MORGAN STANLEY EMERGING MARKETS DEBT FUND INC Form N-CSRS September 10, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-07694

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Debt Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

522 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices) 10036 (Zip code)

Arthur Lev

522 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10036 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 201-830-8802

Date of fiscal year December 31, 2012 end:

Date of reporting period: June 30, 2012

Item 1 - Report to Shareholders

Directors

- Michael E. Nugent
- Frank L. Bowman

Michael Bozic

- Kathleen A. Dennis
- James F. Higgins
- Dr. Manuel H. Johnson
- Joseph J. Kearns
- Michael F. Klein
- W. Allen Reed
- Fergus Reid

Officers

- Michael E. Nugent
- Chairman of the Board and Director

Arthur Lev

President and Principal Executive Officer

Stefanie V. Chang Yu

Vice President

Francis J. Smith

Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer

Mary Ann Picciotto

Chief Compliance Officer

Mary E. Mullin

Secretary

Adviser and Administrator

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

522 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company

One Lincoln Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Stockholder Servicing Agent

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

250 Royall Street

Canton, Massachusetts 02021

Legal Counsel

Dechert LLP

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

For additional Fund information, including the Fund's net asset value per share and information regarding the investments comprising the Fund's portfolio, please call toll free 1(800) 231-2608 or visit our website at www.morganstanley.com/im. All investments involve risks, including the possible loss of principal.

© 2012 Morgan Stanley

CEMSDSAN IU12-01777P-Y06/12

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY EMERGING MARKETS DEBT FUND INC - Form N-CSRS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Morgan Stanley

Emerging Markets Debt

Fund, Inc.

NYSE: MSD

Morgan Stanley

Investment Management Inc.

Adviser

Semi-Annual Report June 30, 2012

June 30, 2012

Table of Contents

Letter to Stockholders	3
Investment Advisory Agreement Approval	5
Portfolio of Investments	7
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	12
Statement of Operations	13
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	14
Financial Highlights	15
Notes to Financial Statements	16
Portfolio Management	27
Investment Policy	28
Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan	30
U.S. Privacy Policy	31

Overview

Letter to Stockholders (unaudited)

Performance

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Debt Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") had total returns of 7.48%, based on net asset value, and 9.00% based on market value per share (including reinvestment of distributions), compared to its benchmark, the J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Global Index (the "Index"),* which returned 7.45%. On June 30, 2012, the closing price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange was \$11.07, representing a 8.5% discount to the Fund's net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Factors Affecting Performance

• Global risk assets strengthened in January and February, due to signs of a global recovery. Then, in March, global risk assets slightly weakened, as U.S. economic optimism could not overcome fears about global growth, led by softer-than-expected economic data out of China. Emerging market currencies broadly strengthened against the U.S. dollar in the first quarter of 2012, as optimism about euro area progress and stronger U.S. economic data lifted risk sentiment. Crude oil prices rose, leading to concerns that high oil prices may threaten to derail a vulnerable global recovery.

• However, euro area optimism was short-lived and risk sentiment weakened in the first months of the second quarter due to Greek political and debt-sustainability concerns, signs of distress out of the euro area periphery, stagnant U.S. jobs growth, and softer Chinese economic data. The benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury note registered record low yields at the end of May amid heightened global growth fears. However, global risk assets recovered partially in June as risk sentiment modestly improved. Emerging market currencies broadly weakened against the U.S. dollar in the second quarter, as global growth concerns moved investors to sell local emerging market currencies and buy dollars. After making modest gains in the first quarter, the euro fell versus the U.S. dollar due to fears about Europe's growth prospects and European policymakers' ability to address the region's debt crisis.

• Over the course of the six-month period, the spread on the Index tightened 52 basis points to 374 basis points above U.S. Treasuries. The Index (which tracks the performance of U.S.-dollar denominated debt instruments issued by emerging markets) generated a total return of 7.45%.

• The Fund benefited from overweight exposure to Brazil, Kazakhstan, Mexico, and Russia. Brazil was bolstered by supportive commodity prices, while Kazakhstan gained during the period from high oil prices, in particular, despite falling oil prices in recent months. Mexico benefited from strong economic activity numbers and its economic link to the U.S. market, Mexico's main trading partner, despite political uncertainty from the July 1 presidential vote and downside risks from economic moderation out of the U.S. Russia's economy proved to be relatively resilient to the global slowdown due to strong domestic demand, and rising oil prices early in the year were also supportive. In addition, exposure to Chilean and Mexican local markets, as well as Mexican and Russian corporates, contributed to relative performance.

Overview

Letter to Stockholders (unaudited) (cont'd)

• Conversely, underweight exposure to Hungary, the Philippines, Poland, and Turkey detracted from relative returns. Hungary outperformed due to hopes for a European Union/International Monetary Fund deal; however, implementation risks remain high. The Philippines benefited from rising expectations for the country to attain investment-grade status, while Poland's economy demonstrated relative resilience to a deteriorating external environment and appeared better positioned than its neighbors to weather the crisis. Turkey was aided by an improvement in external balances due to falling oil prices in the second quarter and an upgrade of its sovereign debt rating to one notch below investment-grade by Moody's. Security selection in Argentina also detracted from relative performance.

Management Strategies

• We expect the global economy to exhibit varying degrees of economic recovery for the remainder of 2012, as developed market growth will likely remain well below potential, while emerging economies should grow at a healthier pace. We anticipate that emerging markets will from time to time come under pressure until there is some resolution to fiscal issues in Europe and growth in the U.S. regains its footing.

• We expect developed market central banks to provide liquidity to the global markets as needed, supporting commodity prices, capital inflows into emerging countries, and broadly bolstering demand for higher-yielding assets. The impact of liquidity-providing operations could, in our opinion, somewhat offset the negative impact of heightened risk aversion and sub-par growth in the developed world.

• We remain positive on emerging markets debt prospects, as we believe it has been external factors that have undermined otherwise healthy fundamentals. We expect emerging economies to show resilient, albeit lower, growth for the remainder of 2012, aided by robust domestic policies and supportive terms of trade and capital inflows. Subsiding inflationary pressures due in part to softening commodity prices and slowing global growth are likely to support accommodative monetary policies in the months ahead. We believe that emerging market central banks will continue to adopt policies aimed at limiting local currency appreciation this year, but will not be able to reverse the longer-term appreciation trend in their currencies.

Sincerely,

Arthur Lev President and Principal Executive Officer July 2012

*The J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Global ("EMBG") Index tracks total returns for U.S. dollar-denominated debt instruments issued by emerging markets sovereign and quasi-sovereign entities: Brady Bonds, loans, Eurobonds and local market instruments for over 30 emerging market countries. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

June 30, 2012

Investment Advisory Agreement Approval (unaudited)

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

The Board reviewed and considered the nature and extent of the investment advisory services provided by the Adviser (as defined herein) under the advisory agreement, including portfolio management, investment research and equity and fixed income securities trading. The Board also reviewed and considered the nature and extent of the non-advisory, administrative services provided by the Fund's Adviser under the administration agreement, including accounting, clerical, bookkeeping, compliance, business management and planning, and the provision of supplies, office space and utilities at the Adviser's expense. (The advisory and administration agreements together are referred to as the "Management Agreement.") The Board also compared the nature of the services provided by the Adviser with similar services provided by non-affiliated advisers as reported to the Board by Lipper, Inc. ("Lipper").

The Board reviewed and considered the qualifications of the portfolio managers, the senior administrative managers and other key personnel of the Adviser who provide the administrative and advisory services to the Fund. The Board determined that the Adviser's portfolio managers and key personnel are well qualified by education and/or training and experience to perform the services in an efficient and professional manner. The Board concluded that the nature and extent of the advisory and administrative services provided were necessary and appropriate for the conduct of the business and investment activities of the Fund and supported its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Performance, Fees and Expenses of the Fund

The Board reviewed the performance, fees and expenses of the Fund compared to its peers, as determined by Lipper, and to appropriate benchmarks where applicable. The Board discussed with the Adviser the performance goals and the actual results achieved in managing the Fund. When considering a fund's performance, the Board and the Adviser place emphasis on trends and longer-term returns (focusing on one-year, three-year and five-year performance, as of December 31, 2011, or since inception, as applicable). When a fund underperforms its benchmark and/or its peer group average, the Board and the Adviser discuss the causes of such underperformance and, where necessary, they discuss specific changes to investment strategy or investment personnel. The Board noted that the Fund's performance was better than its peer group average for the one- and five-year periods but below its peer group average for the three-year period. The Board discussed with the Adviser the level of the advisory and administration fees (together, the "management fee") for this Fund relative to comparable funds and/or other accounts advised by the Adviser and/or compared to its peers as determined by Lipper. In addition to the management fee, the Board also reviewed the Fund's total expense ratio. The Board noted that the Fund's management fee was higher but close to its peer group average and total expense ratio was lower than its peer group average. After discussion, the Board concluded that the Fund's performance, management fee and total expense ratio were competitive with its peer group average.

Economies of Scale

The Board considered the size and growth prospects of the Fund and how that relates to the Fund's total expense ratio and particularly the Fund's management fee rate, which does not include breakpoints. In conjunction with its review of the Adviser's profitability, the Board discussed with the Adviser how a change in assets can affect the efficiency or effectiveness of managing the Fund and whether the management fee level is appropriate relative to current and projected asset levels and/or whether the management fee structure reflects economies of scale as asset levels change. The Board considered that, with respect to closed-end funds, the assets are not likely

June 30, 2012

Investment Advisory Agreement Approval (unaudited) (cont'd)

to grow with new sales or grow significantly as a result of capital appreciation. The Board concluded that economies of scale for the Fund were not a factor that needed to be considered at the present time.

Profitability of the Adviser and Affiliates

The Board considered information concerning the costs incurred and profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates during the last year from their relationship with the Fund and during the last two years from their relationship with the Morgan Stanley Fund Complex and reviewed with the Adviser the cost allocation methodology used to determine the profitability of the Adviser and affiliates. The Board has determined that its review of the analysis of the Adviser's expenses and profitability supports its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Other Benefits of the Relationship

The Board considered other benefits to the Adviser and its affiliates derived from their relationship with the Fund and other funds advised by the Adviser. These benefits may include, among other things, "float" benefits derived from handling of checks for purchases and sales, research received by the Adviser generated from commission dollars spent on funds' portfolio trading and fees for distribution and/or shareholder servicing. The Board reviewed with the Adviser each of these arrangements and the reasonableness of the Adviser's costs relative to the services performed. The Board has determined that its review of the other benefits received by the Adviser or its affiliates supports its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Resources of the Adviser and Historical Relationship Between the Fund and the Adviser

The Board considered whether the Adviser is financially sound and has the resources necessary to perform its obligations under the Management Agreement. The Board also reviewed and considered the historical relationship between the Fund and the Adviser, including the organizational structure of the Adviser, the policies and procedures formulated and adopted by the Adviser for managing the Fund's operations and the Board's confidence in the competence and integrity of the senior managers and key personnel of the Adviser. The Board concluded that the Adviser has the financial resources necessary to fulfill its obligations under the Management Agreement and that it is beneficial for the Fund to continue its relationship with the Adviser.

Other Factors and Current Trends

The Board considered the controls and procedures adopted and implemented by the Adviser and monitored by the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer and concluded that the conduct of business by the Adviser indicates a good faith effort on its part to adhere to high ethical standards in the conduct of the Fund's business.

General Conclusion

After considering and weighing all of the above factors, the Board concluded that it would be in the best interest of the Fund and its shareholders to approve renewal of the Management Agreement for another year. In reaching this conclusion the Board did not give particular weight to any single factor referenced above. The Board considered these factors over the course of numerous meetings, some of which were in executive session with only the independent

Board members and their counsel present. It is possible that individual Board members may have weighed these factors differently in reaching their individual decisions to approve the Management Agreement.

June 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Portfolio of Investments

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

	Face Amount	Value	
	(000)	(000)	
FIXED INCOME SECURITIES (87.8%)			
Argentina (1.3%)			
Sovereign (1.3%)			
Argentina Boden Bonds,			
7.00%, 10/3/15	\$ 5,409	\$ 4,109	
Brazil (10.7%)			
Corporate Bonds (2.5%)			
Banco Safra Cayman Islands Ltd.,			
6.75%, 1/27/21	690	744	
6.75%, 1/27/21 (a)	1,360	1,465	
Centrais Eletricas Brasileiras SA,			
5.75%, 10/27/21 (a)(b)	2,180	2,394	
Odebrecht Finance Ltd.,	-		
6.00%, 4/5/23 (a)(b)	2,870	3,037	
, , , , , ,		7,640	
Sovereign (8.2%)		,	
Banco Nacional de			
Desenvolvimento, Economico e			
Social,			
5.50%, 7/12/20 (a)(b)	1,960	2,237	
6.37%, 6/16/18 (a)	276	325	
Brazilian Government			
International Bond,			
4.88%, 1/22/21 (b)	1,080	1,254	
5.88%, 1/15/19	7,535	9,132	
7.13%, 1/20/37	3,290	4,762	
8.88%, 10/14/19	5,504	7,830	
	-,	25,540	
		33,180	
Colombia (3.6%)		,	
Sovereign (3.6%)			
Colombia Government			
International Bond,			
4.38%, 7/12/21	2,760	3,113	
6.13%, 1/18/41 (b)	2,080	2,715	
7.38%, 3/18/19	390	512	
11.75%, 2/25/20	3,015	4,839	
	0,010	1,000	

		11,179
Croatia (0.4%)		,
Sovereign (0.4%)		
Croatia Government International		
Bond,		
6.63%, 7/14/20 (a)	1,340	1,339
, ()	,	,
	Face	
	Amount	Value
	(000)	(000)
Ecuador (0.7%)		
Sovereign (0.7%)		
Ecuador Government		
International Bond,		
9.38%, 12/15/15	\$ 2,235	\$ 2,263
Ghana (0.2%)		
Sovereign (0.2%)		
Republic of Ghana,		
8.50%, 10/4/17 (a)(b)	536	596
Hungary (0.5%)		
Sovereign (0.5%)		
Hungary Government		
International Bond,		
6.38%, 3/29/21	1,050	1,028
7.63%, 3/29/41	490	481
		1,509
India (0.2%)		
Corporate Bond (0.2%)		
Reliance Holdings USA, Inc.,	040	700
6.25%, 10/19/40 (a)	810	762
Indonesia (5.1%)		
Sovereign (5.1%)		
Indonesia Government		
International Bond,	200	280
6.88%, 1/17/18	320 630	380 871
7.75%, 1/17/38 7.75%, 1/17/38 (a)	1,353	1,871
11.63%, 3/4/19	430	636
11.63%, 3/4/19 (a)(b)	2,169	3,210
Majapahit Holding BV,	2,109	5,210
7.75%, 1/20/20	7,590	9,089
	7,550	16,057
Ivory Coast (0.4%)		10,007
Sovereign (0.4%)		
Ivory Coast Government		
International Bond,		
3.75%, 12/31/32 (c)(d)	1,620	1,215
Kazakhstan (4.1%)	.,	.,
Sovereign (4.1%)		
	230	241

Development Bank of Kazakhstan JSC, 5.50%, 12/20/15 5.50%, 12/20/15 (a)

1,250

1,308

June 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

	Face		
	Amount		Value
Interace Finance BV		(000)	(000)
Intergas Finance BV, 6.38%, 5/14/17	\$	700	\$ 763
KazMunayGas National Co.,	Ψ	700	ψ /03
6.38%, 4/9/21		1,510	1,668
6.38%, 4/9/21 (a)		2,430	2,685
9.13%, 7/2/18		1,930	2,396
9.13%, 7/2/18 (a)		3,080	3,823
		0,000	12,884
Lithuania (1.2%)			,
Sovereign (1.2%)			
Lithuania Government			
International Bond,			
6.13%, 3/9/21 (a)		520	574
6.63%, 2/1/22 (a)		670	770
6.75%, 1/15/15 (a)		160	174
7.38%, 2/11/20		1,790	2,121
			3,639
Malaysia (0.8%)			
Sovereign (0.8%)			
Malaysia Government Bond,			
3.21%, 5/31/13	MYR	8,110	2,560
Mexico (12.9%)			
Corporate Bonds (0.6%)			
Cemex SAB de CV,	^		252
9.00%, 1/11/18	\$	280	252
9.00%, 1/11/18 (a)		1,900	1,705
Coversian (10.2%)			1,957
Sovereign (12.3%)			
Mexican Bonos, 8.00%, 6/11/20	MXN	40,247	2 579
Mexico Government		40,247	3,578
International Bond,			
3.63%, 3/15/22	\$	2,800	2,979
5.63%, 1/15/17	ψ	2,800 419	490
5.95%, 3/19/19		3,782	4,629
6.05%, 1/11/40		1,760	2,279
6.75%, 9/27/34		4,674	6,404
		4,316	5,157
		.,010	0,107

Pemex Project Funding Master Trust, 6.63%, 6/15/35 - 6/15/38		
8.63%, 12/1/23	1,990	2,587

	Face Amount	Value
	(000)	(000)
Petroleos Mexicanos,	A	• • • • • •
4.88%, 1/24/22 (a)	\$ 1,800	\$ 1,949
5.50%, 1/21/21 (b)	5,430	6,163
8.00%, 5/3/19	1,750	2,231
		38,446
		40,403
Panama (2.2%)		
Sovereign (2.2%)		
Panama Government		
International Bond,		
5.20%, 1/30/20	1,810	2,122
7.13%, 1/29/26	1,140	1,545
8.88%, 9/30/27	483	752
9.38%, 4/1/29	1,569	2,573
		6,992
Peru (4.1%)		
Corporate Bond (0.7%)		
Ajecorp BV,		
6.50%, 5/14/22 (a)(b)	2,170	2,241
Sovereign (3.4%)		
Peruvian Government		
International Bond,		
7.35%, 7/21/25	2,410	3,398
8.20%, 8/12/26 (Units) (e)	PEN 4,290	2,060
8.75%, 11/21/33	\$ 3,080	5,067
		10,525
		12,766
Philippines (4.6%)		
Sovereign (4.6%)		
Philippine Government		
International Bond,		
4.00%, 1/15/21	6,756	7,288
8.38%, 6/17/19	1,491	2,017
9.50%, 2/2/30	3,072	4,992
		14,297
Poland (0.3%)		
Sovereign (0.3%)		
Poland Government		
International Bond,		
5.00%, 3/23/22	770	844

June 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

	Face Amount	Value	
	(000)	(000)	
Russia (13.5%)	(000)	(000)	
Corporate Bonds (0.7%)			
Vimpel Communications Via VIP			
Finance Ireland Ltd. OJSC,			
7.75%, 2/2/21 (a)	\$ 1,390	\$ 1,346	
VimpelCom Holdings BV,			
7.50%, 3/1/22 (a)(b)	820	772	
		2,118	
Sovereign (12.8%)			
Russian Agricultural Bank OJSC			
Via RSHB Capital SA,			
6.30%, 5/15/17 (a)	2,104	2,230	
7.18%, 5/16/13 (a)	2,030	2,115	
Russian Foreign Bond - Eurobond,			
5.00%, 4/29/20	7,100	7,739	
5.63%, 4/4/42 (a)	11,200	12,010	
7.50%, 3/31/30	1,393	1,676	
7.50%, 3/31/30 (a)	954	1,148	
12.75%, 6/24/28	4,225	7,605	
Vnesheconombank Via VEB			
Finance PLC,	4.000	5 000	
6.90%, 7/9/20	4,900	5,320	
		39,843	
Couth Africa (0.10/)		41,961	
South Africa (2.1%)			
Sovereign (2.1%)			
Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd.,	0 550	2 007	
5.75%, 1/26/21 (a)(b)	3,556	3,907	
5.75%, 1/26/21	2,370	2,604 6,511	
Sri Lanka (0.3%)		0,511	
Sovereign (0.3%)			
Sri Lanka Government			
International Bond,			
6.25%, 10/4/20	100	103	
6.25%, 10/4/20 (a)	650	670	
	000	773	
Turkey (5.7%)		110	

Sovereign (5.7%)		
Export Credit Bank of Turkey,		
5.88%, 4/24/19 (a)(b)	2,850	2,939
	F	
	Face	Value
	Amount (000)	Value (000)
Turkey Government	(000)	(000)
International Bond,		
5.63%, 3/30/21	\$ 4,650	\$ 5,097
6.88%, 3/17/36	2,904	3,420
7.50%, 7/14/17 - 11/7/19	2,866	3,411
11.88%, 1/15/30	1,771	3,141
,	,	18,008
Ukraine (1.6%)		
Sovereign (1.6%)		
Ukraine Government		
International Bond,		
6.58%, 11/21/16	649	584
6.75%, 11/14/17	3,230	2,886
7.75%, 9/23/20 (a)(b)	1,700	1,549
		5,019
Uruguay (0.7%)		
Sovereign (0.7%)		
Uruguay Government		
International Bond,	. = = =	2 2 4
8.00%, 11/18/22	1,589	2,244
Venezuela (10.6%)		
Sovereign (10.6%)		
Petroleos de Venezuela SA,	25 090	01 179
8.50%, 11/2/17 Venezuela Government	25,980	21,173
International Bond,		
6.00%, 12/9/20	1,340	908
7.65%, 4/21/25	2,850	1,981
9.00%, 5/7/23	600	465
9.25%, 9/15/27 (b)	10,530	8,582
	,	33,109
TOTAL FIXED INCOME		-,
SECURITIES (Cost \$246,733)		274,219

June 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

		No. of arrants	Value (000)	
WARRANTS (0.2%)				
Nigeria (0.1%)				
Central Bank of Nigeria,				
expires 11/15/20 (f)(g)		2,250	\$ 405	
Venezuela (0.1%)				
Venezuela Government International				
Bond, Oil-Linked Payment			101	
Obligation, expires 4/15/20 (f)(g)		5,450	161	
TOTAL WARRANTS (Cost \$)	S	hares	566	
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (12.0%)				
Securities held as Collateral on Loaned Sec	urities (8.0%)		
Investment Company (7.8%)				
Morgan Stanley Institutional				
Liquidity Funds Money Market				
Portfolio Institutional Class			04440	
(See Note F)		,148,019	24,148	
		Face		
		mount (000)		
Repurchase Agreements (0.2%)		(000)		
Barclays Capital, Inc.,				
(0.15%, dated 6/29/12,				
due $7/2/12$; proceeds \$290;				
fully collateralized by a				
U.S. Government Obligation;				
U.S. Treasury Bond 3.13% due				
2/15/42; valued at \$296)	\$	290	290	
Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.,				
(0.18%, dated 6/29/12,				
due 7/2/12; proceeds \$377;				
fully collateralized by a				
U.S. Government Agency;				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage				
Corporation 3.75% due 3/27/19;				
valued at \$385)		377	377	
			667	
			24,815	

TOTAL SECURITIES HELD AS COLLATERAL ON LOANED SECURITIES (Cost \$24,815)

	Value Shares (000)	
Investment Company (3.2%)		
Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Funds Money Market Portfolio Institutional Class		
(See Note F) (Cost \$9,921)	9,921,320 Face Amount (000)	\$ 9,921
Sovereign (0.8%)		
Argentina Boden Bonds, 0.79%, 8/3/12 (f)	A	0.001
(Cost \$2,621)	\$ 21,230	2,621
TOTAL SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (Cost \$37,357)		37,357
TOTAL INVESTMENTS (100.0%) (Cost \$284,090) Including \$24,511 of Securities		
Loaned (h)		312,142
LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF		
OTHER ASSETS		(25,674)
NET ASSETS		\$ 286,468

(a) 144A security Certain conditions for public sale may exist. Unless otherwise noted, these securities are deemed to be liquid.

(b) All or a portion of this security was on loan at June 30, 2012.

(c) Step Bond Coupon rate increases in increments to maturity. Rate disclosed is as of June 30, 2012. Maturity date disclosed is the ultimate maturity date.

(d) Issuer is in default.

(e) Consists of one or more classes of securities traded together as a unit.

(f) Variable/Floating Rate Security Interest rate changes on these instruments are based on changes in a designated base rate. The rates shown are those in effect on June 30, 2012.

(g) Security has been deemed illiquid at June 30, 2012.

(h) Securities are available for collateral in connection with futures contracts.

June 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

Futures Contracts:

The Fund had the following futures contracts open at period end:

		Number of Contracts	Value (000)	Expiration Date	Appr (Depr	ealized eciation eciation) 000)	
Short:							
U.S. Treasu 10 yr. I	•	54	\$ (7,202)	Sep-12	\$	(33)	
MXN	Mexicar	New Peso					
MYR	Malaysia	an Ringgit					
PEN	Peruviar	n Nuevo Sol					
Portfolio Composition*							
				Percentage of			

Classification	Percentage of Total Investments
Sovereign	90.2%
Corporate Bonds	5.2
Other**	4.6
Total Investments	100.0%***

* Percentages indicated are based upon total investments (excluding Securities held as Collateral on Loaned Securities) as of June 30, 2012.

** Industries and/or investment types representing less than 5% of total investments.

*** Does not include open short futures contracts with an underlying face amount of approximately \$7,202,000 and total unrealized depreciation of approximately \$33,000.

June 30, 2012

Financial Statements

Ctatement of Assats and Lishilities	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)
Statement of Assets and Liabilities Assets:	(000)
Investments in Securities of Unaffiliated Issuers, at Value(1)	
(Cost \$250,021)	\$ 278,073
Investment in Security of Affiliated Issuer, at Value (Cost	ψ 270,075
\$34,069)	34,069
Total Investments in Securities, at Value (Cost \$284,090)	312,142
Foreign Currency, at Value (Cost \$167)	163
Cash	1
Interest Receivable	4,831
Receivable for Lehman Brothers Closed Reverse	.,
Repurchase Transactions	303
Receivable for Variation Margin	89
Receivable from Affiliate	1
Other Assets	23
Total Assets	317,553
Liabilities:	
Collateral on Securities Loaned, at Value	24,816
Dividends Declared	3,314
Payable for Investments Purchased	2,621
Payable for Advisory Fees	232
Payable for Professional Fees	51
Payable for Administration Fees	8
Payable for Custodian Fees	2
Payable for Stockholder Servicing Agent Fees	@
Other Liabilities	41
Total Liabilities	31,085
Net Assets	
Applicable to 23,669,536 Issued and Outstanding \$0.01 Par	• • • • • • • •
Value Shares (100,000,000 Shares Authorized)	\$ 286,468
Net Asset Value Per Share	\$ 12.10
Net Assets Consist of:	
Common Stock	\$ 237
Paid-in-Capital	265,034
Undistributed Net Investment Income	338
Accumulated Net Realized Loss	(7,157)
Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on: Investments	
Futures Contracts	28,052
Foreign Currency Translations	(33)
TOREIGH OUTERCY TRAISIALIONS	(3