

OSI SYSTEMS INC
Form DEF 14A
October 15, 2013

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

OSI Systems, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**12525 Chadron Avenue
Hawthorne, California 90250**

October 15, 2013

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of OSI Systems, Inc., which will be held at 10:00 a.m., local time, on December 3, 2013, at the Company's principal offices at 12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, California. All holders of OSI Systems, Inc. common stock as of the close of business on October 8, 2013 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

We have enclosed herewith a Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a Proxy Statement and a Proxy Card. Each describes the actions expected to be taken at the Annual Meeting. The Proxy Statement describes the items in detail and also provides information about our Board of Directors and named executive officers. We have also enclosed a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, which I encourage you to read. It includes our audited, consolidated financial statements and information about our operations, markets and products.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote as soon as possible. Your vote will ensure your representation at the Annual Meeting if you cannot attend in person.

You may vote by Internet, telephone or by sending in your Proxy Card. In addition, you may also choose to vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

Thank you for your ongoing support and continued interest in OSI Systems, Inc.

Sincerely,

Victor S. Sze
Secretary

Table of Contents

12525 Chadron Avenue
Hawthorne, California 90250

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date and Time: 10:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, December 3, 2013

Location: The Company's principal offices, 12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, California 90250

Proposals:

1. To elect six directors to hold office for a one-year term and until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014;
3. To conduct an advisory vote on the Company's executive compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, as described in the accompanying Proxy Statement; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Record Date: The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on October 8, 2013 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and all adjourned meetings thereof.

Voting: Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that you vote your shares. You may vote by signing and returning the enclosed Proxy Card, via the Internet, by telephone or by written ballot at the Annual Meeting, as more fully described in the Proxy Statement. Any of these methods will ensure representation of your shares at the Annual Meeting. If you later desire to revoke your proxy for any reason, you may do so in the manner described in the attached Proxy Statement.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on December 3, 2013: This Proxy Notice, the accompanying Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 are available at <http://www.proxyvote.com>.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Proxy Statement</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Proposal No. 3 Advisory Vote on the Company's Executive Compensation for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Report of Audit Committee</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Code of Ethics and Conduct</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Annual Meeting Attendance</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Stockholder Communications</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Availability of Annual Report on Form 10-K</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Incorporation by Reference</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Other Business</u>	<u>51</u>

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC.

**12525 Chadron Avenue
Hawthorne, California 90250**

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement is being furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of OSI Systems, Inc. (the "Company") for use at its Annual Meeting of Stockholders ("Annual Meeting"), to be held at 10:00 a.m., local time on December 3, 2013, at the Company's principal offices at 12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, California 90250, and at any adjournment thereof.

The Company is making its proxy materials, which include the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card and its most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Proxy Materials"), available to its stockholders via the Internet, although registered stockholders and those stockholders who have previously requested to receive printed copies instead will receive their Proxy Materials in the mail. The Company anticipates that the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will be mailed on or about October 21, 2013.

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on October 8, 2013 will receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions about how to access the Proxy Materials and vote via the Internet without attending the Annual Meeting. If you receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials but would instead prefer to receive a printed copy of the Proxy Materials rather than downloading them from the Internet, you may do so by following the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

If you are a stockholder that receives a printed copy of the Proxy Materials by mail, you may view the Proxy Materials on the Internet at <http://www.proxyvote.com>. However, in order to direct your vote without attending the Annual Meeting you must complete and mail the Proxy Card or voting instruction card enclosed (postage pre-paid return envelope also enclosed) or, if indicated on the Proxy Card that you receive, by telephone or Internet voting. Please refer to the Proxy Card that you receive for instructions.

When a proxy is properly submitted, the shares it represents will be voted in accordance with any directions noted thereon. Any stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is voted by written notice to the Secretary of the Company by issuance of a subsequent proxy as more fully described on your Proxy Card. In addition, a stockholder attending the Annual Meeting may revoke his or her proxy and vote in person if he or she desires to do so, but attendance at the Annual Meeting will not of itself revoke the proxy.

At the close of business on October 8, 2013, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, the Company had issued and outstanding 20,053,538 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value ("Common Stock"). A majority of the shares outstanding on the record date, present in person at the Annual Meeting or represented at the Annual Meeting by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Shares that are voted "FOR ALL," "FOR," "FOR ALL EXCEPT," "WITHHOLD ALL," "AGAINST" or "ABSTAIN" (as applicable) for a proposal are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing a quorum. Each share of Common Stock entitles the holder of record thereof to one vote on any matter coming before the Annual Meeting.

A Proxy Card, when properly submitted via the Internet, telephone or mail, also confers discretionary authority with respect to amendments or variations to the matters identified in the Notice of Annual

Table of Contents

Meeting of Stockholders and with respect to other matters which may be properly brought before the Annual Meeting. At the time of printing this Proxy Statement, the Company's management was not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. If, however, other matters which are not now known to management should properly come before the Annual Meeting, the proxies hereby solicited will be exercised on such matters in accordance with the best judgment of the proxy holders.

Abstentions and broker non-votes represented by submitted proxies will be included in the calculation of the number of the shares present at the Annual Meeting for the purposes of determining a quorum. "Broker non-votes" means shares held of record by a broker that are not voted because the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares and either lacks or declines to exercise the authority to vote the shares in its discretion.

Proposal One. Directors are elected by a plurality, and the nominees who receive the most votes will be elected. Proposal One is considered a "non routine" matter and, accordingly, brokerage firms and nominees do not have the authority to vote their clients' unvoted shares on Proposal One or to vote their clients' shares if the clients have not furnished voting instructions within a specified period of time prior to the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposal One.

Proposal Two. To be approved, the ratification of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's independent registered accounting firm must receive the affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or by proxy and cast at the Annual Meeting. Proposal Two is considered a "routine" matter and, accordingly, brokerage firms and nominees have the authority to vote their clients' unvoted shares on Proposal Two as well as to vote their clients' shares if the clients have not furnished voting instructions within a specified period of time prior to the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposal Two.

Proposal Three. To be approved, the proposal regarding the Company's executive compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 must receive the affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or by proxy and cast at the Annual Meeting. Proposal Three is considered a "non-routine" matter and, accordingly, brokerage firms and nominees do not have the authority to vote their clients' unvoted shares on Proposal Three or to vote their clients' shares if the clients have not furnished voting instructions within a specified period of time prior to the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposal Three. The vote on Proposal Three is advisory and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. Although non-binding, the Board of Directors values the opinions that the stockholders express in their votes, and the votes will provide information to the Compensation Committee regarding investor sentiment about the Company's executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices, which the Compensation Committee will be able to consider when determining executive compensation in the future.

All stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will receive either the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or a printed copy of the Proxy Materials. The Company will pay the expenses of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting, including the cost of preparing, assembling, posting on the Internet and mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and the Proxy Materials. Proxies may be solicited personally, by mail, by e-mail, over the Internet, or by telephone, by directors, officers and regular employees of the Company who will not be additionally compensated therefore.

The matters to be considered and acted upon at the Annual Meeting are more fully discussed below.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on December 3, 2013: The Proxy Notice, this Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 are available at <http://www.proxyvote.com>.

Table of Contents

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS
(Proposal No. 1 of the Proxy Card)

Nominees

The Board of Directors consists of six members. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors are elected for a term of one year to succeed those directors whose terms expire on the annual meeting date.

The six candidates nominated for election as directors at the Annual Meeting are Deepak Chopra, Ajay Mehra, Steven C. Good, Meyer Luskin, David T. Feinberg and William F. Ballhaus. The enclosed Proxy will be voted in favor of these individuals unless other instructions are given. If elected, the nominees will serve as directors until the Company's next annual meeting of stockholders, and until their successors are elected and qualified. If any nominee declines to serve or becomes unavailable for any reason, or if a vacancy occurs before the election (although the Company knows of no reason to anticipate that this will occur), the proxies may be voted for such substitute nominees as the Board of Directors may designate.

If a quorum is present and voting, the six nominees for directors receiving the highest number of votes will be elected as directors. Abstentions and shares held by brokers that are present, but not voted because the brokers were prohibited from exercising discretionary authority (broker non-votes) will be counted as present only for purposes of determining if a quorum is present.

The nominees for election as directors at this meeting are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Director Since
Deepak Chopra	62	Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President	1987
Ajay Mehra	51	Director, Executive Vice President and President of Security division	1996
Steven C. Good(1)(2)(3)(4)	71	Director	1987
Meyer Luskin(1)(2)(3)(4)	88	Director	1990
David T. Feinberg(3)(5)	51	Director	2010
William F. Ballhaus(1)(2)(5)	68	Director	2010

- (1) Member of Audit Committee
- (2) Member of Compensation Committee
- (3) Member of Nominating and Governance Committee
- (4) Member of Executive Committee
- (5) Member of the Technology Committee

Business Experience

Deepak Chopra is the founder of the Company and has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director since the Company's inception in May 1987. He has served as the Company's Chairman of the Board of Directors since February 1992. Mr. Chopra also serves as the Chief Executive Officer of several of the Company's major subsidiaries. From 1976 to 1979 and from 1980 to 1987, Mr. Chopra held various positions with ILC, a publicly-held manufacturer of lighting products, including serving as Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer of its United Detector Technology division. In 1990, the Company acquired certain assets of ILC's United Detector Technology division. Mr. Chopra has also held various positions with Intel Corporation, TRW Semiconductors and RCA

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Semiconductors. Mr. Chopra holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Electronics from Punjab Engineering College in Chandigarh, Punjab, India and a Master of Science degree in Semiconductor

Table of Contents

Electronics from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Mr. Chopra was selected to serve as a director because of his expertise in the field of electrical engineering as well as his long-standing experience in successfully managing the Company.

Ajay Mehra has served as a Director since March 1996. Mr. Mehra is Executive Vice President of the Company and President of the Company's Security division. Mr. Mehra joined the Company as Controller in 1989 and served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from November 1992 until November 2002, when he was named the Company's Executive Vice President. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Mehra held various financial positions with Thermador/Waste King, a household appliance company, Presto Food Products, Inc. and United Detector Technology. Mr. Mehra holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from the School of Business of the University of Massachusetts, Amherst and a Master of Business Administration degree from Pepperdine University. Mr. Mehra was selected to serve as a director because of his financial management experience and experience managing the Company's Security division.

Steven C. Good has served as a Director of the Company since September 1987. He has been a consultant for the accounting firm of Cohn Reznick LLP since February 2010. Mr. Good founded the accounting firm of Good, Swartz, Brown & Berns (predecessor of Cohn Reznick LLP) in 1976 and served as an active partner until February 2010. He has been active in consulting and advisory services for businesses in various sectors, including the manufacturing, garment, medical services and real estate development industries. Mr. Good founded California United Bancorp and served as its Chairman through 1993. From 1997 until the company was sold in 2006, Mr. Good served as a Director of Arden Realty Group, Inc., a publicly-held Real Estate Investment Trust listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Mr. Good currently serves as a Director of Kayne Anderson MLP Investment Company and Kayne Anderson Energy Total Return Fund, each of which is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Mr. Good also currently serves as a Director of Rexford Industrial Realty, Inc., a publicly-held Real Estate Investment Trust listed on the New York Stock Exchange. He also formerly served as a Director of California Pizza Kitchen, Inc. from 2005 to 2008, Youbet.com from 2006 to 2008, and the Walking Company Holdings, Inc. from 1997 to 2009. Mr. Good has extensive risk management experience gained through the various executive and board positions that he has held. Mr. Good holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of California, Los Angeles and attended its Graduate School of Business. Mr. Good was selected to serve as a director because of his audit, finance and accounting expertise.

Meyer Luskin has served as a Director of the Company since February 1990. Since 1958, Mr. Luskin has served as a Director of Scope Industries, which is engaged principally in the business of recycling and processing food waste products into animal feed and has also served as its President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman since 1961. He currently serves on the Board of Advisors of the Santa Monica UCLA Medical Center and Orthopaedic Hospital and was formerly the Chairman. Mr. Luskin is also a Director of the Orthopaedic Institute for Children (previously known as the Los Angeles Orthopaedic Hospital) and was formerly the Chairman. Mr. Luskin is also a Director on the Advisory Board of the UCLA Luskin School of Public Affairs, a Director of the UCLA Foundation, a Director of the Alliance for College-Ready Public Schools, and a Director of the Jazz Bakery. Mr. Luskin also served as a Director of Myricom, Inc., a computer and network infrastructure company. Mr. Luskin has extensive risk management experience gained through the various executive and board positions that he has held. Mr. Luskin holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of California, Los Angeles and a Masters in Business Administration from Stanford University. Mr. Luskin was selected to serve as a director because of his long-standing experience managing complex business operations.

David T. Feinberg has served as a Director of the Company since March 2010. Dr. Feinberg has served as the President of the UCLA Health System since July 2011 and as Chief Executive Officer of the UCLA Hospital System and Associate Vice Chancellor since July 2007. Prior to assuming these positions, Dr. Feinberg was the medical director of the Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital (NPH) at UCLA. Dr. Feinberg is board certified in the specialties of child and adolescent psychiatry, adult psychiatry and addiction psychiatry. He is a professor of clinical psychiatry in the David Geffen School of Medicine

Table of Contents

at UCLA. Dr. Feinberg currently serves on the Board of Directors of Douglas Emmett, Inc., a publicly-held Real Estate Investment Trust listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Dr. Feinberg graduated cum laude in economics from the University of California, Berkeley in 1984 and graduated with distinction from the University of Health Sciences/The Chicago Medical School in 1989. He earned his master of business administration from Pepperdine University in 2002. Dr. Feinberg was selected to serve as a director because of his knowledge of the healthcare industry and his experience managing a large, internationally-renown hospital system.

William F. Ballhaus, Jr. has served as a Director of the Company since May 2010. From 2000 to 2007, Dr. Ballhaus, now retired, served as President and then also as Chief Executive Officer of Aerospace Corporation, an organization dedicated to the application of science and technology to the solution of critical issues in the nation's space program. Between 1990 and 2000, Dr. Ballhaus' career included positions within the aerospace industry, including Corporate Vice President, Engineering and Technology for Lockheed Martin Corporation and President, Aero and Naval Systems and President, Civil Space & Communications, both for Martin Marietta. Between 1971 and 1989, Dr. Ballhaus worked for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), including as Director of its Ames Research Center. Dr. Ballhaus serves on the Board of Directors of Draper Laboratory. Dr. Ballhaus has extensive risk management experience gained through the various executive and board positions that he has held. Dr. Ballhaus, who has published more than 40 papers on computational aerodynamics, obtained a Ph.D. in Engineering in 1971 and a BS and MS in Mechanical Engineering in 1967 and 1968, all from the University of California at Berkeley. Dr. Ballhaus was selected to serve as a director because of his experience in managing providers of technology and technical services to government agencies.

Relationships Among Directors or Executive Officers

There are no arrangements or understandings known to the Company between any of the directors or nominees for director of the Company and any other person pursuant to which any such person was or is to be elected a director.

Ajay Mehra is the first cousin of Deepak Chopra. Other than this relationship, there are no family relationships among the directors or Named Executive Officers (as defined in "Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors Summary Compensation Table") of the Company.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors is responsible for risk oversight of the Company. Risks facing the Company include competitive, economic, operational, financial, accounting, liquidity, tax, regulatory, foreign country, safety, employment, political and other risks. Risks are reported to the Board of Directors through the Company's executive officers, who are responsible for the identification, assessment and management of the Company's risks. The Board of Directors regularly discusses the risks reported by the Company's executive officers and reviews with management strategies and actions to mitigate the risks and the status and effectiveness of such strategies and actions.

To optimize its risk oversight capabilities and efficiently oversee the Company's risks, the committees of the Board of Directors are delegated oversight responsibility for particular areas of risk. For example, the Audit Committee oversees management of risks related to accounting, auditing and financial reporting and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The Nominating and Governance Committee oversees risks related to the effectiveness of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee oversees risks related to the Company's executive compensation policies and practices. The Executive Committee oversees risks related to the Company's strategic transactions. The Technology Committee oversees risks related to technology matters.

Table of Contents

Board Leadership Structure

The Chairman of the Board of Directors is the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The Company believes that currently combining the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman serves as an effective link between management's role of identifying, assessing and managing risks and the Board of Directors' role of risk oversight. Mr. Chopra possesses in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities and challenges the Company faces and is thus well positioned to develop agendas and highlight issues that ensure that the Board of Directors' time and attention are focused on the most critical matters. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that this leadership structure is optimal because it believes that having one leader serving as both the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides decisive, consistent and effective leadership, as well as clear accountability. Having one person serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer also enhances the Company's ability to communicate its message and strategy clearly and consistently to its stockholders, employees, and other companies with which it does business. Although the Company believes that the combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles is appropriate under current circumstances, it will continue to review this issue periodically to determine whether, based on the relevant facts and circumstances, separation of these offices would serve the Company's best interests and the best interests of its stockholders. The Company does not have a lead independent director.

Board of Directors Meetings, Independence and Committees of the Board of Directors

There were nine meetings of the Board of Directors and the Board of Directors acted pursuant to unanimous written consent on one additional occasion during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, Executive Committee and Technology Committee. The members of each committee are appointed by the majority vote of the Board of Directors. All persons serving as a director during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 attended more than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings held by the Board of Directors and all committees on which such director served.

The Board of Directors has determined that each of the directors, except Mr. Chopra and Mr. Mehra, is independent within the meaning of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and director independence standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market (the "Listing Standards"), as currently in effect. Furthermore, the Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of each of the committees of the Board of Directors is independent within the meaning of the rules and regulations of the SEC and the Listing Standards, as currently in effect.

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately designated, standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). The Audit Committee makes recommendations for selection of the Company's independent public accountants, reviews with the independent public accountants the plans and results of the audit engagement, approves professional services provided by the independent public accountants, reviews the independence of the independent public accountants, considers the range of audit and any non-audit fees, and reviews the financial statements of the Company and the adequacy of the Company's internal accounting controls and financial management practices. All members of the Audit Committee are independent, as independence for audit committee members is defined in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act and the Listing Standards applicable to the Company.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Messrs. Good and Luskin and Dr. Ballhaus. The Board of Directors has determined that, based upon his work experience, Mr. Good qualifies as an "Audit Committee Financial Expert" as this term has been defined under the rules and regulations of the SEC. Information regarding Mr. Good's work experience is set forth above under "Election of Directors." To

Table of Contents

date, no determination has been made as to whether the other members of the Audit Committee also qualify as Audit Committee Financial Experts.

There were four meetings of the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee acted pursuant to unanimous written consent on one additional occasion during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. See "Report of Audit Committee." The Audit Committee acts pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of the Company's website <http://www.osi-systems.com>.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining compensation for the Company's executive officers, reviewing and approving executive compensation policies and practices, and providing advice and input to the Board of Directors in the administration of the Company's equity compensation plans. The Compensation Committee engages and consults with independent compensation consultants in the performance of its duties. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Luskin and Good and Dr. Ballhaus. There were two meetings of the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Committee acted pursuant to unanimous written consent on two additional occasions during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. See "Compensation Committee Report."

The Compensation Committee acts pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of the Company's website <http://www.osi-systems.com>.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for evaluating nominations for new members of the Board of Directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee currently consists of Messrs. Good and Luskin and Dr. Feinberg. There was one meeting of the Nominating and Governance Committee during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider candidates based upon their business and financial experience, personal characteristics, expertise that is complementary to the background and experience of other Board of Directors members, willingness to devote the required amount of time to carrying out the duties and responsibilities of membership on the Board of Directors, willingness to objectively appraise management performance, and any such other qualifications the Nominating and Governance Committee deems necessary to ascertain the candidate's ability to serve on the Board of Directors.

The Nominating and Governance Committee has sought to identify director nominees that have diverse professional and educational backgrounds that are believed to complement the skills offered by existing Board of Directors members.

The Nominating and Governance Committee acts pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of the Company's website <http://www.osi-systems.com>.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee convenes for the purpose of advising and consulting with the Company's management regarding potential acquisitions, mergers and strategic alliances. The Executive Committee consists of Messrs. Good and Luskin. There were two meetings of the Executive Committee during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The Executive Committee acts pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is available under the Investor Relations section of the Company's website <http://www.osi-systems.com>.

Technology Committee

The Technology Committee is responsible for evaluating and making recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding all technology-based matters. The Technology Committee consists of Drs. Ballhaus and Feinberg. There were four meetings of the Technology Committee during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

Director Nomination Process

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. Stockholders who wish to submit names of candidates for election to the Board of Directors must do so in writing. The recommendation should be sent to the following address: c/o Secretary, OSI Systems, Inc., 12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, CA 90250. The Company's Secretary will, in turn, forward the recommendation to the Nominating and Governance Committee. The recommendation should include the following information:

A statement that the writer is a stockholder and is proposing a candidate for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee;

The name and contact information for the candidate;

A statement of the candidate's occupation and background, including education and business experience;

Information regarding each of the factors listed above, sufficient to enable the Nominating and Governance Committee to evaluate the candidate;

A statement detailing (i) any relationship or understanding between the candidate and the Company, or any customer, supplier, competitor, or affiliate of the Company, and (ii) any relationship or understanding between the candidate and the stockholder proposing the candidate for consideration, or any affiliate of such stockholder; and

A statement that the candidate is willing to be considered for nomination by the Nominating and Governance Committee and willing to serve as a director if nominated and elected.

Stockholders must also comply with all requirements of the Company's Bylaws, a copy of which is available from the Secretary upon written request, with respect to nomination of persons for election to the Board of Directors. The Company may also require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as the Company or the Nominating and Governance Committee may reasonably require to determine the eligibility of the nominee to serve as a director. In performing its evaluation and review, the Nominating and Governance Committee generally does not differentiate between candidates proposed by stockholders and other proposed nominees, except that the Nominating and Governance Committee may consider, as one of the factors in its evaluation of stockholder recommended candidates, the size and duration of the interest of the recommending stockholder or stockholder group in the equity of the Company.

There are no stockholder nominations for election to the Company's Board of Directors to be voted on at this year's Annual Meeting. All of this year's nominees for director are currently directors of the Company. Stockholders wishing to submit nominations for next year's annual meeting of stockholders must notify the Company of their intent to do so on or before the date on which nominations must be received by the Company in accordance with its Bylaws and the rules and regulations of the SEC. For details see "Stockholder Proposals."

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is currently composed of three non-employee directors, Messrs. Luskin and Good and Dr. Ballhaus. No executive officer of the Company has served during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 or subsequently as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity which has one or more executive officers who serve on the Company's Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, no member of the Company's Compensation Committee had any relationship or transaction with the Company required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the election of each of Deepak Chopra, Ajay Mehra, Steven C. Good, Meyer Luskin, David T. Feinberg and William F. Ballhaus, Jr. as directors of the Company. Holders of proxies solicited by this Proxy Statement will vote the proxies received by them as directed on the Proxy or, if no direction is made, for each of the above-named nominees. The election of directors requires a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

**RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
(Proposal No. 2 of the Proxy Card)**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected Moss Adams LLP ("Moss Adams") as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the year ending June 30, 2014 and has further directed that management submit the selection of independent registered public accountants for ratification by the Company's stockholders at the Annual Meeting. Moss Adams has no financial interest in the Company, and neither it nor any member or employee of the firm has had any connection with the Company in the capacity of promoter, underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer or employee.

In the event that the Company's stockholders fail to ratify the selection of Moss Adams, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain the firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors in their discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the Company's and its stockholders' best interests.

Representatives of Moss Adams are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, and they will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the ratification of Moss Adams as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. Holders of proxies solicited by this Proxy Statement will vote the proxies received by them as directed on the Proxy or, if no direction is made, in favor of this proposal. In order to be adopted, this proposal must be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

**ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013
(Proposal No. 3 of the Proxy Card)**

Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") enables the Company's stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory, non-binding basis, the Company's executive compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as disclosed in the Proxy Statement in accordance with the SEC's rules, including Section 14A of the Exchange Act ("Say-on-Pay"). The Company currently conducts this advisory vote on an annual basis, and the next advisory vote is expected to be conducted at the Company's next annual meeting of stockholders following the 2013 Annual Meeting.

Summary

The Board of Directors is committed to excellence in governance and is aware of the significant interest in executive compensation matters by investors and the general public. The Company is asking its stockholders to provide advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation as such compensation is described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section, the tabular disclosure regarding such compensation and the accompanying narrative disclosure set forth in the Proxy Statement. The Company recognizes and values the critical role that executive leadership plays in its performance. The Company's executive compensation philosophy is intended to ensure that executive compensation is aligned with the Company's long-term business strategy, objectives and stockholder interests. The Company's executive compensation is designed to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified executives. The Company believes that its compensation policies and procedures are centered on pay-for-performance principles and are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of the stockholders.

The Company urges the stockholders to review the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of the Proxy Statement and executive-related compensation tables for more information.

Emphasis on Pay-For-Performance Principles

The Company believes that executive compensation should be tied to the performance of the Company on both a short-term and long-term basis. In fiscal year 2013, the Company experienced growth in operating margin, non-GAAP net income, non-GAAP earnings per share, and revenue. The Company ended fiscal year 2013 with a very strong backlog. In recent years, the Company has continued to outperform the equity markets in general as well as outperform its industry peers. The Company believes that its continued success is closely tied to the performance of its executive officers and has designed its compensation practices in order to reward the executives for their contributions to the overall success of the Company.

Alignment with Stockholders' Interests

The Company grants annual incentive bonuses based in part on each executive's contribution to enhancing long-term stockholder value. The Company also grants long-term incentives in the form of equity awards as a substantial component of the compensation program to reward long-term performance and further align the interests of management with those of the stockholders. The Company has also established the Mexico SAT Incentive Program which includes a long term component. The Company has generally used restricted stock, stock options and restricted stock units as its equity incentive vehicles because these awards enable the executives to establish a meaningful equity stake in the Company while allowing them to participate in future value creation through appreciation of the shares. These awards tie the executives' interests to those of long-term stockholders and serve to motivate the executives to lead the Company to achieve long-term financial goals that are expected to lead to increased stockholder value. In

Table of Contents

addition to linking compensation value to stockholder value, these awards generally have a vesting period, which creates a strong retention incentive and helps ensure the continuity of the Company's operations. For fiscal year 2013, long-term equity incentives consisted of restricted stock subject to performance vesting based on growth of EBITDA per share. For fiscal year 2014, long-term equity incentives will continue to be 100% performance vesting.

Long-Term Performance

In order to promote the Company's philosophy of pay-for-performance and furthering its objective of aligning the interests of management with those of the stockholders, the Company established a performance program for certain of its executive officers. The program focuses on the achievement of the Company's long-term financial goals and factors that create long-term stockholder value. By establishing performance targets tied to key corporate financial metrics, the Company is incentivizing its officers to achieve the Company's long-term corporate objectives and ultimately increase stockholder value.

Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation

At our 2012 annual meeting, 96.1% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers were in favor of our executive compensation policies. Considering the strong stockholder support of the compensation of the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee determined to continue to apply the same general approach with respect to compensation policies and decisions for fiscal 2013.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors believes that the information provided in the section entitled "Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors" contained in the Proxy Statement demonstrates that the Company's executive compensation program was designed appropriately and is working to ensure that management's interests are aligned with the stockholders' interests and support long-term value creation. The following resolution will be submitted for a stockholder vote at the Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the stockholders of OSI Systems, Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the accompanying compensation tables, and the related narrative disclosure contained in the Proxy Statement."

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the approval of the Company's executive compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Holders of proxies solicited by this Proxy Statement will vote the proxies received by them as directed on the Proxy or, if no direction is made, in favor of this proposal. In order to be approved on an advisory basis, this proposal must be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS****Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the Company's compensation philosophy, objectives, and processes, including the methodology for determining executive compensation for the Named Executive Officers.

The following table sets forth the names, ages and positions of each of the Company's Named Executive Officers:

Name	Age	Position
Deepak Chopra	62	Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President
Alan Edrick	45	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Ajay Mehra	51	Director, Executive Vice President and President of Security division
Victor Sze	46	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Manoocher Mansouri	57	President of Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division

For additional information, please also refer to the more detailed compensation disclosures beginning with and following the "Summary Compensation Table" contained in this Proxy Statement.

*Executive Compensation Summary**Fiscal 2013 Performance*

Fiscal 2013 was a strong year for the Company. During fiscal 2013, the Company (a) increased its operating margin, (b) actively leveraged its business infrastructure to support new products and revenue sources while maintaining intelligent cost management, (c) continued building a foundation for future growth by making targeted investments in new product development and acquisitions, (d) increased revenues over our prior year despite the fact that revenues for fiscal 2012 were unusually high due to a large U.S. Army program which was substantially completed during that fiscal year and (e) further improved sustainability of revenues by growing the Company's addressable markets; all of which resulted in the fifth consecutive year of record non-GAAP earnings.

Leverage Business Infrastructure and Growth in Operating Income Margin. Even as the Company launched new products, entered new markets, and invested substantial amounts in R&D and in connection with the implementation of the Mexico screening services program, the Company actively leveraged its business infrastructure and maintained intelligent cost management, resulting in a significantly improved operating margin of 9.3% as compared to 8.3% in the prior year.

Growth in Markets and Opportunities. In fiscal 2013, in addition to growing revenues over the prior year, the Company continued to expand its addressable markets through new product introductions and targeting of markets that did not previously represent a significant source of revenues. This dynamic approach has served, and the Company believes it will continue to serve, to sustain growth even through periods such as the past year, when many sectors of the global economy, including much of the rest of the security inspection industry, experienced significant headwinds. Examples include:

Continuing to expand and strengthen the Company's turnkey security screening services business by way of the implementation of our Security Division's multi-year screening services program with the tax and customs authority of Mexico including the completion of construction of numerous screening sites throughout Mexico, together with obtaining permits and regulatory approvals, and the commencement of the screening services operations on a large scale.

Continuing the development and launch of an entire new generation of healthcare products, each of which will embody significant innovations in form and function. This ambitious program began in fiscal 2011 with the introduction of the Company's Xprezzon® monitoring platform and in fiscal

Table of Contents

2012 with the introduction of the qube™ compact monitor, and continued in fiscal 2013 with introduction of the Arkon™ anesthesia machine and the AriaTele™ telemetry transmitter.

Expanding our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division's electronics manufacturing services, market segments, customer base and capabilities.

Building a Foundation for the Future. While improving the Company's operating margin during fiscal 2013, the Company continued to make significant targeted investments in R&D and acquisitions. Such investments in the past have led to the Company's introduction of the Rapiscan RTT® computed tomography (CT) based hold baggage inspection system and new generation of healthcare products. The Company believes that these investments, as well as other product development programs that are currently underway, will result in enhanced business outcomes for years to come.

The results of the assertive and dynamic management of the Company are seen in the Company's financial outcomes, particularly considering the state of the overall global economy in the period since the economic downturn that began in late 2008. During this period of economic uncertainty, the Company's stockholders have witnessed solid growth in revenues, earnings per share, and stock price.

This growth is reflected in an increase in the Company's stock price of more than 132% over the three years ending in fiscal 2013. Moreover, the Company achieved this growth while continuing to make significant, targeted investments in new product lines and lines of business that will position the Company to sustain growth into the coming years.

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Company's Board of Directors appoints members to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and approving all compensation for the Named Executive Officers, including base salaries, annual incentive bonuses, long-term equity incentive compensation, benefits and perquisites, and other compensation. The Compensation Committee may delegate certain of its responsibilities to a subcommittee, to individuals or to others.

Compensation for each of the Named Executive Officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer) is recommended to the Compensation Committee by the Chief Executive Officer. Compensation for the Chief Executive Officer is established by the Compensation Committee on its own.

Each member of the Compensation Committee is independent within the meaning of the rules and regulations of the SEC and the Listing Standards, as currently in effect.

The Compensation Committee has designed an executive compensation program that is focused on the attainment of consistent, long-term stockholder returns through (a) aligning executives' incentives with both single-year and multi-year performance, and (b) attracting and retaining executives with capabilities to lead the Company to excel in a competitive landscape.

Executive Compensation Program Elements

The particular elements of the compensation program for the Named Executive Officers consist of both fixed compensation and variable compensation. Consistent with the Company's pay-for-performance philosophy, the Company structures its compensation program such that fixed compensation is a relatively small percentage of total compensation whereas variable compensation, in the form of annual cash incentives and performance-based equity grants and compensation under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, comprises a significant percentage of total compensation.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The following is an overview of the elements of the Company's compensation and benefits programs for fiscal 2013:

Pay Element	Description
Base Salary	Fixed cash compensation set based on the duties and scope of responsibilities of each executive officer's position and the experience the individual brings to the position.
Cash Annual Incentives	<p>Cash-based annual incentives that are determined based on Company and individual performance, subject to achievement of a pre-determined threshold rate of return on book value of the Company.</p> <p>The Mexico SAT Incentive Program also includes a short-term cash incentive component in the form of annual cash bonuses for participating Named Executive Officers.</p>
Long-Term Incentives	<p>The fiscal 2013 program with respect to the Named Executive Officers consisted of performance-based restricted stock. The fiscal 2014 program will consist of performance-based restricted stock units.</p> <p>Performance-based restricted stock is earned based on EBITDA-based metrics for each of the three years following grant, as well as an aggregate three-year metric.</p> <p>The Mexico SAT Incentive Program also includes cash long-term incentives in the form of a long-term component bonus and a contract award bonus ("CAB Bonus") for participating Named Executive Officers.</p>
Benefits	Employee Stock Purchase Plan, medical, dental, and vision health insurance plans and life and long-term disability insurance.
Perquisites	For fiscal 2013, perquisites included car allowances and membership dues. Membership dues were discontinued during fiscal 2013.
Retirement	<p>401(k) retirement plan, which includes a Company match.</p> <p>Nonqualified deferred compensation plan that permits the deferral of salary and cash bonuses at executive officers' election and permits a Company match.</p> <p>Nonqualified defined benefit plan, of which the CEO is the only participant.</p>

Table of Contents

In fiscal 2013, fixed compensation comprised approximately 11% to 37% and variable compensation comprised approximately 63% to 89% of each Named Executive Officer's total compensation. Average variable compensation for the Named Executive Officers represented 86% of total compensation.

Fixed Compensation. Fixed compensation is intended to compensate the Named Executive Officers for their ongoing responsibilities and consists principally of base salary. Base salary is set to attract and retain executive talent. It provides a fixed rate of pay and is generally not at risk of upward or downward adjustment until the following year. Base salaries for the Named Executive Officers are established at levels considered appropriate in light of the duties and scope of responsibilities of each executive officer's position and the experience the individual brings to the position. Salaries are reviewed periodically and adjusted, typically on an annual basis, to reflect sustained individual performance. In determining whether base salary levels for fiscal year 2013 were appropriate, the Compensation Committee considered the Company's general financial performance, the minimum base salary amount provided for in the Named Executive Officer's employment agreement (for those Named Executive Officers that had employment agreements with the Company at such time), as well as a determination of each Named Executive Officer's responsibilities, past performance and expected future contributions. Based on the challenging business environment during the second quarter of fiscal 2013, all of the Named Executive Officers voluntarily agreed to a pay cut of 10% of their base salary rates through June 30, 2013.

Variable Compensation. Variable compensation provides the Named Executive Officers with the opportunity for substantial rewards for achieving successful performance and contributing toward sustainable and consistent stockholder returns, and consists principally of annual incentive bonuses and long-term incentive compensation in the form of equity based awards, as well as compensation under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program.

Annual Incentive Bonuses. All Named Executive Officers are eligible for an annual incentive bonus pursuant to the Company's annual performance bonus program. Annual incentive bonuses are designed to focus the Company's Named Executive Officers on annual operating achievement and near-term success. In determining annual incentive bonuses for the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee considers the rate of return on the book value of the Company. If a pre-established threshold rate of return before taxes is exceeded for a particular fiscal year, cash bonuses may be awarded to the participating executives. The Company needed to exceed a threshold rate of return before taxes on book value of 7% for fiscal year 2013. Such threshold rate of return was calculated based on the pre-tax income of the Company for fiscal year 2013, excluding the impact of

Table of Contents

bonuses, restructuring charges and pre-tax income from the Company's turnkey security scanning program for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria, relative to the Company's total stockholders' equity as of the prior fiscal year end. The threshold was designed to be challenging yet achievable with significant effort and management skill. Moreover, no such bonuses may be awarded unless the Company achieves a minimum of \$10 million in pre-tax income. If the Company exceeds these thresholds, then the Compensation Committee evaluates the various quantitative and qualitative factors as described below in a non-formulaic manner to determine the amount of bonus compensation for each named executive officer. Achievement of these thresholds is not linked to any specific payouts as these metrics are not target performance objectives, but rather are minimum performance thresholds for the Company below which the Named Executive Officers would not be entitled to any such annual incentive bonus compensation. Both of these thresholds were exceeded by the Company in fiscal year 2013. Additionally, no such bonus may exceed maximum amounts of 300% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 200% of base salary for the other participating executives.

After satisfaction of the threshold performance criteria, the bonus amounts were determined by the Compensation Committee based on its evaluation of the overall and relative performance of the Company as well as its evaluation of the compensation practices of the Company's peer group. Each bonus was established at its respective value in order to provide the executive sufficient incentive to create long-term shareholder value while at the same time ensuring that such executive's total bonus compensation would be appropriate as compared to compensation of similarly situated executives. The Compensation Committee retains negative discretion to reduce any awards under the annual performance bonus program to a lesser award or no award to any participant.

In determining the amounts of annual incentive bonuses, the Compensation Committee also considers individual performance, which may include the following quantitative and qualitative factors:

Qualitative Factors	Quantitative Factors
Quality of the Management of Units or Functions Managed by the Named Executive Officer	Financial Performance (including earnings per share and internal metrics)
Leadership of Personnel Under the Named Executive Officer's Management	Financial Performance Metrics for Business Units Managed by the Named Executive Officer
Execution of Strategically Important Projects	Compensation Surveys Provided by External Advisors
Overall Effectiveness of Units or Functions Managed by the Named Executive Officer	
Contributions to the Formulation of Company Strategy and Tactics	
Contributions to Stockholder Value	
Management of Risk	

The particular performance achievements for each of the Named Executive Officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer) are recommended by the Chief Executive Officer and reviewed by the Compensation Committee. The performance achievements for the Chief Executive Officer are established by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee also reviews and approves the amounts to be paid to the Chief Executive Officer.

The Compensation Committee also assesses the value that each of the Named Executive Officers provided through the generation of new sources of revenue, achievement of operational efficiencies, management of risk and completion of strategic initiatives. The annual incentive bonus earned for

Table of Contents

fiscal year 2013 by each of the Named Executive Officers is shown in the "Bonus" column of the "Summary Compensation Table."

Long-Term Incentive Program. In order to further promote the Company's philosophy of pay-for-performance and furthering its objective of aligning its executive compensation with the Company's long-term financial goals and factors that create long-term stockholder value as well as incentivizing the desired individual performance of the Named Executive Officer, the Company has a long-term incentive program. For fiscal year 2013, this program consisted of restricted stock subject to performance vesting based on growth of adjusted EBITDA per share in connection with the Six-year Performance Program described below. For fiscal year 2014, the long-term program is comprised of performance based restricted stock units based on growth of adjusted EBITDA per share.

Six-year Performance Program. With respect to performance based awards, the Compensation Committee has established a six-year program for long-term performance based incentive grants for the Named Executive Officers. The program provides for yearly grants of equity or equity-tied incentives. Each grant has a performance based component, which vests based on the achievement of certain one-, two-and three-year performance targets as well as a three-year performance target tied to objective adjusted EBITDA per share metrics. Such metrics exclude the effect of particular designated operations for which specific incentive programs are established; at this time, the only such designated operation is the Company's turnkey security scanning program for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria. The metrics incentivize consistent, long-term growth, and were designed to be challenging, but achievable with significant effort and management skill. The performance based component will vest if three-year baseline metrics are achieved. For each grant, additional shares may be earned if annual baselines are exceeded as follows:

Actual Performance as a Percentage of Baseline	Result
105% to 110%	Vested shares or units equaling 20% of initial grant would be awarded
110% to 115%	Vested shares or units equaling 30% of initial grant would be awarded
115% to 120%	Vested shares or units equaling 40% of initial grant would be awarded
Above 120%	Vested shares or units equaling 50% of initial grant would be awarded

However, if actual three-year performance falls below baseline, shares in the initial grant would be forfeited as follows:

Actual Performance as a Percentage of Baseline	Result
95% to 99.9%	10% of shares or units would be forfeited
90% to 94.9%	25% of shares or units would be forfeited
85% to 89.9%	65% of shares or units would be forfeited
Below 85%	100% of shares or units would be forfeited

For actual performance in fiscal 2013, each of the Named Executive Officers earned additional shares, as follows: Mr. Chopra, 46,500 shares; Mr. Edrick, 24,500 shares; Mr. Mehra, 24,500 shares; Mr. Sze, 16,250 shares; and Mr. Mansouri, 1,500 shares.

Table of Contents

In the event of a change of control, the initial grant of the performance based component would vest upon the change of control; to the extent actual performance has exceeded baseline for a full fiscal year at the time of the change of control, performance for any annual periods remaining in association with a particular grant will be assumed to exceed baseline by the same percentage for the purposes of awarding additional incentive shares or units in connection with the change of control.

The grants to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2013 were performance based in their entirety. The Compensation Committee believes that this vesting structure provides an incentive for the Named Executive Officers to remain with the Company and also focus the Named Executive Officers on consistently achieving performance and business objectives of the Company for the benefit of its stockholders. The grants to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2014 were also performance based in their entirety.

The Compensation Committee determines, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, the number of equity awards to grant to the Named Executive Officers. The grant amounts for the Chief Executive Officer are determined solely by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee considers individual performance, including the quantitative and qualitative factors set forth in "Annual Incentive Bonuses" above, as well as overall corporate performance. For fiscal year 2013 performance share calculation purposes, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel are measured against consolidated Company performance, and division Presidents have their performance results weighted 70% based on their respective division performance and 30% based on consolidated Company performance with the exception of the President of Rapiscan whose performance is weighted 70% based on consolidated Company performance and 30% based on division performance in order to effectively compensate him for his role in overall corporate performance. The Compensation Committee retains negative discretion to reduce any awards under the long-term incentive program to a lesser award or no award to any participant.

The Company's overall long-term incentive program is designed to retain the Named Executive Officers and to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders, namely the achievement of sustainable, long-term stock price appreciation. All equity awards are made at fair market value on the date of grant (which is the date on which the Compensation Committee authorizes the grant). Under the Company's equity incentive plan as in effect on the date of grant (the "Equity Plan"), fair market value is determined by the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on such dates.

Mexico SAT Incentive Program. For the fiscal years 2013 through 2017, the Company has established an incentive program tied to the performance of the Company's turnkey security scanning program for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria (the "Mexico SAT Incentive Program"). The Mexico SAT Incentive Program was adopted in July 2012. Incentives under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program are conditioned on the achievement of certain metrics based on the operating income of the services for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria. The metrics require such operating income, as a percentage of revenue, to significantly exceed operating margin for the Company as a whole before any incentives are awarded, and are thus believed to be challenging, but achievable. The Mexico SAT Incentive Program consists of three components that may be awarded to certain Named Executive Officers upon achievement of performance criteria: a short-term component, a long-term component, and a "contract award bonus".

If the threshold operating income amount is exceeded for a particular fiscal year, and dependent on the amount by which such threshold operating income is exceeded, a bonus pool is established for certain Named Executive Officers. The bonus pool, if any, is allocated among participating executives. For each such executive, 70% of the annual bonus allocation comprises the short term component. Half of the short-term component is paid to that executive within 75 days of the end of the fiscal year

Table of Contents

in which it is earned, and the other half would be paid to that executive within 75 days of the end of the following year, provided that the executive has not resigned prior to the date of such payments. The long-term component constitutes the remaining 30% of the executive's annual bonus allocation, and would not be paid until 2018, if the executive has not previously resigned. The amount of the long-term component would be divided by \$50.17, representing the baseline value of the Company's stock prior to public disclosure of the Company's contract with the Servicio de Administración Tributaria. The resulting figure would then be multiplied by the per-share price of the Company's stock as of December 31, 2017, and the product would constitute the final value of the long-term component.

The contract award bonus is based on five-and-a-half year cumulative operating income for the Servicio de Administración Tributaria operations through December 31, 2017. If the threshold cumulative operating income is exceeded, and dependent on the amount by which such operating income is exceeded, a "CAB" bonus pool would be established in an amount equal to 1%-5% of the cumulative operating income. The CAB bonus pool, if any, would be allocated among participating executives. The CAB bonuses, if any, for each executive would be paid in January 2018, provided that the executive has not resigned prior to the payment.

In the event of a change of control: (a) any earned but unpaid short and long-term components of the Mexico SAT Incentive Program would be accelerated, with the long-term component calculated using the value of the Company's stock on the date of the change of control; (b) a pro-rata CAB bonus would be paid based on the operating income for the two quarters preceding the change of control; (c) the remaining value of the Mexico SAT Incentive Program would be calculated by imputing the operating income for the 12 months preceding the change of control to the period that is the shorter of (i) the 24 months after the change of control or (ii) the remainder of the term of the Company's contracts to operate security screening services for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria (or, in the case of the CAB bonus, until December 31, 2017), and establishing bonus pools based on such data.

In the event that a participating executive's participation in the Mexico SAT Incentive Program is terminated, for reasons other than by the executive's voluntary resignation or the termination of that executive's employment for cause, then (a) any earned but unpaid short and long-term components of the Mexico SAT Incentive Program would be accelerated, with the long-term component calculated using the value of the Company's stock on the date of the termination; (b) a further annual bonus and CAB amount calculated by imputing performance for the 12 months preceding the termination to the period equal to the remainder of the then-current fiscal year plus an additional 12 months, provided that such period does not extend beyond the end of the Company's contracts to operate security screening services for the Mexico Servicio de Administración Tributaria for the calculation of the annual bonus amount, and December 31, 2017 for calculation of the CAB amount. Such amounts would be paid within 45 days of the termination.

The Compensation Committee determines, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, the amount of awards to grant to the Named Executive Officers under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program. The grant amounts for the Chief Executive Officer are determined solely by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee considers each Named Executive Officer's position with the Company and involvement in the management of the screening services operation in making its determinations. The Compensation Committee retains discretion to amend the Mexico SAT Incentive Program.

All of the Named Executive Officers, with the exception of Mr. Mansouri, were participants in the Mexico SAT Incentive Program during fiscal 2013. The short-term and long-term components of the Mexico SAT Incentive Program compensation earned for fiscal year 2013 by each of such Named Executive Officers is shown in the "Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the

Table of Contents

"Summary Compensation Table." Each of such Named Executive Officers will receive a CAB bonus provided that the five-and-a-half year cumulative operating income threshold is achieved. Information concerning the potential CAB bonus amounts is shown in the "Grant of Plan Based Awards Table."

Benefits and Perquisites. Benefits and perquisites are designed to attract and retain key employees. Currently, the Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in benefit plans available to all employees, including the Company's 401(k) Plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan, medical, dental, and vision health insurance plans and life and long-term disability insurance plans. The 401(k) Plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the medical, dental and vision plans require each participant to pay a contributory amount. The Company has elected to pay amounts contributed to medical, dental and vision health insurance plans and life and long-term disability insurance plans on behalf of the Named Executive Officers. In addition, the Company maintains an executive medical reimbursement plan under which the Named Executive Officers receive reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses not covered by their health insurance plans. The Company also provides a discretionary matching contribution to its 401(k) Plan for participating employees, including the Named Executive Officers. For matching contribution amounts, see the Summary Compensation Table and related footnotes. Employee individual plan contributions are subject to the maximum contribution allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company also leases automobiles or provides an auto allowance to each of the Named Executive Officers.

The Company maintains a Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan") that is unfunded for federal tax purposes and allows the Named Executive Officers and a select group of other managers or highly compensated employees (as designated by the Compensation Committee) to defer a specified percentage of certain compensation, including salary, bonuses and commissions. The Deferred Compensation Plan also allows the Company to make discretionary contributions and matching contributions on behalf of eligible participants. Distributions may be made in a lump sum (or in installments if elected in accordance with the terms of the Deferred Compensation Plan) upon termination of employment, disability, a specified withdrawal date or death. Additional information about this plan is summarized below under the heading "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation."

The Company also maintains a Nonqualified Defined Benefit Plan (the "Defined Benefit Plan") that is unfunded for federal tax purposes and that constitutes an unsecured promise by the Company to make payments to participants in the future following their retirement, termination in connection with a change in control of the Company, or their death or disability. Under the terms of the Defined Benefit Plan, a committee designated by the Board of Directors may select participants from among the Named Executive Officers and a select group of managers or other highly compensated employees. Currently, Mr. Chopra is the only participant in this plan. Additional information about this plan is summarized below under the heading "Pension Benefits."

Total Compensation Mix. While the Compensation Committee does not apply a predetermined or mathematical weighting to determine the fixed and variable elements of compensation, the Compensation Committee believes that the elements described above provide a well proportioned mix of equity based, at risk or performance based compensation, and retention based compensation that produces short-term and long-term incentives and rewards. The Company believes this compensation mix provides the Named Executive Officers a measure of security as to the minimum levels of compensation that they are eligible to receive, while motivating the Named Executive Officers to focus on the business measures that will produce a high level of performance for the Company, as well as reducing the risk of recruitment of highly qualified executive talent by the Company's competitors. The mix of annual incentives and the equity based awards likewise provides an appropriate balance between short-term financial performance and long-term financial and stock performance. The Company believes that its compensation mix results in a pay-for-performance orientation that is aligned with its compensation philosophy, which takes into account individual, group and Company performance.

Table of Contents

Bases for Our Compensation Policies and Decisions

In determining compensation awarded to the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee performed a global review of both overall and relative individual Named Executive Officer and corporate performance based on the qualitative and quantitative factors described in the table below. The factors considered did not have any predetermined or mathematical weighting; rather, the Compensation Committee considered the overall performance of each executive, considering the factors, and including consideration of unplanned events and issues emerging during the fiscal year. Each factor was thoroughly evaluated and taken into consideration in the Compensation Committee's overall determination of each Named Executive Officer's total compensation package, including both the amount of compensation as well as allocation of such compensation between short-term and long-term components.

Qualitative Factors	Quantitative Factors
Furtherance of Long-term Goals	Compensation Paid in Prior Years
Individual Performance and Experience	Financial Performance of Company / Division
Demonstration of Leadership Skills and Ability	Peer Group Compensation and Performance Data
Achievement of Strategic Targets	Compensation Surveys Provided by External Advisors

Management of Unplanned Events and Issues Emerging During the Fiscal Year

The Compensation Committee's review included evaluating the compensation of the Named Executive Officers in light of information regarding the compensation practices and corporate financial performance of other companies. In making its determinations, the Compensation Committee reviewed information summarizing the compensation paid at peer group companies and more broad-based compensation surveys. The companies in the fiscal 2013 peer group were developed based on similarity in size and operations within the industries in which the Company operates as follows:

Analogic Corporation	C O N M E D Corporation	FEI Company	J D S Uniphase Corporation	Newport Corporation	Sirona Dental Systems, Inc.
ARRIS Group, Inc.	CTS Corporation	Finisar Corporation	Masimo Corporation	NuVasive, Inc.	Teleflex Incorporated
Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	Edwards Lifesciences Corporation	FLIR Systems, Inc.	M T S Systems Corporation	ResMed Inc.	TTM Technologies, Inc.
Coherent, Inc.	Fabrinet	Harmonic Inc.	Multi-Fineline Electronix, Inc.	R o f i n - S i n a r Technologies Inc.	

In connection with executive compensation for fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., to provide consulting services and advice regarding executive compensation matters. The Company's management also provided the Compensation Committee with information gathered from Pearl Meyer & Partners for consideration.

The Compensation Committee also considered broad-based surveys that reflected compensation levels and practices for executives holding comparable positions at the companies covered by the surveys and adjustments based on the cost of living in certain geographic areas. The review of the surveys further assisted the Compensation Committee in determining the appropriate level and mix of compensation for each Named Executive Officer. In connection with its review, the Compensation Committee also considered that each Named Executive Officer was located in the high cost of living area in the geographic location of our Company headquarters.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

While the Compensation Committee did not engage in formal benchmarking with pre-established targets, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Company's actual performance taken as a whole as

Table of Contents

well as its performance relative to its peer group and established compensation levels at the competitive level that it believed most appropriately corresponded to the Company's comparative performance.

For fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee determined that it was appropriate to maintain fixed compensation levels relatively stable for all Named Executive Officers as compared to fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee believes that the fixed component of compensation is designed to compensate each Named Executive Officer based on the duties and scope of responsibilities of his position and the experience he brings to the position, which did not change materially in fiscal 2013. However, based on the challenging business environment during the second quarter of fiscal 2013, all of the Named Executive Officers voluntarily agreed to a pay cut of 10% of their base salary rates through June 30, 2013. The following table sets forth the changes to base salaries paid to the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012:

Named Executive Officer	Changes in Base Salary
Deepak Chopra	Decreased from \$1,000,000 to \$961,538
Alan Edrick	Decreased from \$402,000 to \$386,538
Ajay Mehra	Decreased from \$402,000 to \$386,538
Victor Sze	Decreased from \$350,000 to \$336,538
Manoocher Mansouri	Decreased from \$270,500 to \$260,096

Consistent with the Company's pay-for-performance philosophy, the variable component of compensation, in the form of annual cash incentives and performance-based equity grants, as well as compensation under the MSAT Incentive Program, comprised a significant portion of total compensation.

In making variable compensation determinations, the Compensation Committee noted significant corporate and management achievements during fiscal year 2013 in the categories "furtherance of long-term goals", "demonstration of leadership skills and ability" and "achievement of strategic targets". With respect to Messrs. Chopra, Mehra, Edrick and Sze these included implementation of the screening services operation with the tax and customs authority of Mexico. With respect to Messrs. Chopra, Edrick, and Sze these also included the continuation of the development and launch of an entire new generation of healthcare products and, with respect to those executives and also with respect to Mr. Mansouri, also the expansion of the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division's electronics manufacturing services, market segments, customer base and capabilities. The Compensation Committee factored these achievements against instances where the Company did not achieve desired results, including the delayed launch of certain products and delays in realizing certain sales.

The Compensation Committee determined that the achievements of each Named Executive Officer for the fiscal year contributed significantly to the long-term goals of the Company, and substantially improved the Company's competitive standing in important markets, while the goals that were not achieved during the fiscal year did not represent significant set backs to the Company as a whole.

The Compensation Committee also took into consideration the Company's strong financial performance overall as well as relative to certain of its peer companies, which was attributable to the commendable performance of the Named Executive Officers. In making compensation decisions among the executives, the Compensation Committee further considered the relative performance of the Company's divisions, particularly the strong performance of the Security division headed by Mr. Mehra, as compared to the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division headed by Mr. Mansouri and the Company as a whole.

For fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee also considered each Named Executive Officer's position with the Company and involvement in the management of the screening services program with the tax and customs authority of Mexico when determining awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program to participating Named Executive Officers. As a result of such review, each Named Executive Officer received an award under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program except for Mr. Mansouri.

Table of Contents

For the variable component of compensation, there was no mathematical formula for tying the fiscal year's events and performance to each individual executive's variable compensation, but rather that compensation element was determined in consideration of the executive's involvement in the Company's performance for that year, the Company's overall performance for the year, as well as the compensation for comparable-company executives.

In light of this global evaluation, the Compensation Committee determined that certain Named Executive Officers should receive increased variable compensation for fiscal year 2013 as compared to fiscal year 2012. The following table provides a summary of decisions of the Compensation Committee with respect to each person's eligibility for variable compensation for fiscal year 2013:

Named Executive Officer	Changes in Variable Compensation
Deepak Chopra	Increase variable compensation overall including an increase of target equity awards, a decrease in bonus, a grant of awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, and a decrease in other types of compensation.
Alan Edrick	Increase variable compensation overall including an increase of target equity awards, a decrease in bonus, a grant of awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, and a decrease in other types of compensation.
Ajay Mehra	Increase variable compensation overall including an increase of target equity awards, a decrease in bonus, a grant of awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, and a decrease in other types of compensation.
Victor Sze	Increase variable compensation overall including an increase of target equity awards, a decrease in bonus, a grant of awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, and a decrease in other types of compensation.
Manoocher Mansouri	Slight decrease in variable compensation overall including target equity awards, bonus, and other types of compensation.

All compensation decisions are designed to encourage performance that enhances long-term stockholder value. The Compensation Committee believes that attracting and retaining executive talent capable of achieving the Company's long-term, strategic objectives is the best way to align executive compensation decisions with the interests of stockholders. The Compensation Committee also believes that meeting financial targets as well as near-term strategic goals demonstrates whether an executive is on track to accomplish longer-term objectives.

Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation

At our 2012 annual meeting, 96.1% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers were in favor of our executive compensation policies. Considering the strong stockholder support of the compensation of the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee determined to continue to apply the same general approach with respect to compensation policies and decisions for fiscal 2013.

Table of Contents

Minimum Equity Ownership and Retention Guidelines

The Company believes that its Named Executive Officers should hold a significant amount of Company equity to link their long-term economic interests directly to those of the Company's stockholders. Accordingly, the Company has established requirements that Named Executive Officers own equity of the Company valued at five times their respective annual base salaries. The Company believes that this multiple constitutes significant amounts for its Named Executive Officers and provides a substantial link between the interests of its Named Executive Officers and those of the Company's stockholders. During such time that a Named Executive Officer has not attained the share ownership guideline, he is required to retain at least 50% of the shares acquired upon exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock awards or units, net of amounts required to pay taxes and exercise price. The Company periodically reviews its minimum equity ownership guidelines. Based on the Company's review from leading stockholder advisory groups, the Company increased the minimum equity ownership guideline for its Named Executive Officers from a multiple of three times annual base salary to five times annual base salary and adopted the foregoing retention policy. Any shares pledged by a Named Executive Officer are not counted towards such Named Executive Officer's satisfaction of the Company's minimum equity ownership guidelines. As of June 30, 2013, each of the Named Executive Officers met or exceeded the Company's minimum equity ownership guidelines.

Adoption of Clawback Policy

The Company has adopted a clawback policy. The policy provides that if an accounting restatement is required due to the Company's material non-compliance with any accounting requirements, then the Company will seek to recover any excess in the incentive compensation earned by all of the Company's Named Executive Officers, regardless of whether they were at fault or not in the circumstances leading to the restatement, over the prior three years over what they would have earned if there had not been a material non-compliance in the financial statements.

Adoption of a Policy Prohibiting the Hedging or Pledging of Company Stock

The Company has adopted a policy that prohibits our Named Executive Officers and directors from entering into any transaction that is designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of the Company's common stock or other equity securities. The Company has also adopted a policy that prohibits on a prospective basis our Named Executive Officers and directors from holding Company stock or other equity securities in margin accounts or pledging Company stock or other equity securities as collateral for a loan.

Employment Agreements

The Company has employment agreements with Messrs. Chopra, Edrick, Mehra, Sze and Mansouri which were entered into during fiscal 2012. The terms of each of such agreements are summarized below under the heading "Employment Agreements." Employment agreements are used to retain executives and formalize the terms of the executives' employment.

Impact of Accounting and Tax on the Form of Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers applicable tax laws, securities laws and accounting regulations in structuring and modifying its compensation arrangements and employee benefit plans. The Compensation Committee has considered the impact of generally accepted accounting principles on the Company's use of equity based awards. The Compensation Committee also considers the limits on deductibility of compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to annual compensation exceeding \$1.0 million and Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to change in control payments exceeding specified limits.

Table of Contents

Additional Information Regarding the Named Executive Officers

Deepak Chopra is President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Biographical information regarding Mr. Chopra is set forth under "Election of Directors."

Alan Edrick is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company. Mr. Edrick joined the Company as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in September 2006. Mr. Edrick has more than two decades of financial management and public accounting experience, including mergers and acquisitions, capital markets, financial planning and analysis and regulatory compliance. Between 2004 and 2006, Mr. Edrick served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of BioSource International, Inc., a biotechnology company, until its sale to Invitrogen Corporation. Between 1998 and 2004, Mr. Edrick served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of North American Scientific, Inc., a medical device and specialty pharmaceutical company. Between 1989 and 1998, Mr. Edrick was employed by Price Waterhouse LLP in various positions including Senior Manager, Capital Markets. Mr. Edrick received his Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of California, Los Angeles and a Master of Business Administration degree from the Anderson School at the University of California, Los Angeles.

Ajay Mehra is Executive Vice President of the Company and President of the Company's Security division. Biographical information regarding Mr. Mehra is set forth under "Election of Directors."

Victor S. Sze is Executive Vice President and General Counsel of the Company. Mr. Sze joined the Company as Vice President of Corporate Affairs and General Counsel in March 2002. In November 2002, Mr. Sze was appointed Secretary of the Company. In September 2004, Mr. Sze was appointed Executive Vice President. From 1999 through November 2001, Mr. Sze served as in-house counsel to Interplay Entertainment Corp., a developer and worldwide publisher of interactive entertainment software, holding the title of Director of Corporate Affairs. Prior to joining Interplay Entertainment Corp., Mr. Sze practiced law with the firm of Wolf, Rifkin & Shapiro in Los Angeles. Mr. Sze holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from the University of California, Los Angeles and a juris doctorate from Loyola Law School.

Manoocher Mansouri is President of the Company's Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. Mr. Mansouri joined the Company in 1982 and was named President of its Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division in June 2006. Mr. Mansouri has over 25 years of experience in the optoelectronics industry. Mr. Mansouri has served as President of the Company's OSI Optoelectronics, Inc. subsidiary since May 2000. Mr. Mansouri holds a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from the University of California, Los Angeles as well as an Executive Program in management certificate from the Anderson School at the University of California, Los Angeles.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the compensation for the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer, and the three highest paid executive officers of the Company serving as executive officers on June 30, 2013 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (the "Named Executive Officers")(1):

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (1)(\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(2)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred All Other Compensation (\$)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)			Total (\$)
							Earnings			
Deepak Chopra Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2013	961,538	1,323,000	4,091,340		1,077,000	579,138	258,254		8,290,270
	2012	1,000,000	1,750,000	2,218,920	606,600		1,287,536	171,010		7,034,066
	2011	1,000,000	1,300,000	1,966,200			418,357	104,526		4,789,083
Alan Edrick Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	386,538	340,000	2,107,660		348,000		58,653		3,240,851
	2012	402,000	500,000	1,008,600	606,600			111,736		2,628,936
	2011	388,500	360,000	813,600	368,000			100,910		2,031,010
Ajay Mehra Executive Vice President of the Company and President of Security division	2013	386,538	325,000	2,107,660		546,000		81,226		3,446,424
	2012	402,000	500,000	1,008,600	606,600			131,373		2,648,573
	2011	396,000	360,000	1,084,800				120,705		1,961,505
Victor S. Sze Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2013	336,538	345,000	1,394,775		273,000		71,549		2,420,862
	2012	350,000	350,000	672,400	404,400			115,373		1,892,173
	2011	345,000	300,000	549,180	248,400			104,291		1,546,871
Manoocher Mansouri President of Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division	2013	260,096	65,000	371,940				55,507		752,543
	2012	270,500	70,000	403,440				78,586		822,526
	2011	266,500	100,000	488,160				73,867		928,527

- (1) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles of awards granted during the applicable fiscal year. Stock Awards column includes performance-based awards granted at target values. For additional information on the maximum amounts that could be earned if all metrics are achieved at the highest levels, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 for a discussion of the assumptions used in valuation of stock options and stock awards.
- (2) Represents annual bonus amounts earned for Fiscal Year 2013 under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program in the amounts of \$682,000, \$243,600, \$382,000, and \$191,100 for Messrs. Chopra, Edrick, Mehra and Sze, respectively, as the short-term component of the program, and amounts earned for Fiscal Year 2013 of \$395,000, \$104,400, \$164,000, and \$81,900 for Messrs. Chopra, Edrick, Mehra and Sze, respectively, credited to the long-term component of the program.
- (3) The Company initially adopted the Defined Benefit Plan, as amended, during fiscal year 2008. Mr. Chopra is currently the only participant in the Defined Benefit Plan. The amounts included in this column represent the aggregate change in the present value of the accumulated benefit from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013 based on actuarial assumptions and therefore do not reflect the Company's liability as of June 30, 2013 under the plan or the plan's effect on the Company's earnings in the stated period.
- (4) The Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in benefit plans available to all employees, including the Company's 401(k) Plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan, medical, dental and vision health insurance plans and life and long-term disability insurance plans. The 401(k) Plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and the medical, dental and vision plans require each participant to pay a contributory amount. The Company has elected to pay amounts contributed to medical, dental and vision health insurance plans and life and long-term disability insurance plans on behalf of the Named Executive Officers. In addition, the Company maintains an executive medical reimbursement plan under which the Named Executive Officers receive reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses not covered by their health insurance plans. The Company also provides a discretionary matching contribution to its 401(k) Plan for participating employees, including the Named Executive Officers. Employee individual plan contributions are subject to the maximum contribution allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. Further, the Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Deferred Compensation Plan, and the Company may provide matching and discretionary contributions under such plan. The Company also leases automobiles or provides an auto allowance to each of the Named Executive Officers.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

(5) Individual breakdowns of amounts set forth in "All Other Compensation" with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Name	Matching 401(k) and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	Car	Membership	Health Insurance and Medical Reimbursement	Life and L-T Disability Insurance	Total All Other Compensation
	Contributions(*)(\$)	Benefit(\$)	Dues(**)(\$)	Payments(\$)	Payments(\$)	Compensation(\$)
Deepak Chopra	6,614	1,780	1,782	10,167	237,911	258,254
Alan Edrick	46,703	2,850		6,519	2,580	58,653
Ajay Mehra	44,504	6,675		18,861	11,185	81,226
Victor S. Sze	39,580	12,000		8,814	11,156	71,549
Manoocher Mansouri	29,526	7,200		7,135	11,647	55,507

(*) Company matching amounts for the 401(k) Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan are subject to vesting schedules as specified in the applicable plan documents.

(**) Membership dues consist of payments made to a golf club. Membership dues were discontinued during fiscal year 2013.

(6) Individual breakdowns of amounts set forth in "All Other Compensation" with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 are as follows:

Name	Matching 401(k) and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	Car	Membership	Health Insurance and Medical Reimbursement	Life and L-T Disability Insurance	Total All Other Compensation
	Contributions(*)(\$)	Benefit(\$)	Dues(**)(\$)	Payments(\$)	Payments(\$)	Compensation(\$)
Deepak Chopra	5,199	1,780	5,652	10,675	147,704	171,010
Alan Edrick	89,000	2,850		8,417	11,469	111,736
Ajay Mehra	89,016	6,675		17,382	18,300	131,373
Victor S. Sze	77,726	12,000		15,016	10,631	115,373
Manoocher Mansouri	58,209	7,200		7,006	6,171	78,586

(*) Company matching amounts for the 401(k) Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan are subject to vesting schedules as specified in the applicable plan documents.

(**) Membership dues consist of payments made to a golf club.

(7) Individual breakdowns of amounts set forth in "All Other Compensation" with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 are as follows:

Name	Matching 401(k) and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	Car	Membership	Health Insurance and Medical Reimbursement	Life and L-T Disability Insurance	Total All Other Compensation
	Contribution(*)(\$)	Benefit(\$)	Dues(**)(\$)	Payments(\$)	Payments(\$)	Compensation(\$)
Deepak Chopra	4,900	1,780	4,857	9,553	83,436	104,526
Alan Edrick	81,314	2,851		6,477	10,268	100,910
Ajay Mehra	83,712	6,675		17,757	12,561	120,705
Victor S. Sze	74,592	12,000		7,731	9,968	104,291
Manoocher Mansouri	55,009	7,200		6,576	5,082	73,867

(*) Company matching amounts for the 401(k) Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan are subject to vesting schedules as specified in the applicable plan documents.

(**) Membership dues consist of payments made to a golf club.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table sets forth the plan-based awards made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 to each of the Named Executive Officers:

Name	Position	Type of Award(1)	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts under Non-equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(6)			Grant Date Fair Value of Options and Awards (7)(\$)
				Threshold (\$)(2)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)(2)	Threshold (#)(3)	Target (#)(4)	Maximum (#)(5)	
Deepak Chopra Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer		RSA	7/23/2012					66,000	165,000	4,091,340
		STI(8)			682,000					
		LTI(9)			395,000					
		CAB(10)			582,151					
Alan Edrick Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer		RSA	7/23/2012					34,000	85,000	2,107,660
		STI(8)			243,600					
		LTI(9)			104,400					
		CAB(10)			116,430					
Ajay Mehra Executive Vice President of the Company and President of Security division		RSA	7/23/2012					34,000	85,000	2,107,660
		STI(8)			382,000					
		LTI(9)			164,000					
		CAB(10)			211,691					
Victor S. Sze Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary		RSA	7/23/2012					22,500	56,250	1,394,775
		STI(8)			191,100					
		LTI(9)			81,900					
		CAB(10)			148,184					
Manoocher Mansouri President, Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division		RSA	7/23/2012					6,000	15,000	371,940

(1) Type of Award:

- RSA Restricted Stock Award
- STI Short Term Component of Mexico Bonus Plan
- LTI Long Term Component of Mexico Bonus Plan
- CAB Contract Award Bonus under Mexico Bonus Plan

(2) No amounts are shown in these columns because awards under the applicable programs do not provide for threshold or maximum payment amounts.

(3) No amounts are shown in this column as the Company's long-term performance program provides for the forfeiture of all shares under certain circumstances of significant underperformance, as more fully explained above in the section entitled "Long-Term Incentive Program."

(4) Represents the baseline awards granted to the executives as more fully explained above in the section entitled "Long-Term Incentive Program."

(5) Represents the maximum that each executive could receive if all performance metrics are achieved at the highest levels as more fully explained above in the section entitled "Long-Term Incentive Program; Quantitative Objectives."

(6)

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Performance-based awards vest upon the attainment of certain one-, two- and three-year performance targets.

- (7) The grant date fair value of the restricted stock was computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and represents the total projected expense to the Company of grants made during the past fiscal year.
- (8) Represents the short-term component of annual bonus awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program, as more fully explained above under "*Mexico SAT Incentive Program*."
- (9) Represents the long-term component of annual bonus awards under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program. The amount reported is the dollar amount credited to the individual, which is payable in 2018 (provided the individual has not voluntarily resigned prior to payment) and may vary from the amount reported based on the future performance of our stock price, as more fully explained above under "*Mexico SAT Incentive Program*."

Table of Contents

- (10) Represents an award made during the fiscal year as a Contract Award Bonus under the Mexico SAT Incentive Program. The amount reported is not the actual amount earned by or credited to the individual, but rather a representative amount based on the Company's performance during fiscal year ending June 30, 2013. As more fully explained above under "*Mexico SAT Incentive Program*", the Contract Award Bonus is payable in 2018, if at all, based on cumulative operating income for the Servicio de Administración Tributaria operations through December 31, 2017. The amount reported assumes that 2013 operating income from these operations continues each year during the measuring period. However, the actual amount of our operating income through 2017 may vary substantially from the amount assumed for purposes of this table.
- (11) The Company has eliminated from this table the columns titled "All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units", "All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options", and "Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards" because no amounts would have been included in such columns.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards for each Named Executive Officer as of June 30, 2013(1):

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (2)(#)	Option Exercise Price (3)(\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (4)(#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (5)(\$)	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(6)	Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(5)
Deepak Chopra Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	60,000		20.02	9/16/2017				
	20,000	40,000(7)	33.62	9/8/2021	80,500(11)	5,185,810	134,100	8,638,722
Alan Edrick Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	48,000		20.02	9/16/2017				
	14,000		23.18	2/7/2018				
	10,000		20.92	7/27/2018				
	40,000		12.52	1/11/2019				
	85,000		16.37	8/31/2019				
	26,667	13,333(8)	27.12	8/10/2020				
	20,000	40,000(7)	33.62	9/8/2021	30,000(12)	1,932,600	70,500	4,541,610
Ajay Mehra Executive Vice President of the Company and President of Security division	7,000		20.02	9/16/2017				
	14,000		23.18	2/7/2018				
	10,000		20.92	7/27/2018				
	85,000		16.37	8/31/2019				
	20,000	40,000(7)	33.62	9/8/2021	35,000(13)	2,254,700	70,950	4,570,599
Victor S. Sze Executive Vice	24,000		20.02	9/16/2017				
	10,000		23.18					