REGIS CORP Form 10-Q/A January 25, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q/A**

(Amendment No. 1)

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 1-12725

# **Regis Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

41-0749934

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7201 Metro Boulevard, Edina, Minnesota

(Address of principal executive offices)

55439

(Zip Code)

(952) 947-7777

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to be submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock as of October 24, 2012:

Common Stock, \$.05 par value Class

**57,526,940**Number of Shares

#### Table of Contents

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

Regis Corporation (the Company) previously filed the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 with the SEC on November 9, 2012 (the Original Filing). The Company is filing this Amendment No. 1 to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 (Form 10-Q/A) to reflect the restatement of its interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and the related notes thereto, for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. For a more detailed description of this restatement, see Restatement of Financial Statements in Note 2 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1, Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q/A. Information presented in the section entitled Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations has also been restated, as applicable. Information presented in the section entitled in Item 4, Controls and Procedures has also been restated.

Subsequent to September 30, 2012, the Company determined that the \$24.0 million foreign currency gain recognized during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was understated by \$9.9 million as the actual net gain was \$33.8 million. The Company completed the sale of its investment in Provalliance and subsequently liquidated all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Within the Original Filing, amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income that were recognized in earnings were foreign currency translation rate gain adjustments of \$33.6 million, a cumulative tax-effected net loss of \$7.9 million associated with a cross-currency swap that was settled in fiscal year 2007 that hedged the Company s European operations, and a \$1.7 million net loss associated with cash repatriation from the Company s European operations. The foreign currency translation rate gain reflected within this Form 10-Q/A is \$43.4 million, resulting in a net gain of \$33.8 million.

This Form 10-Q/A sets forth the content of the Original Filing in its entirety, with changes to Items 1, 2, and 4 of Part I and Item 6 of Part II of the Original Filing amended, in each case, solely as a result of, and to reflect, the restatement. No other information in the Original Filing has been amended in this Form 10-Q/A. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, Item 6 of Part II of the Original Filing has been amended to contain the awareness letter of the Company s independent registered public accountants and currently-dated certifications from the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as required by Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The awareness letter of the Company s independent registered public accountant is attached to this Form 10-Q/A as Exhibit 15. The certifications of the Company s CEO and CFO are attached to this Form 10-Q/A as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2.

Except for the foregoing amended information, this Form 10-Q/A continues to describe conditions as of the date of the Original Filing, and the Company has not updated the disclosures contained herein to reflect events that have occurred subsequent to that date.

# Table of Contents

## **REGIS CORPORATION**

# **INDEX**

Part I.	Financial Information UNAUDITED		
	Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2012 (Restated) and June 30, 2012	4
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011	5
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011	6
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2012 (Restated) and 2011	7
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Restated)	8
		Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	29
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	47
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	47
<u>Part II.</u>	Other Information		
	Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	48
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	48
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	52
	Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	52
	Signatures		53
		3	

## Table of Contents

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

#### REGIS CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (Unaudited)

As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	September 30, 2012 (as restated, see Note 2)			June 30, 2012		
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	222,458	\$	111,943		
Receivables, net		32,424		28,954		
Inventories		155,990		142,276		
Deferred income taxes		14,520		14,503		
Income tax receivable		14,175		14,098		
Other current assets		24,233		55,903		
Current assets held for sale (Note 3)		17,202		17,000		
Total current assets		481,002		384,677		
Property and equipment, net		303,150		305,799		
Goodwill		463,917		462,279		
Other intangibles, net		23,375		23,395		
Investment in and loans to affiliates		59,910		160,987		
Other assets		60,824		59,488		
Long-term assets held for sale (Note 3)		176,204		175,221		
Total assets	\$	1,568,382	\$	1,571,846		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Long-term debt, current portion	\$	28,883	\$	28,937		
Accounts payable		63,428		47,890		
Accrued expenses		134,565		157,026		
Current liabilities related to assets held for sale (Note 3)		15,959		18,120		
Total current liabilities		242,835		251,973		
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		251,178		258,737		
Other noncurrent liabilities		148,594		143,972		
Long- term liabilities related to assets held for sale (Note 3)		28,063		28,007		
Total liabilities		670,670		682,689		
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)						

Shareholders equity:

~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Common stock, \$0.05 par value; issued and outstanding 57,527,496 and 57,415,241 common		
shares at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively	2,876	2,871
Additional paid-in capital	347,408	346,943
Accumulated other comprehensive income	28,287	55,114
Retained earnings	519,141	484,229
Total shareholders equity	897,712	889,157
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,568,382 \$	1,571,846

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

#### REGIS CORPORATION

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

# For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data amounts)

Revenues:	· · ·	2012 s restated, ee Note 2)		2011
Service Service	\$	393,416	\$	415,017
Product	Ψ	102,284	Ψ	106,773
Royalties and fees		9,660		9,556
Royalties and rees		505,360		531,346
Operating expenses:		303,300		331,340
Cost of service		232,528		235,665
Cost of product		53,132		53,023
Site operating expenses		52,347		54,811
General and administrative		55,872		65,870
Rent		81,499		82,176
Depreciation and amortization		20,709		30,797
Total operating expenses		496,087		522,342
Operating income		9,273		9,004
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense		(6,829)		(7,360)
Interest income and other, net (Note 4)		34,612		1,317
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated		27.056		2.061
companies		37,056		2,961
Income taxes		(2,986)		(1,209)
Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of income taxes		577		3,870
Income from continuing operations		34,647		5,622
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes (Note 3)		3,777		2,715
N	Ф	20.424	Ф	0.227
Net income	\$	38,424	\$	8,337
Net income per share:				
Basic:				
Income from continuing operations		0.60		0.10
Income from discontinued operations		0.07		0.05
Net income per share, basic(1)	\$	0.67	\$	0.15
Diluted:				
Income from continuing operations		0.54		0.10
Income from discontinued operations		0.06		0.05
Net income per share, diluted(1)	\$	0.59	\$	0.15

Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding:

Basic	57,283	56,849
Diluted	68,589	57,098
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06

(1) Total is a recalculation; line items calculated individually may not sum to total due to rounding.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

#### REGIS CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)

## For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

#### (Dollars in thousands)

	`	2012 restated, e Note 2)	2011
Net income	\$	38,424 \$	8,337
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments		7,038	(20,552)
Change in fair market value of financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges		(23)	446
Recognition of deferred compensation and other			(1)
Reclassifications associated with liquidation of foreign entities (Note 4)		(33,842)	
Other comprehensive loss		(26,827)	(20,107)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	11,597 \$	(11,770)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

#### REGIS CORPORATION

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

# For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

## (In thousands)

	2012 (as restated, see Note 2)	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 38,424	\$ 8,337
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	20,433	31,638
Amortization	728	2,468
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(907)	(4,032)
Dividends received from affiliated companies	347	270
Deferred income taxes	7,120	(2,800)
Accumulated other comprehensive income reclassifications (Note 4)	(33,842)	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(35)	
Stock-based compensation	1,818	2,440
Amortization of debt discount and financing costs	1,749	1,613
Other noncash items affecting earnings	98	(477)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities (1):		
Receivables	(3,355)	(907)
Inventories	(13,534)	(23,612)
Income tax receivable	(57)	4,260
Other current assets	1,790	1,806
Other assets	1,408	509
Accounts payable	14,599	11,376
Accrued expenses	(24,670)	(7,906)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(3,648)	(11,528)
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,466	13,455
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(18,077)	(16,827)
Proceeds from sale of assets	21	369
Asset acquisitions, net of cash acquired and certain obligations assumed		(2,077)
Proceeds from loans and investments	130,281	1,290
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	112,225	(17,245)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities		23,900
Payments on revolving credit facilities		(23,900)
Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(8,905)	(9,669)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	35	
Dividends paid	(3,448)	(3,494)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights	45	
Net cash used in financing activities	(12,273)	(13,163)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	2,097	(3,637)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	110,515	(20,590)

Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	111,943	96,263
End of period	\$ 222,458 \$	75,673

<sup>(1)</sup> Changes in operating assets and liabilities exclude assets acquired and liabilities assumed through acquisitions.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

#### REGIS CORPORATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Regis Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2012 and for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to fairly state the consolidated financial position of the Company as of September 30, 2012 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the interim periods. Adjustments consist only of normal recurring items, except for any discussed in the notes below. The results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results of operations and cash flows for the full year.

The Consolidated Balance Sheet data for June 30, 2012 was derived from audited Consolidated Financial Statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 and other documents filed or furnished with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) during the current fiscal year.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company as of September 30, 2012 and for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included in this Form 10-Q/A have been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Their separate report dated November 9, 2012, except for the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 2 to the interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, as to which the date is January 25, 2013, appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a report or a part of the registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

#### **Reclassifications:**

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, salon marketing and advertising expenses that were presented within cost of service and general and administrative operating expense line items in prior filings were reclassified to site operating expenses within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The reclassifications were made to better present how management of the Company views the respective salon marketing and advertising expenses. The prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on operating income or net income. The table below presents the impact of the reclassification to the three months ended September 30, 2011 and excludes discontinued operations:

For the Three Months Ended, September 30, 2011

	September 30, 2011					
		Prior				
	Prese	Presentation(1)		assification		As Presented
			(Dollars	in thousands)		
Cost of service	\$	235,969	\$	(304)	\$	235,665
Site operating expenses		50,971		3,840		54,811
General and administrative		69,406		(3,536)		65,870

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior presentation amounts exclude amounts related to discontinued operations. See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

In addition, expenses associated with our distribution centers were reclassified from Corporate to our North America reportable segment. The reclassifications were made to better present how management of the Company views the respective distribution centers expenses. This reclassification had no impact on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The table below presents the impact of the reclassification of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization expenses between the Company s Corporate and North America reportable segments:

#### **Table of Contents**

						For the three	mont	ns ended,				
		September 30, 2011										
			Noi	th America						Corporate		
		Prior				As		Prior				As
	Presentation(1)		Reclassification Pres		resented(3)	ented(3) Presentation		n Reclassification		Presented(3)		
		(	Dollar	s in thousands	)			(	Dollar	s in thousands	)	
General and administrative(2)	\$	29,706	\$	2,939	\$	32,645	\$	36,775	\$	(6,191)	\$	30,584
Rent		73,215		165		73,380		197		(165)		32
Depreciation and amortization		17,970		571		18.541		11.521		(571)		10.950

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior presentation amounts exclude amounts related to discontinued operations. See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

(3) See Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for presentation of segment information.

#### **Stock-Based Employee Compensation:**

Stock-based awards are granted under the terms of the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). Additionally, the Company has outstanding stock options under its 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan), although the 2000 Plan terminated in 2010. Under these plans, five types of stock-based compensation awards are granted: stock options, equity-based stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock awards (RSAs), restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share units (PSUs). Stock options, SARs and RSAs granted prior to July 1, 2011 under the 2004 Plan generally vest at a rate of 20.0 percent annually on each of the first five anniversaries of the date of grant. The stock options and SARs have a maximum term of ten years. The RSUs granted prior to fiscal year 2012 cliff vest after five years and payment of the RSUs is deferred until January 31 of the year following vesting.

During fiscal year 2012, the Company granted RSAs and RSUs. Certain of the RSAs vest at a rate of 20.0 percent annually on the first five anniversaries of the date of grant while the other RSAs cliff vest two years after the grant date. The RSUs granted to the Company s non-employee directors occurred in the last month of fiscal year 2012, with retroactive vesting on a monthly basis, generally from the Company s 2011 annual shareholder meeting date. The distribution of vested RSUs is deferred until the non-employee director s separation of service from the Company, at which time the vested RSUs will be converted into common stock. Awards granted prior to July 1, 2012 do not contain acceleration of vesting terms for retirement of eligible recipients.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company granted SARs, RSAs, RSUs, and PSUs. The SARs and RSUs granted to employees vest 33.3 percent annually on the first three anniversaries of the date of grant and become fully vested on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The Company also granted RSUs to the Company s Chief Executive Officer that will vest in full and convert to common stock if the Company s stock price reaches a certain price for twenty consecutive days prior to the fifth anniversary of his start date. The RSAs granted cliff vest after five years. The PSUs represent shares potentially issuable in the future. Issuance of the PSUs is based on the Company s performance during fiscal year 2013 as it relates to the Company achievement of same-store sales and adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization.

<sup>(2)</sup> The North America general and administrative reclassification consists of a \$6,191 increase for the offset to the Corporate reclassification within this line item, partially offset by a decrease of \$3,252 applicable to North America for the marketing and advertising expense reclassification above.

Awards granted after July 1, 2012 generally contain various acceleration of vesting terms depending on the type of award for eligible recipients aged sixty-two years or older and employees aged fifty-five and have fifteen years of continuous service.

Unvested awards are subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of employment. The Company utilizes an option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and SARs at their grant date. Stock options and SARs are granted at not less than fair market value on the date of grant. The Company utilized a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the fair value of the market-based restricted stock unit. The Company generally recognizes compensation expense for its stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the respective awards vesting period. Compensation expense related to the PSUs is recognized based on the Company s estimate for the number of shares that will ultimately be issued. Historically, the Company s primary employee stock-based compensation grant occurs during the fourth fiscal quarter.

#### Table of Contents

Total compensation cost for stock-based payment arrangements totaled \$1.8 and \$2.4 million for each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Stock options outstanding and weighted average exercise price as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

Options	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exer Price	
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	652	\$	32.53
Granted			
Exercised	(3)		18.90
Forfeited or expired	(64)		29.77
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	585	\$	32.89
Exercisable at September 30, 2012	531	\$	33.94

Outstanding options of 584,938 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value (the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise or grant date price) of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.6 years. Exercisable options of 530,568 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.3 years. Of the outstanding and unvested options and due to estimated forfeitures, 49,399 are expected to vest with a \$22.96 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 6.7 years and a total intrinsic value of zero.

All options granted relate to stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders of the Company.

The table below contains a rollforward of RSAs, RSUs and SARs outstanding, as well as other relevant terms of the awards:

	Restricted St	ıtstanding	SARs Outstanding				
			Weighted			Weighted	
			Average Grant Date			Average Exercise	
	Shares/Units	Fair Value		Shares		Price	
	(in thousands)			(in thousands)			
Balance, June 30, 2012	660	\$	25.44	734	\$	26.02	
Granted	284		17.52	581		18.01	
Vested/Exercised	(17)		17.96	(3)		16.60	
Forfeited or expired	(3)		18.01	(287)		28.00	
Balance, September 30, 2012	924	\$	23.18	1,025	\$	20.95	

Outstanding and unvested RSAs of 500,701 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$9.2 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 2.5 years. Due to estimated forfeitures, 452,826 are expected to vest with a total intrinsic value of \$8.3 million.

Outstanding RSUs of 423,782 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$7.8 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 1.0 years. Vested RSUs of 250,907 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$4.6 million. Unvested RSUs of 164,106 at September 30, 2012 had an intrinsic value of \$3.0 million and a weighted average remaining vesting term of 2.6 years. The payment of 215,000 vested RSUs is deferred until January 31, 2013. The payment of the remaining 35,907 vested RSUs is deferred until the non-employee director no longer serves on the Board of Directors, at which time the vested RSUs will be converted into common stock.

Outstanding SARs of 1,024,725 at September 30, 2012 had a total intrinsic value of \$0.3 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 8.1 years. Exercisable SARs of 299,080 at September 30, 2012 had a total intrinsic value of less than \$0.1 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.2 years. Of the outstanding and unvested rights and due to estimated forfeitures, 672,567 are expected to vest with a \$18.18 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.4 years and a total intrinsic value of \$0.3 million.

#### Table of Contents

Performance share units outstanding and weighted average grant date fair value as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

Performance Share	Non Units (1) (in thousands)	vested Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2012		\$
Granted	193	18.38
Vested		
Forfeited		
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	193	\$ 18.38

<sup>(1)</sup> Assumes attainment of targeted payout rates as set forth in the performance criteria based in thousands of share units.

Future compensation expense for currently unvested PSUs could reach a maximum of \$7.1 million, assuming payout of all unvested performance units. Assuming attainment of targeted payout rates, future compensation expense for currently unvested PSUs would be \$3.5 million.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 total cash received from the exercise of share-based instruments was less than \$0.1 million and zero, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$17.8 million. The related weighted average period over which such cost is expected to be recognized was approximately 2.9 years as of September 30, 2012.

The total intrinsic value of all stock-based compensation that was exercised during each of the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was less than \$0.1 million.

The total fair value of stock awards that vested and were distributed during the months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, was \$0.3 and less than \$0.1 million, respectively.

Using the fair value of each grant on the date of grant, the weighted average fair values per stock-based compensation award granted during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	2012	201	11
SARs	\$ 6.64	\$	
Restricted stock awards	18.01		13.59
Restricted stock units	17.16		
Performance share units	18.38		

The expense associated with the RSA and RSU grants is based on the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant. The significant assumptions used in determining the underlying fair value on the date of grant of the SAR and market-based RSU grants issued during the three months ended September 30 2012 is presented below:

	SAR	RSU
Risk-free interest rate	0.85%	0.66%
Expected term (in years)	6.00	N/A
Expected volatility	44.00%	47.00%
Expected dividend yield	1.33%	1.33%

The risk free rate of return is determined based on the U.S. Treasury rates approximating the expected life of the respective awards granted. Expected volatility is established based on historical volatility of the Company s stock price. Estimated expected life was based on an analysis of historical stock options granted data which included analyzing grant activity including grants exercised, expired, and canceled. The expected dividend yield is determined based on the Company s annual dividend amount as a percentage of the strike price at the time of the grant. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting forfeiture rates.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Goodwill:

As of the fiscal year 2012 annual impairment testing of goodwill, the estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units exceeded the carrying value by approximately 14.0 and 12.0 percent, respectively. The respective fair values of the Company's remaining reporting units exceeded carrying value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may experience additional impairment in future periods. As previously disclosed, the Company has agreed to sell the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit in fiscal year 2013; however, until this reporting unit is sold, it is reasonably likely that there could be impairment of the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit is goodwill in future periods. See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details of Hair Restoration Center's goodwill balance. The term reasonably likely refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of goodwill for the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2012.

A summary of the Company s goodwill balance as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 by reporting unit is as follows:

Reporting Unit		As of September 30, 2012		
•	•	(Dollars in	thousands)	
Regis	\$	35,957	\$	35,910
MasterCuts		4,652		4,652
SmartStyle		48,780		48,558
Supercuts		129,634		129,621
Promenade		244,894		243,538
Total North America Salons	\$	463,917	\$	462,279

See Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on the Company s goodwill balance.

#### **Property and Equipment:**

Historically, because of the Company s large size and scale requirements it has been necessary for the Company to internally develop and support its own proprietary point-of-sale (POS) information system. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company identified a third party POS alternative. At June 30, 2011 and throughout fiscal year 2012, the Company reassessed and adjusted the remaining useful life of the Company s capitalized POS software. As of June 30, 2012, the existing POS information system was fully depreciated. Depreciation expense related to the existing POS information system totaled zero and \$9.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Prior to September 30, 2012, the Company decided the third party POS alternative would only be utilized in United Kingdom (U.K.). The Company reviewed the third party POS alternative capitalized software carrying value for impairment at September 30, 2012. As a result of the Company s long-lived asset impairment testing at September 30, 2012 for this grouping of assets, no impairment charges were recorded. There was no adjustment to the useful life as the Company expects to fully utilize the third party POS alternative in the U.K. salons. The Company is currently evaluating another third party POS solution for salons in North America.

#### **Recent Accounting Standards Adopted by the Company:**

Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) updated the accounting guidance related to annual and interim goodwill impairment testing. The updated accounting guidance allows entities to first assess qualitative factors before performing a quantitative assessment of the fair value of a reporting unit. If it is determined on the basis of qualitative

12

<b>m</b>	. 1		c			
Tal	hl	e	ot	on	itei	nts

factors that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the existing quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The Company adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, and subsequently amended in December 2011, the FASB issued final guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Under the newly issued guidance, net income and comprehensive income may only be presented either as one continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The Company retrospectively adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013, with comprehensive income shown as a separate statement immediately following the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Accounting Standards Recently Issued But Not Yet Adopted by the Company:

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to disclosures on offsetting assets and liabilities on the balance sheet. This newly issued accounting standard requires an entity to disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet as well as instruments and transactions executed under a master netting or similar arrangement and was issued to enable users of financial statements to understand the effects or potential effects of those arrangements on its financial position. This accounting guidance is required to be applied retrospectively and is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. Since the accounting guidance only impacts disclosure requirements, its adoption will not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment

In July 2012, the FASB updated the accounting guidance related to annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment testing. The updated accounting guidance allows entities to first assess qualitative factors before performing a quantitative assessment of the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets. If it is determined on the basis of qualitative factors that the fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the existing quantitative impairment test is required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing is required. The updated guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014 with early adoption permitted under certain circumstances. The Company will adopt this accounting guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014 and does not expect it to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### 2. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Subsequent to September 30, 2012, the Company determined that the \$24.0 million foreign currency gain recognized during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was understated by \$9.9 million as the actual net gain was \$33.8 million. As a result, the Company has restated its interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to correct the error.

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustment on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2012:

	Previously Reported	Res	nber 30, 2012 Effect of statement in thousands)	Restated
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 38,173	\$	(9,886)	\$ 28,287
Retained earnings	509,255		9,886	519,141

## Table of Contents

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustment on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended,					
	<b>September 30, 2012</b>					
	As I	Previously	Effect	of		
	R	eported	Restater	nent	R	estated
		(Dollars in the	ousands, except	per share o	lata amoun	its)
Interest income and other, net	\$	24,726	\$	9,886	\$	34,612
Income from continuing operations before income						
taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies		27,170		9,886		37,056
Income from continuing operations		24,761		9,886		34,647
Net income		28,538		9,886		38,424
Net income per share:						
Basic:						
Income from continuing operations		0.43		0.17		0.60
Net income per share, basic		0.50		0.17		0.67
Diluted:						
Income from continuing operations		0.39		0.14		0.54(1)
Net income per share, diluted		0.45		0.14		0.59

<sup>(1)</sup> Total is a recalculation; line items calculated individually may not sum to total due to rounding.

The following table presents the impact of the restatement adjustment on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended September 30, 2012:

	Foreviously Reported	Septen H Re	ree Months Ended, nber 30, 2012 Effect of statement s in thousands)	Restated
Net income	\$ 28,538	\$	9,886	\$ 38,424
Reclassifications associated with liquidation of foreign				
entities	(23,956)		(9,886)	(33,842)
Other comprehensive loss	(16,941)		(9,886)	(26,827)

The restatement adjustments did not impact the total net cash flows from operating, financing, or investing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

#### 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

Hair Restoration Centers

On July 13, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Hair Club for Men and Women business (Hair Club), a provider of hair restoration services, for cash of \$163.5 million to Aderans, Co., Ltd. The definitive agreement includes a working capital adjustment provision that could impact the final sale price. The sale includes the Company s 50.0 percent interest in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. accounted for by the Company under the equity method. The transaction is expected to close during fiscal year 2013. The Company is currently anticipating recognizing a gain upon closing of the deal.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company classified the results of operations of Hair Club as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The assets and liabilities of this business to be sold met the criteria to be classified as held for sale and have been aggregated and reported as current assets held for sale, long-term assets held for sale, current liabilities related to assets held for sale and long-term liabilities related to assets held for sale in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for all periods presented. The classification was based on the Company entering into the agreement to sell Hair Club, the centers being available for sale in present condition, and the sale being probable as of September 30, 2012. The operations and cash flows of Hair Club will be eliminated from ongoing operations of the Company, which was previously recorded as the Hair Restoration reporting segment. There will be no significant continuing involvement by the Company in the operations of Hair Club after disposal.

## Table of Contents

The following summarizes the assets and liabilities of our Hair Club operations at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

	Se	ptember 30, 2012 (Dollars in	thousands	June 30, 2012
Current assets held for sale				
Receivables, net	\$	2,563	\$	2,624
Inventories		6,564		6,165
Deferred income taxes		3,229		2,892
Other current assets		4,846		5,319
Total current assets held for sale		17,202		17,000
Property and equipment, net		18,559		17,261
Goodwill		74,374		74,376
Other intangibles, net		78,119		78,395
Investment in affiliates		5,152		5,189
Total long-term assets held for sale		176,204		175,221
Total assets held for sale	\$	193,406	\$	192,221
Current liabilities related to assets held for sale				
Accounts payable	\$	1,806	\$	2,564
Accrued expenses		14,153		15,556
Total current liabilities related to assets held for sale		15,959		18,120
Other non-current liabilities related to assets held for sale		28,063		28,007
Total liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$	44,022	\$	46,127

The following summarizes the results of operations of our discontinued hair restoration service operations for the periods presented:

		For the Per Septem Three 1	ber 30,	led
	2012 20			2011
		(Dollars in	thousand	ls)
Revenues	\$	38,951	\$	37,403
Income from discontinued operations, before income taxes		5,872		4,067
Income tax provision on discontinued operations		(2,299)		(1,514)
Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of tax		204		162
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes		3,777		2,715

Income taxes have been allocated to continuing and discontinued operations based on the methodology required by interim reporting and accounting for income taxes guidance. Depreciation and amortization were ceased during the three months ended September 30, 2012 in accordance with accounting for discontinued operations.

Trade Secret

On February 16, 2009, the Company sold its Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). The Company reported Trade Secret as a discontinued operation. The carrying value of the note receivable with the purchaser of Trade Secret was fully reserved as of June 30, 2011.

The purchaser of Trade Secret emerged from bankruptcy in March 2012 and in conjunction, the Company entered into a credit and security agreement in which the principal balance of the note receivable was reduced from \$35.7 to \$18.0 million. Payments of \$0.5 million are due quarterly beginning on May 31, 2012. Upon receipt of the quarterly payments through February 2019 the remaining principal and unpaid interest will be forgiven. The purchaser of Trade Secret partially satisfied the principal payment during the first fiscal quarter of 2013 by providing the Company with \$0.3 million of saleable inventory. The Company recorded the recovery of bad debt expense upon receipt of the inventory during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The carrying value of the note receivable continues to be fully reserved at September 30, 2012.

#### **Table of Contents**

Effective in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company has an agreement in which the Company provides warehouse services to the purchaser of Trade Secret. Under the warehouse services agreement, the Company recognized \$0.2 and \$0.5 million of other income related to warehouse services during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The carrying value of the receivable related to warehouse services was \$0.2 and \$0.1 million as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not Trade Secret was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret. The Company concluded that Trade Secret is a VIE based on the fact that the equity investment at risk in Trade Secret is insufficient. The Company determined that the purchaser of Trade Secret has met the power criterion due to the purchaser of Trade Secret having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Trade Secret seconomic performance. The Company concluded based on the consideration above that the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret is the purchaser of Trade Secret. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Trade Secret is the guarantee of approximately 20 operating leases. The Company has determined the exposure to the risk of loss on the guarantee of the operating leases to be immaterial to the financial statements.

#### 4. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

#### **Net Income Per Share:**

The Company s basic earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding, excluding unvested outstanding RSAs and RSUs. The Company s dilutive earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, which includes shares issuable under the Company s stock option plan and long-term incentive plan, and dilutive securities. Stock-based awards with exercise prices greater than the average market value of the Company s common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. The Company s dilutive earnings per share will also reflect the assumed conversion under the Company s convertible debt if the impact is dilutive, along with the exclusion of interest expense, net of taxes. The impact of the convertible debt is excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share when interest expense per common share obtainable upon conversion is greater than basic earnings per share.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Three M Ended Septembe	
	2012	2011
	(Shares in thous	ands)
Weighted average shares for basic earnings per share	57,283	56,849
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation	67	249
Dilutive effect of convertible debt	11,239	
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share	68,589	57,098

The following table sets forth the awards which are excluded from the various earnings per share calculations:

For the Three Months

	Ended September	er 30,
	2012	2011
	(Shares in thousa	ands)
Basic earnings per share:		
RSAs (1)	501	858
RSUs (1)	173	215
	674	1,073
Diluted earnings per share:		
Stock options (2)	585	826
SARs (2)	444	1,030
RSAs (2)		767
Shares issuable upon conversion of debt (3)		11,184
	1.029	13.807

#### Table of Contents

- (1) Awards were not vested
- (2) Awards were anti-dilutive
- (3) Shares were anti-dilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders and the net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share under the if-converted method:

		2012	Ended Se	hree Months ptember 30, n thousands)	2011	
Net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	_					
(as restated)	\$		34,647	\$		5,622
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Interest on convertible debt, net of taxes			2,130			
Net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share (as						
restated)	\$		36,777	\$		5,622

#### **Additional Paid-In Capital:**

The change in additional paid-in capital during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to the following:

	,	Dollars in housands)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$	346,943
Stock-based compensation		1,818
Vested stock option and stock appreciation right expirations		(1,126)
Taxes related to restricted stock		(105)
Net tax loss from stock-based compensation plans		(165)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights		45
Other		(2)
Balance, September 30, 2012	\$	347,408

#### **Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:**

The Company completed the sale of its investment in Provalliance during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and subsequently liquidated all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations. Amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income that were recognized in earnings were foreign currency translation rate gain adjustments of \$43.4 million, as restated, a cumulative tax-effected net loss of \$7.9 million associated with a cross-currency swap that was settled in fiscal year 2007 that hedged the Company s

European operations, and a \$1.7 million net loss associated with cash repatriation from the Company s European operations, which nets to \$33.8 million, as restated.

#### 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

The fair value measurement guidance for financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. This guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prescribed by this guidance contains three levels as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;

17

#### Table of Contents

- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management s estimates of market participant assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following tables sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values.

	Fair Value at			Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as					
	Septembe	er 30, 2012		Level 1 Level 2		Level 3			
			(Dollars in thousands)						
ASSETS									
Noncurrent assets									
Equity call option-Roosters	\$	117	\$	\$	\$	117			
LIABILITIES									
Non-current liabilities									
Equity put option Roosters	\$	161	\$	\$	\$	161			

	Fair Value at June 30, 2012		Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as Level 1 Level 2 (Dollars in thousands)				3
ASSETS							
Current assets							
Derivative instruments	\$	145	\$	\$	145	5	
Noncurrent assets							
Equity call option-Roosters		117					117
LIABILITIES							
Non-current liabilities							
Equity put option-Provalliance	\$	633	\$	\$	\$	5	633
Equity put option-Roosters		161					161

Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the changes during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in our Level 3 financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as						
	Roosters Equity Call Option		Roosters Equity Put Option (Dollars in thousands)		Provalliance Equity Put Option		
Balance at July 1, 2012	\$	117	\$	161	\$	633	
Total realized and unrealized losses:							
Included in equity income of affiliated companies						(633)	
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$	117	\$	161	\$		

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Changes in Financial Instruments** Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as Provalliance Roosters Roosters **Equity Call Option Equity Put Option Equity Put Option** (Dollars in thousands) Balance at July 1, 2011 \$ 22,700 \$ Total realized and unrealized losses: Included in other comprehensive loss (1.576)Issuances 161 **Purchases** 117 Balance at September 30, 2011 \$ 117 \$ 161 \$ 21,124

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

*Derivative instruments.* The Company s derivative instrument assets and liabilities historically have consisted of cash flow hedges represented by forward foreign currency contracts. The instruments are classified as Level 2 as the fair value is obtained using observable inputs available for similar liabilities in active markets at the measurement date that are reviewed by the Company. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity put option Provalliance. The Company s merger of the European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group on January 31, 2008 contained an equity put (Provalliance Equity Put) and an equity call. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the share purchase agreement. On September 27, 2012 the share purchase agreement closed in which the Company sold its 46.7 percent equity interest in Provalliance. The fair value of the Provalliance Equity Put decreased to zero during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as it automatically terminated upon closing of the share purchase agreement.

Equity put and call options Roosters. The purchase agreement for the Company's acquisition of a 60.0 percent ownership interest in Roosters MGC International LLC (Roosters) on July 1, 2011 contained an equity put (Roosters Equity Put) and an equity call (Roosters Equity Call). See further discussion within Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call are valued using binomial lattice models that incorporate assumptions including the business enterprise value at that date and future estimates of volatility and earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization multiples. The sensitivity of the underlying assumptions to the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call is not material to the consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2012, the fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call were \$0.2 and \$0.1 million, respectively, and are classified within noncurrent liabilities and other assets, respectively, on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Financial Instruments. In addition to the financial instruments listed above, the Company s financial instruments also include cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and debt.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximated the carrying values as of September 30, 2012 and 2011. At September 30, 2012, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$298.5 and \$280.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2011, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$330.0 and \$304.0 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of debt was determined based on internal valuation models, which utilize quoted market prices and interest rates for the same or similar instruments (Level 2).

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We measure certain assets, including the Company s equity method investments, tangible fixed assets and goodwill, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis when they are deemed to be other than temporarily impaired. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the 80 million share purchase agreement related to Provalliance. Other than the Company s investment in Provalliance, there were no assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

## Table of Contents

## 6. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES:

The table below contains details related to the Company s recorded goodwill as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

	Salons					
	North America			nternational rs in thousands)		Consolidated
Gross goodwill at June 30, 2012	\$	717,466	\$	41,661	\$	759,127
Accumulated impairment losses		(255,187)		(41,661)		(296,848)
Net goodwill at June 30, 2012		462,279				462,279
Goodwill acquired						
Translation rate adjustments		1,638				1,638
Gross goodwill at September 30, 2012		719,104		41,661		760,765
Accumulated impairment losses		(255,187)		(41,661)		(296,848)
Net goodwill at September 30, 2012	\$	463,917	\$		\$	463,917

The table below presents other intangible assets as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

	Cost		September 30, 2012 Accumulated Amortization (1)		Net Cost (Dollars in thousands)		A	une 30, 2012 ccumulated nortization (1)	Net	
Amortized intangible assets:										
Brand assets and trade										
names	\$ 9,710	\$	(3,108)	\$	6,602	\$	9,494	\$	(2,960)	\$ 6,534
Franchise agreements	11,646		(6,748)		4,898		11,398		(6,494)	4,904
Lease intangibles	14,844		(6,069)		8,775		14,796		(5,862)	8,934
Non-compete agreements	213		(130)		83		207		(117)	90
Other	4,695		(1,678)		3,017		4,533		(1,600)	2,933
	\$ 41,108	\$	(17,733)	\$	23,375	\$	40,428	\$	(17,033)	\$ 23,395

<sup>(1)</sup> Balance sheet accounts are converted at the applicable exchange rates effective as of the reported balance sheet dates, while income statement accounts are converted at the average exchange rates for the year-to-date periods presented.

All intangible assets have been assigned an estimated finite useful life and are amortized over the number of years that approximate their respective useful lives (ranging from one to 40 years). The cost of intangible assets is amortized to earnings in proportion to the amount of economic benefits obtained by the Company in that reporting period. The weighted average amortization periods, in aggregate and by major intangible asset class, are as follows:

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q/A

	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
	(In years	s)
Amortized intangible assets:		
Brand assets and trade names	33	33
Franchise agreements	19	19
Lease intangibles	20	20
Non-compete agreements	6	6
Other	21	21
Weighted average amortization period	23	23

## Table of Contents

Total amortization expense related to the amortizable intangible assets was \$0.7 and \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, future estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets is estimated to be:

Fiscal Year	,	ollars in ousands)
2013 (Remainder: nine-month period)	\$	1,333
2014		1,946
2015		1,923
2016		1,891
2017		1,889

## 7. ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENT IN AND LOANS TO AFFILIATES:

### Acquisitions

The Company did not make any acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company made salon acquisitions and the purchase prices have been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. These acquisitions individually and in the aggregate are not material to the Company s operations. Operations of the acquired companies have been included in the operations of the Company since the date of the respective acquisition.

Based upon purchase price allocations, the components of the aggregate purchase prices of the acquisitions made during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and the allocation of the purchase prices were as follows:

Allocation of Purchase Prices	I Sept (De	Three Months Ended ember 30, 2011 ollars in ousands)
Components of aggregate purchase prices:		
Cash (net of cash acquired)	\$	2,077
Allocation of the purchase price:		
Current assets	\$	304
Property and equipment		145
Goodwill		4,337
Identifiable intangible assets		572
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(1,068)
Other noncurrent liabilities		(1,313)
Noncontrolling interest		(900)
	\$	2,077

The majority of the purchase price in salon acquisitions is accounted for as residual goodwill rather than identifiable intangible assets. This stems from the value associated with the walk-in guest base of the acquired salons, which is not recorded as an identifiable intangible asset under current accounting guidance, as well as the limited value and guest preference associated with the acquired hair salon brand. Key factors considered by consumers of hair salon services include personal relationships with individual stylists, service quality and price point competitiveness. These attributes represent the going concern value of the salon.

Residual goodwill further represents the Company s opportunity to strategically combine the acquired business with the Company s existing structure to serve a greater number of guests through its expansion strategies. In the acquisitions of international salons, the residual goodwill primarily represents the growth prospects that are not captured as part of acquired tangible or identified intangible assets. Generally, the goodwill recognized in the North American salon transactions is expected to be fully deductible for tax purposes and the goodwill recognized in the international salon transactions is not deductible for tax purposes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, certain of the Company s salon acquisitions were from its franchisees. The Company evaluated the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts and associated rights afforded by

## Table of Contents

those contracts. The Company determined that the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts at the date of the acquisition did not result in a gain or loss, as the agreements were neither favorable nor unfavorable when compared to similar current market transactions, and no settlement provisions exist in the pre-existing contracts. Therefore, no settlement gain or loss was recognized with respect to the Company s franchise buybacks.

On July 1, 2011, the Company acquired 31 franchise salon locations through its acquisition of a 60.0 percent ownership interest in Roosters for \$2.3 million. The purchase agreement contains a right, Roosters Equity Put, to require the Company to purchase additional ownership interest in Roosters between specified dates in 2012 to 2015, and an option, Roosters Equity Call, whereby the Company can acquire additional ownership interest in Roosters beginning in 2015. The acquisition price is determined based on a multiple of the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization of Roosters for a trailing twelve month period adjusted for certain items as defined in the agreement which is intended to approximate fair value. The initial estimated fair values as of July 1, 2011 of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call were \$0.2 and \$0.1 million, respectively. Any changes in the estimated fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Call are recorded in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 there were no changes in the estimated fair value of the Roosters Equity Put and Roosters Equity Pu

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in Roosters was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that Roosters is a VIE based on the fact that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lack the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity. The Roosters Equity Put is based on a formula that may or may not be at market when exercised, therefore, it could prevent the minority interest owners from absorbing its share of expected losses by transferring such obligation to the Company. Under certain circumstances, including a decline in the fair value of Roosters, the Roosters Equity Put could be exercised and the minority interest owners could be protected from absorbing the downside of the equity interest. As the Roosters Equity Put absorbs a large amount of variability, this characteristic results in Roosters being a VIE.

Regis determined that the Company has met the power criterion due to the Company having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Roosters economic performance. The Company concluded based on the considerations above that it is the primary beneficiary of Roosters and therefore the financial positions, results of operations, and cash flows of Roosters are consolidated in the Company s financial statements from the acquisition date. Total assets, total liabilities and total shareholders equity of Roosters as of September 30, 2012 were \$5.8, \$2.0 and \$3.8 million, respectively. Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Roosters was less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and was recorded in interest income and other, net within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. Shareholders equity attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Roosters was \$1.6 million as of September 30, 2012 and was recorded in retained earnings within the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Investment in and loans to affiliates

The table below presents the carrying amount of investments in and loans to affiliates as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

	Septemb	September 30, 2012		ne 30, 2012		
		(Dollars in thousands)				
Empire Education Group, Inc.	\$	59,631	\$	59,683		
Provalliance				101,304		
MY Style		279				
•	\$	59,910	\$	160,987		

Empire Education Group, Inc.

On August 1, 2007, the Company contributed its 51 wholly-owned accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG) in exchange for a 49.0 percent equity interest in EEG. In January 2008, the Company s effective ownership interest increased to 55.1 percent related to the buyout of EEG s minority interest shareholder. EEG operates 105 accredited cosmetology schools.

At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had a \$0.8 and \$21.4 million outstanding loan receivable with EEG, respectively. The Company has also provided EEG with a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, against which there were no outstanding borrowings as of September 30, 2012 and 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 and \$0.1 million, respectively, of interest income related to the loan and revolving credit facility. The Company has also guaranteed a credit facility of EEG that expires on December 31, 2012 with a maximum

## Table of Contents

exposure of \$9 million. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with EEG is the carrying value of the investment, the outstanding loan and the guarantee of the credit facility.

Due to economic and other factors, the Company may be required to record impairment charges related to our investment in EEG and such impairments could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the market values of for profit educational entities has continued to decline. Since market values are part of how the Company assesses the fair value of EEG, if market conditions do not improve, this increases the likelihood the Company would need to record an impairment on our investment in EEG during fiscal year 2013. In addition, EEG may be required to record impairment charges related to long-lived assets and goodwill, and our share of such impairment charges could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations.

The Company utilized consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in EEG was a variable interest entity (VIE), and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that EEG was not a VIE based on the fact that EEG had sufficient equity at risk. As the substantive voting control relates to the voting rights of the Board of Directors, the Company granted the other shareholder a proxy to vote such number of the Company s shares such that the other shareholder would have voting control of 51.0 percent of the common stock of EEG. The Company accounts for EEG as an equity investment under the voting interest model. During three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 and \$1.0 million of equity loss and earnings, respectively, related to its investment in EEG.

## Provalliance

On April 9, 2012, the Company entered into the Agreement to sell the Company s 46.7 percent equity interest in Provalliance to the Provost Family for a purchase price of 80 million. The transaction closed on September 27, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 the Company recorded a \$0.6 million decrease in the fair value of the Provalliance Equity Put that automatically terminated upon closing of the share purchase agreement. Due to the sale of the Company s investment in Provalliance, the Company has liquidated its foreign entities with Euro denominated operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$33.8 million, as restated, from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings, primarily cumulative translation adjustment as a result of the liquidated Euro denominated operations.

MY Style

In April 2007, the Company purchased exchangeable notes issued by Yamano Holding Corporation (Exchangeable Note) and a loan obligation of a Yamano Holdings subsidiary, MY Style, formally known as Beauty Plaza Co. Ltd., (MY Style Note) for an aggregate amount of \$11.3 million (1.3 billion Yen as of April 2007). The Exchangeable Note contained an option that the Company exercised during the three months ended September 30, 2012 by exchanging a portion (21,700,000 Yen or \$0.3 million) of the Exchangeable Note for 27.1 percent of the 800 outstanding shares of common stock of MY Style. The Company accounts for the 27.1 percent ownership interest in MY Style as a cost method investment. The Company has no influence over the operating and financial policies of MY Style as the Company does not have representation on MY Style s board of directors and is a minority shareholder with no voting influence compared to Yamano Holding Corporation s super-majority voting interest.

MY Style Note. As of September 30, 2012, the principal amount outstanding under the MY Style Note is \$0.7 million (52,164,000 Yen). Principal payments of 52,164,000 Yen along with accrued interest are due annually on May 31 through May 31, 2013. The Company reviews the outstanding note with MY Style for changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events that suggest the Company s note may not be recoverable. The \$0.7 million outstanding note with MY Style as of September 30, 2012 is in good standing with no associated valuation allowance. The Company has determined the future cash flows of MY Style support the ability to make payments on the outstanding note. The MY Style Note accrues interest at 3.0 percent. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the MY Style Note during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

All foreign currency transaction gains and losses on the MY Style Note are recorded through other income within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The foreign currency transaction gain was less than \$0.1 and \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with MY Style is the carrying value of the outstanding note and investment in MY Style.

### **Table of Contents**

8.	DERIVATIVI	FINANCIAI	INSTRUMENTS:
α.	DERIVALIVE	', PHNAINCHAL	/ 1130 1 NUIVIE/13 10:

As of September 30, 2012 the Company did not have any derivative instruments.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company previously used forward foreign currency contracts to manage foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with certain forecasted intercompany transactions. The Company s primary forward foreign currency contracts hedged approximately \$0.6 million of monthly payments in Canadian dollars for intercompany transactions. The Company s forward foreign currency contracts hedged transactions through September 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized a \$0.4 million gain on the forward foreign currency contracts designated as cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income.

These cash flow hedges were effective as cash flow hedges. They were recorded at fair value within other noncurrent liabilities or other current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, with corresponding offsets primarily recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax

Freestanding Derivative Forward Contracts

The Company previously used freestanding derivative forward contracts to offset the Company s exposure to the change in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated investments and intercompany assets and liabilities. These derivatives were not designated as hedges and therefore, changes in the fair value of these forward contracts are recognized currently in earnings, thereby offsetting the current earnings effect of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had less than \$0.1 and \$0.1 million in forward foreign currency contracts, designed as a cash flow hedge and a freestanding derivative contract, respectively, recorded within other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

There were no reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income into current earnings during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized less than \$0.1 and (\$0.4) million within interest income and other, net associated with the freestanding forward foreign currency contracts.

### 9. LITIGATION:

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide consumer and wage and hour violations. In addition, the Company is a nominal defendant, and nine current and former directors and officers of the Company are named defendants, in a shareholder derivative action in Minnesota state court. The derivative shareholder alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with their approval of certain executive compensation arrangements and certain related party transactions. A Special Litigation Committee has been formed per the direction of the judge in the matter. The Company is working with outside counsel to formulate its next steps in keeping with the courts. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although the actions are being vigorously defended, the Company could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations in any particular period.

## Table of Contents

#### 10. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS:

The Company s long-term debt as of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

		Interest rate percentage			Amounts outstanding			
	Maturity Dates (fiscal year)	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	Se	eptember 30, 2012 (Dollars in t	thousa	June 30, 2012 nds)	
Senior term notes	2013 - 2018	6.69 - 8.50%	6.69 - 8.50%	\$	104,286	\$	111,429	
Convertible senior notes	2015	5.00	5.00		162,422		161,134	
Revolving credit facility	2016							
Equipment and leasehold								
notes payable	2015 - 2016	4.90 - 8.75	4.90 - 8.75		13,219		14,780	
Other notes payable	2013	8.00	5.75 - 8.00		134		331	
					280,061		287,674	
Less current portion					(28,883)		(28,937)	
Long-term portion				\$	251,178	\$	258,737	

The debt agreements contain covenants, including limitations on incurrence of debt, granting of liens, investments, merger or consolidation, and transactions with affiliates. In addition, the Company must adhere to specified fixed charge coverage and leverage ratios, as well as minimum net worth levels. The Company was in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our financing arrangements as of September 30, 2012. The Company obtained a waiver in connection with the agreement to sell Hair Club.

The table below contains details related to the Company s debt for the three months ending September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
Total Debt		2012		2011			
		(Dollars in	Thousand	ls)			
Balance at June 30,	\$	287,674	\$	313,411			
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		(8,905)		(9,669)			
Amortized debt discount		1,288		1,183			
Other		4		(910)			
Balance at September 30,	\$	280,061	\$	304,015			

Private Shelf Agreement

At September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the Company had \$104.3 and \$111.4 million, respectively, in unsecured, fixed rate, senior term notes outstanding under a Private Shelf Agreement, of which \$22.1 million were classified as part of the current portion of the Company s long-term debt at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012. The notes require quarterly payments, and final maturity dates range from June 2013 through December 2017.

Convertible Senior Notes

In July 2009, the Company issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due July 2014. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Company and interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year at a rate of 5.0 percent per year. Upon the July 2009 issuance the notes were convertible subject to certain conditions further described below at an initial conversion rate of 64.6726 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.46 per share of the Company s common stock). As of September 30, 2012, the conversion rate was 65.2163 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing a conversion price of approximately \$15.33 per share of the Company s common stock).

Holders may convert their notes at their option prior to April 15, 2014 if the Company s stock price meets certain price triggers or upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as defined in the convertible senior note agreement. On or after April 15, 2014, holders may convert each of their notes at their option at any time prior to the maturity date for the notes.

The Company has the choice of net-cash settlement, settlement in its own shares or a combination thereof and concluded the conversion option is indexed to its own stock. As a result, the Company allocated \$24.7 million of the \$172.5 million

25

## Table of Contents

principal amount of the convertible senior notes to equity, which resulted in a \$24.7 million debt discount. The allocation was based on measuring the fair value of the convertible senior notes using a discounted cash flow analysis. The discount rate was based on an estimated credit rating for the Company. The estimated fair value of the convertible senior notes was \$147.8 million, and the resulting \$24.7 million debt discount will be amortized over the period the convertible senior notes are expected to be outstanding, which is five years, as additional non-cash interest expense. The combined debt discount amortization and the contractual interest coupon resulted in an effective interest rate on the convertible debt of 8.9 percent.

The following table provides the principal and unamortized debt discount of the convertible senior notes:

		Septem	ber 30,	
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014	2	2012		2011
		(Dollars in T	Thousand	ds)
Principal amount on the convertible senior notes	\$	172,500	\$	172,500
Unamortized debt discount		(10,078)		(15,069)
Net carrying amount of convertible debt	\$	162,422	\$	157,431

The following table provides interest rate and interest expense amounts related to the convertible senior notes:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014	2	012		2011			
		(Dollars in	thousands	)			
Interest cost related to contractual interest coupon 5.0%	\$	2,156	\$	2,156			
Interest cost related to amortization of the discount		1,288		1,183			
Total interest cost	\$	3,444	\$	3,339			

Revolving Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under this facility. Additionally, the Company had outstanding standby letters of credit under the facility of \$51.0 and \$26.1 million at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily related to its self-insurance program. Unused available credit under the facility at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 was \$349.0 and \$373.9 million, respectively.

## 11. INCOME TAXES:

The determination of the annual effective income tax rate is based upon a number of significant estimates and judgments, including the estimated annual pre-tax income of the Company in each tax jurisdiction in which it operates and the development of tax planning strategies during the year. In addition, as a global enterprise, the Company s interim tax expense can be impacted by changes in tax rates or laws, the finalization of tax audits or reviews, as well as other factors that cannot be predicted with certainty. As such, there can be significant volatility in interim tax provisions.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized tax expense of \$3.0 and \$1.2 million, respectively, with corresponding effective tax rates of 8.1%, as restated, and 40.8% percent. The effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 is significantly lower than the effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 due to the \$33.8 million, as restated, net gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income that is primarily non-taxable. This resulted in the Company recording less tax expense on pre-tax income than would normally be expected.

The Company accrues for the effects of open uncertain tax positions and the related potential penalties and interest. There were no material adjustments to our recorded liability for unrecognized tax benefits during the three months ended September 30, 2012. It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefit with respect to certain of our unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease during the next 12 months. However, we do not expect the change to have a significant effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company files tax returns and pays tax primarily in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Luxembourg as well as states, cities, and provinces within these jurisdictions. In the United States, fiscal years 2009 and after remain open for federal tax audit. The Company s United States federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 2010 and 2011 are currently under audit. For state tax audits, the statute of limitations generally spans three to four years, resulting in a number of states remaining open for tax audits dating back to fiscal year 2008. However, the Company is under audit in a number of states in

26

## Table of Contents

which the statute of limitations has been extended to fiscal years 2006 and forward. Internationally (including Canada), the statute of limitations for tax audits varies by jurisdiction, but generally ranges from three to five years.

#### 12. SEGMENT INFORMATION:

As of September 30, 2012, the Company owned, franchised, or held ownership interests in approximately 10,000 worldwide locations. The Company s locations consisted of 9,311 North American salons (located in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico), 392 international salons (located primarily in the United Kingdom), and approximately 242 locations in which the Company maintains an ownership interest.

The Company operates its North American salon operations through five primary concepts: Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Promenade salons. The concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the company-owned and franchise salons within the North American salon concepts are located in high traffic, retail shopping locations that attract mass market consumers, and the individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

The Company operates its international salon operations, primarily in the United Kingdom, through three primary concepts: Regis, Supercuts, and Sassoon salons. Consistent with the North American concepts, the international concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the international salon concepts are company-owned and are located in malls, leading department stores, and high-street locations. Individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

Based on the way the Company manages its business, it has reported its North American salons and international salons as two separate reportable segments.

Financial information for the Company s reporting segments is shown in the following tables:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012(1)(2)							
		Sal	ons		Unallo	cated		
	Nort	th America	In	ternational	Corporate		Co	nsolidated
				(Dollars in	thousands)			
Revenues:								
Service	\$	369,680	\$	23,736	\$		\$	393,416
Product		94,542		7,742				102,284
Royalties and fees		9,660						9,660
		473,882		31,478				505,360
Operating expenses:								
Cost of service		220,231		12,297				232,528
Cost of product		49,076		4,056				53,132
Site operating expenses		49,596		2,751				52,347
General and administrative		31,691		2,518		21,663		55,872

Rent	72,722	8,402	375	81,499
Depreciation and amortization	16,588	1,032	3,089	20,709
Total operating expenses	439,904	31,056	25,127	496,087
Operating income (loss)	33,978	422	(25,127)	9,273
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense			(6,829)	(6,829)
Interest income and other, net (as				
restated)			34,612	34,612
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
before income taxes and equity in income				
of affiliated companies (as restated)	\$ 33,978	\$ 422	\$ 2,656	\$ 37,056

## Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011(1)(2)							
	Salons				Unallocated			
	North America		In	International		Corporate	Consolidated	
				(Dollars in	thous	ands)		
Revenues:								
Service	\$	390,164	\$	24,853	\$		\$	415,017
Product		98,137		8,636				106,773
Royalties and fees		9,556						9,556
		497,857		33,489				531,346
Operating expenses:								
Cost of service		222,975		12,690				235,665
Cost of product		48,444		4,579				53,023
Site operating expenses		51,852		2,959				54,811
General and administrative		32,645		2,641		30,584		65,870
Rent		73,380		8,764		32		82,176
Depreciation and amortization		18,541		1,306		10,950		30,797
Total operating expenses		447,837		32,939		41,566		522,342
Operating income (loss)		50,020		550		(41,566)		9,004
Other income (expense):								
Interest expense						(7,360)		(7,360)
Interest income and other, net						1,317		1,317
Income (loss) from continuing operations								
before income taxes and equity in income								
of affiliated companies	\$	50,020	\$	550	\$	(47,609)	\$	2,961
-								

<sup>(1)</sup> As of September 30, 2012, the Hair Restoration Centers reportable segment was accounted for as a discontinued operation. All comparable periods will reflect Hair Restoration Centers as a discontinued operation. See further discussion at Note 3 in these Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

<sup>(1)</sup> As of September 30, 2012, the Hair Restoration Centers reportable segment was accounted for as a discontinued operation. All comparable periods will reflect Hair Restoration Centers as a discontinued operation. See further discussion at Note 3 in these Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>(2)</sup> See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of reclassifications of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization between the Company s Corporate and North America reportable segments.

<sup>(2)</sup> See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of reclassifications of general and administrative, rent and depreciation and amortization between the Company s Corporate and North America reportable segments.

## Table of Contents

#### REVIEW REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of Regis Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Regis Corporation as of September 30, 2012, the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and of comprehensive income (loss) and the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its financial statements as of September 30, 2012 and for the three month period ended September 30, 2012 to correct an error.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in shareholders equity and comprehensive income and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated August 29, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of June 30, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 9, 2012, except for the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 2 to the interim condensed consolidated financial statements, as to which the date is January 25, 2013

<b>7D 1</b>	1			c.	$\sim$			
Tal	٦I	$\boldsymbol{e}$	$\cap$ 1	1		۱n	tei	ntc

Item 2.	Management	s Discussion and	Analysis of I	Financial Conditi	ion and Results o	f Operations

#### RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Subsequent to September 30, 2012, the Company determined that the \$24.0 million foreign currency gain recognized during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was understated by \$9.9 million as the actual net gain was \$33.8 million. The Company completed the sale of its investment in Provalliance during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and subsequently liquidated all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations. Within the Original Filing, amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income that were recognized in earnings were foreign currency translation rate gain adjustments of \$33.6 million, a cumulative tax-effected net loss of \$7.9 million associated with a cross-currency swap that was settled in fiscal year 2007 that hedged the Company s European operations, and a \$1.7 million net loss associated with cash repatriation form the Company s European operations. The foreign currency translation rate gain recorded within this Form 10-Q/A is \$43.4 million, resulting in a net gain of \$33.8 million. All amounts impacted by the error included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) have been restated.

See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of the effect of this change on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

MD&A is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A is presented in five sections:

- Management s Overview
- Critical Accounting Policies
- Overview of Results
- Results of Operations
- Liquidity and Capital Resources

## MANAGEMENT S OVERVIEW

Regis Corporation (RGS) owns or franchises beauty salons and hair restoration centers. As of September 30, 2012, we owned, franchised or held ownership interests in approximately 10,000 worldwide locations. Our locations consisted of 9,703 system wide North American and international salons, and 242 locations in which we maintain a non-controlling ownership interest less than 100 percent. Our salon concepts offer generally similar products and services and serve mass market consumers. Our salon operations are organized to be managed based on geographical location. Our North American salon operations include 9,311 salons, including 2,023 franchise salons, operating in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico primarily under the trade names of Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Cost Cutters. Our international salon operations include 392 salons located in Europe, primarily in the United Kingdom. As of September 30, 2012, we had approximately 54,000 corporate employees worldwide.

Our fiscal year 2013 strategy is focused on improving the guest experience. We plan to execute our strategy by putting guests and stylists first, leveraging the power of our salon brands, focusing on technology and connectivity, and reviewing our non-core assets. Initiatives include:

- Putting guests and stylists first by improving both the experience for the person in the chair and behind the chair. The Company continues to work on attracting, developing and retaining the best stylists through orientation programs, training and development, rewards and recognition through a performance driven culture.
- Leveraging the power of our salon brands through focusing on the best brands within the best markets.
- Using technology and connectivity, including internet in the salons, to enhance effectiveness of field management and improve guest satisfaction and retention.

### **Table of Contents**

Reviewing non-core assets.

#### Salon Business

The strength of our salon business is in the fundamental similarity and broad appeal of our salon concepts. Each concept generally targets the middle market guest, however, each attracts a different demographic.

We execute our salon growth strategy by focusing on real estate. Our salon real estate strategy is to add new units in convenient locations with good visibility and guest traffic, as well as appropriate trade demographics. Our various salon and product concepts operate in a wide range of retailing environments, including regional shopping malls, strip centers and Walmart Supercenters. We believe that the availability of real estate will augment our ability to achieve the aforementioned strategic objectives.

Organic salon revenue is achieved through the combination of new salon construction and salon same-store sales results. Older, unprofitable salons will be closed or relocated. Although we have generally been experiencing negative same-store sales, we believe our strategy of focusing on the in-salon guest experience will improve guest counts and same-store sales.

Historically, our salon acquisitions have varied in size from as small as one salon to over one thousand salons. The median acquisition size is approximately ten salons. From fiscal year 1994 through September 30, 2012, we acquired 8,052 salons, net of franchise buybacks.

## **Hair Restoration Business**

In December 2004, we acquired Hair Club for Men and Women. Hair Club for Men and Women is a provider of hair loss solutions with an estimated five percent share of the \$4 billion domestic market. This industry is comprised of numerous locations domestically and is highly fragmented. In an effort to provide confidentiality for guests, the hair restoration centers operate primarily in professional or medical office buildings. Further, the hair restoration business is more marketing intensive. As a result, organic growth at hair restoration centers is dependant on successfully generating new leads and converting them into hair restoration guests.

On July 13, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Hair Club for Men and Women business (Hair Club) for \$163.5 million. The transaction is expected to close during fiscal year 2013.

See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as the results of operations for Hair Club are accounted for as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that could have a significant impact on the results reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We base these estimates on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimates are considered to be critical if they meet both of the following criteria: (1) the estimate requires assumptions about material matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimates are made, and (2) other materially different estimates could have been reasonably made or material changes in the estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Changes in these estimates could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part II, Item 8 of the June 30, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A. We believe the accounting policies related to the valuation of goodwill, the valuation and estimated useful lives of long-lived assets, investment in and loans to affiliates, purchase price allocations, revenue recognition, self-insurance accruals, stock-based compensation expense, legal contingencies and estimates used in relation to tax liabilities and deferred taxes are most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial condition and results of operations. Discussion of each of these policies is contained under Critical Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 7 of our June 30, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no significant changes in or application of our critical accounting policies during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

#### **Goodwill:**

As of the fiscal year 2012 annual impairment testing of goodwill, the estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units exceeded the carrying value by approximately 14.0 and 12.0 percent, respectively. The respective fair values of the Company's remaining reporting units exceeded carrying value by greater than 20.0 percent at June 30, 2012. While

31

## Table of Contents

the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may experience additional impairment in future periods. As previously disclosed, the Company has agreed to sell the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit in fiscal year 2013; however, until this reporting unit is sold it is reasonably likely that there could be impairment of the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit is goodwill in future periods. The term—reasonably likely—refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of goodwill for the Promenade salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2012.

## OVERVIEW OF RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

- Hair Restoration Centers was classified as held for sale and reported as a discontinued operation.
- Revenues decreased 4.9 percent to \$505.4 million driven by the consolidated same-store sales decrease of 3.1 percent and the closure of 439 salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The same-store sales decrease was caused by a decline in guest visitations and average ticket price.
- We did not acquire any corporate salon locations. We built 57 corporate locations and closed, converted or relocated 99 locations. Our franchisees constructed 31 locations and closed, converted or relocated 24 locations. As of September 30, 2012, we had 7,680 company-owned salon locations and 2,023 franchise salon locations.
- The Company completed the sale of its investment in Provalliance for 80 million.
- The Company recognized a \$33.8 million, as restated, net gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, primarily cumulative translation adjustment, as all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations have been liquidated.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As of September 30, 2012, the Hair Restoration Centers reportable segment was accounted for as a discontinued operation. All comparable periods will reflect Hair Restoration Centers as a discontinued operation. The following discussion of results of operations will reflect results from continuing operations. Discontinued operations will be discussed at the end of this section.

## **Consolidated Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information derived from our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, expressed as a percent of revenues. The percentages are computed as a percent of total consolidated revenues, except as noted.

	For the Three Mor September	
Results of Operations as a Percent of Revenues	2012	2011
Service	77.9%	78.1%
Product	20.2	20.1
Royalties and fees	1.9	1.8
Operating expenses:		
Cost of service (1)	59.1	56.8
Cost of product (2)	51.9	49.7
Site operating expenses	10.4	10.3
General and administrative	11.1	12.4
Rent	16.1	15.5
Depreciation and amortization	4.1	5.8
•		
Operating income	1.8	1.7
Income before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies (as restated)	7.3	0.6
Income from continuing operations (as restated)	6.9	1.1
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	0.7	0.5
Net income (as restated)	7.6	1.6

32

## Table of Contents

- (1) Computed as a percent of service revenues and excludes depreciation expense.
- (2) Computed as a percent of product revenues and excludes depreciation expense.

### **Consolidated Revenues**

Consolidated revenues primarily include revenues of company-owned salons, product and equipment sales to franchisees, and franchise royalties and fees. As compared to the respective prior period, consolidated revenues decreased 4.9 percent to \$505.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The following table details our consolidated revenues by concept. All service revenues, product revenues (which include product and equipment sales to franchisees), and franchise royalties and fees are included within their respective concept detailed in the table below:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2012		2011			
	(Dollars in	thousands	)			
North American salons:						
Regis	\$ 96,867	\$	104,866			
MasterCuts	37,931		40,459			
SmartStyle	122,998		128,484			
Supercuts	87,068		83,603			
Promenade	129,018		140,445			
Total North American salons	473,882		497,857			
International salons	31,478		33,489			
Consolidated revenues	\$ 505,360	\$	531,346			
Percent change from prior year	(4.9)%		(2.0)%			

Same-store sales by concept as of the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are detailed in the table below:

	For the Three Months September 30,	Ended
	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousan	ds)
Regis	(3.8)%	(3.5)%
MasterCuts	(4.2)	(3.7)
SmartStyle	(4.2)	(3.8)
Supercuts	1.2	(0.5)
Promenade	(3.2)	(3.0)
North America same-store sales	(3.0)	(3.0)
International same-store sales	(5.1)	(9.4)
Consolidated same-store sales	(3.1)%	(3.4)%

The percent changes in consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were driven by the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2012	2011			
Same-store sales	(3.1)%	(3.4)%			
Acquisitions	0.1	1.3			
New stores and conversions	1.5	0.9			
Foreign currency	(0.2)	0.8			
Franchise revenues					
Closed salons	(3.1)	(1.7)			
Other	(0.1)	0.1			
	(4.9)%	(2.0)%			

## Table of Contents

The same-store sales decrease of 3.1 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to a decrease in same-store guest visits and marginal declines in average ticket. We acquired 7 salons (including 6 franchise salon buybacks) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The Company constructed and closed 219 and 439 salons (including 63 franchise salons), respectively during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 the foreign currency impact was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, British Pound and Euro as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period.

The same-store sales decrease of 3.4 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was due to a decrease in same-store guest visits and marginal declines in average ticket. We acquired 85 salons (including 83 franchise salon buybacks) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. The Company constructed 153 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. We closed 286 salons (including 49 franchise salons) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 the foreign currency impact was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, British Pound, and Euro, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period.

Consolidated revenues are primarily comprised of service and product revenues, as well as franchise royalties and fees. Fluctuations in these three major revenue categories were as follows:

*Service Revenues*. Service revenues include revenues generated from company-owned salons. Total service revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

				Decrease Over Prior Fisca	
Periods Ended September 30,	Re	venues	(Dollars	Dollar in thousands)	Percentage
2012	\$	393,416	\$	(21,601)	(5.2)%
2011		415,017		(7,667)	(1.8)

The decrease in service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 3.0 percent, which was primarily the result of a decline in same-store guest visits. In addition, service revenues decreased due to the closure of 376 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in service revenues was partially offset by growth due to new and acquired salons during the previous twelve months.

The decrease in service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 3.2 percent, which was primarily the result of a decline in same-store guest visits. In addition, average ticket decreased slightly due to a multi-brand haircut sale during the back-to-school season. Service revenues also decreased due to the closure of 237 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in service revenues was partially offset by growth due to new and acquired salons during the previous twelve months and the weakening of the United States dollar against the British Pound, Canadian dollar, and Euro.

**Product Revenues.** Product revenues are primarily sales at company-owned salons and sales of product and equipment to franchisees. Total product revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Decrease				
	Over Prior Fis				
Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	
		(Dollars	in thousands)		
2012	\$ 102,284	\$	(4,489)	(4.2)%	
2011	106,773		(3,042)	(2.8)	

The decrease in product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to same-store product sales decreasing 3.2 percent and the closure of 376 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in product revenues was partially offset by growth due to new and acquired salons during the previous twelve months.

The decrease in product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was due to same-store product sales decreasing 4.3 percent and the closure of 237 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. Product sales were unfavorably impacted in the first half of the quarter as two vendor lines were repackaged. The decrease in product revenues was

## Table of Contents

partially offset by growth due to new and acquired salons during the previous twelve months, and the weakening of the United States dollar against the British Pound, Canadian dollar, and Euro.

Royalties and Fees. Total franchise revenues, which include royalties and fees, for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

				Increase Over Prior Fise	
Periods Ended September 30,	Rev	Revenues		ollar	Percentage
			(Dollars in	thousands)	
2012	\$	9,660	\$	104	1.1%
2011		9,556		64	0.7

Total franchise locations open at September 30, 2012 were 2,023, as compared to 1,983, at September 30, 2011. The increase in royalties and fees was primarily due to franchise positive same-store sales and the increase in locations during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012.

Total franchise locations open at September 30, 2011 were 1,983, as compared to 2,017, at September 30, 2010. We purchased 83 of our franchise salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we purchased a franchise network, consisting of 31 franchise locations. The increase in royalties and fees was primarily due to franchise same-store sales and the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, partially offset by a reduction in franchise locations as of September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010.

### **Gross Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization)**

Our cost of revenues primarily includes labor costs related to salon employees, the cost of product used in providing services and the cost of products sold to guests and franchisees. The resulting gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	Gross	Margin as % of Service and	(Decrease) I	ncrease Over Prior Fis	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Product Revenues	Dollar thousands)	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
2012	\$ 210,040	42.4%	\$ (23,062)	(9.9)%	(230)
2011	233,102	44.7	(4,543)	(1.9)	10

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in gross margin as a percent of service and product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

Service Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization). Service margin for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		Margin as % of						
	Service	Service		Decrease Over Prior Fiscal Year				
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)		
		(D	ollar	s in thousands)				
2012	\$ 160,888	40.9%	\$	(18,464)	(10.3)%	(230)		
2011	179,352	43.2		(3,456)	(1.9)			

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in service margin as a percent of service revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to keeping stylists hours flat compared to the prior year for all concepts, other than Supercuts that increased hours to drive additional sales, compensating stylists on the gross sales amount during a coupon event and higher quota bonuses for stylists.

Service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was flat compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. An increase in payroll taxes as a result of states increasing unemployment taxes and negative

## Table of Contents

leverage on fixed payroll costs due to negative same-store service sales were offset by lower commissions as a result of leveraged pay plans for new stylists.

*Product Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization).* Product margin for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		Margin as % of					
	Product	Product	(Decrease) Increase Over Prior Fiscal Year				
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)	
		(I	Oollars	s in thousands)			
2012	\$ 49,152	48.1%	\$	(4,598)	(8.6)%	(220)	
2011	53,750	50.3		(1,087)	(2.0)	40	

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in product margin as a percent of product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in product margin as a percent of product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to higher commissions paid to stylists in our North America segment as a result of a service and retail combined ticket commission incentive. In addition, the decrease was due to a shift in mix to promotional items with lower margins.

The basis point improvement in product margin as a percent of product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was due to a reduction in commissions paid to new employees on retail product sales in our North American segment and improved product margins in our International segment due to the prior year comparable period including promotions of lower profit margin appliances.

## **Site Operating Expenses**

This expense category includes direct costs incurred by our salons such as advertising, workers compensation, insurance, utilities and janitorial costs. Site operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

		Site	Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	0	perating	Revenues	Dollars	Dollar in thousands)	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
2012	\$	52,347	10.4%		(2,464)	(4.5)%	10
2011		54,811	10.3		1,967	3.7	60

(1) Represents the basis point change in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales. Site operating expenses decreased due to a reduction in advertising expense partially offset by higher charges for salon internet connectivity.

The basis point increase in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to planned increases in advertising expense related to promotional activity to increase guest trial and charges for salon internet connectivity.

## Table of Contents

#### **General and Administrative**

General and administrative (G&A) includes costs associated with our field supervision, salon training and promotions, product distribution centers and corporate offices (such as salaries and professional fees), including costs incurred to support franchise operations. G&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	G&A	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(1	<i>)</i> ollar	s in thousands)		
2012	\$ 55,872	11.1%	\$	(9,998)	(15.2)%	(130)
2011	65,870	12.4		4,551	7.4	110

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in G&A as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the comparable prior period including costs associated with the Company s senior management restructuring and professional fees incurred in connection with the contested proxy. In addition, the basis point decrease was a result of lower health insurance expense and a reduction in warehousing costs due to productivity improvements.

The basis point increase in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to incremental costs associated with the Company s senior management restructuring and professional fees incurred in connection with the contested proxy.

## Rent

Rent expense, which includes base and percentage rent, common area maintenance, and real estate taxes, for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Rent	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(D	ollars	s in thousands)		
2012	\$ 81,499	16.1%	\$	(677)	(0.8)%	60
2011	82,176	15.5		(668)	(0.8)	20

(1) Represents the basis point change in rent as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in rent expense as a percent of consolidated revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was due to negative leverage in this fixed cost category due to negative same-stores sales, partially offset by salon closures.

## **Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization expense (D&A) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fis	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	D&A	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(I	)ollar:	s in thousands)		
2012	\$ 20,709	4.1%	\$	(10,088)	(32.8)%	(170)
2011	30,797	5.8		7,896	34.5	160

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 improved primarily due to the comparable prior period including accelerated depreciation expense resulting from an adjustment to the useful life of the Company s

## Table of Contents

internally developed point-of-sale (POS) system and a continuation of a reduction in depreciation expense from the reduction in salon construction beginning in fiscal year 2009 as compared to historical levels prior to fiscal year 2009, partially offset by negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales.

D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased primarily due to accelerated depreciation expense resulting from a June 30, 2011 adjustment to the useful life of the Company s internally developed point-of-sale (POS) system.

### Interest

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		Decreas	e Over Prior Fiscal Y	Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Interest	Revenues	Oollar	Dollar s in thousands)	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
2012	\$ 6,829	1.4%	\$	(531)	(7.2)%	
2011	7,360	1.4		(1,558)	(17.5)	(20)

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in interest expense as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The reduction in interest expense as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was primarily due to decreased debt levels as compared to the comparable prior period.

## Interest Income and Other, net

Interest income and other, net for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	_	Income as % of Consolidated			e Over Prior Fiscal	
Periods Ended September 30,	Interest	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		$(\mathbf{I}$	Oollars	in thousands)		
2012 (as restated)	\$ 34,612	6.8%	\$	33,295	2,528.1%	660
2011	1,317	0.2		546	70.8	10

(1) Represents the basis point change in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the recognition of a \$33.8 million, as restated, net gain of amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income. The net gain was comprised of a \$43.4 million, as restated, cumulative translation rate adjustment, a cumulative tax-effected net loss of \$7.9 million associated with a cross currency swap and a \$1.7 million net loss associated with cash repatriation. The recognition of the net gain into earnings was the result of the Company s liquidation of all foreign entities with Euro denominated operations.

The basis point improvement in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to the foreign currency impact in the current year related to the Company s investment in MY Style, partially offset by lower fees received for warehousing services provided to the purchaser of Trade Secret as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010.

### Table of Contents

#### **Income Taxes**

Our reported effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		Basis Point(1)
		(Decrease)
Periods Ended September 30,	Effective Rate	Increase
2012 (as restated)	8.1%	(3,270)
2011	40.8%	270

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in income tax expense as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in our overall effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was due to the recognition of a \$33.8 million, as restated, net foreign currency gain of amounts previously classified within accumulated other comprehensive income that was primarily non-taxable.

The basis point increase in our overall effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was due primarily to state audit settlements having a greater impact on the quarterly tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010.

### **Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies, Net of Income Taxes**

Equity in income of affiliated companies represents the income or loss generated by our equity investment in Empire Education Group, Inc. and Provalliance. Equity in income of affiliated companies for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

			(Decrease) Increase					
	Ed			Over Prior Fiscal Year				
Periods Ended September 30,	I	ncome	Dollar		Percentage			
			(Dollars	in thousands)				
2012	\$	577	\$	(3,293)	(85.1)%			
2011		3,870		1,296	50.3			

The decrease in equity in income of affiliated companies during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the Company s investment in Provalliance as the Company entered into a share purchase agreement for 80 million in fiscal year 2012. In addition, the Company recorded a \$0.6 million gain on the Provalliance Equity Put that automatically terminated as a result of the sale.

The increase in equity in income of affiliated companies during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was a result of an increase in the Company s share of Provalliance s net income over the comparable prior period due to the Company s 16.7 percent increase in ownership in March 2011

### **Income from Discontinued Operations, Net of Income Taxes**

Income from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

		ome from ied Operations,			
Periods Ended September 30,	Net	of Taxes		Dollar	Percentage
		(1	Dollars in t	housands)	
2012	\$	3,777	\$	1,062	39.1%
2011		2,715		(1,215)	(30.9)

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we concluded that Hair Restoration Centers was held for sale and presented as a discontinued operation for all comparable prior periods. Income from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 increased compared to the prior period as depreciation and amortization were ceased during the three months ended September 30, 2012 in accordance with accounting for discontinued operations.

See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

### Table of Contents

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

Recent accounting pronouncements are discussed in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Effects of Inflation**

We compensate some of our salon employees with percentage commissions based on sales they generate, thereby enabling salon payroll expense as a percent of company-owned salon revenues to remain relatively constant. Accordingly, this provides us certain protection against inflationary increases, as payroll expense and related benefits (our major expense components) are variable costs of sales. In addition, we may increase pricing in our salons to offset any significant increases in wages. Therefore, we do not believe inflation has had a significant impact on the results of our operations.

#### **Constant Currency Presentation**

The presentation below demonstrates the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations from year to year. To present this information, current period results for entities reporting in currencies other than United States dollars are converted into United States dollars at the average exchange rates in effect during the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year, rather than the actual average exchange rates in effect during the current fiscal year. Therefore, the foreign currency impact is equal to current year results in local currencies multiplied by the change in the average foreign currency exchange rate between the current fiscal period and the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year. The impact of foreign currency exchange for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Impact on Revenues				Impact on Income Before Income Taxes				
Impact of Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Fluctuations		September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011 (Dollars in		September 30, 2012 nds)		September 30, 2011	
Canadian dollar	\$	(481)	\$	2,549	\$	(53)	\$	399	
British pound		(457)		1,374		2		14	
Euro		(251)		183		(267)		38	
Total	\$	(1,189)	\$	4,106	\$	(318)	\$	451	
		40							

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Results of Operations by Segment**

Based on our internal management structure, we report two segments: North American salons and international salons. Significant results of operations are discussed below with respect to each of these segments.

#### **North American Salons**

North American Salon Revenues. Total North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

				Decrease Over Prior Fisc		Same- Store Sales	
Periods Ended September 30,	F	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Decrease	
				(Dollars in thous	in thousands)		
2012	\$	473,882	\$	(23,975)	(4.8)%	(3.0)%	
2011		497,857		(9.076)	(1.8)	(3.0)	

The percentage decreases during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were due to the following factors:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,					
Percentage (Decrease) Increase in Revenues	2012	2011				
Same-store sales	(3.0)%	(3.0)%				
Acquisitions	0.1	1.4				
New stores and conversions	1.5	0.8				
Foreign currency	(0.1)	0.5				
Closed salons	(3.0)	(1.7)				
Other	(0.3)	0.2				
	(4.8)%	(1.8)%				

The same-store sales decrease of 3.0 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was the result of a decline in guest visitations and a decrease in average ticket due to a back-to-school coupon event. We acquired 6 North American salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012, which were all franchise buybacks. The Company constructed and closed 197 and 356 company owned salons, respectively, during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012.

The same-store sales decrease of 3.0 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was the result of a decline in guest visitations and a decrease in average ticket. We acquired 85 North American salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011, including 83 franchise buybacks. The Company constructed and closed 140 and 221 North American company owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar as compared to the prior period s exchange rate.

North American Salon Operating Income. Operating income for the North American salons for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	Op	erating	Operating Income as % of		Decreas	e Over Prior Fiscal Y	'ear
Periods Ended September 30,	I	ncome	<b>Total Revenues</b>		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
			(I	ollar:	s in thousands)		
2012	\$	33,978	7.2%	\$	(16,042)	(32.1)%	(280)
2011		50,020	10.0		(6,074)	(10.8)	(110)

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the decrease in gross margins as a result of increased salon labor costs, and negative leverage in fixed cost categories due to negative same-store sales, partially offset by a reduction in advertising expense.

The basis point decrease in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to \$1.2 million of accelerated depreciation expense recorded as a result of an

### Table of Contents

adjustment at June 30, 2011 to the useful life of the Company s internally developed point-of-sale system, a planned increase in advertising expense related to promotional activity to increase guest trial and negative leverage in fixed cost categories due to negative same-store sales.

#### **International Salons**

International Salon Revenues. Total international salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

			Decrease		Same-		
			Over Prior Fisca	l Year	Store Sales		
Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Decrease		
			(Dollars in thousa	ands)			
2012	\$	31,478	\$ (2,011)	(6.0)%	(5.1)%		
2011		33,489	(1,569)	(4.5)	(9.4)		

The percentage decreases during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were due to the following factors:

		For the Three Months Ended September 30,				
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2012	2011				
Same-store sales	(5.1)%	(9.4)%				
New stores	2.3	1.5				
Foreign currency	(2.1)	4.4				
Closed salons	(3.4)	(2.2)				
Other	2.3	1.2				
	(6.0)%	(4.5)%				

Same-store sales decreased 5.1 percent as the retail environment in the United Kingdom remains challenging. The Company constructed, acquired and closed 22, 1 and 20 company-owned salons, respectively, during the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro as compared to the prior period.

Same-store sales decreased 9.4 percent as the retail environment in the United Kingdom remains challenging. The Company constructed and closed 13 and 16 company-owned salons, respectively, during the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro as compared to the comparable prior period.

*International Salon Operating Income.* Operating income for the international salons for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

Periods Ended September 30,	(	Operating Income	Operating Income as % of Total Revenues		Dollar	Decrease er Prior Fiscal Year Percentage	Basis Point(1)
			(1)	Dollar	's in thousands)		
2012	\$	422	1.3%	\$	(128)	(23.3)%	(30)
2011		550	1.6		(1,636)	(74.8)	(460)

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the decrease in gross margins and negative leverage on fixed payroll costs due to decreased same-store sales.

The basis point decrease in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to negative leverage on fixed payroll costs due to decreased same-store sales and the timing of expenses incurred for an annual marketing event held by our Sassoon salons.

### Table of Contents

### **Unallocated Corporate**

*Unallocated Corporate Operating Loss.* Unallocated corporate operating expenses include salaries, stock-based compensation, professional fees, rent, depreciation and other expenses that are not allocated. Unallocated corporate operating losses were as follows:

			(Decrease) Increase				
	Op	erating		Over Prior Fisca	al Year		
Periods Ended September 30,		Loss	Dollar		Percentage		
			(Dollars	in thousands)			
2012	\$	25,127	\$	(16,439)	(39.5)%		
2011		41,566		10,515	33.9		

The decrease in unallocated corporate operating loss during the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to the comparable prior period including \$7.4 million of accelerated depreciation expense as a result of an adjustment to the useful life of the Company s internally developed point-of-sale system, incremental costs associated with the Company s senior management restructuring and professional fees as a result of the contested proxy.

The increase in unallocated corporate operating loss during the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to \$7.4 million of accelerated depreciation expense recorded as a result of an adjustment at June 30, 2011 to the useful life of the Company s internally developed point-of-sale system, incremental costs associated with the Company s senior management restructuring, and professional fees as a result of the contested proxy.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Overview

We continue to maintain a strong balance sheet to support system growth and financial flexibility. Our debt to capitalization ratio, calculated as total debt as a percentage of total debt and shareholders—equity at fiscal quarter end, was as follows:

		Basis Point
	Debt to	(Decrease)
Periods Ended	Capitalization	Increase (1)
September 30, 2012	23.8%	(60)
June 30, 2012	24.4	110

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the basis point change in total debt as a percent of total debt and shareholders equity as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

The decrease in the debt to capitalization ratio as of September 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2012 was primarily due to decreased debt levels stemming from repayments during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The basis point increase in the debt to capitalization ratio as of June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011 was primarily due to the decrease in shareholders equity as a result of the non-cash goodwill impairment charges related to the Regis salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit, a \$17.2 million net impairment charge associated with the Agreement to sell the Company s 46.7 percent equity interest in Provalliance to the Provost family, and a \$19.4 million impairment charge associated with our investment in EEG. Partially offsetting the impact of the decrease in shareholders equity was a decrease in debt levels.

Total assets at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Sep	otember 30, 2012	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in th	Pric	crease Over or Period(1)	% Decrease Over Prior Period(1)
Total Assets	\$	1,568,382	\$ 1,571,846	\$	(3,464)	(0.2)%

<sup>(1)</sup> Change as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

There was no material change in total assets during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as the decreases in investment in and loans to affiliates and other current assets were primarily offset by the increase in cash.

### Table of Contents

Total shareholders equity at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Sep	tember 30, 2012	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in th	Pric	crease Over or Period(1)	% Increase Over Prior Period(1)
Shareholders Equity	\$	897,712	\$ 889,157	\$	8,555	1.0%

<sup>(1)</sup> Change as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, equity increased as a result of net income recorded for the period excluding the impact of the \$33.8 million, as restated, cumulative translation adjustment that was recognized in earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income.

### **Cash Flows**

### Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$8.5 and \$13.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and was the result of the following:

		For the Three I Septem		nded
Operating Cash Flows	2	2012		2011
		(Dollars in	thousands	<b>i</b> )
Net income (as restated)	\$	38,424	\$	8,337
Depreciation and amortization		21,161		34,106
Equity in income of affiliated companies		(907)		(4,032)
Dividends received from affiliated companies		347		270
Deferred income taxes		7,120		(2,800)
Accumulated other comprehensive income reclassification adjustment (as restated)		(33,842)		
Receivables		(3,355)		(907)
Inventories		(13,534)		(23,612)
Income tax receivable		(57)		4,260
Other current assets		1,790		1,806
Other assets		1,408		509
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(10,071)		3,470
Other noncurrent liabilities		(3,648)		(11,528)
Other		3,630		3,576
	\$	8,466	\$	13,455

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by operating activities was lower than the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year as a result of the operations shortfall due to the decrease in same-store sales and gross margins.
Investing Activities
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was the result of the following:
44

### Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				
Investing Cash Flows		2012		2011	
		(Dollars in	thousands)		
Capital expenditures for remodels or other additions	\$	(6,606)	\$	(8,247)	
Capital expenditures for the corporate office (including all technology-related					
expenditures)		(5,713)		(4,172)	
Capital expenditures for new salon construction		(5,758)		(4,408)	
Proceeds from sale of assets		21		369	
Business and salon acquisitions				(2,077)	
Proceeds from loans and investments		130,281		1,290	
	\$	112,225	\$	(17,245)	

Cash was provided by investing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2012 due to the receipt of \$103.4 million from the sale of Provalliance, \$25.6 million from EEG related to principal payments on the outstanding note receivable and revolving line of credit, and \$1.3 million from Yamano Holdings Corporation related to the principal and interest payments on a note receivable.

Cash was used in investing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2011 primarily for capital expenditures and acquisitions.

The company-owned constructed and acquired locations (excluding franchise buybacks) consisted of the following number of locations in each concept:

		For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		onths Ended 30, 2011
	Constructed	Acquired	Constructed	Acquired
Regis Salons	2		2	
MasterCuts	3		4	
SmartStyle	13		16	
Supercuts	15		13	1
Promenade	18		10	
International	6		2	
	57		47	1

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was the result of the following:

	I	For the Three I Septem		ded
Financing Cash Flows	201	2		2011
		(Dollars in	thousands)	)
Repayments of long-term debt	\$	(8,905)	\$	(9,669)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock appreciation rights		45		

Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	35	
Dividend paid	(3,448)	(3,494)
	\$ (12,273)	\$ (13,163)

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, cash was used in financing activities for repayments on long-term debt and dividends.

### Acquisitions

There were no acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of six franchise buybacks and 1 acquired corporate salon. On July 1, 2011, the Company acquired a 60.0 percent ownership interest in Roosters MGC International LLC (Roosters), consisting of 31 franchise salons.

### Table of Contents

#### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

As a part of our salon development program, we continue to negotiate and enter into leases and commitments for the acquisition of equipment and leasehold improvements related to future salon locations, and continue to enter into transactions to acquire established hair care salons and businesses. In connection with the sale of Trade Secret, the Company maintains a guarantee of approximately 20 salons operated by the purchaser of Trade Secret. The Company has determined the exposure to the risk of loss on the guarantee of the operating leases to be immaterial to the financial statements.

### Sources of Liquidity

Funds generated by operating activities, available cash and cash equivalents, and our revolving credit facility are our most significant sources of liquidity. We believe our sources of liquidity will be sufficient to sustain operations and to finance anticipated growth opportunities and strategic initiatives for at least the next twelve months. We also anticipate access to long-term financing. However, in the event our liquidity is insufficient and we are not able to access long-term financing, we may be required to limit our growth opportunities. There can be no assurance that we will continue to generate cash flows at or above current levels.

As of September 30, 2012, cash and cash equivalents were \$34.7, \$43.9 and \$143.9 million within the United States, Canada, and Europe, respectively. In October 2012, \$120.7 million of cash was returned to the U.S. through the repayment of intercompany notes.

We have a \$400.0 million five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility with a syndicate of banks that expires in June 2016. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the facility. Additionally, the Company had outstanding standby letters of credit under the facility of \$51.0 million at September 30, 2012, primarily related to its self insurance program. Unused available credit under the facility at September 30, 2012 was \$349.0 million.

Our ability to access our revolving credit facility is subject to our compliance with the terms and conditions of such facility, including minimum net worth and other covenants and requirements. At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our credit agreement and senior notes.

See Note 10 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, for additional information regarding our financing arrangements.

#### Dividends

We paid dividends of \$0.06 per share during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. On October 25, 2012, our Board of Directors declared a \$0.06 per share quarterly dividend payable November 22, 2012 to shareholders of record on November 8, 2012.

#### **Share Repurchase Program**

The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock through its share repurchase program during the three months ended September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, \$73.5 million remains to be spent on share repurchases under this program.

#### SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, as well as information included in, or incorporated by reference from, future filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and information contained in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on behalf of the Company contains or may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including statements concerning anticipated future events and expectations that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The forward-looking statements in this document reflect management s best judgment at the time they are made, but all such statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements herein. Such forward-looking statements are often identified herein by use of words including, but not limited to, may, believe, project, forecast, expect, anticipate, and addition, the following factors could affect the Company s actual results and cause such results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. These factors include the impact of management and organizational changes; competition within the personal hair care industry, which remains strong, both domestically and internationally; price sensitivity; changes in economic conditions; changes in consumer tastes and fashion trends; the ability of the Company to implement its planned spending and cost reduction plan and to continue to maintain compliance with financial covenants in its credit agreements; labor and benefit costs; legal claims; risk inherent

#### **Table of Contents**

to international development (including currency fluctuations); the continued ability of the Company and its franchisees to obtain suitable locations and financing for new salon development and to maintain satisfactory relationships with landlords and other licensors with respect to existing locations; the impact on the Company of healthcare reform legislation; governmental initiatives such as minimum wage rates, taxes and possible franchise legislation; the ability of the Company to successfully identify, acquire and integrate salons that support its growth objectives; the ability of the Company to maintain satisfactory relationships with suppliers; or other factors not listed above. The ability of the Company to meet its expected revenue target is dependent on salon acquisitions, new salon construction and same-store sales performance, all of which are affected by many of the aforementioned risks. Additional information concerning potential factors that could affect future financial results is set forth in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

However, your attention is directed to any further disclosures made in our subsequent annual and periodic reports filed or furnished with the SEC on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The primary market risk exposure of the Company relates to changes in interest rates in connection with its debt, some of which bears interest at variable rates based on LIBOR plus an applicable borrowing margin. Additionally, the Company is exposed to foreign currency translation risk related to its net investments in its foreign subsidiaries and notes receivable with certain affiliated companies and, to a lesser extent, changes in the Canadian dollar exchange rate. The Company has established policies and procedures that govern the management of these exposures through the use of derivative financial instrument contracts. By policy, the Company does not enter into such contracts for the purpose of speculation.

The Company has established an interest rate management policy that attempts to minimize its overall cost of debt, while taking into consideration the earnings implications associated with the volatility of short-term interest rates. On occasion, the Company uses interest rate swaps to further mitigate the risk associated with changing interest rates and to maintain its desired balances of fixed and floating rate debt. In addition, access to variable debt is available through the Company s revolving credit facility. The Company reviews its policy and interest rate risk management quarterly and makes adjustments in accordance with market conditions and the Company s short and long-term borrowing needs. The Company had outstanding fixed rate debt balances of \$280.1 and \$287.7 million at September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

For additional information, including a tabular presentation of the Company s debt obligations and derivative financial instruments, refer to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in the Company s June 30, 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than the information included above, there have been no material changes to the Company s market risk and hedging activities during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our Disclosure Committee, consisting of certain members of management, assists in this evaluation. The Disclosure Committee meets on a quarterly basis and more often if necessary.

With the participation of management, the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period. At the time that our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three month period ended September 30, 2012 was filed on November 9, 2012, our chief executive officer and then chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012. Subsequent to that evaluation, our management, including our chief executive officer and current chief financial officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2012 because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting described below.

#### **Table of Contents**

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

The Company did not maintain effective controls over the reclassification out of accumulated other comprehensive income of foreign currency translation adjustments associated with the liquidation of a business. Specifically, certain foreign currency translation accounts were not included in the calculation of the foreign currency gain associated with the liquidation of a Euro denominated business. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company s interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at and for the three month period ended September 30, 2012. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in misstatement of the aforementioned accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Accordingly, our management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Notwithstanding the material weakness described above, management, based upon substantial work performed during the restatement process, has concluded that the Company s interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods covered by and included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A are fairly stated in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for each of the periods presented herein.

### Management s Plan for Remediation

Our management has taken immediate action to remediate the material weakness identified. The Company has made refinements to the executional process and controls around transactions involving foreign currency translation. With successful operation of these refinements, the Company expects remediation of the material weakness by March 31, 2013.

### **Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting**

Other than the material weakness noted above, there were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide consumer and wage and hour violations. In addition, the Company is a nominal defendant, and nine current and former directors and officers of the Company are named defendants, in a shareholder derivative action in Minnesota state court. The derivative shareholder alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with their approval of certain executive compensation arrangements and certain related party transactions. A Special Litigation Committee has been formed per the direction of the judge in the matter. The Company is working with outside counsel to formulate its next steps in keeping with the courts. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although the actions are being vigorously defended, the Company could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations in any particular period.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Changes in the general economic environment may impact our business and results of operations.

Changes to the United States, Canadian, United Kingdom, and other economies have an impact on our business. General economic factors that are beyond our control, such as interest rates, recession, inflation, deflation, tax rates and policy, energy costs, unemployment trends, and other matters that influence consumer confidence and spending, may impact our business. In particular, visitation patterns to our salons can be adversely impacted by increases in unemployment rates and decreases in discretionary income levels.

If we continue to have negative same-store sales our business and results of operations may be affected.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to improve sales, as well as both gross margins and operating margins. Comparable same-store sales are affected by average ticket and same-store guest visits. A variety of factors affect same-store guest visits, including the guest experience, fashion trends, competition, current economic conditions, changes in our product assortment, the success of marketing programs and weather conditions. These factors may cause our comparable same-store sales results to differ materially

#### **Table of Contents**

from prior periods and from our expectations. Our comparable same-store sales results for the three months ended September 30, 2012 declined 3.1 percent. During fiscal year 2012, we impaired \$67.7 and \$78.4 million of goodwill associated with our Regis salon concept and Hair Restoration Centers reporting units, respectively. We impaired \$74.1 million of goodwill associated with our Promenade salon concept during fiscal year 2011 and \$35.3 million of goodwill associated with our Regis salon concept during fiscal year 2010. We also impaired \$41.7 million of goodwill associated with our salon concepts in the United Kingdom during fiscal year 2009. If negative same-store sales continue and we are unable to offset the impact with operational savings, our financial results may be further affected. We may be required to take additional impairment charges and to impair certain long-lived assets and goodwill and such impairments could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. The concept that has the highest likelihood of impairment is Promenade. As previously disclosed, the Company has agreed to sell the Hair Restoration Centers reporting unit in fiscal year 2013; however, until this reporting unit is sold, it is reasonably likely there could be impairment of goodwill in future periods.

If we are unable to improve our comparable same-store sales on a long-term basis or offset the impact with operational savings, our financial results may be affected. Furthermore, continued declines in same-store sales performance may cause us to be in default of certain covenants in our financing arrangements.

The Board of Directors is engaged in a strategic review of non-core assets that may impact our business and results of operations.

Our strategic review of non-core assets may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results or impose other risk, such as the following:

- Disruption of our business or distraction of our employees and management;
- Difficulty recruiting, hiring, motivating and retaining talented and skilled personnel;
- Increased stock price volatility;
- Difficulty in establishing, maintaining or negotiating business or strategic relationships or transactions; and
- Increased advisory fees.

On July 13, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell its Hair Club for Men and Women business for \$163.5 million. The transaction is expected to close during fiscal year 2013.

Changes in our management and organizational structure may affect our operating results.
On August 6, 2012, Mr. Daniel J. Hanrahan became President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The changes to our management and organizational structure may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results or impose other risk, such as the following:
• Disruption of our business or distraction of our employees and management;
• Difficulty recruiting, hiring, motivating and retaining talented and skilled personnel;
• Increased stock price volatility; and
• Difficulty in establishing, maintaining or negotiating business or strategic relationships or transactions.
Failure to control cost may adversely affect our operating results.
We must continue to control our expense structure. Failure to manage our cost of product, labor and benefit rates, advertising and marketing expenses, operating lease costs, other store expenses or indirect spending could delay or prevent us from achieving increased profitability or otherwise adversely affect our operating results.
49

#### **Table of Contents**

Changes in our key relationships may adversely affect our operating results.

We maintain key relationships with certain companies, including Walmart. Termination or modification of any of these relationships, including Walmart, could significantly reduce our revenues and have a material and adverse impact on our business, our operating results and our ability to grow.

Changes in fashion trends may impact our revenue.

Changes in consumer tastes and fashion trends can have an impact on our financial performance. For example, trends in wearing longer hair may reduce the number of visits to, and therefore, sales at our salons.

The health care reform legislation may adversely affect our operating results.

In March 2010, the United States government enacted comprehensive health care reform legislation, which, among other things, includes guaranteed coverage requirements, eliminates pre-existing condition exclusions and annual and lifetime maximum limits, restricts the extent to which policies can be rescinded and imposes new and significant taxes on health insurers and health care benefits. The current legislation imposed implementation dates beginning in 2010 and extending through 2020, with many of the reform components requiring additional guidance from government agencies or federal regulators. Due to the lack of interpretative guidance and the phased-in nature of the reform, it is difficult to determine at this time what the impact of the health care reform legislation will be on our future financial results. Possible adverse impacts of the health care reform legislation include, but are not limited to, increased costs, exposure to expanded liability and requirements for us to revise the way we provide health care and other benefits to our employees. As a result, the impact of the health care reform legislation could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

Changes in regulatory and statutory laws may result in increased costs to our business.

With approximately 10,000 locations and 54,000 employees worldwide, our financial results can be adversely impacted by regulatory or statutory changes in laws. Due to the number of people we employ, laws that increase minimum wage rates or increase costs to provide employee benefits may result in additional costs to our company. Compliance with new, complex and changing laws may cause our expenses to increase. In addition, any non-compliance with these laws could result in fines, product recalls and enforcement actions or otherwise restrict our ability to market certain products, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are also subject to laws that affect the franchisor-franchisee relationship.

If we are not able to successfully compete in our business segments, our financial results may be affected.

Competition on a market by market basis remains strong as many smaller chain competitors are franchise systems with local operating strength in certain markets. Therefore, our ability to raise prices or recruit and retain stylists in certain markets can be adversely impacted by this competition. If we are not able to raise prices or recruit and retain stylists, our ability to grow same-store sales and increase our revenue and earnings may be impaired.

If our joint ventures are unsuccessful our financial results may be affected.

We have entered into joint venture arrangements with other companies in the hair salon and beauty school businesses in order to maintain and expand our operations in the United States and Asia. If our joint venture partners are unwilling or unable to devote their financial resources or marketing and operational capabilities to our joint venture businesses, or if any of our joint ventures are terminated, we may not be able to realize anticipated revenues and profits in the countries where our joint ventures operate and our business could be materially adversely affected. If our joint venture arrangements are not successful, we may have a limited ability to terminate or modify these arrangements. If any of our joint ventures are terminated, there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract new joint venture partners to continue the activities of the terminated joint venture or to operate independently in the countries in which the terminated joint venture conducted business.

During fiscal year 2012, we recorded impairments of \$19.4 and \$37.4 million related to our investments in EEG and Provalliance, respectively. During fiscal year 2011, we recorded an impairment of \$9.2 million related to our investment in MY Style. Due to economic and other factors, we may be required to take additional impairment charges related to our investments and such impairments could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. In addition, our joint venture partners may be required to take impairment charges related to long-lived assets and goodwill, and our share of such impairment charges could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. Specific to EEG, the for-profit post secondary educational market has experienced further and substantial declines in late July and August of 2012. Should this continue or not reverse, an additional impairment would be more likely than not during fiscal year 2013. For example, during fiscal year 2012 we recorded \$8.7 million for our share of an intangible asset impairment recorded by EEG. Our share of our investment s goodwill balances as of September 30, 2012 is approximately \$16 million.

#### **Table of Contents**

We are subject to default risk on our accounts and notes receivable.

We have outstanding accounts and notes receivable subject to collectability. If the counterparties are unable to repay the amounts due or if payment becomes unlikely our results of operations would be adversely affected. For example, in fiscal year 2011 the Company recorded a \$31.2 million valuation reserve on the note receivable from the purchaser of Trade Secret to reflect the net realizable value.

Changes in manufacturers choice of distribution channels may negatively affect our revenues.

The retail products that we sell are licensed to be carried exclusively by professional salons. The products we purchase for sale in our salons are purchased pursuant to purchase orders, as opposed to long-term contracts and generally can be terminated by the producer without much advance notice. Should the various product manufacturers decide to utilize other distribution channels, such as large discount retailers, it could negatively impact the revenue earned from product sales.

Changes to interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates may impact our results from operations.

Changes in interest rates will have an impact on our expected results from operations. Currently, we manage the risk related to fluctuations in interest rates through the use of fixed rate debt instruments and other financial instruments.

We rely heavily on our management information systems. If our systems fail to perform adequately or if we experience an interruption in their operation, our results of operations may be affected.

The efficient operation of our business is dependent on our management information systems. We rely heavily on our management information systems to collect daily sales information and guest demographics, generate payroll information, monitor salon performance, manage salon staffing and payroll costs, inventory control and other functions. The failure of our management information systems to perform as we anticipate, or to meet the continuously evolving needs of our business, could disrupt our business and may adversely affect our operating results.

In fiscal year 2012 the Company began the process of implementing a new point-of-sale system in our salons and will continue to implement in the United Kingdom as technology will allow. The Company is currently evaluating another third party POS solution for salons in North America. Failure to effectively implement the point-of-sale system may adversely affect our operating results.

If we fail to protect the security of personal information about our guests, we could be subject to costly government enforcement actions or private litigation and our reputation could suffer.

The nature of our business involves processing, transmission and storage of personal information about our guests. If we experience a data security breach, we could be exposed to government enforcement actions and private litigation. In addition, our guests could lose confidence in our ability to protect their personal information, which could cause them to stop visiting our salons altogether. Such events could lead to lost future sales and adversely affect our results of operations.

Certain of the terms and provisions of the convertible notes we issued in July 2009 may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results and impose other risks.

In July 2009, we issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of our 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due 2014 in a public offering. Certain terms of the notes we issued may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results or impose other risks, such as the following:

- Holders of notes may convert their notes into shares of our common stock, which may dilute the ownership interest of our shareholders,
- If we elect to settle all or a portion of the conversion obligation exercised by holders of the notes through the payment of cash, it could adversely affect our liquidity,
- Holders of notes may require us to purchase their notes upon certain fundamental changes, and any failure by us to purchase the notes in such event would result in an event of default with respect to the notes,
- The fundamental change provisions contained in the notes may delay or prevent a takeover attempt of the Company that might otherwise be beneficial to our investors,

51

### Table of Contents

- Our ability to pay principal and interest on the notes depends on our future operating performance and any failure by us to make scheduled payments could allow the note holders to declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable, result in termination of other debt commitments and foreclosure proceedings by other lenders, or force us into bankruptcy or liquidation, and
- The debt obligations represented by the notes may limit our ability to obtain additional financing, require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay our debt, limit our ability to adjust rapidly to changing market conditions and increase our vulnerability to downtowns in general economic conditions in our business.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock through its share repurchase program during the three months ended September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, \$73.5 million remains to be spent on share repurchases under this program.

#### Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 10(a)(*)	Employment Agreement, dated August 31, 2012, between the Company and Daniel J. Hanrahan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) of the Company s report on Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2012.)
Exhibit 10(b)(*)	Form of Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment and Deferred Compensation Agreement, dated August 31, 2012 between the Company and certain senior executive officers (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) of the Company s report on Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2012.)
Exhibit 15	Letter Re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
Exhibit 31.1	President and Chief Executive Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.1	President and Chief Executive Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.2	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 101.INS (**)	XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.SCH (**)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
Exhibit 101.CAL (**)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
Exhibit 101.LAB (**)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

Exhibit 101.PRE (\*\*) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Exhibit 101.DEF (\*\*) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

(\*) Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to the Company s Report on Form 10-Q/A.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> The XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability of that section and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing or other document pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing or document.

### Table of Contents

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### REGIS CORPORATION

Date: January 25, 2013 By: /s/ Steven M. Spiegel

Steven M. Spiegel

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Signing on behalf of the registrant and as principal

accounting officer

53