

ACCURAY INC
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

or

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-33301

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

20-8370041

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

1310 Chesapeake Terrace

Sunnyvale, California 94089

(Address of Principal Executive Offices Including Zip Code)

(408) 716-4600

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

As of October 14, 2011, there were 70,513,501 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2011

Table of Contents

	Page No.
<u>PART I.</u>	3
<u>Item 1.</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited):</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	20
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3.</u>	30
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	30
<u>Item 4.</u>	30
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	30
<u>PART II.</u>	31
<u>Item 1.</u>	31
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
<u>Item 1A.</u>	31
<u>Risk Factors</u>	31
<u>Item 2.</u>	59
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	59
<u>Item 3.</u>	59
<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	59
<u>Item 4.</u>	59
<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	59
<u>Item 5.</u>	59
<u>Other Information</u>	59
<u>Item 6.</u>	59
<u>Exhibits</u>	59
<u>Signatures</u>	60

[Table of Contents](#)**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****Accuray Incorporated****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (1)
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 140,150	\$ 95,906
Restricted cash	3,284	3,172
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,066 and \$324 at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively	77,913	61,853
Inventories	83,318	97,836
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	17,868	21,115
Deferred cost of revenue - current	8,690	5,840
Total current assets	331,223	285,722
Property and equipment, net	41,963	44,823
Goodwill	55,858	54,474
Intangible assets, net	61,952	66,039
Deferred cost of revenue - noncurrent	3,129	2,258
Other assets	5,910	2,468
Total assets	\$ 500,035	\$ 455,784
Liabilities and equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 24,763	\$ 38,645
Accrued compensation	20,125	27,406
Other accrued liabilities	28,820	43,012
Customer advances	23,971	25,829
Deferred revenue - current	74,441	68,152
Total current liabilities	172,120	203,044
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term other liabilities	5,897	6,321
Deferred revenue - noncurrent	6,438	6,092
Long-term debt	76,559	
Total liabilities	261,014	215,457
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 5,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized: 100,000,000 shares; issued: 72,530,843 and 72,199,837 shares at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively; outstanding	70	70

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

70,390,825 and 70,059,819 shares at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively			
Additional paid-in capital	399,905		373,963
Accumulated other comprehensive income	962		127
Accumulated deficit	(170,895)		(144,385)
Total stockholders' equity	230,042		229,775
Noncontrolling interest	8,979		10,552
Total equity	239,021		240,327
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 500,035	\$	455,784

(1) Condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Net revenue:		
Products	\$ 56,174	\$ 19,916
Services	43,401	17,734
Other	876	418
Total net revenue	100,451	38,068
Cost of revenue:		
Cost of products	38,373	7,497
Cost of services	37,349	11,800
Cost of other	301	534
Total cost of revenue	76,023	19,831
Gross profit	24,428	18,237
Operating expenses:		
Selling and marketing	13,581	7,760
Research and development	20,565	8,047
General and administrative	14,969	8,559
Total operating expenses	49,115	24,366
Loss from operations	(24,687)	(6,129)
Other income (expense), net	(2,858)	1,616
Loss before provision for income taxes	(27,545)	(4,513)
Provision for income taxes	538	127
Net loss	(28,083)	(4,640)
Noncontrolling interest	(1,573)	
Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (26,510)	\$ (4,640)
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.08)
Weighted average common shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	70,263	58,667

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Accuray Incorporated****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (28,083)	\$ (4,640)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	8,319	1,389
Share-based compensation	2,609	2,496
Accretion of interest on long-term debt	639	
Provision for (recovery of) bad debts	(454)	151
Provision for write-down of inventories	1,578	421
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		9
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	(112)	
Accounts receivable	(16,656)	1,576
Inventories	11,545	(3,030)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,138	(1,089)
Deferred cost of revenue	(3,726)	(458)
Other assets	(557)	(133)
Accounts payable	(14,013)	(1,359)
Accrued liabilities	(22,159)	(3,632)
Customer advances	(1,647)	2,453
Deferred revenue	7,762	(4,401)
Net cash used in operating activities	(51,817)	(10,247)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(995)	(1,332)
Acquisition of business	(1,384)	
Purchase of investments		(46,903)
Sale and maturity of investments		54,336
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,379)	6,101
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	911	803
Proceeds from debt, net of costs	96,100	
Net cash provided by financing activities	97,011	803
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,429	451
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	44,244	(2,892)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	95,906	45,434
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 140,150	\$ 42,542

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accuray Incorporated

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Description of Business

Organization

Accuray Incorporated (together with its subsidiaries, the Company) is incorporated in Delaware. The Company designs, develops and sells advanced medical radiation systems for the treatment of tumors throughout the body. The CyberKnife Systems are advanced, image-guided robotic systems used to deliver radiosurgery for the treatment of solid tumors anywhere in the body.

On June 10, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of TomoTherapy Incorporated (TomoTherapy) by acquiring all of TomoTherapy's common stock in exchange for cash and shares of Accuray common stock. TomoTherapy designs, manufactures and sells systems used to deliver advanced radiation therapy for the treatment of a wide range of cancer types. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the financial results of TomoTherapy prospectively from the date of acquisition.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries and a variable interest entity, Compact Particle Acceleration Corporation (CPAC) (for further information, see Note 11. Investment in CPAC). All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, (GAAP), pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Certain information and note disclosures have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the periods presented. The results for the three months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending June 30, 2012, for any other interim period or for any future year.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements. Key estimates and assumptions made by the Company relate to share-based compensation, valuation allowances for deferred tax assets, estimate of allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of excess and obsolete inventories, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, the fair value of purchase consideration paid and assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, deferred revenue and deferred cost of revenue. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Foreign Currency

The Company's international subsidiaries use their local currencies as their functional currencies. For those subsidiaries, assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and income and expense accounts at the average exchange rate. Resulting translation adjustments are excluded from the determination of net income and are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Net foreign currency exchange transaction gains or losses are included as a component of other income (expense), net, in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of amounts invested in highly liquid investment accounts with original maturities of three months or less on the date of purchase and money market accounts.

Table of Contents

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash primarily relates to funds held related to VAT guarantees in a foreign jurisdiction and certain performance obligation guarantees.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments including cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable are approximately equal to their respective fair values due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value of the Convertible Senior Notes was \$80.3 million at September 30, 2011, which was based on the quoted market price on September 29, 2011 (the last trading day during the three months ended September 30, 2011).

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are mainly deposited with several major financial institutions. At times, deposits in these institutions exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes that it is not exposed to any significant risk on these balances.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, there was no customer that represented 10% or more of total net revenue. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, there was one customer that represented 10% or more of total net revenue. At September 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no customers and two customers, respectively, whose accounts receivable balance was 10% or more of the Company's total accounts receivable.

Accounts receivable are typically not collateralized. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains reserves for potential credit losses. Accounts receivable are deemed past due in accordance with the contractual terms of the agreement. Accounts are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts once collection efforts are unsuccessful. Historically, such losses have been within management's expectations.

Single source suppliers presently provide the Company with several components. In most cases, if a supplier were unable to deliver these components, the Company believes that it would be able to find other sources for these components subject to any regulatory qualifications, if required.

Inventories

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Excess and obsolete inventories are written down based on historical sales and forecasted demand, as judged by management. The Company determines inventory and product costs, which include allocated production overheads, through use of standard costs.

Revenue Recognition

The Company earns revenue from the sale of products, the operation of its shared ownership program, and the provision of related services, which include installation services, post-contract customer support (PCS), training and other professional services. The Company records its revenues net of any value added or sales tax. From time to time, the Company introduces customers to third party financing organizations. No amounts received from these third party financing organizations are at risk.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements*. These standards change the requirements for establishing separate units of accounting in a multiple element arrangement and require the allocation of arrangement consideration to each deliverable to be based on the relative selling price. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) also amended the accounting standards for revenue recognition to exclude software that is contained in a tangible product from the scope of software revenue guidance if the software is essential to the tangible product's functionality. The Company adopted these new standards on a prospective basis. For revenue arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after the adoption of these standards, implementation of this new authoritative guidance had an insignificant impact on the Company's reported net revenue since the first quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to net revenue if the related arrangements entered into or modified after the effective date were subject to the accounting requirements in effect in the prior year.

The Company frequently enters into sales arrangements with customers that contain multiple elements or deliverables. For revenue arrangements with multiple elements which were entered into prior to the adoption of ASU 2009-13 and 2009-14 and

Table of Contents

which have not subsequently been materially modified, the Company allocates arrangement consideration to each element based upon vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for each element is based upon the Company's standard rates charged for the product or service when such product or service is sold separately or based upon the price established by the Company's pricing committee when that product or service is not yet being sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements, and VSOE of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements, principally the system and optional product upgrades, based upon the residual method. If VSOE of fair value does not exist for all the undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred until the earlier of: (1) delivery of all elements, and (2) establishment of VSOE of fair value for all remaining undelivered elements.

Under the new accounting guidance, in evaluating revenue recognition for arrangements which contain multiple deliverables, the Company determined that in certain instances it was not able to establish VSOE for all deliverables in an arrangement as the Company infrequently sells each element on a stand-alone basis, does not price products within a narrow range, or has a limited sales history. When VSOE cannot be established, the Company attempts to establish the selling price of each element based on relevant third-party evidence (TPE). TPE is determined based on competitors' prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's offerings contain a significant level of proprietary technology, customization or differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitors' products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company typically is not able to determine TPE.

When the Company is unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses its best estimate of selling price (BESP) in the Company's allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. BESP is generally used for offerings that are not typically sold on a stand-alone basis or for new or highly customized offerings. The Company determines BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, pricing practices, internal costs, geographies and gross margin. The determination of BESP is made through consultation with and formal approval by the Company's pricing committee, taking into consideration the overall go-to-market pricing strategy.

As the Company's go-to-market strategies and other factors evolve, the Company may modify its pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes in selling prices, including VSOE, TPE and BESP. As a result, the Company's future revenue recognition for multiple element arrangements could differ materially from that recorded in the current period. The Company regularly reviews VSOE, TPE and BESP and maintains internal controls over the establishment and update of these inputs.

The Company has a limited number of software offerings which are not required to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality and can be sold separately. Revenues from sales of these software products and related post-contract support are accounted for under software revenue recognition rules. The Company's multiple-element arrangements may therefore have a software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable or group of software deliverables and the non-software deliverables based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue recognition accounting guidance.

The Company recognizes product revenues when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred. Payments received in advance of product shipment are recorded as customer advances and are recognized as revenue or deferred revenue upon product shipment or installation.

The Company assesses the probability of collection based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. The Company generally does not request collateral from its customers. If the Company determines that

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

collection is not probable, the Company will defer the fee and recognize revenue upon receipt of cash.

The Company records revenues from sales of systems to distributors on either a sell-through or sell-in basis, depending on the terms of the distribution agreement as well as terms and conditions executed for each sale, and once all revenue recognition criteria have been met. For sales of product upgrades and accessories to distributors, revenue is recognized on either a sell-through or sell-in basis, depending upon the terms of the purchase order or signed quotation and once all revenue recognition criteria have been met.

The Company's agreements with customers and distributors for system sales generally do not contain product return rights. Certain distributor agreements include parts inventory buy-back provisions upon distributorship termination. The Company accrues an inventory buy-back liability when and if such distributorship termination is expected.

Table of Contents

Product Revenue

The majority of our product revenue is generated from sales of the systems. The Company sells its systems with PCS contracts that provide for upgrades when and if they become available, training points and at times, professional services. The amount of arrangement fee allocated to products is determined by application of the relative selling price method for all elements in the arrangement for arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after July 1, 2010, or by using the residual method for arrangements entered into on or before June 30, 2010. If the Company is responsible for installation, the Company recognizes revenue only after installation and acceptance of the system. Otherwise, revenue is recognized upon delivery.

Service Revenue

Our service revenue is generated primarily from warranty services, post warranty services, installation services, unspecified when and if available product upgrades, training, and professional services. Warranty and post warranty service revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the service period, generally 12-18 months, until no further obligation exists. Warranty service period starts upon product acceptance. Training and consulting service revenues that are not deemed essential to the functionality of the Systems are recognized as such services are performed. Installation service revenue is recognized concurrent with system revenue.

Costs associated with providing services are expensed when incurred, except when those costs are related to system upgrades where revenue recognition has been deferred. In those cases, the costs are deferred and are recognized over the period of revenue recognition.

Other revenue

Other revenue primarily consists of research and development and construction contract revenues.

Shared ownership program

The Company also enters into arrangements under its shared ownership program with certain customers. Agreements under the shared ownership program typically have a term of five years, during which the customer has the option to purchase the system, either at the end of the contractual period or in advance, at the customer's request, at pre-determined prices. Under the terms of such program, the Company retains title to its system, while the customer has use of the product. The Company generally receives a minimum monthly payment and earns additional revenues from the customer based upon its use of the product. The Company may provide unspecified upgrades to the product during the term of each program when and if available. Upfront non-refundable payments and minimum monthly payments from the customer are recognized as revenue over the contractual period. Additional revenues beyond the minimum payments from the shared ownership program are recorded as they become earned and receivable and are included within shared ownership program revenues, which are included in products revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Future minimum revenues under shared ownership arrangements as of September 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2012 (remaining 9 months)	\$ 206
2013	413
2014	594
2015	594
2016	594
Thereafter	594
Total	\$ 2,995

Under the terms of the shared ownership program, the customer has the option to purchase a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System at pre-determined prices based on the period the system has been in use and considering the lease payments already received. Revenue from such sales is recorded in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policy, taking into account the PCS and any other elements that might be sold as part of the arrangement. At September 30, 2011, the Company had three systems installed under its shared ownership program. There were no sales of CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems that were formerly under the shared ownership program during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems associated with the Company's shared ownership program are recorded within property and equipment. Depreciation and warranty expenses attributable to the CyberKnife shared ownership systems are recorded within cost of products.

Long-term construction and manufacturing contracts

The Company recognizes revenue and cost of revenue related to long-term construction and manufacturing contracts using contract accounting on the percentage-of-completion or the completed contract method. The Company recognizes such revenue under other revenue and cost of such revenue under cost of other. Any loss provision identified from the total contract in the period is recorded as an increase to cost of revenue.

Deferred Revenue and Deferred Cost of Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of deferred product revenue, deferred shared ownership program revenue, deferred service revenue and deferred other revenue. Deferred product revenue arises from timing differences between the shipment of product and satisfaction of all revenue recognition criteria consistent with the Company's revenue recognition policy. Deferred shared ownership program revenue results from the receipt of advance payments that will be recognized ratably over the term of the shared ownership program. Deferred service revenue results from the advance payment for services to be delivered over a period of time, usually one year. Service revenue is recognized ratably over the service period. Deferred cost of revenue consists of the direct costs associated with the manufacturing of units and direct service costs for which the revenue has been deferred in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policies. Deferred revenue, and associated deferred cost of revenue, expected to be realized within one year are classified as current liabilities and current assets, respectively.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis or when impairment indicators are present. In the first step of the analysis, the Company's assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are assigned to the identified reporting units to determine the carrying value of the reporting units. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is in excess of its fair value, an impairment may exist, and the Company must perform the second step of the analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

The fair value of the reporting unit is determined using the market approach. Under the market approach, the Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit based on the Company's closing stock price on the trading day closest to the annual review date multiplied by the outstanding shares on that date. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired and no further analysis is required. Through September 30, 2011, there have been no such impairment losses. Purchased intangible assets other than goodwill, including developed technology, in-process research and development and backlog, are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives unless their lives are determined to be indefinite. Purchased intangible assets are carried at cost, less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets which range from approximately one to six years.

Business Combinations

In fiscal 2011, the Company applied ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, and accounted for the acquisition of TomoTherapy using the acquisition method of accounting. The underlying principles are similar to the previous accounting guidance and require that the Company recognize separately from goodwill the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, generally at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of consideration transferred and the net of the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. While the Company uses its best estimates and assumptions as a part of the purchase price allocation process to accurately value assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date, its estimates are inherently uncertain and subject to refinement. As a result, during the measurement period, which may be up to one year from the acquisition date, the Company may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period or final determination of the values of assets acquired or liabilities assumed, whichever comes first, any subsequent adjustments, if any, are recorded to the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Transaction costs and costs to restructure the acquired company are expensed as incurred. The operating results of the acquired company are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements after the date of the merger or acquisition.

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation by measuring and recognizing the fair value of all share-based payment awards made to employees based on the estimated grant date fair values, including employee stock options, restricted stock units (RSUs), restricted stock awards (RSAs), performance stock units (PSUs) and the employee stock based purchase plan (ESPP). The determination of fair value involves a number of significant estimates. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the value of employee share-based awards which requires a number of assumptions to determine the model inputs. These include the expected volatility of the Company's stock, the expected term of the share-based award, the expected risk free rate of interest and dividend yields. As share-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, the expense is recorded net of estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. As the Company has been operating as a public company for a period of time that is shorter than its estimated expected option term, the Company concluded that its historical price volatility does not provide a reasonable basis for input assumptions within its Black-Scholes valuation model when determining the fair value of its stock options. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of a peer group of publicly traded companies. The Company continues to use the simplified method for the estimated term of the awards. Management's estimate of forfeitures is based on historical experience, but actual forfeitures could differ materially as a result of voluntary employee actions which could result in a significant change in future share-based compensation expense. See Note 9, Share-Based Compensation for additional information.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company is required to estimate its income taxes in each of the tax jurisdictions in which it operates prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process involves estimating actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences in the treatment of items for tax purposes versus financial accounting purposes that may create net deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires, among other things, that deferred income taxes be provided for temporary differences between the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and their financial statement reported amounts. In addition, deferred tax assets are recorded for the future benefit of utilizing net operating losses, research and development credit carryforwards and temporary differences.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount the Company believes is more likely than not to be realized. Because of the uncertainty of the realization of the deferred tax assets, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its domestic and certain foreign net deferred tax assets.

The calculation of unrecognized tax benefits involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. Management regularly assesses the Company's tax positions in light of legislative, bilateral tax treaty, regulatory and judicial developments in the countries in which the Company does business. As of September 30, 2011, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$14.8 million, all of which would affect the Company's effective tax rate if realized. The Company recognizes interest income and interest expense and penalties on tax overpayments and underpayments within income tax expense. As of September 30, 2011, the Company had accrued a net \$0.4 million payable for interest and penalties. The Company anticipates that except for \$0.2 million in uncertain tax positions that may be reduced related to the lapse of various statutes of limitation, there will be no material changes in uncertain tax positions in the next 12 months.

Net Loss Per Common Share

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted- average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and other dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. The potential dilutive shares of the Company's common stock resulting from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the vesting of RSUs, RSAs and PSUs, and the purchase of ESPP shares are determined under the treasury stock method.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, outstanding options of 8,190,882, RSUs of 1,061,459, RSAs of 40,163 and PSUs of 529,851 were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, outstanding options of 6,070,721 and RSUs of 160,116 were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

The 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share if their inclusion is dilutive under the if-converted method. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the potential dilutive shares under the 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**Segment Information**

The Company has determined that it operates in only one segment, as it only reports profit and loss information on an aggregate basis to its chief operating decision maker. The Company's long-lived assets maintained outside the United States are not material. Revenue by geographic region is based on the shipping addresses of the Company's customers. The following summarizes revenue by geographic region (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	
Americas (including Puerto Rico)	\$	48,849	\$	23,071
Europe		28,615		9,950
Asia (excluding Japan)		16,157		3,332
Japan		6,830		1,715
Total	\$	100,451	\$	38,068

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, applicable for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance allows an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment for a reporting unit. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the quantitative two-step impairment test is unnecessary. Early adoption is permitted for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests if an entity's financial statements for the most recent interim period have not yet been issued. The Company does not expect that adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, to require an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. ASU 2011-05 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 and should be applied retrospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption of ASU 2011-05 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. Alliance Agreement

In June 2010, the Company entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement with Siemens AG, or the Alliance Agreement, pursuant to which (1) the Company granted Siemens certain distribution rights to its CyberKnife Systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain Accuray technology into certain of its linear accelerator (linac) products, the combined products being known as the Cayman Products, and (3) the Company created a research and development relationship between Accuray and Siemens for the pursuit and implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities in the future. Siemens' right to distribute the CyberKnife System under this agreement remains unchanged, though sales activity to date under the Agreement has not been material. The Company believes that as a result of its acquisition of TomoTherapy, the elements of the

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Agreement described in sections (2) and (3) above are unlikely to develop further. Under the Alliance Agreement, both Siemens and the Company had the right to terminate the Alliance Agreement on written notice within 60 days following the acquisition of or by either party by specified competitors. On August 3, 2011, the Company entered into an Amendment to the Agreement with Siemens, which provides that each of the Company's and Siemens' right to terminate the Agreement as a result of the acquisition of TomoTherapy by the Company is extended until December 31, 2011 in order to allow the Company and Siemens to evaluate the impact of the TomoTherapy acquisition on the arrangements created by the Agreement. There can be no assurance that the strategic alliance with Siemens AG will be successful or that the economic terms of the Alliance Agreement will ultimately prove to be favorable to the Company or that Siemens will not terminate the Alliance Agreement as a result of the Company's acquisition of TomoTherapy.

Table of Contents**4. Comprehensive Loss**

The components of total comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Net loss	\$ (26,510)	\$ (4,640)
Unrealized gain on investmnets		28
Foreign currency translation adjustments	835	89
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (25,675)	\$ (4,523)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011 consisted of accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments. No comprehensive loss at September 30, 2011 was attributable to CPAC.

5. Balance Sheet Components**Accounts receivable, net**

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
Accounts receivable	\$ 77,282	\$ 59,858
Unbilled fees and services	1,697	2,319
	78,979	62,177
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,066)	(324)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 77,913	\$ 61,853

Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
-------------------------------	--------------------------

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Raw materials	\$	59,445	\$	60,309
Work-in-process		8,751		10,002
Finished goods		15,122		27,525
Total inventories	\$	83,318	\$	97,836

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

		September 30, 2011		June 30, 2011
Furniture and fixtures	\$	5,554	\$	5,317
Computer and office equipment		8,429		8,280
Software		8,357		8,107
Leasehold improvements		15,682		15,386
Machinery and equipment		34,072		33,692
CyberKnife shared ownership systems		4,923		4,923
Construction in progress		514		602
		77,531		76,307
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(35,568)		(31,484)
Property and equipment, net	\$	41,963	\$	44,823

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$4.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Accumulated depreciation related to the CyberKnife systems attributable to the shared ownership program as of September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011 was \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Activity related to goodwill consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		Year Ended June 30, 2011	
Balance at beginning of period	\$	54,474	\$	4,495
Addition related to acquisition				49,979
Addition related to prior year acquisition (1)		1,384		
Balance at end of period	\$	55,858	\$	54,474

(1) The addition to goodwill represents an additional liability incurred as part of the TomoTherapy acquisition.

Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Useful Lives (in years)	September 30, 2011			June 30, 2011		
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Developed technology	6	\$ 43,455	\$ (3,874)	\$ 39,581	\$ 43,455	\$ (2,069)	\$ 41,386
Backlog	1.25	10,500	(2,567)	7,933	10,500	(467)	10,033
Distributor license	2.5	1,860	(222)	1,638	1,860	(40)	1,820
In-process research and development (CPAC)	Indefinite	12,800		12,800	12,800		12,800
		\$ 68,615	\$ (6,663)	\$ 61,952	\$ 68,615	\$ (2,576)	\$ 66,039

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$4.1 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets, excluding in-process research and development, as of September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2012 (remaining nine months)	\$ 15,689
2013	7,666
2014	7,116
2015	6,933
2016	6,933
Thereafter	4,815
	\$ 49,152

Table of Contents

7. Contingencies

Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Currently, management believes the Company does not have any probable and estimable loss related to any current legal proceedings and claims that would individually or in the aggregate materially adversely affect its financial condition or operating results. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and is subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond the Company's control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, the Company could incur significant charges related to legal matters which could have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Accuray Securities Litigation

On July 22, 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers. On August 7, 2009 and August 9, 2009, two securities class action complaints, both similar to the one filed on July 22, 2009, were filed against the same defendants in the same court. These three actions were consolidated. The consolidated complaint generally alleges that the Company and the individual defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding its operations and seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On August 31, 2010, the Court granted defendants motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint and granted plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. On September 27, 2010, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint names the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors as defendants and generally alleges that the defendants made false or misleading public statements regarding its operations. The amended complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On April 28, 2011, the parties filed a stipulation of settlement with the court, providing for the settlement of the litigation for a payment of \$13.5 million which will be covered by insurance. The court preliminarily approved the settlement on June 10, 2011. A hearing on the terms of the settlement was held on September 1, 2011. A final judgment is expected in November of this year.

Litigation relating to the TomoTherapy Acquisition

On March 11, 2011, a purported class action complaint was filed in the Circuit Court for the State of Wisconsin, Dane County, on behalf of a putative class of TomoTherapy shareholders and naming as defendants TomoTherapy and TomoTherapy's board of directors (prior to the acquisition of TomoTherapy by the Company). Thereafter, four additional complaints were filed in the same court on behalf of the same class and against the same defendants, and two such complaints also named the Company and Jaguar Acquisition, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub). On April 4, 2011, all five actions were consolidated. The complaints generally alleged that, in connection with the Company's then proposed merger transaction with TomoTherapy, TomoTherapy's board breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, failing to maximize the value of TomoTherapy to its shareholders and purportedly agreeing to certain terms in the merger agreement, which were allegedly preclusive and onerous. The complaints further alleged that the Company and Merger Sub aided and abetted TomoTherapy's board of directors in their alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The plaintiffs sought, among other things, an injunction barring consummation of the merger, rescission or recessionary damages, costs and attorneys' fees. The Company and Merger Sub were dismissed from the litigation without prejudice on April 19, 2011. The consolidated complaint against TomoTherapy and the former directors of TomoTherapy was dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party on July 5, 2011.

Best Medical Trade Secret Litigation

On September 3, 2009, Best Medical International, Inc. ("Best Medical") filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming it induced certain individuals to leave the employment of Best Medical and join the Company in order to gain access to Best Medical's confidential information and trade secrets. Best Medical is seeking monetary damages and other relief. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment on May 20, 2011, Best Medical filed its response on June 21, 2011, and the Company filed a response to their response on July 8, 2011. On October 25, 2011, the court granted summary judgment in favor of the Company on all counts. If Best Medical wishes to appeal the judgment, it must file its notice of appeal on or before November 25, 2011.

Best Medical Patent Litigation

On August 6, 2010, Best Medical filed an additional lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming it has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619, a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. On December 2, 2010, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss, with leave to amend. On December 16, 2010, Best Medical filed an amended complaint, claiming that the Company also infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,038,283 and 7,266,175, both of which Best Medical alleges cover methods and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. On March 9, 2011, the Court dismissed with prejudice all counts against the Company, except for two counts of alleged willful infringement of two of the patents. The Court issued a Scheduling Order on May 12, 2011 appointing a special

Table of Contents

master for claim construction, and setting a claim construction hearing on January 10, 2012. Best Medical moved to voluntarily dismiss one of the two remaining patent claims on June 28, 2011, which the court granted on June 30, 2011, leaving only one patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,038,283) at issue in the case. On September 1, 2011, the Court modified its Scheduling Order, setting a claim construction hearing on January 24-25, 2012. Best Medical is seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief. At this time, the Company does not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

TomoTherapy Former Distributor in Japan

On July 17, 2009, Hi-Art Co., Ltd. (Hi-Art), TomoTherapy's former distributor in Japan, filed a complaint against TomoTherapy in the Tokyo District Court seeking compensation it claimed was owed by TomoTherapy. The Company and Hi-Art entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay 190,000,000 yen (or approximately \$2.3 million) and Hi-Art dropped all claims against TomoTherapy and the Company. On July 26, 2011, the Court approved the settlement and issued a decree dismissing the case. The settlement amount was paid during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011.

Rotary Systems

On April 28, 2011, a former supplier to TomoTherapy, Rotary Systems Incorporated, filed suit in Minnesota state court, Tenth Judicial District, Anoka County, against TomoTherapy alleging misappropriation of trade secrets, as well as several other counts alleging various theories of injury. Rotary Systems alleges TomoTherapy misappropriated Rotary Systems' trade secrets pertaining to a component previously purchased from Rotary Systems, which component TomoTherapy now purchases from a different supplier. The suit alleges TomoTherapy improperly supplied the alleged trade secrets to its present supplier, Dynamic Sealing Technologies Inc. (also a named defendant in the suit). Rotary Systems has made an unspecified claim for damages of greater than \$50,000. TomoTherapy moved to dismiss the case in June 2011, and on August 29, 2011, the court granted the motion to dismiss with respect to all counts other than the count alleging misappropriation of trade secrets. At this time, we do not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

Radiation Stabilization Solutions Patent Litigation

On September 15, 2011, Radiation Stabilization Solutions, LLC filed a patent infringement complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. The complaint, alleged the Company's sale of our TomoHD product induces infringement of or contributorily infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,118,848, or the '848 Patent, and sought unspecified monetary damages for the alleged infringement. The complaint also named Varian Medical Systems, Inc., BrainLab AG, BrainLab, Inc., Elekta AB and Elekta, Inc. as defendants, alleging that certain of their products also infringe the '848 patent. On October 27, 2011, the Court dismissed the complaint without prejudice because non-resident defendants had been improperly named in the complaint. On October 28, 2011, Radiation Stabilization Solutions filed a new complaint in the same district against the Company and a customer of the Company. The new complaint, which has not yet been served on the Company, repeats the original complaint's allegations against the Company, further alleges that the customer directly and indirectly infringes the '848 patent, and seeks unspecified monetary damages for the alleged infringement. Radiation Stabilization Solutions also re-filed individual suits against each of Varian and Elekta and several of their respective customers, but has not re-filed a complaint against BrainLab. At this time, we do not have enough information to estimate what, if any, financial impact this claim will have.

Software License Indemnity

Under the terms of the Company's software license agreements with its customers, the Company agrees that in the event the software sold infringes upon any patent, copyright, trademark, or any other proprietary right of a third party, it will indemnify its customer licensees against any loss, expense, or liability from any damages that may be awarded against its customer. The Company includes this infringement indemnification in all of its software license agreements and selected managed services arrangements. In the event the customer cannot use the software or service due to infringement and the Company cannot obtain the right to use, replace or modify the license or service in a commercially feasible manner so that it no longer infringes, then the Company may terminate the license and provide the customer a refund of the fees paid by the customer for the infringing license or service. The Company has recorded no liability associated with this indemnification, as it is not aware of any pending or threatened actions that represent probable losses as of September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**8. Acquisition**

On June 10, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of TomoTherapy by acquiring all of TomoTherapy's common stock in exchange for cash and shares of Accuray common stock. TomoTherapy is a creator of advanced radiation therapy solutions for cancer care. The objective of the acquisition is to create a company that can provide patients with radiation treatments tailored to their specific needs, from high-precision radiosurgery to image-guided, intensity-modulated radiation therapy. The Company has included the financial results of TomoTherapy in its condensed consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.

The total purchase price for TomoTherapy was approximately \$248.0 million and was comprised of the following (in thousands):

Cash	\$	174,178
Common stock issued (9,112,511 shares)		67,341
Stock options assumed (1,539,255 shares)		2,234
RSAs assumed (429,591 shares)		4,270
	\$	248,023

The unaudited pro forma results presented below include the effects of pro forma adjustments as if TomoTherapy was acquired on July 1, 2009. The nonrecurring pro forma adjustments are primarily the result of fair value adjustments to intangible assets, inventory, fixed assets and deferred revenue. The pro forma financial results do not include any anticipated synergies or other expected benefits of the acquisition. The table below is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of future operations or results that would have been achieved had the acquisition been completed as of July 1, 2009 (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 (unaudited)	
Net revenue	\$	79,853
Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$	(22,318)
Diluted loss per share	\$	(0.33)

9. Share-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes the share-based compensation charges included in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	
Cost of revenue	\$	558	\$	463
Selling and marketing		229		244
Research and development		602		674

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

General and administrative	1,220	1,115
	\$ 2,609	\$ 2,496

At September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, capitalized share-based compensation costs of \$0.3 million were included as components of inventories.

10. Debt

On August 1, 2011, the Company issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016, (the Notes) to certain qualified institutional buyers or QIBs. The Notes were offered and sold to the QIBs pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the initial purchaser's discount and commission and the related offering costs, were approximately \$96.1 million. The offering costs and the initial purchaser's discount and commission (which are recorded in Other Assets) are both being amortized to interest

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

expense using the effective interest method over five years. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 3.75% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on February 1, 2012. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2016, unless earlier repurchased, redeemed or converted.

The Notes were issued under the Indenture between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Notes are convertible, as described below, at the Company's election, into common stock of the Company, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. Holders of the Notes may convert their Notes at any time on or after May 1, 2016 until the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date. Prior to May 1, 2016, holders of the Notes may convert their Notes only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter after the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2011, and only during such calendar quarter, if the closing sale price of the Company's common stock for each of 20 or more trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds 130% of the conversion price in effect on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter; (2) during the five consecutive business days immediately after any five consecutive trading-day period (such five consecutive trading-day period, the "Note Measurement Period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for each trading day of that Note Measurement Period was equal to or less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of shares of the Company's common stock and the applicable conversion rate for such trading day; (3) if the Company calls any or all of the Notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the redemption date; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions as described in the Indenture.

Holders of the Notes who convert their Notes in connection with a make-whole fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, may be entitled to a make-whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate. Additionally, in the event of a fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, holders of the Notes may require the Company to purchase all or a portion of their Notes at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the fundamental change repurchase date.

On or after August 1, 2014 and prior to the maturity date, the Company may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes if the closing sale price of its common stock exceeds 130% of the applicable conversion price (the initial conversion price is approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock) of such Notes for at least 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading-day period (including the last trading day of such period).

In accordance with ASC 470-20 *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, the Company separately accounts for the liability and equity conversion components of the Notes. The principal amount of the liability component of the Notes was \$75.9 million as of date of issuance, which was recognized at the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 10%, our approximate borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity conversion component was \$24.1 million. A portion of the initial purchaser's discount and commission and the offering costs totaling \$0.9 million was allocated to the equity conversion component. The liability component will be accreted to the principal amount of the Notes using the effective interest method over five years.

The following table presents the carrying value of the Notes as of September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Carrying amount of the equity conversion component	\$	23,189
Principal amount of the Notes	\$	100,000

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Unamortized debt discount (1)		(23,491)
Net carrying amount	\$	76,509

(1) As of September 30, 2011, the remaining period over which the unamortized debt discount will be amortized is 58 months.

A summary of interest expense and interest rate on the liability component related to the Notes for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

Effective interest rate		10.0%
Interest expense related to contractual interest coupon	\$	625
Interest expense related to amortization of debt discount		639
Total interest expense recognized	\$	1,264

Table of Contents

11. Investment in CPAC

During April 2008, TomoTherapy established a new affiliate, CPAC, to develop a compact proton therapy system for the treatment of cancer. CPAC's investors include TomoTherapy, private investors and potential customers.

TomoTherapy contributed intellectual property with a fair market value of approximately \$1.9 million as its investment in CPAC. CPAC raised additional capital of \$6.6 million and \$6.9 million during 2010 and 2009, respectively, through the sale of stock. As of September 30, 2011, the Company's ownership interest in CPAC was 5.5%. Although TomoTherapy's ownership in CPAC is less than 50%, the Company includes CPAC in its condensed consolidated financial statements because TomoTherapy is the primary beneficiary of CPAC due to its overall control of CPAC's activities and TomoTherapy's option to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by other CPAC investors. CPAC's outside stockholders' interests are shown in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as Noncontrolling interests.

In December, 2010, TomoTherapy and certain other CPAC investors purchased convertible promissory notes from CPAC. Under the terms of the notes, TomoTherapy received warrants for 1,386,983 common shares of CPAC. Total consideration for the notes TomoTherapy purchased was \$0.8 million. Other participating investors purchased \$0.8 million of the convertible promissory notes and received warrants for an aggregate of 1,386,981 shares of CPAC. The convertible promissory notes to the other participating investors in CPAC are included in Other accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. The notes bear interest at 12% and are convertible into CPAC's common stock at a per share conversion price as defined in the notes. The CPAC warrants are exercisable through November 2020 at an exercise price of \$0.57 per CPAC common share. At September 30, 2011, no notes had been converted and no warrants had been exercised.

On March 9, 2011, TomoTherapy entered into a revolving promissory note with CPAC. On May 10, 2011, the revolving promissory note was amended to increase the maximum amount available to borrow to \$1.9 million. As of September 30, 2011, \$1.9 million was outstanding under the revolving promissory note. The revolving promissory note bears interest at 12% per annum compounded quarterly. The revolving promissory note expires and all amounts become due on the earlier of December 31, 2011, a transaction involving a change of control, or an event of default.

On September 13, 2011 and October 18, 2011, Accuray and certain other CPAC investors purchased convertible promissory notes from CPAC. Total consideration for the notes Accuray purchased was \$0.4 million. The other investors purchased a total of \$0.4 million of the convertible promissory notes. The convertible promissory notes held by the other investors are included in Other accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. The convertible promissory notes issued in September and October 2011 bear interest at 12% per annum and are convertible upon the earlier of (a) a voluntary conversion, at a conversion price agreed by CPAC and holders of notes having at least 70% of the aggregate outstanding principal balance, and (b) an automatic conversion, simultaneously with the closing of CPAC's next financing of a specified amount, at a specified per share conversion price.

In addition to the relationships described above, TomoTherapy also has a contractual agreement to provide certain accounting and back office support and management services to CPAC. Also, Accuray may provide additional financial support to CPAC in the future. Settlements of CPAC's obligations are restricted to the assets of CPAC, and creditors and beneficial interest holders of CPAC have no contractual recourse to TomoTherapy or the Company.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition as of September 30, 2011 and results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including, but not limited to, statements regarding the extent and timing of future revenues and expenses, statements regarding reimbursement rates, statements regarding regulatory requirements, statements regarding future orders, statements regarding the cancer treatment market, statements regarding our strategy, statements regarding our strategic alliance with Siemens AG, statements regarding our products, statements regarding revenues, earnings or other financial results, statements regarding intellectual property rights, statements regarding the acquisition of TomoTherapy (including our expected timing for the acquisition to be accretive) and other statements using words such as anticipates, believes, could, estimates, expects, forecasts, intends, may, plans, projects, should, will and would, and words of similar import and the negatives thereof. Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements on the basis of several factors, including those that we discuss in Risk Factors, set forth in Part II, Item 1A of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. We encourage you to read that section carefully. We urge you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report and are subject to business and economic risks. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition of our business. Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by, which such performance or results will be achieved. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect any event or circumstance that arises after the date of this report.

In this report, Accuray, the Company, we, us, and our refer to Accuray Incorporated and its subsidiaries.

Overview

Products and Markets

We believe we are the premier radiation oncology company based on our history of rapid innovation and our leading edge technologies designed specifically to deliver radiosurgery, stereotactic body radiation therapy, intensity modulated radiation therapy, image guided radiation therapy, and adaptive radiation therapy that is tailored to the specific needs of each patient. Our suite of products includes the CyberKnife® System and the TomoTherapy® System. The systems are highly complementary offerings, serving distinct patient populations treated by the same medical specialty.

The CyberKnife Systems are robotic systems designed to deliver radiosurgery treatments to cancer tumors anywhere in the body. They are the only dedicated, full body radiosurgery systems on the market. Radiosurgery is an alternative to traditional surgery for tumors and is performed on an outpatient basis in one to five treatment sessions. It allows for the treatment of patients who otherwise would not be treated with radiation, who may not be good candidates for surgery, or who desire non-surgical treatments. The use of radiosurgery with CyberKnife Systems to treat tumors throughout the body has grown significantly in recent years, but currently represents only a small portion of the patients who develop tumors treatable with CyberKnife Systems. A determination of when it may or may not be appropriate to use a CyberKnife System for treatment is at the discretion of the treating physician and depends on the specific patient. Given, however, the CyberKnife Systems' design to treat focal tumors, the CyberKnife Systems are generally not used to treat (1) very large tumors, which are considerably wider than the radiation beam that

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

can be delivered by CyberKnife Systems, (2) diffuse, wide-spread disease, as is often the case for late stage cancers, because they are not localized (though CyberKnife Systems might be used to treat a focal area of the disease) and (3) systemic disease, like leukemias and lymphomas, which are not localized to an organ, but rather involve cells throughout the body.

We believe that the long term success of the CyberKnife System is dependent on a number of factors including the following:

- Change in medical practice to utilize radiosurgery more regularly as an alternative to surgery or other treatments;
- Greater awareness among doctors and patients of the benefits of radiosurgery with the CyberKnife System;
- Continued evolution in clinical studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the use of the CyberKnife System to treat tumors in various parts of the body;

Table of Contents

- Continued advances in technology which improve the quality of treatments and ease of use of the CyberKnife System;
- Improved access to radiosurgery with the CyberKnife System in various countries through regulatory approvals and / or medical insurance reimbursement rates; and
- Expansion of sales of CyberKnife Systems in countries throughout the world.

The TomoTherapy Systems are advanced, fully integrated and versatile radiation therapy systems for the treatment of a wide range of cancer types. We began selling TomoTherapy Systems after our acquisition of TomoTherapy Incorporated on June 10, 2011. Radiation therapy is used in a variety of ways, often to treat tissue surrounding a tumor area after surgical removal of the tumor and also as the primary treatment for tumors. Radiation therapy treatments impact both cancer cells as well as healthy tissue; therefore the total prescribed radiation dose is divided into many fractions and delivered in an average of 25 to 35 treatment sessions over several weeks. Radiation therapy has been widely available and used in developed countries for decades, though many developing countries do not currently have a sufficient number of linacs to adequately treat their domestic cancer patient populations. The number of radiation therapy systems in use and sold each year is currently many times larger than the number of radiosurgery systems. Large companies, including Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Elekta AB and Siemens AG, generate most sales in this market. While the market for radiation therapy systems is very large and well established, growth in demand for radiation therapy system is generally considered to be lower than for radiosurgery systems. We believe the TomoTherapy Systems offer clinicians and patients significant benefits over other radiation therapy systems in the market. We believe our ability to capture more sales in this established market will be influenced by a number of factors including the following:

- Greater awareness among doctors and patients of the benefits of radiation therapy using TomoTherapy Systems;
- Advances in technology which improve the quality of treatments and ease of use of TomoTherapy Systems; and
- Expansion of TomoTherapy System sales in countries throughout the world.

Sale of Our Products

Generating revenue from the sale of our systems is a lengthy process. Selling our systems, from first contact with a potential customer to a complete order, generally spans six months to two years and involves personnel with multiple skills. The time from receipt of a complete order to revenue recognition is governed generally by the time required by the customer to build, renovate or prepare the treatment room for installation of the system. This time varies significantly, generally from 6 to 24 months.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

In the United States, we sell to customers, including hospitals and stand-alone treatment facilities, directly through our sales organization. Outside the United States, we sell to customers in over 80 countries directly and through distributors. We have sales and service offices in France, Belgium, Germany, England, Spain, Turkey, Russia, India, Japan, Hong Kong, China and Singapore. The following table shows the number of systems installed by geographic region as of September 30, 2011:

Americas	360
Asia	116
Europe	122
Total	598

International sales of our products account for a significant and growing portion of our total revenue. Revenue derived from sales outside of the United States was \$51.6 million and \$15.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and international sales as a percentage of our total revenue was 51% and 39% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in international revenue resulted from the inclusion of sales of TomoTherapy products and services in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the first full quarter since the acquisition of TomoTherapy closed on June 10, 2011.

Backlog

Beginning in fiscal 2012 (the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011), we are reporting backlog in a manner that is common for all of our products.

- Products: Orders for systems, upgrades, and our shared ownership program will be reported in backlog, excluding amounts attributable to warranty service, training and installation.

Table of Contents

- Service: Orders for service, warranty, installation, training and other recurring revenues will not be reported in backlog. Previously, orders for service were reported in backlog for CyberKnife Systems but not for TomoTherapy Systems.

For orders that cover both products and services, only the portion of the order that will be recognized as product revenue will be reported as backlog. The portion of the order that will be recognized as service revenue (for example, warranty service, installation and training) will not be included in reported backlog. Additionally, orders for TomoTherapy that met the historical TomoTherapy backlog criteria have been grandfathered into, and are included in, our backlog, with the exception of orders that would have aged out as of June 30, 2011. TomoTherapy previously did not have an age out criteria, so we have adjusted the TomoTherapy backlog to age out orders where 2.5 years have passed from the time the order entered TomoTherapy's backlog. As of September 30, 2011, product only backlog was \$270.8 million in total. These amounts are calculated based on the criteria set forth below and therefore are not comparable to backlog amounts previously reported for CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, which were calculated using different criteria. Accordingly, we have not included, for comparison purposes, backlog amounts for the three months ended September 30, 2010 for either CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, due to the changes in methodology.

Beginning with July 1, 2011, in order for the product portion of a sales agreement to be counted as backlog, it must meet the following criteria:

- The contract is signed and properly executed by both the customer and us. A customer purchase order that is signed and incorporates the terms of our contract quote will be considered equivalent to a signed and executed contract;
- The contract is non-contingent it either has cleared all its contingencies or contains no contingencies when signed;
- We have received a deposit or a letter of credit; the sale is a direct channel sale to a government entity, or the product has shipped to a customer with credit sufficient to cover the deposit;
- The specific end customer site has been identified by the customer in the written contract or written amendment; and
- Less than 2.5 years have passed since the contract met all the criteria above.

Although our backlog includes only contractual agreements from our customers to purchase CyberKnife Systems or TomoTherapy Systems, we cannot make assurances that we will convert backlog into recognized revenue due to factors outside our control including without limitation, changes in customers' needs, changes in reimbursement, changes to regulatory requirements, or other cancellation of orders.

Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

In connection with our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011, we identified a material weakness relating to our accounting for significant, non-routine transactions. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, our efforts to remediate the previously reported material weakness in internal controls over financial reporting consisted of the following corrective actions:

- We began actively recruiting for several positions within the finance function, which will provide us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner.
- We implemented a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

Although we have taken measures to remediate the previously reported material weakness mentioned above, as well as other significant deficiencies and control deficiencies, we cannot assure you that we have identified all, or that we will not in the future have additional material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and control deficiencies.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations***Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2010***Net Revenue**

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Variance	Variance in Percent
	2011		2010			
Products	\$	56,174	\$	19,916	\$ 36,258	182%
Services		43,401		17,734	25,667	145%
Other		876		418	458	110%
Net Revenue	\$	100,451	\$	38,068	\$ 62,383	164%

Total net revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased \$62.4 million from the three months ended September 30, 2010 to \$100.5 million. This increase was due to the addition of \$69.3 million of revenue related to our TomoTherapy products, less a decline of \$6.9 million in revenue related to our CyberKnife products due to fewer unit sales and a change in mix of products sold. We acquired TomoTherapy on June 10, 2011, therefore our results for the period ended September 30, 2010 did not include any revenues or cost of revenues related to TomoTherapy products.

The increase in product revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the comparable period in 2010 was due to \$44.9 million related to TomoTherapy products, less a decline of \$8.6 million related to CyberKnife products. The increase in service revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the comparable period in 2010 was due to \$23.6 million related to TomoTherapy service revenue plus an increase of \$2.0 million related to CyberKnife service revenue. In accordance with purchase accounting standards, a number of adjustments were recorded to the value of assets and liabilities of TomoTherapy as of the closing of the acquisition on June 10, 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, \$5.1 million of the write-up of deferred service revenue was recognized as service revenue. We anticipate the balance of this write-up will be recognized as service revenue through the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

We expect our service revenue to increase as our installed base continues to grow.

Gross Profit

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	
	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)	(Dollars in thousands)	(% of net revenue)
Gross profit	\$ 24,428	24.3%	\$ 18,237	47.9%
Products	\$ 17,801	31.7%	\$ 12,419	62.4%
Services	\$ 6,052	13.9%	\$ 5,934	33.5%

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Other	\$	575	65.6%	\$	(116)	-27.8%
-------	----	-----	-------	----	-------	--------

Our gross profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 23.6 percentage points lower than during the three months ended September 30, 2010. This decline was due principally to the lower gross profit margin of 17% on TomoTherapy revenues included in our results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011. In addition, the gross profit margin on CyberKnife revenues declined by 7.2 percentage points due to low product shipments and a different product mix which resulted in lower average selling prices.

In accordance with purchase accounting standards, a number of adjustments were recorded to the value of assets and liabilities of TomoTherapy as of the closing of the acquisition on June 10, 2011. These included the write-up of inventory based on selling price rather than cost of manufacturing, the write-down of deferred product revenue, the write-up of deferred service revenue, and the recording of intangible assets related to developed technology and to backlog existing at the time of the acquisition. On the acquisition date, deferred service and product revenues were valued at cost plus a reasonable margin. Including the results of these and other purchase accounting adjustments, the results from the sale of TomoTherapy services for the three months ended September 30, 2011 reflect a negative gross margin. Also, the results from the sale of TomoTherapy products were negatively impacted by these purchase accounting adjustments during the same period. Products revenue was reduced by \$0.3 million while product cost of revenues was increased by \$11.4 million. Services revenue was increased by \$5.1 million and services cost of revenues was increased by \$2.4 million. We expect that the impact of the purchase accounting adjustments to inventory and deferred revenues will flow through our statement of operations from the date of the acquisition through the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents**Selling and Marketing**

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Variance	Variance in Percent
	2011	2010			
Sales and marketing	\$ 13,581	\$ 7,760	\$ 5,821		75%
Percentage of net revenue	13.5%	20.4%			

Selling and marketing expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased \$5.8 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was attributable in part to higher expense for employee related expenses and sales commissions of \$3.2 million, travel expense of \$1.1 million, consulting fees associated with the integration of TomoTherapy of \$0.6 million, and tradeshow expense of \$0.3 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred \$4.8 million of selling and marketing expenses from our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of employee related expenses and sales commissions of \$3.3 million, travel expense of \$0.7 million and tradeshow expense of \$0.3 million.

Research and Development

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Variance	Variance in Percent
	2011	2010			
Research and development	\$ 20,565	\$ 8,047	\$ 12,518		156%
Percentage of net revenue	20.5%	21.1%			

Research and development, or R&D, expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased \$12.5 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was attributable in part to higher employee related expense of \$7.7 million, increased spending for R&D projects of \$3.0 million and higher travel expense of \$0.4 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred \$10.2 million of R&D expenses from our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of employee related expenses of \$6.4 million and consulting expense of \$1.9 million.

General and Administrative

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Variance	Variance in Percent
	2011	2010			
General and administrative	\$ 14,969	\$ 8,559	\$ 6,410		75%
Percentage of net revenue	14.9%	22.5%			

General and administrative, or G&A, expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased \$6.4 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was attributable in part to consulting, accounting and legal fees of \$3.7 million, of which \$1.1 million was associated with the integration of TomoTherapy and increased employee related expense of \$2.2 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred \$2.5 million of G&A expenses from our TomoTherapy subsidiary consisting primarily of employee related expenses of \$1.9 million and consulting, accounting and legal fees of \$0.6 million.

Other Income (Expense), Net

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Variance	Variance in Percent
(Dollars in thousands)	2011		2010				
Other income (expense), net	\$	(2,858)	\$	1,616	\$	(4,474)	-277%
Percentage of net revenue		-2.8%		4.3%			

Other income (expense), net, was a \$2.9 million expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to net other income of \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred interest expense of \$1.4 million primarily related to our 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes and a foreign currency loss of \$1.5 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, our other income, net, consisted primarily of \$1.6 million related to foreign currency transaction gains as a result of the appreciation of the Euro-U.S. dollar foreign exchange rate and its effects on the re-measurement of balances and translation of transactions denominated in Euros.

Table of Contents**Provision for Incomes Taxes**

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Variance		Variance in Percent	
	2011		2010					
Provision for income taxes	\$	538	\$	127	\$	411		324%
Percentage of net revenue		0.5%		0.3%				

On a quarterly basis, we provide for income taxes based upon an estimated annual effective income tax rate. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded income tax expense of \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The increase was primarily related to an increase in corporate earnings of our foreign subsidiaries.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At September 30, 2011, we had \$140.2 million in cash and cash equivalents. Our existing cash and cash equivalents balances may decline in fiscal 2012 in the event of a weakening of the global economy or changes in our planned cash outlay. Cash from operations could also be affected by various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to the risks detailed in Part II, Item 1A titled Risk Factors. However, based on our current business plan and revenue prospects, we believe that we will have sufficient cash resources and anticipated cash flows to continue operations for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Flows From Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$51.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Our net loss of \$28.1 million contributed to the use of cash. Negative cash flow from working capital changes includes primarily a decrease in accrued liabilities of \$22.2 million, a decrease in accounts payable of \$14.0 million and an increase in account receivable of \$16.7 million, partially offset primarily by a decrease in inventory of \$11.5 million. Non-cash charges included \$2.6 million of share-based compensation, \$8.3 million of depreciation and amortization expense, the provision for write-down of inventories of \$1.6 million and accretion of interest on the Convertible Senior Notes of \$0.6 million.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$10.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Our net loss of \$4.6 million contributed to the use of cash. Negative cash flow from working capital changes includes primarily a decrease in accrued liabilities of \$3.6 million, a decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue of \$4.9 million, an increase in inventories of \$3.0 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$1.4 million. This was partially offset primarily by an increase in customer advances of \$2.5 million and a \$1.6 million decrease in accounts receivable. The decrease in deferred revenue, net of deferred cost of revenue, was primarily a result of the recognition of revenue previously deferred for systems sold under our Platinum plan, offset partially by differences between invoicing customers for products and services and the recognition of the invoicing as revenue. Non-cash charges included \$2.5 million of stock-based compensation and \$1.4 million of depreciation and amortization expense.

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, which consisted of \$1.4 million related to the acquisition of TomoTherapy and cash used for purchases of property and equipment of \$1.0 million.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$6.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, which was primarily attributable to net marketable security activities of \$7.4 million, which consisted of \$54.3 million of sale and maturity of marketable securities, offset by \$46.9 million in purchases, and \$1.3 million of cash used for purchases of property and equipment.

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$97.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. In August 2011, we issued 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016 for net proceeds of \$96.1 million. In addition, we received \$0.9 million attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock plans.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was attributable to proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock plans.

Table of Contents

Convertible Debt

On August 1, 2011, we issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016, (the "Notes") to certain qualified institutional buyers or QIBs. The Notes were offered and sold to the QIBs pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the initial purchaser's discount and commission and related offering costs were approximately \$96.1 million. The offering costs and the initial purchaser's discount and commission (which are recorded in Other Assets) are both being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over five years. The Notes bear interest at a rate of 3.75% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on February 1, 2012. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2016, unless earlier repurchased, redeemed or converted.

The Notes were issued under the Indenture between us and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Notes are convertible, as described below, at our election, into our common stock, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. Holders of the Notes may convert their Notes at any time on or after May 1, 2016 until the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date. Prior to May 1, 2016, holders of the Notes may convert their Notes only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter after the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2011, and only during such calendar quarter, if the closing sale price of our common stock for each of 20 or more trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds 130% of the conversion price in effect on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter; (2) during the five consecutive business days immediately after any five consecutive trading-day period (such five consecutive trading-day period, the "Note Measurement Period") in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes for each trading day of that Note Measurement Period was equal to or less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of shares of our common stock and the applicable conversion rate for such trading day; (3) if we call any or all of the Notes for redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the redemption date; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions as described in the Indenture.

Holders of the Notes, who convert their Notes in connection with a make-whole fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, may be entitled to a make-whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate. Additionally, in the event of a fundamental change, as defined in the Indenture, holders of the Notes may require us to purchase all or a portion of their Notes at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the fundamental change repurchase date.

On or after August 1, 2014 and prior to the maturity date, we may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes if the closing sale price of our common stock exceeds 130% of the applicable conversion price (the initial conversion price is approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock) of such Notes for at least 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading-day period (including the last trading day of such period).

In accordance with ASC 470-20 *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, we separately account for the liability and equity conversion components of the Notes. The principal amount of the liability component of the Notes was \$75.9 million as of date of issuance, which was recognized at the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 10%, our approximate borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity conversion component was \$24.1 million. A portion of the initial purchaser's discount and commission and the offering costs totaling \$0.9 million was allocated to the equity conversion component. The liability component will be accreted to the principal amount of the Notes using the effective interest method over five years.

Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous factors. These factors include but are not limited to the following:

- Revenue generated by sales of our products, our shared ownership program and service plans;
- Costs associated with our sales and marketing initiatives and manufacturing activities;
- Facilities, equipment and IT systems required to support current and future operations;
- Rate of progress and cost of our research and development activities;
- Costs of obtaining and maintaining FDA and other regulatory clearances of our products;
- Effects of competing technological and market developments;
- Number and timing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions; and
- Costs associated with the integration of TomoTherapy.

Table of Contents

If our cash and cash equivalents are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain additional credit facilities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. Additional financing may not be available at all, or in amounts or on terms acceptable to us. If we are unable to obtain this additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of our planned product development and marketing efforts.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

We presented our contractual obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the previous annual reporting period ended June 30, 2011. There have been no material changes outside of the ordinary course of business in those obligations during the current quarter.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, as well as revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates if actual conditions differ from our assumptions.

All of our significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements are described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies , in Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The methods, estimates and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies require us to make difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates regarding matters that are inherently uncertain. Management believes the critical accounting policies and estimates are those related to revenue recognition, business combinations and intangible asset impairment, inventories, share-based compensation expense, income taxes, loss contingencies and corporate bonus expense and accruals.

Revenue Recognition

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we adopted Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (amendments to Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*), and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements* (amendments to Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, ASC Topic 985, *Software*). We adopted these new standards on a prospective basis. The revised guidance primarily provides two significant changes: 1) it requires us to allocate revenues in an arrangement using best estimated selling prices, or BESP, of deliverables if we do not have VSOE or third-party evidence, or TPE, of selling price; and 2) it eliminates the residual method and requires us to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method. The BESP is established considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, pricing practices, internal costs, geographies and gross margin. The determination of BESP is made through consultation with and formal approval by our pricing committee, taking into consideration the overall go-to-market pricing strategy. We may modify or develop new go-to-market practices in the future. As these go-to-market strategies evolve, we may modify our pricing practices in the future, which may result in changes in selling prices, impacting both VSOE and BESP. These factors may result in a different allocation of revenue to the deliverables in multiple element arrangements from the current fiscal year, which may change the pattern and timing of revenue recognition for these elements but will not change the total revenue recognized for the arrangement.

We frequently enter into sales arrangements with customers that contain multiple elements or deliverables such as hardware, software and services. In order to comply with GAAP, we have to make a number of reasoned judgments with respect to elements of these sales arrangements, including how to allocate the proceeds received from an arrangement, whether there are multiple elements in the arrangement, whether any undelivered elements are essential to the functionality of the delivered elements and the appropriate timing of revenue recognition with respect to these arrangements. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, we accounted for pre-adoption multiple elements arrangements which have not subsequently been materially modified under the

Table of Contents

residual method and allocated arrangement consideration to each element based upon vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value of the respective elements. VSOE of fair value for each element is based upon our historical standard rates charged for the product or service when such product or service is sold separately or based upon the price established by our management-comprised pricing committee, which has the relevant authority when that product or service is not yet sold separately. Changes to the elements in an arrangement and the ability to establish VSOE of the fair value for those elements could affect the timing and the amount of revenue recognition.

Revenue recognition also depends on all or a combination of the timing of shipment, completion of installation, customer acceptance and the readiness of customers' facilities. If shipments are not made on scheduled timelines, installation schedules are delayed or if the products are not accepted by the customer in a timely manner, our reported revenues may differ materially from expectations.

Examples of the impact of these factors include the following. If the shipment of one of our systems that sold for \$4.0 million was delayed, system revenue would be lowered by this \$4.0 million, less any amounts deferred for service, training, or other future deliverables. If one of our systems was sold for \$4.0 million and the sale involved multiple elements including training and service, a 5% change in BESP of the system could result in an approximately \$25,000 impact to the amount of revenue allocated and recognized as product revenue rather than as service revenue.

Business Combinations and Intangible Asset Impairment

Our methodology for allocating the purchase price relating to business combinations is determined through established valuation techniques. The allocation of the purchase price to intangible assets requires us to make significant estimates and assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows expected to be generated by the acquired assets and appropriate discount rate for those cash flows. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis or when impairment indicators are present.

We make judgments about the recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment may exist. Recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the future undiscounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. Impairment, if any, is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the impaired asset. We review indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Recoverability of indefinite-lived intangible assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the future discounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset.

Assumptions and estimates about future values and remaining useful lives of our purchased intangible assets are complex and subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as industry and economic trends and internal factors such as changes in our business strategy and our internal forecasts.

Inventories

The valuation of inventory requires us to estimate obsolete or excess inventory as well as damaged inventory. The determination of obsolete or excess inventory requires us to estimate the future demand for our products. We regularly review inventory quantities on hand and adjust for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on historical usage rates and our estimates of product demand to support future sales and service. If our demand forecast for specific products is greater than actual demand and we fail to reduce purchasing and manufacturing output accordingly, we could be required to write off inventory, which would negatively impact our gross margin. For example, if the actual amount of inventory that is disposed of as obsolete, excess or damaged is 10% larger or smaller than the amount that we estimated at September 30, 2011, then we would need to increase or decrease cost of sales by approximately \$2.1 million.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

We use the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of stock options and Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares. The Black-Scholes model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions. The most significant assumptions are our estimates of the expected volatility and the expected term of the award. Our expected volatility is derived from the historical volatilities of several unrelated public companies within industries related to our business because we do not have sufficient trading history on our common stock. When making the selections of our peer companies within industries related to our business to be used in the volatility calculation, we also considered the stage of development, size and financial leverage of potential comparable companies. In addition, as our historical share option exercise experience as a publicly-held entity does not provide a reasonable

Table of Contents

basis upon which to estimate the expected term, we estimate the expected term of options granted by taking the average of the vesting term and the contractual term of the option, as illustrated by the simplified method. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management judgment. As a result, if factors change and we use different assumptions, our share-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future.

We recognize compensation cost for only those shares expected to vest over the requisite service period of the award. We estimate our forfeiture rate based on an analysis of our actual forfeitures and will continue to evaluate the appropriateness of the forfeiture rate based on recent forfeiture activity and expected future employee turnover. Quarterly changes in the estimated forfeiture rate can have a significant effect on reported share-based compensation expense, as the cumulative effect of adjusting the rate for all expense amortization is recognized in the period the forfeiture estimate is changed. If a revised forfeiture rate is higher than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in a decrease to the share-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements. If a revised forfeiture rate is lower than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, an adjustment is made that will result in an increase to the share-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements. If the estimated forfeiture rate was higher or lower by five percentage points, our share-based compensation expense related to stock options would increase or decrease by approximately 3%, respectively.

Income Taxes

We calculate our current and deferred tax provisions based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from the actual results reflected in our income tax returns filed during the subsequent year. We record adjustments based on filed returns when we have identified and finalized them, which is generally in the third quarter of the subsequent year for U.S. federal and state provisions, respectively. We have placed a full valuation allowance on all net U.S. deferred tax assets because realization of these tax benefits through future taxable income cannot be reasonably assured. We intend to maintain the valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of the valuation allowance. Any decision to reverse part or all of the valuation allowance would be based on our estimate of future profitability. If our estimate were to be wrong we could be required to charge potentially significant amounts to income tax expense to establish a new valuation allowance.

Our effective tax rate includes the impact of certain undistributed foreign earnings for which we have not provided U.S. taxes because we plan to reinvest such earnings indefinitely outside the United States. We plan foreign earnings remittance amounts based on projected cash flow needs as well as the working capital and long-term investment requirements of our foreign subsidiaries and our domestic operations. Material changes in our estimates of cash, working capital and long-term investment requirements in the various jurisdictions in which we do business could impact our effective tax rate. We are subject to income taxes in the United States and certain foreign countries, and we are subject to corporate income tax audits in some of these jurisdictions. We believe that our tax return positions are fully supported, but tax authorities are likely to challenge certain positions, which may not be fully sustained. However, our income tax expense includes amounts intended to satisfy income tax assessments that result from these challenges. Determining the income tax expense for these potential assessments and recording the related assets and liabilities requires management judgments and estimates. We evaluate our uncertain tax positions in accordance with the guidance for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. We believe that our reserve for uncertain tax positions is adequate. We review our reserves quarterly, and we may adjust such reserves because of proposed assessments by tax authorities, changes in facts and circumstances, issuance of new regulations or new case law, previously unavailable information obtained during the course of an examination, negotiations between tax authorities of different countries concerning our transfer prices, or the expiration of statutes of limitations.

Loss Contingencies

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

As discussed in Note 7, Contingencies, in Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we are involved in various lawsuits, claims and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. We record a provision for a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine both probability and the estimated amount. We review these provisions at least quarterly and adjust these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and updated information. Currently, we do not have a potential liability related to any current legal proceedings and claims that would individually or in the aggregate materially adversely affect our financial condition or operating results. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and is subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, we could incur significant charges related to legal matters which could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Corporate Bonus Expense and Accruals

We record accruals for estimated corporate bonus expense which is paid out in the first quarter of the subsequent fiscal year. Our expense accruals for fiscal 2012 are based on our results for three financial measures: non-GAAP net revenue, non-GAAP gross margin and net dollars to backlog. If we underestimate or overestimate any of these factors during a fiscal year, adjustments to bonus expense and accruals may be necessary in subsequent periods during the year. For example, if our actual results as of the end of a fiscal year yielded a bonus attainment that varied by 5% from our prior estimate, we would need to increase or decrease our bonus expense accrual in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year by approximately \$0.2 million.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

As of September 30, 2011, there were no amounts in deferred revenue for CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System contracts denominated in a foreign currency, in which system revenue would be recognized in future periods. Future fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar may affect the price competitiveness of our products outside the United States. For direct sales outside the United States, we sell in both U.S. dollars and local currencies, which could expose us to additional foreign currency risks, including changes in currency exchange rates. Our operating expenses in countries outside the United States, including some of our commissions related to sales of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, are payable in foreign currencies and therefore expose us to currency risk. To the extent that management can predict the timing of payments under sales contracts or for operating expenses that are denominated in foreign currencies, we may engage in hedging transactions to mitigate such risks in the future.

Interest Rate Risk

At September 30, 2011, we had \$140.2 million of cash and cash equivalents. Our earnings are affected by changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on interest income generated from our cash balances. We believe that, while the instruments we hold are subject to changes in the financial standing of the issuer of such securities, at September 30, 2011, we were not subject to significant levels of interest rate risk as a small amount of our cash was invested in money market funds.

Equity Price Risk

On August 1, 2011, we issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due August 1, 2016 (the "Notes"). Upon conversion, we can settle the obligation by issuing our common stock, cash or a combination thereof at an initial conversion rate equal to 105.5548 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$9.47 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment. There is no equity price risk if the share price of our common stock is below \$9.47 upon conversion of the Notes. For every \$1 that the share price of our common stock exceeds \$9.47, we expect to issue \$10.6 million in cash or shares of our common stock, or a combination thereof, if all of the Notes are converted.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011. Based on this evaluation, and because of the continuing material weakness described below, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of September 30, 2011 our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Notwithstanding the material weakness described above, we have performed additional analyses and other procedures to enable management to conclude that our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Previously Reported Material Weakness

As described herein, and as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2011 we identified a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting related to accounting for significant, non-routine transactions.

Table of Contents

Specifically, we did not have sufficient numbers of highly skilled accountants to provide for a timely analysis, documentation and review of the acquisition of TomoTherapy which closed on June 10, 2011. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, our efforts to remediate this continuing material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting consisted of the following corrective actions:

- We began actively recruiting for several positions within the finance function which will provide us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner.
- We implemented a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Please refer to Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements above for a description of certain legal proceedings currently pending against the Company. From time to time we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Set forth below and elsewhere in this report are descriptions of the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. The descriptions below include any material changes to and supersede the descriptions of the risk factors affecting our business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our long-term success, results of operations and the value of our common stock depend on our ability to successfully combine the TomoTherapy business with our pre-existing business, which may be more difficult, costly or time-consuming than expected.

On June 10, 2011, we acquired TomoTherapy, the business of which we are currently combining with our pre-existing business. Our future success, results of operations and the value of our common stock depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits from integrating the TomoTherapy business with our pre-existing business. To realize these anticipated

Table of Contents

benefits, we must successfully combine our businesses in an efficient and effective manner. If we are not able to achieve these objectives within the anticipated time frame, or at all, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the acquisition may not be realized fully, or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected, and our results of operations and the value of our common stock may be adversely affected.

The integration process could result in the disruption of existing business, loss of key employees, or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that could adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, employees, suppliers and other business partners following the acquisition or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Specifically, issues that must be addressed in integrating the operations of TomoTherapy into our pre-existing operations in order to realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition include, among other things:

- integrating and optimizing the utilization of the properties, equipment, suppliers, distribution channels, manufacturing, service, marketing, promotion and sales activities and information technologies of the combined company;
- consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures of the combined company;
- coordinating geographically dispersed organizations of the combined company;
- retaining existing customers of, and attracting new customers to, the combined company; and
- conforming standards, controls, procedures and policies, business cultures and compensation structures throughout the combined company.

Integration efforts will also divert management attention and resources. An inability to realize the full extent of the anticipated benefits of the acquisition, as well as any delays encountered in the integration process, could have an adverse effect upon our results of operations, which may affect adversely the value of our common stock.

In addition, the actual integration may result in additional and unforeseen expenses, and the anticipated benefits of the integration plan may not be realized. Actual synergies, if achieved at all, may be lower than what we expect and may take longer to achieve than anticipated. If we are not able to adequately address these challenges, we may be unable to successfully integrate the combined company's operations or to realize the anticipated benefits of the integration.

We have incurred and expect to continue to incur significant costs in connection with the acquisition and integration of TomoTherapy.

We have incurred and expect to continue to incur non-recurring costs associated with combining the operations of TomoTherapy and our pre-existing operations. Most of these costs will be comprised of facilities and systems consolidation costs and employment-related costs. We also have incurred and will continue to incur fees and costs related to integration. Additional unanticipated costs may be incurred in the integration of the combined company's businesses or we may incur transaction-related costs and charges associated with eliminating redundant expenses or write-offs of impaired assets recorded in connection with the acquisition. Although we expect that the elimination of duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of the businesses, should allow us to offset incremental transaction and acquisition-related costs over time, this net benefit may not be achieved in the near term, or at all.

If the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems do not achieve widespread market acceptance, we will not be able to generate the revenue necessary to support our business.

Achieving physician, patient, hospital administrator and third-party payor acceptance of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems as preferred methods of tumor treatment will be crucial to our continued success. Physicians will not begin to use or increase the use of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems unless they determine, based on experience, clinical data and other factors, that the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are safe and effective alternatives to current treatment methods. We often need to educate physicians about the use of stereotactic radiosurgery, IGRT and adaptive radiation therapy, convince healthcare payors that the benefits of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and their related treatment processes outweigh their costs and help train qualified physicians in the skilled use of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. For example, the complexity and dynamic nature of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT, require significant education of hospital personnel and physicians regarding the benefits of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT, as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT, and require departures from their customary practices. We have expended and will continue to expend significant resources on marketing and educational efforts to create awareness of stereotactic radiosurgery and

Table of Contents

Robotic IMRT as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT generally and to encourage the acceptance and adoption of our products for these technologies.

The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are major capital purchases, and purchase decisions are greatly influenced by hospital administrators who are subject to increasing pressures to reduce costs. These and other factors, including the following, may affect the rate and level of market acceptance of each of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems:

- The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems price relative to other products or competing treatments;
- Our ability to develop new products and enhancements and receive regulatory clearances and approval, if required, to existing products in a timely manner;
- Effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts;
- The impact of the current economic environment on our business, including the postponement by our customers of purchase decisions or required build-outs;
- Capital equipment budgets of healthcare institutions;
- Increased scrutiny by state boards when evaluating certificates of need requested by purchasing institutions;
- Perception by physicians and other members of the healthcare community of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems safety, efficacy, efficiency and benefits compared to competing technologies or treatments;
- Publication in peer-reviewed medical journals of data regarding the successful use and longer term clinical benefits of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems;
- Willingness of physicians to adopt new techniques and the ability of physicians to acquire the skills necessary to operate the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

- Extent of third-party coverage and reimbursement rates, particularly from Medicare, for procedures using the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems;
- Development of new products and technologies by our competitors or new treatment alternatives;
- Regulatory developments related to manufacturing, marketing and selling the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems both within and outside the United States;
- Perceived liability risks arising from the use of new products; and
- Unfavorable publicity concerning the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems or radiation-based treatment alternatives.

If the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems are unable to achieve or maintain market acceptance, new orders and sales of our systems would be adversely affected, our revenue levels would decrease and our business would be harmed.

If we are unable to develop new products or enhance existing products, we may be unable to attract or retain customers.

Our success depends on the successful development, regulatory clearance or approval, introduction and commercialization of new generations of products, treatment systems, and enhancements to and/or simplification of existing products. The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, which are currently our principal products, are technologically complex and must keep pace with, among other things, the products of our competitors. We are making significant investments in long-term growth initiatives. Such initiatives require significant capital commitments, involvement of senior management and other investments on our part, which we may be unable to recover. Our timeline for the development of new products or enhancements may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. Commercialization of new products may prove challenging, and we may be required to invest more time and money than expected to successfully introduce them. Once introduced, new products may adversely impact orders and sales of our existing products, or make them less desirable or even obsolete. Compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences may also impact the successful implementation of new products or enhancements.

Our ability to successfully develop and introduce new products, treatment systems and product enhancements and simplifications, and the revenues and costs associated with these efforts, are affected by our ability to:

- Properly identify customer needs;
- Prove feasibility of new products;

Table of Contents

- Educate physicians about the use of new products and procedures;
- Limit the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;
- Comply with internal quality assurance systems and processes timely and efficiently;
- Limit the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals or clearances;
- Accurately predict and control costs associated with inventory overruns caused by phase-in of new products and phase-out of old products;
- Price our products competitively;
- Manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predict and control costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;
- Manage customer acceptance and payment for products;
- Manage customer demands for retrofits of both old and new products; and
- Anticipate and compete successfully with competitors.

Even if customers accept new products or product enhancements, the revenues from these products may not be sufficient to offset the significant costs associated with making them available to customers.

We cannot be sure that we will be able to successfully develop, obtain regulatory approval or clearance for, manufacture or introduce new products, treatment systems or enhancements, the roll-out of which involves compliance with complex quality assurance processes, including the

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

quality system regulation, or QSR, enforced by the FDA. Failure to obtain regulatory approval or clearance for our products or to complete these processes in a timely and efficient manner could result in delays that could affect our ability to attract and retain customers, or could cause customers to delay or cancel orders, causing our backlog, revenues and operating results to suffer.

Siemens AG and Accuray have not made material progress under the sales and R&D collaboration opportunities outlined in the Strategic Alliance Agreement signed in June 2010 and may not make further progress in the future.

In June 2010, we entered into a Strategic Alliance Agreement with Siemens AG, or the Alliance Agreement, pursuant to which (1) we granted Siemens certain distribution rights to our CyberKnife Systems, (2) Siemens agreed to incorporate certain Accuray technology into certain of its linac products, the combined products being known as the Cayman Products, and (3) we created a research and development relationship between Accuray and Siemens for the pursuit and implementation of other potential collaboration opportunities in the future. Siemens' right to distribute the CyberKnife System under the Alliance Agreement remains unchanged, though sales activity to date under the Agreement has not been material. We believe that as a result of our acquisition of TomoTherapy, the elements of the Alliance Agreement described in clauses (2) and (3) above are unlikely to develop further. Under the Alliance Agreement, both Siemens and the Company had the right to terminate the Alliance Agreement on written notice within 60 days following the acquisition of or by either party by specified competitors. On August 3, 2011, we entered into an Amendment to the Alliance Agreement with Siemens, which provides that each of the Company's and Siemens' right to terminate the Alliance Agreement as a result of the acquisition of TomoTherapy by the Company is extended until December 31, 2011 in order to allow the Company and Siemens to evaluate the impact of the TomoTherapy acquisition on the arrangements created by the Alliance Agreement.

There can be no assurance that the strategic alliance with Siemens AG will be successful or that the economic terms of the Alliance Agreement will ultimately prove to be favorable to us or that Siemens will not terminate the Alliance Agreement as a result of the Company's acquisition of TomoTherapy. We are not able to control the amount and timing of resources that Siemens will devote to the development, sales or marketing of the Cayman Products, the distribution of CyberKnife Systems, or to future collaboration opportunities. Our own business may be disrupted, and we may have to divert attention from our other research and development activities, in order to satisfy our obligations under the Alliance Agreement. We may incur costs in excess of the consideration to be paid to us by Siemens. Even if Siemens and the Company successfully complete development of a product, it may not receive the regulatory approvals necessary to be marketed and sold. Failure to successfully develop, market and sell the product, failure of Siemens to distribute the CyberKnife System, and the failure of us and Siemens to successfully collaborate on future opportunities could negatively impact our stock price and our future business and financial results.

Table of Contents

If we are not able to meet the requirements of our license agreement with the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) we could lose access to the technologies licensed thereunder and be unable to manufacture, market or sell the TomoTherapy Systems.

We license patents from WARF covering the multi-leaf collimator and other key technologies incorporated into the TomoTherapy Systems under a license agreement that requires us to pay royalties to WARF. In addition, the license agreement obligates us to pursue an agreed development plan and to submit periodic reports, and restricts our ability to take actions to defend the licensed patents. WARF has the right to unilaterally terminate the agreement if we do not meet certain minimum royalty obligations or satisfy other obligations related to our utilization of the technology. If WARF were to terminate the agreement or if we were to otherwise lose the ability to exploit the licensed patents, our competitive advantage would be reduced and we may not be able to find a source to replace the licensed technology. The license agreement reserves to WARF the initial right to defend or prosecute any claim arising with respect to the licensed technology. If WARF does not vigorously defend the patents, we may be required to engage in expensive patent litigation to enforce our rights, and any competitive advantage we have based on the licensed technology may be hampered. Any of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to realize all of the desired benefits from our relationship with Compact Particle Acceleration Corporation (CPAC).

Since April 2008, TomoTherapy has been an investor in CPAC to continue development of its research initiative for a compact proton therapy system for the treatment of cancer. CPAC has and is continuing to seek investments from third parties to support the development of this technology. Through TomoTherapy we currently have the option to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by CPAC investors in exchange for the right to commercialize the technology in the medical field, and we have the right to exercise this option at any time through April 2015. We may not be able to obtain all of the potential benefits relating to CPAC that we may desire. In addition, CPAC needs additional funding to continue its development efforts. We cannot be certain that CPAC will be able to obtain all of the additional financing required for this project on commercially reasonable terms or that the technology development will be successful. Even if CPAC is able to obtain financing and the technology development is successful, CPAC may not have the resources to commercialize the compact proton system, the market requirements may change such that commercialization is no longer feasible, or we may not be in a position to finance the option to purchase a portion of the CPAC stock held by CPAC investors in exchange for the right to commercialize the technology in the medical field. Any of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to maintain existing research collaboration relationships, enter into new collaboration arrangements in the future or enter into license agreements with our collaborators and others, our ability to enhance our products may be adversely affected.

We have entered into a number of research collaboration arrangements with a range of hospitals, cancer treatment centers and academic institutions. These collaborations support our internal research and development capabilities and represent a key element of our ongoing research and development program. Our research collaboration partners may not fulfill all of their obligations under our arrangements with them. If our current research collaborations do not meet our research and development expectations, or if we are unable to enter into additional research collaborations in the future to replace unproductive collaborations or add new collaborations, our ability to enhance our products may be adversely affected. Our inability to successfully collaborate with third parties could increase our development costs, delay new or pending developments and limit the likelihood of successful enhancements to the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems.

Our collaboration agreements generally provide that we either own, in the case of our own developments, have the right to use, in the case of joint developments, or have the right to license, in the case of developments by our collaborator, technology developed pursuant to a collaboration. We cannot provide any assurance that we will successfully enter into license agreements with any of our collaborators concerning

technology that is jointly developed or developed by the collaborator, which may prevent us from using that technology. If we are unable to enter into exclusive license agreements with a collaborator over technology that is jointly developed with, or solely developed by, the collaborator, the collaborator may be able to use or license the technology to third parties. Furthermore, if we are unable to enter into license agreements with a collaborator for technology that is jointly developed with, or solely developed by, the collaborator, we may be unable to use that technology. In addition, if we are unable to agree with our collaborators concerning ownership or proper inventorship of technology developed under the collaboration agreement, we may be forced to engage in arbitration or litigation to determine the proper ownership or inventorship. Any of these events could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Disruption of critical information systems could harm our business and financial condition.

Information technology helps us operate efficiently, interface with customers, maintain financial accuracy and efficiency, and accurately produce our financial statements. We implemented and began use of a new Enterprise Resource Planning, or ERP system effective January 1, 2011. Our initial implementation covered the basic elements of our ERP system. We plan to implement

Table of Contents

additional capabilities in the future and are in the process of migrating processes and systems used by TomoTherapy to the processes and systems used with our new ERP system. If we do not allocate and effectively manage the resources necessary to build and sustain the proper technology infrastructure, or if we fail to smoothly manage the new ERP system or its integration with TomoTherapy's processes and systems, we could be subject to transaction errors, processing inefficiencies, the loss of customers, business disruptions, or the loss of or damage to intellectual property through security breach. If our data management systems do not effectively collect, store, process and report relevant data for the operation of our business, whether due to equipment malfunction or constraints, software deficiencies, computer viruses, security breaches, catastrophic events or human error, our ability to effectively plan, forecast and execute our business plan and comply with applicable laws and regulations will be impaired, perhaps materially. Any such impairment could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and the timeliness with which we internally and externally report our operating results. Likewise, data privacy breaches by employees and others with permitted access to our systems may pose a risk that sensitive data may be exposed to unauthorized person or to the public. There can be no assurance that any efforts we make to prevent against such privacy breaches will prevent breakdowns or breaches in our systems that could adversely affect our business.

If we are unable to provide the significant education and training required for the healthcare market to accept our products, our business will suffer.

In order to achieve market acceptance of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, we often need to educate physicians about the use of stereotactic radiosurgery and radiation therapy, convince healthcare payers that the benefits of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and their related treatment processes outweigh their costs and help train qualified physicians in the skilled use of these systems. For example, the complexity and dynamic nature of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT require significant education of hospital personnel and physicians regarding the benefits of stereotactic radiosurgery and Robotic IMRT as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT and require departures from their customary practices. In addition, we also must educate clinicians regarding the entire functionality of our radiation therapy systems, including techniques using the full quantitative imaging capabilities of our treatment systems, which enable clinicians to adapt a patient's treatment plan in response to anatomical changes and the cumulative amount of radiation received by specific areas within the patient over the course of treatment. We have expended and will continue to expend significant resources on marketing and educational efforts to create awareness of stereotactic radiosurgery, Robotic IMRT as well as adaptive radiation therapy and IGRT and to encourage the acceptance and adoption of our products for these technologies. We cannot be sure that any products we develop will gain significant market acceptance among physicians, patients and healthcare payors, even if we spend significant time and expense on their education. Failure to gain significant market acceptance would adversely affect our product sales and revenues, harming our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have a large accumulated deficit, may incur future losses and may be unable to achieve profitability.

As of September 30, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$170.9 million. We may incur net losses in the future, particularly as we increase our manufacturing, research and development, and marketing activities. Our ability to achieve and sustain long-term profitability is largely dependent on our ability to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and to control our costs and effectively manage our growth. We cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve profitability. In the event we fail to achieve profitability, our stock price could decline.

Multiple factors may adversely affect our ability to fully utilize certain tax loss carryforwards.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$116.1 million and \$45.9 million in federal and state net operating loss carry forwards, respectively, which expire in varying amounts beginning in 2019 for federal and 2015 for state purposes. Included in the federal and state net operating loss carryforwards is \$72.0 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and \$18.0 million of state net operating loss carryforwards from the acquisition of TomoTherapy. The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards will expire in varying amounts beginning in 2010 for federal purposes and 2015 for state purposes. In addition, as of June 30, 2011, we had federal and state research and development tax credits of approximately \$7.6 million and \$7.5 million, respectively. The federal research credits will begin to expire in 2025 and the California research credits have no expiration date. Utilization of our net operating loss and credit carry forwards is subject to annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. However, none of Accuray and TomoTherapy's federal and state net operating loss carryforwards are expected to expire as a result of the ownership change limitation.

We face risks related to the current global economic environment, which could delay or prevent our customers from obtaining financing to purchase the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and implement the required facilities, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The state of the global economy continues to be somewhat uncertain. The current global economic conditions pose a risk that could impact consumer and customer demand for our products, as well as our ability to manage normal commercial

Table of Contents

relationships with our customers, suppliers and creditors, including financial institutions. If the current situation deteriorates or does not improve, our business could be negatively affected, including such areas as reduced demand for our products resulting from a slow-down in the general economy, supplier or customer disruptions and/or temporary interruptions in our ability to conduct day-to-day transactions through our financial intermediaries involving the payment to or collection of funds from our customers, vendors and suppliers.

In addition, due to uncertain credit markets and concerns regarding the availability of credit, particularly in the United States, some of our customers have been delayed in obtaining, or have not been able to obtain, necessary financing for their purchases of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems. In addition, some of our customers have been delayed in obtaining, or have not been able to obtain, necessary financing for the construction or renovation of facilities to house CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, the cost of which typically range from approximately \$0.35 million for a TomoTherapy System and \$0.5 million for a CyberKnife System, for customers who make only minor renovations to existing facilities, to up to \$2 million for a TomoTherapy System and \$2.5 million for a CyberKnife System, for customers who build entirely new facilities that include additional features not necessarily required for the operation of a TomoTherapy or CyberKnife System (e.g., audio visual equipment). This range is based solely on information provided to us by customers and will vary by geography and the needs of a particular customer. To date, these delays have primarily affected customers that were planning to operate freestanding CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems centers, rather than hospital-based customers. These delays have in some instances led to our customers postponing the shipment and installation of previously ordered systems or cancelling their system orders, and may cause other customers to postpone their system installation or to cancel their agreements with us. An increase in delays and order cancellations of this nature would adversely affect our product sales, backlog and revenues, and therefore harm our business and results of operations.

The high unit price of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, as well as other factors, may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our operating results, which could adversely affect our stock price.

Because of the high unit price of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and the relatively small number of units installed each quarter, each installation of a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System can represent a significant percentage of our revenue for a particular quarter. Therefore, if we do not install a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System when anticipated, our operating results will vary significantly from our expectations. This is of particular concern in the current volatile economic environment, where we have had experiences with customers cancelling or postponing orders for our CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and delaying any required build-outs. These fluctuations and other potential fluctuations mean that you should not rely upon our operating results in any particular period as an indication of future performance. In particular, in addition to the other risk factors described above and below, factors which may contribute to these fluctuations include:

- Timing of when we are able to recognize revenue associated with sales of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, which varies depending upon the terms of the applicable sales and service contracts;
- The proportion of revenue attributable to purchases of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems which are associated with our shared ownership program and our legacy service plans;
- Timing and level of expenditures associated with new product development activities;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

- Regulatory requirements in some states for a certificate of need prior to the installation of a radiation device;
- Delays in shipment due, for example, to unanticipated construction delays at customer locations where our products are to be installed, cancellations by customers, natural disasters or labor disturbances;
- Delays in our manufacturing processes or unexpected manufacturing difficulties;
- Timing of the announcement, introduction and delivery of new products or product upgrades by us and by our competitors;
- Timing and level of expenditures associated with expansion of sales and marketing activities such as trade shows and our overall operations;
- Fluctuations in our gross margins and the factors that contribute to such fluctuations, as described in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- How well we execute on our strategic and operating plans;

Table of Contents

- The extent to which our products gain market acceptance;
- Actions relating to regulatory matters;
- Demand for our products;
- Our ability to develop, introduce and market new or enhanced versions of our products on a timely basis;
- Our ability to protect our proprietary rights and defend against third party challenges;
- Disruptions in the supply or changes in the costs of raw materials, labor, product components or transportation services; and
- Changes in third party coverage and reimbursement, changes in government regulation, or a change in a customer's financial condition or ability to obtain financing.

These factors are difficult to forecast and may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and substantial variation from our projections, particularly during the periods in which our sales volume is low. These fluctuations may cause volatility in our stock price.

Because the majority of our revenue is derived from sales of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, and because we experience a long and variable sales and installation cycle, our quarterly results may be inconsistent from period to period. These fluctuations in revenue may make it difficult to predict our revenue.

Our primary products are the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. We expect to generate substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future from sales of and service contracts for the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems have lengthy sales and purchase order cycles because they are major capital equipment items and require the approval of senior management at purchasing institutions. Selling our systems, from first contact with a potential customer to a complete order, generally spans six months to two years and involves personnel with multiple skills. The sales process in the United States typically begins with pre-selling activity followed by sales presentations and other sales-related activities. After the customer has expressed an intention to purchase a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, we negotiate and enter into a definitive purchase contract with the customer. Typically, following the execution of the contract, the customer begins the building or renovation of a facility to house the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System, which together with the subsequent installation of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System, can take up to 24 months to complete. During the period prior to installation, the customer must build a radiation-shielded facility to house its CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System. In order to construct this facility, the customer must typically obtain radiation device installation permits, which are granted by state and local government bodies, each of which may

have different criteria for permit issuance. If a permit were denied for installation at a specific hospital or treatment center, our CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System could not be installed at that location. In addition, some of our customers are cancer centers or facilities that are new, and in these cases it may be necessary for the entire facility to be completed before the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System can be installed, which can result in additional construction and installation delays. Our sales and installations of CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems tend to be heaviest during the third month of each fiscal quarter.

Under our revenue recognition policy, we generally do not recognize revenue attributable to a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System purchase until after installation has occurred, if we are responsible for providing installation, or delivery. For international sales through distributors, we typically recognize revenue when the system is shipped with evidence of sell through to the end user. Under our current forms of purchase and service contracts, we record a majority of the purchase price as revenue for a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System upon installation or delivery of the system. Events beyond our control may delay installation and the satisfaction of contingencies required to receive cash inflows and recognize revenue, such as:

- Procurement delay;
- Customer funding or financing delay;
- Delay in or unforeseen difficulties related to customers organizing legal entities and obtaining financing for CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System acquisition;
- Construction delay;

Table of Contents

- Delay pending customer receipt of regulatory approvals, including, for example, certificates of need;
- Delay pending customer receipt of a building or radiation device installation permit; and
- Delay caused by weather or natural disaster.

In the event that a customer does not, for any of the reasons above or other reasons, proceed with installation of a system after entering into a purchase contract, we would only recognize up to the deposit portion of the purchase price as revenue, unless the deposit was refunded to the customer. Therefore, the long sales cycle together with delays in the shipment and installation of CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems or customer cancellations would adversely affect our cash flows and revenue, which would harm our results of operations and may result in significant fluctuations in our reporting of quarterly revenues. Because of these fluctuations, it is likely that in some future quarters, our operating results will fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If that happens, the market price of our stock would likely decrease. These fluctuations also mean that you will not be able to rely upon our operating results in any particular period as an indication of future performance.

Our ability to achieve profitability depends in part on maintaining or increasing our gross margins on product sales and service, which we may not be able to achieve.

A number of factors may result in adverse impacts to our gross margins, including:

- Actions related to new products, pricing and marketing programs;
- The timing of revenue recognition and revenue deferrals;
- Sales discounts;
- Changes in product configurations;
- Increases in material or labor costs;

- Increased service or warranty costs or the failure to reduce service or warranty costs, especially with respect to the TomoTherapy Systems;
- Excess inventory and inventory holding charges;
- Obsolescence charges;
- Our ability to reduce production costs;
- Increased price competition;
- Variation in the margins across products installed in a particular period; and
- How well we execute on our strategic and operating plans.

We may not be able to achieve profitability with respect to our service business relating to TomoTherapy Systems.

Our overall service operations relating to TomoTherapy Systems currently are not profitable. Our ability to increase the profitability of this service business depends in part on reducing warranty and service costs for the TomoTherapy Systems and improving economies of scale in service operations. We may be unable to achieve these reductions in costs or improve the reliability of the TomoTherapy Systems during the time period expected or at all, and this could adversely affect our results of operations.

If third-party payors do not provide sufficient coverage and reimbursement to healthcare providers for use of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, demand for our products and our revenue could be adversely affected.

Our customers rely significantly on reimbursement for CyberKnife and TomoTherapy procedures. Our ability to commercialize our products successfully will depend in significant part on the extent to which public and private third-party payors

Table of Contents

provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for procedures that are performed with our products. Third-party payors, and in particular managed care organizations, challenge the prices charged for medical products and services and institute cost containment measures to control or significantly influence the purchase of medical products and services. If reimbursement policies or other cost containment measures are instituted in a manner that significantly reduces the coverage for or payment for our procedures that are performed with our products, our existing customers may not continue using our products or may decrease their use of our products, and we may have difficulty obtaining new customers. Such actions would likely have a material adverse effect on our operating results. In November 2011, the centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, issued the 2012 Medicare payment rates. While certain of the reimbursement rates are modestly higher than in the prior year, others are modestly lower than in the prior year, which could have a negative impact on the continued use of our products by existing customers and our ability to obtain new customers. CMS reviews such rates annually, and could implement more significant changes in future years. If in the future CMS significantly decreases reimbursement rates for stereotactic radiosurgery, Robotic IMRT or radiation therapy services, or if other cost containment measures are implemented in the United States or elsewhere, such changes could discourage cancer treatment centers and hospitals from purchasing our products. We have seen our customers' decision making process complicated by the uncertainty surrounding the proposed reduction in Medicare reimbursement rates for radiotherapy and radiosurgery at freestanding clinics in the United States and for physician reimbursement for radiation oncology, which has resulted in delay and sometimes even failure to purchase our products.

We rely on a third party to perform spare parts shipping and other logistics functions on our behalf. A failure or disruption at our logistics provider would adversely impact our business.

Customer service is a critical element of our sales strategy. As of September 30, 2011, third-party logistics providers stored most of our spare parts inventory in depots around the world and performed a significant portion of our spare parts logistics and shipping activities. If any of our logistics providers suffers an interruption in its business, or experiences delays, disruptions or quality control problems in its operations, or we have to change and qualify alternative logistics providers for our spare parts, shipments of spare parts to our customers may be delayed and our reputation, business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our industry is subject to intense competition and rapid technological change, which may result in products or new tumor treatments that are superior to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. If we are unable to anticipate or keep pace with changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands, our products may become less useful or obsolete and our operating results will suffer.

The medical device industry in general and the non-invasive cancer treatment field in particular are subject to intense and increasing competition and rapidly evolving technologies. Because our products often have long development and government approval cycles, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation and customer demands. To compete successfully, we will need to continue to demonstrate the advantages of our products and technologies over well-established alternative procedures, products and technologies, and convince physicians and other healthcare decision makers of the advantages of our products and technologies. Traditional surgery and other forms of minimally invasive procedures, brachytherapy, chemotherapy or other drugs remain alternatives to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems.

We consider the competition for the TomoTherapy Systems to be existing radiation therapy systems, primarily using C-arm linacs, sold by large, well-capitalized companies with significantly greater market share and resources than we have. Several of these competitors are also able to leverage their fixed sales, service and other costs over multiple products or product lines. In particular, we compete with a number of existing radiation therapy equipment companies including Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Elekta AB, Siemens Medical Solutions, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, and to a lesser extent, BrainLAB AG. Varian Medical Systems has been the leader in the external beam radiation therapy market for many years and has the majority market share for radiation therapy systems worldwide. In 2008, Varian began selling and installing RapidArc

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

technology. The RapidArc technology purports to be able to deliver image-guided, intensity-modulated radiation therapy more rapidly than other similar systems, including the TomoTherapy Systems, and Varian has maintained a strong marketing campaign claiming this technology has the same capabilities as, or better capabilities than, our TomoTherapy Systems. In April, 2010, Varian announced the launch of a new line of TrueBeam systems, which Varian claims are specifically designed for high-precision image-guided radiotherapy and radiosurgery. Varian claims this new platform is designed to be versatile and can be used for all forms of advanced external beam radiation therapy.

The CyberKnife System also competes directly with conventional linac based radiation therapy systems primarily from Elekta AB, BrainLAB AG, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries and Varian Medical Systems. At least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that, if introduced, would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife. In general, because of aging demographics and attractive market factors in oncology, we believe that new competitors will enter the radiosurgery and radiation therapy markets in the years ahead. The CyberKnife System has not typically been used to perform traditional radiation therapy and

Table of Contents

therefore competition has been limited with conventional medical linacs that perform traditional radiation therapy. However, the CyberKnife VSI System, which we introduced in November of 2009, may be used to perform Robotic IMRT, an advanced method of traditional radiation therapy, which products of Elekta, Siemens and Varian are also capable of performing. In addition, some manufacturers of conventional linac based radiation therapy systems, including Varian and Elekta, have products that can be used in combination with body and/or head frames and image-guidance systems to perform radiosurgery.

Furthermore, many government, academic and business entities are investing substantial resources in research and development of cancer treatments, including surgical approaches, radiation treatment, MRI-guided radiotherapy systems, proton therapy systems, drug treatment, gene therapy, which is the treatment of disease by replacing, manipulating, or supplementing nonfunctional genes, and other approaches. Moreover, at least one other company has announced that it is developing a product that, if introduced, would be directly competitive with the CyberKnife System. Successful developments that result in new approaches for the treatment of cancer could reduce the attractiveness of our products or render them obsolete.

Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to establish and maintain a competitive position in current and future technologies. Rapid technological development may render the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and their technologies obsolete. Many of our competitors have or may have greater corporate, financial, operational, sales and marketing resources, and more experience and resources in research and development than we have. We cannot assure you that our competitors will not succeed in developing or marketing technologies or products that are more effective or commercially attractive than our products or that would render our technologies and products obsolete. We may not have the financial resources, technical expertise, marketing, distribution or support capabilities to compete successfully in the future. Our success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a competitive position with our technologies.

Our competitive position also depends on:

- Widespread awareness, acceptance and adoption by the radiation oncology and cancer therapy markets of our products;
- The development of new technologies that improve the effectiveness and productivity of the CyberKnife System radiosurgery process and the TomoTherapy System radiation therapy process;
- Product and procedure coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others;
- Availability of coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors, insurance companies and others for procedures performed using our systems;
- Properly identifying customer needs and delivering new products or product enhancements to address those needs;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

- Published studies supporting the efficacy and safety and long-term clinical benefit of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems;
- Limiting the time required from proof of feasibility to routine production;
- Limiting the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals or clearances;
- The manufacture and delivery of our products in sufficient volumes on time, and accurately predicting and controlling costs associated with manufacturing, installation, warranty and maintenance of the products;
- Our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel;
- The extent of our intellectual property protection or our ability to otherwise develop proprietary products and processes;
- Securing sufficient capital resources to expand both our continued research and development, and sales and marketing efforts; and
- Obtaining any necessary United States or foreign marketing approvals or clearances.

If customers choose not to purchase a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System or choose to purchase our competitors' products, our revenue and market share would be adversely impacted, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, companies in the pharmaceutical or biotechnology fields may seek to

Table of Contents

develop methods of cancer treatment that are more effective than radiation therapy and radiosurgery, resulting in decreased demand for the TomoTherapy or CyberKnife Systems. Because the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems have a long development cycle and because it can take significant time to receive government approvals or clearances for changes to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, we must anticipate changes in the marketplace and the direction of technological innovation. Accordingly, if we are unable to anticipate and keep pace with new innovations in the cancer treatment market, the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems or an aspect of their functionality may be rendered obsolete, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, some of our competitors may compete by changing their pricing model or by lowering the price of their conventional radiation therapy systems or ancillary supplies. If such pricing strategies are implemented, there could be downward pressure on the price of radiation therapy and radiosurgery systems. If we are unable to maintain or increase our selling prices, our gross margins will decline, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could have an adverse effect on our business and our stock price.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and to protect from fraudulent, illegal or unauthorized transactions. If we cannot provide effective controls and reliable financial reports, our business and operating results could be harmed. Our management determined, as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, that we had a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting and that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective. We began our remediation efforts during the first quarter of fiscal 2012 which include (1) actively recruiting for several positions within the finance function, which will provide us with the appropriate resources and technical skills to ensure that the period-end financial close and reporting processes are completed in an adequate and reliable manner and (2) implemented a practice, pursuant to which we consulted with, and will continue to consult with external subject matter experts as necessary to address any significant, non-routine transactions that may arise in order to validate the accounting approach prior to execution.

A failure to implement and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, including a failure to implement corrective actions to address the control deficiencies identified above, could result in a material misstatement of our financial statements or otherwise cause us to fail to meet our financial reporting obligations. This, in turn, could result in a loss of investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could have an adverse effect on our business and operating results and our stock price, and we could be subject to stockholder litigation. In addition, remedying this material weakness may require significant additional financial and managerial resources.

We may have difficulties in determining the effectiveness of our internal control due to our complex financial model.

The complexity of our financial model contributes to our need for effective financial reporting systems and internal controls. We recognize revenue from a range of transactions including CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System sales, our shared ownership program and services. The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are complex products that contain both hardware and software elements. The complexity of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems and of our financial model pertaining to revenue recognition requires us to process a broader range of financial transactions than would be required by a company with a less complex financial model. Accordingly, deficiencies or weaknesses in our internal controls would likely impact us more significantly than they would impact a company with a less complex financial model. If we were to find that our internal controls were deficient, we could be required to amend or restate historical or pro forma financial statements, which would likely have a negative impact on our stock price. Our management determined, as of June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, that we had a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting and that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective. We began our remediation efforts during the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

Our reliance on single source suppliers for critical components of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems could harm our ability to meet demand for our products in a timely and cost effective manner.

We currently depend on single source suppliers for some of the critical components necessary for the assembly of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System, including, with respect to the CyberKnife System, the robotic manipulator, imaging plates, treatment table, robotic couch and magnetron, which creates the microwaves for use in the linac, and, with respect to the TomoTherapy Systems, the ring gantry, the solid state modulator, the radiation detector and the magnetron. If any single source suppliers were to cease delivering components to us or fail to provide the components to our specifications and on a timely basis, we might be required to find alternative sources for these components. We may have difficulty or be unable to find alternative sources for these components. As a result, we may be unable to meet the demand for the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, which could harm our ability to generate revenue and damage our reputation. Even if we do find alternate suppliers, we will be required to qualify any such alternate suppliers and we would likely experience a lengthy delay in our manufacturing processes or a

Table of Contents

cessation in production, which would result in delays of shipment to end users. We cannot assure you that our single source suppliers will be able or willing to meet our future demands.

We generally do not maintain large volumes of inventory, which makes us even more susceptible to harm if a single source supplier fails to deliver components on a timely basis. Furthermore, if we are required to change the manufacturer of a critical component of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, we will be required to verify that the new manufacturer maintains facilities, procedures and operations that comply with our quality and applicable regulatory requirements. We also will be required to assess the new manufacturer's compliance with all applicable regulations and guidelines, which could further impede our ability to manufacture our products in a timely manner. If the change in manufacturer results in a significant change to the product, a new 510(k) clearance would be necessary, which would likely cause substantial delays. The disruption or termination of the supply of key components for the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems could harm our ability to manufacture our products in a timely manner or within budget, harm our ability to generate revenue, lead to customer dissatisfaction and damage our reputation.

It is difficult and costly to protect our intellectual property and our proprietary technologies, and we may not be able to ensure their protection.

Our success depends significantly on our ability to obtain, maintain and protect our proprietary rights to the technologies used in our products. Patents and other proprietary rights provide uncertain protections, and we may be unable to protect our intellectual property. For example, we may be unsuccessful in defending our patents and other proprietary rights against third party challenges. As key patents expire, our ability to prevent competitors from copying our technology may be limited.

In addition to patents, we rely on a combination of trade secrets, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions and technical security measures to protect our intellectual property rights. These measures may not be adequate to safeguard the technology underlying our products. If these measures do not protect our rights adequately, third parties could use our technology, and our ability to compete in the market would be reduced. Although we have attempted to obtain patent coverage for our technology where available and appropriate, there are aspects of the technology for which patent coverage was never sought or never received. There are also countries in which we sell or intend to sell the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems but have no patents or pending patent applications. Our ability to prevent others from making or selling duplicate or similar technologies will be impaired in those countries in which we have no patent protection. Although we have several issued patents in the United States and in foreign countries protecting aspects of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, our pending United States and foreign patent applications may not issue, may issue only with limited coverage or may issue and be subsequently successfully challenged by others and held invalid or unenforceable.

Similarly, our issued patents and those of our licensors may not provide us with any competitive advantages. Competitors may be able to design around our patents or develop products which provide outcomes comparable or superior to ours. Our patents may be held invalid or unenforceable as a result of legal challenges by third parties, and others may challenge the inventorship or ownership of our patents and pending patent applications. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In the event a competitor infringes upon our patent or other intellectual property rights, enforcing those rights may be difficult and time consuming. Even if successful, litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against challenge could be expensive and time consuming and could divert our management's attention from our core business. We may not have sufficient resources to enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend our patents against a challenge. In addition, we may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate, and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially valuable. Litigation also puts our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing. Additionally, we may provoke third parties to assert claims against us.

We also license patent and other proprietary rights to aspects of our technology to third parties in fields where we currently do not operate as well as in fields where we currently do operate. Disputes with our licensees may arise regarding the scope and content of these licenses. Further, our ability to expand into additional fields with our technologies may be restricted by our existing licenses or licenses we may grant to third parties in the future.

In October 2006, January 2007 and February 2007, we received correspondence from American Science and Engineering, Inc., or AS&E, expressing concerns that we may be using certain intellectual property we acquired from AS&E through the HES acquisition in a manner that breaches, or may breach, our contractual obligations under a license agreement with them in certain non-medical fields. The intellectual property at issue relates to the development of a next-generation linac that could be used for medical as well as non-medical purposes. We are developing the technology used in the next-generation linac independently from the intellectual property we obtained from the HES acquisition. In January of 2010, we entered into a Supply Agreement with AS&E, pursuant to which AS&E has acknowledged and agreed that our use of the intellectual property at issue did not breach or contravene the license agreement.

Table of Contents

The policies we have in place to protect our trade secrets may not be effective in preventing misappropriation of our trade secrets by others. In addition, confidentiality agreements executed by our employees, consultants and advisors may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure. Litigating a trade secret claim is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States are sometimes less willing to protect trade secrets. Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge methods and know-how. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, we may be unable to prevent competitors from using our own inventions and intellectual property to compete against us, and our business may be harmed.

We may be subject to claims that our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

As is common in the medical device industry, we employ individuals who were previously employed at other medical equipment or biotechnology companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. We may be subject to claims that we or these employees have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend or against these claims. For example, on September 3, 2009, Best Medical filed a lawsuit against us in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming we induced certain individuals to leave the employment of Best Medical and join our company in order to gain access to Best Medical's confidential information and trade secrets. Best Medical is seeking monetary damages and other relief. We filed a motion for summary judgment on May 20, 2011, Best Medical filed its response on June 21, 2011, and we filed a response to their response on July 8, 2011. On October 25, 2011, the court granted summary judgment in our favor on all counts. If Best Medical wishes to appeal the judgment, it must file its notice of appeal on or before November 25, 2011. We are now awaiting a ruling by the court. Best Medical is seeking monetary damages and other relief. Even if we are successful in defending against claims of this nature, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Third parties may claim we are infringing their intellectual property, and we could suffer significant litigation or licensing expenses or be prevented from selling our product.

The medical device industry is characterized by a substantial amount of litigation over patent and other intellectual property rights. In particular, the field of radiation treatment of cancer is well established and crowded with the intellectual property of competitors and others. We also expect that other participants will enter the field. A number of companies in our market, as well as universities and research institutions, have issued patents and have filed patent applications which relate to the use of radiation therapy and stereotactic radiosurgery to treat cancerous and benign tumors.

Determining whether a product infringes a patent involves complex legal and factual issues, and the outcome of patent litigation actions is often uncertain. We have not conducted an extensive search of patents issued to third parties, and no assurance can be given that third party patents containing claims covering our products, parts of our products, technology or methods do not exist, have not been filed, or could not be filed or issued. Because of the number of patents issued and patent applications filed in our technical areas or fields, our competitors or other third parties may assert that our products and the methods we employ in the use of our products are covered by United States or foreign patents held by them. For example, on August 6, 2010, Best Medical International, Inc., or Best Medical, filed a lawsuit against Accuray in the U.S. District court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, claiming Accuray has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,596,619, a patent that Best Medical alleges protects a method and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy, and on December 16, 2010, Best Medical filed an amended complaint, claiming that the Company also infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 6,038,283 and 7,266,175, both of which Best Medical alleges cover methods and apparatus for conformal radiation therapy. On March 9, 2011, the Court dismissed with prejudice all counts against the Company, except for two counts of alleged willful infringement of two of the patents. The Court issued a Scheduling Order on May 12, 2011 appointing a special master for claim construction, and setting a claim construction hearing on January 10, 2012. Best Medical moved to voluntarily dismiss one of the two remaining patents on June 28, 2011, which the court granted on June 30, 2011, leaving only one patent at issue in the case. On September 1,

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

2011, the Court modified its Scheduling Order, setting a claim construction hearing on January 24-25, 2012. Best Medical is seeking declaratory and injunctive relief as well as unspecified compensatory and treble damages and other relief.

In addition, because patent applications can take many years to issue and because publication schedules for pending applications vary by jurisdiction, there may be applications now pending of which we are unaware, and which may result in issued patents which our current or future products infringe. Also, because the claims of published patent applications can change between publication and patent grant, there may be published patent applications that may ultimately issue with claims that we infringe. There could also be existing patents that one or more of our products or parts may infringe and of which we are unaware. As the number of competitors in the market for less invasive cancer treatment alternatives grows, and as the number of patents issued in this area grows, the possibility of patent infringement claims against us increases. Regardless of the merit of infringement claims, they can be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel. Some of our

Table of Contents

competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex patent litigation more effectively than we can because they have substantially greater resources. In addition, any uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise the funds necessary to continue our operations.

In the event that we become subject to a patent infringement or other intellectual property lawsuit and if the relevant patents or other intellectual property were upheld as valid and enforceable and we were found to infringe or violate the terms of a license to which we are a party, we could be prevented from selling our products unless we could obtain a license or were able to redesign the product to avoid infringement. Required licenses may not be made available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we were unable to obtain a license or successfully redesign our system, we might be prevented from selling our system. If there is an allegation or determination that we have infringed the intellectual property rights of a competitor or other person, we may be required to pay damages, or a settlement or ongoing royalties. In these circumstances, we may be unable to sell our products at competitive prices or at all, our business and operating results could be harmed.

We could become subject to product liability claims, product recalls, other field actions and warranty claims that could be expensive, divert management's attention and harm our business.

Our business exposes us to potential liability risks that are inherent in the manufacturing, marketing and sale of medical device products. We may be held liable if a CyberKnife or TomoTherapy System causes injury or death or is found otherwise unsuitable during usage. Our products incorporate sophisticated components and computer software. Complex software can contain errors, particularly when first introduced. In addition, new products or enhancements may contain undetected errors or performance problems that, despite testing, are discovered only after installation. Because our products are designed to be used to perform complex surgical and therapeutic procedures involving delivery of radiation to the body, defects, even if small, could result in a number of complications, some of which could be serious and could harm or kill patients. Any weaknesses in training and services associated with our products may also be subject to product liability lawsuits. It is also possible that defects in the design, manufacture or labeling of our products might necessitate a product recall or other field corrective action, which may result in warranty claims beyond our expectations and may harm our reputation and create bad publicity. A product liability claim, regardless of its merit or eventual outcome, could result in significant legal defense costs. We may also be subject to claims for property damage or economic loss related to, or resulting from, any errors or defects in our products, or the installation, servicing and support of our products, or any professional services rendered in conjunction with our products. The coverage limits of our insurance policies may not be adequate to cover future claims. If sales of our products increase or we suffer future product liability claims, we may be unable to maintain product liability insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or with adequate amounts. A product liability claim, any product recalls or other field actions or excessive warranty claims, whether arising from defects in design or manufacture or labeling, could negatively affect our sales or require a change in the design, manufacturing process or the indications for which the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems may be used, any of which could harm our reputation and business and result in a decline in revenue.

In addition, if a product we designed or manufactured is defective, whether due to design or manufacturing, or labeling defects, improper use of the product or other reasons, we may be required to notify regulatory authorities and/or to recall the product, possibly at our expense. We have voluntarily conducted recalls and product corrections in the past, including two such recalls for the CyberKnife Systems, and two such recalls for the TomoTherapy Systems, during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Each of these recalls was initiated by Accuray. No serious adverse health consequences have been reported in connection with these recalls, and the costs associated with each such recall were not material. A required notification to a regulatory authority or recall could result in an investigation by regulatory authorities of our products, which could in turn result in required recalls, restrictions on the sale of the products or other civil or criminal penalties. The adverse publicity resulting from any of these actions could cause customers to review and potentially terminate their relationships with us. These investigations or recalls, especially if accompanied by unfavorable publicity or termination of customer contracts, could result in our incurring substantial costs, losing revenues and damaging our reputation, each of which would harm our business.

The safety and efficacy of our products for certain uses is not yet supported by long-term clinical data, and our products may therefore prove to be less safe and effective than initially thought.

Although we believe that the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems have advantages over competing products and technologies, we do not have sufficient clinical data demonstrating these advantages for all tumor indications. For example, because our CyberKnife procedures are relatively new, we have limited clinical data relating to the effectiveness of the CyberKnife System as a means of controlling the growth of cancer at a particular body site. In addition, we have only limited five-year patient survival rate data, which is a common long-term measure of clinical effectiveness in cancer treatment. Further, future patient studies or clinical experience may indicate that treatment with the CyberKnife System does not improve patient survival or outcomes.

Table of Contents

Likewise, because the TomoTherapy System has only been on the market since 2003, we have limited complication or patient survival rate data with respect to treatment using the system. In addition, while the effectiveness of radiation therapy is well understood, there is a growing but still limited number of peer-reviewed medical journal publications regarding the efficacy of highly conformal treatment such as that delivered by the TomoTherapy System. If future patient studies or clinical experience do not support our beliefs that the TomoTherapy System offers a more advantageous treatment for a wide variety of cancer types, use of the system could fail to increase or could decrease, and our business would therefore be adversely affected.

Such results could slow the adoption of our products by physicians, significantly reduce our ability to achieve expected revenues and could prevent us from becoming profitable. In addition, if future results and experience indicate that our products cause unexpected or serious complications or other unforeseen negative effects, the FDA could rescind our clearances, our reputation with physicians, patients and others may suffer and we could be subject to significant legal liability.

The CyberKnife Systems have been in use for a limited period of time for uses outside the brain, and the medical community has not yet developed a large quantity of peer-reviewed literature that supports safe and effective use in those locations in the body.

The CyberKnife System was initially cleared by a number of regulatory authorities for the treatment of tumors in the brain and neck. More recently, the CyberKnife Systems have been cleared in the United States to treat tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated, and our future growth is dependent in large part on continued growth in full body use of the system. Currently, however, there are a limited number of peer-reviewed medical journal publications regarding the safety and efficacy of the CyberKnife System for treatment of tumors outside the brain or spine. If later studies show that the CyberKnife Systems are less effective or less safe with respect to particular types of solid tumors, or in the event clinical studies do not achieve the results anticipated at the outset of the study, use of the CyberKnife System could fail to increase or could decrease and our growth and operating results would therefore be harmed.

Any failure in our physician training efforts could result in potential liabilities.

We rely on physicians to devote adequate time to learn to use our products. If physicians are not properly trained, they may misuse or ineffectively use our products. This may result in unsatisfactory patient outcomes, patient injury and related liability or negative publicity which could have an adverse effect on our product sales.

International sales of our products account for a significant portion of our revenue, which exposes us to risks inherent in international operations.

Our international sales have increased over the last four fiscal years. The percentage of our revenue derived from sales outside of the United States for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was 51% and 39%, respectively. To accommodate our international sales, we have invested significant financial and management resources to develop an international infrastructure that will meet the needs of our customers. We anticipate that a significant portion of our revenue will continue to be derived from sales of our products in foreign markets and that the percentage of our overall revenue that is derived from these markets may continue to increase. This revenue and related operations will therefore continue to be subject to the risks associated with international operations, including:

- Economic or political instability;
- Shipping delays;
- Changes in foreign regulatory laws governing, among other matters, the clearance, approval and sales of medical devices;
- The potential failure to comply with foreign regulatory requirements to market our products on a timely basis or at all;
- Difficulties in enforcing agreements with and collecting receivables from customers outside the United States;
- Longer payment cycles associated with many customers outside the United States;
- Adequate coverage and reimbursement for the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy treatment procedures outside the United States;
- Failure of local laws to provide the same degree of protection against infringement of our intellectual property;
- Protectionist laws and business practices that favor local competitors;
- The possibility that foreign countries may impose additional taxes, tariffs or other restrictions on foreign trade;
- Failure of Accuray employees or distributors to comply with export laws and requirements which may result in civil or criminal penalties and restrictions on our ability to export our products;

Table of Contents

- The expense and difficulty of establishing and managing facilities and operations in foreign markets;
- Building an organization capable of supporting geographically dispersed operations;
- Risks relating to foreign currency, including fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates; and
- Contractual provisions governed by foreign laws and various trade restrictions, including U.S. prohibitions and restrictions on exports of certain products and technologies to certain nations.

Our inability to overcome these obstacles could harm our business, financial condition and operating results. Even if we are successful in managing these obstacles, our partners internationally are subject to these same risks and may not be able to manage these obstacles effectively.

Our international operations are also subject to laws regarding the conduct of business overseas, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, and the recently adopted U.K. Bribery Act of 2010. The FCPA prohibits the provision of illegal or improper inducements to foreign government officials in connection with the obtaining of business overseas. Violations of the FCPA or other similar laws by us or any of our employees, executive officers, distributors or other agents could subject us or the individuals involved to criminal or civil liability and could therefore materially harm our business.

In addition, future imposition of, or significant increases in, the level of customs duties, export quotas, regulatory restrictions or trade restrictions could materially harm our business.

Our results may be impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Currently, the majority of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. As a result, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could require us to reduce our sales price or make our products less competitive in international markets. Also, if our international sales increase, we may enter into a greater number of transactions denominated in non-U.S. dollars, which would expose us to foreign currency risks, including changes in currency exchange rates. If we are unable to address these risks and challenges effectively, our international operations may not be successful and our business would be materially harmed.

We depend on third-party distributors to market and distribute our products in international markets. If our distributors fail to successfully market and distribute our products, our business will be materially harmed.

We depend on a limited number of distributors in our international markets. We have maintained both the distributors we had prior to the acquisition of TomoTherapy as well as TomoTherapy's distributors, as product-specific distributors of our systems. We are evaluating whether to consolidate distribution channels in the jurisdictions in which we have multiple distributors. We cannot control the efforts and resources our third-party distributors will devote to marketing the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems. Our distributors may not be able to successfully market and sell the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, may not devote sufficient time and resources to support the marketing and selling efforts and may not market the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems at prices that will permit the product to develop, achieve or sustain market acceptance. In some jurisdictions, we rely on our distributors to manage the regulatory process and we are dependent on their ability to do so effectively. For example, our regulatory approval in Japan was suspended for a period of twelve months during 2003 as a result of a failure of our former CyberKnife System distributor to coordinate product modifications and obtain necessary regulatory clearances in a timely manner. As a result, the CyberKnife System was recalled in Japan and our former Japanese distributor was told to stop selling the CyberKnife System. In response, we retained a regulatory consultant who was not affiliated with our former Japanese distributor and worked with the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare and applied for, and received, approval to sell an updated version of the CyberKnife System under the name of CyberKnife II in Japan. By working with a new distributor, Chiyoda Technol Corporation, we were able to begin distributing the CyberKnife II System in 2004 with no probationary period. In addition, if a distributor is terminated by us or goes out of business, it may take us a period of time to locate an alternative distributor, to seek appropriate regulatory approvals and to train its personnel to market the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, and our ability to sell and service the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems in the region formerly serviced by such terminated distributor could be materially adversely affected. Any of these factors could materially adversely affect our revenue from international markets, increase our costs in those markets or damage our reputation. If we are unable to attract additional international distributors, our international revenue may not grow. If our distributors experience difficulties, do not actively market the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems or do not otherwise perform under our distribution agreements, our potential for revenue and gross margins from international markets may be dramatically reduced, and our business could be harmed. Finally, our efforts to consolidate distributors, if any, may not prove to be successful and may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We have limited experience and capability in manufacturing. If we encounter manufacturing problems, or if our manufacturing facilities do not continue to meet federal, state or foreign manufacturing standards, we may be required to temporarily cease all or part of our manufacturing operations, which would result in delays and lost revenue.

The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are complex, and require the integration of a number of components from several sources of supply. We must manufacture and assemble these complex systems in commercial quantities in compliance with strictly enforced regulatory requirements and at an acceptable cost. We have a limited history of manufacturing commercial quantities of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. In particular, we manufacture compact linacs as a component of the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. Our linac components are extremely complex devices and require significant expertise to manufacture, and as a result of our limited manufacturing experience we may have difficulty producing needed materials in a commercially viable manner. We may encounter difficulties in scaling up production of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, including problems with quality control and assurance, component supply shortages, increased costs, shortages of qualified personnel and/or difficulties associated with compliance with local, state, federal and foreign regulatory requirements. If our manufacturing capacity does not keep pace with product demand, we will not be able to fulfill orders in a timely manner which in turn may have a negative effect on our financial results and overall business. Conversely, if demand for our products decreases, the fixed costs associated with excess manufacturing capacity may adversely affect our financial results.

Our manufacturing processes and the manufacturing processes of our third-party suppliers are required to comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR. The QSR is a complex regulatory scheme that covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production processes, controls, manufacturing, labeling, quality assurance, packaging, storage and shipping of our products. Furthermore, we are required to verify that our suppliers maintain facilities, procedures and operations that comply with our quality requirements. We are also subject to state requirements and licenses applicable to manufacturers of medical devices, and we are required to comply with International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, quality system standards in order to produce products for sale in Europe, as well as various other foreign laws and regulations. Because our manufacturing processes include diagnostic and therapeutic X-ray equipment and laser equipment, we are subject to the electronic product radiation control provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, which requires that we file reports with the FDA, applicable states and our customers regarding the distribution, manufacturing and installation of these types of equipment. The FDA enforces the QSR and the electronic product radiation control provisions through periodic inspections, some of which may be unannounced. We have been, and anticipate in the future to be, subject to such inspections. FDA inspections usually occur every two to three years. During such inspections, the FDA may issue Inspectional Observations on Form FDA 483, listing instances where the manufacturer has failed to comply with applicable regulations and procedures, or warning letters. Our Sunnyvale facility, where we manufacture the CyberKnife System, was most recently inspected by the FDA in 2011. The 2011 inspection resulted in several observations. The initial classification of the inspection is considered to be Voluntary Action Indicated. We have undertaken corrective action in response to the FDA's observations.

If a manufacturer does not adequately address the observations, the FDA may take enforcement action against the manufacturer, including the imposition of fines, restriction of the ability to export product, total shutdown of production facilities and criminal prosecution. If we or a third-party supplier receive a Form FDA 483 classified as Official Action Indicated, fail to pass a QSR inspection, or fail to comply with these, ISO and other applicable regulatory requirements, our operations could be disrupted and our manufacturing operations could be delayed. Our failure to take prompt and satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse inspection or our failure to comply with applicable standards could result in enforcement actions, including a public warning letter, a shutdown of our manufacturing operations, a recall of our products, civil or criminal penalties, or other sanctions, which would cause our sales and business to suffer. In addition, because some foreign regulatory approvals are based on approvals or clearances from the FDA, any failure to comply with FDA requirements may also disrupt our sales of products in other countries. We cannot assure you that the FDA or other governmental authorities would agree with our interpretation of applicable regulatory requirements or that we or our third-party suppliers have in all instances fully complied with all applicable requirements. If any of these events occurs, our reputation could be harmed, we could lose customers and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we cannot achieve the required level and quality of production, we may need to outsource production or rely on licensing and other arrangements with third parties who possess sufficient manufacturing facilities and capabilities in compliance with regulatory requirements.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Even if we could outsource needed production or enter into licensing or other third party arrangements, this could reduce our gross margin and expose us to the risks inherent in relying on others. We also cannot assure you that our suppliers will deliver an adequate supply of required components on a timely basis or that they will adequately comply with the QSR. Failure to obtain these components on a timely basis would disrupt our manufacturing processes and increase our costs, which would harm our operating results.

Table of Contents

We depend on key employees, the loss of whom would adversely affect our business. If we fail to attract and retain employees with the expertise required for our business, we may be unable to continue to grow our business.

We are highly dependent on the members of our senior management, operations and research and development staff. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to retain these key employees and to identify, hire and retain additional personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the medical device industry, particularly in northern California and in Madison, Wisconsin, is intense, and finding and retaining qualified personnel with experience in our industry is very difficult. We believe there are only a limited number of individuals with the requisite skills to serve in many of our key positions and we compete for key personnel with other medical equipment and software manufacturers and technology companies, as well as universities and research institutions. It is increasingly difficult to hire and retain these persons, and we may be unable to replace key persons if they leave or fill new positions requiring key persons with appropriate experience. A significant portion of our compensation to our key employees is in the form of stock option grants. A prolonged depression in our stock price could make it difficult for us to retain our employees and recruit additional qualified personnel. We do not maintain, and do not currently intend to obtain, key employee life insurance on any of our personnel. If we fail to hire and retain personnel in key positions, we may be unable to continue to grow our business successfully.

If we do not effectively manage our growth, our business may be significantly harmed.

In order to implement our business strategy, we expect continued growth in our infrastructure requirements, particularly as we expand our manufacturing and research and development capacities. To manage our growth, we must expand our facilities, augment our management, operational and financial systems, hire and train additional qualified personnel, scale-up our manufacturing capacity and expand our marketing and distribution capabilities. Our manufacturing, assembly and installation process is complex and occurs over many months, and we must effectively scale this entire process to satisfy customer expectations and changes in demand. Further, to accommodate our growth and compete effectively, we will be required to improve our information systems. We cannot be certain that our personnel, systems, procedures and internal controls will be adequate to support our future operations. If we cannot manage our growth effectively, our business will suffer.

Changes in interpretation or application of generally accepted accounting principles may adversely affect our operating results.

We prepare our financial statements to conform with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. These principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission and various other regulatory or accounting bodies. A change in interpretations of, or our application of, these principles can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before a change is announced. Additionally, as we are required to adopt new accounting standards, our methods of accounting for certain items may change, which could cause our results of operations to fluctuate from period to period. For example, due to the significance of the software component in certain of our products, we are currently bound by the software revenue recognition rules for a portion of our business. We adopted ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and the impact of the adoption of ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 on our consolidated financial statements has been assessed at Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*. The application of different types of accounting principles and related potential changes may make it more difficult to compare our financial results from quarter to quarter, and the trading price of our common stock could suffer or become more volatile as a result.

As a strategy to assist our sales efforts, we may offer extended payment terms, which may potentially result in higher Days Sales Outstanding and greater payment defaults.

We offer longer or extended payment terms for qualified customers in some circumstances. As of September 30, 2011, customer contracts with extended payment terms of more than one year amounted to less than 2% of our accounts receivable balance. While we qualify customers to whom we offer longer or extended payment terms, their financial positions may change adversely over the longer time period given for payment. This may result in an increase in payment defaults, which would affect our net earnings. Also, longer or extended payment terms have, and may in the future, result in an increase in our days sales outstanding, or DSO.

Our ability to raise capital in the future may be limited, and our failure to raise capital when needed could prevent us from executing our growth strategy.

While we believe that our existing cash and short-term and long-term investments will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next twelve months, the timing and amount of our working capital and capital expenditure requirements may vary significantly depending on numerous factors, including the other risk factors described above and below, as well as:

Table of Contents

- Market acceptance of our products;
- The need to adapt to changing technologies and technical requirements;
- Our ability to continue to increase orders growth and revenue, manage expenses and integrate the TomoTherapy business;
- Our ability to improve service margins;
- The existence of opportunities for expansion; and
- Access to and availability of sufficient management, technical, marketing and financial personnel.

If our capital resources are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity securities or debt securities or obtain other debt financing, which could be difficult or impossible in the current economic and capital markets environments. Our debt levels may impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders. We cannot assure that additional financing, if required, will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

We may attempt to acquire new businesses, products or technologies, or enter into strategic collaborations or alliances, and if we are unable to successfully complete these acquisitions or to integrate acquired businesses, products, technologies or employees, we may fail to realize expected benefits or harm our existing business.

Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to expand our product offerings and grow our business in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. In some circumstances, we may determine to do so through the acquisition of complementary businesses, products or technologies, or through collaborating with complementary businesses, rather than through internal development. The identification of suitable acquisition or alliance candidates can be difficult, time consuming and costly, and we may not be able to successfully complete identified acquisitions or alliances. Other companies may compete with us for these strategic opportunities. Furthermore, even if we successfully complete an acquisition or alliance, we may not be able to successfully integrate newly acquired organizations, products or technologies into our operations, and the process of integration could be expensive, time consuming and may strain our resources, and we may not realize the expected benefits of any acquisition, collaboration or strategic alliance. Furthermore, the products and technologies that we acquire or with respect to which we collaborate may not be successful, or may require significantly greater resources and investments than we originally anticipated. In addition, we may be unable to retain employees of acquired companies, or retain the acquired company's customers, suppliers, distributors or other partners who are our competitors or who have close relationships with our competitors. Consequently, we may not achieve anticipated benefits of the acquisitions or alliances which could harm our existing business. In addition, future acquisitions or alliances could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities or the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or expenses, or other charges such as in-process research and development, any of which could harm our business and affect our financial results or cause a reduction

in the price of our common stock.

Our liquidity could be adversely impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At September 30, 2011, we had \$140.2 million in cash and cash equivalents. The available cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third party financial institutions and consist of invested cash and cash in our operating accounts. The invested cash is invested in interest bearing funds managed by third party financial institutions. These funds invest in direct obligations of the government of the United States. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

At any point in time, we also have funds in our operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC insurance limits. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or become subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

Table of Contents

Our operations are vulnerable to interruption or loss due to natural disasters, epidemics, terrorist acts and other events beyond our control, which would adversely affect our business.

We have facilities in countries around the world, including three manufacturing facilities, each of which is equipped to manufacture unique components of our products. The manufacturing facilities are located in Sunnyvale, California, Madison, Wisconsin and Chengdu, China. We do not maintain backup manufacturing facilities for all of our manufacturing facilities, so we depend on each of our current facilities for the continued operation of our business. In addition, we conduct a significant portion of other activities, including administration and data processing, at facilities located in the State of California which has experienced major earthquakes in the past, as well as other natural disasters. Chengdu, China, where one of our manufacturing facilities is located, has also experienced major earthquakes in the past. We do not carry earthquake insurance. Unexpected events at any of our facilities, including fires or explosions; natural disasters, such as hurricanes, tornados and earthquakes; war or terrorist activities; unplanned outages; supply disruptions; and failures of equipment or systems, could significantly disrupt our operations, delay or prevent product manufacture and shipment for the time required to repair, rebuild or replace our manufacturing facilities, which could be lengthy, result in large expenses to repair or replace the facilities, and adversely affect our results of operation.

In addition, the recent earthquake and tsunami in Japan, and other collateral events, including, among others, the catastrophic loss of lives, businesses, infrastructure, and delays in transportation, may have a direct negative impact on us or an indirect impact on us by affecting our employees, customers, or the overall economy in Japan, and as a result, we may experience a reduction in demand for our products and services. In addition, we have experienced, and may continue to experience, delays in sales to potential customers in Japan. We may also experience delays in installation schedules for, or cancellations of sales to, existing Japanese customers. If installation schedules are delayed or products are not accepted by our customers in a timely manner, our reported revenues may differ materially from expectations. As a result of these events, our revenue and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Risks Related to the Regulation of our Products and Business

Healthcare reform legislation could adversely affect demand for our products, our revenue and our financial condition.

Healthcare costs have risen significantly over the past decade. There have been and continue to be proposals by legislators, regulators, and third-party payors to keep these costs down. Certain proposals, if passed, may impose limitations on the coverage or amounts of reimbursement available for our products from governmental agencies or third-party payors. These limitations could have a negative impact on the demand for our products and services, and therefore on our financial position and results of operations and a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 23, 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act was signed into law, and on March 30, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 was signed into law. Together, the two measures make the most sweeping and fundamental changes to the U.S. health care system since the creation of Medicare and Medicaid. The Health Care Reform laws include a large number of health-related provisions to take effect over the next four years, including expanding Medicaid eligibility, requiring most individuals to have health insurance, establishing new regulations on health plans, establishing health insurance exchanges, requiring manufacturers to report payments or other transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals, modifying certain payment systems to encourage more cost-effective care and a reduction of inefficiencies and waste and including new tools to address fraud and abuse. There continue to be many programs and requirements for which the details have not yet been fully established or consequences not fully understood, and it is unclear what the full impact of the legislation will be. Effective in 2013, there will be a 2.3% excise tax on U.S. sales of medical devices. U.S. net sales represented 55% of our worldwide net sales in 2011, and therefore, this tax burden may have a material, negative impact on our results of operations and our cash flow.

In addition, various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. We cannot predict the exact effect newly enacted laws or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, the implementation of new legislation and regulation may materially lower reimbursements for our products, materially reduce medical procedure volumes and significantly and adversely affect our business.

Modifications, upgrades and future products related to the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems or new indications may require new FDA 510(k) clearances or premarket approvals, and such modifications, or any defects in design, manufacture or labeling may require us to recall or cease marketing the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems until approvals or clearances are obtained.

The CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are medical devices that are subject to extensive regulation in the United States by local, state and the federal government, including by the FDA. The FDA regulates virtually all aspects of a medical device's design, development, testing manufacturing, labeling, storage, record keeping, adverse event, reporting, sale, promotion,

Table of Contents

distribution and shipping. Before a new medical device, or a new intended use or indication of or claim for an existing product, can be marketed in the United States, it must first receive either premarket approval or 510(k) clearance from the FDA, unless an exemption exists. Either process can be expensive and lengthy. The FDA's 510(k) clearance process generally takes from three to twelve months, but it can last longer. The process of obtaining premarket approval is much more costly and uncertain than the 510(k) clearance process and it generally takes from one to three years, or even longer, from the time the application is filed with the FDA. Despite the time, effort and cost, there can be no assurance that a particular device or a modification of a device will be approved or cleared by the FDA through either the premarket approval process or 510(k) clearance process. Even if we are granted regulatory clearances or approvals, they may include significant limitations on the indicated uses of the product, which may limit the market for those products, and how those products can be promoted.

Medical devices may be marketed only for the indications for which they are approved or cleared. The FDA also may change its policies, adopt additional regulations, or revise existing regulations, each of which could prevent or delay premarket approval or 510(k) clearance of our device, or could impact our ability to market our currently cleared device. We are also subject to medical device reporting regulations which require us to report to the FDA if our products cause or contribute to a death or a serious injury, or malfunction in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or a serious injury. We also are subject to Quality System regulations. Our products are also subject to state regulations and various worldwide laws and regulations.

A component of our strategy is to continue to upgrade the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. Upgrades previously released by us required 510(k) clearance before we were able to offer them for sale. We expect our future upgrades will similarly require 510(k) clearance; however, future upgrades may be subject to the substantially more time consuming and uncertain premarket approval process. If we were required to use the premarket approval process for future products or product modifications, it could delay or prevent release of the proposed products or modifications, which could harm our business.

The FDA requires device manufacturers to make their own determination of whether or not a modification requires an approval or clearance; however, the FDA can review a manufacturer's decision not to submit for additional approvals or clearances. Any modification to an FDA approved or cleared device that would significantly affect its safety or efficacy or that would constitute a major change in its intended use would require a new premarket approval or 510(k) clearance. The FDA has recently issued a draft guidance that, if finalized, will result in manufacturers needing to seek a significant number of new or additional clearances for changes made to legally marketed devices. We cannot assure you that the FDA will agree with our decisions not to seek approvals or clearances for particular device modifications or that we will be successful in obtaining 510(k) clearances for modifications.

We have obtained 510(k) clearance for the CyberKnife System for the treatment of tumors anywhere in the body where radiation is indicated, and we have obtained 510(k) clearance for the TomoTherapy Systems to be used as integrated systems for the planning and delivery of IMRT for the treatment of cancer. The TomoTherapy Systems provide precise delivery of radiation to tumors while minimizing the delivery of radiation to vital healthy tissue. The TomoTherapy Systems deliver the radiation therapy, or stereotactic radiotherapy or radiosurgery, treatment in accordance with the physician approved plan using IMRT techniques delivered in a helical tomographic pattern. We have made modifications to the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems in the past and may make additional modifications in the future that we believe do not or will not require additional approvals or clearances. If the FDA disagrees, based on new finalized guidance and requires us to obtain additional premarket approvals or 510(k) clearances for any modifications to the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems and we fail to obtain such approvals or clearances or fail to secure approvals or clearances in a timely manner, we may be required to cease manufacturing and marketing the modified device or to recall such modified device until we obtain FDA approval or clearance and we may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties.

In addition, even if the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems are not modified, the FDA and similar governmental authorities in other countries in which we market and sell our products have the authority to require the recall of our products in the event of material deficiencies or

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

defects in design, manufacture or labeling. A government mandated recall, or a voluntary recall by us, could occur as a result of component failures, manufacturing errors or design defects, including defects in labeling and user manuals. We have voluntarily conducted recalls and product corrections in the past, including two such recalls for the CyberKnife Systems, and two such recalls for the TomoTherapy Systems, during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Each of these recalls was voluntarily initiated by Accuray. To date, no serious health consequences have been reported in connection with these recalls, and the costs associated with each such recall were not material. We cannot ensure that the FDA will not require that we take additional actions to address problems that resulted in previous recalls. Any recall could divert management's attention, cause us to incur significant expenses, generate negative publicity, harm our reputation with customers, negatively affect our future sales and business, require redesign of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems, and harm our operating results. In these circumstances, we may also be subject to significant enforcement action. If any of these events were to occur, our ability to introduce new or enhanced products in a timely manner would be adversely affected, which in turn would harm our future growth.

Table of Contents

If we or our distributors do not obtain and maintain the necessary regulatory approvals in a specific country, we will not be able to market and sell our products in that country.

To be able to market and sell our products in a specific country, we or our distributors must comply with applicable laws and regulations of that country. In jurisdictions where we rely on our distributors to manage the regulatory process, we are dependent on their ability to do so effectively. While the laws and regulations of some countries do not impose barriers to marketing and selling our products or only require notification, others require that we or our distributors obtain the approval of a specified regulatory body. These laws and regulations, including the requirements for approvals, and the time required for regulatory review vary from country to country. The governmental agencies regulating medical devices in some countries, for example, require that the user interface on medical device software be in the local language. We currently provide user guides and manuals, both paper copies and electronically, in the local language but only provide an English language version of the user interface. Obtaining regulatory approvals is expensive and time-consuming, and we cannot be certain that we or our distributors will receive regulatory approvals in each country in which we market or plan to market our products. If we modify our products, we or our distributors may need to apply for additional regulatory approvals before we are permitted to sell them. We may not continue to meet the quality and safety standards required to maintain the authorizations that we or our distributors have received. It can also be costly for us and our distributors to keep up with regulatory changes issued or mandated from time to time. If we change distributors, it may be time-consuming and disruptive to our business to transfer the required regulatory approvals, particularly if such approvals are maintained by our third-party distributors on our behalf. If we or our distributors are unable to maintain our authorizations, or fail to obtain appropriate authorizations in a particular country, we will no longer be able to sell our products in that country, and our ability to generate revenue will be materially adversely affected.

Within the European Union, we are required under the Medical Device Directive to affix the Conformité Européene, or CE, mark on our products in order to sell the products in member countries of the EU. This conformity to the applicable directives is done through self declaration and is verified by an independent certification body, called a Notified body, before the CE mark can be placed on the device. Once the CE mark is affixed to the device, the Notified Body will regularly audit us to ensure that we remain in compliance with the applicable European laws or directives. CE marking demonstrates that our products comply with the laws and regulations required by the European Union countries to allow free movement of trade within those countries. If we cannot support our performance claims and/or demonstrate or maintain compliance with the applicable European laws and directives, we lose our CE mark, which would prevent us from selling our products within the European Union.

Under the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law in Japan, a pre-market approval necessary to sell, market and import a product, or *shonin*, must be obtained from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, or MHLW, for our products. Before issuing approvals, MHLW examines the application in detail with regard to the quality, efficacy, and safety of the proposed medical device. The *shonin* is granted once MHLW is content with the safety and effectiveness of the medical device. The time required for approval varies. A delay in approval could prevent us from selling our products in Japan, which could impact our ability to generate revenue and harm our business.

In addition to laws and regulations regarding medical devices, we are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations regulating our operations, including those relating to the use, generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous materials, which laws impose compliance costs on our business and can also result in liability to us. For example, we are in the process of updating the way our products are built such that they will be compliant with the recast Directive on Restriction of the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment, or the RoHS Directive, which applies to medical devices beginning in July 2014. The recast RoHS Directive bans the placing on the EU market of new electrical and electronic equipment containing more than certain levels of lead, mercury, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, polybrominated biphenyl (PBB) and polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE).

Future legislative or regulatory changes to the healthcare system may affect our business.

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

In the United States, there have been, and we expect there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposals to change the healthcare system, and some could involve changes that significantly affect our business. In addition, certain federal regulatory changes occur at least annually.

In April 2008, at the time CMS published final 2009 Medicare inpatient reimbursement rates, CMS issued final rules implementing significant amendments to the regulations under the federal Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, which is more commonly known as the Stark Law, with an effective date of October 1, 2009. These regulations, among other things, impose additional limitations on the ability of physicians to refer patients to medical facilities in which the physician has an ownership interest for treatment. Among other things, the regulations provide that leases of equipment between physician owners that may refer patients and hospitals must be on a fixed rate, rather than a per use, basis. Physician owned entities have increasingly become involved in the acquisition of medical technologies, including the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. In many cases, these entities enter

Table of Contents

into arrangements with hospitals that bill Medicare for the furnishing of medical services, and the physician owners are among the physicians who refer patients to the entity for services. The regulations limit these arrangements and could require the restructuring of existing arrangements between physicians owned entities and hospitals and may also discourage physicians from participating in the acquisition and ownership of medical technologies. As a result of the finalization of these regulations, some existing CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System operators may have to modify or restructure their corporate or organizational structures. In addition, certain existing customers that planned to open CyberKnife or TomoTherapy centers in the United States involving physician ownership could also have to restructure. Accordingly, these regulations could reduce the attractiveness of medical technology acquisitions, including CyberKnife and TomoTherapy System purchases, by physician-owned joint ventures or similar entities. As a result, these regulations could have an adverse impact on our product sales and therefore on our business and results of operations.

On August 3, 2010, the FDA released for public comment two internal working group reports with numerous recommendations (1) to improve the 510(k) process and (2) to utilize science in regulatory decision making in ways that encourage innovation yet maintain predictability. The public comment period closed in early October 2010 and the FDA is targeting the implementation of or setting timelines for the implementation of non-controversial recommendations in 2011. At the same time, the FDA acknowledges that the recommendations are preliminary and no decisions have been made on specific changes to pursue. Nevertheless, we anticipate significant changes will result in the way 510(k) programs will operate and the increased data requirements, including clinical data, to obtain 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. We cannot predict what effect these reforms will have on our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances or PMA approvals in a timely manner or the effect on our business.

On June 9 and 10, 2010, the FDA held a public meeting entitled "Device Improvements to Reduce the Number of Under-doses, Over-doses, and Misaligned Exposures from Therapeutic Radiation." The expressed purpose of the meeting was to discuss steps that could be taken by manufacturers of radiation therapy devices to help reduce misadministration and misaligned exposures that have been reported in the press. In advance of and at the meeting, the FDA requested comments in the following areas: features that should be incorporated into radiation therapy devices and their related software, user training, and quality assurance measures. It is likely that the FDA will use the information gleaned at this meeting to significantly revise the standards and requirements for designing, manufacturing and marketing devices such as ours, creating uncertainty in the current regulatory environment around our current products and development of future products. Future legislative or policy initiatives directed at reducing costs could be introduced at either the federal or state level. We cannot predict what healthcare reform legislation or regulations, if any, will be enacted in the United States or elsewhere, what impact any legislation or regulations related to the healthcare system that may be enacted or adopted in the future might have on our business, or the effect of ongoing uncertainty or public perception about these matters will have on the purchasing decisions of our customers.

In July 2011, the Institute of Medicine, or IOM, which was requested by the FDA to evaluate and make recommendations on the 510(k) program, released its report entitled "Medical Devices and the Public Health, the FDA 510(k) Clearance Process." The report contained numerous and broad recommendations that, if followed, will have a significant impact on the medical device industry in general, and our operations specifically. We cannot predict what effect the recommendations by the IOM in its report to the FDA will have on the 510(k) program or our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances in a timely manner.

We are required to comply with federal and state fraud and abuse laws, and if we are unable to comply with such laws, we could face substantial penalties and we could be excluded from government healthcare programs, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are directly or indirectly through our customers, subject to various federal, state and foreign laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse. These laws which directly or indirectly affect our ability to operate our business primarily include, but are not limited to, the following:

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

- The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits persons from soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce either the referral of an individual, or furnishing or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid;
- State law equivalents to the Anti-Kickback Statute, which may not be limited to government reimbursed items;
- The Ethics in Patient Referral Act of 1989, also known as the Stark Law, which prohibits, subject to certain exceptions, physician referrals of Medicare and Medicaid patients to an entity providing certain designated health services if the physician or an immediate family member has any financial relationship with the entity. The Stark Law also prohibits the entity receiving the referral from billing for any good or service furnished pursuant to an unlawful referral;

Table of Contents

- State law equivalents to the Stark Law, which may provide even broader restrictions and require greater disclosures than the federal law;
- The federal False Claims Act, which prohibits the knowing filing or causing the filing of a false claim or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from the federal government; and
- Similar laws in foreign countries where we conduct business.

The following arrangements with purchasers and their agents have been identified by the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services as ones raising potential risk of violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute:

- Discount and free good arrangements that are not properly disclosed or accurately reported to federal healthcare programs;
- Product support services, including billing assistance, reimbursement consultation, marketing and other services specifically tied to support of the purchased product, offered in tandem with another service or program (such as reimbursement guarantee) that confers a benefit to the purchaser;
- Educational grants conditioned in whole or in part on the purchase of equipment, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations;
- Research funding arrangements, particularly post-market research activities, that are linked directly or indirectly to the purchase of products, or otherwise inappropriately influenced by sales and marketing considerations; and
- Other offers of remuneration to purchasers that is expressly or impliedly related to a sale or sales volume, such as prebates and upfront payment, other free or reduced-price goods or services, and payments to cover costs of converting from a competitor's products, particularly where the selection criteria for such offers vary with the volume or value of business generated.

We have various arrangements with physicians, hospitals and other entities which implicate these laws. For example, physicians who own our stock also provide medical advisory and other consulting and personal services. Similarly, we have a variety of different types of arrangements with our customers. For example, our shared ownership program entails the provision of our products to our customers under a deferred payment program, where we generally receive the greater of a fixed minimum payment or a portion of the revenues of services. Included in the fee we charge for the placement and shared ownership program are a variety of services, including physician training, educational and marketing support, general reimbursement guidance and technical support. In the past, we have also provided loans to our customers. We also provide

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

research grants to customers to support customer studies related to, among other things, our CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems. Certain of these arrangements do not meet Anti-Kickback Statute safe harbor protections, which may result in increased scrutiny by government authorities having responsibility for enforcing these laws.

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations to which we or our customers are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including significant civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The impact of any such violations may lead to curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased by the fact that many of these laws are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management's attention from the operation of our business and damage our reputation. If enforcement action were to occur, our reputation and our business and financial condition may be harmed, even if we were to prevail or settle the action. Similarly, if the physicians or other providers or entities with which we do business are found to be non-compliant with applicable laws, they may be subject to sanctions, which could also have a negative impact on our business.

If we are found to have violated laws protecting the confidentiality of patient health information, we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties, which could increase our liabilities and harm our reputation or our business.

There are a number of federal and state laws protecting the confidentiality of certain patient health information, including patient records, and restricting the use and disclosure of that protected information. In particular, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has promulgated patient privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA. These privacy rules protect medical records and other personal health information of patients by limiting their use and disclosure, giving patients the right to access, amend and seek accounting of their own health information and limiting most uses and disclosures of health information to the minimum amount reasonably necessary to accomplish the intended purpose. Although we are not a covered entity under HIPAA, we have entered into agreements with certain covered entities under which we are

Table of Contents

considered to be a business associate under HIPAA. As a business associate, we are required to implement policies, procedures and reasonable and appropriate physical, technical and administrative security measures to protect individually identifiable health information we receive from covered entities. Our failure to protect health information received from customers could subject us to liability to both the government and the covered entity, adverse publicity, and could harm our business and impair our ability to attract new customers.

The HIPAA privacy standard was recently amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH), enacted as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. HITECH significantly increases the civil money penalties for violations of patient privacy rights protected under HIPAA. Furthermore, as of February 2010, Business Associates who have access to patient health information provided by hospitals and healthcare providers are now directly subject to HIPAA, including a new enforcement scheme and inspection requirements.

Certain governmental agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the Federal Trade Commission, have the authority to protect against the misuse of consumer information by targeting companies that collect, disseminate or maintain personal information in an unfair or deceptive manner. We are also subject to the laws of those foreign jurisdictions in which we sell the CyberKnife and TomoTherapy Systems, some of which currently have more protective privacy laws. If we fail to comply with applicable regulations in this area, our business and prospects could be harmed.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock is volatile and may continue to fluctuate significantly, which could lead to losses for stockholders.

The trading prices of the stock of high-technology companies of our size can experience extreme price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or out of proportion to the operating performance of these companies. Our stock price has experienced periods of volatility. Broad market fluctuations may also harm our stock price. Any negative change in the public's perception of the prospects of companies that employ similar technology or sell into similar markets could also depress our stock price, regardless of our actual results.

In addition to the other risk factors described above and below, factors affecting the trading price of our common stock include:

- Regulatory developments related to manufacturing, marketing or sale of the CyberKnife or TomoTherapy Systems;
- Our ability to successfully integrate the TomoTherapy acquisition;
- Economic changes and overall market volatility;

- Political or social uncertainties;
- Changes in product pricing policies;
- Variations in our operating results, as well as costs and expenditures;
- Changes in our operating results as a result of problems with our internal controls;
- Announcements of technological innovations, new services or service enhancements, strategic alliances or significant agreements by us or by our competitors;
- Recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- Changes in the estimates of our operating results or changes in recommendations by any securities analyst that elects to follow our common stock;
- Market conditions in our industry, the industries of our customers and the economy as a whole;
- Sales of large blocks of our common stock; and
- Changes in accounting principles or changes in interpretations of existing principles, which could affect our financial results.

Table of Contents

The acquisition of TomoTherapy may not be accretive and may cause dilution to our earnings per share, which may negatively affect the market price of our common stock.

We currently anticipate that the acquisition of TomoTherapy will be accretive to our earnings per share (on an adjusted earnings basis) in our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012. This expectation is based on current estimates, which may change materially. We may also encounter additional transaction-related costs or other factors such as the failure to realize all of the benefits anticipated in the acquisition. All of these factors could cause dilution to our earnings per share or decrease or delay the expected accretive effect of the acquisition and cause a decrease in the market price of our common stock.

Future issuances of shares of our common stock or substantial sales of our common stock by our stockholders, including sales pursuant to 10b5-1 plans, could depress our stock price regardless of our operating results.

Any issuance of equity securities could dilute the interests of our stockholders and could substantially decrease the trading price of our common stock. We may issue equity securities in the future for a number of reasons, including to finance our operations and business strategy (including in connection with acquisitions, strategic collaborations or other transactions), to adjust our ratio of debt to equity, to satisfy our obligations upon the exercise of outstanding warrants or options or for other reasons.

On August 1, 2011, we issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of our 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2016, which we refer to as the Notes. The price of our common stock could also be affected by possible sales of our common stock by investors who view the Notes as a more attractive means of equity participation in our company or by any hedging or arbitrage trading activity that involves our common stock. To the extent we issue common stock upon conversion of the Notes, that conversion would dilute the ownership interests of our stockholders.

Moreover, if our existing stockholders sell a large number of shares of our common stock or the public market perceives that existing stockholders might sell shares of common stock, including sales pursuant to 10b5-1 plans, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities at a time and price that we deem appropriate.

Increased leverage as a result of the Notes offering may harm our financial condition and operating results.

As of September 30, 2011, we had total consolidated long-term liabilities of approximately \$88.9 million, including Notes in the amount of \$76.6 million. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to you, because:

- it could affect our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes;

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

- a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations will have to be dedicated to interest and principal payments and may not be available for operations, working capital, capital expenditures, expansion, acquisitions or general corporate or other purposes;
- it may impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future;
- it may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and industry; and
- it may make us more vulnerable to downturns in our business, our industry or the economy in general.

The conditional conversion features of the Notes, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

In the event the conditional conversion features of the Notes are triggered, holders of the Notes will be entitled to convert the Notes at any time during specified periods at their option. If one or more holders elect to convert their Notes, unless we elect to satisfy our conversion obligation by delivering solely shares of our common stock (other than paying solely cash in lieu of any fractional share), including if we have irrevocably elected full physical settlement upon conversion, we would be required to make cash payments to satisfy all or a portion of our conversion obligation based on the applicable conversion rate, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, even if holders do not elect to convert their Notes, if we have irrevocably elected net share settlement upon conversion we could be required under applicable accounting rules to reclassify all or a portion of the outstanding principal of the Notes as a current rather than long-term liability, which could result in a material reduction of our net working capital.

Table of Contents

Provisions in the indenture for the Notes, our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws could discourage or prevent a takeover, even if an acquisition would be beneficial in the opinion of our stockholders.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial in the opinion of our stockholders. These provisions include:

- Authorizing the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt;
- Establishing a classified board of directors, which could discourage a takeover attempt;
- Prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would limit the ability of less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;
- Limiting the ability of stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders;
- Prohibiting stockholder action by written consent and requiring that all stockholder actions be taken at a meeting of our stockholders; and
- Establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company. Generally, Section 203 prohibits stockholders who, alone or together with their affiliates and associates, own more than 15% of the subject company from engaging in certain business combinations for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder of such subject company without approval of the board or 66 2/3% of the independent stockholders. The existence of these provisions could adversely affect the voting power of holders of common stock and limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Furthermore, if a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture for the Notes) occurs, holders of the Notes will have the right, at their option, to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes. A fundamental change generally occurs when there is a change in control of the Company (acquisition of 50% or more of our voting stock, liquidation or sale of the Company not for stock) or trading of our stock is terminated. In the event of a make-whole fundamental change (as defined in the indenture for the Notes), we may also be required to increase the

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

conversion rate applicable to Notes surrendered for conversion in connection with such make-whole fundamental change. A make-whole fundamental change is generally a sale of the company not for stock in another publicly traded company. In addition, the indenture for the Notes prohibits us from engaging in certain mergers or acquisitions unless, among other things, the surviving entity assumes our obligations under the Notes.

Our directors, executive officers and major stockholders own approximately 23.8% of our outstanding common stock as of September 30, 2011, which could limit stockholders' ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including changes of control.

As of September 30, 2011, our directors, executive officers, and current holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock, held, in the aggregate, approximately 23.8% of our outstanding common stock. This concentration of ownership may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of our company and will make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of these stockholders.

We have not paid dividends in the past and do not expect to pay dividends in the future.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all future earnings for the operation and expansion of our business and, therefore, do not anticipate declaring or paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our results of operations, capital requirements, financial condition, prospects, contractual arrangements, and other factors our board of directors may deem relevant. If we do not pay dividends, a return on a stockholders' investment will only occur if our stock price appreciates.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

(b) Use of Proceeds from Public Offering of Common Stock

None.

(c) Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Bylaws. (1)
10.1	Indenture by and between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., dated as of August 1, 2011.
10.2	Amendment to Strategic Alliance Agreement by and between the Registrant and Siemens Aktiengesellschaft, dated August 3, 2011.
10.3	Amendment to Employment Terms Letter Agreement by and between the Registrant and Chris Raanes, effective July 25, 2011.
10.4	

Edgar Filing: ACCURAY INC - Form 10-Q

Amended and Restated Employment Letter Agreement by and between the Registrant and Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D., dated September 29, 2011.

10.5	Employment Letter Agreement by and between the Registrant and Kelly Londy, dated September 13, 2011.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. 1350.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2011.

* XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ACCURAY INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Euan S. Thomson
Euan S. Thomson, Ph.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Derek Bertocci
Derek Bertocci
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Date: November 8, 2011