised after giving ninety days written notice, unless such failure of compliance or contravention is remedied within the ninety-day period or such extended period as may be granted by the Government of India. The failure to timely complete the minimum work commitment may be deemed by the GOI to be a failure to comply with the provisions of the PSC in a material particular. We have been advised by GSPC that it is unaware of any such precedence. In the event the PSC is terminated by the Government of India, or in the event the work program is not fulfilled by the end of the relevant exploration phase, each party to the PSC is to pay to the Government of India its participating interest share of an amount which is equal to the amount that would be required to complete the minimum work program for that phase. We are of the view that GSPC, under the terms of our CIA, would be liable for our participating interest share of the amount required to complete the minimum work program for the phase. However, termination of the PSC by the Government of India would result in the loss of our interest in the KG Block other than areas determined to encompass commercial discoveries. No areas on the KG Block have been determined to encompass commercial discoveries as of July 27, 2006. At June 30, 2006, GSPC, the Operator of the KG Block, has expended on exploration activities approximately \$18.8 million attributable to us under the CIA as compared to \$14.1 million at December 31, 2005.

11. Subsequent Events

- a) On July 24, 2006, the Company issued two irrevocable letters of credit in the amount of US\$650,000 which are secured by term deposits of the Company in the same amount which is an increase to the previous irrevocable letters of credit (Sanand/Miroli increase of US\$450,000 and Ankleshwar increase of US\$200,000). As at July 24, 2006, outstanding letters of credit totaled US\$2,216,445.
- b) Subsequent to the period ending June 30, 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the recommendations of the Compensation Committee with respect to:
 - i) the grant, on July 25, 2006, of options to purchase 1,025,000 shares to employees and consultants exercisable at US\$3.95 per share, vesting at various dates and expiring on December 31, 2009.
 - ii) the grant, on July 25, 2006, of options to purchase 1,000,000 shares to directors who are also senior officers exercisable at US\$3.95 per share, vesting at various dates and expiring on July 25, 2016.
- c) On July 27, 2006, a Registration Statement was filed by the Company with the US Securities and Exchange Commission under the Act with respect to the registration of 4,000,000 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the terms of the Company's 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, as such Plan was amended at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders held on June 14, 2006. The Plan was amended to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance in accordance with the terms of the Plan from 8,000,000 shares to 12,000,000 shares. Such shares or options to purchase such shares are issuable under the Plan to selected employees, non-employee members of the Board of Directors, and consultants or other independent advisors who provide services to the Company. Also included in the Registration Statement was a Prospectus relating to the re-sale of 3,237,000 shares of common stock by certain officers and Directors of the Company, who may offer and sell from time to time, for their own accounts, shares of the Company's common stock that they may acquire from time to time on exercise of options

granted under the Plan.

Table of Contents

GeoGlobal Resources Inc.

(a development stage enterprise)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

June 30, 2006

12. Comparative figures

As the Company is in its development stage, comparative figures represent the accumulated amounts of the continuing entity for the period from inception, being August 21, 2002 to June 30, 2006.

13. Recent Accounting Standards

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation Bulletin #48 (FIN 48) relating to income tax positions subject to FAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 utilizes a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement is only addressed if the recognition requirement has been satisfied (i.e., the position is more-likely-than-not to be sustained). Measurement of the tax benefit is based on the largest amount of benefit, determined on a cumulative probability basis that is more-likely-than-not to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions.

FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company expects that FIN 48 will not have a significant impact on its consolidated statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

General

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition or plan of operation should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the more detailed information including our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from the results and business plans discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed in Risk Factors, as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes and management's discussion and analysis thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Our Business Activities

We are engaged, through subsidiaries and joint ventures in which we are a participant, in the exploration for and development of oil and gas reserves. We initiated these activities in 2003. Through June 30, 2006, our activities have been undertaken in locations where we and our joint venture participants have been granted exploration rights pursuant to Production Sharing Contracts (PSCs) entered into with the Government of India (GOI). At June 30, 2006, we have entered into agreements with respect to six exploration blocks as follows:

The first of our agreements, entered into in February 2003, grants exploration rights in an area offshore eastern India. We refer to this as the KG Block and we have a net 5% carried interest under this agreement.

We have entered into two agreements which grant exploration rights in areas onshore in the Cambay Basin in the State of Gujarat in western India. These agreements were entered into with the Government of India in February 2004 and we have a 10% participating interest under each of these agreements. We refer to these as the Mehsana Block and the Sanand/Miroli Block.

In April 2005, we entered into an agreement with Gujarat State Petroleum Corporation Limited (GSPC), providing for our purchase and the sale by GSPC, subject to Government of India consent, of a 20% participating interest in the agreement granting exploration rights onshore in the Cambay Basin in the State of Gujarat. We refer to this as the Tarapur Block.

On September 23, 2005, we signed agreements with respect to two additional locations. One area in which we hold a 10% participating interest is located onshore in the Cambay Basin located in the State of Gujarat south-east of our three existing Cambay blocks. The second area is onshore in the Deccan Syncline Basin located in the northern portion of the State of Maharashtra in west-central India for which we operate and hold a 100% participating interest. We refer to these as the Ankleshwar Block and the DS Block.

All of our exploration activities should be considered highly speculative.

A COMPARISON OF OUR OPERATING RESULTS FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 TO JUNE 30, 2005

Statements of Operations

Three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we had expenses of \$556,374 compared with expenses of \$216,646 during the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is primarily the result of the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas exploration activities.

Table of Contents

Our general and administrative expenses increased to \$295,544 from \$83,342. These general and administrative expenses include costs related to the corporate head office including administrative salaries and services, rent and office costs, insurance, directors' fees, American Stock Exchange listing and filing fees and transfer agent fees and services. The increase is primarily a result of an increase of approximately \$30,000 in salaries; an increase of approximately \$49,000 in our bank charges for securing irrevocable letters of credit as bank guarantees for our minimum work commitments on our exploration blocks; an increase of approximately \$34,000 related to the relocation of our corporate head offices; and an overall increase in our general office costs associated with the increase in our oil and gas activities.

Our consulting fees increased to \$90,100 during the three months ended June 30, 2006 from \$51,735 in the prior three month period. These consulting fees reflect \$35,000 (2005 - \$12,500) paid under our Technical Services Agreement with a corporation wholly-owned by Mr. Jean Roy, President of the Company and other fees and expenses we incurred in employing various technical and corporate consultants who advised us on a variety of matters. The remaining increase is consistent with the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas activities.

Professional fees decreased slightly to \$65,187 during the three months ended June 30, 2006 from \$69,602 during the three months ended June 30, 2005. Professional fees include those paid to our auditors for pre-approved audit, accounting and tax services and fees paid to our legal advisors primarily for services provided with regard to filing various periodic reports and other documents and reviewing our various oil and gas and other agreements.

Stock-based compensation of \$94,233 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$nil for the same period in 2005, is a result of the adoption on January 1, 2006 of Financial Accounting Standard 123 (R) which requires the recognition of the compensation cost for stock-based compensation arrangements with employees, consultants and directors, to be expensed over the stock-based compensations' respective vesting periods.

Our other expenses and income during the three months ended June 30, 2006 resulted in income of \$430,501 versus \$18,135 for the same period in 2005. This increase is mostly attributed to an increase in interest income to \$427,749 from \$19,609 for the three months ended June 30, 2005, which is directly related to the significant increase in the size of the cash balances we held during the period as compared to 2005 as well as an increase in the US prime rate. Included in other expenses and income is a foreign exchange gain of \$2,752 compared to a loss in 2005 of \$1,474. Reflecting the increase in our interest income offset by our increased costs of our overall oil and gas activities during the three months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2005, we reduced our net loss to \$125,873 compared to a net loss of \$198,511 in 2005.

We capitalized overhead costs directly related to our exploration activities in India. The majority of these costs include outlays for geological, geophysical and supervisory services and related overhead costs incurred in India with respect to the Carried Interest Agreement (CIA) on the KG Block and our interests in various Production Sharing Contracts. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, these capitalized overhead costs were \$210,807 as compared to \$91,996 during the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is consistent with the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas exploration activities.

Six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we had expenses of \$986,638 compared with expenses of \$405,136 during the six months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is primarily the result of the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas exploration activities.

Our general and administrative expenses increased to \$482,653 from \$189,934. These general and administrative expenses include costs related to the corporate head office including administrative salaries and services, rent and office costs, insurance, directors' fees, American Stock Exchange listing and filing fees and transfer agent fees and services. The increase is primarily a result of an increase of approximately \$20,000 in our State of Delaware franchise tax due to our increased capitalization; increase of approximately \$50,000 in salaries; increase of approximately \$49,000 in our bank charges for securing irrevocable letters of credit as bank guarantees for our minimum work commitments on our exploration blocks; an increase of approximately \$34,000 related to the relocation of our corporate head offices; and an overall increase in our general office costs associated with the increase of our oil and gas activities.

Table of Contents

Our consulting fees increased to \$169,017 during the six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$101,574 in the prior six month period. These consulting fees reflect \$70,000 (2005 \$25,000) paid under our Technical Services Agreement with a corporation wholly-owned by Mr. Roy and other fees and expenses we incurred in employing various technical and corporate consultants who advised us on a variety of matters. The remaining increase is consistent with the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas activities.

Professional fees increased to \$100,928 during the six months ended June 30, 2006 from \$90,241 during the six months ended June 30, 2005. Professional fees include those paid to our auditors for pre-approved audit, accounting and tax services and fees paid to our legal advisors primarily for services provided with regard to filing various periodic reports and other documents and reviewing our various oil and gas and other agreements. The increase is mostly attributable to an increase in our fees paid to our lawyers for additional work incurred on our new NELP V joint operating agreements during the first quarter of 2006 as compared to 2005.

Stock-based compensation of \$213,041 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$nil for the same period in 2005, is a result of the adoption on January 1, 2006 of Financial Accounting Standard 123 (R) which requires the recognition of the compensation cost for stock-based compensation arrangements with employees, consultants and directors, to be expensed over the stock-based compensations' respective vesting periods.

Our other expenses and income during the six months ended June 30, 2006 resulted in income of \$829,039 versus \$29,778 for the same period in 2005. This increase is mostly attributed to an increase in interest income to \$827,618 from \$34,286 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 which is directly related to the significant increase in the size of the cash balances we held during the period as compared to 2005 as well as an increase in the US prime rate. Included in other expenses and income is a foreign exchange gain of \$1,421 compared to a loss in 2005 of \$4,508.

Reflecting the increase in our interest income offset by our increased costs due to the increase in our overall oil and gas activities during the six months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005, we reduced our net loss to \$157,599 compared to a net loss of \$375,358 in 2005.

We capitalized overhead costs directly related to our exploration activities in India. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, these capitalized overhead costs were \$778,311 as compared to \$156,720 during the six months ended June 30, 2005. While this increase is consistent with the increased scale of our participation in oil and gas exploration activities, \$340,500 of the increase is attributed to the purchase of a geophysical software package used in analyzing our oil and gas exploration blocks in the first quarter of 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2006, our cash and cash equivalents were \$35,046,330. Of these funds, \$34,862,912 are currently held as US funds in our bank accounts and in term deposits earning interest based on the US prime rate.

Three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

The decrease in our cash and cash equivalents of \$2,131,875 to \$35,046,330 from \$37,178,205 at March 31, 2006 is primarily the result of funds used in operating and investing activities and provided by financing activities as follows: Our cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$62,457 as compared to cash used in operating activities of \$196,920 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is mostly as a result of a reduction of our net loss due to an increase in our interest income derived from our increased cash balances. Cash used by investing activities during the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$2,697,432 as compared to \$117,015 during the three months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is a result of additional expenditures on our oil and gas activities which is consistent with the increased scale of our participation.

Cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was \$503,100 as compared to \$2,267,350 during the three months ended June 30, 2005. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, cash of \$548,100 was provided from the issuance of 415,000 shares of common stock on the exercise of options. During the same period in 2005, \$2,267,350 was provided for the issuance of 1,191,100 shares of common stock in the exercise of options, purchase and broker warrants.

Table of Contents

Six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

The decrease in our cash and cash equivalents of \$991,058 to \$35,046,330 from \$36,037,388 at December 31, 2005 is primarily the result of funds used in operating and investing activities and provided by financing activities as follows: Our cash used in operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$32,218 as compared to \$344,685 for the six months ended June 30, 2005. This decrease is mostly as a result of a reduction of our net loss due to an increase in interest income derived from our increased cash balances.

Cash used by investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$3,607,388 as compared to \$770,472 during the six months ended June 30, 2005. This increase is a result of additional expenditures on our oil and gas activities which is consistent with the increased scale of our participation.

Cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$2,648,548 as compared to \$2,267,350 during the six months ended June 30, 2005. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, cash of \$2,717,900 was provided from the issuance of 1,395,500 shares of common stock on the exercise of options and the remaining 2003 purchase warrants. During the same period in 2005, cash of \$2,267,350 was provided from the issuance of 1,191,100 shares of common stock in the exercise of options, purchase and broker warrants.

The KG Block and Our Carried Interest Agreement

At June 30, 2006, GSPC, the Operator of the KG Block, has expended on exploration activities approximately \$18.8 million attributable to us under the CIA as compared to \$14.1 million at December 31, 2005. Of this amount, 50% is for the account of RGM. Under the terms of the CIA, GeoGlobal and RGM are carried by GSPC for 100% of all our share of any costs during the exploration phase on the KG Block prior to the start date of initial commercial production.

Under the terms of the PSC, GSPC is committed to expend further funds for the exploration of and drilling on the KG Block. Preliminary estimates were that these expenditures attributable to us will total approximately \$22.0 million over the 6.5 year term of the PSC. Additional drilling costs incurred in drilling to depths in excess of 5,000 meters versus shallower depths as originally anticipated, as well as the testing and completion costs of these wells, has resulted in our actual costs exceeding our original preliminary estimates. As of August 11, 2006, the annual budget for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 has been prepared and submitted to the Management Committee for approval. It is budgeted that GSPC will expend approximately \$26.2 million attributed to us under the CIA over the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007. We are unable to estimate the amount of additional expenditures GSPC will make attributable to us prior to the start date of initial commercial production under the CIA or when, if ever, any commercial production will commence. As provided in the CIA, we will be required to bear the expenditures attributable to us after the start date of initial commercial production on the KG Block.

We will not realize cash flow from the KG venture until such time as the expenditures attributed to us, including those expenditures made for the account of RGM under the CIA have been recovered by GSPC from future production revenue. Under the terms of the CIA, all of our proportionate share of capital costs for exploration and development activities as referred to above, must be repaid to GSPC without interest over the projected production life or ten years, whichever is less.

The NELP IV Cambay Block Agreements

Mehsana Block & Sanand/Miroli Block

At June 30, 2006, we have incurred costs of approximately \$730,000 with respect to these two contracts. The annual budget estimates that our expenditures for exploration activities for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 will be approximately \$5.0 million based upon our 10% PI in these PSC s. During the 3 months ended June 30, 2006 we have incurred costs of approximately \$480,000 with respect to these two exploration blocks.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2006, we have provided to the GOI two irrevocable letters of credit totaling \$1,166,445 (Mehsana \$711,445 and Sanand/Miroli \$455,000) secured by term deposits of the Company in the same amount. These letters of credit serve as guarantees for the performance of the minimum work commitments for the budget period ending March 31, 2007 of Phase I of both of these Cambay Blocks. Subsequent to June 30, 2006, based upon a revision to the annual budget for the period ending March 31, 2007, we have increased our guarantee from \$455,000 to \$905,000 for the Sanand/Miroli Block for a total of \$1,616,445 with respect to these two exploration blocks.

Tarapur Block Agreement

As the holder of a participating interest in the Tarapur Block, we are required to fund a 20% share of all exploration and development costs incurred on the exploration block. To June 30, 2006, estimated expenses incurred amount to \$2,872,040 under the terms of our agreement with GSPC. Of this amount, \$1,762,660 has been paid to GSPC, \$671,169 is in accounts payable, and an additional \$438,211 has been accrued for estimated costs incurred to June 30, 2006. We have budgeted to expend an additional aggregate of approximately \$4.6 million for exploration activities under the terms of the agreement for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 of which approximately \$780,000 was incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2006. Further, under the terms of the agreement, we will be required to keep in force a Financial and Performance Guarantee in an amount sufficient to secure our performance under the Tarapur PSC.

*The NELP V Block Agreements**DS Block and Ankleshwar Block*

On September 28, 2005, we entered into two PSC s with the GOI covering two new onshore exploration blocks in India. The first block is the DS Block (DS-ONN-2003/1), which covers an area of approximately 3,155 square kilometers (sq. kms.) onshore in the Deccan Syncline Basin located in the northern portion of the State of Maharashtra in west-central India and in which we hold a 100% participating interest (PI) and are the operator. The second block is the Ankleshwar Block (CB-ONN-2003/2), which covers an area of approximately 448 sq. km. onshore in the State of Gujarat south-east of our three existing Cambay blocks. We are part of a consortium and hold a 10% PI in the Ankleshwar Block. GSPC is the operator of the Ankleshwar Block and holds a 50% PI, with the remainder held by GAIL (India) Ltd. as to a 20% PI and Jubilant as to a 20% PI.

Under the terms of the new PSC s for these exploration blocks, we have committed to expend funds on the exploration and drilling of these new exploration blocks. No budget has yet been approved by the Management Committees on the DS Block for the twelve months ending March 31, 2007, however preliminary estimates of our expenditures are approximately \$9.6 million on the DS Block for exploration activities over a period of seven years. For the three months ending June 30, 2006, we incurred costs of approximately \$350,000 with respect to the Ankleshwar Block and have provided to the GOI an irrevocable letter of credit totaling \$400,000 secured by a term deposit we provided in the same amount as collateral. Subsequent to June 30, 2006 we have increased this letter of credit to \$600,000.

We estimate our expenditures for exploration activities during the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 will be approximately \$2.0 million based upon our PI in these two PSC s.

2006 Activities

We expect our exploration and development activities pursuant to the PSC s we are parties to will continue throughout 2006 in accordance with the terms of those agreements.

We expect to commence drilling activities in both of our Cambay Blocks during 2006. We anticipate Jubilant, as operator of the Mehana Block will commence the drilling of the first of seven wells in the third quarter of 2006 and to continually drill all seven wells with an expected completion date at the end of the first quarter of 2007. Similarly, we expect GSPC as operator of the Sanand/Miroli Block to commence the drilling of the first of six wells in the third quarter of 2006.

In addition, we have commenced a 448 sq. km. 3D seismic acquisition program on the Ankleshwar Block in the second quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

Further, we may seek to participate in joint ventures bidding for the award of further PSC's for exploration blocks expected to be awarded by the GOI in the future. As of August 11, 2006, we have no specific plans to join with others in bidding for any specific PSC's in India. We expect that our interest in any such ventures would involve a minority PI in the venture. In addition, as opportunities arise, we may seek to acquire minority PI's in exploration blocks where PSC's have been heretofore awarded by the GOI. The acquisition of any such interests would be subject to the execution of a definitive agreement and obtaining the requisite government consents and other approvals. Depending upon the scope of our activities during the year 2006, we may require additional capital for the possible acquisition of further minority PI's in PSC's in drilling blocks heretofore awarded by the GOI. We may also require additional capital in order to participate in ventures bidding for the grant of PSC's for future exploration blocks to be awarded by the GOI. We believe it can be expected that our interest in such ventures would be a PI. As of August 11, 2006, the scope of any possible such activities has not been definitively established and, accordingly, we are unable to disclose the amount of any funds that may be required for these purposes. As the holder of a PI in any such possible activities, it can be expected that we will be required to contribute capital to any such ventures.

We may during the year 2006 seek to participate in joint venture bidding for the acquisition of oil and gas interests in other international countries. As of August 11, 2006, we have not been awarded any such interests.

We may during the year 2006 also seek to raise additional capital to support an expanded level of activities as well as our ongoing operations. No specific plans or arrangements have been made to raise additional capital and we have not entered into any agreements in that regard. We expect that if we seek to raise additional capital it will be through the sale of equity securities. As of August 11, 2006, we are unable to estimate the terms on which any such capital may be raised, the price per share or possible number of shares involved.

Based on current budget projections prepared by the operator under the PSC to which we are a party, we expect that we will incur an aggregate of approximately \$12.6 million of capital expenditures for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007. This includes exploration costs to be incurred on our Cambay and DS Blocks as well as an estimated \$1.0 million to be incurred solely by us in providing services under our CIA relating to the KG Block and certain other overhead costs directly related to our exploration activities in India. There can be no assurance that these budgets will not be revised by the operators from time to time during the period and the amounts of such revisions may be material. The foregoing capital expenditure budgets do not include our cash operating expenses that we will expend throughout the period.

We believe that our available cash resources will be sufficient to meet all our expenses and cash requirements during the balance of the year ended December 31, 2006 for our present level of operations. We do not expect to have any significant change in 2006 in our number of employees.

*Recent Accounting Standards***FAS 123 (R)**

In December, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued a revision to Standard 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, requires the recognition of compensation cost for stock-based compensation arrangements with employees, consultants and directors based on their grant date fair values using the Modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Compensation expense is recorded over the awards' respective requisite service, with corresponding entries to paid-in capital.

The Company adopted 123(R) using the modified-prospective-transition method on January 1, 2006. Accordingly, the remaining fair value, amounting to \$367,596, of past stock-based compensation options was to be recognized over the ensuing 3 years.

Table of Contents

FN 48 Disclosure

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation Bulletin #48 (FIN 48) relating to income tax positions subject to FAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 utilizes a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement is only addressed if the recognition requirement has been satisfied (i.e., the position is more-likely-than-not to be sustained). Measurement of the tax benefit is based on the largest amount of benefit, determined on a cumulative probability basis that is more-likely-than-not to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions.

FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company expects that FIN 48 will not have a significant impact on its consolidated statements.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this Report are forward-looking statements as defined under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements made herein include, but are not limited to:

the statements in this Report regarding our plans and objectives relating to our future operations,

plans and objectives regarding the exploration, development and production activities conducted on the exploration blocks in India in which we have interests,

plans regarding drilling activities intended to be conducted through the ventures in which we are a participant, the success of those drilling activities and our ability and the ability of the ventures to complete any wells on the exploration blocks, to develop reserves of hydrocarbons in commercially marketable quantities, to establish facilities for the collection, distribution and marketing of hydrocarbons, to produce oil and natural gas in commercial quantities and to realize revenues from the sales of those hydrocarbons,

our plans and objectives to join with others or to directly seek to enter into or acquire interests in additional PSC s with the GOI and others,

our assumptions, plans and expectations regarding our future capital requirements,

our plans and intentions regarding our plans to raise additional capital,

the costs and expenses to be incurred in conducting exploration, well drilling, development and production activities and the adequacy of our capital to meet our requirements for our present and anticipated levels of activities are all forward-looking statements.

These statements appear, among other places, under the captions Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations and Risk Factors . If our plans fail to materialize, your investment will be in jeopardy.

We cannot assure you that our assumptions or our business plans and objectives discussed herein will prove to be accurate or be able to be attained.

We cannot assure you that any commercially recoverable quantities of hydrocarbon reserves will be discovered on the exploration blocks in which we have an interest.

Our ability to realize revenues cannot be assured. Our ability to successfully drill, test and complete producing wells cannot be assured.

We cannot assure you that we will have available to us the capital required to meet our plans and objectives at the times and in the amounts required or we will have available to us the amounts we are required to fund under the terms of the PSC s we are a party to.

We cannot assure you that we will be successful in joining any further ventures seeking to be granted PSC s by the GOI or that we will be successful in acquiring interests in existing ventures.

We cannot assure you that the GOI will consent to the assignment by GSPC of the 20% participating interest in the Tarapur Block.

We cannot assure you that the outcome of testing of one or more wells on the KG Block will be satisfactory and result in a commercially-productive well or that any further wells drilled on the KG Block will have commercially-successful results.

Table of Contents

Our inability to meet our goals and objectives or the consequences to us from adverse developments in general economic or capital market conditions, events having international consequences, or military or terrorist activities could have a material adverse effect on us. We caution you that various risk factors accompany those forward-looking statements and are described, among other places, under the caption "Risk Factors" herein. They are also described in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB, and our Current Reports on Form 8-K. These risk factors could cause our operating results, financial condition and ability to fulfill our plans to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made in this Report and could adversely affect our financial condition and our ability to pursue our business strategy and plans.

Risk Factors

An investment in shares of our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider the following factors, in addition to the other information contained in this Report, in evaluating our business and current and proposed activities before you purchase any shares of our common stock. You should also see the "Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995" regarding risks and uncertainties relating to us and to forward-looking statements in this Report.

Risks Relating to Our Oil and Gas Activities

Because We Are In the Early Stage Of Developing Our Activities, There Are Considerable Risks That We Will Be Unsuccessful

We are in the early stage of developing our operations. Our only activities in the oil and natural gas exploration and production industry have primarily involved entering into five Production Sharing Contracts with the GOI. In addition, we have entered into an agreement to acquire a participating interest in a sixth Production Sharing Contract ("PSC"), subject to GOI consent. We have realized no revenues from our oil and natural gas exploration and development activities and do not claim any proved reserves of oil or natural gas. As of August 11, 2006 a venture in which we have a net 5% interest, has drilled and abandoned two wells, has drilled, tested and completed two wells and is currently drilling a fifth well.

As of August 11, 2006, we do not claim any proved reserves of hydrocarbons as a result of those drilling, testing and evaluation activities. Our current plans are to conduct the exploration and development activities on the areas offshore and onshore India in accordance with the terms of the PSC's we are a party to. There can be no assurance that the exploratory drilling to be conducted on the exploration blocks in which we hold or have agreed to acquire an interest will result in any discovery of hydrocarbons or that any hydrocarbons that are discovered will be in commercially recoverable quantities. In addition, the realization of any revenues from commercially recoverable hydrocarbons is dependent upon the ability to deliver, store and market any hydrocarbons that are discovered. The presence of hydrocarbon reserves on contiguous properties is no assurance or necessary indication that hydrocarbons will be found in commercially marketable quantities on the exploration blocks in which we hold an interest. Our exploration opportunities are highly speculative and should any of these opportunities not result in the discovery of commercial quantities of oil and gas reserves, our investment in the venture could be lost.

Our business plans also include seeking to enter into additional joint ventures or other arrangements to acquire interests in additional government created and granted hydrocarbon exploration opportunities, primarily located onshore or in the offshore waters of India. Opportunities to acquire interests in exploration opportunities will be dependent upon our ability to identify, negotiate and enter into joint venture or other similar arrangements with respect to specific exploration opportunities and upon our ability to raise sufficient capital to fund our participation in those joint ventures or other exploration activities. Our success will be dependent upon the success of the exploration activities of the ventures in which we acquire an interest.

Table of Contents***Our Interest In The Production Sharing Contracts Involve Highly Speculative Exploration Opportunities That Involve Material Risks That We Will Be Unsuccessful***

Our interests in the exploration blocks should be considered to be highly speculative exploration opportunities that involve material risks. None of the exploration blocks in which we have an interest have any proven reserves and are not producing any quantities of oil or natural gas. Exploratory drilling activities are subject to many risks, including the risk that no commercially productive reservoirs will be encountered. There can be no assurance that wells drilled on any of the exploration blocks in which we have an interest or by any venture in which we may acquire an interest in the future will be productive or that we will receive any return or recover all or any portion of our investment. Drilling for oil and gas may involve unsuccessful or unprofitable efforts, not only from dry wells, but from wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues to return a profit after drilling, operating and other costs. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells is often uncertain. Drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed or canceled as a result of numerous factors, many of which are beyond the operator's control, including economic conditions, mechanical problems, extreme downhole pressures and temperatures, title problems, weather conditions, compliance with governmental requirements and shortages or delays of equipment and services. Drilling activities on the exploration blocks in which we hold an interest may not be successful and, if unsuccessful, such failure may have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations and financial condition.

Possible Inability of Contracting Parties to Fulfill Phase One of the Minimum Work Program for the KG Block

Our PSC relating to the KG Block provides that by the end of the first phase of the exploration period the contracting parties shall have drilled at least fourteen wells. The first phase of the exploration period expired on September 11, 2005. Through August 11, 2006, four wells have been drilled on the exploration block, leaving ten wells to be drilled. On August 5, 2005, a written notice requesting the six month extension was submitted and on August 29, 2005, the management committee consented to the extension of six months to March 11, 2006 and deducted the six month extension from the Phase II exploration period. On February 24, 2006, the management committee for the KG Block recommended a further extension to the first phase of twelve months to March 11, 2007 which will also be deducted from the second phase of the exploration program. As at August 11, 2006, approval of this extension from the GOI is still outstanding.

The PSC provides that, if at the end of an exploration phase a work program for that phase is not completed, the time for completion of the exploration program for that phase is to be extended for a period necessary to enable completion but not exceeding six months, provided the parties (i) submit a request by written notice to the GOI at least thirty days prior to the expiration of the relevant phase, (ii) can show technical or other good reasons for the non-completion of the work program, and (iii) the management committee gives its consent to the extension. Any such extension that is granted is to be deducted from the next succeeding exploration phase.

In the event the twelve month extension is granted and the ten additional wells are not drilled by March 11, 2007, or the further extension is not granted, the Company may be liable for consequences of non-fulfillment of the minimum work commitment in a given time frame under the PSC. The PSC has provisions for termination on account of various reasons specified therein, including material breach of the contract. Termination rights can be exercised after giving ninety days written notice, unless such failure of compliance or contravention is remedied within the ninety-day period or such extended period as may be granted by the GOI. The failure to timely complete the minimum work commitment may be deemed by the GOI to be a failure to comply with the provisions of the PSC in a material particular. We have been advised by GSPC that it is unaware of any such precedence. In the event the PSC is terminated by the GOI, or in the event the work program is not fulfilled by the end of the relevant exploration phase, each party to the PSC is to pay to the GOI its participating interest share of an amount which is equal to the amount that would be required to complete the minimum work program for that phase. We are of the view that GSPC, under the terms of our CIA, would be liable for our participating interest share of the amount required to complete the minimum work program for the phase. However, termination of the PSC by the GOI would result in the loss of our interest in the KG Block other than areas determined to encompass commercial discoveries. No areas on the KG Block have been determined to encompass commercial discoveries as of August 11, 2006.

Table of Contents

Because Our Activities Have Only Recently Commenced And We Have No Operating History And Reserves Of Oil And Gas, We Anticipate Future Losses; There Is No Assurance Of Our Profitability

Our oil and natural gas operations have been only recently established and we have no operating history, oil and gas reserves or assets upon which an evaluation of our business, our current business plans and our prospects can be based. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and problems frequently encountered by all companies in their early stages of development and, in particular, those engaged in exploratory oil and gas activities. Such risks include, without limitation:

- § We will experience failures to discover oil and gas in commercial quantities;
- § There are uncertainties as to the costs to be incurred in our exploratory drilling activities, cost overruns are possible and we may encounter mechanical difficulties and failures in completing wells;
- § There are uncertain costs inherent in drilling into unknown formations, such as over-pressured zones, high temperatures and tools lost in the hole; and

§ We may make changes in our drilling plans and locations as a result of prior exploratory drilling.

During the exploration phase prior to the start date of initial commercial production, we have a carried interest in the exploration activities on the KG Block. Our interests in our other five exploration blocks are participating interests which require us to pay our proportionate share of exploration, drilling and development expenses on these blocks substantially as those expenses are incurred. Unexpected or additional costs can affect the commercial viability of producing oil and gas from a well and will affect the time when and amounts that we can expect to receive from any production from a well. Because our carried costs of exploration and drilling on the KG Block are to be repaid in full to the operator, GSPC, before we are entitled to any share of production, additional exploration and development expenses will reduce and delay any share of production and revenues we will receive.

There can be no assurance that the ventures in which we are a participant will be successful in addressing these risks, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our prospects for the future. Our operations were recently established, and as such, we have no substantial operating history to serve as the basis to predict our ability to further the development of our business plan. Likewise, the outcome of our exploratory drilling activities, as well as our quarterly and annual operating results cannot be predicted. Consequently, we believe that period to period comparisons of our exploration, development, drilling and operating results will not necessarily be meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of our stage of development or future prospects. Through August 11, 2006, we abandoned two wells drilled on the KG Block and it is likely that in some future quarter our stage of development or operating or drilling results may fall below our expectations or the expectations of securities analysts and investors and that some of our drilling results will be unsuccessful and the wells abandoned. In such event, the trading price of our common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

We Expect to Have Substantial Requirements For Additional Capital That May Be Unavailable To Us Which Could Limit Our Ability To Participate In Our Existing and Additional Ventures Or Pursue Other Opportunities. Our Available Capital is Limited

In order to participate under the terms of our PSC s as well as in further joint venture arrangements leading to the possible grant of exploratory drilling opportunities, we will be required to contribute or have available to us material amounts of capital. Under the terms of our CIA relating to the KG Block, after the start date of initial commercial production on the KG Block, and under the terms of the five additional PSC s we are parties to, as well as the agreement relating to the acquisition of the 20% participating interest in the Tarapur Block, we are required to bear our proportionate share of costs during the exploration phases of those agreements. There can be no assurance that our currently available capital will be sufficient for these purposes or that any additional capital that is required will be available to us in the amounts and at the times required. Such capital also may be required to secure bonds in connection with the grant of exploration rights, to conduct or participate in exploration activities or be engaged in drilling and completion activities. We intend to seek the additional capital to meet our requirements from equity and debt offerings of our securities. Our ability to access additional capital will depend in part on the success of the

ventures in which we are a participant in locating reserves of oil and gas and developing producing wells on the exploration blocks, the results of our management in locating, negotiating and entering into joint venture or other arrangements on terms considered acceptable, as well as the status of the capital markets at the time such capital is sought.

Table of Contents

There can be no assurance that capital will be available to us from any source or that, if available, it will be at prices or on terms acceptable to us. Should we be unable to access the capital markets or should sufficient capital not be available, our activities could be delayed or reduced and, accordingly, any future exploration opportunities, revenues and operating activities may be adversely affected and could also result in our breach of the terms of a PSC which could result in the loss of our rights under the contract.

As of June 30, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$35.0 million. We currently expect that our available cash will be sufficient to fund through December 2007 at the present level of operations our required capital expenditures on the five exploration blocks in which we are currently a participant and our participation in the Tarapur Block in which we agreed to acquire a 20% participating interest. Although exploration activity budgets are subject to ongoing review and revision, our present estimate of our commitments of capital pursuant to the terms of our PSC s relating to these exploration blocks, totals approximately \$11.6 million during the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007. Any further PSC s we may seek to enter into or any expanded scope of our operations or other transactions that we may enter into may require us to fund our participation or capital expenditures with amounts of capital not currently available to us. We may be unsuccessful in raising the capital necessary to meet these capital requirements. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the capital.

Pursuant to our agreement executed on April 7, 2005 to acquire a 20% participating interest from GSPC in the Tarapur Block, we have paid to GSPC the sum of \$2,872,040, have set up \$671,169 in accounts payable and have accrued an additional \$438,211 for estimated costs incurred to June 30, 2006. In addition, it is expected that under the terms of our agreement with GSPC the total capital we will be required to contribute to exploration activities on Tarapur during the period ending March 31, 2007, based on our 20% participating interest, will be approximately an additional \$4.6 million.

Our agreement with GSPC on the Tarapur Block is subject to obtaining the GOI consent. In the event such consent is not obtained, the assignment would be terminated. In the event the GOI does not reject in writing the application for consent to the assignment within 180 days, it is deemed approved, however, the commencement of this 180 day period does not commence until the GOI deems the application submitted to be complete. The GOI has not as of August 11, 2006 deemed the application to be complete.

On May 30, 2006, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with GSPC relating to the Tarapur Block. Under the terms of our previous agreement with GSPC, we are to fund a 20% PI share of all past exploration costs incurred on the Tarapur Block and keep in force a financial and performance guarantee in an amount sufficient to secure our performance under the Tarapur PSC. Under the terms of our previous agreement with GSPC, in the event such GOI consent is not obtained, the assignment would be terminated. Pursuant to the MOU, in the event that the GOI does not approve of the Tarapur assignment, GSPC is obligated to repay to us all amounts paid by us to GSPC with respect to the Tarapur Block and the assignment would be automatically terminated. GSPC is to repay such amounts to us within 30 days of a written notice from us.

India s Regulatory Regime May Increase Our Risks And Expenses In Doing Business

All phases of the oil and gas exploration, development and production activities in which we are participating are regulated in varying degrees by the Indian government, either directly or through one or more governmental entities. The areas of government regulation include matters relating to restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, income taxes, expropriation of property, environmental protection and rig safety. In addition, the award of a PSC is subject to GOI consent and matters relating to the implementation and conduct of operations under the PSC is subject, under certain circumstances, to GOI consent. As a consequence, all future drilling and production programs and operations we undertake or are undertaken by the ventures in which we participate in India must be approved by the Indian government. Shifts in political conditions in India could adversely affect our business in India and the ability to obtain requisite government approvals in a timely fashion or at all. We, and our joint venture participants, must maintain satisfactory working relationships with the Indian government. This regulatory environment may increase the risks associated with our intended exploration and productivity activities and increase our costs of doing business.

Table of Contents

Our Control By Directors And Executive Officers May Result In Those Persons Having Interests Divergent From Our Other Stockholders

As of August 11, 2006, our Directors and executive officers and their respective affiliates, in the aggregate, beneficially hold 32,216,167 shares or approximately 50.0% of our outstanding Common Stock. As a result, these stockholders possess significant influence over us, giving them the ability, among other things, to elect a majority of our Board of Directors and approve significant corporate transactions. These persons will retain significant control over our present and future activities and our other stockholders and investors may be unable to meaningfully influence the course of our actions. These persons may have interests regarding the future activities and transactions in which we engage which may diverge from the interests of our other stockholders. Such share ownership and control may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of us, impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us, or discourage a potential acquiror from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us which could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock. Although management has no intention of engaging in such activities, there is also a risk that the existing management will be viewed as pursuing an agenda which is beneficial to themselves at the expense of other stockholders.

Our Reliance On A Limited Number Of Key Management Personnel Imposes Risks On Us That We Will Have Insufficient Management Personnel Available If The Services Of Any Of Them Are Unavailable

We are dependent upon the services of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Jean Paul Roy, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Allan J. Kent. The loss of either of their services could have a material adverse effect upon us. We currently do not have employment agreements with either of such persons or key man life insurance. The services of both Mr. Roy and Mr. Kent are provided pursuant to the terms of agreements with corporations wholly-owned by each of them. At present, Mr. Kent's services are provided through an oral agreement with the corporation he owns. Accordingly, these agreements do not contain any provisions whereby Mr. Roy and Mr. Kent have direct contractual obligations to us to provide services or refrain from other activities.

At present, our future is substantially dependent upon the geological and geophysical capabilities of Mr. Roy to locate oil and gas exploration opportunities for us and the ventures in which we are a participant. His inability to do the foregoing could materially adversely affect our future activities. We entered into a three-year Technical Services Agreement with Roy Group (Barbados) Inc. dated August 29, 2003, a company owned 100% by Mr. Roy, to perform such geological and geophysical duties and exercise such powers related thereto as we may from time to time assign to it. The expiration term of this contract has subsequently been extended to December 31, 2007. We have no agreement directly with Mr. Roy regarding his services to us.

Our Success Is Largely Dependent On The Success Of The Operators Of The Ventures In Which We Participate And Their Failure Or Inability To Properly Or Successfully Operate The Oil And Gas Exploration, Development And Production Activities On An Exploration Block, Could Materially Adversely Affect Us

At present, our only oil and gas interests are our rights under the terms of the five PSC's with the GOI that we have entered into and the Deed of Assignment and Assumption agreement with GSPC, effective only upon obtaining GOI consent, relating to an interest in the Tarapur Block. We are not and will not be the operator of any of the exploration, drilling and production activities conducted on our exploration blocks, with the exception of the DS block in which we are the operator. Accordingly, the realization of successes in the exploration of the blocks is substantially dependent upon the success of the operators in exploring for and developing reserves of oil and gas and their ability to market those reserves at prices that will yield a return to us.

Under the terms of our CIA for the KG Block, we have a carried interest in the exploration activities conducted by the parties on the KG Block prior to the start date of initial commercial production. However, under the terms of that agreement, all of our proportionate share of capital costs for exploration and development activities must be repaid without interest over the projected production life or ten years, whichever is less. Our proportionate share of these costs and expenses expected to be incurred over the 6.5 year term of the PSC for which our interest is carried was originally estimated to be approximately \$22.0 million. Additional drilling costs including the drilling to depths in excess of 5,000 meters, where higher downhole temperatures and pressures are encountered, versus shallower depths as originally anticipated, as well as the testing and completion costs of these wells, has resulted in additional costs

exceeding original budgeted expenditures. As a

Table of Contents

consequence of these additional drilling costs incurred, as of August 11, 2006, the annual budget for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 submitted to the Management Committee under the PSC for the KG Block estimates that GSPC will expend approximately \$26.2 million attributed to us under the CIA over the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007. Further additional expenditures may be required for cost overruns and completions of commercially successful wells. We are unable to estimate the amount of additional expenditures GSPC will make as operator attributable to us prior to the start date of initial commercial production under the CIA or when, if ever, any commercial production will commence. Of these expenditures, 50% are for the account of Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc. under the terms of the Participating Interest Agreement between us and Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc. We are not entitled to any share of production from the KG Block until such time as the expenditures attributed to us, including those expenditures made for the account of Roy Group (Mauritius) Inc., under the CIA, have been recovered by GSPC from future production revenue. Therefore, we are unable to estimate when we may commence to receive distributions from any production of hydrocarbon reserves found on the KG Block. As provided in the CIA, in addition to repaying our proportionate share of capital costs incurred for which we were carried, we will be required to bear our proportionate share of the expenditures attributable to us after the start date of initial commercial production on the KG Block.

Certain Terms Of The Production Sharing Contracts May Create Additional Expenses And Risks That Could Adversely Affect Our Revenues And Profitability

The PSC s contain certain terms that may affect the revenues of the joint venture participants to the agreements and create additional risks for us. These terms include, possibly among others, the following:

- § The venture participants are required to complete certain minimum work programs during the three phases of the terms of the PSC s. In the event the venture participants fail to fulfill any of these minimum work programs, the parties to the venture must pay to the GOI their proportionate share of the amount that would be required to complete the minimum work program. Accordingly, we could be called upon to pay our proportionate share of the estimated costs of any incomplete work programs;
- § Until such time as the GOI attains self sufficiency in the production of crude oil and condensate and is able to meet its national demand, the parties to the venture are required to sell in the Indian domestic market their entitlement under the PSC s to crude oil and condensate produced from the exploration blocks. In addition, the Indian domestic market has the first call on natural gas produced from the exploration blocks and the discovery and production of natural gas must be made in the context of the government s policy of utilization of natural gas and take into account the objectives of the government to develop its resources in the most efficient manner and promote conservation measures. Accordingly, this provision could interfere with our ability to realize the maximum price for our share of production of hydrocarbons;
- § The parties to each agreement that are not Indian companies, which includes us, are required to negotiate technical assistance agreements with the GOI or its nominee whereby such foreign company can render technical assistance and make available commercially available technical information of a proprietary nature for use in India by the government or its nominee, subject, among other things, to confidentiality restrictions. Although not intended, this could increase each venture s and our cost of operations; and
- § The parties to each venture are required to give preference, including the use of tender procedures, to the purchase and use of goods manufactured, produced or supplied in India provided that such goods are available on equal or better terms than imported goods, and to employ Indian subcontractors having the required skills insofar as their services are available on comparable standards and at competitive prices and terms. Although not intended, this could increase the venture s and our cost of operations.

These provisions of the PSC s, possibly among others, may increase our costs of participating in the ventures and thereby affect our profitability.

Table of Contents

Oil And Gas Prices Fluctuate Widely And Low Oil And Gas Prices Could Adversely Affect Our Financial Results

There is no assurance that there will be any market for oil or gas produced from the exploration blocks in which we hold an interest and our ability to deliver the production from any wells may be constrained by the absence of or limitations on collector systems and pipelines. Future price fluctuations could have a major impact on the future revenues from any oil and gas produced on these exploration blocks and thereby our revenue, and materially affect the return from and the financial viability of any reserves that are claimed. Historically, oil and gas prices and markets have been volatile, and they are likely to continue to be volatile in the future. A significant decrease in oil and gas prices could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and profitability and would adversely affect our financial condition and the results of our operations. In addition, because world oil prices are quoted in and trade on the basis of U.S. dollars, fluctuations in currency exchange rates that affect world oil prices could also affect our revenues. Prices for oil and gas fluctuate in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control, including:

- § political conditions in oil producing regions, including the Middle East and elsewhere;
- § the domestic and foreign supply of oil and gas;
- § quotas imposed by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries upon its members;
- § the level of consumer demand;
- § weather conditions;
- § domestic and foreign government regulations;
- § the price and availability of alternative fuels;
- § overall economic conditions; and
- § international political conditions.

In addition, various factors may adversely affect the ability to market oil and gas production from the exploration block, including:

- § the capacity and availability of oil and gas gathering systems and pipelines;
- § the ability to produce oil and gas in commercial quantities and to enhance and maintain production from existing wells and wells proposed to be drilled;
- § the proximity of future hydrocarbon discoveries to oil and gas transmission facilities and processing equipment (as well as the capacity of such facilities);
- § the effect of governmental regulation of production and transportation (including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production, importing and exporting of oil and condensate and matters associated with the protection of the environment);
- § the imposition of trade sanctions or embargoes by other countries;
- § the availability and frequency of delivery vessels;
- § changes in supply due to drilling by others;
- § the availability of drilling rigs and qualified personnel; and
- § changes in demand.

Our Ability To Locate And Participate In Additional Exploration Opportunities And To Manage Growth May Be Limited By Reason Of Our Limited History Of Operations And The Limited Size Of Our Staff

While our President and Executive Vice President have had extensive experience in the oil and gas exploration business, we have been engaged in limited activities in the oil and gas business over approximately the past three years and have a limited history of activities upon which you may base your evaluation of our performance. As a result of our brief operating history and limited activities in oil and gas exploration activities, our success to date in entering into ventures to acquire interests in exploration blocks may not be indicative that we will be successful in entering into any further ventures. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in growing our oil and gas exploration and development activities.

Table of Contents

Any future significant growth in our oil and gas exploration and development activities will place demands on our executive officers, and any increased scope of our operations will present challenges to us due to our current limited management resources. Our future performance will depend upon our management and their ability to locate and negotiate opportunities to participate in joint venture and other arrangements whereby we can participate in exploration opportunities. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts. Our inability to locate additional opportunities, to hire additional management and other personnel or to enhance our management systems could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our Future Performance Depends Upon Our Ability And The Ability Of The Ventures In Which We Participate To Find Or Acquire Oil And Gas Reserves That Are Economically Recoverable

Our success in developing our oil and gas exploration and development activities will be dependent upon establishing, through our participation with others in joint ventures and other similar activities, reserves of oil and gas and maintaining and possibly expanding the levels of those reserves. We and the joint ventures in which we may participate may not be able to locate and thereafter replace reserves from exploration and development activities at acceptable costs. Lower prices of oil and gas may further limit the kinds of reserves that can be developed at an acceptable cost. The business of exploring for, developing or acquiring reserves is capital intensive. We may not be able to make the necessary capital investment to enter into joint ventures or similar arrangements to maintain or expand our oil and gas reserves if capital is unavailable to us and the ventures in which we participate. In addition, exploration and development activities involve numerous risks that may result in dry holes, the failure to produce oil and gas in commercial quantities, the inability to fully produce discovered reserves and the inability to enhance production from existing wells.

We expect that we will continually seek to identify and evaluate joint venture and other exploration opportunities for our participation as a joint venture participant or through some other arrangement. Our ability to enter into additional exploration activities will be dependent to a large extent on our ability to negotiate arrangements with others and with various governments and governmental entities whereby we can be granted a participation in such ventures. There can be no assurance that we will be able to locate and negotiate such arrangements, have sufficient capital to meet the costs involved in entering into such arrangements or that, once entered into, that such exploration activities will be successful. Successful acquisition of exploration opportunities can be expected to require, among other things, accurate assessments of potential recoverable reserves, future oil and gas prices, projected operating costs, potential environmental and other liabilities and other factors. Such assessments are necessarily inexact, and as estimates, their accuracy is inherently uncertain. We cannot assure you that we will successfully consummate any further exploration opportunities or joint venture or other arrangements leading to such opportunities.

Estimating Reserves And Future Net Revenues Involves Uncertainties And Oil And Gas Price Declines May Lead To Impairment Of Oil And Gas Assets

Currently, we do not claim any proved reserves of oil or natural gas. Any reserve information that we may provide in the future will represent estimates based on reports prepared by independent petroleum engineers, as well as internally generated reports. Petroleum engineering is not an exact science. Information relating to proved oil and gas reserves is based upon engineering estimates derived after analysis of information we furnish or furnished by the operator of the property. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and gas reserves and of future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies and assumptions concerning future oil and gas prices, future operating costs, severance and excise taxes, capital expenditures and workover and remedial costs, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. Oil and gas prices, which fluctuate over time, may also affect proved reserve estimates. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil and gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of such reserves based on risk of recovery and estimates of the future net cash flows expected therefrom prepared by different engineers or by the same engineers at different times may vary substantially. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to reserves we may claim will likely vary from estimates, and such variances may be material. Either inaccuracies in estimates of proved undeveloped reserves or the inability to fund development could result in substantially reduced reserves. In addition, the timing of receipt of estimated future net revenues from proved

undeveloped reserves will be dependent upon the timing and implementation of drilling and development activities estimated by us for purposes of the reserve report.

Table of Contents

Quantities of proved reserves are estimated based on economic conditions in existence in the period of assessment. Lower oil and gas prices may have the impact of shortening the economic lives on certain fields because it becomes uneconomic to produce all recoverable reserves on such fields, thus reducing proved property reserve estimates. If such revisions in the estimated quantities of proved reserves occur, it will have the effect of increasing the rates of depreciation, depletion and amortization on the affected properties, which would decrease earnings or result in losses through higher depreciation, depletion and amortization expense. The revisions may also be sufficient to trigger impairment losses on certain properties that would result in a further non-cash charge to earnings.

Risks Relating To The Market For Our Common Stock

Volatility Of Our Stock Price

The public market for our common stock has been characterized by significant price and volume fluctuations. There can be no assurance that the market price of our common stock will not decline below its current or historic price ranges. The market price may bear no relationship to the prospects, stage of development, existence of oil and gas reserves, revenues, earnings, assets or potential of our Company and may not be indicative of our future business performance. The trading price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations. Fluctuations in the price of oil and gas and related international political events can be expected to affect the price of our common stock. In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price for many companies which fluctuations have been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. These market fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions, may have a material adverse effect on the market price of our company's common stock. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against such companies. Such litigation, if instituted, and irrespective of the outcome of such litigation, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources and have a material adverse effect on our company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including Jean Paul Roy, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Allan J. Kent, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, Mr. Roy and Mr. Kent have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective. There were no material changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation.

Disclosure controls and procedures are our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including Mr. Roy and Mr. Kent, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1* Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 1350 (furnished, not filed)

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 1350 (furnished, not filed)

* filed herewith

Page 38

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the *Exchange Act*, the Registrant caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GEOGLOBAL RESOURCES INC.

(Registrant)

August 11, 2006

/s/ Jean Paul Roy

Jean Paul Roy
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer and Director)

August 11, 2006

/s/ Allan J. Kent

Allan J. Kent
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting)

Page 39