

FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter).

Michigan
(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)

38-3150651
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan
(Address of principal executive offices)
(248) 312-2000

48098-2639
(Zip code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and formal fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No .

As of October 30, 2014, 56,271,116 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- Item 1. Financial Statements
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition – September 30, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013
Consolidated Statements of Operations – For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity – For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)
Note 1 - Nature of Business
Note 2 - Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies
Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements
Note 4 - Investment Securities
Note 5 - Loans Held-for-Sale
Note 6 - Loans Repurchased With Government Guarantees
Note 7 - Loans Held-for-Investment
Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities
Note 9 - Mortgage Servicing Rights
Note 10 - Derivative Financial Instruments
Note 11 - Federal Home Loan Bank Advances
Note 12 - Long-Term Debt
Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve
Note 14 - Stockholders' Equity
Note 15 - Earnings (Loss) Per Share
Note 16 - Income Taxes
Note 17 - Regulatory Matters
Note 18 - Legal Proceeding, Contingencies, and Commitments
Note 19 - Segment Information
- Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Table of Contents

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014
TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

PART II. – OTHER INFORMATION

- Item 1. Legal Proceedings
- Item 1A. Risk Factors
- Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
- Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities
- Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
- Item 5. Other Information
- Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents		
Cash and cash items (\$2,855 and \$1,129 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1)	\$44,374	\$55,913
Interest-earning deposits	62,466	224,592
Total cash and cash equivalents	106,840	280,505
Investment securities available-for-sale	1,378,093	1,045,548
Loans held-for-sale (\$1,415,938 and \$1,140,507 measured at fair value, respectively) (2)	1,468,668	1,480,418
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	1,191,826	1,273,690
Loans held-for-investment, net		
Loans held-for-investment (\$222,348 and \$238,322 measured at fair value which includes \$140,331 and \$155,012 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1) (2)	4,184,624	4,055,756
Less: allowance for loan losses	(301,000) (207,000
Total loans held-for-investment, net	3,883,624	3,848,756
Mortgage servicing rights	285,386	284,678
Repossessed assets, net	27,149	36,636
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	209,737	209,737
Premises and equipment, net	238,261	231,350
Net deferred tax asset	449,575	414,681
Other assets	386,251	301,302
Total assets	\$9,625,410	\$9,407,301
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Deposits		
Noninterest bearing	\$1,299,405	\$930,060
Interest bearing	5,934,991	5,210,266
Total deposits	7,234,396	6,140,326
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	150,000	988,000
Long-term debt (\$92,140 and \$105,813 of consolidated VIEs at fair value, respectively) (1) (2)	339,575	353,248
Representation and warranty reserve	57,000	54,000
Other liabilities (\$80,100 and \$93,000 measured at fair value and \$136 and \$136 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1) (2)	492,834	445,853
Total liabilities	8,273,805	7,981,427
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock \$0.01 par value, liquidation value \$1,000 per share, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 266,657 issued and outstanding, respectively	266,657	266,174
Common stock \$0.01 par value, 70,000,000 shares authorized; 56,261,652 and 56,138,074 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	563	561
Additional paid in capital	1,480,955	1,479,265
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(250) (4,831
Accumulated deficit	(396,320) (315,295

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity	1,351,605	1,425,874
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$9,625,410	\$9,407,301

(1) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities ("VIEs").

(2) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities for which the Company has elected the fair value option.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Interest Income				
Loans	\$64,060	\$75,633	\$184,638	\$249,312
Investment securities available-for-sale or trading	10,880	1,465	28,303	5,397
Interest-earning deposits and other	154	1,709	417	4,145
Total interest income	75,094	78,807	213,358	258,854
Interest Expense				
Deposits	8,461	10,023	21,688	35,680
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	591	24,434	1,725	72,766
Other	1,679	1,665	4,957	4,960
Total interest expense	10,731	36,122	28,370	113,406
Net interest income	64,363	42,685	184,988	145,448
Provision for loan losses	8,097	4,053	126,567	56,030
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	56,266	38,632	58,421	89,418
Noninterest Income				
Loan fees and charges	18,661	20,876	56,272	84,152
Deposit fees and charges	5,618	5,410	15,660	15,749
Net gain on loan sales	52,175	75,073	152,275	357,404
Loan administration income	5,599	1,454	18,826	2,752
Net return on the mortgage servicing asset	1,346	27,217	22,475	73,949
Net gain on sale of assets	4,874	98	10,626	2,120
Total other-than-temporary impairment (loss) gain	—	—	—	(8,789)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	—	—	—	(8,789)
Representation and warranty reserve – change in estimate	(12,538)	(5,205)	(16,092)	(51,541)
Other noninterest income	9,453	9,373	2,583	63,402
Total noninterest income	85,188	134,296	262,625	539,198
Noninterest Expense				
Compensation and benefits	53,503	61,552	174,291	209,696
Commissions	10,346	12,099	26,098	44,962
Occupancy and equipment	20,471	18,644	60,265	60,218
Asset resolution	13,666	16,295	43,108	48,661
Federal insurance premiums	5,633	7,910	17,402	26,941
Loan processing expense	10,472	10,890	26,406	43,390
Legal and professional expense	15,044	19,593	39,826	64,822
Other noninterest expense	50,254	11,453	52,598	30,732
Total noninterest expense	179,389	158,436	439,994	529,422

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Operations, Continued
 (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
(Loss) income before income taxes	(37,935) 14,492	(118,948) 99,194
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(10,303) 220	(38,407) (5,888
Net (loss) income	(27,632) 14,272	(80,541) 105,082
Preferred stock dividend/accretion	—	(1,449) (483) (4,336
Net (loss) income applicable to common stock	\$(27,632) \$12,823	\$(81,024) \$100,746
(Loss) income per share				
Basic	\$(0.61) \$0.16	\$(1.79) \$1.61
Diluted	\$(0.61) \$0.16	\$(1.79) \$1.59
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	56,249,300	56,096,376	56,224,850	56,041,844
Diluted	56,249,300	56,541,089	56,224,850	56,458,898

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
 (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)		
Net (loss) income	\$(27,632) \$14,272	\$(80,541) \$105,082	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, before tax					
Investment securities available-for-sale					
Unrealized (loss) gains on investment securities available-for-sale	(8,531) 3,441	10,126	6,087	
Reclassification of (loss) gain on sale of investment securities available-for-sale	(2,382) —	(3,057) —	
Subsequent decreases in the fair value of investment securities available-for-sale previously written down as impaired	—	—	—	(2,681)
Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized	—	—	—	8,789	
Total investment securities available-for-sale, before tax	(10,913) 3,441	7,069	12,195	
Other comprehensive income, deferred tax (loss) benefit					
Deferred tax loss (benefit) related to other comprehensive income resulting from unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available-for-sale	3,842	—	1,723	—	
Deferred tax loss (benefit) related to other comprehensive income resulting from the dissolution and sales of investments securities available-for-sale	—	—	(4,212) (6,108)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(7,071) 3,441	4,580	6,087	
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(34,703) \$17,713	\$(75,961) \$111,169	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
 (In thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	\$260,390	\$559	\$1,476,569	\$ (1,658)	\$ (576,498)	\$1,159,362
Net income	—	—	—	—	105,082	105,082
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	6,087	—	6,087
Restricted stock issued	—	1	(1)	—	—	—
Accretion of preferred stock	4,336	—	—	—	(4,336)	—
Stock-based compensation	—	1	1,823	—	—	1,824
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$264,726	\$561	\$1,478,391	\$ 4,429	\$ (475,752)	\$1,272,355
Balance at December 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	\$266,174	\$561	\$1,479,265	\$ (4,831)	\$ (315,295)	\$1,425,874
Net income	—	—	—	—	(80,541)	(80,541)
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	4,580	—	4,580
Restricted stock issued	—	2	(2)	—	—	—
Accretion of preferred stock	483	—	—	—	(483)	—
Stock-based compensation	—	—	1,692	—	—	1,692
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$266,657	\$563	\$1,480,955	\$ (251)	\$ (396,319)	\$1,351,605

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)	
Operating Activities		
Net (loss) income	\$(80,541) \$105,082
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	126,567	56,030
Depreciation and amortization	17,936	17,200
Loss on fair value of mortgage servicing rights	36,505	3,236
Loss on fair value of long-term debt	5,307	5,139
Net gain on the sale of assets	(14,399) (16,749
Net gain on loan sales	(152,275) (357,404
Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights	4	10,246
Net gain on investment securities available for sale	(3,057) —
Net gain on trading securities	—	(85
Other than temporary impairment losses on investment securities available-for-sale	—	8,789
Net gain on transferors' interest	—	(45,534
Proceeds from sales of loans held-for-sale	13,249,012	35,038,925
Origination and repurchase of loans held-for-sale, net of principal repayments	(18,927,059) (32,445,369
Net change in:		
Decrease in repurchase loans with government guarantees, net of claims received	81,865	609,577
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	(12,284) 42,680
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	—	120,122
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(103,020) 5,432
Decrease in payable for mortgage repurchase option	(16,450) (56,978
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	16,092	51,541
Net charge-offs in representation and warranty reserve	(18,017) (85,129
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	20,107	(235,284
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,773,707) 2,831,467
Investing Activities		
Proceeds received from the sale of investment securities available-for-sale	6,317,522	—
Repayment of investment securities available-for-sale	117,795	45,769
Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale	(755,414) (436,585
Net change from sales of loans held-for-investment	(368,904) (471,249
Principal repayments net of origination of loans held-for-investment	(150,402) 1,551,144
Proceeds from the disposition of repossessed assets	29,812	83,139
Acquisitions of premises and equipment, net of proceeds	(26,279) (27,067
Proceeds from the sale of mortgage servicing rights	155,498	222,804
Net cash provided by investing activities	5,319,628	967,955

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, continued
 (In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)	
Financing Activities		
Net increase (decrease) in deposit accounts	1,094,071	(1,645,010)
Net decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(838,000)	(272,402)
Payment on long-term debt	(18,980)	(12,165)
Net receipt (disbursement) of payments of loans serviced for others	38,867	(282,968)
Net receipt of escrow payments	4,456	11,440
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	280,414	(2,201,105)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(173,665)	1,598,317
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	280,505	952,793
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$106,840	\$2,551,110
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Loans held-for-investment transferred to repossessed assets	\$48,875	\$167,898
Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings	\$23,272	\$109,342
Income taxes paid	\$100	\$8,509
Reclassification of loans originated for investment to loans held-for-sale	\$384,329	\$542,822
Reclassification of mortgage loans originated held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment	\$15,425	\$53,208
Reclassification of mortgage loans held-for-sale to investment securities available-for-sale	\$6,001,134	\$—
Mortgage servicing rights resulting from sale or securitization of loans	\$198,051	\$323,216
Recharacterization of investment securities available-for-sale to loans held-for-investment	\$—	\$73,283
Reconsolidation of HELOC's of variable interest entities (VIEs)	\$—	\$170,507
Reconsolidation of long-term debt of VIEs	\$—	\$119,980

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 – Nature of Business

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. ("Flagstar" or the "Company"), the holding company for Flagstar Bank, FSB (the "Bank") is a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. The Company's business is primarily conducted through its principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At September 30, 2014, the Company's total assets were \$9.6 billion. The Company has the largest bank headquartered in Michigan and one of the top ten largest savings banks in the United States.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, subsequent events were evaluated through the time the financial statements were issued. All material subsequent events have been either recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements or disclosed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's operations are conducted through four operating segments: Mortgage Originations, Mortgage Servicing, Community Banking, and Other, which includes the remaining reported activities. The Mortgage Originations segment, in which the Company originates or purchases residential mortgage loans throughout the country and sells them into securitization pools, primarily to Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") (collectively, the "Agencies") or as whole loans. Mortgage loans are originated through 32 home loan centers located in 18 states, a direct to consumer call center, the Internet, wholesale brokers and correspondents. The Mortgage Servicing segment services mortgage loans on a fee basis for others and also services residential mortgages held-for-investment by the Community Banking segment and mortgage servicing rights held by the Other segment. See Note 19 - Segment Information for additional information.

The Company also offers a range of products and services to consumers and businesses through the Community Banking segment. As of September 30, 2014, the Company operated 106 banking centers in Michigan. The Company offers consumer products including deposit accounts, commercial loans and personal loans, including auto and boat loans. Commercial products offered include deposit and sweep accounts, telephone banking, term loans and lines of credit, lease financing, government banking products and treasury management services including remote deposit and merchant services.

The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Company is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve ("Federal Reserve"). The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

Note 2 – Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for complete financial statements. These interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals that management believes are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full year ending

December 31, 2014. In addition, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which are available on the Company's Investor Relations website, at www.flagstar.com, and on the SEC website, at www.sec.gov.

Variable Interest Entities

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Company has determined to have a controlling financial interest. The Company consolidates a VIE if it has: (i) a variable interest in the entity; (ii) the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE (i.e., the Company is considered to be the primary beneficiary).

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2013, the Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because the Company obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb expected losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts), which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. See Note 8 for information on VIEs.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standard setting bodies that are adopted by the Company as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, the impact of recently issued standards that are not yet effective will not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto or results of operations upon adoption.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." The amendments in this guidance will allow discontinued operations to include a component of an entity or a group of components of an entity. A disposal is required to be reported in discontinued operations if it represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. This guidance is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." Under the amended guidance, an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This guidance is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Management is currently evaluating this guidance and does not expect this guidance to have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, but significant disclosures to the Notes thereto will be required.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-11, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financing, and Disclosures." The amendments in this guidance requires repurchase-to-maturity transactions to be accounted for as secured borrowings. The guidance for certain transactions accounted for as a sale, repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions and repurchase-to-maturity transactions accounted for as secured borrowings is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-13, Consolidation (Topic 810). A reporting entity that consolidates a collateralized financing entity within the scope of this update may elect to measure the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that collateralized financing entity using either the measurement alternative included in this update or Topic 820 on fair value measurement. When the measurement alternative is not elected for a consolidated collateralized financing entity within the scope of this update, the amendments clarify that (1) the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of the consolidated collateralized financing entity should be measured using the requirements of Topic 820 and (2) any differences in the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity should be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income (loss). The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's

Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU Update No. 2014-14, Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructuring by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40). The amendments in this update require that a mortgage loan be derecognized and that a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if the following conditions are met: (1) The loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure. (2) At the time of foreclosure, the creditor has the intent to convey the real estate property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee, and the creditor has the ability to recover under that claim. (3) At the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed. Upon foreclosure, the separate other receivable should be measured based on the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Table of Contents

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40). In connection with preparing financial statements for each annual and interim reporting periods, an entity's management should evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or within one year after the date that the financial statements are available to be issued when applicable). Management's evaluation should be based on relevant conditions and events that are known and reasonably knowable at the date that the financial statements are issued (or at the date that the financial statements are available to be issued when applicable). The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Note 3 – Fair Value Measurements

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record certain assets and liabilities at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability through an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The determination of fair values of financial instruments often requires the use of estimates. In cases where quoted market values in an active market are not available, the Company uses present value techniques and other valuation methods to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments. These valuation models rely on market-based parameters when available, such as interest rate yield curves, credit spreads or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on management's judgment, assumptions and estimates related to credit quality, the Company's future earnings, interest rates and other relevant inputs. These valuation methods require considerable judgment and the resulting estimates of fair value can be significantly affected by the assumptions made and methods used.

Valuation Hierarchy

U.S. GAAP establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements that is based on the transparency of the inputs used in the valuation process. The three levels of the hierarchy, highest ranking to lowest, are as follows.

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets in which the Company can participate as of the measurement date;

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, and other inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions about the expectations that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input within the valuation hierarchy that is significant to the overall fair value measurement. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the end of the reporting period.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Assets

Investment securities available-for-sale. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies and municipal obligations. The Company measures fair value using prices obtained from pricing services. A review is performed on the security prices received from the pricing services, which includes discussion and analysis of the inputs used by the pricing services to value our securities. Where possible, fair values are generated using market inputs including quoted prices (the closing price in an exchange markets), bid prices (the price at which a buyer stands ready to purchase) and other market information. For fixed income securities that are not actively traded, the pricing services use alternative methods to determine fair value for the securities, including; quotes for similar fixed-income securities, matrix pricing, discounted cash flow using benchmark curves or other factors to determine fair value. U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage backed securities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, U.S. government sponsored collateralized mortgage obligation securities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy and all other debt securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Loans held-for-sale. The Company generally estimates the fair value of loans held-for-sale based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Where quoted market prices were available, such market prices were utilized as estimates for fair values. Otherwise, the fair value of loans was computed by discounting cash flows using observable inputs inclusive of interest rates, prepayment speeds and loss assumptions for similar collateral. These measurements are classified as Level 2.

Loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment are generally recorded at amortized cost. The Company does not record these loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan becomes impaired when it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is identified as impaired, the fair value of the impaired loan is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, or discounted cash flows. The fair value of the underlying collateral is determined, where possible, using market prices derived from appraisals or broker price opinions which are considered to be Level 3. Fair value may also be measured using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The Company records the impaired loans as a non-recurring Level 3 valuation.

Loans held-for-investment that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis are loans that were previously recorded as loans held-for-sale but subsequently transferred to the held-for-investment category. As the Company selected the fair value option for the held-for-sale loans, they continue to be reported at fair value and measured consistent with the Level 2 methodology for loans held-for-sale.

The HELOC loans associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 securitization trusts have been recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement as loans held-for-investment, at fair value. The Company records these loans as a recurring Level 3 valuation.

Also, included in loans held-for-investment are the second mortgage loans associated with the previous FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The loans are carried at fair value and valued using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as the model utilizes significant inputs which are unobservable. See Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

Reposessed assets. Reposessed assets are measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the reposessed asset. The fair value of reposessed assets, upon initial recognition, are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. The significant unobservable inputs used in the Level 3 fair value measurements of the Company's impaired loans and reposessed assets included in the table above primarily relate to internal valuations or analysis.

Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSRs"). The current market for MSRs is not sufficiently liquid to provide participants with quoted market prices. Therefore, the Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of MSRs. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of MSRs include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management obtains third-party valuations of the MSR portfolio on a quarterly basis from independent valuation experts to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, MSRs are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. See Note 9 - Mortgage Servicing Rights, for the key assumptions used in

the residential MSR valuation process.

Derivative financial instruments. Certain classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange and are actively traded, and they are therefore classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. These include U.S. Treasury futures and U.S. Treasury options. The Company's forward loan sale commitments and interest rate swaps are valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Rate lock commitments are valued using internal models with significant unobservable market parameters and therefore are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. The derivatives are reported in either other assets or other liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Table of Contents

Liabilities

Warrants. Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant observable inputs include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life. Warrant liabilities are reported in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Long-term debt. The Company records the long-term debt associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts at fair value. The fair value of the debt is estimated using quantitative models which incorporate observable and, in some instances, unobservable inputs including security prices, interest rate yield curves, option volatility, currency, commodity or equity rates and correlations between these inputs. The Company also considers the impact of its own credit spreads in determining the discount rate used to value these liabilities. The credit spread is determined by reference to observable spreads in the secondary bond markets, which are considered to be Level 3. The Company records this debt as a recurring Level 3 valuation.

Litigation settlement. On February 24, 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into an agreement (the "DOJ Agreement") with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") of the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The Bank and the DOJ entered into the DOJ Agreement pursuant to which the Bank agreed to comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program, make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement, make payments of approximately \$118.0 million contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events (the "Additional Payments"), and complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD. The Company made the initial payment of \$15.0 million on April 3, 2012.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement considering multiple scenarios and possible outcomes for the timing of the Additional Payments. As of September 30, 2014, the Bank has accrued \$80.1 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability. The undiscounted amount of the DOJ liability remains at \$118.0 million.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the cash flows were discounted using a 8.1 percent and 9.9 percent, respectively, discount rate that is inclusive of the risk free rate based on the expected duration of the liability and an adjustment for non-performance risk that represents the Company's credit risk. The model assumes that the Company will have met substantially all of the stipulations required for the commencement of payments to the DOJ.

The liability is classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy as the projections of earnings and growth rate assumptions are unobservable inputs which affect the estimated timing of the cash flow payments. The Company considers factors which could affect those projections from the perspective of a market participant, which is incorporated into the assessment of fair value. The litigation settlement is included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Financial Statements and changes in the fair value of the litigation settlement will be recorded each quarter in other noninterest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, by caption on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and by level in the valuation hierarchy (as described above).

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)			
Investment securities available-for-sale				
Agency	\$—	\$306,101	\$—	\$306,101
Agency-collateralized mortgage obligations	—	1,068,481	—	1,068,481
Municipal obligations	—	—	3,511	3,511
Loans held-for-sale				
Residential first mortgage loans	—	1,415,938	—	1,415,938
Loans held-for-investment				
Residential first mortgage loans	—	26,075	—	26,075
Second mortgage loans	—	—	55,942	55,942
HELOC loans	—	—	140,331	140,331
Mortgage servicing rights	—	—	285,386	285,386
Derivative assets				
Forward agency and loan sales	—	2,301	—	2,301
Rate lock commitments	—	—	27,066	27,066
Interest rate swaps	—	3,556	—	3,556
Total derivative assets	—	5,857	27,066	32,923
Total assets at fair value	\$—	\$2,822,452	\$512,236	\$3,334,688
Derivative liabilities				
Forward agency and loans sales	\$—	\$(7,392)	\$—	\$(7,392)
Rate lock commitments	—	—	(386)	(386)
U.S. Treasury futures	(177)) —	—	(177)
Agency forwards	(630)) —	—	(630)
Interest rate swaps	—	(3,496)) —	(3,496)
Total derivative liabilities	(807)) (10,888)) (386)) (12,081)
Warrant liabilities	—	(7,716)) —	(7,716)
Long-term debt	—	—	(92,140)	(92,140)
Litigation settlement	—	—	(80,100)	(80,100)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$(807)) \$(18,604)) \$(172,626)) \$(192,037)

Table of Contents

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value
December 31, 2013	(Dollars in thousands)			
Investment securities available-for-sale				
Agency	\$—	\$422,844	\$—	\$422,844
Agency-collateralized mortgage obligations	—	605,404	—	605,404
Municipal obligations	—	17,300	—	17,300
Loans held-for-sale				
Residential first mortgage loans	—	1,140,507	—	1,140,507
Loans held-for-investment				
Residential first mortgage loans	—	18,625	—	18,625
Second mortgage loans	—	—	64,685	64,685
HELOC loans	—	—	155,012	155,012
Mortgage servicing rights	—	—	284,678	284,678
Derivative assets				
U.S. Treasury futures	1,221	—	—	1,221
Forward agency and loan sales	—	19,847	—	19,847
Rate lock commitments	—	—	10,329	10,329
Forward agency and loan sales	—	—	—	—
Interest rate swaps	—	1,797	—	1,797
Total derivative assets	1,221	21,644	10,329	33,194
Total assets at fair value	\$1,221	\$2,226,324	\$514,704	\$2,742,249
Derivative liabilities				
Agency forwards	\$(1,665)	\$—	\$—	\$(1,665)
Interest rate swaps	—	(1,797)	—	(1,797)
Total derivative liabilities	(1,665)	(1,797)	—	(3,462)
Warrant liabilities	—	(10,802)	—	(10,802)
Long-term debt	—	—	(105,813)	(105,813)
Litigation settlement	—	—	(93,000)	(93,000)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$(1,665)	\$(12,599)	\$(198,813)	\$(213,077)

A determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable inputs (that is, inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Also, the Company manages the risk associated with the observable components of Level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy; these Level 1 and Level 2 risk management instruments are not included in the Level 3 rollforward table below, and therefore the gains and losses in the tables do not reflect the effect of the Company's risk management activities related to such Level 3 instruments. If the market for an instrument becomes more liquid or active and pricing models become available which allow for readily observable inputs, the Company will transfer the instruments from Level 3 to Level 2 valuation hierarchy.

The Company transferred \$3.5 million of municipal obligations to Level 3 from Level 2 in the valuation hierarchy during the period. The Company had no other transfers of assets or liabilities recorded at fair value between the fair value Levels for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs

The tables below include a roll forward of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Balance at Beginning of Period	Recorded in		Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses)	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers (Out)	Balance at End of Period	Changes in Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Held at End of Period (3)
		Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses)	Total Realized Gains / (Losses)							
Assets										
(Dollars in thousands)										
Investment securities available-for-sale (1)(2)										
Municipal obligation	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$3,511	\$3,511	\$—
Loans held-for-investment										
Second mortgage loans	58,660	1,340	414	—	—	(4,472)	—	—	55,942	1,340
HELOC loans	146,933	(1,333)	1,143	—142	—	(6,554)	—	—	140,331	(7,887)
Mortgage servicing rights	289,185	(12,732)	—	—78,556	(69,623)	—	—	—	285,386	(4,799)
Totals	\$494,778	\$(12,725)	\$1,557	\$—78,698	\$(69,623)	\$(11,026)	\$3,511	\$485,170	\$(11,346)	
Liabilities										
Long-term debt	\$(97,722)	\$—	\$(2,221)	\$—	\$—	\$7,803	\$—	\$—	\$(92,140)	\$—
Litigation settlement	(78,000)	(2,100)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(80,100)	—
Totals	\$(175,722)	\$(2,100)	\$(2,221)	\$—	\$—	\$7,803	\$—	\$—	\$(172,240)	\$—
Derivative financial instruments (net)										
Rate lock commitments	\$50,974	\$10,397	\$—	\$—66,101	\$(85,380)	\$(15,412)	\$—	\$—	\$26,680	\$1,051
Totals	\$50,974	\$10,397	\$—	\$—66,101	\$(85,380)	\$(15,412)	\$—	\$—	\$26,680	\$1,051
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013										
Loans held-for-investment										
Second mortgage loans	\$73,327	\$1,548	\$265	\$—	\$—	\$(5,881)	—	—	\$69,259	\$14,192
HELOC loans	170,507	526	2,750	—96	—	(12,118)	—	—	161,761	16,020
Mortgage servicing rights	729,019	169	—	—86,109	—	(18,268)	—	—	797,029	(67)
Derivative financial instruments										
Rate lock commitments	(23,746)	32,390	—	—75,433	(16,804)	(3,078)	—	—	64,195	37,441
Totals	\$949,107	\$34,633	\$3,015	\$—161,638	\$(16,804)	\$(39,345)	\$—	\$—	\$1,092,244	\$67,586
Liabilities										

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Long-term debt	\$(119,980)	\$—	\$(5,139)	\$—	\$—	\$12,165	\$(112,954)	\$—
Litigation settlement	(23,270)	(5,200)	—	—	—	—	(28,470)	—
Totals	\$(143,250)	\$(5,200)	\$(5,139)	\$—	\$—	\$12,165	\$(141,424)	\$—

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	Balance at Beginning of Period	Recorded in Earnings		Recorded in OCI		Sales	Settlements	Transfers In (Out)	Balance at End of Period	Changes In Unrealized Held at End of Period (3)
		Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses)	Total Realized Gains / (Losses)	Total Unrealized Gains /	Purchases /					
Assets										
(Dollars in thousands)										
Investment securities available-for-sale										
(1)(2)(3)										
Municipal obligation	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$3,511	\$3,511	\$—
Loans held-for-investment										
Second mortgage loans	64,685	1,770	1,243	—	—	—	(11,756)	—	55,942	1,770
HELOC loans	155,012	(1,213)	1,268	—	319	—	(15,055)	—	140,331	(16,267)
Mortgage servicing rights	284,678	(36,505)	—	—	198,051	(160,838)	—	—	285,386	(11,345)
Totals	\$504,375	\$(35,948)	\$2,511	\$—	\$198,370	\$(160,838)	\$(26,811)	\$3,511	\$485,170	\$(25,842)
Liabilities										
Long-term debt	\$(105,813)	\$—	\$(5,307)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$18,980	\$—	\$(92,140)	\$—
DOJ litigation	(93,000)	12,900	—	—	—	—	—	—	(80,100)	—
Totals	\$(198,813)	\$12,900	\$(5,307)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$18,980	\$—	\$(172,240)	\$—
Derivative financial instruments (net)										
Rate lock commitments	\$10,329	\$109,426	\$—	\$—	\$202,790	\$(243,839)	\$(52,026)	\$—	\$26,680	\$24,268
Totals	\$10,329	\$109,426	\$—	\$—	\$202,790	\$(243,839)	\$(52,026)	\$—	\$26,680	\$24,268
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013										
Investment securities available-for-sale										
(1)(2)										
Mortgage securitization	\$91,117	\$—	\$(8,789)	\$871	\$—	\$(73,327)	\$(9,872)	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans held-for-investment										
Second mortgage loans	—	1,548	(6,951)	—	80,543	—	(5,881)	—	69,259	14,192
HELOC loans	—	526	2,750	—	170,603	—	(12,118)	—	161,761	16,020
Transferor's interest	7,103	(174)	45,708	—	—	(52,637)	—	—	—	—
Totals	710,791	84,161	—	—	323,216	(233,742)	(87,397)	—	797,029	63,507

Mortgage servicing rights										
Derivative financial instruments										
Rate lock commitments	86,200	—	(134,162)	—	313,521	(167,292)	(34,072)	—	64,195	(8,686)
Totals	\$ 895,211	\$ 86,061	\$(101,444)	\$ 871	\$ 887,883	\$(526,998)	\$(149,340)	\$—	\$ 1,092,244	\$ 85,033
Liabilities										
Long-term debt	\$—	\$—	\$(5,139)	\$—	\$(119,980)	\$—	\$ 12,165		\$(112,954)	\$—
DOJ litigation	(19,100)	(9,370)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(28,470)	—
Totals	\$(19,100)	\$(9,370)	\$(5,139)	\$—	\$(119,980)	\$—	\$ 12,165	\$—	\$(141,424)	\$—

(1) Realized gains (losses), including unrealized losses deemed other-than-temporary and related to credit issues, are reported in noninterest income.

U.S. government agency investment securities available-for-sale are valued predominantly using quoted broker/dealer prices with adjustments to reflect any assumptions a willing market participant would include in its valuation. Non-agency CMO investment securities available-for-sale are valued using internal valuation models and pricing information from third parties.

(3) Reflects the changes in the unrealized gains (losses) related to financial instruments held at the end of the period.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the quantitative information about recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)			
Assets				
Second mortgage loans	\$55,942	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 12 month historical average CDR rate - 12 month historical average Required internal rate of return (leveraged)	7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 12.3% - 18.4% (15.4%) 2.3% - 3.5% (2.9%) 8.0% - 12.0% (10.0%)
FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC loans	\$69,624	Discounted cash flows	Weighted average life (CPR) Remaining lifetime collateral default % Remaining lifetime collateral loss severity Required internal rate of return (leveraged)	6.1% - 9.2% (7.7%) 6.9% - 10.3% (8.6%) 58.1% - 87.1% (72.6%) 8.0% - 12.0% (10.0%)
FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC loans	\$70,707	Discounted cash flows	Weighted average life (CPR) Remaining lifetime collateral default % Remaining lifetime collateral loss severity	7.1% - 10.6% (8.8%) 9.7% - 14.6% (12.1%) 62.5% - 93.7% (78.1%)
Mortgage servicing rights	\$285,386	Discounted cash flows	Option adjusted spread Constant prepayment rate Weighted average cost to service per loan	6.8% - 10.2% (8.5%) 10.1% - 14.5% (12.4%) 58.3% - 87.4% (72.8%)
Rate lock commitments	\$27,066	Consensus pricing	Origination pull-through rate	67.3% - 101.0% (84.2%)
Liabilities				
FSTAR 2005-1 Long-term debt	\$(48,227)	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Weighted average life	5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%) 12.8% - 19.2% (16.0%) 0.3% - 0.5% (0.4%)
FSTAR 2006-2 Long-term debt	\$(43,912)	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Weighted average life Asset growth rate	7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 12.0% - 18.0% (15.0%) 0.8% - 1.3% (1.0%) 4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%)
Litigation settlement	\$(80,100)	Discounted cash flows	MSR growth rate Return on assets (ROA) improvement Peer group ROA	0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%) 0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%) 0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%)

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
Assets				
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Second mortgage loans	\$64,685	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 12 month historical average CDR rate - 12 month historical average	7.1% - 10.7% (8.9%) 10.5% - 15.7% (13.1%) 2.2% - 3.2% (2.7%)
FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC loans	\$78,009	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity	5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%) 12.8% - 19.2% (16.0%) 11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%)
FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC loans	\$77,003	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity	7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%) 39.9% - 59.8% (49.9%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%)
Mortgage servicing rights	\$284,678	Discounted cash flows	Origination adjusted spread Constant prepayment rate Weighted average cost to service per loan	5.9% - 8.9% (7.7%) 9.7% - 14.0% (11.9%) 59.1% - 88.6% (73.8%)
Rate lock commitments	\$10,329	Consensus pricing	Origination pull-through rate	65.9% - 98.8% (82.3%)
Liabilities				
FSTAR 2005-1 Long-term debt	\$(55,172)	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity	5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%) 12.8% - 19.2% (16.0%) 11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%)
FSTAR 2006-2 Long-term debt	\$(50,641)	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity	7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%) 39.9% - 59.9% (49.9%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%)
Litigation settlement	\$(93,000)	Discounted cash flows	Asset growth rate MSR growth rate Return on assets (ROA) improvement Peer group ROA	4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%) 0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%) 0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%) 0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%)

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust are discount rates, prepayment rates and default rates. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and default rates in isolation result in a higher fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the HELOC loans and long-term debt associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 securitization trusts are internal rate of return, discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. For the assets, increases (decreases) in the internal rate of return in isolation would result in a lower (higher) fair value measurement; increases (decreases) in prepayments in isolation would result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement; while increases (decreases) in defaults and loss severities in isolation would result in a lower (higher) fair value. For the liabilities, increases in the discount rate in isolation would result in a lower fair value measurement; increases (decreases) in prepayment rates in isolation results in a shorter (longer) weighted average life and ultimately a higher (lower) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the MSRs are option adjusted spreads, prepayment rates, and cost to service. Significant increases (decreases) in all the assumptions in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the rate lock commitments is the pull through rate. The pull through rate is a statistical analysis of the Company's actual rate lock fallout history to determine the sensitivity of the residential mortgage loan pipeline compared to interest rate changes and other deterministic values. New market prices are applied based on updated loan characteristics and new fall out ratios (i.e., the inverse of the pull through rate) are applied accordingly. Significant increases (decreases) in the pull through rate in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption utilized for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption utilized for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in assumption utilized for prepayment rates.

Table of Contents

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the DOJ litigation settlement are future balance sheet and growth rate projections for overall asset growth, MSR growth, peer group return on assets and return on assets improvement. The current assumptions are based on management's approved, strategic performance targets beyond the current strategic modeling horizon (2014). The Bank's target asset growth rate post 2014 is based off of growth in the balance sheet. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's growth rate in isolation could result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's MSR growth rate in isolation could result in a marginally lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the peer group's return on assets improvement in isolation could result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's return on assets improvement in isolation could result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement.

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are measured at the lower of cost or fair value and had a fair value below cost at the end of the period as summarized below.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

	Level 3 (Dollars in thousands)
September 30, 2014	
Impaired loans held-for-investment (1)	
Residential first mortgage loans	\$68,033
Reposessed assets (2)	27,149
Totals	\$95,182
December 31, 2013	
Impaired loans held-for-investment (1)	
Residential first mortgage loans	\$68,252
Commercial real estate loans	1,500
Reposessed assets (2)	36,636
Totals	\$106,388

The Company recorded \$9.9 million and \$38.0 million in fair value losses on impaired loans (included in provision for loan losses on Consolidated Statements of Operations) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$41.4 million and \$122.1 million in fair value losses on impaired loans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

The Company recorded \$1.5 million and \$3.5 million in losses related to write downs of reposessed assets based on the estimated fair value of the specific assets, and recognized net gains of \$1.1 million and \$4.0 million on sales of reposessed assets (both write downs and net gains/losses are included in assets resolution expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$3.9 million and \$6.3 million in losses related to write downs of reposessed assets based on the estimated fair value of the specific assets, and recognized net gains of \$4.5 million and \$15.1 million on sales of reposessed assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

The following tables present the quantitative information about non-recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)			
Impaired loans held-for-investment				

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Residential first mortgage loans	\$68,033	Fair value of collateral	Loss severity discount	0% - 100% (36.4%)
Repossessed assets	\$27,149	Fair value of collateral	Loss severity discount	0% - 100% (45.1%)

Table of Contents

	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
December 31, 2013	(Dollars in thousands)			
Impaired loans held-for-investment				
Residential first mortgage loans	\$68,252	Fair value of collateral	Loss severity discount	0% - 100% (44.9%)
Commercial real estate loans	\$1,500	Fair value of collateral	Loss severity discount	0% - 100% (39.6%)
Reposessed assets	\$36,636	Fair value of collateral	Loss severity discount	0% - 100% (45.3%)

The Company has certain impaired residential first mortgage and commercial real estate loans that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan. Appraisals or other third party price opinions are generally obtained to support the fair value of the collateral and incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties. In cases where the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell, an impairment charge is recognized.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following tables presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of certain financial instruments that are carried either at fair value or cost, based on ASC 825-10-50.

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2014				
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value			
		Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Financial Instruments					
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 106,840	\$ 106,840	\$ 106,840	\$—	\$—
Investment securities available-for-sale	1,378,093	1,378,093	—	1,374,582	3,511
Loans held-for-sale	1,468,668	1,415,938	—	1,415,938	—
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	1,191,826	1,155,461	—	1,155,461	—
Loans held-for-investment, net	3,883,624	3,686,210	—	26,075	3,660,135
Repossessed assets	27,149	27,149	—	—	27,149
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	209,737	209,737	209,737	—	—
Mortgage servicing rights	285,386	285,386	—	—	285,386
Derivative Financial Instruments					
Forward agency and loan sales	2,301	2,301	—	2,301	—
Rate lock commitments	27,066	27,066	—	—	27,066
Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps	3,556	3,556	—	3,556	—
Liabilities					
Retail deposits					
Demand deposits and savings accounts	(4,400,259)	(4,117,960)	—	(4,117,960)	—
Certificates of deposit	(855,611)	(859,792)	—	(859,792)	—
Government deposits	(1,078,125)	(1,031,950)	—	(1,031,950)	—
Wholesale deposits	(249)	(226)	—	(226)	—
Company controlled deposits	(900,152)	(897,293)	—	(897,293)	—
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(150,000)	(149,780)	(149,780)	—	—
Long-term debt	(339,575)	(185,505)	—	(93,365)	(92,140)
Warrant liabilities	(7,716)	(7,716)	—	(7,716)	—
Litigation settlement	(80,100)	(80,100)	—	—	(80,100)
Derivative Financial Instruments					
U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards	(177)	(177)	(177)	—	—
Forward agency and loan sales	(7,392)	(7,392)	—	(7,392)	—
Rate lock commitments	(386)	(386)	—	—	(386)
Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps	(3,496)	(3,496)	—	(3,496)	—
Agency forwards	(630)	(630)	(630)	—	—

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2013				
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Financial Instruments					
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$280,505	\$280,505	\$280,505	\$—	\$—
Investment securities available-for-sale	1,045,548	1,045,548	1,028,248	17,300	—
Loans held-for-sale	1,480,418	1,469,820	—	1,469,820	—
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	1,273,690	1,212,799	—	1,212,799	—
Loans held-for-investment, net	3,848,756	3,653,292	—	18,625	3,634,667
Repossessed assets	36,636	36,636	—	—	36,636
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	209,737	209,737	209,737	—	—
Mortgage servicing rights	284,678	284,678	—	—	284,678
Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps	1,797	1,797	—	1,797	—
Liabilities					
Retail deposits					
Demand deposits and savings accounts	(3,919,937)	(3,778,890)	—	(3,778,890)	—
Certificates of deposit	(1,026,129)	(1,034,599)	—	(1,034,599)	—
Government accounts	(602,398)	(596,778)	—	(596,778)	—
Wholesale deposits	(8,717)	(8,716)	—	(8,716)	—
Company controlled deposits	(583,145)	(577,662)	—	(577,662)	—
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(988,000)	(988,102)	(988,102)	—	—
Long-term debt	(353,248)	(202,887)	—	(97,074)	(105,813)
Warrant liabilities	(10,802)	(10,802)	—	(10,802)	—
Litigation settlement	(93,000)	(93,000)	—	—	(93,000)
Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps	(1,797)	(1,797)	—	(1,797)	—
Derivative Financial Instruments					
Forward agency and loan sales	19,847	19,847	—	19,847	—
Rate lock commitments	10,329	10,329	—	—	10,329
U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards	(444)	(444)	(444)	—	—

The methods and assumptions used by the Company in estimating fair value of financial instruments which are required for disclosure only, are as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. The fair value is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Loans held-for-investment. The fair value is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. No secondary market exists for Federal Home Loan Bank stock. The stock is bought and sold at par by the Federal Home Loan Bank. Management believes that the recorded value is the fair value.

Deposit accounts. The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts approximates the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturities.

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

Long-term debt. The fair value of the long-term debt is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model that incorporates current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Fair Value Option

The Company elected to measure at fair value certain financial assets and financial liabilities. The Company elected fair value option for the following items to mitigate a divergence between accounting losses and economic exposure.

The Company elected the fair value option for held-for-sale loans, originated post 2009, to better reflect the management of these financial instruments on a fair value basis. Loans held-for-investment include loans that were originated as loans held-for-sale and later transferred to loans held-for-investment at fair value. Interest income on loans held-for-sale is accrued on the principal outstanding primarily using the "simple-interest" method. Direct loan origination cost and fees on loans held-for-sale are recognized in income at origination.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust and transferred the second mortgage loans, underlying the collapsed FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization which were carried at fair value in available-for-sale investment securities. The change in fair value relating to the loans is recorded in other noninterest income.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of reconsolidated VIEs related to the HELOC securitization trusts FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 with changes in fair value recorded to earnings. The change in fair value relating to the assets and liabilities of these transactions is recorded in other noninterest income. Accordingly, such an election allows the Company to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability.

The following table reflects the change in fair value included in earnings (and the account recorded in) for the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Assets				
Loans held-for-sale				
Net gain on loan sales	\$79,868	\$63,394	\$269,269	\$131,701
Loans held-for-investment				
Interest income on loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(779)
Other noninterest income	(5,697)) 1,811	(34,591)) 38,638
Liabilities				
Long-term debt				
Other noninterest income	\$5,583	\$(1,884)) \$13,676	\$(1,884)
Litigation settlement				
Legal and professional expense	\$2,100	\$5,200	\$12,900	\$9,370

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

26

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2014 (Dollars in thousands)			December 31, 2013		
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Fair Value	Fair Value Over / (Under) Unpaid Principal Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Fair Value	Fair Value Over / (Under) Unpaid Principal Balance
Assets						
Nonaccrual loans						
Loans held-for-sale	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans held-for-investment	11,288	5,179	(6,109)	10,764	4,014	(6,750)
Total non-accrual loans	\$11,288	\$5,179	(6,109)	\$10,764	\$4,014	\$(6,750)
Other performing loans						
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,358,942	\$1,415,938	\$56,996	\$1,109,517	\$1,140,507	\$30,990
Loans held-for-investment	235,686	217,169	(18,517)	257,665	234,308	(23,357)
Total other performing loans	\$1,594,628	\$1,633,107	\$38,479	\$1,367,182	\$1,374,815	\$7,633
Total loans						
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,358,942	\$1,415,938	\$56,996	\$1,109,517	\$1,140,507	\$30,990
Loans held-for-investment	246,974	222,348	(24,626)	268,429	238,322	(30,107)
Total loans	\$1,605,916	\$1,638,286	\$32,370	\$1,377,946	\$1,378,829	\$883
Liabilities						
Long-term debt	\$(97,524)	\$(92,140)	\$(5,384)	\$(116,504)	\$(105,813)	\$(10,691)
Litigation settlement	N/A (1)	(80,100)	N/A (1)	N/A (1)	(93,000)	N/A (1)
Remaining principal outstanding is not applicable to the litigation settlement because it does not obligate the						
(1) Company to return a stated amount of principal at maturity, but instead return an amount based upon performance on the underlying terms in the Agreement.						

Note 4 – Investment Securities

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, investment securities were comprised of the following.

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
September 30, 2014				
Available-for-sale securities				
Agency	\$304,387	\$1,811	\$(97)	\$306,101
Agency-collateral mortgage obligations	1,072,168	3,086	(6,773)	1,068,481
Municipal obligations	3,511	—	—	3,511
Total available-for-sale securities	\$1,380,066	\$4,897	\$(6,870)	\$1,378,093
December 31, 2013				
Available-for-sale securities				
Agency	\$426,083	\$862	\$(4,101)	\$422,844
Agency-collateral mortgage obligations	611,206	684	(6,486)	605,404
Municipal obligations	17,300	—	—	17,300
Total available-for-sale securities	\$1,054,589	\$1,546	\$(10,587)	\$1,045,548

Available-for-sale securities

The Company purchased \$86.4 million and \$762.4 million of investment securities, all of which were U.S. government sponsored agencies, comprised of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The Company purchased \$416.6 million and \$436.6 million of U.S. government sponsored mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and municipal obligations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

27

Table of Contents

The Company has pledged available-for-sale securities, primarily U.S. government sponsored agencies, to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with Fannie Mae and other institutions. At September 30, 2014, the Company pledged \$1.0 million of available-for-sale securities, compared to \$7.8 million at December 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes by duration the unrealized loss positions on investment securities available-for-sale.

Type of Security	Unrealized Loss Position with Duration 12 Months and Over			Unrealized Loss Position with Duration Under 12 Months		
	Fair Value	Number of Securities	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Number of Securities	Unrealized Loss
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)					
Agency	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$8,679	\$1	\$(97)
Agency-collateralized mortgage obligations	21,249	2	(587)	612,798	58	(6,186)
December 31, 2013	(Dollars in thousands)					
Agency	\$—	—	\$—	\$325,711	19	\$(4,102)
Agency-collateralized mortgage obligations	—	—	—	499,597	44	(6,485)

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company had no other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") due to credit losses. At September 30, 2013 the Company had no OTTI. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$8.8 million of additional OTTI on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was subsequently dissolved. The Company also recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the mortgage securitization recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance of amount related to credit losses	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$(2,793)
Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life	—	—	—	389
Reductions for investment securities sold during the period (realized)	—	—	—	11,193
Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an OTTI impairment was not previously recognized	—	—	—	(8,789)
Ending balance of amount related to credit losses	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

Gains (losses) on sales for available-for-sale securities are reported in net gain on securities available-for-sale in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, there were \$255.4 million and \$313.8 million, respectively, of sales of U.S. government sponsored agencies, resulting in a gain of \$2.4 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, compared to no sales of U.S. government sponsored agencies during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Note 5 – Loans Held-for-Sale

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, residential first mortgage loans held-for-sale totaled \$1.5 billion and \$1.5 billion, of which \$1.4 billion and \$1.1 billion were recorded at fair value, respectively, under the fair value option. Such loans will be reported at fair value with any adjustments in fair value recorded through the income statement. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans for which quoted market prices were available. The fair values of loans were estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management's best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$52.7 million and \$340.0 million of loans held-for-sale were recorded at lower of cost or fair value, based on the intent to sell the loans. Certain loans were transferred into the held-for-sale portfolio

Table of Contents

from the held-for-investment portfolio and after the transfer, any amount by which cost exceeded fair value was recorded as a valuation allowance.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company sold nonperforming and TDR residential first mortgage loans with a carrying value in the amount of \$50.9 million and recognized a gain of \$4.3 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company sold nonperforming mortgage loans totaled \$106.2 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company sold residential first mortgage jumbo loans with a carrying value in the amount of \$560.6 million and recognized a gain of \$6.3 million.

The following table sets forth the activity related to residential first mortgage loans held-for-sale.

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30,	2013	30,	2013
	2014		2014	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,342,611	\$2,331,458	\$1,480,418	\$3,939,720
Net loan originations	7,346,397	7,804,233	18,100,563	31,591,435
Net loans sold, servicing retained	(5,180,885)	(8,420,997)	(11,951,535)	(32,932,561)
Net loans sold, servicing released	(71,349)	(40,430)	(128,809)	(228,683)
Other loan sales	(82,206)	(109,549)	(628,911)	(1,258,400)
Loan amortization and prepayments	62,073	139,530	229,172	296,530
Creation of mortgage-backed securities transferred to investment securities available-for-sale	(2,035,163)	—	(6,001,134)	—
Loans transferred from other loan portfolios	87,190	175,045	368,904	471,249
Balance at end of period	\$1,468,668	\$1,879,290	\$1,468,668	\$1,879,290

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-sale to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company pledged \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-sale.

Note 6 – Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees

Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, the Company has the unilateral option to repurchase certain delinquent loans (loans past due 90 days or more) securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined delinquent loan criteria. As a result of this unilateral option, once the delinquency criteria have been met, and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, the Company accounts for the loans as if they had been repurchased and recognizes the loans as loans held-for-sale on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and also recognizes a corresponding liability for a similar amount recorded in other liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. If the loans are actually repurchased, the Company transfers the loans to loans repurchased with government guarantees and eliminates the corresponding liability. At September 30, 2014, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.2 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$4.3 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.3 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$20.8 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale.

Substantially all of these loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the FHA, and the Company's management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a

statutory rate, which varies and is based upon the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the underlying loan becomes delinquent.

The Company has pledged certain loans repurchased with government guarantees to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company pledged \$857.5 million and \$787.1 million, respectively, of loans repurchased with government guarantees.

Table of Contents

Note 7 – Loans Held-for-Investment

Loans held-for-investment are summarized as follows.

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Consumer loans		
Residential first mortgage	\$2,224,734	\$2,508,968
Second mortgage	153,891	169,525
Warehouse lending	594,526	423,517
HELOC	261,826	289,880
Other	31,612	37,468
Total consumer loans	3,266,589	3,429,358
Commercial loans		
Commercial real estate	566,870	408,870
Commercial and industrial	341,312	207,187
Commercial lease financing	9,853	10,341
Total commercial loans	918,035	626,398
Total loans held-for-investment	4,184,624	4,055,756
Less allowance for loan losses	(301,000) (207,000
Loans held-for-investment, net	\$3,883,624	\$3,848,756

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the loans held-for-investment include \$222.3 million and \$238.3 million of loans accounted for under the fair value option. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company settled separate litigations with each of MBIA and Assured, which resulted in the Company reconsolidating \$170.5 million of loans associated with the HELOC securitization trusts and transferring \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans associated with the collapse of the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company transferred \$8.4 million and \$15.4 million, respectively, in loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$7.2 million and \$53.2 million, respectively, in loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment. The loans transferred were carried at fair value, and will continue to be reported at fair value while classified as held-for-investment.

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-investment to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company pledged \$2.4 billion and \$2.5 billion respectively, of loans held-for-investment.

The Company's commercial leasing activities consist primarily of equipment leases. Generally, lessees are responsible for all maintenance, taxes, and insurance on leased properties. The following table lists the components of the net investment in financing leases.

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Total minimum lease payment to be received	\$9,894	\$10,613
Estimated residual values of lease properties	585	503
Unearned income	(606) (755
Net deferred fees and other	(20) (20
Net investment in commercial financing leases	\$9,853	\$10,341

The allowance for loan losses by class of loan is summarized in the following tables.

30

Table of Contents

	Residential First Mortgage	Second Mortgage	Warehouse Lending	HELOC	Other Consumer	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Financing	Lease Total
(Dollars in thousands)									
Three Months Ended September 30, 2014									
Beginning balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 249,190	\$ 13,655	\$ 2,557	\$ 14,066	\$ 2,030	\$ 19,266	\$ 5,096	\$ 140	\$ 306,000
Charge-offs	(12,320)	(645)	(74)	(1,355)	(565)	(672)	—	—	(15,631)
Recoveries	1,267	204	58	45	768	183	9	—	2,534
Provision	1,919	(611)	(307)	5,876	(688)	1,807	97	4	8,097
Ending balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 240,056	\$ 12,603	\$ 2,234	\$ 18,632	\$ 1,545	\$ 20,584	\$ 5,202	\$ 144	\$ 301,000
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013									
Beginning balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 177,334	\$ 18,839	\$ 721	\$ 14,868	\$ 1,780	\$ 27,322	\$ 2,136	\$ —	\$ 243,000
Charge-offs	(34,666)	(1,534)	(45)	(872)	(1,341)	(8,419)	(302)	—	(47,179)
Recoveries	2,256	348	—	143	470	3,860	49	—	7,126
Provision	1,653	1,042	(268)	(5,032)	1,221	3,729	1,612	96	4,053
Ending balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 146,577	\$ 18,695	\$ 408	\$ 9,107	\$ 2,130	\$ 26,492	\$ 3,495	\$ 96	\$ 207,000
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014									
Beginning balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 161,142	\$ 12,141	\$ 1,392	\$ 7,893	\$ 2,412	\$ 18,540	\$ 3,332	\$ 148	\$ 207,000
Charge-offs	(28,785)	(2,858)	(74)	(5,099)	(1,505)	(2,461)	—	—	(40,782)
Recoveries	2,841	383	58	156	1,458	3,194	78	47	8,215
Provision	104,858	2,937	858	15,682	(820)	1,311	1,792	(51)	126,567
Ending balance allowance for loan losses	\$ 240,056	\$ 12,603	\$ 2,234	\$ 18,632	\$ 1,545	\$ 20,584	\$ 5,202	\$ 144	\$ 301,000
Nine Months Ended September 30,									

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

2013									
Beginning balance	\$ 219,230	\$ 20,201	\$ 899	\$ 18,348	\$ 2,040	\$ 41,310	\$ 2,878	\$ 94	\$ 305,000
allowance for loan losses									
Charge-offs	(123,456)	(5,522)	(45)	(3,745)	(2,627)	(42,931)	(302)	—	(178,628)
Recoveries	14,296	825	—	705	844	7,862	66	—	24,598
Provision	36,507	3,191	(446)	(6,201)	1,873	20,251	853	2	56,030
Ending balance									
allowance for loan losses	\$ 146,577	\$ 18,695	\$ 408	\$ 9,107	\$ 2,130	\$ 26,492	\$ 3,495	\$ 96	\$ 207,000

	Residential First Mortgage	Second Mortgage	Warehouse Lending	HELOC	Other Consumer	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Lease Financing	Total
--	----------------------------	-----------------	-------------------	-------	----------------	------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	-------

(Dollars in thousands)

September 30, 2014

Loans held-for-investment									
Individually evaluated	\$ 373,413	\$ 29,982	\$ —	\$ 1,179	\$ —	\$ 418	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 404,992
Collectively evaluated (1)	1,825,712	67,967	594,526	120,317	31,612	566,452	341,312	9,853	3,557,751
Total loans	\$ 2,199,125	\$ 97,949	\$ 594,526	\$ 121,496	\$ 31,612	\$ 566,870	\$ 341,312	\$ 9,853	\$ 3,962,743
Allowance for loan losses									
Individually evaluated	\$ 82,858	\$ 5,514	\$ —	\$ 1,179	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 89,551
Collectively evaluated (1)	157,198	7,089	2,234	17,453	1,545	20,584	5,202	144	211,449
Total allowance for loan losses (2)	\$ 240,056	\$ 12,603	\$ 2,234	\$ 18,632	\$ 1,545	\$ 20,584	\$ 5,202	\$ 144	\$ 301,000

December 31, 2013

Loans held-for-investment									
Individually evaluated	\$ 419,703	\$ 24,356	\$ —	\$ 406	\$ —	\$ 1,956	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 446,421
Collectively evaluated (1)	2,070,640	80,484	423,517	134,462	37,468	406,914	207,187	10,341	3,371,013
Total loans	\$ 2,490,343	\$ 104,840	\$ 423,517	\$ 134,868	\$ 37,468	\$ 408,870	\$ 207,187	\$ 10,341	\$ 3,817,434
Allowance for loan losses									
Individually evaluated	\$ 81,765	\$ 4,566	\$ —	\$ 405	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 86,736
Collectively evaluated (1)	79,377	7,575	1,392	7,488	2,412	18,540	3,332	148	120,264
Total allowance for loan losses (2)	\$ 161,142	\$ 12,141	\$ 1,392	\$ 7,893	\$ 2,412	\$ 18,540	\$ 3,332	\$ 148	\$ 207,000

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

(2) Includes interest-only residential first mortgage and HELOC loans with an allowance for loan losses of \$115.8 million and \$52.3 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The allowance for loan losses, other than those that have been identified for individual evaluation for impairment, is determined on a loan pool basis by grouping loan types with similar risk characteristics to determine the Company's best

31

Table of Contents

estimate of incurred losses. The Company utilizes a historical loss model for each pool. Management evaluates the results of the allowance for loan losses model and makes qualitative adjustments to the results of the model when it is determined that model results do not reflect all losses inherent in the loan portfolios due to changes in recent economic trends and conditions, or other relevant factors.

The following table sets forth the loans held-for-investment aging analysis as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, of past due and current loans.

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Investment Loans
(Dollars in thousands)						
September 30, 2014						
Consumer loans						
Residential first mortgage	\$36,287	\$10,893	\$102,118	\$149,298	\$2,075,436	\$2,224,734
Second mortgage	1,089	238	1,597	2,924	150,967	153,891
Warehouse lending	—	—	—	—	594,526	594,526
HELOC	2,399	952	3,170	6,521	255,305	261,826
Other	413	56	59	528	31,084	31,612
Total consumer loans	40,188	12,139	106,944	159,271	3,107,318	3,266,589
Commercial loans						
Commercial real estate	—	—	—	—	566,870	566,870
Commercial and industrial (1)	5,489	—	—	5,489	335,823	341,312
Commercial lease financing	—	—	—	—	9,853	9,853
Total commercial loans	5,489	—	—	5,489	912,546	918,035
Total loans (2)	\$45,677	\$12,139	\$106,944	\$164,760	\$4,019,864	\$4,184,624
December 31, 2013						
Consumer loans						
Residential first mortgage	\$36,526	\$19,096	\$134,340	\$189,962	\$2,319,006	\$2,508,968
Second mortgage	1,997	271	2,820	5,088	164,437	169,525
Warehouse lending	—	—	—	—	423,517	423,517
HELOC	2,197	1,238	6,826	10,261	279,619	289,880
Other	293	127	199	619	36,849	37,468
Total consumer loans	41,013	20,732	144,185	205,930	3,223,428	3,429,358
Commercial loans						
Commercial real estate	—	—	1,500	1,500	407,370	408,870
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—	—	207,187	207,187
Commercial lease financing	—	—	—	—	10,341	10,341
Total commercial loans	—	—	1,500	1,500	624,898	626,398
Total loans (2)	\$41,013	\$20,732	\$145,685	\$207,430	\$3,848,326	\$4,055,756

(1) The 30-59 days past due represents one matured loan which is paid current and subsequently renewed.

(2) Includes \$5.2 million and \$4.0 million of loans 90 days or greater past due accounted for under the fair value option at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Loans on which interest accruals have been discontinued totaled approximately \$122.4 million and \$146.5 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$141.9 million at September 30, 2013. Interest income is recognized on impaired loans using a cost recovery method unless amounts contractually due are not in doubt. Interest that would have been accrued on impaired loans totaled approximately \$1.7 million and \$4.3 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$2.3 million and \$6.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had no loans 90 days past due and still accruing.

Table of Contents

Troubled Debt Restructuring

The Company may modify certain loans in both consumer and commercial loan portfolios to retain customers or to maximize collection of the outstanding loan balance. The Company has maintained several programs designed to assist borrowers by extending payment dates or reducing the borrower's contractual payments. All loan modifications are made on a case-by-case basis. The Company's standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. TDRs result in those instances in which a borrower demonstrates financial difficulty and for which a concession has been granted, which includes reductions of interest rate, extensions of amortization period, principal and/or interest forgiveness and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. These loans are classified as TDRs and are included in non-accrual loans if the loan was nonperforming prior to the restructuring. These loans will continue on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payments for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest.

The following table provides a summary of TDRs outstanding by type and performing status.

	TDRs		Total
	Performing	Nonperforming	
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)		
Consumer loans (1)			
Residential first mortgage	\$310,153	\$32,192	\$342,345
Second mortgage	35,357	928	36,285
HELOC	20,043	1,463	21,506
Total consumer loans	365,553	34,583	400,136
Commercial loans (2)			
Commercial real estate	418	—	418
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—
Total commercial loans	418	—	418
Total TDRs (3)	\$365,971	\$34,583	\$400,554
December 31, 2013			
Consumer loans (1)			
Residential first mortgage	\$332,285	\$42,633	\$374,918
Second mortgage	30,352	1,631	31,983
Other consumer	19,892	2,445	22,337
Total consumer loans	382,529	46,709	429,238
Commercial loans (2)			
Commercial real estate	456	—	456
Total TDRs (3)	\$382,985	\$46,709	\$429,694

(1) The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$82.6 million and \$82.3 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(2) The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans was zero at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(3) Includes \$30.8 million and \$31.3 million of TDR loans accounted for under the fair value option at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

TDRs returned to performing, or accrual, status totaled \$1.1 million and \$5.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, and are excluded from non-performing loans, compared to \$5.1 million and

\$39.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. TDRs that have demonstrated a period of at least six months of consecutive performance under the modified terms, are returned to performing (i.e., accrual) status and are excluded from nonperforming loans. Although these TDRs have returned to performing status, they will still continue to be classified as impaired until they are repaid in full, or foreclosed and sold, and included as such in the tables within "repossessed assets." Although many of the TDRs continue to be performing, the full collection of principal and interest on some TDRs may not occur. The resulting potential incremental losses are measured through impairment analysis on all TDRs and have been

Table of Contents

factored into our allowance for loan losses. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, remaining commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in a commercial or consumer TDR were immaterial.

Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in the full collection of principal and interest, as modified, but may give rise to potential incremental losses. Such losses are factored into the Company's allowance for loan losses estimate. Management evaluates loans for impairment both collectively and individually depending on the risk characteristics underlying the loan and the availability of data. The Company measures impairment using the discounted cash flow method for performing TDRs and measure impairment based on collateral values for re-defaulted TDRs.

The following table presents the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 number of accounts, pre-modification unpaid principal balance (net of write downs), and post-modification unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) that were new modified TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. In addition, the table presents the number of accounts and unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of loans that have subsequently defaulted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 that had been modified in a TDR during the 12 months preceding each period. All TDR classes within consumer and commercial loan portfolios are considered subsequently defaulted when greater than 90 days past due.

	Number of Accounts	Pre-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance	Post-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	Increase in Allowance at Modification
(Dollars in thousands)				
Three Months Ended September 30, 2014				
Residential first mortgages	36	\$11,369	\$11,046	\$531
Second mortgages	85	2,646	2,519	46
HELOC (2)	4	201	16	110
Total TDR loans	125	\$14,216	\$13,581	\$687
	Number of Accounts		Unpaid Principal Balance	Increase in Allowance at Subsequent Default
(Dollars in thousands)				
TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (3)				
Second mortgages	2		\$37	\$34
Total TDR loans	2		\$37	\$34
	Number of Accounts	Pre-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance	Post-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	Increase in Allowance at Modification
(Dollars in thousands)				
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
Residential first mortgages	36	\$8,426	\$8,536	\$548
Second mortgages (4)	122	3,240	3,218	169
HELOC (4)	11	127	127	(5)
Commercial real estate	4	2,482	2,482	—
Total TDR loans	173	\$14,275	\$14,363	\$712
	Number of Accounts		Unpaid Principal Balance	Increase in Allowance at Subsequent
TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4)				

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

		(Dollars in thousands)	Default
Residential first mortgages	4	\$1,077	\$—
Second mortgages	15	274	134
Commercial real estate	12	34	—
Total TDR loans	31	\$1,385	\$134

34

Table of Contents

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	Number of Accounts	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification	Increase in
		Unpaid Principal Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	Allowance at Modification
		(Dollars in thousands)		
New TDRs				
Residential first mortgages	107	\$31,268	\$30,336	\$1,852
Second mortgages	291	8,963	8,546	147
HELOC (2)	19	766	487	110
Total TDR loans	417	\$40,997	\$39,369	\$2,109
TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (3)	Number of Accounts		Unpaid Principal Balance	Increase in Allowance at Subsequent Default
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Residential first mortgages	2		\$281	\$28
Second mortgages	15		133	81
HELOC (2)	5		24	—
Total TDR loans	22		\$438	\$109
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Number of Accounts	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification	Increase
		Unpaid Principal Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	(Decrease) in Allowance at Modification
		(Dollars in thousands)		
New TDRs				
Residential first mortgages	300	\$81,154	\$71,327	\$2,372
Second mortgages (4)	466	18,549	16,285	510
HELOC (4)	301	27,223	22,865	(6)
Commercial real estate	4	2,482	2,482	—
Total TDR loans	1,071	\$129,408	\$112,959	\$2,876
TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4)	Number of Accounts		Unpaid Principal Balance	Increase in Allowance at Subsequent Default
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Residential first mortgages	24		\$5,970	\$1,083
Second mortgages	29		896	502
Commercial real estate	19		165	—
Total TDR loans	72		\$7,031	\$1,585

(1) Post-modification balances include past due amounts that are capitalized at modification date.

(2) HELOC post-modification unpaid principal balance reflects write downs.

(3) Subsequent default is defined as a payment re-defaulted within 12 months of the restructuring date.

New TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, include 463 loans for a total of \$30.8

(4) million of post modification unpaid principal balance second mortgage and HELOC loans that were reconsolidated as a result of the litigation settlements with MBIA and Assured.

Table of Contents

The following table presents impaired loans with no related allowance and with an allowance recorded.

	September 30, 2014			December 31, 2013		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
(Dollars in thousands)						
With no related allowance recorded						
Consumer loans						
Residential first mortgage loans	\$70,612	\$85,093	\$—	\$78,421	\$130,520	\$—
Second mortgage	2,256	6,101	—	1	3,592	—
HELOC	—	1,184	—	1	1,544	—
Commercial loans						
Commercial real estate	418	418	—	1,956	6,427	—
	\$73,286	\$92,796	\$—	\$80,379	\$142,083	\$—
With an allowance recorded						
Consumer loans						
Residential first mortgage	\$304,784	\$307,223	\$82,858	\$341,283	\$345,293	\$81,764
Second mortgage	27,726	27,985	5,514	24,355	24,355	4,566
HELOC	1,179	1,216	1,179	405	405	405
	\$333,689	\$336,424	\$89,551	\$366,043	\$370,053	\$86,735
Total						
Consumer loans						
Residential first mortgage	\$375,396	\$392,316	\$82,858	\$419,704	\$475,813	\$81,764
Second mortgage	29,982	34,086	5,514	24,356	27,947	4,566
HELOC	1,179	2,400	1,179	406	1,949	405
Commercial loans						
Commercial real estate	418	418	—	1,956	6,427	—
Total impaired loans	\$406,975	\$429,220	\$89,551	\$446,422	\$512,136	\$86,735

Table of Contents

The following table presents average impaired loans and the interest income recognized.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
(Dollars in thousands)								
Consumer loans								
Residential first mortgage	\$406,058	\$3,076	\$500,264	\$3,858	\$408,078	\$8,260	\$664,074	\$4,554
Second mortgage	29,500	398	21,856	302	27,584	948	20,357	195
Warehouse lending	—	—	27	—	—	—	13	—
HELOC	1,461	(21)	607	1	797	(103)	778	110
Commercial loans								
Commercial real estate	423	6	34,897	9	1,329	20	36,860	647
Commercial and industrial	—	—	168	—	—	—	94	—
Commercial lease financing	—	—	4,822	—	—	—	3,521	—
Total impaired loans	\$437,442	\$3,459	\$562,641	\$4,170	\$437,788	\$9,125	\$725,697	\$5,506

The Company follows the guidance provided in the FFIEC's "Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy" issued June 20, 2000 for Retail Credits. This policy focuses on the delinquency status, loan type, collateral protection, and other events influencing repayment, such as bankruptcy, death, and fraud, in determining the appropriate risk classification for a retail credit. The Company classifies performing retail loans that are 60 days delinquent as well as all performing retail TDRs as Watch. All non-accruing retail loans as well as retail loans 90 days or more delinquent are classified as Substandard. In cases of bankruptcy, death, or fraud, the Company will follow the FFIEC policy and classify the loans as appropriate.

The Company utilizes an internal risk rating system which is applied to all commercial and commercial real estate credits. Management conducts periodic examinations which serve as an independent verification of the accuracy of the ratings assigned. Loan grades are based on different factors within the borrowing relationship: entity sales, debt service coverage, debt/total net worth, liquidity, balance sheet and income statement trends, management experience, business stability, financing structure of the deal and financial reporting requirements. The underlying collateral is also rated based on the specific type of collateral and corresponding LTV. The combination of the borrower and collateral risk ratings result in the final rating for the borrowing relationship. Descriptions of the Company's internal risk ratings as they relate to credit quality follow the ratings used by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies as listed below.

Pass. Pass assets are not impaired nor do they have any known deficiencies that could impact the quality of the asset.

Watch. Watch assets are defined as pass rated assets that exhibit elevated risk characteristics or other factors that deserve management's close attention and increased monitoring. However, the asset does not exhibit a potential or well defined weakness that would warrant a downgrade to criticized or adverse classification.

Special mention. Assets identified as special mention possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving management's close attention. Special mention assets have a potential weakness or pose an unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the assets and increase risk in the future. Special mention assets are criticized, but do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Substandard. Assets identified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. For HELOC loans and other consumer loans, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging and status of payment activity and includes all nonperforming loans.

37

Table of Contents

Doubtful. Assets identified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of a loss on a doubtful asset is high. However, due to important and reasonably specific pending factors, which may work to strengthen (or weaken) the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status can be determined.

Loss. An asset classified loss is considered uncollectible and of such little value that the continuance as bankable asset is not warranted. This classification does not mean that an asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but, rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Commercial Credit Loans - Unpaid Principal Balance ("UPB")	September 30, 2014			
	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Lease Financing	Total Commercial
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Grade				
Pass	\$520,004	\$307,114	\$9,853	\$836,971
Watch (1)	34,237	4,351	—	38,588
Special mention	871	190	—	1,061
Substandard (1)	11,758	29,657	—	41,415
Total loans	\$566,870	\$341,312	\$9,853	\$918,035

(1) Does not include commitments of \$1.2 million classified as watch and \$2.7 million classified as substandard at September 30, 2014.

Consumer Credit Loans - UPB	September 30, 2014					
	Residential First Mortgage	Second Mortgage	Warehouse	HELOC	Other Consumer	Total Consumer
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Grade						
Pass	\$1,802,327	\$116,778	\$386,968	\$237,660	\$31,497	\$2,575,230
Watch	320,287	35,516	203,300	20,997	56	580,156
Special Mention	—	—	3,250	—	—	3,250
Substandard	102,120	1,597	1,008	3,169	59	107,953
Total loans	\$2,224,734	\$153,891	\$594,526	\$261,826	\$31,612	\$3,266,589

Commercial Credit Loans - UPB	December 31, 2013			
	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Lease Financing	Total Commercial
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Grade				
Pass	\$296,983	\$192,013	\$10,341	\$499,337
Watch (1)	26,041	5,534	—	31,575
Special mention (1)	3,802	9,097	—	12,899
Substandard	82,044	543	—	82,587
Total loans	\$408,870	\$207,187	\$10,341	\$626,398

(1) Does not include commitments of \$6.2 million classified as watch and \$1.2 million classified as special mention at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Consumer Credit Loans - UPB		December 31, 2013					
Grade	Residential First Mortgage (Dollars in thousands)	Second Mortgage	Warehouse	HELOC	Other Consumer	Total Consumer	
Pass	\$2,031,536	\$136,224	\$243,017	\$262,138	\$37,142	\$2,710,057	
Watch	343,092	30,482	157,500	20,916	127	552,117	
Special mention	—	—	23,000	—	—	23,000	
Substandard	134,340	2,819	—	6,826	199	144,184	
Total loans	\$2,508,968	\$169,525	\$423,517	\$289,880	\$37,468	\$3,429,358	

Table of Contents

Note 8 – Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities

The Company previously participated in four private-label securitizations of financial assets involving two HELOC loan transactions and two second mortgage loan transactions. The following private-label securitizations have been reconsolidated or dissolved as a result of settlement agreements. The Company has not engaged in any private-label securitization activity except for these securitizations.

In December 2005, the Company completed the \$600.0 million FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$26.1 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust's assets and liabilities. The Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. At September 30, 2014, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loans of \$69.6 million and long-term debt of \$48.2 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust.

In December 2006, the Company completed the \$302.2 million FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$11.2 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust's assets and liabilities. The Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust. At September 30, 2014, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loan of \$70.7 million and long-term debt of \$43.9 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust.

In April 2006, the Company completed the \$400.0 million FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust involving fixed second mortgage loans that the Company held at the time in its investment securities portfolio. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust were insured by MBIA. Due to the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust was collapsed and the Company transferred the loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. At September 30, 2014, the Company recorded a fair value of \$55.9 million of second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

Consolidated VIEs

The beneficial owners of the trusts can look only to the assets of the HELOC securitization trusts for satisfaction of the debt issued by the HELOC securitization trusts and have no recourse against the assets of the Company.

The following table provides a summary of the classifications of consolidated VIE assets and liabilities included in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

	2005-1	2006-2	Total
September 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)		
HELOC Securitizations			
Assets			
Cash and cash items	\$2,855	\$—	\$2,855
Loans held-for-investment	69,624	70,707	140,331

Liabilities

Long-term debt	\$48,227	\$43,913	\$92,140
Other liabilities	136	—	136

40

Table of Contents

	2005-1	2006-2	Total
December 31, 2013	(Dollars in thousands)		
HELOC Securitizations			
Assets			
Cash and cash items	\$1,129	\$—	\$1,129
Loans held-for-investment	78,009	77,003	155,012
Liabilities			
Long-term debt	\$55,172	\$50,641	\$105,813
Other liabilities	136	—	136

The economic performance of the VIEs is most significantly impacted by the performance of the underlying loans. The principal risks to which the entities were exposed include credit risk and interest rate risk. Credit risk was managed through credit enhancement in the form of reserve accounts, over collateralization, excess interest on the loans, the subordination of certain classes of asset-backed securities to other classes, and in the case of the home equity transaction, an insurance policy with a third party guaranteeing payment of accrued and unpaid interest and principal on the securities. Interest rate risk was managed by interest rate swaps between the VIEs and third parties.

Unconsolidated VIEs

The Company has an unconsolidated VIE with which the Company has a significant continuing involvement, but is not the primary beneficiary. The financial assets were derecognized by the Company upon transfer to the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust and the Company recognized a gain on the sale of the transferred assets. In accordance with the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the FSTAR 2007-1 insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to the Company. At September 30, 2014, the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust included 3,779 loans, with an aggregate principal balance of \$149.2 million.

Note 9 – Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes MSR assets, at fair value, related to residential first mortgage loans sold when it retains the obligation to service these loans. MSRs are subject to changes in value from, among other things, changes in interest rates, prepayments of the underlying loans and changes in credit quality of the underlying portfolio. The Company subsequently measures its servicing assets for residential first MSRs, at fair value, as elected, each reporting date with any changes in fair value recorded in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. As such, the Company currently hedges certain risks of fair value changes of MSRs using derivative instruments that are intended to change in value inversely to part or all of the changes in the components underlying the fair value of MSRs.

The Company invests in MSRs to support mortgage strategies and to deploy capital at acceptable returns. The Company also deploys derivatives and other fair value assets as economic hedges to offset changes in fair value of the MSRs resulting from the actual or anticipated changes in prepayments stemming from changing interest rate environments. The Company's portfolio of MSRs is highly sensitive to movements in interest rates, and hedging activities related to the portfolio. The primary risk associated with MSRs is they will lose a substantial portion of value as a result of higher than anticipated prepayments due to loan refinancing prompted, in part, by declining interest rates. Conversely, these assets generally increase in value in a rising interest rate environment to the extent that prepayments are slower than anticipated. There is also a risk of valuation decline due to higher than expected increases in default rates, but the Company does not believe such risk can be sufficiently quantified to effectively hedge. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for additional information regarding

the instruments utilized to hedge the risks of MSRs.

41

Table of Contents

The following table presents the unpaid principal balance of residential loans serviced for others and the number of accounts associated with those loans.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amount	Number of accounts	Amount	Number of accounts
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Residential mortgage servicing				
Serviced for others	\$26,377,572	122,788	\$25,743,396	131,413
Subserviced for others (1)	46,695,465	238,425	40,431,867	198,256
Total residential loans serviced for others (1)	\$73,073,037	361,213	\$66,175,263	329,669

(1) Does not include temporary short-term subservicing performed as a result of some sales of servicing.

Changes in the carrying value of residential first mortgage MSR's, accounted for at fair value, were as follows.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$289,185	\$729,019	\$284,678	\$710,791
Additions from loans sold with servicing retained	78,557	86,109	198,051	323,216
Reductions from bulk sales (1)	(69,623)	—	(160,838)	(233,742)
Changes in fair value due to (2)				
Decrease in MSR value (3)	(8,804)	(18,268)	(20,605)	(87,397)
All other changes in valuation inputs or assumptions (4)	(3,929)	169	(15,900)	84,161
Fair value of MSR's at end of period	\$285,386	\$797,029	\$285,386	\$797,029

Includes flow sales related to underlying serviced loans totaling zero and \$470.2 million for the three and nine (1) months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to zero and \$23.4 billion flow sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

(2) Changes in fair value are included within the net return on mortgage servicing asset line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(3) Represents decrease in MSR value associated with loans that were paid-off during the period.

(4) Represents estimated MSR value change resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates.

The fair value of residential MSR's is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The Company periodically obtains third-party valuations of its residential MSR's to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by the valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSR's pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of those MSR's capitalized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 periods were as follows.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Weighted-average life (in years)	7.9	6.2	8.0	5.8	
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate	12.0	% 13.0	% 11.8	% 14.0	%
Weighted-average discount rate	11.7	% 8.7	% 12.0	% 8.1	%

Table of Contents

The key economic assumptions reflected in the overall fair value of the entire portfolio of MSR's were as follows.

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	
Weighted-average life (in years)	7.5	7.3	
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate	12.4	% 11.9	%
Weighted-average discount rate	10.8	% 10.2	%

Contractual servicing and subservicing fees. Contractual servicing and subservicing fees, including late fees and ancillary income, for each type of loan serviced are presented below. Contractual subservicing fees including late fees and ancillary income are included within loan administration income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Subservicing fee income is recorded for fees earned, net of third party subservicing costs, for loans subserviced. Contractual servicing fees are included within net return on mortgage servicing asset on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	2013	2013	2013	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Residential first mortgage loans serviced for others	\$17,056	\$52,483	\$52,897	\$157,329
Residential first mortgage loans subserviced for others	5,672	—	17,139	—
Other loans serviced for others	33	75	109	347
Total	\$22,761	\$52,558	\$70,145	\$157,676

Note 10 – Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. Generally, these instruments help the Company manage exposure to interest rate risk, mitigate the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, hedge against changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and meet client financing and hedging needs. The following derivative financial instruments were identified and recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

- Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other forward loan sale contracts;
- Rate lock commitments;
- Interest rate swaps;
- Foreign exchanges swaps; and
- U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures and options.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, after taking into account the effects of legally enforceable bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. Gross positive fair values are netted with gross negative fair values by counterparty pursuant to a valid master netting agreement. In addition, collateral received from or paid to a given counterparty are considered in this netting. These agreements allow the Company to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis in a single currency, and to offset net derivative positions with related collateral, where applicable.

Counterparty credit risk. The Bank is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to its various derivative financial instruments. The Company manages this risk by selecting only well-established, financially strong counterparties, spreading the credit risk among such counterparties, and by placing contractual limits on the amount of unsecured credit risk from any single counterparty.

Collateral agreements require the counterparty to post, on a daily basis, collateral (typically cash or investment securities) equal to the Company's net derivative receivable. For highly-rated counterparties, the agreements may include minimum dollar posting thresholds, but allow for the Company to call for immediate, full collateral coverage when credit-rating thresholds are triggered by counterparties. The Company's collateral agreements contain provisions that require collateralization of the Company's net liability derivative positions. Required collateral coverage is based on certain net liability thresholds. Under circumstances which constitute default under the agreements, the counterparties to the derivatives could request immediate full collateral coverage for derivatives in net liability positions. The Company's collateral agreements in which the collateral is restricted include provisions requiring unilateral funding of coverage for derivatives in net liability positions, as well as minimum collateral positions.

Table of Contents

Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

The Company originates loans and extends credit, both of which expose the Company to interest rate risk. The Company actively manages the overall loan portfolio and the associated interest rate risk in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives. This objective is accomplished primarily through the use of an investment-grade diversified dealer-traded basket of swaps. These transactions may generate fee income, and diversify and reduce overall portfolio interest rate risk volatility. Although the Company utilizes swaps for risk management purposes, they are not treated as or do not qualify as hedging instruments.

The Company manages the risk of overall changes in fair value of loans held-for-sale and rate lock commitments generally by selling forward contracts on securities of Agencies. The forward contracts used to economically hedge the loan commitments are accounted for as non-designated hedges and naturally offset rate lock commitment mark-to-market gains and losses recognized as a component of gain on loan sale. The Company recognized pre-tax losses of \$1.1 million and \$8.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to pre-tax losses of \$130.0 million and \$77.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, on hedging activity relating to loan commitments and loans held-for-sale. Additionally, the Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of MSR through the use of various derivatives including purchases of forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the purchase/sale of U.S. Treasury futures contracts and the purchase/sale of euro dollar future contracts. These derivatives are accounted for as non-designated hedges against changes in the fair value of MSR and recognized as a component of loan administration. The Company recognized a loss of \$0.4 million and a gain of \$9.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to losses of \$4.0 million and \$67.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, on MSR fair value hedging activities.

The Company uses a combination of derivatives (U.S. Treasury futures, euro dollar futures, swap futures, and "to be announced" forwards with settlement dates beyond the next regular settlement date for such securities) and certain trading securities to hedge the MSR. For accounting purposes, these hedges represent economic hedges of the MSR asset with both the hedges and the MSR asset carried at fair value on the balance sheet. Certain derivative strategies that the Company uses to manage its investment in MSR may not fully offset changes in the fair value of such asset due to changes in interest rates and market liquidity.

The Company writes and purchases interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to provide derivative products to customers enabling them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are tailored to meet the needs of the counterparties involved and, therefore, contain a greater degree of credit risk and liquidity risk than exchange-traded contracts, which have standardized terms and readily available price information. The Company mitigates most of the inherent market risk of customer-initiated interest rate swap contracts by entering into offsetting derivative contracts with other counterparties. The offsetting derivative contracts have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. These limits are established annually and reviewed quarterly. The Company's interest rate swap agreements are structured such that variable payments are primarily based on LIBOR (one-month, three-month or six-month). Fee income on customer-initiated trading derivatives are earned from entering into various transactions at the request of the customer, primarily interest rate swap contracts. Changes in fair value are recognized in "other noninterest income" on the Consolidated Statements of Income. There were no significant net gains or losses recognized in income on customer-initiated derivative instruments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company had the following derivative financial instruments.

	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Expiration Dates
	(Dollars in thousands)		
September 30, 2014			
Assets (1)			
Rate lock commitments	\$2,252,552	\$27,066	2015
Forward agency and loan sales	1,949,630	2,301	2015
Interest rate swaps	265,660	3,556	Various
Total derivative assets	\$4,467,842	\$32,923	
Liabilities (2)			
U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$3,505,400	\$177	Various
Mortgage backed securities forwards	151,000	630	2014
Rate lock commitments	86,696	386	2015
Forward agency and loan sales	1,391,000	7,392	2015
Interest rate swaps	265,660	3,496	Various
Total derivative liabilities	\$5,399,756	\$12,081	
December 31, 2013			
Assets (1)			
U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$4,300,100	\$1,221	2014
Rate lock commitments	1,857,775	10,329	2014
Forward agency and loan sales	2,819,896	19,847	2014
Interest rate swaps	102,448	1,797	Various
Total derivative assets	\$9,080,219	\$33,194	
Liabilities (2)			
Mortgage backed securities forwards	\$95,000	\$1,665	2014
Interest rate swaps	102,448	1,797	Various
Total derivative liabilities	\$197,448	\$3,462	

(1) Asset derivatives are included in "other assets" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

(2) Liability derivatives are included in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement, including the cash pledged as collateral.

September 30, 2014

Economic Undesignated Hedges	Gross Amount	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral	Net Amount
(Dollars in thousands)						
Assets						
U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$1,281	\$1,281	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mortgage backed securities forwards	39	39	—	—	—	—
Rate lock commitments	27,066	—	27,066	—	—	27,066
Forward agency and loan sales	2,301	—	2,301	—	—	2,301
Interest rate swaps	5,034	—	5,034	—	—	5,034
Total derivative assets	\$35,721	\$1,320	\$34,401	\$—	\$—	\$34,401
Liabilities						
U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$1,458	\$1,281	\$177	\$—	\$6,297	\$(6,120)
Mortgage backed securities forwards	669	39	630	—	15,964	(15,334)
Rate lock commitments	386	—	386	—	—	386
Forward agency and loan sales	7,392	—	7,392	—	—	7,392
Interest rate swaps	3,496	—	3,496	—	1,478	2,018
Total derivative liabilities	\$13,401	\$1,320	\$12,081	\$—	\$23,739	\$(11,658)

December 31, 2013

Economic Undesignated Hedges	Gross Amount	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position		
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral	Net Amount
(Dollars in thousands)						
Assets						
U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$7,074	\$1,701	\$5,373	\$—	\$4,152	\$1,221
Rate lock commitments	14,510	4,181	10,329	—	—	10,329
Forward agency and loan sales	20,326	479	19,847	—	—	19,847
Interest rate swaps	3,045	—	3,045	—	1,248	1,797
Total derivative assets	\$44,955	\$6,361	\$38,594	\$—	\$5,400	\$33,194

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Liabilities

U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures	\$1,701	\$1,701	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mortgage backed securities forwards	13,837	—	13,837	—	(12,172) 1,665
Rate lock commitments	4,181	4,181	—	—	—	—
Forward agency and loan sales	479	479	—	—	—	—
Interest rate swaps	1,797	—	1,797	—	—	1,797
Total derivative liabilities	\$21,995	\$6,361	\$15,634	\$—	\$(12,172) \$3,462

Table of Contents

The Company pledged a total of \$23.7 million and \$6.8 million of investment securities and cash collateral to counterparties at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, for derivative activities. The cash pledged was restricted and is included in other assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The total collateral pledged is included in assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Note 11 – Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

The portfolio of Federal Home Loan Bank advances includes floating rate short-term daily adjustable advances and long-term fixed rate advances. The following is a breakdown of the advances outstanding.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Short-term floating rate daily adjustable advances	\$—	—	% \$216,000	0.50	%
Fixed rate putable advances	150,000	0.38	% 772,000	0.30	%
Total	\$150,000	0.38	% \$988,000	0.34	%
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Maximum outstanding at any month end	\$1,000,000	\$2,907,598	\$1,300,000	\$2,907,598	
Average outstanding balance	998,272	2,900,519	995,271	2,968,308	
Average remaining borrowing capacity	2,026,000	461,899	1,832,000	801,969	
Weighted-average interest rate	0.23	% 3.34	% 0.23	% 3.28	%

At September 30, 2014, the Company's Federal Home Loan Bank advance final maturity dates includes \$100.0 million which mature in 2015 and \$50.0 million which mature in 2016, compared to \$988.0 million all of which matured in 2014 at December 31, 2013.

At September 30, 2014, the Company had the authority and approval from the Federal Home Loan Bank to utilize a line of credit of up to \$7.0 billion and the Company may access that line to the extent that collateral is provided. At September 30, 2014, the Company had \$0.2 billion of advances outstanding and an additional \$2.8 billion of collateralized borrowing capacity available at the Federal Home Loan Bank. The advances are collateralized by non-delinquent single-family residential first mortgage loans, loans repurchased with government guarantees, certain other loans and investment securities.

Table of Contents

Note 12 – Long-Term Debt

The Company sponsored nine trust subsidiaries, including the consolidated VIEs, which issued trust preferred securities to third party investors and loaned the proceeds to the Company in the form of junior subordinated notes included in long-term debt. The following table presents the outstanding balance on each junior subordinated note and related interest rates of the long-term debt as of the dates indicated.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Junior Subordinated Notes					
Floating 3 Month LIBOR (1)					
Plus 3.25%, matures 2032	\$25,774	3.49	%	\$25,774	3.50
Plus 3.25%, matures 2033	25,774	3.48	%	25,774	3.49
Plus 3.25%, matures 2033	25,780	3.48	%	25,780	3.50
Plus 2.00%, matures 2035	25,774	2.23	%	25,774	2.24
Plus 2.00%, matures 2035	25,774	2.23	%	25,774	2.24
Plus 1.75%, matures 2035	51,547	1.98	%	51,547	2.00
Plus 1.50%, matures 2035	25,774	1.73	%	25,774	1.74
Plus 1.45%, matures 2037	25,774	1.68	%	25,774	1.69
Plus 2.50%, matures 2037	15,464	2.73	%	15,464	2.74
Subtotal	\$247,435			\$247,435	
Notes associated with consolidated VIEs					
HELOC securitizations					
Plus 0.46% (2), matures 2018	48,228			55,172	
Plus 0.16% (3), matures 2019	43,912			50,641	
Total long-term debt	\$339,575			\$353,248	

(1) The securities are currently callable by the Company at anytime.

(2) The Notes will accrue interest at a rate equal to the least of (i) one-month LIBOR plus 0.46 percent (ii) the net weighted average coupon, and (iii) 16.00 percent.

The interest rate for the notes may adjust monthly and will be subject to (i) a cap based on the weighted average of (3) the loan rates on the mortgage loans, minus the rates at which certain fees and expenses of the issuing entity are calculated and minus any required spread and adjusted for actual days and (ii) a fixed cap of 16.00 percent.

Interest on all junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 the three-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.24 percent and 0.25 percent, respectively. At September 30, 2014, the one-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.16 percent, compared to 0.17 percent at December 31, 2013.

Trust Preferred Securities

The trust preferred securities outstanding mature 30 years from issuance and are callable by the Company. Interest on all junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. Under the terms of the related indentures, the Company may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. In January 2012, the Company exercised its contractual rights to defer its interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. The payments are periodically evaluated and will be reinstated when appropriate, subject to the provisions of the Company's Supervisory Agreement and Consent Order. The Company has \$19.0 million accrued at September 30, 2014, for these deferred interest payments.

Notes Associated with Consolidated VIEs

As previously discussed in Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities, the Company determined it was the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with HELOC securitizations and such VIEs are therefore consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. As of June 30, 2013, the Company re consolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trusts, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt.

Table of Contents

The final legal maturities of the long-term debt associated with the VIEs are June 2018 and June 2019, respectively, however these debt agreements have contractual provisions that allow for the debt to be paid off based on the cash flows of the collateral. As of September 30, 2014, the Company's cash flow analysis indicated that the notes are estimated to be paid off by July 2015 for FSTAR 2005-1 (LIBOR + 0.46 percent) and May 2016 for FSTAR 2006-2 (LIBOR + 0.16 percent). The estimated maturity dates may change going forward as the inputs used (prepayments, defaults, etc.) for the cash flow analysis will likely change. The debt pays interest based on a spread over the 30-day LIBOR interest rate.

Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve

The following table shows the activity in the representation and warranty reserve.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	2013	2013	2013	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period,	\$50,000	\$185,000	\$54,000	\$193,000
Provision				
Charged to gain on sale for current loan sales	1,981	3,719	5,149	14,588
Charged to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	12,538	5,205	16,092	51,541
Total	14,519	8,924	21,241	66,129
Charge-offs, net	(7,519)(19,924)(18,241)(85,129
Balance, end of period	\$57,000	\$174,000	\$57,000	\$174,000

The increase in the amount charged to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate was primarily due to a \$10.4 million provision related to indemnification on government loans.

The liability for representation and warranty reserve reflects management's best estimate of probable losses with respect to the Bank's representation and warranty on the mortgage loans it originates and sells into the secondary market. At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of such loss associated with the mortgage loans is recorded in representation and warranty reserve in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and charged against the net gain on loan sales in the Consolidated Statement of Operations at the time of the sale. The Company recognizes changes in the liability when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the estimate are recorded in representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Charge-offs are recorded in representation and warranty reserve on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The Company routinely obtains information from the Agencies regarding the historical trends of demand requests, and occasionally obtains information on anticipated future loan reviews and potential repurchase demand projections. The Company believes this information provides helpful but limited insight in anticipating Agency behavior, thus helping to better estimate future repurchase requests and validate representation and warranty assumptions. Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, probable loss severity on these requests, claims appeal success rates and potential exposure to indemnification related to government loans.

Reserve levels are a function of expected losses based on actual pending and expected claims and repurchase requests, historical experience and loan volume. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

Note 14 – Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

Preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 and a liquidation value of \$1,000 and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred stock at September 30, 2014 is summarized as follows.

49

Table of Contents

	Rate	Earliest Redemption Date	Shares Outstanding	Preferred Shares	Additional Paid in Capital
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Series C Preferred Stock	9.0	% January 31, 2012	266,657	\$3	\$266,654

Currently, we have deferred \$49.2 million of dividend payments on the Series C Preferred Stock.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table sets forth the components in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for each type of available-for-sale security.

	Pre-tax Amount	Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	After-Tax Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss September 30, 2014			
Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale, U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$(1,973) \$1,723	\$(250)
Total net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale	\$(1,973) \$1,723	\$(250)
December 31, 2013			
Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale, U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$(9,042) \$4,211	\$(4,831)
Total net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale	\$(9,042) \$4,211	\$(4,831)

Note 15 – (Loss) Earnings Per Share

Basic (loss) earnings per share, excluding dilution, is computed by dividing (loss) earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted (loss) earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue Common Stock were exercised and converted into Common Stock or resulted in the issuance of Common Stock that could then share in the earnings of the Company.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share of Common Stock.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Net (loss) income	\$(27,632) \$14,272	\$(80,541) \$105,082
Less: preferred stock dividend/accretion	—	(1,449) (483) (4,336
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(27,632) 12,823	(81,024) 100,746
Deferred cumulative preferred stock dividends	(6,948) (3,613) (19,435) (10,707
Net (loss) income applicable to Common Stock	\$(34,580) \$9,210	\$(100,459) \$90,039
Weighted average shares outstanding	56,249	56,096	56,225	56,042
Effect of dilutive securities				
Warrants	—	235	—	223
Stock-based awards	—	210	—	194
Weighted average diluted common shares	56,249	56,541	56,225	56,459
(Loss) earnings per common share				
Net (loss) income applicable to Common Stock	\$(0.61) \$0.16	\$(1.79) \$1.61
Effect of dilutive securities				
Warrants	—	—	—	(0.01
Stock-based awards	—	—	—	(0.01
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$(0.61) \$0.16	\$(1.79) \$1.59

Due to the loss attributable to common stockholders for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the diluted loss per share calculation excludes all Common Stock equivalents, including 1,334,045 shares pertaining to warrants and 248,089 shares pertaining to stock based awards, respectively. The inclusion of these securities would be anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

Note 16 – Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes in interim periods requires the Company to make a best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full year. This estimated effective tax rate is then applied to interim consolidated pre-tax operating income to determine the interim provision for income taxes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the benefit for income taxes was \$10.3 million, or an effective tax benefit rate of 27.2 percent, compared to a provision for income taxes of \$0.2 million, or an effective tax rate of 1.5 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the benefit for income taxes was \$38.4 million, or an effective tax benefit rate of 32.3 percent, compared to a benefit of \$5.9 million, or an effective tax benefit of 5.9 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The effective rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 differs from the combined federal and state statutory tax rate due to non-taxable income and expense items, primarily the exclusion of the non-deductible penalty paid to the CFPB and the non-taxable impact of changes related to our warrants. The effective rate during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 differs from the combined statutory rate principally due to the change in valuation allowance for net deferred taxes.

As of each reporting date, the Company considers both positive and negative evidence that could impact the view with regard to realization of deferred tax assets. The Company continues to believe it is more likely than not that the benefit for federal deferred tax assets will be realized. The Company continues to believe it is more likely than not that the benefit for certain state deferred tax assets will not be realized. In recognition of this risk, the Company continues to provide a partial valuation allowance on the deferred tax assets relating to state deferred tax assets.

The Company believes that it is unlikely that the unrecognized tax benefits will change by a material amount during the next 12 months. As permitted under applicable accounting guidance for income taxes, the Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

Note 17 — Regulatory Matters

Regulatory Capital

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures that have been established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below). The Bank's primary regulatory agency, the OCC, requires that the Bank maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital (as defined in the regulations) of 1.5 percent, Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.0 percent, and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0 percent. The Bank is also subject to prompt corrective action capital requirement regulations set forth by the FDIC. The FDIC requires the Bank to maintain minimum ratios of Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets of 4.0 percent, Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.0 percent, and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0 percent.

To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below, as of the date of filing of its quarterly report with the OCC. The Bank is considered "well capitalized" at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The following table shows the regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

52

Table of Contents

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
September 30, 2014							
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	\$ 1,134,429	12.38	% N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	1,134,429	12.38	% \$366,494	4.0	% \$458,117	5.0	%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	1,134,429	22.84	% 198,710	4.0	% 298,065	6.0	%
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	1,199,410	24.14	% 397,420	8.0	% 496,775	10.0	%
December 31, 2013							
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	\$ 1,257,608	13.97	% N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	1,257,608	13.97	% \$360,196	4.0	% \$450,245	5.0	%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	1,257,608	26.82	% 187,542	4.0	% 281,313	6.0	%
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	1,317,964	28.11	% 375,084	8.0	% 468,855	10.0	%

N/A - Not applicable.

Consent Orders

On September 29, 2014 the Bank entered into a Consent Order ("CFPB Consent Order") with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The Consent Order relates to alleged violations of federal consumer financial laws arising from the Bank's loss mitigation practices and default servicing operations dating back to 2011. Under the terms of the Consent Order, the Bank paid \$27.5 million for borrower remediation and \$10.0 million in civil money penalties. The settlement does not involve any admission of wrongdoing on the part of the Bank or its employees, directors, officers or agents.

Effective October 23, 2012, the Bank's board of directors executed a Stipulation and Consent (the "Stipulation"), accepting the issuance of a Consent Order (the "OCC Consent Order" or "Consent Order") by the OCC. The Consent Order replaces the supervisory agreement entered into between the Bank and the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") on January 27, 2010, which the OCC terminated simultaneous with issuance of the Consent Order. The Company is still subject to the Supervisory Agreement with the Federal Reserve (discussed below).

Under the OCC Consent Order, the Bank is required to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures related to, among other things, regulatory capital, enterprise risk management and liquidity. Specifically, under the terms of the Consent Order, the Bank's board of directors has agreed to, among other things, which include but not limited to the following:

Review, revise, and forward to the OCC a written capital plan for the Bank covering at least a three-year period and establishing projections for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth expectations, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability and funding structure, capital and liquidity adequacy, as well as a contingency capital funding process and plan that identifies alternative capital sources should the primary sources not be available;

Adopt and forward to the OCC a comprehensive written liquidity risk management policy that systematically requires the Bank to reduce liquidity risk; and

Develop, adopt, and forward to the OCC a written enterprise risk management program that is designed to ensure that the Bank effectively identifies, monitors, and controls its enterprise-wide risks, including by developing risk limits for each line of business.

Each of the plans, policies and procedures referenced above in the Consent Order, as well as any subsequent amendments or changes thereto, must be submitted to the OCC for a determination that the OCC has no supervisory objection to them. Upon receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC, the Bank must implement and adhere to the respective plan, policy or procedure. The foregoing summary of the Consent Order does not purport to be a complete description of all of the terms of the Consent Order, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Consent Order filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2012.

The Bank intends to address the banking issues identified by the OCC in the manner required for compliance by the OCC. There can be no assurance that the OCC will not provide substantive comments on the capital plan or other submissions that the Bank makes pursuant to the Consent Order that will have a material impact on the Company. The Company believes

Table of Contents

that the actions taken, or to be taken, to address the banking issues set forth in the Consent Order should, over time, improve its enterprise risk management practices and risk profile. For further information regarding the risks related to the Consent Order, please also refer to the section captioned "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" below and the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Supervisory Agreement

The Company is subject to the Supervisory Agreement, which will remain in effect until terminated, modified, or suspended in writing by the Federal Reserve. The failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement could result in the initiation of further enforcement action by the Federal Reserve, including the imposition of further operating restrictions, and could result in additional enforcement actions against the Company. The Company has taken actions which it believes are appropriate to comply with, and intends to maintain compliance with, all of the requirements of the Supervisory Agreement.

Pursuant to the Supervisory Agreement, the Company submitted a capital plan to the OTS, predecessor in interest to the Federal Reserve. In addition, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions; purchase, repurchase or redeem certain securities; and incur, issue, renew, roll over or increase any debt and enter into certain affiliate transactions. The Company also agreed to comply with restrictions on the payment of severance and indemnification payments, director and management changes and employment contracts and compensation arrangements. A complete description of all of the terms of the Supervisory Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Supervisory Agreement filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2010. For further information regarding the risks related to the Supervisory Agreement, please also refer to the section captioned "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" below and the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Regulatory Developments

In July 2013, U.S. banking regulators approved final Basel III Regulatory Capital rules ("Basel III"). The Basel III rules became effective January 1, 2014 for advanced approaches banking organizations that are not savings and loan holding companies and January 1, 2015 for all other covered banking organizations. Various aspects of Basel III will be subject to multi-year transition periods ending December 31, 2018. Basel III generally continues to be subject to interpretation by the U.S. banking regulators. Basel III will materially change our Leverage, Tier 1 and Total capital calculations. In addition, the final rule implements a new regulatory component, Common Equity Tier 1 capital. It introduces new minimum capital ratios and buffer requirements, proposes a supplementary leverage ratio, changes the composition of regulatory capital, expands and modifies the calculation of risk-weighted assets for credit and market risk (the Advanced Approach), revises the adequately capitalized minimum requirements under the Prompt Corrective Action framework and introduces a Standardized Approach for the calculation of risk-weighted assets, which will replace the current rules (Basel I - 2013 Rules) effective January 1, 2015. Under Basel III, we will calculate regulatory capital ratios and risk-weighted assets under the Standardized Approach. This approach will be used to assess capital adequacy under the Prompt Corrective Action framework. The Prompt Corrective Action framework establishes categories of capitalization, including "well capitalized," based on regulatory ratio requirements. In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve published a final rule that replaces their existing risk-based and leverage capital rules. The final rule is consistent with the interim final rule.

Note 18 – Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments

Legal Proceedings

The Company and certain subsidiaries are subject to various pending or threatened legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of business or operations. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, the Company, together with its subsidiaries, believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims presently asserted against the Company, including the matters described below. With respect to such legal proceedings, the Company intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management's judgment as to the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

From time to time, governmental agencies conduct investigations or examinations of various mortgage related practices of the Bank. In the course of such investigations or examinations, the Bank cooperates with such agencies and provides information as requested. In addition, the Bank is routinely named in civil actions throughout the country by borrowers and former borrowers relating to the origination, purchase, sale and servicing of mortgage loans.

Table of Contents

In May 2012, the Bank and its subsidiary, Flagstar Reinsurance Company, were named as defendants in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging a violation of Section 2607 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). Section 2607(a) of RESPA generally prohibits anyone from "accept[ing] any fee, kickback or thing of value pursuant to any agreement or understanding, oral or otherwise, that business related incident to or part of a real estate settlement service involving a federally related mortgage loan shall be referred to any person." Section 2607(b) of RESPA also prohibits anyone from "accept[ing] any portion, split, or percentage of any charge made or received for the rendering of a real estate settlement service in connection with a federally related mortgage loan other than for services actually performed." The lawsuit specifically alleges that the Bank and Flagstar Reinsurance Company violated Section 2607 of RESPA through a captive reinsurance arrangement involving (i) allegedly illegal payments to Flagstar Reinsurance Company for the referral of private mortgage insurance business from the Bank to private mortgage insurers to Flagstar Reinsurance Company and (ii) Flagstar Reinsurance Company's purported receipt of an unlawful split of private mortgage insurance premiums. On January 13, 2014, the Bank and Flagstar Reinsurance filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint based upon the statute of limitations and equitable tolling. The Court granted summary judgment on June 26, 2014, and dismissed the case, but plaintiffs have since filed an appeal in the Circuit Court. The Circuit Court has stayed the matter, pending its ruling on a similar suit.

On August 15, 2013, shareholder Kenneth Taylor filed a derivative action in the Circuit Court of Oakland County, Michigan against several current and former members of the Company's Board of Directors and executive officers, including Joseph Campanelli, Michael Tierney, Paul Borja, Todd McGowan, Daniel Landers, Matthew Kerin, Walter Carter, Gregory Eng, Jay Hansen, David Matlin, James Ovenden, Mark Patterson, Michael Shonka, and David Treadwell. The lawsuit requests unspecified monetary damages and purports to seek to remedy defendants' alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment from 2011 to present, focusing on the events leading up to the Company's February 24, 2012 settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice, as well as the settlement itself. On October 23, 2013, Joel Rosenfeld filed a second derivative action in the same court alleging similar claims against the same defendants based on the February 24, 2012 settlement, as well as Flagstar's prior litigation with Assured Guaranty. The Court consolidated the matters and appointed Rosenfeld as lead plaintiff and Rosenfeld's counsel and lead plaintiffs' counsel. The plaintiffs then filed a consolidated complaint. The parties have been facilitating the matter and the litigation has been stayed while they do so. A parallel action was filed by Kenneth Taylor on January 24, 2014 in the Federal Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The Taylor matter was also stayed by the court to allow the parties facilitate.

On August 26, 2014, the Company disclosed that the Bank had commenced discussions with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"), related to alleged violations of federal consumer financial laws arising from the Bank's loss mitigation practices and default servicing operations dating back to 2011. On September 29, 2014, the Bank reached a settlement with the CFPB pursuant to the CFPB Consent Order. The settlement required the Bank to pay \$27.5 million to the CFPB for borrower remediation and \$10 million in civil monetary penalties. The settlement did not involve any admission of wrongdoing on the part of the Company or its employees, directors, officers or agents.

Litigation Accruals and Other Possible Contingent Liabilities

When establishing an accrual for contingent liabilities, the Company determines a range of potential losses for each matter that is probable to result in a loss and where the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company then records the amount it considers to be the best estimate within the range. As of September 30, 2014, the Company's total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$124.4 million, which includes the fair value liability relating to the DOJ Agreement, the CFPB settlement and other pending cases.

Contingencies and Commitments

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

A summary of the contractual amount of significant commitments is as follows.

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to extend credit		
Mortgage loans (interest-rate lock commitments)	\$2,339,248	\$1,857,775
HELOC trust commitments	84,069	67,060
Other consumer commitments	7,419	7,430
Standby and commercial letters of credit	9,155	7,982
Other commercial commitments	402,935	296,713

55

Table of Contents

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements.

The Company enters into forward contracts for the future delivery or purchase of agency and loan sale contracts. These contracts are considered to be derivative instruments under U.S. GAAP. Changes to the fair value of these forward loan sales as a result of changes in interest rates are recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as an other asset. Further discussion on derivative instruments is included in Note 10 - Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Company has unfunded commitments under its contractual arrangement with the HELOC securitization trusts to fund future advances on the underlying HELOC.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party, while commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being drawn on when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and the third party.

For information regarding the representation and warranty reserve, see Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve.

Note 19 – Segment Information

The Company's operations are conducted through four operating segments: Mortgage Originations, Mortgage Servicing, Community Banking and Other, which includes the remaining reported activities. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that engage in business activity from which revenues are earned and expenses incurred for which discrete financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by executive management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The operating segments have been determined based on the products and services offered and reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Each segment operates under the same banking charter, but is reported on a segmented basis for this report. Each of the operating segments is complementary to each other and because of the interrelationships of the segments, the information presented is not indicative of how the segments would perform if they operated as independent entities. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

In January 2014, the Company reorganized the way its operations are managed based on core functions. The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is also how the results are monitored and performance assessed. The Company expects that the combination of the business model and the services that the operating segments provide will result in a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. The Company's business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, including originating, acquiring, selling and servicing one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, which we believe is distinguished by timely processing and customer service.

Revenues are comprised of net interest income (before the provision for loan losses) and noninterest income. Noninterest expenses are fully allocated to each operating segment. Allocation methodologies maybe subject to periodic adjustment as the internal management accounting system is revised and the business or product lines within the segments change. Also, because the development and application of these methodologies is a dynamic process, the financial results presented may be periodically revised.

The Mortgage Originations segment originates, acquires and sells one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans comprises the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home loan centers, national call centers, the Internet and unaffiliated banks and mortgage banking and brokerage companies, where the net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Originations segment.

The Mortgage Servicing segment services and subservices mortgage loans, on a fee basis, for others. Also, the Mortgage Servicing segment services, on a fee basis, residential mortgages held-for-investment by the Community Banking segment and mortgage servicing rights held by the Other segment. The Mortgage Servicing segment may also collect ancillary fees, such as late fees and earn income through the use of non-interest bearing escrows.

Table of Contents

The Community Banking segment originates loans, provides deposits and fee based services to consumer, business and mortgage lending customers through its Branch Banking, Business and Commercial Banking, Government Banking, Warehouse Lending and Held-for-Investment Portfolio groups. Products offered through these teams include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services, consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse lines of credit. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products.

The Other segment includes the treasury functions, funding revenue associated with stockholders' equity, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, charges or credits of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Treasury functions include administering the investment securities portfolios, balance sheet funding, interest rate risk management and MSR asset valuation, hedging and sales into the secondary market. In addition, the Other segment includes revenue and expenses related to treasury and corporate assets and liabilities and equity not directly assigned or allocated to the Mortgage Originations, Mortgage Servicing or Community Banking operating segments.

The following table presents financial information by business segment for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014					
	Mortgage Origination	Mortgage Servicing	Community Banking	Other	Total	
Summary of Operations	(Dollars in thousands)					
Net interest income	\$16,334	\$5,709	\$38,298	\$4,022	\$64,363	
Net gain on loan sales	52,283	—	(108) —	52,175	
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	(10,375) (2,163) —	—	(12,538)
Other noninterest income	16,273	12,265	14,250	2,763	45,551	
Total net interest income and noninterest income	74,515	15,811	52,440	6,785	149,551	
Provision for loan losses	—	—	(8,097) —	(8,097)
Asset resolution	(22) (12,417) (1,227) —	(13,666)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(272) (1,574) (1,335) (3,145) (6,326)
Other noninterest expense	(59,384) (56,570) (40,481) (2,962) (159,397)
Total noninterest expense	(59,678) (70,561) (51,140) (6,107) (187,486)
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	14,837	(54,750) 1,300	678	(37,935)
Benefit for federal income taxes	—	—	—	10,303	10,303	
Net income (loss)	\$14,837	\$(54,750) \$1,300	\$10,981	\$(27,632)
Intersegment revenue	\$1,454	\$4,415	\$(99) \$(5,770) \$—	
Average balances						
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,589,855	\$—	\$39,019	\$—	\$1,628,874	
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	—	1,215,357	—	—	1,215,357	
Loans held-for-investment	488	—	4,087,374	—	4,087,862	
Total assets	1,747,387	1,358,106	4,004,306	3,142,813	10,252,612	
Interest-bearing deposits	—	—	5,788,388	—	5,788,388	

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Mortgage Origination	Mortgage Servicing	Community Banking	Other	Total
Summary of Operations	(Dollars in thousands)				
Net interest income (loss)	\$19,788	\$9,837	\$37,809	\$(24,749)) \$42,685
Net gain on loan sales	78,687	(3,719)) 105	—	75,073
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	—	(5,205)) —	—	(5,205)
Other noninterest income	20,695	14,114	9,593	20,026	64,428
Total net interest income and noninterest income	119,170	15,027	47,507	(4,723)) 176,981
Provision for loan losses	—	—	(4,053)) —	(4,053)
Asset resolution	(27)) (14,001)) (2,265)) (2)) (16,295)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(147)) (1,645)) (1,024)) (2,741)) (5,557)
Other noninterest expense	(76,212)) (15,735)) (38,471)) (6,166)) (136,584)
Total noninterest expense	(76,386)) (31,381)) (45,813)) (8,909)) (162,489)
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	42,784	(16,354)) 1,694	(13,632)) 14,492
Benefit for federal income taxes	—	—	—	(220)) (220)
Net income (loss)	\$42,784	\$(16,354)) \$1,694	\$(13,852)) \$14,272
Intersegment revenue	\$1,236	\$12,916	\$1,341	\$(15,493)) \$—
Average balances					
Loans held-for-sale	\$2,134,642	\$—	\$22,324	\$—	\$2,156,966
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	—	1,364,949	—	—	1,364,949
Loans held-for-investment	231	—	4,032,584	17,805	4,050,620
Total assets	2,206,546	1,582,925	4,086,108	4,463,940	12,339,519
Interest-bearing deposits	—	—	5,887,049	19,949	5,906,998

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014					
	Mortgage Origination	Mortgage Servicing	Community Banking	Other	Total	
Summary of Operations	(Dollars in thousands)					
Net interest income (loss)	\$42,104	\$16,937	\$110,510	\$15,437	\$184,988	
Net gain on loan sales	155,157	—	(2,891) 9	152,275	
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	(10,375) (5,717) —	—	(16,092)
Other noninterest income	42,304	46,797	12,484	24,857	126,442	
Total net interest income and noninterest income	229,190	58,017	120,103	40,303	447,613	
Provision for loan losses	—	—	(126,567) —	(126,567)
Asset resolution	(51) (40,688) (2,369) —	(43,108)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(777) (4,721) (3,726) (8,712) (17,936)
Other noninterest expense	(159,554) (91,589) (119,127) (8,680) (378,950)
Total noninterest expense	(160,382) (136,998) (251,789) (17,392) (566,561)
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	68,808	(78,981) (131,686) 22,911	(118,948)
Benefit for federal income taxes	—	—	—	38,407	38,407	
Net income (loss)	\$68,808	\$(78,981) \$(131,686) \$61,318	\$(80,541)
Intersegment revenue	\$7,168	\$13,691	\$(2,730) \$(18,129) \$—	
Average balances						
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,406,780	\$—	\$75,370	\$—	\$1,482,150	
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	—	1,240,677	—	—	1,240,677	
Loans held-for-investment	305	—	3,956,292	—	3,956,597	
Total assets	1,559,208	1,378,649	3,945,220	2,913,140	9,796,217	
Interest-bearing deposits	—	—	5,490,837	—	5,490,837	

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Mortgage Origination	Mortgage Servicing	Community Banking	Other	Total
Summary of Operations	(Dollars in thousands)				
Net interest income (loss)	\$60,007	\$32,887	\$122,816	\$(70,262)) \$145,448
Net gain on loan sales	371,597	(14,588)) 395	—	357,404
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	—	(51,541)) —	—	(51,541)
Other noninterest income	78,440	44,979	21,289	88,628	233,336
Total net interest income and noninterest income	510,044	11,737	144,500	18,366	684,647
Provision for loan losses	—	—	(56,030)) —	(56,030)
Asset resolution	(163)) (51,819)) 3,313	8	(48,661)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(447)) (4,824)) (2,961)) (7,709)) (15,941)
Other noninterest expense	(287,299)) (21,824)) (134,756)) (20,942)) (464,821)
Total noninterest expense	(287,909)) (78,467)) (190,434)) (28,643)) (585,453)
Income (loss) before federal income taxes	222,135	(66,730)) (45,934)) (10,277)) 99,194
Benefit for federal income taxes	—	—	—	5,888	5,888
Net income (loss)	\$222,135	\$(66,730)) \$(45,934)) \$(4,389)) \$105,082
Intersegment revenue	\$4,505	\$51,198	\$3,354	\$(59,057)) \$—
Average balances					
Loans held-for-sale	\$2,556,938	\$—	\$238,874	\$—	\$2,795,812
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	—	1,558,495	—	—	1,558,495
Loans held-for-investment	158	—	4,458,430	4,603	4,463,191
Total assets	2,658,341	1,808,122	4,694,225	3,832,034	12,992,722
Interest-bearing deposits	—	—	6,436,520	21,309	6,457,829

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Where we say "we," "us," or "our," we usually mean Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. However, in some cases, a reference to "we," "us," or "our" will include our wholly-owned subsidiary Flagstar Bank, FSB, which we refer to as the "Bank."

FORWARD – LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in a forward-looking statement. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, plans, goals, objectives and future financial or other performance. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Except to fulfill our obligations under the U.S. securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any such statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made.

There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to the following items:

General business and economic conditions, including unemployment rates, movements in interest rates, the slope (1) of the yield curve, any increase in mortgage fraud and other related activity and the changes in asset values in certain geographic markets, that affect us or our counterparties;

Volatile interest rates, and our ability to effectively hedge against them, which could affect, among other things, (2)(i) the overall mortgage business, (ii) our ability to originate or acquire loans and to sell assets at a profit, (iii) prepayment speeds, (iv) our cost of funds and (v) investments in mortgage servicing rights;

(3) The adequacy of our allowance for loan losses and our representation and warranty reserves;

(4) Changes in accounting standards generally applicable to us and our application of such standards, including in the calculation of the fair value of our assets and liabilities;

(5) Our ability to borrow funds, maintain or increase deposits or raise capital on commercially reasonable terms or at all and our ability to achieve or maintain desired capital ratios;

(6) Changes in material factors affecting our loan portfolio, particularly our residential mortgage loans, and the market areas where our business is geographically concentrated or further loan portfolio or geographic concentration;

Changes in, or expansion of, the regulation of financial services companies and government-sponsored housing enterprises, including new legislation, regulations, rulemaking and interpretive guidance, enforcement actions, the (7) imposition of fines and other penalties by our regulators, the impact of existing laws and regulations, new or changed roles or guidelines of government-sponsored entities, changes in regulatory capital ratios, and increases in deposit insurance premiums and special assessments of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;

(8) Our ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the Supervisory Agreement with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve and the Bank's ability to comply with the Consent Order with the Office of Comptroller of the Currency and the Consent Order of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and our ability to address any

further matters raised by these regulators, and other regulators or government bodies;

Our ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice and the (9) impact of compliance with that agreement and our ability to accurately estimate the financial impact of that agreement, including the fair value and timing of the future payments;

Table of Contents

(10) The Bank's ability to make capital distributions and our ability to pay dividends on our capital stock or interest on our trust preferred securities;

(11) Our ability to attract and retain senior management and other qualified personnel to execute our business strategy, including our entry into new lines of business, our introduction of new products and services and management of risks relating thereto, and our competing in the mortgage loan originations, mortgage servicing and commercial and retail banking lines of business;

(12) Our ability to satisfy our mortgage servicing and subservicing obligations and manage repurchases and indemnity demands by mortgage loan purchasers, guarantors and insurers;

(13) The outcome and cost of defending current and future legal or regulatory litigation, proceedings or investigations;

(14) Our ability to create and maintain an effective risk management framework and effectively manage risk, including, among other things, market, interest rate, credit and liquidity risk, including risks relating to the cyclicity and seasonality of our mortgage banking business, litigation and regulatory risk, operational risk, counterparty risk and reputational risk;

(15) The control by, and influence of, our majority stockholder;

(16) A failure of, interruption in or cybersecurity attack on our network or computer systems, which could impact our ability to properly collect, process and maintain personal data, ensure ongoing mortgage and banking operations, or maintain system integrity with respect to funds settlement; and

(17) Our ability to meet our forecasted earnings such that we would need to establish a valuation allowance against our deferred tax asset;

All of the above factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect actual results, and may be beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for our management to predict all such factors or to assess the effect of each such factor on our business.

Please also refer to Item 1A to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and Item 1A to Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which are incorporated by reference herein, for further information on these and other factors affecting us.

Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable estimates and assumptions, they are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, contingencies and other factors. Accordingly, we cannot give you any assurance that our expectations will in fact occur or that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

General

We are a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. Our business is primarily conducted through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At September 30, 2014, our total assets were \$9.6 billion, making us the largest bank headquartered in Michigan and one of the top ten largest savings banks in the United States. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "FBC." We are considered a controlled company for NYSE purposes, because MP Thrift Investments, L.P. ("MP Thrift") held approximately 63.3 percent of our common stock as of September 30, 2014.

As a savings and loan holding company, we are subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the "Federal Reserve"). The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Bank is also subject to the rule-making, supervision and examination authority of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"), which is responsible for enforcing the principal federal consumer protection laws. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

In January 2014, we reorganized the manner in which our operations are managed based on core operating functions. The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is also how the results are monitored and performance assessed. We expect that the combination of our business model and the services that our operating segments provide will result in a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. Our business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, including originating, acquiring, selling and servicing one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, which we believe is distinguished by timely processing and customer service.

Our Mortgage Originations segment originates or purchases residential mortgage loans throughout the country and sells them into securitization pools, primarily to Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") (collectively, the "Agencies") or as whole loans. The majority of our total loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 represented mortgage loans that were collateralized by residential mortgages on single-family residences and were eligible for sale to the Agencies. Our revenue primarily consists of net gain on loan sales, loan fees and charges and interest income from residential mortgage loans held-for-sale. At September 30, 2014, we originated residential mortgage loans through our wholesale relationships with approximately 700 mortgage brokers and approximately 800 correspondents, which were located in all 50 states. At September 30, 2014, we also operated 32 home loan centers located in 18 states, which primarily originate one-to-four family residential mortgage loans as part of our Mortgage Originations segment. The combination of our home lending, broker and correspondent channels gives us broad access to customers across diverse geographies to originate, fulfill, sell and service our residential mortgage loan products. We also originate mortgage loans through referrals from our banking centers, consumer direct call center and our website, www.flagstar.com.

Our Mortgage Servicing segment activities primarily consist of collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, assisting homeowners through loss mitigation activities, and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to mortgage-backed securities investors and escrow payments to third parties. These activities are performed on a fee basis for third party mortgage servicing rights holders, residential mortgages held for investment by the Community Banking segment and mortgage servicing rights held by the Other segment.

Our Community Banking segment revenues include net interest income and fee-based income from community banking services. At September 30, 2014, we operated 106 banking centers in Michigan (of which eight were located

in third party retail stores). Of the 106 banking centers, 70 facilities are owned and 36 facilities are leased. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we relocated one and closed five banking centers to better align the branch structure with the Company's focus on key market areas and to improve banking center efficiencies. Through our banking centers, we gather deposits and offer a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and businesses. We provide deposit and cash management services to governmental units on a relationship basis. We leverage our banking centers to cross-sell loans, deposit products and insurance and investment services to existing customers and to increase our customer base by attracting new customers. At September 30, 2014, we had a total of \$7.2 billion in deposits, including \$5.2 billion in retail deposits, \$1.1 billion in government deposits and \$0.9 billion in company controlled deposits.

At September 30, 2014, we had 2,725 full-time equivalent salaried employees of which 233 were account executives and loan officers.

Recent Developments

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Settlement

The Bank has entered into a consent order with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The consent order relates to alleged violations of federal consumer financial laws arising from the Bank's loss mitigation practices and default servicing operations dating back to 2011. Under the terms of the consent order, the Bank has paid \$27.5 million for borrower remediation and \$10.0 million in civil money penalties. The settlement does not involve any admission of wrongdoing on the part of the Bank or its employees, directors, officers or agents.

Organizational Restructuring

On January 16, 2014, we completed an organizational restructuring to reduce expenses consistent with our previously communicated strategy of optimizing its cost structure across all business lines. As part of this restructuring initiative, we reduced full-time equivalents by approximately 350 during the first quarter 2014. Including the restructuring completed in the third quarter 2013, we have reduced staffing levels across the organization by approximately 600 full-time equivalents from our September 30, 2013 level.

Sale of Mortgage Servicing Rights

On December 18, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of our mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation ("Matrix"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, we entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans sold to Matrix. As a result, we will receive subservicing income and retain a portion of the ancillary fees to be paid as the subservicer of the loans.

During the first nine months of 2014, we had bulk sales of mortgage servicing rights related to \$13.7 billion in underlying mortgage loans, for which \$9.7 billion we simultaneously entered into agreements to subservice the residential mortgage loans covered under the agreements to sell. The agreements cover certain mortgage loans serviced for Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae.

Table of Contents

Summary of Operations

Our net loss applicable to common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$27.6 million (\$0.61 per diluted share), compared to income \$12.8 million (\$0.16 per diluted share), for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Our net loss applicable to common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$81.0 million (\$1.79 per diluted share), compared to net income of \$100.7 million (\$1.59 per diluted share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The change during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was attributed to the following factors:

Net gain on loan sales decreased \$205.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, to \$152.3 million, primarily due to lower mortgage volume, consistent with an overall industry production decrease, impacted by the current interest rate environment;

Provision for loan losses increased by \$70.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, to \$126.6 million, primarily driven by two changes in estimates: the evaluation of current data related to the loss emergence period related to our residential mortgage loan portfolio and the evaluation of the enhanced risk associated with payment resets relating to interest-only loans;

Other noninterest income decreased by \$60.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, to \$2.6 million, primarily due to a 2014 negative fair value adjustment primarily related to performing loans repurchased in 2014 and the income of \$36.8 million related to the reconsolidation, at fair value, of the HELOC securitization trusts and elimination of contingent liabilities as a result of a legal settlement in the second quarter 2013;

Net return on the mortgage servicing asset decreased \$51.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, to \$22.5 million, primarily due to lower agency revenue resulting from the sale of MSR assets, while retaining subservicing, offset by higher relative net value of the MSR asset;

Net loan fees and charges decreased by \$27.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, to \$56.3 million, primarily due to lower mortgage origination volume, partially offset by a benefit from a contract renegotiation; and

Other noninterest expense increased \$21.9 million to \$52.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, primarily due to remediation costs related to the CFPB legal settlement and a non-deductible penalty in 2014. Offsetting this expense was a decrease in the fair value liability associated with the Department of Justice (“DOJ”) settlement arising principally from updating of the related payment schedule within the settlement agreement. For further information on this fair value liability, see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein and noninterest expense explained below.

These decreases in net income were partially offset by the following factors:

Net interest income increased \$39.5 million to \$185.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, primarily due to a fourth quarter 2013 prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances;

Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate decreased \$35.5 million to \$16.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, primarily due to the benefit associated with the previously announced settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, offset by a \$10.4 million change in estimate related to indemnification on government loans.

•

Compensation and benefit expense decreased \$35.0 million to \$174.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, primarily due to a reduction in headcount; and

Legal and professional expense decreased \$25.0 million to \$39.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, primarily due to lower consulting fees.

Table of Contents

Selected Financial Ratios

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Mortgage loans originated (1)	\$7,186,856	\$7,737,143	\$18,004,136	\$31,042,635	
Other loans originated	\$84,084	\$93,347	\$387,992	\$235,850	
Mortgage loans sold and securitized	\$7,072,398	\$8,344,737	\$17,576,502	\$32,291,437	
Interest rate spread (2)	2.79	% 1.39	% 2.84	% 1.48	%
Net interest margin (3)	2.91	% 1.62	% 2.95	% 1.71	%
Average common shares outstanding	56,249,300	56,096,376	56,224,850	56,041,844	
Average fully diluted shares outstanding	56,249,300	56,541,089	56,224,850	56,458,898	
Average interest earning assets	\$8,814,713	\$10,564,417	\$8,344,833	\$11,311,033	
Average interest paying liabilities	\$7,034,094	\$9,054,952	\$6,734,056	\$9,673,571	
Average stockholders' equity	\$1,402,165	\$1,266,267	\$1,409,641	\$1,226,683	
Return on average assets	(1.08)% 0.42	% (1.10)% 1.03	%
Return on average equity	(7.88)% 4.05	% (7.66)% 10.95	%
Efficiency ratio	120.0	% 89.5	% 98.3	% 77.3	%
Efficiency ratio (adjusted) (4)	86.8	% 87.0	% 90.0	% 76.2	%
Equity/assets ratio (average for the period)	13.68	% 10.26	% 14.39	% 9.44	%
Charge-offs to average LHFI (5)	1.36	% 3.96	% 1.17	% 4.60	%
		September 30,	December 31,	September 30,	
		2014	2013	2013	
Book value per common share		\$19.28	\$20.66	\$17.96	
Number of common shares outstanding		56,261,652	56,138,074	56,114,572	
Mortgage loans serviced for others		\$26,329,802	\$25,743,396	\$74,200,317	
Mortgage loans subserviced for others		\$46,695,465	\$40,431,865	\$—	
Weighted average service fee (basis points)		26.8	28.7	29.3	
Capitalized value of mortgage servicing rights		1.08	% 1.11	% 1.07	%
Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital (4)		25.2	% 22.6	% 56.8	%
Ratio of allowance for loans losses to nonperforming LHFI (5)		295.4	% 145.9	% 152.6	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to LHFI (5)		7.60	% 5.42	% 5.50	%
Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets (bank only)		1.40	% 1.95	% 1.74	%
Equity-to-assets ratio		14.04	% 15.16	% 10.78	%
Tier 1 leverage ratio (to adjusted total assets) (6)		12.38	% 13.97	% 11.98	%
Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) (6)		24.14	% 28.11	% 27.85	%
Number of banking centers		106	111	111	
Number of loan origination centers		32	39	45	
Number of employees (excludes loan officers and account executives)		2,492	2,894	3,069	
Number of loan officers and account executives		233	359	359	

(1) Includes residential first mortgage and second mortgage loans.

(2) Interest rate spread is the difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

(3) Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period's average interest-earning assets.

(4) See Non-GAAP reconciliation.

(5) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

(6) Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of tangible capital and core capital, and risk-weighted assets for purposes of risk-based capital and total risk-based capital. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the amount we earn on the average balances of our interest-earning assets, less the amount we incur on the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities. Interest income recorded on loans is reduced by the amortization net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs.

Net interest income increased \$21.7 million to \$64.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$42.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase for the three months ended September 30, 2014, is primarily due to a \$1.9 billion decrease in FHLB average balance due to the prepayment completed in the fourth quarter 2013. Net interest income represented 43.0 percent of our total revenue for the three month ended September 30, 2014, compared to 24.1 percent for the three month ended September 30, 2013.

Interest income decreased \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 to \$75.1 million, compared to \$78.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in interest income was primarily driven by lower interest rates earned on loans repurchased with government guarantees and lower average balances of loans available for sale during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. These unfavorable variances were offset by an increase in the average balance of investment securities during the same period. The average yield on interest-earning assets increased 41 basis points, to 3.39 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2014 from 2.98 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the purchase of investment securities.

Interest expense decreased \$25.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 to \$10.7 million, compared to \$36.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the fourth quarter 2013 prepayment of FHLB advances. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 98 basis points to 0.60 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2014 from 1.58 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Our net interest margin for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was 2.91 percent, as compared to 1.62 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net interest income increased \$39.5 million to \$185.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$145.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, is primarily due to a \$1.9 billion decrease in the average balance of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Net interest income represented 41.3 percent of our total revenue for the nine month ended September 30, 2014, compared to 21.2 percent for the nine month ended September 30, 2013.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, interest income decreased \$45.5 million to \$213.4 million, compared to \$258.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in interest income was primarily driven by lower average balances in the mortgage loans available-for-sale and warehouse loans held-for-investment portfolios, primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This decrease reflects an industry-wide reduction in mortgage loan originations due to slightly higher rates and tightened industry credit standards. The average yield on interest-earning assets increased 35 basis points, to 3.40 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from 3.05 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The average yield on loans held-for-sale increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 due to rising mortgage rates, while the yields on loans held in portfolio have continued to decline.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, interest expense decreased \$85.0 million to \$28.4 million, compared to \$113.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the fourth quarter 2013 prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$3.0 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to \$2.0

billion decrease in the average Federal Home Loan Bank advances average balance and \$0.9 billion decrease in average balance of deposits. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 101 basis points to 0.56 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from 1.57 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our interest rate spread was 2.84 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to 1.48 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our net interest margin was 2.95 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to 1.71 percent the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The following tables present on a consolidated basis interest income from average earning assets, expressed in dollars and yields, and interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and rates. Interest income recorded

Table of Contents

on our loans is adjusted by the amortization of net premiums, net deferred loan origination costs and the amount of negative amortization (i.e., capitalized interest) arising from our option ARM loans.

	Three Months Ended September 30,							
	2014			2013				
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate	
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Interest-Earning Assets								
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,628,874	\$17,949	4.41	%	\$2,156,966	\$22,348	4.14	%
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	1,215,357	7,589	2.50	%	1,364,949	12,307	3.61	%
Loans held-for-investment								
Consumer loans (1)	3,185,208	30,725	3.84	%	3,412,909	34,711	4.06	%
Commercial loans (1)	902,654	7,797	3.38	%	637,711	6,267	3.85	%
Loans held-for-investment	4,087,862	38,522	3.74	%	4,050,620	40,978	4.03	%
Investment securities available-for-sale or trading	1,642,071	10,880	2.64	%	295,923	1,465	1.98	%
Interest-earning deposits and other	240,550	154	0.25	%	2,695,959	1,709	0.25	%
Total interest-earning assets	8,814,714	75,094	3.39	%	10,564,417	78,807	2.98	%
Other assets	1,437,898				1,775,102			
Total assets	\$10,252,612				\$12,339,519			
Interest-Bearing Liabilities								
Demand deposits	\$421,062	\$147	0.14	%	\$394,418	\$183	0.18	%
Savings deposits	3,274,268	5,482	0.66	%	2,815,893	4,268	0.60	%
Money market deposits	261,740	134	0.20	%	314,459	144	0.18	%
Certificates of deposit	891,308	1,682	0.75	%	1,787,318	4,068	0.90	%
Total retail deposits	4,848,378	7,445	0.61	%	5,312,088	8,663	0.65	%
Demand deposits	217,862	213	0.39	%	55,571	106	0.76	%
Savings deposits	378,013	504	0.53	%	163,869	113	0.27	%
Certificates of deposit	344,135	299	0.35	%	303,329	221	0.29	%
Total government deposits	940,010	1,016	0.43	%	522,769	440	0.33	%
Wholesale deposits	—	—	—	%	72,141	920	5.06	%
Total deposits	5,788,388	8,461	0.58	%	5,906,998	10,023	0.67	%
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	998,272	591	0.23	%	2,900,519	24,434	3.34	%
Other	247,435	1,679	2.69	%	247,435	1,665	2.67	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	7,034,095	10,731	0.60	%	9,054,952	36,122	1.58	%
Other liabilities (2)	1,816,352				2,018,300			
Stockholders' equity	1,402,165				1,266,267			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$10,252,612				\$12,339,519			
Net interest-earning assets	\$1,780,619				\$1,509,465			
Net interest income		\$64,363				\$42,685		
Interest rate spread (3)			2.79	%			1.39	%
Net interest margin (4)			2.91	%			1.62	%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities			125.3	%			116.7	%

(1) Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans. Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial

lease financing loans.

- (2) Includes company controlled deposits that arise due to the servicing of loans for others, which do not bear interest.
- (3) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

68

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			2013				
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate		
Interest-Earning Assets								
Loans held-for-sale	\$ 1,482,150	\$47,385	4.26	% \$ 2,795,812	\$71,357	3.40		%
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	1,240,677	23,503	2.53	% 1,558,495	40,532	3.47		%
Loans held-for-investment								
Consumer loans (1)	3,153,021	92,431	3.90	% 3,795,003	116,625	4.10		%
Commercial loans (1)	803,576	21,320	3.50	% 668,189	20,798	4.10		%
Loans held-for-investment	3,956,597	113,751	3.82	% 4,463,192	137,423	4.10		%
Investment securities available-for-sale or trading	1,453,914	28,302	2.60	% 294,722	5,397	2.44		%
Interest-earning deposits and other	211,495	417	0.26	% 2,198,812	4,145	0.25		%
Total interest-earning assets	8,344,833	213,358	3.40	% 11,311,033	258,854	3.05		%
Other assets	1,451,384			1,681,689				
Total assets	\$9,796,217			\$12,992,722				
Interest-Bearing Liabilities								
Demand deposits	\$422,165	\$438	0.14	% \$392,695	\$627	0.21		%
Savings deposits	3,053,225	13,210	0.58	% 2,588,468	13,302	0.69		%
Money market deposits	268,957	383	0.19	% 349,016	697	0.27		%
Certificates of deposit	941,036	5,240	0.74	% 2,353,359	15,914	0.90		%
Total retail deposits	4,685,383	19,271	0.55	% 5,683,538	30,540	0.72		%
Demand deposits	165,644	468	0.38	% 89,416	327	0.49		%
Savings deposits	297,587	1,111	0.50	% 213,403	591	0.37		%
Certificates of deposit	341,111	807	0.32	% 395,499	1,372	0.46		%
Total government deposits	804,342	2,386	0.40	% 698,318	2,290	0.44		%
Wholesale deposits	1,112	31	3.76	% 75,973	2,850	5.01		%
Total Deposits	5,490,837	21,688	0.53	% 6,457,829	35,680	0.74		%
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	995,271	1,725	0.23	% 2,968,308	72,766	3.28		%
Other	247,948	4,957	2.68	% 247,435	4,960	2.68		%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	6,734,056	28,370	0.56	% 9,673,572	113,406	1.57		%
Other liabilities (2)	1,652,520			2,092,467				
Stockholders' equity	1,409,641			1,226,683				
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$9,796,217			\$12,992,722				
Net interest-earning assets	\$ 1,610,777			\$1,637,461				
Net interest income		\$ 184,988			\$ 145,448			
Interest rate spread (3)			2.84	%		1.48		%
Net interest margin (4)			2.95	%		1.71		%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities			123.9	%		116.9		%

Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1) consumer loans. Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

(2) Includes company controlled deposits that arise due to the servicing of loans for others, which do not bear interest.

- (3) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following tables present the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are presented in the preceding table. The table below distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume while holding the initial rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in average rates while holding the initial balance constant). Changes attributable to both a change in volume and a change in rates were included as changes in rate.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Versus 2013 Increase (Decrease)		
	Due to:		
	Rate	Volume	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Interest-Earning Assets			
Loans held-for-sale	\$1,073	\$(5,472)	\$(4,399)
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	(3,369)	(1,349)	(4,718)
Loans held-for-investment			
Consumer loans (1)	(1,675)	(2,311)	(3,986)
Commercial loans (2)	(1,017)	2,547	1,530
Total loans held-for-investment	(2,692)	236	(2,456)
Securities available-for-sale or trading	2,754	6,661	9,415
Interest-earning deposits and other	(12)	(1,543)	(1,555)
Total other interest-earning assets	\$(2,246)	\$(1,467)	\$(3,713)
Interest-Bearing Liabilities			
Demand deposits	\$(48)	\$12	\$(36)
Savings deposits	525	689	1,214
Money market deposits	14	(24)	(10)
Certificates of deposits	(363)	(2,023)	(2,386)
Total retail deposits	128	(1,346)	(1,218)
Demand deposits	(201)	308	107
Savings deposits	244	146	390
Certificates of deposits	49	30	79
Total government deposits	92	484	576
Wholesale deposits	(8)	(912)	(920)
Total deposits	212	(1,774)	(1,562)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(7,959)	(15,884)	(23,843)
Other	14	—	14
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$(7,733)	\$(17,658)	\$(25,391)
Change in net interest income	\$5,487	\$16,191	\$21,678

(1) Consumer loans include residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans.

(2) Commercial loans include commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Versus 2013 Increase (Decrease)		
	Due to:		
	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest-Earning Assets			
Loans held-for-sale	\$9,546	\$(33,518)	\$(23,972)
Loans repurchased with government guarantees	(8,764)	(8,265)	(17,029)
Loans held-for-investment			
Consumer loans (1)	(4,453)	(19,741)	(24,194)
Commercial loans (2)	(3,646)	4,168	522
Total loans held-for-investment	(8,099)	(15,573)	(23,672)
Securities available-for-sale or trading	1,678	21,227	22,905
Interest-earning deposits and other	25	(3,753)	(3,728)
Total other interest-earning assets	\$(5,614)	\$(39,882)	\$(45,496)
Interest-Bearing Liabilities			
Demand deposits	\$(236)	\$47	\$(189)
Savings deposits	(2,487)	2,395	(92)
Money market deposits	(154)	(160)	(314)
Certificates of deposit	(1,097)	(9,577)	(10,674)
Total retail deposits	(3,974)	(7,295)	(11,269)
Demand deposits	(138)	278	140
Savings deposits	285	236	521
Certificates of deposit	(376)	(189)	(565)
Total government deposits	(229)	325	96
Wholesale deposits	(2)	(2,817)	(2,819)
Total deposits	(4,205)	(9,787)	(13,992)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(58,719)	(12,322)	(71,041)
Other	(13)	10	(3)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$(62,937)	\$(22,099)	\$(85,036)
Change in net interest income	\$57,323	\$(17,783)	\$39,540

(1) Consumer loans include residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans.

(2) Commercial loans include commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses reflects our estimate to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level to cover probable losses inherent in the portfolio for each of the respective periods.

The provision for loan losses was \$8.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, an increase from \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in the provision for loan losses during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to higher levels of charge-offs in the current quarter as a result of the sales of \$81.3 million unpaid principal balance of jumbo and non performing loans.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the provision for loan losses was \$126.6 million, as compared to \$56.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in the provision during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was primarily driven by two changes in estimates: the evaluation of current data related to the loss emergence period related to the portfolio of residential loans and the evaluation of the risk associated with payment resets relating to the interest-only loans.

Our allowance for loan losses considers the probable loss inherent in the portfolio. During 2014, we increased our allowance for loan losses related to two significant factors. We analyzed our recent data, including early stage delinquency, the increase in charge-offs for the first quarter of 2014, continued emergence of nonperforming loans and our assessment of the time from first delinquency to charge-off. As a result, as of March 31, 2014, we determined that our estimate of the average loss emergence period should be lengthened. This change resulted in an increase to the allowance for loan and lease loss that reflects our updated estimate of probable losses inherent in the portfolio in the amount of \$46.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The second significant factor is driven by the results of our model and the qualitative assessment of probable loss inherent in our portfolio, which increased by \$47.3 million from December 31, 2013, of which \$33.6 million was attributable to our qualitative assessment of probable loss arising from the interest-only portfolio, both before and after the payment reset date. Prior to December 31, 2013, we had experienced an insignificant volume of resets. The first significant volume of resets occurred during the first and second quarter of 2014 and we continue to monitor loans that have recently reset or are expected to reset in the near future. Data we reviewed through September 30, 2014, indicated that actual delinquency of the interest-only portfolio was greater than we had estimated at December 31, 2013. Additionally, these loans are refinancing at levels below what were previously estimated at December 31, 2013. We believe that the combination of these two factors may indicate an increase in future delinquencies and charge-offs. The allowance for loan losses increased to \$301.0 million at September 30, 2014 from \$207.0 million at December 31, 2013. These amounts include approximately \$116.0 million at September 30, 2014 and \$52.3 million at December 31, 2013 related only to certain interest-only loans included in our residential first mortgages and HELOC loan held-for-investment portfolios which increased due to both the estimates of the average loss emergence period and our qualitative assessment of the reset risk discussed above.

Net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2014 totaled \$13.1 million, compared to \$40.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to lower net losses on bulk sales, lower levels of nonperforming loans, and continuing improvements in the underlying collateral values. As a percentage of the average loans held-for-investment, annualized net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2014 decreased to 1.36 percent from 3.96 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net charge-offs for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 totaled \$32.6 million, compared to \$154.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to lower net losses on bulk sales, lower levels of nonperforming loans and continuing improvements in the underlying collateral values. As a percentage of the average loans held-for-investment, annualized net charge-offs for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 decreased to 1.17 percent from 4.60 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013,

primarily attributable to lower net losses on sales, lower levels of nonperforming loans and continuing improvements in the underlying collateral values.

See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

72

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth the components of our noninterest income.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Loan fees and charges	\$18,661	\$20,876	\$56,272	\$84,152
Deposit fees and charges	5,618	5,410	15,660	15,749
Net gain on loan sales	52,175	75,073	152,275	357,404
Loan administration income	5,599	1,454	18,826	2,752
Net return on mortgage servicing asset	1,346	27,217	22,475	73,949
Net gain on sale of assets	4,874	98	10,626	2,120
Total other-than-temporary impairment loss	—	—	—	(8,789)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	—	—	—	(8,789)
Representation and warranty reserve – change in estimate	(12,538)	(5,205)	(16,092)	(51,541)
Other noninterest income	9,453	9,471	2,583	63,401
Total noninterest income	\$85,188	\$134,394	\$262,625	\$539,197

Total noninterest income was \$85.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, which was a \$49.2 million decrease from \$134.4 million of noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2014, was primarily due to a decrease in net return on mortgage servicing assets and net gain on loan sales. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total noninterest income decreased to \$262.6 million, from \$539.2 million of noninterest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, were primarily due to decreases in net gain on loan sales, other noninterest income, loan fees and charges and loan administration, partially offset by a decrease in representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate.

Loan fees and charges. Our Mortgage Originations and Community Banking segments both earn loan origination fees and collect other charges in connection with originating residential mortgages, commercial loans and other consumer loans held-for-sale and held-for-investment. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 loan fees and charges decreased to \$18.7 million, as compared to \$20.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in loan fees and charges during the three months ended September 30, 2014, is primarily due to a decrease in total loan originations to \$7.2 billion, compared to \$7.7 billion during the three months ended September 30, 2013, partially offset by a \$10.0 million benefit from a contract renegotiation during the second quarter of 2014. Loan fees and charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$56.3 million, compared to \$84.2 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Total loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$18.4 billion, compared to \$31.3 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Commercial loan origination fees are capitalized and added as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees are accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or when the loan is sold. We account for substantially all residential first mortgage originations as held-for-sale using the fair value method and no longer apply deferral of non-refundable fees and costs to those loans.

Net gain on loan sales. Our Mortgage Originations segment records income it generates from the origination of residential mortgage loans. The amount of net gain on loan sales recognized is a function of the volume of mortgage loans originated for sale and the fair value of these loans, net of related selling expenses. Net gain on loan sales is increased or decreased by any mark to market pricing adjustments on loan commitments and forward sales commitments, increases to the representation and warranty reserve related to loans sold during the period, and related

administrative expenses. The volatility in the gain on sale spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand and the general level of interest rates. Historically, pricing competition on mortgage loans is lower in periods of low or decreasing interest rates, due to higher consumer demand usually evidenced by higher loan origination levels, resulting in higher spreads on origination. Conversely, pricing competition increases when interest rates rise, which generally reduces consumer demand, thus decreasing spreads on origination and compressing gain on sale. Increases or decreases in competition may also arise as competitors enter and/or leave the loan origination market.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information on our net gain on loan sales reported in our consolidated financial statements and loans sold within the period.

	Three Months Ended					
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Net gain on loan sales	\$52,175	\$54,756	\$45,342	\$44,790	\$75,073	
Mortgage rate lock commitments (gross)	\$7,713,074	\$8,187,881	\$6,039,871	\$6,481,782	\$8,340,000	
Loans sold and securitized	\$7,072,398	\$6,029,817	\$4,474,287	\$6,783,212	\$8,344,797	
Net margin on loan sales	0.74	% 0.91	% 1.01	% 0.66	% 0.90	%
Mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1)	\$6,304,425	\$6,693,366	\$4,853,637	\$5,298,728	\$6,605,432	
Net margin on mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1)	0.83	% 0.82	% 0.93	% 0.85	% 1.14	%

(1) Fallout adjusted locks are mortgage rate lock commitments which are adjusted by a percentage of mortgage loans in the pipeline that are not expected to close based on previous historical experience and the level of interest rates.

The decrease in net gain on loan sales for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to a decrease in loan origination volume. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the gross mortgage rate-lock commitments of \$7.7 billion decreased, compared to \$8.3 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to increased mortgage interest rates and lower mortgage loan production consistent with industry trends. Loan sales correspondingly decreased to \$7.1 billion during three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$8.3 billion in loan sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net gain on loan sales decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, from the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Loan sales decreased to \$17.6 billion in loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$32.3 billion sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the mortgage rate lock commitments decreased to \$21.9 billion, compared to \$32.8 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in gain on loan sales was primarily due to a lower volume of mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower gain on sale margin, reflecting lower base production margin.

The net gain on loan sale includes changes in amounts related to derivatives and provisions to representation and warranty reserve. Changes in amounts related to loan commitments and forward sales commitments amounted to losses of \$1.1 million and \$8.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to losses of \$130.0 million and \$77.8 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The provision for representation and warranty reserve included in net gain on loan sales reflects our initial estimate of losses on probable mortgage repurchases arising from current loan sales and amounted to \$2.0 million and \$4.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$3.7 million and \$14.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Loan administration income. During the fourth quarter of 2013 we completed a MSR bulk sale and simultaneously entered into an agreement to subservice the residential mortgage loans. This arrangement allows us to collect

subservicing fees, ancillary income and other charges on these loans. Loan administration income totaled \$5.6 million and \$18.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in loan administration income over the prior year reflects the increase in the subservicing portfolio. The total unpaid principal balance of loans subserviced for others was \$46.7 billion at September 30, 2014, \$40.4 billion at December 31, 2013 and zero at September 30, 2013.

Net return on mortgage servicing asset. When our Mortgage Originations segment sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, we usually retain the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee. Our mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are accounted for utilizing the fair value method with changes in fair value recorded as a component of net return on mortgage servicing rights and related hedging instruments.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes loan administration income.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Income on mortgage servicing				
Servicing fees	\$17,163	\$51,105	\$51,319	\$154,924
Fair value adjustments	(14,593)) (18,099)) (38,366)) (3,237)
(Loss) gain on hedging activity	(364)) (4,025)) 9,525	(67,491)
Net transaction costs	(860)) (1,764)) (3)) (10,246)
Total net return on mortgage servicing asset	\$1,346	\$27,217	\$22,475	\$73,950

(1)Includes the servicing fees, ancillary income and charges on other consumer mortgage servicing.

Net return on mortgage servicing asset was \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$27.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in net return on mortgage servicing asset during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to increased sales of MSRs during the past year, offset by, a more favorable adjustment to the fair value of the MSR and related hedges. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, we had \$4.9 billion in sales of mortgage servicing rights on a bulk basis and \$71.3 million sales on a mortgage servicing released basis. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had no sales of mortgage servicing rights on a bulk basis and \$40.4 million sales on a mortgage servicing released basis. We had no sales on a flow basis during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others at September 30, 2014 was \$26.3 billion, compared to \$74.2 billion at September 30, 2013.

Net return on mortgage servicing asset was \$22.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$74.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in the MSR asset as a result of MSR sales. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we sold mortgage servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$13.7 billion and \$128.8 million on a servicing released basis. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold mortgage servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$23.4 billion and \$0.2 billion on a mortgage servicing released basis. We had \$470.2 million of sales on a flow basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to no sales on a flow basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others at September 30, 2014 was \$26.3 billion, compared to \$25.7 billion at December 31, 2013.

Net impairment loss recognized through earnings. We recognize other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") related to credit losses on securities through operations with any remainder recognized through other comprehensive income. We dissolved our mortgage securitization during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and we no longer carry any OTTI associated with the mortgage securitization as of June 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were \$8.8 million of credit losses recognized with respect to the mortgage securitization. All OTTI due to credit losses were recognized as an expense in current operations.

Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the probable losses inherent in loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments due to our change in estimate of probable losses from probable repurchase obligations related to loans sold in prior periods.

Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, probable loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. The assumptions used to estimate the representation and warranty reserve contain a level of uncertainty and risk that could have a material impact on the reserve balance if they differ from actual results. For instance, to illustrate the sensitivity of the reserve to adverse changes, if the expected levels of demands in the model assumptions increased or decreased by 20 percent at September 30, 2014, the result would be a \$8.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. If our loss severity rate increased or decreased by 20 percent at September 30, 2014, the result would be a \$9.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. In order to estimate the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve to a particular factor, the factors were varied within the model while keeping the other variables constant. For example, when

Table of Contents

estimating the impact to the representation and warranty reserve due to a change in expected levels of demands, the level of expected demands for each vintage within the model varied by the same percentage, holding other factors constant.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, we added \$12.5 million to the reserves, compared to an addition to the reserve of \$5.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in expense during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily to account for a probable increase in the number of claims expected on government loans for which Flagstar has previously executed indemnification agreements.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we recorded an addition to the reserve of \$16.1 million, as compared to the \$51.5 million recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease from the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is primarily due to lower losses expected following the settlement with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac offset partially by an increase to account for the liability associated with government loans.

Other noninterest income. Other noninterest income includes certain miscellaneous fees, including dividends received on Federal Home Loan Bank stock and our fair value adjustment relating to the loans held-for-investment carried under the fair value option.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, other noninterest income decreased to \$2.6 million compared to \$63.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease included a negative fair value adjustment primarily related to performing loans repurchased recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and a net \$36.8 million positive fair value adjustment related to the Assured and MBIA settlement agreements during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth the components of our noninterest expense.

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September		
	30,	30,	30,	30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Compensation and benefits	\$53,503	\$61,552	\$174,291	\$209,696	
Commissions	10,346	12,099	26,098	44,962	
Occupancy and equipment	20,471	18,644	60,265	60,218	
Asset resolution	13,666	16,295	43,108	48,661	
Federal insurance premiums	5,633	7,910	17,402	26,941	
Loan processing expense	10,472	10,890	26,406	43,390	
Legal and professional expense	15,044	19,593	39,826	64,822	
Other noninterest expense	50,254	11,453	52,598	30,732	
Total noninterest expense	\$179,389	\$158,436	\$439,994	\$529,422	
Efficiency ratio (1)	120.0	% 89.5	% 98.3	% 77.3	%
Efficiency ratio (adjusted) (2)	86.8	% 87.0	% 90.0	% 76.2	%

(1) Total operating and administrative expenses divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

(2) Based on efficiency ratios as calculated, less representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate and significant items; "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

The 13.2 percent increase in total noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to an increase in noninterest expense resulting from a

settlement agreement with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau announced on September 29, 2014. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total noninterest expense decreased to \$440.0 million, from \$529.4 million of noninterest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was primarily due to decreases in legal and professional expenses, compensation and benefits, commissions and loan processing expense.

Compensation and benefits. The \$8.1 million decrease in compensation and benefits expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, is primarily due to a reduction in

Table of Contents

headcount and the resulting decrease in employee related expenses such as benefits. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compensation and benefits expense decreased \$35.4 million primarily attributable to a reduction in our headcount. Our full-time equivalent non-commissioned salaried employees decreased from 3,069 at September 30, 2013 to 2,492 at September 30, 2014. The decrease in our full-time equivalent non-commissioned salaried employees was primarily due to the organization restructuring that was previously announced in January 2014.

Commissions. Commissions expense, which is a variable cost associated with loan originations, totaled \$26.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, equal to 14 basis points of total loan originations, compared to \$45.0 million, equal to 14 basis points of total loan originations in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in commissions is primarily due to a decrease in loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Loan originations decreased to \$18.4 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from \$31.3 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Federal insurance premiums. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, our federal insurance premiums were \$17.4 million, compared to \$26.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The \$9.5 million decrease was primarily due to decreases in our assessment rate and our assessment base. The decrease in the assessment rate was due to a reduction in higher risk assets. The reduction in the assessment base was caused primarily by a decrease in the average total assets from the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Loan processing expense. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 loan processing expense decreased to \$26.4 million, compared to \$43.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to a \$12.9 billion decrease in loan originations.

Legal and professional expense. Legal and professional expense decreased to \$15.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$19.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Legal and professional expense decreased to \$39.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$64.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in both periods was primarily due to lower consulting expenses related to projects and legal fees incurred.

Other noninterest expense. Other noninterest expense increased to \$50.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$11.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the fair value liability associated with the Department of Justice (“DOJ”) settlement arising principally from updating the related payment schedule within the settlement agreement. The fair value measurement depends in part upon the timing of cash payments required under the settlement agreement. The fair value measurement of the DOJ liability prior to June 30, 2014 included an expectation that a \$25 million payment would be made in July 2014. This expectation was based on all of the objective terms of the settlement agreement as of December 31, 2013 which would require one \$25 million payment in July 2014. After the end of the second quarter, but prior to our filing of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, a subjective contractual provision outside of Flagstar’s control was exercised, causing us to be unable to make the July 2014 payment. The exercise of this provision impacted the fair value measurement by rescheduling the estimated July 2014 payment of \$25 million to 2021 and 2022. Flagstar models certain scenarios which may impact the timing of the estimated cash flow payments in accordance with the terms of the DOJ settlement agreement and considers the fair value from the perspective of a market participant. These scenarios indicated a fair value range from \$56 million to \$94 million based on the timing of cash flow payments. We recorded a fair value for this liability at September 30, 2014 of \$80.1 million estimating the timing of all cash payments to occur from 2017 through 2022. The undiscounted amount of the settlement liability remains at \$118.0 million. The increase was also impacted by the third quarter 2014 payment of \$27.5 million for borrower remediation and \$10.0 million in civil money penalties related to the CFPB consent order.

Efficiency Ratio

The efficiency ratio generally measures how effective the company is operating, measured by dividing noninterest expense by total revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income). Given the significant amount of one-time items that flow through our noninterest expense and noninterest income, we show our efficiency ratio on an adjusted basis as well. Our unadjusted efficiency ratio increased to 120.0 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to 89.5 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2013. Our unadjusted efficiency ratio increased to 98.3 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to 77.3 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in our efficiency ratio for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was driven primarily by the reasons described above.

Table of Contents

Provision for Federal Income Taxes

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, our effective tax rate was a benefit of 27.2 percent and a benefit of 32.3 percent, respectively, compared to a provision of 1.52 percent and a benefit of 5.9 percent for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. See Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Table of Contents

OPERATING SEGMENTS

Overview

For detail on each segment's objectives, strategies, and priorities, please read this section in conjunction with Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein, for a full understanding of our consolidated financial performance.

In January 2014, we reorganized the manner in which our operations are managed based on core operating functions. The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is also how the results are monitored and performance assessed. We expect that the combination of our business model and the services that our operating segments provide will result in a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. Our business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, including originating, acquiring, selling and servicing one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, which we believe is distinguished by timely processing and customer service.

The business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, and is distinguished by local delivery, customer service and product pricing. We have four major operating segments: Mortgage Originations, Mortgage Servicing, Community Banking and Other. The Mortgage Originations segment originates, acquires and sells mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans is the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home loan centers, a direct to consumer call center, the Internet, wholesale brokers and correspondents. The net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Originations segment. The Mortgage Servicing segment services mortgage loans on a fee basis for others, residential mortgages held-for-investment by the Community Banking segment, and mortgage servicing rights held by the Other segment. The Community Banking segment originates loans and collects deposits from consumer and business customers through the Commercial, Business and Government, Branch Banking, and Loans Held-for-Investment Portfolio groups. Products offered through these groups include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services, consumer loans and commercial loans. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products. The Other segment includes corporate treasury, income and expense impact of equity and cash, the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments, taxes not assigned to specific operating segments, charges or credits of unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Corporate treasury functions include investment securities portfolio administration, balance sheet funding, interest rate risk management, MSR asset valuation, hedging and sales into the secondary market, and the DOJ fair value liability. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other, with funding for the Mortgage Originations segment primarily provided by deposits obtained through Community Banking, and with the Community Banking segment providing warehouse lines of credit to mortgage originators, most of which sell loans to the Mortgage Originations segment.

The operating segment results are generated utilizing our management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the operating segments. The process is designed around our organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived may not be directly comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions. Revenue is recorded in the operating segment responsible for the related product or service.

The management accounting process that develops the operating segment reporting utilizes various estimates and allocation methodologies to measure the performance of the operating segments. Expenses are allocated to operating

segments using a two-phase approach. The first phase consists of measuring and assigning costs to activities within each operating area to create a driver-based cost. These driver-based costs are then allocated, with the resulting amount allocated to operating segments that own the related products. The second phase consists of the allocation of overhead costs to all three operating segments from the Other segment.

Table of Contents

The net income (loss) by operating segment is presented in the following table.

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30, 2014	2013	30, 2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Mortgage Originations	\$ 14,837	\$ 42,784	\$ 68,808	\$ 222,135
Mortgage Servicing	(54,750) (16,354) (78,982) (66,730
Community Banking	1,300	1,694	(131,685) (45,933
Other	10,981	(13,853) 61,318	(4,389
Total net income	\$(27,632) \$ 14,271	\$(80,541) \$ 105,083

The selected average balances by operating segment are presented in the following table.

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30, 2014	2013	30, 2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Average loans held-for-sale				
Mortgage Originations	\$ 1,598,855	\$ 2,134,642	\$ 1,406,780	\$ 2,556,938
Community Banking	39,019	22,324	75,370	238,874
Average loans repurchased with government guarantees				
Mortgage Servicing	\$ 1,215,357	\$ 1,364,949	\$ 1,240,677	\$ 1,558,495
Average loans held-for-investment				
Mortgage Originations	\$ 488	\$ 231	\$ 305	\$ 158
Community Banking	4,087,373	4,032,584	3,956,292	4,458,430
Other	—	17,805	—	4,603
Average total assets				
Mortgage Originations	\$ 1,747,387	\$ 2,206,546	\$ 1,559,208	\$ 2,658,341
Mortgage Servicing	1,358,106	1,582,925	1,378,649	1,808,122
Community Banking	4,004,306	4,086,108	3,945,219	4,694,225
Other	3,142,813	4,463,940	2,913,140	3,832,034
Average interest-bearing deposits				
Community Banking	\$ 5,788,388	\$ 5,887,049	\$ 5,490,837	\$ 6,436,520
Other	—	19,949	—	21,309
Average total interest-bearing debt				
Other	\$ 1,245,707	\$ 3,147,954	\$ 1,242,706	\$ 3,215,743

Table of Contents

Mortgage Originations

Our Mortgage Originations segment originates, acquires and sells one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. We sell substantially all of the residential mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by first securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities, with the Agencies. During 2013 and continuing into 2014, we remained one of the country's leading mortgage loan originators. We utilize three production channels to originate or acquire mortgage loans: home lending (also referred to as "retail"), as well as brokers and correspondents (also collectively referred to as "wholesale"). Each production channel originates mortgage loan products which are underwritten to the same standards. We expect to continue to leverage technology to streamline the mortgage origination process, thereby bringing service and convenience to brokers and correspondents. Sales support offices are maintained to assist brokers and correspondents nationwide. We also continue to make available to our customers various web-based tools that facilitate the mortgage loan origination process through each of our production channels. Brokers and correspondents are able to register and lock loans, check the status of inventory, deliver documents in electronic format, generate closing documents, and request funds through the Internet. Funding for our Mortgage Originations segment is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our Community Banking segment.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$16,333	\$19,788	\$42,104	\$60,007
Loan fees and charges	14,638	17,647	38,170	70,838
Net gain on loan sales	52,284	78,687	155,157	371,596
Other noninterest income	(8,740)) 3,048	(6,242)) 7,602
Compensation and benefits	(16,878)) (22,042)) (54,939)) (70,580)
Commissions	(10,392)) (11,704)) (26,269)) (44,096)
Loan processing expense	(4,587)) (6,134)) (11,301)) (27,626)
Other noninterest expense	(27,821)) (36,506)) (67,872)) (145,607)
Net income	\$14,837	\$42,784	\$68,808	\$222,134
Average balances				
Total loans held-for-sale	\$1,589,855	\$2,134,386	\$1,406,780	\$2,556,938
Total assets	1,747,387	2,206,546	1,559,208	2,658,341

The Mortgage Originations segment net income decreased \$27.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in net gain on loan sales, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest expense. Net loan fees and charges decreased to \$14.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$17.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to a decrease in residential mortgage originations. The decrease in net gain on loan sales during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to lower residential mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower gain on sale margin.

The Mortgage Originations segment net income decreased \$153.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in net gain on loan sales, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Net loan fees and charges decreased to \$38.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$70.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to a decrease in residential mortgage loan originations. The decrease in net gain on loan sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to lower residential mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower gain on sale margin.

Compensation and benefits decreased to \$16.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$22.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the completion of previously announced staff reductions. Compensation and benefits decreased to \$54.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$70.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the completion of previously announced staff reductions and decreases in employee benefit and incentive compensation costs. The decreases in commissions and loan processing expense were primarily due to lower residential mortgage originations during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as

Table of Contents

compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the decreases in commissions and loan processing expense were primarily due to lower residential first mortgage originations. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, other noninterest expense decreased to \$27.8 million, as compared to \$36.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to reduced corporate overhead and direct operating allocations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, other noninterest expense decreased to \$67.9 million, as compared to \$145.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to reduced corporate overhead and direct operating allocations.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, a total of 62.1 percent of our residential mortgage originations were purchase mortgages, as compared to 47.6 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, 61.8 percent of our residential mortgage originations were purchase mortgages, as compared to 29.5 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Historically, the purchase and refinance mix of our mortgage originations has generally tracked the mix of the overall mortgage industry. This is also the case in each of our production channels.

Home Lending. In a home lending transaction, loans are originated through a nationwide network of stand-alone home loan centers, as well as referrals from our Community Banking segment and the national direct to consumer call center. When loans are originated on a retail basis, most aspects of the lending process are completed internally including the origination documentation (inclusive of customer disclosures) as well as the funding of the transactions. At September 30, 2014 we maintained 32 loan origination centers. At the same time, our centralized loan processing provides efficiencies and allows lending sales staff to focus on originations.

Broker. In a broker transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage brokerage company completes several steps of the loan origination process including the loan paperwork, but the loans are underwritten on a loan-level basis to our underwriting standards and we supply the funding for the loan at closing (also known as "table funding") thereby becoming the lender of record. Currently, we have active broker relationships with approximately 700 banks, credit unions and mortgage brokerage companies located in all 50 states.

Correspondent. In a correspondent transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage company completes the loan paperwork and also supplies the funding for the loan at closing. After the bank or mortgage company has funded the transaction, we purchase the loan at a market price. We do not acquire loans from correspondents on a bulk basis without prior review. Instead, we perform a full review of each loan, purchasing only those that were originated in accordance with our underwriting guidelines. We have active correspondent relationships with approximately 800 companies, including banks, credit unions and mortgage companies located in all 50 states.

The following table discloses residential mortgage loan originations by channel, type and mix for each respective period.

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Home Lending Centers	\$349,244	\$291,159	\$226,007	\$296,123	\$411,940
Broker	1,497,548	1,267,403	1,091,068	1,591,372	1,845,465
Correspondent	5,333,469	4,384,181	3,545,588	4,548,166	5,478,385
Total	\$7,180,261	\$5,942,743	\$4,862,663	\$6,435,661	\$7,735,790
Purchase originations	\$4,460,628	\$3,853,266	\$2,796,654	\$3,672,538	\$3,682,411
Refinance originations	2,719,633	2,089,477	2,066,009	2,763,123	4,053,379
Total	\$7,180,261	\$5,942,743	\$4,862,663	\$6,435,661	\$7,735,790

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Conventional	\$4,392,367	\$3,706,807	\$2,950,876	\$4,130,976	\$5,247,910
Government	1,853,645	1,508,134	1,215,652	1,560,059	1,930,538
Jumbo	934,249	727,802	696,135	744,626	557.342
Total	\$7,180,261	\$5,942,743	\$4,862,663	\$6,435,661	\$7,735,790

82

Mortgage Servicing

The Mortgage Servicing segment services and subservices mortgage loans on a fee basis for others. Also, the Mortgage Servicing segment services residential mortgages held-for-investment by the Community Banking segment and mortgage servicing rights held by the Other segment. Funding for our Mortgage Servicing segment is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our Community Banking segment.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$5,709	\$9,837	\$16,937	\$32,887
Loan administration income	9,498	11,974	30,987	35,878
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	(2,163)) (5,205)) (5,717)) (51,541)
Other noninterest income (loss)	2,766) (1,578)) 15,810) (5,487)
Compensation and benefits	(3,023)) (9,607)) (9,970)) (26,789)
Asset resolution	(12,417)) (14,002)) (40,689)) (51,819)
Loan processing expense	(3,908)) (3,485)) (11,498)) (12,178)
Other noninterest (expense) income	(51,269)) (4,692)) (75,110)) 9,989
Net loss	\$(54,807)) \$(16,758)) \$(79,250)) \$(69,060)
Average balances				
Total loans repurchased with government guarantees	\$1,215,357	\$1,237,491	\$1,240,677	\$1,558,495
Total assets	1,358,106	1,582,925	1,378,649	1,808,122

The Mortgage Servicing segment reported a net loss of \$54.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to a net loss of \$16.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in other noninterest expense which was partially offset by decreases in compensation and benefits and representation and warranty reserve and an increase in other noninterest income. The decrease in the representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to a lower loss rates following the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Other noninterest income (loss) increased to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to a loss of \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in ancillary fee income during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Noninterest expenses increased for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to increases in other noninterest expense from higher net corporate overhead allocations which was offset by decreased compensation and benefits and asset resolution. Compensation and benefits decreased to \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$9.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to a reduction in head count. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, other noninterest expense increased to \$51.3 million, as compared to \$4.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in net corporate overhead allocations following the settlement agreement with the CFPB.

The Mortgage Servicing segment reported a net loss of \$79.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to a net loss of \$69.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in other noninterest expense, partially offset by decreases in representation and warrant reserve, asset resolution expense and compensation and benefits expense and an increase in other noninterest income. The decrease in the

representation and warranty reserve for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to lower loss rates following the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Other interest income (loss) increased to \$15.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to a loss of \$5.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an unanticipated \$10.6 million benefit from a contract renegotiation during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Noninterest expenses increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in other noninterest expense from higher net corporate overhead allocations,

partially offset by decreases in compensation and benefits and asset resolution. Compensation and benefits decreased to \$10.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$26.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the completion of previously announced staff reductions. Asset resolution expense decreased to \$40.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$51.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as a result of a reduction in foreclosure expenses. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, other noninterest expense increased to an expense of \$75.1 million, as compared to income of \$10.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to an increase in net corporate overhead allocations following the settlement agreement with the CFPB.

The Mortgage Servicing segment primarily services mortgage loans for others. Servicing of residential mortgage loans for third parties generates fee income and represents a significant business activity. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we serviced portfolios of mortgage loans of \$26.4 billion and \$25.7 billion, respectively. We had a total average balance of serviced mortgage loans of \$27.5 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and \$72.6 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013, which generated servicing fee revenue of \$2.9 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. During the nine month ended September 30, 2014, we had a total average balance of serviced mortgage loans of \$26.8 billion, compared to \$73.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which generated servicing fee revenue of \$8.8 million and \$29.5 million, respectively.

The Mortgage Servicing segment also began subservicing mortgage loans for others in the fourth quarter 2013. Subservicing residential mortgage loans for third parties generates fee income. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we subserviced portfolios of mortgage loans of \$46.7 billion and \$40.4 billion, respectively. We had a total average balance of subserviced mortgage loans of \$43.8 billion, which generated gross servicing fee revenue of \$5.0 million, during the three months ended September 30, 2014. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we had a total average balance of subserviced mortgage loans of \$42.6 billion, which generated gross revenue of \$14.3 million.

Upon our sale of mortgage loans, we may retain the servicing of the mortgage loans. When we do so, the MSR's are held by the Other segment, which receives a servicing fee equal to a specified percentage of the outstanding principal balance of the loans. The Other segment may also be entitled to receive additional servicing compensation, such as late payment fees and earn additional income through the use of noninterest bearing escrows. The Other segment pays a fee to the Mortgage Servicing segment for the servicing provided on the MSR's held by the Other segment.

The following table presents the unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of residential loans serviced and the number of accounts associated with those loans.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amount	Number of accounts	Amount	Number of accounts
Residential loan servicing				
Serviced for own loan portfolio (1)	\$3,870,117	21,617	\$4,375,009	28,069
Serviced for others	26,377,572	122,788	25,743,396	131,413
Subserviced for others (2)	46,695,465	238,425	40,431,867	198,256
Total residential loans serviced for others (2)	\$76,943,154	382,830	\$70,550,272	357,738

(1) Includes both loans held-for-investment (residential first mortgage, second mortgage and HELOC) and loans held-for-sale (residential first mortgage).

(2) Does not include temporary short-term subservicing performed as a result of some sales of servicing.

Table of Contents

Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment consists primarily of four groups: Branch Banking, Commercial and Business Banking, Warehouse Lending and Held-for-Investment Portfolio. The groups within the Community Banking segment originate consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse loans, accept consumer, business and governmental deposits, offer investments and insurance services, liquidity management products and capital markets services. The liquidity management products include customized treasury management solutions and international wire services. Capital market services that allow for risk mitigation are offered through interest rate swap products. At September 30, 2014, Branch Banking included 106 banking centers located throughout Michigan. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we relocated one and closed five banking centers to better align the branch structure with the Company's focus on key market areas and to improve banking center efficiencies. Commercial and Business Banking includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Warehouse Lending offers lines of credit to other mortgage lenders, allowing those lenders to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$38,298	\$37,811	\$110,511	\$122,816
Provision for loan losses	(8,097)	(4,053)	(126,568)	(56,030)
Deposit fees and charges	5,594	5,410	15,649	15,749
Other noninterest income (loss)	8,548	4,286	(6,056)	5,936
Compensation and benefits	(13,401)	(14,439)	(42,648)	(49,598)
Federal insurance premiums	(3,725)	(4,621)	(11,570)	(16,116)
Other noninterest expense	(25,917)	(22,700)	(71,003)	(68,690)
Net income (loss)	\$1,300	\$1,694	\$(131,685)	\$(45,933)
Average balances				
Total loans held-for-sale	\$39,019	\$22,324	\$75,370	\$238,874
Total loans held-for-investment	4,087,373	4,050,377	3,956,292	4,458,430
Total assets	4,004,306	4,086,108	3,945,219	4,694,225
Total interest-bearing deposits	6,019,502	6,122,734	5,592,236	6,436,520

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Community Banking segment reported net income of \$1.3 million, as compared to net income of \$1.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to higher provision for loan losses and an increase in noninterest expenses, partially offset by an increase in noninterest income.

Net interest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to higher average residential first mortgage held-for-sale loans and higher average commercial and warehouse loans. The provision for loan losses increased to \$8.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$4.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily driven by two changes in estimates: the evaluation of current data related to the loss emergence period in our residential mortgage loan portfolio and the evaluation of the enhanced risk associated with payment resets relating to interest-only loans.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Community Banking segment reported a \$85.8 million increase in net loss as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in net loss is largely driven by an increase in provision for loan losses and decreases in net interest income and noninterest income, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to

the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Net interest income decreased to \$110.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$122.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as a result of lower average residential first mortgage held-for-sale loans and lower average warehouse and residential first mortgage held-for-investment loans. The provision for loan losses increased to \$126.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to \$56.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily driven by two changes in estimates: the evaluation of current data related to the loss emergence period and the evaluation of the enhanced risk associated with payment resets relating to the interest-only loans.

85

Table of Contents

Noninterest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to higher net gain on loan sales. Noninterest income decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the first quarter 2014 adjustment to the originally recorded fair value of performing repurchased loans caused by liquidity risk.

Noninterest expenses increased for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, due to higher intercompany expenses. Noninterest expenses decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due to decreases in compensation and benefits and federal deposit insurance premiums.

Loans held-for-investment

Residential first mortgage loans. At September 30, 2014, most of our held-for-investment residential first mortgage loans had been originated in 2008 or prior years with underwriting criteria that varied by product and with the standards in place at the time of origination. Loans originated after 2008 are loans that generally satisfy specific criteria for sale into securitization pools insured by the Agencies or were repurchased from the Agencies subsequent to such sales. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we originated \$319.7 million of amortizing jumbo adjustable-rate mortgages (adjustable-rate mortgages with loan balances above the Agencies limits) for our held-for-investment portfolio.

At September 30, 2014, the largest geographic concentrations of our residential first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio were in California, Florida and Michigan, which represented 46.0 percent of such loans outstanding.

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

	Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	Average Note Rate	Average Original FICO Score	Average Current FICO Score (2)	Weighted Average Maturity	Average Original LTV Ratio	Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3)	
September 30, 2014 (Dollars in thousands)								
Residential first mortgage loans								
Amortizing	\$1,459,667	3.84	% 712	710	293	75.9	% 72.4	%
Interest only	740,768	3.60	% 726	737	262	74.3	% 80.9	%
Option ARMs	33,543	2.89	% 720	714	286	69.3	% 88.8	%
Subprime (4)	2,201	8.36	% 624	661	271	75.6	% 87.7	%
Total residential first mortgage loans	\$2,236,179	3.75	% 717	719	278	75.3	% 75.5	%
December 31, 2013								
Residential first mortgage loans								
Amortizing	\$1,392,778	4.03	% 707	695	302	75.3	% 78.9	%
Interest only	1,051,157	3.76	% 724	733	264	74.6	% 83.7	%
Option ARMs	37,159	2.94	% 717	708	297	69.2	% 92.0	%
Subprime (4)	3,230	8.16	% 628	643	282	70.2	% 92.0	%

Total residential first mortgage loans	\$2,484,324	3.90	% 714	711	286	74.9	% 81.2	%
--	-------------	------	-------	-----	-----	------	--------	---

- (1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.
- (2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.
- (3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of June 30, 2014.
- (4) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at September 30, 2014.

September 30, 2014	Unpaid Principal Balance (1)	Average Note Rate	Average Original FICO Score	Average Current FICO Score (2)	Weighted Average Maturity (months)	Average Original LTV Ratio	Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3)	
(Dollars in thousands)								
Residential first mortgage loans								
Amortizing								
3/1 ARM	\$ 115,434	3.19	% 688	708	241	79.5	% 67.7	%
5/1 ARM	499,811	3.37	% 719	732	260	74.4	% 66.2	%
7/1 ARM	139,431	3.61	% 758	773	344	70.7	% 66.3	%
Other ARM	45,224	3.08	% 676	699	236	83.8	% 66.9	%
Fixed mortgage loans (4)	659,767	4.42	% 703	682	319	77.0	% 79.6	%
Total amortizing	1,459,667	3.84	% 712	710	293	75.9	% 72.4	%
Interest-only								
3/1 ARM	102,696	3.26	% 724	728	251	74.5	% 79.2	%
5/1 ARM	464,891	3.18	% 724	739	258	75.0	% 81.0	%
7/1 ARM	30,205	2.84	% 731	737	271	74.5	% 88.1	%
Other ARM	47,567	3.15	% 748	754	301	66.6	% 63.6	%
Other interest-only	95,409	6.52	% 729	726	273	73.7	% 86.4	%
Total interest-only	740,768	3.60	% 726	737	262	74.3	% 80.9	%
Option ARMs	33,543	2.89	% 720	714	286	69.3	% 88.8	%
Subprime (5)								
3/1 ARM	48	10.30	% 685	727	253	95.0	% 63.3	%
Other ARM	71	9.75	% 572	641	261	90.0	% 77.4	%
Other subprime	2,082	8.27	% 624	660	272	74.6	% 88.6	%
Total subprime	2,201	8.36	% 624	661	271	75.6	% 87.7	%
Total residential first mortgage loans	\$ 2,236,179	3.75	% 717	719	278	75.3	% 75.5	%
Second mortgage loans								
(6) (7)	\$ 154,595	6.92	% 828	728	114	20.7	% 19.6	%
HELOC loans (6) (7)	\$ 261,021	5.47	% 729	729	66	25.9	% 24.9	%

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of June 30, 2014.

(4) Includes substantially fixed rate mortgage loans.

(5) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

(6) Reflects lower LTV only as to second liens because information regarding the first liens is not available.

(7) Includes \$55.9 million and \$140.3 million of second mortgage and HELOC loans, respectively, that are accounted for under the fair value option at September 30, 2014.

Adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Adjustable rate mortgage ("ARM") loans held-for-investment were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework, and the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation typically followed the AUS guidelines. Our underwriting guidelines were designed with the intent to minimize layered risk. The maximum ratios allowable for purposes of both the LTV ratio and the combined loan-to-value ("CLTV") ratio, which includes second mortgages on the same collateral, was 100 percent, but

subordinate (or second mortgage) financing was not allowed over a 90 percent LTV ratio. At a 100 percent LTV ratio with private mortgage insurance, the minimum acceptable FICO score, or the "floor," was 700, and at lower LTV ratio levels, the FICO floor was 620. All occupancy and specific-purpose loan types were allowed at lower LTVs. At times ARMs were underwritten at an initial rate, also known as the "start rate," that was lower than the fully indexed rate but only for loans with lower LTV ratios and higher FICO scores. Other ARMs were either underwritten at the note rate if the initial fixed term was two years or greater, or at the note rate plus two percentage points if the initial fixed rate term was six months to one year.

Table of Contents

Option ARMs. We previously offered option ARMs, which are adjustable rate mortgage loans that permit a borrower to select one of three monthly payment options when the loan is first originated: (i) a principal and interest payment that would fully repay the loan over its stated term, (ii) an interest-only payment that would require the borrower to pay only the interest due each month but would have a period (usually 10 years) after which the entire amount of the loan would need to be repaid or refinanced, and (iii) a minimum payment amount selected by the borrower and which might include principal and some interest, with the unpaid interest added to the balance of the loan (i.e., a process known as "negative amortization").

Set forth below are the accumulated amounts of interest income arising from the net negative amortization portion of loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Unpaid Principal Balance of Loans in Negative Amortization At Year-End (1) (Dollars in thousands)	Amount of Net Negative Amortization Accumulated as Interest Income During Period
2014	\$19,821	\$ 2,113
2013	\$25,281	\$ 2,464
2012	\$54,898	\$ 5,340

(1) Unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) does not include premiums or discounts.

Set forth below are the frequencies at which the interest rate on ARM loans outstanding at September 30, 2014, will reset.

Reset frequency	# of Loans (Dollars in thousands)	Balance	% of the Total	
Monthly	94	\$17,715	1.2	%
Semi-annually	2,792	852,132	57.6	%
Annually	2,355	327,145	22.1	%
No reset — nonperforming loans	1,120	281,928	19.1	%
Total	6,361	\$1,478,920	100.0	%

Set forth below as of September 30, 2014, are the amounts of the ARM loans in our held-for-investment loan portfolio with interest rate reset dates in the periods noted. As noted in the above table, loans may reset more than once over a three-year period and nonperforming loans do not reset while in the nonperforming status. Accordingly, the table below may include the same loans in more than one period.

	1 st Quarter (Dollars in thousands)	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
2014 (1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$469,763
2015	\$517,363	\$514,153	\$535,824	508,069
2016	531,500	521,980	541,547	515,508
Later years (2)	589,431	603,898	631,991	599,918

(1) Reflect loans that have reset through September 30, 2014.

(2) Later years reflect one reset period per loan.

Interest-only mortgages. We offer, on a limited basis, adjustable-rate, fixed term loans with 10-year, interest-only options. These loans were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework. We generally applied the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation using the automated underwriting Approve/Reject response requirements of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. During 2013, we began originating interest-only home equity line of credit loans that were secured by first lien mortgages. These loans have a 10-year

interest-only draw period followed by a 20-year fixed fully amortizing period. Once these loans reach the 20-year fixed fully amortizing period these loans are classified as amortizing loans for this disclosure.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the interest-only mortgage loans in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at September 30, 2014, by year of origination.

Year of Origination	2004 and Prior	2005	2006	2007	Post 2008	Total / Weighted Average	
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Unpaid principal balance (1)	\$133,053	\$310,711	\$59,732	\$210,713	\$26,559	\$740,768	
Average current note rate	3.31	% 3.32	% 3.43	% 4.29	% 3.34	% 3.60	%
Average original FICO score	720	728	725	724	762	726	
Average current FICO score (2)	730	742	730	731	759	737	
Average original LTV ratio	75.2	% 75.1	% 74.1	% 74.5	% 59.9	% 74.3	%
Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3)	73.7	% 81.2	% 86.2	% 87.7	% 47.6	% 80.9	%
Underwritten with low or stated income documentation	24.0	% 31.0	% 48.0	% 52.0	% 2.0	% 36.0	%

(1) Unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2014.

Set forth below is a table describing the amortization date and payment shock of current interest-only mortgage loans at the dates indicated in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at September 30, 2014.

	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total / Weighted Average	
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Unpaid principal balance (1)	\$72,183	\$353,218	\$55,840	\$226,163	\$33,364	\$740,768	
Weighted average rate	3.39	% 3.34	% 3.32	% 4.11	% 3.20	% 3.60	%
Average original monthly payment per loan (dollars)	\$1,326	\$1,377	\$1,564	\$2,756	\$414	\$1,469	
Average current monthly payment per loan, primarily interest-only (dollars)	\$827	\$772	\$803	\$1,741	\$234	\$861	
Average amortizing payment per loan, principal plus interest (dollars)	\$1,635	\$1,585	\$1,625	\$3,041	\$441	\$1,659	
Loan count	253	1,276	198	459	432	2,618	
Payment shock (dollars) (2)	\$809	\$813	\$821	\$1,300	\$207	\$799	
Payment shock (percent)	98.0	% 105.0	% 102.0	% 75.0	% 89.0	% 93.0	%

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Represents difference between current payment and new payment.

Second mortgage loans. The majority of second mortgages we originated were closed in conjunction with the closing of the residential first mortgages originated by us. We generally required the same levels of documentation and ratios as with our residential first mortgages. For second mortgages closed in conjunction with a residential first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our allowable debt-to-income ratios for approval of the second mortgages were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent. In the case of a loan closing in which full documentation was required and the loan was being used to acquire the borrower's primary residence, we allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent; for similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors

ranged from 620 to 720, and fixed and adjustable rate loans were available with terms ranging from five to 20 years.

Home Equity Line of Credit loans. Current HELOC guidelines and pricing parameters have been established to attract higher credit quality loans with long term profitability. The minimum FICO is 680, maximum CLTV is 80 percent, and the maximum debt-to-income ratio is 45 percent. For HELOC loans originated in 2009 and prior, the majority were closed in conjunction with the closing of related first mortgage loans originations. Documentation requirements for HELOC applications were generally the same as those required of borrowers for the first mortgage loans originated by us, and debt-to-income ratios were capped at 50 percent. For HELOCs closed in conjunction with the closing of a first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our debt-to-income ratio requirements were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent and the LTV was capped at 80 percent. The qualifying payment varied over time and included terms such as either 0.75 percent of the line amount or the interest only payment due on the full line based on the current rate plus 0.5 percent. HELOCs were available in conjunction

Table of Contents

with primary residence transactions that required full documentation, and the borrower was allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent. For similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors ranged from 620 to 720. The HELOC terms called for monthly interest only payments with a balloon principal payment due at the end of 10 years. At times, initial teaser rates were offered for the first three months.

Commercial loans held-for-investment. Our Commercial and Business Banking group includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Our commercial loans held-for-investment totaled \$918.0 million at September 30, 2014 and \$626.4 million at December 31, 2013, and consists of three loan types: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing, each of which is discussed in more detail below. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, we originated \$55.4 million and \$321.5 million, respectively, in commercial loans, compared to \$68.5 million and \$190.8 million, respectively, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The following table identifies the commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio by loan type and selected criteria at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

September 30, 2014	Balance	Average Note Rate	Loan on Non-accrual Status
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Commercial real estate loans:			
Fixed rate	\$92,124	5.1	%\$—
Adjustable rate	477,196	2.9	%—
Total commercial real estate loans	569,320		\$—
Net deferred fees and other	(2,450))	
Total commercial real estate loans, net	\$566,870		
Commercial and industrial loans:			
Fixed rate	\$12,431	4.5	%\$—
Adjustable rate	329,956	3.0	%—
Total commercial and industrial loans	342,387		\$—
Net deferred fees and other	(1,075))	
Total commercial and industrial loans, net	\$341,312		
Commercial lease financing loans:			
Fixed rate	\$9,894	3.5	%\$—
Net deferred fees and other	(41))	
Total commercial lease financing loans, net	\$9,853		
Total commercial loans:			
Fixed rate	\$114,449	4.9	%\$—
Adjustable rate	807,152	2.9	%—
Total commercial loans	921,601		\$—
Net deferred fees and other	(3,566))	
Total commercial loans, net	\$918,035		

Table of Contents

Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

December 31, 2013	Balance	Average Note Rate	Loan on Non-accrual Status
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Commercial real estate loans:			
Fixed rate	\$ 172,598	5.4	% \$ 1,500
Adjustable rate	237,071	3.0	% —
Total commercial real estate loans	409,669		\$ 1,500
Net deferred fees and other	(799))	
Total commercial real estate loans, net	\$ 408,870		
Commercial and industrial loans:			
Fixed rate	\$ 12,782	4.3	% \$ —
Adjustable rate	195,500	2.7	% —
Total commercial and industrial loans	208,282		\$ —
Net deferred fees and other	(1,095))	
Total commercial and industrial loans, net	\$ 207,187		
Commercial lease financing loans:			
Fixed rate	\$ 10,613	3.5	% \$ —
Net deferred fees and other	(272))	
Total commercial lease financing loans, net	\$ 10,341		
Total commercial loans:			
Fixed rate	\$ 195,993	5.2	% \$ 1,500
Adjustable rate	432,571	2.9	% —
Total commercial loans	628,564		\$ 1,500
Net deferred fees and other	(2,166))	
Total commercial loans, net	\$ 626,398		

The following table sets forth the unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of our commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2014 by year of initial origination.

Year of Origination	2010 and Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Commercial real estate	\$ 145,205	\$ 10,649	\$ 65,749	\$ 132,160	\$ 215,557	\$ 569,320
Commercial and industrial	798	24,244	30,633	128,661	158,051	342,387
Commercial lease financing	—	—	9,894	—	—	9,894
Total	\$ 146,003	\$ 34,893	\$ 106,276	\$ 260,821	\$ 373,608	\$ 921,601

The average loan balance in our total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio was \$1.0 million at September 30, 2014, with the largest loan being \$38.5 million. There are approximately 45 loans with more than \$5.0 million of unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) and those loans comprised approximately \$461.9 million, or 50.1 percent, of the total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio in the aggregate.

Commercial real estate loans. Our commercial real estate held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of loans that are collateralized by real estate properties intended to be income-producing in the normal course of business.

Table of Contents

The following table discloses our total unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of commercial real estate held-for-investment loans by geographic concentration and collateral type at September 30, 2014.

Collateral Type	State			Total (1)	
	Michigan	California	Other		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Office	\$136,683	\$8,990	\$348	\$146,021	
Retail	116,426	10,624	8,494	135,544	
Industrial	69,840	11,317	9,966	91,123	
Apartments	41,845	—	1,412	43,257	
Other	142,445	—	10,930	153,375	
Total	\$507,239	\$30,931	\$31,150	\$569,320	
Percent	89.1	% 5.4	% 5.5	% 100.0	%

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Commercial and industrial loans. Commercial and industrial held-for-investment loan facilities typically include lines of credit and term loans to small or middle market businesses for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases and expansion projects.

Commercial lease financing loans. Our commercial lease financing held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of equipment leased to customers in a direct financing lease. The net investment in financing leases includes the aggregate amount of lease payments to be received and the estimated residual values of the equipment, less unearned income. Income from lease financing is recognized over the lives of the leases on an approximate level rate of return on the unrecovered investment. The residual value represents the estimated fair value of the leased asset at the end of the lease term. Unguaranteed residual values of leased assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. If any declines in residual values are determined to be other-than-temporary they will be recognized in earnings in the period such determinations are made.

Warehouse lending. We also continue to offer warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders. These allow the lender to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans. Each extension or drawdown on the line is collateralized by the residential first mortgage loan being funded. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we subsequently acquired approximately 74.0 percent of residential first mortgage loans funded through the warehouse lines. Underlying mortgage loans are predominately originated using Agencies underwriting standards. These lines of credit are, in most cases, personally guaranteed by one or more principal officers of the borrower. The aggregate committed amount of adjustable rate warehouse lines of credit granted to other mortgage lenders at September 30, 2014 was \$1.4 billion, of which \$0.4 billion was outstanding and bearing an average interest rate of 3.98 percent, compared to \$2.1 billion committed at December 31, 2013, of which \$0.4 billion was outstanding and bearing an average interest rate of 5.0 percent. The levels of outstanding balances of such warehouse lines are generally correlated to the level of our overall production levels because many of our correspondents (from whom we purchase mortgage loans) are also warehouse lending customers. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, our warehouse lines funded 59.3 percent of the loans in our correspondent channel, as compared to 58.3 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. There were 269 warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders with an average size of \$5.3 million at September 30, 2014, compared to 298 warehouse lines of credit with an average size of \$6.9 million at December 31, 2013. We had no warehouse lines of non-accrual status at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Other

The Other segment includes treasury functions, income and expense impact of equity and cash, the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, the funding

revenue associated with stockholders' equity, and charges or credits of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. The treasury functions include administering the investment portfolio, balance sheet funding, interest rate risk management and MSR asset valuation, hedging and sales into the secondary market.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$4,022	\$(24,750)) \$15,437	\$(70,262)
Net loan administration income	(2,859)) (9,583)) (8,856)) (29,528)
Net return on mortgage servicing asset	1,439	27,346	22,286	74,068
Other noninterest income	4,182	2,263	11,436	44,087
Noninterest expense	(6,107)) (8,909)) (17,392)) (28,642)
Income (loss) before taxes	677	(13,633)) 22,911	(10,277)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	10,304	(220)) 38,407	5,888
Net income (loss)	\$10,981	\$(13,853)) \$61,318	\$(4,389)
Average balances				
Total investment securities available-for-sale or trading	\$1,554,177	\$272,439	\$1,367,264	\$278,517
Total loans held-for-investment	145,408	166,751	\$149,256	61,419
Total assets	3,142,813	4,463,940	2,913,140	3,832,034
Total interest-bearing deposits	—	19,949	—	21,309
Total interest-bearing debt	1,245,707	3,147,954	1,242,706	3,215,743

Net interest income includes the impact of administering our investment securities portfolios, debt, and the net impact of derivatives used to hedge interest rate sensitivity. Noninterest income includes servicing fees from MSR net of a loan administration fee to the Mortgage Servicing segment to service the loan and the impact of hedging (see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for additional information regarding MSR), gains or losses on the sale of MSR, trading asset gains or losses and other treasury related items. Noninterest income also includes insurance income and miscellaneous fee income not allocated to other operating segments. Noninterest expense includes treasury operating expenses, certain corporate administrative and other miscellaneous expenses not allocated to other operating segments. The provision for income taxes is not allocated to the operating segments as new corporate income tax liability will not occur until after the utilization of the existing deferred tax assets.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Other segment net income increased by \$24.8 million, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to a \$28.8 million increase in net interest income, a \$10.5 million increase in benefit for income taxes, partially offset by a \$17.3 million decrease in noninterest income. Net interest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the fourth quarter 2013 prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Noninterest income decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to net return on MSR.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Other segment net income increased by \$65.7 million, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to increases in net interest income and benefit for income taxes, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income. Net interest income increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the fourth quarter 2013 prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Noninterest income decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to a second quarter 2013 fair value adjustment related to the Assured settlement agreement and decrease in net return on MSR.

Table of Contents

Analysis of Items on Statements of Financial Condition

Assets

Interest-earning deposits. Interest-earning deposits, on which we earn a minimal interest rate, decreased \$162.1 million at September 30, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013, primarily due to the Company continuing to invest excess cash into higher-yielding liquid securities.

Investment securities available-for-sale. Investment securities available-for-sale comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies and municipal obligations, increased from \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2013, to \$1.4 billion at September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of \$0.8 billion in U.S. government sponsored agencies during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, offset by sales of approximately \$0.4 billion. The investment securities available-for-sale were purchased as part of our strategy to redeploy a portion of our liquid cash into higher yielding, yet very liquid, investment alternatives. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Loans held-for-sale. Essentially all of our mortgage loans produced are sold into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into securities. At September 30, 2014, we held loans held-for-sale of \$1.5 billion, which was unchanged from the \$1.5 billion held at December 31, 2013.

For further information on loans held-for-sale, see Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, we have the unilateral option to repurchase certain delinquent loans securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined criteria. As a result of this unilateral option, once the delinquency criteria have been met and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, we must treat the loans as having been repurchased and recognize the loans on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, and also recognize a corresponding deemed liability for a similar amount. If the loans are actually repurchased, we eliminate the corresponding liability. At September 30, 2014, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.2 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees and the loans which we have not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$4.3 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.3 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which we have not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$20.8 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale.

Substantially all of these loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") and management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a statutory rate, which varies for each loan, but is based on the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the loan becomes greater than 60 days delinquent. This interest is recorded as interest income and the related claims settlement expenses are recorded in asset resolution expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. For further information on loans repurchased with government guarantees, see Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Loans held-for-investment. Our largest category of earning assets consists of loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment consist of residential first mortgage loans that are not held for resale (usually shorter duration and adjustable rate loans and second mortgages), warehouse loans to other mortgage lenders, HELOC, other consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial and industrial loans and commercial lease financing loans. Loans held-for-investment increased slightly from \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2013, to \$4.2 billion at September 30, 2014.

Loans held-for-investment includes \$222.3 million and \$238.3 million of loans valued under the fair value option at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

For information relating to the concentration of credit of our loans held for investment, see Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Table of Contents

Credit Risk

Management considers a number of qualitative and quantitative factors in assessing the level of its collectively evaluated reserves and individually evaluated reserves. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion. As illustrated in the tables following, trends in certain credit quality characteristics such as nonperforming loans and delinquency statistics have recently stabilized or even begun to show signs of improvement. This is predominantly a result of the run off of the legacy portfolios combined with the addition of new commercial loans with strong credit characteristics

The following table sets forth certain information about our nonperforming assets as of the end of each of the last five quarters.

NONPERFORMING LOANS AND ASSETS

	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Nonperforming loans held-for-investment	\$72,361	\$86,373	\$84,387	\$98,976	\$94,062	
Nonperforming TDRs	17,507	17,596	11,645	25,808	21,104	
Nonperforming TDRs at inception but performing for less than six months	17,076	16,193	14,717	20,901	23,638	
Total nonperforming loans held-for-investment	106,944	120,162	110,749	145,685	138,804	
Real estate and other nonperforming assets, net	27,149	31,579	31,076	36,636	66,530	
Nonperforming assets held-for-investment, net	\$134,093	\$151,741	\$141,825	\$182,321	\$205,334	
Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets (bank only)	1.40	% 1.54	% 1.49	% 1.95	% 1.74	%
Ratio of nonperforming loans held-for-investment to loans held-for-investment	2.56	% 2.76	% 2.76	% 3.59	% 3.46	%
Ratio of allowance to nonperforming loans held-for-investment (1)	295.4	% 263.1	% 286.9	% 145.9	% 152.6	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to loans held-for-investment (1)	7.60	% 7.41	% 8.11	% 5.42	% 5.50	%
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans held-for-investment (annualized) (1)	1.36	% 0.78	% 1.36	% 1.53	% 3.18	%
Ratio of nonperforming assets to loans held-for-investment and repossessed assets	3.18	% 3.46	% 3.50	% 4.46	% 5.03	%

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

The following table sets forth the activity for unpaid principal balance (net of write downs), which does not include premiums or discounts, of nonperforming commercial assets, primarily commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30,		30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$5,308	\$98,537	\$12,940	\$139,128
Additions	5,199	2,104	5,390	115,849
Principal payments	(4,812) (11,021) (6,369) (83,378
Sales	(1,134) (41,248) (7,999) (89,340
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(481) (4,811) 858	(35,350
Valuation write-downs	(1,000) (3,300) (1,740) (6,648
Ending balance	\$3,080	\$40,261	\$3,080	\$40,261

Table of Contents

Past due loans held-for-investment

Loans are considered to be past due when any payment of principal or interest is 30 days past due. While it is the goal of management to work out a satisfactory repayment schedule or modification with a past due borrower, we will undertake foreclosure proceedings if the delinquency is not satisfactorily resolved. Our practices regarding past due loans are designed to both assist borrowers in meeting their contractual obligations and minimize losses incurred by the bank. We customarily mail several notices of past due payments to the borrower within 30 days after the due date and late charges are assessed in accordance with certain parameters. Our collection department makes telephone or personal contact with borrowers after loans are 30 days past due. In certain cases, we recommend that the borrower seek credit-counseling assistance and may grant forbearance if it is determined that the borrower is likely to correct a past due loan within a reasonable period of time. We cease the accrual of interest on loans that we classify as "nonperforming" once they become 90 days past due or earlier when concerns exist as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. Such interest is recognized as income only when it is actually collected.

At September 30, 2014, we had \$164.8 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$106.9 million of loans were nonperforming held-for-investment. At December 31, 2013, we had \$207.4 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$145.7 million of loans were nonperforming held-for-investment. The decrease from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014 was primarily due to the sale of nonperforming and TDR residential first mortgage loans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we sold nonperforming and TDR residential first mortgages with carrying value in the amount of \$50.9 million.

Consumer loans. As of September 30, 2014, nonperforming consumer loans totaled \$106.9 million, a decrease from \$144.2 million at December 31, 2013, primarily due to the sale of nonperforming and TDR residential first mortgage loans. Net charge-offs in consumer loans totaled \$12.6 million and \$33.4 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$35.2 million and \$118.7 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to lower net losses related to loan sales, lower levels of nonperforming loans and improving property values thereby reducing the level of write-downs.

Commercial loans. As of September 30, 2014, nonperforming commercial loans were zero, a decrease of from \$1.5 million at December 31, 2013. Net charge-offs in commercial loans totaled losses of \$0.5 million and a recovery of \$0.9 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, which was a decrease from charge-offs of \$4.8 million and \$35.3 million, respectively, in net charge-offs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to lower levels of nonperforming loans and legacy portfolio requiring charge-offs due to discounted pay-offs and sales.

Troubled debt restructurings (held-for-investment)

Troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are modified loans by us making a concession that we would not otherwise provide to the borrower which is experiencing financial difficulties. Our ongoing loan modification efforts to assist homeowners and other borrowers continued to increase our overall balance of TDRs. Nonperforming TDRs were 32.3 percent and 32.1 percent of total nonperforming loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

TDRs can be classified as either performing or nonperforming. Nonperforming TDRs are included in non-accrual loans and performing TDRs are excluded from non-accrual loans because it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected. Within consumer nonperforming loans, residential first mortgage TDRs were 32.3 percent of residential first mortgage nonperforming loans at September 30, 2014, compared to 31.7 percent at December 31, 2013. The level of modifications that were determined to be TDRs in these portfolios is expected to result in elevated nonperforming loan levels for longer periods, because TDRs remain in nonperforming

status until a borrower has made at least six consecutive months of payments under the modified terms, or ultimate resolution occurs. TDRs primarily reflect our loss mitigation efforts to proactively work with borrowers having difficulty making their payments. Although many of the TDRs continue to be performing, we have increased our reserve on TDRs, which also increased the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

	TDRs Held-for-Investment		
	Performing	Nonperforming	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)		
September 30, 2014			
Consumer loans (1)	\$365,553	\$34,583	\$400,136
Commercial loans (2)	418	—	418
Total TDRs	\$365,971	\$34,583	\$400,554
December 31, 2013			
Consumer loans (1)	\$382,529	\$46,709	\$429,238
Commercial loans (2)	456	—	456
Total TDRs	\$382,985	\$46,709	\$429,694

Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1) consumer loans. The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$82.6 million and \$82.3 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing (2) loans. The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans zero at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The following table sets forth the activity during each of the periods presented with respect to performing TDRs and nonperforming TDRs.

	TDRs Held-for-Investment			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Performing				
Beginning balance	\$371,994	\$451,097	\$382,985	\$589,761
Additions	1,000	7,394	28,423	52,479
Transfer to nonperforming TDR	(5,596)	(9,250)	(20,279)	(33,023)
Transfer from nonperforming TDR	1,095	5,068	4,954	39,002
Principal repayments	(1,752)	(989)	(4,984)	(6,253)
Reductions (1)	(9,770)	(65,381)	(25,128)	(254,027)
Ending balance	\$356,971	\$387,939	\$365,971	\$387,939
Nonperforming				
Beginning balance	\$33,789	\$96,211	\$46,709	\$145,245
Additions	3,580	6,969	10,947	44,451
Transfer from performing TDR	5,597	9,250	20,280	33,023
Transfer to performing TDR	(1,095)	(5,068)	(4,954)	(39,002)
Principal repayments	(135)	(669)	(366)	(7,218)
Reductions (1)	(7,153)	(61,952)	(38,033)	(131,758)
Ending balance	\$34,583	\$44,741	\$34,583	\$44,741

(1) Includes loans paid in full or otherwise settled, sold or charged off.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding past due loans at the dates listed. At September 30, 2014, 90.6 percent of all past due loans were loans in which we had a first lien position on residential real estate, compared to 91.6 percent at December 31, 2013.

Days Past Due	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)	
30 – 59 days		
Consumer loans		
Residential first mortgage (1)	\$36,286	\$36,526
Second mortgage (1)	1,089	1,997
Warehouse lending	—	—
HELOC (1)	2,399	2,197
Other	413	293
Commercial loans		
Commercial real estate (1)	—	—
Commercial and industrial	5,489	—
Total 30-59 days past due	45,676	41,013
60 – 89 days		
Consumer loans		
Residential first mortgage (1)	10,892	19,096
Second mortgage (1)	238	271
HELOC (1)	953	1,238
Other	56	127
Total 60-89 days past due	12,139	20,732
90 days or greater		
Consumer loans		
Residential first mortgage (1)	102,118	134,340
Second mortgage (1)	1,597	2,820
HELOC (1)	3,170	6,826
Other	59	199
Commercial loans		
Commercial real estate (1)	—	1,500
Total 90 days or greater past due	106,944	145,685
Total past due loans (2)	\$164,759	\$207,430

(1) Includes loans that are secured by real estate.

(2) Includes loans carried under the fair value option of \$8.1 million and \$4.0 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming loans (e.g., greater than 90 days past due loans) as to which we have ceased accruing interest.

	September 30, 2014		As a % of Loan Specified Portfolio	As a % of	
	Loans Held-for-Investment	Non- Accrual Loans		Loan	Non- Accrual Loans
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Consumer loans					
Residential first mortgage	\$2,224,734	\$102,118	4.6	% 95.4	%
Second mortgage	153,891	1,597	1.0	% 1.5	%
Warehouse lending	594,526	—	—	% —	%
HELOC	261,826	3,170	1.2	% 3.0	%
Other consumer	31,612	59	0.2	% 0.1	%
Total consumer loans	3,266,589	106,944	3.3	% 100.0	%
Commercial loans					
Commercial real estate	566,870	—	—	% —	%
Commercial and industrial	341,312	—	—	% —	%
Commercial lease financing	9,853	—	—	% —	%
Total commercial loans	918,035	—	—	% —	%
Total loans (1)	\$4,184,624	\$106,944	2.6	% 100.0	%
Less allowance for loan losses	(301,000)				
Total loans held-for-investment, net	\$3,883,624				

(1)Includes \$5.2 million of non-accrual loans carried under the fair value option at September 30, 2014.

The following table sets forth the performing and nonperforming (i.e., greater than 90 days past due loans) residential first mortgage loans by year of origination (i.e., vintage) and the total amount of unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) loans outstanding at September 30, 2014.

Vintage	September 30, 2014		Unpaid Principal Balance (1)
	Performing Loans	Non-Accrual Loans	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Pre-2006	\$1,021,150	\$ 31,008	\$ 1,052,158
2006	156,939	9,633	166,572
2007	559,452	31,586	591,038
2008	69,986	19,045	89,031
2009	32,183	2,828	35,011
2010	19,828	1,855	21,683
2011	33,176	1,764	34,940
2012	21,367	63	21,430
2013	51,627	166	51,793
2014	168,351	4,170	172,521
Total loans	\$2,134,059	\$ 102,118	\$2,236,177
Net deferred fees and other			(11,443)
Total residential first mortgage loans			\$2,224,734

(1)Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include net deferred fees, premiums or discounts and other.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses that are inherent in our loans held-for-investment portfolio but which have not yet been realized as of the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein. The consumer loan portfolio includes residential first mortgages, second mortgages, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans. The commercial loan portfolio includes commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

We recognize these losses when (a) available information indicates that it is probable that a loss has occurred and (b) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe that the accounting estimates related to the allowance for loan losses are critical because they require us to make subjective and complex judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. As a result, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. Our methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance involves a significant amount of judgment based on various factors such as general economic and business conditions, credit quality and collateral value trends, loan concentrations, recent trends in our loss experience, new product initiatives and other variables. Although management believes its process for estimating the allowance for loan losses adequately considers all of the factors that could potentially result in loan losses, the process also includes subjective elements and may be susceptible to significant change, including refinements necessary to respond to regulatory expectations. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provision for loan losses could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

As part of our ongoing risk assessment process, which remains focused on the impacts of the current economic environment and the related borrower repayment behavior on our credit performance, management continues to back test and validate the results of quantitative and qualitative modeling of the risk in loans held-for-investment portfolio in efforts to utilize the best quality information available. Such is consistent with the expectations of the Bank's primary regulator and a continuing evaluation of the performance within the mortgage industry.

The allowance for loan losses includes specific allowances for impaired loans, non-specific allowances for losses inherent on non-impaired loans utilizing our loss history by specific product, or if the product is not sufficiently seasoned, peer loss data. The loss history is normally a one to five year rolling average updated periodically as new data becomes available. In addition to the loss history, we also include a qualitative adjustment that considers economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations and other factors not adequately captured in our loss methodology. Our procedure is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is a high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities.

The allowance for loan losses, other than those that have been identified for individual evaluation for impairment, is determined on a loan pool basis utilizing forecasted losses that represent management's best estimate of inherent loss. Loans are pooled by loan types with similar risk characteristics. We utilize a historical loss model for each pool. Management evaluates the results of the allowance for loan loss model and makes qualitative adjustments to the results of the model when it is determined that model results do not reflect all losses inherent in the portfolio due to changes in recent economic trends and conditions, or other relevant factors.

Our allowance for loan losses considers the probable loss inherent in the portfolio both before and after the payment reset date. Prior to December 31, 2013, we had experienced an insignificant volume of resets. The first significant volume of resets occurred during first and second quarter 2014. Data we reviewed from those periods, as well as data we reviewed for the 17-months ended May 31, 2014, indicated that delinquency was greater than estimated at December 31, 2013. Additionally, loans that have recently reset or are expected to reset in the near future are refinancing at levels below what was previously estimated, which we believe may indicate an increase in future

delinquency and charge-off. Based on our review of these initial indicators, we increased our allowance for loan losses based on our qualitative analysis of the recent data. The allowance for loan losses increased to \$301.0 million at September 30, 2014 from \$207.0 million at December 31, 2013, respectively. The portion of the allowance for loan losses related to certain interest-only loans included in our residential first mortgage and HELOC loan held-for-investment loan portfolios increased primarily due to the estimates of the average loss emergence period and reset risk to approximately \$115.8 million at September 30, 2014 from \$52.3 million at December 31, 2013, which includes \$98.4 million and \$44.8 million related to the interest-only residential first mortgage loan portfolio at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased to 295.4 percent at September 30, 2014 from 145.9 percent at December 31, 2013, which was primarily due to the sale of nonperforming and TDR loans and the increase in the allowance for loan losses (discussed above) during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans held-for-investment increased to 7.60 percent as of September 30, 2014 from 5.42 percent as of December 31, 2013, primarily due to the increase in the allowance for loan losses (discussed above).

The allowance for loan losses is considered adequate based upon management's assessment of relevant factors, including the types and amounts of nonperforming loans, historical and current loss experience on such types of loans, and the current economic environment.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the allocation of our allowance for loan losses to each loan category.

	September 30, 2014			Percentage to	
	Loans	Percent	Allowance	Total	
	Held-for-Investment	of	Amount	Allowance	
		Portfolio			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Consumer loans					
Residential first mortgage	\$2,199,125	55.5	% \$240,056	79.8	%
Second mortgage	97,948	2.5	% 12,603	4.2	%
Warehouse lending	594,526	15.0	% 2,234	0.7	%
HELOC	121,496	3.1	% 18,632	6.2	%
Other	31,612	0.8	% 1,545	0.5	%
Total consumer loans	3,044,707	76.9	% 275,070	91.4	%
Commercial loans					
Commercial real estate	566,870	14.3	% 20,584	6.8	%
Commercial and industrial	341,312	8.6	% 5,202	1.7	%
Commercial lease financing	9,853	0.2	% 144	—	%
Total commercial loans	918,035	23.1	% 25,930	8.6	%
Total consumer and commercial loans (1)	\$3,962,742	100.0	% \$301,000	100.0	%

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the activity regarding our allowance for loan losses.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$306,000	\$243,000	\$207,000	\$305,000
Provision for loan losses	8,097	4,053	126,567	56,030
Charge-offs				
Consumer loans				
Residential first mortgage (1)	(12,320)	(34,666)	(28,785)	(123,456)
Second Mortgage	(645)	(1,534)	(2,858)	(5,522)
Warehouse lending	(74)	(45)	(74)	(45)
HELOC	(1,355)	(872)	(5,099)	(3,745)
Other consumer	(565)	(1,341)	(1,505)	(2,627)
Total consumer loans	(14,959)	(38,458)	(38,321)	(135,395)
Commercial loans				
Commercial real estate	(672)	(8,419)	(2,461)	(42,931)
Commercial and industrial	—	(302)	—	(302)
Total commercial loans	(672)	(8,721)	(2,461)	(43,233)
Total charge offs	(15,631)	(47,179)	(40,782)	(178,628)
Recoveries				
Consumer loans				
Residential first mortgage	1,267	2,256	2,841	14,296
Second mortgage	204	348	383	825
Warehouse lending	58	—	58	—
HELOC	45	143	156	705
Other consumer	768	470	1,458	844
Total consumer loans	2,342	3,217	4,896	16,670
Commercial loans				
Commercial real estate	183	3,860	3,194	7,862
Commercial and industrial	9	49	78	66
Commercial lease financing	—	—	47	—
Total commercial loans	192	3,909	3,319	7,928
Total recoveries	2,534	7,126	8,215	24,598
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(13,097)	(40,053)	(32,567)	(154,030)
Ending balance	\$301,000	\$207,000	\$301,000	\$207,000
Net charge-off ratio (1)	1.36	% 3.96	% 1.17	% 4.60

(1)Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

Mortgage servicing rights. At September 30, 2014, MSR's included residential MSR's at fair value amounting to \$285.4 million, compared to \$284.7 million at December 31, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we recorded additions to our MSR's of \$198.1 million and \$323.2 million, respectively, due to loans sales or securitizations. Also, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we reduced the amount of MSR's by \$160.8 million related to mortgage servicing sales, \$20.6 million related to loans that paid off during the period and a decrease in the fair value of MSR's of \$16.0 million resulting from market driven changes in interest rates. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we reduced the amount of MSR's by \$233.7 million related to bulk servicing sales, \$87.4 million related to loans that paid off during the period and an increase in the fair value of MSR's of \$84.2 million resulting from the realization of expected cash flows and market driven changes, primarily as a result of increases in mortgage loan rates that led to an expected decrease in prepayment speeds. Our ratio of MSR's to Tier 1

capital is 25.2 percent and 22.6 percent at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. See "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

Table of Contents

The principal balance of the loans underlying our total MSR's was \$26.4 billion at September 30, 2014, compared to \$25.7 billion at December 31, 2013.

For information relating to the mortgage servicing rights, see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Reposessed assets. Real property we acquire as a result of the foreclosure process is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. It is transferred from the loans held-for-investment portfolio at the lower of cost or fair value, less disposal costs. Management decides whether to rehabilitate the property or sell it "as is" and whether to list the property with a broker. The \$9.5 million decrease in reposessed assets from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014, was primarily due to the \$28.9 million in reposessed asset additions and the \$38.4 million in reposessed asset disposals during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The following table provides the activity for reposessed assets during each of the past five quarters.

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Beginning balance	\$31,579	\$31,076	\$36,636	\$66,530	\$86,382
Additions	8,000	13,711	7,221	4,936	12,447
Disposals	(12,430) (13,208) (12,781) (34,830) (32,299
Ending balance	\$27,149	\$31,579	\$31,076	\$36,636	\$66,530

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. At September 30, 2014, holdings of Federal Home Loan Bank stock remained unchanged at \$209.7 million from December 31, 2013. Once purchased, Federal Home Loan Bank shares must be held for five years before they can be redeemed. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, we are required to hold shares of Federal Home Loan Bank stock in an amount equal to at least 1.0 percent of aggregate unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of our mortgage loans, home purchase contracts and similar obligations at the beginning of each year, or 5.0 percent of our Federal Home Loan Bank advances, whichever is greater.

Premises and equipment. Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation increased \$6.9 million from \$231.4 million at December 31, 2013 to \$238.3 million at September 30, 2014. The increase was primarily due to software upgrades for improved system functionality throughout the Company.

Net deferred tax asset. At September 30, 2014, our net deferred tax assets were primarily attributable to U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. At September 30, 2014, our net deferred tax asset was \$449.6 million, as compared to \$414.7 million at December 31, 2013. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, was primarily due to the increase in our allowance for loan losses, which increased from \$207.0 million at December 31, 2013 to \$301.0 million at September 30, 2014.

We will continue to regularly assess the realizability of our deferred tax assets. Changes in earnings performance and future earnings projections, among other factors, may cause us to adjust our valuation allowance, which will impact our income tax expense in the period we determine that these factors have changed.

See Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Derivatives. We write and purchase interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated activity represented 100.0 percent of total interest rate swap contracts at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to focus on providing

derivative products to customers that enables them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Market risk from unfavorable movements in interest rates is generally economically hedged by concurrently entering into offsetting derivative contracts resulting in no net exposure to us, outside of counterparty performance. The offsetting derivative contracts generally have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

Table of Contents

The following table provides derivative activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Interest Rate Contracts (Notional Amount)			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance	\$375,014	\$138,923	\$204,895	\$202,492
Additions	174,604	19,274	353,853	33,362
Maturities/amortizations	(3,410) (3,645) (7,904) (9,449
Terminations	(14,888) —	(19,524) (71,853
Ending balance	\$531,320	\$154,552	\$531,320	\$154,552

For information relating to derivatives, see Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Other assets. Other assets increased \$84.7 million from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014. This was primarily due to an increase in advances related to mortgage servicing activity.

Accrued interest receivable, which is included in other assets, increased \$12.3 million from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014. This was primarily due to our interest-earning assets increasing by \$111.7 million to \$8.0 billion at September 30, 2014, as compared to \$7.9 billion at December 31, 2013. The increase in interest-earning assets is primarily due to an increase in investment securities available for sale. We typically collect interest in the month following the month in which it is earned.

Liabilities

Deposits. Our deposits consist of four primary categories: retail deposits, government deposits, wholesale deposits and company controlled deposits. Total deposit accounts increased \$1.1 million, or 17.8 percent at September 30, 2014, from December 31, 2013, primarily due to growth in retail and government demand and savings.

Our branch retail deposits increased \$265.2 million at September 30, 2014, compared to December 31, 2013, primarily due to growth in demand and savings deposits.

We have continued to increase our core deposit accounts and improve our mix of deposits. The overall need for deposit funding during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 has continued to keep pace with the volume of our mortgage originations. This has allowed us to run-off higher costing deposits, as we continue to have success in bringing in core checking, savings and money market accounts.

We have focused on increasing our commercial retail deposits. Our commercial retail deposits have increased \$44.6 million or 31.6 percent at September 30, 2014, compared to December 31, 2013.

We call on local governmental agencies, and other public units, as an additional source for deposit funding. These deposit accounts include \$375.7 million of certificates of deposit with maturities typically less than one year and \$702.4 million in checking and savings accounts at September 30, 2014.

We generate deposits from our retail banking network and no longer purchase wholesale deposits. Wholesale deposits continued to run-off during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and decreased by \$8.5 million from December 31, 2013.

Company controlled deposits arise due to our servicing of loans for others and represent the portion of the investor custodial accounts on deposit with the Bank. These deposits do not currently bear interest.

We participate in the Certificates of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program, through which certain customer certificates of deposit ("CD") are exchanged for CDs of similar amounts from other participating banks. This gives customers the potential to receive FDIC insurance up to \$50.0 million. At September 30, 2014, there were \$348.3 million of total CDs were enrolled in the CDARS program, with \$347.4 million originating from public entities and \$6.6 million originating from retail customers. In exchange, we received reciprocal CDs from other participating banks totaling \$94.0

Table of Contents

million from public entities and \$254.3 million from retail customers at September 30, 2014. We continue to provide our customers CDAR deposit option and total CDARS balances increased \$12.4 million at September 30, 2014, compared to December 31, 2013.

The composition of our deposits was as follows.

	September 30, 2014 (Dollars in thousands)			December 31, 2013				
	Balance	Yield/Rate	% of Deposits	Balance	Yield/Rate	% of Deposits		
Retail deposits								
Branch retail deposits								
Demand accounts	\$684,806	0.08	% 9.5	% \$670,039	0.09	% 10.9	%	
Savings accounts	3,310,873	0.66	% 45.8	% 2,849,644	0.46	% 46.4	%	
Money market demand accounts	219,903	0.15	% 3.0	% 262,009	0.15	% 4.3	%	
Certificates of deposit (1)	854,425	0.72	% 11.8	% 1,023,141	0.72	% 16.7	%	
Total branch retail deposits	5,070,007	0.57	% 70.1	% 4,804,833	0.45	% 78.3	%	
Commercial retail deposits								
Demand accounts	120,678	0.01	% 1.7	% 93,515	0.01	% 1.5	%	
Savings accounts	26,949	0.47	% 0.4	% 19,635	0.40	% 0.3	%	
Money market demand accounts	37,050	0.58	% 0.5	% 25,095	0.54	% 0.4	%	
Certificates of deposit (1)	1,186	0.84	% —	% 2,988	0.41	% 0.1	%	
Total commercial retail deposits	185,863	0.20	% 2.6	% 141,233	0.17	% 2.3	%	
Total retail deposits	5,255,870	0.56	% 72.7	% 4,946,066	0.44	% 80.6	%	
Government deposits								
Demand accounts	292,316	0.39	% 4.0	% 104,466	0.26	% 1.7	%	
Savings accounts	410,048	0.53	% 5.7	% 183,128	0.27	% 3.0	%	
Certificates of deposit	375,761	0.42	% 5.2	% 314,804	0.38	% 5.1	%	
Total government deposits (2)	1,078,125	0.45	% 14.9	% 602,398	0.33	% 9.8	%	
Wholesale deposits	249	0.06	% —	% 8,717	3.43	% 0.1	%	
Company controlled deposits (3)	900,152	—	% 12.4	% 583,145	—	% 9.5	%	
Total deposits (4)	\$7,234,396	0.48	% 100.0	% \$6,140,326	0.39	% 100.0	%	

(1) The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$0.8 billion and \$0.8 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(2) Government deposits include funds from municipalities and schools.

(3) These accounts represent a portion of the investor custodial accounts and escrows controlled by us in connection with loans serviced for others and that have been placed on deposit with the Bank.

(4) The aggregate amount of deposits with a balance over \$250,000 was approximately \$2.6 billion and \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Federal Home Loan Bank advances decreased by \$838.0 million at September 30, 2014 from December 31, 2013, which reflects growth in our deposit balances and a decline in our assets. We rely upon advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as a source of funding for the origination or purchase of loans for sale in the secondary market and for providing duration specific short-term and medium-term financing. The outstanding balance of Federal Home Loan Bank advances fluctuates from time to time depending on our current inventory of mortgage loans held-for-sale and the availability of lower cost funding sources.

For information relating to the Federal Home Loan Bank advances, see Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Long-term debt. As part of our overall capital strategy, we previously raised capital through the issuance of trust-preferred securities by our special purpose financing entities formed for the offerings. The outstanding trust preferred securities mature 30 years from issuance, are callable by us after five years, and pay interest quarterly. Under these trust preferred arrangements, we have the right to defer interest payments to the trust preferred security holders for up to five years. We have deferred interest payments since January 2012.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2014, long-term debt includes a fair value of \$92.1 million in VIE long-term debt associated with HELOC securitizations which are consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. We acquired all remaining HELOC loans, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt.

For information relating to long-term debt, see Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Representation and warranty reserve. We sell most of the residential first mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers, including sponsored securitization trusts and their insurers (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac), about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically, these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, generally we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan.

We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on the most recent data available to us, including data from third parties, regarding demands for loan repurchases, actual loan repurchases, actual credit losses on repurchased loans, and potential exposure to indemnification related to government loans. Provisions added to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded under noninterest income in the income statement as an increase or decrease to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate.

Activity in the representation and warranty reserve during the last five quarters is provided in the table below.

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
	(Dollar in thousands)				
Beginning balance	\$50,000	\$48,000	\$54,000	\$174,000	\$185,000
Provision for new loans sales	1,981	1,734	1,229	3,018	3,719
Provision adjustment for previous estimates (1)	12,538	5,226	(1,672)	(15,425)	5,205
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(7,519)	(4,960)	(5,557)	(107,593)	(19,924)
Ending balance	\$57,000	\$50,000	\$48,000	\$54,000	\$174,000

(1) Third quarter provision includes \$10.4 million expense related to indemnification on government loans.

A significant factor in the estimate of probable losses is the activity of the Agencies, including the number of loan files they review or intend to review, the number of subsequent repurchase demands made by the Agencies and the percentage of those repurchase demands that actually result in a repurchase by the Bank. The majority of our loan sales have been to Agencies, which are a significant source of our current repurchase demands. These demands were primarily concentrated in the pre-2009 origination years. The recent settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac related to loans sold prior to 2009 lowers our loss estimates going forward.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the amount of quarterly Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac audit file review requests by number of accounts. Such requests precede the repurchase demands that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may make thereafter.

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Fannie Mae	766	935	1,076	1,068	2,105
Freddie Mac	588	646	640	644	1,687
Total	1,354	1,581	1,716	1,712	3,792

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we had \$73.5 million in Fannie Mae new repurchase demands and \$36.6 million in Freddie Mac new repurchase demands. The following table summarizes the amount of quarterly new repurchase demands we have received by loan origination year.

	Three Months Ended				
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
	(Dollars in thousands)				
2008 and prior (1)	\$1,873	\$3,629	\$8,714	\$96,674	\$114,073
2009-2014	37,231	30,522	28,607	19,904	12,509
Total	\$39,104	\$34,151	\$37,321	\$116,578	\$126,582
Number of accounts	177	150	169	635	804

(1) Includes a significant portion of the repurchase request and obligations associated with loans with the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for December 31, 2013 and prior months.

The following table summarizes the aggregate amount of pending repurchase demands at the end of each quarterly period noted.

	Three Months Ended					
	September 30, 2014	June 30, 2014	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Period end balance	\$30,826	\$53,663	\$69,401	\$97,170	\$155,159	
Percent non-agency (approximately)	2.4	% 1.8	% 2.0	% 2.6	% 0.7	%

The following table summarizes the trends with respect to key model attributes and assumptions for estimating the representation and warranty reserve.

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	
	(Dollars in Thousands)		
Unpaid principal balance of loans sold (1) (2)	\$256,300,000	\$244,100,000	
Loan file review as percentage of unpaid principal balance	6.6	% 8.2	%
Repurchase demand rate (3)	16.1	% 14.5	%
Actual repurchase rate (4)	32.6	% 35.5	%
Loss severity rate (5)	10.2	% 12.3	%

(1) Includes servicing sold with recourse.

(2) Includes a significant portion of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans with the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

(3) The percent of loan file reviews that is expected to result in a repurchase demand.

(4) Weighted average of the appeals loss rate.

(5) Average loss severity rate expected to be experienced on actual repurchases made (post appeal loss).

For information relating to the representation and warranty reserve, see Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Other liabilities. Other liabilities primarily consist of a reserve for possible contingent liabilities, undisbursed payments, escrow accounts, forward agency and derivative liability and the Ginnie Mae liability resulting from the recognition of our unilateral right to repurchase certain mortgage loans currently included in Ginnie Mae securities. Other liabilities increased to \$492.8 million at September 30, 2014, from \$445.9 million at December 31, 2013,

primarily due to a \$38.9 million increase in undisbursed payments on loans serviced for others liability from \$86.8 million at December 31, 2013 to \$125.7 at September 30, 2014. These amounts represents payments received from borrowers interest, principal and related loans charges which have not been remitted to investors. The Ginnie Mae liability totaled \$4.3 million and \$20.8 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. These amounts are for certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae, as to which we have not yet repurchased, but have the unilateral right to do so. With respect to such loans sold to Ginnie Mae, a corresponding asset was included in loans held-for-sale. Escrow accounts totaled \$44.4 million and \$39.9 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Escrow accounts are maintained on behalf of mortgage customers and include funds collected for real estate taxes, homeowners insurance and other insured product liabilities.

Table of Contents

Other liabilities also included an accrual for possible contingent liabilities. As of September 30, 2014, our total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$124.4 million, which increased from December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2014, the accrual for possible contingent liabilities includes the \$80.1 million fair value liability associated with the DOJ Settlement, which decreased as compared to \$93.0 million at December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2014, the accrual for possible contingent liabilities also includes the \$37.5 million liability associated with the September 29, 2014 CFPB settlement. See Note 18 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Fair Value

Level 3 Financial Instruments

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis totaled \$512.2 million and \$514.7 million, or 5.3 percent and 5.5 percent of total assets, respectively, and consisted primarily of loans held-for-investment, MSRs and mortgage rate lock commitments. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were \$172.6 million and \$198.8 million Level 3 liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, respectively, which primarily consisted of long-term debt and DOJ litigation.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis were \$95.2 million and \$106.4 million, respectively, and no Level 3 liabilities were recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis were 1.0 percent and 1.1 percent of total assets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and consisted of residential first mortgage and commercial real estate impaired loans held-for-investment and repossessed assets.

Refer to Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein, for a further discussion of fair value measurements.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our principal uses of funds include loan originations and operating expenses. At September 30, 2014, we had outstanding rate-lock commitments to lend \$2.8 billion in mortgage loans, compared to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2013. These commitments may expire without being drawn upon and therefore, do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Total commercial and consumer unused collateralized lines of credit totaled \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2014 and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2013.

Capital. We had a net loss available to common shareholders of \$81.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. We did not pay any cash dividends on our common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 or during the year ended December 31, 2013. On February 19, 2008, our board of directors suspended future dividends payable on our common stock. Under the capital distribution regulations, a savings bank that is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company must either notify or seek approval from the OCC of an association capital distribution at least 30 days prior to the declaration of a dividend or the approval by our board of directors of the proposed capital distribution. The 30-day period allows the OCC to determine whether or not the distribution would not be advisable. Because we are under the Consent Order, we currently must seek approval from the OCC prior to making a capital distribution from the Bank. In addition, under the Supervisory Agreement, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions.

Under the terms of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock") the Company may defer payments of dividends. Beginning with the February 2012 payment, the Company has exercised

its contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends on Series C Preferred Stock, and is therefore currently in arrears with the dividend payments. As of September 30, 2014, the amount of the arrearage on the dividend payments of the Series C Preferred Stock was \$49.2 million. At the time that the Company pays the \$49.2 million of deferred dividends, this payment will result in a reduction of equity. Currently, the impact of the deferred dividends is removed from net income, for calculating the Company's earnings per share. We also would have to simultaneously bring the deferred interest payments of the Trust Preferred Securities current, which total \$19.0 million at September 30, 2014, which have been accrued and are reflected within interest expense during the appropriate period.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated

Table of Contents

under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

At September 30, 2014, the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" for regulatory purposes. The following table shows the regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		September 30, 2013			
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio		
Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted tangible assets)	\$1,134,429	12.38	% \$1,257,608	13.97	% \$1,402,423	11.98	%	
Total adjusted tangible asset base (1)	\$9,162,342		\$9,004,904		\$11,708,635			
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$1,134,429	22.84	% \$1,257,608	26.82	% \$1,402,423	26.57	%	
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)	1,199,410	24.14	% 1,317,964	28.11	% 1,470,060	27.85	%	
Risk weighted asset base (1)	\$4,967,755		\$4,688,545		\$5,278,524			

(1) Total assets are used for purposes of core capital and risk-weighted assets for purposes of total risk-based capital.

The bank regulatory agencies have issued guidelines establishing capital requirements for banks. These guidelines are based upon the 1988 capital accord ("Basel I") of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("BCBS"). We currently calculate our risk-based capital ratios under guidelines adopted by the OCC based on the Basel I framework. Under the current risk based capital framework, a bank's balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet items are assigned to one of four broad risk categories. The aggregated dollar amount in each category is then multiplied by the risk weighting assigned to that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the four categories are added together and this sum is the risk-weighted assets total that comprises the denominator of certain risk-based capital ratios. Tier 1 capital and Total Risk Based capital are each divided by this denominator (risk-weighted assets) to determine the Tier 1 capital and Total Risk-Based capital ratios.

In July 2013, the federal bank regulators issued interim final rules (the "New Capital Rules") implementing the Basel Committee's December 2010 final capital framework for strengthening international capital standards, known as Basel III, as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve released final rules detailing the U.S. implementation of Basel III. The New Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and their depository institution subsidiaries. The New Capital Rules revise the components of capital and address other issues affecting the numerator in regulatory capital ratios. The New Capital Rules also address asset risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in regulatory capital ratios and replace the existing general risk-weighting approach based on Basel I with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the standardized approach as part of Basel II. The New Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal bank regulators' rules.

The New Capital Rules are effective for us on January 1, 2015 subject to a phase-in period extending through January 2019. The New Capital Rules, among other things, (i) introduce a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1"), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 capital" instruments meeting certain revised requirements, (iii) define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1, and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions/adjustments to capital as compared to existing regulations.

Savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to consolidated capital requirements. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies have established minimum leverage and risk-based capital

requirements for savings and loan holding companies. Beginning January 1, 2015 savings and loan holding companies will be subject to the same consolidated capital requirements as bank holding companies.

The New Capital Rules also introduce a new capital conservation buffer designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. The capital conservation buffer is composed entirely of CET1, on top of these minimum risk-weighted asset ratios. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. When fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, the New Capital Rules will require us to maintain an additional capital conservation buffer of 2.5 percent of risk-weighted assets above the minimum risk-based capital ratio requirements.

Flagstar is not subject to the Federal Reserve's Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR") program. However, because we expect to meet the midsize bank guidelines (\$10 to \$50 billion in assets), Flagstar is required to submit a Dodd-Frank stress test (DFAST) by the end of March every year. DFAST requires banks to project results over a nine-quarter

Table of Contents

planning horizon under three scenarios (baseline, adverse, and severely adverse) published by the Federal Reserve and to show that the bank would exceed regulatory minimum capital standards for the Tier 1 leverage ratio, Tier 1 common ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and the Total risk-based capital ratio under all of these scenarios. In addition, banks are encouraged to employ an additional bank-specific, idiosyncratic scenario designed to "break the bank". This latter scenario is designed to provide senior management and the Board with a worst-case analysis to guide their capital planning.

The New Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10 percent of CET1 or all such items, in the aggregate, exceed 15 percent of CET1. The New Capital Rules prescribe a new standardized approach for risk weightings that expands the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset classes.

Certain regulatory capital ratios for the Bank as of September 30, 2014 are shown in the following table.

September 30, 2014	Regulatory Minimums	Regulatory Minimums to be Well-Capitalized	Bank	
Basel I Ratios				
Tier 1 leverage ratio	4.00	% 5.00	% 12.38	%
Basel III Ratios (fully phased-in) (1)				
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio (1)	4.50	% 6.50	% 19.72	%
Tier 1 leverage ratio (1)	4.00	% 5.00	% 10.34	%
(1) See "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below.				

Liquidity. Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate and market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet current financial obligations is a function of the balance sheet structure, the ability to liquidate assets, and the access to various sources of funds.

We primarily originate agency eligible loans and therefore the majority of new residential first mortgage loan originations are readily convertible to cash, either by selling them as part of our monthly agency sales, private party whole loan sales, or by pledging them to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and borrowing against them. We use the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis as our primary source for funding our residential mortgage banking business due to its flexibility in terms of being able to borrow or repay borrowings as daily cash needs require.

The amount we can borrow, or the value we receive for the assets pledged to our liquidity providers, varies based on the amount and type of pledged collateral as well as the perceived market value of the assets and the "discount" off the market value of the assets. That value is sensitive to the pricing and policies of our liquidity providers and can change with little or no notice.

In addition to operating expenses at a particular level of mortgage originations, our cash flows are fairly predictable and relate primarily to the funding cash outflows of residential first mortgages and the securitization and sales cash inflows of those residential first mortgages. Our mortgage warehouse funding line of business also generates cash

flows as funds are extended to correspondent relationships to close new loans. Those loans are repaid when the correspondent sells the loan. Other material cash flows relate to growing our commercial lines of business and the loans we service for others and consist primarily of principal, interest, taxes and insurance escrows. Those monies come in over the course of the month and are paid out based on predetermined schedules. Those flows are largely a function of the size of the servicing book and the volume of refinancing activity of the loans serviced. In general, monies received in one month are paid during the following month with the exception of taxes and insurance monies that are held until such are due.

As governed and defined by our internal liquidity policy, we maintain adequate excess liquidity levels appropriate to cover both unanticipated operational and regulatory requirements. In addition to this standby liquidity, we also maintain targeted minimum levels of unused borrowing capacity as an additional cushion against unexpected liquidity needs. Each business day, we forecast 90 days of daily cash needs. This allows us to determine our projected near term daily cash fluctuations and also to plan and adjust, if necessary, future activities. As a result, we would be able to make adjustments to

Table of Contents

operations as required to meet the liquidity needs of our business, including adjusting deposit rates to increase deposits, planning for additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, accelerating sales of loans held-for-sale (Agencies and or private), selling loans held-for-investment or securities, borrowing through the use of repurchase agreements, reducing originations, making changes to warehouse funding facilities, or borrowing from the discount window.

Our liquidity position is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Borrowings. The Federal Home Loan Bank provides loans, also referred to as advances, on a fully collateralized basis, to savings banks and other member financial institutions. We are currently authorized through a resolution of our board of directors to apply for advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank using approved loan types as collateral. At September 30, 2014, we had an authorized line of credit of \$7.0 billion that could be utilized to the extent we provide sufficient collateral. At September 30, 2014, we had \$0.2 billion of advances outstanding and an additional \$2.8 billion of collateralized borrowing capacity available at the Federal Home Loan Bank.

We have arrangements with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago to borrow as appropriate from its discount window. The discount window is a borrowing facility that is intended to be used only for short-term liquidity needs arising from special or unusual circumstances. The amount we are allowed to borrow is based on the lendable value of the collateral that we provide. To collateralize the line, we pledge commercial and industrial loans that are eligible based on Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago guidelines. At September 30, 2014, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$55.7 million with a lendable value of \$29.2 million. At December 31, 2013, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$38.7 million with a lendable value of \$25.5 million. The increase in the available loan collateral was due to an increase in commercial loans. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had no borrowings outstanding against this line of credit.

Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain accounting policies that, due to the judgment, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies are critical to an understanding of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. These policies relate to: (a) fair value measurements; (b) the determination of our allowance for loan losses; (c) the determination of our representation and warranty reserve; and (d) the determination of the accrual for pending and threatened litigation. We believe the judgment, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, are appropriate given the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations and/or financial condition. For further information on our critical accounting policies, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which is available on our website, www.flagstar.com, under the Investor Relations section, or on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, at www.sec.gov.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to results presented in accordance with GAAP, this report includes non-GAAP financial measures such as an adjusted efficiency ratio, the ratio of total nonperforming assets to Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) and estimated Basel III ratios. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures provide additional information that is useful to investors in helping to understand the underlying performance and trends of our unique business model.

Such measures also help investors to facilitate performance comparisons and benchmarks with other bank and thrift peers in our industry.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, which are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Readers should be aware of these limitations and should be cautious with respect to the use of such measures. To mitigate these limitations, we have practices in place to ensure that these measures are calculated using the appropriate GAAP or regulatory components in their entirety and to ensure that our performance is properly reflected to facilitate consistent period-to-period comparisons. Although we believe the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in this report enhance investors' understanding of our business and performance, these non-GAAP measures should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for those financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Efficiency ratio and efficiency ratio (adjusted). The efficiency ratio, which generally measures the productivity of a bank, is calculated as noninterest expense divided by total operating income. Total operating income includes net interest

Table of Contents

income and total noninterest income. Management utilizes the efficiency ratio to monitor its own productivity and believes the ratio provides investors with a meaningful tool to monitor period to period productivity trends.

Under the efficiency ratio (adjusted), noninterest expense and income (GAAP) is presented excluding non-recurring items to arrive at adjusted noninterest expense and income (non-GAAP), which is included in the numerator and denominator for the efficiency ratio. As the provision for loan losses is already excluded by the ratio's own definition, we believe that the exclusion of representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate provides investors with a more complete picture of our productivity and ability to generate operating income. The one-time item represents a fair value adjustment that is not expected to recur and items that were a result of the Assured and MBIA litigation settlements. The efficiency ratio (adjusted) provides investors with a meaningful base for period to period comparisons, which management believes will assist investors in analyzing our operating results and predicting future performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are also utilized internally by management to assess the performance of our own business.

Our calculations of the efficiency ratio may differ from the calculation of similar measures used by other bank and thrift holding companies, and should be used to determine and evaluate period to period trends in our performance, rather than in comparison to other similar non-GAAP measurements utilized by other companies. In addition, investors should keep in mind that the items excluded from income and expenses in the efficiency ratio (adjusted) are recurring and integral expenses to our operations, and that these expenses will still accrue under similar GAAP measures.

Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 + Allowance for Loan Losses. The ratio of nonperforming assets to Tier 1 and allowance for loan losses divides the total level of nonperforming assets held for investment by Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets), as defined by bank regulations, plus allowance for loan losses. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy used by investors, regulators, management and others to evaluate the adequacy of capital in comparison to other companies within the industry.

Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio. The ratio of mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital divides the total mortgage servicing rights by Tier 1 capital, as defined by bank regulations. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy, especially in relation to the level of our mortgage servicing rights. This ratio allows our investors, regulators, management and other parties to measure the adequacy and quality of our mortgage servicing rights and capital, in comparison to other companies within our industry.

Basel I to Basel III (fully phased-in) reconciliation. We currently calculate our risk-based capital ratios under guidelines adopted by the OCC based on the 1988 Capital Accord ("Basel I") of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the "Basel Committee"). In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for Basel III, which will strengthen international capital and liquidity regulations. When fully phased-in, Basel III will increase capital requirements through higher minimum capital levels as well as through increases in risk-weights for certain exposures. Additionally, the final Basel III rules place greater emphasis on common equity. In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve released final rules detailing the U.S. implementation of Basel III and the application of the risk-based and leverage capital rules to top-tier savings and loan holding companies. We will begin transitioning to the Basel III framework in January 2015 subject to a phase-in period extending through January 2019. We are currently evaluating the impact of the final Basel III rules. Accordingly, the calculations provided below are estimates. These measures are considered to be non-GAAP financial measures because they are not formally defined by GAAP and the Basel III implementation regulations will not be fully phased-in until 2019. The regulations are subject to change as clarifying guidance becomes available and the calculations currently include our interpretations of the requirements including informal feedback received through the regulatory process. Other entities may calculate the Basel III ratios differently from ours based on their interpretation of the guidelines. Since analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using the Basel III framework, we believe that it is useful to provide investors information

enabling them to assess our capital adequacy on the same basis.

Core Operating Earnings. In addition to analyzing the Company's results on a reported basis, management reviews the Company's results and the results of its lines of business on a "core operating" basis. These non-GAAP measures reflect the adjustment of the reported U.S. GAAP results for significant items. The Company believes the use of these non-GAAP financial measures provides additional clarity in assessing the Company's results on a run-rate basis. These and other non-GAAP financial measures used by the Company may not be comparable to similarly named non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

Table of Contents

The following table displays the calculation for the non-GAAP measures.

Non-GAAP Reconciliation (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Efficiency ratio (adjusted)				
Net interest income (a)	\$64,363	\$42,685	\$184,988	\$145,448
Noninterest income (b)	85,188	134,296	262,625	539,198
Less provisions:				
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate	12,538	5,205	16,092	51,541
Significant one-time items:				
Net impairment loss recognized through earnings	—	—	—	8,789
Other noninterest income	—	—	\$(10,000)	(36,854)
Adjusted income (c)	\$162,089	\$182,186	\$453,705	\$708,122
Noninterest expense (d)	\$179,389	\$158,436	\$439,994	\$529,422
Significant one-time items:				
Legal and professional expense	(38,616)	—	(31,495)	10,000
Adjusted noninterest expense (e)	\$140,773	\$158,436	\$408,499	\$539,422
Efficiency ratio (d/(a+b))	120.0	% 89.5	% 98.3	% 77.3
Efficiency ratio (adjusted) (e/c)	86.8	% 87.0	% 90.0	% 76.2
		September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses				
Nonperforming assets		\$134,093	\$182,321	\$205,334
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (1)		1,134,429	1,257,608	1,402,423
Allowance for loan losses		301,000	207,000	207,000
Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses		\$1,435,429	\$1,464,608	\$1,609,423
Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses		9.3	% 12.4	% 12.8
		September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio				
Mortgage servicing rights		\$285,386	\$284,678	\$797,029
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (1)		1,134,429	1,257,608	1,402,423
Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio		25.2	% 22.6	% 56.8

(1) Represents Tier 1 capital for the Bank.

Table of Contents

Operating Income / Expense	Quarter ended September 30, 2014			Quarter ended September 30, 2013		
	As Reported	Significant Items	Operating	As Reported	Significant Items	Operating
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	\$56,266	\$—	56,266	\$38,632	\$—	\$38,632
Noninterest Income						
Loan fees and charges (1)	18,661		18,661	20,876	—	20,876
Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate (2)	(12,538)	10,375	(2,163)	(5,205)		(5,205)
All other noninterest income	79,065		79,065	118,625		118,625
Total noninterest income	85,188	10,375	95,563	134,296	—	134,296
Noninterest Expense						
Legal and professional expense (3)	15,044	(1,116)	13,928	19,593	—	19,593
Other noninterest expense (4)	50,254	(37,500)	12,754	11,453	—	11,453
All other noninterest expense	114,091		114,091	127,390		127,390
Total noninterest expense	179,389	(38,616)	140,773	158,436	—	158,436
(Loss) income before income taxes	(37,935)	48,991	11,056	14,492	—	14,492
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(10,303)	13,646	3,343	220	—	220
Net (loss) income	(27,632)	35,345	7,713	14,272	—	14,272
Preferred stock dividend/accretion	—	—	—	(1,449)	—	(1,449)
Net (loss) income applicable to common stockholders	\$(27,632)	\$35,345	\$7,713	\$12,823	\$—	\$12,823
(Loss) income per share						
Basic	\$(0.61)	\$0.60	\$0.01	\$0.16	\$—	\$0.16
Diluted	\$(0.61)	\$0.60	\$0.01	\$0.16	\$—	\$0.16

(1) Significant item for benefit for contract renegotiation for the second quarter 2014 located in loan fees and charges.

(2) Significant item for charge for government loan indemnification for the third quarter 2014 located in representation and warranty reserve-change in estimate.

(3) Significant item for charge for CFPB CID - related costs for the third and second quarter of 2014 located in legal and professional expense.

(4) Significant item for charge for CFPB settlement for the third quarter 2014 located in other noninterest expense.

September 30, 2014	Common Equity Tier 1 (to Risk Weighted Assets)	Tier 1 Leverage (to Adjusted Tangible Assets) (1)
Flagstar Bank (the Bank) (2)		
Regulatory capital – Basel I to Basel III (fully phased-in) (3)		
Basel I capital	\$1,134,429	\$1,134,429
Increased deductions related to deferred tax assets, mortgage servicing assets, and other capital components	(136,389)	(136,389)
Basel III (fully phased-in) capital (3)	\$998,040	\$998,040
Risk-weighted assets – Basel I to Basel III (fully phased-in) (3)		

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Basel I assets	\$4,967,755	\$9,162,342		
Net change in assets	94,479	491,646		
Basel III (fully phased-in) assets (3)	\$5,062,234	\$9,653,988		
Capital ratios				
Basel I (2)	22.84	% 12.38		%
Basel III (fully phased-in) (3)	19.72	% 10.34		%

- (1) The definition of total assets used in the calculation of the Tier 1 Leverage ratio changed from ending total assets under Basel I to quarterly average total assets under Basel III.
- (2) The Bank is currently subject to the requirements of Basel I.
- (3) Basel III information is considered estimated and not final at this time as the Basel III rules continue to be subject to interpretation by U.S. Banking Regulators.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, or equity prices. We do not have any material foreign currency exchange risk or equity price risk. The primary market risk is interest rate risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of our assets and liabilities, changes in the relationships between rate indices, and the potential exercise of explicit or embedded options.

Interest rate risk is managed by the asset liability committee ("ALCO"), which is composed of several of our executive officers and other members of management, in accordance with policies approved by our board of directors. The ALCO formulates strategies based on appropriate levels of interest rate risk. In determining the appropriate level of interest rate risk, the ALCO considers the impact projected interest rate scenarios have on earnings and capital, liquidity, business strategies, and other factors. The ALCO meets monthly or as deemed necessary to review, among other things, the sensitivity of assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and fair values of assets and liabilities, unrealized gains and losses, purchase and sale activity, loans held-for-sale and commitments to originate loans, and the maturities of investments, borrowings and time deposits.

Financial instruments used to manage interest rate risk include financial derivative products such as interest rate swaps and forward sales commitments. Further discussion of the use of and the accounting for derivative instruments is included in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1 Financial Statements, herein. All of our derivatives are accounted for at fair market value. All mortgage loan production originated for sale is accounted for on a fair value basis.

To effectively measure and manage interest rate risk, sensitivity analysis is used to determine the impact on earnings and the net market value of the balance sheet across various interest rate scenarios, balance sheet trends, and strategies. From these simulations, interest rate risk is quantified and appropriate strategies are developed and implemented. Additionally, duration and net interest income sensitivity measures are utilized when they provide added value to the overall interest rate risk management process. The overall interest rate risk position and strategies are reviewed by executive management and the board of directors on an ongoing basis. Business is traditionally managed to reduce overall exposure to changes in interest rates. However, management has the latitude to increase interest rate sensitivity position within certain limits if, in management's judgment, the increase will enhance profitability.

Net interest income simulation analysis provides estimated net interest income of the current balance sheet across alternative interest rate scenarios. The net interest income analysis measures the sensitivity of interest sensitive earnings over a twelve month time horizon. The analysis holds the current balance sheet values constant and does not take into account management intervention. The net interest income simulation demonstrates the level of interest rate risk inherent in the existing balance sheet.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our net interest income that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes plus or minus 200 basis points.

September 30, 2014

Scenario	Net interest Income (Dollars in thousands)	\$ Change	% Change	
200	\$271,041	\$30,101	12.0	%
Constant	\$240,940	\$—	—	%
(200)	\$200,383	\$(40,557)	(17.0))%

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2013

Scenario	Net interest Income (Dollars in thousands)	\$ Change	% Change	
200	\$286,048	\$35,058	14.0	%
Constant	\$250,990	\$—	—	%
(200)	\$211,613	\$(39,377)) (16.0)%

In the net interest income simulation, our balance sheet exhibits slight asset sensitivity. When interest rates rise our interest income increases, conversely when interest rates fall our interest income decreased. The net interest income simulation measures the interest rate risk of the balance sheet over a short period over time, typically twelve months. An additional analysis is completed that measures the interest rate risk over an extended period of time. The Economic Value of Equity

115

Table of Contents

("EVE") analysis provides a fair value of the balance sheet in alternative interest rate scenarios. The EVE analysis does not take into account management intervention and assumes the new rate environment is constant and the change is instantaneous.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our EVE that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. EVE is the market value of assets, less the market value of liabilities, adjusted for the market value of off-balance sheet instruments. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and as adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes upward to 300 basis points and downward to 100 basis points. The scenarios are not comparable due to differences in the interest rate environments, including the absolute level of rates and the shape of the yield curve. Each rate scenario reflects unique prepayment, repricing, and reinvestment assumptions. Management derives these assumptions by considering published market prepayment expectations, the repricing characteristics of individual instruments or groups of similar instruments, our historical experience, and our asset and liability management strategy. Further, this analysis assumes that certain instruments would not be affected by the changes in interest rates or would be partially affected due to the characteristics of the instruments.

This analysis is based on our interest rate exposure at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates, which could impact EVE. Further, as this framework evaluates risks to the current statement of financial condition only, changes to the volumes and pricing of new business opportunities that can be expected in the different interest rate outcomes are not incorporated in this analytical framework. For instance, analysis of our history suggests that declining interest rate levels are associated with higher loan production volumes at higher levels of profitability. While this "natural business hedge" historically offset most, if not all, of the identified risks associated with declining interest rate scenarios, these factors fall outside of the EVE framework. Further, there can be no assurance that this natural business hedge would positively affect the EVE in the same manner and to the same extent as in the past.

There are limitations inherent in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in market interest rates. It is not possible to fully model the market risk in instruments with leverage, option, or prepayment risks. Also, we are affected by basis risk, which is the difference in repricing characteristics of similar term rate indices. As such, this analysis is not intended to be a precise forecast of the effect a change in market interest rates would have on us.

If EVE increases in any interest rate scenario, that would indicate an increasing direction for the margin in that hypothetical rate scenario. A perfectly matched balance sheet would possess no change in the EVE, no matter what the rate scenario. The following table presents the EVE in the stated interest rate scenarios.

September 30, 2014					December 31, 2013				
Scenario	EVE	EVE%	\$ Change	% Change	Scenario	EVE	EVE%	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in thousands)					(Dollars in thousands)			
300	\$1,486,587	17.2	% \$(146,726)	(9.0)%	300	\$1,131,146	13.4	% \$(261,137)	(18.8)%
200	\$1,535,988	17.3	% \$(97,325)	(6.0)%	200	\$1,233,357	14.3	% \$(158,926)	(11.4)%
100	\$1,589,112	17.4	% \$(44,201)	(2.7)%	100	\$1,325,836	15.0	% \$(66,447)	(4.8)%
Current	\$1,633,313	17.5	% \$—	—	Current	\$1,392,283	15.4	% \$—	—
(100)	\$1,641,679	17.3	% \$8,366	0.5	(100)	\$1,416,747	15.4	% \$(24,464)	1.8

Our balance sheet exhibits liability sensitivity in an EVE framework. In a rising interest rate scenario, the EVE decreases. The decrease in EVE is the result of the amount of liabilities that would be expected to reprice in the near term exceeding the amount of assets that could similarly reprice over the same time period because such assets may have longer maturities or repricing terms.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. A review and evaluation was performed by our principal executive and financial officers regarding the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2014 pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on (a) that review and evaluation, the principal executive and financial officers have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2014, in recording, processing, summarizing, and reporting information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act, within the specified time periods.

Changes in Internal Controls. During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, there has been no change in our (b) internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company is party to legal proceedings incident to its business. See Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1 Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in response to Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, except the following risk factors that update and supplement the risk factors in that report.

The Bank has entered into a Consent Order with the CFPB (the "CFPB Consent Order") relating to the Bank's loss mitigation and default servicing operations. Non-compliance with the CFPB Consent Order may lead to additional corrective actions by the CFPB, civil penalties or other adverse actions, which could negatively impact our operations and financial performance.

On September 29, 2014 the Bank and the CFPB entered into the CFPB Consent Order, which related to the Bank's loss mitigation and default servicing operations. There is also no guarantee that the Bank will be able to fully comply with the CFPB Consent Order. In the event the Bank is in material non-compliance with the terms of the CFPB Consent Order, the CFPB has the authority to subject the Bank to additional corrective actions. Moreover, in the event the CFPB believes that the Bank has failed to comply with the CFPB Consent Order, it could initiate further enforcement actions against the Bank, seek an injunction requiring the Bank and its officers and directors to comply with the CFPB Consent Order and seek civil money penalties against the Bank and its officers and directors as well as against us. Any failure by the Bank to comply with the terms of the CFPB Consent Order or additional actions by the CFPB could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the Bank's competitors may not be subject to similar actions, which could limit our ability to compete effectively.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Sale of Unregistered Securities

The Company made no sales of unregistered securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company made no purchases of its equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

The Company had no defaults on senior securities.

The following sets forth arrearage of the payment of dividends on preferred stock.

Under the terms of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock") the Company may defer payments of dividends. Beginning with the February 2012 payment, the Company has exercised its contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends on Series C Preferred Stock, and is therefore currently in arrears with the dividend payments. As of September 30, 2014, the amount of the arrearage on the dividend payments of the Series C Preferred Stock was \$49.2 million.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

Executive Leadership Change

118

Table of Contents

Effective August 4, 2014, James K. Cirolì assumed the position of Executive Vice-President, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer of both the Company and the Bank, subject to regulatory approval. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") subsequently provided their non objection and the Board of Directors officially appointed Mr. Cirolì to the position of Chief Financial Officer on October 20, 2014.

Stephen Figliuolo joined Flagstar Bank in June 2014 as Chief Risk Officer subject to regulatory approval. Having now received non-objection from the OCC and the Federal Reserve, the Board officially appointed Mr. Figliuolo to his role. In his new role, Stephen is responsible for the governance and corporate oversight of Flagstar's safety and soundness policies and practices.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
-------------	-------------

31.1	Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
------	--

31.2	Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
------	--

32.1	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer
------	--

32.2	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer
------	--

101	Financial statements from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
-----	--

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
Registrant

Date: November 3, 2014

/s/ Alessandro DiNello
Alessandro DiNello
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ James K. Cirolì
James K. Cirolì
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer
101	Financial statements from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.