OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 10, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended JUNE 30, 2009

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 1-6479-1

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

13-2637623

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

666	Third	Av	enue,	New	York,	New	York
(A	ddress	of	princi	pal e	xecutiv	e offi	ces)

10017 (Zip Code)

(212) 953-4100

Registrant s telephone number, including area code

No Change

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Webs site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES o NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of accelerated filer, large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Shares outstanding as of August 6, 2009 26,865,088

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

	June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 571,362	\$ 343,609
Voyage receivables, including unbilled of \$111,286 and \$170,403	160,416	219,500
Other receivables, including federal income taxes recoverable	59,471	64,773
Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets	110,079	50,407
Total Current Assets	901,328	678,289
Capital Construction Fund	40,629	48,681
Vessels and other property, less accumulated depreciation of \$602,129 and \$570,394	2,602,126	2,683,147
Vessels under capital leases, less accumulated amortization of \$7,217 (2008)		1,101
Vessels held for sale		53,975
Deferred drydock expenditures, net	62,430	79,837
Total Vessels, Deferred Drydock and Other Property	2,664,556	2,818,060
Investments in Affiliated Companies	170,417	98,620
Intangible Assets, less accumulated amortization of \$18,995 and \$15,247	102,837	106,585
Goodwill	9,589	9,589
Other Assets	49,383	130,237
Total Assets	\$ 3,938,739	\$ 3,890,061
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 191,595	\$ 167,615
Current installments of long-term debt	26,351	26,231
Current obligations under capital leases		1,092
Total Current Liabilities	217,946	194,938
Long-term Debt	1,342,958	1,396,135
Deferred Gain on Sale and Leaseback of Vessels	121,074	143,948
Deferred Federal Income Taxes (\$201,310 and \$196,815) and Other Liabilities	263,202	330,407
Equity:		
Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. Stockholders Equity	1,894,561	1,722,867
Noncontrolling Interest	98,998	101,766
Total Equity	1,993,559	1,824,633
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 3,938,739	\$ 3,890,061

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months 1 2009	Ended .	June 30, 2008	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008			
Shipping Revenues:							
Pool revenues, including \$25,406, \$32,816,							
\$64,681 and \$62,994 received from companies							
accounted for by the equity method	\$ 105,439	\$	226,018	\$ 241,843	\$	449,464	
Time and bareboat charter revenues	83,974		90,374	171,343		182,861	
Voyage charter revenues	93,243		111,832	194,274		206,575	
	282,656		428,224	607,460		838,900	
Operating Expenses:							
Voyage expenses	34,271		42,110	66,286		76,952	
Vessel expenses	69,948		77,785	143,478		150,654	
Charter hire expenses	104,595		103,368	215,937		194,039	
Depreciation and amortization	44,890		47,315	88,771		94,906	
General and administrative	29,107		34,509	56,407		71,794	
Severance and relocation costs	148			2,317			
Shipyard contract termination costs	(3,670)			32,215			
(Gain)/loss on disposal of vessels	2,568		(23,686)	(127,295)		(23,691)	
Total Operating Expenses	281,857		281,401	478,116		564,654	
Income from Vessel Operations	799		146,823	129,344		274,246	
Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies	1,116		4,048	3,588		5,377	
Operating Income	1,915		150,871	132,932		279,623	
Other Income/(Expense)	(1,824)		(46,404)	481		(43,435)	
	91		104,467	133,413		236,188	
Interest Expense	10,903		17,191	22,275		35,554	
Income/(loss) before Federal Income Taxes	(10,812)		87,276	111,138		200,634	
Credit for Federal Income Taxes	2,991		771	4,303		771	
Net Income/(Loss)	(7,821)		88,047	115,441		201,405	
Less: Net Income Attributable to the							
Noncontrolling Interest	(973)		(1,112)	(2,485)		(2,035)	
Net Income/(Loss) Attributable to Overseas							
Shipholding Group, Inc.	\$ (8,794)	\$	86,935	\$ 112,956	\$	199,370	
• •							
Weighted Average Number of Common							
Shares Outstanding:							
Basic	26,861,081		30,615,359	26,863,462		30,861,429	
Diluted	26,861,081		30,895,367	26,869,961		31,072,727	
Per Share Amounts:							
Basic net income/(loss) attributable to Overseas							
Shipholding Group, Inc. common stockholders	\$ (0.33)	\$	2.84	\$ 4.20	\$	6.46	
Diluted net income/(loss) attributable to							
Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. common							
stockholders	\$ (0.33)	\$	2.81	\$ 4.20	\$	6.42	
Cash dividends declared	\$ 0.88	\$	0.75	\$ 1.31	\$	1.06	

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

(UNAUDITED)

		Six Months E	nded Jui	,
		2009		2008
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				201.107
Net income	\$	115,441	\$	201,405
Items included in net income not affecting cash flows:				
Depreciation and amortization		88,771		94,906
Amortization of deferred gain on sale and leasebacks		(23,037)		(24,510)
Compensation relating to restricted stock and stock option grants		6,480		6,104
Provision/(credit) for deferred federal income taxes		(5,109)		1,437
Unrealized (gains)/losses on forward freight agreements and bunker swaps		(1,869)		29,500
Undistributed earnings of affiliated companies		1,758		379
Other net		6,511		(5,541)
Items included in net income related to investing and financing activities:				
Loss on sale or write-down of securities net		3,290		6
Gain on disposal of vessels		(127,295)		(23,691)
Payments for drydocking		(14,175)		(27,613)
Distributions from subsidiaries to noncontrolling interest owners		(5,253)		(4,168)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		139,097		(156,485)
Net cash provided by operating activities		184,610		91,729
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Purchases of marketable securities				(11,311)
Sale of marketable securities		159		
Expenditures for vessels		(181,609)		(252,260)
Withdrawals from Capital Construction Fund		8,265		49,830
Proceeds from disposal of vessels		298,844		216,884
Expenditures for other property		(2,604)		(7,207)
Distributions from affiliated companies net		14,527		14,226
Shipyard contract termination payments		(18,146)		,
Other net		2,136		3
Net cash provided by investing activities		121,572		10,165
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		,		
Purchases of treasury stock		(999)		(57,551)
Issuance of debt, net of issuance costs		(222)		59,000
Payments on debt and obligations under capital leases		(54,155)		(366,875)
Cash dividends paid		(23,503)		(19,385)
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options		242		398
Other net		(14)		(377)
Net cash used in financing activities		(78,429)		(384,790)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		227,753		(282,896)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		343,609		502,420
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	571,362	\$	219,524
Cash and Cash equivalents at end of period	Φ	371,302	Ф	219,324

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

(UNAUDITED)

Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. Stockholders

			Overseas Ship	holding Group	p, Inc. Stock	holders			
	Common Stock*	Paid-in Additional Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasui Shares	ry Stock Amount	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**	Total Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. Stockholders	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
Balance at January 1,									
2009	\$ 40,791	\$ 224,522	\$ 2,442,907	13,898,541	\$ (838,994	4) \$ (146,359)	\$ 1,722,867	\$ 101,766 \$	1,824,633
Net Income/(Loss)			112,956				112,956	2,485	115,441
Net Unrealized									
Holding Gain on									
Available-for-Sale									
Securities						3,969	3,969		3,969
Effect of Derivative						2,707	2,,,,,		2,707
Instruments						85,255	85,255		85,255
Effect of Pension and						05,255	05,255		05,255
Other Postretirement									
Benefit Plans						(909)	(909)		(909)
						(909)	(909)		(909)
Comprehensive							201.271.	ded 2 405	202 756
Income							201,271*	** 2,485	203,756
Cash Dividends			(27,200)				(2.5.200)		(2.5.200)
Declared			(35,300)				(35,300)		(35,300)
Compensation Related									
to Options Granted		2,546					2,546		2,546
Amortization of									
Restricted Stock									
Awards		3,934					3,934		3,934
Options Exercised and									
Employee Stock									
Purchase Plan		87		(12,207)	153	5	242		242
Purchases of Treasury									
Stock				39,337	(999	9)	(999)		(999)
Distributions from					,		, ,		
Subsidiary to									
Noncontrolling Interest									
Owners								(5,253)	(5,253)
Balance at June 30,								(3,233)	(3,233)
2009	\$ 40 791	\$ 231.089	\$ 2,520,653	13 925 671	\$ (839.83)	8) \$ (58.044)	\$ 1,894,561	\$ 98.998 \$	1,993,559
200)	ψ 10,771	Ψ 231,007	Ψ 2,320,033	15,725,071	Ψ (03),03	σ, φ (50,011)	Ψ 1,071,301	φ)0,>>0 φ	1,773,337
Balance at January 1,									
2008	¢ 40.701	¢ 200 017	\$ 2,170,098	0.607.620	\$ (583,708	P) \$ (17.072)	\$ 1,818,025	\$ 132,470 \$	1 050 405
Net Income	\$ 40,791	\$ 200,017	199,370	9,097,020	\$ (363,700	5) \$ (17,973)	199,370	2,035	201,405
			199,370				199,370	2,033	201,403
Net Unrealized									
Holding Gain on									
Available-for-Sale						1.502	1.500		1.500
Securities						1,782	1,782		1,782
Effect of Derivative							, 		(20
Instruments						(39,592)	(39,592)		(39,592)

Edgar Filing: OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of Pension and									
Other Postretirement						(210)	(210)		(210)
Benefit Plans						(319)	(319)		(319)
Comprehensive Income							161,241***	2,035	162 276
Cash Dividends							101,241	2,055	163,276
Declared			(32,670)	•			(32,670)		(32,670)
Compensation Related			(32,070)				(32,070)		(32,070)
to Options Granted		2,448					2,448		2,448
Issuance of Restricted		2,110					2,110		2,110
Stock Awards		(1,277)		(115,598)	1,277				
Amortization of		())		(-))	,				
Restricted Stock									
Awards		3,656					3,656		3,656
Options Exercised and									
Employee Stock									
Purchase Plan		315		(6,535)	83		398		398
Purchases of Treasury									
Stock				851,101	(57,551)		(57,551)		(57,551)
Increase in Loss on									
Public Offering of									
OSG America L.P.		(102)					(102)	(50)	(2.41)
Units		(183)					(183)	(58)	(241)
Distributions from									
Subsidiary to									
Noncontrolling Interest Owners								(4,168)	(4,168)
Balance at June 30,								(7,100)	(4,100)
2008	\$ 40 791	\$ 213 776	\$ 2 336 798	10 426 588	\$ (639,899)	\$ (56.102) \$	1,895,364	\$ 130,279	\$ 2,025,643
2000	Ψ 10,771	Ψ 213,110	Ψ 2,330,170	10, 120,500	Ψ (05),0))	φ (30,102) ψ	1,070,00 6	Ψ 150,277	P 2,023,0 13

^{*} Par value \$1 per share; 120,000,000 shares authorized; 40,790,759 shares issued.

^{**} Amounts are net of tax.

^{***} Comprehensive income for the three month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$45,664 and \$75,305, respectively.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:

Note A Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The 2008 financial information has been recast to reflect the adoption of an accounting pronouncement, which changed the presentation of noncontrolling interest.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Company evaluated events and transactions occurring after the balance sheet date and through the day the financial statements were issued. The date of issuance of the financial statements was August 10, 2009.

Newly Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board established principles and requirements for disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This statement introduces the concept of when financial statements are considered issued or are available to be issued. The statement is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note B Earnings per Common Share:

The computation of basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted earnings per share assumes the exercise of all dilutive stock options and restricted stock units using the treasury stock method. The components of the calculation of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Three Mon	ths E	ıded	Six Montl	hs End	ed
	June	30,		June	30,	
Dollars in thousands	2009		2008	2009		2008
Net income/(loss) attributable to Overseas						
Shipholding Group, Inc	\$ (8,794)	\$	86,935	\$ 112,956	\$	199,370
Common shares outstanding, basic:						
Weighted average shares outstanding, basic	26,861,081		30,615,359	26,863,462		30,861,429
Common shares outstanding, diluted:						
Weighted average shares outstanding, basic	26,861,081		30,615,359	26,863,462		30,861,429
Dilutive equity awards			280,008	6,499		211,298
Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted	26,861,081		30,895,367	26,869,961		31,072,727

The anti-dilutive effects of equity awards that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share were not material.

Accounting guidance provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note C Business and Segment Reporting:

The Company has three reportable segments: International Crude Tankers, International Product Carriers and U.S. vessels. Segment results are evaluated based on income from vessel operations before general and administrative expenses, severance and relocation costs, shipyard contract termination costs and gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels. The accounting policies followed by the reportable segments are the same as those followed in the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements. Information about the Company s reportable segments as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 follows:

		I	nternational			
	Crude		Product			
In thousands	Tankers		Carriers	Other	U.S.	Totals
Three months ended June 30, 2009:						
Shipping revenues	\$ 145,554	\$	75,894	\$ 1,957	\$ 59,251	\$ 282,656
Time charter equivalent revenues	128,145		63,581	1,957	54,702	248,385
Depreciation and amortization	18,418		11,634	1,597	13,241	44,890
Shipyard contract termination costs					3,670	3,670
Gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels	(154)		(1,770)	(8)	(636)	(2,568)
Income from vessel operations	24,990		(302)	(162)	4,426	28,952
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(1,067)			1,610	573	1,116
Investments in affiliated companies at						
June 30, 2009	107,820		900	60,522	1,175	170,417
Total assets at June 30, 2009	1,664,538		712,271	70,229	787,553	3,234,591
Six months ended June 30, 2009:						
Shipping revenues	318,563		158,723	3,891	126,283	607,460
Time charter equivalent revenues	288,131		134,766	3,891	114,386	541,174
Depreciation and amortization	36,295		23,436	3,215	25,825	88,771
Shipyard contract termination costs					(32,215)	(32,215)
Gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels	129,799		(1,770)	(98)	(636)	127,295
Income from vessel operations	68,950		11,213	(372)	13,197	92,988
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(1,402)			3,853	1,137	3,588
Expenditures for vessels	29,849		102,417	(533)	49,876	181,609
Payments for drydocking	6,438		7,208		529	14,175

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note C Business and Segment Reporting (continued):

	Crude	I	nternational Product			
In thousands	Tankers		Carriers	Other	U.S.	Totals
Three months ended June 30, 2008:						
Shipping revenues	\$ 275,476	\$	80,672	\$ 8,199	\$ 63,877	\$ 428,224
Time charter equivalent revenues	254,950		71,597	7,887	51,680	386,114
Depreciation and amortization	18,361		13,614	1,543	13,797	47,315
Gain on disposal of vessels	13,009		9,933		744	23,686
Income from vessel operations	135,345		15,972	2,084	4,245	157,646
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(193)			3,211	1,030	4,048
Investments in affiliated companies at						
June 30, 2008	697		900	83,188	1,661	86,446
Total assets at June 30, 2008	1,728,986		745,173	104,438	912,781	3,491,378
Six months ended June 30, 2008:						
Shipping revenues	539,850		155,593	16,285	127,172	838,900
Time charter equivalent revenues	503,810		138,004	15,666	104,468	761,948
Depreciation and amortization	36,895		27,411	3,137	27,463	94,906
Gain on disposal of vessels	13,014		9,933		744	23,691
Income from vessel operations	271,850		31,348	4,464	14,687	322,349
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(1,075)			4,829	1,623	5,377
Expenditures for vessels	140,840		18,682	41	92,697	252,260
Payments for drydocking	6,341		10,853	118	10,301	27,613

Reconciliations of time charter equivalent revenues of the segments to shipping revenues as reported in the consolidated statements of operations follow:

	Three Mo	nths End	led	Six Mont	hs Ende	d
	Jun	e 30,		June	e 30,	
In thousands	2009		2008	2009		2008
Time charter equivalent revenues	\$ 248,385	\$	386,114	\$ 541,174	\$	761,948
Add: Voyage expenses	34,271		42,110	66,286		76,952
Shipping revenues	\$ 282,656	\$	428,224	\$ 607,460	\$	838,900

Consistent with general practice in the shipping industry, the Company uses time charter equivalent revenues, which represents shipping revenues less voyage expenses, as a measure to compare revenue generated from a voyage charter to revenue generated from a time charter. Time charter equivalent revenues, a non-GAAP measure, provides additional meaningful information in conjunction with shipping revenues, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, because it assists Company management in making decisions regarding the deployment and use of its vessels and in evaluating their financial performance.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note C Business and Segment Reporting (continued):

Reconciliations of income from vessel operations of the segments to income/(loss) before federal income taxes, including net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations follow:

	Three Mon	ths En	ıded	Six Montl	ıs Ende	ed
	June	30,		June	30,	
In thousands	2009		2008	2009		2008
Total income from vessel operations of all						
segments	\$ 28,952	\$	157,646	\$ 92,988	\$	322,349
General and administrative expenses	(29,107)		(34,509)	(56,407)		(71,794)
Severance and relocation costs	(148)			(2,317)		
Shipyard contract termination costs	3,670			(32,215)		
Gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels	(2,568)		23,686	127,295		23,691
Consolidated income from vessel operations	799		146,823	129,344		274,246
Equity in income of affiliated companies	1,116		4,048	3,588		5,377
Other income/(expense)	(1,824)		(46,404)	481		(43,435)
Interest expense	(10,903)		(17,191)	(22,275)		(35,554)
Income /(loss) before federal income taxes	\$ (10,812)	\$	87,276	\$ 111,138	\$	200,634

Reconciliations of total assets of the segments to amounts included in the consolidated balance sheets follow:

In thousands as of June 30,	2009	2008
Total assets of all segments	\$ 3,234,591	\$ 3,491,378
Corporate cash and securities, including Capital Construction Fund	611,991	323,163
Other unallocated amounts	92,157	175,600
Consolidated total assets	\$ 3,938,739	\$ 3,990,141

Note D Vessels:

As of June 30, 2009, the Company had remaining commitments for vessels to be wholly owned by the Company of \$634,605,000 on non-cancelable contracts for the construction or purchase of 13 vessels (three VLCCs, four Panamax Product Carriers, four Handysize Product Carriers and two ATBs). These vessels are scheduled for delivery between 2009 and 2011.

In early 2009, OSG began negotiations with Bender Shipbuilding & Repair Co., Inc. (Bender) to terminate the construction agreements covering the six ATBs and two tug boats associated with its U.S. Flag expansion plans due to repeated delays in vessel delivery dates from the original contract delivery dates, Bender s request for substantial price increases on all contracted vessels and OSG s concern about Bender s ability to complete the ATBs and tug boats within contract terms, including Bender s lack of performance under such agreements and its financial condition. The Company took an impairment charge of \$105,111,000 in the fourth quarter of 2008 related to four of such ATBs.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note D Vessels (continued):

On March 13, 2009, the Company entered into a termination agreement with Bender. Under the terms of the agreement, Bender agreed to transfer ownership of the unfinished vessels (and all related components and equipment) to OSG in their current state of completion in consideration for which OSG would, among other things (1) pay and/or reimburse Bender for the costs associated with positioning the units for transportation to the alternative shipyards and certain other material and labor costs related to construction of the units, (2) assume certain specified obligations related to construction of the units and (3) render a payment of \$14,000,000 to a third party for the release of priority liens on the vessels being transferred to the Company. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company reduced its estimate of the amounts payable above that will be charged to expense by \$3,670,000. The amounts referred to in (1), (2) and (3) above are estimated to approximate \$70,000,000 of which \$32,215,000 was charged to expense during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company intends to complete two of the six ATBs and the two tug boats at alternative shipyards.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company delivered one of its 2000-built VLCCs to the buyer pursuant to a forward sales agreement entered in 2007. Accordingly, OSG recognized a gain on the sale of \$76,654,000 in the first quarter of 2009. Such vessel was classified as held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008. In addition, a ULCC, the TI Africa, which was wholly-owned by OSG, was sold in January 2009 to a joint venture in which the Company has a 50% interest for conversion to an FSO for approximately \$200,000,000. The Company recorded a gain of \$106,686,000, of which \$53,343,000 was recognized in the first quarter of 2009 with the balance deferred to be amortized over the remaining life of the vessel. The gain recognized on the transaction was equal to 50% of the excess of the sales price over the carrying amount of the vessel.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company sold three vessels and a barge: two International Flag Panamaxes for which the charterer had previously exercised purchase options and one U.S. Flag Tanker and one U.S. Flag Barge, both of which had been classified as held for sale.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company changed its plans to sell a U.S. Flag tug boat previously classified as held for sale. The tug boat will be used as a replacement for certain other tug boats that will drydock in 2009. The impact of this change in classification on the statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was not material.

Note E Equity Method Investments:

Investments in affiliated companies include joint ventures accounted for using the equity method. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had a 50% interest in two joint ventures. One joint venture operates four LNG Carriers. The other joint venture is converting two ULCCs to FSOs, which are expected to commence service in the second half of 2009. In addition, the Company has a 37.5% interest in Alaska Tanker Company, LLC that manages vessels carrying Alaskan crude for BP.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note E Equity Method Investments (continued):
Floating Storage and Offloading Service Vessels (FSO)
In February 2008, Maersk Oil Qatar AS awarded two service contracts to a joint venture between OSG and Euronav N.V. for eight year terms. The service contracts provide for two ULCCs to be converted to FSOs. The first ULCC, the TI Asia, which was wholly owned by Euronav NV, was sold to the joint venture in October 2008 for approximately \$200,000,000. The second ULCC, the TI Africa, which was wholly owned by OSG, was sold to the joint venture in January 2009.
The joint venture financed the purchase of the vessels through long-term secured bank financing and partner loans. The joint venture has entered into a \$500,000,000 secured credit facility to partially finance the acquisition of the two ULCCs and the cost of the conversion. In connection with the secured bank financing, the partners severally issued guaranties. As of June 30, 2009, the carrying value of the Company s guaranty, which is included in other liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet, was \$537,000. The joint venture has entered into forward start floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with major financial institutions that are being accounted for as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swaps, covering notional amounts aggregating \$480,000,000, pay fixed rates of 3.9% and receive floating rates based on LIBOR. These agreements commence between July and September 2009 and have maturity dates ranging from July to September 2017. As of June 30, 2009, the joint venture has recorded a liability of \$15,261,000 for the fair value of these swaps. The Company s share of such amount is included in accumulate other comprehensive loss in the accompanying balance sheet.
LNG Joint Venture

In November 2004, the Company formed a joint venture with Qatar Gas Transport Company Limited (Nakilat) whereby companies in which OSG holds a 49.9% interest ordered four 216,200 cbm LNG Carriers. Upon delivery in late 2007 and early 2008, these vessels commenced 25-year time charters to Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (II). The aggregate construction cost for such newbuildings of \$918,026,000 was financed by the joint venture through long-term bank financing that is nonrecourse to the partners and partner contributions. The joint venture has entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with a group of major financial institutions that are being accounted for as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swaps cover notional amounts aggregating \$860,280,000, pursuant to which it will pay fixed rates of approximately 4.9% and receive a floating rate based on LIBOR. These agreements have maturity dates ranging from July to November 2022. As of June 30, 2009, the joint venture has recorded a liability of \$80,904,000 for the fair value of these swaps. The Company s share of such amount is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the accompanying balance sheet.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note E Equity Method Investments (continued):

A condensed summary of the results of operations of the equity method investments follows:

	Three Mon	ths En	ded	Six Montl	hs Ende	ed
	June	e 30 ,		June	30,	
In thousands	2009		2008	2009		2008
Shipping revenues	\$ 60,351	\$	61,411	\$ 120,695	\$	115,520
Ship operating expenses	(43,070)		(43,372)	(84,983)		(84,294)
Income from vessel operations	17,281		18,039	35,712		31,226
Other income/(loss)	(219)		415	(378)		1,156
Interest expense *	(14,238)		(9,040)	(27,024)		(17,975)
Net income	\$ 2,824	\$	9,414	\$ 8,310	\$	14,407

^{*} Interest is net of amounts capitalized in connection with vessel construction of \$1,640 (three months ended June 30, 2009), \$3,124 (six months ended June 30, 2009) and \$3,033 (six months ended June 30, 2008).

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures:

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for interest-bearing deposits approximate their fair value.

Debt, including capital lease obligations The fair values of the Company s debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on the rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Forward freight agreements and bunker swaps The fair values of forward freight agreements and bunker swaps are the estimated amounts that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the agreements at the reporting date.

Interest rate swaps The fair value of interest rate swaps is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the swaps at the reporting date.

Foreign Currency Contracts The fair value of foreign currency contracts is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the contract at the reporting date.

The estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments at June 30, 2009, other than derivatives, follow:

	Carrying	
In thousands	Amount	Fair value
Financial assets (liabilities)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 571,362	\$ 571,362
Capital Construction Fund	40,629	40,629
Debt	(1,369,309)	(1,230,149)

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures (continued):
Derivatives
The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations. The risks, managed by using derivative instruments, are volatility with respect to short-term charter rates, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.
Spot Market Rate Volatility Risk
The Company enters into Forward Freight Agreements (FFAs) and bunker swaps with an objective to utilize them as (i) economic hedging instruments, some of which qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes, that reduce its exposure to changes in the spot market rates earned by some of its vessels or protect the Company against future increases in bunker prices in the normal course of its shipping business; and prior to June 30, 2008, or (ii) for trading purposes to take advantage of short term fluctuations in the market. The FFAs and bunker swaps involve contracts to provide a fixed number of theoretical voyages at fixed rates, which generally range from one month to one year and settle monthly based on a published index. These contracts expire on various dates through December 2009. As of June 30, 2009, those FFAs and bunker swaps, with future settlement dates, that qualify as cash flow hedges cover approximately ten VLCCs, representing aggregate volumes of 6,600,000 metric tons (mts) and 153,000 mts, respectively.
The Company has also entered into bunker swaps that did not qualify as cash flow hedges covering aggregate volumes of 1,120 mts, which settle monthly through August 2009.
Interest Rate Risk
The Company uses interest rate swaps for the management of interest rate risk exposure. The interest rate swaps effectively convert a portion of the Company s debt from a floating to a fixed rate and are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. The Company is a party to floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with various major financial institutions covering notional amounts aggregating approximately \$453,244,000 at June 30, 2009 pursuant to which it pays fixed rates ranging from 2.9% to 4.7% and receives floating rates based on the London interbank offered rate (LIBOR) (approximately 0.60% at June 30, 2009). These agreements contain no leverage features and have various final maturity dates ranging from February 2010 to August 2014.
Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company seeks to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates related to recurring monthly foreign currency denominated general and administrative expenses through the use of foreign currency forward contracts and through the purchase of bulk quantities of currencies at rates which management considers favorable. The foreign currency forward contracts settle on a monthly basis through March 2010 and qualify as cash flow hedges. At June 30, 2009, the notional amounts of these contracts aggregated £8,975,000.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures (continued):
Tabular disclosure of derivatives location
At March 31, 2009, the Company changed its presentation of the derivative instruments on the balance sheet to correspond with additional disclosure requirements that became effective in 2009.
Derivatives are recorded in the balance sheet on a net basis by counterparty when a legal right of setoff exists. The following tables present information with respect to the fair values of derivatives reflected in the balance sheet on a gross basis by transaction. The tables also present information with respect to gains and losses on derivative positions reflected in the statement of operations or in the balance sheet, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

15

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures (continued):

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments:

In thousands at	Asset Deriva	atives		Liability Der	Liability Derivatives			
June 30, 2009	Balance Sheet Location		Amount	Balance Sheet Location		Amount		
Derivatives designated as hedging	instruments:							
FFAs and bunker swaps:								
Current portion	Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$	44 702	Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$	19,214		
Current portion	carrent assets	Ψ	11,702	curer current macmines	Ψ	17,211		
	Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities		2 072	Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets		23		
	other current habilities		3,073	current assets		23		
Interest rate swaps:								
Current portion	Other receivables, including federal income taxes recoverable.			Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities		10,277		
·								
Long-term portion	Other assets			Deferred federal income taxes and other liabilities		4,392		
Foreign currency contracts:								
Current portion	Inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets		1.057	Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities				
Total derivatives designated as hed		\$	48,832	other current habilities	\$	33.906		
Total delivatives designated as ned	ignig moraments	Ψ	10,032		Ψ	33,700		
Derivatives not designated as hedg	ing instruments:							
FFAs and bunker swaps:								
	Inventories, prepaid			Accounts payable,				
Comment mention	expenses and other	\$	1 279	accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$	3,333		
Current portion	current assets	Ф	1,276	other current habilities	Ф	3,333		
	Accounts payable, accrued expenses and			Inventories, prepaid expenses and other				
	other current liabilities		6,128	current assets		2,869		
Long-term portion	Other assets		136	Deferred federal income taxes and other liabilities		250		

Deferred federal income taxes and other liabilities		74		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$	7,616	\$	6,452
Total derivatives	\$	56,448	\$	40,358
	16			

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures (continued):

The effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2009 and the statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

	Bal	lance Sheet			Statement of	f Operations			
Gain/(Loss) I In thousands Amount				or Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (1)		Ineffective Location	Amo	ortion (2) Amount of Gain/(Loss)	
FFAs and bunker swaps	\$	13,154	Shipping revenues	\$	8,206	Shipping revenues	\$	118	
Interest rate swaps		(59,404)	Interest expense		(4,548)	Interest expense			
Foreign currency contracts		1,054	General and administrative expenses		(121)	General and administrative expenses		15	
Total	\$	(45,196)		\$	3,537		\$	133	

⁽¹⁾ The amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2009 related to FFAs and bunker swaps, interest rate swaps and foreign currency contracts were \$12,027, \$(2,577) and \$(98), respectively.

The effect of the gain/(loss) recognized on derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

		Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	l
In thousands	Location	June 30, 2009		June 30, 2009	
FFAs and bunker swaps	Other income	\$ 720	\$		1,392

The following table presents the hedging expenses, which are net of taxes, that the Company expects to reclassify from accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2009 related to the effective portions of FFAs and bunker swaps that will affect earnings for the remainder of 2009 and January 2010. The results from these effective cash flow hedges are expected to be offset by changes in the underlying hedged revenues in the periods shown in the table.

⁽²⁾ The ineffective portions of cash flow hedges recognized in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2009 related to FFAs and bunker swaps, interest rate swaps and foreign currency contracts were \$(29), \$ and \$23, respectively.

In thousands at June 30, 2009

2009	\$ 11,447
2010	1,707
	\$ 13,154

Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features

Certain of the Company s derivative instruments contain provisions that require the Company s long-term, senior, unsecured debt credit rating to remain above specified thresholds stated in each agreement. If the Company s debt credit rating were to fall below such thresholds, the counterparties to the

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note F Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Fair Value Disclosures (continued):

derivative instruments could request immediate settlement of the derivative instruments that are in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position on June 30, 2009, is \$2,981,000, against which the Company has not been required to post any collateral. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements had been triggered on June 30, 2009, the Company could have been required to settle the derivative liability in accordance with the provisions in the related agreements. The Company estimates that such settlement amounts would approximate the fair value of these derivatives.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The following table presents the fair values, which are pre tax, for assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

		Level 1:		
Description	Fair Value	Quoted prices in active markets for identical liabilities		Level 2: Significant other observable inputs
Assets/(Liabilities):	Tun vuiuc	identical mannings		observable inputs
Available for sale marketable securities	\$ 1,037 \$	1,03	7 \$	
Derivative Assets	\$ 46,157 \$	45,100	0(1) \$	1,057(2)
Derivative Liabilities	\$ (30,067) \$	(15,398	3)(1) \$	(14,669)(2)

⁽¹⁾ Forward Freight Agreements and bunker swaps

(2) Standard interest rate swaps (liability of \$14,669) and foreign currency contracts (asset of \$1,057)

Cash Collateral Disclosures

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral. The amounts of collateral to be posted are defined in the terms of respective master agreements executed with counterparties or exchanges and are required when agreed upon threshold limits are exceeded. The following table summarizes the amounts paid and received as collateral related to derivative fair value positions:

In thousands at June 30, 2009	
Right to reclaim cash collateral (1)	\$ 11,838
Obligation to return cash collateral (2)	\$ (3.350)

- (1) The deposits related to the right to reclaim cash collateral are reflected in inventories, prepaid expenses and other current assets on the balance sheet.
- (2) The obligations to return cash collateral are reflected in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the balance sheet

18

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note G Debt:
During the first six months of 2008, the Company repurchased principal amounts of \$7,540,000 of its 8.75% Debentures due in 2013 and its 7.5% Notes due in 2024 and recognized a net gain of approximately \$331,000. In May 2008, the Company redeemed, at a premium, its outstanding 8.25% Senior Notes due March 2013 with a principal amount of \$176,115,000 and recognized a loss of \$7,265,000, equal to the premium paid, in other income/(expense). In addition, the Company wrote off as additional interest expense, the balance of the unamortized deferred debt expense of approximately \$2,150,000.
As of June 30, 2009, the Company had unused long-term credit availability of approximately \$1,146,000,000 which reflects \$100,150,000 of letters of credit issued principally in connection with collateral requirements for freight derivative transactions and the construction of two VLCCs.
Agreements related to long-term debt provide for prepayment privileges (in certain instances with penalties), limitations on the amount of total borrowings and secured debt, and acceleration of payment under certain circumstances, including failure to satisfy certain financial covenants.
As of June 30, 2009, approximately 29.2% of the net book value of the Company s vessels is pledged as collateral under certain debt agreements.
Interest paid, excluding capitalized interest, amounted to \$22,960,000 and \$44,316,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
Note H Taxes:
On October 22, 2004, the President of the U.S. signed into law the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. The Jobs Creation Act reinstated tax deferral for OSG s foreign shipping income for years beginning after December 31, 2004. Effective January 1, 2005, the earnings from shipping operations of the Company s foreign subsidiaries are not subject to U.S. income taxation as long as such earnings are not repatriated to the U.S. The Company intends to permanently reinvest these earnings, as well as the undistributed income of its foreign companies accumulated through December 31, 1986, in foreign operations. Accordingly, no provision for U.S. income taxes on the shipping income of its foreign subsidiaries was required in 2009 and 2008. Further, no provision for U.S. income taxes on the Company s share of the undistributed earnings of its less than 50% owned foreign shipping joint ventures was required as of June 30, 2009, because the Company intends to indefinitely reinvest such earnings (\$90,000,000 at June 30, 2009). The unrecognized deferred U.S. income taxes attributable thereto approximated \$31,000,000.
As of June 30, 2009, undistributed earnings on which U.S. income taxes have not been provided aggregated approximately \$2,665,000,000,

including \$119,000,000 earned prior to 1976; the unrecognized deferred U.S. income tax attributable to such undistributed earnings

approximated \$930,000,000.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note H Taxes (continued):

The components of the provision/(credit) for income taxes follow:

		Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
In thousands		2009		2008	2009		2008		
Current	\$	425	\$	(2,491) \$	806	\$	(2,208)		
Deferred		(3,416)		1,720	(5,109)		1,437		
	\$	(2.991)	\$	(771) \$	(4.303)	\$	(771)		

At December 31, 2008, the Company had a reserve of approximately \$7,546,000 for benefits attributable to tax positions taken during the current and prior tax periods for which the probability of recognition is considered less than more likely than not. There was no material change in the reserve during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Note I Capital Stock and Stock Compensation:

In June 2008, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$250,000,000 of the Company s common stock from time-to-time. Such purchases of the Company s common stock will be made at the Company s discretion and take into account such factors as price and prevailing market conditions. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had repurchased 3,798,200 shares of its common stock under the 2008 program (all prior to December 31, 2008).

The Company did not make any stock compensation awards to employees during the first six months of 2009. In the first six months of 2008, the Company awarded a total of 117,541 shares of restricted common stock at no cost to certain of its employees, including senior officers. Restrictions limit the sale or transfer of these shares until they vest, which occurs over a four or five-year period. During the restriction period, the shares will have voting rights and cash dividends will be paid if declared. The weighted average fair value of the restricted stock issued during the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$64.92 per share. In addition, in the first six months of 2008, options covering 254,991 shares were granted at the market price at the date of the grant. Such options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and expire ten years from the grant date. The exercise price of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$64.92 per share (the market price at dates of grant). The grant date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$18.32 per share.

Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period, contingent or otherwise, applicable to each grant, using the straight-line method.

In the first six months of 2009 and 2008, the Company granted a total of 33,840 and 15,228 restricted stock units to its non-employee directors, respectively. Each restricted stock unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of common stock upon the non-executive director s termination of service as a board member. Such restricted stock units vest ratably over a four-year period, which period may be accelerated provided that the director has served until the earlier of (a) the first anniversary of the grant date or (b) the next annual meeting of the Company s stockholders. The restricted stock units have no voting rights and may not be transferred or otherwise disposed of while the non-employee director is a director. The non-employee director is entitled to dividends in the form of additional restricted stock

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note I Capital Stock and Stock Compensation (continued):

units at the same time dividends are paid on the Company s common stock in an amount equal to the result obtained by dividing (i) the product of (x) the amount of units owned by the non-employee director on the record date for the dividend times (y) the dividend per share by (ii) the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on the payment date, which restricted units vest immediately on the payment date for the dividend. At the date of the awards in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, the fair market value of the Company s stock was \$35.46 and \$78.80 per share, respectively.

Note J Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss:

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of related taxes, in the consolidated balance sheets follow:

	June 30,	December 31,
In thousands as of	2009	2008
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities	\$ \$	(3,969)
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments	(45,196)	(130,451)
Items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost		
(pension and other postretirement plans)	(12,848)	(11,939)
	\$ (58,044) \$	(146,359)

Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 30, 2009 are the following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net periodic cost: unrecognized transition obligation of \$1,179,000 (\$844,000 net of tax), unrecognized prior service costs of \$395,000 (\$340,000 net of tax) and unrecognized actuarial losses of \$17,254,000 (\$11,664,000 net of tax). The transition obligation, prior service credit and actuarial loss previously included in accumulated other comprehensive loss recognized in net periodic cost during the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$(7,000), \$142,000 and \$774,000, respectively.

Note K Leases:

1. Charters-in:

As of June 30, 2009, the Company had commitments to charter-in 67 vessels all of which are, or will be, accounted for as operating leases. Thirty-four are bareboat charters and 33 are time charters. The future minimum commitments and related number of operating days under these operating leases are as follows:

21

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note K Leases (continued):

Bareboat Charters-in: Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2009 **Operating Days** Amount 2009 74,051 3,971 2010 161,075 8,360 2011 174,499 8,710 2012 176,618 8,784 2013 176,163 8,760 558,998 Thereafter 25,827 Net minimum lease payments \$ 64,412 1,321,404

Time Charters-in:

Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2009	Amount	Operating Days
2009	\$ 128,488	5,694
2010	216,400	9,528
2011	199,319	8,740
2012	148,161	6,824
2013	95,526	5,156
Thereafter	279,997	16,503
Net minimum lease payments	\$ 1,067,891	52,445

The future minimum commitments for time charters-in have been reduced to reflect estimated days that the vessels will not be available for employment due to drydock.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company sold and chartered back one International Flag Panamax Product Carrier, which bareboat charter is classified as an operating lease. The aggregate gain on the transaction of approximately \$1,018,000 was deferred and is being amortized over the approximately twelve year term of the lease as a reduction of charter hire expenses. The lease provides the Company with certain purchase options.

2. Charters-out:

The future minimum revenues, before reduction for brokerage commissions, expected to be received on noncancelable time charters and the related revenue days (revenue days represent calendar days, less days that vessels are not available for employment due to repairs, drydock or lay-up) are as follows:

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note K Leases (continued):

Dollars in thousands at June 30, 2009	Amount	Revenue Days
2009	\$ 156,353	5,183
2010	251,943	7,362
2011	203,321	4,577
2012	140,928	2,717
2013	98,983	1,705
Thereafter	87,697	1,385
Net minimum lease payments	\$ 939,225	22,929

Future minimum revenues do not include the Company s share of time charters entered into by the pools in which it participates. Revenues from a time charter are not generally received when a vessel is off-hire, including time required for normal periodic maintenance of the vessel. In arriving at the minimum future charter revenues, an estimated time off-hire to perform periodic maintenance on each vessel has been deducted, although there is no assurance that such estimate will be reflective of the actual off-hire in the future.

Note L Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans:

The net periodic benefit cost for the Company s domestic defined benefit pension (for which the benefits have been frozen), and postretirement health care and life insurance plans was not material during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

The Company expects that its required contribution in 2009 with respect to its domestic defined benefit pension plan will be approximately \$1,800,000, of which \$75,000 was funded during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Note M Other Income/(Expense):

Other income/(expense) consists of:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended				
					June			
In thousands		2009		2008		2009		2008
Investment income:								
Interest and dividends	\$	881	\$	2,649	\$	2,080	\$	8,946
Gain/(loss) on sale of securities and other								
investments and write-down of securities		(3,021)		1		(3,290)		(6)
		(2,140)		2,650		(1,210)		8,940
Loss on repurchases of debt				(6,834)				(6,934)
Gain/(loss) on derivative transactions		720		(42,409)		1,392		(45,664)
Miscellaneous net		(404)		189		299		223
	\$	(1,824)	\$	(46,404)	\$	481	\$	(43,435)

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note N Related Party Transactions:

Effective April 1, 2008, OSG entered into time charter agreements with a subsidiary, OSG America L.P. for the charter-out of the Liberty/M 300 and the OSG Constitution/OSG 400 at fixed daily rates. The agreement assigned the charter contracts on these two ATBs to OSG America L.P. The terms of each of the charters end simultaneously with the completion of each unit slightering service, which was in December 2008 for the Liberty/M 300 and is expected to occur in 2009 for the OSG Constitution/OSG 400. On October 10, 2008, OSG converted the time charter agreement on the OSG Constitution/OSG 400 to a bareboat charter agreement. In addition, also effective April 1, 2008, the Company entered into time charter agreements with OSG America L.P. to charter-in five vessels, three of which were employed by OSG America L.P. in the spot market (two ATBs, the OSG Columbia/OSG 242 and the OSG Independence/OSG 243, and one Product Carrier, the Overseas New Orleans) and two Product Carriers (the Overseas Philadelphia and Overseas Puget Sound) upon the completion of their current time charters in 2009. The charter-in of the Overseas Philadelphia has not started because the then current charter was extended. All five of these charter-in agreements are at fixed daily rates for terms commencing either on April 1, 2008 or upon the expiry of such vessel s then current charter and ending on or about December 31, 2009. At the time of the agreement, management believed that the fixed daily rates in the above charter-in agreements were at rates that approximated market rates.

Note O Severance and Relocation Costs:

The Company entered into an agreement effective February 1, 2009 in connection with the resignation of one of its senior officers. The agreement provides for payments aggregating to approximately \$1,200,000 to be made to such senior officer in accordance with the Company s amended and restated Severance Protection Plan, which was effective December 31, 2008. The Company recognized the expense in the first quarter of 2009. In addition, in the first quarter of 2009, the Company completed a review of staffing requirements for its U.S. Flag business. In connection therewith, six employees were terminated and certain employees were relocated from the New York headquarters office to the Tampa office. In connection with such staff reductions, the Company recorded \$514,000 in severance costs and \$600,000 in relocation costs in the first quarter of 2009 and an additional \$148,000 in relocation expenses in the second quarter of 2009.

Note P Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Investing Activities:

In January 2009, OSG sold the TI Africa to a joint venture between the Company and Euronav NV in exchange for cash of \$50,000,000 and advances of \$150,000,000. Euronav s share of such advances (\$75,000,000) was settled through its sale of the TI Asia to the joint venture in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Investment in Affiliated Companies	\$ 74,595,000
Liability to Euronav NV	75,000,000
Carrying Amount of Vessel and Deferred Drydock Expenditures	(96,252,000)
Gain on Disposal of Vessel	(53,343,000)

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Note Q Subsequent Event:

On July 29, 2009, OSG announced that it intends to initiate a tender offer for all of the outstanding publicly held common units of OSG America L.P., a Delaware limited partnership formed by the Company, for \$8.00 in cash per unit. As of June 30, 2009, the Company effectively owns 77.1% of OSG America L.P. The tender offer, which is expected to commence in late August 2009, will be conditioned upon, among other things, more than 4,003,166 common units being tendered such that OSG would thereupon own at least 80% of the outstanding common units of OSG America L.P. Following the completion of the tender offer, OSG expects to acquire any remaining units not tendered through the exercise of a repurchase right contained in OSG America s partnership agreement. OSG currently owns 8,000,435 units of the 15,000,000 total common units outstanding.

On August 6, 2009, legal counsel to the conflicts committee of the Board of Directors of OSG America L.P. s general partner sent a letter to OSG expressing the informal sense of the conflicts committee that, were OSG to proceed with the proposed tender offer at the current price, the conflicts committee would recommend that common unitholders reject the offer and not tender their units pursuant to the offer.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General:

The Company is one of the largest independent bulk shipping companies in the world. The Company s operating fleet as of June 30, 2009 consisted of 112 vessels aggregating 11.3 million dwt and 864,800 cbm, including 56 vessels that have been chartered-in under operating leases. In addition to its operating fleet of 112 vessels, charters-in for 11 vessels are scheduled to commence upon delivery of the vessels between 2009 and 2011 and 15 newbuilds are scheduled for delivery between 2009 and 2011, bringing the total operating and newbuild fleet to 138 vessels.

Recent Developments:

On July 29, 2009, OSG announced that it intends to initiate a tender offer for all of the outstanding publicly held common units of OSG America L.P., a Delaware limited partnership formed by the Company, for \$8.00 in cash per unit. As of June 30, 2009, the Company effectively owns 77.1% of OSG America L.P. The tender offer, which is expected to commence in late August 2009, will be conditioned upon, among other things, more than 4,003,166 common units being tendered such that OSG would thereupon own at least 80% of the outstanding common units of OSG America L.P. OSG currently owns 8,000,435 units of the 15,000,000 total common units outstanding. Following the completion of the tender offer, OSG expects to acquire any remaining units not tendered through the exercise of a repurchase right contained in OSG America s partnership agreement. The Company will fund the purchase of the outstanding common units from available cash or borrowings under existing credit facilities.

On August 6, 2009, legal counsel to the conflicts committee of the Board of Directors of OSG America L.P. s general partner sent a letter to OSG expressing the informal sense of the conflicts committee that, were OSG to proceed with the proposed tender offer at the current price, the conflicts committee would recommend that common unitholders reject the offer and not tender their units pursuant to the offer.

Operations:

The Company s revenues are highly sensitive to patterns of supply and demand for vessels of the size and design configurations owned and operated by the Company and the trades in which those vessels operate. Rates for the transportation of crude oil and refined petroleum products from which the Company earns a substantial majority of its revenue are determined by market forces such as the supply and demand for oil, the distance that cargoes must be transported, and the number of vessels expected to be available at the time such cargoes need to be transported. The demand for oil shipments is significantly affected by the state of the global economy and level of OPEC s exports. The number of vessels is affected by newbuilding deliveries and by the removal of existing vessels from service, principally because of scrappings or conversions. The Company s revenues are also affected by the mix of charters between spot (Voyage Charter) and long-term (Time or Bareboat Charter). Because shipping revenues and voyage expenses are significantly affected by the mix between voyage charters and time charters, the Company manages its vessels based on TCE revenues. Management makes economic decisions based on anticipated TCE rates and evaluates financial performance

based on TCE rates achieved.

Δ	· • · ·
Ove	rview

Average second quarter 2009 spot rates for all crude oil (VLCC, Suezmax, Aframax and Panamax) and product carriers were significantly below second quarter 2008 rates as a reduction in worldwide oil demand combined with lower OPEC production levels. At the same time, new tanker tonnage entered the market, which lowered tanker utilization rates and exacerbated an already weak freight rate environment.

The economic downturn that began in 2008 resulted in negative GDP performance in the first half of 2009 in OECD countries and significantly impacted world oil demand. Total world oil demand in the second quarter of 2009 amounted to 83.1 million barrels per day (b/d), down 3.7%, or 3.2 million b/d from the second quarter of 2008 with OECD countries accounting for about 95% of this decline.

Second quarter 2009 oil demand in OECD North America, Europe and the Pacific declined by 7.2%, 5.4% and 8.8%, respectively, compared with second quarter 2008 levels representing a total decline in OECD countries of 3.2 million b/d. Second quarter demand in non-OECD countries approximated second quarter 2008 levels as demand growth in China and the Middle East offset lower oil demand in Other Asia and in the FSU.

The sharp decline in world demand for oil caused by the global economic contraction prompted OPEC to curtail output to maintain crude oil prices within its price target range. A pledged reduction of 4.2 million b/d in production quotas took effect in the fourth quarter of 2008. However, as crude oil prices increased during the second quarter of 2009, compliance with the reduced quotas slipped from over 80% compliance in the first quarter of 2009 to approximately 74% in the second quarter. Nevertheless, second quarter 2009 OPEC production levels were still approximately 2.9 million b/d less than the second quarter of 2008, of which 2.1 million b/d represented lower Middle East production.

The reduction in oil demand in the second quarter of 2009, specifically in middle distillates, led to a reduction in refining margins and to a drop in refining utilization levels in all OECD areas compared with the same year ago timeframe. This had a negative effect on both crude oil and product seaborne trades, resulting in a decline in tonne-mile demand.

Worldwide oil inventory volumes of both crude oil and products continued to build in the second quarter of 2009. In both the U.S. and Europe, total distillate inventory levels rose significantly above their five-year average. Consequently, some newbuild crude oil tankers entered the fleet as floating storage for clean products while a number of existing crude oil and product carriers continued to serve as floating storage for crude oil and distillates (specifically diesel), respectively as both markets remained in contango. The utilization of tankers for floating storage for both crude oil and clean products provided some support for second quarter 2009 rates.

Seaborne crude oil imports into China during the second quarter of 2009 increased by approximately 20% over the second quarter of 2008. A portion of this increase was used to rebuild strategic reserves. Additionally, record refining throughput levels were achieved in the second quarter as new refineries commenced operations. Transportation fuel demand continued to increase as car sales were up by about 34% in the month of May to 1.12 million units compared with May 2008.

Tanker rates during the first half of 2009 were also significantly below rates realized in the first half of 2008. First half of 2008 tanker rates reflected an increase in world oil demand coupled with a net decline in fleet tonnage. The reverse situation occurred in 2009 as world oil demand declined as fleet tonnage increased.

World oil demand during the first six months of 2009 averaged approximately 83.8 million b/d, which is down 3.1 million b/d, or 3.6%, from the first half of 2008. Demand in OECD areas declined by 6.0% primarily due to a reduction in middle distillate demand while demand in non-OECD areas declined only by about 0.7%.

OPEC production during the first six months of 2009 averaged approximately 3.0 million b/d less than the first half of 2008. Approximately 68% of the decline was centered in Middle East OPEC countries, particularly Saudi Arabia, which remained in 100% compliance with its production quota. The remaining decline in OPEC production was about evenly split between OPEC s North African, West African and South American members. A reduction in long-haul movements from the Middle East to both western and eastern destinations reduced tonne-mile demand during the first half of 2009.

The global financial crisis significantly impacted the tanker orderbook during the latter part of 2008 and through the first half of 2009. No VLCCs were ordered in the first half of 2009 against only one order in the last quarter of 2008. Also, no Suezmaxes or Aframaxes were ordered in the first half of 2009.

Fuel oil prices in the first half of 2009 were relatively strong compared with prices for crude oil and other products. OPEC crude oil production cuts were focused in the medium and heavy sour crude oil grades that yield more residual fuel oils than lighter sweet crude oils. Lower worldwide refinery utilization (except in China where refinery runs increased) combined with an increase in refinery upgrade capacity that cracks fuel oil into gasoline and middle distillates also reduced bunker availability, keeping bunker fuel prices strong relative to crude oil and other oil products.

The tables below show the daily TCE rates that prevailed in markets in which the Company's vessels operated for the periods indicated. It is important to note that the spot market is quoted in Worldscale rates. The conversion of Worldscale rates to the following TCE rates required the Company to make certain assumptions as to brokerage commissions, port time, port costs, speed and fuel consumption, all of which will vary in actual usage. In each case, the rates may differ from the actual TCE rates achieved by the Company in the period indicated because of the timing and length of voyages, waiting time and the portion of revenue generated from long-term charters. For example, TCE rates for VLCCs are reflected in the earnings of the Company approximately one month after such rates are reflected in the tables below, calculated on the basis of fixture dates.

International Flag VLCCs

Spot Market TCE Rates VLCCs in the Arabian Gulf*

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Average	\$ 13,300	\$	108,300	\$	26,800	\$	95,900	
High	\$ 39,500	\$	199,300	\$	80,700	\$	250,000	
Low	\$ (4,500)	\$	28,300	\$	(4,500)	\$	28,300	

^{*} Based on 60% Arabian Gulf to Eastern destinations and 40% Arabian Gulf to Western destinations

Rates for VLCCs trading out of the Arabian Gulf in the second quarter and first six months of 2009 averaged \$13,300 per day and \$26,800 per day, respectively. Second quarter and first half rates were 88% and 72% respectively below rates realized in the same periods of 2008. Second quarter 2009 rates were also 67% below those in the first quarter of 2009.

Lower 2009 rates reflected a significant decline in long-haul crude movements from the Middle East. A reduction in oil demand in OECD countries coupled with lower refining margins led to cuts in discretionary refinery throughput levels throughout the world, except in China. Tanker deliveries in the first six months of 2009 far exceeded conversions and deletions, resulting in an increase in the fleet even as tonne-mile demand declined.

VLCC rates reached their low point from the middle of May through the first week in June. Negative time charter rates during this period reflected owners willingness to accept rates below bunker fuel costs to reposition their tankers to more favorable markets. Rates rose in June as crude movements increased due to a pickup in imports into China and a slippage in OPEC quota production compliance. Additionally, a contango in the middle distillate markets made it favorable to store diesel and aviation gasoline on newly delivered VLCCs.

Refinery utilization levels in the U.S. during the second quarter of 2009 averaged 84.3%, down from the 88.2% rate experienced in the second quarter of 2008. In Japan, refining runs were down approximately 350,000 b/d compared with the second quarter of 2008, which brought refinery utilization levels below 80%. Refinery utilization rates in Europe during the second quarter were also under 80%, with runs approximately 500,000 b/d below those in the same year ago period.

Weak oil demand also caused increased worldwide inventory levels to rise as days of forward supply cover reached record levels in some OECD countries. U.S. crude oil inventory levels were approximately 350 million barrels at the end of the second quarter of 2009, about 50 million barrels above levels at the same time, last year. While U.S. gasoline inventories were within their five-year range, middle distillate inventory levels reached record levels. Additionally, both crude oil and middle distillate inventories in Europe at the end of the second quarter of 2009 were above their five-year average. Higher Atlantic Basin inventory levels filled onshore storage facilities and necessitated using tankers to store both crude and distillates.

The buildup of strategic oil reserves combined with an increase in refinery runs significantly increased seaborne crude oil imports into China during the second quarter of 2009. Refinery runs in China attained record levels in the second quarter of 2009 as three new refineries totaling 600,000 b/d came on line. Seaborne cargoes destined to China were up 22% overall in the second quarter of 2009 compared with the second quarter of 2008, including a 28% increase in long-haul movements from West Africa.

There were thirty-two VLCC deliveries compared with six deletions during the first half of 2009. The total number of VLCCs in service, both trading and for other uses, as of July 1, 2009 was 535 (159.3 million dwt). The VLCC orderbook totaled 224 vessels (69.5 million dwt) at July 1, 2009, equivalent to 43.6% of the existing VLCC fleet, based on deadweight tons. As of June 30, 2009, single-hull tankers comprised 19.6% of the existing VLCC fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Suezmaxes

Spot Market TCE Rates Suezmaxes in the Atlantic*

Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008 Average \$ 17,700 \$ 74,500 \$ 27,600 \$ 61,000 High \$ 35,000 \$ 106,000 \$ 49,200 \$ 106,000 Low \$ 6,000 \$ 39,000 \$ 6,000 \$ 27,000

^{*} Based on West Africa to U.S. Gulf Coast

Rates for Suezmaxes trading out of West Africa in the second quarter of 2009 averaged \$17,700 per day, 76% below rates in the second quarter of 2008 and 53% below the first quarter of 2009. Rates during the first half of 2009 averaged \$27,600 per day, 55% below rates in the first half of 2008.

Rates in the second quarter of 2009 were negatively influenced by force majeure in Nigeria as Shell Oil Company, Chevron and ENI were forced to shut in production due to infrastructure damaged from attacks by insurgents. U.S. imports of Nigerian crude oil in the first half of 2009 were approximately 35% to 40% below 2008 levels, effectively reducing demand for Suezmax tankers. The reduction of approximately 300,000 b/d of North African OPEC production in both the second quarter and first six months of 2009 compared with the same year ago periods also adversely impacted Suezmax tonne-mile demand.

Crude oil movements via the B-T-C pipeline to the port of Ceyhan during both the second quarter and first six months of 2009 were unchanged from 2008 levels. With Caspian crude production that feeds the B-T-C pipeline forecasted to remain unchanged in 2009, crude oil exports from the port of Ceyhan, which increased in both 2007 and 2008, should also remain at a constant level in 2009.

Unlike the first six month of 2008 when strong worldwide demand for diesel generated additional light sweet crude oil shipments into Asia from longer-haul sources, weaker global demand for diesel in 2009 resulted in a decrease in light sweet crude oil movements into Asia, significantly limiting employment opportunities for Suezmax tankers. However, a modest increase in the utilization of Suezmax tankers to move Arabian Gulf crude cargoes to refiners in India somewhat offset the reduction in longer-haul Suezmax tanker cargoes.

Twenty-one Suezmax tankers were delivered over the first half of 2009 compared with three deletions. However, some of these newly delivered tankers found employment as floating storage for middle distillates, lending some support to Suezmax tanker rates during the quarter.

The world Suezmax fleet stood at 378 vessels (57.6 million dwt) at July 1, 2009. The Suezmax orderbook was 163 vessels (25.5 million dwt) at July 1, 2009, representing 44.2% of the existing Suezmax fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Aframaxes

Spot Market TCE Rates Aframaxes in the Caribbean*

Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008 2009 2008 Average \$ 9,200 \$ 53,400 \$ 16,700 \$ 44,600 \$ High \$ 17,500 95,000 \$ 73,000 \$ 95,000 Low \$ 3,400 \$ 19,300 \$ 3,400 \$ 5,200

st Based on Caribbean to the U.S . Gulf and Atlantic Coasts.

Rates for Aframaxes operating in the Caribbean during the second quarter of 2009 averaged \$9,200 per day, a decline of 83% from the second quarter of 2008 and 62% from the first quarter of 2009. Rates in the first half of 2009 averaged \$16,700 per day, 63% less than the first half of 2008.

Aframax rates were weakest in the early part of the second quarter of 2009 but firmed up towards the end of the quarter. The strength in rates late in the quarter can at least be partially attributed to increased purchases of Russian crude oil before a significant increase in export duties scheduled for July 1, 2009.

Lower Mexican crude oil production in both the second quarter and the first six months of 2009 compared with 2008 adversely impacted freight rates. Additionally, production declines in the North Sea in the second quarter of 2009 were exacerbated by heavier-than-normal maintenance activities. Demand for crude oil by both U.S. and European refiners fell sharply from last year as refinery runs decreased on both side of the Atlantic Ocean in the face of falling oil product demand.

The Aframax fleet increased in the first half of 2009 as 57 vessels delivered against only seven deletions. The world Aframax fleet reached 845 vessels (87.9 million dwt) at July 1, 2009. The Aframax orderbook was 197 vessels (21.7 million dwt) at July 1, 2009, representing 24.6% of the existing Aframax fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Panamaxes

Spot Market TCE Rates

		Pa	namaxes Crud	e and Re	esidual Oils*			
	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Average	\$ 13,900	\$	35,000	\$	17,600	\$	31,800	
High	\$ 23,000	\$	51,000	\$	38,000	\$	53,800	
Low	\$ 3,700	\$	26,700	\$	3,700	\$	14,300	

^{*}Based on 50% Caribbean to U.S. Gulf and Atlantic Coasts and 50% Ecuador to U.S. West Coast

Rates for Panamaxes that move crude and residual oils averaged \$13,900 per day during the second quarter of 2009, 34% lower than the previous quarter and 60% below the corresponding quarter in 2008. First half 2009 rates were 45% below rates in the first half of 2008.

The decline in rates in this sector was more moderate than those realized in the larger tanker sectors. Panamax tankers that were chartered early in the quarter generally had storage options that were exercised. In the second quarter, approximately 20 to 25 tankers were used to store clean products, primarily middle distillates, which was supportive of rates.

Refinery utilization levels dropped on the U.S. West Coast from 86.8% in the second quarter of 2008 to 77.1% in the second quarter of 2009. This lowered second quarter 2009 crude oil import requirements and reduced crude oil deliveries from Ecuador to West Coast refineries.

The world Panamax fleet at July 1, 2009 was 428 vessels (29.8 million dwt), a net increase of 21 tankers since the beginning of 2009. The Panamax orderbook was 115 vessels (8.1 million dwt) at July 1, 2009, representing 27.1% of the existing Panamax fleet, based on deadweight tons.

International Flag Handysize Product Carriers

Spot Market TCE Rates Handysize Product Carriers*

	Three Months	Ended J	une 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
Average	\$ 6,800	\$	28,300	\$	8,900	\$	22,800	
High	\$ 15,500	\$	38,000	\$	18,200	\$	38,000	
Low	\$ 1,500	\$	11,100	\$	1,500	\$	11,100	

^{*} Based on 60% trans-Atlantic and 40% Caribbean to U.S. Atlantic Coast

Rates for Product Carriers operating in the Caribbean and trans-Atlantic trades averaged \$6,800 per day during the second quarter of 2009, about 76% below those in the second quarter of 2008 and 39% less than those in the first quarter of 2009. Rates in the first half of 2009 averaged \$8,900 per day, 61% less than the first half of 2008.

Product Carrier rates in the first half of 2009 reflected a reduction in middle distillate (i.e., diesel, kerosene and aviation gasoline) demand throughout the world. Compared with 2008, middle distillate demand in the first half of 2009 was down approximately 5%, significantly reducing arbitrage opportunities in 2009. The diesel crack spread in the first half of 2009 was significantly below that realized in the same timeframe in 2008. This contributed to reductions in refinery runs and a global decline in diesel seaborne shipments. Lower refinery utilization rates in Europe, which effectively reduced the volumes of gasoline manufactured, in conjunction with more-than adequate U.S. gasoline inventories that fell within their five-year average range, curtailed the level of trans-Atlantic gasoline movements.

Naphtha feedstock movements from the Middle East to Asia declined as petrochemical plants in Asia scaled-back production of petrochemical products.

The start-up of a new refinery in Vietnam significantly reduced product movements into that country while diesel imports into China, which reached an all-time high in the second quarter of 2008 in advance of the Olympics, fell significantly during 2009 as additional refining capacity in China came on line.

As a result of the above factors, Product Carrier tonne-mile demand declined in 2009 relative to 2008 levels.

A net of 55 Handysize Product tankers entered the fleet during the first half of 2009. There were 74 deliveries and 19 deletions. The world Handysize fleet reached 1,511 vessels (64.0 million dwt) at July 1, 2009. The Handysize orderbook was 410 vessels (19.0 million dwt) at July 1, 2009, equivalent to 29.7% of the existing Handysize fleet, based on deadweight tons.

U.S. Flag Jones Act Product Carriers

	Average Spot Market TCE Rates									
	Three Months	Ended Ju	ne 30,		Six Months E	nded Jur	ne 30,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008			
40,000 dwt Tankers	\$ 31,900	\$	38,900	\$	39,300	\$	44,500			
30,000 dwt ATBs	\$ 22,200	\$	21,400	\$	26,400	\$	26,500			

Rates for Jones Act Product Carriers and ATBs during the second quarter of 2009 averaged \$31,900 per day and \$22,200 per day, respectively. Rates for the Product Carriers were 18% below those in the second quarter of 2008 while the ATB rates were 4% higher. Second quarter 2009 rates for the Product Tankers and ATBs were respectively 32% and 27% below first quarter 2009 rates.

Lower oil demand in the U.S., especially for middle distillates, resulted in a decline in refining utilization levels on the U.S. Gulf Coast which accounts for approximately 48% of total refining capacity in the U.S. Second quarter 2009 refining utilization rates averaged about 85.5% compared with 88.4% during the second quarter of 2008, resulting in a reduction in seaborne movements of approximately 250,000 b/d of clean product. There was also additional tonnage in the marketplace, as six vessels that had been engaged in the grain trade in 2008 now compete in the product spot market. As a result of lower demand and increased availability of suitable tonnage, Product Tankers and ATBs had to wait longer for cargoes. This resulted in lower TCE rates for Product Tankers in the second quarter of 2009 relative to both the first quarter of 2009 and second quarter of 2008.

ATB rates in the second quarter of 2009 were slightly higher than those in the second quarter of 2008 despite the fact that the ATB market was subject to the same adverse market conditions as tankers. This was largely due to a significant decrease in the price of diesel fuel, which is the primary fuel used to power ATBs. A significant decrease in U.S. diesel demand is what caused the cost of diesel fuel to fall so substantially. Tankers, on the other hand, typically run on fuel oil (bunkers), which increased in price relative to crude oil.

Refinery utilization rates on the U.S. East Coast during the second quarter of 2009 were approximately 71%, down from 81% in the second quarter of 2008. One factor that contributed to the lower refining utilization rates and reduced crude oil requirements was planned maintenance at the Delaware City refinery. The Delaware Bay lightering business transported an average of 199,000 b/d during the second quarter, which was 22% below the amount transported in the second quarter of 2008, but slightly above lightering volumes in the first quarter of 2009.

Three Jones Act vessels were delivered in the second quarter. This re	sulted in 67 Jones Act vessels that were available for trading as of June 30,
2009. Due to the reduction in transport requirements thus far in 2009.	12 vessels in the Jones Act fleet were idle at the end of the second quarter

The June 30, 2009 Jones Act Product Carrier orderbook consisted of 20 tankers and barges in the 160,000 to 420,000 barrel size range that are scheduled for delivery through 2013, and one additional vessel scheduled for conversion, resulting in a total orderbook of 21 vessels. These additions will be partially offset by deletions as there are 13 vessels that will be phased out in accordance with OPA 90 regulations and an additional four double hull vessels that are over 35 years old and will also likely be retired during this period.

Outlook

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), world oil demand in 2009 is expected to average 83.8 million b/d, a decline of 2.9%, or 2.5 million b/d below 2008 levels. Oil demand in the third and fourth quarters of 2009 are forecasted to decline by 2.6% and 1.7% from the third and fourth quarters of 2008, respectively, which suggests that the weakest period for oil demand may be behind us.

Tanker rates are expected to remain under pressure during the second half of 2009. The first half of 2009 reflected an environment where product demand fell faster than refinery runs, resulting in a substantial increase in inventory levels and opening up more employment opportunities for tankers as floating storage. A larger-than usual portion of the demand for products during the remainder of 2009 will likely be filled from working down inventories, both offshore and onshore, thus reducing demand for shipping.

Tanker supply is forecast to grow between 6% and 10% in 2009, depending on the number of single hull tankers that are scrapped during the year and the number of scheduled tanker deliveries that are delayed into 2010. Given the current weak oil demand situation, falling tanker values and reduced credit availability, many companies are trying to cancel tanker orders or defer deliveries. At the same time, some new green-field shipyards that have been negatively impacted by the credit crisis may not be able to fulfill their order-book commitments. It is, therefore, expected that a number of tanker deliveries slated for post-2009 will not occur.

U.S. and world economic news continues to show some signs of improvement from earlier this year. The International Monetary Fund ($\,$ IMF $\,$) recently increased its 2009 GDP estimate for China from 6.5% to 7.5% and forecasts 8.5% growth in 2010. Macroeconomic indicators point to a rebound in U.S. GDP growth as well, which is expected to improve from a 2.6% contraction in 2009 to a 0.8% increase in 2010. The IMF forecasts that there will be a global economic expansion of 2.5% in 2010 compared with a contraction in world growth of 1.4% in 2009.

35

An increase in oil demand should follow any economic expansion in 2010. The IEA predicts that demand will rise by approximately 1.7%, which translates into an increase of approximately 1.4 million b/d, of which 40% will occur in Asia and the rest in other non-OECD areas. A decrease in upstream capital spending in 2009 will exacerbate declines in mature oil producing areas. The increase in oil demand forecast for 2010 should therefore increase the call on OPEC crude supplies, resulting in an increase in tonne-mile demand over 2009 levels.

The phaseout of single hull tankers should begin in earnest in 2010. The use of single hull tankers delivering crudes to Asia is steadily declining and there are very few places in the world today where a steady trade for single hull VLCCs exists. It is expected that a majority of single hull tankers will be scrapped in 2010, which will favorably impact tanker utilization rates.

The economic and financial crisis has, and will continue to, impact the shipping industry. Owners who have placed tanker orders and are facing liquidity and covenant issues may not be able to fulfill their contractual obligations with the shippards, resulting in cancellations or distressed sales. The delivery dates for tankers that are currently being built, or that are scheduled to undergo construction shortly, could be delayed. Uncertainty as to whether the tankers in the current orderbook will be built or delivered, or face delays in delivery schedules will impact future tanker utilization rates.

Freight rates remain highly sensitive to severe weather and geopolitical events. Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico during the second half of 2009 could have a pronounced effect on freight rates for both crude oil and product movements depending on the extent to which upstream and downstream facilities are affected. Geopolitical events, such as ongoing violence in Nigeria s oil producing Niger delta and escalating tensions with Iran could also cause changes in supply patterns that could significantly impact rates.

Update on Critical Accounting Policies:

The Company s consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require the Company to make estimates in the application of its accounting policies based on the best assumptions, judgments, and opinions of management. For a description of all of the Company s material accounting policies, see Note A to the Company s consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Newly Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board established principles and requirements for disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This statement introduces the concept of when financial statements are considered issued or are available to be issued. The statement is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Income from Vessel Operations:

During the second quarter of 2009, TCE revenues decreased by \$137,729,000, or 36%, to \$248,385,000 from \$386,114,000, in the second quarter of 2008 mainly due to a significant decrease in the daily TCE rates earned by all of the Company s international flag vessel classes, offset by a 77 day increase in revenue days. During the second quarter of 2009, approximately 48% of the Company s TCE revenues were derived from spot earnings, compared with 61% in the second quarter of 2008. In the second quarter of 2009, approximately 52% of TCE revenues were generated from fixed earnings, which comprise time or bareboat charters (term) and synthetic time charters (which represent earnings for certain vessels operating in pools that have been converted to synthetic time charters through hedging with FFAs and bunker swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges). Fixed earnings represented 39% of the Company s TCE revenues in the second quarter of 2008. During the first six months of 2009, TCE revenues decreased by \$220,774,000, or 29%, to \$541,174,000 from \$761,948,000 in the first six months of 2008. During the first six months of 2009, approximately 53% of the Company s TCE revenues were derived from spot earnings compared with 64% in the first six months of 2008. In the first six months of 2009, approximately 47% of TCE revenues were generated from time, bareboat, or synthetic time charters compared with 36% in the first six months of 2008.

OSG operates most of its crude oil tankers in commercial pooling arrangements (Pools). The Pools cargo commitments make them attractive, but such cargo commitments limit the Pools ability to support any significant portfolio of time charters. Accordingly, OSG enters into forward freight agreements (FFAs) and bunker swaps seeking to create synthetic time charters. The results of derivative positions that qualify for hedge accounting treatment and that are effective, are reflected in TCE revenues in the periods to which such hedges relate. The Company achieved average TCE rates for VLCCs of \$45,864 per day for 817 days and \$73,832 per day for 609 days covered by such effective hedges for the second quarter of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The June 30, 2009 mark-to-market for derivative positions through 2010 that qualify for hedge accounting treatment, which are considered to be effective, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss (equity). The actual results of these hedge positions will be reflected in the Company s earnings in the periods to which the positions relate.

The results of derivative positions that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment are reflected in other income/(expense) and resulted in a gain of \$720,000 in the second quarter of 2009 and a loss of \$42,409,000 in the second quarter of 2008.

Reliance on the spot market contributes to fluctuations in the Company s revenue, cash flow, and net income, but affords the Company greater opportunity to increase income from vessel operations when rates rise. On the other hand, time and bareboat charters provide the Company with a predictable level of revenues.

During the second quarter of 2009, income from vessel operations decreased by \$146,024,000 to \$799,000 from \$146,823,000 in the second quarter of 2008. During the first six months of 2009, income from vessel operations decreased by \$144,902,000 to \$129,344,000 from \$274,246,000 in the first six months of 2008. See Note C to the condensed financial statements for additional information on the Company s segments, including equity in income of affiliated companies and reconciliations of (i) time charter equivalent revenues to shipping revenues and (ii) income from vessel operations for the segments to income before federal income taxes, including net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations. Information with respect to the

Company s proportionate share of revenue days for vessels operating in companies accounted for using the equity method is shown below in the discussion of Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies.

International Crude Tankers (dollars in thousands)

	Three Months H	Ended J	June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	2009		2008	
TCE revenues	\$ 128,145	\$	254,950 \$	288,131	\$	503,810	
Vessel expenses	(24,464)		(28,569)	(50,002)		(57,365)	
Charter hire expenses	(60,273)		(72,675)	(132,884)		(137,700)	
Depreciation and amortization	(18,418)		(18,361)	(36,295)		(36,895)	
Income from vessel operations (a)	\$ 24,990	\$	135,345 \$	68,950	\$	271,850	
Average daily TCE rate	\$ 27,900	\$	55,571 \$	30,669	\$	55,117	
Average number of owned vessels (b)	24.8		25.5	24.5		25.7	
Average number of vessels chartered-in under							
operating leases	26.8		26.1	28.5		25.2	
Number of revenue days (c)	4,593		4,588	9,395		9,141	
Number of ship-operating days:(d)							
Owned vessels	2,261		2,320	4,439		4,686	
Vessels bareboat chartered-in under operating							
leases	567		546	1,187		1,064	
Vessels time chartered-in under operating							
leases	1,607		1,649	3,516		3,146	
Vessels spot chartered-in under operating							
leases	268		175	454		383	

⁽a) Income from vessel operations by segment is before general and administrative expenses, severance and relocation costs, shipyard contract termination costs and gain/(loss) on disposal of vessels.

The following tables provide a breakdown of TCE rates achieved for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 between spot and fixed earnings and the related revenue days. The Company has entered into FFAs and related bunker swaps as hedges against the volatility of earnings from operating the Company s VLCCs and Aframaxes in the spot market. These derivative instruments seek to create synthetic time charters because their intended impact is to create a level of fixed TCE earnings, which because of basis risk may vary (possibly substantially) from the targeted rate. From the perspective of a vessel owner, such as the Company, the results of these synthetic time charters are intended to be substantially equivalent to results from time chartering vessels in the physical market. The impact of these derivatives, which qualify for hedge accounting treatment, are reported together with time charters entered in the physical market, under Fixed Earnings. The information in these tables is based, in part, on information provided by the pools or commercial joint ventures in which the segment s vessels participate.

⁽b) The average is calculated to reflect the addition and disposal of vessels during the period.

⁽c) Revenue days represent ship-operating days less days that vessels were not available for employment due to repairs, drydock or lay-up. Revenue days are weighted to reflect the Company s interest in chartered-in vessels.

⁽d) Ship-operating days represent calendar days.

	2009			2008			
Three months ended June 30,	Spot Earnings		Fixed Earnings		Spot Earnings		Fixed Earnings
VLCCs:							
Average rate	\$ 32,020	\$	45,864	\$	98,747	\$	73,832
Revenue days	500		817		819		609
Suezmaxes:							
Average rate	\$ 23,847	\$		\$	61,098	\$	
Revenue days	221				182		
Aframaxes:							
Average rate	\$ 21,441	\$	37,455	\$	44,514	\$	30,235
Revenue days	1,749		204		1,475		325
Panamaxes:							
Average rate	\$ 18,776	\$	26,288	\$	35,625	\$	26,492
Revenue days	592		421		544		452

	2009			200			
		Spot		Fixed	Spot		Fixed
Six months ended June 30,		Earnings		Earnings	Earnings		Earnings
VLCCs:							
Average rate	\$	40,398	\$	43,441	\$ 98,648	\$	80,487
Revenue days		1,112		1,542	2,065		834
Suezmaxes:							
Average rate	\$	32,140	\$		\$ 47,649	\$	
Revenue days		452			336		
Aframaxes:							
Average rate	\$	25,688	\$	38,025	\$ 40,063	\$	30,765
Revenue days		3,605		429	2,931		644
Panamaxes:							
Average rate	\$	23,134	\$	26,591	\$ 35,086	\$	26,565
Revenue days		1,206		868	1,088		903

During the second quarter of 2009, TCE revenues for the International Crude Tankers segment decreased by \$126,805,000, or 50%, to \$128,145,000 from \$254,950,000 in the second quarter of 2008 reflecting significant decreases in average rates earned on all classes of crude tankers operating in the spot market.

Vessel expenses decreased by \$4,105,000 to \$24,464,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$28,569,000 in the second quarter of 2008, principally attributable to a decrease in average daily vessel expenses of \$1,355 per day. This decrease is primarily due to the timing of delivery of stores and spares, reductions in repairs and the renegotiation of the fixed rate technical management agreements between the Company and DHT Maritime, Inc., formerly Double Hull Tankers, Inc. (DHT), on seven tankers, effective January 17, 2009. Under the renegotiated agreements, DHT is responsible for all vessel expenses. Charter hire expenses decreased by \$12,402,000 to \$60,273,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$72,675,000 in the second quarter of 2008, principally as a result of lower profit share due to owners reflecting lower TCE rates achieved on the VLCC and Aframax fleets.

During the first six months of 2009, TCE revenues for the International Crude Tankers segment decreased by \$215,679,000, or 43%, to \$288,131,000 from \$503,810,000 in the first six months of 2008 reflecting significant decreases in average rates earned on the VLCC and Aframax fleets, partially offset by a 254 day increase in revenue days. The increase in days reflects increased days attributable to the OSG Lightering business during 2009.

Vessel expenses decreased by \$7,363,000 to \$50,002,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$57,365,000 in the first six months of 2008 reflecting a net decrease of 124 owned and bareboat chartered-in days and a decrease in average daily vessel expenses of \$1,119 per day. The decrease in average daily vessel expenses is primarily due to the renegotiation of the DHT technical management agreements discussed above. Charter hire expenses decreased by \$4,816,000 to \$132,884,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$137,700,000 in the first six months of 2008, principally as a result of lower profit share due to owners, as a result of lower TCE rates achieved on the VLCC and Aframax fleets, partially offset by a 493 day increase in bareboat and time chartered-in days.

International Product Carriers (dollars in thousands)

	Three Months 1 2009	Ended J	June 30, 2008	Six Months En 2009	ded Ju	ine 30, 2008
TCE revenues	\$ 63,581	\$	71,597	\$ 134,766	\$	138,004
Vessel expenses	(22,305)		(23,695)	(44,154)		(45,126)
Charter hire expenses	(29,944)		(18,316)	(55,963)		(34,119)
Depreciation and amortization	(11,634)		(13,614)	(23,436)		(27,411)
Income from vessel operations	\$ (302)	\$	15,972	\$ 11,213	\$	31,348
Average daily TCE rate	\$ 18,331	\$	22,348	\$ 19,601	\$	21,708
Average number of owned vessels	14.1		15.3	14.6		15.7
Average number of vessels chartered-in under						
operating leases	26.1		20.9	25.0		20.3
Number of revenue days	3,469		3,204	6,875		6,357
Number of ship-operating days						
Owned vessels	1,286		1,395	2,636		2,851
Vessels bareboat chartered-in under operating						
leases	1,602		1,456	3,076		2,883
Vessels time chartered-in under operating						
leases	774		449	1,442		813

The following tables provide a breakdown of TCE rates achieved for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 between spot and fixed earnings and the related revenue days. The information is based, in part, on information provided by the commercial joint ventures in which certain of the segment s vessels participate.

		2009				2008			
Three months ended June 30,	E	Spot Carnings		Fixed Earnings]	Spot Earnings		Fixed Earnings	
Panamax Product Carriers:									
Average rate	\$	17,470	\$	19,837	\$	35,140	\$	18,625	
Revenue days		364		103		182		180	
Handysize Product Carriers:									
Average rate	\$	16,715	\$	19,680	\$	25,131	\$	20,799	
Revenue days		1,270		1,571		1,031		1,811	

	2009				2008			
		Spot		Fixed		Spot		Fixed
Six months ended June 30,		Earnings		Earnings]	Earnings		Earnings
Panamax Product Carriers:								
Average rate	\$	21,140	\$	19,115	\$	34,876	\$	18,633
Revenue days		647		282		364		362
Handysize Product Carriers:								
Average rate	\$	19,362	\$	19,552	\$	24,849	\$	20,081
Revenue days		2,393		3,299		1,863		3,768

During the second quarter of 2009, TCE revenues for the International Product Carriers segment decreased by \$8,016,000, or 11%, to \$63,581,000 from \$71,597,000 in the second quarter of 2008. This decrease in TCE revenues principally resulted from a decrease in average rates earned on the Handysize Product Carriers operating, in the spot market partially offset by a 265 day increase in revenue days. The two Panamax Product Carriers that were operating on time charters were sold during the second quarter of 2009.

Vessel expenses decreased by \$1,390,000 to \$22,305,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$23,695,000 in the second quarter of 2008 principally due to a decrease in average daily vessel expenses of \$585 per day. The decrease in average daily expenses was principally driven by the planned redelivery of nine older Handysize Product Carriers in June and July. Charter hire expenses increased by \$11,628,000 to \$29,944,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$18,316,000 in the second quarter of 2008 due to an increase in chartered-in modern Handysize Product Carriers, the sale and charter back of two Panamax Product Carriers, and two LR2s that operated in the International Product Carriers segment for the majority of the quarter. These increases were offset by the expiration of bareboat charters on four older Handysize Product Carriers between December 2008 and January 2009. Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$1,980,000 to \$11,634,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$13,614,000 in the second quarter of 2008 principally due to the expiration of the bareboat charters on the four older Handysize Product Carriers. By the end of August 2009, all 13 of the older Handysize Product Carriers will have been redelivered to the owners at the expiry of their respective charters.

During the first six months of 2009, TCE revenues for the International Product Carriers segment decreased by \$3,238,000, or 2%, to \$134,766,000 from \$138,004,000 in the first six months of 2008. This decrease in TCE revenues resulted from the decrease in average rates earned on the Handysize Product Carriers operating in the spot market, partially offset by a 518 day increase in revenue days.

Vessel expenses decreased by \$972,000 to \$44,154,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$45,126,000 in the first half of 2008 principally due to a \$139 per day decrease in average daily vessel expenses. Charter hire expenses increased by \$21,844,000 to \$55,963,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$34,119,000 in the first six months of 2008 due to the increase in chartered-in vessels discussed above. Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$3,975,000 to \$23,436,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$27,411,000 in the first six months of 2008 principally due to the expiration of the charters on the four older Handysize Product Carriers discussed above.

In 2005, the Company reflagged three Handysize Product Carriers (the Overseas Ambermar, the Overseas Maremar and the Overseas Luxmar) under the U.S. Flag and entered them in the U.S. Maritime Security Program (the Program). In September 2008, the Overseas Ambermar exited the program and was reflagged under the Marshall Islands Flag. Through 2008, each of the vessel owning companies received approximately \$2,600,000 per year. Such subsidy, which was increased to \$2,900,000 in 2009, is intended to offset the increased cost incurred by such vessels from operating under the U.S. Flag. Since these vessels trade primarily in the international market, they continue to be reflected in the International Product Carrier segment.

Other International (dollars in thousands)

	Three Months l	Ended J	June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009		2008	2009		2008
TCE revenues	\$ 1,957	\$	7,887	\$ 3,891	\$	15,666
Vessel expenses	(522)		(1,123)	(1,048)		(1,762)
Charter hire expenses			(3,137)			(6,303)
Depreciation and amortization	(1,597)		(1,543)	(3,215)		(3,137)
Income from vessel operations	\$ (162)	\$	2,084	\$ (372)	\$	4,464
Average daily TCE rate	\$ 21,500	\$	28,886	\$ 21,500	\$	28,739
Average number of owned vessels	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0
Average number of vessels chartered in under						
operating leases			2.0			2.0
Number of revenue days	91		273	181		545
Number of ship-operating days:						
Owned vessels	91		91	181		182
Vessels time chartered-in under operating						
leases			182			363

As of June 30, 2009, the Company operated one Other International Flag vessel, a Pure Car Carrier. During the third quarter of 2008, the time charters-in of two International Flag Dry Bulk Carriers and related purchase options for such vessels were sold. All three vessels were employed on long-term charters.

U. S. Segment (dollars in thousands)

	Three Months E	nded J	une 30, 2008		Six Months En 2009	ded Ju	ine 30, 2008
TCE revenues	\$ 54,702	\$	51,680	\$	114,386	\$	104,468
Vessel expenses	(22,657)		(24,398)	•	(48,274)	•	(46,401)
Charter hire expenses	(14,378)		(9,240)		(27,090)		(15,917)
Depreciation and amortization	(13,241)		(13,797)		(25,825)		(27,463)
Income from vessel operations	\$ 4,426	\$	4,245	\$	13,197	\$	14,687
Average daily TCE rate	\$ 34,806	\$	32,640	\$	35,071	\$	32,997
Average number of owned vessels	15.9		16.0		15.9		16.5
Average number of vessels chartered in under							
operating leases	6.2		3.9		5.9		3.4
Number of revenue days	1,572		1,583		3,262		3,166
Number of ship-operating days:							
Owned vessels	1,443		1,456		2,883		3,003
Vessels bareboat chartered-in under operating							
leases	566		354		1,062		627

In late-June 2008, the Company purchased two Product Carriers, the Overseas New Orleans and Overseas Philadelphia, which had previously been operating on bareboat charters-in that were classified as capital leases.

During the second quarter of 2009, TCE revenues for the U.S. segment increased by \$3,022,000, or 6%, to \$54,702,000 from \$51,680,000 in the second quarter of 2008. The increase was the result of an increase in the average rates earned during the period. This increase in rates reflects the delivery of four additional bareboat chartered-in Jones Act Product Carriers subsequent to March 31, 2008, all of which were time chartered. The related increase in revenue attributable to these new Jones Act Product Carriers was offset by the removal from service of one U.S. Flag Tanker, the Overseas Integrity, and one U.S. Flag Barge, the M 300, in the fourth quarter of 2008 pending their sale, and the lay-up of two Product Carriers and one ATB for a substantial portion of the second quarter of 2009.

Vessel expenses decreased by \$1,741,000 to \$22,657,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$24,398,000 in the second quarter of 2008 principally due to the lay-up of five vessels during the second quarter of 2009, including the Overseas Integrity and M 300, which were both sold in late-June 2009. Charter hire expenses increased by \$5,138,000 to \$14,378,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$9,240,000 in the second quarter of 2008 principally due to the delivery of the four vessels referred to above.

During the first six months of 2009, TCE revenues for the U.S. segment increased by \$9,918,000, or 9%, to \$114,386,000 from \$104,468,000 in the first six months of 2008. The increase was the result of an increase in the average rates earned as discussed above, as well as a 96 day increase in the number of revenue days reflecting changes in the operating fleet discussed above.

Vessel expenses increased by \$1,873,000 to \$48,274,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$46,401,000 in the first six months of 2008 principally due to an increase in bareboat chartered-in days relating to the deliveries discussed above. Charter hire expenses increased by \$11,173,000 to \$27,090,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$15,917,000 in the first six months of 2008 principally due to the delivery of the chartered-in vessels referred to above. Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$1,638,000 to \$25,825,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$27,463,000 in the first six months of 2008 principally due to the Overseas Integrity and M 300 being classified as held for sale during the fourth quarter of 2008. Depreciation ceased on these vessels when they were classified as held for sale, in accordance with applicable accounting guidance.

General and Administrative Expenses

During the second quarter of 2009, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$5,402,000 to \$29,107,000 from \$34,509,000 in the second quarter of 2008 principally because of the following:

- a reduction in compensation and benefits paid to shore-based staff of \$3,333,000;
- reduced travel and entertainment and consulting costs of \$1,452,000, offset by higher legal costs of \$977,000;
- a favorable change in foreign exchange rates that resulted in gains of \$545,000; and
- reductions in other discretionary expenditures of \$937,000 resulting from cost control efforts.

During the first six months of 2009, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$15,387,000 to \$56,407,000 from \$71,794,000 in the first six months of 2008 principally because of the following:

- a reduction in compensation and benefits paid to shore-based staff of \$9,566,000;
- reduced travel and entertainment costs of \$1,822,000;
- a favorable change in foreign exchange rates that resulted in gains of \$1,447,000 and
- reductions in other discretionary expenditures of \$2,023,000 resulting from cost control efforts.

Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies:

During the second quarter of 2009, equity in income of affiliated companies decreased by \$2,932,000 to \$1,116,000 from \$4,048,000 in the second quarter of 2008. During the first six months of 2009, equity in income of affiliated companies decreased by \$1,789,000 to \$3,588,000 from \$5,377,000 in the first six months of 2008. These changes were as a result of the Company s share of costs incurred in 2009 during conversion of two ULCCs by the FSO joint venture and lower earnings from the LNG joint venture resulting from the impact of interest rate swaps that principally commenced subsequent to June 30, 2008.

Additionally, the Company has a 37.5% interest in ATC, a company that operates U.S. Flag tankers to transport Alaskan crude oil for BP. ATC earns additional income (in the form of incentive hire paid by BP) based on meeting certain predetermined performance standards. Such income is included in the U.S. segment.

The following table summarizes the Company s interest in its vessel owning equity method investments, excluding ATC, and OSG s proportionate share of the revenue days for the respective vessels. Revenue days are adjusted for OSG s percentage ownership in order to state the revenue days on a basis comparable to that of a wholly-owned vessel. The ownership percentages reflected below are the Company s actual ownership percentages as of June 30, 2009 and 2008.

	20	09	20	008
Three months ended June 30,	Revenue Days	% of Ownership	Revenue Days	% of Ownership
LNG Carriers operating on long-term charters	181	49.9%	178	49.9%
		09		008 % of
	Revenue	% of	Revenue	
Six months ended June 30,	Days	Ownership	Days	Ownership
LNG Carriers operating on long-term charters	361	49.9%	313	49.9%

Interest Expense:

The components of interest expense are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Mont	ded	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2009	2008	2009		2008			
Interest before impact of swaps and capitalized								
interest	\$ 10,836	\$ 20,696	\$ 23,114	\$	45,313			
Impact of swaps	2,577	817	4,548		924			
Capitalized interest	(2,510)	(4,322)	(5,387)		(10,683)			
Interest expense	\$ 10,903	\$ 17,191	\$ 22,275	\$	35,554			

The Company s redemption of the \$176,115,000 outstanding balance of its 8.25% Senior Notes in May 2008, using funds borrowed under the long-term revolving credit facility that were swapped into fixed rates at a weighted average of approximately 3.3%, locked in a reduction in interest expense of approximately \$7,000,000 per annum through March 2013. Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 includes a \$2,150,000 write off of the unamortized balance of deferred finance charges with respect to the 8.25% Senior Notes.

Interest expense decreased by \$6,288,000 to \$10,903,000 in the second quarter of 2009 from \$17,191,000 in the second quarter of 2008 as a result of the redemption of the 8.25% Senior Notes described above and a decrease in the average rate paid on floating rate debt of 260 basis points to 1.5% from 4.1% in 2008. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in the average amount of debt outstanding of \$106,236,000, higher expenses on the interest rate swaps resulting from the decline in LIBOR rates for the 2009 period compared with the second quarter of 2008 and a reduction in interest capitalized.

Interest expense decreased by \$13,279,000 to \$22,275,000 in the first six months of 2009 from \$35,554,000 in the first six months of 2008 as a result of the redemption of the 8.25% Senior Notes described above, a decrease in the average rate paid on floating rate debt of 280 basis points to 1.7% from 4.5% in 2008 and a decrease in the average amount of debt outstanding of \$27,183,000. These decreases were partially offset by higher expenses on the interest rate swaps resulting from the decline in LIBOR rates for the 2009 period compared with the first six months of 2008 and a reduction in interest capitalized.

Provision/(Credit) for Federal Income Taxes:

The income tax benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were based on the pre-tax results of the Company s U.S. subsidiaries, adjusted to include non-shipping income of the Company s foreign subsidiaries and reflects the reversal of previously established deferred tax liabilities.

On October 22, 2004, the President of the U.S. signed into law the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. The Jobs Creation Act reinstated tax deferral for OSG s foreign shipping income for years beginning after December 31, 2004. Effective January 1, 2005, the earnings from shipping operations of the Company s foreign subsidiaries are not subject to U.S. income taxation as long as such earnings are not repatriated to the U.S. Because the Company intends to permanently reinvest these earnings in foreign operations, no provision for U.S. income taxes on such earnings of its foreign subsidiaries is required after December 31, 2004.

EBITDA:

EBITDA represents operating earnings excluding net income/(loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest, which is before interest expense and income taxes, plus other income and depreciation and amortization expense and EBITDA is presented to provide investors with meaningful additional information that management uses to monitor ongoing operating results and evaluate trends over comparative periods. EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for net income/(loss) attributable to the Company or cash flow from operating activities prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. While EBITDA is frequently used as a measure of operating results and performance, it is not necessarily comparable to other similarly titled captions of other companies due to differences in methods of calculation. The following table reconciles net income/(loss) attributable to the Company, as reflected in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, to EBITDA (in thousands):

	Three Mon June	ded	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2009	2008	2009		2008		
Net income/(loss) attributable to Overseas							
Shipholding Group, Inc.	\$ (8,794)	\$ 86,935 \$	112,956	\$	199,370		
(Credit) for income taxes	(2,991)	(771)	(4,303)		(771)		
Interest expense	10,903	17,191	22,275		35,554		
Depreciation and amortization	44,890	47,315	88,771		94,906		
EBITDA	\$ 44,008	\$ 150,670 \$	219,699	\$	329,059		

Liquidity and Sources of Capital:

Working capital at June 30, 2009 was approximately \$683,000,000 compared with \$483,000,000 at December 31, 2008. Current assets are highly liquid, consisting principally of cash, interest-bearing deposits and receivables. In addition, the Company maintains a Capital Construction Fund with a market value of \$40,600,000 at June 30, 2009. The Company expects to use the balance in the Capital Construction Fund during 2009 to fund remaining payments towards the construction contracts for two U.S. Flag ATBs.

Net cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2009 approximated \$185,000,000 (which is not necessarily indicative of the cash to be provided by operating activities for the year ending December 31, 2009) compared with \$92,000,000 in the first six months of 2008. Current financial resources, together with cash anticipated to be generated from operations, are expected to be adequate to meet requirements in the next year.

The Company s reliance on the spot market contributes to fluctuations in cash flows from operating activities. Any decrease in the average TCE rates earned by the Company s vessels in quarters subsequent to June 30, 2009, compared with the actual TCE rates achieved during the first six months of 2009, will have a negative comparative impact on the amount of cash provided by operating activities. The Company entered into forward freight agreements to hedge a portion of the results of its VLCC fleet, recognizing that such contracts have basis risk. Most of these contracts are exchange-based, which significantly reduces counterparty risk. At the current rate levels, the Company believes that the 2009 results of the International Crude Tankers segment will benefit from these hedge positions.

In order to increase liquidity, the Company periodically evaluates transactions which may result in either the sale or the sale and leaseback of certain vessels in its fleet. The Company continues to monitor and evaluate the timing of repurchases of stock under its share buyback program. Because of weakness in the financial and credit markets there is greater focus on maintaining cash balances. The Company continually reviews the amount of its regular quarterly dividend to determine whether it is sustainable at current levels as part of its strategy to provide growth in returns to stockholders while maintaining a strong balance sheet.

Future dividends, similar to the stock repurchase program, will be evaluated as part of managing the balance sheet and cash.

In August 2008, the Company amended floating rate term loans covering seven vessels. The amendment provided additional borrowing capacity of approximately \$100,000,000 (New Loan), adding two vessels currently under construction to the secured facility. The New Loan bears interest at a rate based on LIBOR and amortizes over ten years commencing upon delivery of each of the two vessels. Upon closing of the amended facility, the Company borrowed \$32,812,000.

The indentures pursuant to which the Company s senior unsecured notes were issued require the Company to secure the senior unsecured notes equally and comparably with any other unsecured indebtedness in the event OSG is required to secure such debt.

As of June 30, 2009, OSG had \$1,800,000,000 of long-term unsecured credit availability and \$200,000,000 of long-term secured credit availability, of which approximately \$754,000,000 had been borrowed and an additional \$100,150,000 had been used for letters of credit. The Company s two long-term revolving credit facilities mature as follows: \$150,000,000 (2011), \$350,000,000 (2012) and \$1,500,000,000 (2013). The current financial resources available under the unsecured credit facilities are significant and remain a stable source of funds for the Company especially in the current weak financial and tight credit markets. The availability under the unsecured credit facility plus cash expected to be generated from operations in 2009 should be sufficient to allow the Company to meet both its operating and capital requirements for vessels under construction in the short and medium term.

One of the rating agencies (Moody s) recently reduced its rating for OSG s senior unsecured debt one notch to Ba2, which is equivalent to Standard & Poor s rating for OSG s senior unsecured debt of BB. Moody s attaches a stable outlook to their rating whereas Standard & Poor s expresses a negative outlook. Further increases in debt, either from share repurchases, acquisitions or additional charter-in commitments could result in a downgrade as could a protracted downturn in freight rates. A downgrade does not impact any of the existing financial covenants contained in the Company s debt agreements nor does it increase the Company s current cost of funds.

The Company was in compliance with all of the financial covenants contained in the Company s debt agreements as of June 30, 2009 and projects continued compliance over the next twelve months. The financing agreements impose operating restrictions and establish minimum financial covenants. Failure to comply with any of the covenants in the financing agreements could result in a default under those agreements and under other agreements containing cross-default provisions. A default would permit lenders to accelerate the maturity of the debt under these agreements and to foreclose upon any collateral securing that debt. Under those circumstances, the Company might not have sufficient funds or other resources to satisfy its obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2009, the affiliated companies in which OSG held an equity interest had total bank debt outstanding of \$1,144,686,000 of which \$886,196,000 was nonrecourse to the Company.

In February 2008, Maersk Oil Qatar AS awarded two service contracts to a joint venture between OSG and Euronav N.V. for eight year terms. The Company has a 50% interest in this joint venture. The service contracts provide for two ULCCs to be converted to FSOs. The first ULCC, the TI Asia, which was wholly owned by Euronav NV, was sold to the joint venture in October 2008 for approximately \$200,000,000. The second ULCC, the TI Africa, which was wholly owned by OSG, was sold to the joint venture in January 2009 for approximately \$200,000,000. The joint venture financed the purchase of the vessels through long-term secured bank financing and partner loans. The joint venture has entered into a \$500,000,000 secured credit facility to partially finance the acquisition of the two ULCCs and the cost of conversion. In connection with the secured bank financing, the partners severally issued guaranties. The joint venture has entered into forward start floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with major financial institutions that are being accounted for as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swaps, covering notional amounts aggregating \$480,000,000, pay fixed rates of 3.9% and receive floating rates based on LIBOR. These agreements commence between July and September 2009 and have maturity dates ranging from July to September 2017.

In November 2004, the Company formed a joint venture with Qatar Gas Transport Company Limited (Nakilat) whereby companies in which OSG holds a 49.9% interest ordered four 216,000 cbm LNG Carriers. Upon delivery in 2007 and 2008, these vessels commenced 25-year time charters to Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (II). The aggregate construction cost for such newbuildings of \$918,026,000 was financed by the joint venture through long-term bank financing that is nonrecourse to the partners and partner contributions. The joint venture has entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps with a group of major financial institutions that are being accounted for as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swaps cover notional amounts aggregating approximately \$860,280,000, pursuant to which it will pay fixed rates of approximately 4.9% and receive a floating rate based on LIBOR. These agreements have maturity dates ranging from July to November 2022.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

A summary of the Company s long-term contractual obligations, excluding operating lease obligations for office space, as of June 30, 2009 follows (in thousands):

	Balance of 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Beyond 2013	Total
Debt (1)	\$ 36,390	\$ 75,973	\$ 76,389	\$ 104,561	\$ 869,232	\$ 507,146	\$ 1,669,691
Operating lease obligations (chartered-in							
vessels)(2)	202,539	377,475	373,818	324,779	271,689	838,995	2,389,295
Construction contracts (3)	71,622	371,538	191,445				634,605
(3)	71,022	371,330	171,773				05 1,005

- (1) Amounts shown include contractual interest obligations. The interest obligations for floating rate debt of \$1,073,225,000 as of June 30, 2009, have been estimated based on the fixed rates stated in related floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps, where applicable, or the LIBOR rate at June 30, 2009 of 0.6%. The Company is a party to floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps covering notional amounts aggregating \$453,244,000 at June 30, 2009 that effectively convert the Company s interest rate exposure from a floating rate based on LIBOR to an average fixed rate of 4.0%.
- (2) As of June 30, 2009, the Company had charter-in commitments for 67 vessels on leases that are, or will be, accounted for as operating leases. Certain of these leases provide the Company with various renewal and purchase options.
- (3) Represents remaining commitments under shipyard construction contracts or estimates thereof, excluding capitalized interest and other construction costs.

OSG has used interest rate swaps to convert a portion of its debt from a floating rate to a fixed rate based on management s interest-rate outlook at various times. These agreements contain no leverage features and have various final maturity dates from February 2010 to August 2014.

OSG expects to finance vessel commitments from working capital, the Capital Construction Fund, cash anticipated to be generated from operations, existing long-term credit facilities, and additional long-term debt, as required. The amounts of working capital and cash generated from operations that may, in the future, be utilized to finance vessel commitments are dependent on the rates at which the Company can charter its vessels. Such charter rates are volatile.

Risk Management:

The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates, which could impact its results of operations and financial condition. The Company manages this exposure to market risk through its regular operating and financing activities and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. The Company manages its ratio of fixed-to-floating rate debt with the objective of achieving a mix that reflects management s interest rate outlook at various times. To manage this mix in a cost-effective manner, the Company, from time-to-time, enters into interest rate swap agreements, in which it agrees to exchange various combinations of fixed and variable interest rates based on agreed upon notional amounts. The Company uses such derivative financial instruments as risk management tools and not for speculative or trading purposes. In addition, derivative financial instruments are entered into with a diversified group of major financial institutions in order to manage exposure to nonperformance on such instruments by the counterparties.

The Company seeks to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates through the use of foreign currency forward contracts and through the purchase of quantities of foreign currency at rates that management considers favorable. For these contracts, which qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes, hedge effectiveness is assessed based on changes in foreign exchange rates with the change in fair value of the effective portions being recorded in accumulated other comprehensive

loss. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has recorded an asset of \$1,057,000 related to the fair values of these contracts, which settle between July 2009 and March 2010 and cover approximately £1,000,000 per month.

OSG s management regularly reviews the strategic decision with respect to the appropriate ratio of spot charter revenues to fixed rate charter revenues taking into account its expectations about spot and time charter forward rates. Decisions to modify fixed rate coverage are implemented in either the physical markets through changes in time charters or in the FFA markets, thus managing the desired strategic position while maintaining flexibility of ship availability to customers. OSG enters into Forward Freight Agreements and bunker swaps with an objective of economically hedging risk. The Company enters into FFAs and bunker swaps as economic hedges, some of which qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes, seeking to reduce its exposure to changes in the spot market rates earned by some of its vessels in the normal course of its shipping business. By using FFAs and bunker swaps, OSG manages the financial risk associated with fluctuating market conditions. FFAs and bunker swaps generally cover periods ranging from one month to one year and involve contracts entered into at various rates with the intention of offsetting the variability of the TCE earnings from certain of the pools in which it participates. FFAs and bunker swaps are executed either over-the-counter, between two parties, or through NOS ASA, a Norwegian clearing house or LCH, London Clearing House. NOS ASA and LCH require the posting of collateral by all participants. The use of a clearing house reduces the Company s exposure to counterparty credit risk. As of June 30, 2009, OSG was committed to FFA and bunker swap agreements that qualified as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes with a fair value of \$28,538,000 (hedging income). The effective portion of the changes in fair value of these positions are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. These contracts settle between July 2009 and December 2009.

The Company s VLCCs are deployed and earn revenue through commercial pools that operate on multiple routes on voyages of varying durations, which differs from the standard routes associated with the related hedging instruments. Therefore, the FFA and bunker hedges that qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes have basis risk. The TCE rates for the pools are computed from the results of actual voyages performed during the period whereas the rates used for settling FFA and bunker hedges are calculated as simple averages of the daily rates and prices for standard routes reported with each daily rate weighted equally. High volatility tends to weaken the statistical relationship between pool performance and the FFA market results.

The second half of 2008 experienced extremely high volatility both in freight rates and bunker prices. The Tankers International pool s VLCC earnings do not fluctuate as much as TD-3 since the pool s cargo system with longer Arabian Gulf to Western destination and West Africa to Eastern destination combination voyages smoothes out the pool s earnings. The historical difference in volatility between TD-3 and Tankers International pool s earnings has been analyzed and the volume of the hedge position optimized to maximize correlation. For the second quarter of 2009, the synthetic TCE rate achieved for VLCCs was approximately \$45,864 per day. In addition, the Company s derivative positions seek to achieve synthetic time charters for 2.110 days for VLCCs over the period

from July 1, 2009 to January 31, 2010. However, due to the above mentioned basis risk, price volatility and other factors, the actual TCE rates achieved for the synthetic time charters may differ (quarter by quarter and for the year 2009), possibly substantially, from expected rates.

The shipping industry s functional currency is the U.S. dollar. All of the Company s revenues and most of its operating costs are in U.S. dollars.

Available Information

The Company makes available free of charge through its internet website, www.osg.com, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company also makes available on its website, its corporate governance guidelines, its code of business conduct, and charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors.

Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company s current disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this Quarterly Report which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the Company s risk factors from those disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, other than to the risk factors shown below.

Termination of the contracts with Bender Shipbuilding & Repair Co., Inc. s (Bender) has, and may continue to, adversely affect OSG and OSG America L.P. (OSG America)

In March 2009, OSG, OSG America and Bender terminated the construction agreements pursuant to which Bender was building six ATBs and two tugs for OSG and OSG America, respectively. These agreements were terminated because of Bender s lack of performance under such agreements and its lack of liquidity and poor financial condition. OSG and OSG America intend to complete two of the six ATBs and two tugs at alternative yards.

In June 2009, certain creditors of Bender filed an involuntary Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition against Bender claiming that Bender was insolvent and raising questions about Bender s pre-petition transfer of assets, specifically transfers of the vessels to OSG and OSG America in connection with the termination of contracts of OSG and OSG America with Bender. Bender subsequently converted the involuntary proceeding into a voluntary Chapter 11 reorganization. As creditors of Bender have raised questions regarding OSG s and OSG America s termination agreement transaction it is likely that the transaction will be reviewed by authorized parties-in-interest in the bankruptcy and possibly challenged. OSG and OSG America believe that the termination transaction was valid and for fair consideration, and that we each have strong and meritorious defenses in the event of a challenge but no assurance can be given that the bankruptcy court will agree. However, if the bankruptcy court were to sustain a challenge to the transaction, OSG could be required to pay Bender additional sums for the partially completed ATBs and tugs and related equipment that were transferred to OSG and OSG America in connection with the termination agreement transaction. In such case, the payment of additional amounts would have an adverse effect on OSG. No assurance can be given that OSG s positions with respect to the termination agreement transaction will be upheld.

American Shipping Company ASA, formerly known as Aker American Shipping ASA (AMSC) and OSG are continuing to negotiate a number of outstanding issues between them, including settlement of their arbitration proceeding, but such negotiations have continued for more than six months without resolution and no assurance can be given that an agreement will be reached

AMSC and OSG are continuing to negotiate a number of outstanding issues between them, including settlement of the arbitration proceeding described in OSG s Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2008 under Risk Factors which description is incorporated herein by reference. On February 12, 2009, they signed a nonbinding agreement in principle (the Nonbinding Agreement) to settle such issues, the

implementation of which was subject to negotiation and signing of definitive agreements and certain other conditions, which were required to be satisfied on or before April 10, 2009. The parties continued to negotiate after April 10, 2009 to implement the Nonbinding Agreement, but with the passage of time and new developments, the Nonbinding Agreement terminated in accordance with its terms. While the parties continue to seek to resolve their outstanding commercial disagreements, which may involve materially altering the prior agreements between the parties, no assurance can be given that AMSC and OSG will enter into definitive agreement to resolve such issues. On August 4, 2009, AMSC reported, among other things, that it has not been able to arrange financing for the two shuttle tankers which it has agreed to charter to OSG, and has stated that if it is unable to raise the required equity and debt financing in a timely manner AMSC will be subject to delays or cancellations in the construction of those vessels. AMSC has further reported that absent an agreement with OSG settling their outstanding commercial disagreements, AMSC will need to raise additional debt or equity financing in the near term in order to meet the required liquidity needs of the business. AMSC reported that failure to raise additional capital would in such event likely have a materially adverse impact on AMSC s financial position and operations. On August 5, 2009, Aker Philadelphia Shipyard ASA (AKPS), which is constructing the vessels to be chartered to OSG and OSG America by AMSC, reported, among other things, that if financing for the shuttle tankers is not obtained by AMSC or the parties do not reach a definitive settlement agreement in the near term, then it may have a material adverse effect on AKPS s financial position and operations. Material adverse developments in AMSC s and AKPS s financial position and operations could adversely affect OSG and OSG America, including, but not limited to, delays in or failures of delivery of the remaining five vessels which AMSC has agreed to charter to OSG and OSG America. In connection with the matters at issue in the arbitration, as described in OSG s Form 10-K for 2008, OSG does not admit and continues vigorously to deny any triggering of charter extensions, any breach of contract, and any wrongdoing whatsoever in connection with its dealings with AMSC and the arbitration may be resumed by either party at any time.

Delays or cost overruns in building new vessels (including the failure to deliver new vessels), in the scheduled shipyard maintenance of the Company s vessels, or in rebuilding or conversion of the Company s vessels could adversely affect OSG s results of operations

Building new vessels, scheduled shipyard maintenance, or rebuilding or conversion of vessels are subject to risks of delay (including the failure to deliver new vessels) or cost overruns caused by one or more of the following:

•	financial difficulties of the shipyard building, repairing, or converting a vessel, including bankruptcy;
•	unforeseen quality or engineering problems;
•	work stoppages;
•	weather interference;
•	unanticipated cost increases;

delays in receipt of necessary materials or equipment; and

• inability to obtain the requisite permits, approvals or certifications from the U.S. Coast Guard or international foreign flag state authorities and the applicable classification society upon completion of work.

Significant delays, cost overruns and failure to deliver new vessels could materially increase the Company s expected contract commitments, which would have an adverse effect on the Company s revenues, borrowing capacity and results of operations. Furthermore, delays would result in vessels being out of service for extended periods of time, and therefore not earning revenue, which could have a material adverse effect on OSG s financial condition and results of operations. The Company s remedies for losses resulting from shipyards failure to comply with their contractual commitments may be limited by the relevant contracts, including by liquidated damages provisions, such as those that limit the amount of monetary damages that may be claimed or that limit the Company s remedy to cancellation of the building contract. While progress payments for newbuild vessels made prior to vessel delivery to

international shipyards historically have been supported by guarantees from financial institutions, such as banks or insurance companies, such payments to U.S. shipyards historically have been supported by liens on the work in progress, including steel and equipment used for constructing the vessel, and not by guarantees from financial institutions. If an international shipyard fails to deliver a contracted newbuild vessel for which there is a guarantee, the Company may claim against the guarantee, substantially reducing the risk that the Company will suffer a loss of its investment. If a U.S. shipyard fails to deliver a contracted vessel, the Company s investment may be supported only by the Company s liens on the work in progress, which may result in a loss of part or all of the Company s investment.

The Company is subject to certain credit risks with respect to its counterparties on contracts and failure of such counterparties to meet their obligations could cause the Company to suffer losses on such contracts, decreasing revenues and earnings

The Company charters its vessels to other parties, who pay the Company a daily rate of hire. The Company also enters into Contracts of Affreightment (COAs) and Voyage Charters. As OSG increases the portion of its revenues from time charters, it increases its reliance on the ability of time charterers to pay charter hire, especially when spot market rates are less than previously agreed upon time charter rates. Historically, the Company has not experienced any material problem collecting charter hire but the global economic recession that commenced in 2008 may affect charterers more severely than the prior recessions that have occurred since the Company is establishment almost 40 years ago. The Company also time charters or bareboat charters some of its vessels from other parties and its continued use and operation of such vessels depends on the vessel owners compliance with the terms of the time charter or bareboat charter. Additionally, the Company enters into derivative contracts (FFAs, bunker swaps, interest rate swaps and foreign currency contracts). All of these contracts subject the Company to counterparty credit risk, including the bankruptcy of the counterparty. As a result, the Company is subject to credit risks at various levels, including with charterers or cargo interests. If the counterparties fail to meet their obligations, the Company could suffer losses on such contracts, which would decrease revenues and earnings.

Weakening Market Conditions and Other Factors May have an Effect on the Current Cash Distribution Policy of OSG America L.P. (the Partnership)

The Company owns a 77.1% interest in the Partnership, a limited partnership which is the largest operator of U.S. Flag product carriers and barges transporting refined petroleum products. Management of the Partnership is current forecast indicates that distributable cash flow of the Partnership in the second half of 2009 through 2010 will be below that required to cover the Partnership is historical quarterly distribution on the common and subordinated Partnership units. Management of the Partnership believes that recent deterioration of the Jones Act market resulting from lower U.S. oil demand and suspended or cancelled refinery expansion projects present near- and medium-term challenges for the Partnership. In addition, six vessels in the Partnership is operating fleet will come off term charters by the end of 2009 and are expected to enter the spot market. The Board of Directors of the general partner of the Partnership will continue to carefully evaluate the appropriate level of future distributions based on the Partnership is financial condition, capital needed for future growth, and earnings and the general economic and financial market environment.

The Company s ownership interest in the Partnership consists of subordinated units, representing approximately a 50% ownership interest with the Partnership, common units, representing approximately a 25.1% interest in the Partnership and a general partnership interest of 2% in the Partnership. During the subordination period, which will not end until at least September 30, 2010, no cash distributions may be made on the subordinated units until the common units have received cash distributions equal to the current quarterly distribution of \$0.375 per quarter per unit plus any arrearages in the payment of such minimum quarterly distribution from prior quarters. The Company currently receives cash distributions of approximately \$35.4 million per year from the Partnership.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on June 9, 2009, the stockholders elected thirteen directors, each for a term of one year and approved an amendment and restatement of the Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, and re-approved the section 162(m) performance goals under such plan. A total of 24,199,852 shares were voted with respect to each of the aforementioned matters and, except as set forth below, there were no broker non-votes.

The tabulation of the votes cast for each nominee for director was as follows:

NUMBER OF SHARES

NAME OF NOMINEE FOR DIRECTOR	VOTED FOR	WITHHELD AUTHORITY TO VOTE
Morten Arntzen	21,338,344	2,861,508
Oudi Recanati	13,733,458	10,466,394
G. Allen Andreas III	21,058,438	3,141,414
Alan R. Batkin	21,346,367	2,853,485
Thomas B. Coleman	13,750,580	10,449,272
Charles A. Fribourg	13,748,203	10,451,649
Stanley Komaroff	21,203,002	2,996,850
Solomon N. Merkin	21,094,518	3,105,334
Joel I. Picket	21,055,498	3,144,354
Ariel Recanati	18,184,575	6,015,277
Thomas F. Robards	19,487,255	4,712,597
Jean-Paul Vettier	13,749,817	10,450,035
Michael J. Zimmerman	21,333,657	2,866,195

The resolution to approve an amendment and restatement of the Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, and to re-approve the section 162(m) performance goals under such plan was adopted by a vote of 21,526,096 shares in favor, 2,630,747 shares against, 43,009 shares abstained and no broker non-votes.

Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on page 58.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC.

AND SUBSIDIARIES

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OVERSEAS SHIPHOLDING GROUP, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Morten Arntzen

Morten Arntzen

Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Myles R. Itkin

Myles R. Itkin

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

57

EXHIBIT INDEX

Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as amended.
 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as amended.
 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 NOTE: Instruments authorizing long-term debt of the Registrant and its subsidiaries, where the amounts authorized thereunder do not exceed 10% of total assets of the Registrant on a consolidated basis, are not being filed herewith. The Registrant agrees to furnish a copy of each such instrument to the Commission upon request.

58