

MGP INGREDIENTS INC
Form DEFA14A
July 12, 2013

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

MGP Ingredients, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: MGP INGREDIENTS INC - Form DEFA14A

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

Edgar Filing: MGP INGREDIENTS INC - Form DEFA14A

On July 12, 2013, the independent directors of MGP Ingredients, Inc. (the "Company") issued an open letter to the Company's stockholders, a copy of which is filed herewith as Exhibit 1. The following persons are deemed "Participants" in the solicitation of proxies in connection with the information filed herewith by virtue of their position as directors of the Company: John E. Byom, Michael Braude, Cloud L. Cray, Gary Gradinger, Linda E. Miller, Timothy W. Newkirk, Daryl R. Schaller, Karen Seaberg, and John R. Speirs. Timothy W. Newkirk and Donald P. Tracy are also a "Participant" in the solicitation by virtue of their position as executive officers of the Company.

July 12, 2013

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You have an important decision to make about the future of MGP. The decision is clear: support MGP's continued strong progress as a public company focused on the interests of all stockholders OR allow Karen Seaberg through her influence over the Cray Group to use MGP as her personal empire at the expense of common stockholders like you.

**YOUR INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS ARE ACTING IN THE BEST INTERESTS
OF ALL MGP STOCKHOLDERS**

As at every public company, the Board of Directors has a fiduciary duty to serve the best interests of all stockholders. We, the six independent directors, take our duties very seriously. Based on our average tenure of more than 12 years on MGP's Board and our extensive collective business experience, we have a deep understanding of MGP's challenges and opportunities. Along with MGP's talented management team, we are working hard to ensure MGP continues to increase profitability and stockholder value.

Our independence as directors is especially critical given the Cray family's dominant ownership in MGP. They are beneficial owners of 92% of MGP's preferred stock and 27.5% of the common stock. Through their preferred stock ownership, the family can elect five of the nine directors on the Board. Two family members already serve on the Board.

Prior generations, including Cloud L. Cray, Sr., MGP's founder, and his sons, Cloud L. "Bud" Cray, Jr. and Richard Cray, helped MGP grow during its early years, publicly listing it in 1988. Many of us worked with Cloud, Bud and Richard when they were leading the Company, and we respected their decision years ago to professionalize the management of the Company and ensure their own family voting processes would represent the interests of all stockholders, not just the Cray family.

Unfortunately, Cloud's granddaughter, Mrs. Karen Seaberg (already a member of MGP's Board), is now trying to undo their hard work and good intentions. Through her hostile proxy contest, she is attempting to seize control of MGP for her own benefit at the expense of common stockholders like you.

All of MGP's independent directors (including those of us who were previously elected by the Cray family) strongly oppose Mrs. Seaberg's self-serving agenda.

MRS. SEABERG IS ATTEMPTING TO SEIZE CONTROL OF MGP FOR PERSONAL GAIN WITHOUT FAIRLY COMPENSATING COMMON STOCKHOLDERS

Mrs. Seaberg's proposals and nominations would clear a path to her asserting full dominance over MGP, effectively turning MGP into a quasi-private company without compensating common stockholders.

Mrs. Seaberg's Proposals Would Enable Her to Control the Board. Three of Mrs. Seaberg's proposals are clearly aimed at giving her the ability to dismiss and elect directors when she wants. She proposes:

De-staggering the Board so all directors are elected each year and can be removed without reason, putting the Board at Mrs. Seaberg's whim each year.

Allowing stockholders with 10% or more of outstanding preferred or common stock to call special meetings of MGP, essentially handing this authority to the Cray Group as the only 10%+ stockholder.

Filling vacancies on the Board exclusively by stockholder vote, instead of allowing the Board to do so as is more common, which gives Mrs. Seaberg more chances to pack the Board, and in the aggregate, a path to replace any directors disloyal to her.

Hand-Picked Nominees Would Reduce Board Independence. Mrs. Seaberg is attempting to replace (with one of her hand-picked nominees) MGP's Chairman John R. Speirs, who is an independent member of the Board elected by common stockholders. As Chairman, Mr. Speirs draws on his wealth of experience developed through over 35 years in senior leadership positions across leading companies in the food and alcohol industries including, Diageo PLC, Pillsbury and Lever Brothers. Mr. Speirs' expertise has been a critical factor in setting the strategy that is driving MGP's success. Together with Mr. Speirs, our strong, independent Board has the necessary and relevant expertise that is critical to ensuring that the interests of all stockholders are represented within a family-dominated company like MGP.

Highly Unusual, Unnecessary Vote Proposal Designed to Manipulate MGP. Mrs. Seaberg's proposal seeking confidential voting at our annual meeting is both unprecedented and unorthodox. It is another clear attempt to manipulate the governance practices of MGP for the benefit of her own personal agenda. Well before Mrs. Seaberg introduced this proposal, stockholders were able to vote confidentially and MGP adopted strict policies of non-retaliation against employees for stockholder voting. The effect of Mrs. Seaberg's proposal would be to restrict MGP's ability to solicit votes while she would have no such restriction. We believe it is blatantly unfair.

Mrs. Seaberg's proxy contest is costly and unnecessary. As MGP directors, Mrs. Seaberg and her father, Bud (also an MGP director), had ample opportunities to voice any concerns with MGP and advocate for change within the established structure of the Board. Instead, after voting in favor MGP's nominees and proposals, they abruptly revoked their votes the night before the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (May 23, 2013) and launched a hostile proxy battle, which is usually an avenue taken by stockholders with no boardroom presence.

MGP IS ON THE RIGHT PATH TO DELIVERING SIGNIFICANT, SUSTAINABLE STOCKHOLDER VALUE DON'T LET MRS. SEABERG DERAILED MGP'S PROGRESS

MGP is executing a carefully designed plan to grow profits and deliver long-term value to all stockholders. To mitigate the negative impact of the significant commodity volatility on the business and generate greater cash flow, our Board and management team have refocused MGP on a higher value sales mix, developed a more effective supply chain and increased productivity across our asset base.

By implementing this strategy, MGP is well positioned to capitalize on the strong growth of the distilled spirits market, particularly the surge in popularity among high-end and super-premium whiskeys. In 2012, U.S. whiskey sales increased by 3.6% higher than growth for vodka, gin and tequila and the largest such increase in 30 years⁽¹⁾. Our focus on expanding our presence in higher margin businesses like premium distilled spirits, as well as nutritional health innovations, while also ensuring we remain a low cost white goods producer is generating real results:

The first quarter of 2013 marked MGP's fourth consecutive quarter of growth in income from operations, reaching \$1.2 million compared to a loss of \$2.3 million in the first quarter of 2012.

Full year 2012 net sales rose 20% through execution of the existing Board and management's strategic plan to focus on higher margin manufactured products.

Gross profit margins more than doubled from 3% in 2011 to 8% in 2012 reflecting the greater profitability of the premium product line.

(1)

The Distilled Spirits Council of the United States

With respect to Mrs. Seaberg, we do not believe she has the experience or business acumen to lead MGP. Beyond her MGP Board membership, the extent of her business experience has been working as an executive travel agent, local restaurateur and being involved in community charity organizations.

REVIEW OF STRATEGIC ALTERNATIVES INCLUDES WIDE RANGE OF OPTIONS

We are confident that our strategy will continue to drive revenue and cash flow generation leading to higher profits and value for all stockholders. However, one constant in the business world is the need to continually evolve. To ensure we are exploring all avenues to maximizing value, the independent members of the Board are conducting a strategic review of alternatives. Contrary to Mrs. Seaberg's misleading statements, this does not necessarily mean the Company will be sold. The Board is reviewing a wide range of alternatives. The process may take several months to complete, and it is critical that the Company continue to progress and execute at the highest levels during this time.

WE ARE COMMITTED TO ATCHISON AND OTHER COMMUNITIES WHERE WE LIVE AND WORK

Through her various interviews with local media, Mrs. Seaberg claims MGP is not committed to the communities where it operates. That is a blatant misrepresentation of the facts. Several directors and most of MGP's management team live in Atchison. The MGP team works hard to support the communities where we live and work, whether through donations to local charities, engagement with community leaders and civic causes, or growth of the business itself. Under our stewardship, dating back to before Mrs. Seaberg joined the Board, MGP has invested over \$27 million into expanding its facilities in Atchison. This multi-year investment, which included the construction of a new Corporate Office and Technical Innovation Center, added significantly to the local economy and reflects our commitment to the community. For as long as we remain directors, we plan to keep MGP headquartered in Atchison.

MRS. SEABERG HAS NO PLAN AND IS MISLEADING STOCKHOLDERS

Mrs. Seaberg has not offered an alternative plan other than asserting dominance over MGP at the expense of your interests and terminating management who dare to act independently of her. She desires control for control's sake. Mrs. Seaberg is relying on incomplete and inaccurate statements we believe to mislead stockholders.

Legal Uncertainty Regarding the Validity of the Cray Voting Trust is Paralyzing MGP's Governance Process. MGP believes actions of members of the Cray Group, acting as trustees with control over MGP's preferred stock, are invalid. MGP recently cited two of the three trustees responsible for voting the preferred stock held by the Cray Group's Voting Trust as unfit to serve due to their poor

health. Subsequent changes to the Trust by Mrs. Seaberg are further evidence of her attempt to manipulate governance of the Company to her advantage.

Also, the Company believes Mrs. Seaberg did not satisfy the MGP stock ownership requirements to qualify as a trustee of the Voting Trust at the time she replaced her father as a trustee.

The simple fact is that the dysfunction and invalidity of the family's Voting Trust is impairing MGP's ability to execute even the most standard governance processes leaving the Company with no choice but to seek a ruling from the court to enable the Company to carry out normal governance and business operations.

MGP has filed litigation in the District Court of Johnson County to seek a declaratory judgment regarding this serious issue so that the Company has assurance that the majority of the preferred stock now held by the Voting Trust is being properly represented.

Contradictory Statements on the Company's Business Plan. While criticizing management in the Cray Group's proxy materials, elsewhere Mrs. Seaberg acknowledges the merit of management's strategy. She has been quoted in the press stating, "We believe in the strategy (of the Company). It's good."⁽²⁾ We agree. However, supporting a strategy but not the management team that created and is executing that strategy simply doesn't make sense.

Incomplete Facts on Management Compensation. The Cray Group's proxy materials and Mrs. Seaberg's media interviews criticize MGP management's compensation in direct conflict with the fact that Mrs. Seaberg and Mr. Cray, as directors of the Company, voted **in favor** of the current compensation of management not just once, but twice, the most recent vote being on July 11, 2013. Mrs. Seaberg and Mr. Cray previously voted as directors in favor of the compensation of Mr. Speirs as lead director of the Board. Also, Mrs. Seaberg fails to acknowledge that her husband earned a similar level of compensation as Mr. Newkirk when Mr. Seaberg served as CEO. The Board's responsibility is to ensure that management compensation is aligned with value creation. The Board works for stockholders, all stockholders.

**RETURN YOUR WHITE CARD TO SUPPORT YOUR INDEPENDENT BOARD AND
MGP'S STRONG PROGRESS**

Mrs. Seaberg appears to be trying to steamroll over MGP's stockholders in a self-serving effort to purge disloyalty to her and reassert family control. However, as you know, the duty of any public company Board is to serve the best interests of all stockholders. That is our commitment to you, and we take it seriously. We appreciate your support of a strong, independent Board at MGP and the progress we are making delivering value to all stockholders.

(2)

June 19th interview in the Atchison Globe

You may have received a WHITE proxy card from the Company related to our May 23, 2013 annual meeting, which was adjourned and will be rescheduled in due course. If you voted that WHITE card already, you do not need to take any further action. If you have not voted the WHITE card yet, we encourage you to do so today.

Thank you again for your support,

John R. Speirs
Chairman

Michael Braude
Director

John E. Byom
Director

Gary Gradinger
Director

Linda E. Miller
Director
The Independent Directors of the Board

Daryl R. Schaller, Ph.D.
Director

If you have questions about how to vote your shares, or need additional assistance, please contact the firm assisting us in the solicitation of proxy votes:

Innisfree M&A Incorporated
Stockholders Call Toll-Free: (888) 750-5834
Banks and Brokers Call: (212) 750-5833

IMPORTANT
Vote the **White** Proxy Card today!

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS SAFE HARBOR

This letter contains forward-looking statements as well as historical information. Forward-looking statements are usually identified by or are associated with such words as "intend," "plan," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "hopeful," "should," "may," "will," "could," "encouraged," "opportunities," "potential" and/or the negatives of these terms or variations of them or similar terminology. They reflect management's current beliefs and estimates of future economic circumstances, industry conditions, Company performance and financial results and are not guarantees of future performance. All such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the relevant forward-looking statement. Investors should not place undue reliance upon forward-looking statements and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, among others: (i) disruptions in operations at our Atchison facility or Indiana Distillery, (ii) the availability and cost of grain and fluctuations in energy costs, (iii) the effectiveness of our

hedging strategy, (iv) the competitive environment and related market conditions, (v) the ability to effectively pass raw material price increases on to customers, (vi) the viability of the Illinois Corn Processing, LLC ("ICP") joint venture and its ability to obtain financing, (vii) our ability to maintain compliance with all applicable loan agreement covenants, (viii) our ability to realize operating efficiencies, (ix) actions of governments, (x) and consumer tastes and preferences. For further information on these and other risks and uncertainties that may affect our business, including risks specific to our Distillery and Ingredient segments, see *Item 1A. Risk Factors* of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

IMPORTANT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

MGP Ingredients, Inc., its directors, and certain of its officers are participants in the solicitation of proxies from MGP stockholders in connection with the Company's 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Important information concerning the identity and interests of these persons is available in the definitive proxy statement that MGP filed with the SEC on April 11, 2013 as subsequently supplemented, including the proxy supplement dated July 12, 2013.

The definitive proxy statement, any other relevant documents and other materials filed with the SEC concerning MGP are available free of charge at www.sec.gov and www.mgpingredients.com. Stockholders should carefully read the definitive proxy statement, including supplements thereto, before making any voting decision.

QuickLinks

YOUR INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS ARE ACTING IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF ALL MGP STOCKHOLDERS

MRS. SEABERG IS ATTEMPTING TO SEIZE CONTROL OF MGP FOR PERSONAL GAIN WITHOUT FAIRLY COMPENSATING COMMON STOCKHOLDERS

MGP IS ON THE RIGHT PATH TO DELIVERING SIGNIFICANT, SUSTAINABLE STOCKHOLDER VALUE. DON'T LET MRS.

SEABERG DERAIL MGP'S PROGRESS

REVIEW OF STRATEGIC ALTERNATIVES INCLUDES WIDE RANGE OF OPTIONS

WE ARE COMMITTED TO ATCHISON AND OTHER COMMUNITIES WHERE WE LIVE AND WORK

MRS. SEABERG HAS NO PLAN AND IS MISLEADING STOCKHOLDERS

RETURN YOUR WHITE CARD TO SUPPORT YOUR INDEPENDENT BOARD AND MGP'S STRONG PROGRESS