

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC

Form 10-Q/A

February 13, 2004

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A
AMENDMENT NO. 1

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

1-16725
(Commission file number)

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

42-1520346
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

711 HIGH STREET, DES MOINES, IOWA 50392
(Address of principal executive offices)
(515) 247-5111
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The total number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of October 24, 2003 was 322,968,071.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A is being filed to amend our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, to correct an error made in connection with our adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 46, CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES ("FIN 46") effective July 1, 2003.

During the third quarter of 2003, we early adopted new rules under FIN 46 for our loan warehousing facility, which provides non-recourse financing for residential mortgage loans. The warehousing facility is a variable interest entity ("VIE") that issues short and medium term notes to third party investors and uses the proceeds to purchase mortgage loans from Principal Residential Mortgage, Inc. ("PRMI") pending ultimate sale to third party investors. PRMI is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary and is reported in our mortgage banking segment. We have always hedged the market value risk associated with interest rate movements between the time of loan commitment and the time the loan is sold outside of the facility.

FIN 46 required that we consolidate the VIE within our financial statements. Upon consolidation, gains and losses from the sale of loans between PRMI and the VIE were correctly eliminated from the consolidated statement of operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003, and additional mortgage loans held-for-sale and additional short and long-term debt were correctly reported on our consolidated statement of financial position as of September 30, 2003. We incorrectly continued the VIE's accounting of recognizing the market value appreciation in unsold loans from the closing of the loan to the end of the reporting period, as an other asset in our consolidated statement of financial position.

The VIE had a series of market value swap transactions between affiliated and external entities. Upon further review, the consolidation of the VIE with the Principal Financial Group, Inc. ("PFG") resulted in the accounting elimination of all the VIE's swap transactions with affiliated entities. As a result, on a consolidated PFG basis, PRMI has an economic hedge of mortgage loans held-for-sale through the use of forward derivatives. However, this economic hedge was not documented as an accounting hedge effective July 1, 2003, in accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133 ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES ("SFAS 133"), as amended.

In the absence of meeting the criteria for hedge accounting in accordance with SFAS 133, mortgage loans held-for-sale must be held at the lower of cost or market and the hedging instruments must be held at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in net income. The restatement reverses all market value appreciation on unsold mortgage loans, which were reported as other assets, net of income taxes, that were recognized in the third quarter consolidated statement of operations. The restatement also makes immaterial revisions and related reclassifications to the consolidated statements of financial position and cash flows. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 46 is also revised by an immaterial amount. See Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, for the effects on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

We are currently in the process of taking the necessary steps to obtain hedge accounting in accordance with SFAS 133 for the economic hedge described above.

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A amends:

- o Part I, Item 1 (Financial Statements), Item 2 (Management's Discussion and

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A")) and Item 3 (Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk), primarily to reverse all market appreciation on unsold mortgage loans, net of income taxes, that were recognized in third quarter. See Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the effects on the accompanying consolidated financial statements and MD&A.

- o Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2 (Certifications) have been updated. Part I, Item 4 (Controls and Procedures) has not been amended since our initial quarterly report for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, on Form 10-Q, but is restated herein in its entirety as it is referenced in the updated Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2.

The (unaudited) Financial Statements, and related notes and MD&A, set forth in this amendment, have been revised to reflect the restatements described above and, except for these revisions and the event discussed in Note 9, do not reflect events and developments, subsequent to September 30, 2003.

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at September 30, 2003 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2002.....	4
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002...	5
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002.....	7
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002.....	8
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - September 30, 2003.....	10
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	26
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.....	80
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.....	87
 PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	88
Signature.....	89

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

SEPTEMBER 30,

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	2003
	(Unaudited) (As Restated)
	(IN MIL EXCEPT PER
ASSETS	
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale.....	\$ 36,898.0
Fixed maturities, trading.....	103.5
Equity securities, available-for-sale.....	398.8
Mortgage loans.....	15,436.0
Real estate.....	1,515.5
Policy loans.....	805.8
Other investments.....	1,218.6
Total investments.....	56,376.2
Cash and cash equivalents.....	1,008.0
Accrued investment income.....	631.9
Premiums due and other receivables.....	792.2
Deferred policy acquisition costs.....	1,445.6
Property and equipment.....	450.4
Goodwill.....	154.3
Other intangibles.....	123.4
Mortgage loan servicing rights.....	1,892.4
Separate account assets.....	39,443.5
Other assets.....	1,396.7
Total assets.....	\$103,714.6
LIABILITIES	
Contractholder funds.....	\$ 28,086.1
Future policy benefits and claims.....	15,159.9
Other policyholder funds.....	730.6
Short-term debt.....	2,810.8
Long-term debt.....	2,745.9
Income taxes payable.....	25.3
Deferred income taxes.....	1,691.1
Separate account liabilities.....	39,443.5
Other liabilities.....	5,585.1
Total liabilities.....	96,278.3
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share - 2,500.0 million shares authorized, 377.3 million and 376.7 million shares issued, and 322.8 million and 334.4 million shares outstanding in 2003 and 2002, respectively.....	3.8
Additional paid-in capital.....	7,142.4
Retained earnings.....	571.8
Accumulated other comprehensive income.....	1,202.4
Treasury stock, at cost (54.5 million and 42.3 million shares in 2003 and 2002, respectively).....	(1,484.1)
Total stockholders' equity.....	7,436.3
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity.....	\$ 103,714.6

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NI SEPTE
	2003	2002	2003
	(AS RESTATED)		(AS RESTATED)
	(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DA		
REVENUES			
Premiums and other considerations.....	\$ 868.8	\$ 888.7	\$2,650.9
Fees and other revenues.....	475.5	516.6	1,796.5
Net investment income.....	877.9	821.2	2,571.6
Net realized/unrealized capital losses.....	(5.7)	(230.6)	(93.3)
Total revenues.....	2,216.5	1,995.9	6,925.7
EXPENSES			
Benefits, claims and settlement expenses.....	1,175.7	1,231.6	3,558.7
Dividends to policyholders.....	78.7	79.1	232.7
Operating expenses.....	727.5	640.2	2,392.4
Total expenses.....	1,981.9	1,950.9	6,183.8
Income from continuing operations before income taxes.....	234.6	45.0	741.9
Income taxes.....	59.1	2.4	207.4
Income from continuing operations, net of related income taxes.....	175.5	42.6	534.5
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of related income taxes.....	12.4	(201.0)	11.3
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting changes.....	187.9	(158.4)	545.8
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of related income taxes.....	(3.4)	-	(3.4)
Net income (loss).....	\$ 184.5	\$ (158.4)	\$ 542.4

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE SE
	2003	2002	2003
	(AS RESTATED)		(AS REST)
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE			
Basic earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations, net of related income taxes.....	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.63
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of related income taxes.....	0.04	(0.58)	0.04
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting changes.....	0.58	(0.46)	1.67
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of related income taxes.....	(0.01)	-	(0.01)
Net income (loss).....	\$ 0.57	\$ (0.46)	\$ 1.66
Diluted earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations, net of related income taxes.....	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.63
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of related income taxes.....	0.04	(0.57)	0.04
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting changes.....	0.58	(0.45)	1.67
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of related income taxes.....	(0.01)	-	(0.01)
Net income (loss).....	\$ 0.57	\$ (0.45)	\$ 1.66

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

6

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)

	COMMON STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	RETAINED EARNINGS (DEFICIT)	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	TREASURY STOCK
(IN MILLIONS)					
BALANCES AT JANUARY 1, 2002.....	\$3.8	\$7,072.5	\$ (29.1)	\$ 147.5	\$ (
Shares issued, net of put					

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

options.....	-	17.8	-	-	
Stock-based compensation.....	-	7.4	-	-	
Treasury stock acquired and sold, net.....	-	1.3	-	-	
Comprehensive income:					
Net loss.....	-	-	(73.1)	-	
Net unrealized gains.....	-	-	-	411.2	
Provision for deferred Income taxes.....	-	-	-	(146.5)	
Foreign currency translation adjustment.....	-	-	-	95.7	
Comprehensive income.....					
BALANCES AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2002...	\$3.8	\$7,099.0	\$ (102.2)	\$ 507.9	\$ (
BALANCES AT JANUARY 1, 2003.....	\$3.8	\$7,106.3	\$ 29.4	\$ 635.8	\$ (1,
Shares issued, net of call options.....	-	14.9	-	-	
Stock-based compensation.....	-	18.0	-	-	
sold, net.....	-	3.2	-	-	
Comprehensive income:					
Net income.....	-	-	542.4	-	
Net unrealized gains.....	-	-	-	816.6	
Provision for deferred income taxes.....	-	-	-	(294.7)	
Foreign currency translation adjustment.....	-	-	-	35.5	
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of related income taxes.....	-	-	-	9.2	
Comprehensive income.....					
BALANCES AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2003 (AS RESTATED).....	\$3.8	\$7,142.4	\$ 571.8	\$1,202.4	\$ (1,

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

7

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30,

2003 2002

(AS RESTATED)
(IN MILLIONS)

OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net income (loss).....	\$ 542.4	\$ (73.1)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Loss (income) from discontinued operations, net of related income taxes.....	(11.3)	194.9
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of related income taxes.....	3.4	280.9
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs.....	142.3	109.6
Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs....	(253.1)	(233.5)
Accrued investment income.....	24.5	9.1
Premiums due and other receivables.....	(0.8)	(45.1)
Contractholder and policyholder liabilities and dividends.....	1,473.3	1,545.5
Current and deferred income taxes.....	232.5	310.4
Net realized/unrealized capital losses.....	93.3	224.0
Depreciation and amortization expense.....	79.7	74.5
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights.....	336.8	223.9
Stock-based compensation.....	16.2	7.4
Mortgage servicing rights valuation adjustments...	367.1	800.5
Other.....	29.9	91.1
	-----	-----
Net adjustments.....	2,533.8	3,593.2
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	3,076.2	3,520.1
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Available-for-sale securities:		
Purchases.....	(7,435.7)	(11,882.9)
Sales.....	1,660.6	6,081.3
Maturities.....	4,007.7	3,109.1
Net cash flows from trading securities.....	-	(69.1)
Mortgage loans acquired or originated.....	(54,051.2)	(33,052.9)
Mortgage loans sold or repaid.....	53,397.8	32,703.2
Purchase of mortgage servicing rights.....	(930.1)	(690.7)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage servicing rights.....	34.4	6.4
Real estate acquired.....	(220.9)	(143.3)
Real estate sold.....	76.0	198.2
Net change in property and equipment.....	(15.6)	(41.8)
Net proceeds from sales of subsidiaries.....	33.6	1.4
Purchases of interest in subsidiaries, net of cash acquired.....	(95.4)	(48.0)
Net change in other investments.....	52.6	397.6
	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities.....	\$ (3,486.2)	\$ (3,431.5)

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)
(UNAUDITED)

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30,

-----	-----
2003	2002
-----	-----

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

(AS RESTATED)
(IN MILLIONS)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Issuance of common stock, net of call and put options.....	\$ 14.9	\$ 17.8
Acquisition and sales of treasury stock, net.....	(378.0)	(498.8)
Issuance of long-term debt.....	4.4	11.0
Principal repayments of long-term debt.....	(84.4)	(85.7)
Net proceeds of short-term borrowings.....	0.9	(37.3)
Investment contract deposits.....	6,935.0	5,510.2
Investment contract withdrawals.....	(6,310.7)	(5,072.1)
Net increase in Principal Bank deposits.....	197.3	136.6
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities..	379.4	(18.3)
	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents.	(30.6)	70.3
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period.....	1,038.6	561.2
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period.....	\$ 1,008.0	\$ 631.5
	=====	=====

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES.

9

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Principal Financial Group, Inc. ("PFG"), its majority-owned subsidiaries and, subsequent to June 30, 2003, its consolidated variable interest entities ("VIE"), have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial statements and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2003. These interim unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual audited financial statements as of December 31, 2002, included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The accompanying consolidated statement of financial position at December 31, 2002, has been derived from the audited consolidated statement of financial position but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements.

As described in Note 2, the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, and consolidated

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

statement of financial position as of September 30, 2003, including the applicable notes, have been restated.

Reclassifications have been made to the September 30, 2002, financial statements to conform to the September 30, 2003, presentation.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Interpretation No. 46, CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES ("FIN 46"), in January 2003. FIN 46 applies to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest, or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entities to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 requires the consolidation of VIEs in which an enterprise, known as the primary beneficiary, absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, or both, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entity.

FIN 46 established new accounting guidance relating to the consolidation of VIEs. The guidance was effective immediately for all VIEs created after January 31, 2003, and effective July 1, 2003, for all VIEs created before February 1, 2003. In October 2003, the FASB released Staff Position FIN 46-6, EFFECTIVE DATE OF FASB INTERPRETATION NO. 46, CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, that allows the deferral of FIN 46 for all VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, until the end of the first interim or annual period ending after December 15, 2003, if certain conditions are met. Subsequent to February 1, 2003, we invested in one VIE, for which we are the primary beneficiary, and consolidated in accordance with FIN 46. Effective July 1, 2003, we consolidated all VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, for which we are the primary beneficiary.

10

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

As of September 30, 2003, our consolidated financial statements were adjusted to record a cumulative effect of adopting FIN 46, as follows (in millions):

	NET LOSS	ACCUMULATE COMPREHEN INCOME
	-----	-----
Cumulative effect of accounting change.	\$ (6.1)	\$14.1
Income tax impact.....	2.7	(4.9)
	-----	-----
Total.....	\$ (3.4)	\$ 9.2
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

See Note 3 for the disclosures relating to VIEs and the impact of such adoption on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 150, ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH CHARACTERISTICS OF BOTH LIABILITIES AND EQUITY ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes standards for classifying and measuring certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify certain financial instruments as liabilities that, under previous guidance, issuers accounted for as equity. We adopted SFAS 150 on July 1, 2003, which did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

On July 7, 2003, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants issued Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-1, ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING BY INSURANCE ENTERPRISES FOR CERTAIN NONTRADITIONAL LONG-DURATION CONTRACTS AND FOR SEPARATE ACCOUNTS. This SOP addresses an insurance enterprise's accounting for certain fixed and variable contract features not covered by other authoritative accounting guidance. This SOP is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2003. We are currently evaluating this SOP.

SEPARATE ACCOUNTS

At September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, the separate accounts included a separate account valued at \$834.3 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively, which primarily includes shares of our stock that were allocated and issued to eligible participants of qualified employee benefit plans administered by us as part of the policy credits issued under the demutualization. These shares are included in both basic and diluted earnings per share calculations. The separate account shares are recorded at fair value and are reported as separate account assets and separate account liabilities in the consolidated statement of financial position. Activity of the separate account shares is reflected in both the separate account assets and separate account liabilities and does not impact net income.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

At September 30, 2003, we have four stock-based compensation plans. We applied the fair value method to all stock-based awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2002. For stock-based awards granted prior to this date, we used the intrinsic value method.

11

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Awards under our plans vest over periods ranging from three months to three years. Therefore, the cost related to stock-based compensation included in the determination of net income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards since the inception of our stock-based compensation plans. Had compensation expense for our stock option awards and employees' purchase rights been determined based upon fair values at the grant dates for awards under the plans in accordance with SFAS No. 123, ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION, our net income and earnings per

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below. For the purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period.

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE SEPTEMBER
	2003	2002	2003
	(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)		
Net income (loss), as reported.....	\$ 184.5	\$ (158.4)	\$ 542.4
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects.....	5.1	6.2	14.2
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects.....	5.9	7.0	16.7
Pro forma net income (loss).....	\$ 183.7	\$ (159.2)	\$ 539.9
Basic earnings per share:			
As reported.....	\$ 0.57	\$ (0.46)	\$ 1.66
Pro forma.....	0.57	(0.46)	1.65
Diluted earnings per share:			
As reported.....	\$ 0.57	\$ (0.45)	\$ 1.66
Pro forma.....	0.57	(0.45)	1.65

2. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The financial statements as of September 30, 2003, and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2003, have been restated to correct an error made in connection with our adoption of FIN 46 effective July 1, 2003.

During the third quarter of 2003, we early adopted new rules under FIN 46 for our loan warehousing facility, which provides non-recourse financing for residential mortgage loans. The warehousing facility is a VIE that issues short and medium term notes to third party creditors and uses the proceeds to purchase mortgage loans from PRMI pending ultimate sale to third party investors. PRMI is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary and is reported in our mortgage banking segment. We have always hedged the market value risk associated with interest rate movements between the time of loan commitment and the time the loan is sold outside of the facility.

12

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

2. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

FIN 46 required that we consolidate the VIE within our financial statements. Upon consolidation, gains and losses from the sale of loans between PRMI and the VIE were correctly eliminated from the consolidated statement of operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003, and additional mortgage loans held-for-sale and additional short and long-term debt were correctly reported on our consolidated statement of financial position as of September 30, 2003. We incorrectly continued the VIE's accounting of recognizing the market value appreciation in unsold loans from the closing of the loan to the end of the reporting period, as an other asset in our consolidated statement of financial position.

The VIE had a series of market value swap transactions between affiliated and external entities. Upon further review, the consolidation of the VIE with PFG resulted in the accounting elimination of all the VIE's swap transactions with affiliated entities. As a result, on a consolidated PFG basis, PRMI has an economic hedge of mortgage loans held-for-sale through the use of forward derivatives. However, this economic hedge was not documented as an accounting hedge effective July 1, 2003, in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 133, as amended.

In the absence of meeting the criteria for hedge accounting in accordance with SFAS 133, mortgage loans held-for-sale must be held at the lower of cost or market and the hedging instruments must be held at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in net income. The restatement reverses all market value appreciation on unsold mortgage loans, which were reported as other assets, net of income taxes, that were recognized in the third quarter consolidated statement of operations. The restatement also makes immaterial revisions and related reclassifications to the consolidated statements of financial position and cash flows. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 46 is also revised by an immaterial amount.

The following tables present certain captions of the consolidated statement of financial position at September 30, 2003, consolidated statement of operations and earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, and certain captions of the statement of cash flows for nine months ended September 30, 2003, revised to reflect the correction of the error.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION:

	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated
	(IN MILLIONS)	
Mortgage loans.....	\$ 15,385.7	\$ 15,436.
Total investments.....	56,325.9	56,376.
Other assets.....	1,501.9	1,396.
Total assets.....	103,769.5	103,714.
Deferred income taxes.....	1,710.9	1,691.
Other liabilities.....	5,588.4	5,585.
Total liabilities.....	96,301.4	96,278.
Retained earnings.....	603.6	571.
Total stockholders' equity.....	7,468.1	7,436.
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity.....	103,769.5	103,714.

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

2. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS:

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003		FOR THE NINE MONTHS SEPTEMBER 30, 2003	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
	(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)			
Fees and other revenues.....	\$ 525.1	\$ 475.5	\$ 1,846.1	\$ 1,846.1
Total revenues.....	2,266.1	2,216.5	6,975.3	6,975.3
Income from continuing operations before income taxes.....	284.2	234.6	791.5	791.5
Income taxes.....	78.1	59.1	226.4	226.4
Income from continued operations, net of related income taxes.....	206.1	175.5	565.1	565.1
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change....	218.5	187.9	576.4	576.4
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of related income taxes.....	(2.2)	(3.4)	(2.2)	(2.2)
Net income.....	216.3	184.5	574.2	574.2
Comprehensive income (loss).....	(1.9)	(33.7)	1,140.8	1,140.8
 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:				
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations, net of related income taxes.....	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.54	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.73
Net income.....	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.75
 Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations, net of related income taxes.....	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.54	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.73
Net income.....	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.75

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS:

FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	As Previously Reported	As Restated
(IN MILLIONS)		
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	\$ 3,025.8	\$ 3,076.2
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(3,435.8)	(3,486.2)

Revisions to Notes 1 and 3 were made to reflect the changes above.

14

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We have relationships with various types of special purpose entities and other entities where we have a variable interest. After reviewing these relationships, we determined that we have investments in some of these entities that meet the definition of a VIE under FIN 46.

CONSOLIDATED VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

As of July 1, 2003, we consolidated a residential mortgage loan funding VIE, three grantor trusts and several other immaterial VIEs in which we have determined we are the primary beneficiary. The incremental impact on certain financial data as of September 30, 2003, after consideration of our previous investment for these consolidated VIEs, is as follows (in millions):

Total assets.....	\$ 3,654.7	
	=====	
Total short-term debt.....	\$ 2,159.9	
Total long-term debt.....	1,458.1	
Total other liabilities.....	67.4	

Total liabilities.....	3,685.4	
Total equity.....	(30.7)	

Total liabilities and equity.....	\$ 3,654.7	
	=====	

The consolidation of these entities resulted in a \$(20.8) million impact to our income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting changes.

RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOAN FUNDING VIE. Principal Residential Mortgage Capital Resources, LLC ("PRMCR") provides a source of funding for our residential mortgage loan production. Prior to consolidation, we sold approximately \$32.8 billion in mortgage loans to PRMCR for the six months ended, June 30, 2003. The maximum amount of mortgage loans that can be warehoused in PRMCR is \$4.0

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

billion. PRMCR held \$3.5 billion in mortgage loans held-for-sale as of September 30, 2003. The portfolio of loans held-for-sale by PRMCR must meet portfolio criteria, eligibility representations, and portfolio aging limitations. Based on these eligibility representations, we are required to repurchase ineligible loans from PRMCR. During the first six months of 2003, we repurchased \$74.7 million of ineligible loans from PRMCR.

15

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
 (UNAUDITED)

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

PRMCR had the following debt components:

	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
----- (IN MILLIONS)	
SHORT-TERM DEBT:	
Secured liquidity notes	\$ 1,759.9
Three-year fixed term notes.....	400.0

Total short-term debt.....	\$ 2,159.9
	=====
LONG-TERM DEBT:	
Three-year fixed term notes.....	\$ 400.0
Five-year variable term notes.....	800.0
Outstanding equity certificates.....	193.0

Total long-term debt.....	\$ 1,393.0
	=====

As of September 30, 2003, future annual maturities of the long-term debt were as follows (in millions):

2004.....	\$ 400.0
2005.....	44.0
2006.....	949.0

Total future maturities of the long-term debt.....	\$ 1,393.0
	=====

All borrowings are collateralized by the assets of PRMCR, which are reported primarily as mortgage loans held-for-sale on the consolidated statement of financial position. The creditors of PRMCR have no recourse to other assets of our company.

CERTAIN GRANTOR TRUSTS. We contributed undated subordinated floating rate notes to three grantor trusts. The trusts separated the cash flows of the underlying notes by issuing an interest-only certificate and a residual certificate related to each note contributed. Each interest-only certificate entitles the holder to interest on the stated note for a specified term while the residual certificate entitles the holder to interest payments subsequent to the term of the

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

interest-only certificate and to all principal payments. We retained the interest-only certificate and the residual certificates were subsequently sold to a third party.

Upon adoption of FIN 46, we have deemed these grantor trusts to be variable interest entities. In the event of a default or prepayment on the underlying notes, which is the main risk of loss, our interest-only certificates are exposed to the majority of the risk of loss. The restricted interest period ends between 2016 and 2020 and, at that time, the residual certificate holder's certificate is redeemed by the trust in return for the note. It will be necessary to consolidate these entities until the expiration of the interest-only period. As of September 30, 2003, we recorded \$328.2 million in undated subordinated floating rate notes that represent collateral for the trusts' obligations. These notes are classified as available-for-sale fixed maturity securities in the consolidated financial statements. The obligation to deliver the underlying securities to the residual certificate holders is classified as an other liability and contains an embedded derivative of the forecasted transaction to deliver the underlying securities. The creditors of the grantor trusts do not have recourse to our general credit.

16

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

OTHER. In addition to the entities above, we have a number of relationships with a disparate group of entities, which result from our direct investment in the equity and/or debt of certain VIEs. These entities include a financial services company, a private investment trust, and a real estate limited partnership. Based upon our relationship with such entities, the individual consolidation of these VIEs did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position. For these entities, as of September 30, 2003, we reflect \$81.4 million in consolidated assets that are collateral for the VIEs' obligations and classified as fixed maturity securities, available-for-sale (\$12.9 million), equity securities, available-for-sale (\$13.9 million), real estate (\$54.3 million) and cash and other assets (\$0.3 million). For the majority of these entities, the creditors have no recourse to the assets of our company.

SIGNIFICANT UNCONSOLIDATED VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We hold a significant variable interest in a number of VIEs where we are not the primary beneficiary. These entities include private investment trusts and custodial relationships that have issued trust certificates or custodial receipts that are recorded as available-for-sale fixed maturity securities in the consolidated financial statements.

Between October 3, 1996 and September 21, 2001, we entered into seven separate but similar transactions where various third parties transferred funds to either a custodial account or a trust. The custodians or trusts purchased shares of specific money market funds and then separated the cash flows of the money market shares into share receipts and dividend receipts. The dividend receipts entitle the holder to dividends paid for a specified term while the share receipts purchased at a discount entitle the holder to dividend payments subsequent to the term of the dividend receipts and the rights to the underlying shares. We have purchased the share receipts. After the restricted dividend period ends between 2017 and 2021, we, as the share receipt holder, have the

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

right to terminate the custodial account or trust agreement and will receive the underlying money market fund shares. The primary beneficiary is the dividend receipt holder, which has the majority of the risk of loss. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these entities is our recorded investment of \$181.7 million as of September 30, 2003.

On June 20, 1997, we entered into a transaction in which we purchased a residual trust certificate. The trust separated the cash flows of an underlying security into an interest-only certificate that entitles the third party certificate holder to the stated interest on the underlying security through May 15, 2017, and into a residual certificate entitling the holder to interest payments subsequent to the term of the interest-only certificates and any principal payments. Subsequent to the restricted interest period, we, as the residual certificate holder, have the right to terminate the trust agreement and will receive the underlying security. The primary beneficiary is the interest-only certificate holder, which has the majority of the risk of loss. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with this entity is our recorded investment of \$56.3 million as of September 30, 2003.

17

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

We entered into various separate but similar transactions between August 15, 2000 and February 15, 2001, in which we contributed cash to trusts in return for a trust note. The trusts executed swaps in which the trust delivered cash to the counterparty in return for convertible, puttable fixed maturity securities. On the various dates in 2004 and 2005 that the trust notes are due, the underlying securities are returned to the swap counterparty and the trust notes are redeemed with the proceeds. The trust also swaps the equity option value embedded in the convertible security and the coupon on the security to the swap counterparty in return for a variable interest rate which the trust remits to the trust note holder. The swap counterparty has the right to instruct the trust to call the trust note and return the underlying security in order to utilize the convertible features of the security. We are not the primary beneficiary but we hold a significant variable interest in each of the trusts in which our notes have not yet been called by the swap counterparties. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these entities is our recorded investment of \$73.0 million as of September 30, 2003.

4. FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rate on income from continuing operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, is lower than the prevailing corporate federal income tax rate primarily due to income tax deductions allowed for corporate dividends received and interest exclusion from taxable income, partially offset by state income taxes.

18

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

(UNAUDITED)

5. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) is as follows:

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE MO SEPTEMBER
	2003	2002	2003
	(IN MILLIONS)		
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):			
Net income (loss).....	\$ 184.5	\$(158.4)	\$ 542.4
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on fixed maturities, available-for-sale.....	(464.9)	557.0	920.3
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on equity securities, available-for-sale.....	(6.1)	35.2	7.9
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns:			
Deferred policy acquisition costs....	60.2	(56.9)	(78.7)
Unearned revenue reserves.....	(6.5)	4.3	(0.4)
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments....	36.8	(124.9)	54.9
Adjustments to unrealized gains for Closed Block policyholder dividend obligation.....	38.2	(16.1)	(94.0)
Provision for deferred income tax benefit (expense).....	103.7	(143.4)	(294.7)
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on equity method subsidiaries and minority interest adjustments.....	11.9	(0.2)	6.6
Change in net foreign currency translation adjustment.....	(0.7)	89.9	35.5
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of related income taxes..	9.2	-	9.2
Comprehensive income (loss).....	\$ (33.7)	\$ 186.5	\$ 1,109.0

6. CONTINGENCIES, GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

LITIGATION

We are regularly involved in litigation, both as a defendant and as a plaintiff but primarily as a defendant. Litigation naming us as a defendant ordinarily arises out of our business operations as a provider of asset management and accumulation products and services, life, health and disability insurance, and mortgage banking. Some of the lawsuits are class actions, or purport to be, and some include claims for punitive damages. In addition, regulatory bodies, such as state insurance departments, the SEC, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., the Department of Labor and other regulatory bodies regularly make inquiries and conduct examinations or investigations concerning our compliance with, among other things, insurance laws, securities laws, ERISA and

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

laws governing the activities of broker-dealers.

19

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

6. CONTINGENCIES, GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS (CONTINUED)

A lawsuit was filed on September 27, 2001, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, seeking damages and other relief on behalf of a putative class of policyholders based on allegations that the plan of conversion of Principal Mutual Holding Company from a mutual insurance holding company into a stock company violates the United States Constitution. The action is captioned ESTHER L. GAYMAN V. PRINCIPAL MUTUAL HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL. On April 16, 2002, the Court granted our Motion to Dismiss and ordered the lawsuit be dismissed in its entirety. On April 17, 2002, a Judgment was entered to that effect. The Plaintiffs filed an appeal on May 15, 2002, with the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals. On November 22, 2002, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court's decision. The Plaintiffs filed a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on April 21, 2003, requesting the United States Supreme Court to review the decision of the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals. The Petition for a Writ of Certiorari was denied by the United States Supreme Court on June 23, 2003.

While the outcome of any pending or future litigation cannot be predicted, management does not believe that any pending litigation will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or net income. The outcome of litigation is always uncertain, and unforeseen results can occur. It is possible that such outcomes could materially affect net income in a particular quarter or annual period.

GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

In the normal course of business, we have provided guarantees to third parties primarily related to a former subsidiary, joint ventures and industrial revenue bonds. These agreements generally expire from 2003 through 2019. The maximum exposure under these agreements as of September 30, 2003, was \$160.2 million; however, we believe the likelihood is remote that material payments will be required and therefore have not accrued for a liability on our consolidated statements of financial position. Should we be required to perform under these guarantees, we generally could recover a portion of the loss from third parties through recourse provisions included in agreements with such parties, the sale of assets held as collateral that can be liquidated in the event that performance is required under the guarantees or other recourse generally available to us, minimizing the impact to net income. The fair value of such guarantees issued after January 1, 2003, was insignificant.

In connection with the 2002 sale of BT Financial Group, we agreed to indemnify the purchaser, Westpac Banking Corporation ("Westpac") for, among other things, the costs associated with potential late filings made by BT Financial Group in New Zealand prior to Westpac's ownership, up to a maximum of A\$250.0 million Australian dollars (approximately U.S. \$170.0 million as of September 30, 2003). New Zealand securities regulations allow Australian issuers to issue their securities in New Zealand provided that certain documents are appropriately filed with the New Zealand Registrar of Companies. Specifically, the regulations require that any amendments to constitutions and compliance plans be filed in New Zealand. In April 2003, the New Zealand Securities Commission ("the

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Commission") opined that such late filings would result in certain New Zealand investors having a right to return of their investment plus interest at 10% per annum from the date of investment. Consequently, the Commission has advised that it has initiated an inquiry into the matter, both with regard to BT Financial Group and other similar issuers. We view these potential late filings as a technical matter as we believe investors received the information that is required to be provided directly to them. In addition, we believe this technical issue may affect many in the industry and result in a favorable legislative or judicial solution. Finally, we are reviewing the applicability of the indemnification regarding this matter. Although we cannot predict the outcome of this matter or reasonably estimate losses, we do not believe that it would result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial position. It is possible, however, that it could have a material adverse effect on net income in a particular quarter or annual period.

20

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

6. CONTINGENCIES, GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS (CONTINUED)

In the normal course of business, we are subject to indemnification obligations related to the sale of residential mortgage loans. Under these indemnifications, we are required to repurchase certain mortgage loans that fail to meet the standard representations and warranties included in the sales contracts. The amount of our exposure is based on the potential loss that may be incurred if the repurchased mortgage loans are processed through the foreclosure process. Based on historical experience, total mortgage loans repurchased pursuant to these indemnification obligations are estimated to be approximately 0.04% of annual mortgage loan production levels. Total losses on the mortgage loans repurchased are estimated to approximate 25% of the unpaid principal balance of the related mortgage loans. As of September 30, 2003, \$5.1 million has been accrued for representing the fair value of such indemnifications issued after January 1, 2003, in accordance with FASB's Interpretation No. 45, GUARANTOR'S ACCOUNTING AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR GUARANTEES, INCLUDING INDIRECT GUARANTEES OF INDEBTEDNESS OF OTHERS.

We are also subject to various other indemnification obligations issued in conjunction with certain transactions, primarily the sale of BT Financial Group and other divestitures, the sale of servicing rights in our mortgage banking business, acquisitions, and financing transactions whose terms range in duration and often are not explicitly defined. Certain portions of these indemnifications may be capped, while other portions are not subject to such limitations. Generally, a maximum obligation is not explicitly stated; therefore, the overall maximum amount of the obligation under the indemnifications cannot be reasonably estimated. While we are unable to estimate with certainty the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these indemnifications, we believe the likelihood is remote that material payments would be required under such indemnifications and therefore such indemnifications would not result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or net income. The fair value of such indemnifications issued after January 1, 2003, was insignificant.

7. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We provide financial products and services through the following segments: U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation, International Asset Management and

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Accumulation, Life and Health Insurance and Mortgage Banking. In addition, there is a Corporate and Other segment. The segments are managed and reported separately because they provide different products and services, have different strategies or have different markets and distribution channels.

The U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation segment provides retirement and related financial products and services primarily to businesses, their employees and other individuals and provides asset management services to our asset accumulation business, the life and health insurance operations, the Corporate and Other segment and third-party clients.

The International Asset Management and Accumulation segment offers retirement products and services, annuities, long-term mutual funds and life insurance through subsidiaries in Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Hong Kong and India and joint ventures in Brazil, Japan and Malaysia. Prior to October 31, 2002, the operating segment included BT Financial Group, an Australia based asset manager. We sold substantially all of BT Financial Group, effective October 31, 2002. As a result, the results of operations (excluding corporate overhead) for BT Financial Group are reported as other after-tax adjustments for all periods presented.

The Life and Health insurance segment provides individual and group life insurance, group health insurance and individual and group disability insurance throughout the U.S.

The Mortgage Banking segment originates and services residential mortgage loan products for customers in the U.S.

21

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

7. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

The Corporate and Other segment manages the assets representing capital that has not been allocated to any other segment. Financial results of the Corporate and Other segment primarily reflect our financing activities (including interest expense), income on capital not allocated to other segments, intersegment eliminations, income tax risk assumptions and certain income, expenses and other after-tax adjustments not allocated to the segments based on review of the nature of such items.

Management uses segment operating earnings for goal setting, determining employee compensation, and evaluating performance on a basis comparable to that used by securities analysts. We determine segment operating earnings by adjusting U.S. GAAP net income for net realized/unrealized capital gains and losses, as adjusted, and other after-tax adjustments which management believes are not indicative of overall operating trends. Net realized/unrealized capital gains and losses, as adjusted, are net of income taxes, related changes in the amortization pattern of deferred policy acquisition costs, recognition of front-end fee revenues for sales charges on pension products and services, net realized capital gains and losses distributed, minority interest capital gains and losses and certain market value adjustments to fee revenues. Segment operating revenues exclude net realized/unrealized capital gains and their impact on recognition of front-end fee revenues and certain market value adjustments to fee revenues. While these items may be significant components in understanding and assessing the consolidated financial performance, management

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

believes the presentation of segment operating earnings enhances the understanding of our results of operations by highlighting earnings attributable to the normal, ongoing operations of the business.

The accounting policies of the segments are consistent with the accounting policies for the consolidated financial statements, with the exception of capital allocation and income tax allocation. We allocate capital to our segments based upon an internal capital model that allows management to more effectively manage our capital. The Corporate and Other segment functions to absorb the risk inherent in interpreting and applying tax law. The segments are allocated tax adjustments consistent with the positions we took on our tax returns. The Corporate and Other segment results reflect any differences between the tax returns and the estimated resolution of any disputes.

The following tables summarize selected financial information on a continuing basis by segment and reconcile segment totals to those reported in the consolidated financial statements:

	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003	AS OF DECEMBER 2002

(IN MILLIONS)		
ASSETS:		
U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation	\$ 79,307.0	\$ 70,371
International Asset Management and Accumulation.....	2,724.0	2,202
Life and Health Insurance.....	11,903.1	11,356
Mortgage Banking.....	7,810.4	3,740
Corporate and Other	1,970.1	2,190
	-----	-----
Total consolidated assets.....	\$ 103,714.6	\$ 89,861
	=====	=====

22

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

7. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE M SEPTEMBER
	2003	2002	2003

(IN MILLIONS)			
OPERATING REVENUES BY SEGMENT:			
U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation.....	\$ 871.9	\$ 865.9	\$ 2,626.9
International Asset Management and Accumulation.....	99.9	82.8	289.7

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Life and Health Insurance.....	995.7	987.7	3,009.8
Mortgage Banking.....	238.5	312.9	1,095.5
Corporate and Other.....	15.4	(15.8)	8.5
	-----	-----	-----
Total segment operating revenues.....	2,221.4	2,233.5	7,030.4
Net realized/unrealized capital losses, including recognition of front-end fee revenues and certain market value adjustments to fee revenues.....	(4.9)	(237.6)	(104.7)
	-----	-----	-----
Total revenue per consolidated statements of operations.....	\$ 2,216.5	\$1,995.9	\$ 6,925.7
	=====	=====	=====
REVENUES FROM EXTERNAL CUSTOMERS:			
U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation....	\$ 828.3	\$ 763.1	\$ 2,461.6
International Asset Management and Accumulation.....	103.3	83.3	285.8
Life and Health Insurance.....	995.3	972.8	2,994.5
Mortgage Banking.....	235.8	303.0	1,087.6
Corporate and Other.....	53.8	(126.3)	96.2
	-----	-----	-----
Total external revenues.....	\$ 2,216.5	\$1,995.9	\$ 6,925.7
	=====	=====	=====
INTERSEGMENT REVENUES:			
U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation....	\$ 14.0	\$ 12.7	\$ 40.2
International Asset Management and Accumulation.....	-	-	-
Life and Health Insurance.....	(1.5)	(1.1)	(4.3)
Mortgage Banking.....	2.7	9.9	7.9
Corporate and Other.....	(15.2)	(21.5)	(43.8)
	-----	-----	-----
Total.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	=====	=====	=====

23

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

7. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE M SEPTEMBER
	2003	2002	2003
	-----	-----	-----
	(IN MILLIONS)		
OPERATING EARNINGS (LOSS) BY SEGMENT:			
U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation ...	\$ 110.5	\$ 84.9	\$ 316.2
International Asset Management and Accumulation.....	8.0	5.0	26.7
Life and Health Insurance.....	52.8	55.7	174.8
Mortgage Banking.....	(1.4)	62.5	96.0
Corporate and Other	3.8	(5.6)	(11.6)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Total segment operating earnings.....	173.7	202.5	602.1
Net realized/unrealized capital gains (losses), as adjusted.....	1.8	(146.9)	(67.6)
Other after-tax adjustments (1).....	9.0	(214.0)	7.9
Net income (loss) per consolidated statements of operations.....	\$ 184.5	\$(158.4)	\$ 542.4

(1) For the three months ended September 30, 2003, other after-tax adjustments of \$9.0 million included (1) the positive effect of a change in the estimated loss on disposal of BT Financial Group (\$12.4 million) and (2) the negative effect of a cumulative effect of accounting change related to the implementation of FIN 46 (\$3.4 million).

For the three months ended September 30, 2002, other after-tax adjustments of (\$214.0) million included the negative effects of: (1) a loss from the discontinued operations of BT Financial Group (\$201.0 million) and (2) an increase to the loss contingency reserve established for sales practice litigation (\$13.0 million).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, other after-tax adjustments of \$7.9 million included (1) the positive effect of a change in the estimated loss on disposal of BT Financial Group (\$11.3 million) and (2) the negative effect of a cumulative effect of accounting change related to the implementation of FIN 46 (\$3.4 million).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2002, other after-tax adjustments of (\$490.8) million included the negative effects of (a) a cumulative effect of accounting change related to the implementation of SFAS 142, GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, (\$280.9 million); (b) a loss from the discontinued operations of BT Financial Group (\$194.9 million); (c) an increase to a loss contingency reserve established for sales practice litigation (\$13.0 million); and (d) expenses related to the demutualization (\$2.0 million).

24

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
(UNAUDITED)

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computations of the basic and diluted per share amounts for our continuing operations were as follows:

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
2003	2002	2003	2002
(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)			

Income from continuing

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

operations.....	\$ 175.5	\$42.6	\$534.5	\$402.
=====				
Weighted-average shares				
outstanding:				
Basic.....	323.5	347.2	327.2	354.
Dilutive effect:				
Stock options.....	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.
Long-term performance				
plan.....	-	0.6	-	0.
Restricted stock units (1).	-	-	-	-

Diluted.....	324.1	348.2	327.7	355.
=====				
Income from continuing				
operations per share:				
Basic.....	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.
=====				
Diluted.....	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.
=====				

(1) The dilutive effect was less than 0.1 million shares.

The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, excludes the incremental effect related to certain stock-based compensation grants due to their anti-dilutive effect.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On October 24, 2003, our Board of Directors declared an annual dividend of approximately \$145.3 million, equal to \$0.45 per share, payable on December 8, 2003, to shareholders of record as of November 7, 2003.

25

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following analysis discusses our financial condition as of September 30, 2003, compared with December 31, 2002, and our consolidated results of operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("U.S. GAAP"). The discussion and analysis includes, where appropriate, factors that may affect our future financial performance. The discussion should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes to the financial statements and the other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q/A.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Our narrative analysis below contains forward-looking statements intended to enhance the reader's ability to assess our future financial performance. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements that represent our beliefs concerning future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments, and contain words and phrases such as

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

"anticipate," "believe," "plan," "estimate," "expect," "intend," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are made based upon management's current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance.

Actual results may differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements as a result of risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to the following: (1) a decline or increased volatility in the securities markets could result in investors withdrawing from the markets or decreasing their rates of investment, either of which could reduce our net income, revenues and assets under management; (2) our investment portfolio is subject to several risks which may diminish the value of our invested assets and affect our sales, profitability and the investment returns credited to our customers; (3) competition from companies that may have greater financial resources, broader arrays of products, higher ratings and stronger financial performance may impair our ability to retain existing customers, attract new customers and maintain our profitability; (4) a downgrade in Principal Life Insurance Company's ("Principal Life") financial strength ratings may increase policy surrenders and withdrawals, reduce new sales and terminate relationships with distributors and cause some of our existing liabilities to be subject to acceleration, additional collateral support, changes in terms, or creation of additional financial obligations; (5) our efforts to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on our profitability and surplus may not be effective; (6) if we are unable to attract and retain sales representatives and develop new distribution sources, sales of our products and services may be reduced; (7) our international businesses face political, legal, operational and other risks that could reduce our profitability in those businesses; (8) our reserves established for future policy benefits and claims may prove inadequate, requiring us to increase liabilities; (9) our ability to pay stockholder dividends and meet our obligations may be constrained by the limitations on dividends Iowa insurance laws impose on Principal Life; (10) we may need to fund deficiencies in our closed block ("Closed Block") assets which benefit only the holders of Closed Block policies; (11) changes in laws, regulations or accounting standards may reduce our profitability; (12) litigation and regulatory investigations may harm our financial strength and reduce our profitability; (13) fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could reduce our profitability; (14) applicable laws and our stockholder rights plan, certificate of incorporation and by-laws may discourage takeovers and business combinations that our stockholders might consider in their best interests; and (15) a downgrade in our debt ratings may adversely affect our ability to secure funds and cause some of our existing liabilities to be subject to acceleration, additional collateral support, changes in terms, or creation of additional financial obligations.

26

OVERVIEW

We provide financial products and services through the following segments:

- o U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation, which consists of our asset accumulation operations, which provide products and services, including retirement savings and related investment products and services, and our asset management operations conducted through Principal Global Investors. We provide a comprehensive portfolio of asset accumulation products and services to businesses and individuals in the U.S., with a concentration on small and medium-sized businesses, which we define as businesses with fewer than 1,000 employees. We offer to businesses products and services for defined contribution pension plans, including 401(k) and 403(b) plans, defined benefit pension plans and non-qualified executive benefit plans. We

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

also offer annuities, mutual funds and bank products and services to the employees of our business customers and other individuals.

- o International Asset Management and Accumulation, which consists of Principal International, offers retirement products and services, annuities, long-term mutual funds and life insurance through subsidiaries in Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Hong Kong and India and joint ventures in Brazil, Japan, and Malaysia. Prior to October 31, 2002, the segment included BT Financial Group, an Australia based asset manager. We sold substantially all of BT Financial Group, effective October 31, 2002. See "Transactions Affecting Comparability of Results of Operations."
- o Life and Health Insurance, which provides life insurance, health insurance as well as disability insurance throughout the U.S. Our life insurance products include universal and variable universal life, traditional life, and group life. Our health insurance products include medical insurance, dental and vision insurance, and administrative services. Our disability insurance products include individual and group disability insurance.
- o Mortgage Banking, which engages in originating, purchasing, selling and servicing residential mortgage loans in the U.S.
- o Corporate and Other, which manages the assets representing capital that has not been allocated to any other segment. Financial results of the Corporate and Other segment primarily reflect our financing activities, income on capital not allocated to other segments, intersegment eliminations, income tax risk assumptions and certain income, expenses and other after-tax adjustments not allocated to the segments based on review of the nature of such items.

RECENT EVENTS

STOCKHOLDER DIVIDENDS

On October 24, 2003, our Board of Directors declared an annual dividend of approximately \$145.3 million, equal to \$0.45 per share, payable on December 8, 2003, to shareholders of record as of November 7, 2003.

RATINGS

On September 30, 2003, the rating agency Standard and Poor's affirmed Principal Life's financial strength and counterparty ratings of AA. In addition, Standard and Poor's revised the outlook on Principal Life's ratings to stable from negative.

27

TRANSACTIONS AFFECTING COMPARABILITY OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ACQUISITIONS

We acquired the following businesses, among others, during 2003 and 2002:

MW POST ADVISORY GROUP. On August 21, 2003, we agreed to purchase approximately 68% of MW Post Advisory Group ("Post Advisory") for approximately \$101.6 million. Effective October 15, 2003, we own 23% of Post Advisory and have agreed to purchase an additional 45% on or around, January 5, 2004. As part of our U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation segment, we will account for Post Advisory using the full consolidation method of accounting since, although we are only a minority owner from a voting share perspective prior to January 5, 2004, the terms of the acquisition provide us with control of Post Advisory effective

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

October 15, 2003. Our assets under management will increase approximately \$3.6 billion as a result of the acquisition.

IDBI - PRINCIPAL ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY. On August 31, 2003, we announced that our wholly-owned subsidiary, Principal Financial Group (Mauritius) Ltd., had entered into a joint venture agreement with Punjab National Bank ("PNB") and Vijaya Bank, two large Indian commercial banks, to sell long-term mutual funds and related financial services in India. The new company will be called Principal PNB Asset Management Company. As part of this transaction, we will roll our existing fund management company, Principal Asset Management Company, into the joint venture. We will retain 65% of the new company, selling 30% to PNB, who will merge its own PNB funds into the new company, and 5% to Vijaya Bank. We expect to close the transaction in the fourth quarter of 2003.

On June 24, 2003, Principal Financial Group (Mauritius) Ltd. finalized a buy-sale agreement to purchase an additional 50% ownership of IDBI - Principal Asset Management Company in India from Industrial Development Bank of India ("IDBI") for 940 million Indian Rupees ("INR") (approximately U.S. \$20.3 million). This transaction gave Principal Financial Group (Mauritius) Ltd. 100% ownership of IDBI - Principal Asset Management Company. Upon completion of the transaction, IDBI - Principal Asset Management Company was renamed to Principal Asset Management Company.

As part of our International Asset Management and Accumulation segment, we account for Principal Asset Management Company's statements of financial position using the full consolidation method of accounting. Activity that affected our statements of operations before our acquisition of majority ownership of the subsidiary is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Activity that will affect our statements of operations in future periods will be accounted for using the full consolidation method of accounting.

AFORE TEPEYAC S.A. DE C.V. On February 28, 2003, we purchased a 100% ownership of AFORE Tepeyac S.A. de C.V. ("AFORE Tepeyac") in Mexico from Mapfre American Vida, Caja Madrid and Mapfre Tepeyac for MX\$590.0 million Mexican Pesos ("MX\$") (approximately U.S. \$53.5 million). The operations of AFORE Tepeyac have been integrated into Principal International, Inc., as a part of our International Asset Management and Accumulation segment.

BENEFIT CONSULTANTS, INC. On January 1, 2003, we acquired Benefit Consultants, Inc. ("BCI Group") headquartered in Appleton, Wisconsin. BCI Group is a full-service consulting, actuarial and administration firm that specializes in administering qualified and nonqualified retirement benefit plans with a primary focus on employee stock ownership plans. Effective, January 1, 2003, the operations of BCI Group are reported in our U.S. Asset Management and Accumulation segment. We have integrated BCI Group operations into Principal Life.

28

ZURICH AFORE S.A. DE C.V. On May 31, 2002, we purchased a 100% ownership of Zurich AFORE S.A. de C.V. ("Zurich AFORE") in Mexico from Zurich Financial Services for MX\$468.4 million (approximately U.S. \$49.0 million). The operations of Zurich AFORE have been integrated into Principal International, Inc., as a part of our International Asset Management and Accumulation segment.

DISPOSITIONS

We entered into disposition agreements or disposed of the following businesses, among others, during 2003 and 2002:

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

BT FINANCIAL GROUP. On October 31, 2002, we sold substantially all of BT Financial Group to Westpac Banking Corporation ("Westpac"). As of September 30, 2003, we have received proceeds of A\$950.0 million Australian dollars ("A\$") (U.S. \$530.9 million) from Westpac, with future contingent proceeds in 2004 of up to A\$150.0 million (approximately U.S. \$80.0 million). The contingent proceeds will be based on Westpac's future success in growing retail funds under management.

Excluding contingent proceeds, our total after-tax proceeds from the sale were approximately U.S. \$885.0 million. This amount includes cash proceeds from Westpac, expected tax benefits, and gain from unwinding the hedged asset associated with our investment in BT Financial Group.

As of December 31, 2002, we accrued for an estimated after-tax loss on disposal of \$208.7 million. During the three months ended and nine months ended September 30, 2003, we incurred an after-tax gain of \$12.4 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. These gains are recorded in the income (loss) from discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations.

BT Financial Group is accounted for as a discontinued operation and therefore, the results of operations (excluding corporate overhead) and cash flows have been removed from our results of continuing operations for all periods presented. Corporate overhead allocated to BT Financial Group does not qualify for discontinued operations treatment under SFAS 144, ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS, and therefore is still included in our results of continuing operations. The results of operations (excluding corporate overhead) for BT Financial Group are reported as other after-tax adjustments in our International Asset Management and Accumulation segment. Selected financial information for the discontinued operations is as follows:

29

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		FOR THE NINE ENDED SEPT
	2003	2002	2003
	(IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT AS INDICATED)		
Total assets under management (\$ in billions).....	\$ -	\$ 15.6	\$ -
Total revenues.....	\$ -	\$ 39.2	\$ -
Loss from continuing operations (corporate overhead).....	\$ -	\$ (0.8)	\$ -
Income (loss) from discontinued operations:			
Income before income taxes.....	-	3.9	-
Income taxes (benefits).....	-	(1.7)	-
Income from discontinued operations.....	-	5.6	-
Income (loss) on disposal, net of related income taxes.....	12.4	(206.6)	11.3
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of related income taxes.....	12.4	(201.0)	11.3

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of related income taxes.....	-	-	-
Net income (loss).....	\$ 12.4	\$ (201.8)	\$ 11.3
	=====	=====	=====

In connection with the 2002 sale of BT Financial Group, we agreed to indemnify the purchaser, Westpac for, among other things, the costs associated with potential late filings made by BT Financial Group in New Zealand prior to Westpac's ownership, up to a maximum of A\$250.0 million Australian dollars (approximately U.S. \$170.0 million as of September 30, 2003). New Zealand securities regulations allow Australian issuers to issue their securities in New Zealand provided that certain documents are appropriately filed with the New Zealand Registrar of Companies. Specifically, the regulations require that any amendments to constitutions and compliance plans be filed in New Zealand. In April 2003, the New Zealand Securities Commission ("the Commission") opined that such late filings would result in certain New Zealand investors having a right to return of their investment plus interest at 10% per annum from the date of investment. Consequently, the Commission has advised that it has initiated an inquiry into the matter, both with regard to BT Financial Group and other similar issuers. We view these potential late filings as a technical matter as we believe investors received the information that is required to be provided directly to them. In addition, we believe this technical issue may affect many in the industry and result in a favorable legislative or judicial solution. Finally, we are reviewing the applicability of the indemnification regarding this matter. Although we cannot predict the outcome of this matter or reasonably estimate losses, we do not believe that it would result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial position. It is possible, however, that it could have a material adverse effect on net income in a particular quarter or annual period.

COVENTRY HEALTH CARE. On February 1, 2002, we sold our remaining stake of 15.1 million shares of Coventry Health Care, Inc. ("Coventry") common stock and a warrant, exercisable for 3.1 million shares of Coventry common stock. We received proceeds of \$325.4 million, resulting in a net realized capital gain of \$183.0 million, or \$114.5 million net of income taxes.

We reported our investment in Coventry in our Corporate and Other segment and accounted for it using the equity method prior to its sale. Our share of Coventry's net income was \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002.

30

OTHER TRANSACTIONS

SALE OF RETAIL FIELD MORTGAGE LENDING BRANCH OFFICES. On February 4, 2003, Principal Residential Mortgage signed a definitive agreement to sell the retail field mortgage lending branches to American Home Mortgage, Inc. ("American Home Mortgage"), an independent retail mortgage banking company. American Home Mortgage has paid Principal Residential Mortgage a guaranteed profit margin on its application pipeline that existed as of February 4, 2003 and has purchased the assets of the branch network and assumed related liabilities.

REINSURANCE TRANSACTION. Effective January 1, 2002, we entered into a reinsurance agreement to reinsure group medical insurance contracts. We have amended the contract. Effective January 1, 2003, the reinsurance contract is reported under the deposit method of accounting. This reduces ceded premiums and claims and increases operating expenses with no impact to net income.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

FLUCTUATIONS IN FOREIGN CURRENCY TO U.S. DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATES

Fluctuations in foreign currency to U.S. dollar exchange rates for countries in which we have operations can affect reported financial results. In years when foreign currencies weaken against the U.S. dollar, translating foreign currencies into U.S. dollars results in fewer U.S. dollars to be reported. When foreign currencies strengthen, translating foreign currencies into U.S. dollars results in more U.S. dollars to be reported.

In January 2002, the Argentine government ended its tie of the Argentine peso to the U.S. dollar, creating a dual currency system with an official fixed exchange rate of 1.4 pesos to 1.0 U.S. dollar for import and export transactions and a "free" floating exchange rate for other transactions, subsequently floating the Argentine peso in February 2002. The devaluation did not materially impact our consolidated results of operations.

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations create variances in our financial statement line items but have not had a material impact on our consolidated income from continuing operations. Our consolidated income from continuing operations was negatively impacted \$0.3 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 and negatively impacted \$5.3 million and \$9.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, as a result of fluctuations in foreign currency to U.S. dollar exchange rates. For a discussion of our approaches to foreign currency exchange rate risk, see Item 3. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk."

PENSION AND OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFIT EXPENSE

The 2003 annual pension benefit expense for substantially all of our employees and certain agents is expected to be approximately \$60.2 million pre-tax, \$39.1 million after-tax. This is an annual pre-tax increase of \$53.7 million over the 2002 pre-tax pension expense of \$6.5 million. Our consolidated net income reflected approximately \$15.0 million and \$45.1 million of pre-tax pension expense for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and nine months ended September 30, 2003, respectively. In addition, approximately \$15.0 million of pre-tax pension expense will be reflected in the remaining quarter of 2003. This increase in expense over 2002 is primarily due to the impact of low interest rates and the equity market downturn. The discount rate used to value the liabilities was lowered to 6.5% from the 2002 discount rate of 7.5% and the return on assets assumption was lowered to 8.5% from the 2002 return on assets assumption of 9.0%. To a lesser extent, the expense for other post-retirement benefits expense increased as well.

31

PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT OF MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

During the second quarter of 2003, we established a policy of evaluating permanent impairment of our mortgage servicing rights. Each quarter we will evaluate permanent impairment of our mortgage servicing rights and will recognize a direct write-down when the gross carrying value is not expected to be recovered in the foreseeable future. We estimate the amount of permanent impairment based on an analysis of the mortgage servicing rights valuation allowance related to loans that have prepaid. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded a permanent impairment of our mortgage servicing rights of \$81.7 million and \$581.9 million, respectively, which reduced the gross carrying value and the valuation allowance of the mortgage servicing rights, thereby precluding subsequent reversals. This write-down had no impact on our net income or financial position in the period

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

of adjustment but may result in a reduction of amortization expense and reduced recovery of impairments in periods subsequent to adjustment.

RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The financial statements as of September 30, 2003, and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2003, have been restated to correct an error made in connection with our adoption of FIN 46 effective July 1, 2003. See "Item 1. Financial Statements - Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 2" for a discussion of the restatement and its impact to our financial statements.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Interpretation No. 46, CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES ("FIN 46"), in January 2003. FIN 46 applies to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest, or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entities to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 requires the consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIE") in which an enterprise, known as the primary beneficiary, absorbs a majority of the entity's expected losses, receives a majority of the entity's expected residual returns, or both, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entity.

FIN 46 established new accounting guidance relating to the consolidation of VIEs. The guidance was effective immediately for all VIEs created after January 31, 2003, and effective July 1, 2003, for all VIEs created before February 1, 2003. In October 2003, the FASB released Staff Position FIN 46-6, EFFECTIVE DATE OF FASB INTERPRETATION NO. 46, CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, that allows the deferral of FIN 46 for all VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, until the end of the first interim or annual period ending after December 15, 2003, if certain conditions are met. Subsequent to February 1, 2003, we invested in one VIE, for which we are the primary beneficiary, and consolidated in accordance with FIN 46. Effective July 1, 2003, we consolidated all VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, for which we are the primary beneficiary.

As of September 30, 2003, our consolidated financial statements were adjusted to record a cumulative effect of adopting FIN 46, as follows (in millions):

	NET LOSS	ACCUMULATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
Cumulative effect of accounting change.....	\$(6.1)	\$ 14.1
Income tax impact.....	2.7	(4.9)
Total.....	\$(3.4)	\$ 9.2

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

As of July 1, 2003, we consolidated a residential mortgage loan funding VIE, three grantor trusts and several other immaterial VIEs in which we have determined we are the primary beneficiary. The incremental impact on certain financial data, after consideration of our previous investment for these consolidated VIEs, is as follows:

	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2003
	----- (IN MILLIONS) -----
Total assets.....	\$ 3,654.7
Total short-term debt.....	\$ 2,159.9
Total long-term debt.....	1,458.1
Total other liabilities.....	67.4
Total liabilities.....	3,685.4
Total equity.....	(30.7)
Total liabilities and equity.....	\$ 3,654.7

The consolidation of these entities resulted in a \$(20.8) million impact to our income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting changes.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 150, ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH CHARACTERISTICS OF BOTH LIABILITIES AND EQUITY ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes standards for classifying and measuring certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify certain financial instruments as liabilities that, under previous guidance, issuers accounted for as equity. We adopted SFAS 150 on July 1, 2003, which did not have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements.

On July 7, 2003, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants issued Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-1, ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING BY INSURANCE ENTERPRISES FOR CERTAIN NONTRADITIONAL LONG-DURATION CONTRACTS AND FOR SEPARATE ACCOUNTS. This SOP addresses an insurance enterprise's accounting for certain fixed and variable contract features not covered by other authoritative accounting guidance. This SOP is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2003. We are currently evaluating this SOP.

33

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table presents summary consolidated financial information for the periods indicated:

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	FOR MON SEP
	----- 2003 ----- (AS RESTATED)	----- 2002 ----- (AS RESTATED)
	2003	2003

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

(IN MILLIONS)

INCOME STATEMENT DATA:

Revenues:

Premiums and other considerations.....	\$ 868.8	\$ 888.7	\$ 2,650.9
Fees and other revenues.....	475.5	516.6	1,796.5
Net investment income.....	877.9	821.2	2,571.6
Net realized/unrealized capital losses.....	(5.7)	(230.6)	(93.3)

Total revenues.....re instrumentation is designed to interface with the software and guide fabrication are based upon computed tomography scans, this can result in accurate implants replicated as planned, this can also provide the opportunity for fabrication of a provisional pro

On the regenerative side of the site preparation portfolio, Biomet 3i has bolstered its bone grafting product and service offering. An exclusive agreement was signed with the University of Miami Tissue Bank for domestic representation of its dental allograft materials. The RegenerOss® Allograft Putty became available during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. This material features a demineralized bone matrix in a non-toxic lecithin carrier, which is conveniently offered in a syringe-based delivery system. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, Biomet 3i introduced Endobon Xenograft Granules. This bovine-derived particulate bone grafting material is suitable for use in a wide range of dental related bone defects and offers improved handling characteristics and packaging versus some of the competitive products in this category.

During fiscal 2009, Biomet 3i launched its Encode® Complete patient-specific abutment technology. This enhancement of the baseline Encode® abutment offering allows Biomet 3i to fabricate an abutment and orient implant body analogs into the proper position in a stone master model. This can allow for the complete fabrication of a restoration from one supragingival impression, which is significantly easier than present techniques and a potential opportunity for more general dentists to become involved in implant therapy. The quality of these abutments and the ability to save significant chair time will also be of potential benefit to more experienced restorative dentists. Material choice for Encode® Complete abutment fabrication was expanded in fiscal 2009 to include Zirconia options for the fabrication of aesthetic, all-ceramic restorations.

In July 2010, Biomet 3i announced its offering of comprehensive digital solutions to dental implant professionals worldwide through an innovative collaboration with Renishaw plc, a manufacturer of in-lab dental scanning systems. This new relationship provides laboratories using Renishaw Contact Scanners and 3i *incise* CAD software broader access to a wide range of dental milling options including 3i *incise* Copings and Frameworks in Zirconia and Cobalt Chrome (only available in Europe) and the ability to scan precision copy milled bar patterns (not available for sale in the United States; there is a 510(k) premarketing notification to the FDA pending for precision copy milled bars scanned on Renishaw systems). Laboratories utilizing the ProceraForte® Scanner (Nobel Biocare Services AG is the owner of the ProceraForte mark) can also benefit from all of these options by using the 3i *incise* CAD software.

With 3i *incise*, Biomet 3i and Renishaw plc are providing solutions for natural tooth restorations such as copings and frameworks. These options are available through dental laboratories, are patient specific and designed to result in beautifully crafted new smiles.

Other Reconstructive Products and Services. Our PMI® Patient-Matched Implant services group designs, manufactures and delivers patient-specific reconstructive devices to orthopedic specialists. We believe this

Table of Contents

service continues to enhance our reconstructive sales by strengthening our business relationships with orthopedic surgeons and augmenting our reputation as a responsive company committed to excellent product design. In order to assist orthopedic surgeons and their surgical teams in preoperative planning, our PMI® group utilizes a three-dimensional, or 3-D, bone reconstruction imaging system. We use computed tomography, or CT, data to produce 3-D reconstructions for the design and manufacture of patient-matched implants. With this imaging and model-making technology, our PMI® group is able to assist the physician prior to surgery by creating 3-D models. Within strict deadlines, the model is used by engineers, working closely with the surgeon, to create a PMI® design for the actual manufacturing of the implant for each specific patient.

We are involved in the ongoing development of bone cements and delivery systems. We have broadened the range of our internally developed and manufactured bone cement product offerings. Cobalt HV (High Viscosity) Bone Cement, which was introduced in the United States during fiscal 2006, and Cobalt MV (Medium Viscosity) Bone Cement, which was introduced in the United States during fiscal 2010, are particularly well suited for use in minimally-invasive surgery, but may be used in all applicable joint replacement procedures. The excellent handling characteristics and high optical contrast of Cobalt HV Bone Cement and Cobalt MV Bone Cement are well suited to the current trends in orthopedic surgery. The patented SoftPac monomer packaging offers the only alternative to glass vial packaging, which is inherently less safe due to the necessity to break the glass vial to deliver the monomer. We offer our internally developed and manufactured bone cements with and without antibiotics. In Europe, we introduced the OptiPac pre-loaded bone cement and delivery system during fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010, the OptiPac closed vacuum system continued to record excellent sales growth, reinforcing our position as the leader in the European bone cement market.

Autologous Therapy Products and Services. We manufacture and market a line of autologous therapy products through our subsidiary, Biomet Biologics, LLC, or Biomet Biologics, including autologous blood processing disposables, as well as offering bone grafting materials. Our offering is comprised of six core technologies including the GPS® III System, the Plasmax® Plasma Concentration System, the BioCUE Platelet Concentration System, the Bonus® DBM, and the Clotalyst® Autologous Serum Collection System.

The GPS® III System is a device that collects platelet concentrate from a small volume of the patient's blood using a fast, single spin process. The GPS® III System is designed to provide a high percentage of platelet concentrate and we believe that this device has broad potential applications in the reconstructive and spine markets.

Additional products and services for reconstructive indications include bone substitute materials and services related to allograft material. Our allograft services address several market segments, including the orthopedic and dental reconstructive segments, as well as the spinal, craniomaxillofacial and sports medicine segments.

Fixation Devices

Our fixation products include electrical stimulation devices (excluding spine applications), external fixation devices, craniomaxillofacial fixation systems, internal fixation devices and bone substitute materials utilized in fracture fixation applications. Our craniomaxillofacial fixation products are marketed by our subsidiary, Biomet Microfixation, LLC, or Biomet Microfixation. All other fixation products are marketed primarily by Biomet Trauma.

Electrical Stimulation Systems. Bone Growth Stimulation is a method of delivering a low level electrical current or ultrasound to a nonunion fracture site to promote bone growth.

The EBI Bone Healing System® is indicated for the treatment of nonunion fractures, failed fusions and congenital pseudarthrosis in the appendicular system. A nonunion is considered to be established when there are no visible progressive signs of healing. The EBI Bone Healing System® utilizes Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields (PEMF) for the treatment of fracture non-unions. Treatment is delivered through an anatomically configured treatment coil.

Table of Contents

The OrthoPak® 2 Bone Growth Stimulator is indicated for the treatment of an established nonunion acquired secondary to trauma, excluding vertebrae and all flat bones, where the width of the nonunion defect is less than one-half the width of the bone to be treated. The OrthoPak® 2 Bone Growth Stimulator utilizes capacitive coupling technology, which involves the upregulation of growth factors that modulate bone healing. The device consists of a small, lightweight generator worn outside the body that is connected to wafer-thin electrodes applied over the nonunion site.

We also offer an implantable option when bone growth stimulation is required in conjunction with, or subsequent to, surgical intervention. The Biomet® OsteoGen surgically implanted bone growth stimulator is indicated for the treatment of long bone non-unions. Specifically, the device is only to be used to treat multiple non-unions or a severely comminuted non-union where a single cathode cannot span the entire breadth of the non-union site.

The trauma hardware market can be segmented into two product classifications: External Fixation Devices and Internal Fixation Devices.

External Fixation Devices. External fixation devices are utilized to stabilize fractures when alternative methods of fixation are not suitable due to a variety of clinical indications including treatment of open fractures. We offer a complete line of systems that address the various segments of the trauma and reconstructive external fixation marketplace. The DynaFix® and DynaFix® Vision Systems are innovative, modular external fixation devices intended for use in complex trauma situations involving upper extremities, the pelvis and lower extremities.

A key driver in our external fixation portfolio is the Biomet® Vision FootRing System, which is a comprehensive system designed for the treatment of osteotomies, arthrodesis and fracture fixation indications. This system offers expanded indications for both trauma and reconstructive procedures. The simplified, snap-fit application of all components to the Biomet® Vision FootRing System can be configured into a multitude of constructs ranging from treatment of simple fractures to complex reconstruction. This system is made of radiolucent carbon fiber that is lightweight to provide for increased patient comfort. Biomet Trauma also has a full line of external fixation products for certain reconstructive procedures involving limb lengthening, fusion and deformity correction applications.

Internal Fixation Devices. Our internal fixation devices include products such as nails, plates, screws, pins and wires designed to stabilize traumatic bone injuries. These devices are used by orthopedic surgeons to provide an accurate means of setting and stabilizing fractures and for other reconstructive procedures. They are intended to aid in the healing process and may be removed when healing is complete. Internal fixation devices are not intended to replace normal body structures.

We develop, manufacture and/or distribute innovative products that fit into key segments of the fixation marketplace. Our flagship product used for the treatment of hip fractures is the Biomet® PTN (Peritrochanteric Nail) System that incorporates an innovative single lag screw to minimize soft tissue impingement. In conjunction with the VHS® (a registered trademark of Implant Distribution Network, Ltd.) System, the Biomet® PTN System offers a choice of internal fixation options for the treatment of hip fractures. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, Biomet Trauma released the PTN Lag Screws with OSSEOTITE® surface treatment. The patented OSSEOTITE® surface featured on the threads of the PTN lag screws is produced via a dual-acid etching process that creates a roughened titanium alloy surface. Since its original introduction by Biomet 3i for use in dental implants over a decade ago, the OSSEOTITE® surface has demonstrated a significantly higher Bone-To-Implant-Contact (BIC) than standard titanium machined implants.

Other innovative nailing products include the Biomet® Pediatric Locking Nail (PLN) and the Biomet® WIN Flexible Nail to complement our pediatric product line. The PLN, a customizable locking nail, was designed to provide stable fixation of femur fractures in children. The WIN Nail is manufactured of titanium alloy and is intended to treat a variety of long bone fractures.

Table of Contents

In the area of locked plating designs, the OptiLock® Periarticular Plating System is a unique, pre-contoured plating system designed for fixation of periarticular lower extremity fractures. This system incorporates SphereLock technology that allows the surgeon to utilize locked or unlocked screws in various diameters through any hole in the plate, while incorporating minimally-invasive techniques. The OptiLock® System includes applications for the treatment of proximal tibial, distal femoral and distal tibial/fibular fractures. Often used in conjunction with our Biomet® Vision Pin-to-Bar System for temporizing fixation, the OptiLock® Periarticular Plating System provides surgeons with a comprehensive system to address a variety of simple and complex periarticular fractures.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we introduced two innovative products targeted at the foot and ankle market segment, the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail and the ForeRunner Mid-Foot Plating System. The Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail System is the only pan-talar ankle nail on the market that has a dual stage locking and compression capability. Through the innovative CoreLock technology, the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail allows for internal talar compression, followed independently by locking of the calcaneal screws. The Forerunner Mid-Foot Plating System is a low profile, comprehensive system that complements our current product offerings in the foot and ankle market segment. This is a low profile, comprehensive system designed for fixation in the foot. The Forerunner Plating System featuring SphereLock technology offers a wide range of plates with varying lengths between screw holes, as well as multiple screw diameters that provide for unlimited combinations for the unique and complicated structure of the foot. Both of these systems have been quickly embraced by foot and ankle surgeons with positive feedback related to intra-operative efficiencies and clinical experience.

Biomet Trauma initiated a limited release of the OptiLock® Proximal Humeral Plating System during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, with the full release of the system completed during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. The system is intended for fixation of fractures, osteotomies and non-unions of the humerus. Featuring SphereLock technology, this product offers an anatomically contoured, low profile plate with optimized bone screw trajectories that allow for minimal soft tissue impingement. Surgeon feedback continues to be positive with respect to clinical results, implant design and instrumentation.

Craniomaxillofacial Fixation Systems. We manufacture and distribute craniomaxillofacial, neurosurgical, and thoracic titanium and resorbable implants, along with associated surgical instrumentation, which are principally marketed to craniomaxillofacial, neurosurgical, plastic, ear/nose/throat, pediatric and cardiothoracic surgeons through Biomet Microfixation. We offer HTR-PMI Hard Tissue Replacement implants for repair of severe cranial defects and bone substitute materials for use in craniomaxillofacial and neurosurgical applications. Innovative solutions are also offered for oral and maxillofacial surgeons with an off-the-shelf Total Mandibular Joint Replacement System and other new products to diagnose and treat temporomandibular joint syndrome, including in-office scope systems and arthrocentesis procedure products.

Biomet Microfixation markets the LactoSorb® Fixation System of resorbable plates and screws comprised of a co-polymer of poly-L-lactic acid and polyglycolic acid. As a result of its innovative material, the LactoSorb® System is comparable in strength to titanium plating systems at its initial placement and is resorbed within 9 to 15 months after implantation. The LactoSorb® System is especially beneficial in pediatric reconstruction cases by eliminating the need for additional surgery to remove the plates and screws.

Biomet Microfixation introduced Allogenix Plus bone graft material during fiscal 2008. This material combines the lecithin-based Allogenix Demineralized Bone Matrix with Pro Osteon® granules, resulting in an improved bone graft material. When presented with a patient demonstrating a bone defect, such as a fractured bone or bone loss due to removal of a tumor, the treating surgeon may remove a portion of bone from the patient at a second site to use as a graft to induce healing at the site of the defect. By combining a scaffold with an osteoinductive source, the need for a second procedure in order to harvest bone chips for use as a scaffold may be eliminated.

Table of Contents

Bone Substitute Materials. Bone substitute materials offer an alternative to the creation of a graft site, as well as the costs associated with this additional surgical procedure. Depending on the specific use of the bone substitute material, it can have reconstructive, fixation or spinal applications. We also provide the InterGro® line of DBM materials (InterGro® Paste, InterGro® Putty and InterGro® Plus). The InterGro® DBM materials use lecithin as a carrier, which is a natural lipid carrier that is resistant to breakdown by bodily fluids, temperature or aggressive irrigation.

Spinal Products

Our spinal products include electrical stimulation devices for spinal applications, spinal fixation systems and bone substitute materials, as well as allograft services for spinal applications. These products and services are primarily marketed in the United States under the Biomet Spine and Biomet Osteobiologics trade names.

Spine Fusion Stimulation Systems. Spinal fusions are surgical procedures undertaken to establish bony union between adjacent vertebrae. We distribute both non-invasive and implantable electrical stimulation units that surgeons can use as options to provide an appropriate adjunct to surgical intervention in the treatment of spinal fusion applications. We have assembled extensive preclinical research documenting the Mechanism of Action for the technology utilized in our spine fusion stimulation systems.

The SpinalPak® II Spine Fusion Stimulator is a noninvasive bone growth stimulator for use as an adjunct electrical treatment to primary lumbar spinal fusion surgery for one or two levels. The SpinalPak® II Spine Fusion Stimulator utilizes Capacitive Coupling technology which involves the upregulation of factors that modulate bone healing, which may lead to successful fusion incorporation. The device consists of a small, lightweight generator worn outside the body that is connected to wafer-thin electrodes applied over the fusion site. The SpinalPak® II System is patient-friendly and optimizes compliance with the treatment regimen to help fusion success.

The SpF® PLUS-Mini Spine Fusion Stimulator offers the highest current density available in one-third of the size of the original SpF® PLUS Spine Fusion Stimulator. The surgically-implanted SpF® PLUS-Mini Spine Fusion Stimulator consists of a generator that provides a constant direct current to titanium cathodes placed where bone growth is required. The SpF® Stimulator has exhibited a 50% increase in fusion success rates compared to fusions with autograft alone.

Spinal Fixation Systems. We market spinal fixation devices for various spinal fusion applications. In the thoracolumbar market segment, we offer several systems. The Array® Spinal System is available in titanium or stainless steel, provides a single locking setscrew featuring V-Force Thread Vertical Vector Technology designed to enhance the intraoperative ease of use for the surgeon. The Array® Deformity Spine System includes various styles of screws, hooks and rods for scoliosis correction. A more recent product offering is the Polaris® Spinal System, a low profile, top-loading, thoracolumbar system utilizing a patented Helical Flange® (a registered trademark of Roger P. Jackson) closing mechanism. This feature minimizes the potential for cross-threading and seat splay, simplifying the implant closing procedure for the surgeon. The Polaris System is available in titanium or stainless steel in 6.35mm or 5.5mm rod diameters, with various screw, hook and rod options.

We also offer a variety of spacer products for the thoracolumbar market segment. The Solitaire® Anterior Spinal System is a stand-alone device with numerous implantation options for intraoperative flexibility when performing Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions (ALIF). This system is available with implants manufactured from titanium or PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio, Limited) polymer, an implant option for increased radiographic fusion assessment. We also offer the ESL®, C-Thru® and Ibex® interbody spacers. All three of these spacers feature open designs to permit ample space for bone graft placement. The ESL® System has an elliptical shape, offering optimal surface contact with the vertebral body endplates. The Ibex® System is curved to conform to the anterior shape of the adjacent vertebral body. The ESL® and Ibex® spacers are utilized

Table of Contents

for Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion (PLIF) and/or Transforaminal Interbody Fusion (TLIF). The C-Thru spacer is indicated for Cervical Interbody Fusion. All three interbody spacers are available in PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio, Limited) polymer for increased radiographic fusion assessment.

For cervical fixation applications, the open design of the VueLock® Anterior Cervical Plate System provides surgeons with enhanced visualization of the bone graft both during the actual surgical procedure and postoperatively on x-ray. We also offer the C-TEK® Anterior Cervical Plate, which provides a non-constrained, semi-constrained or a completely rigid construct, depending on the surgeon's preference. Made of titanium, the C-TEK® Plate offers both fixed and variable screws in a wide variety of diameters and lengths, and features a unique locking mechanism to prevent screw back out. In fiscal 2009, we introduced the C-Tek® MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System, which incorporates technology developed by Gary K. Michelson, M.D. This unique design allows for maximum angulation of the screws, permitting the surgeon to utilize a shorter plate, which helps optimize plate placement to potentially prevent impingement of the adjacent healthy disc.

For cervical and upper thoracic procedures, we offer the Altius M-INI Occipito-Cervico-Thoracic Spinal Fixation System, which features top-loading screws and a 3.5mm rod for maximum strength. This system also incorporates Helical Flange® (a registered trademark of Roger P. Jackson) Locking Technology. Occipital fixation is also available with the Altius M-INI System, featuring a low-profile plate that is placed independently from the pre-contoured rod.

Minimally-invasive surgery is of growing interest in the practice of many spine surgeons. In the minimally-invasive surgery market, we offer the Ballista® Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Placement System and the AccuVision® Minimally Invasive Access System. Both systems were launched in the United States during fiscal 2009.

To address the vertebral body compression fracture market, we offer two systems designed for the delivery of materials to weakened bone structures, including the CDV and LP2 Delivery Systems. Through a series of dilating cannulae and various instruments, the systems allow the surgeon to access the anatomy through a percutaneous approach and safely deliver commercially available bone cement under low, controlled pressure. The CDV Delivery System offers the ability to biopsy before delivery.

Bone Substitute and Allograft Materials. Pro Osteon® 200R and Pro Osteon® 500R are bone graft substitutes made from marine coral. Both are a resorbable combination of hydroxyapatite and calcium carbonate that is intended to be replaced with natural bone during the healing process. Pro Osteon® 200R is available as granules, while Pro Osteon® 500R is available in granules and blocks. The Biomet® PlatFORM Demineralized Bone Matrix, or DBM, derived exclusively from human bone, is an osteoconductive, osteoinductive and osteogenic matrix. This material consists of freeze-dried flexible and pliable sheets of demineralized bone matrix putty for use as a bone void filler. The Biomet® PlatFORM DBM can be utilized alone or in combination with autologous bone or other forms of allograft and can be rehydrated with bone marrow aspirate. Since this matrix has no synthetic additives, this eliminates any surgeon concern regarding toxicity of certain carriers currently used in other DBMs. We also have available the InterGro® line of DBM materials (InterGro® Paste, InterGro® Putty and InterGro® Plus). The InterGro® DBM materials use lecithin as a carrier, which is a natural lipid carrier that is resistant to breakdown by bodily fluids, temperature or aggressive irrigation.

Precision Machined Allograft. Many spinal fusion procedures, in both the lumbar and cervical spine, involve spinal fusion. Surgeons often utilize precision machined allograft spacers to fuse the interbody space. We provide services related to the OsteoStim® Cervical Allograft Spacer for anterior cervical interbody fusions, the OsteoStim® ALIF Allograft Spacer for anterior lumbar interbody fusions and the OsteoStim® PLIF Allograft Spacer for posterior lumbar interbody fusions, depending on the surgical approach. All three systems are lordotic in shape, have serrated teeth on the top and bottom for added stability, are offered in various heights and have specific instrumentation to facilitate implantation.

Table of Contents

Motion Preservation Products. In order to address the cervical artificial disc opportunity, we are developing next generation designs utilizing innovative materials and geometries.

Other Products

We also manufacture and distribute numerous other products, including sports medicine products, orthopedic support products (also referred to as softgoods and bracing products), operating room supplies, casting materials, general surgical instruments, wound care products and other surgical products.

Sports Medicine Products. We manufacture and market a line of arthroscopy products through our subsidiary, Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC, or Biomet Sports Medicine. Arthroscopy is a minimally-invasive orthopedic surgical procedure in which an arthroscope is inserted through a small incision to allow the surgeon direct visualization of the joint. This market is comprised of five product categories: power instruments, manual instruments, visualization products, soft tissue anchors, and procedure-specific instruments and implants. Our principal products consist of the EZLoc Femoral Fixation Device, the WasherLoc Tibial Fixation Device, LactoSorb[®] resorbable arthroscopic fixation products, the ALLthread Suture Anchor, the MaxFire Meniscal Repair Device with ZipLoop Technology, the ToggleLoc Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop Technology, and the InnerVue Diagnostic Scope system, which utilizes a needle scope to diagnose knee and shoulder conditions in a physician's office.

Orthopedic Support Products. We distribute a line of orthopedic support products under the Biomet Bracing name, including back braces, knee braces and immobilizers, wrist and forearm splints, cervical collars, shoulder immobilizers, slings, abdominal braces, ankle supports and a variety of other orthopedic splints.

Product Development

Our research and development efforts are essentially divided into two categories: innovative new technology and evolutionary developments. Most of the innovative new technology development efforts are focused on biomaterial products, and are managed at the corporate level and take place primarily at our Warsaw, Indiana headquarters. Evolutionary developments are driven primarily by the individual subsidiaries and include product line extensions and improvements.

We continue to aggressively conduct internal research and development efforts to generate new marketable products, technologies and materials. In addition, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of external acquisition and development opportunities. An important component of our strategy has been the formation of strategic alliances to enhance the development of new musculoskeletal products.

For fiscal 2010, fiscal 2009, the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, we spent \$106.6 million, \$93.5 million, \$82.2 million, and \$34.0 million, respectively, on research and development. It is expected that ongoing research and development expenses will continue to increase. Our principal research and development efforts relate to primary and revision orthopedic reconstructive devices, spinal fixation products, dental reconstructive devices, sports medicine products, resorbable technology, biomaterial products and autologous therapies.

Patents and Trademarks

We believe that patents and other intellectual property will continue to be of importance in the musculoskeletal industry. Accordingly, we continue to protect technology developed internally and to acquire intellectual property rights associated with technology developed outside the Company. We enforce our intellectual property rights consistent with our strategic business objectives. We do not believe that we have any single patent or license (or series of patents or licenses) that is material to our operations, consolidated revenues, or earnings.

Table of Contents

BIOMET is our principal registered trademark throughout the world, and registrations have been obtained or are in process with respect to various other trademarks associated with our products. Unless otherwise noted in this annual report, all trademarks contained herein are owned by Biomet, Inc. or one of its affiliates and subsidiaries.

Government Regulation

Most aspects of our business are subject to some degree of government regulation in the countries in which our operations are conducted. It has always been our practice to comply with the regulatory requirements governing our products and operations and to conduct our affairs in an ethical manner. This practice is reflected in our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, various other compliance policies and through the responsibility of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors to review our systems of internal control, our process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and our process for monitoring compliance with our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. For some products, and in some areas of the world such as the United States, Canada, Japan and Europe, government regulation is significant and, in general, there appears to be a trend toward more stringent regulation throughout the world, as well as global harmonization of various regulatory requirements. We devote significant time, effort and expense to addressing the extensive government and regulatory requirements applicable to our business. Governmental regulatory actions can result in the recall or seizure of products, suspension or revocation of the authority necessary for the production or sale of a product, and other civil and criminal sanctions. We believe that we are no more or less adversely affected by existing government regulations than are our competitors.

In the United States, the development, testing, marketing and manufacturing of medical devices are regulated under the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, the FDA Modernization Act of 1997, the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act of 2002, the FDA Amendments Act of 2007, and additional regulations promulgated by the FDA and various other federal, state and local agencies. In general, these statutes and regulations require that manufacturers adhere to certain standards designed to ensure the safety and efficacy of medical devices and related medical products.

Most of our new device products require the submission of a Premarket Notification, commonly referred to as a 510(k), to the FDA prior to our marketing the product. This process requires us to demonstrate that the device is at least as safe and effective as, or substantially equivalent to, a legally marketed device before we can receive an order from the FDA finding substantial equivalence and clearing the new device for commercial distribution in the United States. On August 3, 2010, the FDA released a Preliminary Report and Recommendations regarding the 510(k) process, which contemplates that a sub-set of devices eligible for the 510(k) process would require clinical information, manufacturing information or possibly additional evaluation in the post-market setting to support a substantial equivalence determination. If these recommendations are ultimately adopted by the FDA, we will be required to submit additional clinical and manufacturing information with respect to our 510(k) applications in the future, resulting in increased costs and increased delay in introducing products to the market. Other devices we develop and market fall into a class of products for which the FDA has implemented stringent clinical investigation and Premarket Approval, or PMA, requirements. The PMA process requires us to provide clinical and laboratory data that establishes that the new medical device is safe and effective. The FDA will approve the new device for commercial distribution if it determines that the data and information in the PMA relating to design, materials, bench and animal testing and human clinical data constitute valid scientific evidence and that there is reasonable assurance that the device is safe and effective for its intended use.

On July 28, 2010, we received a warning letter from the FDA regarding the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, alleging that we do not have appropriate clearance or approval to market the system in the United States. While we believe that the Company has been legally marketing the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, which is manufactured by Materialise, and intend to continue to offer the Signature System pending further discussions with the FDA regarding the clearance of the system, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our position.

Table of Contents

There are also various federal healthcare laws that apply when we or customers submit claims for items or services that are reimbursed under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally-funded healthcare programs, including among others: (1) the Anti-Kickback Statute which prohibits offers to pay or receive remuneration of any kind for the purpose of inducing or rewarding referrals of items or services reimbursable by a Federal healthcare program; (2) the False Claims Act, which prohibits the submission of false or otherwise improper claims for payment to a federally-funded health care program; and (3) the Stark law, which prohibits physicians from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to a provider that bills these programs for the provision of certain designated health services if the physician (or a member of the physician's immediate family) has a financial relationship with that provider. There are often similar state false claims, anti-kickback and anti-self referral and insurance laws that apply to state-funded Medicaid and other healthcare programs and private third-party payors. In addition, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, has been used with some frequency to prosecute companies in the United States. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interact, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA.

We are also subject to various federal, state and foreign laws that protect the confidentiality of certain patient health information, including patient medical records, and restrict the use and disclosure of patient health information by healthcare providers. In April 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published patient privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and, in April 2005, published security rules for protected health information. The HIPAA privacy and security rules govern the use, disclosure and security of protected health information by Covered Entities, which include, among others, healthcare providers that submit electronic claims and health plans. In 2009, Congress passed the HITECH Act, which modified certain provisions of the HIPAA privacy and security rules for Covered Entities and their Business Associates, which is anyone that performs a service on behalf of a Covered Entity involving the use or disclosure of protected health information and is not a member of the covered entity's workforce. Among other things, the HITECH Act provided that Business Associates will now be subject to the same security requirements as Covered Entities, and that with regard to both the security and privacy rule, Business Associates will be subject to direct enforcement by HHS, including civil and criminal liability, just as Covered Entities are. In the past, HIPAA has generally affected us indirectly.

Biomet is generally not a Covered Entity, except for our noninvasive bone growth stimulation business and our health insurance plans. We only operate as a Business Associate to Covered Entities in a limited number of instances. In those cases, the patient data that we receive and analyze may include protected health information. We are committed to maintaining the security and privacy of patients' health information and believe that we meet the expectations of the HIPAA rules. Some modifications to our systems and policies may be necessary to address requirements for recently enacted state privacy laws, but we believe we have laid the necessary framework for such changes. We believe the ongoing costs and impacts of assuring compliance with the HIPAA privacy and security rules are not material to our business.

We believe that we are well positioned to face the changing international regulatory environment. The International Standards Organization, or the ISO, has an internationally recognized set of standards aimed at ensuring the design and manufacture of quality products. A company that has passed ISO audits and obtained ISO certification applicable to its activity sector is internationally recognized as having quality manufacturing processes. The European Union (EU) legislation requires that medical devices bear a CE mark. The CE mark is a European Union and European Free Trade Association symbol, which indicates that the product adheres to European Medical Device Directives. Compliance with ISO quality systems standards is one of the requirements for placing the CE mark on our products. Each of our principal manufacturing facilities has been certified to ISO 13485:2003. Each of our products sold in Europe bears the CE mark, with the exception of custom-made implants that do not require a CE mark.

Table of Contents

In addition, governmental bodies in the United States and throughout the world have expressed concern about the costs relating to healthcare and, in some cases, have focused attention on the pricing of medical devices. Government regulation regarding pricing of medical devices already exists in some countries and may be expanded in the United States and other countries in the future. We are subject to increasing pricing pressures worldwide as a result of growing regulatory pressures, as well as the expanding predominance of managed care groups and institutional and governmental purchasers. Under Title VI of the Social Security Amendments of 1983, hospitals receive a predetermined amount of Medicare reimbursement for treating a particular patient based upon the patient's type of illness identified with reference to the patient's diagnosis under one or more of several hundred diagnosis-related groups. Other factors affecting a specific hospital's reimbursement rate include the size of the hospital, its teaching status and its geographic location.

While we are unable to predict the extent to which our business may be affected by future regulatory developments, we believe that our substantial experience in dealing with governmental regulatory requirements and restrictions throughout the world, our emphasis on efficient means of distribution and our ongoing development of new and technologically-advanced products should enable us to continue to compete effectively within this increasingly regulated environment.

Sales and Marketing

We have diligently worked to attract and retain qualified, well-trained and motivated sales representatives. The breadth of our product offering and the quality of our salesforces collaborate to create synergies that we believe uniquely position us to continue to efficiently penetrate the musculoskeletal market. In the United States, our products are marketed by a combination of independent commissioned sales agents and direct sales representatives, based on the specific product group being represented. In Europe, our products are promoted by sales representatives employed by subsidiaries, independent third-party distributors, and some independent commissioned sales agents, based primarily on the geographic location. In the rest of the world, we maintain direct selling organizations in ten countries, as well as independent commissioned sales agents and independent third-party distributors in other key markets. In aggregate, our products are marketed by more than 3,000 sales representatives throughout the world.

Seasonality

Elective surgery-related products appear to be influenced to some degree by seasonal factors, as the number of elective procedures declines during the summer months, particularly in European countries, and the winter holiday season.

Customers

Our customers are the hospitals, surgeons, other physicians and healthcare providers who use our products in the course of their practices. Our business is dependent upon the relationships maintained by our distributors and salespersons with these customers, as well as our ability to design and manufacture products that meet the physicians' technical requirements at a competitive price.

Inventory and Trade Accounts Receivable

We have inventory located throughout the world with our customers, our distributors and direct salespersons for their use in marketing our products and in filling customer orders. As of May 31, 2010, inventory of approximately \$246.4 million was located with these distributors, salespersons and customers. We maintain trade accounts receivable balances based on credit terms that are generally consistent with industry and local market practices.

Table of Contents

Distribution

We operate distribution facilities domestically in Warsaw, Indiana; Irvine, California; Palm Beach Gardens, Florida; Parsippany, New Jersey; Jacksonville, Florida; Fair Lawn, New Jersey; and Braintree, Massachusetts, and internationally in Valence, France; Berlin, Germany; Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Valencia, Spain; Sjobo, Sweden; Bridgend, South Wales; Swindon, England; Tokyo, Japan; Seoul, Korea; North Ryde, Australia; Jinhua, China; and Changzou, China. We generally ship our orders via expedited courier service. Our backlog of firm orders is not considered material to understanding our business.

Competition

Our business is highly competitive. Competition within the industry is primarily based on service, clinical results and product design, although price competition is an important factor as healthcare providers continue to be concerned with costs. Major competitors in our four product categories are set forth below by market category.

Reconstructive Products

Our orthopedic reconstructive devices compete with those offered by DePuy, Inc. (a Johnson & Johnson company), Smith & Nephew plc, Stryker Orthopaedics (a division of Stryker Corp.) and Zimmer, Inc. (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.). Management believes these four companies, together with Biomet, have the predominant share of the global orthopedic reconstructive device market. We believe that our prices for orthopedic reconstructive devices are competitive with those in the industry. We believe that our future success will depend upon, among other things, our service and responsiveness to our distributors and orthopedic specialists, the continued excellent clinical results of our products, and upon our ability to design and market innovative and technologically-advanced products that meet the needs of the marketplace.

Our dental reconstructive devices compete in the areas of dental reconstructive implants and related products. The primary competitors in the dental implant market include Nobel Biocare AB, Straumann AG, Zimmer Dental (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.) and Astra Tech (part of the AstraZeneca Group).

Fixation Devices

Our electrical stimulation devices primarily compete with those offered by Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.), DJO Inc. (formerly ReAble Therapeutics, Inc.) and Smith & Nephew plc. Competition in the electrical stimulation market is on the basis of product design, service, price and success rates of various treatment alternatives.

Our external and internal fixation devices compete with other such devices primarily on the basis of price, ease of application and clinical results. The principal competitors in the external fixation market are Smith & Nephew plc, Stryker Trauma (a division of Stryker Corp.), Synthes, Inc. and Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.). Our internal fixation product lines compete with those of Synthes, Inc., DePuy, Inc. (a Johnson & Johnson company), Zimmer, Inc. (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.), Smith & Nephew plc and Stryker Trauma (a division of Stryker Corp.).

Spinal Products

Our spinal fixation systems compete with other spinal fixation systems primarily on the basis of breadth of product line, product recognition and price. The principal competitors in this area are Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc. (a subsidiary of Medtronic, Inc.), DePuy Spine (a Johnson & Johnson company), Synthes, Inc., Stryker Spine (a division of Stryker Corp.), Zimmer Spine (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.) and others.

Table of Contents

Other Products

Our craniomaxillofacial fixation products, specialty surgical instrumentation and neurosurgical cranial flap fixation products compete with those offered by Synthes, Inc., Stryker Leibinger Micro Implants (a division of Stryker Corp.), KLS-Martin, L.P., Osteomed Corp., Aesculap, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and Codman (a Johnson & Johnson company).

Our sports medicine products compete primarily in the areas of procedure-specific implants and instruments, manual instruments and power instruments. Competitors include Smith & Nephew Endoscopy (a division of Smith & Nephew plc), Stryker Corp., Linvatec Corp. (a subsidiary of CONMED Corporation), Mitek (a division of Ethicon, a Johnson & Johnson company), Arthrocare Corp. and Arthrex, Inc.

Our orthopedic support products consist primarily of back braces, knee braces and immobilizers, wrist and forearm splints, cervical collars, shoulder immobilizers, slings, abdominal braces and ankle supports that compete with those offered by Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.), DJO Inc. (formerly DJ Orthopedics, Inc.) and Ossur. Competition in the bracing market is on the basis of product design, service and price.

Raw Materials and Supplies

Our suppliers are a critical element of Biomet's supply chain. We have established strategic partnerships with key suppliers. This has enabled us to leverage our buying power, establish vendor managed inventory arrangements, enhance product innovation and reduce our risk. Long term contracts allow us to develop mutually advantageous relationships with our suppliers by providing them with more visibility into our future demand and new product needs. Our Sales, Inventory and Operations Planning (SIOP) process balances our inventory position and supply capacity with our forward looking sales plan via an integrated reconciliation process. On a monthly basis, our SIOP process in each strategic business unit reviews demand, supply, and inventory, and identifies potential future capacity or material gaps so that the proper corrective actions can be put in place.

The raw materials used in the manufacture of our orthopedic reconstructive, trauma, spine, and dental devices are principally nonferrous metallic alloys, stainless steel and polyethylene powder. With a few exceptions, none of our raw material requirements are limited to any material extent by critical supply or single origins. The demand for certain raw materials used by us, such as cobalt-chromium alloy and titanium may vary. The primary buyers of these metallic alloys are in the aerospace industry. If the demands of the aerospace industry should increase dramatically, we could experience complications in obtaining these raw materials. However, based on our current relationship with our suppliers, we do not anticipate a material shortage in the foreseeable future. Further, we believe that our inventory of raw materials is sufficient to meet any short-term supply shortages of metallic alloys. The results of our operations are not materially dependent on raw material costs.

We purchase all components of our electrical stimulators from outside suppliers, approximately 20% of which are the single source of supply for the particular item. In most cases, we believe that all components are replaceable with similar components. In the event of a shortage, there are alternative sources of supply available for all components, but some time would likely elapse before our orders could be filled.

Safety stock levels of critical materials are reviewed on a quarterly basis to ensure these stocks are appropriately set. Factors that determine these stock levels include future usage estimates, lead times, forecast accuracy, commodity pricing trends, worldwide market conditions and risk mitigation. In the case of single sourced materials, stock levels are established taking into account potential disruption to supply and, where practical, back-up supply points are identified for contingency.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating the discharge of materials into the environment and otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. We do not believe that we will be required to spend any material amounts in order to comply with these laws and regulations or that compliance with such laws and regulations will materially affect our capital expenditures, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

We are also subject to various environmental laws and regulations both within and outside the United States. Similar to other medical device companies, our operations involve the use of substances regulated under environmental laws, primarily manufacturing and sterilization processes. We do not expect that compliance with environmental protection laws will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Employees

As of May 31, 2010, our domestic operations (including Puerto Rico) employed 3,453 persons, of whom 1,886 were engaged in production and 1,567 in research and development, sales, marketing, administrative and clerical efforts. Our international subsidiaries employed 4,016 persons, of whom 2,177 were engaged in production and 1,839 in research and development, sales, marketing, administrative and clerical efforts. None of our principal domestic manufacturing employees are represented by a labor union. The production employees at our Bridgend, South Wales facility are organized. Employees working at the facilities in Berlin and Dieburg, Germany; Valence, France; Swindon, United Kingdom; Sjöbo, Sweden; and Valencia, Spain are represented by Workers' Councils. We believe that our relationship with our employees is satisfactory.

The establishment of our domestic orthopedic reconstructive manufacturing operations in north central Indiana, near other members of the orthopedic industry, provides access to the highly skilled machine operators required for the manufacture of our products. Our European manufacturing locations in South Wales, England, France, Spain, Sweden and Germany also provide good sources for skilled manufacturing labor. Our Puerto Rican operations principally involve the assembly of purchased components into finished products using a skilled labor force. Our manufacturing operations in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, and Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China are growing and currently include approximately 800 persons which are included in the numbers above.

Available Information

Our reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, are available free of charge in, or may be accessed through, the Financial Information section of our website at www.biomet.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file or furnish such material with or to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. Any materials we file with the SEC are also available to the public at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. In addition, copies of these reports will be made available free of charge, upon written request to our Investor Relations Department at 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, IN 46582.

The information on Biomet's website is not included as part of, nor incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The risks identified in this section are not exhaustive. We operate in a dynamic and competitive environment. New risk factors affecting us emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors. Further, it is not possible to assess the impact of all risk factors on our business or the extent to which any single factor or combination of factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these inherent risks and uncertainties, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business or results of operations in the future. In addition, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The following discussion of our risk factors speaks only as of the date on which they were made and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included herein. Because of these and other factors, past financial performance should not be considered an indication of future performance. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Our future profitability depends on the success of our reconstructive products.

Sales of our reconstructive products accounted for approximately 75% of our net sales for the year ended May 31, 2010, 74% of our net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 71% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007. We expect sales of reconstructive products to continue to account for a significant portion of our aggregate sales. Any event adversely affecting the sale of reconstructive products may, as a result, adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If we are unable to continue to develop and market new products and technologies in a timely manner or at all, the demand for our products may decrease or our products could become obsolete, and our revenue and profitability may decline.

The market for our products is highly competitive and dominated by a small number of large companies. We are continually engaged in product development, research and improvement efforts. New products and line extensions of existing products represent a significant component of our growth rate. Our ability to continue to grow sales effectively depends on our capacity to keep up with existing or new products and technologies in the musculoskeletal products market. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals to market a medical device, particularly from the FDA and certain foreign governmental authorities, can be costly and time consuming and approvals and clearances might not be granted for future products on a timely basis, if at all. Representatives of the FDA, for example, have recently indicated in public forums that the FDA is in the process of completing a comprehensive internal review of its 510(k) clearance program and that one outcome of such review will be that certain companies applying for 510(k) clearance will be asked to submit more clinical data than they may have otherwise been required to submit in the past. On August 3, 2010, the FDA released a Preliminary Report and Recommendations regarding the 510(k) process, which contemplates that a sub-set of devices eligible for the 510(k) process would require clinical information, manufacturing information or possibly additional evaluation in the post-market setting to support a substantial equivalence determination. If these recommendations are ultimately adopted by the FDA, we will be required to submit additional clinical and manufacturing information with respect to our 510(k) applications in the future, resulting in increased costs and increased delay in introducing products to the market. Delays in receipt of, or failure to obtain, approvals and clearances for future products could result in delayed realization of product revenues or in substantial additional costs which could

Table of Contents

have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations. In addition, if our competitors' new products and technologies reach the market before our products, they may gain a competitive advantage or render our products obsolete. See Business Competition elsewhere in this annual report for more information about our competitors. The ultimate success of our product development efforts will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to create innovative designs and materials, provide innovative surgical techniques, accurately anticipate and meet customers' needs, commercialize new products in a timely manner, and manufacture and deliver products and instrumentation in sufficient volumes on time.

Moreover, research and development efforts may require a substantial investment of time and resources before we are adequately able to determine the commercial viability of a new product, technology, material or other innovation. Even in the event that we are able to successfully develop innovations, they may not produce revenue in excess of the costs of development and may be quickly rendered obsolete as a result of changing customer preferences or the introduction by our competitors of products embodying new technologies or features.

In addition to the impact on our results of operations beginning in our fiscal year ending May 31, 2013 following enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590), our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be significantly and adversely affected if this legislation ultimately results in lower reimbursements for our products or reduced medical procedure volumes or if certain other types of healthcare reform programs are adopted in our key markets.

In the United States, healthcare providers that purchase our products (e.g., hospitals, physicians, dentists and other health care providers) generally rely on payments from third-party payors (principally federal Medicare, state Medicaid and private health insurance plans) to cover all or a portion of the cost of our musculoskeletal products. These third-party payors may deny reimbursement if they determine that a device used in a procedure was not in accordance with cost-effective treatment methods, as determined by the third-party payor, or was used for an unapproved indication. Third-party payors may also decline to reimburse for experimental procedures and devices. In the event that third-party payors deny coverage or reduce their current levels of reimbursement, we may be unable to sell certain products on a profitable basis, thereby materially adversely impacting our results of operations. Further, third-party payors are continuing to carefully review their coverage policies with respect to existing and new therapies and can, without notice, deny coverage for treatments that may include the use of our products.

In March 2010, the U.S. Congress adopted and President Obama signed into law comprehensive health care reform legislation through the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (H.R. 4872). Among other initiatives, these bills impose a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices following December 31, 2012, which is estimated to contribute approximately \$27 billion to healthcare reform. Various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Outside of the excise tax, which will impact results of operations following December 31, 2012, we cannot predict with certainty what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented at the state level, or what the ultimate effect of federal health care reform or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, an expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business and results of operations, possibly materially.

Outside of the United States, reimbursement systems vary significantly from country to country. In the majority of the international markets in which our products are sold, government-managed healthcare systems mandate the reimbursement rates and methods for medical devices and procedures. If adequate levels of reimbursement from third-party payors outside of the United States are not obtained, international sales of our products may decline. Many foreign markets, including Canada, and some European and Asian countries, have tightened reimbursement rates. Our ability to continue to sell certain products profitably in these markets may diminish if the government-managed healthcare systems continue to reduce reimbursement rates.

Table of Contents

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be significantly and negatively affected by substantial government regulations.

Our products are subject to rigorous regulation by the FDA and numerous other federal, state and foreign governmental authorities. Overall, there appears to be a trend toward more stringent regulation throughout the world, and we do not anticipate this trend to dissipate in the near future.

In general, the development, testing, manufacturing and marketing of our products are subject to extensive regulation and review by numerous governmental authorities both in the United States and abroad. The regulatory process requires the expenditure of significant time, effort and expense to bring new products to market. In addition, we are required to implement and maintain stringent reporting, labeling and record keeping procedures. The medical device industry also is subject to a myriad of complex laws and regulations governing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement and health care fraud and abuse laws, with these laws and regulations being subject to interpretation. In many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. In certain public statements, governmental authorities have taken positions on issues for which little official interpretation was previously available. Some of these positions appear to be inconsistent with common practices within the industry but have not previously been challenged.

Various federal and state agencies have become increasingly vigilant in recent years in their investigation of various business practices. Governmental and regulatory actions against us can result in various actions that could adversely impact our operations, including:

the recall or seizure of products;

the suspension or revocation of the authority necessary for the production or sale of a product;

the suspension of shipments from particular manufacturing facilities;

the imposition of fines and penalties;

the delay of our ability to introduce new products into the market;

the exclusion of our products from being reimbursed by federal and state health care programs (such as Medicare, Medicaid, Veterans Administration health programs and Civilian Health and Medical Program Uniformed Service, or CHAMPUS); and

other civil or criminal sanctions against us.

Any of these actions, in combination or alone, or even a public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations of these laws, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

On July 28, 2010, we received a warning letter from the FDA regarding the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, alleging that we do not have appropriate clearance or approval to market the system in the United States. While we believe that the Company has been legally marketing the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, which is manufactured by Materialise, and intend to continue to offer the Signature System pending further discussions with the FDA regarding the clearance of the system, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our position. If the FDA does not agree with Biomet, it has the discretion to direct a range of corrective actions, including providing us a grace period to obtain an additional 510(k) clearance, suspending certain promotional activities, and suspending shipments of product.

In many of the foreign countries in which we market our products, we are subject to regulations affecting, among other things: clinical efficacy, product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, import/export restrictions, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. Many of the regulations applicable to our devices and products in these countries, such as the European Medical Devices Directive, are similar

to those of

Table of Contents

the FDA. In addition, in many countries the national health or social security organizations require our products to be qualified before they can be marketed with the benefit of reimbursement eligibility. Failure to receive or delays in the receipt of relevant foreign qualifications also could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As both the U.S. and foreign government regulators have become increasingly stringent, we may be subject to more rigorous regulation by governmental authorities in the future. Our products and operations are also often subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, such as the International Standards Organization. If we fail to adequately address any of these regulations, our business will be harmed.

We, like other companies in the orthopedic industry, are involved in ongoing governmental investigations, the results of which may adversely impact our business and results of operations.

In February 2010, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requesting various documents relating to agreements or arrangements between physicians and our Interpore Cross subsidiary for the period from 1999 through the present and the marketing and sales activities associated with Interpore Cross spinal products. We are currently in the process of evaluating the scope of the subpoena and intend to fully cooperate with the request of the Office of the Inspector General. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts requesting various documents relating primarily to the Medicare reimbursement of and certain business practices related to our EBI subsidiary's non-invasive bone growth stimulators. It is our understanding that competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market received similar subpoenas. We received subsequent subpoenas in connection with the investigation in September 2009 and June 2010 along with several informal requests for information. We are producing responsive documents and are fully cooperating in the investigation. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this investigation or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we became aware of a qui tam complaint alleging violations of the federal and various state False Claims Acts filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, where it is currently pending. Biomet, its parent company LVB Acquisition, Inc., and several of our competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market were named as defendants in this action. The allegations in the complaint are similar in nature to certain categories of requested documents in the above-referenced administrative subpoenas. The U.S. government has not intervened in the action. We are vigorously defending this matter and intend to continue to do so. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this investigation or its final outcome.

On September 25, 2007, we received a letter from the SEC informing us that it is conducting an informal investigation regarding possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, in the sale of medical devices in certain foreign countries by companies in the medical devices industry. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interact, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA. If we are found to have violated the FCPA, we may face sanctions including fines, criminal penalties, disgorgement of profits and suspension or debarment of our ability to contract with government agencies or receive export licenses. On November 9, 2007, we received a letter from the Department of Justice requesting any information provided to the SEC be provided to the Department of Justice on a voluntary basis. We believe we have fully

Table of Contents

cooperated with both requests and have conducted our own review relating to these matters in certain countries in which we and our distributors conduct business. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

From time to time, we have been, and may be in the future, the subject of additional investigations. If, as a result of these investigations described above or any additional investigations, we are found to have violated one or more applicable laws, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. If some of our existing business practices are challenged as unlawful, we may have to modify those practices, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Compliance with the terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement requires cooperation by many employees and others and may divert substantial financial and human resources from our other business activities.

On September 27, 2007 we entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey. The agreement concluded the government's investigation into whether consulting agreements between the largest orthopedic manufacturers and orthopedic surgeons who use joint reconstruction and replacement products may have violated the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. Through the agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed not to prosecute Biomet, Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiary Biomet Orthopedics, LLC in connection with this matter, provided that we satisfied our obligations under the agreement for 18 months subsequent to September 27, 2007. The agreement called for the appointment of an independent monitor to review our compliance with the agreement, particularly in relation to our consulting agreements. The independent monitor filed a final report with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the period from September 27, 2007 through March 1, 2009. On March 27, 2009, the Deferred Prosecution Agreement expired and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice.

As part of the resolution of this matter, we entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or OIG-HHS. The agreement requires us for 5 years subsequent to September 27, 2007 to continue to adhere to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and certain other provisions, including reporting requirements.

We are committed to continuing to devote sufficient resources to meet our obligations under the Corporate Integrity Agreement. Compliance with this agreement requires substantial cooperation of our employees, distributors and sales agents and the healthcare professionals with whom they interact. These efforts not only involve expense, but also require management and other key employees to focus extensively on these matters.

We could be subject to further governmental investigations or actions by other third parties as a result of our recent settlement with the Department of Justice and OIG-HHS.

As discussed in *Business-Government Regulation*, we are subject to various federal and state laws concerning healthcare fraud and abuse, including false claims laws and anti-kickback laws. Violations of these laws are punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including, in some instances, fines, imprisonment and, within the United States, exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, including Medicare, Medicaid and Veterans Administration (VA) health programs. These laws are administered by, among others, the U.S. Department of Justice, the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and state attorneys general. Many of these agencies have increased their enforcement activities with respect to medical device manufacturers in recent years.

As discussed in *Legal Proceedings*, the SEC has commenced an informal investigation into sales by us and other companies of medical devices in foreign countries. In addition, we are in the process of conducting our own review relating to these matters and are also cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice and at least one state attorney general. We intend to review and take appropriate actions with respect to any such investigations or

Table of Contents

proceedings; however, we cannot assure that the costs of defending or fines imposed in resolving those civil or criminal investigations or proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The current global economic uncertainties may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our results of operations could be substantially affected not only by global economic conditions, but also by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. Unfavorable conditions can depress sales in a given market and may result in actions that adversely affect our margins, constrain our operating flexibility or result in charges which are unusual or non-recurring. Certain macroeconomic events, such as the current adverse conditions in the global economy, could have a more wide-ranging and prolonged impact on the general business environment, which could also adversely affect us.

We have a significant amount of trade receivables with national healthcare systems in many countries. We continue to monitor the collectability of such receivables in view of the current economic state of many foreign countries as payment is dependent upon the financial stability of the economies of those countries. For instance, we believe the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the European Union, have deteriorated significantly over the past twelve months. These conditions have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect on our accounts receivable outstanding in these countries. As of May 31, 2010, our orthopedic net accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled over \$100.0 million. To date, we have not experienced any significant cash losses with respect to the collection of our accounts receivable related to sales within these countries. However, during fiscal 2010 we did recognize \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle certain past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds.

We are subject to cost-containment efforts of group purchasing organizations, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Many customers of our products have joined group purchasing organizations in an effort to contain costs. Group purchasing organizations negotiate pricing arrangements with medical supply manufacturers and distributors, and these negotiated prices are made available to a group purchasing organization's affiliated hospitals and other members. If we are not one of the providers selected by a group purchasing organization, affiliated hospitals and other members may be less likely to purchase our products, and if the group purchasing organization has negotiated a strict compliance contract for another manufacturer's products, we may be precluded from making sales to members of the group purchasing organization for the duration of the contractual arrangement. Our failure to respond to the cost-containment efforts of group purchasing organizations may cause us to lose market share to our competitors and could have a material adverse effect on our sales, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We conduct a significant amount of our sales activity outside of the United States, which subjects us to additional business risks and may adversely affect our results due to increased costs.

During the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, we derived approximately \$1,053.9 million, or 39% of our net sales, \$976.2 million, or 39% of our net sales, \$883.1 million, or 41% of our net sales, and \$92.6 million, or 37% of our net sales, respectively, from sales of our products outside of the United States. We intend to continue to pursue growth opportunities in sales internationally, which could expose us to additional risks associated with international sales and operations. Our international operations are, and will continue to be, subject to a number of risks and potential costs, including:

changes in foreign medical reimbursement policies and programs;

unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;

Table of Contents

differing local product preferences and product requirements;

diminished protection of intellectual property in some countries outside of the United States;

differing payment cycles;

trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

difficulty in staffing, training and managing foreign operations;

differing legal regulations and labor relations;

potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws (including potential taxes payable on earnings of foreign subsidiaries upon repatriation); and

political and economic instability.

In addition, we are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rate fluctuations, which could increase our costs and may adversely affect our results. The U.S. dollar value of our foreign-generated revenues varies with currency exchange rate fluctuations. Measured in local currency, the majority of our foreign-generated revenues were generated in Europe. Significant increases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Our consolidated net sales were negatively affected by approximately 1% during the year ended May 31, 2010 as a result of the impact of foreign currency translation.

Any of these factors may, individually or as a group, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We conduct manufacturing operations outside of the United States and are in the process of transitioning certain manufacturing operations to China, which will expose us to additional business risks.

In addition to our principal executive offices, we maintain more than 50 other manufacturing facilities, offices and warehouse facilities in various countries, including Canada, Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America.

We currently conduct operations in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, China and Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China. Our future business strategy may involve the operation of other manufacturing facilities in China. As a result of this initiative, we will be exposed to all the risks inherent in operating in an emerging market like China. In recent years the Chinese economy has undergone various developments, including beginning the transition from a more heavily government influenced-planned economy to a more market-oriented economy. Despite this transition, the Chinese government continues to own significant production assets and exercises significant control over economic growth. Our international operations, including our planned expansion in China, may be subject to greater or new political, legal and economic risks than those faced by our operations in the United States, including such risks as those arising from:

unexpected changes in foreign or domestic legal, regulatory or governmental requirements or approvals, such as those related to taxation, lending, import and tariffs, environmental regulations, land use rights, intellectual property and other matters;

unexpected increases in taxes, tariffs and other assessments;

diminished protection of intellectual property;

trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

difficulty in staffing, training and managing foreign operations;

differing legal and labor regulations;

political and economic instability; and

operating in a market with a less developed supply chain, transportation and distribution infrastructure.

Table of Contents

Due to these inherent risks, there can be no assurance that we will achieve any anticipated benefits from transitioning manufacturing operations to China and any of these factors may, individually or as a group, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our business and financial performance may be adversely affected by our inability to effectively implement restructuring and cost saving initiatives.

As of the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we commenced plans for a global cost savings program targeting pre-tax savings of \$65.0 million on an annualized basis. The program includes the transition of certain manufacturing operations to China, the restructuring of our domestic and international corporate structure and improvements to operating processes (including manufacturing footprint optimization, implementation of Six Sigma and Lean Manufacturing, procurement and offshoring initiatives, as well as reduction in overhead expenses). Projected costs and savings associated with these initiatives are subject to a variety of risks, including:

contemplated costs to implement these initiatives may exceed estimates;

initiatives we are contemplating may require consultation with various employees, labor representatives or regulators, and such consultations may influence the timing, costs and extent of expected savings;

initiatives will also require close coordination with customers with respect to the transfer of existing business to our other locations, and certain business may not ultimately be retained as a result of the possible transition of certain operations;

the loss of skilled employees in connection with the initiatives; and

projected savings contemplated under this program may fall short of targets.

While we expect to continue to implement these strategies, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so successfully or that we will realize the projected benefits of these and other restructuring and cost saving initiatives. If we are unable to realize these anticipated cost reductions, our business may be adversely affected. Moreover, our continued implementation of restructuring and cost saving initiatives integration may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If pricing pressures cause us to decrease prices for our goods and services and we are unable to compensate for such reductions through product mix and reductions to our expenses, our results of operation will suffer.

We may experience decreasing prices for our goods and services we offer due to pricing pressure exerted by our customers in response to increased cost containment efforts from managed care organizations and other third-party payors and increased market power of our customers as the medical device industry consolidates. If we are unable to offset such price reductions through product mix or reductions in our expenses, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

Quality problems with our manufacturing processes or our goods and services could significantly and adversely affect both our reputation for producing high-quality products and our results of operations.

Our ability to manufacture and supply high-quality goods and services is critical to the marketing success of our goods and services. If we fail to satisfy our ISO quality standards, our reputation could be significantly harmed, resulting in the loss of customers and market share and significantly and adversely affecting our results of operations.

Inventory maintained in the field may become obsolete due to shortened product life cycles, reduced product demand or changes in market conditions, resulting in inventory write-downs that may adversely affect our results of operations, possibly materially.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

In our industry, inventory is routinely placed at hospitals to provide the healthcare provider with the appropriate product when needed. Because product usage tends to follow a bell curve, larger and smaller sizes of

Table of Contents

inventory are provided, but infrequently used. In addition, the musculoskeletal market is highly competitive, with new products, raw materials and procedures being introduced continually, which may make those products currently on the market obsolete. We make estimates regarding the future use of these products and provide a provision for excess and obsolete inventory. If actual product life cycles, product demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required, which would affect future operating results.

Our business may be harmed as a result of product liability litigation.

Our involvement in the manufacture and sale of medical devices creates exposure to significant risk of product liability claims, particularly in the United States. In the past, we have received product liability claims relating to our products and anticipate that we will continue to receive claims in the future, some of which could have a material adverse impact on our business. In addition, we could experience a material design or manufacturing failure in our products, a quality system failure, other safety issues or heightened regulatory scrutiny that would warrant a recall of some of our products. Our existing product liability insurance coverage may be inadequate to satisfy liabilities we might incur. Moreover, even if any product liability loss is covered by an insurance policy, these policies have substantial self-insured retentions or deductibles that we remain responsible for. If a product liability claim or series of claims is brought against us for uninsured liabilities or is in excess of our insurance coverage limits, our business could suffer and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely impacted.

We may be subject to intellectual property litigation and infringement claims, which could cause us to incur significant expenses or prevent us from selling our products.

The musculoskeletal products industry is highly litigious with respect to the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property rights. In some cases, intellectual property litigation may be used to gain a competitive advantage. We have in the past and may in the future become a party to lawsuits involving patents or other intellectual property. A legal proceeding, regardless of the outcome, could put pressure on our financial resources and divert the time, energy and efforts of our management.

A successful claim of patent or other intellectual property infringement against us could adversely affect our growth and results of operations, in some cases materially. From time to time, we receive notices from third parties of potential infringement and receive claims of potential infringement. We may be unaware of intellectual property rights of others that may cover some of our technology. If someone claims that our products infringed their intellectual property rights, any resulting litigation could be costly and time consuming and would divert the attention of management and key personnel from other business issues.

The complexity of the technology involved and the uncertainty of intellectual property litigation increase these risks. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to enter into costly royalty or license agreements. However, we may be unable to obtain royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us or at all. We also may be subject to significant damages or an injunction preventing us from manufacturing, selling or using some of our products in the event of a successful claim of patent or other intellectual property infringement. Any of these adverse consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets may adversely affect our ability to draw on our current revolving credit facilities as well as the value of certain of our investments.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets and operating cash flow, together with available borrowings and potential access to credit and capital markets, will be sufficient to meet our operating expenses, research and development costs and capital expenditures and service our debt requirements as they become due. However, our ongoing ability to meet our substantial debt service and other obligations will be dependent upon our future

Table of Contents

performance, which will be subject to business, financial and other factors. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. We cannot be certain that our cash flow will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt, support our operations and meet our other obligations. If we do not have enough money, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from pursuing any of these alternatives.

If financial institutions that have extended credit commitments to us are adversely affected by the conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets, they may become unable to fund borrowings under their credit commitments to us, which could have a material and adverse impact on our financial condition and our ability to borrow additional funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes.

Loss of our key management and other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and other personnel, could impact our business.

We depend on our senior managers and other key personnel to run our business and on technical experts to develop new products and technologies. The loss of any of these senior managers or other key personnel could adversely affect our operations. Competition for qualified employees is intense, and the loss of qualified employees or an inability to attract, retain and motivate additional highly skilled employees required for the management, operation and expansion of our business could hinder our ability to expand, conduct research and development activities successfully and develop marketable products.

If we fail to retain our existing relationships with our independent sales agents and distributors or establish relationships with different agents and distributors, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

Our revenues and profitability depend largely on the ability of independent sales agents and distributors to sell our products to customers. Typically, these agents and distributors have developed long-standing relationships with our customers and provide our customers with the necessary training and product support relating to our products. The average tenure of our independent sales agents and distributors within our subsidiary Biomet Orthopedics, LLC, or Biomet Orthopedics, is ten years. If we fail to retain our existing relationships with these agents and distributors or establish relationships with different agents and distributors, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

A natural or man-made disaster could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We have approximately 16 manufacturing operations located throughout the world. However, a significant portion of our products are produced at and shipped from our facility in Warsaw, Indiana. In the event that this facility is severely damaged or destroyed as a result of a natural or man-made disaster, we would be forced to shift production to our other facilities and/or rely on third-party manufacturers. Our existing business interruption insurance coverage may be inadequate to satisfy liabilities we might incur in such a situation. If a business interruption claim or series of claims is in excess of our insurance coverage limits, or is not otherwise covered in whole or in part by our insurance coverage, our business could suffer and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely impacted.

Any expansion or acquisition may prove risky for us.

We may, from time to time, consider and take advantage of selected opportunities to grow by acquiring businesses whose operations or product lines fit well within our existing businesses or whose geographic location or market position would enable us to expand into new markets. Our ability to implement this expansion strategy will, however, depend on whether any suitable businesses are available at suitable valuations, how much money

Table of Contents

we can spend and maintaining our customer base. Any acquisition that we make could be subject to a number of risks, including, failing to discover liabilities of the acquired company for which we may be responsible as a successor owner or operator despite any investigation we may make before the acquisition, our inability to assimilate the operations and personnel of the acquired company, the loss of key personnel in the acquired company and any adverse impact on our financial statements from the amortization of acquired intangible assets or the creation of reserves or write-downs. We may not be able to adequately meet these challenges, and any failure to do so could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, if we incur additional indebtedness to finance these acquisitions, the related risks we face from our already substantial level of indebtedness could intensify.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial level of indebtedness could materially adversely affect our ability to generate sufficient cash to fulfill our obligations under the notes, our ability to react to changes in our business and our ability to incur additional indebtedness to fund future needs.

We are highly leveraged. As of May 31, 2010, we had total indebtedness of \$5,896.5 million. The following chart shows our level of indebtedness as of May 31, 2010:

<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
European facilities	\$ 6.3
Senior secured term loan facilities	3,328.8
Senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility	
Senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility	
Senior cash pay notes	771.0
Senior toggle notes	771.0
Senior subordinated notes	1,015.0
Premium on debt	4.4
Total	\$ 5,896.5

As of May 31, 2010, we had outstanding approximately \$3,328.8 million in aggregate principal amount of indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities that would bear interest at a floating rate. We have entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rates on approximately 77% of the borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Interest Rate Risk. Based on our overall interest rate exposure at May 31, 2010, including variable rate debt, a hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in interest rates applied to the fair value of the financial instruments discussed above as of May 31, 2010, would cause a \$2.6 million increase in or savings in interest expense.

Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. Our substantial indebtedness, combined with our other financial obligations and contractual commitments, could have important consequences. For example, it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, including the notes, and any failure to comply with the obligations under any of our debt instruments, including restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the indentures governing the notes and the agreements governing such other indebtedness;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other purposes;

Table of Contents

increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, which could place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have relatively less indebtedness;

increase the risk we assess with our counterparties which could affect the fair value of our derivative instruments related to our debt facilities noted above;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we operate;

limit our noteholders' rights to receive payments under the notes if secured creditors have not been paid;

limit our ability to borrow additional funds, or to dispose of assets to raise funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes; and

prevent us from raising the funds necessary to repurchase all notes tendered to us upon the occurrence of certain changes of control, which would constitute a default under the indentures governing the notes.

Restrictions imposed by the indentures governing the notes, our senior secured credit facilities and our other outstanding indebtedness may limit our ability to operate our business and to finance our future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities.

The terms of our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes restrict us and our subsidiaries from engaging in specified types of transactions. These covenants restrict our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability, among other things, to:

incur additional indebtedness;

pay dividends on our capital stock or redeem, repurchase or retire our capital stock or indebtedness;

make investments, loans, advances and acquisitions;

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from our restricted subsidiaries;

engage in transactions with our affiliates;

sell assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries;

consolidate or merge;

create liens; and

enter into sale and lease-back transactions.

In addition, although the agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes do not require us to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if less than \$35.0 million (plus 10% of any increased commitments thereunder) were available under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility at any time, we would not be permitted to borrow any additional amounts under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility unless we maintain a certain pro forma ratio of (a) Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA minus Capital Expenditures minus Cash Taxes to (b) Consolidated Fixed Charges (as such terms are defined in our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility). In the event of a default under any of our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities to be immediately due and payable. If the indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities or the notes were to be accelerated, our assets may not be sufficient to repay such indebtedness in full. In particular, noteholders will be paid only if we have assets remaining after we pay amounts due on our secured indebtedness, including our senior secured credit facilities.

Table of Contents

We, including our subsidiaries, have the ability to incur substantially more indebtedness, including senior secured indebtedness, and our noteholders' right to receive payments on each series of notes is effectively junior to the right of lenders who have a security interest in our assets to the extent of the value of those assets.

Our obligations under the notes and our guarantors' obligations under their guarantees of the notes are unsecured, but our obligations under our senior secured credit facilities and each guarantor's obligations under its guarantee of our senior secured credit facilities are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our domestic tangible and intangible assets, including the stock of substantially all of our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries and a portion of the stock of certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries. If we are declared bankrupt or insolvent, or if we default under our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could declare all of the funds borrowed thereunder, together with accrued interest, immediately due and payable. If we were unable to repay such indebtedness, the lenders could foreclose on the pledged assets to the exclusion of holders of the notes, even if an event of default exists under the indentures governing the notes at such time. Furthermore, if the lenders foreclose and sell the pledged equity interests in any guarantor under the notes, then that guarantor will be released from its guarantee of the notes automatically and immediately upon such sale. In any such event, because the notes are not secured by any of our assets or the equity interests in the guarantors, it is possible that there would be no assets remaining from which noteholders' claims could be satisfied or, if any assets remained, they might be insufficient to satisfy noteholders' claims in full.

Subject to the restrictions in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes, we, including our subsidiaries, may incur significant additional indebtedness. As of May 31, 2010:

we and the guarantors had approximately \$377.8 million available for borrowing under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors had \$324.9 million available for borrowing under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, subject to borrowing base limitations, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors have the option to incur additional incremental term loans or increase the cash flow revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured cash flow facilities up to an amount that would cause our Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities) to be equal to or less than 4.50 to 1.00, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors have the option to increase the asset-based revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility by up to \$100.0 million, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness; and

we and the guarantors have \$122.7 million available for borrowing under our non-US facilities.

In addition, under the senior toggle notes, we have the option to elect to pay PIK interest for five years after the closing date for any interest period. In the event we make a PIK interest election in each period in which we are entitled to make such an election, our debt will increase by the amount of such interest.

Although the terms of our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of important exceptions, and indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. If we and our restricted subsidiaries incur significant additional indebtedness, the related risks that we face could intensify.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness, including the notes, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to

Table of Contents

certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, including the notes.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures or to sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness, including the notes. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. The terms of existing or future debt instruments and the indentures governing the notes may restrict us from adopting some of these alternatives. In addition, any failure to make payments of interest and principal on our outstanding indebtedness on a timely basis would likely result in a reduction of our credit rating, which could harm our ability to incur additional indebtedness. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. Our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from the disposition. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain the proceeds that we could realize from them and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any debt service obligations then due. These alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations.

Repayment of our debt, including the notes, is dependent on cash flow generated by our subsidiaries.

Our subsidiaries own a significant portion of our assets and conduct a significant portion of our operations. Accordingly, repayment of our indebtedness, including the notes, is dependent, to a significant extent, on the generation of cash flow by our subsidiaries and their ability to make such cash available to us, by dividend, debt repayment or otherwise. Unless they are guarantors of the notes, our subsidiaries do not have any obligation to pay amounts due on the notes or to make funds available for that purpose. Our subsidiaries may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable us to make payments in respect of our indebtedness, including the notes. Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries. While the indentures governing the notes limit the ability of our subsidiaries to incur consensual restrictions on their ability to pay dividends or make other intercompany payments to us, these limitations are subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In the event that we do not receive distributions from our subsidiaries, we may be unable to make required principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, including the notes.

Claims of noteholders will be structurally subordinated to claims of creditors of all our non-U.S. subsidiaries and some of our U.S. subsidiaries because they will not guarantee the notes.

The notes are not guaranteed by any of our non-U.S. subsidiaries or any of our less than wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. Accordingly, claims of holders of the notes will be structurally subordinated to the claims of creditors of these non-guarantor subsidiaries, including trade creditors. Therefore, all obligations of our non-guarantor subsidiaries will have to be satisfied before any of the assets of such subsidiaries would be available for distribution, upon a liquidation or otherwise, to us or a guarantor of the notes.

For the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, our non-guarantor subsidiaries accounted for \$987.6 million, or 37% of our consolidated net sales, \$915.0 million, or 37% of our consolidated net sales, \$1,060.0 million, or 50% of our consolidated net sales, and \$82.5 million, or 33% of our consolidated net sales, for such periods, respectively. As of May 31, 2010, our non-guarantor subsidiaries accounted for approximately \$3,689.0 million, or 31%, of our consolidated long-term assets. All amounts are presented after giving effect to intercompany eliminations.

Table of Contents

The lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities will have the discretion to release any guarantors under these facilities in a variety of circumstances, which will cause those guarantors to be released from their guarantees of the notes.

While any obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities remain outstanding, any guarantee of the notes may be released without action by, or consent of, any holder of the notes or the trustee under the indentures governing the notes, at the discretion of lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities, if the related guarantor is no longer a guarantor of obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities or any other indebtedness. The lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities will have the discretion to release the guarantees under our senior secured cash flow facilities in a variety of circumstances. Noteholders will not have a claim as a creditor against any subsidiary that is no longer a guarantor of the notes, and the indebtedness and other liabilities, including trade payables, whether secured or unsecured, of those subsidiaries will effectively be senior to claims of noteholders.

Our noteholders' right to receive payments on the senior subordinated notes is junior to the rights of the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities and all of our other senior debt (including the senior notes) and any of our future senior indebtedness.

The senior subordinated notes are general unsecured senior subordinated obligations that rank junior in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness. As of May 31, 2010, we had:

approximately \$4,873.4 million of senior indebtedness outstanding (including \$1,544.6 million in aggregate principal amount of the senior notes and \$3,328.8 million of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities);

an additional approximately \$377.8 million of borrowing capacity under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

an additional \$324.9 million available for borrowing under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, subject to borrowing base limitations, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

the option to incur additional incremental term loans or increase the cash flow revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured cash flow facilities of up to an amount that would cause our Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities) to be equal to or less than 4.50 to 1.00, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

the option to increase the asset-based revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility by up to \$100.0 million, which, if borrowed would be senior indebtedness; and

an additional \$122.7 million available for borrowing under our non-US facilities, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness. In addition, under the senior toggle notes, we will have the option to elect to pay PIK interest for five years after the closing date for any interest period other than the initial interest period. In the event we make a PIK interest election in this period in which we are entitled to make such an election, our debt will increase by the amount of such interest.

We may not pay principal, premium, if any, interest or other amounts on account of the senior subordinated notes in the event of a payment default or certain other defaults in respect of certain of our senior indebtedness, including the senior notes and borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities, unless the senior indebtedness has been paid in full or the default has been cured or waived. In addition, in the event of certain other defaults with respect to certain of our senior indebtedness, we may not be permitted to pay any amount on account of the senior subordinated notes for a designated period of time.

Table of Contents

Because of the subordination provisions in the senior subordinated notes, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or dissolution, our assets will not be available to pay obligations under the senior subordinated notes until we have made all payments in cash on our senior indebtedness. Sufficient assets may not remain after all these payments have been made to make any payments on the senior subordinated notes, including payments of principal or interest when due.

If we default on our obligations to pay our other indebtedness, we may not be able to make payments on the notes.

Any default under the agreements governing our indebtedness, including a default under our senior secured credit facilities that is not waived by the required lenders, and the remedies sought by the holders of such indebtedness, could prevent us from paying principal, premium, if any, and interest on the notes and substantially decrease the market value of the notes. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow and are otherwise unable to obtain funds necessary to meet required payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, or if we otherwise fail to comply with the various covenants, including financial and operating covenants in the instruments governing our indebtedness (including covenants in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes), we could be in default under the terms of the agreements governing such indebtedness, including our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes. In the event of such default:

the holders of such indebtedness may be able to cause all of our available cash flow to be used to pay such indebtedness and, in any event, could elect to declare all the funds borrowed thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest;

the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities could elect to terminate their commitments thereunder, cease making further loans and institute foreclosure proceedings against our assets;

we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation; and

the subordination provisions in the senior subordinated notes may prevent us from paying any obligation with respect to such notes. If our operating performance declines, we may in the future need to obtain waivers from the required lenders under our senior secured credit facilities to avoid being in default. If we breach our covenants under our senior secured credit facilities and seek a waiver, we may not be able to obtain a waiver from the required lenders. If this occurs, we would be in default under our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could exercise their rights, as described above, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

We may not be able to repurchase the notes upon a change of control.

Upon the occurrence of specific kinds of change of control events, we will be required to offer to repurchase all outstanding notes at 101% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. The source of funds for any such purchase of the notes will be our available cash or cash generated from our subsidiaries' operations or other sources, including borrowings, sales of assets or sales of equity. We may not be able to repurchase the notes upon a change of control because we may not have sufficient financial resources to purchase all of the notes that are tendered upon a change of control. Further, we will be contractually restricted under the terms of our senior secured credit facilities from repurchasing all of the notes tendered by holders upon a change of control. Accordingly, we may not be able to satisfy our obligations to purchase the notes unless we are able to refinance or obtain waivers under our senior secured credit facilities. Our failure to repurchase the notes upon a change of control would cause a default under the indentures governing the notes and a cross default under our senior secured credit facilities. Our senior secured credit facilities also provide that a change of control will be a default that permits lenders to accelerate the maturity of borrowings thereunder. Any of our future debt agreements may contain similar provisions.

Table of Contents

The trading prices for the notes will be directly affected by many factors, including our credit rating.

Credit rating agencies continually revise their ratings for companies they follow. The condition of the financial and credit markets and prevailing interest rates have fluctuated in the past and are likely to fluctuate in the future. Any such fluctuation may impact the trading price of the notes. In addition, developments in our business and operations could lead to a ratings downgrade which could adversely affect the trading price of the notes, or the trading market for the notes.

Federal and state fraudulent transfer laws may permit a court to void the notes and the guarantees, subordinate claims in respect of the notes and the guarantees and require noteholders to return payments received. If this occurs, noteholders may not receive any payments on the notes.

Federal and state fraudulent transfer and conveyance statutes may apply to the issuance of the notes and the incurrence of any guarantees. Under federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer or conveyance laws, which may vary from state to state, the notes or guarantees could be voided as a fraudulent transfer or conveyance if (1) we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, issued the notes or incurred the guarantees with the intent of hindering, delaying or defrauding creditors or (2) we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration in return for either issuing the notes or incurring the guarantees and, in the case of (2) only, one of the following is also true at the time thereof:

we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, were insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantees;

the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantees left us or any of the guarantors, as applicable, with an unreasonably small amount of capital to carry on the business;

we or any of the guarantors intended to, or believed that we or such guarantor would, incur debts beyond our or such guarantor's ability to pay such debts as they mature; or

we or any of the guarantors was a defendant in an action for money damages, or had a judgment for money damages docketed against us or such guarantor if, in either case, after final judgment, the judgment is unsatisfied.

A court would likely find that we or a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the notes or such guarantee if we or such guarantor did not substantially benefit directly or indirectly from the issuance of the notes or the applicable guarantee. As a general matter, value is given for a transfer or an obligation if, in exchange for the transfer or obligation, property is transferred or an antecedent debt is secured or satisfied. A debtor will generally not be considered to have received value in connection with a debt offering if the debtor uses the proceeds of that offering to make a dividend payment or otherwise retire or redeem equity securities issued by the debtor.

We cannot be certain as to the standards a court would use to determine whether or not we or the guarantors were solvent at the relevant time or, regardless of the standard that a court uses, that the issuance of the guarantees would not be further subordinated to our or any of our guarantors' other debt. Generally, however, an entity would be considered insolvent if, at the time it incurred indebtedness:

the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all its assets;

the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and mature; or

it could not pay its debts as they become due.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

If a court were to find that the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantee was a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, the court could void the payment obligations under the notes or such guarantee or further

Table of Contents

subordinate the notes or such guarantee to presently existing and future indebtedness of ours or of the related guarantor, or require the holders of the notes to repay any amounts received with respect to such guarantee. In the event of a finding that a fraudulent transfer or conveyance occurred, noteholders may not receive any repayment on the notes. Further, the voidance of the notes could result in an event of default with respect to our and our subsidiaries' other debt that could result in acceleration of such debt.

Although each guarantee entered into by a guarantor will contain a provision intended to limit that guarantor's liability to the maximum amount that it could incur without causing the incurrence of obligations under its guarantee to be a fraudulent transfer, this provision may not be effective to protect those guarantees from being voided under fraudulent transfer law, or may reduce that guarantor's obligation to an amount that effectively makes its guarantee worthless.

We are indirectly owned and controlled by the Sponsors, and the Sponsors' interests as equity holders may conflict with the interests of noteholders as creditors.

We are a subsidiary of Parent and the Sponsors have the ability to control our policies and operations. The interests of the Sponsors may not in all cases be aligned with our noteholders' interests. For example, if we encounter financial difficulties or are unable to pay our debts as they mature, the interests of our equity holders might conflict with our noteholders' interests. In addition, our equity holders may have an interest in pursuing acquisitions, divestitures, financings or other transactions that, in their judgment, could enhance their equity investments, even though such transactions might involve risks to holders of the notes. Furthermore, the Sponsors may in the future own businesses that directly or indirectly compete with us. The Sponsors also may pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. For information concerning our arrangements with the Sponsors following the Transactions, see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Our noteholders will be required to pay U.S. federal income tax on the senior toggle notes even if we do not pay cash interest.

None of the interest payments on the senior toggle notes will be qualified stated interest for U.S. federal income tax purposes, even if we never exercise the option to pay PIK interest, because the senior toggle notes provide us with the option to pay cash interest or PIK interest for any interest payment period after the initial interest payment and prior to October 15, 2012. Consequently, the senior toggle notes will be treated as issued with original issue discount for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and U.S. holders will be required to include the original issue discount in gross income on a constant yield to maturity basis, regardless of whether interest is paid currently in cash. See Certain Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Properties.
Our Facilities**

Our principal executive offices are at 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, Indiana. In addition, we maintain more than 50 other manufacturing facilities, offices and warehouse facilities in various countries, including Canada and numerous countries within Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America. We believe that all of our facilities are adequate, well maintained and suitable for the development, manufacture, distribution and marketing of all our products. The following is a list of our principal properties as of May 31, 2010:

FACILITY	LOCATION	SQUARE FEET	OWNED/LEASED
Corporate headquarters of Biomet, Inc.; manufacturing, storage and research and development facilities of Biomet Manufacturing Corp.; manufacturing & storage facilities of Microfixation, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Orthopedics, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Biologies, LLC and distribution center of EBI, LLC	Warsaw, Indiana	541,699	Owned
Administrative, manufacturing and distribution facility of EBI, LLC and administrative offices of Electro-Biology, LLC	(1) Parsippany, New Jersey (a) (2) Parsippany, New Jersey	73,450 213,750	Owned Owned
Administrative, manufacturing and distribution facility of Biomet Microfixation, LLC	Jacksonville, Florida	82,500	Owned
Office, manufacturing and distribution facility of Biomet 3i, LLC	(1) Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (2) Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (b)	117,000 69,000	Owned Owned
Manufacturing facility of Biomet Fair Lawn, LLC	Fair Lawn, New Jersey	40,000	Owned
Office and manufacturing facility of Electro-Biology, LLC	Guaynabo, Puerto Rico	34,700	Owned
Office, manufacturing and distribution facilities of Interpore Spine Ltd.	(1) Irvine, California (2) Irvine, California	36,800 2,700	Leased Leased
Office facilities of Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC	Foster City, California	3,060	Leased
Office, manufacturing and warehouse facility of Biomet France Sarl	Valence, France	86,100	Owned
Office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities of Biomet Deutschland GmbH	Berlin, Germany	49,900	Owned
Administrative offices of Biomet Europe B.V. and office and warehouse facility of Biomet Nederland B.V. and Biomet Microfixation Europe B.V.	Dordrecht, The Netherlands	37,700	Owned
Office and manufacturing facility of Biomet Spain Orthopedics S.L.	Valencia, Spain	69,600	Owned
Office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities of Biomet Cementing Technologies AB	Sjöbo, Sweden (a)	24,200	Owned
Manufacturing and administrative facilities of Biomet UK Ltd.	(1) Bridgend, South Wales (2) Swindon, England	111,956 54,800	Owned Owned
Manufacturing, administrative and warehouse facilities of Zhejiang Biomet	Jinhua, China	110,000	Owned
Manufacturing, administrative and warehouse facilities of Changzhou Biomet	Changzhou, China	82,000	Owned
Administrative office facilities for China operations	Shanghai, China	4,500	Leased

(a) Currently held as available for sale.

(b) Includes 23,000 square feet of space in this facility that is leased to other parties.

Table of Contents

Our properties in Warsaw, Indiana; Parsippany, New Jersey and Palm Beach Gardens, Florida have been mortgaged to support our obligations under our senior secured cash flow facility. We believe our headquarters, manufacturing and other facilities are suitable for their respective uses and are, in general, adequate for our present needs. Our properties are subject to various federal, state, foreign and local laws and regulations regulating their operation. We do not believe that compliance with such laws and regulations will materially affect our financial position or results of operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

U.S. Department of Justice Consulting Agreement Investigation

On September 27, 2007, we entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey. The agreement concluded the government's investigation into whether consulting agreements between the largest orthopedic manufacturers and orthopedic surgeons who use joint reconstruction and replacement products may have violated the federal Anti-Kickback Statute.

Through the agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed not to prosecute Biomet in connection with this matter, provided that we satisfied our obligations under the agreement over the 18 months following the date of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. The agreement called for the appointment of an independent monitor to review our compliance with the agreement, particularly in relation to its consulting agreements. On March 27, 2009, the Deferred Prosecution Agreement expired and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice.

As part of the resolution of this matter, we also entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The agreement requires us for five years subsequent to September 27, 2007 to continue to adhere to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and certain other provisions, including reporting requirements.

U.S. Department of Justice EBI Products Investigations and Other Matters

In February 2010, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requesting various documents relating to agreements or arrangements between physicians and our Interpore Cross subsidiary for the period from 1999 through the present and the marketing and sales activities associated with Interpore Cross spinal products. We are currently in the process of evaluating the scope of the subpoena and intend to fully cooperate with the request of the Office of the Inspector General. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts requesting various documents relating primarily to the Medicare reimbursement of and certain business practices related to our EBI subsidiary's non-invasive bone growth stimulators. We understand competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market received similar subpoenas. We received subsequent subpoenas in connection with the investigation in September 2009 and June 2010 along with several informal requests for information. We are producing responsive documents and are fully cooperating in the investigation.

In April 2009, we became aware of a qui tam complaint alleging violations of the federal and various state False Claims Acts filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, where it is currently pending. Biomet, Parent, and several of our competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market were named as defendants in this action. The allegations in the complaint are similar in nature to certain categories of requested documents in the above-referenced administrative subpoenas. The U.S. government has not intervened in the action. We are vigorously defending this matter and intend to continue to do so. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

Table of Contents

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Informal Investigation

On September 25, 2007, we received a letter from the SEC informing us that it is conducting an informal investigation regarding possible violations of the FCPA in the sale of medical devices in certain foreign countries by companies in the medical devices industry. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interacts, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA. If we are found to have violated the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, we may face sanctions including fines, criminal penalties, disgorgement of profits and suspension or debarment of our ability to contract with government agencies or receive export licenses. On November 9, 2007, we received a letter from the Department of Justice requesting any information provided to the SEC be provided to the Department of Justice on a voluntary basis. We believe we have fully cooperated with both requests and have conducted our own review relating to these matters in certain countries in which we and our distributors conduct business. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

Other Matters

On December 30, 2009, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH initiated legal proceedings in Germany against Biomet and its subsidiary, Biomet Europe BV, alleging that we and Biomet Europe BV misappropriated Heraeus Kulzer trade secrets when developing our new lines of European bone cements. The lawsuit seeks damages in excess of 30 million and injunctive relief to preclude us from producing our current line of European bone cements. We have filed our response and are awaiting the first hearing on this matter. We can make no assurance as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

In late 2004 and early 2005, approximately 120 plaintiffs sued Dr. John King in the Circuit Court of Putnam County, West Virginia. Plaintiffs alleged that Dr. King was professionally negligent when he performed surgery on the plaintiffs at Putnam General Hospital in Putnam County, West Virginia between November 2002 and June 2003. On May 4, 2009, EBI entered into a mediation settlement memorandum of understanding with 24 of the 27 plaintiffs who brought suit against us to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs. The releases for the 24 plaintiffs have been finalized and executed and the cash settlement payments paid to date have been funded out of our available cash balances and were paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The settlement did not encompass the three remaining lawsuits relating to Dr. King and EBI's Ioni[®] Spine Spacer System in which EBI is a named defendant. On February 12, 2010, EBI reached an agreement in principle with the three remaining plaintiffs to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs and subsequently entered into settlement agreements with each of the plaintiffs. The settlement agreements provide that each of the three plaintiffs fully release EBI as a condition to receipt of the confidential settlement payments, which amounts have been previously accrued by us as part of our reserve for this matter and have been paid out of our available cash balances. The settlement agreements contain no admission of wrongdoing by us or any of our subsidiaries.

There are various other claims, lawsuits, disputes with third parties, investigations and pending actions involving various allegations against us incident to the operation of our business, principally product liability and intellectual property cases. Each of these matters is subject to various uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these matters may be resolved unfavorably to Biomet. We accrue for losses that are deemed to be probable and subject to reasonable estimate. Based on the advice of our counsel in these matters, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters and any liabilities in excess of amounts provided will not have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Item 4. Reserved.

Table of Contents**Part II.****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Market and other information**

We are a privately-owned company with no established public trading market for our common stock.

On May 6, 2008, we filed a registration statement on Form S-1, which was declared effective on May 21, 2008, with respect to an indeterminate amount of our senior cash pay notes, senior toggle notes, and senior subordinated notes. On May 20, 2009 and September 16, 2009, we filed post-effective amendments to our registration statement on Form S-1, which were declared effective on May 28, 2009 and September 21, 2009, respectively. The prospectus included in the registration statement had been prepared for Goldman, Sachs & Co. and any affiliates of Goldman, Sachs & Co. in connection with offers and sales of the notes related to market-making transactions in the notes effected from time to time, beginning May 21, 2008. We have not and will not receive any proceeds from such sales. Goldman, Sachs & Co. or its affiliates may act as principal or agent in such transactions, including as agent for the counterparty when acting as principal or as agent for both counterparties, and may receive compensation in the form of discounts and commissions, including from both counterparties, when it acts as agents for both. Such sales will be made at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at price related thereto or at negotiated prices.

Holdings

As of May 31, 2010, there was one holder of our common stock, LVB Acquisition, Inc., and 491 holders of LVB Acquisition, Inc.'s common stock on a fully diluted basis. See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for additional information about the ownership of LVB Acquisition, Inc.'s common stock.

Dividends

We are currently restricted in our ability to pay dividends under various covenants of our debt agreements, including our credit facilities and the indentures governing our notes. We do not expect for the foreseeable future to pay dividends on our common stock. Any future determination to pay dividends will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, any contractual restrictions and any other considerations our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

As of May 31, 2010

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	35,286,500	\$ 10.00	2,233,500
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	35,286,500	\$ 10.00	2,233,500

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for a description of our authorized shares under our management equity plan.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.
Statement of Operations Data**

Fiscal Years Ended 2010 and 2009, Periods July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 and June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, and Fiscal Years Ended 2007 and 2006

(in millions)	2010 (Successor)	2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor) (2)	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) (2)	2007 (Predecessor)	2006 (Predecessor)
Net sales	\$ 2,698.0	\$ 2,504.1	\$ 2,134.5	\$ 248.8	\$ 2,107.4	\$ 2,025.7
Cost of sales	819.9	828.4	814.7	102.3	642.3	582.1
Gross profit	1,878.1	1,675.7	1,319.8	146.5	1,465.1	1,443.6
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,042.3	1,003.6	1,097.6	194.2	881.1	750.2
Research and development expense	106.6	93.5	82.2	34.0	85.6	74.8
In-process research and development Amortization (1)	372.6	375.8	329.3	0.5	8.8	10.2
Goodwill and intangible assets impairment charge		551.1				
Operating income (loss)	356.6	(348.3)	(668.3)	(82.2)	489.6	608.4
Interest expense	516.4	550.3	516.3	0.3	9.3	11.7
Other (income) expense	(18.1)	21.8	9.7	(0.6)	(21.3)	(14.3)
Income (loss) before taxes	(141.7)	(920.4)	(1,194.3)	(81.9)	501.6	611.0
Provision on (benefit from) income taxes	(94.1)	(171.2)	(230.1)	(27.3)	165.7	205.1
Net income (loss)	\$ (47.6)	\$ (749.2)	\$ (964.2)	\$ (54.6)	\$ 335.9	\$ 405.9

- (1) Amortization expense was classified within research and development prior to June 1, 2007; therefore the prior years have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the periods after June 1, 2007.
- (2) The Successor and Predecessor periods together are not comparable to the preceding two years presented above due to a new basis of accounting on July 12, 2007.

Balance Sheet Data At May 31,

(in millions)	(Successor)			(Predecessor)	
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Working capital	\$ 786.5	\$ 756.9	\$ 785.2	\$ 1,105.9	\$ 816.6
Total assets	11,969.0	12,600.9	13,781.8	2,457.9	2,282.6
Total debt	5,896.5	6,212.7	6,300.8	81.8	276.6
Shareholder s equity	3,733.5	3,840.3	4,836.3	2,049.2	1,720.2

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations includes periods prior to the consummation of the Merger. Accordingly, the following discussion and analysis of such historical periods does not reflect the significant impact that the Merger has had on us, including significantly increased leverage and liquidity requirements. You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with the Selected Financial Data, and our historical audited consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described in Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements of this annual report. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Overview

Our net sales increased 8% to \$2,698.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily due to mid to high single-digit growth in the U.S. geographic market, as well as strong sales growth in the International geographic market. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted reported net sales by \$25.7 million, or 1%. Pricing within the domestic and international markets was slightly negative with both volume and mix being favorable. The following represents key sales growth statistics for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to the year ended May 31, 2009:

Reconstructive product sales increased 9% worldwide and 10% in the U.S.

Knee sales increased 13% worldwide and 11% in the U.S.

Hip sales increased 7% worldwide and 6% in the U.S.

Extremities sales increased 29% worldwide and 44% in the U.S.

Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the U.S.

Spinal product sales increased 6% worldwide and in the U.S.

Our operating income for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$356.6 million compared to an operating loss of \$348.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. Operating loss for the year ended May 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by the goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment charge of \$551.1 million. This increase is also due to the following: 1) increase in the geographic mix of sales in the U.S. versus outside the U.S. compared to the prior year, as sales prices are typically higher in the U.S., and 2) closely managing discretionary sales, general and administrative expenses, especially at locations experiencing lower sales growth. Partially offsetting this increase was \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle a portion of their past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds.

Our interest expense for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$516.4 million, as compared to \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, primarily due to lower interest rates on variable rate debt.

Net loss for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$47.6 million or \$701.6 million less than the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment charge of \$551.1 million recorded during the year ended May 31, 2009.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$321.5 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, as compared to net cash provided of \$243.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, with the current year being significantly impacted by a \$61.0 million litigation settlement payment. Our working capital improvement initiatives have contributed to improved operating cash flows in accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable of \$4.7 million in the aggregate for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

Our Business

We design, manufacture and market a comprehensive range of both surgical and non-surgical products used primarily by orthopedic surgeons and other musculoskeletal medical specialists. We operate in one reportable business segment, musculoskeletal products, which includes the design, manufacture and marketing of products in four major product categories: reconstructive products, fixation devices, spinal products and other products. We have three reportable geographic markets: United States, Europe and International.

Reconstructive products, which represented 75% of our net sales for fiscal 2010, 74% of our net sales for fiscal 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 71% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include knee, hip and extremity joint replacement systems, as well as dental reconstructive implants, bone cements and accessories, cement delivery systems, and autologous therapies.

Fixation devices, which represented 9% of our net sales for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, 10% of our net sales for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 11% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include internal and external fixation devices, craniomaxillofacial fixation systems, bone substitute materials, and electrical stimulation devices that do not address the spine.

Spinal products, which represented 9% of our net sales for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, 8% of our net sales for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 10% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include spinal fixation systems for cervical, thoracolumbar, deformity correction and spacer applications, electrical stimulation devices and allograft services for spinal applications, bone substitute materials, and orthobiologics for the spine.

The other product sales category, which represented 7% of our net sales for fiscal 2010, and 8% of our net sales for fiscal 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, includes sports medicine products, softgoods and bracing products, casting materials, general surgical instruments, operating room supplies, wound care products and other surgical products.

Depending on the intended application, we report sales of bone substitute materials in the reconstructive product, fixation device or spinal product category.

We have operations in over 50 locations, distribute our products in approximately 90 countries throughout the world and manage our operations through three reportable geographic markets mentioned above. We are the fourth largest player in the U.S. orthopedic reconstructive market and have maintained this position for over ten years. We supply products to over 60% of U.S. hospitals performing joint replacement surgery. In addition, we are the third largest manufacturer and marketer of dental reconstructive devices worldwide and maintain leadership positions in the electrical stimulation and craniomaxillofacial fields. We have a long history of innovation, engineering quality and successful new product launches.

Opportunities and Challenges

Our results of operations could be substantially affected not only by global economic conditions, but also by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. Unfavorable conditions can depress sales in a given market and may result in actions that adversely affect our margins, constrain our operating flexibility or result in charges which are unusual or non-recurring. Certain macroeconomic events, such as the current adverse conditions in the global economy, could have a more wide-ranging and prolonged impact on the general business environment, which could also adversely affect us.

We believe the global uncertainty or recessionary environment has impacted the year over year market growth rates of the orthopedic reconstructive device industry from the historical rates in the high single digits to current market growth rates in the mid single digits. Because of this, management has taken, and will continue to take, precautionary measures to be able to manage expenses more conservatively, especially if our revenues were to decrease below those internally forecasted.

Table of Contents

In the United States, healthcare providers that purchase our products (*e.g.*, hospitals, physicians, dentists and other health care providers) generally rely on payments from third-party payors (principally federal Medicare, state Medicaid and private health insurance plans) to cover all or a portion of the cost of our musculoskeletal products. In March 2010, the U.S. Congress adopted and President Obama signed into law comprehensive health care reform legislation through the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (H.R. 4872). Among other initiatives, these bills impose a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices following December 31, 2012, which is estimated to contribute approximately \$27 billion to healthcare reform. Various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Outside of the excise tax, which will impact results of operations following December 31, 2012, we cannot predict with certainty what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented at the state level, or what the ultimate effect of federal health care reform or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, an expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business and results of operations, possibly materially.

Outside of the United States, reimbursement systems vary significantly from country to country. If adequate levels of reimbursement from third-party payors outside of the United States are not obtained, international sales of our products may decline. Many foreign markets, including Canada, and some European and Asian countries, have tightened reimbursement rates. Our ability to continue to sell certain products profitably in these markets may diminish if the government-managed healthcare systems continue to reduce reimbursement rates, which can decrease pricing and procedural volume.

European Sovereign Debt Crisis

We continue to monitor economic conditions, including the volatility associated with international sovereign economies, and associated impacts on the financial markets and our business, especially in light of the global economic downturn and European sovereign debt crisis. We believe the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the European Union, have deteriorated over the past twelve months. These conditions have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect on our accounts receivable outstanding in these countries.

As of May 31, 2010, our orthopedic net accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled over \$100.0 million. To date, we have not experienced any significant cash losses with respect to the collection of our accounts receivable related to sales within these countries. However, during fiscal 2010 we did recognize \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle certain past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds. We classified \$38.9 million of our Greece receivables as a long-term asset based on the Greek government proposal.

We have expanded the factoring of our accounts receivable in Spain, Italy and Portugal. Control and risk of those trade receivables are fully transferred and accounted for as a sale. We factored approximately \$39.7 million of receivables under these factoring arrangements during fiscal 2010, which serve to reduce our collection risk.

Seasonality

Our business is somewhat seasonal in nature, as many of our products are used in elective procedures, which typically decline during the summer months, particularly in European countries, and the winter holiday season.

Impact of Inflation

We attempt to minimize the annual effects of inflation through appropriate planning, operating practices, and product pricing. Inflation during fiscal 2010, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the

Table of Contents

period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, was not material. Although we experienced higher than normal inflationary costs during fiscal 2009, we do not believe the impact was material to the consolidated financial statements.

The Transactions

On December 18, 2006, we entered into the Merger Agreement with Parent and Purchaser. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, on June 13, 2007, Purchaser commenced the Offer to purchase all of our outstanding Shares, without par value, at the Offer Price without interest and less any required withholding taxes. The Offer was made pursuant to Purchaser's offer to purchase dated June 13, 2007 and the related letter of transmittal. The Offer expired on July 11, 2007, with approximately 82% of the outstanding Shares having been tendered to Purchaser. At a special meeting of shareholders held on September 5, 2007, more than 91% of our shareholders voted to approve the Merger, and Parent acquired us on September 25, 2007 through a reverse subsidiary merger with Biomet, Inc. being the surviving company. Subsequent to the acquisition, we became a subsidiary of our Parent, which is controlled by Holding, an entity controlled by the Sponsors and their Co-Investors. Parent's sole asset is 100% of our capital stock. Accordingly, a separate discussion of Parent's financial condition and results of operations is not provided since we are representative of Parent's consolidated operations.

The Offer for Biomet's Shares was completed successfully on July 11, 2007. Although Biomet continues as the same legal entity after the Merger, Parent's cost of acquiring Biomet has been pushed-down to establish a new accounting basis for Biomet. Accordingly, the financial information in the tables and discussion below for the year ended May 31, 2008 is presented separately for the period prior to the completion of the Offer (June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, the "Predecessor Period") and the period after the completion of the Offer (July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 and the fiscal years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, or the "Successor Period"). The financial information of the Successor Period is not comparable to the Predecessor Period because of the new basis of accounting resulting from the Merger. A comparative discussion of the results of operations for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2009 versus the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 has been provided. Our results of operations for the Predecessor Period and the Successor Period for the period ended May 31, 2008 should not be considered representative of our future results of operations.

In connection with the Transactions, we incurred significant indebtedness and became highly leveraged; see "Liquidity and Capital Resources." In addition, the purchase price paid in connection with the acquisition was allocated to state the acquired assets and liabilities at fair value. We allocated the purchase price to the fair value of the assets and liabilities of Biomet based on estimated fair values utilizing generally accepted valuation methodologies. Both assets and liabilities were valued as of July 11, 2007. As noted in the purchase price allocation, in-process research and development projects were acquired. The most significant projects acquired occurred in the hip, knee and spine divisions. We expect to use these products to leverage and build on those products that have been in the market for a number of years. The purchase accounting adjustments increased the carrying value of our property and equipment, inventory and established intangible assets for the Successor Period (such as corporate and product trade names, core and completed technology and customer relationships), among other things. Subsequent to the Transactions, interest expense and non-cash depreciation and amortization charges have significantly increased. As a result, our financial statements for the Successor Period are not comparable to our financial statements for the Predecessor Period.

The purchase price allocation was based on information currently available to us, and expectations, assumptions and valuation methodologies deemed reasonable by our management. No assurance can be given, however, that the underlying assumptions used to estimate expected technology-based product revenues, development costs or profitability, or the events associated with such technology, will occur as projected. Certain other fair value estimates related to intellectual property and other matters, investments, and inventory and instruments associated with brands we are considering to discontinue were also performed.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

We believe the following developments or trends are important in understanding our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008.

Unfavorable conditions in the economy have had an adverse effect on our dental reconstructive business during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 as compared to prior fiscal years principally due to the elective nature of dental implant procedures, which are typically not reimbursed by private insurance plans or governmental agencies. While we have already undertaken and continue to undertake certain operating initiatives in connection with this business, we anticipate that the growth rate of our worldwide dental business could remain flat during the current global economic environment, compared to reported double digit growth in fiscal 2008. Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010; however, we believe the dental market has begun to stabilize and showed signs of improvement during the last half of fiscal 2010.

Our results of operations for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, are not comparative to our results of operations for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 because of the new basis of accounting resulting from the Merger. Both assets and liabilities were fair valued as of July 11, 2007. On July 11, 2007, 82.4% of the step-up was recorded, which included a \$392.8 million in-process research and development charge, and a \$66.2 million and \$132.1 million fair value step-up to property, plant, and equipment and inventory, respectively, and then combined with 17.6% of the Predecessor Company. On September 25, 2007 (the Closing Date), the remaining fair value step-up of 17.6% was recorded. The additional step-up performed included an increase in the IPRD charge of \$86.2 million, an increase of the property, plant, and equipment fair value of \$14.2 million, and an increase in the fair value of inventory of \$28.2 million. Also, the Tender Facility starting on July 12, 2007 was refinanced on the Closing Date into various other credit facilities. See Note 8 within the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a description of those facilities. On July 12, 2007, we eliminated reporting on a one month lag that was in place during the predecessor period at certain foreign subsidiaries. The effect of this change is immaterial to the financial results included below.

For the Year Ended May 31, 2010 Compared to the Year Ended May 31, 2009

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010	Percentage of Net Sales	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
Net sales	\$ 2,698.0	100%	\$ 2,504.1	100%	8%
Cost of sales	819.9	30	828.4	33	(1)
Gross profit	1,878.1	70	1,675.7	67	12
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,042.3	39	1,003.6	40	4
Research and development expense	106.6	4	93.5	4	14
Amortization	372.6	14	375.8	15	(1)
Goodwill & intangible assets impairment charge			551.1	22	(100)
Operating income (loss)	356.6	13	(348.3)	(14)	N/A
Interest expense	516.4	19	550.3	22	(6)
Other (income) expense	(18.1)	(1)	21.8	1	N/A
Other expense, net	498.3	18	572.1	23	(13)
Loss before income taxes	(141.7)	(5)	(920.4)	(37)	(85)
Benefit from income taxes	(94.1)	(3)	(171.2)	(7)	(45)
Net loss	\$ (47.6)	(2)%	\$ (749.2)	(30)%	(94)%

Table of Contents**Sales**

Net sales were \$2,698.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, and \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The following tables provide net sales by geography and product category:

Geography Sales Summary

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010	Percentage of Net Sales	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
United States	\$ 1,644.1	61%	\$ 1,527.9	61%	8%
Europe	728.8	27	711.7	28	2
International (1)	325.1	12	264.5	11	23
Total	\$ 2,698.0	100%	\$ 2,504.1	100%	8%

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010	Percentage of Net Sales	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	Percentage Increase/ (Decrease)
Reconstructive	\$ 2,024.5	75%	\$ 1,851.0	74%	9%
Fixation	237.8	9	234.1	9	2
Spinal	236.2	9	222.1	9	6
Other	199.5	7	196.9	8	1
Total	\$ 2,698.0	100%	\$ 2,504.1	100%	8%

Reconstructive

Our worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales. Net sales of reconstructive products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$2,024.5 million, or 75% of net sales, representing a 9% increase compared to net sales of \$1,851.0 million, or 74% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted growth on a reported basis of this product category by \$22.7 million, or 1%.

Global knee product sales increased 13% worldwide and increased 11% in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. There was continued strong market demand for the Vanguard® Complete Knee System and the Vanguard® SSK Revision Knee System, with positive market acceptance of the E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings, the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, and the Regenerex® Primary Tibial Trays. E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings provide Vitamin E-infused highly crosslinked polyethylene, which is designed to offer strength and oxidative stability for improved wear characteristics. The Signature Personalized Patient Care system uses a patient's MRI or CT data to deliver patient-specific positioning guides to the surgeon for improved pre-operative planning and for implementation during the procedure. The advanced porous metal technology of Regenerex® Primary Tibial Trays provides rigid fixation to complete the porous primary knee construct. In addition, Europe knee sales were driven by the Vanguard® Complete Knee System, the Biomet® Modular Tray and the Oxford® Partial Knee System.

Global hip product sales increased 7% worldwide, with a 6% sales increase in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. The primary drivers of the global hip sales growth included the Regenerex® RingLoc®+ Modular Acetabular Systems, E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Bearings, the Biolox delta (a trademark of CeramTec AG) Ceramic Femoral Heads, the conventional and Microplasty® versions of

the

Table of Contents

Taperloc® Hip System as well as the Echo® Hip System. In addition, Europe hip sales were driven by the Bi-Metric® stem and the Exceed ABT Advanced Bearing Technologies Acetabular System.

Global extremity product sales increased 29% worldwide, with a 44% sales increase in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. The primary drivers of sales growth included the Comprehensive® Primary and Reverse Shoulder Systems, the Comprehensive® Fracture System, the Copeland® Shoulder, the Discover® Elbow System and the Explor® Modular Radial Head. In addition, Europe extremity sales were driven by the anatomical and reverse versions of the T.E.S.S. Shoulder System.

Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. We believe the dental market has begun to stabilize and showed signs of improvement during the last half of fiscal 2010. The OSSEOTITE® product line is our flagship dental reconstructive implant system.

Fixation

Worldwide net sales of fixation products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$237.8 million, or 9% of net sales, compared to net sales of \$234.1 million, also 9% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations did not materially impact growth of this product category. Sales of fixation products reflected double digit growth of craniomaxillofacial fixation sales and high single digit growth of internal fixation sales offset by decreased sales of external fixation and electrical stimulation products. During the year ended May 31, 2010, there was continued strong market demand for the TraumaOne® System, which contributed to the sales growth for craniomaxillofacial fixation. Other key craniomaxillofacial fixation products that contributed to sales growth included the TMJ Replacement System and the OnPoint® Diagnostic Scope System. Key internal fixation products included the Phoenix® Ankle Arthrodesis Nail, the Forerunner Plating System and the OptiLock® Proximal Humeral Plates.

Spinal

Worldwide net sales of spinal products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$236.2 million, or 9% of net sales, representing a 6% increase compared to net sales of \$222.1 million, also 9% of net sales, for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily due to increased sales volume of the three major spine implant segments: spacer, thoracolumbar and cervical.

Sales of spacer products increased primarily due to the strength in sales of the Solitaire® Anterior Spine System, which includes the PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio® Biomaterial Solutions) version of the Solitaire® Spine System for Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions. Sales of thoracolumbar products continue to grow with strong market acceptance of the Polaris® product line, including the Polaris® Deformity System, which features Trivium® Derotation instruments. Sales of cervical products increased primarily due to the strength in sales of the MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System (the MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System incorporates technology developed by Gary K. Michelson, M.D.), which is our newest anterior cervical plate. In addition, products that contributed to spinal sales in Europe included the Synergy® Spinal System and the Array® Spinal System.

Other

Worldwide net sales of other products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$199.5 million, or 7% of net sales, compared to net sales of \$196.9 million, or 8% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The primary contributors of other product sales during the year ended May 31, 2010 consisted of products from our sports medicine division, which reported double digit sales growth, including the MicroMax® Flex Suture Anchor, the ComposiTCP® Interference Screw, the ZipTight® Fixation Device, the MaxFire® Meniscal Repair Device, and the ToggleLoc® Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop® Technology. In addition, Europe sales growth drivers for our sports medicine product category included the Gentle Threads® Interference Screws and the EZLoc® Femoral Fixation Device.

Table of Contents

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2010 increased to \$1,878.1 million compared to gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2009 of \$1,675.7 million, or 70% and 67% of net sales, respectively. Gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by \$67.5 million of product-related litigation expenses for settlements and reserves associated with the King litigation (see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report) and a \$20.5 million product rationalization charge related to our Biomet Trauma & Biomet Spine business. The decrease from the prior year was partially offset by operational restructuring costs related to our operational improvement initiatives, which included abnormal manufacturing variances related to temporary redundant overhead costs within our plant network as we continued to rationalize and move production to our larger operating locations in order to increase manufacturing efficiency. Excluding these items, gross profit as a percentage of net sales improved slightly in the current year compared to the prior year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 39% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to 40% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009. This decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to lower costs associated with our operational restructuring and consulting expenses related to operational improvement initiatives and a decrease in our share-based compensation expense compared to the prior year. Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2010 were negatively impacted by a \$9.3 million bad debt expense charge related to the proposal the Greece government announced on June 15, 2010 to settle their outstanding debts from 2007 through 2009 primarily by issuing long-term zero-coupon bonds.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense during the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$106.6 million and \$93.5 million, respectively, or 4.0% and 3.7% of net sales, respectively. This increase in research and development expenses for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to our ongoing commitment to increase investment in clinical research and regulatory affairs within our business. Expenses during the year ended May 31, 2010 have primarily been related to the following research and development projects: E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial bearings (Reconstructive-Knees), Arcos Modular Revision Hip System (Reconstructive-Hips), Cobalt MV Bone Cement (Reconstructive-Other), OrthoPak[®] and SpinalPak[®] stimulation platform technologies (Fixation-Stimulation), ZipTight Fixation Device and JuggerKnot Soft Suture Anchor Technology (Other-Sports Medicine). In addition, European expenses have primarily been related to additional research and development projects for the Alpina Unicompartmental Lateral upgrades.

Amortization

Amortization expense for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$372.6 million, 14% of net sales, compared to \$375.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, or 15% of net sales. This decrease is primarily due to the accelerated method for amortizing customer relationship intangibles as the value for those relationships is greater at the beginning of their life.

Goodwill and Intangible Impairment

During fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$551.1 million goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge associated with the dental reconstructive business unit. The decline in sales volume during the third quarter of fiscal 2009 created an indication of potential impairment of our long-lived assets; therefore, we performed a preliminary impairment test as of February 28, 2009. Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the credit and equity market, and changes in the dental reconstructive market

Table of Contents

demand relative to our original assumptions at the time of the Merger. We finalized the impairment test during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. We completed our annual impairment tests as of March 31, 2010, in accordance with our standard timing of testing, and no impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets was indicated for fiscal 2010.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$516.4 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to interest expense of \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The decrease in interest expense was due to the following: 1) a decrease in interest rates and 2) a lower average debt balance of \$6,117.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to \$6,245.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009.

Other (Income) Expense

Other (income) expense was income of \$18.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to an expense of \$21.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The increase in other income for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to currency transaction gains of \$10.4 million compared to currency transaction losses of \$7.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, as well as \$5.2 million of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on our investments and the write-down of auction-rate securities of \$9.4 million in the prior year. The currency transaction gains and losses related to our foreign operations were primarily due to the change in the exchange rate of the euro compared to the U.S. Dollar on intercompany inventory purchases.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate increased to 66.4% for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to 18.6% for the year ended May 31, 2009. The fiscal 2010 tax rate is higher than the federal statutory tax rate primarily due to the benefit of foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal rate, an increase in deferred tax benefit relating to changes in state statutory rate estimates, and the generation of additional foreign tax and general business credits. This rate increase is partially offset by the increase in valuation allowance relating to state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards and an increase in gross FIN 48 liabilities. In fiscal 2009, \$495.6 million of the \$551.1 million impairment charge taken on the dental reconstructive business unit was a non-deductible permanent difference, which decreased the effective tax rate. The increase in the tax rate in fiscal 2010 compared to the prior periods is also partially attributable to changes in the Company's mix of profits and losses in certain foreign and domestic jurisdictions in the current year, primarily the loss in the U.S. as a percent of the total loss before income taxes decreasing in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

For the Year Ended May 31, 2009 Compared to the Period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008	Percentage of Net Sales
Net sales	\$ 2,504.1	100%	\$ 2,134.5	100%
Cost of sales	828.4	33	814.7	38
Gross profit	1,675.7	67	1,319.8	62
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,003.6	40	1,097.6	51
Research and development expense	93.5	4	82.2	4
In-process research and development			479.0	23
Amortization	375.8	15	329.3	15
Goodwill & intangible assets impairment charge	551.1	22		
Operating income (loss)	(348.3)	(14)	(668.3)	(31)
Interest expense	550.3	22	516.3	24
Other (income) expense	21.8	1	9.7	
Other expense, net	572.1	23	526.0	24
Loss before income taxes	(920.4)	(37)	(1,194.3)	(55)
Benefit from income taxes	(171.2)	(7)	(230.1)	(11)
Net loss	\$ (749.2)	(30)%	\$ (964.2)	(44)%

Sales

Net sales were \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, and \$2,134.5 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. The following tables provide net sales by geography and product category:

Geography Sales Summary

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008	Percentage of Net Sales
United States	\$ 1,527.9	61%	\$ 1,251.4	59%
Europe	711.7	28	663.7	31
International (1)	264.5	11	219.4	10
Total	\$ 2,504.1	100%	\$ 2,134.5	100%

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

(in millions, except percentages)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	Year Ended May 31, 2009	Percentage of Net Sales	July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008	Percentage of Net Sales
Reconstructive	\$ 1,851.0	74%	\$ 1,578.6	74%
Fixation	234.1	9	203.2	10
Spinal	222.1	9	183.1	8
Other	196.9	8	169.6	8
Total	\$ 2,504.1	100%	\$ 2,134.5	100%

Table of Contents

Reconstructive

Our worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales. Principal drivers behind the reconstructive product sales were knees, which grew 9%, year over year, in the second half of fiscal 2009 in the United States as compared to the second half of fiscal 2008. Worldwide demand remained strong for Vanguard® Complete Knee System and good market acceptance of new technologies contributed to knee sales growth, including E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings, Regenerex® Tibial Trays and Signature Personalized Patient Care system. During fiscal 2009, we continued the launch of the Signature System, which uses a patient's MRI data to deliver patient-specific positioning guides to the surgeon for improved pre-operative planning and for implementation during the procedure. Hip sales were very strong and grew 14%, year over year, in the second half of fiscal 2009 in the United States as compared to the second half of fiscal 2008, primarily due to the conventional and Microplasty® versions of the Taperloc® Hip System, the M²a-Magnum Acetabular System including Tri-Spike Cups, the Regenerex RingLoc® + Modular Acetabular System, E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Acetabular Liners, and the Echo® Bi-Metric® stem. In addition, European reconstructive sales were strong due to the volume growth of the Vanguard® Complete Knee System, Oxford® Partial Knee System, Aura Hip Stem, Taperloc® Hip System, the Exceed ABT Advanced Bearing Technologies Acetabular System, the T.E.S.S. Shoulder System and the Echo® Bi-Metric® stem.

Unfavorable conditions in the economy have had an adverse effect on our dental business during fiscal 2009, as compared to the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 principally due to the elective nature of dental implant procedures, which are typically not reimbursed by private insurance plans or governmental agencies. While we have already undertaken and continue to undertake certain operating initiatives in connection with this business, we anticipate that the growth rate of our worldwide dental business will remain flat or have a low single digit decline during the current global recessionary environment, compared to reported double digit growth in fiscal 2008.

Fixation

Sales of fixation products reflected global strength of the craniomaxillofacial and internal fixation, with decreased sales of electrical stimulation and external fixation products. The TraumaOne System contributed to the strength of craniomaxillofacial fixation, while the Phoenix Nailing System and the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail received good market acceptance.

Spinal

Sales of spinal products have continued to improve during fiscal 2009 due to the strength in sales of the Polaris product line including the Polaris Deformity System, the Solitaire Anterior Spine System, which includes the PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio Limited) version for Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions, the C-Thru Small Stature PEEK Spacer, and services related to the OsteoStiff Cervical Allograft Spacer System.

Other

Sales of other products continued to reflect strong global sales of our sports medicine division from sales of the following products: MaxFire Meniscal Repair Device, ComposiTCP Interference Screw, ToggleLoc Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop Technology, MicroMax Suture Anchors, and the new MicroMax FLEX Suture Anchors.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased as a percentage of net sales to 67% for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 62% for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Gross margin for the period July 12, 2007 through

Table of Contents

May 31, 2008 was negatively impacted by increased cost of sales in connection with the Merger, including a charge for the inventory step-up of \$160.3 million. The fiscal 2009 gross profit was negatively impacted by \$67.5 million for settlements and reserves associated with the King litigation (see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report) and a \$20.5 million product rationalization charge related to our Biomet Trauma & Biomet Spine business. This product rationalization charge is part of a 12-18 month program to streamline the supply chain of this business by discontinuing 15% of the products sold by this division. All of these products have been or will be replaced with other product offerings. This management action is expected to move customers to improved technology, provide for small cost savings and help focus the sales force. Excluding these items, gross margin percentage improved in the current year due to the U.S. business growing faster than our business outside the U.S. and cost savings from our operational improvements program more than offsetting the negative margin impact from our dental business decline.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 40% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 51% of net sales for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses were negatively impacted during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 primarily due to (1) \$172.0 million of transaction fees associated with the Merger, (2) \$26.9 million settlement payment with the Department of Justice, and (3) \$22.0 million of additional distributor fee expense associated with renegotiation of distribution agreements. Excluding these items, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales were comparable.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expenditures for the year ended May 31, 2009 were \$93.5 million or 4% of net sales, which was relatively consistent with the period for July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 of \$82.2 million or 4% of net sales. Expenses for the year ended May 31, 2009 were primarily related to the following research and development projects: T.E.S.S. Long Stem (Reconstructive-Extremities), E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial bearings (Reconstructive-Knees), OnPoint Scope (Fixation), Forerunner Plating System (Fixation-Internal), Ballista Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Placement System (Spine), AccuVision® Minimally Invasive Spinal Exposure System (Spine), PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio Limited) version of the Solitaire Spine System (Spine), Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail (Fixation-Internal), and Polaris Deformity System (Spine).

In-Process Research & Development (IPRD)

We recorded IPRD charges of \$479.0 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 related to the Merger. We recorded IPRD for the portion of the purchase price representing the value of technologies relating to products that have not received FDA approval or clearance and have no alternative use, excluding the value of core and developed technologies. There were no IPRD charges during the year ended May 31, 2009.

Amortization

Amortization expense for the year ended May 31, 2009 of \$375.8 million, remained flat at 15% of net sales, compared to \$329.3 million during the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008.

Goodwill and Intangible Impairment

During fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$551.1 million goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge associated with the dental reconstructive business unit. The decline in sales volume during the third quarter of fiscal 2009 created an indication of potential impairment of our long-lived assets; therefore, we performed a preliminary impairment test as of February 28, 2009. Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the credit and equity market, and changes in the dental reconstructive market demand relative to our original assumptions at the time of the Merger. We finalized the impairment test during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, compared to \$516.3 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. For the year ended May 31, 2009, interest expense primarily related to interest charges and financing costs related to the debt financings obtained in connection with the Merger. For the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, interest expense primarily related to interest charges and financing costs on the merger indebtedness when the Tender Facility was replaced with senior secured credit facilities, term loan facilities, and cash flow and asset based loan revolvers. In addition, interest expense was impacted during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 for deferred financing costs of \$57.2 million related to the Tender Facility being repaid.

Other (Income) Expense

Other income (expense) was an expense of \$21.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, compared to an expense of \$9.7 million during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Other income (expense) for fiscal 2009 primarily related to write-downs of investments of \$5.2 million, write-downs of auction-rate securities of \$9.4 million, and currency transaction losses related to our foreign operations of \$7.0 million, primarily due to the strengthening euro compared to the U.S. Dollar. The \$9.7 million for the prior period primarily related to currency transaction losses related to our foreign operations.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate decreased to 18.6% for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 19.3% for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. These effective tax rates are lower than statutory tax rates due to amounts deducted for financial reporting purposes that are not deductible for tax purposes. In fiscal 2009, \$495.6 million of the \$551.1 million impairment charge taken on the dental reconstructive business unit was a non-deductible permanent difference, which decreased the effective tax rate. In the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, the following items were not deductible for tax purposes: (1) \$479.0 million IPRD expense related to the Merger, (2) a portion of the \$26.9 million Department of Justice settlement described in Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report and (3) \$74.0 million of Merger-related expenses. The increase in the tax rate in fiscal 2009 compared to the prior period is also partially attributable to changes in our mix of profits and losses in certain foreign and domestic jurisdictions in the current year.

Table of Contents

For the Period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007

Consolidated Statements of Operations

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)	Percentage of Net Sales
Net sales	\$ 248.8	100%
Cost of sales	102.3	41
Gross profit	146.5	59
Selling, general and administrative expense	194.2	78
Research and development expense	34.0	14
Amortization	0.5	
Operating loss	(82.2)	(33)
Interest expense, net	0.3	
Other income	(0.6)	
Other income, net	(0.3)	
Loss before income taxes	(81.9)	(33)
Benefit from income taxes	(27.3)	(11)
Net loss	\$ (54.6)	(22)%

Sales

Net sales were \$248.8 million for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007.

Geography Sales Summary

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)	Percentage of Net Sales
United States	\$ 156.2	63%
Europe	70.8	28
International ⁽¹⁾	21.8	9
Total	\$ 248.8	100%

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico, and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

<i>(in millions, except percentages)</i>	June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)	Percentage of Net Sales
Reconstructive	\$ 178.1	71%
Fixation	27.1	11
Spinal	24.9	10
Other	18.7	8
Total	\$ 248.8	100%

Table of Contents

Reconstructive

Worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales for the period from June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Principal drivers behind the reconstructive product sales were knees, where worldwide demand remained strong for Biomet's Oxford® Partial Knee System, as well as the Vanguard® Complete Knee System. Hip sales continued to be strong, primarily due to worldwide sales of the M² a-Magnum Large Articulation System and the Taperlo® Hip System, as well as strong growth for the ReCap® Total Resurfacing System in Europe. In addition, sales of dental reconstructive devices were strong, with the launch of the NanoTite Tapered PREVAI® Implant.

Fixation

Sales of fixation and spinal products were lower than expected for the period June 1 to July 11, 2007 due to the underperformance of the BTBS division. We made various changes at the division, including managerial changes, computer system enhancements, among others. We believe the new management team and infrastructure changes at BTBS has allowed us to provide improved focus on the spine and trauma markets and BTBS customers.

Other

Sales of other products include product lines that were sold by the BTBS division and did not meet management expectations during the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. This poor performance was partly offset by sales growth in our sports medicine products.

Gross Profit

Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 59% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 compared. Gross margins were negatively impacted by \$28.0 million of costs in June 2007 to settle in-the-money stock options to employees, as part of the Merger.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense, as a percentage of net sales, was 78% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Selling, general and administrative expense for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 was negatively impacted by: (1) \$61.0 million paid upon the cash-out of outstanding in-the-money stock options of employees, as part of the Merger, (2) \$30.0 million of transaction fees associated with the Merger, (3) \$18.0 million of distributor fee expense associated with renegotiation of distribution agreements and (4) \$2.0 million of additional legal and Merger-related fees.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expenditures were \$34.0 million, or 14% as a percentage of net sales from June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Research and development expense was negatively impacted by \$23.0 million of additional compensation expense upon the cash-out of outstanding in-the-money stock options of employees, as part of the Merger.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 33% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. The rates are lower than the U.S. statutory rates due to the tax rates in our international locations being lower than in the United States and our plans to have those earnings permanently invested.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources*****Cash Flows***

The following is a summary of the cash flows by activity for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007.

<i>(in millions)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Net cash from (used in):				
Operating activities	\$ 321.5	\$ 243.8	\$ 188.9	\$ 59.4
Investing activities	(182.0)	(194.9)	(11,721.8)	11.0
Financing activities	(159.9)	42.5	11,481.6	1.3
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(6.1)	(3.4)	2.0	0.1
Change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (26.5)	\$ 88.0	\$ (49.3)	\$ 71.8

For the Year Ended May 31, 2010 Compared to the Year Ended May 31, 2009

Our cash and cash equivalents was \$189.1 million as of May 31, 2010 compared to \$215.6 million as of May 31, 2009. We maintain our cash and investments in money market funds, certificates of deposit, corporate bonds and debt instruments. We are exposed to interest rate risk on our corporate bonds and debt instruments.

Operating Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$321.5 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to cash flows provided of \$243.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. Cash generated by operating activities continues to be a source of funds for deleveraging and investing in our growth. Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended May 31, 2010 included a net loss of \$47.6 million, offset by non-cash amounts of \$458.3 million (primarily depreciation and amortization and stock based compensation, partially offset by deferred income taxes), and cash used in working capital of \$89.2 million. This compares to the year ended May 31, 2009 which included a net loss of \$749.2 million, offset by non-cash amounts of \$927.3 million (primarily goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge, depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes and stock based compensation), and cash provided by working capital of \$65.7 million. The increase in cash provided by operating activities of \$77.7 million is primarily due to the following:

\$232.6 million decrease in net loss after taking into consideration noncash items offset by:

i \$61.0 million payment on previously disclosed litigation accrued for in fiscal 2009; and

i \$17.2 million additional income taxes paid.

Investing Cash Flows

Net cash used in investing activities was \$182.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 and \$194.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 primarily related to capital expenditures of \$186.4 million and \$185.0 million, respectively, and purchases of investments of \$13.3 million, partially offset by proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities of \$24.9 million.

Financing Cash Flows

Net cash used in financing activities was \$159.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$42.5 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to required payments under the senior

Table of Contents

secured credit facility of \$35.8 million, discretionary payments under the revolving credit facilities of \$68.9 million, and discretionary payments under the asset-based revolver of \$65.2 million, partially offset by proceeds under the revolving credit facilities of \$20.4 million. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily related to proceeds under the revolving credit facilities of \$48.2 million and under the asset-based revolver of \$165.4 million, partially offset by required payments under the senior secured credit facility of \$35.7 million, discretionary payments under the revolving credit facilities of \$38.0 million, and discretionary payments under the asset-based revolver of \$100.2 million.

Balance Sheet Metrics

Cash flows from operations are impacted by profitability and changes in operating working capital. Management monitors operating working capital with particular focus on the certain metrics, including days sales outstanding (DSO) and inventory turns (turns). The following is a summary of our DSO and turns for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Year Ended May 31, 2010	Year Ended May 31, 2009
Days Sales Outstanding	65.2	72.7
Inventory Turns	1.59	1.56

We use Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) as a measure that places emphasis on how quickly we collect our accounts receivable balances from customers. We use inventory turns as a measure that places emphasis on how efficiently we are managing our inventory levels. These measures may not be computed the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies.

For the year ended May 31, 2010, \$38.9 million of net accounts receivables related to Greece were reclassified to long-term assets due to the proposal of the Greece government to settle certain debts with the issuance of zero-coupon bonds not expected to be settled in the next twelve months. This reclassification impacted our days sales outstanding in fiscal 2010 by 2.6 days with the remaining decrease due to continued focus on collections and an increase in factoring in certain European countries.

For the Year Ended May 31, 2009 Compared to the Period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008

Our cash and cash equivalents was \$215.6 million as of May 31, 2009 compared to \$127.6 million as of May 31, 2008. We maintain our cash and investments in money market funds, certificates of deposit, corporate bonds and debt instruments. We are exposed to interest rate risk on our corporate bonds and debt instruments.

Operating Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$243.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, compared to cash flows provided of \$188.9 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Cash generated by operating activities continued to be a source of funds for deleveraging and investing in our growth. Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended May 31, 2009 included a net loss of \$749.2 million, offset by non-cash amounts of \$927.3 million (primarily goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge, depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes and stock based compensation), and cash provided by working capital of \$65.7 million, which was impacted by the following items compared to the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008:

\$156.5 million of additional cash paid for interest;

\$13.1 million increase in inventory;

\$23.7 million decrease in accounts receivable; and

\$39.9 million decrease in income taxes paid.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$188.9 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 primarily related to a net loss of \$964.2 million, offset by non-cash amounts of \$1,113.6 million (primarily IPRD, depreciation and amortization and inventory step-up as a result of the Merger) and cash provided by working capital of \$39.5 million. Cash provided by operating activities for this period was lower than fiscal 2009 due to the period being 41 days less than fiscal 2009 as well as being negatively impacted by the following items compared to fiscal 2009:

\$26.9 million settlement with the Department of Justice;

\$22.0 million of increased distributor fee expense associated with renegotiation of distribution agreements; and

\$26.9 million of investment banking fees.

Investing Cash Flows

Net cash used in investing activities was \$194.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2009 and \$11,721.8 million for the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily related to capital expenditures of \$185.0 million, and for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 primarily related to \$11,638.2 million of acquisition costs in connection with the acquisition of Biomet, Inc. as discussed in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements, and capital expenditures of \$167.9 million, partially offset by net proceeds from the sale and purchase of investments of \$84.7 million.

Financing Cash Flows

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$42.5 million for the year ended May 31, 2009 and \$11,481.6 million for the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily related to proceeds under the revolving credit facilities of \$213.6 million, partially offset by payments under the revolving credit facilities of \$138.2 million and payments under the senior secured credit facility of \$35.7 million. Net cash provided by financing activities for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 primarily related to capital contributions of \$5,521.9 million and proceeds from long-term debt of \$6,250.7 million in connection with the acquisition of Biomet, Inc. as discussed in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Credit Facilities

Senior Secured Cash Flow Facilities. On September 25, 2007, we entered into a credit agreement and related security and other agreements providing for (a) a \$2,340.0 million U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility and a 875.0 million (approximately \$1,207.4 million at September 25, 2007) euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility and (b) a \$400.0 million senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and collateral agent. We refer to our senior secured term loan facilities and our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility collectively as the senior secured cash flow facilities.

We borrowed the full amount available under our senior secured term loan facilities on September 25, 2007. During the year ended May 31, 2010, we repaid \$23.2 million of outstanding loans under our U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility and \$12.6 million of outstanding loans under the euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility. During the year ended May 31, 2009, we repaid \$23.6 million of outstanding loans under our U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility and \$12.1 million of outstanding loans under the euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility. The senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility includes a \$100.0 million sub-facility for letters of credit and a \$100.0 million sub-capacity for borrowings on same-day notice, referred to as swingline loans. We borrowed approximately \$131.0 million under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility on September 25, 2007 to pay a

Table of Contents

portion of the Transactions. As of May 31, 2010, we had no outstanding borrowings under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facilities.

Borrowings under our senior secured cash flow facilities bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (1) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (a) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A. and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1.00% or (2) a LIBOR or Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for deposits in the currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs. At May 31, 2010, the applicable margin for borrowings under our senior secured term loan facilities was 2.00% with respect to base rate borrowings and 3.00% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings, and our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility was 1.25% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.25% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. The applicable margin under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility may be reduced based on our achievement of certain specified ratios. In connection with our senior secured term loan facilities, we are entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements and at May 31, 2010 had (1) an aggregate notional amount of \$1,935.0 million to fix the interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under the \$2,340.0 million U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility and (2) an aggregate notional amount of 510.0 million (approximately \$626.1 million outstanding at May 31, 2010) to fix the interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under the 875.0 million (approximately \$1,047.3 million outstanding at May 31, 2010) euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Interest Rate Risk.

The credit agreement governing our senior secured cash flow facilities requires us to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions; (1) after our first full fiscal year after the Closing Date, 50% (which percentage will be reduced to 25% if our senior secured leverage ratio is less than a specified ratio and will be reduced to 0% if our senior secured leverage ratio is less than a specified ratio) of our annual excess cash flow (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities); (2) if our senior secured leverage ratio is greater than a specified ratio, 100% (which percentage will be reduced to 50% if our senior secured leverage ratio is less than a specified ratio and will be reduced to 0% if our senior secured leverage ratio is less than a specified ratio) of the net cash proceeds of certain non-ordinary course asset sales and casualty and condemnation events, if we do not reinvest those proceeds in assets to be used in our business or to make certain other permitted investments and (3) 100% of the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of debt other than debt permitted under our senior secured cash flow facilities. All obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities are unconditionally guaranteed by Parent, and, subject to certain exceptions, each of our existing and future direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries. All obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured, subject to certain exceptions, by substantially all of our assets and the assets of Parent and the subsidiary guarantors. No prepayments on the above mentioned debt was required under the credit agreement in fiscal 2010.

Our senior secured cash flow facilities contain a number of covenants that, among other things are subject to certain exceptions, will restrict our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to: (1) incur additional indebtedness; (2) pay dividends on our capital stock or redeem, repurchase or retire our capital stock or indebtedness; (3) make investments, loans, advances and acquisitions; (4) create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from our restricted subsidiaries; (5) engage in transactions with our affiliates; (6) sell assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries; (7) consolidate or merge; (8) create liens; and (9) enter into sale and lease-back transactions. The credit agreement governing our senior secured cash flow facilities does not require us to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants. As of May 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our covenants and intend to maintain compliance.

The credit agreement governing our senior secured cash flow facilities also contains certain customary affirmative covenants and events of default.

Table of Contents

Senior Secured Asset-based Revolving Credit Facility. On September 25, 2007, we entered into a credit agreement and related security and other agreements for a senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and collateral agent. Our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility provides senior secured financing of up to \$350.0 million, subject to borrowing base limitations. The borrowing base at any time will equal the sum of 85% of eligible accounts receivable and 85% of the net orderly liquidation value of eligible inventory (not to exceed 65% of the borrowing base), less certain reserves and subject to certain limitations on consigned inventory and accounts receivable owed by non-U.S. persons. Our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility includes a \$100.0 million sub-facility for letters of credit and a \$35.0 million sub-facility for borrowings on same-day notice, referred to as swingline loans. We did not draw on our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility at the closing of the Transactions. As of May 31, 2010, the borrowing base under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility was \$324.9 million, which is net of the amount we believe will not be funded by subsidiaries of Lehman Brothers Holding Inc., or Lehman, and borrowing base limitations relating to the senior secured asset-based revolving facility.

Borrowings under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the applicable margin plus, at our option, either (1) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (a) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A. and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1.00% or (2) a LIBOR or Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for deposits in the currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs. The initial applicable margin for borrowings under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility is 0.75% with respect to base rate borrowings and 1.75% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. The applicable margin may be reduced based on our achievement of certain specified ratios.

If at any time the aggregate amount of outstanding loans, unreimbursed letter of credit drawings and undrawn letters of credit under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility exceeds the lesser of (1) the commitment amount and (2) the borrowing base, we will be required to repay outstanding loans or cash collateralize letters of credit in an aggregate amount equal to such excess, with no reduction of the commitment amount. If the aggregate amount available under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility and our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility is less than \$75.0 million plus 10% of any additional commitments under this facility or certain events of default have occurred under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, we are required to repay outstanding loans and cash collateralize letters of credit with the cash we are required to deposit daily in a collection account maintained with the agent under the facility. All obligations under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility are unconditionally guaranteed by Parent. All obligations under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility are secured, subject to certain exceptions, by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of our assets and the assets of the subsidiary borrowers that consist of all accounts receivable, inventory, cash, deposit accounts and certain related intangible assets and proceeds of the foregoing.

Like our senior secured cash flow facilities described above, our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility contains a number of covenants that restrict our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries. The covenants limiting (1) dividends and other restricted payments, (2) investments, loans, advances and acquisitions and (3) prepayments or redemptions of other indebtedness each permit the restricted actions in an unlimited amount, subject to the satisfaction of certain payment conditions, principally that we must have at least \$112.5 million plus 15% of any additional commitments under this facility of pro forma excess availability under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility and our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility in the aggregate, and that we must be in pro forma compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio described in the next sentence. Although the credit agreement governing our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility does not require us to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if less than \$35.0 million plus 10% of any additional commitments under this facility were available under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility at any time, we would not be permitted to borrow any additional amounts unless our pro forma ratio of (a) Consolidated adjusted EBITDA minus Capital Expenditures minus Cash Taxes to (b) Fixed Charges (as such terms are defined in the credit agreement and in each case for the most recently ended four quarter

Table of Contents

period) were at least 1.0 to 1.0. The credit agreement governing our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility also contains certain customary affirmative covenants and events of default. As of May 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our covenants and intend to maintain compliance.

Notes. We issued an aggregate of \$2,348.0 million of original notes on September 25, 2007 and an aggregate of \$217.0 million of original notes on October 16, 2007 (which were issued at a premium above par of \$6.0 million). The notes are our unsecured obligations, with \$1,550.0 million being our senior obligations (consisting of \$775.0 million of senior cash pay notes and \$775.0 million of senior toggle notes) and \$1,015.0 million being our senior subordinated obligations. All of the notes are guaranteed by each of the existing and future wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries that guarantee our obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities. Interest is payable in cash, except with respect to our ability to elect to pay PIK interest on the senior toggle notes subject to certain exceptions.

The indentures governing the notes, among other things, limit our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to incur additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred stock, pay dividends and make other restricted payments, make certain investments, sell assets, create liens, consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets, enter into transactions with affiliates and designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. These covenants are subject to important exceptions during any period of time for which (i) the respective notes have received investment grade ratings from Moody's and S&P and (ii) no default has occurred and is continuing under the indentures that govern the respective notes. As of May 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our covenants and intend to maintain compliance.

Non-US Credit Facilities. As of May 31, 2010, we had (1) a non-US facility in the amount of \$100.0 million (approximately \$122.8 million), and (2) a loan in Spain, together referred to as the non-US facilities. Outstanding borrowings under our non-US facilities primarily bear interest at a variable rate of the lender's interbank rate plus an applicable margin. As of May 31, 2010, we had \$6.3 million in outstanding borrowings under our non-US facilities.

Future Financing Activities

As of May 31, 2010, we had (1) approximately \$377.8 million available for borrowing under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, (2) \$324.9 million available for borrowing under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, (3) the option to incur additional incremental term loans or increase the cash flow revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured cash flow facilities of up to an amount that would cause our senior secured leverage ratio (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities) to be equal to or less than 4.50 to 1.00, (4) the option to increase the asset-based revolving credit commitments under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility by up to \$100.0 million and (5) \$122.7 million available for borrowing under our non-US facilities. However, our ongoing ability to meet our substantial debt service and other obligations will be dependent upon our future performance which will be subject to business, financial and other factors. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. We cannot be certain that our cash flows will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt, support our operations and meet our other obligations. If we do not have sufficient liquidity, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from pursuing any of these alternatives.

Management believes that cash flows from operations, amounts available under our senior secured credit facilities and our anticipated access to public and private debt markets will be sufficient to meet expected liquidity needs during the next twelve months.

Capital Expenditures and Investments

We maintain our cash and investments in money market funds, certificates of deposit, corporate bonds, auction-rate securities, debt instruments, fixed rate preferred equity securities, mortgage-backed securities, and

Table of Contents

equity securities. We are exposed to interest rate risk on our corporate bonds and debt instruments. We see the growth prospects in our markets and intend to invest in an effort to improve our worldwide market position. We expect to spend in excess of \$500.0 million over the next two fiscal years for capital expenditures (including instrumentation issued to the field) and research and development costs in an effort to develop products and technologies that further enhance musculoskeletal procedures. Funding of these and other activities is expected to come from currently available funds, cash flows generated from operations, and currently available credit lines.

Contractual Obligations

Summarized in the table below are our long-term obligations and commitments as of May 31, 2010. We have issued notes, entered into senior secured credit facilities, including senior secured term loan facilities and a senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, and a senior secured asset-based revolving facility, all in connection with the Merger, all of which are primarily classified as long-term obligations. There were no borrowings under our asset-based revolving facility as of May 31, 2010. Our senior secured term loan facilities require payments each year in an amount equal to 1% of the original principal in equal quarterly installments for the first seven years and three months. As of May 31, 2010, required principal payments of \$35.6 million are due within the next twelve months.

Our revolving borrowing base available under all debt facilities at May 31, 2010 was \$825.4 million, which is net of the amount we believe will not be funded by Lehman and borrowing base limitations relating to the senior secured asset-based revolving facility.

During the second fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2008, Lehman, whose subsidiaries have a \$41.5 million credit commitment across our domestic revolving borrowing base, filed for bankruptcy. During the second quarter ended November 30, 2008, we submitted borrowing requests for \$175.0 million from our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility of which \$165.4 million in net borrowing proceeds were received from the administration agent. The difference between the borrowed amount and the requested amount reflects Lehman's election to not fund its pro rata share of the borrowing as required under its commitment to the credit facility. As a result, we do not expect that Lehman will fund its pro rata share of any future borrowing requests. There were no borrowings under the asset-based revolving credit facility as of May 31, 2010.

<i>(in millions)</i>	Total	2011	2012 and 2013	2014 and 2015	2016 and Thereafter
Contractual obligations (1)					
Projected future pension benefit payments	\$ 29.5	\$ 5.3	\$ 6.3	\$ 7.3	\$ 10.6
Long-term debt (including current maturities)	5,896.5	35.6	68.2	3,226.5	2,566.2
Interest payments (2)	2,820.6	475.8	880.1	777.0	687.7
Material purchase commitments	72.5	48.2	15.0	8.8	0.5
Outsourcing contract obligation	15.9	5.3	10.6		
Total contractual obligations	\$ 8,835.0	\$ 570.2	\$ 980.2	\$ 4,019.6	\$ 3,265.0

(1) The total amounts of capital lease obligations and operating lease obligations are not significant.

(2) Amounts include the effect of interest rate swaps currently in place.

In addition, due to the uncertainty with respect to the timing of future cash flows associated with our unrecognized tax benefits at May 31, 2010, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities. Therefore, \$64.9 million of unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded from the contractual obligations table above.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets and operating cash flow, together with available borrowings and potential access to credit and capital markets, will be sufficient to meet our operating expenses, research and

Table of Contents

development costs, capital expenditures and to service our debt requirements as they become due. However, our ongoing ability to meet our substantial debt service and other obligations will be dependent upon our future performance, which will be subject to business, financial, economic, regulatory and other factors. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions and regulatory changes in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. We cannot be certain that our cash flow will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt, support our operations and meet our other obligations. If we do not have sufficient liquidity, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from pursuing any of these alternatives. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Indebtedness.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial position and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report. In management's opinion, our critical accounting policies include revenue recognition, excess and obsolete inventory, goodwill and intangible assets, legal proceedings and other loss contingencies, income taxes and valuation of purchased in-process research and development.

Revenue Recognition

We sell product through four principal channels: (1) direct to healthcare institutions, referred to as direct channel accounts, (2) through stocking distributors and healthcare dealers, (3) indirectly through insurance companies and (4) directly to dental practices and dental laboratories. Sales through the direct and distributor/dealer channels account for a majority of net sales. Through these channels, inventory is consigned to sales agents or customers so that products are available when needed for surgical procedures. Revenue is not recognized upon the placement of inventory into consignment as we retain title and maintain the inventory on the balance sheet; however, it is recognized upon implantation and receipt of proper purchase order and/or purchase requisition documentation. Pricing for products is predetermined by contracts with customers, agents acting on behalf of customer groups or by government regulatory bodies, depending on the market. Price discounts under group purchasing contracts are linked to volume of implant purchases by customer healthcare institutions within a specified group. At negotiated thresholds within a contract buying period, price discounts may increase.

At certain locations we record a contractual allowance that is offset against revenue for each sale to a non-contracted payer so that revenue is recorded at the estimated determinable price at the time of the sale. Those non-contracted payers and insurance companies in some cases do not have contracted rates for products sold, but may have pricing available for certain products through their respective web sites. We will invoice at its list price and establish the contractual allowance to estimate what the non-contracted payer will settle the claim for based on the information available as noted above. At certain locations, revenue is recognized on sales to stocking distributors, healthcare dealers, dental practices and dental laboratories when title to product passes to them, generally upon shipment. Certain subsidiaries allow customers to return product in the event that we terminate the relationship. Under those circumstances, we record an estimated sales return in the period in which

Table of Contents

constructive notice of termination is given to a distributor. Product returns were not significant for any period presented.

We also maintain a separate allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses based on our assessment of the collectability of specific customer accounts and the aging of the accounts receivable. We analyze accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer solvency, current economic and geographic trends, and changes in customer payment terms and practices when evaluating the adequacy of our current and future allowance. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, a specific allowance for bad debt is estimated and recorded, which reduces the recognized receivable to the estimated amount we believe will ultimately be collected. We monitor and analyze the accuracy of the allowance for doubtful accounts estimate by reviewing past collectability and adjust it for future expectations to determine the adequacy of our current and future allowance. Our reserve levels have generally been sufficient to cover credit losses.

Excess and Obsolete Inventory

In our industry, inventory is routinely placed at hospitals to provide the healthcare provider with the appropriate product when needed. Because product usage tends to follow a bell curve, larger and smaller sizes of inventory are provided, but infrequently used. In addition, the musculoskeletal market is highly competitive, with new products, raw materials and procedures being introduced continually, which may make those products currently on the market obsolete. We make estimates regarding the future use of these products and provide a provision for excess and obsolete inventory. If actual product life cycles, product demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required which would affect future operating results.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We test our goodwill and indefinite lived intangible asset balances as of March 31 of each fiscal year for impairment. We test these balances more frequently if indicators are present or changes in circumstances suggest that impairment may exist. In performing the test on goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets, we utilize the two-step approach prescribed under guidance issued by the FASB for goodwill and other intangible assets. The first step under this guidance requires a comparison of the carrying value of the reporting units. We assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level, which is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment, referred to as a component. For our March 31, 2010 annual impairment assessment, we identified eight reporting units, which in aggregate make up our one reportable segment. When allocating goodwill from business combinations to our reporting units, we assign goodwill to the reporting units that we expect to benefit from the respective business combination at the time of acquisition. In addition, for purposes of performing our annual goodwill impairment test, assets and liabilities, including corporate assets, which relate to a reporting unit's operations, and would be considered in determining its fair value, are allocated to the individual reporting units. We allocate assets and liabilities not directly related to a specific reporting unit, but from which the reporting unit benefits, based primarily on the respective revenue contribution of each reporting unit.

We use the income approach, specifically the discounted cash flow method, to determine the fair value of each reporting unit. This approach calculates fair value by estimating the after-tax cash flows attributable to a reporting unit and then discounting these after-tax cash flows to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. We selected this method as being the most meaningful in preparing our goodwill assessments because we believe the income approach most appropriately measures our income producing assets. We have considered using the market approach and cost approach but concluded they are not appropriate in valuing our reporting units given the lack of relevant market comparisons available for application of the market approach and the inability to replicate the value of the specific assets within our reporting units for application of the cost approach. Therefore, we believe that the income approach represents the most appropriate valuation technique for which sufficient data is available to determine the fair value of our reporting units.

Table of Contents

In applying the income approach to our accounting for goodwill, we make assumptions about the amount and timing of future expected cash flows, terminal value growth rates and appropriate discount rates. The amount and timing of future cash flows within our analysis is based on our most recent operational budgets, long range strategic plans and other estimates. The terminal value growth rate of 3% is used to calculate the value of cash flows beyond the last projected period in our analysis and reflects our best estimates for stable, perpetual growth of our reporting units. We use estimates of market participant risk-adjusted weighted-average costs of capital as a basis for determining the discount rates to apply to our reporting units' future expected cash flows. For our March 31, 2010 annual impairment assessment, we utilized a discount rate of 10.2% for all reporting units. We believe the discount rate is the most sensitive input in our analysis. Based on the discount rate used in our most recent test for impairment, if the discount rate increased by 1%, the fair value of the consolidated company could be lower by approximately \$1.5 billion and a decrease in the discount rate of 1% results in \$1.5 billion higher fair value. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, we perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. All eight reporting units passed step one of the impairment test for goodwill on March 31, 2010; therefore it was not necessary to perform the step two analysis. Even with a 1% higher discount rate, all eight reporting units would pass step one of the impairment test for goodwill.

The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying value. If we are unable to complete the second step of the test prior to the issuance of our financial statements and an impairment loss is probable and could be reasonably estimated, we recognize our best estimate of the loss in our current period financial statements and disclose that amount as an estimate. We then recognize any adjustment to that estimate in subsequent reporting periods, once we have finalized the second step of the impairment test.

We determine the fair value of indefinite lived intangible assets using an income based approach to determine the fair value. The approach calculates fair value by estimating the after-tax cash flows attributable to the asset and then discounting these after-tax cash flows to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. The calculated fair value is compared to the carrying value to determine if any impairment exists.

If events or circumstances change, a determination is made by management to ascertain whether property and equipment and certain finite-lived intangibles have been impaired based on the sum of expected future undiscounted cash flows from operating activities. If the estimated net cash flows are less than the carrying amount of such assets, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount necessary to write down the assets to fair value as determined from expected future discounted cash flows.

Other Loss Contingencies

In accordance with guidance issued by the FASB for contingencies, we accrue anticipated costs of settlement, damages, and loss of product liability claims based on historical experience or to the extent specific losses are probable and estimable. If the estimate of a probable loss is in a range and no amount within the range is more likely, we accrue the minimum amount of the range. Such estimates and any subsequent changes in estimates may result in adjustments to our operating results in the future. We have self-insured reserves against product liability claims with insurance coverage above the retention limits. There are various other claims, lawsuits and disputes with third parties, investigations and pending actions involving various allegations against it. Product liability claims are routinely reviewed by our insurance carriers and management routinely reviews all claims for purposes of establishing ultimate loss estimates.

Income Taxes

We record income tax estimates in accordance with guidance issued by the FASB; however, there are inherent risks that could create uncertainties related to the estimates. We adjust estimates based on normal operating circumstances and conclusions related to tax audits. We do not believe any audit finding could

Table of Contents

materially affect our financial position; however, there could be a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and cash flows of a given period.

Valuation of Purchased In-Process Research and Development

When a business combination occurs, such as our Merger, the purchase price is allocated based upon the fair value of tangible assets and in-process research and development, or IPRD. We recognize IPRD in business combinations for the portion of the purchase price allocated to the appraised value of in-process technologies, defined as those technologies relating to products that have not received FDA approval and have no alternative future use. The portion assigned to in-process technologies excludes the value of core developed technologies, which are recognized as intangible assets when purchased. Valuations require the use of significant estimates. The amount of the purchase price allocated to IPRD is determined by estimating future cash flows of the technology and discounting net cash flows back to present values. We consider, among other things, the project's stage of completion, complexity of the work completed as of the acquisition date, costs already incurred, projected costs to complete, contribution of core technologies and other acquired assets, expected introduction date and the estimated useful life of the technology. The discount rate used to arrive at a present value as of the date of acquisition is based on the time value of money and medical technology investment risk. Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of identifiable net assets of the business acquired and the amount allocated to IPRD. We believe the methodologies used in arriving at these estimates are in accordance with accepted valuation methods.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

In the normal course of business, our operations are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currencies. These fluctuations can vary the cost of financing, investment yields and our operations.

Interest Rate Risk

Our principal exposure to interest rate risk arises from variable rates associated with our credit facilities and we periodically enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to these fluctuations. For a description of these facilities, refer to Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report.

During August 2007 and March 2008, we entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$1,890.0 million to fix the interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under the \$2,340.0 million U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility and during August 2007 and March 2008, we entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of 635.0 million to fix the interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under the 875.0 million (approximately \$1,207.4 million at September 25, 2007) euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility. During December 2008 and February 2009, we entered into two additional interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$520.0 million to fix the interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under the \$2,340.0 million U.S. dollar-denominated term loan facility. As of May 31, 2010, the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements relating to our U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured term loan facility was a \$95.4 million net unrealized loss, and the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements relating to our euro-denominated senior secured term loan facility was a 31.7 million (approximately \$38.9 million) net unrealized loss. Net of our \$4.4 million credit valuation adjustment, we have a liability of \$129.9 million.

We do not have any investments that would be classified as trading securities under generally accepted accounting principles. Our non-trading investments, excluding cash and cash equivalents, consist of debt securities, equity securities, auction-rate securities and mortgage-backed securities. The debt securities include municipal bonds, with fixed rates, and preferred stocks, which pay quarterly fixed rate dividends. These financial instruments are subject to market risk in that changes in interest rates would impact the market value of such investments.

Table of Contents

Based on our overall interest rate exposure at May 31, 2010, including variable rate debt, a hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in interest rates applied to the fair value of the financial instruments discussed above as of May 31, 2010, would cause a \$2.6 million increase in or savings in interest expense, respectively.

Foreign Currency Risk

Certain assets, liabilities and forecasted transactions are exposed to foreign currency risk, primarily the fluctuation of the U.S. Dollar against European currencies. We face transactional currency exposures that arise when our foreign subsidiaries (or the Company itself) enter into transactions, primarily on an intercompany basis, denominated in currencies other than their local currency. We also face currency exposure that arises from translating the results of our global operations to the U.S. Dollar at exchange rates that have fluctuated from the beginning of the period. In order to mitigate the currency exposure related to debt service under our debt facilities, we have hedged a portion of our net investment in our European subsidiaries with the issuance of 875.0 million (approximately \$1,207.4 million at September 25, 2007) principal amount euro term loan on September 25, 2007. Our net investment in our European subsidiaries at the hedging date of September 25, 2007 was \$1,690.0 million (1,238.0 million). As of May 31, 2010, our net investment in European subsidiaries totaled 2,084.1 million (\$2,558.5 million) and the outstanding principal balance was 853.1 million (\$1,047.3 million). The difference of 1,231.0 million (\$1,511.2 million) remained unhedged as of May 31, 2010. Hedge effectiveness is tested quarterly to determine whether hedge treatment is still appropriate. We test effectiveness on this net investment hedge by determining if the net investment in our European subsidiaries is greater than the outstanding euro-denominated debt balance. Any amount under hedges determined to be ineffective is recorded as other (income) expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

Based on our overall exposure for foreign currency at May 31, 2010, a hypothetical 10% change up or down in foreign currency rates would have a \$6.2 million effect on interest expense. We do not consider this effect material to the results of operations and net income or believe there will be any material effect to the balance sheet.

Price Risk

We regularly purchase raw material commodities such as cobalt chromium, titanium, stainless steel and polyethylene powder and sterile packaging. We generally enter into 12 to 24 month term supply contracts, when possible, on these commodities to alleviate the effect of market fluctuation in prices. As part of our risk management program, we perform sensitivity analyses on potential commodity price changes. A 10% change across all of these commodities would not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

BIOMET, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Index	Page Number
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	77
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of May 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	78
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)</u>	79
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)</u>	80
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)</u>	81
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	82
Financial Statement Schedules:	
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	122
<u>Quarterly Results (Unaudited)</u>	123
Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.	

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Biomet, Inc.

Warsaw, Indiana

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Biomet, Inc. and subsidiaries (Biomet -successor) as of May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholder s equity, and cash flows for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. We have also audited the Biomet, Inc. and subsidiaries (Biomet-predecessor) consolidated statements of operations, shareholder s equity and cash flows for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Our audit also included the consolidated financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Biomet-successor as of May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Further, in our opinion, the consolidated financial statements for Biomet-predecessor present fairly, in all material respects, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, LVB Acquisition, LLC acquired Biomet, Inc. and subsidiaries on July 11, 2007. The transaction was accounted for as a business combination and the basis of assets and liabilities were adjusted to their estimated fair values. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 are not comparable with prior periods.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana

August 25, 2010

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets.**

(in millions)

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 189.1	\$ 215.6
Accounts receivable, net	452.5	511.1
Income tax receivable	19.2	20.0
Inventories	507.3	523.9
Deferred income taxes	64.3	78.4
Prepaid expenses and other	72.6	39.1
Total current assets	1,305.0	1,388.1
Property, plant and equipment, net	622.0	636.1
Investments	23.3	27.4
Intangible assets, net	5,190.3	5,680.0
Goodwill	4,707.5	4,780.5
Other assets	120.9	88.8
Total assets	\$ 11,969.0	\$ 12,600.9
Liabilities & Shareholder's Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion long-term debt	\$ 35.6	\$ 81.2
Accounts payable	86.3	99.4
Accrued interest	70.2	73.1
Accrued wages and commissions	111.3	66.6
Other accrued expenses	215.1	310.9
Total current liabilities	518.5	631.2
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term debt, net of current portion	5,860.9	6,131.5
Deferred income taxes	1,674.9	1,816.3
Other long-term liabilities	181.2	181.6
Total liabilities	8,235.5	8,760.6
Shareholder's equity:		
Contributed and additional paid-in capital	5,605.1	5,584.4
Accumulated deficit	(1,761.0)	(1,713.4)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(110.6)	(30.7)
Total shareholder's equity	3,733.5	3,840.3
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$ 11,969.0	\$ 12,600.9

The accompanying notes are a part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations.**

(in millions)

	For the Year Ended May 31, 2010	For the Year Ended May 31, 2009	For the Periods July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008	For the Periods June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007
	(Successor)	(Successor)	(Successor)	(Predecessor)
Net sales	\$ 2,698.0	\$ 2,504.1	\$ 2,134.5	\$ 248.8
Cost of sales	819.9	828.4	814.7	102.3
Gross profit	1,878.1	1,675.7	1,319.8	146.5
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,042.3	1,003.6	1,097.6	194.2
Research and development expense	106.6	93.5	82.2	34.0
In-process research and development			479.0	
Amortization	372.6	375.8	329.3	0.5
Goodwill and intangible assets impairment charge		551.1		
Operating income (loss)	356.6	(348.3)	(668.3)	(82.2)
Interest expense	516.4	550.3	516.3	0.3
Other (income) expense	(18.1)	21.8	9.7	(0.6)
Other (income) expense, net	498.3	572.1	526.0	(0.3)
Loss before income taxes	(141.7)	(920.4)	(1,194.3)	(81.9)
Benefit from income taxes	(94.1)	(171.2)	(230.1)	(27.3)
Net loss	\$ (47.6)	\$ (749.2)	\$ (964.2)	\$ (54.6)

The accompanying notes are a part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity.**

(in millions)

	Common Shares		Contributed and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders Equity
	Number	Amount				
Balance at May 31, 2007	245.7	\$ 229.6	\$ 138.9	\$ 1,634.7	\$ 46.0	\$ 2,049.2
Net loss for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007				(54.6)		(54.6)
Currency translation adjustments					(6.6)	(6.6)
Comprehensive loss						(61.2)
Adoption of FIN 48				(9.2)		(9.2)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options			3.9			3.9
Purchase of shares	(1.0)	(2.1)	(0.7)			(2.8)
Effect of Merger	(244.7)	(227.5)	(142.1)	(1,570.9)	(39.4)	(1,979.9)
Net loss for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008				(964.2)		(964.2)
Change in unrealized holding value on available for sale securities					(3.8)	(3.8)
Interest rate swap unrealized loss, net of \$(7.2) tax effect					(12.1)	(12.1)
Foreign currency related gains					267.1	267.1
Employee defined benefit plan					1.6	1.6
Comprehensive loss						(711.4)
Contributed capital			5,521.9			5,521.9
Compensation expense			25.8			25.8
Balance at May 31, 2008			5,547.7	(964.2)	252.8	4,836.3
Net loss				(749.2)		(749.2)
Reclassification of impairment loss					4.0	4.0
Interest rate swap unrealized loss, net of \$(50.1) tax effect					(79.1)	(79.1)
Foreign currency related losses					(199.2)	(199.2)
Other accumulated other comprehensive loss					(9.2)	(9.2)
Comprehensive loss						(1,032.7)
Compensation expense			33.9			33.9
Repurchase of LVB Acquisition, Inc. shares			(0.9)			(0.9)
Contributed capital			3.7			3.7
Balance at May 31, 2009			5,584.4	(1,713.4)	(30.7)	3,840.3
Net loss				(47.6)		(47.6)
Change in unrealized holding value on available for sale securities, net of \$1.3 tax effect					1.8	1.8
Interest rate swap unrealized gain, net of \$7.2 tax effect					11.3	11.3
Foreign currency related losses					(96.5)	(96.5)
Unrecognized actuarial gain on pension assets, net of \$2.9 tax effect					3.5	3.5
Comprehensive loss						(127.5)
Compensation expense			22.4			22.4
Repurchase of LVB Acquisition, Inc. shares			(1.7)			(1.7)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Balance at May 31, 2010	\$	\$ 5,605.1	\$ (1,761.0)	\$ (110.6)	\$ 3,733.5
-------------------------	----	------------	--------------	------------	------------

The accompanying notes are a part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.**

(in millions)

	For the Year Ended May 31, 2010	For the Year Ended May 31, 2009	For the Periods	
			July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007
	(Successor)	(Successor)	(Successor)	(Predecessor)
Cash flows provided by operating activities:				
Net loss	\$ (47.6)	\$ (749.2)	\$ (964.2)	\$ (54.6)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	547.6	537.7	461.0	9.3
Amortization of deferred financing costs	11.3	11.3	7.7	
In-process research and development charge			479.0	
Stock-based compensation expense	22.4	33.9	25.8	
Inventory step-up related to merger			160.3	
Recovery of doubtful accounts receivable	(7.0)	(10.5)		
Loss (gain) and impairment on investments, net	(4.3)	14.6		(7.0)
Goodwill and intangible assets impairment charge		551.1		
Property, plant and equipment impairment charge	7.8			
Provision for inventory obsolescence	(2.1)	9.9	7.7	
Deferred income taxes	(120.1)	(224.7)	(27.5)	76.7
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options				(3.9)
Other	2.7	4.0	(0.4)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisition:				
Accounts receivable	(5.6)	(38.8)	(14.9)	5.8
Inventories	(27.3)	(27.9)	5.7	(12.0)
Prepaid expenses	6.2	3.1	25.2	
Accounts payable	(9.5)	19.6	13.4	(1.6)
Income tax receivable	9.0	39.4	(17.8)	
Accrued interest	(2.9)	(7.8)	80.9	
Share-based compensation accrual related to Merger				112.8
Accrued expenses and other	(59.1)	78.1	(53.0)	(66.1)
Net cash provided by operating activities	321.5	243.8	188.9	59.4
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:				
Proceeds from sales of investments	24.9	3.1	84.7	42.8
Purchases of investments	(13.3)			
Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment	3.0			
Capital expenditures	(186.4)	(185.0)	(167.9)	(22.0)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(10.2)	(13.0)	(0.4)	(9.8)
Acquisition of Biomet, Inc.			(11,638)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(182.0)	(194.9)	(11,721.8)	11.0
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:				
Debt:				
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings			(51.0)	0.2
Proceeds under revolving credit agreements	20.4	213.6	(134.6)	
Payments under revolving credit agreements	(134.1)	(138.2)	(18.3)	
Payments under senior secured credit facility	(35.8)	(35.7)		
Repurchases of senior notes	(8.7)			
Proceeds from long-term debt related to merger			6,250.7	
Payment of deferred financing costs			(87.1)	
Equity:				
Capital contributions		3.7	5,521.9	
Repurchase of LVB Acquisition, Inc. shares	(1.7)	(0.9)		(2.8)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options				3.9
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(159.9)	42.5	11,481.6	1.3

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(6.1)	(3.4)	2.0	0.1
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(26.5)	88.0	(49.3)	71.8
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	215.6	127.6	176.9	105.1
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 189.1	\$ 215.6	\$ 127.6	\$ 176.9
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$ 508.6	\$ 543.8	\$ 387.3	\$
Income taxes	\$ 29.3	\$ 12.1	\$ 52.0	\$

The accompanying notes are a part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Note 1 Merger.**

On December 18, 2006, Biomet, Inc. (*Biomet* or the *Company*) entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with LVB Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (*LVB*), and LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., an Indiana corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of LVB (*Purchaser*), which agreement was amended and restated as of June 7, 2007 (the *Merger Agreement*). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, on June 13, 2007, Purchaser commenced a cash tender offer (the *Offer*) to purchase all of Biomet's outstanding common shares, without par value. The Offer expired on July 11, 2007, with approximately 82% of the outstanding shares having been tendered to Purchaser. At a special meeting of shareholders held on September 5, 2007, more than 91% of the Company's shareholders voted to approve the proposed merger and LVB acquired the Company on September 25, 2007 through a reverse subsidiary merger with Biomet, Inc. being the surviving company of the merger (the *Merger* and, together with the *Offer* , the *Transactions*). LVB is controlled by a consortium of private equity funds affiliated with The Blackstone Group, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., and TPG Capital (each a *Sponsor* and collectively, the *Sponsors*). The Sponsors, along with other investors, contributed \$5,387.5 million of equity in connection with the Transactions. The remaining purchase price of \$6,245.4 million included various proceeds from credit facilities.

The Merger was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting pursuant to a standard issued by the FASB for business combinations. Accordingly, the effect of the Merger has been included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations subsequent to July 11, 2007 (the *Merger Date*), and the respective assets and liabilities have been recorded at their estimated fair values in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of the Merger Date, with the excess purchase price recorded as goodwill. As of July 12, 2007, the Successor Company began operating under a new basis of accounting for its financial statements. Because of the new basis of accounting, the Predecessor Company's historical financial information is not comparable to the Successor Company's financial information for periods after July 12, 2007. The term *Successor Company* refers to Biomet following its acquisition by Purchaser on July 12, 2007 and the term *Predecessor Company* refers to Biomet prior to its acquisition on July 12, 2007.

The Company has allocated the purchase price to the fair value of the assets and liabilities of Biomet based on estimated fair values utilizing generally accepted valuation methodologies and based on the Company's eight reporting units, of which aggregate to its four product categories noted in the Company's historical filings. Both assets and liabilities were valued as of July 11, 2007 based on fair value. On July 12, 2007, 82.4% of the step-up was recorded and combined with 17.6% of the Predecessor Company. On September 25, 2007 (the *Closing Date*), the remaining fair value step-up of 17.6% was recorded. Also, the Tender Facility (as defined in Note 8 below) was refinanced on the Closing Date into various other credit facilities. See Note 8 *Debt* below for a description of those facilities. See summary below for the allocation of the total purchase price:

	<i>(in millions)</i>
Cash	\$ 57.0
Short-term investments	126.0
Accounts receivable	494.0
Inventories	714.3
Deferred tax assets	60.6
Prepays and other assets	134.4
Property, plant and equipment	608.0
In-process research and development	479.0
Intangible assets	6,304.5
Goodwill	5,303.0
Deferred tax liabilities	(2,184.9)
Other liabilities	(463.0)
Purchase Price	\$ 11,632.9

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 1 Merger, Continued.**

The purchase price allocation was based on information then available to the Company, and expectations, assumptions, and valuation methodologies deemed reasonable by the Company's management. No assurance can be given, however, that the underlying assumptions used to estimate expected technology based product revenues, development costs or profitability, or the events associated with such technology, will occur as projected. Goodwill recorded as a result of the Merger is not deductible for income tax purposes.

In-process research and development (IPRD) products are at a stage of development that require further research and development to determine technical feasibility and commercial viability. IPRD valued in the amount of \$479.0 million pertains to technology that was not technologically feasible at the date of acquisition and had no future alternative use. The fair value of the IPRD was determined based on the excess earnings method. The fair value was allocated to the Company's eight business units, which includes Biomet Orthopedics, Biomet Trauma Biomet Spine (BTBS), Biomet Europe, Biomet 3i, Biomet Microfixation, Biomet International, Biomet Biologics, and Biomet Sports Medicine. Those eight business units aggregate to the Company's four product segments: reconstructive, fixation, spinal, and other products. The significant assumptions made by management and used in the model were revenue projections for each project, project timing, discount rates used, and the related costs to complete each project. The IPRD does not have any alternative future use and did not otherwise qualify for capitalization. As a result, this amount was expensed upon acquisition.

IPRD projects for Biomet Orthopedics focus on the utilization of new materials, new methods for fabricating existing materials, and new geometries of both new and existing materials to enhance function, durability and bony fixation for orthopedic implant devices primarily focused in the area of partial and total joint replacement. IPRD projects also focus in the area of innovative methods for surgically implanting orthopedic implant devices. Orthopedics had 43 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete these IPRD projects for Biomet Orthopedics as of the date of the acquisition were \$51.0 million. IPRD projects for Biomet Orthopedics averaged 30% completion as of the Merger Date.

IPRD projects for BTBS are primarily related to addressing unmet needs in the musculoskeletal market utilizing both traditional and new technologies. BTBS had 47 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete these IPRD projects for BTBS as of the date of the acquisition were \$33.0 million. IPRD projects for BTBS averaged 75% completion as of the Merger Date.

IPRD projects for Biomet Europe focus primarily on improvements to joint replacement implants, such as wear resistant bearing combinations for hip replacement, total and partial knee prostheses with improved kinematic performance, novel shoulder implants for improved stability and range of motion and development of instrumentation with improved accuracy and ergonomics. Biomet Europe had 85 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete these IPRD projects for Europe as of the date of the acquisition were \$15.0 million. IPRD projects for Biomet Europe averaged 50% completion as of the Merger Date.

IPRD projects for Biomet Biologics focus primarily on producing new devices and applications to use autologous materials for regenerative tissue therapies. Biologics had 12 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete these IPRD projects for Biologics as of the date of the acquisition were \$13.0 million. IPRD projects for Biologics averaged 50% completion as of the Merger Date.

IPRD projects for Biomet Sports Medicine focus on the utilization of new technologies, materials and devices to primarily treat soft tissue defects in tendons, ligaments and cartilage. This is accomplished through arthroscopic application of fixation devices and biomaterials. Sports Medicine had 16 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete Sports Medicine's IPRD as of the date of the acquisition were \$1.0 million. The projects averaged 50% completion as of the Merger Date.

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 1 Merger, Continued.

IPRD projects for Biomet 3i focus on the development of intraoral rehabilitation, generally in the area of dental implants, associated components, surgical instrumentation and regenerative therapies necessary for the placement of the implants. Biomet 3i had 22 projects in development as of July 11, 2007. The estimated costs to complete Biomet 3i's IPRD as of the date of the acquisition were \$8.0 million. The projects were estimated to be 35% complete as of the Merger Date.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations.

General The Company is one of the largest orthopedic medical device companies in the United States and worldwide with operations in over 50 locations throughout the world and distribution in approximately 90 countries. The Company designs, manufactures and markets a comprehensive range of both surgical and non-surgical products used primarily by orthopedic surgeons and other musculoskeletal medical specialists. For over 30 years, the Company has applied advanced engineering and manufacturing technology to the development of highly durable joint replacement systems.

Basis of Presentation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Biomet, Inc. and its subsidiaries (individually and collectively referred to as "Biomet", or the "Company"). The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company's results of operations for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 are not comparative to the Company's results of operations for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 because of the new basis of accounting resulting from the Merger Date of July 11, 2007. The purchase price allocation included an IPRD charge of \$479.0 million, and step-ups in fair value of inventory of \$160.3 million and \$80.4 million for fixed assets. The amounts were fully recorded as of the Closing Date of the Merger. Minority interest created as a result of the Merger for the period July 12, 2007 to September 25, 2007 was not material. Also, the Company eliminated a one month reporting lag with its foreign subsidiaries as of the Merger Date.

Products The Company operates in one reportable business segment, musculoskeletal products, which includes the design, manufacture and marketing of products in four major categories: reconstructive products, fixation devices, spinal products and other products. The Company has three reportable geographic segments: United States, Europe and International.

Reconstructive Orthopedic reconstructive implants are used to replace joints that have deteriorated as a result of disease (principally osteoarthritis) or injury. Reconstructive joint surgery involves the modification of the area surrounding the affected joint and the implantation of one or more manufactured components, and may involve the use of bone cement. The Company's primary orthopedic reconstructive joints are knees, hips and shoulders, but the Company manufactures other joints as well. The Company also produces the associated instruments required by orthopedic surgeons to implant the Company's reconstructive products, as well as bone cements and cement delivery systems. In addition, dental reconstructive devices and associated instrumentation are used for oral rehabilitation through the replacement of teeth and repair of hard and soft tissues.

Fixation Fixation devices are used for setting and stabilizing damaged bones to support and/or augment the body's natural healing process. Electrical stimulation devices used in trauma indications offer implantable and non-invasive options to stimulate bone growth. Other products include internal fixation devices (such as nails, plates, screws, pins and wires used to stabilize traumatic bone injuries), external fixation devices (used to stabilize fractures when alternative methods of fixation are not suitable), craniomaxillofacial fixation systems and bone substitute materials.

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.

Spinal The Company's spinal products include electrical stimulation devices for spinal applications, spinal fixation systems for cervical, thoracolumbar deformity correction and spacer applications, and bone substitute materials, as well as allograft services for spinal applications. These products and services are primarily marketed under the Biomet Spine trade name.

Other The Company manufactures and distributes a number of other products, including sports medicine products (used in minimally-invasive orthopedic surgical procedures), orthopedic support products (also referred to as softgoods and bracing products), operating room supplies, casting materials, general surgical instruments, wound care products and other surgical products.

Effect of Foreign Currency Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated at rates of exchange in effect at the close of their calendar month end. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates during the period. Translation gains and losses are accumulated within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of shareholder's equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in other (income) expense.

Cash and Cash Equivalents The Company considers all investments that are highly liquid at the date acquired and have original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Investments The Company invests the majority of its excess cash in bank deposits and money market funds. The Company also holds municipal bonds, corporate and mortgage-backed securities, common stocks and auction-rate securities. The Company accounts for its investments in debt and equity securities in accordance with guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), which requires certain securities to be categorized as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. The Company also accounts for its investments under guidance for fair value measurements, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, clarifies the definition of fair value within that framework, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of shareholder's equity. Held-to-maturity securities are carried at amortized cost. The Company has no trading securities. The cost of investment securities sold is determined by the specific identification method. Dividend and interest income are accrued as earned. The Company reviews its investments quarterly for declines in fair value that are other-than-temporary. Investments that have declined in market value that are determined to be other-than-temporary are charged to other (income) expense, by writing that investment down to fair value. Investments are classified as short-term for those expected to mature or be sold within twelve months and the remaining portion is classified in long-term investments.

Risk Management

Foreign Currency Instruments Certain assets, liabilities and forecasted transactions are exposed to foreign currency risk, primarily the fluctuation of the U.S. Dollar against European currencies. The Company faces transactional currency exposures that arise when it or its foreign subsidiaries enter into transactions, primarily on an intercompany basis, denominated in currencies other than their functional currency. The Company also faces currency exposure that arises from translating the results of its global operations to the U.S. Dollar at exchange rates that have fluctuated from the beginning of the period. In order to mitigate the currency exposure related to debt service under the Company's debt facilities, the Company has hedged a portion of its net investment in its European subsidiaries with the issuance of a \$875.0 million (approximately \$1,207.4 million at September 25, 2007) principal amount euro term loan on September 25, 2007. The Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries at the hedging date of September 25, 2007 was \$1,238.0 million (\$1,690.0 million at September 25, 2007). As of May 31, 2010, the Company's net investment in European subsidiaries totaled \$2,084.1 million.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.**

(\$2,558.5 million) and the outstanding principal balance of the euro term loan was 853.1 million (\$1,047.3 million). The difference of 1,231.0 million (\$1,511.2 million) remained unhedged as of May 31, 2010. Hedge effectiveness is tested quarterly to determine whether hedge treatment is still appropriate. The Company tests effectiveness on this net investment hedge by determining if the net investment in its European subsidiaries is greater than the outstanding euro-denominated debt balance. Any amount under hedges determined to be ineffective is recorded as other (income) expense in the statement of operations.

Interest Rate Instruments The Company uses interest rate swap agreements (cash flow hedges) in both U.S. Dollars and euros as a means of fixing the interest rate on portions of its floating-rate debt instruments. As of May 31, 2010, the Company had a swap liability of \$129.9 million, which consisted of \$64.9 million short term, and \$69.4 million long term, partially offset by a \$4.4 million credit valuation adjustment. See the table below for existing contracts (U.S. Dollars and euros in millions):

Structure	Currency	Notional Amount	Effective Date	Termination Date	Fair Value at May 31, 2010 Asset (Liability)	Fair Value at May 31, 2009 Asset (Liability)
2 year	Euro	75.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2009	\$	\$ (1.6)
3 year	Euro	75.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2010	(1.8)	(4.9)
3 year	Euro	50.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2011	(1.9)	(3.5)
4 year	Euro	75.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2011	(4.9)	(7.2)
4 year	Euro	40.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2012	(2.9)	(3.5)
5 year	Euro	230.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2012	(23.4)	(26.2)
5 year	Euro	40.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2013	(4.0)	(3.8)
2 year	USD	\$ 195.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2009		(2.7)
2 year	USD	150.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2010		(1.9)
3 year	USD	195.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2010	(2.8)	(10.1)
3 year	USD	110.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2011	(1.7)	(2.9)
4 year	USD	195.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2011	(10.9)	(16.5)
4 year	USD	140.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2012	(4.7)	(4.6)
5 year	USD	585.0	September 25, 2007	September 25, 2012	(52.6)	(60.7)
5 year	USD	190.0	March 25, 2008	March 25, 2013	(9.1)	(6.9)
5 year	USD	325.0	December 26, 2008	December 25, 2013	(6.3)	3.2
5 year	USD	195.0	September 25, 2009	September 25, 2014	(7.3)	0.3
Credit Valuation Adjustment					4.4	5.1
Total					\$ (129.9)	\$ (148.4)

The interest rate swaps are included in other accrued expenses and other long term liabilities. As a result of cash flow hedge treatment being applied, all unrealized gains and losses related to the derivative instruments are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and are reclassified into operations in the same period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Hedge effectiveness is tested quarterly to determine if hedge treatment is still appropriate. The amount of ineffectiveness was not material for any period presented. The following represents applicable disclosure related to the interest rate swaps (in millions):

Derivatives	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative	Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into	Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivative	Income on Derivative (Ineffective) Portion and Amount
in Cash					
Flow Hedging					

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Relationships	for the Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Effective Portion)	OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Income (Effective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) for the Year Ended May 31, 2010
Interest rate swaps, net of tax	\$ 11.3	Interest expense	\$	Other (income) expense	\$

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.**

As of May 31, 2010, the effective interest rate, including the applicable lending margin, on 84.8% (\$1,935.0 million) of the outstanding principal of the Company's U.S. Dollar term loan was fixed at 6.94% through the use of interest rate swaps. The effective interest rate on 59.8% (\$1,510.0 million) of the outstanding principal of the Company's euro term loan was fixed at 7.30% through the use of interest rate swaps. The remaining unhedged balances of the U.S. Dollar and euro term loans and senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility had effective interest rates of 3.31% and 3.39%, respectively. As stated in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, the remaining debt instruments have a fixed interest rate. As of May 31, 2010, the Company's weighted average interest rate on all debt was 8.16%.

Other Comprehensive Income Other comprehensive income includes net income, currency translation adjustments, certain derivative-related activity, changes in the value of available-for-sale investments, and changes in prior service cost from pension plans. The Company generally deems its foreign investments to be essentially permanent in nature and does not provide for taxes on currency translation adjustments arising from translating the investment in a foreign currency to U.S. Dollars. When the Company determines that a foreign investment is no longer permanent in nature, estimated taxes are provided for the related deferred tax liability (asset), if any, resulting from currency translation adjustments. As of May 31, 2010, foreign investments were all permanent in nature.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and the related components are included in the table below:

	Balance at May 31, 2009	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Balance at May 31, 2010
Unrecognized actuarial gain (loss) on pension assets, net of tax	\$ (6.8)	\$ 3.5	\$ (3.3)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	67.9	(96.5)	(28.6)
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps, net of tax	(91.2)	11.3	(79.9)
OTTI on auction-rate securities	4.0		4.0
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(4.6)	1.8	(2.8)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (30.7)	\$ (79.9)	\$ (110.6)

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Allowance for Doubtful Receivables The Company provides credit, in the normal course of business, to hospitals, private and governmental institutions and healthcare agencies, insurance providers, dental practices and laboratories, and physicians. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful receivables based on estimated collection rates and charges actual losses to the allowance when incurred. The determination of estimated collection rates requires management judgment.

Factoring During the year ended May 31, 2010, the Company sold certain trade receivables in Europe to financial institutions for a total amount of approximately \$39.7 million. The agreements pursuant to which the Company sells some of its European trade receivables is structured such that the Company (1) transfers the proprietary rights in the receivable from the Company to the financial institution, (2) legally isolates the receivable from the Company's other assets, and puts the receivable beyond the lawful reach of the Company and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, (3) confers on the financial institution the right to pledge or exchange the receivable, and (4) eliminates the Company's effective control over the receivable.

Other Loss Contingencies In accordance with guidance issued by the FASB for contingencies, the Company accrues anticipated costs of settlement, damages, and loss of product liability claims based on

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.**

historical experience or to the extent specific losses are probable and estimable. If the estimate of a probable loss is in a range and no amount within the range is more likely, the Company accrues the minimum amount of the range. Such estimates and any subsequent changes in estimates may result in adjustments to the Company's operating results in the future. The Company has self-insured reserves against product liability claims with insurance coverage above the retention limits. There are various other claims, lawsuits and disputes with third parties, investigations and pending actions involving various allegations against it. Product liability claims are routinely reviewed by the Company's insurance carriers and management routinely reviews all claims for purposes of establishing ultimate loss estimates.

Revenue Recognition The Company sells product through four principal channels: (1) direct to healthcare institutions, referred to as direct channel accounts, (2) through stocking distributors and healthcare dealers, (3) indirectly through insurance companies and (4) directly to dental practices and dental laboratories. Sales through the direct and distributor/dealer channels account for a majority of net sales. Through these channels, inventory is consigned to sales agents or customers so that products are available when needed for surgical procedures. Revenue is not recognized upon the placement of inventory into consignment as the Company retains title and maintains the inventory on the balance sheet; however, it is recognized upon implantation and receipt of proper purchase order and/or purchase requisition documentation. Pricing for products is predetermined by contracts with customers, agents acting on behalf of customer groups or by government regulatory bodies, depending on the market. Price discounts under group purchasing contracts are linked to volume of implant purchases by customer healthcare institutions within a specified group. At negotiated thresholds within a contract buying period, price discounts may increase.

At certain locations the Company records a contractual allowance that is offset against revenue for each sale to a non-contracted payer so that revenue is recorded at the estimated determinable price at the time of the sale. Those non-contracted payers and insurance companies in some cases do not have contracted rates for products sold, but may have pricing available for certain products through their respective web sites. The Company will invoice at its list price and establish the contractual allowance to estimate what the non-contracted payer will settle the claim for based on the information available as noted above. At certain locations, revenue is recognized on sales to stocking distributors, healthcare dealers, dental practices and dental laboratories when title to product passes to them, generally upon shipment. Certain subsidiaries allow customers to return product in the event that the Company terminates the relationship. Under those circumstances, the Company records an estimated sales return in the period in which constructive notice of termination is given to a distributor. Product returns were not significant for any period presented.

The Company also maintains a separate allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses based on its assessment of the collectability of specific customer accounts and the aging of the accounts receivable. The Company analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer solvency, current economic and geographic trends, and changes in customer payment terms and practices when evaluating the adequacy of its current and future allowance. In circumstances where the Company is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, a specific allowance for bad debt is estimated and recorded, which reduces the recognized receivable to the estimated amount the Company believes will ultimately be collected. The Company monitors and analyzes the accuracy of the allowance for doubtful accounts estimate by reviewing past collectability and adjusts it for future expectations to determine the adequacy of the Company's current and future allowance. The Company's reserve levels have generally been sufficient to cover credit losses.

Excess and Obsolete Inventory In the Company's industry, inventory is routinely placed at hospitals to provide the healthcare provider with the appropriate product when needed. Because product usage tends to follow a bell curve, larger and smaller sizes of inventory are provided, but infrequently used. In addition, the musculoskeletal market is highly competitive, with new products, raw materials and procedures being introduced

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.

continually, which may make those products currently on the market obsolete. The Company makes estimates regarding the future use of these products and provides a provision for excess and obsolete inventory. If actual product life cycles, product demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required which would affect future operating results.

Accounting for Shipping and Handling Revenue, Fees and Costs The Company classifies amounts billed for shipping and handling as net sales. The related shipping and handling fees and costs are included in cost of sales.

Advertising Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$9.5 million, \$9.3 million, \$11.5 million, and \$0.6 million, for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, respectively.

Research and Development Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. IPRD is recognized in business combinations as an asset, and in asset acquisitions as an expense, for the portion of the purchase price allocated to the appraised value of in-process technologies, defined as those technologies relating to products that have not received approval of the U.S Food and Drug Administration and have no alternative future use.

Income Taxes The Company records income tax estimates in accordance with guidance issued by the FASB; however, there are inherent risks that could create uncertainties related to the estimates. The Company adjusts estimates based on normal operating circumstances and conclusions related to tax audits. While the Company does not believe any audit finding could materially affect its financial position, there could be a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations and cash flows of a given period.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets The Company tests its goodwill and indefinite lived intangible asset balances as of March 31 of each fiscal year for impairment. The Company tests these balances more frequently if indicators are present or changes in circumstances suggest that impairment may exist. In performing the test on goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets, the Company utilizes the two-step approach prescribed under guidance issued by the FASB for goodwill and other intangible assets. The first step under this guidance requires a comparison of the carrying value of the reporting units, of which the Company has identified eight in total, to the fair value of these units. The Company uses the income approach to determine the fair value of each reporting unit. The approach calculates fair value by estimating the after-tax cash flows attributable to a reporting unit and then discounting these after-tax cash flows to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. To derive the carrying value of the Company's reporting units, the Company assigns goodwill to the reporting units. In addition, for purposes of performing its annual goodwill test, assets and liabilities are allocated to the individual reporting units. These would include corporate assets, which relate to a reporting unit's operations, and would be considered in determining fair value. The Company allocates assets and liabilities not directly related to a specific reporting unit, but from which the reporting unit benefits, based primarily on the respective revenue contribution of each reporting unit. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company performs the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying value. If the Company is unable to complete the second step of the test prior to the issuance of its financial statements and an impairment loss is probable and could be reasonably estimated, the Company recognizes its best estimate of the loss in its current period financial statements and discloses that amount as an estimate. The Company then recognizes any adjustment to that estimate in subsequent reporting periods, once the Company has finalized the second step of the impairment test.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations, Continued.**

The Company determines the fair value of indefinite lived intangible assets using an income based approach to determine the fair value. The approach calculates fair value by estimating the after-tax cash flows attributable to the asset and then discounting these after-tax cash flows to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. The calculated fair value is compared to the carrying value to determine if any impairment exists.

If events or circumstances change, a determination is made by management to ascertain whether property and equipment and certain finite-lived intangibles have been impaired based on the sum of expected future undiscounted cash flows from operating activities. If the estimated net cash flows are less than the carrying amount of such assets, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount necessary to write down the assets to fair value as determined from expected future discounted cash flows.

Management's Estimates and Assumptions In preparing the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management must often make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements and during the reporting period. Some of those judgments can be subjective and complex. Consequently, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Accounting Principle As of the Merger Date, the Company eliminated the one-month lag in reporting for certain subsidiaries in non-domestic locations. The elimination of the one-month lag is considered a change in accounting principle adopted in conjunction with the Merger and was applied prospectively. The effect of the elimination is not material.

Note 3 Inventories.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined under the first-in, first-out method. The Company reviews inventory on hand and writes down excess and slow-moving inventory based on an assessment of future demand and historical experience. Inventories consisted of the following:

<i>(in millions)</i>	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$ 69.1	\$ 90.3
Work-in-process	43.6	52.8
Finished goods	148.2	157.5
Consigned distributor & field inventory	246.4	223.3
Inventories	\$ 507.3	\$ 523.9

Note 4 Property, Plant and Equipment.

Property, plant and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of 3 to 30 years. Depreciation on instruments is included within cost of sales. Related maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

The Company reviews property, plant and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated undiscounted future cash flows relating to the asset, or asset group, are less than its carrying amount, with the amount of the loss equal to the excess of carrying cost of the asset, or asset group, over the estimated fair value. Depreciation on instruments is included within cost of sales.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 4 Property, Plant and Equipment, Continued.**

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

<i>(in millions)</i>	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Land and land improvements	\$ 45.7	\$ 46.4
Buildings and leasehold improvements	124.1	137.9
Machinery and equipment	283.3	262.0
Instruments	420.6	361.2
Construction in progress	29.4	17.6
Total property, plant and equipment	903.1	825.1
Accumulated depreciation	(281.1)	(189.0)
Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 622.0	\$ 636.1

Note 5 Investments.

At May 31, 2010, the Company's investment securities were classified as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Available-for-sale:				
Debt securities	\$ 5.2	\$ 2.4	\$	\$ 7.6
Equity securities	0.5		(0.1)	0.4
Mortgage-backed securities	0.7			0.7
Total available-for-sale	6.4	2.4	(0.1)	8.7
Money market funds	9.5			9.5
Other	5.1			5.1
Total	\$ 21.0	\$ 2.4	\$ (0.1)	\$ 23.3

At May 31, 2009, the Company's investment securities were classified as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Available-for-sale:				
Debt securities	\$ 24.6	\$	\$ (0.5)	\$ 24.1
Equity securities	0.7		(0.1)	0.6

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Total available-for-sale	25.3	(0.6)	24.7
Other	2.9	(0.2)	2.7
Total	\$ 28.2	\$ (0.8)	\$ 27.4

The proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities were \$24.9 million, \$3.1 million, \$84.7 million, and \$42.8 million for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, respectively. There were purchases of available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities of \$13.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2010. There were no sales or purchases of held-to-maturity securities for the year ended May 31, 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, or for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, respectively. The cost of marketable securities sold is determined by the

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 5 Investments, Continued.**

specific identification method. The Company recorded in other (income) expense a net realized gain on sales of available-for-sale securities of \$4.3 million, \$0.8 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.1 million for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, respectively. The Company's debt securities at May 31, 2010 all have maturities greater than 1 year.

The Company reviews impairments to investment securities in accordance with guidance issued by the FASB for certain investments in debt and equity securities and the application of other-than-temporary impairment to certain investments to determine if impairment is temporary or other-than-temporary. The Company reviews several factors to determine whether losses are other-than-temporary, including but not limited to (1) the length of time each security was in an unrealized loss position, (2) the extent to which fair value was less than cost, (3) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (4) the Company's intent and ability to hold each security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

As of May 31, 2010, the Company held auction-rate securities of \$5.5 million. They are AAA-rated securities with long-term nominal maturities secured by student loans, which are guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Each of these securities was subject to auction processes for which there were insufficient bidders on the scheduled rollover dates. The Company will not be able to liquidate any of its remaining auction-rate securities until a future auction is successful, a buyer is found outside of the auction process (a secondary market develops), a broker/dealer buys them back, or the notes are redeemed. These auction-rate securities have been classified as long-term available-for-sale securities as of May 31, 2010 because of the inability to predict when the market will stabilize. The securities continue to earn and pay interest at the maximum contractual rate. During the year ended May 31, 2009, the Company concluded that due to the continued illiquidity of the auction-rate market, there was an impairment determined to be other-than-temporary. As a result, a \$9.4 million loss was recorded in other (income) expense during the fiscal year 2009, which consisted of \$3.2 million and \$2.2 million of unrealized losses previously recorded in other comprehensive income as of May 31, 2008 and November 30, 2008, respectively, and \$4.0 million that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2009. During the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, the market for some of these auction-rate securities recovered and a significant portion of the Company's holdings were either redeemed by the issuer or sold by the Company for net proceeds of \$23.9 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. The Company recorded in other (income) expense a net realized gain of \$4.3 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with respect to these liquidated securities. No additional temporary or other-than-temporary impairment was recorded during the year ended May 31, 2010.

Investment income (included in other (income) expense) consists of the following:

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Interest income	\$ 0.3	\$ 3.6	\$ 5.9	\$ 1.3
Dividend income	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.1
Net realized gains (losses)	4.3	0.8	(0.2)	0.6
OTTI on auction-rate securities		(9.4)		
Total	\$ 4.7	\$ (4.7)	\$ 6.2	\$ 2.0

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 6 Fair Value Measurements.**

Under guidance issued by the FASB for fair value measurements, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. This guidance also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based upon the best information available in the circumstances. The categorization of financial assets and financial liabilities within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels defined as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The Company's Level 1 assets include treasury bonds and marketable equity securities.

Level 2 Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. The Company's Level 2 assets and liabilities primarily include agency bonds, corporate debt securities, asset-backed securities, certain mortgage-backed securities, and interest rate swaps whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability. The Company's Level 3 assets include auction-rate securities and other equity investments. See the section below titled *Level 3 Valuation Techniques* for further discussion of how the Company determines fair value for investments classified as Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Guidance issued by the FASB for fair value measurements is principally applied to financial assets and liabilities such as marketable equity securities and debt securities that are classified and accounted for as available-for-sale, investments in equity and other securities, and derivative instruments consisting of interest rate swaps. These items are marked-to-market at each reporting period and measured at fair value as defined by this guidance. The information in the following paragraphs and tables primarily addresses matters relative to these financial assets and liabilities. Separately, there were no material fair value measurements with respect to nonfinancial assets or liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Company's financial statements on a recurring basis subsequent to the effective date of this guidance.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 6 Fair Value Measurements, Continued.**

The following table provides information by level for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, as defined by guidance issued by the FASB for fair value measurements, on a recurring basis at May 31, 2010 and 2009:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Fair Value at May 31, 2010	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Corporate debt securities	\$ 2.6	\$	\$ 2.6	\$
Auction-rate securities	5.5			5.5
Money market funds	64.5	64.5		
Other	5.7	4.7	0.8	0.2
Total assets	\$ 78.3	\$ 69.2	\$ 3.4	\$ 5.7
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 129.9	\$	\$ 129.9	\$
Total liabilities	\$ 129.9	\$	\$ 129.9	\$

<i>(in millions)</i>	Fair Value at May 31, 2009	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Corporate debt securities	\$ 3.4	\$	\$ 3.4	\$
Auction-rate securities	22.2			22.2
Other	1.8	0.8	0.5	0.5
Total assets	\$ 27.4	\$ 0.8	\$ 3.9	\$ 22.7
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 148.4	\$	\$ 148.4	\$
Total liabilities	\$ 148.4	\$	\$ 148.4	\$

Level 3 Valuation Techniques

Financial assets are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial assets also include certain investment securities for which there is limited market activity where the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. Level 3 investment securities primarily include certain auction-rate securities and other equity investments for which there was a decrease in the observation of market pricing. As of May 31, 2010 and 2009, these securities were valued primarily using internal cash flow valuation that incorporates transaction details such as contractual terms, maturity, timing and amount of future cash flows, as well as assumptions about liquidity and credit valuation adjustments of marketplace participants.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 6 Fair Value Measurements, Continued.**

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the tables above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of May 31, 2010 and 2009.

<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance at June 1, 2008	\$ 34.4
Total net losses included in earnings	(8.6)
Total unrealized losses included in other comprehensive income	
Total proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	(3.1)
Balance at May 31, 2009	22.7
Total net gains included in earnings	4.3
Total unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income	2.6
Total proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	(23.9)
Balance at May 31, 2010	\$ 5.7

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt, including the current portion, at May 31, 2010 was \$6,060.8 billion compared to a carrying value of \$5,896.5 billion, and was \$6,185.1 billion compared to a carrying value of \$6,212.7 billion at May 31, 2009. Fair value was estimated using quoted market prices for the same or similar instruments for traded notes, and the carrying value of variable rate term debt, as such debt has rates which approximate market interest rates. The fair values and carrying values consider the terms of the related debt and exclude the impacts of debt discounts and derivative/hedging activity.

The carrying value of the Company's other financial assets and liabilities on the balance sheet approximate fair value at May 31, 2010 and 2009.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

During the year ended May 31, 2010, the Company had no significant measurements of financial assets or liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.

The aspects of guidance issued by the FASB for fair value measurements for which the effective date was deferred under a staff position issued by the FASB until fiscal year 2010 relate to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. This deferral applies to such items as nonfinancial assets and liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination (but not measured at fair value in subsequent periods) or nonfinancial long-lived asset groups measured at fair value for an impairment assessment.

Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

During fiscal 2009, the Company recorded a \$551.1 million goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge associated with the dental reconstructive reporting unit. The decline in sales volume during the third quarter created an indication of potential impairment of its long-lived assets; therefore, the Company performed an interim preliminary impairment test as of February 28, 2009. Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the credit and equity market, and changes in the dental reconstructive market demand relative to its original assumptions at the time of the Merger. The Company finalized the impairment test during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, Continued.**

The Company used the income approach to determine the fair value of the dental reconstructive reporting unit and related intangible assets and the amount of the impairment charge. This approach calculates fair value by estimating the after-tax cash flows attributable to a reporting unit and then discounting these after-tax cash flows to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. This methodology is consistent with how the Company estimates the fair value of its reporting units during its annual goodwill and definite lived intangible asset impairment tests. In applying the income approach to calculate the fair value of the dental reconstructive reporting unit, the Company used assumptions about future revenue contributions and cost structures. In addition, the application of the income approach, for both goodwill and intangibles that requires judgment in determining a risk-adjusted discount rate at the reporting unit level. The Company based this determination on estimates of weighted-average costs of capital of market participants. The Company performed a peer company analysis and considered the industry weighted-average return on debt and equity from a market participant perspective. At the time of the Merger, the Company expected average net sales growth rates to be in the mid-teens. Due to changes in end market demand, driven by a large portion of the dental reconstructive business being based on discretionary spending, the Company now expects net sales growth rates to be flat through the next fiscal year, with growth rates in the mid-to-high single digits the following year. The growth rates after 2018 were extrapolated using a 3.0 percent terminal growth rate, which is lower than the long-term average growth rate for the industry.

To calculate the amount of the impairment charge, the Company allocated the fair value of the dental reconstructive reporting unit to all of its assets and liabilities, including certain unrecognized intangible assets, in order to determine the implied fair value of goodwill at February 28, 2009, and final amount in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. This allocation process required judgment and the use of additional valuation assumptions in deriving the individual fair values of the Company's dental reconstructive reporting unit's assets and liabilities as if the dental reconstructive reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination.

The Company also performed its annual assessment for impairment as of March 31, 2010 for all eight reporting units. The methodology used was consistent with that described in Note 2-Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations. The Company utilized a 10.2% discount rate for all reporting units. Based on the discount rate used in the Company's most recent test for impairment, if the discount rate increased by 1% the fair value of the consolidated company could be lower by approximately \$1.5 billion and a decrease in the discount rate of 1% results in \$1.5 billion higher fair value. The step one test also include assumptions derived from competitor market capitalization and beta values as well as a weighted average treasury bill rate from June 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010. All eight reporting units passed step one of the impairment test for both goodwill and other intangibles on March 31, 2010, therefore it was not necessary to perform the step two analysis.

The Company uses an accelerated method for amortizing customer relationship intangibles as the value for those relationships is greater at the beginning of their life. The change in intangible assets reflects foreign currency fluctuations, primarily the weakening of the euro against the U.S. Dollar, as well as amortization.

The following tables summarize the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (*in millions*):

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Beginning of period	\$ 4,780.5	\$ 5,422.8
Goodwill acquired		2.0
Currency translation	(73.0)	(148.7)
Impairment charge		(495.6)
End of period	\$ 4,707.5	\$ 4,780.5

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 7 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, Continued.**

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009	May 31, 2008
Gross carrying amount	\$ 5,203.1	\$ 5,276.1	\$ 5,422.8
Accumulated impairment losses	(495.6)	(495.6)	
Net carrying amount	\$ 4,707.5	\$ 4,780.5	\$ 5,422.8

Intangible assets consist of the following at May 31, 2010 and 2009 (*in millions*):

	May 31, 2010			May 31, 2009			Net		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Impairment Charge	New Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Impairment Charge	Carrying Amount
Core technology	\$ 2,087.4	\$ (308.9)	\$ 1,778.5	\$ 2,081.4	\$	\$ 2,081.4	\$ (201.3)	\$	\$ 1,880.1
Completed technology	664.9	(135.3)	529.6	720.4	(55.5)	664.9	(100.9)	15.0	579.0
Product trade names	183.6	(29.6)	154.0	181.5		181.5	(18.8)		162.7
Customer relationships	2,935.4	(583.7)	2,351.7	2,930.0		2,930.0	(379.1)		2,550.9
Non-compete contracts	4.6	(1.2)	3.4	4.3		4.3	(0.3)		4.0
Sub-total	5,875.9	(1,058.7)	4,817.2	5,917.6	(55.5)	5,862.1	(700.4)	15.0	5,176.7
Corporate trade names	397.6		397.6	408.0	(15.0)	393.0			393.0
Currency translation	(33.7)	9.2	(24.5)	129.1		129.1	(18.8)		110.3
Total	\$ 6,239.8	\$ (1,049.5)	\$ 5,190.3	\$ 6,454.7	\$ (70.5)	\$ 6,384.2	\$ (719.2)	\$ 15.0	\$ 5,680.0

The weighted average useful life of the intangibles at May 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Weighted Average Useful Life
Core technology	18 Years
Completed technology	12 Years
Product trade names	16 Years
Customer relationships	17 Years
Non-compete contracts	4 Years
Corporate trade names	Indefinite life

Expected amortization expense, for the intangible assets stated above, for the years ending May 31, 2011 through 2015 is \$366.3 million, \$358.6 million, \$350.0 million, \$340.4 million, and \$327.4 million, respectively.

Note 8 Debt.

Bank Borrowing In connection with the Merger, the Company entered into a credit agreement dated July 11, 2007 for a \$6,165.0 million senior secured term loan facility, or the Tender Facility, pursuant to which Purchaser borrowed \$4,181.0 million to finance a portion of the Offer and pay related fees and expenses. The Company refinanced all amounts borrowed under the Tender Facility at the Closing Date with senior secured credit facilities (which include term loan facilities, a cash flow revolving facility and an asset based revolving credit facility), senior notes, senior subordinated notes and unsecured bridge facilities. On October 16, 2007, the unsecured bridge facilities were refinanced into the senior notes

and senior subordinated notes at a premium of

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 8 Debt, Continued.**

\$6.0 million. The senior secured cash flow facility and all of the notes are guaranteed by the Company subject to certain exceptions, and each of its existing and future wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries. The senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility is guaranteed by the Company and secured, subject to certain exceptions, by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of the Company's assets and the assets of subsidiary borrowers that consist of all accounts receivable, inventory, cash, deposit accounts, and certain intangible assets. The facilities and notes bear interest at the rates set forth below. Interest is payable in cash, except with respect to the Company's ability to elect to pay PIK (payment-in-kind) interest, rather than cash interest, on the senior toggle notes through October 15, 2012 for any interest period other than the initial interest period. The Company has not made this election at May 31, 2010. As of May 31, 2010, \$56.8 million of financing fees related to the above credit agreement remained in long term assets. The terms and carrying value of each debt instrument at May 31, 2010 are set forth below:

<i>(Dollars and Euros in millions)</i>	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Currency	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009	Premium on Notes at May 31, 2010	Premium on Notes at May 31, 2009
Debt Instruments							
European facilities	No Maturity Date		Euro	5.1	37.2	\$	\$
		Primarily Euribor + 1.90%		\$ 6.3	\$ 52.6	\$	\$
Term loan facility	March 25, 2015	Libor + 3.00%	US Dollars	\$ 2,281.5	\$ 2,304.7	\$	\$
Term loan facility	March 25, 2015	Libor + 3.00%	Euro	853.1	861.9	\$	\$
				\$ 1,047.3	\$ 1,220.0	\$	\$
Cash flow revolving credit facility	September 25, 2013	Libor + 2.50%	US Dollars	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash flow revolving credit facility	September 25, 2013	Libor + 2.50%	Euro & US Dollars	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /	\$ /
Asset-based revolving credit facility	September 25, 2013	Libor + 1.50%	US Dollars	\$	\$ 65.2	\$	\$
Senior cash pay notes	October 15, 2017	10%	US Dollars	\$ 771.0	\$ 775.0	\$ 1.7	\$ 2.0
Senior toggle notes	October 15, 2017	10 ³ / ₈ % / 11 ¹ / ₈ %	US Dollars	\$ 771.0	\$ 775.0	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.1
Senior subordinated notes	October 15, 2017	11 ⁵ / ₈ %	US Dollars	\$ 1,015.0	\$ 1,015.0	\$ 1.8	\$ 2.1
			Total	\$ 5,892.1	\$ 6,207.5	\$ 4.4	\$ 5.2

The Company currently elects to use 3-month LIBOR for setting the interest rates on the majority of its U.S. Dollar and euro term loans. The 3-month LIBOR rate for the U.S. Dollar term loan as of May 31, 2010 was 0.28%. The euro term loan had a 3-month LIBOR rate of 0.58% as of May 31, 2010. The term loan facilities require quarterly principal payments equal to one quarter percent (0.25%) of the original principal balance (equal payments each quarter) which commenced on the last business day of December 2007, and continue on the last business day of each calendar year quarter with the remaining outstanding principal due on the maturity date. The Company made required payments of \$5.8 million on June 30, 2009, September 30, 2009, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2010, respectively, for the U.S. Dollar denominated term loan facility, and made required payments of \$3.1 million, \$3.2 million, \$3.3 million, and \$3.0 million on June 30, 2009, September 30, 2009, December 31, 2009, and March 31, 2010, respectively, for the euro-denominated term loan facility. There were no borrowings under the asset-based revolving credit facility as of May 31, 2010. The cash flow and asset-based revolving credit facilities and the notes do not have terms for mandatory principal pay downs. To calculate the U.S. Dollar equivalent on outstanding balances for disclosure purposes, the Company used a currency conversion rate of 1 euro to \$1.2276 and \$1.4154, which represents the currency exchange rate from euros to U.S. Dollars on May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company's revolving borrowing base available under all debt facilities at May 31, 2010 was \$825.4 million, which is net of the borrowing base limitations relating to the senior secured asset-based revolving facility.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 8 Debt, Continued.**

As of May 31, 2010, \$56.8 million of financing fees related to the Company's credit agreement remained in long-term assets and continue to be amortized through interest expense over the remaining life of the credit agreement.

During the three months ended February 28, 2010, the Company repurchased certain 10% Senior Cash Pay Notes having a par value of \$4.0 million and certain 10³/₈ % Senior PIK/Toggle Notes having a par value of \$4.0 million. The Company paid \$8.9 million to settle the transaction and retire the debt on February 2, 2010, which included accrued interest of \$0.2 million and a loss on the extinguishment of the debt of \$0.7 million. In conjunction with this transaction, the Company wrote off debt issuance costs of \$0.1 million and bond premiums of \$0.2 million.

During the second fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (Lehman), whose subsidiaries have a \$41.5 million credit commitment across the Company's domestic revolving borrowing base, filed for bankruptcy. During the second quarter ended November 30, 2008, the Company submitted borrowing requests for \$175.0 million from its senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility of which \$165.4 million in net borrowing proceeds were received from the administration agent. The difference between the borrowed amount and the requested amount reflects Lehman's election to not fund its pro rata share of the borrowing as required under its commitment to the credit facility. As a result, the Company does not expect that Lehman will fund its pro rata share of any future borrowing requests. There were no borrowings under the asset-based revolving credit facility as of May 31, 2010.

At May 31, 2010 and 2009, short-term borrowings consisted of the following:

<i>(in millions)</i>	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Senior secured credit facilities	\$ 34.1	\$ 35.8
Non-US facilities	1.5	45.4
Total	\$ 35.6	\$ 81.2

Summarized in the table below are the Company's long-term obligations as of May 31, 2010:

	Total	2011	2012 and 2013	2014 and 2015	2016 and thereafter
Long-term debt (including current maturities)	\$ 5,896.5	\$ 35.6	\$ 68.2	\$ 3,226.5	\$ 2,566.2

The Company currently is restricted in its ability to pay dividends under various covenants of its debt agreements, including its credit facilities and the indentures governing its notes. The Company does not expect for the foreseeable future to pay dividends on its common stock, and did not during fiscal 2010 or fiscal 2009. Any future determination to pay dividends will depend upon, among other factors, its results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, any contractual restrictions and any other considerations the Company's Board of Directors deems relevant.

Note 9 Retirement and Pension Plans.

The Company has a defined contribution profit sharing plan which covers substantially all of the employees, or team members, within the continental U.S. and allows participants to make contributions by salary reduction pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company currently matches 100% of the team member's contribution, up to a maximum amount equal to 6% of the team member's compensation. The amounts expensed under this profit sharing plan for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, were \$8.1 million, \$6.3 million, \$8.1 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 9 Retirement and Pension Plans, Continued.**

The Company sponsors various retirement and pension plans, including defined benefit plans, for some of its foreign operations. Many foreign employees are covered by government sponsored programs for which the direct cost to the Company is not significant. Retirement plan benefits are primarily based on the employee's compensation during the last several years before retirement and the employee's number of years of service for the Company. Some foreign subsidiaries have plans under which funds are deposited with trustees, annuities are purchased under group contracts or reserves are provided. The Company used May 31 for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 as the measurement date for the foreign pension plans.

Net periodic benefit costs for the Company's defined benefit plans include the following components:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Net periodic benefit costs:				
Service costs	\$ 0.6	\$ 2.3	\$ 4.7	\$ 0.6
Interest costs	6.9	6.6	6.0	0.8
Expected return on plan assets	(3.9)	(4.4)	(4.8)	(0.6)
Recognized actuarial losses	3.3	0.8	1.2	0.1
Net periodic benefit costs:	\$ 6.9	\$ 5.3	\$ 7.1	\$ 0.9

The following table sets forth information related to the benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets at May 31, 2010 and 2009 for the Company's defined benefit retirement plans. The Company maintains no postretirement medical or other postretirement plans in the United States.

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Change in Benefit Obligation (in millions)		
Projected benefit obligation beginning of year	\$ 111.2	\$ 117.7
Service costs	0.6	2.3
Interest costs	6.9	6.6
Plan participant contribution		1.6
Actuarial (gains)/losses	1.3	1.2
Benefits paid from plan	(3.2)	(3.3)
Other	9.0	4.4
Effect of exchange rates	(14.2)	(19.3)
Projected benefit obligation end of year	\$ 111.6	\$ 111.2
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 110.4	\$ 107.9
Change in Plan Assets (in millions)		
Plan assets at fair value beginning of year	\$ 74.3	\$ 78.5
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	13.0	(5.3)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Company contribution	8.3	16.0
Plan participant contribution		1.6
Benefits paid from plan	(3.1)	
Effect of exchange rates	(10.4)	(16.5)
Plan assets at fair value end of year	\$ 82.1	\$ 74.3
Funded status at end of year	\$ 29.5	\$ 36.9

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 9 Retirement and Pension Plans, Continued.**

Amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated balance sheets consist of the following:

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Deferred income tax asset	\$ (5.3)	\$ (10.0)
Employee related obligations, recorded in other liabilities	29.5	37.2
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3.3)	(25.0)

	Year Ending May 31, 2011
Amounts expected to be recognized in Net Periodic Cost in the coming year for the Company's defined benefit retirement plans	
Amortization of net actuarial losses	\$ 0.1

The weighted-average assumptions in the following table represent the rates used to develop the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation for periods presented and also the net periodic benefit cost for the following year.

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Discount rate	5.46%	6.27%	6.50%	6.50%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	5.54%	5.66%	6.55%	6.55%
Rate increase in compensation levels	2.89%	2.89%	2.89%	2.89%

The projected future benefit payments from the Company's defined benefit retirement plans are \$3.0 million for fiscal 2011, \$3.1 million for fiscal 2012, \$3.2 million for fiscal 2013, \$3.8 million for fiscal 2014, \$3.5 million for fiscal 2015 and \$20.6 million for fiscal 2016 to 2020. The Company expects to pay \$5.3 million into the plans during fiscal 2011. In certain countries, the funding of pension plans is not a common practice. Consequently, the Company has several pension plans which are not funded.

The Company's retirement plan asset allocation at May 31, 2010 was 53% to debt securities, 38% to equity securities, and 9% to other. The Company's retirement plan asset allocation at May 31, 2009 was 57% to debt securities, 34% to equity securities, and 9% to other.

Strategic asset allocations are determined by country, based on the nature of the liabilities and considering demographic composition of the plan participants (average age, years of service and active versus retiree status). The Company's plans are considered non-mature plans and the long-term strategic asset allocations are consistent with these types of plans. Emphasis is placed on diversifying on a broad basis combined with currency matching the fixed income assets.

Note 10 Share-based Compensation and Stock Plans.

The Company follows guidance issued by the FASB to record share-based payment expense using the modified prospective method. This guidance requires the fair value of all share-based payments to employees, including stock options, to be expensed based on their fair value over the required award service period. The Company's share-based payments consist of stock options. For the Company's non-employee distributors, share-

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 10 Share-based Compensation and Stock Plans, Continued.**

based expense is recorded in accordance with guidance issued by the FASB. Prior to the Merger, the Predecessor Company's Board of Directors modified certain Predecessor Company stock options to change the exercise price to the fair market value on the date such options were granted by adding to the options a cash component, paid in January 2008, for the difference between the original exercise price and the fair value of the underlying stock at the date of grant. In addition, on July 11, 2007, the Predecessor Company's Board of Directors cancelled all outstanding stock options and paid the difference between the amended exercise price and \$46.00 per share (the offering price) in cash in conjunction with the Merger. The total amount expensed related to Predecessor Company grants was \$112.8 million, with amounts recorded as cost of sales, selling, general, and administrative, and research and development in the Company's results of operations for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007. The first payment occurred on July 17, 2007 for \$103.0 million, and the second payment was made on January 11, 2008 for \$9.8 million.

Share-based compensation expense recognized was \$22.4 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, \$33.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, \$25.8 million for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and \$112.8 million for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007.

	Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Predecessor:		
Outstanding, May 31, 2007	9,629,895	\$ 34.34
Granted		
Exercised	(298,557)	35.99
Forfeitures/cancelled	(9,331,338)	34.00
Successor:		
Outstanding, July 12, 2007		
Granted	31,855,000	10.00
Forfeitures	(59,000)	10.00
Outstanding, May 31, 2008	31,796,000	10.00
Granted	2,844,000	10.00
Forfeitures	(1,650,167)	10.00
Outstanding, May 31, 2009	32,989,833	10.00
Granted	4,296,500	10.00
Forfeitures	(1,999,833)	10.00
Outstanding, May 31, 2010	35,286,500	10.00

In November 2007, Parent authorized the issuance of approximately 37.5 million nonqualified stock options to key employees, directors, service providers, and consultants of Parent and its affiliates under the LVB Acquisition, Inc. 2007 Management Equity Incentive Plan (the "2007 LVB Plan"). Also, during fiscal 2008, Parent authorized the issuance of approximately 3.5 million nonqualified stock options to sales representatives and distributors, under the 2007 LVB Plan. Grants are consistent with the Company's commitment to recognize and reward the recipients and to align their interests with the Company's stakeholders. Stock options were granted with an exercise price equal to 100% of fair value at the higher of the value of the underlying stock or \$10.00 on the date of the grant and have 10-year terms. Vesting of employee stock options are split into 2 categories: 1) time based options: 50% of option grants generally vesting ratably over 5 years, 2) performance based options: 25% of stock option grants generally vesting over 5 years, contingent upon the Company

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 10 Share-based Compensation and Stock Plans, Continued.**

achieving certain adjusted EBITDA targets in each of those years, and 3) accreting exercise price options: 25% of stock option grants having exercise prices that increase by 10% each year, and generally vest ratably over 5 years. The Company uses an attribution method to recognize compensation expense for stock options over the applicable vesting period.

In May 2009, the Board of Directors of Parent authorized an exchange offer relating to employee options outstanding at May 6, 2009 (including the options held by the Company's named executive officers). Outstanding distributor options were not included in the exchange offer. The exchange offer was expected to provide the holders of such options with the opportunity to surrender the options for cancellation in exchange for replacement options, the terms of which were (1) different from the surrendered options with respect to the performance based and accreting exercise price options, and (2) the same as the surrendered options with respect to the time based options. The terms of the performance based and accreting exercise price options were modified in the replacement options as follows:

New Performance Vesting Options (which replaced the surrendered performance based options) Beginning in fiscal 2010, the remaining unvested options vest ratably over four to six years (depending on the date of grant) instead of the three to five years remaining under the terms of the original performance based options. The remaining options continue to vest contingent upon the Company achieving certain reduced adjusted EBITDA targets in each of those years.

New Extended Time Vesting Options (which replaced the surrendered accreting exercise price options) These options were converted into time vesting options similar to the previously outstanding time based options. The exercise price reverted to \$10.00 per share (i.e., the original grant date exercise price before it began accreting) and will no longer increase by 10% on an annual basis. The remaining unvested options vest ratably over four to six years (depending on the date of grant) instead of the three to five years remaining under the terms of the original accreting exercise price options.

The goal of the exchange offer was to provide employees who elected to participate with new options, the terms of which preserve the original incentive effect of the Company's option program in light of market-wide economic conditions. In October 2009, the exchange offer was completed with all active employees electing to participate. Beginning July 2009, new option grants subsequent to, and not in connection with the exchange offer, split options into 2 categories: 1) time based options: 75% of option grants generally vesting ratably over 5 years and 2) performance based options: 25% of stock option grants generally vesting over 5 years, contingent upon the Company achieving certain adjusted EBITDA targets in each of those years.

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 was \$3.28, \$2.85, and \$3.74, respectively. The Company estimates the fair value of each option primarily using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatilities for grants are generally based on historical volatility of the Company's competitors' stock. The risk-free rates for periods within the expected life of the option are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value estimates are based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Risk-free interest rate	2.64%	2.43%
Dividend yield		
Expected volatility	34.27%	35.20%
Expected life in years	5.91	4.70

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 10 Share-based Compensation and Stock Plans, Continued.**

The following table summarizes information about outstanding stock options, as of May 31, 2010 and 2009, that were (a) vested and (b) exercisable:

	Outstanding		Options	
	Stock Options Already Vested and Expected to Vest		that are Exercisable	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Number of outstanding options	35,286,500	32,989,833	12,332,969	5,580,700
Weighted average remaining contractual life	7.9 years	4.7 years	3.5 years	4.0 years
Weighted average exercise price per share	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
Intrinsic value				

At May 31, 2010 and 2009 there were 2,233,500 and 8,030,167 shares available for future option grants, respectively. As of May 31, 2010, there was approximately \$34.2 million of unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to nonvested employee stock options granted under the Company's plan. The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at May 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Year Ended May 31,	
	2010	2009
	Number of Options	Number of Options
Options granted with an exercise price equal to fair value at date of grant		2,626,500
Options granted with an exercise price greater than fair value at date of grant	4,296,500	117,500
Options granted with an exercise price less than fair value at date of grant		

In 2008, the Board of Directors of Parent adopted an addendum to the 2007 LVB Plan, which provides for the grant of leveraged equity awards in Parent under the 2007 LVB Plan (the LVB Leveraged Awards, and together with the LVB Options, the LVB Awards) to certain of the Company's European employees. LVB Leveraged Awards permit participants to purchase shares of LVB common stock using the proceeds of non-recourse loans from Parent, which shares remain subject to forfeiture and other restrictions prior to the participant's repayment of the loan.

Upon termination of a participant's employment, the 2007 LVB Plan provides that any unvested portion of a participant's LVB Award will be forfeited, and that the vested portion of his or her LVB Award will expire on the earliest of (1) the date the participant's employment is terminated for cause, (2) 30 days following the date the participant resigns without good reason, (3) 90 days after the date the participant's employment is terminated either by us for any reason other than cause, death or disability or by the participant with good reason, (4) one year after the date the participant's employment is terminated by reason of death or disability or (5) the tenth anniversary of the grant date of the LVB Award.

Prior to receiving shares of LVB common stock (whether pursuant to the exercise of LVB Options, purchased pursuant to an LVB Leveraged Award or otherwise), participants must execute a Management Stockholders' Agreement, which provides that the shares are subject to certain transfer restrictions, put and call rights, and tag along and drag along rights (and, with respect to certain senior members of management, limited re-offer registration and preemptive rights).

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 11 Income Taxes (benefit).**

The components of income (loss) before income taxes are as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Domestic	\$ (201.7)	\$ (510.4)	\$ (1,318.8)	\$ (81.1)
Foreign	60.0	(410.0)	124.5	(0.8)
Total	\$ (141.7)	\$ (920.4)	\$ (1,194.3)	\$ (81.9)

The provision for income taxes is summarized as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Current:				
Federal	\$ 3.9	\$ 26.7	\$ 0.2	\$ (30.1)
State	0.9	1.4	0.3	(4.2)
Foreign	50.2	42.8	45.1	(0.4)
Subtotal	55.0	70.9	45.6	(34.7)
Deferred:				
Federal	(98.7)	(160.7)	(217.0)	6.5
State	(15.7)	(23.3)	(24.2)	0.9
Foreign	(34.7)	(58.1)	(34.5)	
Subtotal	(149.1)	(242.1)	(275.7)	7.4
Total Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	\$ (94.1)	\$ (171.2)	\$ (230.1)	\$ (27.3)

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 11 Income Taxes (benefit), Continued.**

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's U.S. effective tax rate as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
U.S. statutory income tax rate	(35.0)%	(35.0)%	(35.0)%	(35.0)%
State taxes, net of federal deduction	(8.4)	(2.6)	(1.8)	(4.1)
Foreign income taxed at rates different from the U.S. statutory rate	(19.8)	(1.5)	(0.2)	0.5
Tax benefit relating to operations in Puerto Rico		(0.5)	(0.2)	(0.6)
Tax credits and other carryovers	(4.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Change in liability for uncertain tax positions	9.6			
Adjustment of prior estimates, net valuation allowance	(5.6)			
Goodwill Impairment		18.8		
Change in tax laws and rates	(7.1)			
In-process research and development			14.0	
Losses and other expenses not deductible for tax	7.0		2.0	6.2
Tax on foreign earnings, net for foreign tax credits	(0.4)		0.7	
Other	(2.4)	2.4	1.3	(0.2)
Effective tax rate	(66.4)%	(18.6)%	(19.3)%	(33.3)%

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 11 Income Taxes (benefit), Continued.**

The components of the net deferred income tax assets and liabilities at May 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	2010	2009
Deferred income tax assets:		
Accounts receivable	\$ 18.2	\$ 25.3
Inventories	45.9	54.0
Accrued expenses	67.1	61.2
Tax benefit of net operating losses, tax credits and other carryforwards	99.8	81.8
Future benefit of uncertain tax positions	18.2	19.3
Stock-based compensation	30.2	25.6
Accrued litigation		18.4
Swap liability	50.1	59.5
Other	5.6	24.9
Deferred income tax assets	\$ 335.1	\$ 370.0
Less: Valuation allowance	(38.6)	(16.1)
Total deferred income tax assets	\$ 296.5	\$ 353.9
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Property, plant, equipment and Intangibles	(1,892.0)	(2,082.8)
Other	(15.1)	(9.0)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(1,907.1)	(2,091.8)
Total net deferred income tax assets (liabilities)	\$ (1,610.6)	\$ (1,737.9)

The Company's deferred tax assets include federal, state, and foreign net operating loss carryforwards of \$45.5 million, \$35.6 million (\$23.1 million, net of federal benefit) and \$22.3 million, respectively. Federal net operating loss carryforwards available are \$129.5 million, which begin to expire in 2028. The Company believes it is more likely than not that it will be able to utilize the federal net operating loss carryforwards. The state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards are from various jurisdictions with various carryforward periods.

Deferred tax assets related to tax credits and other carryforwards total \$40.4 million as of May 31, 2010. This includes a deferred tax asset for foreign tax credit carryforwards in the amount of \$20.9 million, which begin to expire in 2018. The Company believes it is more likely than not that it will be able to utilize the foreign tax credit carryforwards.

As of May 31, 2010, the Company has a \$38.6 million valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. This valuation allowance consists of \$5.9 million relating to net deferred tax assets for unrealized losses on investments, \$29.4 million for net deferred tax assets related to state and foreign net operating losses that management believes, more likely than not, will not be realized, and \$3.3 million relating to realized capital losses for which capital gains are not currently expected in the future.

The Company has not provided for deferred taxes on certain of its excess of financial reporting over the tax basis of its investments in foreign subsidiaries that are essentially permanent in duration. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject to U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to the various foreign countries. Determination of the amount of any unrecognized deferred income tax liability on these undistributed earnings is not practical.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 11 Income Taxes (benefit), Continued.**

The Company has not recorded deferred taxes on its excess of financial reporting over the tax basis on certain of its investments in foreign subsidiaries related to current period earnings that are not considered to be indefinitely reinvested. The Company believes that there will not be a significant additional cost associated with the future repatriation of such foreign earnings.

Effective June 1, 2007, the Company adopted guidance issued by the FASB, which is an interpretation that prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax contingencies and the tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009	May 31, 2008
Unrecognized tax benefits beginning of period	\$ 63.1	\$ 50.9	\$ 41.2
Gross increases current-period tax positions	13.8	14.8	23.2
Gross decreases current-period tax positions			(1.4)
Gross increases tax positions in prior period	1.6	14.0	2.4
Gross decreases tax positions in prior period	(4.3)	(11.5)	(6.6)
Settlements during the current period	(0.2)	(0.7)	(0.3)
Lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(0.2)	(4.4)	(7.6)
Unrecognized tax benefits, end of period	\$ 73.8	\$ 63.1	\$ 50.9

Included in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits at May 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively, are \$64.9 million and \$46.3 million of tax benefits that would impact the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. Related to unrecognized tax benefits noted above, the Company accrued interest of \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million during the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of May 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company has recognized a liability for interest of \$9.2 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. The Company accrued and recognized an immaterial amount of penalties for the years disclosed.

The Company conducts business globally and, as a result, certain of its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examinations by taxing authorities throughout the world, including major jurisdictions such as Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, Netherlands, Spain, the United Kingdom and the United States. The Internal Revenue Service is currently auditing the predecessor Company's federal tax returns for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2008. In addition, certain state and foreign tax returns are under examination by various regulatory authorities. The statute of limitations for income tax examinations by the Internal Revenue Service has expired for the fiscal years prior to and including the year ended May 31, 2002.

The Company regularly reviews issues that are raised from ongoing examinations and open tax years to evaluate the adequacy of its liabilities. As the various taxing authorities continue with their audit/examination programs, the Company will adjust its reserves accordingly to reflect these settlements. With respect to unrecognized tax benefits, the Company expects the amount of the net liability to change within the next twelve months. However, while it is possible that some of these matters may be resolved within the next twelve months, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the amount or periods in which these changes will occur.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 12 Segment Reporting.**

The Company operates in one reportable segment, musculoskeletal products, which includes the designing, manufacturing and marketing of reconstructive products, fixation devices, spinal products and other products. Other products consist primarily of softgoods and bracing products, sports medicine products, general instruments and operating room supplies. The Company manages its business segment primarily on a geographic basis. These geographic markets are comprised of the United States, Europe and International. Major markets included in the International geographic market are Canada, South America, Mexico and the Pacific Rim.

Net sales by product category are as follows (*in millions*):

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Net sales by product:				
Reconstructive	\$ 2,024.5	\$ 1,851.0	\$ 1,578.6	\$ 178.1
Fixation	237.8	234.1	203.2	27.1
Spinal	236.2	222.1	183.1	24.9
Other	199.5	196.9	169.6	18.7
Total	\$ 2,698.0	\$ 2,504.1	\$ 2,134.5	\$ 248.8

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor)	June 1, 2007 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)
Net sales by geographic segment:				
United States	\$ 1,644.1	\$ 1,527.9	\$ 1,251.4	\$ 156.2
Europe	728.8	711.7	663.7	70.8
International	325.1	264.5	219.4	21.8
Total	\$ 2,698.0	\$ 2,504.1	\$ 2,134.5	\$ 248.8

	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Long-term assets(1) by geographic segment:		
United States	\$ 7,508.0	\$ 7,775.3
Europe	1,939.6	2,286.2
International	1,072.2	1,035.1
Total	\$ 10,519.8	\$ 11,096.6

(1) Defined as property, plant and equipment, intangibles and goodwill.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 13 Guarantor and Non-guarantor Financial Statements.**

Each of the Company's existing wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries are fully, unconditionally, jointly, and severally guaranteeing the senior cash pay and PIK toggle notes on a senior unsecured basis and the senior subordinated notes on a senior subordinated unsecured basis, in each case to the extent such subsidiaries guarantee the Company's senior secured cash flow facilities.

The following financial information illustrates the composition of the combined guarantor subsidiaries (*in millions*):

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	May 31, 2010				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 103.5	\$ 85.6	\$	\$ 189.1
Accounts receivable, net		248.7	203.8		452.5
Income tax receivable		18.7	0.5		19.2
Inventories		288.7	283.2	(64.6)	507.3
Deferred income taxes		48.6	15.7		64.3
Prepaid expenses and other		34.5	38.1		72.6
Total current assets		742.7	626.9	(64.6)	1,305.0
Property, plant and equipment, net		374.1	253.8	(5.9)	622.0
Investments		23.3			23.3
Investment in subsidiaries	9,693.9			(9,693.9)	
Intangible assets, net		3,678.5	1,511.8		5,190.3
Goodwill		3,461.4	1,246.1		4,707.5
Other assets		70.5	50.4		120.9
Total assets	\$ 9,693.9	\$ 8,350.5	\$ 3,689.0	\$ (9,764.4)	\$ 11,969.0
Liabilities & Shareholder's Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 34.1	\$	\$ 1.5	\$	\$ 35.6
Accounts payable		48.8	37.5		86.3
Accrued interest	70.2				70.2
Accrued wages and commissions		70.3	41.0		111.3
Other accrued expenses		167.3	47.8		215.1
Total current liabilities	104.3	286.4	127.8		518.5
Long-term debt	5,856.1		4.8		5,860.9
Deferred income taxes		1,216.3	458.6		1,674.9
Other long-term liabilities		147.6	33.6		181.2
Total liabilities	5,960.4	1,650.3	624.8		8,235.5
Shareholder's equity	3,733.5	6,700.2	3,064.2	(9,764.4)	3,733.5
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$ 9,693.9	\$ 8,350.5	\$ 3,689.0	\$ (9,764.4)	\$ 11,969.0

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 13 Guarantor and Non-guarantor Financial Statements, Continued.**

	May 31, 2009				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 178.9	\$ 36.7	\$	\$ 215.6
Accounts receivable, net		237.0	274.1		511.1
Income tax receivable		20.0			20.0
Inventories		291.5	306.6	(74.2)	523.9
Deferred income taxes		70.6	7.8		78.4
Prepaid expenses and other		15.1	24.0		39.1
Total current assets		813.1	649.2	(74.2)	1,388.1
Property, plant and equipment, net		391.1	250.2	(5.2)	636.1
Investments		27.4			27.4
Investment in subsidiaries	10,073.5			(10,073.5)	
Intangible assets, net		3,927.4	1,752.6		5,680.0
Goodwill		3,461.9	1,318.6		4,780.5
Other assets		44.9	43.9		88.8
Total assets	\$ 10,073.5	\$ 8,665.8	\$ 4,014.5	\$ (10,152.9)	\$ 12,600.9
Liabilities & Shareholder's Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 35.8	\$	\$ 45.4	\$	\$ 81.2
Accounts payable		62.7	36.7		99.4
Accrued interest	73.1				73.1
Accrued wages and commissions		43.2	23.4		66.6
Other accrued expenses		232.6	78.3		310.9
Total current liabilities	108.9	338.5	183.8		631.2
Long-term debt	6,124.3		7.2		6,131.5
Deferred income taxes		1,808.7	7.6		1,816.3
Other long-term liabilities		143.3	38.3		181.6
Total liabilities	6,233.2	2,290.5	236.9		8,760.6
Shareholder's equity	3,840.3	6,375.3	3,777.6	(10,152.9)	3,840.3
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$ 10,073.5	\$ 8,665.8	\$ 4,014.5	\$ (10,152.9)	\$ 12,600.9

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 13 Guarantor and Non-guarantor Financial Statements, Continued.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$	\$ 1,710.4	\$ 987.6	\$	\$ 2,698.0
Cost of sales		482.1	497.3	(159.5)	819.9
Gross profit		1,228.3	490.3	159.5	1,878.1
Operating expenses		996.4	525.1		1,521.5
Operating income (loss)		231.9	(34.8)	159.5	356.6
Other (income) expense, net	514.1	(4.0)	(11.8)		498.3
Income (loss) before income taxes	(514.1)	235.9	(23.0)	159.5	(141.7)
Tax expense (benefit)	(200.5)	87.2	(4.2)	23.4	(94.1)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	266.0			(266.0)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (47.6)	\$ 148.7	\$ (18.8)	\$ (129.9)	\$ (47.6)

	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$	\$ 1,589.1	\$ 915.0	\$	\$ 2,504.1
Cost of sales		503.5	439.3	(114.4)	828.4
Gross profit		1,085.6	475.7	114.4	1,675.7
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge			551.1		551.1
Operating expenses		976.4	496.5		1,472.9
Operating income (loss)		109.2	(571.9)	114.4	(348.3)
Other expense, net	545.7	10.9	10.2	5.3	572.1
Income (loss) before income taxes	(545.7)	98.3	(582.1)	109.1	(920.4)
Tax expense (benefit)	(101.5)	(2.9)	(87.1)	20.3	(171.2)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(305.0)			305.0	
Net income (loss)	\$ (749.2)	\$ 101.2	\$ (495.0)	\$ 393.8	\$ (749.2)

	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$	\$ 1,309.8	\$ 1,060.0	\$ (235.3)	\$ 2,134.5
Cost of sales		499.9	535.5	(220.7)	814.7

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Gross profit		809.9	524.5	(14.6)	1,319.8
Operating expenses		1,220.0	768.1		1,988.1
Operating loss		(410.1)	(243.6)	(14.6)	(668.3)
Other (income) expense, net	516.6	10.4		(1.0)	526.0
Loss before income taxes	(516.6)	(420.5)	(243.6)	(13.6)	(1,194.3)
Tax benefit		(141.2)	(85.3)	(3.6)	(230.1)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(437.6)			437.6	
Net income (loss)	\$ (954.2)	\$ (279.3)	\$ (158.3)	\$ 427.6	\$ (964.2)

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 13 Guarantor and Non-guarantor Financial Statements, Continued.**

	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$	\$ 185.1	\$ 82.5	\$ (18.8)	\$ 248.8
Cost of sales		60.8	46.5	(5.0)	102.3
Gross profit		124.3	36.0	(13.8)	146.5
Operating expenses		179.2	49.3	0.2	228.7
Operating loss		(54.9)	(13.3)	(14.0)	(82.2)
Other (income) expense, net		0.7	(1.0)		(0.3)
Loss before income taxes		(55.6)	(12.3)	(14.0)	(81.9)
Tax benefit		(24.6)	(2.5)	(0.2)	(27.3)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(40.8)			40.8	
Net income (loss)	\$ (40.8)	\$ (31.0)	\$ (9.8)	\$ 27.0	\$ (54.6)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantor	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (40.0)	\$ 391.5	\$ 99.9	\$ (129.9)	\$ 321.5
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	151.4	(466.9)	3.6	129.9	(182.0)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(111.4)		(48.5)		(159.9)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			(6.1)		(6.1)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(75.4)	48.9		(26.5)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		178.9	36.7		215.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 103.5	\$ 85.6	\$	\$ 189.1

	Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantor	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (746.2)	\$ 431.6	\$ 164.5	\$ 393.9	\$ 243.8
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	713.9	(353.7)	(161.2)	(393.9)	(194.9)
Cash flows provided by financing activities	32.3		10.2		42.5
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			(3.4)		(3.4)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		77.9	10.1		88.0
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		101.0	26.6		127.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 178.9	\$ 36.7	\$	\$ 215.6

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 13 Guarantor and Non-guarantor Financial Statements, Continued.**

	July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantor	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (866.0)	\$ 469.9	\$ 157.4	\$ 427.6	\$ 188.9
Cash flows used in investing activities	(10,089.0)	(493.8)	(186.0)	(953.0)	(11,721.8)
Cash flows provided by financing activities	11,481.6				11,481.6
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			2.0		2.0
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	526.6	(23.9)	(26.6)	(525.4)	(49.3)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		108.9	44.0	24.0	176.9
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 526.6	\$ 85.0	\$ 17.4	\$ (501.4)	\$ 127.6

	June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)				
	Biomet, Inc.	Guarantor	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (54.0)	\$ 13.7	\$ 30.3	\$ 69.4	\$ 59.4
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	52.7	21.8	(7.8)	(55.7)	11.0
Cash flows provided by financing activities	1.3				1.3
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			0.1		0.1
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		35.5	22.6	13.7	71.8
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		95.7	9.4		105.1
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 131.2	\$ 32.0	\$ 13.7	\$ 176.9

Note 14 Restructuring.

In fiscal 2009 the Company initiated a global cost savings program to better manage its cost base in response to the slowdown in consumer spending negatively affecting sales and operating margins and to improve overall operating effectiveness. The program included the termination of approximately 488 employees and the closure of certain manufacturing and distribution locations in fiscal 2009 and continuing through fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 14 Restructuring, Continued.**

In connection with the restructuring plan, the Company recorded \$6.2 million and \$11.0 million in employee severance costs during the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These restructuring charges were recorded within cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense. A summary of the severance and benefit costs in the periods presented is as follows:

	Employee Severance and Benefit Costs
Restructuring Accrual:	
Balance at May 31, 2008	\$
Costs incurred and charged to expense	11.0
Costs paid or otherwise settled	(5.7)
Non-cash adjustments (1)	0.3
Balance at May 31, 2009	5.6
Costs incurred and charged to expense	6.2
Costs paid or otherwise settled	(8.6)
Non-cash adjustments (1)	(0.4)
Balance at May 31, 2010	\$ 2.8

(1) Primarily related to foreign currency fluctuations, including the weakening of the euro against the U.S. Dollar. Payments related to severance and benefits are expected to be paid in full by the end of fiscal 2011.

There were no significant restructuring charges recognized by the Company prior to fiscal 2009.

The Company recorded a property, plant and equipment impairment charge of \$7.8 million during the year ended May 31, 2010, relating to the closure of its office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities located in Sjöbo, Sweden, and the closure of its manufacturing facility located in Parsippany, New Jersey. The amount recorded within cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense was \$6.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Note 15 Contingencies.***U.S. Department of Justice Consulting Agreement Investigation***

On September 27, 2007, the Company entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey. The agreement concluded the government's investigation into whether consulting agreements between the largest orthopedic manufacturers and orthopedic surgeons who use joint reconstruction and replacement products may have violated the federal Anti-Kickback Statute.

Through the agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed not to prosecute the Company in connection with this matter, provided that the Company satisfied its obligations under the agreement over the 18 months following the date of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. The agreement called for the appointment of an independent monitor to review the Company's compliance with the agreement, particularly in relation to its consulting agreements. On March 27, 2009, the Deferred Prosecution Agreement expired and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

As part of the resolution of this matter, the Company also entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The agreement requires the Company for five years subsequent to September 27, 2007 to continue to adhere to its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and certain other provisions, including reporting requirements.

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 15 Contingencies, Continued.

U.S. Department of Justice EBI Products Investigations and Other Matters

In February 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requesting various documents relating to agreements or arrangements between physicians and the Company's Interpore Cross subsidiary for the period from 1999 through the present and the marketing and sales activities associated with Interpore Cross spinal products. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the scope of the subpoena and intends to fully cooperate with the request of the Office of the Inspector General. The Company can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

In April 2009, the Company received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts requesting various documents relating primarily to the Medicare reimbursement of and certain business practices related to the Company's EBI subsidiary's non-invasive bone growth stimulators. It is the Company's understanding that competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market received similar subpoenas. The Company received subsequent subpoenas in connection with the investigation in September 2009 and June 2010 along with several informal requests for information. The Company is producing responsive documents and is fully cooperating in the investigation.

In April 2009, the Company became aware of a qui tam complaint alleging violations of the federal and various state False Claims Acts filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, where it is currently pending. The Company, its parent company LVB Acquisition, Inc., and several of the Company's competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market were named as defendants in this action. The allegations in the complaint are similar in nature to certain categories of requested documents in the above-referenced administrative subpoenas. The U.S. government has not intervened in the action. The Company is vigorously defending this matter and intends to continue to do so. The Company can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Informal Investigation

On September 25, 2007, the Company received a letter from the SEC informing the Company that it is conducting an informal investigation regarding possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in the sale of medical devices in certain foreign countries by companies in the medical devices industry. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom the Company regularly interacts, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. If the Company is found to have violated the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the Company may face sanctions including fines, criminal penalties, disgorgement of profits and suspension or debarment of the Company's ability to contract with government agencies or receive export licenses. On November 9, 2007, the Company received a letter from the Department of Justice requesting any information provided to the SEC be provided to the Department of Justice on a voluntary basis. The Company believes it has fully cooperated with both requests and the Company has conducted its own review relating to these matters in certain countries in which the Company and its distributors conduct business. The Company can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

Other Matters

On December 30, 2009, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH initiated legal proceedings in Germany against the Company and its subsidiary, Biomet Europe BV, alleging that the Company and Biomet Europe BV misappropriated Heraeus

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 15 Contingencies, Continued.**

Kulzer trade secrets when developing its new lines of European bone cements. The lawsuit seeks damages in excess of \$30 million and injunctive relief to preclude the Company from producing its current line of European bone cements. The Company has filed its response and is awaiting the first hearing on this matter. The Company can make no assurance as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

In late 2004 and early 2005, approximately 120 plaintiffs sued Dr. John King in the Circuit Court of Putnam County, West Virginia. Plaintiffs alleged that Dr. King was professionally negligent when he performed surgery on the plaintiffs at Putnam General Hospital in Putnam County, West Virginia between November 2002 and June 2003. On May 4, 2009, EBI entered into a mediation settlement memorandum of understanding with 24 of the 27 plaintiffs who brought suit against the Company to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs. The releases for the 24 plaintiffs have been finalized and executed and the cash settlement payments paid to date have been funded out of the Company's available cash balances and were paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The settlement did not encompass the three remaining lawsuits relating to Dr. King and EBI's Ion[®] Spine Spacer System in which EBI is a named defendant. On February 12, 2010, EBI reached an agreement in principle with the three remaining plaintiffs to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs and subsequently entered into settlement agreements with each of the plaintiffs. The settlement agreements provide that each of the three plaintiffs fully release EBI as a condition to receipt of the confidential settlement payments, which amounts have been previously accrued by the Company as part of its reserve for this matter and have been paid out of its available cash balances. The settlement agreements contain no admission of wrongdoing by the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

There are various other claims, lawsuits, disputes with third parties, investigations and pending actions involving various allegations against the Company incident to the operation of its business, principally product liability and intellectual property cases. Each of these matters is subject to various uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these matters may be resolved unfavorably to Biomet. The Company accrues for losses that are deemed to be probable and subject to reasonable estimate. Based on the advice of the Company's counsel in these matters, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters and any liabilities in excess of amounts provided will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Note 16 Related Parties.**Management Services Agreement**

Upon completion of the Transactions, the Company entered into a management services agreement with certain affiliates of the Sponsors, pursuant to which such affiliates of the Sponsors or their successors assigns, affiliates, officers, employees, and/or representatives and third parties (collectively, the Managers) provide management, advisory, and consulting services to the Company. Pursuant to such agreement, the Managers received a transaction fee equal to 1% of total enterprise value of the Transactions for the services rendered by such entities related to the Transactions upon entering into the agreement, and the Sponsors receive an annual monitoring fee equal to 1% of the Company's annual adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the credit agreement) as compensation for the services rendered and reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred by the Managers in connection with the agreement and the Transactions. The Company is required to pay the Sponsors the monitoring fee on a quarterly basis in arrears. The total amount of Sponsor fees was \$10.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, \$11.6 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, and \$10.6 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. There were no Sponsor fees for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. The Company may also pay certain subsequent fees to the Managers for advice rendered in connection with financings or refinancings (equity or debt), acquisitions, dispositions, spin-offs, split-offs, dividends, recapitalizations, an initial underwritten public offering and change of control transactions involving the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The management services agreement includes customary exculpation and

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 16 Related Parties, Continued.

indemnification provisions in favor of the Managers and their affiliates. Due to the large portfolios of the Sponsors, the Company and its employees may have transactions with the Sponsors and certain affiliates of the Sponsors independent of transactions described above.

Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Holding

On September 27, 2007, the Sponsor Funds entered into an amended and restated limited liability company operating agreement, or the LLC Agreement, in respect of Holding. The LLC Agreement contains agreements among the parties with respect to the election of the Company's directors and the directors of its parent companies, restrictions on the issuance or transfer of interests in the Company and other corporate governance provisions (including the right to approve various corporate actions).

Pursuant to the LLC Agreement, each of the Sponsors has the right to nominate, and has nominated, two directors to the Company's Board of Directors and also is entitled to appoint one non-voting observer to the Board of Directors for so long as such Sponsor remains a member of Holding. In addition to their right to appoint non-voting observers to the Board of Directors, certain of the Sponsor Funds have certain other management rights to the extent that any such Sponsor Fund is required to operate as a venture capital operating company as defined in the regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor at Section 2510.3-101 of Part 2510 of Chapter XXV, Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulations. Each Sponsor's right to nominate directors is freely assignable to funds affiliated with such Sponsor, and is assignable to non-affiliates of such Sponsor only if the assigning Sponsor transfers its entire interest in Holding not previously transferred and only with the prior written consent of the Sponsors holding at least 70% of the membership interests in Holding, or requisite Sponsor consent. In addition to their rights under the LLC Agreement, the Sponsors may also appoint one or more persons unaffiliated with any of the Sponsors to the Board of Directors. Following Purchaser's purchase of the Shares tendered in the Offer, the Sponsors jointly appointed Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. and Jeffrey R. Binder to the Board of Directors in addition to the two directors appointed by each of the Sponsors.

Pursuant to the LLC Agreement, each director has one vote for purposes of any Board of Directors action, and all decisions of the Board of Directors require the approval of a majority of the directors designated by the Sponsors. In addition, the LLC Agreement provides that certain major decisions regarding the Company or its parent companies require the requisite Sponsor consent.

The LLC Agreement includes certain customary agreements with respect to restrictions on the issuance or transfer of interests in the Company, including preemptive rights, tag-along rights and drag-along rights.

The Co-Investors have also been admitted as members of Holding, both directly and through Sponsor controlled investment vehicles. Although the Co-Investors are therefore parties to the LLC Agreement, they have no rights with respect to the election of the Company's directors or the approval of its corporate actions.

The Sponsors have also caused Holding and Parent to enter into an agreement with the Company obligating the Company and Parent to take all actions necessary to give effect to the corporate governance, preemptive rights, transfer restriction and certain other provisions of the LLC Agreement, and prohibiting the Company and Parent from taking any actions that would be inconsistent with such provisions of the LLC Agreement.

Registration Rights Agreement

The Sponsor Funds and the Co-Investors also entered into a registration rights agreement with Holding, Parent and the Company upon the closing of the Transactions. Pursuant to this agreement, the Sponsor Funds have the power to cause Holding, Parent and the Company to register their, the Co-Investors' and certain other persons' equity interests under the Securities Act and to maintain a shelf registration statement effective with

Table of Contents**Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****Note 16 Related Parties, Continued.**

respect to such interests. The agreement also entitles the Sponsor Funds and the Co-Investors to participate in any future registration of equity interests under the Securities Act that Holding, Parent or the Company may undertake.

Consulting Agreements

On May 8, 2006, Biomet, Inc. entered into a Separation, Release and Consultancy Agreement with Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. (the Miller Agreement). As previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2006, pursuant to the terms of the Miller Agreement, Dr. Miller received \$4.0 million on October 1, 2006, \$0.5 million on November 30, 2006 and has received \$0.5 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter through the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compensation for his consulting services. Also pursuant to the Miller Agreement, Dr. Miller was reimbursed for out-of-pocket fees and expenses relating to an off-site office and administrative support, in an amount of \$0.1 million per year, ending on August 31, 2009. Dr. Miller received the final payment during the fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2010 for \$0.5 million. On January 14, 2010, the Company entered into a new consulting agreement with Dr. Miller, pursuant to which it will pay Dr. Miller a consulting fee of \$0.25 million per fiscal year for Dr. Miller's consulting services and will reimburse Dr. Miller for out-of-pocket fees and expenses relating to an off-site office and administrative support in an amount of \$0.1 million per year. The term of the agreement extends through the earlier of September 1, 2011, an initial public offering or a change of control. The agreement also contains certain restrictive covenants prohibiting Dr. Miller from competing with the Company and soliciting employees of the Company during the term of the agreement and for a period of one year following such term. The total amount paid to Dr. Miller under the new consulting agreement during the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$0.4 million.

On July 13, 2010, Biomet, Inc. entered into a Retirement and Consulting Agreement with Roger Van Broeck (the Van Broeck Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Van Broeck Agreement, Biomet will pay Mr. Van Broeck 250 per hour, or a maximum of 2,000 per day, as compensation for his consulting services. In addition, Mr. Van Broeck will be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses related to approved travel in connection with his consulting services. The Van Broeck Agreement contains certain restrictive covenants prohibiting Mr. Van Broeck from competing with the Company and soliciting employees of the Company during the term of the Van Broeck Agreement, which extends through the earlier of September 1, 2012, an initial public offering or a change of control, and for a period of one year following such term.

Indemnification Priority Agreement

On January 11, 2010, the Company and LVB Acquisition, Inc. entered into an indemnification priority agreement with the Sponsors (or certain affiliates designated by the Sponsors) pursuant to which the Company and LVB Acquisition, Inc. clarified certain matters regarding the existing indemnification and advancement of expenses rights provided by the Company and LVB Acquisition, Inc. pursuant to their respective charters and the management services agreement described above. In particular, pursuant to the terms of the indemnification agreement, the Company acknowledged that as among the Company, LVB Acquisition, Inc. and the Sponsors and their respective affiliates, the obligation to indemnify or advance expenses to any director appointed by any of the Sponsors will be payable in the following priority: The Company will be the primary source of indemnification and advancement; LVB Acquisition, Inc. will be the secondary source of indemnification and advancement; and any obligation of a Sponsor-affiliated indemnitor to indemnify or advance expenses to such director will be tertiary to the Company's and, then, LVB Acquisition, Inc. obligations. In the event that either the Company or LVB Acquisition, Inc. fails to indemnify or advance expenses to any such director in contravention of its obligations, and any Sponsor-affiliated indemnitor makes any indemnification payment or advancement of expenses to such director on account of such unpaid liability, such Sponsor-affiliated indemnitor will be subrogated to the rights of such director under any such Company or LVB Acquisition, Inc. indemnification agreement.

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 16 Related Parties, Continued.

Equity Healthcare

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company entered into an employer health program agreement with Equity Healthcare LLC (Equity Healthcare). Equity Healthcare negotiates with providers of standard administrative services for health benefit plans as well as other related services for cost discounts and quality of service monitoring capability by Equity Healthcare. Because of the combined purchasing power of its client participants, Equity Healthcare is able to negotiate pricing terms for providers that are believed to be more favorable than the companies could obtain for themselves on an individual basis.

In consideration for Equity Healthcare's provision of access to these favorable arrangements and its monitoring of the contracted third parties delivery of contracted services to the Company, the Company pays Equity Healthcare a fee of \$2 per participating employee per month (PEPM Fee). As of May 31, 2010, the Company had approximately 3,300 employees enrolled in its health benefit plans in the United States.

Equity Healthcare may also receive a fee (Health Plan Fees) from one or more of the health plans with whom Equity Healthcare has contractual arrangements if the total number of employees joining such health plans from participating companies exceeds specified thresholds. If and when Equity Healthcare reaches the point at which the aggregate of its receipts from the PEPM Fee and the Health Plan Fees have covered all of its allocated costs, it will apply the incremental revenues derived from all such fees to (a) reduce the PEPM Fee otherwise payable by the Company; (b) avoid or reduce an increase in the PEPM Fee that might otherwise have occurred on contract renewal; or (c) arrange for additional services to the Company at no cost or reduced cost.

Equity Healthcare is an affiliate of Blackstone, with whom Michael Dal Bello and David McVeigh, members of the Company's Board of Directors, are affiliated and in which they may have an indirect pecuniary interest.

Core Trust Purchasing Group Participation Agreement

Effective May 1, 2007, the Company entered into a 5-year participation agreement (Participation Agreement) with Core Trust Purchasing Group, a division of HealthTrust Purchasing Corporation (CPG), designating CPG as the Company's exclusive group purchasing organization for the purchase of certain products and services from third party vendors. CPG secures from vendors pricing terms for goods and services that are believed to be more favorable than participants in the group purchasing organization could obtain for themselves on an individual basis. Under the participation agreement, the Company must purchase 80% of the requirements of its participating locations for core categories of specified products and services, from vendors participating in the group purchasing arrangement with CPG or CPG may terminate the contract. In connection with purchases by its participants (including the Company), CPG receives a commission from the vendors in respect of such purchases.

Although CPG is not affiliated with Blackstone, in consideration for Blackstone's facilitating the Company's participation in CPG and monitoring the services CPG provides to the Company, CPG remits a portion of the commissions received from vendors in respect of the Company's purchases under the Participation Agreement to an affiliate of Blackstone, with whom Michael Dal Bello and David McVeigh, members of the Company's Board of Directors, are affiliated and in which they may have an indirect pecuniary interest.

Table of Contents

Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Note 16 Related Parties, Continued.

Other

The Company currently holds interest rate swaps with Goldman Sachs. As part of this relationship, the Company receives information from Goldman Sachs that allows it to perform a regression on the swaps as part of its required effectiveness testing on a quarterly basis.

Biomet, Inc., its subsidiaries, affiliates, employees and direct and indirect controlling stockholders may from time to time, depending upon market conditions, seek to purchase debt securities issued by the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates in open market or privately negotiated transactions or by other means.

Periodically, the Company charters a plane indirectly owned by Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. through RAI Jets, LLC, for Biomet business related use. During year ended May 31, 2010, the Company paid \$0.1 million related to these charters at market charter rates. There were no payments made during the year ended May 31, 2009.

Capital Contributions

The Company repurchased common shares of its parent company of \$1.7 million, \$0.9 million, and \$2.8 million for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and for the period June 1, 2007 through June 11, 2007, respectively, from former employees pursuant to the LVB Acquisition, Inc. Management Stockholders Agreement. There were no repurchases of common shares for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008.

During the year ended May 31, 2009, the Company received additional capital contributions of \$3.7 million from its parent company from the purchase of common stock of LVB Acquisition, Inc. by certain members of management and certain third party distributors. The Company did not receive capital contributions for the year ended May 31, 2010. During the 2008 fiscal year, the Company received a capital contribution from its parent company by trusts affiliated with Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. and Mary Louise Miller in the amount of \$120.0 million. The Company also received an additional capital contribution of \$14.4 million from its parent company from the participation of management under the LVB Acquisition Inc. Management Stockholders Agreement.

Note 17 Subsequent Events.

FDA Warning Letter

On July 28, 2010, the Company received a warning letter from the FDA regarding the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, alleging that the Company does not have appropriate clearance or approval to market the system in the United States. While the Company believes that it has been legally marketing the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, which is manufactured by Materialise, and intends to continue to offer the Signature System pending further discussions with the FDA regarding the clearance of the system, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with the Company's position.

Cytosol Acquisition

On June 30, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all the assets of Cytosol Laboratories, Inc. (Cytosol), located in Braintree, Massachusetts, a market leader in production of small volume anticoagulants. Cytosol was founded in 1968 to develop anticoagulants and other products to aid in the processing of blood components. The company has three proprietary products with New Drug Application approvals: TriCitrasol[®], noClot-50 and Rejuvesol[®]. TriCitrasol[®] is used for anticoagulation during granulocytapheresis, noClot-50 is used as an anticoagulant in extracorporeal blood processing in the preparation of platelet rich plasma, and Rejuvesol[®] is used for the rejuvenation of stored, frozen red blood cells prior to transfusion. The purchase price of \$8.7 million was paid on June 30, 2010. The purchase will be accounted for as an acquisition of a business.

Table of Contents**Financial Statement Schedules****Biomet, Inc. and Subsidiaries Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

For the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (in millions):

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions		Deductions	Balance at End of Year
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts		
Allowance for doubtful receivables:					
For the year ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	\$ 48.9	\$ 22.8 (D)	\$ (11.3) (B) (D)	\$ (19.8) (A)	\$ 40.6
For the year ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	\$ 80.8	\$ 21.9	\$ (1.5) (B)	\$ (52.3) (A)	\$ 48.9
For the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 (Successor)	\$ 76.7	\$ 27.3	\$ 1.2 (B)	\$ (24.4) (A)	\$ 80.8
For the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)	\$ 84.1	\$ 2.3	\$	\$ (9.7) (A)	\$ 76.7
Excess and obsolete inventory reserves:					
For the year ended May 31, 2010 (Successor)	\$ 154.3	\$ 22.1	\$ (8.6) (B)	\$ (32.2) (C)	\$ 135.6
For the year ended May 31, 2009 (Successor)	\$ 164.8	\$ 79.1	\$ (7.7) (B)	\$ (81.9) (C)	\$ 154.3
For the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 (Successor)	\$ 152.4	\$ 31.9	\$ 5.5 (B)	\$ (25.0) (C)	\$ 164.8
For the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)	\$ 153.4	\$ 23.2	\$	\$ (24.2) (C)	\$ 152.4

Notes:

(A) Uncollectible accounts written off.

- (B) Effect of foreign currency translation.

- (C) Inventory written off.

- (D) For the year ended May 31, 2010, \$38.9 million of net accounts receivables related to Greece were reclassified to long-term assets due to the proposal of the Greece government to settle certain debts with the issuance of zero-coupon bonds not expected to be settled in the next twelve months. These net accounts receivables included \$8.4 million of Greece allowance for doubtful receivables, which is included above in the effect of foreign currency translation amount, and also included above in the deductions amount.

Table of Contents**Quarterly Results (UNAUDITED)**

<i>(in millions)</i>	Quarter ended				Fiscal year ended
	August 31, 2009	November 30, 2009	February 28, 2010	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2010
2010					
Net sales	\$ 630.1	\$ 695.6	\$ 669.8	\$ 702.5	\$ 2,698.0
Gross profit	444.8	482.0	475.1	476.2	1,878.1
Net loss	(22.8)	(7.2)	(3.1)	(14.5)	(47.6)

<i>(in millions)</i>	Quarter ended				Fiscal year ended
	August 31, 2008	November 30, 2008	February 28, 2009	May 31, 2009	May 31, 2009
2009					
Net sales	\$ 607.0	\$ 642.8	\$ 615.0	\$ 639.3	\$ 2,504.1
Gross profit	425.5	447.9	428.9	373.4	1,675.7
Net loss	(59.9)	(39.7)	(478.7)	(170.9)	(749.2)
Fiscal 2009					

Net loss for the third quarter of fiscal 2009 was impacted by a preliminary goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge of \$448.5 million associated with the dental reconstructive business unit. Net loss for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 was impacted by the goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge adjustment of \$102.6 million to finalize the impairment charge for the year ended May 31, 2009.

As previously disclosed, the Company's EBI subsidiary was a named defendant in 27 lawsuits in the Circuit Court of Putnam County, West Virginia, relating to alleged professional negligence by Dr. John King in connection with the implantation of EBI's Ioni[®] Spine Spacer System and its bone stimulator devices, the SpF[®] Spine Fusion Stimulator and OsteoGen[®] Bone Growth Stimulator. On May 4, 2009, EBI entered into a mediation settlement memorandum of understanding with 24 of the 27 plaintiffs to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs. As a result of the memorandum of understanding, the Company increased its reserve by \$60.5 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 with respect to its probable and estimated exposure in the cases relating to Dr. King. The releases for the 24 plaintiffs have been finalized and executed and the cash settlement payments paid to date have been funded out of the Company's available cash balances and were paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The settlement did not encompass the three remaining lawsuits relating to Dr. King and EBI's Ioni[®] Spine Spacer System in which EBI is a named defendant. On February 12, 2010, EBI reached an agreement in principle with the three remaining plaintiffs to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs and subsequently entered into settlement agreements with each of the plaintiffs. The settlement agreements provide that each of the three plaintiffs fully release EBI as a condition to receipt of the confidential settlement payments, which amounts have been previously accrued by the Company as part of its reserve for this matter and have been paid out of its available cash balances. The settlement agreements contain no admission of wrongdoing by the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act")) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company,

Table of Contents

including the Company's consolidated entities, in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer (the Principal Executive Officer) and the Chief Financial Officer (the Principal Financial Officer), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Prior to the filing of this report, the Company completed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of senior management, including the Company's Principal Executive Officer and its Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of May 31, 2010. Based on this evaluation, Biomet's Principal Executive Officer and its Principal Financial Officer concluded that Biomet's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of May 31, 2010.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Management of Biomet is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Biomet's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Biomet; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of Biomet are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of Biomet's assets that could have a material effect on the interim or annual consolidated financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Biomet's management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of Biomet's internal control over financial reporting as of May 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria established in the report entitled "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO Report). Management concluded that Biomet did maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of May 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in the COSO Report.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

(c) Changes in Internal Control. There were no changes in Biomet's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Biomet's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Part III.

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.
Directors**

The following information sets forth, with respect to each individual, the name, age as of July 31, 2010, business address and current principal occupation or employment, and business experience for the past five years of Biomet's Board of Directors.

Jeffrey R. Binder, age 47 Director since 2007

Mr. Binder has been President and Chief Executive Officer since February 2007. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Binder served as Senior Vice President of Diagnostic Operations of Abbott Laboratories from January 2006 to February 2007. Mr. Binder previously served as President of Abbott Spine from June 2003 to January 2006, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Spinal Concepts from 2000 to June 2003.

Jonathan J. Coslet, age 45 Director since 2007

Mr. Coslet has been a Partner of TPG since 1993 and is currently a senior partner and member of the firm's Executive, Management and Investment Committees. Mr. Coslet serves on the board of directors of IASIS Healthcare Corp., The Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., J. Crew Group, Inc., PETCO Animal Supplies, Inc. and Quintiles Transnational Corp.

Michael Dal Bello, age 39 Director since 2007

Mr. Dal Bello is a Managing Director in the Private Equity Group of The Blackstone Group and has been with Blackstone since 2002. Mr. Dal Bello serves on the board of directors of Alliant, Apria Healthcare Group, Catalent Pharma Solutions, Inc., Sithe Global Power, LLC, Team Finance LLC and Vanguard Health Systems, Inc.

Adrian Jones, age 46 Director since 2007

Mr. Jones has been a Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs & Co. since 2002 and has worked at Goldman, Sachs & Co. since 1994. Mr. Jones serves on the board of directors of Dollar General Corporation, Education Management Corporation, HealthMarkets, Inc, and Signature Hospitals Corp.

Stephen Ko, age 37 Director since 2009

Mr. Ko is a Director at KKR and has been at KKR since 2005. Prior to joining KKR, Mr. Ko was with the Private Equity Group of The Blackstone Group. Mr. Ko serves on the board of directors of Sealy Corporation and Weld North LLC.

David McVeigh, age 43 Director since 2007

Mr. McVeigh is an executive director at Blackstone in the private equity group. Mr. McVeigh joined Blackstone in 2006 from McKinsey & Company, where he spent 12 years and was a partner. At McKinsey, Mr. McVeigh was one of the leaders of the North American Chemicals practice and the Northeast Energy and Materials practice. Mr. McVeigh serves on the board of directors of HealthMarkets, Inc. and RGIS, LLC.

Table of Contents

Michael Michelson, age 59 Director since 2007
 Mr. Michelson has been a member of the limited liability company that serves as the general partner of KKR since 1996 and, prior thereto, was a general partner of KKR. Mr. Michelson serves on the board of directors of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and HCA, Inc.

Dane A. Miller, Ph.D., age 64 Director since 2007
 Dr. Miller is one of our four founders and served as our President, Chief Executive Officer and a director from 1977 until 2006. Dr. Miller serves on the board of directors of 1st Source Corporation, ForeTravel, Inc., the Indiana Economic Development Corporation, the University of Chicago Health Systems and the World Craniofacial Foundation.

Andrew Y. Rhee, age 33 Director since 2009
 Mr. Rhee is a Vice President in the Merchant Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co., where he has worked since 2005. Previously, Mr. Rhee worked in the firm's Investment Banking Division and Fixed Income, Currency and Commodities Division from 1998-2003, and at Viking Global Investors L.P. in 2004.

Todd Sisitsky, age 38 Director since 2007
 Mr. Sisitsky has been a Partner of TPG since 2007. From 2003 until 2007, he was an Investor at TPG. From 2001 until 2003, he was an Investor/Associate at Forstmann Little & Co. Mr. Sisitsky serves on the board of directors of IASIS Healthcare Corp., Fenwal, Inc., Surgical Care Affiliates, IMS Health and Axcan Pharma.

Biomet's Board of Directors consists of ten directors. Pursuant to the amended and restated limited liability company agreement of Holding, each of Biomet's Sponsors has the right to nominate, and have nominated, two directors to serve on the Board of Directors. Following Purchaser's purchase of the Biomet's shares tendered in the Offer, the Sponsors jointly appointed Dr. Miller and Jeffrey R. Binder to the Board of Directors in addition to the two directors appointed by each of the Sponsors. Biomet's Board of Directors presently considers none of our directors to be independent (as independence is defined by Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC marketplace rules). As discussed in Executive Compensation below, following the Transactions Biomet's common stock was no longer listed on the NASDAQ National Market. For more information regarding the rights of the Sponsors to nominate directors and other related arrangements, see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC. Because of these requirements, together with Parent's 100% ownership of our common stock, we do not currently have a policy or procedures with respect to shareholder recommendations for nominees to our Board of Directors.

Each of Messrs. Coslet, Dal Bello, Jones, Ko, McVeigh, Michelson, Rhee and Sisitsky is a partner, member or employee of an entity affiliated with one of the investment funds that indirectly own all of the equity interests in LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC and generally is entitled to be indemnified by such entity for his service on Biomet's Board pursuant to such entities' governing documents or other arrangements, in each case in accordance with such entities' policies.

None of the directors (other than Mr. Binder) currently holds any position with Biomet. Except as described below, none of the directors or any of his or her affiliates (1) has a familial relationship with any directors or executive officers of Biomet or (2) has been involved in any transactions with Biomet or any of its directors, officers or affiliates which are required to be disclosed pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, except as may be disclosed herein.

Table of Contents

Director Qualifications

Messrs. Coslet, Dal Bello, Jones, Ko, McVeigh, Michelson, Rhee and Sisitsky were appointed to the Board as a consequence of their respective relationships with investment funds affiliated with the Sponsors. They are collectively referred to as the Sponsor Directors. Messrs. Binder and Miller are collectively referred to as the Management Directors.

When considering whether the Board's directors and nominees have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, taken as a whole, to enable the Board to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of our business and structure, the Board focused primarily on the information discussed in each of the Board members' and nominees' biographical information set forth above.

Each of the Company's directors and director nominees possesses high ethical standards, acts with integrity, and exercises careful, mature judgment. Each is committed to employing their skills and abilities to aid the long-term interests of our stakeholders. In addition, our directors are knowledgeable and experienced in one or more business, governmental or civic endeavors, which further qualifies them for service as members of the Board. Alignment with our stockholders is important in building value at Biomet over time.

Each of the Sponsor Directors was elected to the Board pursuant to the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Holding. Pursuant to such agreement, Messrs. Coslet and Sisitsky were appointed to the Board as a consequence of their respective relationships with TPG Capital, Messrs. Michelson and Ko were appointed to the Board as a consequence of their respective relationships with Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., Messrs. McVeigh and Dal Bello were appointed to the Board as a consequence of their respective relationships with The Blackstone Group, and Messrs. Jones and Rhee were appointed to the Board as a consequence of their respective relationships with Goldman Sachs & Co.

As a group, the Sponsor Directors possess experience in owning and managing enterprises like the Company and are familiar with corporate finance, strategic business planning activities and issues involving stakeholders more generally.

The Management Directors bring leadership, extensive business, operating and policy experience, and tremendous knowledge of Biomet and our industry, to the Board. In addition, the Management Directors bring their broad strategic vision for Biomet to the Board. Mr. Binder's service as the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Mr. Miller's long-time former service as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer creates a critical link between management and the Board, enabling the Board to perform its oversight function with the benefits of management's perspectives on the business. In addition, having the Chief Executive Officer on our Board provides Biomet with ethical, decisive and effective leadership.

The Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Holding provides that each Sponsor has the right to designate two directors, and that the Board will include Biomet's chief executive officer and one independent director who is approved by the holders of at least 70% of the membership units of Holding held by the Sponsors. Any directors nominated to fill the directorships selected by the Sponsors are chosen by the applicable Sponsor.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Audit Committee is composed of Stephen Ko, David McVeigh, Dane A. Miller, Ph.D., Andrew Rhee and Todd Sisitsky. In light of our status as a privately held company and the absence of a public listing or trading market for our common stock, our Board has not designated any member of the Audit Committee as an audit committee financial expert. Though not formally considered by our Board given that our securities are not traded on any national securities exchange, based upon the listing standards of the NASDAQ National Market, the national securities exchange upon which our common stock was listed prior to the Merger, we do not believe

Table of Contents

that any of Messrs. Ko, McVeigh, Rhee or Sisitsky would be considered independent because of their relationships with certain affiliates of the Sponsors which hold significant interests in Holding, which indirectly owns more than 95% of our outstanding common stock, and, in the case of Dr. Miller, other relationships with us. See Item 13, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of our executive officers as of July 31, 2010.

Name	Age	Position
Jeffrey R. Binder	47	President and Chief Executive Officer
Daniel P. Florin	46	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Glen A. Kashuba	47	Senior Vice President; President of Biomet Trauma and Biomet Spine
Gregory W. Sasso	48	Senior Vice President; President of Biomet SBU Operations
Jon C. Serbousek	49	Senior Vice President; President of Biomet Orthopedics, LLC
Maggie Anderson	45	Senior Vice President; President of Biomet 3i, LLC
Renaat Vermeulen	53	Senior Vice President; President of Biomet Europe, Middle East and Africa
Bradley J. Tandy	51	Senior Vice President; General Counsel and Secretary
Peggy Taylor	54	Senior Vice President; Human Resources
Robert E. Durgin	51	Senior Vice President; Quality, Regulatory and Clinical Affairs
Robin T. Barney	49	Senior Vice President; World Wide Operations
Sujata Dayal	47	Corporate Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer

Jeffrey R. Binder has been a director and President and Chief Executive Officer since February 2007. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Binder served as Senior Vice President of Diagnostic Operations of Abbott Laboratories from January 2006 to February 2007. Mr. Binder previously served as President of Abbott Spine from June 2003 to January 2006, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Spinal Concepts from 2000 to June 2003.

Daniel P. Florin has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since June 2007. Prior thereto, Mr. Florin served as Vice President and Corporate Controller for Boston Scientific Corporation since 2001. Prior to being appointed as Corporate Controller in 2001, Mr. Florin served in financial leadership positions within Boston Scientific Corporation and its various business units since July 1995.

Glen A. Kashuba has been Senior Vice President and President of Biomet Trauma and Biomet Spine since April 2007. Prior thereto, Mr. Kashuba served as Worldwide President of Cordis Endovascular, a division of Johnson & Johnson. Mr. Kashuba had been with Johnson & Johnson since 1998, also holding the positions of Worldwide President of Codman Neuro Science (from December 2002 to November 2005) and U.S. President of DePuy AcroMed, now known as DePuy Spine.

Gregory W. Sasso has been Senior Vice President; President of Biomet SBU Operations since June 2007. Prior thereto, Mr. Sasso served as Senior Vice President Corporate Development and Communications since June 2006. Prior thereto, he was Vice President Corporate Development and Communications from April 1997 to June 2006.

Table of Contents

Jon C. Serbousek has been Senior Vice President; President of Biomet Orthopedics, LLC since March 2008. For the previous eight years, Mr. Serbousek held diverse general management roles with Medtronic in the areas of Spinal Reconstruction, International, New Technology Development and most recently, worldwide Vice-President and General Manager, Biologics.

Maggie Anderson has been Senior Vice President; President of Biomet 3i, LLC since August 6, 2009. Prior to that she was a Director at TPG Capital from 2006 to 2009 and a Director at AlixPartners from 2001 to 2006. Ms. Anderson started her career as an engineer at General Motors Powertrain Division, and took roles of increasing responsibility there in operations and new product development from 1988 to 1998.

Renaat Vermeulen has been Senior Vice President; President of Biomet EMEA since July 2010. Prior thereto, Mr. Vermeulen has since his arrival at the Company in 1994 has held many positions of increasing responsibility until his most recent position of Vice President Sales, Marketing and R&D, Biomet Europe.

Bradley J. Tandy has been Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since April 2007. Prior thereto, Mr. Tandy served as Senior Vice President, Acting General Counsel and Secretary from January 2007 to April 2007, and Senior Vice President, Acting General Counsel, Secretary and Corporate Compliance Officer from March 2006 to January 2007. Mr. Tandy previously served as Vice President, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Compliance Officer at Biomet, Inc. from January 1999 to March 2006.

Peggy Taylor has been Senior Vice President, Human Resources since August 2007. Prior thereto, Ms. Taylor served as Vice President of Human Resources for the Diagnostics Division of Abbott Laboratories from April 2000 to August 2007.

Robert E. Durgin has been Senior Vice President, Quality/Regulatory/Clinical Affairs since January 2009. Prior thereto, Mr. Durgin served as Corporate Vice President, Global Quality/Clinical/Regulatory Affairs from June 2007 to January 2009, and Corporate Vice President, Global Regulatory Affairs from May 2006 to June 2007. Mr. Durgin previously served as Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance from September 2003 to May 2006 and in positions in Biomet's legal department from June 1998 to September 2003.

Robin T. Barney has been Senior Vice President, World Wide Operations since September, 2008. Prior to joining Biomet in 2007, Ms. Barney served as Vice President, Worldwide Operations of DePuy, a Johnson & Johnson company. Ms. Barney joined Johnson & Johnson in 1992 and held various leadership roles within Operations for their Codman & Shurtleff, DePuy Orthopedics and DePuy Spine units.

Sujata Dayal has been Corporate Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer since February 2009. Prior thereto, Ms. Dayal was a Partner at Karmact, LLC, a regulatory and compliance consulting firm from July 2008 to February 2009. Prior thereto, she was an Ethics and Compliance Officer - Pharmaceutical Products, Abbot Laboratories from September 2003 to May 2008.

Code of Ethics

We have a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics which is applicable to all of our directors, officers and team members (the Code of Conduct). The Code of Conduct is available on the Corporate Compliance pages of our website at www.biomet.com. To the extent required pursuant to applicable SEC regulations, we intend to post amendments to or waivers of our Code of Conduct (to the extent applicable to our chief executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer) at this location on our website or report the same on a Current Report on Form 8-K. Our Code of Conduct is available free of charge upon request to our Investor Relations Department at 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, IN 46582.

Table of Contents

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Introduction

Compensation and related matters during the 2010 fiscal year were reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committees of Parent and our Board of Directors which we refer to, collectively or individually as the context requires, as the Compensation Committee.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section includes information regarding, among other things, the overall objectives of our compensation programs and each element of compensation that we provided, in each case with respect to the 2010 fiscal year. The goal of this section is to provide a summary of our executive compensation practices and the decisions that we made during this period concerning the compensation package payable to our executive officers, including the five executives in the Summary Compensation Table. Each of the five executives listed in the Summary Compensation Table is referred to herein as a named executive officer. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the detailed tables and narrative descriptions under Executive Compensation Tables below.

Compensation Methodology

During the 2010 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee was responsible for administering the compensation and benefit programs for our team members, including our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee annually reviews and evaluates cash compensation and equity award recommendations for our executive officers along with the rationale for such recommendations, as well as summary information regarding the aggregate compensation provided to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee examines these recommendations in relation to our overall objectives and risk profile. Our President and Chief Executive Officer was not a member of the Compensation Committee during the 2010 fiscal year and did not participate in the decisions as to his compensation package.

The most significant development in our executive compensation philosophy following the consummation of the Transactions, including during the 2010 fiscal year, has been a greater emphasis on correlating compensation to long-term equity growth. The Compensation Committee has provided significant equity investment opportunities in our Parent tied to financial objectives through (1) offering certain of our employees one-time opportunities to purchase shares of Parent at a purchase price equal to the higher of fair market value and \$10.00 per share (subject to the employee's execution of a Management Stockholders Agreement, as described below under The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Stock Options and Leveraged Share Awards) and (2) granting of options to purchase shares of Parent, and has modified the structure of non-equity awards to provide greater incentives for management performance. The Compensation Committee's decisions for the 2010 fiscal year were made after considering compensation data of an informal peer group comprised of privately owned portfolio companies of the Sponsors and other companies in the orthopedics industry, including Zimmer Holdings Inc., Stryker Corp., and Medtronic, Inc. We refer to this group of companies throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K as our informal peer group. However, the Compensation Committee did not engage in formal benchmarking as part of this informal review in making compensation decisions. In addition, as more fully discussed below, our annual non-equity incentive program has been redesigned in an effort to more closely align awards to our and our executives' performance. The philosophy and target levels of each of the other compensation elements, including base salary, perquisites, health and welfare and retirement benefits during the 2010 fiscal year have largely continued to correspond to the levels of such awards, as compared to our informal peer group, for periods prior to the Transactions.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation practices are affected by the highly competitive nature of the orthopedics industry and the location of our executive offices in Warsaw, Indiana. The fact that a number of the leading orthopedic manufacturers in the world have significant operations in and around Warsaw, Indiana means that there are continuing opportunities for experienced orthopedic executives who reside in this area. On the other hand, the fact that Warsaw, Indiana, is a small town in a predominantly rural area can present challenges to attracting executive talent from other industries and parts of the country.

Our executive compensation policies and practices during the 2010 fiscal year reflected the compensation philosophies of our founders and were designed to help achieve the superior performance of our executive officers and management team by accomplishing the following goals:

attracting, retaining and rewarding highly qualified and productive persons;

relating compensation to company, business unit and individual performance;

encouraging strong performance without incentivizing inappropriate or excessive risk-taking;

establishing compensation levels that are internally equitable and externally competitive; and

encouraging an ownership interest and instilling a sense of pride in Biomet.

This compensation methodology was based upon one of our founding philosophies: equity incentives in the form of stock options are an excellent motivation for all team members, including executive officers, and serve to align the interests of team members, management and our equity investors.

Based on these objectives, the compensation package of our executive officers during the 2010 fiscal year was intended to meet each of the following three criteria: (1) market levels competitive with companies of similar size and performance to us, such as the companies discussed above as our informal peer group; (2) performance based, at risk pay that is based on both short and long-term goals; and (3) incentives that are structured to create alignment between our equity investors and executives.

The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program

As a result of our compensation philosophies and objectives, the compensation package of our executive officers during the 2010 fiscal year consisted of five primary elements: (1) base salary, (2) non-equity incentive plan awards, (3) stock options and leveraged share awards, (4) participation in employee benefit plans, and (5) deferred compensation elections.

Base Salary. Consistent with prior fiscal years, our practice during the 2010 fiscal year was to provide base salaries at rates that we believed to be comparable with the rates paid to executives with companies of similar size and performance to us, such as the companies discussed above as our informal peer group, in each case with responsibilities similar to the responsibilities of our executives. The Compensation Committee reviewed our performance, the executive officers' performance, our future objectives and challenges and the current competitive environment and set the base salary for each executive officer at the beginning of the fiscal year. We consider our 2010 base salaries to have been in line with our compensation objectives.

Non-equity Incentive Plan. Annual cash incentive awards to our named executive officers for the 2010 fiscal year were paid under the terms of a non-equity incentive plan approved by our Compensation Committee following consummation of the Transactions. The principal objective sought to be achieved by our non-equity incentive plan is to align awards with predetermined objectives and thereby improve performance in targeted areas. Payments under the plan are calculated based upon a percentage of the executive's base salary, which percentages are targeted to be competitive with companies of similar size and performance to us, such as the companies discussed above as our informal peer group.

Table of Contents

Potential payments under the non-equity incentive plan for the 2010 fiscal year could have ranged from 0% to 144% of the executive's base salary, or 180% for Mr. Binder, based on corporate, business unit and individual performance. Corporate and business unit targets for the 2010 fiscal year were adjusted EBITDA, net sales, working capital metrics and operational objectives (including manufacturing footprint optimization and implementation of Six Sigma, lean manufacturing, and procurement and offshoring initiatives). Adjusted EBITDA for this purpose is defined as net income/loss before interest expense, income tax, depreciation and amortization, and adjusted for certain expenses as defined by our bank agreement, such as restructuring charges, non-cash impairment charges, integration and facilities opening costs or other business optimization expenses, new systems design and implementation costs, certain start-up costs and costs related to consolidation of facilities, certain non-cash charges, advisory fees paid to the private equity owners, certain severance charges, purchase accounting costs, stock-based compensation and payments, payments to distributors that are not in the ordinary course of business, litigation costs, and other related charges. All adjustments are reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee chose adjusted EBITDA as an incentive metric because it effectively measures our performance and is an important valuation metric in the internal Company model.

Individual performance of named executive officers was determined by the Compensation Committee after considering each executive's leadership ability and contributions to our business during the 2010 fiscal year. With respect to named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee also considered the Chief Executive Officer's assessment of their individual performance in determining an individual named executive officer's performance. The relative weighting of company, business unit and/or individual performance goals for each named executive officer is described below. The Compensation Committee establishes the performance measures and other terms and conditions of non-equity incentive plan awards, and retains the authority to cancel or award an additional bonus amount at its discretion (a leadership/discretionary award).

The chart below includes information about the named executive officers' 2010 fiscal year non-equity incentive plan target and maximum award opportunities and actual payouts.

	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Target		Non-Equity Incentive Plan Maximum		Non-Equity Incentive Plan Payout (Paid in July 2010)	
	% of Base Salary	Amounts (\$)	% of Base Salary	Amount (\$)	% of Base Salary	Amount (\$)
Jeffrey R. Binder	100%	\$ 696,150	180%	\$ 1,253,070	93%	\$ 649,949
Daniel P. Florin	80%	327,859	144%	590,146	74%	305,280
Maggie Anderson	80%	249,016	144%	448,229	69%	215,331
Glen Kashuba	80%	329,409	144%	592,937	53%	217,620
Jon C. Serbousek	80%	321,422	144%	578,560	89%	357,686

The following chart shows the weighting assigned to the various company, business unit and individual performance goals discussed above for each named executive officers:

Goals	Jeffrey R. Binder		Daniel P. Florin		Maggie Anderson		Glen Kashuba		John C. Serbousek	
	Target	Max	Target	Max	Target	Max	Target	Max	Target	Max
Biomet Financials	80%	160%	64%	128%	12%	24%	12%	24%	12%	24%
Business Unit Financials					52%	104%	52%	104%	52%	104%
Individual Strategic Objectives	20%	20%	16%	16%	16%	20%	16%	20%	16%	20%
TOTAL	100%	180%	80%	144%	80%	144%	80%	144%	80%	144%
Leadership / Discretionary	+/-10%		+/-10%		+/-10%		+/-10%		+/-10%	

Table of Contents

Since corporate and business unit target performance goals are generally set consistent with our confidential operating plan for the fiscal year, actual performance above our confidential operating plan would generally result in incentive payments above the target level. Conversely, performance below our confidential operating plan would generally result in incentive payments below the target level. The Compensation Committee and management believe that the metrics for the non-equity incentive plan align well with our objective of relating compensation to company, business unit and individual performance. The specific corporate and business unit targets and ranges of acceptable performance set under the non-equity incentive plan are not disclosed because we believe disclosure of this information would cause competitive harm. These performance targets were based on our confidential operating plan for the 2010 fiscal year and, therefore, we believe that achievement of the targets was substantially uncertain at the time they were set. The targets are intended to be realistic and reasonable, but challenging, in order to drive sustainable, risk appropriate growth and individual performance.

Stock Options and Leveraged Share Awards. In 2007, the Board of Directors of Parent adopted the LVB Acquisition, Inc. 2007 Management Equity Incentive Plan (the 2007 LVB Plan), which provides for the grant of non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of common stock of Parent (the LVB Options) to our and our affiliates key employees, directors, service providers and consultants. Prior to the exchange offer relating to employee options described below, 50% of the LVB Options granted to employees vested based on continued employment, 25% vested based on continued employment and had an exercise price that increased by 10% per annum, and 25% vested based on the achievement of annual adjusted EBITDA-performance criteria established by the Compensation Committee. Following the exchange offer, generally 75% of the LVB Options granted to employees vest based on continued employment and 25% vest based on the achievement of annual adjusted EBITDA-performance criteria established by the Compensation Committee. We have also granted LVB Options to certain of our distributors, which are eligible to vest based on the achievement of specified sales targets.

In 2008, the Board of Directors of Parent adopted an addendum to the 2007 LVB Plan, which provides the ability to grant leveraged equity awards in Parent under the 2007 LVB Plan to eligible employees (the LVB Leveraged Awards, and together with the LVB Options, the LVB Awards). LVB Leveraged Awards permit participants to purchase shares of LVB common stock using the proceeds of non-recourse loans from Parent, which shares remain subject to forfeiture and other restrictions prior to the participant s repayment of the loan.

In May 2009, the Board of Directors of Parent authorized an exchange offer relating to employee options outstanding at May 6, 2009 (including the options held by our named executive officers). Outstanding distributor options were not included in the exchange offer. The exchange offer provided the holders of such options with the opportunity to surrender the options for cancellation in exchange for replacement options, the terms of which are (1) different from the surrendered options with respect to the performance based and accreting exercise price options, and (2) the same as the surrendered options with respect to the time based options. The terms of the performance based and accreting exercise price options are modified in the replacement options as follows:

New Performance Vesting Options (which replace the surrendered performance based options) Beginning in fiscal 2010, the remaining unvested options vest ratably over four to six years (depending on the date of grant) instead of the three to five years remaining under the terms of the currently outstanding performance based options. The remaining options will continue to vest contingent upon the Company achieving certain reduced adjusted EBITDA targets in each of those years (New options granted subsequent to, and not in connection with, the exchange program will vest ratably over 5 years following the grant date contingent upon the Company achieving certain adjusted EBITDA targets with respect to each such year).

New Extended Time Vesting Options (which replace the surrendered accreting exercise price options) These options are similar to the currently outstanding time based options. The exercise price reverts to \$10.00 per share (i.e., the original grant date exercise price before it began accreting) and will no longer increase by 10% on an annual basis. The remaining unvested options will vest ratably over four to six years (depending on the date of grant) instead of the three to five years remaining under the terms of the currently outstanding accreting exercise price options.

Table of Contents

The goal of the exchange offer was to provide employees who elected to participate with new options, the terms of which preserve the original incentive effect of our option program in light of current market-wide economic conditions. Although the Board of Directors of Parent authorized the option exchange program in May 2009, we did not conduct the exchange offer until our 2010 fiscal year. Therefore, the exchange offer is reflected in the 2010 fiscal year compensation tables below and the financial information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All of our employees elected to participate in the exchange offer.

In October 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Parent amended the addendum to the 2007 LVB Plan relating to the LVB Leveraged Awards to permit amendments to the LVB Leveraged Awards that would reflect the changes made to the replacement options described above. The amendments to the LVB Leveraged Awards therefore reduced the interest rate on the non-recourse loans from Parent to zero and extended the vesting period by one year for the performance-based and the accreting hurdle portion of the LVB Leveraged Awards.

Upon termination of a participant's employment, the 2007 LVB Plan provides that any unvested portion of a participant's LVB Award will be forfeited, and that the vested portion of his or her LVB Award will expire on the earliest of (1) the date the participant's employment is terminated for cause, (2) 30 days following the date the participant resigns without good reason, (3) 90 days after the date the participant's employment is terminated either by us for any reason other than cause, death or disability, or by the participant with good reason, (4) one year after the date the participant's employment is terminated by reason of death or disability or (5) the tenth anniversary of the grant date of the LVB Award. In no event will any option remain outstanding after the tenth anniversary of the original grant date of such option.

Prior to receiving shares of Parent's common stock (whether pursuant to the exercise of LVB Options, purchased pursuant to an LVB Leveraged Award or otherwise), participants must execute a Management Stockholders' Agreement, which provides that the shares are subject to certain transfer restrictions, put and call rights, and tag-along and drag-along rights (and, with respect to certain senior members of management, limited registration and preemptive rights).

When the 2007 LVB Plan became effective, there were 37,520,000 shares of LVB common stock reserved for issuance in connection with LVB Awards to be granted thereunder. The Compensation Committee is responsible for administering the 2007 LVB Plan and authorizing the grant of LVB Awards pursuant thereto, and may amend the 2007 LVB Plan (and any LVB Awards) at any time. LVB Awards may not be granted under the 2007 LVB Plan on or after November 16, 2017. Following the Transactions, a total of 28,373,500 LVB Options were granted to employees and distributors under the 2007 LVB Plan during the 2008 fiscal year, and 769,500 LVB Leveraged Awards were granted to employees under the 2007 LVB Plan during the 2008 fiscal year. Of the 28,373,500 LVB Options granted during the 2008 fiscal year, 7,245,000 were granted to our named executive officers, and of the 769,500 LVB Leveraged Awards granted during the 2008 fiscal year, none were granted to our named executive officers. During the fiscal 2009 year, a total of 2,744,000 LVB Options were granted to employees and non-employee distributors under the 2007 LVB Plan, of which none were granted to our named executive officers. There were no LVB Leveraged Awards granted under the 2007 LVB Plan in fiscal 2010.

Retirement Plans. We do not sponsor or maintain any pension plans applicable to our U.S. based named executive officers; however, we do have defined benefit retirement plans for certain of our foreign subsidiaries, which cover certain of our overseas employees.

In addition, during the 2010 fiscal year our executive officers were eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan (the 401(k) Plan). All team members residing in the United States who are at least 18 years of age and complete at least 90 days of continuous service, or work for us at least 1,000 hours per year were also eligible during the 2010 fiscal year to participate in the 401(k) Plan. Each year we, in our sole discretion, may match 100% of each team member's contributions, up to a maximum amount equal to 6% of the team member's annual

Table of Contents

cash compensation. All contributions to the 401(k) Plan are allocated to accounts maintained on behalf of each participating team member and, to the extent vested, are available for distribution to the team member or beneficiary upon retirement, death, disability or termination of service.

Deferred Compensation. We maintain The Biomet, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan"), a non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which is available for our senior management. The Deferred Compensation Plan allows eligible participants to defer pre-tax compensation to reduce current tax liability and assist those team members in their planning for retirement and other long-term savings goals in a tax effective manner. We do not make any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan. Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, eligible participants may defer up to 100% of their base salary and annual cash incentive award. Participants receive scheduled distributions from the Deferred Compensation Plan, which are treated as ordinary income subject to federal and state income taxation at the time of distribution. Except in circumstances of hardship, unscheduled withdrawals are not permitted. Amounts contributed to the Deferred Compensation Plan are at the participant's election and are treated as deemed investments, which means that the participants have no ownership interest in the investment alternative selected. The participants' deferrals and any notional investment gains thereon are reflected on our financial statements and are part of our unsecured general assets. The Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded future promise to pay by us. Neither Biomet nor the Deferred Compensation Plan record keeper provides any guarantee of investment return. We do not pay above-market interest rates on deferred amounts of compensation. For more information, refer to Executive Compensation Tables Retirement and Non-Qualified Defined Contribution and Deferred Compensation Plans Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation below.

Perquisites. We believe that our approach to perquisites has historically been, and continues to be, comparable to other companies in our informal peer group discussed above. Our President and Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers have been historically and generally been permitted, when practical, to use company aircraft for business and personal travel for security reasons. On a case by case basis, we have historically reimbursed certain executives for social club dues, offered to provide a travel allowance in connection with Biomet related travel, and offered to provide relocation assistance to certain members of our senior management team who relocate their principal residence at our request. For example, we have historically, at times, provided reimbursement of moving expenses and protection against a loss on the sale of the executive's home.

Health and Welfare Benefits. Named executive officers have historically received similar benefits to those provided to all other salaried U.S. employees, such as medical, dental, vision, life insurance and disability coverage.

Employment Agreements. We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers to help ensure the retention of those executives critical to our future success. These agreements contain severance and change in control provisions which provide for potential future compensation depending on the circumstances of their departure from Biomet.

Policy with Respect to Deductibility of Compensation over \$1 Million. Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits to \$1.0 million the tax deductibility of annual compensation paid by publicly held corporations (as defined in the Code) to certain executives. However, performance based compensation can be excluded from this limit if it meets certain requirements. Prior to the Transactions, Biomet's Compensation Committee's policy was historically to consider the impact of Section 162(m) in establishing compensation for our senior executives. However, the committee historically retained the discretion to establish compensation, even if such compensation was not deductible under Section 162(m), if, in the committee's judgment, such compensation was in our best interest and was reasonably expected to increase shareholder value. Following the Transactions and through the 2010 fiscal year, because we currently are not a publicly held corporation (as defined in the Code) with publicly held equity, the restrictions of Section 162(m) have not and do not presently apply to us.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Compensation Committee

Jonathan J. Coslet

Adrian Jones

Michael Dal Bello

Michael Michelson

Executive Compensation Tables

Summary Compensation Table

The following narrative, tables and footnotes describe the total compensation earned during the 2008, 2009 and 2010 fiscal years by our named executive officers. The total compensation presented below does not reflect the actual compensation received by our named executive officers or the target compensation of our named executive officers during the 2008, 2009 and 2010 fiscal years.

The individual components of the total compensation calculation reflected in the Summary Compensation Table with respect to fiscal 2010 are broken out below:

Salary. Base salary earned during the 2010 fiscal year. Refer to The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Base Salary above for further information concerning this element of our compensation program.

Bonus. For the 2010 fiscal year, we did not have any bonus plans applicable to our named executive officers. Each named executive officer, however, earned an annual performance based cash incentive award as described under Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation below.

Option Awards. The awards disclosed under the heading Option Awards consist of grants of stock options awarded under the 2007 LVB Plan. For further information about our stock option programs, refer to The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Stock Options and Leveraged Share Awards above. In addition, details about option awards made during the 2010 fiscal year are included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below. The dollar amounts for the awards in the Summary Compensation Table below reflect the grant date fair value of grants made in the fiscal year. The recognized compensation expense of the option awards for financial reporting purposes will likely vary from the actual amount ultimately realized by the named executive officer based on a number of factors. The factors include our actual operating performance, common share price fluctuations, differences from the valuation assumptions used and the timing of exercise or applicable vesting.

Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation. Our named executive officers earned annual cash incentive awards for the 2010 fiscal year. Refer to The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Non-equity Incentive Plan above for further information concerning this element of our compensation program.

Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings. We do not sponsor or maintain any pension plans applicable to our named executive officers.

None of our named executive officers participated in the Deferred Compensation Plan during the 2010 fiscal year. Furthermore, we do not pay above-market or preferential earnings on non-qualified deferred compensation and, accordingly, are not required under applicable SEC disclosure rules to report any amounts in the Change in

Table of Contents

Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the summary compensation table in connection with our Deferred Compensation Plan for fiscal 2010. For information on the Deferred Compensation Plan, refer to The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Retirement Plans above and Retirement and Non-Qualified Defined Contribution and Deferred Compensation Plans Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation below.

All Other Compensation. The amounts included under the All Other Compensation heading represent the sum of: (1) certain perquisites and other personal benefits; (2) Biomet-paid contributions to defined contribution and other retirement plans; (3) Biomet-paid insurance premiums; (4) certain tax reimbursements made by us; and (5) certain other amounts more fully described in footnote (3) to the Summary Compensation Table.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards (1) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Jeffrey R. Binder, President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 696,150	\$ 3,026,988	\$ 649,949		\$ 413,218	\$ 4,786,305
	2009	682,500		636,090		254,488	6,234,134
Daniel P. Florin, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2010	409,824	714,420	305,280		13,063	1,442,587
	2009	401,788		297,002		13,063	1,449,854
Maggie Anderson President, Biomet 3i	2010	401,788	2,203,057	356,708		13,313	1,458,088
	2009	311,270	2,074,819	215,331		200,063	2,801,483
Glen A. Kashuba President Biomet Trauma Biomet	2010	411,762	648,640	217,620		13,063	1,291,085
	2009	403,688		390,641		13,063	1,806,190
Jon C. Serbousek President Biomet Orthopedics	2010	397,722	2,981,580	310,223		13,313	1,650,057
	2009	401,778	465,423	357,686		164,358	1,389,245
	2010	393,900	2,695,152	388,001		45,318	2,014,850
	2008	95,031		83,429		6,138	287,296

(1) For each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table above, the value reflects the grant date fair value of grants made in the fiscal year.

We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of options to calculate compensation expense. For information about the assumptions used in determining the compensation expense we recognized during the 2009 and 2010 fiscal years refer to Note 9 to the

consolidated financial statements elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

- (2) The table below presents an itemized account of All Other Compensation provided during the 2009 and 2010 fiscal years and the period from June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, and July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008. For each named executive officer listed below, the sum of the amounts listed in the columns in the table below reflects the total value included under the All Other Compensation heading in the table above.

	Year	Life Insurance Premiums (\$)	Retirement Plan Contributions (\$)	Medical Flex (\$)	Travel Allowance (\$) (a)	Personal Use of Company Aircraft (\$) (b)	Other (\$)	Total (\$)
Jeffrey R. Binder	2010	\$ 63			\$ 13,000	\$ 400,155		\$ 413,218
	2009	63			13,000	241,425		254,488
	2008	63		250	13,000	289,890	1,320,000(c)	1,623,203
Daniel P. Florin	2010	63			13,000			13,063
	2009	63			13,000			13,063
	2008	63		250	13,000			13,313
Maggie Anderson	2010	63					200,000(d)	200,063
Glen A, Kashuba	2010	63			13,000			13,063
	2009	63			13,000			13,063
	2008	63		250	13,000			13,313
Jon C. Serbousek	2010	63			13,000	1,295	150,000(e)	164,358
	2009	63			13,250	32,005		45,318
	2008	63		125		5,950		6,138

(a) Represents the cost to us of providing a car allowance to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba, and Serbousek.

(b) Represents our incremental costs incurred for personal use of our aircraft. This amount is calculated by multiplying the aircraft's hourly variable operating cost by a trip's flight time, which includes any flight time used for an empty return flight. Variable operating costs are based on industry standard rates of our variable operating costs, including fuel and oil costs, maintenance and repairs, landing/ramp fees and other miscellaneous variable costs. On certain occasions, a spouse or other family member may accompany one of our named executive officers on a flight. No additional operating cost is incurred in such situations under the foregoing methodology. We do not pay our named executive officers any amounts in connection with taxes on income imputed to them for personal use of our aircraft.

Pursuant to the employment agreement between us and Mr. Binder, dated February 26, 2007, we agreed to arrange, at our expense, for Mr. Binder to fly once per week to and from Mr. Binder's Texas home and our headquarters or such other location as may be reasonably specified by us during the term of the employment agreement. We will not provide Mr. Binder with a gross up for taxes incurred in connection with these benefits. If, however, Mr. Binder uses a commercial flight and the income imputed in connection with the commercial flight exceeds the amount that would have been imputed to Mr. Binder if he had used our aircraft, we will provide to Mr. Binder a gross up for taxes incurred on the amount of such excess. Our incremental costs associated with extending these benefits to Mr. Binder are capped at \$500,000 in any twelve-month period. For the purposes of applying this limitation, our incremental cost for commercial flights shall be the cost of Mr. Binder's tickets, and for flights on Biomet-operated aircraft shall be the incremental per-hour cost associated with Mr. Binder's flights and other incremental costs related to such flights, such as landing fees, transportation and housing costs of aircrew and other similar costs. The amount that appears under the Personal Use of Company Aircraft heading reflects the amount of this rolling twelve-month allowance that Mr. Binder used during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008.

During fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, pending Mr. Serbousek's relocation to the Warsaw, Indiana area, we arranged for him to fly, at our expense, between his Tennessee home and our headquarters. Our incremental cost associated with providing this benefit to Mr. Serbousek were calculated as described above with respect to Mr. Binder.

Table of Contents

(c) Pursuant to the employment agreement between us and Mr. Binder dated February 26, 2007 (which has been superceded by his employment agreement dated February 28, 2009), we agreed to reimburse Mr. Binder up to \$1,320,000 if Mr. Binder is required to pay his former employer in connection with the termination of his previous employment. On September 21, 2007, we paid \$1,320,000 to Mr. Binder in connection with this obligation.

Also pursuant to Mr. Binder's employment agreement dated February 26, 2007, we agreed to purchase Mr. Binder's prior residence in Illinois at its appraised value, to be determined by an independent appraiser, up to \$2,199,000. Furthermore, we agreed to reimburse Mr. Binder for certain capital gains taxes, if any, incurred as a result of the sale of Mr. Binder's prior residence. As a result of the independent appraisal, we purchased Mr. Binder's prior residence on October 1, 2007 for significantly less than the maximum amount specified above, and Mr. Binder has not recognized any gain on the sale of his prior residence to us. As a result of our subsequent sale of Mr. Binder's former residence for more than the amount paid by us to Mr. Binder for such residence, the amount paid by us to Mr. Binder is not reflected in the amount shown in the table above for Mr. Binder under the "All Other Compensation" heading. In addition, because Mr. Binder recognized a loss on the sale of his house, we have not paid any "gross up" amounts to Mr. Binder in connection with the sale of his house.

(d) Pursuant to Ms. Anderson's employment agreement, we paid Ms. Anderson a \$200,000 sign-on bonus in August 2009.

(e) We paid Mr. Serbousek a \$150,000 relocation bonus in June 2010.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

During the 2010 fiscal year, we granted cash incentive awards to our named executive officers under our non-equity incentive plan. Information with respect to each of these payments is set forth in the table below. For additional discussion of our non-equity incentive plan, refer to "The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program - Non-Equity Incentive Plan." During the 2010 fiscal year, we granted equity-based awards to two of our named executive officers, Mr. Florin and Ms. Anderson. In addition, we completed the exchange offer relating to the LVB options granted to employees in October 2009. Information with respect to these awards is set forth in the table below.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise of Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant-Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Jeffrey R. Binder	October 5, 2009		\$ 696,150	\$ 1,253,070					3,360,000(a)	\$ 10.00	\$ 3,026,988
Daniel P. Florin	October 5, 2009		327,859	590,146					532,000(a)	\$ 10.00	479,274
	October 5, 2009								85,000(b)	\$ 10.00	235,146
Maggie Anderson	October 5, 2009		249,016	448,229					750,000(b)	\$ 10.00	2,074,819
Glen A. Kashuba	October 5, 2009		329,409	592,937					720,000(a)	\$ 10.00	648,640
Jon C. Serbousek	October 5, 2009		321,422	578,560					680,000(a)	\$ 10.00	465,423

(a) Awards granted in connection with the exchange offer completed in October 2009.

(b) Awards granted not in connection with the exchange offer:

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

- a. For Mr. Florin, a supplemental grant was made to bring his total award in line with peers.

- b. For Ms. Anderson, a grant was made in connection with her initial employment.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table**

For further information on our stock option awards and their material terms, refer to The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Stock Options and Leveraged Share Awards. We did not grant any equity awards to our named executive officers during fiscal 2010.

The following table shows the equity awards granted to our named executive officers, which are comprised solely of stock option awards under the 2007 LVB Plan (vested and unvested) that were outstanding as of the end of the 2010 fiscal year.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (3) (#)	Option Exercise Price (4) (\$)	Option Expiration Date (5)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)	
								Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Jeffrey R. Binder	1,260,000 420,000	1,890,000(a)	630,000	\$ 10.00 10.00	July 11, 2017 July 11, 2017		\$		\$
Daniel P. Florin	199,500 66,500	299,250(a) 63,750(a)	99,750 21,250	10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	July 11, 2017 July 11, 2017 October 5, 2019 October 5, 2019				
Maggie Anderson		(a)	562,500 187,500	10.00 10.00	October 5, 2019 October 5, 2019				
Glen A. Kashuba	270,000 90,000	405,000(a)	135,000	10.00 10.00	July 11, 2017 July 11, 2017				
Jon C. Serbousek	255,000 85,000	382,500(a)	127,500	10.00 10.00	May 8, 2018 May 8, 2018				

(1) On an award-by-award basis, reflects the number of common shares underlying unexercised options that are exercisable and that are not reported in Column 3 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options.

(2) On an award-by-award basis, reflects the number of common shares underlying unexercised options that are unexercisable and that are not reported in Column 3 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options. The vesting schedules of the outstanding unvested options are listed below:

(a) Represents time-based options, which generally vest ratably over 5 years or 6 years for modified accreting exercise price options. With respect to Mr. Binder, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the time-based option granted on December 4, 2007. The unvested portion is scheduled to vest in increments of 577,500 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012, and 157,500 on July 11, 2013.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

With respect to Mr. Florin, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the time-based option granted on December 4, 2007 and October 5, 2009. The unvested portion is scheduled to vest in increments of 91,438 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012, 24,936 on July 11, 2013, and 12,750 on October 5 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

With respect to Ms. Anderson, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the time-based option granted on October 5, 2009. The unvested portion is scheduled to vest in increments of 112,500 common shares on October 5 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Table of Contents

With respect to Mr. Kashuba, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the time-based option granted on December 4, 2007. The unvested portion is scheduled to vest in increments of 123,750 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012, and 33,750 on July 11, 2013.

With respect to Mr. Serbousek, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the time-based option granted on May 1, 2008. The unvested portion is scheduled to vest in increments of 116,875 common shares on May 8 in each of 2011, 2012, and 2013, and 31,875 on May 8, 2014.

(3) Represents, on an award-by-award basis, the total number of common shares underlying unexercised options awarded under any equity incentive plan that have not been earned. Performance awards vest based on our achievement of adjusted EBITDA targets established by the Compensation Committee.

With respect to Mr. Binder, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the performance-based option granted on December 4, 2007. The unvested portion is eligible to vest in increments of 157,500 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

With respect to Mr. Florin, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the performance-based option granted on December 4, 2007. The unvested portion is eligible to vest in increments of 24,938 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

With respect to Ms. Anderson, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the original option granted on October 5, 2009. The unvested portion is eligible to vest in increments of 37,500 common shares on October 5 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

With respect to Mr. Kashuba, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the performance-based option granted on December 4, 2007. The unvested portion is eligible to vest in increments of 33,750 common shares on July 11 in each of 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

With respect to Mr. Serbousek, represents the outstanding unvested portion of the original option granted on May 8, 2008. The remaining unvested portion of the original award vests in increments of 31,875 common shares on May 8 in each of 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014.

(4) The exercise price, as it was recorded in the applicable stock option award agreement at the time of grant, for each option reported in Columns 1 and 2 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options and Column 3 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options. The options have an exercise price that is at least equivalent to fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. Since our common stock is not currently traded on a national securities exchange, fair market value was determined by the Compensation Committee.

(5) Represents the tenth year anniversary for each option award reported in Columns 1 and 2 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options and Column 3 Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options. For information on the vesting schedule of unvested portions of outstanding option awards, see sub-footnotes (a)-(b) of footnote (2), and footnote (3), above.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

During the 2010 fiscal year, no option awards were exercised by, and no stock awards vested to, Biomet's named executive officers.

Retirement and Non-Qualified Defined Contribution and Deferred Compensation Plans

Pension Plans

We do not sponsor or maintain any pension plans applicable to our named executive officers.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Biomet's Deferred Compensation Plan is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which is available for members of our senior management. The Plan allows eligible participants to defer pre-tax compensation to reduce current tax liability and assist those team members in their plan for retirement and other long-term savings

Table of Contents

goals in a tax-effective manner. Under the Plan, eligible participants may defer up to 100% of their base salary and annual cash incentive payments, as well as Board fees for non-employee Directors, as applicable. We do not make any contributions to the Plan. For further information on the Deferred Compensation Plan, refer to *The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Retirement Plans* above.

During the 2010 fiscal year, none of Biomet's named executive officers participated in the Deferred Compensation Plan. We do not pay above-market or preferential earnings on non-qualified deferred compensation.

Employment Agreements and Potential Post-Termination Payments

We have employment agreements with each of Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, which agreements contain severance and change in control provisions.

Employment Agreement with Jeffrey R. Binder

On June 11, 2008, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement, which we refer to as the employment agreement, with Mr. Binder, our President and Chief Executive Officer. The employment agreement supersedes our original employment agreement with Mr. Binder dated as of February 26, 2007, which we refer to as the original employment agreement. The employment agreement has an initial three-year term that provides for automatic twelve-month extensions, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the employment agreement, unless either we or Mr. Binder give prior notice of termination. Mr. Binder will receive a base salary at a rate no less than \$650,000 per year, which shall be increased at our discretion. Mr. Binder's employment agreement provides that he will also have the opportunity to earn an annual cash incentive award in an amount no less than 100% of his base salary for on-target performance, with the possibility of exceeding 100% for high achievement. For a further discussion of our non-equity incentive plan, see *The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Non-Equity Incentive Plan*.

Mr. Binder's employment agreement provides that we will arrange, at our expense, for Mr. Binder to fly once per week to and from his Texas home and our headquarters or such other location as may be reasonably specified by us during the term of the employment agreement. We will not provide Mr. Binder with a gross up for taxes incurred in connection with these benefits. If, however, Mr. Binder uses a commercial flight and the income imputed in connection with the commercial flight exceeds the amount that would have been imputed to Mr. Binder if he had used our aircraft, we will provide to Mr. Binder a gross up for taxes incurred on the amount of such excess. Our incremental costs associated with extending these benefits to Mr. Binder are capped at \$500,000 in any twelve month period.

The employment agreement further provides that, upon any termination of Mr. Binder's employment, his rights with respect to any equity or equity-related awards will be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement. Mr. Binder could be entitled to certain severance benefits following a termination of employment prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) or within two years following a change in control. Severance payable to Mr. Binder under such circumstances was previously provided for under the Change in Control Agreement entered into between us and Mr. Binder as of February 26, 2007, which expired by its terms on July 11, 2007 upon consummation of the Transactions.

Under the employment agreement, if Mr. Binder's employment is terminated at any time within the two-year period following a change in control either (1) by us for any reason other than for cause, death or disability, or (2) by Mr. Binder for good reason, then (a) his severance multiple would be increased from 1.5 times his base salary and annual cash incentive award to two times his base salary and annual cash incentive award and (b) his pro rated annual cash incentive award for the year of termination of employment would be based on his target annual cash incentive award for such year rather than the actual annual cash incentive award he would have received for such year (as determined based on the Company's performance to the date of termination of employment, extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year). The employment agreement further

Table of Contents

provides that if Mr. Binder is subject to the golden parachute excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code, the Company will pay him an additional amount such that he is placed in the same after-tax position as if no excise tax had been imposed. See **Severance Benefits** below.

Employment Agreements with Daniel P. Florin and Glen A. Kashuba

On February 28, 2008, we entered into employment agreements with Mr. Florin, our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and with Mr. Kashuba, our Senior Vice President and President of Biomet Trauma and Biomet Spine. Each of Mr. Florin and Mr. Kashuba will be referred to in this section as **Executive**. Both agreements have an initial three-year term that provides for automatic twelve-month extensions, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the agreement, unless either party gives prior notice of termination. Mr. Florin and Mr. Kashuba will receive a base salary at a rate no less than \$395,850 and \$397,722 per year, respectively, which shall be increased at our discretion. Executive will also have the opportunity to earn an annual cash incentive award in an amount no less than 80% of his base salary for on-target performance, with the possibility of exceeding 80% for high achievement. For a further discussion of our non-equity incentive plan, see **The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Non-equity Incentive Plan**.

The agreements further provide that Executive could be entitled to certain severance benefits following termination of employment prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreements) or within two years following a change in control. See **Severance Benefits** below.

Employment Agreement with Jon C. Serbousek

On March 3, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Serbousek, our Senior Vice President and President of Biomet Orthopedics, LLC. The agreement has an initial three-year term that provides for automatic twelve-month extensions, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the agreement, unless either party gives prior notice of termination. Mr. Serbousek will receive a base salary at a rate no less than \$390,000 per year, which shall be increased at our discretion. Mr. Serbousek will also have the opportunity to earn an annual cash incentive award in an amount no less than 80% of his base salary for on-target performance, with the possibility of exceeding 80% for high achievement. For a further discussion of our non-equity incentive plan, see **The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Non-equity Incentive Plan**.

The agreement further provides that Mr. Serbousek could be entitled to certain severance benefits following termination of employment prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) or within two years of a change in control. See **Severance Benefits** below.

Employment Agreement with Maggie Anderson

On August 1, 2009, we entered into an employment agreement with Ms. Anderson, our Senior Vice President and President of Biomet 3i, LLC. The agreement has an initial three-year term that provides for automatic twelve-month extensions, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of the agreement, unless either party gives prior notice of termination. Ms. Anderson will receive a base salary at a rate no less than \$375,024 per year, which shall be increased at our discretion. Ms. Anderson will also have the opportunity to earn an annual cash incentive award in an amount no less than 80% of her base salary for on-target performance, with the possibility of exceeding 80% for high achievement. For a further discussion of our non-equity incentive plan, see **The Elements of Biomet's Compensation Program Non-equity Incentive Plan**.

The agreement further provides that Ms. Anderson could be entitled to certain severance benefits following termination of employment prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) or within two years of a change in control. See **Severance Benefits** below.

Table of Contents

Severance Benefits Provided Under Employment Agreements

Each of our employment agreements with Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, contains provisions which entitle the executive to certain severance benefits following termination of employment prior to a change in control (as defined in the agreement) or within two years following a change in control.

The following summary provides a description of the severance arrangements contained in our employment agreements with Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson. Other than with respect to Mr. Binder as described in *Termination Within Two Years Following a Change in Control by Biomet Other Than For Cause, Death or Disability, or by Executive for Good Reason*, the following summary does not discuss the executives' rights with respect to any equity related awards, as such awards are governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement.

Termination Prior to a Change in Control by Biomet Other Than For Cause, Death or Disability, or by Executive for Good Reason

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, in the event of a termination of the executive's employment prior to a change in control either (1) by us for any reason other than for cause (which generally includes the executive's failure to substantially perform the executive's duties, willful misconduct or gross negligence, willful or grossly negligent breach of the executive's fiduciary duties to Biomet, commission of any felony or other serious crime involving moral turpitude, material breach of any agreement between the executive and Biomet or material breach of our written policies), executive's death or executive's disability, or (2) by executive for good reason (which generally includes any material diminution in duties and responsibilities (but does not include, in the case of Messrs. Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, a change in duties and responsibilities that results from becoming a part of a larger organization following a change in control), reduction in base salary or bonus opportunity or relocation of primary work location by more than 50 miles), our employment agreements with Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, provide that such executive would be entitled to the following:

An amount equal to (a) 1.5 times the executive's base salary in effect at the date of termination (with respect to Messrs. Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, the Severance Benefit, and with respect to Mr. Binder, the Base Component) plus, with respect to Mr. Binder, (b) 1.5 times the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by Mr. Binder for the preceding fiscal year and (y) the annual cash incentive award Mr. Binder would have received for the current fiscal year had his employment not been terminated, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year (the Bonus Component, and with respect to Mr. Binder, together with the Base Component, the Severance Benefit). The total amount of the Severance Benefit will be paid in equal, ratable installments in accordance with our regular payroll policies over the course of the 18 month non-compete period provided for in the agreement. If Mr. Binder becomes employed by another employer during that period, the Bonus Component will cease and his Severance Benefit will be limited to the Base Component;

An amount equal to the pro rated portion (based on the percentage of Biomet's current fiscal year preceding the date on which the executive's employment is terminated) of the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current fiscal year. The total amount of the pro rated annual cash incentive award will be paid in a lump sum at the time we pay annual cash incentive awards to similarly situated active employees;

If the executive is eligible for and elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA, we will pay the premiums for such coverage (or reimburse the executive for such premiums) until the earlier of (a) the end of the 18 month period during which, under the employment agreement, the executive agrees not to engage in certain activities in competition with us or (b) the date the executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group plan;

Table of Contents

Any accrued benefits (as defined in the agreement), which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company, and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive; and

With respect to Mr. Binder, continued payment of Mr. Binder's company-provided car allowance, if any, for a period of 12 months from the termination date.

Termination Within Two Years After a Change in Control by Biomet Other Than For Cause, Death or Disability, or by Executive for Good Reason

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson, in the event of a termination of the executive's employment within two years after a change in control either (1) by us for any reason other than for cause, executive's death or executive's disability, or (2) by executive for good reason, such executive would be entitled to the following:

An amount equal to (a) two times the executive's base salary in effect at the date of termination plus (b) two times the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by executive for the preceding fiscal year and (y) the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year had the executive's employment not been terminated, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year (collectively, the Change-in-Control Severance Benefit). The total amount of the Change-in-Control Severance Benefit will be paid in a lump sum as soon as administratively practicable following the termination of the executive's employment;

An amount equal to the pro rated portion (based on the percentage of Biomet's current fiscal year preceding the date on which the executive's employment is terminated) of the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current year. The total amount of the pro rated annual cash incentive award will be paid in a lump sum at the time we pay annual cash incentive awards to similarly situated active employees;

If the executive is eligible for and elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA, we will pay the premiums for such coverage (or reimburse executive for such premiums) until the earlier of (a) the end of the 18 month period during which, under the employment agreement, the executive agrees not to engage in certain activities in competition with us or (b) the date the executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group plan;

Any accrued benefits (as defined in the agreement), which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company, and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive; and

With respect to Mr. Binder, continued payment of Mr. Binder's company-provided car allowance, if any, for a period of 12 months from the termination date and immediate vesting of any unvested options held by Mr. Binder as of the date his employment is terminated.

To receive the severance benefits provided under the agreement, the executive must sign a general release of claims. The agreement contains customary confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation provisions. Messrs. Binder's, Florin's, Kashuba's and Serbousek's, and Ms. Anderson's non-competition period is 18 months following the date of termination of employment.

Furthermore, in the event that any payments made to Mr. Binder in connection with a termination of employment would be subject to excise taxes under the Code, subject to certain conditions, Biomet will gross up his compensation to fully offset such excise taxes.

Table of Contents

Termination Due to Death or Disability

If any of Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba or Serbousek s, or Ms. Anderson s employment is terminated due to the executive s death or disability, the executive is entitled to receive the following:

the executive s base salary in effect through the date of termination;

a pro-rated portion (based on the percentage of our fiscal year preceding the date of termination) of the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by such executive for the preceding year and (y) the annual cash incentive award such executive would have received in the current year if the executive s employment had not been terminated, based on our performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the then current fiscal year; and

any accrued benefits (as defined in the agreement).

Termination With Cause or Without Good Reason

If any of Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba or Serbousek s, or Ms. Anderson s employment is terminated with cause or without good reason (as defined in the employment agreement) we will pay such executive s base salary in effect through the termination date and any accrued benefits (as defined in the agreement) when due.

Potential Payments Upon Certain Terminations

This table shows the potential compensation that we would have to pay to certain named executive officers upon a termination of employment related or unrelated to a change in control by us without cause or by the executive with good reason (as defined in the applicable agreements), due to the executive s death or disability, and by us with cause or by the executive without good reason (as defined in the applicable agreements). The table excludes certain amounts payable pursuant to plans that are available generally to all salaried employees. In the event of the death or disability of any of the named executive officers listed in the following table, the deceased or disabled named executive officer, or his designated beneficiaries, would also receive a payment pursuant to the terms of Biomet-funded life or disability plans, respectively, in addition to the amounts set forth below. The amounts shown assume that termination of employment was effective May 31, 2010. The amounts shown are only estimates of the amounts that would be payable to the executives upon termination of employment and do not reflect tax positions we may take or the accounting treatment of such payments. Actual amounts to be paid can only be determined at the time of separation. Although the calculations are intended to provide reasonable estimates of the potential benefits, they are based on numerous assumptions and do not represent the actual amount an executive would receive if an eligible termination event were to occur.

Table of Contents**POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL****Potential Payments Upon Termination or Termination in Connection With a Change in Control**

Name of Executive Officer	Termination in Connection with a Change in Control Termination				Termination in Absence of a Change in Control Termination			
	Termination with Cause or without Cause or with Good Reason (1)	Resignation without Good Reason (2)	Disability (3)	Death (4)	Termination without Cause or with Good Reason (5)	Resignation without Good Reason (6)	Disability (7)	Death (8)
Jeffrey R. Binder								
Estimated Value of Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations	\$ 3,357,683	\$	\$ 643,020	\$ 643,020	\$ 2,688,098	\$	\$ 643,020	\$ 643,020
Estimated Value of Options & Equity Awards								
Total	3,357,683		643,020	643,020	2,688,098		643,020	643,020
Daniel P. Florin								
Estimated Value of Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations	1,743,604		301,141	301,141	936,411		301,141	301,141
Estimated Value of Options & Equity Awards								
Total	1,743,604		301,141	301,141	936,411		301,141	301,141
Maggie Anderson								
Estimated Value of Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations	1,284,928		215,331	215,331	698,631		215,331	215,331
Estimated Value of Options & Equity Awards								
Total	1,284,928		215,331	215,331	698,631		215,331	215,331
Glen A. Kashuba								
Estimated Value of Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations	1,665,799		304,131	304,131	851,658		304,131	304,131
Estimated Value of Options & Equity Awards								
Total	1,665,799		304,131	304,131	851,658		304,131	304,131
Jon C. Serbousek								
Estimated Value of Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations	1,923,324		372,844	372,844	976,748		372,844	372,844
Estimated Value of Options & Equity Awards								
Total	1,923,324		372,844	372,844	976,748		372,844	372,844

(1) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents: (i) an amount equal to (a) two times the executive's base salary in effect at the date of termination plus (b) two times the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by the executive for the preceding fiscal year and (y) the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year had the executive's employment not been terminated, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year; (ii) an amount equal to the pro-rated portion of the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current year; (iii) if the executive is eligible for and elects

Table of Contents

continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA, the premiums for such coverage until the earlier of (a) the end of the 18-month period during which executive agrees, under the executive's employment agreement, not to engage in certain activities in competition with us or (b) the date the executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group plan; (iv) any accrued benefits, which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company, and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive; and (v) with respect to Mr. Binder, continued payment of Mr. Binder's company provided car allowance, if any, for a period of 12 months from the termination date.

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

(2) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba, and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents (i) base salary in effect through the termination date and (ii) any accrued benefits (as defined in the employment agreements), which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive.

(3) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents: (i) the executive's base salary in effect through date of termination; (ii) a pro-rated portion (based on the percentage of our fiscal year preceding the date of termination) of the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award bonus earned by the executive for the preceding year and (y) the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received in the current year if the executive's employment had not been terminated, based on our performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current year; and (iii) any accrued benefits, which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company, and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive.

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

(4) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents the payments as described in footnote 3 of this table.

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

(5) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents: (i) an amount equal to (a) 1.5 times the executive's base salary in effect at the date of termination plus, with respect to Mr. Binder (b) 1.5 times the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by executive for the preceding fiscal year and (y) the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year had the

Table of Contents

executive's employment not been terminated, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year; (ii) an amount equal to the pro-rated portion (based on the percentage of Biomet's current fiscal year preceding the date on which executive's employment is terminated) of the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received for the current fiscal year, based on Biomet's performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current year; (iii) if the executive is eligible for and elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA, the premiums for such coverage (or reimbursement to the executive for such premiums) until the earlier of (a) the end of the 18-month period during which, under the employment agreement, the executive agrees not to engage in certain activities in competition with us or (b) the date the executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group plan; (iv) any accrued benefits, which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company, and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive; and (v) with respect to Mr. Binder, continued payment of Mr. Binder's company provided car allowance, if any, for a period of 12 months from the termination date and immediate vesting of any unvested options held by Mr. Binder as of the date his employment is terminated.

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

(6) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents: (i) base salary in effect through the termination date and (ii) any accrued benefits, which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive.

(7) For Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents: (i) the executive's base salary in effect through date of termination; (ii) a pro-rated portion (based on the percentage of our fiscal year preceding the date of termination) of the average of (x) the annual cash incentive award earned by the executive for the preceding year and (y) the annual cash incentive award the executive would have received in the current year if the executive's employment had not been terminated, based on our performance to the date of termination extrapolated through the end of the current year; and (iii) any accrued benefits, which generally include any vested compensation deferred by the executive and not yet paid by the Company, any amounts or benefits owing to the executive under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company and any amounts owing to the executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the executive.

For Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

(8) With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Non-Equity Benefits and Accrued Obligations represents the payments described in footnote 4 of this table.

With respect to Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek, and Ms. Anderson:

Options and Equity Awards represents the difference between the exercise price and the value of LVB's common stock on May 31, 2010 with respect to any vested options held by the executive as of May 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Non-Employee Director Compensation and Benefits**

Our directors have not received cash retainers, committee fees, or stock option awards for their services as our directors.

Business Expenses

The directors are reimbursed for their business expenses related to their attendance at our meetings, including room, meals and transportation to and from Board and committee meetings. On rare occasions, a director's spouse may accompany a director when traveling on Biomet business. At times, a director may travel to and from our meetings on our corporate aircraft. Directors are also eligible to be reimbursed for attendance at qualified director education programs.

Director and Officer Liability (or D&O) Insurance and Travel Accident Insurance

D&O insurance individually insures our directors and officers against certain losses that they are legally required to bear as a result of their actions while performing duties on our behalf. Our D&O insurance policy does not break out the premium for directors versus officers and, therefore, a dollar amount cannot be assigned to the coverage provided for individual directors.

We also maintain an Aviation Insurance Policy that provides benefits to each director in the event of death or disability (permanent and total) during travel on our corporate aircraft. This policy also covers employees and others while traveling on our corporate aircraft and, therefore, a dollar amount cannot be assigned to the coverage provided for individual directors.

Non-Employee Directors Compensation Table

The following table shows information regarding the compensation of our non-employee directors for the 2010 fiscal year. Mr. Binder is not included in the table below because, as President and Chief Executive Officer, disclosure in respect of his compensation is presented in the Summary Compensation Table. Furthermore, as an employee director, Mr. Binder did not receive compensation in his capacity as a director.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (1)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Option Awards (\$) (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (3)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (4)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Jonathon J. Coslet	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Michael Dal Bello							
Adrian Jones							
Michael Michelson							
Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. (5)						900,000	900,000
Stephen Ko							
Todd Sisitsky							
David McVeigh							
Andrew Y. Rhee							

- (1) Represents the aggregate dollar amount of all fees earned or paid in cash for services as a director, including annual Board and committee chair retainer fees, and committee meeting fees, in each case including amounts deferred pursuant to director elections.

Table of Contents

- (2) For each director listed in the Non-Employee Directors Compensation Table above, reflects the compensation expense we recognized during the 2010 fiscal year under guidance issued by the FASB. For information concerning the assumptions used in determining the compensation expense we recognized during the 2010 fiscal year, refer to Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report.

- (3) We do not have a non-equity incentive plan for non-employee directors.

- (4) We do not have a pension plan for non-employee directors and do not pay above market or preferential rates on non-qualified deferred compensation for non-employee directors.

- (5) On May 8, 2006, Biomet, Inc. entered into a Separation, Release and Consultancy Agreement with Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. (the Miller Agreement). As previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2006, pursuant to the terms of the Miller Agreement, Dr. Miller received \$4.0 million on October 1, 2006, \$0.5 million on November 30, 2006 and has received \$0.5 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter through the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 as compensation for his consulting services. Also pursuant to the Miller Agreement, Dr. Miller was reimbursed for out-of-pocket fees and expenses relating to an off-site office and administrative support, in an amount of \$0.1 million per year, ending on August 31, 2009. Dr. Miller received the final payment during the fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2010 for \$0.5 million. On January 14, 2010, the Company entered into a new consulting agreement with Dr. Miller, pursuant to which it will pay Dr. Miller a consulting fee of \$0.25 million per fiscal year for Dr. Miller's consulting services and will reimburse Dr. Miller for out-of-pocket fees and expenses relating to an off-site office and administrative support in an amount of \$0.1 million per year. The term of the agreement extends through the earlier of September 1, 2011, an initial public offering or a change of control. The agreement also contains certain restrictive covenants prohibiting Dr. Miller from competing with the Company and soliciting employees of the Company during the term of the agreement and for a period of one year following such term. The total amount paid to Dr. Miller under the new consulting agreement during the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$0.4 million.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Parent owns all of our issued and outstanding capital stock. Holding owns 97.0% of Parent. All equity interests in Holding are owned, directly or indirectly, by the Sponsor Funds and the Co-Investors.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the ownership of as of May 31, 2010 for (a) each person known by us to own beneficially more than a 5% equity interest in Holdings, (b) each member of our board of directors, (c) each of our named executive officers, and (d) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. Biomet, Inc. has 1,000 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are owned directly by Parent. Share amounts indicated below reflect beneficial ownership, through Holding, by such entities or individuals of these 1,000 shares of Biomet, Inc.

The amounts and percentages of shares beneficially owned are reported on the basis of SEC regulations governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's ownership percentage, but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest.

Table of Contents

Except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes below, each of the beneficial owners has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated shares. Unless otherwise noted, the address of each beneficial owner is c/o Biomet, Inc., 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, Indiana 46582.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership of Biomet Common Shares	Percentage Owned
The Blackstone Group(1)	232.0	23.20%
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.(2)	232.0	23.20%
KKR Biomet, LLC(3)	237.6	23.76%
TPG Capital(4)	232.0	23.20%
Jeffrey R. Binder	*	*
Daniel P. Florin	*	*
Maggie Anderson	*	*
Glen A. Kashuba	*	*
Jon C. Serbousek	*	*
Jonathan J. Coslet(5)	232.0	23.20%
Michael Dal Bello(6)	232.0	23.20%
Adrian Jones(7)	232.0	23.20%
Stephen Ko(8)	237.6	23.76%
David McVeigh(6)	232.0	23.20%
Michael Michelson(8)	237.6	23.76%
Dane A. Miller(9)	21.3	2.13%
Andrew Y. Rhee(7)	232.0	23.20%
Todd Sisitsky(5)	232.0	23.20%
All executive officers and directors as a group (21 persons)	962.9	96.29%

* Represents less than one percent or one share, as applicable.

- (1) Biomet, Inc. shares shown as beneficially owned by The Blackstone Group reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 610,133.52800 membership units of Holding held by Blackstone Capital Partners V, L.P., (ii) 97,736.20500 membership units of Holding held by Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., (iii) 289,050.00000 membership units of Holding held by BCP V-S L.P., (iv) 32,313.00200 membership units of Holding held by Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., (v) 3,112.96000 membership units of Holding held by Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., (vi) 2,297.59715 membership units of Holding held by Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., and (vii) 273,775.86600 membership units of Holding held by BCP V Co-Investors L.P. The address of The Blackstone Group is 345 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10154.
- (2) Biomet, Inc. shares shown as beneficially owned by The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 433,679.15808 membership units of Holding held by GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., (ii) 15,413.18755 membership units of Holding held by GS Capital Partners VI GmbH & Co. KG, (iii) 360,718.75833 membership units of Holding held by GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., (iv) 119,253.84819 membership units of Holding held by GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., (v) 61,875.99000 membership units of Holding held by GS LVB Co-Invest, L.P., (vi) 63,137.95000 membership units of Holding held by Goldman Sachs BMET Investors, L.P., (vii) 184,785.45000 membership units of Holding held by Goldman Sachs BMET Investors Offshore Holdings, L.P., (viii) 44,463.81600 membership units of Holding held by GS PEP Bass Holdings, L.L.C., (ix) 6,309.80000 membership units of Holding held by Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2004-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., (x) 9,013.20000 membership units of Holding held by Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2005-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., and (xi) 9,768.00000 membership units of Holding held by Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners IX-Direct Investment Fund, L.P. The address of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. is c/o Goldman, Sachs & Co., 200 West Street, New York, NY 10282.

Table of Contents

- (3) The address of KKR Biomet, LLC is c/o Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., 2800 Sand Hill Road, Suite 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- (4) Biomet, Inc. shares shown as beneficially owned by TPG Capital reflect an aggregate of the following record ownership: (i) 50,000.00000 membership units owned by TPG Partners IV, L.P., (ii) 1,015,020.30532 membership units owned by TPG Partners V, L.P., (iii) 2,655.60483 membership units owned by TPG FOF V-A, L.P., (iv) 2,141.61680 membership units owned by TPG FOF V-B, L.P., (v) 235,843.63020 membership units owned by TPG LVB Co-Invest LLC, (vi) 2,758.00100 membership units owned by TPG LVB Co-Invest II LLC. The address of TPG Capital is 301 Commerce Street, Suite 3300, Fort Worth, TX 76102.
- (5) Includes all shares held by TPG Partners IV, L.P., TPG Partners V, L.P., TPG FOF V-A, L.P., TPG FOF V-B, L.P., TPG LVB Co-Invest LLC, and TPG LVB Co-Invest II LLC. Each of Jonathan J. Coslet and Todd Sisitsky may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of these interests due to his status as a partner of TPG Capital, and each such person disclaims beneficial ownership of any such interests in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. The address of each of Mr. Coslet and Mr. Sisitsky is c/o TPG Capital is 301 Commerce Street, Suite 3300, Fort Worth, TX 76102.
- (6) Includes all shares held by Blackstone Capital Partners V, L.P., Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., BCP V-S L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., and BCP V Co-Investors L.P. Each of Michael Dal Bello, principle, and David McVeigh, executive director, may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of these interests due to his status with The Blackstone Group, and each such person disclaims beneficial ownership of any such interests in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. The address of each of Mr. Dal Bello and Mr. Mc Veigh is c/o The Blackstone Group is 345 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10154.
- (7) Includes all shares held by GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI GmbH & Co. KG, GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., GS LVB Co-Invest, L.P., Goldman Sachs BMET Investors, L.P., Goldman Sachs BMET Investors Offshore Holdings, L.P., GS PEP Bass Holdings, L.L.C., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2004-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2005-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., and Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners IX-Direct Investment Fund, L.P. Each of Adrian Jones, managing director, and Andrew Y. Rhee, Vice President, may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of these interests due to his status with Goldman, Sachs & Co., and each such person disclaims beneficial ownership of any such interests in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. The address of Mr. Jones and Mr. Rhee is c/o Goldman, Sachs & Co., 200 West Street, New York, NY 10282.
- (8) Includes all shares held by KKR Biomet, LLC. Each of Michael Michelson and Stephen Ko may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of these interests due to his status with Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., and each such person disclaims beneficial ownership of any such interests in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. The address of each of Mr. Michelson and Mr. Ko is c/o Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., 2800 Sand Hill Road, Suite 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- (9) The business address of Dane A. Miller, Ph.D. is 700 Park Avenue, Suite G, Winona Lake, IN 46590.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

A description of our Company's transactions with related persons is included in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

Fees for professional services provided by Biomet's independent accountants in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are:

<i>(in millions)</i>	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009
Audit fees	\$ 2.1	\$ 2.4
Audit-related fees	0.1	
Tax fees	2.1	5.2
 Total	 \$ 4.3	 \$ 7.6

Fees for audit services above include those from Deloitte & Touche LLP (audit and consulting related). Fees for audit services include fees associated with the annual audit of consolidated financial statements, the reviews of the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, audit-related accounting consultations, audit-related acquisition accounting and statutory audits required internationally. Audit-related fees principally included work related to due diligence in connection with acquisitions, assistance with implementation of various rules and standards and benefit plan audits. Tax fees included tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for approving in advance all audit and permitted non-audit services to be performed for the Company by its independent accountants, subject to certain de minimis exceptions approved by the Audit Committee. Prior to the engagement of the independent accountants for the next year's audit, management, with the participation of the independent accountants, submits to the Audit Committee for approval an aggregate request for services expected to be rendered during that year for various categories of services.

Table of Contents

Part IV.

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) The following financial statements and financial statement schedules are included in Item 8 herein.

(1) Consolidated Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of May 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and May 31, 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)

Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and May 31, 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) and May 31, 2009 (Successor), and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor), June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

(3) Exhibits:

Refer to the Index to Exhibits immediately following the signature page of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Biomet, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on August 25, 2010.

BIOMET, INC.

By: /s/ JEFFREY R. BINDER
Jeffrey R. Binder
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Biomet, Inc. and in the capacities indicated on August 25, 2010.

By: /s/ JONATHAN J. COSLET
Jonathan J. Coslet, Director

By: /s/ MICHAEL DAL BELLO
Michael Dal Bello, Director

By: /s/ JEFFREY R. BINDER
Jeffrey R. Binder, President and
Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ ADRIAN JONES
Adrian Jones, Director

By: /s/ STEPHEN KO
Stephen Ko, Director

By: /s/ DAVID McVEIGH
David McVeigh, Director

By: /s/ MICHAEL MICHELSON
Michael Michelson, Director

By: /s/ DANE A. MILLER
Dane A. Miller, Director

By: /s/ ANDREW Y. RHEE
Andrew Y. Rhee, Director

By: /s/ TODD SISITSKY
Todd Sisitsky, Director

By: /s/ DANIEL P. FLORIN
Daniel P. Florin, Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

By: /s/ KEVIN J. SIERKS
Kevin J. Sierks, Vice President Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 18, 2006, amended and restated as of June 7, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., LVB Acquisition, LLC and LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2007.
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 25, 2007.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 25, 2007.
4.1	Senior Notes Indenture, dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.1.1	First Supplemental Senior Notes Indenture, dated as of October 16, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.1.2	Form of 10% Senior Notes due 2017, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.1.3	Form of 10 ³ / ₈ % / 11 ¹ / ₈ % Senior Toggle Notes due 2017, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2	Senior Subordinated Notes Indenture, dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2.1	First Supplemental Senior Subordinated Notes Indenture, dated as of October 16, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.2.2	Form of 11 ⁵ / ₈ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017, filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein, and Banc of America Securities LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Lehman Brothers Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., filed as Exhibit 4.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 16, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., the Guarantors listed therein, and Banc of America Securities LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Lehman Brothers Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., filed as Exhibit 4.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
10.1	Credit Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., LVB Acquisition, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the Other Lenders party thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.1.1	Guaranty (Cash Flow), dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition, Inc., Certain Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc. identified therein, and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.1.2	Pledge and Security Agreement (Cash Flow), dated as of September 25, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., LVB Acquisition, Inc., Certain Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc. identified therein, and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.1.3	Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, by and among Bank of America, N.A., as ABL Collateral Agent, and Bank of America, N.A., as CF Collateral Agent, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.1.4	Patent Security Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition, Inc., Biomet, Inc., Certain Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.1.5	Trademark Security Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, among LVB Acquisition, Inc., Biomet, Inc., Certain Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.2	Credit Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007, among Biomet, Inc., the Several Subsidiary Borrowers Party thereto, LVB Acquisition, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the Other Lenders Party thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.2.1	Guaranty (ABL), dated as of September 25, 2007 between LVB Acquisition, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.2.2	Pledge and Security Agreement (ABL), dated as of September 25, 2007 among Biomet, Inc., LVB Acquisition, Inc., Certain Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc. identified therein and Bank of America, N.A., filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.3	Corporate Integrity Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2007, by and between the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and Biomet, Inc., filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.3.1	Settlement Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2007, by and between Biomet, Inc. and the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
10.4	Biomet, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (Post-409A Plan), effective January 1, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.5	LVB Acquisition Management Stockholders Agreement, dated as of September 13, 2007, by and among LVB Acquisition, Inc. and the stockholders party thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 28, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.6*	Governance Acknowledgement, dated as of September 25, 2007, by and between LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC, LVB Acquisition, Inc. and Biomet, Inc.
10.7*	Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2007, by and among LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC, LVB Acquisition, Inc., Biomet, Inc. and the stockholders party thereto.
10.8	LVB Acquisition, Inc. 2007 Management Equity Incentive Plan, adopted November 16, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 dated May 6, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.9	Biomet, Inc. Executive Annual Cash Incentive Plan, effective June 1, 2008, filed as Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 28, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.10	Employment Agreement, dated as of June 11, 2008, by and among Biomet, Inc. and Jeffrey R. Binder, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.10.1	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Jeffrey R. Binder, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2009.
10.11	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2008, by and among Biomet, Inc. and Daniel P. Florin, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 28, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.11.1	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Daniel P. Florin, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.12 *	Retirement and Consulting Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2010, by and between Biomet, Inc., Biomet Europe BV and Roger Van Broeck.
10.13	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Glen A. Kashuba, filed as Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 28, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.13.1	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Glen A. Kashuba, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.14	Employment Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Jon Serbousek, filed as Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 21, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
10.14.1	First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2008, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Jon Serbousek, filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on August 21, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.15 *	Employment Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2009, by and between Biomet, Inc. and Maggie Anderson.
10.16	Separation, Release and Consultancy Agreement, dated May 8, 2006, by and among Biomet, Inc. and Dane A. Miller, Ph. D., filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.16.1	Consulting Agreement dated as of January 14, 2010 between Company and Dane A. Miller, Ph. D., filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
10.17	Indemnification Priority Agreement, dated as of January 11, 2010, among the Company, LVB Acquisition, Inc., The Blackstone Group, L.P., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., L.P. and TPG Capital, L.P. filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on January 14, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
12*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
14	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended on May 6, 2009, filed as Exhibit 14.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
21*	Subsidiaries of Biomet, Inc.
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.6

EXECUTION VERSION

GOVERNANCE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

THIS GOVERNANCE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (this Acknowledgement), effective as of September 25, 2007 (the Closing Date), is made by and between LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Holding), its subsidiary, LVB Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Parent), and the wholly owned subsidiary of Parent, and LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., an Indiana corporation (Merger Sub), and together with Parent, the Companies).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Blackstone Capital Partners V L.P., Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., BCP V-S L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI GmbH & Co. KG, GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., KKR Biomet, LLC, TPG Partners IV, L.P., TPG Partners V, L.P., TPG FOF V-A, L.P. and TPG FOF V-B, L.P. (collectively, the Sponsors) entered into the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Holding (as may be amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the LLC Agreement);

WHEREAS, the LLC Agreement includes provisions relating to the Companies, including, without limitation, preemptive rights, provisions regarding the issuance and transfer of shares in the Companies as well as certain provisions relating to the management and corporate governance of the Companies, including the Sponsors' rights to approve various corporate actions (collectively, as the same may be amended from time to time in accordance with the terms of the LLC Agreement, the Companies Provisions);

WHEREAS, the Companies and Biomet, Inc., an Indiana corporation (Biomet), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 18, 2006 (as amended and restated as of June 7, 2007, and as may be amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the Merger Agreement), pursuant to which Merger Sub will Merge with and into Biomet, with Biomet as the surviving corporation (the Merger);

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Merger Agreement and by virtue of the Merger, Biomet will assume, by operation of law, all of the liabilities and obligations of Merger Sub, including all liabilities and obligations set forth in this Acknowledgement;

WHEREAS, in connection with the Merger, the Sponsors and certain of their affiliates will, on or after the Closing Date, enter into a Management Services Agreement and a Registration Rights Agreement with the Companies and/or Biomet;

Table of Contents

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained in the Merger Agreement, the Management Services Agreement, the Registration Rights Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency and receipt of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, hereby agree as follows:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Section 1. LLC Agreement. Notwithstanding anything permitted pursuant to the constituent documents of the Companies, each Company (i) shall effectuate, and shall cause its respective subsidiaries to effectuate, the terms of the Companies Provisions as in effect from time to time and to the extent applicable to such Company and/or its respective subsidiaries and (ii) shall not take or commit to take, and shall not cause or permit any of its respective subsidiaries to take or commit to take, any action that would be inconsistent with the Companies Provisions as in effect from time to time.

Section 2. Notice. Holding hereby agrees that it will give prompt notice to each of the Companies (at the address specified in the Management Services Agreement) in the event of an amendment or any other alteration affecting any of the Companies Provisions of the LLC Agreement.

Section 3. Termination. This Acknowledgement shall terminate automatically and immediately upon the termination of the effectiveness of the last of the Companies Provisions to be in full force and effect, whether in the LLC Agreement or in any successor agreement contemplated thereby.

Section 4. Counterparts; Construction. This Acknowledgement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be an original, but all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument.

Section 5. Governing Law. This Acknowledgement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the law of the State of Delaware applicable to contracts made and to be performed entirely within that state.

Section 6. Binding Effect; Benefit. This Acknowledgement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns. Each of the Sponsors is an intended third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Table of Contents

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each party hereto has caused this Acknowledgement to be executed on its behalf by its officers thereunto duly authorized, all as of the date first above written.

LVB ACQUISITION HOLDING, LLC

By: /s/ Stephen Ko
Name: Stephen Ko
Title: Co-President

Governance Acknowledgement Agreement

Table of Contents

LVB ACQUISITION, INC.

By: /s/ Stephen Ko
Name: Stephen Ko
Title: Co-President

LVB ACQUISITION MERGER SUB, INC.

By: /s/ Stephen Ko
Name: Stephen Ko
Title: Co-President

Governance Acknowledgement Agreement

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.7

EXECUTION VERSION

AMENDED & RESTATED REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

BY AND AMONG

LVB ACQUISITION HOLDING, LLC,

LVB ACQUISITION, INC.,

BIOMET, INC.,

BANC OF AMERICA CAPITAL INVESTORS V, L.P.,

BEAR GROWTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LP,

BLACKSTONE CAPITAL PARTNERS V L.P.,

BLACKSTONE CAPITAL PARTNERS V-AC L.P.,

BCP V-S L.P.,

BLACKSTONE FAMILY INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP V L.P.,

BLACKSTONE FAMILY INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP V-A L.P.,

BLACKSTONE PARTICIPATION PARTNERSHIP V L.P.

BCP V Co-INVESTORS L.P.,

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI FUND, L.P.,

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI OFFSHORE FUND, L.P.,

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI PARALLEL, L.P.,

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI GMBH & Co. KG,

GOLDMAN SACHS BMET INVESTORS, L.P.,

GOLDMAN SACHS BMET INVESTORS OFFSHORE HOLDINGS, L.P.,

GS LVB Co-INVEST L.P.,

GS PEP BASS HOLDINGS, L.L.C.,

GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS, 2004-DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND, L.P.,

GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS, 2005-DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND, L.P.,

GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS IX-DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND, L.P.,

KKR BIOMET, LLC,

TPG PARTNERS IV, L.P.,

TPG PARTNERS V, L.P.,

TPG FOF V-A, L.P.,

TPG FOF V-B, L.P.,

TPG LVB Co-INVEST LLC,

TPG LVB Co-INVEST II LLC,

AND

WCP FUND II, L.P.

DATED AS OF SEPTEMBER 27, 2007

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ARTICLE I	
DEFINITIONS	
Section 1.01. Defined Terms	2
Section 1.02. Other Interpretive Provisions	7
ARTICLE II	
REGISTRATION RIGHTS	
Section 2.01. Demand Registration	7
Section 2.02. Shelf Registration	10
Section 2.03. Piggyback Registration	12
Section 2.04. Black-out Periods	13
Section 2.05. Registration Procedures	14
Section 2.06. Underwritten Offerings	20
Section 2.07. No Inconsistent Agreements; Additional Rights	22
Section 2.08. Registration Expenses	22
Section 2.09. Indemnification	22
Section 2.10. Rules 144 and 144A and Regulation S	26
ARTICLE III	
MISCELLANEOUS	
Section 3.01. Term	26
Section 3.02. Existing Registration Statements	26
Section 3.03. Other Activities	26
Section 3.04. Injunctive Relief	27
Section 3.05. Attorneys Fees	27
Section 3.07. Amendment	29
Section 3.08. Successors, Assigns and Transferees	29
Section 3.09. Binding Effect	29
Section 3.10. Third Parties	29
Section 3.11. Governing Law; Jurisdiction	30
Section 3.12. Waiver of Jury Trail	30
Section 3.13. Severability	30
Section 3.14. Counterparts	30
Section 3.15. Headings	30

Table of Contents

AMENDED & RESTATED REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

AMENDED & RESTATED REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT (the Agreement), dated as of September 27, 2007, by and among LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Holding), LVB Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Parent), Biomet, Inc., an Indiana corporation (Biomet), Banc of America Capital Investors V, L.P., Bear Growth Capital Partners, LP, Blackstone Capital Partners V L.P., Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., BCP V-s L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., BCP V Co-Investors L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI GmbH & Co. KG, Goldman Sachs BMET Investors, L.P., Goldman Sachs BMET Investors Offshore Holdings, L.P., GS PEP Bass Holdings, L.L.C., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2004-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2005-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners IX-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., KKR Biomet, LLC, TPG Partners IV, L.P., TPG Partners V, L.P., TPG FOF V-A, L.P., TPG FOF V-B, L.P., TPG LVB Co-Invest LLC, TPG LVB Co-Invest II LLC and WCP Fund II, L.P.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Holding, Parent, LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., an Indiana corporation (Merger Sub), Blackstone Capital Partners V L.P., Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., BCP V-S L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., KKR Biomet, LLC, TPG Partners IV, L.P., TPG Partners V, L.P., TPG FOF V-A, L.P. and TPG FOF V-B, L.P. entered into a Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2007 (the Original Agreement), setting forth certain registration rights applicable to the Registrable Securities (as defined below);

WHEREAS, each of Parent and Merger Sub engaged in a transaction in which Merger Sub merged with and into Biomet, with Biomet as the surviving corporation (the Merger), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 18, 2006 (as amended and restated as of June 7, 2007, the Merger Agreement), pursuant to which Biomet assumed all of the liabilities and obligations of Merger Sub, including all obligations and liabilities under the Original Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the parties to the Original Agreement desire to amend and restate in their entirety the terms of the Original Agreement.

Table of Contents

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the mutual promises, covenants and agreements of the parties hereto, and for other good and valuable consideration the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

SECTION 1.01. Defined Terms. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

Adverse Disclosure means public disclosure of material non-public information that, in the Board's good faith judgment, after consultation with independent outside counsel to the Issuer, (i) would be required to be made in any Registration Statement or report filed with the SEC by the Issuer so that such Registration Statement or report would not be materially misleading; (ii) would not be required to be made at such time but for the filing of such Registration Statement; and (iii) the Issuer has a bona fide business purpose for not disclosing publicly.

Affiliate has the meaning specified in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act; provided, that no Holder shall be deemed an Affiliate of the Issuer or any of its subsidiaries for purposes of this Agreement.

Agreement has the meaning set forth in the preamble.

Bank Holders means, collectively, Banc of America Capital Investors V, L.P., Bear Growth Capital Partners, LP and WCP Fund II, L.P. and their respective Affiliates and permitted assignees hereunder.

Biomet has the meaning set forth in the preamble and shall include Biomet's successors by merger, acquisition, reorganization, conversion or otherwise.

Blackstone means, collectively, Blackstone Capital Partners V L.P., Blackstone Capital Partners V-AC L.P., BCP V-S L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V L.P., Blackstone Family Investment Partnership V-A L.P., Blackstone Participation Partnership V L.P., BCP V Co-Investors L.P. and their respective Affiliates and permitted assignees hereunder, and except as provided herein, shall act through Blackstone Capital Partners V L.P.

Board means the board of directors of the Issuer.

Business Day means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday or a day on which commercial banks located in New York, New York are required or authorized by law to be closed.

Common Share Equivalents means securities (including, without limitation, warrants) exercisable, exchangeable or convertible into Common Shares.

Common Shares means the membership units or shares of common stock, as the case may be, of the Issuer, and any securities into which such membership unit or shares of common stock shall have been changed or any securities resulting from any reclassification or recapitalization of such membership units or shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

Demand Notice has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(e).

Demand Period has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(d).

Demand Registration has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(a)(i).

Demand Registration Statement has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(a)(iii).

Demand Suspension has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(f).

Demanding Sponsor has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(a)(i).

Effectiveness Date means the date on which Holders are no longer subject to any underwriter's lock-up or other similar contractual restriction on the sale of Registrable Securities (excluding, for the avoidance of doubt, the Holding LLC Agreement or any shareholders agreement entered into pursuant to the Holding LLC Agreement) in connection with the Issuer's IPO.

Exchange Act means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any successor thereto, and any rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, all as the same shall be in effect from time to time.

FINRA means the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

Goldman Sachs means, collectively, GS Capital Partners VI Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Offshore Fund, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., GS Capital Partners VI GmbH & Co. KG, Goldman Sachs BMET Investors, L.P., Goldman Sachs BMET Investors Offshore Holdings, L.P., GS LVB Co-Invest L.P., GS PEP Bass Holdings, L.L.C., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2004-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners, 2005-Direct Investment Fund, L.P., Goldman Sachs Private Equity Partners IX-Direct Investment Fund, L.P. and their respective Affiliates and permitted assignees hereunder, and except as provided herein, shall act through GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P.

Holder means any holder of Registrable Securities who is a party hereto or who succeeds to rights hereunder pursuant to Section 3.08.

Holding has the meaning set forth in the preamble and shall include Holding's successors by merger, acquisition, reorganization, conversion or otherwise.

Holding LLC Agreement means the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Holding, dated as of the date hereof, as amended, modified or supplemented from time to time.

Table of Contents

Initial Demand Period means the time period between the Effectiveness Date and the second anniversary of the IPO.

Initial Public Offering or IPO means the first underwritten public offering and sale of equity securities of Holding, Parent, Merger Sub or any of their respective successors for cash pursuant to an effective Registration Statement (other than on Form S-4, S-8 or a comparable form) under the Securities Act.

Issuer means the first of Holding, Parent or Merger Sub to offer its equity securities for sale in an IPO.

Issuer Free Writing Prospectus means an issuer free writing prospectus, as defined in Rule 433 under the Securities Act, relating to an offer of the Registrable Securities.

Issuer Public Sale has the meaning set forth in Section 2.03(a).

Issuer Shares means Common Shares and Common Share Equivalents.

KKR means, collectively, KKR Biomet, LLC and its Affiliates and permitted assignees hereunder, and except as provided herein, shall act through KKR Biomet, LLC.

Long-Form Registration Statement has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(a)(i).

Loss or Losses has the meaning set forth in Section 2.09(a).

Material Adverse Change means (i) any general suspension of trading in, or limitation on prices for, securities on any national securities exchange or in the over-the-counter market in the United States; (ii) the declaration of a banking moratorium or any suspension of payments in respect of banks in the United States; (iii) a material outbreak or escalation of armed hostilities or other international or national calamity involving the United States or the declaration by the United States of a national emergency or war or a change in national or international financial, political or economic conditions; and (iv) any event, change, circumstance or effect that is or is reasonably likely to be materially adverse to the business, properties, assets, liabilities, condition (financial or otherwise), operations, results of operations or prospects of the Issuer and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

Merger has the meaning set forth in the recitals.

Merger Agreement has the meaning set forth in the recitals.

Merger Sub has the meaning set forth in the recitals and shall include Merger Sub's successors by merger, acquisition, reorganization, conversion or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Original Agreement has the meaning set forth in the recitals.

Parent has the meaning set forth in the preamble and shall include Parent's successors by merger, acquisition, reorganization, conversion or otherwise.

Participating Holder means, with respect to any Registration, any Holder of Registrable Securities covered by the applicable Registration Statement.

Person means an individual, corporation, association, limited liability company, limited liability partnership, partnership, estate, trust, joint venture, unincorporated organization or a government or any agency or political subdivision thereof.

Piggyback Registration has the meaning set forth in Section 2.03(a).

Prospectus means the prospectus included in any Registration Statement, all amendments and supplements to such prospectus, including pre- and post-effective amendments to such Registration Statement, and all other material incorporated by reference in such prospectus.

Qualifying Sponsor has the meaning set forth in the Holding LLC Agreement.

Registrable Securities means any Issuer Shares held by any Holder and any securities held by any Holder that may be issued or distributed or be issuable in respect of any Issuer Shares by way of conversion, dividend, stock split or other distribution, merger, consolidation, exchange, recapitalization or reclassification or similar transaction; provided, that any such Registrable Securities shall cease to be Registrable Securities to the extent (i) a Registration Statement with respect to the sale of such Registrable Securities has become effective under the Securities Act and such Registrable Securities have been disposed of in accordance with the plan of distribution set forth in such Registration Statement, (ii) such Registrable Securities have been sold pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act (or any similar or analogous rule promulgated under the Securities Act), (iii) such Registrable Securities shall have been otherwise transferred and new certificates for them not bearing a legend restricting transfer under the Securities Act shall have been delivered by the Issuer and such securities may be publicly resold without Registration under the Securities Act or (iv) with respect to Registrable Securities held by any of the Sponsors, the Holder thereof, together with its Affiliates, beneficially owns less than 2% (two percent) of the Registrable Securities that are outstanding at such time and such Holder and its Affiliates are able to dispose of all of their Registrable Securities in any 90-day period pursuant to Rule 144 (or any similar or analogous rule promulgated under the Securities Act).

Registration means a registration with the SEC of the Issuer's securities for offer and sale to the public under a Registration Statement. The terms Register and Registered shall have a correlative meaning.

Registration Expenses has the meaning set forth in Section 2.08.

Table of Contents

Registration Statement means any registration statement of the Issuer filed with, or to be filed with, the SEC under the rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Act, including the related Prospectus, amendments and supplements to such registration statement, including pre- and post-effective amendments, and all exhibits and all material incorporated by reference in such registration statement.

Representatives means, with respect to any Person, any of such Person's officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, actuaries, consultants, equity financing partners or financial advisors or other Person associated with, or acting on behalf of, such Person.

Requisite Sponsor Consent has the meaning set forth in the Holding LLC Agreement.

SEC means the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities Act means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any successor thereto, and any rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, all as the same shall be in effect from time to time.

Shelf Period has the meaning set forth in Section 2.02(b).

Shelf Registration means a Registration effected pursuant to Section 2.02.

Shelf Registration Statement means a Registration Statement of the Issuer filed with the SEC on either (i) Form S-3 (or any successor form or other appropriate form under the Securities Act) or (ii) if the Issuer is not permitted to file a Registration Statement on Form S-3, an evergreen Registration Statement on Form S-1 (or any successor form or other appropriate form under the Securities Act), in each case for an offering to be made on a continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act (or any similar rule that may be adopted by the SEC) covering the Registrable Securities, as applicable.

Shelf Suspension has the meaning set forth in Section 2.02(d).

Short-Form Registration Statement has the meaning set forth in Section 2.01(a)(i).

Sponsors means each of Blackstone, Goldman Sachs, KKR and TPG.

TPG means, collectively, TPG Partners IV, L.P., TPG Partners V, L.P., TPG FOF V-A, L.P., TPG FOF V-B, L.P., TPG LVB Co-Invest LLC, TPG LVB Co-Invest II LLC and their respective Affiliates and permitted assignees hereunder, and except as provided herein, shall act through TPG Partners V, L.P.

Underwritten Offering means a Registration in which securities of the Issuer are sold to an underwriter or underwriters on a firm commitment basis for reoffering to the public.

Table of Contents

SECTION 1.02. Other Interpretive Provisions. (a) The meanings of defined terms are equally applicable to the singular and plural forms thereof.

(b) The words hereof , herein , hereunder and similar words refer to this Agreement as a whole and not to any particular provision of this Agreement; and any subsection, Section, Exhibit, Schedule and Annex references are to this Agreement unless otherwise specified.

(c) The term Including is not limiting and means including without limitation .

(d) The captions and heading of this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect the interpretation of this Agreement.

(e) Whenever the context requires, any pronouns used herein shall include the corresponding masculine, feminine or neuter forms.

ARTICLE II

REGISTRATION RIGHTS

SECTION 2.01. Demand Registration.

(a) Demand by the Sponsors.

(i) If, at any time after the Effectiveness Date, there is no currently effective Shelf Registration Statement on file with the SEC then, except as provided in Section 2.02(a), (x) a Sponsor or Sponsors beneficially owning (together with its Affiliates), directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, (i) during the Initial Demand Period, not less than forty-five percent (45%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors or (ii) after the Initial Demand Period, not less than thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors, may make a written request to the Issuer for Registration of all or part of the Registrable Securities held by such Sponsors on Form S-1 or any similar long-form registration statement (a Long-Form Registration Statement), or (y) any Sponsor may make a written request to the Issuer for Registration of all or part of the Registrable Securities held by such Sponsor on Form S-3 or any similar short-form registration statement (a Short-Form Registration Statement) if the Issuer is qualified to use such short form. Any such requested Long-Form Registration or Short-Form Registration shall hereinafter be referred to as a Demand Registration , and any Sponsor requesting such Demand Registration shall hereinafter be referred to as a Demanding Sponsor . Each request for a Demand Registration shall specify the kind and aggregate amount of Registrable Securities to be Registered and the intended methods of disposition thereof.

Table of Contents

(ii) The value of Registrable Securities that the Demanding Sponsors propose to sell in such offering must be at least fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000) or such lower amount as agreed by Requisite Sponsor Consent (or the Issuer if there are no Qualifying Sponsors remaining).

(iii) Within (i) ninety (90) days in the case of a request for a Long-Form Registration or (ii) thirty (30) days in the case of a request for a Short-Form Registration, the Issuer shall file a Registration Statement relating to such Demand Registration (a Demand Registration Statement), and shall use its reasonable best efforts to cause such Demand Registration Statement to promptly become effective under the Securities Act and to qualify under the Blue Sky laws of such jurisdictions as any Participating Holder or any underwriter, if any, reasonably requests.

(b) Limitation on Demand Registrations. The Demanding Sponsors shall have the right to request an unlimited number of Long-Form Registrations and Short-Form Registrations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, (i) the Demanding Sponsors may request no more than two (2) Demand Registrations in any one hundred eighty (180)-day period without Requisite Sponsor Consent and (ii) in no event shall the Issuer be required to effect more than four (4) Demand Registrations in any twelve (12)-month period.

(c) Demand Withdrawal. A Demanding Sponsor and any other Holder that has requested its Registrable Securities be included in a Demand Registration pursuant to Section 2.01(e) may withdraw all or any portion of its Registrable Securities included in a Demand Registration from such Demand Registration at any time prior to the effectiveness of the applicable Demand Registration Statement. Upon receipt of a notice to such effect from a Demanding Sponsor with respect to all of the Registrable Securities included by such Sponsor in such Demand Registration, the Issuer shall cease all efforts to secure effectiveness of the applicable Demand Registration Statement; provided, that if a Demanding Sponsor withdraws all of its Registrable Securities from a Demand Registration pertaining to a Long-Form Registration Statement, the Issuer shall cease all efforts to secure effectiveness of the Long-Form Registration Statement only if (i) the Demanding Sponsors that have not withdrawn all of their Registrable Securities from such Demand Registration beneficially own (together with their Affiliates), directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, less than the amount of Registrable Securities specified in clause (x) of Section 2.01(a)(i), or (ii) the value of the Registrable Securities that the remaining Demanding Sponsors propose to sell in such offering is less than fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000) or such lower amount as agreed by Requisite Sponsor Consent. In the event that the Issuer shall cease all efforts to secure effectiveness of the applicable Demand Registration Statement pursuant to the preceding sentence, the withdrawing Demanding Sponsor or Demanding Sponsors, as the case may be, shall pay or reimburse the Issuer for all reasonable and documented out-of-pocket fees and expenses incurred by the Issuer in connection with the Registration and such Registration shall not be deemed a Demand Registration for purposes of Section 2.01(b); provided, that the withdrawing Demanding Sponsor or Demanding Sponsor, as the case may be, shall not be required to pay or reimburse the Issuer for any such fees and expenses if such withdrawal is made following the occurrence of a Material Adverse Change.

Table of Contents

(d) **Effective Registration.** The Issuer shall be deemed to have effected a Demand Registration if the Demand Registration Statement has become effective and remains effective for not less than one hundred eighty (180) days (or such shorter period as shall terminate when all Registrable Securities covered by such Demand Registration Statement have been sold or withdrawn), or if such Registration Statement relates to an Underwritten Offering, such longer period as, in the opinion of counsel for the underwriter or underwriters, a Prospectus is required by law to be delivered in connection with sales of Registrable Securities by an underwriter or dealer (the applicable period, the Demand Period). No Demand Registration shall be deemed to have been effected if (i) during the Demand Period such Registration is interfered with by any stop order, injunction or other order or requirement of the SEC or other governmental agency or court or (ii) the conditions to closing specified in the underwriting agreement, if any, entered into in connection with such Registration are not satisfied other than by reason of a wrongful act, misrepresentation or breach of such applicable underwriting agreement by the Demanding Sponsor.

(e) **Demand Notice.** Promptly upon receipt of any request for a Demand Registration pursuant to Section 2.01(a)(i) (but in no event more than five (5) Business Days thereafter), the Issuer shall deliver a written notice (a Demand Notice) of any such Registration request to all other Holders, and the Issuer shall include in such Demand Registration all such Registrable Securities with respect to which the Issuer has received written requests for inclusion therein within ten (10) Business Days after the date that the Demand Notice has been delivered. All requests made pursuant to this Section 2.01(e) shall specify the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities to be Registered and the intended method of distribution of such securities.

(f) **Delay in Filing; Suspension of Registration.** If the filing, initial effectiveness or continued use of a Demand Registration Statement at any time would require the Issuer to make an Adverse Disclosure, the Issuer may, upon giving prompt written notice of such action to the Holders, delay the filing or initial effectiveness of, or suspend use of, the Demand Registration Statement (a Demand Suspension); provided, that the Issuer shall not be permitted to exercise a Demand Suspension or Shelf Suspension (as defined in Section 2.02(d)) (i) more than once during any twelve (12)-month period, or (ii) for a period exceeding thirty (30) days on any one occasion. In the case of a Demand Suspension, the Holders agree to suspend use of the applicable Prospectus and any Issuer Free Writing Prospectuses in connection with any sale or purchase, or offer to sell or purchase, Registrable Securities, upon receipt of the notice referred to above. The Issuer shall immediately notify the Holders upon the termination of any Demand Suspension, amend or supplement the Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, if necessary, so it does not contain any untrue statement or omission and furnish to the Holders such numbers of copies of the Prospectus as so amended or supplemented or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus as the Holders may reasonably request. The Issuer shall, if necessary, supplement or make amendments to the Demand Registration Statement, if required by the registration form used by the Issuer for the Demand Registration or by the instructions applicable to such registration form or by the Securities Act or the rules or regulations promulgated thereunder or as may reasonably be requested by the Demanding Sponsor or Demanding Sponsors, as the case may be.

Table of Contents

(g) **Underwritten Offering.** If so requested by one or more Demanding Sponsors, an offering of Registrable Securities pursuant to a Demand Registration shall be in the form of an Underwritten Offering, and such Demanding Sponsors shall have the right to select the managing underwriter or underwriters to administer the offering; **provided,** that such managing underwriter or underwriters shall be reasonably acceptable to the Issuer and the other Sponsors.

(h) **Priority of Securities Registered Pursuant to Demand Registrations.** If the managing underwriter or underwriters of a proposed Underwritten Offering of the Registrable Securities included in a Demand Registration (or, in the case of a Demand Registration not being underwritten, the Demanding Sponsors), advise the Board in writing that, in its or their opinion, the number of securities requested to be included in such Demand Registration exceeds the number which can be sold in such offering without being likely to have a significant adverse effect on the price, timing or distribution of the securities offered or the market for the securities offered, the securities to be included in such Demand Registration shall be allocated, (i) first, **pro rata** among the Holders (including any Demanding Sponsor) that have requested to participate in such Demand Registration based on the relative number of Registrable Securities then held by each such Holder; **provided,** that any securities thereby allocated to a Holder that exceed such Holder's request shall be reallocated among the remaining requesting Holders in like manner, and (ii) next, and only if all the securities referred to in clause (i) have been included, the number of securities that the Issuer proposes to include in such Registration that, in the opinion of the managing underwriter or underwriters (or the Sponsors, as the case may be) can be sold without having such adverse effect.

(i) In the event any Holder requests to participate in a registration pursuant to this Section 2.01 in connection with a distribution of Registrable Securities to its partners or members, the registration shall provide for resale by such partners or members, if requested by the Holder.

SECTION 2.02. **Shelf Registration.**

(a) **Filing.** After the Effectiveness Date, as promptly as practicable following a request as may be made from time to time by one or more Sponsors beneficially owning (together with their Affiliates), directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, (x) during the Initial Demand Period, not less than forty-five percent (45%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors or (y) after the Initial Demand Period, not less than thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors, the Issuer shall file with the SEC a Shelf Registration Statement relating to the offer and sale of all Registrable Securities by the Holders from time to time in accordance with the methods of distribution elected by such Holders and set forth in the Shelf Registration Statement and, as promptly as practicable thereafter, shall use its reasonable best efforts to cause such Shelf Registration Statement to become effective under the Securities Act. If, on the date of any such request, the Issuer does not qualify to file a Shelf Registration Statement under the Securities Act, the provisions of this Section 2.02 shall not apply, and the provisions of Section 2.01 shall apply instead.

Table of Contents

(b) **Continued Effectiveness.** The Issuer shall use its reasonable best efforts to keep such Shelf Registration Statement continuously effective under the Securities Act in order to permit the Prospectus forming a part thereof to be usable by Holders until the earlier of (i) the date as of which all Registrable Securities have been sold pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement or another Registration Statement filed under the Securities Act (but in no event prior to the applicable period referred to in Section 4(3) of the Securities Act and Rule 174 thereunder) and (ii) the date as of which each of the Holders is permitted to sell its Registrable Securities without Registration pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act without volume limitation or other restriction on transfer thereunder (such period of effectiveness, the Shelf Period). Subject to Section 2.02(d), the Issuer shall not be deemed to have used its reasonable best efforts to keep the Shelf Registration Statement effective during the Shelf Period if the Issuer voluntarily takes any action or omits to take any action that would result in Holders of Registrable Securities covered thereby not being able to offer and sell any Registrable Securities pursuant to such Shelf Registration Statement during the Shelf Period, unless such action or omission is required by applicable law.

(c) **Shelf Notice.** Promptly upon receipt of any request to file a Shelf Registration Statement (but in no event more than five (5) Business Days thereafter), the Issuer shall deliver a written notice (a Shelf Notice) of any such request to all other Holders specifying the amount of Registrable Securities to be Registered.

(d) **Suspension of Registration.** If the continued use of such Shelf Registration Statement at any time would require the Issuer to make an Adverse Disclosure, the Issuer may, upon giving at least ten (10) days prior written notice of such action to the Holders, suspend use of the shelf Registration Statement (a Shelf Suspension); provided, that the Issuer shall not be permitted to exercise a Shelf Suspension or Demand Suspension (i) more than one time during any twelve (12)-month period, or (ii) for a period exceeding thirty (30) days on any one occasion. In the case of a Shelf Suspension, the Holders agree to suspend use of the applicable Prospectus and any Issuer Free Writing Prospectuses in connection with any sale or purchase of, or offer to sell or purchase, Registrable Securities, upon receipt of the notice referred to above. The Issuer shall immediately notify the Holders upon the termination of any Shelf Suspension, amend or supplement the Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, if necessary, so it does not contain any untrue statement or omission and furnish to the Holders such numbers of copies of the Prospectus as so amended or supplemented or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus as the Holders may reasonably request. The Issuer shall, if necessary, supplement or make amendments to the Shelf Registration Statement, if required by the registration form used by the Issuer for the Shelf Registration or by the instructions applicable to such registration form or by the Securities Act or the rules or regulations promulgated thereunder or as may reasonably be requested by the Sponsors.

(e) **Underwritten Offering.** If a Sponsor or Sponsors holding, directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, (x) during the Initial Demand Period, not less than forty-five percent (45%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors or (y) after the Initial Demand Period, not less than thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the Registrable Securities then held by all of the Sponsors so elect, an offering of Registrable Securities pursuant to the Shelf Registration Statement shall be in the form of an Underwritten Offering, and the

Table of Contents

Issuer shall amend or supplement the Shelf Registration Statement for such purpose, and such Sponsor(s) shall have the right to select the managing underwriter or underwriters to administer such offering; provided, that such managing underwriter or underwriters shall be reasonably acceptable to the Issuer and the other Sponsors. The provisions of Section 2.01(h) shall apply to any underwritten offering pursuant to this Section 2.02(e).

SECTION 2.03. Piggyback Registration.

(a) Participation. If the Issuer at any time proposes to file a Registration Statement under the Securities Act with respect to any offering of its equity securities for its own account or for the account of any other Persons (other than (i) a Registration under Section 2.01 or Section 2.02, (ii) in connection with the IPO except upon Requisite Sponsor Consent, (iii) a Registration on Form S-4 or S-8 or any successor form to such Forms or (iv) a Registration of securities solely relating to an offering and sale to employees or directors of the Issuer pursuant to any employee stock plan or other employee benefit plan arrangement) (an Issuer Public Sale), then, as soon as practicable (but in no event less than forty-five (45) days prior to the proposed date of filing of such Registration Statement), the Issuer shall give written notice of such proposed filing to the Holders, and such notice shall offer the Holders the opportunity to Register under such Registration Statement such number of Registrable Securities as each such Holder may request in writing (a Piggyback Registration). Subject to Section 2.03(b), the Issuer shall include in such Registration Statement all such Registrable Securities that are requested to be included therein within fifteen (15) days after the receipt by such Holders of any such notice; provided, that if at any time after giving written notice of its intention to Register any securities and prior to the effective date of the Registration Statement filed in connection with such Registration, the Issuer shall determine for any reason not to Register or to delay Registration of such securities, the Issuer shall give written notice of such determination to each Holder and, thereupon, (i) in the case of a determination not to Register, shall be relieved of its obligation to Register any Registrable Securities in connection with such Registration (but not from its obligation to pay the Registration Expenses in connection therewith), without prejudice, however, to the rights of the Sponsors to request that such Registration be effected as a Demand Registration under Section 2.01, and (ii) in the case of a determination to delay Registering, in the absence of a request for a Demand Registration, shall be permitted to delay Registering any Registrable Securities, for the same period as the delay in Registering such other securities. If the offering pursuant to such Registration Statement is to be underwritten, then each Holder making a request for a Piggyback Registration pursuant to this Section 2.03(a) must, and the Issuer shall make such arrangements with the managing underwriter or underwriters so that each such Holder may, participate in such Underwritten Offering. IF the offering pursuant to such Registration Statement is to be on any other basis, then each Holder making a request for a Piggyback Registration pursuant to this Section 2.03(a) must, and the Issuer shall make such arrangements so that each such Holder may, participate in such offering on such basis.

(b) Priority of Piggyback Registration. If the managing underwriter or underwriters of any proposed Underwritten Offering of Registrable Securities included in a Piggyback Registration informs the Issuer and the Holders of Registrable Securities in writing that, in its or their opinion, the number of securities which such Holders and any other Persons intend to include in such offering exceeds the number which can be sold in such offering without

Table of Contents

being likely to have a significant adverse effect on the price, timing or distribution of the securities offered or the market for the securities offered, then the securities to be included in such Registration shall be (i) first, 100% of the securities proposed to be sold in such Registration by the Issuer or (subject to Section 2.07) any Person (other than a holder) exercising a contractual right to demand Registration, as the case may be, and (ii) second, and only if all the securities referred to in clause (i) have been included, the number of Registrable Securities that, in the opinion of such managing underwriter or underwriters, can be sold without having such adverse effect, with such number to be allocated pro rata among the Holders that have requested to participate in such Registration based on the relative number of Registrable Securities then held by each such Holder; provided, that any securities thereby allocated to a Holder that exceed such Holder's request shall be reallocated among the remaining requesting Holders in like manner and (iii) third, and only if all of the Registrable Securities referred to in clause (ii) have been included in such Registration, any other securities eligible for inclusion in such Registration.

(c) Withdrawal. Any Holder shall have the right to withdraw all or part of its request for inclusion of its Registrable Securities in a Piggyback Registration by giving written notice to the Issuer of its request to withdraw; provided, that (i) such request must be made in writing prior to the effectiveness of such Registration Statement and (ii) such withdrawal shall be irrevocable and, after making such withdrawal, a Holder shall no longer have any right to include Registrable Securities in the Piggyback Registration as to which such withdrawal was made.

(d) No Effect on Demand Registrations. No Registration of Registrable Securities effected pursuant to a request under this Section 2.03 shall be deemed to have been effected pursuant to Section 2.01 and Section 2.02 or shall relieve the Issuer of its obligations under Section 2.01 or Section 2.02.

SECTION 2.04. Black-out Periods.

(a) Black-out Periods for Holders. In the event of an Issuer Public Sale of the Issuer's equity securities in an Underwritten Offering, the Holders agree, if requested by the managing underwriter or underwriters in such Underwritten Offering, not to effect any public sale or distribution of any securities (except, in each case, as part of the applicable Registration, if permitted) that are the same as or similar to those being Registered in connection with such Issuer Public Sale, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for such securities, during the period beginning seven (7) days before and ending one hundred eighty (180) days (in the event of the Issuer's IPO) or ninety (90) days (in the event of any other Issuer Public Sale) (or, in either case, such lesser period as may be permitted for all Holders by the Issuer or such managing underwriter or underwriters) after the effective date of the Registration Statement filed in connection with such Registration to the extent timely notified in writing by the Issuer or the managing underwriter or underwriters; provided, that such restriction shall not apply to (i) securities acquired in the public market subsequent to the IPO, (ii) distributions-in-kind to a Holder's partners or members and (iii) transfers to Affiliates but only if such Affiliates agree to be bound by the restrictions herein.

Table of Contents

(b) Black-out Period for the Issuer and Others. In the case of a Registration of Registrable Securities pursuant to Section 2.01 or Section 2.02 for an Underwritten Offering, the Issuer and the Holders agree, if requested by the participating Sponsors or the managing underwriter or underwriters with respect to such Registration, not to effect any public sale or distribution of any securities that are the same as or similar to those being Registered, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for such securities, during the period beginning seven (7) days before and ending ninety (90) days (or such lesser period as may be permitted for the Issuer and all Holders by the participating Sponsors or such managing underwriter or underwriters) after the effective date of the Registration Statement filed in connection with such Registration (or, in the case of an offering under a Shelf Registration Statement, the date of the closing under the underwriting agreement in connection therewith), to the extent timely notified in writing by the participating Sponsors or the managing underwriter or underwriters. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Issuer may effect a public sale or distribution of securities of the type described above and during the periods described above if such sale or distribution is made pursuant to Registrations on Form S-4 or S-8 or any successor form to such Forms or as part of any Registration of securities for offering and sale to employees or directors of the Issuer pursuant to any employee stock plan or other employee benefit plan arrangement. The Issuer agrees to use its reasonable best efforts to obtain from each holder of restricted securities of the Issuer which securities are the same as or similar to the Registrable Securities being Registered, or any restricted securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any of such securities, an agreement not to effect any public sale or distribution of such securities during any such period referred to in this paragraph, except as part of any such Registration, if permitted. Without limiting the foregoing (but subject to Section 2.07), if after the date hereof the Issuer grants any Person (other than a Holder) any rights to demand or participate in a Registration, the Issuer agrees that the agreement with respect thereto shall include such Person's agreement to comply with any black-out period required by this Section as if it were a Holder hereunder.

SECTION 2.05. Registration Procedures.

(a) In connection with the Issuer's Registration obligations under Section 2.01, Section 2.02 and Section 2.03, the Issuer shall use its reasonable best efforts to effect such Registration to permit the sale of such Registrable Securities in accordance with the intended method or methods of distribution thereof as expeditiously as reasonably practicable, and in connection therewith the Issuer shall:

(i) prepare the required Registration Statement including all exhibits and financial statements required under the Securities Act to be filed therewith, and before filing a Registration Statement, Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, or any amendments or supplements thereto, (x) furnish to the underwriters, if any, and to Participating Holders, copies of all documents prepared to be filed, which documents shall be subject to the review of such underwriters and such Holders and their respective counsel and (y) except in the case of a Registration under Section 2.03, not file any Registration Statement, Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or amendments or supplements thereto to which the participating Sponsors or the underwriters, if any, shall reasonably object;

Table of Contents

(ii) as soon as reasonably practicable (but in no event later than thirty (30) days after a request for a Demand Registration or Shelf Registration on Form S-3 or ninety (90) days after a request for a Demand Registration or Shelf Registration on Form S-1) file with the SEC a Registration Statement relating to the Registrable Securities, including all exhibits and financial statements required by the SEC to be filed therewith, and use its reasonable best efforts to cause such Registration Statement to become effective under the Securities Act as soon as practicable;

(iii) prepare and file with the SEC such pre- and post-effective amendments to such Registration Statement, supplements or amendments to the Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus as may be (x) reasonably requested by a participating Sponsor, (y) reasonably requested by any other Participating Holder (to the extent such request relates to information relating to such Holder), or (z) necessary to keep such Registration effective for the period of time required by this Agreement, and comply with provisions of the applicable securities laws with respect to the sale or other disposition of all securities covered by such Registration Statement during such period in accordance with the intended method or methods of disposition by the sellers thereof set forth in such Registration Statement;

(iv) notify the Participating Holders and the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, and (if requested) confirm such advice in writing and provide copies of the relevant documents, as soon as reasonably practicable after notice thereof is received by the Issuer (a) when the applicable Registration Statement or any amendment thereof has been filed or becomes effective, and when the applicable Prospectus, any amendment or supplement to such Prospectus, any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or any amendment or supplement to such Issuer Free Writing Prospectus has been filed, (b) of any written comments by the SEC or any request by the SEC or any other federal or state governmental authority for amendments or supplements to such Registration Statement, such Prospectus, such Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or for additional information, (c) of the issuance by the SEC of any stop order suspending the effectiveness of such Registration Statement or any order by the SEC or any other regulatory authority preventing or suspending the use of any preliminary or final Prospectus or the initiation or threatening of any proceedings for such purposes, (d) if, at any time, the representations and warranties of the Issuer in any applicable underwriting agreement cease to be true and correct in all material respects, and (e) of the receipt by the Issuer of any notification with respect to the suspension of the qualification of the Registrable Securities for offering or sale in any jurisdiction or the initiation or threatening of any proceeding for such purpose;

(v) promptly notify the Participating Holders and the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, when the Issuer becomes aware of the happening of any event as a result of which the applicable Registration Statement, Prospectus (as then in effect) or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus contains any untrue statement of a material fact or

Table of Contents

omits to state a material fact necessary to make the statements therein (in the case of such Prospectus, any preliminary Prospectus or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, in light of the circumstances under which they were made) not misleading, when any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus includes information that may conflict with the information contained in the Registration Statement, or, if for any other reason it shall be necessary during such time period to amend or supplement such Registration Statement, Prospectus or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus in order to comply with the Securities Act and, in either case as promptly as reasonably practicable thereafter, prepare and file with the SEC, and furnish without charge to the Participating Holders and the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, an amendment or supplement to such Registration Statement, Prospectus or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus which shall correct such misstatement or omission or effect such compliance;

(vi) use its reasonable best efforts to prevent, or obtain the withdrawal or, any stop order or other order or notice preventing or suspending the use of any preliminary or final Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus;

(vii) promptly incorporate in a Prospectus supplement, Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or post-effective amendment such information as the managing underwriter or underwriters and the participating Sponsors agree should be included therein relating to the plan of distribution with respect to such Registrable Securities; and make all required filings of such Prospectus supplement, Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or post-effective amendment as soon as reasonably practicable after being notified of the matters to be incorporated in such Prospectus supplement, Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or post-effective amendment;

(viii) furnish to each Participating Holder and each underwriter, if any, without charge, as many conformed copies as such Holder or underwriter may reasonably request of the applicable Registration Statement and any amendment or post-effective amendment thereto, including financial statements and schedules, all documents incorporated therein by reference and all exhibits (including those incorporated by reference);

(ix) deliver to each Participating Holder and each underwriter, if any, without charge, as many copies of the applicable Prospectus (including each preliminary Prospectus) and any amendment or supplement thereto, each Issuer Free Writing Prospectus and such other documents as such Holder or underwriter may reasonably request in order to facilitate the disposition of the Registrable Securities by such Holder or underwriter, it being understood that the Issuer consents to the use of such Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or any amendment or supplement thereto by such Holder and the underwriters, if any, in connection with the offering and sale of the Registrable Securities covered by such Prospectus or any amendment or supplement thereto or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus;

Table of Contents

(x) on or prior to the date on which the applicable Registration Statement becomes effective, use its reasonable best efforts to register or qualify, and cooperate with the Participating Holders, the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, and their respective counsel, in connection with the registration or qualification of such Registrable Securities for offer and sale under the securities or Blue Sky laws of each state and other jurisdiction of the United States as any Participating Holder or managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, or their respective counsel reasonably request in writing and do any and all other acts or things reasonably necessary or advisable to keep such registration or qualification in effect for such period as required by Section 2.01(d) or Section 2.02(b), whichever is applicable; provided, that the Issuer shall not be required to qualify generally to do business in any jurisdiction where it is not then so qualified or to take any action which would subject it to taxation or general service of process in any such jurisdiction where it is not then so subject;

(xi) cooperate with the Participating Holders and the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, to facilitate the timely preparation and delivery of certificates representing Registrable Securities to be sold and not bearing any restrictive legends; and enable such Registrable Securities to be in such denominations and registered in such names as the managing underwriters may request at least two business days prior to any sale of Registrable Securities to the underwriters;

(xii) use its reasonable best efforts to cause the Registrable Securities covered by the applicable Registration Statement to be registered with or approved by such other governmental agencies or authorities as may be necessary to enable the seller or sellers thereof or the underwriter or underwriters, if any, to consummate the disposition of such Registrable Securities;

(xiii) not later than the effective date of the applicable Registration Statement, provide a CUSIP number for all Registrable Securities and provide the applicable transfer agent with printed certificates for the Registrable Securities which are in a form eligible for deposit with The Depository Trust Company;

(xiv) make such representations and warranties to the Participating Holders and the underwriters or agents, if any, in form, substance and scope as are customarily made by issuers in secondary underwritten public offerings;

(xv) enter into such customary agreements (including underwriting and indemnification agreements) and take all such other actions as the Sponsors or the managing underwriter or underwriters, if any, reasonably request in order to expedite or facilitate the registration and disposition of such Registrable Securities;

(xvi) obtain for delivery to the Participating Holders and to the underwriter or underwriters, if any, an opinion or opinions from counsel for the Issuer dated the effective date of the Registration Statement or, in the event of an Underwritten Offering, the date of the closing under the underwriting agreement, in customary form, scope and substance, which opinions shall be reasonably satisfactory to such Holders or underwriters, as the case may be, and their respective counsel;

Table of Contents

(xvii) in the case of an Underwritten Offering, obtain for delivery to the Issuer and the managing underwriter or underwriters, with copies to the Participating Holders, a cold comfort letter from the Issuer's independent certified public accountants in customary form and covering such matters of the type customarily covered by cold comfort letters as the managing underwriter or underwriters reasonably request, dated the date of execution of the underwriting agreement and brought down to the closing under the underwriting agreement;

(xviii) cooperate with each Participating Holder and each underwriter, if any, participating in the disposition of such Registrable Securities and their respective counsel in connection with any filings required to be made with FINRA;

(xix) use its reasonable best efforts to comply with all applicable securities laws and make available to its security holders, as soon as reasonably practicable, an earnings statement satisfying the provisions of Section 11(a) of the Securities Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder;

(xx) provide and cause to be maintained a transfer agent and registrar for all Registrable Securities covered by the applicable Registration Statement from and after a date not later than the effective date of such Registration Statement;

(xxi) use its best efforts to cause all Registrable Securities covered by the applicable Registration Statement to be listed on each securities exchange on which any of the Issuer's securities are then listed or quoted and on each inter-dealer quotation system on which any of the Issuer's securities are then quoted;

(xxii) make available upon reasonable notice at reasonable times and for reasonable periods for inspection by the participating Sponsors, by any underwriter participating in any disposition to be effected pursuant to such Registration Statement and by any attorney, accountant or other agent retained by the Sponsors or any such underwriter, all pertinent financial and other records, pertinent corporate documents and properties of the Issuer, and cause all of the its officers, directors and employees and the independent public accountants who have certified its financial statements to make themselves available to discuss the business of the Issuer and to supply all information reasonably requested by any such Person in connection with such Registration Statement as shall be necessary to enable them to exercise their due diligence responsibility; provided, that any such Person gaining access to information regarding the Issuer pursuant to this Section 2.05(a)(xxii) shall agree to hold in strict confidence and shall not make any disclosure or use any information regarding the Issuer that it determines in good faith to be confidential, and of which determination such Person is notified, unless (w) the release of such information is requested or required (by deposition, interrogatory, requests for information or documents by a governmental entity, subpoena or similar process), (x) such information is or becomes publicly known other than through a breach

Table of Contents

of this or any other agreement of which such Person has knowledge, (y) such information is or becomes available to such Person on a non-confidential basis from a source other than the Issuer or (z) such information is independently developed by such Person; and

(xxiii) in the case of an Underwritten Offering, cause the senior executive officers of the Issuer to participate in the customary road show presentations that may be reasonably requested by the managing underwriter or underwriters in any such Underwritten Offering and otherwise to facilitate, cooperate with, and participate in each proposed offering contemplated herein and customary selling efforts related thereto;

(xxiv) take no direct or indirect action prohibited by Regulation M under the Exchange Act;

(xxv) take all reasonable action to ensure that any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus utilized in connection with any registration covered by Section 2.01, 2.02 or 2.03 complies in all material respects with the Securities Act, is filed in accordance with the Securities Act to the extent required thereby, is retained in accordance with the Securities Act to the extent required thereby and, when taken together with the related Prospectus, will not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and

(xxvi) take all such other commercially reasonable actions as are necessary or advisable in order to expedite or facilitate the disposition of such Registrable Securities.

(b) If the Issuer files any Shelf Registration Statement, the Issuer agrees that it shall include in such Shelf Registration Statement such disclosures as may be required by Rule 430B under the Securities Act (referring to the unnamed selling security holders in a generic manner by identifying the initial offering of the securities to the Holders) in order to ensure that the Holders may be added to such Shelf Registration Statement at a later time through the filing of a prospectus supplement rather than a post-effective amendment.

(c) The Issuer may require each Participating Holder to furnish to the Issuer such information regarding the distribution of such securities and such other information relating to such Holder and its ownership of Registrable Securities as the Issuer may from time to time reasonably request in writing and the Issuer may exclude from such registration the Registrable Securities of any Participating Holder who unreasonably fails to furnish such information within a reasonable time after receiving such request. Each Participating Holder agrees to furnish such information to the Issuer and to cooperate with the Issuer as reasonably necessary to enable the Issuer to comply with the provisions of this Agreement.

(d) Each Participating Holder agrees that, upon receipt of any notice from the Issuer of the happening of any event of the kind described in Section 2.05(a)(v), such Holder will forthwith discontinue disposition of Registrable Securities pursuant to such Registration Statement until such Holder's receipt of the copies of the supplemented or amended Prospectus or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, as the case may be, contemplated by Section 2.05(a)(v), or

Table of Contents

until such Holder is advised in writing by the Issuer that the use of the Prospectus or Issuer Free Writing Prospectus, as the case may be, may be resumed, and has received copies of any additional or supplemental filings that are incorporated by reference in the Prospectus or such Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or any amendments or supplements thereto and if so directed by the Issuer, such Holder shall deliver to the Issuer (at the Issuer's expense) all copies, other than permanent file copies then in such Holder's possession, of the Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus covering such Registrable Securities current at the time of receipt of such notice. In the event the Issuer shall give any such notice, the period during which the applicable Registration Statement is required to be maintained effective shall be extended by the number of days during the period from and including the date of the giving of such notice to and including the date when each seller of Registrable Securities covered by such Registration Statement either receives the copies of the supplemented or amended Prospectus or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus contemplated by Section 2.05(a)(v) or is advised in writing by the Issuer that the use of the Prospectus may be resumed.

(e) If any Registration Statement or comparable statement under the Blue Sky laws refers to any Holder by name or otherwise as the Holder of any securities of the Issuer, then such Holder shall have the right to require (i) the insertion therein of language, in form and substance satisfactory to such Holder and the Issuer, to the effect that the holding by such Holder of such securities is not to be construed as a recommendation by such Holder of the investment quality of the Issuer's securities covered thereby and that such holding does not imply that such Holder will assist in meeting any future financial requirements of the Issuer, or (ii) in the event that such reference to such Holder by name or otherwise is not in the judgment of the Issuer, as advised by counsel, required by the Securities Act or any similar federal statute or any Blue Sky or securities law then in force, the deletion of the reference to such Holder.

(f) Holders may seek to Register different types of Registrable Securities simultaneously, and the Issuer shall use its reasonable best efforts to effect such Registration and sale in accordance with the intended method or methods of disposition specified by such Holders.

SECTION 2.06. Underwritten Offerings.

(a) Demand and Shelf Registrations. If requested by the underwriters for any Underwritten Offering requested by the Sponsors pursuant to a Registration under Section 2.01 or Section 2.02, the Issuer shall enter into an underwriting agreement with such underwriters for such offering, such agreement to be reasonably satisfactory in substance and form to the Issuer, the participating Sponsors and the underwriters, and to contain such representations and warranties by the Issuer and such other terms as are generally prevailing in agreements of that type, including indemnities no less favorable to the recipient thereof than those provided in Section 2.09. The Participating Holders shall cooperate with the Issuer in the negotiation of such underwriting agreement and shall give consideration to the reasonable suggestions of the Issuer regarding the form thereof. Such Holders shall be parties to such underwriting agreement, which underwriting agreement shall (i) contain such representations and warranties by, and the other agreements on the part of, the Issuer to and for the benefit of such Holders as are customarily made by issuers to selling stockholders in secondary underwritten public offerings and (ii)

Table of Contents

provide that any or all of the conditions precedent to the obligations of such underwriters under such underwriting agreement also shall be conditions precedent to the obligations of such Holders. Such Holders shall not be required to make any representations or warranties to or agreements with the Issuer or the underwriters other than representations, warranties or agreements regarding such Holders, such Holder's title to the Registrable Securities, such Holder's intended method of distribution and any other representations required to be made by such Holder under applicable law, and the aggregate amount of the liability of such Holder shall not exceed such Holder's net proceeds from such Underwritten Offering.

(b) **Piggyback Registrations.** If the Issuer proposes to Register any of its securities under the Securities Act as contemplated by Section 2.03 and such securities are to be distributed in an Underwritten Offering through one or more underwriters, the Issuer shall, if requested by any Holder pursuant to Section 2.03 and subject to the provisions of Section 2.03(b), use its reasonable best efforts to arrange for such underwriters to include on the same terms and conditions that apply to the other sellers in such Registration all the Registrable Securities to be offered and sold by such Holder among the securities of the Issuer to be distributed by such underwriters in such Registration. The Participating Holders shall be parties to the underwriting agreement between the Issuer and such underwriters, which underwriting agreement shall (i) contain such representations and warranties by, and the other agreements on the part of, the Issuer to and for the benefit of such Holders as are customarily made by issuers to selling stockholders in secondary underwritten public offerings and (ii) provide that any or all of the conditions precedent to the obligations of such underwriters under such underwriting agreements also shall be conditions precedent to the obligations of such Holders. Any such Holder shall not be required to make any representations or warranties to, or agreements with the Issuer or the underwriters other than representations, warranties or agreements regarding such Holder, such Holder's title to the Registrable Securities and such Holder's intended method of distribution or any other representations required to be made by such Holder under applicable law, and the aggregate amount of the liability of such Holder shall not exceed such Holder's net proceeds from such Underwritten Offering.

(c) **Participation in Underwritten Registrations.** Subject to provisions of Section 2.06(a) and Section 2.06(b) above, no Person may participate in any Underwritten Offering hereunder unless such Person (i) agrees to sell such Person's securities on the basis provided in any underwriting arrangements approved by the Persons entitled to approve such arrangements and (ii) completes and executes all questionnaires, powers of attorney, indemnities, underwriting agreements and other documents required under the terms of such underwriting arrangements.

(d) **Price and Underwriting Discounts.** In the case of an Underwritten Offering under Section 2.01 or Section 2.02, the price, underwriting discount and other financial terms for the Registrable Securities shall be determined by the Demanding Sponsor(s) (or, in the case of a Shelf Registration, the Sponsor(s) selling Registrable Securities under the Shelf Registration Statement). In addition, in the case of any Underwritten Offering under Section 2.01, Section 2.02 or Section 2.03, each of the Holders may, subject to any limitations on withdrawal contained in Section 2.01, Section 2.02 or Section 2.03, withdraw all or part of their request to participate in such registration after being advised of such price, discount and other terms and shall not be required to enter into any agreements or documentation that would require otherwise.

Table of Contents

SECTION 2.07. No Inconsistent Agreements; Additional Rights. The Issuer shall not hereafter enter into, and is not currently a party to, any agreement with respect to its securities that is inconsistent with the rights granted to the Holders by this Agreement. Without Requisite Sponsor Consent, the Issuer shall not enter into any agreement granting registration or similar rights to any Person.

SECTION 2.08. Registration Expenses. Except as expressly provided herein, all expenses incident to the Issuer's performance of or compliance with this Agreement shall be paid by the Issuer, including (i) all registration and filing fees, and any other fees and expenses associated with filings required to be made with the SEC or FINRA, (ii) all fees and expenses in connection with compliance with any securities or Blue Sky laws, (iii) all printing, duplicating, word processing, messenger, telephone, facsimile and delivery expenses (including expenses of printing certificates for the Registrable Securities in a form eligible for deposit with The Depository Trust Company and of printing prospectuses and Issuer Free Writing Prospectuses), (iv) all fees and disbursements of counsel for the Issuer and of all independent certified public accountants of the Issuer (including the expenses of any special audit and cold comfort letters required by or incident to such performance), (v) Securities Act liability insurance or similar insurance if the Issuer so desires or the underwriters so require in accordance with then-customary underwriting practice, (vi) all fees and expenses incurred in connection with the listing of the Registrable Securities on any securities exchange or quotation of the Registrable Securities on any inter-dealer quotation system, (vii) all applicable rating agency fees with respect to the Registrable Securities, (viii) all reasonable fees and disbursements of legal counsel for each Sponsor participating in such Registration (or, in the case of a Shelf Registration, each Sponsor selling Registrable Securities under the Shelf Registration Statement), (ix) all reasonable fees and disbursements of legal counsel for each Holder participating in such Registration (or, in the case of a Shelf Registration, each Holder selling Registrable Securities under the Shelf Registration Statement) in connection with the preparation of any legal opinions requested by the underwriters, (x) all fees and expenses of accountants selected by the Demanding Sponsor (or, in the case of a Shelf Registration, the Holder selling Registrable Securities under the Shelf Registration Statement), (xi) any reasonable fees and disbursements of underwriters customarily paid by issuers or sellers of securities, (xii) all fees and expenses of any special experts or other Persons retained by the Issuer in connection with any Registration, (xiii) all of the Issuer's internal expenses (including all salaries and expenses of its officers and employees performing legal or accounting duties) and (xiv) all expenses related to the road-show for any underwritten offering, including all travel, meals and lodging. All such expenses are referred to herein as Registration Expenses. The Issuer shall not be required to pay underwriting discounts and commissions and transfer taxes, if any, attributable to the sale of Registrable Securities.

SECTION 2.09. Indemnification.

(a) Indemnification by the Issuer. The Issuer agrees to indemnify and hold harmless, to the full extent permitted by law, each Holder, each member, limited or general

Table of Contents

partner thereof, each member, limited or general partner of each such member, limited or general partner, each of their respective Affiliates, officers, directors, shareholders, employees, advisors, and agents and each Person who controls (within the meaning of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act) such Persons and each of their respective Representatives from and against any and all losses, penalties, judgments, suits, costs, claims, damages, liabilities and expenses, joint or several (including reasonable costs of investigation and legal expenses) (each, a Loss and collectively Losses) arising out of or based upon (i) any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement under which such Registrable Securities were Registered under the Securities Act (including any final, preliminary or summary Prospectus contained therein or any amendment thereof or supplement thereto or any documents incorporated by reference therein) or any other disclosure document produced by or on behalf of the Issuer or any of its subsidiaries including, without limitation, reports and other documents filed under the Exchange Act or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or amendment thereof or supplement thereto, (ii) any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein (in the case of a Prospectus, preliminary Prospectus, or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus in light of the circumstances under which they were made) not misleading or (iii) any actions or inactions or proceedings in respect of the foregoing whether or not such indemnified party is a party thereto; provided, that the Issuer shall not be liable to any particular indemnified party (A) to the extent that any such Loss arises out of or is based upon an untrue statement or alleged untrue statement or omission or alleged omission made in any such Registration Statement or other document in reliance upon and in conformity with written information furnished to the Issuer by such indemnified party expressly for use in the preparation thereof or (B) to the extent that any such Loss arises out of or is based upon an untrue statement or omission in a preliminary Prospectus relating to Registrable Securities, if a Prospectus (as then amended or supplemented) that would have cured the defect was furnished to the indemnified party from whom the Person asserting the claim giving rise to such Loss purchased Registrable Securities at least five (5) days prior to the written confirmation of the sale of the Registrable Securities to such Person and a copy of such Prospectus (as amended and supplemented) was not sent or given by or on behalf of such indemnified party to such Person at or prior to the written confirmation of the sale of the Registrable Securities to such Person. This indemnity shall be in addition to any liability the Issuer may otherwise have. Such indemnity shall remain in full force and effect regardless of any investigation made by or on behalf of such Holder or any indemnified party and shall survive the transfer of such securities by such Holder. The Issuer shall also indemnify underwriters, selling brokers, dealer managers and similar securities industry professional participating in the distribution, their officers and directors and each Person who controls such Persons (within the meaning of the Securities Act and the Exchange Act) to the same extent as provided above with respect to the indemnification of the indemnified parties.

(b) Indemnification by the Participating Holders. Each Participating Holder agrees (severally and not jointly) to indemnify and hold harmless, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the Issuer, its directors and officers and each Person who controls the Issuer (within the meaning of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act) from and against any Losses resulting from (i) any untrue statement of a material fact in any Registration Statement under which such

Table of Contents

Registrable Securities were Registered under the Securities Act (including any final, preliminary or summary Prospectus contained therein or any amendment thereof or supplement thereto or any documents incorporated by reference therein or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus or amendment thereof or supplement thereto), or (ii) any omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein (in the case of a Prospectus, preliminary Prospectus, or any Issuer Free Writing Prospectus in light of the circumstances under which they were made) not misleading, in each case, to the extent, but only to the extent, that such untrue statement or omission is contained in any information furnished in writing by such Holder to the Issuer specifically for inclusion in such Registration Statement and has not been corrected in a subsequent writing prior to or concurrently with the sale of the Registrable Securities to the Person asserting the claim. In no event shall the liability of such Holder hereunder be greater in amount than the dollar amount of the net proceeds received by such Holder under the sale of Registrable Securities giving rise to such indemnification obligation less any amounts paid by such Holder pursuant to Section 9.09(d). The Issuer shall be entitled to receive indemnities from underwriters, selling brokers, dealer managers and similar securities industry professionals participating in the distribution, to the same extent as provided above (with appropriate modification) with respect to information furnished in writing by such Persons specifically for inclusion in any Prospectus or Registration Statement.

(c) **Conduct of Indemnification Proceedings.** Any Person entitled to indemnification hereunder shall (i) give prompt written notice to the indemnifying party of any claim with respect to which it seeks indemnification; provided, that any delay or failure to so notify the indemnifying party shall relieve the indemnifying party of its obligations hereunder only to the extent, if at all, that it is actually and materially prejudiced by reason of such delay or failure and (ii) permit such indemnifying party to assume the defense of such claim with counsel reasonably satisfactory to the indemnified party; provided, that any Person entitled to indemnification hereunder shall have the right to select and employ separate counsel and to participate in the defense of such claim, but the fees and expenses of such counsel shall be at the expense of such Person unless (A) the indemnifying party has agreed in writing to pay such fees or expenses, (B) the indemnifying party shall have failed to assume the defense of such claim within a reasonable time after receipt of notice of such claim from the Person entitled to indemnification hereunder and employ counsel reasonably satisfactory to such Person, (C) the indemnified party has reasonably concluded (based upon advice of its counsel) that there may be legal defenses available to it or other indemnified parties that are different from or in addition to those available to the indemnifying party, or (D) in the reasonable judgment of any such Person (based upon advice of its counsel) a conflict of interest may exist between such Person and the indemnifying party with respect to such claims (in which case, if the Person notifies the indemnifying party in writing that such Person elects to employ separate counsel at the expense of the indemnifying party, the indemnifying party shall not have the right to assume the defense of such claim on behalf of such Person). If the indemnifying party assumes the defense, the indemnifying party shall not have the right to settle such action without the consent of the indemnified party. No indemnifying party shall consent to entry of any judgment or enter into any settlement which does not include as an unconditional term thereof the giving by the claimant or plaintiff to such indemnified party of an unconditional release from all liability in respect to such claim or litigation without the prior written consent of such indemnified party. If such defense is not assumed by the indemnifying party, the indemnifying party will not be

Table of Contents

subject to any liability for any settlement made without its prior written consent, but such consent may not be unreasonably withheld. It is understood that the indemnifying party or parties shall not, except as specifically set forth in this Section 2.09(c), in connection with any proceeding or related proceedings in the same jurisdiction, be liable for the reasonable fees, disbursements or other charges of more than one separate firm admitted to practice in such jurisdiction at any one time unless (x) the employment of more than one counsel has been authorized in writing by the indemnifying party or parties, (y) an indemnified party has reasonably concluded (based on the advice of counsel) that there may be legal defenses available to it that are different from or in addition to those available to the other indemnified parties or (z) a conflict or potential conflict exists or may exist (based upon advice of counsel to an indemnified party) between such indemnified party and the other indemnified parties, in each of which cases the indemnifying party shall be obligated to pay the reasonable fees and expenses of such additional counsel or counsels.

(d) **Contribution.** If for any reason the indemnification provided for in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this Section 2.09 is unavailable to an indemnified party (other than as a result of exceptions contained in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this Section 2.09) or insufficient in respect of any Losses referred to therein, then the indemnifying party shall contribute to the amount paid or payable by the indemnified party as a result of such Loss (i) in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect the relative fault of the indemnifying party on the one hand and the indemnified party or parties on the other hand in connection with the acts, statements or omissions that resulted in such losses, as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. In connection with any Registration Statement filed with the SEC by the Issuer, the relative fault of the indemnifying party on the one hand and the indemnified party on the other hand shall be determined by reference to, among other things, whether any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact or the omission or alleged omission to state a material fact relates to information supplied by the indemnifying party or by the indemnified party and the parties' relative intent, knowledge, access to information and opportunity to correct or prevent such statement or omission. The parties hereto agree that it would not be just or equitable if contribution pursuant to this Section 2.09(d) were determined by pro rata allocation or by any other method of allocation that does not take account of the equitable considerations referred to in this Section 2.09(d). No Person guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act) shall be entitled to contribution from any Person who was not guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation. The amount paid or payable by an indemnified party as a result of the Losses referred to in Sections 2.09(a) and Section 2.09(b) shall be deemed to include, subject to the limitations set forth above, any legal or other expenses reasonably incurred by such indemnified party in connection with investigating or defending any such action or claim. Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section 2.09(d), in connection with any Registration Statement filed by the Issuer, a Participating Holder shall not be required to contribute any amount in excess of the dollar amount of the net proceeds received by such Holder under the sale of Registrable Securities giving rise to such contribution obligation less any amounts paid by such Holder pursuant to Section 2.09(b). If indemnification is available under this Section 2.09, the indemnifying parties shall indemnify each indemnified party to the full extent provided in Section 2.09(a) and Section 2.09(b) hereof without regard to the provisions of this Section 2.09(d). The remedies provided for in this Section 2.09 are not exclusive and shall not limit any rights or remedies which may otherwise be available to any indemnified party at law or in equity.

Table of Contents

SECTION 2.10. Rules 144 and 144A and Regulation S. The Issuer covenants that it will file the reports required to be filed by it under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations adopted by the SEC thereunder (or, if the Issuer is not required to file such reports, it will, upon Requisite Sponsor Consent, make publicly available such necessary information for so long as necessary to permit sales pursuant to Rules 144, 144A or Regulation S under the Securities Act), and it will take any such further action as reasonably requested upon Requisite Sponsor Consent, all to the extent required from time to time to enable the Holders to sell Registrable Securities without Registration under the Securities Act within the limitation of the exemptions provided by (i) Rules 144, 144A or Regulation S under the Securities Act, as such Rules may be amended from time to time, or (ii) any similar rule or regulation hereafter adopted by the SEC. Upon the reasonable request of a Holder, the Issuer will deliver to such Holder a written statement as to whether it has complied with such requirements and, if not, the specifics thereof.

ARTICLE III

MISCELLANEOUS

SECTION 3.01. Term. This Agreement shall terminate upon the later of the expiration of the Shelf Period and such time as there are no Registrable Securities, except for the provisions of Section 2.09 and Section 2.10 and all of this Article III, which shall survive any such termination.

SECTION 3.02. Existing Registration Statements. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary and subject to applicable law and regulation, the Issuer may satisfy any obligation hereunder to file a Registration Statement or to have a Registration Statement become effective by a specified date by designating, by notice to the Holders, a registration statement that previously has been filed with the SEC or become effective, as the case may be, as the relevant Registration Statement for purposes of satisfying such obligation, and all references to any such obligation shall be construed accordingly; provided, that such previously filed registration statement may be amended to add the number of Registrable Securities, and, to the extent necessary, to identify as selling stockholders those Holders demanding the filing of a Registration Statement pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. To the extent of this Agreement refers to the filing or effectiveness of other registration statements by or at a specified time and the Issuer has, in lieu of then filing such registration statements or having such registration statements become effective, designated a previously filed or effective registration statement as the relevant registration statement for such purposes in accordance with the preceding sentence, such references shall be construed to refer to such designated registration statement.

SECTION 3.03. Other Activities. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement, none of the provisions of this Agreement shall in any way limit a Holder or any of its Affiliates from engaging in any brokerage, investment advisory, financial advisory, anti-raid

Table of Contents

advisory, principaling, merger advisory, financing, asset management, trading, market making, arbitrage, investment activity and other similar activities conducted in the ordinary course of their business.

SECTION 3.04. Injunctive Relief. It is hereby agreed and acknowledged that it will be impossible to measure in money the damage that would be suffered if the parties fail to comply with any of the obligations herein imposed on them and that in the event of any such failure, an aggrieved Person will be irreparably damaged and will not have an adequate remedy at law. Any such Person shall, therefore, be entitled (in addition to any other remedy to which it may be entitled in law or in equity) to injunctive relief, including specific performance, to enforce such obligations, and if any action should be brought in equity to enforce any of the provisions of this Agreement, none of the parties hereto shall raise the defense that there is an adequate remedy at law.

SECTION 3.05. Attorneys Fees. In any action or proceeding brought to enforce any provision of this Agreement or where any provision hereof is validly asserted as a defense, the successful party shall, to the extent permitted by applicable law, be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys fees in addition to any other available remedy.

SECTION 3.06. Notices. Unless otherwise specified herein, all notices, consents, approvals, designations, requests, waivers, elections and other communications authorized or required to be given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be given or made (and shall be deemed to have been duly given or made upon receipt) by personal hand-delivery, by facsimile transmission, by electronic mail, by mailing the same in a sealed envelope, registered first-class mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, or by air courier guaranteeing overnight delivery, sent to the Person at the address given for such Person below or such other address as such Person may specify by notice to the Issuer:

Table of Contents

To the Issuer with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to each of the Sponsors:

Biomet, Inc.

56 East Bell Drive

Warsaw, IN, 46582

Attention: General Counsel

Facsimile: (574) 372-1960

To the Holders:

with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Banc of America Capital Investors V, L.P.

100 N. Tryon Street, 25th Floor

NC1-007-25-02

Charlotte, NC 28225

Attention: Scott R. Poole

Facsimile: (704) 386-6432

Bear Growth Capital Partners, LP

383 Madison Avenue

New York, NY 10179

Attention: Paul S. Lattanzio

Facsimile: (212) 881-9711

Blackstone

c/o The Blackstone Group

345 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10154

Attention: Chinh E. Chu

Facsimile: (212) 583-5722

Goldman Sachs

Kirkland & Ellis LLP

200 East Randolph Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60601

Attention: Brian Van Klompenberg

Facsimile: (312) 660-0566

O Melveny & Myers LLP

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Attention: James Moriarty

Facsimile: (212) 218-9723

Blackstone

c/o The Blackstone Group

345 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10154

Attention: Michael Dal Bello

Facsimile: (212) 583-5384

Fried Frank Harris Shriver & Jacobson LLP

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

c/o Goldman, Sachs & Co.

One New York Plaza

One New York Plaza, 38th Floor

New York, NY 10004

New York, NY 10004

Attention: Robert C. Schwenkel

Attention: Ben Adler

Facsimile: (212) 859-4000

Facsimile: (212) 482-3820

KKR

Latham & Watkins LLP

c/o Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P.

140 Scott Drive

2800 Sand Hill Road, Suite 200

Menlo Park, CA 94025

Menlo Park, CA 94025

Attention: Peter F. Kerman

Attention: Michael W. Michelson

Facsimile: (650) 463-2600

Facsimile: (650) 233-6564

Table of Contents

TPG	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
c/o Texas Pacific Group	One Liberty Plaza
301 Commerce Street	New York, NY 10006
Suite 3300	Attention: Michael A. Gerstenzang
Fort Worth, Texas 76102	Facsimile: (212) 225-3999
Attention: Clive D. Bode	
Facsimile: (817) 871-4088	
WCP Fund II, L.P.	Kennedy Covington Lodbell & Hickman, L.L.P.
Wachovia Capital Partners	214 N. Tryon St., 47th Floor
301 South College Street, 12th Floor	Charlotte, NC 28202
Charlotte, NC 28288-0732	Attention: T. Richard Giovannelli
Attention: Courtney R. McCarthy	Facsimile: (704) 353-3184
Facsimile: (704) 383-6538	

If to any other Holder who becomes party to this agreement after the date hereof, to the address on the counterpart signature page to this Agreement executed by such Holder.

SECTION 3.07. Amendment. Any provision of this Agreement may be amended if, and only if, such amendment is in writing and approved by written Requisite Sponsor Consent; provided, that (a) any amendment that would have a disproportionate material adverse effect on a Holder relative to the other Holders shall require the written consent of that Holder, (b) any amendment to Section 2.04 that would have a material adverse effect on the Bank Holders shall require the consent of the Bank Holders holding at least a majority of the Registrable Securities held by all of the Bank Holders; provided, that the Bank Holders shall have no such consent right to an amendment required in order to comply with (i) any rules or regulations promulgated by the FINRA or (ii) any other applicable regulatory requirements and (c) this Section 3.07 may not be amended without the prior written consent of the Holders (other than the Sponsors) holding a majority of the outstanding Registrable Securities of such Holders.

SECTION 3.08. Successors, Assigns and Transferees. Each party may assign all or a portion of its rights hereunder to any Person to which such party transfers its ownership of all or any of its Registrable Securities. Such Persons (other than Affiliates of any such Persons) and any other Person that acquires Registrable Securities pursuant to the terms of the Holding LLC Agreement, or any successor agreements thereto, shall execute a counterpart to this Agreement and become a party hereto and such Person's Registrable Securities shall be subject to the terms of this Agreement.

SECTION 3.09 Binding Effect. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, the terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of each of the parties hereto and their respective successors.

SECTION 3.10. Third Parties. Nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, is intended or shall be construed to confer upon any Person not a party hereto (other than each other Person entitled to indemnity or contribution under Section 2.09) any right, remedy or claim under or by virtue of this Agreement.

Table of Contents

SECTION 3.11. Governing Law: Jurisdiction. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WITHOUT REGARD TO THE CONFLICTS OF LAW PRINCIPLES THEREOF. ANY ACTION OR PROCEEDING AGAINST THE PARTIES RELATING IN ANY WAY TO THIS AGREEMENT MAY BE BROUGHT AND ENFORCED EXCLUSIVELY IN THE COURTS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OR (TO THE EXTENT SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION EXISTS THEREFORE) THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, AND THE PARTIES IRREVOCABLY SUBMIT TO THE JURISDICTION OF BOTH SUCH COURTS IN RESPECT OF ANY SUCH ACTION OR PROCEEDING.

SECTION 3.12. Waiver of Jury Trial. EACH OF THE PARTIES HERETO HEREBY WAIVES TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW ANY RIGHT IT MAY HAVE TO A TRIAL BY JURY WITH RESPECT TO ANY LITIGATION DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY ARISING OUT OF, UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT OR ANY OF THE TRANSACTIONS CONTEMPLATED HEREBY. EACH OF THE PARTIES HEREBY (A) CERTIFIES THAT NO REPRESENTATIVE, AGENT OR ATTORNEY OF THE OTHER PARTY HAS REPRESENTED, EXPRESSLY OR OTHERWISE, THAT SUCH OTHER PARTY WOULD NOT, IN THE EVENT OF LITIGATION, SEEK TO ENFORCE THE FOREGOING WAIVER AND (B) ACKNOWLEDGES THAT IT HAS BEEN INDUCED TO ENTER INTO THIS AGREEMENT AND THE TRANSACTIONS CONTEMPLATED BY THIS AGREEMENT, AS APPLICABLE, BY, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE MUTUAL WAIVERS AND CERTIFICATIONS IN THIS SECTION 3.12.

SECTION 3.13. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

SECTION 3.14. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which shall constitute one and the same agreement.

SECTION 3.15. Headings. The heading references herein and in the table of contents hereto are for convenience purposes only, do not constitute a part of this Agreement and shall not be deemed to limit or affect any of the provisions hereof.

[REMAINDER INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Table of Contents

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

[SIGNATURE PAGES TO FOLLOW]

Table of Contents

LVB ACQUISITION HOLDING, LLC

By: /s/ Stephen Ko
Name: Stephen Ko
Title: Co-President

LVB ACQUISITION, INC.

By: /s/ Stephen Ko
Name: Stephen Ko
Title: Co-President

Table of Contents

BIOMET, INC.

By: /s/ Daniel P. Florin
Name: Daniel P. Florin
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

**BANC OF AMERICA CAPITAL INVESTORS V,
L.P.**

By: /s/ Scott R. Poole

Name: Scott R. Poole

Title: Authorized Signatory

Table of Contents

BEAR GROWTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LP

By: /s/ Paul S. Lattanzio
Name: Paul S. Lattanzio
Title: Senior Managing Director

Table of Contents

BLACKSTONE CAPITAL PARTNERS V L.P.

By: Blackstone Management Associates V L.L.C.,

its General Partner

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

BLACKSTONE CAPITAL PARTNERS V-AC L.P.

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

BCP V-S L.P.

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

**BLACKSTONE FAMILY INVESTMENT
PARTNERSHIP V L.P.**

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

**BLACKSTONE FAMILY INVESTMENT
PARTNERSHIP V-A L.P.**

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

Table of Contents

**BLACKSTONE PARTICIPATION PARTNERSHIP
V L.P.**

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu

Name: Chinh E. Chu

Title: Senior Managing Director

Table of Contents

BCP V CO-INVESTORS L.P.

By: /s/ Chinh E. Chu
Name: Chinh E. Chu
Title: Senior Managing Director

Table of Contents

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI FUND, L.P.

By: GS Advisors VI, L.L.C., its General Partner

By: /s/ Christine Vollertsen
Name: Christine Vollertsen
Title: Vice President

**GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI OFFSHORE FUND,
L.P.**

By: GSCP VI Offshore Advisors, L.L.C., its General
Partner

By: /s/ Christine Vollertsen
Name: Christine Vollertsen
Title: Vice President

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI PARALLEL, L.P.

By: GS Advisors VI, L.L.C., its General Partner

By: /s/ Christine Vollertsen
Name: Christine Vollertsen
Title: Vice President

GS CAPITAL PARTNERS VI GMBH & CO. KG

By: GS Advisors VI, L.L.C., its Managing Limited
Partner

By: /s/ Christine Vollertsen
Name: Christine Vollertsen
Title: Vice President

Table of Contents

GOLDMAN SACHS BMET INVESTORS, L.P.

By: GS BMET ADVISORS, L.L.C.,
as General Partner

By: /s/ Katherine B. Enquist
Name: Katherine B. Enquist
Title: Managing Director

**GOLDMAN SACHS BMET INVESTORS
OFFSHORE HOLDINGS, L.P.**

By: GS BMET OFFSHORE ADVISORS, INC.,
as General Partner

By: /s/ Katherine B. Enquist
Name: Katherine B. Enquist
Title: Managing Director

GS PEP BASS HOLDINGS, L.L.C.

By: GSAM GEN-PAR, L.L.C., its Manager

By: /s/ Ashlee Licitra
Name: Ashlee Licitra
Title: Authorized Signatory

**GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY
PARTNERS, 2004- DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND,
L.P.**

By: GOLDMAN SACHS PEP 2004 DIRECT
INVESTMENT ADVISORS, L.L.C., as General
Partner

By: GSAM GEN-PAR, L.L.C., its Managing Member

By: /s/ Ashlee Licitra
Name: Ashlee Licitra
Title: Authorized Signatory

Table of Contents

**GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY
PARTNERS, 2005- DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND,
L.P.**

By: GOLDMAN SACHS PEP 2005 DIRECT
INVESTMENT ADVISORS, L.L.C., as General
Partner

By: GSAM GEN-PAR, L.L.C., its Managing Member

By: /s/ Ashlee Licitra
Name: Ashlee Licitra
Title: Authorized Signatory

**GOLDMAN SACHS PRIVATE EQUITY
PARTNERS IX- DIRECT INVESTMENT FUND,
L.P.**

By: GOLDMAN SACHS PEP IX DIRECT
INVESTMENT ADVISORS, L.L.C., as General
Partner

By: GSAM GEN-PAR, L.L.C., its Managing Member

By: /s/ Ashlee Licitra
Name: Ashlee Licitra
Title: Authorized Signatory

Table of Contents

GS LVB CO-INVEST L.P.

By: GS LVB ADVISORS, L.L.C.,
as General Partner

By: /s/ Katherine B. Enquist
Name: Katherine B. Enquist
Title: Managing Director

Table of Contents

KKR BIOMET, LLC

By: /s/ William Janetschek
Name: William Janetschek
Title: CFO

Table of Contents

TPG PARTNERS IV, L.P.

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

TPG PARTNERS V, L.P.

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

TPG FOF V-A, L.P.

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

TPG FOF V-B, L.P.

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

Table of Contents

TPG LVB CO-INVEST LLC

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

TPG LVG CO-INVEST II LLC

By: TPG GenPar V, L.P., its General Partner
By: TPG Advisors V, Inc., its General Partner

By: /s/ Clive D. Bode
Name: Clive D. Bode
Title: Vice President

Table of Contents

WCP FUND II, L.P.

By: /s/ T. Neal Morrison
Name: T. Neal Morrison
Title: Managing Director

Table of Contents

DANE A. MILLER TRUST

By: /s/ Dane A. Miller
Name: Dane A. Miller
Title: Trustee

Date: April 25, 2008

Address for Notices:

1st Source Bank

Personal Asset Group Management

120 South Lake Street

Warsaw, IN 46580

Attention: John W. Elliot, VP, Trust Officer

Facsimile: 574-268-1299

With a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Ropes & Gray LLP

1 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2100

Chicago, IL 60603

Attention: James T. Lidbury

Facsimile: (312) 212-4476

Table of Contents

MARY LOUISE MILLER TRUST

By: /s/ Mary Louise Miller
Name: Mary Louise Miller
Title: Trustee

Date: April 25, 2008

Address for Notices:

1st Source Bank

Personal Asset Group Management

120 South Lake Street

Warsaw, IN 46580

Attention: John W. Elliot, VP, Trust Officer

Facsimile: 574-268-1299

With a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Ropes & Gray LLP

1 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2100

Chicago, IL 60603

Attention: James T. Lidbury

Facsimile: (312) 212-4476

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.12

RETIREMENT AND CONSULTING AGREEMENT

THIS RETIREMENT AND CONSULTING AGREEMENT (this Agreement) is made as of July 13, 2010, by and between BIOMET, INC., an Indiana corporation (Biomet), Biomet Europe BV, a Dutch corporation (Biomet Europe) [Biomet and Biomet Europe BV collectively referred to as the Company], and Roger Van Broeck (Executive).

WHEREAS, Biomet and Executive entered into that certain senior executive employment agreement dated February 1, 2008 (Employment Agreement) and Biomet's affiliate, Biomet Europe and Executive entered into that certain employment agreement dated July 1, 2000 (Biomet Europe Employment Agreement).

WHEREAS, Executive has elected to retire from the Company and to resign all his positions with the Company or any of the Company's affiliates effective as of the Retirement Date (as defined below);

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to enter into this Agreement in connection with Executive's retirement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing premises and the respective agreements hereinafter set forth and the mutual benefits to be derived herefrom, the Company and Executive hereby agree as follows:

1. Retirement and Resignation. Effective as of the September 1, 2010 (the Retirement Date), Executive hereby retires from the Company and, as a consequence, voluntarily resigns his employment and all positions with the Company and all of its affiliates, including without limitation Executive's position as Senior Vice President of Biomet and President of Biomet Europe, Middle East and Africa. Executive acknowledges and agrees that his retirement is voluntary and that he is not entitled to any severance or termination pay. Unless Executive's employment terminates prior to the Retirement Date, Executive shall continue to be an employee of the Company and shall continue to participate in the Company's welfare benefit programs until the Retirement Date.

2. Consulting Agreement. The Company has agreed to retain Executive as a consultant, and Executive agreed to serve as a consultant, on the terms and conditions set forth in this Section 2.

(a) Duties and Responsibilities of Executive. During the Consulting Term (as defined below), Executive's duties as a consultant for the Company shall be tasks reasonably and customarily fulfilled by a consultant of the type and nature of Executive, said tasks to be performed during regular business hours of the Company, with the Company providing Executive reasonable notice of the tasks which the Company will request Executive to perform (reasonable notice by the Company to Executive shall not be less than fifteen (15) days written notice by the Company to Executive of the tasks Executive shall perform for and on behalf of the Company in his capacity as a consultant to the Company), which said tasks shall be issued from the office of the Company's Chief Executive Officer or President of Biomet Europe.

Table of Contents

(b) Compensation/ Travel Expenses / W-9 Tax Form.

(i) As full compensation for all authorized services rendered by Executive under Section 2 during the Consulting Term and for any other obligations under this Agreement, the Company shall pay Executive Two Hundred Fifty Euro (€ 250) for each hour (with a maximum of Two Thousand Euro (€ 2,000) per day) Executive performs consulting services for the Company.

(ii) Executive shall invoice the Company on a monthly basis for consulting services rendered in, and reimbursable expenses incurred during, the previous month. The invoice shall be reasonably detailed as to scope of the consulting services rendered and the time devoted by Executive to such services. The Company shall pay Executive within thirty (30) days of receipt of such invoice.

(iii) The Company agrees to pay Executive's reasonable actual travel and lodging expenses related to travel approved in advance by the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company or the President of Biomet Europe and required for Executive to perform the consulting services identified in this Agreement. Executive agrees that all travel and lodging expenses shall be governed by and subject to the Company's travel policies as made and known to Executive from time to time. The Company also agrees to reimburse Executive for minor, miscellaneous, reasonable out-of-pocket expenses related to such approved travel, such as ground transportation and modest meals, subject to receipt of reimbursement requests by the Company and review and approval of such expenses by the Company; provided that any expenses in excess of One Thousand Euro (€ 1,000) must be approved in writing and in advance by the Company. Executive shall not bill the Company in advance of incurring any expenses.

(c) Independent Contractor. During the Consulting Term, Executive shall be solely an independent contractor and Executive agrees that he will not be an employee under this Section 2 of the Company, and is not entitled to employment benefits from the Company with the exception of benefits as specifically set forth in this Section 2. Executive further agrees that the only monetary or economic obligations of the Company to Executive for services rendered during the Consulting Term shall be to provide payment as set forth in this Section 2.

(d) Tax Liabilities. All amounts payable under this Section 2 to Executive shall be paid without reduction by the Company for any local, state or federal income, employment or withholding taxes, it being the intention of the parties that Executive shall be solely responsible for the payment of all taxes imposed or related to his business activities.

(e) Term and Termination.

(i) The Consulting Term shall commence on the Retirement Date and shall continue until the earliest of (i) the second anniversary of the Retirement Date, (ii) an Initial Public Offering, or (iii) a Change of Control (the Consulting Term).

(ii) Either party may terminate this Agreement before the end of the Consulting Term only if the other party has breached a material term of the Agreement, and the

Table of Contents

breaching party has failed to remedy such breach within thirty (30) calendar days following written notice from the non-breaching party. Upon such termination, the Company shall pay to Executive all compensation payable to Executive for consulting services rendered up to the date of termination, and the Company shall have no further liability to Executive.

(iii) The Consulting Term shall terminate immediately upon the death or disability of Executive during the Consulting Term and in such event, the Company shall pay to Executive or Executive's personal representative all compensation payable to Executive for services rendered up to the date on which his death or disability occurs, and the Company shall have no further liability to Executive or his personal representative.

For purposes of this Section 2, the terms "Initial Public Offering" and "Change of Control" have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the LVB Acquisition, Inc. Management Equity Incentive Plan, adopted November 16, 2007.

3. **Confidential Information.** Executive recognizes that, because of the nature of the Company's business and the nature of the consulting services he will be providing to the Company, Executive will, during the Consulting Term, remain and become acquainted with the customers, products and technology of the Company and its affiliates (including its direct and indirect subsidiaries) and will be given access to such information and to certain other valuable proprietary information of a confidential nature which is developed, compiled, and utilized by the Company and/or its affiliates in its business. Executive shall not, during the Consulting Term or thereafter, disclose any item of Confidential Information to any third party or use any such item for his own benefit or for the benefit of any third party without the prior written consent of the Company, until such time that such Confidential Information shall have properly become known to the general public. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Confidential Information," shall mean and refer to, without limitation, (a) any information, documentation or technology designated as confidential or secret, or of any trade secret of a confidential nature which is required to be maintained as such for continued success of the business of the Company or its affiliates, or (b) any information identifying the customers to whom the Company or its affiliates sells its products and services, including product and service requirements and preferences of such customers.

4. **Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation.**

(a) **Non-Competition.** Executive agrees that during the Consulting Term and for one year thereafter, Executive shall not, directly or indirectly, engage, participate, or assist in any business organization whose activities or products are directly competitive with the activities or products of the Company, or any of its affiliates, in areas where the Company or its affiliates, do business, whether as owner, part-owner, stockholder, partner, director, officer, trustee, employee, agent, consultant or any other capacity, on his own behalf or on behalf of any corporation, partnership, or other business organization. Executive may make passive investments in a competitive enterprise the shares of which are publicly traded, provided that Executive's holdings in such enterprise, together with the holdings of any of the Executive's affiliates (as that term is defined in Rule 405 of the Rules under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), do not exceed 1% of the outstanding shares of the stock of such enterprise.

Table of Contents

(b) Non-Solicitation. Executive agrees that during the Consulting Term and for one year thereafter, he shall not directly or indirectly (i) solicit any person (natural or otherwise) to purchase or sell products directly or indirectly competitive with the products of the Company or its affiliates if the person is or had been a vendor or purchaser of such products during the 12 months prior to the termination of this Agreement, or (ii) recruit or otherwise solicit or induce any person who is at the time an executive, employee, employee consultant or sales associate of the Company or its affiliates to terminate his employment with, or cease his relationship with the Company or its affiliates, or hire any such executive, employee, consultant, or sales associate who has left the employ or service of the Company or its affiliates within one year of that executive's, employee's, consultant's, or sales associate's direct or indirect employment by Executive.

(c) Restrictions Reasonable. The confidentiality restrictions and the restrictions against competition and solicitation set forth above are considered by the parties to be reasonable for the purposes of protecting the business of the Company and its affiliates. If any restriction is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable because it extends for too long a period of time, over too broad a range of activities or in too large a geographic area, that restriction shall be interpreted to extend only over the maximum period of time, range of activities or geographic areas as to which it may be enforceable. Executive acknowledges that in the event of any breach of Sections 3, 4, or 5, the business interests of the Company and its affiliates will be irreparably injured, the full extent of the damages to the Company and its affiliates will be impossible to ascertain, monetary damages will not be an adequate remedy for the Company and its affiliates, and the Company will be entitled to enforce these Sections by a temporary, preliminary and/or permanent injunction or other equitable relief, without the necessity of posting bond or security, which Executive expressly waives. Executive agrees that each of Executive's obligations specified in this Agreement is a separate and independent covenant and that the unenforceability of any of them shall not preclude the enforcement of any other covenants in this Agreement.

5. Treatment of Equity. In consideration for Executive's promises and commitments in the Agreement, (including Executive's release of claims under Section 7) the Company agrees as follows:

(a) Options. The Options previously granted to Executive pursuant to the Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement dated as of October 5, 2009 that are exercisable as of July 11, 2010 (the Vested Options) shall continue to be exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date (as defined in the Option Agreement). For the sake of clarity, (i) Appendix A lists the Vested Options held by Executive as of the Retirement Date, (ii) all other options held by Executive that are not Vested Options shall expire and terminate on the Retirement Date and (iii) all other provisions applicable to the Vested Options (including any ability to terminate the Vested Options prior to the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date due to Executive's violation of any contractual obligations or in connection with a corporate transaction) shall continue to apply in accordance with their terms.

(b) Call Rights. LVB Acquisition, Inc. agrees that it shall waive its repurchase rights set forth in Section 3(b)(i) of the Management Stockholders Agreement for Senior Executives dated as of September 13, 2007.

Table of Contents

6. Release of Claims.

(a) **General Release.** In consideration of the Company's obligations hereunder and acceptance of Executive's retirement and resignation, Executive, on behalf of himself and Executive's heirs, successors, and assigns, hereby knowingly and voluntarily releases and forever discharges the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates, together with all of their respective current and former officers, directors, consultants, agents, representatives and employees, and each of their predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively, the Releasees), from any and all debts, demands, actions, causes of actions, accounts, covenants, contracts, agreements, claims, damages, omissions, promises, and any and all claims and liabilities whatsoever, of every name and nature, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, both in law and equity (Claims), which Executive ever had, now has, or may hereafter claim to have against the Releasees by reason of any matter, cause or thing whatsoever arising from the beginning of time to the time Executive executes this Agreement (the General Release). This General Release of Claims shall apply to any Claim of any type, including, without limitation, any and all Claims of any type that Executive may have arising under the common law, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act, the Americans With Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Family Rights Act, or the California Labor Code section 1400 et seq., each as amended, and any other Federal, state or local statutes, regulations, ordinances or common law, or under any policy, agreement, contract, understanding or promise, written or oral, formal or informal, between any of the Releasees and Executive, and shall further apply, without limitation, to any and all Claims in connection with, related to or arising out of Executive's employment, or the termination of Executive's employment, with the Company; provided, however, that this General Release shall not apply to or impair (i) claims for vested benefits pursuant to any other Company employee benefit plan, as defined in ERISA, in which Executive were a participant before the Separation Date; (ii) any rights to indemnification Executive may have under the charter, by-laws of the Company or applicable law; or (iii) any claims that may arise from any violation or breach of this Agreement (collectively, Excluded Claims). For the purpose of implementing a full and complete release, Executive understands and agrees that this Agreement is intended to include all claims, if any, which Executive may have and which Executive does not now know or suspect exist in Executive's favor against the Company or any of the Releasees and that this Agreement extinguishes those claims.

(b) **No Claims.** Executive represents and warrants that Executive has not filed any complaints or charges with any court or administrative agency against the Company or any of the Releasees, which have not been dismissed, closed, withdrawn or otherwise terminated on or before the date of this Agreement. Executive further represents and warrants that Executive has not assigned or transferred or attempted to assign or transfer, nor will Executive attempt to assign or transfer, to any person or entity not a party to this Agreement any of the Claims Executive is releasing in this Agreement. Furthermore, by signing this General Release of Claims, Executive represents and agrees that Executive will not be entitled to any personal recovery in any action or proceeding that may be commenced on Executive's behalf arising out

Table of Contents

of the matters released hereby. The Executive understands and agrees that if he commences, continues, joins in, or in any other manner attempts to assert any lawsuit released herein against the Company, or otherwise violates the terms of the General Release, he shall be required to return all payments paid to him by the Company pursuant to this Agreement (together with interest thereon), and he agrees to reimburse the Company for all attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the Company in defending against such a lawsuit, provided that the right to receive such payments is without prejudice to the Company's other rights hereunder, including any release of any and all Claims (other than the Excluded Claims) against the Company. The Executive understands and agrees that the Company's payments to him and the signing of this Agreement do not in any way indicate that he has any viable Claims against the Company or that the Company admits any liability to him whatsoever.

(c) ADEA/OWBPA Waiver. Executive specifically releases and waives any right or claim against the Company arising out of his employment or his resignation of employment with the Company under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. (ADEA) and the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. (OWBPA) (such release and waiver referred to as the Waiver). Executive understands and agrees that (i) this Agreement is written in a manner that he understands; (ii) he does not release or waive rights or claims that may arise after he signs this Agreement; (iii) he waives rights and claims he may have had under the OWBPA and the ADEA, but only in exchange for payments and/or benefits in addition to anything of value to which he is already entitled; (iv) Executive has been advised to consult with an attorney before signing this Agreement; (v) he has twenty-one (21) calendar days (the Offer Period) from receipt of this Agreement to consider whether to sign it. If Executive signs before the end of the Offer Period, Executive acknowledges that his decision to do so was knowing, voluntary, and not induced by fraud, misrepresentation, or a threat to withdraw, alter, or provide different terms prior to the expiration of the Offer Period. Executive agrees that changes or revisions to this Agreement, whether material or immaterial, do not restart the running of the Offer Period; (vi) Executive has seven (7) calendar days after signing this Agreement to revoke the waiver (the Revocation Period) and (vii) this Waiver shall not become effective or enforceable until the Revocation Period has expired. If Executive revokes the Waiver, Executive shall not be retained as a consultant under Section 2 and shall not be entitled to the benefits under Section 6. To be effective, the revocation must be in writing and received by Jeffrey R. Binder, Chief Executive Officer, at the Company's address set forth in Section 9.

(d) In consideration of Executive's entering into this Agreement, the Company releases and discharges Executive from and agrees to indemnify Executive for any and all claims, actions, causes of action, rights, benefits, compensation, or damages, including costs and attorneys' fees, of whatever nature, whether known or unknown, now existing or arising in the future from any act, omission, event, occurrence or non-occurrence prior to the date the Company signs this Agreement arising out of or in any way related to Executive's employment with the Company or any of its affiliates. This Release does not include and will not extend to any actions of Executive arising out of, based upon or attributable to the committing of any deliberate criminal or deliberate fraudulent or dishonest act, or any willful violation of any statute, rule or law, if any final adjudication establishes that such deliberate criminal, deliberate fraudulent or dishonest act or willful violation of statute, rule or law was committed.

Table of Contents

7. **Ongoing Cooperation.** As part of the consideration being provided to Executive under this Agreement, the Company expects Executive to make himself reasonably available to the Company and/or its legal counsel and other designated representatives or agents, in connection with all investigations, audits, suits, claims or disputes regarding the Company or its affiliates. As a result, the Executive and the Company agree to the following:

(a) Executive shall respond to the best of Executive's ability to reasonable inquiries from the Company concerning ongoing matters within Executive's knowledge and/or former area of responsibility and to assist the Company in transitioning those matters to other personnel. Executive shall fully cooperate with the Company and/or its legal counsel and other designated representatives or agents in providing information in connection with threatened, pending or future investigations or litigation, including giving depositions and appearing for live interviews and proceedings.

(b) Executive shall submit to the Company, within thirty (30) days of incurring any time or expense in providing the services contemplated in this Section 7, a written expense report detailing the time Executive spent and all out-of-pocket expenses for travel, lodging, meals and related expenses incurred by Executive in providing such services. The Company shall reimburse Executive for any such out-of-pocket expenses and shall pay Executive, in addition to the payments set forth in this Agreement, Two Hundred Fifty Euro (250) per hour for such time.

8. **Notices.** Any notice, report or payment required or permitted to be given or made under this Agreement by one party to the other shall be deemed to have been duly given or made if personally delivered or, if mailed, when mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, to the other party at the following addresses (or at such other address as shall be given in writing by one party to the other):

If to Executive:

Roger Van Broeck
Patrijzenlaan 7
9259 Waasmunster
Belgium

If to Company:

Biomet, Inc.
56 E. Bell Drive
P.O. Box 587
Warsaw, Indiana 46581-0587
Attn: General Counsel

9. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement (a) contains the complete and entire understanding and agreement of Executive and the Company with respect to the subject matter hereof; and (b) supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings, conditions and

Table of Contents

agreements, oral or written, express or implied, respecting the engagement of Executive in connection with the subject matter hereof. The parties specifically agree that the Employment Agreement and the Biomet Europe Employment Agreement are terminated as of September 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Section 7 of the Employment Agreement shall continue to apply in accordance with its terms.

10. Modification or Waiver. The provisions of this Agreement may be amended and waived only with the prior written consent of the Company and Executive. No course of dealing between the parties to this Agreement shall be deemed to affect or to modify, amend or discharge any provision or term of this Agreement. No delay on the part of the Company or Executive in the exercise of any of their respective rights or remedies shall operate as a waiver thereof, and no single or partial exercise by the Company or Executive of any such right or remedy shall preclude other or further exercises thereof. A waiver of right or remedy on any one occasion shall not be construed as a bar to or waiver of any such right or remedy on any other occasion.

11. Severability. Whenever possible each provision and term of this Agreement shall be interpreted in such manner as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision or term of this Agreement shall be held to be prohibited by or invalid under such applicable law, then such provision or term shall be ineffective only to the extent of such prohibition or invalidity, without invalidating or affecting in any manner whatsoever the remainder of such provision or term or the remaining provisions or terms of this Agreement.

12. No Strict Construction. The language used in this Agreement shall be deemed to be the language chosen by the parties hereto to express their mutual intent, and no rule of strict construction shall be applied against any party.

13. Executive's Representations. Executive represents and warrants to the Company that (i) his execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement does not and shall not conflict with, or result in the breach of or violation of, any other agreement, instrument, order, judgment or decree to which he is a party or by which he is bound and (ii) he is not a party to or bound by any employment agreement, non-competition agreement or confidentiality agreement with any other person or entity that would prevent him from performing under this Agreement.

14. Federal Anti-Kickback Statute. The Company and Executive will not violate 42 U.S.C. § 1320 a -7b (b) (Anti-Kickback Statute) in the performance of this Agreement.

15. Compliance with Laws/FCPA. In addition to the specific provisions elsewhere in this Agreement, Executive shall comply with all laws applicable to the consulting services in any jurisdiction in which Executive performs any of the consulting services. Executive further acknowledges that he is aware of and shall comply with the provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 15 USC §78dd-1 through 3, as amended, and any laws of any jurisdiction relating to commercial bribery. By way of example and not limitation, except as permitted by law, Executive shall not offer, pay, or promise to pay, any money or thing of value, directly or indirectly, to any person who is a government official for the purpose of obtaining or retaining any business. For these purposes government official shall include any employee of any governmental entity, political party, or public international organization, any political party official, or any candidate for public office in any jurisdiction.

Table of Contents

16. Use of Name and Logo. Executive will not use for publicity, promotion, or otherwise, any logo, name, trade name, service mark, or trademark of the Company or its affiliates, or any simulation, abbreviation, or adaptation of the same, without the Company's prior, written, express consent. The Company may withhold such consent in the Company's absolute discretion.

17. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed and delivered by each party hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed an original and both of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.

18. Successors and Assigns. This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company and any successor to the Company, including without limitation any persons acquiring directly or indirectly all or substantially all of the business or assets of the Company whether by purchase, merger, consolidation, reorganization or otherwise (and such successor shall thereafter be deemed the Company for purposes of this Agreement) and such successor shall deliver a written affirmation of its obligations hereunder to Executive. This Agreement will inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, and legatees, but otherwise will not be assignable, transferable or delegable by Executive. This Agreement is personal in nature and neither of the parties hereto shall, without the consent of the other, assign, transfer or delegate this Agreement or any rights or obligations hereunder except as otherwise expressly provided in this Section 19.

19. Choice of Law, Jurisdiction and Venue. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the domestic laws of the State of Indiana, without giving effect to any choice of law or conflict of law provision or rule (whether of the State of Indiana or any other jurisdiction) that would cause the application of the laws of any jurisdiction other than the State of Indiana. All actions and proceedings arising out of or relating to this Agreement shall be heard and determined in any Indiana state or federal court sitting in Indianapolis, Indiana, and each party hereto hereby irrevocably accepts and consents to the exclusive personal jurisdiction of those courts for such purpose. In addition, each party hereto hereby irrevocably waives, to the fullest extent permitted by law, any objection which he or it may now or hereafter have to the laying of venue of any action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement or any judgment entered by any court in respect thereof brought in any state or federal court sitting in the city of Indianapolis, Indiana and further irrevocably waives any claim that any action or proceeding brought in any such court has been brought in an inconvenient forum.

20. Mutual Waiver of Jury Trial. BECAUSE DISPUTES ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH COMPLEX TRANSACTIONS ARE MOST QUICKLY AND ECONOMICALLY RESOLVED BY AN EXPERIENCED AND EXPERT PERSON AND THE PARTIES WISH APPLICABLE STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS TO APPLY (RATHER THAN ARBITRATION RULES), THE PARTIES DESIRE THAT THEIR DISPUTES BE RESOLVED BY A JUDGE APPLYING SUCH APPLICABLE LAWS. THEREFORE, TO ACHIEVE THE BEST COMBINATION OF THE BENEFITS OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND OF ARBITRATION, EACH PARTY TO THIS AGREEMENT HEREBY WAIVES ALL RIGHTS TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY ACTION, SUIT, OR PROCEEDING BROUGHT TO RESOLVE ANY DISPUTE BETWEEN OR AMONG ANY OF THE PARTIES HERETO,

Table of Contents

WHETHER ARISING IN CONTRACT, TORT, OR OTHERWISE, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, RELATED OR INCIDENTAL TO THIS AGREEMENT, THE TRANSACTIONS CONTEMPLATED HEREBY AND/OR THE RELATIONSHIP ESTABLISHED AMONG THE PARTIES HEREUNDER.

21. Delivery by Facsimile. This Agreement, the agreements referred to herein, and each other agreement or instrument entered into in connection herewith or therewith or contemplated hereby or thereby, and any amendments hereto or thereto, to the extent signed and delivered by means of a facsimile machine, shall be treated in all manner and respects as an original agreement or instrument and shall be considered to have the same binding legal effect as if it were the original signed version thereof delivered in person.

22. Survivorship. Any provision of this Agreement, that by its terms, is intended to continue to apply after any termination or expiration of the Agreement shall survive such termination or expiration and continue to apply in accordance with its terms.

* * * * *

Table of Contents

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Executive, the Company, LVB Acquisition, Inc., and Biomet Europe BV have caused this Agreement to be duly executed and delivered on the date and year first above written.

/s/ Roger Van Broeck
Roger Van Broeck

BIOMET, INC.

By: /s/ Bradley J. Tandy
Bradley J. Tandy
Its: Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

**For purposes of Section 5(b) only
LVB ACQUISITION, INC.**

By: /s/ Bradley J. Tandy
Bradley J. Tandy
Its: Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

**For purposes of Article 9 only
BIOMET EUROPE BV**

By: /s/ Renaat Vermeulen
Renaat Vermeulen
Its: Vice President Sales, Marketing, and
Research and Development

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.15

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

This EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (the Agreement), dated as of August 1, 2009 (the Effective Date), is made by and between Biomet, Inc., an Indiana corporation (the Company), and Maggie Anderson (the Executive).

WHEREAS, the Company desires to engage the Executive, and the Executive desires to be engaged by the Company, as Senior Vice President of the Company and President of Biomet 3i, LLC; and

WHEREAS, the Company and the Executive desire to enter into this Agreement to set out the terms and conditions for the employment relationship of the Executive with the Company;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which hereby are acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Employment Agreement. On the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, the Company agrees to employ the Executive and the Executive agrees to be employed by the Company for the Employment Period set forth in Section 2 and in the positions and with the duties set forth in Section 3. Terms used herein with initial capitalization not otherwise defined are defined in Section 22.
2. Term. The initial term of employment under this Agreement shall be for a three-year period commencing on the Effective Date (the Initial Term). The term of employment shall be automatically extended for an additional consecutive 12-month period (the Extended Term) on the first anniversary of the Effective Date and each subsequent anniversary of the Effective Date, unless and until the Company or Executive provides written notice to the other party in accordance with Section 11 hereof not less than 90 days before such anniversary date that such party is electing not to extend the term of employment under this Agreement (Non-Renewal), in which case the term of this Agreement shall end as of the end of such Initial Term or Extended Term, as the case may be, unless sooner terminated as hereinafter set forth. Such Initial Term and all such Extended Terms are collectively referred to herein as the Employment Period.
3. Position and Duties. During the Employment Period, the Executive shall serve as Senior Vice President of the Company and President, Biomet 3i, LLC. In such capacity, the Executive shall report to the Company's Chief Executive Officer. During the Employment Period, the Executive shall have the powers and authority customarily exercised by individuals serving as President of a major business unit (of the size and nature of Biomet 3i, LLC) of a company of the size and nature of the Company. The Executive shall devote the Executive's reasonable best efforts and full business time to the performance of the Executive's duties hereunder and the advancement of the business and affairs of the Company; provided that the Executive shall be entitled to serve as a member of the board of directors of another company approved by the Board, to serve on civic, charitable, educational, religious, public interest or public service boards approved by the Board, and to manage the Executive's personal and family investments, in each case, to the extent such activities do not, individually or in the aggregate, materially interfere with the performance of the Executive's duties and responsibilities hereunder.
4. Place of Performance. During the Employment Period, the Executive shall be based primarily at the principal executive offices of Biomet 3i, LLC in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, except for reasonable travel on the Company's business consistent with the Executive's position.

Table of Contents

5. Compensation and Benefits

(a) **Base Compensation.** During the Employment Period, the Company shall pay to the Executive a base salary (the Base Salary) at the rate of no less than \$375,024 per year. The Base Salary shall be reviewed for increase by the Company no less frequently than annually and shall be increased in the discretion of the Company and any such adjusted Base Salary shall constitute Base Salary for purposes of this Agreement. The Base Salary shall be paid in substantially equal installments in accordance with the Company's regular payroll procedures.

(b) **Annual Bonus.** The Executive shall be given the opportunity to earn an annual incentive bonus for each fiscal year that ends during the Employment Period in accordance with the annual bonus plan generally applicable to the Company's executive officers, as the same may be in effect from time to time (the Annual Plan). The Executive's target annual incentive bonus opportunity under the Annual Plan shall be no less than 80% of the Executive's Base Salary for on-target performance with the possibility of exceeding 80% for high achievement. The actual amount payable to the Executive as an annual bonus under the Annual Plan shall be dependent upon the achievement of performance objectives established in accordance with the Annual Plan by the Board or the Compensation Committee of the Board (or its successor committee) (the Compensation Committee). Any bonus payable pursuant to this Section 5(b) shall be paid at the same time annual bonuses are payable to other officers of the Company in accordance with the terms of the Annual Plan.

(c) **Vacation; Benefits.** During the Employment Period, the Company shall provide to the Executive employee benefits and perquisites on a basis that is no less favorable than that provided to other senior officers of the Company, including participation in the Company's deferred compensation plan (if any), as in effect from time to time. It is agreed that Executive will be eligible for three (3) weeks vacation each fiscal year during the Employment Period commencing in fiscal year 2010. Subject to the terms of this Agreement, all benefits are provided at the Company's sole discretion. Subject to the terms of this Agreement, the Company shall have the right to change insurance carriers and to adopt, amend, terminate or modify employee benefit plans and arrangements at any time and without the consent of the Executive.

(d) **Relocation Expenses.** In connection with the Executive's commencement of her employment with the Company, pursuant to and subject to the terms of the Biomet Relocation Service Policy, the Company shall pay (or reimburse Executive for) Executive's actual relocation expenses from California to the greater Palm Beach Gardens, Florida area, provided that the period of the Executive's temporary living reimbursement provided for in the policy shall be extended from 30 days to six months following the Effective Date.

(e) **Sign-On Bonus.** The Company shall pay to Executive, within thirty (30) days of the date on which Executive commences her active employment with the Company, a lump sum cash payment of \$200,000 (the Sign-On Bonus). The Executive shall be required to repay the Sign-On Bonus to the Company if, prior to the second anniversary of the Effective Date, the Executive terminates her employment with the Company other than for Good Reason or the Company terminates the Executive's employment for Cause, provided that such repayment obligation shall lapse with respect to twenty-five percent (25%) of the Sign-On Bonus for each six (6) month period of employment completed by Executive commencing on the Effective Date.

6. **Expenses.** The Executive is expected and is authorized to incur reasonable expenses in the performance of her duties hereunder. The Company shall reimburse the Executive for all such expenses reasonably and actually incurred in accordance with policies which may be adopted from time to time by the Company promptly upon periodic presentation by the Executive of an itemized account, including reasonable substantiation, of such expenses.

Table of Contents

7. **Confidentiality, Non-Disclosure and Non-Competition Agreement.** The Company and the Executive acknowledge and agree that during the Executive's employment with the Company, the Executive will have access to and may assist in developing Company Confidential Information and will occupy a position of trust and confidence with respect to the Company's affairs and business and the affairs and business of the Company's Affiliates. The Executive agrees that the following obligations are necessary to preserve the confidential and proprietary nature of Company Confidential Information and to protect the Company and its Affiliates against harmful solicitation of employees and customers, harmful competition and other actions by the Executive that would result in serious adverse consequences for the Company and its Affiliates:

(a) **Non-Disclosure.** During the Executive's employment with the Company and thereafter, the Executive will not knowingly use, disclose or transfer any Company Confidential Information other than as authorized in writing by the Company or within Executive's good faith interpretation of the scope of the Executive's duties. Anything herein to the contrary notwithstanding, the provisions of this **Section 7(a)** shall not apply (i) when disclosure is required by law or by any court, arbitrator, mediator or administrative or legislative body (including any committee thereof) with actual or apparent jurisdiction to order the Executive to disclose or make accessible any information; or (ii) to information that becomes generally known to the public or within the relevant trade or industry other than due to the Executive's violation of this **Section 7(a)**.

(b) **Materials.** The Executive will not remove any Company Confidential Information or any other property of the Company or any of its Affiliates from the Company's premises or make copies of such materials except for normal and customary use in the Company's business. The Company acknowledges that the Executive, in the ordinary course of her duties, routinely uses and stores Company Confidential Information at home and other locations. The Executive will return to the Company all Company Confidential Information and copies thereof and all other property of the Company or any of its Affiliates at any time upon the request of the Company and in any event promptly after termination of Executive's employment. The Executive agrees to attempt in good faith to identify and return to the Company any copies of any Company Confidential Information after the Executive ceases to be employed by the Company. Anything to the contrary notwithstanding, nothing in this **Section 7** shall prevent the Executive from retaining a home computer, papers and other materials of a personal nature (including diaries and calendars), information relating to her compensation or relating to reimbursement of expenses, information that she reasonably believes may be needed for tax purposes, and copies of plans, programs and agreements relating to her employment.

(c) **No Solicitation or Hiring of Employees.** During the Non-Compete Period, the Executive shall not solicit, entice, persuade or induce any individual who is employed by the Company or any of its Affiliates (or who was so employed within 180 days prior to the Executive's action) to terminate or refrain from continuing such employment or to become employed by or enter into contractual relations with any other individual or entity other than the Company or any of its Affiliates, and the Executive shall not, directly or indirectly, hire, or participate in the hiring, as an employee, consultant or otherwise, any such Person.

(d) **Non-Competition.**

(i) During the Non-Compete Period, the Executive shall not, directly or indirectly, (A) solicit or encourage any client or customer of the Company or any of its Affiliates, or any

Table of Contents

Person who was a client or customer within 180 days prior to Executive's action to terminate, reduce or alter in a manner adverse to the Company, any existing business arrangements with the Company or any of its Affiliates or to transfer existing business from the Company or any of its Affiliates to any other Person, (B) provide services to any entity that competes with the Company or its Affiliate in the United States or any other jurisdiction in which the Executive has any responsibility during her employment hereunder or that provides a product or service competitive with any product or service provided by the Company or its Affiliate or (C) own an interest in any entity described in subsection (B) immediately above; provided, however, that Executive may own, as a passive investor, securities of any such entity that has outstanding publicly traded securities so long as her direct holdings in any such entity shall not in the aggregate constitute more than 2% of the voting power of such entity. The Executive agrees that, before providing services, whether as an employee or consultant, to any entity during the Non Compete Period, she will provide a copy of this Agreement to such entity and acknowledge, to the Company in writing, that she has done so. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Section 7 shall prevent the Executive from providing services to a division or a subsidiary of an entity that does not compete with the Company or any of its Affiliates and that does not provide products or services competitive with products or services provided by the Company or any of its Affiliates even if other divisions or subsidiaries of that entity compete with the Company so long as the Executive does not have any managerial or supervisory authority with respect to such competitive division or subsidiary. The Executive acknowledges that this covenant has a unique, very substantial and immeasurable value to the Company, that the Executive has sufficient assets and skills to provide a livelihood for the Executive while such covenant remains in force and that, as a result of the foregoing, in the event that the Executive breaches such covenant, monetary damages would be an insufficient remedy for the Company and equitable enforcement of the covenant would be proper. The Executive further covenants that she shall not challenge the reasonableness of any of the covenants set forth in this Section 7, but reserves the right to challenge the Company's interpretation of such covenants.

(ii) If the restrictions contained in Section 7(d)(i) shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable by reason of their extending for too great a period of time or over too great a geographical area or by reason of their being too extensive in any other respect, Section 7(d)(i) shall be modified to be effective for the maximum period of time for which it may be enforceable and over the maximum geographical area as to which it may be enforceable and to the maximum extent in all other respects as to which it may be enforceable.

(e) Publicity. During the Employment Period, the Executive hereby grants to the Company the right to use, in a reasonable and appropriate manner, the Executive's name and likeness, without additional consideration, on, in and in connection with technical, marketing or disclosure materials, or any combination thereof, published by or for the Company or any of its Affiliates.

(f) Conflicting Obligations and Rights. The Executive represents and warrants that she is not subject to agreement or contractual commitment that prevents or in any way limits her ability to fully discharge her duties and responsibilities hereunder and that she is not in possession of any confidential or proprietary information of another Person that will be used in connection with the discharge of her duties hereunder. The Executive acknowledges and agrees that the accuracy of the foregoing representation and warranty is a condition precedent to the enforceability of the Company's obligations hereunder.

(g) Enforcement. The Executive acknowledges that in the event of any breach of this Section 7, the business interests of the Company and its Affiliates will be irreparably injured, the full extent of the damages to the Company and its Affiliates will be impossible to ascertain, monetary damages will not be an adequate remedy for the Company and its Affiliates, and the Company will be

Table of Contents

entitled to enforce this Agreement by a temporary, preliminary and/or permanent injunction or other equitable relief, without the necessity of posting bond or security, which the Executive expressly waives. The Executive understands that the Company may waive some of the requirements expressed in this Agreement, but that such a waiver to be effective must be made in writing and should not in any way be deemed a waiver of the Company's right to enforce any other requirements or provisions of this Agreement. The Executive agrees that each of the Executive's obligations specified in this Agreement is a separate and independent covenant and that the unenforceability of any of them shall not preclude the enforcement of any other covenants in this Agreement.

8. Termination of Employment. The Executive's employment hereunder may be terminated during the Employment Period under the following circumstances:

(a) Death. The Executive's employment hereunder shall terminate upon the Executive's death;

(b) By the Company. The Company may terminate the Executive's employment for:

(i) Disability. If the Executive shall have been substantially unable to perform the Executive's material duties hereunder by reason of illness, physical or mental disability or other similar incapacity, which inability shall continue for 90 consecutive days or 180 non-consecutive days in any 24-month period and which qualified Executive for long term disability coverage under applicable Company disability plans (a Disability);

(ii) Cause. The Company may terminate the Executive's employment for Cause as defined herein; or

(iii) Without Cause. The Company may terminate the Executive's employment without Cause at any time upon not less than 90 days notice to the Executive. The Company's Non-Renewal of the Initial Term or the Extended Term shall constitute a termination of the Executive's employment by the Company without Cause, and the Company's notice of Non-Renewal pursuant to Section 2 hereof shall constitute notice of termination without Cause for purposes of this Section 8(b)(iii). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company's Non-Renewal of the Initial Term or the Extended Term shall constitute a termination of the Executive's employment by the Company without Cause only if the Company determines that a "separation from service" within the meaning of Treasury Regulation 1.409A-1(h) has occurred.

(c) By the Executive. The Executive may terminate her employment with or without Good Reason upon not less than 90 days notice to the Company. The Executive's Non-Renewal of the Initial Term or the Extended Term shall constitute a termination of employment by the Executive without Good Reason, and the Executive's notice of Non-Renewal pursuant to Section 2 hereof shall constitute notice of the Executive's termination of her employment for purposes of this Section 8(c). During this 90-day notice period, the Company may, without breaching this Agreement or constituting Good Reason or a Termination without Cause, relieve the Executive of her positions, titles, duties and responsibilities and direct the Executive to cease appearing on Company property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Executive's Non-Renewal of the Initial Term or the Extended Term shall constitute a termination of employment by the Executive without Good Reason only if the Company determines that a "separation from service" within the meaning of Treasury Regulation 1.409A-1(h) has occurred.

Table of Contents

(d) Notice of Termination. Any termination of the Employment Period, other than pursuant to the Executive's death, shall be effected by delivery to the other party of a notice of termination (a Notice of Termination) from the party terminating the Employment Period.

(e) Other Resignations. Upon any termination of the Executive's employment, she shall automatically resign, and shall automatically be deemed to have resigned, from all positions with the Company and its Affiliates.

9. Compensation Upon Termination.

(a) Death. If the Executive's employment is terminated during the Employment Period as a result of the Executive's death, this Agreement and the Employment Period shall terminate without further notice or any action required by the Company or the Executive's legal representatives. Upon the Executive's death, the Company shall pay or provide the following: (i) the Company shall pay to the Executive's legal representative or estate, as applicable, the Executive's Base Salary due through the Executive's Date of Termination; (ii) the Company shall pay to the Executive's legal representative or estate, as applicable, a pro rated portion (based on the percentage of the Company's fiscal year preceding the Executive's Date of Termination) of the amount equal to the average of (x) the annual incentive bonus earned by the Executive for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination and (y) the annual incentive bonus the Executive would have received for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination if her employment had not been terminated, as determined by the Board based on the Company's performance to the Date of Termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year; and (iii) the Company shall pay, at the time when such payments are due, to the Executive's legal representative or estate, as applicable, the Accrued Benefits and the rights of the Executive's legal representative or estate with respect to any equity or equity-related awards shall be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement. The total amount of the pro rated bonus described in clause (ii) of the preceding sentence will be paid in a lump sum at the time the Company pays annual incentive bonuses under the Annual Plan to its similarly situated active employees for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination. Except as set forth herein, the Company shall have no further obligation to the Executive under this Agreement.

(b) Disability. If the Company terminates the Executive's employment during the Employment Period because of the Executive's Disability pursuant to Section 8(b)(i), (i) the Company shall pay to the Executive or the Executive's legal representative, as applicable, the Executive's Base Salary due through the Executive's Date of Termination, (ii) the Company shall pay to the Executive or the Executive's legal representative, as applicable, a pro rated portion (based on the percentage of the Company's fiscal year preceding the Executive's Date of Termination) of the amount equal to the average of (x) the annual incentive bonus earned by the Executive for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination and (y) the annual incentive bonus the Executive would have received for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination if her employment had not been terminated, as determined by the Board based on the Company's performance to the Date of Termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year; and (iii) the Company shall pay to the Executive or the Executive's legal representative, as applicable, at the time when such payments are due, the Accrued Benefits and the rights of the Executive or the Executive's legal representative, as applicable, with respect to any equity or equity-related awards shall be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement. The total amount of the pro rated bonus described in clause (ii) of the preceding sentence will be paid in a lump sum at the time the Company pays annual incentive bonuses under the Annual Plan to its similarly situated active employees for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination. Except as set forth herein, the Company shall have no further obligation to the Executive under this Agreement.

Table of Contents

(c) Termination by the Company for Cause or Voluntarily by the Executive. If, during the Employment Period, the Company terminates the Executive's employment for Cause or the Executive voluntarily terminates her employment other than for Good Reason, the Company shall pay to the Executive the Executive's Base Salary due through the Date of Termination and all Accrued Benefits, if any, to which the Executive is entitled as of the Date of Termination, at the time such payments are due, and the Executive's rights with respect to any equity or equity-related awards shall be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement.

(d) Termination by the Company Other Than For Cause, Death or Disability, or by the Executive for Good Reason, Prior to a Change of Control. If the Company terminates the Executive's employment during the Employment Period other than for Cause and other than due to the Executive's death or Disability, or if Executive terminates the Executive's employment during the Employment Period for Good Reason, in either case at any time other than during the two-year period following a Change of Control, then

(i) Executive shall be entitled to an amount equal to 1.5 times the Executive's Base Salary in effect at the Date of Termination (the Severance Benefit). The total amount of the Severance Benefit will be paid in equal, ratable installments in accordance with the Company's regular payroll policies over the course of the Non-Compete Period;

(ii) Executive shall be entitled to a pro rated portion (based on the percentage of the Company's fiscal year preceding the Executive's Date of Termination) of the annual incentive bonus the Executive would have received for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination if her employment had not been terminated, as determined by the Board based on the Company's performance to the Date of Termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year. The total amount of the pro rated bonus described in the preceding sentence will be paid in a lump sum at the time the Company pays annual incentive bonuses under the Annual Plan for such fiscal year to its similarly situated active employees;

(iii) If the Executive is eligible for and elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA (with respect to the Executive and/or the Executive's dependents who are eligible to elect COBRA under the Company's group health plan(s) as a direct result of the Executive's termination of employment), the Company shall pay (as of the first of each applicable month) the premiums for such coverage (or reimburse the Executive for such premiums) until the earlier to occur of (x) the end of the Non-Compete Period or (y) the date the Executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group health plan;

(iv) The Company shall pay to the Executive, at the time when such payments are due, the Accrued Benefits; and

(v) The rights of the Executive with respect to any equity or equity-related awards shall be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement.

(e) Termination by the Company Other Than For Cause, Death or Disability, or by the Executive for Good Reason, Following a Change of Control. If the Company terminates the Executive's employment during the Employment Period other than for Cause and other than due to the Executive's death or Disability, or if Executive terminates the Executive's employment during the Employment Period for Good Reason, in either case within the two-year period following a Change of Control, then:

(i) Executive shall be entitled to an amount equal to (A) 2 times the Executive's Base Salary in effect at the Date of Termination plus (B) 2 times the amount equal to the average of (x) the annual incentive bonus earned by the Executive for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination and (y) the annual incentive bonus the Executive would have received for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination if her employment had not been terminated, as determined by the Board based on the Company's performance to the Date of Termination extrapolated through the end of such fiscal year (the Change of Control Severance Benefit). The total amount of the Change of Control Severance Benefit will be paid in a lump sum as soon as administratively practicable following the Date of Termination;

Table of Contents

(ii) Executive shall be entitled to a pro rated portion (based on the percentage of the Company's fiscal year preceding the Executive's Date of Termination) of the Executive's target annual incentive bonus under the Annual Plan for the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination. The total amount of the pro rated bonus described in the preceding sentence will be paid in a lump sum at the time the Company pays annual incentive bonuses under the Annual Plan for such fiscal year to its similarly situated active employees;

(iii) If the Executive is eligible for and elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA (with respect to the Executive and/or the Executive's dependents who are eligible to elect COBRA under the Company's group health plan(s) as a direct result of the Executive's termination of employment), the Company shall pay (as of the first of each applicable month) the premiums for such coverage (or reimburse the Executive for such premiums) until the earlier to occur of (x) the end of the Non-Compete Period or (y) the date the Executive becomes eligible for coverage under another group health plan;

(iv) The Company shall pay to the Executive, at the time when such payments are due, the Accrued Benefits; and

(v) The rights of the Executive with respect to any equity or equity-related awards shall be governed by the applicable terms of the related plan or award agreement.

(f) Delay in Payments. Notwithstanding the preceding provisions or any provision in this Agreement to the contrary, all payments pursuant hereto (if any) are intended to comply with Code Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and the guidance thereunder, and this Agreement shall be construed accordingly. To the extent that compliance with Section 409A(a)(2)(B) would require any payment otherwise provided for by this Agreement to be delayed for six months, such payment shall be made as soon as administratively practicable after the end of such six-month period.

(g) Liquidated Damages. The parties acknowledge and agree that damages which will result to the Executive for termination by the Company of the Executive's employment shall be extremely difficult or impossible to establish or prove, and agree that the amounts payable to the Executive (if any) under Section 9(d) or 9(e), as applicable (the "Severance Payments") shall constitute liquidated damages for any such termination.

(h) Full Discharge of Company Obligations. In the event of any breach of this Agreement by the Company, the Executive shall be entitled to the lesser of (i) the amount of damages incurred by the Executive as a direct result of each breach and (ii) the Severance Payments the Executive would be entitled to under Section 9(d) if her employment were terminated thereunder. The amounts

Table of Contents

payable to Executive following termination of the Employment Period or upon any actual or constructive termination of the Executive's employment pursuant to this Section 9 shall be in full and complete satisfaction of Executive's rights under this Agreement and any other claims she may have in respect of her employment by the Company or any of its Affiliates, and Executive acknowledges that such amounts are fair and reasonable, and her sole and exclusive remedy, in lieu of all other remedies at law or in equity, with respect to the termination of her employment hereunder. Payment of any Severance Payment pursuant to Section 9(d) or 9(e), as applicable, shall be conditioned upon (x) Executive's execution and non-revocation of a release in a form substantively identical in terms to the form attached as Exhibit A and (y) Executive's compliance with the provisions set forth in Section 7 hereof.

(i) Section 409A. To the extent the Executive would be subject to the additional 20% tax imposed on certain deferred compensation arrangements pursuant to Section 409A of the Code as a result of any provision of this Agreement, such provision shall be deemed amended to the minimum extent necessary to avoid application of such tax and the parties shall promptly execute any amendment reasonably necessary to implement this Section 9(i).

10. Notices. All notices, demands, requests, or other communications which may be or are required to be given or made by any party to any other party pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be hand delivered, mailed by first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, delivered by overnight air courier, or transmitted by facsimile transmission addressed as follows:

(i) If to the Company, to:
Biomet, Inc.

56 E. Bell Drive

P.O. Box 587

Warsaw, Indiana 46581-0587

Attn: Chief Legal Officer

Facsimile Number: (574) 372-1960

(ii) If to the Executive, to the address last shown on the Company's Records. Each party may designate by notice in writing a new address to which any notice, demand, request or communication may thereafter be so given, served or sent. Each notice, demand, request, or communication that shall be given or made in the manner described above shall be deemed sufficiently given or made for all purposes at such time as it is delivered to the addressee (with the return receipt, the delivery receipt, confirmation of facsimile transmission or the affidavit of messenger being deemed conclusive but not exclusive evidence of such delivery) or at such time as delivery is refused by the addressee upon presentation.

11. Severability. The invalidity or unenforceability of any one or more provisions of this Agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the other provisions of this Agreement, which shall remain in full force and effect.

12. Effect on Other Agreements. The provisions of this Agreement shall supersede the terms of any plan, policy, agreement, award or other arrangement of the Company (whether entered into before or after the Effective Date) to the extent application of the terms of this Agreement is more favorable to the Executive.

Table of Contents

13. Survival. It is the express intention and agreement of the parties hereto that the provisions of Sections 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21 and 22 hereof shall survive the termination of employment of the Executive.

14. Assignment. The rights and obligations of the parties to this Agreement shall not be assignable or delegable, except that (i) in the event of the Executive's death, the personal representative or legatees or distributees of the Executive's estate, as the case may be, shall have the right to receive any amount owing and unpaid to the Executive hereunder and (ii) the rights and obligations of the Company hereunder shall be assignable and delegable in connection with any subsequent merger, consolidation, sale of all or substantially all of the assets or equity interests of the Company or similar transaction involving the Company or a successor corporation. The Company shall require any successor to the Company to expressly assume and agree to perform this Agreement in the same manner and to the same extent that the Company would be required to perform it if no such succession had taken place.

15. Binding Effect. Subject to any provisions hereof restricting assignment, this Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and shall inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective heirs, devisees, executors, administrators, legal representatives, successors and assigns.

16. Amendment; Waiver. This Agreement shall not be amended, altered or modified except by an instrument in writing duly executed by the party against whom enforcement is sought. Neither the waiver by either of the parties hereto of a breach of or a default under any of the provisions of this Agreement, nor the failure of either of the parties, on one or more occasions, to enforce any of the provisions of this Agreement or to exercise any right or privilege hereunder, shall thereafter be construed as a waiver of any subsequent breach or default of a similar nature, or as a waiver of any such provisions, rights or privileges hereunder.

17. Headings. Section and subsection headings contained in this Agreement are inserted for convenience of reference only, shall not be deemed to be a part of this Agreement for any purpose, and shall not in any way define or affect the meaning, construction or scope of any of the provisions hereof.

18. Governing Law. This Agreement, the rights and obligations of the parties hereto, and any claims or disputes relating thereto, shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Indiana (but not including any choice of law rule thereof that would cause the laws of another jurisdiction to apply). Except as otherwise provided in Section 7(g), each of the parties agrees that any dispute between the parties shall be resolved only in the courts of the State of Indiana or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana and the appellate courts having jurisdiction of appeals in such courts. In that context, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing (but subject to Section 7(g)), each of the parties hereto irrevocably and unconditionally (a) submits for himself or itself in any proceeding relating to this Agreement or Executive's employment by the Company or any of its Affiliates, or for the recognition and enforcement of any judgment in respect thereof (a Proceeding), to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the State of Indiana, the court of the United States of America for the Northern District of Indiana, and appellate courts having jurisdiction of appeals from any of the foregoing, and agrees that all claims in respect of any such Proceeding shall be heard and determined in such Indiana State court or, to the extent permitted by law, in such federal court; (b) consents that any such Proceeding may and shall be brought in such courts and waives any objection that she or it may now or thereafter have to the venue or jurisdiction of any such Proceeding in any such court or that such Proceeding was brought in an inconvenient court and agrees not to plead or claim the same; (c) waives all right to trial by jury in any Proceeding (whether based on contract, tort or otherwise) arising out of or relating to this Agreement or Executive's employment by the Company or any of its Affiliates, or her or its, performance under or the enforcement of this Agreement; (d) agrees that service of process in any

Table of Contents

such Proceeding may be effected by mailing a copy of such process by registered or certified mail (or any substantially similar form of mail), postage prepaid, to such party at her, or its, address as provided in Section 10; and (e) agrees that nothing in this Agreement shall affect the right to effect service of process in any other manner permitted by the laws of the State of Indiana.

19. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties respecting the employment of the Executive and supersedes all other agreements and understandings.

20. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in two counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument.

21. Withholding. The Company may withhold from any benefit payment under this Agreement all federal, state, city or other taxes as shall be required pursuant to any law or governmental regulation or ruling.

22. Definitions.

Accrued Benefits means (i)(A) any vested compensation deferred by the Executive prior to the Date of Termination and not paid by the Company; (B) any amounts or benefits owing to the Executive or to the Executive's beneficiaries under the then applicable benefit plans of the Company; and (C) any amounts owing to the Executive for reimbursement of expenses properly incurred by the Executive prior to the Date of Termination and which are reimbursable in accordance with Section 6; and (ii) if the Executive's employment is terminated during the Employment Period (A) other than by the Company for Cause and other than by the Executive without Good Reason and (B) prior to the Company's payment to her of her annual incentive bonus, if any, under the Annual Plan for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year that contains the Date of Termination, the amount of such annual incentive bonus.

Affiliate means, with respect to any entity, any other corporation, organization, association, partnership, sole proprietorship or other type of entity, whether incorporated or unincorporated, directly or indirectly controlling or controlled by or under direct or indirect common control with such entity, provided that none of the Majority Stockholders shall be deemed to be an Affiliate of the Company for purposes of this Agreement solely by reason of its ownership interest in the Company, and provided further that no company that is wholly or partially owned by any Majority Stockholder shall be deemed to be an Affiliate of the Company solely by reason of such Majority Stockholder's ownership interest therein.

Board means the Board of Directors of the Company.

Cause, when used in connection with a termination of the Executive's employment, shall mean, unless otherwise provided in any applicable equity award grant agreement entered into between the Company and the Executive with respect to any equity awards that may be granted to the Executive, the termination of the Executive's employment with the Company and all of its Affiliates on account of (i) a failure of the Executive to substantially perform her duties (other than as a result of physical or mental illness or injury) that has continued after the Company has provided written notice of such failure and the Executive has not cured such failure within 30 days of the date of such written notice, provided that a failure to meet financial performance expectations shall not, by itself, constitute a failure by the Executive to substantially perform her duties; (ii) the Executive's willful misconduct or gross negligence; (iii) a willful or grossly negligent breach by a Executive of the Executive's fiduciary duty or duty of loyalty to the Company or any of its Affiliates; (iv) the commission by the Executive of any

Table of Contents

felony or other serious crime involving moral turpitude; (v) a material breach of the Executive's obligations under any agreement entered into between the Executive and the Company or any of its Affiliates, which, if such breach is reasonably susceptible to cure, has continued after the Company has provided written notice of such breach and the Executive has not cured such failure within 30 days of the date of such written notice; or (vi) a material breach of the Company's written policies or procedures that have been communicated to the Executive and that causes material harm to the Company or its business reputation.

Change of Control shall mean the occurrence of any of the following events after the Effective Date: (i) any sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of the LVB Acquisition, Inc. on a consolidated basis to any Person or group of related persons for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (a Group), together with any Affiliates thereof other than to a Majority Stockholder; (ii) the approval by the holders of the outstanding voting power of LVB Acquisition, Inc. of any plan or proposal for the liquidation or dissolution of LVB Acquisition, Inc.; (iii) (A) any Person or Group (other than the Majority Stockholder) shall become the beneficial owner (within the meaning of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), directly or indirectly, of common stock of either the Company or LVB Acquisition, Inc. (or any intermediary entity between the Company and LVB Acquisition, Inc.) representing more than 40% of the aggregate outstanding voting power of the Company, LVB Acquisition, Inc. or such intermediary entity, as applicable, and such Person or Group actually has the power to vote such common stock in any such election and (B) the Majority Stockholder beneficially owns (within the meaning of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), directly or indirectly, in the aggregate a lesser percentage of the voting power of the Company or LVB Acquisition, Inc. (or any intermediary entity between the Company and LVB Acquisition, Inc.), as applicable, than such other Person or Group; (iv) the replacement of a majority of the Board over a two-year period from the directors who constituted the Board at the beginning of such period, and such replacement shall not have been approved by a vote of at least a majority of the Board then still in office who either were members of such Board at the beginning of such period or whose election as a member of such Board was previously so approved or who were nominated by, or designees of, a Majority Stockholder; (v) consummation of a merger or consolidation of the LVB Acquisition, Inc. with another entity in which holders of the common stock of LVB Acquisition, Inc. immediately prior to the consummation of the transaction hold, directly or indirectly, immediately following the consummation of the transaction, less than 50% of the common equity interest in the surviving corporation in such transaction and the Majority Stockholder does not hold a sufficient amount of voting power (or similar securities) to elect a majority of the surviving entity's board of directors or (vi) a merger, recapitalization or other direct or indirect sale by the Majority Stockholder (including through a public offering) of common stock of LVB Acquisition, Inc. that results in more than 80% of the common stock of LVB Acquisition, Inc. (or any resulting company after a merger) owned, directly or indirectly, by the Majority Stockholder immediately following the Closing, no longer being so owned by the Majority Stockholder. For purposes of the preceding sentence, Closing shall mean the closing of the merger of the Company with LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc. pursuant to the Merger Agreement.

Company Confidential Information means information known to the Executive to constitute trade secrets or proprietary information belonging to the Company or other Company confidential financial information, operating budgets, strategic plans or research methods, personnel data, projects or plans, or non-public information regarding the Company or any Affiliate of the Company, in each case, received by the Executive in the course of her employment by the Company or in connection with her duties with the Company.

Table of Contents

Date of Termination means (i) if the Executive's employment is terminated by the Executive's death, the date of the Executive's death; (ii) if the Executive's employment is terminated because of the Executive's Disability pursuant to Section 8(b)(i), 30 days after Notice of Termination, provided that the Executive shall not have returned to the performance of the Executive's duties on a full-time basis during such 30-day period; or (iii) if the Executive's employment is terminated for any reason other than the Executive's death or Disability, the date specified in the Notice of Termination, which in the case of a termination of employment by the Company without Cause may not be less than 90 days following the date the notice is provided.

Extended Term shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2.

Good Reason shall mean, unless otherwise provided in any applicable equity award grant agreement entered between the Company or LVB Acquisition, Inc. and the Executive with respect to any equity awards that may be granted to the Executive, the occurrence of the following without the Executive's consent (i) a material diminution in the Executive's duties and responsibilities as of the Effective Date, other than a change in such Executive's duties and responsibilities that results from becoming part of a larger organization following a Change in Control; (ii) a decrease in a Executive's base salary or bonus opportunity as of the Effective Date, other than a decrease in base salary or bonus opportunity that applies to a similarly situated class of employees of the Company or its Affiliates; or (iii) a relocation of a Executive's primary work location more than 50 miles from the Executive's work location on the Effective Date, without the Executive's prior written consent; provided that, within thirty days following the occurrence of any of the events set forth herein, the Executive shall have delivered written notice to the Company of her intention to terminate her employment for Good Reason, which notice specifies in reasonable detail the circumstances claimed to give rise to the Executive's right to terminate employment for Good Reason, and neither the Company nor LVB Acquisition, Inc. shall not have cured such circumstances within thirty days following the Company's receipt of such notice.

Majority Stockholder, for purposes of this Agreement, shall mean, collectively or individually as the context requires, Blackstone Group, L.P., The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., TPG Capital, L.P. and their respective Affiliates.

Non-Compete Period means the period commencing on the Effective Date and ending eighteen (18) months after the earlier of the expiration of the Employment Period or the Executive's Date of Termination.

Person means an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, unincorporated organization, trust or joint venture, or a governmental agency or political subdivision thereof.

* * * * *

Table of Contents

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have duly executed and delivered this Agreement, or have caused this Agreement to be duly executed and delivered on their behalf.

BIOMET, INC.

By: /s/ Bradley J. Tandy
Name: Bradley J. Tandy
Title: Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

EXECUTIVE

/s/ Maggie Anderson
Name: Maggie Anderson

Table of Contents

Exhibit 12

Biomet, Inc.**Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges**

(in millions, except ratios)

	Successor		Predecessor		Years Ended	
	Year	Year	Period from	Period from	May 31,	
	Ended	Ended	July 12, 2007 -	June 1, 2007 -	2007	2006
	May 31, 2010	May 31, 2009	May 31,	July 11,		
			2008	2007		
Earnings:						
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	\$ (141.7)	\$ (920.4)	\$ (1,194.3)	\$ (81.9)	\$ 501.6	\$ 611.0
Add: Fixed charges (per below)	516.4	618.9	603.1	0.3	9.3	11.7
Total earnings (loss)	\$ 374.7	\$ (301.5)	\$ (591.2)	\$ (81.6)	\$ 510.9	\$ 622.7
Fixed charges:						
Interest expense (2)	\$ 516.4	\$ 618.9	\$ 603.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 9.3	\$ 11.7
Total fixed charges	\$ 516.4	\$ 618.9	\$ 603.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 9.3	\$ 11.7
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	54.9	53.2

- (1) Earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 by \$141.7 million, \$920.4 million, \$1,194.3 million, and \$81.9 million, respectively.
- (2) Interest expense includes the amortization of deferred financing costs and bond premium.

Table of Contents

Exhibit 21

Domestic subsidiaries:

Bioelectron, Inc. (Delaware corporation)

Biomet 3i, LLC (Florida LLC)

Biomet Biologics, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Biomet Europe Ltd. (Delaware corporation)

Biomet Fair Lawn, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Biomet Florida Services, LLC (Florida LLC)

Biomet Holdings Ltd. (Delaware corporation)

Biomet International Ltd. (Delaware corporation)

Biomet Leasing, Inc. (Indiana corporation)

Biomet Manufacturing Corp. (Indiana corporation)

Biomet Microfixation, LLC (Florida LLC)

Biomet Orthopedics, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Cross Medical Products, LLC (Delaware LLC)

EBI, LLC (Indiana LLC)

EBI Holdings, LLC (Delaware LLC)

EBI Medical Systems, LLC (Delaware LLC)

EBI Patient Care, Inc. (Puerto Rican corporation)

Electro-Biology, LLC (Delaware LLC)

Implant Innovations Holding LLC (Indiana LLC)

Interpore Cross International, LLC (California LLC)

Interpore Spine Ltd. (Delaware corporation)

Kirschner Medical Corporation (Delaware corporation)

Citra Labs, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Biomet Trauma, LLC (Indiana LLC)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Foreign subsidiaries:

Biomet Austria GmbH Austria

Biomet Belgium BVBA Belgium

Biomet Insurance Ltd. Bermuda

Biomet China Business Trust China

Changzhou Biomet Medical Devices Co. Ltd. China

Shanghai Biomet Business Consulting Co. Ltd. China

Zhejiang Biomet Medical Products Co. Ltd. (Z Biomet) China

Biomet CZ S.r.o Czech Republic

Biomet Denmark Aps Denmark

Biomet Finland OY Finland

Biomet France Sarl France

Biomet Deutschland GmbH Germany

Biomet (Gibraltar) Finance Ltd. Gibraltar

Biomet (Gibraltar) Holdings Limited Gibraltar

Biomet (Gibraltar) Limited Gibraltar

Biomet International (Gibraltar) Ltd. Gibraltar

Biomet Hellas SA Greece

Biomet Hong Kong CBT Limited Hong Kong

Biomet Hong Kong Holding Limited Hong Kong

Biomet Hong Kong No. 1 Limited Hong Kong

Biomet Hong Kong No. 2 Limited Hong Kong

Biomet Magyarorszag Kft. Hungary

Biomet Italia Sarl Italy

Table of Contents

Biomet Finance Luxembourg Sarl Luxembourg

Biomet Holdings Luxembourg Sarl Luxembourg

Biomet Luxembourg Sarl Luxembourg

Biomet Sarl Luxembourg

Biomet CV Netherlands

Biomet Europe BV Netherlands

Biomet Europe Spine BV Netherlands

Biomet Global Supply Chain Center BV Netherlands

Biomet Healthcare Limited United Kingdom

Kabushiki Kaisha Implant Innovations Japan Inc. Japan

Biomet Europe Holding Sarl Luxembourg

Biomet Deutschland Holding GmbH Germany

Biomet Deutschland Vertrieb GmbH Germany

Biomet SAS France

Biomet 3i Portugal L.d.a. Switzerland

Biomet Holdings BV Netherlands

Biomet Nederland BV Netherlands

Biomet Microfixation Europe BV Netherlands

Biomet Norge AS Norway

Biomet Polska Sp. z.o.o. Poland

Biomet Portugal Unipessoal, Lda Portugal

Biomet South Africa (Pty.) Ltd. South Africa

Biomet Spain Orthopedics SL Spain

Biomet Cementing Technologies AB Sweden

Biomet Orthopaedics AB Sweden

Scandimed Holding AB Sweden

Biomet Orthopaedics Switzerland GmbH Switzerland

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Ortra Holdings SA Switzerland

Biomet Medikal Drunjer Dadytym Pazarlama Yhracat ve Dys Ticaret Ltd. Sti. Turkey

MET Ortopedi AS Turkey

TTT Ltd. Sti. Turkey

Biomet Acquisitions Unlimited United Kingdom

Biomet UK Ltd. United Kingdom

Biomet 3i Australia Pty. Ltd. Australia

Biomet 3i de Brasil Ltda. Brazil

Biomet 3i Canada, Inc. Canada

Biomet 3i de Mexico S.A. de C.V. Mexico

Biomet Orthopaedics India Private Limited India

Biomet Microfixation Europe BV Netherlands

Biomet 3i Belgium N.V. Belgium

Biomet 3i Benelux Holdings N.V. Belgium

Biomet 3i France France

Biomet 3i Deutschland GmbH Germany

Biomet 3i Global Supply Chain Center B.V. Netherlands

Biomet 3i Netherlands B.V. Netherlands

Biomet 3i Iberica SL Spain

Biomet 3i Nordic AB Sweden

Biomet 3i Switzerland GmbH Switzerland

Biomet 3i Turkey Turkey

Biomet 3i UK Limited United Kingdom

Biomet Argentina SA Argentina

Biomet Australia Pty Ltd. Australia

Biomet Canada, Inc. Canada

Table of Contents

Biomet Microfixation Canada, Inc. Canada

Biomet Chile SA Chile

Biomet China Co., Ltd. China

Ortopedica Biomet Costa Rica SA Costa Rica

Biomet Japan, Inc. Japan

Biomet Korea Co. Ltd. Korea

Biomet Mexico SA de CV Mexico

Biomet Orthopaedics Ltd. New Zealand

Biomet Orthopedics Puerto Rico Inc. Puerto Rico

Biomet France Holding SAS France

Table of Contents

Exhibit 23.1

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the use in the Registration Statement No. 333-150655 on Form S-1 of Biomet, Inc. and subsidiaries of our report dated August 25, 2010, (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the new basis of accounting established on July 12, 2007 as a result of the Merger) relating to the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of Biomet, Inc. and subsidiaries appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Biomet, Inc. for the year ended May 31, 2010.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana

August 25, 2010

Table of Contents

Exhibit 31.1

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jeffrey R. Binder, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Biomet, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 25, 2010

/s/ JEFFREY R. BINDER
Jeffrey R. Binder

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit 31.2

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Daniel P. Florin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Biomet, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a)

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 25, 2010

/s/ DANIEL P. FLORIN
Daniel P. Florin

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit 32.1

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Biomet, Inc. (the Company), each hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to his knowledge on the date hereof:

- (a) The Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 2010 filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Report) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (b) Information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

August 25, 2010

/s/ JEFFREY R. BINDER

Jeffrey R. Binder

President and Chief Executive Officer

August 25, 2010

/s/ DANIEL P. FLORIN

Daniel P. Florin

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit to the Form 10-K and shall not be deemed to be considered filed as part of the Form 10-K.